

A11102 461537

NATL INST OF STANDARDS & TECH R.I.C.



A11102461537

Fuller, Everett Glad/Photonuclear data :  
QC100 .U56 NO.83-2742 V1983 C.1 NBS-PUB-

NBSIR 83-2742 (R)


# Photonuclear Data - Abstract Sheets 1955 - 1982 Volume XIII (Hafnium - Thallium)

---

Reference

NBS  
PUBLICATIONS

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
National Bureau of Standards  
National Measurement Laboratory  
Center for Radiation Research  
Washington, DC 20234



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS

QC  
100  
U56  
83-2742  
1955-1982  
VOL. XIII



QC  
100  
U.S.G.  
83-2742  
1955-1982  
Vol. XIII

NBSIR 83-2742

**PHOTONUCLEAR DATA - ABSTRACT SHEETS  
1955 - 1982  
VOLUME XIII (HAFNIUM - THALLIUM)**

---

E. G. Fuller, Henry Gerstenberg

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
National Bureau of Standards  
National Measurement Laboratory  
Center for Radiation Research  
Washington, DC 20234

**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, Malcolm Baldrige, *Secretary*  
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS, Ernest Ambler, *Director***



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Contents. . . . .	i
Introduction . . . . .	1
Hafnium (Natural). . . . .	3
Hafnium (A=176). . . . .	11
Hafnium (A=177). . . . .	15
Hafnium (A=178). . . . .	21
Hafnium (A=179). . . . .	31
Hafnium (A=180). . . . .	39
Tantalum (A=181) . . . . .	45
Tungsten (Natural) . . . . .	175
Tungsten (A=182) . . . . .	201
Tungsten (A=183) . . . . .	211
Tungsten (A=184) . . . . .	215
Tungsten (A=186) . . . . .	229
Rhenium (Natural). . . . .	245
Rhenium (A=185). . . . .	255
Rhenium (A=187). . . . .	259
Osmium (Natural) . . . . .	267
Osmium (A=186) . . . . .	273
Osmium (A=188) . . . . .	279
Osmium (A=189) . . . . .	285
Osmium (A=190) . . . . .	291
Osmium (A=192) . . . . .	297
Iridium (Natural). . . . .	303
Iridium (A=191). . . . .	309
Iridium (A=193). . . . .	315

Platinum (Natural) . . . . .	321
Platinum (A=194) . . . . .	341
Platinum (A=195) . . . . .	347
Platinum (A=196) . . . . .	353
Gold (A=197) . . . . .	359
Mercury (Natural). . . . .	471
Mercury (A=198). . . . .	497
Mercury (A=199). . . . .	503
Mercury (A=201). . . . .	511
Mercury (A=202). . . . .	517
Mercury (A=204). . . . .	523
Thallium (Natural) . . . . .	527
Thallium (A=203) . . . . .	543
Thallium (A=205) . . . . .	557

Photonuclear Data-Abstract Sheets  
1955-1982

I. Introduction

As used in connection with this collection of data-abstract sheets, the term photonuclear data is taken to mean any data leading to information on the electromagnetic matrix element between the ground state and excited states of a given nuclide. The most common types of reactions included in this compilation are:  $(e,e')$ ,  $(\gamma,\gamma)$ ,  $(\gamma,\gamma')$ ,  $(\gamma,n)$ ,  $(\gamma,p)$ , etc. as well as ground-state particle capture reactions, e.g.  $(\alpha,\gamma_0)$ . Two reactions which fit the matrix element criterion are not included in the compilation because of their rather special nature. These are heavy particle Coulomb excitation and the thermal neutron capture reaction  $(n,\gamma_0)$ . While the energy region of particular interest extends from 0 to 150 MeV, papers are indexed which report measurements in the region from 150 MeV to 4 GeV. Most of the experiments listed are concerned with the excitation energy range from 8 to 30 MeV, the region of the photonuclear giant resonance.

The hierarchical grouping of the photonuclear data-abstract sheets within the file is by: 1. Target Element, 2. Target Isotope, and 3. by the Bibliographic Reference Code assigned to the paper from which the data on the sheet were abstracted. In this file, colored pages are used to mark the beginning and end of the sheets for each chemical element. A brief historical sketch of the element is given on the divider sheet marking the start of each section; the information for this sketch was derived from references such as the Encyclopaedia Britannica. In those cases where the sheets for a given element make up a major part of a volume, colored pages are also used to delineate sections pertaining to the individual isotopes of the element. Each of the sections of the file, as delineated by two colored divider sheets, represents a 27 year history of the study of electromagnetic interactions in either a specific nuclide or a specific element.

The data-abstract sheets are filed under the element and/or isotope in which the ground-state electromagnetic transition takes place. For example, the abstract sheet for a total neutron yield measurement for a naturally occurring copper sample would appear in the elemental section of the copper file. On the other hand, a measurement of the  $^{62}\text{Cu}$  9.73 minute positron activity produced in the same sample by photons with energies below the three-neutron separation energy for  $^{65}\text{Cu}$  (28.68 MeV) would be filed with the sheets for  $^{63}\text{Cu}$ . Similarly a measurement of the ground-state neutron capture cross section in  $^{12}\text{C}$  would be filed under  $^{13}\text{C}$  while the corresponding ground-state alpha-particle capture cross section would be filed under  $^{16}\text{O}$ .

At the end of this volume there is a master list of the abbreviations that have been used in the index section of the abstract sheets. The listings are those used in the final published index, Photonuclear Data Index, 1973-1981, NBSIR 82-2543, issued in August 1982 by the U. S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, Washington, DC 20234. In some cases two notations are entered for the same quantity. The second entry is the abbreviation that was used in one or more of the earlier published editions of the index.





## HAFNIUM

Z=72

Hafnium was discovered by D. Coster and G. C. de Hevesy in 1925. It was named after the Latin word for Copenhagen where the discovery was made. The missing element has always been considered to be a member of the rare-earth group and many early investigators had looked in this group but with no success. Niels Bohr concluded, based on his view of the electronic arrangement in atoms, that the missing element 72 was not a member of the rare-earth group but a member of the zirconium family and should have the properties resembling zirconium. With this information, Coster and de Hevesy searched for and found, in zirconium, clear evidence of the new element.

HF



METHOD

Linac; isomer yield; activity

REF. NO.

63 Ka 2

NVB

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,G/	RLY	1 (0.16, .22)	C	5	ACT-I		4PI

Table II. The isomers observed

Isomer	Observed value		Referenced value <sup>(1)(13)</sup>	
	Half-life	Energy (MeV)	Half-life	Energy (MeV)
Se-77m	17.5 sec	0.160	17.5 sec	0.161
Br-79m	4.80 sec	0.209	4.8 sec	0.208
Sr-87m	2.3 hr	0.390	2.8 hr	0.388
Y-89m	15.0 sec	0.920	14 sec	0.915
Rh-103m	58 min	*	57 min	0.040
Ag-107m	} 42 sec	} 0.95	44 sec	0.094
Ag-109m			40 sec	0.088
Cd-111m	47 min	0.150, 0.255	49 min	0.150, 0.247
In-115m	4.5 hr	0.335	4.5 hr	0.335
Sn-117m	17 day	0.160	14 day	0.159, 0.161
Ba-137m	2.6 min	0.660	2.6 min	0.662
Er-167m	2.10 sec	0.209	2.5 sec	0.208
Hf-179m	18.5 sec	0.157, 0.215	19 sec	0.161, 0.217
W-183m	5.4 sec	0.200, 0.170, 0.115	5.5 sec	0.1025, 0.2915 others
Ir-191m	4.90 sec	0.129, <0.07	4.9 sec	0.042-0.129
Pt-195m	4.5 day	0.065**	4.1 day	0.031-0.130
Au-197m	7.0 sec	0.10, 0.27, 0.40	7.2 sec	0.130, 0.270, 0.407
Hg-199m	43 min	0.160, 0.370	42 min	0.158, 0.368

\* This isomer was measured with a G-M flow counter.

\*\* This value corresponds to Pt-K X-ray energy.

Table III. Induced activation rate

Element	Beam energy (MeV)	Counting rate ( $\times 10000$ cpm)	Sample form.
Se	5	1300	metallic pellet
Br	4	1600	metallic grain
Sr	6		SrCO <sub>3</sub> powder
Y	5		metallic grain
Rh	5	0.2*	RhCl <sub>3</sub> grain
Ag	5	130	metallic plate
Cd	6	0.5	CdCl <sub>2</sub> grain
In	6	8	metallic plate
Sn	6	0.0005	metallic plate
Ba	5	0.6	BaS powder
Er	4	4900	Er <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> powder
Hf	5	1600	metallic plate
W	5	120	metallic powder
Ir	5	2100	metallic powder
Pt	5	0.3	metallic plate
Au	4	4300	metallic plate
Hg	6	0.09	metallic liquid

\* The value measured with a G-M flow counter.

METHOD

REF. NO.

Radioactive source

63 Ve 2

NVB

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,G/	ABX	0-1	D	0-1	NAI-D		

ISOMERS

Таблица II

Измеренные значения после облучения, сравнимые с другими литературными данными

Элемент	Активность облучения после первого измерения (имп/мин.)	Актив. экстрп. в конце облуч. (имп/мин.)	Литературные данные		Данные измерения		$\sigma_{\text{изм}}$ ( $10^{-28}\text{см}^2$ )	$\Gamma_{\text{изм}}$ ( $10^{-4}\text{св}$ )
			$T_{1/2}$	$E$ (кэв)	$T_{1/2}$	$E$ (кэв)		
Se-77m	$3842 \pm 96$	5400	17,5 сек.	160	$18,1 \pm 1$ сек.	$160 \pm 10$	9,5	1,75
Sr-87m	$191 \pm 5$	200	2,8 ч.	390	$2,9 \pm 0,1$ ч.	$365 \pm 25$	0,85	0,2
Y-89m	$96 \pm 20$	170	16 сек.	910	$16,7 \pm 5$ сек.		0,08	0,02
Rh-103m	$28 \pm 5$	31	57 мин.	40	$58 \pm 2$ мин.	$20,5 \pm 0,5$	0,08	0,01
Ag-107m	$220 \pm 14$	250	44 сек.	93	$43,8 \pm 0,6$ сек.	$91 \pm 10$	0,8	0,2
Ag-109m			39 сек.	88				
Hf-179m	$80 \pm 18$	155	19 сек.	160; 215	$19 \pm 2$ сек.		1	0,2
Ir-191m	$90 \pm 20$	250	4,9 сек.	42; 130	$5 \pm 2$ сек.		5,6	1
Pt-195m	$90 \pm 9$	100	3,5 д.	31; 100; 130;	$3,5 \pm 0,2$ д.	$32 \pm 3$ $67,5 \pm 5$ $96 \pm 5$ $130 \pm 10$	0,2	0,04
Au-197m	$240 \pm 16$	520	7,2 сек.	130; 277; 407	$7,2 \pm 1$ сек.	68:130: 280 $\pm$ 20 390 $\pm$ 20	0,07	0,01
Hg-199m	$9,6 \pm 3,2$		42 мин.	160; 370			0,005	0,001

Acta Phys. Hung. Tom. XVI. Fasc. 3.

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, F	ABX	300-999		300-999	TRK- I		

Detector: Fission fragment tracks in glass.

999 = 1600 MEV

Angular distribution measured for Pb was found isotropic; for other elements it was assumed isotropic.

Nucleus	Fissionability $D$	Cross section $\sigma_x, \mu\text{B}$	Nucleus	Fissionability $D$	Cross section $\sigma_x, \mu\text{B}$
Pb	$0.11 \pm 0.01$	$7.8 \pm 0.6$	Os	$0.0058 \pm 0.0005$	$0.37 \pm 0.04$
Tl	$0.050 \pm 0.004$	$3.4 \pm 0.3$	Re	$0.0056 \pm 0.0006$	$0.35 \pm 0.04$
Au	$0.031 \pm 0.003$	$2.1 \pm 0.2$	Ta	$0.0045 \pm 0.0005$	$0.27 \pm 0.03$
Pt	$0.019 \pm 0.002$	$1.25 \pm 0.10$	Hf	$0.0042 \pm 0.0004$	$0.25 \pm 0.03$
Pt	$0.012 \pm 0.002$	$0.80 \pm 0.08$			





Fig. 1. Photofission fragment yields.  $\circ$ -present work;  $\square$ -Jungerman and Steiner.<sup>111</sup> The curves were plotted through the experimental points.




Fig. 2. Photofission fragment yields as a function of  $Z^2/A$ . The ordinates are values of  $\sigma_0$  in units of  $\text{cm}^2$ .

REF. Yu. N. Ranyuk and P. V. Sorokin  
 J. Nucl. Phys. (USSR) 5, 37 (1967)  
 Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 5, 26 (1967)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Hf		72
REF. NO.		
67 Ra 2		HMG

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, F	ABX	THR-260	C	200-260	EMU-I		4PI

TABLE III

$E_{\gamma, \text{max}}$ , MeV	Cross section per equivalent $\gamma$ quantum, $10^{-30}$ cm <sup>2</sup>			
	Os	Re	Ta	Hf
200	17±2	11.8±0.8	5.2±0.3	3.2±0.7
220	22±2	17±1	8.6±0.5	4.8±0.3
240	33±3	29±1	13.0±0.6	8.2±0.4
260	45±4	38±2	17.2±0.7	11.2±0.5




Fig. 4. Photofission cross sections of Os, Re, Ta, and Hf as functions of photon energy.

REF.

V. Emma, S. Lo Nigro, C. Milone  
Nucl. Phys. A257, 438 (1976)

ELEM. SYM. A Z

Hf

72

METHOD

REF. NO.

76 Em 2

egf

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, F	ABY	THR-999	C	999	TRK-I		4PI

TABLE I

999 = 1 GEV

Measured values of  $\sigma_q$  at  $E = 1000$  MeV and deduced values of  $\sigma_k$  assumed constant from  $E_0$  to 1000 MeV

Element	$Z^2/A$	$\sigma_q$ (mb)	$E_0$ (MeV)	$\sigma_k$ (mb)
Bi	32.96	$12.3 \pm 0.6$	200	$7.6 \pm 0.6$
Pb	32.45	$5.4 \pm 0.4$	220	$3.6 \pm 0.3$
Tl	32.10	$4.1 \pm 0.3$	230	$2.8 \pm 0.3$
Au	31.68	$2.0 \pm 0.15$	240	$1.4 \pm 0.2$
Pt	31.18	$1.1 \pm 0.08$	255	$(8 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-1}$
Re	30.21	$(3.7 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-1}$	280	$(2.9 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-1}$
W	29.78	$(3.5 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-1}$	290	$(2.8 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-1}$
Ta	29.45	$(3.3 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-1}$	300	$(2.7 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-1}$
Hf	29.04	$(1.7 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-1}$	310	$(1.4 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-1}$
Yb	28.31	$(1.3 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-1}$	330	$(1.2 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-1}$
Tm	28.18	$(7.5 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-2}$	335	$(6.8 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-2}$
Ho	27.21	$(3.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$	355	$(3.5 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$
Dy	26.80	$(2.6 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$	360	$(2.5 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$
Tb	26.58	$(2.5 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$	370	$(2.5 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$
Gd	26.04	$(1.6 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$	380	$(1.7 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$
Sm	25.56	$(1.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$	390	$(1.4 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$
Nd	24.96	$(9.2 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3}$	405	$(1 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-2}$
Ce	24.00	$(8 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3}$	420	$(9 \pm 1) \times 10^{-3}$
La	23.39	$(8.4 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3}$	430	$(1 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-2}$
Sb	21.36	$(1.2 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$	460	$(1.5 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$
Te	21.19	$(8.8 \pm 1) \times 10^{-3}$	465	$(1.2 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$
Sn	21.06	$(1.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$	465	$(1.7 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$
Cd	20.49	$(1.7 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$	470	$(2.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$
Ag	20.47	$(2 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$	470	$(2.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$
Zn	13.76	$(2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-1}$	515	$(3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-1}$
Cu	13.44	$(2.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-1}$	515	$(3.6 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-1}$
Ni	13.35	$(2.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-1}$	510	$(3.6 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-1}$
Fe	12.10	$(3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-1}$	510	$(4.4 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-1}$

<sup>4</sup>A.V. Mitrofanova et al.  
Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 6,  
512 (1968).

<sup>7</sup>T. Methasiri et al., Nucl.  
Phys. A167, 97 (1971).

<sup>12</sup>J.R. Nix et al., Nucl. Phys.  
81, 61 (1966).

<sup>20</sup>N.A. Perfilov et al., JETP  
(Sov. Phys.)14, 623 (1962);  
Proc. Symp. on the physics &  
chemistry of fission, Salzburg  
1965, vol. 2 (IAEA) Vienna,  
1965, p.283.




Fig. 2. Nuclear fissilities as a function of  $Z^2/A$ . Experimental points: solid circles represent our data; squares, the data from ref. <sup>4</sup>); open circles, the data from ref. <sup>7</sup>); and crosses, the data from (p,f) experiments<sup>20</sup>). The straight line is the best fit calculated from our data for  $Z^2/A > 26$ . The dashed curve is the curve VI calculated by Nix and Sassi<sup>12</sup>).





HF  
A=176

HF  
A=176

HF  
A=176



ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Hf	176	72
REF. NO.	hmg 11/17/80	
77 Go 4		

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, XN	ABX	8(8.1)-22	C	8-22	BF3-I	---	4PI

Yield curves and photoneutron multiplicities have been measured for the isotopes <sup>176,178,180</sup>Hf in a betatron bremsstrahlung beam in the energy range 8-21 MeV with a 0.125-MeV step. The measurements were made on-line with a computer. The cross sections were calculated from the yield curves by the Penfold-Leiss method with a 1.0-MeV step. The multiplicity curves were used to separate the contributions of (γ,n) and (γ,2n) reactions. Integrated cross sections calculated from the photoabsorption cross sections are given, and also average energies and deformation parameters. The results are compared with calculations using various versions of the model of coupling of dipole and quadrupole vibrations.

n multiplicity measurement

TABLE V. Average energies.

Nucleus	$E_{\gamma n}$ MeV	$E_{\gamma 2n}$ MeV	$E_{M A^{1/2}}$	$E_{M A^{1/3}}$	K, MeV
<sup>176</sup> Hf	14.45	14.51	81.0	34.2	28.3
<sup>178</sup> Hf	14.41	14.49	81.0	34.2	28.8
<sup>180</sup> Hf	14.43	14.50	81.5	34.3	29.1

TABLE VI. Deformation  $\beta$  and quadrupole moment  $Q_0$ .

Nucleus	Our data		From $R E_2^2 \cdot 2^{-1} - Q_0^2$ (*)	
	$\beta$	$Q_0, b$	$\beta$	$Q_0, b$
<sup>176</sup> Hf	0.30±0.03	7.4±0.6	0.27	7.37±0.17
<sup>178</sup> Hf	0.30±0.03	7.5±0.5	0.25	6.81±0.07
<sup>180</sup> Hf	0.30±0.03	7.5±0.7	0.25	6.83±0.06

TABLE VII. Dipole strengths of transverse maximum.

J	$\gamma$ -vibrational model		Nonaxial rotator model		Devydov-Chabon model	
	$\lambda_1$	(0 1 $\lambda_1$ ) $\mu$	$\lambda_1$	(0 1 $\lambda_1$ ) $\mu$	$\lambda_1$	(0 1 $\lambda_1$ ) $\mu$
1	-0.086	0.923	-0.085	0.94	-0.12	0.86
2	0.992	0.073	1.085	0.06	0.71	0.10
3	1.921	0.003	-	-	1.01	0.04




FIG. 1. Multiplicity of photoneutrons for the isotopes <sup>176,178,180</sup>Hf. The length of the vertical lines is equal to two standard deviations. The solid curves are the fitted curves  $M(E_{\gamma, \max})$  with the parameters given in Table II.

TABLE II. Parameters of photoneutron multiplicity curves.

Nucleus	$a, \text{MeV}^{-1}$	$w$	$x'/f$	$a, \text{MeV}^{-1}$	$w$	$x'/f$
<sup>176</sup> Hf	7.0±0.5	0	1.07	20.9	0.36±0.03	1.09
<sup>178</sup> Hf	5.1±0.3	0	0.95	22.6	0.46±0.02	0.91
<sup>180</sup> Hf	9.3±0.6	0	1.01	23.0	0.24±0.015	0.97

Note.  $f$  is the number of degrees of freedom.

TABLE III. Parameters of the Lorentz curves.

Nucleus	$E_1, \text{MeV}$	$\Gamma_1, \text{MeV}$	$\sigma_1, \text{mb}$	$E_2, \text{MeV}$	$\Gamma_2, \text{MeV}$	$\sigma_2, \text{mb}$	R
<sup>176</sup> Hf	12.36±0.04	2.96±0.09	272±9	15.71±0.05	5.0±0.02	269±5	0.60±0.07
<sup>178</sup> Hf	12.41±0.05	3.00±0.1	283±10	15.75±0.06	4.6±0.2	273±5	0.67±0.07
<sup>180</sup> Hf	12.41±0.04	2.90±0.09	286±8	15.75±0.05	4.4±0.1	261±4	0.65±0.07

TABLE IV. Integrated cross sections

	<sup>176</sup> Hf	<sup>178</sup> Hf	<sup>180</sup> Hf
$\sigma_{\text{lat}}, \text{MeV}\cdot\text{mb}$	2571 ± 12	2580 ± 15	2535 ± 12
$\sigma_{-1}, \text{mb}$	184 ± 1	185 ± 1	182 ± 1
$\sigma_{-2}, \text{MeV}^{-1} \text{mb}$	13.65 ± 0.07	13.71 ± 0.06	13.53 ± 0.07
$\sigma_{\text{lat}}(\gamma, 2n), \text{MeV}\cdot\text{mb}$	518 ± 4	683 ± 6	915 ± 5
$\sigma_{\text{lat}} L, \text{MeV}\cdot\text{mb}$	3370	3318	3188
$\sigma_{\text{lat}}/60NZ/A$	1.007	1.003	0.978
$\sigma_{-1} A^{-4/3}$	0.186	0.184	0.179
$\sigma_{-2} A^{-5/3}$	0.00247	0.00243	0.00236
$\sigma_{\text{lat}}(\gamma, 2n)/\sigma_{\text{lat}}$	0.202	0.265	0.322
$\sigma_{\text{lat}} L/60NZ/A$	1.32	1.29	1.23




FIG. 2. Photoabsorption cross sections for the isotopes <sup>176,178,180</sup>Hf. The vertical lines, whose length is two standard deviations, indicate the cross section  $\sigma_{\text{exp}} = \sigma_{\gamma, n} + 2\sigma_{\gamma, 2n} + \sigma_{\gamma, np} + \dots$  Above the threshold of the  $(\gamma, 2n)$  reaction the photoabsorption cross section is indicated by the solid circles; the smooth curves are fits by Lorentz curves with the parameters given in Table III.

$\sigma_{\text{int}}$  corrected for neutron multiplicity



HF  
A=177

HF  
A=177

HF  
A=177



Elem. Sym.	A	Z
Hf	177	72

Method 22 MeV betatron; neutron counters

Ref. No. 58 To 1  
 EH

Reaction	E or $\Delta E$	$E_0$	$\Gamma$	$\int \sigma dE$	$J\pi$	Notes
$\text{Hf}^{177}(\gamma, n)$	Bremss. 6.3-7					$E_{th} = 6.70 \pm 0.09$




FIG. 7. Photoneutron yield from hafnium as a function of betatron energy.

METHOD			REF. NO.				
Betatron; neutron threshold; ion chamber			60 Ge 3				
			NVB				
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, N	NØX	THR	C	THR	BF3-I		4 PI

THRESHOLD

TABLE I. Summary and comparison of neutron separation energies inferred from present threshold measurements with values predicted from mass data and reaction energies. All energies are expressed in the center-of-mass system in Mev.

Reaction	No. runs	Present results	Other results	Method	Reference
Hf <sup>177</sup> (γ,n)Hf <sup>176</sup>	1	6.692±0.034(6.606)	6.28 ±0.06 6.70 ±0.09	mass data threshold	q k

• W. H. Johnson, Jr., and V. B. Bhanot, Phys. Rev. 107, 6 (1957).

TABLE II. Comparison of measured threshold energies with neutron binding energies predicted by mass data for transitions with ΔI ≥ 7/2. All energies in Mev.

Reaction	ΔI <sup>a</sup>	Observed threshold	Mass data Q value	E <sub>n</sub> - Q	Excited state energy
Cr <sup>52</sup> (γ,n)Cr <sup>51</sup>	7/2	12.18±0.14	12.053±0.004 <sup>b</sup>	0.13±0.14	...
Y <sup>88</sup> (γ,n)Y <sup>86</sup>	7/2	11.59±0.08	11.53 ±0.40 <sup>a</sup>	0.06±0.41	0.387 <sup>d</sup>
In <sup>116</sup> (γ,n)In <sup>114</sup>	7/2	9.22±0.03	9.35 ±0.43 <sup>a</sup>	-0.13±0.43	0.191 <sup>a</sup>
Ce <sup>142</sup> (γ,n)Ce <sup>141</sup>	(7/2) <sup>e</sup>	7.24±0.07	6.97 ±0.07 <sup>f</sup>	0.27±0.10	...
Nd <sup>144</sup> (γ,n)Nd <sup>143</sup>	7/2	6.38±0.16	5.97 ±0.19 <sup>f</sup>	0.41±0.25	0.690 <sup>a</sup>
Sm <sup>148</sup> (γ,n)Sm <sup>146</sup>	7/2	6.45±0.16	5.87 ±0.28 <sup>f</sup>	0.58±0.33	0.562 <sup>a</sup>
Er <sup>167</sup> (γ,n)Er <sup>166</sup>	7/2	6.65±0.08	6.45 ±0.06 <sup>g</sup>	0.20±0.10	0.081 <sup>a</sup>
Hf <sup>177</sup> (γ,n)Hf <sup>176</sup>	7/2	6.69±0.03	6.28 ±0.06 <sup>g</sup>	0.64±0.07	0.088 <sup>a</sup>
Hf <sup>179</sup> (γ,n)Hf <sup>178</sup>	9/2	6.31±0.07	6.17 ±0.06 <sup>g</sup>	0.14±0.09	0.093 <sup>a</sup>
Hf <sup>180</sup> (γ,n)Hf <sup>179</sup>	9/2	7.85±0.11	7.32 ±0.06 <sup>g</sup>	0.53±0.13	0.375 <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> D. Strominger, J. M. Hollander, and G. T. Seaborg, Revs. Modern Phys. 30, 585 (1958).

<sup>b</sup> C. F. Giese and J. L. Benson, Phys. Rev. 110, 712 (1958).

<sup>c</sup> Henry E. Duckworth, *Mass Spectroscopy* (Cambridge University Press, New York, 1958), p. 177.

<sup>d</sup> S. Dzelepov and L. K. Feker, Atomic Energy of Canada Limited Report Tr. AECL-457 (unpublished).

<sup>e</sup> The discrepancy in the case of Ce<sup>142</sup> predicts a ground-state spin for Ce<sup>142</sup> of 0, since the spin of Ce<sup>141</sup> is known to be 7/2.

<sup>f</sup> W. H. Johnson, Jr., and A. O. Nier, Phys. Rev. 105, 1014 (1957).

<sup>g</sup> W. H. Johnson, Jr., and V. B. Bhanot, Phys. Rev. 107, 6 (1957).



METHOD	REF. NO.	EGF
	61 Ha 1	

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,G	LFT	0 - 1	D	0 - 1	NAI-D	0 - 1	110

Used thermal broadening of  $^{177}\text{Lu}$  source to supply recoil energy.

Mean lives (113 keV; E2) =  $1.5 \pm 0.5$  nsec

(321 KeV; E1) =  $17 \pm 5$  nsec

REF. L.M. Dautov, Yu.A. Lysikov, U.M. Makhanov, Yu.K. Shubnyi  
 Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Fiz. 36, 2544 (1972)  
 Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR Phys. Ser. 36, 2210 (1972)

ELEM. SYM.	A	4
Hf	177	72
REF. NO.		
72 Da 14		hmg

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,G	ABX	250*	D	250*	SCD-D		92

$\sigma = (4.5 \pm 0.9) \cdot 10^{-27} \text{ cm}^2 \cdot \text{sr}^{-1}$ ,  $\Gamma_{0\gamma} = (2.8 \pm 0.5) \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ eV}$ .

\* ENERGY IN KEV




Fig. 1. Resonance scattering cross section as a function of the source temperature: 1)  $^{177}\text{Lu} \rightarrow ^{177}\text{Hf}$  ( $\Gamma_{0\gamma} = 3.4 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ eV}$ ,  $\Gamma_0/\Gamma = 0.5$ ) 2)  $^{159}\text{Gd} \rightarrow ^{159}\text{Tb}$  ( $\Gamma_{0\gamma} = 2.4 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ eV}$ ,  $\Gamma_0/\Gamma = 0.96$ ).

HF  
A=178

HF  
A=178

HF  
A=178



REF. B.I. Goryachev, Yu.V. Kuznetsov, V.N. Orlin, N.A. Pozhidaeva,  
and V.G. Shevchenko  
ZhETF Pis. Red. 19, 65 (1974)  
JETP Lett. 19, 41 (1974)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Hf	178	72

METHOD	REF. NO.
	74 Go 4 hmg

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, XN	ABX	7- 20	C	7- 20	BF3-I		4PI

$\sigma(\gamma, 2n)$  measured but not given.




Fig. 2. Cross section  $\sigma_\gamma$  of  $Hf^{178}$

Note:  $(\gamma, sn)$  cross section determined from  $\sigma(\gamma, xn)$  and statistical theory which gives  $\sigma(\gamma, 2n)$  consistent with measurements.

See Refs. 6&7 for Regularization Method (Least Structure).

<sup>6</sup>A.N. Tikhonov, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 151, 501 (1963).

<sup>7</sup>B.S. Cook, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. 24, 256 (1963).

Nucleus	$\sigma_{int}$ , MeV-b	$\beta$	$Q_0$ , b
Er <sup>166</sup>	$3.05 \pm 0.3$	0.33	7.76
Hf <sup>178</sup>	$3.16 \pm 0.3$	0.26	6.72

The table lists the values of the integral cross sections  $\sigma_{int}$  calculated from  $\sigma_\gamma$  and the deformation parameters  $\beta$ , as well as the values  $Q_0$  of the intrinsic quadrupole moments of the nuclei, corresponding to the obtained values of  $\beta$ .

REF. B.I. Goryachev, Yu.V. Kuznetsov, V.N. Orlin, N.A. Pzhidaeva,  
V.G. Shevchenko  
Yad. Fiz. 23, 1145 (1976)  
Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 23, 609 (1976)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Hf	178	72

METHOD	REF. NO.
	76 Go 4
	egf

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, XN	ABX	7- 20	C	UKN	BF3-I		4PI
G, 2N	ABX	14- 20	C	UKN	SCI-I		4PI




FIG. 2. Cross section  $\sigma_n$  for  $^{178}\text{Hf}$ . In the lower part of the figure we have shown the cross section for the reaction  $^{178}\text{Hf}(\gamma, 2n)^{176}\text{Hf}$  (see the caption for Fig. 1).

FIG. 1. Photoneutron production cross section  $\sigma_n$  obtained for  $^{178}\text{Ho}$  (a) and for  $^{178}\text{Er}$  (b). The hollow and solid circles correspond to two independent series of data. The solid curves show the  $(\gamma, 2n)$  cross sections calculated from the data of a statistical experiment by the regularization method.<sup>[12]</sup> The circles near the curves give the same cross sections calculated from the formula  $\sigma_n(1 - f(E, \sigma))$ .

TABLE 5. Parameters of curve fitted to the cross section  $\sigma_n$  in  $^{178}\text{Hf}$ .

Number of resonance	$E_k$ , MeV	$\sigma_k$ , mb	$\Gamma_k$ , MeV	$\sigma_k \Gamma_k$ , eV
1	12.52	250	2.65	4.09
2	14.20	130	$1.07 \pm 0.21$	$0.21 \pm 0.06$
3	16.07	225	$5.23 \pm 0.50$	$1.73 \pm 0.29$

$\chi^2 = 111.4$ ,  $f = 57$ ,  $E_s = 10.6$  MeV,  $\sigma_s = 29.0$  mb




FIG. 7. Cross section  $\sigma_n$  for  $^{178}\text{Hf}$ .

TABLE 3. Static deformation  $\beta$  and intrinsic quadrupole moment  $Q_0$ .

Nucleus	Present work		Other photoneuclear experiments		Coulomb excitation of nuclei $Q_0$ , b
	$\beta$	$Q_0$ , b	$\beta$	$Q_0$ , b	
$^{159}\text{Tb}$	0.29	$6.53 \pm 0.6$	0.29 0.32 0.29	$6.57$ [15] $7.37$ [20] $6.6$ [21]	$7.07$ [18]
$^{165}\text{Ho}$	0.32	$7.73 \pm 0.8$	0.29 0.30 0.31	$7.01$ [15] $7.14$ [20] $7.6$ [18]	$7.80$ [18]
$^{168}\text{Er}$	0.30	$7.39 \pm 0.6$	0.28 0.31	$6.96$ [18] $7.6$ [18]	
$^{178}\text{Hf}$	0.26	$6.94 \pm 0.6$			$6.79$ [22]

TABLE 1. Level-density parameters

Nucleus	$a$ , MeV <sup>-1</sup>		Nucleus	$a$ , MeV <sup>-1</sup>	
	Present work	Other studies		Present work	Other studies
$^{159}\text{Tb}$	—	$7.7$ [15]	$^{166}\text{Er}$	$6.1 \pm 2.5$	$8$ [16]
$^{165}\text{Ho}$	$4.2 \pm 1.5$	$3.1$ [15]	$^{178}\text{Hf}$	$17.7 \pm 7.3$	—

(over)

TABLE 2. Parameters of fitted curves  $\sigma_V^{(2)}$

Nucleus	E, MeV	$\sigma$ , mb	$\Gamma$ MeV	E, MeV	$\sigma$ , mb	$\Gamma$ MeV	$\sigma \Gamma / \sigma \Gamma_0$	$\chi^2$	$j$	$E_1$ , MeV	$E_2$ , MeV
<sup>187</sup> Tb	12.28	102	2.91±0.09	13.76	295	3.42±0.13	2.86±0.23	197.3	97	10.4	20.6
	12.41	113	3.31±0.05	13.95	282	3.01±0.07	2	216.8	98	10.4	20.6
<sup>188</sup> Hf	12.31	204	2.74±0.11	16.23	306	3.07±0.17	3.11±0.27	176.0	97	10.4	20.6
	12.47	227	3.26±0.06	16.46	293	3.02±0.09	2	200.8	98	10.4	20.6
<sup>188</sup> Er	12.22	191	2.71±0.14	13.90	304	3.67±0.16	3.38±0.35	138.5	94	10.7	20.6
	12.50	214	2.43±0.08	16.25	284	3.09±0.10	2	161.7	95	10.7	20.6
<sup>189</sup> Hf	12.68	166	2.58±0	13.05	281	6.0±0.27	4.00±1.76	172.1	89	10.6	20.0
	12.88	216	3.22±0.11	15.46	237	3.87±0.20	2	173.7	90	10.6	20.0

Note. The lower values of the parameters in each column were found with the requirement  $\sigma_2 \Gamma_2 : \sigma_1 \Gamma_1 = 2 : 1$ .

TABLE 6. Integrated cross sections.

Nucleus	$\sigma$ , MeV-b	$\sigma_0 \sigma_1 \frac{2V}{A}$	$\sigma$ , mb	$\sigma_{-1} A^{1/2}$	$\sigma_{-2}$ , MeV-b	$\sigma_{-2} A^{1/2}$
<sup>187</sup> Tb	3.79	1.47	210	0.243	15.9	3.41 · 10 <sup>-2</sup>
<sup>188</sup> Hf	4.60	1.51	218	0.244	16.1	3.27 · 10 <sup>-2</sup>
<sup>188</sup> Er	3.56	1.48	210	0.237	16.1	3.21 · 10 <sup>-2</sup>
<sup>189</sup> Hf	3.03	1.20	186	0.156	14.8	2.13 · 10 <sup>-2</sup>
Average		1.41±0.3		0.23±0.04		3.1 · 10 <sup>-2</sup> ±6 · 10 <sup>-3</sup>

- <sup>12</sup>A.N. Tikhonov, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 151, 501 (1963),  
Eng. transl. in Sov. Mathematics-Doklady.
- <sup>15</sup>B. L. Berman et al., Phys. Rev. 185, 1576 (1969).
- <sup>16</sup>R. Bergere et al., Nucl. Phys. A133, 417 (1969).
- <sup>18</sup>E. G. Fuller et al., Nucl. Phys. 30, 613 (1962).
- <sup>19</sup>H. Arenhovel et al., Phys. Rev. 157, 1109 (1967).
- <sup>20</sup>R. Bergere et al., Nucl. Phys. A121, 463 (1968).
- <sup>21</sup>O.V. Bogdankevich et al., Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 42,  
1502 (1962); Sov. Phys. JETP 15, 1044 (1962).
- <sup>22</sup>B.S. Dzhelepov in Struktura slozhnykh yader  
(Structure of Complex Nuclei), Atomizdat, 1966, p. 189.

REF. G. M. Gurevich, L. E. Lazareva, V. M. Mazur and  
 G. B. Solodukhov  
 JETP Lett. 23, 370 (1976)  
 Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 23, 411 (1976)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Hf	178	72

METHOD	REF. NO.	hmg
	76 Gu 5	

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,MU-T	ABX	8-21	C	35	NAI-D		4PI

We measured the total cross section for the absorption of rays in the region of E1 resonance for the nuclei  $^{165}\text{Ho}$ ,  $^{178}\text{Hf}$ ,  $^{180}\text{Hf}$ ,  $^{181}\text{Ta}$ ,  $^{182}\text{W}$ ,  $^{197}\text{Au}$ , and  $^{209}\text{Bi}$ . The singularity in the behavior of the resonance widths, observed in the region  $160 < A < 185$ , is apparently due to the influence of the neutron subshell  $N = 108$ .




FIG. 1. Total photoabsorption cross sections for the nuclei  $^{165}\text{Ho}$ ,  $^{178}\text{Hf}$ ,  $^{180}\text{Hf}$ ,  $^{181}\text{Ta}$ ,  $^{182}\text{W}$ ,  $^{197}\text{Au}$ ,  $^{209}\text{Bi}$ .




FIG. 2. Widths  $\Gamma$  of E1 giant resonance in the region of nuclei with  $A > 150$  according to the data of Saclay ( $\bullet$ ), Livermore ( $\Delta$ ), and the Institute of Nuclear Research of the USSR Academy of Sciences ( $\circ$ ). The crosses mark the deformation parameters  $\beta$ .






FIG. 3. Width of Lorentz lines approximating the photoabsorption cross sections, for deformed nuclei in the region  $150 < A < 185$ .

Nucleus	$\sigma_1$ mb	$\Gamma_1$ MeV	$E_1$ MeV	$\sigma_2$ mb	$\Gamma_2$ MeV	$E_2$ MeV	$\frac{\sigma_2 \Gamma_2}{\sigma_1 \Gamma_1}$	$Q_0$ b	$\beta$
Ho-165	235	2.0	12.2	272	4.0	15.5	2.3	$6.8 \pm 0.8$	0.29
Hf-178	291	3.1	12.2	334	4.9	15.5	1.8	$7.5 \pm 0.8$	0.28
Hf-180	286	3.2	12.2	324	5.1	15.3	1.8	$7.2 \pm 0.8$	0.27
Ta-181	272	3.0	12.1	316	5.1	15.0	2.0	$6.8 \pm 0.8$	0.26
W-182	267	3.2	11.9	303	5.6	14.8	2.0	$7.2 \pm 0.8$	0.26
Au-197	535	5.2	13.7	...	...	...	...	...	...
Bi-209	600	4.6	13.8	...	...	...	...	...	...

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Hf	178	72
REF. NO.	hmg 11/17/80	
77 Go 4		

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,XN	ABX	7(7.6)-22	C	8-22	BF3-I	---	4PI

Yield curves and photoneutron multiplicities have been measured for the isotopes  $^{176,178,180}\text{Hf}$  in a betatron bremsstrahlung beam in the energy range 8-21 MeV with a 0.125-MeV step. The measurements were made on-line with a computer. The cross sections were calculated from the yield curves by the Penfold-Leiss method with a 1.0-MeV step. The multiplicity curves were used to separate the contributions of  $(\gamma, n)$  and  $(\gamma, 2n)$  reactions. Integrated cross sections calculated from the photoabsorption cross sections are given, and also average energies and deformation parameters. The results are compared with calculations using various versions of the model of coupling of dipole and quadrupole vibrations.

n multiplicity measurement

TABLE V. Average energies.

Nucleus	$E_{M^1}$ MeV	$E_{M^2}$ MeV	$E_{M^1 A^{1/2}}$	$E_{M^2 A^{1/2}}$	K, MeV
$^{176}\text{Hf}$	14.45	14.51	81.0	34.2	28.3
$^{178}\text{Hf}$	14.41	14.40	81.0	34.2	28.8
$^{180}\text{Hf}$	14.43	14.50	81.3	34.3	29.1




FIG. 1. Multiplicity of photoneutrons for the isotopes  $^{176,178,180}\text{Hf}$ . The length of the vertical lines is equal to two standard deviations. The solid curves are the fitted curves  $M(E_{\gamma_{\max}})$  with the parameters given in Table II.

TABLE VI. Deformation  $\beta$  and quadrupole moment  $Q_0$ .

Nucleus	Our data		From $\beta$ $E_2, 2^1_1 - 0^1_1$ (1)	
	$\beta$	$Q_0, b$	$\beta$	$Q_0, b$
$^{176}\text{Hf}$	$0.30 \pm 0.03$	$7.4 \pm 0.6$	0.27	$7.37 \pm 0.17$
$^{178}\text{Hf}$	$0.30 \pm 0.03$	$7.5 \pm 0.5$	0.25	$6.81 \pm 0.07$
$^{180}\text{Hf}$	$0.30 \pm 0.03$	$7.5 \pm 0.7$	0.25	$6.83 \pm 0.06$

TABLE VII. Dipole strengths of transverse maximum.

i	$\gamma$ -vibrational model		Nonaxial rotator model		Devaydon-Chaban model	
	$\lambda_i$	$(0   \lambda_i)^2$	$\lambda_i$	$(0   \lambda_i)^2$	$\lambda_i$	$(0   \lambda_i)^2$
1	-0.068	0.923	-0.085	0.94	-0.12	0.86
2	0.992	0.073	1.085	0.06	0.71	0.10
3	1.921	0.003	-	-	1.01	0.04

TABLE II. Parameters of photoneutron multiplicity curves.

Nucleus	$a, \text{MeV}^{-1}$	$w$	$z''/f$	$a, \text{MeV}^{-1}$	$w$	$z''/f$
$^{176}\text{Hf}$	$7.0 \pm 0.5$	0	1.07	20.9	$0.36 \pm 0.03$	1.09
$^{178}\text{Hf}$	$5.1 \pm 0.3$	0	0.95	22.8	$0.46 \pm 0.02$	0.91
$^{180}\text{Hf}$	$9.3 \pm 0.6$	0	1.01	23.0	$0.24 \pm 0.015$	0.97

Note.  $f$  is the number of degrees of freedom.

TABLE III. Parameters of the Lorentz curves.

Nucleus	$E_1, \text{MeV}$	$\Gamma_1, \text{MeV}$	$\sigma_1, \text{mb}$	$E_2, \text{MeV}$	$\Gamma_2, \text{MeV}$	$\sigma_2, \text{mb}$	R
$^{176}\text{Hf}$	$12.36 \pm 0.04$	$2.96 \pm 0.09$	$272 \pm 9$	$15.71 \pm 0.06$	$5.0 \pm 0.2$	$269 \pm 5$	$0.60 \pm 0.07$
$^{178}\text{Hf}$	$12.41 \pm 0.05$	$3.00 \pm 0.1$	$283 \pm 10$	$15.75 \pm 0.06$	$4.6 \pm 0.2$	$273 \pm 5$	$0.87 \pm 0.07$
$^{180}\text{Hf}$	$12.41 \pm 0.04$	$2.80 \pm 0.09$	$236 \pm 8$	$15.75 \pm 0.05$	$4.4 \pm 0.1$	$281 \pm 4$	$0.85 \pm 0.07$

TABLE IV. Integrated cross sections

	$^{176}\text{Hf}$	$^{178}\text{Hf}$	$^{180}\text{Hf}$
$\sigma_{\text{int}}, \text{MeV-mb}$	$2571 \pm 12$	$2580 \pm 15$	$2535 \pm 12$
$\sigma_{-1}, \text{mb}$	$184 \pm 1$	$185 \pm 1$	$182 \pm 1$
$\sigma_{-2}, \text{MeV}^{-1} \text{mb}$	$13.65 \pm 0.07$	$13.71 \pm 0.08$	$13.53 \pm 0.07$
$\sigma_{\text{int}}(\gamma, 2n), \text{MeV-mb}$	$518 \pm 4$	$683 \pm 6$	$815 \pm 5$
$\sigma_{\text{int } L}, \text{MeV-mb}$	3370	3318	3188
$\sigma_{\text{int}}/60NZ/A$	1.007	1.003	0.978
$\sigma_{-1} A^{-4/3}$	0.186	0.184	0.179
$\sigma_{-2} A^{-5/3}$	0.00247	0.00243	0.00236
$\sigma_{\text{int}}(\gamma, 2n)/\sigma_{\text{int}}$	0.202	0.265	0.322
$\sigma_{\text{int } L}/60NZ/A$	1.32	1.29	1.23




FIG. 2. Photoabsorption cross sections for the isotopes  $^{176,178,180}\text{Hf}$ . The vertical lines, whose length is two standard deviations, indicate the cross section  $\sigma_{00} = \sigma_{\gamma, n} + 2\sigma_{\gamma, 2n} + \sigma_{\gamma, np} + \dots$  Above the threshold of the  $\gamma, 2n$  reaction the photoabsorption cross section is indicated by the solid circles; the smooth curves are fits by Lorentz curves with the parameters given in Table III.

$\sigma_{\text{int}}$  corrected for neutron multiplicity

REF. G.M. Gurevich, L.E. Lazareva, V.M. Mazur, S.Yu. Merkulov,  
G.V. Solodukhov, V.A. Tyutin  
Nucl. Phys. A351, 257 (1981)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Hf	178	72

METHOD					REF. NO.		hg
					81 Gu 2		
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, MU-T	ABX	THR-20	C	27	NAI-D		4PI

Abstract: The curves of the total gamma-absorption cross sections ( $\sigma_{\text{tot}}$ ) in the E1 giant resonance energy range for the nuclei  $^{154}\text{Sm}$ ,  $^{156}\text{Gd}$ ,  $^{165}\text{Ho}$ ,  $^{168}\text{Er}$ ,  $^{174}\text{Yb}$ ,  $^{178}\text{Hf}$ ,  $^{180}\text{Hf}$ ,  $^{181}\text{Ta}$ ,  $^{182}\text{W}$ ,  $^{184}\text{W}$ ,  $^{186}\text{W}$  and  $^{197}\text{Au}$  have been measured using the absorption method. Parameters of the Lorentz curves fitting the measured cross sections  $\sigma_{\text{tot}}$  are given. Quadrupole moments ( $Q_0$ ) and nuclear deformation parameters ( $\beta$ ) were obtained.

For deformed nuclei in the  $\sim 155 < A < \sim 180$  region a violation of the correlation between giant resonance widths ( $\Gamma$ ) and nuclear deformation parameters was found.  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$ , the widths of the resonances corresponding to vibrations of nucleons along and across the nuclear deformation axis, were observed to decrease with the increase of  $A$  which could be accounted for by the presence of an  $N = 108$  subshell.

NUCLEAR REACTIONS  $^{154}\text{Sm}$ ,  $^{156}\text{Gd}$ ,  $^{165}\text{Ho}$ ,  $^{168}\text{Er}$ ,  $^{174}\text{Yb}$ ,  $^{178,180}\text{Hf}$ ,  $^{181}\text{Ta}$ ,  $^{182,184,186}\text{W}$ ,  $^{197}\text{Au}$  ( $\gamma, X$ ),  $E = 7-20$  MeV; measured total  $\sigma(E)$ ; deduced integrated  $\sigma$ , Lorentz line parameters  $^{154}\text{Sm}$ ,  $^{156}\text{Gd}$ ,  $^{165}\text{Ho}$ ,  $^{168}\text{Er}$ ,  $^{174}\text{Yb}$ ,  $^{178,180}\text{Hf}$ ,  $^{181}\text{Ta}$ ,  $^{182,184,186}\text{W}$ ,  $^{197}\text{Au}$  deduced  $\beta$ ,  $Q_0$ ,  $\Gamma$ ; giant resonance evolution. Enriched, natural targets.




Fig. 2. Total nuclear gamma-absorption cross sections ( $\sigma_{\text{tot}}$ ) measured by the absorption method for  $^{154}\text{Sm}$ ,  $^{156}\text{Gd}$ ,  $^{165}\text{Ho}$ ,  $^{168}\text{Er}$ ,  $^{174}\text{Yb}$ ,  $^{178}\text{Hf}$ ,  $^{180}\text{Hf}$ ,  $^{181}\text{Ta}$ ,  $^{182}\text{W}$ ,  $^{184}\text{W}$ ,  $^{186}\text{W}$  and  $^{197}\text{Au}$ . Rms error bars are shown.

(OVER)

TABLE 2  
Parameters of Lorentz curves fitting the experimental data on  $\sigma_{tot}$

Nucleus	$E_1$ (MeV)	$\sigma_1$ (mb)	$\Gamma_1$ (MeV)	$E_2$ (MeV)	$\sigma_2$ (mb)	$\Gamma_2$ (MeV)	$\frac{\sigma_2 \Gamma_2}{\sigma_1 \Gamma_1}$	$\Gamma$ (MeV)
<sup>154</sup> Sm	12.2	188	3.4	15.7	207	5.7	1.85	8.1
<sup>156</sup> Gd	12.3	206	3.2	15.7	220	5.5	1.81	7.7
<sup>163</sup> Ho	12.3	202	2.3	15.2	239	4.8	2.47	7.0
<sup>168</sup> Er	11.9	222	3.2	15.5	275	4.5	1.73	7.4
<sup>174</sup> Yb	12.3	297	2.9	15.5	320	4.9	1.80	7.1
<sup>178</sup> Hf	12.2	291	3.1	15.5	334	4.9	1.80	7.2
<sup>180</sup> Hf	12.2	286	3.2	15.3	324	5.1	1.81	7.1
<sup>181</sup> Ta	12.1	272	3.0	15.0	316	5.1	1.97	6.8
<sup>182</sup> W	11.9	267	3.2	14.8	303	5.6	2.01	6.8
<sup>184</sup> W	11.9	315	2.9	14.8	321	4.7	1.65	6.8
<sup>186</sup> W	12.0	246	3.3	14.5	332	5.1	2.07	6.4
<sup>197</sup> Au	13.7	535	5.2					
Average error	1.4 %	11.2 %	9.3 %	1.5 %	9.7 %	4.6 %	0.22	0.2 MeV

TABLE 3  
Ratios of nuclear ellipsoid axes ( $k$ ), deformation parameters ( $\beta$ ) and intrinsic quadrupole moments ( $Q_0$ ), calculated from  $E_2/E_1$

Nucleus	<sup>154</sup> Sm	<sup>156</sup> Gd	<sup>163</sup> Ho	<sup>168</sup> Er	<sup>174</sup> Yb	<sup>178</sup> Hf	<sup>180</sup> Hf	<sup>181</sup> Ta	<sup>182</sup> W	<sup>184</sup> W	<sup>186</sup> W
$k$	1.320	1.302	1.259	1.327	1.289	1.296	1.281	1.263	1.271	1.268	1.229
$\beta$	0.326 $\pm 0.017$	0.309 $\pm 0.016$	0.266 $\pm 0.036$	0.334 $\pm 0.032$	0.296 $\pm 0.024$	0.303 $\pm 0.032$	0.288 $\pm 0.036$	0.270 $\pm 0.026$	0.278 $\pm 0.030$	0.274 $\pm 0.032$	0.235 $\pm 0.033$
$Q_0$	6.3 $\pm 0.3$	6.2 $\pm 0.3$	5.8 $\pm 0.8$	7.5 $\pm 0.7$	7.0 $\pm 0.6$	7.5 $\pm 0.8$	7.2 $\pm 0.9$	6.9 $\pm 0.7$	7.2 $\pm 0.8$	7.1 $\pm 0.8$	6.2 $\pm 0.9$

TABLE 4  
Integral characteristics of E1 giant resonance

Nucleus	$\sigma_{0,exp}$ (MeV <sup>-1</sup> ·b)	$\sigma_{0,exp}$ 0.06NZ <sup>1/3</sup> A	$\sigma_{01}$ (MeV <sup>-1</sup> ·b)	$\sigma_{01}$ 0.06NZ <sup>1/3</sup> A	$\sigma_1$ (mb)	$\sigma_{1L}$ (mb)	$\sigma_{-1L}A^{-4/3}$ (mb)	$\sigma_2$ (mb·MeV <sup>-1</sup> )	$\sigma_{-2L}$ (mb·MeV <sup>-1</sup> )	$\sigma_{-2L}A^{-5/3}$ (mb·MeV <sup>-1</sup> )
<sup>154</sup> Sm	1.94 ± 0.06	0.87	2.86	1.29	117 ± 3.5	156	0.189	9.1 ± 0.3	14.3	3.23
<sup>156</sup> Gd	2.07 ± 0.07	0.91	2.95	1.30	143 ± 4.6	163	0.194	10.5 ± 0.4	14.9	3.30
<sup>163</sup> Ho	1.86 ± 0.06	0.78	2.53	1.06	155 ± 4.4	160	0.177	10.1 ± 0.3	12.6	2.54
<sup>168</sup> Er	2.24 ± 0.06	0.92	3.07	1.26	161 ± 4.3	197	0.212	12.0 ± 0.3	16.0	3.13
<sup>174</sup> Yb	2.69 ± 0.05	1.07	3.82	1.52	195 ± 3.4	240	0.247	14.5 ± 0.3	19.2	3.54
<sup>178</sup> Hf	2.85 ± 0.07	1.11	3.99	1.55	208 ± 4.9	247	0.247	15.3 ± 0.4	20.2	3.59
<sup>180</sup> Hf	2.72 ± 0.06	1.05	4.03	1.56	200 ± 4.4	250	0.246	15.1 ± 0.3	20.7	3.61
<sup>181</sup> Ta	2.84 ± 0.07	1.09	3.81	1.46	210 ± 5.3	245	0.239	16.0 ± 0.4	20.0	3.45
<sup>182</sup> W	2.86 ± 0.07	1.09	4.01	1.52	211 ± 5.3	256	0.248	16.2 ± 0.4	21.6	3.70
<sup>184</sup> W	2.78 ± 0.07	1.05	3.80	1.43	207 ± 5.3	251	0.240	15.9 ± 0.4	20.9	3.51
<sup>186</sup> W	2.90 ± 0.07	1.08	3.95	1.48	214 ± 5.3	256	0.241	16.2 ± 0.4	21.6	3.56
<sup>197</sup> Au	3.12 ± 0.06	1.10	4.37	1.54	229 ± 4.2	276	0.241	18.6 ± 0.4	23.3	3.49

HF  
A=179

HF  
A=179

HF  
A=179



Elem. Sym.	A	Z
Hf	179	72
Ref. No. 58 To 1		EH

Method 22 MeV betatron; neutron counters

Reaction	E or $\Delta E$	$E_0$	$\Gamma$	$\int \sigma dE$	$J\pi$	Notes
$\text{Hf}^{179}(\gamma, n)$	Bremss. 6.3-7					$E_{th} = 6.52 \pm 0.12$




FIG. 7. Photoneutron yield from hafnium as a function of betatron energy.

METHOD				REF. NO.			
Betatron; neutron threshold; ion chamber				60 Ge 3			
				NVB			
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, N	NØX	THR	C	THR	BF3-1		4 PI

THRESHOLD

TABLE I. Summary and comparison of neutron separation energies inferred from present threshold measurements with values predicted from mass data and reaction energies. All energies are expressed in the center-of-mass system in Mev.

Reaction	No. runs	Present results	Other results	Method	Reference
Hf <sup>179</sup> ( $\gamma, n$ )Hf <sup>178</sup>	1	6.31 ± 0.07(6.21)	6.17 ± 0.06 6.52 ± 0.12	mass data threshold	q k

\* W. H. Johnson, Jr., and V. B. Bhanot, Phys. Rev. 107, 6 (1957).

TABLE II. Comparison of measured threshold energies with neutron binding energies predicted by mass data for transitions with  $\Delta I \geq 7/2$ . All energies in Mev.

Reaction	$\Delta I^a$	Observed threshold	Mass data Q value	$E_n - Q$	Excited state energy
Cr <sup>52</sup> ( $\gamma, n$ )Cr <sup>51</sup>	7/2	12.18 ± 0.14	12.053 ± 0.004 <sup>b</sup>	0.13 ± 0.14	...
Y <sup>88</sup> ( $\gamma, n$ )Y <sup>87</sup>	7/2	11.59 ± 0.08	11.53 ± 0.40 <sup>c</sup>	0.06 ± 0.41	0.387 <sup>d</sup>
In <sup>114</sup> ( $\gamma, n$ )In <sup>113</sup>	7/2	9.22 ± 0.03	9.35 ± 0.43 <sup>e</sup>	-0.13 ± 0.43	0.191 <sup>a</sup>
Ce <sup>143</sup> ( $\gamma, n$ )Ce <sup>142</sup>	(7/2) <sup>g</sup>	7.24 ± 0.07	6.97 ± 0.07 <sup>f</sup>	0.27 ± 0.10	...
Nd <sup>145</sup> ( $\gamma, n$ )Nd <sup>144</sup>	7/2	6.38 ± 0.16	5.97 ± 0.19 <sup>f</sup>	0.41 ± 0.25	0.690 <sup>a</sup>
Sm <sup>149</sup> ( $\gamma, n$ )Sm <sup>148</sup>	7/2	6.45 ± 0.16	5.87 ± 0.28 <sup>f</sup>	0.58 ± 0.33	0.562 <sup>a</sup>
Er <sup>167</sup> ( $\gamma, n$ )Er <sup>166</sup>	7/2	6.65 ± 0.08	6.45 ± 0.06 <sup>g</sup>	0.20 ± 0.10	0.081 <sup>a</sup>
Hf <sup>177</sup> ( $\gamma, n$ )Hf <sup>176</sup>	7/2	6.69 ± 0.03	6.28 ± 0.06 <sup>g</sup>	0.64 ± 0.07	0.088 <sup>a</sup>
Hf <sup>179</sup> ( $\gamma, n$ )Hf <sup>178</sup>	9/2	6.31 ± 0.07	6.17 ± 0.06 <sup>g</sup>	0.14 ± 0.09	0.093 <sup>a</sup>
Hf <sup>180</sup> ( $\gamma, n$ )Hf <sup>179</sup>	9/2	7.85 ± 0.11	7.32 ± 0.06 <sup>g</sup>	0.53 ± 0.13	0.375 <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> D. Strominger, J. M. Hollander, and G. T. Seaborg, Revs. Modern Phys. 30, 585 (1958).

<sup>b</sup> C. F. Giese and J. L. Benson, Phys. Rev. 110, 712 (1958).

<sup>c</sup> Henry E. Duckworth, *Mass Spectroscopy* (Cambridge University Press, New York, 1958), p. 177.

<sup>d</sup> S. Dzelepov and L. K. Peker, Atomic Energy of Canada Limited Report Tr. AECL-457 (unpublished).

<sup>e</sup> The discrepancy in the case of Ce<sup>143</sup> predicts a ground-state spin for Ce<sup>143</sup> of 0, since the spin of Ce<sup>141</sup> is known to be 7/2.

<sup>f</sup> W. H. Johnson, Jr., and A. O. Nier, Phys. Rev. 105, 1014 (1957).

<sup>g</sup> W. H. Johnson, Jr., and V. B. Bhanot, Phys. Rev. 107, 6 (1957).



METHOD			REF. NO.				
Radioactive source			63 Ve 2 NVB				
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G <sub>g</sub> /G	ABX	0-1	D	0-1	NAI-D		

## ISOMERS

Таблица 11

Измеренные значения после облучения, сравниваемые с другими литературными данными

Элемент	Активность облучения после первого измерения (имп/мин.)	Активн. экстрп. в конце облуч. (имп/мин.)	Литературные данные		Данные измерений		$\sigma_{\text{от}} (10^{-24} \text{ см}^2)$	$\Gamma_{\text{от}} (10^{-4} \text{ эв})$
			$T_{1/2}$	$E$ (кэв)	$T_{1/2}$	$E$ (кэв)		
Se-77m	3842 ± 96	5400	17,5 сек.	160	18,1 ± 1 сек.	160 ± 10	9,5	1,75
Sr-87m	191 ± 5	200	2,8 ч.	390	2,9 ± 0,1 ч.	365 ± 25	0,85	0,2
Y-89m	96 ± 20	170	16 сек.	910	16,7 ± 5 сек.		0,08	0,02
Rh-103m	23 ± 5	31	57 мин.	40	58 ± 2 мин.	20,5 ± 0,5	0,08	0,01
Ag-107m	220 ± 14	250	44 сек.	93	43,8 ± 0,6 сек.	91 ± 10	0,8	0,2
Ag-109m			39 сек.	88				
Hf-179m	80 ± 18	155	19 сек.	160; 215	19 ± 2 сек.		1	0,2
Ir-191m	90 ± 20	250	4,9 сек.	42; 130	5 ± 2 сек.		5,6	1
Pt-195m	90 ± 9	100	3,5 д.	31; 100; 130;	3,5 ± 0,2 д.	32 ± 3 67,5 ± 5 96 ± 5 130 ± 10	0,2	0,04
Au-197m	240 ± 16	520	7,2 сек.	130; 277; 407	7,2 ± 1 сек.	68:130: 280 ± 20 390 ± 20	0,07	0,01
Hg-199m	9,6 ± 3,2		42 мин.	160; 370			0,005	0,001

Acta Phys. Hung. Tom. XVI. Fasc. 3.

REF.

M. Boivin and V. Zecevic  
C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris 270, 1627 (1970)


ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Hf	179	72

METHOD

REF. NO.  
70 Bo 4


egf

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,G	ABI	0 - 2	C	0 - 2	ACT-I		4PI



6 LEVELS

Indirect Activation  
of 18.6s 378 keV level  
by .5-2.0 MeV brems-  
strahlung.



(over)

FORM N  
(REV. 7  
USCOM)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS

TABLEAU.

E (keV).	$\tau_{\alpha}$ (cm <sup>2</sup> .MeV).	$\alpha$ (eV).
650 ± 20	5,7 $\begin{matrix} +5,9 \\ -4,7 \end{matrix} \cdot 10^{-11}$	0,7 $\begin{matrix} +0,7 \\ -0,6 \end{matrix} \cdot 10^{-7}$
880 ± 20	8,2 $\begin{matrix} +8,3 \\ -6,6 \end{matrix} \cdot 10^{-11}$	1,7 $\begin{matrix} +1,7 \\ -1,4 \end{matrix} \cdot 10^{-7}$
1030 ± 20	2,5 $\begin{matrix} +1,7 \\ -1,2 \end{matrix} \cdot 10^{-11}$	6,8 $\begin{matrix} +4,6 \\ -3,2 \end{matrix} \cdot 10^{-7}$
1160 ± 20	2,2 $\begin{matrix} +1,9 \\ -1,5 \end{matrix} \cdot 10^{-11}$	7,7 $\begin{matrix} +6,6 \\ -5 \end{matrix} \cdot 10^{-7}$
1400 $\begin{matrix} +10 \\ -5 \end{matrix}$	2,0 $\begin{matrix} +1,1 \\ -0,7 \end{matrix} \cdot 10^{-11}$	1,0 $\begin{matrix} +0,6 \\ -0,4 \end{matrix} \cdot 10^{-6}$
1605 $\begin{matrix} +10 \\ -5 \end{matrix}$	6,3 $\begin{matrix} +3,1 \\ -1,8 \end{matrix} \cdot 10^{-11}$	4,2 $\begin{matrix} +2,1 \\ -1,2 \end{matrix} \cdot 10^{-6}$



HF  
A=180

HF  
A=180

HF  
A=180



METHOD			REF. NO.				
Betatron; neutron threshold; ion chamber			60 Ge 3 NVB				
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, N	NØX	THR	C	THR	BF3-I		4 PI

THRESHOLD

TABLE I. Summary and comparison of neutron separation energies inferred from present threshold measurements with values predicted from mass data and reaction energies. All energies are expressed in the center-of-mass system in Mev.

Reaction	No. runs	Present results	Other results	Method	Reference
Hf <sup>180</sup> (γ,n)Hf <sup>179</sup>	1	7.85 ± 0.11 (7.48)	7.32 ± 0.06	mass data	9

9 W. H. Johnson, Jr., and V. B. Bhanot, Phys. Rev. 107, 6 (1957).

TABLE II. Comparison of measured threshold energies with neutron binding energies predicted by mass data for transitions with ΔI ≥ 7/2. All energies in Mev.

Reaction	ΔI*	Observed threshold	Mass data Q value	E <sub>n</sub> - Q	Excited state energy
Cr <sup>52</sup> (γ,n)Cr <sup>51</sup>	7/2	12.18 ± 0.14	12.053 ± 0.004 <sup>b</sup>	0.13 ± 0.14	...
Y <sup>88</sup> (γ,n)Y <sup>87</sup>	7/2	11.59 ± 0.08	11.53 ± 0.40 <sup>a</sup>	0.06 ± 0.41	0.387 <sup>d</sup>
In <sup>115</sup> (γ,n)In <sup>114</sup>	7/2	9.22 ± 0.03	9.35 ± 0.43 <sup>a</sup>	-0.13 ± 0.43	0.191 <sup>a</sup>
Ce <sup>142</sup> (γ,n)Ce <sup>141</sup>	(7/2) <sup>e</sup>	7.24 ± 0.07	6.97 ± 0.07 <sup>f</sup>	0.27 ± 0.10	...
Nd <sup>144</sup> (γ,n)Nd <sup>143</sup>	7/2	6.38 ± 0.16	5.97 ± 0.19 <sup>f</sup>	0.41 ± 0.25	0.690 <sup>a</sup>
Sm <sup>149</sup> (γ,n)Sm <sup>148</sup>	7/2	6.45 ± 0.16	5.87 ± 0.28 <sup>f</sup>	0.58 ± 0.33	0.562 <sup>a</sup>
Er <sup>167</sup> (γ,n)Er <sup>166</sup>	7/2	6.65 ± 0.08	6.45 ± 0.06 <sup>g</sup>	0.20 ± 0.10	0.081 <sup>a</sup>
Hf <sup>177</sup> (γ,n)Hf <sup>176</sup>	7/2	6.69 ± 0.03	6.28 ± 0.06 <sup>g</sup>	0.64 ± 0.07	0.088 <sup>a</sup>
Hf <sup>179</sup> (γ,n)Hf <sup>178</sup>	9/2	6.31 ± 0.07	6.17 ± 0.06 <sup>g</sup>	0.14 ± 0.09	0.093 <sup>a</sup>
Hf <sup>180</sup> (γ,n)Hf <sup>179</sup>	9/2	7.85 ± 0.11	7.32 ± 0.06 <sup>g</sup>	0.53 ± 0.13	0.375 <sup>a</sup>

\* D. Strominger, J. M. Hollander, and G. T. Seaborg, Revs. Modern Phys. 30, 585 (1958).  
<sup>a</sup> C. F. Giese and J. L. Benson, Phys. Rev. 110, 712 (1958).  
<sup>b</sup> Henry E. Duckworth, Mass Spectroscopy (Cambridge University Press, New York, 1958), p. 177.  
<sup>c</sup> S. Dzelepov and L. K. Peker, Atomic Energy of Canada Limited Report Tr. AECL-457 (unpublished).  
<sup>d</sup> The discrepancy in the case of Ce<sup>142</sup> predicts a ground-state spin for Ce<sup>141</sup> of 0, since the spin of Ce<sup>142</sup> is known to be 7/2.  
<sup>e</sup> W. H. Johnson, Jr., and A. O. Nier, Phys. Rev. 105, 1014 (1957).  
<sup>f</sup> W. H. Johnson, Jr., and V. B. Bhanot, Phys. Rev. 107, 6 (1957).  
<sup>g</sup> W. H. Johnson, Jr., and V. B. Bhanot, Phys. Rev. 107, 6 (1957).

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Hf	180	72
METHOD	REF. NO.	hmg 11/17/80
	77 Go 4	

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, XN	ABX	7(7.4)-22	C	8-22	BF3-I	---	4PI

Yield curves and photon-neutron multiplicities have been measured for the isotopes  $^{176,178,180}\text{Hf}$  in a betatron bremsstrahlung beam in the energy range 8–21 MeV with a 0.125-MeV step. The measurements were made on-line with a computer. The cross sections were calculated from the yield curves by the Penfold-Leiss method with a 1.0-MeV step. The multiplicity curves were used to separate the contributions of  $(\gamma, n)$  and  $(\gamma, 2n)$  reactions. Integrated cross sections calculated from the photoabsorption cross sections are given, and also average energies and deformation parameters. The results are compared with calculations using various versions of the model of coupling of dipole and quadrupole vibrations.

n multiplicity measurement

TABLE V. Average energies.

Nucleus	$E_{M^1}$ MeV	$E_{M^2}$ MeV	$E_{M^3}$ MeV	$E_{M^4}$ MeV	K, MeV
$^{176}\text{Hf}$	14.45	14.51	81.0	34.2	28.3
$^{178}\text{Hf}$	14.41	14.49	81.0	34.2	28.8
$^{180}\text{Hf}$	14.43	14.50	81.5	34.3	29.1




FIG. 1. Multiplicity of photon-neutrons for the isotopes  $^{176,178,180}\text{Hf}$ . The length of the vertical lines is equal to two standard deviations. The solid curves are the fitted curves  $M(E_{\gamma_{max}})$  with the parameters given in Table II.

TABLE VI. Deformation  $\beta$  and quadrupole moment  $Q_0$ .

Nucleus	Our data		From $\beta$ $E_2, 2^+ \rightarrow 0^+$ ( $^{176}$ )	
	$\beta$	$Q_0, b$	$\beta$	$Q_0, b$
$^{176}\text{Hf}$	$0.30 \pm 0.03$	$7.4 \pm 0.6$	0.27	$7.37 \pm 0.17$
$^{178}\text{Hf}$	$0.30 \pm 0.03$	$7.5 \pm 0.5$	0.25	$6.81 \pm 0.07$
$^{180}\text{Hf}$	$0.30 \pm 0.03$	$7.5 \pm 0.7$	0.25	$6.83 \pm 0.06$

TABLE VII. Dipole strengths of transverse maximum.

i	$\gamma$ -vibrational model		Nonaxial rotator model		Devylov-Chaban model	
	$\lambda_1$	$(0 \lambda_1)^2$	$\lambda_1$	$(0 \lambda_1)^2$	$\lambda_1$	$(0 \lambda_1)^2$
1	-0.088	0.923	-0.085	0.94	-0.12	0.88
2	0.992	0.073	1.085	0.06	0.71	0.10
3	1.921	0.003	-	-	1.01	0.04

TABLE II. Parameters of photon-neutron multiplicity curves.

Nucleus	$\sigma, \text{MeV}^{-1}$	$w$	$z/f$	$\sigma, \text{MeV}^{-1}$	$w$	$z/f$
$^{176}\text{Hf}$	$7.0 \pm 0.5$	0	1.07	20.9	$0.36 \pm 0.03$	1.09
$^{178}\text{Hf}$	$5.1 \pm 0.3$	0	0.95	22.6	$0.46 \pm 0.02$	0.91
$^{180}\text{Hf}$	$9.3 \pm 0.8$	0	1.01	23.0	$0.24 \pm 0.015$	0.97

Note.  $f$  is the number of degrees of freedom.

TABLE III. Parameters of the Lorentz curves.

Nucleus	$E_1, \text{MeV}$	$\Gamma_1, \text{MeV}$	$\sigma_1, \text{mb}$	$E_2, \text{MeV}$	$\Gamma_2, \text{MeV}$	$\sigma_2, \text{mb}$	R
$^{176}\text{Hf}$	$12.36 \pm 0.04$	$2.96 \pm 0.09$	$272 \pm 9$	$15.71 \pm 0.06$	$5.0 \pm 0.02$	$269 \pm 5$	$0.60 \pm 0.07$
$^{178}\text{Hf}$	$12.41 \pm 0.05$	$3.00 \pm 0.1$	$283 \pm 10$	$15.75 \pm 0.06$	$4.6 \pm 0.2$	$273 \pm 5$	$0.67 \pm 0.07$
$^{180}\text{Hf}$	$12.41 \pm 0.04$	$2.80 \pm 0.09$	$336 \pm 8$	$15.75 \pm 0.05$	$4.4 \pm 0.1$	$281 \pm 4$	$0.65 \pm 0.07$

TABLE IV. Integrated cross sections

	$^{176}\text{Hf}$	$^{178}\text{Hf}$	$^{180}\text{Hf}$
$\sigma_{int}, \text{MeV-mb}$	$2571 \pm 12$	$2580 \pm 15$	$2535 \pm 12$
$\sigma_{-1}, \text{mb}$	$184 \pm 1$	$185 \pm 1$	$182 \pm 1$
$\sigma_{-2}, \text{MeV}^{-1} \text{mb}$	$13.65 \pm 0.07$	$13.71 \pm 0.08$	$13.53 \pm 0.07$
$\sigma_{int}(\gamma, 2n), \text{MeV-mb}$	$518 \pm 4$	$683 \pm 6$	$815 \pm 5$
$\sigma_{int L}, \text{MeV-mb}$	3370	3318	3188
$\sigma_{int}/60NZ/A$	1.007	1.003	0.978
$\sigma_{-1} A^{-4/3}$	0.186	0.184	0.179
$\sigma_{-2} A^{-6/3}$	0.00247	0.00243	0.00236
$\sigma_{int}(\gamma, 2n)/\sigma_{int}$	0.202	0.265	0.322
$\sigma_{int L}/60NZ/A$	1.32	1.29	1.23




FIG. 2. Photoabsorption cross sections for the isotopes  $^{176,178,180}\text{Hf}$ . The vertical lines, whose length is two standard deviations, indicate the cross section  $\sigma_{exp} = \sigma_{\gamma, n} + 2\sigma_{\gamma, 2n} + \sigma_{\gamma, 3n} + \dots$ . Above the threshold of the  $(\gamma, 2n)$  reaction the photoabsorption cross section is indicated by the solid circles; the smooth curves are fits by Lorentz curves with the parameters given in Table III.

$\sigma_{int}$  corrected for neutron multiplicity



ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Hf	180	72
REF. NO.		hg
81 Gu 2		

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, MU-T	ABX	THR-20	C	27	NAI-D		4PI

Abstract: The curves of the total gamma-absorption cross sections ( $\sigma_{\text{tot}}$ ) in the E1 giant resonance energy range for the nuclei  $^{154}\text{Sm}$ ,  $^{156}\text{Gd}$ ,  $^{165}\text{Ho}$ ,  $^{168}\text{Er}$ ,  $^{174}\text{Yb}$ ,  $^{178}\text{Hf}$ ,  $^{180}\text{Hf}$ ,  $^{181}\text{Ta}$ ,  $^{182}\text{W}$ ,  $^{184}\text{W}$ ,  $^{186}\text{W}$  and  $^{197}\text{Au}$  have been measured using the absorption method. Parameters of the Lorentz curves fitting the measured cross sections  $\sigma_{\text{tot}}$  are given. Quadrupole moments ( $Q_0$ ) and nuclear deformation parameters ( $\beta$ ) were obtained.

For deformed nuclei in the  $\sim 155 < A < \sim 180$  region a violation of the correlation between giant resonance widths ( $\Gamma$ ) and nuclear deformation parameters was found.  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$ , the widths of the resonances corresponding to vibrations of nucleons along and across the nuclear deformation axis, were observed to decrease with the increase of  $A$  which could be accounted for by the presence of an  $N = 108$  subshell.

NUCLEAR REACTIONS  $^{154}\text{Sm}$ ,  $^{156}\text{Gd}$ ,  $^{165}\text{Ho}$ ,  $^{168}\text{Er}$ ,  $^{174}\text{Yb}$ ,  $^{178,180}\text{Hf}$ ,  $^{181}\text{Ta}$ ,  $^{182,184,186}\text{W}$ ,  $^{197}\text{Au}$  ( $\gamma$ , X).  $E = 7-20$  MeV; measured total  $\sigma(E)$ ; deduced integrated  $\sigma$ , Lorentz line parameters.  $^{154}\text{Sm}$ ,  $^{156}\text{Gd}$ ,  $^{165}\text{Ho}$ ,  $^{168}\text{Er}$ ,  $^{174}\text{Yb}$ ,  $^{178,180}\text{Hf}$ ,  $^{181}\text{Ta}$ ,  $^{182,184,186}\text{W}$ ,  $^{197}\text{Au}$  deduced  $\beta$ ,  $Q_0$ ,  $\Gamma$ , giant resonance evolution. Enriched, natural targets.




Fig. 2. Total nuclear gamma-absorption cross sections ( $\sigma_{\text{tot}}$ ) measured by the absorption method for  $^{154}\text{Sm}$ ,  $^{156}\text{Gd}$ ,  $^{165}\text{Ho}$ ,  $^{168}\text{Er}$ ,  $^{174}\text{Yb}$ ,  $^{178}\text{Hf}$ ,  $^{180}\text{Hf}$ ,  $^{181}\text{Ta}$ ,  $^{182}\text{W}$ ,  $^{184}\text{W}$ ,  $^{186}\text{W}$  and  $^{197}\text{Au}$ . Rms error bars are shown.

(OVER)

TABLE 2  
Parameters of Lorentz curves fitting the experimental data on  $\sigma_{tot}$

Nucleus	$E_1$ (MeV)	$\sigma_1$ (mb)	$\Gamma_1$ (MeV)	$E_2$ (MeV)	$\sigma_2$ (mb)	$\Gamma_2$ (MeV)	$\frac{\sigma_2 \Gamma_2}{\sigma_1 \Gamma_1}$	$\Gamma$ (MeV)
$^{152}\text{Sm}$	12.2	188	3.4	15.7	207	5.7	1.85	8.1
$^{156}\text{Gd}$	12.3	206	3.2	15.7	220	5.5	1.81	7.7
$^{163}\text{Ho}$	12.3	202	2.3	15.2	239	4.8	2.47	7.0
$^{168}\text{Er}$	11.9	222	3.2	15.5	275	4.5	1.73	7.4
$^{174}\text{Yb}$	12.3	297	2.9	15.5	320	4.9	1.80	7.1
$^{178}\text{Hf}$	12.2	291	3.1	15.5	334	4.9	1.80	7.2
$^{180}\text{Hf}$	12.2	286	3.2	15.3	324	5.1	1.81	7.1
$^{181}\text{Ta}$	12.1	272	3.0	15.0	316	5.1	1.97	6.8
$^{182}\text{W}$	11.9	267	3.2	14.8	303	5.6	2.01	6.8
$^{184}\text{W}$	11.9	315	2.9	14.8	321	4.7	1.65	6.8
$^{186}\text{W}$	12.0	246	3.3	14.5	332	5.1	2.07	6.4
$^{197}\text{Au}$	13.7	535	5.2					
Average error	1.4 %	11.2 %	9.3 %	1.5 %	9.7 %	4.6 %	0.22	0.2 MeV

TABLE 3  
Ratios of nuclear ellipsoid axes ( $\lambda$ ), deformation parameters ( $\beta$ ) and intrinsic quadrupole moments ( $Q_0$ ), calculated from  $E_2, E_1$

Nucleus	$^{152}\text{Sm}$	$^{156}\text{Gd}$	$^{163}\text{Ho}$	$^{168}\text{Er}$	$^{174}\text{Yb}$	$^{178}\text{Hf}$	$^{180}\text{Hf}$	$^{181}\text{Ta}$	$^{182}\text{W}$	$^{184}\text{W}$	$^{186}\text{W}$
$\lambda$	1.320	1.302	1.289	1.327	1.289	1.296	1.281	1.263	1.271	1.268	1.229
$\beta$	0.326 $\pm 0.017$	0.309 $\pm 0.016$	0.266 $\pm 0.036$	0.334 $\pm 0.032$	0.296 $\pm 0.024$	0.303 $\pm 0.032$	0.288 $\pm 0.036$	0.270 $\pm 0.026$	0.278 $\pm 0.030$	0.274 $\pm 0.032$	0.235 $\pm 0.033$
$Q_0$	6.3 $\pm 0.3$	6.2 $\pm 0.3$	5.8 $\pm 0.8$	7.5 $\pm 0.7$	7.0 $\pm 0.6$	7.5 $\pm 0.8$	7.2 $\pm 0.9$	6.9 $\pm 0.7$	7.2 $\pm 0.8$	7.1 $\pm 0.8$	6.2 $\pm 0.9$

TABLE 4  
Integral characteristics of E1 giant resonance

Nucleus	$\sigma_{0,exp}$ (MeV $^{-1}$ b)	$\sigma_{0,exp}$ 0.06NZ $^{-1}$ A	$\sigma_{01}$ (MeV $^{-1}$ b)	$\sigma_{01}$ 0.06NZ $^{-1}$ A	$\sigma_{-1}$ (mb)	$\sigma_{-1L}$ (mb)	$\sigma_{-1L} A^{+3/4}$ (mb)	$\sigma_{-2}$ (mb $\cdot$ MeV $^{-1}$ )	$\sigma_{-2L}$ (mb $\cdot$ MeV $^{-1}$ )	$\sigma_{-2L} A^{5/3}$ ( $\mu$ b $\cdot$ MeV $^{-1}$ )
$^{152}\text{Sm}$	1.94 $\pm$ 0.06	0.87	2.86	1.29	117 $\pm$ 3.5	156	0.189	9.1 $\pm$ 0.3	14.3	3.23
$^{156}\text{Gd}$	2.07 $\pm$ 0.07	0.91	2.95	1.30	143 $\pm$ 4.6	163	0.194	10.5 $\pm$ 0.4	14.9	3.30
$^{163}\text{Ho}$	1.86 $\pm$ 0.06	0.78	2.53	1.06	155 $\pm$ 4.4	160	0.177	10.1 $\pm$ 0.3	12.6	2.54
$^{168}\text{Er}$	2.24 $\pm$ 0.06	0.92	3.07	1.26	161 $\pm$ 4.3	197	0.212	12.0 $\pm$ 0.3	16.0	3.13
$^{174}\text{Yb}$	2.69 $\pm$ 0.05	1.07	3.82	1.52	195 $\pm$ 3.4	240	0.247	14.5 $\pm$ 0.3	19.2	3.54
$^{178}\text{Hf}$	2.85 $\pm$ 0.07	1.11	3.99	1.55	208 $\pm$ 4.9	247	0.247	15.3 $\pm$ 0.4	20.2	3.59
$^{180}\text{Hf}$	2.72 $\pm$ 0.06	1.05	4.03	1.56	200 $\pm$ 4.4	250	0.246	15.1 $\pm$ 0.3	20.7	3.61
$^{181}\text{Ta}$	2.84 $\pm$ 0.07	1.09	3.81	1.46	210 $\pm$ 5.3	245	0.239	16.0 $\pm$ 0.4	20.0	3.45
$^{182}\text{W}$	2.86 $\pm$ 0.07	1.09	4.01	1.52	211 $\pm$ 5.3	256	0.248	16.2 $\pm$ 0.4	21.6	3.70
$^{184}\text{W}$	2.78 $\pm$ 0.07	1.05	3.80	1.43	207 $\pm$ 5.3	251	0.240	15.9 $\pm$ 0.4	20.9	3.51
$^{186}\text{W}$	2.90 $\pm$ 0.07	1.08	3.95	1.48	214 $\pm$ 5.3	256	0.241	16.2 $\pm$ 0.4	21.6	3.56
$^{197}\text{Au}$	3.12 $\pm$ 0.06	1.10	4.37	1.54	229 $\pm$ 4.2	276	0.241	18.6 $\pm$ 0.4	23.3	3.49

TANTALUM  
Z=73

Anders Ekeberg reported the discovery of a metallic nature in some mineral samples taken from Sweden and Finland. Because of the frustrations and problems encountered in defining the properties and chemical nature of the new element, Ekeberg named the substance after Tantalus, a Greek mythological character. Tantalus was a Phrygian king who, for his crimes, was condemned to remain in Tartarus, standing, unable to drink or eat, chin deep in water with fruit-laden branches hanging above his head.

Scrap tantalum is reworked by moderate heating in pressurized hydrogen. The metal increases in volume by a factor of 740 when heated to a dull red, becomes brittle, and is easily pulverized. The powder obtained is washed in acid and further heated in vacuum to a higher temperature to expel the hydrogen. This powder is then used to produce ingots which have properties not quite like those of the primary metal.



METHOD  
Synchrotron; ZnS counter; ion chamber

REF. NO.

55 Jo 1

NVB

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,N	RLY	THR - 65	C 65		SCI-D	5 - +	DST
G,N	RLY	THR - 65	C 65		SCI-D	10 - +	DST




FIG. 8. The angular distributions of the neutrons from tantalum. Counter thresholds at 5 and 10 Mev.

Curves of Form  $a + b \sin^2 \theta$




FIG. 11. The relative yield per mole for neutrons above 7.5 Mev as a function of the neutron number.

Elem. Sym.	A	Z
Ta	181	73
Ref. No.		NVB
55 To 1		

Method Betatron; proton spectrum, yield, angular distribution; nuclear emulsions

Reaction	E or ΔE	E <sub>0</sub>	Γ	∫σdE	Jπ	Notes
----------	---------	----------------	---	------	----	-------

Ta<sup>181</sup>(γ, xp)  
 23




FIG. 1. The histogram gives the energy distribution of the photoprotons from tantalum exposed to 23-Mev bremsstrahlung. The smooth curve is the distribution calculated for the direct process and normalized to the observed protons. The dashed curve is the calculated distribution for the evaporation process fitted to indicate the maximum possible evaporation yield. The shaded groups are background.




FIG. 3. The numbers of tantalum photoprotons per unit solid angle in arbitrary units is plotted as a function of their angle from the photon direction. In addition, the crosses show the angular distribution of photoprotons of 8 to 12 Mev energy, the circles photoprotons over 13 Mev.

TABLE II. Calculated and observed photoproton yields.

	Bi	Pb <sup>208</sup>	Ta	Ce	In	Mo <sup>98</sup>	Ag
Z (protons)	83	82	73	58	49	42	
B <sub>1</sub> (Mev)*	3.76	8.02	6.2	8.5	6.8	10.5	8.0
N (neutrons)	126	126	108	82	66	58	50
B <sub>2</sub> (Mev)*	7.44	7.38	7.55	7.05	9.05	8.1	13.1
F <sub>1</sub> (observed)	5	2.6	5.6	12	11.7	9.2	160
(HP protons mole <sup>-1</sup> roentgen <sup>-1</sup> )				(Σ <sup>9</sup> direct)	(Σ <sup>9</sup> direct)		
Betatron energy (Mev)	24	23	23	24	24	22.5	22.5
F <sub>0</sub> (calc. direct)	1.5	0.2	0.7	0.7	2		
(HP protons mole <sup>-1</sup> roentgen <sup>-1</sup> )							
F <sub>0</sub> (calc. + F <sub>1</sub> calc. direct)	3.3	13	8	13	4	0.6 to 1.37	120 to 220
F <sub>0</sub> (calc. evap.)	0.3	0.002	0.005	0.07	1.1	20	~1
(HP protons mole <sup>-1</sup> roentgen <sup>-1</sup> )							
F <sub>0</sub> (obs.) + F <sub>0</sub> (calc. evap.)	17	1300	110	170	10	125 to 20	~1
Possible obs. evap.	<0.3	<0.2	<0.2	<3	<3	forward <sup>b</sup>	forward <sup>b</sup> ~isot. <sup>c</sup>
Angular distr.	far forward <sup>b</sup>	far forward <sup>b</sup>	~isotr. <sup>c</sup>	~isotr. <sup>c</sup>	forward <sup>b</sup>	forward <sup>b</sup>	~isot. <sup>c</sup>

\* B<sub>1</sub> is the binding energy of the last proton, B<sub>2</sub> is the binding energy of the last neutron.  
 \*\* M. E. Toms and W. E. Stephens, Phys. Rev. 92, 162 (1953).  
 \*\*\* W. A. Butler and G. M. Almy, Phys. Rev. 91, 58 (1953).

Elem. Sym.	A	Z
Ta	181	73
Ref. No.		EGF
56 Ga 1		

Method  $\gamma$ -Bremsstrahlung; synchrotron; BF<sub>3</sub> counter

Reaction	E or $\Delta E$	E <sub>0</sub>	$\Gamma$	$\int \sigma dE$	$J\pi$	Notes
( $\gamma, xn$ ) <u>568</u>	~ 8-27	14.5	6.8	3.87 MeV-b		<u>568t</u>
( $\mu_e$ )	~ 8-27	13.9	4.5	2.74 MeV-b		

TABLE I. Fundamental characteristics of photoneutron cross sections.

Element	E <sub>0</sub> max in mev	$\sigma_n$ max in barns	half width in mev	$\int_{E_n}^{E_0} \sigma_n(E) dE$ in mev-barns	$\int_{E_n}^{E_0} \sigma_n(E) dE / \sigma_n$ max
Copper	11.2	0.125	4.5	0.93	7.4
Zinc	10.3	0.181	6.3	0.66	8.1
Cadmium	15.0	0.276	6.5	2.25	8.4
Iodine	15.5	0.288	6.0	2.65	8.2
Tantalum	14.5	0.451	6.5	3.31	8.6
Gold	14.2	0.571	6.0	4.37	7.6
Thallium	14.0	0.656	5.1	4.99	7.6
Bismuth	13.9	0.557	5.0	3.96	7.4
Thorium	14.5	0.759	5.0	6.33	8.0
Uranium	14.1	1.14	6.3	12.5	10.6

TABLE II. Threshold of photoneutron reactions (mev).

Element	( $\gamma, n$ )	( $\gamma, 2n$ )	( $\gamma, 3n$ )	( $\gamma, 4n$ )
Cadmium	6.7	14.0	23.0	>30
Iodine	9.4	16.2	26.0	32.0
Tantalum	7.6	13.9	21.6	28.2
Gold	8.1	14.0	22.9	>30
Thallium	7.5	14.0	22	28.8
Bismuth	7.4	14.2	22.6	29.6

TABLE III. Characteristics of the cross section of absorption of  $\gamma$ -quanta by nuclei.

Element	E <sub>res</sub> in mev	$\sigma_{\gamma}(E=E_{res})$ in barns	half width in mev	$\int_{E_n}^{E_0} \sigma_{\gamma} dE$ in mev-barns	$\int_{E_n}^{E_0} \sigma_{\gamma} dE / \sigma_{\gamma}(E_{res})$	$\int_{E_n}^{E_0} \sigma_{\gamma} dE / \int_{E_n}^{E_0} \sigma_{\gamma} dE$	$\int_{E_n}^{E_0} \sigma_{\gamma} dE / \int_{E_n}^{E_0} \sigma_{\gamma} dE$	$r_0 \times 10^3$ in cm
Cadmium	15.0	0.263	5.1	1.76	1.66	0.111	0.03745	1.26
Iodine	15.5	0.288	4.5	1.50	1.40	0.117	0.03753	1.16
Tantalum	14.5	0.451	4.5	2.75	1.05	0.190	0.0139	1.15
Gold	14.2	0.571	4.0	3.40	1.23	0.244	0.0182	1.23
Thallium	14.0	0.648	4.3	3.77	1.28	0.266	0.0200	1.25
Bismuth	13.9	0.557	4.3	3.42	1.04	0.250	0.0173	1.16




Figure 2: Photoneutron cross section  $\sigma_n$ , computed from the yield curve by the "photon difference method." "x" -- cross section measured in Ref. 10 [E.A. Whalin and A.O. Hanson, Phys. Rev. 89, 324 (1953)] for tantalum. For Cd, I, Ta, Au, Tl and Bi, curves are presented for the  $\sigma_n$  cross section of  $\gamma$ -quanta, computed from the statistical theory of nuclei. "•" - cross sections obtained in Ref. 9 [Nathans and Halpern, Phys. Rev. 93, 437 (1954)].

Elem. Sym.	A	Z
Ta	181	73
Ref. No.		EGF
56 Ha 1		

Method: Li (p,γ) source, 480 kev protons. BF<sub>3</sub> counters

Reaction	E or ΔE	E <sub>0</sub>	Γ	∫σdE	Jπ	Notes
Ta <sup>181</sup> (γ, xn)						Average Li cross section is <u>355</u> mb; cross section with detector response weighted for low energy neutrons, <u>360</u> mb. Assumed ratio 17.6/14.8 = 1.7. Calculated cross section at 14.8 and 17.6 MeV assuming cross section curves measured at Pennsylvania and Saskatchewan (refer Table I).

TABLE I. Cross sections for photoneutron emission induced by the lithium gamma rays. The results are compared with previous data

Elem. Sym.	Present cross-section data		Data of McDaniel et al. <sup>a</sup>	Beta-tron data					
	Counter Group A	Counter Group B		Pennsylvania		Saskatchewan			
				σ <sub>4.8</sub> <sup>b</sup>	σ <sub>17.6</sub> <sup>b</sup>	σ <sub>4.8</sub> <sup>c</sup>	σ <sub>17.6</sub> <sup>c</sup>	σ <sub>14.8</sub> <sup>d</sup>	σ <sub>17.6</sub> <sup>d</sup>
<sup>56</sup> Fe	38 mb	33 mb	37 mb			60 <sup>f</sup> mb	0.5	23 mb	47 mb
<sup>58</sup> Co	40	49	47	60 <sup>e</sup> mb	0.5	95 <sup>f</sup>	0.5	30	60
<sup>58</sup> Ni	25	25	23			40 <sup>e</sup>	0.7	22	32
<sup>63</sup> Cu	64	61	55 ± 12			95 <sup>f</sup>	0.6	45	75
<sup>66</sup> Zn	48	45	48			90 <sup>f</sup>	0.7	38	54
<sup>107</sup> Ag	175	170	135			240 <sup>f</sup>	1.0	175	175
<sup>119</sup> Sn	200	190	180						
<sup>181</sup> Ta	355	360	360	350 <sup>g</sup>	1.3	420 <sup>e</sup>	2.3	420 <sup>i</sup>	320 <sup>i</sup>
<sup>186</sup> W	365	355	325						
<sup>197</sup> Au	330	295		315 <sup>g</sup>	1.7	480 <sup>f</sup>	1.9	460	255
<sup>200</sup> Hg	365	340	290						
<sup>208</sup> Pb	310	295	250	320 <sup>g</sup>	1.6	440 <sup>f</sup>	2.5	400 <sup>i</sup>	250 <sup>i</sup>
<sup>209</sup> Bi	305	280	250	270 <sup>g</sup>	2.6	550 <sup>f</sup>	2.4	490	195

<sup>a</sup> See reference 3.  
<sup>b</sup> Average of 14.8- and 17.6-Mev cross sections weighted with relative intensities of the lithium gamma-ray lines.  
<sup>c</sup> See reference 24.  
<sup>d</sup> R. Nathans, Ph.D. thesis, University of Pennsylvania, 1954 (unpublished).  
<sup>e</sup> J. Halpern (private communication).  
<sup>f</sup> See reference 23.  
<sup>g</sup> See reference 32.  
<sup>h</sup> Separate cross sections at 14.8 and 17.6 Mev as obtained from Group A data and 14.8/17.6 beta-tron cross-section ratios.  
<sup>i</sup> Obtained using 14.8/17.6 cross-section ratio from Pennsylvania beta-tron data.  
<sup>j</sup> Obtained using 14.8/17.6 cross-section ratio from Saskatchewan beta-tron data.



Elem. Sym.	A	Z
Ta	181	73

Method 31 MeV Canberra electron synchrotron; neutron yield, Szilard-Chalmers detector;  $\text{Cu}^{63}(\gamma, n)$  monitor

Ref. No.	EGF
57 Ca 1	

Reaction	E or $\Delta E$	$E_0$	$\Gamma$	$\int \sigma dE$	$J\pi$	Notes
$\text{Ta}^{181}(\gamma, n)$	Bremss. 8-31					$\int_0^{31} \sigma_1 dE / \int_0^{31} (\sigma_2 + \sigma_3) dE = 2.6 \pm 0.3$ $\int_{17}^{31} \sigma_1 dE / \int_{17}^{31} (\sigma_2 + \sigma_3) dE = 0.86 \pm 0.15$
$\text{Ta}^{181}(\gamma, 2n)$						
$\text{Ta}^{181}(\gamma, 3n)$						
$\text{Ta}^{181}(\gamma, xn)$						




Figure 3. The measured neutron yield curves for tantalum: (a) the total yield for all neutron producing reactions obtained from the Szilard-Chalmers measurements; (b) the yield for single neutron production obtained from the  $^{150}\text{Ta}$  activation measurements; (c) the yield for multiple reactions obtained by subtraction.




Figure 4.—The measured photoneutron cross sections for tantalum: (a) the  $(\gamma, n)$  cross section  $\sigma_1$ ; (b) the cross section for multiple production  $\sigma_m = 2\sigma_2 + 3\sigma_3$ ; (c) the sum of the cross sections for the  $(\gamma, 2n)$  and  $(\gamma, 3n)$  cross sections,  $\sigma_2 + \sigma_3$ , derived from  $\sigma_m$  assuming the ratio  $\sigma_2/\sigma_3$  is as given by the statistical theory.

Ref. P. Erdos, P. Scherrer, P. Stoll  
 Helva. Phys. Acta 30, 639 (1957)

Elem. Sym.	A	Z
Ta	181	73

Method Betatron;  $\alpha$  yield; radioactivity;  $\text{Cu}^{65}(\gamma, n)$  reaction.

Ref. No.	EGF
57 Er 1	

Reaction	E or $\Delta E$	$E_0$	$\Gamma$	$\int \sigma dE$	$J\pi$	Notes
$\text{Ta}^{181}(\gamma, \alpha)$	Bremss. 32			$0.145 \pm 0.03$ MeV-mb		Based on yield measurement.

Elem. Sym.	A	Z
Ta	181	73

Method Betatron; neutron yield; threshold detector

Ref. No. 57 Fe 2  
 45 NVB

Reaction	E or $\Delta E$	$E_0$	$\Gamma$	$\int \sigma dE$	$J\pi$	Notes
Ta <sup>181</sup> ( $\gamma, n!$ )	Bremss. 14-30					R - ratio between area of second maximum of cross section and first maximum.  R = 0.5 $\pm$ 0.1  Detector at 90 $^\circ$




Fig. 1.

Elem. Sym.	A	Z
Ta	181	73
Ref. No. 58 Be 2		EH

Method MIT linear accelerator; time of flight

Reaction	E or $\Delta E$	$E_0$	$\Gamma$	$\int \sigma dE$	$J\pi$	Notes
Ta ( $\gamma, n$ )	Bremss. ~ 14.3 ~ 15.8					Detector at 120°




Fig. 3. Energy spectra  $(1/E_n)(dN/dE_n)$  of photoneutrons from Ta for bremsstrahlung of maximum energies ~14.3 Mev and ~15.8 Mev, and difference spectrum.

Elem. Sym.	A	Z
Ta	181	73
Ref. No.		EH
58 Ca 1		

Method Canberra electron synchrotron; neutron yield; radioactivity

Reaction	E or ΔE	E <sub>0</sub>	Γ	∫σdE	Jπ	Notes
Ta <sup>181</sup> (γ,n)	Bremss. 7-32			∫ <sup>31</sup> = 73±3%		E <sub>th</sub> = 7.6 MeV
Ta <sup>181</sup> (γ,3n)				∫ <sup>31</sup> = 3.0±1.2%		E <sub>th</sub> = 22 MeV
Ta <sup>181</sup> (γ,2n)				∫ <sup>31</sup> = 24±3%		E <sub>th</sub> = 14 MeV  ∫σ <sub>(tot)</sub> dE = 3.3±1.2 MeV-




Figure 1. Yield curve and derived cross section for the reaction <sup>181</sup>Ta(γ, 3n)<sup>178</sup>Ta. The circles and triangles refer to the normalized 9.5 min and 150 min observations.




Figure 2. Cross sections  $\sigma_1$ ,  $\sigma_2$  and  $\sigma_3$  for the reactions <sup>181</sup>Ta(γ, n), (γ, 2n) and (γ, 3n) and the total cross section  $\sigma = \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \sigma_3$ .

B.G. Chidley, L. Katz, S. Kowalski  
 Can. J. Phys. 36, 407 (1958)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73
REF. NO.		
58 Ch 2		NVB

Betatron				REF. NO.			
				58 Ch 2		NVB	
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,N	RLY	THR	C	THR	BF3-I		4PI

See 58 Ka 1 for cross sections

THRESHOLD

TABLE I  
 MEASURED PHOTONEUTRON THRESHOLDS

Reaction	Measured Q value, Mev.	Other Q values, Mev.	Method	Reference
Ta <sup>181</sup> ( $\gamma$ , n)Ta <sup>180</sup>	7.66 ± 0.05	7.7 ± 0.2	Threshold	McElhinney <i>et al.</i> (1949)
		8.0	Threshold	Johns <i>et al.</i> (1950)
		7.55 ± 0.20	Threshold	Sher <i>et al.</i> (1951)

Elem. Sym.	A	Z
Ta	181	73

Method  
 Emulsions; Betatron; ionization chamber

Ref. No.  
 58 Co 1  
 EH

Reaction	E or ΔE	E <sub>0</sub>	Γ	∫σdE	Jπ	Notes
Ta <sup>181</sup> (γ, n)	Bremss. 20 30					<p>E<sub>th</sub> = 7.6 MeV; 90° spectrum.</p> <p>In figure 3, the four theoretical curves (I-IV) are normalized to the experimental yield.</p> <p>Level density:</p> <p>Curve I: <math>\omega(E_R) = C \exp[2\sqrt{\alpha E_R}]</math>;</p> $\alpha = \frac{\pi^2 A}{160}$ <p>Curve II: See Weisskopf and Ewing: Phys. Rev. <b>76</b>, 1550 (49)</p> <p>Curve III: See Price: Phys. Rev. <b>93</b>, 1279 (1954)</p> <p>Curve IV: See Schiff: Phys. Rev. <b>73</b>, 1311 (1948)</p>




Fig. 3. - Energy spectrum of the photoneutrons from Ta. Experimental figures for the high energy tail are multiplied by 10. The curves I, II, III and IV are the evaporation spectra calculated under different assumptions for the level density (see text).

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73

Betatron; ion chamber

REF. NO.	
58 Fu 1	NVB

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, XN	ABY	7-40	C	7-40	BF3-I		4PI

TABLE I. Target properties and results.

Element	Form used	Weight grams	$\sigma^*(\gamma, n)^a$ barns	$\frac{SedE^b}{NZ/A}$ Mev-b	" $\Gamma$ " <sup>c</sup> Mev
Sn	Sn	4.81	0.30	0.064	5.0
I	I	8.55	0.36	0.085	6.0
La	La	10.43	0.34	0.063	5.2
Ce	Ce	4.99	0.45	0.080	4.5
Sm	Sm <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	2.90	0.26	0.073	8.6
Tb	Tb <sub>4</sub> O <sub>7</sub>	3.04	0.39	0.087	8.7
Ho	Ho <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	1.87	0.41	0.079	7.5
Er	Er <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	5.41	0.50	0.100	8.5
Yb	Yb <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	5.57	0.50	0.090	7.0
Ta	Ta	8.41	0.49	0.077	6.0
Au	Au	3.16	0.68	0.085	4.2
Pb	Pb	8.05	0.75	0.081	3.8

<sup>a</sup>  $\sigma^*(\gamma, n)$  is the maximum value and " $\Gamma$ " the full width at  $\sigma^*(\gamma, n)/2$  of the neutron production cross section corrected for multiple neutron emission. Data were not fitted with resonance lines to determine these values.  
<sup>b</sup> Integrated neutron production cross sections corrected for multiple neutrons above  $(\gamma, 2n)$  threshold.

TABLE II. Energies of resonances in deformed nuclei.<sup>a</sup>

Nucl. No.	$E_0$ Mev	$O_0$ barns	Method	$E_{1/2}^*$ Mev	$E_{1/2}^b$ Mev	$E_{1/2}^{**}$ Mev	$E_{1/2}^{***}$ Mev
117Tb <sup>119</sup>	14.7	6.9 <sup>b</sup>	CE	11.9	16.2	10.8	19.5
117Ho <sup>166</sup>	14.5	7.8 <sup>b</sup>	CE	11.5	16.0	11.0	18.5
118Er <sup>167</sup>	14.5	21 <sup>b</sup>	SC	8.5	17.5	11.5	20.0
118Er <sup>164</sup>	14.5	7.8 <sup>b</sup>	CE	11.6	15.9	11.5	20.0
119Ta <sup>161</sup>	14.1	12.6 <sup>a</sup>	SC	10.5	15.9	11.3	17.3
119Ta <sup>162</sup>	14.1	6.8 <sup>a</sup>	CE	11.9	15.2	11.3	17.3
119Au <sup>197</sup>	13.6	3.75 <sup>a</sup>	SC	12.5	14.1	11.8	16.2

<sup>a</sup> CE—Coulomb excitation; SC—spectroscopic;  $E_{1/2}^*$ ,  $E_{1/2}^{**}$ —energies at which giant resonance drops to half its maximum value.  
<sup>b</sup> Adler, Bohr, Huus, Mottelson, and Winther. Revs. Modern Phys. 28, 432 (1956).  
<sup>c</sup> M. L. Pool and D. N. Kundu. Chart of Atomic Nuclei (Longs College Book Company, Columbus, 1955).




FIG. 6. Mean energy and width of giant resonances. " $E_0$ " and " $\Gamma$ " are the mean energy for photon absorption and the full width at half maximum of the giant resonance obtained from dashed histograms as in Fig. 5. No attempt was made to fit data with resonance curves to obtain these parameters.



ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73
REF. NO.		NVB
58 Fu 2		

METHOD  
Betatron; ion chamber

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, XN	ABX	8-23	C	THR-25	BF <sub>3</sub> -I		4PI

CF DANØS THEORY

TABLE I. Resonance parameters.

	Tb <sup>119</sup>	Ta <sup>181</sup>	Au <sup>197</sup>
B <sub>1/2</sub> (Mev)	5.2	14.0	14.2
E <sub>0</sub> (Mev)	12.5	12.45	13.15
σ <sub>0</sub> <sup>0</sup> (Mb)	258	303	255
Γ <sub>0</sub> (Mev)	2.4	2.3	2.9
E <sub>0</sub> (Mev)	16.3	15.45	13.90
σ <sub>0</sub> <sup>0</sup> (Mb)	310	348	365
Γ <sub>0</sub> (Mev)	4.0	4.4	4.0

TABLE II. Integral cross sections.

	Tb <sup>119</sup>	Ta <sup>181</sup>	Au <sup>197</sup>
$\int \sigma_0 dE / 0.06NZ$	1.27	1.30	1.29
$\int \sigma_0 dE / \int \sigma_0 dE$	2.00	2.16	1.97
$\int \sigma_0 (\sigma_0 + \sigma) dE / 0.06NZ$	1.27	1.35	1.22

TABLE III. Intrinsic quadrupole moments, in barns.

	Tb <sup>119</sup>	Ta <sup>181</sup>	Au <sup>197</sup>
E <sub>0</sub> /E <sub>0</sub>	1.30 ± 0.05	1.25 ± 0.01	1.06 ± 0.03
Q <sub>0</sub> (R <sub>0</sub> = 1.09 × 10 <sup>-13</sup> cm)	5.6 ± 0.6	5.7 ± 0.3	1.6 ± 0.6
Q <sub>0</sub> (Coulomb excitation)	6.9 <sup>a</sup>	6.8 <sup>a</sup>	2.6 <sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Adler, Bohr, Huus, Mottelson, and Winter, Revs. Modern Phys. 28, 432 (1956).  
<sup>b</sup> P. H. Stelson and F. K. McGowan, Phys. Rev. 99, 112 (1955).




FIG. 6. Neutron cross section for tantalum. See Fig. 5 for description. Circles, triangles, and alternate dots represent four independent determinations of the cross section from the original activation curve. The correction for neutron multiplicity required to bring the experimental points down to the smooth curve in the region from 14 to 16 Mev would probably be within the limits of errors on the cross sections given by Carver and Turchinetz (reference 16). The open squares and closed squares correspond to the limiting positions of the experimental points based on curves A and B given in Fig. 4.

REF. E.G. Fuller, E. Hayward  
 Phys. Rev. Letters 1, 465 (1958)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73

METHOD  
 Betatron

REF. NO.	NVB
58 Fu 3	

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,G	ABX	5-27	C	5-27	NAI-D		120

CF DANØS THEORY




FIG. 1. The differential elastic scattering cross section for tantalum at 120° as a function of photon energy. The smooth curves are calculated using the dispersion relation and the resonance parameters given in reference 1 with the peak cross sections reduced by 10%. The solid curve is the result obtained assuming a tensor electric dipole polarizability [Eq. (5)]; whereas the dashed curve results from assuming a scalar polarizability [Eq. (6)].

METHOD Betatron; neutron cross section; BF<sub>3</sub> counters; ion chamber monitor

REF. NO.

58 Ka 1

NVB

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, XN	ABX	9-22	C	9-22	BF <sub>3</sub> -I		4PI

Таблица 2

Пороги испускания фотонейтронов

Изотоп	$B_{n, \text{Mэв}}$	$B_{\gamma n, \text{Mэв}}$	Изотоп	$B_{n, \text{Mэв}}$	$B_{\gamma n, \text{Mэв}}$
V <sup>51</sup>	11,16	20,5	Lu <sup>159</sup>	8,81	16,1
Mn <sup>55</sup>	10,14	19,2	Pt <sup>141</sup>	9,46	17,6
Co <sup>59</sup>	10,44	18,6	Tb <sup>139</sup>	8,16	14,8
As <sup>75</sup>	10,24	18,1	Ho <sup>165</sup>	8,10	14,6
Y <sup>89</sup>	11,82	20,7	Tm <sup>169</sup>	8,00	14,7
Nb <sup>93</sup>	8,86	17,1	Lu <sup>175</sup>	7,77	14,2
Rh <sup>103</sup>	9,46	16,8	Ta <sup>181</sup>	7,66	13,8
J <sup>127</sup>	9,14	16,2	Au <sup>197</sup>	7,96	13,3
Cs <sup>133</sup>	9,11	16,5	Bi <sup>209</sup>	7,43	14,5

THRESHOLDS

не приведены, поскольку они превышают 22 Мэв во всех случаях, кроме золота, для которого  $B_{\gamma n} = 21 \text{ Мэв}$ . Свойства сечений  $\sigma_C(\gamma)$  сведены в табл. 3.

Таблица 1

Изотоп	$B_{\text{мэв}}$	$\sigma_n(E_\gamma)$ , барн	$\Gamma$ , Мэв	$\Sigma^{\text{т}}$ , Мэв-барн	$\gamma(22)$ , $10^6$ нейтрон/100 р-моль
V <sup>51</sup>	18,4	0,062	5,2	0,33	1,62
Mn <sup>55</sup>	20,2	0,060	7,0	0,39	2,01
Co <sup>59</sup>	18,3	0,068	6,3	0,44	2,30
As <sup>75</sup>	16,4	0,090	9,5	0,74	4,25
Y <sup>89</sup>	17,1	0,172	5,2	0,93	5,33
Nb <sup>93</sup>	18,0	0,156	7,5	1,17	5,80
Rh <sup>103</sup>	17,5	0,160	9,4	1,40	8,28
J <sup>127</sup>	15,2	0,273	6,8	1,76	11,9
Cs <sup>133</sup>	16,5	0,238	7,7	1,59	10,7
Lu <sup>159</sup>	15,5	0,325	3,8	1,55	11,2
Pt <sup>141</sup>	15,0	0,320	4,9	1,93	13,1
Tb <sup>139</sup>	15,6	0,274	9,8	2,49	18,1
Ho <sup>165</sup>	13,5	0,305	8,9	2,52	18,7
Tm <sup>169</sup>	16,4	0,250	8,4	1,91	14,9
Lu <sup>175</sup>	16,0	0,225	8,4	1,90	23,0
Ta <sup>181</sup>	14,5	0,380	8,5	3,15	22,0
Au <sup>197</sup>	13,8	0,475	4,7	3,04	22,6
Bi <sup>209</sup>	13,2	0,455	5,9	2,89	23,2




Рис. 15.

а — Выход фотонейтронов для Та; б —  $\sigma_n(E_\gamma)$  — сечение испускания фотонейтронов, вычисленное из данных, приведенных на рис. 15, а;  $\sigma_{\gamma n}$  — сечение реакции  $(\gamma, n)$ , полученное в работе [20] (нормировка результатов произвольная);  $\sigma'_C(\gamma)$  — сечение поглощения  $\gamma$ -квантов, вычисленное по формуле (10);  $\sigma_C(\gamma)$  — та же величина, вычисленная по формуле (6)

Elem. Sym.	A	Z
Ta	181	73

Method 18 MeV electron synchrotron; neutron counters, 25 r Victoreen monitor

Ref. No.	EH
58 Sp 1	

Reaction	E or $\Delta E$	$E_0$	$\Gamma$	$\int \sigma dE$	$J\pi$	Notes
$Ta^{181}(\gamma, n)$	Bremss. 8-18					




Fig. 4.—The cross section for nuclear absorption of  $\gamma$ -rays in tantalum. The full circles were calculated using curve I of Figure 3, while the open circles were the result of using curve II. Use of curve III led to the dotted cross section.




Fig. 5.—The fit of two Breit-Wigner shape resonance curves to the cross section calculated using curve III of Figure 3. Parameters of Breit-Wigner fit to observed  $\sigma$ :  $E_1 = 12.6$  MeV,  $\sigma_{1m} = 500$  mbarn,  $\Gamma_1 = 2$  MeV;  $E_2 = 15.3$  MeV,  $\sigma_{2m} = 450$  mbarn,  $\Gamma_2 = 4$  MeV.

Elem. Sym.	A	Z
Ta	181	73

Method  
Si (n,p) radioactivity detector

Ref. No.  
59 Au 1  
EGF/EH

Reaction	E or ΔE	E <sub>0</sub>	Γ	∫σdE	Jπ	Notes
Ta <sup>181</sup> (γ,n!)	Bremss. 18-65			∫ <sup>65</sup> ~ 800 MeV-mb		Yield measured relative to Cu <sup>63</sup> (γ,n). E <sub>n</sub> ≥ 5 MeV.




Fig 3. Tantalum (γ, fast n) smoothed integrated cross section and cross section. The points and the smooth curve represent the smoothed integrated fast neutron cross section (see text) as defined by

$$\int_0^E \sigma_{\gamma, \text{fast } n} dE$$

where  $\sigma_{\gamma, \text{fast } n}$  is the cross section for photo-production of neutrons with energies above the  $S_{\gamma, \text{fast } n}$  threshold and  $E$  is the incident gamma ray energy. The errors indicated were obtained by propagating the counting uncertainties in the field data through the integral cross section matrix. The histogram represents the first differences of the integrated cross section curve.

TABLE I

Ratio of fast neutron integrated cross section to total neutron integrated cross section

	24 MeV	39 MeV	60 MeV
Tantalum	10.3 ± 2.4 % <sup>a)</sup>	7.3 ± 1.7 % <sup>b)</sup>	10.6 ± 2.2 % <sup>b)</sup>
Gold	10.9 ± 2.7 % <sup>a)</sup>		17.4 ± 4.3 % <sup>c)</sup>

- a) Compared to (γ, n) cross section of Fuller and Weiss<sup>17)</sup>;
- b) Compared to (γ, xn) of Terwilliger and Jones<sup>18)</sup>;
- c) Compared to (γ, n) of Edwards and MacMillan (data taken at 70 MeV)<sup>19)</sup>.

Ref 17: Fuller & Weiss - Phys. Rev. 112, 560 (1958)

Ref 18: Jones & Terwilliger - Phys. Rev. 91, 699 (1953)

Ref 19: Edwards & MacMillan - Phys. Rev. 87, 377 (1952)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73
REF. NO.		EGF
59 Ba 3		

METHOD

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
$E, N$	ABY	THR - 36	D	10 - 36	BF3-I		4PI




FIG. 7. Yield of neutrons per incident electron as a function of initial electron energy for the Ta target 1 radiation-length thick.

TABLE I. Thicknesses of the targets used in the experiment, with the exception of heavy water, all targets contained isotopes in their naturally-occurring proportions.

Target	Thickness (cm)	Thickness (radiation lengths)
Heavy water	0.698	"0.111"
Be	0.559	0.0367
C	58.91	0.88
Al	24.39	1.60
Cl-A	1.572	0.108
Cl	13.26	1.04
Ca-I	26.56	2.08
Ca-II	39.86	3.13
Ca-IV	53.13	4.17
Ta-I	6.21	0.98
Ta-II	5.88	1.0
Pb-I	11.42	1.97
Pb-II	17.30	2.98
Pb-IV	22.89	3.94
Pb-VI	34.42	5.93
U-I	6.17	1.14
U-II	12.42	2.30
U-III	18.61	3.46
Concrete	28.5	1.19




FIG. 14. Experimental and expected yields of neutrons per incident electron for 1-radiation-length targets at 34.3 Mev as a function of atomic number  $Z$ . The experimental yields were obtained by dividing the measured yields from the targets in Table I by the actual target thicknesses listed in Table I. The expected yields were calculated from expression (5).

Elem. Sym.	A	Z
Ta	181	73
Ref. No. 59 Ca 3		EH

Method 33 MeV synchrotron; radioactivity; NaI spectrometer; r chamber

Reaction	E or $\Delta E$	$E_0$	$\Gamma$	$\int \sigma dE$	$J\pi$	Notes
$Ta^{181}(\gamma, n)$	Bremss. 8-33	13.5	5.3 MeV	$2.4 \pm 0.8$ MeV-b		




Figure 1. Activation curve for  $^{181}\text{Ta}(\gamma, n)$  leading to the 8.15 hr level of  $^{180}\text{Ta}$ . Statistical errors are smaller than experimental points.




Figure 3. A, derived cross section for  $^{181}\text{Ta}(\gamma, n)$ ,  $\sigma_1$ ; B, total cross section,  $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2$ , where  $\sigma_2$  has been taken from the data of Carver and Turchinetz (1958); C,  $\sigma_1 + 2\sigma_2$ .

Method 22 MeV Saskatchewan Betatron; neutron counting; BF<sub>3</sub> counters;  
 Victoreen ionization chamber

Ref. No.  
 59 Pa 1  
 EH

Reaction	E or ΔE	E <sub>0</sub>	Γ	∫σdE	Jπ	Notes
Ta <sup>181</sup> (γ,n)	Bremss. 7.6-22	12.75 ± 0.25  15.25 ± 0.25				<p>E<sub>th</sub> = 7.63 ± 0.05 Mev</p> <p>Yield curve normalized by comparing from Cu (assumed to be 2.71 X 10<sup>8</sup> n/mol/100 r) and Ta at 22 MeV.</p> <p>In Figure 3, neutron multiplicities derived from:</p> <p>"(a)" - Carver and Turchinetz</p> <p>"(b)" - Blatt-Weisskopf formula</p> <p>"0" neutron yield.</p> <p>Solid curve is:</p> $\sigma = \frac{\sigma_1}{1 + \left(\frac{E-E_1}{\Gamma_1/2}\right)^2} + \frac{\sigma_2}{1 + \left(\frac{E-E_2}{\Gamma_2/2}\right)^2}$ <p>where σ<sub>1</sub> = 317 mb                      σ<sub>2</sub> = 444 mb                      E<sub>1</sub> = 12.5                      E<sub>2</sub> = 15.5                      Γ<sub>1</sub> = 2.3                      Γ<sub>2</sub> = 3.6</p> $\frac{\sigma_1 \Gamma_1}{\sigma_2 \Gamma_2} = 0.46$




Fig. 3. The cross section for neutron production σ = 2σ<sub>1</sub> and photon absorption σ = σ<sub>2</sub>. The solid curve is compounded of two resonance curves whose parameters are given in the text. (○) σ = 2σ<sub>1</sub>; (●) σ = σ<sub>2</sub>.



REF.

W. Sébaoun and H. Gauvin  
Compt. Rend. 248, 791 (1959)

ELEM. SYM.

Ta

181

73

METHOD

REF. NO.

59 Se 2

EGF

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,P	ABX	15,18	D	15,18	EMU-I		4PI


14.8 and 17.6 MeV photons

Average  $\sigma = 1.6 \pm 1.2 \times 10^{-26} \text{ cm}^2$ .

Elem. Sym.	A	Z
Ta	181	73
Ref. No.		JHH
60 Ba 2		

Method 45 MeV linac; three-foil stack (electrodisintegration target, bremsstr. radiator, electro- and photodisintegration target); activation

Reaction	E or ΔE	E <sub>0</sub>	Γ	∫σdE	Jπ	Notes
(γ,n)						to Ta <sup>180</sup> (8.1 hours)
(γ,3n)						to Ta <sup>178</sup> (9.3 min.) (12.1 hours)
(e,e'n) (e,e'3n)						Ratios of electro- to photodisintegration in Figures 5 and 6.



2. Cross sections for Ta derived from the yield curves of fig. 1. The relative magnitudes of the cross section for the different reactions were chosen arbitrarily.




Fig. 4. Yield of Ta radioactivities resulting from the real bremsstrahlung of electrons as a function of the electron energy.




Fig. 5. Ratio of the yields of Ta<sup>180</sup> from the electrodisintegration of Ta<sup>181</sup> to those from the photodisintegration as a function of electron energy. The ordinate X<sub>e</sub> is the radiation length equivalent of the electrodisintegration. The circles with standard errors indicated are the results of the experiment. The crosses are from the experiment of Brown and Wilson<sup>3)</sup>. The theoretical ratios for a point nucleus are shown as solid curves. The dashed curves indicate an approximate correction for the nuclear size.




Fig. 6. The radiation length equivalent of the electrodisintegration yields of the two isomers of Ta<sup>178</sup> produced from Ta<sup>181</sup> as a function of electron energy. The circles with standard errors indicated are the results of the experiment. The theoretical values of X<sub>e</sub> for a point nucleus are shown as solid curves. The dashed curves indicate an approximate correction for the nuclear size.

Ref 3: Phys. Rev. 93, 443 (1954)

Elem. Sym.	A	Z
Ta	181	73
Ref No.		JHH
60 Ba 5		

Method Stanford Mark II accelerator; virtual and real photon spectrum from electrons; magnetic spectrum; KI scintillator crystals.

Reaction	E or ΔE	E <sub>0</sub>	Γ	∫σdE	Jπ	Notes
Ta <sup>181</sup> (γ,p)	E <sub>e<sup>-</sup></sub> ≤ 40			$\int_0^{40} = 60 \pm 25\%$ MeVmb		∫σdE from calculated real and virtual photon spectrum.




Fig. 6. Energy distribution of protons from Ta at 105°.




Fig. 7. Energy distribution of protons from Ta at 60°. The scales of abscissa on this figure and fig. 6 are not directly comparable because in the 60° measurements an additional radiator of  $3.08 \times 10^{-2}$  radiation length was in the primary beam upstream from the target.




Fig. 11. Angular distribution of protons from Ta having energies near the second maximum in the energy distribution. The curve is a plot of  $(2.72 - 1.50 \cos \theta - 0.191 \sin^2 \theta - 0.24 \cos^2 \theta \sin^2 \theta) \cdot 10^{-31}$ .




Fig. 20. Differential cross section for producing the lower-energy group of protons from Ta. The two histograms were derived by photon-difference analyses of two excitation functions (not shown) taken with and without the additional Ta radiator of  $3.12 \cdot 10^{-2}$  radiation length in the primary electron beam. Although the proton energy groups were not exactly the same in the two cases they are close enough that the cross sections are expected to be nearly the same.




Fig. 12. Angular distribution of protons from Ta having energies near the second maximum in the energy distribution. The curve is a plot of  $(2.72 - 1.50 \cos \theta - 0.191 \sin^2 \theta - 0.24 \cos^2 \theta \sin^2 \theta) \cdot 10^{-31}$ .




Fig. 16. Excitation of the upper-energy group of protons from Ta as a function of primary electron energy.




Fig. 19. Differential cross section for producing the higher-energy group of protons from Ta. The solid histogram was derived from a photon-difference analysis of fig. 16. The dashed histogram was similarly derived from an excitation function (not shown) taken with an additional radiator of  $3.12 \cdot 10^{-2}$  radiation length of Ta in the primary electron beam upstream from the target. The degree of reliability of the results can be judged from the agreement of the two histograms.

Elem. Sym.	A	Z
Ta	181	73
Ref. No.		EH
60 Ca 2		

Method	Electron synchrotron; radioactivity	
--------	-------------------------------------	--

Reaction	E or $\Delta E$	$E_0$	$\Gamma$	$\int \sigma dE$	$J\pi$	Notes
$Ta^{181}(\gamma, p)$	Bremss. 15 ~ 32	28		$\int^{32} = 10 \pm 2 \text{ MeV}\cdot\text{mb}$		$E_{th} = 6.7 \text{ MeV}$ $\sigma_{max} = 1.0 \text{ mb.}$




Fig. 3.—(a) The yield curve and (b) the derived cross section for the  $^{181}\text{Ta}(\gamma, p)^{180m}\text{Hf}$  reaction.

Method 90 MeV Bremsstrahlung; scintillator counter telescope

Ref. No.	JHH
60 Ch 1	

Reaction	E or ΔE	E <sub>0</sub>	Γ	∫σdE	Jπ	Notes
Ta <sup>181</sup> (γ, p)						Energy Range of particles detected: E <sub>d</sub> - 15.5-30 MeV E <sub>p</sub> - 15.5-30 MeV E <sub>t</sub> - 17-30 MeV  Ratios: $\sigma(\gamma, d)/\sigma(\gamma, p)$ } at $\theta = 90^\circ$ $\sigma(\gamma, t)/\sigma(\gamma, d)$
Ta <sup>181</sup> (γ, d)						
Ta <sup>181</sup> (γ, t)						




FIG. 3. Ratio of (γ, d) to (γ, p) cross sections for protons and deuterons of energies 15.5-30 Mev as function of atomic weight A. The solid curve shows the dependence given by Eq. (2), arbitrarily normalized.

TABLE I

Element	100N <sub>t</sub> /N <sub>d</sub>	Element	100N <sub>t</sub> /N <sub>d</sub>	Element	100N <sub>t</sub> /N <sub>d</sub>	Element	100N <sub>t</sub> /N <sub>d</sub>
Li <sup>6</sup>	30±3	B	39±8	Ni	10±4	In	5±2.5
Li <sup>7</sup>	22.5±2.5	Si	10±4	Co	2.5±2	Ta	10±4
Be	13±2.6	S	8±4	Cu	2.2±2	Au	3±3

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73

METHOD	REF. NO.
Betatron; neutron threshold; ion chamber	60 Ge 3
	NVB

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, N	<del>NOX</del>	THR	C	THR	ACT-I		4PI

THRESHOLD

TABLE I. Summary and comparison of neutron separation energies inferred from present threshold measurements with values predicted from mass data and reaction energies. All energies are expressed in the center-of-mass system in Mev.

Reaction	No. runs	Present results	Other results	Method	Reference
$Ta^{181}(\gamma, n)Ta^{180}$	5	$7.640 \pm 0.025$	$7.66 \pm 0.05$	threshold	f
$Ta^{181}(\gamma, n)Ta^{180}$ (to 8.1-hr state)	2	$7.852 \pm 0.026$			




FIG. 8. Photoneutron yield curves for  $Ta^{181}$  measured by direct neutron detection and induced radioactivity. The difference in the observed thresholds indicates the 8.1-hr isomer to be 212 kev above the naturally occurring  $Ta^{180}$  ground state.

METHOD betatron; fast neutron yield; angular distribution; Al and Si threshold detectors; ion chamber						REF. NO. 61 Ba 2		NVB
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE	
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE*		
G, XN	ABY	THR-22	C	22	THR-I	3-+	DST	
G, XN	ABY	THR-22	C	22	THR-I	5-+	DST	

In Tables 2 and 4:

\* "3-+" is the detector range of Aluminum and "5-+" of Silicon.

