

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES
Sitting as a Court of Impeachment

In Re)
)
Impeachment of)
President William Jefferson Clinton)

Factual Record

Selected Redacted Witness
Interview Notes

The United States
House of Representatives

HENRY J. HYDE
F. JAMES SENSENBRENNER, JR.
BILL McCOLLUM
GEORGE W. GEKAS
CHARLES T. CANADY
STEPHEN E. BUYER
ED BRYANT
STEVE CHABOT
BOB BARR
ASA HUTCHINSON
CHRIS CANNON
JAMES E. ROGAN
LINDSEY O. GRAHAM

Managers on the Part of the House

OFFICE OF THE INDEPENDENT COUNSEL

Date of transcription 2/2/98

NANCY RISDON, Vice President Corporate Affairs, REVLON was made available for interview by her attorney, CHARLES A. STILLMAN at the law firm STILLMAN and FRIEDMAN, [REDACTED] STILLMAN and his associate, JOHN B. HARRIS, were present during the interview. All persons present were advised of the purpose of the interview and the official and personal identity of investigators COPELAND and BASTIN. RISDON thereafter provided the following information.

RISDON has been employed in her present position for 2 years and has been with REVLON for 4 ½ years.

RISDON recalls that on about January 9, 1998 she received a telephone call from ALLYN SEIDMAN, Vice President, Corporate Communications for REVLON telling her that she was sending someone over for interview who was recommended by 62nd Street (location of MFH, holding company controlling REVLON). RISDON recalls that SEIDMAN described the new applicant as "outgoing, self assured, confident, poised and presented herself well for a job interview". She also had a background in public affairs.

RISDON advised that after talking with LEWINSKY for a few minutes and reviewing her resume, it was clear to her that LEWINSKY was not a person well suited for the position she had available but was convinced that she would be very useful elsewhere in the company.

RISDON remembered talking to LEWINSKY about how she came to apply for work at REVLON and was told that she knew VERNON JORDAN without saying anything further about their relationship. RISDON observed that any applicant friend of a board member gets treated with courtesy. RISDON also remembers talking about how LEWINSKY was able to obtain a job at the White House and LEWINSKY indicated to her that she had assistance from political friends in Oregon. LEWINSKY also said that her mother has bought an apartment just two blocks from the REVLON office.

RISDON stated that she walked with LEWINSKY to the company's Human Resources Department where she could be interviewed by JENNA SHELDON, Director of that department. SHELDON was busy at the time and so RISDON kept LEWINSKY company for awhile. They engaged in "girl talk" and at one point LEWINSKY responded to a comment concerning a shortage of apartments in New York by saying that she had lunch with HILLARY CLINTON last week and she had indicated that she would assist LEWINSKY in finding an

Investigation on 1/26/98 at New York City, NY File # 29D-LR-35063

by [REDACTED] JWB:jwb Date dictated 1/27/98

29D-LR-35063

Continuation of CIC-302 of Nancy Risdon , On 1/26/98 , Page 2

apartment.

RISDON recalls that at some time following her interview with LEWINSKY she called SEIDMAN and told her that LEWINSKY was not right for her but maybe someone else in the company. RISDON later heard that LEWINSKY had been hired to assist two other junior employees.

RISDON is described as follows from observation and interview.

Name: NANCY RISDON
Sex: Female
Race: Caucasian
DOB: [REDACTED]
SSAN: [REDACTED]
Residence: [REDACTED]
New York City, NY 10025
Telephone: [REDACTED]
Employment: REVLON
625 Madison Ave.
New York City, NY 10022
Telephone: [REDACTED]
Title: Vice President, Corporate Affairs

OFFICE OF THE INDEPENDENT COUNSEL

Date of transcription 4/3/98

NANCY RISDON, Manager of Public Relations for Corporate Affairs, REVLON, INCORPORATED, was interviewed at the law office of STILLMAN & FRIEDMAN, P.C., [REDACTED], New York, New York. Present at the time of interview was CHARLES STILLMAN, attorney for MC ANDREWS & FORBES HOLDINGS (MFH). Also present at the interview were Assistant Independent Counsels THOMAS BIENERT and STEVEN BINHAK.

RISDON said that her immediate superior was ALLYN SEIDMAN, the head of Corporate Communications, which performs public relations functions for REVLON.

RISDON first heard the name MONICA LEWINSKY on January 9, 1998, when she was asked by ALLYN SEIDMAN to interview her. LEWINSKY was in the REVLON offices that day and had already spoken with SEIDMAN. SEIDMAN told RISDON that this person was probably going to be part of their department in some capacity. RISDON explained that she had been looking for a person to fill a specific function in her department for some time and SEIDMAN suggested that she talk to LEWINSKY in that regard. There was no mention of VERNON JORDAN. RISDON said she has not spoken JORDAN.

RISDON became aware that LEWINSKY had been referred to REVLON by "62 Street," a reference to MFH, the owner of REVLON. She said that such referrals are important and taken seriously. Everyone at REVLON knows that these people are "contenders" and have important connections and are likely to be ultimately hired.

In her interview, RISDON's intent was to determine LEWINSKY's qualifications. She had been looking for someone with five to ten years of public relations experience. She was impressed with LEWINSKY and thought she was bright and articulate; however, she had no public relations expertise. LEWINSKY had done a little work with handling and arranging events, but had no professional experience. She did have other qualities such as being well dressed and groomed. She also had a Top Secret security clearance and had White House experience. LEWINSKY also had some background in dealings with famous people, which was considered a plus for RISDON's department.

