Powers Act (50 U.S.C. 1701–1706); 18 U.S.C. 793, 794 or 798; section 4(b) of the Internal Security Act of 1950 (50 U.S.C. 783(b)), or section 38 of the Arms Export Control Act (22 U.S.C. 2778).'' 15 CFR 766.25(a); *see also* Section 11(h) of the EAA, 50 U.S.C. app. 2410(h). The denial of export privileges under this provision may be for a period of up to 10 years from the date of the conviction. 15 CFR 766.25(d); *see also* 50 U.S.C. app. 2410(h). In addition, Section 750.8 of the Regulations states that the Bureau of Industry and Security's Office of Exporter Services may revoke any Bureau of Industry and Security (''BIS'') licenses previously issued in which the person had an interest in at the time of his conviction.

I have received notice of Almeida's conviction for violating the AECA, and have provided notice and an opportunity for Almeida to make a written submission to BIS, as provided in Section 766.25 of the Regulations. I have not received a submission from Almeida.

Based upon my review and consultations with BIS's Office of Export Enforcement, including its Director, and the facts available to BIS, I have decided to deny Almeida's export privileges under the Regulations for a period of 10 years from the date of Almeida's conviction. I have also decided to revoke all licenses issued pursuant to the Act or Regulations in which Almeida had an interest at the time of his conviction.

Accordingly, it is hereby

Ordered

I. Until December 8, 2022, Luis Alejandro Yanez Almeida, with a last known address at: Inmate # 07362–379, Big Spring, Correctional Institution, 2001 Rickabaugh Dr., Big Spring, TXC 79720, and when acting for or on behalf of Almeida, his representatives, assigns, agents or employees (the ''Denied Person''), may not, directly or indirectly, participate in any way in any transaction involving any commodity, software or technology (hereinafter collectively referred to as ''item'') exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Regulations, including, but not limited to:

A. Applying for, obtaining, or using any license, License Exception, or export control document;

B. Carrying on negotiations concerning, or ordering, buying, receiving, using, selling, delivering, storing, disposing of, forwarding, transporting, financing, or otherwise servicing in any way, any transaction involving any item exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Regulations, or in any other activity subject to the Regulations; or

C. Benefitting in any way from any transaction involving any item exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Regulations, or in any other activity subject to the Regulations.

II. No person may, directly or indirectly, do any of the following:

A. Export or reexport to or on behalf of the Denied Person any item subject to the Regulations;

B. Take any action that facilitates the acquisition or attempted acquisition by the Denied Person of the ownership, possession, or control of any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States, including financing or other support activities related to a transaction whereby the Denied Person acquires or attempts to acquire such ownership, possession or control;

C. Take any action to acquire from or to facilitate the acquisition or attempted acquisition from the Denied Person of any item subject to the Regulations that has been exported from the United States;

D. Obtain from the Denied Person in the United States any item subject to the Regulations with knowledge or reason to know that the item will be, or is intended to be, exported from the United States; or

E. Engage in any transaction to service any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States and which is owned, possessed or controlled by the Denied Person, or service any item, of whatever origin, that is owned, possessed or controlled by the Denied Person if such service involves the use of any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States. For purposes of this paragraph, servicing means installation, maintenance, repair, modification or testing.

III. After notice and opportunity for comment as provided in Section 766.23 of the Regulations, any other person, firm, corporation, or business organization related to Almeida by affiliation, ownership, control or position of responsibility in the conduct of trade or related services may also be subject to the provisions of this Order if necessary to prevent evasion of the Order.

IV. This Order is effective immediately and shall remain in effect until December 8, 2022.

V. In accordance with Part 756 of the Regulations, Almeida may file an appeal of this Order with the Under Secretary of Commerce for Industry and Security. The appeal must be filed within 45 days from the date of this Order and must comply with the provisions of Part 756 of the Regulations.

VI. A copy of this Order shall be delivered to the Almeida. This Order shall be published in the **Federal Register**.

Issued this 24th day of June, 2014.

