

### 10. Protection of Children

We have analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and does not create an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that may disproportionately affect children.

### 11. Indian Tribal Governments

This rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

### 12. Energy Effects

This action is not a "significant energy action" under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use.

### 13. Technical Standards

This rule does not use technical standards. Therefore, we did not consider the use of voluntary consensus standards.

### 14. Environment

We have analyzed this rule under Department of Homeland Security Management Directive 023-01 and Commandant Instruction M16475.1D, which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321-4370f), and have determined that this action is one of a category of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This rule involves establishment of a temporary safety zone. This rule may be categorically excluded from further review under paragraph 34(g) of Figure 2-1 of the Commandant Instruction. An environmental analysis checklist supporting this determination and a Categorical Exclusion Determination are available in the docket where indicated under **ADDRESSES**. We seek any comments or information that may lead to the discovery of a significant environmental impact from this rule.

#### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, and Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

#### PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C 1231; 46 U.S.C Chapter 701, 3306, 3703; 50 U.S.C. 191, 195; 33 CFR 1.05-1, 6.04-1, 6.04-6, 160.5; Pub. L. 107-295, 116 Stat. 2064; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1.

■ 2. Add § 165.T01-0117 to read as follows:

#### § 165.T01-0117 Safety Zone; Lady Liberty Sharkfest Swim; Upper New York Bay, Liberty Island, NY.

(a) *Regulated Area.* The following area is a temporary safety zone: All navigable waters of the Upper New York Bay bound by a line drawn from position 40°42'44.82" N, 074°02'18.03" W, east to position 40°42'28.86" N, 074°01'30.22" W, south to position 40°42'12.24" N, 074°02'18.22" W, west to position 40°41'35.38" N, 074°03'12.61" W, then north along the shoreline back to the point of origin.

(b) *Enforcement Period.* This rule will be enforced from approximately 7:00 a.m. to 10:00 a.m. on June 29, 2014.

(c) *Definitions.* The following definitions apply to this section:

(1) *Designated Representative.* A "designated representative" is any Coast Guard commissioned, warrant or petty officer of the U.S. Coast Guard who has been designated by the Captain of the Port (COTP) New York, to act on his or her behalf. A designated representative may be on an official patrol vessel or may be on shore and will communicate with vessels via VHF-FM radio or loudhailer. In addition, members of the Coast Guard Auxiliary may be present to inform vessel operators of this regulation.

(2) *Official Patrol Vessels.* Official patrol vessels may consist of any Coast Guard, Coast Guard Auxiliary, state, or local law enforcement vessels assigned or approved by the COTP.

(d) *Regulations.*

(1) The general regulations contained in 33 CFR 165.23, as well as the following regulations, apply.

(2) No vessels, except for support vessels provided by the event sponsor, will be allowed to transit the safety zone without the permission of the COTP.

(3) All persons and vessels shall comply with the instructions of the COTP or a designated representative. Upon being hailed by a U.S. Coast Guard vessel by siren, radio, flashing

light or other means, the operator of a vessel shall proceed as directed.

(4) Vessel operators desiring to enter or operate within the safety zone shall contact the COTP or a designated representative via VHF channel 16 or 718-354-4353 (Sector New York command center) to obtain permission to do so.

Dated: June 7, 2014.

**G. Loebel,**

*Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port New York.*

[FR Doc. 2014-14707 Filed 6-23-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 9110-04-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

### 34 CFR Part 600

#### RIN 1840-AD02

#### Institutional Eligibility Under the Higher Education Act of 1965, as Amended; Delay of Implementation Date

**AGENCY:** Office of Postsecondary Education, Department of Education.

**ACTION:** Final regulations; delay of implementation date.

**SUMMARY:** The Department further delays, until July 1, 2015, the implementation date for certain State authorization regulations for institutions of postsecondary education whose State authorization does not meet the requirements of these regulations, so long as the State is establishing an acceptable authorization process that is to take effect by the delayed implementation date.

**DATES:** The implementation date is delayed to July 1, 2015, as discussed in the Supplementary Information section of this document.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Sophia McArdle, U.S. Department of Education, 1990 K Street NW., Room 8019, Washington, DC 20006-8542. Telephone: (202) 219-7078 or by email at: [Sophia.McArdle@ed.gov](mailto:Sophia.McArdle@ed.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Department further delays, until July 1, 2015, the implementation date of the changes to 34 CFR 600.9(a) and (b) (State authorization regulations) published in the **Federal Register** on October 29, 2010 (75 FR 66832) for institutions of postsecondary education whose State authorization does not meet the requirements of these regulations by July 1, 2014, so long as the State is establishing an acceptable authorization process that is to take effect by the delayed implementation date. On May 21, 2013, the Department delayed this

date from July 1, 2013, to July 1, 2014 (78 FR 29652). The Department provides this further extension for institutions in order to provide States with additional time to finalize processes for those institutions to be able to comply with the State authorization provisions in § 600.9(a) and (b). Those provisions apply to an institution separately with respect to each State in which the institution has a main or additional location offering at least 50 percent of an eligible educational program.

