five days after the written comments are filed.[4]

Any interested party may request a hearing within 30 days of publication of this notice.[5] Hearing requests should contain the following information: (1) The party's name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of the issues to be discussed. Oral presentations will be limited to issues raised in the briefs. If a request for a hearing is made, parties will be notified of the time and date for the hearing to be held at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.[6]

Unless otherwise extended, the Department intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, which will include the results of its analysis of issues raised in any such comments, within 120 days of publication of these preliminary results, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

### Assessment Rates

Upon issuance of the final results of this review, the Department will determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review.[7] The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of the final results of this review.

For any individually examined respondent whose weighted-average dumping margin is above *de minimis,* we will calculate importer-specific *ad valorem* duty assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the importer's examined sales to the total entered value of those same sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).[8] For duty assessment rates calculated on this basis, we will direct CBP to assess the resulting *ad valorem* rate against the entered customs values for the subject merchandise.

The Department announced a refinement to its assessment practice in NME cases. Pursuant to this refinement in practice, for entries that were not reported in the U.S. sales databases submitted by companies individually examined during this review, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the PRC-wide rate. In addition, if the Department determines that an exporter under review had no shipments of the subject merchandise, any suspended entries that entered under that exporter's case number (*i.e.,* at that exporter's rate) will be liquidated at the PRC-wide rate.[9]

The final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the final results of this review.

### Cash Deposit Requirements

Because the antidumping duty order on frontseating service valves from the PRC was revoked,[10] the Department will not issue cash deposit instructions at the conclusion of this administrative review.

### Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

We are issuing and publishing notice of these results in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: March 31, 2015.

**Paul Piquado,**

*Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

## Appendix

**List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum**

1. Background
2. Scope of the Order
3. Non-Market Economy Country
4. Separate Rates
5. Surrogate Country and Surrogate Value Data
6. Surrogate Country
7. Economic Comparability
8. Significant Producers of Identical or Comparable Merchandise
9. Data Availability
10. Date of Sale
11. Comparisons to Normal Value
12. Constructed Export Price
13. Value-Added Tax
14. Normal Value
15. Factor Valuations
16. Currency Conversion

[FR Doc. 2015–08120 Filed 4–7–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

[4] *See* 19 CFR 351.309(d).

[5] *See* 19 CFR 351.310(c).

[6] *See* 19 CFR 351.310(d)(1).

[7] *See* 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

[8] In these preliminary results, the Department applied the assessment rate calculation method adopted in *Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings: Final Modification,* 77 FR 8101 (February 14, 2012).

[9] *See Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties,* 76 FR 65694, 65694–95 (October 24, 2011).

[10] *See Frontseating Service Valves from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Sunset Review and Revocation of Antidumping Duty Order,* 79 FR 27573 (May 14, 2014).

---

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

**[A–570–891]**

### Hand Trucks and Certain Parts Thereof From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review and Revocation, in Part

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On March 3, 2015, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published its notice of initiation and preliminary results of changed circumstances review, and intent to revoke, in part, the antidumping (AD) duty order on hand trucks and certain parts thereof (hand trucks) from the People's Republic of China (PRC).[1] We invited parties to comment and received no comments. Therefore, we are now revoking the order, in part, with respect to certain multifunction carts meeting the specifications described below.

**DATES:** *Effective Dates:* December 1, 2012 (AD order).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Scott Hoefke at (202) 482–4947 or Robert James at (202) 482–0649; AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

*Background*

On December 2, 2004, the Department published in the *Federal Register* the AD order on hand trucks from the PRC.[2] On December 9, 2014, in accordance with sections 751(b) and 751(d)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), 19 CFR 351.216(b), and 19 CFR 351.222(g)(1), Positec USA, Inc. and RW

[1] *See Hand Trucks and Certain Parts Thereof From the People's Republic of China: Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Review, and Intent To Revoke Order In Part,* 80 FR 11396 (March 3, 2015) (*Initiation and Preliminary Results*).

[2] *See Notice of Antidumping Duty Order: Hand Trucks and Certain Parts Thereof From the People's Republic of China,* 69 FR 70122 (December 2, 2004) (Order).

Direct, Inc. (collectively, Positec), importers, requested revocation, in part, of the Order with respect to its WORX Aerocart (Aerocart) as part of a changed circumstances review. Positec requested that the Department conduct the changed circumstances review on an expedited basis pursuant to 19 CFR 351.221(c)(3)(ii). On March 3, 2015, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the *Initiation and Preliminary Results.* As noted above, we gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the *Initiation and Preliminary Results.* We received no comments from interested parties. Therefore, we are now revoking the Order, in part, with respect to certain multifunction carts meeting the specifications described below.

