HCV FSS program must continue to report on Item 14a and may not select “Check here if not applicable” under SEMAP indicator Item 14a of form HUD–52648.

III. Authority To Grant Waivers

Under 24 CFR 5.110, HUD’s regulations in 24 CFR may be waived upon a determination of good cause, subject to statutory limitations. A partial waiver of 24 CFR 985.3(s) was determined necessary to eliminate penalties that may be associated with voluntary participation in the FSS Program Demonstration.

IV. Findings and Certifications

Paperwork Reduction Act

The information collection requirements applicable to this waiver have been submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520) and assigned OMB Control Numbers 2528–0296 and 2577–0215. In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act, HUD may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information, unless the collection displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Environmental Impact

This document does not direct, provide for standards for construction or acquisition, disposition, leasing, rehabilitation, alteration, demolition, or new construction, or establish, revise, or provide for standards for construction or construction materials, manufactured housing, or occupancy. Accordingly, under 24 CFR 50.19(c)(1), this document is categorically excluded from environmental review under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321).


Sandra Henriquez,
Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing.

[FR Doc. 2013–31044 Filed 12–27–13; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4210–67–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 117

[Docket Number USCG–2013–1030]

Drawbridge Operation Regulation; Upper Mississippi River, Rock Island, IL

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Notice of deviation from drawbridge regulations.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard has issued a temporary deviation from the operating schedule that governs the Rock Island Railroad and Highway Drawbridge across the Upper Mississippi River, mile 482.9, at Rock Island, Illinois. The deviation is necessary to allow the St. Patrick’s Day Road Race to cross the bridge. This deviation allows the bridge to be maintained in the closed-to-navigation position for two hours.

DATES: This deviation is effective from 10 a.m. to noon, March 15, 2014.

ADDRESSES: The docket for this deviation, [USCG–2013–1030] is available at http://www.regulations.gov. Type the docket number in the “SEARCH” box and click “SEARCH.”

For further information contact: If you have questions on this temporary deviation, call or email Eric A. Washburn, Bridge Administrator. Western Rivers, Coast Guard; telephone 314–269–2378, email Eric.Washburn@uscg.mil. If you have questions on viewing the docket, contact Barbara Hairston, Program Manager, Docket Operations, telephone 202–366–9826.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The U.S. Army Rock Island Arsenal requested a temporary deviation for the Rock Island Railroad and Highway Drawbridge, across the Upper Mississippi River, mile 482.9, at Rock Island, Illinois to remain in the closed-to-navigation position for a two hour period from 10 a.m. to noon, March 15, 2014, while the St. Patrick’s Day Road Race is held between the cities of Davenport, IA and Rock Island, IL.

The Rock Island Railroad and Highway Drawbridge currently operates in accordance with 33 CFR 117.5, which states the general requirement that drawbridges shall open promptly and fully for the passage of vessels when a request to open is given in accordance with the subpart.

There are no alternate routes for vessels transiting this section of the Upper Mississippi River.

The Rock Island Railroad and Highway Drawbridge, in the closed-to-navigation position, provides a vertical clearance of 23.8 feet above normal pool. Navigation on the waterway consists primarily of commercial tows and recreational watercraft. This temporary deviation has been coordinated with waterway users. No objections were received.

In accordance with 33 CFR 117.35(e), the drawbridge must return to its regular operating schedule immediately at the end of the effective period of this temporary deviation. This deviation from the operating regulations is authorized under 33 CFR 117.35.

Dated: December 18, 2013.

Eric A. Washburn,
Bridge Administrator, Western Rivers.

[FR Doc. 2013–31278 Filed 12–27–13; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 9110–04–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 165

[Docket Number USCG–2013–0934]

RIN 1625–AA87

Security Zone; On the Waters in Kailua Bay, Oahu, HI

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Temporary final rule.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard is establishing a temporary security zone on the waters south of Kapoho Point and a nearby channel in Kailua Bay within the Honolulu Harbor Port of the Contiguous Port (COTP) Zone. This security zone is necessary to ensure the safety of the President of the United States.

DATES: This rule is effective without actual notice from December 30, 2013 through 10 p.m. (HST) on January 5, 2014. For the purposes of enforcement, actual notice will be used from 6 a.m. (HST) on December 20, 2013, through 10 p.m. (HST) on January 5, 2014.

