your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your communication to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.


Brent Rhodes,
Deputy Regional Director—Upper Colorado Region, Bureau of Reclamation.

[FR Doc. 2012–26912 Filed 11–2–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–MN–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–822]

Certain Integrated Circuits, Chipsets, and Products Containing Same Including Televisions; Notice of Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation; Termination of Investigation


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge’s (“ALJ”) initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 18) granting a motion of respondents to terminate the investigation in its entirety. The investigation is terminated.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Megan M. Valentine, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708–2301. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at http://www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on January 10, 2012, based on a complaint filed by Freescale Semiconductor, Inc. of Austin, Texas (“Freescale”), alleging violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337) in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain integrated circuits, chipsets, and products containing same including televisions by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 5,467,455 (“the ‘455 patent”). 77 FR 1305–6 (Jan. 10, 2012). The Notice of Investigation named the following as respondents: MediaTek Inc. of Hsinchu City, Taiwan; Zoran Corporation of Sunnyvale, California; Vizio, Inc. of Irvine, California; Sanyo Electric Co., Ltd. of Osaka, Japan; Sanyo North America Corporation of San Diego, California; Sanyo Manufacturing Corporation of Forrest City, Arizona; TPV Technology Limited of Hong Kong, China; TPV International (USA) Inc. of Austin, Texas; Top Victory Electronics (Taiwan) Co., of Zhounghe City, Taiwan; Top Victory Electronics (Fujian) Co., Ltd. of Fuzing City, China; AOC International (USA) Ltd. of Fremont, California (“AOC”); Envision Peripherals, Inc. of Fremont, California; Amtran Technology Co., Ltd. of Xinbei City, Taiwan; and Amtran Logistics, Inc. of Irvine, California. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations was named as a party. The Commission later terminated AOC from the investigation. See Notice (Mar. 21, 2012).

On July 20, 2012, several of the respondents collectively filed a motion to stay the procedural schedule pending the completion of Certain Integrated Circuits, Chipsets, and Products Containing Same Including Televisions, Inv. No. 337–TA–786. On August 6, 2012, the ALJ issued Order No. 17, granting the motion. On September 12, 2012, the Commission terminated Inv. No. 337–TA–786, finding no violation and further finding that the asserted claims of the ‘455 patent are invalid as obvious. See 77 FR 57589–90 (Sept. 18, 2012). On September 18, 2012, respondents filed a motion to terminate this investigation pursuant to Commission Rule 210.21(a). Respondents argued that no further proceedings are appropriate or necessary in light of the Commission’s finding of invalidity concerning the ‘455 patent in Inv. No. 337–TA–786. The motion indicated that the Commission investigative attorney did not oppose. On September 27, 2012, Freescale filed a response stating that the Commission’s determination in Inv. No. 337–TA–786 that the ‘455 is invalid renders its claims in this investigation moot and, as such, it did not oppose the motion to terminate.

On September 28, 2012, the ALJ issued the subject ID, granting respondents’ motion to terminate for good cause pursuant to section 210.21(a) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.21(a)). No petitions for review of the subject ID were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the ID. The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in section 210.42 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42).


By order of the Commission.

Lisa R. Barton,
Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2012–26896 Filed 11–2–12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

Hearings of the Judicial Conference Advisory Committee on Rules of Civil Procedure

AGENCY: Judicial Conference of the United States, Advisory Committee on Rules of Civil Procedure.

ACTION: Notice of cancellation and rescheduling of meeting.

Changes in the Meeting: Due to emergency weather and travel conditions, the meeting of the Advisory Committee on Rules of Civil Procedure scheduled for Thursday, November 1 and Friday, November 2, 2012 has been rescheduled to take place on Friday, November 2, 2012 at 11:00 a.m. in the Mecham Conference Center at the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts, Washington, DC. Certain committee members will participate by videoconference. All members of the public who are unable to come to the Mecham Center may contact the Rules Committee Support Office to make arrangements to attend the meeting via teleconference. The meeting was previously announced in the Federal Register at 77 FR 12077, February 28, 2012.

ADDRESSES: The Mecham Conference Center is located on the first floor of the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts at 1 Columbus Circle NE., Washington, DC 20544.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jonathan C. Rose, Chief Rules Officer,
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act

On October 26, 2012, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed Consent Decree with the United States District Court for the Central District of California in the lawsuit entitled United States et al. v. Seachrome Corp., et al., Civil Action No. 2:02-cv-4565 ABC (Rcx).

In Seachrome, the United States of America ("United States"), on behalf of the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), and the California Department of Toxic Substances Control ("Department"), filed a complaint pursuant to Section 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9607, seeking reimbursement of response costs incurred or to be incurred for response actions taken in connection with the release or threatened release of hazardous substances at the South El Monte Operable Unit of the San Gabriel Valley Area 1 Superfund Site in South El Monte, Los Angeles County, California (the "South El Monte O.U."). Under the proposed Consent Decree, TDY Industries, LLC; Allegheny Technologies Incorporated; and TDY Holdings, LLC, (collectively "TDY") will pay a total of about $1.8 million. In exchange for the payment, the plaintiffs covenant not to sue TDY under Section 106 or 107 of CERCLA with respect to past response costs, the interim remedy for volatile organic compounds, or for perchlorate.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, and should refer to United States et al. v. Seachrome Corp., et al., D.J. Ref. No. 90–11–2–09121/5. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

To submit comments: Send them to:

By email … pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov.
By mail …. Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ–ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

During the public comment period, the Consent Decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department Web site: http://www.usdoj.gov/enrd/Consent_Decrees.html. We will provide a paper copy of the Consent Decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ–ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for $41.50 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury. For a paper copy without the exhibits and signature pages, the cost is $5.50.

Henry S. Friedman,
Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Federal-State Unemployment Compensation Program: Certifications for 2012 Under the Federal Unemployment Tax Act

AGENCY: Employment and Training Administration, Labor.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Secretary of Labor signed the annual certifications under the Federal Unemployment Tax Act, 26 U.S.C. 3301 et seq., thereby enabling employers who make contributions to state unemployment funds to obtain certain credits against their liability for the federal unemployment tax. By letter, the certifications were transmitted to the Secretary of the Treasury. The letter and certifications are printed below.