11. Indian Tribal Governments

This rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

12. Energy Effects

This action is not a ''significant energy action'' under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use.

13. Technical Standards

This rule does not use technical standards. Therefore, we did not consider the use of voluntary consensus standards.

14. Environment

We have analyzed this rule under Department of Homeland Security Management Directive 023–01 and Commandant Instruction M16475.lD, which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA)(42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have determined that this action is one of a category of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This rule involves implementation of regulations within 33 CFR part 165, applicable to safety zones on the navigable waterways. This zone will temporarily restrict vessel traffic from anchoring or transiting a portion of Indian River Bay near Millsboro, Delaware in order to protect the safety of life and property on the waters while a firework display is conducted. This rule is categorically excluded from further review under paragraph 34(g) of Figure 2–1 of the Commandant Instruction. An environmental analysis checklist supporting this determination and a Categorical Exclusion Determination are available in the docket where indicated under **ADDRESSES**. We seek any comments or information that may lead to the discovery of a significant environmental impact from this rule.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C 1231; 50 U.S.C. 191; 33 CFR 1.05–1, 6.04–1, 6.04–6, 160.5; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1.

■ 2. Add temporary § 165.T05–0317, to read as follows:

§ 165.T05–0317 Safety Zone, Indian River Bay; Millsboro, DE.

(a) *Regulated Area.* The following area is a safety zone: All waters of Indian River Bay within a 200 foot radius of a fireworks barge located approximately at position 38–36.58N, 075–09.00W near Millsboro, Delaware.

(b) *Regulations.* The general safety zone regulations found in 33 CFR 165.23 apply to the safety zone created by this section (§ 165.T05–0317).

(1) All persons and vessels are prohibited from entering this zone, except as authorized by the Coast Guard Captain of the Port or her designated representative.

(2) This section applies to all vessels wishing to transit through the safety zone except vessels that are engaged in the following operations:

(i) Enforcing laws;

(ii) Servicing aids to navigation, and

(iii) Emergency response vessels.

(3) No person or vessel may enter or remain in a safety zone without the permission of the Captain of the Port;

(4) Each person and vessel in a safety zone shall obey any direction or order of the Captain of the Port;

(5) No person may board, or take or place any article or thing on board, any vessel in a safety zone without the permission of the Captain of the Port; and

(6) No person may take or place any article or thing upon any waterfront facility in a safety zone without the permission of the Captain of the Port.

(c) Definitions—(1) *Captain of the Port* means the Commander, Coast Guard Sector Delaware Bay, or any Coast Guard commissioned, warrant or petty officer who has been authorized by the Captain of the Port to act on her behalf.

(2) *Designated representative* means any Coast Guard commissioned, warrant or petty officer who has been authorized by the Captain of the Port, Delaware Bay, to assist in enforcing the safety zone described in paragraph (a) of this section.

(d) *Enforcement.* The U.S. Coast Guard may be assisted by Federal, State, and local agencies in the patrol and enforcement of the zone.

(e) *Enforcement period.* This section will be enforced between 8:45 p.m. to 10:15 p.m. on May 23 and July 4, 2015, unless cancelled earlier by the Captain of the Port. Should inclement weather require cancellation of the fireworks display on the above scheduled dates, the safety zone will be enforced between 8:45 p.m. and 10:15 p.m. on May 24 and July 5, 2015, unless cancelled earlier by the Captain of the Port.

Dated: May 7, 2015.

K. Moore,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port Delaware Bay.

[FR Doc. 2015–14797 Filed 6–15–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110–04–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

37 CFR Part 42

[Docket No. PTO–P–2015–0032]

RIN 0651–AD00

Amendments to the Rules of Practice for Trials Before the Patent Trial and Appeal Board

Correction

In rule document 2015–12117 appearing on pages 28561–28566 in the issue of Tuesday, May 19, 2015, make the following correction:

On page 28563, in the third column, third line from the bottom, delete ''*http://www.cruiseamerica.com/rent/ourvehicles/*''.

[FR Doc. C1–2015–12117 Filed 6–15–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 1505–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS

38 CFR Part 36

RIN 2900–AN71

Loan Guaranty: Elimination of Redundant Regulations; Technical Correction

AGENCY: Department of Veterans Affairs.

ACTION: Final rule; technical correction.