**Scope of Changed Circumstances Review**

The merchandise covered by this changed circumstances review is a multifunction cart that combines, among others, the capabilities of a wheelbarrow and dolly. The product comprises a steel frame that can be converted from vertical to horizontal functionality, two wheels toward the lower end of the frame and two removable handles near the top. In addition to a foldable projection edge in its extended position, it includes a permanently attached steel tub or barrow. This product is currently available under proprietary trade names such as the ''Aerocart.''

The scope of the Order will be modified to read as stated below.

**Scope of the Order**

The merchandise subject to this Order consists of hand trucks manufactured from any material, whether assembled or unassembled, complete or incomplete, suitable for any use, and certain parts thereof, namely the vertical frame, the handling area and the projecting edges or toe plate, and any combination thereof.

A complete or fully assembled hand truck is a hand-propelled barrow consisting of a vertically disposed frame having a handle or more than one handle at or near the upper section of the vertical frame; at least two wheels at or near the lower section of the vertical frame; and a horizontal projecting edge or edges, or toe plate, perpendicular or angled to the vertical frame, at or near the lower section of the vertical frame. The projecting edge or edges, or toe plate, slides under a load for purposes of lifting and/or moving the load.

That the vertical frame can be converted from a vertical setting to a horizontal setting, then operated in that horizontal setting as a platform, is not a basis for exclusion of the hand truck from the scope of this petition. That the vertical frame, handling area, wheels, projecting edges or other parts of the hand truck can be collapsed or folded is not a basis for exclusion of the hand truck from the scope of the petition. That other wheels may be connected to the vertical frame, handling area, projecting edges, or other parts of the hand truck, in addition to the two or more wheels located at or near the lower section of the vertical frame, is not a basis for exclusion of the hand truck from the scope of the petition. Finally, that the hand truck may exhibit physical characteristics in addition to the vertical frame, the handling area, the projecting edges or toe plate, and the two wheels at or near the lower section of the vertical frame, is not a basis for exclusion of the hand truck from the scope of the petition.

Examples of names commonly used to reference hand trucks are hand truck, convertible hand truck, appliance hand truck, cylinder hand truck, bag truck, dolly, or hand trolley. They are typically imported under heading 8716.80.5010 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS), although they may also be imported under heading 8716.80.5090. Specific parts of a hand truck, namely the vertical frame, the handling area and the projecting edges or toe plate, or any combination thereof, are typically imported under heading 8716.90.5060 of the HTSUS. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the Department's written description of the scope is dispositive.

Excluded from the scope are small two-wheel or four-wheel utility carts specifically designed for carrying loads like personal bags or luggage in which the frame is made from telescoping tubular material measuring less than 5/8 inch in diameter; hand trucks that use motorized operations either to move the hand truck from one location to the next or to assist in the lifting of items placed on the hand truck; vertical carriers designed specifically to transport golf bags; and wheels and tires used in the manufacture of hand trucks.

Excluded from the scope is a multifunction cart that combines, among others, the capabilities of a wheelbarrow and dolly. The product comprises a steel frame that can be converted from vertical to horizontal functionality, two wheels toward the lower end of the frame and two removable handles near the top. In addition to a foldable projection edge in its extended position, it includes a permanently attached steel tub or barrow. This product is currently available under proprietary trade names such as the ''Aerocart.''

**Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review, and Revocation of the AD Order in Part**

At the request of Positec, and in accordance with sections 751(b)(1) and (d)(1) of the Act, 19 CFR 351.216, and 19 CFR 351.222(g)(1), the Department initiated a changed circumstances review of the Order on hand trucks from the PRC to determine whether partial revocation of the Order is warranted with respect to certain multifunction carts.[3] In addition, we determined that expedited action is warranted and, consistent with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(3)(ii), we combined the notices of initiation and preliminary results.[4] Based on the expression of no interest by Petitioners, which stated that they are producers accounting for substantially all of the production of the domestic like product in support of the Order,[5] and absent any objections by other domestic interested parties, we preliminarily determined that substantially all of the domestic producers of the like product have no interest in the continued application of the AD Order on hand trucks from the PRC to the merchandise that is subject to Positec's request. Therefore, we preliminarily determined that partial revocation of the Order is appropriate. Accordingly, we notified the public of our intent to revoke, in part, the AD Order with respect to certain multifunction carts. We did not receive any comments from parties objecting to the partial revocation. Because all parties to the proceeding agree to the outcome of the review, we are issuing these final results of changed circumstances review within 45 days of initiation in accordance with 19 CFR 351.216(e).

