

EXTENSIONS OF REMARKS

RECOGNIZING 13 RETIRED MEMBERS OF THE FREMONT FIRE DEPARTMENT FOR THEIR 370 YEARS OF SERVICE

HON. FORTNEY PETE STARK

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, August 3, 1995

Mr. STARK. Mr. Speaker, today, I would like to pay tribute to 13 firefighters for their years of service to the city of Fremont. These firefighters have collectively provided 370 years of protection to the citizens of Fremont. In addition to their dedicated years of public service, these individuals also served their country in branches of the armed services. I would like to share with you and my colleagues some of their accomplishments.

Robert Andrade, over 29 years of distinguished service. Hired September 1, 1964, promoted to captain on April 16, 1972, and retired May 31, 1994. Captain Andrade made many significant contributions to the department, particularly in the training division and in fire hose and nozzle research and development. He served 3 years in the U.S. Navy and was active during the Cuban missile crisis.

Robert L. Asher, Jr., over 26 years of distinguished service. Hired January 3, 1967, promoted to captain on April 16, 1972, and retired June 9, 1993. Captain Asher served in the fire suppression division for the majority of his career, with 1 year in the fire prevention division. He coached little league baseball and CYO basketball for several years. He was also a volunteer assistant football coach at Ohlone College in Fremont.

Edward Bauchou, over 30 years of distinguished service. Hired March 15, 1963, promoted to engineer December 1, 1982 and retired July 1, 1993. Mr. Bauchou served in the fire suppression division his entire career. He also served 3 years in the U.S. Navy and was on active duty during the Korean conflict. Mr. Bauchou coached several years in CYO Basketball and in the city of Fremont youth baseball. Mr. Bauchou was a volunteer catechism and first aid instructor at Saint Leonard School in Fremont.

Richard L. Cabral, over 29 years of distinguished service. Hired September 1, 1964, promoted to captain July 1, 1979 and retired December 3, 1993. The majority of Captain Cabral's career was spent in fire suppression with years of exemplary service in the fire prevention division. He ended his assignment as the assistant fire marshal. Captain Cabral coached 8 years in CYO Basketball, little league baseball and youth football. He was also a volunteer football coach at St. Mary's High School in Berkeley, CA.

John R. Ford, over 25 years of distinguished service. Hired October 16, 1968 and retired December 15, 1993. Mr. Ford worked in the fire prevention division, was a member of the

hazardous materials response team, and was one of the first tillermen on the department. He served 4 years in the U.S. Navy and also volunteered as a first aid and CPR instructor at the Church of the Latter Day Saints. He is currently active as a director at his local homeowners association.

Campbell G. Gillies, over 29 years of distinguished service. Hired on September 1, 1964 and retired March 1, 1994. Mr. Gillies was one of the first tillermen trained to work on Fremont's tillered ladder trucks. Mr. Gillies' entire career was in fire suppression. He coached 2 years of little league baseball and was also active in the Boy Scouts for about 5 years. Mr. Gillies served for several years as the president of his local homeowners association in the Mission San José area of Fremont.

Robert A. Guardanapo, over 29 years of distinguished service. Hired on June 1, 1964, promoted to captain on April 16, 1972 and retired December 15, 1993. Captain Guardanapo's years of service were mostly in the fire suppression division, with 8 months in fire prevention. He coached for several years in CYO Basketball and has been an active member of the Elks Club for many years. Captain Guardanapo helped to organize the Desert Storm Veterans appreciation event in the city of Fremont.

Frank A. Horat, over 33 years of distinguished service. Hired on August 1, 1960, promoted to captain on April 16, 1972 and retired October 30, 1993. Captain Horat's years of service were all in the fire suppression division. He also served 8 years in the National Guard. He also coached 4 years with the Centerville Little League and 3 years with CYO basketball.

William J. Kaska, over 26 years of distinguished service. Hired on October 16, 1968 and retired on January 26, 1995. Mr. Kaska's years of service were all in the fire suppression division. He also served 3 years in the U.S. Naval Reserve. He was active in the Boy Scouts of America as an assistant scoutmaster for 35 years and coached 7 years in Fremont youth baseball. Mr. Kaska also assisted with the Fremont Fire Department Historical Project.

Donald H. Promes, 31 years of distinguished service. Hired March 15, 1963, promoted to captain on April 16, 1972 and retired June 30, 1994. In addition to Captain Promes' years as a suppression officer, he worked as a fire inspector investigator in the Fire Prevention Division for 7 years. He also served 6 months in the U.S. Army. Captain Promes coached Fremont youth baseball for 7 years and 8 years in CYO youth basketball.

John L. Schacherer, nearly 30 years of distinguished service. Hired on September 1, 1964 and retired August 1, 1993. Mr. Schacherer spent his career in fire suppression and was one of the first tiller-qualified firefighters. He also served in the U.S. Navy for 4 years.

Richard M. Schreiber, over 25 years of distinguished service. Hired on March 1, 1968, promoted to engineer on December 1, 1982 and retired on October 30, 1993. Mr. Schreiber spent his entire career in fire suppression and is especially remembered for his fabrication skills in apparatus outfitting. Mr. Schreiber also served 5 years in the Marine Reserves. His community service includes coaching little league baseball, CYO basketball and also youth track. Mr. Schreiber is currently volunteering as the Bucks Lake Homeowners Association director and is also on the board of directors for Gallippi Ranch. Mr. Schreiber was also an active PTA member for many years.

Philip L. Soria, over 29 years of distinguished service. Hired on September 1, 1964, promoted to captain on July 1, 1974 and retired August 1, 1993. Captain Soria served as the training officer of the Fremont Fire Department. As well as working several years in the fire prevention division, he was an acting battalion chief in his final year. Prior to joining the department, he served 3 years in the U.S. Army where he attained the rank of corporal. Captain Soria has coached many years in little league baseball, youth soccer, adult soccer and was also active as an adult soccer referee. Captain Soria was very involved in Fremont's Sister City Program. He delivered a donated fire engine to Fremont's Mexican sister city. Captain Soria was a volunteer adult literacy teacher and active as a room parent for his children's elementary school.