Investigation on 3/27/98 at New York, New York File # 29D-OIC-LR-35063

by [REDACTED] Date dictated 4/3/98

29D-OIC-LR-35063

Continuation of OIC-302 of NANCY RISDON , On 3/27/98 , Page 2

LEWINSKY did not say much about the White House and her job there. She did talk about her position at the Department of Defense and mentioned the name of the person for whom she worked.

RISDON made no notes during the interview. Not long into the conversation, she realized that LEWINSKY was not suitable for her department due to a lack of qualifications. After their conversation, RISDON took LEWINSKY to the Human Resources Department where she learned that LEWINSKY was officially in the application process.

Later, RISDON spoke with SEIDMAN and said she had determined LEWINSKY was not suitable for her, however, in her assessment, LEWINSKY had other assets which made her suitable for consideration for a junior position. There was no discussion of specifics such as potential salary level or starting dates. RISDON had no discussion of LEWINSKY's qualifications with anyone else.

RISDON was under the impression that LEWINSKY was looking for a position to start as soon as possible; however no specific timeframe was mentioned in their conversation.

LEWINSKY told RISDON that she was going to have to start looking for an apartment. In the course of their conversation, she mentioned that she had had lunch with HILLARY RODHAM CLINTON the previous week and that Mrs. CLINTON had volunteered to assist with her obtaining an apartment. RISDON said that LEWINSKY was precocious and was dropping names during interview, including a mention of VERNON JORDAN. RISDON's impression was that LEWINSKY's comments strained credulity.

RISDON said that in the public relations field at REVLON, it is frequent that "children of privilege" are hired. They get there through their families or other connections, so this situation with LEWINSKY's referral from MFH for a position was not really unusual. RISDON said that hiring persons with "connections" is part of the corporate culture at the company.

In RISDON'S opinion, the job LEWINSKY was offered at REVLON was within her qualifications, i.e. a college graduate

OSC-302a (Rev. 6-19-94)

29D-OIC-LR-35063

Continuation of OSC-302 of NANCY RISDON , On 3/27/98 , Page 3

with one or two years work experience. She was suited for an entry level job and that was what she was offered.

OFFICE OF THE INDEPENDENT COUNSEL

Date of transcription 2/18/98

KATHRYN HAYCOCK PROFFITT, United States Ambassador to Malta, home telephone [REDACTED], has a permanent residence in Arizona. Her business phone number is [REDACTED].

PROFFITT was introduced to MONICA LEWINSKY through a friend, DOUG WILSON, Deputy Assistant Secretary at the Pentagon. PROFFITT was a member of the Republicans For CLINTON/GORE 1996 campaign, when WILSON was simultaneously working for a faction of the CLINTON/GORE campaign in Arizona. WILSON was in charge of coordinating state events for Democratic candidates in Arizona. WILSON introduced PROFFITT to LEWINSKY in the summer of 1997, in WILSON's Pentagon Office.

PROFFITT and LEWINSKY discussed LEWINSKY's thoughts about leaving the Pentagon. LEWINSKY wanted to leave because her mother wanted to go to New York. LEWINSKY was not happy with her Pentagon salary after talking with BACON. LEWINSKY was not sure if she wanted a promotion at the Pentagon, but certainly wanted a pay increase. PROFFITT described LEWINSKY as "gushy."

PROFFITT was later approached by LEWINSKY, who stated that the two had a mutual friend. LEWINSKY was referring to DOUG WILEY. LEWINSKY led PROFFITT to believe that WILEY and LEWINSKY had been dating and that WILEY had emotionally hurt LEWINSKY. This prompted PROFFITT to assist LEWINSKY in the relationship with WILEY by sending an electronic mail to WILEY in support of LEWINSKY. PROFFITT had been led to believe, after talking with LEWINSKY, that LEWINSKY and WILEY had experienced a somewhat lengthy relationship. However, after talking with WILEY, PROFFITT was informed that WILEY and LEWINSKY had gone out on one, or maybe two dates, and the relationship just was not working out.

Whenever PROFFITT was in Washington, D.C., she would stay at the WATERGATE HOTEL. PROFFITT recalled that, when she had been undergoing United States Senate confirmation hearings, she received a package of materials prepared by LEWINSKY. The materials were delivered to PROFFITT's room at the Watergate, and

(telephonically)

Investigation on 2/18/98 at Washington, D.C. File # 29D-OIG-LR-35063 *Sub 46*
 by SA CHRIS A. MCKINNEY Date dictated 2/18/98 *ml*

OIC-302a (Rev. 8-19-94)

29D-OIC-LR-35063

Continuation of OIC-302 of KATHRYN HAYCOCK PROFFITT . On 2/18/98 . Page 2

were intended to assist PROFFITT in her confirmation hearings.
PROFFITT recalled that LEWINSKY had done the research and stated
"[Lewinsky] was very eager to please."

OFFICE OF THE INDEPENDENT COUNSEL

Date of transcription 3/30/98

JAMES CONROY, Date of Birth [REDACTED] Senior Vice President for Corporate Affairs and Special Counsel, MC ANDREWS & FORBES HOLDINGS (MFH), 35 East 62 Street, New York, New York, was interviewed at the law office of STILLMAN & FRIEDMAN, P.C., 425 Park Avenue, New York, New York. Present at the time was CHARLES STILLMAN, attorney for MFH. Also present were Assistant Independent Counsels THOMAS BIENERT and STEVEN BINHAK. During interview CONROY provided the following information:

CONROY resides at [REDACTED]

CONROY'S office telephone number is [REDACTED]. He would use this number to place all calls, including any to VERNON JORDAN.

