pome, and stone fruits completed July 25, 2012, and an addendum completed on January 24, 2013, that addresses other scenarios not covered in the July 25, 2012 new use assessment. The Agency has also conducted an ecological risk assessment, which includes a screening-level listed species assessment. EPA acknowledges that further refinements to the listed species assessment will be completed in future revisions and requests public comment on specific areas that will reduce the uncertainties associated with the characterization of risk to listed species identified in the current assessment.

#### Silica Silicates

The registration review docket for silica silicates (EPA-HQ-OPP-2007-1140) opened in a notice published in the Federal Register of March 26, 2008 (73 FR 16011) (FRL-8356-4). Silica silicates, silicon dioxide and silica gel, are insecticides and acaracides used in controlling insects at indoor and outdoor sites including a variety of crops. Silicon dioxide is diatomaceous earth, a naturally-occurring material composed of the shells of minute, single-celled algae. Silica gel is an amorphous form of silicon dioxide. Because of their abrasive characteristics, both active ingredients affect insects by removing the oily protective film covering their bodies which normally prevents the loss of water, leading to death by desiccation. The qualitative human health risk assessment conducted for the 1991 reregistration eligibility decision (RED) is still considered to be an adequate analysis of potential risks to humans. No new human health toxicology or exposure data were required or are available for silica silicates; therefore, a new human health risk assessment was not necessary to be completed for registration review nor is one being made available for comment at this time. Further, due to low toxicity, and the fact that silica silicates naturally occur in the environment, the Agency has conducted a qualitative ecological risk assessment for non-target terrestrial species. EPA acknowledges that further refinements to the listed species assessment will be completed in future revisions and requests public comment on specific areas that will reduce the uncertainties associated with the characterization of risk to listed species identified in the current assessment.

#### Undecylenic Acid (UDA)

The registration review docket for UDA (EPA-HQ-OPP-2011-0910) opened in a notice published in the **Federal Register** of December 21, 2011

(76 FR 79173) (FRL—9329—7). UDA is registered as an insecticide in pet shampoos and spray products in combination with dioctyl sodium sulfosuccinate (DSS). There are no food uses and, thus, no tolerances are established. UDA is approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) as an active ingredient in over the counter anti-fungal products, and it is also used as a flavoring agent. EPA has conducted a qualitative assessment for both human health and ecological risks, including listed species for UDA.

- 1. Other related information.
  Additional information on cyromazine, silica silicates, glufosinate ammonium, DSS, and UDA is available on the chemical pages for these pesticides in Chemical Search, http://www.epa.gov/pesticides/chemicalsearch/, and in each chemical's individual docket listed in Table in Unit III. Information on the Agency's registration review program and its implementing regulation is available at http://www.epa.gov/oppsrrd1/registration review/.
- 2. Information submission requirements. Anyone may submit data or information in response to this document. To be considered during a pesticide's registration review, the submitted data or information must meet the following requirements:
- To ensure that EPA will consider data or information submitted, interested persons must submit the data or information during the comment period. The Agency may, at its discretion, consider data or information submitted at a later date.
- The data or information submitted must be presented in a legible and useable form. For example, an English translation must accompany any material that is not in English and a written transcript must accompany any information submitted as an audiographic or videographic record. Written material may be submitted in paper or electronic form.
- Submitters must clearly identify the source of any submitted data or information.
- Submitters may request the Agency to reconsider data or information that the Agency rejected in a previous review. However, submitters must explain why they believe the Agency should reconsider the data or information in the pesticide's registration review.

As provided in 40 CFR 155.58, the registration review docket for each pesticide case will remain publicly accessible through the duration of the registration review process; that is, until all actions required in the final decision

on the registration review case have been completed.

#### **List of Subjects**

Environmental protection, Pesticides and pests, cyromazine, silica silicates (silica dioxide and silica gel), glufosinate ammonium (glufosinate), dioctyl sodium sulfosuccinate (DSS), and undecylenic acid (UDA), draft risk assessments.

Dated: Feburary 26, 2013.

#### Richard P. Keigwin, Jr.,

Director, Pesticide Re-evaluation Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 2013-05246 Filed 3-5-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL 9788-2; CERCLA-04-2013-3754]

Ward Transformer Superfund Site; Raleigh, Wake County, NC; Notice of Settlement

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Notice of settlement.

SUMMARY: Under 122(g)(4) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA), the United States **Environmental Protection Agency has** entered into a settlement at the Ward Transformer Superfund Site located in Raleigh, Wake County, North Carolina. Under the terms of the De Minimis Landowner Settlement Agreement B&B Apartments, LLC, agrees to sell the property and B&B Apartments, LLC, and Estes Express Lines, Inc., agree to provide access, implement/comply with institutional controls, and exercise due care and cooperation for such time as they own or lease the property in exchange for the covenant not to sue and contribution protection provided in the Settlement Agreement.

