

**DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION****34 CFR Part 36**

RIN 1801-AA12

**Adjustment of Civil Monetary Penalties for Inflation****AGENCY:** Department of Education.**ACTION:** Final regulations.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Education (Department) issues these final regulations to adjust the Department's civil monetary penalties (CMPs) for inflation, as required by the Federal Civil Penalties Inflation Adjustment Act of 1990.

**DATES:** These regulations are effective October 2, 2012.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Peter Wathen-Dunn, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue SW., Room 6E207, Washington, DC 20202-2241. Telephone: (202) 401-8300.

If you use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) or a text telephone (TTY), call the Federal Relay Service (FRS), toll free, at 1-800-877-8339.

Individuals with disabilities can obtain this document in an accessible format (e.g., Braille, large print, audiotape, or compact disc) on request to the contact person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****Background**

The Federal Civil Penalties Inflation Adjustment Act of 1990 (Inflation Adjustment Act) (28 U.S.C. 2461 note) provides for the regular evaluation of civil monetary penalties (CMPs) to ensure that they continue to maintain their deterrent value. The Inflation Adjustment Act requires that each agency issue regulations to adjust its CMPs beginning in 1996 and at least every four years thereafter. The Department published its most recent cost adjustment to each CMP in the **Federal Register** on January 4, 2005 (70 FR 297), and those adjustments became effective on the date of publication. The Department previously adjusted its CMPs in 2002. It has been more than four years since the last adjustment. Accordingly, the Department is now making the necessary adjustments.

A CMP is defined in the statute as any penalty, fine, or other sanction that is (1) for a specific monetary amount as provided by Federal law, or has a maximum amount provided for by Federal law; (2) assessed or enforced by an agency pursuant to Federal law; and

(3) assessed or enforced pursuant to an administrative proceeding or a civil action in the Federal courts.

The formula for the amount of a CMP inflation adjustment is prescribed by law and is not subject to the exercise of discretion by the Secretary of Education (Secretary). The adjustment reflects the percentage increase in the Consumer Price Index for all urban consumers (CPI-U) published by the Department of Labor from June of the calendar year in which the amount was last adjusted, to June of the calendar year preceding the adjustment. The Inflation Adjustment Act also requires agencies to round the inflation adjustment based on the amount of the penalty when last adjusted.

- For penalties greater than \$100 but less than or equal to \$1,000, the adjusted amount must be rounded to the nearest \$100.

- For penalties greater than \$1,000 but less than or equal to \$10,000, the adjusted amount must be rounded to the nearest \$1,000.

- For penalties greater than \$10,000 but less than or equal to \$100,000, the adjusted amount must be rounded to the nearest \$5,000.

- For penalties greater than \$100,000 but less than or equal to \$200,000, the adjusted amount must be rounded to the nearest \$10,000.

- For penalties greater than \$200,000, the adjusted amount must be rounded to the nearest \$25,000.

**The Department's Civil Monetary Penalties**

The following analysis calculates new civil monetary penalties for penalty statutes in the order in which they appear in 34 CFR 36.2. The Inflation Adjustment Act provides that adjustments to an agency's CMPs apply only to violations that occur after the effective date of the adjustments. These regulations become effective upon publication in the **Federal Register**. Therefore, the adjustments made by this amendment to the Department's CMPs apply only to violations that occur after the date these regulations are published in the **Federal Register**.

*Statute:* 20 U.S.C. 1015(c)(5).

*Current Regulations:* The CMP for 20 U.S.C. 1015(c)(5) [Section 131(c)(5) of the Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended (HEA)], as last adjusted in 2005, is a fine of up to \$27,500 for failure by an IHE to provide information on the cost of higher education to the Commissioner of Education Statistics.

*New Regulations:* The new penalty for this section is \$30,000.

*Reason:* This CMP was last adjusted in 2005. Therefore, the inflation

adjustment is the percentage change in the CPI-U from June 2005 (194.5) through June 2011 (225.722), or 16%. The new penalty is calculated as follows:  $\$27,500 \times 16\% = \$4,400$ , which increases the penalty, when rounded to the nearest \$5,000, to \$30,000.

*Statute:* 20 U.S.C. 1027(f)(3)(now 20 U.S.C. 1022d(a)(3)).

*Current Regulations:* The CMP for 20 U.S.C. 1027(f)(3) [Section 207(f)(3) of the HEA], as last adjusted in 2005, provides for a fine of up to \$27,500 for failure by an IHE to provide information to the State and the public regarding its teacher-preparation programs.

*New Regulations:* The new penalty for this section is \$30,000.

