submitted responsive briefing including a proposed limited exclusion order directed to the covered products of Pandigital, Aiptek, Nextar, and the WinAccord respondents and cease and desist orders directed to each of the defaulting respondents.

The Commission has determined that the appropriate form of relief includes a limited exclusion order prohibiting: (1) The unlicensed entry of digital photo frames and image display devices and components thereof that infringe one or more of claims 9, 11–12, and 14 of the '443 patent, claims 25–26 and 28–29 of the '424 patent, or claims 1, 7, 11, 17, 19, and 21 of the '549 patent, which are manufactured abroad by or on behalf of, or are imported by or on behalf of, Pandigital or one of the WinAccord respondents, or any of their affiliated companies, parents, subsidiaries, licensees, contractors, or other related business entities, or their successors or assigns; (2) the unlicensed entry of digital photo frames and image display devices and components thereof that infringe one or more of claims 9 and 14 of the '443 patent or claims 25–26 and 28–29 of the '424 patent, which are manufactured abroad by or on behalf of, or are imported by or on behalf of, Nextar or any of its affiliated companies, parents, subsidiaries, licensees, contractors, or other related business entities, or its successors or assigns; and (3) the unlicensed entry of digital photo frames and image display devices and components thereof that infringe one or more of claims 9 and 11–12 of the '443 patent or claims 25–26 and 28–29 of the '424 patent, which are manufactured abroad by or on behalf of, or are imported by or on behalf of, Pandigital or either of the WinAccord respondents from conducting any of the following activities in the United States: importing, selling, marketing, advertising, distributing, offering for sale, transferring (except for exportation), and soliciting U.S. agents or distributors for digital photo frames and image display devices and components thereof that infringe one or more of claims 9 and 14 of the '443 patent or claims 25–26 and 28–29 of the '424 patent; and (3) Aiptek from conducting any of the following activities in the United States: importing, selling, marketing, advertising, distributing, offering for sale, transferring (except for exportation), and soliciting U.S. agents or distributors for digital photo frames and image display devices and components thereof that infringe one or more of claims 9 and 11–12 of the '443 patent or claims 25–26 and 28–29 of the '424 patent.

The Commission has further determined that the public interest factors enumerated in sections 337(d), (f), and (g)(1) (19 U.S.C. 1337(d), (f), and (g)(1)) do not preclude issuance of the limited exclusion order or the cease and desist orders. Finally, the Commission has determined that a bond in the amount of 100 percent of the entered value of the covered products is required to permit temporary importation during the period of Presidential review (19 U.S.C. 1337(j)). The Commission’s orders were delivered to the President and to the United States Trade Representative on the day of their issuance.

The Commission has terminated this investigation. The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in sections 210.16(c), 210.17, 210.41, and 210.50 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.16(c), 210.17, 210.41, and 210.50).

Issued: March 12, 2013.
By order of the Commission.
Lisa R. Barton, Acting Secretary to the Commission.
[FR Doc. 2013–06107 Filed 3–15–13; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION
[Investigation No. 337–TA–666 (Modification Proceeding)]
Certain Cold Cathode Fluorescent Lamp ("CCFL") Inverter Circuits and Products Containing Same; Commission’s Determination To Institute a Modification Proceeding; Modification of the September 25, 2009 Consent Order; Termination of the Proceeding
ACTION: Notice.
SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to institute a modification proceeding, modify the Commission’s September 25, 2009 Consent Order, and terminate the modification proceeding.