Mr. Speaker, I come before you today to recognize these men for all their years of public service and commitment to our community. On Friday, September 8, 1995, these individuals will be honored by local officials, their colleagues, friends and families at a dinner in Fremont, CA. I hope you and my colleagues will join me in congratulating these role models and wishing them well in their future endeavors.

SUPPORT OF THE DAVIS-MORAN AMENDMENT

HON. DAVID FUNDERBURK

OF NORTH CAROLINA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, August 3, 1995

Mr. FUNDERBURK. Mr. Speaker, last week I supported the Davis-Moran amendment to the VA/HUD appropriations bill. In my district in North Carolina the EPA has increased its permanent bureaucracy by hiring employees away from the private sector. This amounts to a confiscation of the primary asset—their human capital—of these small private, for-profit, taxpaying companies.

EPA's contractor conversion program in the Office of Research and Development was created not because of the private contractor's

● This "bullet" symbol identifies statements or insertions which are not spoken by a Member of the Senate on the floor.

Matter set in this typeface indicates words inserted or appended, rather than spoken, by a Member of the House on the floor.

performance but because of EPA's own poor contract management. Rather than fixing their problem, EPA saw an opportunity to divert our attention, expand its bureaucracy, and raid the resources of its private sector competitors.

EPA promised the Congress that savings would accrue to the Government if the contractor conversion program was approved. In fact, they projected over \$6 million in savings in fiscal year 1996 for ORD alone. But like many bureaucrats' promises it was all smoke and mirrors. Instead of a surplus, they've come running back to Congress asking for more money.

Mr. Speaker, it is high time to end this unfair practice. I believe that private contractors constitute a flexible and efficient mechanism for the delivery of necessary research services. Private companies should not have to worry that their human capital will be raided by a bloated, out-of-control government bureaucracy.

FAREWELL TO THERESA VOILS

HON. G.V. (SONNY) MONTGOMERY

OF MISSISSIPPI

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, August 3, 1995

Mr. Montgomery. Mr. Speaker, I want to take a minute to thank one of the public servants who takes care of us, the public servants—the people who stand at the door of this great Chamber and bring messages, tell us that constituents are waiting and generally are of great service to the running of our governmental system. I am talking about the doorkeepers—the Chamber security as they are now known.

As of Friday, we are losing a smiling face and a helpful assistant. Theresa Voils who has served us for 5 years, is going back to her home State of Indiana. She is going to finish her degree in political science at Indiana University and no doubt—after standing at the door of this House Chamber she will have some great stories to tell.

Mr. Speaker, I want to salute Ms. Voils for her service and thank her for the invaluable assistance she has provided to me and the hundreds of other Representatives in this body. She hopes to return to this Chamber someday. I, for one, will welcome her back and wish her well in Indiana.

VILLAGE OF TANNERSVILLE CELEBRATES CENTENNIAL

HON. GERALD B.H. SOLOMON

OF NEW YORK

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, August 3, 1995

Mr. SOLOMON. Mr. Speaker, I have always been proud of the heritage and physical beauty of the 22d Congressional District of New York, which I have the privilege of representing. It is for the history and the picturesque sites and towns that I return home every weekend.

We often forget, Mr. Speaker, that the real America is not Washington, but the small

towns and villages where real people live and work. I would like to talk about one such village today.

The village of Tannersville, NY, is nestled in the majestic peaks of the Catskill Mountains in Greene County. Early on, the tanning industry was thriving and was the focal point of the region, behest the name Tannersville. However, the arrival of the Ulster and Delaware Railroad in 1882 brought a tourist boom to the village which still exists today. This boom prompted the building of new roadside stores, sidewalks, and expanded streets leading to vacation hideaways in the countryside. This industry contributed to the rapid expansion of Tannersville's public services not to mention the village's cultural heritage. The influx of part-time neighbors such as Mark Twain and Maude Adams made musicals, stage performances, and dancing all a part of life in Tannersville.

Mr. Speaker, massive fires coupled with the devastation of the Great Depression in the 1930's couldn't keep this village down. Following World War II the tourist industry again resurfaced and with the arrival of nearby Hunter Mountain ski slope and other winter recreation spots, there was a new focus on tourism. Now, the various village shops, inns, and restaurants offer both hometown hospitality and down home charm to the thousands of tourists who flock to this picturesque mountaintop community throughout all seasons.

Mr. Speaker, I take great pride in representing the people like those who make their home in Tannersville. They truly reflect those traditional American qualities of pride and community which made our Nation great. Just ask anyone who visits the area from near or far and they'll tell you the citizens of Tannersville exemplify the terms courtesy and hospitality while offering a sincere sense of camaraderie. These characteristics are most definitely a product of their history and way of life making Tannersville an ideal place to work and raise a family or vacation year round.

Mr. Speaker, I ask that you and all Members rise with me today and salute the village of Tannersville on their 100th anniversary and wish the people there many more years of prosperity and comfort.

COMMEMORATING THE 10TH ANNI- VERSARY OF THE TOMAS RIVER CENTER

HON. ESTEBAN EDWARD TORRES

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, August 3, 1995

Mr. TORRES. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to congratulate the Tomas River Center [TRC] on its 10th anniversary.

TRC was established in 1985 by a group of visionary college presidents and chief executive officers of major corporations. Named after former University of Riverside chancellor, Tomas Rivera, an accomplished scholar and community activist, the center is a national institute for policy studies. It conducts relevant and timely research and policy analysis on issues important to the U.S. Latino community.

As the Nation's premier Latino organization for policy analysis and research, TRC strives

to foster sound public policies and programs concerning the Latino community, particularly in the areas of education, immigration, and civic empowerment.

