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SUMMARY: We propose to adopt a new airworthiness directive (AD) for certain Embraer S.A. Model ERJ 170 and ERJ 190 airplanes. This proposed AD was prompted by reports of the cockpit door falling off the hinges when it is being open or closed. This proposed AD would require replacing the striker and quick-release pin of the passive lock of the cockpit door, and replacing the upper and lower hinges of the cockpit door. We are proposing this AD to prevent the cockpit door from falling off the hinges, which could cause injury to airplane occupants.

DATES: We must receive comments on this proposed AD by January 22, 2013.

ADDRESSES: You may send comments by any of the following methods:

• Federal eRulemaking Portal: Go to http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

• Fax: (202) 493–2251.


• Hand Delivery: U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M–30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

For service information identified in this proposed AD, contact Embraer S.A., Technical Publications Section (PC 060), Av. Brigadeiro Faria Lima, 2170—Putim—12227–901 São José dos Campos—SP—BRASIL; telephone +55 12 3927–5852 or +55 12 3309–0732; fax +55 12 3927–7546; email distrib@embraer.com.br; Internet http://www.flyembraer.com. You may review copies of the referenced service information at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, WA. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 425–227–1221.

Examining the AD Docket
You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at http://www.regulations.gov or in person at the Docket Operations office between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this proposed AD, the regulatory evaluation, any comments received, and other information. The street address for the Docket Operations office (telephone (800) 647–5527) is in the ADDRESSES section. Comments will be available in the AD docket shortly after receipt.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited
We invite you to send any written relevant data, views, or arguments about this proposed AD. Send your comments to an address listed under the ADDRESSES section. Include “Docket No. FAA–2012–1223; Directorate Identifier 2012–NM–154–AD” at the beginning of your comments. We specifically invite comments on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of this proposed AD. We will consider all comments received by the closing date and may amend this proposed AD based on those comments.

We will post all comments we receive, without change, to http://www.regulations.gov, including any personal information you provide. We will also post a report summarizing each substantive verbal contact we receive about this proposed AD.

Discussion
The Agência Nacional de Aviação Civil (ANAC), which is the aviation authority for Brazil, has issued Brazilian Airworthiness Directives 2012–08–02 and 2012–08–03, both effective September 5, 2012 (referred to after this as “the MCAI”), to correct an unsafe condition for the specified products. The MCAI states:

This [ANAC] AD was prompted by reports of cockpit door falling off the hinges when it is being opened or closed. If not corrected, this condition may cause injury to the occupants.

Required actions include replacement of the passive lock striker, quick-release pin, and upper and lower hinges of the cockpit door. You may obtain further information by examining the MCAI in the AD docket.

Relevant Service Information
Embraer has issued the following service bulletins to correct the unsafe condition identified in the MCAI. The actions described in the following service information are intended to correct the unsafe condition identified in the MCAI.

• EMBRAER Service Bulletin 190–52–0038, Revision 01, dated August 1, 2011 (for Model ERJ 190 airplanes).

• EMBRAER Service Bulletin 190–52–0055, Revision 01, dated August 1, 2011 (for Model ERJ 190 airplanes except for Model ERJ 190–100 ECJ airplanes).

• EMBRAER Service Bulletin 190LIN–52–0020, dated August 1, 2011 (for Model ERJ 190–100 ECJ airplanes).

FAA’s Determination and Requirements of This Proposed AD
This product has been approved by the aviation authority of another country, and is approved for operation in the United States. Pursuant to our bilateral agreement with the State of Design Authority, we have been notified of the unsafe condition described in the MCAI and service information referenced above. We are proposing this AD because we evaluated all pertinent information and determined an unsafe condition exists and is likely to exist or develop on other products of the same type design.

Costs of Compliance
Based on the service information, we estimate that this proposed AD would affect about 253 products of U.S. registry. We also estimate that it would take about 6 work-hours per product to comply with the basic requirements of this proposed AD. The average labor rate is $85 per work-hour. Required parts would cost about $0 per product. Where the service information lists required parts that are covered under warranty, we have assumed that there will be no charge for these parts. As we do not control warranty coverage for affected parties, some parties may incur costs higher than estimated here.