*Reason:* In 2008, Congress amended the HEA, redesignating this CMP as section 205(a)(3) of the HEA (20 U.S.C. 1022d(a)(3)) and setting the fine at \$27,500. Because Congress reauthorized this penalty in 2008, the inflation adjustment for 20 U.S.C. 1022d(a)(3) is the percentage change in the CPI-U from June 2008 (218.815) through June 2011 (225.722), or 3.2%. The new penalty is calculated as follows:  $\$27,500 \times 3.2\% = \$880$ , which increases the penalty, when rounded to the nearest \$5,000, to \$30,000.

*Statute:* 20 U.S.C. 1082(g).

*Current Regulations:* The CMP for 20 U.S.C. 1082(g) [Section 432(g) of the HEA], as last adjusted in 2002, provides for a fine of up to \$27,500 for violations by lenders and guaranty agencies of Title IV of the HEA, which authorizes the Federal Family Education Loan Program.

*New Regulation:* The new penalty for this section is \$35,000.

*Reason:* This CMP was last adjusted in 2002. Therefore, the inflation adjustment is the percentage change in the CPI-U from June 2002 (179.9) through June 2011 (225.722), or 25.5%. The new penalty is calculated as follows:  $\$27,500 \times 25.5\% = \$7,012.5$ , which increases the penalty, when rounded to the nearest \$5,000, to \$35,000.

*Statute:* 20 U.S.C. 1094(c)(3)(B).

*Current Regulations:* The CMP for 20 U.S.C. 1094(c)(3)(B) [Section 487(c)(3)(B) of the HEA], as last adjusted in 2002, provides for a fine of up to \$27,500 for an IHE's violation of Title IV of the HEA or its implementing regulations. Title IV authorizes various programs of student financial assistance.

*New Regulations:* The new penalty for this section is \$35,000.

*Reason:* This CMP was last adjusted in 2002. Therefore, the inflation adjustment is the percentage change in the CPI-U from June 2002 (179.9)

through June 2011 (225.722), or 25.5%. The new penalty is calculated as follows:  $\$27,500 \times 25.5\% = \$7,012.5$ , which increases the penalty, when rounded to the nearest \$5,000, to \$35,000.

*Statute:* 20 U.S.C. 1228c(c)(2)(E).

*Current Regulations:* None.

*New Regulations:* The new penalty for this section is \$1,100.

*Reason:* The CMP for 20 U.S.C. 1228c(c)(2)(E) [Section 429 of the General Education Provisions Act] was established in 1994 and has not been adjusted. It provides a penalty of up to \$1,000 for an educational organization's failure to disclose certain information to minor students and their parents.

The Inflation Adjustment Act was passed in 1990 and required agencies to first adjust their CMPs in 1996.

Although the percentage change in the CPI-U from June 1994 through June 2011 is greater than 10%, the 1996 statute that amended the Inflation Adjustment Act also limited the first adjustment of a CMP to no more than 10 percent of the original penalty. Because the Department has never adjusted the CMP for 20 U.S.C. 1228c(c)(2)(E), the Department is limited to a maximum inflation adjustment of 10%, rounded to the nearest \$100. The new penalty is calculated as follows:  $\$1,000 \times 10\%$ , which increases the penalty, when rounded to the nearest \$100, to \$1,100.

*Statute:* 31 U.S.C. 1352(c)(1) and (c)(2)(A).

*Current Regulations:* The CMPs for 31 U.S.C. 1352(c)(1) and (c)(2)(A), as last adjusted in 2002, provide for a fine of \$11,000 to \$110,000 for recipients of Government grants, contracts, etc. that improperly lobby Congress or the Executive Branch with respect to the award of Government grants and contracts.

*New Regulations:* The new penalties for these sections are \$15,000 to \$140,000.

*Reason:* These CMPs were last adjusted in 2002. Therefore, the inflation adjustment is the percentage change in the CPI-U from June 2002 (179.9) through June 2011 (225.722), or 25.5%. The new penalties are calculated as follows: For the minimum fine of \$11,000,  $\$11,000 \times 25.5\% = \$2,805$ , which increases the minimum penalty, when rounded to the nearest \$5,000, to \$15,000. For the maximum penalty of \$110,000,  $\$110,000 \times 25.5\% = \$28,050$ , which increases the maximum penalty, when rounded to the nearest \$10,000, to \$140,000.

*Statute:* 31 U.S.C. 3802(a)(1) and (a)(2).

*Current Regulations:* The CMPs for 31 U.S.C. 3802(a)(1) and (a)(2), as last adjusted in 2002, provide for a fine of up to \$5,500 for false claims and statements made to the Government.