TRC's rigorous research and critical analysis has consistently led to a better understanding of the needs of the Latino population. TRC conducted an extensive analysis of 1990 census data to provide information available on ethnicity, employment, and income for use in the affirmative action debate. TRC is currently researching the availability of computers in the classrooms and homes of Latino students to determine whether they are receiving the education needed to participate in 21st century society.

Mr. Speaker, it is with pride that I rise to recognize the Tomas Rivera Center on the occasion of its 10th anniversary and I ask my colleagues to join me in extending best wishes and continued success to TRC.

THE BUDGET CRISIS

HON. ERNEST J. ISTOOK, JR.

OF OKLAHOMA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, August 3, 1995

Mr. ISTOOK. Mr. Speaker, my fellow Oklahoman, Paul Harvey, recently gave this commentary on the budget debt and the chronic budget deficit. This reemphasizes the importance of our work on balancing the budget within the next 7 years and reversing the trend of Federal budgets of the past. It is important for Congress to continue working to restore fiscal integrity to the Federal Government.

[Paul Harvey commentary follows:]

TOO MANY ALARMS

There are too many alarms going off. Americans are refusing to heed any of them. Seismologists predict quakes which may or may not happen and about which we can't do anything anyway.

Even the sky is falling, as ten thousand hunks of space junk wait their turn for re-entry.

Daily headlines threaten us with invasions of killer ants, killer bees and killer diseases for which we have no cure.

And so it is that it is that a time bomb more certain than any of these is mostly ignored.

We are about to be buried alive under a national debt of 4.8 trillion dollars and it's growing 10 thousand dollars a second!

But are not both the President and the Congress promising to defuse the bomb? They are.

President Clinton says he can balance the budget in ten years; Congress talks of doing it in seven.

But nobody is doing it!

And history justifies anxiety.

The President who promises to balance the budget in ten years told Larry King in June of 1992 that he'd accomplish that objective in five years.

However, instead of presenting a balanced budget in 1993—the year he took office—he increased our debt by \$253 billion.

Then, instead of presenting a balanced budget in 1994, he increased our debt another \$203 billion.

Then, instead of presenting a balanced budget for 1995, he proposed a budget that would increase our debt another \$320 billion.

Then, instead of promoting Congress' plan to balance the budget in seven years, he's threatening to veto it claiming that that's going too fast!

Now, a full three years after Mr. Clinton promised to present a five-year plan to balance the budget, he is promising—oh, so promising—to balance the budget in ten.

If the situation were less dire . . . if the time bomb were not so big and so unstable perhaps we could wait and see and hope and pray that this time—this time—something will be done.

We must not wait.

Even Newt Gingrich says it may take ten years. We may not have ten years.

Every child born today will pay a lifetime tax rate of over 82%.

Every child born tomorrow will pay \$187,000 in taxes for the interest on what we owe.

That's just the interest . . . \$187,000 in interest on our debt.

Every American man, woman and child will owe \$24,000 by the year 2000, and that, by the way, is just one presidential election away.

WILLIAMS D. EVANS: DAYTON INVENTOR'S CONTRIBUTION TO ENDING WORLD WAR II

HON. TONY P. HALL

OF OHIO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, August 3, 1995

Mr. HALL of Ohio. Mr. Speaker, I rise to call to the attention of my colleagues the achievements of William Deane Evans and his contribution to ending World War II. Mr. Evans is a resident of Madison Township in the Third Congressional District of Ohio, which I represent.

Mr. Evans developed an improvement to the bombsight that was used on the Enola Gay on its historic mission 50 years ago this month that helped bring a speedy end to World War II. The improved bombsight was also used on the Bockscar, which is on display at the United States Air Force Museum in my district.

Mr. Evans, an innovative engineer, was responsible for numerous other technological developments in aviation that improved the fighting capabilities of our airmen during World War II and later. These inventions include the automatic parachute opener and a bag to protect downed flyers in freezing weather.

Last year, the Dayton Daily News ran an article by Dale Huffman detailing some of the achievements by Mr. Evans. For the benefit of my colleagues, the article is reprinted here.

[From the Dayton Daily News, Aug. 14, 1994]

DAYTONIAN'S BOMBSIGHT AIDED U.S.

(By Dale Huffman)

Things were cloaked in top secrecy, and it was two years after World War II ended before William Deane Evans found out he had played a strategic role in one of history's gruesome turning points.

His contribution was created in a three-car garage behind a home in the 600 block of Volusia Avenue in Oakwood.

It was there in 1944 that Evans, a 29-year-old engineer, was commissioned for a special assignment by the bomb control department at Wright-Patterson Air Force Base. In that garage, he worked for Kendal Clark, an engi-

neer who later invented the automatic washer for Frigidaire in Dayton. Evans developed a bombsight. It was used on the Enola Gay, a B-29 aircraft, to drop the atomic bomb on Hiroshima, Japan, 49 years ago this month.

Evans is 79 now and lives on Brenton Drive in Madison Twp. with Helen, 78 his wife of 55 years. Their home is cluttered with possessions and mementos, and photographs that document their years together.

But there are no photos, no blueprints no prototypes, no physical evidence of the monumental contribution he made for his country in the controversial atomic attack that claimed 130,000 Japanese lives and hastened the end of the war.

Evans is a portly, kindly looking man with a short white beard and thinning snow white hair. He has diabetes and a pacemaker.

But his mind is keen and, at request, he sat down and deftly sketched a hand drawing of the bombsight he developed those years ago.

"They took everything at the time, it was all such top secret," he said. "They locked the finished plans, the prototype, everything up in some vault somewhere and I have no idea if those items still exist today."

He used a lot of engineering terms as he rambled, but he tried to simplify a description of his device and how it worked.

"Often, on a fairly cloudy time of day, only snatches of clear air were present for the bombardier to fix the sight on the target. Time was crucial. We designed a set of optics tied into the bombsight, which would in effect put crosshairs on the target, instead of in the eye piece.

