As published, the maintenance manual number, P4202, as part of the model/manual number, 247F–1/P4202, in paragraph (g) of the Compliance section is incorrect.

No other part of the preamble or regulatory information has been changed; therefore, only the changed portion of the final rule is being published in the Federal Register.


Correction of Regulatory Text

§ 39.13 [Corrected]

In the Federal Register of August 15, 2013, on page 49662, in the 1st column, paragraph (g) of AD 2013–16–10 is corrected to read as follows:

*   *   *   *   *

(g) MI for Blades and Hubs That Do Not Have an Updated ALS

For Hamilton Standard Division propeller models 6/5500/F and 24PF and Hamilton Sundstrand Corporation models 14RF–19, 14RF–37, 14SF–11, 14SF–15, 14SF–23, 14SF–17, 14SF–19, 247F–1, 247F–1E, 247F–3, 568F–1, 568F–5, and 568F–7, that do not have an approved update to the ALS, within one year after the effective date of this AD, perform an MI on the blades and hubs no later than seven years after the DSI. The DSI will begin at initial installation after the most recent MI or initial installation after production. Guidance on the inspections can be found in the applicable Hamilton Standard Division models/manuals 6/5500/F/P5190 and 24PF/P5191, and Hamilton Sundstrand Corporation models/manuals 14RF–19/P5199, 14RF–37/P5209, 14SF–11/P5196, 14SF–15/P5197, 14SF–23/P5197, 14SF–17/P5198, 14SF–19/P5198, 247F–1/P5202, 247F–1E/P5204, 247F–3/P5205, 568F–1/P5214, 568F–5/P5203, and 568F–7/P5211.

*   *   *   *   *

Issued in Burlington, Massachusetts, on October 15, 2013.

Colleen M. D’Alessandro,
Assistant Directorate Manager, Engine & Propeller Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 2013–25108 Filed 10–24–13; 8:45 am]
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loss of the anti-torque function. The failure of the pitch horn, resulting in the loss of the anti-torque function of a pitch horn, which may lead to the loss of control of the helicopter.

The European Aviation Safety Agency (EASA), which is the Technical Agent for the Member States of the European Union, has issued EASA AD No. 2013–0133, dated June 28, 2013, to correct an unsafe condition for the Model AS350B, AS350BA, AS350BB, AS350B1, AS350B2, AS350B3, AS350D, AS355E, AS355F, AS355F1, AS355F2, AS355N, and AS355NP helicopters. EASA advises of an ongoing investigation of a crack in the yoke of a pitch horn for which a cause has not been determined. The EASA AD requires repetitive visual inspections of each pitch horn for a crack and replacing the pitch horn with a serviceable assembly if a crack is found. EASA states that its AD is an interim action and further action may follow.

Related Service Information

Eurocopter has issued one Emergency Alert Service Bulletin, Revision 1, dated June 25, 2013 (EASB), with four different numbers. EASB No. 05.00.74 is for Models AS350B, B1, B2, B3, BA, and D; the non-FAA type certificated Model AS350BB; and the non-FAA type certificated military Model AS350L1 helicopters. EASB No. 05.00.49 is for non-FAA type certificated military Models AS550A2, C2, C3, and U2 helicopters. EASB No. 05.00.65 is for Models AS355E, F, F1, F2, N, and NP helicopters. EASB No. 05.00.44 is for non-FAA type certificated military Model AS555AF, AN, SN, UF, and UN helicopters. Eurocopter has been informed of a case of a crack on the yoke of a pitch horn, which may lead to failure of the pitch horn, resulting in loss of the anti-torque function. The EASB specifies a check for cracks on the yokes of the two pitch horns and specifies replacing any cracked pitch horn. The EASB states that it may be necessary to modify the log card of the tail rotor blade assembly due to some of the pitch horn part numbers being recorded incorrectly.

AD Requirements

This AD requires:

- Based on the hours time-in-service, within a specified time, visually inspecting each pitch horn for a crack in the areas shown in Figure 1 of the EASB.
- If there is a crack, before further flight, replacing the pitch horn with an airworthy pitch horn.
- Before installing any pitch horn, P/N 350A121368, dye penetrant inspecting it for a crack.

Differences Between This AD and the EASA AD

The EASA AD applies to Eurocopter Model AS350BB that does not have an FAA type certificate and therefore is not a part of this AD. The EASA AD does not apply to Eurocopter Model AS350C or the AS350D1, while this AD does because those models have an FAA type certificate and may have the applicable pitch horn installed. This AD requires a dye-penetrant inspection before installing a pitch horn; the EASA AD does not. The EASA AD applies to parts with less than 135 hours TIS, while this AD does not. The EASA AD requires the pitch horn inspection to be repeated every 165 flight hours, and this AD does not.

Interim Action

We consider this AD to be an interim action. If final action is later identified, we might consider further rulemaking then.