$\bar{\sigma}$  = average cross section of detector weighted with neutron spectrum

$\xi$  = neutrons/100 roentgen/mole

$$W(\theta) = a_0 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [1 + A_n P_n(\cos \theta)]$$

TABLE II  
 Normalized yields for aluminum detectors

Element	Al(n,γ) reaction				Al(n,p) reactions							(σ̄φ)*×10 <sup>9</sup>
	30°	90°	150°	a <sub>0</sub>	30°	60°	90°	a <sub>0</sub>	a <sub>1</sub>	a <sub>2</sub>		
Bismuth	399	567 ± 130	620	541 ± 85	3632	5139 ± 290	3168	4366 ± 185	0.06 ± 0.06	-0.35 ± 0.1		17.76
	478	423 ± 130	641	484 ± 85	2562	5353 ± 290	2955	4144 ± 185	-0.05 ± 0.06	-0.53 ± 0.1		16.57
Lead	426	312 ± 120	725	429 ± 77	3123	5754 ± 260	3154	4591 ± 166	-0.004 ± 0.05	-0.51 ± 0.07		18.68
Tantalum	378	367 ± 190	688	441 ± 122	2757	3024 ± 425	2088	2757 ± 275	0.14 ± 0.14	-0.19 ± 0.17		11.22
Lanthanum	208	222 ± 110	330	243 ± 70	2139	3371 ± 250	1891	2768 ± 160	0.05 ± 0.07	-0.43 ± 0.10		11.27
Arsenic	77	100 ± 50	108	97 ± 3%	788	937 ± 115	764	865 ± 74	0.02 ± 0.11	-0.16 ± 0.14		3.52
Copper	13	65 ± 30	70	55 ± 20	710	748 ± 70	569	700 ± 45	0.11 ± 0.08	-0.14 ± 0.11		2.85

\* (σ̄φ) = 4.07 × 10<sup>10</sup> millibarn-neutron.

TABLE IV

Element	II a <sub>0</sub>	III a <sub>1</sub>	IV a <sub>2</sub>	V (σ̄φ) × 10 <sup>9</sup> *	VI Φ <sub>total</sub> (22 Mev) × 10 <sup>9</sup>	VII Φ <sub>fast</sub> /Φ <sub>total</sub>
Vanadium	245 (1 ± 0.06)	0.01 ± 0.08	-0.00 ± 0.10	6.05	0.21	0.12
Chromium	164 (1 ± 0.03)	0.04 ± 0.04	-0.05 ± 0.05	4.05	0.17	0.10
Manganese	308 (1 ± 0.02)	0.07 ± 0.03	-0.09 ± 0.04	7.61	0.25	0.12
Iron	200 (1 ± 0.03)	0.05 ± 0.04	-0.17 ± 0.05	4.94	0.18	0.11
Nickel	390 (1 ± 0.02)	0.08 ± 0.03	-0.22 ± 0.04	9.63	0.26	0.15
Cobalt	145 (1 ± 0.05)	0.07 ± 0.07	-0.23 ± 0.09	3.58	0.12	0.12
Niobium	347 (1 ± 0.02)	0.05 ± 0.03	-0.29 ± 0.04	8.57	0.30	0.12
Vanadium	482 (1 ± 0.03)	0.11 ± 0.04	-0.24 ± 0.05	11.91	0.33	0.15
Niobium	638 (1 ± 0.05)	0.13 ± 0.06	-0.14 ± 0.08	15.76		
Tantalum	409 (1 ± 0.05)	0.10 ± 0.06	-0.17 ± 0.08	10.10		
Tantalum	290 (1 ± 0.10)	0.08 ± 0.12	-0.12 ± 0.15	7.16		
Silver	590 (1 ± 0.04)	0.10 ± 0.06	-0.22 ± 0.08	14.57	0.87	0.07
Cadmium	905 (1 ± 0.02)	0.02 ± 0.02	-0.26 ± 0.03	22.35		
Iodine	1133 (1 ± 0.03)	0.04 ± 0.04	-0.29 ± 0.05	27.99	1.42	0.08
Barium	1048 (1 ± 0.04)	0.10 ± 0.06	-0.38 ± 0.08	25.89		
Lanthanum	1595 (1 ± 0.02)	0.02 ± 0.03	-0.42 ± 0.04	39.40	1.04	0.15
Cerium	1316 (1 ± 0.05)	0.05 ± 0.06	-0.39 ± 0.08	32.50		
Dysprosium	1652 (1 ± 0.08)	0.04 ± 0.10	-0.34 ± 0.13	40.80		
Tantalum	1558 (1 ± 0.02)	0.04 ± 0.03	-0.22 ± 0.04	38.48	2.60	0.06
Tungsten	1365 (1 ± 0.02)	-0.07 ± 0.03	-0.24 ± 0.04	33.71		
Mercury	1345 (1 ± 0.02)	0.04 ± 0.03	-0.31 ± 0.04	33.22		
Lead	2274 (1 ± 0.01)	0.02 ± 0.02	-0.42 ± 0.03	56.17	2.72	0.08
Bismuth	2162 (1 ± 0.02)	0.05 ± 0.03	-0.45 ± 0.04	53.40	3.36	0.06
Thorium	3031 (1 ± 0.04)	0.06 ± 0.05	-0.32 ± 0.07	74.87		
Uranium	4630 (1 ± 0.02)	0.05 ± 0.03	-0.17 ± 0.04	114.36		

\* (σ̄φ) = 2.47 × 10<sup>9</sup> millibarn-neutron. Errors are standard errors due to counting statistics only.

REF.

A. Bussiere de Nercy  
Ann. Phys. (Paris) Third Series 6, 1379 (1961)

ELEM. SYM. A Z

Ta

181

73

METHOD

REF. NO.

61 Bu 4

egf

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,G	ABX	10-25	C	20	NAI -D		DST




Fig. 24. — Section efficace différentielle de diffusion ( $\gamma, \gamma$ ) à  $\theta = 0$  pour le tantalum.

Les courbes en trait plein et en pointillés représentent les sections efficaces prévues par la relation de dispersion.




Fig. 27. — Distribution angulaire des photons diffusés par le tantalum (a) et le bismuth (b) (11.20 MeV).



REF.

J. Miller, C. Schuhl, C. Tzara  
 J. Phys. Radium 22, 529 (1961)

ELEM. SYM.

A

Z

Ta

181

73

METHOD

Positron annihilation; neutron cross section;  $\text{BF}_3$  counter;  
 ion chamber

REF. NO.

61 Mi 1

NVB

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,XN	ABX	8-22	D	8-22	$\text{BF}_3\text{-I}$		$4\pi$




FIG. 3b. — Tantalum,  $\sigma(\gamma, n) + 2\sigma(\gamma, 2n) + \sigma(\gamma, sp) + \dots$

Elem. Sym.	A	Z
Ta	181	73
Ref. No.		JHH
61 We 1		

Method Monoergic  $\gamma$ 's from thermal n-capture; activation

Reaction	E or $\Delta E$	$E_0$	$\Gamma$	$\int \sigma dE$	$J\pi$	Notes
----------	-----------------	-------	----------	------------------	--------	-------

( $\gamma, n$ ) 7.49-10.83

Measurement of 54-keV K x-ray from K-capture in Ta<sup>180m</sup>.

Data in Table II (millibarns); compares with Fuller and Weiss in Figure 2.

$E_{\gamma}$  thresh. =  $7.60 \pm 0.08$  MeV




FIG. 2. Cross section vs energy for Ta<sup>181</sup>( $\gamma, n$ )Ta<sup>180m</sup> (8.15 hr). The smooth curve represents the data of Fuller and Weiss,<sup>6</sup> for the reaction Ta<sup>181</sup>( $\gamma, n$ )Ta<sup>180</sup>.

TABLE II. Summary of measured cross sections.

$\gamma$ -ray source Reaction \ Energy (MeV)	Co	Fe	Al	Cu	Cl	Ni	Fe	Cr	Fe	N
	7.49	7.64	7.73	7.91	8.56	8.997	9.30	9.72	10.16	10.83
Ta <sup>181</sup> ( $\gamma, n$ )Ta <sup>180m</sup>	0 $\pm$ 0.05	0.5 $\pm$ 1	4.8 $\pm$ 1.6	14 $\pm$ 5	32 $\pm$ 16	44 $\pm$ 15	...	83 $\pm$ 33	...	120 $\pm$ 48
Au <sup>197</sup> ( $\gamma, n$ )Au <sup>196</sup>	...	...	...	0 $\pm$ 2	34 $\pm$ 17	44 $\pm$ 11	64 $\pm$ 30	80 $\pm$ 30	...	...
Hg <sup>199</sup> ( $\gamma, n$ )Hg <sup>198</sup>	...	...	...	0 $\pm$ 0.1	29 $\pm$ 15	30 $\pm$ 18	46 $\pm$ 21	36 $\pm$ 31	...	260 $\pm$ 93
Nb <sup>93</sup> ( $\gamma, n$ )Nb <sup>92</sup>	...	...	...	...	...	0.008 $\pm$ 0.005	1.0 $\pm$ 0.4	2.4 $\pm$ 0.7	...	...
Ag <sup>107</sup> ( $\gamma, n$ )Ag <sup>106</sup>	...	...	...	...	...	0 $\pm$ 0.1	...	4.4 $\pm$ 1.5	22 $\pm$ 16	23 $\pm$ 7.5

Elem. Sym.	A	Z
Ta	181	73
Ref. No.		BG
62B01		

Method  
30MeV Synchrotron - BF<sub>3</sub>

Reaction	E or ΔE	E <sub>0</sub>	Γ	∫σdE	Jπ	Notes
(γ, n)	threshold 23 MeV	Fig.5				<p>fitted with smooth curve which is superposition of 2 Lorentz lines. Data for Lorentz lines given in Table I (<math>\sigma_{int} = \int_0^{\infty} \sigma_{\gamma} dE_{\gamma}</math>)</p> <p><math>\epsilon = R_1 - R_2/R_0</math>, R<sub>1</sub> and R<sub>2</sub> are axes of nuclear ellipsoid. R<sub>0</sub> radius of sphere with volume equal to nuclear ellipsoid. <math>\epsilon_0</math> from other experiments.</p>




FIG. 5. Photon neutron production cross section of Ta<sup>181</sup>.

Table I

	Ta <sup>181</sup>	Rh <sup>103</sup>	In <sup>115</sup>		Ta <sup>181</sup>	Rh <sup>103</sup>	In <sup>115</sup>
$\sigma_1^{max}$ , mb	350	150	166	$\sigma_{int2}$ , MeV·b	2.38	1.43	1.43
$E_{s1}$ , MeV	12.4	14.25	14	$\sigma_{int2}/\sigma_{int1}$	1.8	2.0	1.8
$\Gamma_1$ , MeV	2.4	3.0	3.0	$\sigma_{int}$ , MeV·b	3.70	2.13	2.21
$\sigma_{int1}$ , MeV·b	1.32	0.706	0.78	$\sigma_{int}/(0.058NZ/A)$	1.46	1.44	1.36
$\sigma_2^{max}$ , mb	400	260	240	$\epsilon$	0.23	0.21	0.15
$E_{s2}$ , MeV	15.5	17.5	16.25	$\epsilon_0$	0.22	0.20	0.14
$\Gamma_2$ , MeV	3.8	3.8	3.8	$Q_n$ , b	7.1±0.8	2.7±0.3	2.3±0.4

Method Linac; monoergic photons by  $e^+$  annihilation in flight; NaI

Ref. No. 62 Mi 3  
JHH

Reaction	E or $\Delta E$	$E_0$	$\Gamma$	$\int \sigma dE$	$J\pi$	Notes
Ta <sup>181</sup> ( $\gamma, xn$ )	7-22	13 $\pm$ 0.5 16.5 $\pm$ 0.5		$\int_0^{22} = 2.97 \pm 0.05$ MeV-b		

TABLEAU 5  
Résultats expérimentaux

Éléments	Fig. No	$E_m$ (MeV)	$\sigma_{int}$ (MeV·b)*	$\frac{\sigma_{int}}{0.06NZ^{1.4}}$	Scutis				
					% n	% p	% 2n	% np	
Cu	6	17 $\pm$ 0.5	0.45 $\pm$ 0.015	0.47 $\pm$ 0.015					
La	7	15.6 $\pm$ 0.5	1.91 $\pm$ 0.03	0.94 $\pm$ 0.015	8.80 <sup>(13)</sup>		14.25 <sup>(13)</sup>	14.90 <sup>(13)</sup>	
Ce	140	8	15.6 $\pm$ 0.5	1.88 $\pm$ 0.03	0.92 $\pm$ 0.015	9.05 <sup>(14)</sup>	8.50 <sup>(14)</sup>	14.1 <sup>(14)</sup>	
	142					7.15 <sup>(14)</sup>	9.50 <sup>(14)</sup>	14.3 <sup>(14)</sup>	
Ta	9	13 $\pm$ 0.5 16.5 $\pm$ 0.5	2.97 $\pm$ 0.05	1.13 $\pm$ 0.02	7.55 <sup>(13)</sup>		13.84 <sup>(13)</sup>	13.47 <sup>(13)</sup>	
Au	197	10	14.2 $\pm$ 0.5	3.00 $\pm$ 0.05	1.06 $\pm$ 0.02	7.90 <sup>(13)</sup>		13.71 <sup>(13)</sup>	12.94 <sup>(13)</sup>
Pb	206	11	13.8 $\pm$ 0.5	4.10 $\pm$ 0.06	1.38 $\pm$ 0.02	10.6 <sup>(13)</sup>	7.1 <sup>(13)</sup>	14.3 <sup>(13)</sup>	14.5 <sup>(13)</sup>
	207					7.2 <sup>(13)</sup>	8.2 <sup>(13)</sup>	14.3 <sup>(13)</sup>	17.9 <sup>(13)</sup>
	208					6.9 <sup>(13)</sup>	8.4 <sup>(13)</sup>	15.0 <sup>(13)</sup>	14.2 <sup>(13)</sup>
Bi	209	12	14.0 $\pm$ 0.5	3.73 $\pm$ 0.06	1.24 $\pm$ 0.02	7.44 <sup>(13)</sup>	3.76 <sup>(13)</sup>	10.4 <sup>(13)</sup>	

\*) L'intégrale  $\int_0^{22} \sigma dE$  est prise jusqu'à 22 égal à 19.6 MeV pour Cu, à 21.2 MeV pour La et Ce et à 22 MeV pour Ta, Au, Pb et Bi. D'autre part, les erreurs indiquées sont les erreurs statistiques.




Fig. 9. Section efficace  
 $\sigma = \sigma(\gamma, n) + \sigma(\gamma, np) + 2\sigma(\gamma, 2n) + \dots$  pour le tantale.

Ref. R.L. Bramblett, J.T. Caldwell, G.F. Auchampagh, S.C. Fultz

Phys. Rev. 129, 2723 (1963)

Elem. Sym.	A	Z
Ta	181	73

Method positron annihilation -  $4\pi$  paraffin moderated neutron detector

Ref. No. 63Br1  
BG

Reaction	E or $\Delta E$	$E_0$	$\Gamma$	$\int \sigma dE$	$J\pi$	Notes
$544 + (\gamma, n)$	0 - 28	13.5	6.4	$1.32 \pm 0.13$		<p><math>(\gamma, 2n)</math> threshold = <math>13.6 \pm 0.2</math> MeV</p> <p>Intrinsic quadrupole moment = <math>6.71 \pm .74b</math></p> <p>Table II data not from this experiment.</p> <p>The two peaks of Fig. 1, curve A, are at 12.70 and 15.5 MeV.</p>
$360 (\gamma, 2n)$	0 - 28	16.5		$0.93 \pm 0.09$		
$(\gamma, n)$ $+ (\gamma, 2n)$ $+ (\gamma, np)$	0 - 28			$2.4 \pm .22$		

359+




FIG. 3. The formation cross section  $\sigma_{\gamma, n}$  vs. photon energy for the compound nucleus  $Ta^{181}$ . The solid curve represents the sum of two Lorentz lines with parameters given in Table III.




FIG. 1. Cross sections for Ta from neutron yield data. Curve A consists of  $\sigma_{\gamma, n} + 2\sigma_{\gamma, 2n}$ ,  $\sigma_{\gamma, np}$  and  $\sigma_{\gamma, 2np}$  and was obtained from single neutron counting data. Curve B contains  $2\sigma_{\gamma, 2n}$  and was obtained from double neutron counting data. Curve C contains the estimated contribution of  $\sigma_{\gamma, 2n}$  to the singles data.




FIG. 2. Partial cross section curves for Ta. Curve A consists of  $\sigma_{\gamma, n} + \sigma_{\gamma, np}$ . Curve B consists of  $\sigma_{\gamma, 2n} + \sigma_{\gamma, 2np}$ .

FIGURE II. Photo-neutron data for Ta and Ta-181. The numbers  $\sigma_{\gamma, n}$ ,  $\sigma_{\gamma, 2n}$ ,  $\sigma_{\gamma, np}$ , and  $\sigma_{\gamma, 2np}$  are the cross sections at the energies at which the maximum in the cross sections occur. The points are at half maximum of component Lorentz lines where applicable.

$E_0$	$\Gamma$	$\sigma_{\gamma, n}$	$\sigma_{\gamma, 2n}$	$\sigma_{\gamma, np}$	$\sigma_{\gamma, 2np}$	$\sigma_{\gamma, n} + \sigma_{\gamma, 2n}$
13.5	6.4	1.32	0.93	0.00	0.00	2.25
16.5	6.4	0.00	0.93	0.00	0.00	0.93
13.5	6.4	1.32	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.32
16.5	6.4	0.00	0.93	0.00	0.00	0.93
13.5	6.4	1.32	0.93	0.00	0.00	2.25
16.5	6.4	0.00	0.93	0.00	0.00	0.93

TABLE III. Lorentz line parameters and intrinsic quadrupole moments for Ta and Ta-181.

Element	$E_0$	$\Gamma$	$\sigma_{\gamma, n}$	$\sigma_{\gamma, 2n}$	$\sigma_{\gamma, np}$	$\sigma_{\gamma, 2np}$	$Q_2$
Ta	13.5	6.4	1.32	0.93	0.00	0.00	6.71
Ta-181	16.5	6.4	0.00	0.93	0.00	0.00	6.71

TABLE IV. Integrated cross sections, level density parameters, and  $\sigma_{\gamma, n}$  values.

Element	$\sigma_{\gamma, n}$	$\int \sigma_{\gamma, n} dE$	$\sigma_{\gamma, 2n}$	$\int \sigma_{\gamma, 2n} dE$	$\sigma_{\gamma, np}$	$\int \sigma_{\gamma, np} dE$	$\sigma_{\gamma, 2np}$	$\int \sigma_{\gamma, 2np} dE$
Ta-181	10.88	1.00	15.7	2.24	2.59	2.61	2.68	2.38
Hf-181	10.93	11.17	22.0	2.37	2.68	2.38	2.38	2.38

FORM NBS-418 (6-1-63) USCOMM-DC 16556-P63

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS

REF.

M. Langevin, J.M. Loiseaux  
J. de Physique 24, 1027 (1963)

ELEM. SYM. A Z

Ta

181

73

METHOD

Betatron; photon scattering

REF. NO.

63 La 1

NVB

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,G	$\text{NOX}$	11-22 (22)	C	27	NAI-D		DST


In figure 4,  $W(\theta) = 1 + a \cos^2 \theta$ 

FIG. 4.

Method 200 kW pool reactor; monoergic  $\gamma$ 's from (n, $\gamma$ ) in Mn, Fe and Cu; NaI.

Ref. No.  
 63 Yo 1 JHH

Reaction	E or $\Delta E$	$E_0$	$\Gamma$	$\int \sigma dE$	$J\pi$	Notes
Ta <sup>181</sup> ( $\gamma,\gamma$ )	6.0-8.2					Measured $\sigma$ (elastic scattering) values in Table II; interpolated to 7 MeV in Table V.  Detector at 120°

TABLE II. Total elastic scattering cross sections (mb).

Source element	Energy interval (MeV)	Source energy (MeV)	Target (thickness in cm)			
			Ta(1.3)	Hg(3)	Pb(0.6)	Bi(1.3)
Ti	5.0-7.0	6.41 6.75			0.6 ± 0.4	
Mn	6.0-7.5	7.26 7.15 7.05	<0.3	0.5 ± 0.3	0.9 ± 0.5	0.8 ± 0.4
Fe	6.0-7.6	7.64 7.28	0.7 ± 0.4	2.4 ± 1.3	125 ± 20*	2.0 ± 1.1
Cu	7.6-8.2	7.91	<0.2	<0.4	<0.2	<0.2

\* Calculated using the intensity of 7.64-MeV  $\gamma$  rays produced by neutron capture in iron.

TABLE V. Cross sections at about 7 MeV (mb).

	This work	Ref. 2	Ref. 1 <sup>a</sup>	Ref. 3	Ref. 4 <sup>b</sup>
Ta	<0.3		2		
Hg	0.5 ± 0.3	3.5			
Pb	0.9 ± 0.5	15	17	60	55
Bi	0.8 ± 0.4	17.5	19	35	17

<sup>a</sup> See also E. G. Fuller and Evans Hayward, Phys. Rev. Letters 1, 465 (1958).

<sup>b</sup> Differential cross sections at 135° were multiplied by 11.2.

<sup>1</sup> E. G. Fuller and Evans Hayward, Phys. Rev. 101, 692 (1956); Nucl. Phys. 33, 431 (1962).

<sup>2</sup> K. Riebal and A. K. Mann, Phys. Rev. 118, 701 (1960).

<sup>3</sup> Tsutomu Tohei, Masumi Sugawara, Shigeki Mori, and Motohara Kimura, J. Phys. Soc. Japan 16, 1657 (1961).

<sup>4</sup> P. Axel, K. Min, N. Stein, and D. C. Sutton, Phys. Rev. Letters 10, 299 (1963).

Ref. G.N. Zatsepina, V.V. Igonin, L.E. Lazareva, A.I. Lepestkin  
 Zhur. Eksp. i Teoret. Fiz. 44, 1787 (1963)  
 Soviet Phys. JETP 17, 1200 (1963)

Elem. Sym.	A	Z
Ta	181	73

Method	Ref. No.
Synchrotron; neutron spectra, angular distribution data; emulsions; ion chamber monitor	63 Za 1

JHH

Reaction	E or $\Delta E$	$E_0$	$\Gamma$	$\int \sigma dE$	$J\pi$	Notes
$Ta^{181}(\gamma, n)$	Bremss. 14 19					




FIG. 9. a - energy distribution of photo-neutrons from tantalum at  $(h\nu)_{max} = 19$  MeV; histogram 1 -  $N_{90^\circ} + N_{270^\circ}$ ; 2 -  $N_{30^\circ} + N_{150^\circ}$ ; curve 3 - spectrum of neutrons calculated in accordance with the evaporation model; b - summary neutron spectra for tantalum (1) and bismuth (2) for  $(h\nu)_{max} = 19$  MeV.



F.R. Allum, T.W. Quirk, and B.M. Spicer  
Nucl. Phys. 53, 545 (1964)


Ta 181 73

METHOD			REF. NO.		JOC		
			64 Al 5		JOC		
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, XN	NØX	THR-34	C	34	THR-I	6-	DST

TABLE I  
Summary of present experimental data at 34 MeV bremsstrahlung

Element		$-\frac{a_2}{a_0}$	$\frac{a_1}{a_0}$
$^4\text{Be}$		$0.43 \pm 0.02$	$0.05 \pm 0.01$
$^{12}\text{C}$		$0.61 \pm 0.04$	$0.09 \pm 0.02$
$^{13}\text{Al}$		$0.39 \pm 0.03$	$0.05 \pm 0.01$
$^{22}\text{Ti}$		$0.34 \pm 0.02$	$0.06 \pm 0.01$
$^{24}\text{Cr}$	34 MeV	$0.33 \pm 0.02$	$0.02 \pm 0.01$
	22 MeV	$0.13 \pm 0.07$	$-0.02 \pm 0.01$
$^{29}\text{Cu}$		$0.36 \pm 0.02$	$0.10 \pm 0.01$
$^{50}\text{Sn}$		$0.38 \pm 0.02$	$0.11 \pm 0.01$
$^{84}\text{Ba}$		$0.39 \pm 0.03$	$0.11 \pm 0.02$
$^{73}\text{Ta}$	Before installation of iron shielding	$0.26 \pm 0.04$	$0.13 \pm 0.02$
	After installation of iron shielding	$0.27 \pm 0.02$	$0.12 \pm 0.01$
$^{81}\text{Pb}$	target diameter 3.0 cm	$0.39 \pm 0.03$	$0.15 \pm 0.02$
	target diameter 1.5 cm	$0.40 \pm 0.03$	$0.19 \pm 0.02$
$^{83}\text{Bi}$		$0.42 \pm 0.03$	$0.17 \pm 0.02$

$$I = a_0 + a_1 \cos \theta + a_2 \cos^2 \theta$$




ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73

METHOD				REF. NO.			
Reactor; neutron capture gamma rays				64 Gr 2		NVB	
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,N	ABX	THR-11	D	THR-11	BF3-I		4PI

TABLE II. Summary of measured cross sections (millibarns).

Source	Energy* (MeV)	Ta <sup>181</sup>	Li <sup>7</sup>	Targets Li <sup>6</sup>	C <sup>13</sup>	B <sup>10</sup>
Aluminum	7.72	4.1 ± 0.4	0.06 ± 0.01	1.13 ± 0.12	1.7 ± 0.2	...
Copper	7.91	10.8 ± 1.0	0.07 ± 0.01	1.1 ± 0.2	0.97 ± 0.13	...
Chlorine	8.56	29 ± 6	0.17 ± 0.12	...	...	...
Nickel	9.00	44 ± 6	0.16 ± 0.06	1.6 ± 0.3	0.6 ± 0.1	0.11 ± 0.01
Nitrogen	10.83	121 ± 12	1.07 ± 0.25	...	4 ± 2	0.9 ± 0.2
Chromium	9.72	84 ± 25	0.55 ± 0.25	...	...	0.23 ± 0.05
Iron	7.64	9.0 ± 0.9	0.079 ± 0.014	1.3 ± 0.2	0.23 ± 0.05	...
Iron	9.30	...	...	...	...	0.09 ± 0.03
Lead	7.38	...	0.068 ± 0.035	1.2 ± 0.2	0.3 ± 0.3	...
Sulphur	5.43	...	...	0.42 ± 0.07	...	...
Sodium	6.41	...	...	0.6 ± 0.1	...	...
Titanium	6.75	...	...	1.3 ± 0.2	...	...
Titanium	6.61 <sup>b</sup>	...	...	...	0.32 ± 0.04	...
Manganese	7.16 <sup>c</sup>	...	...	0.9 ± 0.1	0.4 ± 0.1	...
Zinc	7.88	...	...	1.0 ± 0.2	1.2 ± 0.2	...

\* Energies taken from Reiss, 4 and 5.

<sup>b</sup> Weighted average of 6.75-, 6.55-, and 6.41-MeV  $\gamma$  rays.<sup>c</sup> Weighted average of 7.26-, 7.15-, and 7.05-MeV  $\gamma$  rays.FIG. 2. Energy versus cross section, Ta<sup>181</sup>( $\gamma$ ,n). Boxes are data of Fuller and Weiss (Ref. 8), circles are data of Bramblett *et al.* (Ref. 1). The solid line is a smooth curve through the present cross-section measurements.

METHOD				[Page 1 of 2]		REF. NO.		JOC	
Bremsstrahlung scattering						64 La 1			
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE		
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE			
G, G	ABX	10-25	C	32	NAI-D		DST		

TABLEAU 1  
Le paramètre  $a(E)$  de la distribution angulaire

Noyau	11.5-14. MeV			14-17.5 MeV			17.5-20 MeV			20-30 MeV	
	Exp.	Ellipsoidal	Triax.	Exp.	Ellips.	Triax.	Exp.	Ellips.	Triax.	Exp.	Ellips.
Tb	$0.5^{+0.15}_{-0.1}$	0.41	0.39	$0.54^{+0.15}_{-0.1}$	0.70	0.50	25	0.97	0.85		1
Ho	$0.27^{+0.15}_{-0.1}$	0.44	0.407	$0.43^{+0.10}_{-0.05}$	0.71	0.53	25	0.95	0.9	$0.4 \pm 0.1$	1
Er	$0.27^{+0.15}_{-0.1}$	0.44	0.407	$0.8^{+0.15}_{-0.1}$	0.71	0.53	25	0.95	0.9		1
Ta	$0.6^{+0.15}_{-0.1}$	0.58		$0.68^{+0.15}_{-0.1}$	0.81		20	0.96			
Au	$a_{exp}(11-20 \text{ MeV}) = 0.9$ $a_{th}(11-20 \text{ MeV}) \approx 1$									$0.7 \pm 0.1$	1




Fig. 4. Sections efficaces différentielles de diffusion obtenues pour le tantale. Les courbes tracées correspondent à l'application des relations de dispersion aux sections efficaces d'absorption obtenues par Fuller et Weiss <sup>16)</sup> (F.W.) et par Miller *et al.* <sup>9)</sup> (M.S.T.).

METHOD  
Bremsstrahlung scattering

[Page 2 of 2]

REF. NO.	JOC
64 La 1	

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	




Fig. 8. Répartitions angulaires du rayonnement diffusé obtenues pour le terbium, l'holmium, l'erbium et le tantalum dans les zones d'énergie 11.5-14 MeV, 14-17.5 MeV et 17.5-20 MeV.

REF. D. F. Herring, I. C. Nascimento, R. B. Walton, and R. E. Sund  
 Phys. Rev. 139, B562 (1965)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73

METHOD	REF. NO.
	65 He 1
	EGF

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
E,N	RLY	THR-32	D	14-32	ACT-I		4PI
E+,N	RLY	THR-32	D	14-32	ACT-I		4PI

Ratio of positron to electron induced activity determined.




FIG. 4. The ratio  $\sigma^-/\sigma^+$  as a function of atomic number at 27-MeV (total) bombarding energy. The straight line is for comparison purposes.

REF.

F. W. K. Firk  
Proc. Gatlinburg Conference 352 (1966)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73

METHOD

REF. NO.

66 Fi 2

hmg

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,XN	SPC	THR-60	C	60	TOF-D	5-40	90




Fig. 1. Observed photoneutron time-of-flight spectra of C, O, Mg, Si, Ca, Co, V, and Ta.

ELEM. SIM.	181	73
Ta	181	73
REF. NO.	67 An 2	
	egf	

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, XN	ABX	THR-20	C	8-20	BF3-I		4PI

552




Fig.5

Fig.5. Experimentally determined cross section  $\sigma_{(\gamma n)} + 2\sigma_{(\gamma, 2n)}$  for  $^{181}\text{Ta}$ . The lower curve was calculated for  $\sigma_{(\gamma, 2n)}$  with  $a = 18.1$ .

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73

METHOD	REF. NO.	
	67 Ge 2	HMG

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,N	ABY	THR-27	C	22,27	BF3-I		4PI

Table 7. Comparison of neutron yields. Yields are given in units of (neutron cm<sup>2</sup>/MeV nucleus) × 10<sup>-28</sup>. The estimated uncertainties in Y and Y<sub>c</sub> are of the order of 6% and 10%, respectively.

Element	E <sub>0</sub>	Y(E <sub>0</sub> )	Yield				Yield				Ref.	
			UCRL	Saclay	Va.	NBS(Old)	UCRL	Saclay	Va.	NBS(Old)		
			Y <sub>c</sub>				Y <sub>c</sub> /Y					
			Exp	Exp	Exp	Exp	Exp	Exp	Exp	Exp	Exp	
Pb	27	103	86				0.83					26,30
	22	111	92	116			0.83	1.05				
Au	27	89	97			115	1.09			1.25		24,30,38
	22	92	98	88			1.07	0.96				
Ta	27	81	82	77		113	1.01	0.95		1.33		27,30,38
	22	85	79	80			0.93	0.94				
Ho	27	67	75			103	1.12			1.49		27,31,39
	22	69	77	82			1.12	1.19				
Ag	27	36										
	22	34.8										
Cu	27	14.4	13.2				0.92					28,30
	22	12.6	11.5	12.4			0.91	0.98				
Co	27	12.7	12.1				0.95			1.27		29,34
	22	10.6	9.9		13.5		0.94					
Ca	27	1.69		1.13	1.01			0.67	0.60			32,35
P	27	2.35			1.76				0.75			36
Al	27	1.92	1.62		1.38		0.84		0.72			25,37
O <sup>16</sup>	27	0.54	0.42	0.48	0.42		0.78	0.89				16,32,37
C	27	0.50	0.35	0.33	0.46		0.70	0.66				25,32,33






Fig. 31. Absolute neutron yield as a function of atomic number. The neutron yield from calcium ( $Z = 20$ ) is particularly low in comparison with the other elements because its  $(\gamma, n)$  threshold is high compared to the mean energy of the giant resonance.

REF.

R. R. Hurst and D. J. Donahue  
Nucl. Phys. A91, 365 (1967)

ELEM. SYM.

A

Z

Ta

181

73

METHOD

Neutron capture gamma rays

REF. NO.

67 Hu 1

EGF

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,N	ABX	8-11	D	8-11	BF3-I		/PI




TABLE I  
Photoneutron cross sections (mb)

Fig. 1. Cross section (in mb) versus mass number of the target for gamma-ray energies of 9.00, 9.72 and 10.83 MeV. The solid lines are plots of eq. (1) in the text.

Target	7.72 MeV	9.00 MeV	9.72 MeV	10.83 MeV
<sup>59</sup> Co				9.0 ± 0.8
<sup>76</sup> As				20.4 ± 1.7
<sup>93</sup> Nb		0.53 ± 0.10	14.6 ± 2.2	25.8 ± 2.1
<sup>103</sup> Rh			10.6 ± 1.7	38.8 ± 3.1
<sup>107</sup> Ag			10.0 ± 1.5	37.6 ± 2.9
<sup>109</sup> Ag				
<sup>116</sup> In			17.1 ± 2.6	33.3 ± 2.7
<sup>121</sup> Sb				
<sup>123</sup> Sb			20.7 ± 3.1	42.5 ± 3.6
<sup>127</sup> I			38.7 ± 5.8	38.8 ± 3.1
<sup>133</sup> Cs			31.7 ± 4.8	52.5 ± 3.8
<sup>139</sup> La		8.61 ± 0.86	40.8 ± 6.5	63.0 ± 5.0
<sup>141</sup> Pr			21.5 ± 3.2	58.3 ± 4.1
<sup>151</sup> Eu		28.9 ± 3.2	61.3 ± 14.7	102 ± 18
<sup>153</sup> Eu				
<sup>165</sup> Ho		35.6 ± 4.3	92.2 ± 27.6	150 ± 20
<sup>181</sup> Ta	4.14 ± 0.36	45.4 ± 3.7	65.0 ± 5.5	146 ± 12
<sup>187</sup> Au		44.5 ± 3.6	68.4 ± 13.5	160 ± 15
<sup>206</sup> Pb		< 34.3		238 ± 29
<sup>208</sup> Pb		22.6 ± 11.3		280 ± 31
<sup>209</sup> Bi		36.1 ± 12.0		226 ± 27

REF. A. V. Mitrofanova, Yu. N. Ranyuk, and P. V. Sorokin  
 J. Nucl. Phys. (USSR) 6, 703 (1967)  
 Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 6, 512 (1968)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73

METHOD

REF. NO.

67 Mi 1

HMG

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, F	ABX	300-999		300-999	TRK-I		

Detector: Fission fragment tracks in glass.

999 = 1600 MEV

Angular distribution measured for Pb was found isotropic; for other elements it was assumed isotropic.

Nucleus	Fissionability $D$	Cross section $\sigma_K, \mu\beta$	Nucleus	Fissionability $D$	Cross section $\sigma_K, \mu\beta$
Bi	$0.11 \pm 0.01$	$7.8 \pm 0.6$	Os	$0.0058 \pm 0.0005$	$0.37 \pm 0.04$
Pb	$0.050 \pm 0.004$	$3.4 \pm 0.3$	Re	$0.0056 \pm 0.0006$	$0.35 \pm 0.04$
Tl	$0.031 \pm 0.003$	$2.1 \pm 0.2$	Ta	$0.0045 \pm 0.0005$	$0.27 \pm 0.03$
Au	$0.019 \pm 0.002$	$1.25 \pm 0.10$	Hf	$0.0042 \pm 0.0004$	$0.25 \pm 0.03$
Pt	$0.012 \pm 0.002$	$0.80 \pm 0.08$			






Fig. 1. Photofission fragment yields.  $\circ$ -present work;  $\square$ -Jungerman and Steiner.<sup>(1)</sup> The curves were plotted through the experimental points.

Fig. 2. Photofission fragment yields as a function of  $Z^2/A$ . The ordinates are values of  $\sigma_a$  in units of  $\text{cm}^2$ .

REF. Yu. N. Ranyuk and P. V. Sorokin  
 J. Nucl. Phys. (USSR) 5, 37 (1967)  
 Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 5, 26 (1967)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73
REF. NO.		HMG
67 Ra 2		

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, F	ABX	THR-260	C	200-260	EMU-I		4PI




Fig. 4. Photofission cross sections of Os, Re, Ta, and Hf as functions of photon energy.

TABLE III

$E_{\gamma, \text{max}}$ , MeV	Cross section per equivalent $\gamma$ quantum, $10^{-28} \text{ cm}^2$			
	Os	Re	Ta	Hf
200	17±2	11.8±0.8	5.2±0.3	3.2±0.7
220	22±2	17±1	8.6±0.5	4.8±0.3
240	33±3	29±1	13.0±0.6	8.2±0.4
260	45±4	36±2	17.2±0.7	11.2±0.5

REF. S. Shimizu, Y. Iozumi and Y. Nakayama  
 Phys. Letters 25B, 124 (1967)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73

METHOD	REF. NO.	EGF
	67 Sh 3	

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,G/	ABX	18	D	18	NAI-D	0-18	90
		(17.6)		(17.6)			

Photon source: 1 MeV proton on Li metal

RAMAN SCATTERING

$$\sigma_{\text{exp}}^{\Lambda} = (9.6 \pm 3.9) \times 10^{-28} \text{ cm}^2$$




Fig. 1. The observed spectrum of photons from a transition of  $\frac{1}{2}^+$  (303 keV)  $\rightarrow$   $\frac{1}{2}^+$  (ground state) in coincidence with the nuclear Raman scattering of Li(p, $\gamma$ )  $\gamma$  rays

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,N 85+	ABX	THR-30	D	7-30	MOD-I		4PI
G,2N 86	ABX	THR-30	D	7-30	MOD-I		4PI
G,3N 87	ABX	THR-30	D	7-30	MOD-I		4PI
G,4N 88	ABX	THR-36	D	7-36	MOD-I		4PI

85+




Fig. 1. Partial photonuclear cross sections  $\sigma(\gamma, n)$ ,  $\sigma(\gamma, 2n)$ ,  $\sigma(\gamma, 3n)$  and  $\sigma(\gamma, 4n)$  of  $^{181}_{73}\text{Ta}$ .

TABLE 2  
Lorentz line parameters for a two or three lines fit to the total cross section data of  $^{181}_{73}\text{Ta}$

	$E_1$ (MeV)	$\sigma_1$ (mb)	$\Gamma_1$ (MeV)	$\sigma_1 \Gamma_1$ (MeV·b)	$E_2$	$\sigma_2$	$\Gamma_2$	$\sigma_2 \Gamma_2$	$E_3$	$\sigma_3$	$\Gamma_3$	$\sigma_3 \Gamma_3$
2 Lorentz lines	12.35	270	2.57	0.693	15.30	330	4.47	1.47				
3 Lorentz lines	12.30	280	2.45	0.686	14.85	210	3.32	0.698	16.10	155	4.975	0.771

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	




Fig. 2. Reciprocal neutron multiplicity as a function of  $\gamma$  energy for  $^{181}_{73}\text{Ta}$ .




Fig. 3. Total cross section data showing a two Lorentz line fit for a  $^{181}_{73}\text{Ta}$  target.

REF. H. R. Bowman, R. C. Gatti, R. C. Jared, G. Kilian, L. G. Moretto,  
S. G. Thompson, M. R. Croissiaux, J. H. Heisenberg, R. Hofstadter,  
L. M. Middleman, and M. R. Yearian  
Phys. Rev. 168, 1396 (1968)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73

METHOD	REF. NO.
	68 Bo 1 HMG

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
E, F	ABI		D	250, 500	EMU-I		4PI
					(MICA)		

TABLE I. Experimental results.

Target	Thickness	Method used	Fission cross section	
			250 MeV $e^-$ (cm <sup>2</sup> )	500 MeV $e^-$ (cm <sup>2</sup> )
<sup>238</sup> U <sup>a</sup>	85 $\mu$ g/cm <sup>2</sup>	Mica	$(6.0 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-27}$	$(9.4 \pm 1.9) \times 10^{-27}$
<sup>235</sup> U	162 $\mu$ g/cm <sup>2</sup>	Counter	$(5.0 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-27}$	$(7.0 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-27}$
<sup>209</sup> Bi	1 mg/cm <sup>2</sup>	Mica	$(2.3 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-28}$	$(1.4 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-28}$
<sup>181</sup> Ta	4 mg/cm <sup>2</sup>	Mica		$3.9 \times 10^{-21}$ <sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> <sup>235</sup>U/<sup>238</sup>U was  $1.12 \times 10^{-3}$  in target sample.  
<sup>b</sup> Not corrected for photofission contribution [see (4) in text].



ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73
REF. NO.		HMG
65 Ka 1		

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G.N	ABX	50-85	C	55,85	TOF-D	10-85	67 (67.5)

NEUT ENGY SPEC




FIG. 6. Observed neutron spectra due to 55-85-MeV difference photon spectra. The effective cross sections have been divided by  $NZ/A$ .

TABLE I. Comparison of present cross-section values in mb for production of high-energy photoneutrons by 55-85-MeV photons with measured cross sections  $\sigma(\gamma, Tn)$ , also in mb, for total photoneutron production. The present cross-section values are uncertain by 8 to 10% because of counting statistics and normalization errors; in addition all values depend on an absolute normalization in terms of the deuteron photodisintegration cross section, which is known to about 10% at these energies.

Target	$4\pi(d\sigma/d\omega)\pi^2$ ( $E_n > 10$ MeV)	$\sigma(\gamma, Tn)$		Other results
	[Present experiment]	Jones and Terwilliger <sup>a</sup>	Costa <i>et al.</i> <sup>b</sup>	
Li	0.75		1.0	
Be	1.0	2.7	2.3	2.3 <sup>c</sup>
B	1.0		1.4	
C	1.5	1.3	1.4	2.4 <sup>d</sup>
O	1.3		1.6	
Al	2.8	5.5	4.6	8 <sup>d</sup>
S	2.1		4.4	6.5 <sup>d</sup>
Fe	4.2	16	12	
Cu	4.3	20	19	
Zn	4.4		15	
In	7.4			
Sn	7.0			
Ta	10.7	95		
Tl	10.7			
Pb	8.3	100		
Bi	13			
U	16	65		




FIG. 7. Effective cross sections for production of fast neutrons with energies greater than 10 MeV (solid circles) and 30 MeV (open circles) by the 55-85-MeV photon difference spectrum. The dashed curves are modified quasideuteron model predictions as discussed in the text.

<sup>a</sup> Average cross sections between 55 and 85 MeV, as read from Figs. 4 and 5 of Ref. 4.  
<sup>b</sup>  $\int_0^{E_{max}} \sigma_{0d} E - \int_0^{E_{max}} \sigma_{0d} E / 50$ , as taken from Fig. 4 of Ref. 5 and Table I of Ref. 6.  
<sup>c</sup> S. Costa, L. Pasqualini, G. Piragino, and L. Roasio, Nuovo Cimento 42, 306 (1966).  
<sup>d</sup> G. Bishop, S. Costa, S. Ferroni, R. Malvano, and G. Ricco, Nuovo Cimento 42, 148 (1966).


REF. A. Veyssière, H. Beil and R. Bergère  
C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris 267, 234(1968)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73
REF. NO.		
68 Ve 1		egf

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,N	ABX	THR-36	D	7-36	MOD-I		4PI
G,2N	ABX	THR-36	D	7-36	MOD-I		4PI
G,3N	ABX	THR-36	D	7-36	MOD-I		4PI
G,4N	ABX	THR-36	D	7-36	MOD-I		4PI

$$\int_0^{25} \frac{\sigma(\gamma, n)}{\sigma_T} dE = 72.5 \pm 3\%$$

$$\int_0^{25} \frac{\sigma(\gamma, 2n)}{\sigma_T} dE = 27 \pm 2\%$$



METHOD

REF. NO.

69 An 6

egf

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,P	ABY	103-999	C	700,999	TEL-D	97-230	DST
G,D	ABY	109-999	C	700,999	TEL-D	97-205	DST

999 = 1.2 GEV

Summary

The cross-sections of the  $(\gamma, p)$   $(\gamma, d)$  reactions were investigated.  $\text{Li}^7$ ,  $\text{Be}^9$ ,  $\text{C}^{12}$ ,  $\text{Si}^{28}$ ,  $\text{Cu}^{63}$ ,  $\text{Mo}^{94}$  and  $\text{Ta}^{181}$  targets were irradiated with the bremsstrahlung of 700 and 1200 MeV maximum energy from the Kharkov PhTI Ac. Sci. UkrSSR linear accelerator. The photo-protons and deuterons were detected by the scintillation telescope at  $30^\circ$ ,  $60^\circ$ , and  $120^\circ$  with the beam. Possible mechanisms of the proton and deuteron photoproduction are discussed. The qualitative agreement of  $A$  dependence of the cross-sections is observed with a suggestion on the meson mechanism for these reactions.




Рис. 1. Залежність перерізу  $(\gamma, p)$ -реакції від  $A$ :  $a - \phi = 30^\circ$ ,  $E_p = 97 \text{ Mev}$ ;  $b - E_p = 205 \text{ Mev}$ ;  $c - \phi = 60^\circ$ ,  $E_p = 230 \text{ Mev}$ ;  $d - E_p = 157 \text{ Mev}$  ( $\times$  — дані [3]);  $\delta - \phi = 120^\circ$ ,  $\bigcirc - E_p = 120 \text{ Mev}$ ,  $\Delta - E_p = 157 \text{ Mev}$ ,  $\square - E_p = 230 \text{ Mev}$ . Абсолютне значення перерізу наведено при енергії протонів  $E_p = 120 \text{ Mev}$ . Інші дані нормовані до перерізу для  $\text{Li}^7$  при  $E_p = 120 \text{ Mev}$ .

Рис. 2. Залежність перерізу  $(\gamma, d)$ -реакції від  $NZ/A$ :  $a - \phi = 30^\circ$ ,  $E_d = 97 \text{ Mev}$ ;  $b - \phi = 30^\circ$ ,  $E_d = 205 \text{ Mev}$ ;  $c - \phi = 60^\circ$ ,  $E_d = 97 \text{ Mev}$ ,  $\bigcirc - \phi = 120^\circ$ ,  $E_d = 97 \text{ Mev}$  (перерізи наведені в одиницях  $10^{-22} \text{ см}^2/\text{стер} \cdot \text{Mev} \cdot Q$ ).

REF. Yu. P. Antufyev, V. L. Agranovich, V. B. Ganenko, V. S. Kuzmenko,  
I. I. Miroshnichenko, P. V. Sorokin  
Ukr. Fiz. 14, 496 (1969)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73

METHOD	REF. NO.
	69 An 8

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
1) E, P	RLY	THR-999	C	999	MAG-D		DST
2) E, D	RLY	THR-999	C	999	MAG-D		DST
3) E, T	RLY	THR-999	C	999	MAG-D		DST

The differential cross-sections of ( $\gamma, p$ ), ( $\gamma, d$ ) and ( $\gamma, t$ ) reactions on carbon for 700 and 1200 MeV maximum energies of photons and energy distributions of the secondary particles were measured at 30, 60 and 120° of particle emission angles. Excitation function for protons with the energy of 97 MeV is given for the maximum incident photon energy from 400 to 1300 MeV. Deuteron to proton and triton to deuteron yield ratios for various nuclei are also shown.

Experiment was carried out at the Kharkov linear accelerator. The particles were detected by scintillation counters after a magnetic spectrometer.

Possible mechanisms of the high energy photon-nuclei interactions are discussed. (6 Figs).

- 1) 999 = 1 GEV, REL P/D
- 2) 1.3 GEV, REL P/D, D/T
- 3) 999 = 1 GEV, REL D/T

Таблица 2

	$\theta^\circ$	$\left  \frac{d^2\sigma_d(2p)}{d\Omega dp} / \frac{d^2\sigma_p(p)}{d\Omega dp} \right  \cdot 10^8$	$\left[ \frac{d^2\sigma_t(3p)}{d\Omega dp} / \frac{d^2\sigma_d(2p)}{d\Omega dp} \right] \cdot 10^8$
Li <sup>7</sup>	60	1,94 ± 0,19	2,1 ± 0,3
	120	0,8 ± 0,08	0,9 ± 0,13
C <sup>12</sup>	60	2,2 ± 0,2	1,8 ± 0,3
	120	—	—
Ta <sup>181</sup>	60	3,1 ± 0,3	2,8 ± 0,4
	120	1,89 ± 0,2	1,85 ± 0,28

REF.

I. A. Grishaev, V. P. Efimov, V. I. Kasilov, V. I. Noga,  
 Yu. N. Ranyuk, P. V. Sorokin, A. N. Fisun  
 Ukr. Fiz. Zhur. 14, 1818 (1969)

ELEM. SYM.

Ta 181 73

METHOD

REF. NO.

69 Gr 2 egf

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
E,N	RLY	THR-999	C	70-999	ACT-I		4PI


999 = 1 GEV, RLY E-/E+

## DISINTEGRATION OF HEAVY NUCLEI BY ELECTRONS AND POSITRONS

I. A. Grishaev, V. P. Efimov, V. I. Kasilov, V. I. Noga,  
 Yu. N. Ranyuk, P. V. Sorokin, A. N. Fisun

### Summary

Gross-section ratio for the uranium and tantalum disintegration by electrons and positrons is equal to unity within the experimental errors over the investigated energy range from 70 to 1000 MeV and is in agreement with Barber's measurements and Rodenberg's calculations.





B. S. Ishkhanov, I. M. Kapitonov, E. V. Lazutin, I. M. Piskarev,  
 and O. P. Shevchenko  
 ZhETP Pis. Red. 10, 80 (1969)  
 JETP Letters 10, 51 (1969)

Elem. Sym.	Ta	181	73
REF. NO.	69 Is 1		hmg

METHOD

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, XN	ABX	7-30	C	7-30	MOD-I		4PI



REF. H. Tsubota, N. Fujiwara, H. Ishimaru, E. Tanaka, T. Aizawa,  
M. Kanazawa and N. Mutsuro  
J. Phys. Soc. Japan 26, 1 (1969)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73
METHOD		REF. NO.
		69 Ts 1
		egf

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G <sub>n</sub> N	NOX	15-26	C	26 (25.5)	SCI-D	7-	DST

Paper gives summary of angular distribution measurements.

Table I. A summary of the results.  
 $W(\theta) = A + B \sin^2 \theta + C \cos \theta$

Target	E <sub>det</sub> (MeV)	A	B	C	B/A	C/A
Bi	7.4	0.65±0.02	0.35±0.11	0.16±0.05	0.55±0.20	0.24±0.10
	8.7	0.66±0.01	0.34±0.06	0.18±0.03	0.51±0.10	0.27±0.06
Pb	7.4	0.45±0.05	0.55±0.11	0.10±0.01	1.22±0.25	0.22±0.04
	8.7	0.75±0.03	0.26±0.03	0.17±0.03	0.22±0.03	0.22±0.03
Ta	7.4	0.69±0.02	0.32±0.03	0.03±0.01	0.46±0.05	0.05±0.02
	8.7	0.80±0.04	0.20±0.02	0.05±0.03	0.25±0.04	0.07±0.04




Fig. 7. The angular distributions of fast photo-neutrons from Ta irradiated with 25.5 MeV bremsstrahlung.  
(a) The neutron detecting bias energy is set at 8.7 MeV.  
(b) The neutron detecting bias energy is set at 7.4 MeV.

REF.

Yu. P. Antuf'ev, V. L. Agranovich, V. G. Ganenko, V. S. Kuz'menko,  
I. I. Miroshnichenko, and P. V. Sorokin  
Yad. Fiz. 12, 1143 (1970); Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 12, 627 (1971)

ELEM. SYM.

A

Z

Ta

181

73

METHOD

REF. NO.

70 An 5

hmg

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
E,P	RLY	86-999	C	999	TEL-D	80-265	DST

999 = 1140 MEV




FIG. 1. Angular distributions of protons with energies of 80, 160, and 285 MeV produced from  $C^{12}$ ,  $Al^{27}$ ,  $Ni^{58}$ , and  $Ta^{181}$  nuclei by photons with maximum energy 1140 MeV. Only the statistical errors are shown.

FIG. 2. Angular distributions of 80-MeV protons (normalized at  $\theta = 40^\circ$ ) (the solid circle) for  $C^{12}$  (O) and  $Ta^{181}$  (X),  $E_{\gamma \text{ max}} = 1140$  MeV.





FIG. 3

FIG. 3. Total cross section for proton production per nucleon.  
 $E_{\gamma \text{ max}} = 1140$  MeV. Dashed curve—theory from ref. 11.

<sup>11</sup> K. S. Kölbig and B. Margolis, Nucl. Phys. B6, 85 (1968).



REF. C.E. Burgart, E.A. Straker, T.A. Love, and R.M. Freestone, Jr.  
Nucl. Sci. & Engr. 42, 421 (1970)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73

METHOD

REF. NO.	70 Bu 2	egf
----------	---------	-----

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
E,XN	SPC	7-140	C	140	TOF-D		165

THICK TARGET




Fig. 2. Photoneutron energy spectrum produced by 140-MeV electrons incident on tantalum.

REF.

Yu. P. Antuf'ev, V. L. Agranovich, V. B. Ganenko, V. S. Kuz'menko  
I. I. Miroshnichenko, and P. V. Sorokin  
Yad. Fiz. 13, 473 (1971); Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 13, 265 (1971)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73

METHOD	REF. NO.
	71 An 1 hmg

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,P	SPC	36-999	C	700,999	TEL-D	25-400	DST
G,D	SPC	43-999	C	700,999	TEL-D	25-400	DST

Yield of protons 30-400 MeV, deuterons 30-200 MeV.

999=1.2 GEV, REL D/P

Table I. Values of the parameter  $\tau$ , MeV

Target	$E_0 = 700 \text{ MeV}$										$E_0 = 1200 \text{ MeV}$					
	Protons					Deuterons					Protons			Deuterons		
	40°	60°	80°	100°	120°	40°	60°	80°	100°	120°	30°	60°	120°	30°	60°	120°
Li	46	42	34	30	27	28	24	22	21	20		45	28		27	24
Be	48	43	36	30	27	28	24	22	19		45	28		27	24	
C	50	44	38	30	26	34	33	29	23	19	60	48	35	37	34	22
Si		43			28		27			22		46	35		28	25
Cu												45	29		27	24
Ta					28					21		45	34		27	24
Pb											51	29	36			22

The measured secondary-particle spectra for kinetic energies  $T > 80 \text{ MeV}$  are well described by the expression

$$d^2\sigma/d\Omega dTQ = \text{const } T \exp(-T/\tau), \quad (1)$$

which is identical to the formula for the evaporation process.<sup>[4]</sup> In Table I we have given the values of the parameter  $\tau$  for the nuclei studied, at various angles. The accuracy in determination of  $\tau$  is about 10%.




FIG. 4. The ratios  $N_d/N_p$  as a function of target-nucleus mass number  $A$  at an angle  $\theta = 60^\circ$  for  $E_0 = 1200 \text{ MeV}$ . Solid curve  $\sim A^{0.13}$ .

REF. Yu. P. Antuf ev, V.L. Agranovich, V.B. Ganenko, V.S. Kuz menko,  
I.I. Miroshnichenko, and P.V. Sorokin  
Yad. Fiz. 14, 898 (1971)  
Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 14, 502 (1972)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73
REF. NO.		hmg
71 An 2		

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, XD	ABX	101-999	C	999	MAG-D		DST

999 = 1.14 GEV




FIG. 1. Angular distributions of deuterons in  $(\gamma, d)$  reactions in nuclei for  $E_0 = 620$  MeV (a-c) and  $E_0 = 1140$  MeV (d-k). The statistical errors are shown. a-g—angular distributions of deuterons with energies of 90 MeV, h-k—with energy 160 MeV.




FIG. 2. Angular distributions of 160-MeV deuterons normalized at  $\theta = 40^\circ$  for  $C^{12}$  ( $\Delta$ ) and  $Ta^{181}$  (O) for  $E_0 = 1140$  MeV.

FIG. 3. Angular distributions of 90-MeV deuterons normalized at  $\theta = 40^\circ$  for  $E_0 = 1140$  MeV (O) and  $E_0 = 620$  MeV ( $\Delta$ ).

REF. C. B. Fulmer, K. S. Toth, I. R. Williams, and G. F. Dell  
 Phys. Rev. C4, 2123 (1971)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73

METHOD	REF. NO.	
	71 Fu 4	hmg

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, SPL	RLX	THR-999	D	999	ACT-I		4PI
		(5 GEV)		(5 GEV)			

Measurement of F values (the ratio of photo-disintegration to electrodisintegration cross sections).

999 = 5 GEV

TABLE I. Experimentally measured F values for several nuclides produced in targets bombarded with 5-GeV electrons.

Target	Nuclide	F
Aluminum	<sup>24</sup> Na	2.4 ± 0.4
Aluminum	<sup>7</sup> Be	3.3 ± 0.5
Iron	<sup>52</sup> Mn	2.0 ± 0.3
Iron	<sup>48</sup> Cr	2.0 ± 0.2
Iron	<sup>46</sup> Sc	2.4 ± 0.4
Iron	<sup>24</sup> Na	3.2 ± 0.4
Tantalum	<sup>175</sup> Hf	2.0 ± 0.3
Tantalum	<sup>177</sup> Lu	1.9 ± 0.4

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,F	ABY	THR-900	C	300-900	FRG- I		4PI




Fig. 2. Nuclear fission cross sections as a function of  $Z^2/A$ .

TABLE 1  
The constant fission cross sections above the threshold

Element	$\sigma_f$ (cm <sup>2</sup> )	Element	$\sigma_f$ (cm <sup>2</sup> )
Pb	$(5.0 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-27}$	La	$(1.1 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-29}$
Au	$(1.7 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-27}$	Sn	$(4.3 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-29}$
Ta	$(3.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-28}$	Ag	$(8.4 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{-29}$
Yb	$(1.2 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-28}$	Mo	$(1.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-28}$
Ho	$(5.5 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-29}$	Cu	$(6.6 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-28}$
Gd	$(5.3 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-29}$	Ni	$(5.8 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-28}$
Nd	$(1.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-29}$		

[over]




Fig. 1. Cross sections per equivalent quantum  $\sigma_q(E)$  as a function of  $\log E$ .

REF.

E. J. Moniz, I. Sick, R. R. Whitney, J. R. Ficenec, R. G. Kephart  
and W. P. Trower  
Phys. Rev. Letters 26, 445 (1971)

ELEM. SYM. A Z

Ta

181

73

METHOD

REF. NO.

71 Mo 3

hmg

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
E, E/	ABX	0-240	D	500	MAG-D		60

Table I. Nuclear Fermi momentum  $k_F$  and average nucleon interaction energy  $\bar{\epsilon}$  determined by least-squares fit of theory to quasielastic peak.

Nucleus	$k_F$ (MeV/c) <sup>a</sup>	$\bar{\epsilon}$ (MeV) <sup>b</sup>
${}^3\text{Li}^6$	169	17
${}^6\text{C}^{12}$	221	25
${}^{12}\text{Mg}^{24}$	235	32
${}^{20}\text{Ca}^{40}$	251	28
${}^{28}\text{Ni}^{58.7}$	260	36
${}^{39}\text{Y}^{89}$	254	39
${}^{50}\text{Sn}^{118.7}$	260	42
${}^{73}\text{Ta}^{181}$	265	42
${}^{181}\text{Ta}^{181}$	265	44

<sup>a</sup>The fitting uncertainty in these numbers is approximately  $\pm 5$  MeV/c.

<sup>b</sup>The fitting uncertainty in these numbers is approximately  $\pm 3$  MeV. Simple estimates for  $\bar{\epsilon}$  give numbers in reasonable agreement with those in the table.

METHOD


REF. NO.  
71 Va 4  
egf

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G.F	ABX	100-999	C	100-999	TRK-I		4PI

999 = 5 GEV

E <sub>γ</sub> max. MeV	Photofission yields per cm <sup>2</sup> per equivalent photon					
	U <sup>235</sup>	U <sup>238</sup>	Th <sup>232</sup>	Hf <sup>178</sup>	Au <sup>197</sup>	Ta <sup>181</sup>
100	(226 ± 20) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(120 ± 12) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(50 ± 5) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(0.70 ± 0.08) · 10 <sup>-26</sup>	(3.0 ± 0.4) · 10 <sup>-26</sup>	
120				(1.5 ± 0.2) · 10 <sup>-26</sup>	(1.4 ± 0.2) · 10 <sup>-26</sup>	
140				(2.5 ± 0.2) · 10 <sup>-26</sup>	(2.0 ± 0.2) · 10 <sup>-26</sup>	
150	(240 ± 20) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>		(6.1 ± 0.7) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>			
160				(3.1 ± 0.3) · 10 <sup>-26</sup>	(3.7 ± 0.4) · 10 <sup>-26</sup>	
180				(4.6 ± 0.5) · 10 <sup>-26</sup>	(5.5 ± 0.6) · 10 <sup>-26</sup>	
200	(265 ± 30) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(150 ± 15) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(7.2 ± 0.7) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(6.1 ± 0.6) · 10 <sup>-26</sup>	(8.2 ± 0.8) · 10 <sup>-26</sup>	(4.9 ± 0.5) · 10 <sup>-26</sup>
220				(8.3 ± 0.8) · 10 <sup>-26</sup>	(1.1 ± 0.1) · 10 <sup>-25</sup>	(8.2 ± 0.8) · 10 <sup>-26</sup>
240				(1.2 ± 0.1) · 10 <sup>-25</sup>	(1.5 ± 0.2) · 10 <sup>-25</sup>	(1.2 ± 0.1) · 10 <sup>-25</sup>
215		(156 ± 16) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>				
260				(1.5 ± 0.2) · 10 <sup>-25</sup>	(1.8 ± 0.2) · 10 <sup>-25</sup>	(1.6 ± 0.2) · 10 <sup>-25</sup>
280		(160 ± 16) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(8.5 ± 0.9) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>			
300				(2.2 ± 0.2) · 10 <sup>-25</sup>	(2.3 ± 0.2) · 10 <sup>-25</sup>	
310				(3.5 ± 0.4) · 10 <sup>-25</sup>	(4.4 ± 0.4) · 10 <sup>-25</sup>	
370				(4.2 ± 0.4) · 10 <sup>-25</sup>	(5.0 ± 0.5) · 10 <sup>-25</sup>	
400	(318 ± 30) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(175 ± 20) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>				
420			(106 ± 11) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>			(7.0 ± 0.7) · 10 <sup>-26</sup>
430				(5.4 ± 0.5) · 10 <sup>-25</sup>	(6.9 ± 0.7) · 10 <sup>-25</sup>	
430				(6.7 ± 0.7) · 10 <sup>-25</sup>	(9.1 ± 0.7) · 10 <sup>-25</sup>	
430		(180 ± 20) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(115 ± 12) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(6.9 ± 0.7) · 10 <sup>-25</sup>	(9.6 ± 0.7) · 10 <sup>-25</sup>	
430				(7.9 ± 0.8) · 10 <sup>-25</sup>	(1.1 ± 0.1) · 10 <sup>-24</sup>	
500	(346 ± 35) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>			(7.7 ± 0.8) · 10 <sup>-25</sup>	(1.2 ± 0.1) · 10 <sup>-24</sup>	(1.7 ± 0.2) · 10 <sup>-24</sup>
520				(8.5 ± 0.9) · 10 <sup>-25</sup>	(1.7 ± 0.1) · 10 <sup>-24</sup>	
540		(200 ± 20) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(120 ± 12) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(8.5 ± 0.9) · 10 <sup>-25</sup>	(1.3 ± 0.1) · 10 <sup>-24</sup>	
550				(9.2 ± 0.9) · 10 <sup>-25</sup>	(1.2 ± 0.1) · 10 <sup>-24</sup>	(2.4 ± 0.2) · 10 <sup>-24</sup>
570				(10.4 ± 1) · 10 <sup>-25</sup>	(1.7 ± 0.2) · 10 <sup>-24</sup>	
590	(386 ± 40) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(220 ± 22) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(135 ± 14) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>			
600		(224 ± 23) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(140 ± 14) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>			
620				(11.3 ± 1.1) · 10 <sup>-25</sup>	(1.9 ± 0.2) · 10 <sup>-24</sup>	(2.0 ± 0.2) · 10 <sup>-24</sup>
650	(400 ± 40) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(217 ± 23) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(126 ± 14) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(12.5 ± 1.3) · 10 <sup>-25</sup>	(1.9 ± 0.2) · 10 <sup>-24</sup>	
670	(390 ± 40) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>			(13.5 ± 1.4) · 10 <sup>-25</sup>	2.0 ± 0.2 · 10 <sup>-24</sup>	(3.3 ± 0.3) · 10 <sup>-24</sup>
700	(420 ± 40) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(193 ± 20) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(152 ± 15) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>			
720				(14.3 ± 1.4) · 10 <sup>-25</sup>	2.3 ± 0.2 · 10 <sup>-24</sup>	
750	(450 ± 45) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(228 ± 24) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(155 ± 15) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(13.9 ± 1.4) · 10 <sup>-25</sup>	(2.1 ± 0.2) · 10 <sup>-24</sup>	
770				(15.5 ± 1.6) · 10 <sup>-25</sup>	(2.2 ± 0.2) · 10 <sup>-24</sup>	
800	(410 ± 41) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(207 ± 21) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(139 ± 14) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>			
810	(435 ± 45) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(242 ± 24) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(155 ± 16) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>			
820	(400 ± 40) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(215 ± 21) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(152 ± 15) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(18.1 ± 1.8) · 10 <sup>-25</sup>	2.7 ± 0.2 · 10 <sup>-24</sup>	
830	(450 ± 45) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(230 ± 23) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(146 ± 15) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>			
840	(480 ± 48) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(251 ± 25) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(148 ± 15) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>			
850				(15.0 ± 1.6) · 10 <sup>-25</sup>	3.0 ± 0.3 · 10 <sup>-24</sup>	(6.4 ± 0.6) · 10 <sup>-24</sup>
860	(470 ± 47) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(264 ± 27) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(182 ± 18) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>			(6.6 ± 0.7) · 10 <sup>-24</sup>
890	(477 ± 50) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(280 ± 28) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>		(20.2 ± 2) · 10 <sup>-25</sup>	(2.6 ± 0.3) · 10 <sup>-24</sup>	
900	(495 ± 50) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(274 ± 28) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(206 ± 21) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(20.2 ± 2) · 10 <sup>-25</sup>	(2.8 ± 0.3) · 10 <sup>-24</sup>	(5.3 ± 0.6) · 10 <sup>-24</sup>

FIG. 3. Solid heavy curve - photofission cross section of Br<sup>209</sup>, Au<sup>197</sup>, Ta<sup>181</sup>. Dashed curve - the same cross section, integrated over the Schiff bremsstrahlung spectrum (yield per equivalent photon as a function of bremsstrahlung maximum energy). Thin line - cross section for γp interaction, multiplied by the number of nucleons in the nucleus and by the fissility.





ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73
REF. NO.		
72 Ba 16		hvm

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
\$ G,G	RLX	15.1	D	15.1	NAI-D		90

POL G; ALSO G/

$$\eta = \frac{(d\sigma/d\Omega)_{\parallel} \text{ to polarization vector}}{(d\sigma/d\Omega)_{\perp} \text{ to polarization vector}}$$

Table 1. Results and Comparison with Theory

Target	$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega_{\perp}}$ (Arbitrary Units)	n(exp)	n(DCM)	n(HD)
Cd	0.39±0.05	0.09±0.08	0.19	0
Sn	0.65±0.06	0.11±0.06	0.067	0
Ta	1.74±0.14	0.14±0.07	0.180	0.155
Au	2.08±0.15	0.17±0.06	0.067	0
Bi	2.65±0.26	0.02±0.06	0	0

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,F	NOX	THR* 6	C	1* 6	TRK-I		DST

$$d\sigma/d\Omega \sim 1+2 v/V \cos\theta - P \sin^2\theta$$

\* ENERGIES IN GEV

Table II

Element	$E_{\gamma \text{ max}}$ , MeV	$\chi^2$	$w(\chi^2 - \chi_0^2)$	$a = 2v/V$	$a = 2v/V$ [16]	$v$ , (MeV/nucleon) <sup>1/2</sup>	$\epsilon$ , MeV
U <sup>238</sup>	3000	0.16	0.99	$-0.031 \pm 0.025$		$0.042 \pm 0.005$	$0.160 \pm 0.020$
	3800	0.55	0.85	$0.013 \pm 0.017$		$0.018 \pm 0.002$	$0.045 \pm 0.005$
	5100	0.59	0.85	$0.040 \pm 0.017$		$0.054 \pm 0.006$	$0.036 \pm 0.031$
Bi <sup>209</sup>	700	0.93	0.45	$0.155 \pm 0.017$		$0.091 \pm 0.009$	$0.051 \pm 0.052$
	1000	1.14	0.3	$-0.065 \pm 0.017$		$0.047 \pm 0.005$	$0.220 \pm 0.023$
	1200	0.76	0.6	$0.094 \pm 0.020$		$0.053 \pm 0.006$	$0.214 \pm 0.020$
	1300	0.91	0.4	$0.093 \pm 0.018$		$0.055 \pm 0.006$	$0.314 \pm 0.030$
	1480	0.62	0.85	$0.034 \pm 0.018$		$0.020 \pm 0.002$	$0.040 \pm 0.004$
					$0.097 \pm 0.010$		
Au <sup>197</sup>	350				$0.100 \pm 0.010$		
	450				$0.090 \pm 0.010$		
	600						
	645	0.87	0.55	$0.084 \pm 0.015$		$0.044 \pm 0.004$	$0.187 \pm 0.018$
	700				$0.116 \pm 0.010$		
	800	0.45	0.95	$0.008 \pm 0.020$		$0.005 \pm 0.001$	$0.020 \pm 0.002$
	850				$0.121 \pm 0.010$		
	900	4.50	0.901	$0.124 \pm 0.015$		$0.064 \pm 0.005$	$0.400 \pm 0.040$
1000	0.95	0.4	$0.101 \pm 0.017$		$0.052 \pm 0.005$	$0.262 \pm 0.025$	
1300	0.87	0.4	$0.094 \pm 0.017$		$0.049 \pm 0.005$	$0.234 \pm 0.024$	
1400	0.90	0.5	$0.075 \pm 0.018$		$0.039 \pm 0.004$	$0.147 \pm 0.015$	
3600	1.34	0.15	$0.122 \pm 0.017$		$0.065 \pm 0.006$	$0.410 \pm 0.040$	
3800	0.7	0.65	$0.093 \pm 0.017$		$0.048 \pm 0.003$	$0.223 \pm 0.022$	
600	0.8	0.5	$0.087 \pm 0.018$		$0.044 \pm 0.004$	$0.176 \pm 0.018$	
700				$0.147 \pm 0.010$			
Ta <sup>181</sup>	1145	5.2	0.601	$0.144 \pm 0.018$		$0.072 \pm 0.007$	$0.368 \pm 0.047$
	1480	1.25	0.15	$0.127 \pm 0.018$		$0.064 \pm 0.006$	$0.370 \pm 0.037$
	5100	2.02	0.001	$0.200 \pm 0.020$		$0.100 \pm 0.010$	$0.500 \pm 0.050$

Note.  $\chi^2$  is the value of  $\chi^2$  per degree of freedom,  $w(\chi^2 - \chi_0^2)$  is the probability of the value of  $\chi^2$ ,  $a = 2v/V$  is the anisotropy coefficient,  $\epsilon$  is the fissioning-nucleus kinetic energy,  $v$  is the fissioning-nucleus velocity.

Table III

$E_{\gamma \text{ max}}$ , MeV	$\chi^2$	$w(\chi^2 - \chi_0^2)$	$a = 2v/V$	$v$ (MeV/nucleon) <sup>1/2</sup>	$\epsilon$ , MeV	$P$
600	0.88	0.8	$0.087 \pm 0.018$	$0.044 \pm 0.004$	$0.176 \pm 0.018$	$0.153 \pm 0.035$
1145	4.23	0.001	$0.136 \pm 0.017$	$0.068 \pm 0.007$	$0.416 \pm 0.042$	$0.170 \pm 0.030$
1480	1.04	0.40	$0.128 \pm 0.017$	$0.064 \pm 0.006$	$0.370 \pm 0.037$	$0.668 \pm 0.030$
5100	1.88	0.015	$0.195 \pm 0.015$	$0.098 \pm 0.009$	$0.505 \pm 0.050$	$-0.132 \pm 0.028$

Note.  $\chi^2$  is the value of  $\chi^2$  per degree of freedom,  $w(\chi^2 - \chi_0^2)$  is the probability of the value of  $\chi^2$ ,  $a = 2v/V$  is the anisotropy coefficient associated with transport velocity of the fissioning nucleus,  $v$  is the velocity of the fissioning nucleus,  $V$  is the kinetic energy of the fissioning nucleus,  $P$  is the anisotropy coefficient associated with angular momentum of the nucleus.




FIG. 3. Anisotropy coefficient as a function of target-nucleus atomic number. Points: O—results of the present work, Δ—results of Kroon and Forkman [16].

$$a = 2 \frac{v}{V}$$

REF. V.I. Kasilov, A.V. Mitrofanova, Yu. N. Ranyuk, P.V. Sorokin  
 Yad. Fiz. 15, 406 (1972)  
 Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 15, 228 (1972)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73

METHOD

REF. NO.	hmg
72 Ka 5	

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, SPL	RLY	THR-999	C	600-999	TRK-I		DST
G, F	RLY	THR-999	C	600-999	TRK-I		DST

999 = 1.7 GEV




FIG. 4




FIG. 5




FIG. 6

FIG. 6. Yield of photofragmentation as a function of  $E_{\gamma \text{ max}}$ .

FIG. 4. Angular distributions of fragments from photofission of tantalum.

FIG. 5. Angular distributions of fragmentation products.

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73
REF. NO.		egf
72 Kr 3		

METHOD

REF. NO.

72 Kr 3

egf

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,F	ABY	THR-999	C	350-999	TRK-I		DST

999 = 1 GEV




Fig. 4. The tantalum, gold and lead forward-to-backward ratios as a function of bremsstrahlung energy.

TABLE I

Ratios between the number of fragments in the forward and backward directions recorded in glass detectors,  $N_f/N_b$ 

Element	Present work		Proton sandwich experiment <sup>c)</sup>
	from angular distribution <sup>a)</sup>	sandwich experiment <sup>b)</sup>	
Ta		1.29 ± 0.04	
Re			1.42 ± 0.08
Au	1.19 ± 0.02	1.20 ± 0.01	1.45 ± 0.07
Pb	1.11 ± 0.02	1.13 ± 0.01	1.61 ± 0.15
<sup>238</sup> U			1.26 ± 0.05

<sup>a)</sup> Ratios calculated from the angular distributions at 700 MeV.<sup>b)</sup> Ratios obtained with the sandwich technique, 700 MeV for Au and Pb, 800 MeV for Ta.<sup>c)</sup> From ref. <sup>9)</sup> Proton induced fission at proton energy 660 MeV.<sup>9)</sup> V. A. Kon'shin, E. S. Matusevich, V. I. Regushevskii,  
Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 2, 489 (1966).

REF.

N.A. Skakun and N.P. Dikii  
 Yad. Fiz. 15, 615 (1972)  
 Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 15, 341 (1972)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73


  

METHOD	REF. NO.	
	72 Sk 6	hmg

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,F	LFT	THR-999	C	999	TRK-I		DST

Fission lifetime is  $3.3 \times 10^{-17}$  sec.

999 = 1.45 GEV



Angular distributions of fragments from photofission of tantalum:  
 a—in the vicinity of the crystallographic (111) axis, directed at  $90^\circ$  to the beam; b—in the vicinity of the crystallographic (111) axis directed at  $160^\circ$  to the beam.

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73

METHOD	REF. NO.
	73 Ba 20

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,N	NOX	THR- 27	C	10- 27	BF3-I		4PI





Fig. 12. Experimental values of the level density parameter  $a_p$  (Fermi gas formula plus pairing correction) versus atomic number  $A$ . The continuous curve is a least-squares fit to the data of a theoretical calculation from Newton <sup>15</sup>.

Fig. 15. Ratio  $a_{obs}/a_p$  versus atomic number  $A$ . Here  $a_{obs}$  is the level density parameter taken from the neutron resonance work of refs. <sup>1,2</sup>, and  $a_p$  is the level density parameter derived from the present  $(\gamma, n)$  work. Filled circles represent points where nuclei in the neutron resonance and in the  $(\gamma, n)$  experiment were the same. Open circles represent points where the respective nuclei were approximately matched. Triangles represent points which are based on measurement of neutron mean energies at two bremsstrahlung energies only.



(over)

TABLE 3 (continued)

Target	N (residual nucleus) <sup>a)</sup>		Goodness of fit <sup>b)</sup>		$\hat{E}_n(24)$ (MeV) <sup>c)</sup>	T (MeV) <sup>d)</sup>	$a_p$ (MeV <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>e)</sup>	$a_{obs}$ (MeV <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>f)</sup>	$a_{obs}/a_p$
	no	with	p.c.	p.c.					
Ba	75	1%		F	1.16		16.5- <sup>136</sup> Ba	15.39- <sup>136</sup> Ba	0.93
	77	2%							
	78	7%							
	79	8%							
	80	11%							
	81	71%							
La	80	100%	F	F	1.25	0.72	15.5- <sup>139</sup> La	13.76- <sup>139</sup> La	0.89
Ce	81	89%	F	G	1.24	0.70	17.0- <sup>139</sup> Ce	17.8 - <sup>141</sup> Ce	1.04
	83	11%							
Pr	81	100%	G	G	1.17	0.65	17.0- <sup>140</sup> Pr	17.05- <sup>142</sup> Pr	1.00
Tb <sup>g)</sup>	93	100%			1.15		19.3- <sup>158</sup> Tb	21.85- <sup>160</sup> Tb	1.14
Dy <sup>g)</sup>	93	2%			1.06		20.9- <sup>161.5</sup> Dy	21.9 - <sup>162</sup> Dy	1.05
	94	19%							
	95	25%							
	96	25%							
	97	28%							
Ho	97	100%	P	G	1.06	0.56	21.4- <sup>164</sup> Ho	20.66- <sup>166</sup> Ho	0.97
Er <sup>g)</sup>	95	2%			1.11		19.2- <sup>166</sup> Er	21.9 - <sup>166</sup> Er	1.14
	97	33%							
	98	23%							
	99	27%							
	101	15%							
Tm <sup>g)</sup>	99	100%			1.03		24.0- <sup>168</sup> Tm	22.53- <sup>170</sup> Tm	0.94
Ta	107	100%		G	1.00	0.49	26.0- <sup>180</sup> Ta	21.2 - <sup>181</sup> Ta	0.82
W	107	26%	G	F	0.98	0.50	27.0- <sup>183</sup> W	23.0 - <sup>183</sup> W	<u>0.85</u>
	108	14%							
	109	31%							
	111	28%							
Au	117	100%		G	1.19		17.5- <sup>196</sup> Au	20.24- <sup>198</sup> Au	1.16
Pb	123	24%		V.P.	1.87	1.20	7.5- <sup>206</sup> Pb	10.1 - <sup>207</sup> Pb	1.35
(Z = 82)	124	23%							
	125	52%							
Bi	125	100%		F	1.65	1.03	9.0- <sup>208</sup> Bi	13.8 - <sup>210</sup> Bi	1.53

<sup>a)</sup> Neutron numbers and abundances of respective residual nuclei in ( $\gamma$ , n) experiments.

<sup>b)</sup> These give an assessment of the goodness of fit of a calculated  $\hat{E}_n$  versus  $E_n$  curve to the observed data, using the Fermi gas level density formula both without and with pairing corrections.

<sup>c)</sup> Bremsstrahlung photoneutron mean energies  $\hat{E}_n$  for peak bremsstrahlung energy  $E_0 = 24$  MeV.

<sup>d)</sup> Nuclear temperature from fit with constant-temperature formula.

<sup>e)</sup> Level density parameter  $a_p$  derived from the present ( $\gamma$ , n) experiment, using a Fermi gas formula plus pairing correction, and corresponding residual nucleus (the atomic weight shown is the weighted average of atomic weights of the respective isotopes present).

<sup>f)</sup> As column 7, but using data on n-resonance absorption from refs. 1, 2).

<sup>g)</sup> Measurements of  $\hat{E}_n(E_0)$  for these nuclei were made only for  $E_0 = 21, 23$  and 24 MeV.

N.V. Goncharov, A.I. Derebchinskii, O.G. Kononov,  
 S.G. Tonapetyan, and V.M. Khvorostyan  
 Yad. Fiz. 17, 242 (1973)  
 Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 17, 124 (1973)

REF.

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73

METHOD

REF. NO.	hmg
73 Go 5	

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,PI+	ABY	170-400	C	400	BBL-D		90
G,PI-	ABY	170-400	C	400	BBL-D		90
G,P	ABY	76-400	C	400	BBL-D		90




FIG. 2. Energy spectra of  $\pi^+$  and  $\pi^-$  mesons,  $E_{\gamma}^{\max} = 400$  MeV,  $\theta_{\text{lab}} = (90 \pm 7)^\circ$ .




FIG. 4. Charged pion yield vs. the mass number of the nucleus:  $\bullet$  -  $E_{\pi} = 105 \pm 10$  MeV,  $\circ$  -  $E_{\pi} = 85 \pm 10$  MeV,  $\ominus$  -  $E_{\pi} = 65 \pm 10$  MeV.




FIG. 3. Energy spectra of protons,  $E_{\gamma}^{\max} = 400$  MeV,  $\theta_{\text{lab}} = (90 \pm 7)^\circ$ . Circles—present data, triangles—from [18].

<sup>18</sup>P. Dougan, W. Staefer, LUSY Preprint, 1001-1003. 1970.

(over)






FIG. 5. Proton yields vs. mass number of the nucleus:  $\circ$ — $E_p = 100 \pm 10$  MeV,  $\bullet$ — $E_p = 125 \pm 15$  MeV,  $\Delta$ — $E_p = 155 \pm 15$  MeV,  $\square$ — $E_p = 185 \pm 15$  MeV,  $\diamond$ — $E_p = 215 \pm 15$  MeV.




FIG. 6. Pion yield (1), proton yield (2), and summary pion and proton yield (3) vs. the mass number of the nucleus.

REF. E. Hayward, W. C. Barber, and Jed Szama  
 Phys. Rev. C8, 1065 (1973)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73

METHOD					REF. NO.		
					73 Ha 3	hmg	
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
\$ G,G	RLY	15 (15.1)	D	15 (15.1)	NAI-D		90

POLARIZED PHOTONS

TABLE II. Results.

Target	$d\sigma^{\parallel}/d\Omega_p$ Arbitrary units	$d\sigma^{\perp}/d\Omega_p$ Arbitrary units	$\eta_p$	$\eta$	$\eta(DCM)$
Cd	0.042 ± 0.028	0.39 ± 0.05	0.11 ± 0.07	0.09 ± 0.07	0.19
Sn	0.084 ± 0.036	0.65 ± 0.06	0.13 ± 0.06	0.11 ± 0.06	0.07
Ta	0.24 ± 0.10	1.47 ± 0.14	0.16 ± 0.07	0.14 ± 0.07	0.20
W	0.52 ± 0.10	1.66 ± 0.12	0.31 ± 0.07	0.29 ± 0.07	0.20
Pt	0.23 ± 0.08	1.94 ± 0.13	0.12 ± 0.04	0.10 ± 0.04	0.08
Au	0.39 ± 0.11	2.08 ± 0.15	0.19 ± 0.06	0.17 ± 0.06	0.07
Bi	0.10 ± 0.15	2.65 ± 0.26	0.04 ± 0.06	0.02 ± 0.06	0

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73

METHOD				REF. NO.			
				73 Hi 6		egf	
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, XN	ABX	7- 29	C	7- 29	BF3-I		4PI




Fig. 1.—Comparison of (a) the present results with (b) those of Ishkhanov *et al.* (1969) for the cross section for photoneutron production in  $^{181}\text{Ta}$ .




Fig. 3.—Multiplicity corrected  $^{181}\text{Ta}$  photoneutron cross section as derived using the VBPL technique with analysis bin widths close to 1.5 times the Thies (1961) optimum value. The full curve represents the DCM predicted form, an incoherent sum of calculations by Arenhövel (1965) and Ligensa *et al.* (1966) for the E1 and E2 giant resonances respectively. The dashed curve is an extrapolation of the predicted dipole resonance.

(over)




Fig. 4.—Multiplicity corrected  $^{181}\text{Ta}$  photoneutron cross section obtained by a re-analysis of the data with bin widths close to the Thies (1961) optimum bin in order to examine the possibility of extensive fine structure within the dipole resonance.

- Arenhövel, H. (1965).—Dissertation, University of Frankfurt am Main.  
 Ishkhanov, B.S., Kapitonov, I.M., Lazutin, E.V., Piskarev, I.M., and Shevchenko, O.P. (1969).—Sov. Phys. JETP Lett. 10, 51.  
 Ligensa, R., Greiner, W., and Danos, M. (1966).—Phys. Rev. Lett. 16, 363.  
 Thies, H.H. (1961).—Aust. J. Phys. 14, 174.



REF.

A. Suzuki, K. Shoda, M. Sugawara, T. Saito, H. Miyase,  
S. Oikawa, and J. Uegaki  
PICNS-73, Vol. I, p.195 Asilomar

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73
REF. NO.		
73 Su 10		hmg

METHOD

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
E,P	RLY	5- 20	D	15- 20	MAG-D		125



ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73
REF. NO.		hmg
73 Su 11		

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
E,P	RLY	5- 22	D	18- 22	MAG-D		90




Fig. 1




Fig. 2




Fig. 3

REF. P. David, J. Debrus, F. Lubke, H. Mommesen, R. Schoenmackers,  
and G. Stein  
Nucl. Phys. A221, 145 (1974)

EL. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73

METHOD			REF. NO.		egf		
			74 Da 2				
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,A	ABY	10-450	C	450	TEL-D		90

Data measured for p,t, and He3, but not given in paper.




Fig. 8. The position of maxima of the ( $\gamma$ ,  $\alpha$ ) spectra and the width of the spectra at half height. The broken line gives the height of the Coulomb barrier.

METHOD

REF. NO.

74 Ja 2

hmg

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,G	ABX	10 (10.83)	D	10 (10.83)	SCD-D		90

TABLE I. Differential cross sections measured for elastic and inelastic scattering of 10.83-MeV photons. State or states populated by inelastic scattering are indicated in parentheses below the target. The errors given result from the statistical error in the measurement of the cross section relative to the calibration value, the 90° uranium inelastic cross section.

Nucleus	$\theta$ (deg)	$d\sigma/d\omega$ (elastic) (mb/sr)	$d\sigma/d\omega$ (inelastic) (mb/sr)		
<sup>238</sup> U (2 <sup>+</sup> , 45 keV)	20	1.72 ± 0.17			
	30	0.97 ± 0.12			
	50	0.334 ± 0.039			
	60	0.23 ± 0.04			
	70	0.245 ± 0.024	0.136 ± 0.015		
	90	0.182 ± 0.017	0.154 ± 0.012		
	120	0.189 ± 0.017	0.160 ± 0.013		
<sup>232</sup> Th (2 <sup>+</sup> , 45 keV)	150	0.303 ± 0.016	0.160 ± 0.015		
	Pb	90	0.129 ± 0.015	0.103 ± 0.007	
		20	1.28 ± 0.12		
		30	0.55 ± 0.07		
		50	0.289 ± 0.051		
		60	0.20 ± 0.04		
70		0.087 ± 0.014			
<sup>209</sup> Bi ( $\frac{1}{2}^-$ , 910 keV)	90	0.101 ± 0.0062	~0		
	<sup>181</sup> Ta ( $\frac{3}{2}^+$ , 136 keV)	90	0.0370 ± 0.003	0.00656 ± 0.0015	
		<sup>159</sup> Tb ( $\frac{5}{2}^+$ , 58 keV)	90	0.0314 ± 0.003	0.0110 ± 0.0016
					0.00511 ± 0.0011

TABLE III. Comparison of calculated and observed values of the 90° cross sections for elastic scattering and of the ratio at 90° of Raman to elastic scattering by various nuclei for 10.83-MeV photons. The parameters used in the calculations are given in Table II.

Target	$d\sigma_{\text{elas}}(90^\circ)/d\Omega$ (mb/sr)		$d\sigma_{\text{Raman}}^{(90^\circ)}/d\sigma_{\text{elas}}^{(90^\circ)}$	
	Calc	Exp	Calc	Exp
Tb	0.036	0.031 ± 0.003	0.80	0.51 ± 0.06
Ta	0.055	0.037 ± 0.003	0.28	0.18 ± 0.04
Pb	0.076	0.079 ± 0.005	0	
Bi		0.101 ± 0.006	0	~0
Th	0.128	0.129 ± 0.015	0.91	0.80 ± 0.08
U	0.157 <sup>a</sup>	0.182 ± 0.017	1.03	0.85 ± 0.08

<sup>a</sup> If the Livermore parameters (Ref. 33) for <sup>235</sup>U are used then this calculated value would be 0.210 mb/sr.

33

C.D. Bowman, G.F. Auchampauch, and  
S.C. Fultz, Phys. Rev. 133, B676 (1964).



REF. S. Kahane and R. Moreh  
 Phys. Rev. C9, 2384 (1974)  
 (See also 73Mo13)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73
REF. NO.		egf
74 Ka 9		

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,G	ABX	8	D	8	SCD-D		DST

Differential cross sections for elastic scattering of 7.9-MeV photons from <sup>181</sup>Ta have been measured at angles ranging from 25 to 140°. These results and previously measured differential cross sections from <sup>238</sup>U and <sup>232</sup>Th are compared with theoretical predictions taking into account Rayleigh scattering, nuclear Thomson scattering, nuclear resonance scattering, and Delbruck scattering. It is shown that at this energy the forward elastic scattering at angles  $\leq 75^\circ$  is due almost entirely to Delbruck scattering. The experimental results were found to deviate systematically from predicted values throughout most of the angular range. A striking agreement with theory was obtained only after excluding the contribution of the real part of the Delbruck scattering amplitude.

8=7.9 MEV




FIG. 4. Differential elastic scattering cross sections for 7.9-MeV photons from <sup>181</sup>Ta. The solid curve represents the calculated values obtained by including the amplitudes of Thomson, Rayleigh, nuclear resonance, and Delbruck scatterings. The dashed curve represents the result obtained after excluding the real Delbruck amplitudes while the dash-dot curve represents the result obtained by including nuclear resonance and Thomson scattering only. The incoherent contribution is included in all calculations.

TABLE I. Measured differential cross sections ( $\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ ) for elastic scattering from a <sup>181</sup>Ta target at various angles. The calculated cross sections include the contributions of all scattering processes with and without the real part of the Delbruck scattering amplitude.

Angle (deg)	Experiment	Calculated	
		Without real	With real
25	195 ± 50	190	290
35	82 ± 20	62	110
45	26 ± 7	25	48
60	9.7 ± 3.5	9.8	19
75	4.9 ± 1.5	4.8	8.5
90	2.6 ± 1.1	2.9	3.8
120	2.0 ± 0.9	2.1	0.76
140	2.2 ± 1.1	2.2	0.51




FIG. 5. Differential elastic scattering cross sections for 7.9-MeV photons from <sup>232</sup>Th and <sup>238</sup>U. The solid curve represents the calculated values obtained by including the amplitudes of Thomson, Rayleigh, nuclear resonance, and Delbruck scatterings. The dashed curve represents the result obtained after excluding the real Delbruck amplitudes while the dash-dot curve represents the result obtained by including nuclear resonance and Thomson scattering only.

REF.

L.E. Lazareva, A.I. Lepestkin, and V.I. Sidorov  
 Yad. Fiz. 20, 242 (1974)  
 Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 20, 128 (1975)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73

METHOD

REF. NO.

74 La 5

hmg

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, XN	SPC	8- 29	C	29	EMU-D		DST
				(28.5)			




FIG. 2. Asymmetry coefficients  $c/a$  obtained for nuclei with various  $Z$  in the following studies: ref. 10 -  $E_{\gamma \text{ max}} = 25.5$  MeV,  $E_n > 7.4$  MeV (\*); ref. 11 -  $E_{\gamma \text{ max}} = 27-32$  MeV,  $E_n > \sim 5$  MeV ( $\bullet$ ); ref. 12 -  $E_{\gamma \text{ max}} = 34$  MeV,  $E_n > \sim 8$  MeV ( $\Delta$ ); ref. 13 -  $E_{\gamma \text{ max}} = 55$  MeV,  $E_n > \sim 5$  MeV ( $\times$ ); present work -  $E_{\gamma \text{ max}} = 28.5$  MeV,  $E_n > 5$  MeV ( $\circ$ ). The smooth curve shows the coefficient  $b/a$  characterizing the photoneutron angular distribution anisotropy as a function of atomic number  $Z$ . (This has been converted from the curve given in ref. 11 and and is for the distribution  $I(\theta) = a + b \sin^2 \theta + c \cos \theta$ , normalized at the points  $Z = 82-83$ .)

<sup>11</sup>J.W. Jury, J.S. Hewitt, K.G. McNeill,  
 Can. J. Phys. 46, 1823 (1968).

<sup>12</sup>F.R. Allum, T.W. Quirk, B.M. Spicer,  
 Nucl. Phys. 53, 545 (1964).

<sup>13</sup>G.C. Reinhardt and W.D. Whitehead,  
 Nucl. Phys. 30, 201 (1962).




FIG. 1. Angular distributions of photoneutrons obtained in irradiation of Rh, Ta, Au, and Bi samples by bremsstrahlung with maximum energy  $E_{\gamma \text{ max}} = 28.5$  MeV. The curves were calculated from the experimental points by the method of least squares for a distribution of the form  $I(\theta) = a + b \sin^2 \theta + c \cos \theta$  and normalized ( $a = 1$ ). For comparison we have shown below the angular distributions of photoneutrons with energy  $E_n > 8$  MeV obtained in irradiation of the same samples by bremsstrahlung with  $E_{\gamma \text{ max}} = 20$  MeV.

(over)




FIG. 3. Photoneutron energy spectra from Rh, Ta, Au, and Bi for irradiation of the samples by bremsstrahlung with maximum energy  $E_{\gamma \text{ max}} = 20$  (dashed line) and 28.5 (solid line) MeV for angles  $\vartheta$  with maximum neutron yield. For each nucleus the histograms given for  $E_{\gamma \text{ max}} = 20$  and 28.5 MeV have been combined in the interval  $E_n = 4-5$  MeV.

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73
REF. NO.		
74 Wh 3		hmg

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
E, E/	ABX	0-300	D	500	MAG-D		60

QUASIELASTIC SCAT

See further analysis of this data in reference 79Zil




FIG. 1. The measured quasielastic peaks; the errors on the data points do not include an over-all 3% normalization uncertainty. The solid curve is a fit by the Fermi-gas model which yielded  $k_F$  (in MeV/c) and  $\bar{\epsilon}$  (in MeV) as follows: (a)  ${}^6\text{Li}$  (169, 17); (b)  ${}^{12}\text{C}$  (221, 25); (c)  ${}^{24}\text{Mg}$  (235, 32); (d)  ${}^{40}\text{Ca}$  (249, 33); (e)  ${}^{58,7}\text{Ni}$  (260, 36); (f)  ${}^{89}\text{Y}$  (254, 39); (g)  ${}^{118,7}\text{Sm}$  (260, 42); (h)  ${}^{181}\text{Ta}$  (265, 42); (i)  ${}^{208}\text{Pb}$  (265, 44). The fitting uncertainty in  $k_F$  is  $\pm 5$  MeV/c and in  $\bar{\epsilon}$  it is  $\pm 3$  MeV. The small-amplitude dashed curve is the s-wave  $\pi$ -production contribution, the dot-dashed curve is the isobar excitation, and the large-amplitude dashed curve is the total result.

(over)

TABLE I. Proton-normalized and radiative-corrected cross sections  $d^2\sigma/d\Omega dE'$  =  $(N \pm \Delta N) \times 10^{-7}$  in mb/sr MeV, for  $E = 500$  MeV and  $\theta = 60^\circ$ .

$E'$ (MeV)	${}^6\text{Li}$			${}^{12}\text{C}$			${}^{11}\text{B}$			${}^{10}\text{C}$			${}^{50}\text{Ni}$			${}^{89}\text{Y}$			${}^{110}\text{Sn}$			${}^{160}\text{Sb}$			${}^{208}\text{Pb}$					
	$N$	$\Delta N$	$n$	$N$	$\Delta N$	$n$	$N$	$\Delta N$	$n$	$N$	$\Delta N$	$n$	$N$	$\Delta N$	$n$	$N$	$\Delta N$	$n$	$N$	$\Delta N$	$n$	$N$	$\Delta N$	$n$	$N$	$\Delta N$	$n$			
480.0	...	...	...	1.59	0.19	7	3.63	0.42	7	...	...	1.22	0.17	6	1.71	0.19	6	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...			
474.0	...	...	...	1.02	0.13	7	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...			
470.0	1.72	0.16	7	5.75	0.52	7	1.55	0.15	6	...	...	3.90	0.29	6	5.65	0.41	6	...	...	...	...	7.09	0.67	6	7.00	0.68	6			
464.0	2.49	0.29	7	1.38	0.11	6	1.91	0.17	6	2.72	0.15	6	4.48	0.33	6	5.68	0.37	6	8.32	0.71	6	...	1.16	0.08	5	9.82	0.79	6		
460.0	2.96	0.30	7	1.20	0.09	6	2.58	0.19	6	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1.54	0.10	5	1.81	0.10	5		
454.1	5.02	0.17	7	9.21	0.71	7	2.96	0.20	6	4.20	0.17	6	7.00	0.41	6	1.07	0.05	5	...	...	...	...	1.70	0.11	5	2.31	0.11	5		
450.0	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	8.92	0.47	6	1.03	0.05	5	1.83	0.09	5	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...		
444.3	8.68	0.58	7	1.26	0.07	6	4.11	0.25	6	6.67	0.27	6	1.02	0.05	5	1.33	0.05	5	...	...	...	...	2.77	0.14	5	2.74	0.12	5		
440.0	1.11	0.06	6	2.59	0.13	6	5.24	0.26	6	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3.43	0.16	5	3.24	0.13	5		
434.2	1.32	0.06	6	2.99	0.11	6	5.50	0.26	6	8.71	0.35	6	1.19	0.05	5	1.90	0.07	5	2.27	0.09	5	...	3.14	0.15	5	3.34	0.14	5		
430.0	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1.40	0.07	5	2.11	0.08	5	2.77	0.11	5	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...		
424.3	2.12	0.08	6	3.75	0.15	6	7.31	0.29	6	1.12	0.04	5	1.54	0.08	5	2.31	0.09	5	2.88	0.12	5	...	4.43	0.18	5	4.74	0.19	5		
414.4	2.88	0.12	6	4.75	0.19	6	8.78	0.35	6	1.32	0.05	5	1.78	0.10	5	2.88	0.11	5	3.40	0.14	5	...	4.98	0.20	5	5.64	0.23	5		
404.5	3.51	0.11	6	5.46	0.22	6	1.02	0.04	5	1.56	0.06	5	2.09	0.08	5	3.09	0.12	5	3.90	0.16	5	...	5.89	0.24	5	6.57	0.26	5		
400.0	...	...	...	6.25	0.25	6	1.09	0.01	5	...	...	2.35	0.09	5	3.34	0.13	5	4.29	0.17	5	...	...	...	...	6.56	0.27	5	7.00	0.28	5
394.7	4.16	0.17	6	6.32	0.26	6	1.15	0.05	5	1.75	0.07	5	2.22	0.09	5	3.41	0.14	5	4.56	0.18	5	...	6.29	0.25	5	7.25	0.29	5		
385.7	4.55	0.18	6	7.09	0.28	6	1.21	0.05	5	1.86	0.07	5	2.51	0.10	5	3.91	0.16	5	4.88	0.19	5	...	6.36	0.26	5	7.88	0.32	5		
374.9	4.76	0.19	6	6.97	0.28	6	1.33	0.05	5	1.91	0.08	5	2.72	0.11	5	4.02	0.16	5	4.88	0.19	5	...	6.87	0.28	5	8.19	0.33	5		
365.0	4.56	0.18	6	7.28	0.29	6	1.32	0.05	5	2.08	0.08	5	2.69	0.10	5	4.04	0.16	5	5.34	0.21	5	...	7.77	0.31	5	8.61	0.34	5		
360.0	4.50	0.18	6	6.61	0.28	6	1.32	0.05	5	...	...	2.88	0.11	5	4.11	0.16	5	5.69	0.23	5	...	7.92	0.33	5	8.42	0.34	5			
355.2	4.35	0.17	6	6.97	0.28	6	1.36	0.05	5	2.08	0.08	5	2.69	0.11	5	4.23	0.17	5	5.22	0.21	5	...	7.51	0.30	5	...	...	...		
345.3	3.68	0.15	6	6.54	0.26	6	1.35	0.05	5	1.85	0.07	5	2.72	0.11	5	4.02	0.16	5	5.37	0.21	5	...	7.59	0.30	5	8.83	0.35	5		
335.4	2.90	0.12	6	5.91	0.21	6	1.29	0.05	5	1.87	0.08	5	2.48	0.10	5	4.08	0.16	5	4.92	0.19	5	...	7.44	0.29	5	8.68	0.35	5		
325.5	2.59	0.10	6	5.23	0.21	6	1.05	0.04	5	1.61	0.07	5	2.48	0.11	5	3.78	0.15	5	4.83	0.19	5	...	6.93	0.28	5	7.81	0.31	5		
320.0	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2.35	0.09	5	3.34	0.14	5	4.53	0.18	5	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...		
315.7	2.16	0.10	6	4.43	0.18	6	9.41	0.38	6	1.47	0.06	5	2.26	0.09	5	3.43	0.14	5	4.34	0.17	5	...	6.01	0.26	5	7.76	0.31	5		
305.8	1.84	0.09	6	3.79	0.15	6	8.61	0.32	6	1.23	0.05	5	2.03	0.08	5	3.27	0.13	5	4.32	0.17	5	...	6.11	0.24	5	6.92	0.28	5		
300.0	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1.97	0.08	5	3.11	0.12	5	4.03	0.16	5	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...		
295.9	1.55	0.09	6	3.38	0.14	6	6.77	0.29	6	9.97	0.40	6	1.80	0.07	5	3.02	0.12	5	3.74	0.15	5	...	5.38	0.22	5	6.73	0.27	5		
285.9	1.50	0.09	6	2.96	0.14	6	6.64	0.31	6	9.73	0.39	6	1.72	0.07	5	2.60	0.13	5	3.55	0.15	5	...	4.92	0.23	5	6.30	0.29	5		
276.2	1.14	0.08	6	2.64	0.13	6	6.03	0.32	6	8.35	0.41	6	1.50	0.07	5	2.64	0.13	5	3.10	0.15	5	...	5.22	0.24	5	5.73	0.30	5		
266.3	1.08	0.08	6	2.61	0.14	6	5.32	0.33	6	8.57	0.43	6	1.31	0.08	5	2.37	0.14	5	2.72	0.16	5	...	4.62	0.26	5	5.51	0.31	5		
260.0	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1.39	0.08	5	1.95	0.13	5	2.94	0.18	5	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...		
256.4	1.28	0.09	6	2.43	0.15	6	5.71	0.35	6	8.33	0.45	6	1.27	0.08	5	2.27	0.14	5	2.87	0.19	5	...	4.57	0.28	5	5.03	0.33	5		
246.6	1.20	0.09	6	2.55	0.16	6	5.47	0.36	6	8.55	0.48	6	1.39	0.09	5	2.14	0.14	5	2.95	0.18	5	...	4.33	0.28	5	5.40	0.35	5		
236.7	1.15	0.10	6	2.54	0.16	6	5.18	0.38	6	8.71	0.51	6	1.34	0.09	5	2.24	0.15	5	3.02	0.20	5	...	4.35	0.30	5	4.90	0.34	5		
226.8	1.27	0.11	6	2.88	0.19	6	5.62	0.42	6	8.72	0.51	6	1.29	0.10	5	2.29	0.16	5	2.73	0.20	5	...	4.57	0.30	5	5.88	0.37	5		
216.9	1.33	0.14	6	2.91	0.21	6	6.35	0.49	6	9.81	0.56	6	1.34	0.10	5	2.38	0.17	5	3.26	0.22	5	...	5.42	0.36	5	5.76	0.38	5		
207.0	1.66	0.16	6	2.91	0.21	6	6.59	0.52	6	1.02	0.06	5	1.43	0.11	5	2.51	0.18	5	3.24	0.22	5	...	5.58	0.37	5	6.11	0.40	5		
197.2	1.78	0.17	6	3.12	0.21	6	7.01	0.59	6	...	...	1.59	0.12	5	2.77	0.20	5	3.43	0.24	5	...	5.07	0.38	5	5.99	0.41	5			

REF. V. S. Evseev, T. N. Mamedov, O. V. Selyugin  
 Yad. Fiz. 21, 245 (1975)  
 Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 21, 129 (1975)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73

METHOD	REF. NO.	
	75 Ev 1	hmg

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, N	SPC	7- 31	C	31	SCI-D		140

Neutron energy spectra have been measured in the energy range  $2 \leq E_n \leq 5$  MeV for photoexcitation of the nuclei Ta, Pb, Bi, and Th by bremsstrahlung with maximum energy 31 MeV. From the neutron spectra we have determined values of the nuclear temperature  $\bar{T}$  after emission of the first neutron:  $1.01 \pm 0.04$ ,  $1.12 \pm 0.04$ ,  $1.11 \pm 0.04$ , and  $1.25 \pm 0.05$  MeV respectively for Ta, Pb, Bi, and Th. Comparison of the values obtained for the nuclear level-density parameter with the predictions of the statistical theory of nuclear reactions shows that this theory does not describe the decay of collective nuclear states of the giant dipole resonance type.

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73

METHOD		REF. NO.		75 Ja 1		hmg	
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, G	ABX	11 (11.387)	D	11 (11.387)	SCD-D		DST

TABLE I. Differential cross sections measured for elastic and inelastic scattering of 11.39-MeV photons. State or states populated by inelastic scattering are indicated in parentheses beside the target. The errors given result from the statistical error in the measurement of the cross section relative to the calibration value, the 90° uranium elastic cross section.

$\theta$ (deg)	$d\sigma/d\omega$ (elastic) (mb/sr)	$d\sigma/d\omega$ (inelastic) (mb/sr)
<sup>238</sup> U (2 <sup>+</sup> , 45 keV)		
90	0.169 ± 0.011	0.173 ± 0.016
150	0.355 ± 0.041	0.236 ± 0.24
<sup>232</sup> Th (2 <sup>+</sup> , 45 keV)		
150	0.331 ± 0.035	0.210 ± 0.022
<sup>181</sup> Ta ( $\frac{3}{2}^+$ , 136 keV) ( $\frac{11}{2}^+$ , 301 keV)		
90	0.073 ± 0.008	0.020 ± 0.004 0.009 ± 0.004
150	0.145 ± 0.015	0.017 ± 0.004 0.017 ± 0.004
<sup>165</sup> Ho ( $\frac{3}{2}^+$ , 95 keV) ( $\frac{11}{2}^+$ , 210 keV)		
150	0.141 ± 0.014	0.022 ± 0.004 0.013 ± 0.004
<sup>159</sup> Tb ( $\frac{3}{2}^+$ , 58 keV) ( $\frac{7}{2}^+$ , 138 keV)		
90	0.062 ± 0.006	0.024 ± 0.003 0.013 ± 0.003
150	0.134 ± 0.012	0.042 ± 0.004 0.019 ± 0.004
<sup>141</sup> Pr		
150	0.030 ± 0.008	...

RATIO RAMAN/ELASTIC

TABLE III. Comparison of calculated and observed values of the cross sections for elastic scattering and of the ratio of Raman to elastic scattering by various nuclei for 11.387-MeV photons at 90 and 150°. The parameters used in the calculations for column 5 are given in Table II. Column 4 describes results obtained by perturbing those parameter to meet the constraint of Eq. (3) (see text).

Target	$d\sigma(\theta)d\Omega$ (mb/sr)		$d\sigma_{\text{Raman}}(\theta)/d\sigma_{\text{elastic}}(\theta)$	
	Calc.	Exp.		
$\theta = 150^\circ$				
Pr	0.025	0.030 ± 0.008	0.0	0.0
Tb	0.094	0.134 ± 0.012	0.53	0.57 0.46 ± 0.04
Ho	0.170	0.141 ± 0.014	0.28	0.28 0.25 ± 0.04
Ta	0.160	0.145 ± 0.015	0.23	0.22 0.23 ± 0.04
Th	0.253	0.331 ± 0.035	0.59	0.63 0.64 ± 0.08
U	0.289	0.355 ± 0.041	0.78	0.73 0.67 ± 0.07
$\theta = 90^\circ$				
Tb	0.062	0.062 ± 0.006	0.76	0.82 0.60 ± 0.07
Ta	0.109	0.074 ± 0.008	0.32	0.30 0.38 ± 0.07
U	0.172	0.169 ± 0.008	1.29	1.15 1.03 ± 0.10

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73
REF. NO.		hmg
75 To 4		

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, PI+	ABY	150-400	C	300,400	BBL-D		90
G, PI-	ABY	150-400	C	300,400	BBL-D		90
G, P	ABY	86-400	C	300,400	BBL-D		90




FIG. 1. Energy spectra of  $\pi^+$  and  $\pi^-$  mesons.  $E_{\text{max}} = 300$  MeV,  $\theta_{\text{lab}} = 90 \pm 7^\circ$ . Points:  $\bullet$ — $^{12}\text{C}$ ,  $\blacktriangle$ — $^{28}\text{Si}$ ,  $\times$ — $^{40}\text{Ca}$ ,  $\triangle$ — $^{93}\text{Nb}$ ,  $\circ$ — $^{181}\text{Ta}$ .




FIG. 2. Energy spectra of  $\pi^+$  and  $\pi^-$  mesons.  $E_{\text{max}} = 490$  MeV,  $\theta_{\text{lab}} = 90 \pm 7^\circ$ . The points are the same as in Fig. 1.

FIG. 5. Dependence of yields of  $\pi$  mesons 1, protons 2, and the sum of  $\pi$ -meson and proton yields 4 as a function of mass number of the nucleus. The dashed line 3 is the theory. Points:  $\square$ —experimental differential cross sections for pions of all signs,  $\triangle$ —differential cross sections for protons emitted at the same angle  $\theta_{\text{lab}} = 90^\circ$ ,  $\circ$ —combined values of these differential cross sections. The statistical errors are shown.





FIG. 3. Energy spectra of protons,  $E_{\text{max}} = 300$  MeV,  $\theta_{\text{lab}} = 90 \pm 7^\circ$ . Points:  $\bullet$ — $^{12}\text{C}$ ,  $\blacktriangle$ — $^{28}\text{Si}$ ,  $\times$ — $^{40}\text{Ca}$ ,  $\triangle$ — $^{93}\text{Nb}$ ,  $\circ$ — $^{181}\text{Ta}$ ,  $\square$ —data from ref. 5,  $\circ$ —data from ref. 5.




FIG. 4. Energy spectra of protons,  $E_{\text{max}} = 400$  MeV,  $\theta_{\text{lab}} = 90 \pm 7^\circ$ . The points are the same as in Fig. 1;  $\square$ —data from ref. 7.

- 5 P.C. Murray et al., Phys.Rev. 94, 764 (54).
- 6 C.Levinthal et al., Phys.Rev. 82, 822 (51)
- 7 P.Dougan et al., LUSY Preprint 1002 (70).



REF.

H. Bartsch, K. Huber, U. Kneissl, H. Krieger  
Nucl. Phys. A256, 243 (1976)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73
REF. NO.		
76 Ba 1		egf

METHOD

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, 3N	RLY	THR-UKN	C	UKN	SCD-D		4PI

ISOMER RATIO

TABLE I  
Experimental and theoretical results

Process	Target-spin	$E_\gamma$ (keV)	$T_{1/2}$	Spin high	Spin low	$R_{exp} = \frac{\sigma_{high}}{\sigma_{low}}$	SCOP (R)
$^{181}\text{Ta}(\gamma, 3n)$	$\frac{7}{2}^+$	93	2.2 h 9.31 min 8.15 h	$7^-$	$1^+$	$0.51 \pm 0.09$	$3.6 \pm 0.2$
$^{142}\text{Nd}(\gamma, n)$	$0^+$	755 1100-1300, 145	63 s 2.5 h	$\frac{5}{2}^-$	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	$0.055 \pm 0.006$ $0.19 \pm 0.01^a)$	$2.20 \pm 0.06$
$^{92}\text{Mo}(\gamma, n)$	$0^+$	652.9 1208, 1508, 1581, 1637	66 s 15.49 min	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	$\frac{1}{2}^-$	$1.03 \pm 0.21$ $0.85 \pm 0.07^b)$ $1.92 \pm 0.15^a)$	$5.03 \pm 0.75$ $4 \pm \frac{1}{2}$
$^{100}\text{Mo}(\gamma, n)$	$0^+$	97.3 140.5	16.8 $\mu\text{s}$ 66.02 h	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	$0.85 \pm 0.24$	$1.72 \pm 0.25$
$^{100}\text{Pd}(\gamma, n)$	$0^+$	214.5 115	22 s 850 ns	$\frac{1}{2}^-$	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	$0.5 \pm 0.2$	$3.4 \pm 0.5$
$^{110}\text{Pd}(\gamma, n)$	$0^+$	188 113 87.7	4.7 min 390 ns 13.47 h	$\frac{1}{2}^-$ $\frac{1}{2}^-$ $\frac{1}{2}^+$	$\frac{1}{2}^+$ $\frac{1}{2}^+$ $\frac{1}{2}^+$	$0.11 \pm 0.02$ $0.41 \pm 0.09$ $3.2 \pm 0.7$	$3.14 \pm 0.15$ $3.0 \pm 0.25$ $3.3 \pm 0.4$
$^{89}\text{Y}(\gamma, n)$	$\frac{1}{2}^-$	231.7 442.3 392.5	14.2 ms 300 $\mu\text{s}$	$8^+$	$1^+$	$0.056 \pm 0.008$	

<sup>a)</sup> Ref. <sup>14)</sup>.    <sup>b)</sup> Ref. <sup>15)</sup>.

14

P.E. Haustein et al., J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 33, 289 (1971)

15

J.H. Carver et al., Nucl. Phys. 37, 449 (1962)

REF.

V. Emma, S. Lo Nigro, C. Milone  
Nucl. Phys. **A257**, 438 (1976)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73
REF. NO.		
76 Em 2		egf

METHOD

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,F	ABY	THR-999	C	999	TRK-I		4PI

TABLE 1

Measured values of  $\sigma_q$  at  $E = 1000$  MeV and deduced values of  $\sigma_k$  assumed constant from  $E_0$  to 1000 MeV999 = 1 GEV

Element	$Z^2/A$	$\sigma_q$ (mb)	$E_0$ (MeV)	$\sigma_k$ (mb)
Bi	32.96	$12.3 \pm 0.6$	200	$7.6 \pm 0.6$
Pb	32.45	$5.4 \pm 0.4$	220	$3.6 \pm 0.3$
Tl	32.10	$4.1 \pm 0.3$	230	$2.8 \pm 0.3$
Au	31.68	$2.0 \pm 0.15$	240	$1.4 \pm 0.2$
Pt	31.18	$1.1 \pm 0.08$	255	$(8 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-1}$
Re	30.21	$(3.7 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-1}$	280	$(2.9 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-1}$
W	29.78	$(3.5 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-1}$	290	$(2.8 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-1}$
Ta	29.45	$(3.3 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-1}$	300	$(2.7 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-1}$
Hf	29.04	$(1.7 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-1}$	310	$(1.4 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-1}$
Yb	28.31	$(1.3 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-1}$	330	$(1.2 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-1}$
Tm	28.18	$(7.5 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-2}$	335	$(6.8 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-2}$
Ho	27.21	$(3.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$	355	$(3.5 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$
Dy	26.80	$(2.6 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$	360	$(2.5 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$
Tb	26.58	$(2.5 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$	370	$(2.5 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$
Gd	26.04	$(1.6 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$	380	$(1.7 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$
Sm	25.56	$(1.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$	390	$(1.4 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$
Nd	24.96	$(9.2 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3}$	405	$(1 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-2}$
Ce	24.00	$(8 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3}$	420	$(9 \pm 1) \times 10^{-3}$
La	23.39	$(8.4 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3}$	430	$(11 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-3}$
Sb	21.36	$(1.2 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$	460	$(1.5 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$
Te	21.19	$(8.8 \pm 1) \times 10^{-3}$	465	$(1.2 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$
Sn	21.06	$(1.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$	465	$(1.7 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$
Cd	20.49	$(1.7 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$	470	$(2.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$
Ag	20.47	$(2 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$	470	$(2.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$
Zn	13.76	$(2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-1}$	515	$(3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-1}$
Cu	13.44	$(2.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-1}$	515	$(3.6 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-1}$
Ni	13.35	$(2.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-1}$	510	$(3.6 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-1}$
Fe	12.10	$(3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-1}$	510	$(4.4 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-1}$


4 A.V. Mitrofanova et al.  
Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **6**,  
512 (1968).

7 T. Methasiri et al., Nucl.  
Phys. **A167**, 97 (1971).

12 J.R. Nix et al., Nucl. Phys.  
**81**, 61 (1966).

20 N.A. Perifilov et al., JETP  
(Sov. Phys.) **14**, 623 (1962);  
Proc. Symp. on the physics &  
chemistry of fission, Salzburg  
1965, vol. 2 (IAEA) Vienna,  
1965, p.283.

Fig. 2. Nuclear fissilities as a function of  $Z^2/A$ . Experimental points: solid circles represent our data; squares, the data from ref. 4; open circles, the data from ref. 7; and crosses, the data from (p,f) experiments<sup>20</sup>. The straight line is the best fit calculated from our data for  $Z^2/A > 26$ . The dashed curve is the curve VI calculated by Nix and Sassi<sup>12</sup>.



REF. G. M. Gurevich, L. E. Lazareva, V. M. Mazur and  
 G. B. Solodukhov  
 JETP Lett. 23, 370 (1976)  
 Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 23, 411 (1976)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73

METHOD

REF. NO.	hmg
76 Gu 5	

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, MU-T	ABX	8- 21	C	35	NAI-D		4PI

We measured the total cross section for the absorption of rays in the region of  $E1$  resonance for the nuclei  $^{165}\text{Ho}$ ,  $^{178}\text{Hf}$ ,  $^{180}\text{Hf}$ ,  $^{181}\text{Ta}$ ,  $^{182}\text{W}$ ,  $^{197}\text{Au}$ , and  $^{209}\text{Bi}$ . The singularity in the behavior of the resonance widths, observed in the region  $160 < A < 185$ , is apparently due to the influence of the neutron subshell  $N = 108$ .




FIG. 1. Total photoabsorption cross sections for the nuclei  $^{165}\text{Ho}$ ,  $^{178}\text{Hf}$ ,  $^{180}\text{Hf}$ ,  $^{181}\text{Ta}$ ,  $^{182}\text{W}$ ,  $^{197}\text{Au}$ ,  $^{209}\text{Bi}$ .




FIG. 2. Widths  $\Gamma$  of  $E1$  giant resonance in the region of nuclei with  $A > 150$  according to the data of Saclay ( $\bullet$ ), Livermore ( $\Delta$ ), and the Institute of Nuclear Research of the USSR Academy of Sciences ( $\circ$ ). The crosses mark the deformation parameters  $\beta$ .

over

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
 NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS




FIG. 3. Width of Lorentz lines approximating the photoabsorption cross sections, for deformed nuclei in the region  $150 < A < 185$ .

Nucleus	$\sigma_1$ mb	$\Gamma_1$ MeV	$E_1$ MeV	$\sigma_2$ mb	$\Gamma_2$ MeV	$E_2$ MeV	$\frac{\sigma_2 \Gamma_2}{\sigma_1 \Gamma_1}$	$Q_0$ b	$\beta$
Ho-165	235	2.0	12.2	272	4.0	15.5	2.3	$6.8 \pm 0.8$	0.29
Hf-178	291	3.1	12.2	334	4.9	15.5	1.8	$7.5 \pm 0.8$	0.28
Hf-180	286	3.2	12.2	324	5.1	15.3	1.8	$7.2 \pm 0.8$	0.27
Ta-181	272	3.0	12.1	316	5.1	15.0	2.0	$6.8 \pm 0.8$	0.26
W-182	267	3.2	11.9	303	5.6	14.8	2.0	$7.2 \pm 0.8$	0.26
Au-197	535	5.2	13.7	...	...	...	...	...	...
Bi-209	600	4.6	13.8	...	...	...	...	...	...

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73
REF. NO.		egf
76 Su 2		

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
E, P	ABX	12- 23	D	16- 23	MAG-D		125

Proton yields obtained by summing protons with energies above levels given in tables.

TABLE 1  
Parameters of the present experiment

Target	Atomic number	Purity (%)	Thickness (mg/cm <sup>2</sup> )	Lowest proton energy (MeV)	Bin size (keV)	Range of measurement (MeV)
<sup>159</sup> Tb	65	99.9 (natural)	14.87	4.70	100	15.0 -17.5
<sup>163</sup> Ho	67	99.9 (natural)	11.64	4.70	100	15.5 -17.5
<sup>169</sup> Tm	69	99 (natural)	13.40	4.70	100	15.0 -18.0
<sup>173</sup> Lu	71	99.87 (enriched)	5.24	5.34	150	15.05-20.0
<sup>181</sup> Ta	73	99.9 (natural)	6.73	6.16	200	16.0 -23.0

TABLE 3

Displacement energies obtained from the present data and the estimates with eqs. (20) and (21)

Target	Resonance	E* (MeV)	E <sub>d</sub> (exp) (MeV)	E <sub>d</sub> <sup>a</sup> (MeV)	E <sub>d</sub> (δ = 0.3) <sup>b</sup> (MeV)
<sup>159</sup> Tb	1st	15.75 ± 0.15	15.58	16.06	15.93
	2nd	16.50 ± 0.15	15.46		
<sup>163</sup> Ho	1st	16.15 ± 0.14	15.64	16.38	16.25
<sup>169</sup> Tm	1st	15.76 ± 0.13	16.20	16.76	16.63
	2nd	16.34 ± 0.14	16.22		
<sup>173</sup> Lu	1st	16.44 ± 0.13	16.75	17.07	16.93
	2nd	17.45 ± 0.15	16.35		
<sup>181</sup> Ta	1st	17.31 ± 0.15	16.40	17.38	17.24

<sup>a</sup>) Estimated with eq. (20).  
<sup>b</sup>) Estimated with eq. (21).

TABLE 4

Deformation parameters of 1AS δ<sub>1AS</sub> derived from the (e, e'p) result

Target	Resonance	1AS	Parent state	δ <sub>1AS</sub> - δ <sub>p</sub> <sup>a</sup> )	δ <sub>p</sub> (assumed)	δ <sub>1AS</sub> <sup>b</sup> )
<sup>159</sup> Tb	1st	$\frac{3}{2}^-$ [521]	ground	-0.008	0.31	0.30
	2nd	$\frac{1}{2}^-$ [512]	875 keV	-0.016		0.29
<sup>163</sup> Ho	1st	$\frac{7}{2}^+$ [633]	ground	-0.023	0.30	0.28
<sup>169</sup> Tm	1st	$\frac{3}{2}^-$ [521]	ground	-0.018	0.29	0.27
	2nd	$\frac{1}{2}^-$ [510]	565 keV	-0.019		0.27
<sup>173</sup> Lu	1st	$\frac{7}{2}^-$ [514]	ground	-0.010	0.28	0.27
	2nd	$\frac{3}{2}^-$ [503]	1420 keV	-0.029		0.25
<sup>181</sup> Ta	1st	$\frac{7}{2}^-$ [503]	670 keV	-0.046	0.26	0.21

The assumed deformation parameters for the parent states δ<sub>p</sub> are also shown.

<sup>a</sup>) The errors are about ±0.01.




Fig. 6. Cross section of the <sup>181</sup>Ta(e, e'p) reaction. See also the caption to fig. 2.

REF. A. Suzuki, K. Shoda, M. Sugawara, T. Saito, H. Miyase,  
S. Oikawa, J. Uegaki, M.N. Thompson, K.J.F. Allen,  
H.J. Askin, and B.N. Sung  
Nucl. Phys. A257, 477 (1976)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73

METHOD

REF. NO.  
76 Su 3  
egf

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
$E_e p$	ABX	16- 28	D	16- 28	MAG-D		90

TABLE I

Parameters of the  $(\gamma, p)$  cross section of  $^{181}\text{Ta}$

	$(\gamma, p)$	$(\gamma, n)$		Theory
		ref. <sup>11)</sup>	ref. <sup>12)</sup>	
$\int \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} dE$ (mb · MeV/sr)	0.75 <sup>a)</sup>			
$\int \sigma dE$ (mb · MeV)	9.36 <sup>b)</sup>	2983	2470 ± 350	
$\sigma_{-1}$ (mb)	0.42	205	170	
$E^R$ (MeV)	22.7 <sup>c)</sup>	12.30, 15.23	12.4, 15.1	
$\frac{\sigma_{-1}(T_>)}{\sigma_{-1}(T_<)}$		0.0020 <sup>d)</sup>	0.0025 <sup>d)</sup>	0.010 <sup>f)</sup>
$\Delta E$ (MeV)		8.4 <sup>e)</sup>	8.5 <sup>e)</sup>	6.1 <sup>h)</sup>

<sup>a)</sup> Integrated energy region is 16.5-28 MeV.

<sup>b)</sup> An isotropic angular distribution was assumed<sup>2)</sup>.

<sup>c)</sup>  $\sigma_{-1} = \int [\sigma/E] dE$ .

<sup>d)</sup> Determined by  $\int_{16.5}^{28} \sigma(\gamma, p) dE / \int_{16.5}^{28} [\sigma(\gamma, p)/E] dE$ .

<sup>e)</sup>  $\sigma_s$  and  $\sigma_c$  were assumed equal to  $\sigma(\gamma, p)$  and  $\sigma(\gamma, n)$ .

<sup>f)</sup> Ref. <sup>15)</sup>.

<sup>g)</sup>  $E_c$  was assumed as one-third of the lower  $E^R$  plus two-thirds of the upper  $E^R$  in  $\sigma(\gamma, n)$  (see text).

<sup>h)</sup> Calculated with eq. (2).

G, P SIG DERIVED

<sup>2)</sup> M.E. Toms et al., Phys. Rev. 98, 626 (1955).

<sup>15)</sup> S. Fallieros et al., Nucl. Phys. A147, 593 (1970).




Fig. 5. The  $(e, e'p)$  cross sections for four energy regions at  $90^\circ$ . Statistical errors are included in each symbol.




Fig. 3. The  $(\gamma, p)$  cross section of  $^{181}\text{Ta}$  analysed by Cook's method from the  $(e, e'p)$  cross section shown in fig. 2.

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73

METHOD					REF. NO.		
					77 Ba 9	egf	
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,G	ABX	8- 12	D	8- 12	SCD-D		140

Abstract: Differential cross sections for elastic and inelastic Raman scattering from  $^{181}\text{Lu}$  and  $^{181}\text{Ta}$  were measured. Five photon energies between 8.5 and 11.4 MeV were used and were obtained from the  $(n, \gamma)$  reaction on Ni and Cr using thermal neutrons. The results are compared with calculations using a modified simple rotator model (SRM) of the giant dipole resonance (GDR) in which the effect of Delbrück scattering was incorporated. In general, fair agreement between theory and experiment is obtained. A new set of GDR parameters is extracted, based on photon scattering data and, as expected, yield better agreement between experimental and predicted cross sections.




