

be interested, the Agency has not attempted to describe all the specific entities that may be affected by this action.

B. What should I consider as I prepare my comments for EPA?

1. *Submitting CBI.* Do not submit CBI information to EPA through [regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) or email. If your comments contain any information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected, please contact the Peer Review Leader listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** to obtain special instructions before submitting your comments.

2. *Tips for preparing your comments.* When preparing and submitting your comments, see the commenting tips at <http://www.epa.gov/dockets/comments.html>.

C. How may I participate in this meeting?

You may participate in this preparatory meeting by following the instructions in this unit. To ensure proper receipt by EPA, it is imperative that you identify docket ID number EPA-HQ-OPPT-2018-0314 in the subject line on the first page of your request.

1. *Written comments.* The Agency encourages written comments be submitted, using the instructions in **ADDRESSES** and Unit I.B., on or before July 23, 2018, to provide the letter peer reviewers the time necessary to consider and review the written comments. Though the peer reviewers may not be able to fully consider written comments submitted after July 23, 2018, EPA will consider all comments submitted on or before August 17, 2018.

2. *Oral comments.* The Agency encourages each individual or group wishing to present brief oral comments to the letter peer reviewers during the preparatory meeting to submit their request to the peer review leader listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** on or before June 21, 2018, in order to be included on the preparatory meeting agenda. The request should identify the name of the individual making the presentation, the organization (if any) the individual will represent, and any requirements for audiovisual equipment. Oral comments are limited to approximately 5 minutes due to the time constraints of the preparatory meeting.

II. Background

A. Letter Peer Review

Section 6(h) of the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) directs EPA to issue

regulations under 6(a) for certain Persistent Bioaccumulative and Toxic (PBT) chemical substances that were identified in EPA's TSCA Work Plan for Chemical Assessments: 2014 update. The chemicals that were ranked high or moderate for either persistence and bioaccumulation, are present on the TSCA 2014 workplan chemical list that are not metals, that do not have problem formulation completed, do not have a review under section 5, and do not have a consent agreement under section 4 are the following five chemicals: Decabromodiphenyl ethers (DECA); Hexachlorobutadiene (HCB); Pentachlorothiophenol (PCTP); Phenol, isopropylated, phosphate (3:1) (PIP3/ITPP); and 2,4,6-Tris(tert-butyl) phenol (2, 4, 6 TRIS).

No risk evaluation is required for these PBT chemicals. EPA has drafted an Exposure and Use Assessment and a Human Health and Environmental Hazard Summary, in response to the TSCA section 6(h) requirements to summarize conclusions of toxicity and whether there is likely exposure to these PBT chemicals. These documents contain the following components:

- Chemistry, physical-chemical properties and expected transport and partitioning.
- Characterization of manufacture (including import), processing, uses and potential sources of exposure.
- Summary of available monitoring data, concentrations and doses.
- Characterization of trends in releases/exposures over time.
- Summary of environmental hazard (written and tabular summaries).
- Summary of human health hazard (written and tabular summaries).
- Strategy for identifying environmental hazard summary information.
- Strategy for identifying human health summary information.
- Supplemental Files that identify how environmental information was searched, screened, and evaluated.

B. Public Preparatory Meeting

The Agency has organized letter peer reviews for the Exposure and Use Assessment and the Human Health and Environmental Hazard Summary. The June 25, 2018 preparatory meeting will be held by teleconference and webcast only. During the preparatory meeting, the individual letter peer reviewers will have the opportunity to comment on and ask questions regarding the scope and clarity of the draft charge questions. Subsequent to this preparatory meeting, final charge questions will be provided for use as the letter peer reviewers complete their individual reviews.

C. Letter Peer Review Documents

EPA's background papers, related supporting materials, and charge/questions for these letter peer reviews will be available in the public docket (EPA-HQ-OPPT-2018-0314) on June 18, 2018. In addition, the Agency may provide additional background documents and public comments as the materials become available. You may obtain electronic copies of these documents, and certain other related documents that might be available in the public docket at <http://www.regulations.gov> and on the TSCA Peer Review website at <https://www.epa.gov/tsc-peer-review>.

Authority: 15 U.S.C. 2625 *et. seq.*; 5 U.S.C. Appendix 2 *et. seq.*

Dated: May 18, 2018.

Stanley Barone, Jr.,
Acting Director, Office of Science
Coordination and Policy.

[FR Doc. 2018-11311 Filed 5-24-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection Renewal; Comment Request (OMB No. 3064-0165; -0183; and -0196)

AGENCY: Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC).

ACTION: Notice and request for comment.

SUMMARY: The FDIC, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the renewal of existing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA). Currently, the FDIC is soliciting comment on renewal of the information collections described below.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before July 24, 2018.

