SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce ("the Department") is conducting a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on certain new pneumatic off-the-road tires ("OTR tires") from the People's Republic of China ("PRC"). The period of review ("POR") is September 1, 2011, through February 29, 2012. The review covers a single entry of subject merchandise exported by Trelleborg Wheel Systems (Xingtai) China, Co. Ltd. ("Trelleborg Wheel Systems China") and imported by its U.S. affiliate, Trelleborg Wheel Systems Americas. We have preliminarily found that Trelleborg Wheel Systems China did not make a sale of subject merchandise at less than normal value.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Raquel Silva or Eugene Degnan or, AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–6475 or (202) 482–0414, respectively.
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The party’s name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of the issues to be discussed. Oral presentations will be limited to issues raised in the briefs. If a request for a hearing is made, parties will be notified of the time and date for the hearing to be held at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230. The Department will issue the final results of this administrative review, which will include the results of its analysis of issues raised in any such comments, within 120 days of publication of these preliminary results, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

**Deadline for Submission of Publicly Available Surrogate Value Information**

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.301(c)(3)(ii), the deadline for submission of publicly available information to value factors of production under 19 CFR 351.408(c) is 20 days after the date of publication of the preliminary results. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.301(c)(1), if an interested party submits factual information less than ten days before, on, or after (if the Department has extended the deadline), the applicable deadline for submission of such factual information, an interested party may submit factual information to rebut, clarify, or correct the factual information no later than ten days after such factual information is served on the interested party. However, the Department generally will not accept in the rebuttal submission additional or alternative surrogate value information not previously on the record, if the deadline for submission of surrogate value information has passed. Furthermore, the Department generally will not accept business proprietary information in either the surrogate value submissions or the rebuttals thereto, as the regulation regarding the submission of surrogate values allows only for the submission of publicly available information.

**Assessment Rates**

Upon issuing the final results of the new shipper review, the Department shall determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of the final results of new shipper review. For any individually examined respondents whose weighted-average dumping margin is above de minimis, we will calculate importer-specific ad valorem duty assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the importer’s examined sales to the total entered value of those same sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

We will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this new shipper review when the importer-specific assessment rate calculated in the final results of this review is above de minimis. Where either the respondent’s weighted-average dumping margin is zero or de minimis, or an importer-specific assessment rate is zero or de minimis, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties. The Department recently announced a refinement to its assessment practice in NME cases. Pursuant to this refinement in practice, for entries that were not reported in the U.S. sales databases submitted by company individually examined during this new shipper review, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the PRC-wide rate. In addition, if the Department determines that the exporter under review had no shipments of the subject merchandise, any suspended entries that entered under that exporter’s case number (i.e., at that exporter’s rate) will be liquidated at the PRC-wide rate.

The final results of this new shipper review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the final results of this review and for future deposits of estimated duties, where applicable.

**Cash Deposit Requirements**

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this new shipper review for shipments of the subject merchandise from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by sections 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For TWS China, which has a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be that established in the final results of this review (except, if the rate is zero or de minimis, then zero cash deposit will be required); (2) for previously investigated or reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters not listed above that received a separate rate in a prior segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the existing exporter-specific rate; (3) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be that for the PRC-wide entity; and (4) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporter that supplied that non-PRC exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

**Notification to Importers**

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

We are issuing and publishing these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214.


Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

**Appendix I**

List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum

1. Background.
2. Scope of the Order.
5. Separate Rates.
7. Surrogate Country.
8. Economic Comparability.
9. Significant Producers of Identical or Comparable Merchandise.
10. Data Availability.
11. Date of Sale.
12. Fair Value Comparisons.
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SUMMARY: On October 26, 2012, the Department of Commerce (the “Department”) published the preliminary results of the second sunset review of the antidumping duty order on folding gift boxes from the People’s Republic of China (“PRC”). We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results. The Folding Gift Boxes Fair Trade Coalition (“Domestic Parties”) 1 filed comments in support of the Department’s preliminary results and no other party submitted comments. Further, as a result of the determinations by the Department and the International Trade Commission (“ITC”) that revocation of the antidumping duty order would likely lead to a continuation or recurrence of dumping and material injury to an industry in the United States, the Department is publishing a notice of continuation of the antidumping duty order.

DATES: Effective Date: March 5, 2013.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Domitri Kalogeropoulos, AD/CVD Operations, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2623.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On October 26, 2012, the Department published the preliminary results 2 of the second sunset review on the antidumping duty order 3 on folding gift boxes from the PRC. We invited interested parties to comment on the Preliminary Results. Domestic Parties filed comments in support of the Department’s Preliminary Results and no other party submitted comments. Due to the complex issues discussed in the Preliminary Results, the Department has conducted a full sunset review pursuant to section 751(c)(5)(C) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”).

On December 10, 2012, the ITC determined, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act, that revocation of the Order would likely lead to a continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time. 4

Scope of the Order

The products covered by the order are certain folding gift boxes. Folding gift boxes are a type of folding or knock-down carton manufactured from paper or paperboard. Folding gift boxes are produced from a variety of recycled and virgin paper or paperboard materials, including, but not limited to, clay-coated paper or paperboard and kraft (bleached or unbleached) paper or paperboard. The scope of the order excludes folding gift boxes manufactured from paper or paperboard of a thickness of more than 0.8 millimeters, corrugated paperboard, or paper mache. The scope also excludes those gift boxes for which no side of the box, when assembled, is at least nine inches in length.

Folding gift boxes included in the scope are typically decorated with a holiday motif using various processes, including printing, embossing, debossing, and foil stamping, but may also be plain white or printed with a single color. The subject merchandise includes folding gift boxes, with or without handles, whether finished or unfinished, and whether in one-piece or multi-piece configuration. One-piece gift boxes are die-cut or otherwise formed so that the top, bottom, and sides form a single, contiguous unit. Two-piece gift boxes are those with a folded bottom and a folded top as separate pieces. Folding gift boxes are generally packaged in shrink-wrap, cellophane, other resin-based packaging films, or paperboard.

Imports of the subject merchandise are classified under Harmonized Tariff Schedules of the United States (“HTSUS”) subheadings 4819.20.0040 and 4819.50.4060. These subheadings also cover products that are outside the scope of the order. Furthermore, although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

Final Determination of Likelihood of Continuation or Recurrence of Dumping

In the Preliminary Results, the Department preliminarily determined that dumping would likely continue or recur if the Order were revoked, because the Department found dumping above de minimis levels in the investigation segment of this proceeding, and we determined that folding gift box imports from the PRC have been increasing in volume during the period of this sunset review. Thus, since issuance of the Order, dumping has continued at rates exceeding de minimis levels, which suggests that dumping is likely to continue if the Order is revoked.

As stated above, Domestic Parties submitted comments in support of our Preliminary Results, and we did not receive comment from any respondent interested party. Therefore, for the reasons explained in the Preliminary Results, we continue to determine dumping would likely continue or recur if the Order were revoked.

Final Determination of Magnitude of the Dumping Margin Likely To Prevail

In the Preliminary Results, the Department noted that section 752(c)(3) of the Act provides that the administering authority shall provide to the ITC the magnitude of the margin of dumping that is likely to prevail if the order were revoked. While normally, the Department will solicit a margin from the final determination in the investigation because that is the only
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2 See Folding Gift Boxes From the People’s Republic of China: Preliminary Results of the