Fig. 3. Elastic and Raman inelastic differential cross sections for  $^{181}\text{Ta}$  at  $140^\circ$ . The solid and dashed lines were calculated by using GDR parameters extracted from the photoneutron data of the Melbourne and Saclay groups (table 2). The elastic curves include contributions from Thomson, Delbrück and nuclear resonance scattering.




Fig. 5. Ratios of Raman/elastic scattering cross sections at  $140^\circ$  for  $^{175}\text{Lu}$  and  $^{181}\text{Ta}$ .  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  refer to the first and second Raman lines (fig. 1). The GDR parameters used in calculating the nuclear resonance amplitudes are explained in the captions to figs. 3 and 4.

TABLE I  
Differential cross sections (in  $\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ ) of elastic and Raman inelastic scattering at  $\theta = 140^\circ$

$E$ (MeV)	$^{175}\text{Lu}$			$^{181}\text{Ta}$		
	elastic $I_0 = \frac{7}{2}^+$	Raman 1 $\frac{1}{2}^+, 114$	Raman 2 $\frac{1}{2}^+, 251$	elastic $I_0 = \frac{7}{2}^+$	Raman 1 $\frac{1}{2}^+, 136$	Raman 2 $\frac{1}{2}^+, 301$
8.53	$2.2 \pm 1.0$	$2.0 \pm 1.0$	$0.9 \pm 0.7$	$1.8 \pm 0.7$	$1.7 \pm 0.7$	
8.88	$2.3 \pm 0.6$	$1.0 \pm 0.5$	$0.5 \pm 0.3$	$1.8 \pm 0.4$	$1.0 \pm 0.3$	$0.5 \pm 0.3$
9.00	$3.5 \pm 1.0$	$0.7 \pm 0.2$	$0.7 \pm 0.2$	$2.5 \pm 0.3$	$1.0 \pm 0.3$	$0.5 \pm 0.3$
9.72	$6.2 \pm 1.1$	$2.4 \pm 0.7$	$0.7 \pm 0.4$	$7.6 \pm 1.0$	$1.7 \pm 0.7$	$1.0 \pm 0.6$
11.39	$120 \pm 20$	$31 \pm 7$	$10 \pm 5$	$107 \pm 15$	$21 \pm 8$	$11 \pm 8$
11.39 <sup>a)</sup>				$131 \pm 14$	$17 \pm 4$	$17 \pm 4$

<sup>a)</sup> Experimental results of ref. <sup>3)</sup> (calculated for  $140^\circ$  from measured values at  $150^\circ$ ).

<sup>3)</sup> H.E. Jackson, G.E. Thomas and K.J. Wetzel, Phys. Rev. C11, 1664 (1975)



ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73
REF. NO.	77 Hi 2	
	egf	

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
E, E/	LFT	3 - 30	D	79-118	MAG-D		DST

Abstract: The giant resonance region of  $^{181}\text{Ta}$  has been investigated by means of inelastic electron scattering, with primary electron energies of 79.1 to 118.3 MeV. A peak-fitting procedure was employed to separate the measured spectrum into nine different resonance components. Multipolarity and strength assignments were deduced using DWBA analysis with the Goldhaber-Teller and Steinwedel-Jensen models. In addition to the well-known giant dipole structure, other resonances were identified at  $23.2 \pm 0.3$  MeV (E2),  $9.5 \pm 0.2$  and  $11.5 \pm 0.2$  MeV (E2 or E0),  $19.5 \pm 0.8$  MeV (E3),  $3.70 \pm 0.14$  MeV (E3 or E4), and  $5.40 \pm 0.15$  MeV (E4 or E5). The model dependence of the analysis is discussed.




Fig. 5. Three spectra after subtraction of the mean fitted backgrounds. At low momentum transfer the dipole structure dominates the measured cross section, however, beginning with resonances centered at 9.5, 11.5 and 23.2 MeV, the importance of the other peaks grows with increasing  $q$ . In the determination of the errors in the peak parameters the uncertainty in the background was taken into account.




Fig. 7. Ratio of the electron excitation cross sections for the 12.4 and 15.3 MeV dipole resonances of  $^{181}\text{Ta}$ . The continuous (—) / — and dashed (—) / — curves were computed in DWBA, assuming polarized charge vibrations along the major and minor nuclear axes. The normalization of these curves is given by the ratio of the photoabsorption probabilities (dot dash curve).

(over)

$E_i$ (MeV)	$E_x A^{1/3}$ (MeV)	$\Gamma$ (MeV)	EL	$\Delta T$	Analysis model <sup>a)</sup>	$f$ <sup>b)</sup>	$R_L$ <sup>b)</sup> (fm)	$B(EL)$ ( $e^2 \cdot \text{fm}^{2L}$ ) <sup>c)</sup>	$n_{\text{FWSR}}$	Weisskopf (s.p.u.)
3.70 ± 0.14	20.9	1.39 ± 0.23	(E3) <sup>d)</sup>	0	GT	0.80	6.4	(6.7 ± 3.0) × 10 <sup>4</sup>	3.0 ± 1.5	3.8
			(E4) <sup>d)</sup>	0	GT	0.94	8.0	(2.6 ± 1.4) × 10 <sup>7</sup>	11 ± 6	11.0
			(E2)	0	GT	0.85	6.4	(9.2 ± 3.4) × 10 <sup>2</sup>	5 ± 2	5.1
5.40 ± 0.15	30.5	1.38 ± 0.65	(E4) <sup>d)</sup>	0	GT	0.80	6.8	(1.40 ± 0.25) × 10 <sup>9</sup>	0.8 ± 0.2	1.7
			(E5) <sup>d)</sup>	0	GT	0.92	8.4	(7.6 ± 1.3) × 10 <sup>8</sup>	4 ± 1	19.0
			(E2)	0	GT	0.85	6.4	(1.9 ± 0.5) × 10 <sup>2</sup>	1.5 ± 0.4	0.5
9.54 ± 0.20	54.0	2.07 ± 0.35	E2	0	GT	0.80	6.0	(5.8 ± 3.2) × 10 <sup>2</sup>	8 ± 4	1.6
			(E0)	0	HBM	0.80	7.1	(1.20 ± 0.65) × 10 <sup>3</sup>	13 ± 7	3.7
11.47 ± 0.22	64.9	3.13 ± 0.55	E2	0	GT	0.80	6.0	(1.34 ± 0.50) × 10 <sup>3</sup>	22 ± 8	3.7
			(E0)	0	HBM	0.80	7.1	(3.0 ± 1.0) × 10 <sup>3</sup>	40 ± 13	5.3
(9.54, 11.47)	61.6		E2	0	GT	0.80	6.0	(1.92 ± 0.60) × 10 <sup>3</sup>	30 ± 9	5.3
			(E0)	0	HBM	0.80	7.1	(4.2 ± 1.2) × 10 <sup>3</sup>	53 ± 15	4.2
12.40	70.1	2.33	E1	1	GT	1.00	8.1	26.0 ± 13.1	56 ± 22	4.2
					SJ	1.15	7.8	22.0 ± 13.2	47 ± 22	3.6
15.32	86.7	4.23	E1	1	GT	1.00	6.6	61.0 ± 18.7	119 ± 32	7.1
					SJ	1.15	6.3	54.0 ± 25.1	105 ± 43	6.3
(12.40, 15.32)	81.1		E1	1	GT	1.00	8.1	87.0 ± 22.8	175 ± 39	11.3
					SJ	1.15	7.6	76.0 ± 28.3	153 ± 48	9.9
19.5 ± 0.8	110.3	6.0 ± 1.5	E3	0	GT	0.80	6.4	(5.2 ± 3.3) × 10 <sup>4</sup>	12 ± 8	2.9
23.2 ± 0.3	131.2	7.0 ± 1.2	E2	1	GT	0.73	5.5	(9.2 ± 2.5) × 10 <sup>2</sup>	20 ± 5	2.5
					SJ	0.87	5.3	(8.5 ± 2.3) × 10 <sup>2</sup>	18 ± 5	2.3
30.0 ± 3.0	170	8 ± 2	(E4) <sup>d)</sup>	0	GT	0.80	6.8	(4.7 ± 2.2) × 10 <sup>6</sup>	15 ± 7	5.5
			(E2)	1	GT	0.73	5.5	(1.1 ± 0.6) × 10 <sup>2</sup>	3 ± 2	0.3

<sup>a)</sup> Indicated analysis models are Goldhaber-Teller, Steinwedel-Jensen, and hydrodynamic breathing mode.

<sup>b)</sup> Uncertainties in  $f$  and  $R_L$  are approximately 5%.

<sup>c)</sup> Unit for the monopole matrix element,  $|M_{if}|^2$ , is  $e^2 \cdot \text{fm}^3$ .

<sup>d)</sup> For resonances containing possible E2 admixture, tabulated non-E2 strengths represent means of fits with and without quadrupole contributions.

<sup>e)</sup> For E1 transitions the ratio  $f$  is relative to ground-state semi-major or semi-minor radius; for all other transitions  $f$  refers to the averaged-over-orientation radius.




Fig. 6. Differential cross sections for 118.3 MeV electrons inelastically scattering from the 12.4 and 15.3 MeV components of the GDR of <sup>181</sup>Ta. The data points from measurements with 79.1 and 102.0 MeV electrons have been repositioned as described in the text. The continuous and dashed curves were computed in DWBA using the Goldhaber-Teller ( $f = 1.00$ ) and Steinwedel-Jensen ( $f = 1.15$ ) models.




Fig. 8. Differential cross sections for 118.3 MeV electrons scattering from giant resonances of <sup>181</sup>Ta. Resonances fitted with E2 curves are equally well described by E0 calculations.

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73

METHOD				REF. NO.		77 Mi 8		hmg	
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE		
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE			
E, E/	FMF	8- 30	D	150-250	MAG-D		DST		

A new resonance peak has been found at  $14.7 \pm 0.2$  MeV in spectra of inelastic electrons scattered from  $^{181}\text{Ta}$ . The peak exhausts a significant part of the  $E0$  sum rule and is characterized by a narrow width of about 2.1 MeV. The giant quadrupole resonances splits into two peaks at  $9.5 \pm 0.2$  and  $11.3 \pm 0.2$  MeV which deplete  $(29 \pm 5)\%$  and  $(63 \pm 8)\%$  of the isoscalar  $E2$  energy-weighted sum rule, respectively.

G-WIDTH, B(EL), J-PI




FIG. 1. Spectra of inelastic electrons scattered from  $^{181}\text{Ta}$ . The radiation tail was subtracted by the calculation. Solid curves represent the giant dipole resonance and underlying background.




FIG. 2. After the subtraction of the GDR and underlying background the remaining cross section is displayed. The overlapping peaks were decomposed into 9.5-, 11.3-, and 12.6-MeV components and 14.7-MeV peak by a  $\chi^2$  fitting procedure. Arrows indicate the quadrupole  $K=0, 1,$  and  $2$  states at 9.4, 9.8, and 10.8 MeV, respectively, calculated from the dipole energies.

TABLE I. Line width  $\Gamma$ ,  $B(EL)$  values, and percentages of the EWSR in  $^{181}\text{Ta}$ .

$\omega$ (MeV)	$J^\pi$	Mode	$\Gamma$ (MeV)	$B(E2)$ ( $e^2\text{fm}^4$ )	$B(E0)$ ( $\text{fm}^4$ )	EWSR (%)
$9.5 \pm 0.2$	$2^+$	$T=0$	$1.8 \pm 0.6$	$2147 \pm 334$		$29 \pm 5$
$11.3 \pm 0.2$	$2^+$	$T=0$	$2.2 \pm 0.7$	$3075 \pm 482$		$63 \pm 8$
$12.6 \pm 0.2$	$2^+$	$T=0$	$1.3 \pm 0.8$	$634 \pm 231$		$11 \pm 5$
$14.7 \pm 0.2$	$0^+$	$T=0$	$2.1 \pm 0.3$		$4543 \pm 371$	$94 \pm 8$
18 ~ 30	$2^+$	$T=0$		$1971 \pm 162$		$41 \pm 4$
	$2^+$	$T=1$		$3229 \pm 194$		$74 \pm 6$

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73
METHOD		REF. NO.
		77 Mi 11
		hmg

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, SPL	ABY	THR-999	C	600-999	ACT-I		4PI

999=1.3 GEV

The induced activity method has been used to measure the yields of 15 photonuclear reactions in tantalum and tungsten nuclei in the photon energy range 600-1300 MeV. The cross sections have been calculated in the approximation of a rectangular bremsstrahlung spectrum. Rudstam's semiempirical formula has been used to analyze the experimental data. For the reactions in tungsten the ratios of the yields produced by photons and electrons have been measured.

TABLE I.

No	Initial nucleus	Emitted nucleus	Residual nucleus	$\bar{\sigma}_k$ (600-1300 MeV), mb	$E_{\gamma \text{ max}} = 1007 \text{ MeV}$			$\frac{\sigma_Q}{\sigma_0}$
					$\sigma_Q$ , mb (exp)	$\sigma_Q$ , mb (theory)	$\sigma_Q$ , mb (Ref. 6)	
1	$^{182-181}_{73}\text{W}$	4p, 9-13n 3p, 10-15n	$^{180}_{73}\text{Yb}$ $^{180}_{71}\text{Lu}$	2.2	$3.1 \pm 0.6$	2.36	-	$24.5 \pm 4.9$
2	$^{182-181}_{73}\text{W}$	2p, 5-9n	$^{179}_{71}\text{Hf}$	4.0	$5.6 \pm 1.1$	0.58	-	$22 \pm 4$
3	$^{182-184}_{73}\text{W}$	4p, 11-15n	$^{187}_{73}\text{Yb}$	2.0	$1.9 \pm 0.4$	1.58	-	$27 \pm 5$
4	$^{182-181}_{73}\text{W}$	3p, 8-12n 2p, 9-13n	$^{179}_{71}\text{Lu}$ $^{179}_{71}\text{Hf}$	$1.96^*$	$2.2 \pm 0.4^*$	3.0	-	$23 \pm 4$
5	$^{182-184}_{73}\text{W}$	3p, 8-10n 2p, 7-11n	$^{179}_{71}\text{Lu}$ $^{179}_{71}\text{Hf}$	4.0	$5.5 \pm 1.1$	4.6	-	$27 \pm 5$
6	$^{182-181}_{73}\text{W}$	10p, 26-30n	$^{148}_{61}\text{Gd}$	10.4	$5.0 \pm 1.0$	0.10	-	-
7	$^{183}_{73}\text{Ta}$	1p, 0-4n	$^{182}_{73}\text{Ta}$	1.63	$2.3 \pm 0.5$	-	-	$26 \pm 5$
8	$^{183}_{73}\text{Ta}$	2p, 0-3n	$^{181}_{73}\text{Hf}$	0.09	$0.12 \pm 0.02$	-	-	$31 \pm 6$
9	$^{181}_{73}\text{Ta}$	3p, 9n 2p, 10n	$^{180}_{73}\text{Yb}$ $^{180}_{71}\text{Lu}$	2.3	$3.6 \pm 0.7$	3.2	7.07	-
10	$^{181}_{73}\text{Ta}$	1p, 3n	$^{179}_{71}\text{Hf}$	3.3	$5.8 \pm 1.1$	0.7	8.9	-
11	$^{181}_{73}\text{Ta}$	2p, 6n 1p, 7n	$^{179}_{71}\text{Lu}$ $^{179}_{71}\text{Hf}$	2.6	$6.2 \pm 1.2$	2.44	6.55	-
12	$^{181}_{73}\text{Ta}$	3p, 11n 4p, 10n	$^{187}_{73}\text{Yb}$ $^{187}_{69}\text{Tm}$	2.0	$2.9 \pm 0.6$	1.9	3.67	-
13	$^{181}_{73}\text{Ta}$	2p, 8n 1p, 9n	$^{179}_{71}\text{Lu}$ $^{179}_{71}\text{Hf}$	$1.52^*$	$2.4 \pm 0.5^*$	4.8	3.67	-
14	$^{181}_{73}\text{Ta}$	9p, 26n	$^{148}_{64}\text{Gd}$	9.6	$8.5 \pm 1.7$	0.12	-	-

\*In view of the absence of data on the absolute intensities of the  $\gamma$  lines in the spectrum of  $^{171}\text{Lu}$  activity, in calculation of  $\sigma_Q$  the intensity of the peak  $E_{\gamma} = 739 \text{ keV}$  was taken as 100%.




FIG. 2. Reaction yields: a) in  $^{181}\text{Ta}$ , b) in W.

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73

METHOD				REF. NO.		ANGLE	
				77 Mu 3		egf	
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
E,A	ABX	14-100	D	100	MAG-D	50	




Fig. 1. The  $\alpha$ -particle energy spectra at  $50^\circ$  in the lab for the six nuclei studied. Note that as  $Z$  increases, the cross section decreases and the energy of the peak increases. Errors are statistical. Curves are to guide the eye.




Fig. 2. Energy of the cross section peak as a function of  $Z$ . The solid line is the energy of the classical Coulomb barrier.

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73
REF. NO.		
78 Be 10		hg

METHOD						REF. NO.	
						78 Be 10	hg
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,F	RLX	180-999	C	220-999	TRK-U		4PI

**Summary.** — The photofission yields of Re, W and Ta induced by a coherent bremsstrahlung beam from 1000 MeV electrons striking a diamond single crystal have been measured. The experiment has been performed at eighteen different energies of the main peak of the photon spectrum, in the energy range between 220 MeV and 550 MeV, by detecting the fission fragments with glass sandwiches. The behaviour of the photofission cross-section has been deduced from the experimental yields by using an appropriate unfolding method. The obtained curves clearly show a first resonance centred at a photon energy  $k \approx 350$  MeV with a FWHM  $\approx 145$  MeV, while there is a hint of a second resonance at  $k \approx 750$  MeV. Information on the energy dependence of the nuclear fissility from 100 MeV to 1000 MeV has been deduced from the comparison of the estimated photofission cross-section with the total photon interaction cross-section. It has been found that the photomesonic model of the fission process permits to explain the energy dependence of our photofission cross-sections if a nuclear fissility increasing with photon energy is assumed.

COHERENT BREM.

Zero cross section assumed below 180 MeV.




Fig. 5. — See caption to fig. 3. Results for Ta.

Fig. 3. — Photofission yields per equivalent quantum as a function of the first-peak energy  $k_i$  of photons. The dots are the experimental data; the dashed curve represents the yield function recalculated from the  $f(k)$  data. Results for Re.




Fig. 8. — See caption to fig. 6. Result for Ta( $\gamma$ , f).

Fig. 6. — Photofission cross-section estimated by our unfolding method. Results for Re ( $\gamma$ , f).

(over)

TABLE II. - Estimated  $f_i$  values and corresponding errors. All the data are given in arbitrary units.

$k$ (MeV)	$f_i(k)$ (arbitrary units)		
	Ro	W	Ta
100	$-0.31 \pm 0.85$	$-0.14 \pm 0.85$	$-0.49 \pm 0.87$
160	$0.03 \pm 0.31$	$0.24 \pm 0.31$	$0.26 \pm 0.33$
220	$1.95 \pm 0.41$	$2.50 \pm 0.41$	$2.51 \pm 0.41$
280	$6.26 \pm 0.53$	$6.51 \pm 0.53$	$6.63 \pm 0.55$
340	$8.90 \pm 0.69$	$8.75 \pm 0.69$	$8.56 \pm 0.68$
400	$8.16 \pm 0.72$	$8.38 \pm 0.72$	$7.15 \pm 0.70$
460	$6.10 \pm 0.51$	$6.34 \pm 0.51$	$5.43 \pm 0.49$
520	$4.83 \pm 0.47$	$5.04 \pm 0.47$	$4.70 \pm 0.48$
580	$4.70 \pm 0.57$	$4.95 \pm 0.57$	$4.72 \pm 0.58$
640	$4.96 \pm 0.59$	$5.20 \pm 0.59$	$4.98 \pm 0.60$
700	$5.41 \pm 0.58$	$5.57 \pm 0.58$	$5.29 \pm 0.58$
760	$5.68 \pm 0.57$	$5.78 \pm 0.57$	$5.41 \pm 0.57$
820	$5.65 \pm 0.61$	$5.71 \pm 0.61$	$5.32 \pm 0.61$
880	$5.51 \pm 0.67$	$5.53 \pm 0.67$	$5.18 \pm 0.67$
940	$5.38 \pm 0.74$	$5.36 \pm 0.74$	$4.98 \pm 0.73$
1000	$5.23 \pm 0.80$	$5.18 \pm 0.80$	$4.70 \pm 0.77$




Fig. 11.

Fig. 11. - Nuclear fissility  $P_f$  as a function of the photon energy  $k$ . The curves are normalized at  $k = 1000$  MeV. a) Bi, b) Pb, c) Au, d) Ta.




Fig. 12.

Fig. 12. - Photofission cross-section of Ta vs. the photon energy  $k$ . The dots are the  $f(k)$  data of the present analysis; the dashed curve represents the cross-section calculated by using the  $P_f$  energy dependence of fig. 11.

REF. S. Kahane, R. Moreh, and O. Shaha1  
 Phys. Rev. C 18, 1217 (1978)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73

METHOD	REF. NO.	hg
	78 Ka 6	

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,G	ABX	6- 12 (6.84-11.39)	C	6- 12 (6.84-11.39)	SCD-D		DST

Monoenergetic photons at eight energies in the range 6.84–11.39 MeV were elastically scattered from targets of <sup>181</sup>Ta, Pb, and <sup>238</sup>U at  $\theta = 1.21^\circ$ – $1.50^\circ$ . The differential scattering cross section at such angles was measured relative to the Compton cross section. The photon beam was obtained from the Ni( $n,\gamma$ ) reaction using thermal neutrons. Strong evidence for the contribution of both Rayleigh and the real Delbruck amplitudes and for their destructive interference was obtained.




FIG. 4. Differential cross sections for elastic scattering of photons at  $\theta = 1.27^\circ$  and  $1.50^\circ$  from Ta. PM denotes theoretical values obtained using the Delbruck amplitudes based on Papatzacos and Mork (Refs. 3 and 4); ES denotes theoretical values obtained using the Delbruck amplitudes of Ehlotzky and Sheppey (Ref. 1).

TABLE II. Differential cross sections (mb/sr) of elastic photon scattering from Ta ( $Z = 73$ ) at  $\theta = 1.27^\circ \pm 0.27^\circ$  and  $1.50^\circ \pm 0.35^\circ$ . PM represent calculated values using the Delbruck amplitudes of Papatzacos and Mork; ES are those of Ehlotzky and Sheppey.

E (keV)	$\theta = 1.27^\circ \pm 0.27^\circ$			$\theta = 1.50^\circ \pm 0.35^\circ$		
	Exp.	PM	ES	Exp.	PM	ES
6 837	423 ± 40	658	773	349 ± 37	380	485
7 819	375 ± 51	415	560	...	...	...
8 533	291 ± 54	307	443	171 ± 17	168	273
8 999	270 ± 25	257	371	136 ± 15	148	243
11 388	179 ± 54	203	313	153 ± 54	162	248

- <sup>1</sup>F. Ehlotzky and G.C. Sheppey, *Nuovo Cimento* 33, 1185 (1964).
- <sup>3</sup>P. Papatzacos and K. Mork, *Phys. Rev. D* 12, 206 (1975); *Phys. Rep.* 21C, 81 (1975).
- <sup>4</sup>T. Bar-Noy and S. Kahane, *Nucl. Phys.* A288, 132 (1977).



ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73
METHOD		REF. NO.
		78 Mu 9
		hg

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
E,A	ABX	5-100	D	100	MAG-D		DST

$\alpha$  particles from the electrodisintegration of seven nuclei with Z between 29 and 79 have been observed. Energy spectra at  $50^\circ$  in the laboratory for six nuclei and angular distributions for five nuclei are reported. The cross sections exhibit a broad peak whose magnitude decreases with increasing Z; the energy of the peak increases as Z increases. Angular distributions at the highest energies measured become increasingly forward peaked suggesting a direct-reaction process.




FIG. 2. The  $\alpha$ -particle energy spectra at  $50^\circ$  in the laboratory for the four new nuclei studied as well as for two nuclei in which additional data have been obtained. The solid curves are the evaporation model fits described in text.

<sup>1</sup>J.J. Murphy, II, H.J. Gehrhardt, and D.M. Skopik, Nucl. Phys. A277, 69 (1977)




FIG. 3. Energy of the cross section peak as a function of Z. The solid line is the energy of the classical Coulomb barrier. The closed circles are the current work; the open circles are from Ref. 1.




FIG. 4. Magnitude of cross section peak as a function of Z. The closed circles are the current work; the open circles are from Ref. 1.




FIG. 8. Angular distributions for tantalum. The comments made for Fig. 5 apply here.

REF.

F. N. Rad, T. Sasanuma, W. Bertozzi, J. Heisenberg, M. V. Hynes, S. Kowalski, H. Miska, B. Norum, C. P. Sargent, W. Turchinets, and C. F. Williamson

Phys. Rev. Lett. 40, 368 (1978)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73

NBS-418

Remarks: \*EFF. MOMENT. TRANS.

REF. NO.

78 Ra 1

hmg

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
E,E/	FMF	0- 1	D	0*3	MAG-D	-	DST

Elastic and inelastic form factors for electron scattering from the ground-state rotational band of  $^{181}\text{Ta}$  have been studied for momentum transfers  $0.4 \text{ fm}^{-1} \leq q_{\text{eff}} \leq 2.7 \text{ fm}^{-1}$ . The data are shown to provide an independent check of the rotational assumption, thus adding confidence that the experimental form factors are characteristic of a stable intrinsic state associated with the rotational band. The data are also compared to a Hartree-Fock calculation using density-dependent interactions.

\* EFF. Q




FIG. 1. Experimental "form factor"  $[F = (\sigma/\sigma_{\text{Mott}})^{1/2}]$  for the ground-state rotational band of  $^{181}\text{Ta}$  as a function of effective momentum transfer. All data points were taken at  $90^\circ$  laboratory angle except those below  $q_{\text{eff}} = 0.8 \text{ fm}^{-1}$  which were taken at  $45^\circ$ . The solid curves are the theoretical calculation based on the density-dependent interaction with filling approximation using the Hartree-Fock method.




FIG. 2. The ratio ( $R$ ) of the measured cross section (a)  $\sigma_{11/2}$ , and (b)  $\sigma_{13/2}$  to that predicted by the rotational assumption from (a)  $\sigma_{9/2}$ ,  $\sigma_{13/2}$ , and  $\sigma_{15/2}$ , and (b)  $\sigma_{9/2}$ ,  $\sigma_{11/2}$ , and  $\sigma_{15/2}$ .

REF.

P. Rulhusen, F. Smend and M. Schumacher  
Z. Physik A288, 119 (1978)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73

METHOD

REF. NO.

78 Ru 3

rs

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,G	ABX	3	D	3	SCD-D		DST

Differential cross sections for the elastic scattering of 2.754 MeV photons by Ta have been measured for angles ranging from 30° to 150°. A comparison with lowest-order Delbrück theory reveals discrepancies of the same size as previously observed for Pb and Bi. Consideration is given to interference phenomena between Delbrück, Rayleigh and nuclear Thomson scattering.

3=2.754

Table 1. Differential cross sections for elastic scattering of 2.754 MeV photons by Ta ( $Z=73$ )

$\theta$ (deg)	$d\sigma/d\Omega_{exp}$ ( $\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ )	$d\sigma/d\Omega_{theor}$ ( $\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ )
30	$76 \pm 30$	77.6
45	$43.7 \pm 2.6$	34.0
60	$24.2 \pm 1.3$	18.8
75	$20.4 \pm 1.0$	16.5
90	$19.2 \pm 0.6$	17.9
120	$25.0 \pm 0.9$	23.5
150	$31.6 \pm 2.0$	29.7




Fig. 3. Comparison of experimental differential cross sections with different predictions: (a): calculated from the N-, R-, T-, and D-amplitudes of Table 2. (b): calculated without including the real D-amplitudes. (c): calculated from the N- and T-amplitudes only. (d): calculated in the same way as (a) but with reversed signs of the real D-amplitudes

REF. S. A. Hayashi, S. Yamamoto, I. Kimura, K. Kobayashi, T. Mori, S. Kanazawa, H. Nishihara, S.A. Bokharee, R.W. Emmett, R.C. Block, M. Becker, D.R. Harris, B.K. Malaviya  
Ann. Rpt. Res. Reac. Inst., Kyoto Univ. 13, 23 (1980)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73
REF. NO.		egf
80 Ha 6		

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,XN	SPC	7-60	C	30,60	TOF-D		DST

Three kinds of photoneutron targets, the cylindrical lead target, and the spherical and spheroidal tantalum targets were designed as pulsed-neutron sources with an electron linear accelerator for the fast neutron spectrum study in assemblies of reactor materials. Angular distributions of photoneutrons and X-rays from these targets bombarded by about 30- and 60-MeV electrons were obtained by the activation method and the results show fairly isotropic photoneutron distributions except to the forward and extraordinarily sharp forward peak of X-rays. Among these three targets the spheroidal tantalum target is seen to be superior to others from the viewpoints of the isotropy of photoneutrons and of a lower forward peak of X-rays even at the higher bombarding energy. However, for the lower electron energy, about 30 MeV, both the lead target and the spherical tantalum target can be usable for the above purpose, although the maximum beam power of the former is restricted to about 120 watts.

ANG DST WITH ACT DET

Neutron spectra from these targets were measured in the energy range from 20 keV to 10 MeV by the LINAC TOF method. It was found that neutron spectra from tantalum targets are apparently softer than that from the lead target.

With the above characteristics obtained experimentally, these targets will be used for the neutron spectra measurements in assemblies of reactor materials.




Fig. 7. Angular distribution of photoneutrons emanated from the spherical tantalum target bombarded by 36-MeV electrons. The  $^{60}\text{Co}$  activities from Ni foils are shown. The symbols are the same as in Fig. 4.




Fig. 12. Distributions of X-rays near the forward direction around the central axis of the electron beam from the spherical tantalum target bombarded by 36-MeV electrons and the spheroidal tantalum target by 64-MeV and 28-MeV electrons (vid. Fig. 1 b)). The  $^{60}\text{Ni}$  activities from Ni foils by 36-MeV, 64-MeV and 28-MeV electrons are shown as the symbols  $\square$ ,  $\bullet$  and  $\circ$ , respectively.

(over)




Fig. 13. Distributions of photoneutrons near the forward direction around the central axis of the electron beam from the spheroidal tantalum target bombarded by 64-MeV and 28-MeV electrons. The  $^{58}\text{Co}$  activities from Ni foils by 64-MeV and 28-MeV electrons are shown as the symbols ● and ○, respectively.




Fig. 14. Photoneutron spectra from the lead target and the spherical tantalum target bombarded by approximately 30-MeV electrons.

— curve is obtained by eye guide fitting of the measured tantalum target data and — curve is for the lead data. ---- curve is obtained by fitting the data of the lead target with nuclear temperatures of evaporation spectra,  $T_1=0.89$  MeV ( $\leq 3.8$  MeV neutrons) and  $T_2=1.70$  MeV ( $> 3.8$  MeV neutrons). ●●● shows data measured with the  $^6\text{Li}$  glass scintillation or the  $^{10}\text{B}$ -vaseline-plug NaI(Tl) detectors. ○○○ shows data with the liquid scintillation detector.

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73

METHOD				REF. NO.			
				80 Mu 4		hg	
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,G	ABX	3	D	2-4	UKN-D		DST
		(3.010)		(2.5-3.5)			

Elastic photon scattering investigated at energies of 2.5–3.5 MeV using radioactive sources has revealed a large probability for observing photoexcitation of nuclear levels. This finding removes inconsistencies in previously investigated properties of Delbrück scattering and provides a new access to nuclear resonance fluorescence.

LFT, LEVEL AT 3.010




Fig. 1. Elastic differential cross sections versus photon energy. Unless error bars are given, the error intervals are at most equal to the diameter of the circles. Predicted differential cross sections: (a) including Coulomb corrections in addition to lowest-order D-, T-, R- and N-amplitudes, (b) including lowest-order D-, T-, R- and N-amplitudes, (c) including T-, R- and N-amplitudes.

Table 1  
 Levels observed via photoexcitation.  $\Delta E$ : width of exciting photon line.  $D$ : predicted level spacing at 3 MeV.  $I^\pi$ : spin-parity.  $(d\sigma/d\Omega)_{res}$ : elastic differential cross section for resonance fluorescence by the identified isotope or element measured for  $\theta = 90^\circ$ .  $\Gamma_0$ : partial width of the level for  $\gamma$ -transition to the ground state.  $\Gamma$ : total level width.  $g = (2I_{ex} + 1)/(2I_g + 1)$ .  $W(\theta)$ : angular correlation function.

Isotope	Level (MeV)	$\Delta E$ (eV)	$D$ (eV)	$I^\pi$	$(d\sigma/d\Omega)_{res}$ ( $\mu b/sr$ )	$W(\theta)g^2\Gamma_0/\Gamma$ (meV)	$\Gamma_0/\Gamma$	$\Gamma_0$ (meV)
<sup>238</sup> U	2.754	451	10 <sup>3</sup>	(1, 2)	13 ± 4	0.145	≤ 0.77	≥ 0.084 a)
	3.254	57		1 <sup>-</sup>	399 ± 5	0.79	0.24	1.5
<sup>181</sup> Ta	3.010	81	5 × 10 <sup>2</sup>	-	165 ± 17	0.40	≤ 0.72	≥ 0.56 b)
	2.754	451	2 × 10 <sup>3</sup>	-	2.6 ± 0.5	-	-	-
<sup>121</sup> Nd	3.202	63		-	3.3 ± 1.5	-	-	-
<sup>121</sup> Sb	3.254	57	10 <sup>3</sup>	-	12.8 ± 1.0	-	-	-
	3.452	35		(3/2, 5/2) <sup>+</sup>	2100 ± 50	2.8	0.60	4.7 b)

a)  $I = 1$  assumed. b)  $gW(\theta) = 1$  assumed.

REF. F.N. Rad, W. Bertozzi, S. Kowalski, C.P. Sargent, C.F. Williamson,  
M.V. Hynes, B. Norum, B. Peterson, T. Sasanuma, W. Turchinetz  
Phys. Rev. Lett. 45, 1758 (1980)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73

METHOD	REF. NO.
	80 Ra 1 hg

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
E, E/	FMF	0-1 (.136, .302)	D	0*3 (.7-2.5)	MAG-D		180

Transverse electron scattering form factors from the ground-state rotational band of <sup>181</sup>Ta have been measured to study the single-particle contribution to the magnetization current density. The data are compared with a Hartree-Fock calculation by use of density matrix expansion with filling approximation.

TWO LEV .136, .302 MEV

PACS numbers: 25.30.Cg, 21.10.Ky, 27.70.+q

(OVER)






FIG. 1. Experimental results for  $|F_T(q)|^2$  for electron scattering from the first three members of the ground-state rotational band of  $^{181}\text{Ta}$ : circles, elastic; triangles,  $\frac{7}{2}^+ \rightarrow \frac{3}{2}^+$ ; squares,  $\frac{7}{2}^+ \rightarrow \frac{11}{2}^+$ . The curves are the result of the H-F theory described in the text. The solid lines are the full results, and the dashed lines represent the contributions of the individual multipoles. The dash-dotted curve is the total elastic form factor of a  $g_{7/2}$  proton in a spherical harmonic oscillator potential with oscillator parameter  $b = 2$  fm. The individual multipoles,  $M1-M7$ , are shown as dashed curves.

REF. N.K. Sherman, C.K. Ross, K.H. Lokan  
Phys. Rev. C21, 2328 (1980)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73

METHOD	REF. NO.
	80 Sh 10

egf

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,MUT	ABX	3-30	C	42	TOF-D		4PI

D(G,N) SPECTROMETER

Photon absorption by Al, Ta, and Bi between 3 and 30 MeV was measured using as a photon spectrometer a photoneutron time-of-flight detector and a liquid deuterium target. The atomic cross sections of Ta and Bi at the lowest energies (and of Al at higher energies) agree with calculated values appearing in published tabulations but exceed them at 25 MeV by about 2% in Ta and 3% in Bi. Calculations by others using empirical Coulomb corrections and improved screening corrections to the cross section for pair production by the nucleus agree with experiment to within  $(0.5 \pm 0.4)\%$ . Best experimental values of the combined correction for Bi are given.

[ NUCLEAR REACTIONS  $^{27}\text{Al}$ ,  $^{181}\text{Ta}$ ,  $^{209}\text{Bi}$ ; measured total photon absorption  $\sigma_{\gamma}(E)$ ; observed GDR; deduced electron pair production  $\sigma_{K}(E)$ ;  $E = 3.0$  to  $30.0$  MeV; resolution 500 keV; deduced experimental values for Bi of the combined Coulomb and screening correction;  $^2\text{H}(\gamma, n)$  LD<sub>2</sub>/TOF spectrometer. ]

(OVER)

TABLE III. Measured values  $\sigma_{\text{exp}}(\text{Ta})$  of the absorption cross section of tantalum and their statistical errors  $\epsilon_{\sigma}$  are listed against photon energy  $\omega$  along with the atomic cross sections  $\sigma_Z(\text{Ta})$  and nuclear pair cross sections  $\sigma_K(\text{Ta})$  obtained from them. Values of  $\sigma_Z$  interpolated from measurements made at Mainz<sup>a</sup> are shown for comparison. The amounts  $\delta\sigma_Z$  and  $\delta\sigma_K$  by which  $\sigma_Z(\text{Ta})$  and  $\sigma_K(\text{Ta})$  exceed the calculated<sup>b</sup> values  $\sigma_Z(\text{calc})$  and  $\sigma_K(\text{calc})$  are also given.

$\omega$ (MeV)	$\sigma_{\text{exp}}(\text{Ta})$ (b)	$\epsilon_{\sigma}$ (mb)	$\sigma_Z(\text{Ta})^c$ (b)	$\delta\sigma_Z$ (mb)	$\sigma_Z(\text{Mainz})$ (b)	$\sigma_K(\text{Ta})$ (b)	$\sigma_K(\text{calc})$ (b)	$\delta\sigma_K$ (b)
3.869	12.126	±56	12.123	-57		4.47	4.45	+0.02
4.327	12.123	30.5	12.124	-66		5.04	5.05	-0.01
4.830	12.258	23.5	12.252	+8		5.82	5.67	+0.15
5.333	12.394	21	12.386	-4		6.26	6.23	+0.03
5.837	12.561	20.5	12.551	0		6.80	6.75	+0.05
6.348	12.789	21.5	12.777	+60		7.35	7.27	+0.08
6.870	12.990	21.5	12.974	+70		7.84	7.77	+0.07
7.404	13.171	22.5	13.149	+40		8.26	8.24	+0.02
7.936	13.391	24.5	13.365	+40		8.69	8.66	+0.03
8.382	13.601	26.5	13.564	+11		9.11	9.07	+0.04
8.936	13.854	28.5	13.807	+70		9.55	9.46	+0.09
9.476	14.079	30	14.019	+70		9.92	9.84	+0.08
9.992	14.318	32	14.240	+70	14.19	10.30	10.22	+0.08
10.514	14.507	34	14.405	+30	14.40	10.61	10.56	+0.05
11.039	14.775	36.5	14.635	+60	14.58	10.98	10.90	+0.08
11.557	15.056	40	14.828	+40	14.79	11.30	11.23	+0.07
12.088	15.380	41.5	15.052	+60	14.98	11.63	11.58	+0.05
12.629	15.562	46	15.194	0	15.17	11.88	11.88	0.00
13.174	15.730	47.5	15.386	-20		12.18	12.18	0.00
13.715	15.947	54	15.605	-20		12.48	12.46	+0.02
14.253	16.124	56.5	15.764	-50	15.81	12.70	12.76	-0.06
14.780	16.303	63	15.921	-80	16.02	12.93	13.02	-0.09
15.293	16.522	64.5	16.137	-50	16.23	13.21	13.27	-0.06
15.840	16.683	67.5	16.333	-40	16.40	13.48	13.50	-0.02
16.422	16.841	68.5	16.541	-10	16.57	13.76	13.77	-0.01
16.977	16.924	78	16.684	-30		13.97	14.00	-0.03
17.500	17.093	82.5	16.895	+20		14.25	14.22	+0.03
18.078	17.188	84	17.026	-30		14.44	14.46	-0.02
18.665	17.324	86.5	17.188	-20	17.25	14.72 <sup>d</sup>	14.66	+0.06
19.286	17.412	±90	17.294	-100	17.45	14.96 <sup>d</sup>	14.90	+0.06
19.856	17.920	112	17.816	+260	17.62	15.17 <sup>d</sup>	15.11	+0.06
20.370	17.850	112	17.758	+70	17.75	15.35 <sup>d</sup>	15.28	+0.07
20.907	17.868	115	17.785	-50	17.88	15.53 <sup>d</sup>	15.47	+0.06
21.468	17.903	117.5	17.829	-140				
22.055	18.316	124	18.252	-130				
22.670	18.362	127.5	18.306	+50				
23.314	18.380	131	18.333	-90				
23.989	18.791	136.5	18.751	-170				
24.697	18.514	137.5	18.480	-250				
25.312	18.709	176	18.679	-190				
25.825	18.658	177.5	18.632	-360				
26.355	19.156	197.5	19.132	+30				
26.903	19.300	195.5	19.277	-50				
27.470	19.408	206.5	19.387	+30				

<sup>a</sup> J. Ahrens *et al.* (Ref. 3). Numerical values are given in Ref. 6.

<sup>b</sup> Calculated atomic cross section values  $\sigma_Z(\text{calc})$  were obtained by interpolation of tables compiled by J. H. Hubbell, H. A. Gimm, and I. Øverbø (private communication).

<sup>c</sup> Obtained by subtracting the total photon-neutron cross section measured (Ref. 17) by R. Bergere *et al.* from  $\sigma_{\text{exp}}(\text{Ta})$ . See also Ref. 16.

<sup>d</sup> Using the interpolated Mainz (Ref. 6) value.

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73
REF. NO.		hg
81 Gu 2		

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, MU-T	ABX	THR-20	C	27	NAI-D		4PI

Abstract: The curves of the total gamma-absorption cross sections ( $\sigma_{\text{tot}}$ ) in the E1 giant resonance energy range for the nuclei  $^{154}\text{Sm}$ ,  $^{156}\text{Gd}$ ,  $^{165}\text{Ho}$ ,  $^{168}\text{Er}$ ,  $^{174}\text{Yb}$ ,  $^{178}\text{Hf}$ ,  $^{180}\text{Hf}$ ,  $^{181}\text{Ta}$ ,  $^{182}\text{W}$ ,  $^{184}\text{W}$ ,  $^{186}\text{W}$  and  $^{197}\text{Au}$  have been measured using the absorption method. Parameters of the Lorentz curves fitting the measured cross sections  $\sigma_{\text{tot}}$  are given. Quadrupole moments ( $Q_0$ ) and nuclear deformation parameters ( $\beta$ ) were obtained.

For deformed nuclei in the  $\sim 155 < A < \sim 180$  region a violation of the correlation between giant resonance widths ( $\Gamma$ ) and nuclear deformation parameters was found.  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$ , the widths of the resonances corresponding to vibrations of nucleons along and across the nuclear deformation axis, were observed to decrease with the increase of  $A$  which could be accounted for by the presence of an  $N = 108$  subshell.

NUCLEAR REACTIONS  $^{154}\text{Sm}$ ,  $^{156}\text{Gd}$ ,  $^{165}\text{Ho}$ ,  $^{168}\text{Er}$ ,  $^{174}\text{Yb}$ ,  $^{178,180}\text{Hf}$ ,  $^{181}\text{Ta}$ ,  $^{182,184,186}\text{W}$ ,  $^{197}\text{Au}$  ( $\gamma, X$ ).  $E = 7-20$  MeV; measured total  $\sigma(E)$ ; deduced integrated  $\sigma$ , Lorentz line parameters.  $^{154}\text{Sm}$ ,  $^{156}\text{Gd}$ ,  $^{165}\text{Ho}$ ,  $^{168}\text{Er}$ ,  $^{174}\text{Yb}$ ,  $^{178,180}\text{Hf}$ ,  $^{181}\text{Ta}$ ,  $^{182,184,186}\text{W}$ ,  $^{197}\text{Au}$  deduced  $\beta$ ,  $Q_0$ ,  $\Gamma$ , giant resonance evolution. Enriched, natural targets.




Fig. 2. Total nuclear  $\gamma$ -absorption cross sections ( $\sigma_{\text{tot}}$ ) measured by the absorption method for  $^{154}\text{Sm}$ ,  $^{156}\text{Gd}$ ,  $^{165}\text{Ho}$ ,  $^{168}\text{Er}$ ,  $^{174}\text{Yb}$ ,  $^{178}\text{Hf}$ ,  $^{180}\text{Hf}$ ,  $^{181}\text{Ta}$ ,  $^{182}\text{W}$ ,  $^{184}\text{W}$ ,  $^{186}\text{W}$  and  $^{197}\text{Au}$ . Rms error bars are shown.

(OVER)

TABLE 2

Parameters of Lorentz curves fitting the experimental data on  $\sigma_{tot}$ 

Nucleus	$E_1$ (MeV)	$\sigma_1$ (mb)	$\Gamma_1$ (MeV)	$E_2$ (MeV)	$\sigma_2$ (mb)	$\Gamma_2$ (MeV)	$\frac{\sigma_2 \Gamma_2}{\sigma_1 \Gamma_1}$	$\Gamma$ (MeV)
$^{154}\text{Sm}$	12.2	188	3.4	15.7	207	5.7	1.85	8.1
$^{156}\text{Gd}$	12.3	206	3.2	15.7	220	5.5	1.81	7.7
$^{165}\text{Ho}$	12.3	202	2.3	15.2	239	4.8	2.47	7.0
$^{168}\text{Er}$	11.9	222	3.2	15.5	275	4.5	1.73	7.4
$^{174}\text{Yb}$	12.3	297	2.9	15.5	320	4.9	1.80	7.1
$^{178}\text{Hf}$	12.2	291	3.1	15.5	334	4.9	1.80	7.2
$^{180}\text{Hf}$	12.2	286	3.2	15.3	324	5.1	1.81	7.1
$^{181}\text{Ta}$	12.1	272	3.0	15.0	316	5.1	1.97	6.8
$^{182}\text{W}$	11.9	267	3.2	14.8	303	5.6	2.01	6.8
$^{184}\text{W}$	11.9	315	2.9	14.8	321	4.7	1.65	6.8
$^{186}\text{W}$	12.0	246	3.3	14.5	332	5.1	2.07	6.4
$^{197}\text{Au}$	13.7	535	5.2					
Average error	1.4 %	11.2 %	9.3 %	1.5 %	9.7 %	4.6 %	0.22	0.2 MeV

TABLE 3

Ratios of nuclear ellipsoid axes ( $\lambda$ ), deformation parameters ( $\beta$ ) and intrinsic quadrupole moments ( $Q_0$ ), calculated from  $E_2/E_1$ 

Nucleus	$^{154}\text{Sm}$	$^{156}\text{Gd}$	$^{165}\text{Ho}$	$^{168}\text{Er}$	$^{174}\text{Yb}$	$^{178}\text{Hf}$	$^{180}\text{Hf}$	$^{181}\text{Ta}$	$^{182}\text{W}$	$^{184}\text{W}$	$^{186}\text{W}$
$\lambda$	1.320	1.302	1.259	1.327	1.289	1.296	1.281	1.263	1.271	1.268	1.229
$\beta$	0.326 $\pm 0.017$	0.309 $\pm 0.016$	0.266 $\pm 0.036$	0.334 $\pm 0.032$	0.296 $\pm 0.024$	0.303 $\pm 0.032$	0.288 $\pm 0.036$	0.270 $\pm 0.026$	0.278 $\pm 0.030$	0.274 $\pm 0.032$	0.235 $\pm 0.033$
$Q_0$	6.3 $\pm 0.3$	6.2 $\pm 0.3$	5.8 $\pm 0.8$	7.5 $\pm 0.7$	7.0 $\pm 0.6$	7.5 $\pm 0.8$	7.2 $\pm 0.9$	6.9 $\pm 0.7$	7.2 $\pm 0.8$	7.1 $\pm 0.8$	6.2 $\pm 0.9$

TABLE 4

Integral characteristics of E1 giant resonance

Nucleus	$\sigma_{tot, exp}$ (MeV $^{-1}$ b)	$\sigma_{0, exp}$ 0.06AZ $^{-1/2}$	$\sigma_{01}$ (MeV $^{-1}$ b)	$\sigma_{01}$ 0.06AZ $^{-1/2}$	$\sigma_1$ (mb)	$\sigma_{1L}$ (mb)	$\sigma_{1L} A^{-2/3}$ (mb)	$\sigma_2$ (mb $\cdot$ MeV $^{-1}$ )	$\sigma_{2L}$ (mb $\cdot$ MeV $^{-1}$ )	$\sigma_{21} A^{-2/3}$ ( $\mu$ b $\cdot$ MeV $^{-1}$ )
$^{154}\text{Sm}$	$1.94 \pm 0.06$	0.87	2.86	1.29	$117 \pm 3.5$	156	0.189	$9.1 \pm 0.3$	14.3	3.23
$^{156}\text{Gd}$	$2.07 \pm 0.07$	0.91	2.95	1.30	$143 \pm 4.6$	163	0.194	$10.5 \pm 0.4$	14.9	3.30
$^{165}\text{Ho}$	$1.86 \pm 0.06$	0.78	2.53	1.06	$155 \pm 4.4$	160	0.177	$10.1 \pm 0.3$	12.6	2.54
$^{168}\text{Er}$	$2.24 \pm 0.06$	0.92	3.07	1.26	$161 \pm 4.3$	197	0.212	$12.0 \pm 0.3$	16.0	3.13
$^{174}\text{Yb}$	$2.69 \pm 0.05$	1.07	3.82	1.52	$195 \pm 3.4$	240	0.247	$14.5 \pm 0.3$	19.2	3.54
$^{178}\text{Hf}$	$2.85 \pm 0.07$	1.11	3.99	1.55	$208 \pm 4.9$	247	0.247	$15.3 \pm 0.4$	20.2	3.59
$^{180}\text{Hf}$	$2.72 \pm 0.06$	1.05	4.03	1.56	$200 \pm 4.4$	250	0.246	$15.1 \pm 0.3$	20.7	3.61
$^{181}\text{Ta}$	$2.84 \pm 0.07$	1.09	3.81	1.46	$210 \pm 5.3$	245	0.239	$16.0 \pm 0.4$	20.0	3.45
$^{182}\text{W}$	$2.86 \pm 0.07$	1.09	4.01	1.52	$211 \pm 5.3$	256	0.248	$16.2 \pm 0.4$	21.6	3.70
$^{184}\text{W}$	$2.78 \pm 0.07$	1.05	3.80	1.43	$207 \pm 5.3$	251	0.240	$15.9 \pm 0.4$	20.9	3.51
$^{186}\text{W}$	$2.90 \pm 0.07$	1.08	3.95	1.48	$214 \pm 5.3$	256	0.241	$16.2 \pm 0.4$	21.6	3.56
$^{197}\text{Au}$	$3.12 \pm 0.06$	1.10	4.37	1.54	$229 \pm 4.2$	276	0.241	$18.6 \pm 0.4$	23.3	3.49

REF. A. Lepretre, H. Beil, R. Bergere, P. Carlos, J. Fagot, A. De Miniac, A. Veyssiere  
Nucl. Phys. A367, 237 (1981)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73
REF. NO.		
81 Le 1		egf

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,SN	ABX	25-140	D	25-140	MOD-I		4PI
G,XN	ABX	25-140	D	25-140	MOD-I		4PI

**Abstract:** The total photonuclear absorption cross section for Sn, Ce, Ta, Pb and U has been studied from 25 to 140 MeV using a continuously variable monochromatic photon beam obtained from the annihilation in flight of monoenergetic positrons. The basic experimental results are a set of data giving sums of inclusive multiple photoneutron production cross sections of the form  $\sigma^{(i)}(E_\gamma) = \sum_{i=1}^i \sigma(\gamma, in; E_\gamma)$  for neutron multiplicities ranging from  $i=1$  to 12. From these data the total photonuclear absorption cross section  $\sigma(\text{tot}; E_\gamma)$  has been deduced. It is concluded that Levinger's modified quasideuteron model describes the total cross sections reasonably well. When these data are combined with lower energy data and integrated to 140 MeV they indicate the need for an enhancement factor  $K$  for the Thomas-Reiche-Kuhn sum rule of  $0.76 \pm 0.10$ . No evidence was found that would indicate an  $A$ -dependence for the enhancement factor.

(G,SN) NO G,1N IN G,SN

$$\sigma^{(i)}(E_\gamma) = \sum_{i=1}^i \sigma(\gamma, in; E_\gamma)$$

E PHOTONUCLEAR REACTIONS Sn, Ce, Ta, Pb, U( $\gamma, xn$ ),  $E_\gamma = 25-140$  MeV. measured  $a(E_\gamma)$  summed for  $x=1-12$ ; deduced  $\sigma(E_\gamma, \text{total})$ , integrated  $\sigma$ , interaction models. Monochromatic photons.

TABLE 3  
Integrated cross sections

	Sn	Ce	Ta	Pb	U	U
$\sigma_0 = 0.06NZ/A$ (MeV · b)	1.74	2.04	2.61	2.97	3.40	3.40
$E_{\gamma 0}$ (MeV)	29.7	25	25	25	18	18.30
$M = \int_{B_{1a}}^{E_{\gamma 0}} \sigma_{\text{GDR}}(E_\gamma) dE_\gamma$ (MeV · b)	$2.0 \pm 0.15^a$	$2.13 \pm 0.15^b$	$2.90 \pm 0.23^b$	$3.48 \pm 0.23^c$	$2.98 \pm 0.15^d$	$3.58^e$
( $\sigma_0$ unit)	$1.15 \pm 0.09$	$1.04 \pm 0.07$	$1.11 \pm 0.09$	$1.17 \pm 0.08$	$0.88 \pm 0.05$	1.05
$N = \int_{E_{\gamma 0}}^{140 \text{ MeV}} \sigma^{(2)}(E_\gamma) dE_\gamma$ (MeV · b)	$0.96 \pm 0.1$	$1.27 \pm 0.1$	$1.73 \pm 0.15$	$1.69 \pm 0.15$	$2.59 \pm 9.2$	$2.59 \pm 0.2$
( $\sigma_0$ unit)	$0.55 \pm 0.06$	$0.63 \pm 0.05$	$0.66 \pm 0.06$	$0.57 \pm 0.05$	$0.76 \pm 0.06$	$0.76 \pm 0.06$
$M + N$ (MeV · b)	$2.96 \pm 0.2$	$3.40 \pm 0.2$	$4.63 \pm 0.3$	$5.17 \pm 0.3$	$5.57 \pm 0.3$	$6.17 \pm 0.3$
( $\sigma_0$ unit)	$1.70 \pm 0.12$	$1.67 \pm 0.10$	$1.77 \pm 0.10$	$1.74 \pm 0.10$	$1.64 \pm 0.10$	$1.81 \pm 0.10$
( $M + N$ ) + evaluation of the $\int_{E_{\gamma 0}}^{140 \text{ MeV}}  \sigma^{(1)} - \sigma^{(2)}  dE_\gamma$ contribution	$1.74 \pm 0.15$	$1.71 \pm 0.15$	$1.81 \pm 0.15$	$1.78 \pm 0.15$	$1.68 \pm 0.15$	$1.85 \pm 0.15$
= (1 + K) ( $\sigma_0$ unit)						
$\int_{E_{\gamma 0}}^{m_\pi} \sigma_L(E_\gamma) dE_\gamma$ ( $\sigma_0$ unit)	$1.28^a$	$1.24^b$	$1.30^b$	$1.35^a$	$1.18^d$	$1.43^c$

<sup>a)</sup> Ref. <sup>26)</sup>, <sup>b)</sup> Ref. <sup>27)</sup>, <sup>c)</sup> Ref. <sup>5)</sup>, <sup>d)</sup> Ref. <sup>28)</sup>, <sup>e)</sup> Ref. <sup>29)</sup>.

The symbols  $M$  and  $N$  are defined in the text. The last row gives the integrated cross sections for the Lorentz line fit,  $\sigma_L(E_\gamma)$  to the GDR data, published in the above references.

(OVER)




Fig. 11. The general behaviour of the "smoothed" average neutron yield cross sections  $\sigma(\gamma, xn) = \sum_i i\sigma(\gamma, in; E_\gamma)$  for the Sn, Ce, Ta, Pb and U nuclei studied in the present paper (see text).




Fig. 15. Total photonuclear absorption cross sections  $\sigma(\text{tot}; E_\gamma) \approx \sigma^{(2)}(E_\gamma)$  from the present paper, represented by the experimental points and the corresponding full lines, are shown for Pb, Sn, Ce, Ta and U. These experimental results for photon energies  $E_\gamma$  between 20 and 140 MeV are compared with: (a) Lorentz line fits to the GDR data of the appropriate nucleus represented by the dot-dash  $\sigma_L(E_\gamma)$  plots. (b) Quasideuteron cross sections,  $\sigma_{QD}(E_\gamma) = (4.6NZ/A)\sigma_D(E_\gamma)$  for the appropriate nuclei, represented by the dotted  $\sigma_{QD}(E_\gamma)$  plots. Here  $\sigma_D(E_\gamma)$  is the photodisintegration cross section of deuterium. (c) Modified quasideuteron cross sections,  $\sigma_{MQD}(E_\gamma) = (8.8NZ/A)\sigma_D(E_\gamma) \exp(-D/E_\gamma)$  with  $D = 60$  MeV, represented by the dashed  $\sigma_{MQD}(E_\gamma)$  plots. Pertinent GDR data for Pb, Sn, Ce, Ta and U were taken from refs. <sup>5,26-28</sup>.

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73
REF. NO.		egf
81 Sc 6		

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G <sub>s</sub> G	ABX	2-7		2-7	SCD-D		90

Elastic scattering by nuclei in the range of mass numbers between 64 and 238 has been studied with monochromatic photons in the energy range between 2 and 8 MeV. These photons were provided either by a Ti(*n*, $\gamma$ ) source installed in the tangential through channel of the Grenoble high flux reactor, or by <sup>24</sup>Na and <sup>56</sup>Co sources produced by deuteron bombardment of Al or Fe at the Göttingen cyclotron. The photoexcitation of 23 nuclear levels has been observed and the decay properties and groundstate widths of the majority of these levels have been determined. For the lead scattering target the coherent elastic differential cross section has been studied in detail. There is evidence that below the photo-neutron threshold the elastic scattering via virtual photoexcitation of the nucleus can be approximated by extrapolating the real part of the Giant Dipole Resonance amplitude along a Lorentzian curve. Coulomb corrections to Delbrück scattering seem to play a small role at 6.5 MeV.

2.60-6.76 MEV

Table 4. Properties of levels observed by photoexcitation. ( $d\sigma/d\Omega$ )<sup>NRF</sup>: experimental differential cross section per identified isotope or element for resonance scattering through  $\theta = 90^\circ$ .  $I^\pi$ : spin-parity of excited level;  $W(\theta)$ : angular correlation function;  $g = (2I_{\pi\pi} + 1)/(2I_x + 1)$ ;  $\Gamma_0$ : radiative groundstate transition width.  $\Gamma$ : total level width. Errors in the last digits are given in parentheses

Isotope	$E_x$ (MeV)	( $d\sigma/d\Omega$ ) <sup>NRF</sup> ( $\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ )	$I^\pi$	$\Gamma_0/\Gamma^c$	$W(\theta)g\Gamma_0^2/\Gamma$ (meV)	$\Gamma_0^f$ (meV)	$\Gamma_0^g$ (meV)
<sup>238</sup> U	2.754	13 (4)	(1)	0.77	0.145	0.084	-
<sup>238</sup> U	3.254	421 (5)	1 <sup>-</sup>	0.24	0.83	1.5	0.52(15) <sup>d</sup>
<sup>209</sup> Bi	6.555	2.1 (4)·10 <sup>2</sup>	-	-	0.74	0.74 <sup>b</sup>	-
<sup>209</sup> Bi	7.168	1.7 (3)·10 <sup>5</sup>	9/2 <sup>+</sup> <sup>a</sup>	1.00	710	786	820 (40) <sup>a</sup>
<sup>203</sup> Tl	6.418	8.75(30)·10 <sup>3</sup>	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	0.28	30	102	82 (15) <sup>a</sup>
Tl	6.759	7 (3)	-	-	-	-	-
Hg	6.555	68 (17)	-	-	-	-	-
<sup>186</sup> W	6.418	5.2 (3)·10 <sup>2</sup>	1 <sup>-</sup> <sup>a</sup>	0.32	1.75	2.4	-
<sup>184</sup> W	6.555	9.8 (10)·10 <sup>2</sup>	(1)	0.52	3.44	2.9	-
<sup>184</sup> W	6.759	46 (10)	(1)	0.58	0.17	0.13	-
<sup>181</sup> Ta	3.010	174 (17)	-	0.72	0.42	0.59	-
<sup>181</sup> Ta	6.418	62 (4)	-	0.73	0.2	0.27 <sup>c</sup>	-
<sup>181</sup> Ta	6.759	4.8 (12)	-	-	0.018	0.018 <sup>b</sup>	-
<sup>165</sup> Ho	6.418	10.3 (30)	-	-	0.035	0.035 <sup>b</sup>	-
<sup>165</sup> Ho	6.759	5.6 (14)	-	-	0.021	0.021 <sup>b</sup>	-
Nd	2.754	2.6 (5)	-	-	-	-	-
Nd	3.254	14.0 (10)	-	-	-	-	-
Ce	6.759	13.4 (10)	-	-	-	-	-
<sup>121</sup> Sb	3.452	2.20 (5)·10 <sup>3</sup>	-	0.60	2.9	4.9 <sup>b</sup>	-
<sup>100</sup> Mo	6.418	1.53 (4)·10 <sup>4</sup>	1 <sup>-</sup> <sup>a</sup>	0.88	52	26	25 (8) <sup>a</sup>
<sup>94</sup> Mo	6.555	4.4 (4)·10 <sup>3</sup>	(1)	0.33	15	21	-
Mo	6.759	6.2 (15)	-	-	-	-	-
Mo	7.168	8.2 (26)·10 <sup>2</sup>	-	-	-	-	-

<sup>a</sup> [11]    <sup>b</sup>  $W(\theta)g\Gamma_0/\Gamma = 1$  assumed    <sup>c</sup>  $W(\theta)g = 1$  assumed

<sup>d</sup> [28] (a small correction has been applied to the data of [28])

<sup>e</sup> Upper limits in case not all the transitions to lower levels were observed

<sup>f</sup> Present work

<sup>g</sup> Previous work

(OVER)



**Table 1.** Differential cross sections for elastic scattering ( $d\sigma/d\Omega$ )<sup>a,b</sup> of photons from <sup>56</sup>Co and <sup>24</sup>Na sources by different scattering targets, in units of  $\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ . Errors in the last digits are given in parentheses.

$\theta$ deg	Scattering targets	2.599 <sup>a</sup> (MeV)	2.754 <sup>b</sup> (MeV)	3.010 <sup>a</sup> (MeV)	3.202 <sup>a</sup> (MeV)	3.254 <sup>a</sup> (MeV)	3.273 <sup>a</sup> (MeV)	3.452 <sup>a</sup> (MeV)
90	<sup>238</sup> U	52.7(25)	57.5(25) <sup>c</sup>	56(16)	47(4)	456 (10) <sup>c</sup>	34(6)	49(14)
	<sup>209</sup> Pb	33.1(30)	32 (2)	33(11)	32(4)	25.6(20)	29(6)	33(15)
	<sup>nat</sup> Pb	31.5(23)	31.0(16)	35 (8)	27(3)	26.6(22)	25(4)	23 (8)
	<sup>nat</sup> Tl	31.5(33)	-	27(12)	32(5)	24 (3)	22(7)	34(15)
	<sup>nat</sup> Hg	30.0(27)	-	24(10)	28(5)	25.5(18)	26(8)	20 (8)
	<sup>nat</sup> W	22.5(11)	-	17 (7)	19(3)	18.4(15)	18(5)	21 (6)
	<sup>181</sup> Ta	20.0(15)	19.2 (6)	193(20) <sup>c</sup>	20(4)	17.3(21)	18(5)	21 (8)
	<sup>165</sup> Ho	15.9(13)	-	17(10)	13(6)	15.6(20)	18(8)	-
	<sup>nat</sup> Nd	11.4 (7)	14.2 (5) <sup>d</sup>	15 (7)	14(3)	24.2(12) <sup>d</sup>	13(3)	9 (6)
	<sup>nat</sup> Ce	11.1 (9)	11.0 (5)	-	11(3)	9.5(13)	8(4)	-
	<sup>127</sup> J	8.4(10)	8.6 (5)	-	9(2)	7 (1)	5(3)	-
	<sup>nat</sup> Sb	8.0(11)	-	-	10(4)	6.8(19)	-	1,270(50) <sup>c</sup>
	<sup>nat</sup> Sn	6.5 (7)	7.0 (5)	-	5(2)	7.6 (8)	6(3)	-
	<sup>nat</sup> Cd	6.2 (5)	-	-	6(2)	6.6 (8)	7(3)	-
	120	<sup>238</sup> U	55.1(25)	64 (4) <sup>c</sup>	43(15)	55(5)	574 (10) <sup>c</sup>	48(5)
<sup>181</sup> Ta		27.5(15)	25.0 (9)	227(20) <sup>c</sup>	22(5)	21 (2)	22(8)	-
<sup>nat</sup> Nd		17.9(30)	17.0 (9) <sup>d</sup>	-	-	29.8(47) <sup>d</sup>	-	-

<sup>a</sup> <sup>56</sup>Co source in Fe lattice      <sup>b</sup> <sup>24</sup>Na source in Al lattice (part of data have been published elsewhere)

<sup>c</sup> Transitions to excited states observed in addition to the ground-state transition

<sup>d</sup> Photoexcitation of nuclear level identified from the size of the differential cross section

**Table 2.** Elastic differential cross sections  $d\sigma/d\Omega(\theta=90^\circ)$  in  $\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$  measured with the Ti( $n, \gamma$ ) source and compared with theoretical predictions.  $n$ : predicted number of levels in a  $\Delta E=25$  eV interval at 6.5 MeV. Errors in the last digits are given in parentheses

Scattering target	6.418 MeV		6.555 MeV		6.759 MeV		7.168 MeV		$n$
	exp.	th.	exp.	th.	exp.	th.	exp.	th.	
<sup>238</sup> U	23 (12)	10.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	45
<sup>209</sup> Pb	-	-	219(39) <sup>b,c</sup>	8.0	12 (4)	7.4	1.5(3) · 10 <sup>5</sup> <sup>b,c</sup>	5.7	0.1
<sup>nat</sup> Pb	7.0(15)	8.6	-	-	6.5(11)	7.4	-	-	0.05
<sup>nat</sup> Tl	2,586 (92) <sup>a,c</sup>	7.5	-	-	13 (3) <sup>b</sup>	6.0	-	-	0.4
<sup>nat</sup> Hg	12 (3)	7.8	74(17) <sup>b</sup>	6.5	6.7(15)	6.4	-	-	3.4
<sup>nat</sup> W	159 (10) <sup>a,c</sup>	6.6	306(33) <sup>a,c</sup>	6.3	20 (2) <sup>a,c</sup>	5.6	-	-	13
<sup>181</sup> Ta	68 (4) <sup>a,c</sup>	6.3	-	-	10.1(12) <sup>b,c</sup>	5.3	-	-	28
<sup>165</sup> Ho	15 (3) <sup>b</sup>	4.7	-	-	9.5(14) <sup>b</sup>	3.9	-	-	18
<sup>nat</sup> Ce	4.1(21)	4.1	-	-	17 (1) <sup>b,c</sup>	3.6	-	-	0.04
<sup>nat</sup> Sn	4.2(13)	3.0	-	-	2.5 (5)	2.7	-	-	1.9
<sup>nat</sup> Mo	1,474 (44) <sup>a,c</sup>	2.5	407(39) <sup>a,c</sup>	2.5	8.5(15) <sup>b,c</sup>	2.3	817(258) <sup>b,c</sup>	2.0	0.5
<sup>nat</sup> Zn	2.4 (8)	1.6	-	-	1.8 (5)	1.5	-	-	0.3

<sup>a</sup> Transitions to excited states observed

<sup>b</sup> Photoexcitation identified from size of differential cross section

<sup>c</sup> Photoexcitation reported in [11]




Fig. 10. Same as Fig. 9 but for (A) <sup>nat</sup>W and (B) <sup>181</sup>Ta

REF. A. Leprêtre, H. Beil, R. Bergère, P. Carlos, J. Fagot, A. Veyssière,  
I. Halpern  
Nucl. Phys. A390, 221 (1982)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73
REF. NO.		
82 Le 3		egf

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, XN	NOX	30-140	D	30-140	MOD-I		4PI

See also A. Leprêtre et al. NP A390, 240 (1982)

MULT ANAL 81LE1

**Abstract:** From event-by-event records of observed photoneutron multiplicities for photons from 30 to 140 MeV on several heavy targets (Sn, Ce, Ta and Pb), it was possible to determine the mean number of photoneutrons,  $\bar{\nu}$ , for each photon energy and the widths  $W$  of the multiplicity distributions. The mean neutron numbers increase smoothly from about three to six over the photon energy span for all four targets. The widths go from about one to two neutrons in the same interval. When these measurements are combined with other photoneuclear information, it is possible to extract the average numbers of fast neutrons and fast protons and the average number of evaporation neutrons emitted per photoabsorption.

E PHOTONUCLEAR REACTIONS Sn, Ce, Ta, Pb( $\gamma$ , xn),  $E = 25-140$  MeV; measured photoneutron mean numbers, width distributions; deduced fast evaporation neutron, fast proton average numbers. Monochromatic photons.

TABLE 2  
Photonucleon emission features for four targets at 70 MeV

	Sn	Ce	Ta	Pb
$\bar{\nu}$	$4.3 \pm 0.2$	$4 \pm 0.2$	$4.5 \pm 0.2$	$4.8 \pm 0.2$
$\bar{\nu}_f$	$0.50 \pm 0.11$	$0.59 \pm 0.13$	$0.71 \pm 0.16$	$0.66 \pm 0.15$
$\bar{\pi}_f$	$0.24 \pm 0.05$	$0.26 \pm 0.05$	$0.27 \pm 0.05$	$0.23 \pm 0.04$
$\bar{\nu}_s$	$3.8 \pm 0.3$	$3.4 \pm 0.3$	$3.8 \pm 0.3$	$4.1 \pm 0.3$
$\bar{E}_f$ (MeV)	$23.4 \pm 5$	$26.3 \pm 6$	$28.7 \pm 6$	$26.6 \pm 6$
$\bar{E}^*$ (MeV)	$46.6 \pm 6$	$43.7 \pm 5$	$41.3 \pm 5$	$43.4 \pm 5$

(See caption under table 1.)

Experimental data are taken from ref. <sup>1)</sup> and fig. 2 of this paper.  $\nu$  stands for neutrons and  $\pi$  for protons;  $f$  stands for fast particles and  $s$  for evaporated particles.  $\bar{E}^*$  is the residual excitation energy after all fast particles have escaped - carrying with them energy  $\bar{E}_f$ . The coefficients  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  have to do with the ratio of fast neutrons to fast protons that are emitted. They are explained in the text. The uncertainties in this table are statistical only.

(OVER)




Fig. 2. Average experimental photoneutron multiplicities  $\bar{\nu}(E_\gamma)$  plotted against photon energy  $E_\gamma$ , for  $25 \text{ MeV} \leq E_\gamma \leq 140 \text{ MeV}$ . Data points were evaluated using results from ref. <sup>1)</sup>. The full line represents a smoothed average behaviour. The dashed line represents  $\bar{\nu}(E_\gamma)$  values, measured in the giant dipole resonance (GDR) region, in previous Saclay experiments <sup>2)</sup>. Fig. 2a: Sn; fig. 2b: Ce; fig. 2c: Ta; fig. 2d: Pb [where the  $\square$  point refers to the SIN <sup>19)</sup> measurement with stopped  $\pi^-$ ].




Fig. 3. Widths  $W(E_\gamma)$  of the experimental photoneutron multiplicity distributions as a function of the photon energy  $E_\gamma$ , for  $25 \text{ MeV} \leq E_\gamma \leq 140 \text{ MeV}$ . Data points were evaluated using results from ref. <sup>1)</sup>. The full line represents a smoothed average behaviour. Fig. 3a: Sn; fig. 3b: Ce; fig. 3c: Ta; fig. 3d: Pb.

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ta	181	73

METHOD					REF. NO.		
					82 Zu 2	egf	
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,G	ABX	3-6	D	3-6	SCD-D		DST

SOURCE 141PR(N,G)

A procedure is presented to determine total photoabsorption cross sections  $\sigma_t$  by resonant scattering of  $\gamma$ -rays. It is shown that  $\sigma_t$  follows along the GDR lorentzian line down to 3.5 MeV. Indications for nonstatistical deviations from the lorentzian line are observed.




Fig. 2. Total photoabsorption cross section for  $^{181}\text{Ta}$  and  $^{165}\text{Ho}$  versus energy. Curve a: extrapolated lorentzian according to ref. [13]. Curve b: total photoabsorption cross section predicted by the Weiskopf model with hindrance factor  $3 \times 10^{-5}$ .

## TUNGSTEN

Z=74

The word wolfram was first applied to the ore iron-manganese tungstate as described by Lazarus Ecker in 1574. The term referred to its "wolflike" nature in "devouring" tin and causing low recoverag  rates in the tin smelting operation (the ore inhibits the reduction of tin oxides).

The word tungsten was first applied to the mineral calcium tungstate. The term was derived from the Swedish words tung(heavy) and sten(stone).



Method	Li (p, $\gamma$ ) source, 480 kev protons. BF <sub>3</sub> counters	Ref. No.	56 Ha 1	EGF
--------	---	----------	---------	-----

Reaction	E or $\Delta E$	E <sub>0</sub>	$\Gamma$	$\int \sigma dE$	J $\pi$	Notes
( $\gamma$ , xn)						Average Li cross section is <u>365</u> mb; cross section with detector response weighted for low energy neutrons, <u>355</u> mb. Assumed ratio 17.6/14.8 = 1.7. Calculated cross section at 14.8 and 17.6 Mev assuming cross section curves measured at Pennsylvania and Saskatchewan (refer Table I).

TABLE I. Cross sections for photoneutron emission induced by the lithium gamma rays. The results are compared with previous data.

Elem.	Present cross-section data			Betatron data					
	Counter Group A	Counter Group B	Data of McDaniel et al. <sup>a</sup>	Pennsylvania		Saskatchewan			
				$\sigma_{14.8}$	$\sigma_{17.6}$	$\sigma_{14.8}$	$\sigma_{17.6}$	$\sigma_{14.8}$	$\sigma_{17.6}$
n-Fe	38 mb	33 mb	37 mb			60 <sup>f</sup> mb	0.5	23 mb	47 <sup>g</sup>
r-Co	49	49	47	60 <sup>e</sup> mb	0.5	95 <sup>f</sup>	0.5	30	60
n-Ni	23	25	23			40 <sup>h</sup>	0.7	22	32
n-Cu	64	61	55 ± 12			95 <sup>f</sup>	0.6	45	75
n-Zn	48	45	48			30 <sup>h</sup>	0.7	38	54
n-Ag	175	170	135			240 <sup>f</sup>	1.0	175	175
n-Sn	200	190	180						
n-Ta	355	360	260	350 <sup>d</sup>	1.3	420 <sup>e</sup>	2.3	420 <sup>i</sup>	320 <sup>j</sup>
n-W	365	355	325					350 <sup>i</sup>	240 <sup>j</sup>
n-U	330	295		315 <sup>e</sup>	1.7	480 <sup>f</sup>	1.9	460	235
n-Th	365	340	290						
n-Pb	310	295	250	320 <sup>e</sup>	1.6	440 <sup>f</sup>	2.5	400 <sup>i</sup>	250 <sup>j</sup>
n-Bi	305	280	250	270 <sup>d</sup>	2.6	550 <sup>f</sup>	2.4	490	195

<sup>a</sup> See reference 1.  
<sup>b</sup> Average of 14.8- and 17.6-Mev cross sections weighted with relative intensities of the lithium gamma-ray lines.  
<sup>c</sup> See reference 2a.  
<sup>d</sup> R. Nakhano, Ph.D. thesis, University of Pennsylvania, 1954 (unpublished).  
<sup>e</sup> J. Haldern (private communication).  
<sup>f</sup> See reference 2b.  
<sup>g</sup> See reference 3c.  
<sup>h</sup> Separate cross sections at 14.8 and 17.6 Mev as obtained from Group A data and 14.8/17.6 betatron cross-section ratios.  
<sup>i</sup> Obtained using 14.8/17.6 cross-section ratio from Pennsylvania betatron data.  
<sup>j</sup> Obtained using 14.8/17.6 cross-section ratio from Saskatchewan betatron data.

Ref. K. Reibel, A.K. Mann  
 Phys. Rev. 118, 701 (1960)

Elem. Sym.

A

Z

W

74

Method

$\gamma$ 's from  $F^{19}(p, \alpha\gamma)$  reaction; protons from Vande Graaff; NaI.

Ref. No.

60 Re 1

JHH

Reaction	E or $\Delta E$	$E_0$	$\Gamma$	$\int \sigma dE$	$J\pi$	Notes
$(\gamma, \gamma)$	$\sim \bar{\gamma}$					$\langle \bar{\sigma} \rangle (E_p = 2.05 \text{ MeV}) = 1.8 \pm 0.4 \text{ mb}$



METHOD				REF. NO.			
Betatron; fast neutron yield, angular distribution; Si threshold detector; ion chamber				61 Ba 2 NVE			
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, XN	ABY	THR-22	C	22	THR-I	5-+	DSI

In Table 4:

$\bar{\sigma}$  = average cross section of detector weighted with neutron spectrum

$\bar{\phi}$  = neutrons/100 roentgen/mole

$$W(\theta) = a_0 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [1 - A_n P_n(\cos \theta)]$$

TABLE IV

Element	II $a_0$	III $a_1$	IV $a_2$	V $(\bar{\sigma}\bar{\phi}) \times 10^{10}$ **	V <sub>1</sub> $\Phi_{total}(22 \text{ Mev}) \times 10^9$	V <sub>1</sub> $\Phi_{fast}/\Phi_{total}$
Aluminum	215 (1±0.06)	0.01±0.08	-0.00±0.10	6.05	0.21	0.12
Antimony	164 (1±0.03)	0.04±0.04	-0.05±0.05	4.95	0.17	0.10
Manganese	308 (1±0.02)	0.07±0.03	-0.09±0.04	7.61	0.25	0.12
Iron	200 (1±0.03)	0.05±0.04	-0.17±0.05	4.94	0.18	0.11
Cobalt	390 (1±0.02)	0.08±0.03	-0.22±0.04	9.03	0.26	0.15
Nickel	145 (1±0.05)	0.07±0.07	-0.23±0.09	3.58	0.12	0.12
Copper	347 (1±0.02)	0.05±0.03	-0.29±0.04	8.57	0.30	0.12
Arsenic	482 (1±0.03)	0.11±0.04	-0.24±0.05	11.91	0.33	0.15
Rubidium	638 (1±0.05)	0.13±0.06	-0.14±0.08	15.76		
Strontium	409 (1±0.05)	0.10±0.06	-0.17±0.08	10.10		
Yttrium	290 (1±0.10)	0.08±0.12	-0.12±0.15	7.16		
Silver	590 (1±0.04)	0.10±0.06	-0.22±0.08	14.57	0.87	0.07
Cadmium	905 (1±0.02)	0.02±0.02	-0.26±0.03	22.35		
Iodine	1133 (1±0.03)	0.04±0.04	-0.29±0.05	27.99	1.42	0.08
Barium	1048 (1±0.04)	0.10±0.06	-0.38±0.08	25.89		
Lanthanum	1595 (1±0.02)	0.02±0.03	-0.42±0.04	39.40	1.04	0.15
Cerium	1316 (1±0.05)	0.05±0.06	-0.39±0.08	32.50		
Dysprosium	1652 (1±0.03)	0.04±0.10	-0.34±0.13	40.80		
Tantalum	1558 (1±0.02)	0.04±0.03	-0.22±0.04	38.48	2.50	0.06
Tungsten	1365 (1±0.02)	-0.07±0.03	-0.24±0.04	33.71		
Mercury	1345 (1±0.02)	0.04±0.03	-0.31±0.04	33.22		
Lead	2274 (1±0.01)	0.02±0.02	-0.42±0.03	56.17	2.72	0.08
Bismuth	2162 (1±0.02)	0.05±0.03	-0.45±0.04	53.40	3.36	0.06
Thorium	3031 (1±0.04)	0.06±0.05	-0.32±0.07	74.87		
Uranium	4630 (1±0.02)	0.05±0.03	-0.17±0.04	114.36		

\*\* $(\bar{\sigma}\bar{\phi}) = 2.47 \times 10^9$  millibarn-neutron. Errors are standard errors due to counting statistics only.

Elem. Sym.	A	Z
W		74
Ref. No.		JHH
62 Sh 4		

Method  
35 MeV betatron; emulsions

Reaction	E or ΔE	E <sub>0</sub>	Γ	∫σdE	Jπ	Notes
W (γ, p)	Bremss. 22.5 33.5					Parameters a, b and p for $\omega(\theta_p) = a + b \sin^2\theta (1 + p \cos\theta)^2$ in Table I.

Coefficients of expressions of type (1) for approximate angular distributions and estimates of the distribution from 12 measurements

Element	Z	E <sub>γmax</sub> (MeV)	E <sub>γ</sub> (MeV)	a	b	p	σ <sub>exp</sub> (σ <sub>cal</sub> - σ <sub>exp</sub> )
Ra	45	22.5	3.25-9.25	1.9	0	0	0
			> 9.25	2.3	1.9	0.2	1
			3.25-9.25	12.2	0	0	0
Pr	59	22.5	> 9.25	4.5	3.7	1.2	±20
			4.5-7.25	1.4	0.7	0.42	3
			7.25-11.25	2.9	4.4	0.22	2
W	74	22.5	> 11.25	1.5	3.4	0.44	1
			4.5-7.25	3.3	1.2	1.9	±13
			7.25-11.25	4.2	1.55	2.2	±50
Pt	78	22.5	> 11.25	0.8	1.2	1.5	±50
			6.25-8.75	0.8	0	0	0
			8.75-12.75	2.8	1.6	1.6	±35
Pb	82	22.5	> 12.75	2.2	0.3	2.0	±55
			6.25-8.75	1.2	0.95	0.44	±5
			8.75-12.75	1.3	0.55	2.0	±55
Pt	78	33.5	> 12.75	0.55	0.5	3.4	±70
			6.25-8.75	0.6	1.9	0.4	0
			8.75-11.75	2.5	1.9	1.2	±20
Pb	82	33.5	> 11.75	1.4	0.75	2.5	±55
			7.25-10.25	4.1	1.1	2.6	±55
			> 10.25	0.5	0.8	3.0	±70
Pb	82	22.5	3.25-9.25	1.05	0.75	0.8	±10
			> 9.25	0.75	0.75	2.2	±50
			3.25-10.25	1.9	1.2	1.2	±20
Pb	82	33.5	10.25-14.25	1.15	0.65	2.0	±65
			> 14.25	1.5	1.0	3.0	±70




Fig. 8. Energy distributions of photoprotons from W.




Fig. 9. Angular distributions of photoprotons from W. The experimental points for E<sub>γmax</sub> = 22.5 MeV are denoted by solid dots for E<sub>γ</sub> = 0.25-8.75 MeV, by solid squares for E<sub>γ</sub> = 8.75-12.75 MeV and by crosses for E<sub>γ</sub> > 12.75 MeV. For the case of E<sub>γmax</sub> = 33.5 MeV solid circles denote E<sub>γ</sub> = 0.25-8.75 MeV, open triangles E<sub>γ</sub> = 8.75-12.75 MeV and open squares denote E<sub>γ</sub> > 12.75 MeV.

TABLE 2  
Measured photoproton yields and comparison with estimates by the models of evaporation and fast neutrons

Element	E <sub>γmax</sub> (MeV)	Y <sub>exp</sub>	Y <sub>evap</sub>	Y <sub>fast</sub>	Y <sub>total</sub>
Ra <sup>226</sup>	22.5	1.3 · 10 <sup>4</sup>	~ 3	~ 3	~ 6
	33.5	2.3 · 10 <sup>4</sup>	~ 6	~ 6	~ 12
Pr <sup>144</sup>	22.5	0.7 · 10 <sup>4</sup>	~ 10	~ 10	~ 20
	33.5	1.3 · 10 <sup>4</sup>	~ 25	~ 25	~ 50
W	22.5	2 · 10 <sup>4</sup>	~ 1.5 · 10 <sup>4</sup>	~ 1.5 · 10 <sup>4</sup>	~ 3 · 10 <sup>4</sup>
	33.5	0.8 · 10 <sup>4</sup>	~ 1.5 · 10 <sup>4</sup>	~ 1.5 · 10 <sup>4</sup>	~ 3 · 10 <sup>4</sup>
Pt	22.5	0.1 · 10 <sup>4</sup>	~ 0.5 · 10 <sup>4</sup>	~ 0.5 · 10 <sup>4</sup>	~ 1 · 10 <sup>4</sup>
	33.5	0.6 · 10 <sup>4</sup>	~ 2 · 10 <sup>4</sup>	~ 2 · 10 <sup>4</sup>	~ 4 · 10 <sup>4</sup>
Pb	22.5	2.9 · 10 <sup>4</sup>	~ 1.5 · 10 <sup>4</sup>	~ 1.5 · 10 <sup>4</sup>	~ 4 · 10 <sup>4</sup>
	33.5	0.2 · 10 <sup>4</sup>	~ 4 · 10 <sup>4</sup>	~ 4 · 10 <sup>4</sup>	~ 8 · 10 <sup>4</sup>

\* The yield Y<sub>exp</sub> is expressed in protons per mol per kilogram.

References

- 1) M. E. Toms and W. E. Stephens, Phys. Rev. **98** (1955) 626
- 2) M. M. Hoffman and A. G. W. Cameron, Phys. Rev. **92** (1953) 1154
- 3) W. C. Barber and V. J. Vaninys, Nuclear Physics **16** (1960) 281
- 4) M. E. Toms and W. E. Stephens, Phys. Rev. **92** (1953) 262
- 5) E. D. Makinovsky, JETP **33** (1960) 95
- 6) R. E. Taylor, Nuclear Physics **19** (1960) 453
- 7) A. G. W. Cameron, W. Harms and L. Katz, Phys. Rev. **83** (1951) 1204
- 8) V. G. Neudachin, V. G. Shevchenko and N. P. Yudin, Report at the Second All-Union Conf. for Nuclear Reactions at Low and Medium Energies, Moscow, 1960
- 9) E. D. Courant, Phys. Rev. **82** (1951) 703
- 10) V. V. Balashov, V. G. Shevchenko and N. P. Yudin, JETP **41** (1961) 1929

Elem. Sym.	A	Z
W		74
Ref. No.		JHH
62 Sh 6		

Method	35-MeV betatron	
--------	-----------------	--

Reaction	E or ΔE	E <sub>0</sub>	Γ	∫σdE	Jπ	Notes
W(γ,p)	Bremss.: 22.5 33.5	10.0 10.0				Angular distribution fitted to $a + b \sin^2\theta (1 + \rho \cos\theta)^2$ .

На рис. 2 представлены полученные угловые распределения фотопротонов различных энергий. На обоих рисунках приведены только статистические ошибки измерений. Через экспериментальные точки на рис. 2 проведены аппроксимированные кривые типа  $a + b \sin^2\theta (1 + \rho \cos\theta)^2$ , параметры которых приведены в таблице. Приведенное выражение приближенно описывает угловое распределение при условии интерференции E1 и E2 возмущения, причем  $\rho = -\sigma_{E_2}/\sigma_{E_1}$ . Исходя из этого была сделана оценка кванта E2 поглощения, приведенная в таблице. Из рис. 2 видно, что линия излучения этой группы протонов (0,25—0,75 МэВ) имеет симметричное относительно 90° угловое распределение как при облучении с E<sub>max</sub> = 22,5 МэВ так и в случае E<sub>max</sub> = 33,5 МэВ. Это указывает на дипольный характер поглощения кванта при образовании фотопротонов. Однако группа протонов (0,75—1,25 МэВ) имеет симметричное относительно 90° угловое распределение с максимумом, смещенным вперед, причем этот эффект усиливается с увеличением энергии протонов, так и с увеличением E<sub>max</sub>. Это связано, как видно из таблицы, с тем, что квант квадрупольного возмущения, который дает вклад в угловое распределение наиболее энергичных протонов при облучении с E<sub>max</sub> = 33,5 МэВ.




Рис. 2. Угловые распределения фотопротонов группы с E<sub>max</sub> = 22,5 МэВ и E<sub>max</sub> = 33,5 МэВ. E<sub>0</sub> = 10,0 МэВ. E<sub>1</sub> = 0,25—0,75 МэВ; E<sub>2</sub> = 0,75—1,25 МэВ. E<sub>max</sub> = 22,5 МэВ; E<sub>max</sub> = 33,5 МэВ. E<sub>0</sub> = 10,0 МэВ. E<sub>1</sub> = 0,25—0,75 МэВ; E<sub>2</sub> = 0,75—1,25 МэВ. E<sub>max</sub> = 22,5 МэВ; E<sub>max</sub> = 33,5 МэВ.




Рис. 3. Энергетические распределения фотопротонов из W.

E <sub>max</sub> , МэВ	E <sub>прот.</sub> , МэВ	a	b	ρ	E <sub>2</sub> , МэВ
22,5	0,25—0,75	0,8	0	0	0
	0,75—12,75	1,7	2,7	1,6	~35
	12,75	2,2	0,5	2,6	~75
33,5	0,75—0,75	1,2	0,95	0,44	1
	0,75—12,75	3,1	2,0	2,5	~35
	12,75	1,1	1,0	2,4	~70

Измерения выхода фотопротонов из W дали значения  $2,6 \cdot 10^4$  и  $6,5 \cdot 10^4$  фотопротонов — рентген при E<sub>max</sub> = 22,5 и 33,5 МэВ соответственно. Такой значительный рост выхода указывает на существенное увеличение сечения при E<sub>max</sub> > 22,5 МэВ, т. е. позволяет сделать вывод о том, что максимум сечения образования фотопротонов из W находится выше 22,5 МэВ. Это находится в согласии с результатами, полученными для Pb<sup>82</sup> [2], и с данными о сечениях γ-реакции на тяжелых ядрах [3—5]. Таким образом, полученные результаты указывают, что сечение реакции γ, p1 на W имеет максимум при E<sub>max</sub> > 22,5 МэВ и что поглощение кванта в этой области имеет в основном квадрупольный характер.

ЛИТЕРАТУРА

METHOD			REF. NO.		
Linac; isomer yield; activity			63 Ka 2		NVB
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	
G, G/	RLY	1 (0.20, 0.17, 0.12)	C 5		4PI
			DETECTOR		
			TYPE	RANGE	
			ACT-I		

Table II. The isomers observed

Isomer	Observed value		Referenced value <sup>(1)(13)</sup>	
	Half-life	Energy (MeV)	Half-life	Energy (MeV)
Se-77m	17.5 sec	0.160	17.5 sec	0.161
Br-79m	4.80 sec	0.209	4.8 sec	0.208
Sr-87m	2.3 hr	0.390	2.3 hr	0.388
Y-89m	15.0 sec	0.920	14 sec	0.915
Rh-103m	58 min	*	57 min	-0.040
Ag-107m	} 42 sec	} 0.95	44 sec	0.094
Ag-109m			40 sec	0.088
Cd-111m	47 min	0.150, 0.255	49 min	0.150, 0.247
In-115m	4.5 hr	0.335	4.5 hr	0.335
Sn-117m	17 day	0.160	14 day	0.159, 0.161
Ba-137m	2.6 min	0.660	2.6 min	0.662
Er-167m	2.10 sec	0.209	2.5 sec	0.208
Hf-179m	18.5 sec	0.157, 0.215	19 sec	0.161, 0.217
W-183m	5.4 sec	0.200, 0.170, 0.115	5.5 sec	0.1025, 0.2915 others
Ir-191m	4.90 sec	0.129, <0.07	4.9 sec	0.042-0.129
Pt-195m	4.5 day	0.065**	4.1 day	0.031-0.130
Au-197m	7.0 sec	0.10, 0.27, 0.40	7.2 sec	0.130, 0.270, 0.407
Hg-199m	43 min	0.160, 0.370	42 min	0.158, 0.368

\* This isomer was measured with a G-M flow counter.  
 \*\* This value corresponds to Pt-K X-ray energy.

Table III. Induced activation rate

Element	Beam energy (MeV)	Counting rate ( $\times 10000$ cpm)	Sample form
Se	5	1300	metallic liquid
Br	4	1600	NaBr grain
Sr	6	0.3	SrCO <sub>3</sub> powder
Y	5	90	metallic grain
Rh	5	0.21*	RhCl <sub>3</sub> grain
Ag	5	180	metallic plate
Cd	6	0.5	CdCl <sub>2</sub> grain
In	6	8	metallic plate
Sn	6	0.0005	metallic plate
Ba	5	0.6	BaS powder
Er	4	4900	Er <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> powder
Hf	5	1600	metallic plate
W	5	120	metallic powder
Ir	5	2100	metallic powder
Pt	5	0.3	metallic plate
Au	4	4300	metallic plate
Hg	6	0.09	metallic liquid

\* The value measured with a G-M flow counter.


Ref. V.G. Shevchenko, B.A. Yur'ev, B.P. Levkin  
 Zhur. Eksp. i Teoret. Fiz. 44, 808 (1963)  
 Soviet Phys. JETP 17, 547 (1963)

Elem. Sym.	A	Z
W		74

Method  
 35 MeV betatron; thin CsI(Tl) proton counters.

Ref. No.	JHH
63 Sh 1	

Reaction	E or $\Delta E$	$E_0$	$\Gamma$	$\int \sigma dE$	$J\pi$	Notes
$(\gamma, p)$	15.5-33.5	$E = \sim 20.5$ $\gamma = \sim 28$		$\sim 50 \pm 10 \text{ MeV Mb}$ $\sim 30 \text{ MeV-mb}$	$^{33}$ $^{33} 15.5$ $^{24}$	<p><math>E = 7 \text{ MeV}</math>.  <math>\sigma_{\text{max}} = \sim 2.2 \text{ mb}</math>; E1 + E2  <math>\sigma_{\text{max}} = \sim 4.3 \text{ mb}</math>; mainly E2</p> <p>Yields measured at <math>120^\circ</math> and <math>150^\circ</math>;  background subtracted; corrected  assuming <math>(\gamma, p)</math> angular distribution  from Shevchenko and Yur'ev,  JETP <u>16</u>, 609 (1963). (See 62 Sh 3)</p>



Measured reaction yields (in relative units). A smoothed yield curve is drawn through the experimental point (dashed). The excitation function  $\sigma(E_\gamma)$  is represented by the continuous curve.


ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
W		74
REF. NO.		HMG
64 De 4		

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,F	ABX	300-999	C	300-999	EMU-D	300-999	4PI

TABLE I.

Nuclide	Bi 209	W 184	Ag
Number of runs	3	2	1
Number of atoms cm <sup>-3</sup>	~2·10 <sup>20</sup>	~5·10 <sup>20</sup>	10 <sup>22</sup>
Total number of tracks	~6000	~500	~100
Cross-sections per equivalent quantum, $\sigma_q$ , at 1000 MeV (millibarns)	12.2 ± 0.7	1 ± 0.1	0.1
Cross-sections per photon, $\sigma_p$ , between 300 and 1000 MeV (millibarns)	7.8 ± 0.8	0.65 ± 0.11	~0.05
Fissility	0.12	0.012	<0.0015

Fig. 4. - The W photofission cross-sections per quantum equivalent at 600, 650 and 1000 MeV are given by the full squares. The data can be fitted roughly with a curve corresponding to a constant value of the fissility.



METHOD		REF. NO.		JDM			
Nuclear Resonance Scattering using N,G reactions.		66 Be 3					
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR	ANGLE	
G,G	RLX	5 - 10	D	5 - 10	NAI-D	5 - 10	135




FIG. 3. Histogram of distribution of observed resonances among the different targets. The atomic number is given directly beneath the chemical symbol followed by the neutron numbers of the naturally occurring isotopes. Magic numbers are shown in brackets.

TABLE III. List of effective cross sections.

Scatterer	Energy (MeV)	Gamma source	$\delta$ (mb)	Scatterer	Energy (MeV)	Gamma source	$\delta$ (mb)
Sm <sup>144</sup>	8.997	Ni	100	Sn	7.01	Cu	110
Pr <sup>141</sup>	8.881	Cr	9	Nd	6.867	Co	30
La	8.532	Ni	6	Pr <sup>141</sup>	6.867	Co	3
Te	8.532	Ni	3*	Te	6.7	Ni	...
Cu	8.499	Cr	24	La	6.54	Ag	12
Zr	8.496	Se	3050	Cd	6.474	Co	110
Zn	8.119	Ni	13	Mo	6.44	Hg	25*
Se	7.817	Ni	50	La	6.413	Ti	10
Se	7.76	K	90	Mo	6.413	Ti	10
Sb	7.67	V	...	Tl	6.413	Ti	25
Cd	7.64	Fe	40*	W	6.3	Ti	...
Ni	7.64	Fe	7*	Sb	6.31	Hg	6*
Pr <sup>141</sup>	7.64	Fe	12*	Ti	6.31	Hg	2*
Tl	7.64	Fe	370*	Sn	6.27	Ag	75
La	7.634	Cu	7	Pb <sup>208</sup>	6.15	Gd	...
Mo	7.634	Cu	11	Te	5.8	Ni	...
Bi <sup>209</sup>	7.634	Cu	4	La	6.12	Cl	35
Te	7.528	Ni	66 <sup>d</sup>	Pr <sup>141</sup>	6.12	Cl	110
Bi <sup>209</sup>	7.416	Se	100	Pt	5.99	Hg	40*
Bi <sup>209</sup>	7.300	As	80*	Tl	5.99	Hg	5*
Pb <sup>208</sup>	7.285	Fe	4100	Pb <sup>208</sup>	5.9	Sr	...
Cl	7.285	Fe	34	Ce	5.646	Co	17
Pr <sup>141</sup>	7.185	Se	80	Bi <sup>209</sup>	5.646	Co	55
Tl	7.16	Cu	120	Pb <sup>208</sup>	5.53	Ag	70
La	7.15	Mn	50	Hg	5.44	Hg	75*
Bi <sup>209</sup>	7.149	Tl	2000	Hg	4.903	Co	385

\* High-energy scattered component of a complex spectrum.  
 † A broad scattered spectrum with no observable peak structure.  
 ‡ There are actually two lines of energies 7.647 and 7.633 MeV having equal intensities in the iron capture gamma spectrum. The cross section has therefore been corrected, although there is no possibility at present of deciding which line is responsible for each resonance.  
 § Is probably an independent level in the complex spectrum of Ni  $\gamma$  rays on Te.  
 ¶ Rough estimate.  
 †† May be inelastic component from 7.528 level in Te.  
 ‡‡ The relative line intensities in this case are due to Groshev and co-workers.  
 §§ No line is known for the source at this energy.  
 ¶¶ Difficult to resolve among the many source lines present at this energy.

REF. J. Maly  
Phys. Letters 35B, 148 (1971)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
W		74
REF. NO.		
71 Ma 2		egf

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
E,F	SPC	THR-999	D	500,999	FRG- I		4PI

999 = 1.3 GEV

Table 1  
Estimated energies and cross-sections of fission fragment formations produced by 1300 MeV electrons (detected on (D) foils)

Range in mylar ( $\mu\text{m}$ ) (passing foil No.)	Estimated energy of fragment in McV				Cross section in $\mu\text{b}$		
	A = 25 Z = 10	A = 50 Z = 20	A = 75 Z = 30	A = 100 Z = 40	U	Pb	W
(0. foil)					$3 \times 10^5$	$6 \times 10^3$	400
53 $\mu\text{m}$ (4. foil)	79	185	294	301	1.7	2.2	4.1
67 $\mu\text{m}$ (5. foil)	89	222	362	484	1.8	1.0	1.2
79 $\mu\text{m}$ (6. foil)	105	260	422	576	3.6	1.2	1.8
$E_{\text{kin}}$ from Q values (MeV)	23.6	60.1	60.0	49.8	Kinetic energy from fission of:		
	22.4	61.6	80.0	66.9	186 <sub>W</sub>		
	49.2	92.0	111.0	111.3	208 <sub>Pb</sub>		
					238 <sub>U</sub>		
$E_{\text{lab}}$ (MeV)	92.6	192	302	433	$E_{\text{lab}}$ for fusion with:		
	99.7	203.5	315	442	186 <sub>W</sub>		
	107	209	334	462	208 <sub>Pb</sub>		
					238 <sub>U</sub>		

[over]






Fig. 1. Penetration of fission fragments through mylar foils from fission induced in U, Pb and W by electrons and bremsstrahlung. Explanation of symbols: Cf spontaneous fission  $\odot$ ; U fission front foils  $\Delta$  and back foils  $\triangle$ ; Pb fission front foils  $\square$  and back foils  $\blacksquare$ ; W fission front foils  $\circ$  and back foils  $\ominus$ . Symbols with arrows (e.g.  $\odot$ ) denote background level.


ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
W		74

METHOD	REF. NO.
	72 Ko 8

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, N	NOX	6- 22	C	22	THR-I		DST

Элемент	Энергия электрона, Мэв	Детектор	Угол, град							В/А
			30	50	70	90	110	130	150	
Al	22,5	$P^{31}(n, p)$	$1,05 \pm 0,08$	$1,03 \pm 0,08$	$0,97 \pm 0,08$	$1,0 \pm 0,08$	$0,98 \pm 0,08$	$1,02 \pm 0,08$	$1,04 \pm 0,08$	Изоотропно
	22,5	$Al^{27}(n, p)$	$0,90 \pm 0,15$	$0,95 \pm 0,15$	$1,02 \pm 0,15$	$1,00 \pm 0,14$	$0,96 \pm 0,13$	$1,07 \pm 0,13$	$1,01 \pm 0,13$	»
Ti	22,5	$P^{31}(n, p)$	$1,04 \pm 0,07$	$0,96 \pm 0,07$	$1,03 \pm 0,07$	$1,00 \pm 0,07$	$0,98 \pm 0,07$	$1,05 \pm 0,07$	$1,03 \pm 0,07$	»
	22,5	$Al^{27}(n, p)$	$1,06 \pm 0,13$	$0,94 \pm 0,13$	$1,04 \pm 0,12$	$1,00 \pm 0,12$	$0,95 \pm 0,11$	$0,98 \pm 0,11$	$1,02 \pm 0,10$	»
Cu	12,8	$P^{31}(n, p)$	$0,97 \pm 0,10$	$1,04 \pm 0,10$	$1,02 \pm 0,10$	$1,00 \pm 0,10$	$1,01 \pm 0,10$	$0,90 \pm 0,10$	$0,96 \pm 0,10$	»
	17,0	$P^{31}(n, p)$	$1,03 \pm 0,07$	$0,97 \pm 0,07$	$1,00 \pm 0,07$	$1,00 \pm 0,07$	$1,06 \pm 0,07$	$0,95 \pm 0,07$	$0,88 \pm 0,07$	»
	22,5	$P^{31}(n, p)$	$0,87 \pm 0,05$	$0,94 \pm 0,05$	$0,97 \pm 0,05$	$1,00 \pm 0,05$	$0,99 \pm 0,05$	$0,93 \pm 0,05$	$0,91 \pm 0,05$	$0,18 \pm 0,04$
	22,5	$Al^{27}(n, p)$	$0,75 \pm 0,09$	$0,86 \pm 0,07$	$0,93 \pm 0,06$	$1,00 \pm 0,05$	$1,02 \pm 0,05$	$0,94 \pm 0,04$	$0,90 \pm 0,04$	$0,28 \pm 0,06$
Mo	22,5	$P^{31}(n, p)$	$0,90 \pm 0,05$	$0,93 \pm 0,05$	$0,98 \pm 0,05$	$1,00 \pm 0,05$	$0,99 \pm 0,05$	$0,92 \pm 0,05$	$0,84 \pm 0,05$	$0,21 \pm 0,04$
	22,5	$Al^{27}(n, p)$	$0,80 \pm 0,08$	$0,95 \pm 0,08$	$0,95 \pm 0,07$	$1,00 \pm 0,06$	$0,94 \pm 0,05$	$0,83 \pm 0,04$	$0,72 \pm 0,04$	$0,44 \pm 0,08$
	22,5	$Al^{27}(n, \alpha)$	$0,72 \pm 0,08$	$0,84 \pm 0,08$	$0,89 \pm 0,08$	$1,00 \pm 0,08$	$0,95 \pm 0,08$	$0,87 \pm 0,08$	$0,63 \pm 0,05$	$0,78 \pm 0,18$
W	22,5	$P^{31}(n, p)$	$0,85 \pm 0,04$	$0,90 \pm 0,04$	$0,93 \pm 0,04$	$1,00 \pm 0,04$	$0,98 \pm 0,04$	$0,92 \pm 0,04$	$0,87 \pm 0,04$	$0,25 \pm 0,04$
	22,5	$Al^{27}(n, p)$	$0,78 \pm 0,06$	$0,84 \pm 0,06$	$0,89 \pm 0,05$	$1,00 \pm 0,05$	$0,97 \pm 0,04$	$0,86 \pm 0,04$	$0,75 \pm 0,04$	$0,34 \pm 0,06$
Pb	22,5	$P^{31}(n, p)$	$0,79 \pm 0,04$	$0,85 \pm 0,04$	$0,96 \pm 0,04$	$1,00 \pm 0,04$	$0,98 \pm 0,04$	$0,88 \pm 0,04$	$0,84 \pm 0,04$	$0,36 \pm 0,05$
	22,5	$Al^{27}(n, p)$	$0,70 \pm 0,09$	$0,81 \pm 0,08$	$0,94 \pm 0,07$	$1,00 \pm 0,06$	$0,94 \pm 0,06$	$0,80 \pm 0,05$	$0,69 \pm 0,05$	$0,69 \pm 0,12$

(Over)



Угловые распределения быстрых фотонейтронов на Al, Ti, Cu, Mo, W, Pb, облучаемых электронами с энергией 22,5 Мэв. Детектор  $^{10}\text{B}$  ( $n, \gamma$ )  $\text{Si}^{10}$ .

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
W		74
REF. NO.		
73 Ba 20		egf

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,N	NOX	THR- 27	C	10- 27	BF3-I		4PI




Fig. 12. Experimental values of the level density parameter  $a_p$  (Fermi gas formula plus pairing correction) versus atomic number  $A$ . The continuous curve is a least-squares fit to the data of a theoretical calculation from Newton<sup>15</sup>).




Fig. 15. Ratio  $a_{obs}/a_p$  versus atomic number  $A$ . Here  $a_{obs}$  is the level density parameter taken from the neutron resonance work of refs. 1,2, and  $a_p$  is the level density parameter derived from the present  $(\gamma, n)$  work. Filled circles represent points where nuclei in the neutron resonance and in the  $(\gamma, n)$  experiment were the same. Open circles represent points where the respective nuclei were approximately matched. Triangles represent points which are based on measurement of neutron mean energies at two bremsstrahlung energies only.

MEAN NEUT ENERGY

- 1 H. Baba and S. Baba, Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute report JAERI-1183 (1969).
- 2 H. Baba, Nucl. Phys. A159, 625 (1970).
- 15 T.D. Newton, Can. J. Phys. 34, 804 (1956).

(over)

TABLE 3 (continued)

Target	N (residual nucleus) <sup>a)</sup>		Goodness of fit <sup>b)</sup>		$\bar{E}_0(24)$ (MeV) <sup>c)</sup>	T (MeV) <sup>d)</sup>	$a_p$ (MeV <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>e)</sup>	$a_{obs}$ (MeV <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>f)</sup>	$a_{obs}/a_p$
	no p.c.	with p.c.							
Ba	75	1%		F	1.16		16.5- <sup>136</sup> Ba	15.39- <sup>136</sup> Ba	0.93
	77	2%							
	78	7%							
	79	8%							
	80	11%							
	81	71%							
La	80	100%	F	F	1.25	0.72	15.5- <sup>138</sup> La	13.76- <sup>139</sup> La	0.89
Ce	81	89%	F	G	1.24	0.70	17.0- <sup>139</sup> Ce	17.8 - <sup>141</sup> Ce	1.04
	83	11%							
Pr	81	100%	G	G	1.17	0.65	17.0- <sup>140</sup> Pr	17.05- <sup>142</sup> Pr	1.00
Tb <sup>g)</sup>	93	100%			1.15		19.3- <sup>158</sup> Tb	21.85- <sup>160</sup> Tb	1.14
Dy <sup>g)</sup>	93	2%			1.06		20.9- <sup>161.5</sup> Dy	21.9 - <sup>162</sup> Dy	1.05
	94	19%							
	95	25%							
	96	25%							
	97	28%							
Ho	97	100%	P	G	1.06	0.56	21.4- <sup>164</sup> Ho	20.66- <sup>166</sup> Ho	0.97
Er <sup>g)</sup>	95	2%			1.11		19.2- <sup>166</sup> Er	21.9 - <sup>166</sup> Er	1.14
	97	33%							
	98	23%							
	99	27%							
	101	15%							
Tm <sup>g)</sup>	99	100%			1.03		24.0- <sup>168</sup> Tm	22.58- <sup>170</sup> Tm	0.94
Ta	107	100%		G	1.00	0.49	26.0- <sup>180</sup> Ta	21.2 - <sup>181</sup> Ta	0.82
W	107	26%	G	F	0.98	0.50	27.0- <sup>183</sup> W	23.0 - <sup>183</sup> W	<u>0.85</u>
	108	14%							
	109	31%							
	111	28%							
Au	117	100%		G	1.19		17.5- <sup>196</sup> Au	20.24- <sup>198</sup> Au	1.16
Pb	123	24%		V.P.	1.87	1.20	7.5- <sup>206</sup> Pb	10.1 - <sup>207</sup> Pb	1.35
(Z = 82)	124	23%							
	125	52%							
Bi	125	100%		F	1.65	1.03	9.0- <sup>208</sup> Bi	13.8 - <sup>210</sup> Bi	1.53

<sup>a)</sup> Neutron numbers and abundances of respective residual nuclei in ( $\gamma$ , n) experiments.

<sup>b)</sup> These give an assessment of the goodness of fit of a calculated  $\bar{E}_0$  versus  $E_0$  curve to the observed data, using the Fermi gas level density formula both without and with pairing corrections.

<sup>c)</sup> Bremsstrahlung photon neutron mean energies  $\bar{E}_0$  for peak bremsstrahlung energy  $E_0 = 24$  MeV.

<sup>d)</sup> Nuclear temperature from fit with constant-temperature formula.

<sup>e)</sup> Level density parameter  $a_p$  derived from the present ( $\gamma$ , n) experiment, using a Fermi gas formula plus pairing correction, and corresponding residual nucleus (the atomic weight shown is the weighted average of atomic weights of the respective isotopes present).

<sup>f)</sup> As column 7, but using data on n-resonance absorption from refs. <sup>1, 2</sup>.

<sup>g)</sup> Measurements of  $\bar{E}_0(E_0)$  for these nuclei were made only for  $E_0 = 21, 23$  and  $24$  MeV.

REF. E. Hayward, W. C. Barber, and Jed Sazama  
 Phys. Rev. C8, 1065 (1973)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
W		74
METHOD		REF. NO.
		73 Ha 3
		hmg

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
\$ G,G	RLY	15	D	15	NAI-D		90
		(15.1)		(15.1)			

POLARIZED PHOTONS

TABLE II. Results.

Target	$d\sigma^{\pm}/d\Omega_{\mathcal{P}}$ Arbitrary units	$d\sigma^{\pm}/d\Omega_{\mathcal{P}}$	$\eta_{\mathcal{P}}$	$\eta$	$\eta(DCM)$
Cd	0.042 ± 0.028	0.39 ± 0.05	0.11 ± 0.07	0.09 ± 0.07	0.19
Sn	0.084 ± 0.036	0.65 ± 0.06	0.13 ± 0.06	0.11 ± 0.06	0.07
Ta	0.24 ± 0.10	1.47 ± 0.14	0.16 ± 0.07	0.14 ± 0.07	0.20
W	0.52 ± 0.10	1.66 ± 0.12	0.31 ± 0.07	0.29 ± 0.07	0.20
Pt	0.23 ± 0.08	1.94 ± 0.13	0.12 ± 0.04	0.10 ± 0.04	0.08
Au	0.39 ± 0.11	2.08 ± 0.15	0.19 ± 0.06	0.17 ± 0.06	0.07
Bi	0.10 ± 0.15	2.65 ± 0.26	0.04 ± 0.06	0.02 ± 0.06	0

REF. A. Veyssiere, H. Beil, R. Bergere, P. Carlos, A. Lepretre,  
A. De Miniac  
J. Phys. Lett. 36, L267 (1975)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
W		74
REF. NO.		egf
75 Ve 5		

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,N *	ABX	8- 22	D	8- 22	MOD-I		4PI
G,2N **	ABX	13- 22	D	8- 22	MOD-I		4PI

Abstract. — Partial photoneutron cross-sections [ $\sigma(\gamma, n) + \sigma(\gamma, pn)$ ], and  $\sigma(\gamma, 2n)$  of W, Re, Ir, Pt and Hg were measured by means of monochromatic photons of  $8 \text{ MeV} \leq E \leq 22 \text{ MeV}$  so as to study the giant resonance. The experimentally observed evolution of the shape of the GDR, as one proceeds from permanently deformed prolate nuclei (W and Re) towards oblate or even triaxial gamma unstable nuclei (Pt), corresponds to the theoretical predictions of the dynamic collective model.

\* 1020+  
\*\*1021




FIG. 1. — Sections efficaces partielles  $\sigma(\gamma, n) + \sigma(\gamma, pn)$  des noyaux W, Re, Ir, Pt, Hg.




FIG. 2. — Sections efficaces partielles  $\sigma(\gamma, 2n)$  des noyaux W, Re, Ir, Pt, Hg.




TABLEAU I

	$\sigma_1$ mb	$\Gamma_1$ MeV	$E_1$ MeV	$\sigma_2$ mb	$\Gamma_2$ MeV	$E_2$ MeV
W	300	3,2	12,7	290	4,3	15,4
Re	310	3,3	12,8	330	4,45	15,4

FIG. 3. — Sections efficaces totales  $\sigma_T(E)$  des noyaux W, Re, Ir, Pt, Hg.




FIG. 4. — Comparaison des sections efficaces totales expérimentales  $\sigma_T(E)$  des noyaux W et Pt avec les prédictions du modèle collectif dynamique [12] positionnées de façon à faire coïncider les énergies moyennes observées et calculées de la RGD.



REF.

V. Emma, S. Lo Nigro, C. Milone  
Nucl. Phys. A257, 438 (1976)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
W		74
REF. NO.		egf
76 Em 2		

METHOD

REF. NO.

76 Em 2

egf

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, F	ABY	THR-999	C	999	TRK-I		4PI

TABLE 1

999 = 1 GEV

Measured values of  $\sigma_q$  at  $E=1000$  MeV and deduced values of  $\sigma_k$  assumed constant from  $E_0$  to 1000 MeV

Element	$Z^2/A$	$\sigma_q$ (mb)	$E_0$ (MeV)	$\sigma_k$ (mb)
Bi	32.96	$12.3 \pm 0.6$	200	$7.6 \pm 0.6$
Pb	32.45	$5.4 \pm 0.4$	220	$3.6 \pm 0.3$
Tl	32.10	$4.1 \pm 0.3$	230	$2.8 \pm 0.3$
Au	31.68	$2.0 \pm 0.15$	240	$1.4 \pm 0.2$
Pt	31.18	$1.1 \pm 0.08$	255	$(8 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-1}$
Re	30.21	$(3.7 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-1}$	280	$(2.9 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-1}$
W	29.78	$(3.5 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-1}$	290	$(2.8 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-1}$
Ta	29.45	$(3.3 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-1}$	300	$(2.7 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-1}$
Hf	29.04	$(1.7 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-1}$	310	$(1.4 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-1}$
Yb	28.31	$(1.3 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-1}$	330	$(1.2 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-1}$
Tm	28.18	$(7.5 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-2}$	335	$(6.8 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-2}$
Ho	27.21	$(3.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$	355	$(3.5 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$
Dy	26.80	$(2.6 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$	360	$(2.5 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$
Tb	26.58	$(2.5 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$	370	$(2.5 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$
Gd	26.04	$(1.6 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$	380	$(1.7 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$
Sm	25.56	$(1.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$	390	$(1.4 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$
Nd	24.96	$(9.2 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3}$	405	$(1 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-2}$
Ce	24.00	$(8 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3}$	420	$(9 \pm 1) \times 10^{-3}$
La	23.39	$(8.4 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3}$	430	$(1 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-3}$
Sb	21.36	$(1.2 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$	460	$(1.5 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$
Te	21.19	$(8.8 \pm 1) \times 10^{-3}$	465	$(1.2 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$
Sn	21.06	$(1.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$	465	$(1.7 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$
Cd	20.49	$(1.7 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$	470	$(2.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$
Ag	20.47	$(2 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$	470	$(2.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$
Zn	13.76	$(2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-1}$	515	$(3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-1}$
Cu	13.44	$(2.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-1}$	515	$(3.6 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-1}$
Ni	13.35	$(2.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-1}$	510	$(3.6 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-1}$
Fe	12.10	$(3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-1}$	510	$(4.4 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-1}$


<sup>4</sup>A.V. Mitrofanova et al.  
Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 6,  
512 (1968).

<sup>7</sup>T. Methasiri et al., Nucl.  
Phys. A167, 97 (1971).

<sup>12</sup>J.R. Nix et al., Nucl. Phys.  
81, 61 (1966).

<sup>20</sup>N.A. Perifilov et al., JETP  
(Sov. Phys.)14, 623 (1962);  
Proc. Symp. on the physics &  
chemistry of fission, Salzburg  
1965, vol. 2 (IAEA) Vienna,  
1965, p.283.

Fig. 2. Nuclear fissilities as a function of  $Z^2/A$ . Experimental points: solid circles represent our data; squares, the data from ref. <sup>4</sup>); open circles, the data from ref. <sup>7</sup>); and crosses, the data from (p,f) experiments<sup>20</sup>). The straight line is the best fit calculated from our data for  $Z^2/A > 26$ . The dashed curve is the curve VI calculated by Nix and Sassi<sup>12</sup>.



REF. V.G. Vlasenko, V.A. Gol'dshtein, A.V. Mitrofanova, V.I. Noga,  
 Yu.N. Ranuuk, V.I. Startsev, P.V. Sorokin, Yu.N. Telegin  
 Yad. Fiz. 23, 504 (1976)  
 Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 23, 265 (1976)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
W		74

METHOD	REF. NO.	hmg
	76 V1 1	

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
E, E/	ABX	100-500	D	1* 2	MAG-D		DST

Inelastic electron scattering has been used to measure the total hadronic cross sections for absorption of photons with energy 150-500 MeV by nuclei of C, Al, Ni, Mo, and W. The results obtained are compared with calculations carried out in the impulse approximation.

\*E IN GEV, 1.2, 1.36




FIG. 5. Total hadronic cross sections for absorption of photons by nuclei.




FIG. 6. The ratio  $\sigma_{\gamma,A}/A\sigma_{\gamma,p}$  as a function of  $A$  for  $k=0.32$  GeV.

REF. A.V. Mitrofanova, V.I. Noga, A.I. Popov, Yu.N. Ranyuk and Yu.N. Telegin  
 Yad. Fiz. 25, 926 (1977)  
 Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 25, 493 (1977)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
W		74
REF. NO.		hmg
77 Mi 11		

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
1. G,SPL	ABY	THR-999	C	600-999	ACT-I		4PI
2. E,SPL	ABY	THR-999	D	999	ACT-I		4PI

The induced activity method has been used to measure the yields of 15 photonuclear reactions in tantalum and tungsten nuclei in the photon energy range 600-1300 MeV. The cross sections have been calculated in the approximation of a rectangular bremsstrahlung spectrum. Rudstam's semiempirical formula has been used to analyze the experimental data. For the reactions in tungsten the ratios of the yields produced by photons and electrons have been measured.

- 999=1.3 GEV DST NA24
- 999=1.27 GEV

TABLE I.

No	Initial nucleus	Emitted nucleus	Residual nucleus	$\sigma_k$ (600-1300 MeV), mb	$E_{\gamma \text{ max}} = 1007 \text{ MeV}$		$\sigma_Q$ (Ref. 6), mb	$\frac{\sigma_Q}{\sigma_k}$
					$\sigma_Q$ , mb (exp)	$\sigma_Q$ , mb (theory)		
1	$^{181}_{73}\text{Ta}$	4p, 9-13n 3p, 10-15n	$^{176}_{70}\text{Yb}$ $^{175}_{71}\text{Lu}$	2.2	3.1±0.6	2.36	-	24.5±4.9
2	$^{182}_{74}\text{W}$	2p, 5-9n	$^{177}_{71}\text{Hf}$	4.0	5.6±1.1	0.58	-	22±4
3	$^{182}_{74}\text{W}$	4p, 11-15n	$^{177}_{70}\text{Yb}$	2.0	1.9±0.4	1.58	-	27±5
4	$^{182}_{74}\text{W}$	3p, 8-12n 2p, 9-13n	$^{171}_{71}\text{Lu}$ $^{171}_{71}\text{Hf}$	1.96*	2.2±0.4*	3.9	-	23±4
5	$^{182}_{74}\text{W}$	3p, 8-10n 2p, 7-11n	$^{173}_{71}\text{Lu}$ $^{172}_{71}\text{Hf}$	4.0	5.5±1.1	4.6	-	27±5
6	$^{182}_{74}\text{W}$	10p, 28-30n	$^{146}_{61}\text{Nd}$	10.4	5.0±1.0	0.10	-	-
7	$^{181}_{73}\text{Ta}$	1p, 0-1n	$^{180}_{73}\text{Ta}$	1.63	2.3±0.5	-	-	26±5
8	$^{181}_{73}\text{Ta}$	2p, 0-3n	$^{181}_{73}\text{Hf}$	0.09	0.12±0.02	-	-	31±6
9	$^{181}_{73}\text{Ta}$	3p, 9n 2p, 10n	$^{176}_{70}\text{Yb}$ $^{176}_{71}\text{Lu}$	2.3	3.6±0.7	3.2	7.07	-
10	$^{181}_{73}\text{Ta}$	1p, 5n	$^{173}_{71}\text{Hf}$	3.3	5.8±1.1	0.7	8.9	-
11	$^{181}_{73}\text{Ta}$	2p, 6n 1p, 7n	$^{173}_{71}\text{Lu}$ $^{172}_{71}\text{Hf}$	2.6	6.2±1.2	2.44	6.55	-
12	$^{181}_{73}\text{Ta}$	3p, 11n 4p, 10n	$^{167}_{70}\text{Yb}$ $^{167}_{69}\text{Tm}$	2.0	2.9±0.6	1.9	3.67	-
13	$^{181}_{73}\text{Ta}$	2p, 8n 1p, 9n	$^{171}_{71}\text{Lu}$ $^{171}_{71}\text{Hf}$	1.52*	2.4±0.5*	4.8	3.67	-
14	$^{181}_{73}\text{Ta}$	9p, 25n	$^{144}_{54}\text{Ce}$	9.6	8.5±1.7	0.12	-	-

\*In view of the absence of data on the absolute intensities of the  $\gamma$  lines in the spectrum of  $^{171}\text{Lu}$  activity, in calculation of  $\sigma_Q$  the intensity of the peak  $E_{\gamma} = 739 \text{ keV}$  was taken as 100%.




FIG. 2. Reaction yields: a) in  $^{181}\text{Ta}$ , b) in W.




FIG. 3. Anisotropy of the yield of the fragment  $^{24}\text{Na}$  from W.




FIG. 4. Yield of the reaction  $W - ^{24}\text{Na}$  as a function of bremsstrahlung maximum energy.

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
W		74
REF. NO.		hg
78 Be 10		

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, F	RLX	180-999	C	220-999	TRK-D		4PI

Zero cross section assumed below 180 MeV.

COHERENT BREM.

**Summary.** — The photofission yields of Re, W and Ta induced by a coherent bremsstrahlung beam from 1000 MeV electrons striking a diamond single crystal have been measured. The experiment has been performed at eighteen different energies of the main peak of the photon spectrum, in the energy range between 220 MeV and 550 MeV, by detecting the fission fragments with glass sandwiches. The behaviour of the photofission cross-section has been deduced from the experimental yields by using an appropriate unfolding method. The obtained curves clearly show a first resonance centred at a photon energy  $k \approx 350$  MeV with a FWHM  $\approx 145$  MeV, while there is a hint of a second resonance at  $k \approx 750$  MeV. Information on the energy dependence of the nuclear fissility from 100 MeV to 1000 MeV has been deduced from the comparison of the estimated photofission cross-section with the total photon interaction cross-section. It has been found that the photomesonic model of the fission process permits to explain the energy dependence of our photofission cross-sections if a nuclear fissility increasing with photon energy is assumed.




Fig. 7.

Fig. 7. — See caption to fig. 6. Result for W( $\gamma$ , f).




Fig. 6. — Photofission cross-section estimated by our unfolding method. Results for Re ( $\gamma$ , f).

Fig. 4.

Fig. 4. — See caption to fig. 3. Results for W.

Fig. 3. — Photofission yields per equivalent quantum as a function of the first-peak energy  $k_1$  of photons. The dots are the experimental data; the dashed curve represents the yield function recalculated from the  $f(k)$  data. Results for Re.

(over)

TABLE II. - Estimated  $f_i$  values and corresponding errors. All the data are given in arbitrary units.

$k$ (MeV)	$f(k)$ (arbitrary units)		
	Re	W	Ta
100	$-0.31 \pm 0.85$	$-0.14 \pm 0.85$	$-0.49 \pm 0.87$
160	$0.03 \pm 0.31$	$0.24 \pm 0.31$	$0.26 \pm 0.33$
220	$1.95 \pm 0.41$	$2.50 \pm 0.41$	$2.51 \pm 0.41$
280	$6.26 \pm 0.53$	$6.51 \pm 0.53$	$6.63 \pm 0.55$
340	$8.90 \pm 0.69$	$8.75 \pm 0.69$	$8.56 \pm 0.68$
400	$8.16 \pm 0.72$	$8.38 \pm 0.72$	$7.15 \pm 0.70$
460	$6.10 \pm 0.51$	$6.34 \pm 0.51$	$5.43 \pm 0.49$
520	$4.83 \pm 0.47$	$5.04 \pm 0.47$	$4.70 \pm 0.48$
580	$4.70 \pm 0.57$	$4.95 \pm 0.57$	$4.72 \pm 0.58$
640	$4.96 \pm 0.59$	$5.20 \pm 0.59$	$4.98 \pm 0.60$
700	$5.41 \pm 0.58$	$5.57 \pm 0.58$	$5.29 \pm 0.58$
760	$5.68 \pm 0.57$	$5.78 \pm 0.57$	$5.41 \pm 0.57$
820	$5.65 \pm 0.61$	$5.71 \pm 0.61$	$5.32 \pm 0.61$
880	$5.51 \pm 0.67$	$5.53 \pm 0.67$	$5.18 \pm 0.67$
940	$5.38 \pm 0.74$	$5.36 \pm 0.74$	$4.98 \pm 0.73$
1000	$5.23 \pm 0.80$	$5.18 \pm 0.80$	$4.70 \pm 0.77$




Fig. 11.

Fig. 11. - Nuclear fissility  $P_f$  as a function of the photon energy  $k$ . The curves are normalized at  $k = 1000$  MeV. a) Bi, b) Pb, c) Au, d) Ta.



W  
A=182


W  
A=182

W  
A=182





METHOD			REF. NO.		hmg
			73 So 16		
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	
G, XN	ABX	8- 28	C	0- 28	4PI
			DETECTOR		
			TYPE	RANGE	
			BF3-I		



Cross sections for photoneutron reactions in W<sup>182</sup> and W<sup>184</sup>.

