give preliminary notice prior to putting this rule into effect, and that good cause exists for not postponing the effective date of this rule until 30 days after publication in the Federal Register because: (1) This interim rule is a relaxation in the apricot handling regulations and should be in place as soon as possible for the 2012–13 fiscal period; (2) handlers need to know as soon as possible that they are free to market their apricots without regard to the order's handling regulations; (3) this issue has been widely discussed at various industry and association meetings and the Committee has kept the industry well informed; (4) handlers are aware of this rule, which was recommended at a public meeting; and (5) this rule provides a 60-day comment period and any comments received will be considered prior to finalization of this rule.

List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 922
Apricots, Marketing agreements, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, 7 CFR part 922 is amended as follows:

PART 922—APRICOTS GROWN IN DESIGNATED COUNTIES IN WASHINGTON

1. The authority citation for 7 CFR part 922 continues to read as follows:

   § 922.111 and 922.321 are suspended in their entirety from January 9, 2013 through March 31, 2013.
   Dated: January 2, 2013.
   David R. Shipman,
   Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.
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an opportunity to participate and provide input.

For the 2010–11 and subsequent crop years, the Committee recommended, and USDA approved, an assessment rate that would continue in effect from crop year to crop year unless modified, suspended, or terminated by USDA upon recommendation and information submitted by the Committee or other information available to USDA.

The Committee met on June 12, 2012, and unanimously recommended 2012–13 expenditures of $260,000 and an assessment rate of $0.90 per hundredweight of Riverside County California dates. In comparison, last year’s budgeted expenditures were $265,000. The assessment rate of $0.90 is $0.10 lower than the rate currently in effect. The Committee recommended a lower assessment rate because the 2012–13 crop is expected to be larger than the previous year. Income generated through the lower assessment rate combined with cull surplus contributed and funds contributed by the California Date Commission for shared marketing activities, should be sufficient to cover anticipated 2012–13 expenses.

Proceeds from sales of cull dates are deposited into a surplus account for subsequent use by the Committee in covering the surplus pool share of the Committee’s expenses. Handlers may also dispose of cull dates of their own production within their own livestock-feeding operation; otherwise, such cull dates must be shipped or delivered to the Committee for sale to non-human food product outlets. Pursuant to § 987.72(b), the Committee is authorized to temporarily use funds derived from assessments to defray expenses incurred in disposing of surplus dates. All such expenses are required to be deducted from proceeds obtained by the Committee from the disposal of surplus dates. For the 2012–13 crop year, the Committee estimated that $3,000 from the surplus account would be needed to temporarily defray expenses incurred in disposing of surplus dates.

The major expenditures recommended by the Committee for the 2012–13 crop year include $110,000 for generic marketing promotions, $83,520 for general and administrative expenses, $43,800 for nutrition marketing programs, $12,680 for a contingency fund, and $5,000 for licensing renewal. Budgeted expenses for these items in 2011 were $96,300 for generic marketing promotions, $90,000 for general and administrative expenses, and $3,000 for marketing contingency.

The assessment rate recommended by the Committee was derived based upon the anticipated size of the 2012–13 crop, the Committee’s estimates of the incoming reserve, other income, and anticipated expenses. Date shipments for the year are estimated at 26,500,000 pounds which should provide $238,500 in assessment income. Income derived from handler assessments, along with a $3,000 reimbursement for the cost of disposing of surplus culls, and a $40,000 contribution from the California Date Commission for shared marketing expenses, should be adequate to cover budgeted expenses.

Section 987.72(d) states that the Committee may maintain a monetary reserve to not exceed the average of one year’s expenses incurred during the most recent five preceding crop years, except that an established reserve need not be reduced to conform to any recomputed average. Funds in the reserve are available for the Committee’s use during the crop year to cover budgeted expenses as necessary or for other purposes deemed appropriate by USDA. The Committee expects to carry a $15,000 reserve into the 2012–13 crop year. They expect to add $21,500 to the reserve during the year, for a desired carryout of approximately $36,500, which is well below the limit specified in the order.

