DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service
[PPM–MWR–IATR–13283; PPMWMWROW2/PPMPSASY.YP0000]

Notice of Availability of the Record of Decision for the General Management Plan/Environmental Impact Statement for the Ice Age National Scenic Trail Interpretive Site, Wisconsin

AGENCY: National Park Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: The National Park Service (NPS) announces the availability of the Record of Decision (ROD) for the General Management Plan/Environmental Impact Statement (GMP/EIS) for the Ice Age National Scenic Trail (Trail) Interpretive Site, Wisconsin.

ADDRESSES: Copies may be picked up in person or by mailing a request in writing to the Ice Age National Scenic Trail Headquarters Office, 700 Rayovac Drive, Suite 100, Madison, WI 53711, by telephone at (608) 441–5610, or by email at: IATR_Superintendent@nps.gov. Copies of the ROD are available at the NPS Planning, Environment, and Public Comment Web site http://www.parkplanning.nps.gov/iatr or at the Trail Web site http://www.nps.gov/iatr.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Superintendent John Madden, at the address above or by telephone at (608) 441–5610.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: We, the NPS, have issued a ROD for the GMP/EIS for the Trail Interpretive Site near Cross Plains, Wisconsin. As soon as practicable, the NPS will begin to implement the selected alternative.

We have selected Alternative 5 as described in the Final GMP/EIS. The selected alternative (which was the preferred alternative) will provide visitors with interpretation of the evolution of the complex from the last glacial retreat and opportunities to enjoy appropriate low-impact outdoor recreation. Ecological resources will largely be managed to reveal the glacial landscape. The most sensitive ecological areas will be carefully protected, and visitor access will be highly controlled in these areas. Visitors will experience a wide variety of indoor and outdoor interpretive programming. Under this alternative, the Ice Age Complex will serve as the headquarters for the Trail.

Other alternatives considered included Alternative 1, no action, which described a continuation of existing management at the Trail and provides a baseline for evaluating the changes and impacts of the other alternatives. In Alternative 1, the Ice Age Complex would have remained undeveloped for visitor use and minimally maintained. The segment of the Trail would still have been built (by the Ice Age Trail Alliance) within the identified corridor under this alternative, but other trails would not have been constructed.

Alternative 2 emphasized ecosystem management and restoration. Vegetation would have been restored to conditions prior to the settlement of Europeans and managed to reveal glacial landscapes. Visitors would have experienced a sense of remoteness through hikes and trails.

Alternative 3 emphasized interpretation and education on how the Ice Age Complex evolved over time since the retreat of the last glacier. Throughout most of the complex, ecological resources would have been managed to reveal the glacial landscape. Visitors would have had an opportunity to experience a wide variety of resources, both ecological and geological, as well as remnants of human use of the site. The visitor experience would have involved sheltered and indoor settings at the core of the property and hiking throughout most other areas of the site. The Ice Age Complex would have served as the headquarters for the Trail.

Alternative 4 emphasized low impact outdoor recreation experiences in support of, and compatible with, preserving and interpreting the glacial significance of the complex and restoring and managing the ecosystem. Visitors would have experienced resources in diverse ways, participating in interpretive programming in indoor and outdoor settings. The Ice Age Complex would have served as the headquarters for the Trail under this alternative.

Dated: July 19, 2013.

Patricia S. Trap,
Deputy Regional Director, Midwest Region.

[FR Doc. 2013–18513 Filed 7–31–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–MA–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701–TA–452 and 731–TA–1129–1130 (Review)]

Raw Flexible Magnets From China and Taiwan; Institution of Five-Year Reviews


ACTION: Notice.
SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice that it has instituted reviews pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)) (the Act) to determine whether revocation of the countervailing duty order on raw flexible magnets from China and the revocation of the antidumping duty orders on raw flexible magnets from China and Taiwan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to the domestic industry within a reasonably foreseeable time. Pursuant to section 751(c)(2) of the Act, interested parties are requested to respond to this notice by submitting the information specified below to the Commission; 1 to be assured of consideration, the deadline for responses is September 3, 2013. Comments on the adequacy of responses may be filed with the Commission by October 15, 2013. For further information concerning the conduct of these reviews and rules of general application, consult the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207), as most recently amended at 74 FR 2847 (January 16, 2009).

DATES: Effective Date: August 1, 2013.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mary Messer (202–205–3193), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons may obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://www.usitc.gov). The public record for these reviews may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Background.—On September 17, 2008, the Department of Commerce published antidumping and countervailing duty orders on imports of raw flexible magnets from China and an antidumping duty order on raw flexible magnets from Taiwan (73 FR 53847–53850). The Commission is conducting reviews to determine whether revocation of the orders would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to the domestic industry within a reasonably foreseeable time. It will assess the adequacy of interested party responses to this notice of institution to determine whether to conduct full or expedited reviews. The Commission’s determinations in any expedited reviews will be based on the facts available, which may include information provided in response to this notice.