"To do this, we made the cross of light and focused it at infinity. A light shone through a reticle, which was projected onto a telescope mirror. If the bombardier could see the target, he could swing the sight to fix the cross on the target in the short time the non-clouds permitted him."

His device was used Aug. 6, 1945, when the atomic bomb was dropped on Hiroshima, Japan surrendered, ending World War II on Aug. 14, after a second bomb was dropped on Nagasaki on Aug. 9, 1945.

It was in 1947, two years after the bombings and V-J Day, that Evans read about the bombsight in a magazine, recognized it as his work, made some calls and confirmed his sighting mechanism had been used.

"So many little shops were working here in the Dayton area during the war," he said. "Many contributions were being made to the government and to the military.

"The little guys did the behind-the-scenes work. We developed. Then our products were tested by the government, eventually used, quite successfully at least in this case, and then the higher-ups would take the credit. I am not being sarcastic about it," he said, smiling. "It was just done that way."

Evans has known all these years that his device played a big part in the bombings. Yet, he has seldom talked about it, even to family, his wife says. He has never before been interviewed about it for a publication.

As the countdown is now under way to the 50th anniversary of V-J Day, Helen Evans said she thought it was time the world knew about her husband's contribution.

"I initiated this, so that he would get some credit," she said. "All his life, he has been so modest. He is such a fine man and such a hard worker. But he never has gotten his due. He helped change the face of history. In his own way he helped end a war."

Her husband spoke. "I am . . . glad that Harry Truman did what he did. He knew that if he didn't, what we would lose a lot more American men . . . that their blood would

flow. Sure we are sorry anyone had to die. But the war had to be stopped. I am behind Mr. Truman in what he did.

"And, quite candidly, I am . . . glad that I was an engineer and able to support my country by developing this bombsight. We all give as we can and we all pull together in war."

Evans got his engineering degree at Ohio State University and spent his life in the profession. From 1970 to 1984, he owned and operated his own company called Platomatics in Dayton.

"Bill always worked hard, but always was his own man," Mrs. Evans said. "He also trusted a lot of people and was naive in business. He would develop something, or invent something, and someone else would take it and make a lot of money on it.

"Bill has always been a workaholic. He didn't care about making money or building a fortune. He just loved what he did. He worked 80-hour weeks and would forget to come home. He is an incredible person when it comes to dedicating yourself to a challenge."

She smiled and added, "We never had a lot of money. But we have always been happy. We have always been comfortable. We have had ups and downs, but we have survived. And I love Bill so much for all that he has done. He is a wonderful man who simply wants to know what makes things tick."

Evans smiled, reached over and lovingly tapped his wife's arm.

After a few moments he said, "I guess I feel it's fun to be fooled in this world. But it's . . . more fun to know."

HONORING DON MOSER UPON HIS RETIREMENT

HON. BART GORDON

OF TENNESSEE

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, August 3, 1995

Mr. GORDON. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to pay tribute to a dear friend, Don Moser, who has contributed much to my hometown of Murfreesboro, TN. He has been a wonderful source of advice and support over the years, and I wish him well upon his retirement.

Don has served Murfreesboro for many years. From his undergraduate days at Middle Tennessee State University, to serving as president of the local chamber of commerce, Don has contributed time and time again.

He cochaired the recent fund raising drive to secure funds for the chamber's new headquarters building and has been a long time member of the Murfreesboro Water and Sewer Board. Don's love for his community can be seen in his commitment to the Rutherford 20/20 Task Force, which is hard at work planning for the future of Rutherford County.

Most in our community will tell you if you want something done and done right, call on Don and his wife, Jean; they are the busiest people in town. They complement each other well and make an unstoppable team.

The banking community is sure to feel his absence after 38 years as an active leader and friend. He is retiring as regional president of Third National Bank in Murfreesboro.

Don is an avid golfer and I feel sure that many of his free days now will be spent hitting the links. He and Jean plan to do some traveling, as well as spending time with their two grown children, Donald S. and Michelle.

The bank's loss is the community's gain, for he will now have even more time to contribute, and we are grateful. Please join me in congratulating this outstanding individual.

COMMENDING KEITH JEWEL

HON. ANDREW JACOBS, JR.

OF INDIANA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, August 3, 1995

Mr. JACOBS. Mr. Speaker, Keith Jewel is just that. For the House of Representatives, he has been a splendid gem for a very long time.

Keith is the one who takes the pictures of the Boy Scouts and Girl Scouts on the steps of the Capitol. And before one makes too light of that, remember this: to high school kids who visit Washington, the experience lasts forever. The taxpayers got their money's worth from Keith's service. And we have all enjoyed his warm and friendly personality. He goes on now to a well earned retirement. And we wish him the happiness he has given so many others through the years.

A TRIBUTE TO ALBERT BARNES JR.

HON. JERRY LEWIS

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, August 3, 1995

Mr. LEWIS of California. Mr. Speaker, I would like to bring to your attention the fine work and outstanding public service of Mr. Albert Barnes, Jr. Al, a dedicated professional and longtime community activist, is retiring as the southeast California district manager for the United Parcel Service [UPS]. A tribute will be held in his honor on August 24 to recognize his years of service to California's Inland Empire.

Al began his career with UPS as a delivery driver in Dayton, OH in 1967. Two years later, he became a supervisor and in 1972, was named center manager. Al served as a feeder manager, package division manager, and hub division manager before joining the Arizona District as a division manager in 1975. In addition, he has served on a number of special assignments and worked as a member of the UPS Part-Time People Support Committee.

In 1989, Al was named southeast California district manager for UPS, which has become one of the most respected corporate citizens in the region. Al was in charge of the planning, construction, and opening of the largest UPS west coast air hub in Ontario, CA in 1992. To say the least, Al has played an extraordinary and active role in our community. In addition to his outstanding business contributions, Al has been a longtime supporter of and deeply involved with the Boys and Girls Club of the Inland Empire as a member of the board of directors.