Figure gives  $\sigma(sn)$  obtained by correcting  $\sigma(xn)$  for neutron multiplicity. Berman's statistical factors for W-186 used.

TABLE 1. Giant-resonance characteristics of W<sup>182</sup> and W<sup>184</sup>

	W <sup>182</sup>	W <sup>184</sup>		W <sup>182</sup>	W <sup>184</sup>
E <sub>1</sub> , MeV	12.1 ± 0.1	12.2 ± 0.1	R <sub>A</sub> = $\sigma_1 \Gamma_1 / \sigma_2 \Gamma_2$	0.23 ± 0.03	0.17 ± 0.02
$\sigma_1$ , mb	145 ± 7	145 ± 7	$\epsilon$	0.17 ± 0.07	0.45 ± 0.07
$\Gamma_1$ , MeV	2.7 ± 0.1	2 ± 0.1	$Q_2 = 0.4ZR^2 \epsilon$ , b	7.2 ± 0.9	6.8 ± 0.9
E <sub>2</sub> , MeV	14.8 ± 0.1	14.7 ± 0.1	Q <sub>2</sub> [°] b	6.57	6.21
$\sigma_2$ , mb	337 ± 8	428 ± 9			
$\Gamma_2$ , MeV	3.5 ± 0.1	4.0 ± 0.1			

TABLE 2. Integrated cross sections of W<sup>182</sup> and W<sup>184</sup>

		W <sup>182</sup>	W <sup>184</sup>
$\sigma(\gamma, Tn)$	From threshold to 27.4 MeV	3.68	4.88
	From threshold to 20 MeV	2.75	3.46
$\sigma_n$	From threshold to 20 MeV	2.32	2.33
	From 20 to 27.4 MeV	0.45	0.72
	From threshold to 27.4 MeV	2.78	2.95
0.06 YZ / A		2.63	2.65
$\sigma(E2)$		0.45	0.48

REF.

Yu. I. Sorokin and B. A. Yur'ev  
 Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR. Ser. Fiz. 39, 114 (1975)  
 Bull. Acad. Sci. (USSR) Phys. Ser. 39, 98 (1975)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
W	182	74

METHOD	REF. NO.	
	75 So 12	hmg

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, XN	ABI	8- 27	C	8- 27	BF3-I		4PI

SEE 73SO16

Table 1

Element	A	$\sigma_0(7, 2n)$ MeV · b		$\sigma_{07}$ MeV · b	$\sigma_{-1}$ mb	$\sigma_{-2}$ mb × X MeV <sup>-1</sup>	$E_m$ MeV	$K_1$ MeV	$\alpha(A-1)$ MeV <sup>-1</sup>	Thresh- hold (7,2n) MeV	$\sigma_0(E1)$ MeV × x b	
		to 27 MeV	20-27 MeV									
Sn	112	2.24	1.50	1.49	0.41	112	6.7	15.8	10.1	10.2	19.2	1.66
	114	2.26	1.56	1.35	0.47	108	6.5	15.7	11.5	10.2	18.1	1.68
	116	2.40	1.85	1.40	0.45	110	6.6	15.6	11.7	8.1	17.1	1.71
	117	2.52	1.86	1.39	0.47	110	6.7	15.4	11.6	7.3	16.5	1.72
	118	2.46	1.92	1.53	0.39	115	7.1	15.5	10.7	5.6	16.3	1.71
	119	2.64	1.86	1.42	0.44	111	6.8	15.4	12.0	13.2	15.8	1.74
	120	2.69	2.07	1.69	0.38	127	7.9	15.3	19.1	3.6	15.6	1.75
	122	2.94	2.01	1.51	0.52	119	7.1	15.6	21.8	4.5	15.0	1.77
	124	2.90	1.93	1.44	0.49	114	6.9	15.5	23.2	5.4	14.4	1.79
W	182	3.68	2.78	2.32	0.46	184	12.5	-	24.2	5.2	14.9	2.63
	184	4.88	2.95	2.34	0.72	196	13.0	--	23.7	5.2	13.6	2.65
Au	197	4.06	3.15	2.81	0.34	226	15.5	13.3	20.9	17.1	14.8	2.84
Ph	206	3.93	3.21	2.80	0.41	225	16.1	13.5	23.1	6.5	14.8	2.96
	208	4.32	3.28	2.81	0.47	231	16.7	13.3	22.5	9.6	14.1	2.98
Bi	209	4.59	3.47	2.96	0.51	246	17.9	13.2	21.3	10.2	14.3	3.00

REF. G. M. Gurevich, L. E. Lazareva, V. M. Mazur, and  
G. B. Solodukhov  
JETP Lett. 23, 370 (1976)  
Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 23, 411 (1976)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
W	182	74
REF. NO.		
76 Gu 5		hmg

METHOD

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, MU-T	ABX	8- 21	C	35	NAI-D		4PI

We measured the total cross section for the absorption of rays in the region of E1 resonance for the nuclei  $^{165}\text{Ho}$ ,  $^{178}\text{Hf}$ ,  $^{180}\text{Hf}$ ,  $^{181}\text{Ta}$ ,  $^{182}\text{W}$ ,  $^{197}\text{Au}$ , and  $^{209}\text{Bi}$ . The singularity in the behavior of the resonance widths, observed in the region  $160 < A < 185$ , is apparently due to the influence of the neutron subshell  $N = 108$ .




FIG. 1. Total photoabsorption cross sections for the nuclei  $^{165}\text{Ho}$ ,  $^{178}\text{Hf}$ ,  $^{180}\text{Hf}$ ,  $^{181}\text{Ta}$ ,  $^{182}\text{W}$ ,  $^{197}\text{Au}$ ,  $^{209}\text{Bi}$ .

USCOMM-NBS-DC




FIG. 2. Widths  $\Gamma$  of E1 giant resonance in the region of nuclei with  $A > 150$  according to the data of Saclay ( $\bullet$ ), Livermore ( $\Delta$ ), and the Institute of Nuclear Research of the USSR Academy of Sciences ( $\circ$ ). The crosses mark the deformation parameters  $\beta$ .




FIG. 3. Width of Lorentz lines approximating the photoabsorption cross sections, for deformed nuclei in the region  $150 < A < 185$ .

Nucleus	$\sigma_1$ mb	$\Gamma_1$ MeV	$E_1$ MeV	$\sigma_2$ mb	$\Gamma_2$ MeV	$E_2$ MeV	$\frac{\sigma_2 \Gamma_2}{\sigma_1 \Gamma_1}$	$Q_0$ b	$\beta$
Ho-165	235	2.0	12.2	272	4.0	15.5	2.3	$6.8 \pm 0.8$	0.29
Hf-178	291	3.1	12.2	334	4.9	15.5	1.8	$7.5 \pm 0.8$	0.28
Hf-180	286	3.2	12.2	324	5.1	15.3	1.8	$7.2 \pm 0.8$	0.27
Ta-181	272	3.0	12.1	316	5.1	15.0	2.0	$6.8 \pm 0.8$	0.26
W-182	267	3.2	11.9	303	5.6	14.8	2.0	$7.2 \pm 0.8$	0.26
Au-197	535	5.2	13.7	...	...	...	...	...	...
Bi-209	600	4.6	13.8	...	...	...	...	...	...

REF. W. Günther, R. Haag, K. Huber, U. Kneissl, H. Krieger, H.J. Maier,  
H. Ströher  
Phys. Rev. Lett. 44, 716 (1980)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
W	182	74
REF. NO.		hg
80 Gu 1		

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
E,F	NOX	THR-55	D	35-55	TRK-D		4PI

Low end of experimental arrows in Fig. 1 indicated lower limit for fission barriers established by this experiment.

The electroinduced fission of  $^{182, 184, 186}\text{W}$  has been investigated in the energy range from 35 to 55 MeV. The fission barriers, estimated by a statistical-model analysis of the measured cross sections, are compared with theoretical predictions and are only consistent with the assumption of a constant pairing strength.




FIG. 1. Calculated fission barriers (Ref. 3) (full lines,  $G = \text{const}$ ; dashed lines,  $G \sim S$ ) and limits deduced from our experiments on  $^{182, 184, 186}\text{W}$ .

REF. G.M. Gurevich, L.E. Lazareva, V.M. Mazur, S.Yu. Merkulov,  
G.V. Solodukhov, V.A. Tyutin  
Nucl. Phys. A351, 257 (1981)

ELEM. SYM	A	Z
W	182	74

METHOD	REF. NO.
	81 Gu 2 hg

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, MU-T	ABX	THR-20	C	27	NAI-D		4PI

Abstract: The curves of the total gamma-absorption cross sections ( $\sigma_{\text{tot}}$ ) in the E1 giant resonance energy range for the nuclei  $^{154}\text{Sm}$ ,  $^{156}\text{Gd}$ ,  $^{165}\text{Ho}$ ,  $^{168}\text{Er}$ ,  $^{174}\text{Yb}$ ,  $^{178}\text{Hf}$ ,  $^{180}\text{Hf}$ ,  $^{181}\text{Ta}$ ,  $^{182}\text{W}$ ,  $^{184}\text{W}$ ,  $^{186}\text{W}$  and  $^{197}\text{Au}$  have been measured using the absorption method. Parameters of the Lorentz curves fitting the measured cross sections  $\sigma_{\text{tot}}$  are given. Quadrupole moments ( $Q_0$ ) and nuclear deformation parameters ( $\beta$ ) were obtained.

For deformed nuclei in the  $\sim 155 < A < \sim 180$  region a violation of the correlation between giant resonance widths ( $\Gamma$ ) and nuclear deformation parameters was found.  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$ , the widths of the resonances corresponding to vibrations of nucleons along and across the nuclear deformation axis, were observed to decrease with the increase of  $A$  which could be accounted for by the presence of an  $N = 108$  subshell.

NUCLEAR REACTIONS  $^{154}\text{Sm}$ ,  $^{156}\text{Gd}$ ,  $^{165}\text{Ho}$ ,  $^{168}\text{Er}$ ,  $^{174}\text{Yb}$ ,  $^{178}\text{Hf}$ ,  $^{180}\text{Hf}$ ,  $^{181}\text{Ta}$ ,  $^{182}\text{W}$ ,  $^{184}\text{W}$ ,  $^{186}\text{W}$ ,  $^{197}\text{Au}$  ( $\gamma$ , X),  $E = 7-20$  MeV; measured total  $\sigma(E)$ ; deduced integrated  $\sigma$ , Lorentz line parameters,  $^{154}\text{Sm}$ ,  $^{156}\text{Gd}$ ,  $^{165}\text{Ho}$ ,  $^{168}\text{Er}$ ,  $^{174}\text{Yb}$ ,  $^{178}\text{Hf}$ ,  $^{180}\text{Hf}$ ,  $^{181}\text{Ta}$ ,  $^{182}\text{W}$ ,  $^{184}\text{W}$ ,  $^{186}\text{W}$ ,  $^{197}\text{Au}$  deduced  $\beta$ ,  $Q_0$ ,  $\Gamma$ , giant resonance evolution. Enriched, natural targets.




Fig. 2. Total nuclear gamma-absorption cross sections ( $\sigma_{\text{tot}}$ ) measured by the absorption method for  $^{154}\text{Sm}$ ,  $^{156}\text{Gd}$ ,  $^{165}\text{Ho}$ ,  $^{168}\text{Er}$ ,  $^{174}\text{Yb}$ ,  $^{178}\text{Hf}$ ,  $^{180}\text{Hf}$ ,  $^{181}\text{Ta}$ ,  $^{182}\text{W}$ ,  $^{184}\text{W}$ ,  $^{186}\text{W}$  and  $^{197}\text{Au}$ . Rms error bars are shown.

(OVER)

TABLE 2  
Parameters of Lorentz curves fitting the experimental data on  $\sigma_{tot}$

Nucleus	$E_1$ (MeV)	$\sigma_1$ (mb)	$\Gamma_1$ (MeV)	$E_2$ (MeV)	$\sigma_2$ (mb)	$\Gamma_2$ (MeV)	$\frac{\sigma_2 \Gamma_2}{\sigma_1 \Gamma_1}$	$\Gamma$ (MeV)
$^{154}\text{Sm}$	12.2	188	3.4	15.7	207	5.7	1.85	8.1
$^{156}\text{Gd}$	12.3	206	3.2	15.7	220	5.5	1.81	7.7
$^{163}\text{Ho}$	12.3	202	2.3	15.2	239	4.8	2.47	7.0
$^{168}\text{Er}$	11.9	222	3.2	15.5	275	4.5	1.73	7.4
$^{174}\text{Yb}$	12.3	297	2.9	15.5	320	4.9	1.80	7.1
$^{178}\text{Hf}$	12.2	291	3.1	15.5	334	4.9	1.80	7.2
$^{180}\text{Hf}$	12.2	286	3.2	15.3	324	5.1	1.81	7.1
$^{181}\text{Ta}$	12.1	272	3.0	15.0	316	5.1	1.97	6.8
$^{182}\text{W}$	11.9	267	3.2	14.8	303	5.6	2.01	6.8
$^{184}\text{W}$	11.9	315	2.9	14.8	321	4.7	1.65	6.8
$^{186}\text{W}$	12.0	246	3.3	14.5	332	5.1	2.07	6.4
$^{197}\text{Au}$	13.7	535	5.2					
Average error	1.4%	11.2%	9.3%	1.5%	9.7%	4.6%	0.22	0.2 MeV

TABLE 3  
Ratios of nuclear ellipsoid axes ( $k$ ), deformation parameters ( $\beta$ ) and intrinsic quadrupole moments ( $Q_0$ ), calculated from  $E_2, E_1$

Nucleus	$^{154}\text{Sm}$	$^{156}\text{Gd}$	$^{163}\text{Ho}$	$^{168}\text{Er}$	$^{174}\text{Yb}$	$^{178}\text{Hf}$	$^{180}\text{Hf}$	$^{181}\text{Ta}$	$^{182}\text{W}$	$^{184}\text{W}$	$^{186}\text{W}$
$k$	1.320	1.302	1.259	1.327	1.289	1.296	1.281	1.263	1.271	1.268	1.229
$\beta$	0.326 $\pm 0.017$	0.309 $\pm 0.016$	0.266 $\pm 0.036$	0.334 $\pm 0.032$	0.296 $\pm 0.024$	0.303 $\pm 0.032$	0.288 $\pm 0.036$	0.270 $\pm 0.026$	0.278 $\pm 0.030$	0.274 $\pm 0.032$	0.235 $\pm 0.033$
$Q_0$	6.3 $\pm 0.3$	6.2 $\pm 0.3$	5.8 $\pm 0.8$	7.5 $\pm 0.7$	7.0 $\pm 0.6$	7.5 $\pm 0.8$	7.2 $\pm 0.9$	6.9 $\pm 0.7$	7.2 $\pm 0.8$	7.1 $\pm 0.8$	6.2 $\pm 0.9$

TABLE 4  
Integral characteristics of E1 giant resonance

Nucleus	$\sigma_{0,exp}$ (MeV $\cdot$ b)	$\sigma_{0,exp}$ 0.06NZ $\cdot$ A	$\sigma_{01}$ (MeV $\cdot$ b)	$\sigma_{01}$ 0.06NZ $\cdot$ A	$\sigma_{-1}$ (mb)	$\sigma_{-1L}$ (mb)	$\sigma_{-1L} \cdot A^{2/3}$ (mb)	$\sigma_{-2}$ (mb $\cdot$ MeV $^{-1}$ )	$\sigma_{-2L}$ (mb $\cdot$ MeV $^{-1}$ )	$\sigma_{-2L} \cdot A^{2/3}$ (mb $\cdot$ MeV $^{-1}$ )
$^{154}\text{Sm}$	1.94 $\pm$ 0.06	0.87	2.86	1.29	117 $\pm$ 3.5	156	0.189	9.1 $\pm$ 0.3	14.3	3.23
$^{156}\text{Gd}$	2.07 $\pm$ 0.07	0.91	2.95	1.30	143 $\pm$ 4.6	163	0.194	10.5 $\pm$ 0.4	14.9	3.30
$^{163}\text{Ho}$	1.86 $\pm$ 0.06	0.78	2.53	1.06	155 $\pm$ 4.4	160	0.177	10.1 $\pm$ 0.3	12.6	2.54
$^{168}\text{Er}$	2.24 $\pm$ 0.06	0.92	3.07	1.26	161 $\pm$ 4.3	197	0.212	12.0 $\pm$ 0.3	16.0	3.13
$^{174}\text{Yb}$	2.69 $\pm$ 0.05	1.07	3.82	1.52	195 $\pm$ 3.4	240	0.247	14.5 $\pm$ 0.3	19.2	3.54
$^{178}\text{Hf}$	2.85 $\pm$ 0.07	1.11	3.99	1.55	208 $\pm$ 4.9	247	0.247	15.3 $\pm$ 0.4	20.2	3.59
$^{180}\text{Hf}$	2.72 $\pm$ 0.06	1.05	4.03	1.56	200 $\pm$ 4.4	250	0.246	15.1 $\pm$ 0.3	20.7	3.61
$^{181}\text{Ta}$	2.84 $\pm$ 0.07	1.09	3.81	1.46	210 $\pm$ 5.3	245	0.239	16.0 $\pm$ 0.4	20.0	3.45
$^{182}\text{W}$	2.86 $\pm$ 0.07	1.09	4.01	1.52	211 $\pm$ 5.3	256	0.248	16.2 $\pm$ 0.4	21.6	3.70
$^{184}\text{W}$	2.78 $\pm$ 0.07	1.05	3.80	1.43	207 $\pm$ 5.3	251	0.240	15.9 $\pm$ 0.4	20.9	3.51
$^{186}\text{W}$	2.90 $\pm$ 0.07	1.08	3.95	1.48	214 $\pm$ 5.3	256	0.241	16.2 $\pm$ 0.4	21.6	3.56
$^{197}\text{Au}$	3.12 $\pm$ 0.06	1.10	4.37	1.54	229 $\pm$ 4.2	276	0.241	18.6 $\pm$ 0.4	23.3	3.49





W  
A=183

W  
A=183

W  
A=183



METHOD			SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
Betatron; neutron threshold; ion chamber			60 Ge 3		NVB		
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,N	NØX	THR	C	THR	BF <sub>3</sub> -I		4 PI

THRESHOLD

TABLE I. Summary and comparison of neutron separation energies inferred from present threshold measurements with values predicted from mass data and reaction energies. All energies are expressed in the center-of-mass system in Mev.

Reaction	No. runs	Present results	Other results	Method	Reference
$W^{182}(\gamma,n)W^{181}$	1	$6.29 \pm 0.05$	$6.29 \pm 0.06$	mass data	9

9 W. H. Johnson, Jr., and V. B. Bhanot, Phys. Rev. 107, 6 (1957).

REF.

N. Shikazono, H. Takekoshi and T. Shoji  
 J. Phys. Soc. Japan 21, 829 (1966)

ELEM. SYM.

A

Z

W

183

74

METHOD

Mössbauer Effect

REF. NO.

66 Sh 2

JDM

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G <sub>g</sub>	LFT	1	D	1	NAI-D	1	4PI

$$\Gamma_{\gamma}(46.48 \text{ keV}) = (2.4 \pm .1) \times 10^{-7} \text{ eV}$$

$$E2/M1 = 0.5\%$$

W  
A=184

W  
A=184

W  
A=184



Elem. Sym.	A	Z
W	184	74
Ref. No. 62 Ca 2		JHH

Method 33 MeV electron synchrotron; activation; Be - window NaI

Reaction	E or $\Delta E$	$E_0$	$\Gamma$	$\int \sigma dE$	$J\pi$	Notes
$(\gamma, p)$	Bremss. 32	22.5		$65 \int_0^{32} \text{MeV}\cdot\text{mb}$		Absolute yields by comparison with Ta $^{181}(\gamma, n)$ , Cu $^{63}(\gamma, n)$ Cu $^{62}$ and results of Berman and Brown [Phys. Rev. <u>96</u> , 83 (1954)].




FIG. 7. Excitation functions and derived cross sections for the photodisintegration of tungsten and mercury.

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
W	184	74

METHOD					REF. NO.	hmg	
					73 Go 6		
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,XN	ABX	8- 20	G	8- 20	BF3-I		4PI




FIG. 2. Cross sections for  $W^{184, 186}$ ,  $Os^{190}$ , and  $Re^{185, 187}$ . The cross section  $\sigma_{\gamma, n} + 2\sigma_{\gamma, 2n}$  is denoted by vertical lines whose lengths are twice the rms errors. The crosses represent the cross sections, corrected for multiplicity, above the threshold of the  $(\gamma, 2n)$  reaction. The solid curves are Lorentz curve approximations. For  $W^{184, 186}$  and  $Os^{190}$  the calculated dipole transition strengths are shown.

TABLE III


	$W^{184}$	$W^{186}$	$Os^{190}$	$Re^{185}$	$Re^{187}$	$W^{184, 186}$
$\Gamma_1$ , MeV	2.33	2.55	2.13	2.51	2.83	2.29
$E_1$ , MeV	12.5	12.5	12.6	12.7	12.6	12.50
$\sigma_1$ , mb	241	225	223	262	250	211
$E_2$ , MeV	5.1	5.4	5.7	5.2	5.3	5.18
$E_3$ , MeV	15.6	15.2	14.8	15.4	15.6	14.88
$\sigma_2$ , mb	338	317	318	315	296	334
$0.06 NZ/A$ , MeV-mb	2.65	2.67	2.74	2.68	2.69	2.67
$S_1 + S_2$ , MeV-mb	4.0	3.9	3.9	3.6	3.8	3.47
$S_2 / S_1$	2.8	3.0	3.8	2.5	2.2	3.6

Note.  $S_1 = (\pi/2)\sigma_1\Gamma_1$  is the Lorentz area.



ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
W	184	74
REF. NO.		hmg
73 So 16		

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, XN	ABX	8- 28	C	0 - 28	BF3-I		4PI

TABLE 1. Giant-resonance characteristics of  $W^{182}$  and  $W^{184}$ 

	$W^{182}$	$W^{184}$		$W^{182}$	$W^{184}$
$E_1$ , MeV	$12.1 \pm 0.1$	$12.2 \pm 0.1$	$R_A = \sigma_1 \Gamma_1 / \sigma_2 \Gamma_2$	$0.238 \pm 0.03$	$0.17 \pm 0.02$
$\sigma_1$ , mb	$145 \pm 7$	$145 \pm 7$		$0.478 \pm 0.07$	$0.45 \pm 0.07$
$\Gamma_1$ , MeV	$2.7 \pm 0.1$	$2 \pm 0.1$	$Q_0 = 0.4ZR^2 a$ , b	$7.2 \pm 0.9$	$6.8 \pm 0.9$
$E_2$ , MeV	$14.8 \pm 0.1$	$14.7 \pm 0.1$		$Q_0 [\Gamma]$ , b	6.57
$\sigma_2$ , mb	$387 \pm 8$	$428 \pm 9$			
$\Gamma_2$ , MeV	$3.5 \pm 0.1$	$4.0 \pm 0.1$			

Figure gives  $\sigma(sn)$  obtained by correcting  $\sigma(xn)$  for neutron multiplicity. Berman's statistical factors for  $W-186$  used.

TABLE 2. Integrated cross sections of  $W^{182}$  and  $W^{184}$ 

		$W^{182}$	$W^{184}$
$\sigma(\gamma, T_0)$	From threshold to 27.4 MeV	3.68	4.88
	From threshold to 20 MeV	2.75	3.16
$\sigma_T$	From threshold to 20 MeV	2.32	2.33
	From 20 to 27.4 MeV	0.46	0.72
	From threshold to 27.4 MeV	2.73	2.95
$0.05 NZ / A$		2.63	2.65
$\sigma(E2)$		0.45	0.43

REF.

Yu. I. Sorokin and B. A. Yur'ev  
 Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR. Ser. Fiz. 39, 114 (1975)  
 Bull. Acad. Sci. (USSR) Phys. Ser. 39, 98 (1975)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
W	184	74
REF. NO.		hmg
75 So 12		

METHOD					REF. NO.	hmg	
				75 So 12			
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, XN	ABI	7- 27	C	7- 27	BF3-I	4PI	

SEE 73S016

Table 1

Element	A	$\sigma_0(\gamma, \text{In})$ MeV · b		$\sigma_{0\gamma}$ MeV · b		$\sigma_{-1}$ mb	$\sigma_{-2}$ mb X X MeV <sup>-1</sup>	$E_m$ MeV	K, MeV	$\mu(4-1)$ MeV <sup>-1</sup>	Thres- hold ( $\gamma, \text{In}$ ), MeV	$\sigma_0(E1)$ MeV X X b
		to 27 MeV	20-27 MeV	to 20 MeV	20-27 MeV							
Sn	112	2.23	1.50	1.45	0.41	112	6.7	15.8	0.1	10.2	19.2	1.66
	114	2.26	1.86	1.35	0.47	108	6.5	15.7	1.3	10.2	18.1	1.68
	116	2.30	1.85	1.40	0.45	110	6.6	15.6	1.7	8.1	17.1	1.71
	117	2.52	1.86	1.39	0.47	110	6.7	15.4	1.6	7.3	16.5	1.72
	118	2.46	1.92	1.53	0.39	115	7.1	15.5	0.7	5.6	16.3	1.71
	119	2.63	1.85	1.32	0.44	111	6.8	15.4	22.0	13.2	15.8	1.74
	120	2.69	2.07	1.69	0.38	127	7.9	15.3	19.1	1.6	15.6	1.75
	122	2.94	2.01	1.51	0.52	119	7.1	15.6	21.8	4.5	15.0	1.77
	124	2.90	1.93	1.44	0.49	114	6.9	15.5	21.2	3.4	14.4	1.79
W	182	3.68	2.78	2.32	0.46	184	12.5	-	24.2	5.2	14.9	2.63
	184	4.88	2.95	2.33	0.72	196	13.0	--	23.7	5.2	13.6	2.65
Au	197	4.06	3.15	2.81	0.34	226	15.5	13.3	20.9	17.1	11.8	2.84
Pb	206	3.93	3.21	2.80	0.41	225	16.1	13.5	23.1	6.5	14.8	2.96
	208	4.32	3.28	2.81	0.47	231	16.7	13.3	22.6	9.6	14.1	2.99
Bi	209	4.59	3.47	2.96	0.51	216	17.9	13.2	21.3	10.2	14.3	3.09

REF. G.M. Gurevich, L.E. Lazareva, V.M. Mazur, S.Yu. Merkulov,  
 G.V. Solodukhov, V.A. Tyutin  
 JETP Lett. 28, 168 (1978)  
 Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 28, 168 (1978)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
W	184	74

METHOD		REF. NO.		78 Gu 7		hg	
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, MU-T	ABX	THR-37	C	UKN	NAI-D		4PI

The absorption method is used to measure the total photoabsorption cross section curves for deformed  $^{154}\text{Sm}$ ,  $^{156}\text{Gd}$ ,  $^{168}\text{Er}$ ,  $^{174}\text{Yb}$ ,  $^{184}\text{W}$ , and  $^{186}\text{W}$  nuclei in the region of the E1 giant resonance. The behavior of the resonance widths for nuclei in the interval  $A = 153$  to  $186$  is discussed.

PACS numbers: 24.30.Cz, 25.20.+y, 27.70.+q

TABLE I.

Nucleus	$E_1$ MeV	$\sigma_1$ mb	$\Gamma_1$ MeV	$E_2$ MeV	$\sigma_2$ mb	$\Gamma_2$ MeV	$\Gamma$ MeV	$Q_0$ b	$\beta$	$\sigma_{0L} / 0.06 \frac{ZN}{A}$
$^{154}\text{Sm}$	12.2	188	3.4	15.7	207	5.7	$8.1 \pm 0.2$	$6.3 \pm 0.3$	$0.32 \pm 0.02$	1.28
$^{156}\text{Gd}$	12.3	206	3.2	15.7	220	5.5	$7.7 \pm 0.2$	$6.2 \pm 0.3$	$0.31 \pm 0.02$	1.30
$^{168}\text{Er}$	11.9	222	3.2	15.5	275	4.5	$7.4 \pm 0.2$	$7.5 \pm 0.7$	$0.32 \pm 0.03$	1.26
$^{174}\text{Yb}$	12.3	297	2.9	15.5	320	4.9	$7.1 \pm 0.2$	$7.0 \pm 0.6$	$0.30 \pm 0.02$	1.52
$^{184}\text{W}$	11.9	315	2.9	14.8	321	4.7	$6.8 \pm 0.2$	$7.2 \pm 0.8$	$0.27 \pm 0.03$	1.50
$^{186}\text{W}$	12.0	246	3.3	14.5	332	5.1	$6.4 \pm 0.2$	$6.2 \pm 0.8$	$0.23 \pm 0.03$	1.48
Average error	$\pm 1.3\%$	$\pm 10.5\%$	$\pm 7.5\%$	$\pm 1.3\%$	$\pm 9.4\%$	$\pm 3.8\%$	—	—	—	—

(over)




FIG. 3. Experimental values of  $\Delta\Gamma = \Gamma - (E_2 - E_1)$  in the region of deformed nuclei with  $A = 153-186$ :  $\circ$ —present work and<sup>11</sup>;  $\bullet$ —Saclay group;  $\blacktriangle$ —Livermore group. Owing to a small systematic deviations of the absolute values, the ordinate scales for the Saclay and Livermore data are shifted 0.15 MeV upward and downward, respectively. The  $(\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2)$  curve was obtained from the  $\Delta\Gamma$  curve after introduction of corrections in the interval  $A = 175-186$ .




FIG. 2. Total cross sections of the photoabsorption of the nuclei  $^{154}\text{Sm}$ ,  $^{156}\text{Gd}$ ,  $^{168}\text{Er}$ ,  $^{174}\text{Yb}$ ,  $^{184}\text{W}$ , and  $^{186}\text{W}$ . The mean squared errors are shown.

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
W	184	74
REF. NO.		hg
80 Gu 1		

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
E, F	ABX	THR-55	D	35-55	TRK-D		4PI

Low end of experimental arrows in Fig. 1 indicated lower limit for fission barriers established by this experiment.

The electroinduced fission of  $^{182, 184, 186}\text{W}$  has been investigated in the energy range from 35 to 55 MeV. The fission barriers, estimated by a statistical-model analysis of the measured cross sections, are compared with theoretical predictions and are only consistent with the assumption of a constant pairing strength.




FIG. 1. Calculated fission barriers (Ref. 3) (full lines,  $G = \text{const}$ ; dashed lines,  $G \sim S$ ) and limits deduced from our experiments on  $^{182, 184, 186}\text{W}$ .




FIG. 2. Measured cross sections for  $^{184}\text{W}$  and statistical-model calculations for different barrier heights ( $B_f = 18-24$  MeV); full and hatched rectangles, experimental points for different targets; open rectangles, cross section for U normalized to the 25-MeV point.

REF. G.M. Gurevich, L.E. Lazareva, V.M. Mazur, S.Yu. Merkulov,  
G.V. Solodukhov, V.A. Tyutin  
Nucl. Phys. A351, 257 (1981)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
W	184	74

METHOD	REF. NO.
	81 Gu 2

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G <sub>3</sub> MU-T	ABX	THR-20	C	27	NAI-D		4PI

**Abstract:** The curves of the total gamma-absorption cross sections ( $\sigma_{tot}$ ) in the E1 giant resonance energy range for the nuclei  $^{154}\text{Sm}$ ,  $^{156}\text{Gd}$ ,  $^{165}\text{Ho}$ ,  $^{168}\text{Er}$ ,  $^{174}\text{Yb}$ ,  $^{178}\text{Hf}$ ,  $^{180}\text{Hf}$ ,  $^{181}\text{Ta}$ ,  $^{182}\text{W}$ ,  $^{184}\text{W}$ ,  $^{186}\text{W}$  and  $^{197}\text{Au}$  have been measured using the absorption method. Parameters of the Lorentz curves fitting the measured cross sections  $\sigma_{tot}$  are given. Quadrupole moments ( $Q_0$ ) and nuclear deformation parameters ( $\beta$ ) were obtained.

For deformed nuclei in the  $\sim 155 < A < \sim 180$  region a violation of the correlation between giant resonance widths ( $\Gamma$ ) and nuclear deformation parameters was found.  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$ , the widths of the resonances corresponding to vibrations of nucleons along and across the nuclear deformation axis, were observed to decrease with the increase of  $A$  which could be accounted for by the presence of an  $N = 108$  subshell.

**NUCLEAR REACTIONS**  $^{154}\text{Sm}$ ,  $^{156}\text{Gd}$ ,  $^{165}\text{Ho}$ ,  $^{168}\text{Er}$ ,  $^{174}\text{Yb}$ ,  $^{178,180}\text{Hf}$ ,  $^{181}\text{Ta}$ ,  $^{182,184,186}\text{W}$ ,  $^{197}\text{Au}$  ( $\gamma$ , X).  $E = 7-20$  MeV; measured total  $\sigma(E)$ ; deduced integrated  $\sigma$ . Lorentz line parameters,  $^{154}\text{Sm}$ ,  $^{156}\text{Gd}$ ,  $^{165}\text{Ho}$ ,  $^{168}\text{Er}$ ,  $^{174}\text{Yb}$ ,  $^{178,180}\text{Hf}$ ,  $^{181}\text{Ta}$ ,  $^{182,184,186}\text{W}$ ,  $^{197}\text{Au}$  deduced  $\beta$ ,  $Q_0$ ,  $\Gamma$ , giant resonance evolution. Enriched, natural targets.




Fig. 2. Total nuclear  $\gamma$ -absorption cross sections ( $\sigma_{tot}$ ) measured by the absorption method for  $^{154}\text{Sm}$ ,  $^{156}\text{Gd}$ ,  $^{165}\text{Ho}$ ,  $^{168}\text{Er}$ ,  $^{174}\text{Yb}$ ,  $^{178}\text{Hf}$ ,  $^{180}\text{Hf}$ ,  $^{181}\text{Ta}$ ,  $^{182}\text{W}$ ,  $^{184}\text{W}$ ,  $^{186}\text{W}$  and  $^{197}\text{Au}$ . Rms error bars are shown.

(OVER)

TABLE 2  
Parameters of Lorentz curves fitting the experimental data on  $\sigma_{tot}$

Nucleus	$E_1$ (MeV)	$\sigma_1$ (mb)	$\Gamma_1$ (MeV)	$E_2$ (MeV)	$\sigma_2$ (mb)	$\Gamma_2$ (MeV)	$\frac{\sigma_2 \Gamma_2}{\sigma_1 \Gamma_1}$	$\Gamma$ (MeV)
$^{152}\text{Sm}$	12.2	188	3.4	15.7	207	5.7	1.85	8.1
$^{156}\text{Gd}$	12.3	206	3.2	15.7	220	5.5	1.81	7.7
$^{165}\text{Ho}$	12.3	202	2.3	15.2	239	4.8	2.47	7.0
$^{168}\text{Er}$	11.9	222	3.2	15.5	275	4.5	1.73	7.4
$^{174}\text{Yb}$	12.3	297	2.9	15.5	320	4.9	1.80	7.1
$^{178}\text{Hf}$	12.2	291	3.1	15.5	334	4.9	1.80	7.2
$^{180}\text{Hf}$	12.2	286	3.2	15.3	324	5.1	1.81	7.1
$^{181}\text{Ta}$	12.1	272	3.0	15.0	316	5.1	1.97	6.8
$^{182}\text{W}$	11.9	267	3.2	14.8	303	5.6	2.01	6.8
$^{184}\text{W}$	11.9	315	2.9	14.8	321	4.7	1.65	6.8
$^{186}\text{W}$	12.0	246	3.3	14.5	332	5.1	2.07	6.4
$^{197}\text{Au}$	13.7	535	5.2					
Average error	1.4%	11.2%	9.3%	1.5%	9.7%	4.6%	0.22	0.2 MeV

TABLE 3  
Ratios of nuclear ellipsoid axes ( $k$ ), deformation parameters ( $\beta$ ) and intrinsic quadrupole moments ( $Q_0$ ), calculated from  $E_2/E_1$

Nucleus	$^{152}\text{Sm}$	$^{156}\text{Gd}$	$^{165}\text{Ho}$	$^{168}\text{Er}$	$^{174}\text{Yb}$	$^{178}\text{Hf}$	$^{180}\text{Hf}$	$^{181}\text{Ta}$	$^{182}\text{W}$	$^{184}\text{W}$	$^{186}\text{W}$
$k$	1.320	1.302	1.259	1.327	1.289	1.296	1.281	1.263	1.271	1.268	1.229
$\beta$	0.326 $\pm 0.017$	0.309 $\pm 0.016$	0.266 $\pm 0.036$	0.334 $\pm 0.032$	0.296 $\pm 0.024$	0.303 $\pm 0.032$	0.288 $\pm 0.036$	0.270 $\pm 0.026$	0.278 $\pm 0.030$	0.274 $\pm 0.032$	0.235 $\pm 0.033$
$Q_0$	6.3 $\pm 0.3$	6.2 $\pm 0.3$	5.8 $\pm 0.8$	7.5 $\pm 0.7$	7.0 $\pm 0.6$	7.5 $\pm 0.8$	7.2 $\pm 0.9$	6.9 $\pm 0.7$	7.2 $\pm 0.8$	7.1 $\pm 0.8$	6.2 $\pm 0.9$

TABLE 4  
Integral characteristics of E1 giant resonance

Nucleus	$\sigma_{0,exp}$ (MeV · b)	$\sigma_{0,exp}$ 0.06VZ <sup>4</sup>	$\sigma_{0L}$ (MeV · b)	$\sigma_{0L}$ 0.06VZ <sup>4</sup>	$\sigma_{-1}$ (mb)	$\sigma_{-1L}$ (mb)	$\sigma_{11A}^{43}$ (mb)	$\sigma_{-2}$ (mb · MeV <sup>-1</sup> )	$\sigma_{-2L}$ (mb · MeV <sup>-1</sup> )	$\sigma_{21A}^{53}$ ( $\mu\text{b} \cdot \text{MeV}^{-1}$ )
$^{152}\text{Sm}$	1.94 ± 0.06	0.87	2.86	1.29	117 ± 3.5	156	0.189	9.1 ± 0.3	14.3	3.23
$^{156}\text{Gd}$	2.07 ± 0.07	0.91	2.95	1.30	143 ± 4.6	163	0.194	10.5 ± 0.4	14.9	3.30
$^{165}\text{Ho}$	1.86 ± 0.06	0.78	2.53	1.06	155 ± 4.4	160	0.177	10.1 ± 0.3	12.6	2.54
$^{168}\text{Er}$	2.24 ± 0.06	0.92	3.07	1.26	161 ± 4.3	197	0.212	12.0 ± 0.3	16.0	3.13
$^{174}\text{Yb}$	2.69 ± 0.05	1.07	3.82	1.52	195 ± 3.4	240	0.247	14.5 ± 0.3	19.2	3.54
$^{178}\text{Hf}$	2.85 ± 0.07	1.11	3.99	1.55	208 ± 4.9	247	0.247	15.3 ± 0.4	20.2	3.59
$^{180}\text{Hf}$	2.72 ± 0.06	1.05	4.03	1.56	200 ± 4.4	250	0.246	15.1 ± 0.3	20.7	3.61
$^{181}\text{Ta}$	2.84 ± 0.07	1.09	3.81	1.46	210 ± 5.3	245	0.239	16.0 ± 0.4	20.0	3.45
$^{182}\text{W}$	2.86 ± 0.07	1.09	4.01	1.52	211 ± 5.3	256	0.248	16.2 ± 0.4	21.6	3.70
$^{184}\text{W}$	2.78 ± 0.07	1.05	3.80	1.43	207 ± 5.3	251	0.240	15.9 ± 0.4	20.9	3.51
$^{186}\text{W}$	2.90 ± 0.07	1.08	3.95	1.48	214 ± 5.3	256	0.241	16.2 ± 0.4	21.6	3.56
$^{197}\text{Au}$	3.12 ± 0.06	1.10	4.37	1.54	229 ± 4.2	276	0.241	18.6 ± 0.4	23.3	3.49

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
W	184	74
REF. NO.		
81 Sc 6		egf

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,G	ABX	2-7		2-7	SCD-D		90

2.60-6.76 MEV

Elastic scattering by nuclei in the range of mass numbers between 64 and 238 has been studied with monochromatic photons in the energy range between 2 and 8 MeV. These photons were provided either by a Ti( $n, \gamma$ ) source installed in the tangential through channel of the Grenoble high flux reactor, or by  $^{24}\text{Na}$  and  $^{56}\text{Co}$  sources produced by deuteron bombardment of Al or Fe at the Göttingen cyclotron. The photoexcitation of 23 nuclear levels has been observed and the decay properties and groundstate widths of the majority of these levels have been determined. For the lead scattering target the coherent elastic differential cross section has been studied in detail. There is evidence that below the photo-neutron threshold the elastic scattering via virtual photoexcitation of the nucleus can be approximated by extrapolating the real part of the Giant Dipole Resonance amplitude along a Lorentzian curve. Coulomb corrections to Delbrück scattering seem to play a small rôle at 6.5 MeV.

**Table 4.** Properties of levels observed by photoexcitation.  $(d\sigma/d\Omega)^{\text{NRF}}$ : experimental differential cross section per identified isotope or element for resonance scattering through  $\Theta = 90^\circ$ .  $I^\pi$ : spin-parity of excited level;  $W(\Theta)$ : angular correlation function:  $g = (2I_{ex} + 1)/(2I_g + 1)$ ;  $\Gamma_0$ : radiative groundstate transition width,  $\Gamma$ : total level width. Errors in the last digits are given in parentheses

Isotope	$E_\gamma$ (MeV)	$(d\sigma/d\Omega)^{\text{NRF}}$ ( $\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ )	$I^\pi$	$\Gamma_0/\Gamma^c$	$W(\Theta)g\Gamma_0^2/\Gamma$ (meV)	$\Gamma_0^f$ (meV)	$\Gamma_0^g$ (meV)
$^{238}\text{U}$	2.754	13 (4)	(1)	0.77	0.145	0.084	-
$^{238}\text{U}$	3.254	421 (5)	$1^-$	0.24	0.83	1.5	0.52(15) <sup>d</sup>
$^{209}\text{Bi}$	6.555	2.1 (4)·10 <sup>2</sup>	-	-	0.74	0.74 <sup>b</sup>	-
$^{209}\text{Bi}$	7.168	1.7 (3)·10 <sup>5</sup>	$9/2^{+*}$	1.00	710	786	820 (40) <sup>a</sup>
$^{203}\text{Tl}$	6.418	8.75(30)·10 <sup>3</sup>	$1/2^*$	0.28	30	102	82 (15) <sup>a</sup>
Tl	6.759	7 (3)	-	-	-	-	-
Hg	6.555	68 (17)	-	-	-	-	-
$^{186}\text{W}$	6.418	5.2 (3)·10 <sup>2</sup>	$1^{-*}$	0.32	1.75	2.4	-
$^{184}\text{W}$	6.555	9.8 (10)·10 <sup>2</sup>	(1)	0.52	3.44	2.9	-
$^{184}\text{W}$	6.759	46 (10)	(1)	0.58	0.17	0.13	-
$^{181}\text{Ta}$	3.010	174 (17)	-	0.72	0.42	0.59	-
$^{181}\text{Ta}$	6.418	62 (4)	-	0.73	0.2	0.27 <sup>e</sup>	-
$^{181}\text{Ta}$	6.759	4.8 (12)	-	-	0.018	0.018 <sup>b</sup>	-
$^{165}\text{Ho}$	6.418	10.3 (30)	-	-	0.035	0.035 <sup>b</sup>	-
$^{165}\text{Ho}$	6.759	5.6 (14)	-	-	0.021	0.021 <sup>b</sup>	-
Nd	2.754	2.6 (5)	-	-	-	-	-
Nd	3.254	14.0 (10)	-	-	-	-	-
Ce	6.759	13.4 (10)	-	-	-	-	-
$^{121}\text{Sb}$	3.452	2.20 (5)·10 <sup>3</sup>	-	0.60	2.9	4.9 <sup>b</sup>	-
$^{100}\text{Mo}$	6.418	1.53 (4)·10 <sup>4</sup>	$1^{-*}$	0.88	52	26	25 (8) <sup>a</sup>
$^{94}\text{Mo}$	6.555	4.4 (4)·10 <sup>3</sup>	(1)	0.33	15	21	-
Mo	6.759	6.2 (15)	-	-	-	-	-
Mo	7.168	8.2 (26)·10 <sup>2</sup>	-	-	-	-	-

<sup>a</sup> [11]    <sup>b</sup>  $W(\Theta)g\Gamma_0/\Gamma = 1$  assumed    <sup>c</sup>  $W(\Theta)g = 1$  assumed  
<sup>d</sup> [28] (a small correction has been applied to the data of [28])  
<sup>e</sup> Upper limits in case not all the transitions to lower levels were observed  
<sup>f</sup> Present work    <sup>g</sup> Previous work

(OVER)



**Table 1.** Differential cross sections for elastic scattering ( $d\sigma/d\Omega$ )<sup>exp</sup> of photons from <sup>56</sup>Co and <sup>24</sup>Na sources by different scattering targets, in units of  $\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ . Errors in the last digits are given in parentheses.

$\theta$ deg	Scattering targets	2.599 <sup>a</sup> (MeV)	2.754 <sup>b</sup> (MeV)	3.010 <sup>a</sup> (MeV)	3.202 <sup>a</sup> (MeV)	3.254 <sup>a</sup> (MeV)	3.273 <sup>a</sup> (MeV)	3.452 <sup>a</sup> (MeV)
90	<sup>238</sup> U	52.7(25)	57.5(25) <sup>c</sup>	56(16)	47(4)	456 (10) <sup>c</sup>	34(6)	49(14)
	<sup>209</sup> Bi	33.1(30)	32 (2)	33(11)	32(4)	25.6(20)	29(6)	33(15)
	<sup>208</sup> Pb	31.5(23)	31.0(16)	35 (8)	27(3)	26.6(22)	25(4)	23 (8)
	<sup>205</sup> Tl	31.5(33)	-	27(12)	32(5)	24 (3)	22(7)	34(15)
	<sup>201</sup> Hg	30.0(27)	-	24(10)	28(5)	25.5(18)	26(8)	20 (8)
	<sup>187</sup> W	22.5(11)	-	17 (7)	19(3)	18.4(15)	18(5)	21 (6)
	<sup>181</sup> Ta	20.0(15)	19.2 (6)	193(20) <sup>c</sup>	20(4)	17.3(21)	18(5)	21 (8)
	<sup>165</sup> Ho	15.9(13)	-	17(10)	13(6)	15.6(20)	18(8)	-
	<sup>141</sup> Nd	11.4 (7)	14.2 (5) <sup>d</sup>	15 (7)	14(3)	24.2(12) <sup>d</sup>	13(3)	9 (6)
	<sup>137</sup> Ce	11.1 (9)	11.0 (5)	-	11(3)	9.5(13)	8(4)	-
	<sup>127</sup> J	8.4(10)	8.6 (5)	-	9(2)	7 (1)	5(3)	-
	<sup>121</sup> Sb	8.0(11)	-	-	10(4)	6.8(19)	-	1,270(50) <sup>c</sup>
	<sup>119</sup> Sn	6.5 (7)	7.0 (5)	-	5(2)	7.6 (8)	6(3)	-
	<sup>115</sup> Cd	6.2 (5)	-	-	6(2)	6.6 (8)	7(3)	-
120	<sup>238</sup> U	55.1(25)	64 (4) <sup>c</sup>	43(15)	55(5)	574 (10) <sup>c</sup>	48(5)	48(11)
	<sup>181</sup> Ta	27.5(15)	25.0 (9)	227(20) <sup>c</sup>	22(5)	21 (2)	22(8)	-
	<sup>141</sup> Nd	17.9(30)	17.0 (9) <sup>d</sup>	-	-	29.8(47) <sup>d</sup>	-	-

<sup>a</sup> <sup>56</sup>Co source in Fe lattice      <sup>b</sup> <sup>24</sup>Na source in Al lattice (part of data have been published elsewhere)

<sup>c</sup> Transitions to excited states observed in addition to the ground-state transition

<sup>d</sup> Photoexcitation of nuclear level identified from the size of the differential cross section

**Table 2.** Elastic differential cross sections  $d\sigma/d\Omega$  ( $\theta=90^\circ$ ) in  $\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$  measured with the Ti( $n,\gamma$ ) source and compared with theoretical predictions.  $n$ : predicted number of levels in a  $\Delta E=25$  eV interval at 6.5 MeV. Errors in the last digits are given in parentheses

Scattering target	6.418 MeV		6.555 MeV		6.759 MeV		7.168 MeV		$n$
	exp.	th.	exp.	th.	exp.	th.	exp.	th.	
<sup>238</sup> U	23 (12)	10.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	45
<sup>209</sup> Bi	-	-	219(39) <sup>b,c</sup>	8.0	12 (4)	7.4	1.5(3) · 10 <sup>3</sup> <sup>b,c</sup>	5.7	0.1
<sup>208</sup> Pb	7.0(15)	8.6	-	-	6.5(11)	7.4	-	-	0.05
<sup>205</sup> Tl	2,586 (92) <sup>a,c</sup>	7.5	-	-	13 (3) <sup>b</sup>	6.0	-	-	0.4
<sup>201</sup> Hg	12 (3)	7.8	74(17) <sup>b</sup>	6.5	6.7(15)	6.4	-	-	3.4 <sup>i</sup>
<sup>187</sup> W	159 (10) <sup>a,c</sup>	6.6	306(33) <sup>a,c</sup>	6.3	20 (2) <sup>a,c</sup>	5.6	-	-	13
<sup>181</sup> Ta	68 (4) <sup>a,c</sup>	6.3	-	-	10.1(12) <sup>b,c</sup>	5.3	-	-	28
<sup>165</sup> Ho	15 (3) <sup>b</sup>	4.7	-	-	9.5(14) <sup>b</sup>	3.9	-	-	18
<sup>141</sup> Nd	4.1(21)	4.1	-	-	17 (1) <sup>b,c</sup>	3.6	-	-	0.04
<sup>137</sup> Ce	4.2(13)	3.0	-	-	2.5 (5)	2.7	-	-	1.9
<sup>127</sup> J	1,474 (44) <sup>a,c</sup>	2.5	407(39) <sup>a,c</sup>	2.5	8.5(1.5) <sup>b,c</sup>	2.3	817(258) <sup>b,c</sup>	2.0	0.5
<sup>121</sup> Sb	2.4 (8)	1.6	-	-	1.8 (5)	1.5	-	-	0.3

<sup>a</sup> Transitions to excited states observed

<sup>b</sup> Photoexcitation identified from size of differential cross section

<sup>c</sup> Photoexcitation reported in [11]




Fig. 10. Same as Fig. 9 but for (A) <sup>187</sup>W and (B) <sup>181</sup>Ta



W  
A=186

W  
A=186

W  
A=186



METHOD	REF. NO.
Betatron; neutron threshold; ion chamber	60 Ge 3 NVB

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, N	NØX	THR	C	THR	BF3-I		4 PI

THRESHOLD

TABLE I. Summary and comparison of neutron separation energies inferred from present threshold measurements with values predicted from mass data and reaction energies. All energies are expressed in the center-of-mass system in Mev.

Reaction	No. runs	Present results	Other results	Method	Reference
$W^{186}(\gamma, n)W^{185}$	1	7.28 ± 0.06			

Method  $33$  MeV electron synchrotron; activation; Be-window NaI

Ref. No.  
62 Ca 2  
JHH

Reaction	E or $\Delta E$	$E_0$	$\Gamma$	$\int \sigma dE$	$J\pi$	Notes
$(\gamma, p)Ta^{185}$	Bremss.	22.5		$55 \int_0^{32} \text{MeV-mb}$		Absolute yields by comparison with $Ta^{181}(\gamma, n)$ , $Cu^{63}(\gamma, n)Cu^{62}$ and results of Berman and Brown [Phys. Rev. <u>96</u> , 83 (1954)].
$(\gamma, n)W^{185}$ [74 day]		14		$3500 \int_0^{32} \text{MeV-mb}$		
$(\gamma, n)W^{185}$ [1.8 min]		14		$40 \int_0^{32} \text{MeV-mb}$		




FIG. 7. Excitation functions and derived cross sections for the photodisintegration of tungsten and mercury.

ELEM. SYM.	A	L
W	186	74
REF. NO.		hmg
69 Be 8		

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,N* 167+	ABX	8-29	D	8-29	BF3-I		4PI
G,2N** 168	ABX	8-29	D	8-29	BF3-I		4PI
G,3N 169	ABX	8-29	D	8-29	BF3-I		4PI

\* INCLUDES NP  
 \*\* INCLUDES 2NP  
166+




FIG. 8. Photonuclear cross sections for  $W^{186}$ : (a)  $\sigma[(\gamma, n) + (\gamma, pn) + (\gamma, 2n) + (\gamma, p2n) + (\gamma, 3n)]$ , (b)  $\sigma[(\gamma, n) + (\gamma, pn)]$ , (c)  $\sigma[(\gamma, 2n) + (\gamma, p2n)]$ , (d)  $\sigma(\gamma, 3n)$ .




FIG. 9. The area ratio  $R_A$ , nuclear eccentricity  $\epsilon$ , and intrinsic quadrupole moment  $Q_0$  plotted versus neutron number  $N$ . The data were scaled between the value for  $Gd^{160}$  and that for  $W^{186}$ . The absolute scale for  $Q_0$  is based on a mean radius parameter  $R_0 = 1.26 F$ . The lines merely connect the three sets of data points. The experimental uncertainties have been omitted for clarity but are given in Tables VII and VIII; their average values are 0.065 (17%) for  $R_A$ , 0.010 (1.9%) for  $\epsilon$ , and 0.26b (3.7%) for  $Q_0$ .

[over]

TABLE V. Parameters of Lorentz-curve fits to the giant resonance.

Nucleus	$E_m(1)$ (MeV)	$\sigma_m(1)$ (mb)	$\Gamma(1)$ (MeV)	$E_m(2)$ (MeV)	$\sigma_m(2)$ (mb)	$\Gamma(2)$ (MeV)
Eu <sup>152</sup>	12.33±0.06	155±9	2.75±0.26	15.79±0.10	222±6	5.83±0.30
Tb <sup>150</sup> <sup>b</sup>	12.22±0.04	181±6	2.64±0.16	15.67±0.06	220±4	4.97±0.19
Gd <sup>150</sup>	12.23±0.06	215±9	2.77±0.25	15.96±0.09	233±6	5.28±0.30
Ho <sup>150</sup>	12.28±0.02	214±5	2.57±0.11	15.78±0.04	246±3	5.00±0.17
Ta <sup>181</sup> <sup>c</sup>	12.59±0.03	171±8	1.94±0.12	15.13±0.12	265±6	4.98±0.23
W <sup>186</sup>	12.59±0.03	211±14	2.29±0.14	14.88±0.08	334±8	5.18±0.14

<sup>a</sup> The uncertainties for  $\sigma_m$  given here are relative. The absolute uncertainty is 7% (10% for Tb<sup>150</sup> and Ta<sup>181</sup>).

<sup>b</sup> The data of Ref. 10 were reanalyzed to obtain the values given in this

and subsequent tables (see text).

<sup>c</sup> The data of Ref. 11 were reanalyzed to obtain the values given in this and subsequent tables (see text).

<sup>10</sup> R.L. Bramblett, J. T. Caldwell, R. R. Harvey, S.C. Fultz, Phys.Rev. 133, B869 (1964).  
<sup>11</sup> R.L. Bramblett, J.T. Caldwell, G.F. Auchampaugh, S.C. Fultz, Phys.Rev. 129, 2723 (1963)

TABLE VIII. Nuclear radius parameters.

Nucleus	$Q_0$ <sup>a</sup> (b)	Refs.	$\epsilon$ <sup>b</sup>	$R_0$ <sup>c</sup> (F)	$Q_0$ <sup>d</sup> (b)
Eu <sup>152</sup>	6.99±0.08	e, f	0.595±0.015	1.276±0.018	6.80±0.28
Tb <sup>150</sup>	7.41±0.11	e	0.598±0.009	1.274±0.013	7.23±0.26
Gd <sup>150</sup>	7.55±0.17	g	0.645±0.014	1.245±0.020	7.71±0.30
Ho <sup>150</sup>	7.56±0.11	e	0.604±0.006	1.246±0.011	7.71±0.26
Ta <sup>181</sup>	6.89±0.21	h, i	0.433±0.010	1.306±0.025	6.43±0.26
W <sup>186</sup>	5.96±0.05	g, j, k	0.390±0.006	1.259±0.011	5.96±0.21

<sup>a</sup> Values taken from or computed from the references listed in column 3.

<sup>b</sup> Values from present data (Table VII).

<sup>c</sup> Computed from Eq. (2) in the text.

<sup>d</sup> The "best" values for  $Q_0$  deduced from the present data, computed from Eq. (2) in the text, taking  $R_0$  to be 1.26±0.02 F.

<sup>e</sup> M. C. Olsson and B. Elbek, Nucl. Phys. 15, 134 (1960).

<sup>f</sup> R. A. Carrigan, Jr., P. D. Gupta, R. B. Sutton, M. N. Suzuki, A. C. Thompson, R. E. Coté, W. V. Prestwich, A. K. Gaigalas, and S. Raboy, Phys. Rev. Letters 20, 874 (1968).

<sup>g</sup> P. H. Stelson and L. Grodzins, Nucl. Data A1, 21 (1965).

<sup>h</sup> F. K. McGowan and P. H. Stelson, Phys. Rev. 109, 901 (1958).

<sup>i</sup> E. M. Bernstein and R. Graetzer, Phys. Rev. 119, 1321 (1960).

<sup>j</sup> R. C. Barrett, S. Bernow, S. Devons, I. Duerdath, D. Hidin, J. W. Kast, W. Y. Lee, E. R. Macagno, J. Rainwater, and C. S. Wu, Columbia University Program Nuclear Physics Lab. Report No. NYO-72-191, 1968, p. 74 (unpublished).

<sup>k</sup> R. G. Stokstad and B. Persson, Phys. Rev. 170, 1072 (1968).

TABLE IX. Integrated cross sections.

Nucleus	$E_{\gamma \text{ max}}$ (MeV)	$\sigma_{\text{int}}[(\gamma, n) + (\gamma, \beta n)]$ <sup>a</sup> (MeV-b)	$\sigma_{\text{int}}[(\gamma, 2n) + (\gamma, \beta 2n)]$ <sup>a</sup> (MeV-b)	$\sigma_{\text{int}}(\gamma, 3n)$ <sup>a</sup> (MeV-b)	$\frac{\sigma_{\text{int}}[(\gamma, 2n) + (\gamma, \beta 2n)]}{\sigma_{\text{int}}(\gamma, \text{total})}$ <sup>b</sup>	$\frac{1}{2}\pi[\sigma_m(1)\Gamma(1) + \sigma_m(2)\Gamma(2)]$ <sup>c</sup> (MeV-b)	0.06 NZ/A (MeV-b)
Eu <sup>152</sup>	28.9	1.57	0.67	0.04	0.29±0.04	2.70±0.19	2.22
Tb <sup>150</sup>	28.0	1.41	0.89	d	0.39±0.08	2.47±0.12	2.31
Gd <sup>150</sup>	29.5	1.45	1.00	0.08	0.39±0.05	2.87±0.20	2.30
Ho <sup>150</sup>	28.9	1.73	0.74	0.04	0.29±0.04	2.80±0.09	2.39
Ta <sup>181</sup>	24.6	1.31	0.88 <sup>e</sup>	f	0.40±0.08	2.59±0.15	2.61
W <sup>186</sup>	28.6	1.66	1.19	0.15	0.40±0.05	3.47±0.17	2.67

<sup>a</sup> All measured integrated cross-section values are given for an energy region from threshold to  $E_{\gamma \text{ max}}$ .

<sup>b</sup> The word "total" in this table refers to the total photoneutron cross section,  $\sigma[(\gamma, n) + (\gamma, \beta n) + (\gamma, 2n) + (\gamma, \beta 2n) + (\gamma, 3n)]$ .

<sup>c</sup> The uncertainties listed here are relative; to get the absolute uncertainty, a systematic uncertainty of 7% (10% for Tb<sup>150</sup> and Ta<sup>181</sup>) must be

folded into the values for  $\sigma_m$ .

<sup>d</sup> Not measured in Ref. 10;  $\sigma_{\text{int}}[(\gamma, 2n) + (\gamma, \beta 2n)]$  contains  $\frac{1}{2}\sigma_{\text{int}}(\gamma, 3n)$ .

<sup>e</sup> Because  $E_{\gamma \text{ max}}$  is so low, these values cannot be compared to the rest.

<sup>f</sup> Not measured in Ref. 11; the  $(\gamma, 3n)$  cross section below 24.6 MeV probably negligible.

TABLE X. Integrated moments<sup>a</sup> of the measured photoneutron cross section and sum rules.

Nucleus	$\sigma_{-1}$ (mb)	$\sigma_{-1} A^{-1/3}$ (mb)	$\sigma_{-2}$ (mb-MeV <sup>-1</sup> )	$\sigma_{-2}$		$\sigma_{-2}$ (MeV)
				0.00225 A <sup>2/3</sup>	0.05175 A <sup>2/3</sup>	
Eu <sup>152</sup>	148	0.181	10.18	1.03	1.16±0.11	22.2±1.6
Tb <sup>150</sup>	151	0.175	10.49	1.00	1.14±0.13	23.0±2.3
Gd <sup>150</sup>	169	0.195	12.09	1.14	1.35±0.13	20.2±1.4
Ho <sup>150</sup>	166	0.183	11.56	1.04	1.23±0.10	22.2±1.6
Ta <sup>181</sup> <sup>b</sup>	(149)	(0.145)	(10.66)	(0.82)	(0.97±0.13)	(28.1±2.8)
W <sup>186</sup>	203	0.191	14.51	1.06	1.26±0.11	21.6±1.5

<sup>a</sup>  $\sigma_{-1} = \int_{E_{\text{thr}}}^{E_{\gamma \text{ max}}} \sigma E^{-1} dE$  and  $\sigma_{-2} = \int_{E_{\text{thr}}}^{E_{\gamma \text{ max}}} \sigma E^{-2} dE$ , 234

where  $\sigma$  is the total photoneutron cross section.

<sup>b</sup> Because  $E_{\gamma \text{ max}}$  is so low, the values for Ta<sup>181</sup> cannot be compared to the rest.



REF.

R. Moreh, A. Nof, O. Shahal and A. Wolf  
Phys. Letters 36B, 71 (1971)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
W	186	74
REF. NO.		
71 Mo 2		egf

METHOD

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,G/	LFT	6	D	6,8	SCD-D		DST

6.418 MEV

Table 1  
Summary of the experimental and theoretical decay properties of the resonance levels excited by nuclear photo-excitation.

Scatterer	Transition $1^- \rightarrow 2^+$ (keV)	$A$ ( $1^- \rightarrow 2^+$ )	$\Gamma_\gamma$ (meV)	$\Gamma(M2)$ ( $\mu$ eV)	$\Gamma(M2)$ (W.u.)	$\delta^2(M2/E1)$ ( $\times 10^3$ )	$\delta^2(M2/E1)$ Weisskopf estimate ( $\times 10^6$ )
$^{74}\text{Ge}$	6018 - 2200	$0.14 \pm 0.04$	$120 \pm 15$	190	0.89	$17 \begin{smallmatrix} +23 \\ -12 \end{smallmatrix}$	3.2
$^{100}\text{Mo}$	6418 - 1064	$0.20 \pm 0.08$	$50 \pm 45$	110	0.079	$46 \begin{smallmatrix} +53 \\ -33 \end{smallmatrix}$	6.3
$^{112}\text{Cd}$	7632 - 617	$0.09 \pm 0.02$	$66 \pm 15$	36	0.006	$3.6 \begin{smallmatrix} +4.5 \\ -2.7 \end{smallmatrix}$	10.8
$^{186}\text{W}$	6418 - 122	$-0.011 \pm 0.014$	$46 \pm 35$	110	0.023	$9.0 \begin{smallmatrix} +5.4 \\ -4.1 \end{smallmatrix}$	8.7

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
W	186	74

METHOD				REF. NO.			
				73 Go 6		hmg	
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,XN	ABX	8- 20	C	8- 20	BF3-I		4PI




TABLE III

	W <sup>184</sup>	W <sup>186</sup>	Os <sup>190</sup>	Re <sup>185</sup>	Re <sup>187</sup>	W <sup>186</sup> [7]
$\Gamma_1$ , MeV	2.33	2.55	2.13	2.51	2.83	2.29
$E_1$ , MeV	12.5	12.5	12.6	12.7	12.6	12.59
$\sigma_1$ , mb	241	225	223	262	250	211
$\Gamma_2$ , MeV	5.1	5.4	5.7	5.2	5.3	5.18
$E_2$ , MeV	15.6	15.2	14.8	15.4	15.6	14.88
$\sigma_2$ , mb	338	317	318	315	296	334
$0.06 NZ/A$ , MeV-mb	2.65	2.67	2.74	2.68	2.69	2.67
$S_1 + S_2$ , MeV-mb	4.0	3.9	3.9	3.6	3.8	3.47
$S_2/S_1$	2.8	3.0	3.8	2.5	2.2	3.6

Note.  $S_i = (\pi/2)\sigma_i\Gamma_i$  is the Lorentz area.

FIG. 2. Cross sections for W<sup>184,186</sup>, Os<sup>190</sup>, and Re<sup>185,187</sup>. The cross section  $\sigma_{\gamma,n} + 2\sigma_{\gamma,2n}$  is denoted by vertical lines whose lengths are twice the rms errors. The crosses represent the cross sections, corrected for multiplicity, above the threshold of the  $(\gamma, 2n)$  reaction. The solid curves are Lorentz curve approximations. For W<sup>184,186</sup> and Os<sup>190</sup> the calculated dipole transition strengths are shown.

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
W	186	74

METHOD	REF. NO.	
	74 Wo 2	egf

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
\$ G,G	LFT	6- 8	D	6- 8	SCD-D		DST

 $\delta$  = Doppler width

TABLE 4

6.418

Upper limit of  $\Gamma_0/\Gamma$ , the temperature variation ratio  $R_T$ , and the self-absorption ratio  $R$ 

Scatterer ( $\gamma$ -source)	$E_0$ (MeV)	$\Gamma_0/\Gamma$ ( $\pm 15\%$ )	$R_T^a)$	$R(\%)^b)$
$^{65}\text{Cu}(\text{Ti})$	6.556	0.80	$0.94 \pm 0.02$	$1.1 \pm 0.5$
$^{69}\text{Ga}(\text{Cu})$	7.306	0.52	$1.035 \pm 0.004$	$3.5 \pm 0.5$
$^{100}\text{Mo}(\text{Cu})$	7.637	0.28	$1.043 \pm 0.007$	$0.8 \pm 0.3$
$^{100}\text{Mo}(\text{Ti})$	6.418	0.85	$1.032 \pm 0.005$	$0.6 \pm 0.3$
$^{118}\text{Sn}(\text{Cu})$	6.988	0.84	$1.020 \pm 0.009$	$5.7 \pm 0.2$
$^{126}\text{Te}(\text{Cu})$	7.915	$0.4 \pm 0.1$	$0.95 \pm 0.05$	$6 \pm 5$
$^{130}\text{Te}(\text{Cu})$	7.637	$0.45 \pm 0.10$	$0.84 \pm 0.05$	$0.9 \pm 1.5$
$^{139}\text{La}(\text{Cu})$	7.637	0.55	$0.95 \pm 0.01$	$2.2 \pm 0.3$
$^{139}\text{La}(\text{Ti})$	6.418	0.78	$0.968 \pm 0.008$	$6.4 \pm 0.8$
$^{141}\text{Pr}(\text{Cu})$	7.915	0.25	$1.02 \pm 0.01$	$0.9 \pm 0.9$
$^{141}\text{Pr}(\text{Cu})$	7.252	0.51	$1.005 \pm 0.003$	$5.9 \pm 0.4$
$^{144}\text{Nd}(\text{Cu})$	7.915	0.27	$0.89 \pm 0.05$	$< 0.5$
$^{186}\text{W}(\text{Ti})$	6.418	0.31	$1.030 \pm 0.004$	$< 0.5$
$^{203}\text{Tl}(\text{Ti})$	6.418	0.28	$1.03 \pm 0.01$	$1.6 \pm 0.3$
$^{205}\text{Tl}(\text{Cu})$	7.252	0.58	$1.02 \pm 0.01$	$1.6 \pm 0.7$
$^{209}\text{Bi}(\text{Cu})$	7.637	1.00	$1.00 \pm 0.02$	$2 \pm 1$
$^{209}\text{Bi}(\text{Ti})$	7.168	1.00	$0.971 \pm 0.005$	$28.0 \pm 0.6$

<sup>a)</sup> The values of  $R_T$  are given for 10 g/cm<sup>2</sup> thick scatterers placed at an angle of 60° and a detector angle of 135°.<sup>b)</sup> The values of  $R$  are given for the same scatterer-detector geometry as that of  $R_T$  and a 20 g/cm<sup>2</sup> thick absorber.

TABLE 7

Summary of  $\Gamma$ ,  $\Gamma_0$  and  $\delta$  of resonance levels measured in the present work and in earlier works <sup>a, b, c, 17)</sup>

Isotope	Energy (MeV)	$\Gamma$ (meV)	$\Gamma_0$ (meV)	$\delta$ (eV)	Ground state transition
$^{65}\text{Cu}$	6.556	$70 \pm_{20}^{60}$	$28 \pm_{5}^{15}$	$11.2 \pm 0.8$	
$^{69}\text{Ga}^a)$	7.306	$105 \pm 40$	$48 \pm 7$	$6.2 \pm 0.5$	E1
$^{100}\text{Mo}^c)$	7.637	$140 \pm 40$	$40 \pm 5$	$4.5 \pm 0.5$	E1
$^{100}\text{Mo}^c)$	6.418	$50 \pm 35$	$25 \pm 3$	$4.25 \pm 0.25$	E1
$^{118}\text{Sn}$	6.988	$152 \pm 5$	$128 \pm 3$	$5.5 \pm 0.5$	E1
$^{126}\text{Te}$	7.915	$12 \pm 6$	$5 \pm 2$	$11 \pm 2$	M1
$^{130}\text{Te}$	7.637	$60 \pm 30$	$30 \pm 10$	$15 \pm 2$	E1
$^{139}\text{La}^b)$	7.637	$170 \pm 40$	$47 \pm 6$	$10.5 \pm 0.5$	E1
$^{139}\text{La}^b)$	6.418	$85 \pm_{17}^{12}$	$67 \pm 8$	$9.5 \pm 0.5$	E1
$^{141}\text{Pr}^b)$	7.915	$7 \pm 3$	$2 \pm 1$	$6.6 \pm 1.0$	M1
$^{141}\text{Pr}^b)$	7.252	$290 \pm 30$	$110 \pm 10$	$6.4 \pm 0.5$	E1
$^{144}\text{Nd}^b)$	7.915	$30 \pm 10$	$8 \pm 3$	$14.0 \pm 0.5$	M1
$^{186}\text{W}$	6.418	$46 \pm 35$	$6 \pm 3$	$1 \pm 1$	E1
$^{203}\text{Tl}^b)$	6.418	$350 \pm 60$	$82 \pm 15$	$0.5 \pm 0.5$	
$^{205}\text{Tl}^b)$	7.252	$50 \pm 30$	$25 \pm 6$	$5.2 \pm 1.5$	M1
$^{209}\text{Bi}$	7.637	$> 500$	$> 30$		
$^{209}\text{Bi}^b)$	7.168	$820 \pm 40$	$820 \pm 40$	$5.8 \pm 0.8$	E1

<sup>a)</sup> Ref. 16).<sup>b)</sup> Ref. 8).<sup>c)</sup> Ref. 17).

(over)

TABLE 6

Values of  $A_2$ ,  $N_{\parallel}/N_{\perp}$ , spins, and mixing amplitudes  $x$ 

Scatterer ( $\gamma$ -source)	$E_0$ (MeV)	$A_2$	$N_{\parallel}/N_{\perp}$	$J_0^{\pi}$	$J^{\pi}$	$J_f^{\pi}$	$x$
$^{65}\text{Cu}(\text{Ti})$	6.556	0		$\frac{3}{2}^-$	$\frac{1}{2}^-$	$\frac{3}{2}^-$	0
$^{69}\text{Ga}(\text{Cu})$	7.306	$0.14 \pm 0.01$	$1.046 \pm 0.022$	$\frac{3}{2}^-$	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	$\frac{1}{2}^-$	0
$^{100}\text{Mo}(\text{Cu})$	7.637	$0.49 \pm 0.05$	$1.17 \pm 0.05$	$0^+$	$1^-$	$0^+$	0
$^{100}\text{Mo}(\text{Cu})$	7.102 <sup>a)</sup>	$0.013 \pm 0.016$		$0^+$	$1^-$	$2^-$	$-0.06 \pm 0.02$ <sup>b)</sup>
$^{100}\text{Mo}(\text{Ti})$	6.418	$0.52 \pm 0.02$	$1.15 \pm 0.03$	$0^+$	$1^-$	$0^+$	0
$^{100}\text{Mo}(\text{Ti})$	5.355 <sup>a)</sup>	$0.19 \pm 0.08$		$0^+$	$1^-$	$2^+$	$0.21 \pm 0.12$ <sup>b)</sup>
$^{118}\text{Sn}(\text{Cu})$	6.988	$0.48 \pm 0.02$	$1.12 \pm 0.05$	$0^+$	$1^-$	$0^+$	0
$^{126}\text{Te}(\text{Cu})$	7.915	$0.46 \pm 0.11$	$0.86 \pm 0.10$	$0^+$	$1^+$	$0^+$	0
$^{130}\text{Te}(\text{Cu})$	7.637	$0.48 \pm 0.04$	$1.12 \pm 0.04$	$0^+$	$1^-$	$0^+$	0
$^{139}\text{La}(\text{Cu})$	7.637	$0.16 \pm 0.02$	$1.024 \pm 0.015$	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	0
$^{139}\text{La}(\text{Ti})$	6.418	$0.093 \pm 0.004$	$1.018 \pm 0.006$	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	0
$^{141}\text{Pr}(\text{Cu})$	7.915	$0.41 \pm 0.06$	$0.94 \pm 0.03$	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	$0.21 \pm 0.13$ $-0.03$
$^{141}\text{Pr}(\text{Cu})$	7.252	$0.23 \pm 0.06$	$1.03 \pm 0.02$	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	$1^-$	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	0
$^{144}\text{Nd}(\text{Cu})$	7.915	$0.50 \pm 0.03$	$0.92 \pm 0.09$	$0^+$	$1^+$	$0^+$	0
$^{186}\text{W}(\text{Ti})$	6.418	$0.49 \pm 0.05$	$1.15 \pm 0.06$	$0^+$	$1^-$	$0^+$	0
$^{186}\text{W}(\text{Ti})$	6.296 <sup>a)</sup>	$-0.011 \pm 0.014$		$0^+$	$1^-$	$2^+$	$-0.10 \pm 0.01$ <sup>c)</sup>
$^{203}\text{Tl}(\text{Ti})$	6.418	0	$1.01 \pm 0.01$	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	0
$^{205}\text{Tl}(\text{Cu})$	7.252	$0.71 \pm 0.08$	$0.90 \pm 0.02$	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	$-0.25 \pm 0.03$
$^{205}\text{Tl}(\text{Cu})$	7.047 <sup>a)</sup>	$-0.69 \pm 0.03$		$\frac{3}{2}^+$	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	$0.33 \pm 0.04$
$^{209}\text{Bi}(\text{Cu})$	7.637	$0.24 \pm 0.04$		$\frac{3}{2}^+$	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	0
$^{209}\text{Bi}(\text{Ti})$	7.168	$0.20 \pm 0.02$	$1.040 \pm 0.015$	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	$\frac{3}{2}^+$	0

Errors refer to one standard deviation.

a) Inelastic transitions.

b) Ref. 17).

c) Ref. 15).

TABLE 8

Values of  $\Gamma_t$ ,  $D$ ,  $k_{E1}$  and  $k_{M1}$ 

scatterer ( $\gamma$ -source)	E1 transitions				M1 transitions				
	$E_0 \rightarrow E_f$ (MeV)	$\Gamma_t$ (meV)	$D$ (eV)	$k_{E1}$ ( $10^{-9} \text{ MeV}^{-3}$ )	scatterer ( $\gamma$ -source)	$E_0 \rightarrow E_f$ (MeV)	$\Gamma_t$ (meV)	$I$ (eV)	$k_{M1}$ ( $10^{-9} \text{ MeV}^{-2}$ )
$^{62}\text{Ni}(\text{Fe})$ <sup>a)</sup>	7.646		12300		$^{126}\text{Te}(\text{Cu})$	7.915		260	
	$\rightarrow 1.172$	24		0.5		$\rightarrow 0.666$	2.3		15
$^{69}\text{Ga}(\text{Cu})$	7.306		660		$^{141}\text{Pr}(\text{Cu})$	7.915		90	
	$\rightarrow 0.572$	3.2		1.0		$\rightarrow 1.298$	1.3		17
	$\rightarrow 0.872$	2.7		0.9		$\rightarrow 1.437$	0.8		15
$^{100}\text{Mo}(\text{Cu})$	7.637		670		$^{141}\text{Pr}(\text{Fe})$ <sup>c)</sup>	7.632		170	
	$\rightarrow 0.535$	40		7.7		$\rightarrow 0.145$	5.6		75
	$\rightarrow 1.063$	5.7		1.4		$\rightarrow 1.130$	6.4		115
	$\rightarrow 1.461$	1.4		0.4		$\rightarrow 1.293$	0.4		17
$^{112}\text{Cd}(\text{Fe})$ <sup>b)</sup>	7.632		350		$^{144}\text{Nd}(\text{Cu})$	7.915		380	
	$\rightarrow 0.617$	11		4		$\rightarrow 0.697$	13		21
	$\rightarrow 1.223$	7.3		3.4		$\rightarrow 1.041$	2.7		22
	$\rightarrow 1.429$	2		1		$\rightarrow 1.564$	6.2		24
	$\rightarrow 1.468$	1.7		0.9		$^{205}\text{Tl}(\text{Cu})$	7.252		1200
$^{130}\text{Tc}(\text{Cu})$	7.637		360		$\rightarrow 0.205$		4		9
	$\rightarrow 0.837$	16		5.5					
	$\rightarrow 1.589$	18		8.8					
$^{139}\text{La}(\text{Cu})$	7.637		190						
	$\rightarrow 1.384$	3		2.5					
	$\rightarrow 1.538$	3		2.7					
$^{141}\text{Pr}(\text{Cu})$	7.252		220						
	$\rightarrow 0.146$	82		38					
	$\rightarrow 1.120$	8.6		6.5					
$^{186}\text{W}(\text{Cu})$	6.418		110						
	$\rightarrow 0.122$	12		14					

The values of  $D$  refer to an excitation energy  $E_0$ .

a) Ref. 1).

b) Ref. 29).

c) Ref. 30).

REF. G.M. Gurevich, L.E. Lazareva, V.M. Mazur, S.Yu. Merkulov,  
 G.V. Solodukhov, V.A. Tyutin  
 JETP Lett. 28, 157 (1978)  
 Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 28, 168 (1978)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
W	186	74

METHOD						REF. NO.	hg
						78 Gu 7	
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G <sub>1</sub> MU-T	ABX	THR-28	C	UKN	NAI-D		4PI

The absorption method is used to measure the total photoabsorption cross section curves for deformed <sup>154</sup>Sm, <sup>156</sup>Gd, <sup>168</sup>Er, <sup>174</sup>Yb, <sup>184</sup>W, and <sup>186</sup>W nuclei in the region of the E1 giant resonance. The behavior of the resonance widths for nuclei in the interval A = 153 to 186 is discussed.

PACS numbers: 24.30.Cz, 25.20.+y, 27.70.+q

TABLE I.

Nucleus	$E_1$ MeV	$\sigma_1$ mb	$\Gamma_1$ MeV	$E_2$ MeV	$\sigma_2$ mb	$\Gamma_2$ MeV	$\Gamma$ MeV	$Q_0$ b	$\beta$	$\sigma_{nL} / 0.06 \frac{ZN}{A}$
<sup>154</sup> Sm	12.2	188	3.4	15.7	207	5.7	8.1 ± 0.2	6.3 ± 0.3	0.32 ± 0.02	1.28
<sup>156</sup> Gd	12.3	206	3.2	15.7	220	5.5	7.7 ± 0.2	6.2 ± 0.3	0.31 ± 0.02	1.30
<sup>168</sup> Er	11.9	222	3.2	15.5	275	4.5	7.4 ± 0.2	7.5 ± 0.7	0.32 ± 0.03	1.26
<sup>174</sup> Yb	12.3	297	2.9	15.5	320	4.9	7.1 ± 0.2	7.0 ± 0.6	0.30 ± 0.02	1.52
<sup>184</sup> W	11.9	315	2.9	14.8	321	4.7	6.8 ± 0.2	7.2 ± 0.8	0.27 ± 0.03	1.50
<sup>186</sup> W	12.0	246	3.3	14.5	332	5.1	6.4 ± 0.2	6.2 ± 0.8	0.23 ± 0.03	1.48
Average error	±1.3%	±10.5%	±7.5%	±1.3%	±9.4%	±3.8%	—	—	—	—

(over)




FIG. 3. Experimental values of  $\Delta\Gamma = \Gamma - (E_2 - E_1)$  in the region of deformed nuclei with  $A = 153-186$ :  $\circ$ —present work and<sup>11</sup>;  $\bullet$ —Saclay group;  $\blacktriangle$ —Livermore group. Owing to a small systematic deviations of the absolute values, the ordinate scales for the Saclay and Livermore data are shifted 0.15 MeV upward and downward, respectively. The  $(\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2)$  curve was obtained from the  $\Delta\Gamma$  curve after introduction of corrections in the interval  $A = 175-186$ .




FIG. 2. Total cross sections of the photoabsorption of the nuclei  $^{154}\text{Sm}$ ,  $^{156}\text{Gd}$ ,  $^{168}\text{Er}$ ,  $^{174}\text{Yb}$ ,  $^{184}\text{W}$ , and  $^{186}\text{W}$ . The mean squared errors are shown.

REF. W. Günther, R. Haag, K. Huber, U. Kneissl, H. Krieger, H.J. Maier,  
H. Ströher  
Phys. Rev. Lett. 44, 716 (1980)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
W	186	74
REF. NO.		hg
80 Gu 1		

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
E,F	NOX	THR-55	D	35-55	TRK-D		4PI

Low end of experimental arrows in Fig. 1 indicated lower limit for fission barriers established by this experiment.

The electroinduced fission of  $^{182, 184, 186}\text{W}$  has been investigated in the energy range from 35 to 55 MeV. The fission barriers, estimated by a statistical-model analysis of the measured cross sections, are compared with theoretical predictions and are only consistent with the assumption of a constant pairing strength.




FIG. 1. Calculated fission barriers (Ref. 3) (full lines,  $G = \text{const}$ ; dashed lines,  $G \sim S$ ) and limits deduced from our experiments on  $^{182, 184, 186}\text{W}$ .

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
W	186	74

METHOD	REF. NO.
	81 Gu 2 hg

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G <sub>1</sub> MU-T	ABX	THR-20	C	27	NAI-D		4PI

Abstract: The curves of the total gamma-absorption cross sections ( $\sigma_{tot}$ ) in the E1 giant resonance energy range for the nuclei  $^{154}\text{Sm}$ ,  $^{156}\text{Gd}$ ,  $^{163}\text{Ho}$ ,  $^{168}\text{Er}$ ,  $^{174}\text{Yb}$ ,  $^{178}\text{Hf}$ ,  $^{180}\text{Hf}$ ,  $^{181}\text{Ta}$ ,  $^{182}\text{W}$ ,  $^{184}\text{W}$ ,  $^{186}\text{W}$  and  $^{197}\text{Au}$  have been measured using the absorption method. Parameters of the Lorentz curves fitting the measured cross sections  $\sigma_{tot}$  are given. Quadrupole moments ( $Q_0$ ) and nuclear deformation parameters ( $\beta$ ) were obtained.

For deformed nuclei in the  $\sim 155 < A < \sim 180$  region a violation of the correlation between giant resonance widths ( $\Gamma$ ) and nuclear deformation parameters was found.  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$ , the widths of the resonances corresponding to vibrations of nucleons along and across the nuclear deformation axis, were observed to decrease with the increase of  $A$  which could be accounted for by the presence of an  $N = 108$  subshell.

NUCLEAR REACTIONS  $^{154}\text{Sm}$ ,  $^{156}\text{Gd}$ ,  $^{163}\text{Ho}$ ,  $^{168}\text{Er}$ ,  $^{174}\text{Yb}$ ,  $^{178,180}\text{Hf}$ ,  $^{181}\text{Ta}$ ,  $^{182,184,186}\text{W}$ ,  $^{197}\text{Au}$  ( $\gamma, X$ ).  $E = 7-20$  MeV; measured total  $\sigma(E)$ ; deduced integrated  $\sigma$ , Lorentz line parameters.  $^{154}\text{Sm}$ ,  $^{156}\text{Gd}$ ,  $^{163}\text{Ho}$ ,  $^{168}\text{Er}$ ,  $^{174}\text{Yb}$ ,  $^{178,180}\text{Hf}$ ,  $^{181}\text{Ta}$ ,  $^{182,184,186}\text{W}$ ,  $^{197}\text{Au}$  deduced  $\beta$ ,  $Q_0$ ,  $\Gamma$ , giant resonance evolution. Enriched, natural targets.




Fig. 2. Total nuclear  $\gamma$ -absorption cross sections ( $\sigma_{tot}$ ) measured by the absorption method for  $^{154}\text{Sm}$ ,  $^{156}\text{Gd}$ ,  $^{163}\text{Ho}$ ,  $^{168}\text{Er}$ ,  $^{174}\text{Yb}$ ,  $^{178}\text{Hf}$ ,  $^{180}\text{Hf}$ ,  $^{181}\text{Ta}$ ,  $^{182}\text{W}$ ,  $^{184}\text{W}$ ,  $^{186}\text{W}$  and  $^{197}\text{Au}$ . Rms error bars are shown.

(OVER)



TABLE 2  
Parameters of Lorentz curves fitting the experimental data on  $\sigma_{tot}$

Nucleus	$E_1$ (MeV)	$\sigma_1$ (mb)	$\Gamma_1$ (MeV)	$E_2$ (MeV)	$\sigma_2$ (mb)	$\Gamma_2$ (MeV)	$\frac{\sigma_2 \Gamma_2}{\sigma_1 \Gamma_1}$	$\Gamma$ (MeV)
<sup>154</sup> Sm	12.2	188	3.4	15.7	207	5.7	1.85	8.1
<sup>156</sup> Gd	12.3	206	3.2	15.7	220	5.5	1.81	7.7
<sup>165</sup> Ho	12.3	202	2.3	15.2	239	4.8	2.47	7.0
<sup>168</sup> Er	11.9	222	3.2	15.5	275	4.5	1.73	7.4
<sup>174</sup> Yb	12.3	297	2.9	15.5	320	4.9	1.80	7.1
<sup>178</sup> Hf	12.2	291	3.1	15.5	334	4.9	1.80	7.2
<sup>180</sup> Hf	12.2	286	3.2	15.3	324	5.1	1.81	7.1
<sup>181</sup> Ta	12.1	272	3.0	15.0	316	5.1	1.97	6.8
<sup>182</sup> W	11.9	267	3.2	14.8	303	5.6	2.01	6.8
<sup>184</sup> W	11.9	315	2.9	14.8	321	4.7	1.65	6.8
<sup>186</sup> W	12.0	246	3.3	14.5	332	5.1	2.07	6.4
<sup>197</sup> Au	13.7	535	5.2					
Average error	1.4%	11.2%	9.3%	1.5%	9.7%	4.6%	0.22	0.2 MeV

TABLE 3  
Ratios of nuclear ellipsoid axes ( $k$ ), deformation parameters ( $\beta$ ) and intrinsic quadrupole moments ( $Q_0$ ), calculated from  $E_2, E_1$

Nucleus	<sup>154</sup> Sm	<sup>156</sup> Gd	<sup>165</sup> Ho	<sup>168</sup> Er	<sup>174</sup> Yb	<sup>178</sup> Hf	<sup>180</sup> Hf	<sup>181</sup> Ta	<sup>182</sup> W	<sup>184</sup> W	<sup>186</sup> W
$k$	1.320	1.302	1.259	1.327	1.289	1.296	1.281	1.263	1.271	1.268	1.229
$\beta$	0.326 $\pm 0.017$	0.309 $\pm 0.016$	0.266 $\pm 0.036$	0.334 $\pm 0.032$	0.296 $\pm 0.024$	0.303 $\pm 0.032$	0.288 $\pm 0.036$	0.270 $\pm 0.026$	0.278 $\pm 0.030$	0.274 $\pm 0.032$	0.235 $\pm 0.033$
$Q_0$	6.3 $\pm 0.3$	6.2 $\pm 0.3$	5.8 $\pm 0.8$	7.5 $\pm 0.7$	7.0 $\pm 0.6$	7.5 $\pm 0.8$	7.2 $\pm 0.9$	6.9 $\pm 0.7$	7.2 $\pm 0.8$	7.1 $\pm 0.8$	6.2 $\pm 0.9$

TABLE 4  
Integral characteristics of E1 giant resonance

Nucleus	$\sigma_{0,exp}$ (MeV · b)	$\sigma_{0,exp}$ 0.06NZ · A	$\sigma_{0,L}$ (MeV · b)	$\sigma_{0,L}$ 0.06NZ · A	$\sigma_1$ (mb)	$\sigma_{1L}$ (mb)	$\sigma_{-1L} \cdot A^{-4/3}$ (mb)	$\sigma_2$ (mb · MeV <sup>-1</sup> )	$\sigma_{-2L}$ (mb · MeV <sup>-1</sup> )	$\sigma_{-21} \cdot A^{5/3}$ ( $\mu\text{b} \cdot \text{MeV}^{-1}$ )
<sup>154</sup> Sm	1.94 ± 0.06	0.87	2.86	1.29	117 ± 3.5	156	0.189	9.1 ± 0.3	14.3	3.23
<sup>156</sup> Gd	2.07 ± 0.07	0.91	2.95	1.30	143 ± 4.6	163	0.194	10.5 ± 0.4	14.9	3.30
<sup>165</sup> Ho	1.86 ± 0.06	0.78	2.53	1.06	155 ± 4.4	160	0.177	10.1 ± 0.3	12.6	2.54
<sup>168</sup> Er	2.24 ± 0.06	0.92	3.07	1.26	161 ± 4.3	197	0.212	12.0 ± 0.3	16.0	3.13
<sup>174</sup> Yb	2.69 ± 0.05	1.07	3.82	1.52	195 ± 3.4	240	0.247	14.5 ± 0.3	19.2	3.54
<sup>178</sup> Hf	2.85 ± 0.07	1.11	3.99	1.55	208 ± 4.9	247	0.247	15.3 ± 0.4	20.2	3.59
<sup>180</sup> Hf	2.72 ± 0.06	1.05	4.03	1.56	200 ± 4.4	250	0.246	15.1 ± 0.3	20.7	3.61
<sup>181</sup> Ta	2.84 ± 0.07	1.09	3.81	1.46	210 ± 5.3	245	0.239	16.0 ± 0.4	20.0	3.45
<sup>182</sup> W	2.86 ± 0.07	1.09	4.01	1.52	211 ± 5.3	256	0.248	16.2 ± 0.4	21.6	3.70
<sup>184</sup> W	2.78 ± 0.07	1.05	3.80	1.43	207 ± 5.3	251	0.240	15.9 ± 0.4	20.9	3.51
<sup>186</sup> W	2.90 ± 0.07	1.08	3.95	1.48	214 ± 5.3	256	0.241	16.2 ± 0.4	21.6	3.56
<sup>197</sup> Au	3.12 ± 0.06	1.10	4.37	1.54	229 ± 4.2	276	0.241	18.6 ± 0.4	23.3	3.49



## RHENIUM

Z=75

Credit for the discovery of rhenium goes to the German chemists Walter Noddack, Ida Tacke — later Ida Noddack, and Otto Berg. Their discovery, based on the x-ray examinations of columbite and various platinum ores, was not accidental but the result of a three year search begun by studying the relative frequencies of known elements in the earth's crust. Noddack, Tacke, and Berg found that elements of odd atomic number are less common than those of even number. Using this information combined with the known frequency of occurrence of platinum ores and of columbite, they obtained an approximate idea of the extent of the extraction process. In May of 1925, they accomplished a 100,000-fold concentration of element 75 and established the existence of the new element. They named it rhenium — derived from the Latin word *Rhenus* for the German Rhine river.

RE

RE



REF. A. V. Mitrofanova, Yu. N. Ranyuk, and P. V. Sorokin  
 J. Nucl. Phys. (USSR) 6, 703 (1967)  
 Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 6, 512 (1968)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Re		75
REF. NO.		HMG
67 Mi 1		

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,F	ABX	300-999		300-999	TRK-I		

Detector: Fission fragment tracks in glass.

999 = 1600 MEV

Angular distribution measured for Pb was found isotropic; for other elements it was assumed isotropic.

Nucleus	Fissionability $D$	Cross section $\sigma_k, \mu\beta$	Nucleus	Fissionability $D$	Cross section $\sigma_k, \mu\beta$
Bi	$0.11 \pm 0.01$	$7.8 \pm 0.6$	Os	$0.0058 \pm 0.0005$	$0.37 \pm 0.04$
Pb	$0.050 \pm 0.004$	$3.4 \pm 0.3$	Re	$0.0056 \pm 0.0006$	$0.35 \pm 0.04$
Tl	$0.031 \pm 0.003$	$2.1 \pm 0.2$	Ta	$0.0045 \pm 0.0005$	$0.27 \pm 0.03$
Au	$0.019 \pm 0.002$	$1.25 \pm 0.10$	Hf	$0.0042 \pm 0.0004$	$0.25 \pm 0.03$
Pt	$0.012 \pm 0.002$	$0.80 \pm 0.08$			






Fig. 1. Photofission fragment yields.  $\circ$ -present work;  $\square$ -Jungerman and Steiner.<sup>(1)</sup> The curves were plotted through the experimental points.

Fig. 2. Photofission fragment yields as a function of  $Z^2/A$ . The ordinates are values of  $\sigma_0$  in units of  $\text{cm}^2$ .

REF. Yu. N. Ranyuk and P. V. Sorokin  
 J. Nucl. Phys. (USSR) 5, 37 (1967)  
 Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 5, 26 (1967)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Re		75

METHOD	REF. NO.	
	67 Ra 2	HMG

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, F	ABX	THR-260	C	200-260	EMU-I		4PI




Fig. 4. Photofission cross sections of Os, Re, Ta, and Hf as functions of photon energy.

TABLE III

$E_{\gamma, \text{max}}$ , MeV	Cross section per equivalent $\gamma$ quantum, $10^{-30} \text{ cm}^2$			
	Os	Re	Ta	Hf
200	$17 \pm 2$	$11.8 \pm 0.8$	$5.2 \pm 0.3$	$3.2 \pm 0.7$
220	$22 \pm 2$	$17 \pm 1$	$8.6 \pm 0.5$	$4.8 \pm 0.3$
240	$33 \pm 3$	$29 \pm 1$	$13.0 \pm 0.6$	$8.2 \pm 0.4$
260	$45 \pm 4$	$36 \pm 2$	$17.2 \pm 0.7$	$11.2 \pm 0.5$

REF. A. Veyssiere, H. Beil, R. Bergere, P. Carlos, A. Lepretre,  
A. De Miniac  
J. Phys. Lett. 36, L267 (1975)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Re		75

METHOD	REF. NO.	
	75 Ve 5	egf

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,N*	ABX	8- 22	D	8- 22	MOD-I		4PI
G,2N**	ABX	13- 22	D	8- 22	MOD-I		4PI

**Abstract.** — Partial photoneutron cross-sections [ $\sigma(\gamma, n) + \sigma(\gamma, pn)$ ], and  $\sigma(\gamma, 2n)$  of W, Re, Ir, Pt and Hg were measured by means of monochromatic photons of  $8 \text{ MeV} \leq E \leq 22 \text{ MeV}$  so as to study the giant resonance. The experimentally observed evolution of the shape of the GDR, as one proceeds from permanently deformed prolate nuclei (W and Re) towards oblate or even triaxial gamma unstable nuclei (Pt), corresponds to the theoretical predictions of the dynamic collective model.

\*1023+  
\*\*1024





FIG. 1. — Sections efficaces partielles  $\sigma(\gamma, n) + \sigma(\gamma, pn)$  des noyaux W, Re, Ir, Pt, Hg.

FIG. 2. — Sections efficaces partielles  $\sigma(\gamma, 2n)$  des noyaux W, Re, Ir, Pt, Hg.

(over)




FIG. 3. — Sections efficaces totales  $\sigma_T(E)$  des noyaux W, Re, Ir, Pt, Hg.

TABLEAU I

	$\sigma_1$ mb	$\Gamma_1$ MeV	$E_1$ MeV	$\sigma_2$ mb	$\Gamma_2$ MeV	$E_2$ MeV
W	300	3,2	12,7	290	4,3	15,4
Re	310	3,3	12,8	330	4,45	15,4



REF.

V. Emma, S. Lo Nigro, C. Milone  
Nucl. Phys. A257, 438 (1976)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Re		75
REF. NO.		egf
76 Em 2		

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,F	ABY	THR-999	C	999	TRK-I		4PI

TABLE I


999 = 1 GEV

Measured values of  $\sigma_q$  at  $E = 1000$  MeV and deduced values of  $\sigma_k$  assumed constant from  $E_0$  to 1000 MeV

Element	$Z^2/A$	$\sigma_q$ (mb)	$E_0$ (MeV)	$\sigma_k$ (mb)
Bi	32.96	$12.3 \pm 0.6$	200	$7.6 \pm 0.6$
Pb	32.45	$5.4 \pm 0.4$	220	$3.6 \pm 0.3$
Tl	32.10	$4.1 \pm 0.3$	230	$2.8 \pm 0.3$
Au	31.68	$2.0 \pm 0.15$	240	$1.4 \pm 0.2$
Pt	31.18	$1.1 \pm 0.08$	255	$(8 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-1}$
Re	30.21	$(3.7 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-1}$	280	$(2.9 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-1}$
W	29.78	$(3.5 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-1}$	290	$(2.8 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-1}$
Ta	29.45	$(3.3 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-1}$	300	$(2.7 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-1}$
Hf	29.04	$(1.7 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-1}$	310	$(1.4 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-1}$
Yb	28.31	$(1.3 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-1}$	330	$(1.2 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-1}$
Tm	28.18	$(7.5 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-2}$	335	$(6.8 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-2}$
Ho	27.21	$(3.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$	355	$(3.5 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$
Dy	26.80	$(2.6 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$	360	$(2.5 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$
Tb	26.58	$(2.5 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$	370	$(2.5 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$
Gd	26.04	$(1.6 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$	380	$(1.7 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$
Sm	25.56	$(1.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$	390	$(1.4 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$
Nd	24.96	$(9.2 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3}$	405	$(1 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-2}$
Ce	24.00	$(8 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3}$	420	$(9 \pm 1) \times 10^{-3}$
La	23.39	$(8.4 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3}$	430	$(1 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-2}$
Sb	21.36	$(1.2 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$	460	$(1.5 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$
Te	21.19	$(8.8 \pm 1) \times 10^{-3}$	465	$(1.2 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$
Sn	21.06	$(1.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$	465	$(1.7 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$
Cd	20.49	$(1.7 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$	470	$(2.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$
Ag	20.47	$(2 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$	470	$(2.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$
Zn	13.76	$(2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-1}$	515	$(3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-1}$
Cu	13.44	$(2.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-1}$	515	$(3.6 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-1}$
Ni	13.35	$(2.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-1}$	510	$(3.6 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-1}$
Fe	12.10	$(3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-1}$	510	$(4.4 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-1}$

- 4 A.V. Mitrofanova et al.  
Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 6,  
512 (1968).
- 7 T. Methasiri et al., Nucl.  
Phys. A167, 97 (1971).
- 12 J.R. Nix et al., Nucl. Phys.  
81, 61 (1966).
- 20 N.A. Perfilov et al., JETP  
(Sov. Phys.) 14, 623 (1962);  
Proc. Symp. on the physics &  
chemistry of fission, Salzburg  
1965, vol. 2 (IAEA) Vienna,  
1965, p.283.

Fig. 2. Nuclear fissilities as a function of  $Z^2/A$ . Experimental points: solid circles represent our data; squares, the data from ref. 4); open circles, the data from ref. 7); and crosses, the data from (p,f) experiments<sup>20</sup>). The straight line is the best fit calculated from our data for  $Z^2/A > 26$ . The dashed curve is the curve VI calculated by Nix and Sassi<sup>12</sup>.



REF. V. Bellini, V. Emma, A.S. Figuera, S. Lo Nigro, C. Milone,  
G.S. Pappalardo, G. Bologna  
Il Nuovo Cimento 47A, 529 (1978)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Re		75

METHOD

REF. NO.  
78 Be 10

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,F	RLX	180-999	C	220-999	IRK-D		4PI

Zero cross section assumed below 180 MeV.

COHERENT BREM.

**Summary.** — The photofission yields of Re, W and Ta induced by a coherent bromsstrahlung beam from 1000 MeV electrons striking a diamond single crystal have been measured. The experiment has been performed at eighteen different energies of the main peak of the photon spectrum, in the energy range between 220 MeV and 550 MeV, by detecting the fission fragments with glass sandwiches. The behaviour of the photofission cross-section has been deduced from the experimental yields by using an appropriate unfolding method. The obtained curves clearly show a first resonance centred at a photon energy  $k \approx 350$  MeV with a FWHM  $\approx 145$  MeV, while there is a hint of a second resonance at  $k \approx 750$  MeV. Information on the energy dependence of the nuclear fissility from 100 MeV to 1000 MeV has been deduced from the comparison of the estimated photofission cross-section with the total photon interaction cross-section. It has been found that the photomesonic model of the fission process permits to explain the energy dependence of our photofission cross-sections if a nuclear fissility increasing with photon energy is assumed.




Fig. 3.

Fig. 3. — Photofission yields per equivalent quantum as a function of the first-peak energy  $k_1$  of photons. The dots are the experimental data; the dashed curve represents the yield function recalculated from the  $f(k)$  data. Results for Re.




Fig. 6.

Fig. 6. — Photofission cross-section estimated by our unfolding method. Results for Re ( $\gamma, f$ ).




Fig. 11.

Fig. 11. — Nuclear fissility  $P_f$  as a function of the photon energy  $k$ . The curves are normalized at  $k = 1000$  MeV. a) Bi, b) Pb, c) Au, d) Ta.

(over)

TABLE II. - Estimated  $f_i$  values and corresponding errors. All the data are given in arbitrary units.

$k$ (MeV)	$f(k)$ (arbitrary units)		
	Re	W	Ta
100	$-0.31 \pm 0.85$	$-0.14 \pm 0.85$	$-0.49 \pm 0.87$
160	$0.03 \pm 0.31$	$0.24 \pm 0.31$	$0.26 \pm 0.33$
220	$1.95 \pm 0.41$	$2.50 \pm 0.41$	$2.51 \pm 0.41$
280	$6.26 \pm 0.53$	$6.51 \pm 0.53$	$6.63 \pm 0.55$
340	$8.90 \pm 0.69$	$8.75 \pm 0.69$	$8.56 \pm 0.68$
400	$8.16 \pm 0.72$	$8.38 \pm 0.72$	$7.15 \pm 0.70$
460	$6.10 \pm 0.51$	$6.34 \pm 0.51$	$5.43 \pm 0.49$
520	$4.83 \pm 0.47$	$5.04 \pm 0.47$	$4.70 \pm 0.48$
580	$4.70 \pm 0.57$	$4.95 \pm 0.57$	$4.72 \pm 0.58$
640	$4.96 \pm 0.59$	$5.20 \pm 0.59$	$4.98 \pm 0.60$
700	$5.41 \pm 0.58$	$5.57 \pm 0.58$	$5.29 \pm 0.58$
760	$5.68 \pm 0.57$	$5.78 \pm 0.57$	$5.41 \pm 0.57$
820	$5.65 \pm 0.61$	$5.71 \pm 0.61$	$5.32 \pm 0.61$
880	$5.51 \pm 0.67$	$5.53 \pm 0.67$	$5.18 \pm 0.67$
940	$5.38 \pm 0.74$	$5.36 \pm 0.74$	$4.98 \pm 0.73$
1000	$5.23 \pm 0.80$	$5.18 \pm 0.80$	$4.70 \pm 0.77$



RE  
A=185

RE  
A=185

RE  
A=185



REF. F. R. Metzger  
Phys. Rev. 157, 1060 (1967)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Re	185	75

METHOD				REF. NO.		HMG	
				67 Me 1			
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, G	LFT	0-1	D	0-1	SCD-D	0-1	DST

J-PI

TABLE II. Summary of the results of the resonance-scattering experiments. The factor  $g$  in columns 2 and 4 is the ratio of the statistical weights of the excited state and the ground state, i.e.,  $g = (2I_{exc} + 1)/(2I_{gr} + 1)$ . For the 646- and 874-keV transitions, the internal conversion is responsible for the small difference between  $\Gamma_0$  and  $\Gamma$ .

Level energy (keV)	$g\Gamma^2/\Gamma$ ( $10^{-4}$ eV)	$\Gamma_0/\Gamma$	$g\Gamma_0$ ( $10^{-4}$ eV)
646	$2.37 \pm 0.15$	0.99	$2.39 \pm 0.15$
718	$4.8 \pm 1.0$	0.70*	$6.9 \pm 1.4$
874	$27 \pm 3$	0.99	$27.3 \pm 3$

\* The 72-keV transition from the 718-keV level was assumed to account for 0.4% of the Os<sup>186</sup> disintegrations (Ref. 1).

\* M. W. Johns, S. V. Nablo, and W. J. King, Can. J. Phys. 35, 1159 (1957).

TABLE III. Transition probabilities for the  $\gamma$  transitions in Re<sup>186</sup> studied in this paper and listed in column 1. The spin assignments and branching ratios used to arrive at these transition probabilities are listed in columns 2 and 3. The information available on the reduced E2 transition probabilities is listed in the last column.

Transition energy (keV)	Spins $I_i \rightarrow I_f$	$\Gamma_i/\Gamma_0$	Transition probability (sec <sup>-1</sup> )	$B(E2)$ ( $10^{-50}$ e <sup>2</sup> cm <sup>4</sup> )
592	$\frac{3}{2} \rightarrow \frac{3}{2}$	0.32	$5.0 \times 10^{10}$	$5.6 \pm 1.3$
646	$\frac{3}{2} \rightarrow \frac{3}{2}$	1	$10.9 \times 10^{10}$	$7.9 \pm 0.6$
718	$\frac{3}{2} \rightarrow \frac{3}{2}$	1	$15.6 \times 10^{10}$	$\leq 6.6 \pm 2.3$
749	$\frac{3}{2} \rightarrow \frac{3}{2}$	<0.002	$<0.13 \times 10^{10}$	<0.02
874	$\frac{3}{2} \rightarrow \frac{3}{2}$	1	$62.3 \times 10^{10}$	<1.0*

\* According to Ref. 4.

\* K. Maack Bisgård (private communication).

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Re	185	75
REF. NO.		
73 Go 6		hmg

METHOD			SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE	
	REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
	G,XN	ABX	8- 20	C	8- 20	BF3-I		4PI




TABLE III

	W <sup>184</sup>	W <sup>186</sup>	Os <sup>190</sup>	Re <sup>185</sup>	Re <sup>187</sup>	W <sup>184,186</sup>
$\Gamma_0$ , MeV	2.33	2.55	2.13	2.51	2.83	2.20
$E_0$ , MeV	12.5	12.5	12.6	12.7	12.6	12.50
$\sigma_0$ , mb	241	225	223	262	250	211
$\Gamma_0$ , MeV	5.1	5.4	5.7	5.2	5.3	5.18
$E_0$ , MeV	15.6	15.2	14.8	15.4	15.6	14.88
$\sigma_0$ , mb	338	317	318	315	296	334
$0.06 \text{ NZ/A}$ , MeV·mb	2.65	2.67	2.74	2.68	2.69	2.67
$S_1 + S_2$ , MeV·mb	4.0	3.9	3.9	3.6	3.8	3.47
$S_1 / S_2$	2.8	3.0	3.8	2.5	2.2	3.6

Note.  $S_1 = (\pi/2)\sigma_1\Gamma_1$  is the Lorentz area.

FIG. 2. Cross sections for W<sup>184,186</sup>, Os<sup>190</sup>, and Re<sup>185,187</sup>. The cross section  $\sigma_{\gamma,n} + 2\sigma_{\gamma,2n}$  is denoted by vertical lines whose lengths are twice the rms errors. The crosses represent the cross sections, corrected for multiplicity, above the threshold of the  $(\gamma, 2n)$  reaction. The solid curves are Lorentz curve approximations. For W<sup>184,186</sup> and Os<sup>190</sup> the calculated dipole transition strengths are shown.



RE  
A=187

RE  
A=187

RE  
A=187



ELEM. SYM.		A	Z
Re	187	75	
REF. NO.			NVB
60 Ge 3			

METHOD  
 Betatron; neutron threshold; ion chamber

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,N	NØX	THR	C	THR	BF3-I		4 PI

THRESHOLD

TABLE I. Summary and comparison of neutron separation energies inferred from present threshold measurements with values predicted from mass data and reaction energies. All energies are expressed in the center-of-mass system in Mev.

Reaction	No. runs	Present results	Other results	Method	Reference
$Re^{187}(\gamma,n)Re^{186}$	1	$7.18 \pm 0.08$			

METHOD				REF. NO.			
Radioactive source: W <sup>187</sup> - Re <sup>187*</sup> + e'; centrifuge technique				64 La 3			
				NVB			
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,G	LFT	0-1	D	0-1	NAI-D		DST

MIXING AMPLITUDES

TABLE I. Summary of the experimental results. From the results of the resonance fluorescence experiments listed in columns 2 and 3, the data in columns 6 and 8 have been calculated using spins, multipole orders, and branching ratios given by Gallagher *et al.* (Ref. 4) and reproduced in columns 4, 5, and 7.

$E_\gamma$ (keV)	Angular distribution $A_2$	$g_2\Gamma_0^2/g_1\Gamma$ (eV)	Assumed spins	Multipole order	Mixing amplitude $\delta$	$\Gamma_0/\Gamma$	$\tau_{level}$ (sec)
864.5 773	... +(0.03±0.05)	<1.3×10 <sup>-4</sup> (1.75±0.22)×10 <sup>-3</sup>	$\frac{3}{2}^+ \rightarrow \frac{3}{2}^+$ $\frac{3}{2}^+ \rightarrow \frac{3}{2}^+$	M1 >85% M1 <15% E2	-0.40 < $\delta$ < 0.15	0.54 1	>1.0×10 <sup>-12</sup> (2.5±0.3)×10 <sup>-13</sup>
686.1 551.7	+(0.21±0.10) <0	(1.82±0.16)×10 <sup>-6</sup> ...	$\frac{5}{2}^- \rightarrow \frac{3}{2}^+$ $\frac{5}{2}^- \rightarrow \frac{3}{2}^+$	E1 E1 <1% M2	-0.10 < $\delta$ < 0.15	0.49	(8.5±0.7)×10 <sup>-13</sup>
479.4 625.3 618.2 511.3	-(0.10±0.24) -(0.17±0.25) ...	... (2.5±0.3)×10 <sup>-6</sup> <4×10 <sup>-6</sup>	$\frac{5}{2}^- \rightarrow \frac{3}{2}^-$ $\frac{5}{2}^- \rightarrow \frac{3}{2}^-$ $\frac{5}{2}^- \rightarrow \frac{3}{2}^-$ $\frac{5}{2}^- \rightarrow \frac{3}{2}^-$	E2 E2 >85% M1 >99% E2	-0.40 < $\delta$ < 0.15	0.82 0.96 1	(1.6±0.2)×10 <sup>-12</sup> >6×10 <sup>-12</sup>

TABLE II. Summary of the known transition probabilities in Re<sup>187</sup>. The experimental results  $T_{exp}$  are compared with theoretical predictions:  $T_{Weisskopf}$  calculated with the Weisskopf formula omitting spin factors and  $T_{Nilsson}$  calculated using Nilsson wave functions.

$E_\gamma$ (keV)	Assumed		$T_{exp}$ (sec <sup>-1</sup> )		$\frac{T_{exp}}{T_{Weisskopf}}$	Nilsson Model		$\frac{T_{exp}}{T_{Nilsson}}$
	Spins	Multipole order	This experiment	Others		Assumed states	$T_{Nilsson}$	
134.3	$\frac{7}{2}^+ \rightarrow \frac{5}{2}^+$	M1 E2	...	2.4×10 <sup>10a</sup> 7.1×10 <sup>9a</sup>	1/2 200	31 rot → 31 31 rot → 31	2.8×10 <sup>10</sup> 7.0×10 <sup>9</sup>	1 1
206.3 72.0	$\frac{7}{2}^- \rightarrow \frac{5}{2}^+$ $\frac{7}{2}^- \rightarrow \frac{5}{2}^+$	M2 E1	...	6.0×10 <sup>9b</sup> 6.1×10 <sup>9b</sup>	1/88 1/2×10 <sup>-6</sup>	32 → 31 K forbidden	1.2×10 <sup>4</sup> 0	1/2 f
618.2 106.6	$\frac{7}{2}^- \rightarrow \frac{5}{2}^+$ $\frac{7}{2}^- \rightarrow \frac{5}{2}^+$	M1 M1+(E2)	5.9×10 <sup>10</sup> 3.9×10 <sup>9</sup>	...	1/80 1/70	K forbidden 43 → $\gamma$ vibr.	0 0	f f
625.3 113.7	$\frac{7}{2}^- \rightarrow \frac{5}{2}^+$ $\frac{7}{2}^- \rightarrow \frac{5}{2}^+$	E2 M1+(E2)	...	...	...	43 → 31 43 → $\gamma$ vibr.	4.3×10 <sup>7</sup> 0	...
773	$\frac{7}{2}^- \rightarrow \frac{5}{2}^+$	M1 E2	4.0×10 <sup>12</sup> <3×10 <sup>11</sup>	...	1/2	42 → 31 42 → 31	2.0×10 <sup>13</sup> 5.2×10 <sup>7</sup>	1/5 ...
864.5	$\frac{7}{2}^- \rightarrow \frac{5}{2}^+$	M1+(E2)	<5.5×10 <sup>11</sup>	...	<1/23	33 → 31	8.1×10 <sup>12</sup>	<1/15
246.3	$\frac{7}{2}^- \rightarrow \frac{5}{2}^+$	M1+(E2)	<2.5×10 <sup>10</sup>	...	<1/30	27 → 31	1.4×10 <sup>12</sup>	<1/5
239.3	$\frac{7}{2}^- \rightarrow \frac{5}{2}^+$	M1+(E2)	<1.9×10 <sup>11</sup>	...	...	33 → 43 rot	2.0×10 <sup>11</sup>	...
880	$\frac{7}{2}^- \rightarrow \frac{5}{2}^+$	E2	<1.2×10 <sup>11</sup>	3×10 <sup>14d</sup>	...	33 → 43	2.2×10 <sup>11</sup>	...
686.1	$\frac{7}{2}^- \rightarrow \frac{5}{2}^+$	E1	5.7×10 <sup>10e</sup>	...	1/2×10 <sup>-4</sup>	36 → 31	1.8×10 <sup>10</sup>	(3)
551.7	$\frac{7}{2}^- \rightarrow \frac{5}{2}^+$	E1	1.0×10 <sup>10e</sup>	...	1/6×10 <sup>-4</sup>	36 → 31 rot	3.6×10 <sup>9</sup>	(3)
479.4	$\frac{7}{2}^- \rightarrow \frac{5}{2}^+$	E2	4.9×10 <sup>10e</sup>	...	24	...	...	...
511.3	$\frac{7}{2}^- \rightarrow \frac{5}{2}^+$	E2	...	5.1×10 <sup>9</sup>	18	...	...	...

<sup>a</sup> Refs. 10, 13, and 17.  
<sup>b</sup> Refs. 14 and 15.  
<sup>c</sup> Computed with branching ratios of Ref. 6.  
<sup>d</sup> Calculated from the result given in Ref. 11, assuming  $g_1\Gamma_0/g_2\Gamma = 1$ .  
<sup>e</sup> The result of Vartapetyan is about 1/30 of this value (see discussion).  
<sup>f</sup> Comparison with column 6 shows that the experimental transition probabilities are small.

REF.

Yu. K. Shubnyĭ, D. K. Kaipov, and R.B. Begzhanov  
 J. Exptl. Theoret. Phys. (USSR) 47, 16 (1964)  
 Soviet Phys. JETP 20, 11 (1965)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Re	187	75

METHOD

Resonance scattering; high temperature source

REF. NO.	JOC
64 Sh 5	JOC

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,G	LFT	1	D	1	NAI-D		122

$$\tau_Y(E1) = (4.1 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{-10} \text{ sec for } .686 \text{ MeV level.}$$

REF. H. Langhoff  
Phys. Rev. 159, 1033 (1967)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Re	187	75

METHOD

REF. NO.	
67 La 2	HMG

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G <sub>2</sub> G	LFT	0 - 1	D	0 - 1	SCD-D		

TABLE I. Results of the resonance-fluorescence experiment. The results of the present experiment,  $(g_2\Gamma_0\Gamma_i/g_1\Gamma)_{\text{ex}}$ , are compared with the results of the previous study  $(g_2\Gamma_0\Gamma_i/g_1\Gamma)_{\text{Nat}}$ . Using spins and branching ratios,  $\Gamma_i/\Gamma$ , from Ref. 2, the mean lifetimes  $\tau_{\text{level}}$ , in column 7 have been obtained.

$E_{\text{level}}$ (keV)	$E_\gamma$ (keV)	$(g_2\Gamma_0\Gamma_i/g_1\Gamma)_{\text{ex}}$ ( $10^{-5}$ eV)	$(g_2\Gamma_0\Gamma_i/g_1\Gamma)_{\text{Nat}}$ ( $10^{-5}$ eV)	Assumed spins	$\Gamma_i/\Gamma$	$\tau_{\text{level}}$ (psec)
512	512	$0.9 \pm 0.3$	$< 4$	$\frac{1}{2}^+ \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}^+$	0.98	$23 \pm 8$
589	589	$< 8$	...	$\frac{3}{2}^+ \rightarrow \frac{3}{2}^+$	0.99	$> 5$
618	618	$2.5 \pm 0.2$	$2.5 \pm 0.3$	$\frac{3}{2}^+ \rightarrow \frac{3}{2}^+$	0.95	$15.7 \pm 1.3$
625	625	$< 1$	...	$\frac{1}{2}^+ \rightarrow \frac{3}{2}^+$	$\sim 0.76$	$> 12$
686	686	$1.84 \pm 0.10$	$1.82 \pm 0.16$	$\frac{3}{2}^- \rightarrow \frac{3}{2}^+$	0.49	$8.6 \pm 0.5$
	552	$0.35 \pm 0.05$	...	$\rightarrow \frac{3}{2}^+$	0.10	$9.2 \pm 1.3$
	480	$1.52 \pm 0.20$	...	$\rightarrow \frac{3}{2}^-$	0.40	$8.5 \pm 1.1$
773	773	$170 \pm 12$	$175 \pm 22$	$\frac{3}{2}^+ \rightarrow \frac{3}{2}^+$	0.99	$0.25 \pm 0.02$
865	865	$6 \pm 2$	$< 13$	$\frac{3}{2}^+ \rightarrow \frac{3}{2}^+$	0.61	$2.7 \pm 0.9$
880	880	$24 \pm 8$	...	$\frac{3}{2}^+ \rightarrow \frac{3}{2}^+$	0.40	$0.44 \pm 0.13$

REF.

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Re	187	75

METHOD

REF. NO.	
73 Go 6	hmg

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, XN	ABX	8- 20	C	8- 20	BF3-I		4PI




FIG. 2. Cross sections for  $W^{184,186}$ ,  $Os^{190}$ , and  $Re^{185,187}$ . The cross section  $\sigma_{\gamma, n} + 2\sigma_{\gamma, 2n}$  is denoted by vertical lines whose lengths are twice the rms errors. The crosses represent the cross sections, corrected for multiplicity, above the threshold of the  $(\gamma, 2n)$  reaction. The solid curves are Lorentz curve approximations. For  $W^{184,186}$  and  $Os^{190}$  the calculated dipole transition strengths are shown.

TABLE III

	$W^{184}$	$W^{186}$	$Os^{190}$	$Re^{185}$	$Re^{187}$	$W^{186} (\sigma_1)$
$\Gamma_1$ , MeV	2.33	2.55	2.13	2.51	2.83	2.29
$E_1$ , MeV	12.5	12.5	12.6	12.7	12.6	12.59
$\sigma_1$ , mb	241	225	223	292	250	211
$\Gamma_2$ , MeV	5.1	5.4	5.7	5.2	5.3	5.18
$E_2$ , MeV	15.6	15.2	14.8	15.4	15.6	14.88
$\sigma_2$ , mb	338	317	318	315	296	334
0.06 NZ/A, MeV-mb	2.65	2.67	2.74	2.68	2.69	2.67
$S_1 + S_2$ , MeV-mb	4.0	3.9	3.9	3.6	3.8	3.47
$S_2 / S_1$	2.8	3.0	3.8	2.5	2.2	3.6

Note.  $S_1 = (\pi/2)\sigma_1\Gamma_1$  is the Lorentz area.





## OSMIUM

Z=76

Osmium is noted for its great density, considerable hardness (it will scratch glass), high melting point, and low ductility. It was the first commercially successful metal to be used in an incandescent electric bulb. The alloys of osmium are used as bearings in fine instruments as well as for fountain pens because of the alloy's resistance to wear and corrosion. It is used as a catalyst and the metal, when used in a finely divided form, will cause the union of hydrogen and oxygen to become explosive at temperatures as low as 40 or 50 degrees Celsius.

Osmium was discovered and first described by the English amateur scientist Smithson Tennant. He noted the oxide possessed a pungent and peculiar smell and thus called the new element osmium, from the Greek *osmé*, a smell.

Os

Os



REF. A. V. Mitrofanova, Yu. N. Ranyuk, and P. V. Sorokin  
 J. Nucl. Phys. (USSR) 6, 703 (1967)  
 Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 6, 512 (1968)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Os		76
REF. NO.		HMG
67 Mi 1		

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, F	ABX	300-999		300-999	TRK-I		

Detector: Fission fragment tracks in glass.

999 = 1600 MEV

Angular distribution measured for Pb was found isotropic; for other elements it was assumed isotropic.

Nucleus	Fissionability $D$	Cross section $\sigma_K, \mu\beta$	Nucleus	Fissionability $D$	Cross section $\sigma_K, \mu\beta$
Bi	$0.11 \pm 0.01$	$7.8 \pm 0.6$	Os	$0.0058 \pm 0.0005$	$0.37 \pm 0.04$
Pb	$0.050 \pm 0.004$	$3.4 \pm 0.3$	Re	$0.0056 \pm 0.0006$	$0.35 \pm 0.04$
Tl	$0.031 \pm 0.003$	$2.1 \pm 0.2$	Ta	$0.0045 \pm 0.0005$	$0.27 \pm 0.03$
Au	$0.019 \pm 0.002$	$1.25 \pm 0.10$	Hf	$0.0042 \pm 0.0004$	$0.25 \pm 0.03$
Pt	$0.012 \pm 0.002$	$0.80 \pm 0.08$			





Fig. 1. Photofission fragment yields.  $\circ$ -present work;  $\square$ -Jungerman and Steiner.<sup>11</sup> The curves were plotted through the experimental points.




Fig. 2. Photofission fragment yields as a function of  $Z^2/A$ . The ordinates are values of  $\sigma_q$  in units of  $\text{cm}^2$ .

REF. Yu. N. Ranyuk and P. V. Sorokin  
 J. Nucl. Phys. (USSR) 5, 37 (1967)  
 Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 5, 26 (1967)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Os		76
REF. NO.		
67 Ra 2		HMG

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, F	ABX	THR-260	C	200-260	EMU-I		4PI

TABLE III

$E_{\gamma, \text{max}}$ , MeV	Cross section per equivalent $\gamma$ quantum, $10^{-28}$ cm <sup>2</sup>			
	Os	Re	Ta	Hf
200	17±2	11.8±0.8	5.2±0.3	3.2±0.7
220	22±2	17±1	8.6±0.5	4.8±0.3
240	33±3	29±1	13.0±0.6	8.2±0.4
260	45±4	36±2	17.2±0.7	11.2±0.5




Fig. 4. Photofission cross sections of Os, Re, Ta, and Hf as functions of photon energy.

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Os		76
REF. NO.		hg
77 Su 10		

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, SN	ABX	6-27	C	7-27	BF3-I		4PI

The absolute  $(\gamma, n)$  cross section of natural osmium is extracted from bremsstrahlung yield curves measured from the lowest  $(\gamma, n)$  threshold of osmium isotopes up to 28 MeV in energy steps of 0.2 MeV. The VBPL method of Bramanis *et al.* (1972) has been used to unfold the cross section from bremsstrahlung yield data. The results do not show evidence of significant amounts of splitting of the giant dipole resonance, as might be expected from the theoretical predictions of Sedlmayr *et al.* (1974), but at the same time are not inconsistent with their general features.

STAT MODEL XN TO SN




Fig. 1. Comparison of the absolute  $(\gamma, n)$  cross section of natural osmium from the present measurements with the curve resulting from the theoretical predictions of Sedlmayr *et al.* (1974), after suitable weighting for isotopic abundances. The vertical bars representing the experimental results are each equal in length to two standard deviations obtained from the statistical errors on the yield points; the occasional horizontal bars give the energy resolution of neighbouring cross section points emerging from the VBPL analysis.

Correction for the neutron multiplicity effect was made using the usual statistical model approach. Cross section shown is probably the  $(\gamma, sn)$ .

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Os		76

METHOD					REF. NO.		
					77 Su 10		hg
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, XN	ABX	6-27	C	6-28	BF3-I		4PI

The absolute ( $\gamma, xn$ ) cross section of natural osmium is extracted from bremsstrahlung yield curves measured from the lowest ( $\gamma, n$ ) threshold of osmium isotopes up to 28 MeV in energy steps of 0.2 MeV. The VBPL method of Bramanis *et al.* (1972) has been used to unfold the cross section from bremsstrahlung yield data. The results do not show evidence of significant amounts of splitting of the giant dipole resonance, as might be expected from the theoretical predictions of Sedlmayr *et al.* (1974), but at the same time are not inconsistent with their general features.




Fig. 1. Comparison of the absolute ( $\gamma, xn$ ) cross section of natural osmium from the present measurements with the curve resulting from the theoretical predictions of Sedlmayr *et al.* (1974), after suitable weighting for isotopic abundances. The vertical bars representing the experimental results are each equal in length to two standard deviations obtained from the statistical errors on the yield points; the occasional horizontal bars give the energy resolution of neighbouring cross section points emerging from the VBPL analysis.

Correction for the neutron multiplicity effect was made using the usual statistical model approach. Cross section shown is probably the ( $\gamma, sn$ ).

Os  
A=186

Os  
A=186

Os  
A=186





ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Os	186	76
REF. NO.		hg
79 Be 4		

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,1N	ABX	11-20	D	11-20	BF3-I		4PI
G,2N	ABX	14-20	D	14-20	BF3-I		4PI

Photoneutron cross sections, including  $\sigma[(\gamma, n) + (\gamma, pn)]$ ,  $\sigma[(\gamma, 2n) + (\gamma, p2n)]$ , and  $\sigma(\gamma, 3n)$ , were measured for  $^{186}\text{Os}$ ,  $^{187}\text{Os}$ ,  $^{188}\text{Os}$ ,  $^{189}\text{Os}$ , and  $^{190}\text{Os}$  from 7 to 30 MeV and for  $^{186}\text{Os}$  from 11 to 20 MeV, with a photon energy resolution of about 300 keV. The source of radiation was the monoenergetic photon beam obtained from the annihilation in flight of fast positrons. The partial photoneutron cross sections were determined by neutron multiplicity counting, and the average neutron energies for each multiplicity were determined simultaneously with the cross-section data by the ring-ratio technique. Nuclear information extracted from the data includes parameters of the giant dipole and giant quadrupole resonances, integrated cross sections and their moments, nuclear symmetry energies, and nuclear deformation parameters and intrinsic quadrupole moments. No fewer than eight kinds of evidence point to a sudden change of behavior between  $^{189}\text{Os}$  and  $^{190}\text{Os}$ , which could be interpreted as a phase transition from a statically deformed prolate nucleus to a  $\gamma$ -unstable one, in general (but not detailed) agreement with the prediction of a dynamic-collective-model calculation.