Definitions.—The following definitions apply to these reviews:

1. Subject Merchandise is the class or kind of merchandise that is within the scope of the five-year reviews, as defined by the Department of Commerce.

2. The Subject Countries in these reviews are China and Taiwan.

3. The Domestic Like Product is the domestically produced product or products which are like, or in the absence of like, most similar in characteristics and uses with, the Subject Merchandise. In its original determinations, the Commission defined a single Domestic Like Product as coextensive with Commerce’s scope.

4. The Domestic Industry is the U.S. producers as a whole of the Domestic Like Product, or those producers whose collective output of the Domestic Like Product constitutes a major proportion of the total domestic production of the product. In its original determinations, the Commission defined a single Domestic Industry consisting of the U.S. producers of raw flexible magnets. The Commission did not include fabricators in the domestic industry in the original determinations.

5. The Order Date is the date that the antidumping and countervailing duty orders under review became effective. In these reviews, the Order Date is September 17, 2008.

6. An Importer is any person or firm engaged, either directly or through a parent company or subsidiary, in importing the Subject Merchandise into the United States from a foreign manufacturer or through its selling agent.

Participation in the reviews and public service list.—Persons, including industrial users of the Subject Merchandise and, if the merchandise is sold at the retail level, representative consumer organizations, wishing to participate in the reviews as parties, must file an initial notice of appearance with the Secretary to the Commission, as provided in section 201.11(b)(4) of the Commission’s rules, no later than 21 days after publication of this notice in the Federal Register. The Secretary will maintain a public service list containing the names and addresses of all persons, or their representatives, who are parties to the reviews.

Former Commission employees who are appearing in each of the five-year reviews are advised that they may appear in a review even if they participated personally and substantially in the corresponding underlying original investigation. The Commission’s designated agency ethics official has advised that a five-year review is not considered the “same particular matter” as the corresponding underlying original investigation for purposes of 18 U.S.C. 207, the post employment statute for Federal employees, and Commission rule 201.15(b) (19 CFR 201.15(b)). 73 FR 24609 (May 5, 2008). This advice was developed in consultation with the Office of Government Ethics.

Consequently, former employees are not required to seek Commission approval to appear in a review under Commission rule 19 CFR 201.15, even if the corresponding underlying original investigation was pending when they were Commission employees. For further ethics advice on this matter, contact Carol McCue Verratti, Deputy Agency Ethics Official, at 202–205–3088.

Limited disclosure of business proprietary information (BPI) under an administrative protective order (APO) and APO service list.—Pursuant to section 207.7(a) of the Commission’s rules, the Secretary will make BPI submitted in these reviews available to authorized applicants under the APO issued in the reviews, provided that the application is made no later than 21 days after publication of this notice in the Federal Register. Authorized applicants must represent interested parties, as defined in 19 U.S.C. 1677(9), who are parties to the reviews. A separate service list will be maintained by the Secretary for those parties authorized to receive BPI under the APO.

Certification.—Pursuant to section 207.3 of the Commission’s rules, any person submitting information to the Commission in connection with these reviews must certify that the information is accurate and complete to the best of the submitter’s knowledge. In making the certification, the submitter will be deemed to consent, unless otherwise specified, for the Commission to share the information with Commission contract personnel to use the information provided in any other

1 No response to this request for information is required if a currently valid Office of Management and Budget (OMB) number is not displayed: the OMB number is 3117–0016/USITC No. 13–5–293, expiration date June 30, 2014. Public reporting burden for the request is estimated to average 15 hours per response. Please send comments regarding the accuracy of this burden estimate to the Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436.
reviews or investigations of the same or comparable products which the
Commission conducts under Title VII of the Act, or in internal audits and
investigations relating to the programs and operations of the Commission
pursuant to 5 U.S.C. Appendix 3.

Written submissions.—Pursuant to
section 207.61 of the Commission’s
rules, each interested party response to
this notice must provide the information
specified below. The deadline for filing
such responses is September 3, 2013.
Pursuant to section 207.62(b) of the
Commission’s rules, eligible parties (as
specified in Commission rule
207.62(b)(1)) may also file comments
concerning the adequacy of responses to
the notice of institution and whether the
Commission should conduct expedited
or full reviews. The deadline for filing
such comments is October 15, 2013. All
written submissions must conform with
the provisions of sections 201.8 and
207.3 of the Commission’s rules and any
submissions that contain BPI must also
conform with the requirements of
sections 201.6 and 207.7 of the
Commission’s rules. Please be aware
that the Commission’s rules with
respect to electronic filing have been
amended. The amendments took effect
on November 7, 2011. See 76 FR 61937
(Oct. 6, 2011) and the newly revised
Commission’s Handbook on E-Filing,
available on the Commission’s Web site
at http://edis.usitc.gov. Also, in
accordance with sections 201.16(c) and
207.3 of the Commission’s rules, each
document filed by a party to the reviews
must be served on all other parties to
the reviews (as identified by either the
public or APO service list as
appropriate), and a certificate of service
must accompany the document (if you
are not a party to the reviews you do not
need to serve your response).

