four companies. Shin Yang Steel Co., Ltd. requested a review of itself. On August 15, 2012, the Petitioner withdrew its request for an administrative review for the following three companies: (1) Chung Hung Steel Corp.; (2) Kao Hsing Chang Iron & Steel Corp. (also known as Kao Hsiumg Chang Iron & Steel Corp.); and (3) Tension Steel Industries Co. Ltd.

Partial Rescue

The applicable regulation, 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), states that if a party that requested an administrative review withdraws the request within 90 days of the publication of the notice of institution of the requested review, the Secretary will rescind the review. The Petitioner withdrew its request with respect to three companies within the 90-day deadline, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1).

Therefore, in accordance with section 351.213(d)(1) of the Department’s regulations, we are rescinding this review with respect to the following three companies: (1) Chung Hung Steel Corp.; (2) Kao Hsing Chang Iron & Steel Corp.; and (3) Tension Steel Industries Co. Ltd. This review will continue with respect to Shin Yang Steel Co., Ltd.

Assessment Instructions

The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. For the companies for which this review is rescinded, antidumping duties shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP 15 days after publication of this notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers for whom this review is being rescinded of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(D)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary’s presumption that reimbursement of the antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with section 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).


Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.
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DATES: Effective Date: September 11, 2012.

SUMMARY: On March 6, 2012, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the Federal Register the preliminary results of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain preserved mushrooms from the People’s Republic of China (PRC). Based upon our analysis of comments received from interested parties, we made changes to the margin calculations for the final results. Therefore, the final results differ from the preliminary results. The final dumping margin for this review is listed in the “Final Results of Review” section below.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael J. Heaney, or Robert James, AD/ CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2475 or (202) 482–0649, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On March 6, 2012, the Department published the Preliminary Results of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain preserved mushrooms from the PRC. The period of review (POR) is February 1, 2010, through January 31, 2011. The review covers five manufacturers/ exporters of subject merchandise. We analyzed (1) Blue Field (Sichuan) Food Industrial Co., Ltd. (Blue Field) and (2) Dujianyang Xingda Foodstuffs Co., Ltd. (Xingda) as mandatory respondents. Three other companies filed separate rate certifications and qualified for separate-rate status.

In the Preliminary Results, we invited parties to comment. On April 19, 2012, the Department received timely case briefs from Blue Field and from the Petitioner in this proceeding, Monterey Mushrooms, Inc. (Monterrey Mushrooms). On April 24, 2012, Blue Field and Monterey Mushrooms submitted rebuttal briefs. On June 19, 2012, we extended the final results of this administrative review by 60 days.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this review are addressed in the memorandum entitled, “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results in the Administrative Review of Certain Preserved Mushrooms from the People’s

2 Id.
3 See id. at 77 FR 13,264.
4 See id. at 77 FR 13,265–67.
5 See id. at 77 FR 13,265.
6 See id. at 77 FR 13,266–67.
7 See id. at 77 FR 13,269.
8 See April 19, 2012, letter from Shanghai Yuet Fai Commercial Consulting Co., Ltd. to Secretary of Commerce Re: Certain Preserved Mushrooms from the People’s Republic of China, Blue Field Case Brief (Blue Field Case Brief); see also April 19, 2012, Petitioner Case Brief from Kelley Drey & Warren LLP (Petitioner Case Brief).
9 See April 24, 2012, Rebuttal Brief from Shanghai Yuet Fai Commercial Consulting Co., Ltd. to Secretary of Commerce Re: Certain Preserved Mushrooms from the People’s Republic of China, Blue Field Case Brief (Blue Field Rebuttal Brief); see also April 24, 2012, Petitioner Rebuttal Brief from Kelley Drey & Warren LLP (Petitioner Rebuttal Brief).
Republic of China,” which is dated concurrently with and adopted by this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum). A list of the issues raised, and to which we respond in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, is attached to this notice as an appendix. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Import Administration’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic System (IA ACCESS). IA ACCESS is available to registered users at http://iaaccess.trade.gov and in the Central Records Unit (CRU), room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Internet at http://www.trade.gov/ia/. The signed Issues and Decision Memorandum and the electronic versions of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Scope of the Order

