Counsel, or Catherine B. Klion, Assistant General Counsel, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, 1200 K Street NW., Washington, DC 20005–4026, 202–326–4024. (For TTY and TDD, call 800–877–8339 and request connection to 202–326–4024.)

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: PBGC’s regulation on Rules for Administrative Review of Agency Decisions (29 CFR part 4003) prescribes rules governing the issuance of initial determinations by PBGC and the procedures for requesting and obtaining administrative review of initial determinations through reconsideration or appeal. Subpart A of the regulation specifies which initial determinations are subject to reconsideration. Subpart C prescribes rules on who may request reconsideration, when to make such a request, where to submit it, and content of reconsideration requests, and other matters relating to reconsiderations.

Any person aggrieved by an initial determination of PBGC under § 4003.1(b)(1) (determinations that a plan is covered by section 4021 of ERISA), § 4003.1(b)(2) (determinations concerning premiums, interest, and late payment penalties under section 4007 of ERISA), § 4003.1(b)(3) (determinations concerning voluntary terminations), or § 4003.1(b)(4) (determinations concerning allocation of assets under section 4044 of ERISA) may request reconsideration of the initial determination. Requests for reconsideration must be in writing, be clearly designated as requests for reconsideration, contain a statement of the grounds for reconsideration and the relief sought, and contain or reference all pertinent information. OMB has approved the administrative appeals collection of information under control number 1212–0063 through July 31, 2013. PBGC is requesting that OMB extend its approval of this collection of information for three years. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

PBGC estimates that an average of 700 filers per year will respond to this collection of information. PBGC further estimates that the annual burden of this collection of information per filer is about one-half hour and about $500, with an average total annual burden of about 240 hours and about $380,000. Issued in Washington, DC, this 19th day of June 2013.

Judy R. Starr, General Counsel, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.

[FR Doc. 2013–14522 Filed 6–18–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7709–01–P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. MC2013–52 and CP2013–66; Order No. 1747]

New Postal Product

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice

SUMMARY: The Commission is noticing a recently filed Postal Service request to add a Priority Mail Contract 59 to the competitive product list. This notice informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

DATES: Comments are due: June 20, 2013.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission’s Filing Online system at http://www.prc.gov. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the Commission at 800–877–8339 or via TDD at 202–789–6820.
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I. Introduction

In accordance with 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 39 CFR 3020.30 et seq., the Postal Service filed a formal request and associated supporting information to add Priority Mail Contract 59 to the competitive product list. It asserts that Priority Mail Contract 59 is a competitive product “not of general applicability” within the meaning of 39 U.S.C. 3632(b)(3). Request at 1. The Request has been assigned Docket No. MC2013–52.

The Postal Service contemporaneously filed a redacted contract related to the proposed new product under 39 U.S.C. 3632(b)(3) and 39 CFR 3015.5. Id. Attachment B. The instant contract has been assigned Docket No. CP2013–66. Request. To support its Request, the Postal Service filed six attachments as follows:

• Attachment A—a redacted copy of Governors’ Decision No. 11–6, authorizing the new product;
• Attachment B—a redacted copy of the contract;
• Attachment C—proposed changes to the Mail Classification Schedule competitive product list with the addition underlined;
• Attachment D—a Statement of Supporting Justification as required by 39 CFR 3020.32;
• Attachment E—a certification of compliance with 39 U.S.C. 3633(a); and
• Attachment F—an application for non-public treatment of materials to maintain redacted portions of the contract and related financial information under seal.

In the Statement of Supporting Justification, Dennis R. Nicoski, Manager, Field Sales Strategy and Contracts, asserts that the contract will cover its attributable costs, make a positive contribution to covering institutional costs, and increase contribution toward the requisite 5.5 percent of the Postal Service’s total institutional costs. Id. Attachment D at 1. Mr. Nicoski contends that there will be no issue of market dominant products subsidizing competitive products as a result of this contract. Id. Related contract. The Postal Service included a redacted version of the related contract with the Request. Id. Attachment B. The contract is scheduled to become effective on the day that the Commission issues all necessary regulatory approval. Id. at 4. The contract will expire 3 years from the effective date unless, among other things, either party terminates the agreement upon 30 days’ written notice to the other party. Id. The Postal Service represents that the contract is consistent with 39 U.S.C. 3633(a). Id. Attachment E.