*New Regulations:* The new penalty for this section is \$7,000.

*Reason:* This CMP was last adjusted in 2002. Therefore, the inflation adjustment is the percentage change in the CPI-U from June 2002 (179.9) through June 2011 (225.722), or 25.5%. The new penalty is calculated as follows:  $\$5,500 \times 25.5\% = \$1,402$ , which increases the penalty, when rounded to the nearest \$1,000, to \$7,000.

### Executive Orders 12866 and 13563

#### Regulatory Impact Analysis

Under Executive Order 12866, the Secretary must determine whether this regulatory action is "significant" and, therefore, subject to the requirements of the Executive order and subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). Section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866 defines a significant regulatory action as an action likely to result in a rule that may—

(1) Have an annual effect on the economy of \$100 million or more, or adversely affect a sector of the economy; productivity; competition; jobs; the environment; public health or safety; or State, local, or tribal governments or communities in a material way (also referred to as "economically significant" regulations);

(2) Create serious inconsistency or otherwise interfere with an action taken or planned by another agency;

(3) Materially alter the budgetary impacts of entitlement grants, user fees, or loan programs or the rights and obligations of recipients thereof; or

(4) Raise novel legal or policy issues arising out of legal mandates, the President's priorities, or the principles stated in the Executive order.

Based on the number and amount of penalties imposed under the CMPs amended in this final regulation, we have determined that this final regulatory action will have none of the economic impacts described under the Executive order. These final regulations are required by statute, are not at the Secretary's discretion, and, accordingly, do not have any of the policy impacts described under the Executive order. Because this final regulatory action is not a significant regulatory action, it is not subject to review by OMB under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866.

We have also reviewed these regulations under Executive Order 13563, which supplements and

explicitly reaffirms the principles, structures, and definitions governing regulatory review established in Executive Order 12866. To the extent permitted by law, Executive Order 13563 requires that an agency—

(1) Propose or adopt regulations only upon a reasoned determination that their benefits justify their costs (recognizing that some benefits and costs are difficult to quantify);

(2) Tailor its regulations to impose the least burden on society, consistent with obtaining regulatory objectives and taking into account, among other things, and to the extent practicable, the costs of cumulative regulations;

(3) In choosing among alternative regulatory approaches, select those approaches that maximize net benefits (including potential economic, environmental, public health and safety, and other advantages; distributive impacts; and equity);

(4) To the extent feasible, specify performance objectives, rather than the behavior or manner of compliance a regulated entity must adopt; and

(5) Identify and assess available alternatives to direct regulation, including economic incentives—such as user fees or marketable permits—to encourage the desired behavior, or providing information that enables the public to make choices.

Executive Order 13563 also requires an agency "to use the best available techniques to quantify anticipated present and future benefits and costs as accurately as possible." The Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs of OMB has emphasized that these techniques may include "identifying changing future compliance costs that might result from technological innovation or anticipated behavioral changes."

We are issuing these final regulations as required by statute. The Secretary has no discretion to consider alternative approaches as delineated in the Executive order. Based on this analysis and the reasons stated in the preamble, the Department believes that these final regulations are consistent with the principles in Executive Order 13563.

### Waiver of Rulemaking and Delayed Effective Date

Under the Administrative Procedure Act (APA) (5 U.S.C. 553), the Department generally offers interested parties the opportunity to comment on proposed regulations. However, the APA provides that an agency is not required to conduct notice- and-comment rulemaking when the agency, for good cause, finds that notice and public comment thereon are

impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest (5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B)). There is good cause to waive rulemaking here as unnecessary.

Rulemaking is “unnecessary” when the agency is issuing a minor rule in which the public is not particularly interested. It applies in those situations in which “the administrative rule is a routine determination, insignificant in nature and impact, and inconsequential to the industry and to the public.”

*Utility Solid Waste Activities Group v. EPA*, 236 F.3d 749, 755 (D.C. Cir. 2001), quoting U.S. Department of Justice, *Attorney General’s Manual on the Administrative Procedure Act* 31 (1947) and *South Carolina v. Block*, 558 F. Supp. 1004, 1016 (D.S.C. 1983).

These regulations merely implement the statutory mandate to adjust CMPs for inflation. The regulations reflect administrative computations performed by the Department as prescribed by the statute and do not establish or affect substantive policy. The Secretary has no discretion in determining the new penalties.

The APA also generally requires that regulations be published at least 30 days before their effective date, unless the agency has good cause to implement its regulations sooner (5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3)). Again, because these final regulations merely implement non-discretionary administrative computations, there is good cause to make them effective on the day they are published.