The products covered by this order are certain preserved mushrooms, whether imported whole, sliced, diced, or as stems and pieces. The certain preserved mushrooms covered under this order are the species Agaricus bisporus and Agaricus bitorquis. “Certain Preserved Mushrooms” refers to mushrooms that have been prepared or preserved by cleaning, blanching, and sometimes slicing or cutting. These mushrooms are then packed and heated in containers including, but not limited to, cans or glass jars in a suitable liquid medium, including, but not limited to, water, brine, butter or saltwater. Certain preserved mushrooms may be imported whole, sliced, diced, or as stems and pieces. Included within the scope of this order are “brined” mushrooms, which are presalted and packed in a heavy salt solution to provisionally preserve them for further processing.13

Excluded from the scope of this order are the following: (1) All other species of mushroom, including straw mushrooms; (2) all fresh and chilled mushrooms, including “refrigerated” or “quick blanched mushrooms;” (3) dried mushrooms; (4) frozen mushrooms; and (5) “marinated,” “acidified,” or “pickled” mushrooms, which are prepared or preserved by means of vinegar or acetic acid, but may contain oil or other additives.

The merchandise subject to this order is classifiable under subheadings: 2003.10.0127, 2003.10.0131, 2003.10.0137, 2003.10.0143, 2003.10.0147, 2003.10.0153, and 0711.51.0000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and Customs purposes, the written description of the scope of this order is dispositive.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on a review of the record and comments received from interested parties regarding our Preliminary Results, we have made the following revisions to certain surrogate values (SVs) and the margin calculation for Blue Field and Xingda.

(1) We revised our calculation of Blue Field’s land rent.12
(2) For Blue Field, we revised our calculation of the surrogate value for coal to include bituminous coal and corrected a conversion error in the calculation of that surrogate value.13
(3) We corrected two calculation errors associated with the surrogate value of water for both Blue Field and Xingda.14
(4) For Blue Field, we corrected the jar weight for one model.15

Separate Rates Determination

In the Preliminary Results, we found that (1) Ayecue (Liaocheng) Foodstuff Co., Ltd. (Ayecue), (2) Fujian Golden Banyan Foodstuffs Industrial Co., Ltd. (Golden Banyan), and (3) Shandong Jiufa demonstrated their eligibility for separate rates.16 We received no comments from interested parties on this finding. Therefore, in these final results, we continue to find that Ayecue, Golden Banyan, and Shandong Jiufa demonstrated an absence of government control, both in law and in fact, with respect to these companies’ exports of the subject merchandise. Thus, we have determined that Ayecue, Golden Banyan, and Shandong Jiufa are eligible to receive a separate rate. Consistent with our own practice and section 735(f)(5)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), respondents other than mandatory respondents have received the weighted-average of the margins calculated for those companies selected for individual review (i.e., mandatory respondents), excluding zero or de minimis margins or margins based entirely on facts available.

Final Results of the Review

The Department has determined that the following dumping margins exist for the period February 1, 2010, through January 31, 2011:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exporter</th>
<th>Weighted-average margin (percent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blue Field</td>
<td>308.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xingda</td>
<td>223.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ayecue</td>
<td>304.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden Banyan</td>
<td>304.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shandong Jiufa</td>
<td>304.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRC-wide rate*</td>
<td>198.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Includes Zhangzhou Golden Banyan Foodstuffs Industrial Co., Ltd.

Assessment Rates

The Department has determined, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries.17 The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of these final results of review.

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), we calculated exporter/importer-specific (or customer-specific) assessment rates for merchandise subject to this review. Blue Field and Xingda did not report entered values for their U.S. sales. Accordingly, we calculated a per-unit assessment rate for each importer (or customer) by dividing the total dumping margins for reviewed sales to that party by the estimated entered value that we calculated for those transactions. For duty-assessment rates calculated on this basis, we will direct CBP to assess the resulting per-unit rate against the calculated estimate of entered value of the subject merchandise.

For all shipments of subject merchandise by the PRC-wide entity entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption during the POR, we will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duty rates calculated on the basis of the PRC-wide dumping margin.

12 See Memorandum From Michael J. Heaney to the File, Re Final Analysis Memorandum For Blue Filed (Sichuan) Food Industrial Co., Ltd. (Blue Field) Certain Preserved Mushrooms from the People's Republic of China dated September 4, 2012. (Blue Field Final Analysis Memorandum) at 1.

