individuals wishing to comment and time available, the time for individual oral comments may be limited.

Dave Evans,  
District Manager, Farmington.  
[FR Doc. 2012–24484 Filed 10–3–12; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 4310–VB–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731–TA–1104 (Review)]  
Certain Polyester Staple Fiber From China  
Determination

On the basis of the record ¹ developed in the subject five-year review, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), that revocation of the antidumping duty order on certain polyester staple fiber from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.²

Background

The Commission instituted this review on May 1, 2012 (77 FR 25744) and determined on August 6, 2012 that it would conduct an expedited review (77 FR 50530, August 21, 2012).


By order of the Commission.  
William R. Bishop,  
Hearings and Meetings Coordinator.  
[FR Doc. 2012–24495 Filed 10–3–12; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–794]  
Certain Electronic Devices, Including Wireless Communication Devices, Portable Music and Data Processing Devices, and Tablet Computers; Notice of Request for Statements on the Public Interest

ACTION: Notice.  
SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the presiding administrative law judge has issued a Final Initial Determination and Recommended Determination on Remedy and Bonding in the above-captioned investigation. The Commission is soliciting comments on public interest issues raised by the recommended relief, specifically a limited exclusion order against certain infringing electronic devices, including wireless communication devices, portable music and data processing devices, and tablet computers, imported by Apple Inc. of Cupertino, California.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:  

General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://www.usitc.gov). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 provides that if the Commission finds a violation it shall exclude the articles concerned from the United States:

Unless, after considering the effect of such exclusion upon the public health and welfare, competitive conditions in the United States economy, the production of like or directly competitive articles in the United States, and United States consumers, it finds that such articles should not be excluded from entry.


The Commission is interested in further development of the record on the public interest in these investigations. Accordingly, members of the public are invited to file submissions of no more than five (5) pages, inclusive of attachments, concerning the public interest in light of the administrative law judge’s Recommended Determination on Remedy and Bonding issued in this investigation on September 14, 2012. Comments should address whether issuance of a limited exclusion order in this investigation would affect the public health and welfare in the United States, competitive conditions in the United States economy, the production of like or directly competitive articles in the United States, or United States consumers.

In particular, the Commission is interested in comments that:

(i) Explain how the articles potentially subject to the recommended orders are used in the United States;
(ii) Identify any public health, safety, or welfare concerns in the United States relating to the recommended orders;
(iii) Identify like or directly competitive articles that complainant, its licensees, or third parties make in the United States which could replace the subject articles if they were to be excluded;
(iv) Indicate whether complainant, complainant’s licensees, and/or third party suppliers have the capacity to replace the volume of articles potentially subject to the recommended exclusion order and/or a cease and desist order within a commercially reasonable time; and
(v) Explain how the limited exclusion order would impact consumers in the United States.

Written submissions must be filed no later than by close of business on October 22, 2012.

Persons filing written submissions must file the original document electronically on or before the deadlines stated above and submit 8 true paper copies to the Office of the Secretary by noon the next day pursuant to section 210.4(f) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.4(f)). Submissions should refer to the investigation number ("Inv. No. 794") in a prominent place on the cover page and/or the first page. (See Handbook for Electronic Filing Procedures, http://www.usitc.gov/
Comments: Comments may be submitted electronically (using the Internet server at http://www.usitc.gov) or in writing (using the TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810). Persons with questions regarding filing may contact the Commission’s TDD. Issues: Certain Semiconductor Integrated Circuit Devices and Products Containing Same; Notice of Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation in Its Entirety Based on a Settlement Agreement; Termination of Investigation.


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge’s (“ALJ”) initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 13) granting a joint motion to terminate the investigation in its entirety based on a settlement agreement. The investigation is terminated.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Megan M. Valentine, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708–2301. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at http://www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on May 1, 2012, based on a complaint filed by Microchip Technology Incorporated of Chandler, Arizona (“Microchip”). 77 FR 25747–48 (May 1, 2012). The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the importation of the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain semiconductor integrated circuit devices and products containing same by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,225,088; 6,245,597; 6,159,765; 5,760,720 (“the ‘720 patent”); 6,559,783; and 6,847,904. The complaint further alleges the existence of a domestic industry. The Commission’s notice of investigation named as respondents Intersil Corporation of Milpitas, California; Zilker Labs, Inc. of Austin, Texas (“Zilker”); and Techwell LLC of Milpitas, California. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations was not named as a participating party. The Commission later amended the Notice of Investigation to change the name of respondent Zilker to Zilker Labs LLC and to amend the Complaint to correct a clerical error concerning which alleged Microchip domestic industry product practices the ‘720 patent. See Notice (June 27, 2012); Order No. 7.

On September 6, 2012, Microchip and the respondents filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation in its entirety based on a settlement agreement and to stay the investigation pending disposition of the motion to terminate. On September 7, 2012, the ALJ issued the subject ID, granting the joint motion to terminate the investigation pursuant to section 210.21 (b)(1) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.21 (b)(1)). The ALJ found the issue of staying the investigation moot in light of the grant of termination. No petitions for review of this ID were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the ID. The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in section 210.42 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42).


William R. Bishop, Hearings and Meetings Coordinator.

[FR Doc. 2012–24500 Filed 10–3–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P