been an involuntary part of Soviet Russia for almost 50 years, yet their valiant fight for independence and freedom in 1918, continuing in exile even today, can certainly be an example to us all in these troubled times.

In commemorating this independence day, we are forced to remember the continuing acts of Soviet oppression still existing in the so-called Soviet republics

and in the Eastern European countries, which make up the Communist bloc. The jailing of intellectuals who dare to deviate from the Soviet line, the suppression of all the basic freedoms which Americans hold dear, and finally, the ruthless invasion of Czechoslovakia in 1968, are all part of Soviet policy, both past and present, and an indication that attitudes and methods have not changed in the

Soviet Union since the Ukraine was invaded in 1919.

Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to join my colleagues today in commemorating this flst anniversary, and I want to extend my special regards to the Ukrainian Americans for keeping up a fight involving incredible odds, for a country an ocean away, which some of them have never seen.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES-Monday, February 3, 1969

The House met at 12 o'clock noon.
The Chaplain, Rev. Edward G. Latch,
D.D., offered the following prayer:

Our sufficiency is from God.—2 Corinthians 3: 5.

Eternal Father of our spirits, whose grace makes us sufficient for every task and whose strength holds us steady as we live through troubled times, speak Thou Thy word to us this day and make known Thy will that we may now and always walk along the paths of righteousness and justice and love.

Unite us as a nation that we may continue to seek the release of the captives, give light to those who sit in darkness, bridge the gulf which separates our people, and support every endeavor which creates and maintains understanding and good will in our national life.

In the spirit of Christ we offer this our morning prayer. Amen.

THE JOURNAL

The Journal of the proceedings of Thursday, January 30, 1969, was read and approved.

MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

A message from the Senate by Mr. Arrington, one of its clerks, announced that the Senate had passed a bill of the following title, in which the concurrence of the House is requested:

S. 17. An act to amend the Communications Satellite Act of 1962 with respect to the election of the board of the Communications Satellite Corp.

The message also announced that the Vice President, pursuant to Public Law 90-448, appointed Mr. Sparkman and Mr. HOLLINGS as members, on the part of the Senate, of the National Advisory Commission on Low Income Housing.

APPOINTMENT AS MEMBERS OF JOINT COMMITTEE ON ATOMIC ENERGY

The SPEAKER. Pursuant to the provisions of title 42, United States Code, section 2251, the Chair appoints as members of the Joint Committee on Atomic Energy the following members on the part of the House: Mr. HOLIFIELD, Mr. PRICE of Illinois, Mr. ASPINALL, Mr. YOUNG, Mr. EDMONDSON, Mr. HOSMER, Mr. BATES, Mr. ANDERSON of Illinois, and Mr. McCulloch.

APPOINTMENT AS MEMBERS OF COMMITTEE TO INVESTIGATE NONESSENTIAL FEDERAL EXPENDITURES

The SPEAKER. Pursuant to the provisions of section 601, title 6, Public Law 250, 77th Congress, the Chair appoints as members of the Committee To Investigate Nonessential Federal Expenditures the following members of the Committee on Ways and Means: Mr. MILLS, Mr. BOGGS, Mr. BYRNES of Wisconsin; and the following members of the Committee on Appropriations: Mr. Mahon, Mr. Kirwan, and Mr. Bow.

APPOINTMENT AS MEMBERS OF NATIONAL HISTORICAL PUBLICA-TIONS COMMISSION

The SPEAKER. Pursuant to the provisions of title 44, United States Code, section 2501, the Chair appoints as a member of the National Historical Publications Commission, the gentleman from California (Mr. MILLER).

VACATING PROCEEDINGS ON AND RECONSIDERATION OF HOUSE RESOLUTION 177

Mr. ALBERT. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to vacate the proceedings whereby the House agreed to House Resolution 177 on January 29, and ask for its immediate reconsideration with an amendment which I send to the desk.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Oklahoma?

Mr. GROSS. Well, Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, what is the resolution and what is sought to be done?

Mr. ALBERT. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. GROSS. Yes, I yield to the gentleman from Oklahoma.

Mr. ALBERT. The resolution was the regular resolution assigning the Resident Commissioner from Puerto Rico to various committees and there was merely a technical error contained therein.

Mr. GROSS, Mr. Speaker, I withdraw my reservation of objection.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Oklahoma?

There was no objection.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

H. RES. 177

Resolved, That Jorge Luis Córdova-Díaz, the Resident Commissioner to the United States from Puerto Rico, be, and he is hereby, elected an additional member of the following standing committees of the House of Representatives: Committee on Agriculture, Committee on Armed Services, and Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs.

AMENDMENT OFFERED BY MR. ALBERT

The Clerk read as follows:

Amendment offered by Mr. ALBERT: Strike out all of line 1, after the word "Resolved," and insert: "That Jorge L. Córdova, the Resident."

The amendment was agreed to. The resolution as amended was agreed

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

VACATING PROCEEDINGS ON AND RECONSIDERATION OF HOUSE RESOLUTION 176

Mr. GERALD R. FORD. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to vacate the proceedings whereby the House agreed to House Resolution 176 on January 29, and ask for its immediate consideration with an amendment which I send to the desk.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Michigan?

There was no objection.

The Clerk read the resolution, as follows:

H. RES. 176

Resolved, That the following-named Members be, and they are hereby, elected members of the following standing committees of the House of Representatives:

COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE: Page Belcher, Oklahoma; Charles M. Teague, California; Catherine May, Washington; William C. Wampler, Virginia; George A. Goodling, Pennsylvania; Clarence E. Miller, Ohlo; Robert B. Mathias, California; Wiley Mayne, Iowa; John Zwach, Minnesota; Thomas S. Kleppe, North Dakota; Robert D. Price, Texas; John T. Myers, Indiana; Keith G. Sebelius, Kansas; Martin B. McKneally, New York; Wilmer D. Müzel, North Carolina.

COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS: Jack Edwards, Alabama.

COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES: William H. Bates, Massachusetts; Leslie C. Arends, Illinois; Alvin E. O'Konski, Wisconsin; William G. Bray, Indiana; Bob Wilson, California; Charles S. Gubser, California; Charles E. Chamberiain, Michigan; Alexander Pirnie, New York; Durward G. Hall, Missouri; Donald D. Claney, Ohio; Robert T. Stafford, Vermont; Carleton J. Kling, New York; William L. Dickinson, Alabama; Charles W. Whalen, Jr., Ohio; Ed Foreman,

New Mexico; John E. Hunt, New Jersey; G. William Whitehurst, Virginia.

COMMITTEE ON BANKING AND CURRENCY:

William B. Widnall, New Jersey; Florence P. Dwyer, New Jersey; Seymour Halpern, New York; W. E. (Bill) Brock, Tennessee; Del Clawson, California; Albert W. Johnson, Pennsylvania; J. William Stanton, Ohio; L. Mize, Kansas; Benjamin Blackburn, Georgia; Garry E. Brown, Michigan; Lawrence G. Williams, Pennsylvania; Chalmers P. Wylie, Ohio; Margaret M. Heckler, Massachusetts; William O. Cowger, Kentucky; J. Glenn Beall, Jr., Maryland,

COMMITTEE ON THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA: Ancher Nelsen, Minnesota; William L. Springer, Illinois; Alvin E. O'Konski, Wisconsin; William H. Harsha, Ohio; Frank Horton, New York; Joel T. Broyhill, Virginia; Larry Winn, Jr., Kansas; Gilbert Gude, Maryland; Sam Steiger, Arizona; Catherine May, Washington: Lawrence J. Hogan, Maryland.

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION AND LABOR: William H. Ayres, Ohio; Albert H. Quie, Minnesota; John M. Ashbrook, Ohio; Alphonzo Bell, California; Ogden R. Reid, New York; John N. Erlenborn, Illinois; William J. John N. Erlenborn, Illinois; William J. Scherle, Iowa; John R. Dellenbach, Oregon; Marvin L. Esch, Michigan; Edwin D. Eshleman, Pennsylvania; William A. Steiger, Wis-consin; James M. Collins, Texas; Earl F. Landgrebe, Indiana; Orval Hansen, Idaho; Earl B. Ruth, North Carolina.

COMMITTEE ON FOREIGN AFFAIRS: E. ROSS Adair, Indiana; William S. Mailliard, California; Peter H. B. Frelinghuysen, New Jersey; William S. Broomfield, Michigan; J. Irving Whalley, Pennsylvania; H. R. Gross, Iowa; E. Y. Berry, South Dakota; Edward J. Der-winski, Illinois; F. Bradford Morse, Massa-chusetts; Vernon W. Thomson, Wisconsin; James G. Fulton, Pennsylvania; Paul Findlev. Illinois: John H. Buchanan, Jr., Alabama; Robert Taft, Jr., Ohio; Sherman P. Lloyd, Utah; J. Herbert Burke, Florida; William V. Roth, Jr., Delaware.

COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS: Florence P. Dwyer, New Jersey; Ogden R. Reid, New York; Frank Horton, New York; Donald Rumsfeld, Illinois; John N. Erlenborn, Illinois; John W. Wydler, New York; Clarence J. Brown, Jr., Ohio; Guy Vander Jagt, Michigan; John T. Myers, Indiana; William O. Cowger, Kentucky; Gilbert Gude, Maryland; Paul N. McCloskey, Jr., California; Paul Findley, Illinois; John H. Buchanan, Jr., Alabama; Lowell P. Weicker, Jr., Connecticut.

COMMITTEE ON HOUSE ADMINISTRATION:

James Harvey, Michigan

COMMITTEE ON INTERIOR AND INSULAR AF-FAIRS: John P. Saylor, Pennsylvania; E. Y. Berry, South Dakota; Craig Hosmer, Califor-Joe Skubitz, Kansas; Laurence J. Burton, Utah; John Kyl, Iowa; Sam Steiger, Arizona; Howard W. Pollock, Alaska; James A. McClure, Idaho; Don H. Clausen, California; Philip E. Ruppe, Michigan; John Wyoming; John N. Happy Camp, Oklahoma;

Manuel Lujan, New Mexico.

Committee on Interstate and Foreign COMMERCE: William L. Springer, Illinois; Samuel L. Devine, Ohio; Ancher Nelsen, Minnesota; Hastings Keith, Massachusetts; Glenn Cunningham, Nebraska; James T. Broyhill, North Carolina; James Harvey, Michigan; Albert W. Watson, South Carolina; Tim Lee Carter, Kentucky; G. Robert Watkins, Pennsylvania; Donald G. Brotzman, Colorado; Clarence J. Brown, Jr., Ohio; Dan Kuykendall, Tennessee; Joe Skubitz, Kansas; Fletcher Thompson, Georgia; James F. Hastings,

New York

COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY: William M. McCulloch, Ohio; Richard H. Poff, Virginia; Cahill, New Jersey; Clark Gregor, Minnesota; Edward Hutchinson, Michigan; Robert McClory, Illinois; Henry P. Smith III, New York; Thomas J. Meskill, Connecticut; Charles W. Sandman, Jr., New Jersey; Thomas F. Railsback, Illinois; Edward G. Biester, Jr., Pennsylvania; Charles E. Wiggins, California; David W. Dennis, Indiana; Hamilton Fish, Jr., New York; R. Lawrence Coughlin, Pennsylvania.

COMMITTEE ON MERCHANT MARINE

PISHERIES: William S. Mailliard, California; Thomas M. Pelly, Washington; Charles A. FISHERIES: Mosher, Ohio; James R. Grover, Jr., York; Hastings Keith, Massachusetts; G. Robert Watkins, Pennsylvania; Henry Schadeberg, Wisconsin; John R. Dellenb Oregon; Howard W. Pollock, Alaska; Philip E. Ruppe, Michigan; Daniel E. Button, New George A. Goodling, Pennsylvania; William C. Bray, Indiana; Paul N. McCloskey, Jr., California; Louis Frey, Jr., Florida

COMMITTEE ON POST OFFICE AND CIVIL SERVICE: Robert J. Corbett, Pennsylvania; H. R. Gross, Iowa; Glenn Cunningham, Nebraska; Edward J. Derwinski, Illinois; Albert W. Johnson, Pennsylvania; Daniel E. Button, New York; William L. Scott, Virginia; James A. McClure, Idaho; Thomas J. Meskill, Con-necticut; Donald E. Lukens, Ohio; Lawrence

J. Hogan, Maryland.

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC WORKS: William C. Cramer, Florida; William H. Harsha, Ohio; James R. Grover, Jr., New York; James C. Cleveland, New Hampshire; Don H. Clausen, California; Robert C. McEwen, New York; John J. Duncan, Tennessee; Fred Schwengel, Iowa; Henry C. Schadeberg, Wisconsin; M. G. (Gene) Snyder, Kentucky; Robert V. Denney Nebraska; Roger H. Zion, Indiana; Jack H. McDonald, Michigan; John Paul Hammerschmidt, Arkansas; Clarence E. Miller, Ohio.

COMMITTEE ON SCIENCE AND ASTRONAUTICS: James G. Fulton, Pennsylvania; Charles A. Mosher, Ohio; Richard L. Roudebush, Indiana; Alphonzo Bell, California; Thomas M. Washington; Donald Rumsfeld, Illinois; John W. Wydler, New York; Guy Vander Jagt, Michigan; Larry Winn, Jr., Kansas; Jerry L. Pettis, California; Donald E. Lukens, Ohio; Robert Price, Texas; Lowell P. Weicker, Jr., Connecticut; Louis Frey, Jr., Florida.

COMMITTEE ON STANDARDS OF OFFICIAL CON-DUCT: Leslie C. Arends, Illinois; Jackson E. Betts, Ohio; Robert T. Stafford, Vermont; James H. Quillen, Tennessee; Lawrence G. Williams, Pennsylvania; Edward Hutchinson,

COMMITTEE ON UN-AMERICAN ACTIVITIES: John M. Ashbrook, Ohio; Del Clawson, Cali-fornia; Richard L. Roudebush, Indiana; Albert W. Watson, South Carolina

COMMITTEE ON VETERANS' AFFAIRS: Charles M. Teague, California; E. Ross Adair, In-diana; William H. Ayres, Ohio; John P. Say-Pennsylvania; Seymour Halpern, York; John J. Duncan, Tennessee; John Paul Hammerschmidt, Arkansas; William L. Scott, Virginia; Margaret M. Heckler, Massachusetts; John M. Zwach, Minnesota; Robert V. Denney, Nebraska.

COMMITTEE ON WAYS AND MEANS: Rogers C. B. Morton, Maryland.

AMENDMENT OFFERED BY MR. GERALD R. FORD

The Clerk read as follows:

Amendment offered by Mr. GERALD R. FORD: On page 7, lines 5 and 6, strike out "E. Ross Adair, Indiana; William H. Ayres, Ohio;" insert: "William H. Ayres, Ohlo; E. Ross Adair, Indiana;"

Mr. GERALD R. FORD. Mr. Speaker, my amendment, which has just been read by the Clerk, will correct the seniority standing of the gentleman from Ohio (Mr. Ayres) on the Committee on Veterans' Affairs.

The amendment was agreed to. The resolution as amended was agreed

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

MIDDLE EAST REPORT

(Mr. BROWN of California asked and was given permission to extend his remarks at this point in the Recogn and to include extraneous matter.)

Mr. BROWN of California. Mr. Speaker, I would like to call to the attention of my colleagues another in the series of articles which Carol Stevens Kovner, managing editor of Kovner Publications in Los Angeles, has written on the Middle East situation.

In this article, Miss Kovner points up the determination of Israel to defend her borders from aggression and the loneliness which the Jews feel in this battle.

The article follows:

ABBA EBAN DEPLORES STEREOTYPE OF PASSIVE VICTIMS OF VIOLENCE

(By Carol Stevens Kovner)

In an interview with Time Magazine in their January 10 issue, Abba Eban, articulate Foreign Minister of Israel, said there is a stereotype of Jews as the passive victims of others violence.

In Israel the Jews resist. They do not suffer passively. But the world, personified by the government leaders and news media who speak for the world community, has not fully absorbed this change in the Jewish picture.

Mr. Eban said, "I have no other explanation for the fact that the Soviet Union, which invaded Czechoslovakia, can condemn alleged Israeli "aggression" at the UN . . . without the public gallery bursting into laughter.'

"If someone could prove that we could survive by giving Arab violence a free rein. then we would do so. But nobody has proved

The Pope's sympathetic message Lebanon's president "deploring violent acts" and asking Lebanon to refrain from taking countermeasues was astonishing, because this was in the context of not uttering a single word of protest when 13 Jewish and Arab shoppers were killed by a terrorist bomb in a Jerusalem marketplace, in the same geographical area.

Was the Pope's message to Lebanon, where there was no loss of life involved, an act of unbiased justice at a delicate moment history? With his deep interest in the Middle East and the Holy Land, why not speak when Jewish children were being terrorized in Israeli northern villages with unrelenting bombardment in December by Iraqi trained army regulars. Or even when the Israeli engineer, ironically on his way to a UN help mission, was shot to death in Athens by trained-

in-Lebanon terrorists.

But when Israel, defending her small population from guerrilla bands, even eventually from Lebanon, finally after some months of terrorism, struck in warning to the Arab nations that they must control the terrorists or suffer the consequences and destroy 13 aircraft in Beirut-not a drop of blood

was spilled.

Yet this "metal and wire and upholstery as Israeli Ambassador to the UN Yosef Tekoah called it, this is what brought the Pope to speak out. Not in protest to the copious blood spilled by Israeli civilians in Jerusalem, not the innocent life of a Jew on a mercy mission for the UN, but the mere destruction of material objects.

"Are we to hear that the scrap iron of airplanes is worth more than Jewish blood?" Tekoah asked in the UN, his voice angry and heartsore, as he stood before the UN Security Council after a decision which never men tioned in the unanimous condemnation a single act of the terrorists from Arab countries, but only Israeli response to the acts of the terrorists.

Abba Eban deplored, "Those who were silent in the face of 13 mangled bodies in Mahane Yehuda market now cry with choking voices over 13 steel skeletons that never eathed life . . . there was an angry outcry only over property that was destroyed—and is insured."

There are six countries sitting on the Security Council which have no diplomatic ties with Israel

There is the Soviet Union with Czechoslovakian hopes for a freer life crushed under Soviet invading tanks. Continuous anti-Semitic campaigns ricochet to other countries from her shores and the Soviet Union

presumes to judge a Jewish country.

There is Britain, still enamoured of her personal romantic myth of the Arab riding in the clean open desert (with an Englishman by his side), holding a grudge against Israel for not strangling during the years after World War II, when she did her level

best to throttle the emerging country.

There is France, in the grip of an ancient autocrat who suddenly announced a complete arms embargo after the Beirut raid on Israel with no return of \$160 million paid in advance by Israel. Israelis met his announcement with resigned dismay, realizing this was the logical conclusion to the courtship of de Gaulle and the Arabs, with the Russians providing much of his wooing words

And there is the United States, too, who joined this frivolous and irresponsible farce at the UN, condemning a small country for what we have done ourselves unscathed and uncensured by the UN . . . in the effort to be more "evenhanded."

There has been much talk in the last two weeks of an imposed settlement by the Big Four, France, Britain, the Soviet Union and the United States. Given their present attitudes, the Russian and French newly sealed trade agreement and support of every Arab move politically, the British psychological antipathy of Israel, and the US's new, weighted evenhandedness, what kind of Great Power intervention would be proposed?

The new Soviet formula going the rounds of diplomatic circles looks similar to the Arab demands for a return to the May 1967 situation. France has proposed putting Great Power troops into all countries involved, but de Gaulle's arms embargo has ruled France out as a future mediator in the Middle East because of his one-sided

Like the Four Horsemen of the Apocalyps once the Big Four got rolling together, could they stop short of erasing Israel and the Jewish people off the globe, as their self-imposed silence nearly did in World War II?

ALEXANDER PIRNIE'S TERM AS IPU PRESIDENT

(Mr. MONAGAN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks and include extraneous matter.)
Mr. MONAGAN. Mr. Speaker, last

Wednesday our colleague, the gentleman from New York (Mr. PIRNIE) completed his term as President of the Interparliamentary Union and he did this without fanfare or celebration, but it does seem to me that this occasion should not be allowed to pass by the House without some notice of the achievement of ALEX-ANDER PIRNIE and some expression of appreciation on the part of the House for his work on that august body which was performed essentially as a Repre-sentative of the House of Representa-

ALEXANDER PIRNIE was elected to the Presidency of the Interparliamentary Union in 1968 and served from that time to the present. As a member of that group, I am familiar with his work and with the outstanding contribution that he made not only to the successful functioning of that historic international organization but also in fostering the image of the United States and improving relations between the people of our country and the people of innumerable other countries throughout the world.

Representative PIRNIE capped his service with his election as chairman of a committee composed of members from five countries to study the question of universality and he served in this capacity at the next to the last meeting of the union at Dakar, Senegal.

Mr. PIRNIE performed magnificently at the formal sessions of the Interparliamentary Union. He took an active part in the deliberations of the Union's most active committee, as well as in its ruling council, and in these areas he stanchly defended the record and policies of the United States and proved himself to be a worthy foeman of those who attacked our country. In addition to his formal activities and in some ways more importantly, Mr. PIRNIE devoted himself tirelessly to the extraofficial activities of the various conferences. It is an accepted fact that in these extracurricular contacts parliamentarians are able to achieve more for their countries than in the formal exchanges of the scheduled sessions and ALEXANDER PIRNIE performed superbly in this department. am confident that he was responsible for greatly improving the understanding of many of our policies on the part of delegates to the conference who would otherwise have based their judgment of policy on insufficient information.

In addition to this performance, I must also pay tribute to Representative PIRNIE personally as I praise his qualities as a delegation leader. His tact, his courtesy, his thoughtfulness, and his gentle but firm scheduling and performance of delegation responsibilities made it a particular pleasure to serve with him.

I am pleased to bring this brief report to the House today and I am confident that all Members will agree that Representative PIRNIE's service should be a source of great pride and satisfaction to this body. In the name of my colleagues, I thank Mr. PIRNIE for his outstanding service

LT. COL. WILLIAM ST. JOHN

(Mr. MONAGAN asked and was given permission to extend his remarks at this point in the RECORD and to include extraneous matter.)

Mr. MONAGAN. Mr. Speaker, one of Connecticut's most distinguished military officers has announced his retirement from the National Guard after 42 years of service. He is Lt. Col. William St. John, who for this period approaching half a century has contributed his efforts to the successful operation of our Military Establishment. I have known Colonel St. John for the greatest part of his life and I am personally familiar with he outstanding record that he has com-

He has not only been interested in military affairs, but he has always taken an active part in political party activities and civic affairs in our hometown in Waterbury where he was at one time a formidable and popular candidate for mayor.

Not only has he been a friend of mine for many years, but he acted as my campaign manager in my first successful campaign for Congress in 1958.

Colonel St. John is not the only military member of his family. His son, Capt. Richard L. St. John, whom I was proud to designate is a graduate of the U.S. Military Academy, a soldier with a dis-tinguished record in Vietnam and at present a professor of military science at Lafayette College in Easton, Pa.

The Hartford Courant recently carried a complete and interesting account of Colonel St. John's career and I think it only fitting at this juncture in the life of one who has served the United States so well to make this account a part of the RECORD, as follows:

OFFICER ENDS COLORFUL CAREER (By Theodore Driscoll)

It was July 6, 1944, and the Chinese 36th Division had been thrown back by a surprise Japanese counter-attack that drove them to the Solween River. The river was wide and swift. There could be no retreating across it.

Lt. Col. William St. John, then commander of the American combat section with the Chinese unit, listened with his men to the nightly BBC news broadcast.

There had been a tragic circus fire in Hartford, Conn., 168 were dead, 500 others injured. For St. John it was one of the saddest days he would ever know.

It would be several weeks before he could be sure that his wife and his five-year-old son in Waterbury, his life-long home, had not been at the circus that day.

Half a world away in the jungles of Southest China this gnawed at St. John, a constant worry, until he got a letter from his wife five weeks after she sent it. They were safe.

NOW RETIRING

St. John sat in his office at the State Armory on Broad Street one day last week reminiscing about this and other things. He had just announced that he was retiring from the National Guard after 42 years of serv-

On his desk was a letter to Gov. Dempsey with the latest accounting of the \$25,156,592 worth of state-owned property used by the Connecticut National Guard.

St. John is a kind of overseer for this property. He is the state military property and procurement officer and will continue in this position after his retirement from the National Guard.

His boyhood was spent in Waterbury, at St. Thomas School and Crosby High School and later Brooklyn Polytechnical Institute in New York. He joined the National Guard when he was in high school. That was 1926. St. John tried and almost got into West Point. He was very proud when his son, Richard, tried and made it. Capt. Richard L. St. John just returned from Vietnam with the Purple Heart and the Silver Star. Now, he is a professor of military science at Lafayette College in Easton, Pa.

St. John and his wife, Mary, have three other sons, William St. John Jr., who is with Travelers Insurance Cos., and a student at Suffolk Law School in Boston; Mark J. St. John, a senior at Sacred Heart College in Bridgeport, and Gregory G. St. John, a sophomore at Boston College

The Connecticut National Guard was activated shortly after Pearl Harbor. St. John went to the South Pacific where he spent 37 months of the war.

INTELLIGENCE OFFICER

He was an intelligence officer with the Bobcat Task Force and was later assigned to

the 20th Route Army of the Chinese National Army. Then he was with the Chinese 36th Division which fought its way through southwest China, over "the Hump" and finally opened the Burma Road.

Chinese soldiers could classify anything growing as edible or inedible, St. John said, and they ate things no one else would.

"The 36th was the damndest dog-loving outfit you ever saw." When St. John joined them, at least half the Chinese soldiers had dogs.

"Ever had dog stew. It's good—tastes like rabbit," that's what the dogs were for and in those circumstances, St. John said it made an "elegant stew."

Memories came to St. John in spurts, as they do after 20 years. Some came alone, some were tied to other memories with no apparent design or reason. Food kept popping up.

"Once we went 21 days without food—real food—we ate blades of grass and things like that. And when finally there was an air drop. Everyone stuffed himself and got sick."

This was St. John's rag-tag army. Army issue clothes soon rotted away and after that they took what they could get. For most that meant Indian Army overalls, size 48 to, so, the sleeves had to be rolled up and the crotch fell to the knees, St. John said.

St. John commanded about 900 Ameri-

St. John commanded about 900 Americans attached to the 36th Division. He was a combination soldier and horsetrader.

When he wanted the Chineses to carry out a particular operation he would bargain with them, "You want rifles . . . then we'll do things this way." The rifles were coming anyway but the Chinese didn't know that. Only St. John did.

A HORSETRADER

The 35-year-old commander of the Chinese understood horsetrading and he understood St. John, who said he would have made an excellent general in any army.

Some things confused the Chinese commander. Why, for instance, did the president of the United States get only \$75,000 while some industrialists got \$100,000.

St. John said the commander asked the question, then answered it himself. His eyes lit up and he said, "Ah, but the kun-cha."

Kun-cha means graft. And St. John said it might not be spelled like that but that is the way it is pronounced.

The commander understood horsetrading. So did the Japanese.

For several weeks the 36th and the Japanese each occupied half a small city in southwest China. Wednesday was market day and there was tactic agreement that the men of the 36th could go to the Japanese-held marketblace safely.

Orders were put in for the following week. St. John remembers bargaining for tanger-ines and paying extra because the merchant had to bribe the Japanese to get tangerines through their lines.

"You ever hear my famous pigeon story?"
St. John asked, letting it become known that just about everyone around the armory had.

One of the things St. John's underfed and under-equipped army didn't need was carrier pigeons. At least that's what his men were saying as they carted eight of the big breasted birds along, feeding them every day while they themselves went hungry.

"Those pigeons served a very useful purpose," St. John said. They ate them.

Not all St. John's food stories were like that. Some of them were gruesome.

For instance, there was a Canadian priest who was freed when the 36th liberated a prisoner-of-war camp who explained how he, a thin man, had survived while a fatter colleague starved to death.

"He was squeamish" the priest told St. John.

"I would turn over a rock and eat what-

ever I found. He wouldn't," St. John recalled the priest saying.

And there was the impressment of women and children to carry supplies over the hump". It was a brutal system, St. John said—"It was theirs not ours."

The impressed citizens carried equipment, as did mules, to heights of 16,000 feet over the Himalayas. "The bones of most of them, people and mules, are still up there," St. John said.

St. John remembered the POW camps and how, toward the end of things, they liberated one after another in Burma. Sometimes there were just a dozen men, sometimes there were a thousand.

Some were only starving. Others had completely lost their minds and St. John said that was the saddest thing of all. He remembered one POW, eyes sunken, gaunt and filthy, who stumbled up to him and said, "Hey Saint".

He could not recognize the man by his looks. He remembered the name. The man had come to the South Pacific with St.

John three years before.

Not all the memories of war were pleasant.
St. John said it was good to have such things behind him, but he would not like

to go through them again.

The 36th Division secured the Burma Road and the supplies that had been flown over the "hump" from India to China could then

go by land.

In the spring of 1945 St. John went to eastern China where he and others plotted an attack on Canton, still held by the Japa-

But in July the plotting ended. The word was out, but only in rumors, that the end was near. St. John returned to the United States at the end of the month. He was at Ft. Gordon, Ga., on V-J Day, Aug. 14.

For most of America's 12-million fighting men that was the end. For St. John it was really only the middle. He would spend another 24 years in the National Guard.

MORE ON LEGALIZED USURY AND PROPOSED UNIFORM CONSUMER CREDIT CODE

(Mr. PATMAN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks and include extraneous matter.)

Mr. PATMAN. Mr. Speaker, on January 23, 1969, I placed in the Congres-SIONAL RECORD a statement concerning the dangers of the proposed Uniform Consumer Credit Code, which was prepared under the auspices of the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws and financed in major part by the American Bankers Association and other segments of the credit industry. This code, calling as it does for interest rates as high as 36 percent on installment sales and loans, would be a great travesty on the American public if enacted. It has many other anticonsumer and public provisions which will be the subject of subsequent analysis. What few beneficial provisions it contains simply are not worth the great detriment to be suffered by consumers if the code is enacted in the States.

Unfortunately, the sponsors of the code have ordered full speed ahead and are mounting a heavy pressure campaign to secure its earliest possible adoption in the State legislatures. The bill is now before the State Legislature of Massachusetts and other State legislatures. Apparently Massachusetts, one of the first States to adopt strong truth-in-

lending and other consumer protection laws, is a prime target for adoption of the code. Fortunately, the Commonwealth of Massachusetts has an experienced and effective Consumers Council. The council appeared before the judiciary committee of the State senate, recommending against hasty action on the code and its referral to the Consumers Council for detailed analysis.

Prof. William F. Willier of the Boston College Law School presented the council's position. His statement is an eloquent argument against the code, pointing out the great harm it threatens to progressive consumer legislation in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

Professor Willier's statement and the accompanying press release from the Consumers Council follow:

STATEMENT BY PROF. WILLIAM F. WILLIER, ON BEHALF OF THE MASSACHUSETTS CONSUMERS' COUNCIL CONCENING HOUSE BILL 778, THE UNIFORM CONSUMER CREDIT CODE, BEFORE THE COMMITTEE ON THE JUDICIARY, JANUARY 29, 1969

The Massachusetts Consumers' Council, after study and consultation, opposes the enactment of the Uniform Consumer Credit Code in Massachusetts at this time.

Code in Massachusetts at this time.

The Uniform Consumer Credit Code would change many laws and regulations in Massachusetts which were designed and enacted to protect the consumer. Many problems and conflicting viewpoints have been brought to the Council's attention, some obvious and some not so obvious. The General Court should not hastily enact a statute beset with so little understanding and so much convoversy. Instead, thorough study by a competent body should be given to the changes, problems and conflicts which enactment of the Code would create. What may be good enough for Colorado consumers may not be good enough for consumers in Massachusetts.

THE FALLACY OF UNIFORMITY

There is serious fallacy in one of the major premises upon which the Uniform Consumer Credit Code was promulgated: that regulation of consumer credit can or ever will be uniform among the fifty states and territories. Population patterns and composition, standards of living, levels of employment, size of communities and the extent and kinds of business enterprises all affect the demand for and supply of consumer credit and the nature of its regulation, and these factors differ from state to state and region to region. The Massachusetts General Court must deal with the problems as they exist in Massachusetts; it must be concerned with the consumers in this state and not with those in other states.

RATE CELLINGS—COST TO MASSACHUSETTS CONSUMERS

In all cases the rate cellings for consumer credit in the Uniform Consumer Credit Code exceed those in Massachusetts, even though they may equal or be lower than those in their states. The Commissioners argue that high cellings will allow competition to flourish in the consumer credit market resulting in actual rates below the cellings. There is ample evidence that for many debtors the celling becomes the floor, that high cost creditors always charge the maximum rates. The Council requires much more evidence to the contrary before it could endorse any increase in the rate cellings in Massachusetts.

The Council concedes that the pattern of rate ceilings in Massachusetts has little consistency, but it has been functioning so far as anyone knows with little hardship on creditors or consumers. The data supplied to the Committee by the Department of Banks, prepared so ably by Mr. Ledbetter, indicates the potential cost to Massachusetts con-

sumers by the Credit Code's rate ceilings. At maximum rates, the first \$200 of Indebtedness (which is the lowest breaking point for present rates) payable over one year in twelve installments would cost the consumer the following additional amounts if the Credit Code were enacted:

A small loan	\$7.14
A new car	25. 10
Home appliance	21.10
Insurance premiums	7. 14

Consumers are frequently shocked when they learn how high the rate ceilings already are in Massachusetts. For example, on the first \$200 of debt, they are 30% for small loans; 14½% for new cars; 17½% for goods and services; 30% for insurance premiums. In all of these cases the Uniform Consumer Credit Code would raise the ceiling to 36%.

OTHER PROBLEMS AND WEAKNESSES

Nothing prevents the legislature from lowering the rate ceilings in the Credit Code so that they approximate present ceilings, although the Commissioners insist that the high ceilings are necessary if competition is to work to lower rates. Were this the Consumer Council's only difficulty with the Code, it might recommend passage with lower cell-ings. But there are other problems and weaknesses. At a casual glance, the Credit Code appears to offer protections and benefits to the consumer very much like the ones we have in Massachusetts and to add some new benefits to those. However, a careful look reveals that in a number of instances the Credit Code actually weakens protections already law in Massachusetts. A few examples will make the point.

NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENTS

Under ordinary rules of law, a buyer who gives a negotiable promissory note to a seller in a credit sale can be forced to pay the finance company to which the seller sells his note for cash even though the seller does not deliver all or part of the goods or services or the goods prove to be defective. Massachusetts forbids the use of negotiable instruents in such cases. So does the Uniform Consumer Credit Code. But Massachusetts also requires that the note be labeled "Consumer Note" so that no finance company can force payment of it if the seller defaults. Such enforcement remains possible under the Credit Code. A violation in Massachusetts subjects the seller to a possible fine and the debtor can recover the entire finance charge as a penalty. The Credit Code does not make the violation a crime and allows the buyer a penalty only at the discretion of a court. Further, the seller can weasel out entirely showing the violation to be unintentional or the result of bona fide error.

WATUER OF DEPENSES

To avoid the negotiable instrument problems, creditors often insert clauses in the credit agreement which state that debtors agree not to assert their claims and defenses (such as nondelivery or defective goods) against third parties (usually finance companies or banks) who buy the agreements from the creditors. In Massachusetts, such clauses are unenforceable by decision of the Supreme Judicial Court and by statute. The Uniform Consumer Credit Code as introduced in Massachusetts would give third parties some benefit from such clauses: the third party would never be liable for more than the amount owing to him at the time and the debtor would be limited to set-off against that amount. Presently, the debtor can bring action on his own initiative and does not have to rely upon set-off.

CASH PRICE

Both Massachusette and the Uniform Consumer Credit Code require a credit seller to reveal as the cash price the price the goods and services are offered to cash buyers. If a buyer in Massachusetts can show the cash price in a credit sale to be more than in a cash sale, he can recover penalties. The Credit Code presumes that the cash price quoted by the seller is a cash price, creating an almost impossible legal burden for the buyer to overcome in court.

HOME SOLICITATION SALES

The Massachusetts law gives a buyer one day in which to cancel a credit sale made away from the seller's place of business; the Uniform Consumer Credit Code gives three days, which is better. But the Credit Code applies only to sales made at the buyer's residence (not even at his neighbor's), and then penalizes him the lesser of his downpayment or 5% of the price for exercising his right to cancel. Massachusetts has no such limitations or penalities.

BALLOON PAYMENTS

Some creditors induce debtors to enter into a credit transaction by scheduling early low installment payments and then hitting the debtor with a final payment many times the amount of the others. When the debtor cannot pay the final amount, the creditor takes possession of collateral or, usually, offers to refinance that amount often with additional credit on terms similar to the original and, of course, with additional finance charge. Debtors seldom get off the hook in such cases, Massachusetts requires that installment payments be substantially equal unless the debtor is given the right to spread the balloon payment cut in amounts and periods equivalent to the other payments or unless the balloon payment reflects the irregular income of the debtor and that fact is stated. The Uniform Consumer Credit Code allows balloon payments of up to double the average of others; if greater than that, the debtor is merely given the right to refinance the payment, which is exactly the abuse which causes the problem.

INSURANCE AND INSURANCE FINANCING

A number of sections of the Uniform Consumer Credit Code indicate that financing of insurance premiums is not regulated at all and it clearly is not if the financing is by the insurer. In c. 255C Massachusetts regulates such financing, Further, there seems to be no restrictions on the kinds of insurance a creditor can require in connection with a consumer credit transaction and for which the creditor makes a separate charge, while in Massachusetts the kinds of insurance are limited.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Buyers of goods and services frequently are asked to sign acknowledgments at the time they enter into a credit transaction stating that all goods had been delivered and services performed even though this was not so. This has been used in home improvement transactions more than any others. When third parties to whom the seller sold the credit agreement sought to enforce payment, courts held debtors to these acknowledgments even though the debtor had obviously been defrauded. Massachusetts gives such acknowledgments no evidentiary effect against a debtor. The Uniform Consumer Credit Code ignores the problem, However, as to disclosure, such an acknowledgment creates a presumtpion against the deb-tor even as to the original creditor that he has complied with the statute; as to third parties, the presumption is conclusive. There are no such presumptions in Massachusetts.

REMEDIES

Massachusetts allows a debtor as a matter of right to recover a penalty of all credit charges for most violations, and even more for some violations. The Uniform Consumer Credit Code leaves award of a penalty to the discretion of a court. Massachusetts allows a debtor to take affirmative action in court to have a violation declared void. The Credit Code does not provide for such a right. Massachusetts allows a creditor to

avoid the penalty if the violation was accidental or the result of bona fide error in only three limited situations. The Credit Code allows this escape from all penalties without limit. Mere violation of our Retail Installment Sales Act is a crime (the Attorney General is currently in the process of prosecuting a violator). Only a few violations are crimes under the Credit Code and they must be willful, which is almost impossible to prove.

CONCLUSION

There are many other weaknesses and problems in the Consumer Credit Code too complex or subtle to chronical in this statement. There are some good points and innovations in the Credit Code which would benefit Massachusetts consumers and the concept of one tightly knit consumer credit code has much to recommend it. But if a scale could be created upon which to balance the gains and losses to Massachusetts consumers, the Council is convinced it would tip in favor of losses if the Uniform Consumer Credit Code were enacted in the form of House Bill 778.

[From the Consumers' Council News, Jan. 29, 1969]

THE POSITION OF THE MASSACHUSETTS CON-SUMERS' COUNCIL ON HOUSE BILL 778, THE UNIFORM CONSUMER CREDIT CODE, AS STATED BY PROF. WILLIAM F. WILLIER, STATE HOUSE, BOSTON, MASS., JANUARY 29, 1969

Dr. Edward R. Willett, Chairman of the Consumers' Council, designated Professor William F. Willier of Boston College Law School to speak for the Council. Professor Willier is the Credit Law Consultant to the Council and, also, is a member of the Advisory Board on Truth-in-Lending to the Federal Reserve Board.

The following is an excerpt from Prof. Wililer's testimony: "The State Consumers' Council, after study and consultation, opposes the enactment of the Uniform Consumer Credit Code in Massachusetts at this time.

"The Council's position is as follows: The Uniform Consumer Credit Code would change many laws and regulations in Massachusetts which were designed and enacted to protect the consumer. Many problems and conflicting viewpoints have been brought to the Council's attention, some obvious and some not so obvious. The General Court should not hastily enact a statute beset with so little understanding and so much controversy. Instead, thorough study by a competent body should be given to the changes, problems and conflicts which enactment of the Code would create. What may be good enough for Colorado consumers may not be good enough for consumers in Massachusetts."

Prof. Willier made the following additional points:

"(1) There is serious fallacy in one of the major premises upon which the Uniform Consumer Credit Code was promulgated: that regulation of consumer credit can or ever will be uniform among the fifty states and territories. . . The Massachusetts General Court must deal with the problems as they exist in Massachusetts; it must be concerned with the consumers in this State and not with those in other states.

"(2) In all cases the rate cellings for consumer credit in the Uniform Consumer Credit Code exceed those in Massachusetts, even though they may equal or be lower than those in other states. There is ample evidence that for many debtors the celling becomes the floor, that high cost creditors always charge the maximum rates.

"The Uniform Consumer Credit Code would raise the celling to 36% Interest at maximum rates of this new proposed Code. The first \$200 of indebtedness (which is the lowest breaking point for present rates) payable over one year in twelve installments would cost the consumer the following additional amounts if the Credit Code were enacted:

ease
7.14
5. 10
1.10
7. 14
֡

"(3) At a casual glance, the Credit Code appears to offer protections and benefits to the consumer very much like the ones we have in Massachusetts and to add some new benefits to those. However, a careful look reveals that in a number of instances the Credit Code actually weakens protections that are already in the laws of Massachusetts."

The Executive Secretary, Mr. Dermont P. Shea of the Council, stated that: "These are just some of the reasons why the Consumers' Council recommends that a thorough study be made in order to protect the rights of the citizens of the Commonwealth. This code might be suitable for other states that do not have the consumer protection credit laws that Massachusetts has, but it is not acceptable to the Consumer's Council as presently drafted."

CONGRESS SHOULD WORK ITS WILL ON SALARY INCREASES

(Mr. FLYNT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks and include extraneous matter.)

Mr. FLYNT. Mr. Speaker, I have introduced House Resolution 178 calling for the disapproval of the salary increase proposal for certain officials in the executive, legislative, and judicial branches of Government. This resolution was referred to the House Committee on Post Office and Civil Service.

Since it is clear that the Committee on Post Office and Civil Service will not take this subject up prior to the deadline at which time the proposed increase will automatically become law by nonaction, I simultaneously introduced House Resolution 179 which was referred to the House Committee on Rules. This resolution, if favorably reported, would have the effect of bringing the question of the pending salary increase to the floor of the House for a vote.

The chairman of the Rules Committee has scheduled a hearing on this and other identical House resolutions for 10:30 a.m. on Wednesday, February 5, 1969. I expect to appear before the Committee on Rules and to ask the committee to report such a resolution to the floor. The House can yote it up or down.

I do not think that a salary increase—amounting in one case to an increase of 171 percent of present salary—should become effective by congressional non-action, and such an increase at a time when efforts should be made to reduce instead of increase Federal spending is outrageous.

The annual cost of the salary increases for the executive, legislative, and judicial branches is \$34,700,000. At a time when there is relatively little flexibility in our budget and when conflicting pressures are being exerted to both reduced Federal spending and to increase spending on certain programs, we cannot by nonaction authorize a salary increase of this magnitude with a clear conscience.

When this method of salary adjust-

ment was considered and the Presidential Commission was established by act of Congress in 1967, I opposed the legislation. I think that the creation of the Commission of Executive, Legislative, and Judicial Salaries was unfortunate and constitutes a great mistake. To perpetuate the mistake instead of correcting it constitutes an unwillingness to face up to our responsibilities.

We have delegated our legislative authority and responsibility to an Executive Commission, and by doing so, have disclaimed ourselves of our responsibility to legislate important matters dealing with Federal expenditures. If the House of Representatives wants to approve the provisions of the Commission's report, that is a matter on which the House can and should work its will. The enactment of a salary increase amounting to more than \$35 million annually, however, should not, under any circumstances, be done by nonaction. It should be done only by a rollcall vote.

RECONSIDERATION URGED ON WHITE HOUSE USE OF FOREIGN PRODUCTS

(Mr. SISK asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute, and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. SISK. Mr. Speaker, it is customary for the Congress to give a new administration a reasonable amount of time to get itself oriented, to reappraise past policies, to review its options, and then chart its course. I intend to follow this policy with regard to the Nixon administration.

Nevertheless, I intend also to speak out on issues of importance when the circumstances indicate to me that the administration is receiving bad advice.

One such circumstance apparently has developed already. I refer to an article which appeared in the Washington Post of Saturday, February 1, which contained a report of a formal reception of the diplomatic corps.

It seems to me that the new administration has blundered badly already by deciding to serve French champagne at the White House. I hope that this does not represent an abandonment of the policies of the two previous administrations, which not only were proud to serve American wines, brandies, and champagnes at the White House, but also insisted that American products be served at American posts overseas.

The first Saturday after assuming office President Nixon devoted to a review of our Southeast Asia policies. The second Saturday he devoted to Mideast policies. I hope that the President will set aside an early Saturday for a discussion of White House beverage policies.

I am confident that an unbiased review of this matter would show that unquestionably the best policy would be to serve good California beverages or, in a pinch, those produced in the State of New York, but in no event those products which come from the vineyards of Charles de Gaulle.

Because of my grave concern over this matter I am directing a letter to President Nixon asking that henceforth, where the fruit of the vine is concerned, only American products be used at official American functions.

PRIZEWINNING ESSAY BY MIKE BUNN

(Mr. HALEY asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks and include extraneous matter.)

Mr. HALEY. Mr. Speaker, today, when the subject of crime is discussed so much, individually and through our news media I would like to submit to you to be printed in the Congressional Record the following essay written by one of my young constituents, Mike Bunn, a high school senior from Bartow, Fla. Mike won first place in the impromptu essay competition at the Key Club International Convention held in Montreal this past summer on the subject of "How We as Youth Can Prevent the Growth of Crime and Violence in Our Societies." I think that you will join me in applauding this young man's efforts to summarize how best youth-and I might add-adults might help in combating crime and violence in our society today. The essay appeared in the December 30, 1968, edition of one of our fine Florida newspapers, the Polk County Democrat, Bartow, Fla.

The essay follows:

PRIZEWINNING ESSAY: BARTOW KEY CLUBBER REPLECTS ON YOUTH LAW AND ORDER ROLE

Mike Bunn, a senior at Bartow high school, won first place in the impromptu essay competition at the Key Club International convention held in Montreal this past July.

In the contest, participants were given no advance warning concerning the topic, Mike's essay, which follows, was on the topic, "How we as youth can prevent the growth of crime and violence in our societies":

"Since history was first recorded, man has a record of crime and violence. When civilizations progressed to high levels, they made laws to protect their citizens by suppressing the animal character of man.

"But laws are not always obeyed nor is the animal nature of man tamed. Men strike out against each other in wrath and in jealousy. Men steal to live or for 'kicks'. They fail to see the obligation they have toward others.

the obligation they have toward others. "Today society tolerates crime or excuses criminals because they are underprivileged. Society first must change its attitudes before crime can be successfully combatted.

"Youth will play an important role in the fight against crime. Men are not born thieves or murderers, but learn to steal or kill. "It is the duty of the youth of a country

"It is the duty of the youth of a country to aid in the prevention of orime. Violence in modern society is inexcusable and should be dealt with promptly. The 'problem' children, the unreachables, must be brought back into society before they become threats to society.

"Only at a young age can the future criminals be reformed. Thus it will be their peers who must reach them. Example is the best of teachers; therefore youth must set a good example for the generation that follows. Friendship, too, must be given to the problem child; lack of social contact or lasting relationships with their fellow men can be seen in the deprayed assassins of the last few years. Communication between individuals and between generations in society must be strengthened.

"Perhaps the greatest weapon against crime is respect. For if men respect the rights of each other how can they deny a man those rights or infringe on his personal freedom? A campaign among the youth of all nations upholding the worth and dignity of the individual would do much to fight crime.

"Criminals should be justly punished on conviction, but when their debt is paid to society, they should be allowed to return as free of stigma as one who has never committed a crime.

"By making contacts with children in underprivileged areas, by setting a suitable example for our peers and the generations to come, by establishing better communications between individuals, and by campaigning for the dignity of all men, crime can be successfully fought. It is the young leaders of today who must fight for a better tomorrow. It is the work of today that assures the success of tomorrow."

RACIAL JUSTICE, NOT SEPARATISM

(Mr. FEIGHAN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks and include extraneous matter.)

Mr. FEIGHAN. Mr. Speaker, recent demands by Negroes for separatism are very disturbing. I have devoted much energy during my tenure in Congress, as have a great number of my colleagues, to assure the Negro a position of true equality in our society. I was pleased to find the following editorial in the Cleveland Plain Dealer of January 27, 1969, dealing with this timely subject.

It is my hope that my colleagues will read this noteworthy editorial:

If the Negro wants to improve his lot, he should be concentrating on developing unity and racial dignity, not separatism. And whites could make an important contribution to the cause of equality by working to change the attitudes of friends and neighbors who are prejudiced.

This is the advice of two leaders here— Dr. Kenneth W. Clement of the Urban League and William Pickard of the NAACP and it bears repeating.

Dr. Clement has joined civil rights leader Roy Wilkins and others in condemning racial separatism.

In view of the years of frustration and oppression, it is not difficult to see why some Negroes today are urging black separation with perhaps even the setting aside of entire states for Negroes.

But separatism is not the answer. Now, when the national conscience is awakening, is a time to strive for racial justice and a truly harmonious American society in which a man is not judged on the color of his skin.

This does not preclude the cultivation of racial pride in the Negro and the development of knowledge about his heritage. These are laudable goals and they should and can be attained within a single society, without whites and blacks going separate ways.

whites and blacks going separate ways.

The Negro, however, is not the only one responsible for bringing about the transition. Whites hold the balance of power in this country and their commitment to racial equality is essential.

Pickard suggests that whites can help by changing the attitudes of fellow whites who are not sympathetic to the plight of the Negro. He is correct.

Prejudice must be actively combatted. As fast as the walls of bigotry topple, the Negro will progress in his struggle. Every white who realizes this should be reasoning with his less enlightened neighbors.

POST APPROVES OF DISCIPLINING PEOPLE

(Mr. RARICK asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks and include extraneous matter.)

Mr. RARICK. Mr. Speaker, the Washington Post now editorializes that free speech is rightfully subject to party punishment if essential to preservation of morale in the party.

I do not recall the Post editorializing against those free-speech dissenters who tore up the National Democratic Convention in Chicago.

On the other hand, they have eulogized Castro and even defended the Supreme Court in deciding that a Communist has a right to freedom of association and can work in a defense factory.

One wonders why the editors find no concern for the morale of the people. Should not the people—not the party—have the right to punish?

Who would the Post's editors suggest should pass on the morale of the party—news editors? Other politicians? My people's morale is low—but never beaten.

ple's morale is low—but never beaten. How would the Post define representative government? Of the people? Or of the party?

The editor of the paper who has been so prodigiously outspoken for free speech, dissent, and an alphabet of other rights suddenly finds more concern about party discipline than he does about the right of our people to vote—free from persecution, intimidation, or punishment.

Does the Washington Post now repudiate the one-man, one-vote theory?

Noteworthy, no suggestion was made of any constitutional provision, law, or statute as authority for the party action. The Post would seem to approve of making laws to fit the occasion and with retreactive effect.

In fact, the action the Post seeks to defend is at most unwritten political tradition or custom—which the same paper bitterly opposed when they moralized against racial segregation in the South as an evil institution of tradition or cus-

tom, which denied basic human rights. A contradictory position from an oracle of the communication media. It even suggests additional punishment of my people by banishing them from the party altogether. Makes one wonder, would the Post extend its extremistic logic to refuse the people of my district any representation in Congress because their philosophy of life and country may differ from the feelings of others, including the editorial policies of the Post?

But then, the Post is in the business of selling newspapers. And everyone knows in Washington, D.C., you can sell venom by turning your pen against the people of the South

The editorial follows:

PARTY DISCIPLINE

The disciplined Congressman from Louisiana, John R. Rarick, missed the point when he said that he is a free American who has a right to support George Wallace if he wants to. Of course he has. But he can't claim all the privileges of a Democratic member of the House of Representatives when he insists on knifing his party in the back.

We think the action of the House Democrats in stripping Mr. Rarick of his seniority was not only fair but also essential to the preservation of morale in that party. Some allowance must be made for party members who stand on the sidelines during a presidential contest because they cannot conscientiously go along with the nominee of their party. But open support of a rival is a breach of party discipline that cannot reasonably be tolerated. The Congressman from Louisiana will be fortunate if he loses only his seniority and not the right to masquerade under the Democratic label.

PATRIOTISM IS NOT DEAD

(Mr. DORN asked and was given permission to extend his remarks at this point in the RECORD and to include extraneous matter.)

Mr. DORN. Mr. Speaker, it was my privilege to attend a magnificent program, "Salute to the Armed Forces," on November 1, 1968, conducted by the Alken High School Band, faculty, and students. This was a half-time show dedicated to our Armed Forces at the Greenwood High-Alken High football game. The following editorial appeared in the Alken Standard and Review of November 6, 1968, and is a tribute to this splendid occasion:

AIKEN-U.S.A.

Though the prophets of gloom depict this era as one of darkness, hippies, yippies, draft card burners and chaos, on Friday, November 1, at Alken High School's Hagood Stadium, a light as strong as a laser beam appeared and proved that waving Old Glory is not as old fashioned as some would have us believe.

The Aiken High School band, faculty and students' "Salute To The Armed Forces' moved thousands of fans with a program as stirring as the Spirit of '76. Imagine, if you will, the theme songs of the United States Army, U.S. Marine Corps, United States Navy and United States Air Force being played by the spirited Aiken High School band as each of the Armed Forces Color Guards marched to take their positions on the center of the gridiron. What a magnificent display of the national colors. The stirring music of the Aiken High band brought everyone to their feet and as a welcome was thundered out by the students and fans, the roar became deafening and shattering. The tumultous welcome for the color guards, the visiting Major General John C. T. Tillson III—Commanding General of Ft. Gordon-Mrs. J. C. T. Tillson, the 861st Radar Squadron, and the soldiers who are now in recuperation at Ft. Gordon Hospital certainly proved that Americans do

Following the very warm and dramatic welcome, 5000 fans came to a dead silence as a U.S. Army firing squad from Ft. Gordon echoed the volleys of a 21 gun salute. The silence as Old Glory was placed at half mast as the Bugler and echo sounded Taps made the night take on a reverence never to be forgotten. With this tribute to those who made the supreme sacrifice, the Alken Band then marched forming a huge letter "A" to signify America, our wonderful country, under God, while the entire audience joined in singing "God Bless America" as 1000 and more American Flags waved.

