only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s Internet Web site (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of NSCC and on NSCC’s Web site (http://www.dtcc.com/legal/rule_filings/nscc/2013.php). All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR–NSCC–2013–09 and should be submitted on or before August 8, 2013.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority. 7
Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2013–17178 Filed 7–17–13; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Self-Regulatory Organizations; the Depository Trust Company; Order Approving Proposed Rule Change in Connection With the Modifications to Receiver Authorized Delivery and Reclaim Processing Value Limits by Transaction

July 12, 2013.

I. Introduction

On May 17, 2013, The Depository Trust Company (“DTC”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change (SR–DTC–2013–04) pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”) 8 and Rule 19b–4 thereunder. 9 The proposed rule change was published for comment in the Federal Register on June 5, 2013. 3 The Commission did not receive any comments on the proposed rule change. This order approves the proposed rule change.

II. Description

DTC filed the proposed rule change to modify its Rules & Procedures (“Rules”), with respect to Receiver Authorized Delivery (“RAD”) and reclaim transactions, to: (i) lower limits for transactions subject to RAD to require Participants to match all returns; (ii) lower limits for same day reclaim transactions and (iii) revise the process for RAD matching of stock loans and returns.

Currently DOs and POs valued in amounts above $1 million and $1 million, respectively, are subject to the RAD process, which allows receivers to review and reject transactions that they do not recognize prior to processing for delivery. In contrast, lower value DOs and POs do not require the receiver’s acceptance prior to processing in accordance with DTC’s Rules; instead, such transactions may be returned by the receiver in a reclaim transaction, if the receiver does not recognize the DO or PO. While both the reclaim and RAD functionalities allow receiving DTC participants (“Participants”) to exercise control over which transactions to accept, replays tend to create uncertainty because transactions can be returned late in the day, when the original deliverer may have limited options to respond. Because such replays are permitted without regard to risk management controls, the Participant that initiated the original delivery versus payment may then incur a greater settlement obligation, increasing credit and liquidity risk to that Participant and to DTC. 5

Under the proposal, DTC is changing RAD to require Participants to match all settlement-related transactions valued greater than $7.5 million for valued DOs and $500,000 for POs, prior to processing. Matched transactions will be processed through DTC subject to risk management controls. 6 According to DTC the rule change will reduce the intraday uncertainty that may arise from reclaim transactions and any potential credit and liquidity risk from such reclams.

DTC also proposed a further revision to RAD for stock loan and stock loan return transactions. Currently, Participants may set bilateral and global limits for transactions subject to RAD which allow transactions with settlement values that are greater than DTC’s default limits, but less than the Participant’s defined bilateral and/or global limits, to be passively approved. 7 Any established limits apply to all transactions with the applicable counterparties (on either a bilateral or global basis) for all transaction types subject to RAD. However, stock loan transactions (and stock loan returns) are often different from ordinary buys and sells, because stock loans are often agreed upon on a same-day basis (as opposed to T+3 settlement of purchases and sales). Taking this difference into account, in addition to the revisions described above, the rule changes will allow receiving Participants to establish bilateral and global RAD limits for stock loans and stock loan return transactions that are different from other transaction types. 8 The DTC Settlement Services Guide will be revised to reflect the changes discussed above, and the effective date of the rule change will be announced to settle of its largest Participant or affiliated family of Participants. Net Debit Cap limits the net debit balance a Participant can incur so that the unpaid settlement obligation of the Participant, if any, cannot exceed DTC liquidity resources. The Collateral Monitor tests that a receiver has adequate collateral to secure the amount of its net debit balance so that DTC may borrow funds to cover that amount for system-wide settlement if the Participant defaults.

Each reclaim of a matched transaction that is attempted will be processed as an original deliverer and be subject to risk management controls and receiver approval (the original deliverer) via RAD. 7 A bilateral limit established by a Participant applies to transactions from a specified deliverer. A global limit established by a Participant is applied to all valued DOs and POs to the Participant not otherwise subject to a bilateral limit. Transactions passively approved under such limits may not be reclaimed.

The use of a stock lending and return profile will be voluntary and, absent a profile, the Participant’s transactions will be subject to RAD as applicable to ordinary DOs, including the established DTC limits as well as Participant established bilateral and global limits as described above.

A Deliver Order is a book-entry movement of a particular security between two DTC participants. A Payment Order is a method for settling funds amounts related to transactions and payments not associated with a Deliver Order. The defined term “DO” as used in this proposed rule change filing includes all valued Deliver Orders except for Deliver Orders of: (i) Money market instruments and (ii) institutional deliveries affirmed through Omgeo, both of which are not impacted by the proposed rule change.
DTC’s risk management controls, including Collateral Monitor and Net Debit Cap (as defined in DTC Rule 1), are designed so that DTC can effect system-wide settlement notwithstanding the failure.

8 A bilateral limit established by a Participant applies to transactions from a specified deliverer. A global limit established by a Participant is applied to all valued DOs and POs to the Participant not otherwise subject to a bilateral limit. Transactions passively approved under such limits may not be reclaimed.

The use of a stock lending and return profile will be voluntary and, absent a profile, the Participant’s transactions will be subject to RAD as applicable to ordinary DOs, including the established DTC limits as well as Participant established bilateral and global limits as described above.
III. Discussion

Section 19(b)(2)(C) of the Act requires the Commission to approve a proposed rule change of a self-regulatory organization if it finds that such rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and rules and regulations thereunder applicable to such organization. Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act requires, among other things, that the rules of a clearing agency be designed to promote the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions. The Commission finds that the rule change is consistent with these requirements because it will enhance settlement certainty by increasing the number of deliveries which will be required to be approved by a receiving Participant prior to DTC processing, thereby reducing the intraday uncertainty that may arise from reclaim transactions and any potential credit and liquidity risk from such reclaims and facilitating the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions.

IV. Conclusion

On the basis of the foregoing, the Commission finds that the proposal is consistent with the requirements of the Act and in particular with the requirements of Section 17A of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder.

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act, that the proposed rule change (File No. SR–DTC–2013–04) be, and hereby is, approved.

For the Commission by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.

Elizabeth M. Murphy,
Secretary.

---

### Table: CDS Clearing Member Risk Limits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trade Date</th>
<th>Before Trade 1</th>
<th>After Trade 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clearing Member Firm B House Origin</td>
<td>$5M</td>
<td>$5M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearing Member Firm B Customer Origin</td>
<td>$10M</td>
<td>$10M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Now, if the 2nd trade was executed on the following trade dates:

- **Example 1:** Credit Utilization—Same Trade Dates
  - Trade 1: Customer A executes a buy-protection $100M notional CDXHY20 5yr trade with Clearing Member Firm B equal to $5M in margin for the current trade date.
  - Trade 2: Customer C then executes a sell-protection $100M notional CDXHY20 5yr trade with Clearing Member Firm B for the current trade date.

- **Example 2:** Credit Utilization—Different Trade Dates
  - Trade 1: Customer A executes a buy-protection $100M notional CDXHY20 5yr trade with Clearing Member Firm B for the current trade date.
  - Trade 2: Customer B then executes a sell-protection $100M notional CDXHY20 5yr trade with Clearing Member Firm B for the current trade date.

---