

authorization to continue to submit documents in paper format. Such filings must be submitted by: (1) First class mail addressed to the Office of the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, Attention: Rulemaking and Adjudications Staff; or (2) courier, express mail, or expedited delivery service to the Office of the Secretary, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852, Attention: Rulemaking and Adjudications Staff. Participants filing a document in this manner are responsible for serving the document on all other participants. Filing is considered complete by first-class mail as of the time of deposit in the mail, or by courier, express mail, or expedited delivery service upon depositing the document with the provider of the service. A presiding officer, having granted an exemption request from using E-Filing, may require a participant or party to use E-Filing if the presiding officer subsequently determines that the reason for granting the exemption from use of E-Filing no longer exists.

Documents submitted in adjudicatory proceedings will appear in the NRC's electronic hearing docket which is available to the public at <http://ehd1.nrc.gov/ehd/>, unless excluded pursuant to an order of the Commission, or the presiding officer. Participants are requested not to include personal privacy information, such as social security numbers, home addresses, or home phone numbers in their filings, unless an NRC regulation or other law requires submission of such information. However, in some instances, a request to intervene will require including information on local residence in order to demonstrate a proximity assertion of interest in the proceeding. With respect to copyrighted works, except for limited excerpts that serve the purpose of the adjudicatory filings and would constitute a Fair Use application, participants are requested not to include copyrighted materials in their submission.

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for license amendment dated November 18, 2016.

Attorney for licensee: Ms. Kathryn M. Sutton, Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLC, 1111 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20004-2514.

NRC Branch Chief: Jennifer Dixon-Herrity.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 8th day of December 2016.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
Jennifer Dixon-Herrity,
Chief, Licensing Branch 4, Division of New Reactor Licensing, Office of New Reactors.
 [FR Doc. 2016-30152 Filed 12-14-16; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 52-040 and 52-041; NRC-2009-0337]

Combined License Application for Turkey Point Nuclear Plant, Units 6 and 7

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Supplemental environmental impact statement; issuance.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Jacksonville District, are issuing a supplement to the final environmental impact statement (EIS), NUREG-2176, "Environmental Impact Statement for Combined Licenses (COL) for Turkey Point Nuclear Plant, Units 6 and 7." Florida Power and Light Company (FPL) submitted an application for COLs to construct and operate two new nuclear power plants at its Turkey Point site near Homestead, Florida. This supplement to the final EIS considers and responds to 59 comment letters that were inadvertently not included in the final EIS.

DATES: The supplement to the final EIS is available as of December 2, 2016.

ADDRESSES: Please refer to Docket ID NRC-2009-0337, when contacting the NRC about the availability of information regarding this document. You may obtain publicly-available information related to this action by the following methods:

- *Federal Rulemaking Web site:* Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and search for Docket ID NRC-2009-0337. Address questions about NRC dockets to Carol Gallagher; telephone: 301-415-3463; email: Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov. For technical questions, contact the individual listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document.

- *NRC'S Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS):* You may obtain publicly-available documents online in the ADAMS Public Documents collection at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. To begin the search, select "ADAMS Public Documents," and then select "Begin Web-based ADAMS Search." For problems with ADAMS,

please contact the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by email to pdr.resource@nrc.gov. The supplement to the final EIS is available in ADAMS under Accession No. ML16335A219.

- *NRC's PDR:* You may examine and purchase copies of public documents at the NRC's PDR, Room O1-F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

- *Project Web site:* The final EIS can be accessed online at the Turkey Point COL specific Web page at <http://www.nrc.gov/reactors/new-reactors/col/turkey-point.html>.

- *South Dade Regional Library and Homestead Branch Library:* The supplement final EIS is available for public inspection at 10750 SW 211th St., Cutler Bay, Florida 33189; and 700 N. Homestead Blvd., Homestead, Florida 33030.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Alicia Williamson Dickerson, Office of New Reactors, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, telephone: 301-415-1878, email: Alicia.Williamson@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The NRC issued NUREG-2176, "Environmental Impact Statement for Combined Licenses (COLs) for Turkey Point Nuclear Plant, Units 6 and 7," on October 28, 2016 (ADAMS Accession No. ML16335A219). On November 2, 2016, the NRC published a **Federal Register** notice (81 FR 76392) to announce the availability of the final EIS. After publication of the final EIS on October 28, 2016, however, the NRC identified 59 comment letters that were received before the draft EIS comment period closed but which were inadvertently not included in Appendix E to the final EIS.

The NRC staff considered all 59 comment letters and determined that none of them provides new and significant information regarding the project or its environmental impacts. In evaluating the comments in the letters, the staff determined that it had already addressed the majority of comments by responding to other similar comments in Appendix E to the final EIS. In developing a document to respond to the comments in the letters not included in the final EIS, the staff concluded that, for public access and readability, the most effective method for documenting the staff responses would include reprinting the applicable existing responses in Appendix E. The staff also recognized that responses drawn from the final EIS (including existing responses in Appendix E) would be

warranted if an existing response did not explicitly address a comment. Accordingly, the NRC staff is of the opinion that issuance of a supplement under the unique circumstances present here—primarily the length of the document due to the repetition of existing text from the final EIS for clarity and readability—will enhance the transparency of the NRC process for implementing the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended (NEPA) and will further the purposes of NEPA. Therefore, the NRC staff has prepared Supplement 1 to NUREG–2176 in accordance with section 51.92(c) of title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR). This supplement solely responds to comments previously submitted on the draft EIS. Because there are no changes to the proposed action and the comments do not provide new and significant information relevant to environmental concerns bearing on the proposed action or its impacts, 10 CFR 51.92(f) does not require the NRC to solicit comments on the supplement.

