SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Commission instituted this investigation on April 17, 2013, based on a complaint filed on behalf of Sling Media, Inc. of Foster City, California (“Sling”) on March 12, 2013. 78 FR 22899 (April 17, 2013). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,877,776 (“the '776 patent’’); 8,051,454 (“the '454 patent’’); 8,060,909 (“the '909 patent’’); 7,725,912 (“the '912 patent’’); 8,266,657 (“the '657 patent’’); and 8,365,236 (“the '236 patent’’). The notice of investigation named the Defaulting Respondents and Belkin International, Inc. of Playa Vista, California (“Belkin”), as respondents. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations is not participating as a party in this investigation.

On May 20, 2013, complainant Sling and respondent Belkin jointly filed a motion to terminate the investigation as to Belkin based on a settlement agreement. On June 5, 2013, the ALJ issued an initial determination (“ID”) granting the motion. See Order No. 4 (June 5, 2013). On July 5, 2013, the Commission determined not to review the ID terminating Belkin from the investigation.

On June 11, 2013, the ALJ ordered Monsoon to show cause by June 26, 2013, why it should not be held in default for failing to respond to the Complaint and Notice of Investigation. See Order No. 5 (June 11, 2013). On June 26, 2013, Monsoon did not respond to the show cause order, and instead moved to terminate the investigation based on a consent order. On July 8, 2013, the ALJ issued an ID, finding Monsoon to be in default for failing to respond to the show cause order. See Order No. 7 (July 8, 2013). The ALJ found that Monsoon’s motion to terminate on consent was defective and did not respond to the show cause order. Id. On July 15, 2013, Monsoon filed a contingent petition for review on the grounds that the ID affects Commission policy. The petition argued that the default finding should be reversed or remanded because Commission policy favors consent orders over default judgments. Additionally, the petition argued that Monsoon believed that its motion to terminate the investigation rendered the show cause order moot. On July 22, 2013, Sling opposed Monsoon’s petition. On August 20, 2013, the Commission determined not to review the ID finding Monsoon in default.

On July 11, 2013, the ALJ ordered C2 Microsystems to show cause by July 25, 2013, why it should not be held in default for failing to respond to the Complaint and Notice of Investigation. See Order No. 9 (July 11, 2013). No response to Order No. 9 was filed. On July 29, 2013, the ALJ issued an ID, finding C2 Microsystems to be in default under Commission Rule 210.16. See Order No. 11 (July 29, 2013). On August 15, 2013, the Commission determined not to review the ID finding C2 Microsystems to be in default. 78 FR 52211 (Aug. 22, 2013). The Commission requested briefing from the parties and the public on the issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding. On August 30, 2013, Sling filed responsive briefing, and submitted a proposed limited exclusion order and proposed cease and desist orders against Monsoon and C2 Microsystems. No other responses to the Commission notice were received.

The Commission finds that the statutory requirements of section 337(g) (19 U.S.C. 1337(g)) and Commission rule 210.16(a) (19 CFR 210.16(a)) are met with respect to the Defaulting Respondents. Accordingly, pursuant to section 337(g)(1) (19 U.S.C. 1337(g)(1)) and Commission rule 210.16(c) (19 CFR 210.16(c)), the Commission presumes the facts alleged in the complaint to be true and finds that Monsoon and C2 Microsystems are in violation of section 337.

The Commission has determined that the appropriate form of relief in this investigation is a limited exclusion order prohibiting the unlicensed entry of electronic devices having placinghifting or display replication functionality and products containing the same that are manufactured abroad by or on behalf of, or imported by or on behalf of, the Defaulting Respondents by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 18–24, 26, 28–30, 32–40, 42, and 43 of the '776 patent; claims 7, 9–12, 14, 15, and 17 of the '909 patent; claims 1, 2, 4, and 6–20 of the '454 patent; claims 58–68, 70, 71, 73, 74, 103, 104, 106, and 108 of the '912 patent; claims 1–81 of the '657 patent; and claims 1–8 and 15–20 of the '236 patent. The Commission has also determined to issue cease and desist orders directed against Monsoon and C2 Microsystems, which prohibit, inter alia, the importation, sale, advertising, marketing, and distribution of covered products in the United States by the Defaulting Respondents. The Commission has further determined that the public interest factors enumerated in Commission policy. The petition argued that the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).


Lisa R. Barton,
Acting Secretary to the Commission.
[FR Doc. 2013–29115 Filed 12–5–13; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

JOINT BOARD FOR THE ENROLLMENT OF ACTUARIES

Meeting of the Advisory Committee

AGENCY: Joint Board for the Enrollment of Actuaries.