Indeed, this is America and Aiken is fortunate to have the dedication of its youth, city officials and fraternal organizations who united as a community to pay homage to the greatest country in the world. Old Glory, long may it wave.

POLICE REPORTER

(Mr. DORN asked and was given permission to extend his remarks at this point in the RECORD and to include extraneous matter.)

Mr. DORN. Mr. Speaker, the following article appeared in the Charlotte Observer on November 29, 1968. Mr. York, author of this article, until recently was a reporter for the Charlotte Observer for 15 years. I commend this superb article to the attention of my colleagues and to the American people: POLICE REPORTING: NAKED LIPE AND THE ODOR

OF BLOOD

(By John York)

Police reporter. On television and in the movies he's the fast-talking, well dressed cat with a secretary and a camera and he's always one step ahead of the fuzz.

Grabbing off the criminals to get his story before the law moves in.

Oh. baby.

I've been a police reporter for 12 years and I think I've seen it all.

My secretary is the copy boy who loses my telephone calls, and I gave up carting a camera around when I learned that people love to try to slug people who carry cameras.

They asked me to tell you what 12 years

of police reporting is like. I have tried on page after page of gray copy paper and it comes out one way . . .

Bitter and bloody.

Bitter because I have been there a thousand times and I have seen the victims, minutes after the crime, and after awhile you wonder at the sort of subhuman who could do this sort of thing and at the intelligent people who ignore the victims in favor of the criminal.

Bloody because that's the way it is.

There's an odor to blood. There's something about the smell of blood mixed with gunpowder in the air of a small, cluttered room that can drop your body temperature 10 degrees.

There's something about a dead body that shouts, "This man is dead" and the moment you see him you know, even though the formalities of legally establishing death are vet to come

The people?

Con men with silvery tongues who suckered me a time or two until I learned two

Anyone in trouble who will talk freely to reporter is selling something, and

The man who asks you to come to jail to talk with him wants out, and he is going to say or do anything to get out.

The people?

How about the first kid to walk through the doors of the Juvenile Diagnostic Center years ago. He merited an interview because he was the first, and he said the things he was supposed to say. He just wanted to get straightened out and learn a trade and make something of himself.

He was up then for housebreaking. He's not even out of his 20s now and he's doing time for rape and kidnaping, and escape and a host of other little exercises in how not to be good citizen.

How about the man I talked with who was being sent up for his third prison term for murder.

Three notches on his gun. Think he's learned anything?

Or the man who walked into a local hos pital, shot his wife to death and then asked me. "Is she dead? If she ain't I'll sure as God kill her when I get out of here."

Or the countless kids I've talked with who

steal and apologize and beg forgiveness and then go out to steal again.

Or the professional shoplifters who clean the merchant's daily, operating in gangs, some of whom divert the salespeople while the others load up, and the fantastic amounts of money they make. Crime doesn't pay? Try to tell them that.

Or the pimp, a flim-flam man who drives the new air-conditioned luxury car with a pair of well-dressed gals in the front seat. Two hundred dollar suits and nobody can touch him because you have to have evidence.

He walks in and out of the police department and grins at the policemen, and their stomachs hurt.

Or the child molesters and the countless men who expose themselves to women and children and roar away in their cars before the shocked victims think to get a tag number

Or the fire-blackened bodies carried out of the still smoking building and the limp. wet kids pulled from the river and the lakes and the bloody, torn messes taken out of demolished automobiles.

This is what police reporting is.

This and being there when the man tells everyone who will listen that he did it and how he did it and all he wants is to get it off his chest-and then he gets a lawyer and two months later in court you hear him say the confession was beaten out of him by vicious, mad-dog officers who laughed at his screams.

Or standing beside the car and watching the drunk driver fall down when he tries to take a step and then seeing him in court later, clean and sober, with a retinue of friends who are prepared to swear that they were with old Joe that night and he never took a drink.

Or watching the sullen youth stand poker faced while they book him at headquarters after a 90-mile-an-hour chase which could have killed a half dozen innocent people and then learning that his mother called headquarters the next day to complain that the fficers stole his watch, which he probably lost in the foot race across dark back yards after he had wrecked the car.

Or listening on the telephone extension while the snooty resident of a snooty section bawls the duty captain out because a police car drove down his street and he wants police to know, "This is not a street where police are needed '

And wishing that some night he would need them badly, and that they would refuse to come down his street.

Or watching the furious citizens who don't believe they can be arrested as they raise pure hell with the officer who stopped them for running a red light or running through a stop sign, or doing 60 in a school zone at 3 p.m. watching the officer stand there whitefaced and silent, taking it because he has been told he must not argue.

Or seeing the blind man whose throat was cut over a couple of beers by a couple of

Or seeing the bleeding women limp into headquarters on Saturday night.

This is what it is all about. This is police reporting.

You cannot tell much of it when it happens because the lawyers claim that you prejudice

furies. You cannot tell much of it anyway because the nice little ladies are offended and they write to the newspaper to complain about such "trash" and such horrible things being

printed. You will never forget the one who called in to complain and then topped things off beautifully by adding, "People might think those stories are true."

Good Lord.

You think about these things and you analyze public reaction and you decide, after 12 years, that only the victims are truly

offended by crime and that the solution lies only in enough of us becoming victims. You tell this to people and they smile at the pure idiocy of it and then being quoting from social studies and the assorted texts of people who have never known a real honest to God hood.

It will drive you to your knees and tear out your heart and mold you into a man who can stand over the bodies and study them with the impartiality of a doctor signing the death certificate of someone he does not know.

That's the way it is.

But if a reporter wants to be a wellrounded reporter, and if he is curious about people, he cannot help but be attracted to the police beat, where life is stripped of its pretenses and he sees people without their guard up. In this atmosphere the phonies are so obvious they are comical.

There is a world of difference between

covering the police best and, for example, the business beat. On one, the reporter is usually welcome because he represents free publicity and the world he sees is mostly

The police reporter is often-make that almost always—unwelcome wherever he goes. Even some of the policemen he works with are wary of him and some of them try in devious ways to block him from getting information-without being so obvious about it that they attract the attention of their more realistic, better-educated superiors.

But violence is a sudden thing, and when

comes it strips away the veneer everyone hides behind, and the things you see under

those circumstances are real.

The people are real. They say what they feel. Later they may regret it and deny it, but for this single moment you have been privileged to see people as God created them, tormented by their weaknesses and vanities, plagued by their selfishness, or sometimes able to endure almost unbelievable pressure without yielding. The ugly and the good.

The tigers who stalk the night and their

soft victims.

There are three things that make the police beat worthwhile for a reporter: The friendship of the men with whom you

have sometimes shared danger, brave men who, 100 years ago, would have opened up the wilderness.

The unforgettable lessons in human nature from observing people under these circumstances.

And the tearful smile on the face of the young mother when an officer returns her lost child.

MEMBER OF AUSTRALIAN PARLIA-MENT FLIES IN F-111, CALLS IT "THE GREATEST"

(Mr. WRIGHT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks and include extraneous matter.)

Mr. WRIGHT. Mr. Speaker, it is common knowledge that the strongest advocates of the F-111 aircraft are the pilots who fly it. Time and again these veteran fliers have paid tribute to this remarkably advanced aircraft.

Now come words of praise from an entirely different quarter. During debate on the defense bill in the Australian Parliament some weeks ago, Member B. W. Graham described a flight he made in the F-111 and called it "the greatest flying machine of its type in the world today."

So that my colleagues may share Mr. Graham's assessment of this aircraft and its capabilities. I insert in the RECORD excerpts from his speech:

On 24th October last I had the privilege of flying in an F111 aircraft at speeds ranging from 450 knots at about 250 feet to mach 1.56 at 36,000 feet over Texas, Oklahoma and Arkansas.

I admit that there was some difficulty in knowing over what States of the United States one was flying. Nevertheless it was a remarkable experience, and I personally say to the Parliament that I believe this aircraft to be the greatest flying machine of its type in the world today.

Acting upon the best advice they could get from the senior officers of the Royal Air Force, the colleagues of honourable members opposite in London, the Right Honourable Harold Wilson, Mr. Callaghan and Mr. Brownsolid Labour men-made the decision to buy (the F-111) from the United States. They said to their own Air Force leaders: "What sort of aircraft will we need for the protection of the United Kingdom?" They were told that the TSR2 would be suitable and that, if they decided not to choose the aircraft built in Britain, without doubt the TFX (F111) should be chosen. They ordered fifty TFX (F111) aircraft from the United States. The Royal Air Force leaders today are crying because their Government lost the courage to try to find the money to buy this aeroplane. The Government of the United Kingdom came with great ease to the decision not to buy the TFX once it realised that it would longer have a strategic need for the aircraft. The reason why the United Kingdom Government does not want an aircraft with the range, bomb load and other capabilities of the F111 is that Britain is now withdrawing into Europe, where it can rely on the Luftwaffe, which is the most powerful air force in the whole or the North Atlantic Treaty Organisation. Let us be clear about the situation: The United Kingdom does not need a strategic weapon of this sort. For this reason, added to the salient fact that it was short of money, it cancelled its order for the aeroplane.

I would like to discuss capability briefly. It will not benefit Australians generally or the people in this Parliament if we talk about the possibility of targets and look into a crystal ball in an attempt to find some strategic target that might be worthy of an atomic weapon. There is no merit in this. We seek to base our common defence on regional pacts in South East Asia. We seek to stand side by side with our friends in Malaysia, Indonesia, the Philippines, Thailand, Vietnam and Singapore. We will co-operate with them in the future in the creation of the defence forces necessary to protect the area in which we live. We will be able to do this more effectively with a force of F111 aircraft under the control of Royal Australian Air Force pilots who have confidence and high morale and who have been trained to a high standard than we would be able to with any other aircraft that is operating in the world today. This aircraft is a fighter bomber which will be able to carry out a multiplicity of operations.

MARINE SANCTUARY LEGISLATION

(Mr. KEITH asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. KEITH. Mr. Speaker, the weekend papers have been filled with reports and comments on the 10-mile oil slick which floats off the coast of southern California. Unfortunately, this is a story which many of us knew we would read about sooner or later.

In July 1967, I filed legislation which, if enacted, might have prevented such a tragedy. I urged then that ocean areas of vital economic and public concern, such

as Georges Bank—and, if the Secretary should so determine, the Santa Barbara Channel—be set aside by the Secretary of the Interior as a marine sanctuary. Under this concept, the Congress could indicate its concern and would accordingly protect wildlife, public recreation areas, and the fishing and beach resort industries. Unfortunately, the Department of the Interior opposed the bill, although it did indicate its interest in working out an acceptable redraft. Regrettably, they have not yet submitted anything to the Committee on Merchant Marine and Fisheries.

I also introduced legislation in 1967— H.R. 16559—which would have given the President the authority to devise methods and provide resources to act quickly and effectively to combat oil spills and leaks whenever and wherever they endangered our seas and shores. Instead, the Nation had to settle for an Executive order that falls far short of what we need.

My distinguished colleague, the gentleman from California, the Honorable Charles M. Teague, recently introduced a bill to provide protection against oil pollution. Unfortunately, it is the shoreline of his district that may soon be littered with oil. Passage of my bill might have forestalled this tragedy.

This problem is not an isolated one. The threat of oll pollution faces other areas. In the waters off the Cape Cod National Seashore, oll companies are today conducting research looking for future oil rig sites. The oil industry has stated that the possibility of polluting the shores of Cape Cod and its adjacent waters is remote; it has claimed that there is no proof that contamination will take place when oil drilling sites are located near shore. Well, it has happened, and the proof that the oil industry is looking for is now all too evident along the Santa Barbara coast.

And so, Mr. Speaker, today I am refiling a bill to provide for marine sanctuaries.

We in the Congress have the responsibility to cope with problems of this kind. We must find ways to deal with oil slicks of this magnitude. We must be concerned, also, with the safety and well-being of residents and workers who use these offshore areas. And we must, above all, for the sake of posterity, make certain that we balance the use of our Nation's resources.

I hope that the Merchant Marine Committee will take up this legislation at the earliest possible date. And I trust that Secretary Hickel and the new administration will take a positive and balanced approach to the whole subject.

PROPOSED PAY INCREASE

(Mr. CONABLE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. CONABLE. Mr. Speaker, I have been assuming that the House would have the opportunity to vote on the Federal pay raise proposal recommended by President Johnson. Delay in organizing the Post Office and Civil Service Committee, to which the resolutions of disapproval have been referred, indicate to me that this opportunity is an uncertain one and that our time to act may run out unless we move quickly.

It would be unfortunate if no vote were taken. Apparently the Senate expects to vote, judging from weekend news reports, and that distinguished body's involvement in money matters is or should be less direct than ours. Pride in our own institution should lead us to do no less than the Senate in this respect, regardless of how justified we may feel the pay raise is. We made a mistake in giving control over the top level of Government pay to an executive commission; we should not compound that mistake now by putting our reliance in the other legislative body.

I understand there are those in the Rules Committee who are considering taking action to permit the House to work its will on this issue. Mr. Speaker, I want by these remarks to encourage them, and to urge my colleagues likewise to urge the procedural steps which could bring the resolution of disapproval to the floor.

I oppose the proposed pay increase primarily on economic grounds. Those of us who feel strongly that Government expenditures must be kept under strict control at this time if we are to slow inflation and hold the line on taxes, cannot help but view with misgiving the raising of the only effective ceiling on executive branch pay. This proposal, and the manner of its implementation, weaken the lever of congressional restraint when we should be strengthening it.

But even those who favor the pay increase owe it to themselves and to the public respect for our institution to ask for a specific opportunity to vote, rather than backing into an increase by inaction.

CONGRESSIONAL PAY INCREASE

(Mr. LUJAN asked and was given permission to extend his remarks at this point in the Record and to include extraneous matter.)

Mr. LUJAN. Mr. Speaker, I would like to enter into the Record my position on President Johnson's recommendations to increase congressional pay.

I have previously taken a stand against overinfiation caused directly by overspending. By remaining silent, I would make myself a part of the problem itself.

I join with the Member from Indiana, Mr. Dennis, in cosponsoring a resolution disapproving President Johnson's recommendations for additional salary.

As a new Congressman, I am put in a position of having to automatically ask for a pay raise for myself before I draw my first paycheck. In all good conscience I cannot do this. I shall vote against the increase.

PROTESTING HANGING OF JEWS IN IRAQ

(Mr. LUJAN asked and was given permission to extend his remarks at this point in the Record and to include extraneous matter.)

Mr. LUJAN. Mr. Speaker, in the name

of humanity, I wish to register my protest against the recent public hanging of the nine Jews in Iraq.

This is a step backward in civilization-a step away from eventual peace in the Middle East-a step back in the Dark

I extend my sympathy to family, friends, and kinsmen of these citizens of Irag, and join with men of good will all over the world in pledging to work actively against these hasty and inhumane actions, wherever and whenever they occur.

PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTITUTION RELATING TO THE ELECTION OF THE PRESIDENT AND VICE PRESIDENT

(Mr. KLEPPE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute, to revise and extend his remarks, and to include extraneous matter.)

Mr. KLEPPE. Mr. Speaker, I am today proposing an amendment to the Constitution of the United States relating to the election of the President and Vice President.

It would combine apportionment of electoral votes to States on the basis of their representation in Congress with a direct election process which would be invoked if no presidential candidacy received a majority of electoral votes

The amendment would eliminate the electoral college and with this the "faithless" elector. It retains the long-established concept of a federal system by continuing to assign to each State one electoral vote for each Member of the Senate and House. It continues the award of all electoral votes apportioned to a State to candidates receiving the largest number of popular votes for President and Vice President.

It provides, however, that in the event no candidacy receives a majority of electoral votes, victory goes to persons receiving the greatest number of popular votes for President and Vice President. This provision may appeal to proponents of a direct election system who object quite rightly to the present process under which the House could elect as President any one of the three candidates receiving the highest numbers of electoral votes, in the event of an electoral college deadlock.

History suggests this provision would rarely, if ever, be invoked. Not since the Adams-Jackson election of 1824 has a presidential candidate failed to receive a majority of electoral votes, although there have been close calls.

My amendment would remove any uncertainties which could arise under the present system by directing that Congress shall "provide procedures to be followed in consequence of the death or withdrawal of a candidate on or before the date of an election," or in the case of a tie

There is general agreement that the present system of electing the President and Vice President needs reform. There seems to be no solid consensus, however, concerning any one of the several alternative proposals which have been put forward.

There may be so much debate over the size and shape of the table that proponents of a change will never get around to agreeing upon a constitutional amendment Congress would approve or the States would ratify. This possibility is reinforced by the fact that of the several hundred attempts, over the past 165 years, to reform the electoral college system, most got nowhere at all and only a handful moved through even a single branch of the Congress.

Unless there can be devised a constitutional amendment which will meet the test of acceptance, this newest quest for electoral reform will be nothing more than another exercise in futility.

I believe the smaller States would ratify an amendment preserving the electoral strength they now have under the federal system, even though it contained this provision for a decision on a popular vote basis in the event of an electoral vote deadlock. As the attached table shows, 36 of the 50 States now have electoral vote representation which exceeds—from slightly to greatly—the weight their respective populations would give them under the direct election process.

Based on the 1960 census, Alaska, for example, has a ratio of 1 electoral vote to 75,380 people. My State of North Dakota has a ratio of 1 to 158,112. For California, the ratio is 1 to 392,930. Abandonment of the electoral vote system in favor of the direct election proces would diminish by more than one-half North Dakota's present voice in the selection of a President.

I do not believe the smaller States would willingly surrender the protection they have under the Federal system any more quickly than they could be persuaded to give up their present basis of representation in the U.S. Senate.

During Senate debate on proposed electoral system reform in March 1956. the then Senator from Massachusetts, John F. Kennedy, spoke specifically on the question of direct elections:

On theoretical grounds it seems to me it would be a breach of the agreement made with the States when they came into the Union. At that time it was understood that they would have the same number of electoral votes as they had Senators and Representatives.

Expanding on this, he said:

After all, the States came into the Union as units. Electoral votes are not given out on the basis of the voting numbers, but on the basis of population. The electoral votes belong to each State. The way the system works now is that we carry on a campaign in 48 States, and the electoral votes of that State belong to that party which carries each State. If we are going to change that system, seems to me it would strike a blow at States rights in major proportions. It would probably end States rights and make this country one great unit.

Had my proposed amendment been in force through the years, it would certainly have changed only one election. The election of 1824 would have gone to Jackson, It probably would have reversed the outcome of the Tilden-Hayes election, because there would have been no disputed electors. It would not have changed the outcome of the 1888 Harrison-Cleveland election, in which Cleveland polled about 100,000 more popular votes but lost to Harrison by 65 electoral

Mr. Speaker, the following table shows the ratio of electoral votes to population in each State for 1964 and 1968 presidential elections-based on 1960 census:

tank	and State:	Ratio
1.	Alaska	75.380
2.	Nevada	95, 093
3.	Wyoming	110,022
4.	Vermont	129,960
5.	Delaware	148, 764
	New Hampshire	
	North Dakota	
8	Hawaii	158, 193
9.	Idaho	166, 798
10.	Montana	168, 692
	South Dakota	
12.	Rhode Island	214, 872
13.	Utah New Mexico	222, 657
14.	New Mexico	237, 756
15.	Maine	242, 316
16.	District of Columbia	254, 652
	Arizona	
18.	West Virginia	265, 774
19.	Nebraska	282, 266
20.	Oklahoma	291,036
21.	Colorado	292, 325
22.	Oregon	294, 781
23.	Arkansas	297, 712
24.	South Carolina	297, 824
25.	Iowa	306, 369
26.	Maryland	310,069
27.	Iowa Maryland Mississippi	311, 163
28.	Kansas	311, 230
29.	Connecticut	316,904
30.	Washington	317,024
31.	Tennessee	324, 281
32.	Louisiana	325, 702
33.	Alabama Georgia	326, 674
34.	Georgia	328, 593
35.	Wisconsin	329, 315
36.	Virginia	330, 579
36.	National average	333, 314
37.	Kentucky	337, 573
38.	Minnesota	341,386
	North Carolina	
40.	Florida	353, 682
41.	New Jersey	356, 870
	Indiana	
	Missouri	
44.	Massachusetts	359, 984
45.	Michigan	372, 533
46.	Ohio	373, 325
47.	Texas	383, 187
48.	Illinois	387, 736
49.	New York	390, 286
50.	Pennsylvania	390, 323
51.	California	392, 930
	ne text of the joint resolution follows:	n I am

offering follows:

Joint resolution proposing an amendment to the Constitution of the United States relating to the election of President and Vice President

Resolved by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled (two-thirds of each House concurring therein), That the following article is proposed as an amend-ment to the Constitution of the United States, to be valid only if ratified by the leg-islatures of three-fourths of the several several States within seven years after the date of final passage of this joint resolution:

"ARTICLE -

"Section 1. The executive power shall be vested in a President of the United States of America. He shall hold his office during the term of four years, and together with the Vice President chosen for the same term. be elected as provided in this Constitution. No person constitutionally ineligible to the office of President shall be eligible to that of Vice President.

"Sec. 2. An election shall be held in each State and in the District constituting the seat of Government of the United States (referred to in this article as the 'District') to determine which candidates for the office of President and Vice President are entitled to the electoral votes of such State or the District. An election held pursuant to this section shall be held on a day which is uniform throughout the United States, determined in such manner as the Congress shall by law prescribe. The Congress shall prescribe by law the time, place, and manner in which the results of an election held pursuant to this article shall be ascertained and declared.

"SEC. 3. The law of each State shall govern within such State as to any matter with respect to which the Congress is granted legislative power under the next sentence, but only to the extent that such State law is not inconsistent with any Act of Congress in effect pursuant to that sentence. In the case of any election in a State under this article, the Congress shall have power to provide by law for the places at which and the manner in which the election shall be held. The Congress shall by law prescribe the places at which and the manner in which an election required by this article shall be held in the District.

"SEC. 4. The qualifications for voters in any State in an election held pursuant to this article shall be the same as apply in the case of voters in such State in elections of Senators under the Constitution, except that any State may prescribe a residence qualification less restrictive than that which applies in such State with respect to voters in elections of Senators. The Congress shall by law prescribe the qualifications for voters in the District in an election held pursuant to this article.

"Sec. 5. In each election held pursuant to this article a vote may be cast only as a joint vote for the election of two persons (referred to in this article as a presidential candidacy) one of whom has consented that his name appear as candidate for President on the hallot with the name of the other as candidate for Vice President, and the other of whom has consented that his name appear as candidate for Vice President on the ballot with the name of the other as candidate for President. No person may consent to have his name appear on the ballot with more than one other person. The Congress shall have the power to enforce this section by appropriate legislation.

SEC. 6. Each State shall be entitled to a number of electoral votes equal to the number of Senators and Representatives to which such State may be entitled in the Congress The District shall be entitled to a number of electoral votes equal to the number of Senators and Representatives in Congress which the District would be entitled if it were a State, but in no event more than the least populous State. In the case of each State and the District, the presidential candidacy receiving the greatest number of votes shall be entitled to the whole number of the electoral votes of such State or District. If a presidential candidacy receives a majority of the electoral votes, the persons comprising such candidacy shall be the President-elect and the Vice President-elect. If no presidential candidacy receives a major-ity of the electoral votes, the persons comprising the presidential candidacy which received the greatest number of popular votes in the election held pursuant to section 2 of this article shall become the President-elect and the Vice President-elect.

"SEC. 7. The Congress shall by law provide procedures to be followed in consequence of the death or withdrawal of a candidate on or before the date of an election under this article, or in the case of a tie.

"Sec. 8. The first four paragraphs of section 1 of article II of the Constitution, the twelfth article of amendment to the Constitution, section 4 of the twentieth article of amendment to the Constitution and the

twenty-third article of amendment to the Constitution are repealed.

"Sec. 9. This article shall not apply to any election of the President or Vice President for a term of office beginning earlier than two years after the date of ratification of this article."

This constitutional amendment would: First. Preserve the long-established concept of the federal system by continuing to assign each State one electoral vote for each of its two U.S. Senators and one vote for each Member of the U.S. House of Representatives. For the District of Columbia, the number of electoral votes would be equal to the number it would be allocated if it were represented in Congress in the same way as the 50 States, "but in no event more than the least populous State."

Second. Abolish the electoral college but retain the present system of awarding all electoral votes apportioned to each State to the candidates receiving the largest number of popular votes for President and Vice President. Under my amendment, Congress would "prescribe by law the time, place and manner in which the results of an election held pursuant to this article shall be ascertained and declared." This would remove a basic objection to the present system under which the "faithless" elector can cast his vote for candidates other than those on whose slate he ran. It would also eliminate the present possibility that a President and Vice President of different political parties could be elected at the same time.

Third. Prevent any possible deadlock by providing that if no presidential candidacy receives a majority of electoral votes, the "persons comprising the presidential candidacy which received the greatest number of popular votes in the election, shall become the Presidentelect and the Vice-President-elect." This would eliminate the present provision under which selection of the Chief Executive would then fall to the House of Representatives or the Senatethrough election of a Vice President. This provision should calm the fears of proponents of a direct election system who are rightly apprehensive of the present method which makes it possible for any one of the three presidential candidates receiving the highest numbers of electoral votes to be elected by the House.

Fourth. Remove the uncertainties which could arise under the present system by directing that Congress shall "provide procedures to be followed in consequence of the death or withdrawal of a candidate on or before the date of an election under this article, or in the case of a tie."

Fifth. Offer an alternative which stands a strong chance of being ratified by the required three-fourths of the States. It seems unlikely the smaller States are prepared to accept a diminished voice in the selection of the President and Vice President, as they clearly would under either the direct or proportional election systems which are being proposed. My amendment preserves the principle of federalism in the selection of the Nation's two top officials. The smaller States are no more ready to surrender

this principle than they are to surrender their constitutional right to elect two Members of the U.S. Senate.

Despite its obvious imperfections, the electoral college system has functioned remarkably well. It clearly misfired 144 years ago when John Quincy Adams was elected President over Andrew Jackson, who had received more electoral votes, as well as more popular votes, than his opponents.

During the next 144 years there were two cases in which the candidate receiving a majority of electoral college votes won fewer popular votes than his opponent. In 1876 Samuel J. Tilden received about 250,000 more popular votes than Rutherford B. Hayes, but lost by one electoral vote. In 1888 Grover Cleveland polled about 100,000 more popular votes than Benjamin Harrison, but Harrison received 65 more electoral votes. In all other presidential elections, including the close ones of 1960 and 1968, the candidate with the largest number of popular votes received a majority in the electoral college.

Under my amendment the 1824 election would have gone to Jackson. Tilden probably would have been elected in 1876, because there would have been no disputed electoral votes. Result of the Harrison-Cleveland contest would not have been changed. Had a direct election system been in effect, Jackson, Tilden, and Cleveland would have won.

The proportional system would also have changed the results of at least two past presidential elections. "Proposals To Reform Our Electoral System," a study published by the Legislative Reference Service of the Library of Congress, says:

In at least two elections since 1860, proportionate distribution would have given the Presidency to a minority candidate who was defeated under the present system. In 1880 Winfield S. Hancock had over 7,000 fewer votes than James A. Garfield, but he would have won by a margin of 6 to 8 electoral votes if proportionate distribution had been in effect. In 1896 William Jennings Bryan won less than 47 per cent of the popular vote to William McKinley's nearly 51 per cent, but proportionate distribution would have given him an electoral vote margin of 6. Although Bryan carried only 17 States, 11 of them were in the South. McKinley carried 28 States in other sections of the country, but under the proportional system he would have lost many more electoral votes outside the South because of Democratic minority votes than he would have gained from Republican minority votes in the solidly Democratic South, and he would have lost the election.

The same publication notes that under the "district" system, which allocates most of the electoral votes on a congressional district basis, results of presidential elections from 1916 through 1964 would not have been changed, except in one case—1960. Under the present system John F. Kennedy won 303 electoral votes to Richard M. Nixon's 219. Under the district system Nixon would have received 280 electoral votes to Kennedy's 254.

Several of the proposals put forward in connection with both direct and proportional elections call for a runoff election if the candidate falls to receive at least 40 percent of the popular or proportional vote. The amendment I have proposed contains no provision for a runoff in the event that no candidate receives a majority of electoral votes and final determination of the winner is made on the basis of the popular vote.

There are two reasons for this omission. First, it is unlikely that the leading candidate under any of the proposed amendments would have less than 40 percent of either the popular or elec-toral votes. The electoral college method of electing a President has governed 46 elections, including 1968. In only one case—Abraham Lincoln in 1860—did the top vote-getter receive less than 40 percent of the popular vote. Lincoln's percentage was 39.79, but he was not on the ballot in 10 States. Second, in the unlikely event of a runoff under the 40percent provision, there would be a period of prolonged uncertainty-weeks, if not months-over who the new President would be. Such a transition gap would be extremely dangerous in this age. Moreover, in a runoff election which might come in midwinter, weather conditions could well disfranchise large numbers of voters.

The amendment I am introducing is quite similar, with one exception, to the proposal drafted by the Honorable Hale Boggs, assistant majority leader of the House. My amendment additionally provides for what amounts to a direct election of the President and Vice President in the event that no candidacy receives an electoral vote majority. As I noted earlier, this has happened only once in 144 years. The gentleman from Louisiana makes what I believe is a convincing case for retention of our electoral system. He says:

This proposal will remove the evils of the present system while maintaining our Federalism. Of course, any role of the House of Representatives will be removed once and

I see no other logical approach, in view of the fact that the candidates of our two major parties are nominated by conventions with delegates elected either in primaries or in conventions in the respective 50 States and the District of Columbia, Thus, Federalism is still basic in the nomination of a Presidential candidate. It should be so in the election. I doubt that the system could be maintained with a direct popular vote.

In March 1956, when several proposed electoral reform amendments were being debated, John F. Kennedy, then a U.S. Senator, strongly opposed any changes which would scrap the allocation of electoral votes to the States on the basis of their congressional representation. He spoke against both the direct election and proportional representation systems, as well as against the district system. He said:

I think we would do well not to abandon the present system. There is an old saying to the effect that one should not take down a fence until he knows why it was put up. In my case, I would be reluctant to take down a fence which has served us pretty well in the past.

Speaking specifically on the direct election proposal, Senator Kennedy said:

On theoretical grounds it seems to me it would be a breach of the agreement made with the States when they came into the Union. At that time it was understood that they would have the same number of elec-

toral votes as they had Senators and Representatives.

Expanding on this, he said:

After all, the States came into the Union as units. Electoral votes are not given out on the basis of the votting numbers, but on the basis of population. The electoral votes belong to each State. The way the system works now is that we carry on a campaign in 48 States, and the electoral votes of that State belong to that party which carries each State. If we are going to change that system, it seems to me it would strike a blow at States rights in major proportions. It would probably end States rights and make this country one great unit.

Senator Kennedy feared, and I believe rightly, that "under the proportional system, splinter parties would be greatly encouraged." I think the same argument is valid in connection with the direct election system. It was Senator Kennedy's contention that under the electoral vote system "various minority pressure groups are discouraged from forming their own party." He added:

Thus they find it necessary to work within the two major parties, moderating their own narrow policies to fit the party structure. Thus the American preference for moderation instead of extremities is continued. But the proportional voting system would enable any number of parties able to attract a sufficient number of its own adherents within a single State to obtain official electoral vote recognition never before possible; and by awarding them electoral votes it will give to such splinter groups a new power they may use to coerce one of the major parties to accede to their demands.

Senator Kennedy had this comment on the contention that the electoral vote system "disfranchises" voters:

The report alleges that millions of voters are disenfranchised under the prevailing system of winner take all, inasmuch as all those who cast votes for the presidential nominee Senator on this floor "might just as well have stayed home. In a sense, that is true, although it is hardly a deterrent on voters hoping to win the State for their candidatebut it is also true of every election for every office under any system other than the European system of proportional representation. Those who voted for the opponent of any Senator on this floor "might just as well have stayed home," too-for, of course, the winner takes all, and those votes are to no avail. Even under Senate Joint Resolution 31 the winner of the presidential race is going to take all-and all the votes cast for his opponent, in that sense, will have been wasted. Indeed, one of the dangers of Senate Joint Resolution 31 is that it may undermine the winner-take-all idea in other spheres-and we will then have a plural executive and a proportionately represented Congress, which no one's vote is lost but in which no one has any confidence.

Confronted with the fact that winner take all within each State is the accepted system in practically all American elections, proponents then reply that they mean to abolish State lines for purposes of presidential elections, so that each pocket of minority votes within each State can be counted in electoral votes to be added to those from pockets of votes within other States. This is a revolutionary change in our Federal system, and it violates the basic premise that each State acts as a unit in its relations with the Central Government. That is the way the Constitution was ratifled; that is the way constitution was ratifled; that is the way to pooling the negative votes cast in the legislatures of each State. That is the way the Senate is constituted—even when the

party with the fewer Senators elected received, in all senatorial elections combined, the most votes cast. And that is the way our Presidents have been, and should continue to be elected.

HON. GUY GILLETTE CELEBRATES 90TH BIRTHDAY

(Mr. MAYNE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. MAYNE. Mr. Speaker, today marks the birthday of a truly outstanding American statesman, the Honorable Guy Gillette, former U.S. Congressman and Senator. Senator Gillette is celebrating his 90th birthday in Cherokee, Iowa, the place of his birth on February 3, 1879, and the place which he has called home ever since.

Guy Gillette began a distinguished career serving the people of northwest Iowa as a lawyer and county attorney in Cherokee County and then as a State senator. He was first elected to the U.S. House of Representatives in 1932. At that time he represented the 13 counties of the then Ninth District of Iowa in the 73d Congress. Twelve of those counties are in the present Sixth District which I am privileged to represent.

After representing his district with great distinction for two terms in the House, Guy Gillette was elected to the U.S. Senate in 1936. With the exception of one 4-year period, he served in the Senate until January 1955, when he retired from Government service.

While he made outstanding contributions in many areas, Senator Gillette was perhaps best known for his work on the Foreign Relations Committee. His eloquent voice was one of the most influential in the discussions which led to the organization of the United Nations after the Second World War. During a 4-year absence from the Senate from 1945 he remained actively involved in public affairs carrying out a series of highly important special assignments for Presidents Roosevelt and Truman. When he again ran for the Senate in 1948, he won with the highest majority which had ever been received by a senatorial candidate in the history of Iowa.

In and out of public service Guy Gillette has proved himself worthy of the title "Citizen of the Republic" and a true humanitarian. One of his outstanding characteristics has been a commanding appearance, dignified and quietly impressive, earning him the respect and attention of all who heard him.

I well remember what I believe was his last public appearance before he was stricken by illness in the fall of 1966. Guy Gillette very fittingly was invited to give the principal address at the dedication of the new Cherokee County Courthouse on October 16. As he spoke all of us who were privileged to hear him knew that we were in the presence of greatness.

Not only all Iowans but all Americans should be grateful for his outstanding record of service to our State and Nation. But we in northwest Iowa have a special claim on Guy Gillette, as he has on us. I know that the Senator will be receiving many tributes and greetings

on this his 90th birthday, and I am proud to add mine as a former constituent and longtime admirer and friend. And I cordially invite my colleagues to join me in wishing our distinguished former Member, Senator Guy Gillette, a very happy 90th birthday.

EFFECT OF PROPOSED ACTIONS OF THE EUROPEAN ECONOMIC COM-MUNITY ON THE SOYBEAN IN-DUSTRY

(Mr. ARENDS asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. ARENDS. Mr. Speaker, I should consider it of great importance that I call to the attention of the Congress the very real concern of the soybean industry over the proposed actions of the European Economic Community.

On December 10, 1968, Mr. Sicco Mansholt, vice president of the European Economic Community's Commission for Agriculture, made several proposals for restructuring the agriculture of the Common Market. One of these proposals sent shock waves throughout the length and breadth of not only the 17th Congressional District of Illinois, but throughout the 30 soybean States of the Nation.

All segments of the soybean industry are deeply concerned about the proposed actions of the European Economic Community as they affect soybean oil and soybean meal.

The European Economic Community now has under very serious consideration an internal tax on soybean oil of \$60 per metric ton, and one on soybean meal of \$30 per metric ton. The purpose of these internal taxes is to raise the price and thereby deliberately reduce the import demand for high protein feeds in the EEC. The net effect of these proposed taxes, especially as they relate to soybean meal will be to reduce the importation of soybeans and soybean meal from the United States by the European Economic Community.

During the last marketing year, the European Economic Community purchased about 93 million bushels of soybeans and 2,063,000 short tons of soybean meal from the United States. All of these sales were for dollars, and returned approximately \$500 million in hard currency to the U.S. economy. A loss of a major share of this market would adversely affect our balance of payments.

During the 1967-68 marketing year these exports to the EEC amounted to about 31 percent of the soybeans exported from the United States, and 70 percent of the soybean meal exported.

Competent economists advise me that the proposed internal taxes, especially as they relate to soybean meal, will reduce consumption within the European Economic Community by the equivalent of about 60 million bushels of soybeans. This is the production from over 2 million acres of soybeans. Such a loss of market would have deleterious effects upon the U.S. soybean producer, processor and

distributor. The futures markets would be adversely affected.

This is especially catastrophic in view of the increasing carryover of soybeans which by the end of the current marketing year will amount to 315 million bushels—over 10 times the level at the end of the 1964 marketing year.

In addition, the loss of this market would result in a further sharp increase in the budgetary outlays for soybean price support by some \$150 million. By the end of this marketing year, CCC will have invested some \$700 million in soybeans—under current conditions.

Reduced processing and transportation will sharply curtail labor requirements. I know that my friends in the labor group are conscious of this.

I know that the executive branch of the U.S. Government has protested, and will continue its protest against this proposal in the strongest possible terms. There is unanimity on this issue between the various agencies of the executive branch. Every objective student of this matter knows that this proposal would seriously impair present conditions of access negotiated between the EEC and the U.S. Government.

I would urge the executive branch to take the position that such action would result in a swift reprisal in restoring the balance of trade; for the proposed tax is a violation of the recently concluded GATT negotiations. The proposed items for increased duty have been bound against increase in these negotiations. Soybeans, cottonseed, rapeseed, and all olleake and meal are bound at zero to the United States. The edible oils are dutiable at rates ranging from 8 to 15 percent.

I want to make one point abundantly clear. I do not intend to stand idly by while this effort is being made to shift the costs of helping EEC agriculture to the backs of the American farmer, U.S. agri-business, American labor, and the U.S. Treasury.

This whole matter is of such serious consequence that I believe our House Committee on Agriculture should hold a hearing on the subject. Such a hearing would be of value not only to acquaint all the members of that committee with the seriousness of the proposed taxes, but would also give impetus to representations being made by the executive branch of the Government to the EEC countries. After looking into this matter the Agriculture Committee may have a recommendation of action that could be taken by the executive branch as well as the Congress.

WHY REAPPOINT FAUNTROY?

(Mr. SCHERLE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. SCHERLE. Mr. Speaker, serious consideration by the Nixon administration to the reappointment of the Reverend Walter Fauntroy to the Washington, D.C., City Council is "incredible."

As a member of the Black United Front, a group organized and headed by

Stokely Carmichael, and while serving as Vice Chairman of the City Council, Fauntroy attempted to wear "two hats" which frequently embarrassed and handicapped the operation of the government of the Nation's Capital.

The history of Reverend Fauntroy's ineffectiveness as a mediator between black militants and the law-abiding citizens is well documented. In fact, the record indicates that he was more often a "convenient tool" of the militants than a public official who could effectively bridge the various segments in the city.

During the riots last spring, Reverend Fauntroy was ignored and laughed off the television screen when he pleaded with the rioters and looters to "cool it."

Fauntroy was a key figure in the formation and establishment of the infamous Resurrection City, an administrative debacle, which eventually degenerated into a disgraceful "island of lawlessness."

The reappointment of Reverend Fauntroy to the City Council would sabotage and submarine President Nixon's District of Columbia anticrime program.

The present low morale of law-enforcement officers in the District could not be expected to change if Fauntroy, a top official in the Black United Front, an organization guilty of calling a murdered local police officer "justifiable homicide," continues in office.

There are many qualified citizens of every race, color, and creed who could serve on the District of Columbia City Council with the complete dedication and responsibility the position demands. What reason can there be to turn again to one who has been more often a source of embarrassment than of leadership?

All evidence surrounding the reappointment of Fauntroy as a member of the Council proves that the citizens of the District do not approve of his actions and that his continued service in this capacity will only undermine and weaken the city government.

HORTON BILL EXCLUDES SPORTS AWARDS FROM TAXABLE IN-COME

(Mr. HORTON asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. HORTON. Mr. Speaker, this year when the winners of the Nobel Prizes are announced, these cash awards and other prizes for high achievement in science, art, music, literature, and civic affairs will be excludable from gross income under section 74(b) of the Internal Revenue Code.

However, in a Nation which places great importance on physical fitness, teamwork, and sportsmanlike competition, awards for athletic achievement are taxed as ordinary income. This is true even when the award, unlike the cash Nobel Prize, has no utilitarian value.

Today I am proposing a measure to add "sports" to the categories of awards excludable under section 74(b) of the Internal Revenue Code. This will include athletic awards given for overall sports achievement, not the cash awards and trophies given for victory in a particular contest or series of contests.

This inequity in our Internal Revenue Code was brought to my attention last year by a tax court decision on the suit brought by Mr. Maurice Wills against the Commissioner of Internal Revenue after a deficiency claim had been asserted against him for the 1963 tax year.

This claim was asserted, Mr. Speaker, because Mr. Wills did not include in his taxable income several awards which he had been given as a result of outstanding performance as a baseball player with the Los Angeles Dodgers in 1963.

Among these awards was the S. Rae Hickok belt, a jewel-studded belt which is presented each year to the Nation's outstanding professional athlete.

This coveted award is given in recognition of overall excellence in athletic performance and achievement. Its value is primarily symbolic, recognizing the recipient as a champion among champions. Although the Hickok belt cost \$10,000 to manufacture, it provides no direct financial gain to the recipient.

Nevertheless, the Tax Court ruled that the recipient of the S. Rae Hickok belt was liable for tax on the value of the components of the belt.

The effect of this decision, Mr. Speaker, created a serious uncertainty about the taxability of all other amateur and professional sports awards.

Even though the Tax Court decision correctly interpreted the law as it is presently written, I do not feel that our tax laws ever intended that athletic achievement is to be discriminated from achievement in other fields or that it was to penalize champion athletes through tax liability for nonutilitarian awards.

When the purpose of the trophy is honorary and decorative, the payment of a tax on its component value imposes a serious financial burden upon its recipient.

The bill I am introducing today would amend section 74(b) of the Internal Revenue Code to include within its coverage certain awards and prizes received by athletes.

Section 74(b) already excludes from gross income the value of certain prizes and awards granted in recognition of achievements in the fields of art, music, literature, religion, charity, science, and civic achievement.

In addition, section 74(b) of the Internal Revenue Code requires that the recipient must not be required to render substantial future service as a condition precedent to receiving the award, or have entered into competition for the award.

Mr. Speaker, my bill would extend this category to include sports awards with the same limitations and conditions. When an American athlete has lived up to the finest traditions of American sports and sportsmanship and excelled in his particular sport, he is an inspiration to millions of young, aspiring athletes.

Tonight, Ray and Alan Hickok of the Hickok Manufacturing Co., in Rochester, N.Y., are awarding this year's S. Rae Hickok belt. To require the winning athlete whoever he will be, to pay for the privilege of retaining his trophy is, in effect, discrimination in our tax laws.

The Ways and Means Committee will shortly be holding hearings on tax reform. I feel this is a particularly fitting occasion to ask my colleagues to join with me in support of this bill to extend to our outstanding athletes the same privileges, honors, and tax benefits, that we extend to those who achieve national recognition in the arts and sciences.

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD MAKES INTERESTING READING

(Mr. WYLIE asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. WYLIE. Mr. Speaker, the Con-GRESSIONAL RECORD is a most historic and interesting document. As Representative to Congress, I am confident that all of us realize the RECORD's importance in performing our daily tasks.

Mr. Speaker on January 16, 1969, the Upper Arilington News, published in a progressive municipality in the district I have the honor to represent, carried an article entitled "Congressional Record Makes Interesting Reading."

The author is Dr. Ruth Browning of the Upper Arlington Library Reference Department

Demonstrating how the Record is put to good use in one community library, I am confident that republication here of Dr. Browning's article will suggest greater and better use in libraries elsewhere:

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD MAKES INTERESTING READING

(By Dr. Ruth Browning)

Anyone not actually acquainted with the Congressional Record might be inclined to assume that the proceedings and debates of our national legislature would make dull reading. However, when one digs into these daily reports from Congress (Upper Arlington Public Library receives the Congressional Record through the courtesy of the Honorable Chalmers P. Wylle; our thanks to Congressman Wylle), one discovers some very interesting reading material.

Even a quick glance at the opening paragraphs of Vol. 115, No. 1, the Record for Jan.

3, gives us a clear picture of our national life. This is revealed both through the phraseology used and through the activity reported:

"The 3d of January being the day prescribed
by the Constitution of the United States for
the annual meeting of Congress, the first
session of the 91st Congress commenced this
day." The present concern of our country for
"togetherness" comes through in the prayer
of the Chaplain of the Senate, the Rev. Fred
Brown Harris, as reported in the Record: "In
this high hour, may there be lifted at the
very beginning of this session the fervent petition whose melody makes us one—God bless
America."

The wide range of congressional concerns, and hence, also, of reading matter in the Congressional Record can be seen in these "random" selections of some of the speeches printed in the Jan. 3 issue: "Recommendations of the National Advisory Commission on Libraries" ("required reading" for everyone interested in libraries): "Tax Relief for America's Hard-Pressed Middle-Income Taxpay-ers" (of interest to quite a few people); "Apollo 3: Fantastic Voyage" (of interest to all Americans).

Presumably, the Congressional Record is a complete, verbatim account of the words spoken in the Senate and in the House of Representatives, but actually the remarks are revised and edited before printing. The Record also contains many speeches not delivered before the legislative body, but inserted in the Record under the congressman's "leave to print" privilege, included also are the president's messages and records of votes on bills (though not the text of the bills).

Library patrons who wish to have other current information on Congressional activity can find this in the Congressional Quarterly Weekly Report and the Congressional Quarterly Almanac. The Almanac, published annually, sums up the year in politics, in Congress, and in the presidency. It provides a permanent record of the United States governmental and political action. The Weekly Reports, on which the Almanac is later based, keep the reader up-to-date on political affairs. Evaluating these reports, Dean Louis Shores in Basic Reference Sources says, "All of the reporting, although detailed, is free from blas and is readable."

Two other significant sources of information on congressional matters are available in the Reference Room at the Tremont Library: the Congressional Index, published by Commerce Clearing House, and the Congressional Directory. The latter is an official directory of the Congress, giving blographical sketches of the congressmen, information about the organization and personnel of the executive and judiciary branches of the government also, maps of the Congressional Districts, and other useful information.

The Congressional Index contains weekly reports in loose-leaf format which provides an index to all congressional bills and resolutions of general interest. The Index makes possible quick research on the status of pending legislation and provides the researcher with information on individual voting records.

Students who are particularly concerned with the "pros" and "cons" of legislative activity are finding the Congressional Digest a helpful resource. This independent month-ly (really 10 times a year) features controversies in Congress. Among the 1968 top-los dealt with by the Digest were the following controversies: "Community Action Programs"; "Federal Anti-Riot Legislation"; "Public Welfare Revision"; and "Revision of the Military Draft." The Congressional Digest is not an official organ of the Congress and as an independent publication it disclaims control "by any party, interest, class or sect."

In the Reading Room at Tremont Library in addition to the Congressional Digest is the Kiplinger Washington Letter which discusses current events and the future "outlook" in the area of business, labor, legislation, and politics.

Among the books now being added to the Reference Room collection is a 14-volume set of the United States Code, 1984 Edition, which contains the general and permanent laws of the United States in force Jan. 3, 1965. Two Supplements contain the laws enacted during the 89th and 90th Congress.

Any way you look at it—through the legislation enacted by Congress in the past, or through the debating and legislating by Congress in the present—the "Congressional record" does make interesting, and important, reading for all Americans.

ALL-VOLUNTEER MILITARY FORCE

(Mr. KASTENMEIER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. KASTENMEIER. Mr. Speaker,

since 1940, with the exception of one brief period from March 1947 to June 1948, this Nation has had a military conscription policy. As we have come to realize, the 1940 peacetime draft, which was viewed as a temporary conscription, has become, for all practical purposes, institutionalized as a permanent fixture in American life. An entire generation of young men have almost reached maturity without knowing a time when the draft did not exist.

By the early 1960's however, the principles that guided our military conscription policy, stemming from the experiences derived from World War II, were no longer applicable. In 1940, the need for an enormous expansion of our Armed Forces was readily apparent; the 300,000 men then under arms were clearly inadequate to insure even neutrality. The country, just beginning to recover from a 10-year depression, did not have the wealth to bid competitively in the labor market for the military manpower that was necessary to secure our defenses. Because of the imminent danger of war, the overriding need of national security demanded that our citizens be deprived of some liberty in the interests of vanquishing a greater evil. Thus, in view of the probability that the demand for this military buildup would utilize all available human resources and the inability to meet it by other than summary means, some form of draft was the only plausible step at that time. Under such conditions, a peacetime conscription, which inherently deprives men of freedom and the direction and control of their own lives, can be justified by what James Madison spoke of as "the impulse of self-preservation.

In the absence of such overriding circumstances, however, the draft becomes intolerable. A peacetime draft, an alien institution in American life, is absolutely opposed to the principles which have always been considered a part of American democracy. Compulsory military service not only results in a severe deprivation of civil liberties, it is also a wrenching departure from the traditional American ideal of liberty and this Nation's most cherished heritage, that of personal freedom.

Furthermore, any system of compulsory military service that selects some and not others cannot be justified under the American concept of justice and equality under law. Approximately 1.8 million young men are being added to the 181/2 to 26 draft-age pool. This figure will climb to 2.1 million by 1974. As a consequence of this growing population, the percentage of the Nation's manpower in the draft ages who have entered the service, voluntarily or otherwise, has steadily declined, from 57.7 percent in 1962 to 46.4 percent in 1966. By 1974, this figure will decrease to 34 percent according to Department of Defense projections. As a result, the system which selects the few who are required to serve when many are available has become notoriously inequitable, and perpetuates the discrimination resulting from economic and educational disadvantages within our society for it is a dispropor-tionate number of Negroes, the poor, and the uneducated who are conscripted.

Another disturbing factor associated with the draft is that a number of local draft boards have unlawfully suppressed criticism of the Government's involvement in Vietnam by reclassifying those who protest this policy. Of all our constitutional rights, the freedoms of speech and of assembly are the most perishable, yet the most vital to the preservation of American democracy. Once the erosion of these rights is permitted to begin, it is exceedingly difficult to halt, and the intervening damage may be irreparable. The free expression of views on issues of national importance must never be jeopardized and the reclassification of college students was nothing more than blatantly using the threat of the draft to stifle the first amendment rights of free speech

The draft, also, is inefficient, both economically and militarily. Military strategy and tactics are continually undergoing radical changes in anticipation of future developments. America's defenses now are so complex, the demands for highly skilled and specialized manpower so great, that the old-fashioned conscript army in which men serve short terms of duty, is less and less suited to the needs of the modern age and it is more and more expensive to maintain. Two years service is by no means sufficient for the making of a competent soldier in a highly technological age. Yet, young men are drafted and sent through costly military training, with the end result being that 93 percent of the conscripts, many employed in distinctly nonmilitary tasks, leave after their 2 years.

The mission of the Defense Department is to make the National Defense Establishment as efficient as possible. Yet, strangely enough, the principles and practices which guide the recruitment, motivation, compensation, and development of men have not changed substantially or kept pace with other changes

over the years.

The present American involvement in the armed conflict makes it unrealistic to assume that the draft can be abolished at this time. However, once our participation in the Vietnam war is over, there will be no need for a draft and it should be phased out of American life.

Thus, I am introducing legislation today that would establish an all-volunteer military force, to become effective 6 months following the end of direct U.S. involvement in the hostilities in South Vietnam. A voluntary army is consistent with our American heritage, and with proper salary and career incentives, we can secure the military manpower we need without social or economic injustice.

Mr. Speaker, I am also introducing another bill which would prohibit the use of draftees in undeclared wars without first obtaining their consent. Conscripts should not be forced to serve in any hostility in which Congress has not declared a state of war.

PROSECUTION OF PLANE HIJACKERS

(Mr. PEPPER asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute, to revise and extend his re-

and to include extraneous marks. matter.)

Mr. PEPPER. Mr. Speaker, I am sure that all Members of the House are aware of the fact that since January of this year, including an Eastern Airlines plane this morning, 12 planes, usually bound for Florida, have been hijacked and diverted to Cuba. So far, by good fortune, there have been no injuries or losses of life or losses of planes. But it is almost inevitable that if this practice continues-and it is growing in frequencysometimes there have been two in 1 day lately-there will be a tragic loss of life of many of our citizens who are passengers on commercial planes bound for Florida or some other destination in the United States. So today I am introducing a resolution providing that it is the sense of this House

First, that the President make the strongest demand upon the Castro government through the proper channels for the return, for appropriate prosecu-

tion, of the hijackers;

Second, that our Government bring the matter directly to the urgent attention of the United Nations, because eventually we are going to have to do something about it and it therefore threatens the peace that presently sort of exists between the United States and Cuba;

Third, that the Congress make available, or the President should provide for, an immediate funding of a reward of \$100,000 to any person or persons causing the return of a hijacker to the United States if that person is prosecuted and convicted of the grievous offense of hijacking.

Mr. Speaker, I include a copy of the resolution which I have referred to:

Whereas the many instances of the hijacking, sometimes as many as two in one day, of United States airlines enroute to Miami or other Florida points, endangers the lives of the passengers and crew of the planes hijacked, causes great delay to the passengers on such planes and forces the airlines whose planes are hijacked to spend large sums at the Cuban airport where such planes are forced to land;

Whereas such practices are growing in frequency and in all probability will on some occasion lead to the death or injury of all or many of the passengers on such airplanes;

Whereas the Castro government in Cuba has given sanctuary to those hijacking such planes and has refused to return them for prosecution for the grievous offense such hijacking constitutes;

Whereas such sanctuary to such hijackers by the Castro government encourages the vicious practice of hijacking and jeopardizes the lives of United States citizens and is an affront to the Government and to the people of the United States; and,

Whereas such practices have become in-tolerable to the Government and people of the United States and must be stopped: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the House of Representatives of the Congress of the United States, That it

is the sense of the House: 1. That the President immediately make the strongest demand through proper channels upon the Castro government in Cuba to

return promptly the persons responsible for the hijacking of planes in the United States and diverting them to Cuba to the United States for proper prosecution for their felonious acts endangering the lives of citizens of the United States;

2. That the President urgently call upon the United Nations to exercise its offices and utmost influence to induce the Castro government of Cuba to return promptly to the United States all persons guilty of or charged with the hijacking of United States planes in the United States or within its jurisdiction and discribed them. In Cuba and

tion and diverting them to Cuba; and
3. That the Congress or the President from
his contingent fund make available to the
Federal Aviation Administration sufficient
funds to authorize the Federal Aviation Administration to pay a reward of \$100,000 to
the person or persons returning any person
hijacking any commercial airline in the
United States or within its jurisdiction and
diverting such plane to Cuba, upon the conviction of such hilacker for such offense.