ADDRESSES: Documents mentioned in this preamble are part of docket USCG–2013–0934. To view documents mentioned in this preamble as being
available in the docket, go to http://www.regulations.gov, type the docket number in the “SEARCH” box and click “SEARCH.” Click on Open Docket Folder on the line associated with this rulemaking. You may also visit the Docket Management Facility in Room W12–140 on the ground floor of the Department of Transportation West Building, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions on this temporary rule, call or email Lieutenant Commander Scott O. Whaley, Waterways Management Division, U.S. Coast Guard Sector Honolulu; telephone (808) 522–8264 (ext. 3352), email Scott.O.Whaley@uscg.mil. If you have questions on viewing the docket, call Renee V. Wright, Program Manager, Docket Operations, telephone (202) 366–9826.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Regulatory Information

The Coast Guard is issuing this temporary final rule without prior notice and opportunity to comment pursuant to authority under section 4(a) of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA) (5 U.S.C. 553(b)). This provision authorizes an agency to issue a rule without prior notice and opportunity to comment when the agency, for good cause, finds that those procedures are “impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest.” Under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), the Coast Guard finds good cause exists for making this rule effective less than 30 days after publication in the Federal Register. The details of the President’s intended travel to Hawaii were not made available to the Coast Guard in sufficient time to issue a notice of proposed rulemaking. Due to the need for immediate action, the restriction of vessel traffic is necessary to protect the President; therefore, a 30-day notice period is impracticable. Delaying the effective date would be contrary to the security zone’s intended objectives of protecting the President, mitigating potential terrorist acts and enhancing public and maritime safety and security. Publishing a Notice of Public Rule Making (NPRM) and delaying the effective date would be contrary to the public interest since the occasion would occur before a notice-and-comment rulemaking could be completed, thereby jeopardizing the safety of the President. The Coast Guard finds that this temporary security zone needs to be effective by December 20, 2013, to ensure the safety of the President while visiting the Kailua Bay area on the eastern coast of Oahu, Hawaii.

B. Basis and Purpose

From December 20, 2013, through January 5, 2014, the President of the United States plans to visit the Kailua Bay area on Oahu, Hawaii. This position is located adjacent to U.S. navigable waters in the Honolulu COTP Zone. The Coast Guard is establishing this security zone to ensure the safety of the President.

C. Discussion of Temporary Final Rule

This temporary final rule is effective from 6 a.m. HST on December 20, 2013 through 10 p.m. HST on January 5, 2014. The security zone area is located within the Honolulu COTP Zone (See 33 CFR 3.70–10) and covers all U.S. navigable waters in the Kailua Bay on the west side of a line connecting Kapoho Point and continuing at a bearing of 225° (true) to 21°23′11″ N, 157°44′39″ W; as well as the nearby channel from its entrance near Kapoho Point to a point 150-yards to the south of the N. Kalaeo Avenue Road Bridge. This zone extends from the surface of the water to the ocean floor. This zone will include the navigable waters of the channel beginning at point 21°24′56″ N, 157°44′58″ W, then extending to 21°25′26″ N, 157°44′21″ W (Kapoho Point) including all the waters to the west of a straight line to 21°25′11″ N, 157°44′39″ W and then extending back to the original point 21°24′56″ N, 157°44′58″ W.

One (1) yellow buoy and two (2) shore-side markers will be placed in proximity of the security zone along the security zone boundary and one (1) orange boom will be placed at the channel boundary south of the N. Kalaeo Avenue Road Bridge as visual aids for mariners and the public to approximate the zone. An illustration of the security zone will be made available on www.regulations.gov in docket for this rulemaking, USCG–2013–0934.

In accordance with the general regulations in 33 CFR Part 165, Subpart D, no person or vessel will be permitted to transit into or remain in the zone except for authorized support vessels, aircraft and support personnel, or other vessels authorized by the COTP. Any Coast Guard commissioned, warrant, or petty officer, and any other COTP representative permitted by law, may enforce the zone. Vessels, aircraft, or persons in violation of this rule would be subject to the penalties set forth in 33 U.S.C. 1232 and 50 U.S.C. 192.

D. Regulatory Analyses

We developed this rule after considering numerous statutes and executive orders related to rulemaking. Below we summarize our analyses based on these statutes and executive orders.

1. Regulatory Planning and Review

This rule is not a significant regulatory action under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that Order. The Office of Management and Budget has not reviewed it under that Order. The Coast Guard expects the economic impact of this rule to be so minimal that a full Regulatory Evaluation under the regulatory policies and procedures of DHS is unnecessary. This expectation is based on the limited duration of the zone, the limited geographic area affected by it, and the lack of commercial vessel traffic affected by the zone.

2. Impact on Small Entities

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–612), we have considered whether this rule will have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The term “small entities” comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000. The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on small entities. If you think that your business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction qualifies as a small entity and that this rule will have a significant economic impact on it, please submit a comment (see ADDRESSES) explaining why you think it qualifies and how and to what degree this rule will economically affect it.

