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DOD has established force health protection and surveillance policies to assess and reduce or prevent health risks for its deployed federal civilian personnel, but it lacks procedures to ensure implementation. Our review of over 3,400 deployment records at eight component locations found that components lacked documentation that some federal civilian personnel who deployed to Afghanistan and Iraq had received, among other things, required pre- and post-deployment health assessments and immunizations. These deficiencies were most prevalent at Air Force and Navy locations, and one Army location. As a larger issue, DOD lacked complete and centralized data to readily identify its deployed federal civilians and their movement in theater, further hindering its efforts to assess the overall effectiveness of its force health protection and surveillance capabilities. In August 2006, DOD issued a revised policy which outlined procedures that are intended to address these shortcomings. However, these procedures are not comprehensive enough to ensure that DOD will know the extent to which its components are complying with existing health protection requirements. In particular, the procedures do not establish an oversight and quality assurance mechanism for assessing the implementation of its force health protection and surveillance requirements. Until DOD establishes a mechanism to strengthen its force health protection and surveillance oversight, it will not be effectively positioned to ensure compliance with its policies, or the health care and protection of deployed federal civilians.

DOD has also established medical treatment policies for its deployed federal civilians which provide those who require treatment for injuries or diseases sustained during overseas hostilities with care that is equivalent in scope to that provided to active duty military personnel under the DOD military health system. GAO reviewed a sample of seven workers' compensation claims (out of a universe of 83) filed under the Federal Employees’ Compensation Act by DOD federal civilians who deployed to Iraq. GAO found in three cases where care was initiated in theater, that the affected civilians had received treatment in accordance with DOD’s policies. In all seven cases, DOD federal civilians who requested care after returning to the United States had, in accordance with DOD’s policies, received medical examinations and/or treatment for their deployment-related injuries or diseases through either military or civilian treatment facilities.

DOD provides certain special pays and benefits to its deployed federal civilians, which generally differ in type and/or amount from those provided to deployed military personnel. For example, both civilian and military personnel are eligible to receive disability benefits for deployment-related injuries; however, the type and amount of these benefits vary, and some are unique to each group. Further, while the survivors of deceased federal civilian and military personnel generally receive similar types of cash survivor benefits, the comparative amounts of these benefits differ.
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To strengthen DOD’s force health protection and surveillance for its deployed federal civilians, GAO recommends that the Secretary of Defense establish an oversight and quality assurance mechanism to ensure that all components fully comply with its requirements. In commenting on a draft of this report, DOD partially concurred with GAO’s recommendation.
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September 29, 2006

Congressional Committees

As the Department of Defense (DOD) has expanded its involvement in overseas military operations, it has grown increasingly reliant on its federal civilian workforce to provide support in times of war or national emergency.\(^1\) In recent years, DOD has undertaken efforts to expand the use of its civilian workforce to perform combat support functions traditionally performed by military personnel. Since fiscal year 2004, it has been in the process of converting thousands of military positions to civilian positions, with additional conversions planned in the future. DOD's 2006 *Quadrennial Defense Review Report* has acknowledged the department's growing dependence on its civilian personnel to support contingency operations beyond Afghanistan and Iraq.

However, DOD’s use of its civilian personnel to support military operations has long raised questions about its policies relating to the deployment of civilians in support of contingency operations. In 1994, we reported on the adequacy of DOD’s planning for the future use of civilian personnel to support military operations in combat areas and noted a number of problems in deploying civilians to the Gulf War and caring for them in theater.\(^2\) For example, we noted that many civilians had not been screened to ensure that they were medically fit to serve in desert conditions. Thus, some had arrived in the desert with medical and physical limitations, such as severe heart problems and kidney disorders that precluded them from effectively performing their duties. Other problems, while not as grave, indicated a lack of preparation for civilians in theater. For example, clear procedures did not exist to ensure that civilians received medical care comparable to that received by military personnel. In addition, procedures were not in place to provide for overtime or danger pay that the deployed civilians were eligible to receive. Questions

\(^1\) DOD’s civilian workforce includes federal government employees, foreign nationals hired directly or indirectly to work for DOD, and contractor personnel. This review focuses on DOD's federal government employees, whom we refer to in this report as DOD's federal civilians.

had also existed concerning whether civilian life insurance policies contained war exclusion clauses that would preclude survivors of deceased civilian personnel from receiving accidental death benefits if civilians were killed while deployed in support of the Gulf War.

The Senate Armed Services Committee required us to examine DOD’s policies concerning its federal civilians who deploy in support of contingency operations in Afghanistan and Iraq. In accordance with that requirement and agreements with your offices, we examined the extent to which DOD has established and the military services and one defense agency (hereafter referred to as DOD components) have implemented (1) force health protection and surveillance policies for the department’s deployed federal civilians and (2) medical treatment policies and procedures for the department’s deployed federal civilians who require treatment for injuries and diseases. In addition, per your request, we examined the special pays and benefits provided to DOD’s deployed federal civilian personnel, including the extent to which these special pays and benefits differ from those provided to deployed active duty military personnel. We provided briefings on the preliminary results of our work to congressional committees in March 2006. This report updates our preliminary observations and provides further information regarding DOD federal civilian deployments to Afghanistan and Iraq.

To determine the extent to which DOD has established force health protection and surveillance policies for its deployed federal civilians, we reviewed DOD deployment health requirements for contingency operations in Afghanistan and Iraq and discussed these policies with the appropriate DOD officials. Our review focused on DOD federal civilians who (1) deployed to Afghanistan or Iraq for 30 continuous days or more between June 1, 2003, and September 30, 2005, and (2) returned to the United States by February 28, 2006. Because DOD had difficulty identifying the total number of federal civilians who had deployed to

---


4 For this report, we examined the Departments of the Army, Navy, and Air Force, and the Defense Contract Management Agency (DCMA). We selected DCMA because it deployed the largest numbers of federal civilian personnel compared to other defense agencies.

5 For the purposes of health surveillance, DOD considers a deployment to be 30 continuous days or greater to a land-based location outside the continental United States that does not have a permanent U.S. military treatment facility. We selected these deployment dates to incorporate DOD's most recent changes to the post-deployment health assessment criteria.
Afghanistan or Iraq, we assessed the implementation of DOD’s deployment health requirements at eight component locations that were selected using a number of approaches. Given that DOD components have flexibility in where they conduct deployment processing, we selected the locations for our review accordingly. Specifically, the Army uses a centralized approach, deploying its federal civilians at three primary locations; therefore, we selected all three locations for review. By contrast, the Navy and Air Force use a decentralized approach, deploying their federal civilians at their home stations. For these components, we selected five locations based on data which indicated that these locations had deployed the largest numbers of federal civilian personnel to Afghanistan and Iraq. Through an informal agreement between DCMA and Army, the Army processes DCMA’s federal civilians for deployment through two of the Army’s three deployment locations. Therefore, DCMA deployment data in this report are included in the Army results to the extent that DCMA federal civilian deployments were documented at the two relevant Army locations. At all eight component locations, we reviewed either all available hard copy or electronic deployment records, or in one instance, a sample of the deployment records for deployed federal civilian personnel who met our criteria above. In total, we reviewed hard copies of records for 454 (out of the reported 822) federal civilian deployments at seven component locations and electronic records for 2,977 (out of the reported 2,977) federal civilian deployments at the other location where all deployment records were being maintained electronically. Deployed federal civilians included in our review may have deployed more than once during our selected deployment time frame; consequently, there may be fewer unique civilians than deployments reviewed. The results of these deployment record reviews, however, could not be projected beyond the reviewed records to all federal civilians who had deployed during this time frame. To facilitate our review of federal civilian deployment records at the selected component locations, we developed a data collection instrument to review and collect deployment health information from each individual civilian’s deployment record. After our review of hard copy deployment records, we requested each component’s medical personnel to reexamine those hard copy deployment records that were missing required health documentation, and we adjusted our results where documentation was subsequently provided. We also obtained and analyzed other documentation from information systems used by the components to capture deployment and related health information, making adjustments to our results where documentation was subsequently found in the systems. We took steps to assess the reliability of the civilian deployment and related health data for the purposes of this review, including consideration of issues such as the completeness of the data.
from the respective information systems’ program managers and administrators. We also examined whether the data were subjected to quality control measures, such as periodic testing of the data against deployment records to ensure the accuracy and completeness of the data. In addition, we reviewed existing documentation related to the data sources and interviewed knowledgeable agency officials about the data. Although we found these deployment and health data not sufficiently reliable for (1) identifying the universe of deployed DOD federal civilians or (2) use as the sole source for reviewing the health and immunization information for DOD federal civilian deployments, we found the data in the information systems sufficiently reliable when used as one of several sources in our review of deployment records. In those instances where we did not find evidence of a deployment health assessment or immunization in either the deployment records or in the electronic data systems, we concluded that the health assessment or immunization was not documented.

To determine the extent to which DOD and the selected components had established medical treatment policies for deployed federal civilian personnel who required treatment for injuries, illnesses, and diseases, we analyzed DOD policies and guidance related to defense health care and discussed these with senior DOD and service surgeon general officials. To assess the implementation of the policies, we requested all of the workers’ compensation claims that had been filed under the Federal Employees’ Compensation Act by DOD federal civilians who had deployed to Afghanistan and Iraq. However, our actual review of claims filed by DOD federal civilian personnel was limited to those who had deployed to Iraq because the responsible DOD officials were unable to identify the specific claims that had been filed by those federal civilians who had deployed to Afghanistan. We selected and reviewed a non-probability sample of workers’ compensation claims to reflect a range of casualties, including injuries, physical and mental illnesses, and diseases. The scope of our review did not extend to the Department of Labor’s claims review process, which covers the workers’ compensation claims process.

To determine the types of and differences in special pays and benefits provided to DOD federal civilian and military personnel who deploy in

---

6 The Federal Employees’ Compensation Act is a comprehensive workers’ compensation law for federal employees that calls for medical coverage and compensation for federal employees with injuries and occupational illnesses incurred in the performance of their duties.
support of contingency operations in Afghanistan and Iraq, we examined
the major provisions for special pays and disability and death benefits for
civilian and military personnel, relying primarily on statutes, Department
of State regulations, and DOD guidance. To illustrate how special pays
affected overall compensation provided to DOD federal civilian and
military personnel, we modeled scenarios for both groups based on similar
circumstances, such as pay grades, length of deployment, and special pays.
With regard to disability benefits, we compared benefits provided to DOD
federal civilians for line-of-duty injuries with benefits provided to military
personnel for service-connected injuries, focusing on three main
categories of disability: (1) temporary disability, (2) permanent partial
disability, and (3) permanent total disability. With regard to death benefits,
we primarily reviewed statutes governing both cash and noncash
government-provided benefits. In this report, we do not take a position
regarding the adequacy or appropriateness of the special pays and benefits
provided to DOD federal civilian and military personnel.

We performed our review from March 2006 through August 2006 in
accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. For
more detailed information on our scope and methodology, see appendix I.

Results in Brief

DOD has established force health protection and surveillance policies
aimed at assessing and reducing or preventing health risks for its deployed
federal civilian personnel, but it lacks procedures to ensure the
components’ full implementation of these policies. Our review of
deployment records at eight locations found that the components lacked
documentation to show that some federal civilian personnel who deployed
to Afghanistan and Iraq had received, among other things, required pre-
and post-deployment health assessments and immunizations. These
deficiencies were most prevalent at Air Force and Navy locations, and at
one Army location. As a larger issue, DOD lacked complete and
centralized data to ensure that it could identify its deployed civilians and
track their movement in theater, further hindering its efforts to assess the
overall effectiveness of its force health protection and surveillance
capabilities. As a positive step, in August 2006, DOD issued a revised
policy (to be effective in December 2006) that outlines procedures for
addressing these shortcomings. However, the procedures are not
comprehensive enough to ensure that DOD will be sufficiently informed of
the extent to which its components are complying with the department’s
health protection requirements for deployed federal civilians. In particular,
the procedures do not establish an oversight and quality assurance
mechanism to enable DOD to effectively assess and ensure the full
implementation of its force health protection requirements. Until DOD establishes an oversight and quality control mechanism to strengthen its force health protection and surveillance oversight, it will not be effectively positioned to ensure the components’ compliance with its policies, or ensure the health care and protection of its deployed federal civilian personnel.