VALENTINE'S DAY MASSACRE

(Mr. HALL asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. HALL, Mr. Speaker, although Valentine's Day is traditionally a time for expressing love and affection, there are ominous signs that this year will be the occasion for another "Valentine's Day massacre," this time the victims will be the U.S. taxpayers, with the Congress wielding the ax by dereliction.

I refer to the massive pay raise for top officials of the legislative, judicial, and executive branches. Under the unique "reverse veto," a clever little mechanism that was written into the last pay bill commission, the taxpayer is confronted by the old "shell game" when he tries to fix responsibility for this raid on the Treasury. All fingers point back to a Presidental pay commission, whose members few people know, and who bear no responsibility to anyone for their recom-mendations. The President who, with only minor changes, formally submitted their recommendations, is now back on the ranch in Texas, and he, too, cannot be held liable by anyone since he no longer holds elective office.

The Congress is the only remaining obstacle as the 41-percent pay raise rolls irresistibly along like lava from an erupting volcano. But the House seems frozen, overwhelmed by the ease with which their salaries are to be hiked, and obviously content to say "que sera sera"—what will be, will be.

It should not be allowed to happen and this body, one of two coequal parts of the legislative branch of Government should not hide behind the skirts of our colleagues in the other. I understand there will be debate and a vote taken in that body this Tuesday or Wednesday. Fine, if Congress is to strip itself of its constitutional power to initiate legisla-tion and settle for the power to yeto what someone else has decreed, at least they are carrying out even this limited function. But has this body of the people's personal representatives become so timid. that it shies away from allowing its Members to say "yea" or "nay," on a matter of vital concern to the taxpayers of this Nation who will have to foot the bill for our own excesses? Can we then, in good conscience, ask the people to stop the spiral of inflation and truly balance the budget? I think not?

Why do we renege and let the other

body carry the entire burden of decision? The people will not look kindly on men who were chosen to accept responsibility for their actions, and who fail to do so when their own interests are at stake. This is constitutionally and historically a prerogative of this body. The timing as to our recess and the reverse veto stratagem are abominable.

If the increases are allowed to happen without a vote, I at least will be certain that my own decision as to its allocation for charities and other purposes are sounder than the Government which is bestowing them has demonstrated.

W. AVERELL HARRIMAN—CITIZEN OF OUTSTANDING MERIT

(Mr. MAHON asked and was given permission to extend his remarks at this point in the RECORD.)

Mr. MAHON. Mr. Speaker, I observe from the RECORD of January 29 that favorable references have been made in the House to the services of Gov. Averell Harriman who recently completed his responsibility at the Paris peace talks.

I wish to join my colleagues in taking note of the great record—and I use the word "great" advisedly—of Governor Harriman. He has served his Nation in many capacities and has always brought credit upon the United States in the services which he has rendered.

We are fortunate to live in a country which produces men of the stature and dedication of W. Averell Harriman.

HIJACKING IS AN INTERNATIONAL PROBLEM

(Mr. PUCINSKI asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks)

Mr. PUCINSKI, Mr. Speaker, a suggestion was made yesterday by a responsible Member of Congress that the United States enter into some sort of bilateral discussions with Castro regarding the problem of hijacked aircraft taken to Cuba. I could not think of any greater mistake this country could make.

Obviously this is exactly what Castro wants. There is ample reason to believe some of the hijackings have been inspired by the Castro regime precisely to put the United States into that posture, because after 10 years the Castro regime does want some sort of recognition from the United States.

I believe the wise approach to this problem would be to strengthen existing international treaties by proposing an international extradition treaty on hijacking of aircraft, limited to hijackers of commercial aircraft so that we would not disturb the traditional and historic precedents of our own and other countries in giving political asylum to political refugees.

Such treaties must be limited to a precise definition of a hijacker, otherwise Castro could demand of us the return of political refugees who have been given asylum in this country for other reasons.

I think it would be a great mistake for the United States to enter into any bilateral discussions with Castro alone.

This is an international problem and it should be so treated. We should not do anything alone that would constitute a recognition by the United States of a Communist regime 90 miles off our shore.

VIEWPOINT FROM MID-AMERICA

(Mr. MICHEL asked and was given permission to extend his remarks at this point in the RECORD and to include extraneous matter.)

Mr. Mr.CHEL. Mr. Speaker, those of us who have known Dave Broder over the years and have watched him move steadily up the ladder of recognition in the field of political reporting have come to respect him more and more for his straightforward and candid style of reporting. Mr. Broder's article, appearing in volume 1, No. 1, of the Washington Monthly, is still another example of his candor and integrity as he discusses the attitudes, prejudices, and influence of his colleagues in the field of political commentary.

A column, "Viewpoint From Mid-America," by William H. Rentschler, quotes at length from Mr. Broder's article and also provides some significant commentary from Mr. Rentschler. I include the article in the RECORD at this point:

VIEWPOINT FROM MID-AMERICA (By William H. Rentschler)

Imagine the flap that would erupt if it were disclosed tomorrow that the President of the United States, or any highly-visible Senator is a member of a very small and exclusive private club with no Negro members.

Thunder would rumble all across the land—as it did during the 1968 campaign when such a charge was made—and there would be righteous demands in the press that the President resign his membership forthwith.

Yet perhaps the most exclusive "club" in the nation, with only "a couple of dozen members," is restricted entirely to white newsmen.

Such is the confession of a member of that small, select group, able, scrupulous, refreshingly candid Davis S. Broder of the Washington Post, whose fascinating revelations are carried in Volume One, Number One, of "The Washington Monthly," a brandnew political journal published in the nation's capitol.

Broder describes this potent handful of political reporters as a sort of "screening committee" which has almost life-or-death power over the yearnings of potential candidates for the Presidency and other lofty elective posts.

The political writer, says Broder, performs "a positive service" in his role as "falent scout." After all, he notes, "the reporter's Job makes him a constant traveler in the political community; he is uniquely well positioned to detect the early intimations of greatness, and to discover these statesmen in embryo and bring their rare qualities to the attention of a wider public.

"But, alas," Broder admits, "it is not quite that simple—or noncontroversial. In his function as a talent scout, the political reporter not only puts some men forward, he rather ruthlessly bars the door to advancement for other men. . . It's a formidable power, and one that the screening committee of reporters is thoroughly conscious of possessing."

Now about the makeup of that little coterie of reporters who comprise the screening committee. Let David Broder describe it:

"It is small. . . . Its characteristics make

it a highly typical group of Americans. Its members are all Easterners, by residence if not by birth. They are all college graduates. They all enjoy . . . incomes well over the na-tional median. Not one of them is a Negro. Ony two are women. Most of them vote Democratic. . . They—or we, I should say—represent a narrow and rather peculiar

slice of society."

"I often thought," writes Broder, "as I saw (George) Romney during his Presidential campaign, surrounded by our circle-men a generation younger than he, many of us with cigarettes in our mouths, drinks in our hands, and cynicism in our hearts-that he must have felt as helpless with us as I would feel if my fate or future as a journalist were being decided by a committee of Romney's colleagues among the elders of the Mormon Church."

Closely related to the political reporter's function as talent scout is his role as oracle, or, as Broder puts it "race caller or handicapper". He is supposed to tell his public, every day, how the Presidential (or gubernatorial or

senatorial) sweepstakes stands.

What the public wants to know is not what went on yesterday, but what's going to hap-pen tomorrow, and who's going to win or lose. And that, says Broder-"if the reporter has

even a vestige of conscience-is the one question he can never answer with any confidence,

"Yet much of political journalism is an artful effort to disguise prediction as reporting. Look at the use of public opinion polls by newspapers. A public opinion poll, when prop erly conducted and presented, is a perfectly legitimate tool for measuring opinion at a point in the past, that is, the period of several days when the questions were actually being asked. But if the significance of the polls were accepted in those limited terms, few newspapers would give the polls the prominence they now accord them. . . . Newspapers print and give prominence to the polls because they know their readers will take the poll to be exactly what it is not-a predictive device for guessing how the actual vote will come

"There are literally dozens of examples of inaccurate private polls," writes Broder, torting the journalists' and the public's judgment of a political race. Do such misjudgments have an effect on the outcome? Obviously, in many cases they do. The candidate reported trailing in these polls has a substantially harder time raising money, building an organization, or attracting pub-

licity than the presumed front-runner."

Broder's indictment is harsh yet accurate and telling, and he rightly challenges both his fellow reporters and the nation's publishers to lift their sights and meet their ob-

ligations to a reliant public.
In still another role, Broder says, the political reporter "tends to carve out for self a function just a bit more glorious than any that his employer or his readers ever envisaged for him. He becomes, in his own eyes,

the Public Defender."

"Reporters," according to Broder, "really don't start the campaign with this role in mind. They couldn't care less who wins, they assure each other. . . . But for months on end, they are locked up covering one of these mortals. . . Eventually, some of them, at least, will discover that the Candidate Is Trying to Pull Something We Don't Like. The candidate and his men are artful manipulators, propagandists, slick Madison Avenue operators. The reporter is the truthoperators. The reporter is the truth-seeker. . . . A wave of moral outrage sweeps over the reporters. It is expressed in the declarations, "We're not going to let him get away with this." Demands are sounded for press conferences. Questions are plotted that will cut off every avenue of escape or evasion for the candidate. The trap is carefully set and, unless he is very wary, the candidate sooner or later walks into it.

". . . Once the reporter appoints himself Public Defender, he abandons almost all pretense of being anything less than an arbiter of the outcome of the election. There is no consistency and no predictability as to when or whether he will adopt the role of Public Defender. Usually, he is provoked into it by the candidate's repeated use of an argument that is offensive to the reporter's own prejudices."

Reporters covering Richard Nixon pounced on him one day late in the campaign for what they felt was his over-emphasis on the upsurge of crime under "the uncon-

cerned Democrats."

inat night," Broder reports, "a wave of 'we-can't-let-him-get-away-with-it' talk swept the Nixon press corps, and the toughest stories of the campaign went whizzing out over the wires. Nixon averted a new press crisis by softening his tone on that press crisis by softening his tone on that issue in succeeding days, a response that was in itself a measure of the power of the press when it goes on the warpath."
"But," pointedly notes Broder, "it doesn't always go on the warpath. Often it strangely passive. In 1960, for example,

when John F. Kennedy promised day after day to get the country moving again, no petitions were passed in the press car de-manding that he spell out how. When he spoke of a missile gap, no proof of evidence was demanded. When he spoke of America's falling prestige, no one pressed him on the definition and relevance of that word."
"By contrast, Humphrey last year was

hounded at almost every press conference to spell out his difference on Vietnam policy with the Johnson Administration. First Romney and then Nixon were pressed unceasingly to specify their program for ending the war."

This is strong stuff. David Broder, at the

risk of alienating his clubmates, raises hard questions. He rates plaudits for raising

And many Americans, I am sure, would welcome straight answers from the fellows who usually ask the hard questions.

THANK YOU, MR. PRESIDENT

The SPEAKER. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from New York (Mr. ROONEY) is recognized for 30 minutes

Mr. ROONEY of New York. Mr. Speaker, with a deep sense of pride and an unashamed sadness, I would like to join my colleagues in paying tribute to one of the greatest Presidents this, or any other, century is likely to knowdent Lyndon Baines Johnson.

Part of the pride I feel is for the accomplishments of the Johnson administration. For, with his prodding, leadership and help. America has seen enacted into law more social improvements than even seen in any prior administration. In fact, it is hard to imagine any nation whose people have so benefited by the services of one man. Civil rights and justice, medicare, the war on poverty and joblessness, urban rebirth, aid to education, consumer protection, and conservation-these are just a few of the areas in which President Johnson brought the country to accept heretofore impossible and unbelievable horizons. His goals were formed in the crucible of more than three decades of service to his country. His progress toward those goals in 5 short years was nothing less than amazing. Thank God, we could all share in those dreams.

It is often said that the Presidency is the world's loneliest job. And there were many times in the last 5 years when Lyndon Johnson was a lonely and beset man. As cities burned he could have whined and said the ghetto was not of his creation, as most assuredly it was not. He did not. He asked for reason, for calm, and set about the herculean job of removing the ills of the past 200 years that have so manifested themselves in our cities today. No man has ever done more for the oppressed-be they oppressed by poverty or by color. He could have answered those who criticize the war in Vietnam that the war, too, was not of his creation—as indeed it was not. But he did not; instead he sought peace at every possibility or opportunity. The glimmer of hope that appears now in Paris is certainly a monument to his efforts in the face of what at times seemed like hopeless adversity.

Mr. Speaker, I consider myself fortunate, indeed, that I have been afforded the privilege of serving with Lyndon Johnson when he was a Member of this body and of knowing and working with him as he became a U.S. Senator, distinguished majority leader, Vice President, and President of these United States. I feel very privileged that I have also had the honor of knowing the gracious and wonderful Lady Bird and her daughters. No man could ever have asked for more loyalty and support than the President received from those lovely

In closing, Mr. Speaker, I would like to borrow a phrase that our friends in the Press Gallery use from time to time. I think it sums up the feelings of all of us about President Lyndon Baines Johnson. It is, "Thank you, Mr. President."

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

By unanimous consent, leave of absence was granted to Mrs. Hansen of Washington (at the request of Mr. AL-BERT), for today, and the balance of the week, on account of official business.

SPECIAL ORDER GRANTED

By unanimous consent, permission to address the House, following the legislative program and any special orders heretofore entered, was granted to Mr. ROONEY of New York (at the request of Mr. Albert), for 30 minutes, today; to revise and extend his remarks and include extraneous matter.

EXTENSIONS OF REMARKS

By unanimous consent, permission to revise and extend remarks was granted

Mr. Horron and to include extraneous matter.

(The following Members (at the request of Mr. McClure) and to include extraneous matter:)

Mr. FINDLEY.

Mr. ASHBROOK in two instances.

Mr. Byrnes of Wisconsin. Mr. CLEVELAND in two instances. Mr. QUILLEN in four instances.

Mr. DEL CLAWSON.

Mr. SKUBITZ. Mr. CRAMER.

Mr. Fulton of Pennsylvania in five instances.

Mr. RUMSFELD in four instances.

Mr. ESHLEMAN.

Mr. McCulloch.

Mr. MORSE.

(The following Members (at the request of Mr. ALBERT) and to include extraneous matter:)

Mr. WILLIAM D. FORD.

Mr. FEIGHAN in 10 instances.

Mr. CORMAN in five instances.

Mr. EDWARDS of California in five instances

Mr. MATSUNAGA in two instances.

Mrs. GRIFFITHS.

Mr. Boggs in two instances. Mr. CHARLES H. WILSON.

Mr. FARBSTEIN in four instances.

Mrs. Hansen of Washington in two instances

Mr. MILLS in two instances.

Mrs. Green of Oregon in six instances.

Mr. Brown of California.

Mrs. Sullivan in two instances.

Mr. Marsh in two instances.

Mr. Cohelan in five instances.

Mr. Gonzalez in three instances.

Mr. RARICK in four instances.

Mr. Kyros.

Mr. HELSTOSKI in two instances.

Mr. ASHLEY.

Mr. Bevill in two instances.

Mr. LENNON.

Mr. TAYLOR in two instances.

Mr. Patten in two instances.

Mr. Pucinski in six instances.

SENATE BILL REFERRED

A bill of the Senate of the following title was taken from the Speaker's table and, under the rule, referred as follows:

S. 17. An act to amend the Communications Satellite Act of 1962 with respect to the election of the board of the Communications Satellite Corp.; to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

ADJOURNMENT

Mr. ALBERT. Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now adjourn.

The motion was agreed to: accordingly (at 12 o'clock and 25 minutes p.m.), the House adjourned until tomorrow, Tuesday, February 4, 1969, at 12 o'clock noon.

EXECUTIVE COMMUNICATIONS, ETC.

Under clause 2 of rule XXIV, executive communications were taken from the Speaker's table and referred as follows:

461. A letter from the Governor, Farm Credit Administration, transmitting the 35th annual report of the Farm Credit Administration on the work of the cooperative farm credit system (including the report of the Federal Farm Credit Board) for fiscal year 1968, pursuant to law (H. Dec. No. 91-18); to the Committee on Agriculture and ordered to

be printed with illustrations.

462. A letter from the Acting Secretary of the Navy, transmitting the report of the Naval Reserve Officers' Training Corps flight instruction program for fiscal year 1968, pur-

suant to the provisions of 10 U.S.C. 2110(b); to the Committee on Armed Services

463. A letter from the President, Potomac Electric Power Co., transmitting a copy of the balance sheet of the Potomac Electric Power Co. as of December 31, 1968, pursuant to paragraph 14 of section 8 of the act of March 4, 1913 (37 Stat. 979); to the Committee on the District of Columbia.
464. A letter from the Assistant Secretary,

National Institute of Arts and Letters, trans mitting the annual report of the Institute for 1968, pursuant to section 4 of its charter; to the Committee on House Administration.

465. A letter from the Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Interior, transmitting cer-tain revisions in the 12th annual report on status of the Colorado River storage project and participating projects, trans-mitted December 27, 1968; to the Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs.

466. A letter from the Chairman, Indian Claims Commission, transmitting a report that proceedings have been finally concluded with respect to docket No. 332-A, Yankton Sioux Tribe, Petitioner, v. The United States of America, Dejendant, pursuant to the pro-visions of 60 Stat. 1055 (25 U.S.C. 701); to Committee on Interior and Insular

467. A letter from the Chairman, Federal Power Commission, transmitting the annual report of the Commission for fiscal year 1968; to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign

468. A letter from the adjutant general, military Order of the Purple Heart, transmitting a report of the annual audit of the order for the fiscal period ending July 31, 1968, pursuant to the provisions of section 14 of Public Law 85-761; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

469. A letter from the Director, Administrative Office of the United States Courts, transmitting the annual report of the agency on positions in grade GS-17 under section 5108(c)(3) of title 5 of the United States Code, pursuant to the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 5114(a); to the Committee on Post Office and Civil Service.

470. A letter from the Assistant Attorney General for Administration, transmitting the annual report of the Department of Justice on positions in grades GS-16 and GS-17 under section 5108(c) (7) and (8) of title 5 of the United States Code, pursuant to the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 5114(a); to the Committee on Post Office and Civil Service.

471. A letter from the Postmaster General, transmitting the annual report on the estimated amount of the losses or costs (or percentage of costs) incurred by the postal service in the performance of public services during the current fiscal year, pursuant to the provisions of section 201 of Public Law 87-793; to the Committee on Post Office and Civil Service.

472. A letter from the Chairman, U.S. Civil Service Commission, transmitting the annual report of the Commission on positions in grades GS-16, GS-17, and GS-18 under section 5108(a) of title 5 of the United States Code, pursuant to the provisions of 5 U.S.C. to the Committee on Post Office and Civil Service.

473. A letter from the Federal and State cochairman, Four Corners Regional Commission, transmitting the first annual report of the commission, for fiscal year 1968, pursuant to the provisions of section 509 of the Public Works and Economic Development Act of 1965, as amended; to the Committee on Public Works.

474. A letter from the Federal and State cochairmen, Ozark Regional Commission, transmitting the annual report on the activities of the commission for the calendar year 1968, pursuant to the provisions of section 510 of the Public Works and Economic Development Act of 1965, as amended; to the Committee on Public Works,

475. A letter from the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare, transmitting a report of grants approved by that office which are financed wholly with Federal funds, for the period October 31, 1968, to December 31, 1968, pursuant to the provisions of section 1120b of the Social Security Act; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

476. A letter from the Chairman and Commissioners, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, transmitting the 1968 annual report of the Commission, pursuant to the provisions of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954; to the Joint Committee on Atomic Energy.

PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 4 of rule XXII, public bills and resolutions were introduced and severally referred as follows:

By Mr. ASHLEY:

H.R. 5804. A bill to amend title 18, United States Code, to prohibit the mailing of obscene matter to minors, and for other purto the Committee on the Judiciary.
Mr. ASPINALL (for himself, Mr. Brotz-

MAN, Mr. Evans of Colorado, and Mr. ROGERS of Colorado): H.R. 5805. A bill to provide for orderly

trade in iron ore, iron, and steel mill products; to the Committee on Ways and Means. By Mr. BURLESON of Texas:

H.R. 5806. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 with respect to the rental value of parsonages; to the Commit-tee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. CEDERBERG: HR. 5807. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 to allow an incentive tax credit for a part of the cost of constructing or otherwise providing facilities for the control of water or air pollution, and to permit the amortization of such cost within period of from 1 to 5 years; to the Committee on Ways and Means. By Mr. COLLIER:

HR 5808 A bill to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to provide payment for chiropractors' services under the program of supplementary medical insurance benefits for the aged; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. CONTE:

H.R. 5809. A bill to provide for the establishment of a national cemetery at West-field, Mass.; to the Committee on Veterans' Affairs

H.R. 5810. A bill to amend title 38 of the United States Code in order to establish a national cemetery system within the Veterans' Administration, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Veterans' Affairs. By Mr. CORMAN:

H.R. 5811. A bill to amend the Older Americans Act of 1965 to provide for an older Americans community service program; to

the Committee on Education and Labor.
By Mr. ERLENBORN:
HR. 5812. A bill to expand the definition
of deductible moving expenses incurred by an employee; to the Committee on Ways and Means

H.R. 5813. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 to allow a credit against income tax to individuals for certain expenses incurred in providing higher education; to the Committee on Ways and Means. By Mr. FALLON:

H.R. 5814. A bill to provide for orderly trade in iron and steel mill products; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. FLYNT:

H.R. 5815. A bill to amend title 10, United States Code, to permit the recomputation of retired pay of certain members and former members of the Armed Forces; to the Committee on Armed Services

By Mr. FRELINGHUYSEN: H.R. 5816. A bill to amend the Immigra tion and Nationality Act to make additional immigrant visas available for immigrants from certain foreign countries, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary. By Mr. GONZALEZ:

H.R. 5817. A bill to amend title IV of the Social Security Act to repeal the provisions limiting the number of children with respect to whom Federal payments may be made under the program of aid to families with dependent children; to the Committee on Ways and Means

By Mr. GUBSER:

H.R. 5818. A bill to amend title 39, United States Code, to provide for the regulation of mailing list dealers, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Post Office and Civil Service.

By Mr. HORTON:

H.R. 5819. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 to provide that certain awards in recognition of outstanding achievement in the field of sports shall be excluded from gross income; to the Com-mittee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. KARTH:

H.R. 5820. A bill to amend section 8(b) (4) of the National Labor Relations Act, amended, with respect to strike at the sites of construction projects; to the Committee on Education and Labor.

H.R. 5821. A bill to direct the Interstate Commerce Commission to make regulations that certain railroad vehicles be equipped with reflectors or luminous material so that they can be readily seen at night; to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce

By Mr. KASTENMETER ..

H.R. 5822. A bill to prohibit the use of draftees in undeclared wars without their consent; to the Committee on Armed

H.R. 5823. A bill to provide for meeting the manpower needs of the Armed Forces of the United States through a completely voluntary system of enlistments, and to further improve, upgrade, and strengthen such Armed Forces, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Rules.

By Mr. KEITH:

H.R. 5824. A bill to authorize the Secretary of the Interior to study the most feasible and desirable means of establishing certain portions of the tidelands, Outer Continental Shelf, seaward areas, and Great Lakes of the United States as marine sanctuaries and for other purposes; to the Committee on Mer-chant Marine and Fisheries.

By Mr. LENNON:

H.R. 5825. A bill to provide additional benefits for optometry officers of the uni-formed services; to the Committee on Armed Services.

H.R. 5826. A bill to amend title 10 of the United States Code so as to provide that the Chief of the Medical Service Corps of the Navy and Air Force shall be a brigadier general or rear admiral, as the case may be; and for other purposes; to the Committee on Armed Services.

H.R. 5827. A bill to amend titles 10 and 37. United States Code, to provide career incentives for certain professionally trained officers of the Armed Forces; to the Commit-

tee on Armed Services.

H.R. 5828. A bill to amend title II of the Merchant Marine Act, 1936, to create an independent Federal Maritime Administration. and for other purposes; to the Committee on Merchant Marine and Fisheries.

H.R. 5829. A bill to amend the Marine Resources and Engineering Development Act of 1966 to continue the National Council on Marine Resources and Engineering Development for a period of 1 year; to the Committee on Merchant Marine and Fisheries.

By Mr. McCULLOCH:

H.R. 5830, A bill to amend title 13. United States Code, to limit the categories of questions required to be answered under penalty

of law in the decennial censuses of population, unemployment, and housing, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Post Office and Civil Service.

By Mr. MATSUNAGA:

H.R. 5831. A bill to amend subchapter III of chapter 83 of title 5. United States Code, relating to civil service retirement, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Post Office and Civil Service.

By Mr. MICHEL:

H.R. 5832. A bill to extend from 30 to 60 days the minimum period of time which must elapse between the required publication or service of a proposed Federal regulation and its effective date; to the Committee on the Judiciary

By Mr. MILLS:

H.R. 5833. A bill to continue until the close of June 30, 1972, the existing suspension of duty on certain copying shoe lathes; to the

Committee on Ways and Means. H.R. 5834. A bill to amend the Tariff Schedules of the United States with respect to articles in part of reprocessed or reused wool; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. MOLLOHAN:

H.R. 5835. A bill for the elimination of health dangers to coal miners resulting from the inhalation of coal dust; to the Committee on Education and Labor.

By Mr. MOORHEAD: H.R. 5836. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 to extend the head of household benefits to unremarried widows and widowers, and individuals who have never been married or who have been separated or divorced for 1 year or more, who maintain their own households; to the Committee on Ways and Means. By Mr. MURPHY of New York:

H.R. 5837. A bill to amend the Immigration and Nationality Act to make additional immigrant visas available for immigrants from certain foreign countries, and for other poses; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. NICHOLS (for himself, Mr. Bevill, and Mr. Hechler of West

Virginia): H.R. 5838. A bill to amend title XVII of the Social Security Act to provide payment for chiropractors' services under the program of supplementary medical insurance benefits for the aged; to the Committee on Ways and

By Mr. PATMAN:

H.R. 5839. A bill to amend the Clayton Act, as amended, to strengthen our competitive enterprise system by providing for competi-tive acts, practices, and methods of competition, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

H.R. 5840. A bill relating to certain discriminatory pricing practices affecting commerce; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. PATTEN: H.R. 5841. A bill to amend the Federal Power Act to facilitate the provision of reliable, abundant, and economical electric supply by strengthening existing mechanisms for coordination of electric utility systems and encouraging the installation and use of the products of advancing technology with due regard for the proper con-servation of scenic and other natural resources; to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

H.R. 5842. A bill to amend the Public Health Service Act by adding a new title X thereto which will establish a program to protect adult health by providing assistance in the establishment and operation of regional and community health protection centers for the detection of disease, by providing assistance for the training of personnel to operate such centers, and by providing assistance in the conduct of certain research related to such centers and their operation; to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

H.R. 5843. A bill to prohibit any State from levying income taxes on nonresidents of the State; to the Committee on the Judiciary. By Mr. PEPPER:

H.R. 5844. A bill appropriating funds for 10 additional mediators to be employed by the National Mediation Board; to the Committee on Appropriations.

H.R. 5845. A bill to amend the Employment Act of 1946 to declare a national policy with respect to the right of Americans to em-ployment without regard to sex or age; to the Committee on Government Operations.

H.R. 5846. A bill to provide additional mediators for the National Mediation Board; to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce

H.R. 5847. A bill to amend title IV of the Social Security Act to repeal the provisions limiting the number of children with respect to whom Federal payments may be made un-der the program of aid to families with dependent children: to the Committee on Ways and Means.

H.R. 5848. A bill to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to provide that a chiropractor, naturopath, podiatrist, or other licensed practitioner of the healing arts shall be considered a physician for purposes of health insurance benefits thereunder; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

H.R. 5849. A bill to amend the Social Security Act to remove the limitation upon the amount of outside income which an individual may earn while receiving benefits under title II of such act, and to add thereto a new title under which aged individuals are guaranteed a minimum annual income; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. POAGE:

H.R. 5850. A bill to amend the Consolidated Farmers Home Administration Act of 1961, as amended, to provide a flexible interest rate on loans to associations for water and waste disposal, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Agriculture.

By Mr. POLLOCK:

H.R. 5851. A bill to repeal section 2 of the act of June 8, 1906, authorizing the President by proclamation to establish national monuments; to the Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs.

By Mr. PURCELL:

H.R. 5852. A bill to amend title 18, United States Code, to prohibit the mailing of obscene matter to minors, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Judiciary. By Mr. ROYBAL:

H.R. 5853. A bill to appropriate funds for the construction of a multilevel parking facility in connection with the Federal building, 300 North Los Angeles Street, Los Angeles, Calif.; to the Committee on Appropriations.

H.R. 5854. A bill to establish the Interagency Committee on Mexican-American Affairs, and for other purposes: to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

H.R. 5855. A bill to designate the birthday of Martin Luther King, Jr., as a legal public holiday; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

H.R. 5856. A bill to amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act so as to include, among the health insurance benefits covered under part B thereof, coverage of certain drugs; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. SHIPLEY: H.R. 5857. A bill to amend the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act to include a definition of food supplements, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

> By Mr. SISK (for himself, Mr. Berrs, Mr. Burke of Massachusetts, Mr. DON H. CLAUSEN, Mr. CORMAN, Mr. GUBSER, Mr. HELSTOSKI, Mr. JOHNson of California, Mr. LEGGETT, Mr. MATHIAS, Mr. McFall, Mr. Moss, Mr. Talcott, Mr. Teague of California, Mr. TUNNEY, and Mr. UTT) :

H.R. 5858. A bill to amend the Tariff Schedules of the United States with respect to the rate of duty on olives packed in certain airtight containers; to the Committee on Ways and Means

By Mr. TALCOTT: H.R. 5859. A bill to authorize pay and benefits for members and survivors of members of the Philippine Scouts on the same basis as such pay and benefits are author-ized for other members of the Armed Forces and their survivors; to the Committee on Armed Services.

H.R. 5860. A bill to amend title I of the Housing Act of 1949 to provide that no urban renewal project in a city of less than 1 million population shall receive Federal financial assistance thereunder unless it is approved in a referendum by the residents of the city, if 5 percent or more of the voters in such city have requested such a referendum; to the Committee on Banking and

Currency.

H.R. 5861. A bill to amend title 5. United States Code, to include as creditable service for civil service retirement purposes certain periods of service in the armed forces of a government-in-exile allied or associated with the United States in World War II, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Post Office and Civil Service.

H.R. 5862. A bill to amend title II of the Social Security Act to increase the amount of outside earnings permitted each year without any deductions from benefits thereunder; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. TALCOTT (for himself and Mr. GUBSER)

H.R. 5863. A bill to designate certain lands in the Pinnacles National Monument in California as wilderness; to the Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs.

By Mr. TAYLOR:

HR 5864. A bill to amend section 3146 of title 18, United States Code, to provide that a person who poses a danger to any other person or to the community shall not be admitted to bail; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. UDALL:

H.R. 5865. A bill to amend the Agricultural Adjustment Act to remove certain import prohibitions on tomatoes; to the Committee on Agriculture. By Mr. WAMPLER:

H.R. 5866. A bill to amend the Public Health Service Act to provide for the estab-lishment of a National Lung Institute; to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

By Mr. CHARLES H. WILSON:

H.R. 5867. A bill to provide increased an-nuities under the Civil Service Retirement to the Committee on Post Office and Civil Service.

H.R. 5868. A bill to amend title IV of the Social Security Act to repeal the provisions limiting the number of children with respect to whom Federal payments may made under the program of aid to families with dependent children; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

H.R. 5869. A bill to provide for orderly trade in iron and steel mill products; to the Com-mittee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. YATRON:

H.R. 5870. A bill to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 to increase from \$600 to \$1,200 the personal income tax exemptions of a taxpayer (including the exemption for a spouse, the exemptions for a dependent, and the additional exemptions for old age and blindness); to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. ADAMS:

H.J. Res. 342. Resolution proposing an amendment to the Constitution of the United States to provide that the right to

vote shall not be denied on account of age to persons who are 18 years of age or older; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. GARMATZ:

proposing an H.J. Res. 343. Resolution proposing amendment to the Constitution of United States to permit voluntary participation in prayer in public schools; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. HATHAWAY (for himself and

Mr. Jacobs):
H.J. Res. 344. Resolution to provide for the revision of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954; to the Committee on Ways and Means. By Mr. HORTON:

H.J. Res. 345. Resolution proposing an amendment to the Constitution of the United States relating to the election of President and Vice President; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. KLEPPE

H.J. Res. 346. Resolution proposing an amendment to the Constitution of the United States relating to the election of President and Vice President; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. MESKILL:

H.J. Res. 347. Resolution proposing amendment to the Constitution of the United States relating to the manner of electing President and Vice President and granting the right to vote in certain elec-tions to citizens who are 18 or more years of age; to the Committee on the Judiciary. By Mr. MOLLOHAN:

H.J. Res. 348. Resolution creating a Federal Committee on Nuclear Development to re-view and reevaluate the existing civilian nuclear program of the United States; to the Joint Committee on Atomic Energy. By Mr. MOORHEAD:

H.J. Res. 349. Resolution H.J. Res. 349. Resolution proposing an amendment to the Constitution of the United States providing that the right to vote shall not be denied or abridged on account of age in the case of citizens of the United States who have attained the age of 18 years; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. PATMAN:

H.J. Res. 350. Resolution proposing an amendment to the Constitution of the United States providing that the right to vote shall not be denied or abridged on account of age in the case of citizens of the United States who have attained the age of 18 years; to the Committee on the Judiciary. By Mr. PEPPER:

H.J. Res. 351. Resolution to authorize the President to proclaim October 15 of each years as "National Poetry Day"; to the Com-

mittee on the Judiclary.

H.J. Res. 352. Resolution to authorize the President to proclaim the 26th day of March of each year as "Robert Frost Memorial Day"; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. RIVERS: H.J. Res. 353. Resolution to authorize the President to pay awards for the delivery into American hands of North Korean officials and military personnel who tortured the personnel of the U.S.S. Pueblo; to the Committee on Appropriations.

By Mr. ROYBAL:

H.J. Res. 354. Resolution proposing amendment to the Constitution to provide for the direct popular election of the President and Vice President of the United States; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. SHIPLEY:

H.J. Res. 355. Resolution proposing amendment to the Constitution of the United States relative to equal rights for men and women; to the Committee on the Judi-

H.J. Res. 356. Resolution creating a Federal Committee on Nuclear Development, to review and reevaluate the existing civilian nuclear program of the United States; to the Joint Committee on Atomic Energy.

By Mr. ABERNETHY:

By Mr. ABERNETH:

H. Con. Res. 116. Resolution expressing the opposition of the Congress to the proposed consumption taxes of the European Ecoconsumption taxes of the European Economic Community on oilseed products; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. BROOMFIELD: H. Con. Res. 117. Resolution creating Joint Select Committee on Program Analysis and Evaluation; to the Committee on Rules. By Mr. PEPPER:

H. Con. Res. 118. Resolution expressing the sense of the Congress with respect to an inter-national convention on aircraft hijacking; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

H. Con. Res. 119. Resolution expressing the sense of Congress with respect to aggression in the Middle East; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

H. Con. Res. 120. Resolution to require the collection of the French World War I debt to the United States; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. RIVERS:

H. Con. Res. 121. Resolution expressing the sense of Congress that those North Koreans responsible for the capture of the U.S. ship Pueblo and the atrocities committed against the captured Americans shall be branded as international criminals; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

By Mr. BEVILL:

H Res. 195. Resolution disapproving the recommendations of the President with respect to the rates of pay of Federal officials transmitted to the Congress in the budget for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1970; to the Committee on Post Office and Civil Service. By Mr. CONABLE:

H. Res. 196. Resolution disapproving the recommendations of the President with respect to the rates of pay of Federal officials transmitted to the Congress in the budget for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1970; to the Committee on Post Office and Civil Serv-

By Mr. CORMAN: H. Res. 197. Resolution expressing sense of the House of Representatives with respect to the establishment of permanent peace in the Middle East; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs

By Mr. MILLS (for himself and Mr. Byrnes of Wisconsin):

H. Res. 198. Resolution to provide funds or necessary expenses of the Committee on Ways and Means; to the Committee on House Administration.

By Mr. PEPPER: H. Res. 199. Resolution relative to the hi-jacking of U.S. aircraft; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

By Mr. PERKINS:

H. Res. 200. Resolution authorizing the Committee on Education and Labor to conduct certain studies and investigations; to the Committee on Rules.

PRIVATE BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 1 of rule XXII, private bills and resolutions were introduced and severally referred as follows:

By Mr. ADDABBO:

H.R. 5871. A bill for the relief of Domenico and Vincenza Amato and minor children, Guiseppe and Cosimo Amato; to the Com-

mittee on the Judiciary.

H.R. 5872. A bill for the relief of Calogero Armandini; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

H.R. 5873. A bill for the relief of Felicula C. Busog, M.D.; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

H.R. 5874. A bill for the relief of Michele Cafarelli: to the Committee on the Judiciary. H.R. 5875. A bill for the relief of Antonio and Beatrice Candela and minor child, Gio-

vanni Candela; to the Committee on the Judiciary

H.R. 5876. A bill for the relief of Calogero Candella; to the Committee on the Judiclary

H.R. 5877. A bill for the relief of Angel Chia; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

H.R. 5878. A bill for the relief of Vito Colomba; to the Committee on the Judiciary. H.R. 5879. A bill for the relief of Ciro D'Amico; to the Committee on the Judi-

H.R. 5880. A bill for the relief of Giovanni DiMaggio; to the Committee on the Judi-

H.R. 5881. A bill for the relief of Elsa Dowden; to the Committee on the Judiciary. H.R. 5882. A bill for the relief of Konstantinos Ekonomides; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

H.R. 5883. A bill for the relief of Eleftherios Ekonomou; to the Committee on the

Judiciary.

H.R. 5884. A bill for the relief of Emmanuel and Dalva Lazidis and minor children, Elena and Triantafyllia Lazidis: to the Committee on the Judiciary.

H.R. 5885. A bill for the relief of Panagiotis Leontaritis; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

H.R. 5886. A bill for the relief of Giovanni Marazano; to the Committee on the Judiciary

H.R. 5887. A bill for the relief of Diego and Maria Melodia and their minor son, Ignazio Melodia: to the Committee on the Judiciary. H.R. 5888. A bill for the relief of Rosario Panepinto; to the Committee on the Judi-

H.R. 5889. A bill for the relief of Dimitrios Papaiconstantopoulos; to the Committee on

the Judiciary.

H.R. 5890. A bill for the relief of Ricardo D. Sambat, M.D.; to the Committee on the Judiciary. H.R. 5891. A bill for the relief of Francesco

Scalice; to the Committee on the Judiciary. H.R. 5892. A bill for the relief of Calogera Tranchina; to the Committee on the Judi-

H.R. 5893. A bill for the relief of Christopher Selimis; to the Committee on the

Judiciary. H.R. 5894. A bill for the relief of Francesco Troia; to the Committee on the Judiciary. H.R. 5895. A bill for the relief of Giovanni

Valenti; to the Committee on the Judiciary. H.R. 5896. A bill for the relief of Antonio Zambianchi; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. BARING: H.R. 5897. A bill for the relief of Elena Salvo Areno; to the Committee on the Judi-

ciary. By Mr. BEVILL:

H.R. 5898. A bill for the relief of H. A. Parr; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. BINGHAM:

H.R. 5899. A bill for the relief of Juana V. Then-Rodriguez; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. BRASCO:

H.R. 5900. A bill for the relief of Gioacchino, Giovanna, and Antonio Giuseppe Gancitano: to the Committee on the Judiciary

H.R. 5901. A bill for the relief of Francesco Ingrao; to the Committee on the Judiciary. H.R. 5902. A bill for the relief of Mrs. Gio vanna Maria Lunetta; to the Committee on

the Judiciary. H.R. 5903. A bill for the relief of Angelo, Antonina, and Nunzia Brigida Zuzze; to the

Committee on the Judiciary. By Mr. CEDERBERG:

H.R. 5904. A bill for the relief of Carl C. Strauss and Mary Ann Strauss; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mrs. CHISHOLM: H.R. 5905. A bill for the relief of Margarita Badolamenti: to the Committee on the Ju-

diciary.

H.R. 5906. A bill for the relief of Gioacchino Maggio; to the Committee on the Judi-

H.R. 5907. A bill for the relief of Leslie Gerard Paul; to the Committee on the Judiciary. H.R. 5908. A bill for the relief of Claudio Salerno; to the Committee on the Judiciary. By Mr. CONYERS:

H.R. 5909. A bill for the relief of Barbara Sears Carroll, nee Barbara Sears; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. DELANEY: H.R. 5910. A bill for the relief of Aurelio Basile; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. FARBSTEIN: H.R. 5911. A bill for the relief of Gertrudes Cabagungan; to the Committee on the Ju-

diciary. H.R. 5912. A bill for the relief of Joan Caponong; to the Committee on the Judi-

clary H.R. 5913. A bill for the relief of Mary Felace; to the Committee on the Judiciary H.R. 5914. A bill for the relief of Gaetano La Porta: to the Committee on the Judiciary. H.R. 5915. A bill for the relief of Zenaida , Delco G., and Marivi Macias; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

H.R. 5916. A bill for the relief of Florentino Meo; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. GALLAGHER:

H.R. 5917. A bill for the relief of Amante and Rizalina Cabalda; to the Committee on the Judiciary

By Mr. GIAIMO:

H.R. 5918. A bill for the relief of Mrs. Taeko (Natale Anthony) Lauritano; to the Committee on the Judiciary By Mr. JOELSON:

H.R. 5919. A bill for the relief of Carmelo Andolina; to the Committee on the Judiciary. H.R. 5920. A bill for the relief of Mr. and Mrs. Giovanni Battista Asaro; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

H.R. 5921. A bill for the relief of Maria Luisa Bona: to the Committee on the

Judiciary.

H.R. 5922. A bill for the relief of Mrs. Lucia Tommasi and Maria Carbonaro; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

H.R. 5923. A bill for the relief of Consolata Monti; to the Committee on the Judiciary. H.R. 5924. A bill for the relief of Cheung Wun Yung; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. KYROS:

H.R. 5925. A bill for the relief of Herman Boxer: to the Committee on the Judiciary. H.R. 5926. A bill for the relief of Dr. Chandra Prabha Lal; her husband, Daya N. Lal; and two minor children, Padma Lal and Ashis K. Lal; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

H.R. 5927. A bill for the relief of Michael Mouzas: to the Committee on the Judiciary.

H.R. 5928. A bill for the relief of Sylvia Z. Sison; to the Committee on the Judiciary. H.R. 5929. A bill for the relief of Charles C. Smith; to the Committee on the Judiciary. H.R. 5930. A bill for the relief of Ng Chan

Sun; to the Committee on the Judiciary. H.R. 5931. A bill to provide for the consideration under the War Claims Act of 1948 of certain claims arising out of the sinking of U.S. fishing vessel Snoopy; to the Com-

mittee on the Judiciary.

H.R. 5932. A bill to authorize and direct the Secretary of Transportation to cause the vessel Cap'n Frank, owned by Ernest R. Darling, of South Portland, Maine, to be documented as a vessel of the United States with coastwise privileges; to the Committee Merchant Marine and Fisheries.

H.R. 5933. A bill to permit certain vessels

to be documented for use in the fisheries and coastwise trade; to the Committee on Merchant Marine and Fisherie

By Mr. MATSUNAGA: H.R. 5934. A bill for the relief of Julita Funtanilla and her minor children, Wilhelmina Funtanilla, Sylvia Funtanilla, and Josephine Funtanilla; to the Committee on the

Judiciary. By Mr. MESKILL:

H.R. 5935. A bill for the relief of Lt. Col. Henry F. Baker; to the Committee on the Judiciary. By Mr. MOSS:

H.R. 5936. A bill for the relief of Kong Wan Nor: to the Committee on the Judiciary.

H.R. 5937. A bill for the relief of Agripino Erano Tenchavez, Jr.: to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. MURPHY of New York:

H.R. 5938. A bill for the relief of Accursia Billera; to the Committee on the Judiciary. By Mr. PATTEN:

H.R. 5939. A bill for the relief of Lajos Heredy; to the Committee on the Judiciary. By Mr. PIRNIE:

H.R. 5940. A bill for the relief of Rosalia Manta Marchese; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. ROSENTHAL:

H.R. 5941. A bill for the relief of Mr. and Mrs. Leonard Musso; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

H.R. 5942. A bill for the relief of Mr. and Mrs. Stephen Paskor; to the Committee on

the Judiciary.

H.R. 5943. A bill for the relief of Roger Stanley, and the successor partnership, Roger Stanley & Hal Irwin, doing business as the Roger Stanley Orchestra; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. SHIPLEY: H.R. 5944. A bill for the relief of S. Sgt Vernell Burris; to the Committee on the

Judiciary.

By Mr. TEAGUE of California: H.R. 5945. A bill for the relief of Masakatsu Kawano; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. WOLFF: H.R. 5946. A bill for the relief of Thalia Simos; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

MEMORIALS

Under clause 4 of rule XXII, memorials were presented and referred as follows:

8. By the SPEAKER: A memorial of the Legislature of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, relative to absorption of the cost welfare by the Federal Governof public ment; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

9. Also, a memorial of the Legislature of the State of South Dakota, relative to providing educational opportunity to students seek-ing careers in the health professions; to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Com-

10. Also, a memorial of the Legislature of the State of Utah, relative to restoring certain lands to the public domain; to the Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs.

PETITIONS, ETC.

Under clause 1 of rule XXII, petitions and papers were laid on the Clerk's desk and referred as follows:

46. By the SPEAKER: Petition of Henry Stoner, Portland, Oreg., relative to circulation of the Congressional Record; to the Committee on House Administration.

47. Also, petition of the City Council, Elizabeth, N.J., relative to making Inauguration Day a national holiday; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

EXTENSIONS OF REMARKS

VETERANS' DAY SPEECH

HON. ALTON LENNON

OF NORTH CAROLINA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, February 3, 1969

Mr. LENNON. Mr. Speaker, Capt. G. E. Lockee, a constituent and naval officer who is rendering outstanding service to our country, addressed his townsmen in Pembroke, N.C., at special Veterans' Day ceremonies. I am pleased to bring to attention his excellent remarks honoring our veterans and depicting the Navy's role in national defense.

The address follows:

ADDRESS BY CAPT. G. E. LOCKEE, U.S.N., VET-ERANS' DAY CEREMONIES, PEMBROKE, N.C., NOVEMBER 11, 1968

Mayor Maynor, Reverend Cummings, Doctor Courtner, Post Commander Locklear, fellow Veterans, Distinguished Guests, Ladies and Gentlemen

First, I wish to thank you for inviting me to come home again to Pembroke. I have many friends here and am rather senti-mental about this part of the country. As many of you know, I was born about a half mile from where I now stand. I have hunted many quail in the surrounding countryside. I also have many pleasant memories of my Grammar School and High School days here.

Joining with you here today, to honor our Veterans, is indeed a pleasure and a privilege for me. Too often, and too soon the world and even our own Countrymen forget the very men to whom they owe the As Americans, we are all indebted to our Veterans, because it is they who proved to the world that Americans have integrity, that we are willing to fight for the very same principles, the real values for which our first veterans fought so valiantly 200 years ago. As we pay tribute to the many men and women who served so well in the cause of freedom, it is well that we not overlook these values for which so many have laid down their lives

Foremost among these are such basic things as the several freedoms guaranteed by the constitution, liberty, the dignity of man and our national and personal respon-sibility to preserve them. Each generation must for itself preserve or lose them. They are the very foundations upon which the security of our country rests. I wish to emphasize that these freedoms and rights. which most of us take for granted, did not come without cost. Every right and privilege comes with a responsibility. A responsibility to our creator, a responsibility to our country and a responsibility to our fellowman. Increased responsibility often is accompanied by increased authority and recognition. I am sure that you can conclude that increased responsibility and authority is accompanied by greater accountability. Our veterans have assumed these responsibilities well.

I don't know the names of all our Veterans here today, but I believe that it is most fitting at a time like this that I name a few of them. Miles S. Jones, my Uncle, Jack Sampson, Willie Dial, Bunion Locklear,

Jack Sampson, Willie Dial, Bullion Locklear, John L. Locklear, W. P. Godwin, Martin Luther Lowry; served in World War I. Two other World War I Veterans, Mr. James R. Lowry and Mr. L. W. Jacobs, now deceased, were dear friends of mine as they were of many of you. Mr. James R. Lowry was one of my high school teachers. He was my school principal. He was my counselor and was very helpful to me in many

Likewise, World War II brings to my mind many veterans, who were my schoolmates and friends, as well as a host of others. The following names immediately come to mind:

Howard Oxendine, Simeon Oxendine (Distinguished Flying Cross), James Arnold Jacobs, Rudolph Carter, Edison Carter, Cleo Maynor, Jimmy Maynor, Col. Earl Lowry, Rudolph Godwin, Willie Von Lowry, Bracey Sampson, my uncle, my brothers: Otto and Col. Archie Stanton Lockee; Wade Lowry was killed in action at Midway, Dr.

Telford Lowry.

I join you in saluting all World War I and World War II veterans as well as those who participated in the Korean War and the current War in Vietnam.

In case you don't know it, Commander Thomas Oxendine and I have crossed paths many times over the past 25 years as have served in our wonderful Navy. In talking with Tom before coming down here from Washington, he requested that I pass along to you his personal greetings. Until recently Tom and I worked near each other in the Pentagon. He has just moved across the Potomac River from the Pentagon into Washington and now works for the Commander, Naval Air Systems Command in the Public Affairs Office.

Although to my knowledge, they are not War Veterans, there are a few men to whom I would like to now pay special recognition and thanks because they have had such a great influence on the lives of so many of our Countrymen, and especially me. They are:

Mr. Lonnie Oxendine, Mr. Clifton Oxen dine, Mr. Marvin Lowry, Mr. Ira Pate Lowry, Mr. Zeb Lowry, Mr. Paul Sampson, Mr. Elmer Lowry.

These gentlemen were my teachers while attending school here, I'm sure they have taught many of you. They have been the reflection of education. They have instilled in so many the real desire to excel. The desire to move higher. The desire to reach the sky. I want to thank them for what I consider to be a noble contribution to their country in the field of education.

Education has increased importance today. It is important because many of you here today are engaged in it. Many students look at their education with mixed emotions because it is easy to look at it that way.

With but few exceptions the leaders of tomorrow in all walks of life are on the college campus today. Some of them are here in this audience today.

While preparation for positions of responsibility generally is accomplished in the college classroom, many of the qualities possed by men who become our leaders are acquired by practical experience and training which are not a part of the normal college curriculum. Many of these qualities are developed on the athletic field or thru extracurricular activities.

I wish there were some way that this important aspect of growing up could be more emphatically impressed upon our young peo-Our complex world needs more highly educated and trained men and women. recommend that you who are engaged in attaining an education give this part of your preparation your best effort. If you do, it will stand you well in the future.

In addition to honoring our Veterans to-day. I would like to talk a bit about one of my favorite subjects.

Being a Naval Officer, I would not want to disappoint any of you by not saying some-thing about my own Service—the United States Navy. Today, I will assume this privi-

lege with a great deal of enthusiasm. I think that I have a reputation, regardless of the occasion, for sprinkling a bit of salt water on any inland audience at every opportunity.

I have been told that after my Air Force brother was here last year he made a low level pass over town with his big Boeing 707 airplane. I have been here a time or two when Tom Ovendine has made a low-level navigation flight over town in his jet fighter. I want to assure you that after I leave here today you will not find a big guided missile ship steaming up Lumber River heckling with great noises all the citizens of Robeson County

We should all be aware of the importance of sea power, and the influence of sea power as a deterrent of war. The Navy has been the country's first line of defense and has usually been the first to strike an enemy when all other peaceful efforts falled.

Deterrence of nuclear war by U.S. Naval power is an important aspect of modern seapower. Our sea-based Polaris ballistic missile forces and the carrier-based nuclear strike forces with their characteristics of mobility and concealment have been an important part of our Country's strike capability.

Seapower is more than just war ships, Sea power embraces total naval power, the Mer-chant Marine, the fishing industry and knowledge of the vast oceans,

Now for a few examples and some facts. As long as three quarters of the World's surface is covered by water, any war must necessarily include a wet water war waged in support of our Army, our Air Force, and our Marine Corps. Did you know that it takes a ton of supplies per month to support

a foot soldier in Vietnam?

There are over 500,000 Americans in Vietnam. Did you know that our supply line to Vietnam is nearly 10,000 miles long? Did you know that 98% of all supplies and equipment going to Vietnam to support our troops there make that 10,000 mile trip by ship? Did you know that it was the Navy planes from mobile ocean airfields, our car riers in the Gulf of Tonkin, that made the first Air Strikes on targets in North Viet-nam in February 1965? This is true because they were there, they were ready. They were operating on the free oceans when the Commander in Chief made the decision to strike. Did you know that it was the Navy that landed 15,000 Marines and Soldiers at Santo Domingo when help was requested there in April 1965? I could name many other occasions when the Navy was the first to strike a blow in defense of our country. My point is simply this; the Fleet, through its unique ability to gain immediate proximity to areas of tension, has always been ready to apply, if necessary, its strength, its mobility and its flexibility to protect our Nation's interest, if so directed by the President

In spite of all my pride in the Navy, I would in no way infer that the Navy can do the total job of defending our country alone. Far from it. The Navy believes that the coordinated combat power of all the United States Armed Service must be projected overseas—away from our own shores—in any type of conflict. We in the Navy fully recognize the Navy's role in the support of the other Services in this matter.

We also believe that the roles of all the Armed Forces are played best if they are coordinated, and that the combined strengths of the Army, Navy, Marine Corps, and Air Force forces engaged in concert is far greater than the sum of their separate strengths. We in the Navy believe that no matter how complex or how awesome you build the weapons of war that the man-the Individual—is still the most vital element of our defense team. Men make decisions—men fight battles-men win wars.

For nearly two centuries it has been the individual man—each accomplishing his task—that has enabled the Navy to excel both individual in time of war and peace. It was the individual sailor who manned the topsails and guns that brought the first victories to our Hedgling Navy during the Revoluntionary War, and it's the talented and educated Navyman of 1968 that keeps nuclear powered ships roaming the high seas and the super-sonic aircraft battle ready throughout the vear.