NUCLEAR REACTIONS  $^{186, 188, 189, 190, 192}\text{Os}(\gamma, n, 2n, 3n)$ ,  $E_\gamma = 7-30$  MeV; measured  $4\pi$  neutron yield, multiplicities, average energies for monoenergetic photons;  $\sigma(E_\gamma, 1n)$ ,  $\sigma(E_\gamma, 2n)$ ,  $\sigma(E_\gamma, 3n)$ , GDR parameters, nuclear shape parameters, integrated cross sections and moments, GQR parameters, nuclear phase transition.

TABLE IV. Parameters for classical theories (given in MeV).

Nucleus	$E_m^a$	$\alpha^b$	$\mu^c$	$K^d$
$^{186}\text{Os}$	$14.21 \pm 0.06$	$81.1 \pm 0.3$	$34.0 \pm 0.1$	$28.9 \pm 0.9$
$^{188}\text{Os}$	$14.19 \pm 0.05$	$81.3 \pm 0.3$	$34.0 \pm 0.1$	$27.9 \pm 0.5$
$^{189}\text{Os}$	$14.01 \pm 0.04$	$90.4 \pm 0.2$	$33.6 \pm 0.1$	$27.3 \pm 0.4$
$^{190}\text{Os}$	$13.93 \pm 0.06$	$79.5 \pm 0.3$	$33.1 \pm 0.1$	$26.6 \pm 0.7$
$^{192}\text{Os}$	$13.79 \pm 0.04$	$79.6 \pm 0.2$	$33.1 \pm 0.1$	$26.3 \pm 0.7$

<sup>a</sup> Mean energy of the giant resonance, defined as  $E_m = [E_m(1) + 2E_m(2)]/3$ , except for  $^{186}\text{Os}$ , for which  $E_m = [E_m(1) + E_m(2)]/2$ .

<sup>b</sup> Hydrodynamic parameter, defined by  $E_m = \alpha A^{-1/3}$ .

<sup>c</sup> Collective parameter, defined by  $E_m = \mu A^{-1/6}$ .

<sup>d</sup> Nuclear symmetry energy, computed from Eq. (2).

TABLE V. Nuclear shape parameters.

Nucleus	$R_A^a$	$\eta^b$	$\epsilon^c$	$\beta_2^d$	$Q_0^e$ (b)
$^{186}\text{Os}$	$0.95 \pm 0.27$	$1.188 \pm 0.016$	$0.366 \pm 0.031$	$0.194 \pm 0.016$	$5.76 \pm 0.49$
$^{188}\text{Os}$	$0.44 \pm 0.08$	$1.177 \pm 0.011$	$0.346 \pm 0.021$	$0.193 \pm 0.011$	$5.49 \pm 0.33$
$^{189}\text{Os}$	$0.51 \pm 0.07$	$1.173 \pm 0.008$	$0.338 \pm 0.015$	$0.179 \pm 0.008$	$5.38 \pm 0.24$
$^{190}\text{Os}$	$0.32 \pm 0.10$	$1.149 \pm 0.015$	$0.292 \pm 0.029$	$0.155 \pm 0.015$	$4.65 \pm 0.46$
$^{192}\text{Os}$	$0.30 \pm 0.11$	$1.145 \pm 0.016$	$0.283 \pm 0.031$	$0.150 \pm 0.016$	$4.55 \pm 0.50$

<sup>a</sup> Area ratio, defined as  $\sigma_m(1)\Gamma(1)/\sigma_m(2)\Gamma(2)$ .

<sup>b</sup> Deformation parameter, computed from  $E_m(2)/E_m(1) = 1 + 0.11\eta - 0.089$ .

<sup>c</sup> Nuclear eccentricity, computed from  $\epsilon = (\eta^2 - 1)^{1/2}$ .

<sup>d</sup> Deformation parameter, defined as  $\frac{2}{3}(\pi/5)^{1/2}$ .

<sup>e</sup> Intrinsic quadrupole moment, computed from Eq. (2), with  $R_0$  taken to be 1.26 fm.




FIG. 8. Running sums of integrated photoneutron cross sections and their moments for  $^{186}\text{Os}$ : (a)  $\sigma_{\text{int}} = \int \sigma dE_\gamma$  for  $\sigma(\gamma, n)$  (top),  $\sigma(\gamma, 1n)$  (middle), and  $\sigma(\gamma, 2n)$  (bottom); (b)  $\sigma_{-1} = \int \sigma E_\gamma^{-1} dE_\gamma$ ; (c)  $\sigma_{-2} = \int \sigma E_\gamma^{-2} dE_\gamma$ .

(over)




FIG. 2. Photoneutron cross sections for  $^{186}\text{Os}$ : (a) total photoneutron cross section  $\sigma(\gamma, n_p) = \sigma[(\gamma, 1n) + (\gamma, 2n)]$ , together with a two-component Lorentz-curve fit to the data (solid line); (b) single-photon cross section  $\sigma(\gamma, 1n) = \sigma[(\gamma, n) + (\gamma, pn)]$ ; (c) double-photon cross section  $\sigma(\gamma, 2n)$ .




FIG. 14. Cross-section differences above the GDR; the two-component Lorentz-curve fits to  $\sigma(\gamma, n_p)$  shown in part (a) of Figs. 3-6 were subtracted from the data points to give these differences, which were in turn fitted with the single-component Lorentz curves shown here as solid lines: (a) for  $^{188}\text{Os}$ ; (b) for  $^{189}\text{Os}$ ; (c) for  $^{190}\text{Os}$ ; (d) for  $^{192}\text{Os}$ .

TABLE III. Parameters of Lorentz-curve fits to the giant dipole resonance. Lorentz parameters defined by Eq. 1); the fitting interval for all cases is 10.8 to 18.8 MeV.

Nucleus	$E_m(1)$ (MeV)	$\sigma_m(1)^{1/2}$ (mb)	$\Gamma(1)$ (MeV)	$E_m(2)$ (MeV)	$\sigma_m(2)^{1/2}$ (mb)	$\Gamma(2)$ (MeV)	$\chi^2$
$^{186}\text{Os}$	$13.03 \pm 0.09$	$308 \pm 21$	$3.13 \pm 0.24$	$15.26 \pm 0.09$	$302 \pm 23$	$3.38 \pm 0.21$	0.58
$^{188}\text{Os}$	$12.81 \pm 0.05$	$260 \pm 15$	$2.76 \pm 0.13$	$14.88 \pm 0.07$	$390 \pm 14$	$4.19 \pm 0.13$	0.96
$^{189}\text{Os}$	$12.68 \pm 0.04$	$268 \pm 14$	$2.71 \pm 0.10$	$14.68 \pm 0.05$	$395 \pm 11$	$3.62 \pm 0.10$	0.75
$^{190}\text{Os}$	$12.68 \pm 0.07$	$206 \pm 29$	$2.60 \pm 0.21$	$14.40 \pm 0.09$	$401 \pm 24$	$4.16 \pm 0.11$	1.19
$^{192}\text{Os}$	$12.68 \pm 0.06$	$206 \pm 34$	$2.49 \pm 0.23$	$14.35 \pm 0.12$	$389 \pm 26$	$4.41 \pm 0.13$	0.95

<sup>1</sup>Uncertainties for  $\sigma_m$  given here are relative. The absolute uncertainties are 7% (10% for  $^{186}\text{Os}$ ).

TABLE IX. Integrated cross sections,  $\sigma_{\text{int}}(\gamma, x) = \int \sigma(\gamma, x) dE_\gamma$ , integrated from threshold to  $E_{\gamma\text{max}}$ . Uncertainties are discussed in Sec. II of the text.

Nucleus	$E_{\gamma\text{max}}$ (MeV)	$\sigma_{\text{int}}(\gamma, 1n)$ (MeVb)	$\sigma_{\text{int}}(\gamma, 2n)$ (MeVb)	$\sigma_{\text{int}}(\gamma, 3n)$ (MeVb)	$\frac{\sigma_{\text{int}}(\gamma, 2n)}{\sigma_{\text{int}}(\gamma, 1n)}$	$\frac{\sigma_{\text{int}}(\gamma, 2n)}{0.06NZ/A}$	$\frac{1}{2} \pi [\sigma_m(1)\Gamma(1) + \sigma_m(2)\Gamma(2)]^{1/2}$ 0.06NZ/A
$^{186}\text{Os}$	19.67 <sup>b</sup>	2.04	0.46	...	0.19	$0.93 \pm 0.09$	$1.15 \pm 0.12$
$^{188}\text{Os}$	30.42	2.62	0.38	0.12	0.24	$1.33 \pm 0.09$	$1.36 \pm 0.08$
$^{189}\text{Os}$	29.92	2.13	1.00	0.21	0.30	$1.23 \pm 0.09$	$1.24 \pm 0.06$
$^{190}\text{Os}$	30.42	2.01	1.08	0.14	0.33	$1.18 \pm 0.08$	$1.27 \pm 0.10$
$^{192}\text{Os}$	29.92	1.92	1.20	0.19	0.36	$1.20 \pm 0.08$	$1.27 \pm 0.11$

<sup>1</sup>Uncertainties listed here are relative; to obtain the absolute uncertainty a systematic uncertainty of 7% (10% for  $^{186}\text{Os}$ ) must be folded into the values for  $\sigma_m$ .

<sup>b</sup>Care must be used comparing values for  $^{186}\text{Os}$  with the rest because  $E_{\gamma\text{max}}$  is so much different.

TABLE VI. Values for  $B(E2, 0^+ \rightarrow 2^+)$  (in units of  $b^2$ ).

Nucleus	Present experiment <sup>a</sup>	$\mu$ -mesic x rays <sup>b</sup>	Coulomb excitation <sup>c</sup>	Theory <sup>d</sup>	Theory <sup>e</sup>
$^{186}\text{Os}$	$3.30 \pm 0.56$	$3.15 \pm 0.03$	$3.09 \pm 0.21$	2.96	3.05
$^{188}\text{Os}$	$3.00 \pm 0.36$	$2.84 \pm 0.03$	$2.75 \pm 0.12$	2.72	2.75
$^{189}\text{Os}$	$2.15 \pm 0.43$	$2.48 \pm 0.02$	$2.40 \pm 0.11$	2.59	2.54
$^{190}\text{Os}$	$2.06 \pm 0.46$	$2.10 \pm 0.02$	$2.02 \pm 0.09$	2.56	2.34

<sup>a</sup> Computed from Eq. (9), using the values for  $q_0$  from Table V.

<sup>b</sup> From Ref. 26.

<sup>c</sup> Weighted average from Refs. 27 and 28.

<sup>d</sup> From Ref. 5.

<sup>e</sup> From Ref. 6.

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Os	186	76

METHOD		REF. NO.		hg			
		79 Be 4					
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, 1N	ABX	11-20	D	11-20	BF3-I		4PI
G, 2N	ABX	14-20	D	14-20	BF3-I		4PI

TABLE X. Integrated cross-section moments,  $\sigma_{-1} = \int \sigma(\gamma, n_t) E_\gamma^{-1} dE_\gamma$  and  $\sigma_{-2} = \int \sigma(\gamma, n_t) E_\gamma^{-2} dE_\gamma$ , integrated from threshold to  $E_{\gamma\max}$ .

Nucleus	$\sigma_{-1}$ (mb)	$\sigma_{-1} A^{-4/3}$ (mb)	$\sigma_{-2}$ (mb MeV <sup>-1</sup> )	$0.05175 A^{5/3}$		
				$\frac{\sigma_{-2}}{0.00225 A^{5/3}}$	$\frac{\sigma_{-2} K}{0.05175 A^{5/3}}$	$\frac{\sigma_{-2}}{(\text{MeV})}$
<sup>186</sup> Os <sup>a</sup>	179	0.168	13.2	0.97	1.22	23.8 ± 2.4
<sup>188</sup> Os	239	0.222	16.7	1.20	1.45	19.1 ± 1.3
<sup>189</sup> Os	228	0.210	16.7	1.19	1.41	19.3 ± 1.4
<sup>190</sup> Os	220	0.202	15.8	1.12	1.30	20.6 ± 1.4
<sup>192</sup> Os	224	0.203	16.0	1.12	1.31	20.6 ± 1.4

<sup>a</sup> Care must be used in comparing values for <sup>186</sup>Os with the rest because  $E_{\gamma\max}$  is so much different.

TABLE XII. Characteristics of the giant quadrupole resonance. The fitting interval used for the Lorentz-curve fits is 20-23 MeV.

Nucleus	$E_q$ (MeV)	$\sigma_q^a$ (MeV)	$\Gamma_q$ (MeV)	$E_q A^{1/3}$ (MeV)	$(1.60 E_m)^b$ (MeV)	$\frac{1}{2} \pi \sigma_q \Gamma_q$ (MeV mb)	$(S_q/S_d)^c$	$\sigma_{-2}(\text{expt})^d$ (mb MeV <sup>-1</sup> )	$\sigma_{-2}(\text{thy})^e$ (mb MeV <sup>-1</sup> )
<sup>188</sup> Os	22.8 ± 0.2	76 ± 6	3.3 ± 0.6	131	22.7	453 ± 107	0.12	0.37	0.49
<sup>189</sup> Os	23.0 ± 0.3	51 ± 5	5.0 ± 1.0	132	22.4	407 ± 121	0.12	0.77	0.45
<sup>190</sup> Os	23.3 ± 0.3	32 ± 4	4.0 ± 0.9	137	22.1	202 ± 71	0.06	0.36	0.47
<sup>192</sup> Os	23.9 ± 0.1	65 ± 4	2.3 ± 0.4	138	22.1	288 ± 59	0.08	0.50	0.47

<sup>a</sup> Relative uncertainties.

<sup>b</sup> Theoretical prediction of Ref. 42 (see text).  $E_m$  is the GDR energy from Table IV.

<sup>c</sup>  $S_q$  is the quadrupole strength defined as  $\frac{1}{2} \pi \sigma_q \Gamma_q$ ;  $S_d$  is the dipole strength defined as  $\frac{1}{2} \pi [\sigma_m(1) \Gamma(1) + \sigma_m(2) \Gamma(2)]$ .

<sup>d</sup> Computed as  $S_q/E_q^2$ .

<sup>e</sup> Computed from Eq. (12).



Os  
A=188

Os  
A=188

Os  
A=188



ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Os	188	76
REF. NO.		hg
79 Be 4		

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,1N	ABX	8-30	D	8-30	BF3-I		4PI
G,2N	ABX	14-30	D	14-30	BF3-I		4PI
G,3N	ABX	21-30	D	21-30	BF3-I		4PI

Photoneutron cross sections, including  $\sigma[(\gamma,n) + (\gamma,pn)]$ ,  $\sigma[(\gamma,2n) + (\gamma,p2n)]$ , and  $\sigma(\gamma,3n)$ , were measured for  $^{188}\text{Os}$ ,  $^{189}\text{Os}$ ,  $^{190}\text{Os}$ , and  $^{192}\text{Os}$  from 7 to 30 MeV and for  $^{186}\text{Os}$  from 11 to 20 MeV, with a photon energy resolution of about 300 keV. The source of radiation was the monoenergetic photon beam obtained from the annihilation in flight of fast positrons. The partial photoneutron cross sections were determined by neutron multiplicity counting, and the average neutron energies for each multiplicity were determined simultaneously with the cross-section data by the ring-ratio technique. Nuclear information extracted from the data includes parameters of the giant dipole and giant quadrupole resonances, integrated cross sections and their moments, nuclear symmetry energies, and nuclear deformation parameters and intrinsic quadrupole moments. No fewer than eight kinds of evidence point to a sudden change of behavior between  $^{189}\text{Os}$  and  $^{190}\text{Os}$ , which could be interpreted as a phase transition from a statically deformed prolate nucleus to a  $\gamma$ -unstable one, in general (but not detailed) agreement with the prediction of a dynamic-collective-model calculation.

NUCLEAR REACTIONS  $^{186,188,189,190,192}\text{Os}(\gamma, n, 2n, 3n)$ ,  $E_\gamma = 7-30$  MeV; measured  $4\pi$  neutron yield, multiplicities, average energies for monoenergetic photons;  $\sigma(E_\gamma, 1n)$ ,  $\sigma(E_\gamma, 2n)$ ,  $\sigma(E_\gamma, 3n)$ , GDR parameters, nuclear shape parameters, integrated cross sections and moments, GQR parameters, nuclear phase transition.




FIG. 7. Total photoneutron cross sections, together with single-component Lorentz-curve fits to the data: (a) for  $^{188}\text{Os}$ ; (b) for  $^{190}\text{Os}$ .




FIG. 9. Running sums of integrated cross sections for  $^{188}\text{Os}$ : (a)  $\sigma_{\text{tot}}$  for  $\sigma(\gamma, n)$  (top),  $\sigma(\gamma, 1n)$  (next to top),  $\sigma(\gamma, 2n)$  (next to bottom), and  $\sigma(\gamma, 3n)$  (bottom); (b)  $\sigma_{-1}$ ; (c)  $\sigma_{-2}$ .

( over )




FIG. 3. Photon-neutron cross sections for  $^{188}\text{Os}$ : (a)  $\sigma(\gamma, n)$ , with a two-component Lorentz-curve fit; (b)  $\sigma(\gamma, 2n)$ ; (c)  $\sigma(\gamma, 3n)$ ; (d) triple-photon-neutron cross section  $\sigma(\gamma, 3n)$ .




FIG. 14. Cross-section differences above the GDR; the two-component Lorentz-curve fits to  $\sigma(\gamma, n_i)$  shown in part (a) of Figs. 3-6 were subtracted from the data points to give these differences, which were in turn fitted with the single-component Lorentz curves shown here as solid lines: (a) for  $^{188}\text{Os}$ ; (b) for  $^{189}\text{Os}$ ; (c) for  $^{190}\text{Os}$ ; (d) for  $^{192}\text{Os}$ .

TABLE III. Parameters of Lorentz-curve fits to the giant dipole resonance. Lorentz parameters defined by Eq. (1); the fitting interval for all cases is 10.8 to 18.8 MeV

Nucleus	$E_m(1)$ (MeV)	$\sigma_m(1)^{\dagger}$ (mb)	$E_m(2)$ (MeV)	$E_m(2)$ (MeV)	$\sigma_m(2)^{\dagger}$ (mb)	$\Gamma(2)$ (MeV)	$\chi^2$
$^{16}\text{Os}$	$13.03 \pm 0.09$	$308 \pm 21$	$3.13 \pm 0.24$	$15.26 \pm 0.09$	$302 \pm 23$	$3.38 \pm 0.21$	0.88
$^{18}\text{Os}$	$12.81 \pm 0.05$	$260 \pm 18$	$2.76 \pm 0.13$	$14.38 \pm 0.07$	$390 \pm 14$	$4.19 \pm 0.13$	0.96
$^{183}\text{Os}$	$12.68 \pm 0.04$	$268 \pm 14$	$2.71 \pm 0.10$	$14.68 \pm 0.05$	$395 \pm 11$	$3.62 \pm 0.10$	0.75
$^{190}\text{Os}$	$12.68 \pm 0.07$	$206 \pm 20$	$2.60 \pm 0.21$	$14.40 \pm 0.09$	$401 \pm 24$	$4.16 \pm 0.11$	1.19
$^{192}\text{Os}$	$12.68 \pm 0.06$	$206 \pm 34$	$2.49 \pm 0.23$	$14.35 \pm 0.12$	$389 \pm 26$	$4.41 \pm 0.13$	0.95

<sup>†</sup>Uncertainties for  $\sigma_m$  given here are relative. The absolute uncertainties are 7% (10% for  $^{186}\text{Os}$ ).

TABLE V. Nuclear shape parameters.

Nucleus	$R_A^a$	$\eta^b$	$\epsilon^c$	$\beta_2^d$	$Q_0^e$ (b)
$^{186}\text{Os}$	$0.95 \pm 0.27$	$1.188 \pm 0.016$	$0.366 \pm 0.031$	$0.194 \pm 0.016$	$5.76 \pm 0.49$
$^{188}\text{Os}$	$0.44 \pm 0.08$	$1.177 \pm 0.011$	$0.346 \pm 0.021$	$0.183 \pm 0.011$	$5.49 \pm 0.33$
$^{183}\text{Os}$	$0.51 \pm 0.07$	$1.173 \pm 0.008$	$0.338 \pm 0.015$	$0.179 \pm 0.008$	$5.38 \pm 0.24$
$^{190}\text{Os}$	$0.32 \pm 0.10$	$1.149 \pm 0.015$	$0.292 \pm 0.029$	$0.155 \pm 0.015$	$4.65 \pm 0.46$
$^{192}\text{Os}$	$0.30 \pm 0.11$	$1.145 \pm 0.016$	$0.283 \pm 0.031$	$0.150 \pm 0.016$	$4.55 \pm 0.50$

<sup>a</sup>Arca ratio, defined as  $\sigma_m(1)\Gamma(1)/\sigma_m(2)\Gamma(2)$ .

<sup>b</sup>Deformation parameter, computed from  $E_m(2)/E_m(1) = 0.911\eta + 0.089$ .

<sup>c</sup>Nuclear eccentricity, computed from  $\epsilon = (\eta^2 - 1)\eta^{-2/3}$ .

<sup>d</sup>Deformation parameter, defined as  $\frac{2}{3}(\pi/5)^{1/2}\epsilon$ .

<sup>e</sup>Intrinsic quadrupole moment, computed from Eq. (8), with  $R_0$  taken to be 1.26 fm.

TABLE VI. Values for  $B(E2, 0^+ \rightarrow 2^+)$  (in units of  $b^2$ ).

Nucleus	Present experiment <sup>a</sup>	$\mu$ -mesic X rays <sup>b</sup>	Coulomb excitation <sup>c</sup>	Theory <sup>d</sup>	Theory <sup>e</sup>
$^{16}\text{Os}$	$3.30 \pm 0.56$	$3.15 \pm 0.03$	$3.08 \pm 0.21$	2.96	3.05
$^{18}\text{Os}$	$3.00 \pm 0.36$	$2.84 \pm 0.03$	$2.75 \pm 0.12$	2.72	2.75
$^{183}\text{Os}$	$2.15 \pm 0.43$	$2.48 \pm 0.02$	$2.40 \pm 0.11$	2.59	2.54
$^{192}\text{Os}$	$2.06 \pm 0.46$	$2.10 \pm 0.02$	$2.02 \pm 0.09$	2.56	2.34

<sup>a</sup>Computed from Eq. (9), using the values for  $Q_0$  from Table V.

<sup>b</sup>From Ref. 26.

<sup>c</sup>Weighted average from Refs. 27 and 28.

<sup>d</sup>From Ref. 5.

<sup>e</sup>From Ref. 6.



METHOD			REF. NO.		hg		
			79 Be 4				
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, 1N	ABX	8-30	D	8-30	BF3-I	4PI	
G, 2N	ABX	14-30	D	14-30	BF3-I	4PI	
G, 3N	ABX	21-30	D	21-30	BF3-I	4PI	

TABLE IV. Parameters for classical theories (given in MeV).

Nucleus	$E_m^a$	$\alpha^b$	$\beta^c$	$K^d$
<sup>186</sup> Os	14.21 ± 0.06	81.1 ± 0.3	34.0 ± 0.1	28.9 ± 0.9
<sup>188</sup> Os	14.19 ± 0.05	81.3 ± 0.3	34.0 ± 0.1	27.8 ± 0.5
<sup>189</sup> Os	14.01 ± 0.04	90.4 ± 0.2	33.6 ± 0.1	27.3 ± 0.4
<sup>190</sup> Os	13.83 ± 0.06	79.5 ± 0.3	33.1 ± 0.1	26.6 ± 0.7
<sup>192</sup> Os	13.79 ± 0.04	79.6 ± 0.2	33.1 ± 0.1	26.8 ± 0.7

<sup>a</sup> Mean energy of the giant resonance, defined as  $E_m = [E_m(1) - 2E_m(2)]/3$ , except for <sup>186</sup>Os, for which  $E_m = [E_m(1) + E_m(2)]/2$ .  
<sup>b</sup> Hydrodynamic parameter, defined by  $E_m = \alpha A^{-1/3}$ .  
<sup>c</sup> Collective parameter, defined by  $E_m = \beta A^{-1/6}$ .  
<sup>d</sup> Nuclear symmetry energy, computed from Eq. (2).

TABLE IX. Integrated cross sections,  $\sigma_{int}(\gamma, x) = \int \sigma(\gamma, x) dE_\gamma$ , integrated from threshold to  $E_{\gamma max}$ . Uncertainties are discussed in Sec. II of the text.

Nucleus	$E_{\gamma max}$ (MeV)	$\sigma_{int}(\gamma, 1N)$ (MeVb)	$\sigma_{int}(\gamma, 2N)$ (MeVb)	$\sigma_{int}(\gamma, 3N)$ (MeVb)	$\frac{\sigma_{int}(\gamma, 2N)}{\sigma_{int}(\gamma, 1N)}$	$\frac{\sigma_{int}(\gamma, 1N)}{0.06NZ/A}$	$\frac{1}{2} \pi [\sigma_m(1)\Gamma(1) - \sigma_m(2)\Gamma(2)]^a$ 0.06NZ/A
<sup>186</sup> Os	19.67 <sup>b</sup>	2.04	0.46	...	0.19	0.93 ± 0.09	1.15 ± 0.12
<sup>188</sup> Os	30.42	2.62	0.58	0.12	0.24	1.33 ± 0.09	1.36 ± 0.08
<sup>189</sup> Os	29.92	2.13	1.00	0.21	0.30	1.23 ± 0.09	1.24 ± 0.06
<sup>190</sup> Os	30.42	2.01	1.08	0.14	0.33	1.18 ± 0.08	1.27 ± 0.10
<sup>192</sup> Os	29.92	1.92	1.20	0.19	0.36	1.20 ± 0.08	1.27 ± 0.11

<sup>a</sup> Uncertainties listed here are relative; to obtain the absolute uncertainty a systematic uncertainty of 7% (10% for <sup>186</sup>Os) must be folded into the values for  $\sigma_m$ .  
<sup>b</sup> Care must be used comparing values for <sup>186</sup>Os with the rest because  $E_{\gamma max}$  is so much different.

TABLE XII. Characteristics of the giant quadrupole resonance. The fitting interval used for the Lorentz-curve fits is 20-28 MeV.

Nucleus	$E_q$ (MeV)	$\sigma_q^a$ (MeV)	$\Gamma_q$ (MeV)	$E_q A^{1/3}$ (MeV)	$(1.60 E_m)^b$ (MeV)	$\frac{1}{2} \pi \sigma_q \Gamma_q$ (MeV mb)	$(S_q/S_d)^c$	$\sigma_{-2}(expt)^d$ (mb MeV <sup>-1</sup> )	$\sigma_{-2}(thy)^e$ (mb MeV <sup>-1</sup> )
<sup>188</sup> Os	22.8 ± 0.2	76 ± 6	3.8 ± 0.6	131	22.7	453 ± 107	0.12	0.87	0.49
<sup>189</sup> Os	23.0 ± 0.3	51 ± 5	5.0 ± 1.0	132	22.4	407 ± 121	0.12	0.77	0.45
<sup>190</sup> Os	23.8 ± 0.3	32 ± 4	4.0 ± 0.9	137	22.1	202 ± 71	0.06	0.36	0.47
<sup>192</sup> Os	23.9 ± 0.1	65 ± 4	2.8 ± 0.4	138	22.1	288 ± 59	0.08	0.50	0.47

<sup>a</sup> Relative uncertainties.  
<sup>b</sup> Theoretical prediction of Ref. 42 (see text).  $E_m$  is the GDR energy from Table IV.  
<sup>c</sup>  $S_q$  is the quadrupole strength defined as  $\frac{1}{2} \pi \sigma_q \Gamma_q$ ;  $S_d$  is the dipole strength defined as  $\frac{1}{2} \pi [\sigma_m(1)\Gamma(1) + \sigma_m(2)\Gamma(2)]$ .  
<sup>d</sup> Computed as  $S_q/E_q^2$ .  
<sup>e</sup> Computed from Eq. (12).

TABLE X. Integrated cross-section moments,  $\sigma_{-1} = \int \sigma(\gamma, n_1) E_\gamma^{-1} dE_\gamma$  and  $\sigma_{-2} = \int \sigma(\gamma, n_1) E_\gamma^{-2} dE_\gamma$ , integrated from threshold to  $E_{\gamma max}$ .

Nucleus	$\sigma_{-1}$ (mb)	$\sigma_{-1} A^{-4/3}$ (mb)	$\sigma_{-2}$ (mb MeV <sup>-1</sup> )	$\frac{\sigma_{-2}}{0.00225 A^{5/3}}$	$\frac{\sigma_{-2} K}{0.05175 A^{5/3}}$ (MeV)	$\frac{\sigma_{-2}}{0.05175 A^{5/3}}$
<sup>186</sup> Os <sup>a</sup>	179	0.168	13.2	0.97	1.22	23.8 ± 2.4
<sup>188</sup> Os	239	0.222	16.7	1.20	1.45	19.1 ± 1.3
<sup>189</sup> Os	228	0.210	16.7	1.19	1.41	19.3 ± 1.4
<sup>190</sup> Os	220	0.202	15.8	1.12	1.30	20.6 ± 1.4
<sup>192</sup> Os	224	0.203	16.0	1.12	1.31	20.6 ± 1.4

<sup>a</sup> Care must be used in comparing values for <sup>186</sup>Os with the rest because  $E_{\gamma max}$  is so much different.



Os  
A=189

Os  
A=189

Os  
A=189



ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Os	189	76
REF. NO.		hg
79 Be 4		

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, 1N	ABX	6-30	D	6-30	BF3-I		4PI
G, 2N	ABX	14-30	D	14-30	BF3-I		4PI
G, 3N	ABX	19-30	D	19-30	BF3-I		4PI

Photoneutron cross sections, including  $\sigma(\gamma, n) + (\gamma, pn)$ ,  $\sigma[(\gamma, 2n) + (\gamma, p2n)]$ , and  $\sigma(\gamma, 3n)$ , were measured for  $^{186}\text{Os}$ ,  $^{188}\text{Os}$ ,  $^{189}\text{Os}$ , and  $^{190}\text{Os}$  from 7 to 30 MeV and for  $^{186}\text{Os}$  from 11 to 20 MeV, with a photon energy resolution of about 300 keV. The source of radiation was the monoenergetic photon beam obtained from the annihilation in flight of fast positrons. The partial photoneutron cross sections were determined by neutron multiplicity counting, and the average neutron energies for each multiplicity were determined simultaneously with the cross-section data by the ring-ratio technique. Nuclear information extracted from the data includes parameters of the giant dipole and giant quadrupole resonances, integrated cross sections and their moments, nuclear symmetry energies, and nuclear deformation parameters and intrinsic quadrupole moments. No fewer than eight kinds of evidence point to a sudden change of behavior between  $^{189}\text{Os}$  and  $^{190}\text{Os}$ , which could be interpreted as a phase transition from a statically deformed prolate nucleus to a  $\gamma$ -unstable one, in general (but not detailed) agreement with the prediction of a dynamic-collective-model calculation.

NUCLEAR REACTIONS  $^{186, 188, 189, 190}\text{Os}(\gamma, n, 2n, 3n)$ ,  $E_\gamma = 7-30$  MeV; measured  $4\pi$  neutron yield, multiplicities, average energies for monoenergetic photons;  $\sigma(E_\gamma, 1n)$ ,  $\sigma(E_\gamma, 2n)$ ,  $\sigma(E_\gamma, 3n)$ , GDR parameters, nuclear shape parameters, integrated cross sections and moments, GQR parameters, nuclear phase transition.

TABLE IV. Parameters for classical theories (given in MeV).

Nucleus	$E_m^a$	$\alpha^b$	$\beta^c$	$K^d$
$^{186}\text{Os}$	$14.21 \pm 0.06$	$81.1 \pm 0.3$	$34.0 \pm 0.1$	$28.9 \pm 0.9$
$^{188}\text{Os}$	$14.19 \pm 0.05$	$81.3 \pm 0.3$	$34.0 \pm 0.1$	$27.3 \pm 0.5$
$^{189}\text{Os}$	$14.01 \pm 0.04$	$80.4 \pm 0.2$	$33.6 \pm 0.1$	$27.3 \pm 0.4$
$^{190}\text{Os}$	$13.83 \pm 0.06$	$79.5 \pm 0.3$	$33.1 \pm 0.1$	$26.6 \pm 0.7$
$^{192}\text{Os}$	$13.79 \pm 0.04$	$79.6 \pm 0.2$	$33.1 \pm 0.1$	$26.8 \pm 0.7$

<sup>a</sup> Mean energy of the giant resonance, defined as  $E_m = [E_m(1) + 2E_m(2)]/3$ , except for  $^{186}\text{Os}$ , for which  $E_m = [E_m(1) + E_m(2)]/2$ .  
<sup>b</sup> Hydrodynamic parameter, defined by  $E_m = \alpha A^{-1/3}$ .  
<sup>c</sup> Collective parameter, defined by  $E_m = \beta A^{-1/5}$ .  
<sup>d</sup> Nuclear symmetry energy, computed from Eq. (2).

TABLE V. Nuclear shape parameters.

Nucleus	$R_A^a$	$\eta^b$	$\epsilon^c$	$\beta_2^d$	$Q_0^e$ (b)
$^{186}\text{Os}$	$0.95 \pm 0.27$	$1.188 \pm 0.016$	$0.366 \pm 0.031$	$0.194 \pm 0.016$	$5.76 \pm 0.49$
$^{188}\text{Os}$	$0.44 \pm 0.08$	$1.177 \pm 0.011$	$0.346 \pm 0.021$	$0.183 \pm 0.011$	$5.49 \pm 0.33$
$^{189}\text{Os}$	$0.51 \pm 0.07$	$1.173 \pm 0.008$	$0.338 \pm 0.015$	$0.179 \pm 0.008$	$5.38 \pm 0.24$
$^{190}\text{Os}$	$0.32 \pm 0.10$	$1.149 \pm 0.015$	$0.292 \pm 0.029$	$0.155 \pm 0.015$	$4.65 \pm 0.46$
$^{192}\text{Os}$	$0.30 \pm 0.11$	$1.145 \pm 0.016$	$0.283 \pm 0.031$	$0.150 \pm 0.016$	$4.55 \pm 0.50$

<sup>a</sup> Area ratio, defined as  $\sigma_m(1)\Gamma(1)/\sigma_m(2)\Gamma(2)$ .  
<sup>b</sup> Deformation parameter, computed from  $E_m(2)/E_m(1) = 0.911\eta - 0.089$ .  
<sup>c</sup> Nuclear eccentricity, computed from  $\epsilon = (\eta^2 - 1)\eta^{-2/3}$ .  
<sup>d</sup> Deformation parameter, defined as  $\frac{2}{7}(\pi/5)^{1/2}\epsilon$ .  
<sup>e</sup> Intrinsic quadrupole moment, computed from Eq. (8), with  $R_0$  taken to be 1.26 fm.




FIG. 10. Running sums of integrated cross sections for  $^{189}\text{Os}$ : (a)  $\sigma_{int}$ ; (b)  $\sigma_1$ ; (c)  $\sigma_2$ .

(over)




FIG. 4. Photoneutron cross sections for  $^{189}\text{Os}$ : (a)  $\sigma(\gamma, n_p)$ , with a two-component Lorentz-curve fit; (b)  $\sigma(\gamma, 1n)$ ; (c)  $\sigma(\gamma, 2n)$ ; (d)  $\sigma(\gamma, 3n)$ .




FIG. 14. Cross-section differences above the GDR; the two-component Lorentz-curve fits to  $\sigma(\gamma, n_p)$  shown in part (a) of Figs. 3-6 were subtracted from the data points to give these differences, which were in turn fitted with the single-component Lorentz curves shown here as solid lines: (a) for  $^{188}\text{Os}$ ; (b) for  $^{189}\text{Os}$ ; (c) for  $^{190}\text{Os}$ ; (d) for  $^{192}\text{Os}$ .

TABLE III. Parameters of Lorentz-curve fits to the giant dipole resonance. Lorentz parameters defined by Eq. 1; the fitting interval for all cases is 10.8 to 19.8 MeV.

Nucleus	$E_{\pi}(1)$ (MeV)	$\tau_{\pi}(1)^+$ (mb)	$\Gamma(1)$ (MeV)	$E_{\pi}(2)$ (MeV)	$\tau_{\pi}(2)^+$ (mb)	$\Gamma(2)$ (MeV)	$\chi^2$
$^{16}\text{Os}$	$13.03 \pm 0.09$	$305 \pm 21$	$3.13 \pm 0.21$	$15.26 \pm 0.09$	$302 \pm 23$	$3.35 \pm 0.21$	0.53
$^{17}\text{Os}$	$12.81 \pm 0.05$	$260 \pm 18$	$2.76 \pm 0.13$	$14.88 \pm 0.07$	$390 \pm 14$	$4.19 \pm 0.13$	0.96
$^{18}\text{Os}$	$12.68 \pm 0.04$	$268 \pm 14$	$2.71 \pm 0.10$	$14.68 \pm 0.05$	$395 \pm 11$	$3.62 \pm 0.10$	0.75
$^{19}\text{Os}$	$12.68 \pm 0.07$	$206 \pm 29$	$2.60 \pm 0.21$	$14.40 \pm 0.09$	$401 \pm 24$	$4.16 \pm 0.11$	1.19
$^{22}\text{Os}$	$12.68 \pm 0.06$	$206 \pm 34$	$2.49 \pm 0.23$	$14.35 \pm 0.12$	$399 \pm 26$	$4.41 \pm 0.13$	0.95

<sup>a</sup> Uncertainties for  $\sigma_m$  given here are relative. The absolute uncertainties are 7% (10% for  $^{16}\text{Os}$ ).

TABLE VI. Values for  $B(E2, 0^+ \rightarrow 2^+)$  (in units of  $b^2$ ).

Nucleus	Present experiment <sup>a</sup>	$\mu$ -mesic x rays <sup>b</sup>	Coulomb excitation <sup>c</sup>	Theory <sup>d</sup>	Theory <sup>e</sup>
$^{16}\text{Os}$	$3.30 \pm 0.56$	$3.15 \pm 0.03$	$3.08 \pm 0.21$	2.96	3.05
$^{17}\text{Os}$	$3.00 \pm 0.36$	$2.84 \pm 0.03$	$2.75 \pm 0.12$	2.72	2.75
$^{18}\text{Os}$	$2.15 \pm 0.43$	$2.48 \pm 0.02$	$2.40 \pm 0.11$	2.59	2.54
$^{22}\text{Os}$	$2.06 \pm 0.46$	$2.10 \pm 0.02$	$2.02 \pm 0.09$	2.56	2.34

<sup>a</sup> Computed from Eq. (9), using the values for  $Q_0$  from Table V.  
<sup>b</sup> From Ref. 26.  
<sup>c</sup> Weighted average from Refs. 27 and 28.  
<sup>d</sup> From Ref. 5.  
<sup>e</sup> From Ref. 6.

TABLE IX. Integrated cross sections,  $\sigma_{int}(\gamma, x) = \int \sigma(\gamma, x) dE_\gamma$ , integrated from threshold to  $E_{j, \max}$ . Uncertainties are discussed in Sec. II of the text.

Nucleus	$E_{j, \max}$ (MeV)	$\sigma_{int}(\gamma, 1n)$ (MeVb)	$\sigma_{int}(\gamma, 2n)$ (MeVb)	$\sigma_{int}(\gamma, 3n)$ (MeVb)	$\frac{\sigma_{int}(\gamma, 2n)}{\sigma_{int}(\gamma, n)}$	$\frac{\sigma_{int}(\gamma, 3n)}{\sigma_{int}(\gamma, n)}$	$\frac{\frac{1}{2} \pi^{1/2} [\sigma_m(1)\Gamma(1) + \sigma_m(2)\Gamma(2)]^2}{0.06NZ/A}$
$^{16}\text{Os}$	19.67 <sup>b</sup>	2.04	0.46	...	0.19	0.93 ± 0.09	1.15 ± 0.12
$^{18}\text{Os}$	30.42	2.62	0.88	0.12	0.24	1.33 ± 0.09	1.36 ± 0.08
$^{19}\text{Os}$	29.92	2.13	1.00	0.21	0.30	1.23 ± 0.09	1.24 ± 0.06
$^{19}\text{Os}$	30.42	2.01	1.05	0.14	0.33	1.18 ± 0.08	1.27 ± 0.10
$^{22}\text{Os}$	29.92	1.92	1.20	0.19	0.36	1.20 ± 0.08	1.27 ± 0.11

<sup>a</sup> Uncertainties listed here are relative; to obtain the absolute uncertainty a systematic uncertainty of 7% (10% for  $^{16}\text{Os}$ ) must be folded into the values for  $\sigma_m$ .  
<sup>b</sup> Care must be used comparing values for  $^{16}\text{Os}$  with the rest because  $E_{j, \max}$  is so much different.

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Os	189	76

METHOD				REF. NO.			
				79 Be 4		hg	
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,1N	ABX	6-30	D	6-30	BF3-I		4PI
G,2N	ABX	14-30	D	14-30	BF3-I		4PI
G,3N	ABX	19-30	D	19-30	BF3-I		4PI

TABLE X. Integrated cross-section moments,  $\sigma_{-1} = \int \sigma(\gamma, n_i) E_\gamma^{-1} dE_\gamma$  and  $\sigma_{-2} = \int \sigma(\gamma, n_i) E_\gamma^{-2} dE_\gamma$ , integrated from threshold to  $E_{\gamma \max}$ .

Nucleus	$\sigma_{-1}$ (mb)	$\sigma_{-1} A^{-4/3}$ (mb)	$\sigma_{-2}$ (mb MeV <sup>-1</sup> )	$0.05175 A^{5/3}$		
				$\sigma_{-2}$ $0.00225 A^{5/3}$	$\sigma_{-2} K$ $0.05175 A^{5/3}$	$\sigma_{-2}$ (MeV)
<sup>186</sup> Os <sup>a</sup>	179	0.168	13.2	0.97	1.22	23.8 ± 2.4
<sup>188</sup> Os	239	0.222	16.7	1.20	1.45	19.1 ± 1.3
<sup>189</sup> Os	228	0.210	16.7	1.19	1.41	19.3 ± 1.4
<sup>190</sup> Os	220	0.202	15.8	1.12	1.30	20.6 ± 1.4
<sup>192</sup> Os	224	0.203	16.0	1.12	1.31	20.6 ± 1.4

<sup>a</sup> Care must be used in comparing values for <sup>186</sup>Os with the rest because  $E_{\gamma \max}$  is so much different.

TABLE XII. Characteristics of the giant quadrupole resonance. The fitting interval used for the Lorentz-curve fits is 20–25 MeV.

Nucleus	$E_q$ (MeV)	$\sigma_q^a$ (MeV)	$\Gamma_q$ (MeV)	$E_q A^{1/3}$ (MeV)	$(1.60 E_\pi)^b$ (MeV)	$\frac{1}{2} \pi \sigma_q \Gamma_q$ (MeV mb)	$(S_q/S_d)^c$	$\sigma_{-2}(\text{expt})^d$ (mb MeV <sup>-1</sup> )	$\sigma_{-2}(\text{thy})^e$ (mb MeV <sup>-1</sup> )
<sup>182</sup> Os	22.8 ± 0.2	76 ± 6	3.3 ± 0.6	131	22.7	453 ± 107	0.12	0.37	0.49
<sup>184</sup> Os	23.0 ± 0.3	51 ± 5	5.0 ± 1.0	132	22.4	407 ± 121	0.12	0.77	0.45
<sup>190</sup> Os	23.3 ± 0.3	32 ± 4	4.0 ± 0.9	137	22.1	202 ± 71	0.06	0.36	0.47
<sup>192</sup> Os	23.9 ± 0.1	65 ± 4	2.8 ± 0.4	138	22.1	288 ± 59	0.08	0.50	0.47

<sup>a</sup> Relative uncertainties.

<sup>b</sup> Theoretical prediction of Ref. 42 (see text).  $E_\pi$  is the GDR energy from Table IV.

<sup>c</sup>  $S_q$  is the quadrupole strength defined as  $\frac{1}{2} \pi \sigma_q \Gamma_q$ ;  $S_d$  is the dipole strength defined as  $\frac{1}{2} \pi [\sigma_\pi(1) \Gamma(1) + \sigma_\pi(2) \Gamma(2)]$ .

<sup>d</sup> Computed as  $S_q/E_q^2$ .

<sup>e</sup> Computed from Eq. (12).





Os  
A=190

Os  
A=190

Os  
A=190



ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Os	190	76

METHOD	REF. NO.
	73 Go 6 hmg

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, XN	ABX	8- 20	C	8- 20	BF3-I		4PI




TABLE III

	W <sup>184</sup>	W <sup>186</sup>	Os <sup>190</sup>	Re <sup>185</sup>	Re <sup>187</sup>	W <sup>180</sup> [7]
$\Gamma_1$ , MeV	2.33	2.55	2.13	2.51	2.83	2.29
$E_1$ , MeV	12.5	12.5	12.6	12.7	12.6	12.59
$\sigma_1$ , mb	241	225	223	262	250	211
$\Gamma_2$ , MeV	5.1	5.4	5.7	5.2	5.3	5.18
$E_2$ , MeV	15.6	15.2	14.8	15.4	15.6	14.88
$\sigma_2$ , mb	338	317	318	315	296	334
$0.06 NZ/A$ , MeV-mb	2.65	2.67	2.74	2.68	2.69	2.67
$S_1 + S_2$ , MeV-mb	4.0	3.9	3.9	3.6	3.8	3.47
$S_2 / S_1$	2.8	3.0	3.8	2.5	2.2	3.6

Note.  $S_1 = (\pi/2)\sigma_1\Gamma_1$  is the Lorentz area.

FIG. 2. Cross sections for W<sup>184,186</sup>, Os<sup>190</sup>, and Re<sup>185,187</sup>. The cross section  $\sigma_{\gamma,n} + 2\sigma_{\gamma,2n}$  is denoted by vertical lines whose lengths are twice the rms errors. The crosses represent the cross sections, corrected for multiplicity, above the threshold of the  $(\gamma, 2n)$  reaction. The solid curves are Lorentz curve approximations. For W<sup>184,186</sup> and Os<sup>190</sup> the calculated dipole transition strengths are shown.

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Os	190	76
REF. NO.		hg
79 Be 4		

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, 1N	ABX	8-30	D	8-30	BF3-I		4PI
G, 2N	ABX	14-30	D	14-30	BF3-I		4PI
G, 3N	ABX	20-30	D	20-30	BF3-I		4PI

Photoneutron cross sections, including  $\sigma[(\gamma, n) + (\gamma, pn)]$ ,  $\sigma[(\gamma, 2n) + (\gamma, p2n)]$ , and  $\sigma(\gamma, 3n)$ , were measured for  $^{188}\text{Os}$ ,  $^{189}\text{Os}$ ,  $^{190}\text{Os}$ , and  $^{192}\text{Os}$  from 7 to 30 MeV and for  $^{186}\text{Os}$  from 11 to 20 MeV, with a photon energy resolution of about 300 keV. The source of radiation was the monoenergetic photon beam obtained from the annihilation in flight of fast positrons. The partial photoneutron cross sections were determined by neutron multiplicity counting, and the average neutron energies for each multiplicity were determined simultaneously with the cross-section data by the ring-ratio technique. Nuclear information extracted from the data includes parameters of the giant dipole and giant quadrupole resonances, integrated cross sections and their moments, nuclear symmetry energies, and nuclear deformation parameters and intrinsic quadrupole moments. No fewer than eight kinds of evidence point to a sudden change of behavior between  $^{189}\text{Os}$  and  $^{190}\text{Os}$ , which could be interpreted as a phase transition from a statically deformed prolate nucleus to a  $\gamma$ -unstable one, in general (but not detailed) agreement with the prediction of a dynamic-collective-model calculation.

**NUCLEAR REACTIONS**  $^{186, 188, 189, 190, 192}\text{Os}(\gamma, n, 2n, 3n)$ ,  $E_\gamma = 7-30$  MeV; measured  $4\pi$  neutron yield, multiplicities, average energies for monoenergetic photons;  $\sigma(E_\gamma, 1n)$ ,  $\sigma(E_\gamma, 2n)$ ,  $\sigma(E_\gamma, 3n)$ , GDR parameters, nuclear shape parameters, integrated cross sections and moments, GQR parameters, nuclear phase transition.




FIG. 7. Total photoneutron cross sections, together with single-component Lorentz-curve fits to the data: (a) for  $^{188}\text{Os}$ ; (b) for  $^{190}\text{Os}$ .




FIG. 5. Photoneutron cross sections for  $^{190}\text{Os}$ : (a)  $\sigma(\gamma, n)$ , with a two-component Lorentz-curve fit; (b)  $\sigma(\gamma, 1n)$ ; (c)  $\sigma(\gamma, 2n)$ ; (d)  $\sigma(\gamma, 3n)$ .

(over)




FIG. 14. Cross-section differences above the GDR; the two-component Lorentz-curve fits to  $\sigma(\gamma, n_p)$  shown in part (a) of Figs. 3-6 were subtracted from the data points to give these differences, which were in turn fitted with the single-component Lorentz curves shown here as solid lines: (a) for  $^{188}\text{Os}$ ; (b) for  $^{189}\text{Os}$ ; (c) for  $^{190}\text{Os}$ ; (d) for  $^{192}\text{Os}$ .




FIG. 11. Running sums of integrated cross sections for  $^{190}\text{Os}$ : (a)  $\sigma_{\text{int}}$ ; (b)  $\sigma_{-}$ ; (c)  $\sigma_{+}$ .

TABLE III. Parameters of Lorentz-curve fits to the giant dipole resonance. Lorentz parameters defined by Eq. (1), the fitting interval for all cases is 10.8 to 18.8 MeV.

Nucleus	$E_m(1)$ (MeV)	$E_m(2)$ (MeV)	$\Gamma(1)$ (MeV)	$\Gamma(2)$ (MeV)	$\sigma_m(1)^a$ (mb)	$\sigma_m(2)^a$ (mb)	$\chi^2$
$^{186}\text{Os}$	$13.03 \pm 0.09$	$15.26 \pm 0.09$	$3.13 \pm 0.24$	$3.38 \pm 0.21$	$302 \pm 23$	$302 \pm 23$	0.54
$^{188}\text{Os}$	$12.81 \pm 0.05$	$14.88 \pm 0.07$	$2.76 \pm 0.13$	$4.19 \pm 0.13$	$390 \pm 11$	$390 \pm 11$	0.96
$^{190}\text{Os}$	$12.68 \pm 0.04$	$14.68 \pm 0.05$	$2.71 \pm 0.10$	$3.62 \pm 0.10$	$395 \pm 11$	$395 \pm 11$	0.75
$^{192}\text{Os}$	$12.68 \pm 0.07$	$14.40 \pm 0.09$	$2.60 \pm 0.21$	$4.16 \pm 0.11$	$401 \pm 21$	$401 \pm 21$	1.19
$^{196}\text{Os}$	$12.68 \pm 0.06$	$14.35 \pm 0.12$	$2.49 \pm 0.23$	$4.41 \pm 0.13$	$389 \pm 26$	$389 \pm 26$	0.95

<sup>a</sup>Uncertainties for  $\sigma_m$  given here are relative. The absolute uncertainties are 7% (10%) for  $^{186}\text{Os}$ .

TABLE IV. Parameters for classical theories (given in MeV).

Nucleus	$E_m^a$	$\alpha^b$	$\beta^c$	$K^d$
$^{186}\text{Os}$	$14.21 \pm 0.06$	$31.1 \pm 0.3$	$34.0 \pm 0.1$	$28.9 \pm 0.9$
$^{188}\text{Os}$	$14.19 \pm 0.05$	$31.3 \pm 0.3$	$34.0 \pm 0.1$	$27.9 \pm 0.5$
$^{189}\text{Os}$	$14.01 \pm 0.04$	$30.4 \pm 0.2$	$33.6 \pm 0.1$	$27.3 \pm 0.4$
$^{190}\text{Os}$	$13.33 \pm 0.06$	$79.5 \pm 0.3$	$33.1 \pm 0.1$	$26.6 \pm 0.7$
$^{192}\text{Os}$	$13.79 \pm 0.04$	$79.6 \pm 0.2$	$33.1 \pm 0.1$	$26.3 \pm 0.7$

<sup>a</sup> Mean energy of the giant resonance, defined as  $E_m = [E_m(1) - 2E_m(2)]/3$ , except for  $^{186}\text{Os}$ , for which  $E_m = [E_m(1) + E_m(2)]/2$ .

<sup>b</sup> Hydrodynamic parameter, defined by  $E_m = \alpha A^{-1/3}$ .

<sup>c</sup> Collective parameter, defined by  $E_m = \beta A^{-1/6}$ .

<sup>d</sup> Nuclear symmetry energy, computed from Eq. (2).

TABLE V. Nuclear shape parameters.

Nucleus	$R_A^a$	$\eta^b$	$\epsilon^c$	$\beta_2^d$	$\langle \varphi_0 \rangle^e$ (b)
$^{186}\text{Os}$	$0.95 \pm 0.27$	$1.198 \pm 0.016$	$0.366 \pm 0.031$	$0.194 \pm 0.016$	$5.76 \pm 0.49$
$^{188}\text{Os}$	$0.44 \pm 0.08$	$1.177 \pm 0.011$	$0.346 \pm 0.021$	$0.183 \pm 0.011$	$5.49 \pm 0.33$
$^{189}\text{Os}$	$0.51 \pm 0.07$	$1.173 \pm 0.008$	$0.338 \pm 0.015$	$0.179 \pm 0.008$	$5.38 \pm 0.24$
$^{190}\text{Os}$	$0.32 \pm 0.10$	$1.149 \pm 0.015$	$0.292 \pm 0.029$	$0.155 \pm 0.015$	$4.65 \pm 0.46$
$^{192}\text{Os}$	$0.30 \pm 0.11$	$1.145 \pm 0.016$	$0.283 \pm 0.031$	$0.150 \pm 0.016$	$4.55 \pm 0.50$

<sup>a</sup> Arca ratio, defined as  $\sigma_m(1)\Gamma(1)/\sigma_m(2)\Gamma(2)$ .

<sup>b</sup> Deformation parameter, computed from  $E_m(2)/E_m(1) = 0.911\eta - 0.089$ .

<sup>c</sup> Nuclear eccentricity, computed from  $\epsilon = (\eta^2 - 1)\eta^{-2/3}$ .

<sup>d</sup> Deformation parameter, defined as  $\frac{2}{7}(\pi/5)^{1/2}\epsilon$ .

<sup>e</sup> Intrinsic quadrupole moment, computed from Eq. (8), with  $R_0$  taken to be 1.26 fm.

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Os	190	76
METHOD	REF. NO.	
	79 Be 4	hg

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, 1N	ABX	8-30	D	8-30	BF3-I		4PI
G, 2N	ABX	14-30	D	14-30	BF3-I		4PI
G, 3N	ABX	20-30	D	20-30	BF3-I		4PI

TABLE VI. Values for  $B(E2, 0^+ \rightarrow 2^+)$  (in units of  $b^2$ ).

Nucleus	Present experiment <sup>a</sup>	$\mu$ -mesic x rays <sup>b</sup>	Coulomb excitation <sup>c</sup>	Theory <sup>d</sup>	Theory <sup>e</sup>
<sup>186</sup> Os	3.30 ± 0.56	3.15 ± 0.03	3.08 ± 0.21	2.96	3.05
<sup>184</sup> Os	3.00 ± 0.36	2.84 ± 0.03	2.75 ± 0.12	2.72	2.75
<sup>180</sup> Os	2.15 ± 0.43	2.48 ± 0.02	2.40 ± 0.11	2.59	2.54
<sup>182</sup> Os	2.06 ± 0.46	2.10 ± 0.02	2.02 ± 0.09	2.56	2.34

<sup>a</sup> Computed from Eq. (9), using the values for  $Q_0$  from Table V.

<sup>b</sup> From Ref. 26.

<sup>c</sup> Weighted average from Refs. 27 and 28.

<sup>d</sup> From Ref. 5.

<sup>e</sup> From Ref. 6.

TABLE XII. Characteristics of the giant quadrupole resonance. The fitting interval used for the Lorentz-curve fits is 20-28 MeV.

Nucleus	$E_q$ (MeV)	$\sigma_q^a$ (MeV)	$\Gamma_q$ (MeV)	$E_q A^{1/3}$ (MeV)	$(1.60E_m)^b$ (MeV)	$\frac{1}{2} \pi \sigma_q \Gamma_q$ (MeV mb)	$(S_q/S_d)^c$	$\sigma_{-2}(\text{expt})^d$ (mb MeV <sup>-1</sup> )	$\sigma_{-2}(\text{thy})^e$ (mb MeV <sup>-1</sup> )
<sup>188</sup> Os	22.8 ± 0.2	76 ± 6	3.8 ± 0.6	131	22.7	453 ± 107	0.12	0.87	0.49
<sup>184</sup> Os	23.0 ± 0.3	51 ± 5	5.0 ± 1.0	132	22.4	407 ± 121	0.12	0.77	0.45
<sup>180</sup> Os	23.8 ± 0.3	32 ± 4	4.0 ± 0.9	137	22.1	202 ± 71	0.06	0.36	0.47
<sup>182</sup> Os	23.9 ± 0.1	65 ± 4	2.8 ± 0.4	138	22.1	288 ± 59	0.08	0.50	0.47

<sup>a</sup> Relative uncertainties.

<sup>b</sup> Theoretical prediction of Ref. 42 (see text).  $E_m$  is the GDR energy from Table IV.

<sup>c</sup>  $S_q$  is the quadrupole strength defined as  $\frac{1}{2} \pi \sigma_q \Gamma_q$ ;  $S_d$  is the dipole strength defined as  $\frac{1}{2} \pi [\sigma_m(1)\Gamma(1) - \sigma_m(2)\Gamma(2)]$ .

<sup>d</sup> Computed as  $S_q/E_q^2$ .

<sup>e</sup> Computed from Eq. (12).

TABLE IX. Integrated cross sections,  $\sigma_{\text{int}}(\gamma, x) = \int \sigma(\gamma, x) dE_\gamma$ , integrated from threshold to  $E_{\gamma\text{max}}$ . Uncertainties are discussed in Sec. II of the text.

Nucleus	$E_{\gamma\text{max}}$ (MeV)	$\sigma_{\text{int}}(\gamma, 1n)$ (MeVb)	$\sigma_{\text{int}}(\gamma, 2n)$ (MeVb)	$\sigma_{\text{int}}(\gamma, 3n)$ (MeVb)	$\frac{\sigma_{\text{int}}(\gamma, 2n)}{\sigma_{\text{int}}(\gamma, 1n)}$	$\frac{\sigma_{\text{int}}(\gamma, n_1)}{0.06NZ/A}$	$\frac{1}{2} \pi [\sigma_m(1)\Gamma(1) + \sigma_m(2)\Gamma(2)]^d$ (0.06NZ/A)
<sup>186</sup> Os	19.67 <sup>b</sup>	2.04	0.46	...	0.19	0.93 ± 0.09	1.15 ± 0.12
<sup>184</sup> Os	30.42	2.62	0.88	0.12	0.24	1.33 ± 0.09	1.36 ± 0.08
<sup>180</sup> Os	29.92	2.13	1.00	0.21	0.30	1.23 ± 0.09	1.24 ± 0.06
<sup>186</sup> Os	30.42	2.01	1.08	0.14	0.33	1.18 ± 0.08	1.27 ± 0.10
<sup>182</sup> Os	29.92	1.92	1.20	0.19	0.36	1.20 ± 0.08	1.27 ± 0.11

<sup>a</sup> Uncertainties listed here are relative; to obtain the absolute uncertainty a systematic uncertainty of 7% (10% for <sup>186</sup>Os) must be folded into the values for  $\sigma_m$ .

<sup>b</sup> Care must be used comparing values for <sup>186</sup>Os with the rest because  $E_{\gamma\text{max}}$  is so much different.

TABLE X. Integrated cross-section moments,  $\sigma_{-1} = \int \sigma(\gamma, n_1) E_\gamma^{-1} dE_\gamma$  and  $\sigma_{-2} = \int \sigma(\gamma, n_1) E_\gamma^{-2} dE_\gamma$ , integrated from threshold to  $E_{\gamma\text{max}}$ .

Nucleus	$\sigma_{-1}$ (mb)	$\sigma_{-1} A^{-4/3}$ (mb)	$\sigma_{-2}$ (mb MeV <sup>-1</sup> )	$\frac{\sigma_{-2}}{0.00225 A^{5/3}}$	$\frac{\sigma_{-2} K}{0.05175 A^{5/3}}$ (MeV)
<sup>186</sup> Os <sup>a</sup>	179	0.168	13.2	0.97	23.8 ± 2.4
<sup>180</sup> Os	239	0.222	16.7	1.20	19.1 ± 1.3
<sup>189</sup> Os	228	0.210	16.7	1.19	19.3 ± 1.4
<sup>180</sup> Os	220	0.202	15.8	1.12	20.6 ± 1.4
<sup>182</sup> Os	224	0.203	16.0	1.12	20.6 ± 1.4

<sup>a</sup> Care must be used in comparing values for <sup>186</sup>Os with the rest because  $E_{\gamma\text{max}}$  is so much different.

Os  
A=192

Os  
A=192

Os  
A=192





ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Os	192	76
REF. NO.		hg
79 Be 4		

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, 1N	ABX	7-30	D	7-30	BF3-I		4PI
G, 2N	ABX	13-30	D	13-30	BF3-I		4PI
G, 3N	ABX	20-30	D	20-30	BF3-I		4PI

Photoneutron cross sections, including  $\sigma(\gamma, n) + (\gamma, pn)$ ,  $\sigma(\gamma, 2n) + (\gamma, p2n)$ , and  $\sigma(\gamma, 3n)$ , were measured for  $^{186}\text{Os}$ ,  $^{188}\text{Os}$ ,  $^{189}\text{Os}$ ,  $^{190}\text{Os}$ , and  $^{192}\text{Os}$  from 7 to 30 MeV and for  $^{186}\text{Os}$  from 11 to 20 MeV, with a photon energy resolution of about 300 keV. The source of radiation was the monoenergetic photon beam obtained from the annihilation in flight of fast positrons. The partial photoneutron cross sections were determined by neutron multiplicity counting, and the average neutron energies for each multiplicity were determined simultaneously with the cross-section data by the ring-ratio technique. Nuclear information extracted from the data includes parameters of the giant dipole and giant quadrupole resonances, integrated cross sections and their moments, nuclear symmetry energies, and nuclear deformation parameters and intrinsic quadrupole moments. No fewer than eight kinds of evidence point to a sudden change of behavior between  $^{189}\text{Os}$  and  $^{190}\text{Os}$ , which could be interpreted as a phase transition from a statically deformed prolate nucleus to a  $\gamma$ -unstable one, in general (but not detailed) agreement with the prediction of a dynamic-collective-model calculation.

**NUCLEAR REACTIONS**  $^{186, 188, 189, 190, 192}\text{Os}(\gamma, n, 2n, 3n)$ ,  $E_\gamma = 7-30$  MeV; measured  $4\pi$  neutron yield, multiplicities, average energies for monoenergetic photons;  $\sigma(E_\gamma, 1n)$ ,  $\sigma(E_\gamma, 2n)$ ,  $\sigma(E_\gamma, 3n)$ , GDR parameters, nuclear shape parameters, integrated cross sections and moments, GQR parameters, nuclear phase transition.

TABLE IV. Parameters for classical theories (given in MeV).

Nucleus	$E_m^a$	$\alpha^b$	$\beta^c$	$K^d$
$^{186}\text{Os}$	14.21 ± 0.06	81.1 ± 0.3	34.0 ± 0.1	29.9 ± 0.9
$^{188}\text{Os}$	14.19 ± 0.05	81.3 ± 0.3	34.0 ± 0.1	27.8 ± 0.5
$^{189}\text{Os}$	14.01 ± 0.04	80.4 ± 0.2	33.6 ± 0.1	27.3 ± 0.4
$^{190}\text{Os}$	13.93 ± 0.06	79.5 ± 0.3	33.1 ± 0.1	26.6 ± 0.7
$^{192}\text{Os}$	13.79 ± 0.04	79.6 ± 0.2	33.1 ± 0.1	26.3 ± 0.7

<sup>a</sup> Mean energy of the giant resonance, defined as  $E_m = [E_m(1) - 2E_m(2)]/3$ , except for  $^{186}\text{Os}$ , for which  $E_m = [E_m(1) - E_m(2)]/2$ .  
<sup>b</sup> Hydrodynamic parameter, defined by  $E_m = \alpha A^{-1/3}$ .  
<sup>c</sup> Collective parameter, defined by  $E_m = \beta A^{-1/6}$ .  
<sup>d</sup> Nuclear symmetry energy, computed from Eq. (2).

TABLE V. Nuclear shape parameters.

Nucleus	$R_A^a$	$\eta^b$	$\epsilon^c$	$\beta_2^d$	$\psi_0^e$ (b)
$^{186}\text{Os}$	0.95 ± 0.27	1.188 ± 0.016	0.366 ± 0.031	0.194 ± 0.016	5.76 ± 0.49
$^{188}\text{Os}$	0.44 ± 0.08	1.177 ± 0.011	0.346 ± 0.021	0.193 ± 0.011	5.49 ± 0.33
$^{189}\text{Os}$	0.51 ± 0.07	1.173 ± 0.008	0.338 ± 0.015	0.179 ± 0.008	5.38 ± 0.24
$^{190}\text{Os}$	0.32 ± 0.10	1.149 ± 0.015	0.292 ± 0.029	0.155 ± 0.015	4.65 ± 0.46
$^{192}\text{Os}$	0.30 ± 0.11	1.145 ± 0.016	0.283 ± 0.031	0.150 ± 0.016	4.55 ± 0.50

<sup>a</sup> Area ratio, defined as  $\sigma_m(1)\Gamma(1)/\sigma_m(2)\Gamma(2)$ .  
<sup>b</sup> Deformation parameter, computed from  $E_m(2)/E_m(1) = 0.911\eta - 0.089$ .  
<sup>c</sup> Nuclear eccentricity, computed from  $\epsilon = (\eta^2 - 1)\eta^{-2/3}$ .  
<sup>d</sup> Deformation parameter, defined as  $\frac{2}{7}(\pi/5)^{1/2}\epsilon$ .  
<sup>e</sup> Intrinsic quadrupole moment, computed from Eq. (9), with  $R_0$  taken to be 1.26 fm.




FIG. 14. Cross-section differences above the GDR; the two-component Lorentz-curve fits to  $\sigma(\gamma, n_i)$  shown in part (a) of Figs. 3-6 were subtracted from the data points to give these differences, which were in turn fitted with the single-component Lorentz curves shown here as solid lines: (a) for  $^{188}\text{Os}$ ; (b) for  $^{189}\text{Os}$ ; (c) for  $^{190}\text{Os}$ ; (d) for  $^{192}\text{Os}$ .

(over)




FIG. 6. Photon neutron cross sections for  $^{192}\text{Os}$ : (a)  $\sigma(\gamma, n)$ , with a two-component Lorentz-curve fit; (b)  $\sigma(\gamma, 2n)$ ; (c)  $\sigma(\gamma, 3n)$ ; (d)  $\sigma(\gamma, 3n)$ .

TABLE III. Parameters of Lorentz-curve fits to the giant dipole resonance. Lorentz parameters defined by Eq. (1); the fitting interval for all cases is 10.8 to 19.8 MeV.

Nucleus	$E_m(1)$ (MeV)	$\sigma_m(1)^a$ (mb)	$\Gamma(1)$ (MeV)	$E_m(2)$ (MeV)	$\sigma_m(2)^a$ (mb)	$\Gamma(2)$ (MeV)	$\chi^2$
$^{16}\text{Os}$	$13.03 \pm 0.09$	$308 \pm 21$	$3.13 \pm 0.24$	$15.26 \pm 0.09$	$302 \pm 23$	$3.38 \pm 0.21$	0.32
$^{18}\text{Os}$	$12.51 \pm 0.05$	$260 \pm 18$	$2.76 \pm 0.13$	$14.58 \pm 0.07$	$390 \pm 14$	$4.19 \pm 0.13$	0.96
$^{19}\text{Os}$	$12.68 \pm 0.04$	$268 \pm 14$	$2.71 \pm 0.10$	$14.68 \pm 0.05$	$395 \pm 11$	$3.62 \pm 0.10$	0.75
$^{190}\text{Os}$	$12.68 \pm 0.07$	$206 \pm 29$	$2.60 \pm 0.21$	$14.40 \pm 0.09$	$401 \pm 24$	$4.16 \pm 0.11$	1.10
$^{192}\text{Os}$	$12.68 \pm 0.06$	$206 \pm 34$	$2.49 \pm 0.23$	$14.35 \pm 0.12$	$389 \pm 26$	$4.41 \pm 0.13$	0.95

<sup>a</sup> Uncertainties for  $\sigma_m$  given here are relative. The absolute uncertainties are 7% (10%) for  $^{16}\text{Os}$ .

TABLE VI. Values for  $B(E2, 0^+ \rightarrow 2^+)$  (in units of  $b^2$ ).

Nucleus	Present experiment <sup>a</sup>	$\mu$ -mesic x rays <sup>b</sup>	Coulomb excitation <sup>c</sup>	Theory <sup>d</sup>	Theory <sup>e</sup>
$^{16}\text{Os}$	$3.30 \pm 0.56$	$3.15 \pm 0.03$	$3.08 \pm 0.21$	2.96	3.05
$^{18}\text{Os}$	$3.00 \pm 0.36$	$2.84 \pm 0.03$	$2.75 \pm 0.12$	2.72	2.75
$^{19}\text{Os}$	$2.15 \pm 0.43$	$2.48 \pm 0.02$	$2.40 \pm 0.11$	2.39	2.54
$^{192}\text{Os}$	$2.06 \pm 0.46$	$2.10 \pm 0.02$	$2.02 \pm 0.09$	2.56	2.34

<sup>a</sup> Computed from Eq. (9), using the values for  $Q_0$  from Table V.

<sup>b</sup> From Ref. 26.

<sup>c</sup> Weighted average from Refs. 27 and 28.

<sup>d</sup> From Ref. 3.

<sup>e</sup> From Ref. 6.




FIG. 12. Running sums of integrated cross sections for  $^{192}\text{Os}$ : (a)  $\sigma_{\text{int}}$ ; (b)  $\sigma_{-1}$ ; (c)  $\sigma_{-2}$ .

TABLE IX. Integrated cross sections,  $\sigma_m(\gamma, x) \int \sigma(\gamma, x)/E_\gamma$ , integrated from threshold to  $E_{\gamma, \text{max}}$ . Uncertainties are discussed in Sec. II of the text.

Nucleus	$E_{\gamma, \text{max}}$ (MeV)	$\sigma_m(\gamma, 1n)$ (MeV b)	$\sigma_m(\gamma, 2n)$ (MeV b)	$\sigma_m(\gamma, 3n)$ (MeV b)	$\frac{\sigma_m(\gamma, 2n)}{\sigma_m(\gamma, 1n)}$	$\frac{\sigma_m(\gamma, 3n)}{\sigma_m(\gamma, 1n)}$	$\frac{1}{2} \pi \beta_m(1) \Gamma(1) + \sigma_m(2) \Gamma(2)^a$ 0.06NZ/A
$^{16}\text{Os}$	19.67 <sup>b</sup>	2.04	0.46	...	0.93 ± 0.09	1.15 ± 0.12	
$^{18}\text{Os}$	30.42	2.62	0.88	0.12	1.33 ± 0.09	1.36 ± 0.08	
$^{19}\text{Os}$	29.92	2.13	1.00	0.21	1.23 ± 0.09	1.24 ± 0.06	
$^{190}\text{Os}$	30.42	2.01	1.08	0.14	1.18 ± 0.08	1.27 ± 0.10	
$^{192}\text{Os}$	29.92	1.92	1.20	0.19	1.20 ± 0.08	1.27 ± 0.11	

<sup>a</sup> Uncertainties listed here are relative; to obtain the absolute uncertainty a systematic uncertainty of 7% (10% for  $^{16}\text{Os}$ ) must be folded into the values for  $\sigma_m$ .

<sup>b</sup> Care must be used comparing values for  $^{16}\text{Os}$  with the rest because  $E_{\gamma, \text{max}}$  is so much different.

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Os	192	76
REF. NO.		hg
79 Be 4		

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, 1N	ABX	7-30	D	7-30	BF3-I		4PI
G, 2N	ABX	13-30	D	13-30	BF3-I		4PI
G, 3N	ABX	20-30	D	20-30	BF3-I		4PI

TABLE X. Integrated cross-section moments.  $\sigma_{-1} = \int \sigma(\gamma, n_t) E_\gamma^{-1} dE_\gamma$  and  $\sigma_{-2} = \int \sigma(\gamma, n_t) E_\gamma^{-2} dE_\gamma$ , integrated from threshold to  $E_{\gamma\max}$ .

Nucleus	$\sigma_{-1}$ (mb)	$\sigma_{-1} A^{-4/3}$ (mb)	$\sigma_{-2}$ (mb MeV <sup>-1</sup> )	$0.05175 A^{5/3}$		
				$\frac{\sigma_{-2}}{0.00225 A^{5/3}}$	$\frac{\tau \approx K}{0.05175 A^{5/3}}$	$\frac{\sigma_{-2}}{(\text{MeV})}$
<sup>186</sup> Os <sup>a</sup>	179	0.168	13.2	0.97	1.22	23.8 ± 2.4
<sup>188</sup> Os	239	0.222	16.7	1.20	1.45	19.1 ± 1.3
<sup>189</sup> Os	228	0.210	16.7	1.19	1.41	19.3 ± 1.4
<sup>190</sup> Os	220	0.202	15.8	1.12	1.30	20.6 ± 1.4
<sup>192</sup> Os	224	0.203	16.0	1.12	1.31	20.6 ± 1.4

<sup>a</sup> Care must be used in comparing values for <sup>186</sup>Os with the rest because  $E_{\gamma\max}$  is so much different.

TABLE XII. Characteristics of the giant quadrupole resonance. The fitting interval used for the Lorentz-curve fits is 20-28 MeV.

Nucleus	$E_q$ (MeV)	$\sigma_q^a$ (MeV)	$\Gamma_q$ (MeV)	$E_q A^{1/3}$ (MeV)	$(1.60 E_m)^b$ (MeV)	$\frac{1}{2} \pi \sigma_q \Gamma_q$ (MeV mb)	$(S_q/S_d)^c$	$\sigma_{-2}(\text{expt})^d$ (mb MeV <sup>-1</sup> )	$\sigma_{-2}(\text{thy})^e$ (mb MeV <sup>-1</sup> )
<sup>186</sup> Os	22.8 ± 0.2	76 ± 6	3.8 ± 0.6	131	22.7	453 ± 107	0.12	0.87	0.49
<sup>188</sup> Os	23.0 ± 0.3	51 ± 5	5.0 ± 1.0	132	22.4	407 ± 121	0.12	0.77	0.45
<sup>190</sup> Os	23.8 ± 0.3	32 ± 4	4.0 ± 0.9	137	22.1	202 ± 71	0.06	0.36	0.47
<sup>192</sup> Os	23.9 ± 0.1	65 ± 4	2.8 ± 0.4	138	22.1	288 ± 59	0.08	0.50	0.47

<sup>a</sup> Relative uncertainties.

<sup>b</sup> Theoretical prediction of Ref. 42 (see text).  $E_m$  is the GDR energy from Table IV.

<sup>c</sup>  $S_q$  is the quadrupole strength defined as  $\frac{1}{2} \pi \sigma_q \Gamma_q$ ;  $S_d$  is the dipole strength defined as  $\frac{1}{2} \pi [\sigma_m(1) \Gamma(1) + \sigma_m(2) \Gamma(2)]$ .

<sup>d</sup> Computed as  $S_q/E_q^2$ .

<sup>e</sup> Computed from Eq (12).



## IRIDIUM

Z=77

Iridium is a precious heavy white metal with a density only exceeded by osmium. The metal is insoluble in acids and is not even attacked by aqua regia. The metal has no important applications because of difficulties in preparation and fabrication. The element's principal use is in the preparation of platinum alloys.

Smithson Tennant, a British scientist, discovered the element by studying the small black residue — for a time thought to be graphite — which resulted from dissolving native platinum in aqua regia. Tennant named the material iridium because of the range of colors associated with its compounds.



METHOD Linac; isomer yield; activity				REF. NO. 63 Ka 2		NVB	
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,G/	RLY	1 (0.129, < 0.07)	C	5	ACT-I		4PI

Table II. The isomers observed

Isomer	Observed value		Referenced value <sup>(1)(13)</sup>	
	Half-life	Energy (MeV)	Half-life	Energy (MeV)
Se-77m	17.5 sec	0.160	17.5 sec	0.161
Br-79m	4.80 sec	0.209	4.8 sec	0.208
Sr-87m	2.3 hr	0.390	2.8 hr	0.388
Y-89m	15.0 sec	0.920	14 sec	0.915
Rh-103m	58 min	*	57 min	0.040
Ag-107m	42 sec	0.95	44 sec	0.094
Ag-109m			40 sec	0.088
Cd-111m	47 min	0.150,0.255	49 min	0.150,0.247
In-115m	4.5 hr	0.335	4.5 hr	0.335
Sn-117m	17 day	0.160	14 day	0.159,0.161
Ba-137m	2.6 min	0.660	2.6 min	0.662
Er-167m	2.10 sec	0.209	2.5 sec	0.208
Hf-179m	18.5 sec	0.157,0.215	19 sec	0.161,0.217
W-183m	5.4 sec	0.200,0.170,0.115	5.5 sec	0.1025,0.2915 others
Ir-191m	4.90 sec	0.129, < 0.07	4.9 sec	0.042-0.129
Pt-195m	4.5 day	0.065**	4.1 day	0.031-0.130
Au-197m	7.0 sec	0.10,0.27,0.40	7.2 sec	0.130,0.270,0.407
Hg-199m	43 min	0.160,0.370	42 min	0.158,0.368

\* This isomer was measured with a G-M flow counter.  
 \*\* This value corresponds to Pt-K X-ray energy.

Table III. Induced activation rate

Element	Beam energy (MeV)	Counting rate ( $\times 10000$ cpm)	Sample form
Se	5	1300	metallic pellet
Br	4	1600	NaBr grain
Sr	6	0.3	SrCO <sub>3</sub> powder
Y	5	90	metallic grain
Rh	5	0.2*	RhCl <sub>3</sub> grain
Ag	5	180	metallic plate
Cd	6	0.5	CdCl <sub>2</sub> grain
In	6	3	metallic plate
Sn	6	0.0005	metallic plate
Ba	5	0.6	BaS powder
Er	4	4900	Er <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> powder
Hf	5	1600	metallic plate
W	5	120	metallic powder
Ir	5	2100	metallic powder
Pt	5	0.3	metallic plate
Au	4	4300	metallic plate
Hg	6	0.09	metallic liquid

\* The value measured with a G-M flow counter.

METHOD					REF. NO.		
Radioactive source					63 Ve 2		
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,G/	ABX	0-1	D	0-1	NAI-D		

ISOMERS

Таблица II

Измеренные значения после облучения, сравниваемые с другими литературными данными

Элемент	Активность облучения после первого измерения (имп/мин.)	Активн. экстрп. в конце облуч. (имп/мин.)	Литературные данные		Данные измерений		$\sigma_m$ ( $10^{-28}\text{см}^2$ )	$\Gamma_{\text{уп}}$ ( $10^{-29}\text{эв}$ )
			$T_{1/2}$	E (кэВ)	$T_{1/2}$	E (кэВ)		
Se-77m	3842±96	5400	17,5 сек.	160	18,1±1 сек.	160±10	9,5	1,75
Sr-87m	191±5	200	2,8 ч.	390	2,9±0,1 ч.	365±25	0,85	0,2
Y-89m	96±20	170	16 сек.	910	16,7±5 сек.		0,08	0,02
Rh-103m	28±5	31	57 мин.	40	58±2 мин.	20,5±0,5	0,08	0,01
Ag-107m	220±14	250	44 сек.	93	43,8±0,6 сек.	91±10	0,8	0,2
Ag-109m			39 сек.	88				
Hf-179m	80±18	155	19 сек.	160; 215	19±2 сек.		1	0,2
Ir-191m	90±20	250	4,9 сек.	42; 130	5±2 сек.		5,6	1
Pt-195m	90±9	100	3,5 д.	31; 100; 130;	3,5±0,2 д.	32±3 67,5±5 96±5 130±10	0,2	0,04
Au-197m	240±16	520	7,2 сек.	130; 277; 407	7,2±1 сек.	68:130: 280±20 390±20	0,07	0,01
Hg-199m	9,6±3,2		42 мин.	160; 370			0,005	0,001

Acta Phys. Hung. Tom. XVI. Fasc. 3.



METHOD

REF. NO.	egf
75 Ve 5	

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,N*	ABX	8- 22	D	8- 22	MOD-I		4PI
G,2N**	ABX	13- 22	D	8- 22	MOD-I		4PI

\*1026+  
 \*\*1027

**Abstract.** — Partial photoneutron cross-sections [ $\sigma(\gamma, n) + \sigma(\gamma, pn)$ ], and  $\sigma(\gamma, 2n)$  of W, Re, Ir, Pt and Hg were measured by means of monochromatic photons of  $8 \text{ MeV} \leq E \leq 22 \text{ MeV}$  so as to study the giant resonance. The experimentally observed evolution of the shape of the GDR, as one proceeds from permanently deformed prolate nuclei (W and Re) towards oblate or even triaxial gamma unstable nuclei (Pt), corresponds to the theoretical predictions of the dynamic collective model.




FIG. 1. — Sections efficaces partielles  $\sigma(\gamma, n) + \sigma(\gamma, pn)$  des noyaux W, Re, Ir, Pt, Hg.




FIG. 2. — Sections efficaces partielles  $\sigma(\gamma, 2n)$  des noyaux W, Re, Ir, Pt, Hg.

(over)




FIG. 3. — Sections efficaces totales  $\sigma_T(E)$  des noyaux W, Re, Ir, Pt, Hg.

IR  
A=191

IR  
A=191

IR  
A=191



METHOD					REF. NO.		ANGLE
Radioactive source; centrifuge compensation					64 La 4		
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, G	LFT	0-1	D	0-1	NAI-D		125

539 keV level

$$\tau = (1.1_{-0.3}^{+0.7}) \times 10^{-11} \text{ sec.}$$

(590 ± 15) keV level

$$5 \times 10^{-13} < \tau < 1.5 \times 10^{-11} \text{ sec.}$$

TABLE I. *M1* transition probabilities in Ir<sup>191</sup>. The experimental information about the *M1* transition probabilities  $T_{exp}$  (column 5) is obtained from the resonance fluorescence investigation and from lifetime measurements of the 129.5- and the 82.5-keV level. The assumed branching ratios  $\Gamma_{\gamma M1}/\Gamma$  ( $\Gamma_{\gamma M1}$  partial width for the *M1* transitions,  $\Gamma$  total width) and spins are listed in columns 3 and 4. The results are compared with the transition probabilities expected from the Weisskopf formula and from the Nilsson model,  $T_{wk}$  and  $T_{Nilsson}$ .

$E_{\gamma exp}$ (keV)	$E_{\gamma}$ (keV)	$\frac{\Gamma_{\gamma M1}}{\Gamma}$	Assumed spins	$T_{exp}$ $10^9 \text{ sec}^{-1}$	$\frac{T_{wk}}{T_{exp}}$	Assignment	$T_{Nilsson}$ $10^9 \text{ sec}^{-1}$	$\frac{T_{Nilsson}}{T_{exp}}$
129.5	625	0.43	$\frac{3}{2} \rightarrow \frac{3}{2}$	< 40	> 110	(411)↑ - (402)↓	445	> 11
	495	0.16	$\frac{3}{2} \rightarrow \frac{3}{2}$	< 13	> 180	- (402)↓	215	> 16
	85	0.038	$\frac{3}{2} \rightarrow \frac{3}{2}$	< 3	> 4	- (411)↑	4.4	> 1.5
590	590					(402)↑ - (402)↓	5700	
	539	0.35	$\frac{3}{2} \rightarrow \frac{3}{2}$	33	91	(411)↑ - (402)↓	640	19
129.5	410	0.25	$\frac{3}{2} \rightarrow \frac{3}{2}$	23	57	- (402)↓	190	8
	457	0.09	$\frac{3}{2} \rightarrow \frac{3}{2}$	8.4	218	- (400)↑	40	5
	360	0.20	$\frac{3}{2} \rightarrow \frac{3}{2}$	19	47	- (400)↑	16	1
	188	0.012	$\frac{3}{2} \rightarrow \frac{3}{2}$	1.1	115	- (400)↑	0.6	0.5
	129.5	129.5	0.23	$\frac{3}{2} \rightarrow \frac{3}{2}$	1.6 <sup>a</sup>	26	(402)↓ - (402)↓	2.3
82.5	82.5	0.056	$\frac{3}{2} \rightarrow \frac{3}{2}$	0.010 <sup>b</sup>	1000	(400)↓ - (402)↓	0.019	2

<sup>a</sup> Reference 13.  
<sup>b</sup> Reference 14.

METHOD

REF. NO.

67 Sc 1

egf

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, G	LFT	0-1	D	0-1	SCD-D	0-1	125

539, 588 KEV




FIG. 3. Level scheme of  $^{191}\text{Ir}$  based on the presently available information, including the results of this investigation. The numbers associated with the transitions are the energies (keV) and the  $(\gamma+ce)$  intensities per 100  $\text{Pt}^{191}$  decays. The quantum numbers assigned in terms of the Nilsson model are given on the left side. The transitions at 41.8, 47, 49.5, 85.2, 186.8, and 272.0 keV are taken from previous investigations (Refs. 2-4). Levels for which the existing evidence is weak are indicated by dashed lines. A detailed discussion is given in the text.

TABLE I. Results of the resonance-fluorescence experiment.  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  are the statistical weights of the ground state and excited state, respectively.  $\Gamma_0$  represents the level width for the  $\gamma$  transition to the ground state and  $\Gamma$  the total level width.  $\tau_{\text{level}}$  is the mean lifetime of the level.  $(g_2\Gamma_0^2/g_1\Gamma)_{\text{present}}$  and  $(g_2\Gamma_0^2/g_1\Gamma)_{\text{previous}}$  are the weighted averages of the results of the present and the previous measurements.  $\Gamma_0/\Gamma$  is calculated from the  $\gamma$  intensities given in Table II and from the conversion electron intensities given by Harmatz et al.

$E_\gamma$ (keV)	$g_2\Gamma_0^2/g_1\Gamma$ (eV)	$\Gamma_0/\Gamma$	$I_i \rightarrow I_f$	$\tau_{\text{level}}$ (sec)
539	$(7.84 \pm 0.54) \times 10^{-9}$	$0.41 \pm 0.02$	$3/2 \rightarrow 3/2$	$(1.44 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-11}$
588	$(3.74 \pm 0.42) \times 10^{-8}$	$> 0.5$	$(5/2) \rightarrow 3/2$	$(1.76 \pm 0.20)(g_2/g_1)(\Gamma_0/\Gamma)^2 \times 10^{-11}$
624	$< 1.5 \times 10^{-9}$	0.60	$5/2 \rightarrow 3/2$	$> 2.4 \times 10^{-11}$
659	$< 1.9 \times 10^{-8}$	$7.0 \times 10^{-3}$		$> 1.7g_2/g_1 \times 10^{-11}$

<sup>a</sup> Reference 1.  
<sup>b</sup> Reference 2.

<sup>1</sup>H. Langhoff, Phys. Rev. 136, B1590 (1964).

<sup>2</sup>B. Harmatz, T. H. Handley, and J. W. Mihelich, Phys. Rev. 128, 1186 (1962).

REF. A. V. Davydov, G. R. Kartashov and Yu. V. Khrudev  
 Yad. Fiz. 7, 735 (1968)  
 Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 7, 447 (1968)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ir	191	77


METHOD	REF. NO.
	68 Da 1 hmg

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
\$G,G	NOX	1	D	1	NAI-D		DST
		(129 keV)		(129 keV)			

Magnetic moment measured to be  $3.00 \pm 0.58$  nm

MAG MOM

FIG. 3. Angular distribution of 129-keV  $\gamma$  quanta resonantly scattered by  $Ir^{191}$ . The experimental points are plotted for angles  $\theta = \theta_0$  (see Fig. 2). The solid curves is the result of the reduction of the experimental data in accordance with formula (4).



REF. A.M. Goryachev and G.N. Zalesnyi  
 Yad. Fiz. 27, 1479 (June 1978)  
 Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 27, 779 (June 1978)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ir	191	77
REF. NO.		hmg
78 Go 3		11/17/80

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, XN	ABX	8(8.1)-21	C	8-21	BF3-I	---	4PI

Photoneutron yield curves have been measured for the isotopes <sup>191,193</sup>Ir and <sup>194,195,196,198</sup>Pt in the bremsstrahlung beam of a betatron in the energy range 8-21 MeV with a 0.2-MeV step. For the isotopes <sup>194,196,198</sup>Pt we have also measured the photoneutron multiplicity curves. The measurements were made on-line with a computer. The cross sections were calculated from the yield curves by the Penfold-Leiss method with a 1.0-MeV step. The multiplicity curves were used to separate the contributions of (γ, n) and (γ, 2n) reactions. On the basis of the photoabsorption cross sections we have calculated the integrated cross sections, deformation parameters, and average energies. The existence of oblate deformation in these nuclei is not confirmed by the analysis of the measured cross sections.

n multiplicity measurement




FIG. 1. Photoabsorption cross sections for the isotopes <sup>191,193</sup>Ir and <sup>194,195,196,198</sup>Pt. The vertical lines, whose length is equal to two standard deviations, show the experimental cross section. Above the (γ, 2n) threshold the photoabsorption cross section is designated by circles.

TABLE III. Integrated cross sections.

	<sup>191</sup> Ir	<sup>193</sup> Ir	<sup>194</sup> Pt	<sup>195</sup> Pt	<sup>196</sup> Pt	<sup>198</sup> Pt
$\sigma_{int}, \text{MeV}\cdot\text{mb}$	2757±23	2835±30	2861±27	2797±25	2944±21	2813±32
$\sigma_{int}(\gamma, 2n), \text{MeV}\cdot\text{mb}$	—	—	626±9	—	744±9	1023±14
$\sigma_{intL}, \text{MeV}\cdot\text{mb}^*$	3741	3899	3759	3786	3884	3841
$\sigma_{intL}/60NZ/A$	1.36	1.40	1.34	1.34	1.38	1.35
$\sigma_{-1}, \text{mb}$	199±2.5	205±2	210±2	204±3	213±2.5	236±2
$\sigma_{-1} \cdot A^{-1/2}$	0.181	0.184	0.187	0.180	0.187	0.204
$\sigma_{-2}, \text{MeV}^{-1} \cdot \text{mb}$	14.85±0.15	15.33±0.13	13.35±0.14	15.39±0.12	15.96±0.11	15.43±0.18
$\sigma_{-2} \cdot A^{-1/2}$	0.00234	0.00238	0.00236	0.00235	0.00241	0.00229

\*Calculated in the case of validity of hypothesis 2) on the basis of the formula  $\sigma_{intL} = (\pi/2)(\sigma_1 \Gamma_1 + \sigma_2 \Gamma_2)$ .

$\sigma_{int}$  corrected for neutron multiplicity

TABLE IV. Parameters of Lorentz curves.

Nucleus	$E_0, \text{MeV}$	$\Gamma, \text{MeV}$	$\sigma_0, \text{mb}$	$E_0, \text{MeV}$	$\Gamma, \text{MeV}$	$\sigma_0, \text{mb}$	$\sigma_0 \Gamma / \sigma_0 \Gamma_0$
<sup>191</sup> Ir	12.85±0.04	2.70±0.07	294±8	14.82±0.06	5.30±0.21	299±10	0.5
<sup>193</sup> Ir	13.01±0.04	2.61±0.06	317±10	14.77±0.09	6.44±0.31	257±12	0.5
<sup>194</sup> Pt	12.96±0.04	2.59±0.07	308±10	14.54±0.10	5.33±0.22	299±11	0.5
<sup>195</sup> Pt	12.99±0.05	2.70±0.07	298±10	14.59±0.11	5.46±0.27	293±15	0.5
<sup>196</sup> Pt	13.07±0.05	2.72±0.08	303±11	14.40±0.12	5.97±0.29	276±13	0.5
<sup>198</sup> Pt	13.06±0.05	2.87±0.09	284±12	14.34±0.14	6.27±0.34	260±16	0.5
<sup>198</sup> Pt	13.58±0.02	4.45±0.06	514±6	—	—	—	—

TABLE V. Average energies.

Nucleus	$E_M, \text{MeV}^*$	$E_M A^{1/3}$	$E_M A^{1/3}$	Nucleus	$E_M, \text{MeV}^*$	$E_M A^{1/3}$	$E_M A^{1/3}$
<sup>191</sup> Ir	14.16	81.6	34.0	<sup>195</sup> Pt	14.06	81.5	33.9
<sup>193</sup> Ir	14.18	82.0	34.1	<sup>196</sup> Pt	13.96	81.1	33.6
<sup>194</sup> Pt	14.01	81.1	33.7	<sup>198</sup> Pt	13.91	81.1	33.6

\*Calculated in the case of validity of hypothesis 2) according to the formula  $E_M = (1/3)E_1 + (2/3)E_2$ .



IR  
A=193

IR  
A=193

IR  
A=193



REF. K. N. Geller, J. Halpern, and E. G. Muirhead  
 Phys. Rev. 118, 1302-12 (1960)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ir	193	77

METHOD				REF. NO.			
Betatron; neutron threshold; ion chamber				60 Ge 3			
				NVB			
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, N	NØX	THR	C	THR	BF3-I		4 PI

THRESHOLD

TABLE I. Summary and comparison of neutron separation energies inferred from present threshold measurements with values predicted from mass data and reaction energies. All energies are expressed in the center-of-mass system in Mev.

Reaction	No. runs	Present results	Other results	Method	Reference
$Ir^{193}(\gamma, n)Ir^{192}$	1	$7.79 \pm 0.05$			

REF. F. R. Metzger  
 Phys. Rev. 161, 1249 (1967)  
 [See F. R. Metzger  
 Phys. Rev. C2, 2024 (1970)]

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ir	193	77

METHOD	REF. NO.	HMG
	67 Me 2	HMG

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,G	LFT	0-1	D	0-1	SCD-D	0-1	105

TABLE II. Radiative widths of the Ir<sup>193</sup> levels studied in this paper. The value of the partial radiative width for the transition listed in column 2 is given in column 6. The spin value assumed for the resonantly excited level is given in column 3, the ground-state branching ratio in column 4. The relative  $\gamma$  intensity used for the calculation of the radiative width is listed in column 5. For comparison purposes, columns 7 and 8 provide the single-particle estimates<sup>a</sup> for the  $M1$  and  $E2$  widths.

Level (keV)	$E_x$ (keV)	Spin	$\Gamma_0/\Gamma$	$\gamma$ intensity in Os <sup>193</sup> decay	Radiative width ( $10^{-6}$ eV)	$\Gamma(M1)_{sp}$ ( $10^{-6}$ eV)	$\Gamma(E2)_{sp}$ ( $10^{-6}$ eV)
559	559	( $\frac{1}{2}$ )	0.73	13	$27 \pm 6$	320	0.3
	486			$< 1.2$	$< 10$	210	0.14
	420			$5.1^b$	$10 \pm 2$	140	0.07
557	557	( $\frac{1}{2}$ )	0.73	38	$< 12$	320	0.3
	484			$4.6^b$	$< 1.5$	210	0.14
	418			$< 1.3$	$< 0.4$	140	0.07
460	460	$\frac{3}{2}$	0.48	100	$1.6 \pm 0.3$	180	0.11

<sup>a</sup> J. M. Blatt and V. F. Weisskopf, *Theoretical Nuclear Physics* (John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1952), Chap. 12.

<sup>b</sup> This intensity was calculated under the assumption that the corresponding transition from the other member of the 557-559 keV doublet is absent.

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ir	193	77
METHOD		REF. NO.
		70 Me 6
		hmg

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,G	LFT	0-1	D	0-1	SCD-D	0-1	105

SEE 67ME2

TABLE I. Mean lives of Ir<sup>193</sup> levels. The values listed in columns 5-7 were determined using the delayed-coincidence technique. Multiplication by  $(\Gamma_p/\Gamma)_{new}^2/(\Gamma_p/\Gamma)_{old}^2$  will adjust the lifetimes listed in column 4 to new values for the branching ratios.

Level energy* (keV)	Spin	$\Gamma_p/\Gamma$	Res. scatt.	Mean lives in psec		
				Avida <i>et al.</i> (Ref. 1)	Lindskog <i>et al.</i> (Ref. 2)	Väliavaara <i>et al.</i> (Ref. 3)
460	$\frac{3}{2}$	0.43±0.02	16±3	27±7	20±13	22±8
557	$\frac{1}{2}$	0.54±0.04	<6		≤67	49±12
559	$\frac{3}{2}$	0.70±0.04	1.65±0.24	≤300	≤280	≤110

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
193	Ir	77
REF. NO.		hmg 11/17/80
78 Go 3		

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, XN	ABX	7(7.8)-21	C	8-21	BF3-I	---	4PI

Photon neutron yield curves have been measured for the isotopes  $^{191,193}\text{Ir}$  and  $^{194,195,196,198}\text{Pt}$  in the bremsstrahlung beam of a betatron in the energy range 8-21 MeV with a 0.2-MeV step. For the isotopes  $^{194,196,198}\text{Pt}$  we have also measured the photon neutron multiplicity curves. The measurements were made on-line with a computer. The cross sections were calculated from the yield curves by the Penfold-Leiss method with a 1.0-MeV step. The multiplicity curves were used to separate the contributions of  $(\gamma, n)$  and  $(\gamma, 2n)$  reactions. On the basis of the photoabsorption cross sections we have calculated the integrated cross sections, deformation parameters, and average energies. The existence of oblate deformation in these nuclei is not confirmed by the analysis of the measured cross sections.

n multiplicity measurement




FIG. 1. Photoabsorption cross sections for the isotopes  $^{191,193}\text{Ir}$  and  $^{194,195,196,198}\text{Pt}$ . The vertical lines, whose length is equal to two standard deviations, show the experimental cross section. Above the  $(\gamma, 2n)$  threshold the photoabsorption cross section is designated by circles.

TABLE III. Integrated cross sections.

	$^{191}\text{Ir}$	$^{193}\text{Ir}$	$^{195}\text{Pt}$	$^{196}\text{Pt}$	$^{198}\text{Pt}$	$^{198}\text{Pt}$
$\sigma_{\text{int}}, \text{MeV}\cdot\text{mb}$	$2757 \pm 23$	$2535 \pm 30$	$2861 \pm 27$	$2797 \pm 25$	$2944 \pm 21$	$2813 \pm 32$
$\sigma_{\text{int}}(\gamma, 2n), \text{MeV}\cdot\text{mb}$	—	—	$625 \pm 9$	—	$744 \pm 9$	$1023 \pm 14$
$\sigma_{\text{int}L}, \text{MeV}\cdot\text{mb}^*$	—	—	0.22	—	0.25	0.36
$\sigma_{\text{int}L/60NZ/A}$	3741	3899	3759	3768	3884	3841
$\sigma_{-1}, \text{mb}$	1.36	1.40	1.34	1.34	1.38	1.35
$\sigma_{-1}, A^{-1/2}$	$199 \pm 2.5$	$205 \pm 2$	$210 \pm 2$	$204 \pm 3$	$213 \pm 2.5$	$226 \pm 2$
$\sigma_{-2}, \text{MeV}^{-1}\cdot\text{mb}$	0.181	0.184	0.187	0.180	0.187	0.204
$\sigma_{-2}, A^{-1/2}$	$14.85 \pm 0.15$	$15.33 \pm 0.13$	$15.35 \pm 0.14$	$15.39 \pm 0.12$	$15.96 \pm 0.11$	$15.43 \pm 0.18$
$\sigma_{-3}, A^{-1/2}$	0.00234	0.00238	0.00236	0.00235	0.00241	0.00229

\*Calculated in the case of validity of hypothesis 2) on the basis of the formula  $\sigma_{\text{int}L} = (\pi/2)(\sigma_1 \Gamma_1 + \sigma_2 \Gamma_2)$ .

$\sigma_{\text{int}}$  is corrected for neutron multiplicity

TABLE IV. Parameters of Lorentz curves.

Nucleus	$E_1, \text{MeV}$	$\Gamma_1, \text{MeV}$	$\sigma_1, \text{mb}$	$E_2, \text{MeV}$	$\Gamma_2, \text{MeV}$	$\sigma_2, \text{mb}$	$\sigma_1 \Gamma_1 / \sigma_2 \Gamma_2$
$^{191}\text{Ir}$	$12.85 \pm 0.04$	$2.70 \pm 0.07$	$294 \pm 8$	$14.82 \pm 0.09$	$5.30 \pm 0.21$	$299 \pm 10$	0.5
$^{193}\text{Ir}$	$13.01 \pm 0.04$	$2.61 \pm 0.06$	$317 \pm 10$	$14.77 \pm 0.09$	$6.44 \pm 0.31$	$257 \pm 12$	0.5
$^{195}\text{Pt}$	$12.96 \pm 0.04$	$2.59 \pm 0.07$	$308 \pm 10$	$14.54 \pm 0.10$	$5.33 \pm 0.22$	$299 \pm 11$	0.5
$^{196}\text{Pt}$	$12.99 \pm 0.05$	$2.70 \pm 0.07$	$296 \pm 10$	$14.59 \pm 0.11$	$5.46 \pm 0.27$	$293 \pm 15$	0.5
$^{198}\text{Pt}$	$13.07 \pm 0.05$	$2.72 \pm 0.08$	$303 \pm 11$	$14.40 \pm 0.12$	$5.97 \pm 0.29$	$278 \pm 13$	0.5
$^{198}\text{Pt}$	$13.08 \pm 0.05$	$2.87 \pm 0.09$	$284 \pm 12$	$14.34 \pm 0.14$	$6.27 \pm 0.34$	$200 \pm 16$	0.5
$^{198}\text{Pt}$	$13.58 \pm 0.02$	$4.45 \pm 0.08$	$514 \pm 6$	—	—	—	—

TABLE V. Average energies.

Nucleus	$E_M, \text{MeV}^*$	$E_M A^{1/2}$	$E_M A^{1/3}$	Nucleus	$E_M, \text{MeV}^*$	$E_M A^{1/2}$	$E_M A^{1/3}$
$^{191}\text{Ir}$	14.16	81.6	34.0	$^{195}\text{Pt}$	14.08	81.5	33.9
$^{193}\text{Ir}$	14.18	82.0	34.1	$^{196}\text{Pt}$	13.96	81.1	33.6
$^{195}\text{Pt}$	14.01	81.1	33.7	$^{198}\text{Pt}$	13.91	81.1	33.6

\*Calculated in the case of validity of hypothesis 2) according to the formula  $E_M = (1/3)E_1 + (2/3)E_2$ .

## PLATINUM

Z=78

Platinum is a silvery white, soft, ductile, metal valued as a powerful catalyst with a high resistance to chemical corrosion. The first known reference to the material was by Julius Caesar Scaliger (1557) who wrote about a substance found in the mines of Central America "which it has not hitherto been possible to melt by fire or by any of the Spanish arts". In the 18th Century there were references to *platina* — an unwanted adjunct of gold in the mines of Columbia. The name *platina* is thought to have been a derogatory diminutive of plata, silver.

William Brownrigg, an English physician, did the first recorded experiments on platinum; the results were reported to the Royal Society in 1750. This publication stimulated other European scientists to study the new metal.

PT

PT





Elem. Sym.	A	Z
Pt		78
Ref. No.	623h2	66

Method  
35 MeV Betatron

Reaction	E or ΔE	E <sub>0</sub>	Γ	∫σdE	Jπ	Notes
(γ, p)	E <sub>γmax</sub> = 22.5 33.5					Angular distribution of photoprotons fitted to $a \pm b \sin^2 \theta (1 + p \cos \theta)^2$ where a, b and p are given in the article. Quadrupole absorption is estimated to be about 60-70%.




FIG. 3. Energy distribution of 2364 photoprotons from Pt for E<sub>γmax</sub> = 33.5 MeV. Same notation as in Figs. 1 and 2. The scale of curve 1 has been enlarged 50 times.

Table II. Measured yields Y of photoprotons from Rh, Pt, and Pb, and estimates based on the evaporation model and on the direct photoeffect

Element	Rh	Pt	Pb	
E <sub>γmax</sub> , MeV	22.5	33.5	33.5	22.5
Y <sub>exp</sub> protons/mole-roentgen	1.3 · 10 <sup>8</sup>	2.8 · 10 <sup>8</sup>	9.6 · 10 <sup>4</sup>	2.9 · 10 <sup>4</sup>
Y <sub>exp</sub> /Y <sub>evap</sub>	~3	~6	~2000	~1500
Y <sub>exp</sub> /Y <sub>direct</sub>	~3	~4.5	~20	~11




FIG. 7. Angular distributions of photoprotons from Pt for E<sub>γmax</sub> = 33.5 MeV. ●—E<sub>p</sub> = 7.25–14.25 MeV; ×—E<sub>p</sub> > 14.25 MeV.

Method 35 MeV betatron; emulsions

Ref. No. 62 Sh 4  
JHH

Reaction	E or ΔE	E <sub>0</sub>	Γ	∫σdE	Jπ	Notes
Pt (γ,p)	Bremss. 22.5 33.5					Parameters a, b and p for $w(\theta_p) = a + b \sin^2\theta (1 + p \cos\theta)^2$ in Table I.

Coefficients of expressions of type (1) for the angular distribution of the photo-protons for various elements and energies

Element	Z	E <sub>max</sub> (MeV)	E <sub>0</sub> (MeV)	a	b	p	7000(a <sup>2</sup> -b <sup>2</sup> )
Rh	45	22.5	0.20-0.25	1.9	0	0	0
		> 0.25	2.5	1.9	0.2	1	
		33.5	0.20-0.25	13.2	0	0	0
		> 0.25	4.5	3.7	1.2	0.20	
Pt	78	22.5	0.20-0.25	1.9	0	0	0
		> 0.25	2.9	1.9	0.2	1	
		33.5	0.20-0.25	13.2	0	0	0
		> 0.25	4.5	3.7	1.2	0.20	
W	74	22.5	0.20-0.25	1.9	0	0	0
		> 0.25	2.9	1.9	0.2	1	
		33.5	0.20-0.25	13.2	0	0	0
		> 0.25	4.5	3.7	1.2	0.20	
Pt	78	22.5	0.20-0.25	1.9	0	0	0
		> 0.25	2.9	1.9	0.2	1	
		33.5	0.20-0.25	13.2	0	0	0
		> 0.25	4.5	3.7	1.2	0.20	
Pb	82	22.5	0.20-0.25	1.9	0	0	0
		> 0.25	2.9	1.9	0.2	1	
		33.5	0.20-0.25	13.2	0	0	0
		> 0.25	4.5	3.7	1.2	0.20	




Fig. 9. Energy distribution of photo-protons for Pt.




Fig. 10. Angular distribution of photo-protons for Pt. The experimental points for E<sub>max</sub> = 22.5 MeV are taken from [1] and for E<sub>max</sub> = 33.5 MeV by solid squares for E<sub>0</sub> = 0.20-0.25 MeV and open squares for E<sub>0</sub> = 0.25-0.30 MeV. (1) for the case of E<sub>max</sub> = 22.5 MeV cross-section to E<sub>0</sub> = 0.20-0.25 MeV and for E<sub>0</sub> = 0.25-0.30 MeV.

Measured photo-proton cross-sections for various elements and energies

Element	Z	E <sub>max</sub> (MeV)	E <sub>0</sub> (MeV)	σ (mb)
Pt	78	22.5	0.20-0.25	1.9
Pt	78	> 0.25	2.5	2.9
Pt	78	33.5	0.20-0.25	13.2
Pt	78	> 0.25	4.5	3.7
W	74	22.5	0.20-0.25	1.9
W	74	> 0.25	2.9	1.9
W	74	33.5	0.20-0.25	13.2
W	74	> 0.25	4.5	3.7
Pt	78	22.5	0.20-0.25	1.9
Pt	78	> 0.25	2.9	1.9
Pt	78	33.5	0.20-0.25	13.2
Pt	78	> 0.25	4.5	3.7
Pb	82	22.5	0.20-0.25	1.9
Pb	82	> 0.25	2.9	1.9
Pb	82	33.5	0.20-0.25	13.2
Pb	82	> 0.25	4.5	3.7



(1) The value σ<sub>exp</sub> is expressed in mb/cm<sup>2</sup> for the case of Pt.

References

- 1) M. E. Fims and W. E. Stephens, Phys. Rev. 93 (1955) 926
- 2) H. M. Hoffman and A. G. W. Cameron, Phys. Rev. 92 (1953) 1144
- 3) W. G. Barber and V. I. Minnaya, Nucl. Phys. 16 (1959) 281
- 4) M. E. Fims and W. E. Stephens, Phys. Rev. 92 (1953) 502
- 5) H. D. Flaknowsky, JETP 33 (1959) 37
- 6) R. J. Taylor, Nuclear Physics 19 (1959) 438
- 7) A. G. W. Cameron, W. Harms and G. Kutz, Phys. Rev. 85 (1951) 1124
- 8) V. G. Shevchenko, V. G. Shevchenko and N. P. Yudin, papers at the Second All-Union Conf. for Nuclear Reactions at Low and Medium Energies, 1960, 1960
- 9) R. D. Connor, Phys. Rev. 82 (1951) 704
- 10) V. V. Balanov, V. G. Shevchenko and N. P. Yudin, JETP 41 (1961) 1029

35 MeV betatron; emulsions

Ref. No.	JHH
62 Sh 7	

Reaction	E or ΔE	E <sub>0</sub>	Γ	∫σdE	Jπ	Notes																																																																		
Pt(γ,p)	Bremss. 33.5					 <p>Fig. 1. Angular distributions of photoprotons from Pt for <math>E_{\gamma} = 7.25-14.25</math> MeV; <math>\alpha = E_{\gamma} - 14.25</math> MeV.</p> <p>Table I. Parameters of curves of <math>a + b \sin^2 \theta (1 - \cos \theta)^2</math> and estimated contributions of E2 transitions</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Element</th> <th><math>E_{\gamma}</math>, MeV</th> <th><math>E_{\gamma} - E_p</math>, MeV</th> <th><math>a</math></th> <th><math>b</math></th> <th><math>\rho</math></th> <th><math>\rho_{E2} = \rho_{E2}^{est}</math></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td rowspan="2">Pt</td> <td>7.25</td> <td>7.25</td> <td>0.15</td> <td>0.15</td> <td>0.15</td> <td>0.15</td> </tr> <tr> <td>14.25</td> <td>14.25</td> <td>0.15</td> <td>0.15</td> <td>0.15</td> <td>0.15</td> </tr> <tr> <td rowspan="2">Rh</td> <td>7.25</td> <td>7.25</td> <td>0.15</td> <td>0.15</td> <td>0.15</td> <td>0.15</td> </tr> <tr> <td>14.25</td> <td>14.25</td> <td>0.15</td> <td>0.15</td> <td>0.15</td> <td>0.15</td> </tr> <tr> <td rowspan="2">Pb</td> <td>7.25</td> <td>7.25</td> <td>0.15</td> <td>0.15</td> <td>0.15</td> <td>0.15</td> </tr> <tr> <td>14.25</td> <td>14.25</td> <td>0.15</td> <td>0.15</td> <td>0.15</td> <td>0.15</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Table II. Measured yields Y of photoprotons from Rh, Pt, and Pb, and estimates based on the evaporation model and on the direct photoeffect</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Element</th> <th>Rh</th> <th>Pt</th> <th>Pb</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td><math>E_{\gamma, max}</math>, MeV</td> <td>22.5</td> <td>33.5</td> <td>33.5</td> </tr> <tr> <td><math>Y_{exp}</math>, protons/mole-roentgen</td> <td><math>1.3 \cdot 10^6</math></td> <td><math>2.8 \cdot 10^6</math></td> <td><math>9.6 \cdot 10^6</math></td> </tr> <tr> <td><math>Y_{exp}/Y_{evap}</math></td> <td>~1</td> <td>~6</td> <td>~200</td> </tr> <tr> <td><math>Y_{exp}/Y_{direct}</math></td> <td>~1</td> <td>~1.5</td> <td>~11</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>  <p>Fig. 2. Energy distribution of 2364 photoprotons from Pt for <math>E_{\gamma, max} = 33.5</math> MeV. Same notation as in Figs. 1 and 2. The scale of curve 1 has been enlarged 50 times.</p>	Element	$E_{\gamma}$ , MeV	$E_{\gamma} - E_p$ , MeV	$a$	$b$	$\rho$	$\rho_{E2} = \rho_{E2}^{est}$	Pt	7.25	7.25	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	14.25	14.25	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	Rh	7.25	7.25	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	14.25	14.25	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	Pb	7.25	7.25	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	14.25	14.25	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	Element	Rh	Pt	Pb	$E_{\gamma, max}$ , MeV	22.5	33.5	33.5	$Y_{exp}$ , protons/mole-roentgen	$1.3 \cdot 10^6$	$2.8 \cdot 10^6$	$9.6 \cdot 10^6$	$Y_{exp}/Y_{evap}$	~1	~6	~200	$Y_{exp}/Y_{direct}$	~1	~1.5	~11
Element	$E_{\gamma}$ , MeV	$E_{\gamma} - E_p$ , MeV	$a$	$b$	$\rho$	$\rho_{E2} = \rho_{E2}^{est}$																																																																		
Pt	7.25	7.25	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15																																																																		
	14.25	14.25	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15																																																																		
Rh	7.25	7.25	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15																																																																		
	14.25	14.25	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15																																																																		
Pb	7.25	7.25	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15																																																																		
	14.25	14.25	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15																																																																		
Element	Rh	Pt	Pb																																																																					
$E_{\gamma, max}$ , MeV	22.5	33.5	33.5																																																																					
$Y_{exp}$ , protons/mole-roentgen	$1.3 \cdot 10^6$	$2.8 \cdot 10^6$	$9.6 \cdot 10^6$																																																																					
$Y_{exp}/Y_{evap}$	~1	~6	~200																																																																					
$Y_{exp}/Y_{direct}$	~1	~1.5	~11																																																																					

METHOD			SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
Linac; isomer yield; activity			C 5		ACT-I		4PI
G,G/	RLY	1 (0.065)					

REF. NO.  
 63 Ka 2

NVB

Table II. The isomers observed

Isomer	Observed value		Referenced value <sup>(1)(13)</sup>	
	Half-life	Energy (MeV)	Half-life	Energy (MeV)
Se-77m	17.5 sec	0.160	17.5 sec	0.161
Br-79m	4.80 sec	0.209	4.8 sec	0.208
Sr-87m	2.3 hr	0.390	2.8 hr	0.388
Y-89m	15.0 sec	0.920	14 sec	0.915
Rh-103m	58 min	*	57 min	0.040
Ag-107m	} 42 sec }	} 0.95 }	44 sec	0.094
Ag-109m			40 sec	0.088
Cd-111m	47 min	0.150, 0.255	49 min	0.150, 0.247
In-115m	4.5 hr	0.335	4.5 hr	0.335
Sn-117m	17 day	0.160	14 day	0.159, 0.161
Ba-137m	2.6 min	0.660	2.6 min	0.662
Er-167m	2.10 sec	0.209	2.5 sec	0.208
Hf-179m	18.5 sec	0.157, 0.215	19 sec	0.161, 0.217
W-183m	5.4 sec	0.200, 0.170, 0.115	5.5 sec	0.1025, 0.2915 others
Ir-191m	4.90 sec	0.129, <0.07	4.9 sec	0.042-0.129
Pt-195m	4.5 day	0.065**	4.1 day	0.031-0.130
Au-197m	7.0 sec	0.10, 0.27, 0.40	7.2 sec	0.130, 0.270, 0.407
Hg-199m	43 min	0.160, 0.370	42 min	0.158, 0.368

\* This isomer was measured with a G-M flow counter.

\*\* This value corresponds to Pt-K X-ray energy.

Table III. Induced activation rate

Element	Beam energy (MeV)	Counting rate (×10000 cpm)	Sample form
Se	5	1300	metallic pellet
Br	4	1600	NaBr grain
Sr	6	0.3	SrCO <sub>3</sub> powder
Y	5	90	metallic grain
Rh	5	(0.2)*	RhCl <sub>3</sub> grain
Ag	5	180	metallic plate
Cd	6	0.5	CdCl <sub>2</sub> grain
In	6	8	metallic plate
Sn	6	0.0005	metallic plate
Ba	5	0.6	BaS powder
Er	4	4900	Er <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> powder
Hf	5	1600	metallic plate
W	5	120	metallic powder
Ir	5	2100	metallic powder
Pt	5	0.3	metallic plate
Au	4	4300	metallic plate
Hg	6	0.09	metallic liquid

\* The value measured with a G-M flow counter.

A. Veres  
Acta Phys. Acad. Sci. Hung. 16, 261-73 (1963)

Pt

78

## METHOD

Radioactive source

## REF. NO.

63 Ve 2

NVB

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,G/	ABX	0-1	D	0-1	NAI-D		

ISOMERS

Таблица II

Измеренные значения после облучения, сравниваемые с другими литературными данными

Элемент	Активность облучения после первого измерения (имп/мин.)	Активн. экстрп. в конце облуч. (имп/мин.)	Литературные данные		Данные измерений		$\sigma_{\text{в}}$ ( $10^{-24}\text{см}^2$ )	$\Gamma_{\text{в}}$ ( $10^{-4}\text{эв}$ )
			$T_{1/2}$	$E$ (кэв)	$T_{1/2}$	$E$ (кэв)		
Se-77m	$3842 \pm 96$	5400	17,5 сек.	160	$18,1 \pm 1$ сек.	$160 \pm 10$	9,5	1,75
Sr-87m	$191 \pm 5$	200	2,8 ч.	390	$2,9 \pm 0,1$ ч.	$365 \pm 25$	0,85	0,2
Y-89m	$96 \pm 20$	170	16 сек.	910	$16,7 \pm 5$ сек.		0,08	0,02
Rh-103m	$28 \pm 5$	31	57 мин.	40	$58 \pm 2$ мин.	$20,5 \pm 0,5$	0,08	0,01
Ag-107m	$220 \pm 14$	250	44 сек.	93	$43,8 \pm 0,6$ сек.	$91 \pm 10$	0,8	0,2
Ag-109m			39 сек.	88				
Hf-179m	$80 \pm 18$	155	19 сек.	160; 215	$19 \pm 2$ сек.		1	0,2
Ir-191m	$90 \pm 20$	250	4,9 сек.	42; 130	$5 \pm 2$ сек.		5,6	1
Pt-195m	$90 \pm 9$	100	3,5 д.	31; 100; 130;	$3,5 \pm 0,2$ д.	$32 \pm 3$ $67,5 \pm 5$ $96 \pm 5$ $130 \pm 10$	0,2	0,04
Au-197m	$240 \pm 16$	520	7,2 сек.	130; 277; 407	$7,2 \pm 1$ сек.	68:130: 280 $\pm$ 20 390 $\pm$ 20	0,07	0,01
Hg-199m	$9,6 \pm 3,2$		42 мин.	160; 370			0,005	0,001

Acta Phys. Hung. Tom. XVI. Fasc. 3.

REF.

Yu.Ya. Glazunov, M.V. Savin, I.N. Safina, E.F. Fomushkin,  
 Yu.A. Khokhlov  
 Zhur. Eksp. i Teoret. Fiz. 46, 1906-08 (1964)  
 Soviet Phys. JETP 19, 1284 (1964)

ELEM. SYM.

A

Z

Pt

78

METHOD


REF. NO.

64 G1 1

NVB

Linac

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,N	SPC	16	D	16	TØF-D	0-5	90



shown in the figure. The solid curves a, b, and c are the evaporation spectra

$$N(E) \sim \frac{E}{T} \exp\left(-\frac{E}{T}\right)$$

with the temperature  $T = 0.48 \pm 0.03$  MeV for platinum,  $0.84 \pm 0.04$  MeV for Bi, and  $0.98 \pm 0.04$  MeV for lead.

The solid curve d is the sum of the evaporation spectrum and the fission spectrum of uranium:

$$N(E) = \alpha \frac{E}{T} \exp\left(-\frac{E}{T}\right) + (1 - \alpha) \exp\left(-\frac{E}{T_f}\right) \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi\omega T_f}} \exp\left(-\frac{E}{T_f}\right) \sinh \frac{\sqrt{\omega E}}{T_f}$$

with the parameters:  $T = 0.33 \pm 0.03$  MeV,  $T_f = 1.05 \pm 0.04$  MeV,  $\omega = 0.5$  MeV,  $\alpha = 0.49 \pm 0.01$ .

METHOD

REF. NO.

Nuclear Resonance Scattering using N,G reactions.

66 Be 3

JDM

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,G	RLX	5 - 10	D	5 - 10	NAI-D	5 - 10	135




Fig. 3. Histogram of distribution of observed resonances among the different targets. The atomic number is given directly beneath the chemical symbol followed by the neutron numbers of the naturally occurring isotopes. Magic numbers are shown in brackets.

TABLE III. List of effective cross sections.

Scatterer	Energy (MeV)	Gamma source	$\delta$ (mb)	Scatterer	Energy (MeV)	Gamma source	$\delta$ (mb)
Sm <sup>144</sup>	8.997	Ni	100	Sn	7.01	Cu	110
Pr <sup>141</sup>	8.881	Cr	9	Nd	6.867	Co	30
La	8.532	Ni	6	Pr <sup>141</sup>	6.867	Co	3
Te	8.532	Ni	3 <sup>a</sup>	Te	6.7	Ni	...
Cu	8.499	Cr	24	La	6.54	Ag	12
Zr	8.496	Se	3050	Cd	6.474	Co	110
Zn	8.119	Ni	13	Mo	6.44	Hg	25 <sup>c</sup>
Se	7.817	Ni	50	La	6.413	Ti	72
Sb	7.76	K	90	Mo	6.413	Ti	10
Cd	7.67	V	...	Ti	6.413	Ti	25
Ni	7.64	Fe	40 <sup>a</sup>	W	~6.3	Ti	...
Pr <sup>141</sup>	7.64	Fe	7 <sup>a</sup>	Sb	6.31	Hg	6 <sup>b</sup>
Ti	7.64	Fe	12 <sup>a</sup>	Ti	6.31	Hg	2 <sup>b</sup>
La	7.634	Cu	370 <sup>a</sup>	Sn	6.27	Ag	75
Mo	7.634	Cu	11	Pb <sup>208</sup>	6.15	Gd	...
Bi <sup>209</sup>	7.634	Cu	4	Te	5.8	Ni	...
Te	7.528	Ni	66 <sup>d</sup>	La	6.12	Cl	35
Bi <sup>209</sup>	7.416	Se	100	Pr <sup>141</sup>	6.12	Cl	110
Bi <sup>209</sup>	7.300	As	80 <sup>e</sup>	Pt	5.99	Hg	40 <sup>a,d</sup>
Cl	7.285	Fe	4100	Ti	5.99	Hg	5 <sup>b</sup>
Pr <sup>141</sup>	7.285	Fe	34	Pb <sup>208</sup>	5.9	Sr	...
Ti	7.185	Se	80	Ce	5.646	Co	17
La	7.16	Cu	120	Bi <sup>209</sup>	5.646	Co	55
Bi <sup>209</sup>	7.15	Mn	50	Pb <sup>208</sup>	5.53	Ag	70
Bi <sup>209</sup>	7.149	Ti	2000	Hg	5.44	Hg	75 <sup>e</sup>
				Hg	4.903	Co	385

<sup>a</sup> High-energy component of a complex spectrum.  
<sup>b</sup> A broad scattered spectrum with no observable peak structure.  
<sup>c</sup> There are actually two lines of energies 7.647 and 7.633 MeV having equal intensities in the iron capture gamma spectrum. The cross section has therefore been corrected, although there is no possibility at present of deciding which line is responsible for each resonance.  
<sup>d</sup> Is probably an independent level in the complex spectrum of Ni  $\gamma$  rays on Te.  
<sup>e</sup> Rough estimate.  
<sup>f</sup> May be inelastic component from 7.528 level in Te.  
<sup>g</sup> The relative line intensities in this case are due to Groshev and co-workers.  
<sup>h</sup> No line is known for the source at this energy.  
<sup>i</sup> Difficult to resolve among the many source lines present at this energy.

REF. A. V. Mitrofanova, Yu. N. Ranyuk, and P. V. Sorokin  
 J. Nucl. Phys. (USSR) 6, 703 (1967)  
 Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 6, 512 (1968)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Pt		78
REF. NO.		
67 Mi 1		HMG

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, F	ABX	300-999		300-999	TRK-I		

Detector: Fission fragment tracks in glass.

999 = 1600 MEV

Angular distribution measured for Pb was found isotropic; for other elements it was assumed isotropic.

Nucleus	Fissionability $D$	Cross section $\sigma_K, \mu\beta$	Nucleus	Fissionability $D$	Cross section $\sigma_K, \mu\beta$
Bi	$0.11 \pm 0.01$	$7.8 \pm 0.6$	Os	$0.0058 \pm 0.0005$	$0.37 \pm 0.04$
Pb	$0.050 \pm 0.004$	$3.4 \pm 0.3$	Re	$0.0056 \pm 0.0006$	$0.35 \pm 0.04$
Tl	$0.031 \pm 0.003$	$2.1 \pm 0.2$	Ta	$0.0045 \pm 0.0005$	$0.27 \pm 0.03$
Au	$0.019 \pm 0.002$	$1.25 \pm 0.10$	Hf	$0.0042 \pm 0.0004$	$0.25 \pm 0.03$
Pt	$0.012 \pm 0.002$	$0.80 \pm 0.08$			





Fig. 1. Photofission fragment yields.  $\circ$ -present work;  $\square$ -Jungerman and Steiner.<sup>(1)</sup> The curves were plotted through the experimental points.




Fig. 2. Photofission fragment yields as a function of  $Z^2/A$ . The ordinates are values of  $\sigma_a$  in units of  $\text{cm}^2$ .



METHOD				REF. NO.			
				67 Ra 2		HMG	
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, F	ABX	THR-260	C	100-260	EMU-I		DST

Angular distribution isotropic to 5%.

Table II

$E_{\gamma, \text{min}}, \text{ MeV}$	Cross section per equivalent $\gamma$ quantum, $10^{-27} \text{ cm}^2$			
	Bi	Pb	Au	Pt
100	$0.07 \pm 0.005$	$0.017 \pm 0.002$	$0.003 \pm 0.0005$	$0.0012 \pm 0.0002$
120	$0.15 \pm 0.01$	$0.032 \pm 0.003$	$0.014 \pm 0.001$	$0.0035 \pm 0.0003$
140	$0.20 \pm 0.01$	$0.054 \pm 0.004$	$0.020 \pm 0.001$	$0.0053 \pm 0.0006$
150*	$0.61 \pm 0.12$	—	—	—
160	$0.31 \pm 0.01$	$0.096 \pm 0.005$	$0.037 \pm 0.001$	$0.012 \pm 0.0005$
180	$0.46 \pm 0.02$	$0.13 \pm 0.01$	$0.055 \pm 0.001$	$0.015 \pm 0.001$
180*	$0.68 \pm 0.09$	—	—	—
200	$0.62 \pm 0.02$	$0.20 \pm 0.01$	$0.082 \pm 0.002$	$0.031 \pm 0.001$
200*	$1.3 \pm 0.24$	—	$0.31 \pm 0.09$	—
200**	0.7	—	—	—
220	$0.83 \pm 0.03$	$0.28 \pm 0.01$	$0.108 \pm 0.003$	$0.039 \pm 0.001$
240	$1.22 \pm 0.03$	$0.36 \pm 0.01$	$0.146 \pm 0.003$	$0.063 \pm 0.001$
240**	1.5	—	—	—
250*	$1.78 \pm 0.22$	—	$0.33 \pm 0.07$	—
260	$1.50 \pm 0.04$	$0.50 \pm 0.02$	$0.180 \pm 0.004$	$0.085 \pm 0.002$

\* From <sup>101</sup>.  
 \*\* From <sup>111</sup>.




