granted leave under § 630.1603. Employees who are eligible to telework and participating in a telework program under applicable agency policies are typically able to safely perform work at their approved telework site (e.g., home), since they are not required to work at their regular worksite.

(2) If, in the agency’s judgment, the conditions in § 630.1603 could not reasonably be anticipated, an agency may provide leave under this subpart to the extent an employee was not able to prepare for telework as described in paragraph (a)(2) of this section and is otherwise unable to perform productive work at the telework site.

(iii) If an employee is prevented from safely working at the approved telework site due to circumstances, arising from one or more of the conditions in § 630.1603, applicable to the telework site, an agency may, at its discretion, provide leave under this subpart to the employee.

(iv) Subparagraphs (a)(2)(i) and (ii) of this section, an agency may decide not to provide leave under this subpart when the conditions in § 630.1603 do not prevent the employee from safely traveling to or safely performing work at a regular worksite, even if the affected day is a scheduled telework day.

(3) In making a determination under paragraph (a)(2) of this section, an agency must evaluate whether any of the conditions in § 630.1603 could be reasonably anticipated and whether the employee took reasonable steps (within the employee’s control) to prepare to perform telework at the approved telework site. For example, if a significant snowstorm is predicted, the employee may need to prepare by taking home any equipment (e.g., laptop computer) and work needed for teleworking. To the extent that an employee is unable to perform work at a telework site because of failure to make necessary preparations for reasonably anticipated conditions, an agency may not provide weather and safety leave, and the employee would need to use other appropriate paid leave, paid time off, or leave without pay.

(b) Emergency employees. An agency may designate emergency employees who are critical to agency operations and for whom weather and safety leave may not be applicable. To the extent practicable, an agency should inform employees of their designation as emergency employees well in advance in anticipation of the possible occurrences set forth in § 630.1603. If the agency wishes to provide for the possibility that an emergency employee could work from an approved telework site in lieu of traveling to the regular worksite in appropriate circumstances, an agency should encourage the employee to enter into a telework agreement providing for that contingency. An agency may designate different emergency employees for the different circumstances expected to arise from these conditions. Emergency employees must report to work at their regular worksite or another approved location as directed by the agency, unless—

(1) The agency determines that travel to or performing work at the worksite is unsafe for emergency employees, in which case the agency may require the employees to work at another location, including a telework site as provided in paragraph (a) of this section, as appropriate; or

(2) The agency determines that circumstances justify granting leave under this subpart to emergency employees.

§ 630.1606 Administration of weather and safety leave.

(a) An agency must use the same minimum charge increments for weather and safety leave as it does for annual and sick leave under § 630.206.

(b) Employees may be granted weather and safety leave only for hours within the tour of duty established for purposes of charging annual and sick leave when absent. For full-time employees, that tour is the 40-hour basic workweek as defined in 5 CFR 610.102, the basic work requirement established for employees on a flexible or compressed work schedule as defined in 5 U.S.C. 6121(3), or an uncommon tour of duty under § 630.210.

(c) Employees may not receive weather and safety leave for hours during which they are on other approved leave (paid or unpaid) or paid time off. Agencies should not provide weather and safety leave to an employee who, in the agency’s judgment, is cancelling preapproved leave or paid time off, or changing a regular day off in a flexible or compressed work schedule for the primary purpose of obtaining weather and safety leave.

§ 630.1607 Records and reporting.

(a) Record of placement on leave. An agency must maintain an accurate record of the placement of an employee on weather and safety leave.

(b) Reporting. In agency data systems (including timekeeping systems) and in any compressed work schedule, an agency must record weather and safety leave under section 6329c and this subpart as a category of leave separate from other types of leave.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

This document supplements and corrects the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section of the final rule entitled “Community Reinvestment Act Regulations” (the CRA final rule), published on November 24, 2017, Federal Register Document 2017–25330 (82 FR 55734), by the OCC, the Board, and the FDIC (collectively, the Agencies), by addressing two additional comments that were timely submitted but inadvertently not included in the rulemaking record of the CRA final rule. The sections of this correction document are effective as if they had been included in the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section of the CRA final rule, effective January 1, 2018.

II. Waiver of Proposed Rulemaking and Waiver of 30-day Delayed Effective Date

The Agencies ordinarily publish a notice of proposed rulemaking in the Federal Register to provide a period for public comment before the provisions of a rule take effect in accordance with section 533(b) of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA) (5 U.S.C. 553(b)). Nevertheless, an agency can waive this notice and comment procedure if it finds, for good cause, that the notice and comment process is impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest and incorporates a statement of its findings and reasons in the notice.