In order for an institution that cannot meet the State authorization requirements to receive an extension until July 1, 2015, to implement § 600.9(a) and (b), the institution must obtain from the State an explanation, such as information on timeline and action steps to ensure compliance, of how an additional one-year extension will permit the State to finalize its procedures so that the institution is in compliance with amended § 600.9. The explanation must be provided to Department staff upon request.

**Accessible Format:** Individuals with disabilities can obtain this document in an accessible format (e.g., braille, large print, audiotape, or compact disc) on request to the program contact person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

**Electronic Access to This Document:** The official version of this document is the document published in the **Federal Register**. Free Internet access to the official edition of the **Federal Register** and the Code of Federal Regulations is available via the Federal Digital System at: [www.gpo.gov/fdsys](http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys). At this site you can view this document, as well as all other documents of this Department published in the **Federal Register**, in text or Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF). To use PDF you must have Adobe Acrobat Reader, which is available free at this site.

You may also access documents of the Department published in the **Federal Register** by using the article search feature at: [www.federalregister.gov](http://www.federalregister.gov). Specifically, through the advanced search feature of this site, you can limit your search to documents published by the Department.

#### List of Subjects in 34 CFR Part 600

Colleges and universities, Foreign relations, Grant programs—education, Loan programs—education, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Student aid, Vocational education.

Dated: June 19, 2014.

**Lynn B. Mahaffie,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Postsecondary Education.*

[FR Doc. 2014–14721 Filed 6–23–14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4000–01–P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA–R10–OAR–2014–0018, FRL–9912–55–Region 10]

### Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Oregon: Infrastructure Requirements for the 2008 Lead National Ambient Air Quality Standards

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is approving the portion of the December 27, 2013, State Implementation Plan (SIP) submittal from Oregon relating to the infrastructure requirements of the Clean Air Act (CAA) for the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) promulgated for lead (Pb) on October 15, 2008. The CAA requires that each state, after a new or revised NAAQS is promulgated, review their SIP to ensure that it meets the infrastructure requirements necessary to implement the new or revised NAAQS. The EPA finds that the Oregon SIP meets the CAA infrastructure requirements for the 2008 Pb NAAQS.

**DATES:** This final rule is effective on July 24, 2014.

**ADDRESSES:** The EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket Identification No. EPA–R10–OAR–2014–0018. All documents in the docket are listed on the <http://www.regulations.gov> Web site. Although listed in the index, some information may not be publicly available, i.e., Confidential Business Information or other information the disclosure of which is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the Internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically through <http://www.regulations.gov> or in hard copy at EPA Region 10, Office of Air, Waste, and Toxics, AWT–107, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98101. The EPA requests that you contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER**

**INFORMATION CONTACT** section to schedule your inspection. The Regional Office's official hours of business are Monday through Friday, 8:30 to 4:30, excluding Federal holidays.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kristin Hall at: (206) 553–6357, [hall.kristin@epa.gov](mailto:hall.kristin@epa.gov), or the above EPA, Region 10 address.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document wherever “we,” “us” or “our” is used, it is intended to refer to the EPA. Information is organized as follows:

#### Table of Contents

- I. Background
- II. Final Action
- III. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

#### I. Background

Section 110 of the CAA specifies the general requirements for states to submit SIPs to implement, maintain, and enforce the NAAQS and the EPA's actions regarding approval of those SIPs. On December 27, 2013, Oregon submitted a SIP revision to the EPA demonstrating that the SIP meets the infrastructure requirements of the CAA for the 2008 Pb NAAQS, 2010 nitrogen dioxide NAAQS, and 2010 sulfur dioxide NAAQS. On April 17, 2014, we proposed approval of the portion of Oregon's December 27, 2013, submittal relating to the 2008 Pb NAAQS (79 FR 21679). An explanation of the CAA requirements and implementing regulations that are met by this SIP revision, a detailed explanation of the revision, and the EPA's reasons for the proposed action were provided in the notice of proposed rulemaking on April 17, 2014, and will not be restated here. We note that we intend to address the remainder of the December 27, 2013, submittal, relating to the infrastructure requirements of the 2010 nitrogen dioxide NAAQS and 2010 sulfur dioxide NAAQS, in a separate action (79 FR 21679). The public comment period for our proposed action ended on May 19, 2014, and we received no comments.

#### II. Final Action

The EPA is approving the portion of the December 27, 2013, submittal from Oregon relating to the infrastructure requirements of the 2008 Pb NAAQS. Specifically, we are approving the submitted revision to OAR 340–202–0130 “Ambient Air Quality Standard for Lead” and the addition of OAR 340–202–0020 “Applicability.” We find that the Oregon SIP meets the following CAA section 110(a)(2) infrastructure elements for the 2008 Pb NAAQS: (A),