because of their education, training, or experience that enables them to give informed and objective advice regarding the interest they represent. They should demonstrate experience or knowledge of the area of their expertise and a commitment to collaborate in seeking solutions to resource management issues. The Board is structured to provide fair membership and balance, both geographic and interest specific, in terms of the functions to be performed and points of view to be represented. Members are selected with the objective of providing representative counsel and advice about public land and resource planning. No person is to be denied an opportunity to serve because of race, age, sex, religion, or national origin. The Obama Administration prohibits individuals who are currently federally registered lobbyists to serve on all FACAs and non-FACA boards, committees or councils. Pursuant to Section 7 of the Wild Free-Roaming Horses and Burros Act, members of the Board cannot be employed by either Federal or state governments.

Authority: 43 CFR 1784.4–1.

Edwin L. Roberson, Assistant Director, Renewable Resources and Planning.

[FR Doc. 2013–23340 Filed 9–24–13; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4310–84–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701–TA–505 and 731–TA–1231–1237 (Preliminary)]

Grain-Oriented Electrical Steel From China, Czech Republic, Germany, Japan, Korea, Poland, and Russia: Institution of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Investigations and Scheduling of Preliminary Phase Investigations


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the institution of investigations and commencement of preliminary phase antidumping and countervailing duty investigations Nos. 701–TA–505 and 731–TA–1231–1237 (Preliminary) under sections 703(a) and 733(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1671b(a) and 1673b(a)) (the Act) to determine whether there is a reasonable indication that an industry in the United States is materially injured or threatened with material injury, or the establishment of an industry in the United States is materially retarded, by reason of imports from China of grain-oriented electrical steel (“GOES”), provided for in subheadings 7225.11.00, 7226.11.10, and 7226.11.90 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States, that are alleged to be subsidized by the Government of China and reflects from China, Czech Republic, Germany, Japan, Korea, Poland, and Russia that are alleged to be sold in the United States at less than fair value. Unless the Department of Commerce extends the time for initiation pursuant to sections 702(c)(1)(B) or 732(c)(1)(B) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1671a(c)(1)(B) or 1673a(c)(1)(B)), the Commission must reach a preliminary determination in antidumping and countervailing duty investigations in 45 days, or in this case by November 4, 2013. The Commission’s views are to be issued within five business days thereafter, or by November 12, 2013.

For further information concerning the conduct of these investigations and rules of general application, consult the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A and B (19 CFR part 207).

DATES: Effective September 18, 2013.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mary Messer (202–205–3193), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on 202–205–1926. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–1920. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (http://www.usitc.gov). The public record for these investigations may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Background.—These investigations are being instituted in response to a petition filed on September 18, 2013, by AK Steel Corporation, West Chester, Ohio; Allegheny Ludlum, LLC, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania; and the United Steelworkers, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.

Participation in the investigations and public service list.—Persons (other than petitioners) wishing to participate in the investigations as parties must file an entry of appearance with the Secretary to the Commission, as provided in sections 201.11 and 207.10 of the Commission’s rules, not later than seven days after publication of this notice in the Federal Register. Industrial users and (if the merchandise under investigation is sold at the retail level) representative consumer organizations have the right to appear as parties in Commission antidumping and countervailing duty investigations. The Secretary will prepare a public service list containing the names and addresses of all persons, or their representatives, who are parties to these investigations upon the expiration of the period for filing entries of appearance.

Limited disclosure of business proprietary information (BPI) under an administrative protective order (APO) and BPI service list.—Pursuant to section 207.7(a) of the Commission’s rules, the Secretary will make BPI gathered in these investigations available to authorized applicants representing interested parties (as defined in 19 U.S.C. 1677f(9)) who are parties to the investigations under the APO issued in the investigations, provided that the application is made not later than seven days after the publication of this notice in the Federal Register. A separate service list will be maintained by the Secretary for those parties authorized to receive BPI under the APO. Conference.—The Commission’s Director of Investigations has scheduled a conference in connection with these investigations for 9:30 a.m. on October 9, 2013, at the U.S. International Trade Commission Building, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC. Requests to appear at the conference should be filed with William.Bishop@usitc.gov and Sharon.Bellamy@usitc.gov (DO NOT FILE ON EDIS) on or before October 7, 2013. Parties in support of the imposition of countervailing and antidumping duties in these investigations and parties in opposition to the imposition of such duties will each be collectively allocated one hour within which to make an oral presentation at the conference. A nonparty who has testimony that may aid the Commission’s deliberations may request permission to present a short statement at the conference.

Written submissions.—As provided in sections 201.8 and 207.15 of the Commission’s rules, any person may submit to the Commission on or before October 15, 2013, a written brief containing information and arguments pertinent to the subject matter of the investigations. Parties may file written testimony in connection with their presentation at the conference no later than three days before the conference. If briefs or written testimony contain BPI, they must conform with the

In accordance with sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the investigations must be served on all other parties to the investigations (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Authority: These investigations are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.12 of the Commission’s rules.

Issued: September 19, 2013.