Mr. Speaker, I ask that you join me, our colleagues, and Al's family and many friends in recognizing his many fine achievements and selfless contributions. I'd also like to wish Al,

his wife Margaret, their daughter, Rebecca, and sons John, Mike, and Ed the very best in the years ahead. Throughout his career, Albert Barnes has touched the lives of many people and it is only fitting that the House of Representatives recognize him today.

TRIBUTE TO CONGRESSMAN THOMAS MORGAN

HON. FRANK MASCARA

OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, August 3, 1995

Mr. MASCARA. Mr. Speaker, I rise with pride today to pay tribute to a man who served the people of Pennsylvania and this Nation for 32 years.

Dr. Thomas Morgan passed away on July 31, 1995. He retired from Congress in 1977, but the memory of "Doc" Morgan will be engraved in American politics, having guided our Nation through significant world events.

We can point with pride to a man who rose to the chairmanship of the prestigious House Foreign Affairs Committee, advising Presidents and Secretaries of State, while never compromising the integrity of the institution in which he served.

I was pleased to know "Doc" Morgan and honored to now represent the district he once served. After he retired, we regularly kept in touch. He was always a man of his word, with a depth and breadth of knowledge that he maintained all the years of his life.

It is an honor and a privilege to be standing before you today, where he once stood, representing the next generation of southwestern Pennsylvanians.

I hope that I can do credit to his legacy while serving in the U.S. Congress. I extend every sympathy to those who are now grieving his loss. He will not be forgotten.

THREATENED PRESIDENTIAL VETO OF LEGISLATIVE BRANCH APPROPRIATIONS BILL

HON. RON PACKARD

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, August 3, 1995

Mr. PACKARD. Mr. Speaker, President Clinton has declared his intention to veto the 1996 legislative branch appropriations bill. It should no longer surprise me that the President has once again chosen to put petty politics above the interests of effective and efficient Government, but it does. This is not because the President rarely threatens veto, far from it, in fact, it is beginning to appear that this is the norm. Rather, the President surprises me because there is absolutely no justification, however flimsy, for such a threat.

President Clinton alleges that we are taking care of our own business before we take care of the people's. This is simply not the case. There is nothing unusual about sending the legislative branch bill first. Traditionally, the legislative branch has been done first because it has tended to be the least controversial.

Furthermore, all 13 appropriations bills have never been sent to the President at the same time.

The fiscal year 1996 legislative branch appropriations bill is a good piece of work, crafted with the assistance of Democrats and receiving bipartisan support all along the way. The bill sets out to downsize and streamline Government in pursuit of a balanced budget. By providing \$206 million in deficit reduction, it shows that Congress is committed to cutting itself first. The message of this bill that President Clinton does not understand is that reform starts at home. This Congress is committed to achieving a balanced budget and we will not be stopped by a President intent on meddling in the affairs of the House out of petty political motives.

75TH ANNIVERSARY OF WWJ NEWSRADIO 950

HON. DAVID E. BONIOR

OF MICHIGAN

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, August 3, 1995

Mr. BONIOR. Mr. Speaker, later this month, on August 20, 1995, America's first commercial radio station, WWJ Newsradio 950, will be celebrating its 75th anniversary. For three quarters of a century the listeners in metropolitan Detroit have tuned in to 950 AM for news, sports, and entertainment.

Back in 1920, when radio was still in its infancy, and long before television, WWJ was pioneering a new way for people to become and remain informed and entertained. On that historic August 20th, listeners heard: "This is 8MK calling." It was just one of the many firsts for WWJ.

WWJ Newsradio was first to broadcast a news program, election returns, and sports. WWJ was also first to do a play-by-play sportscast live from the scene. On October 25, 1924, Ty Tyson described the University of Michigan's 21 to 0 victory over the University of Wisconsin. WWJ continues to carry U of M football to many fans throughout southeast Michigan. WWJ also broadcast the first play-by-play of a Tiger baseball game on April 19, 1927. The Tigers beat the Cleveland Indians 8 to 5 with famed Tiger Marty McManus making several outstanding plays at second base.

WWJ has a proud heritage and a reputation for integrity and public service. In the words of one of the WWJ newsradio team members, "tradition is exceeded only by our commitment to continued service."

Celebrating a 75th anniversary is a proud milestone. Through the Depression and too many wars, the New Deal and the Great Society, the folks at WWJ have been with us all the way. I applaud WWJ for keeping our community well-informed.

I urge my colleagues to please join me in saluting WWJ on the event of its Diamond Anniversary. The people of metropolitan Detroit have long depended on its role in the community and we hope the next 75 years will continue to be as successful.

CREATING A 21ST GOVERNMENT

HON. STEVEN SCHIFF

OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, August 3, 1995

Mr. SCHIFF. Mr. Speaker, in our recent series of field hearing on creating a 21st government, the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight traveled to Cleveland, OH. Members heard testimony from several experts in the field of government restructuring.

One of our panelists was the Mayor of Philadelphia, Edward Rendell, who described the structure of the city of Philadelphia's government when he took office in January 1992. He concluded that:

The city was operating with management systems that were designed for a different era. The city's budget process, personnel system, contracting process, management hierarchy, and information system were layered with unwieldy, bureaucratic practices that did not encourage innovative and effective management of limited resources.

As a public servant myself, I have watched our Federal Government structure grow out of control for decades. Perhaps we can learn from these cities and apply some of their successful reorganization methods to the Federal Government. Republican members of the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight remain dedicated to creating a Government structure through innovation, revitalizing management practices, and distinguishing the functions that are needed to produce the results that the American public demands.

HONORING THOSE WHO SERVED
THE CAUSE OF FREEDOM IN
WORLD WAR II

HON. JACK FIELDS

OF TEXAS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, August 3, 1995

Mr. FIELDS of Texas. Mr. Speaker, for America, World War II began on a day that will live in infamy, and it ended at the dawn of the nuclear age. In between those two events, America and the world as a whole changed forever, as did the lives of each and every American alive at that time.