DOD has also established medical treatment policies that cover its federal civilians while they are deployed in support of contingency operations in Afghanistan and Iraq, and selected workers’ compensation claims that we reviewed confirmed that those deployed federal civilians received care that was consistent with the policies. These policies state that DOD civilians who require treatment for injuries, illnesses, or diseases sustained during overseas hostilities may be provided care equivalent in scope to that provided to active duty military personnel under the DOD military health system. In this regard, DOD’s military health system provides four levels of medical care to military service personnel, as well as DOD federal civilians, while they are deployed in support of contingency operations in Afghanistan or Iraq—ranging from level one, which consists of basic first aid and emergency care in theater, to level four, involving medical treatment at DOD’s Regional Medical Center in Landstuhl, Germany. Our review of a sample of seven workers’ compensation claims (out of a universe of 83) filed under the Federal Employees’ Compensation Act by DOD federal civilians who deployed to Iraq, found that in three cases where care was initiated in theater, the affected civilians had received treatment in accordance with DOD’s policies. Further, DOD’s policies state that civilian personnel who require treatment for deployment-related injuries or illnesses after they return to the United States may select either a qualified local physician or hospital of their choice, or a military medical treatment facility to provide the necessary treatment. We found that in the seven cases reviewed, DOD federal civilians who requested care after returning to the United States, had, in accordance with DOD’s policies, received medical examinations and/or treatment for their deployment-related injuries or illnesses and diseases through either military or civilian treatment facilities.

DOD provides certain special pays and benefits to its deployed federal civilians which generally differ in type and/or amount from those provided to deployed military personnel. For example, in cases where injuries are sustained while deployed, both DOD federal civilian and military
personnel are eligible to receive two broad categories of disability benefits—disability compensation and disability retirement; however, the type and amount of the benefits vary, and some are unique to each group. In addition, while survivors of deceased DOD federal civilian and military personnel generally receive similar types of cash survivor benefits for Social Security, burial expenses, and death gratuity, the comparative amounts of these benefits differ. However, survivors of DOD federal civilian personnel almost always receive lower noncash benefits than military personnel.

In written comments on a draft of this report, DOD partially concurred with our recommendation to establish an oversight and quality assurance mechanism to ensure that the components comply with its force health protection and surveillance requirements. The department outlined several steps it is taking to determine appropriate implementation of our recommendation but took issue with some of our specific findings. DOD’s comments and our evaluation of them are discussed in the agency comments section of this report.

**Background**

The structure of the armed forces is based on the Total Force concept, which recognizes that all elements of the structure—active duty military personnel, reservists, defense contractors, host nation military and civilian personnel, and DOD federal civilian employees—contribute to national defense. In recent years, federal civilian personnel have deployed along with military personnel to participate in Operations Joint Endeavor, conducted in the countries of Bosnia-Herzegovina, Croatia, and Hungary; Joint Guardian, in Kosovo; and Desert Storm, in Southwest Asia. Further, since the beginning of the Global War on Terrorism, the role of DOD’s
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7 Under workers’ compensation and veterans’ compensation programs, benefits typically include medical treatment for the injury, vocational rehabilitation services, and cash payment to replace a percentage of the individual’s loss in wages while injured and unable to work.

8 Disability retirement programs typically provide benefits that allow qualified individuals who are unable to return to work to retire earlier and/or to retire with a higher percentage of their pre-injury salary level than would otherwise be permitted with normal retirement based on age and length of service at the time of injury.
DOD relies on the federal civilian personnel it deploys to support a range of essential missions, including intelligence collection, criminal investigations, and weapon systems acquisition and maintenance. To ensure that its federal civilian employees will deploy to combat zones and perform critical combat support functions in theater, DOD established the emergency-essential program in 1985. Under this program, DOD designates as “emergency-essential” those civilian employees whose positions are required to ensure the success of combat operations or the availability of combat-essential systems. DOD can deploy federal civilian employees either on a voluntary or involuntary basis to accomplish the DOD mission.

DOD has established force health protection and surveillance policies aimed at assessing and reducing or preventing health risks for its deployed federal civilian personnel; however, the department lacks procedures to ensure the components’ full implementation of its policies. In reviewing DOD federal civilian deployment records and other electronic documentation at selected component locations, we found that these components lacked documentation to show that they had fully complied with DOD’s force health protection and surveillance policy requirements for some federal civilian personnel who deployed to Afghanistan and Iraq. As a larger issue, DOD’s policies did not require the centralized collection of data on the identity of its deployed civilians, their movements in theater, or their health status, further hindering its efforts to assess the overall effectiveness of its force health protection and surveillance capabilities. In August 2006, DOD issued a revised policy (to be effective in December 2006) that outlines procedures to address its lack of centralized deployment and health-related data. However, the procedures are not comprehensive enough to ensure that DOD will be sufficiently informed of

---

9Operation Enduring Freedom includes ongoing operations in Afghanistan and in certain other countries; Operation Iraqi Freedom includes ongoing operations in Iraq.

10In addition to DOD federal civilian deployment records, other documentation reviewed included data from information systems used by the components to capture deployment and related health information. Although we found these data not to be sufficiently reliable for (1) identifying the universe of DOD federal civilian deployments or (2) use as the sole source for reviewing the health and immunization information for all DOD federal civilian deployments, we found the information systems to be sufficiently reliable when used as one of several sources in our review of deployment records.
the extent to which its components fully comply with its requirements to monitor the health of deployed federal civilians.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DOD Components Did Not Always Implement All Force Health Protection and Surveillance Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-deployment health assessments</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DOD's force health protection and surveillance policies require the components to assess the medical condition of federal civilians to ensure that only medically fit personnel deploy outside of the United States as part of a contingency or combat operation. The policies stipulate that all deploying civilian personnel are to complete pre-deployment health assessment forms within 30 days of their deployments, and health care providers are to review the assessments to confirm the civilians' health readiness status and identify any needs for additional clinical evaluations prior to their deployments.

While the components that we included in our review had procedures in place that would enable them to implement DOD's pre-deployment health assessment policies, it was not clear to what extent they had done so. Our review of deployment records and other documentation at the selected component locations found that these components lacked documentation to show that some federal civilian personnel who deployed to Afghanistan and Iraq had received the required pre-deployment health assessments. For those deployed federal civilians in our review, we found that, overall, a

---

small number of deployment records (52 out of 3,771) were missing
documentation to show that they had received their pre-deployment health
assessments, as reflected in table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Number of federal civilian deployment records reviewed</th>
<th>Number (and percent) with no documentation in either records or data files</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Army</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Benning CONUS Replacement Center(^a)</td>
<td>578</td>
<td>2 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Bliss CONUS Replacement Center(^b)</td>
<td>2,977(^a)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Transatlantic Programs Center</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>2 (1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>3,682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Navy</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naval Air Depot Cherry Point</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>19 (36.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Air Force</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrews Air Force Base</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9 (90.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hill Air Force Base</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5 (62.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hurlburt Field</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11 (91.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wright-Patterson Air Force Base</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4 (57.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grand Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>3,771(^c)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: GAO analysis of documentation from DOD federal civilian deployment records and component medical databases.

Note: CONUS refers to the continental United States.

\(^a\)DCMA federal civilians deployed through Forts Benning and Bliss CONUS Replacement Centers. At Fort Benning, we selected a probability sample of 238 out of 606 deployment records for deployed federal civilians; consequently, the numbers and percentages shown are weighted estimates to provide 95 percent confidence with a margin of error of 5 percentage points.

\(^b\)Although the Army deploys its federal civilian personnel at three primary sites, Fort Bliss deployed the largest number of federal civilians during our time frame. We reviewed the entire universe of deployment records for federal civilian personnel deployed from this location because the records were being maintained electronically, which facilitated the review of all records. According to the program manager and database administrator, the quality of these data, in terms of their completeness and accuracy, is questionable because there are no assurances that all DOD federal civilian personnel who deployed are included in the database.

\(^c\)Deployed federal civilians included in our review may have deployed more than once during our deployment time frame; consequently, there may be fewer than 3,771 unique federal civilians.
As shown in table 1, the federal civilian deployment records we included in our review showed wide variation by location regarding documentation of pre-deployment health assessments, ranging from less than 1 percent to more than 90 percent. On an aggregate component-level basis, at the Navy location in our review, we found that documentation was missing for 19 of the 52 records in our review. At the Air Force locations, documentation was missing for 29 of the 37 records in our review. In contrast, all three Army locations had hard copy or electronic records which indicated that almost all of their federal deployed civilians had received pre-deployment health assessments.

**Pre-deployment Immunizations**

In addition to completing pre-deployment health assessment forms, DOD’s force health protection and surveillance policies stipulate that all DOD deploying federal civilians receive theater-specific immunizations to address possible health threats in deployment locations.\(^{12}\) Immunizations required for all civilian personnel who deploy to Afghanistan and Iraq include: hepatitis A (two-shot series); tetanus-diphtheria (within 10 years of deployment); smallpox (within 5 years of deployment); typhoid; and influenza (within the last 12 months of deployment).

As reflected in table 2, based on the deployment records maintained by the components at locations included in our review, the overall number of federal civilian deployment records lacking documentation of only one of the required immunizations for deployment to Afghanistan and Iraq was 285 out of 3,771. However, 3,313 of the records we reviewed were missing documentation of two or more immunizations.

### Table 2: DOD Federal Civilian Deployment Records Lacking Documentation of Required Immunizations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Number of federal civilian deployment records reviewed</th>
<th>Number (and percent) missing only one immunization</th>
<th>Number (and percent) missing two or more immunizations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Army</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Benning CONUS Replacement Center&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>578</td>
<td>246 (42.6)</td>
<td>195 (33.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Bliss CONUS Replacement Center&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2,977</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>2,977 (100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Army Corps of Engineers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transatlantic Programs Center</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>25 (19.7)</td>
<td>85 (66.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3,682</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>3,257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naval Air Depot Cherry Point</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>8 (15.4)</td>
<td>39 (75.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Force</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrews Air Force Base</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2 (20.0)</td>
<td>7 (70.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hill Air Force Base</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>3 (37.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hurlburt Field</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3 (25.0)</td>
<td>3 (25.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wright-Patterson Air Force Base</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1 (14.3)</td>
<td>4 (57.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>3,771&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>3,313</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: GAO analysis of documentation from DOD federal civilian deployment records and component medical databases.

Note: CONUS refers to the continental United States.

<sup>a</sup>DCMA federal civilians deployed through Forts Benning and Bliss CONUS Replacement Centers. At Fort Benning, we selected a probability sample of 238 out of 606 deployment records for deployed federal civilians; consequently, the numbers and percentages shown are weighted estimates to provide 95 percent confidence with a margin of error of 5 percentage points.

<sup>b</sup>Although the Army deploys its federal civilian personnel at three primary sites, Fort Bliss deployed the largest number of federal civilians during our time frame. We reviewed the entire universe of deployment records for federal civilian personnel deployed from this location because the records were being maintained electronically, which facilitated the review of all records. According to the program manager and database administrator, the quality of these data, in terms of their completeness and accuracy, is questionable because there are no assurances that all DOD federal civilian personnel who deployed are included in the database.