SUMMARY: On June 15, 2010, the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) published a document in the **Federal Register** (75 FR 33704), amending its loan guaranty regulations to eliminate redundancies in the regulations that

were a result of a new electronic reporting system. At that time, we failed to update the cross-reference citations within the redesignated sections. On October 22, 2010 (75 FR 65238), Sections 36.4301 through 36.4323(e) were amended to replace the incorrect cross-reference citations with the accurate, updated cross-references. This document corrects the remaining redesignated sections (§ 36.4324 through § 36.4393) to contain the correct and updated cross-reference citations. These nonsubstantive changes are made for clarity and accuracy.

DATES: *Effective* June 16, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joseph E. Simpson, Senior Attorney, The Office of General Counsel, U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs, 810 Vermont Avenue NW., (021D), Washington, DC 20420, (202) 368–6406.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On June 15, 2010 (75 FR 33704), VA amended 38 CFR part 36. The purpose of the amendments was to eliminate redundant regulations found at 38 CFR 36.4300 through 36.4393 (the ''36.4300 series''). VA redesignated the regulations that had previously been published at 38 CFR 36.4800 through § 36.4893 (the ''36.4800 series'') to replace the 36.4300 series in its entirety. On October 22, 2010 (75 FR 65238), VA amended the redesignated sections of 36.4301 through 36.4323(3) to replace the incorrect internal cross references to the 36.4800 series contained within those sections, with the updated, accurate internal cross references to the 36.4300 series. That final rule technical citation failed to make the remaining necessary corrections.

With this action, VA is amending the remaining 36.4300 series regulations to update the internal cross-references to the 36.4800 series regulations. This action is necessary because the 36.4800 series has been removed from 38 CFR part 36, making the current cross reference citations to the series obsolete. VA is amending each citation by simply replacing the numbers ''48'' with ''43'' (*e.g.,* changing the reference to § 36.4860 to read § 36.4360).

For the convenience of the reader, we have included a redesignation table that shows each affected section, the cross reference that is removed, and the new cross reference that is added in its place.

Administrative Procedure Act

Because this final rule is only a technical correction to the cross-references in certain regulations, prior notice-and-comment is unnecessary. Accordingly, this final rule is exempt from this requirement under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B). For the same reason, there is good cause under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3) to publish this rule with an immediate effective date.

List of Subjects in 38 CFR Part 36

Condominiums, Housing, Veterans with disabilities, Loan programs—housing and community development, Loan programs—veterans, Grant program—veterans, Manufactured homes, Mortgage insurance, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Veterans.

Approved: May 29, 2015.

William F. Russo

Acting Director, Office of Regulation Policy and Management, Office of the General Counsel, Department of Veterans Affairs.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, 38 CFR 36.4324 through 36.4393 are corrected by making the following correction amendments:

PART 36—LOAN GUARANTY

■ 1. The authority citation for part 36 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 38 U.S.C. 501 and as otherwise noted.

§§ 36.4324, 36.4325, 36.4326, 36.4327, 36.4328, 36.4331, 36.4333, 36.4335, 36.4338, 36.4339, 36.4340, 36.4345, 36.4347, 36.4348, 36.4350, 36.4352, 36.4354, 36.4355, 36.4359, 36.4360, 36.4361, 36.4362, 36.4363, 36.4364, 36.4365, 36.4367, 36.4375, 36.4378, 36.4379, 36.4390, 36.4392, and 36.4393 [Amended]

■ 2. In the table below, for each section indicated in the left column, remove the cross-reference indicated in the middle column from wherever it appears in the section, and add the cross-reference indicated in the right column:

