

Avenue HK, Sino Marshall Islands, Gold Dynasty, and Elite as respondents. The ALJ denied the motion as to Western Chemical and Biddle Sawyer because it would have resulted in delay to the investigation. No petitions for review were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the ID.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

Issued: January 14, 2013.

By order of the Commission.

**Lisa R. Barton,**

*Acting Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2013-00910 Filed 1-16-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[USITC SE-13-004]

### Sunshine Act Meeting Notice

**AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING:** United States International Trade Commission.

**TIME AND DATE:** January 23, 2013 at 11:00 a.m.

**PLACE:** Room 101, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436. Telephone: (202) 205-2000.

**STATUS:** Open to the public.

**MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:**

1. Agendas for future meetings: none.
2. Minutes.
3. Ratification List.
4. Vote in Inv. Nos. 701-TA-488 and 731-TA-1199-1200 (Final) (Large Residential Washers from Korea and Mexico). The Commission is currently scheduled to transmit its determinations and Commissioners' opinions to the Secretary of Commerce on or before February 8, 2013.

5. Outstanding action jackets: none.

In accordance with Commission policy, subject matter listed above, not disposed of at the scheduled meeting, may be carried over to the agenda of the following meeting.

Issued: January 15, 2013.

By order of the Commission.

**William R. Bishop,**

*Supervisory Hearings and Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2013-00971 Filed 1-15-13; 11:15 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Occupational Safety and Health Administration

[Docket No. OSHA-2012-0026]

#### Revision of Approved Information Collection (Paperwork) Requirements for Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Approval; Regulations Containing Procedures for Handling of Retaliation Complaints

**AGENCY:** Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Labor.

**ACTION:** Request for public comments.

**SUMMARY:** OSHA solicits public comments concerning its proposal to revise the information collection requirements currently approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for handling of retaliation complaints filed with OSHA under various whistleblower protection statutes and the procedural regulations described in this notice. These regulations contain procedures employees must use to file a complaint with OSHA alleging that their employer violated a whistleblower protection provision contained in certain statutes that generally prohibit retaliatory action by employers against employees who engage in activities protected by the statutes. The revised information collection requirements include a new form providing additional methods for employees to submit retaliation complaints to OSHA, including electronic submission.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted (postmarked, sent, or received) by March 18, 2013.

**ADDRESSES:** *Electronically:* You may submit comments and attachments electronically at <http://www.regulations.gov>, which is the Federal eRulemaking Portal. Follow the instructions online for submitting comments.

*Facsimile:* If your comments, including attachments, are not longer than 10 pages, you may fax them to the OSHA Docket Office at (202) 693-1648.

*Mail, hand delivery, express mail, messenger or courier service:* When using this method, you must submit your comments and attachments to the OSHA Docket Office, OSHA Docket No. OSHA-2012-0026, U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Room N-2625, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20210. Deliveries (hand, express mail, messenger and courier service) are accepted during the Department of Labor's and Docket Office's normal

business hours, 8:15 a.m. to 4:45 p.m., e.t.

*Instructions:* All submissions must include the Agency name and OSHA docket number (OSHA-2012-0026) for the Information Collection Request (ICR). All comments, including any personal information you provide, are placed in the public docket without change, and may be made available online at <http://www.regulations.gov>. For further information on submitting comments see the "Public Participation" heading in the section of this notice titled **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**.

*Docket:* To read or download comments or other material in the docket, go to <http://www.regulations.gov> or the OSHA Docket Office at the address above. All documents in the docket (including this **Federal Register** notice) are listed in the <http://www.regulations.gov> index; however, some information (e.g., copyrighted material) is not publicly available to read or download from the Web site. All submissions, including copyrighted material, are available for inspection and copying at the OSHA Docket Office. You may also contact the Directorate of Whistleblower Protection Programs at the address below to obtain a copy of the ICR.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Beth Slavet, Director, Directorate of Whistleblower Protection Programs, OSHA, U.S. Department of Labor, Room N-4624, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20210; telephone: (202) 693-2199.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Background

The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent (e.g., an employee filing a retaliation complaint) burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the public with an opportunity to comment on proposed and continuing information collection requirements in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)). This program ensures that information is in the desired format, reporting burden (time and costs) is minimal, collection instruments are clearly understood, and OSHA's estimate of the information collection burden is accurate.

