DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Order No. 1869]

Approval for Manufacturing Authority; Foreign-Trade Zone 277; Sub-Zero, Inc. (Refrigerators and Freezers); Goodyear, AZ

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u) (the Act), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

Whereas, the Greater Maricopa County Foreign Trade Zone, Inc., grantee of FTZ 277, has requested manufacturing authority on behalf of Sub-Zero, Inc., within FTZ 277—Site 3, Goodyear, Arizona (FTZ Docket 73–2011, filed 11–10–2011);

Whereas, notice inviting public comment has been given in the Federal Register (76 FR 70957, 11–16–2011) and the application has been processed pursuant to the FTZ Act and the Board’s regulations; and,

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner’s report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and the Board’s regulations are satisfied, and that the proposal is in the public interest;

Now, therefore, the Board hereby orders:

The application for manufacturing authority under zone procedures within FTZ 277 on behalf of Sub-Zero, Inc., as described in the application and Federal Register notice, is approved, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board’s regulations, including Section 400.13, and further subject to a restriction requiring that all foreign-status merchandise admitted to the subzone must be re-exported.

Dated: November 30, 2012.

Andrew McGilvray,
Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2012–29766 Filed 12–7–12; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration


Ball Bearings and Parts Thereof From France, Germany, and Italy: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews; 2010–2011

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On June 5, 2012, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the administrative reviews of the antidumping duty orders on ball bearings and parts thereof from France, Germany, and Italy. On October 16, 2012, the Department released its post-preliminary analysis in these reviews. For these final results, we continue to find that sales of the subject merchandise have not been made at prices below normal value.

DATES: Effective Date: December 10, 2012.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dustin Ross, AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0747.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 5, 2012, the Department published the preliminary results of the administrative reviews of the antidumping duty orders on ball bearings and parts thereof from France, Germany, and Italy. The period of review is May 1, 2010, through April 30, 2011.

We invited interested parties to comment on the Preliminary Results. We received case and rebuttal briefs from various parties to the proceedings.

On October 16, 2012, we issued a post-preliminary analysis in which we addressed the targeted dumping allegations made by the petitioner and invited comments from interested parties.

On July 5, 2012, the petitioner requested hearings with respect to these reviews on ball bearings and parts thereof from France and Germany. The petitioner and SKF Italy requested a hearing with respect to the review on ball bearings from Italy. We invited additional briefs from interested parties commenting on the Post-Preliminary Analysis.

The Department conducted these administrative reviews in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Orders

The products covered by the orders are ball bearings and parts thereof. Imports of these products are classified under the following Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheadings: 3926.90.45, 4016.93.10, 4016.93.50, 6909.19.50.10, 8414.90.41.75, 8431.20.40, 8431.39.00.10, 8482.10.10, 8482.10.50, 8482.10.80, 8482.99.35, 8482.99.25.80, 8482.99.65.95, 8483.20.40, 8483.20.80, 8483.30.40, 8483.30.80, 8483.50.90, 8483.90.20, 8483.90.30, 8483.90.70, 8708.50.50, 8708.60.50, 8708.60.80, 8708.93.30, 8708.93.60.00, 8708.99.06, 8708.99.31.00, 8708.99.40.00, 8708.99.49.60, 8708.99.58, 8708.99.80.15, 8708.99.80.80, 8803.10.00, 8803.20.00, 8803.30.00, 8803.90.30, 8803.90.90, 8708.30.50.90, 8708.40.75.70, 8708.40.75.80,

1 See Ball Bearings and Parts Thereof From France, Germany, and Italy: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews.

Analysis of the Comments Received

All issues raised in the case briefs by parties to these administrative reviews are addressed in the “Issues and Decision Memorandum” (Decision Memorandum) from Gary Taverman, Senior Advisor for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated concurrently with this notice, which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the issues which parties have raised and to which we have responded is in the Decision Memorandum and attached to this notice as an Appendix. The Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Import Administration’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (“IA ACCESS”). IA ACCESS is available to registered users at http://iaaccess.trade.gov and it is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit (“CRU”), room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the internet at http://www.trade.gov/ia/frn/index.html. The signed Decision Memorandum and the electronic versions of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Rates for Non-Selected Companies

Based on available resources, we selected certain companies for individual examination of their sales of the subject merchandise to the United States during the period of review as permitted under section 777A(c)(2) of the Act. For a detailed discussion on the selection of the respondents for individual examination, see Preliminary Results, 77 FR at 33160 through 33161. For the final results, we have not changed the rates we applied to respondents not selected for individual examination.

Recission of Review in Part

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d), the Department will rescind an administrative review in part “if a party that requested a review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of the publication of notice of initiation of the requested review.” On September 7, 2011, Intertechnique SAS (“Intertechnique”) timely withdrew its request for an administrative review. However, in the Preliminary Results we inadvertently did not include Intertechnique in the list of companies for which the review was rescinded. Instead, we preliminarily assigned Intertechnique the rate for all non-selected respondents from France. Because there are no other requests for review for Intertechnique, we are rescinding the review with respect to Intertechnique, effective June 5, 2012, the date of publication of the Preliminary Results, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1).

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have corrected programming and other errors in the weighted-average dumping margins which we included in the Preliminary Results, where applicable. These changes, however, did not affect the final weighted-average dumping margins for the reviewed respondents. A detailed discussion of each correction we made is in the company-specific analysis memoranda dated concurrently with this notice, which are on file electronically via IA ACCESS and in the CRU of the main Commerce building.