**Regulatory Flexibility Act Certification**

The Secretary certifies that these regulations will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial

number of small entities. The formula for the amount of the inflation adjustments is prescribed by statute and is not subject to the Secretary’s discretion. These CMPs are infrequently imposed by the Secretary, and the regulations do not involve any special considerations that might affect the imposition of CMPs on small entities.

**Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995**

These regulations do not contain any information collection requirements.

**Intergovernmental Review**

This program is not subject to Executive Order 12372 and the regulations in 34 CFR part 79.

**Assessment of Educational Impact**

Based on our own review, we have determined that these final regulations do not require transmission of information that any other agency or authority of the United States gathers or makes available.

*Electronic Access to This Document:*

The official version of this document is the document published in the **Federal Register**. Free Internet access to the official edition of the **Federal Register** and the Code of Federal Regulations is available via the Federal Digital System at: [www.gpo.gov/fdsys](http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys). At this site you can view this document, as well as all other documents of this Department published in the **Federal Register**, in text or Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF). To use PDF you must have Adobe Acrobat Reader, which is available free at the site.

You may also access documents of the Department published in the **Federal**

**Register** by using the article search feature at: [www.federalregister.gov](http://www.federalregister.gov). Specifically, through the advanced search feature at this site, you can limit your search to documents published by the Department.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number does not apply)

**List of Subjects in 34 CFR Part 36**

Claims, Fraud, Penalties.

Dated: September 27, 2012.

**Arne Duncan,**

*Secretary of Education.*

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Secretary amends part 36 in title 34 of the Code of Federal Regulations as follows:

**PART 36—ADJUSTMENT OF CIVIL MONETARY PENALTIES FOR INFLATION**

■ 1. The authority citation for part 36 is revised to read as follows:

**Authority:** 20 U.S.C. 1221e–3 and 3474; 28 U.S.C. 2461 note, unless otherwise noted.

■ 2. The authority citation for § 36.1 is revised to read as follows:

**§ 36.2 Purpose.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(Authority: 20 U.S.C. 1221e–3 and 3474; 28 U.S.C. 2461 note, unless otherwise noted)

■ 3. Section 36.2 is amended by revising Table I and the authority citation to read as follows:

**§ 36.2 Penalty adjustment.**

\* \* \* \* \*

TABLE I, SECTION 36.2—CIVIL MONETARY PENALTY INFLATION ADJUSTMENTS

Statute	Description	New maximum (and minimum, if applicable) penalty amount
20 U.S.C. 1015(c)(5) (Section 131(c)(5) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (HEA)).	Provides for a fine, as last adjusted, of up to \$27,500 for failure by an institute of higher education to provide information on the cost of higher education to the Commissioner of Education Statistics.	\$30,000.
20 U.S.C. 1022d(a)(3) (Section 205(a)(3) of the HEA) .....	Provides for a fine, as set by Congress in 2008, of up to \$27,500 for failure by an IHE to provide information to the State and the public regarding its teacher-preparation programs.	\$30,000.
20 U.S.C. 1082(g) (Section 432(g) of the HEA) .....	Provides for a civil penalty, as last adjusted, of up to \$27,500 for violations by lenders and guaranty agencies of Title IV of the HEA, which authorizes the Federal Family Education Loan Program.	\$35,000.
20 U.S.C. 1094(c)(3)(B) (Section 487(c)(3)(B) of the HEA) .....	Provides for a civil penalty, as last adjusted, of up to \$27,500 for an IHE’s violation of Title IV of the HEA, which authorizes various programs of student financial assistance.	\$35,000.
20 U.S.C. 1228c(c)(2)(E) (Section 429 of the General Education Provisions Act).	Provides for a civil penalty of up to \$1,000 for an educational organization’s failure to disclose certain information to minor students and their parents.	\$1,100.

TABLE I, SECTION 36.2—CIVIL MONETARY PENALTY INFLATION ADJUSTMENTS—Continued

Statute	Description	New maximum (and minimum, if applicable) penalty amount
31 U.S.C. 1352(c)(1) and (c)(2)(A) .....	Provides for a civil penalty, as last adjusted, of \$11,000 to \$110,000 for recipients of Government grants, contracts, etc. that improperly lobby Congress or the Executive Branch with respect to the award of Government grants and contracts.	\$15,000 to \$140,000.
31 U.S.C. 3802(a)(1) and (a)(2) .....	Provides for a civil penalty, as last adjusted, of up to \$5,500 for false claims and statements made to the Government.	\$7,000.

