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SUMMARY: Under the provisions of Section 3507(a)(1)(D) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA), the National Institutes of Health (NIH) has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request to review and approve the information collection listed below. This proposed information collection was previously published in the Federal Register on November 26, 2012, Vol. 77, No. 227, p. 70451 and allowed 60-days for public comment. Two comments were received in support of this request. The purpose of this notice is to allow an additional 30 days for public comment. The National Institutes of Health may not conduct or sponsor, and the respondent is not required to respond to, an information collection that has been extended, revised, or implemented on or after October 1, 1995, unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. Proposed Collection: Title: Cognitive Testing of Instrumentation and Materials for Population Assessment of Tobacco and Health (PATH) Study. Type of Information Collection Request: New. Need and Use of Information Collection: The PATH study will establish a population-based framework for monitoring and assessing the behavioral and health impacts of regulatory provisions implemented as part of the Family Smoking Prevention and Tobacco Control Act (FSPTCA) by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA). NIDA is requesting generic approval from OMB for methodological studies to improve the PATH study instrumentation and data collection procedures. These methodological studies will support ongoing assessment and refinement of the PATH study’s design, and highlight ways to improve study implementation, data collection procedures, and techniques for retention and followup. Data collection methods to be used in these methodological studies include: in-person and telephone surveys; web and smartphone/mobile phone surveys; and focus group and individual in-depth qualitative interviews. Biospecimens may also be collected from adults.

Frequency of Response: Annual [As needed on an on-going and concurrent basis]. Affected Public: Individuals. Type of Respondents: Youth (ages 12–17) and Adults (ages 18+). Annual Reporting Burden: See Table 1. The annualized cost to respondents is estimated at: $371,284. There are no capital, operating or maintenance costs.

There are two scenarios for completing the form. The first is where the Principal Investigator (PI) completes the entire FITBIR Informatics System Data Access Request form, and the second where the PI has the Research Assistant begins filling out the form and PI provides the final reviews and signs it. The estimated annual burden hours to complete the data request form are listed below.

**Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours Requested:** 63.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Form</th>
<th>Number of respondents</th>
<th>Frequency of response</th>
<th>Average time per response (in hours)</th>
<th>Annual hour burden</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 1—ESTIMATED ANNUAL REPORTING BURDEN SUMMARY—METHODOLOGICAL STUDIES FOR THE PATH STUDY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data collection activity</th>
<th>Type of respondent</th>
<th>Number of respondents</th>
<th>Responses per respondent</th>
<th>Hours per response</th>
<th>Annual hour burden</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In-person and telephone surveys</td>
<td>Adults</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>90/60</td>
<td>7,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web and smartphone/mobile phone surveys.</td>
<td>Adults</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>90/60</td>
<td>7,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focus groups and individual in-depth qualitative interviews.</td>
<td>Youth</td>
<td>3,500</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>90/60</td>
<td>5,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adults</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biospecimen collection</td>
<td>Youth</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adults</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE 1—ESTIMATED ANNUAL REPORTING BURDEN SUMMARY—METHODOLOGICAL STUDIES FOR THE PATH STUDY—Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data collection activity</th>
<th>Type of respondent</th>
<th>Number of respondents</th>
<th>Responses per respondent</th>
<th>Hours per response</th>
<th>Annual hour burden</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>29,750</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION

Draft Program Comment for Extending the Duration of Programmatic Agreements Based on the Department of Energy Prototype Programmatic Agreement for Its Weatherization Assistance Program, State Energy Program, and Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant

AGENCY: Advisory Council on Historic Preservation.

ACTION: Notice of Intent to Issue Program Comments for Extending the Duration of Programmatic Agreements based on the Department of Energy (DOE) Prototype Programmatic Agreement for its Weatherization Assistance Program (WAP), State Energy Program (SEP), and Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant (EECBG).

SUMMARY: The Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP) is considering issuing a Program Comment for the DOE that would continue its program of tailored compliance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act for the Office of Weatherization and Intergovernmental Programs Weatherization Related Grant Programs: WAP, SEP, and EECBG. The ACHP seeks public input on the proposed Program Comment.

DATES: Submit comments on or before 5:00 p.m. EST, March 1, 2013.

ADDRESSES: Address all comments concerning this proposed Program Comment to Lee Webb, Office of Federal Agency Programs, Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Suite 803, Washington, DC 20004. You may also submit comments via fax at (202) 606–8647 or via electronic mail at lwebb@achp.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lee Webb, (202) 606–8583, lwebb@achp.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act requires federal agencies to consider the effects of their undertakings on historic properties and to provide the ACHP a reasonable opportunity to comment with regard to such undertakings. The ACHP has issued the regulations that set forth the process through which Federal agencies comply with these duties. Those regulations are codified under 36 CFR part 800 (Section 106 regulations).

Under Section 800.14(e) of those regulations, agencies can request the ACHP to provide a “Program Comment” on a particular category of undertakings in lieu of conducting individual reviews of each individual undertaking under such category, as set forth in 36 CFR 800.4 through 800.7. An agency can meet its Section 106 responsibilities with regard to the effects of particular aspects of those undertakings by taking into account ACHP’s Program Comment and following the steps set forth in that comment.

I. Background

The DOE’s Office of Weatherization and Intergovernmental Programs (OWIP) provides financial assistance to state agency applicants for three weatherization related grant programs: WAP, SEP, and EECBG. DOE has determined that activities carried out by these funded programs constitute undertakings with the potential to affect historic properties. Therefore, DOE must comply with Section 106 and its implementing regulations for these undertakings.

The ACHP and DOE began a partnership in August 2009 to explore possible program alternatives to tailor the Section 106 process for these undertakings in anticipation of the dramatic increase in project funding as a result of American Recovery and Reinvestment Act. DOE, in consultation with the ACHP and the National Conference of State Historic Preservation Officers, developed a prototype Programmatic Agreement (PA) to cover three weatherization related grant programs and to create efficiencies in the administration of these OWIP grants: WAP, SEP, and EECBG. The prototype PA identifies a category of routine undertakings with limited potential to affect historic properties and exempts them from further review. The ACHP’s Chairman designated the prototype PA on February 8, 2010. Under the terms of the prototype PA, DOE, the SHPO, and the relevant state agency receiving OWIP grants can execute subsequent