

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY**United States Immigration and Customs Enforcement**

[OMB Control No. 1653–0049]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Comment Request

ACTION: 30-day notice of information collection for review; Suspicious/Criminal Activity Tip Reporting.

The Department of Homeland Security, U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (USICE), will submit the following information collection request for review and clearance in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The information collection is published in the **Federal Register** to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. The information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** on July 30, 2014, Vol. 79 No. 17964 allowing for a 60 day comment period. USICE received no comments during this period. The purpose of this notice is to allow an additional 30 days for public comments.

Written comments and suggestions regarding items contained in this notice and especially with regard to the

estimated public burden and associated response time should be directed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget. Comments should be addressed to the OMB Desk Officer for U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement, Department of Homeland Security, and sent via electronic mail to *oira_submission@omb.eop.gov* or faxed to (202) 395–5806.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information should address one or more of the following four points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the

use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of This Information Collection

(1) *Type of Information Collection:* Extension of a currently approved information collection.

(2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* Suspicious/Criminal Activity Tip Reporting.

(3) *Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department of Homeland Security sponsoring the collection:* U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* Primary: Individuals or Households, Business or other non-profit. DHS/ICE maintains multiple tools for tip reporting to allow the public and law enforcement partners to report tip information regarding crimes within the jurisdiction of DHS.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:*

Number of respondents	Form name	Average burden per response (in hours)
66,000	Homeland Security Investigations Tip Form	0.16
20	Bulk Cash Smuggling Center Contact Form	0.16
118,000	Suspicious Activity Tip Line	0.10

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* 22,363 annual burden hours.

Dated: September 29, 2014.

Scott Elmore,

Program Manager, Forms Management Office, Office of the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement, Department of Homeland Security.

[FR Doc. 2014–23458 Filed 10–1–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9111–28–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. 5810–N–01]

Notice of Certain Operating Cost Adjustment Factors for 2015

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Housing—Federal Housing Commissioner, HUD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice establishes operating cost adjustment factors (OCAF) for project based assistance contracts for eligible multifamily housing projects having an anniversary date on or after February 11, 2015. OCAF are annual factors used to adjust Section 8 rents renewed under section 524 of the Multifamily Assisted Housing Reform and Affordability Act of 1997 (MAHRA).

DATES: Effective Date: February 11, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stan Houle, Housing Program Manager, Office of Housing Assistance and Grant Administration, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW., Washington, DC 20410; telephone number 202–402–2572 (this is not a toll-free number). Hearing- or speech-impaired individuals may access this number through TTY by calling the toll-

free Federal Relay Service at 800–877–8339.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**I. OCAF**

Section 514(e)(2) of MAHRA (42 U.S.C. 1437f note) requires HUD to establish guidelines for rent adjustments based on an OCAF. The statute requiring HUD to establish OCAF for Low-Income Housing Preservation and Resident Homeownership Act (LIHPRA) (12 U.S.C. 4101, *et seq.*) projects and projects with contract renewals or adjustments under section 524(b)(1)(A) of MAHRA is similar in wording and intent. HUD has therefore developed a single factor to be applied uniformly to all projects utilizing OCAF as the method by which renewal rents are established or adjusted.

LIHPRA projects are low-income housing projects insured by the Federal Housing Administration (FHA).

LIHPRA projects are primarily low-

income housing projects insured under section 221(d)(3) below-market interest rate (BMIR) and section 236 of the National Housing Act, respectively. Both categories of projects have low-income use restrictions that have been extended beyond the 20-year period specified in the original documents, and both categories of projects also receive assistance under section 8 of the U.S. Housing Act of 1937 to support the continued low-income use.

MAHRA gives HUD broad discretion in setting OCAF's, referring, for example, in sections 524(a)(4)(C)(i), 524(b)(1)(A), 524(b)(3)(A) and 524(c)(1) simply to "an operating cost adjustment factor established by the Secretary." The sole limitation to this grant of authority is a specific requirement in each of the foregoing provisions that application of an OCAF "shall not result in a negative adjustment." Contract rents are adjusted by applying the OCAF to that portion of the rent attributable to operating expenses exclusive of debt service.

The OCAF's provided in this notice and applicable to eligible projects having a project based assistance contracts anniversary date of on or after February 11, 2015, are calculated using the same method as those published in HUD's 2014 OCAF notice published on September 16, 2013 (78 FR 56911). Specifically, OCAF's are calculated as the sum of weighted average cost changes for wages, employee benefits, property taxes, insurance, supplies and equipment, fuel oil, electricity, natural gas, and water/sewer/trash using publicly available indices. The weights used in the OCAF calculations for each of the nine cost component groupings are set using current percentages attributable to each of the nine expense categories. These weights are calculated in the same manner as in HUD's September 16, 2013, notice. Average expense proportions were calculated using three years of audited Annual Financial Statements from projects covered by OCAF's. The expenditure percentages for these nine categories have been found to be very stable over time, but using three years of data increases their stability. The nine cost component weights were calculated at the state level, which is the lowest level of geographical aggregation with enough projects to permit statistical analysis. These data were not available for the Western Pacific Islands, so data for Hawaii were used as the best available indicator of OCAF's for these areas.