The assessment rate established in this rule will continue in effect indefinitely unless modified, suspended, or terminated by USDA upon recommendation and information submitted by the Committee or other available information.

Although this assessment rate is effective for an indefinite period, the Committee will continue to meet prior to or during each crop year to recommend a budget of expenses and consider recommendations for modification of the assessment rate. The dates and times of Committee meetings are available from the Committee or USDA. Committee meetings are open to the public and interested persons may express their views at these meetings. USDA will evaluate Committee recommendations and other available information to determine whether modification of the assessment rate is needed. Further rulemaking will be undertaken as necessary. The Committee’s 2012–13 budget and those for subsequent crop years will be reviewed and, as appropriate, approved by USDA.

Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis

Pursuant to requirements set forth in the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) (5 U.S.C. 601–612), the Agricultural Marketing Service (AMS) has considered the economic impact of this rule on small entities. Accordingly, AMS has prepared this initial regulatory flexibility analysis.

The purpose of the RFA is to fit regulatory actions to the scale of business subject to such actions in order that small businesses will not be unduly or disproportionately burdened. Marketing orders issued pursuant to the Act, and the rules issued thereunder, are unique in that they are brought about through group action of essentially small entities acting on their own behalf.

There are approximately 79 producers of dates in the production area and 11 handlers subject to regulation under the marketing order. Small agricultural producers are defined by the Small Business Administration (13 CFR 121.201) as those having annual receipts less than $750,000, and small agricultural service firms are defined as those whose annual receipts are less than $7,000,000.

According to the National Agricultural Statistics Service (NASS), data for the most recently completed crop year (2011) shows that about 3.68 tons, or 7,360 pounds, of dates were produced per acre. The 2011 grower price published by the NASS was $1,320 per ton, or $6.66 per pound. Thus, the value of date production per acre in 2011 averaged about $4,858 (7,360 pounds times $6.66 per pound). At that average price, a producer would have to farm over 154 acres to receive an annual income from dates of $750,000 ($750,000 divided by $4,858 per acre equals 154 acres). According to Committee staff, the majority of California date producers farm less than 154 acres. Thus, it can be concluded that the majority of date producers could be considered small entities. According to data from the Committee staff, the majority of handlers of California dates may also be considered small entities.

This rule decreases the assessment rate established for the Committee and collected from handlers for the 2012–13 and subsequent crop years from $1.00 to $0.90 per hundredweight of dates handled. The Committee unanimously recommended 2012–13 expenditures of $260,000 and an assessment rate of $0.90 per hundredweight of dates, which is $0.10 lower than the 2011–12 rate, currently in effect. The quantity of assessable dates for the 2012–13 crop year is estimated at 26,500,000 pounds. Thus, the $0.90 rate should provide $238,500 in assessment income. Income derived from handler’s assessments along with the $3,000 contribution from
the surplus program, and the $40,000 contribution for shared marketing expenses should be adequate to meet the 2012–13 crop year expenses.

The major expenditures recommended by the Committee for the 2012–13 crop year include $110,000 for generic marketing promotions, $83,520 for general and administrative expenses, $43,800 for nutrition marketing programs, $12,680 for a contingency fund, and $5,000 for licensing renewal. Budgeted expenses for these items in 2011–12 were $96,300 for generic marketing promotions, $90,000 for general and administrative expenses, $73,600 for nutrition marketing programs, and $5,100 for marketing contingency.

The Committee recommended a lower assessment rate because the 2012–13 crop is expected to be larger than the previous year. As mentioned earlier, date shipments for the year are estimated at 26,500,000 pounds which would provide $238,500 in assessment income, and anticipated expenses. The assessment rate of $0.90 per hundredweight of dates was then derived, based upon the anticipated 2012–13 crop size, and the Committee’s estimated reserve, other income, and anticipated expenses. Assessing at the $0.90 per hundredweight of dates will generate approximately $21,500 less than the anticipated expenses, which the Committee determined to be acceptable, as other sources of income should provide adequate funds to cover expenses.