<sup>c</sup>Deployed federal civilians included in our review may have deployed more than once during our deployment time frame; consequently, there may be fewer than 3,771 unique federal civilians.

At the Army’s Fort Bliss, our review of its electronic deployment data determined that none of its deployed federal civilians had documentation
to show that they had received immunizations. Officials at this location stated that they believed some immunizations had been given; however, they could not provide documentation as evidence of this.

DOD policies require deploying federal civilians to receive certain screenings, such as for tuberculosis and HIV.\textsuperscript{13} Table 3 indicates that 55 of the 3,771 federal civilian deployment records included in our review were lacking documentation of the required tuberculosis screening; and approximately 35 were lacking documentation of HIV screenings prior to deployment.

Table 3: DOD Federal Civilian Deployment Records Lacking Documentation of Current Tuberculosis or HIV Screenings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Number of federal civilian deployment records reviewed</th>
<th>Number (and percent) missing tuberculosis screening</th>
<th>Number (and percent) missing HIV screening</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Army</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Benning CONUS Replacement Center&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>578</td>
<td>2 (0.3)</td>
<td>12 (2.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Bliss CONUS Replacement Center&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2,977&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3 (0.1)</td>
<td>2 (0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Transatlantic Programs Center</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>28 (22.0)</td>
<td>2 (1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>3,682</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Navy</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naval Air Depot Cherry Point</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>10 (19.2)</td>
<td>10 (19.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>52</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Air Force</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrews Air Force Base</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6 (60.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hill Air Force Base</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5 (62.5)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hurlburt Field</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1 (8.3)</td>
<td>8 (66.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USAF Wright-Patterson</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>1 (14.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>37</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grand Total</strong></td>
<td>3,771&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: GAO analysis of documentation from DOD federal civilian deployment records and component medical databases.

Note: CONUS refers to the continental United States.

<sup>a</sup>DCMA federal civilians deployed through Forts Benning and Bliss CONUS Replacement Centers. At Fort Benning, we selected a probability sample of 238 out of 606 deployment records for deployed federal civilians; consequently, the numbers and percentages shown are weighted estimates to provide 95 percent confidence with a margin of error of 5 percentage points.

<sup>b</sup>Although the Army deploys its federal civilian personnel at three primary sites, Fort Bliss deployed the largest number of federal civilians during our time frame. We reviewed the entire universe of deployment records for federal civilian personnel deployed from this location because the records were being maintained electronically, which facilitated the review of all records. According to the program manager and database administrator, the quality of these data, in terms of their completeness and accuracy, is questionable because there are no assurances that all civilian personnel who deployed are included in the database.

<sup>c</sup>Deployed federal civilians included in our review may have deployed more than once during our deployment time frame; consequently, there may be fewer than 3,771 unique federal civilians.
DOD’s force health protection and surveillance policies also require returning DOD federal civilian personnel to undergo post-deployment health assessments to document current health status, experiences, environmental exposures, and health concerns related to their work while deployed.\(^\text{14}\) The post-deployment process begins within 5 days of civilians’ redeployment from the theater to their home or demobilization processing stations. DOD’s policies require civilian personnel to complete a post-deployment assessment that includes questions on health and exposure concerns. A health care provider is to review each assessment and recommend additional clinical evaluation or treatment as needed.

As reflected in table 4, our review of deployment records at the selected component locations found that these components lacked documentation to show that most deployed federal civilians (3,525 out of 3,771) who deployed to Afghanistan and Iraq had received the required post-deployment health assessments upon their return to the United States. Federal civilian deployment records lacking evidence of post-deployment health assessments ranged from 3 at the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Transatlantic Programs Center and Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, respectively, to 2,977 at Fort Bliss.

---

Table 4: DOD Federal Civilian Deployment Records Lacking Documentation of Post-deployment Health Assessments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Number of federal civilian deployment records reviewed</th>
<th>Number (and percent) with no documentation in records or data files</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Army</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Benning CONUS Replacement Center</td>
<td>578</td>
<td>502 (86.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Bliss CONUS Replacement Center</td>
<td>2,977</td>
<td>2,977 (100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Transatlantic Programs Center</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>3 (2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>3,682</td>
<td>3,482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Navy</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naval Air Depot Cherry Point</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>15 (28.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>52</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Air Force</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrews Air Force Base</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9 (90.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hill Air Force Base</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6 (75.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hurlburt Field</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10 (83.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wright-Patterson Air Force Base</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3 (42.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>37</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grand Total</strong></td>
<td>3,771</td>
<td>3,525</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: GAO analysis of documentation from DOD federal civilian deployment records and component medical databases.

Note: CONUS refers to the continental United States.

aDCMA federal civilians deployed through Forts Benning and Bliss CONUS Replacement Centers. At Fort Benning, we selected a probability sample of 238 out of 606 deployment records for deployed federal civilians; consequently, the numbers and percentages shown are weighted estimates to provide 95 percent confidence with a margin of error of 5 percentage points.

bAlthough the Army deploys its federal civilian personnel at three primary sites, Fort Bliss deployed the largest number of federal civilians during our time frame. We reviewed the entire universe of deployment records for federal civilian personnel deployed from this location because the records were being maintained electronically, which facilitated the review of all records. According to the program manager and database administrator, the quality of these data, in terms of their completeness and accuracy, is questionable because there are no assurances that all civilian personnel who deployed are included in the database.

cDeployed federal civilians included in our review may have deployed more than once during our deployment time frame; consequently, there may be fewer than 3,771 unique federal civilians.
Beyond the aforementioned weaknesses found in the selected
components’ implementation of force health protection and surveillance
requirements for deploying federal civilians, as a larger issue, DOD lacks
comprehensive, centralized data that would enable it to readily identify its
deployed civilians, track their movements in theater, or monitor their
health status, further hindering efforts to assess the overall effectiveness
of its force health protection and surveillance capabilities. The Defense
Manpower Data Center (DMDC) is responsible for maintaining the
department’s centralized system that currently collects location-specific
deployment information for military servicemembers, such as grid
coordinates, latitude/longitude coordinates, or geographic location codes.\(^\text{15}\)
However, DOD has not taken steps to similarly maintain centralized data
on its deployed federal civilians. In addition, DOD had not provided
guidance that would require its components to track and report data on
the locations and movements of DOD federal civilian personnel in theaters
of operations. In the absence of such a requirement, each DOD component
collected and reported aggregated data that identified the total number of
DOD federal civilian personnel in a theater of operations, but each lacked
the ability to gather, analyze, and report information that could be used to
specifically identify individuals at risk for occupational and environmental
exposures during deployments.

In previously reporting on the military services’ implementation of DOD’s
force health protection and surveillance policies in 2003, we highlighted
the importance of knowing the identity of servicemembers who deployed
during a given operation and of tracking their movements within the
theater of operations as major elements of a military medical surveillance
system.\(^\text{16}\) We further noted the Institute of Medicine’s finding that
documentation on the location of units and individuals during a given
deployment is important for epidemiological studies and appropriate
medical care during and after deployments. For example, this information
allows epidemiologists to study the incidences of disease patterns across
populations of deployed servicemembers who may have been exposed to
diseases and hazards within the theater, and health care professionals to
treat their medical problems appropriately. Without location-specific
information for all of its deployed federal civilians and centralized data in


its department-level system, DOD limits its ability to ensure that sufficient and appropriate consideration will also be given to addressing the health care concerns of these individuals.

DOD also had not provided guidance to the components that would require them to forward completed deployment health assessments for all federal civilians to the Army Medical Surveillance Activity (AMSA), where these assessments are suppose to be archived in the Defense Medical Surveillance System (DMSS), integrated with other historical and current data on personnel and deployments, and used to monitor the health of personnel who participate in deployments. The overall success of deployment force protection and surveillance efforts, in large measure, depends on the completeness of health assessment data. The lack of such data may hamper DOD’s ability to intervene in a timely manner to address health care problems that may arise from DOD federal civilian deployments to overseas locations in support of contingency operations.

DOD Has Taken Steps to Address Policy Shortcomings, but Lacks Mechanism to Oversee Components’ Compliance

With increases in the department’s use of federal civilian personnel to support military operations, DOD officials have recognized the need for more complete and centralized location-specific deployment information and deployment-related health information on its deployed federal civilians. In this regard, in August 2006, the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness issued revised policy and program guidance that generally addressed the shortcomings in DOD’s force health protection and surveillance capabilities. The revised policy and guidance, scheduled to become effective in December 2006, require the components within 3 years, to electronically report (at least weekly) to DMDC, location-specific data for all deployed personnel, including federal civilians. In addition, the policy and guidance require the components to submit all completed health assessment forms to the AMSA for inclusion in DMSS.

Nonetheless, DOD’s new policy is not comprehensive enough to ensure that the department will be sufficiently informed of the extent to which its components are complying with existing health protection requirements for its deployed federal civilians. Although the policy requires DOD components to report certain location-specific and health data for all of

17 DOD Instruction 6490.3, Deployment Health, August 11, 2006 (to be effective December 2006).
their deployed personnel, including federal civilians, it does not establish an oversight and quality assurance mechanism for assessing and ensuring the full implementation of the force health protection and surveillance requirements by all DOD components that our prior work has identified as essential in providing care to military personnel.

In a September 2003 report on the Army’s and the Air Force’s compliance with force health protection policy for servicemembers, we noted that neither of the military services had fully complied with DOD’s force health protection and surveillance policies for many active duty servicemembers, including the policies requiring that servicemembers be assessed before and after deploying overseas and receive certain immunizations. We further noted that DOD, at that time, did not have an effective quality assurance program to provide oversight of, and ensure compliance with, the department’s force health protection and surveillance requirements, and that the lack of such a system was a major cause of the high rate of noncompliance that we identified at the units we visited. In response to a legislative mandate and our recommendation, DOD established an oversight mechanism to evaluate the success of its force health protection and surveillance policies in ensuring that servicemembers received pre- and post-deployment medical examinations and that record-keeping requirements were met. This oversight mechanism included (1) periodic site visits jointly conducted with staff from the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Health Affairs and staff from the military services to assess compliance with the deployment health requirements, (2) periodic reports from the services on their quality assurance programs, and (3) periodic reports from AMSA on health assessment data maintained in the centralized database. Until the department provides a similar oversight and quality assurance mechanism for its deployed federal civilians, it will not be effectively positioned to ensure compliance with its policies, or ensure the health care and protection of these individuals as they continue to support contingency operations.

18 GAO-03-1041.

19 10 U.S.C. § 1074f.
DOD has established medical treatment policies that cover its federal civilians while they are deployed to support contingency operations in Afghanistan and Iraq, and available workers’ compensation claims we reviewed confirmed that those deployed federal civilians received care consistent with the policies. These policies state that DOD federal civilians who require treatment for injuries or diseases sustained during overseas hostilities may be provided care under the DOD military health system. Thus, DOD’s deployed federal civilians may receive care through the military’s treatment facilities. As shown in figure 1, DOD’s military health system provides four levels of medical care to personnel who are injured or become ill while deployed.

Specifically, medical treatment during a military contingency begins with level one care, which consists of basic first aid and emergency care at a unit in the theater of operation. The treatment then moves to a second level of care, where, at an Aid station, injured or ill personnel are examined and evaluated to determine their priority for continued movement outside of the theater of operation and to the next (third) level

---

of care. At the third level, injured or ill personnel are treated in a medical installation staffed and equipped for resuscitation, surgery, and postoperative care. Finally, at the fourth level of care, which occurs far from the theater of operation, injured or ill personnel are treated in a hospital staffed and equipped for definitive care. Injured or ill DOD federal civilians deployed in support of contingency operations in Afghanistan and Iraq who require level four medical care are transported to DOD’s Regional Medical Center in Landstuhl, Germany.