The Agency is responsible for investigating alleged violations of "whistleblower" provisions contained in a number of statutes. These whistleblower provisions generally prohibit retaliation by employers against employees who report alleged violations

of certain laws or regulations. Accordingly, these provisions prohibit an employer from discharging or taking any other retaliatory action against an employee because the employee engages in any of the protected activities specified by the whistleblower provisions of the statutes. These statutes are covered under the following regulations: 29 CFR part 24, Procedures for the Handling of Retaliation Complaints under the Employee Protection Provisions of Six Environmental Statutes and Section 211 of the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974, As Amended (29 CFR part 24 covers the: Safe Drinking Water Act, 42 U.S.C. 300j–9(i); Federal Water Pollution Control Act, 33 U.S.C. 1367; Toxic Substances Control Act, 15 U.S.C. 2622; Solid Waste Disposal Act, 42 U.S.C. 6971; Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. 7622; Energy Reorganization Act of 1974, 42 U.S.C. 5851; and Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, 42 U.S.C. 9610); 29 CFR part 1977, Discrimination Against Employees Exercising Rights under the Williams-Steiger Occupational Safety and Health Act (29 CFR part 1977 covers the: Occupational Safety and Health Act, 29 U.S.C. 660; Asbestos Hazard Emergency Response Act, 15 U.S.C. 2651; and International Safe Container Act, 46 U.S.C. 80507); 29 CFR part 1978, Procedures for the Handling of Retaliation Complaints under the Employee Protection Provision of the Surface Transportation Assistance Act of 1982; 29 CFR part 1979, Procedures for Handling Discrimination Complaints Under the Wendell H. Ford Aviation Investment and Reform Act for the 21st Century; 29 CFR part 1980, Procedures for Handling of Discrimination Complaints Under Section 806 of the Corporate and Criminal Fraud Accountability Act of 2002 (Title VIII of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002); 29 CFR part 1981, Procedures for the Handling of Discrimination Complaints under Section 6 of the Pipeline Safety and Improvement Act of 2002; 29 CFR part 1982, Procedures for the Handling of Retaliation Complaints Under the National Transit Systems Security Act and the Federal Railroad Safety Act; and 29 CFR Part 1983, Procedures for the Handling of Retaliation Complaints Under Section 219 of the Consumer Product Safety Improvement Act of 2008.

In addition, OSHA investigates complaints of retaliation filed under the following recently-enacted whistleblower provisions: The Affordable Care Act, 29 U.S.C. 218C; the

Consumer Financial Protection Act, Section 1057 of the Dodd Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, Public Law 111–203; the Seaman’s Protection Act, 46 U.S.C. 2114, as amended by Section 611 of the Coast Guard Authorization Act of 2010, Public Law 111–281; Section 402 of the FDA Food Safety and Modernization Act, Public Law 111–353; and Section 31307 of the Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act, 49 U.S.C. 30171. These statutory provisions are included in the existing ICR. Information collection requirements contained in future regulations promulgated by the Agency with respect to a whistleblower provision of any other Federal law, except those that are assigned to another DOL agency, will be added to this information collection.

OSHA’s whistleblower regulations specify the procedures that an employee must use to file a complaint alleging that their employer violated a whistleblower provision for which the Agency has investigative responsibility. Any employee who believes that such a violation occurred may file a complaint, or have the complaint filed on their behalf. Two of these regulations, 29 CFR parts 1979 and 1981, state that complaints must be filed in writing and should include a full statement of the acts and omissions, with pertinent dates, that the employee believes constitute the violation. The other regulations, 29 CFR parts 24, 1977, 1978, 1980, 1982, and 1983, require no particular form of filing for complaints. However, it is OSHA’s policy to accept complaints in any form (*i.e.* orally or in writing) under all statutes. This policy helps ensure that employees of all circumstances and education levels will have equal access to the complaint filing process.

OSHA proposes to revise this ICR to include a new form, titled, “Notice of Whistleblower Complaint” (OSHA8–60.1), that will provide additional methods for employees to submit complaints of retaliation to OSHA: Either by submitting the form electronically directly through the Internet; or by downloading, completing and submitting the form to OSHA by fax, mail, or hand-delivery. The proposed form will enable workers to electronically submit whistleblower complaints directly to OSHA 24-hours a day, which will provide workers with greater flexibility for meeting statutory filing deadlines. Additionally, by streamlining the Agency’s electronic complaint filing process, the form will reduce the Agency’s complaint processing time, which will improve the

quality of the customer service that the Agency can offer the public.

## II. Special Issues for Comment

OSHA has a particular interest in comments on the following issues:

- Whether the proposed information collection requirements are necessary for the proper performance of the Agency’s functions, including whether the information is useful;
- The accuracy of OSHA’s estimate of the burden (time and costs) of the information collection requirements, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- The quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and
- Ways to minimize the burden on individuals who must comply; for example, by using automated or other technological information collection and transmission techniques.

## III. Proposed Actions

OSHA is requesting that OMB approve the proposed revision of the information collection requirements contained in OSHA’s statutory authorities and the regulations containing procedures for handling retaliation complaints at 29 CFR parts 24, 1978, 1979, 1980, 1981, 1982, and 1983.<sup>1</sup> Specifically, this revision contains a new information collection instrument, a form, which employees may use to file complaints. In addition, OSHA is requesting an adjustment increase in burden hours from 2,503 burden hours to 2,686 burden hours (a total increase of 183 hours). The adjustment increase is due to updated data showing an increase in the annual number of complaints filed. The Agency will summarize the comments submitted in response to this notice, and will include this summary in the request to OMB.

*Type of Review:* Revision of a currently approved collection.

*Title:* Regulations Containing Procedures for Handling Retaliation Complaints.

*OMB Number:* 1218–0236.