A review of historical information and preliminary information pertaining to the upcoming crop year indicates that the grower price for the 2012–13 season could range between $1,180 and $1,320 per ton of dates. Therefore, the estimated assessment revenue for the 2012–13 crop year as a percentage of total grower revenue could range between 1.5 and 1.4 percent.

This action decreases the assessment obligation imposed on handlers. Assessments are applied uniformly on all handlers, and some of the costs may be passed on to producers. However, decreasing the assessment rate reduces the burden on handlers, and may reduce the burden on producers. In addition, the Committee’s meeting was widely publicized throughout the California date industry and all interested persons were invited to attend the meeting and participate in Committee deliberations on all issues. Like all Committee meetings, the June 12, 2012, meeting was a public meeting and all entities, both large and small, were able to express views on this issue. Finally, interested persons are invited to submit comments on this interim final rule, including the regulatory and informational impacts of this action on small businesses.

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the order’s information collection requirements have been previously approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) and assigned OMB No. 0581–0178. No changes in those requirements as a result of this action are necessary. Should any changes become necessary, they would be submitted to OMB for approval.

This action imposes no additional reporting or recordkeeping requirements on either small or large Riverside County, California, date handlers. As with all Federal marketing order programs, reports and forms are periodically reviewed to reduce information requirements and duplication by industry and public sector agencies.

AMS is committed to complying with the E-Government Act, to promote the use of the Internet and other information technologies to provide increased opportunities for citizen access to Government information and services, and for other purposes. USDA has not identified any relevant Federal rules that duplicate, overlap, or conflict with this rule.

A small business guide on complying with fruit, vegetable, and specialty crop marketing agreements and orders may be viewed at: http://www.ams.usda.gov/MarketingOrdersSmallBusinessGuide. Any questions about the compliance guide should be sent to Laurel May at the previously mentioned address in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section.

After consideration of all relevant material presented, including the information and recommendation submitted by the Committee and other available information, it is hereby found that this rule, as hereinafter set forth, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the Act.

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553, it is also found and determined upon good cause that it is impracticable, unnecessary, and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice prior to putting this rule into effect, and that good cause exists for not postponing the effective date of this rule until 30 days after publication in the Federal Register because: (1) The 2012–13 crop year begins on October 1, 2012, and the marketing order requires that the rate of assessment for each crop year apply to all assessable dates handled during such crop year; (2) the action decreases the assessment rate for assessable dates beginning with the 2012–13 crop year; (3) handlers are aware of this action which was unanimously recommended by the Committee at a public meeting and is similar to other assessment rate actions issued in past years; and (4) this interim final rule provides a 60-day comment period, and all comments timely received will be considered prior to finalization of this rule.

List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 987

Dates, Marketing agreements, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, 7 CFR part 987 is amended as follows:

PART 987—DOMESTIC DATES PRODUCED OR PACKED IN RIVERSIDE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

1. The authority citation for 7 CFR part 987 continues to read as follows:


2. Section 987.339 is revised to read as follows:

§ 987.339 Assessment rate.

On and after October 1, 2012, an assessment rate of $0.90 per
hundredweight is established for Riverside County, California dates.

Dated: January 2, 2013.

David R. Shipman,
Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc. 2013–00185 Filed 1–7–13; 8:45 am]
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DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Parts 21 and 36


RIN 2120–AJ76

Noise Certification Standards for Tiltrotors

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This rule amends the regulations governing noise certification standards for issuing type and airworthiness certificates for a new civil, hybrid airplane-rotorcraft known as the tiltrotor. This noise standard establishes new noise limits and procedures as the basis to ensure consistent aviation noise reduction technology is incorporated in tiltrotors for environmental protection. It provides uniform noise certification standards for tiltrotors certified in the United States and harmonizes the U.S. regulations with the standards of the International Civil Aviation Organization’s (ICAO) Annex 16.

DATES: Effective March 11, 2013.