Inability to provide requested
information.—Pursuant to section
207.61(c) of the Commission’s rules, any
interested party that cannot furnish the
information requested by this notice in
the requested form and manner shall
notify the Commission at the earliest
possible time, provide a full explanation
of why it cannot provide the requested
information, and indicate alternative
forms in which it can provide
equivalent information. If an interested
party does not provide this notification
(or the Commission finds the
explanation provided in the notification
inadequate) and fails to provide a
complete response to this notice, the
Commission may take an adverse
inference pursuant to section 776(b) of the
Act in making its determinations in the reviews.

Information to be Provided in
Response to this Notice of Institution: If
you are a domestic producer, union/
worker group, or trade/business
association; import/export Subject
Merchandise from more than one
Subject Country; or produce Subject
Merchandise in more than one Subject
Country, you may file a single response.
If you do so, please ensure that your
response to each question includes the
information requested for each pertinent
Subject Country. As used below, the
term “firm” includes any related firms.
(1) The name and address of your firm
or entity (including World Wide Web
address) and name, telephone number,
fax number, and Email address of the
certifying official.
(2) A statement indicating whether
your firm/entity is a U.S. producer of
the Domestic Like Product, a U.S. union
or worker group, a U.S. importer of the
Subject Merchandise, a foreign producer
or exporter of the Subject Merchandise,
a U.S. or foreign trade or business
association, or another interested party
(including an explanation). If you are a
union/worker group or trade/business
association, identify the firms in which
your workers are employed or which are
members of your association.
(3) A statement indicating whether
your firm/entity is willing to participate
in these reviews by providing
information requested by the
Commission.
(4) A statement of the likely effects of
the revocation of the antidumping and
countervailing duty orders on the
Domestic Industry in general and/or
your firm/entity specifically. In your
response, please discuss the various
factors specified in section 752(a) of the
Act (19 U.S.C. 1675a(a)) including the
likely volume of subject imports, likely
price effects of subject imports, and
likely impact of imports of Subject
Merchandise on the Domestic Industry.
(5) A list of all known and currently
operating U.S. producers of the
Domestic Like Product. Identify any
known related parties and the nature of
the relationship as defined in section
771(4)(B) of the Act (19 U.S.C.
1677(4)(B)).
(6) A list of all known and currently
operating U.S. importers of the Subject
Merchandise and producers of the
Subject Merchandise in each Subject
Country that currently export or have
exported Subject Merchandise to the
United States or other countries since
the Order Date.
(7) A list of 3–5 leading purchasers in
the U.S. market for the Domestic Like
Product for Subject Merchandise
(including street address, World Wide
Web address, and the name, telephone
number, fax number, and Email address
of a responsible official at each firm).
(8) A list of known sources of
information on national or regional
prices for the Domestic Like Product or
the Subject Merchandise in the U.S. or
other markets.
(9) If you are a U.S. producer of the
Domestic Like Product, provide the
following information on your firm’s
operations on that product during
calendar year 2012, except as noted
(report quantity data in pounds and
value data in U.S. dollars, f.o.b. plant).
If you are a union/worker group or
trade/business association, provide the
information, on an aggregate basis, for
the firms in which your workers are
employed/which are members of your
association.
(a) Production (quantity) and, if
known, an estimate of the percentage of
total U.S. production of the Domestic
Like Product accounted for by your
firm’s(s’) production;
(b) Capacity (quantity) of your firm to
produce the Domestic Like Product (i.e.,
the level of production that your
establishment(s) could reasonably have
expected to attain during the year,
assuming normal operating conditions
(using equipment and machinery in
place and ready to operate), normal
operating levels (hours per week/weeks
per year), time for downtime,
maintenance, repair, and cleanup, and a
typical or representative product mix);
(c) the quantity and value of U.S.
commercial shipments of the Domestic
Like Product produced in your U.S.
plant(s);
(d) the quantity and value of U.S.
internal consumption/company
transfers of the Domestic Like Product
produced in your U.S. plant(s); and
(e) the value of (i) net sales, (ii) cost
of goods sold (COGS), (iii) gross profit,
(iv) selling, general and administrative
(SG&A) expenses, and (v) operating
income of the Domestic Like Product
produced in your U.S. plant(s) (include
both U.S. and export commercial sales,
internal consumption, and company
transfers) for your most recently
completed fiscal year (identify the date
on which your fiscal year ends).
(10) If you are a U.S. importer or a
trade/business association of U.S.
importers of the Subject Merchandise
from the Subject Country(ies), provide
the following information on your
firm’s(s’) operations on that product
during calendar year 2012 (report
quantity data in pounds and value data
in U.S. dollars). If you are a trade/
business association, provide the
information, on an aggregate basis, for
the firms which are members of your
association.
(a) The quantity and value (landed, duty-paid but not including antidumping or countervailing duties) of U.S. imports and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total U.S. imports of Subject Merchandise from each Subject Country accounted for by your firm’s(s’) imports;