Therefore, in accordance with sections 751(d)(1) and 782(h) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.222(g)(1)(i), the Department is partially revoking the AD Order on hand trucks from the PRC with respect to certain multifunction carts meeting the specifications described above. This partial revocation will be applied to entries of the certain multifunction cart entered or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption, on or after December 1, 2012, which corresponds to the day following the

[3] *See Initiation and Preliminary Results.*

[4] *Id.*

[5] *See* Letter from Petitioners, ''Hand Trucks and Certain Parts Thereof From the People's Republic of China: Petitioner's Statement That It Has No Interest In the WORX Aerocart Being Subject to the Order,'' dated December 10, 2014 at 3.

last day of the most recently completed administrative review under the order.[6]

**Instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection**

As we stated in our *Initiation and Preliminary Results,* we will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection to end the suspension of liquidation for the merchandise covered by the revocation on the effective date of this notice of revocation, in part, and to release any cash deposit or bond, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.222(g)(4).

**Notification**

This notice serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.306. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This notice is published in accordance with sections 751(b)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.216(e), 351.221(b)(5), and 351.222(g).

Dated: April 2, 2015.

**Paul Piquado**

*Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

[FR Doc. 2015–08112 Filed 4–7–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

[6] *See Hand Trucks and Certain Parts Thereof From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2011–2012,* 79 FR 44008 (July 29, 2014).

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

**[A–570–836]**

### Glycine From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Preliminary Intent To Rescind, in Part; 2013–2014

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on glycine from the People's Republic of China (the PRC) covering the period of review (POR) from March 1, 2013, through February 28, 2014. The administrative review covers two mandatory respondents, Baoding Mantong Fine Chemistry Co. Ltd. (Baoding Mantong) and Evonik Rexim (Nanning) Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. (Evonik). The Department preliminarily finds that Baoding Mantong sold subject merchandise in the United States at prices below the normal value (NV) during the POR. The Department preliminarily determines that Evonik's sales to the United States were not *bona fide* and is preliminarily rescinding the review with respect to Evonik. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* April 8, 2015.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dena Crossland or Ericka Ukrow, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3362 or (202) 482–0405, respectively.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

On April 30, 2014, the Department initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty *Order*[1] on glycine from the PRC.[2]

**Scope of the Order**

The product covered by the antidumping duty order is glycine, which is a free-flowing crystalline material, like salt or sugar.[3] The subject merchandise is currently classifiable under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheading 2922.49.4020. The HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes only; the written product description of the scope of the order is dispositive.[4]

**Methodology**

The Department is conducting this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, *see* the Preliminary Decision Memorandum. The list of topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is provided as an Appendix to the notice. This memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at *http://access.trade.gov* and in the Central Records Unit, room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Internet at *http://www.trade.gov/enforcement/.* The signed Preliminary Decision Memorandum and the electronic versions of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

[1] *See Antidumping Duty Order: Glycine from the People's Republic of China,* 60 FR 16116 (March 29, 1995) (*Order*).

[2] *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part,* 79 FR 24398 (April 30, 2014).

[3] *See* ''Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Glycine from the People's Republic of China; 2013–2014'' from Gary Taverman, Associate Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, dated concurrently with this notice (Preliminary Decision Memorandum), for a complete description of the scope of the order.

[4] *See Order.*

**Bona Fides Analysis**

As discussed in Evonik's *Bona Fide* Memorandum, the Department preliminarily finds that the sales by Evonik are not *bona fide,* and that these sales, and absence of factors-of-production (FOP) data, do not provide a reasonable or reliable basis for calculating a dumping margin.[5] The Department reached this conclusion based on the totality of circumstances, namely: (a) The atypical nature of Evonik's price; and (b) the atypical circumstances surrounding its supplier's inability to provide FOP data. Because these non-*bona fide* sales were the only sales of subject merchandise that Evonik made during the POR, the Department is preliminarily rescinding its review of Evonik.

**Preliminary Results of Review**

The Department has preliminarily determined that the following dumping margin exists for the period March 1, 2013, through February 28, 2014:

| Exporter | Dumping margin (percent) |
|---|---|
| Baoding Mantong Fine Chemistry Co. Ltd | 784.48 |

[5] *See* Memorandum to Abdelali Elouaradia, Acting Director, Office VI, Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, through Angelica Townshend, Program Manager, Office VI, Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, from Ericka Ukrow, International Trade Compliance Analyst, Office VI, titled ''Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Glycine from the People's Republic of China; 2013–2014: *Bona Fide* Nature of Evonik Rexim (Nanning) Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd.'s Sales,'' dated concurrently and hereby adopted by this notice (Evonik's *Bona Fide* Memorandum).