ADDRESSES: For information on where to obtain copies of rulemaking documents and other information related to this final rule, see “How To Obtain Additional Information” in the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section of this document.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For technical questions concerning this final rule contact Sandy Liu, AEE–100, Office of Environment and Energy, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20591; telephone: (202) 493–4864; facsimile (202) 267–5594; email: sandy.liu@faa.gov. For legal questions concerning this final rule contact Karen Petronis, AGC–200, Office of the Chief Counsel, International Law, Legislation, and Regulations Division, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20591; telephone: (202) 267–3073; email: karen.petronis@faa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Authority for This Rulemaking

The FAA’s authority to issue rules on aviation safety is found in Title 49 of the United States Code. Subtitle I, Section 106 describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII, Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the agency’s authority.

This rulemaking is promulgated under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart III, Section 44715, Controlling aircraft noise and sonic boom. Under that section, the FAA is charged with prescribing regulations to measure and abate aircraft noise. This regulation is within the scope of that authority since it would establish new noise certification test procedures and noise limits for a new class of aircraft. Applicants for type certificates, changes in type design, and airworthiness certificates for tiltrotors are required to comply with these new regulations.

Overview of Final Rule

The standards in this final rule apply to the issuance of an original type certificate, changes to a type certificate, and the issuance of a standard airworthiness certificate for tiltrotors. This final rule creates noise certification standards that are applicable to all tiltrotors, such as the AgustaWestland Model AW609 currently under development. These regulations incorporate the same standards as ICAO Annex 16, Volume 1, Chapter 13, Attachment F (Amendment 7) for tiltrotors, consistent with the FAA goal of harmonizing U.S. regulations with international standards.

Background

A new aircraft type known as a tiltrotor is currently in production after more than six decades of research and development. The aircraft uses rotating nacelles, a hybrid of propellers and helicopter rotors, to provide both lift and propulsive force. The tiltrotor is designed to function as a helicopter for takeoff and landing and as an airplane during the en-route portion of flight operations.

The most recognizable tiltrotor operating today is the V–22 Osprey used by the U.S. Marines and the U.S. Air Force. The V–22 Osprey was designed for the U.S. Department of Defense Special Operations Forces and can transport 24 fully equipped troops. The proposed civil version of the tiltrotor would carry up to nine passengers.

The tiltrotor concept was first explored for the U.S. Army in the mid-1950s as a convertiplane concept that incorporated mixed vertical and forward flight capabilities. In 1958, Bell Helicopter Textron Inc. (Bell) of Fort Worth, Texas developed the XV–3 tiltrotor for a joint research program between the U.S. Army and the U.S. Air Force. The Bell XV–3 completed a successful full conversion from vertical flight to forward cruise and demonstrated the feasibility of tiltrotor technology. Following the successful full conversion of the Bell XV–3, the U.S. Army and National Aeronautics and Space Administration awarded Bell a prototype development contract in the mid 1970s to build two Bell XV–15 tiltrotor demonstrator aircraft. These tiltrotor aircraft served as predecessors to the V–22 Osprey to demonstrate mature tiltrotor technology and flight capabilities.

ICAO Noise Certification Standards

ICAO is the international body with responsibility for the development of International Standards and Recommended Practices pursuant to the Convention on International Civil Aviation (the Chicago Convention). Consistent with their obligations under the Chicago Convention, Contracting States agree to implement ICAO standards in their national regulations to the extent practicable. The standards for aircraft noise are contained in Annex 16, Environmental Protection, Volume 1, Aircraft Noise.

In anticipation of civil tiltrotor production, ICAO’s Committee on Aviation Environmental Protection (CAEP) chartered the Tiltrotor Task Group (TRTG) in 1997 to develop noise certification guidelines for tiltrotors. The FAA participated in the TRTG and its development of the tiltrotor noise guidelines from 1997 to 2000. The ICAO tiltrotor guidelines used the same noise limits that the United States had incorporated into part 36, Appendix H for helicopter noise certification. The ICAO has included additional requirements that are unique to the design of tiltrotors.

On June 29, 2001, the TRTG’s guidelines were adopted by the ICAO Council for incorporation into Annex 16, Volume 1, Chapter 13, Attachment F (Amendment 7). The ICAO guidelines became effective on October 29, 2001, with an applicability date of March 21, 2002.

Statement of the Problem

Current regulations in part 36 do not contain noise certification requirements specific to the tiltrotor and its unique...