CONROY first heard of MONICA LEWINSKY in mid-December, 1997 when he was asked by RICHARD HALPERIN, a colleague at MFH, to participate in an interview which was being conducted at the request of VERNON JORDAN. CONROY is acquainted with JORDAN as a result of his association with MFH. He occasionally talks with him on business matters and estimated he has spoken with him approximately three to four times in the past year. At no time prior to the interview did CONROY have any discussion with JORDAN about LEWINSKY. CONROY'S understanding at the time was that LEWINSKY was interested in a career in public relations in New York. The purpose of the meeting was to acquaint her with the field and give her an idea of the structure of the public relations (PR) business. He did not know she was a candidate for employment at that time.

HALPERIN had said he was asked by JORDAN to "be nice to this person". CONROY saw that as a reason to spend time with her. The only information he had been given about her prior to the interview was her name. CONROY said it was not remarkably unusual for CONROY and/or HALPERIN to counsel a person with entry level qualifications.

Investigation on 3/27/98 at New York, New York File # 29D-OIC-LR-35063
by [REDACTED] Date dictated 3/30/98

OIC-302a (Rev. 8-19-94)

29D-OIC-LR-35063

Continuation of OIC-302 of JAMES CONROY , On 3/27/98 , Page 2

A couple of days after HALPERIN first mentioned LEWINSKY to him, the interview took place. The three got together in the late morning of December 18, 1997, at the office of MFH. There was general conversation about her career aspirations.

During the meeting, the flow of information was primarily from HALPERIN and CONROY to LEWINSKY. She was seeking career advice and they provided it. They discussed some principles of PR, including such basics as the fact there was an agency side and a corporate/client side of the business. They also mentioned some of the PR firms on retainer to MFH such as ROBINSON, LERER & MONTGOMERY, HOWARD RUBENSTEIN ASSOCIATES, and KEKST & COMPANY. They also talked about careers and working environment at REVLOX, one of the holdings of MFH.

CONROY's assessment of LEWINSKY was that she did not have a deep knowledge of the field; however, she appeared to be bright, energetic, and personable. In consideration of her age, experience, and attributes, she seemed to be a plausible candidate for hiring.

She mentioned her work experience at the White House and the Pentagon. CONROY was impressed by that information. He inferred from her remarks that these two jobs had been good experiences for LEWINSKY. In her comments, LEWINSKY did not mention any personalities at the White House or the Pentagon.

CONROY's recollection of the meeting was that it seemed disjointed as a result of several interruptions requiring his and HALPERIN's time and attention. The interview lasted a total of 20 to 30 minutes and was congenial in tone. At the conclusion of the meeting which ended on an upbeat note, CONROY offered to put LEWINSKY in touch with REVLOX, as well as the other firms mentioned above. Plans for their next contact with LEWINSKY were left open. CONROY anticipated sending her to see all the companies about which they spoke. It was going to be his responsibility to handle the matter.

LEWINSKY told CONROY and HALPERIN that she had decided to move to New York, but did not know her schedule. She did not

29D-OIC-LR-35063

Continuation of OIC-302 of JAMES CONROY , On 3/27/98 , Page 3

indicate a sense of urgency. There was no discussion of a time frame for action addressed with LEWINSKY.

After LEWINSKY left their office, HALPERIN and CONROY engaged in no discussion of her or the interview. Both men became involved in their respective work.

CONROY did nothing on the matter. The date was around December 18, 1998, and the office was slowing down for the holidays. He had decided he would do nothing further about it until after the first of the year. CONROY was unaware of anything having been said or implied indicating there was a need to act promptly.

Some days after the interview, CONROY received a thank you note in the mail from LEWINSKY. Based upon the content of the note, he felt he would wait for her to re-contact him after the first of the year, as she had indicated was her intention.

The next time he was aware of LEWINSKY in any way, was early in the morning of January 21, 1998, when he heard a news report mentioning her and VERNON JORDAN. He recognized her as the person with whom he had spoken. CONROY called the office and spoke with HALPERIN, asking him if the news reports were about the same person they interviewed. After confirming that it was, CONROY remarked that it was going to be an interesting day. He left for work shortly thereafter. Upon arrival, he spoke with HALPERIN and BARRY SCHWARTZ about the matter.

On that day, ALLYN SEIDMAN called CONROY and told him about LEWINSKY and what had been going on with her and REVLON. CONROY had been aware that SEIDMAN had been interviewing a candidate referred to REVLON by MFH. SEIDMAN and CONROY speak on the telephone several times per day as a matter of routine. At some point she had mentioned to him that REVLON had been interviewing a person for a job who had been sent to them by "the townhouse," a reference to MFH. CONROY had not connected this information to the person he interviewed (LEWINSKY). SEIDMAN did not mention JORDAN in connection with this reference.

CONROY has had no communication with VERNON JORDAN since this matter came up.

OIC-302a (Rev. 8-19-94)

29D-OIC-LR-35063

Continuation of OIC-302 of JAMES CONROY . On 3/27/98 . Page 4

CONROY prepared a press release regarding LEWINSKY to present the company's position.