Injured or ill DOD federal civilians who cannot be returned to duty in theater are evacuated to the United States for continuation of medical care. In these cases (or where previously deployed federal civilians later identify injuries or diseases and subsequently request medical treatment), DOD’s policy provides for its federal civilians who require treatment for deployment-related injuries or occupational illnesses to receive medical care through either the military’s medical treatment facilities or civilian facilities. The policy stipulates that federal civilians who are injured or become ill as a result of their deployment must file a Federal Employees’ Compensation Act (FECA) claim with DOD, which then files a claim with the Department of Labor’s Office of Workers’ Compensation Programs (OWCP).

The Department of Labor’s OWCP is responsible for making a decision to award or deny medical benefits. OWCP must establish—based on evidence provided by the DOD civilian—that the employee is eligible for workers’ compensation benefits due to the injury or disease for which the benefits are claimed. To obtain benefits under FECA, DOD federal civilians must show that (1) they were employed by the U.S. government, (2) they were injured (exposed) in the workplace, (3) they have filed a claim in a timely manner, (4) they have a disabling medical condition, and (5) there is a causal link between their medical condition and the injury or exposure. Three avenues of appeal are provided for DOD federal civilians in the event that the initial claim is denied: (1) reconsideration by an OWCP claims examiner, (2) a hearing or review of the written record by OWCP’s Branch of Hearings and Review, and (3) a review by the Employees’ Compensation Appeals Board. DOD’s medical treatment process and the OWCP’s claims process are shown in figure 2.

21 The Federal Employees’ Compensation Act, 5 U.S.C. §§ 8101 et seq., is a comprehensive workers’ compensation law for federal employees.
Figure 2: Medical Treatment and Claims Processes for DOD Federal Civilians Who Require Treatment for Deployment-Related Injuries or Diseases After They Return to the United States

DOD medical treatment process

DOD management notified of DOD federal civilian's traumatic injury or occupational disease

DOD federal civilian files a notice of traumatic injury

DOD management verifies claim information

DOD management authorizes medical care if needed at a military treatment facility if space available or at a civilian treatment facility

DOD federal civilian may seek initial medical treatment from a physician selected by the employee

DOD management sends claim to OWCP

OWCP claims process

OWCP reviews DOD federal civilian's claim

Request for additional information from DOD federal civilian and DOD

OWCP decides case

OWCP denies claim

OWCP accepts claim

OWCP notifies federal civilian of appeal process

DOD federal civilian appeals decision

DOD federal civilian accepts decision

Reconsideration by claims examiner

Oral hearing or review of written record by Branch of Hearings and Review

Appeal to Employees' Compensation Appeals Board

Source: GAO analysis.

Note: OWCP refers to the Office of Workers’ Compensation Programs.

Overall, the claims we reviewed showed that the DOD federal civilians who sustained injuries or diseases while deployed had received care that was consistent with DOD’s medical treatment policies. Specifically, in reviewing a sample of seven workers’ compensation claims (out of a universe of 83) filed under the Federal Employees’ Compensation Act by DOD federal civilians who deployed to Iraq, we found that in three cases where care was initiated in theater the affected federal civilians had
received treatment in accordance with DOD's policies. For example, in one case, a deployed federal civilian was treated for traumatic injuries at a hospital outside of the theater of operation and could not return to duty in theater because of the severity of the injuries sustained. The civilian was evacuated to the United States and received medical care through several of the military's medical treatment facilities as well as through a civilian facility. Further, in all seven claims that we reviewed, DOD federal civilians who requested medical care after returning to the United States, had, in accordance with DOD's policy, received initial medical examinations and/or treatment for their deployment-related injuries or illnesses and diseases through either military or civilian treatment facilities. While OWCP has primary responsibility for processing and approving all FECA claims for medical benefits, as noted earlier, the scope of our review did not include assessing actions taken by the Department of Labor’s OWCP in further processing workers’ compensation claims for injured or ill civilians and authorizing continuation of medical care once their claims were submitted for review.

Special Pays and Benefits Provided to Deployed DOD Federal Civilian and Military Personnel Generally Vary in Type and Amount

DOD provides a number of special pays and benefits to its federal civilian personnel who deploy in support of contingency operations, which are generally different in type and in amount from those provided to deployed military personnel. Both groups receive special pays, but the types and amounts differ. In our modeled scenarios, the overall amounts of compensation, which include special pays, were higher for DOD federal civilian personnel than for military personnel. DOD federal civilian personnel also receive different types and amounts of disability benefits, depending on specific program provisions and individual circumstances. Further, survivors of deceased DOD federal civilian and military personnel generally receive comparable types of cash survivor benefits—lump sum, recurring, or both—but benefit amounts differ for the two groups. Survivors of DOD federal civilian personnel, however, almost always receive lower noncash benefits than military personnel.
Deployed DOD Federal Civilian and Military Personnel Generally Receive Various Special Pays to Compensate Them for Conditions of Deployment, but the Types and Amounts Differ

DOD federal civilian and military personnel are both eligible to receive special pays to compensate them for the conditions of deployment. As shown in table 5, some of the types of special pays are similar for both DOD federal civilian and military personnel, although the amounts paid to each group differ. Other special pays were unique to each group.

Table 5: Overview of Selected Types of Special Pays for Deployed DOD Federal Civilian and Military Personnel

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of special pay</th>
<th>Civilian personnel</th>
<th>Military personnel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Premium pay</td>
<td>Overtime, night differential, Sunday/holiday work, compensatory time off</td>
<td>No equivalent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post differential (Civilian)</td>
<td>35 percent of basic pay</td>
<td>$100 per month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardship duty pay (Military)</td>
<td>35 percent of basic pay</td>
<td>$225 per month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Danger pay (Civilian)</td>
<td>35 percent of basic pay</td>
<td>$225 per month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hostile fire pay/imminent danger pay (Military)</td>
<td>35 percent of basic pay</td>
<td>$225 per month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family separation allowance</td>
<td>No equivalent</td>
<td>$250 per month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combat zone tax exclusion</td>
<td>No equivalent</td>
<td>For enlisted personnel, all compensation is tax-free; officers are capped at $6,724.50 per month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Savings deposit program</td>
<td>No equivalent</td>
<td>10 percent interest on savings deposits up to $10,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: GAO analysis of military and federal data.

DOD federal civilian and military personnel deployed to posts with unusually difficult or unhealthful conditions or severe physical hardships are authorized a similar type of post (hardship) differential. In addition, danger pay is granted to both groups serving at a post where civil insurrection, civil war, or war-like conditions exist. In this context, DOD federal civilian personnel who are deployed to Afghanistan and Iraq are eligible to receive post (hardship) differential and danger pay, each equivalent to 35 percent of their base salaries. In contrast, military personnel receive monthly pays of $100 for hardship duty and $225 for imminent danger.

However, some special pays are unique to each group. For example, to partially reimburse those who are involuntarily separated from their
dependents, military personnel are eligible to receive a family separation allowance that is not available to deployed DOD federal civilian personnel. Additionally, unlike DOD federal civilian personnel, military personnel also receive a combat zone tax exclusion while deployed to Afghanistan and Iraq that excludes certain income from federal taxes. DOD federal civilian personnel, by contrast, are eligible for a variety of premium pays, such as overtime and night differential, that are not available to military personnel.

Although DOD federal civilian and military personnel generally receive various special pays to compensate them for conditions of deployment, in certain scenarios that we modeled, the overall amounts of compensation payments were higher for DOD federal civilian personnel than for military personnel, as illustrated in tables 6 and 7.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types of Compensation</th>
<th>Comparison 1</th>
<th>Comparison 2</th>
<th>Comparison 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Base pay</td>
<td>$61,510</td>
<td>$54,036</td>
<td>$87,664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic allowance for subsistence (BAS)*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2,250</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic allowance for housing (BAH)*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>26,784</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 hours overtime (per week)</td>
<td>55,396</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>65,520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post differential/hardship duty pay*</td>
<td>21,529</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td>30,682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Danger pay/ hostile fire/imminent danger pay*</td>
<td>21,529</td>
<td>2,700</td>
<td>30,682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family separation allowance*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross Pay</td>
<td>159,963</td>
<td>89,970</td>
<td>183,500*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSP contribution*</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>4,498</td>
<td>15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combat zone tax exclusion*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>56,736</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military tax exclusion*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>32,034</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted gross income*</td>
<td>144,963</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>168,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal taxes due*</td>
<td>23,687</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30,528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income After Taxes</td>
<td>$136,276</td>
<td>$89,970</td>
<td>$152,972</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: GAO’s analysis.

Notes: Scenario assumes comparable pay grades, Washington, D.C., location, and married with two children. Numbers may not add due to rounding.

*Not taxable for military personnel.
Post differential and danger pays are 35 percent of base pay for deployed DOD federal civilians. Hardship duty and hostile fire/imminent danger pays are $100 and $225 per month, respectively, for deployed military personnel.

Although total compensation for the calendar year is capped at $200,000, Title 5 § 5307 provides that an employee may be paid premium pay only to the extent that the premium pay does not cause the aggregate of total compensation for the calendar year to exceed the annual rate of basic pay for Level I of the Executive Schedule (currently $183,500 for 2006). Section 1105 of Pub. L. No. 109-163 authorized the Secretary of Defense to increase the annual premium pay limitation for 2006 to $200,000 for employees serving overseas in the U.S. Central Command area of responsibility. Premium pay in excess of $183,500, up to $200,000, will be paid to employees during the first pay period of 2007.

Assumes $15,000 was paid into the Thrift Savings Plan (TSP) by DOD federal civilians, and 5 percent of gross pay for military personnel. TSP is a retirement savings plan for civilians who are employed by the United States government and members of the uniformed services.

The combat zone tax exclusion is authorized by 26 U.S.C. § 112. Military personnel serving in direct support of operations in the combat zone are eligible for the combat zone tax exclusion. All enlisted income is eligible for this exclusion. Officers are capped at the highest enlisted basic pay plus any imminent danger pay received, which currently is $6,724.50 per month.

Includes nontaxable family separation allowance, BAS, and BAH.

Adjusted Gross income minus TSP, combat zone tax exclusion, and other military tax exclusions.

Computed using commercial tax preparation software.

Table 7: Scenario 2: Comparisons of Compensation Provided to DOD Federal Civilian and Military Personnel Deployed to Afghanistan or Iraq for Six Months

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types of Compensation</th>
<th>Comparison 1</th>
<th>Comparison 2</th>
<th>Comparison 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Base pay</td>
<td>$61,510</td>
<td>$54,036</td>
<td>$87,664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic allowance for subsistence (BAS)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2,250</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic allowance for housing (BAH)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>26,784</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 hours overtime (per week)</td>
<td>27,698</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>32,760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post differential/hardship duty pay</td>
<td>10,764</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>15,341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Danger pay/ hostile fire/imminent danger pay</td>
<td>10,764</td>
<td>1,350</td>
<td>15,341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family separation allowance</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross Pay</td>
<td>110,736</td>
<td>86,520</td>
<td>151,106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSP contribution</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>4,326</td>
<td>15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combat zone tax exclusion</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>28,368</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military tax exclusion</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30,534</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted gross income</td>
<td>95,736</td>
<td>23,292</td>
<td>136,106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal taxes due</td>
<td>9,561</td>
<td>-4,790</td>
<td>21,007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income After Taxes</td>
<td>$101,175</td>
<td>$91,310</td>
<td>$130,099</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: GAO’s analysis.
Notes: Scenario assumes comparable grades, Washington, D.C. location, and married with two children. Numbers may not add due to rounding.

*Not taxable for military personnel.

