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B. Solicitation of Public Comment

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points.

1. Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
2. The accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information;
3. Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
4. Ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond; including using appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Affected Public who will be Asked or Required to Respond as well as a Brief Abstract: The primary respondents are applicants and recipients including, but not limited to, state agencies, local governments, Indian tribes, Tribal Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs), public housing authorities, institutions of higher education, faith based organizations, and non-profit and for profit organizations devoted to community development, housing the homeless, and other activities.

The solicitation template provides a framework for program-specific Notices of Funding Availability (NOFAs) soliciting applications for funding. A program solicitation or NOFA outlines the specific program requirements, describes eligibility requirements, details information, data, and forms applicants must submit in the application process; outlines program evaluation and performance measures; explains selection criteria and the review process; and provides registration dates, deadlines, and instructions on how to apply. The burden associated with the information collection subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act is actually reflected in the information collected through the forms enumerated in this collection rather than the templates themselves.

This collection consolidates many previously approved collections with new collections for the two templates for NOFAs and other pending PRAs. This request includes the burden associated with OMB approved forms as well as reporting where a specific form is not required.

HUD-Standard forms are used by all discretionary grant programs. The HUD Common forms are used by multiple discretionary grant programs or competitions. The Program-Specific forms are used by individual programs or competitions. Post-Award forms are used for reporting and oversight.

The information collected and to be collected is used in evaluating applications for HUD financial assistance and oversight of awards. Changes may be made based on actions of the Appropriations Committees and revisions to Departmental policies.

Estimate of the Total Number of Respondents and the Amount of Time Estimated for an Average Respondent To Respond:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Burden on Respondents:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The following table shows the estimated burden on applicants to prepare responses for information requests in applications by application.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The estimated burden for respondents is calculated from the June 2017 Department of Labor Bureau of Labor Statistics report on Employer Costs for Employee Compensation. It determined that the hourly rate of management, professional, and related wages and salaries averaged $39.75 per hour plus $17.88 per hour for fringe benefits, for a total of $57.63 per hour.

Federal staff time is estimated for a GS–13 step 5 hourly rate at $51.48 per hour (from the Office of Personnel Management pay table with Washington-Baltimore-Arlington locality pay), plus 16% fringe benefits for a total of $59.72 per hour. The application review is estimated based on 2 staff people spending 10 hours reviewing each application.

### Estimated respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estimated respondents</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Estimated number of responses</th>
<th>Estimated hours per application</th>
<th>Estimated burden hours</th>
<th>Total estimated burden</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18,000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>18,000</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1,080,000</td>
<td>$64,800,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following table shows the estimated burden on recipients who submit performance and financial reports.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estimated respondents</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Estimated number of responses</th>
<th>Estimated annual hours per respondent</th>
<th>Estimated annual burden hours</th>
<th>Total estimated burden</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>96,000</td>
<td>$5,532,480</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Burden on the Federal Government

Federal staff and others review and rate applications. The following table shows the average burden of reviewing applications for HUD’s discretionary grant programs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estimated respondents</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Estimated number of responses</th>
<th>Estimated annual burden hours</th>
<th>Total estimated burden</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18,000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>18,000</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>360,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following table shows the estimated burden of Federal oversight per financial assistance award.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Estimated respondents (awards)</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Estimated number of responses</th>
<th>Estimated burden hours per reports &amp; recordkeeping review (4 hrs. per report)</th>
<th>Estimated burden hours per year</th>
<th>Total estimated burden</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>20 hrs./award</td>
<td>240,000</td>
<td>$14,332,800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### C. Authority

Section 2 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, as codified at 44 U.S.C. 3507.

Dated: December 12, 2017.

Henry Hensley,
Director, Office of Strategic Planning and Management.

[FR Doc. 2017–27327 Filed 12–19–17; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

**Fish and Wildlife Service**


**Foreign Endangered and Threatened Species; Receipt of Applications for Permit**

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of receipt of applications for permit.

**SUMMARY:** We, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, invite the public to comment on the following applications to conduct certain activities with endangered and threatened species.

With some exceptions, the Endangered Species Act prohibits activities with listed species unless Federal authorization is acquired that allows such activities.

**DATES:** We must receive comments or requests for documents on or before January 19, 2018.

**ADDRESSES:** Submitting Comments: You may submit comments by one of the following methods:

- **U.S. mail or hand-delivery:** Public Comments Processing, Attn: Docket No. FWS–HQ–IA–2017–0033 and/or Docket

**Washington-Baltimore-Arlington locality pay, plus 16% fringe benefit** 

| Estimated cost for respondents is calculated from the June 2017 Department of Labor Bureau of Labor Statistics report on Employer Costs for Employee Compensation determined that the hourly rate of management, professional and related wages and salaries averaged $39.75 per hour plus 

| Federal staff time is estimated for a GS–13 step 5 hourly rate at $51.48 per hour (from the Office of Personnel Management pay table with 

| Estimated cost per hour for fringe benefits for a total of $57.63 per hour. The application review is estimated based on 2 staff people spending 10 hours reviewing each application. |