OFFICE OF THE INDEPENDENT COUNSEL

Date of transcription 4/3/98

ERIN RICE MILLS, date of birth [REDACTED] Director, Corporate Practice, BURSON-MARSTELLER, 230 Park Avenue South, New York, New York, was interviewed at the office of MARK DILLER, corporate attorney for BURSON-MARSTELLER. Also present were MARK DILLER and Assistant Independent Counsel STEVEN BINHAK. MILLS has been employed in the above-mentioned position for approximately the past two years. She resides at [REDACTED] New York, New York.

As one of the Directors of the Corporate Practice, she handles and manages the corporate financial accounts. In addition, a human resources role has been added to her responsibilities. She identifies the personnel needs of the practice nationwide and communicates that information back to the company's primary human resources office. She handles personnel at all levels, from entry upward. MILLS interviews all the applicants for positions in the New York office. The people she interviews come to her either through the Human Resources Office or referrals from BURSON-MARSTELLER colleagues.

When interviewing prospective employees, she asks questions regarding their experiences, strengths, weaknesses, and other related questions. Her interviews generally take 15-20 minutes. She addresses the needs of the specific position the applicant will fill, as well as the general needs of the practice. If she does not think highly of the person, she sends a message to Human Resources indicating the Corporate Practice has no interest. At that point, she can send the resume back to Human Resources for some other action, such as submitting it to another practice for their consideration. If she thinks the person would be an asset to the practice, she presents him or her to GUS WEILL, Chairman of the Corporate Practice, for his assessment and opinion. No one can be hired for the practice without the approval of WEILL. She has never attempted to overrule or argue about WEILL's negative opinion of an interviewee, but stated she would be comfortable doing so if she felt it were needed.

When a person is going to be hired, the practice sets the terms, conditions, and salary; however, Human Resources actually makes the offer.

Investigation on 03/31/98 at New York, New York File # 29D-OIC-LR-35063

by [REDACTED] Date dictated 4/3/98

29D-OIC-LR-35063

Continuation of OIC-302 of ERIN RICE MILLS , On 03/31/98 , Page 2

MILLS first heard of MONICA LEWINSKY when she was contacted by GUS WEILL one day saying there was a candidate for employment who had been referred to BURSON-MARSTELLER by PETER GEORGESCU. WEILL said her name was MONICA LEWINSKY and she was in the building that day. He asked MILLS to meet with her on the spur of the moment. At the time of this request, WEILL did not relay his impression of LEWINSKY. MILLS estimated that WEILL will make a request for her to meet someone on short notice approximately one time per month.

In view of the fact that LEWINSKY was a referral from GEORGESCU, it was considered a priority matter. MILLS added, however, that in spite of the referral, their consideration of LEWINSKY would have been objective, with the referral not being factored into a consideration for employment beyond her qualifications.

MILLS spoke with LEWINSKY in her office for about ten minutes. MILLS recalled that the interview was on the day before she went on vacation. LEWINSKY appeared qualified for an entry-level position. LEWINSKY was articulate and sophisticated. Knowing that LEWINSKY wanted something in media relations, MILLS was thinking about that kind of job for her. MILLS thought she would be good in the position; she had telephone experience and was more poised than some of the candidates they see. When asked why she wanted to relocate to New York, LEWINSKY said her mother lived in New York and she wanted to be closer to her. She said she was planning to move to New York on or about January 1, 1998. MILLS recommended to LEWINSKY that she should take the BURSON-MARSTELLER writing test. At the conclusion of the interview, MILLS told LEWINSKY she should call her after she had made the move to New York and they would talk again.

During interview, LEWINSKY mentioned that she had moved from the White House to the Pentagon. LEWINSKY did not bring up PETER GEORGESCU, President CLINTON, or VERNON JORDAN. MILLS said she probably would not have recognized JORDAN's name at that point in time.

When the interview was concluded, MILLS requested ZIAD TOUBASSY and LAURA WILKER to take some time and meet with LEWINSKY. MILLS wanted their opinion of LEWINSKY because she was favorably impressed with her. Both TOUBASSY and WILKER liked her and recommended to MILLS that she be given further consideration. Following this, GUS WEILL interviewed LEWINSKY. He was not as favorably impressed with her. He described her as "light" in

OIC-302a (Rev. 8-19-94)

29D-OIC-LR-35063

Continuation of OIC-302 of ERIN RICE MILLS , On 03/31/98 , Page 3

qualifications and that her skill set did not fit with the needs of the practice. MILLS and WEILL agreed to wait for the results of LEWINSKY's writing test.

When MILLS returned from vacation after January 1, 1998, she was notified by Human Resources that LEWINSKY had passed the writing test. MILLS discussed this fact with WEILL and he re-stated his intention to pass on the opportunity to hire her. MILLS sent Human Resources an e-mail advising they were not interested. MILLS had no further contact with LEWINSKY. She described the procedure which had occurred as "nothing out of the ordinary."

After the news broke about LEWINSKY and the President, MILLS was told by WEILL that the story was on the Drudge Report. There was some general discussion about the unusual fact that they had interviewed and met with a person who was so much in the news. MILLS had no written notes about LEWINSKY, other than those on her resume. MILLS had some e-mail communication with other employees about LEWINSKY when she was under consideration for employment; however, she had no other notes or written documents about her.

OFFICE OF THE INDEPENDENT COUNSEL

Date of transcription 4/3/98

ZIAD TOUBASSY, date of birth [REDACTED] Associate, Corporate Practice, BURSON-MARSTELLER, 230 Park Avenue South, New York, New York, was interviewed at the office of BURSON-MARSTELLER corporate attorney, MARK DILLER. Present at the time of interview were DILLER and Assistant Independent Counsel STEVEN BINHAK. TOUBASSY has held the position of associate since July of 1997. Prior to that time, he was employed as a Client Executive in the practice. He also served as an intern at the company. [REDACTED]

The position of associate was described as transitional between entry level and managerial. He does writing, edits copy, and works with the media. He is the intern coordinator for the practice. He does about two interviews per month with prospective employees. The corporate practice has five associates, the more senior of whom do candidate interviews.