Post differential and danger pays are 35% of base pay for deployed DOD federal civilians. Hardship duty and hostile fire/imminent danger pays are $100 and $225 per month, respectively, for deployed military personnel.

Although total compensation for the calendar year is capped at $200,000, Title 5 § 5307 provides that an employee may be paid premium pay only to the extent that the premium pay does not cause the aggregate of total compensation for the calendar year to exceed the annual rate of basic pay for Level I of the Executive Schedule (currently $183,500 for 2006). Section 1105 of Pub. L. No. 109-163 authorized the Secretary of Defense to increase the annual premium pay limitation for 2006 to $200,000 for employees serving overseas in the U.S. Central Command area of responsibility. Premium pay in excess of $183,500, up to $200,000, will be paid to employees during the first pay period of 2007.

Assumes $15,000 was paid into Thrift Savings Plan (TSP) by DOD federal civilians, and 5% of gross pay for military personnel. TSP is a retirement savings plan for civilians who are employed by the United States government and members of the uniformed services.

The combat zone tax exclusion is authorized by 26 U.S.C. § 112. Military personnel serving in direct support of operations in the combat zone are eligible for the combat zone tax exclusion. All enlisted income is eligible for this exclusion. Officers are capped at the highest enlisted basic pay plus any imminent danger pay received, which currently is $6,724.50 per month.

Includes nontaxable family separation allowance, BAS, and BAH.

Adjusted Gross income minus TSP, combat zone tax exclusion, and other military tax exclusions.

Computed using commercial tax preparation software.

In the event of sustaining an injury while deployed, DOD federal civilian and military personnel are eligible to receive two broad categories of disability benefits—disability compensation and disability retirement. However, the benefits applicable to each group vary by type and amount, depending on specific program provisions and individual circumstances. Within these broad categories, there are three main types of disability: (1) temporary disability, (2) permanent partial disability, and (3) permanent total disability.

22 Under workers’ compensation and veterans’ compensation programs, benefits typically include medical treatment for the injury, vocational rehabilitation services, and cash payment to replace a percentage of the individual’s loss in wages while injured and unable to work.

23 Disability retirement programs typically provide benefits that allow qualified individuals who are unable to work to retire earlier and/or to retire with a higher percentage of their pre-injury salary level than would otherwise be permitted with normal retirement based on age and length of service at the time of injury.
Temporary Disability Benefits

Both DOD federal civilian and military personnel who are injured in the line of duty are eligible to receive continuation of their pay during the initial period of treatment and may be eligible to receive recurring payments for lost wages. However, the payments to DOD federal civilian personnel are based on their salaries and whether the employee has any dependents, regardless of the number, which can vary significantly, whereas disability compensation payments made by the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) to injured military personnel are based on the severity of the injury and their number of dependents. DOD federal civilian personnel are eligible to receive continuation of pay (salary) for up to 45 days, followed by a recurring payment for wage loss which is based on a percentage of salary and whether they have any dependents, up to a cap. In contrast, military personnel receive continuation of pay of their salary for generally no longer than a year, followed by a recurring VA disability compensation payment for wage loss that is based on the degree of disability and their number of dependents, and temporary DOD disability retirement for up to 5 years. Appendix II provides additional information on temporary disability compensation payments for federal civilian and military personnel.

To illustrate the way in which the degree of impairment and an individual’s salary can affect temporary disability compensation, in our April 2006 review, we compared the disability benefits available to military personnel with those available to comparable civilian public safety officers at the federal, state, and local levels. We found that VA compensation payments for military personnel were based on a disability rating, regardless of salary level; in contrast, compensation payments for civilian public safety officers were based on salary level, regardless of disability level. Thus, for an individual with severe injuries and relatively low wages, VA compensation payments for military personnel were generally higher than those of the civilian public safety officers included in the reviews. However, if an individual had less severe injuries and high wages, VA compensation payments for military personnel were generally lower than those of the civilian public safety officers included in the review.

24 Payment caps for federal civilians are based on the pay level for a General Schedule (GS)-15, step 10 position, which was $118,957 per year or ($6,608 per month without dependents or $7,435 per month with dependent) in 2006.

When a partial disability is determined to be permanent, DOD federal civilian and military personnel can continue to receive recurring compensation payments. For DOD federal civilian personnel, these payments are provided for the remainder of life as long as the impairment persists, and can vary significantly depending upon the salary of the individual and the existence of dependents. Military personnel are also eligible to receive recurring VA disability compensation payments for the remainder of their lives, and these payments are based on the severity of the servicemember’s injury and the number of dependents. In addition, both groups are eligible to receive additional compensation payments beyond the recurring payments just discussed, based on the type of impairment. DOD federal civilians with permanent partial disabilities receive a schedule of payments based on the specific type of impairment (sometimes referred to as a schedule award). Some impairments may result in benefits for a few weeks, while others may result in benefits for several years. Similarly, military personnel receive special monthly VA compensation payments depending on the specific type and degree of impairment. Appendix II provides more detailed information on permanent partial disability compensation payments for DOD federal civilian and military personnel.

Our April 2006 review\(^6\) compared the compensation benefits available to military personnel with those available to federal civilian public safety officers, among others, using several scenarios. Our analysis showed that when able to return to duty, military personnel often received a greater amount of compensation benefits over a lifetime than did civilians, even when the monthly benefit payment was substantially lower and receipt of benefits was delayed for several years.

Permanent partial disabilities that prevent civilian and military personnel from returning to duty in their current jobs may entitle them to receive disability retirement benefits based on a percentage of salary in addition to compensation benefits; however, the eligibility criteria and benefit amounts differ. Under the Civil Service Retirement System (CSRS), DOD federal civilian personnel must be unfit for duty and have 5 years of service to qualify for disability retirement benefits. Under the Federal Employees’ Retirement System (FERS), civilian personnel must be unfit

\(^6\)GAO-06-4.
for duty and have 18 months of service. DOD federal civilian personnel must elect either compensation benefits or disability retirement. Military personnel who are unfit for duty are eligible for DOD disability retirement benefits if they have a disability rating of 30 percent or more regardless of length of service, or if they have 20 years or more of service regardless of disability rating. The amount of the DOD disability retirement payment is offset dollar for dollar, however, by the amount of the monthly VA disability compensation payment unless they have at least 20 years of service and a disability rating of 50 percent or more, or combat-related disabilities.

Our April 2006 review of disability benefits showed that when military personnel and federal civilian public safety officers were unable to return to duty due to a permanent partial disability, such as a leg amputation, the combined compensation and retirement benefits provided to the military personnel over a lifetime were sometimes more, and sometimes less, than the combined benefits provided to civilian public safety officers.

When an injury is severe enough to be deemed permanent and total, DOD federal civilian and military personnel may receive similar types of benefits such as disability compensation and retirement payments; however, the amounts paid to each group vary. For civilian personnel, the monthly payment amounts for total disability are generally similar to those for permanent partial disability described earlier, but unlike with permanent partial disabilities, the payments do not take into account any wage earning capacity. Both groups are eligible to receive additional compensation payments beyond the recurring payments that are similar to those for permanent partial disability. DOD federal civilians with permanent disabilities receive a schedule award based on the specific type of impairment. In addition, DOD federal civilian personnel may be eligible for an additional attendant allowance—up to $1,500 per month during 2006—if such care is needed. Military personnel receive special monthly VA compensation payments for particularly severe injuries, such as

Permanent Total Disability Benefits

27 The Federal Employees’ Retirement System (FERS) generally covers all federal employees hired after January 1, 1984. Those hired before 1984 still may be covered by the Civil Service Retirement System; however, that system has been closed to new members since FERS was implemented in 1984.

28 GAO-06-4.

29 Permanent total disability generally means that an individual is unable to maintain gainful employment.
amputations, blindness, or other loss of use of organs and extremities. The payments are designed to account for attendant care or other special needs deriving from the disability.

In addition to disability compensation, both DOD federal civilian and military personnel have access to disability retirement benefits for permanent total disabilities. The provisions for election and offset of disability compensation and disability retirement benefits in cases of permanent total disability are similar to provisions in cases of permanent partial disability discussed earlier.

Another benefit available to DOD federal civilian and military personnel with permanent total disabilities is Social Security Disability Insurance (SSDI). SSDI benefits are available to individuals who incur a physical or mental impairment that prevents them from performing substantial gainful activity and that is expected to last at least 1 year or to result in death. The benefit is based on the employee’s earnings history and lifetime contributions to Social Security; therefore, the benefit amounts vary widely among individuals. DOD federal civilian personnel covered by FERS and military personnel pay into Social Security and thus may be eligible to receive SSDI benefits. The maximum benefit to both groups in 2006 was $2,053 per month. However, DOD federal civilian personnel must choose between either compensation payments and SSDI benefits or have their disability retirement payments reduced when receiving SSDI benefits.30

Survivors of deceased DOD federal civilian and military personnel both receive lump sum benefits in the form of Social Security, a death gratuity, burial expenses, and life insurance.

---

30 In the first year of FERS disability retirement benefits, FERS reduces the retirement payment by the full amount of any SSDI payment. In subsequent years, FERS reduces the disability retirement payment by 60 percent of the SSDI payment.
Table 8: Overview of the Type and Amount of Lump Sum Benefits Provided to Survivors of DOD Federal Civilian and Military Personnel

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Selected types of survivor benefits</th>
<th>Civilian personnel</th>
<th>Military personnel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Social Security</td>
<td>Lump sum: $255</td>
<td>Lump sum: $255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death gratuity</td>
<td>Up to $10,000</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burial expenses</td>
<td>Up to $800, plus $200 for costs associated with terminating employee status</td>
<td>Up to $7,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life insurance</td>
<td>Basic pay, rounded to the nearest thousand, plus $2,000</td>
<td>Servicemembers’ Group Life Insurance up to $400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retirement plan</td>
<td>Basic death benefit of $24,866.19 (for fiscal year 2006) plus 50 percent of the civilian’s final salary or an average of the civilian’s highest 3 years of salary</td>
<td>No equivalent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: GAO analysis of federal data.

Social Security provides $255 upon the death of a DOD federal civilian employee or military member. In addition, survivors of deceased DOD federal civilian personnel receive a death gratuity of up to $10,000, while survivors of deceased military personnel receive $100,000. The payment for funeral expenses provided to survivors of deceased DOD federal civilian personnel can be as high as $800, plus $200 for costs associated with terminating employee status, while it can be $7,700 for deceased military personnel. Life insurance is another common source of benefits for the survivors of many deceased civilian and military personnel. Survivors of deceased federal civilian personnel receive a payment equal to the civilian’s rate of basic pay, rounded to the nearest thousand, plus $2,000. Military personnel automatically are insured as part of the Servicemembers’ Group Life Insurance for up to $400,000, unless they elect less or no coverage. DOD federal civilian employees also receive a survivor benefit in their retirement plans.

Survivors of deceased DOD federal civilian and military personnel are also eligible for recurring benefits, some of which are specific to each group, as shown in table 9.
Survivors of both deceased DOD federal civilian and military personnel may be eligible to receive recurring Social Security payments based on the deceased individual’s earnings in a covered period. However, other types of recurring payments are specific to either civilian or military personnel. For example, survivors of DOD federal civilian personnel may receive recurring payments from a retirement plan or workers’ compensation if the death occurred while in the line of duty. Survivors of deceased military personnel also receive payments through the Survivor Benefit Plan, Dependency and Indemnity Compensation, or both.

