

business data, or other sensitive statements/information in any comments.

The DOL is particularly interested in comments that:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Agency: DOL–ETA.

Type of Review: Extension without changes.

Title of Collection: Workforce Innovation Fund Grants Reporting and Recordkeeping Requirements.

Form: Quarterly narrative and performance reports.

OMB Control Number: 1205–0515.

Affected Public: Workforce Innovation Fund grant recipients.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 17.

Frequency: Quarterly.

Total Estimated Annual Responses: 68.

Estimated Average Time per Response: 20 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 1,360 hours.

Total Estimated Annual Other Cost Burden: \$0.

Authority: 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A).

Portia Wu,

Assistant Secretary for Employment and Training Administration, Department of Labor.

[FR Doc. 2016–30922 Filed 12–22–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–FN–P

OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

Reissuance of OMB Circular No. A–108, “Federal Agency Responsibilities for Review, Reporting, and Publication Under the Privacy Act”

AGENCY: Office of Management and Budget, Executive Office of the President.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has reissued OMB Circular A–108, “Federal Agency Responsibilities for Review, Reporting, and Publication under the Privacy Act.” The reissued Circular revises and relocates the guidance that previously had been included in Circular A–130, “Management of Federal Information Resources,” Appendix I, “Federal Agency Responsibilities for Maintaining Records About Individuals.” The reissued Circular replaces the November 28, 2000 version of Appendix I to Circular A–130 and supplements and clarifies existing OMB guidance.

DATES: Effective upon publication as of December 23, 2016, OMB is making reissued Circular A–108 available to the public at https://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/inforeg_infopoltech.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kevin Herms, Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, at privacy-oira@omb.eop.gov

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Privacy Act of 1974, which has been in effect since September 27, 1975, sets forth a series of requirements governing Federal agency practices with respect to certain information about individuals. Although the Privacy Act places principal responsibility for compliance on agencies, the statute requires the Director of OMB to develop guidelines and provide continuing assistance to and oversight of implementation by agencies. See 5 U.S.C. 552a(v). The reissuance of Circular A–108 describes agency responsibilities for implementing the review, reporting, and publication requirements of the Privacy Act of 1974 and related OMB policies. It supplements and clarifies existing OMB guidance, including OMB Circular No. A–130, “Managing Information as a Strategic Resource,” “Privacy Act Implementation: Guidelines and Responsibilities,” “Implementation of the Privacy Act of 1974: Supplementary Guidance,” and “Final Guidance Interpreting the Provisions of Public

Law 100–503, the Computer Matching and Privacy Protection Act of 1988.” All OMB guidance is available on the OMB Web site at https://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/inforeg_infopoltech.

Comments

On October 7, 2016, OMB requested public comment (81 FR 69871) and posted the proposed Circular A–108 on its Web site. Although some commenters were critical of specific aspects of the proposed policy, the commenters were generally supportive of the overall Circular and the approaches taken.

While OMB carefully considered all of the comments submitted, some of them were beyond the scope of the Circular. Several of the comments criticized agency compliance with Privacy Act legal and policy requirements, while others appeared to be inconsistent with certain statutory provisions or other OMB policy requirements, or would have the effect of modifying certain statutory provisions or prohibiting certain legally permissible agency actions. The reissuance of Circular A–108 and the supplementary guidance and clarification it provides are intended to assist agencies in their implementation of, and facilitate their compliance with, the Privacy Act's review, reporting, and publication requirements. The Circular is meant to establish general standards and it would be beyond the scope of the Circular to address specific agency practices or compliance efforts or to accept comments that may be inconsistent with other legal or policy requirements.

Several comments identified areas in which the guidance could be modified to improve the quality of notice provided to the public in agency system of records notices. Based on OMB's consideration and responses to the public comments, the revised Circular A–108:

- Revises the routine use section of the guidance to state that agency routine uses that only apply to certain records in a system of records should indicate their limited scope. In addition, a subheading in the section of the Circular describing the scope of a system of records was revised to better emphasize the need to consider routine uses when determining the scope of a system.

- Requires that the description of linkages between different systems be in the “Policies and Practices for Retrieval of Records” section of the notice, which is included in the Privacy Act Issuances. In addition, the language describing the requirement to describe linkages

between different systems was clarified so that agencies better understand the requirement and public notice will be improved.

- Includes a “History” section in the system of records notice templates for agencies to provide citations to the last full **Federal Register** notice, as well as any subsequent notices of revision. This will improve transparency and assist the public in learning about systems of records as they are established and revised over time.

Howard Shelanski,

Administrator, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs.

Marc Groman,

Senior Advisor for Privacy, Office of the Director.

[FR Doc. 2016–30901 Filed 12–22–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[Notice 16–090]

Notice of Intent To Grant Exclusive Term License

AGENCY: National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

ACTION: Notice of intent to grant exclusive patent license.