ADDRESSES: Interested parties are invited to submit written comments to the FDIC by any of the following methods:

- <https://www.FDIC.gov/regulations/laws/federal>.
- *Email:* comments@fdic.gov. Include the name and number of the collection in the subject line of the message.
- *Mail:* Manny Cabeza (202-898-3767), Counsel, MB-3007, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, 550 17th Street NW, Washington, DC 20429.
- *Hand Delivery:* Comments may be hand-delivered to the guard station at the rear of the 17th Street Building

(located on F Street), on business days between 7:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m.

All comments should refer to the appropriate OMB control number referenced in the Supplementary Information section below. A copy of the comments may also be submitted to the OMB desk officer for the FDIC: Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and

Budget, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Manny Cabeza, Counsel, 202-898-3767, *mcabeza@FDIC.gov*, MB-3007, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, 550 17th Street NW, Washington, DC 20429.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Proposal to renew the following currently approved collections of information:

1. *Title:* Interagency Supervisory Guidance for the Supervisory Review Process of Capital Adequacy (Pillar 2) Related to the Implementation of the Basel II Advanced Capital Framework.
OMB Number: 3064-0165.
Form Number: None.
Affected Public: Insured state nonmember banks and certain subsidiaries of these entities.
Burden Estimate:

SUMMARY OF ANNUAL BURDEN

	Type of burden	Estimated number of respondents	Estimated time per response (hours)	Frequency of response	Total annual estimated burden hours
Pillar 2 Guidance	Recordkeeping	2	105	Quarterly	840
Total Estimated Annual Burden					840

General Description of Collection: There has been no change in the method or substance of this information collection. The number of institutions subject to the record keeping requirements has decreased from eight (8) to two (2). In 2008 the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System and the FDIC issued a supervisory guidance document related to the supervisory review process of capital adequacy (Pillar 2) in connection with the implementation of the Basel II Advanced Capital Framework.¹ Sections

37, 41, 43, and 46 of the guidance include possible information collections. Section 37 provides that banks should state clearly the definition of capital used in any aspect of its internal capital adequacy assessment process (ICAAP) and document any changes in the internal definition of capital. Section 41 provides that banks should maintain thorough documentation of its ICAAP. Section 43 specifies that the board of directors should approve the bank's ICAAP, review it on a regular basis and approve any changes. Section 46 recommends

that boards of directors periodically review the assessment of overall capital adequacy and analyze how measures of internal capital adequacy compare with other capital measures such as regulatory or accounting.

2. *Title:* Credit Risk Retention.
OMB Number: 3064-0183.
Form Number: None.
Affected Public: Insured state non-member banks, insured state branches of foreign banks, state savings associations and certain subsidiaries of these entities.
Burden Estimate:

SUMMARY OF ANNUAL BURDEN

	Estimated number of offerings	Estimated annual frequency	Estimated average hours per response	Estimated annual burden hours
Disclosure Burden				
Subpart B:				
§ 373.4 Standard Risk Retention—Horizontal Interest	1	1	5.5	5.5
§ 373.4 Standard Risk Retention—Vertical Interest	40	1	2.0	80
§ 373.4 Standard Risk Retention—Combined Interest	4	1	7.5	30
§ 373.5 Revolving Master Trusts	15	1	7.0	105
§ 373.6 Eligible ABCP Conduits	15	1	3.0	45
§ 373.7 Commercial MBS	15	1	20.75	311.25
§ 373.8 FNMA and FHLMC	15	1	1.5	22.5
§ 373.9 Open Market CLOs	15	1	20.25	303.75
§ 373.10 Qualified Tender Option Bonds	15	1	6.0	90
Subpart B Subtotal				
Subpart C:				
§ 373.11 Allocation of Risk Retention to an Originator	3	1	2.5	7.5
Subpart D:				
§ 373.13 and .19(g) Exemption for Qualified Residential Mortgages	13	1	1.25	16.25
§ 373.15 Exemption for Qualifying Commercial Loans, Commercial Real Estate and Automobile Loans	16	1	20.0	320
§ 373.16 Underwriting Standards for Qualifying Commercial Loans	6	1	1.25	7.5
§ 373.17 Underwriting Standards for Qualifying CRE Loans	6	1	1.25	7.5
§ 373.18 Underwriting Standards for Qualifying Automobile Loans	6	1	1.25	7.5
Total Estimated Disclosure Burden				1,359.25

¹ 73 FR 44620 (July 31, 2008).

