information concerning whether the hearing will be held.

Written Submissions: In lieu of or in addition to participating in the hearing, interested parties are invited to file written submissions concerning this investigation. All written submissions should be addressed to the Secretary, and should be received not later than 5:15 p.m., October 30, 2012. All written submissions must conform with the provisions of section 201.8 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 201.8). Section 201.8 and the Commission’s Handbook on Filing Procedures require that interested parties file documents electronically on or before the filing deadline and submit eight (8) true paper copies by 12:00 noon eastern time on the next business day. In the event that confidential treatment of a document is requested, interested parties must file, at the same time as the eight paper copies, at least four (4) additional true paper copies in which the confidential information must be deleted (see the following paragraph for further information regarding confidential business information). Persons with questions regarding electronic filing should contact the Secretary (202–205–2000).

Any submissions that contain confidential business information (CBI) must also conform with the requirements in section 201.6 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 C.F.R. 201.6). Section 201.6 of the rules requires that the cover of the document and the individual pages be clearly marked as to whether they are the “confidential” or “non-confidential” version, and that the confidential business information be clearly identified by means of brackets. All written submissions, except for confidential business information, will be made available for inspection by interested parties.

In the request letter, the USTR stated that his office intends to make the Commission’s report available to the public in its entirety, and asked that the Commission not include any confidential business information or national security classified information in the report that the Commission sends to the USTR. Any confidential business information received by the Commission in this investigation and used in preparing this report will not be published in a manner that would reveal the operations of the firm supplying the information.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: August 21, 2012.

Lisa R. Barton,
Acting Secretary to the Commission.

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731–TA–702 (Third Review)]
Ferrovanadium and Nitrided Vanadium From Russia

Determination

On the basis of the record developed in the subject five-year review, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), that revocation of the antidumping duty order on ferrovanadium and nitrided vanadium from Russia would not be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

Background

The Commission instituted this review on September 1, 2011 (76 FR 54490) and determined on December 5, 2011 that it would conduct a full review (76 FR 79214, December 21, 2011). Notice of the scheduling of the Commission’s review and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the Federal Register on February 8, 2012 (77 FR 6582). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on June 21, 2012, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.


1 The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

By order of the Commission.

Lisa R. Barton,
Acting Secretary to the Commission.

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–844]
Certain Drill Bits and Products Containing Same; Determination To Review an Initial Determination; on Review, Affirmance of Grant of Summary Determination on the Merits; Termination of the Investigation


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 9) of the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) granting summary determination of no importation and terminating the investigation. On review, the Commission has determined to affirm the ALJ’s grant of summary determination of no importation on the merits and terminates the investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Panyin A. Hughes, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–3042. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at http://edis.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on June 4, 2012, based on a complaint filed by Boart Longyear Company and Longyear TM, Inc. both of South Jordan, Utah. 76 FR 32997 (June 4, 2012). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the
importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain drill bits and products containing the same by reason of infringement of certain claims of United States Patent Nos. 7,828,090; 7,874,384; and 8,051,929. The notice of investigation named the following entities as respondents: Boyles Bros Diamantina S.A. of Lima, Peru; Christensen Chile S.A. of Santiago, Chile; Diamantina Christensen Trading Inc. of Panama; and Intermountain Drilling Supply Corp. of West Valley City, Utah.


Having examined the record of this investigation, including the ALJ’s ID, the petition for review, and the response thereto, the Commission has determined to review the ID. On review, the Commission affirms the ALJ’s grant of summary determination of no importation but does not adopt any statements in the ID to the effect that the determination is on jurisdictional grounds. Specifically, the Commission finds that the ALJ’s determination appropriately considers the merits, and the Commission affirms the ALJ’s determination on the merits. Complainants may re-file their complaint if they can make an allegation of importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of accused products after issuance of the asserted patents.


By order of the Commission.

Lisa R. Barton,
Acting Secretary to the Commission.