Americans have begun observing the 50th anniversary of the end of World War II—a horrible war that inflicted more pain, death and destruction on the world than any conflict before it or since. It was a war that claimed the lives of more than 1 million young Americans struggling to defend liberty here at home and around the world. It was war that injured and maimed hundreds of thousands of military personnel and civilians alike. It was a war in which young men demonstrated superhuman courage and determination in places like Pointe du Hoc and Iwo Jima. And it was a war in which others demonstrated almost inhuman depravity in places like Auschwitz and Dachau.

It was in which my father, Jack Fields, Sr., fought as a bombardier aboard a B-24 Liberator in Europe.

But why did he and millions of other peace-loving Americans, eagerly answer the call to take up arms during World War II?

Like millions of other young men in towns and cities across this great country, my father joined the war effort because he knew that there are things worth fighting, and dying, for: ideals like freedom and democracy, and places like America in which those ideals had been brought to life. Like millions of other veterans, he did his part in a worldwide effort to free those who had been conquered and enslaved by the forces of darkness. Countless young Americans traveled far from their homes, risked their lives and endured terrible hardships to defeat the forces that had, temporarily, defeated democracy in western Europe and throughout much of Asia. They did so as well because they knew that the cause in which they were engaged was just. They knew that God would watch over them, as He had always watched over America. And they knew that with His help, they would prevent the flame of freedom from flickering out on this planet.

Many brave young men gave their lives in that successful struggle to ensure that freedom lived on. Many more suffered wounds and injuries that changed their lives forever. Most, thank God, just returned home, found jobs and raised their families. But they, too, were changed by the war. They knew firsthand its horrors, but they knew that it had been necessary to preserve the American way of life that too many of our citizens take for granted.

The men who fought and won World War II were, for the most part, ordinary Americans from ordinary towns across our country. But they had accomplished an extraordinary feat: they had preserved freedom in America and England; they had restored freedom to France; and they had helped bring about a rebirth of freedom in post-war Germany, Italy, and Japan. The world, then, not only America, owes each and every one of them a huge debt of thanks.

But America owes them even more. It owes them this solemn promise: that each of us will do everything we can to keep America militarily strong—so strong that never again will young Americans be called upon to fight and die in a world war to defend democracy and freedom, because no one will ever again dare threaten democracy and freedom anywhere around the world.

PROTECT EQUAL JUSTICE FOR
ALL—DON'T CUT THE LEGAL
SERVICES CORPORATION

HON. BERNARD SANDERS

OF VERMONT

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, August 3, 1995

Mr. SANDERS. Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of the Legal Service Corporation, and in opposition to the destructive 30 percent cut of that program in the Commerce-State-Justice appropriations bill.

Mr. Speaker, a bedrock principle of this Nation is equal justice for all. But we all know that access to justice for ordinary Americans usually means access to legal counsel.

Often, the most vulnerable among us—those most in need of legal help and representation—cannot afford an attorney. That is why the Supreme Court ruled everyone has a right to a lawyer in a criminal case, and it is why President Nixon founded the Legal Service Corporation to offer low-income Americans representation in civil court.

Mr. Speaker, when this House voted to slash funding for the Legal Service Corporation, in my view it voted to restrict access to justice for the over 15 percent of Americans who live in poverty. Mr. Chairman, that is unconscionable.

Legal services attorneys were there for family farmers who couldn't afford high-priced, downtown lawyers, when they helped prevent over 250,000 illegal farm foreclosures. In Vermont and across this country, they are there for battered women seeking orders of protection, child support enforcement, and divorces from abusive spouses. These attorneys were there to safeguard coal miners' rights in Kentucky, and to protect Oregon farmworkers from beatings, kidnappings and illegally low wages.

These public servants are funded by a model of efficiency and federalism. Only 3 percent of Legal Service Corporation expenditures go to administrative costs, meaning 97 percent goes directly to provide legal services for poor Americans. There is no large bureaucracy; the approximately 100 employees of the Corporation spend their time distributing Federal funds to 323 independent, local legal services programs and ensuring they receive the support needed to deliver top notch legal level help. Each individual program is a private, nonprofit corporation governed by a locally appointed board of directors.

Mr. Chairman, the Legal Service Corporation simply extends equal rights and justice to all Americans; it does exactly what Government should be doing. If we are serious about deficit reduction, we should cut the hundreds of billions of dollars in corporate welfare in the Federal budget. We should eliminate tax giveaways to the rich before we eliminate these protections for the most vulnerable members of our society.

For the benefit of my colleagues, I ask unanimous consent to insert into the RECORD a resolution from the New England Bar Association board of directors, which succinctly makes the case for the Legal Service Corporation.

NEW ENGLAND BAR ASSOCIATION

BOARD OF DIRECTORS RESOLUTION

Whereas, equal justice is fundamental to the American system of government under laws; and

Whereas, the inability to afford legal counsel effectively denies access to justice for individuals with legal needs; and

Whereas, the New England Bar Association is strongly committed to support adequate legal services for the poor; and

Whereas, the federal Legal Services Corporation is the organization charged by Congress with funding legal services programs throughout the country to deliver civil legal services to the poor; and

Whereas, local legal services programs funded by the Legal Services Corporation represent the federal government's effort to fulfill the promise that all Americans have an equal opportunity to utilize the justice system, and

Whereas, local legal services programs funded by the federal Legal Services Corporation are a frugal and effective expenditure of federal tax dollars, inexpensively and efficiently serving a broad range of persons with typical legal problems through more than 900 local offices and effectively leveraging local, state and private funds as well as pro bono services from the private bar, and

Whereas, the future of the Legal Services Corporation is at a critical juncture, facing Congressional threats to eliminate or severely cut funding of the Legal Services Corporation; and

Whereas, it is imperative that bar associations and others concerned with equal access to justice and legal services for the poor continue to express support for the civil legal services delivery system which has served the legal needs of the country's poorest citizens; and

Now, therefore, be it resolved that the New England Bar Association Board of Directors urges all members of the Congressional delegation to support adequate funding for the Legal Services Corporation to address the tremendous unmet need for civil legal services for the poor.