TOUBASSY said that interviews range from being scheduled to having no advance notice at all. As preparation, he receives the person's resume and a cover letter, if any. The purpose of the interviews is to identify quality candidates. He generally talks mostly about the company and in that regard is a resource for the candidate about BURSON-MARSTELLER. He tries to glean the candidates' background and determine if they would fit in at the company. His interviews last anywhere from five to fifteen minutes. The candidate is then discussed among the persons who have met with them.

TOUBASSY recalled that he met MONICA LEWINSKY following a telephone call from one of the supervisors, ERIN MILLS, asking if he had time to meet with someone. He spoke with LEWINSKY in one of the conference rooms at the office. He was given a copy of her resume at the time he greeted her. At most, he spent ten minutes with LEWINSKY. During their discussion, they spoke about her travel when she was employed at the Pentagon and the operations of the corporate practice at BURSON-MARSTELLER. He could not recall if she mentioned the White House, VERNON JORDAN, or how she was referred to BURSON-MARSTELLER. His impression was that she was friendly, nice, and fairly articulate. He did not make much of a judgment about her in one way or another. He

Investigation on 03/31/98 at New York, New York File # 29D-OIC-LR-35063

by [REDACTED] Date dictated 4/3/98

OIC-302a (Rev. 8-19-94)

29D-OIC-LR-35063

Continuation of OIC-302 of ZIAD TOUBASSY . On 03/31/98 . Page 2

viewed his role in meeting her as giving the company an opportunity of another opinion about LEWINSKY's ability and promise as an employee.

After he left LEWINSKY, TOUBASSY spoke with MILLS and LAURA WILKER, another employee in the corporate practice, to discuss their conclusions. He said he liked LEWINSKY and that she seemed friendly. WILKER was included in the conversation because she had also spoken with LEWINSKY that day. After that time, there was no further discussion of LEWINSKY in the office. At a later date, he received a thank-you note from LEWINSKY.

OFFICE OF THE INDEPENDENT COUNSEL

Date of transcription 04/02/98

BARRY F. SCHWARTZ, REVLON Executive Vice-President and General Counsel, Social Security Account Number [redacted] date of birth [redacted] home telephone [redacted] business telephone [redacted] was interviewed at STILLMAN & FRIEDMAN, P.C., 425 Park Avenue, New York, New York. SCHWARTZ was advised of the identities of the interviewing Agents and the purpose of the interview. STILLMAN & FRIEDMAN attorney JOHN HARRIS was present during the interview. SCHWARTZ provided the following information:

SCHWARTZ began working at REVLON in October 1989. SCHWARTZ has been employed in his present position since approximately 1992. SCHWARTZ has had no interaction with MONICA LEWINSKY, and had not heard MONICA LEWINSKY's name before January 21, 1998. It was on that date that SCHWARTZ, in his position as General Counsel, began to review documents that related to MONICA LEWINSKY. SCHWARTZ was aware, after reviewing documents relating to LEWINSKY, that LEWINSKY had been recommended for a position by VERNON JORDAN. SCHWARTZ had a professional association with JORDAN, but had not discussed any issues with JORDAN concerning LEWINSKY.

REVLON gives preferential treatment to individuals seeking employment if those individuals have connections with the company. SCHWARTZ believed the system had always been in place. SCHWARTZ has assisted one of his relatives in obtaining employment with REVLON.

Investigation on 03/27/98 at New York, New York File # 29D-OIC-LR-35063
by [redacted] CI JERRY W. BASTIN 04/02/98

OFFICE OF THE INDEPENDENT COUNSEL

Date of transcription 5/29/98

ELEANOR STACY PARKER, [REDACTED] was interviewed at the Office of the Independent Counsel, 1001 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Washington, D.C. Also present at the time of interview was BARRY J. POLLACK, Attorney at Law, MILLER, CASSIDY, LARROCA & LEWIN, LLP, 2555 M Street, Washington, D.C., telephone number 202-833-5188.

PARKER is currently employed as Assistant to PAUL BEGALA at the White House. She has been so employed since September, 1997.

PARKER has had several different positions at the White House since she was first associated with the institution in February, 1993. Her work at the White House was concurrent with her enrollment [REDACTED] She [REDACTED] at which time she received a Bachelor of Arts degree.

Her first assignment at the White House was as an intern/volunteer in the News Analysis Office (NAO) from February through May, 1993. The NAO is part of the Communications and Media Affairs Office, located in the Old Executive Office Building (OEOB).

In May, 1993, she became a volunteer in GEORGE STEPHANOPOULOS's office. She remained in that capacity until May, 1996. STEPHANOPOULOS's office was located in the West Wing of the White House, however, there was also some office space located in the OEOB. During the summer of 1993, she was working on a full time basis in the office space in the OEOB. Other than that time period, PARKER was located in the West Wing outside STEPHANOPOULOS's office.

PARKER was not working at the White House during the summer of 1996 (May through August).

In August, September, and October, 1996, PARKER was doing advance work in the Presidential campaign.

PARKER attended [REDACTED] as a visiting student from October, 1996 through May, 1997.