We have made tremendous advances in electronics, propulsion, guided missiles, nuclear physics, and push button computer systems which coordinate our Command and Control evolutions. This sophistication is good and is necessary but it is still the man who must make the decision as to which button is pushed, and when it is pushed.

Surely we cannot say too much about the individual, since he is the key to success in

any endeavor.

While most of our people live in an environment of plenty and only a few of our eople feel a personal impact from the War in Vietnam, I cannot say enough about the devotion to duty—the high degree of skill and training—the courage and sacrifice that is demonstrated daily by the men and women in all branches of our Armed Forces. They are giving their best twenty-four hours a day. And, in so doing, they do honor to the highest traditions of you—the American Veteran—who has gone before them.

This is also a most appropriate time to salute our families for their understanding and support as we carry out the many duties required of the man and woman in uniform.

would like to thank you for this opportunity to share this day with you. Your Countrymen are indebted to you, her Veterans, for your sacrifices and your service which have been necessary in order to keep our nation great in a rapidly changing and troubled world. We would also not forget the sacrifices of those who have died in battle. I pray God's richest blessings on all of you.

AMBASSADOR W. AVERELL HARRIMAN

HON. HALE BOGGS

OF LOUISIANA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Wednesday, January 29, 1969

Mr. BOGGS. Mr. Speaker, it is with a great deal of humility that I rise to pay tribute to one of the most gifted and dedicated leaders in modern American history-a man who embodies the spirit and the ideals of our people-Ambassador W. Averell Harriman

It has been said that great men hallow people and lift up all who live in their time. I know of no one of whom this is more true than Averell Harriman.

When I consider the founders of this Republic-Washington, Madison, Franklin, Hamilton, and others-I often wonder who among my contemporaries might measure up to their greatness. Inevitably, W. Averell Harriman comes to mind. for he alone seems to combine their wisdom and intellect, their compassion and courage.

It hardly approaches the measure of the man to say that Averell Harriman has been a dedicated public servant, a distinguished Ambassador, a Governor of New York, a Secretary of Commerce, and an adviser to four American Presi-

We come closer if we recall that he successfully negotiated a difficult nuclear test ban treaty which, at this moment, is preventing the pollution by radiation of the air we breathe and the food we

Perhaps the measure of this man is best summed up by simply noting that he returns now to his native land having brought a war to the beginning of real peace negotiations.

Averell Harriman is home now for a well-deserved rest. But I know that he will not be inactive for long, for he is too energetic, too concerned, too dedicated not to continue his service.

I am honored to call Averell Harriman my friend, and I am happy that he and his lovely wife, Marie, are home again.

PITTSBURGH SOLDIER AWARDED SILVER STAR

HON. JAMES G. FULTON

OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Monday, February 3, 1969

Mr. FULTON of Pennsylvania, Mr. Speaker, it is a pleasure to join in honoring young Capt. David F. Guerrieri, U.S. Army, who today was decorated with the Silver Star for heroism in Vietnam. In a special ceremony at the Walter Reed Army Medical Center, Brig. Gen. Frederic J. Hughes, Jr., pinned our Nations third highest military award on Captain Guerrieri for "gallantry in action" during an enemy attack on the Fourth of July, 1968.

Captain Guerrieri's parents, Mr. and Mrs. Francis Guerrieri, of 305 Parkway Drive, Pittsburgh, Pa., and his brother Daniel Guerrieri came to Washington to witness proudly the presentation ceremony.

As Congressman for the Guerrieri family I wish to congratulate them on his fine heroism under the pressure of enemy fire, and commend David F. Guerrieri as an excellent example of courage and patriotism in our country's young people.

We Americans owe a great debt to the men like Captain Guerrieri who have served our Nation well in our armed services. It is a special pleasure to include the citation of the award of the Silver Star to Capt. David F. Guerrieri in the RECORD, as follows:

AWARD OF THE SILVER STAR, SEPTEMBER 23, 1968

The following award is announced: Guerrieri, David F., Captain, Artillery, U.S. Army, HHB, 2d Bn, 77th Arty, 25th Inf Div. Awarded: Silver Star.

Date action: 4 July 1968.

Theater: Republic of Vietnam.

Reason: For gallantry in action: Captain Guerrieri distinguished himself by heroic actions on 4 July 1968, while serving with Headquarters and Headquarters Battery, 2d Battalion, 77th Artillery in the Republic of Vietnam. A numerically superior force launched a massive rocket, mortar, and ground attack against a friendly base camp. During the ensuing action, Captain Guerrier

immediately proceeded to the perimeter and deployed the reactionary force. As he was de-ploying his men, Captain Guerrieri, with complete disregard for his personal safety, threw a grenade and killed two enemy soldiers who were about to fire an RPG at the command bunker. Although seriously wounded, Captain Guerrieri continued to lead his men until he was evacuated. His valorous actions contributed immeasurably to the success of the mission and the defeat of the enemy force. Captain Guerrieri's personal bravery, aggressiveness, and devotion to duty are in keeping with the highest traditions of the military service and reflect great credit upon himself, his unit, the 25th Infantry Division and the United States Army.

Authority: By direction of the President under the provisions of the Act of Congress, approved 9 July 1918, and USARV message 16695, 1 July 1966.

ENFORCEMENT OF THE CONSTITU-TION

HON. JEFFERY COHELAN

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Monday, February 3, 1969

Mr. COHELAN. Mr. Speaker, last year a majority of the Members of the House of Representatives waged a difficult but successful fight to assure that the Federal Government would continue to enforce title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. In defeating the Whitten amendment to the HEW appropriation, we reaffirmed our instruction that the Government would continue to enforce southern school desegregation by withholding Federal funds from unconstitutionally segregated school districts.

Earlier this month, the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare issued its report on progress under the school desegregation guidelines. The report showed progress-albeit much too slow progress-in achieving a system of integrated and equal schools for white and black alike.

But last week, the new Secretary of the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare refused to cut off Federal school aid funds from five unconstitutionally segregated southern school districts. Instead, the new Secretary granted an additional 60 days to the schools to negotiate a satisfactory desegregation program.

In ordinary circumstances, a 60-day delay might seem unobjectionable, especially where a new administration had so recently come to power. However, the U.S. Supreme Court in 1954, 15 years ago, better than half a generation ago, declared that the law of the land absolutely forbade State-compelled racial segregation. Sixty days' delay added to 15 years' delay is a much more serious matter.

In the strongest possible terms, I urge the Members of this body to make known to the new administration the fact that we, a majority of the House of Representatives, will not tolerate the flaunting of our will. We voted just last year to continue the enforcement of school desegregation under title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964.

Our will is clear.

Our expectation for the new administration in the vigorous enforcement of the civil rights laws is no less clear.

FIRST PICAYUNE ISSUE RECALLED

HON. HALE BOGGS

OF LOUISIANA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Monday, February 3, 1969

Mr. BOGGS. Mr. Speaker, last January 25, one of the most active leaders in my part of the country interrupted a very busy schedule to celebrate a 132d birthday.

This individual carries her years well and shows no sign of slowing down. If anything, she is more alive and more active today than ever before. I speak of the New Orleans Times-Picayune, one of the Nation's oldest and greatest news-

naners

When the Picayune was born, Andrew Jackson was President and this Republic was barely a generation old. In the years that have followed, her reporters have covered war and peace, depression and prosperity, yellow fever epidemics, and in general, the trials and successes of America's most interesting city. The ranks of her contributors include Mark Twain-the original one, from whom Samuel Clemens drew his name-Lafcadio Hearne, and William Faulkner, to name just a few.

The Times-Picayune is a grand old newspaper, steeped in Americana, and I am delighted to salute it on this, its 132d

birthday.

I am attaching an article from its anniversary edition on January 25, 1969. which I know will be of interest to my colleagues:

FIRST PICAYUNE ISSUE RECALLED: NEWSPAPER TODAY BEGINS ITS 133D YEAR

(By Don Lee Keith)

Andrew Jackson was president then. In Washington, there was a circulating petition

to abolish slavery.

Back home in New Orleans, it was a wet and blusterous winter day, that Wednesday, Jan. 25, 1837. Those who cared to brave the elements that evening could be entertained at the Camp Street Theater, featuring a play known as "Cinderella!" over at the St. Charles Theater, something called "Charles II" starred Mr. Hunt, Mr. Finn, T. Bishop and Mrs. Keeley.

The players may have been forgotten, but the newspaper which chronicled their per-formance in its first issue is still very much around. One hundred and thirty-two years later, you're looking at the same publication

And as The Times Picayune begins its 133rd year, it does so with the same fervor it ex-hibited in Vol. 1, No. 1, when it stated, "There is no doubt that if we deserve patronage we shall receive it; and if we do not, the public will not extend it."

That first issue came from an obscure building at 38 Gravier st. It was edited in a 160-square foot office and published with

\$400 worth of equipment.

In that issue, Mrs. E. Green placed a notice that she had opened a fashionable boarding house at No. 122 Tchoupitoulas st., 'where several gentlemen can be accommodated with board on reasonable terms."

John Walker advertised that he had opened an office for real estate and the purchase and sale of slaves

So went the arrival issue of The Picayune, named because it sold for the price of the small Spanish coin, the picayune. Since the picayune was worth 61/4 cents, the newspa later dropped its price to a nickel, and until recent years, was unique in that it sold for less than it did when first founded.

The first editors, George Wilkins Kendall and Francis A. Lumsden, were printers op-erating on borrowed capital, but apparently, they deserved and got patronage. The Picayune was only 10 years old when it organized pony express system to cover the Mexican War and Kendall was American journalism's first full-fledged war correspondent. His dispatching service was so fast that other newspapers clipped The Picavune's correspond-

The transition that necessarily accompanies time occurred, of course, and throughout the years, there were merger transac-tions involving other newspapers. Eventually, The Times Picayune emerged. That was in

But the granddaddy of them all, The Picayune, remained as steadfast to the cause of journalism as it did back in 1837, when the population of New Orleans was about 46,000.

With the city going into its 251st year, and The Times-Picayune its 133rd, the seem to be progressing together after adopting the words of Robert Browning: "Grow old along with me? The best is yet to be."

"PUEBLO" COMMEMORATIVE STAMP

HON, EDWARD J. PATTEN

OF NEW JERSEY

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Monday, February 3, 1969

Mr. PATTEN. Mr. Speaker, we are daily reading in the newspapers of clamors for further investigations of the Pueblo crisis-investigations which propose to study not only this incident but the very nature of our foreign policy

Amid all this controversy I would like to take a moment to reflect on the men who manned this ship in her hour of peril, and who endured the hardships of confinement by the North Koreans. Every day from our college campuses come the shouts of our youth for peace. The men of the Pueblo also want peace, and they were willing to die for that cause-one young sailor actually did give his life. The moral strength of these men to survive the hardships following their seizure should remain as a living tribute to patriotism and freedom.

The National Committee for Responsible Patriotism remembered as the sponsor in 1967 of the "Support Our Men in Vietnam" parade down Fifth Avenue in New York worked long and hard for the past year to secure the release of the Pueblo crew. Now this organization is encouraging the Post Office Department to issue a Pueblo commemorative stamp as a lasting tribute to the bravery of these men and as a constant reminder of the outside forces which would destroy the freedom which we often take for granted.

I support the efforts of this organization headed by its able, dedicated, and dynamic executive director, Charles W. Wiley, of Sayreville, N.J., for the issuance of a Pueblo commemorative stamp. The simple fact is that the American citizens on the Pueblo serve at the request of our Government to protect this free Nation—for that alone we should honor them. That they endured so much with such courage should make us ever proud that these men are willing to defend our freedom, and it should encourage us all to work even harder to secure peace in our world in their time.

ONE OF THE GIANTS IS NOW GONE

HON. ROY A. TAYLOR

OF NORTH CAROLINA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Monday, February 3, 1969

Mr. TAYLOR, Mr. Speaker, a national museum and cradle of forestry were authorized by the 90th Congress and are being established in the Pisgah National Forest of western North Carolina on the site where the first field school of forestry in America was operated some 71 years ago, and near the site where the first national forest tract of land was purchased under the Weeks law.

We are proud of North Carolina's historic role as a pioneer in preserving, developing, and conserving forests and other natural resources. We are proud that much of the sentiment toward establishment and proper management of national forests and national parks in the eastern part of the United States originated and developed in western

North Carolina.

We are proud that much of the land comprising the Smoky Mountains National Park was purchased by interested citizens and by the States of North Carolina and Tennessee and given to the Federal Government some 40 years ago, making it the first national park in eastern America.

Verne Rhoades was one of the graduates of this first field school of forestry. Later he became the first supervisor of the Pisgah National Forest and later was in charge of the acquisition of much of the Smoky Mountains National Park lands, and in recent years he raised funds to restore the original forestry schoolhouse and was one of the moving spirits behind the creation of the Cradle of Forestry. This great pioneer in conservation and forestry died about 10 days ago, and I recommend to my colleagues two editorials, the first appearing in the Asheville Citizen of January 24, 1969, and the second appearing in the Asheville Times of January 25, 1969.

[From the Asheville (N.C.) Citizen, Jan. 24, 19691

ONE OF THE GIANTS IS NOW GONE Verne Rhoades, who died in Florida this week, was one of the most beloved men who has lived in Asheville.

He had a quality of gentleness that belied his strength of purpose, and a quiet gener-osity that masked his essential firmness.

A graduate of the Forestry School on the Biltmore Forest Estate, he became the first supervisor of Pisgah National Forest and later was in charge of the acquisition of much of the land for the Great Smoky Moun-

tains National Park

His business and civic interests were extensive and varied; his contributions in time and money to all manner of worthy causes were substantial. He sought no public acclaim; he often sought anonymity. But his good works were recognized by a wide circle of friends-rich and poor, high and low who revered him

One of his major interests in recent years was the Cradle of Forestry project in Pisgah National Forest and restoration of Dr. Schenck's original schoolhouse, for which he

raised the necessary funds. Verne Rhoades was 86 and thus had lived a long and useful life. His death still comes

as a shock.

Here, truly, was a Christian gentlemanalways, courteous, always kind, always thoughtful of others. Asheville and Western North Carolina have lost a pioneer who will be long remembered. He walked in grace.

[From the Asheville (N.C.) Times, Jan. 25, 19691

A PIONEER PASSES

Asheville has lost one of its worthiest citiens in the death at 86 of Verne Rhoades. His services extended far beyond this city, and he was in truth a citizen of all of West ern North Carolina

Forester, naturalist, conservationist—Verne Rhoades was all of these things, beginning as a potent pioneer in a day when scientific forestry and conservation were at the very beginnings. He was, it could be said, one of the founders of the Great Smoky Mountains National Park. He worked tirelessly for the park's establishment, and supervised much of the land acquisition.

Verne Rhoades' handiwork is all around us. It exists in the vast woodlands which are one of Western North Carolina's most precious possessions. It lives in Scouting and woodlands recreation. It continues in the well-being of his adopted city. He will be remem-bered with honor and affection.

CUYAHOGA COUNTY JOINT VET-ERANS TO SALUTE MAUSSER

HON. MICHAEL A. FEIGHAN

OF OHIO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Monday, February 3, 1969

Mr. FEIGHAN. Mr. Speaker, on Mon-day, February 3, 1969, Daniel Mausser, Cleveland community prominent leader, will be honored by the Cuyahoga County Joint Veterans' Commission.

It is most fitting that this singular honor which has been bestowed only four times in the past 31 years be presented to Mr. Mausser in recognition of his accomplishments in the field of public relations. Mr. Mausser has worked for, and with, veterans, ethnic, and minority groups for many years in Cleveland and elsewhere. His tireless efforts to help bring mankind together in harmony to solve problems should be an example for all of us who consider ourselves good Americans.

Under leave granted, I insert the following article which appeared in the Plain Dealer:

JOINT VETS TO SALUTE MAUSSER

A "citation of appreciation and merit" will be presented Monday to Daniel L. Mausser, 16337 Brewster Road, Cleveland Heights, by the Cuyahoga County Joint Veterans' Com-

mission during the commission's annual installation and awards dinner at Hotel Sheraton-Cleveland

The award, for "his great contribution in the field of Public Relations to the Veterans and Civic Organizations," is only the fourth such citation the commission has given in

Mausser, a public relations counselor here, has received national recognition for his work, including twice being commended in congressional speeches—on May 3, 1967, and May 10, 1968.

It was just a year ago that Bishop Clarence G. Issenmann of the Cleveland Catholic Diocese cited him for his "commended lead-ership in the ecumenical and interfaith developments" in Greater Cleveland.

SOCIALISM EXEMPLIFIED

HON. JOHN R. RARICK

OF LOTITSTANA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Monday, February 3, 1969

Mr. RARICK, Mr. Speaker, a thoughtprovoking paper on our slipshod age may well acquaint our people with life in our Socialist future.

Time was when a craftsman was just that. He was proud of his work and even affixed his name to his product. But then, time was when work was honorable and a way of life. But, alas, in too many instances the job has been replaced by a right to put in time for pay.

Mr. Speaker, I include Jenkin Lloyd Jones' column of February 1, from the Washington Evening Star:

SLIPSHOD AGE DESERVES WARNING

The other day I walked into a hotel room in the Bahamas. As the bellman set down my bags the headboard fell off the bed. The new dresser had a split that extended across two The bathtub fixtures, punched crudely through the wall, hadn't even been anchored. An hour later the marbled grand foyer was blocked while workmen tried to stanch rain pouring through the roof. All this for only \$40 a day.

Not long ago I was talking to an old friend

in his auto showroom.

"I used to be a car dealer," he said. "Now I seem to spend most of my time running the final assembly line. You have no idea of the condition in which some of our new cars arrive. Knobs falling off, floating chrome strips, sloppy painting, even important linkages involving the safety of the vehicle that just seem to have been hurled together.

Perhaps this is the natural fallout of "full employment." In spite of joblessness among the hard core it has been a fact that almost anyone who is willing to show up and not walk off before quitting time can command a wage.

If a man is fired it is usually a short stroll down the street to another wage. And in shops where unions are both strong and militant the firing of even the laziest or most incompetent employe has never been so haz-ardous to the boss, for the local can "fine" him many thousands of dollars by pulling a wildcat strike

But you can't point fingers at labor only. The fault goes right on up to the paneled executive suites, vice presidents, engineers, chemists, accountants, college professorsevery educated skill or management experience that is in short supply has bred its quota of prima donnas, job-jumpers, go-to-hell hounds and stock-option hawks. Most of us are getting pretty greedy.

We've been cheating each other.

The careless man on the auto assembly line is robbed when his sly TV repairman replaces perfectly good tubes.

TV man cusses when buttons fall off his new shirt.

The lazy foreman in the shirt factory is outraged that the walls of his new apartment

seem to be made out of drum skins. And the corner-cutting contractor on the apartment job is killed by a sticking accelerator on the car whammed together by the auto assemblyman.

What has happened to us is a lack of discipline. We all need to be kicked a little. Very few of us will do very good work unless we are a little fearful of the consequences of doing bad work. We're not bad people. We're just people.

Our ancestors found this out in the Revolutionary War. The militia started out by electing their officers and noncoms on the basis of their easygoing popularity. Most of this militia fled at the first volley.

It wasn't until tough and sometimes pretty unpopular officers took over that Americans began to win battles. The fear of the consequences of bugging out and to at least equal

the distaste for standing firm. Those of us who wear the campaign ribbons of the Great Depression remember when there was too much job discipline in America. There seemed to be 10 hungry guys standing behind every worker and fouling up was rough. Men of skill and integrity peddled from door to door. The lecherous boss of the dime store often, as the Victorians put it, had his will with the poor little girl clerk, for it was sometimes either that or starve.

Today the girl can tell him to fly his kite. The classified sections are stuffed with ads for men of skill and the employer who wants to exploit is having heavy going. This is a

lot better.

But in the meantime, we have heated the economy up until the dollar is in real danger. Prices and wages have been leaping far ahead of any improvements in quality or productivity. There is no system of job security or relief that could survive the national bankruptcy of a runaway inflation, and the con-sequences of a busted dollar would be catastrophic to the whole Free World.

So we've got to cool it. Even our most profligate of governments has been driven to impose a surtax. It would be naive to assume that the building boom can be sustained with a 7 percent prime rate and \$9-an-hour

and the world.

This, then, would seem to be the strategic moment to get a reputation for being an Honest John and a Willing Willie. When customers get picky—as they will—and when the frantic Help Wanted signs come down, reputation will become important once again.

The wind will blow most gently on the manufacturer who has pushed quality control, the merchant swift to act upon com-plaints, the reliable repairman and the employe who is cherished by the boss.

GOV. W. AVERELL HARRIMAN

HON. WAYNE N. ASPINALL

OF COLORADO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Wednesday, January 29, 1969

Mr. ASPINALL. Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to join with my colleagues, under the leadership of Congressman BINGHAM, of New York, in giving a personal word of appreciation to Gov. W. Averell Harriman for his outstanding work in behalf of his fellow men in his State, the Nation,

No one has given more unselfishly of his talents for the benefit of mankind than has Governor Harriman and all of us are his debtors for the opportunity to share his outstanding capabilities and his dedication to public service.

I am privileged to say thank you, Governor Harriman.

TAX REFORM

HON, WILLIAM D. FORD

OF MICHIGAN

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Monday, February 3, 1969

Mr. WILLIAM D. FORD. Mr. Speaker, I have introduced legislation to bring the issue of tax reform before Congress. And I now urge the Congress to take heed of the growing demand throughout the Nation for quick and decisive action on this long-neglected problem.

I am cosponsor of H.R. 5250,

Tax Reform Act of 1969." This bill presents a 13-point program to eliminate loopholes which each year cost the Federal Government more than \$9 billion in tax income, and at the same time distribute the burden of Federal income tax unfairly on the ordinary wage earner.

The new administration has indicated that it will seek to have the 10-percent surcharge extended past the original termination date of next June 30. It is unfair to the American taxpayer to continue this "temporary" tax when we can raise an equal sum of money simply by closing some of the loopholes in our present tax laws.

Closing these loopholes would result in the collection of this money from those who have been avoiding their fair share of taxes for years, rather than from the low- and middle-income taxpayers who are already staggering under the burden of taxes at all levels.

Joseph W. Barr, former Secretary of the Treasury, reported last month that 155 Americans with adjusted gross incomes of over \$200,000, including 21 whose income exceeded \$1,000,000, paid no income tax at all in 1967. This was made possible by the loopholes that we are seeking to eliminate in H.R. 5250.

Thousands of other wealthy persons and corporations pay relatively low tax rates because of these unfair "gimmicks" and glaring inequities in our tax laws.

The bill which I am cosponsoring has the following provisions:

First. Provide for taxation of capital gains upon death, to prevent wealthy persons from passing large amounts of accumulated wealth to their heirs, without payment of capital gains tax. This would increase Federal revenues by an estimated \$2.5 billion annually.

Second. Eliminate the unlimited charitable deduction, a little-known device used by millionaires which costs the Federal Government some \$60,000,000 a year in tax income.

Third. Eliminate the special tax treatment on stock options, which enables top executives of large corporations to pay taxes on part of their incomes at low capital gains rate, rather than the full income tax rate. This loophole deprives the Government of an estimated \$150,-000,000 a year.

Fourth. Eliminate the \$100 dividend exclusion, by which taxpayers with stock holdings get their first \$100 of dividends tax free. This benefit is not available to the average person, whose savings are in bank accounts or savings bonds. Tax loss to the Government through this loophole has been estimated at \$150,000,-

Fifth. Eliminate the multiple-corporation gimmick, which permits large corporations to be split into a number of smaller corporations, for the purpose of evading full taxation. This loophole cost the Treasury \$200,000,000 annually.

Sixth. Remove the tax exemption on municipal industrial development bonds. which subsidize plant construction for large corporations that are fully capable of financing the costs themselves Closing this loophole would bring in at least \$50 million a year.

Seventh. Establish a municipal bond guarantee corporation to guarantee State and local bonds against default, and pay an interest subsidy sufficient to reduce interest payments by one-third. This would discourage the issuance of tax-exempt State and municipal bonds. which are purchased primarily by highincome persons as a tax-savings device. Estimated savings-\$900 million.

Eighth. Reduce the oil depletion allowance from 27½ percent to 15 percent, and the mineral depletion allowance from 23 percent to 15 percent. These depletion allowances permit big oil corporations to pay Federal income taxes of only 4 and 5 percent, and some oil companies with multi-million-dollar incomes have used this loophole to avoid payment of any Federal income tax. Reduction of the depletion allowances to 15 percent would mean a revenue gain of nearly a billion dollars a year.

Ninth. Establish similar rates for gift and estate taxes. The present law sets a gift tax rate 25 percent lower than estate taxes, which permits wealthy persons to give away some of their holdings during their lifetime and thus reduce their es tate taxes. This reform would mean \$150 million in additional Federal revenues.

Tenth. Eliminate payment of estate taxes by redemption of Government bonds at face value. The treasury loses \$50 million a year through this littleknown gimmick, which is used only by wealthy families with large estates.

Eleventh. Limit the use of farm losses to offset other income. Wealthy persons use "hobby farms" with no intention of making money from them, and then use their loss to reduce the tax rate on their regular income. This is not only a \$400 million annual drain on the Treasury, but it provides unfair competition for genuine farmers who depend on farming for their livelihood

Twelfth. Eliminate the accelerated depreciation on speculative real estate, a loophole that permits real estate speculators to reduce their income tax payments by surprisingly large amounts. Repeal of this gimmick would bring in an estimated \$150 million annually.

Thirteenth. Repeal the 7-percent investment tax credit, which permits business firms to subtract, from their tax bills, 7 percent of the value of certain new equipment installed during the year. Originally intended to stimulate the economy, it is now helping to overheat an already inflated economy, as well as costing some \$3 billion annually in tax

Mr. Speaker, for so long as these loopholes stay in our income tax law as avenues for tax escape for the very-largeincome group at the expense of the ordinary middle-class workman, I will op-pose the continuance of the 10-percent surtax and any tax increase that falls unfairly and most heavily upon wage earners. We should take note of the statement of outgoing Secretary of the Treasury, Joseph W. Barr, before the Joint Economic Committee on January 17 that we face an incipient "taxpayers revolt" unless we take care of these loop-

McCULLOCH URGES CENSUS REFORM

HON. WILLIAM M. McCULLOCH

OF OHIO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Monday, February 3, 1969

Mr. McCULLOCH. Mr. Speaker, the 1970 census will contain over 120 questions, all compulsory, many infringing on personal privacy, unless action is taken to change present law. I am today introducing legislation to repeal criminal penalties attached to all but subjects essential to counting the population.

The American people should not be faced with a \$100 fine or 60 days in jail if they decline to reveal some overly personal information about themselves and their households. I see no justification for the mandatory requirement that forces all citizens to provide such information as first, income, dollar by dollar, from all sources including public assistance, alimony, unemployment and disability insurance, pensions and investments; second, the value of property or the amount of rent paid: third, educational, marital, employment, and military history; fourth, with whom bathroom and kitchen facilities are shared; fifth, a long list of household items including dishwasher, television, radios, automobiles, and second home; and sixth, where each person and his parents were born.

The constitutional purpose of the decennial census is to count the people resulting in the equitable apportionment of the U.S. House of Representatives but the planned 1970 census extends far beyond this objective. I am pleased to join with over 100 of my colleagues who want all questions on a voluntary basis except name, address, age, sex, head of household and persons in the home at the time of the census, which are essential to the enumeration of population. The Government will get better public cooperation if it asks rather than demands answers from our citizens. The Census Bureau missed some 5.7 million people in 1960 which must not be repeated if we are to properly redistrict congressional seats, apportionment of State legislatures and in distribution of billions of dollars in Federal assistance to the States based on a population formula. I believe a largely voluntary and hopefully more simplified census will lend to a more complete count of our people.

DATELINE WASHINGTON: REPORT FROM YOUR CONGRESSMAN, ED ESHLEMAN

HON. EDWIN D. ESHLEMAN

OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, February 3, 1969

Mr. ESHLEMAN. Mr. Speaker, during the 90th Congress, I found it worthwhile to poll periodically the opinions of my constituents on a variety of national issues. I intend to use questionnaires throughout the 91st Congress as a means of sampling the views of the people I am privileged to represent. The text of the first of those questionnaires is as follows:

DATELINE WASHINGTON: REPORT FROM YOUR CONGRESSMAN, ED ESHLEMAN

(1) Vietnam continues to be our most serious foreign problem. Consider each of the following statements and check the one that most closely resembles your own opinion

about U.S. policy.

(a) The United States should withdraw all of its troops from Vietnam and let South and North Vietnam settle the whole issue by

themselves. Agree ()

(b) The United States should resume the bombing of North Vietnam and try to get a military settlement of the conflict. Agree ()

(c) The United States should pursue policy similar to the present one of limited fighting while negotiating. Agree ()

(d) The United States should assume a more forceful role in the Paris peace talks, but begin a gradual withdrawal of troops from Vietnam. Agree ()

(e) The United States should let South Vietnam do most of the talking in Paris, and also turn most of the fighting over to the South Vietnamese. Agree (

(f) The United States should negotiate the North Vietnamese strictly about let the South Vietnamese work out a political settlement with the Viet Cong. questions involving troop withdrawals and

(g) None of the above, but my own

thoughts as follows:

(2) Some changes in Social Security are likely to be considered in the 91st Congress Which one of the following proposals might you favor?

(a) Provide for automatic cost-of-living adjustments to Social Security benefits. Agree ()

(b) Increase benefits overall by 13 percent and raise the minimum amount received from \$55 a month to \$80. Agree ()

(c) Both of the above. Agree () (d) None of the above. Agree ()

(3) Are you in favor of the Congress allowing the recommendations of the President's Salary Commission to take effect? Those recommendations would raise Congressional salaries from \$30,000 to \$42,500 per year, Supreme Court salaries from \$39,500 to \$60,000 and Cabinet salaries from \$35,000 to \$60,000. Yes () No. ()

Do you believe that the Postal Serv-(4) ice should be reorganized and converted into a Government-owned, nonprofit corporation?

Yes () No. ()

(5) Our economic experts seem to feel that the income tax surcharge must be extended at least until the Vietnam War expenses become less. Would you favor such an extension based upon Vietnam needs? No. (

(6) Should the first class postage rate be raised to 7¢ with a guarantee of air-mail delivery and the air-mail rate of 10¢ eliminated completely?

Yes () No. ()
(7) Do you favor a partial dismantling of
the Office of Economic Opportunity with a transfer of the more successful antipoverty programs into the regular Cabinet-level Departments?

Yes () No. (

(8) Do you believe that the use of tax credits as an incentive for private industry to help in solving social problems is preferable to expanding Federal Government programs in the social field. The use of tax credits would allow private industry a tax break on money it expends for social betterment.

) No. () Yes (

(9) Do you favor reforming the Electoral College system which we now use to select our Presidents?

) No. () Yes (

(10) Regardless of the type of electoral reform that may be enacted, would you favor a second runoff election process instead of the House choosing the President?

No. (

(11) Should the United States adopt a more "evenhanded" approach to the problems in the Middle East which would continue support of Israel but also would attempt to better our relations with various receptive Arab nations?

Yes () No. (Please return to: Hon. Edwin D. Eshleman. 1009 Longworth Building, Washington, D.C. 20515.

Name and address not required. Name

Address ... _____ Zip Code _____

JESSE P. WOLCOTT

HON. JOHN B. ANDERSON

OF ILLINOIS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Wednesday, January 29, 1969

Mr. ANDERSON of Illinois. Mr. Speaker, I regret that I was not present in the Chamber last week when my distinguished colleague, the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. HARVEY) led in the tributes which were expressed to the life and service of the late Jesse P. Wolcott, a former Member of this body from the State of Michigan. I wish to take this opportunity to record my appreciation for the record of public service which Mr. Wolcott compiled during his lifetime and to express my condolences to the members of his family.

As the chairman of the important House Committee on Banking and Currency, Mr. Wolcott left his creative imprint on some of the major legislation enacted by the Congress, particularly in the field of housing. It was obvious from the many tributes paid to him the other day by those who had the opportunity of serving with him that he was not only respected as a legislator but beloved for his personal qualities of steadfastness and courage. He was extremely knowledgeable about those matters within the jurisdiction of his committee, and enjoyed the respect and high regard of Members on both sides of the aisle. Although the record of his life has now been closed, his accomplishments as a dedicated American and a distinguished legislator survive as an integral and living part of the history of our times. I RISE IN DEFENSE OF MY COUNTRY'S WEALTH

HON. JULIA BUTLER HANSEN

OF WASHINGTON

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Monday, February 3, 1969

Mrs. HANSEN of Washington. Mr. Speaker. I rise in defense of my country's

After a study of the 1970 budget, I find we are failing to make the proper investment in our natural resources; we are creating a "national natural re-sources debt" and calling it economy. Savings, in this disguise, are a loss.

The point of my statement today is this: We must increase the budget for natural resources development and protection; and we must remove all ceilings, except that of commonsense, on person-nel in the Department of the Interior.

This is not a partisan challenge I am issuing, for I am one who fights only to build the Nation, and I fear our country is in jeopardy. No aggressor would have to use armed might to destroy us: we could do it to ourselves by depleting our natural resources.

As an example, the budget we have received does not adequately provide for good forest management and replenish-

ment of our fish resources.

The U.S. Forest Service reports we are importing 13 percent of the lumber we use. Future prospects are even more bleak, for tree planting and forest management practices are limited by budgeting restrictions. In order to have suffi-cient lumber by the year 2000, the trees must be in the ground now. The critical lumber shortage in America today is linked directly to poor forest management and lack of visionary planting during the 1920's.

We are importing 71 percent of the fish products we consume. Salmon hatched this year will be ready for eating in 4 years. Yet our hatcheries are not being fully funded.

These are perilous and unnecessary steps down the road of unbalanced payments.

While we are skimping in investments in natural resources, the Soviet Union is increasing its emphasis on natural resources

These economies are going to cost us bitterly. Our savings, based on these premises, are false. Less than 1 percent of the Federal budget, an inadequate amount, goes toward natural resources. Let there be no mystery; the only place meaningful savings are possible is in de-

I am well aware of the fact that we are fighting a war and I want it to be abundantly clear; every American in combat has my unqualified support. There should be no hesitation in support of them.

But when those servicemen return home, they must find preserved land and enriched environment.

According to the Bureau of the Budget, from 1965 through next fiscal year, this Nation will have spent \$419.5 billion for defense. Of that amount, during these

6 years, \$108.5 billion was for special support of Southeast Asia.

During the same period, according to the Budget Bureau, the gross outlays for natural resources was \$19.9 billion. Because of some \$8.5 billion in revenues from the sale or leasing of resources owned by the Federal Government, the net outlay was \$11.4 billion.

Following are some interesting figures supplied by the Bureau of the Budget, to-

gether with my questions:

Q. How much have we spent for Vietnam since the conflict there escalated? A. Outlays for "special support of South-east Asia" began in fiscal 1985. The record is as follows:

(Dollar amounts in billions)

	Outlays for-			
Fiscal year	Special support of Southeast Asia 1	Total, national defense		
1964	\$0.1 6.1 20.6 26.8 29.2 25.7	\$53. 6 49. 6 56. 8 70. 1 80. 5 81. 0 81. 5		
Total, 1965-70. Annual increase between 1964 and 1970: Billions of dollars. Percent.	108, 5 25, 7	419. 5 27. 9 52. 2		

ternational affairs and finance."
Not available.

Q. How much have we increased outlays for housing activities since the Vietnam war escalated?

A. In many community development and housing programs, outlays are not a good measure of Federal activity. Housing construction is begun, or projects to better the urban environment are started, on the basis urban environment are started, on the basis of commitments—which include contracts for loan insurance, grants, loans, or annual payments for low- and moderate-income housing. Moreover, in some years sales of Government-held mortgages (or participa-tion certificates involving them) have pro-duced the anomals of neartite outlass Outduced the anomaly of negative outlays. Outlays for community development and hous-ing are shown below, as requested, along with data on publicly assisted housing starts, which are a better measure of Federal activities in housing.

Fiscal year	Outlays for community development and housing (billions of dollars)	Publicly assisted housing starts (thousands)
1964 1965 1966 1966 1967 1967 1968 1969 (estimate) 1970 (estimate)	-0.2 .3 2.6 2.6 4.1 2.3 2.8	43 59 49 51 159 225 500
Total, 1965-70	14.7	1, 043 457 1, 062. 8

1 Not available.

Q. How much have we increased outlays for education since the Vietnam war escalated?

A. Federal education programs serve two main purposes: to support education per se, and to draw upon the resources of educational institutions as a means of advancing knowledge and achieving other national objectives. Outlays for the first are included in the "education and manpower" function of the budget; outlays for the second are included in other functions, including national defense. Outlays for each are as follows:

IDollar amounts in billions!

Fiscal year	Aids to education	Other educational activities	Total
1964	\$1.6	\$1.8	\$3.1
1965	1.6 2.9 4.4 5.0 4.8 5.3	2.1 2.8 3.1 3.8 4.2 4.5	3.6 5.7 7.5 8.8 9.0 9.8
Total, 1965-70 Annual increase be- tween 1964 and 1970: Billions of dollars Percent	24. 0 4. 0 307. 7	20.5 2.7 150.0	6. 7 216. 1

Q. How much have we increased outlays for health activities since the Vietnam War escalated?

A. Federal outlays for health cover a wide range of activities. They are summarized below:

	nomat	amour	ni 23f	DINION
- 100				

	Development of health resources			Provision of services				
Fiscal year	Research, training, and education	Facilities construction and other	Total	Direct services	Indirect 1	Total	Prevention and control of health problems	Total
1964	\$1.4	\$0.4	\$1.8	\$2.0	\$0.9	\$2.9	\$0.4	\$5, 1
1965 1966 1967 1968 1968 1969 (astimate) 1970 (estimate)	1.4 1.6 2.0 2.2 2.3 2.6	.4 .4 .5 .6 .7	1.8 2.0 2.4 2.8 3.1 3.5	2.0 2.2 2.6 2.7 2.9 3.0	1.3 5.3 8.0 9.6 11.0	2.9 3.5 7.8 10.8 12.5 14.0	.4 .5 .6 .7 .8	5. 2 5. 9 10. 8 14. 1 16. 3 18. 3
Total, 1965-70. Total increase between 1964-70: Dollars. Percent.	12.0 1.2 88.1	3.5 111.2	15.5 1.7 93.6	15. 4 1. 0 52. 0	36. 1 10. 0 1, 077. 0	51. 5 11. 1 381. 3	3.5 104.6	70.6 13.2 258.2

1 Mostly medicare and medicaid.

Q. How much have we increased outlays for natural resources since the Vietnam War escalated?

A. There was a decrease in net outlays for natural resources programs between 1964 and 1970 because of a sharp increase in receipts from the sale or leasing of resources owned by the Federal Government. Gross outlays continued to increase throughout the period, Both net and gross outlays are shown below:

[Dollar amounts in billions]

Fiscal year	Net outlays	Gross outlays
1964	\$2.0	\$2.6
1965. 1966. 1967. 1967. 1968. 1970 (estimate).	2.1 2.0 1.9 1.7 1.9 1.9	2.8 3.1 3.3 3.5 3.6 3.7
Total, 1965-70. Annual increase between 1964 and	11.4	19. 9
Billions of dollars	-\$.1 -4.1	\$1.1 42.4

Q. How much have we increased outlays for public works since the Vietnam War escalated?

A. Federal outlays for public works activities during the period 1964-70 (fiscal years) were as follows:

	Direct F	ederal construc	tion			
Fiscal year	Civil	Defense	Total	Grants	Net lending	Total
1964	\$2.7	\$1.3	\$4, 0	\$4.2	\$0.1	\$8.3
1965. 1966. 1967. 1967. 1968. 1969 (estimate) 1970 (estimate).	2.8 3.0 2.8 2.5 2.4 2.6	1.4 1.7 1.7 1.5 1.9 1.8	4.2 4.7 4.5 4.0 4.3 4.3	4. 6 4. 4 4. 7 5. 3 5. 4 6. 5	.2 .3 .4 .3 .3 .3	8.9 9.4 9.6 9.5 10.0
Total, 1965–70. Annual increase between 1964 and 1970: Billions of dollars. Percent.	16.0 1 -5.1	9. 9 . 5 34. 5	25.9 .3 8.2	30.9 2.3 54.3	1.7 .2 126.8	58. 5 2. 8 33. 3

Mr. Speaker, we have limited employment in the Park Service. Yet, according to page 33 of the Budget in Brief, fiscal 1970, 56 million more Americans and

foreign visitors will visit our parks this year than were present last year. We are placing more land into public protection-but are providing inadequate personnel to see that the trust of the American people is kept through sound public land protection and service.

Let me explain to you what it means to limit the number of employees in the U.S. Forest Service. It means that trees—that is, lumber for homes in the year 2000—will not be planted. Instead, harvested timberland will lie bare.

We have seen in California the havoc caused by unsound geological practices and limited consideration of the forces of weather and nature. It has cost lives, untold millions of dollars, besides grief and suffering.

Yet, in our budget we are thinking of less than \$100 million for the U.S. Geological Survey to do its job—studying the crust of the planet.

crust of the planet.

Last year, during my subcommittee hearings, I warned what budget cuts would do to our national resources. These slim investments, by order of the Bureau of the Budget, are exerting unhealthy pressures on our resources and our econ-

omy of tomorrow. We are not keeping a sacred trust that we owe posterity. So-called economies of last year set the stage for this weak 1970 budget. As I understand things, the new administration is studying the budget and may be suggesting changes. I urge sharp increases in these investments in the future of our resources. The limitation on personnel for our parks, forests, and other essential functions concerning our resources must be lifted.

Mr. Speaker, we must put the necessary money back into our budget and be prepared to defend these increases. It is our Nation which is at stake. I urge the support of my colleagues, the administration, and all Americans to assist us in this vital effort.

STATEMENT BY PRESIDENT S. I. HAYAKAWA, OF SAN FRANCISCO STATE COLLEGE

HON. JOHN M. ASHBROOK

OF OHIO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, February 3, 1969

Mr. ASHBROOK. Mr. Speaker, today the Special Subcommittee on Education of the House Education and Labor Committee began hearings relating to legislation which comes within the jurisdiction of the subcommittee. Testifying before the subcomittee today was Dr. S. I. Hayakawa, the third president of San Francisco State College in less than 12 months and the eighth president of that beleaguered institution in the last 10 years. The disruptive antics of various radical groups have succeeded in keeping the State college in the headlines during the past few months, and with the help of police protection Dr. Hayakawa has succeeded in keeping the school open to complete the first semester. The hard-nosed handling of the dispute by the president has won the support and admiration of many citizens throughout the country who are seriously concerned about the attacks upon our centers of learning by extremist elements.

Indicative of Dr. Hayakawa's approach to the problem is this excerpt from his prepared statement before the subcom-

I believe that I have introduced something new to this business of preserving order on campuses. At most institutions the use of police is delayed as long as possible and when assistance is finally requested, the force is usually too small to handle the situation and new troubles develop. I went the other way. I had ample force available and demonstrated a willingness to use it quickly to protect people and property from attack. The opposition has received my message. I think I have communicated successfully.

On February 17 San Francisco State College is scheduled to begin its spring semester. Dr. Hayakawa stated in a TV appearance yesterday that there is a "reasonable chance" that the school would open and that it would complete its academic year.

The recent experience of San Francisco State in dealing with the militants' program of disruption provides an object lesson for educators and administrators in other institutions of learning, and for that reason I place Dr. Hayakawa's prepared statement before the House Special Subcommittee on Education in the Record at this point:

PREPARED STATEMENT OF DR. S. I. HAYAKAWA, PRESIDENT, SAN FRANCISCO STATE COLLEGE INTRODUCTION

San Francisco State College, earlier known as San Francisco State Teachers' College, began as a teacher's training institution just before the turn of the century. It began to offer bachelor's degrees in primary, elementary and junior high school teaching in the 1920s. Since 1935, the institution has been called San Francisco State College, with broad programs in the liberal arts and sciences to supplement the professional work in education. In 1945, the state authorized a five-year program for the general secondary credential and by 1949, the graduate program was extended to grant the Master's de-

The majority of our liberal arts students come from the San Francisco Bay area. Our creative arts school, with an excellent reputation in drama, film, television and music, draws from the entire country and abroad. Our education school draws from a wide area of the state.

Our students are not rich. Seventy-five percent or more work full or part time to pay their way through college. The average age is between 24 and 25 years, with a substantial number of married students who carry full loads and work at outside jobs. Of our 18,000 undergraduate and graduate students, only 800 live in the two campus dormitories. An additional 800 will be housed when the third dormitory is available later this year.

About 3,500 students received money under federal aid programs Dr. Helen Bedesem, the college financial aids officer, has detailed information on the entire aid picture. This is one area I cannot discuss with any great degree of competence since my major effort in eight weeks as president has been to restore order and to keep classrooms open for those who wish to teach and to study.

The ethnic composition, unfortunately, does not reflect either the statewide or the area figure. It includes something in the area of 3.6% Negro and a total of 15-17% non-white. The Negro percentage is down from an estimated 11% a decade ago, in part because of the growth of the junior colleges and in part because the college entrance standards worked to the disadvantage of many non-white young people whose earlier education suffered deficiencies, I do not believe there

is any social or educational justification for trying to maintain a student ethnic composition in direct proportion to that of the area or the state, but I do believe that we must do more to increase opportunities for minority and disadvantaged groups, even at the expense of additional teachers and counselors to assist these young people.

A PORTRAIT OF THE FACULTY

San Francisco State College has long been known for its liberal and interesting faculty. It is a college that operated successfully for years with fewer rules and regulations than most any institution of higher education. Academic freedom has been a way of life and an incentive to attract exciting students and professors. This atmosphere may have had something to do with the rise of faculty militancy and the close relationship between some extremely liberal faculty members and students who became leaders of militant or ultra-liberal groups.

The faculty is represented in many ways through professional groupings and by a senate which sets academic policy for the institution. Our senate is only about five years old and still experiencing growing pains.

Generally, administrative control over the faculty cannot be described as dictatorial in the least, despite the present clamor over the state law which says that anyone who absents himself without leave for five consecutive days is considered to have resigned. The faculty has autonomy in essential matters, such as hiring, retention, tenure and promotion. The president cannot even fire a faculty member, He can only recommend action to the Chancellor.

Basically, the turnover rate is low. For the last few years, our faculty turnover rate has been below the rate of the state college system as a whole.

A relatively small segment of the faculty is close to the small segment of the stu-dents who are the militant or dissident leadership. This is a strange alliance. I believe that some faculty may be radicals and may develop close association with radical students because of professional inade-quacies. For example, a faculty member who not considered to be a strong scholar among his peers may seek recognition from students. Then there are at least a few, I am sure, who are dedicated revolutionaries, We do know that there is a certain amount of coaching of radical students by radical faculty but I think we have reached the point where the students have much to teach their tutors. The relationship of the faculty to the administration is one of those strange bureaucratic arrangements. Some teachers are professional politicians within the institution, very close to administrators at all levels, influencing decisions, carrying and frequently contributing messages worthwhile feedback of general faculty opinion. Then there are some on our campusand every other campus-who ignore the administration completely as they come and go from home to the classroom, laboratory and library. Our strongest ties between the administration and the faculty stem from the Council of Academic Deans, which includes all school deans and administrative deans working under the academic vice president. This is the body of experience, son, intelligence and total college concern that any president will rely on for sound advice and good counsel. The deans are close to their department chairmen who, in most cases, are close to their departmental faculty members

Our administration is one of the problems in the sense that it needs additional manpower. We have excellent men in every key
position, but the budget does not allow for
assistance of equal calibre. Thus, when a
crisis develops, our first line men are completely occupied. Their routine work suffers
and, in the case of a prolonged episode like

our recent 13 weeks, fatigue eventually takes its toll. I imagine the same is true in most other urban colleges and universities and I look for the day when we have time to consider some basic reorganization to add strength in numbers at the top. Our administrative turnover is exceedingly low considering what the college has experienced in recent years—low except in the office of president. As you may know, I am the third president in less than 12 months, the eighth in ten years. This is another story and one that probably has had some effect on administration functions. I have not had time to analyze the effects of frequent presidential changes.

A PORTRAIT OF STUDENT UNREST GROUPS

We have several white radical or ultraliberal groups. Their numbers total something around 300 when their allies are mobilized. Their central control is probably vested in less than 50 people. These 50 or so are dedicated, experienced and effective in the field of organizing or disruption. And to answer a question in advance, we cannot diminate any of these people without exercising due process, which includes finding them guilty of offenses. Recent events may have helped to solve our problem since most if not all of the white activist leaders have been arrested at least once each.

Of our 800 or 900 black students, I would estimate that less than 100 have been involved in the recent disruptions, although many more attend railies under pressure from their leaders. The bravest young people on our campus are the non-violent young black students who keep on attending classes at the risk of physical attack from black militants and in the face of distrust on the part of the majority of white students. I have praised these young people before in public and appreciate the opportunity to repeat my feelings of admiration for them today.

There is an important difference between black and white activists. Generally speaking, the black students are fighting for a place in society. White activists, such as the Students for a Democratic Society, are fighting to destroy the society, even though they have nothing better to propose as a substitute. It is only during periods of particular kinds of strife that both groups find enough in common to join forces as they have on our campus this year. And when they do join together the bonds are weak. The alliance is to execute tactics, not to achieve common objectives.

The Third World Liberation Front is relatively new. It was meant to include all the non-white and non-black minorities. It is supposed to unite the oppressed peoples of the world; it is said to be the rallying point for victims of both capitalism and communism. Since its formation on our campus last April, the Third World has been dominated by a handful of Spanish-speaking students who claim to represent the much larger Latin and Oriental population of the campus and the community. There is little evidence to substantiate this claim.

We have some off-campus agitators involved in the present affair. But actually our home-grown brand need little outside help, except in numbers at those senseless rallies and endless marches. We have all the militant leadership that is needed for a first-class revolt and I understand that we have also exported some talent for disruptions at other campuses on both coasts.

Dissidents of all colors have worked exceedingly hard to build sympathy in ethnic communities throughout the area, with the objective of turning a campus problem into a much larger community problem. But their efforts have failed miserably. They have been able to attract as many as 100 students from other campuses for a one-day rally and march. But they have failed completely to attract any large numbers of citizens from the Spanish-speaking, black or Oriental communities of San Francisco, From these

facts, it is clear that the majority of the ethnic minority population is more interested in education as conducted or proposed by the college than in the wild plans for education by mob rule as proposed by our dissident students.

Some militants are genuine in their desire to improve the educational system. But it is also clear that some militants, especially in the Black Students Union, are more concerned with personal power than with education. We saw evidence of this in the very first press conference the BSU ducted on November 6, the first day of the The leaders said boldly that their real objective was to seize power. They have never wavered from this plan, They have never attempted to hide their real purposes. What is unfortunate is that some many wellmeaning supporters of increased opportunity for black students have attached different meanings to the struggle. The people on the fringes are the ones saying the noble things about opportunity and progress. The BSU leaders keep saying they want absolute control, with no accountability to anyone except their constituents, constituents ruled by force, intimidation, and gangster tactics.
The white militants are as explicit as the

The white militants are as explicit as the blacks. Their story is now familiar on every major campus. They believe our society is so corrupt that there is no hope except to destroy the entire structure and rebuild from the ground up. But their idea of rebuilding along the lines of a participatory democracy is to deny the very freedoms they claim are sacred. We have seen them in action. In their system, there is no more room for debate than at a Nazi raily in the days of Adolf Hitter. If you doubt this, try defending the American commitment in Vietnam at an S.D.S. meeting.

We are asked frequently whether channels of communications are open to students. I cannot think of a college or university this country where the channels are more open. Many imaginative proposals for changes in education and administration have resulted from the ability of our students to present new ideas to their professors, partments, schools and presidents. We have supported a large experimental program for years, allowing for experimental courses within the regular structure of the departments and additionally through the Experimental College operated on the campus, usually in the evenings, with unlimited opportunities for either students or faculty to try new modes of teaching or to experiments with new subject matter. Most of the courses now included in the black studies program were first tested through the cooperation of willing faculty and administra-

The people we are forced to deal with in the present crisis—people trying to seize power or to destroy the institution—have used every device to corrupt the channels of communication. Their style of confrontation to achieve ends does not allow for free and open communication because communication in that sense might lead to reason and negotiation.

Our present difficulties were not triggered by a specific event, even though the temporary suspension of Black Panther George Murray, a part-time instructor and graduate student, is often cited as the reason for the BSU action. The crisis was not triggered at all. It was planned very carefully over a long period of time. To illustrate, the strike started on the anniversary of the date in 1967—November 6—when nine black students attacked the campus newspaper editor and his staff in their offices. Many of those nine are the present student strike leaders.

From the very first day, our present strike has been characterized by planned violence. The objective was to cripple instruction. There was no attempt to seize buildings or to disrupt the administration. The first actions were directed toward the classroom. At

first, bands of black students entered academic buildings to terrorize instructors and students by shouting, overturning furniture and just pushing people around. Then we had a rash of minor bombing attempts and arson intended to frighten rather than to damage. For example, on one day, we had fifty fires, all in waste baskets, on desk tops or in rest rooms, so the results would disrupt

classes rather than to destroy buildings.
After the white and Third World militants
joined the BSU, which was only a matter of
a few days, the action took on more massive
proportions and for a time, we had a combination of guerilla tactics and mob action.
Every midday in December, there was an outdoor rally, usually resulting in attacks on
one or more of the classroom buildings.

The people who deplore the use of police on campus seem to forget that the first days of this strike saw violence introduced by the students themselves as essential to their plan. The college use of police was a response to violence, not the cause. What we have succeeded in doing is to move the action from the classroom to the space between buildings and from there to the streets surrounding the campus. For weeks now, the classrooms and the inner campus have been quiet and safe. I believe that I have introduced some-

I believe that I have introduced something new to this business of preserving order on campuses. At most institutions the use of police is delayed as long as possible and when assistance is finally requested, the force is usually too small to handle the situation and new troubles develop. I went the other way. I had ample force available and demonstrated a willingness to use it quickly to protect people and property from attack. The opposition has received my message. I think I have communicated successfully.

During my eight weeks in office, my principal action has been to restore order. But I would not want anyone to believe that I think this is the solution for campus unrest. It is merely a first step. This is where my beliefs vary from those of many of the conservative supporters who have communicated with me. Several things must be accomplished if we are to end the present trend toward confrontation and violence. First, we must reassess many of our educational objectives and administrative systems. We must modernize quickly and on a vast scale to the entire system more responsive to the times and to the needs of our young people. Second, we must look realistically at problems of discipline and devise systems that will work without resorting to outside help. We must eventually put campus discipline in the hands of responsible faculty and student groups who will work cooperatively with administrations for the greater good of institutions. Our faculty and student disciplinary systems are not geared for today's problems.