**DATES:** The Agency will consider public comments on the settlement until April 5, 2013. The Agency will consider all comments received and may modify or withdraw its consent to the settlement if comments received disclose facts or considerations which indicate that the settlement is inappropriate, improper, or inadequate.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the settlement are available from EPA Region 4 contact Ms. Paula V. Painter. Submit your comments by Site name Ward Transformer Superfund Site by one of the following methods:

- www.epa.gov/region4/superfund/ programs/enforcement/ enforcement.html
  - Email. Painter.Paula@epa.gov
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Attn: Paula V. Painter, Superfund Division, 61 Forsyth Street SW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Paula V. Painter at 404/562–8887.

Dated: February 12, 2013.

#### Anita L. Davis,

Chief, Superfund Enforcement & Information Management Branch, Superfund Division. [FR Doc. 2013–05244 Filed 3–5–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

#### **EXPORT-IMPORT BANK**

[Public Notice 2013-0117]

#### Agency Information Collection Activities: Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Export-Import Bank of the United States.

**ACTION:** Submission for OMB Review and Comments Request.

Form Title: EIB 92–51 Application for Special Buyer Credit Limit under the Multi-Buyer Export Credit Insurance Policy.

**SUMMARY:** The Export-Import Bank of the United States (Ex-Im Bank), as a part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal Agencies to comment on the proposed information collection, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

The Application for Special Buyer Credit Limit under the Multi-Buyer Export Credit Insurance Policy is used by 2,500 policyholders, the majority of whom are U.S. small businesses, who export U.S. goods and services. This application provides Ex-Im Bank with the credit information necessary to make a determination of eligibility of a transaction for Ex-Im Bank support with a foreign buyer credit request and to obtain legislatively required assurance of repayment and fulfills other statutory requirements.

The application can be reviewed at: www.exim.gov/pub/pending/eib92–51.pdf Application for Special buyer credit Limit Multi-buyer Credit Insurance Policy.

**DATES:** Comments should be received on or before May 6, 2013 to be assured of consideration.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments maybe submitted electronically on

WWW.REGULATIONS.GOV or by mail

to Jean Fitzgibbon, Export-Import Bank of the United States, 811 Vermont Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20571.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Titles and Form Number: EIB 92–51 Application for Special buyer credit Limit Multi-buyer Credit Insurance Policy

OMB Number: 3048–0015. Type of Review: Regular.

Need and Use: The information requested enables the applicant to provide Ex-Im Bank with the information necessary to obtain legislatively required assurance of repayment and fulfills other statutory requirements.

The number of respondents; 3,400. Estimated time per respondents: 30 minutes.

The frequency of response; Annually. Annual hour burden; 1,700 total hours.

Government Expenses:	
Reviewing time per hour	1 hour.
Responses per year	3,400.
Reviewing time per year	3,400
	hours.
Average Wages per hour	\$30.25.
Average cost per year (time *	\$102,850.
wages).	
Benefits and overhead	28%.
Total Government Cost	\$131,641.

#### Sharon A. Whitt,

Agency Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 2013–05154 Filed 3–5–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6690-01-P

## **EXPORT-IMPORT BANK of the UNITED STATES**

#### **Sunshine Act Meetings**

**ACTION:** Sunshine Act Notice of Open Meeting of the Advisory Committee of the Export-Import Bank of the United States (Ex-Im Bank).

TIME AND PLACE: Wednesday, March 20, 2013 from 11:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. The meeting will be held at the Export-Import Bank in Room 326, 811 Vermont Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20571.

**SUMMARY:** The Advisory Committee was established November 30, 1983, to advise the Export-Import Bank on its programs and to provide comments for inclusion in the reports of the Export-Import Bank of the United States to Congress.

**AGENDA:** Agenda items include briefings and discussions on the following topics: Ex-Im Bank business, Ex-Im Bank competitiveness report and Ex-Im Bank's content policy review.

**PUBLIC PARTICIPATION:** The meeting will be open to public participation, and the last 10 minutes will be set aside for oral questions or comments. Members of the public may also file written statement(s) before or after the meeting.

If members of the public wishes to attend, they must contact Niki Shepperd by 5pm (EST) on March 19, 2013. If any person wishes auxiliary aids (such as a sign language interpreter) or other special accommodations, please contact, by March 19, 2013, Niki Shepperd. Niki Shepperd can be reached at: 811 Vermont Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20571, Voice: (202) 565–3202 or TDD (202) 565–3377.

**FURTHER INFORMATION:** For further information, contact Niki Shepperd, 811 Vermont Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20571, (202) 565–3202.

#### Sharon A. Whitt,

Agency Clearance Officer. [FR Doc. 2013–05360 Filed 3–4–13; 4:15 pm] BILLING CODE 6690–01–P

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

# Information Collection Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Communications Commission (FCC), as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burdens, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995. Comments are requested concerning whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and ways to further reduce the information collection burden on small business concerns with fewer than 25 employees.

The FCC may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it