In addition to lump sum and recurring benefits, survivors of deceased DOD federal civilians and military personnel receive noncash benefits. As shown in table 10, survivors of deceased military personnel receive more noncash benefits than do those of deceased DOD federal civilian personnel, with few benefits being comparable in type.
Table 10: Summary of Noncash Benefits Provided to Survivors of DOD Federal Civilian and Military Personnel

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Noncash Benefit</th>
<th>Civilian personnel</th>
<th>Military personnel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Continuation of health insurance coverage</td>
<td>Survivors may continue to participate in the Federal Employees' Health Benefits Program at the same cost as a federal employee if, prior to employee's death, these individuals were covered as family members under the plan.</td>
<td>Surviving family members of the deceased servicemember remain eligible for health care benefits under TRICARE® at active duty dependent rates for a 3-year period, after which they are eligible for retiree dependent rates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education benefits for spouse, children, or both</td>
<td>No equivalent</td>
<td>Surviving spouse and children are eligible for up to 45 months of education benefits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military-specific</td>
<td>No equivalent</td>
<td>Surviving spouse and children are eligible for rent-free government housing or tax-free housing allowance up to 365 days, relocation assistance, and commissary and exchange privileges.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: GAO analysis of federal data.

*TRICARE is a regionally structured program that uses civilian contractors to maintain health care provider networks that complement health care provided at military treatment facilities.

For example, eligible survivors of military personnel who die while on active duty obtain benefits such as rent-free government housing or tax-free housing allowances for up to 365 days, relocation assistance, and lifetime access to commissaries and exchanges that are not available to civilian personnel who die in the line-of-duty. However, survivors of both deceased DOD federal civilian and military personnel do continue to receive health insurance that is wholly or partially subsidized.

Conclusions

As DOD’s federal civilian employees assume an expanding role in helping the department support its contingency operations overseas, the need for attention to the policies and benefits that affect the health and welfare of these individuals becomes increasingly significant. DOD currently has important policies in place that relate to the deployment of its federal civilians. However, it lacks an adequate oversight and quality assurance mechanism to ensure compliance and quality of service. Thus, not all of its policies—such as those that define the department’s requirements for
force health protection and surveillance—are being fully implemented by the DOD components. Until DOD improves its oversight in this area, it will jeopardize its ability to be effectively informed of the extent to which its federal civilians are screened and deemed medically fit to deploy in support of contingency operations; deployed civilian personnel receive needed immunizations to counter theater disease threats; and what medical follow-up attention federal civilians require for health problems or concerns that may arise following their deployment.

To strengthen DOD’s force health protection and surveillance for its federal civilian personnel who deploy in support of contingency operations, we recommend that the Secretary of Defense direct the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness to establish an oversight and quality assurance mechanism to ensure that all components fully comply with its requirements.

In written comments on a draft of this report, DOD partially concurred with our recommendation. The department acknowledged the necessity for all deployed civilians to receive required medical assessments and immunizations, and that documentation must be available in every instance. The department outlined several steps it intends to take to determine appropriate implementation of our recommendation. Specifically, the department stated that it has written and coordinated a new DOD instruction, scheduled to become effective before the end of 2006, that establishes a comprehensive DOD force health protection quality assurance program that will apply to DOD civilian personnel accompanying deploying military forces. While DOD’s response is encouraging, we remain concerned that the department’s description of the actions it plans to take to assess the components’ compliance with its requirements lacks sufficient detail. DOD was unable to provide us with a copy of the new instruction; thus, we could not evaluate the comprehensiveness of its new force health protection quality assurance program or determine whether the program identifies specific actions the department plans to take for assessing and ensuring the full implementation of the force health protection and surveillance requirements by all DOD components. DOD also stated that proposed revisions to its directives and instructions that address the planning, preparation, and utilization of DOD civilians include, among other things, annual assessments for compliance with pre-and post-deployment medical assessment requirements. However, the department did not describe what actions, if any, it plans to take to ensure that it will be sufficiently
informed of the extent to which its components are complying with existing health protection requirements for its deployed federal civilians. In the absence of more specific details on its planned actions, we continue to emphasize the department’s need for a comprehensive oversight and quality assurance mechanism without which it will not be effectively positioned to ensure compliance with its policies, or ensure the health care and protection of its deployed federal civilians as they continue to support contingency operations.

In addition to its comments on our recommendation, the department took issue with some of our specific findings. DOD questioned our findings that in many cases DOD components were unable to produce documentation confirming that deployed federal civilians had received necessary pre- or post-deployment medical assessments, or immunizations. The department stated that DOD activities, particularly regarding the Army Corps of Engineers, Transatlantic Programs Center (TPC), had determined that documentation did exist for many records included in our review, thus raising reservations about our findings. In particular, the department stated that the number (and percent) of records missing two or more immunizations that we reported for TPC was inaccurate. It stated that based on TPC’s review of the specific documentation that we used to support our findings, we had actually identified 69 records (54.3 percent) as missing two or more immunizations, rather than 85 (66.9 percent) noted in our draft report. We disagree. TPC overlooked 16 records included in our review that lacked documentation of any immunizations. Moreover, as we noted in our report, to provide assurances that the results of our review of hard copy deployment records at the selected component locations were accurate, we requested that each component’s designated medical personnel reexamine those deployment records that we determined were missing required health documentation. We then adjusted our results in those instances where documentation was subsequently provided. To provide additional assurances regarding our determinations, we requested that each component’s designated medical personnel review and sign the data collection instrument that we used to collect deployment health information from each individual civilian’s deployment record attesting to our conclusions regarding the existence of health assessment or immunization documentation.

DOD also stated that we inappropriately mixed discussion of Veterans Affairs and DOD benefits without distinguishing between the two. However, our report appropriately discusses two broad categories of “government-provided” benefits: (1) those provided by DOD and (2) those provided by VA. Nonetheless, to further clarify this section of our report,
we added “VA” and “DOD” to our discussions of disability compensation and retirement benefits for military personnel. DOD also stated that our discussion of military disability benefits presented incorrect information in many cases, indicating that our statements that compensation payments for military personnel were based on a disability rating, regardless of salary level is only true with regard to VA disability benefits. DOD also stated that DOD disability payments do, in fact, take into account salary level, and that if a former member is entitled to both, there is an offsetting mechanism. We agree. As we state in our report, under veterans’ compensation programs, benefits typically include cash payments to replace a percentage of the individual’s loss in wages while injured and unable to work. We also state that disability retirement benefits for military personnel are based on a percent of salary in addition to compensation benefits, and that the amount of retirement payment is offset dollar for dollar by the amount of monthly compensation payment unless military personnel have at least 20 years of service and a disability rating of 50 percent or more, or have combat-related disabilities.

Further, DOD submitted detailed comments related to our analysis of special pays and benefits provided to deployed DOD federal civilian and military personnel. In particular, the department stated that our selection and presentation of the associated data on the special pays and benefits provided to DOD federal civilian and military personnel could easily mislead the reader into drawing erroneous conclusions. The department also stated that our comparisons did not take into account the relative value of certain key benefits for which explicit dollar amounts cannot be measured, such as retirement systems, health care systems, and military commissary exchange privileges. To the contrary, our report did discuss this limitation, and as is the case with any modeled scenarios based on certain assumptions, some of the factors with the potential to affect the overall outcomes of our comparisons could not be included because of, as DOD pointed out, the relative value of certain key benefits for which explicit dollar amounts cannot be measured. It is partly for this reason that we acknowledged in the report that we do not take a position on the adequacy or appropriateness of the special pays and benefits provided to DOD federal civilian and military personnel. DOD also requested that we clearly acknowledge the fundamental differences between the military and civilians systems. We believe that we have done so. As we noted in our report, we did not make direct analytical comparisons between compensation and benefits offered by DOD to deployed federal civilian and military personnel because such comparisons must account for the demands of the military service, such as involuntary relocation, frequent and lengthy separations from family, and liability for combat.
DOD provided other technical comments, which we have incorporated as appropriate. The department’s comments are reprinted in their entirety in appendix III.

We are sending copies of this report to the Chairman and Ranking Minority Member, Senate Committee on Armed Services; the Chairman and Ranking Minority Member, House Committee on Armed Services; the Chairman and Ranking Minority Member, Subcommittee on Defense, Senate Committee on Appropriations; and the Chairman and Ranking Minority Member, Subcommittee on Defense, House Committee on Appropriations; and other interested congressional parties. We are also sending copies to the Secretary of Defense and the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness. We will make copies available to other interested parties upon request. Copies of this report will also be made available at no charge on GAO’s Web site at http://www.gao.gov.

Should you or your staff have any questions about this report, please contact me at (202) 512-6304 or by e-mail at melvinv@gao.gov. Contact points for our Offices of Congressional Relations and Public Affairs may be found on the last page of this report. Key contributors to this report are listed in appendix IV.
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Appendix I: Scope and Methodology

To assess the extent to which DOD has established force health protection and surveillance policies for DOD federal civilians who deploy outside of the United States in support of contingency operations, and how the components (military services and the Defense Contract Management Agency) have implemented those policies, we reviewed pertinent force health protection and surveillance policies and discussed these policies with the following offices or commands: U.S. Central Command; Joint Chiefs of Staff, Manpower and Personnel; Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness (including the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs, Deployment Health Support Directorate; Civilian Personnel Policy; and Civilian Personnel Management Services); the Surgeons General for the Army, Navy, and Air Force; and the Defense Contract Management Agency (DCMA).

Our review focused on DOD federal civilians who (1) deployed to Afghanistan or Iraq for 30 continuous days or more between June 1, 2003, and September 30, 2005, and (2) returned to the United States by February 28, 2006.¹ Because DOD had difficulty identifying the total number of federal civilians who deployed to Afghanistan or Iraq, we assessed the implementation of DOD’s deployment health requirements at eight component locations that were selected using a number of approaches. Given that DOD components have flexibility in where they conduct deployment processing, we selected locations for our review accordingly. Specifically, the Army uses a centralized approach, deploying its federal civilians at three primary locations; therefore, we selected all three locations for review. By contrast, the Navy and Air Force use a decentralized approach, deploying their federal civilians from their home stations. For these components, we selected five locations based on data that indicated that these locations had deployed the largest numbers of federal civilian personnel. DCMA was included in our review because it had deployed the largest number of federal civilian personnel compared to other defense agencies. DCMA has an informal agreement with the Army to process its federal civilians through two of the Army’s three deployment locations. Therefore, DCMA federal civilian deployment data in this report are included in the Army results to the extent that DCMA federal civilian deployments were documented at the two relevant Army locations. At all eight component locations, we reviewed either all available hard copy or

¹ For the purposes of health surveillance, DOD considers a deployment to be 30 continuous days or greater to a land-based location outside the continental United States that does not have a permanent U.S. military treatment facility. We selected these deployment dates to incorporate DOD’s most recent changes to the post-deployment health assessment criteria.
electronic deployment records, or in one instance, a sample of the deployment records for deployed federal civilian personnel who met our criteria above. Table 11 shows the locations included in our review and the number of deployment records reviewed at each location.

**Table 11: DOD Component Locations and Number of DOD Federal Civilian Deployment Records Included in Our Review**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DOD component locations</th>
<th>Number of DOD federal civilian deployment records reviewed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Army</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Benning CONUS Replacement Center, Georgia*</td>
<td>238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Bliss CONUS Replacement Center, Texas*</td>
<td>2,977*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Transatlantic Programs Center, Virginia</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Navy</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naval Air Depot, Cherry Point, North Carolina</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Air Force</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andrews Air Force Base, Maryland</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hill Air Force Base, Utah</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hurlburt Field, Florida</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>3,431</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: GAO.

Note: CONUS refers to the continental United States.

*DCMA federal civilians deployed through Forts Benning and Bliss CONUS Replacement Centers. At Fort Benning, we selected a probability sample of 238 out of 606 deployment records for deployed federal civilians and we reviewed those 238 deployment records. When presenting the Fort Benning review results in this report, we show numbers and percentages that are weighted estimates to provide a 95 percent confidence with a margin of error of 5 percentage points.