SUMMARY OF ANNUAL BURDEN—Continued

	Estimated number of offerings	Estimated annual frequency	Estimated average hours per response	Estimated annual burden hours
Recordkeeping Burden				
Subpart B:				
§ 373.4 Standard Risk Retention—Horizontal Interest	1	1	0.5	0.5
§ 373.4 Standard Risk Retention—Vertical Interest	40	1	0.5	20
§ 373.4 Standard Risk Retention—Combined Interest	4	1	0.5	2
§ 373.5 Revolving Master Trusts	15	1	0.5	7.5
§ 373.6 Eligible ABCP Conduits	15	1	20.0	300
§ 373.7 Commercial MBS	15	1	30.0	450
Subpart C:				
§ 373.11 Allocation of Risk Retention to an Originator	3	1	20.0	60
Subpart D:				
§ 373.13 and .19(g) Exemption for Qualified Residential Mortgages	13	1	40.0	520
§ 373.15 Exemption for Qualifying Commercial Loans, Commercial Real Estate and Automobile Loans	16	1	0.5	8
§ 373.16 Underwriting Standards for Qualifying Commercial Loans	6	1	40.0	240
§ 373.17 Underwriting Standards for Qualifying CRE Loans	6	1	40.0	240
§ 373.18 Underwriting Standards for Qualifying Automobile Loans	6	1	400	240
Total Estimated Recordkeeping Burden				2,088
Total Estimated Annual Burden				3,447.25

There has been no change in the method or substance of this information collection. The above burden estimate is derived from FDIC’s estimate that there are currently approximately 1,400 annual offerings subject to the Credit Risk Retention rule (12 CFR part 373). The methodology used to estimate burden is fully detailed in the FDIC’s supporting statement for this information collection (3064–0183) available at https://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAViewDocument?ref_nbr=201501-3064-002.

General Description of Collection: This information collection request relates to the disclosure and recordkeeping requirements of 12 CFR part 373 (the Credit Risk Retention Rule) which implements section 15G of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934,² added by section 941 of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act³ (Section 941). The Credit Risk Retention Rule was jointly issued by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (“FDIC”), the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (“OCC”), the Federal Reserve Board (“Board”), the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) and, with respect to the portions of the Rule addressing the securitization of residential mortgages, the Federal Housing Finance Agency (“FHFA”) and the Department of Housing and Urban Development (“HUD”).

Section 941 requires the Board, the FDIC, the OCC (collectively, the “Federal banking agencies”), the Commission and, in the case of the securitization of any “residential mortgage asset,” together with HUD and FHFA, to jointly prescribe regulations that (i) require a securitizer to retain not less than five percent of the credit risk of any asset that the securitizer, through the issuance of an asset-backed security (“ABS”), transfers, sells or conveys to a third party, and (ii) prohibit a securitizer from directly or indirectly hedging or otherwise transferring the credit risk that the securitizer is required to retain under section 941 and the agencies’ implementing rules.

The Credit Risk Retention Rule provides a menu of credit risk retention options from which securitizers can choose and sets out the standards, including disclosure and recordkeeping requirements, for each option; identifies the eligibility criteria, including certification and disclosure requirements, that must be met for asset-backed securities (ABS) offerings to qualify for certain exemptions; specifies the underwriting standards for commercial real estate (CRE) loans, commercial loans and automobile loans, as well as disclosure, certification and recordkeeping requirements, that must be met for ABS issuances collateralized by such loans to qualify for reduced credit risk retention; and sets forth the circumstances under which retention obligations may be allocated by sponsors to originators, including

disclosure and monitoring requirements. The recordkeeping requirements relate primarily to (i) the adoption and maintenance of various policies and procedures to ensure and monitor compliance with regulatory requirements and (ii) certifications, including as to the effectiveness of internal supervisory controls. The required disclosures for each risk retention option are intended to provide investors with material information concerning the sponsor’s retained interest in a securitization transaction (e.g., the amount, form and nature of the retained interest, material assumptions and methodology, representations and warranties). The agencies believe that the disclosure and recordkeeping requirements will enhance market discipline, help ensure the quality of the assets underlying a securitization, and assist investors in evaluating transactions.

3. **Title:** Disclosure Requirements Associated with the Supplementary Leverage Ratio.

OMB Number: 3064–0196.

Form Number: None.

Affected Public: Insured state nonmember banks and state savings associations that are subject to the FDIC’s advanced approaches risk-based capital rules.

Burden Estimate:

² 15 U.S.C. 78o–11.

³ Public Law 111–2–3, 124 Stat. 1376 (2010).

SUMMARY OF ANNUAL BURDEN

	Type of burden	Estimated number of respondents	Estimated time per response (hours)	Frequency of response	Total annual estimated burden hours
12 CFR 324.172 and 173	Disclosure	2	5	Quarterly	40
Total Estimated Annual Burden	40

There has been no change in the method or substance of this information collection. The number of institutions subject to the disclosure requirements has decreased from eight (8) to two (2).