SUMMARY: NASA hereby gives notice of its intent to grant an exclusive patent license in the United States to practice the invention described and claimed in U.S. Patent Application entitled, “System, Apparatus, and Method for Liquid Purification,” LEW–18732–1, to SageGuard Solutions, LLC, having its principal place of business in Westlake, Ohio. The fields of use may be limited to anaerobic digestion of agricultural by-products and run-off, semiconductor manufacturing process water and wastewater treatment, and food and beverage manufacturing process water and wastewater treatment.

DATES: The prospective exclusive license may be granted unless, within fifteen (15) days from the date of this published notice, NASA receives written objections including evidence and argument that establish that the grant of the license would not be consistent with the requirements regarding the licensing of federally owned inventions as set forth in the Bayh-Dole Act and implementing regulations. Competing applications completed and received by NASA within fifteen (15) days of the date of this published notice will also be treated as objections to the grant of the contemplated exclusive license.

Objections submitted in response to this notice will not be made available to the public for inspection and, to the extent permitted by law, will not be released under the Freedom of Information Act.

ADDRESSES: Objections relating to the prospective license may be submitted to Patent Counsel, Office of Chief Counsel, MS 142–7, NASA Glenn Research Center, 21000 Brookpark Rd, Cleveland, OH 44135. Phone (216) 433–3663. Facsimile (216) 433–6790.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Robert Earp, Patent Counsel, Office of Chief Counsel, MS 142–7, NASA Glenn Research Center, 21000 Brookpark Rd, Cleveland OH 44135. Phone (216) 433–3663. Facsimile (216) 433–6790.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This notice of intent to grant an exclusive patent license is issued in accordance with 35 U.S.C. 209(c)(1) and 37 CFR 404.7(a)(1)(i). The patent rights in these inventions have been assigned to the United States of America as represented by the Administrator of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. The prospective exclusive license will comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 209 and 37 CFR 404.7.

Information about other NASA inventions available for licensing can be found online at <http://technology.nasa.gov>.

Mark P. Dvorscak,

Agency Counsel for Intellectual Property.

[FR Doc. 2016–30889 Filed 12–22–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7510–13–P

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION (NASA)

[Notice (16–091)]

National Environmental Policy Act: Kennedy Space Center—Center Master Plan

AGENCY: National Aeronautics and Space Administration

ACTION: Notice of Availability (NOA)

SUMMARY: NASA has prepared and issued a Final Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (FPEIS) for implementation of the Kennedy Space Center (KSC) Center Master Plan (CMP). The purpose of the CMP is to provide overall management guidance for KSC from 2016 to 2032. Implementation of the CMP will facilitate a two-decade transformation from a single, government-user launch complex to a multi-user spaceport. This multi-user spaceport will be developed in concert with NASA’s programmatic missions and requirements to explore destinations outside of low Earth orbit.

The need for the action is to update KSC’s CMP in a manner that supports achievement of NASA’s programmatic mission objectives, at the same time as maximizing the provision of excess capabilities and assets in support of non-NASA access to space.

DATES: NASA will issue a Record of Decision (ROD) for the FPEIS on the proposed KSC CMP either by December 19, 2016, or after 30 days from the date of publication of the NOA for the FPEIS in the **Federal Register** by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), whichever is later.

ADDRESSES: The FPEIS may be reviewed at the NASA Headquarters Library (Washington, DC), as well as public libraries in Florida including New Smyrna Beach, Cocoa Beach, Merritt Island, Port St. John, Cape Canaveral and Titusville. Limited hard copies of the FPEIS are available and may be requested by contacting Mr. Donald Dankert at the address, telephone number, or electronic mail address indicated below. The FPEIS is available electronically to download and read at <http://environmental.ksc.nasa.gov/projects/peis.htm>. NASA’s ROD will also be placed on this Web site when it is issued. Anyone who desires a hard copy of NASA’s ROD when it is issued should contact Mr. Dankert.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Donald Dankert, Environmental Management Branch, NASA Kennedy Space Center, Mail Code: TA–A4C, Kennedy Space Center, FL 32899, Email: Donald.J.Dankert@nasa.gov, Telephone: (321) 861–1196.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, as Amended (42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*), the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of NEPA (40 CFR parts 1500–1508), and NASA NEPA regulations (14 CFR part 1216 subpart 1216.3), NASA has prepared and issued a FPEIS for implementation of the CMP.

Overall, KSC is transitioning to a re-focused mission that redefines its relationship with industry and leverages the potential of partnerships. Amid the challenges of an aging and unsustainable asset base, as well as a highly constrained federal budget, NASA must adopt and implement strategies that preserve the institutional infrastructure needed to support its purpose and programs.

This FPEIS is a programmatic document. In keeping with guidance from CEQ, the FPEIS outlines and broadly describes actions associated with KSC’s proposed programs in the