3. Assistance for Small Entities

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), we want to assist small entities in understanding this rule so that they can better evaluate its effects on them and participate in the rulemaking. If the rule will affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please contact LCDR Scott O. Whaley at (808) 522–8264 ext. 3352. The Coast Guard will not retaliate against small entities that question or
complain about this temporary final rule or any policy or action of the Coast Guard.

4. Collection of Information
This rule will not call for a new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

5. Federalism
A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132. Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. We have analyzed this rule under that Order and determined that this rule does not have implications for federalism.

6. Protest Activities
The Coast Guard respects the First Amendment rights of protesters. Protesters are asked to contact the person listed in the \textit{FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT} section to coordinate protest activities so that your message can be received without jeopardizing the safety or security of people, places or vessels.

7. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act
The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of $100,000,000 (adjusted for inflation) or more in any one year. Though this rule will not result in such expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

8. Taking of Private Property
This rule will not cause a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

9. Civil Justice Reform
This rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

10. Protection of Children
We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and does not create an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that may disproportionately affect children.

11. Indian Tribal Governments
This rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

12. Energy Effects
This action is not a “significant energy action” under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use.

13. Technical Standards
This rule does not use technical standards. Therefore, we did not consider the use of voluntary consensus standards.

14. Environment
We have analyzed this rule under Department of Homeland Security Management Directive 023–01 and Commandant Instruction M16475.1D, which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have made a determination that this action is one of a category of actions which do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This rule is categorically excluded, under figure 2–1, paragraph (34)(g), of the Instruction. This regulation establishes one security zone. A final “Environmental Analysis Check List” and a final “Categorical Exclusion Determination” are available in the docket where indicated under ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

| 1. | The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows: |

PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS


2. Add § 165.T14–0934 to read as follows:

§ 165.T14–0934 Security Zone; On the Waters in Kailua Bay, Oahu, Hi.

(a) Location. The following area, within the COTP Honolulu Zone (see 33 CFR 3.70–10), from the surface of the water to the ocean floor is a temporary security zone: All waters in Kailua Bay to the west of a line beginning at Kapoho Point and thence southwestward at a bearing of 225° (true) to the shoreline at 21°25'11" N, 157°44'39" W; as well as the nearby channel from its entrance at Kapoho Point to a point 150-yards to the southwest of the N. Kalaeo Avenue Road Bridge. This zone extends from the surface of the water to the ocean floor. This zone will include the navigable waters of the channel beginning at point 21°24'56" N, 157°44'56" W, then extending to 21°25'26" N, 157°44'21" W (Kapoho Point) including all the waters to the west of a straight line to 21°25'11" N, 157°44'39" W, then extending back to the original point 21°24'56" N, 157°44'56" W.

(b) Effective period. This section is effective from 6 a.m. HST on December 20, 2013, through 10 p.m. HST on January 5, 2014.

(c) Regulations. The general regulations governing security zones contained in 33 CFR 165.33, subpart D, apply to the security zone created by this temporary section, § 165.T14–0934.

(1) All persons are required to comply with the general regulations governing security zones found in 33 CFR part 165.

(2) Entry into or remaining in this zone is prohibited unless authorized by the COTP.

(3) Persons desiring to transit the security zones identified in paragraph (a) of this section may contact the COTP at Command Center telephone number (808) 842–2600 and (808) 842–2601, fax (808) 842–2624 or on VHF channel 16 (156.8 Mhz) to seek permission to transit the zones. If permission is granted, all persons and vessels must comply with the instructions of the COTP or his designated representative and proceed at the minimum speed necessary to maintain a safe course while within the zone.

(4) The U.S. Coast Guard may be assisted in the patrol and enforcement of the zones by Federal, State, and local agencies.
DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS

38 CFR Part 17
RIN 2900–AO91

Copayments for Medications in 2014

AGENCY: Department of Veterans Affairs.

ACTION: Interim final rule.

SUMMARY: The Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) amends its medical regulations concerning the copayment required for certain medications. But for this rulemaking, beginning on January 1, 2014, the copayment amount would increase based on a formula set forth in regulation. The maximum annual copayment amount payable by veterans would also increase. This rulemaking freezes copayments at the current rate for 2014 for veterans in priority categories 2 through 8, and thereafter resumes increasing copayments in accordance with the regulatory formula.

DATES: Effective Date: This rule is effective on December 30, 2013.

Comment date: Comments must be received on or before February 28, 2014.

