subject to one of the other three types of effective competition.

Evidence establishing lack of effective competition. If the evidence establishing the lack of effective competition is not otherwise available, section 76.910(b)(4) provides that franchising authorities may request from a multichannel video programming distributor (“MVPD”) information regarding the MVPD’s reach and number of subscribers. An MVPD must respond to such request within 15 days. Such responses may be limited to numerical totals.

Franchising authority’s obligations if certified. Section 76.910(e) of the Commission’s rules currently provides that, unless the Commission notifies the franchising authority otherwise, the certification will become effective 30 days after the date filed, provided, however, that the franchising authority may not regulate the rates of a cable system unless it: (1) Adopts regulations (i) consistent with the Commission’s regulations governing the basic tier and (ii) providing a reasonable opportunity for consideration of the views of interested parties, within 120 days of the effective date of the certification; and (2) notifies the cable operator that the franchising authority has been certified and has adopted the required regulations.

OMB Control Number: 3060–0560. Title: Section 76.911, Petition for Reconsideration of Certification. Form No.: N/A. Type of Review: Extension of a currently approved collection. Respondents: State, local or tribal governments; Businesses or other for-profit entities. Number of Respondents and Responses: 15 respondents; 25 responses. Estimated Time per Response: 2–10 hours.

Frequency of Response: On occasion reporting requirement; Third party disclosure requirement. Obligation To Respond: Required to obtain or retain benefits. The statutory authority for this collection of information is contained in sections 4(i) and 623 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

Total Annual Burden: 130 hours. Total Annual Cost: None. Privacy Act Impact Assessment: No impact(s).

Nature and Extent of Confidentiality: There is no need for confidentiality with this collection of information.

Needs and Uses: On June 3, 2015, the Commission released a Report and Order, MB Docket No. 15–53; FCC 15–62. The Report and Order adopted a rebuttable presumption that cable operators are subject to competing provider effective competition. Reversing the previous rebuttable presumption of no effective competition and adopting the procedures discussed in the Report and Order will result in changes to the information collection burdens.

The information collection requirements consist of: Petitions for reconsideration of certification, oppositions and replies thereto, cable operator requests to competitors for information regarding the competitor’s reach and number of subscribers if evidence establishing effective competition is not otherwise available, and the competitors supplying this information. They have not changed since they were last approved by OMB.

Federal Communications Commission.

Marlene H. Dortch,
Secretary, Office of the Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018–02553 Filed 2–7–18; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

Notice of Agreement Filed

The Commission hereby gives notice of the filing of the following agreement under the Shipping Act of 1984.

Interested parties may submit comments on the agreement to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, DC 20573, within twelve days of the date this notice appears in the Federal Register. A copy of the agreement is available through the Commission’s website (www.fmc.gov) or by contacting the Office of Agreements at (202) 523–5793 or tradeanalysis@fmc.gov.

Agreement No.: 011550–017. Title: ABC Discussion Agreement. Parties: King Ocean Services Limited, Inc., and Seaboard Marine Ltd.

Filing Party: Wayne Rohde; Cozen O’Connor; 1200 Nineteenth Street NW, Washington, DC 20036.

Synopsis: The amendment deletes Crowley Caribbean Services LLC as a party to the Agreement.

Agreement No.: 012426–002. Title: The OCEAN Alliance Agreement.


Filing Party: Robert Magovern; Cozen O’Connor; 1200 Nineteenth Street NW, Washington, DC 20036.

Synopsis: The amendment revises Article 2 of the Agreement to add COSCO SHIPPING Lines (Europe) GmbH as a party to the Agreement.