Eileen M. Albanese,

Acting Director, Office of Exporter Services.

[FR Doc. 2014–15392 Filed 6–30–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–580–809]

Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe From the Republic of Korea: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2011–2012

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On December 26, 2013, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on circular welded non-alloy steel pipe (CWP) from the Republic of Korea (Korea) for the period November 1, 2011, through October 31, 2012.[1] As a result of our analysis of the comments received, these final result differ from our *Preliminary Results.* For these final results, we find the subject merchandise has been sold at prices less than normal value.

DATES: *Effective Date:* July 1, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mary Kolberg or Jennifer Meek, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–1785 or (202) 482–2778, respectively.

Background

Following the *Preliminary Results,* from January 20 through 24, 2014, the Department conducted a verification of Husteel Co. Ltd.'s (Husteel) sales questionnaire responses.

[1] *See Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe From the Republic of Korea: Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2011–2012,* 78 FR 78336 (December 26, 2013) (*Preliminary Results*).

On April 7, 2014, the Department issued a memorandum extending the time period for issuing the final results of this administrative review from April 25, 2014 to June 24, 2014, as permitted by section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2).[2]

We received case briefs from Wheatland Tube Company (Wheatland) and Hyundai HYSCO (HYSCO) on May 9, 2014. On May 14, 2014, Husteel, Wheatland and the United States Steel Corporation (U.S. Steel) each submitted rebuttal briefs. We rejected Husteel's and U.S. Steel's rebuttal briefs on June 4, 2014 because each contained new factual information. Husteel and U.S. Steel each resubmitted rebuttal briefs without the new factual information on June 5, 2014 and June 6, 2014, respectively.

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the order is circular welded non-alloy steel pipe and tube. The product is currently classified under the following Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheadings: 7306.30.10.00, 7306.30.50.25, 7306.30.50.32, 7306.30.50.40, 7306.30.50.55, 7306.30.50.85, and 7306.30.50.90. Although the HTSUS numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written product description remains dispositive.

A full description of the scope of the order is contained in the memorandum from James Maeder, Director, Office II, Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, ''Issues and Decision Memorandum for the 2011–2012 Administrative Review of Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe from the Republic of Korea,'' dated concurrently with this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum), and which is hereby adopted by this notice.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the parties' briefs are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of the issues raised is attached to this notice as an Appendix. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (IA ACCESS). IA ACCESS is available to registered users at *http://iaaccess.trade.gov* and it is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, Room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the internet at *http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/*. The signed Issues and Decision Memorandum and the electronic versions of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Changes From the Preliminary Results

Based on our analysis of the comments received from interested parties, we made certain adjustments to Husteel's costs.[3] We also incorporated the minor corrections to Husteel's U.S. sales presented by Husteel at verification.[4]

Final Results of the Review

As a result of this review, we determine that the following weighted-average dumping margins exist for the period November 1, 2011, through October 31, 2012:

Producer/exporter	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Husteel Co., Ltd.	0.59
Hyundai HYSCO	3.39

Disclosure

We will disclose the calculations used in our analysis to parties to these proceedings within five days of the date of the release of this notice pursuant to 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(A) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.212(b), the Department determines, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review. The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of these final results of review.

For assessment purposes, Husteel and HYSCO reported the name of the importer of record and the entered value for all of their sales to the United States during the period of review (POR). Accordingly, for each respondent, we calculated importer-specific *ad valorem* antidumping duty assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the importer's examined sales and the total entered value of those same sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1). Where an importer-specific assessment rate is zero or *de minimis* (*i.e.,* less than 0.5 percent), we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties in accordance with 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2).