The final EIS summarizes the results of the review team's environmental analysis of the FPL COL application for compliance with the requirements of 10 CFR part 51. On the basis of the information contained in the final EIS and this supplement, the review team finds that the comment letters not included in the final EIS did not provide information that would change the analysis in the final EIS or the NRC staff's recommendation to the Commission that the COLs be issued as proposed. This recommendation is based on (1) the application, including the Environmental Report (ER), submitted by FPL; (2) consultation with Federal, State, Tribal, and local agencies; (3) the review team's independent review; (4) consideration of public comments received on the environmental review; and (5) the assessments summarized in the EIS and this supplement, including the potential mitigation measures identified in the ER and the EIS.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 8th day of December, 2016.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Francis Akstulewicz,

Director, Division of New Reactor Licensing, Office of New Reactors.

[FR Doc. 2016–30154 Filed 12–14–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–79518; File No. SR–NYSEArca–2016–84]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; NYSE Arca, Inc.; Notice of Filing of Amendment No. 3, and Order Granting Accelerated Approval of Proposed Rule Change, as Modified by Amendment No. 3, Relating to the Listing and Trading of Shares of the Long Dollar Gold Trust Under NYSE Arca Equities Rule 8.201

December 9, 2016.

I. Introduction

On June 1, 2016, NYSE Arca, Inc. (“NYSE Arca” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Exchange Act”)¹ and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,² a proposed rule change to list and trade shares (“Shares”) of the Long Dollar Gold Trust (“Fund”) under NYSE Arca Equities Rule 8.201. The proposed rule change was published for comment in the **Federal Register** on June 21, 2016.³ On July 27, 2016, the Commission extended the time period within which to approve the proposed rule change, disapprove the proposed rule change, or institute proceedings to determine whether to approve or disapprove the proposed rule change to September 19, 2016.⁴ On July 29, 2016, the Exchange filed Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change, which replaced and superseded the proposed rule change as originally filed.⁵ On September 8, 2016,

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

³ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 78075 (June 15, 2016), 81 FR 40381.

⁴ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 78425 (July 27, 2016), 81 FR 50759 (August 2, 2016).

⁵ In Amendment No. 1, the Exchange: (1) Provided additional information about WM/Reuters, which calculates the “Spot Rate” (discussed below); (2) provided additional information about calculation of the Spot Rate; (3) provided additional information about dissemination of the value of the underlying index; (4) corrected a statement that the net asset value (“NAV”) of the Shares would not be calculated during the occurrence of a Market Disruption Event (discussed below) or Extraordinary Event (discussed below), and instead stated that, if the LBMA Gold Price AM is unavailable during such circumstances, the Fund would calculate NAV using the last published LBMA Gold Price AM; (5) identified circumstances in which the Fund may reject a purchase order; (6) modified the circumstances in which the Fund may reject a redemption order; and (7) explained how market makers in the Shares would be able to hedge their positions. All amendments to the proposed rule change are available at: <https://www.sec.gov/comments/sr-nysearca-2016-84/nysearca201684.shtml>.

the Exchange filed Amendment No. 2 to the proposed rule change, which replaced and superseded the proposed rule change as modified by Amendment No. 1.⁶ On September 16, 2016, the Commission noticed the filing of Amendment No. 2, and instituted proceedings under Section 19(b)(2)(B) of the Exchange Act⁷ to determine whether to approve or disapprove the proposed rule change, as modified by Amendment No. 2.⁸ On November 22, 2016, the Exchange filed Amendment No. 3, which replaced the proposed rule change as modified by Amendment No. 2.⁹ The Commission has not received any comments on the proposed rule change. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on Amendment No. 3 from interested persons, and is approving the proposed rule change, as modified by Amendment No. 3, on an accelerated basis.

⁶ In Amendment No. 2, the Exchange: (1) Changed the names of the Fund and the Trust; (2) stated that the methodology of the underlying index is transparent; (3) explained how market makers in the Shares could calculate an approximate value for the underlying index during the Exchange's Core Trading Session, which is ordinarily between 9:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. Eastern time (“ET”); (4) made further modifications to its description of when and how NAV would be calculated, and when it would be disseminated; (5) disclosed more information regarding the availability of the value of the underlying index; (6) provided information about its ability to obtain information from Exchange Trading Permit Holders (“ETP Holders”) regarding their trading in currencies and currency derivatives; and (7) represented that it (a) may halt trading in the Shares during the trading day if an interruption occurs in the dissemination of the value of the underlying index, and (b) would halt trading in the Shares no later than the beginning of the trading day following the interruption if the interruption in the dissemination of the value of the underlying index persists past the trading day in which it occurs.

⁷ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2)(B).

⁸ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 78859, 81 FR 65431 (September 22, 2016) (“OIP”). The Commission instituted proceedings to allow for additional analysis of the proposed rule change's consistency with Section 6(b)(5) of the Exchange Act, which requires, among other things, that the rules of a national securities exchange be “designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade,” and “to protect investors and the public interest.” *Id.*, 81 FR at 65441.

⁹ In Amendment No. 3, the Exchange: (1) Proposes to expand NYSE Arca Equities Rule 8.201(g), which governs market maker accounts, to include non-U.S. currencies; (2) states that the administrator of the WM/Reuters currency benchmarks complies with the International Organization of Securities Commissions (“IOSCO”) Principles for Financial Benchmarks; and (3) states that: (a) The Commission has previously approved the listing and trading of other issues of securities based on a WM/Reuters exchange rate or an index that uses such a rate, and (b) WM/Reuters utilizes the same methodology in calculating the “Closing Spot Rate” (discussed below) and the Spot Rate.