Fig. 3. Photofission cross sections. O—Bi, ●—Pb, △—Au, ▲—Pt. The curves were calculated from smoothed yield curves.

REF. J. W. Jury, J. S. Hewitt, and K. G. McNeill  
 Can. J. Phys. 46, 1823 (1968)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Pt		78
REF. NO.		
68 Ju 1		EGF

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G <sub>2</sub> N	NOX	THR-27	C	27	THR	5-	DST

$$W(\theta) = a_0 + a_1 P_1 + a_2 P_2$$

TABLE I

Target element	Z	Energy	a <sub>0</sub> *	a <sub>1</sub> /a <sub>0</sub>	a <sub>2</sub> /a <sub>0</sub>
Vanadium	23	32	640 ± 50	0.11 ± 0.10	-0.09 ± 0.11
Chromium	24	22	365 ± 39	0.02 ± 0.08	0.00 ± 0.10
Manganese	25	22	450 ± 33	0.07 ± 0.05	-0.11 ± 0.06
Bromine	35	27	874 ± 54	0.05 ± 0.06	-0.15 ± 0.08
Molybdenum	42	22	610 ± 60	0.09 ± 0.05	-0.35 ± 0.06
Ruthenium	44	27	1100 ± 25	0.12 ± 0.02	-0.29 ± 0.03
Rhodium	45	27	1270 ± 47	0.06 ± 0.03	-0.14 ± 0.03
Palladium	46	27	1350 ± 29	0.26 ± 0.02	-0.12 ± 0.02
Antimony	51	27	2140 ± 62	0.04 ± 0.08	-0.25 ± 0.11
Lanthanum	57	27	1940 ± 70	0.12 ± 0.10	-0.52 ± 0.14
Praseodymium	59	30	1800 ± 58	0.20 ± 0.08	-0.40 ± 0.09
Platinum	78	27	2600 ± 52	0.17 ± 0.02	-0.15 ± 0.03
Lead	82	22	2274 ± 59	0.08 ± 0.08	-0.46 ± 0.09

\*The yield per mole per 100 r was normalized to a yield of 2274 for the lead sample at the same energy.

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, F	ABX	35-140	C	40-140	TRK-I		4PI

Yields of nuclear fission reaction for Bi, Au and Pt by bremsstrahlung were measured by means of solid state track detectors in the energy range from 40 to 140 MeV. The fission threshold for these nuclei is higher than the giant resonance energy which allowed total photofission cross-sections to be calculated by the yield curves.

A rapid increase of the cross-sections with the photon energy testifies to the preference of the statistical model within which the fission thresholds of target nuclei were calculated.

The results of the present experiment may be used to obtain information on the photon interaction mechanism in the energy region between the giant resonance and the threshold of meson production where this problem is still obscure.




Рис. 2. Виходи уламків поділу:  $\circ$  — дані даної роботи,  $\square$  — дані роботи [3]. Суцільні криві одержані підгонкою за формулою (4).




Рис. 3. Перерізи фотоподілу, розраховані на один реальний  $\gamma$ -квант підгонкою за формулою (4).




Рис. 4. Відношення діляльної і нейтронної ширини:  $\square$  — дані роботи [5] для суміші ізотопів  $\text{Bi}^{207}$  і  $\text{Bi}^{209}$ .

REF. E. Hayward, W. C. Barber, and Jed Sazama  
 Phys. Rev. C8, 1065 (1973)

ELEM. SYM. A Z

Pt

78

METHOD

REF. NO.

73 Ha 3

hmg

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
\$ G,G	RLY	15	D	15	NAI-D		90
		(15.1)		(15.1)			

POLARIZED PHOTONS

TABLE II. Results.

Target	$d\sigma^{\parallel}/d\Omega_p$ Arbitrary units	$d\sigma^{\perp}/d\Omega_p$	$\eta_p$	$\eta$	$\eta(DCM)$
Cd	$0.042 \pm 0.028$	$0.39 \pm 0.05$	$0.11 \pm 0.07$	$0.09 \pm 0.07$	0.19
Sn	$0.084 \pm 0.036$	$0.65 \pm 0.06$	$0.13 \pm 0.06$	$0.11 \pm 0.06$	0.07
Ta	$0.24 \pm 0.10$	$1.47 \pm 0.14$	$0.16 \pm 0.07$	$0.14 \pm 0.07$	0.20
W	$0.52 \pm 0.10$	$1.66 \pm 0.12$	$0.31 \pm 0.07$	$0.29 \pm 0.07$	0.20
Pt	$0.23 \pm 0.08$	$1.94 \pm 0.13$	$0.12 \pm 0.04$	$0.10 \pm 0.04$	0.08
Au	$0.39 \pm 0.11$	$2.08 \pm 0.15$	$0.19 \pm 0.06$	$0.17 \pm 0.06$	0.07
Bi	$0.10 \pm 0.15$	$2.65 \pm 0.26$	$0.04 \pm 0.06$	$0.02 \pm 0.06$	0

REF. A. Veyssiere, H. Beil, R. Bergere, P. Carlos, A. Lepretre,  
A. De Miniac  
J. Phys. Lett. 36, L267 (1975)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Pt		78
REF. NO.		
75 Ve 5		egf

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,N*	ABX	8- 22	D	8- 22	MOD-I		4PI
G,2N**	ABX	13- 21	D	8- 22	MOD-I		4PI

**Abstract.** — Partial photoneutron cross-sections [ $\sigma(\gamma, n) + \sigma(\gamma, pn)$ ], and  $\sigma(\gamma, 2n)$  of W, Re, Ir, Pt and Hg were measured by means of monochromatic photons of  $8 \text{ MeV} \leq E \leq 22 \text{ MeV}$  so as to study the giant resonance. The experimentally observed evolution of the shape of the GDR, as one proceeds from permanently deformed prolate nuclei (W and Re) towards oblate or even triaxial gamma unstable nuclei (Pt), corresponds to the theoretical predictions of the dynamic collective model.

\*1029+  
\*\*1030




FIG. 1. — Sections efficaces partielles  $\sigma(\gamma, n) + \sigma(\gamma, pn)$  des noyaux W, Re, Ir, Pt, Hg.




FIG. 2. — Sections efficaces partielles  $\sigma(\gamma, 2n)$  des noyaux W, Re, Ir, Pt, Hg.

(over)




FIG. 3. — Sections efficaces totales  $\sigma_T(E)$  des noyaux W, Re, Ir, Pt, Hg.




FIG. 4. — Comparaison des sections efficaces totales expérimentales  $\sigma_T(E)$  des noyaux W et Pt avec les prédictions du modèle collectif dynamique [12] positionnées de façon à faire coïncider les énergies moyennes observées et calculées de la RGD.

REF. G. Bologna, V. Bellini, V. Emma, A.S. Figuera, S. Lo Nigro,  
C. Milone and G.S. Pappalardo  
Il Nuovo Cimento 35A, 91 (1976)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Pt		78
REF. NO.		
76 Bo 15		egf

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,F	RLX	220-500	D	220-500	TRK-I		4PI

COHERENT BREMS




Fig. 6. - Photofission yields per equivalent quantum of Bi, Pb, Au and Pt as a function of the first peak energy  $k_j$  of photons. The dots are the experimental data; the dashed curves represent the yield functions estimated as described in sect. 5. a) Bi ( $\gamma, f$ ), b) Pb ( $\gamma, f$ ), c) Au ( $\gamma, f$ ), d) Pt ( $\gamma, f$ ).

Over




Fig. 8. - See caption to fig. 7. a) Au ( $\gamma, f$ ), b) Pt ( $\gamma, f$ ).



REF.

V. Emma, S. Lo Nigro, C. Milone  
Nucl. Phys. A257, 438 (1976)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Pt		78
REF. NO.		
76 Em 2		egf

METHOD

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,F	ABY	THR-999	C	999	TRK-I		4PI

TABLE I

999 = 1 GEV

Measured values of  $\sigma_a$  at  $E=1000$  MeV and deduced values of  $\sigma_k$  assumed constant from  $E_0$  to 1000 MeV

Element	$Z^2/A$	$\sigma_a$ (mb)	$E_0$ (MeV)	$\sigma_k$ (mb)
Bi	32.96	$12.3 \pm 0.6$	200	$7.6 \pm 0.6$
Pb	32.45	$5.4 \pm 0.4$	220	$3.6 \pm 0.3$
Tl	32.10	$4.1 \pm 0.3$	230	$2.8 \pm 0.3$
Au	31.68	$2.0 \pm 0.15$	240	$1.4 \pm 0.2$
Pt	31.18	$1.1 \pm 0.08$	255	$(8 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-1}$
Re	30.21	$(3.7 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-1}$	280	$(2.9 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-1}$
W	29.78	$(3.5 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-1}$	290	$(2.8 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-1}$
Ta	29.45	$(3.3 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-1}$	300	$(2.7 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-1}$
Hf	29.04	$(1.7 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-1}$	310	$(1.4 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-1}$
Yb	28.31	$(1.3 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-1}$	330	$(1.2 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-1}$
Tm	28.18	$(7.5 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-2}$	335	$(6.8 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-2}$
Ho	27.21	$(3.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$	355	$(3.5 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$
Dy	26.80	$(2.6 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$	360	$(2.5 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$
Tb	26.58	$(2.5 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$	370	$(2.5 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$
Gd	26.04	$(1.6 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$	380	$(1.7 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$
Sm	25.56	$(1.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$	390	$(1.4 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$
Nd	24.96	$(9.2 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3}$	405	$(1 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-2}$
Ce	24.00	$(8 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3}$	420	$(9 \pm 1) \times 10^{-3}$
La	23.39	$(8.4 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3}$	430	$(1 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-2}$
Sb	21.36	$(1.2 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$	460	$(1.5 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$
Te	21.19	$(8.8 \pm 1) \times 10^{-3}$	465	$(1.2 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$
Sn	21.06	$(1.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$	465	$(1.7 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$
Cd	20.49	$(1.7 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$	470	$(2.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$
Ag	20.47	$(2 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$	470	$(2.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$
Zn	13.76	$(2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-1}$	515	$(3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-1}$
Cu	13.44	$(2.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-1}$	515	$(3.6 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-1}$
Ni	13.35	$(2.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-1}$	510	$(3.6 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-1}$
Fe	12.10	$(3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-1}$	510	$(4.4 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-1}$


<sup>4</sup>A.V. Mitrofanova et al.  
Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 6,  
512 (1968).

<sup>7</sup>T. Methasiri et al., Nucl.  
Phys. A167, 97 (1971).

<sup>12</sup>J.R. Nix et al., Nucl. Phys.  
81, 61 (1966).

<sup>20</sup>N.A. Perfilov et al., JETP  
(Sov. Phys.) 14, 623 (1962);  
Proc. Symp. on the physics &  
chemistry of fission, Salzburg  
1965, vol. 2 (IAEA) Vienna,  
1965, p.283.

Fig. 2. Nuclear fissilities as a function of  $Z^2/A$ . Experimental points: solid circles represent our data; squares, the data from ref. <sup>4</sup>); open circles, the data from ref. <sup>12</sup>), and crosses, the data from (p,n) experiments<sup>20</sup>). The straight line is the best fit calculated from our data for  $Z^2/A > 26$ . The dashed curve is the curve VI calculated by Nix and Sassi<sup>12</sup>).



FORM NBS-418  
(REV. 7-14-64)  
USCOMM-NBS-DC

PHOTONUCLEAR DATA SHEET 339

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS



Pt  
A=194

Pt  
A=194

Pt  
A=194



REF.

Yu. K. Shubnyi and Yu. A. Lysikov  
 Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Fiz. 36, 2531 (1972)  
 Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR Phys. Ser. 36, 2199 (1972)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Pt	194	78

METHOD	REF. NO.
	72 Sh 13

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, G	ABX	99*328	D	99*328	SCD-D		UKN

\*ENERGY IN KEV

$$328 \text{ keV } \sigma = (3.5 \pm 0.5) \cdot 10^{-26} \text{ cm}^2 \cdot \text{sr}^{-1}$$

$$98.7 \text{ keV } \sigma = (1.8 \pm 0.3) \cdot 10^{-26} \text{ cm}^2 \cdot \text{sr}^{-1}$$

$$328 \text{ keV } \Gamma_{0\gamma} = (5.1 \pm 0.7) \cdot 10^{-11} \text{ sec}$$




Fig. 1. Spectrum of the scattered radiation of  $^{194}\text{Ir}$  for a source temperature of  $1200^\circ \text{C}$ . 1) Scattering by Ta; 2) scattering by Pt.

METHOD				REF. NO.		hmg 11/17/80	
				78 Go 3			
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,XN	ABX	8(8.4)-21	C	8-21	BF3-I	---	4PI

Photon neutron yield curves have been measured for the isotopes  $^{191,193}\text{Ir}$  and  $^{194,195,196,198}\text{Pt}$  in the bremsstrahlung beam of a betatron in the energy range 8-21 MeV with a 0.2-MeV step. For the isotopes  $^{194,196,198}\text{Pt}$  we have also measured the photon neutron multiplicity curves. The measurements were made on-line with a computer. The cross sections were calculated from the yield curves by the Penfold-Leiss method with a 1.0-MeV step. The multiplicity curves were used to separate the contributions of  $(\gamma, n)$  and  $(\gamma, 2n)$  reactions. On the basis of the photoabsorption cross sections we have calculated the integrated cross sections, deformation parameters, and average energies. The existence of oblate deformation in these nuclei is not confirmed by the analysis of the measured cross sections.

n multiplicity measurement




FIG. 1. Photoabsorption cross sections for the isotopes  $^{191,193}\text{Ir}$  and  $^{194,195,196,198}\text{Pt}$ . The vertical lines, whose length is equal to two standard deviations, show the experimental cross section. Above the  $(\gamma, 2n)$  threshold the photoabsorption cross section is designated by circles.

TABLE II. Parameters of photon neutron multiplicity curves.

Nucleus	$\sigma, \text{MeV}^{-1}$	$\overline{w}$	$z^{1/f}$	$\sigma, \text{MeV}^{-1}$	$\overline{w}$	$z^{1/f}$
$^{191}\text{Pt}$	$6.3 \pm 0.7$	0	1.07	$21.16^*$	$0.37 \pm 0.03$	1.13
$^{193}\text{Pt}$	$7.9 \pm 0.5$	0	0.98	$21.19^*$	$0.29 \pm 0.02$	1.04
$^{195}\text{Pt}$	$8.2 \pm 0.6$	0	1.15	$20.2^*$	$0.28 \pm 0.03$	1.21

Note. Here  $f$  is the number of degrees of freedom; the asterisks denote level-density parameter values taken from Ref. 16.

TABLE III. Integrated cross sections.

	$^{191}\text{Ir}$	$^{193}\text{Ir}$	$^{194}\text{Pt}$	$^{196}\text{Pt}$	$^{195}\text{Pt}$	$^{198}\text{Pt}$
$\sigma_{\text{int}}, \text{MeV}\cdot\text{mb}$	$2757 \pm 23$	$2835 \pm 30$	$2861 \pm 27$	$2797 \pm 25$	$2944 \pm 21$	$2813 \pm 32$
$\sigma_{\text{int}}(\gamma, 2n), \text{MeV}\cdot\text{mb}$	—	—	$626 \pm 9$	—	$744 \pm 9$	$1023 \pm 14$
$\sigma_{\text{int}} L, \text{MeV}\cdot\text{mb}^*$	3741	3899	3759	3766	3884	3941
$\sigma_{\text{int}} L / 60 N Z / A$	1.38	1.40	1.34	1.34	1.38	1.35
$\sigma_{-1}, \text{mb}$	$199 \pm 2.5$	$206 \pm 2$	$210 \pm 2$	$204 \pm 3$	$213 \pm 2.5$	$236 \pm 2$
$\sigma_{-1}, A^{-1/2}$	0.181	0.184	0.187	0.180	0.187	0.204
$\sigma_{-2}, \text{MeV}^{-1}\cdot\text{mb}$	$14.85 \pm 0.15$	$15.33 \pm 0.13$	$15.35 \pm 0.14$	$15.30 \pm 0.12$	$15.96 \pm 0.11$	$15.43 \pm 0.18$
$\sigma_{-2}, A^{-1/2}$	0.00234	0.00238	0.00236	0.00235	0.00241	0.00229

\*Calculated in the case of validity of hypothesis 2) on the basis of the formula  $\sigma_{\text{int}} L = (\pi/2)(\sigma_1 \Gamma_1 + \sigma_2 \Gamma_2)$ .

$\sigma_{\text{int}}$  corrected for neutron multiplicity

TABLE IV. Parameters of Lorentz curves.

Nucleus	$E, \text{MeV}$	$\Gamma, \text{MeV}$	$\sigma, \text{mb}$	$E, \text{MeV}$	$\Gamma, \text{MeV}$	$\sigma, \text{mb}$	$\sigma, \Gamma_1 / \sigma_1 \Gamma_1$
$^{191}\text{Ir}$	$12.85 \pm 0.04$	$2.70 \pm 0.07$	$294 \pm 8$	$14.82 \pm 0.09$	$5.30 \pm 0.21$	$299 \pm 10$	0.5
$^{193}\text{Ir}$	$13.01 \pm 0.04$	$2.61 \pm 0.06$	$317 \pm 10$	$14.77 \pm 0.09$	$6.44 \pm 0.31$	$257 \pm 12$	0.5
$^{194}\text{Pt}$	$12.96 \pm 0.04$	$2.59 \pm 0.07$	$308 \pm 10$	$14.54 \pm 0.10$	$5.33 \pm 0.22$	$299 \pm 11$	0.5
$^{195}\text{Pt}$	$12.99 \pm 0.05$	$2.70 \pm 0.07$	$296 \pm 10$	$14.59 \pm 0.11$	$5.46 \pm 0.27$	$293 \pm 15$	0.5
$^{196}\text{Pt}$	$13.07 \pm 0.05$	$2.72 \pm 0.08$	$303 \pm 11$	$14.40 \pm 0.12$	$5.97 \pm 0.29$	$276 \pm 13$	0.5
$^{198}\text{Pt}$	$13.06 \pm 0.05$	$2.87 \pm 0.09$	$284 \pm 12$	$14.34 \pm 0.14$	$6.27 \pm 0.34$	$260 \pm 16$	0.5
$^{198}\text{Pt}$	$13.58 \pm 0.02$	$4.45 \pm 0.06$	$514 \pm 6$	—	—	—	—

TABLE V. Average energies.

Nucleus	$E_M, \text{MeV}^*$	$E_M A^{1/3}$	$E_M A^{1/3}$	Nucleus	$E_M, \text{MeV}^*$	$E_M A^{1/3}$	$E_M A^{1/3}$
$^{191}\text{Ir}$	14.16	81.6	34.0	$^{196}\text{Pt}$	14.06	81.5	33.9
$^{193}\text{Ir}$	14.18	82.0	34.1	$^{195}\text{Pt}$	13.98	81.1	33.6
$^{194}\text{Pt}$	14.01	81.1	33.7	$^{198}\text{Pt}$	13.91	81.1	33.6

\*Calculated in the case of validity of hypothesis 2) according to the formula  $E_M = (1/3)E_1 + (2/3)E_2$ .




FIG. 2. Multiplicity of photoneutrons for the isotopes <sup>194,196,198</sup>Pt. The length of the vertical lines is equal to two standard deviations. The curves are a fit with the parameters given in Table II.





PT  
A=195

PT  
A=195

PT  
A=195



METHOD			REF. NO.		ANGLE	
Betatron; neutron threshold; ion chamber			60 Ge 3		NVB	
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR	ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE		
G, N	NØX	THR	C	THR	BF3-I	4 PI

THRESHOLD

TABLE I. Summary and comparison of neutron separation energies inferred from present threshold measurements with values predicted from mass data and reaction energies. All energies are expressed in the center-of-mass system in Mev.

Reaction	No. runs	Present results	Other results	Method	Reference
$Pt^{196}(\gamma, n)Pt^{195}$	2	$6.205 \pm 0.044$	$6.09 \pm 0.06$ $6.07 \pm 0.04$	mass data $Pt^{196}(n, \gamma)Pt^{195}$	q e

\* W. H. Johnson, Jr., and V. B. Bhanot, Phys. Rev. 107, 6 (1957).




FIG. 9. Neutron yield data for platinum from 5.94 Mev to 9.96 Mev.

REF.

Yu. K. Shubnyi and Yu. A. Lysikov  
 Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Fiz. 36, 2531 (1972)  
 Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR Phys. Ser. 36, 2199 (1972)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Pt	195	78

METHOD	REF. NO.	ANGLE
	72 Sh 13	hmg

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, G	ABX	99*328	D	99*328	SCD-D		UKN

98.7 keV  $\Gamma_{0\gamma} = (1.2 \pm 0.2) \cdot 10^{-9}$  sec

\*ENERGY IN KEV




Fig. 2. Spectrum of scattered  $\gamma$ -radiation of  $^{195}\text{Au}$ : a) 40-110 keV; b) scattering of 98.7 keV  $\gamma$ -quanta (1) scattering by Pt; 2) scattering by Ta).

METHOD

REF. NO.

78 Go 3

hmg  
11/17/80

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,XN	ABX	6(6.1)-21	C	8-21	BF3-I	---	4PI

Photoneutron yield curves have been measured for the isotopes <sup>191,193</sup>Ir and <sup>194,195,196,198</sup>Pt in the bremsstrahlung beam of a betatron in the energy range 8-21 MeV with a 0.2-MeV step. For the isotopes <sup>194,196,198</sup>Pt we have also measured the photoneutron multiplicity curves. The measurements were made on-line with a computer. The cross sections were calculated from the yield curves by the Penfold-Leiss method with a 1.0-MeV step. The multiplicity curves were used to separate the contributions of (γ,n) and (γ,2n) reactions. On the basis of the photoabsorption cross sections we have calculated the integrated cross sections, deformation parameters, and average energies. The existence of oblate deformation in these nuclei is not confirmed by the analysis of the measured cross sections.

n multiplicity measurement




FIG. 1. Photoabsorption cross sections for the isotopes <sup>191,193</sup>Ir and <sup>194,195,196,198</sup>Pt. The vertical lines, whose length is equal to two standard deviations, show the experimental cross section. Above the (γ,2n) threshold the photoabsorption cross section is designated by circles.

TABLE II. Parameters of photoneutron multiplicity curves.

Nucleus	$\sigma, \text{MeV}^{-1}$	w	$x^2/f$	$\sigma, \text{MeV}^{-1}$	w	$x^2/f$
<sup>191</sup> Pt	8.3±0.7	0	1.07	21.16*	0.37±0.03	1.13
<sup>193</sup> Pt	7.9±0.5	0	0.96	21.19*	0.29±0.02	1.04
<sup>195</sup> Pt	8.2±0.6	0	1.15	20.2*	0.28±0.03	1.21

Note. Here f is the number of degrees of freedom; the asterisks denote level-density parameter values taken from Ref. 16.

FORM NBS-418  
(REV. 7-14-64)  
USCOMM-NBS-DC

TABLE III. Integrated cross sections.

	<sup>191</sup> Ir	<sup>193</sup> Ir	<sup>195</sup> Pt	<sup>196</sup> Pt	<sup>198</sup> Pt	<sup>198</sup> Pt
$\sigma_{\text{int}}, \text{MeV}\cdot\text{mb}$	2757±23	2835±30	2861±27	2797±25	2944±21	2813±32
$\sigma_{\text{int}}(\gamma, 2n), \text{MeV}\cdot\text{mb}$	-	-	828±9	-	744±9	1023±14
$\sigma_{\text{int}}, \text{MeV}\cdot\text{mb}^*$	3741	3899	3759	3766	3884	3841
$\sigma_{\text{int}}/60NZ/A$	1.36	1.40	1.34	1.34	1.38	1.35
$\sigma_1, \text{mb}$	199±2.5	205±2	210±2	204±3	213±2.5	226±2
$\sigma_{-1}, A^{-1/2}$	0.181	0.184	0.187	0.180	0.187	0.204
$\sigma_2, \text{MeV}^{-1}, \text{mb}$	14.85±0.15	15.33±0.13	15.35±0.14	15.39±0.12	15.96±0.11	15.43±0.18
$\sigma_{-2}, A^{-1/2}$	0.00234	0.00238	0.00236	0.00235	0.00241	0.00229

\*Calculated in the case of validity of hypothesis 2) on the basis of the formula  $\sigma_{\text{int}} = (\pi/2)(\sigma_1 \Gamma_1 + \sigma_2 \Gamma_2)$ .

$\sigma_{\text{int}}$  corrected for neutron multiplicity

TABLE IV. Parameters of Lorentz curves.

Nucleus	$E, \text{MeV}$	$\Gamma, \text{MeV}$	$\sigma, \text{mb}$	$E, \text{MeV}$	$\Gamma, \text{MeV}$	$\sigma, \text{mb}$	$\sigma, \Gamma_1 / \sigma, \Gamma_2$
<sup>191</sup> Ir	12.85±0.04	2.70±0.07	294±8	14.82±0.09	5.30±0.21	299±10	0.5
<sup>193</sup> Ir	13.01±0.04	2.61±0.06	317±10	14.77±0.09	6.44±0.31	257±12	0.5
<sup>195</sup> Pt	12.98±0.04	2.59±0.07	308±10	14.54±0.10	5.33±0.22	299±11	0.5
<sup>196</sup> Pt	12.99±0.05	2.70±0.07	296±10	14.59±0.11	5.46±0.27	293±15	0.5
<sup>198</sup> Pt	13.07±0.05	2.72±0.08	303±11	14.40±0.12	5.97±0.29	276±13	0.5
<sup>198</sup> Pt	13.06±0.05	2.87±0.09	284±12	14.34±0.14	6.27±0.34	260±16	0.5
<sup>198</sup> Pt	13.58±0.02	4.45±0.06	514±6	-	-	-	-

TABLE V. Average energies.

Nucleus	$E_M, \text{MeV}^*$	$E_M A^{1/2}$	$E_M A^{1/2}$	Nucleus	$E_M, \text{MeV}^*$	$E_M A^{1/2}$	$E_M A^{1/2}$
<sup>191</sup> Ir	14.16	81.8	34.0	<sup>196</sup> Pt	14.06	81.5	33.9
<sup>193</sup> Ir	14.18	82.0	34.1	<sup>198</sup> Pt	13.96	81.1	33.6
<sup>195</sup> Pt	14.01	81.1	33.7	<sup>198</sup> Pt	13.91	81.1	33.6

\*Calculated in the case of validity of hypothesis 2) according to the formula  $E_M = (1/3)E_1 + (2/3)E_2$ .




FIG. 2. Multiplicity of photoneutrons for the isotopes  $^{194}\text{Pt}$ ,  $^{196}\text{Pt}$ ,  $^{198}\text{Pt}$ . The length of the vertical lines is equal to two standard deviations. The curves are a fit with the parameters given in Table II.

P<sub>T</sub>  
A=196

P<sub>T</sub>  
A=196

P<sub>T</sub>  
A=196





ELEM. SYM. A		
Pt	196	78
REF. NO.		NVB
60 Ge 3		

METHOD  
 Betatron; neutron threshold; ion chamber

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, N	NØX	THR	C	THR	BF3-I		4 PI

THRESHOLD

TABLE I. Summary and comparison of neutron separation energies inferred from present threshold measurements with values predicted from mass data and reaction energies. All energies are expressed in the center-of-mass system in Mev.

Reaction	No. runs	Present results	Other results	Method	Reference
Pt <sup>196</sup> ( $\gamma, n$ )Pt <sup>195</sup>	2	8.29 $\pm$ 0.14	7.91 $\pm$ 0.06 7.920 $\pm$ 0.012	mass data Pt <sup>196</sup> ( $n, \gamma$ )Pt <sup>196</sup>	q e

W. H. Johnson, Jr., and V. B. Bhanot, Phys. Rev. 107, 6 (1957).




FIG. 9. Neutron yield data for platinum from 5.94 Mev to 9.96 Mev.

Elem. Sym.	A	Z
Pt	196	78
Ref. No.		BG
62Ch1		


Method  
Reactor, fast chopper (Chalk River) - 6 NaI

Reaction	E or ΔE	E <sub>0</sub>	Γ	∫σ <sub>d</sub> E	Jπ	Notes
(n, γ)		E <sub>n</sub> = (eV) 11.9 19 68 113 121 (140) (152) 189 223 277				0 <sup>+</sup> ground state Relative transition probabilities are given.

TABLE I. Pt<sup>196</sup> transition probabilities.

Level (eV)	7.92-MeV transition	7.6-MeV transition	7.26-MeV transition	Sum
11.9	2.84 ± 0.12	0.43 ± 0.27	< 0.24	3.33 ± 0.21
19	0.57 ± 0.12	0.28 ± 0.18	0.62 ± 0.18	1.69 ± 0.16
68	1.83 ± 0.14	2.14 ± 0.22	0.26 ± 0.22	4.59 ± 0.19
113	0.62 ± 0.10	0.85 ± 0.17	0.62 ± 0.18	2.39 ± 0.15
121	1.48 ± 0.14	0.30 ± 0.22	0.18 ± 0.18	2.05 ± 0.18
140	0.28 ± 0.09	0.09 ± 0.14	2.85 ± 0.21	4.08 ± 0.15
152	0.29 ± 0.12	0.28 ± 0.22	0.53 ± 0.27	1.29 ± 0.20
189	1.02 ± 0.16	0.43 ± 0.27	0.98 ± 0.27	2.78 ± 0.23
223	1.39 ± 0.32	3.65 ± 0.66	1.75 ± 0.71	7.79 ± 0.57
252	0.04 ± 0.12	0.18 ± 0.16	0.56 ± 0.19	0.97 ± 0.15
277	1.60 ± 0.29	0.22 ± 0.57	0.22 ± 0.41	2.13 ± 0.44
296	0.03 ± 0.08	1.87 ± 0.19	0.11 ± 0.21	2.29 ± 0.16
Mean	1.000 ± 0.048	0.893 ± 0.091	0.723 ± 0.088	2.948 ± 0.077

FIG. 5. The decay scheme for Pt<sup>196</sup>.



METHOD

REF. NO.

78 Go 3

hmg  
11/17/80

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, XN	ABX	7(7.9)-21	C	8-21	BF3-I	---	4PI

Photoneutron yield curves have been measured for the isotopes <sup>191,193</sup>Ir and <sup>194,195,196,198</sup>Pt in the bremsstrahlung beam of a betatron in the energy range 8-21 MeV with a 0.2-MeV step. For the isotopes <sup>194,196,198</sup>Pt we have also measured the photoneutron multiplicity curves. The measurements were made on-line with a computer. The cross sections were calculated from the yield curves by the Penfold-Leiss method with a 1.0-MeV step. The multiplicity curves were used to separate the contributions of (γ, n) and (γ, 2n) reactions. On the basis of the photoabsorption cross sections we have calculated the integrated cross sections, deformation parameters, and average energies. The existence of oblate deformation in these nuclei is not confirmed by the analysis of the measured cross sections.

n multiplicity measurement




FIG. 1. Photoabsorption cross sections for the isotopes <sup>191,193</sup>Ir and <sup>194,195,196,198</sup>Pt. The vertical lines, whose length is equal to two standard deviations, show the experimental cross section. Above the (γ, 2n) threshold the photoabsorption cross section is designated by circles.

TABLE II. Parameters of photoneutron multiplicity curves.

Nucleus	$a, \text{MeV}^{-1}$	$w$	$x^{2/f}$	$a, \text{MeV}^{-1}$	$w$	$x^{2/f}$
<sup>191</sup> Pt	6.3±0.7	0	1.07	21.16*	0.37±0.03	1.13
<sup>193</sup> Pt	7.9±0.5	0	0.98	21.19*	0.29±0.02	1.04
<sup>195</sup> Pt	8.2±0.6	0	1.15	20.2*	0.28±0.03	1.21

Note. Here  $f$  is the number of degrees of freedom; the asterisks denote level-density parameter values taken from Ref. 16.

FORM NBS-418  
 (REV. 7-14-64)  
 USCOMM-NBS-DC

TABLE III. Integrated cross sections.

	<sup>191</sup> Ir	<sup>193</sup> Ir	<sup>194</sup> Pt	<sup>195</sup> Pt	<sup>196</sup> Pt	<sup>198</sup> Pt
$\sigma_{\text{int}}, \text{MeV}\cdot\text{mb}$	2757±23	2835±30	2861±27	2797±25	2944±21	2813±32
$\sigma_{\text{int}}(\gamma, 2n), \text{MeV}\cdot\text{mb}$	—	—	626±9	—	744±9	1023±14
$\sigma_{\text{int}L}, \text{MeV}\cdot\text{mb}^*$	—	—	0.22	—	0.25	0.36
$\sigma_{\text{int}L}/60NZ/A$	3741	3899	3759	3786	3884	3841
$\sigma_{-1}, \text{mb}$	1.38	1.40	1.34	1.34	1.38	1.35
$\sigma_{-1}, A^{-1/2}$	199±2.5	205±2	210±2	204±3	213±2.5	238±2
$\sigma_{-2}, \text{MeV}^{-1}\cdot\text{mb}$	0.181	0.184	0.187	0.180	0.187	0.204
$\sigma_{-2}, A^{-1/2}$	14.85±0.15	15.33±0.13	15.35±0.14	15.39±0.12	15.96±0.11	15.43±0.18
	0.00234	0.00238	0.00238	0.00235	0.00241	0.00229

\*Calculated in the case of validity of hypothesis 2) on the basis of the formula  $\sigma_{\text{int}L} = (\pi/2)(\sigma_1\Gamma_1 + \sigma_2\Gamma_2)$ .

$\sigma_{\text{int}}$  corrected for neutron multiplicity

TABLE IV. Parameters of Lorentz curves.

Nucleus	$E_0, \text{MeV}$	$\Gamma_0, \text{MeV}$	$\sigma_0, \text{mb}$	$E_0, \text{MeV}$	$\Gamma_0, \text{MeV}$	$\sigma_0, \text{mb}$	$\sigma_0 \Gamma_0 / \sigma_1 \Gamma_1$
<sup>191</sup> Ir	12.85±0.04	2.70±0.07	294±9	14.82±0.09	5.30±0.21	299±10	0.5
<sup>193</sup> Ir	13.01±0.04	2.61±0.06	317±10	14.77±0.09	6.44±0.31	257±12	0.5
<sup>194</sup> Pt	12.96±0.04	2.59±0.07	306±10	14.54±0.10	5.33±0.22	299±11	0.5
<sup>195</sup> Pt	12.99±0.05	2.70±0.07	298±10	14.59±0.11	5.46±0.27	293±15	0.5
<sup>196</sup> Pt	13.07±0.05	2.72±0.08	303±11	14.40±0.12	5.97±0.29	276±13	0.5
<sup>198</sup> Pt	13.06±0.05	2.87±0.09	284±12	14.34±0.14	6.27±0.34	260±16	0.5
<sup>198</sup> Pt	13.58±0.02	4.45±0.06	514±6	—	—	—	—

TABLE V. Average energies.

Nucleus	$E_M, \text{MeV}^*$	$E_M A^{1/2}$	$E_M A^{1/2}$	Nucleus	$E_M, \text{MeV}^*$	$E_M A^{1/2}$	$E_M A^{1/2}$
<sup>191</sup> Ir	14.16	81.6	34.0	<sup>195</sup> Pt	14.06	81.5	33.9
<sup>193</sup> Ir	14.18	82.0	34.1	<sup>196</sup> Pt	13.96	81.1	33.6
<sup>194</sup> Pt	14.01	81.1	33.7	<sup>198</sup> Pt	13.91	81.1	33.6

\*Calculated in the case of validity of hypothesis 2) according to the formula  $E_M = (1/3)E_1 + (2/3)E_2$ .




FIG. 2. Multiplicity of photoneutrons for the isotopes <sup>194</sup>Pt, <sup>196</sup>Pt, <sup>198</sup>Pt. The length of the vertical lines is equal to two standard deviations. The curves are a fit with the parameters given in Table II.

GOLD  
Z=79

The symbol Au for gold comes from the Latin *aurum* and is probably the first metal discovered by man. The goldsmith's art dates from at least 4000 B. C. in Mesopotamia and it then spread throughout the ancient civilizations near the eastern Mediterranean and Egypt. It occurred in the Asian civilizations as well as in the cultures of Peru and Mexico. In the later years of history, alchemy, or the search for the Philosopher's Stone which would convert base metals to gold, contributed much to our early understanding of chemistry, medicine, and metallurgy.

Estimates have been made to show that the greatest part of all the gold won from the earth in the last 10,000 years could still be accounted for in private and government vaults and in the wide private distribution of jewelry and artifacts throughout the world. No other possession is so zealously and effectively guarded.

Au  
A=197



Elem. Sym.	A	Z
Au	197	79

Method	Synchrotron; proton yield, spectrum; angular distribution; nuclear emulsion; ion chamber.	Ref. No.	56 Da 2 25	NVB
--------	---	----------	------------	-----

Reaction	E or ΔE	E <sub>0</sub>	Γ	∫σdE	Jπ	Notes
----------	---------	----------------	---	------	----	-------

Au <sup>197</sup> (γ, xp)	70					Yield = 6.8 x 10 <sup>4</sup> protons (up to 17 MeV)/r-mole ±30% for 70 MeV brems.
---------------------------	----	--	--	--	--	--




FIG. 5. The energy distribution of photoprotons from gold shown here cannot be explained on the basis of the theories outlined in the text.




FIG. 9. The angular distributions of photoprotons of various energies from gold. Standard deviations are shown. The smooth curve for the higher energy group (11.0 < E<sub>p</sub> < 17.0 Mev) was calculated from equation (6).

Ref. E.G. Fuller, E. Hayward  
 Phys. Rev. 101, 692 (1956)

Elem. Sym.	A	Z
Au	197	79
Ref. No.		
56 Fu 1		NVB

Method  
 Betatron; photon scattering; NaI spectrometer

Reaction	E or $\Delta E$	$E_0$	$\Gamma$	$\int \sigma dE$	$J\pi$	Notes
Au <sup>197</sup> ( $\gamma, \gamma$ )	Bremss. 4-40					Detector at 120°.  Cross sections given here are 13% too high due to erroneous $\cos\beta$ factor in denominator of Eq. 5. [See footnote 8 in Phys. Rev. <u>106</u> , 993 (1957)].




FIG. 6. The elastic scattering cross sections for Sn, I, Au, Pb, Bi, and U. The points at 17.6 Mev are those of Stearns.<sup>4</sup>  
<sup>4</sup> E. R. Gaertner and G. L. Yeater, Phys. Rev. 76, 363 (1949).  
<sup>5</sup> Dressel, Goldhaber, and Hanson, Phys. Rev. 77, 754 (1950).  
<sup>6</sup> M. B. Stearns, Phys. Rev. 87, 706 (1952).



Elem. Sym.	A	Z
Au	197	79

Method  $\gamma$ -Bremsstrahlung; synchrotron; BF<sub>3</sub> counter

Ref. No.  
56 Ga 1 EGF

Reaction	E or $\Delta E$	E <sub>0</sub>	$\Gamma$	$\int \sigma dE$	J $\pi$	Notes
Au <sup>197</sup> ( $\gamma$ , xn)	~ 7.5-27	14.2	6.0	4.37 MeV-b		(567) <u>567</u>
Au <sup>197</sup> ( $\mu_e$ )	~ 7.5-27	14.2	4.7	3.49 MeV-b		

TABLE I. Fundamental characteristics of photoneutron cross sections.

Element	E <sub>0n</sub> max in mev	$\sigma_n$ max in barns	Half width in mev	$\frac{E_0}{E_n} \int \sigma_n(E) dE$ in mev-barns	$\frac{E_0}{E_n} \int \sigma_n(E) dE / \sigma_n$ max
Copper	17.2	0.126	4.3	0.93	7.4
Zinc	16.3	0.082	6.3	0.66	8.1
Cadmium	16.0	0.270	8.4	2.28	8.4
Iodine	15.5	0.288	6.0	2.35	8.2
Tantalum	14.5	0.452	6.8	3.57	8.6
Gold	14.2	0.571	6.0	4.37	7.6
Thallium	14.6	0.655	5.4	4.99	7.8
Bismuth	13.9	0.537	5.9	3.96	7.4
Thorium	14.5	0.796	5.6	6.33	8.0
Uranium	14.9	1.18	6.8	12.5	10.6


TABLE II. Threshold of photoneutron reactions (mev).

Element	( $\gamma$ , n)	( $\gamma$ , 2n)	( $\gamma$ , 3n)	( $\gamma$ , 4n)
Cadmium	6.7	14.6	23.0	>30
Iodine	9.4	16.2	26.0	32.9
Tantalum	7.6	13.9	21.6	28.2
Gold	8.1	14.9	23.9	29.0
Thallium	7.5	14.0	22.8	28.8
Bismuth	7.4	14.2	22.5	29.6

TABLE III. Characteristics of the cross section of absorption of  $\gamma$ -quanta by nuclei.

Element	E <sub>res</sub> in mev	$\sigma_\gamma(E=E_{res})$ in barns	Half width in mev	$\frac{E_0}{E_n} \int \sigma_\gamma(E) dE$ in mev-barns	$\frac{E_0}{E_n} \int \sigma_\gamma(E) dE / 0.65 \times$ $E_n \times (Z^2 A)$	$\frac{E_0}{E_n} \int \sigma_\gamma(E) dE$ in mev-barns	$\frac{E_0}{E_n} \int \sigma_\gamma(E) dE$ in cm	$r_0 \times 10^3$ in cm
Cadmium	15.6	0.263	5.1	1.76	1.06	0.111	0.00745	1.26
Iodine	15.5	0.288	4.9	1.86	1.00	0.117	0.00768	1.16
Tantalum	13.9	0.448	4.5	2.74	1.05	0.190	0.0139	1.15
Gold	14.2	0.571	4.6	3.49	1.23	0.244	0.0182	1.23
Thallium	14.0	0.648	4.6	3.77	1.28	0.266	0.0200	1.25
Bismuth	13.8	0.537	4.8	3.12	1.04	0.230	0.0178	1.16

Cross Section (barns)



Energy (MeV)

Figure 2: Photoneutron cross section  $\sigma_n$ , computed from the yield curves by the "photon difference method." "+" -- cross sections obtained in Ref 8 [Montalbetti, Katz and Goldemberg, Phys. Rev. 91, 659 (1959)]; "•" -- cross sections obtained in Ref. 9 [Nathans and Halpern Phys. Rev. 93, 437 (1954)]. Curve is presented for the cross section of  $\gamma$ -quanta, computed from the statistical theory of nuclei.

Betatron

REF. NO.	NVB
58 Ch 2	

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, N	RLY	THR	C	THR	BF <sub>3</sub> -I		4PI

See 58 Ka 1 for cross sections

THRESHOLD

TABLE I  
 MEASURED PHOTONEUTRON THRESHOLDS

Reaction	Measured Q value, Mev.	Other Q values, Mev.	Method	Reference
Au <sup>197</sup> ( $\gamma, n$ )Au <sup>196</sup>	7.96 ± 0.07	8.00 ± 0.15 8.1 - 0.1 7.90 ± 0.20 7.78 ± 0.40	Threshold Threshold Threshold Mass data	Hanson <i>et al.</i> (1949) Parsons and Collie (1950) Sher <i>et al.</i> (1951) Hay (1955)

Betatron; ion chamber

REF. NO.	
58 Fu 1	NVB

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, XN	ABY	7-40	C	7-40	BF3-I		4PI

TABLE I. Target properties and results.

Element	Form used	Weight grams	$\sigma^0(\gamma, n)^a$ barns	$\frac{S\sigma dE^b}{NZTA}$ Mev-b	" $\Gamma$ " <sup>c</sup> Mev
Sn	Sn	4.81	0.30	0.064	5.0
I	I	8.55	0.36	0.085	6.0
La	La	10.43	0.34	0.063	5.2
Ce	Ce	4.99	0.45	0.080	4.5
Sm	Sm <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	2.90	0.26	0.073	8.6
Tb	Tb <sub>4</sub> O <sub>7</sub>	3.04	0.39	0.087	8.7
Ho	Ho <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	1.87	0.41	0.079	7.5
Er	Er <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	5.41	0.50	0.100	8.5
Yb	Yb <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	5.57	0.50	0.090	7.0
Ta	Ta	8.41	0.49	0.077	6.0
Au	Au	3.16	0.68	0.085	4.2
Pb	Pb	8.05	0.75	0.081	3.8

<sup>a</sup>  $\sigma^0(\gamma, n)$  is the maximum value and " $\Gamma$ " the full width at  $\sigma^0(\gamma, n)/2$  of the neutron production cross section corrected for multiple neutron emission. Data were not fitted with resonance lines to determine these values.  
<sup>b</sup> Integrated neutron production cross sections corrected for multiple neutrons above  $(\gamma, 2n)$  threshold.

TABLE II. Energies of resonances in deformed nuclei.<sup>a</sup>

Nucleus	$E_0$ Mev	$Q_0$ barns	Method	$E_2$ Mev	$E_2$ Mev	$E_{1/2}^*$ Mev	$E_{1/2}^*$ Mev
<sup>115</sup> Tb <sup>116</sup>	14.7	6.9 <sup>b</sup>	CE	11.9	16.2	10.8	19.5
<sup>170</sup> Hg <sup>170</sup>	14.5	7.8 <sup>b</sup>	CE	11.5	16.0	11.0	18.5
<sup>117</sup> Er <sup>117</sup>	14.5	21 <sup>b</sup>	SC	8.5	17.5	11.5	20.0
<sup>114</sup> Er <sup>114</sup>	14.5	7.8 <sup>b</sup>	CE	11.6	15.9	11.5	20.0
<sup>121</sup> Ta <sup>121</sup>	14.1	12.6 <sup>c</sup>	SC	10.5	15.9	11.3	17.3
<sup>121</sup> Ta <sup>121</sup>	14.1	6.8 <sup>b</sup>	CE	11.9	15.2	11.3	17.3
<sup>197</sup> Au <sup>197</sup>	13.6	3.75 <sup>d</sup>	SC	12.5	14.1	11.8	16.2

<sup>a</sup> CE—Coulomb excitation; SC—spectroscopic;  $E_{1/2}^*$ ,  $E_{1/2}^*$ —energies at which giant resonance drops to half its maximum value.  
<sup>b</sup> Adler, Bohr, Huus, Mottelson, and Winther, Revs. Modern Phys. 28, 432 (1956).  
<sup>c</sup> M. L. Pool and D. N. Kundu, Chart of Atomic Nuclei (Longs College Book Company, Columbus, 1955).




FIG. 6. Mean energy and width of giant resonances. " $E_0$ " and " $\Gamma$ " are the mean energy for photon absorption and the full width at half maximum of the giant resonance obtained from dashed histograms as in Fig. 5. No attempt was made to fit data with resonance curves to obtain these parameters.

METHOD	Betatron; ion chamber	REF. NO.	58 Fu 2	NVB
--------	-----------------------	----------	---------	-----

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, XN	ABX	8-23	C	THR-25	BF <sub>3</sub> -I		4PI

CF DANØS THEORY

583




Fig. 7. Neutron cross section for gold. See Fig. 5 for description.

TABLE I. Resonance parameters.

	Tb <sup>160</sup>	Ta <sup>181</sup>	Au <sup>197</sup>
$E_{2n}$ (Mev)	15.2	14.0	14.2
$E_a$ (Mev)	12.5	12.45	13.15
$\sigma_a^0$ (Mb)	258	303	255
$\Gamma_a$ (Mev)	2.4	2.3	2.9
$E_b$ (Mev)	16.3	15.45	13.90
$\sigma_b^0$ (Mb)	310	348	365
$\Gamma_b$ (Mev)	4.0	4.4	4.0

TABLE II. Integral cross sections.

	Tb <sup>160</sup>	Ta <sup>181</sup>	Au <sup>197</sup>
$A \int \sigma_a^0 dE / 0.06NZ$	1.27	1.30	1.29
$\int \sigma_a^0 dE / \int \sigma_a dE$	2.00	2.16	1.97
$A \int \sigma_a^0 (\sigma_a + \sigma_b) dE / 0.06NZ$	1.27	1.35	1.22

TABLE III. Intrinsic quadrupole moments, in barns.

	Tb <sup>160</sup>	Ta <sup>181</sup>	Au <sup>197</sup>
$E_b/E_a$	$1.30 \pm 0.05$	$1.25 \pm 0.01$	$1.06 \pm 0.03$
$Q_0 (R_0 = 1.09 \times 10^{-13} \text{ cm})$	$5.6 \pm 0.6$	$5.7 \pm 0.3$	$1.6 \pm 0.6$
$Q_0$ (Coulomb excitation)	$6.9^a$	$6.8^a$	$2.6^b$

<sup>a</sup> Adler, Bohr, Huus, Mottelson, and Winter, Revs. Modern Phys. 28, 432 (1956).

<sup>b</sup> P. H. Stelson and F. K. McGowan, Phys. Rev. 99, 112 (1955).

Au	197	79
REF. NO.		NVB
58 Ka 1		

METHOD Betatron; neutron cross section; BF<sub>3</sub> counters; ion chamber monitor

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, XN	ABX	8-22	C	8-22	BF <sub>3</sub> -I		4PI

Таблица 2

Пороги испускания фотонейтронов

Изотоп	$E_{n, \text{Мэв}}$	$E_{\gamma, \text{Мэв}}$	Изотоп	$E_{n, \text{Мэв}}$	$E_{\gamma, \text{Мэв}}$
V <sup>51</sup>	11,16	20,5	La <sup>139</sup>	8,81	16,1
Mn <sup>55</sup>	10,14	19,2	Pr <sup>141</sup>	9,46	17,6
Co <sup>59</sup>	10,44	18,6	Tb <sup>159</sup>	8,16	14,8
As <sup>75</sup>	10,24	18,1	Ho <sup>165</sup>	8,10	14,6
Y <sup>89</sup>	11,82	20,7	Tm <sup>169</sup>	8,00	14,7
Nb <sup>93</sup>	8,86	17,1	Lu <sup>175</sup>	7,77	14,2
Rh <sup>103</sup>	9,46	16,8	Ta <sup>181</sup>	7,66	13,8
J <sup>127</sup>	9,14	16,2	Au <sup>197</sup>	7,96	13,3
Cs <sup>133</sup>	9,11	16,5	Bi <sup>209</sup>	7,43	14,5

THRESHOLDS

не приведены, поскольку они превышают 22 Мэв во всех случаях, кроме золота, для которого  $E_{\gamma} = 21 \text{ Мэв}$ . Свойства сечений  $\sigma(\gamma)$  сведены в табл. 3.

Таблица 1

Изотоп	$E_{\text{макс}}, \text{Мэв}$	$\sigma_n(E_{\gamma}), \text{барн}$	$\Gamma, \text{Мэв}$	$\Sigma_{\gamma}, \text{Мэв} \cdot \text{барн}$	$\gamma(22), 10^3 \text{ нейтрон}/100 \text{ р} \cdot \text{моль}$
V <sup>51</sup>	18,4	0,062	5,2	0,33	1,62
Mn <sup>55</sup>	20,2	0,060	7,0	0,39	2,01
Co <sup>59</sup>	18,3	0,068	6,3	0,44	2,30
As <sup>75</sup>	16,4	0,090	9,5	0,74	4,25
Y <sup>89</sup>	17,1	0,172	5,2	0,93	5,33
Nb <sup>93</sup>	18,0	0,156	7,5	1,17	6,80
Rh <sup>103</sup>	17,5	0,160	9,4	1,40	8,28
J <sup>127</sup>	15,2	0,273	6,8	1,76	11,9
Cs <sup>133</sup>	16,5	0,238	7,7	1,59	10,7
La <sup>139</sup>	15,5	0,325	3,8	1,55	11,2
Pr <sup>141</sup>	15,0	0,320	4,9	1,93	13,1
Tb <sup>159</sup>	15,6	0,274	9,8	2,49	18,1
Ho <sup>165</sup>	13,5	0,305	8,9	2,52	18,7
Tm <sup>169</sup>	16,4	0,250	8,4	1,91	14,9
Lu <sup>175</sup>	16,0	0,225	8,4	1,90	23,0
Ta <sup>181</sup>	14,5	0,380	8,5	3,15	22,0
Au <sup>197</sup>	13,8	0,475	4,7	3,04	22,6
Bi <sup>209</sup>	13,2	0,455	5,9	2,89	23,2




Рис. 16.

a — Выход фотонейтронов для Au; б —  $\sigma_n(E_{\gamma})$  и  $\sigma(\gamma)$  для Au

Method **Li (p,γ) source, 480 kev protons. BF<sub>3</sub> counters** Ref. No. **56 Ha 1** EGF

Reaction	E or ΔE	E <sub>0</sub>	Γ	∫σdE	Jπ	Notes
(γ, xn)						Average Li cross section is <u>330</u> mb; cross section with detector response weighted for low energy neutrons, <u>295</u> mb. Assumed ratio 17.6/14.8 = 1.7. Calculated cross section at 14.8 and 17.6 MeV assuming cross section curves measured at Pennsylvania and Saskatchewan (refer Table I).

TABLE I. Cross sections for photonutron emission induced by the lithium gamma rays. The results are compared with previous data

Element	Present cross-section data		Data of McDaniel et al. <sup>a</sup>	Beta-tron data					
	Counter Group A	Counter Group B		Pennsylvania		Saskatchewan			
				σ <sub>av</sub> <sup>b</sup>	σ <sub>17.6</sub> <sup>c</sup>	σ <sub>av</sub> <sup>b</sup>	σ <sub>17.6</sub> <sup>c</sup>		
<sup>56</sup> Fe	38 mb	33 mb	37 mb			60 <sup>d</sup> mb	0.5	23 mb	47 mb
<sup>58</sup> Co	49	49	47	60 <sup>e</sup> mb	0.5	95 <sup>f</sup>	0.5	30	60
<sup>60</sup> Ni	23	25	23			40 <sup>g</sup>	0.7	22	32
<sup>64</sup> Cu	64	61	55±12			95 <sup>f</sup>	0.6	45	75
<sup>68</sup> Zn	43	45	48			90 <sup>f</sup>	0.7	38	54
<sup>70</sup> Zn	173	170	133			240 <sup>f</sup>	1.0	175	175
<sup>72</sup> Zn	200	190	180						
<sup>181</sup> Ta	355	360	260	350 <sup>h</sup>	1.3	420 <sup>i</sup>	2.3	420 <sup>i</sup>	320 <sup>i</sup>
<sup>183</sup> W	365	353	325			550 <sup>i</sup>		550 <sup>i</sup>	240 <sup>i</sup>
<sup>197</sup> Au	330	293		315 <sup>e</sup>	1.7	480 <sup>f</sup>	1.9	460	255
<sup>200</sup> Hg	365	340	290						
<sup>208</sup> Pb	310	295	250	320 <sup>h</sup>	1.6	440 <sup>f</sup>	2.5	400 <sup>i</sup>	250 <sup>i</sup>
<sup>209</sup> Bi	305	280	250	270 <sup>h</sup>	2.6	550 <sup>f</sup>	2.4	500 <sup>i</sup>	200 <sup>i</sup>

<sup>a</sup> See reference 3.  
<sup>b</sup> Average of 14.8- and 17.6-Mev cross sections weighted with relative intensities of the lithium gamma-ray lines.  
<sup>c</sup> See reference 24.  
<sup>d</sup> K. Nathans, Ph.D. thesis, University of Pennsylvania, 1954 (unpublished).  
<sup>e</sup> I. Halmers (private communication).  
<sup>f</sup> See reference 21.  
<sup>g</sup> See reference 22.  
<sup>h</sup> Separate cross sections at 14.8 and 17.6 Mev as obtained from Group A data and 14.8/17.6 beta-tron cross-section ratios.  
<sup>i</sup> Obtained using 14.8/17.6 cross-section ratio from Pennsylvania beta-tron data.  
<sup>j</sup> Obtained using 14.8/17.6 cross-section ratio from Saskatchewan beta-tron data.

Elem. Sym.	A	Z
Au	197	79

Method  $^{31}$  MeV betatron; neutron yield, angular distribution; threshold detector,  $Si^{28}(n,p)Al^{27}$  reaction.

Ref. No.	EGF
57 Fe 1	

Reaction	E or $\Delta E$	$E_0$	$\Gamma$	$\int \sigma dE$	$J\pi$	Notes
$Au(\gamma, n!)$	Bremss. 15-31					Data not clear but probably normalized at 30 MeV.




Fig. 8.




Fig. 9.

Elem. Sym.	A	Z
Au	197	79

Method  
 MIT linear accelerator; time of flight

Ref. No.	
58 Be 2	EH

Reaction	E or $\Delta E$	$E_0$	$\Gamma$	$\int \sigma dE$	$J\pi$	Notes
$\text{Au}^{197}(\gamma, n)$	Bremss. ~ 14.3 ~ 15.8					Detector at $120^\circ$




Fig. 2. Energy spectra  $(I/E_n)(dN/dE_n)$  of photoneutrons from Au for bremsstrahlung of maximum energies ~14.3 Mev and ~15.8 Mev, and difference spectrum.



Ref. S. Cavallaro, V. Emma, C. Milone, A. Rubbino  
Nuovo Cimento 2, 736 (1958)

Elem. Sym.	A	Z
Au	197	79

Method 30 MeV betatron; emulsions; neutron spectrum

Ref. No.	EH
58 Ca 2	

Reaction	E or $\Delta E$	$E_0$	$\Gamma$	$\int \sigma dE$	$J\pi$	Notes
$Au^{197}(\gamma, n)$	Bremss. 30					$E_{th}(\gamma, n) = 7.9$ $\sim 90^\circ$ spectra.




Fig. 1. - Energy spectrum of the photonucleons from Au emitted at  $\sim 90^\circ$  with the  $\gamma$ -ray beam.  $\blacklozenge$  = Experimental figures. For the high energy tail figures are multiplied by 10. The curve (a) is the evaporation spectrum calculated under the assumption indicated in the text. Curve (b) is a spectrum calculated for direct emission under the hypothesis that the residual nucleus after the neutron emission is left in the ground state.  $\gamma$  = neutrons/R/0.5 MeV/mole/ $\Delta\Omega$ .


Ref. B. Ziegler  
Z. Physik 152, 566 (1958)

Elem. Sym.	A	Z
Au	197	79

Method  $32$  MeV betatron; pair spectrometer; absorption measurement;  
ionization chamber

Ref. No.  
58 Zi 1  
EH

Reaction	E or $\Delta E$	$E_0$	$\Gamma$	$\int \sigma dE$	$J\pi$	Notes
Au( $\mu_e$ )	Bremss. 30			$2800 \pm 500$ MeV-mb		



<sup>7</sup> MONTALBETTI, R., L. KATZ u. J. GOLDBERG: Phys. Rev. **91**, 959 (1953).  
<sup>8</sup> NATHANS, R., u. J. HALPERN: Phys. Rev. **93**, 457 (1954).  
<sup>9</sup> GAVRILOV, B. I., u. L. E. LAZAREVA: Sov. Phys. J.E.T.P. **3**, S71 (1957).  
<sup>10</sup> BERMAN, A. L., u. K. L. BROWN: Phys. Rev. **96**, 55 (1954).  
<sup>11</sup> DANOS, M.: Nuclear Phys. **5**, 25 (1958).

Fig. 3. a) Total pair production cross-section  $\sigma_{00}$  (mb) for Au; b)  $\sigma_{00}$  nach <sup>7</sup>; c)  $\sigma_{00}$  nach <sup>8</sup>; d)  $\sigma_{00}$  nach <sup>9</sup>.

Elem. Sym.	A	Z
Au	197	79
Ref. No.		
59 Au 1		EGF/EH

Method  
Si detectors

Reaction	E or ΔE	E <sub>0</sub>	Γ	∫σdE	Jπ	Notes
Au <sup>197</sup> (γ, n!)	Bremss. 18-65			<sup>65</sup> ∫ ~ 700 MeV-mb		Yield measured relative to Cu <sup>63</sup> (γ, n).




Fig. 4. Gold (γ, fast n) smoothed integrated cross section and cross section, as described under fig. 3.

Fig. 3. Tantalum (γ, fast n) smoothed integrated cross section and cross section. The points and the smooth curve represent the smoothed integrated fast neutron cross section (see text) as defined by

$$\int_0^E \sigma_{\gamma, \text{fast n}} dE$$

where  $\sigma_{\gamma, \text{fast n}}$  is the cross section for photoproduction of neutrons with energies above the  $\text{Si}^{29}(\text{n}, \text{p})\text{N}^{13}$  threshold and  $E$  is the incident gamma ray energy. The errors indicated were obtained by propagating the counting uncertainties in the yield data through the integral cross section matrix. The histogram represents the first differences of the integrated cross section curve.

TABLE I

Ratio of fast neutron integrated cross section to total neutron integrated cross section

	24 MeV	30 MeV	60 MeV
Tantalum	10.3 ± 2.4 % <sup>a)</sup>	7.3 ± 1.7 % <sup>b)</sup>	10.6 ± 2.2 % <sup>c)</sup>
Gold	19.0 ± 2.7 % <sup>a)</sup>		17.4 ± 4.3 % <sup>c)</sup>

- a) Compared to (γ, n) cross section of Fuller and Weiss<sup>17)</sup>;
- b) Compared to (γ, nn) of Terwilliger and Jones<sup>18)</sup>;
- c) Compared to (γ, n) of Edwards and MacMillan (data taken at 70 MeV)<sup>19)</sup>.

Ref 17: Fuller & Weiss - Phys. Rev. 112, 560 (1958)

Ref 18: Jones & Terwilliger - Phys. Rev. 91, 699 (1953)

Ref 19: Edwards & MacMillan - Phys. Rev. 87, 377 (1952)

REF.

E. D. Makhnovskii  
 J. Exptl. Theoret. Phys. (USSR) 36, 739 (1959)  
 Soviet Phys. JETP 36 (9) 519 (1959)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Au	197	79

METHOD

REF. NO.

59 Ma 1

EGF

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,P	RLY	THR - 70	C	70	EMU-D	5 - 11	90
G,D	RLY	THR - 70	C	70	EMU-D	7 - 14	90

REL D TO P YLD

$$Y(\gamma, d)/Y(\gamma, p) = 0.14 \pm 0.07$$




FIG. 4. Distribution of the tracks of photoparticles as a function of the number of grains in the last  $90 \mu$  of the track. 1 - normal distribution of photodeuterons, 2 - normal distribution of photoprotons, 3 - sum of both.

Ref. R.F. Askew, A.P. Batson  
Nuclear Phys. 20, 408 (1960)

Elem. Sym.	A	Z
Au	197	79

Method Electron synchrotron; emulsions

Ref. No.  
60 As 1  
JHH

Reaction	E or $\Delta E$	$E_0$	$\Gamma$	$\int \sigma dE$	$J\pi$	Notes
Au <sup>197</sup> ( $\gamma, n$ )	Bremss. 55					Peaks observed in neutron spectrum at $E_n = 1.25$ MeV and 5.5 MeV.  Detector at 90°.




Figure 1: Relative photoneutron energy spectrum. The solid curve is the statistical theory prediction.

Elem. Sym.	A	Z
Au	197	79

Method 45 MeV linac; three-foil stack (electrodisintegration target, bremsstradiant, electro- and photo-disintegration target); activation

Ref. No.	JHH
60 Ba 2	

Reaction	E or ΔE	E <sub>0</sub>	Γ	∫σdE	Jπ	Notes
(γ,n)						to Au <sup>196</sup> (5.6 days)
(γ,2n)						to Au <sup>195</sup> (30 sec.) (180 days)
(e,e'n)						Ratios of electro- to photodisintegration in Figure 7.
(e,e'2n)						




Fig. 2. Yield of Au radioactivities resulting from the real bremsstrahlung of electrons as a function of the electron energy.




Fig. 4. Cross sections for Au derived from the yield curves of Fig. 2. The relative magnitudes of the cross sections for the two reactions were drawn arbitrarily.




Fig. 7. The radiation length equivalent of the electrodisintegration yields of Au<sup>196</sup> (ordinate scale on the left margin) and Au<sup>195</sup> (ordinate scale on the right margin) produced from Au<sup>197</sup> as a function of electron energy. The circles are the experimental results. Theoretical results for a point nucleus are shown as solid curves. The dashed curves indicate an approximate correction for the nuclear size.

Elem. Sym.	A	Z
Au	197	79
Ref. No.		JHH
60 Ba 5		

Method Stanford Mark II accelerator; virtual and real photon spectrum from electrons; magnetic spectrum; KI scintillator crystals.

Reaction	E or $\Delta E$	$E_0$	$\Gamma$	$\int \sigma dE$	$J\pi$	Notes
$Au^{197}(\gamma, p)$	$E_{e^-} \leq 40$			$\int_0^{40} = 75 \pm 25\% \text{ MeVmb}$		$\int \sigma dE$ from calculated real and virtual photon spectrum.




Fig. 8. Energy distribution of protons from Au.




Fig. 13. Angular distribution of protons from Au. The curves represent the following least-squares fit to the data:

- diamonds:  $(3.2 + 1.15 \cos \theta - 0.58 \sin^2 \theta + 0.51 \cos \theta \sin^2 \theta) \times 10^{-21}$
- triangles:  $(3.9 - 0.62 \cos \theta - 0.62 \sin^2 \theta - 0.39 \cos \theta \sin^2 \theta) \times 10^{-21}$
- circles:  $(2.17 - 0.91 \cos \theta - 0.43 \sin^2 \theta - 0.43 \cos \theta \sin^2 \theta) \times 10^{-21}$
- squares:  $(0.91 - 0.41 \cos \theta + 0.26 \sin^2 \theta - 1.28 \cos \theta \sin^2 \theta) \times 10^{-21}$

REF.

M. A. Bak, K. A. Petrzhak, Tei-Mei Chen  
Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR 24, 818 (1960)

ELEM. SYM.

A

Z

Au

197

79

METHOD

REF. NO.

60 Ba 8

EGF

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,N	ABX	14, 18	D	14, 18	ACT-I		4PI

 $\sigma$  at 17.5 MeV  $460 \pm 50$  mb



METHOD	REF. NO.
Betatron; neutron threshold; ion chamber	60 Ge 3 NVB

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,N	NØX	THR	C	THR	BF3-I		4 PI

THRESHOLD

TABLE I. Summary and comparison of neutron separation energies inferred from present threshold measurements with values predicted from mass data and reaction energies. All energies are expressed in the center-of-mass system in Mev.

Reaction	No. runs	Present results	Other results	Method	Reference
$Au^{198}(\gamma,n)Au^{197}$	3	$8.057 \pm 0.022$	$7.96 \pm 0.07$	threshold	f

Ref. V.P. Chizhov  
 Zhur. Eksp. i Teoret. Fiz. 38, 809 (1960)  
 Soviet Phys. JETP 11, 587 (1960)

Elem. Sym.	A	Z
Au	197	79

Method 90 MeV Bremsstrahlung; scintillator counter telescope

Ref. No. 60 Ch 1  
 JHH

Reaction	E or ΔE	E <sub>0</sub>	Γ	∫σdE	Jπ	Notes
Au <sup>197</sup> (γ, d)						Energy Range of particles detected: E <sub>d</sub> - 15.5-30 MeV E <sub>p</sub> - 15.5-30 MeV E <sub>t</sub> - 17-30 MeV  Ratios: $\left. \begin{matrix} \sigma(\gamma, d) / \sigma(\gamma, p) \\ \sigma(\gamma, t) / \sigma(\gamma, d) \end{matrix} \right\} \text{ at } \theta = 90^\circ$
Au <sup>197</sup> (γ, p)						
Au <sup>197</sup> (γ, t)						




FIG. 3. Ratio of (γ, d) to (γ, p) cross sections for protons and deuterons of energies 15.5–30 Mev as function of atomic weight A. The solid curve shows the dependence given by Eq. (2), arbitrarily normalized.

TABLE I

Element	100N <sub>t</sub> /N <sub>d</sub>	Element	100N <sub>t</sub> /N <sub>d</sub>	Element	100N <sub>t</sub> /N <sub>d</sub>	Element	100N <sub>t</sub> /N <sub>d</sub>
Li <sup>6</sup>	30±3	B	39±8	Ni	10±4	In	5±2.5
Li <sup>7</sup>	22.5±2.5	Si	10±4	Co	2.5±2	Ta	10±4
Be	13±2.6	S	8=4	Cu	2.2±2	Au	3±3

Ref. E.D. Makhmovskii  
 Zhur. Eksp. i Teoret. Fiz. 38, 95 (1960)  
 Soviet Phys. JETP 11, 70 (1960)

Elem. Sym.	A	Z
Au	197	79

Method  
 Bremss. from Synchrotron, 23 MeV max.; emulsions

Ref. No.	JH
60 Ma 1	

Reaction	E or $\Delta E$	$E_0$	$\Gamma$	$\int \sigma dE$	$J\pi$	Notes
$(\gamma, p)$	$E_{\gamma \max.} = 22.5$	$E_p = 10.9$				<p>Angular distribution, energy distribution, and yield all consistent with Wilkinson calculations.</p> <p>Absolute yield <math>(1.6 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-5}</math> proton/mole - MeV. Wilkinson absolute yield agrees with this.</p>




FIG. 1. Proton energy distribution. 1 - energy spectrum from the theory of direct resonance emission of nucleons; 2 - energy spectrum from Courant's theory of a direct photoeffect; 3 - energy spectrum from statistical theory.




FIG. 2. Proton angular distribution.

Ref.

K. Reibel, A.K. Mann  
 Phys. Rev. 118, 701 (1960)

Elem. Sym.	A	Z
Au	197	79

Method

$\gamma$ 's from  $F^{19}(p,\gamma)$  reaction; protons from Vande Graaff; NaI.

Ref. No.	JHH
60 Re 1	

Reaction	E or $\Delta E$	$E_0$	$\Gamma$	$\int \sigma dE$	$J\pi$	Notes
Au <sup>197</sup> ( $\gamma,\gamma$ )	~ 7					$\langle \bar{\sigma} \rangle (E_p = 2.05 \text{ MeV}) = < 2.7 \text{ mb}$

REF.

J. Miller, C. Schuhl, C. Tzara  
 J. Phys. Radium 22, 529 (1961)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
AU	197	79

METHOD

Positron annihilation; neutron cross section,  $\text{BF}_3$  counter;  
 ion chamber

REF. NO.

61 Mi 1

NVB

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, XN	ABX	8-20	D	8-20	BF3-I		4PI




FIG. 3a. — Or,  $\sigma(\gamma, n) + 2\sigma(\gamma, 2n) + \sigma(\gamma, np) + \dots$

Elem. Sym.	A	Z
Au	197	79

Method Betatron; BF<sub>3</sub> neutron counters; NaI for activation method.

Ref. No. 61 Na 1 JHH

Reaction	E or ΔE	E <sub>0</sub>	Γ	∫σdE	Jπ	Notes
----------	---------	----------------	---	------	----	-------

(γ, n)  
(γ, 2n)

Bremss.  
10-22

(581)  
(582)

Cross sections in Figure 6 derived from data in Figure 1 using Katz and Cameron [Can. J. Phys. 29, 518 (1951)] spectrum tables.

Assumed:

$$\frac{\sigma(\gamma, sn)}{\sigma \text{ abs.}} = 1 - e^{-x}(1+x)$$

$$x = (a/E - E_n)^{3/2} (E - E_{2n})$$

a = 0.035 (A-12) as given by Heidmann and Bethe.




Fig. 1 Yield curves for the Au<sup>197</sup>(γ, n) and Au<sup>197</sup>(γ, 2n) reactions.




Fig. 6. The cross sections of the Au<sup>197</sup>(γ, n) and Au<sup>197</sup>(γ, 2n) reactions

TABLE I  
Comparison of experimental data with evaporation theory

Element	$Y_{2n}^{(semi-empirical)}$ neutrons per 100R per mole	$Y_n$ Experimental	$\frac{Y_{2n}}{Y_n}$ (semi-empirical)	$\frac{Y_{2n}}{Y_n}$ (experimental)	Refs.
V <sup>51</sup>	5.0 · 10 <sup>6</sup>	2.1 · 10 <sup>6</sup>	2.4 · 10 <sup>-2</sup>		1)
Co <sup>59</sup>	3.2 · 10 <sup>6</sup>	2.6 · 10 <sup>6</sup>	1.2 · 10 <sup>-2</sup>		2)
Cu <sup>63</sup>	3.8 · 10 <sup>6</sup>	3.0 · 10 <sup>6</sup>	1.3 · 10 <sup>-2</sup>	1.2 · 10 <sup>-2</sup>	3)
As <sup>75</sup>	1.2 · 10 <sup>7</sup>	3.4 · 10 <sup>6</sup>	3.5 · 10 <sup>-2</sup>		4)
Nb <sup>93</sup>	3.2 · 10 <sup>7</sup>	7.1 · 10 <sup>6</sup>	7.3 · 10 <sup>-2</sup>	1.9 · 10 <sup>-1</sup>	5, 6)
U <sup>237</sup>	2.7 · 10 <sup>6</sup>	1.4 · 10 <sup>6</sup>	1.9 · 10 <sup>-1</sup>	1.8 · 10 <sup>-1</sup>	7), this work
Pt <sup>194</sup>	1.8 · 10 <sup>6</sup>	1.4 · 10 <sup>6</sup>	1.3 · 10 <sup>-1</sup>	1.4 · 10 <sup>-1</sup>	8)
Er <sup>197</sup>	3.6 · 10 <sup>6</sup>	1.8 · 10 <sup>6</sup>	2.0 · 10 <sup>-1</sup>		13)
Ta <sup>181</sup>	3.1 · 10 <sup>6</sup>	1.9 · 10 <sup>6</sup>	2.7 · 10 <sup>-1</sup>	3.4 · 10 <sup>-1</sup>	9)
Au <sup>197</sup>	6.8 · 10 <sup>6</sup>	2.6 · 10 <sup>6</sup>	2.6 · 10 <sup>-1</sup>	2.7 · 10 <sup>-1</sup>	10), this work
Bi <sup>209</sup>	7.0 · 10 <sup>6</sup>	3.5 · 10 <sup>6</sup>	2.1 · 10 <sup>-1</sup>		

References

- J. M. Blatt and V. F. Weisskopf, Theoretical Nuclear Physics (John Wiley and Sons, New York 1952)
- E. A. Whalin and A. O. Hanson, Phys. Rev. 89 (1953) 324L
- A. I. Berman and K. L. Brown, Phys. Rev. 96 (1954) 83
- E. Silva, J. Goldemberg and P. B. Smith, Nuovo Cim. 9 (1958) 17
- F. Ferrero, R. Malvano, E. Silva, G. Moscati and J. Goldemberg, Nuclear Physics, 10 (1959) 423
- J. O'Connell, P. Dyal and J. Goldemberg, Phys. Rev. 116 (1959) 173
- R. Nathans and J. Halpern, Phys. Rev. 93 (1954) 437
- G. Moscati and J. Goldemberg, Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências (to be published)
- R. Montalbetti, L. Katz and J. Goldemberg, Phys. Rev. 91 (1953) 659
- D. Strominger, J. M. Hollander and G. T. Seaborg, Rev. Mod. Phys. 30 (1958) 585
- L. Katz and A. G. W. Cameron, Can. J. Phys. 29 (1951) 518
- J. Heidmann and H. A. Bethe, Phys. Rev. 84 (1951) 274
- E. G. Fuller, B. Petree and M. S. Weiss, NBS Report No. 5886
- P. C. Guglielmo, Phys. Rev. 81 (1950) 51
- B. M. Spicer, Phys. Rev. 100 (1955) 791

Elem. Sym.	A	Z
Au	197	79

Method 22 MeV betatron; Si<sup>28</sup>(n,p)Al<sup>28</sup> threshold detector.

Ref. No.	61 Ta 1	JHH
----------	---------	-----

Reaction	E or ΔE	E <sub>0</sub>	Γ	∫σdE	Jπ	Notes
Au <sup>197</sup> (γ,n)	Bremsstr. 22					<p>E<sub>n</sub> &gt; 6 MeV.</p> <p>W(θ<sub>n</sub>) = A + B sin<sup>2</sup>θ where B/A = 0.66±0.14</p>




Figure 4: Angular distributions of fast photoneutrons as observed with the Si<sup>28</sup>(n,p)Al<sup>28</sup> detector. Data normalized at 90° in each case.

Method	Monoergic $\gamma$ 's from thermal n-capture; activation	Ref. No.	61 We 1	JHH.
--------	--	----------	---------	------

Reaction	E or $\Delta E$	$E_0$	$\Gamma$	$\int \sigma dE$	$J\pi$	Notes
$(\gamma, n)$	7.91-9.72					Measurement of 65 keV x-ray from K-capture in Au <sup>196</sup> . Data in Table II (millibarns); compares with Fuller and Weiss in Figure 4. E <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> thresh. = 7.91 - 8.4 MeV.




FIG. 4. Cross section vs energy for the Au<sup>197</sup>( $\gamma, n$ )Au<sup>198</sup>(3.6 day) reaction. The circles are the data of Fuller and Weiss.<sup>1</sup>

TABLE II. Summary of measured cross sections.

$\gamma$ -ray source Reaction \ Energy (MeV)	Co	Fe	Al	Cu	Cl	Ni	Fe	Cr	Fe	N
	7.49	7.64	7.73	7.91	8.56	8.997	9.30	9.72	10.16	10.83
Ta <sup>181</sup> ( $\gamma, n$ )Ta <sup>180m</sup>	0 $\pm$ 0.05	0.5 $\pm$ 1	4.8 $\pm$ 1.6	14 $\pm$ 5	32 $\pm$ 16	44 $\pm$ 15	...	33 $\pm$ 33	...	120 $\pm$ 48
Au <sup>197</sup> ( $\gamma, n$ )Au <sup>198</sup>	...	...	...	0 $\pm$ 2	34 $\pm$ 17	44 $\pm$ 11	64 $\pm$ 30	80 $\pm$ 30	...	...
Hg <sup>194</sup> ( $\gamma, n$ )Hg <sup>194</sup>	...	...	...	0 $\pm$ 0.1	29 $\pm$ 15	30 $\pm$ 18	46 $\pm$ 21	86 $\pm$ 31	...	260 $\pm$ 93
Nb <sup>93</sup> ( $\gamma, n$ )Nb <sup>92</sup>	...	...	...	...	...	0.008 $\pm$ 0.005	1.0 $\pm$ 0.4	2.4 $\pm$ 0.7	...	...
Ag <sup>107</sup> ( $\gamma, n$ )Ag <sup>106</sup>	...	...	...	...	...	0 $\pm$ 0.1	...	4.4 $\pm$ 1.5	22 $\pm$ 16	23 $\pm$ 7.5



Elem. Sym.	A	Z
Au	197	79

Method  
Positron annihilation - NaI(Tl), BF<sub>3</sub>

Ref. No.  
62Fu2  
BG

Reaction	E or ΔE	E <sub>0</sub>	Γ	∫σ <sub>d</sub> E	Jπ	Notes
(γ, n)+(γ, np)	7-25			2.14±0.15) <sub>0</sub> <sup>25</sup>		$\sigma_{-2} = \int_0^{\infty} \sigma E^{-2} dE = 15.3 \pm 1.5 \text{ mb/MeV}$ level density parameter a:= $17.1 \pm 0.5 \text{ MeV}^{-1}$ Lorentz line fitted to formation cross section (Fig.9).  Experimental value of integrated cross section agrees with simple dipole - absorption sum rule.
(γ, 2n)	(358)			0.83±0.16) <sub>0</sub> <sup>25</sup>		
(γ, n)+(γ, 2n) +(γ, np)	(357)	13.90	4.2			




FIG. 6. Partially reduced neutron yield curves for Au. The top set was obtained from counting single neutrons per beam pulse. The lower set from counting two neutrons per beam pulse. For each set of curves, the top curve was obtained by use of positrons while the lower curve was obtained by use of negative electrons.

FIG. 7. Cross section obtained from neutron yield data. The top curve (A) consists of  $\sigma(\gamma, n) + 2\sigma(\gamma, 2n) + \sigma(\gamma, np) + 3\sigma(\gamma, 3n)$  and was obtained from single-neutron counting data. The lower curve (B) was obtained from double-neutron counting data and consists of  $2\sigma(\gamma, 2n) + 6\sigma(\gamma, 3n)$ .





FIG. 9. The nuclear formation cross section for gold, consisting of  $\sigma(\gamma, n) + \sigma(\gamma, 2n) + \sigma(\gamma, np)$ . The solid line is a Lorentz curve with a peak cross section of 335 mb at 13.90 MeV, and a width of 4.2 MeV.



Elem. Sym.	A	Z
Au	197	79
Ref. No.		JHH
62 Oc 1		

Method 25 MeV betatron; monoenergetic photons by coincidence with analyzed electrons from Bremsstr. target; NaI

Reaction	E or ΔE	E <sub>0</sub>	Γ	∫σdE	Jπ	Notes
(γ,γ')	11.48 -	~ 13.5				Data taken at θ = 135°. Fitted to a "two Lorentz line fit": σ <sub>1</sub> = 0.255 barn, E <sub>01</sub> = 13.15 MeV, Γ <sub>1</sub> = 2.9 MeV. σ <sub>2</sub> = 0.365 barn, E <sub>02</sub> = 13.90 MeV, Γ <sub>2</sub> = 4.0 MeV. suggested by Fuller and Weiss [Phys. Rev. <u>112</u> , 560 (1958)].
(γ,γ)	17.72					

TABLE I. Experimental results.

E <sub>γ</sub> Mev	E <sub>β</sub> Mev	N <sub>coinc</sub> 10 <sup>2</sup> X	Total Counts	Chance Counts	dσ/dΩ 10 <sup>-28</sup> cm <sup>2</sup> /sr	Average
11.48		2.06	20	12.0	0.80±0.45	
11.66	18.03	1.64	21	9.7	1.41±0.58	1.07±0.30
11.84		1.61	15	7.1	1.00±0.50	
Chance		1.70	9	7.5		
12.50		2.66	72	33.2	3.02±0.66	
12.64	18.03	1.95	55	27.3	2.94±0.79	3.18±0.43
12.78		2.15	57	19.9	3.57±0.73	
Chance		2.15	23	24.5		
13.50		1.39	53	12.2	6.14±1.1	
13.45	19.83	1.11	40	9.0	5.83±1.2	6.73±0.71
13.62		1.15	53	7.8	8.21±1.4	
Chance		1.10	8	8.6		
14.30		3.07	134	29.2	7.20±0.80	
14.44	19.83	2.27	107	19.2	8.18±0.97	7.12±0.52
14.58		2.28	87	22.3	5.99±0.87	
Chance		2.06	18	23.0		
15.29		1.94	104	48.0	6.11±1.1	
15.41	19.83	1.44	66	26.6	5.80±1.2	5.45±0.66
15.53		1.48	61	29.9	4.45±1.1	
Chance		1.34	32	28.8		
16.55		0.820	22	5.6	4.30±1.3	
16.69	22.02	0.564	14	4.6	3.59±1.5	3.83±0.78
16.83		0.623	15	4.6	3.59±1.4	
Chance		0.668	7	4.8		
17.48		0.882	17	12.6	1.08±1.05	
17.60	22.02	0.631	16	5.5	3.59±1.35	3.48±0.80
17.72		0.622	24	7.4	5.78±1.7	
Chance		0.666	7	5.6		




FIG. 6. Differential Scattering Cross Section of Au<sup>197</sup> at 135°. The cross section includes both elastic scattering and high energy inelastic scattering. The ordinate is the differential cross section in units of 10<sup>-28</sup> cm<sup>2</sup>/sr; the abscissa is the gamma ray energy in Mev. The energy resolution varied from point to point but was about 150 kev±30 kev.




FIG. 7. Differential Scattering Cross Section for Au<sup>197</sup> at 135°. The experimental dots (this experiment) and open circles (0.82 times the adjusted value obtained from references 23 and 24) may include high energy inelastic scattering; the solid curve is 0.82 times the prediction for only elastic scattering obtained by using published value of measured absorption cross section (reference 21). The energy resolution varies from point to point but is about 350 kev.

Ref. 24: The cross sections given in ref. 23 were reduced by a factor 0.866 in accordance with ref. 15 and they were converted from 120° to 135° by assuming a 1-Cos<sup>2</sup>θ distribution. The normalization factor of 0.82, mentioned in the text was also applied.

Ref. 21: Fuller and Weiss, Phys. Rev. 112, 560 (1958).

Ref. 23: Fuller and Hayward, Phys. Rev. 101, 692 (1956).

Elem. Sym.	A	Z
Au	197	79
Ref. No.		JHH
62 Mi 3		

Method Linac; monoergic photons by  $e^+$  annihilation in flight; NaI

Reaction	E or $\Delta E$	$E_0$	$\Gamma$	$\int \sigma dE$	$J\pi$	Notes
Au $^{197}(\gamma, xn)$	6.5-22	$14.2 \pm 0.5$		$\int_0^{22} = 3.00 \pm 0.05$ MeV-b		




Fig. 10. Section efficace  $\sigma = \sigma(\gamma, n) + \sigma(\gamma, np) + 2\sigma(\gamma, 2n) + \dots$  pour l'or.

TABLEAU 5  
Résultats expérimentaux

Éléments	Fig. No	$E_m$ (MeV)	$\sigma_{int}$ (MeV · b <sup>2</sup> )	$\frac{\sigma_{int}}{0.06NZ^{2/3}}$	Seuils			
					$\gamma, n$	$\gamma, p$	$\gamma, 2n$	$\gamma, np$
Cu	6	$17 \pm 0.5$	$0.45 \pm 0.015$	$0.47 \pm 0.015$				
La	7	$15.6 \pm 0.5$	$1.91 \pm 0.03$	$0.94 \pm 0.015$	$3.50^{13)}$		$14.25^{13)}$	$14.90^{13)}$
Ce	140 142	$15.6 \pm 0.5$	$1.88 \pm 0.03$	$0.92 \pm 0.015$	$9.05^{14)}$ $7.15^{14)}$	$8.50^{14)}$ $9.50^{14)}$	$14.1^{14)}$ $14.3^{14)}$	
Ta	181	$13 \pm 0.5$ $16.5 \pm 0.5$	$2.97 \pm 0.05$	$1.13 \pm 0.02$	$7.53^{13)}$		$13.84^{13)}$	$13.47^{13)}$
Au	197	$14.2 \pm 0.5$	$3.00 \pm 0.05$	$1.06 \pm 0.02$	$7.90^{13)}$		$13.71^{13)}$	$12.94^{13)}$
Pb	206 207 208	$13.8 \pm 0.5$	$4.10 \pm 0.06$	$1.38 \pm 0.02$	$10.6^{13)}$ $7.2^{13)}$ $6.9^{13)}$	$7.1^{13)}$ $8.2^{13)}$ $8.4^{13)}$	$14.3^{13)}$ $14.3^{13)}$ $15.0^{13)}$	$14.5^{13)}$ $17.9^{13)}$ $14.2^{13)}$
Bi	209	$14.0 \pm 0.5$	$3.73 \pm 0.06$	$1.24 \pm 0.02$	$7.44^{14)}$	$3.76^{14)}$	$10.4^{14)}$	

\*) L'intégrale  $\int_0^{E_m} \sigma dE$  est prise jusqu'à  $E_m$  égal à 19.6 MeV pour Cu, à 21.2 MeV pour La et Ce et à 22 MeV pour Ta, Au, Pb et Bi. D'autre part, les erreurs indiquées sont les erreurs statistiques.

Method 55 MeV betatron; synchrotron; Si<sup>28</sup>(n,p)Al<sup>28</sup> activity; Cu<sup>63</sup>(γ,n)Cu<sup>62</sup> monitor.

Ref. No.  
62 Re 1  
EGF

Reaction	E or ΔE	E <sub>0</sub>	Γ	∫σdE	Jπ	Notes
----------	---------	----------------	---	------	----	-------

Au<sup>197</sup>(γ,n) Bremss.  
55

Figure 7: Dotted curve is of form  $a_0 + a_1 \cos \theta + a_2 \cos^2 \theta + a_2 \cos^2 \theta - a_1 \cos^2 \theta$ ; solid curve is of form  $a_0 + a_1 \cos \theta + a_2 \cos^2 \theta$ ; errors on points are statistical errors in counting only.




Fig. 7. Angular distribution of fast neutrons from gold. See fig. 5.

TABLE 2  
Parameters of the fit (1) for the expressions  $a_0 + a_1 \cos \theta + a_2 \cos^2 \theta$ ,  $a - b \sin^2 \theta - c \cos \theta$  and  $a_0 - A_1 P_1 - A_2 P_2$

	Bi(1)	Bi(2)	Pr	Au	Y	Ho	La
$a_0$	1.00 ± 0.02	1.00 ± 0.02	1.00 ± 0.02	1.00 ± 0.02	1.00 ± 0.03	1.00 ± 0.02	1.00 ± 0.01
$a_1$	0.15 ± 0.03	0.18 ± 0.04	0.17 ± 0.04	0.14 ± 0.03	0.17 ± 0.06	0.12 ± 0.03	0.14 ± 0.03
$-a_2$	0.47 ± 0.06	0.40 ± 0.08	0.41 ± 0.09	0.21 ± 0.07	0.15 ± 0.11	0.34 ± 0.06	0.39 ± 0.06
$-A_1^*)$	0.18 ± 0.04	0.21 ± 0.05	0.20 ± 0.05	0.15 ± 0.04	0.18 ± 0.06	0.14 ± 0.04	0.16 ± 0.03
$-A_2^*)$	0.37 ± 0.05	0.31 ± 0.06	0.32 ± 0.07	0.15 ± 0.05	0.11 ± 0.08	0.26 ± 0.05	0.30 ± 0.04
$a$	0.53 ± 0.06	0.60 ± 0.08	0.59 ± 0.09	0.79 ± 0.07	0.85 ± 0.11	0.66 ± 0.06	0.81 ± 0.06
$b$	0.47 ± 0.06	0.40 ± 0.08	0.41 ± 0.09	0.21 ± 0.07	0.15 ± 0.11	0.34 ± 0.06	0.39 ± 0.06
$c$	0.13 ± 0.03	0.13 ± 0.04	0.17 ± 0.04	0.14 ± 0.03	0.17 ± 0.06	0.12 ± 0.03	0.14 ± 0.03

\*1) Renormalized so that  $A_0 = 1$

TABLE 4  
Parameters of the fit (3) for the expressions  $a_0 + a_1 \cos \theta + a_2 \cos^2 \theta - a_1 \cos^2 \theta$ ,  $1 - A_1 P_1 - A_2 P_2 - A_3 P_3$

	Bi(1)	Bi(2)	Pr	Au	Y	Ho	La
$a_0$	1.01 ± 0.02	1.00 ± 0.02	1.01 ± 0.03	0.98 ± 0.02	1.00 ± 0.03	1.00 ± 0.02	1.01 ± 0.02
$a_1$	0.19 ± 0.05	0.17 ± 0.07	0.21 ± 0.07	0.07 ± 0.06	0.16 ± 0.09	0.12 ± 0.05	0.17 ± 0.05
$-a_2$	0.56 ± 0.11	0.37 ± 0.15	0.50 ± 0.16	0.05 ± 0.12	0.13 ± 0.20	0.33 ± 0.12	0.45 ± 0.11
$a_3$	-0.17 ± 0.18	0.05 ± 0.24	-0.17 ± 0.25	0.31 ± 0.19	0.05 ± 0.32	0.03 ± 0.19	-0.17 ± 0.17
$-A_1^*)$	0.11 ± 0.15	0.23 ± 0.18	0.13 ± 0.20	0.27 ± 0.13	0.20 ± 0.22	0.15 ± 0.11	0.09 ± 0.13
$-A_2^*)$	0.45 ± 0.09	0.28 ± 0.11	0.39 ± 0.12	0.03 ± 0.08	0.09 ± 0.14	0.27 ± 0.09	0.37 ± 0.09
$-A_3^*)$	-0.08 ± 0.09	0.02 ± 0.11	-0.08 ± 0.12	0.13 ± 0.08	0.02 ± 0.13	0.01 ± 0.08	-0.08 ± 0.08

\*1) Renormalized so that  $A_0 = 1$

METHOD		Linac; isomer yield; activity		REF. NO.	63 Ka 2	NVB
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE	DETECTOR		ANGLE
G,G/	RLY	1	C 4	ACT-I		4PI
		(0.10, 0.27,				
		0.40)				

Table II. The isomers observed

Isomer	Observed value		Referenced value <sup>(1)(13)</sup>	
	Half-life	Energy (MeV)	Half-life	Energy (MeV)
Se-77m	17.5 sec	0.160	17.5 sec	0.161
Br-79m	4.80 sec	0.209	4.8 sec	0.208
Sr-87m	2.3 hr	0.390	2.8 hr	0.388
Y-89m	15.0 sec	0.920	14 sec	0.915
Rh-103m	58 min	*	57 min	0.040
Ag-107m	} 42 sec	} 0.95	44 sec	0.094
Ag-109m			40 sec	0.088
Cd-111m	47 min	0.150, 0.255	49 min	0.150, 0.247
In-115m	4.5 hr	0.335	4.5 hr	0.335
Sn-117m	17 day	0.160	14 day	0.159, 0.161
Ba-137m	2.6 min	0.660	2.6 min	0.662
Er-167m	2.10 sec	0.209	2.5 sec	0.208
Hf-179m	18.5 sec	0.157, 0.215	19 sec	0.161, 0.217
W-183m	5.4 sec	0.200, 0.170, 0.115	5.5 sec	0.1025, 0.2915 others
Ir-191m	4.90 sec	0.129, <0.07	4.9 sec	0.042-0.129
Pt-195m	4.5 day	0.065**	4.1 day	0.031-0.130
Au-197m	7.0 sec	0.10, 0.27, 0.40	7.2 sec	0.130, 0.270, 0.407
Hg-199m	43 min	0.160, 0.370	42 min	0.153, 0.368

\* This isomer was measured with a G-M flow counter.  
 \*\* This value corresponds to Pt-K X-ray energy.

Table III. Induced activation rate

Element	Beam energy (MeV)	Counting rate ( $\times 10000$ cpm)	Sample form
Se	5	1300	metallic pellet
Br	4	1600	NaBr grain
Sr	6	0.3	SrCO <sub>3</sub> powder
Y	5	50	metallic grain
Rh	5	0.2 <sup>*</sup>	RhCl <sub>3</sub> grain
Ag	5	180	metallic plate
Cd	6	0.5	CdCl <sub>2</sub> grain
In	6	3	metallic plate
Sn	3	0.0005	metallic plate
Ba	5	0.6	BaS powder
Er	4	4900	Er <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> powder
Hf	5	1600	metallic plate
W	5	120	metallic powder
Ir	5	2100	metallic powder
Pt	5	0.3	metallic plate
Au	4	4300	metallic plate
Hg	6	0.09	metallic liquid

\* The value measured with a G-M flow counter

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Au	197	79
REF. NO.		NVB
63 La 1		

METHOD Betatron; photon scattering

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,G	ABX	9-26	C	32	NAI-D		DST

Angular Distribution:

$$W(\theta) = 1 + a \cos^2 \theta$$

for  $11 < E < 20$  MeV, find  $a = 0.9 \pm 0.1$




Fig. 1.

REF.

A. Veres  
Acta Phys. Acad. Sci. Hung. 16, 261-73 (1963)

ELEM. SYM. A Z

Au

197.

79

METHOD

Radioactive source

REF. NO.

63 Ve 2

NVB

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, G/	ABX	0-1	D	0-1	NAI-D		

ISOMERS

Таблица II

Измеренные значения после облучения, сравниваемые с другими литературными данными

Элемент	Активность облучения после первого измерения (имп/мин.)	Актив. экстр. в конце облуч. (имп/мин.)	Литературные данные		Данные измерений		$\sigma_{\text{м}} (10^{-24} \text{см}^2)$	$\Gamma_{\text{уп}} (10^{-29} \text{эв})$
			$T_{1/2}$	E (кэв)	$T_{1/2}$	E (кэв)		
Se-77m	3842 ± 96	5400	17,5 сек.	160	18,1 ± 1 сек.	160 ± 10	9,5	1,75
Sr-87m	191 ± 5	200	2,8 ч.	390	2,9 ± 0,1 ч.	365 ± 25	0,85	0,2
Y-89m	96 ± 20	170	16 сек.	910	16,7 ± 5 сек.		0,08	0,02
Rh-103m	28 ± 5	31	57 мин.	40	58 ± 2 мин.	20,5 ± 0,5	0,08	0,01
Ag-107m	220 ± 14	250	44 сек.	93	43,8 ± 0,6 сек.	91 ± 10	0,8	0,2
Ag-109m			39 сек.	88				
Hf-179m	80 ± 18	155	19 сек.	160; 215	19 ± 2 сек.		1	0,2
Ir-191m	90 ± 20	250	4,9 сек.	42; 130	5 ± 2 сек.		5,6	1
Pt-195m	90 ± 9	100	3,5 д.	31;	3,5 ± 0,2 д.	32 ± 3 67,5 ± 5 96 ± 5 130 ± 10	0,2	0,04
Au-197m	240 ± 16	520	7,2 сек.	130; 277; 407	7,2 ± 1 сек.	68; 130; 280 ± 20 390 ± 20	0,07	0,01
Hg-199m	9,6 ± 3,2		42 мин.	160; 370			0,005	0,001

Acta Phys. Hung. Tom. XVI. Fasc. 3.

Method Synchrotron; neutron spectra, angular distribution data;  
 emulsions; ion chamber monitor

Ref. No. 63 Za 1  
 JHH

Reaction	E or $\Delta E$	$E_0$	$\Gamma$	$\int \sigma dE$	$J\pi$	Notes
----------	-----------------	-------	----------	------------------	--------	-------

$Au^{197}(\gamma, n)$   
 Bremss.  
 14  
 19




FIG. 5. Energy distribution of photoneutrons from gold at  $(h\nu)_{max} = 14$  MeV; histogram 1 -  $N_{90^\circ} + N_{270^\circ}$ ; histogram 2 -  $N_{30^\circ} + N_{150^\circ}$ ; curve 3 - neutron spectrum calculated in accordance with the evaporation model.




FIG. 6. Comparison of the spectra of photoneutrons from bismuth (1) and gold (2) for different emission angles at  $(h\nu)_{max} = 14$  MeV.




FIG. 8. Integral spectra of photoneutrons from gold at  $(h\nu)_{max} = 14$  MeV (a) and 19 MeV (b); histogram 1 - summary neutron spectrum  $N_\Sigma(\epsilon_n)$  for 30, 90, 150, and 270°; curve 2 - calculated spectrum  $N_d(\epsilon_n)$  of the "direct" neutrons; histogram 3 - difference  $N_\Sigma(\epsilon_n) - N_d(\epsilon_n)$ ; curve 4 - calculated spectrum of evaporation neutrons.



REF.

M. Langevin, J. M. Loiseaux et J. M. Maison  
Nucl. Phys. 54, 114-124 (1964)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Au	197	79



METHOD	REF. NO.	ANGLE
Bremsstrahlung scattering	64 La 1	JOC

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,G	ABX	10-25	C		NAI-D		DST

TABLEAU I

Le paramètre  $a(E)$  de la distribution angulaire

Noyau	11.5-14. MeV			14-17.5 MeV			17.5-20 MeV			20-30 MeV	
	Exp.	Ellipsoidal	Triax.	Exp.	Ellips.	Triax.	Exp.	Ellips.	Triax.	Exp.	Ellips.
	Contribution Quadrupolaire %										
Tb	$0.5^{+0.15}_{-0.1}$	0.41	0.39	$0.54^{+0.15}_{-0.1}$	0.70	0.50	25	0.97	0.85		1
Ho	$0.27^{+0.15}_{-0.1}$	0.44	0.407	$0.43^{+0.10}_{-0.05}$	0.71	0.53	25	0.95	0.9	$0.4 \pm 0.1$	1
Er	$0.27^{+0.15}_{-0.1}$	0.44	0.407	$0.8^{+0.15}_{-0.1}$	0.71	0.53	25	0.95	0.9		1
Ta	$0.6^{+0.15}_{-0.1}$	0.58		$0.68^{+0.15}_{-0.1}$	0.81		20	0.96			
Au		$a_{exp}(11-20 \text{ MeV}) = 0.9$								$0.7 \pm 0.1$	1
		$a_{th}(11-20 \text{ MeV}) \approx 1$									

Fig. 6. Sections efficaces différentielles de diffusion obtenues pour l'or. La courbe tracée correspond à l'application des relations de dispersion aux sections efficaces d'absorption de Fuller et Weiss<sup>(1)</sup> (F.W.)Fig. 2. Résultats expérimentaux et sections efficaces de diffusion déduites pour l'or,  $E_m = 32 \text{ MeV}$ .

METHOD

REF. NO.

65 Ha 2

JOC

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,A	SPC	THR-31	C	31	EMU-D	5-20	DST




Fig. 11.




Fig. 10.

METHOD						REF. NO.	
Cyclotron; $\mu^-$ capture						65 Ma 2	
						NVB	
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
MU-, XN	NØX	10 - 20	D	0	SCI-I		4PI
[ DO NOT PUT IN DATA INDEX ]							

Tries to fit data with Fermi gas and Gaussian momentum distributions.

NEUT MULTIPLICITY

TABLE IV. Corrected experimental results.

Target	Average multiplicity, $\langle n \rangle$	Multiplicity distribution (adjusted to 0.545 efficiency)								
		$F_0$	$F_1$	$F_2$	$F_3$	$F_4$	$F_5$	$F_6$	$F_7$	
Al	1.262 ± 0.059	0.449 ± 0.027	0.464 ± 0.028	0.052 ± 0.013	0.036 ± 0.007	-0.0023 ± 0.004	-0.001 ± 0.004	0.003 ± 0.004		
Si	0.864 ± 0.072	0.611 ± 0.042	0.338 ± 0.042	0.045 ± 0.018	-0.002 ± 0.008	0.003 ± 0.005	0.002 ± 0.003	0.003 ± 0.006		
Ca	0.746 ± 0.032	0.633 ± 0.021	0.335 ± 0.022	0.025 ± 0.009	0.004 ± 0.006	0.003 ± 0.003				
Fe	1.125 ± 0.041	0.495 ± 0.018	0.416 ± 0.019	0.074 ± 0.011	0.014 ± 0.005	-0.0001 ± 0.003	0.002 ± 0.003	0.001 ± 0.003		
Ag	1.615 ± 0.060	0.360 ± 0.021	0.456 ± 0.023	0.144 ± 0.017	0.031 ± 0.009	0.007 ± 0.005	0.002 ± 0.004	0.001 ± 0.003		
I	1.436 ± 0.056	0.396 ± 0.021	0.474 ± 0.023	0.087 ± 0.015	0.035 ± 0.009	0.007 ± 0.005	0.0002 ± 0.004			
Au	1.662 ± 0.044	0.370 ± 0.015	0.425 ± 0.016	0.156 ± 0.012	0.032 ± 0.006	0.014 ± 0.004	0.003 ± 0.003	0.0003 ± 0.003		
Pb	1.709 ± 0.066	0.324 ± 0.022	0.483 ± 0.025	0.137 ± 0.018	0.045 ± 0.010	0.011 ± 0.006				
Ag*	1.60 ± 0.18	0.389 ± 0.100	0.455 ± 0.075	0.120 ± 0.035	0.030 ± 0.015	0.001 ± 0.003	0.009 ± 0.006	0.000 ± 0.007	0.010 ± 0.007	
Pb*	1.64 ± 0.16	0.348 ± 0.100	0.479 ± 0.057	0.137 ± 0.027	0.018 ± 0.012	0.010 ± 0.005	0.005 ± 0.004	0.003 ± 0.002	0.002 ± 0.002	

\* Results of Kaplan, Moyer, and Pyle (Ref. 1).




FIG. 11. Comparison of the observed neutron multiplicities with histograms calculated by using the Gaussian momentum distribution,  $\alpha^2/2M = 20$  MeV.

REF.

L. Meneghetti and S. Vitale  
Nuclear Phys. 61, 316 (1965)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Au	197	79

METHOD

REF. NO.

65 Me 2

EGF

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, A	SPC	THR - 35	C	35	SCD-D	5-26	90




Fig. 2. The histograms show the experimental  $\alpha$ -spectra. The solid lines are the calculated evaporative spectra corrected for target self-absorption and normalized to the maximum of the experimental spectra.

TABLE I  
 $\alpha$  yields from the 90° data. Yield (per mole R)

Elements	Experimental	Calculated evaporative
Cu	$(1 \pm 0.1)10^4$	$3.1 \cdot 10^4$
Ag	$(2.8 \pm 0.3)10^4$	$3.4 \cdot 10^4$
In	$(1.05 \pm 0.1)10^4$	$3.05 \cdot 10^4$
Au	$(1.7 \pm 0.2)10^4$	8.7

Errors in experimental yields are compounded of statistical errors and errors in the absolute calibration of the beam.

METHOD -

REF. NO.	
67 Ge 2	HMG

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,N	ABY	THR-27	C	22,27	BF3-I		4PI

Table 7. Comparison of neutron yields. Yields are given in units of (neutron cm<sup>2</sup>/MeV nucleus) × 10<sup>-28</sup>. The estimated uncertainties in Y and Y<sub>c</sub> are of the order of 6% and 10%, respectively.

Element	E <sub>0</sub>	Y(E <sub>0</sub> )	Yields				Yields				Ref.
			UCRL	Saclay	Va.	NBS(Old)	UCRL	Saclay	Va.	NBS(Old)	
			Y <sub>c</sub>				Y <sub>c</sub> /Y				
			Exp	Exp	Exp	Exp	Exp	Exp	Exp	Exp	
Pb	27	103	86				0.83				26,30
	22	111	92	116			0.83	1.05			
Au	27	89	97				1.09				24,30, 38
	22	92	98	88		115	1.07	0.96		1.25	
Ta	27	81	82	77			1.01	0.95			27,30, 38
	22	85	79	80		113	0.93	0.94		1.33	
Ho	27	67	75				1.12				27,31, 39
	22	69	77	82		103	1.12	1.19		1.49	
Ag	27	36									
	22	34.8									
Cu	27	14.4	13.2				0.92				28,30
	22	12.6	11.5	12.4			0.91	0.98			
Co	27	12.7	12.1				0.95				29,34
	22	10.6	9.9		13.5		0.94		1.27		
Ca	27	1.69		1.13	1.01			0.67	0.60		32,35
P	27	2.35			1.76				0.75		36
Al	27	1.92	1.62		1.38		0.84		0.72		25,37
O <sup>16</sup>	27	0.54	0.42	0.48	0.42		0.78	0.89			16,32, 37
C	27	0.50	0.35	0.33	0.46		0.70	0.66			25,32, 33




Fig. 31. Absolute neutron yield as a function of atomic number. The neutron yield from calcium ( $Z = 20$ ) is particularly low in comparison with the other elements because its  $(\gamma, n)$  threshold is high compared to the mean energy of the giant resonance.

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Au	197	79
REF. NO.		EGF
67 Hu 1		

METHOD  
Neutron capture gamma rays

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G <sub>n</sub>	ABX	9-11	D	9-11	BF3-I		4PI




TABLE 1  
Photoneutron cross sections (mb)

Fig. 1. Cross section (in mb) versus mass number of the target for gamma-ray energies of 9.00, 9.72 and 10.83 MeV. The solid lines are plots of eq. (1) in the text.

Target	7.72 MeV	9.00 MeV	9.72 MeV	10.83 MeV
<sup>59</sup> Co				9.0 ± 0.8
<sup>75</sup> As				20.4 ± 1.7
<sup>93</sup> Nb		0.53 ± 0.10	14.6 ± 2.2	25.8 ± 2.1
<sup>103</sup> Rh			10.6 ± 1.7	38.8 ± 3.1
<sup>107</sup> Ag			10.0 ± 1.5	37.6 ± 2.9
<sup>109</sup> Ag			17.1 ± 2.6	33.3 ± 2.7
<sup>116</sup> In			20.7 ± 3.1	42.5 ± 3.6
<sup>121</sup> Sb			38.7 ± 5.8	38.8 ± 3.1
<sup>123</sup> Sb			31.7 ± 4.8	52.5 ± 3.8
<sup>127</sup> I			40.8 ± 6.5	63.0 ± 5.0
<sup>133</sup> Cs			21.5 ± 3.2	58.3 ± 4.1
<sup>139</sup> La		8.61 ± 0.86	61.3 ± 14.7	102 ± 18
<sup>141</sup> Pr			92.2 ± 27.6	150 ± 20
<sup>151</sup> Eu		28.9 ± 3.2	150 ± 20	146 ± 12
<sup>153</sup> Eu			146 ± 12	160 ± 15
<sup>166</sup> Ho		35.6 ± 4.3	160 ± 15	238 ± 29
<sup>181</sup> Ta	4.14 ± 0.36	45.4 ± 3.7	65.0 ± 5.5	280 ± 31
<sup>187</sup> Au		44.5 ± 3.6	68.4 ± 13.5	226 ± 27
<sup>206</sup> Pb		< 34.3		
<sup>208</sup> Pb		22.6 ± 11.3		
<sup>209</sup> Bi		36.1 ± 12.0		

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Au	197	79

METHOD				REF. NO.			
				67 Mi 1		HMG	
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, F	ABX	300-999		300-999	TRK-I		

Detector: Fission fragment tracks in glass.

999 = 1600 MEV

Angular distribution measured for Pb was found isotropic; for other elements it was assumed isotropic.

Nucleus	Fissionability $D$	Cross section $\sigma_K, \mu\beta$	Nucleus	Fissionability $D$	Cross section $\sigma_K, \mu\beta$
Bi	$0.11 \pm 0.01$	$7.8 \pm 0.6$	Os	$0.0058 \pm 0.0005$	$0.37 \pm 0.04$
Pb	$0.050 \pm 0.004$	$3.4 \pm 0.3$	Re	$0.0056 \pm 0.0006$	$0.35 \pm 0.04$
Tl	$0.031 \pm 0.003$	$2.1 \pm 0.2$	Ta	$0.0045 \pm 0.0005$	$0.27 \pm 0.03$
Au	$0.019 \pm 0.002$	$1.25 \pm 0.10$	Hf	$0.0042 \pm 0.0004$	$0.25 \pm 0.03$
Pt	$0.012 \pm 0.002$	$0.80 \pm 0.08$			






Fig. 1. Photofission fragment yields.  $\circ$ -present work;  $\square$ -Jungerman and Steiner.<sup>(1)</sup> The curves were plotted through the experimental points.

Fig. 2. Photofission fragment yields as a function of  $Z^2/A$ . The ordinates are values of  $\sigma_a$  in units of  $\text{cm}^2$ .



REF. Yu. N. Ranyuk and P. V. Sorokin  
 J. Nucl. Phys. (USSR) 5, 37 (1967)  
 Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 5, 26 (1967)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Au	197	79
REF. NO.		
67 Ra 2		HG

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,F	ABX	THR-260	C	100-260	EMU-I		DST

Angular distribution isotropic to 5%.




Fig. 3. Photofission cross sections. ○—Bi, ●—Pb, △—Au, ▲—Pt. The curves were calculated from smoothed yield curves.

Table II

$E_{\gamma, \text{max}}$ , MeV	Cross section per equivalent $\gamma$ quantum, $10^{-27}$ cm <sup>2</sup>			
	Bi	Pb	Au	Pt
100	0.07 ± 0.005	0.017 ± 0.002	0.003 ± 0.0005	0.0012 ± 0.0002
120	0.15 ± 0.01	0.032 ± 0.003	0.014 ± 0.001	0.0035 ± 0.0003
140	0.20 ± 0.01	0.054 ± 0.004	0.020 ± 0.001	0.0053 ± 0.0006
150 *	0.61 ± 0.12	—	—	—
160	0.31 ± 0.01	0.096 ± 0.005	0.037 ± 0.001	0.012 ± 0.0005
180	0.46 ± 0.02	0.13 ± 0.01	0.055 ± 0.001	0.015 ± 0.001
180 *	0.68 ± 0.09	—	—	—
200	0.62 ± 0.02	0.20 ± 0.01	0.082 ± 0.002	0.031 ± 0.001
200 *	1.3 ± 0.24	—	0.31 ± 0.09	—
200 **	0.7	—	—	—
220	0.83 ± 0.03	0.28 ± 0.01	0.108 ± 0.003	0.039 ± 0.001
240	1.22 ± 0.03	0.38 ± 0.01	0.146 ± 0.003	0.063 ± 0.001
240 **	1.5	—	—	—
250 *	1.78 ± 0.22	—	0.33 ± 0.07	—
260	1.50 ± 0.04	0.50 ± 0.02	0.180 ± 0.004	0.085 ± 0.002

\* From (3),  
 \*\* From (1).

REF. H. G. De Carvalho, V. Di Napoli, D. Margadonna and F. Salvetti  
and K. Tesch  
Nucl. Phys. A126, 505 (1969)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Au	197	79

METHOD	REF. NO.
	69 De 1

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,N	ABY	THR-999	C	1-6 (1.0-5.5)	ACT-I		4PI

Yield per equivalent quantum.

999 = 5.5 GEV




Fig. 4. See caption to fig. 1.

Cross sections per equivalent quantum for  $(\gamma, n)$  reactions as a function of the maximum bremsstrahlung energy. A straight line is adjusted to the experimental points by means of a least-squares fit. The indicated errors are due to the  $\gamma$ -ray spectroscopy. The dashed line gives the result of simple estimates.

REF. V. Di Napoli, D. Margadonna, F. Salvetti, H. G. De Carvalho and  
J. B. Martins  
Lettere al Nuovo Cimento 1, 121 (1969)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Au	197	79

METHOD				REF. NO.			
				69 Di 1		egf	
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,N	ABY	THR-900	C	400-900	ACT- I		4PI




Fig. 1. - The cross-sections per equivalent quantum,  $\sigma_Q$ , are plotted vs. the natural logarithm of the peak energy of the bremsstrahlung. The straight line is a least-square fit of the experimental points.

TABLE I.

Peak energy of the bremsstrahlung (GeV)	cross-section per equivalent quantum (mb)
0.4	$253 \pm 12$
0.5	$256 \pm 13$
0.6	$260 \pm 13$
0.7	$262 \pm 13$
0.8	$263 \pm 13$
0.9	$265 \pm 14$

REF. A. P. Komar, B. A. Bochagov, A. A. Kotov, Yu. N. Ranyuk,  
 G. G. Semenchuk, G. E. Solyakin, and P. V. Sorokin  
 Yad. Fiz. 10, 51 (1969)  
 Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 10, 30 (1970)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Au	197	79
REF. NO.		egf
69 Ko 2		

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, F	SPC	THR-999 (1000)	C	250-999 (1000)	SCD-D		DST

999 = 1000 MEV




FIG. 2. Single-ended energy spectra of fragments from photofission of  $^{92}\text{U}^{238}$ ,  $^{83}\text{Bi}^{209}$ , and  $^{79}\text{Au}^{197}$ .




FIG. 4. Average total kinetic energies  $\bar{E}_k$  of fragments from photofission of  $^{92}\text{U}^{238}$ ,  $^{83}\text{Bi}^{209}$ , and  $^{79}\text{Au}^{197}$ , as a function of the heavy fragment mass. Points—experiment; solid curves—theory; dashed curves—experiment with inclusion of a correction for neutron emission from the fragments.




FIG. 3. Fragment mass distributions from photofission of  $^{92}\text{U}^{238}$ ,  $^{83}\text{Bi}^{209}$ , and  $^{79}\text{Au}^{197}$ .

Yu. N. Ranyuk, V.M. Sanin, and P.V. Sorokin  
Ukr. Fiz. Zhur. 14, 408 (1969)

Au 197 79

METHOD

REF. NO.

69 Ra 4

egf

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,F	ABX	35-140	C	40-140	TRK-I		4PI

Yields of nuclear fission reaction for Bi, Au and Pt by bremsstrahlung were measured by means of solid state track detectors in the energy range from 40 to 140 MeV. The fission threshold for these nuclei is higher than the giant resonance energy which allowed total photofission cross-sections to be calculated by the yield curves.

A rapid increase of the cross-sections with the photon energy testifies to the preference of the statistical model within which the fission thresholds of target nuclei were calculated.

The results of the present experiment may be used to obtain information on the photon interaction mechanism in the energy region between the giant resonance and the threshold of meson production where this problem is still obscure.




Рис. 2. Виходні уламків поділу:  $\circ$  — дані даної роботи,  $\square$  — дані роботи [3]. Суцільні криві одержані підгонкою за формулою (4).




Рис. 3. Перерізи фотоподілу, розраховані на один реальний  $\gamma$ -квант підгонкою за формулою (4).




Рис. 4. Відношення діляльної і нейтронної ширини:  $\square$  — дані роботи [5] для суміші ізотопів  $\text{Bi}^{207}$  і  $\text{Bi}^{209}$ .

REF. A. Veyssiere, H. Beil, R. Bergere, P. Carlos, A. Lepretre  
Nucl. Phys. A159, 561 (1970)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Au	197	79

METHOD	REF. NO.
	70 Ve 1

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, N 334	ABX	8-26	D	7-36	BF3-I		4PI
G, 2N 335	ABX	14-27	D	7-36	BF3-I		4PI
G, 3N 336†	ABX	24-27	D	7-36	BF3-I		4PI

334†




Fig. 2. Partial photoneutron cross sections  $\sigma_{\gamma,2n}$ ,  $\sigma_{\gamma,3n}$  and  $\sigma_{\gamma,n}$  of  $^{197}\text{Au}$ . We also show the descending part of the unique Lorentz line corresponding to parameters given in table 3.

TABLE 5  
Integrated cross sections and sum rule values of  $^{208}\text{Pb}$  and  $^{197}\text{Au}$ . The notation used is defined in the text

Refs.	$E_M$ (MeV)	$\sigma_0$ (MeV · b)	$\sigma_0'$ (MeV · b)	$\frac{\sigma_0 A}{0.06 NZ}$	$\frac{\sigma_0' A}{0.06 NZ}$	$\sigma_{-1}$ (mb)	$\sigma_{-2}$ (mb · MeV <sup>-1</sup> )
$^{208}\text{Pb}$	<sup>1)</sup> 22	4.10 ± 0.06	5.10	1.37	1.71	280	20.5
	<sup>8)</sup> 28	2.91 ± 0.29	2.94	0.98	0.99		14.1 ± 1.4
	<sup>23)</sup> 23	3.91 ± 0.59	5.18	1.31	1.74	18.6 ± 2.4	
present work	25	3.48 ± 0.23	4.00	1.17	1.34	251 ± 20	19.1 ± 2
$^{197}\text{Au}$	<sup>1)</sup> 22	3.00 ± 0.05	3.99	1.06	1.40	200	14
	<sup>9)</sup> 25	2.97 ± 0.3	3.53	1.05	1.24		15.3 ± 1.5
	present work	25	3.48 ± 0.2	4.07	1.23	1.42	238 ± 20

- <sup>1)</sup> J. Miller, C. Schuhl and C. Tzara, Nucl. Phys. 32 (1962) 236.
- <sup>8)</sup> R. R. Harvey, J. T. Caldwell, R. L. Bramblett and S. C. Fultz, Phys. Rev. 136 (1964) B126.
- <sup>9)</sup> S. C. Fultz, R. L. Bramblett, J. T. Caldwell and N. A. Kerr, Phys. Rev. 127, (1962) 1273.
- <sup>23)</sup> T. Tomimasu, J. Phys. Soc. Jap. 25 (1968) 655.

[over]




Fig. 4. Total photonuclear cross section  $\sigma_{\gamma, \tau}(E)$  of  $^{197}\text{Au}$  and best Lorentz line fit corresponding to parameters given in table 3.

REF. V. Di Napoli, A.M. Lacerenza, D. Margadonna, F. Salvetti,  
H.G. De Carvalho, J. Benuzzi Martins  
Lettere al Nuovo Cimento 1, 65 (1971)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Au	197	79
REF. NO.		
71 Di 1		egf

METHOD

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,N	ABY	8-999	C	300-999	ACT-I		4PI
G,2N	ABY	15-999	C	300-999	ACT-I		4PI

Note: Text says Fig. 2 gives ( $\gamma, 2n$ ) yield.

999 = 1 GEV




Fig. 1. -  $^{197}\text{Au}(\gamma, n)^{196}\text{Au}$  reaction cross-sections per equivalent quantum are plotted vs. the natural logarithm of the bremsstrahlung maximum energy. a)  $\bullet$  present work, collimated beam (8 mm collimator); b)  $\blacktriangle$  present work, uncollimated beam; c)  $\blacksquare$  Orsay (<sup>1</sup>); d)  $\blacklozenge$  DESY (<sup>2</sup>); e)  $\circ$  LINDGREN and JONSSON, Lund (<sup>3</sup>).

(3) K. Lindgren and G. Jonsson: Annual Report 1969, University of Lund, Lund Institute of Technology, Sect. 5A: 2b (Lund, 1970).




Fig. 2. -  $^{197}\text{Au}(\gamma, n)^{196}\text{Au}$  reaction cross-sections per equivalent quantum are plotted vs. the natural logarithm of the bremsstrahlung maximum energy. a)  $\circ$  Frascati data, uncollimated beam; b)  $\square$  Orsay data, uncollimated beam.



REF. V. Di Napoli, D. Margadonna, F. Salvetti, H.G. De Carvalho,  
J.B. Martins  
Nucl. Inst. Meth. 93, 77 (1971)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Au	197	79

METHOD		REF. NO.		hg			
		71 Di 5					
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,N	ABY	8-999	C	300-999	ACT-I		4PI

The use of the  $^{19}\text{F}(\gamma, n)^{18}\text{F}$ ,  $^{27}\text{Al}(\gamma, x)^{24}\text{Na}$ ,  $^{197}\text{Au}(\gamma, n)^{196}\text{Au}$ , and  $^{12}\text{C}(\gamma, x)^7\text{Be}$  reactions as absolute monitors for high-energy, high-intensity bremsstrahlung beams is discussed. The cross sections per equivalent quantum and the absolute cross sections, in the energy range 300-1000 MeV, are reported for these reactions.  $^{19}\text{F}(\gamma, n)^{18}\text{F}$ ,  $^{27}\text{Al}(\gamma, x)^{24}\text{Na}$ , and  $^{12}\text{C}(\gamma, x)^7\text{Be}$  reactions are proposed as very simple and suitable systems for monitoring purposes.

999 = 1 GEV




Fig. 3.  $^{197}\text{Au} \rightarrow ^{196}\text{Au}$  reaction cross sections per e.q. Filled triangles: present work, uncollimated beam; circles: present work, collimated beam; squares: Orsay<sup>3)</sup>; open triangles: DESY<sup>11)</sup>. The dashed line represents a constant value of 260 mb.

TABLE 2

Energy (MeV)	$\sigma_q(\text{mb})$			
	$^{19}\text{F} \rightarrow ^{18}\text{F}$	$^{27}\text{Al} \rightarrow ^{24}\text{Na}$	$^{197}\text{Au} \rightarrow ^{196}\text{Au}^a$	$^{12}\text{C} \rightarrow ^7\text{Be}^a$
260			$270 \pm 14$	
300	$5.90 \pm 0.20$	$0.37 \pm 0.01$	$258 \pm 13$	$0.37 \pm 0.01$
320		$0.39 \pm 0.01$		
350	$5.90 \pm 0.20$	$0.39 \pm 0.01$		$0.38 \pm 0.01$
380	$5.95 \pm 0.20$	$0.41 \pm 0.01$		
400				$0.42 \pm 0.01$
420		$0.45 \pm 0.01$		
450	$5.95 \pm 0.20$	$0.48 \pm 0.01$	$249 \pm 12$	$0.44 \pm 0.02$
500	$6.65 \pm 0.20$	$0.49 \pm 0.01$		
550	$6.65 \pm 0.20$	$0.50 \pm 0.02$		$0.47 \pm 0.02$
600	$6.80 \pm 0.20$	$0.52 \pm 0.02$		
650		$0.52 \pm 0.02$	$266 \pm 13$	$0.47 \pm 0.02$
700	$7.20 \pm 0.30$	$0.56 \pm 0.02$		
750		$0.55 \pm 0.02$		
850	$7.60 \pm 0.30$	$0.60 \pm 0.02$	$246 \pm 12$	$0.49 \pm 0.03$
900		$0.59 \pm 0.02$		
1000	$7.10 \pm 0.30$	$0.66 \pm 0.02$	$249 \pm 12$	$0.51 \pm 0.03$

<sup>a</sup> The values given in the last two columns are, in most cases, an average of two or more measurements (see figs. 3 and 4).

REF. V. Emma, S. Lo Nigro and G. Milone  
Lettere al Nuovo Cimento 2, 271 (1971)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Au	197	79
REF. NO.		
71 Em 2		egf

METHOD			SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,F	ABY	THR-999	C	300-999	FRAG-I		4PI

999 = 1000 MEV




Fig. 1. - Photofission cross-sections per equivalent quantum  $\sigma_q$  against the maximum energy of photons. Au:  $\circ$  ref. (\*),  $\square$  ref. (\*),  $\blacksquare$  our results; Hg:  $\bullet$  our results; Tl:  $\Delta$  ref. (\*),  $\blacktriangle$  our results. The dashed curves represent the fits calculated by the least-squares method taking into account our results only.




Fig. 2. -  $\sigma_q$  values, deduced in our present and previous (\*) experiments, reported vs. the atomic number  $Z$  of the elements for only some energy values.

(over)

TABLE I. Fission cross-sections per photon between 200 and 1000 MeV.

	$\sigma_f(\text{mb})$	
	Our results	Previous results
Ba	$7.6 \pm 0.2$	$7.8 \pm 0.6$ (*)
Pb	$3.3 \pm 0.1$	$3.4 \pm 0.3$ (*)
Tl	$1.9 \pm 0.1$	$2.1 \pm 0.2$ (*)
Hg	$1.5 \pm 0.1$	
Au	$1.19 \pm 0.06$	$1.25 \pm 0.1$ (*)




Fig. 3. - Fission cross-section per photon  $\sigma_f$  of Tl, Hg and Au against  $E_{\gamma_{\max}}$ . The dashed straight lines represent the values  $\sigma_f = \text{const}$ , calculated as suggested in ref. (\*).




Fig. 4. -  $\sigma_f$ -values vs.  $Z^2/A$  for the elements with atomic number in the range from 72 to 83: • our results, □ ref. (\*), ○ ref. (\*). The dashed curves is drawn by eye.

- (\*) V. EMMA, S. LO NUOVO and C. MILANE: *Boll. S.I.F.*, No. 70, 119 (1970).  
 (\*) V. EMMA, S. LO NUOVO and C. MILANE: *Lett. Nuovo Cimento*, 3, 512 (1970).  
 (\*) V. EMMA, S. LO NUOVO and C. MILANE: *Lett. Nuovo Cimento*, 2, 117 (1971).  
 (\*) J. JEROMEAN and H. M. STRINER: *Phys. Rev.*, 106, 585 (1957).  
 (\*) H. G. DE CARVALHO, G. COSTINI, E. DEL GIUDICE, G. PATTENA and R. RINZIVILLI: *Nuovo Cimento*, 22, 293 (1964).  
 (\*) A. V. MITROPANOVA, YU. N. RANTUK and P. V. SOROKIN: *Sov. Journ. Nucl. Phys.*, 6, 512 (1964).

METHOD

REF. NO.

71 Li 2

egf

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, YN	ABY	THR-900	C	75-900	ACT-I		4PI

Y = 1,2,3,4,5,7,9,11,13,15.




Fig. 5. Yield curves for the reactions  $(\gamma, 13n)$  and  $(\gamma, 15n)$ .




Fig. 3. Yield curves for the reactions  $(\gamma, n)$ ,  $(\gamma, 2n)$ ,  $(\gamma, 3n)$  and  $(\gamma, 5n)$ .  $\circ$  this work,  $\square$  Frascati collimated beam,  $\blacktriangle$  Frascati uncollimated beam,  $\square$  Orsay uncollimated beam,  $\blacktriangle$  DESY collimated beam.




Fig. 6. Yield curves for the reactions  $(\gamma, 2n)^m$  and  $(\gamma, 4n)^m$ .

[over]




Fig. 4. Yield curves for the reactions  $(\gamma, 7n)$ ,  $(\gamma, 9n)$  and  $(\gamma, 11n)$ .