The best current price data sources for the nine cost categories were used in calculating annual change factors. State-level data for fuel oil, electricity, and natural gas from Department of Energy

surveys are relatively current and continue to be used. Data on changes in employee benefits, insurance, property taxes, and water/sewer/trash costs are only available at the national level. The data sources for the nine cost indicators selected used were as follows:

- *Labor Costs:* First quarter, 2014 Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) ECI, Private Industry Wages and Salaries, All Workers (Series ID CIU20200000000000) at the national level and Private Industry Benefits, All Workers (Series ID CIU20300000000000) at the national level.

- *Property Taxes:* Census Quarterly Summary of State and Local Government Tax Revenue—Table 1 <http://www2.census.gov/govs/qtax/2014/q1t1.xls>. 12-month property taxes are computed as the total of four quarters of tax receipts for the period from April through March. Total 12-month taxes are then divided by the number of occupied housing units to arrive at average 12-month tax per housing unit. The number of occupied housing units is taken from the estimates program at the Bureau of the Census. <http://www.census.gov/housing/hvs/data/histtab8.xls>.

- *Goods, Supplies, Equipment:* May 2013 to May 2014 Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) Consumer Price Index, All Items Less Food, Energy and Shelter (Series ID CUUR0000SA0L12E) at the national level.

- *Insurance:* May 2013 to May 2014 Bureau of Labor Statistic (BLS) Consumer Price Index, Tenants and Household Insurance Index (Series ID CUUR0000SEHD) at the national level.

- *Fuel Oil:* October 2013–March 2014 U.S. Weekly Heating Oil and Propane Prices report. Average weekly residential heating oil prices in cents per gallon excluding taxes for the period from October 7, 2013 through March 17, 2014 are compared to the average from October 1, 2012 through March 18, 2013. For the States with insufficient fuel oil consumption to have separate estimates, the relevant regional Petroleum Administration for Defense Districts (PADD) change between these two periods is used; if there is no regional PADD estimate, the U.S. change between these two periods is used. http://www.eia.gov/dnav/pet/pet_pri_wfr_a/EPD2F/prs_dpgal_w.htm.

- *Electricity:* Energy Information Agency, February 2014 "Electric Power Monthly" report, Table 5.6.B. http://www.eia.gov/electricity/monthly/current_year/february2014.pdf.

- *Natural Gas:* Energy Information Agency, Natural Gas, Residential Energy Price, 2012–2013 annual prices in dollars per 1,000 cubic feet at the state

level. Due to EIA data quality standards several states were missing data for one or two months in 2013; in these cases, data for these missing months were estimated using data from the surrounding months in 2013 and the relationship between that same month and the surrounding months in 2012. http://www.eia.gov/dnav/ng/ng_pri_sum_a_EPGO_PRS_DMcf_a.htm.

- *Water and Sewer:* May 2013 to May 2014 Consumer Price Index, All Urban Consumers, Water and Sewer and Trash Collection Services (Series ID CUUR0000SEHG) at the national level.

The sum of the nine cost component percentage weights equals 100 percent of operating costs for purposes of OCAF calculations. To calculate the OCAF's, state-level cost component weights developed from AFS data are multiplied by the selected inflation factors. For instance, if wages in Virginia comprised 50 percent of total operating cost expenses and increased by 4 percent from 2013 to 2014, the wage increase component of the Virginia OCAF for 2015 would be 2.0 percent (50% * 4%). This 2.0 percent would then be added to the increases for the other eight expense categories to calculate the 2015 OCAF for Virginia. The OCAF's for 2015 are included as an Appendix to this Notice.

II. MAHRA and LIHPRHA OCAF Procedures

MAHRA, as amended, created the Mark-to-Market Program to reduce the cost of federal housing assistance, enhance HUD's administration of such assistance, and ensure the continued affordability of units in certain multifamily housing projects. Section 524 of MAHRA authorizes renewal of Section 8 project-based assistance contracts for projects without restructuring plans under the Mark-to-Market Program, including projects that are not eligible for a restructuring plan and those for which the owner does not request such a plan. Renewals must be at rents not exceeding comparable market rents except for certain projects. As an example, for Section 8 Moderate Rehabilitation projects, other than single room occupancy projects (SROs) under the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 11301 *et seq.*), that are eligible for renewal under section 524(b)(3) of MAHRA, the renewal rents are required to be set at the lesser of: (1) The existing rents under the expiring contract, as adjusted by the OCAF; (2) fair market rents (less any amounts allowed for tenant-purchased utilities); or (3) comparable market rents for the market area.