*Although the Army deploys its federal civilian personnel at three primary sites, Fort Bliss deployed the largest number of federal civilians during our time frame. We reviewed the entire universe of deployment records for federal civilian personnel deployed from this location because the deployment records were being maintained electronically, which facilitated the review of all records.

In total, we reviewed 3,431 hard copy and automated records for federal civilian personnel who deployed to Afghanistan and Iraq. Specifically, we reviewed hard copies of deployment records for 454 (out of a reported 822) federal civilian personnel at seven component locations and automated deployment records for 2,977 (out of the reported 2,977) federal civilian personnel at the other location where all deployment records were being maintained electronically. The results of deployment record reviews, however, could not be projected beyond the samples to all
DOD federal civilians who had deployed during this time frame. To facilitate our review of federal civilian deployment records at the selected component locations, we developed a data collection instrument to review and collect deployment health information from each individual civilian’s deployment record.

For federal civilians in our review at each location, we reviewed deployment records for documentation that the following force health protection and surveillance policy requirements were met:

- Pre-and post-deployment health assessments;
- Tuberculosis screening test (within 1 year of deployment);
- Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) screening test;
- Pre-deployment immunizations:
  - hepatitis A (first and second course);
  - influenza (within 1 year of deployment);
  - tetanus-diphtheria (within 10 years of deployment);
  - typhoid; and
  - smallpox (within 5 years of deployment)

After our review of hard copy deployment records, we requested each component’s medical personnel to reexamine those hard copy deployment records that were missing required health documentation, and we adjusted our results where documentation was subsequently provided. We also requested and queried other documentation from information systems used by the components to capture deployment and related health information, making adjustments to our results where documentation was found in the systems. These data sources included the Army’s Medical Protection System (MEDPROS), the Army’s medical database (MedBase), the Air Force’s Preventive Health Assessment and Individual Medical Readiness (PIMR) system and its Comprehensive Immunization Tracking Application (CITA), DOD’s Defense Enrollment Eligibility Reporting System (DEERS), which is used by the Navy, and the Army Medical Surveillance Activity’s Defense Medical Surveillance System (DMSS).

At the Army’s Fort Benning, we created a sampling frame (i.e., total population) of records for 606 federal civilian deployments between June 1, 2003, and September 30, 2005. Our study population was limited to DOD federal civilians who deployed to Afghanistan or Iraq. We then drew a stratified random sample of 288 deployment records and stratified the sample to isolate potential duplicate deployment records for the same federal civilian. We found two duplicate records and removed them from both the population and sample, as shown in table 12. We also removed
another 14 deployment records from our sample because those DOD federal civilians had been deployed to locations other than Afghanistan or Iraq, and were not eligible for the duty population. In addition, we removed another 13 deployment records that were originally selected as potential replacement records; however, we found that those replacements were not needed. Ultimately, we identified 238 in-scope responses, for a weighted response rate of 87 percent. Each sampled record was subsequently weighted in the analysis to represent all DOD federal civilians deployed to Afghanistan or Iraq. The disposition of the federal civilian deployment records we reviewed at Fort Benning are summarized in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stratum description</th>
<th>Total population size</th>
<th>Total sample size</th>
<th>Number of respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stratum 1: Records with potential duplicates</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stratum 2: Records without potential duplicates</td>
<td>604</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>606</strong></td>
<td><strong>288</strong></td>
<td><strong>238</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: GAO.

Our probability sample is only one of a large number of samples that we might have drawn. Because each sample could have provided different estimates, we express our confidence in the precision of our particular sample’s results as a 95 percent confidence interval. This is the interval that would contain the actual population value for 95 percent of the Fort Benning, Ga., samples we could have drawn. All percentage estimates from our sample have margins of error (that is, widths of confidence intervals) of plus or minus 5 percentage points or less, at the 95 percent confidence level, unless otherwise noted.

We took steps to assess the reliability of DOD federal civilian deployment and health data for the purposes of this review, including consideration of issues such as the completeness of the data from the respective information systems’ program managers and administrators. We also examined whether the data were subjected to quality control measures such as periodic testing of the data against deployment records to ensure the accuracy and reliability of the data. In addition, we reviewed existing documentation related to the data sources and interviewed knowledgeable agency officials about the data. We did not find these deployment and
health data to be sufficiently reliable for (1) identifying the universe of DOD federal civilian deployments or (2) use as the sole source for reviewing the health and immunization information for all DOD federal civilian deployments, but we found the information systems to be sufficiently reliable when used as one of several sources in our review of deployment records. In those instances where we did not find a deployment health assessment or immunization in either the deployment records or in the electronic data systems, we concluded that the health assessment or immunization was not documented.

To determine the extent to which DOD has established and the components have implemented medical treatment policies for DOD federal civilians who deployed in support of contingency operations, we examined pertinent medical treatment policies for DOD federal civilian employees who required treatment for injuries and diseases sustained while supporting contingency operations. In addition, we obtained workers’ compensation claims filed by DOD federal civilian personnel with the Department of Labor’s Office of Workers’ Compensation Programs (OWCP) showing those civilians who sustained injuries and diseases during deployment. We selected and reviewed a non-probability sample of claims to assess the components’ processes and procedures for implementing DOD’s medical treatment policies across a range of civilian casualties including injuries, physical and mental illnesses, and diseases. The scope of our review did not extend to the Department of Labor’s claims review process.

To identify special pays and benefits provided to DOD federal civilians who deployed in support of contingency operations and to assess the extent that special pays and benefits differ from those provided to deployed active duty military personnel, we examined major statutory provisions for special pays, disability and death benefits for federal civilians and military personnel, including relevant chapters of Title 5 of the U.S. Code governing federal civilian personnel management; relevant chapters of Title 10 of the U.S. Code governing armed forces personnel management; Section 112 of Title 26 of the U.S. Code governing combat zone tax exemption; relevant chapters of Title 37 of the U.S. Code governing pay and allowances for the uniformed services; relevant chapters of Title 38 of the U.S. Code governing veterans’ benefits; relevant provisions of applicable public laws governing military and civilian pay and benefits; applicable directives and instructions related to active duty military and DOD federal civilian benefits and entitlements; DOD financial management regulations; Department of State regulations; and prior GAO reports. In addition, we discussed the statutes and guidance with
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cognizant officials of the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness, military services’ headquarters, and the Defense Contract Management Agency involved with the administration of active duty and federal civilian personnel entitlements. We did not perform a comprehensive review of all compensation—comprised of a myriad of pays and benefits—offered to active duty military and federal civilian personnel in general. Our analysis focused on selected elements of compensation such as special pays (e.g., hostile fire/imminent danger pay). Also, we did not make direct analytical comparisons between compensation and benefits offered by DOD to deployed federal civilian and military personnel because such comparisons must account for the demands of the military service, such as involuntary relocation, frequent and lengthy separations from family, and liability for combat.

After reviewing documents and interviewing officials, we then compiled and analyzed the information on the types and amounts of special pays and benefits available to active duty military and DOD federal civilian personnel who deployed to Afghanistan or Iraq. We interviewed DOD officials to discuss the basis for any differences in compensation. In addition, to illustrate how special pays affect overall compensation provided to DOD federal civilian and military personnel, we modeled scenarios for both groups using similar circumstances, such as length of deployment, pay grades, special pays (e.g., post differential pay, danger pay, overtime pay, family separation allowance, basic allowance for housing, basic allowance for subsistence), and duty location. Through discussions with senior DOD officials, we made an assumption that deployed DOD federal civilians worked 30 hours of overtime per week. For deployed DOD federal civilians, we subtracted a contribution of $15,000 to the Thrift Savings Plan (TSP) to obtain the adjusted gross income. We assumed that DOD federal civilians, temporarily at a higher tax bracket, would take maximum advantage of the opportunity to defer taxes. We assumed that the military personnel would contribute a smaller percentage of pay, 5 percent of gross income, to TSP. We made this assumption because much of the military pay was not subject to federal taxes, which removes the incentive to contribute to TSP, and because unlike for federal workers, military TSP does not have a matching component. For military personnel, we also deducted the amount of pay not subject to taxes due to the combat zone exclusion, family separation allowance, basic allowance for subsistence, and basic allowance for housing. Using these assumptions, we generated an adjusted gross income and used that as input into a commercial tax program, Turbo Tax, to obtain federal taxes owed. We assumed that both DOD federal civilian and military personnel were married, filing jointly, with a spouse that earned
no income. We assumed that the family had two children and qualified for two child tax credits, and the Earned Income Tax Credit, if at that income level. This resulted in four exemptions and a standard deduction of $10,000 in 2005. For purposes of validation, we repeated this exercise using an alternate tax program, Tax Cut, and obtained identical results.

We conducted our review from March 2006 to August 2006 in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards.
Both DOD federal civilian and military personnel are eligible to receive disability benefits when they sustain a line-of-duty injury. However, these benefits vary in amount. Table 13 shows the temporary disability benefits available to eligible DOD federal civilian and military personnel.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 13: Temporary Disability Compensation Payments, Payment Formula, and 2006 Payment Caps for DOD Federal Civilian and Military Personnel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>DOD Personnel</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civilian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As table 13 shows, DOD federal civilians who are injured in the line of duty are eligible to receive continuation of their salary up to 45 days, followed by a recurring payment for wage loss that is based on a percentage of their salary and the existence of dependents, up to a cap. In contrast, military
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personnel receive continuation of their salaries for generally no longer than a year, followed by a recurring payment for wage loss, which is based on the degree of disability and their number of dependents, and temporary retirement pay based on salary for up to 5 years.

When a partial disability is determined to be permanent, both DOD federal civilians and military personnel are eligible to continue receiving recurring compensation payments, but again, the amounts of these benefits vary, as shown in table 14.

Table 14: Permanent Partial Disability Compensation Payment Formulas and Time Limits on Benefits for DOD Federal Civilian and Military Personnel

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compensation payments</th>
<th>Civilian personnel</th>
<th>Military personnel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Payment calculation</strong></td>
<td>When able to work, but at a reduced salary, payments are 66-2/3 percent of the wage loss (that is, the difference between the part-time and full-time wages) without dependents; 75 percent with dependents.</td>
<td>VA basic payment amounts established annually for disability ratings ranging from 10 percent to 90 percent. During 2006, amounts ranged from $112 to $1,436 per month.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maximum period of time payments can be provided</strong></td>
<td>Payments provided for the remainder of life, as long as the impairment persists.</td>
<td>“Add-ons” to basic payments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Schedule award</strong></td>
<td>Schedule of payments are based on the specific type of impairment. For example, up to 312 weeks (6 years) compensation due to the loss of an arm, or the loss (or loss of use) of any other important external or internal organ of the body.</td>
<td>If the disability rating is 30 percent or more, the individual is entitled to additional VA compensation for each dependent. During 2006, the additional amounts ranged from $40-$233 for a spouse, and $27-$91 for a child, depending on the level of disability. Special monthly VA compensation payments up to $4,176, depending on the specific type and degree of impairment.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Maximum period of time payments can be provided** | No time limit regardless of degree of impairment; payments provided for the remainder of life, as long as the impairment persists. |

Source: GAO analysis of federal statutes.