Be it further resolved that the New England Bar Association strongly opposes any amendments to the Legal Services Corporation Act to restrict legal services and pro bono programs in their use of IOLTA and other government and private monies; create obstacles to low income people obtaining justice in the courts and legal system; impinge on confidentiality between attorneys and their clients; or dismantle local control and destroy the effectiveness of the current legal services delivery system.

LAFAYETTE, IN: NAMED ALL-AMERICAN CITY

HON. JOHN T. MYERS

OF INDIANA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, August 3, 1995

Mr. MYERS of Indiana. Mr. Speaker, the National Civic League named Lafayette, IN an All-American City at its annual convention in June. Lafayette was one of only 10 cities nationwide that received this designation out of 145 communities under consideration. The citizens of Lafayette will observe the well-deserved recognition this Sunday with a day long celebration.

Lafayette was recognized by the National Civic League for the ability of its citizens to effectively solve problems by working together as a community. I can attest to that ethic as it relates to the Lafayette railroad relocation project. This massive rail relocation effort was designed by city officials, corporate and business leaders and local citizens with the safety needs of the community in mind. I am continually amazed at the near unanimous support the project receives from all of the community's citizens.

The willingness of the community to help its neighbors is exhibited by the altruistic work done by the Lafayette Neighborhood Housing Services and the family services organizations. These nonprofit groups, comprised of lenders, city officials, businesses, residents, and other interested parties, have successfully developed 50 safe and affordable rental units

for lower-income citizens. No one can argue that Lafayette doesn't take care of its own.

Lafayette is a city that has retained the values of hard work, faith, and patriotism even as it has grown into a major metropolitan area. It is a community that should serve as a model to cities across the Nation and I am proud to represent its citizens in Congress.

Mr. Speaker, I want to congratulate Lafayette on this designation and encourage the citizens and elected officials to wear the title of "All-American City" with pride. It is certainly deserved.

IN HONOR OF THOMAS K. BLALOCK

HON. FORTNEY PETE STARK

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, August 3, 1995

Mr. STARK. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize Mr. Thomas K. Blalock, the public works director of the city of Fremont in California's 13th Congressional District. Tom is retiring after 34 years of dedicated service to our community.

Tom began his professional career in Fremont in November 1960, when he became Fremont's assistant city engineer. As assistant city engineer, he was responsible for managing the engineering division and overseeing the areas of project design, construction management, real property, and traffic engineering. In March 1975, Tom became Fremont's director of public works where, for the last 20 years, he has managed from 172 to 254 employees in four areas: engineering, maintenance, integrated waste, and administration.

Tom has been a resident of the South Bay for over 43 years. After graduating from San José State University with an engineering degree in December 1954, he began his career as a planning aide in the city of Mountain View, where he had worked as an engineering aide throughout college. In April 1955 he moved to the city of Sunnyvale to work as a design and traffic engineer. He held this position until November 1960 when he came to Fremont.

Tom belongs to a number of professional organizations including the American Public Works Association [APWA] of which he is a life member. In 1991, he was the recipient of the APWA's Samuel A. Greely Award. He is also a member of the American Society of Civil Engineers [ASCE] where he has served as an executive board member for 10 years in the urban planning and development division. In 1985, he received the ASCE's Harland Bartholomew Award, a national award for urban and regional planning. He is also a member of the League of California Cities. In 1991, he was president of the league's public works officers department and has also served on a number of the league's policy committees.

Tom has also served on the boards of many of our community organizations including the Chadbourne School Family and Faculty Association, from 1962 to 1965, and the Mission San José High School Parent Faculty Association, where he was chair from 1976 to 1978. He also participated as a Mission San José

Little League umpire from 1970 to 1974 and has been an active member of St. Anne's Episcopal Church, serving both as bishop's committee member and a senior warden. He was a member of the Children's Home Society of California for 14 years and represented that organization at the both local and the state level.

Although Tom's expertise will be sorely missed by those in the city of Fremont, he was elected last November to the Bay Area Rapid Transit [BART] Board for region 6. Therefore, citizens in this area will continue to benefit from his knowledge of and involvement with engineering and transportation issues.

Mr. Speaker, I'd like to recognize Mr. Thomas K. Blalock for his commitment to our community. I hope you and all of my colleagues will join me and all of Tom's friends and neighbors in congratulating him on his retirement and wishing him well in all of his future endeavors.

TRIBUTE TO THE LATE LEW ENGMAN

HON. HENRY A. WAXMAN

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, August 3, 1995

Mr. WAXMAN. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to pay tribute to Lew Engman. Lew died on July 12 of this year at the age of 59. His sudden and premature death saddened all of his friends and associates who knew and worked with Lew over the years.

Lew was an honorable and honest man who was a pleasure to deal with. Whether or not you had a difference of views, you could depend on him to be straightforward, fair-minded, and true to his word. And a difference in view never translated into personal enmity or unpleasantness.

At the time of his death, Lew was president of the Generic Pharmaceutical Industry Association. Previously, when I first got to know him in the early 1980's, he was president of the sometimes rival Pharmaceutical Manufacturers Association, representing the industry's research firms. That Lew could head both associations, first one then the other, yet never be caught in contradiction or inconsistency, says a lot about the integrity with which he went about everything he did. In each case, he managed to stay totally loyal to his clients, and totally dependable as a man of his word.

