specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i).[4] The Department did not receive substantive responses from any respondent interested party. As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), the Department conducted an expedited (120-day) sunset review of the CVD order on PRCBs from Vietnam.

Scope of the Order

This order covers PRCBs. Imports of merchandise included within the scope of this order are currently classifiable under statistical category 3923.21.0085 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States. The Issues and Decision Memorandum, which is hereby adopted by this notice, provides a full description of the scope of the order.[5]

The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically *via* Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at *http://access.trade.gov* and in the Central Records Unit, room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed at *http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/.* The signed Issues and Decision Memorandum and the electronic version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Analysis of Comments Received

In the Issues and Decision Memorandum, we have addressed all issues that parties raised in this review. The issues include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of countervailable subsidies and the net countervailable subsidies likely to prevail if the Department revoked the order.

Final Results of Sunset Review

Pursuant to sections 752(b)(1) and (3) of the Act, we determine that revocation of the *CVD Order* would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of countervailable subsidies at the following net countervailable subsidy rates:

Exporter/manufacturer	Net subsidy rate (percent)[6]
Advance Polybag Co., Ltd	52.56
Fotai Vietnam Enterprise Corp. and Fotai Enterprise Corporation	5.28
All Others	5.28

Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective orders is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing the results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(b), and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218.

Dated: July 24, 2015.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix—List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

1. Summary
2. Background
3. Scope of the Order
4. History of the Order
5. Discussion of the Issues
   a. Likelihood of Continuation or Recurrence of a Countervailable Subsidy
   b. Net Countervailable Subsidy Likely To Prevail
6. Nature of the Subsidies
7. Final Results of Sunset Review
8. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2015–19248 Filed 8–4–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

[4] *See* Letter from the Committee to the Department, entitled ''Five-Year (''Sunset'') Review Of Countervailing Duty Order On Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags From The Socialist Republic Of Vietnam: Domestic Industry's Substantive Response,'' dated May 1, 2015.

[5] *See* ''Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Expedited First Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags from the Socialist Republic Of Vietnam,'' from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, dated concurrently with this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

[6] Chin Sheng Company, Ltd. was excluded from the order as the company received a *de minimis* rate in the original investigation.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–580–839]

Certain Polyester Staple Fiber From the Republic of Korea: Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2014–2015

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is rescinding the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain polyester staple fiber (PSF) from the Republic of Korea (Korea) for the period of review (POR) May 1, 2014, through April 30, 2015, based on the timely withdrawal of the request for review.

DATES: *Effective date:* August 5, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lana Nigro, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1779.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On May 1, 2015, the Department published the notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the order on PSF from Korea for the period of review May 1, 2014, through April 30, 2015.[1] On May 29, 2015, DAK Americas LLC and Auriga Polymers, Inc., the successor to Invista, S.a.r.L (collectively, the petitioners) requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of Huvis Corporation (Huvis) and Toray Chemical Korea, Inc (Toray).[2] On June 1, 2015, Huvis requested an administrative review of its POR sales.[3] On June 18, 2015, the petitioners withdrew their request for an administrative review of Huvis.[4] Huvis withdrew its request for an administrative review on June 19, 2015.[5] Pursuant to the remaining request, for Toray, and in accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), the Department published a notice initiating

[1] *See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity to Request Administrative Review,* 80 FR 24898, 24899 (May 1, 2015).

[2] *See* Letter from the petitioners to the Department, dated May 29, 2015, at 2.

[3] *See* Letter from Huvis to the Department, dated June 1, 2015, at 1–2.

[4] *See* Letter from the petitioners, dated June 18, 2015, at 2.

[5] *See* Letter from Huvis, dated June 19, 2015.

an administrative review solely of Toray.[6] The petitioners withdrew their request for an administrative review of Toray on July 13, 2015.[7]

Rescission of Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Department will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if the party or parties that requested a review withdraws the request within 90 days of the publication date of the notice of initiation of the requested review. As noted above, the petitioners withdrew their request for review of Toray within 90 days of the publication date of the notice of initiation. No other parties requested an administrative review of the order. Therefore, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), we are rescinding this review in its entirety.

Assessment

The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of PSF from Korea. Antidumping duties shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of this notice of rescission of administrative review.

Notifications

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a final reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under an APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: July 30, 2015.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2015–19246 Filed 8–4–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

[6] *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews,* 80 FR 37588, 36464 (July 1, 2015).

[7] *See* Letter from the petitioners, dated July 13, 2015, at 2.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–469–805]

Stainless Steel Bar from Spain: Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2014–2015

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is rescinding its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel bar (SSB) from Spain for the period of review (POR) March 1, 2014, through February 28, 2015.

DATES: *Effective date:* August 5, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Andre Gziryan or Minoo Hatten AD/CVD Operations Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2201 and (202) 482–1690, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On March 2, 2015, the Department published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on SSB from Spain for the POR.[1] On March 31, 2015, the petitioners [2] requested an administrative review of the order with respect to Gerdau Aceros Especiales Europa, S.L. (Gerdau).[3] On April 30, 2015, in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (Act) and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), we initiated an administrative review of the order on SSB with respect to Gerdau.[4] On July 13, 2015, the petitioners timely withdrew their request for an administrative review of Gerdau.[5]

Rescission of Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Department will rescind an administrative review if a party that requested a review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of notice of initiation of the requested review. The petitioners withdrew their request for review within the 90-day time limit. Because no other party requested a review of Gerdau, we are rescinding this administrative review of the order on SSB from Spain.

Assessment

The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of SSB from Spain during the POR at rates equal to the cash deposit rate of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information

[1] *See Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review,* 80 FR 11161 (March 2, 2015).

[2] Carpenter Technology Corporation, Crucible Industries EEC, Electralloy, a Division of G.O. Carlson, Inc., North American Stainless, Universal Stainless & Alloy Products, Inc., and Valbruna Slater Stainless, Inc. (collectively, the petitioners)

[3] *See* Letter from the petitioners to the Department, ''Stainless Steel Bar from Spain; Petitioners' Request for 2014/2015 Administrative Review'' (March 31, 2015).

[4] *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews,* 80 FR 24233 (April 30, 2015).

[5] *See* Letter from the petitioners to the Department, ''Stainless Steel Bar from Spain: Petitioners' Withdrawal of Request for 2014/2015 Administrative Review'' (July 13, 2015).