FRA notes that the 38.41-hour estimate is an average for all railroads. FRA estimated the annual reporting burden is 60 hours for Class I and large passenger railroads, 40 hours for Class II and medium passenger railroads, and 25 hours for Class III, terminal, and small passenger railroads.

Total Estimated Annual Responses for Form FRA F 6180.166: 41.
Total Estimated Annual Burden for Form FRA F 6180.166: 1,575 hours.
Total Estimated Annual Responses for Entire Information Collection: 147,776.
Total Estimated Annual Burden for Entire Information Collection: 3,126,039.

Status: Regular Review.

Under 44 U.S.C. 3507(a) and 5 CFR 1320.8(b)(3)(vi), FRA informs all interested parties that it may not conduct or sponsor, and a respondent is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Issued in Washington, DC, on September 20, 2016.

Patrick T. Warren,
Acting Executive Director.

For legal issues: Mr. Steve Wood of NHTSA’s Office of Chief Counsel, at (202) 366–2992 or by email at steve.wood@dot.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), under the U.S. Department of Transportation, was established by the Highway Safety Act of 1970, to carry out safety programs under the National Traffic and Motor Vehicle Safety Act of 1966 and the Highway Safety Act of 1966. NHTSA is responsible for reducing deaths, injuries, and economic losses resulting from motor vehicle crashes on our nation’s roadways. This is accomplished by conducting research, setting and enforcing safety performance standards for motor vehicles and motor vehicle equipment, generating and disseminating comparative safety performance information to encourage the production and purchase of advanced safety features, requiring the calling and remedying of defective and noncompliant vehicles and equipment, and by making grants to state and local governments to enable them to conduct effective local highway safety programs. Prior or in addition to issuing standards, NHTSA also issues guidance regarding motor vehicle safety issues.

Over the past several decades, many important safety technologies have become standard equipment through regulation and voluntary industry action, and tremendous adjustments in consumer behavior about safety have been made through behavioral safety programs and the promotion of these programs by safety partners. Despite these efforts and the hundreds of thousands of lives saved attributable to these efforts, crashes still happen, and people are still injured and killed.
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Technologies that can help drivers avoid crashes, or help vehicles themselves avoid crashes, are ushering in a new era of safety for the motoring public. As vehicle technologies take on more and more of the driving task—i.e., as vehicle automation progresses, enabled by radar, camera, sensors, and communications technologies, along with highly sophisticated computer systems and software to interpret and use the data obtained by the vehicle—these innovations are expected to begin to address and mitigate that overwhelming majority of crashes due to human choices or behavior.

The term “vehicle automation” today refers to a spectrum of technologies, which can be grouped broadly into several levels. Some levels will only provide crash warnings to human drivers, or brake the vehicle automatically if the human driver fails to brake soon enough or hard enough, while higher levels will combine these abilities to create driver-assistance systems to reduce the demand of driving. At the very highest levels, the automated system itself (and not the human) may function as the “driver” of the vehicle. At each level, the safety potential grows as does the opportunity to improve mobility, reduce energy consumption and improve the livability of cities. To realize these tremendous benefits, NHTSA believes it should encourage the adoption of these technologies and support their safe introduction. At the same time, the remarkable speed with which increasingly complex technologies are evolving challenges NHTSA to use its full complement of tools to support the safe introduction of these technologies, so that they can provide the promised safety benefits today, and achieve their full safety potential in the future. To meet this challenge, NHTSA must continue to build its expertise and knowledge to keep pace with developments, expand its regulatory capability, and increase its speed of execution.

After considerable input from a wide range of stakeholders, NHTSA has developed a new document titled “Federal Automated Vehicles Policy.” NHTSA is issuing this document as Agency guidance rather than in a rulemaking in order to speed the delivery of an initial regulatory framework and best practices to guide manufacturers and other entities in the safe design, development, testing, and deployment of highly automated vehicles (HAVs) and also to ensure that requirements do not hinder innovation and diffusion of the dynamic technologies that are being developed in the industry. The document is available at www.nhtsa.gov/AV (or at http://www.nhtsa.gov (search “AV Policy”)), and also at http://www.regulations.gov (search Docket No. NHTSA–2016–0090).

