measures related to prong 3 of section 110(a)(2)(D)(i); specifically, the PM$_{2.5}$ PSD Increment-SILs-SMC Rule (only as it relates to PM$_{2.5}$ increments). In this letter, Tennessee described how the State has already scheduled a public hearing/comment period and anticipates providing a final version as soon as possible after the public hearing to be scheduled on or before December 4, 2012. Consistent with section 110(k)(4) of the Act, EPA is relying upon this commitment by Tennessee to address the PM$_{2.5}$ PSD Increment-SILs-SMC Rule (only as it relates to PM$_{2.5}$ increments) as the basis for conditionally approving Tennessee’s infrastructure SIP as it relates to prong 3 of section 110(a)(2)(D)(i). If Tennessee fails to submit these revisions within one year from the date of conditional approval, today’s proposed conditional approval will automatically become a disapproval on that date and EPA will issue a finding of disapproval. EPA is not required to propose the finding of disapproval. If the conditional approval is converted to a disapproval, the final disapproval triggers the Federal Implementation Plan requirement under section 110(c). However, if the State meets its commitment within the applicable timeframe, the conditionally approved submission will remain a part of the SIP until EPA takes final action approving or disapproving the new submittal.

Kentucky, North Carolina and Tennessee have, or will have pending the commitments described above, demonstrated that major sources in each state are subject to PSD permitting program to comply with the prong 3 of section 110(a)(2)(D)(i) of the CAA for the PM$_{2.5}$ NAAQS. Therefore EPA has made the preliminary determination to conditionally approve that Kentucky, North Carolina and Tennessee’s SIP and practices are adequate for insuring compliance with the applicable PSD requirements relating to interstate transport pollution for the 1997 and 2006 PM$_{2.5}$ NAAQS.

IV. Proposed Action

As described above, EPA is proposing to conditionally approve the Kentucky, North Carolina and Tennessee infrastructure SIP submissions as addressing prong 3 of section 110(a)(2)(D)(i) of the CAA for both the 1997 and 2006 PM$_{2.5}$ NAAQS. Specifically, EPA is proposing to conditionally approve the portion of the States’ infrastructure SIP section 110(a)(2)(D)(i) submissions as they relate to provisions prohibiting emissions that interfere with any other state’s required measures to prevent significant deterioration of its air quality because they are consistent with section 110 of the CAA.

V. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the CAA, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the Act and applicable federal regulations. See 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, EPA’s role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA. Accordingly, this proposed action merely approves state law as meeting federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this proposed action:

- Is not a “significant regulatory action” subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993);
- Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.);
- Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.);
- Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4).

Correction: EPA corrected a typographical error in the proposed rule.

Proposed rule document 2012–28729, appearing on pages 71323–71344 in the issue of Friday, November 30, 2012, should have appeared in the Proposed Rules section of the issue.

SUMMARY: In this document, the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Jennifer Flynn, Spectrum & Competition Policy Division, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, (202) 418–0612 or by email Jennifer.Flynn@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission’s Public Notice in WT Docket No. 10–254, DA 12–1745, The Public Notice set the deadline for filing comments at 30 days after its publication in the Federal Register, which occurred on November 26, 2012 (77 FR 70407). Accordingly, the deadline for filing comments was set at December 26, 2012.

On its own motion, the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau grants an extension of time within which to file comments. The Bureau notes that requests for extensions of time are not routinely granted. 47 CFR 1.46(a). Given the proximity of the filing deadline to a federal holiday, as well as the desire to encourage thoughtful consideration of the important issues raised in this proceeding, the Bureau believes that a grant of additional time within which to file comments will help to facilitate careful and deliberate consideration of these matters. Therefore, the Bureau grants to all parties an extension of the comment filing deadline until January 7, 2013.

Procedural Matters

3. Pursuant to §§ 1.415 and 1.419 of the Commission’s rules, 47 CFR 1.415, 1.419, interested parties may file comments and reply comments on or before the dates indicated on the first page of this document. Comments may be filed using the Commission’s Electronic Comment Filing System (ECFS). See Electronic Filing of Documents in Rulemaking Proceedings, 63 FR 24121 (1998).

Electronic Filing: Comments may be filed electronically using the Internet by accessing the ECFS: http://fjallfoss.fcc.gov/ecfs2/.

Paper Filers: Parties who choose to file by paper must file an original and four copies of each filing. If more than one docket or rulemaking number appears in the caption of this proceeding, filers must submit two additional copies for each additional docket or rulemaking number. Filings can be sent by hand or messenger delivery, by commercial overnight courier, or by first-class or overnight U.S. Postal Service mail (although we continue to experience delays in receiving U.S. Postal Service mail). All filings must be addressed to the Commission’s Secretary, Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission.

· All hand-delivered or messenger-delivered paper filings for the Commission’s Secretary at 236 Massachusetts Avenue NE., Suite 110, Washington, DC 20002. The filing hours at this location are 8:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. All hand deliveries must be held together with rubber bands or fasteners. Any envelopes must be disposed of before entering the building.

· Commercial overnight mail (other than U.S. Postal Service Express Mail and Priority Mail) must be sent to 9300 East Hampton Drive, Capitol Heights, MD 20743.

· U.S. Postal Service first-class mail, Express Mail, and Priority Mail should be addressed to 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554.

One copy of each pleading must be delivered electronically, by email or facsimile, or if delivered as paper copy, by hand or messenger delivery, by commercial overnight courier, or by first-class or overnight U.S. Postal Service mail (according to the procedures set forth above for paper filings), to the Commission’s duplicating contractor, Best Copy and Printing, Inc., at FCC@BCPIWEB.COM or (202) 488–5563 (facsimile).

Federal Communications Commission.

Jane E. Jackson,
Associate Chief, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau.

[FR Doc. 2012–29357 Filed 12–4–12; 8:45 am]
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