and additional information on the rulemaking process, see the
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section of this document.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Gregory Hlibok, Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau, Disability Rights Office. (202) 559–5158, email: Gregory.Hlibok@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission’s Public Notice, document DA 13–2190, released on November 14, 2013. The full text of document DA 13–2190, and any subsequently filed documents in this matter will be available for public inspection and copying via ECFS, and during regular business hours at the FCC Reference Information Center, Portals II, 445 12th Street SW., Room CY–A257, Washington, DC 20554. It also may be purchased from the Commission’s duplicating contractor, Best Copy and Printing, Inc., Portals II, 445 12th Street SW., Room CY–B402, Washington, DC 20554, telephone: (800) 378–3160, fax: (202) 488–5563, or Internet: www.bcpipiweb.com. Document DA 13–2190 can also be downloaded in Word or Portable Document Format (PDF) at http://www.fcc.gov/encyclopedia/telecommunications-relay-services-trs. To request materials in accessible formats for people with disabilities (Braille, large print, electronic files, audio format), send an email to fcc504@fcc.gov or call the Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau at (202) 418–0530 (voice), (202) 418–0432 (TTY).

Synopsis
1. On September 30, 2013, Sprint filed a petition (Sprint Reconsideration Petition) requesting reconsideration of certain rules adopted for IP CTS in the IP CTS Reform Order, published at 78 FR 53684, August 30, 2013, and codified at 47 CFR 64.604(c)(9), (11)(i) and (iii) of the Commission’s rules. Sprint Corporation, Petition for Reconsideration of Sprint Corporation, CG Docket Nos. 13–24 and 03–123 (filed September 30, 2013) (Sprint Reconsideration Petition). First, Sprint asks that the Commission reconsider the rule prohibiting all providers from receiving compensation from the TRS Fund for minutes of use generated by consumers using IP CTS software and applications that consumers receive at no charge or purchase for less than $75 on or after the effective date of the rule. Second, Sprint asks that the Commission modify its registration and certification requirements to allow access to IP CTS phones in public places. Third, Sprint seeks authorization to utilize wording that differs slightly from the Commission’s required wording for labels warning consumers that only registered users of IP CTS may use IP CTS with captions turned on. Such labels must be adhered to IP CTS devices and displayed on the device screens of software-based IP CTS applications.

Federal Communications Commission.

Karen Pelz Strauss, Deputy Chief, Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau.

[F.R. Doc. 2013–28828 Filed 12–13–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Surface Transportation Board
49 CFR Chapter X
[Docket No. EP 665 (Sub-No. 1)]
Rail Transportation of Grain, Rate Regulation Review

AGENCY: Surface Transportation Board, DOT.

ACTION: Request for Comments.

SUMMARY: The Surface Transportation Board invites public comment on how to ensure the Board’s rate complaint procedures are accessible to grain shippers and provide effective protection against unreasonable freight rail transportation rates.

DATES: Comments are due by May 12, 2014. Replies are due by May 12, 2014.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be submitted either via the Board’s e-filing format or in the traditional paper format. Any person using e-filing should attach a document and otherwise comply with the instructions at the E-FILING link on the Board’s Web site, at http://www.stb.dot.gov. Any person submitting a filing in the traditional paper format should send an original and 10 copies to: Surface Transportation Board, Attn: Docket No. EP 665 (Sub-No. 1), 395 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20423–0001. Copies of written comments will be available for viewing and self-copying at the Board’s Public Docket Room, Room 131, and will be posted to the Board’s Web site.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Nathaniel Bawcombe at (202) 245–0376.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On November 2, 2006, the Board held a hearing in Rail Transportation of Grain, Docket No. EP 665, as a forum for interested persons to provide views and information about grain transportation markets. The hearing was prompted by concerns regarding rates and service issues related to the movement of grain raised by Members of Congress, grain producers, and other stakeholders. When it closed that proceeding in January 2008, the Board reasoned that guidelines for simplified rate procedures had recently been adopted, providing a new avenue for rate relief for grain shippers. Rail Transp. of Grain, EP 665, slip op. at 5 (STB served Jan. 14, 2008). 1 The Board also noted that it would continue to monitor the relationship between carriers and grain interests, and that if future regulatory action were warranted, it would open a new proceeding. Rail Transp. of Grain, EP 665, slip op. at 5.

The Board recently concluded a proceeding to reform freight rail rate regulations generally. See Rate Regulation Reforms, EP 715 (STB served July 18, 2013), appeal docketed, No. 13–1230 (D.C. Cir. July 29, 2013). In that proceeding, parties representing grain shippers’ interests argued that the proposed changes did not provide meaningful relief to grain shippers.2 One party also noted that, despite increases in rates, no grain shipper has sought rate relief at the Board or the Interstate Commerce Commission since 1981, and that the Board should consider providing more substantial modifications to its rate process to provide a mechanism for grain shippers to challenge rates.3 On the other hand, one carrier argued that grain rates are not unreasonably high and that the Board’s rate methodology has not been shown to be flawed with respect to that traffic.4

We believe it is appropriate to consider what regulatory changes could be implemented to ensure that the Board’s rate case procedures are fully accessible to grain shippers and provide effective relief from excessive freight

1 Referring to Simplified Standards for Rail Rate Cases, EP 646 (Sub-No. 1) (STB served Sept. 5, 2007), aff’d sub nom. CSX Transp., Inc. v. STB, 568 F.3d 236 (D.C. Cir.), vacated in part rehe’g granted, 584 F.3d 1076 (D.C. Cir. 2009).


3 NGFA Opening 3–4, Rate Regulation Reforms, EP 715.

rail rates, as appropriate. The Board is seeking input from interested parties on grain shippers’ ability to effectively seek relief for unreasonable rates, including proposals for modifying existing procedures, or new alternative rate relief methodologies, should they be necessary.

This action will not significantly affect either the quality of the human environment or the conservation of energy resources.

It is ordered:

1. A proceeding is instituted. Notice of this decision will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.
2. Comments are due by March 12, 2014. Replies are due by May 12, 2014.
3. This decision is effective on the day of service.

Decided: December 9, 2013.

By the Board, Chairman Elliott, Vice Chairman Begeman, and Commissioner Mulvey.

Jeffrey Herzig,
Clearance Clerk.

[FR Doc. 2013–29806 Filed 12–13–13; 8:45 am]