One Source Toxicology Laboratory, Inc.,
National Toxicology Laboratories, Inc.,
Minneapolis Veterans Affairs Medical
MetroLab-Legacy Laboratory Services,
LabOne, Inc. d/b/a Quest Diagnostics,
Laboratory Corporation of America
Laboratory Corporation of America
Fortes Laboratories, Inc., 25749 SW
ElSohly Laboratories, Inc., 5 Industrial
DrugScan, Inc., 200 Precision Road,
1213 Genoa-Red Bluff, Pasadena, TX
93304, 661–322–4250/800–350–3515
1100 California Ave., Bakersfield, CA
2088
Minneapolis, MN 55417, 612–725–
Laboratory, 1 Veterans Drive,
Center, Forensic Toxicology
County Road D, St. Paul, MN 55112,
235–4890

Periodic on-site inspections of those LAPSA-
for conducting quarterly performance testing plus
continue under DOT authority. The responsibility
of those accredited Canadian laboratories will
meet the minimum standards of the Mandatory
Guidelines published in the
Federal Register
the laboratory (, July 16, 1996) as
qualified, HHS will recommend that DOT certify
U.S. laboratories do.

The Standards Council of Canada (SCC) voted to
end its Laboratory Accreditation Program for
Substance Abuse (LAPSA) effective May 12, 1998.
Laboratories certified through that program were
accredited to conduct forensic urine drug testing as
required by U.S. Department of Transportation
(DOT) regulations. As of that date, the certification
of those accredited Canadian laboratories will
continue under DOT authority. The responsibility
for conducting quarterly performance testing plus
periodic on-site inspections of those LAPSA-
accredited laboratories was transferred to the U.S.

HHS, with the HHS’ NLCP contractor continuing to
have an active role in the performance testing and
laboratory inspection processes. Other Canadian
laboratories wishing to be considered for the NLCP
may apply directly to the NLCP contractor just as
laboratories wishing to be considered for the NLCP
have an active role in the performance testing and
HHS, with the HHS’ NLCP contractor continuing to
an active role in the collaboration and exchange of
best practices and lessons learned within a secure collaborative
ing environment for cyber forensics practitioners from law enforcement,
private sector and academia. This clearinghouse enables its users to share information, best practices and lessons learned within a secure collaborative
environment. In order for a user to access this clearinghouse, he/she must complete a registration form to establish a user account. The information
collected is used by the DHS S&T CyberFETCH program to determine the authenticity and suitability of the practitioner requesting access. Once
approved, users will utilize the collaborative environment to upload documents/resources, exchange

Department of Homeland Security

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for Review; Information Collection Request for the Department of Homeland Security (DHS), Science and Technology, CyberForensics Electronic Technology Clearinghouse (CyberFETCH) Program

AGENCY: Science and Technology Directorate, DHS.

ACTION: 60-day Notice and request for comment.

SUMMARY: The Department of Homeland Security (DHS) Science and Technology (S&T) Directorate invites the general public to comment on data collection forms for the CyberForensics Electronic Technology Clearinghouse (CyberFETCH) program, and is a revision of a previously approved collection. CyberFETCH is responsible for providing a collaborative environment for cyber forensics practitioners from law enforcement, private sector and academia. This clearinghouse enables its users to share information, best practices and lessons learned within a secure collaborative

1 The Standards Council of Canada (SCC) voted to end its Laboratory Accreditation Program for Substance Abuse (LAPSA) effective May 12, 1998. Laboratories certified through that program were accredited to conduct forensic urine drug testing as required by U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT) regulations. As of that date, the certification of those accredited Canadian laboratories will continue under DOT authority. The responsibility for conducting quarterly performance testing plus periodic on-site inspections of those LAPSA-accredited laboratories was transferred to the U.S.
information, network with other users, as well as post blogs and comments.

The DHS invites interested persons to comment on the following form and instructions (hereinafter “Forms Package”) for the S&T CyberFETCH: (1) Request a CyberFETCH Account (DHS Form 10073). Interested persons may receive a copy of the Forms Package by contacting the DHS S&T PRA Coordinator. This notice and request for comments is required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13, 44 U.S.C. chapter 35).

DATES: Comments are encouraged and will be accepted until October 1, 2013.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments, identified by docket number DHS–2013–0047, by one of the following methods:

• Email: megan.mahle@hq.dhs.gov. Please include docket number DHS–2013–0047 in the subject line of the message.

• Mail: Science and Technology Directorate, ATTN: CyberFETCH, 245 Murray Drive, Mail Stop 0202, Washington, DC 20528.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: megan.mahle@hq.dhs.gov; (202) 254–2245 (Not a toll free number).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The information will be collected via the DHS S&T CyberFETCH secure Web site at http://www.cyberfetch.org/. The CyberFETCH Web site will only employ secure web-based technology (i.e., electronic registration form) to collect information from users to both reduce the burden and increase the efficiency of this collection.

The Department is committed to improving its information collection and urges all interested parties to suggest how these materials can further reduce burden while seeking necessary information under the Act.

DHS is particularly interested in comments that:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Suggest ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Suggest ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

Overview of This Information Collection

(1) Type of Information Collection: Renewal of a currently approved collection.

(2) Title of the Form/Collection: Science and Technology, CyberForensics Electronic Technology Clearinghouse (CyberFETCH) program.


(4) Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract: Individuals, consisting of federal, state and local law enforcement, private sector and academia practitioners. The information collected will be leveraged to determine the authenticity and suitability of the practitioner requesting access. Once approved, users will utilize the collaborative environment to upload documents/resources, exchange information, network with other users, as well as post blogs and comments.

(5) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:

a. Estimate of the total number of respondents: 1000.

b. An estimate of the time for an average respondent to respond: 25 burden hours.

c. An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection: 250 burden hours.

Dated: July 2, 2013.

Rick Stevens, Chief Information Officer for Science and Technology.

[FR Doc. 2013–18582 Filed 8–1–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110–9F–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

U.S. Customs and Border Protection

Agency Information Collection Activities: Passenger List/Crew List


ACTION: 30-Day notice and request for comments: Extension of an existing information collection.

SUMMARY: U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) of the Department of Homeland Security will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act: Passenger List/Crew List (CBP Form 1–418). This is a proposed extension of an information collection that was previously approved. CBP is proposing that this information collection be extended with a change to the burden hours. This document is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. This information collection was previously published in the Federal Register (78 FR 26648) on May 7, 2013, allowing for a 60-day comment period. This notice allows for an additional 30 days for public comments. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

DATES: Written comments should be received on or before September 3, 2013 to be assured of consideration.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit written comments on this information collection to the OMB Desk Officer for U.S. Customs and Border Protection, Department of Homeland Security, and sent via electronic mail to oira_submission@omb.eop.gov or faxed to (202) 395–5806.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information should be directed to Tracey Denning, U.S. Customs and Border Protection, Regulations and Rulings, Office of International Trade, 90 K Street NE., 10th Floor, Washington, DC 20229–1177, at 202–325–0265.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: CBP invites the general public and affected Federal agencies to submit written comments and suggestions on proposed and/or continuing information collection requests pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act (Pub. L. 104–13). Your comments should address one of the following four points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency/component, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies/components estimate of the