By order of the Commission.

Lisa R. Barton,
Acting Secretary to the Commission.

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
Drug Enforcement Administration

Gabriel Sanchez, M.D.; Decision and Order

On August 14, 2012, the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration, issued an Order to Show Cause to Gabriel Sanchez, M.D. (hereinafter, Registrant), of Delray Beach, Florida. The Show Cause Order proposed the revocation of Registrant’s DEA Certification of Registration A59790420, and the denial of any pending applications for renewal or modification of the registration, on the ground that his “continued registration is inconsistent with the public interest.” GX 9, at 1 (citing 21 U.S.C. 824(a)(4) and 21 CFR 1306.04(a), 1301.71). Additionally, the Show Cause Order alleged that “[t]he prescriptions for oxycodone and carisoprodol that [the Registrant] issued to the UCs” violated Florida law because the prescriptions “were for other than a legitimate medical purpose in the usual course of professional practice in violation of Federal law.” Id. at 2 (citing 21 U.S.C. 829, 841(a) and 21 CFR 1306.04(a), 1301.71). Additionally, the Show Cause Order alleged that “[t]he prescriptions for oxycodone and carisoprodol that [the Registrant] issued to the UCs” violated Florida law because the prescriptions “were for other than a legitimate medical purpose in the usual course of professional practice.” Id. (citing Fla. Stat. Ann. § 456.072(1)(gg) and Fla. Admin. Code r. 64B-9.013).

The Show Cause Order also notified the Registrant of his right to either request a hearing on the allegations or to submit a written statement in lieu of a hearing, the procedures for electing either option, and the consequences of failing to do either. Id. On August 16, 2012, the Government accomplished service by personally serving the Registrant with the Order to Show Cause at the DEA Miami Field Division, GX 6. Registrant neither submitted a request for a hearing nor a written statement in lieu of a hearing. Req. for Final Agency Action, at 1. On May 20, 2012, the Government submitted a Request for Final Agency Action along with the investigative record it compiled. Having reviewed the record, I find that more than thirty days have now passed since the date of service of the Show Cause Order and neither Registrant, nor any one purporting to represent him, has filed a request for hearing or submitted a written statement in lieu of a hearing. Accordingly, I find that Registrant has waived his right to a hearing or to submit a written statement in lieu of a hearing and issue this Decision and Final Order based on relevant evidence contained in the record submitted by the Government. 21 CFR 1301.43(d) & (e). I make the following findings.

Findings

Registrant is a physician who is currently registered with DEA as a practitioner in schedules II–V at the registered address of 16244 South Military Trail, Suite 490, Delray Beach, Florida 33484. GX 8. Registrant’s registration expires by its terms on February 28, 2015. Id.

In July of 2010, Registrant was working as a physician at Pompano Beach Medical, located at 553 E. Sample Road, Pompano Beach, Florida 33064. GX 7. According to the affidavit of a DEA Diversion Investigator, on July 15, 2010, two DEA Task Force Officers (hereinafter, TFO One and TFO Two) conducted undercover visits to this medical facility and were seen by the Registrant. Id. at 2.

TFO One’s Visit

On July 15, 2010, TFO One conducted an undercover visit at Pompano Beach Medical under the name of Larry Olsen. Id. During this visit, TFO One filled out a follow-up medical form, and paid $200 in cash. Id. On this form, TFO One indicated that without medication, his pain level was between zero and two. GX 4, at 3.

Before being seen by Registrant, TFO One was seen by Leah Gustavson, a medical assistant. Id. at 1–2; GX 7, at 2. When questioned by Gustavson about his pain level being between zero and two, TFO One stated that “the pain hasn’t been near as bad as it . . . as it . . . uh . . . You know. It has been good.” GX 4, at 3. TFO One informed Gustavson that his pain was good even without medication, as long as he “watch[ed] what [he is] doing.” Id. He also indicated that his pain level had decreased even without the medication, leading Gustavson to indicate that the doctor would probably decrease his dosage. Id. at 4–5.

TFO One then informed Gustavson that he “may miss [his] next visit because [he would be] visiting the Baltimore area,” and was concerned about having enough medication to last him through the visit. Id. at 5.

Gustavson informed TFO One that “[w]e’re not allowed to give you extra.” Id. Gustavson then asked if TFO One was experiencing any side effects from his medication. Id. at 5–6. TFO One stated that he did not have any side effects, and noted that he does not “really get sick of medication . . . to be honest with you.” Id. at 6. However, TFO One indicated that he was

1 TFO One had visited the clinic twice before this visit, once in May, and once in June; at these visits, he was seen by another doctor. GX 7, at 2; GX 3, at 21–22. During the May visit, TFO One received prescriptions for 150 dosage units of oxycodone 30 mg, sixty dosage units of oxycodone 15 mg, and sixty dosage units of carisoprodol. GX 3, at 22. During the June visit, TFO One received prescriptions for 160 dosage units of oxycodone 30 mg, ninety dosage units of oxycodone 15 mg, and sixty dosage units of carisoprodol. Id. at 21.