Based on these figures, we estimate the cost of the proposed AD on U.S. operators to be $129,030, or $510 per product.
PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by adding the following new AD:

Directorate Identifier 2012–NM–154–AD.

(a) Comments Due Date

We must receive comments by January 22, 2013.

(b) Affected ADs

None.

(c) Applicability

This AD applies to the airplanes identified in paragraphs (c)(1) and (c)(2) of this AD:

(1) Embraer S.A. Model ERJ 170–100 LR, –100 STD, –100 SE, and –100 SU aircrafts; and Model ERJ 170–200 LR, –200 SU, and –200 STD aircrafts; certified in any category; as identified in EMBRAER Service Bulletin 170–52–0055, Revision 01, dated August 1, 2011.

(2) Embraer S.A. Model ERJ 190–100 STD, –100 LR, –100 ECJ, and –100 ICW aircrafts; and Model ERJ 190–200 STD, –200 LR, and –200 ICW aircrafts; certified in any category; as identified in EMBRAER Service Bulletin 190–52–0038, Revision 01, dated August 1, 2011; and EMBRAER Service Bulletin 190LIN–52–0020, dated August 1, 2011.

(d) Subject

Air Transport Association (ATA) of America Code 52, Doors.

(e) Reason

This AD was prompted by reports of the cockpit door falling off the hinges when it was being open or closed. We are proposing this AD to prevent the cockpit door from falling off the hinges, which could cause injury to airplane occupants.

(f) Compliance

You are responsible for having the actions required by this AD performed within the compliance times specified, unless the actions have already been done.

(g) Required Actions and Compliance Time

Within 1,500 flight hours after the effective date of this AD, do the actions specified in paragraphs (g)(1) and (g)(2) of this AD:

(1) Replace the striker and quick-release pin of the passive lock of the cockpit door, in accordance with Part 1 of the Accomplishment Instructions of the applicable service bulletin specified in paragraph (g)(1)(i), (g)(1)(ii), or (g)(1)(iii) of this AD.

(i) EMBRAER Service Bulletin 170–52–0055, Revision 01, dated August 1, 2011 (for Model ERJ 170 airplanes).

(ii) EMBRAER Service Bulletin 190–52–0038, Revision 01, dated August 1, 2011 (for Model ERJ 190 airplanes except for Model ERJ 190–100 ECJ airplanes).

(2) Replace the cockpit door upper and lower hinges in accordance with Part III of the Accomplishment Instructions of the applicable service bulletin specified in paragraph (g)(2)(ii), or (g)(2)(iii), of this AD.

(i) EMBRAER Service Bulletin 170–52–0055, Revision 01, dated August 1, 2011 (for Model ERJ 170 airplanes).

(ii) EMBRAER Service Bulletin 190–52–0038, Revision 01, dated August 1, 2011 (for Model ERJ 190 airplanes except for Model ERJ 190–100 ECJ airplanes).

(iii) EMBRAER Service Bulletin 190LIN–52–0020, dated August 1, 2011 (for Model ERJ 190–100 ECJ airplanes).

(h) Credit for Previous Actions

This paragraph provides credit for actions required by paragraph (g)(1) of this AD, if those actions were performed before the effective date of this AD using EMBRAER Service Bulletin 170–52–0055, dated February 10, 2011 (for Model ERJ 170 airplanes); or EMBRAER Service Bulletin 190–52–0038, dated February 10, 2011 (for Model ERJ 190 airplanes except for Model ERJ 190–100 ECJ airplanes); which are not incorporated by reference in this AD.

