

threat (e.g., fishery interactions, ship strikes, acoustics, pollutants, climate change). In summary, the petition concludes that the recovering population in combination with the removal of previously identified threats qualifies the Central North Pacific humpback whale population for delisting under the ESA.

#### Petition Finding

We have reviewed the petition, the literature cited in the petition, and other literature and information available in our files. Although we identified some incomplete information and unsupported conclusions within the petition, we find that the information presented in the petition would lead a reasonable person to believe that the petitioned action may be warranted. Considering the requirements of 50 CFR 424.14(b) for addressing petitions at the 90-day finding stage, we have therefore determined that the petition, the literature cited in the petition, and other literature and information readily available in our files constitute substantial information indicating that the petitioned action may be warranted.

As a result of this finding, we will continue our status review of the humpback whale to determine whether the Central North Pacific humpback whale population constitutes a DPS under the ESA, and if so, the risk of extinction to this DPS. Based on the results of the status review, we will then determine whether delisting or downlisting (from endangered to threatened) the Central North Pacific population of the humpback whale is warranted.

#### Request for Information

To ensure that the status review is based on the best available scientific and commercial data, we are soliciting information on the humpback whale, with a focus on the Central North Pacific population, in the following areas: (1) Taxonomy, abundance, reproductive success, age structure, distribution, habitat selection, food habits, population density and trends, and habitat trends; (2) historical and current population status and trends; (3) historical and current distribution; (4) migratory movements and behavior; (5) genetic population structure, as compared to other populations; (6) the effects of vessel strikes, entanglements, acoustic impacts, and climate change, on the distribution and abundance of Central North Pacific humpback whales and their principal prey over the short- and long-term; (7) the effects of other threats, including whaling, disease and predation, contaminants, fishing,

industrial activities, or other known or potential threats; (8) the effects of research on Central North Pacific humpback whales; (9) management or conservation programs for Central North Pacific humpback whales, including mitigation measures associated with private, tribal or governmental conservation programs which benefit this population; and (10) current or planned activities that may adversely impact humpback whales. We request that all information and data be accompanied by supporting documentation such as (1) maps, bibliographic references, or reprints of pertinent publications; and (2) the submitter's name, address, and any association, institution, or business that the person represents.

**Authority:** The authority for this action is the Endangered Species act of 1973, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*).

Dated: June 20, 2014.

**Samuel D. Rauch III,**  
*Deputy Assistant Administrator for  
Regulatory Programs, National Marine  
Fisheries Service.*

[FR Doc. 2014-14961 Filed 6-25-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-22-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Fish and Wildlife Service

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

#### 50 CFR Parts 402 and 424

[Docket Nos. FWS-HQ-ES-2012-0096; FWS-R9-ES-2011-0072; 120106026-4518-02; 120106025-4514-02; 4500030114]

**RIN 1018-AX86; 1018-AX88; 0648-BB80; 0648-BB79**

#### Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Changes to the Definitions and Regulations for Designating Critical Habitat

**AGENCIES:** U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior; National Marine Fisheries Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Commerce.

**ACTION:** Proposed rules; extension of comment periods.

**SUMMARY:** We, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) and the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) (collectively referred to as the "Services" or "we"), announce the extension of the public comment periods on our May 12, 2014, proposals

to revise definitions and regulations regarding critical habitat. Comments previously submitted need not be resubmitted, as they will be fully considered in preparation of each final rule.

**DATES:** We will consider comments received or postmarked on or before October 9, 2014. Comments submitted electronically using the Federal eRulemaking Portal (see **ADDRESSES**, below) must be received by 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time on the closing date.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments by one of the following methods:

- **Federal eRulemaking Portal:** <http://www.regulations.gov>. In the Search box, enter the appropriate docket number; for the proposed revised definition of destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat, use FWS-R9-ES-2011-0072, and for the proposed rule to amend the regulations for designating critical habitat, use FWS-HQ-ES-2012-0096. You may submit a comment by clicking on "Comment Now!" Please ensure that you have found the correct rulemaking before submitting your comment.

- **U.S. mail:**
  - Submit comments on the proposed revised definition of destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat to: Public Comments Processing, Attn: Docket No. FWS-R9-ES-2011-0072; Division of Policy and Directives Management; U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service; 4401 N. Fairfax Drive, MS 2042-PDM; Arlington, VA 22203.
  - Submit comments on the proposed rule to amend the regulations for designating critical habitat to: Public Comments Processing, Attn: Docket No. FWS-HQ-ES-2012-0096; Division of Policy and Directives Management; U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service; 4401 N. Fairfax Drive, MS 2042-PDM; Arlington, VA 22203.