Investigation on 05/28/98 at Washington, D.C. File # 29D-OIC-LR-35063
 by SA COURTLAND JONES
 SA DAVID B. WILLIAMS/DBW Date dictated 5/29/98

29D-OIC-LR-35063

Continuation of OIC-302 of ELEANOR STACY PARKER, On 05/28/98, Page 2

During the summer of 1997 (June through August), PARKER was an intern at the company BURSON-MARSTELLER, 1801 K Street, NW, Washington, D.C. She found the position at BURSON-MARSTELLER through a professor [REDACTED] who was aware of her interest in public relations work. While she was at Oxford, he e-mailed her about the position and suggested she apply. VERNON JORDAN was not involved in the internship at BURSON-MARSTELLER. The internship lead to the point in time at which she took the job as assistant to BEGALA.

PARKER has met the President on six or seven occasions. She recalled some of those times as when she was present at one of his radio addresses, when he visited STEPHANOPOULOS's office, on an advance trip to Little Rock, Arkansas, in a receiving line at a White House Christmas party, and at the Presidential (District of Columbia) Inaugural Ball. She is not sure if CLINTON knows her by name.

PARKER believes it was during the summer or fall of 1993 that she met VERNON JORDAN. She came to know him through her position in STEPHANOPOULOS's office. She spoke with him on telephone calls and on the occasion of his visit to her office. She estimated that JORDAN telephoned STEPHANOPOULOS's office perhaps once every two months. She described her relationship with him as one of friendship and mentorship. JORDAN told her about other young black people being successful in business in Washington, D.C. She described him as strong, supportive, and someone who she could turn to for advice.

She recalled that she met with JORDAN on perhaps 15 occasions, three of which were in the White House. She had dinner with him three times, two at an Italian restaurant on 19th Street in Washington and one at a restaurant in Georgetown. When she was out to dinner with JORDAN, there were no other people present.

PARKER was with the President and JORDAN one time for just a short moment. She was in Albuquerque, New Mexico for the Presidential debate preparation. As she and JORDAN were talking in a hallway, the President came up to them and talked for a short while, but left soon thereafter to go to the men's room. PARKER did not know what JORDAN's role was at the debate preparation because she was not privy to their discussions.

JORDAN did not provide her with job counseling having specific focus on her career goals; however, she did receive some

29D-OIC-LR-35063

Continuation of OIC-302 of ELEANOR STACY PARKER, On 05/28/98, Page 3

general advice about the job market. JORDAN did not help her obtain employment by way of telephone calls or letters to his corporate contacts. He did write her a letter of recommendation for a Rhodes scholarship. GEORGE STEPHANOPOULOS also wrote her a letter of recommendation for the same purpose. She considers JORDAN a friend who is able to give good advice, but he has not shaped her career goals. PARKER considered it an honor that JORDAN wanted "to be social with her." It never occurred to her that there was anything unusual in his taking an interest in her. She added that JORDAN had also dined with her superiors, HEATHER BECKETT and WENDY SMITH. The last time PARKER was out socially with JORDAN was prior to October, 1996. She last spoke with him in the fall of 1997.

When they were together, JORDAN did not talk about the President. He did say that he had mentioned to CLINTON that he (JORDAN) and PARKER had gone out to eat together, however she could not recall the President's response to this information.

At no time did JORDAN ever mention MONICA LEWINSKY when they were together. Prior to the press accounts of the matter concerning LEWINSKY and the President, PARKER had no idea that JORDAN was acquainted with LEWINSKY. Upon learning of JORDAN's assistance in obtaining LEWINSKY a job, she said she could envision him doing that for someone, however, she was not going to judge his motivation. She knew that he had the power to get it accomplished. PARKER has no knowledge of anyone else for whom JORDAN had secured legal representation.

(During the interview, on more than one occasion, PARKER referred to VERNON JORDAN by his first name.)

PARKER met MONICA LEWINSKY approximately two times. The first occasion was during the time of the federal government shutdown when the paid employees were not at work. LEWINSKY was sitting outside LEON PANETTA's office. PARKER met her near STEPHANOPOULOS's office. She also met LEWINSKY at one of the Presidential inaugural parties in January, 1997. LEWINSKY was with KEVIN COOPER. PARKER was also acquainted with COOPER. Their interaction consisted of exchanging pleasantries. COOPER worked at the Public Affairs office in the Department of Defense (Pentagon). PARKER did not recall if LEWINSKY was in the same intern class with her at the White House. LEWINSKY never mentioned her relationship with the President. PARKER never exchanged any e-mail with LEWINSKY or COOPER.

PARKER recalled that on the first night of the so-

29D-OIC-LR-35063

Continuation of OIC-302 of ELEANOR STACY PARKER , On 05/28/98 , Page 4

called government shutdown, some of the volunteers brought in pizza for the office. The President was there and walked through her area. She recalled him saying to her "what's a pretty girl like you doing here late on a Friday night." PARKER has no specific memory of LEWINSKY being there that evening.

PARKER's friends at the White House were usually those persons with whom she worked closely. Two of those people were HEATHER BECKET and LAURA CAPPS. PARKER became acquainted with these people due to their proximity in the office. PARKER did not recall with whom LEWINSKY was friends.

To her knowledge, there were no rumors of a relationship between CLINTON and LEWINSKY or KATHLEEN WILLEY or anyone else. There was no innuendo, either by word or action, among the White House personnel indicating there was any relationship between LEWINSKY and the President. PARKER never heard any discussion of LEWINSKY in the West Wing of the White House. She said she has not had any substantive discussions about the alleged affair between CLINTON and LEWINSKY. She said that she does not think about the issue and could not guess anyone's motivations for their actions.