REDESIGNATION TABLE

Amended sections	Remove cross-reference citations	Add, in its place, new cross-reference citations
§ 36.4324(a)	§ 36.4815(h)(2)	36.4315(h)(2).
§ 36.4324(a)	§ 36.4815(h)(2)	36.4315(h)(2).
§ 36.4324(a)(2)	§ 36.4814	§ 36.4314.
§ 36.4324(a)(3)(ii)	§ 36.4822(a)	§ 36.4322(a).
§ 36.4324(d)(5)	§ 36.4833	§ 36.4333.
§ 36.4325	§ 36.4820(a)	§ 36.4320(a).
§ 36.4326(e)	§ 36.4845	§ 36.4345.
§ 36.4326(e)(1)	§ 36.4845	§ 36.4345.
§ 36.4326(e)(2)	§ 36.4845	§ 36.4345.
§ 36.4326(i)	§ 36.4809(c)(1)(vii)	§ 36.4309(c)(1)(vii).
§ 36.4326(i)	§ 36.4803(l)(1)(i)	§ 36.4303(l)(1)(i).
§ 36.4326(i)	§ 36.4803(l)(1)(i)	§ 36.4303(l)(1)(i).
§ 36.4326(i)	§ 36.4813(d)(8)	§ 36.4313(d)(8).
§ 36.4327(a)(1)	§ 36.4822	§ 36.4322.
§ 36.4327(d)(2)	§ 36.4817	§ 36.4317.
§ 36.4327(d)(4)	§ 36.4815	§ 36.4315.
§ 36.4328(b)	§ 36.4854(b)	§ 36.4354(b).
§ 36.4328(b)(2)	§ 36.4830	§ 36.4330.
§ 36.4328(b)(3)	§ 36.4829	§ 36.4329.
§ 36.4328(b)(4)	§ 36.4817	§ 36.4317.
§ 36.4328(b)(5)	§ 36.4827	§ 36.4327.
§ 36.4328(b)(6)	§ 36.4831	§ 36.4331.
§ 36.4328(b)(8)	§ 36.4854(b)	§ 36.4354(b).
§ 36.4328(c)	§ 36.4820(a)	§ 36.4320(a).
§ 36.4331	§§ 36.4800 through 36.4880	§§ 36.4300 through 36.4380.
§ 36.4333(a)(2)	§ 36.4819(a)	§ 36.4319(a).
§ 36.4335	§§ 36.4800 to 36.4880	§§ 36.4800 to 36.4880.
§ 36.4335	§ 36.4845	§ 36.4345.