In a sense, the issues behind most present troubles are valid. As a nation, we have said that education is vital for success for every citizen. Yet we still have an overwhelming number of elementary and secondary school systems that are crippling the poor and the minorities educationally. What we see now is a body of Negro, Spanish-speaking and other youth hammering on the door for an opportunity to obtain the education we have told them is so important to their future.

If we were dealing with hunger instead of education, you can imagine what would happen if we had a walled city in which the citizens had all the food they needed while outside there were hordes of starving people. We could not open the gates just a little to admit handfuls of the starving and expect the rest to remain patient outside. No. We would have to be prepared to open the gates wide and admit everyone, or be prepared for a riot. That is the situation now with higher education. We have opened the doors just a little with special programs that serve hundreds while thousands are clamoring for education. I believe we should open the gates

fully, even at enormous expense, to provide educational opportunity at every level—in high schools, adult schools, junior colleges, state colleges, and the universities—for our entire minority and poor populations. We should mobilize the best brains available, just as we did when the nation attacked our problems of modern science, to solve an educational crisis that means as much to our national welfare as our efforts in outer space.

THE RELATIONSHIP OF UNREST TO FEDERAL AID PROGRAMS

Generally, students under aid programs are not the ones who have caused the troubles. Dr. Bedesem is better qualified to discuss this matter than I. But from what I understand after a preliminary study of records still being assembled, there may be upward of 100 students receiving aid under one of the many federal programs among those 500 or 600 arrested for all causes during the past thirteen weeks. All people arrested are not necessarily trouble-makers. Some, as in the large group arrested at the recent illegal rally, were just too close and failed to leave when ordered to do so. They are violators of the law, but their crime is far different than being plotters, planners, attackers, or arsonists.

Of the hundreds arrested since November 6, only one has so far been tried by civil authorities. He was not an ald recipient. Our college disciplinary system proved inadequate for the situation and is being revised to provide better procedures. During the next few weeks, we will begin hearings on the people who have been arrested as well as on others who have been involved or cited by college officials. I can assure this Committee that the provisions of Federal law applying to students receiving financial aid will be observed faithfully.

PROGNOSIS

It is not easy at this point to predict the course of events on our campus or elsewhere. I feel that the danger to the nation and to higher education has been vastly underestimated by a majority of people. Most of the news and much of the commentary deals with the action rather than the underlying causes of dissent and the methods to correct obvious Ills.

If we are to end campus rebellion without destroying the educational institutions we must redirect our energies. We must look beyond the day-to-day combat to the reasons underlying this deadly attack on higher education. We must learn to deal both with the dedicated revolutionary leaders and the unsolved problems that enable those leaders to enlist followers. The solution to these problems will take time, brains and money. This nation is amply endowed with those resources. But we must act promptly and decisively.

A REMARKABLE PROGRAM

HON. PETER N. KYROS

OF MAINE

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, February 3, 1969

Mr. KYROS. Mr. Speaker, I would like to take this opportunity to inform my colleagues of a remarkable program which has recently been initiated by the New England Telephone & Telegraph Co. in Portland, Maine.

The telephone company has undertaken to provide needy children at the Jackson School, Portland, with a hearty breakfast before the school day begins. I attended the Jackson School, and I can testify from personal experience how im-

portant it is for children there to begin the day with sufficient energy for their demanding school activities.

This is, to my knowledge, the first time that a utility company has undertaken such a breakfast program. Up to 30 children will be served breakfast in the cafeteria in the phone company head-quarters. Menus will include cereal, scrambled eggs, bacon, sausage, doughnuts and muffins, with milk. Federal and city funds will be used to reimburse the telephone company 22½ cents for each meal served.

This is a heartwarming example of what can be done when the business sector seeks to assist in meeting the needs of the local community. I would like to especially commend Mr. Raymond H. Frost, general manager of the New England Telephone & Telegraph Co. for Maine; Mr. Henry C. Adams, operations staff supervisor for the telephone company; and Mr. William Eckerson, former commercial manager for the telephone company. The idea for this imaginative program originated with Mr. Eckerson. I would also like to thank Mr. Peter Conlon, director of the New England Telephone Co. dining service office in Boston, and Mrs. Edith Armstrong, dining service supervisor in Portland, who have together worked hard to make this program a success. We are also indebted to the members of the Portland Council, Jasper C. Keller Chapter, of the Telephone Pioneers of America and especially to their president, Mr. Joseph W. Walsh, for assistance in providing the breakfast services to the children. I would like to close by thanking my good friends Miss Peggy-Lee Ragan of the Jackson School and the many other persons of the Jackson School and the Portland School Board who have worked hard to see that students can begin the day with sufficient nutrition and energy. I am proud of my school, the Jackson School, and grateful to the New England Telephone & Telegraph Co. for their cooperation in this wonderful effort.

HON. JESSE P. WOLCOTT

HON. JAMES G. O'HARA

OF MICHIGAN

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Wednesday, January 29, 1969

Mr. O'HARA. Mr. Speaker, it was with great sorrow that I learned of the death of our friend, Jesse P. Wolcott.

Jesse Wolcott served in the House for nearly 30 years as the representative of the district I was elected to represent in 1958. His service in the U.S. Congress was outstanding. As the chairman and as the ranking minority member of the Committee on Banking and Currency, he won a well-deserved reputation as a leading authority on the matters within the jurisdiction of that committee. He was one of the great legislators to serve in the House of Representatives.

Jesse Wolcott will be missed by all who knew him and by all who respect integrity, ability, and dedication in our country's public service.

NORTH AMERICAN ROCKWELL: TECHNOLOGY IN THE SERVICE OF MANKIND

HON. CHARLES H. WILSON

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, February 3, 1969

Mr. CHARLES H. WILSON. Mr. Speaker, recently the American Broadcasting Co. and North American Rockwell presented an outstanding television program, "Cosmopolis," meaning "world city," and showing how today's urban problems can be transformed, via technology, into an urban utopia of tomorrow.

Certainly, there is little argument that we must do something to relieve urban congestion and its associated ills before man is completely engulfed by the labyrinthine metropolis he has created. There is argument, however, in just how best to solve these manifold problems.

In "Cosmopolis," ABC and North American Rockwell presented a dazzling visual and verbal view of the future of the city—a hopeful future if the wonders of modern technology coupled with broad-scale regional planning are allowed to operate unfettered. Certainly, despite the problems of today, it is reassuring to know that, if this Nation has the will, we can find the way to solve the urban dilemma. For, indeed, "the center of man's life should be the source of his inspiration."

I am proud that North American Rockwell, an industrial leader in my congressional district, chose to sponsor this excellent program. This outstanding aerospace corporation does not customarily sponsor commercial television programs; indeed, their product cannot be bought, like a bar of soap, by the average consumer-viewer. North American is consistently in the vanguard of public service; it has won wide civic recognition for its laudable efforts in the field of labor-management relations and it has made a valuable contribution to the development of space-age technology and research. Its 115,000 employees are all dedicated to harnessing the miracles of science for the benefit of mankind.

The problems of the cities concern North American Rockwell, for it is a company with a crystal ball: it is vitally interested in the future of America and it is channeling its considerable resources toward meeting the demands of that future.

AIM TO SWAT INFLATION

HON. JOE SKUBITZ

OF KANSAS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Monday, February 3, 1969

Mr. SKUBITZ. Mr. Speaker, according to figures just released from the Department of Commerce, the cost-of-living index has again increased. Needless to say, we all are concerned with the problem of inflation. The housewife knows all too well how the cost of groceries has greatly increased in the last few years. Parents throughout the Nation are faced with the alarming cost increase of produing for their families. Without a doubt the persons living on social security and fixed incomes are finding themselves in a position where they are unable to escape from the high cost of living.

The El Dorado Times, a widely respected newspaper in Kansas, recently submitted an editorial which I insert in the Record at this time. As the article states, every effort should be made to about the inflationary evil, regardless of political affiliation.

The editorial referred to follows:

AIM TO SWAT INFLATION

Best news as yet to come out of the fledgling Nixon administration involves a positive action against inflation.

These tidings came from David M. Kennedy, secretary of the Treasury, who said the administration would seek to contain inflation without bringing about any "unnecessary unemployment."

Government officials have always said that infiation, when it gets out of hand, can always be stopped. We have been doubtful about this statement in recent months since about the only move to that end has been a hike in the interest rates by the Federal Reserve banks.

Secretary Kennedy gave relief to many deeply concerned observers when he volunteered the promise that "we will not seek an answer to our problem by a change in the monetary price of gold." When he was first interviewed after his appointment was announced, many financiers had believed he might tinker with the gold price—causing wide speculation.

Asked what measures he would employ to fight inflation, Mr. Kennedy mentioned "tax proposals" and control of expenditures. The tax proposals, he indicated, have to do with the question of whether the 10 per cent income tax surcharge will be maintained or not. "We are going to try to take the steam out of the boiler," he said.

Everybody who read these words must have felt a sense of relief. The rule in the past has been for the government to continue its over-spending while the economy ran wild. That a sincere effort is to be made to abate the inflationary evil should give the public cause for cheer—no matter what party is or what brand of politics any person prefers.

The two biggest problems up for settlement just now are how to end the Vietnam war, and how to remove the sting of inflation. Given a successful solution in those particulars, other knotty problems should help to solve themselves.

Congress, which is Democratic, should forget its partisan beliefs in helping to swat inflation hard.

UKRAINIAN INDEPENDENCE DAY

HON. HENRY HELSTOSKI

OF NEW JERSEY

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Monday, February 3, 1969

Mr. HELSTOSKI. Mr. Speaker, January 22 is a memorable day in the memory of all Ukrainians of America and the entire world. For it was on that day, 51 years ago, that the people of the Ukraine have proclaimed their independ-

The people of Ukraine have long suffered from the oppression of the Romanoffs, the Hapsburgs, and the Hohenzollerns who made every effort to destroy the national traditions and ideals of the Ukrainian people. However, these valiant people have steadfastly repulsed every such effort and have sought to preserve their national identity.

On January 22, 1918, they were able to announce that their efforts have been successful and that they were establishing a free and independent republic.

The history of the Ukraine is one of the great tragedies of our century. Shortly after declaring its independence, Ukraine became one of the first victims of Soviet Russian conquest. The freedom which the Ukrainian people so desired and finally attained was only 3 years in duration. Since then they have been a puppet state of the Soviets, the largest captive non-Russian nation in all of eastern Europe.

The plight of over 45 million people is well known to all the Americans. The freedom-loving people of Ukraine have not accepted the Soviet Russian domination and have been fighting for the reestablishment of their independence by all the means accessible to them for the past 50 years.

From all the available evidence which could be obtained by Western observers we can readily see that the ever increasing tempo of repression has failed to intimidate the Ukrainian people.

Now we are witnessing what may be the final blow to the aspirations of the men and women of central Europe who sought national identity and independence in 1918

We are faced with the startling truth that the Soviet Union cannot tolerate freedom was confirmed during the past year with the military invasion of Czechoslovakia by the Soviet forces. Czechoslovakia has been able to sustain an appearance of freedom and independence since World War II, but events of recent days indicate that Czechoslovakia may shortly bring to this small nation the same fate suffered by the Ukrainians nearly 50 years ago.

These events should serve as a reminder to everyone that the Communist Soviet Union is and always has been a prison for the people of many nations who aspire to be free.

It is quite fitting that we in this country, regardless of our ethnic or cultural background, pause for a few moments in tribute to the Ukrainian people and their never-ending quest for national sovereignty and individual freedom.

As Americans, let us never forget that freedom was the objective for which our forefathers fought so hard to achieve for us. May we in this country be blessed with the many freedoms we enjoy and may we in the near future be joined in those blessings with the peoples of all the nations of the world.

Mr. Speaker, I say again that it has never been in the minds of the Ukrainians to accept the domination of the Soviet Union and they will continue to fight for their freedom and independence as long as there remains any semblance of hope for these objectives.

REPORT TO CONSTITUENTS

HON. JOHN W. BYRNES

OF WISCONSIN

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, February 3, 1969

Mr. BYRNES of Wisconsin. Mr. Speaker, under leave to extend my remarks, I include the following report to my constituents:

MEMO

Tax System—Speaking in New York last week, I called for immediate action to begin the long, hard Job of reforming and revising the federal tax laws. I suggested this task proceed along two paths: first, as a long-range program, the initiation of staff studies leading to a review and revision of the entire Internal Revenue Code, and second, the immediate undertaking by the Ways and Means Committee of a reform of those aspects of the tax law which are being used to produce results not intended by Congress, or results inconsistent with fairness. I am glad to report that the Ways and Means Committee has agreed to begin this latter, shortrange task this session.

NEED—One of the results not intended by Congress, for example, is that some 155 tax-payers with adjusted incomes over \$200,000 (21 over \$1,000,000) pay no taxes at all. This is done perfectly legally by using well-intended tax law provisions both to reduce tax-able income and to reduce the rates at which income is taxed. These provisions must be examined and revised. If this kind of situation is allowed to persist, the whole tax system will become victim to a lack of confidence and abuse.

SIMPLIFY—I also suggested we could take steps at once to simplify the job of filing returns for the average taxpayer. One thing that can be done at once is to bring the standard deduction up-to-date. It is now set at 10% of adjusted gross income and it should be made to conform to the itemized deduction claimed by the average taxpayer—probably to around 18-20%, with the cut-off at \$10,000 raised to \$20,000, perhaps by a graduated method. 57% of the taxpayers use the standard deduction; our target should be 90%—with a greatly simplified return thus made possible for all but the most unusual taxpayer.

Brugar—President Johnson, before leaving office, submitted to Congress a budget which shows a surplus of \$2.4 billion for Fiscal 1969 and \$3.4 billion for Fiscal 1969 and \$3.4 billion for Fiscal 1969. It is important that we not be misled by this optimistic estimate. The "surpluses" are made possible only by including in the total budget the operation of the various federal trust funds, including the Social Security trust fund, These funds, of course, are segregated funds having no bearing upon actual government operations which determine whether the government operates in the red or not, and how much it will have to borrow. Excluding the trust funds, the actual federal deficits, which must be borrowed, are estimated to be \$6.9 billion in Fiscal 1969 and the same amount in Fiscal 1970.

POSTMASTERS—In line with my November announcement that I would not recommend postmaster and rural carrier appointments on a political basis, I have written to the Postmaster General informing him that, should my advice be sought, I will request that the appointment be given to the highest ranking competitor in an open Civil Service examination.

OFFICE HOUSS—I will hold district office hours in my Green Bay office, 207 Federal Building, on Wednesday, February 12. On Thursday, February 13, I will be at the Manitowoc County Court House, and on Friday, February 14, I will be at the Outagamie County Court House. Hours each day will be from 9:00 a.m. to 12 Noon. I hope you will stop by if you would like to discuss a federal matter.

CONGRESSWOMAN LEONOR K. SUL-LIVAN CHALLENGES SAVINGS AND LOAN INDUSTRY TO UNDERTAKE LEADERSHIP ROLE IN SOLVING THE URBAN HOUSING CRISIS

HON, LEONOR K. SULLIVAN

OF MISSOURI

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, February 3, 1969

Mrs. SULLIVAN. Mr. Speaker, as a member of the Banking and Currency Committee and of its Subcommittee on Housing since the start of my second term in Congress beginning in 1955, I have learned over the years that the housing goals of this country are nothing more than pious words and meaningless objectives unless the savings and loan industry is able to accumulate the funds through the savings of its membershareholders to finance the mortgages of individual home buyers at reasonable rates of interest. There is a clear-cut and direct relationship between availability of mortgage funds through the savings and loans and our ability to bring homeownership to more American families.

The insured savings and loans are strictly regulated by the Federal Home Loan Bank Board, and must conform to high standards of responsibility in the use of their members' savings, which are insured by the Federal Government up to \$15,000. Sometimes, as noted recently in a series of articles in the Washington Post, abuses have been uncovered, involving a small fraction of this large industry, and these abuses have properly led to demands for more thorough examination of the savings and loans by the regulatory agency, and the full use of powers voted by Congress in 1966 to permit the Board to step in and stop these abuses promptly, through the issuance of cease-and-desist orders.

Chairman WRIGHT PATMAN of the Committee on Banking and Currency has designated me as chairman of an ad hoc subcommittee which he intends to appoint to make a full investigation into the adequacy of regulatory policies in light of the Washington Post series. But this is only a small part of the whole picture of the savings and loan industry and its role in the financing of American homeownership.

HOW SAVINGS AND LOANS CAN IMPLEMENT 1968 HOUSING ACT

Consequently, when I met at noon today with the legislative committee of the U.S. Savings & Loan League to discuss current problems and concerns in the home finance field, I devoted only a small part of my talk to the pending investigation of the ad hoc subcommittee; instead I talked about the challenges to and opportunities for this great industry in providing intelligent leadership in the drive in every city in this country to solve

our urban housing crisis and bring homeownership—and the civic responsibility which goes with it—to more of our low-income families.

This is a terribly complex problem. The Congress last year, in the monumental 1968 Housing Act, provided many new and far-reaching tools to accomplish this goal. But no one savings and loan institution in any city can undertake the burden by itself of meeting and solving the home-financing needs of the urban poor. The entire industry, however, working together with definite goals and an assigned share of the responsibility, can do wonders. This was the theme of my talk today.

Mr. Speaker, under unanimous consent I submit for inclusion in the Congressional Record the text of my remarks today at a luncheon meeting of the legislative committee of the U.S. Savings & Loan League. The chairman of the committee, and of the luncheon, was Mr. C. R. Mitchell, president of the First Federal Savings & Loan of Kansas City, Mo., one of the outstanding national leaders of the savings and loan industry and a man who has served his country in a most important role as a member of the Board of Directors of the Panama Canal Company.

The speech referred to is as follows:

Address by Congresswoman Leonor K. Sullivan, Democrat, Missouri, at Luncheon Meeting of the Legislative Committee, U.S. Savings & Loan League, Monday, Feb-Ruary 3, 1969, at the Statler-Hilton, Washington, D.C.

When Bob Mitchell invited me to speak at this luncheon today. I could think of a lot of reasons why it would be difficult, if not impossible, for me to be here, because of all my responsibilities up on the Hill, the legislation on which I am working, the committee assignments I hold, and so on. Instead, I said I would be glad to accept, not only because I recognize the great importance of the savings and loan industry to so many of the causes to which I am dedicated in the Congress—particularly the task of housing the American people—but also because Bob Mitchell asked me to come, and because he is the head of your Committee.

We are both from Missouri, but we come

from opposite ends of the State, and there is not too much community of interest or of action between Kansas City and St. Louis, except in fighting in the State Legislature for policies and programs which will help both our major cities. I came to know Bob Mitchell, and to respect him deeply, as a result of his service on the Board of Directors of the Panama Canal Company, which is a government corporation which operates the Panama Canal for the United States. I am Chairman of the Subcommittee on the Panama Canal of the House Committee of Merchant Marine and Fisheries, and vitally interested in this waterway and in the activities of our government in the Canal Zone, and I was impressed by Mr. Mitchell's grasp of our problems there and his willingness to devote time and effort and thought-to give of himself-to this public service assignment.

As we became friends through discussion of Panama Canal issues, we found we had a great many other interests in common as a result of his work in the savings and loan field and mine as a Member of the House Committee on Banking and Currency, and of the Subcommittee on Housing on which I have served since 1955. So I am indeed

happy to be Bob Mitchell's guest, and to speak to his Committee of the United States Savings and Loan League.

WE HAVE ENOUGH HOUSING LAWS FOR THE TIME BEING

Usually when I appear at a meeting of this kind to talk to people whose interest is primarily in the housing field, I try to bring with me a catalogue of issues and proposals pending before the Housing Subcommittee, and to talk about the prospects for further improvements in the Housing Laws, and go into some of the specifics about the amendments I have introduced or the bills I have joined in sponsoring and the prospects for their passage. But I did not bring such a catalogue with me today, and for a good reason. You see, I am not, at the moment, proposing any changes or improvements in the Housing Laws. Speaking for myself, at least, and I suspect for the other Members of the Housing Subcommittee, too, I think that when we finished our labors on housing legislation in the 90th Congress last August, we did enough for awhile!

We don't need any new housing laws right now. We have the most comprehensive housing law ever enacted. It is going to take all of you, and all of the other groups in fields directly related to housing, a long time to learn about all of the things which we put into that law, and about the manner in which you can take advantage of them and put them to good use. So if any of you have a suggestion for a change in the law, or are promoting any new piece of legislation in the housing field, I would just as soon not have you take it up with me right now. Maybe I will be interested in looking at a housing bill later in this session, but frankly I doubt it.

APPROPRIATIONS AND PRIVATE FINANCING BOTH NEEDED

Does that mean I have lost interest in this type of legislation? Not at all. I think we all recognize where the bottlenecks are right now in the effort to provide more and better housing for the American people, and the bottlenecks are not in the housing laws. There is very little wrong with the housing picture today that couldn't be corrected, or vastly improved, by a much more plentiful supply of a single commodity, and I don't mean cement or bricks or steel or copper wiring or that kind of commodity-I mean m-o-n-e-y. The money I am talking about, of course, is the kind which goes into your share accounts, where it is earmarked al-most entirely for housing loans, but this same commodity is in great demand and goes into a great many other investment avenues and not enough of it is available, at reasonable rates of interest, for the socially desirable and economically vital housing func-

While we are talking about money as the missing ingredient in making our housing laws more effective, we shouldn't forget the fact that some of the new housing programs are almost completely dependent upon the appropriation process in the Congress of the United States, and some of the programs, like rent supplements and the new home ownership mortgage subsidy program, did not fare very well last year.

Considering the enthusiastic support we received in the Housing Subcommittee, and in the House, for many of the innovative programs included in the 1968 Act, there must have been some surprise throughout the country last fall when the Congress falled to appropriate sufficient funds to put the new programs into effective operation. But anyone who has followed housing legislation over the years should not be too surprised, because for years we have had this same funding problem on almost every new Federal housing aid program—unless we solved the

problem in advance, as we used to do in the Banking Committee, by providing what the late Jesse Wolcott always denounced as "back-door financing." Incidentally, I am sure many of you here were saddened, as I was, at Mr. Wolcott's death a few days ago.

SAVING OUR CENTRAL CITIES

Whether we solve it by back-door financing, front-door financing, direct appropriations, debentures, stock offerings, or by corporation capital aided by government loans or grants or tax write-offs or however, the biggest and most urgent housing problem we have in this country is in our central core areas of our major cities, and the more we do to try to solve it, the more serious the problem seems to become from a social and economic standpoint. I know that the savings and loan business, collectively and individually, is deeply aware of this problem and is already doing a good job in some areas of financing low-cost home ownership and rehabilitating older neighborhoods. The formation nearly a year ago of your Special Joint Committee on Urban Problems is an excellent example of your organization's commitment to this problem, and of its effort to provide leadership to its member organizations.

I have seen the publication "Urban Fi-nancing Guide" and I am truly impressed. I think it is one of the best compilations of the opportunities available to the home financing industry in taking advantage of the 1968 Housing Law and of the previous housing laws, including the 1966 Act which contained the Model Cities program. This publication is a very good start, and I was pleased to see that in your descriptions of the programs being undertaken in a number of our cities, the work cone in St. Louis receives special attention. We have a very good and forwardlooking savings and loan industry in my city and I have always been delighted to work with the leaders of the savings and loans in St. Louis and they in turn have been very helpful to me. Without the help of Mr Michael A. Burdzy of the Pulaski Savings and Loan, the St. Louis Bicentennial Civic Improvement Corporation would never have gotten off the ground—the whole program, of which all of us in St Louis are so proud, could never even have gotten started. This is the program, as I think most of you know, which led to the enactment of Section 221(H) in the 1966 Housing Act, and which then led to the much more liberalized mortgage rate subsidy program for home ownership for lowincome families in the 1968 Act. There is good capsule description of the origin of this program in your publication "Urban Finano-ing Guide", as there is also of the work of the St. Louis Service Corporation organized by the Greater St. Louis Savings and Loan

EACH SAVINGS AND LOAN MUST SHARE THE BURDEN

All in all, you have an excellent base in this organization, and in your industry, on which to build and expand your role as leaders in the field of rehabilitation of housing in our major cities. I know it is not going to be an easy task. No one segment of the housing and financing industry can solve this problem by itself. There are all sorts of nagging and serious aspects of this problem which will confront you every step of the way. I realize that. It was rather easy for President Johnson—and if anybody had a right to do it, I suppose he did—but it was rather easy for him to set a target goal in terms of the number of housing units which we are going to build in any one year, and it is also a rather easy thing for the insurance industry to set up a dollar-target as to how much it intends to invest in this area of ghetto and central city housing improvement. But, I think, for an industry such as yours, where you are regulated by the Fed-

eral Government in all of your activities, including the dividend rate that you can pay on your share accounts and the reserves you must maintain and so on, you have to translate national goals into terms of specific goals for individual savings and loan associations. The target has to be one which is meaningful to the Board of Directors of the 'X' Federal Savings and Loan, or the 'Y' Federal Savings and Loan, or the 'Y' Federal Savings and Loan, and be based on some sort of fairness doctrine which is general in the industry.

WHAT IS A FAIR SHARE OF THE BURDEN?

Whenever a charitable organization sets out to raise a certain amount of money and establishes a goal, and then says to its potential contributors "Give whatever you feel you can," a lot of people give much less than their fair share because they have no way of judging what their fair share is of the projected goal. On the other hand, when we are running for election to Congress, or to other office, our Party organizations have pretty good idea of what a candidate's "fair share" is of the Party's campaign war chest, and we always have to come through with our share in order to be included in the Party's campaign literature or advertising of any kind. If each savings and loan which belongs to the United States Savings and Loan League had to figure out for itself what it thought it could afford, or wished, to pay in dues to the League, I am sure least some of the member-associations would pay far less than they should.

What I am leading up to is, that in this mammoth housing challenge which faces the American people—and particularly in our low-income areas in our cities—the savings and loan industry, as an industry, has to provide not only much of the funds, but a lot more of the leadership. No one savings and loan association can afford to undertake, by itself, the major burden of rehabilitating the slum areas of any city. But if all of the savings and loans in a particular area-all of those belonging to your Association, say were to figure out how much of their total resources could be devoted to the central city housing problem, and set a guideline to which all of the associations were committed, I think you could undertake a larger share of this challenge without risk to your own financial structure or to your shareholders.

LOTS OF REASONS WHY YOU CANNOT HELP SOLVE THE PROBLEM

I mentioned the outstanding assistance provided by the Pulaski Savings and Loan Association in St. Louis in financing the first efforts of the organization which later became known as the St. Louis Bicentennial Civic Improvement Corporation. But since a single savings and loan could only do so much, a point was soon reached where it could notit dared not-invest a higher percentage of its resources in this kind of effort. Help from other sources was also needed. That is why I say that if you really want to help in this program-as I know you do-then each savings and loan has to have a "fair-share" obligation laid out for it. Perhaps it could be 5% of its total portfolio, or 10% or 20% of new financing. Maybe some other figure would be better. But all that the Board of Directors and the members of a savings and loan would have to do in order to see if their own association was meeting its obligations in this field, would be to compare its efforts to the percentage objectives set by the U.S. League, or by State or local leagues.

I have heard many reasons from officials of savings and loans why their associations cannot undertake the burden of financing home ownership for low-income families in the poor areas of their cities which so desperately need the stabilizing influence of home

ownership. For a while, it was the insurance problem—but I think we have solved that, are on the way to solving it, as a result of the passage of the 1968 Act. Then, of course, there is the lack of family stability, or the lack of income stability, or the other social problems of the slum areas which might jeopardize the investment. This is the problem which the St. Louis Bicentennial Civic Improvement Corporation has been remarkably effective to the contract of the stable of the stable

bly effective in dealing with.

It has worked with the families which are participating in the home ownership experiment in St. Louis and it has taught them how to care for their homes, and how to budget their limited incomes so they can meet their obligations each month in paying off their mortgages; it has helped to instill pride in these people and civic and community consciousness. The greatest boast made to me by the leaders of the St. Louis Bicen-tennial Civic Improvement Corporation was that not a single member of any family participating in the program had gone to jail. They were so proud of this fact because many of the families participating in this program had previously experienced the periodic absence of a member of the family while serv-ing a jail term. This kind of caring about a borrowers ability to cope with the complex problems he faces as a citizen and as a homeowner is a challenge to you. If you do expand your loan program into low-income areas, you have to become involved with the family.

THE GOVERNMENT REGULATORY AGENCY MUST ALSO RECOGNIZE THE PROBLEM

savings and loan association just doesn't want to get into this area of home ownership financing for low-income families in the central city areas, it can easily find a million reasons not to do so. But even those associations which do want to make greater contribution to their communities by helping to rehabilitate and restore the deteriorating areas of their cities have real problems in doing so, and I think these problems should be recognized by all concerned, including the regulatory agency, the Federal Home Loan Bank Board. As I understand it, there is absolutely no special recognition given by the Board to this category of loan. The Board will make allowances for occasional delinquency in FHA-VA loans but it is my understanding that there is a real problem, from a reserves standpoint, growing out of the occasional delinquency or slow-pay status of conventional loans, even when they involve low-income families whose home financing the savings and loan has undertaken more out of the spirit of community service than of "business as usual." Many of the special programs contained in the 1968 Act for the rehabilitation of housing for low-income families, and for the sale of housing to low-income families. income families, do involve FHA insurance and special subsidies, so presumably these would qualify for the less stringent regulatory restrictions the Board now maintains for FHA loans, compared to conventional loans. But I would urge the Board to examine into the possibility of according somewhat more relaxed regulatory surveillance over the conventional loan made to a family which is buying a home say in the \$10,000 range. Is there any reason this cannot be done-if this type of loan represents only a small percentage of total assets?

THE RISK IS GREATER THAN FINANCIAL

I realize, of course, that the Federal Home Loan Bank Board is obliged and required to maintain the highest standards of business practice and depositor safety and public confidence in the savings and loans, but I do not think that the savings and loan insurance system, or the industry itself, would topple if there were allowances made for the fact that certain types of loans which we are anxious to have the savings and loans un-

dertake for social reasons—for the good of the whole community—are not necessarily gilt-edged, top-drawer investments. Sure, they are a bit riskier than loans made to middle-income, educated families out in the suburbs. But if we can't save our cities if we cannot restore stability and a sense of ownership participation on the part of the people who live in our cities—the suburbs will eventually be satellites of urban volcanoes spewing ashes and destruction over the entire metropolitian area.

Through urban renewal we have been trying since 1949 to make the central city areas more attractive to higher-income families, and we have built many high-rise luxury apartment houses and provided excellent designs for modern living in the downtown Undoubtedly this replacement of urban blight with modern delights will continue. But the poor people who are dis-placed by the luxury high-rises can't very well go out to the suburbs to take over the housing left behind by the family which moved to the city. We have been considering all sorts of ideas and proposals for rehousing the unhoused, and we have many programs for that, but when you come right down to it, the suburban areas form a solid ring around the cities and say "You keep those people in there—we don't want them out here." I am afraid we have come to the point in our society when the words "low-income" and "undesirable" seem to be synonymous. It certainly wasn't always that way, but it is now.

NEED AREAWIDE COUNCILS OF ALL GROUPS CONCERNED IN HOUSING

I have been urging you gentlemen to take the lead in your associations to involve all of the savings and loans more deeply in the problems of home financing for the low-income families now living in the cities. But you do not go out and plan housing developments. You do not do the contracting. You do not handle the problems of zoning and building codes and all the other things which go hand in hand with the solution of our housing problems. Yet your business is a decisive factor in the solution of housing problems. If you don't finance the housing, the chances are it is not going to get financed.

The savings and loan industry includes in its leadership men with a wide range of skills and interests and abilities. You are respected in your communities; you represent thousands of members of your associations who are the thrifty, home-buying, savings-oriented members of the community. I therefore think that the savings and loan industry could take the lead in your metropolitan areas in organizing committees and councils of all of the people involved in housing—the banks, the builders, the engineers and architects, the public officials, including the planners and those who write the zoning ordinances and who determine the geographic development of a community-organize them into a task force to solve housing problems on a communitywide basis, an area-wide basis, not just inside the city alone. It can't be done just in the city alone.

If you people don't do it, I am afraid no one is doing to do it. Our municipalities are compartmentalized and unable to reach across their borders or to take positions which involve any really effective metropolitan planning or cooperation. But you people can do it. Your interests cross city lines and county lines. I would like to see you try.

AD HOC SUBCOMMITTEE INVESTIGATION OF SPECULATION IN SLUM HOUSING

And now I would like to touch on several other matters in which I know you are deeply interested—matters involving the Committee on Banking and Currency and which

also involve your industry. One is the proposed investigation by an Ad Hoc Subcommittee of the Banking and Currency Committee into the kind of practices in ghetto area mortgage financing by some savings and loans in the Washington area, uncovered in a series of articles in the Washington Post. As you may know, Chairman Patman has asked me to be the Chairman of such an investigation and I have had the Home Loan Bank Board and the Federal Reserve and the Comptroller of the Currency and the FDIC all working on reports to me on the information they have about the prevalence of the kind of thing the Washington Post described. In case you are not familiar with that series of articles, it documented numerous instances in which certain savings and loans here in Washington recklessly financed big speculators in slum housing, extending loans at what appeared to be vast ly inflated values, and the speculators in turn then disposed of the properties to low-income families whom they saddled with two and three mortgages on which the buyers could not afford the monthly payments.

What I am concerned about, and I am sure you are, too, is that under the law relating to savings and loans, and under the regulations of the Board and the examination procedures which are carried out by the Board, practices of this kind can be prevented. I think the authority we provided in 1966 now gives the Federal Home Loan Bank Board all of the power it needs to issue cease and desist orders when it uncovers abuses of this kind, but we want to make sure that the law is adequate and that the regulations are adequate and that the examination into the individual association's actions is thorough enough.

The savings and loan industry is too vital an industry to the well-being of the American people to permit a small but unprincipled element within the industry—if this element is still operating—to undermine public confidence in the solidity and the integrity and the decency of this great industry. We cannot tolerate that.

Regardless of what you might have heard or might have read about the plans for this investigation-and they are still only tentative because the Committee on Banking and Currency has not as yet authorized this investigation, nor have the members of the Ad Hoc Committee been appointed to it,but regardless of what you might have heard or read about plans for this investigation, I can assure you that, if I conduct it, I will do my best to do a constructive job of investigation to uncover faults in the law or in the regulations which need correction rather than to seek out morning and afternoon headlines by finding that some fellow, two or three years ago, misused his power as an executive of a savings and loan to conspire with shady characters in violation of his trust and of the law as well. I am a firm believer in the punishment of wrongdoers, but I do not believe a Congressional Committee investigation is a Grand Jury. Our utlimate to write necessary legislation-the right kind of legislation. That will be the purpose of any investigation I undertake into the operations of any savings and loans in financing big speculators in ghetto real

REGULATIONS UNDER TRUTH-IN-LENDING ACT

A final note on another subject of close interest to this audience—the proposed regulations of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System for the implementation of the Truth-in-Lending Act. I understand that there is some unhappiness in the savings and loan industry over the first draft of those regulations, which treated real estate mortgages in exactly the same way as any other type of consumer credit trans-

action. As you know, I was under strong pres-sure at the time the Consumer Credit Protection Act was drafted and introduced, then during our hearings and the committee deliberations afterwards, to exempt all first mortgages from the bill. This I refused to even though the Senate had done so. I think the hearings I conducted in the Subcommittee on Consumer Affairs established clearly that a first mortgage is not necessarily a good mortgage just because it's a first mortgage. However, there was agreement in Conference that purchase-money first mortgages should not be subject to all of restrictions and requirements of the Truth-in-Lending bill as it applied to small loans, and revolving credit, and to installment purchases, and modernization loans, or refinancing. So we did make special provisions in the bill for purchase-money first mortgages. To the largest extent possible we allowed for the customary closing costs. And we recognized that the mortgage executed at the time one purchases a home generally provides most, if not all, of the information which the Truth-in-Lending Act is intended to require. Like you, however, I am still awaiting the final version of the Federal Re-Truth-in-Lending regulations, so serve's cannot tell you how they will look. But I can say that it is my intention, once the regulations are issued in final form by the Board, to arrange for hearings on the regulations by my Subcommittee on Consumer Affairs. If there are any serious technical problems for any industry in connection with those regulations, I think we want to have them all ironed out before the law takes effect on July 1.

I do not promise that I will take your side in any controversy with the Board over any specific regulation applying to real estate mortgages, but I do promise to provide an objective forum in which any technical problems can be reviewed in the light of what we are trying to accomplish in the law, and be reviewed by those who wrote the law.

If there are any questions about what Congress intended in any provision in this law, I think we are the people who would know that. So probably we can be helpful both to the Board and to the various industry groups which would be affected by the Regulations, and also—and of course this is paramount—to the consumers who have the greatest stake of all in this issue.

And now let me conclude by thanking you for inviting me and for listening to me with such courtesy. I have enjoyed our many associations over the years and I look forward to working with you in the 91st Congress. Thank you.

CHARLES W. YOST, U.N. AMBASSADOR

HON, JOHN R. RARICK

OF LOUISIANA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, February 3, 1969

Mr. RARICK. Mr. Speaker, since the hearings on Mr. Yost for confirmation as ambassador to the United Nations may not be published, I include a letter by Mr. Ginzburg to various newspapers and the testimony of Mr. Benjamin Ginzburg before the Foreign Relations Committee in the Record:

ARLINGTON, VA., January 26, 1969.

Re: Charles W. Yost to the U.N. Sm: On January 21, the Senate Foreign Relations Committee, held hearings on the nomination of Charles W. Yost as Ambassa-

CXV-156-Part 2

dor to the UN. The Associated Press Report on these hearings gave the mistaken impression that there was no opposition to Mr. Yost. The fact is that two witnesses testified against him. I was one of them.

I pointed out that Mr. Yost had published some strange views about foreign policy and national security. The reason these views have not aroused a storm of controversy is because the public has not been informed of them.

For example, Mr. Yost has said that the quest for military security is the cause of our insecurity. He believes we should abandon the effort to retain military superiority over our potential enemies. He does not think our security is threatened as much by computed as a proper or the security is threatened as much by computed as accression, as by nuclear arms are

munist aggression as by nuclear arms race. He has a great deal of difficulty in recognizing communist aggression when he sees it. He has publicly described the Soviet invasion of Czechoslovakia as 'an internally defensive rather than an externally aggresive action'. He would appear to regard Czechoslovakia as an integral part of the Soviet empire, not an independent, sovereign state. Not even the Kremlin has dared go that far.

Mr. Yost also advocates dropping our opposition to seating Red China in the UN. He apparently disagrees with this statement by Adial Stevenson in this issue: "The government of Pelping is not peace-loving: It does not concur in the obligations the charter imposes; and it is clearly neither able nor

willing to carry them out."

On all of these issues, Yost is diametrically opposed to President Nixon, President Nixon has said that it is our nuclear superiority that has kept the peace. He believes that we must maintain arms superiority in order to deter aggression. He denounced the invasion of Czechoslovakia as an "outrage against the conscience of the world" that proved again and again that weakness is an invitation to aggression. He has opposed the admission of Red China to the UN, saying that it would only encourage the hard-liners in Red China and discourage the non-communists all over Free Asia.

How can Amb. Yost speak for President Nixon? At best, he will be an insincere advocate of the American position. At worst, he may undermine it. I regret that members of the committee did nothing to expose this contradiction in the views of Mr. Yost and the President in the Foreign Relations

Committee hearings. Sincerely yours,

BENJAMIN GINZBURG.

STATEMENT OF BENJAMIN GINZSURG BEFORE THE FOREIGN RELATIONS COMMITTEE OF THE U.S. SENATE, WITH REGARD TO THE NOMINA-NATION OF CHARLES W. YOST TO SERVE AS AMBASSADOR TO THE UNITED NATIONS, JAN-UARY 21, 1969

Mr. Chairman, I have come to you as a private citizen to urge your distinguished committee not to recommend the confirmation of Charles W. Yost as Ambassador to the United Nations until you have read, digested and are willing to subscribe to Mr. Yost's published views on national security and foreign policy. Since the members of the committee are endowed with moral common sense, I am confident that you will not knowingly give your approval to Mr. Yost's views by voting to confirm him for the important post to which he has been nominated.

I realize that I am asking you to go counter to the judgment of our new President, who nominated Mr. Yost. But I am convinced that Mr. Yost's nomination resulted from a slip-up in staff work. Some-body made a judgment on the basts of external appearances without inquiring into the substance of Mr. Yost's views. Thus Mr. Yost has had a long career at the State Department; he has just published a book under

the sponsorship of the Council on Foreign Relations—the organization that publishes the distinguished periodical, Foreign Affairs; and on top of all that he served as foreign policy adviser to Vice President Humphrey in his presidential campaign. All these things seemed to make Mr. Yost an ideal choice for a position for which President Nixon had decided to select a Democrat.

But anybody who reads, as I have done, Mr. Yost's statement on foreign policy which he presented to the Democratic Party platform writers (It was inserted in the Congressional Recons of Sept. 10, 1968 by Congressman Jonathan Bingham of New York) and then reads the book, the Insecurity of Nations, which endeavors to provide a philosophic basis for Mr. Yost's views, will see at once that a great blunder has been made. President Nixon has nominated a dreamer who rejects all realism in foreign policy.

Thus President Nixon constantly emphasized during his campaign that in negotiating with Russia it was necessary to negotiate from a position of strength. To Mr. Yost this is nonsense. He believes that the quest for national strength, for military security, is the cause of all our troubles. It is the quest of each nation for national security that creates the mounting insecurity of nations. That is the theme and title of his book. He insists that there can be no real security for any nation without security for all nations, and that security for all nations requires international organization and international control and limitation of national amaments.

It may be objected that these eccentric views of Mr. Yost will not do our country any harm since as Ambassador to the United Nations he will not be called upon to make United States polley but rather to expound

the policy decisions made by others.

But it is elementary wisdom that in selecting a spokesman for a policy it is best to pick a man who believes in the policy. An insincere advocate is bound to be an ineffective advocate.

I want to point out, however, that much more is involved here than the question of Mr. Yost's fitness to represent the United States at the United Nations, Mr. Yost is not an isolated figure. He represents a line of doctrinaire thought which is strongly intenented in the State Department and in other sections of our government. Thus if you vote to approve Mr. Yost's nomination as Ambassador, you will be simultaneously voting to approve a line of doctrine which has greatly handicapped the defense of American interests and the protection of the Western way of life.

On the other hand, if you vote to disapprove Mr. Yost's nomination, you will be doing more than to block an unfit appointment—you will be attacking a dangerous delusion.

The delusion is the belief than mankind has now reached the international or world order stage of human behavior. Or, as Mr. Yost puts it, that the time has come to break away from the "nation-state" and "its fatal charisma." This belief has arisen because weak-minded people have taken too literally the glowing rhetoric which accompanied the creation and development of the United Nations. Under the influence of this belief, doctrinaires posing as experts have contended that national policy decisions should be di-rected towards strengthening international controls and reaching out for a complete system of world government or world law. They think that nations should behave towards one another in accordance with the same tenets of morality which are preached regarding the conduct of individuals in a national community-that is to say, that they should be brotherly and generous to the point of turning the other cheek, and that above all they should abide by the arbitrament of law when disputes break out.

It would be nice if the nations were able to act that way. But unfortunately we are not yet living in what Jews call the Messiatime of the world and what Christians call the era of the Second Coming. We are living in an everyday world in which national govme at everyacy world in which hashes gover-ernments provide shelter and security for their peoples' way of life. Even if we were not confronted with the ruthless and in-human drive of communist imperialism— that is to say, even if the world of nations comprised only peoples adhering broadly to the Western way of the we would still have the Western way of life—we would still have to go quite slowly in abandoning national interests in favor or as yet uncrystallized in-ternational interests. But given the existence of communist imperialism, it is positively immoral to make deals with Russia or China which mitigate against the protection of our way of life. It is just as immoral as to preach the beauties of pacifism and non-resistance to evil to a man who is being attacked by an armed robber. Instead of recognizing today's realities, people like Mr. Yost are so be-witched by the dream of internationalism that they are constantly minimizing and excusing the brutal egoism of Soviet actions while attacking American actions as being insufficiently generous and trusting. They are compelled to do so because in no other way can they sustain the illusion of mankind progressing in our time to a order

Thus in surveying U.S.-Soviet relations after World War II, Mr. Yost puts the onus of blame for the part of the Cold War on the American abandonment of economic ald to Russia "for fear it would strengthen a rival." In other words, we should have been more trusting to Stalin. We should have overlooked the vices of his character, even as Mr. Yost overlooks them when he praises Stalin as "a great leader" who led Russia "successfully through the most terrible war to the most brilliant triumph of her history." (Nothing is said of Stalin's role in unleashing World War II through his pact with Hitler nor of the crucial part played by American lend-lease in saving Russia from destruction).

When he discusses United States dealings with Khrushchev, Mr. Yost again harps on our lack of trust and generosity. "It is not suggested," he writes, "that the West could or should have surrendered Berlin or any other essential Western position. It is suggested that a more flexible, imaginative and conciliatory tactic during 1959 and early 1960, including cheerful and constructive participation in a summit meeting, including dignified but ungrudging cordiality toward timself, might have borne fruit in some partial accommodations at the summit, have preserved much longer a decent semblance of detent, and possibly have avoided the two fearsome confrontations" over Berlin and Cuba.

So anxious is Mr. Yost to believe in progress towards internationalism that he is ready to settle for appearances of progress as sufficient for this purpose, and attacks American leaders for demanding substance rather than semblance of progress. In an amazing passage reminiscent of the doctrines of the French psychiatrist Coue, Mr. Yost tells us that "if tension seems to have relaxed, it has relaxed, and the new psychological climate arising therefrom makes new departures pos sible, whether or not other objective conditions have changed." He adds that this is the reason why highlevel or summit negotiations "are almost always desirable, whenever they are possible, precisely because the high level of the participants puts a premium on at least partial success." This was written—or at least published-after the Kosygin-Johnson summit at Glassboro and its moonshine of "the spirit of Glassboro," Presumably Mr. Yost would attribute the collapse of the spirit of Glassboro to the failure on our part to follow up the summit with sufficient giveaways of American positions.

Not only is Mr. Yost satisfied to accept a seeming relaxation of tension as equivalent to the real thing, but he is ready to convert all problems, all conflicts of interest, into states of mind. Thus he suggests in a rhetorical question that today's East-West confrontation is "a reflection not so much of clashing interests as of clashing and outdated states of mind." In his summary presentation to the Democratic Party platform writers, he declares categorically that "the chief threat to international and U.S. security is not, at least in the near future, communist aggression but (a) the costly, dangerous and needless nuclear arms race and (b) uncontrolled turbulence in Asia, Africa and Latin America sucking in and contraposing great powers."

What about the threat of war created by the Soviet invasion of Czechoslovakia?—the man in the street may ask. Is that, too, a fiction created by our own state of mind? Yes, indeed, says Mr. Yost. The invasion of Czechoslovakia though brutal, was "an internally defensive rather than an externally aggressive action." For this reason Mr. Yost recommends that the pursuit of detents should not be abandoned but resumed and that we can look forward to the time when "U.S. troops in the NATO area can and should be gradu-

ally reduced."

In vain will the realist point out that as a result of the invasion of Czechoslovakia Soviet troops, for the first time since World War II, are poised on the borders of West Germany, and that the excuse of internal defense has been the standard basis used by both Czarist Russia and the Soviet empire for launching a step-by-step aggressive expansion. Mr. Yost will not hear of these things since he is out to prove that only mental, not real obstacles, stand in the way of achieving and international world order.

The constant attempt to reassure us, by any and all arguments, that there is no threat to the free world from communism makes Mr. Yost's book read at times like a political satire. Thus Mr. Yost tells us, with a straight face, that since the faith of communism has "mostly material goals, its zeal tends to be subdued as these are approached." The last time we heard this type of argument was when Hitler and his applogists told us that the Nazi appetite for "living space" was in process of being appeased, and that all the Nazis wanted was this piece of terri-

tory and no more!

Not satisfied with assuring us that the zeal of the communists is being abated, Mr. Yost trots out the hoary argument that the communists and the men of the free world are coming more and more to resemble each other. He puts a scientific gloss on the argument by claiming that the technological processes which we and they use "are causing the various systems more and more to converge and conform," so that "capitalism is tending to become more 'collectivist', communism more 'individualist', and the differences between them more political than structural." Thank God, one may say, that he has left some minor differenecs between the communist regimes and our own. Otherwise we would be really stuck in explaining why the communist rulers have to build Berlin walls and barbed wire fences and station soldiers with machine guns in order to prevent their ungrateful subjects from escaping from the communist paradises.

At any rate for Mr. Yost the international prospect is objectively quite beautiful. The only flaw on the horizon is the addiction of the free world and the Communists to modern nuclear weapons. Both systems," he tells us, "have become so caught up in the momentum of weapons-making, in the 'military-industrial complex', that many of their managers share an unhealthy vested interest

in the continuation of international confrontation and insecurity." Mr. Yost concludes therefore that we shall have to control and eventually limit "these preposterous and secitious arms."

How is this to be accomplished? By turning an intellectual switch which will transmute the activity of weapons-making into the activity of weapons-controlling. "Once people are persuaded generally that these weapons are not a protection but a plague—a plague like malaria, canner, pollution, overpopulation and venereal disease—then the same ingenuity and resources that cured or are curing those plagues, indeed the same ingenuity and resources that created these weapons, can no doubt be as successfully employed to control, reduce, and eventually

do away with them."

What a simple solution for the problem of nuclear weapons! Set up a new Manhattan project to put the genle back into the bottle by devising a scientific scheme for international non-use of these weapons on the theory that they weaken rather than strengthen a nation's security. Unfortunately, while the Russians are quite ready to have us abandon nuclear weapons on the sophistical ground that they weaken our security, they themselves have persistently glung to the belief that weapons are weapons and not crazy things invented when we have nothing to fight about. Thus Mr. Yost's solution, for all its international dress, amounts to an argument for unilateral disarmament on an argument for unilateral disarmament and for rendering our country naken in the face of the enemy.

It is part of the sickness of our time that many Americans are seduced by the dream plotures which Mr. Yost and others draw about the world. They portray a world in which men have no values to defend but confront one another and fight because they have invented arms which need to be

exercised.

But is this the world we live in? We live in a world of flesh and blood, sin and moral struggle. We live in a world where we have to defend our moral ideals, our civilization, against an upsurge of evil forces, against lunatics like Hitler, Mussolini, and Stalin. While the invention of nuclear weapons has heightened the ordeal of human life, it has by no means abolished the necessity of dending moral values. Just as we cannot yield to nuclear blackmail by the Communists—such as Khrushchev attempted when he planted Soviet missiles 90 miles from our shore—so we cannot yield to the nuclear shell game preached by the pacifists and internationalists, who would have us surrender our values in exchange for life in a world government animal farm run by our enemies.

Mr. Chairman, I repeat that much more is involved than the appointment of Mr. Yost as Ambassador to the United Nations. If Mr. Yost's nomination is confirmed, even though with the understanding that he is not to make policy, a great boost will be given to the stutifying doctrines advocated by his school of thought. I therefore pray that you recommend against his nomination, and that President Nixon be persuaded to withdraw his nomination without bringing it to a vote

in the Senate.

INFLATION

HON. WILBUR D. MILLS

OF ARKANSAS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, February 3, 1969

Mr. MILLS. Mr. Speaker, under leave to extend my remarks in the RECORD, I

include the following address delivered by Dr. George S. Benson, president emeritus of Harding College, to the Kiwanis Club, Searcy, Ark., on January 9, 1969.

INFLATION

(An address by Dr. George S. Benson, president emeritus of Harding College, to the Kiwanis Club, Searcy, Ark., January 9, 1969)

In talking with top officials in major national corporations, in talking with leading government officials and from reading leading magazines, I find they now very generally rate infiation as the leading problem facing the nation, First, let me attempt the difficult task of defining infiation. To put it simply, infiation is a situation in which purchasing power is increasing faster than desirable goods to be purchased are increasing. For example, suppose in Searcy all salarles, wages, relief payments and all other sources of income should increase at the rate of 7% a year for five years, making a total increase of 35%, while at the same time incoming goods to be purchased increased at only 2% a year, making a total of 10% in five years. This would definitely cause the prices of goods to go up.

This is actually, in general, what is happening to the entire nation at this time. There are numerous pressures contributing to the increase of purchasing power at a faster rate than destrable goods are becoming available for purchase. In fact, anything that increases the flow of money without increasing the flow of goods correspondingly

is inflationary.

An easy example to understand is the way the wages keep going up faster than productivity. Personally, I am very much interested in employees. They constitute a very big segment of our American society and a very important segment. I would like to see wages go up and the standard of living for working people go up, just as fast as it can be sustained without tending to kill the

goose that lays the golden eggs.

But wages at the present time are climbing at what is often estimated at about 8, whereas productivity is increasing at about 2% a year. This means that the labor which is producing goods is being paid 8% more for producing goods but is only producing 2% more goods. The steel industry is a fair example. It is estimated that the new wage package in steel is equivalent to about 8% increase per year for the duration of the contract but the rate at which productivity is increasing in the steel industry is approximately 2%. When you apply this to the automobile industry and all other industries, then it means that the wages which create purchasing power were increasing about four times as fast as the goods being produced as a result of those wages.

President Johnson recognized this danger and several years ago set a guideline urging that wages not go up faster than 3.2% but the guideline has ceased to be followed and now wages are going up at two and one-half times the rate of guideline recommendation. Productivity, on the contrary, is going up scarcely as fast as was projected when the guideline was set. All of this definitely creates an inflationary situation. It is estimated that the purchasing power of the American dollar during 1963 alone lost about 4.6% of its power. A savings account created in 1940 or a government bond purchased in 1940 and sold on today's market would purchase only 40% of its 1940 purchasing power. That's an indication of what inflation does to pensions, annutties, and all other fixed dollar incomes.

Another inflationary pressure results from big government spending and extended gov-

ernment credits, all of which is partially financed by new money printed by the Treasury Department. New printed money increases purchasing power but does not increase the goods to be purchased and therefore, is distinctly inflationary. Private borrowing tends to do the same thing. For in-stance, if I borrow from the bank in Searcy \$1000, I have increased my purchasing power by \$1000 but I haven't increased the goods to be purchased at all. The borrowings of the federal government, the state go ments, the cities and municipalities, governand private individuals in America runs far beyond \$1 trillion

A third inflationary pressure comes from the rapid increase of crime and lawlessn Many businesses which were burned out in the big fires in certain major cities have indicated no desire to build back. Many other business men who had thought of expanding their businesses and increasing the production of goods, on the contrary are delay-ing action because of the fear of crime and lawlessness. They put their money out on interest instead of investing it to produce goods. This creates additional inflationary pressures and also pushes up the inflated

prices of common stocks.