PARKER thought she recalled seeing LEWINSKY in the West Wing of the White House, but could not be completely accurate because she has no specific memory of LEWINSKY in that area of the complex other than the time of the government shutdown. She could not recall seeing LEWINSKY at any of the White House functions or thinking that she exhibited unusual behavior.

PARKER is a friend of BETTY CURRIE, but never worked directly with her. She has never been WAVED into the complex by CURRIE. It would be hard for PARKER to imagine herself asking CURRIE to WAVE her into the White House. PARKER added, however, that CURRIE is a nice person, so she would probably do it if she asked. PARKER said that she was WAVED in by LAURA CAPPS on one occasion.

Until it became the matter of public discussion in the media, PARKER had no idea why LEWINSKY was released from her employment at the White House. LEWINSKY never mentioned the matter to her and she never had any discussions with LEWINSKY about her employment at the Pentagon.

Prior to being interviewed by the Office of Independent Counsel (OIC), PARKER spoke about the scheduled interview with the White House Counsel's office. She received guidance in the

OIC-302a (Rev. 8-19-96)

29D-OIC-LR-35063

Continuation of OIC-302 of ELEANOR STACY PARKER, On 05/28/98, Page 5

form of procedural advice, but was not told what to say. She was told to consider obtaining counsel. She was not "prepared" for the OIC interview by the White House Counsel's office. She was not instructed as to the scope of the answers she should give and the matter of privilege was not mentioned. She was not asked by the White Counsel's office to report the content of the interview with the OIC. After it was publicized that she was deposed by Judicial Watch regarding another law suit, the White House Counsel's office told her to expect to be contacted by the OIC, although it was not the matter of further discussion. She said there was no reason she would not tell the whole story about LEWINSKY if she knew it.

PARKER, on advice from her attorney, did not discuss the manner in which she found legal representation or who was paying the fee. She did say that with the exception of the deposition for Judicial Watch, she had never had personal legal representation.

PARKER could not provide any further relevant information about LEWINSKY or the investigation.

Based upon observation and interview, PARKER is described as follows:

[REDACTED]

ELEANOR STACY PARKER

[REDACTED]

OFFICE OF THE INDEPENDENT COUNSEL

Date of transcription 1/30/98

DAVID JASON BLISS, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] was interviewed in the office of attorney, CHARLES F. HINKLE of the firm of Stoel Rivas, LLP, 900 S.W. Fifth Avenue, Suite 2300, [REDACTED] who is the outside counsel for Lewis and Clark College.

After being advised of the personal and official identities of the interviewers and the purpose of the interview, BLISS provided the following information:

BLISS is employed [REDACTED]

and has been so employed since the Fall of 1990.

BLISS first met MONICA LEWINSKY in late 1994 or early 1995 when LEWINSKY sought him out on several occasions. LEWINSKY told BLISS of a cousin named ANDY who was a set designer in some high school in Southern California who was interested in moving to the Portland area and was seeking work.

LEWINSKY requested BLISS speak with ANDY about job opportunities in the area and arranged for an appointment in either January or February of 1995. BLISS met with ANDY and counseled ANDY on possible employment in the area.

LEWINSKY continued coming by BLISS' office on occasion and talking about ANDY. BLISS' relationship with LEWINSKY was professional at all times.

In late March or early April of 1995, an envelope appeared in BLISS' office mail box containing a letter dated March 28, 1995, addressed to Andy and bearing the signature of D. BLISS. BLISS had never seen this letter before and the signature was not his. The letter appeared to be offering ANDY employment on specific dates. The handwriting on the envelope was illegible and the only thing BLISS could decipher was the word [REDACTED] in the return address portion.

BLISS recalled his interview with an ANDY which had been arranged by MONICA LEWINSKY. BLISS checked college records

OIC-302a (Rev. 8-19-94)

29D-LR-35063

Continuation of OIC-302 of DAVID JASON BLISS , On 1/29/98 , Page 2

and determined that LEWINSKY lived on [REDACTED]

BLISS wrote a letter to LEWINSKY on April 17, 1995, in which an apology was demanded.

LEWINSKY, by letter dated April 19, 1995, confessed to writing the letter and apologized for her "inappropriate actions and misconduct."

After receipt of the above letter, LEWINSKY approached BLISS on campus. LEWINSKY was very apologetic and told BLISS of her internship in Washington. BLISS decided to not bring the matter to the attention of college officials based on LEWINSKY's apology and that the letter had not been used since it was returned as undeliverable.

BLISS put the matter out of his mind and did not think of it further until recent press accounts relating to LEWINSKY.

BLISS took the letters to college officials and the decision was made to report the incident to the proper authorities.

BLISS provided copies of all of the above mentioned letters which are attached to and are being made a part of this report.

LEWIS & CLARK
COLLEGE

March 28, 1995

Dear Andy,

I have a few meetings to set up in the next couple of months and the student who usually helps me is graduating and is "too busy". So, I thought you might be interested in working with me. It would be the same thing that we did last time-- setting up the sound equipment, as well as, the same monetary arrangement. The dates we need to set up are tentative because the dates of these meetings can change at any time. As it looks now, the dates are:

Tuesday, April 4, 1995

Tuesday, April 18, 1995

Thursday, May 4, 1995

There is also a possibility of me being able to offer you work for Thursday, May 11, 1995, if I go out of town that week. This is most probable! It would probably not require much set-up or rehearsal time. More importantly, I hope you can help me with the set-ups for the meetings. Please let me know as soon as possible about these dates.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "D. Blue".