The Postal Service filed much of the supporting materials, including the related contract, under seal. Id. Attachment F. It maintains that the redacted portions of the Governors’ Decision, contract, customer-identifying information, and related financial information should remain confidential. Id. at 3. This information includes the price structure, underlying costs and assumptions, pricing formulas, and information relevant to the customer’s mailing profile, and cost coverage projections. Id. The Postal Service asks the Commission to protect customer-
identifying information from public disclosure indefinitely. Id. at 7.

II. Notice of Filings

The Commission establishes Docket Nos. MC2013–52 and CP2013–66 to consider the Request pertaining to the proposed Priority Mail Contract 59 product and the related contract, respectively.

Interested persons may submit comments on whether the Postal Service’s filings in the captioned dockets are consistent with the policies of 39 U.S.C. 3632, 3633, or 3642, 39 CFR 3015.5, and 39 CFR part 3020, subpart B. Comments are due no later than June 20, 2013. The public portions of these filings can be accessed via the Commission’s Web site (http://www.prc.gov).

The Commission appoints Lyudmila Y. Bzhilyanskaya to serve as Public Representative in these dockets.

III. Ordering Paragraphs

It is ordered:


2. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, Lyudmila Y. Bzhilyanskaya is appointed to serve as an officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the interests of the general public in these proceedings.

3. Comments by interested persons in these proceedings are due no later than June 20, 2013.

4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the Federal Register.

By the Commission.

Ruth Ann Abrams,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2013–14502 Filed 6–18–13; 8:45 am]
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POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. CP2013–41; Order No. 1748]

Postal Product Amendment

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice

SUMMARY: The Commission is amending an existing Express Mail negotiated service agreement. This notice informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

DATES: Comments are due: June 20, 2013.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission’s Filing Online system at http://www.prc.gov. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents

I. Introduction
II. Notice of Filing
III. Ordering Paragraphs

I. Introduction

On June 12, 2013, the Postal Service filed notice that it has agreed to an amendment to the existing Express Mail Contract 13 (Amendment), which was added to the competitive product list in this docket. In its Notice, the Postal Service includes Attachment A, a redacted copy of the Amendment. It also filed the unredacted Amendment and supporting financial information under seal.

The Postal Service asserts that the Amendment will become effective one day after the day that the Commission completes its review. Id. at 1. It also seeks to incorporate by reference the Application for Non-Public Treatment originally filed in this docket for the protection of the information that it has filed under seal. Id.

The Amendment changes the annual adjustment mechanism for the second and third years of the contract. Id. Attachment A at 1. While the initial contract failed to include an annual adjustment provision, the Amendment bases the price increases for the second and third years of the agreement on the lesser of the average increase in prices of general applicability for “Express Mail Commercial Plus” or the previous year’s prices plus an adjustment factor. Id.

In Order No. 1640, the Commission conditionally approved the contract’s 3-year term on the Postal Service’s representations that the standard annual adjustment provision was inadvertently excluded from the contract and an amendment to the contract would be filed to remedy the exclusion. The Commission found that “[i]f the forthcoming amendment contains only the standard annual adjustment provision . . . the contract is authorized for the three-year term.” Id. at 5–6.

However, the Commission cautioned that if the amendment were to differ from the standard annual adjustment provision or contain additional terms, the Commission would notice the Amendment for comment prior to making a determination on the contract’s further approval. Id. at 6. Since the adjustment provision differs from the standard adjustment provision, the Commission is noticing the Amendment for comment.

II. Notice of Filing

Interested persons may submit comments on whether the changes presented in the Postal Service’s Notice are consistent with the policies of 39 U.S.C. 3632, 3633, or 3642, 39 CFR 3015.5, and 39 CFR part 3020, subpart B. Comments are due no later than June 20, 2013. The public portions of these filings can be accessed via the Commission’s Web site (http://www.prc.gov).

Lawrence Fenster will continue to serve as Public Representative in this docket.

III. Ordering Paragraphs

It is ordered:


2. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, Lawrence Fenster is appointed to continue to serve as an officer of the Commission (Public Representative) to represent the interests of the general public in these proceedings.

3. Comments by interested persons in these proceedings are due no later than June 20, 2013.

4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the Federal Register.

By the Commission.

Ruth Ann Abrams,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2013–14515 Filed 6–18–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710–FW–P

POSTAL SERVICE

Product Change—Priority Mail Negotiated Service Agreement

AGENCY: Postal ServiceTM.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Postal Service gives notice of filing a request with the Postal Regulatory Commission to add a domestic shipping services contract to the list of Negotiated Service...