improve Tri-State’s ability to dispatch generation resources in eastern Colorado, and to improve Tri-State’s ability to deliver energy to native load customers. Specifically, the proposed Project would remedy the following existing system deficiencies: (1) The Burlington-Wray 115-kV transmission line limits Tri-States ability to dispatch existing Limon and Burlington generation resources; (2) Operating restrictions have been placed on the new 51 megawatt (MW) Kit Carson Windpower Project limit due to thermal limitations on the 115-kV transmission line; and (3) Thermal limits on the 115-kV line restrict present and future deliverability of power from Tri-State generation resources (on the north side of the bottleneck) to serve Tri-State’s electric load in southeastern Colorado (on the other side of the bottleneck).

An EA that describes the proposed Project in detail and discusses its anticipated environmental impacts has been prepared by Tri-State and Tetra Tech. RUS has reviewed and accepted the document as its EA for the proposed Project.

Questions and comments should be sent to RUS at the mailing or email addresses provided in this Notice. RUS should receive comments on the EA in writing by January 8, 2014 to ensure that they are considered in its environmental impact determination. Should RUS, based on the EA, determine that the impacts of the construction and operation of the proposed Project would not have a significant environmental impact; it will prepare a Finding of No Significant Impact. Public notification of a Finding of No Significant Impact would be published in the Federal Register and in newspapers with circulation in the proposal area.

Any final action by RUS related to the proposed Project will be subject to, and contingent upon, compliance with all relevant Federal, State and local environmental laws and regulations, and completion of the environmental review requirements as prescribed in RUS’s Environmental Policies and Procedures (7 CFR Part 1794).

Dated: November 22, 2013.

Mark S. Plank,
Director, Engineering and Environmental Staff, USDA, Rural Utilities Service.

[FR Doc. 2013–29269 Filed 12–6–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–15–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[B–103–2013]

Foreign-Trade Zone 62—Brownsville, Texas: Application for Reorganization Under Alternative Site Framework

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board by the Brownsville Navigation District, grantee of FTZ 62, requesting authority to reorganize the zone under the alternative site framework (ASF) adopted by the FTZ Board (15 CFR 400.2(c)). The ASF is an option for grantees for the establishment or reorganization of zones and can permit significantly greater flexibility in the designation of new subzones or “usage-driven” FTZ sites for operators/users located within a grantee’s “service area” in the context of the FTZ Board’s standard 2,000-acre activation limit for a zone. The application was submitted pursuant to the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR part 400). It was formally docketed on December 4, 2013.

FTZ 62 was approved by the FTZ Board on October 20, 1980 (Board Order 166, 45 FR 71638, 10/29/1980), and expanded on September 30, 1983 (Board Order 226, 48 FR 45814, 10/7/1983), on October 24, 1989 (Board Order 444, 54 FR 46098, 11/1/1989), and on August 21, 2003 (Board Order 1208, 68 FR 52385–52386, 9/3/2003).

The current zone includes the following sites: Site 1 (18,938 acres)—Brownsville Navigation District Port Complex and the NAFTA Industrial Park located at 6984 N. FM 511 in Brownsville; Site 2 (203 acres, 3 parcels)—Valley International Airport located on Rio Hondo Road in Harlingen; Site 3 (116 acres, 3 parcels)—Harlingen Industrial Park located at FM 106 and FM 1595 in Harlingen; and, Site 4 (758 acres, 4 parcels)—FINSA Industrial Park, 1101 Joaquin Cazavos Road, Los Indios.

The grantee’s proposed service area under the ASF would be Cameron County, Texas, as described in the application. If approved, the grantee would be able to serve sites throughout the service area based on companies’ needs for FTZ designation. The proposed service area is within and adjacent to the Brownsville Customs and Border Protection port of entry.

The applicant is requesting authority to reorganize its existing zone under the ASF as follows: Renumber the Brownsville Customs and Border Protection port of entry. The applicant is requesting authority to reorganize its existing zone under the ASF as follows: Renumber the Brownsville Customs and Border Protection port of entry. The applicant is requesting authority to reorganize its existing zone under the ASF as follows: Renumber the Brownsville Customs and Border Protection port of entry. The applicant is requesting authority to reorganize its existing zone under the ASF as follows: Renumber the Brownsville Customs and Border Protection port of entry. The applicant is requesting authority to reorganize its existing zone under the ASF as follows: Renumber the Brownsville Customs and Border Protection port of entry.