General Description of Collection: The supplementary leverage ratio regulations strengthen the definition of total leverage exposure and improve the measure of a banking organization's on- and off-balance sheet exposures. The rules are generally consistent with the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision's 2014 revisions and promote consistency in the calculation of this ratio across jurisdictions. All banking organizations that are subject to the advanced approaches risk-based capital rules⁴ are required to disclose their supplementary leverage ratios.⁵ Advanced approaches banking organizations must report their supplementary leverage ratios on the applicable regulatory reports. The calculation and disclosure requirements for the supplementary leverage ratio in the federal banking agencies' regulatory capital rules are generally consistent with international standards published by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision. These disclosures enhance the transparency and consistency of reporting requirements for the supplementary leverage ratio by all internationally active organizations.

Request for Comment

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the collections of information are necessary for the proper performance of the FDIC's functions, including whether the information has practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the estimates of the burdens of the information collections, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collections of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. All comments will become a matter of public record.

⁴ 12 CFR 324.100(b)(1).

⁵ 12 CFR 324.10(c), 324.172(d), and 324.173.

Dated at Washington, DC, on May 22, 2018.

Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

Robert E. Feldman,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018-11292 Filed 5-24-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6714-01-P

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

Notice of Agreements Filed

The Commission hereby gives notice of the filing of the following agreements under the Shipping Act of 1984. Interested parties may submit comments on the agreements to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, DC 20573, within twelve days of the date this notice appears in the **Federal Register**. Copies of the agreements are available through the Commission's website (www.fmc.gov) or by contacting the Office of Agreements at (202)-523-5793 or tradeanalysis@fmc.gov.

Agreement No.: 012463-002.

Title: Maersk/MSK/HMM Strategic Cooperation Agreement.

Parties: Maersk Line A/S, Mediterranean Shipping Company S.A., and Hyundai Merchant Marine Co., Ltd.

Filing Party: Wayne Rohde; Cozen O'Connor; 1200 19th Street NW, Washington, DC 20036.

Synopsis: The amendment deletes the trade between North Europe and the U.S. Atlantic Coast from the scope of the Agreement and removes all provisions related to that trade from the Agreement. The amendment also increases the amount of space to be exchanged by the parties in the FE-USWC trade and the amount of space to be chartered in the FE-USEC trade. Finally, it reflects an increase in the number and size of vessels to be operated by HMM.

Agreement No.: 012476-001.

Title: Maersk/HLAG/CMA CGM ECUS-WCSA Slot Charter Agreement.

Parties: Maersk Line A/S, Hapag-Lloyd AG, and CMA CGM S.A.

Filing Party: Wayne Rohde; Cozen O'Connor; 1200 19th Street NW, Washington, DC 20036.

Synopsis: The amendment deletes Hamburg Sudamerkanische

Dampschiffahrts-Gesellschaft KG as a party and replaces it with Maersk Line A/S, extends the initial term of the Agreement, changes the name of the Agreement, and restates the Agreement.

Agreement No.: 201251.

Title: Hapag-Lloyd/Maersk Line Slot Exchange Agreement.

Parties: Hapag-Lloyd AG and Maersk Line A/S.

Filing Party: Wayne Rohde; Cozen O'Connor; 1200 19th Street NW, Washington, DC 20036.

Synopsis: The Agreement authorizes the parties to exchange space in the trade between the U.S. Gulf Coast and ports in Argentina, Brazil, Colombia, the Dominican Republic, Mexico, Panama and Uruguay. The parties have requested Expedited Review.

Agreement No.: 201252.

Title: Marine Terminal Services Agreement between Port of Houston Authority and Mediterranean Shipping Co. S.A.

Parties: Port of Houston Authority and MSC Mediterranean Shipping Company S.A.

Filing Party: Chasless Yancy; Port of Houston Authority; 111 East Loop North; Houston, TX 77029.

Synopsis: The Agreement sets forth certain discounted rates and charges applicable to MSC's container vessels calling at the Port of Houston Authority's Barbours Cut and Bayport Container Terminals. The Agreement will commence upon filing with the Federal Maritime Commission, and the term of the Agreement is for 10 years following such filing, with an option to jointly agree upon a five-year extension.

Agreement No.: 201253.

Title: Marine Terminal Services Agreement between Port of Houston Authority and Hapag-Lloyd AG.

Parties: Port of Houston Authority and Hapag-Lloyd AG.

Filing Party: Chasless Yancy; Port of Houston Authority; 111 East Loop North; Houston, TX 77029.

Synopsis: The Agreement sets forth certain discounted rates and charges applicable to Hapag-Lloyd's container vessels calling at the Port of Houston Authority's Barbours Cut and Bayport Container Terminals. Hapag Lloyd (America) LLC is the authorized agent