REDESIGNATION TABLE—Continued

Amended sections	Remove cross-reference citations	Add, in its place, new cross-reference citations
§ 36.4335	§§ 36.4800 to 36.4880	§§ 36.4800 to 36.4880.
§ 36.4338(a)	§ 36.4845	§ 36.4345.
§ 36.4338(a)(1)	§ 36.4808(a)	§ 36.4308(a).
§ 36.4338(a)(2)	§ 36.4803(l)	§ 36.4303(l).
§ 36.4338(a)(3)	§ 36.4824(d)(3)	§ 36.4324(d)(3).
§ 36.4338(a)(4)	§ 36.4823(a)	§ 36.4323(a).
§ 36.4338(a)(5)	§ 36.4823(b)	§ 36.4323(b).
§ 36.4338(a)(6)	§ 36.4814(f)(2)	§ 36.4314(f)(2).
§ 36.4338(a)(7)	§ 36.4824(a)(3)	§ 36.4324(a)(3).
§ 36.4338(a)(8)	§ 36.4824(e)	§ 36.4324(e).
§ 36.4339(c)	§ 36.4823	§ 36.4323.
§ 36.4340(h)	§ 36.4839(a)(3)	§ 36.4339(a)(3).
§ 36.4340(k)(3)	§ 36.4840(k)(2)	§ 36.4340(k)(2).
§ 36.4345(c)(2)	§ 36.4823(e)	§ 36.4323(e).
§ 36.4345(c)(2)	§ 36.4838	§ 36.4338.
§ 36.4345(c)(2)	§ 36.4846	§ 36.4346.
§ 36.4347(a)(5)	§ 36.4847(b)	§ 36.4347(b).
§ 36.4347(d)	§ 36.4847(b)	§ 36.4347(b).
§ 36.4347(f)	§ 36.4847(d)	§ 36.4347(d).
§ 36.4348(a)(4)	§ 36.4848(b)	§ 36.4348(b).
§ 36.4348(c)	§ 36.4848(b)	§ 36.4348(b).
§ 36.4348(d)	§ 36.4848(c)	§ 36.4348(c).
§ 36.4350(g)(1)(iv)(A)(1)	§ 36.4815	§ 36.4315.
§ 36.4350(i)(2)	§ 36.4817(c)(10)	§ 36.4317(c)(10).
§ 36.4352(d)(1)	§ 36.4852(b)(5)	§ 36.4352(b)(5).
§ 36.4354(b)(5)(iii)	§ 36.4862(c)	§ 36.4362(c).
§ 36.4355	§ 36.4854(a)	§ 36.4354(a).
§ 36.4359(c)	§ 36.4855	§ 36.4355.
§ 36.4360(a)	§ 36.4857	§ 36.4357.
§ 36.4360(a)	§ 36.4859	§ 36.4359.
§ 36.4360(a)	§ 36.4869	§ 36.4369.
§ 36.4360(b)(2)	§ 36.4801	§ 36.4301.
§ 36.4361(b)	§ 36.4854	§ 36.4354.
§ 36.4361(c)(4)	§ 36.4864(a)(3)	§ 36.4364(a)(3).
§ 36.461(c)(4)	§ 36.4865(b)(6)	§ 36.4365(b)(6).
§ 36.461(d)(2)	§ 36.4864(a)(6)	§ 36.4364(a)(6).
§ 36.4362(b)(4)(iii)	§ 36.4856	§ 36.4356.
§ 36.4362(c)(5)	§ 36.4854(b)(5)(ii)	§ 36.4354(b)(5)(ii).
§ 36.4362(c)(5)	§ 36.4854(b)(5)(iv)	§ 36.4354(b)(5)(iv).
§ 36.4362(c)(6)(ii)	§ 36.4809(e)	§ 36.4309(e).
§ 36.4362(c)(6)(ii)	§ 36.4854(b)(5)(iv)	§ 36.4354(b)(5)(iv).
§ 36.4363(e)	§ 36.4829	§ 36.4329.
§ 36.4364(a)(1)	§ 36.4861(d)(2)	§ 36.4361(d)(2).
§ 36.4364(a)(3)	§ 36.4865(b)(6)	§ 36.4365(b)(6).
§ 36.4364(a)(7)	§ 36.4861(b)	§ 36.4361(b).
§ 36.4364(a)(7)	§ 36.4862(c)(1) and (2)	§ 36.4362(c)(1) and (2).
§ 36.4364(c)	§ 36.4800 series	§ 36.4300 series.
§ 36.4365(b)(5)	§ 36.4865(b)(7)	§ 36.4365(b)(7).
§ 36.4367	§§ 36.4860 through 36.4865	§§ 36.4360 through 36.4365.
§ 36.4375(b)	§ 36.4820	§ 36.4320.
§ 36.4378	§ 36.4820	§ 36.4320.
§ 36.4378	§ 36.4877	§ 36.4377.
§ 36.4378	§ 36.4877(a)	§ 36.4377(a).
§ 36.4379(a)	§ 36.4817	§ 36.4317.
§ 36.4379(a)	§ 36.4850	§ 36.4350.
§ 36.4379(a)	§ 36.4875(b)	§ 36.4375(b).
§ 36.4379(b)	§ 36.4814	§ 36.4314.
§ 36.4390	§§ 36.4890 through 36.4893	§§ 36.4390 through 36.4393.
§ 36.4392	§§ 36.4890 through 36.4893	§§ 36.4390 through 36.4393.
§ 36.4392	§ 36.4891	§ 36.4391.
§ 36.4393(a)	§ 36.4892	§ 36.4392.
§ 36.4393(c)	§ 36.4892	§ 36.4392.
§ 36.4393(d)	§ 36.4892	§ 36.4392.
§ 36.4393(e)	§ 36.4892	§ 36.4392.

[FR Doc. 2015–13456 Filed 6–15–15; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 8320–01–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 4

[ET Docket No. 04–35; FCC 15–39]

Commission Rules Concerning Disruptions to Communications

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: In this document, the Commission resolves several pending matters in the proceeding that established the network outage reporting rules. The Commission declines to adopt a proposal to expand its ''special offices and facilities'' outage reporting requirements to cover general aviation airports and it disposes of seven petitions for reconsideration. Each petition is granted, denied, or dismissed to the extent indicated.

DATES: Effective July 16, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brenda D. Villanueva, Attorney Advisor, Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau, (202) 418–7005 or *brenda.villanueva@fcc.gov.*

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's *Second Report and Order* and *Order on Reconsideration* in ET Docket No. 04–35, FCC 15–39, adopted March 27, 2015 and released March 30, 2015. The full text of this document, FCC 15–39, is available for public inspection online at *http://www.fcc.gov/document/fcc-adopts-part-4-improvements-item*, or during regular business hours in the FCC Reference Information Center, Portals II, 445 12th Street SW., Room CY–A257, Washington, DC 20554.