Fig. 7. The mean cross section as a function of mass number,  $E_\gamma = 300-600$  MeV. The circles are experimental results. The curves give the calculated cross sections from different cascade data.




Fig. 8. The total cross section and the total integrated cross section for all the  $(\gamma, xn)$  reactions studied. The dashed region shows the integrated cross section at the pion threshold given by the Gell-Mann sum rule.

TABLE 2  
Experimental high-energy  $(\gamma, xn)$  mean cross sections in the energy region 300-900 MeV together with calculated cross sections and the partial fission cross sections obtained

Isotope	$\bar{\sigma}_{exp}(mb)$	$\bar{\sigma}_{calc}(mb)$	$\bar{\sigma}_f(mb)$
$^{196}\text{Au}$	$4 \pm 2$ $(1.8 \pm 0.8)$		
$^{195}\text{Au}$	$2 \pm 2$	0.51	
$^{194}\text{Au}$	$1.5 \pm 0.4$	0.56	
$^{192}\text{Au}$	$0.87 \pm 0.20$	0.80	
$^{190}\text{Au}$	$0.84 \pm 0.17$	0.87	0.03
$^{188}\text{Au}$	$0.63 \pm 0.15$	0.82	0.19
$^{186}\text{Au}$	$0.32 \pm 0.07$	0.69	0.37
$^{184}\text{Au}$	$0.09 \pm 0.03$	0.34	0.25
$^{182}\text{Au}$	$0.03 \pm 0.02$	0.04	0.01

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Au	197	79
REF. NO.		
71 Me 1		egf

METHOD			REF. NO.				
			71 Me 1		egf		
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,F	ABY	THR-900	C	300-900	FRG-I		4PI




Fig. 2. Nuclear fissionities as a function of  $Z^2/A$ .

TABLE I

The constant fission cross sections above the threshold

Element	$\sigma_f$ (cm <sup>2</sup> )	Element	$\sigma_f$ (cm <sup>2</sup> )
Pb	$(5.0 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-27}$	La	$(1.1 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-29}$
Au	$(1.7 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-27}$	Sn	$(4.3 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-29}$
Ta	$(3.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-28}$	Ag	$(8.4 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{-29}$
Yb	$(1.2 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-28}$	Mo	$(1.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-28}$
Ho	$(5.5 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-29}$	Cu	$(6.6 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-28}$
Gd	$(5.3 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-29}$	Ni	$(5.8 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-28}$
Nd	$(1.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-29}$		

[over]




Fig. 1. Cross sections per equivalent quantum  $\sigma_q(E)$  as a function of  $\log E$ .

Tatsuya Saito  
Nippon Kagaku Zasshi 92, 164 (1971)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Au	197	79
METHOD		REF. NO.
		71 Sa 1
		egf

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,N	ABY	8-68	C	10-68	ACT-I		4PI

Nippon Kagaku Zasshi. 92, 164~168(1971)

The Yields of Radioactivities Induced by  $(\gamma, n)$  Reactions with Bremsstrahlung up to 68 MeV

by Tatsuya SAITO


The  $(\gamma, n)$  yields of 12 target nuclides have been measured at 10, 13, 16, 30, 45 and 68 MeV bremsstrahlung by observing the induced activities.

The energy dependence of the yields has been investigated extensively in the same way as in the previous work at 20 MeV bremsstrahlung.

In the case of heavy nuclides, the yields rise greatly as a function of maximum bombarding energy up to 20 MeV, and rise gradually from 20 MeV up to 68 MeV. However, in the case of light nuclides, the yields rise greatly up to 30 MeV, because the neutron separation energies of light ones are larger than those of heavy ones, and the bremsstrahlung spectrum covers the giant resonance and  $\omega$  the yields rise gradually from 30 MeV up to 68 MeV.

The yields have approximately been estimated from the parameter of the giant resonance, that is the peak cross section and the half width, in order to compare with the experimental data. As a result, the experimental data of light nuclides and heavy ones are nearly in agreement with the estimated data of Nathans et al., Julms et al. and Montalbetti et al., but those of medium weight ones are relatively lower values.


Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Tohoku University, Katahira-cho, Sendai-shi, Japan



制動放射最大エネルギー

I:  $^{197}\text{Au}(\gamma, n)^{196}\text{Au}$ , II:  $^{127}\text{I}(\gamma, n)^{126}\text{I}$   
 III:  $^{55}\text{Mn}(\gamma, n)^{54}\text{Mn}$ , IV:  $^{23}\text{Na}(\gamma, n)^{22}\text{Na}$   
 V:  $^{16}\text{O}(\gamma, n)^{15}\text{O}$ , VI:  $^{12}\text{C}(\gamma, n)^{11}\text{C}$

図3  $(\gamma, n)$  反応の収率



●: 実験値, ⊕: Johns ら,  
 ⊙: Nathans ら, ○: Montalbetti ら

図4  $(\gamma, n)$  反応の収率の比較



REF.

G.A. Vartapetyan, N.A. Demekhina, V.I. Kasilov, Yu. N. Ranyuk,  
 P.V. Sorokin and A.G. Khudaverdyan  
 Yad. Fiz. 14, 65 (1971)  
 Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 14, 37 (1972)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Au	197	79

METHOD	REF. NO.	
	71 Va 4	egf

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, F	ABX	100-999	C	100-999	TRK-I		4PI

999 = 5 GEV

E <sub>γ</sub> max, MeV	Photofission yields per cm <sup>2</sup> per equivalent photon					
	U <sup>238</sup>	U <sup>235</sup>	Th <sup>232</sup>	Ba <sup>138</sup>	Au <sup>197</sup>	Ta <sup>181</sup>
100	(226 ± 20) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(120 ± 12) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(50 ± 5) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(0.70 ± 0.08) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(3.0 ± 0.4) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	
120				(1.5 ± 0.2) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(1.4 ± 0.2) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	
140				(2.0 ± 0.2) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(2.0 ± 0.2) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	
150	(240 ± 20) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>		(63 ± 7) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>			
160				(3.1 ± 0.3) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(3.7 ± 0.4) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	
180				(4.6 ± 0.5) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(5.5 ± 0.6) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	
200	(265 ± 30) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(150 ± 15) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(72 ± 7) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(6.1 ± 0.6) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(8.2 ± 0.8) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(4.9 ± 0.5) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>
220				(8.3 ± 0.8) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(1.1 ± 0.1) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(8.2 ± 0.8) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>
240				(1.2 ± 0.1) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(1.5 ± 0.2) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(1.2 ± 0.1) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>
255		(156 ± 16) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>				
260		(160 ± 16) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>		(1.5 ± 0.2) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(1.8 ± 0.2) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(1.6 ± 0.2) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>
280			(85 ± 9) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>			
300				(2.2 ± 0.2) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(2.3 ± 0.2) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	
320				(3.5 ± 0.4) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(4.4 ± 0.4) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	
340				(4.2 ± 0.4) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(5.0 ± 0.5) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	
360	1318 ± 30) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(175 ± 20) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>				
380			(196 ± 11) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>			(7.0 ± 0.7) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>
400				(5.4 ± 0.5) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(6.9 ± 0.7) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	
420				(6.7 ± 0.7) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(9.1 ± 0.9) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	
440			(115 ± 12) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(7.9 ± 0.7) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(10.1 ± 1.0) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	
460		(170 ± 20) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>		(1.0 ± 0.1) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>		
480						
500	1346 ± 25) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>			(7.7 ± 0.8) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(11.2 ± 1.1) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(1.7 ± 0.2) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>
520				(8.5 ± 0.9) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(1.2 ± 0.1) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	
540						
560		(200 ± 20) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(120 ± 12) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(8.5 ± 0.9) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(1.3 ± 0.1) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	
580				(9.2 ± 0.9) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(1.2 ± 0.1) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(2.4 ± 0.2) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>
600						
620		(230 ± 22) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(135 ± 14) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(10.4 ± 1.1) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(1.7 ± 0.2) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	
640	(386 ± 40) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(2.4 ± 23) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(140 ± 14) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>			(2.9 ± 0.3) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>
660						
680				(11.3 ± 1.1) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(1.9 ± 0.2) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	
700	(410 ± 40) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>					
720	(380 ± 40) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	1217 ± 23) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(136 ± 14) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(12.5 ± 1.3) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(1.9 ± 0.2) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	
740						(3.3 ± 0.3) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>
760				(13.5 ± 1.4) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(2.1 ± 0.2) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	
780						
800	(170 ± 40) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(193 ± 20) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(152 ± 15) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(14.3 ± 1.4) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(2.0 ± 0.2) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	
820						
840	(445 ± 45) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(238 ± 24) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(155 ± 15) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(13.9 ± 1.4) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(2.1 ± 0.2) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	
860				(13.5 ± 1.4) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(2.2 ± 0.2) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	
880						
900	(410 ± 45) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(297 ± 21) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(140 ± 14) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>			
920	(445 ± 45) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(242 ± 24) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(155 ± 16) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>			
940	(470 ± 50) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(245 ± 25) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(152 ± 15) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>			
960	(455 ± 45) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(238 ± 24) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(146 ± 15) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(18.1 ± 1.8) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(2.3 ± 0.2) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	
980	(500 ± 50) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(251 ± 25) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(148 ± 15) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>			(6.4 ± 0.6) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>
1000				(13.6 ± 1.6) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(3.0 ± 0.3) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(6.6 ± 0.7) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>
1020						
1040	(570 ± 50) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(264 ± 27) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(183 ± 18) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>			
1060	(477 ± 50) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(280 ± 28) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>		(20.2 ± 2.1) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(2.9 ± 0.2) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(5.8 ± 0.7) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>
1080	(495 ± 45) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(274 ± 28) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(206 ± 21) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(20.1 ± 2.1) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(2.8 ± 0.2) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>	(5.3 ± 0.6) · 10 <sup>-27</sup>






FIG. 3. Solid heavy curve—photofission cross section of Ba<sup>138</sup>, Au<sup>197</sup>, Ta<sup>181</sup>. Dashed curve—the same cross section, integrated over the Schiff bremsstrahlung spectrum (yield per equivalent photon as a function of bremsstrahlung maximum energy). Thin line—cross section for  $\gamma p$  interaction, multiplied by the number of nucleons in the nucleus and by the fissility.

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Au	197	79
REF. NO.		
72 An 8		egf

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, XN	ABX	1- 7	C	1- 7	ACT-I		4PI
G, F	ABX	1- 7	C	1- 7	ACT-I		4PI

ENERGIES GeV X=1-11



8 A. V. Mitrofanova et al., Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 6 (1968) 512.  
 1 K. Lindgren et al., Nucl. Phys. A166 (1971) 193.  
 18 T. Methasira et al. Nucl. Phys. A167 (1971) 97.  
 19 I. Kroon, Nucl. Phys. A179 (1972) 141  
 31 V. Emma et al., Nuovo Cim. Lett. 2 (1971) 117, 271

Figs. 12-14. Yields and cross sections for the  $^{197}\text{Au}(\gamma, xn)$  reactions studied. Open circles from this work and filled circles from ref. <sup>13</sup>). The solid curves show the cross sections and the hatched areas indicate the errors.

TABLE 3

Experimental mean photofission cross sections  $\bar{\sigma}_f$  (mb) from refs. <sup>8, 18, 31</sup>) compared to the results of the present work

	Present work 0.3-7.4 GeV	Ref. <sup>18</sup> ) 0.3-0.9 GeV	Ref. <sup>31</sup> ) 0.3-1.0 GeV	Ref. <sup>8</sup> ) 0.3-1.6 GeV
Au	$1.44 \pm 0.10$	$1.7 \pm 0.1$	$1.19 \pm 0.06$	$1.25 \pm 0.1$
Pb	$3.8 \pm 0.3$	$5.0 \pm 0.2$	$3.3 \pm 0.1$	$3.4 \pm 0.3$

(over)

TABLE 6

Partial reaction cross sections relative to the total photoabsorption cross section,  $\sigma/\sigma_{\text{tot}}$  (in %)

Reaction	0.3 GeV	1.0 GeV	5.0 GeV
$^{12}\text{C}(\gamma, n)$	$10 \pm 5$	$6.8 \pm 3.4$	$0 \pm 5$
$^{27}\text{Al}(\gamma, \pi^+)$	$0.2 \pm 0.1$	$0.1 \pm 0.1$	$0.04 \pm 0.02$
$(\gamma, 2pn)$	$1.7 \pm 0.9$	$1.5 \pm 0.8$	$1.7 \pm 0.6$
$^{127}\text{I}(\gamma, 3n)$	$1.6 \pm 0.8$	$0 \pm 3$	$5.5 \pm 2.8$
$(\gamma, 6n)$	$0.9 \pm 0.5$	$1.2 \pm 0.6$	$1.5 \pm 0.8$
$(\gamma, 7n)$	$0.9 \pm 0.5$	$0.5 \pm 0.3$	$0.1 \pm 0.1$
$(\gamma, 8n)$	$0.7 \pm 0.4$	$0.6 \pm 0.3$	$0.4 \pm 0.2$
$(\gamma, 9n)$	$0.3 \pm 0.2$	$0.2 \pm 0.1$	$0.5 \pm 0.3$
$\Sigma(\gamma, xn)$	$4.4 \pm 2.2$	$2.6 \pm 1.3$	$7.8 \pm 3.9$
$(\gamma, p5n)$	$1.7 \pm 0.9$	$1.7 \pm 0.9$	$0.9 \pm 0.5$
$(\gamma, p7n)$	$1.9 \pm 1.0$	$2.1 \pm 1.1$	$0.9 \pm 0.5$
$(\gamma, p9n)$	$1.6 \pm 0.8$	$1.6 \pm 0.8$	$1.3 \pm 0.7$
$\Sigma(\gamma, pxn)$	$5.2 \pm 2.6$	$5.4 \pm 2.7$	$3.1 \pm 1.6$
$(\gamma, 2p3n)$	$0.6 \pm 0.3$	$0.3 \pm 0.2$	$0.3 \pm 0.2$
$(\gamma, 2p5n)$	$0.5 \pm 0.3$	$0.6 \pm 0.3$	$0.8 \pm 0.4$
$(\gamma, 2p7n)$	$1.3 \pm 0.7$	$1.0 \pm 0.5$	$0.4 \pm 0.2$
$(\gamma, 2p9n)$	$1.1 \pm 0.6$	$1.7 \pm 0.9$	$2.4 \pm 1.2$
$(\gamma, 2p10n)$	$2.7 \pm 1.4$	$1.9 \pm 1.0$	$5.0 \pm 2.5$
$\Sigma(\gamma, 2pxn)$	$6.3 \pm 3.2$	$5.6 \pm 2.8$	$8.8 \pm 4.4$
$(\gamma, 4p12n)$	$1.5 \pm 0.8$	$2.4 \pm 1.2$	$2.0 \pm 1.0$
$(\gamma, 4p14n)$	$0.8 \pm 0.4$	$1.9 \pm 1.0$	$2.5 \pm 1.3$
$(\gamma, 4p15n)$	$0.4 \pm 0.2$	$0.6 \pm 0.3$	$1.5 \pm 0.8$
$\Sigma(\gamma, 4pxn)$	$2.7 \pm 1.4$	$4.9 \pm 2.5$	$6.0 \pm 3.0$
$(\gamma, 6p17n)$		$0.5 \pm 0.3$	$1.5 \pm 0.8$
$(\gamma, 6p18n)$	$0.1 \pm 0.1$	$0.2 \pm 0.1$	$0.1 \pm 0.1$
$\Sigma(\gamma, 6pxn)$	$0.1 \pm 0.1$	$0.7 \pm 0.4$	$1.6 \pm 0.8$
$(\gamma, 8p18n)$		$0.8 \pm 0.4$	$2.5 \pm 1.3$
$\Sigma(\gamma, ypxn)$	$19 \pm 10$	$20 \pm 10$	$30 \pm 15$
$^{197}\text{Au}(\gamma, 3n)$	$0.9 \pm 0.5$	$2.2 \pm 1.1$	$1.5 \pm 0.8$
$(\gamma, 7n)$	$0.8 \pm 0.4$	$1.3 \pm 0.7$	$2.4 \pm 1.2$
$(\gamma, 9n)$	$0.8 \pm 0.4$	$0.6 \pm 0.3$	$0.3 \pm 0.2$
$(\gamma, 11n)$	$0.4 \pm 0.2$	$0.9 \pm 0.5$	$0.5 \pm 0.3$
$\Sigma(\gamma, xn)$	$2.9 \pm 1.5$	$5.1 \pm 2.6$	$4.6 \pm 2.3$
$\text{Au}(\gamma, f)$	$1.5 \pm 0.4$	$2.7 \pm 0.7$	$6.9 \pm 2.0$
$\text{Pb}(\gamma, f)$	$3.1 \pm 0.8$	$6.9 \pm 1.7$	$17 \pm 5$




Fig. 14. See caption to fig. 12.




Fig. 17. The gold and lead photofission cross sections per equivalent quantum  $\sigma_e$  as a function of bremsstrahlung peak energy  $E_{\text{max}}$ . The filled points are taken from our earlier experiment <sup>19</sup>.

REF. W. C. Barber, E. Hayward, J. Sazama  
 PICNS-72, p. 313 Sendai

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Au	197	79
REF. NO.		
72 Ba 16		hvm

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
\$ G,G	RLX	15.1	D	15.1	NAI-D		90

$$\eta = \frac{(d\sigma/d\Omega)_{\parallel} \text{ to polarization vector}}{(d\sigma/d\Omega)_{\perp} \text{ to polarization vector}}$$

POL G; ALSO G/

Table 1. Results and Comparison with Theory

Target	$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}_{\perp}$ (Arbitrary Units)	n(exp)	n(DCM)	n(HD)
Cd	0.39±0.05	0.09±0.08	0.19	0
Sn	0.65±0.06	0.11±0.06	0.067	0
Ta	1.74±0.14	0.14±0.07	0.180	0.155
Au	2.08±0.15	0.17±0.06	0.067	0
Bi	2.65±0.26	0.02±0.06	0	0

REF.

P. David, J. Debrus, U. Kim, G. Kumbartzki, H. Mommsen, W. Soyez,  
K. H. Speidel and G. Stein  
Nucl. Phys. A197, 163 (1972)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Au	197	79
REF. NO.		
72 Da 6		egf

METHOD

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, F	ABY	THR-999	C	800-999	TRK-I		DST

999=2.2 GEV




Fig. 3. The bremsstrahlung induced total fission cross section per number of equivalent quanta for uranium and gold in comparison to previous measurements.

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Au	197	79
REF. NO.		hmg
72 De 12		

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G.F	NOX	THR* 4	C	0* 4	TRK-I		DST

$$d\sigma/d\Omega \sim 1+2 v/V \cos\theta - P \sin^2\theta$$

\* ENERGIES IN GEV




FIG. 2. Angular distributions of fragments from fission of U (a) and Au (b).

Table II

Element	E <sub>γmax</sub> , MeV	χ <sup>2</sup>	w(χ <sup>2</sup> - χ <sub>0</sub> <sup>2</sup> )	a = 2v/V	a = 2v/V [%]	v (MeV/nucleon) <sup>1/2</sup>	ε, MeV
U <sup>238</sup>	3000	0.16	0.99	-0.031 ± 0.025		0.042 ± 0.005	0.160 ± 0.020
	3800	0.55	0.85	0.013 ± 0.017		0.018 ± 0.002	0.043 ± 0.005
	5100	0.59	0.65	0.040 ± 0.017		0.054 ± 0.006	0.206 ± 0.031
Bi <sup>209</sup>	700	0.93	0.45	0.155 ± 0.017		0.051 ± 0.009	0.651 ± 0.052
	1000	1.14	0.3	-0.085 ± 0.017		0.047 ± 0.005	0.220 ± 0.023
	1200	0.76	0.6	0.094 ± 0.020		0.055 ± 0.006	0.314 ± 0.030
	1300	0.91	0.4	0.093 ± 0.018		0.055 ± 0.006	0.314 ± 0.030
	1450	0.62	0.65	0.034 ± 0.018		0.020 ± 0.002	0.040 ± 0.004
Au <sup>197</sup>	350				0.097 ± 0.010		
	450				0.102 ± 0.010		
	640				0.097 ± 0.010		
	645	0.87	0.55	0.034 ± 0.018		0.044 ± 0.004	0.187 ± 0.018
	700				0.116 ± 0.010		
	800	0.45	0.95	0.068 ± 0.020		0.065 ± 0.001	0.020 ± 0.002
	850				0.121 ± 0.010		
	900	4.50	0.001	0.124 ± 0.018		0.064 ± 0.005	0.460 ± 0.040
	1000	0.95	0.4	0.101 ± 0.017	0.090 ± 0.010	0.052 ± 0.005	0.262 ± 0.025
	1300	0.87	0.4	0.094 ± 0.017		0.049 ± 0.005	0.234 ± 0.024
Ta <sup>181</sup>	1400	0.90	0.5	0.075 ± 0.018		0.079 ± 0.004	0.147 ± 0.015
	3000	1.34	0.15	0.125 ± 0.017		0.163 ± 0.006	0.410 ± 0.040
	3800	0.7	0.65	0.093 ± 0.017		0.048 ± 0.005	0.223 ± 0.022
	600	0.8	0.5	0.087 ± 0.018	0.147 ± 0.010	0.044 ± 0.004	0.176 ± 0.018
	700						
Ta <sup>181</sup>	1145	5.2	0.001	0.144 ± 0.018		0.072 ± 0.007	0.468 ± 0.047
	1420	1.25	0.15	0.127 ± 0.018		0.064 ± 0.006	0.370 ± 0.037
	5100	2.02	0.001	0.200 ± 0.020		0.100 ± 0.010	0.900 ± 0.090

Note. χ<sup>2</sup> is the value of χ<sup>2</sup> per degree of freedom, w(χ<sup>2</sup> - χ<sub>0</sub><sup>2</sup>) is the probability of the value of χ<sup>2</sup>, a = 2v/V is the anisotropy coefficient, ε is the fissioning-nucleus kinetic energy, v is the fissioning-nucleus velocity.




FIG. 3. Anisotropy coefficient as a function of target-nucleus atomic number. Points: O—results of the present work, Δ—results of Kroon and Forkman [16].

$$a = 2 \frac{v}{V}$$


METHOD

REF. NO.

72 Kr 3

egf

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, F	ABY	THR-999	C	350-999	TRK-I		DST



999 = 1 GEV



Fig. 3. Angular distributions for gold analogous to those given in fig. 2 for lead.

TABLE I

Ratios between the number of fragments in the forward and backward directions recorded in glass detectors,  $N_f/N_b$ 

Element	Present work		Proton sandwich experiment <sup>a)</sup>
	from angular distribution <sup>b)</sup>	sandwich experiment <sup>b)</sup>	
Ta		1.29 ± 0.04	
Re			1.42 ± 0.08
Au	1.19 ± 0.02	1.20 ± 0.01	1.45 ± 0.07
Pb	1.11 ± 0.02	1.13 ± 0.01	1.61 ± 0.15
<sup>238</sup> U			1.26 ± 0.05

<sup>a)</sup> Ratios calculated from the angular distributions at 700 MeV.<sup>b)</sup> Ratios obtained with the sandwich technique, 700 MeV for Au and Pb, 800 MeV for Ta.<sup>c)</sup> From ref. <sup>1)</sup>. Proton induced fission at proton energy 600 MeV.



Figs. 5 and 6. The lead and gold photofission cross section per equivalent quantum as a function of bremsstrahlung energy calculated from the number of fission fragment tracks in the forward glass plate (full curve). The corrected cross sections are also shown (dashed curve).




Fig. 4. The tantalum, gold and lead forward-to-backward ratios as a function of bremsstrahlung energy.

9  
 V.A. Kon'shin, E.S. Matusevich, V.I. Regushevskii,  
 Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 2, 489 (1966).



ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Au	197	79
REF. NO.		egf
73 Ad 3		

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,A	SPC	0-500	C	500	TEL-D		DST




Fig 2 shows the experimental angular distribution together with the results from cascade-evaporation calculations (solid curve). The calculated curve has been fitted to the experimental points between 120 and 150°.

Both fig 1 and fig 2 seem to indicate that there is a small contribution of directly-emitted α-particles in the yield. From fig 1 we estimate

this contribution at  $(13 \pm 1)\%$  and from fig 2 at  $(15 \pm 2)\%$ .




Fig 1 shows the α-energy spectrum resulting when all the nine measurements are added together.

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Au	197	79
REF. NO.		
73 Ba 20		egf

METHOD

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, N	NOX	THR- 27	C	10- 27	BF3-I		4PI

MEAN NEUT ENERGY




Fig. 12. Experimental values of the level density parameter  $a_p$  (Fermi gas formula plus pairing correction) versus atomic number  $A$ . The continuous curve is a least-squares fit to the data of a theoretical calculation from Newton <sup>15</sup>.

- 1 H. Baba and S. Baba, Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute report JAERI-1183 (1969).
- 2 H. Baba, Nucl. Phys. A159, 625 (1970).
- 15 T.D. Newton, Can. J. Phys. 34, 804 (1956).




Fig. 15. Ratio  $a_{obs}/a_p$  versus atomic number  $A$ . Here  $a_{obs}$  is the level density parameter taken from the neutron resonance work of refs. <sup>1,2</sup>, and  $a_p$  is the level density parameter derived from the present  $(\gamma, n)$  work. Filled circles represent points where nuclei in the neutron resonance and in the  $(\gamma, n)$  experiment were the same. Open circles represent points where the respective nuclei were approximately matched. Triangles represent points which are based on measurement of neutron mean energies at two bremsstrahlung energies only.

(over)

TABLE 3 (continued)

Target	N (residual nucleus) <sup>a)</sup>		Goodness of fit <sup>b)</sup>		$\bar{E}_n(24)$ (MeV) <sup>c)</sup>	T (MeV) <sup>d)</sup>	$a_p$ (MeV <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>e)</sup>	$a_{obs}$ (MeV <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>f)</sup>	$a_{obs}/a_p$
	no	with	p.c.	p.c.					
Ba	75	1%		F	1.16		16.5- <sup>136</sup> Ba	15.39- <sup>136</sup> Ba	0.93
	77	2%							
	78	7%							
	79	8%							
	80	11%							
	81	71%							
La	80	100%	F	F	1.25	0.72	15.5- <sup>138</sup> La	13.76- <sup>139</sup> La	0.89
Ce	81	89%	F	G	1.24	0.70	17.0- <sup>139</sup> Ce	17.8 - <sup>141</sup> Ce	1.04
	83	11%							
Pr	81	100%	G	G	1.17	0.65	17.0- <sup>140</sup> Pr	17.05- <sup>142</sup> Pr	1.00
Tb <sup>g)</sup>	93	100%			1.15		19.3- <sup>158</sup> Tb	21.85- <sup>160</sup> Tb	1.14
Dy <sup>g)</sup>	93	2%			1.06		20.9- <sup>161.5</sup> Dy	21.9 - <sup>162</sup> Dy	1.05
	94	19%							
	95	25%							
	96	25%							
	97	28%							
Ho	97	100%	P	G	1.06	0.56	21.4- <sup>164</sup> Ho	20.66- <sup>166</sup> Ho	0.97
Er <sup>g)</sup>	95	2%			1.11		19.2- <sup>166</sup> Er	21.9 - <sup>166</sup> Er	1.14
	97	33%							
	98	23%							
	99	27%							
	101	15%							
Tm <sup>g)</sup>	99	100%			1.03		24.0- <sup>168</sup> Tm	22.58- <sup>170</sup> Tm	0.94
Ta	107	100%		G	1.00	0.49	26.0- <sup>180</sup> Ta	21.2 - <sup>181</sup> Ta	0.82
W	107	26%	G	F	0.98	0.50	27.0- <sup>183</sup> W	23.0 - <sup>183</sup> W	<u>0.85</u>
	108	14%							
	109	31%							
	111	28%							
Au	117	100%		G	1.19		17.5- <sup>196</sup> Au	20.24- <sup>198</sup> Au	1.16
Pb	123	24%		V.P.	1.87	1.20	7.5- <sup>206</sup> Pb	10.1 - <sup>207</sup> Pb	1.35
(Z = 82)	124	23%							
	125	52%							
Bi	125	100%		F	1.65	1.03	9.0- <sup>208</sup> Bi	13.8 - <sup>210</sup> Bi	1.53

<sup>a)</sup> Neutron numbers and abundances of respective residual nuclei in ( $\gamma$ , n) experiments.

<sup>b)</sup> These give an assessment of the goodness of fit of a calculated  $\bar{E}_n$  versus  $E_0$  curve to the observed data, using the Fermi gas level density formula both without and with pairing corrections.

<sup>c)</sup> Bremsstrahlung photoneutron mean energies  $\bar{E}_n$  for peak bremsstrahlung energy  $E_0 = 24$  MeV.

<sup>d)</sup> Nuclear temperature from fit with constant-temperature formula.

<sup>e)</sup> Level density parameter  $a_p$  derived from the present ( $\gamma$ , n) experiment, using a Fermi gas formula plus pairing correction, and corresponding residual nucleus (the atomic weight shown is the weighted average of atomic weights of the respective isotopes present).

<sup>f)</sup> As column 7, but using data on n-resonance absorption from refs. 1, 2).

<sup>g)</sup> Measurements of  $\bar{E}_n(E_0)$  for these nuclei were made only for  $E_0 = 21, 23$  and 24 MeV.

REF. F.R. Buskirk, H.D. Graf, R. Pitthan, H. Theissen, O. Titze,  
and Th. Walcher  
PICNS-73, Vol. I, p.703 Asilomar

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Au	197	79
REF. NO.		
73 Bu 14		hmg

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
E, E/	SPC	2- 20	C	50, 65	MAG-D		DST




Fig. 1




Fig. 2

The E2 resonance which is clearly visible at  $10.8 \pm 0.2$  MeV exhausts more than 50 % of the sum rule. Fig. 2 shows the excitation energy of this resonance as a function of  $A^{-1/3}$  for the nuclei measured at Darmstadt and the Sendai result for  $^{90}\text{Zr}$  [5]. Bohr and Mottelson [9] predicted a collective E2 resonance whose isoscalar

part should depend on A through  $E_x = 58 A^{-1/3}$  MeV. The data of Fig. 2 are consistent with  $E_x = 65 A^{-1/3}$  MeV suggesting to identify the observed resonances with this type of E2 excitation.

REF. P. David, J. Debrus, F. Lubke, H. Mommsen, R. Schoenmackers and G. Stein  
 PICNS-73, Vol.II, p.985 (1973) Asilomar

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Au	197	79
REF. NO.		
73 Da 6		egf

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,F	ABY	THR- 22	C	1- 2	TRK-I		4PI
G,P	SPC	2-450	C	450	TEL-D		90
G,T	SPC	11-450	C	450	TEL-D		90
G,HE	SPC	14-450	C	450	TEL-D		90
G,A	SPC	0-450	C	450	TEL-D		90




Fig.3: Proton spectrum




Fig.5: <sup>3</sup>He - spectrum




Fig.4: Triton spectrum




Fig.6: <sup>4</sup>He - spectrum

The total photofission cross sections of <sup>197</sup>Au and <sup>238</sup>U were measured for  $E_{\gamma}^{\max} = 0.8$  to  $2.2$  GeV (fig. 1). The fission cross sections and the fissionabilities are  $\sigma_f^{\text{Au}} = 1.4 \pm 0.1$  mb,  $f^{\text{Au}} = (2.1 \pm 0.3) 10^{-2}$ ;  $\sigma_f^{\text{U}} = 43.2 \pm 4.4$  mb,  $f^{\text{U}} = 1.04 \pm 0.1$ . 431

REF. P. Dougan and W. Stiefler  
Z. Physik 265, 1 (1973)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Au	197	79

METHOD		REF. NO.		ANGLE			
		73 Do 9		egf			
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,XP	ABY	86-400	C	400	TEL-D		DST




Fig. 8. Experimental cross-sections at various angles for  $E_p=150$  MeV divided by  $NZ/A$  plotted as a function of atomic weight

Table 10. Gold. Bremsstrahlung endpoint energy: 400 MeV. Differential cross-sections in microbarns/sterrad  $\cdot$  MeV  $\cdot$  eq. quantum. Quoted errors: statistical in percent

Energy	Angle							
	30	40	50	60	74	90	110	130
79.5	38.8 2.4	33.3 2.2	28.3 2.0	23.3 2.0	19.4 2.1	13.6 2.2	11.3 2.4	10.0 4.0
97.7	33.2 2.9	25.8 2.7	19.6 2.7	17.6 2.5	13.8 2.7	9.87 2.8	7.03 3.3	6.48 5.4
104.6		22.6 2.8	17.5 2.7	16.0 3.1	12.0 2.7	9.07 2.7	7.07 3.5	
114.9	28.1 3.1	17.1 3.3	13.1 3.3	11.3 3.1	9.65 3.2	6.01 3.6	4.54 4.1	4.21 6.7
127.4		14.8 3.7	12.1 3.6	9.39 4.4	7.92 3.6	5.52 3.8	3.74 5.3	
148.7		9.85 4.6	6.92 4.8	7.05 5.2	4.93 4.6	3.29 5.0	2.12 7.2	
168.2		6.52 3.8	5.01 4.0	4.63 3.2	3.09 4.6	2.05 5.0		
185.3		4.63 4.8	3.68 4.9	3.15 4.2	1.95 6.1	1.07 7.3		
202.3		2.81 6.1	2.46 6.0	1.88 5.3	1.29 7.5	0.596 9.7		
221.1	3.51 7.2	2.14 8.2	1.89 6.2	1.31 9.5				
237.5	1.95 10.1	1.31 11.0	1.20 8.1	0.698 13.5				
253.9	1.18 12.8	0.680 15.1	0.728 10.3	0.222 23.6				

(over)




Fig. 9. In this figure, the straight lines show the experimental cross-sections at 40° and 74° for  $E_p = 150$  MeV. The other curves are the same cross-sections divided by atomic weight




Fig. 6. The ratios of the experimental cross-sections at 40 and 90 degrees for selected proton energies as a function of atomic number

P. Dougan, T. Kivikas, K. Lugner, V. Ramsay, and W. Stiefler  
 Phys. Letters 46B, 359 (1973)

Au

197

79

METHOD

REF. NO.

73 Do 11

egf

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, XP	ABY	90-400	C	400	TEL-D		DST




Fig. 1. Energy distributions of high-energy photo-protons at several angles from gold irradiated with 400 MeV bremsstrahlung. The errors shown are purely statistical.



REF. E. Hayward, W. C. Barber, and Jed Sazama  
Phys. Rev. C8, 1065 (1973)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Au	197	79
REF. NO.		
73 Ha 3		hmg

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
\$ G,G	RLY	15 (15.1)	D	15 (15.1)	NAI-D		90

POLARIZED PHOTONS

TABLE II. Results.

Target	$d\sigma^0/d\Omega_p$ Arbitrary units	$d\sigma^1/d\Omega_p$	$\eta_p$	$\eta$	$\eta(DCM)$
Cd	0.042 ± 0.028	0.39 ± 0.05	0.11 ± 0.07	0.09 ± 0.07	0.19
Sn	0.084 ± 0.036	0.65 ± 0.06	0.13 ± 0.06	0.11 ± 0.06	0.07
Ta	0.24 ± 0.10	1.47 ± 0.14	0.16 ± 0.07	0.14 ± 0.07	0.20
W	0.52 ± 0.10	1.66 ± 0.12	0.31 ± 0.07	0.29 ± 0.07	0.20
Pt	0.23 ± 0.08	1.94 ± 0.13	0.12 ± 0.04	0.10 ± 0.04	0.08
Au	0.39 ± 0.11	2.08 ± 0.15	0.19 ± 0.06	0.17 ± 0.06	0.07
Bi	0.10 ± 0.15	2.65 ± 0.26	0.04 ± 0.06	0.02 ± 0.06	0

REF.

K. Lindgren, G. G. Jonsson and B. Forkman  
 PICNS-73, Vol. II, p. 991 (1973) Asilomar

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Au	197	79

METHOD

REF. NO.	egf
73 Li 3	

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,G/	ABX	0-800	C	100-800	ACT-I		4PT




Fig. 1




Fig. 2



Yu.I. Sorokin, V.A. Khrushchev, and B.A. Yur'ev  
 Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Fiz. 37, 1891 (1973)  
 Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR Phys. Ser. 37, 80 (1973)

ELEMENT	Z	A
Au	197	79

METHOD	REF. NO.	hmg
	73 So 19	

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, XN	ABX	8- 28	C	8- 28	BF3-I		4PI



Photoneutron cross section  $\sigma(\gamma, Tn)$  for  $^{197}\text{Au}$  (a) and  $^{209}\text{Bi}$  (b). The dashed curves gives the photoabsorption cross section  $\sigma_\gamma$ .

Photoneutron Cross-Section Parameter Values  
 for  $^{197}\text{Au}$  and  $^{209}\text{Bi}$

	$^{197}\text{Au}$	$^{209}\text{Bi}$
$\sigma(\gamma, Tn) [E_\gamma < 27 \text{ MeV}], \text{ MeV}\cdot\text{b}$	4.06	4.59
$\sigma(\gamma, Tn) [E_\gamma < 20 \text{ MeV}], \text{ MeV}\cdot\text{b}$	3.37	3.58
$\sigma(\gamma, Tn) [E_\gamma = 20-27 \text{ MeV}], \text{ MeV}\cdot\text{b}$	0.69	1.01
$\sigma_\gamma [E_\gamma < 20 \text{ MeV}], \text{ MeV}\cdot\text{b}$	2.81	2.96
$\sigma_\gamma [E_\gamma < 27 \text{ MeV}], \text{ MeV}\cdot\text{b}$	3.15	3.47
$\sigma_\gamma [E_\gamma = 20-27 \text{ MeV}], \text{ MeV}\cdot\text{b}$	0.34	0.51
$\sigma(E1) = \frac{0.66 AZ}{A} \text{ MeV}\cdot\text{b}$	2.84	3.00
$\sigma(E2), \text{ MeV}\cdot\text{b}$	0.48	0.5
$\sigma_m, \text{ mb}$	180	610
$E_m, \text{ MeV}$	13.3	13.2
$G, \text{ MeV}$	4.3	4.0

METHOD

REF. NO.

74 Ad 2

egf

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,A	ABY	10-500	C	500	TEL-D		DST

 $^{197}\text{Au}(\gamma, \alpha)$ 

149





Fig. 3. Experimental energy distributions at the different angles corrected for energy losses in the target.

Fig. 4. Total  $\alpha$ -particle angular distribution (circles) compared with the angular distribution obtained from cascade-evaporation calculations (solid line).

METHOD				REF. NO.			
				74 Ar 3		egf	
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,F	NOX	THR-600	C	600	ACT-I		4PI

## REL FRAG YLDS

TABLE I

Formation modes (C = cumulative, I = independent) and decay data <sup>18)</sup> for the fission products studied

Nuclide	Type	$T_{1/2}$ (d)	$E_{\gamma}$ (branching ratio) (keV)	$Y^{exp}$ (rel. units)	$Y^{calc}$ (rel. units)	$Z_p^{calc}$
<sup>59</sup> Fe	C	45	1099(56.5%), 1291(43.2%)	1.75 ± 0.05		25.91
<sup>69m</sup> Zn	C	0.575	439(95%)	2.23 ± 0.09		30.12
<sup>72</sup> Zn	C	1.94	145(100%)	0.69 ± 0.02	0.86	31.38
<sup>74</sup> As	I	17.9	596(59.5%)	2.50 ± 0.05	2.06	32.23
<sup>75</sup> Se	C	120.4	136(58%)	1.18 ± 0.06	1.26	32.65
<sup>82</sup> Br			554(72.6%), 619(37.4%)	4.56 ± 0.07	4.65	35.59
<sup>83</sup> Rb	I	1.48	698(28.2%), 1317(26.5%)			
<sup>87</sup> Rb	C	83	520(47%), 530(30.4%)	3.74 ± 0.04	4.09	36.01
<sup>84</sup> Rb	I	33	553(16.6%)			
<sup>86</sup> Rb	I	18.66	882(73.4%)	5.40 ± 0.07	5.29	36.44
<sup>87</sup> Y	C	3.33	1079(8.8%), 485(92%)	8.48 ± 0.19	6.64	37.28
<sup>88</sup> Y	I	108	388(80%), 485(92%)	2.97 ± 0.04	3.03	37.70
<sup>89</sup> Zr	C	85	898(92%)	5.12 ± 0.11	4.72	38.12
<sup>90</sup> Zr	C	3.27	393(97%), 898 <sup>a)</sup>	1.10 ± 0.04	1.01	38.12
<sup>91</sup> Sr	C	0.403	909(100%)	2.47 ± 0.03	2.43	38.54
<sup>92m</sup> Nb	I	10.16	1024(33%)	3.9 ± 1.0	2.88	39.38
<sup>95</sup> Zr	C	65	935(97.5%)	0.33 ± 0.02		39.80
<sup>95</sup> Nb	I	35	724(43%), 757(54.6%), 766 <sup>a)</sup>	5.04 ± 0.04	4.69	41.07
<sup>95m</sup> Tc	C	0.83	766(100%)	0.69 ± 0.06	0.93	41.07
<sup>97</sup> Zr	C	0.71	766(99%)	0.45 ± 0.04		41.07
<sup>97</sup> Ru	C	2.9	582(55.1%)	0.94 ± 0.05	0.96	41.91
<sup>99</sup> Mo	C	2.8	743(94%)	0.62 ± 0.01	0.61	41.91
<sup>99m</sup> Tc	I	0.252	215(91%)	6.34 ± 0.33	6.32	42.75
<sup>103</sup> Ru	C	39.6	740(14%), 140 <sup>a)</sup>	2.20 ± 0.12		42.75
<sup>105</sup> Rh	C	1.50	140(90%)	6.61 ± 0.08	7.11	44.43
<sup>105</sup> Ag	C	40	497(90%)	5.61 ± 0.28	7.06	45.27
<sup>106m</sup> Ag	I	8.4	319(19.6%)	1.12 ± 0.04	0.96	45.27
<sup>110m</sup> Ag	I	253	280(32%)	0.95 ± 0.03		45.70
<sup>111</sup> In	C	2.8	451(31%), 717(32%), 1046(28%)	1.76 ± 0.03	1.47	47.80
			658(94.4%), 835 <sup>b)</sup> (75.1%)	1.59 ± 0.03		47.80

$Y^{exp}$  are experimental yields given with statistical errors. The systematic errors are of the order of 5-15%. Underlined nuclides indicate that the yields in all three samples were measured.  $Y^{calc}$  and  $Z_p^{calc}$  are yields and peak positions of the charge dispersions calculated from eq. (2).

The following nuclides were detected but not used in the analysis (either because of very poor statistics or because only  $Y_F$  or  $Y_B$  was measured): <sup>69</sup>Ge, <sup>86</sup>Zr, <sup>90m</sup>Y, <sup>96</sup>Nb, <sup>96</sup>Tc, <sup>112</sup>Pd and <sup>112</sup>Ag.

<sup>a)</sup> Gamma radiation from daughter nucleus.

<sup>b)</sup>  $E_{\gamma}$  = 889 keV was obtained in this work.

<sup>18)</sup> M.A. Wakat, Nucl. Data Tables **8**  
(1971) 445; C.M. Lederer et al,  
Tables of isotopes (Wiley, N.Y.  
1967).

REF.

G. Bologna, V. Emma, A.S. Figuera, S. Lo Nigro, C. Milone  
Phys. Lett. 52B, 192 (1974)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Au	197	79

METHOD

REF. NO.	
74 Bo 10	egf

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, F	RLX	200-500	D	200-500	TRK-I		4PI


COH BREMS

Fig. 1. Coherent bremsstrahlung intensity of  $E_0 = 1$  GeV electrons in a diamond single crystal versus the photon energy  $K$ . The solid curves are the best fits.




Fig. 2. Yields per equivalent quantum of the Au photofission as a function of the first peak energy  $K_p$  of photons. The dots are the experimental data.




Fig. 3. Photofission cross section  $\sigma_f$  of Au deduced from the experimental yields.

REF. P. David, J. Debrus, F. Lubke, H. Mommsen, R. Schoenmackers,  
and G. Stein  
Nucl. Phys. A221, 145 (1974)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Au	197	79
REF. NO.		egf
74 Da 2		

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,P	ABY	9-450	C	450	TEL-D		90
G,T	ABY	16-450	C	450	TEL-D		90
G,HE*	ABY	24-450	C	450	TEL-D		90
G,A	ABY	10-450	C	450	TEL-D		90

\*HE=HE3




Fig. 8. The position of maxima of the  $(\gamma, \alpha)$  spectra and the width of the spectra at half height. The broken line gives the height of the Coulomb barrier.




Fig. 4. Characteristic measured spectra of p, t,  $^3\text{He}$  and  $^4\text{He}$  from the target nuclei  $^{27}\text{Al}$ ,  $^{40,44}\text{Ca}$ ,  $^{107}\text{Ag}$ ,  $^{197}\text{Au}$ .




Fig. 3. Characteristic measured spectra of p, t,  $^3\text{He}$  and  $^4\text{He}$  from the target nuclei  $^{27}\text{Al}$ ,  $^{40,44}\text{Ca}$ ,  $^{107}\text{Ag}$ ,  $^{197}\text{Au}$ .

(over)




Fig. 5. Yield of protons, tritons,  ${}^3\text{He}$  and  ${}^4\text{He}$  depending on mass number  $A$  [ref. 23)]. The lines through the points are to guide the eye.




Fig. 7. Experimental data with statistical model calculations for the reaction  ${}^{197}\text{Au}(\gamma, {}^3\text{He})$ . Broken line for  $E_\gamma^{\text{max}} = 50$  MeV (calculation). Full line for  $E_\gamma^{\text{max}} = 450$  MeV (fit).



REF.

L.E. Lazareva, A.I. Lepestkin, and V.I. Sidorov  
 Yad. Fiz. 20, 242 (1974)  
 Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 20, 128 (1975)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Au	197	79

METHOD

REF. NO.

74 La 5

hmg

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, XN	SPC	8- 29	C	29 (28.5)	EMI-D		DST




FIG. 2. Asymmetry coefficients  $c/a$  obtained for nuclei with various  $Z$  in the following studies: ref. 10— $E_{\gamma \text{ max}} = 25.5$  MeV,  $E_n > 7.4$  MeV (\*); ref. 11— $E_{\gamma \text{ max}} = 27-32$  MeV,  $E_n > \sim 5$  MeV (●); ref. 12— $E_{\gamma \text{ max}} = 34$  MeV,  $E_n > \sim 8$  MeV (□); ref. 13— $E_{\gamma \text{ max}} = 55$  MeV,  $E_n > \sim 5$  MeV (X); present work— $E_{\gamma \text{ max}} = 28.5$  MeV,  $E_n > 5$  MeV (○). The smooth curve shows the coefficient  $b/a$  characterizing the photoneutron angular distribution anisotropy as a function of atomic number  $Z$ . (This has been converted from the curve given in ref. 11 and is for the distribution  $I(\vartheta) = a + b \sin^2 \vartheta + c \cos \vartheta$ , normalized at the points  $Z = 82-83$ .)

11 J.W. Jury, J.S. Hewitt, K.G. McNeill,  
 Can. J. Phys. 46, 1823 (1968).

12 F.R. Allum, T.W. Quirk, B.M. Spicer,  
 Nucl. Phys. 53, 545 (1964).

13 G.C. Reinhardt and W.D. Whitehead,  
 Nucl. Phys. 30, 201 (1962).




FIG. 1. Angular distributions of photoneutrons obtained in irradiation of Rh, Ta, Au, and Bi samples by bremsstrahlung with maximum energy  $E_{\gamma \text{ max}} = 28.5$  MeV. The curves were calculated from the experimental points by the method of least squares for a distribution of the form  $I(\vartheta) = a + b \sin^2 \vartheta + c \cos \vartheta$  and normalized ( $a = 1$ ). For comparison we have shown below the angular distributions of photoneutrons with energy  $E_n > 8$  MeV obtained in irradiation of the same samples by bremsstrahlung with  $E_{\gamma \text{ max}} = 20$  MeV.

(over)




FIG. 3. Photoneutron energy spectra from Rh, Ta, Au, and Bi for irradiation of the samples by bremsstrahlung with maximum energy  $E_{\gamma \text{ max}} = 20$  (dashed line) and 28.5 (solid line) MeV for angles  $\theta$  with maximum neutron yield. For each nucleus the histograms given for  $E_{\gamma \text{ max}} = 20$  and 28.5 MeV have been combined in the interval  $E_n = 4-4.5$  MeV.

R. Pitthan, F.R. Buskirk, E.B. Dally, J.N. Dyer, and X.K. Maruyama

REF.

Phys. Rev. Lett. 33, 849 (1974)

(See Erratum: Phys. Rev. Lett. 34, 848 (1975))

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Au	197	79
REF. NO.		hmg
74 P1 2		

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
E, E/	FMF	3- 40	D	90	MAG-D		DST

Inelastic electron scattering with 90-MeV electrons shows previously observed giant resonances at excitation energies of  $63A^{-1/3}$  (E2),  $81A^{-1/3}$  (E1),  $105A^{-1/3}$  (E3), and  $130A^{-1/3}$  MeV (E2). Distorted-wave-Born-approximation analysis of additional structure at  $53A^{-1/3}$  and  $195A^{-1/3}$  MeV suggests a monopole assignment. Transverse contributions to the E1 matrix element are compatible with an electric spin-flip. Differing widths of the respective resonances in the two nuclei are explained through dynamic deformation of Au. The reduced electric transition strengths  $B(EL)$  are given.

B(EL)




FIG. 2. Same as Fig. 1, after subtraction of the fitted background.

FIG. 1. Spectrum of 90-MeV electrons, scattered inelastically from Pb and Au. The fitted background which consists of the radiation tail and the machine background is shown. The counting rate is corrected for the constant momentum dispersion of the spectrometer. Thus the error increases with the excitation energy.




FIG. 3. Ratio of the inelastic cross section of the resonance at 9.2 MeV to the Mott cross section as a function of scattering angle. The curves show the results of DWBA calculations assuming an E2 or an E0 assignment. At 105° we did not see a resonance. The open circle corresponds to a resonance with a height of 1 standard deviation in the count rate and is, therefore, regarded as an upper limit. The error at 105° represents 1 additional standard deviation.

(over)

TABLE I. Comparison of results for Au and Pb as extracted from the 75° measurements. Columns 2 and 3 show multipolarity and isospin assignments assumed.

		197 Au							208 Pb					
$E_x$ [A <sup>-1/3</sup> MeV]	EL	$\Delta T$	$E_x$ [MeV]	B(EL) [fm <sup>2L</sup> ] <sup>a)</sup>	$\Gamma_{nat}$ [MeV]	EWSR <sup>b)</sup> [%]	SPU <sup>c)</sup>	Others B(EL)	$E_x$ [MeV]	B(EL) [fm <sup>2L</sup> ] <sup>a)</sup>	$\Gamma_{nat}$ [MeV]	EWSR <sup>b)</sup> [%]	SPU <sup>c)</sup>	Others B(EL)
53	E0	0	9.2	(3.6±1.8)10 <sup>3</sup>	2.2±0.5	35	--	--	8.9	(5±3)10 <sup>3</sup>	1.8±0.5	50	--	--
63	E2	0	13.8	(5.2±1.2)10 <sup>3</sup>	2.9±0.2	77	15.5	(8.4±1.6)10 <sup>3h)</sup>	10.5	(6.7±2.5)10 <sup>3</sup>	2.8±0.3	95	21.5	(2.6±0.9)10 <sup>3 f)k)</sup> (2.6±0.3)10 <sup>3 g)k)</sup>
81	E1	1	1±0	100±20 <sup>d)</sup> 50±10 <sup>e)</sup>	4.5±0.2	200 100	15.0 7.5	82±11 <sup>d)h)</sup> 74±5 <sup>i)</sup>	13.6	103±20 53±10	3.9±0.1	205 105	16 8	64±8 <sup>d)f)</sup> 71±5 <sup>i)</sup>
105	E3	0 1	18.0	(1.7±.8)10 <sup>5</sup>	5.2±0.7	45 30	10.0	--	17.5	(3.2±1.5)10 <sup>5</sup>	4.2±0.7	90 60	17	(1.8±0.6)10 <sup>5 g)</sup> (1.8±1.6)10 <sup>5 g)</sup>
133	E2	1	23.0	(4.6±1.5)10 <sup>3</sup>	7±1	95	13.5	(6.5±1.4)10 <sup>3h)</sup>	22.5	(4.2±1.4)10 <sup>3</sup>	5±1	85	14	(3.4±1)10 <sup>3 g)</sup>
195	E0	1	33.5	(10±7)10 <sup>3</sup>	10.5±2	250	--	--	33.0	(6.5±2)10 <sup>3</sup>	6±1	150	--	--

<sup>a</sup> For the monopole  $|M_{if}|^2$  (fm<sup>4</sup>).

<sup>b</sup> Energy-weighted sum rule Ref. 19.

<sup>c</sup> Single particle units Ref. 20.

<sup>d</sup> Surface oscillation  $\rho_{tr}(\gamma) \sim d\rho_0(\gamma)/dr$ .

<sup>e</sup> Volume oscillation  $\rho_{tr}(\gamma) \sim \rho_0(\gamma)$ .

<sup>f</sup> Ref. 9.

<sup>g</sup> Ref. 8.

<sup>h</sup> Ref. 15.

<sup>i</sup> Ref. 14.

<sup>k</sup> Extracted from a 2-MeV-wide range only.

8

M. Nagao and Y. Torizuka, Phys. Rev. Lett. 30, 1068 (1973).

9

F.R. Buskirk, H.D. Graf, R. Pitthan, H. Theissen, O. Titze, and Th. Walcher, Phys. Lett. 42B, 194 (1972).

14

M. Danos and W. Greiner, Phys. Rev. 134, B284 (1964).

15

A. Veyssiere, H. Beil, R. Bergere, P. Carlos, and A. Lepretre, Nucl. Phys. A159, 561 (1970).

19

N.I. Kassis, Technical Report, Institut für Kerphysik der Universität Mainz, 1969, unpublished.

20

R.A. Ferrell, Phys. Rev. 107, 1631 (1957); J. Weneser and E.K. Warburton, in The Role of Isospin in Electromagnetic Transitions, ed. D.H. Wilkinson, (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1969).

REF.

Yu. I. Sorokin and B. A. Yur'ev  
 Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR. Ser. Fiz. 39, 114 (1975)  
 Bull. Acad. Sci. (USSR) Phys. Ser. 39, 98 (1975)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Au	197	79

METHOD

REF. NO.	hmg
75 So 12	

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, XN	ABI	8- 27	C	8- 27	BF3-I		4PI

SEE 73S019

Table 1

Element	A	$\sigma_0(\gamma, 2n)$ MeV · b		$\sigma_{0\gamma}$ MeV · b		$\sigma_{-1}$ mb	$\sigma_{-2}$ mb X X MeV <sup>-1</sup>	Em. MeV	K, MeV	$n(A-1)$ MeV <sup>-1</sup>	Thresh- hold ( $\gamma, 2n$ ), MeV	$\sigma_0(E1)$ MeV X x b
		to 27 MeV	to 20 MeV	20-27 MeV	to 20 MeV							
Sn	112	2.23	1.80	1.49	0.41	112	6.7	15.8	10.1	10.2	19.2	1.66
	114	2.26	1.86	1.35	0.47	108	6.5	13.7	11.3	10.2	18.1	1.68
	116	2.40	1.85	1.40	0.45	110	6.6	13.6	11.7	8.1	17.1	1.71
	117	2.52	1.86	1.39	0.47	110	6.7	15.4	11.6	7.3	16.5	1.72
	118	2.45	1.92	1.53	0.39	115	7.1	15.5	10.7	5.6	16.3	1.71
	119	2.63	1.86	1.42	0.44	111	6.8	15.4	12.0	13.2	15.8	1.74
	120	2.65	2.07	1.69	0.38	127	7.9	15.3	19.1	3.6	15.6	1.75
	122	2.94	2.03	1.51	0.52	119	7.1	15.6	21.8	4.5	15.0	1.77
	124	2.90	1.91	1.44	0.39	114	6.9	15.5	23.2	5.4	14.4	1.79
	W	182	3.68	2.78	2.32	0.46	184	12.5	-	24.2	5.2	14.9
184		4.88	2.95	2.33	0.72	196	13.0	-	23.7	5.2	13.6	2.65
Au	197	4.06	3.15	2.81	0.34	226	15.5	13.3	20.9	17.1	14.8	2.84
Pb	206	3.93	3.21	2.80	0.41	225	16.1	13.5	23.1	6.5	14.8	2.96
	208	4.32	3.28	2.81	0.47	241	16.7	13.3	22.6	9.6	14.1	2.98
Bi	209	4.59	3.47	2.96	0.51	216	17.9	13.2	21.3	10.2	14.3	3.00

REF. G. Bologna, V. Bellini, V. Emma, A.S. Figuera, S. Lo Nigro,  
C. Milone and G.S. Pappalardo  
Il Nuovo Cimento 35A, 91 (1976)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Au	197	79
REF. NO.		
76 Bo 15		egf

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,F	RLX	220-500	D	220-500	TRK-I		4PI

COHERENT BREMS




Fig. 6. - Photofission yields per equivalent quantum of Bi, Pb, Au and Pt as a function of the first peak energy  $k_f$  of photons. The dots are the experimental data; the dashed curves represent the yield functions estimated as described in sect. 5. a) Bi ( $\gamma, f$ ), b) Pb ( $\gamma, f$ ), c) Au ( $\gamma, f$ ), d) Pt ( $\gamma, f$ ).




Fig. 8. - See caption to fig. 7. *a*) Au ( $\gamma, f$ ), *b*) Pt ( $\gamma, f$ ).

REF.

V. Emma, S. Lo Nigro, C. Milone  
Nucl. Phys. A257, 438 (1976)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Au	197	79

METHOD

REF. NO.

76 Em 2

egf

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,F	ABY	THR-999	C	999	TRK-I		4PI

TABLE I

999 = 1 GEV

Measured values of  $\sigma_a$  at  $E = 1000$  MeV and deduced values of  $\sigma_t$  assumed constant from  $E_0$  to 1000 MeV

Element	$Z^2/A$	$\sigma_a$ (mb)	$E_0$ (MeV)	$\sigma_t$ (mb)
Bi	32.96	$12.3 \pm 0.6$	200	$7.6 \pm 0.6$
Pb	32.45	$5.4 \pm 0.4$	220	$3.6 \pm 0.3$
Tl	32.10	$4.1 \pm 0.3$	230	$2.8 \pm 0.3$
Au	31.68	$2.0 \pm 0.15$	240	$1.4 \pm 0.2$
Pt	31.18	$1.1 \pm 0.08$	255	$(8 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-1}$
Re	30.21	$(3.7 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-1}$	280	$(2.9 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-1}$
W	29.78	$(3.5 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-1}$	290	$(2.8 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-1}$
Ta	29.45	$(3.3 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-1}$	300	$(2.7 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-1}$
Hf	29.04	$(1.7 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-1}$	310	$(1.4 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-1}$
Yb	28.31	$(1.3 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-1}$	330	$(1.2 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-1}$
Tm	28.18	$(7.5 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-2}$	335	$(6.8 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-2}$
Ho	27.21	$(3.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$	355	$(3.5 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$
Dy	26.80	$(2.6 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$	360	$(2.5 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$
Tb	26.58	$(2.5 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$	370	$(2.5 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$
Gd	26.04	$(1.6 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$	380	$(1.7 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$
Sm	25.56	$(1.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$	390	$(1.4 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$
Nd	24.96	$(9.2 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3}$	405	$(1 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-2}$
Ce	24.00	$(8 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3}$	420	$(9 \pm 1) \times 10^{-3}$
La	23.39	$(8.4 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3}$	430	$(1 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-2}$
Sb	21.36	$(1.2 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$	460	$(1.5 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$
Te	21.19	$(8.8 \pm 1) \times 10^{-3}$	465	$(1.2 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$
Sn	21.06	$(1.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$	465	$(1.7 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$
Cd	20.49	$(1.7 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$	470	$(2.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$
Ag	20.47	$(2 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$	470	$(2.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$
Zn	13.76	$(2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-1}$	515	$(3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-1}$
Cu	13.44	$(2.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-1}$	515	$(3.6 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-1}$
Ni	13.35	$(2.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-1}$	510	$(3.6 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-1}$
Fe	12.10	$(3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-1}$	510	$(4.4 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-1}$

<sup>4</sup>A.V. Mitrofanova et al.  
Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 6,  
512 (1968).

<sup>7</sup>T. Methasiri et al., Nucl.  
Phys. A167, 97 (1971).

<sup>12</sup>J.R. Nix et al., Nucl. Phys.  
81, 61 (1966).

<sup>20</sup>N.A. Perfilov et al., JETP  
(Sov. Phys.) 14, 623 (1962);  
Proc. Symp. on the physics &  
chemistry of fission, Salzburg  
1965, vol. 2 (IAEA) Vienna,  
1965, p.283.




Fig. 2. Nuclear fissilities as a function of  $Z^2/A$ . Experimental points: solid circles represent our data; squares, the data from ref. <sup>4</sup>); open circles, the data from ref. <sup>7</sup>); and crosses, the data from (p,f) experiments<sup>20</sup>). The straight line is the best fit calculated from our data for  $Z^2/A > 26$ . The dashed curve is the curve V1 calculated by Nix and Sassi<sup>12</sup>).

FORM NBS-418  
(REV. 7-14-64)  
USCOMM-NBS-DC

PHOTONUCLEAR DATA SHEET 450

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS



METHOD

REF. NO.	
76 Gu 5	hmg

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, MU-T	ABX	8- 21	C	35	NAI-D		4PI

We measured the total cross section for the absorption of rays in the region of E1 resonance for the nuclei  $^{165}\text{Ho}$ ,  $^{178}\text{Hf}$ ,  $^{180}\text{Hf}$ ,  $^{181}\text{Ta}$ ,  $^{182}\text{W}$ ,  $^{197}\text{Au}$ , and  $^{209}\text{Bi}$ . The singularity in the behavior of the resonance widths, observed in the region  $160 < A < 185$ , is apparently due to the influence of the neutron subshell  $N = 103$ .




FIG. 1. Total photoabsorption cross sections for the nuclei  $^{165}\text{Ho}$ ,  $^{178}\text{Hf}$ ,  $^{180}\text{Hf}$ ,  $^{181}\text{Ta}$ ,  $^{182}\text{W}$ ,  $^{197}\text{Au}$ ,  $^{209}\text{Bi}$ .




FIG. 2. Widths  $\Gamma$  of E1 giant resonance in the region of nuclei with  $A > 150$  according to the data of Saclay ( $\bullet$ ), Livermore ( $\Delta$ ), and the Institute of Nuclear Research of the USSR Academy of Sciences ( $\circ$ ). The crosses mark the deformation parameters  $\beta$ .




FIG. 3. Width of Lorentz lines approximating the photoabsorption cross sections, for deformed nuclei in the region  $150 < A < 185$ .

Nucleus	$\sigma_1$ , mb	$\Gamma_1$ MeV	$E_1$ MeV	$\sigma_2$ mb	$\Gamma_2$ MeV	$E_2$ MeV	$\frac{\sigma_2 \Gamma_2}{\sigma_1 \Gamma_1}$	$Q_0$ b	$\beta$
Ho-165	235	2.0	12.2	272	4.0	15.5	2.3	$6.8 \pm 0.8$	0.29
Hf-178	291	3.1	12.2	334	4.9	15.5	1.8	$7.5 \pm 0.8$	0.28
Hf-180	286	3.2	12.2	324	5.1	15.3	1.8	$7.2 \pm 0.8$	0.27
Ta-181	272	3.0	12.1	316	5.1	15.0	2.0	$6.8 \pm 0.8$	0.26
W-182	267	3.2	11.9	303	5.6	14.8	2.0	$7.2 \pm 0.8$	0.26
Au-197	535	5.2	13.7	...	...	...	...	...	...
Bi-209	600	4.6	13.8	...	...	...	...	...	...

REF.

F.M. Kiely, B.D. Pate, F. Hanappe, and J. Péter  
Z. Physik A279, 331 (1976)

ELEM SYM	A	Z
Au	197	79

METHOD	REF. NO.	
	76 Ki 6	egf

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR <sup>a</sup>		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,F	ABY	THR - 580	C	580	TRK-D		4PI

Table 3. Fission cross sections and nuclear fissilities (calculated using several formalisms for  $\sigma_{f,0}$ ), obtained from coincident track pairs

Element	Z <sup>2</sup> /A	$\sigma$ , (mb eq. quantum)	$H = \sigma / (1.69 A^{2/3})$	$\sigma$ , 0.33A
U	35.6	~1.5	~2.10 <sup>-1</sup>	~1.8 x 10 <sup>-1</sup>
Au	31.7	6.50	1.13 x 10 <sup>-1</sup>	9.8 x 10 <sup>-2</sup>
Te	21.1	2.54 x 10 <sup>-3</sup>	5.92 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	5.8 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>
Ag	20.5	9.09 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	2.37 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>	2.5 x 10 <sup>-5</sup>




Fig. 3. Fission energy distributions extracted from the present measurements assuming zero centre-of-mass motion for the fissioning systems indicated

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
197	Au	79
METHOD		REF. NO.
		77 Hi 5
		hmg

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, N	ABI	8-30 (8.1)	C	18-30	ACT-I		4PI

THICK BREMS TARGET

The integral experiment for a sensitivity check of photonuclear cross section data of C, Mn, Fe, In and Au was performed by using the bremsstrahlung produced in a thick iron target by 18, 22, 26 and 30 MeV electrons from a linear accelerator. The cross section data measured by the activation method showed better results for all incident electron energies than those by the photoneutron method, because the latter include the competing ( $\gamma, np$ ) reaction above its threshold energy. It is necessary to obtain the cross section data of ( $\gamma, n$ ), ( $\gamma, np$ ), ( $\gamma, 2n$ ), ( $\gamma, p2n$ ) reactions etc., separately by the activation method.

The effective energy range and effective cross section in the giant resonance region were determined for C, Mn, Fe and Au. By using these quantities, the gross structure of the bremsstrahlung spectrum was obtained in good agreement with the theoretical calculation.

TABLE 3  
Ratio of measured and calculated saturated activities at  $90^\circ$ ,  $R_s = A_s^{exp}/A_s^{cal}$ .

Reaction	Reference	Detector	Electron energy				Threshold energy of competing ( $\gamma, np$ ) reaction (MeV)
			18 MeV	22 MeV	26 MeV	30 MeV	
$^{12}C(\gamma, n)^{11}C$	6	ACT <sup>a</sup>	-	1.205	1.09	0.824	
	7	BF3 <sup>b</sup>	-	0.757	0.931	-	
	8	BF3	-	1.492	1.189	0.914	27.4
	9	BF3	-	1.418	1.159	-	
$^{55}Mn(\gamma, n)^{54}Mn$	10	BF3	0.575	0.810	0.598	-	
	11		0.595	0.875	0.654	0.609	17.8
$^{54}Fe(\gamma, n)^{53}Fe$	12	ACT	0.542	0.783	0.722	1.12	20.9
$^{115}In(\gamma, n)^{114m}In$	13	BF3	0.448	0.511	-	-	
	14	BF3	0.603	0.672	0.586	1.00	15.9
$^{115}In(\gamma, \gamma)^{115m}In$	15	ACT	0.829	1.00	0.852	0.995	
$^{197}Au(\gamma, n)^{196}Au$	16	BF3	0.743	0.553	0.529		
	17	BF3	0.842	0.628	0.608	0.476	13.7

<sup>a</sup> ACT: measurement of radioactivity of the target.  
<sup>b</sup> BF3: BF<sub>3</sub> neutron counter with moderator.

[over]

TABLE 4

Effective cross section and effective energy range.

Reaction	Effective energy range (MeV)	Effective cross section (mb)			Average
		22 MeV	26 MeV	30 MeV	
$^{12}\text{C}(\gamma, n)^{11}\text{C}$	20.5-24.5	-	5.98	5.28	$5.63 \pm 0.35$
$^{55}\text{Mn}(\gamma, n)^{54}\text{Mn}$	15.0-20.5	-	56.2	52.7	$54.5 \pm 1.7$
$^{54}\text{Fe}(\gamma, n)^{53}\text{Fe}$	17.0-24.0	-	33.6	47.9	$40.8 \pm 7.2$
$^{197}\text{Au}(\gamma, n)^{196}\text{Au}$	12.5-15.0	553.5	532.5	415.0	$500 \pm 85$







Fig. 3. Comparison of experimental and calculated bremsstrahlung spectra emitted from an iron target in the  $90^\circ$  direction by (a) 18 MeV electrons, (b) 22 MeV electrons, (c) 26 MeV electrons, (d) 30 MeV electrons.

Au	197	79
REF. NO.		egf
77 Ja 1		

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,NA24	NOX	THR - 800	C	800	ACT-I		DST

MEAN FRAGMENT RANGES




Fig. 1. The kinetic energies of fragments produced in Cu as a function of the mass number difference  $\Delta A$  between fragments and target: ● denotes the measured mean energies, ○ the energies calculated with Monte Carlo program [10], ▽ the energies from fission calculation [11], - - - - -, — and - · - · - are the energies calculated from the semiempirical formula of [9] multiplied by factors of 1, 1.56 and 2.02 respectively. The random error is given by bars in some points

Table 1. Mean ranges and mean kinetic energies in three directions for  $^{24}\text{Na}$  produced in different targets

	Range mg cm <sup>-2</sup>	Kinetic energy MeV
Cu forward	3.64 ± 0.55	21.0 ± 3.8
Cu backward	2.17 ± 0.33	10.2 ± 1.8
Cu perpendic.	3.09 ± 0.46	16.7 ± 3.0
Ag forward	7.61 ± 1.14	37.1 ± 6.7
Ag backward	6.63 ± 1.00	31.8 ± 5.7
Ag perpendic.	7.20 ± 1.08	35.0 ± 6.3
Au forward	17.4 ± 2.6	69.5 ± 12.5
Au backward	16.0 ± 2.4	64.0 ± 11.5
Au perpendic.*	12.6 ± 3.4	49.2 ± 33.4

\* The statistical uncertainty in the yield was specially large



REF. I. Blomqvist, B. Bulow, A. Fredriksson, B. Johansson, G.G. Jonsson, K. Lindgren, M. Nilsson, R. Petersson, O. Glomset, N. Freed, and W. Rhodes  
Z. Physik A288, 313 (1978)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Au	197	79
REF. NO.		rs
78 B1 7		

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, PI-	ABY	100-750	C	100-750	ACT-I		UNK

The cross sections for the photoproduction of  $\pi^-$  on  $^{197}\text{Au}$  leading to the  $1/2^-$  ground and  $13/2^+$  isomeric states in  $^{197}\text{Hg}$  have been measured from threshold to 750 MeV by use of the activation method. A suitable chemical separation technique was developed and applied in this experiment. The individual cross sections and the isomeric ratio are compared to calculations based on the impulse approximation.




Fig. 1. Experimental and calculated yields,  $\sigma_q$ , for the reactions  $^{197}\text{Au}(\gamma, \pi^-)^{197m}\text{Hg}$  and  $^{197}\text{Au}(\gamma, \pi^-)^{197g}\text{Hg}$  versus bremsstrahlung end-point energy. The solid and dashed curves are described in the text




Fig. 2. Experimental and calculated isomeric yield ratios versus bremsstrahlung end-point energy. The open circles are the present results with the new separation method. The open square is our result using the "cold finger" method. The filled circle is the experimental result of Reference 5. The curves A and B are described in the text

<sup>5</sup> Medicus, H., Smalley, R.: Proc. 6th Int. Conf. on High-Energy Physics and Nucl. Structure, Los Alamos 1975, p. 287.






Fig. 3. Theoretically calculated cross sections,  $\sigma_k$ , for the models A and B as a function of photon energy

Au

197

79

METHOD

REF. NO.

78 Mu 9

Hg

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
E,A	ABX	6-100	D	100	MAG-D		50

$\alpha$  particles from the electrodisintegration of seven nuclei with Z between 29 and 79 have been observed. Energy spectra at 50° in the laboratory for six nuclei and angular distributions for five nuclei are reported. The cross sections exhibit a broad peak whose magnitude decreases with increasing Z; the energy of the peak increases as Z increases. Angular distributions at the highest energies measured become increasingly forward peaked suggesting a direct-reaction process.




FIG. 2. The  $\alpha$ -particle energy spectra at 50° in the laboratory for the four new nuclei studied as well as for two nuclei in which additional data have been obtained. The solid curves are the evaporation model fits described in text.

<sup>1</sup>J.J. Murphy, II, H.J. Gehrhardt, and D.M. Skopik, Nucl. Phys. A277, 69 (1977)




FIG. 3. Energy of the cross section peak as a function of Z. The solid line is the energy of the classical Coulomb barrier. The closed circles are the current work; the open circles are from Ref. 1.




FIG. 4. Magnitude of cross section peak as a function of Z. The closed circles are the current work; the open circles are from Ref. 1.

REF. B. Bulow, B. Johnsson, G.G. Jonsson, K. Lindgren, M. Nilsson,  
R. Petersson  
Z. Phys. A290, 393 (1979)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Au	197	79
REF. NO.		hg
79 Bu 9		

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
A - G,PI2N	ABY	THR-750	C	100-750	ACT-I		4PI
G,PI4N	ABY	THR-750	C	100-750	ACT-I		4PI
B - G,PI5N	ABY	THR-750	C	100-750	ACT-I		4PI

(A) PI IS PI-, ISOMER YLD

(B) PI IS PI-

The yields for some  $(\gamma, \pi^- xn)$ -reactions on  $^{197}\text{Au}$  have been measured from threshold to 750 MeV by use of the activation method. The experimental mean cross sections are compared to results obtained from other experiments on similar reactions and to cascade-evaporation calculations.




Table I. Experimental and calculated cross sections and calculated isomeric ratios for the  $(\gamma, \pi^- 2n)$  and  $(\gamma, \pi^- 4n)$  reactions

Product nucleus	Spin $m; g$	$\frac{\sigma_{II}}{\sigma_I}$ calc	$\sigma_{calc}$ ( $\mu\text{b}$ ) (400 MeV)	$\bar{\sigma}_{exp}$ ( $\mu\text{b}$ ) (200-750 MeV)
$^{195m}\text{Hg}$	+13/2;	$2.0 \pm 0.5$	$87 \pm 15$	$99 \pm 16$
	-1/2			
$^{195}\text{Hg}$	+13/2;	$2.0 \pm 0.5$	$157 \pm 22$	$160 \pm 16$
	-3/2			

Fig. 1A C. Measured yields as a function of the bremsstrahlung end-point energy for the following reactions: A  $^{197}\text{Au}(\gamma, \pi^- 2n)^{195m}\text{Hg}$ , B  $^{197}\text{Au}(\gamma, \pi^- 4n)^{195}\text{Hg}$ , C  $^{197}\text{Au}(\gamma, \pi^- 5n)^{193}\text{Hg}$

REF. A. G. Flowers, D. Brnaford, J. C. McGeorge, A. C. Shotter, P. Thorley  
C. H. Zimmerman, R. O. Owens, J. S. Pringle  
Phys. Rev. Lett. 43, 323 (1979)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Au	197	79
REF. NO.		hg
79F12		

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
E,A	SPC	UKN	D	120	MAG-D		DST

This paper presents energy spectra of  $\alpha$  particles emitted following the bombardment of  $^{27}\text{Al}$ ,  $^{nat}\text{Ni}$ ,  $^{92}\text{Mo}$ ,  $^{94}\text{Mo}$ , and  $^{197}\text{Au}$  with 120-MeV electrons, together with  $\alpha$ -particle angular distributions from  $^{197}\text{Au}$  and  $^{nat}\text{Ni}$  for  $E_\alpha = 30$  and 50 MeV. The data are compared with preequilibrium exciton-model and statistical-model calculations. It is concluded that few-step processes are dominant in the production of  $\alpha$  particles with energies above 20 MeV.

PREEQUILIB A EMISS




FIG. 1.  $\alpha$ -particle energy spectra at  $\theta_\alpha = 30^\circ$ , for  $E_e = 120$  MeV. Errors shown are the sum of statistical and systematic contributions. The solid lines are a guide to the eye.

TABLE I. Temperatures corresponding to the preequilibrium component of the  $(e, \alpha)$  reaction, derived from energy spectra at  $\theta_\alpha = 30^\circ$  for  $E_e = 120$  MeV.

Target	Temperature <sup>a</sup> (MeV)
$^{27}\text{Al}$	5.3
$^{nat}\text{Ni}$	5.5
$^{68}\text{Zn}$	5.4
$^{92}\text{Mo}$	5.6
$^{94}\text{Mo}$	5.4
$^{197}\text{Au}$	6.1

<sup>a</sup>Error is  $\pm 0.2$  MeV.




FIG. 2.  $\alpha$ -particle energy spectra at  $\theta_\alpha = 30^\circ$ , for  $E_e = 120$  MeV. The solid circles are experimental points. The solid lines are the results of preequilibrium exciton-model calculations and the dashed lines are the results of statistical calculations neglecting photon absorption above  $E_\gamma = 33$  MeV.

[over]




FIG. 3.  $\alpha$ -particle angular distributions at  $E_p = 120$  MeV for  $^{197}\text{Au}$  (shown as circles for  $E_\alpha = 30$  MeV and squares for  $E_\alpha = 50$  MeV) and  $^{208}\text{Ni}$  (shown as diamonds for  $E_\alpha = 20$  MeV and stars for  $E_\alpha = 50$  MeV). The solid lines are the result of simple kinematic calculations described in the text. The sum of statistical and systematic errors is shown where it exceeds the size of the points.

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Au	197	79
REF. NO.		hg
79Pr7		

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
E, JN	ABX	THR-147	D	37, 147	ACT-I		4PI

Abstract: Cross sections for the (e, xn) reaction on <sup>197</sup>Au have been measured for 1 ≤ x ≤ 12 at 37, 72, 124 and 147 MeV bombarding energies using activation methods. Data for x ≤ 2 are compared with cross sections estimated from published (γ, n) and (γ, 2n) data integrated over the virtual photon spectrum. Theoretical cross sections for x ≥ 4 were calculated by means of an intranuclear cascade model. Good agreement is found for x ≤ 7. The increasing discrepancy between experimental and theoretical cross sections for x ≥ 7 is discussed. No evidence is found for giant resonances in regions above the giant dipole resonance.

J=1 TO 12, VIR P ANAL

E NUCLEAR REACTIONS <sup>197</sup>Au(e, xn), E = 37, 147 MeV; measured and calculated cross sections: Ge(Li) detector.

TABLE I  
Experimental cross sections and decay data used

Isotope	γ-energy (keV)	Half-life	Transition probability P	Refs.	Cross sections (mb) at given bombarding energies (MeV)			
					37	72	124	147
<sup>196</sup> Au	333	6.18 d	2.38E-1	<sup>a)</sup>	4.89	6.24	5.08	5.83
<sup>196</sup> Au	356	6.18 d	8.8E-1	<sup>a)</sup>	5.48	7.32	4.45	5.45
<sup>196</sup> Au	426	6.18 d	6.5E-2	<sup>a)</sup>	4.89	6.30	6.69	5.78
<sup>195</sup> Au	99	183 d	1.2E-1	<sup>a)</sup>	0.744	1.040	0.930	1.086
<sup>194</sup> Au	329	39.5 h	5.913E-1	<sup>a)</sup>	0.112	0.325	0.303	0.319
<sup>194</sup> Au	365	39.5 h	1.43E-2	<sup>a)</sup>		0.312	0.311	
<sup>194</sup> Au	529	39.5 h	2.21E-2	<sup>a)</sup>		0.312	0.392	
<sup>194</sup> Au	1469	39.5 h	6.27E-2	<sup>a)</sup>	0.115	0.304	0.335	0.635
<sup>193</sup> Au	268	17.65 h	3.88E-2	<sup>a, 7)</sup>		0.108	0.139	0.163
<sup>193</sup> Au	439	17.65 h	1.91E-2	<sup>a, 7)</sup>		0.105	0.117	
<sup>192</sup> Au	308	5.0 h	4.69E-2	<sup>a, 9)</sup>		0.0385	0.0546	
<sup>192</sup> Au	316	5.0 h	7.9E-1	<sup>a, 9)</sup>		0.0329	0.0544	0.0562
<sup>192</sup> Au	613	5.0 h	5.91E-2	<sup>a, 9)</sup>		0.0292	0.0582	0.0741
<sup>191</sup> Au	479	3.2 h	(4.0E-2) <sup>a)</sup>	<sup>a)</sup>		0.0192		0.0753
<sup>191</sup> Au	586	3.2 h	(1.0E-1) <sup>a)</sup>	<sup>a)</sup>		0.0199	0.0464	0.0614
<sup>190</sup> Au	296	42.8 min	8.6E-1	<sup>a, 9)</sup>		0.00728	0.0172	0.0178
<sup>190</sup> Au	302	42.8 min	2.84E-1	<sup>a, 9)</sup>			0.0092	0.0117
<sup>190</sup> Au	598	42.8 min	1.07E-1	<sup>a, 9)</sup>			0.0204	0.0221
<sup>189m</sup> Au	167	4.6 min	(1) <sup>b)</sup>	<sup>7)</sup>			0.00832	0.00349
<sup>188</sup> Au	266	8.8 min	(1.0) <sup>a)</sup>	<sup>a, 7)</sup>			0.00215	0.00466
<sup>188</sup> Au	340	8.8 min	(2.27E-1) <sup>a)</sup>	<sup>a, 7)</sup>			0.00303	0.00564
<sup>188</sup> Au	606	8.8 min	(1.15E-1) <sup>a)</sup>	<sup>a, 7)</sup>			0.00365	0.00590
<sup>186</sup> Au	192	10.7 min	(1.0) <sup>a)</sup>	<sup>a, 7)</sup>			0.000261	0.001055
<sup>185</sup> Au	311	4.2 min	(1) <sup>b)</sup>	<sup>7)</sup>				0.000455

<sup>a)</sup> Relative transition probabilities.  
<sup>b)</sup> Assumed probability.




Fig. 1. Plot of cross sections for the <sup>197</sup>Au(γ, xn) reactions versus E<sub>γ</sub>. The total cross section curve includes all photoneuclear reaction channels.




Fig. 2. Comparison of calculated cross sections (solid line) with the measured cross sections for the production of Au isotopes (circles) versus  $A$  at  $E_e = 37 \text{ MeV}$ .




Fig. 3. Comparison of calculated cross sections (solid line) with the measured cross sections for the production of Au isotopes (circles) versus  $A$  at  $E_e = 72 \text{ MeV}$ .




Fig. 4. Comparison of calculated cross sections (solid line) with the measured cross sections for the production of Au isotopes (circles) versus  $A$  at  $E_e = 124 \text{ MeV}$ .




Fig. 5. Comparison of calculated cross sections (solid line) with the measured cross sections for the production of Au isotopes (circles) versus  $A$  at  $E_e = 147 \text{ MeV}$ .

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Au	197	79
REF. NO.		hg.
80 Ad 10		

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,P	ABY	5-500	C	500	TEL-D		DST
G,D	ABY	11-500	C	500	TEL-D		DST
G,T	ABY	11-500	C	500	TEL-D		DST
G,HE3	RLY	13-500	C	500	TEL-D		DST
G,A	ABY	0-500	C	500	TEL-D		DST

The energy and angular distributions of *p*, *d*, *t*, <sup>3</sup>He and <sup>4</sup>He from the three targets Cu, Ag and Au were measured at five different angles for bremsstrahlung with peak energy 500 MeV. The measurements were made using a telescope consisting of four surface-barrier detectors. The experimental data are compared with cascade-evaporation calculations. For the <sup>4</sup>He-distributions the calculations were extended to include the contribution from knock-out of surface alphas by the cascade nucleons. The comparison shows that the main contribution comes from evaporation but that there is a direct component of the order of 10%.




Fig. 2. Experimental proton angular (filled circles) and energy (solid histogram) distributions from the three targets Au, Ag and Cu compared with angular (solid line) and energy (dashed histogram) distributions obtained from cascade-evaporation calculations




Fig. 3. Deuteron distributions. See caption of Fig. 2

(OVER)






Fig. 4. Triton distributions. See caption of Fig. 2




Fig. 6. Comparison between different measurements of the yield ratios  ${}^3\text{He}/{}^4\text{He}$  and  ${}^3\text{H}/{}^4\text{He}$  as a function of massnumber

Table 2. Integrated yields, normalization factors and calculated direct contributions

Target	Reaction	$Y_{\text{int}}^{\text{exp}}$ (mb· eq. q)	Normali- zation factors	Calculated direct con- tribution in percent	GDR-con- tribution in percent
Au	( $\gamma, p$ )	21	0.42	9	—
	( $\gamma, d$ )	6	0.34	—	—
	( $\gamma, t$ )	3	0.48	—	—
	( $\gamma, \alpha$ )	10	0.66	8	—
Ag	( $\gamma, p$ )	44	0.86	11	5 (Ref. 21)
	( $\gamma, d$ )	6	0.86	—	—
	( $\gamma, t$ )	2	1.52	—	—
	( $\gamma, \alpha$ )	12	1.52	8	—
Cu	( $\gamma, p$ )	44	0.54	7	28 (Ref. 22)
	( $\gamma, d$ )	4	0.96	—	—
	( $\gamma, t$ )	1	2.10	—	—
	( $\gamma, \alpha$ )	8	1.22	9	10 (Ref. 23)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Au	197	79
REF. NO.		hg
81 Dz 5		

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,N	RLY	8-90	C	10-90	ACT-I		4PI
E,N	RLY	8-90	C	10-90	ACT-I		4PI

Isomeric ratios of the yields of the  $(\gamma, n)$  and  $(e, e' n)$  reactions have been measured by an activation method in the nuclei  $^{197}\text{Au}$  with formation of high-spin states ( $J^\pi = 12^-$ ) of  $^{196}\text{Au}$ . The dependence obtained for the isomeric ratio for  $(\gamma, n)$  reactions  $\bar{x}_\gamma$  on  $E_{\gamma, \text{max}}$  has the form of a saturation curve. In the saturation region the value is  $\bar{x}_\gamma = (6.1 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$ . Analysis of the dependence  $\bar{x}_\gamma(E_{\gamma, \text{max}})$  permits the hypothesis that for the  $(\gamma, n)$  reaction the formation of this state has a threshold  $\sim 12$  MeV. The isomeric ratios  $\bar{x}_\gamma$  for the  $(e, e' n)$  reaction exceed the values of  $\bar{x}_\gamma$ . This fact enabled us to conclude that there is an appreciable contribution to excitation of the high-spin state from the isovector quadrupole  $E2$  resonance, which is in good agreement with estimates based on a simple model. However, the principal role in population of the isomeric state apparently belongs to cascade  $\gamma$  transitions.

ISOMERIC YIELDS

PACS numbers: 25.20.+y, 27.70.+q, 25.30.Cg




FIG. 3. Relative values of isomeric ratios  $\bar{x}$  obtained in bombardment of samples by bremsstrahlung with various maximum energies  $E_{\gamma, \text{max}}$  (curve 1). Curves 2 and 3 were calculated on the assumption  $\bar{x} = \text{const}$  for  $E_m = 5.7$  and 12 MeV, respectively.




FIG. 5. Experimental values of isomeric ratios of the yields  $\gamma^m/\gamma^g$  for  $^{196}\text{Au}$  nuclei obtained in various reactions with various angular momenta introduced to the nucleus. Points:  $\bullet$ —data of Ref. 1,  $\triangle$ —our data.




FIG. 6.  $\gamma$  spectra obtained in bombardment of  $^{137}\text{Au}$  samples by electrons—spectrum 1, and by bremsstrahlung—spectrum 2 ( $E_0 = 59$  MeV). The insert is the result of subtraction of the spectra 1 and 2 in the region of the 147.9-keV peak after normalization of spectra 1 and 2 on the basis of the 355.7-keV lines.

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Au	197	79
REF. NO.		hg
81 Gu 2		

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G <sub>1</sub> MU-T	ABX	THR-20	C	27	NAI-D		4PI

**Abstract:** The curves of the total gamma-absorption cross sections ( $\sigma_{\text{tot}}$ ) in the E1 giant resonance energy range for the nuclei  $^{154}\text{Sm}$ ,  $^{156}\text{Gd}$ ,  $^{165}\text{Ho}$ ,  $^{168}\text{Er}$ ,  $^{174}\text{Yb}$ ,  $^{178}\text{Hf}$ ,  $^{180}\text{Hf}$ ,  $^{181}\text{Ta}$ ,  $^{182}\text{W}$ ,  $^{184}\text{W}$ ,  $^{186}\text{W}$  and  $^{197}\text{Au}$  have been measured using the absorption method. Parameters of the Lorentz curves fitting the measured cross sections  $\sigma_{\text{tot}}$  are given. Quadrupole moments ( $Q_0$ ) and nuclear deformation parameters ( $\beta$ ) were obtained.

For deformed nuclei in the  $\sim 155 < A < \sim 180$  region a violation of the correlation between giant resonance widths ( $\Gamma$ ) and nuclear deformation parameters was found.  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$ , the widths of the resonances corresponding to vibrations of nucleons along and across the nuclear deformation axis, were observed to decrease with the increase of  $A$  which could be accounted for by the presence of an  $N = 108$  subshell.

**NUCLEAR REACTIONS**  $^{154}\text{Sm}$ ,  $^{156}\text{Gd}$ ,  $^{165}\text{Ho}$ ,  $^{168}\text{Er}$ ,  $^{174}\text{Yb}$ ,  $^{178,180}\text{Hf}$ ,  $^{181}\text{Ta}$ ,  $^{182,184,186}\text{W}$ ,  $^{197}\text{Au}$  ( $\gamma, X$ ).  $E = 7-20$  MeV; measured total  $\sigma(E)$ ; deduced integrated  $\sigma$ , Lorentz line parameters,  $^{154}\text{Sm}$ ,  $^{156}\text{Gd}$ ,  $^{165}\text{Ho}$ ,  $^{168}\text{Er}$ ,  $^{174}\text{Yb}$ ,  $^{178,180}\text{Hf}$ ,  $^{181}\text{Ta}$ ,  $^{182,184,186}\text{W}$ ,  $^{197}\text{Au}$  deduced  $\beta$ ,  $Q_0$ ,  $\Gamma$ , giant resonance evolution. Enriched, natural targets.




Fig. 2. Total nuclear  $\gamma$ -absorption cross sections ( $\sigma_{\text{tot}}$ ) measured by the absorption method for  $^{154}\text{Sm}$ ,  $^{156}\text{Gd}$ ,  $^{165}\text{Ho}$ ,  $^{168}\text{Er}$ ,  $^{174}\text{Yb}$ ,  $^{178}\text{Hf}$ ,  $^{180}\text{Hf}$ ,  $^{181}\text{Ta}$ ,  $^{182}\text{W}$ ,  $^{184}\text{W}$ ,  $^{186}\text{W}$  and  $^{197}\text{Au}$ . Rms error bars are shown

(OVER)

TABLE 2  
Parameters of Lorentz curves fitting the experimental data on  $\sigma_{tot}$

Nucleus	$E_1$ (MeV)	$\sigma_1$ (mb)	$\Gamma_1$ (MeV)	$E_2$ (MeV)	$\sigma_2$ (mb)	$\Gamma_2$ (MeV)	$\frac{\sigma_2 \Gamma_2}{\sigma_1 \Gamma_1}$	$\Gamma$ (MeV)
$^{154}\text{Sm}$	12.2	188	3.4	15.7	207	5.7	1.85	8.1
$^{156}\text{Gd}$	12.3	206	3.2	15.7	220	5.5	1.81	7.7
$^{163}\text{Ho}$	12.3	202	2.3	15.2	239	4.8	2.47	7.0
$^{168}\text{Er}$	11.9	222	3.2	15.5	275	4.5	1.73	7.4
$^{174}\text{Yb}$	12.3	297	2.9	15.5	320	4.9	1.80	7.1
$^{178}\text{Hf}$	12.2	291	3.1	15.5	334	4.9	1.80	7.2
$^{180}\text{Hf}$	12.2	286	3.2	15.3	324	5.1	1.81	7.1
$^{181}\text{Ta}$	12.1	272	3.0	15.0	316	5.1	1.97	6.8
$^{182}\text{W}$	11.9	267	3.2	14.8	303	5.6	2.01	6.8
$^{184}\text{W}$	11.9	315	2.9	14.8	321	4.7	1.65	6.8
$^{186}\text{W}$	12.0	246	3.3	14.5	332	5.1	2.07	6.4
$^{197}\text{Au}$	13.7	535	5.2					
Average error	1.4 %	11.2 %	9.3 %	1.5 %	9.7 %	4.6 %	0.22	0.2 MeV

TABLE 3  
Ratios of nuclear ellipsoid axes ( $k$ ), deformation parameters ( $\beta$ ) and intrinsic quadrupole moments ( $Q_0$ ), calculated from  $E_2, E_1$

Nucleus	$^{154}\text{Sm}$	$^{156}\text{Gd}$	$^{163}\text{Ho}$	$^{168}\text{Er}$	$^{174}\text{Yb}$	$^{178}\text{Hf}$	$^{180}\text{Hf}$	$^{181}\text{Ta}$	$^{182}\text{W}$	$^{184}\text{W}$	$^{186}\text{W}$
$k$	1.320	1.302	1.259	1.327	1.289	1.296	1.281	1.263	1.271	1.268	1.229
$\beta$	0.326 $\pm 0.017$	0.309 $\pm 0.016$	0.266 $\pm 0.036$	0.334 $\pm 0.032$	0.296 $\pm 0.024$	0.303 $\pm 0.032$	0.288 $\pm 0.036$	0.270 $\pm 0.026$	0.278 $\pm 0.030$	0.274 $\pm 0.032$	0.235 $\pm 0.033$
$Q_0$	6.3 $\pm 0.3$	6.2 $\pm 0.3$	5.8 $\pm 0.8$	7.5 $\pm 0.7$	7.0 $\pm 0.6$	7.5 $\pm 0.8$	7.2 $\pm 0.9$	6.9 $\pm 0.7$	7.2 $\pm 0.8$	7.1 $\pm 0.8$	6.2 $\pm 0.9$

TABLE 4  
Integral characteristics of E1 giant resonance

Nucleus	$\sigma_{tot, exp}$ (MeV $\cdot$ b)	$\sigma_{0, exp}$ 0.06 $\sum Z^2 A$	$\sigma_{0L}$ (MeV $\cdot$ b)	$\sigma_{0I}$ 0.06 $\sum Z^2 A$	$\sigma_{-1}$ (mb)	$\sigma_{-1L}$ (mb)	$\sigma_{-1I} A^{-4/3}$ (mb)	$\sigma_{-2}$ (mb $\cdot$ MeV $^{-1}$ )	$\sigma_{-2L}$ (mb $\cdot$ MeV $^{-1}$ )	$\sigma_{-2I} A^{-5/3}$ ( $\mu\text{b}\cdot$ MeV $^{-1}$ )
$^{154}\text{Sm}$	$1.94 \pm 0.06$	0.87	2.86	1.29	$117 \pm 3.5$	156	0.189	$9.1 \pm 0.3$	14.3	3.23
$^{156}\text{Gd}$	$2.07 \pm 0.07$	0.91	2.95	1.30	$143 \pm 4.6$	163	0.194	$10.5 \pm 0.4$	14.9	3.30
$^{163}\text{Ho}$	$1.86 \pm 0.06$	0.78	2.53	1.06	$155 \pm 4.4$	160	0.177	$10.1 \pm 0.3$	12.6	2.54
$^{168}\text{Er}$	$2.24 \pm 0.06$	0.92	3.07	1.26	$161 \pm 4.3$	197	0.212	$12.0 \pm 0.3$	16.0	3.13
$^{174}\text{Yb}$	$2.69 \pm 0.05$	1.07	3.82	1.52	$195 \pm 3.4$	240	0.247	$14.5 \pm 0.3$	19.2	3.54
$^{178}\text{Hf}$	$2.85 \pm 0.07$	1.11	3.99	1.55	$208 \pm 4.9$	247	0.247	$15.3 \pm 0.4$	20.2	3.59
$^{180}\text{Hf}$	$2.72 \pm 0.06$	1.05	4.03	1.56	$200 \pm 4.4$	250	0.246	$15.1 \pm 0.3$	20.7	3.61
$^{181}\text{Ta}$	$2.84 \pm 0.07$	1.09	3.81	1.46	$210 \pm 5.3$	245	0.239	$16.0 \pm 0.4$	20.0	3.45
$^{182}\text{W}$	$2.86 \pm 0.07$	1.09	4.01	1.52	$211 \pm 5.3$	256	0.248	$16.2 \pm 0.4$	21.6	3.70
$^{184}\text{W}$	$2.78 \pm 0.07$	1.05	3.80	1.43	$207 \pm 5.3$	251	0.240	$15.9 \pm 0.4$	20.9	3.51
$^{186}\text{W}$	$2.90 \pm 0.07$	1.08	3.95	1.48	$214 \pm 5.3$	256	0.241	$16.2 \pm 0.4$	21.6	3.56
$^{197}\text{Au}$	$3.12 \pm 0.06$	1.10	4.37	1.54	$229 \pm 4.2$	276	0.241	$18.6 \pm 0.4$	23.3	3.49

## MERCURY

Z=80

"It is a fluid but does not moisten, and runs about, though it has no feet"<sup>1</sup>

A well known metallic element and the only common metal that is liquid at room temperature. The ancient Chinese and Hindus knew of this metal and it has been found in Egyptian tombs of 1500 B. C. The term *mercurius* was first used by alchemists in the 6th century. They used the symbol for the planet Mercury to represent the metal which, because of its mobil form and color, was called quicksilver. The symbol Hg is derived from the Latin *hydrargyrum*, meaning liquid silver.

Hg

1) Waite, A. E., "The Hermetic and Alchemical Writings of ... Paracelsus the Great," James Elliott and Co., London, 1894, Vol.1, pp. 136, 254-5, 314.

Hg



REF.

K. G. McNeill  
Phil. Mag. 46, 321 (1955)

ELEM. SYM.

A

Z

Hg

80

METHOD

REF. NO.

55 Mc 1

EGF

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,XN	RLY	THR - 22	C	22	NAI-I		90

Target element	Counts in 30 minutes per 1000 monitor counts	22 mev yield mol/r relative to copper	Yield mol/r $\times 10^{-6}$
Cu	$288 \pm 15$	1.0	3.2
Cd	$647 \pm 28$	$4.1 \pm 0.3$	13
Hg	$661 \pm 26$	$9.5 \pm 0.9$	30
Pb	$470 \pm 17$	$8.4 \pm 0.5$	27

Elem. Sym.	A	Z
Hg		80

Method	Li (p, $\gamma$ ) source, 480 kev protons.	Ref. No.	56 Ha 1	EGF
--------	--	----------	---------	-----

Reaction	E or $\Delta E$	$E_0$	$\Gamma$	$\int \sigma dE$	$J\pi$	Notes
( $\gamma, xn$ )						Average Li cross section is <u>365</u> mb; cross section with detector response weighted for low energy neutrons, <u>340</u> . Assumed ratio 17.6/14.8 = 1.7. <sup>4b</sup> Calculated cross section at 14.8 and 17.6 MeV assuming cross section curves measured at Pennsylvania and Saskatchewan (refer Table I).

TABLE I. Cross sections for photoneutron emission induced by the lithium gamma rays. The results are compared with previous data.

Element	Present cross-section data		Data of McDaniel et al. <sup>4</sup>	Beta-tron data					
	Counter Group A	Counter Group B		Pennsylvania		Saskatchewan			
				$\sigma_{14.8}$ <sup>a</sup>	$\sigma_{17.6}$ <sup>a</sup>	$\sigma_{14.8}$ <sup>b</sup>	$\sigma_{17.6}$ <sup>b</sup>		
<sup>56</sup> Fe	38 mb	33 mb	37 mb						
<sup>58</sup> Fe	40	49	47	60 <sup>c</sup> mb	0.5	(4) <sup>d</sup> mb	0.5	23 mb	47 mb
<sup>59</sup> Co	23	25	23			95 <sup>e</sup>	0.5	30	60
<sup>60</sup> Ni	23	25	23			40 <sup>e</sup>	0.7	22	32
<sup>63</sup> Ni	64	61	53 ± 12			95 <sup>e</sup>	0.6	45	75
<sup>64</sup> Cu	48	45	48			90 <sup>f</sup>	0.7	38	54
<sup>66</sup> Zn	175	170	135			240 <sup>f</sup>	1.0	175	175
<sup>68</sup> Zn	200	190	180						
<sup>71</sup> Ta	355	360	260	350 <sup>g</sup>	1.3	420 <sup>g</sup>	2.3	420 <sup>g</sup>	320 <sup>g</sup>
<sup>73</sup> W	365	355	325					550 <sup>g</sup>	240 <sup>g</sup>
<sup>75</sup> W	330	295		315 <sup>g</sup>	1.7	450 <sup>g</sup>	1.9	460	255
<sup>79</sup> Au	365	340	290						
<sup>81</sup> Pb	310	295	250	520 <sup>g</sup>	1.6	440 <sup>g</sup>	2.5	400 <sup>g</sup>	250 <sup>g</sup>
<sup>83</sup> Pb								500 <sup>g</sup>	200 <sup>g</sup>
<sup>85</sup> Bi	305	280	250	270 <sup>g</sup>	2.6	550 <sup>g</sup>	2.4	490	195

<sup>a</sup> See reference 3.  
<sup>b</sup> Average of 14.8- and 17.6-Mev cross sections weighted with relative intensities of the lithium gamma-ray lines.  
<sup>c</sup> See reference 24.  
<sup>d</sup> R. Nathans, Ph.D. thesis, University of Pennsylvania, 1954 (unpublished).  
<sup>e</sup> J. Halpern (private communication).  
<sup>f</sup> See reference 23.  
<sup>g</sup> See reference 22.  
<sup>h</sup> Generate cross sections at 14.8 and 17.6 Mev as obtained from Group A data and 14.8/17.6 beta-tron cross-section ratios.  
<sup>i</sup> Obtained using 14.8/17.6 cross-section ratio from Pennsylvania beta-tron data.  
<sup>j</sup> Obtained using 14.8/17.6 cross-section ratio from Saskatchewan beta-tron data.



Method	Ref. No.
$\gamma$ 's from $F^{19}(p,\alpha\gamma)$ reaction; protons from VandeGraaff; NaI	60 Re 1

JHH

Reaction	E or $\Delta E$	$E_0$	$\Gamma$	$\int \sigma dE$	$J\pi$	Notes
$(\gamma,\gamma)$	$E_p = 2.05$	$\sim 7$			1	$\langle \bar{J} \rangle = 3.5 \pm 0.4$ mb D (Average level spacing based on J): $0.94 \pm 0.62$ kev $\bar{\Gamma}_{\gamma_0} / \bar{\Gamma}_{\gamma} = 0.07 \pm 0.03$ $\bar{\Gamma}_{\gamma} = 3.0 \pm 1.5$ eV $\bar{\Gamma}_{\gamma_0} = 0.2 \pm 0.1$ eV

METHOD					REF. NO.		
Betatron; fast neutron yield, angular distribution; Si threshold detector; ion chamber					61 Ba 2		
					NVE		
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, XN	ABY	THR-22	C	22	THR-I	5-+	DST

In Table 4:

$\bar{\sigma}$  = average cross section of detector weighted with neutron spectrum

$\phi$  = neutrons/100 roentgen; mole

$$W(\theta) = a_0 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [1 + A_n P_n(\cos \theta)]$$

TABLE IV

I Element	II $a_0$	III $a_1$	IV $a_2$	V $(\bar{\sigma}\phi) \times 10^{10}$	VI $\phi_{total} (22 \text{ Mev}) \times 10^9$	VII $\phi_{fast}/\phi_{total}$
Vanadium	245 (1±0.06)	0.01±0.08	-0.00±0.10	6.05	0.21	0.12
Chromium	164 (1±0.03)	0.04±0.04	-0.05±0.05	4.05	0.17	0.10
Manganese	308 (1±0.02)	0.07±0.03	-0.09±0.04	7.61	0.25	0.12
Iron	200 (1±0.03)	0.05±0.04	-0.17±0.05	4.94	0.18	0.11
Cobalt	390 (1±0.02)	0.08±0.03	-0.22±0.04	9.63	0.26	0.15
Nickel	145 (1±0.05)	0.07±0.07	-0.23±0.09	3.58	0.12	0.12
Copper	347 (1±0.02)	0.05±0.03	-0.29±0.04	8.57	0.30	0.12
Arsenic	482 (1±0.03)	0.11±0.04	-0.24±0.05	11.91	0.33	0.15
Rubidium	638 (1±0.05)	0.13±0.06	-0.14±0.08	15.76		
Strontium	409 (1±0.05)	0.10±0.03	-0.17±0.08	10.10		
Yttrium	290 (1±0.10)	0.08±0.12	-0.12±0.15	7.16		
Silver	590 (1±0.04)	0.10±0.06	-0.22±0.08	14.57	0.87	0.07
Cadmium	905 (1±0.02)	0.02±0.02	-0.26±0.03	22.35		
Iodine	1133 (1±0.03)	0.04±0.04	-0.29±0.05	27.99	1.42	0.08
Barium	1048 (1±0.04)	0.10±0.06	-0.38±0.08	25.89		
Lanthanum	1595 (1±0.02)	0.02±0.03	-0.42±0.04	39.40	1.04	0.15
Cerium	1316 (1±0.05)	0.05±0.06	-0.39±0.08	32.50		
Dysprosium	1652 (1±0.03)	0.04±0.10	-0.34±0.13	40.80		
Tantalum	1558 (1±0.02)	0.04±0.03	-0.22±0.04	38.48	2.50	0.06
Tungsten	1365 (1±0.02)	-0.07±0.03	-0.24±0.04	33.71		
Mercury	1345 (1±0.02)	0.04±0.03	-0.31±0.04	33.22		
Lead	2274 (1±0.01)	0.02±0.02	-0.42±0.03	56.17	2.72	0.08
Bismuth	2162 (1±0.02)	0.05±0.03	-0.45±0.04	53.40	3.36	0.06
Thorium	3031 (1±0.04)	0.06±0.05	-0.32±0.07	74.87		
Uranium	4630 (1±0.02)	0.05±0.03	-0.17±0.04	114.36		

\* $(\bar{\sigma}\phi) = 2.47 \times 10^9$  mb-neutrons. Errors are standard errors due to counting statistics only.

Ref. G. Ben-David (Davis); B. Huebschmann  
 Phys. Letters 3, 87 (1962)

Elem. Sym.	A	Z
Hg		80

Method (n,γ) reaction; NaI(Tl)	Ref. No. 62 Be 2	BG
-----------------------------------	---------------------	----

Reaction	E or ΔE	E <sub>0</sub>	Γ	∫σdE	Jπ	Notes
Hg(γ,γ)	5.44 - 8.997	5.44				<p><u>σ<sub>t</sub></u>                      <u>γ source</u></p> <p>55 mb                      Hg</p> <p>Detector at 135°.</p> <p>This is not self resonance; no connection between the nuclear levels responsible for γ emission and level causing resonance.</p>

REF.

H.H. Fleischmann  
Ann. Physik 12, 133 (1963)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Hg		80
REF. NO.		
63 Fl 1		NVB



METHOD  
Radioactive source; photon scattering; NaI spectrometer

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,G	LFT	2-6	D	2-6	NAI-D		

Peak at 5.4 MeV

G-WIDTH

$$\Gamma_0 = 0.15 \pm 0.03 \text{ eV}$$

Abb. 3. Streuspektren an Hg bzw. Pb mit Hg-Quelle (Absorber 27,3 g/cm<sup>2</sup> PbO)Abb. 4. Differenz der Impulsspektren.  
— x — bei verschiedenen Streuern HgO bzw. PbO (gemittelt über HgO- und PbO-Absorber von je 27,3 g—cm<sup>2</sup>), — o — Streuer Hg, Differenz bei verschiedenen Absorbern (wieder je 27,3 g/cm<sup>2</sup> HgO bzw. PbO), — + — Streuer Pb, Differenz bei verschiedenen Absorbern (wieder je 27,3 g/cm<sup>2</sup> HgO bzw. PbO)

METHOD

Linac; isomer yield; activity

REF. NO.

63 Ka 2

NVB

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,G/	RLY	1 (0.16, 0.37)	C	6	ACT-I		4PI

Table II. The isomers observed

Isomer	Observed value		Referenced value <sup>(1)(2)</sup>	
	Half-life	Energy (MeV)	Half-life	Energy (MeV)
Se-77m	17.5 sec	0.160	17.5 sec	0.161
Br-79m	4.80 sec	0.209	4.3 sec	0.208
Sr-87m	2.3 hr	0.390	2.3 hr	0.388
Y-89m	15.0 sec	0.920	14 sec	0.915
Rh-103m	53 min	*	57 min	0.040
Ag-107m	42 sec	0.95	44 sec	0.094
Ag-109m			40 sec	0.088
Cd-111m	47 min	0.150, 0.255	49 min	0.150, 0.247
In-115m	4.5 hr	0.335	4.5 hr	0.335
Sn-117m	17 day	0.160	14 day	0.159, 0.161
Ba-137m	2.6 min	0.660	2.6 min	0.662
Er-167m	2.10 sec	0.209	2.5 sec	0.208
Hf-179m	18.5 sec	0.157, 0.215	19 sec	0.161, 0.217
W-183m	5.4 sec	0.200, 0.170, 0.115	5.5 sec	0.1025, 0.2915 others
Ir-191m	4.90 sec	0.129, <0.07	4.9 sec	0.042-0.129
Pt-195m	4.5 day	0.065**	4.1 day	0.031-0.130
Au-197m	7.0 sec	0.10, 0.27, 0.40	7.2 sec	0.130, 0.270, 0.407
Hg-199m	43 min	0.160, 0.370	42 min	0.153, 0.368

\* This isomer was measured with a G-M flow counter.

\*\* This value corresponds to Pt-K X-ray energy.

Table III. Induced activation data

Element	Beam energy (MeV)	Counting rate ( $\times 10000$ cpm)	Sample form
Se	5	1300	metallic plate
Br	4	1600	NaBr grain
Sr	6	0.3	SrCO <sub>3</sub> powder
Y	5	90	metallic grain
Rh	5	(0.2)*	RhCl <sub>3</sub> grain
Ag	5	180	metallic plate
Cd	6	0.5	CdCl <sub>2</sub> grain
In	6	8	metallic plate
Sn	5	0.0005	metallic plate
Ba	5	0.6	BaS powder
Er	4	4900	Er <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> powder
Hf	5	1600	metallic plate
W	5	120	metallic powder
Ir	5	2100	metallic powder
Pt	5	0.3	metallic plate
Au	4	4300	metallic plate
Hg	6	0.09	metallic liquid

\* The value measured with a G-M flow counter.

A. Veres

Acta Phys. Acad. Sci. Hung. 16, 261-73 (1963)

Hg

80

## METHOD

Radioactive source

## REF. NO.

63 Ve 2

NVB

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,G/	ABX	0-1	D	0-1	NAI-D		

ISOMERS

Таблица II

Измеренные значения после облучения, сравниваемые с другими литературными данными

Элемент	Активность облучения после первого измерения (имп/мин.)	Активн. экстрп. в конце оолуч. (имп/мин.)	Литературные данные		Данные измерений		$\sigma_{\text{м}}$ ( $10^{-28}\text{см}^2$ )	$\Gamma_{\text{м}}$ ( $10^{-28}$ )
			$T_{1/2}$	E (кэв)	$T_{1/2}$	E (кэв)		
Se-77m	3842 ± 96	5400	17,5 сек.	160	18,1 ± 1 сек.	160 ± 10	9,5	1,75
Sr-87m	191 ± 5	200	2,8 ч.	390	2,9 ± 0,1 ч.	365 ± 25	0,85	0,2
Y-89m	96 ± 20	170	16 сек.	910	16,7 ± 5 сек.		0,08	0,02
Rh-103m	28 ± 5	31	57 мин.	40	58 ± 2 мин.	20,5 ± 0,5	0,08	0,01
Ag-107m	220 ± 14	250	44 сек.	93	43,8 ± 0,6 сек.	91 ± 10	0,8	0,2
Ag-109m			39 сек.	88				
Hf-179m	80 ± 18	155	19 сек.	160; 215	19 ± 2 сек.		1	0,2
Ir-191m	90 ± 20	250	4,9 сек.	42; 130	5 ± 2 сек.		5,6	1
Pt-195m	90 ± 9	100	3,5 д.	31; 100; 130;	3,5 ± 0,2 д.	32 ± 3 67,5 ± 5 96 ± 5 130 ± 10	0,2	0,04
Au-197m	240 ± 16	520	7,2 сек.	130; 277; 407	7,2 ± 1 сек.	68:130: 280 ± 20 390 ± 20	0,07	0,01
Hg-199m	9,6 ± 3,2		42 мин.	160; 370			0,005	0,001

Acta Phys. Hung. Tom. XVI. Fasc. 3.

Elem. Sym.	A	Z
Hg		80
Ref. No.		JHH
200 kW pool reactor; monoergic $\gamma$ 's from (n, $\gamma$ ) in Mn, Fe and Cu; NaI.		63 Yo 1

Reaction	E or $\Delta E$	$E_0$	$\Gamma$	$\int \sigma dE$	$J\pi$	Notes
( $\gamma, \gamma$ )	6.0-8.2					Measured $\sigma$ (elastic scattering) values in Table II; interpolated to 7 MeV in Table V.  In Figure 5, $W(\theta) = 1 + (0.9 \pm 0.1) \cos^2 \theta$




Fig. 5. Relative yield of 7.285-MeV  $\gamma$  rays versus  $\cos^2 \theta$ , where  $\theta$  is the angle between the incident beam and the scattered  $\gamma$  rays. The points are for angles greater than  $90^\circ$ , the x's are for angles less than  $90^\circ$ .

TABLE II. Total elastic scattering cross sections (mb).

Source element	Energy interval (MeV)	Source energy (MeV)	Target thickness in cm			
			Ta(1.3)	Hg(3)	Pb(0.6)	Bi(1.3)
Tl	5.0-7.0	6.41 6.75			$0.6 \pm 0.4$	
Mn	6.0-7.5	7.26 7.15 7.05	$<0.3$	$0.5 \pm 0.3$	$0.9 \pm 0.5$	$0.8 \pm 0.4$
Fe	6.0-7.6	7.64 7.28	$0.7 \pm 0.4$	$2.4 \pm 1.3$	$125 \pm 20^*$	$2.0 \pm 1.1$
Cu	7.6-8.2	7.91	$<0.2$	$<0.4$	$<0.2$	$<0.2$

\* Calculated using the intensity of 7.64-MeV  $\gamma$  rays produced by neutron capture in iron.

TABLE IV. Survey of scattering experiments.

Source element	Target			
	Ta	Hg	Pb	Bi
Bromine	5	6	9	4
Manganese	5	12	10	5
Iron	1	...	2	1
Copper	4	10	11	4
Zinc	3	5	6	3
Silver	...	...	10	...
Lead	...	...	3	1
Titanium	...	...	15	6
Total each target	18	33	66	24
Total			141	

<sup>1</sup> E. G. Fuller and Evans Hayward, Phys. Rev. 101, 692 (1956); Nucl. Phys. 33, 431 (1962).

<sup>2</sup> K. Riebal and A. K. Mann, Phys. Rev. 118, 701 (1960).

<sup>3</sup> Tsutomu Tohei, Masumi Sugawara, Shigeki Mori, and Motohara Kimura, J. Phys. Soc. Japan 16, 1657 (1961).

<sup>4</sup> P. Axel, K. Min, N. Stein, and D. C. Sutton, Phys. Rev. Letters 10, 299 (1963).

B. Arad (Huebschmann), G. Ben-David (Davis), I. Pelah,  
Y. Schlesinger  
Phys. Rev. 133, B684-700 (1964)

ELEM. SYM.

A

Z

Hg

80

METHOD

Reactor, (n, $\gamma$ ) reactions source

REF. NO.

64 Ar 1

NVB

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, $\gamma$	ABX	5	D	5	NAI-D		135
		(5.44)		(5.44)			

TABLE III. Effective cross sections.

$\gamma$ source	Energy (MeV)	Element	Protons	Scatterer		$\langle\sigma_{\gamma\gamma}\rangle$ (mb)	Notes
					Neutrons		
Hg	5.44	Hg	80	116, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122, 124		128	
Cl	6.12	Pr <sup>141</sup>	59	82		103	a
V	6.508	Sn	50	62, 64-70, 72		14	
Co	6.690	Pr <sup>141</sup>	59	82		2.7	a
Co	6.867	Nd	60	82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 88		22	
Al	6.98	Pb <sup>208</sup>	82	126		2900	b
Cl	6.98	Pb	82	124, 125, 126		346	a
Ti	6.996	Bi <sup>209</sup>	83	126		1560	b
Cu	7.01	Sn	50	62, 64-70, 72		1000	b
Ti	7.149	Pb <sup>208</sup>	82	126		1000	b
Co	7.201	Pb <sup>208</sup>	82	126		25	
Mn	7.261	Pb <sup>208</sup>	82	126		25	a
Fe	7.285	Pb <sup>208</sup>	82	126		4100	a
V	7.305	Pb <sup>208</sup>	82	126		12.5	
Hg	7.32	Pb	82	124, 125, 126		5500	c
Fe	7.639	Ni	28	30, 32, 34, 36		10.5	d
Fe	7.639	Pr <sup>141</sup>	59	82		10	
Cr	8.499	Cu	29	34, 36		24.4	
Cr	8.881	Pr <sup>141</sup>	59	82		9.3	
Ni	8.997	Sm	62	82, 85-88, 90, 92		2.8	

\* A large error could be introduced in the cross-section values because of large differences in line intensities quoted by Bartholomew and Higgs and by Groshev *et al.* (Ref. 6).

<sup>b</sup> Because of the low counting rate, thick scatterers were used, which will introduce a systematic error in estimating  $\langle\sigma_{\gamma\gamma}\rangle$  for resonances having a high nuclear cross section.

<sup>c</sup> The cross section was evaluated assuming the gamma intensity to be 0.02 photons per 100 captured neutrons (see text).

<sup>d</sup> Reference 6 gives the 7.639 line of iron capture gamma rays as a single line. However, a recent paper by Fiebiger, Kand, and Segel [Phys. Rev. 125, 2031 (1962)] reports two different lines of equal intensities having energies of 7.647 and 7.633 MeV. The present experiment cannot resolve an energy difference of 14 keV, therefore, there is no possibility of deciding which line is responsible for the scattering.



METHOD

REF. NO.

Nuclear Resonance Scattering using N,G reactions.

66 Be 3

JDM

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,G	RLX	5 - 10	D	5 - 10	NAI-D	5 - 10	135




FIG. 3. Histogram of distribution of observed resonances among the different targets. The atomic number is given directly beneath the chemical symbol followed by the neutron numbers of the naturally occurring isotopes. Magic numbers are shown in brackets.

TABLE III. List of effective cross sections.

Scatterer	Energy (MeV)	Gamma source	$\sigma$ (mb)	Scatterer	Energy (MeV)	Gamma source	$\sigma$ (mb)
Sm <sup>144</sup>	8.997	Ni	100	Sn	7.01	Cu	110
Pr <sup>144</sup>	8.881	Cr	9	Nd	6.867	Co	30
La	8.532	Ni	6	Pr <sup>144</sup>	6.867	Co	3
Te	8.532	Ni	3 <sup>a</sup>	Te	6.7	Ni	...
Cu	8.499	Cr	24	La	6.54	Ag	12
Zr	8.496	Se	3050	Cd	6.474	Co	110
Zn	8.119	Ni	13	Mo	6.44	Hg	25 <sup>c</sup>
Se	7.817	Ni	50	La	6.413	Ti	72
Se	7.76	K	90	Mo	6.413	Ti	10
Sb	7.67	V	...	Tl	6.413	Ti	25
Cd	7.64	Fe	40 <sup>b</sup>	W	6.3	Ti	...
Ni	7.64	Fe	7 <sup>a</sup>	Sb	6.31	Hg	6 <sup>a</sup>
Pr <sup>144</sup>	7.64	Fe	12 <sup>a</sup>	Tl	6.31	Hg	2 <sup>a</sup>
La	7.634	Cu	7	Pb <sup>208</sup>	6.15	Gd	...
Mo	7.634	Cu	11	Te	5.8	Ni	...
Bi <sup>209</sup>	7.634	Cu	4	La	6.12	Cl	35
Te	7.528	Ni	66 <sup>d</sup>	Pr <sup>144</sup>	6.12	Cl	110
Bi <sup>209</sup>	7.416	Se	100	Pt	5.99	Hg	40 <sup>e</sup>
Bi <sup>209</sup>	7.300	As	80 <sup>a</sup>	Pt	5.99	Hg	5 <sup>e</sup>
Pb <sup>208</sup>	7.285	Fe	4100	Pb <sup>208</sup>	5.9	Sr	...
Cl	7.285	Fe	34	Ce	5.646	Co	17
Pr <sup>144</sup>	7.185	Se	80	Bi <sup>209</sup>	5.646	Co	55
Tl	7.16	Cu	120	Pb <sup>208</sup>	5.53	Ag	70
La	7.15	Mn	50	Hg	5.44	Hg	75 <sup>a</sup>
Bi <sup>209</sup>	7.149	Ti	2000	Hg	4.903	Co	385

<sup>a</sup> High-energy component of a complex spectrum.  
<sup>b</sup> A broad scattered spectrum with no observable peak structure.  
<sup>c</sup> There are actually two lines of energies 7.647 and 7.633 MeV having equal intensities in the iron capture gamma spectrum. The cross section has therefore been corrected, although there is no possibility at present of deciding which line is responsible for each resonance.  
<sup>d</sup> Is probably an independent level in the complex spectrum of Ni  $\gamma$  rays on Te.  
<sup>e</sup> Rough estimate.  
<sup>f</sup> May be inelastic component from 7.528 level in Te.  
<sup>g</sup> The relative line intensities in this case are due to Grobsev and co-workers.  
<sup>h</sup> No line is known for the source at this energy.  
<sup>i</sup> Difficult to resolve among the many source lines present at this energy.

S. Ramchandran and J. A. McIntyre  
 Phys. Rev. 179, 1153 (1969)

Hg

80

METHOD			REF. NO.				
			69 Ra 1				
			hmg				
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,G	NOX	5	D	5	NAI		DST

$$W(\theta) \sim [1 + \alpha P_2(\cos\theta)]$$

$$5 = 4.906 \text{ MEV}$$

[over]

TABLE II. Experiment compared to theory assuming a pure dipole transition.

${}^{90}\text{Zr}$	Cd	Sn	Hg	$\alpha \pm \Delta\alpha$ (Experimental)			${}^{209}\text{Bi}$ (7.416 MeV)	${}^{209}\text{Bi}$ (7.149 MeV)	$A_1^2(J_0, J_1, L=1)$ (Theoretical)	$J_0$	$J_1$
				${}^{207}\text{Tl}$	${}^{208}\text{Pb}$	${}^{208}\text{Pb}$					
$0.489 \pm 0.027$	$0.488 \pm 0.034$	$0.490 \pm 0.095$	$0.48 \pm 0.11$	$0.485 \pm 0.026$				0.500	0	1	
	$0.488 \pm 0.034$	$0.490 \pm 0.095$	$0.48 \pm 0.11$	$0.0017 \pm 0.0110$				0.000	1/2	1/2	
	$0.488 \pm 0.034$	$0.490 \pm 0.095$	$0.48 \pm 0.11$	$0.0017 \pm 0.0110$				0.250	1/2	3/2	
			$0.48 \pm 0.11$					0.000	3/2	1/2	
			$0.48 \pm 0.11$					0.160	3/2	3/2	
			$0.48 \pm 0.11$					0.140	3/2	5/2	
				$0.195 \pm 0.033$	$0.184 \pm 0.074$	$0.024$	$0.194$	0.024	9/2	7/2	
				$0.195 \pm 0.033$	$0.184 \pm 0.074$			0.194	9/2	9/2	
				$0.195 \pm 0.033$	$0.184 \pm 0.074$	$0.083$		0.083	9/2	11/2	

TABLE V. Summary of energy-level parameters.

Element	${}^{90}\text{Zr}$	Cd	Sn	Hg	${}^{207}\text{Tl}$	${}^{208}\text{Pb}$	${}^{209}\text{Bi}$	${}^{209}\text{Bi}$
Level energy (MeV)	8.496 <sup>a</sup>	6.485 <sup>b</sup>	6.988 <sup>c</sup>	4.906 <sup>b</sup>	7.647 <sup>d</sup>	7.277 <sup>e</sup>	7.416 <sup>f</sup>	7.149 <sup>g</sup>
$\gamma$ ray source	Se 0 $\rightarrow$ 1	Co 0 $\rightarrow$ 1	Cu 0 $\rightarrow$ 1	Co 0 $\rightarrow$ 1	Fe 1/2 $\rightarrow$ 1/2	Fe 0 $\rightarrow$ 1	Se 9/2 $\rightarrow$ 7/2	Tl 9/2 $\rightarrow$ 7/2
$J_0 \rightarrow J_1$		(1/2 $\rightarrow$ 3/2)	(1/2 $\rightarrow$ 3/2)	(1/2 $\rightarrow$ 5/2)	(1/2 $\rightarrow$ 3/2)	(1/2 $\rightarrow$ 3/2)	9/2 $\rightarrow$ 11/2	9/2 $\rightarrow$ 11/2
$I_0/I_1$	$0.8 \pm 0.2$				$0.85 \pm 0.17^i$	$0.95_{\pm 0.10}^{j,k}$	$0.6 \pm 0.2$	
$I_2$ (eV)	$1.68 \pm 0.02$				1.0 <sup>l</sup>	$0.68 \pm 0.03$	$0.14 \pm 0.09$	
$\epsilon$ (eV)	$5.60 \pm 0.15$				$11.5 \pm 0.2^i$	$8.00 \pm 0.14$	$3.4 \pm 1.6$	

<sup>a</sup>L. V. Groshev, V. N. Lutsenko, A. M. Demidov, and V. I. Polekov, *Atlas of Gamma Spectra from Radioisotope Capture of Thermal Neutrons* (Pergamon Press, Inc., New York, 1959).

<sup>b</sup>E. R. Sierra and D. W. Halemeister, *Phys. Rev.* **150**, 894 (1966).

<sup>c</sup>H. H. Boforin (private communication from L. M. Bollinger).

<sup>d</sup>R. March and G. Ben-Yasov, Nuclear Research Center—Neger Report, NRCC-180, 1967, (unpublished).

<sup>e</sup>L. V. Groshev, A. M. Demidov, G. A. Korolnikov, and V. N. Lutsenko, *Nucl. Phys.* **58**, 465 (1964); G. T. Ewan and A. J. Tervandale, *Nucl. Instr. Methods* **26**, 183 (1964).

<sup>f</sup>See Ref. 24a.

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G.F	ABY,	THR-999	C	300-999	FRAG-I		4PI

999 = 1000 MEV




Fig. 1. - Photoabsorption cross-sections per equivalent quantum  $\sigma_q$  against the maximum energy of photons. Au:  $\circ$  ref. (\*),  $\square$  ref. (\*),  $\bullet$  our results; Hg:  $\bullet$  our results; Tl:  $\Delta$  ref. (\*),  $\blacktriangle$  our results. The dashed curves represent the fits calculated by the least-squares method taking into account our results only.




Fig. 2. -  $\sigma_q$ -values, deduced in our present and previous (\*) experiments, reported vs. the atomic number  $Z$  of the elements for only some energy values.

(over)

TABLE I. - Fission cross-sections per photon between 300 and 1000 MeV.

	$\sigma_f$ (mb)	
	Our results	Previous results
Bi	$7.6 \pm 0.2$	$7.8 \pm 0.6$ (*) <span style="margin-left: 2em;"><math>7.8 \pm 0.8</math> (†)</span>
Pb	$3.3 \pm 0.1$	$3.4 \pm 0.3$ (*)
Tl	$1.9 \pm 0.1$	$2.1 \pm 0.2$ (*)
Hg	$1.5 \pm 0.1$	
Au	$1.19 \pm 0.06$	$1.25 \pm 0.1$ (*)




Fig. 3. - Fission cross-section per photon  $\sigma_f$  of Tl, Hg and Au against  $E_{\gamma_{max}}$ . The dashed straight lines represent the values  $\sigma_f = \text{const}$ , calculated as suggested in ref. (\*).