(i) Other FAA AD Provisions

The following provisions also apply to this AD:

(1) Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs): The Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, send your request to your principal inspector or local Flight Standards District Office, as appropriate. If sending information directly to the International Branch, send it to ATTN: Cindy Ashforth, Aerospace Engineer, International Branch, ANM–116, Transport Airplane Directorate, FAA, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, WA 98057–3356; telephone (425) 227–2768; fax (425) 227–1149. Information may be emailed to: 9–ANM–116–AMOC–REQUESTS@faa.gov. Before using any approved AMOC, notify your appropriate principal inspector, or lacking a principal inspector, the manager of the local flight standards district office/certificate holding district office. The AMOC approval letter must specifically reference this AD.

(2) Airworthy Product: For any requirement in this AD to obtain corrective actions from a manufacturer or other source, use these actions if they are FAA-approved. Corrective actions are considered FAA-approved if they are approved by the State of Design Authority (or their delegated agent). You are required to assure the product is airworthy before it is returned to service.

(i) Related Information

(1) Refer to MCAI Brazilian Airworthiness Directives 2012–08–02 and 2012–08–03, both effective September 5, 2012, and the service bulletins identified in paragraphs (i)(1), (i)(1)(ii), and (i)(1)(iii) of this AD, for related information.
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Airworthiness Directives; Cessna Aircraft Company Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Supplemental notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM); reopening of comment period.

SUMMARY: We are revising an earlier proposed airworthiness directive (AD) for certain Cessna Aircraft Company (Cessna) Model 525 airplanes equipped with certain part number (P/N) air conditioning (A/C) compressor motors. That NPRM proposed to require inspection of the number of hours on the A/C compressor hour meter, inspection of the logbook, and replacement of the brushes on certain P/N A/C compressor motors or deactivation of the A/C system until replacement of the brushes and also require reporting of aircraft information related to the replacement of the brushes. That NPRM was prompted by reports of smoke and/or fire in the tailcone caused by brushes wearing beyond their limits on the A/C motor. This action revises that NPRM by providing correct steps for deactivation of the A/C compressor motor. We are proposing this supplemental NPRM to correct the unsafe condition on these products. Since these actions impose an additional burden over that proposed in the NPRM, we are reopening the comment period to allow the public the chance to comment on these proposed changes.

DATES: We must receive comments on this supplemental NPRM by January 22, 2013.

ADDRESSES: You may send comments, using the procedures found in 14 CFR 11.43 and 11.45, by any of the following methods:

Federal eRulemaking Portal: Go to http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.


Hand Delivery: U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M–12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

Examine the AD Docket

You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at http://www.regulations.gov; or in person at the Docket Management Facility between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this proposed AD, the current manual revision or following revision 23 or greater instead of using a specific revision of the Airworthiness Directives Manual, FAA–

We issued an NPRM to amend 14 CFR part 39 to include an AD that would apply to certain Cessna Aircraft Company Model 525 airplanes equipped with certain P/N A/C compressor motors. That NPRM published in the Federal Register on August 22, 2012 (77 FR 50644). That NPRM proposed to require inspection of the number of hours on the A/C compressor hour meter, inspection of the logbook, and replacement of the brushes on certain P/N A/C compressor motors or deactivation of the A/C system until replacement of the brushes and also require reporting of aircraft information related to the replacement of the brushes.

Actions Since Previous NPRM Was Issued

Since we issued the previous NPRM (77 FR 50644, August 22, 2012), we identified revised steps for deactivation of the A/C compressor motor.

Comments

We gave the public the opportunity to comment on the previous NPRM (77 FR 50644, August 22, 2012). The following presents the comments received on the NPRM and the FAA’s response to each comment.

Request Task State Current Maintenance Manual Revision or Following Revision 23 or Greater

Timothy Garrouette requested the FAA state current manual revision or following revision 23 or greater instead of using a specific revision of the maintenance manual. Garrouette reasoned that using an exact manual revision number and date in the AD will cause issues in the future because the manual will be revised again with a new date. If a specific revision is identified in the AD, the airplane mechanic will need to call Cessna Aircraft Company or the FAA to figure out what to do once the manual gets a revision higher than 23.

We disagree with the request to state current manual revision or following revision 23 or greater. The Airworthiness Directives Manual, FAA–