When we combine the fear of rapidly rising wages which some people think will go the 10% level by the end of 1969 when we recognize the encouragement this gives to foreign competition in America and accordingly, the squeeze that may be expected on profits, a squeeze made still more serious by rising taxes; and when we recognize the tremendous pressure from big government spending and when we recognize the fears from crime and lawlessness, we can see much to restrain American capital from investing in the production of goods, all of which tends to increase purchasing power faster than desirable goods are being produced.

This can be very, very serious for America's future. It is high time the entire American public take note of these serious problems

and move for their correction.

America one time was 85% agricultural. Today, only 6% of the American people live on farms and less than 6% of the national income is from agriculture. We are an industrial nation. Our employers and the 70 million employees are the very backbone of the nation. This is the only country in the world where an employee on hourly wages can look forward to owning a home, an automobile, a radio, a TV, and sending his son to college. Employees fare better here than anywhere else in the world. Personally, I'd like to see these superior wages that make possible superior living conditions long prevail in our country but if the inflationary pressures mentioned above are long continued, these good jobs will be destroyed and America will be reduced to mediocrity.

As the results of these problems are be coming better and better recognized, I think we may feel assured that attempts will be made for a reasonable solution to the imbalance between wages increases and productivity, for reductions in extensive govern-ment spending, and for reduction of crime

Whether these efforts for improvement can succeed or not will depend upon the general atmosphere in the nation. If we can create a general atmosphere in which these problems are properly recognized and in which solutions are really desired, then improvements will come. If, on the contrary, the general public has no realization of the problems and consequently, the problems continue to grow instead of being solved, then the efforts for improvement will fail and America will go on moving in the same direction in all three of these areas, which will be very, very detrimental.

Therefore, I appeal to the American public

to encourage an atmosphere favorable to the adoption of some sound method for obtaining a better balance in the industrial area. for properly reducing big government spending, and for effectively curbing lawlessne and crime in America. The final decisions will be determined at the bar of public opinion in this great democratic republic. But remember that sound money is essential to the long range well-being of any nation. Inflation must not be allowed to wreck our finanstructure, and with it our industrial structure.

DEALERS IN PORNOGRAPHY

HON. THOMAS L. ASHLEY

OF OTITO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Monday, February 3, 1969

Mr. ASHLEY. Mr. Speaker, today have introduced legislation which would provide postal authorities with decisive powers to crack down on dealers in pornography who increasingly are using the U.S. mails as a pipeline for the unconscionable flow of smut and obscenity

Last year alone the Post Office Department received over 165,000 formal complaints from recipients of unsolicited offensive mailings and I am advised that the volume of complaints is growing each

month.

The bill that I have introduced is aimed squarely at the odious creatures in our society who deal in pornography. First, the measure would prohibit mall-order sales of obscene materials to children of school age; second, it would make the unsolicited mailing of "hard core" pornography, or offers to sell 'hard core" pornography, to any family with children under the age of 16 a Federal crime punishable by fine and jail

Unlike previous Federal legislation designed to regulate the distribution of objectionable sexual materials, the measure being introduced today is specifically directed to the protection of children, It therefore does not attempt to employ the typical criteria of obscenity set forth by the Supreme Court Roth decision but instead sets forth with particularity the materials proscribed for mailing to children or families with children: namely. explicit portrayals of sexual intercourse. sodomy, homosexuality and sadomasochistic abuse, with no artistic purpose other than appeal to prurient interests.

I want to emphasize that my bill does not seek to limit freedom of speech or expression in the adult world. It does, however, place responsibility for unsolicited offensive mailings to children and families on obscenity racketeers who obviously are little concerned by artistic values. By requiring smut merchants to determine whether their mailing lists include the names of families with schoolage children, traffic in obscenity-especially through the mails—will be substantially reduced and perhaps all but eliminated.

It is high time for Congress to take meaningful action of this kind.

HONOLULU YMCA MARKS ITS CEN-TENNIAL YEAR: CHARTING A COURSE FOR TODAY AND TOMOR-

HON. SPARK M. MATSUNAGA

OF HAWATT

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Monday, February 3, 1969

Mr. MATSUNAGA. Mr. Speaker, the Young Men's Christian Association is known the world over for its work in helping boys to achieve their maximum potential, physically and mentally, with a solid Christian foundation, as they grow into manhood. During the past 100 years, the YMCA of Honolulu, of which several years ago I was privileged to be a board member, has been involved in this outstanding and laudatory endeavor. While its main concern in the past has been the development of the spirit, mind and body of Island youngsters who sought to avail themselves of its facilities, the Honolulu YMCA, according to Henry B. Clarke, Jr., its president, has now pledged to "go out to seek those who need its services."

Projecting an exciting new role for the Y, Mr. Clarke in his centennial message promises that the Honolulu YMCA workers in the future will become "mobile

vendors of a Yidea."

The work of the YMCA in Honolulu began 100 years ago when 10 men signed the rolls of the first meeting. The work then consisted of prayer meetings, helping with Sunday schools and the maintenance of a reading room in the Seaman's Home in Honolulu. The association later came to the aid of immigrant Chinese laborers who arrived in Hawaii in large numbers. It will not until 1883, however, that the Honolulu association was able to erect its first permanent two-story brick building. Later, after a gymnasium was added, classes were held and work with boys was undertaken. Since those early meager years the YMCA in Honolulu has grown to the point where it was necessary to establish branches in the outlying areas.

On April 30, 1969, the Honolulu YMCA will observe its first 100 years of progress. Since those simple beginnings in 1869, the Honolulu association has continually kept pace with the increasing needs of the Island community. As a former member of the board of governors of the Kaimuki branch, YMCA, I commend all who have played a part in the growth of the Honolulu YMCA.

Mr. Speaker, my colleagues and other readers of the Congressional Record will find of interest, I am sure, the editorial on the Honolulu YMCA centennial which appeared in the January 24, 1969 edition of the Honolulu Star-Bulletin:

"Y" CENTENNIAL

A hundred years ago next April 30, the Honolulu YMCA came into being with 10 members. They had been called together by Peter Cushman Jones, Thomas Rain Walker and Sanford Ballard Dole who became the association's first president and was later to write history as Hawaii's chief executive in the turbulent years following the overthrow of the monarchy.

of the monarchy.

Around the triangle that is its symbol it built a program of physical, mental and spir-

itual development.

One of its earliest decisions was to prove of profound importance in setting the tone for Hawaii's human relations. It offered help to the Chinese who were coming to the Islands in large numbers, encouraging them to become a part of the total community.

become a part of the total community.

A little over half a century ago, the establishment of the Nuuanu YMCA advanced this program. Its mission was to carry on work in the native tongues of the older Japanese, Chinese and Korean generations as well as in English for their Hawaii-born children. Here as much as anywhere was rooted the strong tree of a fully integrated Hawaii. Indeed, Nuuanu Y leaders were prominently identified with the resolute stand Hawaii took when efforts were made to organize the first Lions club here. They insisted that the Lions open membership to all races. This was done and the Lions became the worldwide organization it now is.

Today, the YMCA is as alert to contemporary needs as it was 100 or 50 years ago. In the words of Henry B. Clark, Jr., president of the YMCA of Honolulu, the Y "is now eaught up in the continuing revolution of our time. Even as we attack our decaying environment, and encounter problems requiring vast concentrations of power to solve, we must also create new institutions and energize old ones like the Y.

"The basic need is human energy—the Liberation of inventive intelligent, flexible energy and its use to develop new processes to meet human need, Relevancy is the modern word and this may be what we are

Since the early 1950s, the Y has been working to bridge the "generation gap" with its father-and-son Y-Indian Guides program. It has leaped across the Pacific to share a student exchange program with Hiroshima in Japan. It provides a training ground for citizenship in its Model Legislature. It stimulates brotherhood through its world service program. It sends workers out of its buildings to bring help to "disadvantaged" youth in their own neighborhoods.

What of the future? Arthur J. Jackson, the metropolitan executive, puts it this way: "Our future, to be worthy of the past, cannot be envisioned in buildings, services, budgets, public image, or the numbers of people engaged in activities. It must be sought in more subtle fashion—in the quality of life for which we influence youth—and it has to be matched by knowledge of program, materials, and training toward concrete objectives—goals in terms of what we stand and work for."

The YMCA occupies an honored place in the social fabric of Hawaii. It has earned high rank and seems intent upon going even higher by keeping pace with the changing needs of a changing community.

PAY INCREASES

HON. TOM BEVILL

OF ALABAMA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, February 3, 1969

Mr. BEVILL. Mr. Speaker, I support the pending resolutions which would prohibit the pay increases of the members of the legislative, judicial, and executive branches of our Government. In my opinion, it is wrong to seek an office knowing the salary that it pays, and then, after election, using the power of the office to get one's own pay increased.

I feel that if any pay raise is made that it should not be effective during the term of office for which the Members of Congress have been elected.

Considering the economic condition of our Government at this time, I feel that the proposed pay raise is not to the best interest of our country.

Therefore, I urge that you support the resolutions which would prohibit the increase in salaries for the members of the U.S. Supreme Court, Members of Congress, and members of the executive branch of the Government.

A GRADUATE PROSECUTOR FEL-LOWSHIP PROGRAM PROPOSED BY PROFESSOR STARRS

HON. PAUL G. ROGERS

OF FLORIDA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, February 3, 1969

Mr. ROGERS of Florida. Mr. Speaker, the District of Columbia is experiencing a serious crime wave and many public and private citizens have rallied to the call and submitted suggested solutions to meet the problem now, and to prevent

its recurrence.

One such individual is Mr. James E. Starrs, professor of law, George Washington University, the National Law Center, who has proposed the establishment of a graduate prosecutor fellowship program to expand the expertise of those who must prosecute the criminal defendant.

I insert Professor Starrs' proposal at this point in the RECORD for the benefit of my colleagues:

A PROPOSAL OF THE GEORGE WASHINGTON UNI-VERSITY NATIONAL LAW CENTER FOR A GRADUATE PROSECUTOR FELLOWSHIP PRO-GRAM

(Presented by James E. Starrs, professor of law, George Washington University, the National Law Center)

1. BRIEF DESCRIPTION

It is proposed that the George Washington University National Law Center inaugurate a graduate course of training for persons interested in a career as public prosecutors. This training program shall involve a two year program involving evening attendance at classes at the National Law Center and daily assignment for in-service training to the office of the U.S. Attorney for the District of Columbia. Qualified persons shall, upon acceptance into this program, be designated Prosecutor Fellows and shall be awarded a stipend for tuition and expenses which shall be sufficient to enable them to devote their full-time efforts to the in-service and academic phases of the program.

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE OF THE PROGRAM

As early as 1931, the Wickersham Commission referred to the prosecutor as "the plvot on which the administration of criminal justice . . turns." (National Commission on Law Observance and Enforcement, Report on Prosecution 11). But the paucity of available programs for intensive training of persons who are interested in a career as public prosecutors, either on the state, local or federal level, has been glaringly apparent

in recent days. The Task Force Report on the Courts issued by the President's Commission on Law Enforcement and Administration of Justice reflects an awareness of the magnitude of this problem. In the words of that Report:

"It is clear that existing programs do not meet the need for prosecutor training techniques in the investigative, administrative, and broader law enforcement policy roles played by the prosecutor. These matters have not been seen as suitable subjects for the attention of law schools and the legal scholarly community... the problems posed are challenging, and their resolution should be the object of federal, local and profes-

sonal projects." (p. 74).

The number of existing, formalized law school-affiliated programs to train potential career prosecutors is indeed spare. Northwestern University has a short one-week course for those who are already prosecutors. Harvard Law School encourages its undergraduate law students to engage in service in the local prosecutor's offices under a unique Massachusetts rule allowing law students to practice as prosecutors in limited situations without formal bar admission. But there is not yet in existence any graduate law program designed for career prosecutors which will enable them to obtain on-the-job training in conjunction with regular attendance at law school classes, all of which will lead towards a master of laws degree. The proposed Prosecutor Fellowship Program is intended as one effort to remedy the inadequacies in the professional training of prosecutors which are described in the Task Force

Report of the U.S. Crime Commission.

The dearth of programs designed to train prosecutors is all the more disturbing in light of the development of numerous programs geared to train lawyers on the defense side of a criminal case or to prepare lawyers to undertake the representation of the indigent in divil and criminal litigations in general. This proposal in no way challenges the legitimacy or necessity of those programs. But if effective justice is to be achieved a balanced perspective must be sought so that both sides in any adversary proceeding are at their optimum with respect to competence and responsibility. As Mr. Justice Cardozo once put it:

"Justice, though due to the accused, is due to the accuser also. The concept of fairness must not be strained till it is narrowed to a filament. We are to keep the balance true. Snyder v. Massachusetts, 291 U.S. 97, 122 (1934)."

In short, justice will not be served by training defense counsel to the exclusion of prosecutor training programs. This proposal is intended to be a medium for keeping the balance true between prosecution and defense in any criminal proceeding.

The necessity for righting the imbalance

The necessity for righting the imbalance which presently exists in the training of prosecutors vis-a-vis defense attorneys was recently alluded to in the Report of the President's Commission on Grime in the District of Columbia (D.C. Crime Commission Report). That report states:

"The improved training for defense counsel through criminal law seminars, law school programs, and coordinated planning by the Legal Aid Agency offers a challenge to prosecution in the District of Columbia which must be met." (329) (emphasis supplied)

As an incidental matter, it is interesting to note that the District of Columbia now hosts one of the most seasoned and well-recognized training programs for defense counsel in the nation. Reference is made, of course, to the Georgetown University Frettyman Fellows. To have a Prosecutor Fellowship Program functioning in the same community might provide an ideal opportunity to evaluate the long-range impact of such training programs upon the operation of the criminal justice system.

It is, of course, an essential, although some what collateral, objective of this proposal to encourage prosecutors to engage in a continuing program of training in new developments of law, science and practice related to their functions. The implicit expectation is that the Prosecutor Fellows who complete this program's course of study will depart with a recognition of "the importance of su-perior training programs" (D.C. Crime Commission Report, p. 328) in the future on a parallel to that which they have just under-

This proposal is submitted, furthermore, in the recognition that the training of a skilled and competent prosecutor requires more than in-classroom time "book learning") but must involve simultaneous activities in service in the prosecutor's office. Indeed, these duties outside the classroom must be concrete and exacting effort to learn while on the job. And the work experience, we believe, should include exposure to police practices and procedures by tours of police precincts and the like, where feasible. The benefit in this suggestion is obvious. The policeprosecutor relation will be improved and strengthened by the increased insights each will gain of the operations and responsibilities of the other.

In addition, we hope to familiarize the Prosecutor Fellows with the services of the Federal Bureau of Investigation which are available to qualified State, county and municipal law enforcement agencies without charge. This feature of the program will include a tour of the F.B.I. facilities and an explanation of the functions of that agency and the ways in which the F.B.I. can be most useful to these fellows as career prosecutors in the future. We are fortunate in having ready access to the national offices of the F.B.I. in downtown Washington, D.C.

We will also attempt to develop closer ties with the F.B.I. by establishing a continuing working relationship between the F.B.I. Laboratory and our Prosecutor Fellows. Such a relationship could be of great benefit to the fellows by integrating the most advanced scientific investigation techniques and materials with their academic work and making them familiar with the most advantageous methods of presenting this type of evidence to the judge or jury in a trial court. The fact that the F.B.I. Laboratory is located in close proximity to the National Law Center makes it very feasible to undertake such a coordinated program.

2. SPECIFICS OF THE PROGRAM

a. The number of prosecutor fellows:

Eleven qualified applicants will be selected for the program. To insure geographical diversity among the applicants, an attempt shall be made to accept an applicant from each of the Judicial Circuits. However, the primary standard of acceptability will be competence and enthusiasm not geography. Since the total number of fellows is not intended to exceed eleven during any one year and since the program is to have a two year duration, five applicants will be accepted for the first year and six the following. Succeeding years, assuming the program continues beyond the proposed two year term, will be on a five-six applicant rotation basis.

b. Qualifications of prosecutor fellows:

Each applicant in order to qualify for acceptance as a fellow must fulfill at least the following minimum qualifications:

- The award of a basic degree (either LLB. or J.D.) from an ABA and AALS approved and accredited law school.
- 2. A 'aw school class standing in the top 20% of their class.
- 3. The receipt of any required F.B.I. or police clearances. In certain cases, conditional acceptance will be extended to an ap-

plicant conditioned on his later completing this requirement.

4. Acceptance for the program approved by the United States Attorney for the District of Columbia or the Department of Justice or hoth

5. Admission to practice before the highest court of any state or the District Court for the District of Columbia. This requirement can be satisfied by admission to practice shortly after acceptance as a Prosecutor

6. A demonstrated interest in such a program or in a career of public service as evidenced by the successful completion of spe cialized courses in undergraduate law school in related areas, such as advanced courses in Criminal Law and Criminal Procedure, participation in Legal Aid or public prosecutor activities at the law student level, etc.

c. Duration of the program

Each Prosecutor Fellow shall be expected to be in full-time residence in the program for two years commencing in the fall of the year in which selected and terminating two years later. This full-time residence requirement shall include assignment to the Office of the United States Attorney of the District of Columbia, where the Prosecutor Fellow will be employed, on a full working day's basis, in those tasks or divisions to which he shall be directed by the U.S. Attorney.

d. Fellowship stipend

Each Prosecutor Fellow shall be awarded annual stipend in an amount not less than \$5000 nor more than \$7000, the exact amount of which to be determined by his prior experience and present circumstances of financial necessity. This amount is expected to cover the fellow's living expenses for the year for which awarded. In addition to this stipend, each fellow shall be allowed to complete the in-classroom phase of the program without the payment of tuition or

3. THE PROGRAM OF TRAINING 1. In-classroom phase.

a. In general

Each fellow will be required to complete 24 semester hours of courses listed in the George Washington University Bulletin for the National Law Center as 300 or 400 series (advanced courses) or when special permission is received, 200 series elective with a minimum average of 75(B). Ordinarily, each fellow will be in attendance at classes commencing at 5:50 P.M. and ending at 7:40 P.M. Attendance at three such sessions per week will fulfill the classroom requirements for six semester hours. In exceptional circumstances, a fellow may be permitted to undertake more than six semester hours but normally six semester hours will be the maximum allowable.

Assuming that a fellow undertakes course of study involving six semester hours of training per semester for the required two year fellowship period, he will be able to complete his course-study requirements for his LL.M. (master of laws degree) in two years. Variations from this procedure will be permitted where the fellow wishes to reduce his individual course selections in any one or more semester if he intends to take other courses during the summer school sessions at the National Law Center.

In addition, the fellow may, with the con-sent of the U.S. Attorney and the Director of this program at the National Law Center, receive credit towards his masters degree for substantial research projects undertaken for and under the supervision of the U.S. Attoror his assistants. A maximum of four credit hours will be allowed for this type of endeavor. The purpose of this provision is to encourage the fellows to make a positive and constructive contribution of a significant nature within the Office of the U.S. Attorney as well as to enable the fellows to devote themselves to projects which have a realistic and meaningful relation to the real world of the prosecutor's office.

(b) Specific courses of study

The course offerings available to the Prose-cutor Fellows will include seminar courses. courses in inter-disciplinary matters and advanced courses in the established curriculum of the National Law Center. It will also include the development of new courses for the National Law Center which shall be open to Prosecutor Fellows as well as other graduate students or, when permitted by the Law Center's applicable rules, under-graduate law students.

The existing courses in the National Law Center for which the Prosecutor Fellows shall be eligible, including a brief description of their contents (as abstracted from our current bulletin) are:

"1. Appellate Practice and Procedure (2

credits)

"Students participate in preparing an ac-tual criminal case on appeal, starting with review of trial transcript and culminating with preparation of brief and oral argument before U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit or the U.S. Supreme Court. Appellate practice and procedure studied through texts; consultation with appellate judges, law clerks, and attorneys with cases pending on appeal; observation of arguments in the Supreme Court and the Court of Appeals. Admission by permission of the instructor.

"2. Forensic Medicine (2 credits).

"Examples drawn from the range of problems arising when the professional interests of lawyers and physicians overlap. Problem contexts include malpractice, personal in-jury litigation, licensure and discipline, cs and economics, hospitals and other institutions of practice, and compelled treat-ment. Open to third-year medical students. "3. Administration of Criminal Justice (2

credits).

"Consideration and analysis of selected advanced problems in the administration of criminal justice. The subject matter cov-ered will vary from year to year, with con-centration in areas of current interest and dynamic change (e.g., right to counsel, arrest and interrogation, search and seizure, prejudicial publicity, discovery, electronic interception, mental impairment). Emphasis will be placed on the role and professional responsibilities of prosecutor and defense counsel and on developmental trends in the law.

Seminar: Constitutional Law credits).

Group study of contemporary problems in constitutional law; process of constitutional litigation; problems of effectuating constitutional guarantees.

5. Post-conviction Dispositions-Dynamof the Law of Criminal Corrections (2

credits)

'Study of the statutes, practice, problems, and potentials of the alternatives facing a and potentials of the alternatives facing a defendant including: mental fitness to proceed to trial, probation, sentencing, pa-role, correctional institutions, rights of prisoners.

"6. Law and Criminology I: Search for the Causes of Criminal Behavior (2 credits).

'Role which criminological knowledge of crime causation may play in assisting law-yers to appraise the effectiveness of various alternative social and legal devices in con-trolling deviant behavior. The search for factors related to criminal behavior will be developed historically, with emphasis on curcausal theories developed by various disciplines. Model as well as operational penal codes, sentencing and probation practices, and specialized facilities will be analyzed in terms of their relationship to such causal theories

"7. Law and Criminology II: Society's Responses to the Criminal Offender (2 credits).

"Study of the development and current use of society's three major approaches to the handling of offenders: punitive, mechanand correctional. Emphasis on society's changing responses to criminal and delinquent behavior, and research findings con-cerning effectiveness of these responses. Analysis of treatment strategies to facilitate communication between members of the legal profession and behavior scientists charged with effectuating these strategies.

The Police and the Community (2

credits)

"Analysis of the variety, prevalence and causes of urban crime forces and proposals for improvement; the exercise of discretion, abuse or "brutality" at the time of arrest; problems of police-community relations and the role of review boards; crimes of the ur-ban poor (such as vagrancy, "failure to move on," and public drunkenness and their relationship to more serious criminal activity; the poor as victims as crime and efforts protect them other than by police activity (e.g., compensation for victims of crime and crime prevention through minimization of opportunity).

Interdisciplinary Seminar on the Ju-

venile Court (2 credits).

Lectures and reading materials include sociological, psychological, and psychiatric views on prevention, diagnosis, and treat-ment in addition to analysis of statutes, court rules, and reported cases pertaining to delinquency, dependency, custody, and child abuse. Emphasis on the role of the lawyer in this court.
"10. Seminar: Law and Psychiatry (2

credits)

"This seminar is designed (1) to expose the lawyer to some of the constructs of dynamic psychiatry dealing with human personality and behavior, and to relate them to problems encountered in legal practice; (2) to provide information—both psychiatric and legal—bearing upon such topics as mental illness and tort liability ("traumatic neu-rosis," malpractice, commission of torts by mentally ill persons); hospitalization of the mentally ill and mentally retarded; guardianship; ad hoc determinations of incompetency (testamentary, contractual, and donative capacity; capacity to marry, have custody of children; mental illness as a ground for di-vorce; eugenic sterilization); mental illness and the criminal law (psychiatric evalua-tions in criminal cases, determinations of competency to stand trial, criminal responstillity; and (3) to examine the role of psychiatry in legal settings, including the effective use of the psychiatrist and psychol-ogist as expert witness."

Other courses which would be specially designed and offered to fulfill the objectives of this program would include at least the

following subjects as described:
"1. Advanced Evidence (2).

"The examination of expert witnesses on scientific matters would be the pre-eminent, although not the exclusive, concern of this course. (It is to be hoped that experts from the F.B.I. Laboratory will be able to participate in structuring and presenting this course. If this possibility materializes, it is expected that experts from the F.B.I. would be available to discuss document examination, serology, petrography, show and tire examinations, etc.)

"2. Problems in Professional Responsibility

"This course will include an evaluation of the prosecutor's discretion in charging and dismissing offenses as well as the methods used in the presentation of his case. Matters of current concern and controversy such as the discovery of evidence or information in the possession of the prosecutor will be discussed. The Canons of Ethics and other sources will be employed.

"3, Criminal Trial Tactics (2).

"Although there is bound to be some duplication between this course and the materials offered in Advanced Evidence and Problems in Professional Responsibility, it is our conviction that this overlap will not detract from the independent value of this course. This course shall cover matters of strategy in the presentation of the government's case and in cross-examining wit-nesses. Coverage shall extend from the preliminary hearing to the sentencing phase and shall include situations as basic as preparing witnesses for trial to somewhat more complex matters, such as the prosecutor's opening and closing statements."

2. Field work phase: Each fellow will be assigned to the Office of the U.S. Attorney for the District of Columbia and will be under the direct super-vision of that office for his two year fellowship period. Each fellow will be expected to give his full-time attention to the duties and responsibilities assigned to him by the

Office of the U.S. Attorney.

It is anticipated that the fellows will be assigned for varying periods, according to a system of rotation designed by the U.S. Attorney to any of the various branches of the U.S. Attorney's office to which the U.S. Attorney may find it desirable and timely to appoint them. The presently existing divi-sions of the U.S. Attorney's office are the Grand Jury, Criminal Trial, Appellate, Gen-eral Sessions and Special Proceedings Divisions. The listing of these divisions is not intended in any way to bind the U.S. Attorney to assign any or all of the fellows at any time to any one or more of these divisions. However, it does now seem necessary for these Prosecutor Fellows to receive interim appointments to the U.S. Attorney's Office for the District of Columbia, as special assistant U.S. Attorneys. This would seem to be both a legal and practical prerequisite to their performing their tasks in that office. Discussions are now underway with members of the Department of Justice for the purpose of obtaining the approval of the U.S. Attorney General on this matter.

More complete and specific details on this phase of the program will, it is anticipated, be proposed by the Office of the U.S. At-torney and made a part of this proposal.

Estimated budget Fellowship stipends: at an average of \$6,000 per annum for 836, 000 persons Tuition and fees: at \$750 per stu-4,500 1/3 salary_____ Preparation of course materials___ 7,000 3,000 Printing and mailing.... 1,000 Miscellaneous expenses.... 2,500 54,000 Total __ 2d year: Fellowship stipends: at an average of \$6,000 per annum for 11 per-66,000 Tuition and fees: at \$800 per student --Faculty support of program at 11,000 1,000 2,500 ½ salary____ Printing and mailing___ Miscellaneous expenses_____ 89,300 2-year total_____ 143, 300

> TRIBUTE TO AVERELL W. HARRIMAN

HON. JEFFERY COHELAN

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Wednesday, January 29, 1969

Mr. COHELAN. Mr. Speaker, I join today in paying tribute to an extraordinary man, Mr. Averell Harriman.

I will leave it to others to recount the remarkable involvement and prodigious contributions of Mr. Harriman to the cause of world peace and domestic

Suffice it to say that Mr. Harriman was at the arm of every Democratic President from Franklin Roosevelt to Lyndon Johnson, offering sage counsel, boundless energy, incisive wit, and total

dedication.

Whether at Yalta, Teheran, or Potsdam; in Geneva on a Laos cease-fire; or in Paris on a Vietnam peace, Averell Harriman was an elegant, effective statesman. He is in fact one of the few men in history who in achievement and in ability, and not merely in title, deserved the "rank and status of Ambassador Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary."

It was my great pleasure to work with Ambassador Harriman on problems of East-West trade during and subsequent to an American Assembly at Harriman House and to consult with him frequently while he served at the Department of

A man of Mr. Harriman's enthusiasm and intelligence will be missed in public life. But he will not be able to retire entirely from public life. His ideas and advice will be of large value to the Nation.

I think, from past experience, that we can expect that we have yet to see the last of Averell Harriman's contributions to the United States of America and the people of the world.

CHICAGO SUN-TIMES MAKES TWO EXCELLENT PROMOTIONS

HON. ROMAN C. PUCINSKI

OF ILLINOIS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Monday, February 3, 1969

Mr. PUCINSKI. Mr. Speaker, the Chicago Sun-Times announced over the weekend two promotions which I believe will bring to Midwest journalism two outstanding journalists in management positions.

The Sun-Times has promoted its city editor, Kenneth D. Towers, to the position of assistant managing editor in charge of administration and its assistant city editor, James Peneff, to the position of city editor.

The announcements made by James F. Hoge, Jr., editor of the Sun-Times, are particularly welcome to me since it has been my great pleasure to know both Mr. Towers and Mr. Peneff for many, many years and consider both of them newspapermen's newspapermen.

I am extremely happy to see both Mr. Towers and Mr. Peneff promoted and I believe this is in keeping with a policy started by the Chicago Sun-Times some years back in attracting to its management team working newspapermen who know from their own experience the day-to-day problems of running a modern newspaper.

This policy of promoting from within has raised the Chicago Sun-Times to one of the most highly respected newspapers in American journalism and it is not by accident that this fine publicaGUNNAR MYRDAL

HON, DON EDWARDS OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Monday, February 3, 1969

Mr. EDWARDS of California. Mr. Speaker, Gunnar Myrdal is probably the best known sociologist in the world. The Swedes are known for excellence in many fields and Gunnar Myrdal year after year has added to that reputation. His work on the Negro in America which delineated problems few of our social scientists recognized at the time, established his reputation in this country many years ago. Last year, social scientists and students of world problems anxiously received his great new three-volume work, "Asian Drama: An Inquiry Into the Poverty of Nations." It was the product of

Mr. Speaker, I have had the honor of knowing this brilliant and charming man, and it gives me great pleasure to insert in the Congressional Record a recent trenchant and down-to-earth statement made to the second Asian Regional Conference on the subject of the public work force in the so-called underdeveloped countries. I trust that not only my colleagues will read Mr. Myrdal's analysis, but that our AID and State Department people will do likewise:

many years of study and observation in

Asia

THE ROLE OF THE PUBLIC SERVICES IN UNDERDEVELOPED COUNTRIES

(Lecture at the Second Asian Regional Conference of Public Services International in Singapore, November 14, 1968, by Gunnar Myrdal)

I would first like to express my great ap-preciation for having been invited to appear before this important assembly to express my views on the role of the Public Services in the efforts to spur and direct development in underdeveloped countries through planning

I have read with great interest the Report of Proceedings of the First Asian Conference of the Public Services International held at Kuala Lumpur in September 1965. That Report has increased my insight into the practical problems in the several countries of the region that are meeting the drive to organize the public employees: in regard to freedom of association, methods of fixing conditions of employment and settling disputes, unifying the organizations by counteracting splits along ethnic and religious lines, the unions instruments for education and entertainment of the members and their families, and bringing about the participation of the unions and their members in planning, and so on. I have also noted importance to them of being affiliated with the Public Services International and of their bringing to use the activity of the International Labor Organization. The de-liberations of this conference, like the one three years ago, have been focussed upon these practical problems, and to listen in today and yesterday has contributed to my continuing strivings to inform myself of the development problems of the region.

I have not understood my function at this conference to be to discuss those specific problems that for natural reasons have priority when you meet for a regional conference. From me you must expect rather an attempt to sketch in broad outlines the general problems of development in the under-developed countries of the region and, more particularly, the role the public services play, and could play, in planning. In my opinion that role is important.

Although the title of my lecture is more encompassing and although underdeveloped countries in the whole world have proble in common, I will be speaking primarily about the region I call South Asia—including Southeast Asia-stretching from Pakistan in the West to the Philippines in the East. That delimitation includes the majority of the countries actually represented here and of those whose presence should have been desirable and appropriate. About the problems in West Asia—what is commonly called the "Middle East" or "Near East"—Northern Asia, including Russian Siberia and China, or East Asia with Japan, Korea and Formosa, my

knowledge is more scanty.

For substantiation of what I have to say, I refer to a work of mine published this spring under the title Asian Drama, An Inquiry into the Poverty of Nations (Twentieth Century Fund and Pantheon Books, New York; Penguin Books, London).

II

Except for Thailand, the countries in the region were not countries at all until after the Second World War but were colonial dependencies of one appellation or another, and they were commonly referred to as "backward areas." After their gaining independence, a political revolution that went forward as an avalanche in the wake of the war, this static term became changed into a dynamic one: they characterized themselves, and were generally recognized, as "underdeveloped countries." The later terminological change to "developing countries" represents in my view confusion of thought. Their problem is not that they are "developing"some are actually not, others are not developing very rapidly or in the right directionbut that they are underdeveloped and have set as their goals to develop by means of

state planning.1 This matter of terminology is not alto-gether unimportant. The term "developing countries" signifies a deeper tendency to countries" signifies a deeper tendency to severely blased thinking about their development problems. In both the highly developed and the underdeveloped countries, the problems we are facing together would be very much less serious if this "diplomacy in terminology," as I have called it, actually represented a realistic view.

This opportunistic-and generally over optimistic -bias in appraising the situation of the underdeveloped countries is strength-ened by the tendency to use the concepts, models and theories we have forged for the analysis of the economic problems of the highly developed countries, without questioning whether they are adequate to reality in South Asia. Most of these conceptual tools are meaningless, or nearly so, for the larger part of the economic life in this region. When in the entire economic discussion and in all plans we reason in terms of national product or income, savings, investment, employment and underemployment, markets, prices, and technical coefficients, and so on, we are abstracting from is attitudes, institutions, and the productivity-depressing effects of substandard levels of living. have the right to make this simplification in highly developed countries-although in my opinion less completely than most of my colleagues believe—but in the underdeveloped countries this abstraction is closing our

tion is today called-with a considerable degree of pride—the bright one.

Mr. Towers and Mr. Peneff join an

excellent management team at the Chicago Sun-Times and I am sure their promotion will add to the paper's stature and growth.

It is my privilege today to place in the RECORD Mr. Hoge's official announcement as published in the Sunday editions of the Chicago Sun-Times. I wish both Mr. Towers and Mr. Peneff the very best of success in their difficult assignment. American journalism benefits when men of their caliber are promoted to responsible management positions.

The Sun-Times article follows:

TOWERS NAMED AN ASSISTANT MANAGING EDI-TOR-PENEFF IS APPOINTED CITY EDITOR

The promotion of Kenneth D. Towers to assistant managing editor-administration was announced Sunday by James F. Hoge Jr., editor of The Sunday Times. Hoge also announced that James Peneff

has been promoted to city editor, succeeding Towers in that post. Peneff formerly was first assistant city editor.

These appointments reflect the continued growth of The Sun-Times and the consequent need of an expanded executive structure," Hoge said.

"In addition to his wide-ranging administrative duties, Ken Towers will participate in editorial planning and supervise special news projects that take advantage of his knowledge of Chicago and of the newspaper business.

AWARD WINNER

"Jim Peneff brings to his new post the repertorial understanding of an awardwinning labor correspondent and the administrative know-how of an excellent assistant city editor."

Towers, 33, who has served as city editor since 1967, began his newspaper career as copy boy for The Sun-Times. He worked as a reporter and rewriteman for 10 years before he was named assistant city editor in 1965.

As a reporter, Towers won a Chicago Newspaper Guild award for the best feature story of 1959—an account of how a steel-worker's family weathered a long strike.

NATIVE CHICAGOAN

Towers is a native Chicagoan and the holder of a bachelor's degree in philosophy from Northwestern University. He and his wife, Rita, live in the South

Shore district.

Peneff, 57, is marking his 25th year with this newspaper. He started with The Chi-cago Times, a predecessor of The Sun-Times in 1944 and quickly won a reputation as a top labor reporter.

WIDE EXPERIENCE

He received a Chicago Newspaper Guild award in 1946 for a series of articles on the life of a coal miner, Peneff took a job in a mine to get the story.

In addition to labor coverage, he has worked as a rewriteman and on a wide variety of general assignments.

Peneff has been first assistant city editor since 1967 and served as an assistant city editor for seven years prior to that promotion.

He attended Crane Junior College from 1929 to 1931 and Lewis Institute (now IIlinois Institute of Technology) from 1931

to 1933, majoring in chemistry.

Peneff and his wife, Jean, live in Mount Prospect. They have three children.

Footnotes at end of speech.

eyes to some of the peculiar traits that raise difficulties for development.

A realistic analysis must be in terms of institutional economics and must account also for levels of living, attitudes and institutions. And planning for development must include policies directed upon changing these elements of reality that are excluded in the conventional economic analysis.

Let me add here that if I thus insist that the analysis of the underdeveloped countries in the region, in order to be realistic, must take into account factors that are irrational from a modernization point of view, this does not imply that the value premises should not be the modernization ideals.⁵ As we all know, these ideals-and among them, in the first hand, the gradual breaking down of the inegalitarian social and economic stratification inherited from colonialism and before that from the traditional society-have become a sort of modern state religion and have determined the conceptual frame and the vocabulary of all present discussion of public issues in countries of the region. Moreover, all these countries have in one sense passed the point of no return. With the present and for decades foreseeable development of the population and the labor force, a rather rapid modernization of these

societies is necessary not only to speed development but to prevent a turn towards in-

creased misery.

There are several reasons why conditions the underdeveloped countries in South Asia are very much less favorable to development than they once were in the now highly developed countries.4

The climate in the tropical and subtropical zones implies on the whole for many rea-sons greater inhibitions for development Generally speaking, the resource basis in the region is poorer. The trading position of these countries has on the whole been deteriorating almost since the First World War. The population increase is two or three times faster than it ever was in Europe.

Although there is a very much improved technology that can be borrowed—which by itself should imply a comparative advantage-its adjustment to local conditions in South Asia meets various difficulties. More important is, however, the dynamic effect of the fact that the development of science and technology to the very largest extent is directed upon the further development of the highly developed countries, whose governments, universities and industries are paying for it. When for natural reasons it is steered by interests in those countries, it often works to the detriment of the underdeveloped countries. The continuous substitution of synthetic products for traditional exports from the region is one example.

In view of the facts I have thus hinted at, the presently underdeveloped countries in South Asia cannot expect to develop rapidly and continuously by leaving their economies to the free evolution of economic forces, as was largely true in the now highly developed countries during the liberal era. They need state planning, which means the instigation of coordinated state policies aimed at spur-ring and directing developments, and as I have already mentioned, these policies must include systematic efforts to change atti-tudes and institutions in the direction of modernization.5

Planning in this sense of coordinated policies will imply the state itself going into enterprises and investment in public utilities of all sorts, banking, sometimes trading, and even in manufacturing industry. Som countries in the region prefer to call their policies "socialistic", while others charac-terize themselves as "free enterprise societies"; but a study of what actually is taking place in the two types of countries does not show too great differences.6 All countries though to a different degree, must control private enterprise in various respects.

The state both as entrepreneur and when controlling private enterprise has to rely on public services as the instrument of carrying out its policies. The very fact of planning, therefore, enhances very decisively the role of the public services for development. These countries will have little chance of rapid and continuing development, if they do not succeed to build up an efficient cadre of public servants, reaching from the highest positions in the state civil service down to the local men in the police forces, the teachers in the schools, and all the others employed by public authorities.

The role of public services in development is thus to be the executors of public policies, and this role is becoming ever more important as these countries find themselves under the desirability and, indeed, necessity of planning for development. Let me on this point first stress that the organization of the public services in unions should from planning point of view be viewed as a mighty vehicle for progress.

It is an experience from all over the world that when workers in a particular profession or trade organize themselves in an association, this has regularly led to building up pride in their responsibility and their work the demand for proper education and train-ing, and generally the raising by collective efforts of standards of work being done and the status in society of the workers. As I will come to develop later, even the raising of levels of remuneration in the public services in the region, if that becomes an effect of organization, will in the ordinary case imply greater efficiency and even higher economy in the longer run

When the political authorities in some of the countries in South Asia have not understood this, but tried to restrict freedom of association of public employees, to evade the settlement of wages and other conditions of employment by collective bargaining, and to counteract the efforts to strengthen and centralize the unions, they have acted against the true national interest in development. have then not understood that, by itself, the organization of the public servants in unions represents a change towards the realization of the modernization ideals that are the basic value premises for planning for development, a change that should be the more important because of the crucial role in the development process of the public services.

The student can detect several serious and systematic deficiencies in the functioning of the public services present to a varying in all South Asian countries. These deficiencies are all interrelated and they are all signs of, or rather elements in, these countries' state of underdevelopment. With the crucial role of the public services in the development process, the overcoming of these deficiencies becomes a particularly impor-tant part in the policies to spur and direct

development by planning.

One common deficiency of the public services is overstaffing, particularly at the lower levels. They are overstaffed at the present, irrationally extensive and complicated system of rules and regulations (see below) and would be still more so in a more rational system of administration. The tremendously rapid increase of persons employed in public services since liberation is generally known. The great numbers of "hangers around", who do little useful work, in offices and the low efficiency generally in work performance is apparent at even a superficial inspection.

The low wages paid public employees is related to overstaffing. Exceedingly low wages

are the rule almost everywhere at the lower levels of public services and often also at the highest levels, particularly in those countries of the region which have not been British dependencies and which after liberation adhered to the British tradition of paying higher officials generously. At the lower levels the low wages and also the overstaffing in the public services are related underutilization of labor generally in these economies and the cheapness of labor that is a main characteristic of underdevelopment in all the countries of the re-

Related to both overstaffing and low wages is inferior competence for fulfilling their duties, due to deficient education and training. Better trained personnel in public services would decrease the need-and the excuse—for having the overgrown staffs and would, at the same time, motivate higher

Having better trained but fewer employees even at higher wages would not only raise the efficiency of the public services in the interest of development but would in the normal case actually imply an economy in the total costs for public services. Reforms in these directions would be easier to carry out if there was not that tremendous pressure of unused, or underutilized, cheap labor in the whole economy that now characterizes these countries. It is a main goal of planning for development to reach a higher level of the utilization of the labor force, but a in policy instrument in the planning for that development is an improvement of the public services.

This is the type of circular causation that is sometimes characterized as a vicious circle, holding down an underdeveloped country in continued relative stagnation. But it should be remembered that the circle can be changed into becoming a virtuous one. This is actually what we mean by development, in this case implying an improvement of the public services pari passu with a bet-ter utilization of the labor force all around.10

Conditions vary, of course, as between different countries. And there are also partial exceptions to the above broad generalizations. The schools, for instance, are not overstaffed by teachers, and certainly not if we count only the qualified ones." Particularly in the largest and poorest countries of the region, Pakistan and India, a main deterrent for choosing the teaching profession and also a hindrance for the teachers to win the social status in their communities necessary for having the beneficient influence that from a development point of view they should have, is the exceedingly low wages, Also when tax assessment and tax payment is deplorably inefficient in the countries of the region, part of the explanation is that both the number and the competence of officials engaged for this purpose have been kept down by the vested interests of people who want to avoid as much as possible paying taxes; keeping the salaries low also has made the officials more amenable to corruption (see below).12

One important cause of deficiencies in public services is the extended and complicated system of rules and regulations in these countries and generally their excessive bu-reaucracy. Part of this characteristic is an inheritance from the paternalistic colonial regimes that preceded the present politically independent governments. To most of those newly independent governments, and of all individual persons stepping into responsibility, doing the things the foreign rulers had been doing, and exerting the controls they had exerted, was seen to be the essence of their countries' independence. Generally, the proliferation of regulations in every section of national life and on every level became their ambition, as again can be observed even in a superficial contact with these countries. Meanwhile the administrative services on the higher level had been severely depleted by the expatriation of the colonial officials, par-

ticularly in countries like Burma and Indonesia where in colonial time few of the indigenous peoples had been permitted to aspire to entrance to the services on that level, but even in Pakistan where the great majority of them opted for India.

In regard to economic planning, all the countries in the region—although to a varying degree—have preferred what I call discretionary operational controls over private enterprise before non-discretionary ones carried out through price policy measures and other non-discretionary measures.18 They usually institute all sorts of what I positive controls in order to promote private enterprise and investment, such as import controls and other protective measures, low interest rates, tax holidays, et cetera, and they do it so much that they then have to counteract their effects by negative controls

This is like driving a car with the accelerator to the floor and all brakes on, which is not an economic way of getting it to move at the desired speed and in the desired direction. In the present context, the impor-tant thing is that this type of planning economic development is severely overtaxing the administrative resources, which are weak

to begin with. All the countries in the region are soft states, again to a varying degree. By that term I want to characterize a general lack of social discipline, signified by deficiencies in their legislation and, in particular, in law observance and enforcement, lack of obedi-ence to rules and directives handed down to public officials on various levels, often their collusion with powerful persons or groups of persons whose conduct they should reg-ulate, and, at the bottom, a general inclina-tion of people in all strata to resist public controls and their implementation. This situation is to a large extent an inheritance from colonial and precolonial time and from the struggle for independence. The proliferation of regulations and controls and the overstaffing of the public services, particularly at the lower strate, have not improved it. It is my opinion that without much more social discipline, development will meet great difficulties and, in any case, be hampered and delayed.

To the concept of the soft state belongs also corruption, which also has a long history.15 It seems generally to be on the increase in the countries of the region under the influence of almost everything that happens and particularly the increase of discretionary controls. There are too many permissions worth money, depending upon the decisions of officials and sometimes politicians. There has been a tendency in the scientific literature to play down the detrimental effects of corruption on development. The situation is sometimes rationalized in the idea that corruption is natural in a "developing" coun-The effect of this is to spread cynicism and to lower further the resistance to giving or taking bribes.

Corruption is, however, endangering stability of governments, as any analysis of the political coups in the region will testify. Besides this, it counteracts the strivings for greater integration of these newly pendent countries and for building up loyalties of their peoples to the state and to all communities in the infrastructure. It introduces an element of irrationality in all planning and plan fulfillment. As a common method of exploiting a position of public re-sponsibility for private gain is by obstruc-tion and delay, it impedes the processes of decision-making and execution on all levels. It increases the needs for controls to check the dishonest official, at the same time as it makes the honest official reluctant to make decisions on his own. In both ways it tends to make administration cumbersome and slow and contributes to the bureaucratization of the public services and the trend to

The deficiencies in the public services rep resent serious impediments blocking and slowing down development in the South Asian countries. As they are rooted in the present state of underdevelopment and have become fastened upon society by a long history, they are not easily remedied.

The general underutilization of labor in these countries and, of course, the misdirection of education resulting in the phenomenon known as the "educated unemployed" raise hindrances against overcoming the general overstaffing in the public services. Without a radical reform of the direction and content of education it is also difficult to raise competence levels in the public services. Higher wage levels are difficult to press through in countries with severely strained public finances and with cheap labor available all around. The rationalization of the overextended system of rules and procedures and of bureaucracy assumes a rather fundamental change in people's ideas about policies and administration and, what is even more difficult to bring about, the gradual overcoming of the "soft state". Part of this huge practical problem is the eradication of corruption as a widespread pattern of life and work

I am then in condensed form formulating some important tasks for planning for development which are generally overlooked in most economic literature, which is focused on investment, including the most recent contributions that are also stressing "investment in man". The important problem I finally want to raise with this assembly representatives for the organizations of public employees is the following: what role can they play in the gradual overcoming of the deficiencies in the functioning of the public services which social and economic studies of these countries reveal, and thus for furthering development which is now hindered, and inhibited by those deficiencies? I feel no hesitation to answer this question very definitely that they can play an important and positive role

I have already pointed out that by itself the organization of workers implies a change towards modernization. When workers become organized this will tend to lift them from the apathy and aimlessness which is so much at the root of underdevelopment. Becoming organized implies to the public employees their becoming conscious of their problems. The leaders of the organizations, in particular, will be brought to become are of the wider social and economic problems which provide the setting of the work-ers' own personal and group interests. An almost necessary condition for organizing workers is to appeal to, and build up, pride in performance. All experiences in all countries testify to this truth. As one of the more hopeful things in this region may actually be reckoned the fact that public employees are becoming organized at an earlier stage of development than usually was the case in the now highly developed countries.

Another general experience is that with organization follows an interest in raising and standardizing the level of competence set for entrance into a particular type of public employment. It is incredible that a strong organization of the several groups of teachers will not insist on improved teachtraining and on progressive strivings to fill the jobs only with qualified teachers, as on a higher level medical personnel and engineers have already done in most South Asian countries

The immediate natural efforts of the unions to raise wage levels are in harmony with these attempts to raise and standardize levels of competence. To the extent the organizations succeeded in raising wage levels, the authorities will be pressed to enforce the competence requirements and also to de-crease overstaffing. What I am here sketching is nothing but the general theory of the effects of unionization which has been tested in many countries for long times.

In such a development the organizations

and their leaders will undoubtedly encounter conflicts of interest. One obvious case is to what extent they shall defend those em-ployees who should lose their jobs in any effort to counteract overstaffing or the enforcement of stricter competence require-ments. Such efforts conflict, of course, with the interests to press up wage levels. It is my opinion that the latter interests should given precedence although I am aware of the fact that often union policy will have to strike a compromise and move by gradual

Generally speaking, I believe that the policies of the public employees unions, in order to be maximally successful over the longer stretch of time, need to keep them-selves aware of the broader problems of planning for development and the need to fashion their own policies to fit the wider goals of development. I can see few conflicts between the true interests of public employees and the development interests of the wider society, if both types of interests are viewed in the broader and longer perspective and conceived in the light of a more accomplished theory of underdevelopment, development and planning for development than the conventional one.

When in this as in the first Asian Conference of the Public Services International the demand has been raised for participation of the organizations in planning, I see in this a recognition of this fundamental interdependence and congruity between your strivings as labor unions and the wider goals for induced change of your society to higher rationality and productive efficiency.

FOOTNOTES

¹ Ibid., Appendix 1. ² Ibid., Prologue.

³ Ibid., Chapter 2, Sections 3 and 4; Post-script, Section 2.

*Ibid., Chapter 14. 5 Ibid., Chapter 15 et passim.

6 Ibid., Chapter 17.

1 Ibid., Chapter 19. 1 Ibid., Chapter 11, Section 4, and Chapter 23, Section 4.

Ibid., Fifth Part passim.
 Ibid., Appendix 2, et passim.
 Ibid., Chapter 33.

Ibid., Appendix 8, Part 3.Ibid., Chapter 19.

14 Ibid., Chapter 18, Sections 13 and 14 et

passim

15 Ibid., Chapter 20.

LAST WEEK CULVER BLACK HORSE TROOP PARTICIPANTS IN PRESI-DENTIAL INAUGURAL PARADE

HON. JOHN BRADEMAS

OF INDIANA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Monday, February 3, 1969

Mr. BRADEMAS. Mr. Speaker, I take this opportunity to call to the attention of the Members of the House a unique anniversary this month. Culver Military Academy, Culver, Ind., an internationally famous college preparatory school in the district I have the honor to represent, made its sixth appearance in a Presidential Inaugural Parade on January 20.

In keeping with President Nixon's theme of "Forward Together," alumni, staff, and students of the Black Horse Troop organized a presentation of

the flags of all States and territories in America.

The flags are gifts of alumni and friends of Culver throughout the country and will remain for years to come as tangible evidence of the affection and esteem in which they regard the Culver Military Academy.

U.N. ASSOCIATION SUGGESTS NATO **IMPROVEMENTS**

HON. PAUL FINDLEY

OF ILLINOIS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, February 3, 1969

Mr. FINDLEY. Mr. Speaker, a policy panel headed by Theodore C. Sorensen, counsel to former Presidents Kennedy and Johnson, yesterday announced a series of recommendations intended to elevate the prestige and utility of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization.

This was done under the auspices of the United Nations Association, The proposals come like a breath of fresh air and show that President Nixon can expect broad public support if, as expected, he acts to end the stagnation that has set in concerning Atlantic community de-

velopment.

Several of the proposals—upgrading the prestige of U.S. Ambassador to NATO; inviting other NATO nations to join in space exploration in order to give it an Atlantic character; calling for a NATO summit meeting; the selection of a European SACEUR, the exploration of the Atlantic free-trade association idea: the use of NATO for broader consultation at the highest possible level-have been previously proposed by the House Republican task forces on NATO and the Atlantic community. This makes the recommendations of the UNA group doubly welcome.

Here is the text of the recommendations of the panel under the caption, "New Measures for Strengthening the

Unity of the West":

NEW MEASURES FOR STRENGTHENING THE UNITY OF THE WEST

The invasion of Czechoslovakia by the Soviet Union and four of its Warsaw Pact allies and the subsequent stationing of Soviet forces on the Czech-West German border have set back progress in relaxing East-West tensions and in resolving the problem of Germany.

What should be the Western response? How can that response be handled in a manner which does not fuel a mutual escalation only adding to the dangers of military confrontation? What should United States policy

now be?

The Panel believes that the answers lie in a dual approach: new measures to strengthen the West and, at the same time, new efforts to reduce both the dangers and ultimately the division in present day Germany and Europe.

We turn first to the measures we believe to be required to strengthen the West.

THE CHARACTER AND QUALITY OF CONSULTATION IN THE ALLIANCE

As the United States has become more and more deeply involved in Vietnam and Southeast Asia, major new strains have developed in the Alliance. Our allies believe that they were not adequately consulted about our

growing Vietnam involvement. Some were fearful that Asia had replaced Europe in our scale of priorities.

But U.S. Vietnam policy has not been the only European anxiety. Their growing awareness of a major technological gap, new anxieties over the inroads of American business in Europe and over financial and monetary instability in the Atlantic area, and uncertainities over future nuclear security policies—based on the Non-Proliferation Treaty and on the anticipated U.S.-Soviet negotiations on strategic weapons-have all contributed to the malaise in U.S.-West European relationships.

The Panel recommends that the new Administration, as one of its first moves, stress the importance which the United States attaches to its relationships to Western Europe, and stress likewise its belief that those relationships can become the foundation for a more stable and more lasting security system for all Europe.

Cohesion and unity of the West will be required if negotiations for such a system are to be successful and not be endangered by the unpredictabilities of East-West bilateral

relationships.

Mechanisms for consultation can be important to strengthen the Alliance. But the real key is the frequency and character of consultation. Our North Atlantic partners must feel that on the issues which touch their vital interests, the U.S. will consult them promptly, at the most senior level, and in whatever forum is most appropriate, and with a deep perception as to their options and dilemmas. Of equal importance is their understanding of our options and dilemmas—and their willingness to engage in close consultation on matters of concern to the

The Panel recommends that the United States make clear to our European allies our intention to engage in close and continuing consultations with them, covering, inter alla, any principal new political or military com-mitments to be undertaken outside the Treaty area, and any basic developments in weapons technology which could significantly change the value and effectiveness of national defense programs within the Alliance

and the defensive posture of the Alliance.
As the U.S. and the Soviet Union move into bilateral negotiations in an effort to bring the strategic arms race under control, our partners in the North Atlantic Alliance should be kept informed. Of equal if not grater importance, they should have an op-portunity to assist in formulating overall nuclear doctrine and policy as that to the defense of Western Europe. The sensitive manner in which these new bilateral consultations are handled could either facilitate or complicate the necessary European adherence to the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty.

The Panel believes that according our Permanent Representative on the North Atlantic Council membership on the President's National Security Council would give both symbol and reality to a higher priority in our Alliance relationships.