In the following pages, we divide the task of facilitating the safe introduction and deployment of HAVs into four sections: (1) Vehicle Performance Guidance for Highly Automated Vehicles; (2) Model State Policy; (3) NHTSA’s Current Regulatory Tools; and (4) New Tools and Authorities.

**Vehicle Performance Guidance for Highly Automated Vehicles**

The Vehicle Performance Guidance for Highly Automated Vehicles section outlines best practices for the safe pre-deployment design, development and testing of HAVs prior to commercial sale or operation on public roads. This Guidance defines “deployment” as the operation of an HAV by members of the public who are not the employees or agents of the designer, developer, or manufacturer of that HAV.

This Guidance is intended to be an initial step to guide the safe designing, testing and deployment of HAVs. It sets DOT’s expectations of industry by providing reasonable practices and procedures that manufacturers, suppliers, and other entities should follow in the immediate short term to design, test and deploy HAVs. The data generated from these activities should be shared in a way that allows government, industry, and the public to increase their learning and understanding as technology evolves but protects legitimate privacy and competitive interests.

**Model State Policy**

The Model State Policy confirms that States retain their traditional responsibilities for vehicle licensing and registration, traffic laws and enforcement, and motor vehicle insurance and liability regimes while outlining the Federal role for HAVs. Today, a motorist generally can drive across state lines without a worry more complicated than, “did the speed limit change?” The integration of HAVs should not change that ability. Similarly, a manufacturer should be able to focus on developing a single HAV fleet that can be sold and used in all states. State governments play an important role in facilitating HAVs, ensuring they are safely deployed, and promoting their life-saving benefits. Since 2014, DOT has partnered with the American Association of Motor Vehicle Administrators (AAMVA) to explore HAV policies. This collaboration was one of the bases for the Model State Policy framework presented here and identifies where new issues fit within the existing federal/state structure. The shared objective is to ensure the establishment of a consistent national framework that allows for different policies and approaches across States, while avoiding a patchwork of incompatible laws.

**NHTSA’s Current Regulatory Tools**

NHTSA will continue to exercise its available regulatory authority over HAVs using its existing regulatory tools, including interpretations, exemptions, notice-and-comment rulemaking, and defects and enforcement authority. NHTSA has broad authority to identify safety defects, allowing the Agency to recall vehicles or equipment that pose an unreasonable risk to safety even when there is no applicable Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard (FMVSS).

To aid regulated entities and the public in understanding and using these tools (including for purposes related to the introduction of new HAVs), NHTSA has prepared a new information and guidance document, contained in Section III of the HAV Policy. This document provides instructions, practical guidance, and assistance to entities seeking to employ those tools. Furthermore, NHTSA has streamlined its review process and is committing to issuing simple HAV-related interpretations in 60 days, and ruling on simple HAV-related exemption requests in six months.

NHTSA advises interested persons that, unlike the other sections of the HAV Policy, Section III is intended to have wider application outside the automated vehicles context. Persons interested in NHTSA’s general practices and procedures for interpretations, exemptions, rulemaking, and reconsideration petitions may wish to review Section III and determine whether they wish to submit comments.

**New Tools and Authorities**

The more effective use of NHTSA’s existing regulatory tools will help to expedite the safe introduction and regulation of new HAVs. However, in part because today’s governing statutes and regulations were developed when HAVs were only a remote notion, those tools alone may be insufficient to ensure that HAVs are introduced safely, and to realize the full safety promise of new technologies. The speed at which HAVs are advancing, combined with the
complexity and novelty of these innovations, will challenge the Agency’s conventional regulatory processes and capabilities. This challenge requires NHTSA to examine whether the ways in which NHTSA has addressed safety for the last several decades should be expanded to realize the safety potential of HAVs over the decades to come. Therefore, Section IV of the HAV Policy identifies potential new tools, authorities, and regulatory approaches that could aid the safe deployment of new technologies by enabling the Agency to be more nimble and flexible. There will always be an important role for standards and testing protocols based on careful scientific research and developed through the give-and-take of an open public process. However, it is likely that additional regulatory tools along with new expertise and research also will be needed to allow the Agency to more quickly address safety challenges and speed the deployment of lifesaving technology.