(b) the quantity and value (f.o.b. U.S. port, including antidumping and/or countervailing duties) of U.S. commercial shipments of Subject Merchandise imported from each Subject Country; and

(c) the quantity and value (f.o.b. U.S. port, including antidumping and/or countervailing duties) of U.S. internal consumption/company transfers of Subject Merchandise imported from each Subject Country.

(11) If you are a producer, an exporter, or a trade/business association of producers or exporters of the Subject Merchandise in the Subject Country(ies), provide the following information on your firm’s(s’) operations on that product during calendar year 2012 [report quantity data in pounds and value data in U.S. dollars, landed and duty-paid at the U.S. port but not including antidumping or countervailing duties]. If you are a trade/business association, provide the information, on an aggregate basis, for the firms which are members of your association.

(a) Production (quantity) and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total production of Subject Merchandise in each Subject Country accounted for by your firm’s(s’) production;

(b) Capacity (quantity) of your firm(s) to produce the Subject Merchandise in each Subject Country (i.e., the level of production that your establishment(s) could reasonably have expected to attain during the year, assuming normal operating conditions (using equipment and machinery in place and ready to operate), normal operating levels (hours per week/weeks per year), time for downtime, maintenance, repair, and cleanup, and a typical or representative product mix); and

(c) the quantity and value of your firm’s(s’) exports to the United States of Subject Merchandise and, if known, an estimate of the percentage of total exports to the United States of Subject Merchandise from each Subject Country accounted for by your firm’s(s’) exports.

(12) Identify significant changes, if any, in the supply and demand conditions or business cycle for the Domestic Like Product that have occurred in the United States or in the market for the Subject Merchandise in each Subject Country since the Order Date, and significant changes, if any, that are likely to occur within a reasonably foreseeable time. Supply conditions to consider include technology; production methods; development efforts; ability to increase production (including the shift of production facilities used for other products and the use, cost, or availability of major inputs into production); and factors related to the ability to shift supply among different national markets (including barriers to importation in foreign markets or changes in market demand abroad). Demand conditions to consider include end uses and applications; the existence and availability of substitute products; and the level of competition among the Domestic Like Product produced in the United States, Subject Merchandise produced in each Subject Country, and such merchandise from other countries.

(13) (Optional) A statement of whether you agree with the above definitions of the Domestic Like Product and Domestic Industry; if you disagree with either or both of these definitions, please explain why and provide alternative definitions.

Authority: These reviews are being conducted under authority of Title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.61 of the Commission’s rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: July 24, 2013.

Lisa R. Barton,
Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2013–18107 Filed 7–31–13; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701–TA–448 and 731–TA–1117 (Review)]

Certain Off-The-Road Tires From China

Institution of Five-Year Reviews


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice that it has instituted reviews pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)) (the Act) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping and countervailing duty orders on certain off-the-road tires from China (73 FR 51624–51629) will be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to the domestic industry within a reasonably foreseeable time. It will assess the adequacy of interested party responses to this notice of institution to determine whether to conduct full or expedited reviews. The Commission’s determinations in any expedited reviews will be based on the facts available, which may include information provided in response to this notice.

1 No response to this request for information is required if a currently valid Office of Management and Budget (OMB) number is not displayed; the OMB number is 3117–0016/USITC No. 13–5–292, expiration date June 30, 2014. Public reporting burden for the request is estimated to average 15 hours per response. Please send comments regarding the accuracy of this burden estimate to the Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Mary Messer (202–205–3193), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://www.usitc.gov). The public record for these reviews may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.—On September 4, 2008, the Department of Commerce published antidumping and countervailing duty orders on imports of certain off-the-road tires from China (73 FR 51624–51629). The Commission is conducting reviews to determine whether revocation of the orders would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to the domestic industry within a reasonably foreseeable time. It will assess the adequacy of interested party responses to this notice of institution to determine whether to conduct full or expedited reviews. The Commission’s determinations in any expedited reviews will be based on the facts available, which may include information provided in response to this notice.

1 No response to this request for information is required if a currently valid Office of Management and Budget (OMB) number is not displayed; the OMB number is 3117–0016/USITC No. 13–5–292, expiration date June 30, 2014. Public reporting burden for the request is estimated to average 15 hours per response. Please send comments regarding the accuracy of this burden estimate to the Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436.