Section 533(d) of the APA ordinarily requires a 30-day delay in the effective date of a final rule after the date of its publication in the Federal Register. This 30-day delay in effective date can be waived, however, if an agency finds for good cause that the delay is impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest, and the agency incorporates a statement of the findings and reasons in the rule issued.

The Agencies do not believe that this correction document constitutes a rule that would be subject to APA notice and comment or delayed effective date requirements. The document corrects and supplements the Agencies’ discussion of public comments in the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section of the CRA final rule and does not make any changes to the regulatory text in the CRA final rule or otherwise alter the CRA final rule’s effect. As a result, this correction document is intended to ensure that the CRA final rule’s SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section accurately reflects the record of comments received and the Agencies’ responses.

Moreover, even if the notice and comment and delayed effective date requirements applied to this rule, the Agencies find that there is good cause to waive those requirements because they are unnecessary as the CRA final rule had been previously subjected to the notice and comment procedures. As noted above, the Agencies are merely supplementing and correcting a discussion of public comments in the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section of the CRA final rule. Therefore, the Agencies find it unnecessary to undertake further notice and comment procedures with respect to this correction document.

III. Summary of Errors

In the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section of the CRA final rule, the Agencies discussed amendments to their Community Reinvestment Act (CRA) regulations. The Agencies referenced two public comments received in response to the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking for those amendments 1 and provided responses to those comments. However, due to an inadvertent clerical error, the Agencies did not become aware of two additional comment letters that were timely submitted until after the Agencies had finalized and issued the amendments.

After analyzing the two additional comment letters, the Agencies have determined that no changes to the regulatory text in the CRA final rule are necessary. However, the Agencies are revising the administrative record to include the correct number of public comments received, the analysis of all comments received, and the Agencies’ responses to the comments.

IV. Correction of Errors

1. On page 55734, the third full paragraph in the third column is revised to read as follows:

“Together, the Agencies received four comment letters on the proposed amendments. One comment was from a community organization, two comment letters were from industry trade associations, and one comment was from a financial institution. Commenters generally supported the changes proposed by the Agencies, although each also raised concerns regarding certain aspects of the proposed rule and made other suggestions not related to the proposal. As explained below, the Agencies are finalizing the amendments as proposed.”

2. On page 55735, the second full paragraph in the third column (continued in the first column on page 55736) and the first full paragraph in the first column on page 55736 are revised, and one paragraph is added following them to read as follows:

“The Agencies received three comment letters addressing this proposed revision. Two of the commenters supported the Agencies’ efforts to conform the definition of ‘home mortgage loan’ in the Agencies’ CRA regulations to the scope of reportable transactions in Regulation C; one commenter opposed it. Of the two commenters supporting the proposed amendments, a community organization noted that some banks expressed concern that including home equity products (closed-end home equity loans and open-end home equity lines of credit) in CRA evaluations could have the effect of lowering the overall percentage of home mortgage loans made to low- and moderate-income borrowers and suggested that the Agencies consider evaluating home equity lending separately from other types of home lending. This commenter also urged the Agencies to consider loan purchases separately from originations during the CRA evaluation. A trade association opposed the proposed amendment to the ‘home mortgage loan’ definition. This commenter recommended that data related to home equity products not be included in the CRA reports provided to the Agencies and the Agencies’ analysis of home mortgage loans for purposes of the CRA evaluation. The commenter suggested that the Agencies only consider home equity-related data at the option of the financial institution. The commenter stated that treating home equity products in the same manner as purchase money mortgages or other real estate-secured lending fails to address the significant differences in the availability and use of these products across different geographies and income.”

1 82 FR 43910 (Sept. 20, 2017).
“The Agencies have considered all comments and are finalizing the amendment to the “home mortgage loan” definition as proposed. First, the commenter’s suggestion to consider home mortgage loan purchases separately from loan originations would require a change to the lending test in the CRA regulations (12 CFR 25.22, 195.22, 228.22, and 345.22), which is beyond the scope of the proposed amendments. Second, excluding home equity loans and home equity lines of credit from the “home mortgage loan” definition would create an inconsistency between the CRA and HMDA regulations and a separate reporting requirement for CRA reporters that are also HMDA reporters. The change in the “home mortgage loan” definition does not require that the Agencies evaluate home mortgage loans with different purposes (e.g., home purchase, refinance, home improvement) the same during the CRA evaluation. Instead, the Agencies note that, as with all aspects of an institution’s CRA performance evaluation, the Agencies will consider the performance context of the financial institution when evaluating its performance related to home mortgage lending, including home equity products. The Agencies emphasize that performance context may include additional information to explain how various loan products may impact bank performance. The Agencies believe that the commenters’ concerns can be addressed effectively through the supervisory process. Accordingly, the Agencies are finalizing the revised definition of “home mortgage loan” as proposed.