Synopsis

I. Second Report and Order

The *Report and Order* in this docket, 69 FR 70316, established the Commission's part 4 outage reporting rules, which require certain providers of communications to electronically file reports of network outages that exceed specified thresholds of magnitude and duration. In the *Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (FNPRM)* that accompanied that *Report and Order*, 69 FR 68859, the Commission sought comment on a proposal to extend outage-reporting requirements for special offices and facilities to cover general aviation airports, a category that includes airports smaller than those already covered by section 4.5(b) of the rules. No comments were received on this proposal, and there remains a lack of record support for its adoption. Moreover, adoption of the proposal would run counter to the reasoning underlying some of the proposals in the (*NPRM*) that accompanies this document. In particular, we sought comment on excluding from the definition of ''special offices and facilities'' all airports other than the nation's most heavily trafficked airports, because reports of airport-related outages at such airports have not been significant enough to pose a substantial threat to public safety. Alternatively, we consider, among other potential changes to section 4.5(b), the elimination of airport-specific reporting requirements as duplicative of our proposed TSP-based reporting requirements. Accordingly, we decline to adopt the proposal to extend section 4.5(b) to cover general aviation airports.

II. Order on Reconsideration

The Commission received nine Petitions for Reconsideration of various aspects of the *Report and Order*, seven of which remain pending. The seven Petitioners are Cingular Wireless LLC (Cingular), CTIA-The Wireless Association (CTIA), Organization for the Promotion and Advancement of Small Telecommunications Companies (OPASTCO); Qwest Corporation and Qwest Communications Corporation (Qwest), Sprint Corporation (Sprint), US Telecom, and, filing jointly, AT&T, BellSouth, MCI, SBC and Verizon (collectively, Joint Petitioners). These seven petitions are disposed of in this *Order on Reconsideration.* In a companion document, a *Notice of Proposed Rulemaking* (*NPRM*) in PS Docket No. 15–80, the Commission seeks comment on modifications to the Part 4 rules to improve their utility.

A. Issues Considered in the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

Certain proposals considered in the (*NPRM*) incorporate issues raised in various petitions. As we are considering there the merits Petitioners' requests for relief on these issues, we will incorporate into the record those portions of Petitioners' petitions that present substantive arguments on these issues. We also incorporate into the record those portions of any responsive pleadings filed in connection with the Petitions that present substantive arguments relevant to those issues. Any other aspects of the petitions relating to these issues are dismissed as moot.

B. Other Issues

We now consider those issues raised in the various Petitions that we have not addressed in the (*NRPM*). We grant or deny each Petition to the extent indicated below.

1. Reporting Obligations of ''Pure Resellers''

Before withdrawing its Petition, BellSouth requested therein that the Commission clarify section 4.9(f) to ''expressly state that pure resellers (those that do not own, operate, or maintain switching, routing, or transmission facilities) are exempt from the Commission's reporting requirements to the extent that a network failure occurs on resold facilities that are owned, operated, or maintained by an underlying facilities-based provider.'' BellSouth argued that pure resellers should not be subject to part 4 reporting obligations because resellers do not have direct access to the outage information that must be reported, and that the only way that a pure reseller becomes aware of a network outage is ''typically'' through ''customer calls, news reports . . . or from the underlying facilities based provider itself'' and that ''[n]one of these methods . . . are routine or foolproof.'' Sprint also addresses this issue in its Petition, focusing on section 4.3(b) of the rules, arguing that pure resellers of wireless service ''would not be able to provide any information on the extent and duration of the outage or the cause of the outage.'' Rather, Sprint argues, the Commission can obtain this information from reports filed by the underlying facilities-based provider because ''customers of these [pure reseller] providers are included in the reports of the affected underlying [facilities-based] wireless carrier.'' Sprint argues that the provision ''includ[ing] . . . affiliated and non-affiliated entities that maintain or provide communications networks or services used by the provider in offering such communications'' could be read as encompassing a wireless service provider that does not own any wireless facilities or maintain a wireless network. Qwest also supports the position that pure resellers should be exempt from part 4 outage reporting.

NASUCA argued in its responsive pleading, on the other hand, that separate reporting by a pure reseller and its underlying facilities-based communications provider would ensure ''that . . . the Commission . . . will have a deeper understanding of the full impact of the outage.'' It maintained that ''only the reseller knows how many