Public Participation

Although most of this policy is effective immediately upon publication, NHTSA is seeking public comment on the entire document. While the Agency sought input from various stakeholders during the development of the document, it recognizes that not all interested persons had a full opportunity to provide such input. Formal comments will allow for that opportunity.

Similarly, some of the items in the vehicle performance guidance are subject to the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act, which requires that the Agency provide separate notice and comment. The notice for those items will be published shortly at http://www.regulations.gov (search Docket No. NHTSA–2016–0091). Finally, NHTSA expects to hold public meetings and workshops associated with specific items in this Policy. Once the timing of those meetings has been finalized, Federal Register notices for those meetings will also be published.

While the Policy is intended as a starting point that provides needed initial guidance to industry, government, and consumers, it will necessarily evolve over time to meet the changing needs and demands of improved safety and technology. Accordingly, NHTSA expects and intends the policy document and its guidance to be iterative, changing based on public comment; the experience of the agency, manufacturers, suppliers, consumers, and others; and further technological innovation. NHTSA intends to revise and refine the document regularly to reflect such experience, innovation, and public input.

Public Participation

How do I prepare and submit comments?

Your comments must be written and in English. To ensure that your comments are filed correctly in the docket, please include the docket number of this document in your comments.

Your comments must not be more than 15 pages long (49 CFR 553.21). NHTSA established this limit to encourage you to write your primary comments in a concise fashion. However, you may attach necessary additional documents to your comments. There is no limit on the length of the attachments.

Please submit one copy (two copies if submitting by mail or hand delivery) of your comments, including the attachments, to the docket following the instructions given above under ADDRESSES. Please note, if you are submitting comments electronically as a PDF (Adobe) file, we ask that the documents submitted be scanned using an Optical Character Recognition (OCR) process, thus allowing the agency to search and copy certain portions of your submissions.

How do I submit confidential business information?

If you wish to submit any information under a claim of confidentiality, you should submit three copies of your complete submission, including the information you claim to be confidential business information, to the Office of the Chief Counsel, NHTSA, at the address given above under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT. In addition, you may submit a copy (two copies if submitting by mail or hand delivery), from which you have deleted the claimed confidential business information, to the docket by one of the methods given above under ADDRESSES.

When you send a comment containing information claimed to be confidential business information, you should include a cover letter setting forth the information specified in NHTSA’s confidential business information regulation (49 CFR part 512).

Will the agency consider late comments?

NHTSA will consider all comments received before the close of business on the comment closing date indicated above under DATES. To the extent possible, the agency will also consider comments received after that date. Given that we intend for the policy document to be a living document and to be developed in an iterative fashion, subsequent opportunities to comment will also be provided periodically.

How can I read the comments submitted by other people?

You may read the comments received at the address given above under COMMENTS. The hours of the docket are indicated above in the same location. You may also see the comments on the Internet, identified by the docket number at the heading of this notice, at http://www.regulations.gov.

Please note that, even after the comment closing date, NHTSA will continue to file relevant information in the docket as it becomes available. Further, some people may submit late comments. Accordingly, the agency recommends that you periodically check the docket for new material.

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 30101.

Issued in Washington, DC, on September 20, 2016 under authority delegated in 49 CFR part 1.95.

Nathaniel Beuse, Associate Administrator for Vehicle Safety Research.

[FR Doc. 2016–22993 Filed 9–22–16; 8:45 am]
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SUMMARY: Automotive technology is at a moment of rapid change and may evolve farther in the next decade than in the previous 45-plus year history of the Agency. As the automobile industry moves toward fully automated (self-driving) vehicles and other innovative mobility solutions, NHTSA seeks to facilitate the advance of automated technologies that currently present safety improvements and that, in the future, are likely to improve safety and decrease the number of crashes, traffic fatalities, and serious injuries on U.S. roadways. NHTSA is commanded by Congress to protect the safety of the driving public against unreasonable risks of harm that may occur because of