Fig. 4. -  $\sigma_f$ -values vs.  $Z^2/A$  for the elements with atomic number in the range from 72 to 83: • our results, □ ref. (\*), ○ ref. (†). The dashed curves is drawn by eye.

- (\*) V. EMMA, S. LO NUOVO and C. MILONE: *Bull. S.I.F.*, No. 70, 119 (1970).
- (†) V. EMMA, S. LO NUOVO and C. MILONE: *Lett. Nuovo Cimento*, 3, 542 (1970).
- (\*) V. EMMA, S. LO NUOVO and C. MILONE: *Lett. Nuovo Cimento*, 2, 117 (1971).
- (\*) J. JONHEIMAN and H. M. SPINER: *Phys. Rev.*, 106, 585 (1957).
- (\*) H. G. DE CARVALLO, G. COITINI, E. DEL GIUDICE, G. POTENZA and H. BENZIVILLI: *Nuovo Cimento*, 32, 293 (1964).
- (\*) A. V. MITROPANOVA, YU. N. BARYUK and P. V. SOBOLEV: *Sov. Journ. Nucl. Phys.*, 6, 512 (1966).

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Hg		80
REF. NO.		egf
73 Me 1		

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,G	NOX	5	D	5	SCD-D		135

5 = 4.924

TABLE 1  
Resonant energy results

$E_{\gamma}^a)$ (MeV)	$I_{\gamma}^a)$	$E_{\gamma}^b)$ (MeV)	$I_{\gamma}^b)$	$E'_{\gamma}$ (present work) (MeV)	$E'_{\gamma}^c)$ (MeV)
$5.646 \pm 0.006$	8	$5.608 \pm 0.005$	1.3	$5.609 \pm 0.005$	5.646
		$5.643 \pm 0.005$	1.0		
		$5.663 \pm 0.005$	5.4		
$4.903 \pm 0.008$	3	$4.890 \pm 0.005$	0.9	$4.924 \pm 0.005$	4.903
		$4.908 \pm 0.005$	1.1		
		$4.924 \pm 0.005$	0.65		

a) Co(n, $\gamma$ ) from ref. <sup>3</sup>).b) Co(n, $\gamma$ ) from ref. <sup>4</sup>).c) Ref. <sup>1</sup>).

TABLE 2  
Effective cross-section results

Target	$\langle \sigma_{\gamma\gamma} \rangle^a)$ (mb)	$\langle \sigma_{\gamma\gamma} \rangle^b)$ (mb)	$\langle \sigma_{\gamma\gamma} \rangle^c)$ (mb)
<sup>209</sup> Bi	$55 \pm 20\%$	338	$348 \pm 20\%$
<sup>201</sup> Hg	$385 \pm 20\%$	1777	not measured

a) Ref. <sup>1</sup>).b) Value from ref. <sup>1</sup>) adjusted using the intensity from ref. <sup>4</sup>), consistent with the resonance energy from the present work.

c) Present work.

<sup>1</sup>G. Ben-David, B. Arad, J. Balderman & Y. Schlesinger,  
Phys. Rev. 146, 852 (1966).

<sup>4</sup>Nucl. Data Tables A3, (1967). 1966 data.

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Hg		80
METHOD		REF. NO.
		74 Ha 4
		hmg

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
\$ G, G	ABX	15	D	15	NAI-D		90
		(15.1)		(15.1)			

POL PHOTONS

TABLE I. Results.

Target	$d\sigma^{\parallel}/d\Omega_P$ (Arbitrary units)	$d\sigma^{\perp}/d\Omega_P$	$\eta_P$	$\eta$	$\eta$ (DCM)
Cd	0.042 ± 0.028	0.39 ± 0.05	0.11 ± 0.07	0.09 ± 0.07	0.19
In <sup>a</sup>	0.026 ± 0.020	0.54 ± 0.04	0.05 ± 0.04	0.03 ± 0.04	0.19
Sn	0.084 ± 0.036	0.65 ± 0.06	0.13 ± 0.06	0.11 ± 0.06	0.07
Sb <sup>a</sup>	0.14 ± 0.030	0.77 ± 0.05	0.18 ± 0.05	0.16 ± 0.05	
Nd <sup>a</sup>	0.14 ± 0.07	1.03 ± 0.10	0.14 ± 0.07	0.12 ± 0.07	
Ta	0.24 ± 0.10	1.47 ± 0.14	0.16 ± 0.07	0.14 ± 0.07	0.20
W	0.52 ± 0.10	1.66 ± 0.12	0.31 ± 0.07	0.29 ± 0.07	0.20
Pt	0.23 ± 0.08	1.94 ± 0.13	0.12 ± 0.04	0.10 ± 0.04	0.08
Au	0.39 ± 0.11	2.08 ± 0.15	0.19 ± 0.06	0.17 ± 0.06	0.07
Hg <sup>a</sup>	0.33 ± 0.09	2.16 ± 0.15	0.15 ± 0.04	0.13 ± 0.04	0.03
Pb <sup>a</sup>	0.19 ± 0.14	2.42 ± 0.19	0.08 ± 0.06	0.06 ± 0.06	0
Bi	0.10 ± 0.15	2.65 ± 0.26	0.04 ± 0.06	0.02 ± 0.06	0
Th <sup>a</sup>	0.31 ± 0.12	2.26 ± 0.19	0.14 ± 0.05	0.12 ± 0.05	0.07
U <sup>a</sup>	0.21 ± 0.11	2.38 ± 0.19	0.09 ± 0.05	0.07 ± 0.05	0.08

<sup>a</sup> Data not previously reported.

TABLE II. Comparison with Saclay data.

Target	$ A_0 ^2$ This experiment (Arbitrary units)	$ A_0 ^2$ Saclay (mb)	Ratio
Cd	0.337 ± 0.058	0.508	0.663 ± 0.114
In <sup>a</sup>	0.507 ± 0.046	0.591	0.859 ± 0.078
Sn	0.550 ± 0.072	0.822	0.669 ± 0.096
Sb <sup>a</sup>	0.590 ± 0.061	0.794	0.743 ± 0.077
Nd <sup>a</sup>	0.837 ± 0.100	1.170	0.715 ± 0.086
Ta	1.19 ± 0.18	1.88	0.633 ± 0.096
W	1.05 ± 0.17	2.05	0.512 ± 0.083
Pt	1.67 ± 0.16	2.70	0.619 ± 0.059
Au	1.62 ± 0.20	2.92	0.555 ± 0.068
Hg <sup>a</sup>	2.16 ± 0.20	3.29	0.540 ± 0.060
Pb <sup>a</sup>	2.20 ± 0.27	3.43	0.641 ± 0.078
Bi	2.53 ± 0.31	3.43	0.737 ± 0.090
Th <sup>a</sup>	1.89 ± 0.22	2.73	0.692 ± 0.080
U <sup>a</sup>	2.13 ± 0.22	2.93	0.754 ± 0.077
			0.656 ± 0.021

<sup>a</sup> Data not previously reported.

REF. A. Veyssiere, H. Beil, R. Bergere, P. Carlos, A. Lepretre,  
A. De Miniac  
J. Phys. Lett. 36, L267 (1975)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Hg		80
REF. NO.		
75 Ve 5		egf

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,N*	ABX	8- 22	D	8- 22	MOD-I		4PI
G,2N**	ABX	13- 22	D	8- 22	MOD-I		4PI

Abstract. — Partial photoneutron cross-sections [ $\sigma(\gamma, n) + \sigma(\gamma, pn)$ ], and  $\sigma(\gamma, 2n)$  of W, Re, Ir, Pt and Hg were measured by means of monochromatic photons of  $8 \text{ MeV} \leq E \leq 22 \text{ MeV}$  so as to study the giant resonance. The experimentally observed evolution of the shape of the GDR, as one proceeds from permanently deformed prolate nuclei (W and Re) towards oblate or even triaxial gamma unstable nuclei (Pt), corresponds to the theoretical predictions of the dynamic collective model.

\*1032+  
\*\*1033




FIG. 1. — Sections efficaces partielles  $\sigma(\gamma, n) + \sigma(\gamma, pn)$  des noyaux W, Re, Ir, Pt, Hg.




FIG. 2. — Sections efficaces partielles  $\sigma(\gamma, 2n)$  des noyaux W, Re, Ir, Pt, Hg.

(over)






Fig. 3. — Sections efficaces totales  $\sigma_T(E)$  des noyaux W, Re, Ir, Pt, Hg.

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,G	ABX	4- 7 (4.5-6.2)	D	4-7 4.6-6.8	NAI-D		135

Average elastic photon scattering cross sections were measured for <sup>209</sup>Bi, <sup>208</sup>Pb, <sup>207</sup>Pb, <sup>206</sup>Pb, Tl and Hg at excitation energies between 4.5 MeV and the neutron emission threshold, with an energy resolution in the range between 50 and 150 keV. This resolution was sufficient to determine the strengths of most of the strong levels in this energy region for <sup>208</sup>Pb; there are concentrations of strength in a few levels near 5.5 and 7 MeV with the sum of  $B(E1) \uparrow$  values equal to about 0.84 and 0.65  $e^2 fm^2$ , respectively; each of these two groups of levels corresponds to only about 0.63% of the electric dipole sum rule. In the neighboring isotopes, approximately the same amount of strength is distributed among many more energy levels; although this strength is spread in energy more than it is in <sup>208</sup>Pb, it remains relatively localized.




FIG. 8. Natural Hg: See caption of Fig. 4.




FIG. 10. Hg: inferred total photon absorption cross section.




FIG. 12. Comparison of the measured cross sections of, respectively, from the top, <sup>208</sup>Pb, <sup>206</sup>Pb, <sup>207</sup>Pb, <sup>209</sup>Bi, Tl, and Hg.

TABLE VI. Transition strength comparison at 5.5 and 7 MeV.

Nucleus	5.0-6.0 MeV		6.5-7.5 MeV	
	$\int \sigma_{\gamma\gamma} dE$ (MeV mb)	% <sup>208</sup> Pb strength	$\int \sigma_{\gamma\gamma} dE$ (MeV mb)	% <sup>208</sup> Pb strength
Bi	10.4	68%	19.7	44%
<sup>208</sup> Pb	15.2	100%	24.4	100%
<sup>207</sup> Pb	12.6	83%	...	...
<sup>206</sup> Pb	15.8	104%	20.2	83%
Tl	8.3	55%	7.8	32%
Hg	11.6	76%	...	...

TABLE IV. Parameters used in inferring  $\bar{\sigma}_{\gamma\gamma}$  from  $\bar{\sigma}_{\gamma\gamma}$ . (Level spacing is assumed to be of the form  $D\alpha e^{-E/T}$ .)

Nucleus	$D_0$ (eV)	$E_0$ (MeV)	$T$ (MeV)	$\Gamma_c$ (eV)	Ref.
Hg	83	8.03	0.86	0.12	15,22,23
Tl	430	6.54	0.90	0.12	15,22,23

<sup>15</sup>G.A. Bartholomew, E.D. Earle, A.J. Ferguson, J.W. Knowles, and M.A. Lone, in *Advances in Nuclear Physics*, edited by M. Baranger and E. Vogt (Plenum, New York, 1973), Vol. 7, p. 229.

<sup>22</sup>J.E. Lynn, *The Theory of Neutron Resonance Reactions* (Clarendon, Oxford, 1968)

<sup>23</sup>H. Molecky, *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* 13, 133 (1971).

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Hg		80
REF. NO.		egf
81 Sc 6		

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,G	ABX	2-7		2-7	SCD-D		90

2.60-6.76 MEV

Elastic scattering by nuclei in the range of mass numbers between 64 and 238 has been studied with monochromatic photons in the energy range between 2 and 8 MeV. These photons were provided either by a  $Ti(n,\gamma)$  source installed in the tangential through channel of the Grenoble high flux reactor, or by  $^{24}Na$  and  $^{56}Co$  sources produced by deuteron bombardment of Al or Fe at the Göttingen cyclotron. The photoexcitation of 23 nuclear levels has been observed and the decay properties and groundstate widths of the majority of these levels have been determined. For the lead scattering target the coherent elastic differential cross section has been studied in detail. There is evidence that below the photo-neutron threshold the elastic scattering via virtual photoexcitation of the nucleus can be approximated by extrapolating the real part of the Giant Dipole Resonance amplitude along a Lorentzian curve. Coulomb corrections to Delbrück scattering seem to play a small role at 6.5 MeV.

Table I. Differential cross sections for elastic scattering ( $d\sigma/d\Omega$ )<sup>exp</sup> of photons from  $^{56}Co$  and  $^{24}Na$  sources by different scattering targets, in units of  $\mu b/sr$ . Errors in the last digits are given in parentheses.

$\theta$ deg	Scattering targets	2.599 <sup>a</sup> (MeV)	2.754 <sup>b</sup> (MeV)	3.010 <sup>a</sup> (MeV)	3.202 <sup>a</sup> (MeV)	3.254 <sup>a</sup> (MeV)	3.273 <sup>a</sup> (MeV)	3.452 <sup>a</sup> (MeV)
90	$^{238}U$	52.7(25)	57.5(25) <sup>c</sup>	56(16)	47(4)	456 (10) <sup>c</sup>	34(6)	49(14)
	$^{209}Bi$	33.1(30)	32 (2)	33(11)	32(4)	25.6(20)	29(6)	33(15)
	$^{208}Pb$	31.5(23)	31.0(16)	35 (8)	27(3)	26.6(22)	25(4)	23 (8)
	$^{205}Tl$	31.5(33)	-	27(12)	32(5)	24 (3)	22(7)	34(15)
	$^{201}Hg$	30.0(27)	-	24(10)	28(5)	25.5(18)	26(8)	20 (8)
	$^{187}W$	22.5(11)	-	17 (7)	19(3)	18.4(15)	18(5)	21 (6)
	$^{181}Ta$	20.0(15)	19.2 (6)	193(20) <sup>c</sup>	20(4)	17.3(21)	18(5)	21 (8)
	$^{163}Ho$	15.9(13)	-	17(10)	13(6)	15.6(20)	18(8)	-
	$^{141}Nd$	11.4 (7)	14.2 (5) <sup>d</sup>	15 (7)	14(3)	24.2(12) <sup>d</sup>	13(3)	9 (6)
	$^{137}Ce$	11.1 (9)	11.0 (5)	-	11(3)	9.5(13)	8(4)	-
	$^{127}J$	8.4(10)	8.6 (5)	-	9(2)	7 (1)	5(3)	-
	120	$^{238}U$	55.1(25)	64 (4) <sup>c</sup>	43(15)	55(5)	574 (10) <sup>c</sup>	48(5)
$^{181}Ta$		27.5(15)	25.0 (9)	227(20) <sup>c</sup>	22(5)	21 (2)	22(8)	-
$^{141}Nd$		17.9(30)	17.0 (9) <sup>d</sup>	-	-	29.8(47) <sup>d</sup>	-	-

<sup>a</sup>  $^{56}Co$  source in Fe lattice      <sup>b</sup>  $^{24}Na$  source in Al lattice (part of data have been published elsewhere)  
<sup>c</sup> Transitions to excited states observed in addition to the ground-state transition  
<sup>d</sup> Photoexcitation of nuclear level identified from the size of the differential cross section

(OVER)


**Table 2.** Elastic differential cross sections  $d\sigma/d\Omega$  ( $\Theta=90^\circ$ ) in  $\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$  measured with the  $\text{Ti}(n,\gamma)$  source and compared with theoretical predictions.  $n$ : predicted number of levels in a  $\Delta E=25$  eV interval at 6.5 MeV. Errors in the last digits are given in parentheses

Scattering target	6.418 MeV		6.555 MeV		6.759 MeV		7.168 MeV		$n$
	exp.	th.	exp.	th.	exp.	th.	exp.	th.	
$^{238}\text{U}$	23 (12)	10.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	45
$^{209}\text{Bi}$	-	-	219(39) <sup>b,c</sup>	8.0	12 (4)	7.4	$1.5(3) \cdot 10^3$ <sup>b,c</sup>	5.7	0.1
$^{208}\text{Pb}$	7.0(15)	8.6	-	-	6.5(11)	7.4	-	-	0.05
$^{205}\text{Tl}$	2,586 (92) <sup>a,c</sup>	7.5	-	-	13 (3) <sup>b</sup>	6.0	-	-	0.4
$^{201}\text{Hg}$	12 (3)	7.8	74(17) <sup>b</sup>	6.5	6.7(15)	6.4	-	-	3.4
$^{208}\text{W}$	159 (10) <sup>a,c</sup>	6.6	306(33) <sup>a,c</sup>	6.3	20 (2) <sup>a,c</sup>	5.6	-	-	13
$^{181}\text{Ta}$	68 (4) <sup>a,c</sup>	6.3	-	-	10.1(12) <sup>b,c</sup>	5.3	-	-	28
$^{165}\text{Ho}$	15 (3) <sup>b</sup>	4.7	-	-	9.5(14) <sup>b</sup>	3.9	-	-	18
$^{141}\text{Ce}$	4.1(21)	4.1	-	-	17 (1) <sup>b,c</sup>	3.6	-	-	0.04
$^{124}\text{Sn}$	4.2(13)	3.0	-	-	2.5 (5)	2.7	-	-	1.9
$^{121}\text{Mo}$	1,474 (44) <sup>a,c</sup>	2.5	407(39) <sup>a,c</sup>	2.5	8.5(15) <sup>b,c</sup>	2.3	817(258) <sup>b,c</sup>	2.0	0.5
$^{64}\text{Zn}$	2.4 (8)	1.6	-	-	1.8 (5)	1.5	-	-	0.3

<sup>a</sup> Transitions to excited states observed

<sup>b</sup> Photoexcitation identified from size of differential cross section

<sup>c</sup> Photoexcitation reported in [11]



**Fig. 9.** Differential cross sections for elastic scattering of photons by (A)  $^{209}\text{Bi}$ , (B)  $^{nat}\text{Tl}$  and (C)  $^{nat}\text{Hg}$  through  $\Theta=90^\circ$ . Solid lines: calculated including R, T, lowest-order D, and N (Lorentzian shape) scattering



HG  
A=198

HG  
A=198

HG  
A=198





Elem. Sym.	A	Z
Hg	198	80
Ref. No.		JHH
62 Ca 1		

30 MeV electron synchrotron; activation; NaI

Reaction	E or ΔE	E <sub>0</sub>	Γ	∫ σ dE	Jπ	Notes
Hg <sup>198</sup> (γ, n)	Bremss. 30					

TABLE 1  
Isomeric ratios from (γ, n) reactions

Target nucleus	J <sub>0</sub>	Residual nucleus			Isomer ratio Y <sub>1</sub> /(Y <sub>1</sub> +Y <sub>2</sub> )
		Ground state		Inter- mediate state	
		Spin	Half-life	Spin	
Co <sup>59</sup>	3/2-	Co <sup>59</sup> 2+	71.3 d	5- 9.2 h	0.44±0.02
Co <sup>58</sup>	0+	Co <sup>58</sup> 1/2-	82 min	1/2- 49 s	0.48±0.07
Br <sup>81</sup>	1/2-	Br <sup>81</sup> 1+	18 min	5- 4.4 h	0.32±0.02
Se <sup>82</sup>	0+	Se <sup>82</sup> 3/2-	64 d	1/2- 70 min	0.36±0.07
Zr <sup>90</sup>	0-	Zr <sup>90</sup> 3/2-	79 h	1/2- 4.4 min	0.33±0.10
Mo <sup>92</sup>	0-	Mo <sup>92</sup> 3/2-	15.7 min	1/2- 66 s	0.46±0.04
Ag <sup>107</sup>	1/2-	Ag <sup>106</sup> 1+	24 min	0 5.3 d	0.04±0.02
In <sup>113</sup>	1/2+	In <sup>112</sup> 1+	14.5 min	4+ 29.7 min	0.8±0.1
Cd <sup>116</sup>	0-	Cd <sup>115</sup> 1/2-	53 h	4- 43 d	≤ 0.2
Cd <sup>116</sup>	0-	Cd <sup>115</sup> 1/2-	140 d	4- 55 s	0.08±0.01
Hg <sup>198</sup>	0-	Hg <sup>197</sup> 1/2-	65 h	1/2- 24 h	0.05±0.01

Ref.	Previous work				
Br <sup>81</sup> 10)	1/2-	Br <sup>81</sup> 1+	18 min	5- 4.4 h	2- 0.33
Se <sup>82</sup> 13)	0-	Se <sup>81</sup> 1/2-	18 min	1/2- 57 min	0.5
Zr <sup>90</sup> 11)	0-	Zr <sup>90</sup> 3/2-	79 h	1/2- 4.3 min	0.44±0.06
In <sup>113</sup> 22)	1/2+	In <sup>112</sup> 1+	72 s	5- 50 d	0.85

The yields Y<sub>1</sub> and Y<sub>2</sub> are for (γ, n) reactions ending in the isomeric- or ground-state. Y<sub>1</sub> is for the higher-spin state.

References

- 1) J. R. Huizenga and R. Vandend Bosch, Phys. Rev. **120** (1960) 1305
- 2) T. Ericson, Advances in Physics **9** (1960) 425
- 3) D. L. Allan, Nuclear Physics **24** (1961) 274
- 4) C. T. Hibdon, Phys. Rev. **114** (1959) 170
- 5) C. T. Hibdon, Phys. Rev. **122** (1961) 1235
- 6) T. Ericson, Nuclear Physics **11** (1959) 481
- 7) J. H. Carver, and G. A. Jones, Nuclear Physics **19** (1960) 184
- 8) A. C. Douglas and N. MacDonald, Nuclear Physics **13** (1959) 382
- 9) T. Ericson and V. M. Scrimin-Ki, Nuclear Physics **8** (1958) 284
- 10) L. Katz, L. Pease and H. Moody, Can. J. Phys. **30** (1952) 476
- 11) L. Katz, R. G. Baker and R. Montalbetti, Can. J. Phys. **31** (1953) 250
- 12) E. Silva and J. Goldemberg, An Acad. Brasil. Cienc. **28** (1956) 275
- 13) J. H. Carver and D. C. Peaslee, Phys. Rev. **120** (1960) 2155
- 14) J. M. Blatt and V. F. Weisskopf, Theoretical nuclear physics (John Wiley, New York, 1952)
- 15) S. H. Vegors, L. L. Marsden and R. L. Heath, U. S. Atomic Energy Commission Rep. IDO-16370 (1958)
- 16) Nuclear Data Sheets (National Research Council, Washington, 1960) sets 1-5 inclusive
- 17) R. Vandend Bosch and J. R. Huizenga, Phys. Rev. **120** (1960) 1313
- 18) E. Weigold and R. N. Glover, Nuclear Physics **32** (1962) 196
- 19) K. J. Le Couteur and D. W. Lang, Nuclear Physics **13** (1959) 32
- 20) T. D. Newton, Can. J. Phys. **34** (1956) 804
- 21) D. W. Lang, Nuclear Physics **26** (1961) 434
- 22) M. E. Rose, Internal conversion coefficients (North Holland Publ. Co., Amsterdam, 1955)
- 23) J. Goldemberg and L. Katz, Phys. Rev. **90** (1953) 308

REF. E. Friedland, H.R. Lemmer  
Z. Physik 174, 507 (1963)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Hg	198	80

METHOD	Radioactive source; photon scattering; NaI spectrometer	REF. NO.	63 Fr 2	NVB
--------	---	----------	---------	-----

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,G	ABX	1 (412 keV)	D	1 (412 keV)	NAI-D	1 (412 keV)	DST

LFT

$\frac{W(120^\circ)}{W(160^\circ)} = 0.37 \pm 0.05$ , consistent with 0 - 2 - 0 transition.

Tabelle 1

Streuwinkel	Zunahme der elastischen Streuung
120°	3.80 ± 0.26%
160°	9.97 ± 1.04%

Tabelle 2

Streuwinkel	$\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial \Omega}$ in mb/sterad	$\sigma$ in mb	$r_T \cdot 10^{11}$ sec
120°	(3.10 ± 0.21)	65.1 ± 4.5	5.11 ± 0.35
160°	8.35 ± 0.87	59.6 ± 6.1	5.58 ± 0.58

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Hg	198	80

METHOD			REF. NO.		hg		
			78 Ma 10				
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,N	ABY	8-68	C	30-68	ACT - L		4PI

Analysis is made of reactions interfering with photon activation analysis procedures.

TO HG-197M

The activation yield curves have been presented for a number of photonuclear reactions in the energy range from 30 to 68 MeV, in order to evaluate quantitatively the interferences due to competing reactions in multielement photon activation analysis. The general features of the yields as functions of both target mass number and excitation energy were elucidated from the data obtained, discussion being given on the results in terms of the reaction mechanism.

Simultaneous neutron activation due to appreciable neutron production from the converter and surrounding materials has also been studied, and, finally, the magnitudes of interferences in real multielement analysis were given in the form of their energy dependences.




Fig. 2. Yield per equivalent quanta versus target neutron number.




Fig. 8. Activation yield curves for the reactions on Pb, Tl and Hg.  
 □  $^{204}\text{Hg}(\gamma, n)^{203}\text{Hg}$ ,      ◇  $^{198}\text{Hg}(\gamma, n)^{197}\text{Hg}$ ,  
 ▀  $^{198}\text{Hg}(\gamma, n)^{197\text{m}}\text{Hg}$ ,    ▶  $^{199}\text{Hg}(\gamma, p)^{198}\text{Au}$ ,  
 ▽  $^{204}\text{Pb}(\gamma, n)^{203}\text{Pb}$ ,      ▼  $^{204}\text{Pb}(\gamma, 2n)^{202\text{m}}\text{Pb}$ ,  
 △  $^{204}\text{Pb}(\gamma, 3n)^{201}\text{Pb}$ ,     ○  $^{203}\text{Tl}(\gamma, n)^{202}\text{Tl}$ ,  
 ●  $^{203}\text{Tl}(\gamma, 2n)^{201}\text{Tl}$ ,     ●  $^{203}\text{Tl}(\gamma, 3n)^{200}\text{Tl}$ .

(over)




Fig. 9. Yields of the  $(\gamma, n)$  reactions as a function of bremsstrahlung maximum energy and target mass number. The numerical values in the figure are yields per equivalent quanta in mb.

Hg  
A=199

Hg  
A=199

Hg  
A=199



V. Knapp  
 Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) A70, 142 (1957)

Hg	199	80
----	-----	----

METHOD				REF. NO.		EGF	
				57 Kn 1			
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,G	LFT	1	D	1	SCI-D	0-1	90
		209 keV					

High speed rotor technique

Lifetime of 209 keV state  $(7.3 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-11}$  second

METHOD				REF. NO.			
Betatron; neutron threshold; ion chamber				60 Ge 3		NVB	
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,N	NØX	THR	C	THR	BF3-I		4 PI

THRESHOLD

TABLE I. Summary and comparison of neutron separation energies inferred from present threshold measurements with values predicted from mass data and reaction energies. All energies are expressed in the center-of-mass system in Mev.

Reaction	No. runs	Present results	Other results	Method	Reference
Hg <sup>199</sup> (γ,n)Hg <sup>198</sup>	1	6.59 ± 0.09	6.680 ± 0.011 6.68 ± 0.06	mass data mass data	r q

\* W. H. Johnson, Jr., and V. B. Bhanot, Phys. Rev. 107, 6 (1957).  
 \* J. L. Benson, R. A. Damerow, and R. R. Ries, Phys. Rev. 113, 1103 (1959).




ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Hg	199	80
REF. NO.		
68 Ok 3		egf

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, P	ABY	THR-20	C	20	ACT- I		4PI

TABLE I. SUMMARY OF DATA ON ( $\gamma, p$ ) REACTIONS WITH 20 MeV BREMSTRAHLUNG

Nuclide		$S_p$ (MeV)	Observed $\gamma$ -ray			Yield determined	
Parent (Natural abundance, %)	Residual (Half-life)		Energy (MeV)	Branching ratio (%)	Type of multipole transition	$\mu\text{Ci}/\text{mg}^a$	Yield/mol-R
$^{24}\text{Mg}$ (10.11)	$^{24}\text{Na}$ (15 hr)	12.06	1.37	100	E2	$1.48 \times 10^{-1}$	$1.7 \times 10^3$
$^{28}\text{Si}$ (4.71)	$^{28}\text{Al}$ (2.27 min)	12.33	1.78	100	E2	1.91	$2.8 \times 10^3$
$^{30}\text{Si}$ (3.12)	$^{30}\text{Al}$ (6.56 min)	13.59	1.28	93.8	E2+M1	$6.51 \times 10^{-1}$	$1.5 \times 10^3$
$^{40}\text{Ca}$ (2.06)	$^{40}\text{K}$ (22.4 hr)	12.17	0.374	85	E2+M1	$7.86 \times 10^{-2}$	$1.3 \times 10^3$
$^{47}\text{Ti}$ (7.32)	$^{47}\text{Sc}$ (84.1 d)	10.47	0.887	100	E2	$7.11 \times 10^{-2}$	$3.1 \times 10^3$
$^{48}\text{Ti}$ (73.99)	$^{48}\text{Sc}$ (3.4 d)	11.44	0.160	100	E2+M1	$6.83 \times 10^{-2}$	$1.2 \times 10^3$
$^{49}\text{Ti}$ (5.46)	$^{49}\text{Sc}$ (1.8 d)	11.35	1.31	100	E2	$4.40 \times 10^{-2}$	$5.8 \times 10^4$
$^{52}\text{Cr}$ (9.55)	$^{52}\text{V}$ (3.8 min)	11.15	1.43	100	E2	$5.01 \times 10^{-1}$	$6.6 \times 10^4$
$^{56}\text{Fe}$ (2.17)	$^{56}\text{Mn}$ (2.58 hr)	10.57	1.81	23.5	E2+M1	$8.10 \times 10^{-2}$	$2.1 \times 10^3$
$^{76}\text{Ge}$ (36.74)	$^{76}\text{Ga}$ (4.8 hr)	10.92	0.295	97	(E2)	$3.70 \times 10^{-1}$	$1.3 \times 10^3$
$^{77}\text{Se}$ (7.58)	$^{77}\text{As}$ (26.5 hr)	9.61	0.559	41	E2	$1.48 \times 10^{-2}$	$1.3 \times 10^3$
$^{87}\text{Sr}$ (7.02)	$^{87}\text{Rb}$ (19 d)	9.41	1.08	9	E2	$5.15 \times 10^{-2}$	$9.9 \times 10^4$
$^{112}\text{Cd}$ (12.26)	$^{112}\text{Ag}$ (3.2 hr)	9.74	1.39	35	E2	$1.91 \times 10^{-2}$	$2.1 \times 10^4$
$^{117}\text{Sn}$ (7.57)	$^{117}\text{In}$ (54 min)	9.58	1.27	84	E2	$9.80 \times 10^{-3}$	$6.9 \times 10^3$
$^{137}\text{Ba}$ (11.32)	$^{137}\text{Cs}$ (13 d)	8.67	0.830	100	E2	$1.68 \times 10^{-2}$	$2.2 \times 10^4$
$^{199}\text{Hg}$ (16.84)	$^{199}\text{Au}$ (2.7 d)	7.27	0.412	100	E2	$8.43 \times 10^{-2}$	$2.2 \times 10^4$

a) The value corrected at the end of 1 hr irradiation ( $9.4 \times 10^4$  R/min).Fig. 2. The yield curve for the ( $\gamma, p$ ) reaction with 20 MeV bremsstrahlung.

METHOD			REF. NO.				
			69 Bo 3		egf		
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,G	ABX	0-2	C	0-2	ACT-I		4PI

6 LEVELS

TABLEAU 5  
 Energie des niveaux excités, sections efficaces intégrées et  $u = g\Gamma_e\Gamma_{\alpha}/\Gamma$  pour  $^{199}\text{Hg}$

$E$ (keV)	$\sigma(\mu\text{b} \cdot \text{MeV})$	$u(\text{eV})$
$1000 \pm 20$	$1.1^{+2}_{-0.4} \times 10^{-5}$	$2.7^{+5}_{-1} \times 10^{-9}$
$1340 \pm 10$	$5.1^{+10}_{-2} \times 10^{-4}$	$2.3^{+4.5}_{-0.9} \times 10^{-7}$
$1380 \pm 10$	$2.2^{+3.8}_{-0.7} \times 10^{-3}$	$1.1^{+1.9}_{-0.3} \times 10^{-6}$
$1420 \pm 10$	$7.9^{+13.6}_{-2.8} \times 10^{-3}$	$4.3^{+7.5}_{-1.5} \times 10^{-6}$
$1530^{+20}_{-10}$	$1.2^{+2.1}_{-0.3} \times 10^{-1}$	$7.7^{+13}_{-2.1} \times 10^{-5}$
$1700 \pm 20$	$2^{+4.9}_{-1.2} \times 10^{-1}$	$1.6^{+3.3}_{-1} \times 10^{-4}$

Indirect Activation of  $43m$  534 keV level by .5-2.0 MeV bremsstrahlung.

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Hg	199	80

METHOD				REF. NO.		hg	
				78 Ma 10			
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,P	ABY	7-68	C	30-68	ACT - I		4PI

Analysis is made of reactions interfering with photon activation analysis procedures.

The activation yield curves have been presented for a number of photonuclear reactions in the energy range from 30 to 68 MeV, in order to evaluate quantitatively the interferences due to competing reactions in multielement photon activation analysis. The general features of the yields as functions of both target mass number and excitation energy were elucidated from the data obtained, discussion being given on the results in terms of the reaction mechanism.

Simultaneous neutron activation due to appreciable neutron production from the converter and surrounding materials has also been studied, and, finally, the magnitudes of interferences in real multielement analysis were given in the form of their energy dependences.




Fig. 2. Yield per equivalent quanta versus target neutron number.




Fig. 8. Activation yield curves for the reactions on Pb, Tl and Hg.

- $^{204}\text{Hg}(\gamma, n)^{203}\text{Hg}$
- ▣  $^{198}\text{Hg}(\gamma, n)^{197\text{m}}\text{Hg}$
- ▽  $^{204}\text{Pb}(\gamma, n)^{203}\text{Pb}$
- △  $^{204}\text{Pb}(\gamma, 3n)^{201}\text{Pb}$
- $^{203}\text{Tl}(\gamma, 2n)^{201}\text{Tl}$
- ◇  $^{198}\text{Hg}(\gamma, n)^{197\text{g}}\text{Hg}$
- ◐  $^{199}\text{Hg}(\gamma, p)^{198}\text{Au}$
- ▼  $^{204}\text{Pb}(\gamma, 2n)^{202\text{m}}\text{Pb}$
- $^{203}\text{Tl}(\gamma, n)^{202}\text{Tl}$
- $^{203}\text{Tl}(\gamma, 3n)^{200}\text{Tl}$

(over)




Fig. 10. Yields of the  $(\gamma, p)$  reactions as a function of bremsstrahlung maximum energy and target mass number. The numerical values in the figure are yields per equivalent quanta in mb.

Hg  
A=201

Hg  
A=201

Hg  
A=201



METHOD				REF. NO.			
Betatron; neutron threshold; ion chamber				60 Ge 3		NVB	
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, N	NØX	THR	C	THR	BF3-I		4 PI

THRESHOLD

TABLE I. Summary and comparison of neutron separation energies inferred from present threshold measurements with values predicted from mass data and reaction energies. All energies are expressed in the center-of-mass system in Mev.

Reaction	No. runs	Present results	Other results	Method	Reference
$Hg^{201}(\gamma, n)Hg^{200}$	1	$6.21 \pm 0.07$	$6.234 \pm 0.011$ $6.27 \pm 0.06$	mass data mass data	r q

\* W. H. Johnson, Jr., and V. B. Shanon, Phys. Rev. 107, 6 (1957).  
 † J. L. Benson, R. A. Damerow, and R. R. Ries, Phys. Rev. 113, 1103 (1959).

Method <sup>33</sup> MeV electron synchrotron; activation; NaI

Ref. No. 62 Ca 2 JHH

Reaction	E or ΔE	E <sub>0</sub>	Γ	$\int \sigma dE$	$J\pi$	Notes
(γ,p)	Bremss. 16-32	25		$40 \int_0^{32} \text{MeV}\cdot\text{mb}$		Absolute yields by comparison with Ta <sup>181</sup> (γ,n), Cu <sup>63</sup> (γ,n)Cu <sup>62</sup> and results of Berman and Brown [Phys. Rev. <u>96</u> , 83 (1954)].




FIG. 7. Excitation functions and derived cross sections for the photodisintegration of tungsten and mercury.



D. E. Carlson and A. A. Temperley  
 Phys. Letters 30B, 322 (1969)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Hg	201	80

METHOD						REF. NO.		egf
						69 Ca 2		
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE	
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE		
G, MJ-T	ABX	0	D	0	SCI-D	0		

Spin parity assignment  $1/2^-$

$0=32.2$  KEV, J-PI



HG  
A=202

HG  
A=202

HG  
A=202



Elem. Sym.	A	Z
Hg	202	80

Method Radioactive source; photon scattering

Ref. No.  
 55 Me 1<sub>S</sub> NVB

Reaction	E or ΔE	E <sub>0</sub>	Γ	∫σdE	Jπ	Notes
Hg <sup>202</sup> (γ,γ)	439 kev	439 kev			2	Lifetime of 439 kev transition: $\tau_{\gamma} = (3.4 \pm 0.7) 10^{-11}$ sec.




FIG. 1. Angular distribution of the resonance radiation from the 439-kev level of Hg<sup>202</sup>. The solid line represents the theoretical angular distribution for an excited state with spin 2 and a ground state with spin 0. The dashed line represents the theoretical distribution for an excited state with spin 1. The differential cross section in arbitrary units is plotted as the ordinate.

METHOD				REF. NO.			
Betatron; neutron threshold; ion chamber				60 Ge 3			
				NVB			
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,N	NØX	THR	C	THR	BF3-I		4 PI

THRESHOLD

TABLE I. Summary and comparison of neutron separation energies inferred from present threshold measurements with values predicted from mass data and reaction energies. All energies are expressed in the center-of-mass system in Mev.

Reaction	No. runs	Present results	Other results	Method	Reference
$Hg^{202}(\gamma,n)Hg^{201}$	1	$7.60 \pm 0.13$	$7.760 \pm 0.011$ $7.77 \pm 0.06$	mass data mass data	r q

\* W. H. Johnson, Jr., and V. B. Bhanot, Phys. Rev. 107, 6 (1957).  
 \* J. L. Benson, R. A. Damerow, and R. R. Rice, Phys. Rev. 113, 1105 (1959)

Elem. Sym.	A	Z
Hg	202	80

Method	Ref. No.
25 MeV Betatron - NaI	62Eul

86

Reaction	E or ΔE	E <sub>0</sub>	Γ	∫σdE	Jπ	Notes
(γ,n)	9.35 - 23.20			23.9 33±10% ) 9.35		<p>* 100±6μsec isomer from natural Hg target. Produced mainly by (γ,n) process. Assumed parent is 30% isotope Hg<sup>202</sup>.</p> <p>(γ.n) apparent threshold 11.3±0.3MeV</p>




FIG. 1. Activation curve of 100-μsec Hg isomer. The ordinate gives the relative amount of 100-μsec isomer per unit ionization produced in the ionization chamber monitor. If the isomer is in Hg<sup>201</sup>, the ordinate is approximately 4.1 times the energy-integrated cross section in MeV-mb.

19 different values of the peak energy of the bremsstrahlung spectrum. At each energy, a complete pulse height distribution was obtained for the gamma rays which reached the detector between 40 and 180 μsec after each yield pulse. In addition, the long-lived background was measured after each run, and an estimate was made of contributions due to slow neutrons. The activity assigned to the 100-μsec isomer was obtained by summing the counts above background in the region of the 333-keV photopeak. The energy dependence of the relative activity produced per unit monitor reading is shown in Table I and plotted in Fig. 1. The data

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Hg	202	80
REF. NO.		
74 Te 1		egf

METHOD

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,G	LFT	4	D	4- 8	SCD-D		DST

4=4, 922

TABLE 4

Values of  $\Gamma$ ,  $\Gamma_0$  and the energy separation  $\delta$  (between the incident  $\gamma$ -line and the resonance level) as obtained from the analysis of the various experiments

Scatterer	$E_\gamma$ (keV)	$\Gamma$ (meV)	$\Gamma_0$ (meV)	$\delta$ (eV)	$D$ (eV)	$K_{E1}$ ( $10^{-9}$ MeV $^{-3}$ )	$K_{M1}$ ( $10^{-9}$ MeV $^{-3}$ )
$^{55}\text{Mn}$	7491	$450 \pm 250$	$80 \pm 40$	$17 \pm 1$			
$^{140}\text{Ce}$ *)	5660	$13 \pm 3$	$12 \pm 2$	$4.7 \pm 0.3$	6800	0.33	
$^{141}\text{Pr}$ *)	6877	$85 \pm 35$	$17 \pm 9$	$6.7 \pm 1.5$	450		116
$^{142}\text{Nd}$ *)	6877	$340 \pm 40$	$270 \pm 20$	$12.4 \pm 0.3$	1200	26	
$^{202}\text{Hg}$	4922	$300 \pm 50$	$260 \pm 20$	$4.2 \pm 0.5$	19000	3.4	
$^{209}\text{Bi}$ *)	5603	$950 \pm 200$	$950 \pm 200$	$13 \pm 1$	34000		160

The radiative strengths  $K_{E1}$  and  $K_{M1}$  are also given. The level spacing  $D$  refers to the excitation energy of the resonance level  $E_\gamma$ .

\*) These values are slightly different from those of ref. 8) and were obtained from a renewed analysis of the experimental results.

TABLE 2

Measured angular distribution coefficients  $A_2$ , the ratios  $N_{11}/N_L$ , the spins and parities of the ground and the resonance levels,  $J_0^\pi$  and  $J_r^\pi$ , and the character of the ground state transition

Scatterer	$E_\gamma$ (keV)	$A_2$	$N_{11}/N_L$	$J_0^\pi$	$J_r^\pi$	Transition
$^{55}\text{Mn}$	7491	$0.01 \pm 0.02$	$1.00 \pm 0.02$	$\frac{1}{2}^-$	$\frac{3}{2}$	
$^{140}\text{Ce}$	5660	$0.51 \pm 0.02$	$1.14 \pm 0.04$	$0^+$	$1^-$	E1
$^{141}\text{Pr}$	6877	$0.11 \pm 0.02$	$0.95 \pm 0.03$	$\frac{1}{2}^+$	$\frac{7}{2}^+$	M1
$^{142}\text{Nd}$	6877	$0.51 \pm 0.03$	$1.10 \pm 0.04$	$0^+$	$1^-$	E1
$^{202}\text{Hg}$	4922	$0.51 \pm 0.02$	$1.18 \pm 0.03$	$0^+$	$1^-$	E1
$^{209}\text{Bi}$	5603	$0.06 \pm 0.02$	$0.97 \pm 0.02$	$\frac{1}{2}^-$	$\frac{1}{2}^-$	M1

<sup>8</sup>A. Wolf, R. Moreh, A. Nof, O. Shahal, J. Tenenbaum,  
Phys. Rev. C6, 2276 (1972).



HG  
A=204

HG  
A=204

HG  
A=204



ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Hg	204	80

METHOD

REF. NO.	hg
78 Ma 10	

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANG_E
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,N	ABY	8-68	C	30-68	ACT	4PI	

Analysis is made of reactions interfering with photon activation analysis procedures.

The activation yield curves have been presented for a number of photonuclear reactions in the energy range from 30 to 68 MeV, in order to evaluate quantitatively the interferences due to competing reactions in multielement photon activation analysis. The general features of the yields as functions of both target mass number and excitation energy were elucidated from the data obtained, discussion being given on the results in terms of the reaction mechanism.

Simultaneous neutron activation due to appreciable neutron production from the converter and surrounding materials has also been studied, and, finally, the magnitudes of interferences in real multielement analysis were given in the form of their energy dependences.




Fig. 2. Yield per equivalent quanta versus target neutron number.




Fig. 8. Activation yield curves for the reactions on Pb, Tl and Hg.

- $^{204}\text{Hg}(\gamma, n)^{203}\text{Hg}$
- ▣  $^{198}\text{Hg}(\gamma, n)^{197\text{m}}\text{Hg}$
- ▽  $^{204}\text{Pb}(\gamma, n)^{203}\text{Pb}$
- △  $^{204}\text{Pb}(\gamma, 3n)^{201}\text{Pb}$
- $^{203}\text{Tl}(\gamma, 2n)^{201}\text{Tl}$
- $^{198}\text{Hg}(\gamma, n)^{197\text{s}}\text{Hg}$
- ◐  $^{199}\text{Hg}(\gamma, p)^{198}\text{Au}$
- ▼  $^{204}\text{Pb}(\gamma, 2n)^{202\text{m}}\text{Pb}$
- ◑  $^{203}\text{Tl}(\gamma, n)^{202}\text{Tl}$
- $^{203}\text{Tl}(\gamma, 3n)^{200}\text{Tl}$

(over)




Fig. 9. Yields of the  $(\gamma, n)$  reactions as a function of bremsstrahlung maximum energy and target mass number. The numerical values in the figure are yields per equivalent quanta in mb.

## THALLIUM

Z=81

Thallium is a bluish-white metal that is malleable, very soft and has a low tensile strength. It was discovered in 1861 by Sir William Crookes, using a spectroscope, while searching for tellurium in the residues from a sulfuric acid plant. He observed an unaccountable green line in the spectroscope and concluded it was from a new element. Because the bright green color reminded him of the tint of new vegetation in the spring, he called the element thallium from the Latin word *Thallus* — a budding twig.



Method  $\gamma$ -Bremsstrahlung; synchrotron; BF<sub>3</sub> counter

Ref. No.  
56 Ga 1 EGF

Reaction	E or $\Delta E$	E <sub>0</sub>	$\Gamma$	$\int \sigma dE$	$J\pi$	Notes
( $\gamma, xn$ )	~ 7-27	14.6	5.4	4.99 MeV-b		
( $\mu_e$ )	~ 7-27	14.0	4.6	3.77 MeV-b		

TABLE I. Fundamental characteristics of photoneutron cross sections.

Element	E <sub>0</sub> max in mev	$\sigma_n$ max in barns	Half width in mev	$\int \sigma_n(E) dE$ in mev-barns	$E_n$ $\int \sigma_n(E) dE / \sigma_n$
Copper	17.2	0.128	4.3	0.93	7.4
Zinc	16.3	0.082	6.3	0.66	8.1
Caesium	15.0	0.070	6.4	2.28	8.4
Iodine	15.5	0.183	6.0	2.85	9.1
Tantalum	14.5	0.152	6.3	3.37	8.6
Gold	14.2	0.271	6.0	4.37	7.6
Thallium	14.0	0.055	5.4	4.99	7.6
Bismuth	13.5	0.507	5.9	3.96	7.4
Taorium	14.5	0.756	5.6	6.33	9.0
Uranium	14.9	1.18	5.8	12.5	10.6

TABLE II. Threshold of photoneutron reactions (mev).

Element	( $\gamma, n$ )	( $\gamma, 2n$ )	( $\gamma, 3n$ )	( $\gamma, 4n$ )
Cadmium	6.7	14.6	23.9	>30
Iodine	9.4	19.2	26.9	32.3
Tantalum	7.6	13.9	21.6	28.3
Gold	8.1	14.9	21.9	29.3
Thallium	7.5	14.3	21.5	29.3
Bismuth	7.4	14.2	21.5	29.3

TABLE III. Characteristics of the cross section of absorption of  $\gamma$ -quanta by nuclei.

Element	E <sub>res</sub> in mev	$\sigma_{\gamma}(E=E_{res})$ in barns	Half width in mev	$\int \sigma_{\gamma}(E) dE$ in mev-barns	$\int \sigma_{\gamma}(E) dE \times 10^3$ in mev-barns	$E_n$ $\int \sigma_{\gamma}(E) dE / \sigma_{\gamma}(E_{res})$	$\int \sigma_{\gamma}(E) dE / \sigma_{\gamma}(E_{res})$	$\sigma_{\gamma} \times 10^3$ in cm
Cadmium	15.6	0.263	5.1	1.76	1.69	0.111	0.00713	1.26
Iodine	15.5	0.258	5.2	1.86	1.60	0.117	0.00753	1.18
Tantalum	13.9	0.418	4.7	2.74	1.65	0.150	0.0139	1.15
Gold	14.2	0.571	4.6	3.09	1.23	0.274	0.0182	1.23
Thallium	14.0	0.548	4.5	3.77	1.28	0.266	0.0200	1.25
Bismuth	13.5	0.507	4.3	3.12	1.94	0.220	0.0178	1.16




Figure 2: Photoneutron cross section  $\sigma_n$ , computed from the yield curves by the "photon difference method." Curve is presented for the cross section of  $\gamma$ -quanta, computed from the statistical theory of nuclei.

Ref.

K.Reibel, A.K. Mann  
Phys. Rev. 118, 701 (1960)

Elem. Sym.

A

Z

Tl

81

Method

 $\gamma$ 's from  $F^{19}(p,\alpha\gamma)$  reaction; protons from VandeGraaff; NaI.

Ref. No.

60 Re 1

JHH

Reaction	E or $\Delta E$	$E_0$	$\Gamma$	$\int \sigma dE$	$J\pi$	Notes
(γ,γ)	$E_p = 2.05$					$\langle \bar{\sigma} \rangle = 3.9 \pm 0.6$ mb
	$E_p = 2.40$					$\langle \bar{\sigma} \rangle = 2.1 \pm 0.3$ mb
	$E_\gamma = 6.9$					$\langle \bar{\sigma} \rangle = 1.6 \pm 0.4$ mb
	$E_\gamma = 7.1$					$\langle \bar{\sigma} \rangle = 4.4 \pm 1.0$ mb



REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,XN	ABX	10 - 110	C	16 - 110	ACT-I		4PI

Measured yields of Tl<sup>202,201,200</sup> by activation technique.

Targets: natural thallium (Tl<sup>203</sup> 29.5%, Tl<sup>205</sup> 70.5%) NBS chamber.




Fig. 2. Cross-section for production of Tl<sup>202</sup>.




Fig. 3. Cross-section for production of Tl<sup>201</sup>.

METHOD




[Page 2 of 2]

REF. NO.

65 Mo 2

EGF

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	

Fig. 4. Cross-section for production of  $Tl^{206}$ .Fig. 5. Cross-section for production of  $Tl^{207}$ .Fig. 6. Total cross-section for all neutron-producing reactions in  $Tl^{208}$ .

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Tl		81
METHOD		REF. NO.
Nuclear Resonance Scattering using N,G reactions.		66 Be 3
		JDM

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,G	RLX	5 - 10	D	5 - 10	NAI-D	5 - 10	135




Fig. 3. Histogram of distribution of observed resonances among the different targets. The atomic number is given directly beneath the chemical symbol followed by the neutron numbers of the naturally occurring isotopes. Magic numbers are shown in brackets.




Fig.1.(d) Simple scattered spectrum from Tl excited by Fe capture gamma rays (with Bi background).

TABLE III. List of effective cross sections.

Scatterer	Energy (MeV)	Gamma source	$\delta$ (mb)	Scatterer	Energy (MeV)	Gamma source	$\delta$ (mb)
Sm <sup>144</sup>	8.997	Ni	100	Sn	7.01	Cu	110
Pr <sup>143</sup>	8.881	Cr	9	Nd	6.867	Co	30
La	8.532	Ni	6	Pr <sup>143</sup>	6.867	Co	3
Te	8.532	Ni	3*	Te	6.7	Ni	...
Cu	8.499	Cr	24	La	6.54	Ag	12
Zr	8.496	Se	3050	Cd	6.474	Co	110
Ni	8.119	Ni	13	Mo	6.44	Hg	25*
Se	7.817	Ni	50	La	6.413	Tl	72
Se	7.76	K	90	Mo	6.413	Tl	10
Sb	7.67	V	...	Tl	6.413	Tl	25
Cd	7.64	Fe	40*	Sb	6.3	Tl	...
Ni	7.64	Fe	7*	W	6.31	Hg	6*
Pr <sup>143</sup>	7.64	Fe	12*	Tl	6.31	Hg	2*
Tl	7.64	Fe	370*	Sn	6.27	Ag	75
La	7.634	Cu	7	Pb <sup>208</sup>	6.15	Gd	...
Mo	7.634	Cu	11	Te	5.8	Ni	...
Te	7.528	Ni	66 <sup>d</sup>	La	6.12	Cl	35
Bi <sup>209</sup>	7.416	Se	100	Pr <sup>143</sup>	6.12	Cl	110
Bi <sup>209</sup>	7.300	As	80*	Pt	5.99	Hg	40*
Pb <sup>208</sup>	7.285	Fe	4100	Tl	5.99	Hg	5*
Cl	7.285	Fe	34	Pb <sup>208</sup>	5.9	Sr	...
Pr <sup>143</sup>	7.185	Se	80	Ce	5.646	Co	17
Tl	7.16	Cu	120	Bi <sup>209</sup>	5.646	Co	55
La	7.15	Mn	50	Pb <sup>208</sup>	5.53	Ag	70
Bi <sup>209</sup>	7.149	Tl	2000	Hg	5.44	Hg	75*
				Hg	4.903	Co	385

\* High-energy component of a complex spectrum.  
<sup>b</sup> A broad scattered spectrum with no observable peak structure.  
<sup>c</sup> There are actually two lines of energies 7.647 and 7.633 MeV having equal intensities in the iron capture gamma spectrum. The cross section has therefore been corrected, although there is no possibility at present of deciding which line is responsible for each resonance.  
<sup>d</sup> It is probably an independent level in the complex spectrum of Ni  $\gamma$  rays on Te.  
<sup>e</sup> Rough estimate.  
<sup>f</sup> May be inelastic component from 7.528 level in Te.  
<sup>g</sup> The relative line intensities in this case are due to Groshev and co-workers.  
<sup>h</sup> No line is known for the source at this energy.  
<sup>i</sup> Difficult to resolve among the many source lines present at this energy.

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Tl		81
REF. NO.		HMG
67 Mi 1		

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, F	ABX	300-999		300-999	TRK-I		

Detector: Fission fragment tracks in glass.

999 = 1600 MEV

Angular distribution measured for Pb was found isotropic; for other elements it was assumed isotropic.

Nucleus	Fissionability $D$	Cross section $\sigma_{\mu\beta}$	Nucleus	Fissionability $D$	Cross section $\sigma_{\mu\beta}$
Bi	$0.11 \pm 0.01$	$7.8 \pm 0.6$	Os	$0.0058 \pm 0.0005$	$0.37 \pm 0.04$
Pb	$0.050 \pm 0.004$	$3.4 \pm 0.3$	Re	$0.0056 \pm 0.0006$	$0.35 \pm 0.04$
Tl	$0.031 \pm 0.003$	$2.1 \pm 0.2$	Ta	$0.0045 \pm 0.0005$	$0.27 \pm 0.03$
Au	$0.019 \pm 0.002$	$1.25 \pm 0.10$	Hf	$0.0042 \pm 0.0004$	$0.25 \pm 0.03$
Pt	$0.012 \pm 0.002$	$0.80 \pm 0.08$			





Fig. 1. Photofission fragment yields.  $\circ$ -present work;  $\square$ -Jungerman and Steiner.<sup>(1)</sup> The curves were plotted through the experimental points.

Fig. 2. Photofission fragment yields as a function of  $Z^2/A$ . The ordinates are values of  $\sigma_0$  in units of  $\text{cm}^2$ .

METHOD

REF. NO.	
68 Ka 1	HMG

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,N	ABX	50-85	C	55,85	TOF-D	10-85	67 (67.5)

NEUT ENGY SPEC




FIG. 6. Observed neutron spectra due to 55-85-MeV difference photon spectra. The effective cross sections have been divided by  $NZ/A$ .

TABLE I. Comparison of present cross-section values in mb for production of high-energy photoneutrons by 55-85-MeV photons with measured cross sections  $\sigma(\gamma, Tn)$ , also in mb, for total photoneutron production. The present cross-section values are uncertain by 8 to 10% because of counting statistics and normalization errors; in addition all values depend on an absolute normalization in terms of the deuteron photodisintegration cross section, which is known to about 10% at these energies.

Target	$4\pi(d\sigma/d\Omega)_{0e}$ ( $E_n > 10$ MeV)	$\sigma(\gamma, Tn)$		Other results
	[Present experiment]	Jones and Terwilliger <sup>a</sup>	Costa <i>et al.</i> <sup>b</sup>	
Li	0.75		1.0	
Be	1.0	2.7	2.3	2.3 <sup>c</sup>
B	1.0		1.4	
C	1.5	1.3	1.4	2.4 <sup>d</sup>
O	1.3		1.6	
Al	2.8	5.5	4.6	8 <sup>d</sup>
S	2.1		4.4	6.5 <sup>d</sup>
Fe	4.2	16	12	
Cu	4.3	20	19	
Zn	4.4		15	
In	7.4			
Sn	7.0			
Ta	10.7	95		
Tl	10.7			
Pb	8.3	100		
Bi	13			
U	16	65		

<sup>a</sup> Average cross sections between 55 and 85 MeV, as read from Figs. 4 and 5 of Ref. 4.  
<sup>b</sup>  $\int_{E_n}^{\infty} \sigma dE - \int_{E_n}^{\infty} \sigma dE/50$ , as taken from Fig. 4 of Ref. 5 and Table I of Ref. 6.  
<sup>c</sup> S. Costa, L. Pasqualini, G. Piragino, and L. Roasio, Nuovo Cimento 42, 306 (1966).  
<sup>d</sup> G. Bishop, S. Costa, S. Ferroni, R. Malvano, and G. Ricco, Nuovo Cimento 42, 148 (1966).




FIG. 7. Effective cross sections for production of fast neutrons with energies greater than 10 MeV (solid circles) and 30 MeV (open circles) by the 55-85-MeV photon difference spectrum. The dashed curves are modified quasideuteron model predictions as discussed in the text.

V. Emma, S. Lo Nigro and C. Milone  
 Lettere al Nuovo Cimento 2, 271 (1971)

Tl

81

METHOD

REF. NO.

71 Em 2

egf

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,F	ABY	THR-999	C	300-999	FRAG-I		4PI

999 = 1000 MEV




Fig. 1. - Photoabsorption cross-sections per equivalent quantum  $\sigma_q$  against the maximum energy of photons. Au:  $\circ$  ref. (\*),  $\square$  ref. (\*),  $\bullet$  our results; Hg:  $\bullet$  our results; Tl:  $\triangle$  ref. (\*),  $\blacktriangle$  our results. The dashed curves represent the fits calculated by the least-squares method taking into account our results only.




Fig. 2. -  $\sigma_q$ -values, deduced in our present and previous (\*) experiments, reported vs. the atomic number  $Z$  of the elements for only some energy values.

(over)

TABLE I. - Fission cross-sections per photon between 300 and 1000 MeV.

	$\sigma_f(\text{mb})$	
	Our results	Previous results
Bi	$7.6 \pm 0.2$	$7.8 \pm 0.6$ (*) <span style="float:right;"><math>7.8 \pm 0.8</math> (†)</span>
Pb	$3.3 \pm 0.1$	$3.4 \pm 0.3$ (*)
Tl	$1.9 \pm 0.1$	$2.1 \pm 0.2$ (*)
Hg	$1.5 \pm 0.1$	
Au	$1.19 \pm 0.06$	$1.25 \pm 0.1$ (*)




Fig. 3. - Fission cross-section per photon  $\sigma_f$  of Tl, Hg and Au against  $E\gamma_{\text{max}}$ . The dashed straight lines represent the values  $\sigma_f = \text{const.}$  calculated as suggested in ref. (\*).




Fig. 4. -  $\sigma_f$ -values vs.  $Z^2/A$  for the elements with atomic number in the range from 72 to 83: • our results, ◻ ref. (\*), ◊ ref. (†). The dashed curves is drawn by eye.

- (\*) V. EMMA, S. LO NUONO and C. MILANE: *Bull. S.I.F.*, No. 70, 119 (1970).
- (†) V. EMMA, S. LO NUONO and C. MILANE: *Lett. Nuovo Cimento*, 3, 592 (1970).
- (\*) V. EMMA, S. LO NUONO and C. MILANE: *Lett. Nuovo Cimento*, 2, 117 (1971).
- (\*) J. JEROME and H. M. STANGEL: *Phys. Rev.*, 106, 565 (1957).
- (\*) H. G. DE GANDIA, G. CHIRIO, E. DE GANDIA, G. FERRARA and H. HENNINGSEN: *Nuovo Cimento*, 22, 293 (1954).
- (\*) A. V. MITRUFANOVA, YU. N. ILIYUK and P. V. SOROKIN: *Sov. Journ. Nucl. Phys.*, 6, 512 (1961).

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Tl		81
REF. NO.		
76 Em 2		egf

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,F	ABY	THR-999	C	999	TRK-I		4PI

TABLE I

999 = 1 GEV

Measured values of  $\sigma_q$  at  $E = 1000$  MeV and deduced values of  $\sigma_q$  assumed constant from  $E_0$  to 1000 MeV

Element	$Z^2/A$	$\sigma_q$ (mb)	$E_0$ (MeV)	$\sigma_q$ (mb)
Bi	32.96	$12.3 \pm 0.6$	200	$7.6 \pm 0.6$
Pb	32.45	$5.4 \pm 0.4$	220	$3.6 \pm 0.3$
Tl	32.10	$4.1 \pm 0.3$	230	$2.8 \pm 0.3$
Au	31.68	$2.0 \pm 0.15$	240	$1.4 \pm 0.2$
Pt	31.18	$1.1 \pm 0.08$	255	$(8 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-1}$
Re	30.21	$(3.7 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-1}$	280	$(2.9 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-1}$
W	29.78	$(3.5 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-1}$	290	$(2.8 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-1}$
Ta	29.45	$(3.3 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-1}$	300	$(2.7 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-1}$
Hf	29.04	$(1.7 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-1}$	310	$(1.4 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-1}$
Yb	28.31	$(1.3 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-1}$	330	$(1.2 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-1}$
Tm	28.18	$(7.5 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-2}$	335	$(6.8 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-2}$
Ho	27.21	$(3.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$	355	$(3.5 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$
Dy	26.80	$(2.6 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$	360	$(2.5 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$
Tb	26.58	$(2.5 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$	370	$(2.5 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$
Gd	26.04	$(1.6 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$	380	$(1.7 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$
Sm	25.56	$(1.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$	390	$(1.4 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$
Nd	24.96	$(9.2 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3}$	405	$(1 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-2}$
Ce	24.00	$(8 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3}$	420	$(9 \pm 1) \times 10^{-3}$
La	23.39	$(8.4 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3}$	430	$(1 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-2}$
Sb	21.36	$(1.2 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$	460	$(1.5 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$
Te	21.19	$(8.8 \pm 1) \times 10^{-3}$	465	$(1.2 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$
Sn	21.06	$(1.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$	465	$(1.7 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$
Cd	20.49	$(1.7 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$	470	$(2.2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$
Ag	20.47	$(2 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$	470	$(2.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2}$
Zn	13.76	$(2 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-1}$	515	$(3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-1}$
Cu	13.44	$(2.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-1}$	515	$(3.6 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-1}$
Ni	13.35	$(2.4 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-1}$	510	$(3.6 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-1}$
Fe	12.10	$(3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-1}$	510	$(4.4 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-1}$

<sup>4</sup> A.V. Mitrofanova et al.  
Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 6,  
512 (1968).

<sup>7</sup> T. Methasiri et al., nucl.  
Phys. A167, 97 (1971).

<sup>12</sup> J.R. Nix et al., Nucl. Phys.  
81, 61 (1966).

<sup>20</sup> N.A. Perifilov et al., JETP  
(Sov. Phys.) 14, 623 (1962);  
Proc. Symp. on the physics &  
chemistry of fission, Salzburg  
1965, vol. 2 (IAEA) Vienna,  
1965, p.283.




Fig. 2. Nuclear fissilities as a function of  $Z^2/A$ . Experimental points: solid circles represent our data; squares, the data from ref. <sup>4</sup>); open circles, the data from ref. <sup>7</sup>); and crosses, the data from (p,f) experiments <sup>20</sup>). The straight line is the best fit calculated from our data for  $Z^2/A > 26$ . The dashed curve is the curve VI calculated by Nix and Sassi <sup>12</sup>).



ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Tl		81
REF. NO.	79 La 1	
		hg

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,G	ABX	4- 7 (4.5-6.6)	D	4-8 4.5-7.5	NAI-D		135

Average elastic photon scattering cross sections were measured for  $^{209}\text{Bi}$ ,  $^{208}\text{Pb}$ ,  $^{207}\text{Pb}$ ,  $^{206}\text{Pb}$ , Tl and Hg at excitation energies between 4.5 MeV and the neutron emission threshold, with an energy resolution in the range between 50 and 150 keV. This resolution was sufficient to determine the strengths of most of the strong levels in this energy region for  $^{208}\text{Pb}$ ; there are concentrations of strength in a few levels near 5.5 and 7 MeV with the sum of  $B(E1)$  values equal to about 0.34 and 0.65  $e^2 \text{fm}^2$ , respectively; each of these two groups of levels corresponds to only about 0.63% of the electric dipole sum rule. In the neighboring isotopes, approximately the same amount of strength is distributed among many more energy levels; although this strength is spread in energy more than it is in  $^{208}\text{Pb}$ , it remains relatively localized.




FIG. 7. Natural Tl (71% 205 isotope, 29% 203 isotope): See caption of Fig. 4.




FIG. 9. Tl: inferred total photon absorption cross section.




FIG. 12. Comparison of the measured cross sections of, respectively, from the top,  $^{208}\text{Pb}$ ,  $^{206}\text{Pb}$ ,  $^{207}\text{Pb}$ ,  $^{209}\text{Bi}$ , Tl, and Hg.

TABLE IV. Parameters used in inferring  $\sigma_{\gamma\gamma}$  from  $\bar{\sigma}_{\gamma\gamma}$ . (Level spacing is assumed to be of the form  $D \propto e^{-S/T}$ .)

Nucleus	$D_0$ (eV)	$E_0$ (MeV)	$T$ (MeV)	$\Gamma_c$ (eV)	Ref.
Hg	83	8.03	0.86	0.12	15,22,23
Tl	430	6.54	0.90	0.12	15,22,23

<sup>15</sup>G.A. Bartholomew, E.D. Earle, A.J. Ferguson, J.W. Knowles, and M.A. Lone, in *Advances in Nuclear Physics*, edited by M. Baranger and E. Vogt (Plenum, New York, 1973), Vol. 7, p. 229.

<sup>22</sup>J.E. Lynn, *The Theory of Neutron Resonance Reactions* (Clarendon, Oxford, 1968)

<sup>23</sup>H. Molecky, *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* 13, 133 (1971).

TABLE VI. Transition strength comparison at 5.5 and 7 MeV.

Nucleus	5.0-6.0 MeV		6.5-7.5 MeV	
	$\int \sigma_{\gamma\gamma} dE$ (MeV mb)	% <sup>208</sup> Pb strength	$\int \sigma_{\gamma\gamma} dE$ (MeV mb)	% <sup>208</sup> Pb strength
B1	10.4	68%	10.7	44%
<sup>208</sup> Pb	15.2	100%	24.4	100%
<sup>207</sup> Pb	12.6	83%	...	...
<sup>206</sup> Pb	15.8	104%	20.2	83%
Tl	8.3	55%	7.8	32%
Hg	11.6	76%	...	...

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Ti		81
REF. NO.		egf
81 Sc 6		

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,G	ABX	2-7		2-7	SCD-D		90

2.60-6.76 MEV

Elastic scattering by nuclei in the range of mass numbers between 64 and 238 has been studied with monochromatic photons in the energy range between 2 and 8 MeV. These photons were provided either by a Ti( $n,\gamma$ ) source installed in the tangential through channel of the Grenoble high flux reactor, or by  $^{24}\text{Na}$  and  $^{56}\text{Co}$  sources produced by deuteron bombardment of Al or Fe at the Göttingen cyclotron. The photoexcitation of 23 nuclear levels has been observed and the decay properties and groundstate widths of the majority of these levels have been determined. For the lead scattering target the coherent elastic differential cross section has been studied in detail. There is evidence that below the photo-neutron threshold the elastic scattering via virtual photoexcitation of the nucleus can be approximated by extrapolating the real part of the Giant Dipole Resonance amplitude along a Lorentzian curve. Coulomb corrections to Delbrück scattering seem to play a small role at 6.5 MeV.

Table 1. Differential cross sections for elastic scattering ( $d\sigma/d\Omega$ )<sup>exp</sup> of photons from  $^{56}\text{Co}$  and  $^{24}\text{Na}$  sources by different scattering targets, in units of  $\mu\text{b/sr}$ . Errors in the last digits are given in parentheses.

$\theta$ deg	Scattering targets	2.599 <sup>a</sup> (MeV)	2.754 <sup>b</sup> (MeV)	3.010 <sup>a</sup> (MeV)	3.202 <sup>a</sup> (MeV)	3.254 <sup>a</sup> (MeV)	3.273 <sup>a</sup> (MeV)	3.452 <sup>a</sup> (MeV)
90	$^{238}\text{U}$	52.7(25)	57.5(25) <sup>c</sup>	56(16)	47(4)	456 (10) <sup>c</sup>	34(6)	49(14)
	$^{209}\text{Bi}$	33.1(30)	32 (2)	33(11)	32(4)	25.6(20)	29(6)	33(15)
	$^{201}\text{Pb}$	31.5(23)	31.0(16)	35 (8)	27(3)	26.6(22)	25(4)	23 (8)
	$^{201}\text{Tl}$	31.5(33)	-	27(12)	32(5)	24 (3)	22(7)	34(15)
	$^{198}\text{Hg}$	30.0(27)	-	24(10)	28(5)	25.5(18)	26(8)	20 (8)
	$^{181}\text{W}$	22.5(11)	-	17 (7)	19(3)	18.4(15)	18(5)	21 (6)
	$^{181}\text{Ta}$	20.0(15)	19.2 (6)	193(20) <sup>c</sup>	20(4)	17.3(21)	18(5)	21 (3)
	$^{163}\text{Ho}$	15.9(13)	-	17(10)	13(6)	15.6(20)	18(8)	-
	$^{141}\text{Nd}$	11.4 (7)	14.2 (5) <sup>d</sup>	15 (7)	14(3)	24.2(12) <sup>d</sup>	13(3)	9 (6)
	$^{137}\text{Ce}$	11.1 (9)	11.0 (5)	-	11(3)	9.5(13)	8(4)	-
	$^{127}\text{J}$	8.4(10)	8.6 (5)	-	9(2)	7 (1)	5(3)	-
	$^{121}\text{Sb}$	8.0(11)	-	-	10(4)	6.8(19)	-	1,270(50) <sup>c</sup>
	$^{119}\text{Sn}$	6.5 (7)	7.0 (5)	-	5(2)	7.6 (8)	6(3)	-
$^{111}\text{Cd}$	6.2 (5)	-	-	6(2)	6.6 (8)	7(3)	-	
120	$^{238}\text{U}$	55.1(25)	64 (4) <sup>c</sup>	43(15)	55(5)	574 (10) <sup>c</sup>	48(5)	48(11)
	$^{181}\text{Ta}$	27.5(15)	25.0 (9)	227(20) <sup>c</sup>	22(5)	21 (2)	22(8)	-
	$^{141}\text{Nd}$	17.9(30)	17.0 (9) <sup>d</sup>	-	-	29.8(47) <sup>d</sup>	-	-

<sup>a</sup>  $^{56}\text{Co}$  source in Fe lattice      <sup>b</sup>  $^{24}\text{Na}$  source in Al lattice (part of data have been published elsewhere)  
<sup>c</sup> Transitions to excited states observed in addition to the ground-state transition  
<sup>d</sup> Photoexcitation of nuclear level identified from the size of the differential cross section

(OVER)

**Table 2.** Elastic differential cross sections  $d\sigma/d\Omega(\Theta=90^\circ)$  in  $\mu\text{b}\cdot\text{sr}$  measured with the  $\text{Ti}(n,\gamma)$  source and compared with theoretical predictions.  $n$ : predicted number of levels in a  $\Delta E=25\text{ eV}$  interval at 6.5 MeV. Errors in the last digits are given in parentheses


Scattering target	6.418 MeV		6.555 MeV		6.759 MeV		7.168 MeV		$n$
	exp.	th.	exp.	th.	exp.	th.	exp.	th.	
$^{238}\text{U}$	23 (12)	10.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	45
$^{209}\text{Bi}$	-	-	219(39) <sup>b,c</sup>	8.0	12 (4)	7.4	1.5(3) · 10 <sup>5</sup> <sup>b,c</sup>	5.7	0.1
$^{209}\text{Pb}$	7.0(15)	8.6	-	-	6.5(11)	7.4	-	-	0.05
$^{209}\text{Tl}$	2,586 (92) <sup>a,c</sup>	7.5	-	-	13 (3) <sup>b</sup>	6.0	-	-	0.4
$^{201}\text{Hg}$	12 (3)	7.8	74(17) <sup>b</sup>	6.5	6.7(15)	6.4	-	-	3.4
$^{201}\text{W}$	159 (10) <sup>a,c</sup>	6.6	306(33) <sup>a,c</sup>	6.3	20 (2) <sup>a,c</sup>	5.6	-	-	13
$^{181}\text{Ta}$	68 (4) <sup>a,c</sup>	6.3	-	-	10.1(12) <sup>b,c</sup>	5.3	-	-	28
$^{165}\text{Ho}$	15 (3) <sup>b</sup>	4.7	-	-	9.5(14) <sup>b</sup>	3.9	-	-	18
$^{141}\text{Ce}$	4.1(21)	4.1	-	-	17 (1) <sup>b,c</sup>	3.6	-	-	0.04
$^{141}\text{Sn}$	4.2(13)	3.0	-	-	2.5 (5)	2.7	-	-	1.9
$^{141}\text{Mo}$	1,474 (44) <sup>a,c</sup>	2.5	407(39) <sup>a,c</sup>	2.5	8.5(15) <sup>b,c</sup>	2.3	817(258) <sup>b,c</sup>	2.0	0.5
$^{141}\text{Zn}$	2.4 (8)	1.6	-	-	1.8 (5)	1.5	-	-	0.3

<sup>a</sup> Transitions to excited states observed  
<sup>b</sup> Photoexcitation identified from size of differential cross section  
<sup>c</sup> Photoexcitation reported in [11]

**Table 4.** Properties of levels observed by photoexcitation:  $(d\sigma/d\Omega)^{\text{NRF}}$ : experimental differential cross section per identified isotope or element for resonance scattering through  $\Theta=90^\circ$ ;  $I^\pi$ : spin-parity of excited level;  $W(\Theta)$ : angular correlation function;  $g=(2I_\alpha+1)/(2I_\beta+1)$ ;  $f_0$ : radiative groundstate transition width;  $F$ : total level width. Errors in the last digits are given in parentheses

Isotope	$E_\gamma$ (MeV)	$(d\sigma/d\Omega)^{\text{NRF}}$ ( $\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ )	$I^\pi$	$F_0/F^\pi$	$W(\Theta)gI_0^2/F$ (meV)	$F_0^+$ (meV)	$F_0^-$ (meV)
$^{238}\text{U}$	2.754	13 (4)	(1)	0.77	0.145	0.084	-
$^{238}\text{U}$	3.254	421 (5)	1 <sup>-</sup>	0.24	0.83	1.5	0.52(15) <sup>d</sup>
$^{209}\text{Bi}$	6.555	2.1 (4) · 10 <sup>2</sup>	-	-	0.74	0.74 <sup>b</sup>	-
$^{209}\text{Bi}$	7.168	1.7 (3) · 10 <sup>5</sup>	9/2 <sup>+</sup>	1.00	710	786	820 (40) <sup>a</sup>
$^{209}\text{Tl}$	6.418	8.75(30) · 10 <sup>3</sup>	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	0.28	30	102	82 (15) <sup>a</sup>
$^{209}\text{Tl}$	6.759	7 (3)	-	-	-	-	-
$^{141}\text{Ce}$	6.555	68 (17)	-	-	-	-	-
$^{141}\text{Ce}$	6.418	5.2 (3) · 10 <sup>2</sup>	1 <sup>-</sup>	0.32	1.75	2.4	-
$^{141}\text{W}$	6.555	9.8 (10) · 10 <sup>2</sup>	(1)	0.52	3.44	2.9	-
$^{141}\text{W}$	6.759	46 (10)	(1)	0.58	0.17	0.13	-
$^{141}\text{Ta}$	3.010	174 (17)	-	0.72	0.42	0.59	-
$^{141}\text{Ta}$	6.418	62 (4)	-	0.73	0.2	0.27 <sup>c</sup>	-
$^{141}\text{Ta}$	6.759	4.8 (12)	-	-	0.018	0.018 <sup>b</sup>	-
$^{165}\text{Ho}$	6.418	10.3 (30)	-	-	0.035	0.035 <sup>b</sup>	-
$^{165}\text{Ho}$	6.759	5.6 (14)	-	-	0.021	0.021 <sup>b</sup>	-
$^{141}\text{Ce}$	2.754	2.6 (5)	-	-	-	-	-
$^{141}\text{Ce}$	3.254	14.0 (10)	-	-	-	-	-
$^{141}\text{Ce}$	6.759	13.4 (10)	-	-	-	-	-
$^{141}\text{Sb}$	3.452	2.20 (5) · 10 <sup>3</sup>	-	0.60	2.9	4.9 <sup>b</sup>	25 (8) <sup>a</sup>
$^{100}\text{Mo}$	6.418	1.53 (4) · 10 <sup>4</sup>	1 <sup>-</sup>	0.88	52	26	-
$^{141}\text{Mo}$	6.555	4.4 (4) · 10 <sup>3</sup>	(1)	0.33	15	21	-
$^{141}\text{Mo}$	6.759	6.2 (15)	-	-	-	-	-
$^{141}\text{Mo}$	7.168	8.2 (26) · 10 <sup>2</sup>	-	-	-	-	-

<sup>a</sup> [11] <sup>b</sup>  $W(\Theta)gI_0^2/F=1$  assumed <sup>c</sup>  $W(\Theta)g=1$  assumed  
<sup>d</sup> [28] (a small correction has been applied to the data of [28])  
<sup>e</sup> Upper limits in case not all the transitions to lower levels were observed  
<sup>f</sup> Present work <sup>g</sup> Previous work



**Fig. 9.** Differential cross sections for elastic scattering of photons by (A)  $^{209}\text{Bi}$ , (B)  $^{nat}\text{Tl}$  and (C)  $^{nat}\text{Hg}$  through  $\Theta=90^\circ$ . Solid lines: calculated including R, T, lowest-order D, and N (Lorentzian shape) scattering

TL  
A=203

TL  
A=203

TL  
A=203



REF.

F. Heinrich, H. Wäffler, and M. Walter  
Helv. Phys. Acta 29, 3 (1956)

ELEM. SYM. A Z

Tl 203 81

METHOD

REF. NO.

56 He 2

EGF

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,A	RLY	THR - 31	C	31	ACT-I		4PI

Yield measured relative to  $(\gamma, n)$  yield in  $^{63}\text{Cu}$ .REL NEUTRONS

31 MeV bremsstrahlung yields

$$\frac{{}^{203}\text{Tl}(\gamma, \alpha)}{{}^{203}\text{Tl}(\gamma, n)} = 2.3 \times 10^{-5}$$

$$\frac{{}^{203}\text{Tl}(\gamma, \alpha)}{{}^{63}\text{Cu}(\gamma, n)} = 1.4 \times 10^{-4}$$

Ref. P. Erdos, P. Scherrer, P. Stoll  
 Helva. Phys. Acta 30, 639 (1957)

Elem. Sym.	A	Z
Tl	203	81

Method Betatron;  $\alpha$  yield; radioactivity;  $\text{Cu}^{65}(\gamma, n)$  reaction.

Ref. No.	EGF
57 Er 1	

Reaction	E or $\Delta E$	$E_0$	$\Gamma$	$\int \sigma dE$	$J\pi$	Notes
Tl( $\gamma, \alpha$ ) + ( $\gamma, n\alpha$ )	Bremss. 32			0.13 $\pm$ 0.03 MeV-mb		Based on yield measurement.



ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Tl	203	81
REF. NO.	61 De 3	
	NVB	

METHOD						
Radioactive source; photon scattering; NaI						
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR	
G,G	RLX	0 (279 keV)	TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE
			D	0 (279 keV)	NAI-D	
						ANGLE
						DST

**LIFETIME**

Mixing ratio  $\delta = \sqrt{\frac{E_2}{M_1}}$   
 $\delta = +1.20$   
 $\delta = -0.12$

TABLE IV. Experimental values of the coefficient  $A_2$  in the angular distribution  $W(\theta) = 1 + A_2 P_2(\cos\theta)$  of the resonance radiation from the 279-keV level.

Run No.	$A_2$
1	0.83 ± 0.08
2	1.02 ± 0.08
3	0.76 ± 0.07
Average	0.87 ± 0.08




FIG. 3. The resonance scattering effect as a function of the velocity of the source. The heavy line represents the theoretical counting rate for  $\tau_7 = 5.00 \times 10^{-10}$  sec. The experimental points from the first (weak) source are shown for comparison purposes.




FIG. 4. The differential cross section in arbitrary units versus the scattering angle. The heavy curve is the mean square fit to the angular distribution data uncorrected for the finite size of the detector.

TABLE V. Recent experimental values of the mean gamma-ray lifetime of the 279-keV transition in  $Tl^{203}$ .

$10^{-10}$ (sec)	Method	Author(s)
4.7 ± 0.8	Resonance fluorescence	Metzger <sup>1</sup>
5.1 ± 0.5	Delayed coincidences	Berlovich and Dubinkin <sup>2</sup>
5.1 ± 0.9	Coulomb excitation <sup>3</sup>	McGowan and Stelson <sup>4</sup>
5.0 ± 0.5	High-frequency deflection <sup>5</sup>	Johansson and Alvager <sup>6</sup>
5.1 ± 0.4	Delayed coincidences <sup>7</sup>	Bansandy et al. <sup>8</sup>
5.10 ± 0.50	Delayed coincidences <sup>9</sup>	Gorodetsky et al. <sup>10</sup>
4.26 ± 0.18	Delayed coincidences <sup>11</sup>	Pederson and Bell <sup>12</sup>
4.35 ± 0.10	Delayed coincidences <sup>13</sup>	Schwarzschild and Kane <sup>14</sup>
4.81 ± 0.08	Average delayed coincidences <sup>15</sup>	
5.00 ± 0.24	Resonance fluorescence	Present work (1960)

<sup>1</sup> Corrected for the average  $\beta^2 = -1.43 \pm 0.07$  computed from the three independent determinations of the mixing amplitude: the polarization of the Coulomb excitation ( $-1.59 \pm 0.08$ ), Coulomb excitation and resonance fluorescence lifetimes ( $1.31 \pm 1e^{-2}$ ), and the angular distribution of the resonance fluorescence radiation ( $1.20 \pm 0.07$ ).  
<sup>2</sup> For the cases in which  $\beta_0$ , the half-life of the 279-keV level, was used, the value was corrected by a factor  $(1 + \beta_0^2)/0.91$ , where  $\beta_0 = 0.223$  is the total conversion coefficient.  
<sup>3</sup> See reference 17.  
<sup>4</sup> E. E. Berlovich and G. V. Dubinkin, J. Exptl. Theoret. Phys. (U.S.S.R.) 32, 223 (1957) [translation: Soviet Phys. JETP 5, 104 (1957)].  
<sup>5</sup> See reference 4.  
<sup>6</sup> See reference 13.  
<sup>7</sup> See reference 11.  
<sup>8</sup> See reference 12.  
<sup>9</sup> See reference 15.  
<sup>10</sup> See reference 14.

TABLE III. Experimental values for  $\tau_7$ , the mean gamma lifetime of the 279-keV level in  $Tl^{203}$ .

Source	$\tau_7$ (sec)
1	$(5.10 \pm 0.28) \times 10^{-10}$
2	$(4.78 \pm 0.47) \times 10^{-10}$
2	$(4.97 \pm 0.38) \times 10^{-10}$
2	$(5.00 \pm 0.58) \times 10^{-10}$
Average 2	$(4.92 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-10}$
Average 1+2	$(5.00 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-10}$

<sup>1</sup> F. R. Metzger, *Proceedings of the 1954 Glasgow Conference on Nuclear and Meson Physics* (Pergamon Press, New York, 1955), p. 201.  
<sup>2</sup> F. K. McGowan and P. H. Stelson, Phys. Rev. 109, 901 (1958).

<sup>3</sup> V. Knapp, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) A71, 194 (1958).  
<sup>4</sup> E. Bansandy, T. R. Gerholm and J. Lindskog, Arkiv Fysik 17, 421 (1960).  
<sup>5</sup> S. Gorodetsky, R. Manquenouille, R. Richert, and A. Knipper, Compt. rend. 251, 65 (1960).  
<sup>6</sup> B. Johansson and T. Alvager, Arkiv Fysik 17, 163 (1960).  
<sup>7</sup> A. Schwarzschild and J. Kane, following paper [Phys. Rev. 122, 854 (1961)].  
<sup>8</sup> E. C. B. Pederson and R. E. Bell, Nuclear Phys. 21, 393 (1960).  
<sup>9</sup> F. R. Metzger in *Progress in Nuclear Physics*, edited by O. R. Frisch (Pergamon Press, New York, 1959), Vol. 7.  
<sup>10</sup> F. R. Metzger, J. Franklin Inst. 261, 219 (1956).

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Tl	203	81

J. C. Palathingal and M. L. Wiedenbeck  
Nucl. Phys. A101, 193 (1967)

METHOD			SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G <sub>2</sub> G	LFT	1	D	1	NAI-D	1	110
		279 MeV					

REF. NO.  
67 Pa 1  
EGF

Used  $\beta$  recoil to supply Doppler shift  
Compared Rayleigh and resonance scattering




Fig. 5. Values of  $\sigma_{res}/\sigma_{Rayleigh}$  obtained from the various runs and their weighted mean. The shaded area represents one standard deviation to either side of the mean value (the dashed line).

TABLE I  
Values of  $\tau$  obtained by various observers

$\tau$ (nsec)	Method of measurement	Ref.
0.28 $\pm$ 0.04	beta decay recoil (resonance fluorescence)	present work
0.408 $\pm$ 0.010	delayed coincidence	18)
0.402 $\pm$ 0.003	delayed coincidence	19)
0.410 $\pm$ 0.020	centrifuge (resonance fluorescence)	1)
0.405 $\pm$ 0.008	delayed coincidence	20)
0.348 $\pm$ 0.014	delayed coincidence	21)
0.408 $\pm$ 0.025	delayed coincidence	22)
0.41 $\pm$ 0.03	delayed coincidence	23)
0.32 $\pm$ 0.04	high-frequency deflection	24)
0.43 $\pm$ 0.05	Coulomb excitation	25)
0.42 $\pm$ 0.04	delayed coincidence	26)
0.38 $\pm$ 0.07	thermal Doppler effect (resonance fluorescence)	2)
0.41 $\pm$ 0.12	Coulomb excitation	27)
0.18 $\pm$ 0.05	delayed coincidence	28)
0.3 $\pm$ 0.1	delayed coincidence	29)
0.36 $\pm$ 0.10	delayed coincidence	30, 31)

Wherever a parameter other than  $\tau$ , but one directly related to it has been quoted as the result,  $\tau$  is computed from this parameter.

METHOD

REF. NO.

69 An 10

hmg

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, XN	ABX	7-20	C	7-30	BF3-I		4PI

451

Isotope	$\sigma_0 = \int_0^{20 \text{ MeV}} \sigma(E) dE,$ b MeV (exp)	$\sigma_0 = 0.06 \frac{NZ}{A},$ b MeV	$\sigma_{-3} = \int_0^{20 \text{ MeV}} \sigma(E)/E^3 dE,$ b/MeV (exp)	$\sigma_{-3} = 0.00225 A^{1/3},$ b/MeV
$^{203}\text{Tl}$	2.61	2.92	15.1	16.0
$^{209}\text{Tl}$	2.78	2.94	15.2	16.0

451




Fig. 1

Fig. 1.  $\sigma_{\gamma n} + \sigma_{\gamma, 2n}$  versus  $E_{\gamma}$  for  $^{203}\text{Tl}$  (full curve and  $^{209}\text{Bi}$  (dashed curve (Ref. 3))).

METHOD	REF. NO.
	70 Mo 1

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,G	LFT	6 (6.418)	D	6 (6.418)	SCD-D	0-7	DST

$J=1/2; E=6.418$  MEV




FIG. 4. Angular distribution of the elastic and one inelastic scattered line as measured using a 20-cc Ge(Li) detector.

TABLE II. Partial radiation widths  $\Gamma_i$  and  $E1, M1$  radiation strengths of intense transitions from the 6.418-MeV resonance state in  $^{203}\text{Tl}$ ; the level spacing  $D$  was taken 1.0 keV.

$\gamma$ energy (MeV)	$\Gamma_i$ ( $10^{-3}$ eV)	$B(E1)$ ( $10^{-6}$ MeV $^{-2}$ )	$B(M1)$ ( $10^{-6}$ MeV $^{-2}$ )
6.418	90	9.8	338
6.139	35	4.4	145
5.302	58	11.3	390
5.132	10	2.0	67
5.008	51	11.8	384
4.966	26	6.2	212
4.777	8	2.1	73
4.577	9	2.7	94
4.533	8	2.5	86
4.483	6	1.9	67
3.546	10	6.3	216

Elastic and inelastic nuclear resonant scattering of monochromatic photons from  $^{203}\text{Tl}$  has been studied using a 20-cc Ge(Li) detector. The  $\gamma$  source was obtained from thermal-neutron capture in titanium. The scattering isotope was identified by using an isotopically enriched thallium target. The energy of the resonance level in  $^{203}\text{Tl}$  was found to be 6.418 MeV. Assuming the high-energy lines to be primary transitions deexciting the resonance level, some 14 energy levels were found from the ground state up to 2.9 MeV, 4 of which may be identified with recently reported levels.

By measuring the angular distribution of the scattered radiation, the spin of the 6.418-MeV level was determined to be  $\frac{1}{2}$ , and thus, based on the assumption of dipole transitions, the spins of several low-lying levels were found to be either  $\frac{1}{2}$  or  $\frac{3}{2}$ . The total radiative width of the resonance level was determined and found to be  $\Gamma_\gamma = 0.32 \pm 0.06$  eV and  $\Gamma_0/\Gamma_\gamma = 0.026$ . The spectral shape of scattered radiation was found to have a strong intensity bump at about 5 MeV; this is discussed in the light of similar bumps obtained in  $(\pi, \gamma)$  and  $(d, p\gamma)$  reactions on nuclei in the same mass region.

Tatsuya Saito  
Nippon Kagaku Zasshi 92, 164 (1971)

Tl

203

81

METHOD

REF. NO.

71 Sa 1

egf

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,N	ABY	7-68	C	10-68	ACT-I		4PI

Nippon Kagaku Zasshi, 92, 164~168(1971)

The Yields of Radioactivities Induced by  $(\gamma, n)$  Reactions with Bremsstrahlung up to 68 MeV

by Tatsuya SAITO


The  $(\gamma, n)$  yields of 12 target nuclides have been measured at 10, 13, 16, 30, 45 and 68 MeV bremsstrahlung by observing the induced activities.

The energy dependence of the yields has been investigated extensively in the same way as in the previous work at 20 MeV bremsstrahlung.

In the case of heavy nuclides, the yields rise greatly as a function of maximum bombarding energy up to 20 MeV, and rise gradually from 20 MeV up to 68 MeV. However, in the case of light nuclides, the yields rise greatly up to 30 MeV, because the neutron separation energies of light ones are larger than those of heavy ones, and the bremsstrahlung spectrum covers the giant resonance and so the yields rise gradually from 30 MeV up to 68 MeV.

The yields have approximately been estimated from the parameter of the giant resonance, that is the peak cross section and the half width, in order to compare with the experimental data. As a result, the experimental data of light nuclides and heavy ones are nearly in agreement with the estimated data of Nathans et al., Johns et al. and Montalbetti et al., but those of medium weight ones are relatively lower values.


Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Tohoku University; Katahira-cho, Sendai-shi, Japan



●: 実験値, ⊕: Johns ら,  
○: Nathans ら, ○: Montalbetti ら  
図4  $(\gamma, n)$ 反応の収率の比較

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Tl	203	81
METHOD		REF. NO.
		74 Al 10
		egf

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,G	RLY	6	D	6	SCD-D		135



6 = 6.419 MEV

Fig. 2. - Decay scheme of the 6419.0 keV level in <sup>203</sup>Tl.

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Tl	203	81

METHOD

REF. NO.

78 Ma 10

hg

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,N	ABY	8-68	C	30-68	ACT	-I	4PI
G,2N	ABY	15-68	C	30-68	ACT	I	4PI
G,3N	ABY	23-68	C	30-68	ACT	-I	4PI

Analysis is made of reactions interfering with photon activation analysis procedures.

The activation yield curves have been presented for a number of photonuclear reactions in the energy range from 30 to 68 MeV, in order to evaluate quantitatively the interferences due to competing reactions in multielement photon activation analysis. The general features of the yields as functions of both target mass number and excitation energy were elucidated from the data obtained, discussion being given on the results in terms of the reaction mechanism.

Simultaneous neutron activation due to appreciable neutron production from the converter and surrounding materials has also been studied, and, finally, the magnitudes of interferences in real multielement analysis were given in the form of their energy dependences.




Fig. 2. Yield per equivalent quanta versus target neutron number.




Fig. 8. Activation yield curves for the reactions on Pb, Tl and Hg.

- $^{204}\text{Hg}(\gamma, n)^{203}\text{Hg}$ .
- ◇  $^{198}\text{Hg}(\gamma, n)^{197g}\text{Hg}$ .
- ▴  $^{198}\text{Hg}(\gamma, n)^{197m}\text{Hg}$ .
- ◄  $^{199}\text{Hg}(\gamma, p)^{198}\text{Au}$ .
- ▽  $^{204}\text{Pb}(\gamma, n)^{203}\text{Pb}$ .
- ▼  $^{204}\text{Pb}(\gamma, 2n)^{202m}\text{Pb}$ .
- △  $^{204}\text{Pb}(\gamma, 3n)^{201}\text{Pb}$ .
- $^{203}\text{Tl}(\gamma, n)^{202}\text{Tl}$ .
- $^{203}\text{Tl}(\gamma, 2n)^{201}\text{Tl}$ .
- ◐  $^{203}\text{Tl}(\gamma, 3n)^{200}\text{Tl}$ .

(over)




Fig. 9. Yields of the  $(\gamma, n)$  reactions as a function of bremsstrahlung maximum energy and target mass number. The numerical values in the figure are yields per equivalent quanta in mb.



ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Tl	203	81
REF. NO.		egf
81 Sc 6		

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,G	ABX	6		6	SCD-D		90

Elastic scattering by nuclei in the range of mass numbers between 64 and 238 has been studied with monochromatic photons in the energy range between 2 and 8 MeV. These photons were provided either by a Ti( $n, \gamma$ ) source installed in the tangential through channel of the Grenoble high flux reactor, or by  $^{24}\text{Na}$  and  $^{56}\text{Co}$  sources produced by deuteron bombardment of Al or Fe at the Göttingen cyclotron. The photoexcitation of 23 nuclear levels has been observed and the decay properties and groundstate widths of the majority of these levels have been determined. For the lead scattering target the coherent elastic differential cross section has been studied in detail. There is evidence that below the photo-neutron threshold the elastic scattering via virtual photoexcitation of the nucleus can be approximated by extrapolating the real part of the Giant Dipole Resonance amplitude along a Lorentzian curve. Coulomb corrections to Delbrück scattering seem to play a small role at 6.5 MeV.

6.418 MEV

Table 4. Properties of levels observed by photoexcitation.  $(d\sigma/d\Omega)^{\text{NR}}$ : experimental differential cross section per identified isotope or element for resonance scattering through  $\theta = 90^\circ$ .  $I^\pi$ : spin-parity of excited level;  $W(\theta)$ : angular correlation function:  $g = (2I_{ex} + 1)/(2I_g + 1)$ ;  $\Gamma_0$ : radiative groundstate transition width,  $\Gamma$ : total level width. Errors in the last digits are given in parentheses

Isotope	$E_x$ (MeV)	$(d\sigma/d\Omega)^{\text{NR}}$ ( $\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$ )	$I^\pi$	$\Gamma_0, \Gamma^c$	$W(\theta)g\Gamma_0^2/\Gamma$ (meV)	$\Gamma_0^f$ (meV)	$\Gamma_0^g$ (meV)
$^{238}\text{U}$	2.754	13 (4)	(1)	0.77	0.145	0.084	-
$^{238}\text{U}$	3.254	421 (5)	$1^-$	0.24	0.83	1.5	0.52(15) <sup>d</sup>
$^{209}\text{Bi}$	6.555	2.1 (4) $\cdot 10^2$	-	-	0.74	0.74 <sup>b</sup>	-
$^{209}\text{Bi}$	7.168	1.7 (3) $\cdot 10^5$	$9/2^{+*}$	1.00	710	786	820 (40) <sup>a</sup>
$^{203}\text{Tl}$	6.418	8.75(30) $\cdot 10^3$	$1/2^*$	0.28	30	102	82 (15) <sup>a</sup>
Tl	6.759	7 (3)	-	-	-	-	-
Hg	6.555	68 (17)	-	-	-	-	-
$^{186}\text{W}$	6.418	5.2 (3) $\cdot 10^2$	$1^{-*}$	0.32	1.75	2.4	-
$^{184}\text{W}$	6.555	9.8 (10) $\cdot 10^2$	(1)	0.52	3.44	2.9	-
$^{184}\text{W}$	6.759	46 (10)	(1)	0.58	0.17	0.13	-
$^{181}\text{Ta}$	3.010	174 (17)	-	0.72	0.42	0.59	-
$^{181}\text{Ta}$	6.418	62 (4)	-	0.73	0.2	0.27 <sup>c</sup>	-
$^{181}\text{Ta}$	6.759	4.8 (12)	-	-	0.018	0.018 <sup>b</sup>	-
$^{165}\text{Ho}$	6.418	10.3 (30)	-	-	0.035	0.035 <sup>b</sup>	-
$^{165}\text{Ho}$	6.759	5.6 (14)	-	-	0.021	0.021 <sup>b</sup>	-
Nd	2.754	2.6 (5)	-	-	-	-	-
Nd	3.254	14.0 (10)	-	-	-	-	-
Ce	6.759	13.4 (10)	-	-	-	-	-
$^{121}\text{Sb}$	3.452	2.20 (5) $\cdot 10^3$	-	0.60	2.9	4.9 <sup>b</sup>	-
$^{100}\text{Mo}$	6.418	1.53 (4) $\cdot 10^4$	$1^{-*}$	0.88	52	26	25 (8) <sup>a</sup>
$^{94}\text{Mo}$	6.555	4.4 (4) $\cdot 10^3$	(1)	0.33	15	21	-
Mo	6.759	6.2 (15)	-	-	-	-	-
Mo	7.168	8.2 (26) $\cdot 10^2$	-	-	-	-	-

<sup>a</sup> [11]    <sup>b</sup>  $W(\theta)g\Gamma_0/\Gamma = 1$  assumed    <sup>c</sup>  $W(\theta)g = 1$  assumed  
<sup>d</sup> [28] (a small correction has been applied to the data of [28])  
<sup>e</sup> Upper limits in case not all the transitions to lower levels were observed  
<sup>f</sup> Present work    <sup>g</sup> Previous work

(OVER)

**Table 2.** Elastic differential cross sections  $d\sigma/d\Omega$  ( $\theta = 90^\circ$ ) in  $\mu\text{b}/\text{sr}$  measured with the  $\text{Ti}(n, \gamma)$  source and compared with theoretical predictions.  $n$ : predicted number of levels in a  $\Delta E = 25 \text{ eV}$  interval at 6.5 MeV. Errors in the last digits are given in parentheses

Scattering target	6.418 MeV		6.555 MeV		6.759 MeV		7.168 MeV		n
	exp.	th.	exp.	th.	exp.	th.	exp.	th.	
$^{238}\text{U}$	23 (12)	10.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	45
$^{209}\text{Bi}$	-	-	219(39) <sup>b,c</sup>	8.0	12 (4)	7.4	$1.5(3) \cdot 10^2$ <sup>b,c</sup>	5.7	0.1
$^{208}\text{Pb}$	7.0(15)	8.6	-	-	6.5(11)	7.4	-	-	0.05
$^{205}\text{Tl}$	2,586 (92) <sup>a,c</sup>	7.5	-	-	13 (3) <sup>b</sup>	6.0	-	-	0.4
$^{201}\text{Hg}$	12 (3)	7.8	74(17) <sup>b</sup>	6.5	6.7(15)	6.4	-	-	3.4
$^{209}\text{W}$	159 (10) <sup>a,c</sup>	6.6	306(33) <sup>a,c</sup>	6.3	20 (2) <sup>a,c</sup>	5.6	-	-	13
$^{181}\text{Ta}$	68 (4) <sup>a,c</sup>	6.3	-	-	10.1(12) <sup>b,c</sup>	5.3	-	-	28
$^{165}\text{Ho}$	15 (3) <sup>b</sup>	4.7	-	-	9.5(14) <sup>b</sup>	3.9	-	-	18
$^{141}\text{Ce}$	4.1(21)	4.1	-	-	17 (1) <sup>b,c</sup>	3.6	-	-	0.04
$^{121}\text{Sn}$	4.2(13)	3.0	-	-	2.5 (5)	2.7	-	-	1.9
$^{121}\text{Mo}$	1,474 (44) <sup>a,c</sup>	2.5	407(39) <sup>a,c</sup>	2.5	8.5(15) <sup>b,c</sup>	2.3	817(258) <sup>b,c</sup>	2.0	0.5
$^{64}\text{Zn}$	2.4 (8)	1.6	-	-	1.8 (5)	1.5	-	-	0.3

<sup>a</sup> Transitions to excited states observed

<sup>b</sup> Photoexcitation identified from size of differential cross section

<sup>c</sup> Photoexcitation reported in [11]

TL  
A=205

TL  
A=205

TL  
A=205



METHOD				REF. NO.		JOC	
				57 El 2		JOC	
REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, A	ABX	THR-32	C	32	ACT-I		4PI

Tabelle 1.

Charakteristische Daten bekannter ( $\gamma, \alpha$ )-Anregungskurven.

$E_0$ : Einsatzenergie.  $E_{max}$ : Gammaenergie beim Wirkungsquerschnittsmaximum in MeV.  $\sigma_{max}$ : Maximaler Wirkungsquerschnitt in Mikrobarn.

	$E_0$ MeV	$E_{max}$ MeV	$\sigma_{max}$ $\mu b$
Cu <sup>66</sup>	13	21	1500
Rb <sup>87</sup>	15	22	100
Tl <sup>106</sup>	20	28	100

Elem. Sym.	A	Z
Tl	205	81

Method: Betatron;  $\alpha$  yield; radioactivity;  $\text{Cu}^{65}(\gamma, n)$  reaction. Ref. No. 57 Er 1 EGF

Reaction	E or $\Delta E$	$E_0$	$\Gamma$	$\int \sigma dE$	$J\pi$	Notes
$\text{Tl}^{205}(\gamma, \alpha)$	Bremss. 18-32	$25.0 \pm 1.0$		$0.35 \pm 0.07$ MeV-mb		$\sigma_{\text{max}} = 95 \pm 20$ $\mu\text{b}$ .
$\text{Tl}^{205}(\gamma, n\alpha)$				0.16 MeV-mb		Integral to 32 MeV.




Fig. 13. Wirkungsquerschnittsverlauf der Reaktionen  $\text{Tl}^{205}(\gamma, \alpha)\text{Au}^{201}$  und  $\text{Tl}^{205}(\gamma, n\alpha)\text{Au}^{200}$ .

REF.

K. N. Geller, J. Halpern, and E. G. Muirhead  
Phys. Rev. 118, 1302-12 (1960)

ELEM. SYM. A Z

Tl 205 81

METHOD

Betatron; neutron threshold; ion chamber

REF. NO.

60 Ge 3

NVB

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,N	NØX	THR	C	THR	BF3-I		4 PI

THRESHOLD

TABLE I. Summary and comparison of neutron separation energies inferred from present threshold measurements with values predicted from mass data and reaction energies. All energies are expressed in the center-of-mass system in Mev.

Reaction	No. runs	Present results	Other results	Method	Reference
$Tl^{205}(\gamma, n)Tl^{204}$	1	$7.515 \pm 0.029$	$7.62 \pm 0.17$	LSA	5

\* J. R. Huizenga, *Physica* 21, 410 (1955).

REF. G.P. Antropov, I.E. Mitrofanov, A.I. Prokof'ev & V.S. Russkikh  
 Izv. Akad. Nauk. Fiz. 34, 116 (1969)  
 Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR-Phys. 34, 108 (1970)


ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Tl	205	81

METHOD	REF. NO.
	69 An 10

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, XN	ABX	7-20	C	7-30	BF3-I		4PI

452

Isotope	$\sigma_0 = \int_0^{20 \text{ MeV}} \sigma(E) dE,$ b MeV (exp)	$\sigma_0 = 0.06 \frac{NZ}{A},$ b MeV	$\sigma_{-2} = \int_0^{20 \text{ MeV}} \sigma(E)/E^2 dE,$ b/MeV (exp)	$\sigma_{-2} = 0.0225 A^{1/2},$ b/MeV
$^{209}\text{Tl}$	2.61	2.92	15.1	16.0
$^{208}\text{Tl}$	2.78	2.94	15.2	16.0



452

Fig.2

Fig.2.  $\sigma_{\gamma n} + \sigma_{\gamma, 2n}$  versus  $E_{\gamma}$  for  $^{205}\text{Tl}$  (full curve) and  $^{208}\text{Pb}$  (dashed curve (Ref. 1)).



ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Tl	205	81
REF. NO.		
69 Mo 2		HMG

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,G	LFT	7 (7.646)	D	7 (7.646)	SCD-D	0-9	DST

7=7.646

Ground state radiation width of the 7.646 MeV level is  $\Gamma_0 = 0.57 \pm 0.06$  eV.

TABLE III. Partial radiation widths  $\Gamma_i$  and  $E1$  radiation strengths  $k_{E1}$  of intense transitions from the 7.646-MeV resonance state in  $^{205}\text{Tl}$ . The level spacing  $D$  was taken to be 1.0 keV.

Transition energy (MeV)	Level energy (MeV)	$\Gamma_i$ (eV)	$k_{E1}$ ( $10^{-3}$ MeV $^{-2}$ )
7.646	0	0.569	36.7
6.500	1.146	0.040	4.2
6.191	1.455	0.015	1.8
5.676	1.970	0.058	9.1
5.638	2.008	0.025	4.0
5.548	2.098	0.027	4.5
5.422	2.224	0.019	3.4
5.087	2.559	0.044	9.6
4.924	2.722	0.030	7.2
4.897	2.749	0.018	4.4
4.753	2.893	0.028	7.5
4.628	3.018	0.018	5.2
4.466	3.180	0.012	3.9
4.358	3.288	0.010	3.5




FIG. 6. Decay scheme of 7.646-MeV level of  $^{205}\text{Tl}$  showing level energies and corresponding branching ratios as constructed by assuming that all high-energy  $\gamma$  lines in the scattered-radiation spectrum are emitted in primary transitions. Most probable spin values of some levels assigned in the present work are shown. The parity of the scattering level shown in parentheses is uncertain.




FIG. 4. Scattered  $\gamma$ -ray spectrum from a Tl target after subtracting the contribution of a comparative Bi nonresonant scatterer of Fig. 3. The bump of strong intensity  $\gamma$ -rays around 5 MeV is clear.

S. Ramchandran and J. A. McIntyre  
 Phys. Rev. 179, 1153 (1969)

T1

205

81

METHOD

REF. NO.

69 Ra. 1

hmg

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,G	LFT	8	D	8	NAI		DST

$$W(\theta) \sim [1 + a P_2(\cos\theta)]$$

$$8 = 7.647 \text{ MEV}$$

[over]

TABLE II. Experiment compared to theory assuming a pure dipole transition.

${}^m\text{Zr}$	Cd	Sn	Hg	$\alpha \pm \Delta\alpha$ (Experimental)		${}^{m'}\text{Pb}$	${}^{m''}\text{Bi}$ (7.416 MeV)	${}^{m'''}\text{Bi}$ (7.149 MeV)	$A_2^2(I_0, J_0, I_1=1)$ (Theoretical)	$J_0$	$J_1$		
				${}^{m''}\text{Ti}$	${}^{m''}\text{Bi}$								
$0.489 \pm 0.027$	$0.488 \pm 0.034$	$0.490 \pm 0.095$	$0.48 \pm 0.11$		$0.485 \pm 0.026$				0.500	0	1		
	$0.488 \pm 0.034$	$0.490 \pm 0.095$	$0.48 \pm 0.11$	$0.0017 \pm 0.0110$					0.000	1/2	1/2		
	$0.488 \pm 0.034$	$0.490 \pm 0.095$	$0.48 \pm 0.11$	$0.0017 \pm 0.0110$					0.250	1/2	3/2		
			$0.48 \pm 0.11$						0.000	3/2	1/2		
			$0.48 \pm 0.11$						0.160	3/2	3/2		
			$0.48 \pm 0.11$						0.140	3/2	5/2		
									0.184 $\pm$ 0.074	0.024	9/2	7/2	
									0.195 $\pm$ 0.033	0.194	9/2	9/2	
									0.195 $\pm$ 0.033	0.184 $\pm$ 0.074	0.083	9/2	11/2

TABLE V. Summary of energy-level parameters.

Element	${}^m\text{Zr}$	Cd	Sn	Hg	${}^{m'}\text{Ti}$	${}^{m''}\text{Pb}$	${}^{m''}\text{Bi}$	${}^{m''}\text{Bi}$
Level energy (MeV)	8.496 <sup>a</sup>	6.485 <sup>b</sup>	6.988 <sup>c</sup>	4.906 <sup>d</sup>	7.647 <sup>e</sup>	7.277 <sup>f</sup>	7.416 <sup>g</sup>	7.149 <sup>h</sup>
$\gamma$ RAY SOURCE	Se	Co	Cu	Co	Fe	Fe	Se	Ti
	0- $\rightarrow$ 1	0- $\rightarrow$ 1	0- $\rightarrow$ 1	0- $\rightarrow$ 1	1/2- $\rightarrow$ 1/2	0- $\rightarrow$ 1	9/2- $\rightarrow$ 7/2	9/2- $\rightarrow$ 7/2
		(1/2- $\rightarrow$ 3/2)	(1/2- $\rightarrow$ 3/2)	3/2- $\rightarrow$ 5/2	(1/2- $\rightarrow$ 3/2)		9/2- $\rightarrow$ 9/2	9/2- $\rightarrow$ 9/2
$J_0 \rightarrow J_1$				(1/2- $\rightarrow$ 3/2)	(1/2- $\rightarrow$ 3/2)		9/2- $\rightarrow$ 11/2	9/2- $\rightarrow$ 11/2
				(3/2- $\rightarrow$ 3/2)				
$I_0/I_1$	$0.8 \pm 0.2$				$0.85 \pm 0.17$ <sup>i</sup>	$0.95_{-0.11}^{+0.08}$	$0.6 \pm 0.2$	
$I_0$ (eV)	$1.68 \pm 0.02$				$1.0^j$	$0.68 \pm 0.03$	$0.14 \pm 0.09$	
$\epsilon$ (eV)	$5.60 \pm 0.15$				$11.5 \pm 0.2^k$	$8.00 \pm 0.14$	$3.4 \pm 1.6$	

<sup>a</sup> L. V. Groshov, V. N. Lutsenko, A. M. Demidov, and V. I. Polekov, *Atlas of Gamma Spectra from Radiative Capture of Thermal Neutrons* (Pergamon Press, Inc., New York, 1959).  
<sup>b</sup> E. B. Shera and D. W. Halemeister, *Phys. Rev.* **159**, 894 (1966).  
<sup>c</sup> H. H. Rabin (private communication from L. M. Bollinger).  
<sup>d</sup> R. Moreh and G. Ben-Yaacov, Nuclear Research Center-Negev Report, NRCN-180, 1967, (unpublished).  
<sup>e</sup> L. V. Groshov, A. M. Demidov, G. A. Korotnikov, and V. N. Lutsenko, *Nucl. Phys.* **58**, 465 (1964).  
<sup>f</sup> G. T. Ewan and A. J. Tavendale, *Nucl. Instr. Methods* **26**, 183 (1964).  
<sup>g</sup> See Ref. 24a.

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Tl	205	81
REF. NO.		
70 Ce 1		egf

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G, G	SPC	$\delta$	D	$\delta$	SCD-D	0- $\delta$	145
		(7.646)		(7.646)			

$\delta = 7.646$  MEV

TABLE I  
 Energies and intensities of elastic and inelastic  $\gamma$ -rays scattered from a Tl target

$E_\gamma$ (keV)	$I_\gamma$ (rel) %	Energy levels			
		pres. work (keV)	a) (MeV)	b) (MeV)	c) (keV)
7646	$61.7 \pm 9.2$	0	0	0	0
		211 $\pm$ 5			205 $\pm$ 2
		623 $\pm$ 6			615 $\pm$ 5
6505 $\pm$ 3	$3.60 \pm 0.55$	1141 $\pm$ 3	1.14		
			1.21		
6309 $\pm$ 3	$0.80 \pm 0.15$	1337 $\pm$ 3	1.34		
6213 $\pm$ 3	$1.75 \pm 0.30$	1433 $\pm$ 3	1.43		
			1.48		
6089 $\pm$ 3	$1.25 \pm 0.20$	1557 $\pm$ 3	1.58		
6071 $\pm$ 5 <sup>d)</sup>	$0.75 \pm 0.15$	1575 $\pm$ 5			
5772 $\pm$ 4 <sup>d)</sup>	$0.50 \pm 0.15$	1874 $\pm$ 4	1.86		
5681 $\pm$ 2	$7.7 \pm 1.2$	1965 $\pm$ 2	1.96		
5645 $\pm$ 3	$3.35 \pm 0.50$	2001 $\pm$ 3	2.04		
			2.12		
5483 $\pm$ 3	$1.00 \pm 0.20$	2163 $\pm$ 3			
5436 $\pm$ 5 <sup>d)</sup>	$0.45 \pm 0.15$	2210 $\pm$ 5			
5424 $\pm$ 3	$2.00 \pm 0.30$	2222 $\pm$ 3			
5346 $\pm$ 3	$0.65 \pm 0.15$	2300 $\pm$ 3			
5331 $\pm$ 3	$0.75 \pm 0.15$	2315 $\pm$ 3	2.43		
			2.49		
5088 $\pm$ 3	$3.4 \pm 0.5$	2558 $\pm$ 3		2.61	
4977 $\pm$ 4	$0.30 \pm 0.10$	2669 $\pm$ 4		2.69	
4941 $\pm$ 5	$0.25 \pm 0.10$	2705 $\pm$ 5			
4923 $\pm$ 3	$2.05 \pm 0.30$	2723 $\pm$ 3			
4895 $\pm$ 3	$1.05 \pm 0.20$	2751 $\pm$ 3	2.74		
4750 $\pm$ 3	$1.75 \pm 0.30$	2896 $\pm$ 3			
4625 $\pm$ 3	$0.85 \pm 0.15$	3021 $\pm$ 3			
4470 $\pm$ 5	$0.50 \pm 0.15$	3176 $\pm$ 5			
4357 $\pm$ 3	$0.65 \pm 0.15$	3289 $\pm$ 3			

a)  $^{204}\text{Pb}(t, \alpha)^{203}\text{Tl}$  reaction. The errors are  $\pm 20$  keV. Ref. <sup>10)</sup>.  
 b) Ref. <sup>11)</sup>. No error is given.  
 c) Ref. <sup>12)</sup>.  
 d) The evidence for this  $\gamma$ -transition is regarded as uncertain.

10) S. Hinds, R. Middleton, J. H. Bjerregard, O. Hansen and O. Nathan, Nucl. Phys. **33** (1966) 17  
 11) J. P. Wurm and E. Grosse, Phys. Lett. **23B** (1969) 413  
 12) C. Lederer, J. M. Hollander and J. Perlman, Table of isotopes (J. Wiley and Sons Inc., New York, 1967) p. 394

[over]




Fig. 5. The energy levels of  $^{209}\text{Tl}$  as observed in the present work. The dashed lines indicate that the existence of that level or transition is questionable.

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Tl	205	81
REF. NO.		
70 Mo 2		hmg

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G <sub>2</sub> G	ABX	8	D	8	SCD-D		DST
		(7.646)		(7.646)			

$\delta = 7.646, \text{LFT}$

TABLE III. Summary of the results of spins, parities, and total widths of resonance levels excited by  $\gamma$  rays obtained from neutron capture in iron. Parities in parantheses are uncertain.

Isotope	Energy (MeV)	$\delta =  E_r - E_e $ (eV)	$J^{\pi}_0$	$J^{\pi}_r$	Transition	$\Gamma_0/\Gamma_\gamma$ (=3%)	$\Gamma_\gamma$ ( $10^{-3}$ eV)
<sup>50</sup> Cr	3.333	18 ± 1	0 <sup>+</sup>	1	...	0.90	750 ± 200
<sup>62</sup> Ni	7.646	14 ± 1	0 <sup>+</sup>	1 <sup>-</sup>	E1	0.64	480 ± 50
<sup>74</sup> Ge	6.018	4.5 ± 0.5	0 <sup>+</sup>	1 <sup>-</sup>	E1	0.19	120 ± 15
<sup>75</sup> As	7.646	7.4 ± 0.3	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	1/2 <sup>(+)</sup>	...	0.11	360 ± 100
<sup>109</sup> Ag	7.632	9 ± 1	1/2 <sup>-</sup>	3/2	...	0.7	2 = 1
<sup>112</sup> Cd	7.632	4.8 ± 0.4	0 <sup>+</sup>	1 <sup>-</sup>	E1	0.55	36 ± 15
<sup>133</sup> La	6.018	3.2 ± 0.6	7/2 <sup>+</sup>	7/2 <sup>-</sup>	E1	0.50	51 <sup>+14</sup> <sub>-3</sub>
<sup>141</sup> Pr	7.632	11.4 <sup>-0.3</sup> <sub>0.3</sub>	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	M1	0.46	72 <sup>+4</sup> <sub>-3</sub>
<sup>205</sup> Tl	7.646	9.3 ± 0.3	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	1/2 <sup>(-)</sup>	...	0.58	980 ± 90
<sup>203</sup> Pb	7.279	7.1 ± 0.3	0 <sup>+</sup>	1 <sup>+</sup>	M1	1.00	780 ± 60

TABLE IV. Effective elastic scattering cross section  $\langle\sigma_r\rangle = \sigma_0^n (\Gamma_0/\Gamma_\gamma) \Psi(x_0, t_0)$ , where  $\delta, J, \Gamma_0, \Gamma_\gamma$  were taken from Table III. The temperature of the scatterer was 300°K, while that of the iron  $\gamma$  source was 640°K.

Target	Resonance energy (MeV)	$\langle\sigma_r\rangle$ (mb)
<sup>50</sup> Cr	3.333	905
<sup>62</sup> Ni	7.646	569
<sup>74</sup> Ge	6.018	61
<sup>75</sup> As	7.646	4.4
<sup>109</sup> Ag	7.632	3.5
<sup>112</sup> Cd	7.632	193
<sup>133</sup> La	6.018	39
<sup>141</sup> Pr	7.632	20
<sup>205</sup> Tl	7.646	574
<sup>203</sup> Pb	7.279	5560

REF.


E. D. Earle, J. W. Knowles, M. A. Lone, G. A. Bartholomew  
Nucl. Phys. A257, 365 (1976)

ELEM. SYM.	A	Z
Tl	205	81

METHOD

REF. NO.	
76 Ea 1	egf

REACTION	RESULT	EXCITATION ENERGY	SOURCE		DETECTOR		ANGLE
			TYPE	RANGE	TYPE	RANGE	
G,G	ABX	3- 8	D	3- 8	NAI-D		90

Fig. 4. The  $\gamma$ -ray quasielastic scattering cross section for  $^{205}\text{Tl}$  as a function of  $\gamma$ -ray energy.





## DEFINITIONS OF ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS

Note: In this list definitions are given for various photoneutron reactions in which the following symbols are used: N, NL, nN, SN and XN. Corresponding definitions apply for reactions involving other nuclear particles where the symbols N (neutron) is replaced by, e.g. P, D, T, HE, A etc. Where unknown reactions result in the production of a specific radionuclide, the chemical symbol and mass number is listed as the reaction product, e.g. a G,NA22 reaction in  $^{59}\text{Co}$ .

A	alpha particle		response function. Contrast with D = discrete.
ANAL	analysis		
ABI	absolute integrated cross-section data	CCH	cloud chamber
ABX	absolute cross-section data	CF	compared with
ABY	absolute yield data. Often means cross-section per equivalent quantum is listed.	CHRGD	charged
ACT	measurement of induced radioactivity of the target	CMPT	Compton
ASM	asymmetric, asymmetry	COIN COINC	coincidence, coincide
AVG	average	COH	coherent
BBL	bubble chamber	CK	Cerenkov
BEL B(EL)	reduced electric radiative transition probability	D	deuteron or discrete. When discrete, it is used to describe a photon source or a detector response function. Contrast with C = continuous.
BF3	BF <sub>3</sub> neutron counter with moderator e.g., Halpern detector, long counter	DLTE	energy loss
BML	reduced magnetic radiative transition probability, B(ML)	DLTQ	momentum transfer
BREAKS	levels located by "breaks" in the yield curve	DST	distribution
BRKUP	breakup	DT BAL	detailed balance
BRMS	bremsstrahlung	E	electron
BTW	between	E/	inelastically scattered electron
C	continuous. Used to describe a photon source or a detector	E+	positron
		EDST	energy distribution or spectrum
		E/N	used only to indicate a coincidence experiment as in (E,E/N).

	N stands for any outgoing particle measured in coincidence with an inelastically scattered electron. Distinguish from eg., (E,N) which is used to represent an electron induced reaction when only the outgoing particle N is detected.	KE	kinetic energy
EMU	emulsions (photographic plates)	L	may be an integer or zero that always follows a reaction product symbol. This is used to indicate transitions to specific states in the residual nuclide. When the letter is used as in (G,NL) the cross section given is that for the sum of transitions to two or more specific final states.
EXCIT	excited	LFT	excited state lifetime
F	fission	LIM	limit
FMF	form factor	LV,LVS	level, levels
FM-1	inverse femtometers	LQD	liquid
FRAG	fragment	MAG	magnetic spectrometer
G	photon	MEAS	measurement(s)
G/	inelastically scattered photon	MGC	magnetic Compton spectrometer
G-WIDTH	gamma-ray transition width	MGP	magnetic pair spectrometer
HAD	hadrons, hadron production	MOD	moderated neutron detector <u>not</u> employing a BF <sub>3</sub> counter, e.g. rhodium foil, Szilard-Chalmers reaction, <sup>3</sup> He, <sup>6</sup> Li reactions, GD loaded liquid scintillator, etc.
HE He3	<sup>3</sup> He particle	MSP	mass spectrometer
INT	interaction, integral, intensity	MULT	multiple, multipole, multiplicity
INC	includes	MU-T	used only in combination with G to indicate a total photon absorption cross section measurement, i.e. (G,MU-T)
ION	ionization chamber	N	neutron (see also XN and SN). The notation (G,N) is used to indicate a reaction in which only a single neutron is emitted, i.e. the reaction that can, in many cases, be measured by observing the radioactive decay of the residual nuclide.
ISOB	isobaric		
ISM	isomer		
J	multiplicity of particle defined by following symbol e.g. (G,PJN) with remark J = 2,3,5,7		
JPI J-PI	spin and parity of a nuclear state		
K	second multiplicity index, e.g. (G,JPKN) with both J & K positive integers greater than 1		

nN	where n is any integer. (G,nN) indicates the sum over all reaction cross sections in which n neutrons are emitted.	SN	sum of neutron producing reactions, $\sigma(\gamma,SN)=\sigma(\gamma,N) + \sigma(\gamma,NP) + \sigma(\gamma,2N) + \sigma(\gamma,3N) + \text{etc.}$
NAI	NaI(Tl) spectrometer	SPC	photon or particle energy spectrum
NEUT	neutron(s)	SPK	spark chamber
NOX	no cross-section data	SPL	spallation
P	proton (see also XP)	STAT	statistical
PART	particle(s)	SYM	symetric, symmetry
PHOT	photon(s)	T	triton
PI	pion, usually written as PI+, PI-, PIO to indicate charge	TEL	counter telescope
POL	polarized or polarization	THR	threshold for reaction or threshold detector, e.g., $^{29}\text{Si}(n,p)^{29}\text{Al}$ .
Q-SQUAR	momentum transfer squared ( $q^2$ )	TOF	time-of-flight detector
RCL	recoil	TRK	tracks of particles or fragments observed in solid materials (glass, mylar, etc.)
REL	relative	TRNS	transition
RLI	relative integrated cross-section data	UKN UNK	unknown
RLX	relative cross-section data	VIB	vibrational
RSP	reaction spectrometer	VIR PHOT	virtual photon(s)
RLY	relative yield data	XN	all neutrons, total neutron yield, $\sigma(\gamma,XN) = \sigma(\gamma,N) + 2\sigma(\gamma,2N) + 3\sigma(\gamma,3N) + \sigma(\gamma,NP) + \text{etc.}$
SCTD	scattered	XP	all protons, total proton yield $\sigma(\gamma,XP) = \sigma(\gamma,P) + \sigma(\gamma,NP) + 2\sigma(\gamma,2P) + \text{etc.}$
SCD	semiconductor (solid state) detector	XX XXX	reaction products defined in REMARKS
SCI	scintillator detector other than NaI, e.g., CsI, KI, organic (liquid or solid), stilbene, He	YLD	yield
SEP	separation		
SEP ISOTP	separated isotope used		
SIG	SIGMA (cross section)		

4PI	a $4\pi$ geometry was used or a method like radioactivity or a total absorption measurement		products was determined. The polarized particle is indicated in REMARKS.
999	energy defined in REMARKS	* or @	symbols used to indicate that the units associated with the numerals on one or both sides of the symbol in a specific column are not MeV. The units are defined in REMARKS.
S	indicates the measurement involved beams or targets that were either polarized or aligned, or that the polarization of the reaction		

U.S. DEPT. OF COMM. <b>BIBLIOGRAPHIC DATA SHEET</b> <i>(See instructions)</i>	1. PUBLICATION OR REPORT NO.	2. Performing Organ. Report No.	3. Publication Date
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE  <p style="text-align: center;">Photonuclear Data-Abstract Sheets 1955-1982</p>			
5. AUTHOR(S)  <p style="text-align: center;">E.G. Fuller and Henry Gerstenberg</p>			
6. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION <i>(If joint or other than NBS, see instructions)</i>  NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE WASHINGTON, D.C. 20234		7. Contract/Grant No.	8. Type of Report & Period Covered
9. SPONSORING ORGANIZATION NAME AND COMPLETE ADDRESS <i>(Street, City, State, ZIP)</i>			
10. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES  <input type="checkbox"/> Document describes a computer program; SF-185, FIPS Software Summary, is attached.			
11. ABSTRACT <i>(A 200-word or less factual summary of most significant information. If document includes a significant bibliography or literature survey, mention it here)</i>  <p>These abstract sheets cover most classes of experimental photonuclear data leading to information of the electromagnetic matrix element between the ground and excited states of a given nucleus. This fifteen volume work contains nearly 7200 abstract sheets and covers 89 chemical elements from hydrogen through americium. It represents a twenty-seven year history of the study of electromagnetic interactions. The sheets are ordered by target element, target isotope, and by an assigned bibliographic reference code. Information is given on the type of measurement, excitation energies studied, source type and energies, detector type, and angular ranges covered in the measurement. For a given reference, the relevant figures and tables are mounted on a separate sheet for each nuclide studied.</p>			
12. KEY WORDS <i>(Six to twelve entries; alphabetical order; capitalize only proper names; and separate key words by semicolons)</i> data-abstract sheets, elements, experimental, isotopes, nuclear physics, photonuclear reactions			
13. AVAILABILITY  <input type="checkbox"/> Unlimited <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> For Official Distribution. Do Not Release to NTIS <input type="checkbox"/> Order From Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.  <input type="checkbox"/> Order From National Technical Information Service (NTIS), Springfield, VA. 22161		14. NO. OF PRINTED PAGES	15. Price





