

EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA. This action merely proposes to approve changes to the Commonwealth's maintenance plan emissions inventory and associated MVEBs to remove reliance on emissions reductions from the federal RFG program requirements. For that reason, this proposed action:

- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Orders 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993) and 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011);

- Is not an Executive Order 13771 (82 FR 9339, February 2, 2017) regulatory action because SIP approvals are exempted under Executive Order 12866.

- Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);

- Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);

- Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-4);

- Does not have Federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);

- Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);

- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);

- Is not subject to requirements of Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the CAA; and

- Does not provide EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

The SIP is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where EPA or an Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the rulemaking does not have tribal implications as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000), nor will it impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: February 6, 2018.

Onis "Trey" Glenn, III,

Regional Administrator, Region 4.

[FR Doc. 2018-03078 Filed 2-13-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R06-OAR-2011-0335; FRL-9973-43—Region 6]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Texas; Disapproval of Interstate Transport State Implementation Plan Revision for the 2006 24-hour PM_{2.5} NAAQS; Withdrawal of Proposed Rule

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Withdrawal of proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is withdrawing its proposed rule to disapprove the portion of the November 23, 2009 Texas State Implementation Plan (SIP) submittal that intended to demonstrate that the SIP met Clean Air Act (CAA) requirements to prohibit emissions which will significantly contribute to nonattainment or interfere with maintenance of the 2006 24-hour PM_{2.5} National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) in other states.

DATES: The proposed rule published on April 13, 2011 (76 FR 20602) is withdrawn as of February 14, 2018.

ADDRESSES: The EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket ID No. EPA-R06-OAR-2011-0335. All documents in the docket are listed on the <http://www.regulations.gov> website. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, *e.g.*, Confidential Business Information or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically through <http://www.regulations.gov> or in hard copy at

the EPA Region 6, 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 700, Dallas, Texas 75202-2733.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Carl Young, (214) 665-6645, young.carl@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In an April 13, 2011 action EPA proposed to disapprove the portion of a November 23, 2009 Texas SIP submittal that intended to demonstrate that the SIP met the requirements of CAA section 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(I) to prohibit emissions which will significantly contribute to nonattainment or interfere with maintenance of the 2006 24-hour PM_{2.5} NAAQS in other states (76 FR 20602). EPA is now withdrawing the proposal. In a separate **Federal Register** action published in conjunction with this withdrawal EPA is proposing to approve this portion of the SIP submittal. The rationale for the proposed approval is detailed in that proposal.

Dated: February 7, 2018.

Anne Idsal,

Regional Administrator, Region 6.

[FR Doc. 2018-02893 Filed 2-13-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Administration for Children and Families

45 CFR Part 1304

RIN 0970-AC63

Head Start Designation Renewal System Improvements

AGENCY: Office of Head Start (OHS), Administration for Children and Families (ACF), Department of Health and Human Services (HHS).

ACTION: Request for comments; re-issue.

SUMMARY: OHS issues this request for comments to invite public feedback on information we inadvertently omitted from the "CLASS Condition of the Head Start Designation Renewal System," request for comments, published on December 8, 2017. The document withdrawing the "CLASS Condition of the Head Start Designation Renewal System" request for comments is published elsewhere in this issue of the **Federal Register**. This request for comments is similar to the withdrawn publication in that it invites the public to comment on specific changes OHS is considering for the CLASS condition, as well as other Designation Renewal System (DRS) conditions and processes more broadly. Additionally, OHS seeks