LIHPRHA (see, in particular, section 222(a)(2)(G)(i), 12 U.S.C. 4112 (a)(2)(G) and HUD's regulations at 24 CFR 248.145(a)(9)) requires that future rent adjustments for LIHPRHA projects be made by applying an annual factor, to be determined by HUD to the portion of project rent attributable to operating expenses for the project and, where the owner is a priority purchaser, to the portion of project rent attributable to project oversight costs.

III. Findings and Certifications

Environmental Impact

This issuance sets forth rate determinations and related external administrative requirements and procedures that do not constitute a development decision affecting the physical condition of specific project areas or building sites. Accordingly, under 24 CFR 50.19(c)(6), this notice is categorically excluded from environmental review under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321).

Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number

The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number for this program is 14.187.

Paperwork Reduction Act

This notice reduces information collection requirements already submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520). In accordance with the

Paperwork Reduction Act, an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless the collection displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Dated: September 26, 2014.

Carol J. Galante,

Assistant Secretary for Housing-Federal Housing Commissioner.

Appendix

OPERATING COST ADJUSTMENT FACTORS FOR 2015

Alabama	1.9
Alaska	2.2
Arizona	2.0
Arkansas	1.9
California	2.6
Colorado	2.0
Connecticut	1.7
Delaware	1.5
District of Columbia	2.3
Florida	2.2
Georgia	2.0
Hawaii	1.5
Idaho	2.5

SUMMARY: The Commission instituted the subject five-year review in July 2014 to determine whether revocation of the countervailing duty order on certain tow-behind lawn groomers and parts thereof from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury (79 FR 37349). On September 23, 2014, the Department of Commerce published notice that it was revoking the order effective September

OPERATING COST ADJUSTMENT FACTORS FOR 2015—Continued

Illinois	1.7
Indiana	2.1
Iowa	2.1
Kansas	2.2
Kentucky	2.1
Louisiana	2.7
Maine	1.8
Maryland	2.1
Massachusetts	2.4
Michigan	1.4
Minnesota	2.4
Mississippi	2.0
Missouri	2.2
Montana	2.1
Nebraska	2.0
Nevada	2.2
New Hampshire	2.0
New Jersey	2.0
New Mexico	2.4
New York	2.2
North Carolina	2.0
North Dakota	2.0
Ohio	2.0
Oklahoma	1.8
Oregon	2.2
Pacific Islands	1.5
Pennsylvania	2.0
Puerto Rico	2.0
Rhode Island	2.7
South Carolina	2.2
South Dakota	2.0
Tennessee	2.0
Texas	2.4
Utah	2.2
Vermont	2.0
Virgin Islands	2.4
Virginia	1.8
Washington	2.2
West Virginia	2.2
Wisconsin	1.6
Wyoming	2.1
US Average	2.1

[FR Doc. 2014-23475 Filed 10-1-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210-67-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 701-TA-457 (Review)]

Certain Tow-Behind Lawn Groomers and Parts Thereof From China

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice of termination of five-year review.

SUMMARY: The Commission instituted the subject five-year review in July 2014 to determine whether revocation of the countervailing duty order on certain tow-behind lawn groomers and parts thereof from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury (79 FR 37349). On September 23, 2014, the Department of Commerce published notice that it was revoking the order effective September

23, 2014, “{b}ecause the domestic interested parties did not participate in this sunset review . . .” (79 FR 56769). Accordingly, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), the subject review is terminated.

DATES: Effective Date: September 24, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Angela M.W. Newell (202-708-5409), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>).

Authority: This review is being terminated under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.69 of the Commission’s rules (19 CFR 207.69).

Issued: September 29, 2014.

By order of the Commission.

Lisa R. Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2014-23460 Filed 10-1-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

[Docket No. 14-01]

The Medicine Shoppe; Decision and Order

On March 24, 2014, Administrative Law Judge Gail A. Randall issued the attached Recommended Decision. Respondent filed Exceptions to the Recommended Decision.

Having reviewed the entire record including Respondent’s Exceptions, I have decided to adopt the ALJ’s findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommended order. A discussion of Respondent’s Exceptions follows.

Respondent’s Exceptions

Respondent raises twelve different exceptions to the ALJ’s decision in no logical order. His contentions can be summarized as follows:

(1) That the ALJ failed to consider less punitive sanctions than revocation;