13 See Id. at 2.

14 See Id; see also Memorandum From Michael J. Heaney to the File, Re Final Analysis for Dujiang Yang (Sichuan) Food Industrial Co., Ltd (Xingda) Certain Preserved Mushrooms from the People's Republic of China dated September 4, 2012 (Xingda Final Analysis Memorandum) at 2. See Blue Field Final Analysis Memorandum at 3.

15 See Preliminary Results, 77 FR at 13266–13267.


13 On June 19, 2000, the Department affirmed that “marinated,” “acidified,” or “pickled” mushrooms containing less than 0.5 percent acetic acid are within the scope of the antidumping duty order. See Memorandum From Memorandum-Final Ruling of Request by Tak Fat, et al. for Exclusion of Certain Marinated, Acidified Mushrooms from the Scope of the Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Preserved Mushrooms from the People’s Republic of China, dated June 19, 2000. On February 9, 2005, the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit upheld this decision. See Tak Fat v. United States, 396 F.3d 1378 (Fed. Cir. 2005).
and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing this administrative review and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Ronald K. Lorentzen,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Appendix

Comment 1: FOP Database Used to Calculate Bluefield’s Normal Value

Comment 2: Calculation of Land Rent

Comment 3: Valuation of Rice Straw

Comment 4: Valuation of Manure

Comment 5: Use of Indian Surrogate Values to Value Manure and Straw

Comment 6: Valuation of Coal

Comment 7: Valuation of Water

[FR Doc. 2012–22353 Filed 9–10–12; 8:45 am]
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ACTION: Notice of an open meeting.

SUMMARY: The Manufacturing Council will hold a meeting to hear updates from the Department of Commerce in addition to the Council's ex-officio members, the Secretaries of Energy, Labor, and the Treasury (or their designees) on the Government response to past Council recommendations. At the meeting, the Board will hear and deliberate on proposed recommendations to be presented by the Workforce Development subcommittee. The Board members also will summarize all recommendations adopted throughout their 2010–2012 appointment term in a final presentation to the Secretary of Commerce.

DATES: September 28, 2012 9:30 a.m.–11:30 a.m. Eastern Daylight Time (EDT).

As specified below, registration and any requests for auxiliary aids should be submitted no later than September 21, 2012.

ADDRESSES: U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Room 4830, Washington, DC.

Registration and any requests for auxiliary aids should be submitted to Jennifer Pilat, the Manufacturing Council, Room 4043, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone 202–482–4501.

OACIE@trade.gov. Last minute requests will be accepted, but may be impossible to fill.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jennifer Pilat, the Manufacturing Council, Room 4043, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC, 20230, telephone: 202–482–4501, email: OACIE@trade.gov

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background: The Council was re-chartered on April 5, 2012 to advise the Secretary of Commerce on matters relating to the U.S. manufacturing industry. This will be the final meeting of the current members and will review past recommendations, the Government responses to those recommendations, and deliberate on proposed new recommendations to be presented by the Workforce Development subcommittee. The Department will publish in the near future a separate notice soliciting nominations for new appointments.

All guests are required to register in advance. This program will be physically accessible to people with disabilities. Seating is limited and will be on a first come, first served basis. As noted above, registration and any requests for auxiliary aids should be submitted no later than September 21, 2012, to Jennifer Pilat, the Manufacturing Council, Room 4043, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone 202–482–4501. OACIE@trade.gov. Last minute requests will be accepted, but may be impossible to fill.

While members of the public are welcome to attend the meeting, there will not be sufficient time available for oral comments from members of the public. Any member of the public may submit pertinent written comments at any time before or after the meeting. Comments may be submitted to Jennifer Pilat at the contact information indicated above. To be considered during the meeting, comments must be received no later than 5 p.m. Eastern Time on September 21, 2012, to ensure transmission to the Council prior to the meeting. Comments received after that date will be distributed to the members but may not be considered at the meeting.

Copies of Council meeting minutes will be available within 90 days of the meeting.

Dated: September 5, 2012.

Jennifer Pilat.
Executive Secretary, The Manufacturing Council.

[FR Doc. 2012–22227 Filed 9–10–12; 8:45 am]
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