“As we stated in the proposed rule, the Agencies have relied on the scope of HMDA-reportable transactions to define “home mortgage loan” in the CRA regulations, in order to reduce burden on institutions by avoiding unnecessary costs and confusion, and have made conforming changes when the scope of HMDA-reportable transactions has changed, provided that the revised terms continue to meet the statutory purposes of the CRA. The Agencies are aware that the Bureau announced its intention to open a rulemaking to reconsider various aspects of the 2015 HMDA Rule in its December 21, 2017, Public Statement on Home Mortgage Disclosure Act Compliance, which is available at https://www.consumerfinance.gov/about-us/newsroom/cfpb-issues-public-statement-home-mortgage-disclosure-act-compliance/. The Agencies will continue to review and monitor any new developments, including any amendments made to the cross-referenced definitions in HMDA and Regulation C that impact the CRA regulations, to ensure that such cross-referenced terms continue to meet the statutory objectives of the CRA.”

3. On page 55736, the first and second full paragraphs in the second column are revised and two paragraphs are added following them to read as follows:

“The Agencies received three comments addressing the proposed revision. These commenters supported amending the definition of “consumer lending” in the Agencies’ CRA regulations to conform to changes in the scope of loans reportable under Regulation C, which will be effective January 1, 2018, but made additional suggestions, including some not related to the proposal. A trade association urged the Agencies to consider automatically home improvement loans not secured by a dwelling if the financial institution opts to have them considered. This commenter also suggested that if the financial institution opts not to have such loans considered, then the Agencies should not require the institution to produce data on those loans for CRA evaluation. A community organization suggested that the Agencies should have examiners evaluate consumer lending, including home improvement lending not secured by a dwelling, during CRA exams when such lending constitutes a “significant amount” of the bank’s business rather than a “substantial majority,” as is currently required under 12 CFR __.22(a)(1). Another trade association encouraged the Agencies to create a fifth category under the “consumer loan” definition to take the place of the “home equity loan” category, which the Agencies proposed to remove as a result of home equity loans and home equity lines of credit being included in the amended definition of “home mortgage loan.”

“The Agencies have considered the comments and are finalizing the definition of “consumer lending” as proposed. First, the commenters’ suggestions to defer always to the financial institution on the inclusion of unsecured home improvement loans and to change “substantial majority” to “significant amount” would require a change to the CRA regulations beyond the scope of the proposed amendments. Specifically, consumer loans are considered in the large bank lending test under 12 CFR __.22(a)(1) under two circumstances: the bank has collected and maintained [data], as required under 12 CFR __.42(c)(1), and elects to have those loans considered” or “[i]f consumer lending constitutes a substantial majority of a bank’s business.” 12 CFR __.22(a)(1). Thus, in the case of financial institutions evaluated under the large bank lending test, following these commenters’ recommendations would require a regulatory change in the retail lending test under 12 CFR __.22(a)(1), which was not proposed.

“Further, in regard to the commenter’s suggestion to use “significant amount” instead of “substantial majority,” loan products evaluated in the small and intermediate small bank tests are generally based on the financial institution’s major product lines, or primary products, whichever term applies depending on the supervising agency. The categorization of consumer loans by type applies solely to financial institutions evaluated using the large bank lending test. The selection of major product lines, or primary products, for small and intermediate small banks typically involves a review of loan originations during the evaluation period, by loan type, along with a discussion with bank management to understand the bank’s business focus. As a result, examiners already may include or exclude home improvement loans in evaluating bank performance if they are not a major product line, or primary product, as applicable.

“Second, the Agencies do not believe that creating a fifth, “home improvement,” category of consumer loans is warranted given the flexibility already provided through the supervisory process. Additionally, creating a separate “home improvement loan” category of consumer loans could result in additional burden for many financial institutions, particularly community banks, through the separate tracking of loans and could result in a double counting of loans, under HMDA and CRA, for home improvement purposes that are secured by a dwelling. For these reasons, the Agencies opted to consider home improvement loans not secured by a dwelling included in evaluating performance under the large bank lending test under the existing consumer loan categories of “other secured” and “other unsecured,” rather than to create a new category of consumer loans. Accordingly, the Agencies are finalizing the definition of “consumer lending” as proposed. We note, however, that although the Agencies are not adopting changes pursuant to the commenters’ recommendations, the Agencies regularly review examination policies, procedures, guidance, and the CRA...
regulations to better serve the goals of the CRA.”