Monica Lewinsky,
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

April 17, 1995.

I'm writing this memo to inform you that your actions of misconduct concerning the use of my name in a letter I did not write have surfaced to these conclusions: forgery is nothing to play around with. It is a serious concern as well as against the law. I would appreciate a letter of apology to me as well as the Lewis and Clark Theatre Department concerning your actions. If I don't hear back from you within two weeks I will pursue more formal actions regarding this dilemma.

I do understand your intentions, yet your non ethical approach to solve Andy's employment future should not have included me in this way. Until further notice, I will not agree to help you or Andy with any theatre work.

David Bliss

Lewis and Clark Theatre Department

Monica Lewinsky
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Mr. David Bliss
Lewis and Clark College
[REDACTED]

19 April 1995

Dear Mr. Bliss.

I am writing to you in response to your letter I received today, April 19, 1995. You are right, what I have done is a disservice to you and your department, as well as against the law. I would like to formally extend my apologies to you. I appreciate you giving me this opportunity to rectify the situation before further action need be taken. You have every right to be angry with me for my inappropriate actions and misconduct as a student of Lewis and Clark College. I would like to clearly state that Andy Bleiler had no knowledge of my actions, and was thus not involved in any manner.

If I may, I would like to explain to you how this situation arose. In all honesty, I never imagined that doing what I thought would be a kind, helpful thing for one of my closest friends would turn out to be one of the biggest nightmares of my life thus far.

As you may or may not know from having met with Andy last spring, he and his wife were involved in a custody battle with her ex-husband for their daughter in order to move to Portland and raise their children in a safe and nurturing environment. Andy's wife's ex-husband contested their move in a court of law which lasted through October 1994 and put Andy in a difficult financial situation. Obviously, the Bleilers were granted permission to move partially due to the fact that Andy was employed at the time. However, it was

noted that if Andy's wife's ex-husband found it to be that Andy was not working enough to provide for his daughter he may take them back to court. This has been an area of major concern for Andy, since he does not know how they could survive another battle in court. Although Andy has worked somewhat consistently at a few places in the past 6 months, he expressed his grave concerns to me about a month ago after finding out his wife's ex-husband was coming up to Portland to "check things out".

All I wanted to do was help someone who had helped me so many times when I found myself in crisis situations. I thought that if I sent Andy a letter from you that it would help him avoid being forced to move back to California. Foolishly, I did not think through exactly what it was I was doing and what the possible ramifications were. It may be hard to believe but, it did not even cross my mind that I was forging a signature and that it was illegal. I know that might sound silly, but it is the truth. I simply thought that I would surprise Andy with some relief by sending him something that would help prevent him having to go back to California. Moreover, if Andy finds out what I have done, even though my intentions were of the best nature, he will be furious with me because he is so indebted to you for the Canby referral.

Mr. Bliss, I feel horrible and humiliated. I never had any intentions of causing you harm or anger and I am terribly sorry if I have caused you any trouble. I do not expect you to help me in the future with regard to any theatrical matters but I would hope that you would not hold Andy responsible for my mistakes. He speaks highly of you and respects your work. Furthermore, I understand that what I have done is not only an action of misconduct, but an illegal action as well. I am to be graduating on May 7, of this year and I can only beg for your forgiveness and hope that we can keep my horrible mistake between as few people as possible. I understand that you must have been mortified to have received the letter, as I would be mortified if my department finds out about this misconduct. Once again, I am apologizing to you with the most sincerity. Please believe

me in knowing that I did not have any ill intentions towards you. I am sorry for the trouble I have caused. Thank you for your time.

With sincere apologies,

Monica Lewinsky
Monica Lewinsky

David J. Bliss
37 NE Fargo
Portland, OR 97212
January 28, 1998

Mr. Darrell M. Joseph
Office of the Independent Counsel
1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Suite 490-North
Washington, DC 20004

Dear Mr. Joseph:

-In response to the "Subpoena to Testify Before Grand Jury" directed to me and received by my attorney, Charles Hinkle, on January 27, 1998, and pursuant to the instructions for responding to the subpoena set out in the subpoena, as modified by your letter to Mr. Hinkle dated January 27, 1998, I am delivering this letter to Karen Mannix at the Federal Bureau of Investigation, 1500 SW First Avenue, Portland, Oregon 97201. I am attaching copies of the subpoena and of your letter to Mr. Hinkle to this letter.

With this letter, I am delivering the following documents:

(1) The original of a letter on the letterhead of the Lewis & Clark College Department of Theatre dated March 28, 1995, with the salutation "Dear Andy," and a signature line reading "Sincerely, D. Bliss." I was the only "D. Bliss" employed by the Lewis & Clark College Department of Theatre in March 1995. I did not write or sign this letter.

(2) A copy of a letter dated April 17, 1995, that I wrote and sent to Monica Lewinsky, after the letter described in paragraph (1) was returned to the Department of Theatre by the Post Office. The original of this letter was printed on Lewis & Clark College Department of Theatre stationery. I do not have possession of the original, because I sent it to Ms. Lewinsky. I printed the enclosed copy from my computer, on which I composed the original letter.

(3) The original of a letter dated 19 April 1995 addressed to me and signed "With sincere apologies, Monica Lewinsky."

These are the only documents in my possession that are responsive to the subpoena.

Very truly yours,

David J. Bliss

Attachments