Costs of Compliance

We estimate that this AD will affect 938 helicopters of U.S. Registry. We estimate that operators may incur the following costs to comply with this AD. Labor costs are estimated at $85 per work hour. We estimate .1 work hour to visually inspect a pitch horn for a total of $8.50 per helicopter and $7,973 for the fleet. We estimate 1 work hour to do a dye-penetrant inspection, for a total cost of $85 per helicopter. We estimate 1 work hour to replace a part if necessary, and a cost for required parts of $1,946, for a total cost of $2,031 per helicopter.

FAA’s Justification and Determination of the Effective Date

Providing an opportunity for public comments before adopting these AD requirements would delay implementing the safety actions needed to correct this known unsafe condition. Therefore we find that the risk to the flying public justifies waiving notice and comment prior to adopting this rule because the corrective actions must be accomplished, for helicopters flying with parts with more than 155 hours TIS, within 10 hours TIS, a short time period based on the average flight-hour utilization rate of these helicopters.

Since an unsafe condition exists that requires the immediate adoption of this AD, we determined that notice and opportunity for public comment before issuing this AD are impracticable and contrary to the public interest and that good cause exists for making this amendment effective in less than 30 days.

Authority for This Rulemaking

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA’s authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. “Subtitle VII: Aviation Programs,” describes in more detail the scope of the Agency’s authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in “Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart III, Section 44701: General requirements.” Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

Regulatory Findings

We determined that this AD will not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This AD will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed, I certify that this AD:

1. Is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866;
2. Is not a “significant rule” under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979);
3. Will not affect intrastate aviation in Alaska to the extent that it justifies making a regulatory distinction; and
4. Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

We prepared an economic evaluation of the estimated costs to comply with this AD and placed it in the AD docket.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39
Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment
Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA amends 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

§ 39.134 [Amended]

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by adding the following new airworthiness directive (AD):

2013–21–01 Eurocopter France:


(a) Applicability

This AD applies to Eurocopter France (Eurocopter) Model AS350B1, AS350B2, AS350B3, AS350C, AS350D, AS350D1, AS355E, AS355F, AS355F1, AS355F2, AS355N, and AS355NP helicopters with tail rotor hub pitch horn (pitch horn) assembly, part number (P/N) 350A121368.01, 350A121368.02, 350A121368.03, or 350A121368.04, with a pitch horn, P/N 350A121368 (any dash number), on any helicopter unless it has passed a dye penetrant inspection for a crack in the areas shown in Figure 1 of EASA Bulletin No. 05.00.74 or No. 05.00.65.

(b) Unsafe Condition

(1) The subject of this AD is addressed in European Aviation Safety Agency (EASA) Bulletin No. 05.00.74, dated June 25, 2013. You may view the EASA AD on the Internet at http://www.regulations.gov.

(i) Subject
Joint Aircraft Service Component (JASC) Code: 6400 Tail Rotor.

(ii) Material Incorporated by Reference

(1) The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference (IBR) of the service information listed in this paragraph under 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51.

(2) You must use this service information as applicable to do the actions required by this AD, unless the AD specifies otherwise.

(i) Eurocopter Emergency Alert Service Bulletin No. 05.00.74, Revision 1, dated June 25, 2013.

(ii) Eurocopter Emergency Alert Service Bulletin No. 05.00.65, Revision 1, dated June 25, 2013.

Note to paragraph (j)(2): Eurocopter Emergency Alert Service Bulletin No. 05.00.74 and No. 05.00.65, both Revision 1 and both dated June 25, 2013, are copublished as one document along with Eurocopter Emergency Alert Service Bulletin No. 05.00.49 and No. 05.00.44, both Revision 1 and both dated June 25, 2013, which are not incorporated by reference in this AD.

(3) For Eurocopter service information identified in this AD, American Eurocopter Corporation, 2701 N. Forum Drive, Grand Prairie, TX 75052; telephone (972) 641–0000 or (800) 232–0323; fax (972) 641–7775; or at http://www.eurocopter.com/techpub.

(4) You may view this service information at FAA, Office of the Regional Counsel, Southwest Region, 2601 Meacham Blvd., Room 663, Fort Worth, Texas 76137. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call (817) 222–5110.

(5) You may view this service information that is incorporated by reference at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call (202) 741–6030, or go to: http://www.archives.gov/federal-register/cfr/ibr-locations.html.

Issued in Fort Worth, Texas, on October 7, 2013.

Kim Smith,
Manager, Rotorcraft Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 2013–24816 Filed 10–24–13; 8:45 am]
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Airworthiness Directives; the Boeing Company Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule; request for comments.

SUMMARY: We are adopting a new airworthiness directive (AD) for certain The Boeing Company Model 747–8F and 747–8 series airplanes. This AD requires a detailed inspection of the power control actuator (PCA) installation to determine if a bushe...