Sales Below Cost in the Home Market

Pursuant to section 773(b)(1) of the Act, the Department disregarded sales in the home market that failed the cost-of-production test for the following firms for these final results of reviews: France—NTN–SNR; Germany—myonic GmbH; Italy—Schaeffler Italia S.r.l./WDP Water Pump Bearing GmbH & Co. KG/Schaeffler Italia SpA/The Schaeffler Group and SKF Industries S.p.A./Somecat S.p.A./SKF RIV–SKF Officine di Villar Pergosa S.p.A.

Final Results of the Reviews

We determine that the following weighted-average dumping margins on ball bearings and parts thereof exist for the period May 1, 2010, through April 30, 2011:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Margin (percent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>France:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audi AG</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bosch Rexroth SAS</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caterpillar Group Services S.A.</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caterpillar Materials E routiers S.A.S</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caterpillar S.A.R.L</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bayerische Motoren Werke AG</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSH Bosch und Siemens Hausgerate GmbH</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caterpillar S.A.R.L</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>myonic GmbH</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Bosch GmbH</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Bosch GmbH Power Tools and Hagglunds Drives</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audi AG</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bosch Rexroth S.p.A</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caterpillar Overseas S.A.R.L</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caterpillar of Australia Pty. Ltd</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caterpillar Group Services S.A</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caterpillar Mexico, S.A. de C.V</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caterpillar Americas C.V</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hagglunds Drives S.r.l</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perkins Engines Company Limited</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schaeffler Italia S.r.l and WPB Water Pump Bearing GmbH &amp; Co. KG</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNECMA</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volkswagen AG</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volkswagen Zubehor GmbH</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Assessment Rates

In accordance with the Final Modification, we will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to liquidate the reviews’ entries without regard to antidumping duties.

The Department clarified its “automatic assessment” regulation on May 6, 2003. This clarification will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the period of review produced by companies selected for individual examination in the reviews for which the reviewed companies did not know their merchandise was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the country-specific all-others rate if there is no rate for the

intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction. For a full discussion of this clarification, see Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

For the companies which were not selected for individual examination, we will instruct CBP to apply the rates listed above to all entries of subject merchandise produced and/or exported by such firms.

We intend to issue liquidation instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of these reviews.

**Cash Deposit Requirements**

Because we revoked the order effective September 15, 2011, no cash deposit for estimated antidumping duties on future entries of subject merchandise is required.4

**Notifications**

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely notification of the destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

These final results of administrative reviews are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1), 751(b)(1), and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: December 4, 2012

Paul Piquado,
Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

**Appendix**

1. Targeted Dumping Methodology
2. ISEs, Packing, and Inland Freight Costs
3. LoA Expenses
4. U.S. Warehousing Expenses
5. Home Market Interest Expense Calculation
6. Capping Interest Revenue at the Amount of Credit Expenses
7. Other Issues
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**BILLING CODE 3510–05–P**
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**International Trade Administration**

[A–570–900]


**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (“the Department”) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on diamond sawblades and parts thereof (“diamond sawblades”) from the People’s Republic of China (“PRC”). The period of review (“POR”) is November 1, 2010 through October 31, 2011. The Department has preliminarily determined that certain companies covered by this review made sales of subject merchandise at less than normal value.

**DATES:** Effective Date: December 10, 2012.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Yang Jin Chun or Michael A. Romani, AD/CVD Operations, Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–5760 and (202) 482–0198, respectively.

**Scope of the Order**

The products covered by the order are all finished circular sawblades, whether slotted or not, with a working part that is comprised of a diamond segment or segments, and parts thereof, regardless of specification or size, except as specifically excluded below. Within the scope of the order are semifinished diamond sawblades, including diamond sawblade cores and diamond sawblade segments. Diamond sawblade cores are circular steel plates, whether or not attached to non-steel plates, with slots. Diamond sawblade cores are manufactured principally, but not exclusively, from alloy steel. A diamond sawblade segment consists of a mixture of diamonds (whether natural or synthetic, and regardless of the quantity of diamonds) and metal powders (including, but not limited to, iron, cobalt, nickel, tungsten carbide) that are formed together into a solid shape (from generally, but not limited to, a heating and pressing process).

Sawblades with diamonds directly attached to the core with a resin or electroplated bond, which thereby do not contain a diamond segment, are not included within the scope of the order. Diamond sawblades and/or sawblade cores with a thickness of less than 0.025 inches, or with a thickness greater than 1.1 inches, are excluded from the scope of the order. Circular steel plates that have a cutting edge of non-diamond material, such as external teeth that protrude from the outer diameter of the plate, whether or not finished, are excluded from the scope of the order. Diamond sawblade cores with a Rockwell C hardness of less than 25 are excluded from the scope of the order. Diamond sawblades and/or diamond segment(s) with diamonds that predominantly have a mesh size number greater than 240 (such as 250 or 260) are excluded from the scope of the order. Merchandise subject to the order is typically imported under heading 8202.39.00.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (“HTSUS”). When packaged together as a set for retail sale with an item that is separately classified under headings 8202 to 8205 of the HTSUS, diamond sawblades or parts thereof may be imported under heading 8206.00.00.00 of the HTSUS. On October 11, 2011, the Department included the 6804.21.00 HTSUS classification number to the customs case reference file, pursuant to a request by U.S. Customs and Border Protection (“CBP”). The tariff classification is provided for convenience and customs purposes; however, the written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

**Fraud Allegation**

The petitioner, Diamond Sawblades Manufacturers’ Coalition, alleges that Chinese and Korean producers of diamond sawblades sold subject merchandise in the United States bearing a false country of origin designation and requests that the Department take action related to this allegation into consideration in both the first and second administrative reviews. We continue to examine this allegation. The Department recently completed verifications in the first administrative review at which the facts...

---

4 See Ball Bearings and Parts Thereof from France, Germany and Italy: Final Results of Sunset Reviews and Revocation of Antidumping Duty Orders, 76 FR 57019 (September 15, 2011).