We will post all comments on <http://www.regulations.gov>. This generally means that we will post any personal information you provide us (see the Public Comments section, below, for more information).

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

*For proposed revised definition of destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat:* Patrice Ashfield, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Division of Environmental Review, 4401 N. Fairfax Drive, Suite 420, Arlington, VA 22203; telephone 703/358-2171; facsimile 703/358-1735; or Cathryn E. Tortorici, National Marine Fisheries Service, Office of Protected Resources, Interagency Cooperation Division, 1315 East-West Highway, Silver Spring, MD 20910; telephone 301/427-8405;

facsimile 301/713-0376. If you use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD), call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 800/877-8339.

*For the proposed rule to amend the regulations for designating critical habitat:* Douglas Krofta, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Division of Conservation and Classification, 4401 N. Fairfax Drive, Suite 420, Arlington, VA 22203; telephone 703/358-2527; facsimile 703/358-1735; or Marta Nammack, National Marine Fisheries Service, Office of Protected Resources, 1315 East-West Highway, Silver Spring, MD 20910; telephone 301/427-8469; facsimile 301/713-0376. If you use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD), call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 800/877-8339.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### **Public Comments**

We will accept written comments and information during this extended comment period on our proposed rule to revise the definition of destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat and our proposed rule to amend the regulations for designating critical habitat that were published in the **Federal Register** on May 12, 2014 (79 FR 27060 and 79 FR 27066, respectively). We will consider information we receive from all interested parties on or before the close of the comment period (see **DATES**).

If you submitted comments or information on either proposed rule during the public comment period that began May 12, 2014, please do not resubmit them. We have incorporated them into the public record, and we will fully consider them in the preparation of our final rules.

You may submit your comments and materials regarding either proposed rule by one of the methods listed in **ADDRESSES**. We request that you send comments only by the methods described in **ADDRESSES**.

If you submit a comment via <http://www.regulations.gov>, your entire comment—including any personal identifying information—will be posted on the Web site. We will post all hardcopy comments on <http://www.regulations.gov> as well. If you submit a hardcopy comment that includes personal identifying information, you may request at the top of your document that we withhold this information from public review. However, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

#### **Background**

On May 12, 2014, we published three related documents concerning designation and implementation of critical habitat under the Act: Two proposed regulation amendments and one draft policy. This notice extends the comment period for the two proposed regulation amendments, and a separate notice published elsewhere in today's issue of the **Federal Register** extends the comment period for the draft policy. The two proposed rules for which we are extending the comment period in this document propose to revise definitions and regulations regarding critical habitat.

Specifically, the proposed rule to revise the definition of destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat proposes to amend title 50, part 402, of the Code of Federal Regulations, which implements the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (Act; 16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*). Part 402 establishes the procedural regulations governing interagency cooperation under section 7 of the Act. The Act requires Federal agencies, in consultation with and with the assistance of the Secretaries of the Interior and Commerce, to insure that their actions are not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of endangered or threatened species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat of such species. In 1986,

the Services established a definition for “destruction or adverse modification” (§ 402.02) that was found to be invalid by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth (2001) and Ninth (2004) Circuits. We propose to amend part 402 to replace the invalidated definition with one that is consistent with the Act and the circuit court opinions.

The proposed rule to revise the regulations for designating critical habitat proposes to amend portions of title 50, part 424, of the Code of Federal Regulations, which also implements the Act. Part 424 clarifies, interprets, and implements portions of the Act concerning the procedures and criteria used for adding species to the Lists of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants and designating and revising critical habitat. Specifically, we propose to amend portions of part 424 that clarify procedures for designating and revising critical habitat. The proposed amendments would make minor edits to the scope and purpose, add and remove some definitions, and clarify the criteria for designating critical habitat.

#### **Authors**

The primary authors of this notice are the staff members of the Endangered Species Program, Headquarters Office, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

#### **Authority**

The authority for this action is the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*).

Dated: June 17, 2014.

**Michael J. Bean,**

*Acting Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Fish and Wildlife and Parks.*

Dated: June 17, 2014.

**Samuel D. Rauch III,**

*Deputy Assistant Administrator for Regulatory Programs, National Marine Fisheries Service.*

[FR Doc. 2014-14774 Filed 6-25-14; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-55-P; 3510-22-P**