ACTION: Notice of federal advisory committee meeting.

SUMMARY: The Executive Director of the Joint Board for the Enrollment of Actuaries gives notice of a meeting of the Advisory Committee on Actuarial Examinations (portions of which will be open to the public) in Washington, DC, on January 13–14, 2014.

DATES: Monday, January 13, 2014, from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., and Tuesday, January 14, 2014, from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

ADDRESS: The meeting will be held at the Internal Revenue Service, 111 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Patrick W. McDonough, Executive Director of the Joint Board for the Enrollment of Actuaries, 703–414–2173.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Notice is hereby given that the Advisory Committee on Actuarial Examinations will meet at the Internal Revenue Service, 111 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC, on Monday, January 13, 2014, from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., and Tuesday, January 14, 2014, from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

The purpose of the meeting is to discuss topics and questions that may be recommended for inclusion on future Joint Board examinations in actuarial mathematics and methodology referred to in 29 U.S.C. 1242(a)(1)(B) and to
review the November 2013 Pension (EA–2F) Joint Board Examination in order to make recommendations relative thereto, including the minimum acceptable pass score. Topics for inclusion on the syllabus for the Joint Board’s examination program for the May 2014 Basic (EA–1) Examination and the May 2014 Pension (EA–2L) Examination will be discussed.

A determination has been made as required by section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. App., that the portions of the meeting dealing with the discussion of questions that may appear on the Joint Board’s examinations and the review of the November 2013 Pension (EA–2F) Joint Board Examination fall within the exceptions to the open meeting requirement set forth in 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(9)(B), and that the public interest requires that such portions be closed to public participation.

The portion of the meeting dealing with the discussion of the other topics will commence at 1:00 p.m. on January 13, 2014, and will continue for as long as necessary to complete the discussion, but not beyond 3:00 p.m. Time permitting, after the close of this discussion by Committee members, interested persons may make statements germane to this subject. Persons wishing to make oral statements should notify the Executive Director in writing prior to the meeting in order to aid in scheduling the time available and should submit the written text, or at a minimum, an outline of comments they propose to make orally. Such comments will be limited to 10 minutes in length. All persons planning to attend the public session should notify the Executive Director in writing to obtain building entry. Notifications of intent to make an oral statement or to attend must be sent electronically, by no later than January 6, 2014, to Patrick.Mcdonough@irs.gov. Any interested person also may file a written statement for consideration by the Joint Board and the Committee by sending it to: Internal Revenue Service; Attn: Patrick W. McDonough, Executive Director; Joint Board for the Enrollment of Actuaries SE:RPO; REFM, Park 4, Floor 4; 1111 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20224.

Dated: December 2, 2013.

Patrick W. McDonough,
Executive Director, Joint Board for the Enrollment of Actuaries.

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Vehicle Infrastructure Integration Consortium

Notice is hereby given that, on October 17, 2013, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 et seq. ("the Act"), Vehicle Infrastructure Integration Consortium ("VIIC") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, General Motors Holdings LLC, Detroit, MI, has succeeded General Motors Corporation, Detroit, MI; and Chrysler Group LLC, Auburn Hills, MI, has succeeded Chrysler, LLC, Auburn Hills, MI, as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and VIIC intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On May 1, 2006, VIIC filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the Federal Register pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on June 2, 2006 (71 FR 32128). The last notification was filed with the Department on March 21, 2013. A notice was published in the Federal Register pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on April 15, 2013 (78 FR 22297).

Patrick A. Brink,
Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 2013–29065 Filed 12–5–13; 8:45 am]
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DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
Federal Bureau of Investigation

[OMB Number 1110–NEW]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection, Comments Requested, New Collection; National Incident-Based Reporting System (NIBRS)

ACTION: 60-day Notice.

The Department of Justice, Federal Bureau of Investigation, Criminal Justice Information Services Division will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance in accordance with established review procedures of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. Comments are encouraged and will be accepted until February 4, 2014.

This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

All comments, suggestions, or questions regarding additional information, to include obtaining a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions, should be directed to Mrs. Amy C. Blasher, Unit Chief, Federal Bureau of Investigation, Criminal Justice Information Services (CJIS) Division, Module E–3, 1000 Guster Hollow Road, Clarksburg, West Virginia 26306, or facsimile to (304) 625–3566.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Comments should address one or more of the following four points:

1. Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
2. Evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
3. Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
4. Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques of other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of This Information Collection

(1) Type of information collection: New Collection.
(2) The title of the form/collection: National Incident-Based Reporting System.
(3) The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the department sponsoring the collection: Criminal Justice Information Services