4. On page 55736, the first full paragraph in the third column is revised to read as follows:

“The Agencies received two comments on the proposed changes to the CRA public file content requirements. One trade association supported the Agencies’ efforts to streamline the public file content requirements to make it consistent with the new HMDA public disclosure requirements. Another trade association suggested that because financial institutions will no longer need to provide HMDA Loan Application Registers to the public, financial institutions should also not be required to produce their CRA Loan Application Registers (CRA LARs) so as to reduce regulatory burden. Changing the requirements in the CRA public file with respect to CRA LARs would require a regulation change that was not proposed by the Agencies and did not have the benefit of notice and comment. Accordingly, the Agencies are adopting the revisions as proposed.”


Joseph M. Otting,
Comptroller of the Currency.


Ann E. Misback,
Secretary of the Board.

Dated at Washington, DC, this 12th of March, 2018.

By order of the Board of Directors.
Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

Robert E. Feldman,
Executive Secretary.
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DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 25

[Docket No. FAA–2018–0293; Special Conditions No. 25–723–SC]

Special Conditions: Textron Aviation Inc. Model 700 Series Airplanes; Interaction of Systems and Structures

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final special conditions; request for comments.

SUMMARY: These special conditions are issued for the Textron Aviation Inc. (Textron) Model 700 series airplanes. These airplanes will have novel or unusual design features when compared to the state of technology envisioned in the airworthiness standards for transport category airplanes. These design features are systems that affect structural performance, either directly or as a result of a failure or malfunction. The influence of these systems and their failure conditions must be taken into account when showing compliance with the FAA’s requirements. The applicable airworthiness regulations do not contain adequate or appropriate safety standards for these design features. These special conditions contain the additional safety standards that the Administrator considers necessary to establish a level of safety equivalent to that established by the existing airworthiness standards.

DATES: This action is effective on April 10, 2018. Send comments on or before May 25, 2018.

ADDRESSES: Send comments identified by Docket No. FAA–2018–0293 using any of the following methods:

• Federal eRegulations Portal: Go to http://www.regulations.gov/ and follow the online instructions for sending your comments electronically.
• Mail: Send comments to Docket Operations, M–30, U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT), 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Room W12–140, West Building Ground Floor, Washington, DC, 20590–0001.
• Hand Delivery or Courier: Take comments to Docket Operations in Room W12–140 of the West Building Ground Floor at 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.
• Fax: Fax comments to Docket Operations at 202–493–2251.

Privacy: The FAA will post all comments it receives, without change, to http://www.regulations.gov/; including any personal information the commenter provides. Using the search function of the docket website, anyone can find and read the electronic form of all comments received into any FAA docket, including the name of the individual sending the comment (or signing the comment for an association, business, labor union, etc.). DOT’s complete Privacy Act Statement can be found in the Federal Register published on April 11, 2000 (65 FR 19477–19478). Docket: Background documents or comments received may be read at http://www.regulations.gov/ at any time. Follow the online instructions for accessing the docket or go to Docket Operations in Room W12–140 of the West Building Ground Floor at 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Greg Schneider, Airframe and Cabin Safety Section, AIR–675, Transport Standards Branch, Policy and Innovation Division, Aircraft Certification Service, Federal Aviation Administration, 2200 South 216th Street, Des Moines, Washington 98198; telephone 206–231–3213; email Greg.Schneider@faa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The substance of these special conditions previously has been published in the Federal Register for public comment. These special conditions have been derived without substantive change from those previously issued. It is unlikely that prior public comment would result in a significant change from the substance contained herein. Therefore, the FAA has determined that prior public notice and comment are unnecessary, and finds that, for the same reason, good cause exists for adopting these special conditions upon publication in the Federal Register.

Comments Invited

We invite interested people to take part in this rulemaking by sending written comments, data, or views. The most helpful comments reference a specific portion of the special conditions, explain the reason for any recommended change, and include supporting data.

We will consider all comments we receive by the closing date for comments. We may change these special conditions based on the comments we receive.

Background

On November 20, 2014, Textron applied for a type certificate for their new Model 700 series airplanes. The Textron Model 700 series airplanes are transport-category, twin turbofan-powered airplanes with standard seating provisions for up to 12 passengers and 2 crewmembers, and a maximum takeoff weight of 39,500 lbs.

Type Certification Basis

Under the provisions of title 14, Code of Federal Regulations (14 CFR) 21.17, Textron must show that the Model 700 series airplanes meet the applicable provisions of part 25, as amended by amendments 25–1 through 25–141. If the Administrator finds that the applicable airworthiness regulations (i.e., 14 CFR part 25) do not contain adequate or appropriate safety standards for the Textron Model 700 series airplanes because of novel or unusual design features, special conditions are