(Authority: 20 U.S.C. 1221e-3 and 3474; 28 U.S.C. 2461 note, unless otherwise noted)  
 [FR Doc. 2012-24248 Filed 10-1-12; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 4000-01-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

**National Park Service**

**36 CFR Part 7**

[NPS-SAGU-10884; 8671-0004-SZM]

RIN 1024-AE08

**Special Regulations; Areas of the National Park System, Saguaro National Park, Bicycling**

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This rule designates the Hope Camp Trail as a route for bicycle use and allows for management of bicycle use within Saguaro National Park. Further, the rule meets the provision of the National Park Service general regulation pertaining to bicycles requiring promulgation of a special regulation to designate bicycle routes outside of developed areas.

**DATES:** This rule is effective November 1, 2012.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Darla Sidles, Superintendent, Saguaro National Park, (520) 733-5101.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

*Legislation and Purposes of Saguaro National Park*

In 1933, in order to protect lands with exceptional growth of various species of cacti, including the so-called giant or saguaro cactus, President Herbert Hoover established what would later be known as Saguaro National Monument through Proclamation No. 2032 (47 Stat. 2557). In 1961 President John F. Kennedy enlarged the national monument to include certain lands in what was then known as the Tucson

Mountain Park through Proclamation No. 3439 (76 Stat. 1437). In 1976 Congress designated 71,400 acres of the national monument as wilderness (Pub. L. 94-567, 90 Stat. 2692, 2693). Then, in 1991, through the Saguaro National Monument Expansion Act of 1991, Congress authorized the addition of approximately 3,540 acres of lands to the Rincon Unit of the national monument (Pub. L. 102-61, 105 Stat. 303). Finally in 1994, through the Saguaro National Park Establishment Act of 1994, Congress again expanded the park area and renamed it Saguaro National Park (Park) (Pub. L. 103-364, 108 Stat. 3467, codified at 16 U.S.C. 410zz through 410zz-3).

The Park is an important national resource visited by approximately 700,000 people annually. It encompasses approximately 91,450 acres, 71,400 acres of which are designated as wilderness. The Park has two Districts—the Rincon Mountain District east of Tucson and the Tucson Mountain District west of Tucson. Both are within Pima County, Arizona, and are separated by the city of Tucson. The Park protects a superb example of the Sonoran Desert ecosystem, featuring exceptional stands of saguaro cacti. The saguaro is the tallest cactus in the United States, and is recognized worldwide as an icon of the American Southwest.

The Hope Camp Trail is a 2.8-mile-long hiking and equestrian trail that originates at the Loma Alta Trailhead and travels east through the southwestern portion of the Park's Rincon Mountain District to the Arizona State Trust Lands boundary beyond Hope Camp. The trail generally traverses relatively even terrain and rolling hills and is lined with a variety and abundance of desert trees and shrubs. The trail is not within eligible, proposed, recommended, or designated wilderness.

Prior to the National Park Service (NPS) acquisition in the mid 1990s, the land was part of a privately-owned ranch, and the trail route was a graded

dirt road used to support ranching operations. The former owner also allowed the route to be used for recreational purposes, including hiking, equestrian, and bicycle use. Shortly after acquiring the land, the NPS closed the route to motor vehicles and bicycles. The trail is currently open to hiker and equestrian use only. Although closed to vehicular traffic, the route remains approximately 14 feet wide, allowing adequate room for two-way passage of diverse user groups.

**General Management Plan**

The Park's General Management Plan/ Environmental Impact Statement (GMP) was completed in 2008. The GMP may be viewed online at <http://parkplanning.nps.gov/sagu>.

The purposes of the GMP are as follows:

- Confirm the purpose, significance, and special mandates of the Park.
- Clearly define resource conditions and visitor uses and experiences to be achieved at the Park.
- Provide a framework for NPS managers to use when making decisions about how to:
  - Best protect Park resources;
  - Provide quality visitor uses and experiences; and
  - Manage visitor uses and what kinds of facilities, if any, to develop in/near the Park.
- Ensure that a foundation for decision making has been developed in consultation with interested stakeholders and adopted by NPS leadership after an adequate analysis of the benefits, impacts, and economic cost of alternative courses of action.

The GMP identifies six different management zones, which are specific descriptions of desired conditions for Park resources and visitor experiences in different areas of the Park. As identified in the GMP, the Hope Camp Trail lies within the Natural Zone.

Under the GMP, activities within the Natural Zone would include hiking, horseback riding, running, bicycling, and viewing flora and fauna. The zone