April 1969 will mark the 20th Anniversary of NATO. The NATO Council meeting is presently scheduled to be in the United States at that time.

The Panel recommends that the occasion be used for a NATO summit meeting-designed both to develop and to reinforce a new sense of solidarity in the Alliance.

THE NORTH ATLANTIC ALLIANCE

The Soviet invasion of Czechoslovakia has already given a new sense of purpose to NATO.

The apparent arrangement for the indefinite stationing of Soviet forces on the Czech-West German frontier is only one of the aspects of the recent crisis which has altered the outlook in Central Europe. We face increased uncertainty concerning Soviet inten-

tions and grave misgivings about stability in Soviet decision-making.

Taken together, the new stationing of forces and the new uncertainties in decisionmaking have made necessary, the Panel believes, both the retention of present United States forces in Europe and enlargement of present military contingents of other NATO partners much nearer to previously agreed force levels.

Questions of force levels in NATO have been accompanied in the past, and continue to be beset, by problems of how the forces are to be financed. The principle that foreign exchange consequences of deployments in the common defense are a common problem seems unexceptionable. In view of the recurring serious balance of payment prob-lems, the Panel believes that the time has come for a new approach. It proposes that troop unit costs be projected on a four-year basis with the understanding that, if balance of payment problems prevent the payment of obligated costs in any one year, payment can be deferred for one year. No country would be permitted to fall more than two years behind in its payments.

In order to prevent the cumulative effects of continued bilateral borrowing from those countries in a surplus position, the Panel believes that NATO should establish a NATO Payments Settlements Authority (NPSA) whose membership would include all NATO countries (except France). NPSA would "buy" medium-term bonds, paying normal commercial interest, from deficit countries in the amount of their deficit. It would, in turn, issue to surplus countries bonds of its own for the same total face value and period.

If a country wished, for balance of payment reasons, to pay in advance for more than one year, for costs arising from deployments in the common defense, it would be

free to do so.

The Panel believes that moves such as above to reinforce NATO's military capabilities should be accompanied by assurances to the East, either in public or in private, that the North Atlantic Council will be used actively for consultation on measures designed to decrease tension over Germany and work toward a new pattern of all-European security. The "message to the East" communicated following the NATO meeting in June 1968, indicating a continued interest in mutual NATO-Warsaw Pact force reductions, should be re-emphasized as official and continuing NATO policy.

A GREATER EUROPEAN ROLE IN NATO

Another divisive factor has been the dominant United States role in NATO. This role has been based, of course, on the predomi-nant power of the United States, especially in strategic nuclear weapons for deterrence. It is clear that the United States should not and will not give up its statutory con-trol over nuclear weapons. With the rapid continuing development of new weapons systems, such as Multiple Independently-targeted Re-entry Vehicles (MIRVs), that predominant power position is likely to con-tinue. For that very reason it would behoove the United States to seek actively ways of helping to promote the sense of collective responsibility of European NATO members.

The Panel believes that the United States

should encourage the development of a European caucus in NATO. The Europeans them-selves should determine those issues on which they would attempt to reach a census. The United States should continue to be available for discussions on any issue and indeed, as we shall point out later, will need on certain issues such as arms control to take more leadership than it has heretofore. However, for the United States to encourage the Europeans to develop more of their own initiative in the NATO framework would be one way to remove some of the ambiguity which has characterized United States' relationships with Europe during the past decade. Such European consultation should lead to the Europeans strengthening their joint decision-making on matters of defense and military procurement.

Should the impetus to improve NATO force levels, generated by the repositioning of Soviet forces, result in larger and more effective contributions by the European members, questions of command and control may well arise. The United States should make it clear that in principle it would support an appointment of a European Supreme Allied Commander in Europe, provided an American remains in a position to fulfill U.S. statutory requirements on the control of nuclear weapons.

The Nuclear Planning Group of NATO and the Defense Planning Committee have now become a part of the Alliance structure. The deliberations in these bodies seem to have satisfied some the needs for allied consultation about Europe's nuclear defense that gave rise to the ill-fated Multilateral Force (MIF) proposal. The concept of a European caucus would be appropriate in these bodies. The caucus might want at some point to consider movement toward a European defense community within the Atlantic Alliance.

ATLANTIC FINANCIAL AND TRADE POLICY: A NEW "NIXON ROUND"

We have referred earlier to the strains in Attantic relationships based on financial and anonetary instability and balance of payment problems. While these sets of problems are not the primary concern of this report, they cannot be avoided in our considerations.

Strengthened international cooperation in the financial field will be essential to assure the continued growth and stability of the economies of the North Atlantic nations and of the less-developed countries as well. To assure an adequate supply of liquidity to finance a growing volume of trade, it is essential that the new facility for Special Drawing Rights in the International Monetary Fund be quickly activated, in sufficient amounts to assure an orderly growth in the total reserves over the years.

Even if the problems of liquidity are dealt with successfully, there will still remain the difficult problems of confidence and of improving the balance of payments adjust-ment process. Intensified efforts should be through the Organization for Eco-Cooperation and Development (OECD), the IMF and the Group of Ten, supplemented by central bank cooperation, to encourage surplus and deficit nations to assume their fair share of responsibility for adjustment. Surplus countries like Germany can do more by accelerating their economic growth and enlarging their contributions to cooperative aid and military programs, but the U.S. must also do a better job than it has in the past in managing its domestic economy in the direction of non-inflationary economic growth in order to reduce its pay-ments deficit and maintain confidence in the dollar.

One of the destablizing elements in the present situation is the uncertainty over whether and when Britain will be accepted into the Common Market. This is a major inhibition to orderly planning by British, and indeed by Western business and commercial enterprise. It is a principal source of instability for the pound. The Common Market is more than an economic union. With its supra-national character it constitutes an important political force in Western Europe—one which would be greatly strengthened with British membership.

Further explorations might be undertaken of the advantages and disadvantages of an Atlantic free trade area which would be openended, but which might be composed initially of the United States, United Kingdom and Canada. But this would not be an

adequate substitute for British entry into

The Panel urges, therefore, that the new Administration shape its new European policy in ways which would favor and not impede British entry—although Europe itself must take the initiative in this field. This may mean interim steps prior to full British membership.

One of the unexpected developments in Atlantic relationships was the speed with which United States business interests jumped the tariff walls of the Common Market and established operations in Europe at times aided by the new instrument of the multinational corporation. A substantial number of national barriers still exist which inhibit West Europeans from doing more efficient business in neighboring Common Market countries. One approach to stimulate more U.S.-West European business competi-tion within the Atlantic area would be the development of multinational corporations whose stock ownership as well as whose management are more equally distributed among Atlantic Alliance countries. This will require a study of the extent to which changes in domestic legislation in the U.S. and Western Europe are needed to permit the lowering of a wide variety of national barriers in such areas as taxes, patents, payments restraints

and corporation charter restrictions.

A concerted new effort is required to free trade from present nontariff restrictions, such as import surcharges, export rebates, and border taxes. Governmental price support policies are in many cases in need of review.

The Panel recommends that the new Administration propose at the earliest date a "Nixon Round" of negotiation on non-tariff barriers to trade.

COMMON APPROACHES TO COMMON PROBLEMS

It is not enough for the countries of the Atlantic Alliance to join together in the defense of their countries, of their currencies, or of their balance of payments

or of their balance of payments.

If such defenses are to mean something beyond a shelter against the future, the Western Alliance must join together in more far-reaching approaches to the common problems we share in national life. It is imperative that our technology and our affluence become invested with more meaning to people. A more humanistic approach, the Panel believes, is required by the contemporary setting.

porary setting.

Current ratios comparing our national effort on measures of national security to our
efforts to cope with the problems of our urban ghettos and the pollution of our environment are causing increasing uneasiness and
concern.

Urban Life: We might best begin by saying to Europeans that they have made their cities more habitable, more human, than ours. We might find them saying their cities are not habitable enough.

The Panel believes the United States should encourage the Europeans to set up an International Urban Institute either in Europe, or wherever our allies would prefer, in which the U.S. would participate.'
Such an Institute would bring together

Such an Institute would bring together the leading urban practitioners, scholars, builders, and most certainly students to look at our urban crisis, and to consider and propose new and more adequate approaches. These approaches need not only the discipline of scholars, and the rules of pragmatism, but the utopian impulse of our youth—endowed with a new sense of common international purpose.

The Institute would coalesce the piecemeal efforts of individual disciplines, It could mount a concerted attack on the pollution of air and water, the irony of poverty and joblessness in the midst of affluence, the paradox of supersonic speeds in the air and slow crowded commuting on the ground, and congested housing for the poor.

Some important work in these areas is currently underway within the United Nations system, in UNESCO and the UN regional Economic Commission for Europe. The International Urban Institute would need to draw on the work of appropriate UN bodies and commissions. At its most recent session, the 23rd, the General Assembly, on the initiative of Sweden, decided to convene in 1972 a UN Conference on Human Environment. Atlantic Alliance countries, whose technologies are responsible for so much of the changes in the planet's environment, should make every effort to assist the UN Conference in identifying those aspects of the problem that can best be handled through international cooperation and agreement.

The urban crisis, however, is more than a physical disarrangement in our societies—on both side of all "curtains". Our young people believe that at the same time as we proclaim the morals of personal welfare and individual liberty, our political and social systems tend to become more massive, more rigid, more insensitive, more monolithic and impersonal, and less human. In an era of constant technological innovation we continue to rely on unchanged political processes and establishments. Thus the International Urban Institute needs to do more than develop systems for coping with the physical disarrangements of our time; it needs to address itself to this wider set of social relationships.

Technological Partnership: Technological exploration should not remain another area of U.S. domination and superiority. Upsetting the "balance of science", like upsetting the balance of payments, can be divisive and destructive. As we have pointed out, technological development knows no national boundaries. To make it a national pursuit is not consistent with the goal of making the Western Alliance a contemporary and common adventure. For example, the Panel strongly supports action now going forward in the NATO Science Council to create a European institute for computer programming.

We would recommend that the Nixon Administration take immediate steps to invite our allies to join in a space program, to join us on the moon and beyond. Our allies need to see and feel a new spirit of common adventure and an Atlantic astronaut could do much to symbolize this to the man on the street.

The Soviet Union should be offered the opportunity to decide if it would join in a more cooperative international space program.

Education: We have moved, in the Atlantic area, toward freer trade in commodities by tariff reduction and a common market. We have moved to internationalize money through convertible currencies.

The time is now at hand to explore ways in which the ultimate "commodity" in the modern world—education—can be more firmly established on an international base. An "educational common market" should

An "educational common market" should be devised—a program of "free trade" of students and faculty between countries—beginning in the Atlantic area. Multi-national educational institutions, faculty, and students should become commonplace in a contemporary world. We again need to call upon our humility to recognize and admit that we know little about some of the seminal educational questions we should be asking.

What are the best ways to educate the very young? How can we reach the culturally disadvantaged, to remotivate their fiagging aspirations? How can we develop the innova-

¹For reservation by James N. Hyde, see page 34.

² For comment by Richard N. Gardner, see page 34.

tiveness that the modern world demands? How do we train the skilled workers and the scarce but vital entrepreneurs and managers that a modern technological world demands?

The Panel recommends the creation of an International Foundation for Education supported by private and governmental funds and directed multi-nationally. This project could lead the way in setting up mutually agreeable trans-national standards that would allow students and faculty to move more freely from country to country; in sponsoring both educational research and the development and assessment of international educational levels; in pooling the knowledge of the educational process into a "World Bank" of knowledge; and by energetically supporting a greatly expanded international scholarship program—one which might indeed emphasize environmental studies."

If we are to build a meaningful Atlantic Community in the modern context, it must be rooted firmly in a genuine community of objectives—not just military and economic objectives—but one based on common aspirations to improve the quality of human life in a world where things tend to become more important than people.

Mr. Speaker, the panel consisted of the following:

Theodore C. Sorensen, chairman, partner, Paul, Weiss, Goldberg, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison.

Walker L. Cisler, chairman of the board, the Detroit Edison Co.

Oscar A. de Lima, chairman of the board, Roger Smith Hotels, Inc.

Thomas K. Finletter, partner, Coudert Bros.

Richard N. Gardner, Henry L. Moses professor of law and international or-

ganization, Columbia University. Ernest A. Gross, partner, Curtis, Mallet-Prevost, Colt & Mosle.

Edwin Huddleson, Jr., partner, Cooley, Crowley, Gaither, Godward, Castro & Huddleson

James N. Hyde, lawyer, consultant to Rockefeller Brothers Fund.

Peter G. Peterson, vice chairman, chairman of the board, Bell & Howell Co.

Joseph D. Keenan, international secretary, International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers,

Henry A. Kissinger, professor of government, Center for International Affairs, Harvard University, Dr. Kissinger served as a member of the panel until his selection by the President-elect as his special assistant for National Security Affairs. He did not participate in the drafting of the final report.

Robert Kleiman, editorial board of

the New York Times.

Philip M. Klutznick, senior partner, Urban Investment & Development Co. Col. Laurence J. Legere, Institute for

Defense Analyses.

John J. McCloy, Milbank, Tweed, Had-

John J. McCloy, Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy.

James S. McDonnell, chairman of the

board, McDonnell-Douglas Corp. G. William Miller, president, Textron, Inc.

Emanuel R. Piore, vice president and chief scientist, International Business Machines Corp.

Thomas C. Schelling, professor of economics, Center for International Affairs, Harvard University. Gen. Cortland V. R. Schuyler, commissioner of general services, executive department, State of New York.

Marshall D. Shulman, director, Russian Institute, Columbia University.

Joseph E. Slater, president, Salk In-

stitute for Biological Studies.

Mrs. Robert J. Stuart, former president, League of Women Voters of the U.S.A.

FOREIGN RESEARCH AND DEVEL-OPMENT ON ROADS AND ROAD TRANSPORT

HON. WILLIAM C. CRAMER

OF FLORIDA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, February 3, 1969

Mr. CRAMER. Mr. Speaker, a recently completed survey of current research and development on roads and road transport in 40 countries outside the United States discloses the fact that over 5,000 research projects in those countries are currently active, have recently been completed, or are planned for the immediate future. The results of this survey have just been released in a report prepared for the Federal Highway Administration, Bureau of Public Roads, by the International Road Federation of Washington, D.C., under a cost sharing, cooperative contract entered into between FHWA and TRF

The report contains brief information on each of the 5,000-plus projects which comprise the broadest possible coverage of specific areas of concern from highway planning and design to the psychology of drivers and the causes of accidents. The rapid increase in the volume of such research, the diversity of subjects directly or indirectly affecting roads and road transport and the utilization of modern technology therein, especially during recent years, has made it impossible for an individual involved in research to maintain, from his own perusal of recearch reports and technical publications, an awareness of the research activity going on even in his own specialized field of competence. The report itself illustrates the extent to which research projects have been undertaken on the same subject in many different countries and even by numerous research organizations in some individual countries

It has become a matter of prime concern and immediate urgency that a system be developed through which information on specific research projects can be collected from research organizations wherever they are, stored in a computerized or other type type of storage and retrieval system, and made available to researchers on request as "file searches" by manual or computer retrieval, printouts of information stored on single projects, or as lists of references on particular subjects. A computerized storage and retrieval system has been developed by the Highway Research Information Service of the Highway Research Board here in the United States and a partially computerized system by the Road Research Laboratory in the United Kingdom. A somewhat different system has been developed and is being used by the

International Road Research Documentation Scheme of the OECD in Paris. Utilization of these storage and retrieval services permits a researcher to determine, with a minimum of time and effort, what is going on in a chosen field of Interest. He can determine the ground already covered by research, which may make unnecessary, or restrict the scope of, a project which is being considered or planned, with a resultant saving of funds. The information can be of great help to organizations in the planning of their own research programs, and it can also serve as a stimulus to further research efforts.

Research budgets and research personnel are both too limited to afford the luxury of unnecessary and wasteful duplication and overlapping of effort. Both funds and personnel must be used in such a way that optimum utilization of

both will be achieved.

It was recently discovered that in Germany and Australia, as well as in some other countries, studies were being made of the visual comprehension of motor vehicle drivers. Studies have been made in many countries, including the United States, to determine the relation between alcohol and/or drug consumption by drivers and the rate of involvement in accidents. In certain countries this has led to the adoption of stringent regulations affecting drivers and their use of alcohol or drugs. High mast lighting of road intersections and interchanges, the IRF reports, has been the subject of research in the United Kingdom, France, and Holland as well as in the United States.

The survey report by IRF, the information facilities of IRRD are sources of information available to researchers not only in the United States but throughout the world. Some 2,000 copies of the report prepared by IRF will be distributed free of charge to research organizations and researchers in all of the countries contributing to it as well as in the United States.

Many hundreds, probably thousands, of highway administrators and researchers in the countries surveyed have cooperated with the International Road Federation by furnishing assistance to the professional surveyors sent out by IRF and by supplying the information requested. Their knowledge of and interest in the current research activities reported will be augmented as a result. The survey will be continued on an annual basis by IRF under its contract with BPR, thus keeping the fund of information on current research and development activities as nearly current as possible.

IRAQI HANGINGS: A BRUTAL SPECTACLE

HON. CLAUDE PEPPER

OF FLORIDA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Monday, February 3, 1969

Mr. PEPPER. Mr. Speaker, on January 27, 1969, it appeared the world was swept back to the dark ages by the inhuman and intemporate acts that tran-

^{*}For reservation by James N. Hyde, see page 34.

spired in the main square of the city of Baghdad. Civilized man, for all his progress and sensitivity to the well-being of his fellows, was given a rude view of just how far we may have come, and a shocking realization that there were still people on this earth who had not yet cast off the savagery of primitive man. Not since Hitler has the world of civilized men witnessed such insane behavior, and the parallel is an appropriate one, for the reasons that drove the Iraqi generals were the same reasons spouted by the murderer of Europe three decades ago.

It is inconceivable that today men should die because of their religious faith, but that was the case in Iraq. It is inconceivable that the world should again see the return of Nazi racism, but it lives in Iraq. It is inconceivable that law should be corrupted by the forced lending of its name to the so-called trial that condemned to death nine Jews in Iraq, but the kangaroo court did just that. It is inconceivable that the screams of anguish from respectable people in nations around the globe should not shatter the night, but too few have raised the voice of protest against the hangings in Baghdad. All humanity stood with those nine men on the scaffolds of tyranny, and all humanity suffers for their loss.

The immediate repercussions of the mass execution in Iraq will be an increase in the already electric atmosphere of the Middle East, where an embattled Israel awaits another thrust at its borders. Israel's defensive measures in the past have drawn strong protest from many governments and world leaders, but as Israeli officials have noted, not to answer could only be considered a sign of weakness in the Arab capitals. So long as the United Nations and the diplomats can find no solution and can gain no cooperation from the intransigent few, the only course open to Israel is to maintain its strength and fight fire with fire. The thousands of appeals for peace that have come from Israel have been met with thousands of acts of terrorism and vio-

The possibilities of another war in the Middle East are great and the chance that another war could entangle the United States and the Soviet Union in a nuclear confrontation is particularly frightening. President Johnson referred to the "fires of hostility" in the Middle East and President Nixon has said those fires could set off the "powder keg." The task of extinguishing those fires and defusing that powder keg was made all the more difficult by the Iraqis and their death penalty to the 14 supposed spies. It is up to the statesmen to dissuade the Iraqis from pursuing their course of political folly and inhumanity.

Mr. Speaker, I shall not recall the many times I have stood in this House to reaffirm my support for Israel, the record is clear and I am proud of that record. It was satisfying to me that the United States and Israel have concluded the preliminary discussions for the sale of the F-4 Phantom jet aircraft so vitally necessary for the defense of Israel. It would be even more satisfying if the other suggestions for mutual defense and

a firm commitment of support were also enacted. It is saddening, however, to hear the rebukes cast at the Israelis for the defensive measures they have taken to protect themselves. After the public execution of 14 men in Baghdad, nine of whom were apparently killed because of their religious faith, I wonder if perhaps some of those who castigated Israel can now see the necessity for self-preservation that motivates the Israeli defense policy.

SOVIET ECONOMIC BLOC STALLED BY TWO KEY PROBLEMS

HON. JOHN O. MARSH, JR.

OF VIRGINIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, February 3, 1969

Mr. MARSH. Mr. Speaker, continually there is a barrage of Sovlet propaganda boasting of the tremendous economic progress that they are making. Apparently, these boasts are aimed principally at the uncommitted nations of the world to convince them that the communistic economic system is the wave of the future, as well as to indict our own economic system.

Because these Soviet claims are really their own Alice in Wonderland edition of economics, I thought the following news story, which appeared in the Sunday, February 2, 1969, edition of the New York Times, describes the true state of affairs in their economy, and would be of interest to the Members. In all events, I think the news story shows that they are not telling it like it is.

The article follows:

[From the New York Times, Feb. 2, 1969] SOVIET ECONOMIC BLOC STALLED BY TWO KEY PROBLEMS

(By Tad Szulc)

Vienna, January 31.—After 20 years of existence, Comecon, the Soviet-bloo Council for Mutual Economic Assistance, finds itself at a crossroads and bogged down in controversies and disagreements.

Public speeches and articles in Communist capitals as Comecon was celebrating its twentieth anniversary on Jan. 25 in East Berlin reflected the organization's shortcom-

Trade statistics show that most of Comecon's eight members—the Soviet Union, East Germany, Poland, Hungary, Bulgaria, Rumania, Czechoslovakia and Mongolia—run deficits with the West and even with underdeveloped nations because of their inability to gain solid export markets and compete with Western producers.

Within the bloc, Poland, for example, buys from East Germany almost twice as much as she is capable of selling the Germans. Czechoslovakia is owed by the Soviet Union perhaps as much as \$1-billion for credits and the delivery of industrial goods, although precise amounts cannot be calculated because of the complexity of artificial exchange rates within Comecon.

DEVELOPMENT GAP WIDENS

Open criticism in the European Communist countries shows concern not only that Comecon is lagging in foreign trade, but also that the gap in economic development between Eastern and Western Europe is steadily widening.

The Comecon countries recognize that they have not come to grips with the modern tech-

nical-scientific revolution, ranging from adequate use of computers to quality control in production.

When individual countries have attempted to remedy these problems, Communist ideological considerations dictated from Moscow and the weight of tradition and bureaucracy have interfered.

Czechoslovakia's plans to reform and modernize the economy to make it competitive were halted by the Soviet-led invasion last Aug. 20-21. Hungary is proceeding slowly and cautiously with her economical reform. Bulgaria, in grave economic trouble, has mapped but not yet begun a "new economic mode."

RUMANIA TESTS REFORMS

Rumania, still something of a maverick in the Communist system despite the Soviet example in Czechoslovakia, has been experimenting for a year with an economic reform. This emphasizes decentralization, selfmanagement in industry and "intensive," rather than "extensive" industrialization.

Rumania has broken with Soviet dogma in de-emphasizing "extensive" development, spreading all through a country's industries in favor of "intensive" development of specific industries in selected areas.

The two key problems facing Comecon are possible economic integration—advocated by the Soviet Union, which launched Comecon in 1949—and appeals for the creation of a multilateral convertible currency to make trade more realistic.

The Comecon council session in East Berlin pointed up the disagreements within the bloc on these two points. Aside from Poland, Bulgaria and Mongolia, the other Comecon countries oppose Integration because they think it will damage their economies.

The Soviet Union is against a "hard" bloc currency presumably because this would deprive it of control over Comecon trade through the manipulation of the others' artificial currency rates.

EARLY MEETING WAS FORESEEN

Since late last year, the expectation was that the top Communist party and Government leaders of the eight countries would convene early this spring to debate the problems of both economic integration within Comecon and greater military integration under the Warsaw Pact military alliance.

Indications that the economic integration problem had not been resolved in East Berlin were given by Horst Maurer, writing last Sunday in Neuer Weg, a German-language daily published in Rumania. Because the Rumanians stanchly oppose economic integration in Comecon and all other forms of communist "supra-national" arrangements? Mr. Maurer remarked, integration would have to be discussed at a "fuller meeting" of Comecon members and Government Leaders.

Comecon members and Government leaders. Sentiments on integration vary sharply from country to country, according to individual economic positions.

SOVIET WANTS INTEGRATION

The Soviet Union advocates integration, with a meshing of national economic plans, joint investment policies and a controlled division of industrial production. The Soviet stand reflects annoyance over the country's position as a supplier of raw materials to Comecon partners.

Soviet statistics published last month show that in the last 16 years the Soviet Union was the only Comecon country where the percentage share of national income derived from industry had fallen.

Economists attribute this situation to new Soviet concentration on agriculture and to trade patterns in Comecon.

To combat this trend, Soviet publications indicate, Moscow would like to raise the prices of raw materials sold to Comecon partners in the 1970-75 period and to encourage industrial investments by them in the Soviet Union. Neither possibility appears to have produced much enthusiasm in Com-

econ, many of whose members are heavy exporters of industrial goods to the Russians.

Poland strongly favors integration because of concern that without it East Germany's trade links with the West will be further strengthened. Poland has a deficit in trade with the East Germans.

The East Germans are perhaps the closest to Moscow in ideology, but they nonetheless oppose Comecon integration because of advantages of their mounting trade with West Germany. The East Germans' relatively high living standards likewise make the thought of integration with less-developed Communist countries unattractive.

For this reason, presumably, a member of the Polish Communist leadership, Zenon Kliszko emphasized in a speech last week that political as well as economic considerations must be weighed in Comecon integration

Rumania opposes integration for political reasons, contending that under integration smaller nations would be penalized.

COMPULSORY INTEGRATION BARRED

The East Berlin communiqué stressed this point, too, recognizing in effect that integration could not be compulsory. Under Comecon statutes, all decisions must be unanimous and this gives opponents of Soviet policies a veto right.

Hungary, to judge from recent public comments, pays lip service to integration. But as the country's economic reform pro-gresses, Hungary's interest is in practical measures such as the agreement, resisted by Moscow, on convertible currency.

Czechoslovakia and Bulgaria have not taken public positions on integration, Mongolia favors it.

COLUMN BY JOSEPH ALSOP AP-TO SUPPORT POSITION PEARS TAKEN BY THE HOUSE COMMIT-TEE ON UN-AMERICAN ACTIVITIES

HON. DEL CLAWSON

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, February 3, 1969

Mr. DEL CLAWSON, Mr. Speaker, in recent days it has been encouraging to note the editorial recognition granted by the Washington Post to the festering crime situation in the District of Columbia and the dawning realization by the Post that the problem may even be of the exact proportions outlined by the President during the campaign, Perhaps similar sanguine emotions are also to be invoked by a column in this morning's Post by Joseph Alsop which would appear to support the position taken by the House Committee on Un-American Activities that there are subversive forces at work in much of the strife in educational system. Mr. Alsop should be warned that such a point of view automatically makes him eligible for dismissal as a mere "red-batter" and "alarmist" in some quarters, as committee members individually and as groups have discovered. Whether Mr. Alsop is willing to assume the titles which go to those who furnish reports like his, or whether those of us who have been so labelled are now to be publicly absolved is still not clear. Hopefully, as in the fight against District of Columbia crime we will go "forward together" to cope with the problem, with the editorial policy of the Post lagging only slightly be-

hind. Under leave to extend my remarks in the Congressional Record the column by Joseph Alsop is included:

FBI SEES NEW YORK SCHOOL CRISIS AS SIGN OF NATIONAL SUBVERSION

(By Joseph Alsop)

New York .- Anyone who wants to measure the real depth and danger of the race problem in America, has only to come to this worried city, endlessly strife-torn by an endless school crisis. The FBI reached the same conclusion as early as mid-October, and has been on the job ever since.

J. Edgar Hoover was shrewd enough, apparently, to spot something that almost everyone else has rather unaccountably missed. The New York school crisis, which is really a horrendous racial confrontation, has been generally treated as a dire but strictly local mess. Instead, it is a national portent

Obviously, the school crisis, in and of itself, has no interest for the FBI. But if one may judge by the questions FBI agents have been asking large numbers of persons, notably including the able city school superintendent, Dr. Bernard Donovan, the FBI has developed a lively interest in a good many individuals and organizations with major roles in the school crisis.

Nor is this so very surprising, if you think about it. It is normal, to begin with, for the FBI to interest itself in the Revolutionary Action Movement, RAM, as it is better known. openly professes allegiance to Robert Williams, Mao Tse-Tung's Peking-based house Negro; and RAM has equally openly sought to recruit "black guards"—junior guerrillas modelled on China's Red Guards.

The RAM leader, Herman Ferguson, recently convicted of conspiracy to murder the two black moderates, Roy Wilkins and Whitney Young, has played a considerable role in both the "demonstration school districts" where the present devil's brew first boiled up in the New York schools. But Ferguson is an old story. What is new is the suggestion, now rather widely heard, that Ferguson's peculiar affiliations are far from unique among the black extremists.

If that were all, however, the whole matter could be left to the FBI. Unfortunately, it is by no means all. Consider, for instance, the just published, truly despairing report on student dissent in New York's High schools by the city's High School Principals Association. The report remarks pointedly:

"Evidence strongly suggest that outside groups and individuals are providing en-couragement, leadership and legal advice to students involved in these campaigns (of disruption)

Abraham Lass, of Abraham Lincoln High School, Brooklyn, chairman of the committee that wrote the report, was asked the real meaning of the foregoing fairly ominous statement. He replied that "kids all over the city don't do the same thing in the same way at the same time without some organization somewhere; and that organization seems to us to be of a highly professional character.

He had some details to add, such as the existence of the well printed, widely circulated High School Free Press, bristling with incitements to violence. "Somebody's paying for it, and the kids certainly aren't," he said.

He would name no names, but it is commonly believed that elements in the Stu-dents for Democratic Action and the African-American Teachers Association are among the "outside groups" mentioned in the principals' report. The African-American teachers, incidentally, are headed by Albert Vann, now under charges for vicious antisemitism.

A week hardly passes in New York without bringing news that violent student dissent and outbursts of race hatred have forced the closing of this or that high school,

or have required police action to keep the school open. Here again, is something very

In former, happier times, the Board of Education would not permit plain clothes policemen to enter any New York school, even in cases of suspected dope-peddling to the school's pupils. Today, uniformed policemen are on regular duty at 165 of the city's 900 schools, by the Board of Education's own request.

What is going on, in plain truth, is nothing more nor less than an attempt to take over the New York schools by very far out extremists, both black and white. Their tools hot-headed kids-in most schools, small minority—and those people in "the black community," again a small minority, whom the black extremists can lead by the

"Community control" is the slogan. Ex-tremists' control is the aim, and behind this aim is the larger purpose of using the schools for propaganda and indoctrination, including black racist propaganda that might embarrass the Ku Klux Klan. And if all this goes on and gets worse, as seems likely, some very hard choices will unavoidably have to be made.

WHAT A LAYMAN EXPECTS OF HIS MINISTER

HON. WILMER MIZELL

OF NORTH CAROLINA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Monday, February 3, 1969

Mr. MIZELL. Mr. Speaker, while in Davidson County in my district in North Carolina this past week, a talk by Mr. Sim DeLapp before the Civitan Club on Monday came to my attention. Mr. De-Lapp is a lifelong resident of Davidson County, and is an outstanding leader and Sunday school teacher in his church.

A copy of his lay speech was handed to me and I was very much impressed by it. How we could all benefit from the challenges offered by Mr. DeLapp. I feel that his message could not only apply to the ministers of our country, but to all laymen of our churches as well.

One generation's Christian experiences will not suffice for another, but each generation must have its own personal experience with the Person of Christ. What a tragedy it would be if the ministers of today should fail this generation. Or will they respond to the commandmant the Lord gave to Peter: "Feed my sheep!"

With permission given, I place Mr. De-Lapp's speech at this point in the REC-ORD, thinking that my colleagues would like the opportunity of reading it:

WHAT A LAYMAN EXPECTS OF HIS MINISTER

Please be assured that only the specific request of the members of this Civitan Club that I speak briefly to the ministers of Davidson County was sufficient to persuade me son County was sunicient to persuade me to brave the dangers incident to my speaking here today on the subject, "What A Layman Expects of the Minister". It was only when your president promised me the security of a rear, escape door that I finally came, One of the simple, but essential things

that the layman expects of his minister is that he be a devout, godly man. Of course, he does not expect perfection, but he expects the minister to be a better man than he is. The minister must have a clearer, more direct line to the Almighty. He must be more like Him, more like His Son, Jesus Christ. The blind can never lead the blind.

2. The serious layman expects his minister to keep the religion he preaches and practices pure and unadulterated. There must be no dilution of religion, no getting down in the gutter, no stretching of the moral code, no happy-go-lucky drinking, no profanity spreading or dirty joke telling in order to bridge the gap between goodness and evil. I have never yet met a worldly man who admired or even respected a loose living, in-

sincere preacher.

3. None of us selects for our family physician or for our surgeon, in case of an operation, a man who does not know more about medicine or surgery than we. He must be a specialist in his field. The layman feels that way about his preacher—if the minister is not a man of God, he should not pose as one. He must have love and forgiveness, tolerance and goodness. The godliness of his profession must show on his face and in his life.

4. Since God made an orderly world, since the quadrillion of solar systems and the satellites surrounding them are never a second late or an inch off course in this vast universe, it is apparent that God is a being who believes in law and order. Thus, His serv ants should be. No serious minded layman wants his minister to encourage or to countenance the breaking of federal, state or local laws, regardless of the cause being espoused or of his own opinion of the justice of the law. The savior of mankind favored rendering to "Caesar the Things That Are Caesar's." He was no insurrectionist. St. Paul, sar's." the greatest preacher since Christ, and living at a time when the tyranny of Rome was most brutal, and when slavery was a wellestablished institution, was never an insurrectionist. Yet there two, Jesus Christ and St. Paul, by their preaching of Christianity, without the pressures of lobbying, rioting, and without sponsoring or introducing a single bill or the enactment of a single law, so moved and changed the hearts and minds of men that the leaven of their religious philosophy brought freedom and true civilization to a dark world in a few short cen-The present ministry must have no place for insurrectionists.

5. The Mighty Ruler of our universe believes in work. The tree in the forest grows
night and day. The sun pours out its warm
rays every second, even if part of our world
is turned away from it a portion of the
twenty-four hour period. The rivers roll endlessly and constantly toward the sea. Nature
works tirelessly in its manufacture of oxygen
for us to breathe and carbon dioxide for the
plant, and throughout the day and night,
it pulls up molsture out of the seas to form
clouds, out of which the rain falls to prevent
the burning and the parching of the blessed
earth. God means for man to work and save,
to earn for himself. Christianity has no place
for louts and loafers. Thus, the layman expects his minister to work and to preach the
sacredness and the necessity for work for all
able-bodied people. Thus, the minister must

able-bodied people. Thus, the minister must not be afraid to say with Abraham Lincoln:
"You cannot bring about prosperity by discouraging thrift. You cannot strengthen the weak by weakening the strong. You cannot help the wage earner by pulling down the wage payer. You cannot further the brotherhood of man by encouraging class hatred. You cannot help the poor by destroying the rich. You cannot keep out of trouble by spending more than you earn. You cannot build character and courage by taking away man's initiative and independence. You cannot help men permanently by doing for them what they could and should do for themselves."

6. One simple but fundamental truth must prevail in every minister's mind and heart if he is to satisfy the expectations of the layman. Man has the power to destroy himself. But great as he is, he does not have the power to save himself. Somebody greater than he, something more powerful, more saving, more everlasting than he must complete the job. A layman has the right to expect his minister to point the way to that saving power.

7. The layman expects his minister to preach the doctrine of a forgiving God and of a redeeming Savior, Jesus Christ. That is his job. The doctor attends to the problems of health. The lawyer looks after the matters of justice and the courts. The educators strives to master the task of teaching children. The statesmen and the political leaders study the affairs of government. Of course, they all blend into each other, but each should know his field better than the other. The preacher cannot properly operate a gallstone, or try a lawsuit or run the government any better than a lawyer can lead a flock of church people to the gates of heaven. Each must stick to his field. The bird dog that starts running rabbits is always discarded.

After all, why should a minister want to veer away from his profession? He works for the greatest employer in all the universe. The one who hires him runs a billion worlds. He makes our sun and billions of other suns to rise and set. All the oxygen, all the rocks and trees, all the stars and moons, all the diamonds, the uranium, the food, the soil, the silver and gold, all the lives of all the people belong to Him. Why should the minister ever want to leave His employment and go elsewhere? His profession is the most honored of any in the world. The products he is supposed to handle are more powerful than those of U.S. Steel, General Motors, and all the rest; they are love, kindness, humility, goodness, cleanliness, morality, and everlast—

Tou represent the grandest profession of them all Stay with your job. Preach sincerely about the love of God, the Father of mankind. Close within your heart, fix your aim upon making the individual man better. Forget the idea of mass production of Christians by legislative flat and save each individual soul by pointing him to the matchless one, the one altogether lovely, spread a ring of goodness around the world. Turn loose the love of God and let it permeate education, medicine, law, business, justice, politics, race, science, morality, men, women and children. That is your honored calling. Do not expect government to make the world better. That is your work, and it is the greatest, the most challenging task in all this confused world.

Maybe this sounds old fashioned, and contains nothing new and spectacular, certainly it will not please the hippies and the anarchists and the troublemakers, but these are the things the layman, young and old expects of his minister and the things for which his heart hungers. Feed him.

APOLLO 9: NEXT STEP TOWARD THE MOON

HON. JAMES G. FULTON

OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Monday, February 3, 1969

Mr. FULTON of Pennsylvania. Mr. Speaker, on February 28, 1969, the United States of America will launch the mission of Apollo 9. Designed to test and evaluate the lunar excursion module, this mission is an important step in our progress toward a lunar landing later

this very year.

It is a pleasure to call the attention of Congress to an excellent description of the Apollo 9 flight appearing in the

February 3 edition of Aviation Week & Space Technology. Without becoming too technical, this article provides us with an appreciation of the intricate and precise maneuvers planned for our next venture into space.

The article follows:

APOLLO 9 READED TO TEST LUNAR MODULE.
HOUSTON.—Most complex and critical U.S.
manned space flight to date will concentrate
on a complete evaluation of the lunar module, without which astronauts cannot land
on the moon and return to earth.

Flight plan for the Apollo 9 mission is

divided into two primary portions:
Full exercise of the lunar module as both an integral and independent member of the Apollo system—a period lasting for about half of the 9-day 22-hr. mission.

Subsequent evaluation by the crew of the command module as a final man-rating of the vehicle for a lunar landing mission.

Flight readiness review for the Apollo 9 flight was completed without major problem areas here last week. National Aeronautics and Space Administration Headquarters Office of Manned Space Flight will be briefed on the operational readiness of the Apollo 9 systems Feb. 6.

There is a list of about a half dozen items still outstanding on the pre-flight check list. These are being resolved, according to program officials at the Manned Spacecraft Center here.

They include items which were found to be behind schedule or lacking in complete qualification some time ago, and which are being routinely brought into the flight system loop.

Pending final approval, the Apollo 9 flight is to be launched at 11 a.m. EST Feb 28 from Complex 39A at the Kennedy Space Center into a nearly circular, 103-naut. ml. orbit on a Saturn 5.

It is to be the second manned flight of the Saturn 5. The first was so successful that it placed the three-man Apollo 8 crew onto an almost-precise lunar trajectory.

The Apollo 9 Boeing S-1C first stage, the North American Rockwell S-2 second stage and the McDonnell Douglas S-4B third and injection stage are programed to achieve insertion at 11 min. 35 sec. of flight with the payload still mated to the S-4B.

It will be the first time in which the North American Rockwell command and service modules will be orbited manned with an operational Grumman Aircraft Engineering lunar module.

NASA has programed the specific flight time into six periods of activity. Five of them are concerned directly with a demonstration of crew/space vehicle interface covering half of the overall mission.

The Apollo 9 crew throughout the mission will, in effect, be simulating an actual lunar landing and return.

The crew includes Air Force Cols, James M. McDivitt and David R. Scott, both of whom flew in Gemini missions, and a space rookle, Russell L. Schweickart, one of the first civilian astronauts selected.

McDivitt, as spacecraft commander, will initiate separation of the command and service module from the S-4B and lunar module. Separation, at about 2 h. 43 min. groundelapsed time, will be effected with a 7-sec. burn of the service module's reaction control system for a 1-fps. velocity increase.

system for a 1-fps. velocity increase.

Planners expect that the command and service modules will be maintained at about 10-50 ft. from the S-4B stage and the lunar module during a 1 hr. 25 min. coast period for continued systems evaluation.

At about 4 hr. 8 min. ground-elapsed time, McDivitt and his crew will turn the command and service module around to face the lunar module and begin the docking and election sequence.

NASA has completed a relatively major change in that sequence. It involves expl sive separation of the four panels of the spacecraft/lunar module adapter, blowing the panels physically away from the S-4B and leaving the lunar module exposed.

There was some fear that the exhaust plume of the command module's reaction control system might impinge on thermal protective areas of the lunar module if the panels were left open at 45 deg. as it had been originally planned.

The command and service module will be docked to the upper hatch of the lunar module, and the mated system will be separated from the S-4B at about 3 fps. to a distance of 500 ft. The S-4B itself will be fired out of plane to a solar trajectory in the same method used during the Apollo 8 mission.

In a docked mode, the Apollo 9 crew will initiate a series of firings of the Aerojet-General service module engine, the Rocketdyne attitude control system and the TRW lunar module descent engine.

The Bell lunar module ascent engine, critical to the lunar landing in that it is necessary to launch the upper stage of the module from the moon's surface, will be fired on the flight only briefly while the system is docked.

First docked burn of the service propulsystem's 20,500-lb.-thrust engine will be at 6 hr. 1 min, ground-elapsed time to change orbit to 131 x 113 naut. mi. The 5-sec. burn will effect a 36.8-fps. velocity in-

After that, the crew will be permitted to rest—starting at about 8 p.m. EST—lasting to the second activity period beginning at 22 hr. ground-elapsed time.

The second period will make extensive use of the service propulsion system, which is necessary on a lunar-oriented flight to achieve lunar-orbital insertion and a transfer to an earth-return trajectory.

THREE BURNS SET

Three burns are scheduled, resulting in a 115 x 271 naut. mi. orbit over a 6-hr. dura tion. The burns range from 28 sec. to 277.6 sec., the last imparting a 2,548-fps. velocity increase.

Key period of evaluation of the lunar module systems is to start at 40 hr. groundelapsed time.

A major concern during lunar module systems evaluation is the necessity of re-moving the probe and drogue connecting the two spacecraft through a combined docking hatch and tunnel.

Schweickart is to open the command module hatch and remove the probe and drogue. He will store them inside the command module, crawl through the tunnel and attach his environmental control system to the lunar module hookups.

Schweickart will be joined by McDivitt, and the two will activate the lunar module systems while Scott, remaining in the command module, will monitor command module

McDivitt and Schweickart will fire the descent propulsion engine of the lunar mod-ule for 364 sec. at about 49 hr. 43 min. ground-elapsed time.

The total velocity increase of 1,698 fps. will be exercised under full use of the lunar module's primary guidance/navigation/communications system but will have little effect

upon orbital parameters. On that maneuver, the crew will throttle the descent engine over its full range, from about 10% of thrust to 100%.

If they are satisfied that the lunar module systems are operative, the crew will return to the command module for a fifth burn of the service propulsion system.

The burn will circularize the orbit at 133 naut. mi. to prepare for the fourth period of activity.

After a period of rest ending at about 69 hr. into the flight, Schweickart and McDivitt again will transfer into the lunar module. Schweickart will don his Hamilton Standard portable life-support system and will exit the lunar module through the forward hatch.

Schweickart's extra-vehicular activity first for the U.S. manned space flight program since the end of the Gemini series in No-

vember, 1966, will last about 2 hr. Schweickart will leave the lunar module at about 71 hr. 40 min. ground-elapsed time and will first stand on the small ledge outside the hatch to evaluate the so-called "golden slippers" placed there to enhance stability while he takes photographs.

Schweickart's main task during initial ex-

tra-vehicular activity will be to examine whatever pluming of the reaction control sys-tem has impinged on the lunar module's thermal skin and to retrieve some samples of affected areas.

HAND BAILS

His primary task will be to work his way, using small hand rails, over the top of the module to the command module and to enter it. He will deposit his camera and samples there, and then will exit the command module and return to the lunar module along the same route.

NASA wants to insure that it is possible for crew members to transfer from the lunar module through a separate hatch to the command module if, for some reason, the docking tunnel is blocked.

McDivitt is not scheduled to perform any extra-vehicular activities during the mission, although he will have a portable lifesupport system in case Schweickart needs assistance

Schweickart need be attached only by tether, since his backpack will supply both environmental controls and communications to the lunar module.

The two crewmen will transfer back to the command module for a rest period.

The next critical phase of the mission will be to have the two crewmen return to the lunar module and separate it from the command and service modules

The service module's reaction control system will be fired at about 93 hr. groundelapsed time to effect separation at a rate of 5 fps. for 10.9 sec. The maneuver will phase the two spacecraft over a period of 5 hr. to simulate the descent and ascent portions of the lunar landing mission.

The first lunar module descent stage burn in the rendezvous profile for 5 fps. will be radially down. In gross perspective, the path will resemble a football, in that the lunar module's motion follows such an outline.

The command module will be relatively passive, but can maneuver in mirror image of the lunar module's profile if the smaller vehicle does not perform as well as expected

McDivitt and Schweickart will fire the de scent propulsion system first for 7 sec. to settle propellant ullage, then at 10% thrust for 15 sec. and again at 40% throttle for 10.2

That will initiate an 85-fps, velocity change radially upward and backward from the command module to start the trace of the football.

The equiperiod trajectory will have an apogee and perigee about 11.2 naut. mi. above and below that of the command module. Maximum range between the two at the horizontal crossing of the football will be 47.9 naut, mi.

The maneuver to simulate insertion into lunar orbit after ascent from the surface is designed to fire the descent engine for 24.8 sec. at 10% thrust level.

Resulting coast period of 40.32 min. will be interrupted by manual staging of the lunar module, firing the reaction control jets on it for about 30 sec. to achieve a downward

thrust that will bring the spacecraft curving forward again along the football profile. The descent stage will remain in orbit. Alone in the ascent stage, McDivitt and Schweickart will once again thrust, this time

firing the Bell engine for 3.1 sec. to provide forward and increasing upward motion to reach the command module.

Final maneuvering along that trajectory will use the lunar module's reaction controls to complete the terminal phase and permit docking.

The crew will transfer to the command module and will jettison the lunar module ascent stage after setting up the program to

fire the ascent engine in an unmanned mode. That burn, of 360 sec., is designed in length to simulate the lunar ascent from the surface of the moon to the orbit of the command module.

NASA has deliberately scheduled the period between 121 hr. ground-elapsed time to the re-entry and landing at 238 hr. after liftoff for the three-man crew to have time to evaluate the command module and to rest.

They will fire the service propulsion system at least three more times and will prepare the reaction control system as a backup re-entry propulsion in case the main engine becomes inoperable.

But major objectives will have been ac-complished during the maneuvers with the lunar module. In addition to firing of the various engines and docking of the spacecraft, the rendezvous radar will have been exercised along with the abort guidance system.

The abort guidance system is only scheduled to be used once. The TRW system will be used in place of the AC Electronics primary guidance and navigation as a test during the phasing maneuver while the descent propulsion engine is being fired.

TAX REVOLT

HON. JOHN R. RARICK

OF LOUISIANA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Monday, February 3, 1969

Mr. RARICK. Mr. Speaker, most Americans are good loyal citzens and comply voluntarily with the laws-including the payment of their taxes to insure proper operation of their Government.

But taxes continue to rise, seemingly commensurate with inflation, and there is a growing discontent-a revolt-by the taxpayers of America, who have grown tired of a continued barrage of tax increases with a showing of less return from Government for their voluntary contribution.

In the payment of taxes most Americans feel in fairness that every producer or owner should pay his just share and that no one should be given preferential treatments or loopholes to avoid his share of the debt obligation.

I include an interesting report from ne U.S. News & World Report for February 10 on the tax revolt and a clipping from the Evening Star for January 31, 1969, following my comments:

(From the Washington (D.C.) Evening Star, Jan. 31, 1969]

WHAT EVER HAPPENED TO PIERRE SALINGER?

Pierre Salinger is alive—and living in Paris. His former neighbors in Lake Barcroft, Va. will be happy to hear that he's doing quite well on more than \$50,000 a year from a new

He and several other former Kennedy men have gone into real estate. And they've done it in a whooping, far-flung, jet-set way typi-cal of that indomitable cigar chewer and his fellow knights of Camelot.

Salinger, who was President Kennedy's press secretary, is board chairman of GRAM-CO International, a holding company head-quartered in Paris. It operates a Bahamasbased real estate mutual fund that bought 8185 million worth of income-producing property in the United States last year.

The fund's first investment in the Washington area was announced last week—the six-story Wilson Plaza office building at 2425 Wilson Blvd., Arlington, bought for \$1.5

million. But a spokesman said the fund, favoring New York, Washington, Atlanta, Los Angeles and Detroit for its investments, is studying the purchase of another \$50 million worth of property in the Washington area.

Known as the United States Investment Fund, it seeks to sell foreigners on the profit potential of owning a bit of America. It has been successful in Central and South America and is catching on in the Middle East and

Investment in the fund is barred to American citizens, however, because it offers secret, numbered accounts and is based in the Bahamas-where there are no income, sales or inheritance taxes, and where American taxes cannot apply.

With Salinger, overseeing the ambitious two-year-old fund, is Richard K. Donahue, one of Kennedy's staff assistants and close friends. He is board chairman of the Great America Management & Research Co., Ltd., which manages the investment fund.

And among the board members are William P. Mahoney Jr., Kennedy's ambassador to Ghana; Ivan A. Nestigen, who served as undersecretary of health, education and welfare, and other Kennedy men.

But the real estate expert behind the whole organization is incredibly young Keith Barish, a 25-year-old Miami investor who started his first bank at age 19. He originated the international real estate mutual fund concept and brought in the other well-known figures as stockholders.

"Our acquisition program in the past year has made us one of the world's largest purchasers of U.S. income property," said Barish.
"By the end of this year, our real estate
holdings should approach the half-billion-

[From U.S. News & World Report, Feb. 10, 1969]

TAX REVOLT BREWING IN UNITED STATES

(Note.-People are starting to rebel against the tax crunch. In local elections, the lid is being slammed on spending. Politicians are getting the message. But many States, so, are forced to propose additional levies.)

All over the U.S., taxpayers are showing signs of revolt.

More and more bond issues are being turned down. School districts are having to pare budgets as voters get tough.

One city-Youngstown, Ohio-had to close all schools for four weeks at the end of 1968 when money ran out. Similar shutdowns are threatened in some other places. Many schools have had to cut out "frills." pull back on plans to add to teaching staffs.

In the State of New York, Governor Nelson A. Rockefeller seeks to hold down tax boosts by cutting spending on education, health and welfare programs.

An outcry against the constant rise in property taxes is heard almost everywhere. In California, more than 2 million voters are on record as favoring a fixed limit on these

Cities find themselves at the end of the rope on taxation. Voters are not in a mood to put up with heavier burdens. Mayor John V. Lindsay of New York City leads a nationwide chorus of mayors in calls for more help from Washington and State capitals.

Pressure on Congress: President Nixon seeks sharp cuts in federal spending and promises to get rid of the 10 per cent income surtax as soon as practicable. Congress is

under tremendous pressure to end that tax. Politicians on all levels are having to pay attention to the clamor against tax incres This points toward limitations on pay boosts for such public employes as tea policemen, and less spending by local governments on welfare programs.

Also, it adds to demands that the Federal Government find ways to siphon more of its tax revenue to States and localities

Intensifying the reluctance to submit to heavier taxes is the purchasing-power shrinkage that goes along with the rise in

What taxpayers are doing: Surveys by staff members of "U.S. News & World Report" show how resistance to higher taxes is being

expressed. In the Midwest, particularly, this resistance is having a marked effect on school operations.

A large number of school districts have had to eliminate some teaching positions and cut out extracurricular activities after voters turned down proposals for school-tax

A case in point: At La Crosse, Wis., the board of education was forced to slash the school budget by 10 percent-\$707,000. The cutback eliminated interscholastic athletics, killed plans to hire 43 new teachers, wiped out 23 specialist jobs in the school system, did away with after-school intramural sports involving faculty supervision, ended free bus transportation for students who live inside the city limits, and spiked proposed purchases of new textbooks.

In Champaign, Ill., where tax boosts for education were defeated last year, the school district has started paying its teachers with "teacher orders" that can be cashed only at a local bank which is helping to bail the school district out of its financial mess.

A taxpayers' group in Champaign which fought the tax boost charged that there was "too much fat" in the school budgets.

In Danville, Ill., taxpayer refusal to vote funds for schools has necessitated budget reductions which have resulted in half-day sessions for elementary schools and junior high schools and drastic curtailment of extracurricular activities at the senior-

In Decatur, Ill., schools, officials cut out 84 teaching positions and trimmed 1 million dollars off a 13-million-dollar budget when voters rejected tax-increase proposals. The school day has been reduced by one hour.

Three times during 1968, voters in St. Louis defeated a bond issue of 30 million dollars for schools

Rising burden of State and local taxes-tax collections, 1957

[In billions of dollars]	
Property taxes	12.9
Sales tax	9.5
Personal income taxes	1.8
Corporate income taxes	1.0
Other taxes	3.6
Total	28.8

"All they can pay . . .": Why are people voting against school taxes?
Said Maurice W. Scott, executive secretary of the Taxpayers Federation of Illinois: "I

think people feel they're paying all they can pay, that they should have a few dollars left for themselves. School spending is one thing they can control, so they vote 'No'"

Mr. Scott added that opposition to taxes "is the worst I've seen in 22 years. He said he feared that when the State legisla-ture considers new tax bills this spring there'll be strikes or marches on the Statehouse for property tax relief."

Farmers in Du Page County, Ill., staged a tax-protest march on the courthouse August. An organizer of the march said that "farmers' taxes have risen, in various in-stances, between 33 and 150 per cent in one year—and this is just too big a hike."

In Detroit-where voters rejected a schoollevy rise last November-an extended shutdown may occur later this year, officials warn. The school system will show an 8-milliondollar deficit in the current fiscal year.

Detroit's school district is suing the State for more aid, contending that costs of "equal education" are greater in city slums than in affluent suburbs.

A month-long closing of the schools in Youngstown came after voters, over a twoyear period, had turned down six consecutive requests for more money

On another front in the tax rebellion, opposition to higher property taxes is growing rapidly. This is particularly evident in Cali-fornia, according to Howard Jarvis, the chairman of United Organizations, which includes 300 affiliated groups of taxpayers in the State. Mr. Jarvis said:

"If the legislature does not make a very substantial move this year toward total tax reform, they're going to have a real revolt on taxes." Property owners, Mr. Jarvis contends, pay an inordinate share of State taxes.