ADDRESSES: Written comments may be submitted by email through http://www.regulations.gov: by mail or hand-delivery to Director, Regulation Policy and Management (02REG), Department of Veterans Affairs, 810 Vermont Avenue NW., Room 1068, Washington, DC 20420; or by fax to (202) 461–4902. (This is not a toll-free number.)

Comments should indicate that they are submitted in response to “RIN 2900–AO91, Copayments for Medications in 2014.” Copies of comments received will be available for public inspection in the Office of Regulation Policy and Management, Room 1063B, between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m.

Monday through Friday (except holidays), Please call (202) 461–4902 for an appointment. (This is not a toll-free number.) In addition, during the comment period, comments may be viewed online through the Federal Docket Management System (FDMS) at http://www.regulations.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kristin Cunningham, Director, Business Policy, Chief Business Office, Department of Veterans Affairs, 810 Vermont Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20420, (202) 382–2508. (This is not a toll-free number.)

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under 38 U.S.C. 1722A(a), VA must require veterans to pay a $2 copayment for each 30-day supply of medication furnished on an outpatient basis for the treatment of a non-service-connected disability or condition unless a veteran has a service-connected disability rated 50 percent or more, is a former prisoner of war, or has an annual income at or below the maximum annual rate of VA pension that would be payable if the veteran were eligible for pension. Under 38 U.S.C. 1722A(b), VA “may,” by regulation, increase that copayment amount and establish a maximum annual copayment amount (a “cap”).

We have consistently interpreted section 1722A(b) to mean that VA has discretion to determine the appropriate copayment amount and annual cap amount for medication furnished on an outpatient basis for covered treatment, provided that any decision by VA to increase the copayment amount or annual cap amount is the subject of a rulemaking proceeding. We have implemented this statute in 38 CFR 17.110.

Under 38 CFR 17.110(b)(1), veterans are obligated to pay VA a copayment for each 30-day or less supply of medication provided by VA on an outpatient basis (other than medication administered during treatment). Under the current regulation, for the period from July 1, 2010, through December 31, 2013, the copayment amount for veterans in priority categories 2 through 6 of VA’s health care system is $8. 38 CFR 17.110(b)(1)(ii). For the period July 1, 2010, through December 31, 2013, the copayment amount for veterans in priority categories 7 and 8 is $9. 38 CFR 17.110(b)(1)(iii). Thereafter, the copayment amount for all affected veterans is to be established using a formula based on the prescription drug component of the Medical Consumer Price Index (CPI–P), set forth in 38 CFR 17.110(b)(1)(iv).

Current § 17.110(b)(2) also includes a “cap” on the total amount of copayments in a calendar year for a veteran enrolled in one of VA’s health care enrollment system priority categories 2 through 6. Through December 31, 2013, the annual cap is set at $960. Thereafter, the cap is to increase “by $120 for each $1 increase in the copayment amount” applicable to veterans enrolled in one of VA’s health care enrollment system priority categories 2 through 6.

Current paragraph (b)(1)(ii) provides the amount for copayments for medication immediately after VA published revisions to this regulation on December 31, 2009. 74 FR 69283, 69285. However, the time period governed by this paragraph, between January 1, 2010, and June 30, 2010, has now passed. VA is removing paragraph (b)(1)(i) to simplify the regulation because this provision is no longer necessary. VA is redesignating the remaining paragraphs accordingly and correcting the reference in the note to § 17.110(b)(1).

On December 31, 2012, we published an interim final rule making that “froze” copayments for veterans in priority categories 2 through 6 at $8 and for veterans in priority categories 7 and 8 at $9, through December 31, 2013. 77 FR 76865, Dec. 31, 2012. This interim final rule was made final on May 23, 2013. 78 FR 30767. May 23, 2013. In these rulemakings, we stated that this freeze was appropriate because, as justified in prior rulemakings, higher copayments reduced the utilization of VA pharmacy benefits. 77 FR 76866. We continue to believe this to be the case. The ability to ensure that medications are taken as prescribed is essential to effective health care management. VA can monitor whether its patients are refilling prescriptions at regular intervals while also checking for medications that may interact with each other when these prescriptions are filled by VA. When non-VA providers are also issuing prescriptions, there is a greater risk of adverse interactions and harm to the patient because it is more difficult for each provider to assess if the patient is taking any other medications.

Specifically, we are removing December 31, 2013, in each place it appears in the newly designated paragraphs (b)(1)(i), (ii), and (iii), and inserting December 31, 2014, to continue to keep copayment rates and caps at their current levels.

At the end of calendar year 2014, unless additional rulemaking is initiated, VA will once again utilize the CPI-P methodology in the newly re-designated § 17.110(b)(1)(iii) to determine whether to increase copayments and calculate any mandated increase in the copayment amount for