The Department clarified its ''automatic assessment'' regulation on May 6, 2003. This clarification will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by Husteel and HYSCO for which they did not know were destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction. For a full discussion of this clarification, *see Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties,* 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of subject merchandise entered or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption, on or after the date of publication as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for Husteel and HYSCO will be equal to the respective weighted-average dumping margin established in the final results of this review; (2) for merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding in which that manufacturer or exporter participated; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original investigation but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding for the manufacturer of subject merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 4.80 percent, the ''all others'' rate established

[2] *See* Memorandum from Mary Kolberg, to Gary Taverman, Senior Advisor for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations entitled ''Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe from the Republic of Korea: Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

[3] *See* Comment 1 of the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

[4] *See* Memorandum to The File from Jennifer Meek, International Trade Compliance Analyst, Enforcement and Compliance Office I, ''Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe from the Republic of Korea: Final Calculation Memorandum for Husteel Co., Ltd.'' (June 24, 2014).

pursuant to a court decision.[5] These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers Regarding the Reimbursement of Duties

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Notification to Interested Parties

These final results of administrative review are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: June 24, 2014.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Issues Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

Comment 1: Cost Reallocation—Husteel
Comment 2: General and Administrative Expenses, Rental Income Offset—Husteel
Comment 3: General and Administrative Expenses, Miscellaneous Income Offset—Husteel
Comment 4: General and Administrative Expenses, Litigation Accrual—HYSCO
Comment 5: Capping of Interest Revenue—HYSCO
Comment 6: Consideration of an Alternative Comparison Method in an Administrative Review
Comment 7: Application of a Differential Pricing Analysis
Comment 8: Denial of Offsets for Non-Dumped Sales With the Average-to-Transaction Method
Comment 9: Withdrawal of the Regulations Governing Targeted Dumping in Less-Than-Fair-Value Investigations

[FR Doc. 2014–15418 Filed 6–30–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

[5] *See Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe From Korea: Notice of Final Court Decision and Amended Final Determination,* 60 FR 55833 (November 3, 1995).

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–122–853]

Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts From Canada: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2012–2013

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On February 24, 2014, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the fourth administrative review of the antidumping duty order on citric acid and certain citrate salts (citric acid) from Canada.[1] The review covers one producer and exporter of the subject merchandise, Jungbunzlauer Canada Inc. (JBL Canada). The period of review (POR) is May 1, 2012, through April 30, 2013.

Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have made changes to our margin calculations. The final weighted-average dumping margin for JBL Canada is listed below in the ''Final Results of Review'' section of this notice.

DATES: *Effective Date:* July 1, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rebecca Trainor or Kate Johnson, AD/CVD Operations, Office II, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC, 20230; telephone (202) 482–4007 or (202) 482–4929, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The review covers one producer and exporter of the subject merchandise, JBL Canada. On February 24, 2014, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of the instant administrative review of the antidumping duty order on citric acid from Canada. We invited parties to comment on the preliminary results of the review. In March 2014, we received case and rebuttal briefs from Archer Daniels Midland Company, Cargill, Incorporated, and Tate & Lyle Ingredients Americas LLC (collectively, the petitioners) and JBL Canada. The Department has conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by this order is citric acid and certain citrate salts. The product is currently classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) at item numbers 2918.14.0000 and 2918.15.1000, 2918.15.5000 and 3824.90.9290. Although the HTSUS numbers are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the full written scope description, as described in the memorandum entitled ''Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the 2012–2013 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts from Canada,'' dated concurrently with and hereby adopted by this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum), remains dispositive.

Period of Review

The POR is May 1, 2012, through April 30, 2013.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised by parties in the case and rebuttal briefs are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of the issues raised is attached to this notice as Appendix I. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (IA ACCESS). IA ACCESS is available to registered users at *http://iaaccess.trade.gov;* the Issues and Decision Memorandum is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit (CRU), room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at *http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/.* The signed and electronic versions of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Changes Since The Preliminary Results

Based on a review of the record and comments received from interested parties regarding our *Preliminary Results,* we recalculated JBL Canada's

[1] *See Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts From Canada: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2012–2013,* 79 FR 10093 (February 24, 2014) (*Preliminary Results*).