Tax collections, 1967

In billions of dollars; latest available	ej
Property taxes	26.3
Personal income taxes	5.8 2.2 6.3
Total	

Thus: State and local taxes more than doubled from 1957 to 1967. In 1968, State levies of one kind or another were raised in 14 States and the District of Columbia, and 13 States and D.C. already have announced plans for boosts in 1969. Thousands of counties, cities, towns and special tax districts also are increasing taxes, through higher property assessments or tax-rate increases. or both

Source: U.S. Census Bureau.

Tripled taxes ahead? Current property tax rates in Los Angeles County average about \$10.35 per \$100 of assessed valuation, Mr. Javits said that the rate may triple in five years "unless something is done to create a new system." He added:

"It's pretty well conceded among our members that some will be forced out of their homes. At the end of the year, we were get-ting 80 telephone calls a day from people asking such questions as, What in the world can I do to save my home?' I tell you, there is a revolt in the making, no question about it."

From Melvin Horton, executive vice president of the Property Owners Tax Association of California: "I don't see any organized refusal to pay taxes. Any revolt is likely to be the denial by the voters of various publicfinancing proposals, such as bond issues and school taxes, where the voting public has the opportunity to express directly its feeling that, as taxpayers, they've had it."

An indication of taxpayer dissatisfaction in

California was seen in last November's elec-

Although defeated, a proposition to limit property taxes to 1 per cent of market value and to remove property taxes as a source of revenue for welfare and schools was backed

by more than 2 million voters.

Also defeated on the November ballot was a 2.5-billion-dollar bond issue to finance conetruction of a rapid-transit system for Los Angeles County. It would have been paid for by an increase in the sales tax. The proposed tax rise was considered a major reason for rejection of the bond issue.

WHY YOUR STATE AND LOCAL TAXES ARE CLIMBING: SPENDING BY GOVERNMENT GOES UP ... UP ... UP

[Dollar amounts in billions]

	State-local expenditures		
	1957	1967	Increase (in per- cent)
Social programs:			
Education	\$14.1	\$38.2	171
Welfare	3.5	8.2	134
Health	3.1	6.6	113
Housing, urban renewal	.5	1.5	200
Public services:		153	
Police	1.5	3, 0	100
Fire protection	. 8	1,5	88
Sanitation	1.4	2.6	86
Recreation, natural resources,	1.5	3.6	140
Transportation:	100		70
Highways	7.8	14.0	79
Airports, water transporta-			100
tion	4	3.3	100
General administration	1.7	3.3	172
Interest on debt	3.0	3.0	150
Other programs	3.0	1.5	150
Total	40.4	93. 8	132

Source: U.S. Census Bureau.

Potential trouble: In northern California, trouble is brewing over a proposed sales-tax boost in San Francisco, Alameda and Contra Costa counties to finance the half-completed 1.2-billion-dollar bay Area Rapid Transit system, which needs another 146 million dollars before it can be operable.

Opponents of the sales-tax rise claim that the levy would "soak the poor" who would not use the system. One group is demanding that the money be raised through special assessments on property whose value would be enhanced by the system. Affected property owners oppose that idea.

If some way isn't found to raise the money, San Francisco Bay area residents will wind up with a billion-dollar system of holes in the ground and no rapid transit.

Property taxes in San Francisco were hoisted in December from \$8.60 per \$100 of assessed valuation to \$10.23. This has led to higher rents and bitter complaints from tenants. Taxes elsewhere in the area also are skyrocketing.

Says a "San Francisco Chronicle" reporter, about to retire, whose home in Berkeley now costs him \$120 a month in property taxes: "I've heard of people being taxed out of their homes, but now it looks like it's happening to me. It's unbelievable."

Discontent in Southwest: Signs of taxpayer unrest are seen even in the Southwest, where the total tax load generally is lighter than in most other parts of the country. Take

Houston, Tex., for instance: In January, 1968, Houston voters refused to sanction pay boosts for the mayor and city council, and approved only 4 million dollars out of public-works proposals totaling 70 million. Another attempt was made to win approval of the remaining 66 million dollars in public-works outlays. Again, the voters' answer was, "No."

In the same area, in July, 1968, voters coldshouldered a 61-million-dollar county pro-posal for flood-control and road bonds. Two months later, a 75-million-dollar proposal for a community-college system was voted down.

In Louisiana, Governor John J. McKeithen asked his State legislature for 123.5 million dollars in a broadly based program of new taxes late last year. The legislators balked at adding taxes on anything but gasoline and special fuels,

One strong indication of how politicians are reacting to an "austerity" mood among taxpavers came in New York on January 21, when Governor Rockefeller sent the legislature a 6.7-billion-dollar budget, but asked the legislators to trim that request "across the board" to 6.4 billion

Governor said that such a cutback, combined with a 1-cent rise in the State sales tax, would bring the budget into balance. Mr. Rockefeller said that to raise State taxes any higher than the proposed sales-tax boost would harm New York's competitive position with other States and, furthermore, would "wreck our economy." One budget official commented:

"The basic thing was that we decided

we'd had it on taxes

Governor Rockefeller called for cuts in State aid to education, in welfare spending, and in the State's medicaid program. The Governor said: "To put the brakes on accelerating expenditures will require courage, but a proper sense of responsibility makes the task inescapable."

For the past 10 years, New Yorkers have been asked, year after year, to accept big tax increases to pay for increases in State aid for social programs.

Here are comments from several authorities on tax matters, sizing up the new attitudes of taxpayers-

"GROUNDSWELL OF REVOLT": James E. Finke, executive vice president of Citizens Public Expenditure Survey, a taxpayers" or-

ganization based in New York City:
"The groundswell of revolt against the continued upward leaps of taxes is very strong. But as yet it is unorganized."

C. Lowell Harriss, professor of economics, Columbia University: "Where increases in local expenditures are for welfare and schools, the retired person who has worked and saved may have little sympathy for giving addi-tional pay to teachers or for welfare payments to persons who are alleged to be on welfare because of unwillingness to work."

Elsie M. Watters, director of State and local tax research for the Tax Foundation, with headquarters in New York City: "Reports from taxpayers' associations across the country show that there is more feeling on the part of businessmen and other voters that property taxes are as high as they should

Hubert W. Stone, executive director, Con-

meeticut Public Expenditure Council:
"The biggest opposition is to additional taxes to provide additional government spending in the whole area of welfare. Generally the feeling in Connecticut is that this is an area which has gotten out of hand."

A NATIONWIDE TREND: Frank J. Zeo, executive vice president, Massachusetts Taxpayers Foundation:

"We have noticed in recent months an increased resistance to the whole tax situation, which encompasses federal as well as State and local taxes. I view it as part of a nationwide trend."

Sentiments that appear to be widely held were expressed this way in an editorial—headed "Rebellion by Taxpayers"—in a Wisconsin newspaper, "The Wausau Daily Record-Herald":

. [There are] a number of indications that taxpayers everywhere are insisting that government services be curtailed to check the spiraling taxes. . . Economy in budgets . . . will be insisted upon. Attempting to find new sources of revenue, or new taxes or substantial increase in aid from either the State or Federal Government, is not the answer, because taxes at all levels are pinching the purse of the average American.

STATES PLANNING TO PAISE TAXES IN 1969 Proposals by Governors and official groups Arkansas: Raise income taxes, boost sales

tax from 3 to 4 per cent, cigarette tax by a nickel a pack.

Colorado: Increase gasoline tax a penny a gallon, raise income taxes.

District of Columbia: Sweeping increases in sales taxes—3¢ a pack on cigarettes; autos from 3 to 4 per cent; liquor, restaurant meals from 4 to 5 per cent; new tax on movies,

Indiana: Plans to close tax "loopholes." raising tax collections.

Maine: New personal income tax equal to 25 per cent of individual's federal tax bill; new corporate income tax; penny-a-gallonincrease in gasoline taxes.

New Mexico: A 50 per cent boost in personal income tax.

Nevada: Liquor and cigarette taxes heading higher.

New York: Sales tax to go from 2 to 3 per cent.

Oregon: A new 3 per cent sales tax, along with higher income taxes.

Pennsylvania: New tax on personal in-

Vermont: New sales tax of 4 per cent; higher liquor, tobacco, corporate taxes

These are just samples of proposals made in the opening weeks of 1969. Plans for tax boosts in other States are expected as the year goes on.

UNITED NATIONS DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM

HON. JEFFERY COHELAN

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Monday, February 3, 1969

Mr. COHELAN. Mr. Speaker, 20 years ago the United States embarked on the noble adventure of providing economic assistance to nations less well off than ourselves.

With diminishing vigor we have continued to contribute to the development of the rest of the world.

At the same time our enthusiasm has slackened, the prospects of a world food shortage or overpopulation, or povertyinduced political instability have not slackened

Today, the two-thirds of the world's population who exist on per capita incomes of around \$100 a year are looking to the United States and the developed countries for help. With the new administration in Washington, these people's governments are searching for an indication that the United States will continue, with increased determination, the effort to help the development of their nations.

One of the most effective, and underappreciated programs of assistance to this development effort is the United Nations Development Program, headed by the extraordinarily able Mr. Paul G. Hoffman. The UNDP efforts have been quite successful. Their success serves to kindle the optimism of Mr. Hoffman that the developed nations can be convinced to provide adequate and timely aid to the less developed.

A recent article in the San Juan Star reports on the interest of representatives of developing nations in the U.S. attitude toward foreign aid and the accomplishments of the U.N. Development Program under Mr. Hoffman.

I commend this article to the readers of this RECORD and include it at this point:

THE COST OF FOREIGN AID (By William R. Frye)

MAYAGUEZ .- The greatest challenge, the greatest opportunity, facing Presidentelect Richard M. Nixon, in the eyes of many foreign observers, is not the Middle East or Vietnam or Soviet-American relations. It is to recover lost momentum in the area of foreign aid.

The extent to which development assistance (as they call it) dominates the mental horizons of millions of people in a phenomenon little appreciated in the richer parts of the world. To poor countries, there is no preoccupation that remotely approaches it in

importance and urgency

I have just had this fact brought home to once again, here at an inter-American "Water for Peace" conference at the Maya-guez Hilton Hotel, a pleasant country-clubsized resort on the attractive but little-vis-

ited west coast of Puerto Rico.

Of the 35 governmental and private experts from 22 countries and seven international organizations here to study ways of using water more effectively in economic de-velopment, eight approached me in the first 24 hours to ask anxiously and earnestly what Nixon would do about foreign aid.

They are well aware that in recent weeks two major American study groups have re-leased comprehensive reports, after long inquiry, urging a revamping and revitalization

of the foreign-aid program.

But, they ask, will Nixon's heart be in it? Does he understand its importance? If so, can he get it through Congress? Have the American people irrevocably soured on foreign aid?

There are no ready answers, Nixon has not shown his hand; he may not have given the subject much thought. It probably has low

priority with him.

The President-elect was exposed during a UN visit last month, to the highly persua-sive and superbly informed commander-in-chief of the UN's war on poverty, Paul G. Hoffman. Nixon came away sounding impressed.

Hofman is still the grand old man of eco-nomic development. Despite all rebuffs for the UN's first "Decade of Development" (1961-1971), he refuses to take a defeatist

It took a generation for the idea of conservation to catch on with the American people he recalls. When first broached by Gifford Pinchot in 1912, it was scorned as nonsense. Two hundred years may be neces-sary before everyone pitches in to help with development, he says.

Things are moving somewhat faster than

Ten years ago, when Hoffman first joined the UN's humanitarian "general staff," there exactly \$26.5 million a year to spend on helping two-thirds of the world—2 billion people—lift themselves by their bootstraps. Now the UN Development Program has a

yearly budget of \$180 million. This is still peanuts, but it is a lot more than it was

It is not enough to win the war. Population growth in many of the poorer countries is eating up so much of the increase in gross national product (GNP) that the average net per capita improvement is something like 1.5 per cent-roughly \$1.50 a year, the average per capita income in two-thirds of the world being \$100 a year or less.

But that is not the full story. In 25 of the 44 countries getting the most UN aid, the unadjusted growth rate has been boosted to cent or more, and in two (South Korea and Malawi) it is over 10 per cent. When adjusted to population, economic growth in the 25 countries averaged 3 per cent per capita.

This is not sensational; it is nowhere near

good enough. But it represents some progress, and proves that poverty can be licked

If the birth rate could be kept under reasonable control, the results would be good.

The per capita American growth rate is about

Public dissatisfaction with foreign aid, es pecially in leading donor countries, is a drag on development and a serious challenge to the second Development Decade (1971-1981).

The United States no longer is the world's principal donor country. In proportion to GNP, it ranks seventh.

The American contribution has slipped to 0.7 per cent of the GNP (1967 figures) as against 1.24 per cent for France and 1.01 per cent for the Netherlands, Germany, Britain, Belgium and Australia also devote more their GNP to development aid than the United States does, though in several cases "aid" is broadly defined. The figure for the Soviet Union is roughly 0.1 per cent.

Roughly 85 per cent of the cost of development has been paid, and must be paid, Hoff-man says, by the people of the developing countries themselves. The remaining 15 per cent, while relatively small, is indispensible "if we are to compress within 30-40 years the process of development which took the west-

ern world 200 years."

Growing recognition that this goal must be reached, he says, is "one of the most impor-tant developments in the history of mankind." If so, he is having a great deal to do with making history.

STRIKES AGAINST THE PUBLIC

HON. JOHN M. ASHBROOK

OF OHIO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Monday, February 3, 1969

Mr. ASHBROOK, Mr. Speaker, recent experiences in the area of strikes by public employees illustrate that not only is there required adequate laws to discourage this disruption of public service but firm authorities fully prepared to punish violators. As the Chicago Tribune noted in one of its editorials of February 3, the State of New York has had its share of difficulties in trying to cope with strikes of public employees. The Condon-Wadlin law of 1947 was considered too harsh and was replaced in New York by the Taylor law. Since this law went into effect in 1966 there have been nine illegal strikes to date, the statute has been described as too lenient, and at the present time corrective legislation is again being considered.

As the controversy continues in New York as to best protect the public welfare against strikes by those on public payrolls, it would behoove other States rolls, it would believe the Satter States throughout the Nation to review their legislative machinery for coping with similar eventualities. New York's recent history regarding public employee strikes should be a warning that this issue is fraught with potential strife in which, it seems, the public has suffered the most.

I include the above-mentioned editorial, "New York's Quest for Labor Peace," from the Chicago Tribune of February 3, 1969, in the RECORD at this point:

NEW YORK'S QUEST FOR LABOR PEACE

Nothing that New York state does, as it thrashes about in search of a law which will stop a crippling series of strikes by public employes, seems to work.

The Condon-Wadlin law, passed in 1947 when Thomas E. Dewey was governor, was never wholeheartedly enforced. Its failure was blamed on its severity. It required that any public employe engaging in a strike be

summarily fired.

After the particularly frustrating transit strike of early 1966, Gov. Rockefeller urged revision of the law, and appointed a committee headed by Prof. George W. Taylor of the University of Pennsylvania to changes. The committee recommended doing away with the mandatory provision for dis-missal but proposed that courts be able to impose unlimited fines against unions and deprive them of their dues checkoff privilege whereby the employer deducts union dues from paychecks and turns the money over to the union | for an unlimited period.

The unions denounced these proposals and demanded a law, if it can be called that, without any penalties at all. The haggling went on for two months, until the threat of a police and fire strike in New York City brought passage of a compromise bill backed by Gov. Rockefeller. The Taylor law, as it is called, limits the maximum fine against a union to \$10,000 a day and limits the dues checkoff suspension to 18 months.

The strikes against the public have gone on as usual. The garbage collectors are appealing a fine of \$80,000 against the union and \$250 against their president, but even if they lose, the amount involved is too small to be an adequate deterrent. Teachers, transit workers, and hospital workers, too, have walked off their jobs. In all, there have been nine illegal strikes since the Taylor law was enacted. Dr. Taylor lamented that New York was setting a bad example for other states which face the same problem.

What is wrong? Gov. Rockefeller asked the committee to study the matter again, and the committee reported that the Taylor law is too weak. It repeated its original recommendations with respect to fines and dues checkoffs, Gov. Rockefeller says that the rec-ommendations will be put before the legislature, and we can expect another long verbal

battle in Albany.

New York's trouble should serve as an example for the Illinois legislature, which is going to have to face the problems of strikes against the public here. Already there have been strikes by teachers and bus drivers. The Supreme court has ruled such strikes illegal, but a law is still needed to provide for procedures and penalties to avert them.

New York's experiences should help our legislature to draft the best law possible. But they should also serve as a reminder that the best law possible is not going to stop strikes by public employes unless local authorities are determined to enforce it. The unions in New York have made up their minds that they are going to strike when they want, no matter who is hurt or what the law says, and neither Gov. Rockefeller nor Mayor Lindsay has done very much to cure them of their arrogance.

A TRIBUTE TO A LEADING CLEVELAND CITIZEN

HON. MICHAEL A. FEIGHAN

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Monday, February 3, 1969

Mr. FEIGHAN. Mr. Speaker, some weeks ago, an old and dear friend, Judge Felix T. Matia, of the court of common pleas, Cleveland, Ohio, celebrated his 78th birthday. This festive occasion served to remind us in Cleveland how fortunate we are that our city can claim a man of Judge Matia's talent.

Since Judge Matia has over 50 years of dedicated public service to his credit, together with a host of other laudable endeavors, I thought my colleagues would certainly want to share in a most deserving tribute to a man of great stature and

intergrity

Judge Matia has been a longtime leader of the Polish community and Greater Cleveland. When city parks director, he vigorously championed thoughtful and innovative programs to benefit Cleveland's residents. Judge Matia made an enviable record as city prosecutor. He performed ably as a member of the board of elections and his chairmanship of the executive and central committee was noteworthy.

Judge Matia participated actively in our military efforts during World War I as a lieutenant in the Cavalry and has unceasingly continued to work for the ideas and aims that have helped to make

this country great.

Cleveland is proud of him and I am proud to have him as my friend.

STUDENT STATION WRHS OPENS EDUCATIONAL DOORS

HON. ROY A. TAYLOR

OF NORTH CAROLINA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, February 3, 1969

Mr. TAYLOR. Mr. Speaker, the following article from the National Observer of January 20, 1969, tells an interesting story of a unique radio station serving the town of Robbinsville, N.C., located near the Smoky Mountains National Park

This radio station is unique because it was built and is operated by students of Robbinsville High School, assisted by two faculty members. The students prepare educational and community interest programs, do the announcing, and operate the technical equipment. On two occasions I was interviewed on this station by student announcers, and I was impressed by their dedication and skills and knowledge of government. I know of no better example of the energy and creativity of youth being channeled into a worthwhile operation.

The article follows:

VILLAGE VOICE: STUDENT STATION WRHS OPENS EDUCATIONAL DOORS

Among the giants of broadcasting, radio station WRHS speaks with a whisper, but its voice is having an unmistakable impact in its community. Through the interest the station has aroused, its voice is echoing too in dozens of other communities in other states

WRHS is a year-old campus radio station designed and built by two teachers and staffed by students at Robbinsville, N.C., High School. WRHS is on the air six days a week, providing educational programs to schools and news and features to 3,000 of Graham County's residents who can tune in within its seven-mile range. It is the county's

only radio station.

By itself, WRHS would be only an interesting and minor exercise in ingenuity in the village of 587 isolated in the Smoky Mountains. But speech teacher Henry W. Lamb, Jr., hit upon the radio station as a way to motivate the students to learn better speech. He and electronics-teacher Walter Denton wangled \$2,000 from the Graham County school system, and built the station.

The idea, of course, isn't new. There are

student stations in towns such as Albuquerque; Portland, Oreg.; St. Louis, East Orange, N.J.; and Buffalo, Mo. But these are FM stations, which limit student participation. WRHS is an AM station, whose signal is fed into power lines, which act as antenna. Under Federal Communications Commission rules, students can handle any job on such a station with limited range.

WRHS' key is student involvement. They write many of the programs, do all the announcing, and learn the electronics skills needed to run a radio station. For the particle of their ourse, there is news, cultural enrichment, and a chance to catch up on some of their own missing education.

WRHS' success has brought inquiries from scores of towns in North Carolina, Ohio, Florida, Georgia, and Colorado, all of which see campus radio as a useful educational

tool

DR. BETTELHEIM CALLS UNIVER-SITY OF CHICAGO REBELS PARA-NOIC

HON. ROMAN C. PUCINSKI

OF ILLINOIS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, February 3, 1969

Mr. PUCINSKI. Mr. Speaker, Mr. Bruno Bettelheim, the very distinguished psychologist and educator who teaches at the University of Chicago, said during a press conference in Chicago this weekend that student demonstrators are "sick and in need of psychiatric treatment."

Professor Bettelheim, who was in Germany during the 1930's and saw the rise of Adolf Hitler and fascism, said the demonstrators at the University of Chicago remind him of student unrest in that country.

Dr. Bettelheim personally endured indescribable brutality and terror at the hands of the Nazis and he speaks today of their brutal tactics with a voice of bitter experience.

I am placing in the RECORD today the interview with Dr. Bettelheim as printed in Sunday's Chicago Tribune.

I call my colleagues' attention particularly to that statement by Dr. Bettelhelm in which he points out that the leaders of the demonstrations are "very rational people" who use the mass of "irrational students" to gain their ends.

Dr. Bettelheim's observations follow:
Dr. Bettelheim's Observations follow:

REBELS PARANOIC

Dr. Bruno Bettelheim, widely known University of Chicago educator and psychologist, said yesterday that student demonstrators are "sick and in need of psychiatric treat-

Prof. Bettelheim, who was in Germany during the 1930s and the rise of Adolf Hitler and facism, said the demonstrations at the U. of C. remind him of the student unrests in

"I saw the same thing in German universities which spearheaded the facist government which led to the rule of Hitler," he said. "More disturbing than the minorities who are trying to take over the universities is the attitude of the nation which promotes it."

SPEAKS FOR HIMSELF

Bettelheim, who called a press conference to give his views on the student sit-in which has halted the activities of the school's administration offices, emphasized that he was speaking only for himself. He said some of the demonstrators are "paranoics," but that "there are no more paranoics around than before, but now the mass media give them more attention."

Bettelheim, professor of education, psychology, and psychiatry at the university, is a well known authority on child psychology. He said the leaders of the demonstrators

are "very rational people who are trying to foment a revolution."

"They use the mass of irrational students

Iney use the mass of interiors statements to gain this end," he said. "What they want is Maoism, nihilism, and anarchy."

He said he hoped that the university ad-

He said he hoped that the university administration will not try to use force to clear the six-story administration building of the protestors who have taken it over and held it since Thursday noon.

"I don't believe in violence and I don't want to make martyrs out of anyone," he said.

SYMPATHY REACTION NOTED

He said universities in all countries are "soft spots" in society because students get public sympathy when they are involved in a "confrontation" with police.

He said the ringleaders of anarchy often go to universities to breed revoit because "one, there are lots of young people who are excitable, two, universities won't call in police, and three, if police are called they won't shoot."

Students attending the university enter into a contract with the school, he said, and "if a student disrupts this university, then, in my opinion, he is guilty of a breach of contract."

HON. WILBUR J. COHEN RETURNS TO THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHI-GAN

HON. WILLIAM D. FORD

OF MICHIGAN

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, February 3, 1969

Mr. WILLIAM D. FORD, Mr. Speaker,
te tremendous contributions of Wilbur

Mr. William D. FORD. Mr. Speaker, the tremendous contributions of Wilbur J. Cohen to this Nation for the past 35 years were climaxed by his appointment last year as Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare. His 9 months as Secretary served to emphasize Mr. Cohen's great insight into the problems of our country, and his amazing ability to find ways of solving them.

Mr. Cohen has returned to the University of Michigan faculty as dean of the School of Education, but he has left an indelible mark on the history of this

Nation.

Judith Randal, in an article in the Washington Star, commented:

Cohen has so long been the workhorse of HEW that it is hard to realize he is gone.

We in Michigan are exceedingly proud of Wilbur J. Cohen. Miss Randal's article, reprinted January 26 by the Detroit News, gives an excellent analysis of his many contributions, and I insert it at this point in the RECORD:

CAPITAL APPLAUSE SPEEDS COHEN TO UNIVER-SITY OF MICHIGAN

(By Judith Randal)

Washington.—Probably no top-ranking official involved in the Democratic exodus from Washington evoked so much affectionate respect from his staff as the nation's eighth secretary of health, education and welfare.

Wilbur J. Cohen came to Washington in 1934 as a \$1,500-a-year aide to help draft the original social security legislation. He remained here almost continuously for a third of a century, in the last eight years guiding HEW as a top echelon adviser to a succession of politically appointed superiors.

(Cohen, on the University of Michigan faculty since 1955 but on leave since 1961, is returning to Ann Arbor as the new dean

of the School of Education.)

"It's too bad," one young Washington associate mused recently, "that Wilbur couldn't have been the J. Edgar Hoover of this department. No one knows social issues as he does or can present them as palatably to Congress. And no one knows the temper country so well either-its regional and professional pressure groups, its leaders, its rank and file."

Cohen has so long been the workhorse of HEW that it is hard to realize he is gone. example, when Anthony J. Celebrezze, the former mayor of Cleveland, was secretary during the Kennedy administration, he was so inept at dealing with the multi-billion dollar agency that it was Cohen who kept a large part of the department on an

even keel.

He also could be a loyal and effective alter ego. When John Gardner was secretary in Mr. Johnson's time, Cohen's knowledgeable assistance as under-secretary was of inestimable help to the Great Society programs that concerned HEW, most of which—in-cluding medicare—bore a strong Cohen imprimatur.

The ardor of many a lesser man than Cohen might have been dampened by a lame duck appointment as secretary made before Mr. Johnson announced that would not seek reelection. But that was not

Cohen's style.

His record in nine months as secretary reflected a vigorous, ongoing concern with the needs of the American people rather than what must have been his disappointment at being several times a bridesmaid and only belatedly and briefly the bride.

As recently as his last week in office, Cohen was still holding news briefings to explain the 111/2 pounds of recommendations and reports he submitted after Congress reconvened on

January 3.

The 90-page annual report of HEW alone contains 106 recommendations—a measure of Cohen's breadth of interest and grasp of his department's functions and limitations

They range from the suggested enactment of legislation which would pump money into education by using federal revenues from oil shale and other mineral deposits, to the extension of medicare to the disabled, higher social security benefits for the aged and stepped up efforts against hunger, poverty, ase and environmental pollution.

What is perhaps more important than the details of these proposals is a warning found

in the report:

We are," said Cohen, "increasingly required to make very important and very expensive long-range decisions which, while not irreversible, are very hard to change. If we are not careful, inaction-or doing nothingwill become our chief strategic device, our policy tool."

To lessen this danger Cohen would have the federal government become more active in gathering and disseminating the kind of information which the public can use in formulating its thinking about social plan-

ning. He is ever a populist at heart.

Cohen is also a visionary, who sees need of a new approach to top-level public service, at least in the department he once headed. The secretary of health, education and welfare should not, he believes, be a man or woman who intends to seek election to public office. or a professional specialist in one of the fields of the department's work.
"The decision-making responsibility for

health, education and welfare . . should not be based even in part upon possible impact on the secretary's political future . . . (nor should he) lean toward any individual specialty or group," he has written.

Wilbur Cohen obviously believes that the stewardship of the nation's largest agency concerned with domestic matters should be an end in itself. As he remarked rather wistfully to an acquaintance just before leaving office: "Had it been possible, I would have paid government for the privilege of having this job."

CULLMAN, DE KALB TOP COUNTIES IN FARM INCOME

HON, TOM BEVILL

OF ALABAMA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Monday, February 3, 1969

Mr. BEVILL. Mr. Speaker, the Alabama counties of Cullman and De Kalb in my congressional district, placed onetwo among all Alabama counties in farm income last year for the fifth consecutive time.

This is a record of which we are very proud, Mr. Speaker, and at this time I place in the Extensions of Remarks a recent news story covering farm income in Alabama. The story follows:

CULLMAN, DE KALB TOP TWO COUNTIES IN FARM INCOME

(By Bob Chesnutt)

AUBURN.-Cullman and DeKalb placed onetwo among Alabama counties in farm income last year for the fifth consecutive time.

The gross was \$36 million in Cullman and \$32.5 million in DeKalb, according to Auburn University estimates.

Madison County's \$25.2 million was enough to rank it third, the spot Baldwin had held for several years. Monroe was the only newcomer on the list of 10 counties with highest gross farm income in 1968. It placed seventh with \$19.3 million, and Dallas dropped from the list.

The other seven counties ranking highest and the income of each (in millions of dol-lars) were Marshall \$25; Mobile \$23.4; Baldwin \$23.2; Blount \$20.1; Houston \$18.1; and Walker 17.7.

Figures were compiled from preliminary estimates in annual reports of county Extension chairmen, explained Allen Brown, Extension Service marketing specialist with gov-ernment farm payments included.

Overall, the state's 1968 gross farm income has been estimated at 165 million. That's \$82 million more than in 1967 and \$37 million above 1966, the previous high year.
Livestock accounted for \$444 million and

crops \$321 million of the 1968 gross.

Brown's report also ranked counties according to gross income by commodities. The high five in each of the state's major commodities, with income listed in millions of dollars, were:

Beef cattle: Dallas \$5.3; Marengo \$5.3; Madison \$5.2; Sumter \$5.0; Montgomery \$4.5

Brollers: Cullman 17.5; Walker \$13.5; Winston \$11.9; DeKalb 11.0; Marshall \$10.0.
Cash grain (including corn): DeKalb \$3.4;
Marshall \$2.6; Jackson \$2.4; Cullman \$2.2;

Monroe \$2.1

Cotton: Madison 8.3; Limestone \$7.7; Law-rence 6.3; Marshall \$4.6; Cherokee \$4.3.

Dairying: Montgomery \$4.0; Haie \$3.1; Shelby \$3.0; Baldwin \$3.0; Perry \$2.5. Eggs: DeKaib 6.9; Cullman \$5.4; St. Clair

\$4.3; Shelby 3.6; Marshall \$3.4. Fruits and Vegetables: Chilton \$3.0; Bald-

win \$2.7; Jackson \$2.4; Houston 2.3. Hogs: Madison \$2.6; Houston \$2.6; Geneva

\$2.4; Covington 2.2; Pike \$2.2; Cullman \$2.1. Peanuts: Houston 5.9; Henry 3.8; Coffee \$3.0: Geneva \$2.8: Pike \$2.8.

Soybeans: Baldwin \$5.0; Morgan \$1.9; Escambia 1.5; Marengo \$1.5; Jackson \$1.4. Timber: Monroe \$5.5; Covington \$5.4; Clarke \$5.3; Mobile \$3.9; Tallapoosa \$3.7.

THE SUPREME COURT AND ITS WEIRD DECISIONS

HON. JOHN R. RARICK

OF LOUISIANA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Monday, February 3, 1969

Mr. RARICK, Mr. Speaker, more and more Americans are being jolted into the realization that a revolution is being forced on our people under the smokescreen of "social justice."

The average American is quiet and law abiding, and may never come in conflict with the laws of his society which were tempered by his ancestors in order to perpetuate a society with mutual duties and responsibilities as well as rights.

Now many of these same quiet Americans are being rudely awakened by the enforcement of court decisions which are destructive in nature and are not law in the historic sense that they represent the direction or the feelings of the masses of the people.

The overwhelming majority of the problems which confront the average American today can readily be traced back to the weird and warped opinions of the Supreme Court of the United States.

These awakened citizens are demanding action to regain control of our country under the constitutional system of limited powers always responsible to the people.

Two interesting editorials by Eugene Butler, the editor-in-chief, appeared in the Progressive Farmer for November 1968, entitled, "The Supreme Court and its Weird Decisions," and for December 1968 entitled "The Constitution and the Court

Under unanimous consent I submit the editorials for inclusion in the Congres-SIONAL RECORD, as follows:

> [From the Progressive Farmer, November 1968]

THE SUPREME COURT AND ITS WEIRD DECISIONS (By Eugene Butler, editor-in-chief)

There are several clauses in the U.S. Constitution which the legal gymnasts and men-tal contortionists on the Supreme Court have misconstrued time and time again in such a way as to riddle the spirit and intention of the Constitution

One of these is the general welfare clause in the preamble to the Constitution which says: "The Congress shall have power to lay and collect taxes, duties, imposts, and excises, to pay the debts and provide for the common defense and general welfare of the United States.

This has been interpreted to mean that Congress can do anything that contributes to the general welfare of the nation, regardless of whether it is included among the powers specifically delegated to Congress. Such an interpretation ignores the 10th amendment which reserves to the individual states all powers not granted to the Federal Government. Moreover, it destroys the major parts and purpose of the entire Constitution. Under such an interpretation, the Federal Government can go into any field of activity on the ground that it is promoting the public welfare. If this had been intended, there

would have been no need for the founding fathers to specify the fields into which the Federal Government could enter.

Another gadget the Supreme Court has ed to amend the Constitution by judicial interpretation is the interstate commerce clause. The Constitution gives Congress the power to regulate interstate commerce. But where does interstate commerce begin and where does it end? For instance, the trade of a restaurant in Birmingham, Ala., was limited to local people; but it was ruled in interstate commerce because some of the food it serves was produced outside the state.

Certainly Congress has the power to reg-ulate interstate commerce. But if the phrase "interstate commerce" is to be applied arbi trarily to regulate the entire economic and social life of the nation, the power of the Federal Government goes far beyond the in-tentions of the founding fathers.

A number of years ago, Woodrow Wilson raised the question and gave the answer as to what part of commerce Congress can regulate under the Constitution. "Clearly," he said, "any part of the active movement of merchandise and persons from state to state.

"May it also regulate the conditions under which the merchandise is produced? May it regulate the conditions of labor in field and

factory?

"Clearly not--for that would be to destroy all lines of division between the field of state legislation and the field of federal legislation. Back of the conditions of labor in field and factory lie all the intimate matters of morals of domestic and business relations. which have always been recognized as the

undisputed field of state law.'

A third gadget the Court has used time and time again to sanction its interference in local affairs is that part of the 14th amendment which states: "No State shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States, nor shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty, or property without due process of law, nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal pro-

tection of the laws."

It was this part of the amendment that the Court used to force integration of the races and practically take schools out of the hands of local people. Yet the original Constitution does not mention education and neither does the 14th nor any other amendment. The debates in Congress when the amendment was passed and in the States when it was submitted clearly show there was no intention by its supporters that it should affect the systems of education maintained by the states. In fact, of the 37 states in the Union at that time, 24 had legally sanctioned segregation in their schools. None of the framers of the amendment referred to segregation in any way. time, it simply was not an issue. And there is every reason to believe that had such an

interpretation been placed upon it, the amendment would have been rejected. In its historic school desegregation deci-sion in 1954, the Court was unable to point to a single law or legal precedent to support its position. It refused to consider what the framers of the 14th amendment had in mind or the soundness of previous court decisions. Basing its decisions on the unsworn writings of psychologists of questionable background, Court excused itself by saying "we cannot turn the clock back" to 1868 when the amendment was adopted or to 1891 when a former Court ruled in favor of state control of education. What it meant to say was that the Constitution changes with times and that it is the prerogative of nine men appointed for life to modify and amend the Constitution by judicial interpretation rather than allow these changes to be made by the people through Constitutional

amendments.

Laws must be reasonably stable so that people may know what to expect. If they come to believe that constitutional law is nothing more than what the Supreme Court thinks it is on a particular day, there is danger that acceptance of and respect for the Court will decline, and public support law and order will suffer.

The present Court has made a fetish of overturning decisions of previous Courts composed of men with far greater knowledge of the law and understanding of the Constitution. For example, the Supreme Court on which sat such eminent judges as Taft, Holmes, Brandels, and Stone unanimously ruled in favor of the right of states to decide the issue of segregation without interference of federal courts under the Constitution. How could a Court composed of Taft, Holmes, Brandels, and Stone be wrong, and one dominated by Warren, Black, and Douglas be right?

As a result of its usurpation of legislative power, some weird decisions have been handed down by the Warren Court during the past 10 or 15 years. In a series of decisions, the Court has produced these changes in our lives

1. Barred all religious exercises in schools.

Given the green light to obscenity. 3. Made the task of law enforcement more difficult.

Weakened our internal security.

5. Ordered a drastic and unprecedented reapportionment of state legislatures and other government bodies. (By forcing government bodies to base representation on the one man-one vote principle, the Court destroyed a practice of two centuries and did serious mage to our system of checks and balances To conform to the wishes of the majority of a power-mad Court, we have been forced to alter our whole political structure.)
6. Invalidated state trespass law.

Ruled that the 14th amendment prohibits a state from denying aid to mothers living with men other than their husbands 8. Forced schools to move their students

into particular buildings.

Ordered states to allow illiterates to

10. Ordered states to ask a federal court to approve the validity of a law before it is enforced.

11. Acted to wipe out local self-government and the rights of the people and states.

12. Upheld the right of demonstrators to

invade a privately owned supermarket in a privately owned shopping center. (Ironically, nine days later the Court's own building was overrun by a group of demonstrators who were quickly evicted. The Court had ruled that under the Constitution's free speech guarantee, peaceful demonstrators may invade any location "generally open to the public" because it is the "functional equivalent of public property." But the Court locked demonstrators out of its own building, which is not only the functional equivalent of public property, but is public property.)

13. Practically forced the abolishment of

the death penalty by abrogating the right to exclude from juries those with scruples

against the death penalty.

Members of the Supreme Court are respon-

sible to no one but themselves. They are appointed for life so that they may be above and beyond political strife. Far too often now, appointment to the Court is not based on judicial wisdom and experience. The Court is often used as a sop to Labor, to reward political favors, to give balance to racial and religious groups, or to balance off one section of the country against another. Many of the reasons for life tenure seem to have vanished. So maybe we should recognize the political nature of the Court and subject its members to the same controls imposed on other branches of the Government. Perhaps a constitutional amendment limiting Supreme Court members to 12 years' service is in order. Certainly if the Court is to continue to revise the Constitution to achieve its ideals, it should be made accountable to the people. But how much better it would be if its members imposed on themselves that judicial restraint so important if we are to continue to have a Government of balanced

> [From the Progressive Farmer, December 1968] THE CONSTITUTION AND THE COURT (By Eugene Butler, editor-in-chief)

The great majority of people in this country couldn't care less about appointments to the U.S. Supreme Court. Even the U.S. Senate, which is specifically charged in the Constitution with passing judgment on Presidential nominations to the Court, seldom shows much more than perfunctory interest. It has been 30 years since the Senate blocked President's nomination to the Court, But if five men (court majority) appointed to the office for life are to decide for us such intimate and close-to-home matters as where and how our children go to school and how we are represented in the state legislature, it is high time all of us took more interest in such appointments.

Time was when the Supreme Court interpreted the Constitution as it was written and in the light of the intentions of the wise men

who framed it.

In recent years, however, the Court has embraced the philosophy that the Constitu-tion is not a declaration of fixed or definite principles. The Court's majority now believes that as social and economic conditions change, the Constitution also changes. fact, the Court has acted as though anything it considers good for the nation is possible under the Constitution. And so it is if the Constitution is amended by the people. But certainly our founding fathers never intended to place so great powers over the lives and property of all the people in the hands of nine men appointed for life.

No matter how clearly a document is writit is likely to be interpreted differently by different people at different times. So it is reasonable to expect some disagreement between Supreme Courts of different periods of our history. But today's Court has gone far beyond any reasonable interpretation as to what the Constitution meant to say. It has so twisted, tortured, and strained its meaning that it would not be recognized by its

framers.

Some say that each age is better than the receding one and that the spirit of our times is more important than adherence to a written constitution. Therefore, they insist, the Constitution must be a living document that changes with the times. They argue that the framers of the Constitution, having never seen an airplane nor having lived under the threat of a nuclear bomb, couldn't possibly know the demands of modern morality and equity.

Except for relatively few amendments, the text of the Constitution has remained unchanged. The old symbols are still there. But the present Court keeps dragging new meanings from the same old text. It reinterprets the text according to what it regards as the felt needs of our contemporary society. It seeks to find in the Constitution an "ideal." Then when it thinks the country is ready to accept that "ideal," it acts to give it effect. What this means is that the Court is revising the Constitution by judicial interpretation.
In fact, the Court has come to consider

itself the conscience of the nation. It says in effect: "The President has failed to urge and Congress has failed to act in doing what needs to be done. Therefore, we will do the

job they failed to do."

But there is nothing in the Constitution that gives the Supreme Court this right, and to the degree to which it has usurped the legislative function, the balance of power between the executive, judicial, and legislative branches has been destroyed.

The Courts' use of judicial power to force social and political reform on the nation is endorsed by many because they approve of the reforms. And we are certain that the majority of our people fully recognize that many of the governmental changes made during the past 30 to 40 years, although of dublous constitutionality, were badly needed. But everything good for the nation is not necessarily constitutional. And many such changes, badly needed as they were, would cause the constitutional fathers to writhe in their graves. Such changes should have been made by the people through amendments to the Constitution rather than by nine fallible men sitting on a high court. This is important because if the Court is allowed to amend the Constitution through judicial interpretation to accomplish good results, in time the use of such power by the Court will be firmly established and in the hands of those who will abuse it.

George Washington recognized this danger, and in his farewell address, he cautioned:

"If, in the opinion of the people, the distribution or modification of the constitutional powers be in any particular wrong, let it be corrected by an amendment in the way in which the Constitution designates. But let there be no change by usurpation; for though this in one instance may be the instrument of good, it is the customary weapon by which free government is destroyed."

Our forefathers came to this country to escape an oppressive government. They had had a belly full of an arbitrary central government far removed from local affairs. And they were in no frame of mind to allow members of a court appointed for life to rule the country through judicial interpretation. The 39 men who signed the document realized they could not draft a Constitution that would be right for all time to come. So they provided a manner and means of adapting it to changing conditions. This method—amendment by the people—has been used 24 times and is the only legal way to change the meaning of the Constitution from that intended by its framers.

THE MILITARY SPENDING SPONGE

HON. JEFFERY COHELAN

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Monday, February 3, 1969

Mr. COHELAN. Mr. Speaker, increasingly Congress, the press, and the American public are becoming aware of the tremendous drain on our economy and on the quality of American life that our defense expenditures now exert. The intricacy of complex defense weapons systems, the lack of competition which would insure efficient production, and the tenuous nature of checking defense profits—all these make even more urgent the need for carefully scrutinizing defense budget requests and for auditing defense expenditures.

The United States was rightfully impressed in 1960 when President Dwight Eisenhower, in a speech that promises to have historical significance, warned us about the dangers of the industrial-military complex. At that time the Department of Defense was awarding approximately \$29 billion a year in prime contracts. Now the figure has grown to more than \$43 billion. The so-called thin antiballistic-missile deployment alone will cost over \$5 billion.

Recently, in its lead editorial for January 30, the Washington Post sounded a timely warning to Congress about the disproportionate demands which the military makes in comparison with funds

spent on domestic programs, so crucial to the well-being of our citizens. It warns that somewhere, in the maze of increasingly fantastic demands, "Congress must begin to face the realities. Military needs seem to be like a sponge that is never filled."

Mr. Speaker, I insert the full text of the editorial at this time and urge its careful consideration by Congress, as follows:

THE MILITARY SPENDING SPONGE

Any survey of Federal spending these days leads inevitably to the conclusion that the needs of national defense outrank, in our priorities, the urgent domestic programs which may make the difference between a bearable and an unbearable life in the Nation's cities or farms. There is some logic in this, of course, for we cannot deal effectively with our domestic ills unless we are, first of all, free from external threat. So, on its face, there is nothing wrong with the fact that it is easier to sell Congress a shiny new missile than a slum clearance project, or that the Defense Department gets almost 40 percent of the Federal budget, or that just one part of the Pentagon's activities—research, development and testing of new weapons-gets more money than all the programs of the Department of Urban Development. There is some logic in this, however, only if this massive grant of money to the military is wisely spent. And that is what is so disquieting about the recent report by Bernard D. Nossiter in this newspaper about the performance of our defense planners and our military contractors-because what it says, quite starkly, is that new weapons systems consistently cost far more than originally estimated and consistently fail to perform up to the specifications set for them

A report by a Government analyst involved in military programs says that weapons systems with sophisticated electronic components encounter delays averaging two years in their completion, run up costs of 200 to 300 per cent more than anticipated, and have reliability, when they are completed, of less than half of that promised. It is hard to keep from wondering whether military dollars are being well spent and whether Congress would authorize the new systems in the first place if it knew what the ultimate results would be. It is even harder to keep from wondering about these questions when it is clear that the fallure of some aerospace contractors to meet the terms of the contracts they win has nothing to do with the

profits they make. It is undoubtedly true, as the Pentagon is sure to tell us soon, that modern weapons systems are fantastically complex and that a high degree of risk is involved in their development. It may also be true that a new weapons system, developed at three times its anticipated cost and reliable at less than 50 per cent of its contract specifications, provides, nevertheless, a substantial improve-ment in the Nation's defenses. But the question that needs to be answered is whether the aerospace contractors and the military promise far more than they can deliver in order to win funds from Congress. If Congress approves a new system that is claimed to improve our defenses by a factor of 4 three years from now at a cost of \$1 billion, it ought not to wind up buying a system that takes 5 years to install at a cost of \$2 billion and improves defenses by a factor of 2. may be that the latter would be worth the additional cost and time but it may also be that Congress wouldn't have approved the program if it had known what it was really

On this kind of issue, of course, it is almost impossible for laymen to oppose the judgment of military experts and systems analysts. Yet, the questions persist. Why does the Government accounting Office have more men poking into the affairs of Pride, Inc., the local antipoverty agency, than it does poking into the affairs of the aerospace contractors who spend more money before lunch than Pride will ever spend? Would Congress tolerate for a second the kind of performance on the development of antipoverty and anticrime programs that it tolerates on the development of military weapons systems? Why does a company that consistently promises more than it delivers continue to make substantial profits? Do the vest outlays for weapons development and procurement really bolster national defense as much as they appear to?

These questions are particularly pertinent this year. The military wants to embark on a vast, new antiballistic missile system that will, before it is completed, eat up billions of dollars. Yet every proposal to Congress for mundane things from funds for the Washington area's rapid transit system to new outlays for housing or education—encounters the argument that the money simply isn't there. Even the hope of many that an end to the war in Vietnam would free great sums of money for domestic programs—programs, we are now told, which may be illusory. Pentagon officials now warn that lower expenditures in Vietnam will simply break the dam that has been imposed on requests for all three military services for substantial investments in new weapons systems.

Somewhere in this maze, Congress must begin to face the realities. Military needs seem to be like a sponge that is never filled. If the money soaked up frequently buys less than is bargained for, the question is how much more should be poured in before the methods of development and procurement radically changed. Just as there is a minimum level of national security that must be maintained, so there is a minimum level of domestic programs that must be sustained; the Government ought not to have standards of performance in one field than in the other; on the contrary, the greatest caution and the greatest care should be given to that category of spending which has been granted, of necessity, the highest priority; it is the ease with which Congress will contemplate an outpouring of billions in the name of defense that makes it so easy for this sort of spending to get out of hand.

IRV KUPCINET—DEAN OF AMERI-CA'S CONVERSATIONALISTS—OB-SERVES 11TH ANNIVERSARY OF KUP'S SHOW

HON. ROMAN C. PUCINSKI

OF ILLINOIS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Monday, February 3, 1969

Mr. PUCINSKI. Mr. Speaker, this week marks the 11th anniversary of one of the most interesting, innovative, enterprising, informative, and intelligent television shows in America.

It marks the anniversary of Kup's Show, which is telecast every Saturday evening on the National Broadcasting affiliate in Chicago, WMAQ—Channel 5. The originator of this very exciting

The originator of this very exciting program is Sun-Times columnist, Irv Kupcinet, who today stands out as one of the Nation's most eloquent columnists and whose reputation as a perceptive conversationalist is recognized all over the world.

Kup is today the dean of American conversationalists. During the past 11 years, Kup has brought to the people of the Midwest conversations with practically every individual of consequence in every sector of social achievement.

Kup's Show is an institution and one

in which every American can take pride. Through his Saturday evening talk sessions, Kup has given television a whole

new dimension of meaning.

Because of his own personal sense of fair play, he has been able to bring into the living rooms of millions of American families discussions and conversations with people from all over the world whom Americans otherwise would never have an opportunity to meet or hear.

The fact that this program has survived 11 years in the highly competitive marketplace of American television is perhaps the highest singular tribute that anyone can pay to Kup and all those who assist him in putting his program

together every week. Kup's "secret weapon" is his lovely wife, Essee, who does a great deal of the research for his programs and who in her own right stands today as one of the most highly respected ladies of tele-

vision in America.

Working together, the Kupcinets have made a tremendous impact on our Nation. It would be difficult to fully measure the enormous contribution Kup has made for American televiewers to ob-serve first hand the lively art of discussion on major issues and problems confronting our Nation and the world.

Kup's own uncanny ability to lead a discussion into never-ending facets of excitement has helped build and keep an audience for more than a decade.

The National Broadcasting Co. and Television Station WMAQ in Chicago perform an outstanding service to the American community by carrying Kup's

The distinguished television critic Paul Molloy paid a well deserved tribute to Irv Kupcinet in last Sunday's edition of the Chicago Sun-Times

I consider it a privilege to reprint Mr.

Molloy's article in the RECORD today.

Irv Kupcinet belongs among those meaningful Americans who through hard work, zeal, dedication, and an undaunted search for the truth, has given television an imposing dimension of service to the Nation.

Kup is no ordinary reporter. His 11 years on television and his many years as a columnist for the Chicago Sun-Times raise him to enviable heights in American journalism.

It is a privilege to join today in the tribute to Irv Kupcinet, and his wife Essee, on their 11th anniversary as moderators of Kup's Show.

Mr. Paul Molloy's article follows:

HARDIEST TALK SHOW (By Paul Molloy)

The cover picture of Sun-Times columnist Irv Kupcinet in this issue of TV Prevue shows him in possession of a very ordinary-looking left ear. This is extraordinary because the appendage should bear some resemblance to a cauliflower.

That it appears normal is remarkable for Kupcinet, as part of the hazards of being a newspaper-television-radio celebrity, receives or makes at least 100 telephone calls each

"There are many days," says his secretary, Raeona Jordan, "when the calls go as high as 135."

This week, Kupcinet's videoaudience-grabber, Kup's Show, now on Ch. 5, goes into its 11th year-the longest-running conversation program on American television. For most of its video run Kup's Show was seen, on other stations, at the post-midnight hour on Saturdays and, for various reasons, a good many viewers found it difficult or impossible to sit through 3 a.m. (or sometimes later) to hear all of his guests.

Kup's show started as At Random on Ch. during the first week of February in 1958. Four years later it moved to Ch. and, last November, found a more comfortable berth at Ch. 5, the NBC outlet. It now airs after Ch. 5's 10 p.m. newscast, a welcome change of niche for viewers who must be out-of-bedand-about on Sunday mornings

One of the things that are little known about Kupcinet is that his wife, Essee, spends a great deal of time doing research for him.

Kupcinet's format, whose guests have ranged from heads of state to hopeful Hollywood starlets, is now syndicated in Rockford Milwaukee, Cleveland, Philadelphia and San Francisco. This year there will be an increase in out-of-town originations, such as New York and Washington, and, in April, Kupcinet will emanate a show-business discussion from Hollywood during the Oscar Awards

The wonder of it all is that Kupcinet can squeeze so many activities within his allotted working time which takes in most of the day and a good part of the night. Besides his widely-quoted six-a-week column in The Sun-Times and his Kup's Show on television, is also Jack Brickhouse's WGN-Radio partner during the Chicago Bears broadcasts and does numerous radio and television appearances here and in many other cities.

His other public appearances are simply numerous to mention. They include benefits and charity functions for individuals as well as civic, business, church and school groups and being master of ceremonies or guest speaker at countless public affairs. Often, when he shows up late at a luncheon, it is because he is on his third or fourth inand-out stop-in since noon.

Kupcinet is both too busy and modest to talk about his activities. But Paul Frumkin, who has been his TV producer since the video program started in 1958, puts it this way

Despite all of the things that Kup does, he has always considered himself (and still does) a newspaperman who happens to do television and radio and other chores.

"A couple of days before the taping of his show he does his homework, preparing for the program, reading up on his guests and their accomplishments. He gets to the studio a couple of hours before taping begins, still making notes. When taping is to begin and he walks to the set he looks completely relaxed. But he does admit having butterfiles in the stomach. After all, even Bob Hope, who is supposed to be the most relaxed person on television, concedes to a certain nervousness before the show begins.

"With him, it's a matter of a good constitution, an excellent memory, lots of preparation and very little sleep."

Quite often readers will ask me, or ask "Mr. Chicago" himself, the recipe for breaking into television and making a go of it.

I can think of no better response than to repeat Frumkin's words: ". . . a good constitution, an excellent memory, lots of preparation and very little sleep."

And the key phrase is: "Lots of prepara-

tion."

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES—Tuesday, February 4, 1969

The House met at 12 o'clock noon. The Chaplain, Rev. Edward G. Latch, D.D., offered the following prayer:

This I command you, that you love one another .- John 15: 17.

Dear Lord and Father of mankind, our spirit's unseen friend, we pray for every effort which is being made for peace and justice, for brotherhood and good will in our Nation, and throughout the world.

Breathe Thy spirit into every human heart that men may brothers be, and learn to live together in love, with understanding, and for the benefit of all Thy creatures.

Bless Thou our President, our Speaker. the Members of this House of Representatives, and all who labor under the glowing dome of this glorious Capitol. Preserve their health, give them wisdom, broaden their vision, and guide their aspirations that together we may seek the good of all mankind.

In the Master's name we pray. Amen.

THE JOURNAL The Journal of the proceedings of yes-

terday was read and approved.

APPOINTMENT AS MEMBERS OF THE AMERICAN REVOLUTION BICEN-TENNIAL COMMISSION

The SPEAKER. Pursuant to the provisions of section 2(b), Public Law 89-491, as amended, the Chair appoints as members of the American Revolution Bicentennial Commission the following Members on the part of the House: Mr. DONOHUE, Mr. MARSH, Mr. SAYLOR, and Mr. WHITEHURST.

APPOINTMENT AS MEMBERS OF THE NATIONAL COMMISSION ON CONSUMER FINANCE

The SPEAKER. Pursuant to the provisions of section 402(a), Public Law 90-321, the Chair appoints as members of the National Commission on Consumer Finance the following Members on the part of the House: Mr. PATMAN, Mrs. SULLIVAN, and Mr. HALPERN.

APPOINTMENT AS MEMBERS OF THE NATIONAL FOREST RESER-VATION COMMISSION

The SPEAKER. Pursuant to the provisions of title 16, United States Code, section 513, the Chair appoints as members of the National Forest Reservation Commission the following Members on the part of the House: Mr. Colmer and Mr. SAYLOR.

APPOINTMENT AS MEMBERS THE ADVISORY COMMISSION ON INTERGOVERNMENTAL RELA-TIONS

The SPEAKER. Pursuant to the provisions of section 3(a), Public Law 86-380,