*Affected Public:* Individuals.

*Number of Respondents:* 2,686.

*Frequency of Response:* Once per complaint.

*Average Time per Response:* 1 hour.

*Estimated Total Burden Hours:* 2,686.

*Estimated Cost (Operation and Maintenance):* \$0.

<sup>1</sup> Several of these regulations use the term “discrimination” or “discrimination complaints” in their titles. These terms are synonymous with “retaliation” and “retaliation complaints,” respectively.

#### IV. Public Participation—Submission of Comments on This Notice and Internet Access to Comments and Submissions

You may submit comments in response to this document as follows:

(1) Electronically at <http://www.regulations.gov>, which is the Federal eRulemaking Portal; (2) by facsimile (fax); or (3) by hard copy. All comments, attachments, and other material must identify the Agency name and the OSHA docket number for the ICR (Docket No. OSHA–2012–0026). You may supplement electronic submissions by uploading document files electronically. If you wish to mail additional materials in reference to an electronic or facsimile submission, you must submit them to the OSHA Docket Office (see the section of this notice titled **ADDRESSES**). The additional materials must clearly identify your electronic comments by your name, date, and the docket number so the Agency can attach them to your comments.

Because of security procedures, the use of regular mail may cause a significant delay in the receipt of comments. For information about security procedures concerning the delivery of materials by hand, express delivery, messenger or courier service, please contact the OSHA Docket Office at (202) 693–2350, (TTY) (877) 889–5627. Comments and submissions are posted without change at <http://www.regulations.gov>. Therefore, OSHA cautions commenters about submitting personal information such as social security numbers and dates of birth. Although all submissions are listed in the <http://www.regulations.gov> index, some information (e.g., copyrighted material) is not publicly available to read or download through this Web site. All submissions, including copyrighted material, are available for inspection and copying at the OSHA Docket Office. Information on using the <http://www.regulations.gov> Web site to submit comments and access the docket is available at the Web site's "User Tips" link. Contact the OSHA Docket Office for information about materials not available through the Web site, and for assistance in using the Internet to locate docket submissions.

#### V. Authority and Signature

David Michaels, Ph.D., MPH, Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health, directed the preparation of this notice. The authority for this notice is the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44

U.S.C. 3506 *et seq.*) and Secretary of Labor's Order No. 1–2012 (77 FR 3912).

**David Michaels,**

*Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health.*

[FR Doc. 2013–00866 Filed 1–16–13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510–26–P**

#### OFFICE OF NATIONAL DRUG CONTROL POLICY

##### Information Collection; Paperwork Reduction Act; 60-Day Notice

**AGENCY:** Office of National Drug Control Policy.

**ACTION:** 60-Day Notice of Information Collection; Public Comment.

Pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act and in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10, the Office of National Drug Control Policy (ONDCP), Executive Office of the President, submits the following information collection request for review and approval by the Office of Management and Budget.

Overview of the information collection activity:

(1) *Type of Information Collection:* New Collection.

(2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* Arrestee Drug Abuse Monitoring (ADAM II) Program Questionnaire.

(3) ONDCP Form Number 3201–0016. Office of National Drug Control Policy.

(4) *Affected public:* A probability based sample of persons arrested in selected booking facilities in five U.S. counties. Data is collected during a 3-week period in each county. The total effort will be conducted within three months.

*Abstract:* The ADAM II survey will collect data concerning the personal drug use, drug and alcohol treatment, arrests, and drug market participation of the arrestee. Data will be collected from a sample of 350 arrestees in each of the five counties. Data collected include a personal interview and urine specimen taken within 48 hours of arrest. Participation is voluntary and confidential.

Key study goals are to:

(1) Provide data on drug use in particular areas of the county that is directly comparable to data collected from 2000–20003 in ADAM;

(2) Obtain consistent data collection points to support statistical trend analysis for the use of heroin, cocaine, crack, marijuana and methamphetamine;

(3) Provide data used to support the Office of National Drug Control Policy's efforts to estimate chronic drug use and examine market behavior;

(4) Estimate of the total number of respondents and the average respondent burden: The estimated number of respondents is 1750, 350 per data collection cycle in five sites. Based on the prior ADAM data collection information and estimates of any instrument changes, it is estimated that the survey will take an average of approximately 26 minutes to complete.

Estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with this collection: An estimated 765 hours of public burden is associated with this collection.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; or

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

You may submit comments to, or request additional information from, Fe Caces, Office of National Drug Control Policy, Washington, DC 20503 or by email to [MCaces@ONDCP.EOP.GOV](mailto:MCaces@ONDCP.EOP.GOV).

Submitted January 11, 2013.

**Daniel R. Petersen,**

*Deputy General Counsel.*

[FR Doc. 2013–00857 Filed 1–16–13; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3180–02–P**

#### NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

##### Notice of Permit Applications Received; Under the Antarctic Conservation Act of 1978 (Pub. L. 95–541)

**AGENCY:** National Science Foundation.

**ACTION:** Notice of Permit Applications Received under the Antarctic Conservation Act of 1978, Public Law 95–541.