surveys of interior least tern (Sterna antillarum) and American burying beetle (Nicrophorus americanus) and the following activities for red-cockaded woodpecker (Picoides borealis) in Texas: presence/absence surveys; roost searches; tree activity status checks; handling of juvenile and chicks; banding of adults; banding of nestlings; translocating; trapping; installing artificial cavities, and cavity exams.

**Permit TE–676811**

Applicant: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service-Region 2, Albuquerque, New Mexico.

Applicant requests an amendment to a current permit for research and recovery purposes to conduct presence/absence surveys and recovery the following species within New Mexico, Oklahoma, and Texas:
- Austin blind salamander (Eurycea waterloensis)
- Chupadera springsnail (Pyrgulopsis chupadareae)
- Jemez Mountains salamander (Plethodon neomexicanus)
- Neosho mucket (Lampsilis Rafinesqueana)

**Permit TE–819451**

Applicant: Travis County Transportation and Natural Resources, Austin, Texas.

Applicant requests a renewal to a current permit for research and recovery purposes to conduct presence/absence surveys of the following species in Texas:
- Bee Creek Cave harvestman (Trixella reddelli)
- Black-capped vireo (Vireo atricapilla)
- Bone Cave harvestman (Trixella revesi)
- Braken Bat Cave meshweaver (Cicurina venii)
- Coffin Cave mold beetle (Batrisodes texanus)
- Cokendolpher Cave harvestman (Trixella cokendolphi)
- Golden-cheeked warbler (Dendroica chrysoparia)
- Government Canyon Bat Cave meshweaver (Cicurina vespera)
- Government Canyon Bat Cave spider (Neoleptoneta microps)
- Ground beetle (Rhadine exilis)
- Ground beetle (Rhadine infernalis)
- Helotes mold beetle (Batrisodes veniviv)
- Kretschmarr Cave mold beetle (Tetramaurops reddelli)
- Madia Cave meshweaver (Cicurina madia)
- Robber Baron Cave meshweaver (Cicurina tarsis)
- Tooth Cave ground beetle (Rhadine persephon)

**National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)**

In compliance with NEPA (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.), we have made an initial determination that the proposed activities in these permits are categorically excluded from the requirement to prepare an environmental assessment or environmental impact statement (516 DM 6 Appendix 1, 1.4C(1)).

**Public Availability of Comments**

All comments and materials we receive in response to this request will be available for public inspection, by appointment, during normal business hours at the address listed in the **ADDRESSES** section of this notice. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

**Authority**

We provide this notice under section 10 of the Act (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.)

Dated: November 22, 2013.

Joy E. Nicholopoulos,
Acting Regional Director, Southwest Region.

[FR Doc. 2013–29460 Filed 12–10–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–55–P

**INTERNATIONAL BOUNDARY AND WATER COMMISSION, UNITED STATES AND MEXICO**

**Draft Supplemental Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact for Flood Control Improvements to the Rio Grande Canalization Project in Vado, New Mexico**

**AGENCY:** United States Section, International Boundary and Water Commission (USIBWC), United States and Mexico.

**ACTION:** Notice of Availability of Draft Supplemental Environmental Assessment (SEA) and Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI).

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the United States Section of the International Boundary and Water Commission (USIBWC), United States and Mexico, has prepared a Draft Supplemental Environmental Assessment (SEA) and Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) for Project No. 31: US-Mexico Derivation of Flood Control Improvements to the Rio Grande Canalization (Vado, NM).

**Significant Impact for Flood Control Improvements to the Rio Grande Canalization Project in Vado, New Mexico**

**DRAFT SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT (SEA) AND FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT (FONSI)**

**Significant Impact for Flood Control Improvements to the Rio Grande Canalization Project in Vado, New Mexico**

**U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service**

**Federal Register / Vol. 78, No. 238 / Wednesday, December 11, 2013 / Notices**
Act of 1969; the Council on Environmental Quality Final Regulations (40 CFR Parts 1500 through 1508); and the USIBWC’s Operational Procedures for Implementing Section 102 of NEPA, published in the Federal Register, September 2, 1981, (46 FR 44083); the USIBWC hereby gives notice that the Final Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact for Flood Control Improvements to the Rio Grande Canalization Project in Vado, New Mexico are available.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Gilbert Anaya, Environmental Management Division; United States Section, International Boundary and Water Commission; 4171 N. Mesa, C–100; El Paso, Texas 79902. Telephone: (915) 832–4703, email: gilbertanaya@ibwc.state.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Proposed Action

The USIBWC is considering relocating the Rio Grande river channel in the Canalization Project Levee System in a 1.08 mile stretch in Vado, New Mexico and create new levees where no flood control measures exist in an effort to meet current flood control requirements. The Preferred Alternative would relocate the river channel approximately 100 feet west due to the river channel moving east against the Burlington Northern Santa Fe (BNSF) railroad. The preferred alternative would then create a new levee that would tie into existing levee structures to the north and south of the project area. These improvements will be subject to availability of funds.

