

program offices. Therefore, the process for submitting comments to a federal advisory committee is different from the process used to submit comments to an EPA program office. Federal advisory committees and panels, including scientific advisory committees, provide independent advice to the EPA. Interested members of the public may submit relevant information on the topic of this advisory activity, for the group conducting the activity, for the SAB to consider during the advisory process. Input from the public to the SAB will have the most impact if it provides specific scientific or technical information or analysis for SAB committees and panels to consider or if it relates to the clarity or accuracy of the technical information. Members of the public wishing to provide comment should contact the DFO directly.

Oral Statements: In general, individuals or groups requesting an oral presentation on a public teleconference will be limited to three minutes and an oral presentation at the face-to-face meeting will be limited to five minutes. Interested parties wishing to provide comments should contact Dr. Diana Wong, DFO (preferably via email), at the contact information noted above, by November 10, 2016 to be placed on the list of public speakers for the teleconference and by December 5, 2016 to be placed on the list of public speakers for the face-to-face meeting.

Written Statements: Written statements will be accepted throughout the advisory process; however, for timely consideration by Committee/ Panel members, statements should be supplied to the DFO (preferably via email) at the contact information noted above by November 10, 2016 for the teleconference and by December 5, 2016 for the face-to-face meeting. It is the SAB Staff Office general policy to post written comments on the Web page for the advisory meeting or teleconference. Submitters are requested to provide an unsigned version of each document because the SAB Staff Office does not publish documents with signatures on its Web sites. Members of the public should be aware that their personal contact information, if included in any written comments, may be posted to the SAB Web site. Copyrighted material will not be posted without explicit permission of the copyright holder.

Accessibility: For information on access or services for individuals with disabilities, please contact Dr. Diana Wong at the contact information provided above. To request accommodation of a disability, please contact Dr. Wong preferably at least ten days prior to the meeting, to give EPA

as much time as possible to process your request.

Dated: September 22, 2016.

Khanna Johnston,

Acting Deputy Director, EPA Science Advisory Board Staff Office.

[FR Doc. 2016-23597 Filed 9-28-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-OAR-2016-0204; FRL-9953-31-OEI]

Information Collection Request Submitted to OMB for Review and Approval; Comment Request; Information Collection Effort for Oil and Gas Facilities

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has submitted an information collection request (ICR), "Information Collection Effort for Oil and Gas Facilities" (EPA ICR No. 2548.01, OMB Control No. 2060-NEW) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*). This is a request for approval of a new collection. Public comments were previously requested via the **Federal Register** (81 FR 35763) on June 3, 2016, during a 60-day comment period. This notice allows for an additional 30 days for public comments. A complete description of the ICR is provided below, including its estimated burden and cost to the public. An Agency may not conduct or sponsor and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

DATES: Additional comments may be submitted on or before October 31, 2016.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, referencing Docket ID Number EPA-HQ-OAR-2016-0204, to (1) EPA online using www.regulations.gov (our preferred method), by email to a-and-r-Docket@epa.gov, or by mail to: EPA Docket Center, Environmental Protection Agency, Mail Code 28221T, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20460, and (2) OMB via email to oira_submission@omb.eop.gov. Address comments to OMB Desk Officer for EPA.

EPA's policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change including any

personal information provided, unless the comment includes profanity, threats, information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brenda Shine, Sector Policies and Programs Division (E143-01), Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC 27711; telephone number: (919) 541-3608; email address: shine.brenda@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Supporting documents, which explain in detail the information that the EPA will be collecting, are available in the public docket for this ICR. The docket can be viewed online at www.regulations.gov or in person at the EPA Docket Center, WJC West, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave. NW., Washington, DC. The telephone number for the Docket Center is 202-566-1744. For additional information about EPA's public docket, visit <http://www.epa.gov/dockets>.

Abstract: Collectively, oil and gas facilities are the largest industrial emitters of methane in the U.S. While a great deal of information is available on the oil and gas industry and has to date provided a strong technical foundation to support the Agency's recent actions, the EPA is now seeking more specific information that would be of critical use in addressing existing source emissions pursuant to Clean Air Act (CAA) section 111(d). Taking into account the large number of sources that a national regulation development effort would need to consider, and the potential for taking a different approach to addressing co-located existing sources than was taken with new and modified sources, the EPA requires information that will enable the development of effective standards for this entire industry under CAA section 111(d).

There will be two parts to the information collection. Part 1, referred to as the operator survey, is specifically designed to obtain information from onshore oil and gas production facilities to better understand the number and types of equipment at production facilities. Part 2, referred to as the detailed facility survey, will be sent to selected oil and gas facilities across the different industry segments. Part 2 will collect detailed, unit-specific information on emission sources at the facility and any emission control devices or management practices used to reduce emissions. Due to the large number of potentially affected facilities, Part 2 uses a statistical sampling method

considering each industry segment (and groupings of facilities in the production segment) to be separate sampling populations. Thus, a statistically significant number of facilities within each industry segment (or “population”) will be required to complete the Part 2 detailed facility survey.