*Under the Civil Service Retirement System (CSRS), DOD federal civilian personnel must be unfit for duty and have 5 years of service to qualify for disability retirement. Under the Federal Employees’ Retirement System (FERS), civilian personnel must be unfit for duty and have 18 months of service. DOD federal civilian personnel must elect either compensation benefits or disability retirement. Military personnel who are unfit for duty are eligible for DOD disability retirement benefits if they have a disability rating of 30 percent or more regardless of length of service, or if they have 20 years or more of service regardless of disability. The amount of the DOD retirement payment is offset dollar for dollar, however, by the amount of the monthly VA compensation payment unless the servicemember has at least 20 years of service and a disability rating of 50 percent or more, or combat-related disabilities.
As table 14 shows, DOD federal civilian personnel with permanent partial disabilities receive payments based on salary and dependents while military personnel receive payments based on the severity of the injury and their number of dependents, as long as the condition persists.
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Ms. Valerie C. Melvin
Acting Director, Defense Capabilities and Management
U.S. Government Accountability Office
441 G Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20548

Dear Ms. Melvin:

This is the Department of Defense (DoD) response to the Government Accountability Office (GAO) draft report, GAO-06-1085, "DOD CIVILIAN PERSONNEL: Greater Oversight and Quality Assurance Needed to Ensure Force Health Protection and Surveillance for Those Deployed," dated September 1, 2006 (GAO Code 350829). We appreciate the GAO's efforts to comply with the broad review requirements established by Senate Armed Services Committee. The GAO's observations with respect to DoD policies regarding deployment of civilians confirm that the Department has come a long way since its 1994 review (GAO report, GAO/NSIAD-95-5, "DoD Force Mix Issues: Greater Reliance on Civilians in Support Roles Could Provide Significant Benefits," dated October 19, 1994, GAO Code 391217). The draft report's findings provide reassurance that DoD policies are now in place that resolve GAO's previous concerns.

The draft GAO report provides the following recommendation: "To strengthen DoD's force health protection and surveillance for its federal civilian personnel who deploy in support of contingency operations, we recommend that the Secretary of Defense direct the Under Secretary of Defense (Personnel and Readiness) to establish an oversight and quality assurance mechanism to ensure that all Components fully comply with its requirements" (Page 36/GAO draft report). In arriving at this recommendation, GAO observed that in a number of cases, DoD was unable to produce documentation confirming that deployed civilians received necessary immunizations, or pre- or post-medical assessments.

DoD partially concurs. In reviewing the draft report, DoD activities, particularly the Army Corps of Engineers, Transatlantic Programs Center, determined that for many of the records studied by GAO, documentation did exist, thus raising reservations about GAO's findings. For example, many immunizations were, in fact, documented in nurse's notes, or were not required at the time of deployment and should not have been reported as "missing." While we question the accuracy of the GAO's findings with respect to medical documentation, we acknowledge the necessity for all deployed civilians to receive required immunizations and medical assessments. Further, confirmation
documentation must be available in every instance. We intend to take the steps outlined below to determine appropriate implementation of the recommendation.

We are already aware of the challenges to assuring that all deploying military and civilian personnel receive necessary force health protection services. We have written and coordinated a new DoD Instruction that establishes a comprehensive DoD force health protection quality assurance program, with an expected publication before the end of 2006. With this Instruction, the current DoD deployment health quality assurance program will be expanded to encompass the full breadth of health surveillance activities, and apply to DoD civilian and DoD contractor personnel accompanying deployed military forces as well as all military personnel. Initially, the expanded program will focus on process issues to ensure that deployed federal civilians receive the same pre- and post-deployment assessments as military Service members (for example, that deployment health assessment documents are completed, that necessary immunizations are obtained, that serum samples are collected and sent to the designated central repository, and that education and risk communication is provided). Additionally, proposed revisions to DoD Directives and Instructions that specifically address planning, preparation, and utilization of DoD civilians in support of contingencies and emergencies include new accountability obligations, to include annual assessments for compliance with pre- and post-deployment medical assessment requirements. In June 2006, as an interim measure, we established a requirement for Components to document in the Defense Civilian Personnel Data System the deployment of civilian employees who are assigned to contingency operations overseas. We anticipate that enhanced capabilities of the Defense Integrated Military Human Resources System (DIMHRS) will ultimately provide DoD with comprehensive, centralized data regarding its deployed personnel.

In addition, we intend to further expand our force health protection quality assurance program to focus on the clinical quality of care. Our civilians have several options for health care (for example, through the Federal Employees Health Benefits Program or in appropriate circumstances, space available in DoD medical treatment facilities). DoD has neither direct access to civilian medical records nor influence over how care is provided in the private sector. Accordingly, we intend to form a multi-functional working group under the direction of the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness, to address and propose integrated solutions to implementing these provisions of the expanded quality assurance program.

The draft report reflects substantial research and review of information and data provided by numerous sources and many DoD activities. Of particular note is the draft report's discussion of the special pays and benefits provided to DoD civilians and military personnel. GAO does not take a position regarding the adequacy or appropriateness of these benefits and compensation; however, the selection and presentation of the associated data could easily mislead the reader into drawing erroneous conclusions. Moreover, the comparisons do not take into account the relative value of certain key benefits for which explicit dollar amounts cannot be measured, such as retirement
systems, health care systems, military commissary and exchange privileges. We strongly suggest that GAO amend the report to place its comparison of pay and benefits in appropriate context. Therefore, we request that you clearly acknowledge the fundamental differences between the military and civilian systems within the introduction of the aforementioned discussion. We offer the following concepts for consideration.

It is difficult to compare the pay and benefits entitlements of civilian employees to the entitlements of military members with enough normalization to ensure the comparative analysis is not misinterpreted. DoD civilians and military members are key components of the Total Force, yet are governed by distinctly different systems. The precept of total service to protect and defend our country is the foundation of the military system, requiring worldwide mobility, availability for duty around the clock, and the expectation that members will engage in combat and other contingency situations. In contrast, DoD civilian employees are designated as noncombatants, and their deployment to contingency situations generally occurs on a voluntary basis. DoD civilians are governed by Federal employment and compensation statutes, and are expected to spend most of their careers in the United States or in non-hardship overseas postings. With these contrasts in mind, the civilian and military systems have been constructed to provide incentives that respond to the basic perspectives of civilian and military service, particularly when it comes to contingency deployment. For members of the military, long-term career prospects and a variety of life-long benefits function as key incentives for military service. In contrast, more immediate, short-term benefits serve as incentives to ensure the availability of a sufficient number of civilian employees with the needed skills to accomplish immediate contingency requirements. Recognizing this fundamental dichotomy is critical to any analysis that seeks to compare pay and benefits afforded to DoD civilian and military personnel.

Finally, it is necessary to point out several technical corrections that GAO should make to the report prior to its issuance.

- Page 4 of the draft report, middle paragraph, 3rd sentence: Revise sentence to read, "However, our actual review of claims filed by the DoD federal civilian personnel was limited to those who had deployed to Iraq because the Department of Labor did not assign a unique identifier for claims that had been filed by those federal civilians who had deployed to Afghanistan." Injury claim information is owned by the Department of Labor, Office of Workers Compensation (OWCP).

- Page 12 of the draft report, Table 2, "DoD Federal Civilian Deployment Records Lacking Documentation of Required Immunizations": The number (and percent) of records missing two or more immunizations reported for the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Transatlantic Program Center (TPC) is inaccurate. In the draft report, GAO identified 85 records as missing at least two immunizations. TPC requested the specific documentation that GAO used to support its findings, as part of TPC's review of the draft report. In its response to TPC, GAO identified 69 records (54.3%) for this
category, instead of 85 (66.9%). Thus, the correct number of records missing this
documentation should be 69 (54.3%).

- Page 23 of the draft report, Figure 2, "DoD Medical Treatment Processes for Federal
  Civilians Who Require Treatment for Deployment-Related Injuries or Diseases After
  They Return to the United States": Revise Figure 2 to correctly reflect the procedures
  followed by DoD as follows:
  - Right column, 2nd box: Replace "specialist" with "physician selected by the
    employee". Treatment is not limited to that provided by a specialist.
  - Right column, 3rd box: Delete box entirely. Authorization of medical
    treatment in occupational disease cases is not a question of authorizing
    "further" medical treatment but of authorizing initial medical treatment.
    Unless OWCP approves the issuance of the medical authorization form (Form
    CA-16) in occupational disease cases, the only medical treatment that can be
    considered "authorized" is that rendered by the DoD medical treatment facility,
    and such authorizing flows from DoD, not OWCP.

- Pages 26 to 28 of the draft report, Tables 6 and 7: Both of these tables erroneously
  reflect the basic pay for both civilian and military personnel, overtime payments,
  Federal taxes due, and tax relief amounts. The following specific examples illustrate
  the scope of the miscalculations and misinterpretations, and suggest the need for GAO
  to thoroughly review the tables and associated footnotes.
  - Both tables underestimate the years of service and corresponding basic pay for
    the military O5 and O6 comparisons. Most members have 16 to 17 years of
    service upon promotion to O5, and 21 to 22 years of service upon promotion to
    O6. The use of 7 years of service underestimates the rate of base pay by
    $1,400 per month ($16,800 per year) for an O5, and $2,000 per month
    ($24,000 per year) for an O6.
  - All comparisons on both tables overstate overtime compensation. For
    example, the overtime rate corresponding to a GS-11 earning $61,510 per
    annum is $35.51 per hour. At this rate, 30 hours of overtime per week for a
    period of 1 year produces total overtime earnings of $55,395.60, instead of
    $55,588 as reflected Table 6. The adjusted overtime earnings affect the
    amounts reflected for gross pay and income after taxes. Similar
    miscalculations are evident for all of the civilian overtime comparisons.
  - Footnote e misstates the authorization provided to DoD to increase
    the premium pay cap, and should be revised to read: "Section 5307 of title 5,
    United States Code provides that employees may be paid compensation only to
    the extent that their total annual compensation does not cause the aggregate of
    total compensation (i.e., total compensation of all types, as defined in Subpart
    B of title 5, Code of Federal Regulations, including allowances, bonuses,
    awards, and differentials) for the calendar year to exceed the annual rate of
    basic pay for Level I of the Executive Schedule (currently $183,500 for 2006).
    Section 1105 of Pub. L. No. 109-163 authorized the Secretary of Defense to
increase the annual premium pay limitation for 2006 to $200,000 for employees serving overseas in the U.S. Central Command area of responsibility. Thus, premium pay in excess of $183,500, up to $200,000, will be paid to such employees during the first pay period of 2007. Deferred payments count towards employees' 2007 aggregate limitation.

- Table 7 reflects base pay at an annual amount (i.e., 12 months) for all civilian and military comparisons, rather than for a period of 6 months, which the table intends to illustrate. Similarly, the amounts reflected for gross pay, TSP contribution, adjusted gross income, Federal taxes due, and income after taxes should be computed to cover a period of 6 months, instead of 1 year.
- Both tables omit hostile fire pay/imminent danger pay for military members assigned to Iraq or Afghanistan, which is $225 per month.
- Both tables fail to recognize that military members can contribute their tax exempt pay up to $44,000 into the TSP, rather than the regular annual limit of $15,000.
- Both tables overstate the value of the Combat Zone Tax Exemption (CZTE) for military members. The comparisons reflect the maximum amount of compensation that can be excluded under CZTE provisions from taxation, but in none of the comparisons is that amount of income actually earned. Further, the comparisons fail to acknowledge deductions military and civilian taxpayers would have (i.e., standard deductions, itemized deductions, other tax exemptions and tax credits, etc.).

- Pages 29 – 36, and Appendix II on pages 46 and 47 of the draft report, "Disability and Survivor Benefits": These sections and Appendix II inappropriately mix discussion of Veterans Affairs (VA) and DoD benefits for military members without in any way distinguishing between the two. Further, the discussion presents incorrect information in many cases. For example, the first paragraph on page 30 states, "We found that compensation payments for military personnel were based on a disability rating, regardless of salary level." This statement is only true with regard to VA disability benefits. DoD disability payments do, in fact, take into account salary level. If a former member is entitled to both, there is an offsetting mechanism.

DoD appreciates the opportunity to comment on the draft report. GAO may direct any questions to my action officer, Mr. Richard Nicholson, 703-571-9287.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

David S. Chu
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