The Supplemental Environmental Assessment assesses potential environmental impacts of the No Action Alternative and the Preferred Alternative. Two additional alternatives were considered but were not evaluated as they were determined to be more costly, more difficult to achieve, less reliable, and more difficult to maintain. Potential impacts on natural, cultural, and other resources were evaluated. A Finding of No Significant Impact was issued for the Preferred Alternative based on a review of the facts and analyses contained in the Environmental Assessment when taking the proposed mitigation into account.

Alternatives Considered

A No Action Alternative was evaluated for the flood control improvements to the Rio Grande Canalization Project Levee System. This alternative retains the existing configuration of the system, and the level of protection currently associated with this system. Under severe storm events, current containment capacity may be insufficient to fully control Rio Grande flooding, with risks to personal safety and potential property damage, as well as risks to the railroad system.

Design alternatives were conducted and evaluated in the final design memorandum entitled “Rehabilitation Improvements for the Vado East Levee, Doña Ana County, New Mexico,” dated July 29, 2011. The final design memorandum evaluated three alternatives as described below.

Preferred Alternative. The Preferred Alternative would allow the levees to meet the design criteria to contain flood flows and to comply with FEMA specifications for the levees in the Rio Grande Canalization Project Levee System. This would be accomplished by creating a flood containment levee 1.08 miles in length that would continue from the current levee system to the north and south of the project area. Fill material, obtained from commercial sources would be used to create a levee to meet the 3 foot freeboard criterion established by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). In order to create the levee in this area, the river channel would have to be relocated 100 feet to the west and the floodplain would have to be re-established on the eastern side of the river.

Flood Wall Alternative. This alternative would construct a flood wall that would tie into the existing levee system to the north and south of the project. The flood wall would require relocating the railroad and constructing a concrete or metal wall that would extend 888 feet along the river and existing flood plain to the current levees. The wall would be 8 feet tall above the flood plain and require pilings to be driven 40 feet in the ground.

Sheet Pile Wall Alternative. This alternative would construct a sheet pile wall instead of the flood wall. This wall would follow the same requirements but would consist of interlocked metal sheets driven into the ground instead of a concrete wall. Therefore, the pilings would also have to be driven 40 feet into the ground but would instead of a few like in the flood wall; all of the pilings across the entire length would have to be driven down to bedrock.

Availability

Single hard copies of the Final Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact may be obtained by request at the above address. Electronic copies may also be obtained from the USIBWC Web page: www.ibwc.gov/Organization/Environmental/RISE_PA_Public_Comment.html.

Dated: November 27, 2013.

Luisa Alvarez,
General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 2013–29047 Filed 12–10–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7010–01–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731–TA–1206 (Final)]

Diffusion-Annealed, Nickel-Plated Flat-Rolled Steel Products From Japan;
Scheduling of the Final Phase of an Antidumping Investigation


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of the final phase of antidumping investigation No. 731–TA–1206 (Final) under section 753(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675b(b)) (the Act) to determine whether an industry in the United States is materially injured or threatened with material injury, or the establishment of an industry in the United States is materially retarded, by reason of less-than-fair-value imports from Japan of diffusion-annealed, nickel-plated flat-rolled steel products, provided for primarily in subheadings 7210.90.60 and 7212.50.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States.¹

For further information concerning the conduct of this phase of the investigation, hearing procedures, and rules of general application, consult the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A and C (19 CFR part 207).

DATES: Effective Date: November 19, 2013.


¹ For purposes of this investigation, the Department of Commerce has defined the subject merchandise as flat-rolled, cold reduced steel products, regardless of chemistry; whether or not in coils; either plated or coated with nickel or nickel-based alloys and subsequently annealed (i.e., “diffusion-annealed”); whether or not painted, varnished or coated with plastics or other metallic or nonmetallic substances; and less than or equal to 2.0 mm in nominal thickness. For purposes of this investigation, “nickel-based alloys” include all nickel alloys with other metals in which nickel or nonmetallic substances; and less than or equal to 2.0 mm in nominal thickness. For purposes of this investigation, “nickel-based alloys” include all nickel alloys with other metals in which nickel accounts for at least 80 percent of the alloy by volume. (78 FR 69371, November 19, 2013)