The data collected throughout this process will be used to determine the number of potentially affected emission sources and the types and prevalence of emission controls or emission reduction measures used for these sources at existing oil and gas facilities, among other purposes. This information may also be used to fill data gaps, to evaluate the emission and cost impacts of various regulatory options, and to establish appropriate standards of performance for oil and gas facilities.

Respondents will be required to respond under the authority of section 114 of the CAA. The EPA anticipates issuing the CAA section 114 letters by late October, 2016. These letters would require the owner/operator of an oil and gas facility to complete and submit the Part 1 survey within 30 days of receipt

of the survey, and would require facilities to complete and submit the Part 2 survey with 120 days of receipt.

All information submitted to the Agency in response to the surveys will be managed in accordance with applicable laws and the EPA’s regulations governing treatment of CBI at 40 CFR part 2, subpart B. Any information determined to constitute a trade secret will be protected under 18 U.S.C. 1905.

Form numbers: None.

Respondents/affected entities:

Respondents affected by this action are owners/operators of oil and natural gas facilities.

Respondent’s obligation to respond: Mandatory. (Pursuant to section 114 of the CAA.)

Estimated number of respondents:

The estimated number of respondents for Part 1 is 15,000 operators representing approximately 698,800 facilities (total). The estimated number of respondents for Part 2 is 3,818.

Frequency of response: This is a one-time survey.

Total estimated burden: 245,481 hours. Burden is defined at 5 CFR 1320.03(b).

Total estimated cost: \$37,692,625, which includes \$6,987,000 in operating and maintenance (O&M) costs.

Changes in estimates: This is a new ICR.

Courtney Kerwin,

Director, Regulatory Support Division.

[FR Doc. 2016–23463 Filed 9–28–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Open Commission Meeting, Thursday, September 29, 2016

September 22, 2016.

The Federal Communications Commission will hold an Open Meeting on the subjects listed below on September 29, 2016 which is scheduled to commence at 10:30 a.m. in Room TW–C305, at 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC.

Item No.	Bureau	Subject
1	Public Safety & Homeland Security ...	<i>Title:</i> Improving Wireless Emergency Alerts (PS Docket No. 15–91); Amendments to Part 11 of the Commission’s Rules Regarding the Emergency Alert System (PS Docket No. 15–94). <i>Summary:</i> The Commission will consider a Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking that would leverage advancements in technology to improve wireless emergency alert content, delivery and testing, while seeking comment on further measures to ensure effective alerts.
2	International and Media	<i>Title:</i> Review of Foreign Ownership Policies for Broadcast, Common Carrier and Aeronautical Radio Licensees under Section 310(b)(4) of the Communications Act of 1934, as Amended (GN Docket No. 15–236). <i>Summary:</i> The Commission will consider a Report and Order that extends to broadcast licensees the same streamlined rules and procedures that common carrier wireless licensees use to seek approval for foreign ownership, with appropriate broadcast-specific modifications. The item also establishes a framework for a publicly traded common carrier or broadcast licensee or controlling U.S. parent to ascertain its foreign ownership levels.
3	Media	<i>Title:</i> Promoting the Availability of Diverse and Independent Sources of Video Programming (MB Docket No. 16–41). <i>Summary:</i> The Commission will consider a NPRM that proposes steps the Commission can take to promote the distribution of independent and diverse programming to consumers.
4	Media	<i>Title:</i> Expanding Consumers’ Video Navigation Choices (MB Docket No. 16–42); Commercial Availability of Navigation Devices (CS Docket No. 97–80). <i>Summary:</i> The Commission will consider a Report and Order that modernizes the Commission’s rules to allow consumers to use a device of their choosing to access multichannel video programming instead of leasing devices from their cable or satellite providers.
5	General Counsel	<i>Title:</i> In the Matters of Matthew Keys and Shawn Musgrave on Request for Inspection of Records (FOIA Control Nos. 2014–669, 2015–000649). <i>Summary:</i> The Commission will consider a Memorandum Opinion and Order concerning Applications for Review filed by Matthew Keys and Shawn Musgrave, which appealed two separate decisions by the Office of Engineering and Technology addressing Freedom of Information Act requests.
6	Media	<i>Title:</i> Powell Meredith Communications Company, Application for Modification to Low Power Television Station KBFY–LP, Fortuna, Arizona. <i>Summary:</i> The Commission will consider a Memorandum Opinion and Order concerning the Application for Review filed by PMCC.
7	Media	<i>Title:</i> Bernard Dallas LLC, Assignor, and ACM Dallas V LLC, Assignee, Applications for Assignment of Licenses for KFCD(AM), Farmersville, Texas, and KHSE(AM), Wylie, Texas, and ACM Dallas V LLC, Assignor, and Hammond Broadcasting, LLC, Assignee, Application for Assignment of License for KHSE(AM), Wylie, Texas. <i>Summary:</i> The Commission will consider a Memorandum Opinion and Order concerning an Application for Review of the Media Bureau’s grant of license assignment applications.