Category 5: Time Estimate. A total of nine comments stated that the estimated time to complete the PPR is too low.

Response: The time required to complete the PPR is limited to the time needed to enter the PPR information into the PPR module in eGrants and does not include the time invested in project management, performance measures monitoring, or gathering the performance measures and PPR data. However, we concur that the full burden should be reviewed, particularly since respondents will use new eGrants system functionality under this PPR version.

Category 6: CNCS Staff Oversight. A total of two comments stated that CNCS staff would not have time to react to and provide feedback on a semi-annual report.

Response: Prior to the recent move to annual PPRs, CNCS staff provided feedback on semi-annual and annual PPRs. Time to provide feedback has not been an issue for CNCS staff in the past.

Category 7: Suggestion for Performance Metric for Achievement. One comment suggested that grantees self-report whether they are on target to achieve the end of year goal, rather than reporting quantifiable data against the performance measures.

Response: Data that cannot be supported with quantifiable information would not provide enough useful information for CNCS.

Category 8: Other. One commenter stated that Senior Corps is a waste of government money and recommends closing out Senior Corps grants and programs.

Response: This comment is outside the scope of the information request.

Description: CNCS is seeking approval of the Senior Corps Progress Report (PPR), which is used by grantees of the Senior Corps’ programs (RSVP, Foster Grandparent and Senior Companion Programs) address and fulfill legislated program purposes; meet OMB Progress Report Requirements; meet agency program management and grant requirements; track and measure progress to benefit the local project and its contributions to senior volunteers and the community; and to report progress toward work plan objectives agreed upon in the granting of the award. The PPR also includes a Progress Report Supplement (PRS), which is administered annually to all Senior Corps grantees. This PRS survey collects data from all grantees that is then aggregated to develop snapshots about Senior Corps volunteers, such as demographic characteristics, reasons for separating from the program, and service hours per week.

Type of Review: Renewal.
Agency: Corporation for National and Community Service.
Title: Senior Corps Progress Report (PPR).
OMB Number: 3045–033.
Agency Number: None.
Affected Public: Senior Corps grantees.
Total Respondents: 1,250.
Frequency: Semi-annual for PPR.
Annual for PRS.
Average Time per Response: 14 hours.
Estimated Total Burden Hours: 17,500.

Total Burden Cost (capital/startup): None.
Total Burden Cost (operating/maintenance): None.
Dated: June 12, 2013.
Erwin Tan, Director, Senior Corps.
[FR Doc. 2013–14461 Filed 6–17–13; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6050–28–P
Notice of Proposed Issuance of Letter of Offer Pursuant to Section 36(b)(1) of the Arms Export Control Act, as amended, we are forwarding herewith Transmittal No. 13–34, concerning the Department of the Army’s proposed Letter(s) of Offer and Acceptance to Thailand for defense articles and services estimated to cost $77 million. After this letter is delivered to your office, we plan to issue a press statement to notify the public of this proposed sale.

Sincerely,

William E. Landay III
Vice Admiral, USN
Director

Enclosures:
1. Transmittal
2. Policy Justification

Transmittal No. 13–34
Notice of Proposed Issuance of Letter of Offer Pursuant to Section 36(b)(1) of the Arms Export Control Act, as amended
(i) Prospective Purchaser: Thailand
(ii) Total Estimated Value:
Major Defense Equipment* $45 million
Other .................. $32 million
TOTAL ..................... $77 million
(iii) Description and Quantity or Quantities of Articles or Services under Consideration for Purchase: 6 UH–72A Lakota Helicopters, spare and repair parts, support equipment, communication equipment, publications and technical documentation, Aviation Mission Planning Station, personnel training and training equipment, U.S. Government and contractor technical and logistics support services, and other related elements of logistics support.
(iv) Military Department: Army (UAK)
(v) Prior Related Cases, if any: None
(vi) Sales Commission, Fee, etc., Paid, Offered, or Agreed to be Paid: None
(vii) Sensitivity of Technology Contained in the Defense Article or Defense Services Proposed to be Sold: None
(viii) Date Report Delivered to Congress: 7 June 2013

*as defined in Section 47(6) of the Arms Export Control Act.

POLICY JUSTIFICATION
Thailand—UH–72A Lakota Helicopters

The Government of Thailand has requested a possible sale of 6 UH–72A Lakota Helicopters, spare and repair parts, support equipment, communication equipment,
publications and technical documentation, Aviation Mission Planning Station, personnel training and training equipment, U.S. Government and contractor technical and logistics support services, and other related elements of logistics support. The estimated cost is $77 million.

This proposed sale will contribute to the foreign policy and national security of the United States, by helping to improve the security of a friendly country which has been, and continues to be, an important force for political stability and economic progress in Southeast Asia.

This proposed sale will contribute to Thailand’s goal to upgrade and modernize its military forces with a new light utility helicopter capable of meeting requirements for rotary-wing transportation, while further enhancing greater interoperability between Thailand the U.S., and among other allies. Thailand will have no difficulty absorbing these helicopters into its armed forces.

The proposed sale of this equipment and support will not alter the basic military balance in the region.

The principal contractor will be EADS North America, in Herndon, Virginia. There are no known offset agreements proposed in connection with this potential sale.

Implementation of this proposed sale will require U.S. Government or contractor representatives to travel to Thailand for a period of five weeks for equipment de-processing/fielding, system checkout and new equipment training and a Contractor Furnished Service Representative (CFSR) for a period of one year.

There will be no adverse impact on US defense readiness as a result of this proposed sale.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
Office of the Secretary
[Transmittal Nos. 13–25]
36(b)(1) Arms Sales Notification


SUMMARY: The Department of Defense is publishing the unclassified text of a section 36(b)(1) arms sales notification. This is published to fulfill the requirements of section 155 of Public Law 104–164 dated July 21, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. B. English, DSCA/DBO/CFM, (703) 601–3740.

The following is a copy of a letter to the Speaker of the House of Representatives, Transmittals 13–25 with attached transmittal and policy justification.

Dated: June 13, 2013.

Aaron Siegel,
Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.