I got to know Lew during the negotiations that led to passage of the 1984 Drug Price Competition and Patent Term Restoration Act. In securing support for that act, we had to balance the research-based drug companies' need for an adequate patent term with the goal of the generic drug industry to be on the market and able to compete as soon as those patents expired, with all the benefits that could bring to the public.

The issue was complicated, and the players fractious. Lew Engman put his name on the line to seal the compromise, approved by his companies, that made a bill possible. And when later some of his members broke ranks, he stuck to his word. His refusal to break his promise to Senator HATCH and me cost him

his job, a considerable irony in view of the fact that the patent-restoration half of the compromise which he worked so skillfully to obtain might never have occurred without his deft guidance.

In the end, passage of the 1984 Waxman-Hatch Act was a testament to Lew Engman's conviction that the best form of legislation can achieve the aims of private interests while serving the public interest as well.

Lew of course had achieved a lot long before I knew him. An antitrust lawyer and economist by training, he had served in the Nixon and Ford administrations, as general counsel to the President's special assistant for consumer affairs, on the White House Domestic Council staff, then as Chairman of the Federal Trade Commission from 1973 to 1976. In the latter position, Lew was one of the first Government officials to note that some Federal agencies had become servants of the industries they regulated, and to call for some deregulation where appropriate.

I won't try to list all of Lew's achievements. Suffice it to note that two decades ago, *Time* magazine picked him among the country's young leaders to watch, and Lew proved the pick a good one. It saddens me that we will watch him no more; at just 59 and full of energy, he was far too young to die.

CITIZEN EXCHANGE PROGRAMS
CRITICAL TO FOSTERING BET-
TER UNDERSTANDING BETWEEN
NATIONS

HON. STENY H. HOYER

OF MARYLAND

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, August 3, 1995

Mr. HOYER. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to express my concern about the proposed cuts in the commerce, state, justice, and related agencies appropriations bill for fiscal year 1996 as it relates to educational and cultural exchange programs within the United States Information Agency.

As a member of the Commission on Security and Cooperation in Europe, I have witnessed first-hand the importance of people-to-people exchanges. They are instrumental in promoting our country and the democratic ideals that make our country great.

In addition, like many Members of this House, both Republican and Democrat alike, I, too, have been a part of a citizens exchange program. The American council of young political leaders, one of the recipients of USIA funding, was formed nearly 30 years ago as a non-profit bi-partisan educational exchange organization to enhance foreign policy understanding and exposure among rising young American political leaders and their counterparts around the world.

Since its establishment, Mr. Speaker, more than 3,000 political leaders from the United States and 2,000 foreign delegates have participated in some 500 governmental and business exchanges with their counterparts in more than 70 countries. The ACYPL's efforts are a key component of not only our exchange programs but in fostering better relations and understandings between nations. I am only

sorry that programs such as ACYPL's may be at risk as a result of these proposed cuts in educational and exchange programs.

SISTER GRACE IMELDA
BLANCHARD

HON. BENJAMIN A. GILMAN

OF NEW YORK

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, August 3, 1995

Mr. GILMAN. Mr. Speaker, it is with deep regret that I inform our colleagues of the passing of a truly outstanding and caring individual who dedicated her life to the education of our young people.

Sister Grace Imelda Blanchard was in many ways the epitome of those with whom so many Americans are familiar—the women of the religious community who truly believed that expanding the minds of young men and women was instrumental in carrying out God's intentions.

A native of New Haven, CT, Sister Grace received her bachelor of arts degree from Albertus Magnus College in 1936, a master's in secondary supervision in 1943, and a Ph.D. in education from New York University in 1968. Realizing that only by becoming a religious would she be able to fully devote her life to education, she entered the order of the Dominican Sisters of Newburgh, NY, on September 8, 1957. She subsequently entered the novitiate June 11, 1958; made her first profession June 17, 1959, and her final profession August 21, 1962.

Sister Grace's career as an educator encompassed a number of high schools, but it was at Mount Saint Mary College in Newburgh that she truly made her mark, beloved by her students, by her peers, by the administration, and by the community at large. She was an associate professor from 1968 to 1977 and a professor of education from 1978 to 1983. The administrators at Mount Saint Mary asked Sister Grace to take charge of the college's efforts to receive grant funding. They could not have made a better choice, for Sister Grace soon became a regular visitor to my congressional offices and other offices throughout Washington and Albany, where her perseverance and expertise in obtaining funding for the students became legendary.

Sister Grace was universally respected because she never hid the fact that she considered the education of the students to be her prime responsibility. We all admired the professional manner in which she knew how to obtain funding in an ever more competitive environment.

Sister Grace Imelda's accomplishments were not confined to her college. Her work on the literacy program for adults at the local high school, at the soup kitchen at St. Patrick's Church in Newburgh, and as a catechetical teacher in Montgomerly, NY, made her known and loved in all of those communities.

In 1986, Sister Grace was presented with the Mount Saint Mary Faculty Award. The text of that award states:

We are in her debt, not only for her stewardship over grants and goals, but also because she makes us better individuals. It is

possible to calculate the dollars she has obtained for the college in writing Title III Grants, but impossible to measure her more priceless contribution of self.

Sister Grace Imelda was traveling to the founding chapter of the Dominican Sisters of Hope in Massachusetts when she was taken ill. We lost her while the chapter was in session and she was buried with a rite of commitment on July 24. However, on next Monday, August 7, will mark a memorial mass in her honor at the college chapel, where her many friends and admirers will gather to bid farewell to a remarkable woman.

I happened to speak to Sister Grace just a few days prior to her passing. As was her practice, she had called to remind me that education must remain one of Congress' top priorities, and to underscore the need for continued quality in higher education. As always, Sister Grace was seeking future funding to assist in the laudable goals of her college.

Mr. Speaker, I extend my condolences to her sister-in-law, to her niece, to her four nephews, and to her many grandnieces and grandnephews. Their grief may be tempered with the knowledge that it is shared by many, and by the realization that Sister Grace Imelda Blanchard was a rare individual who will long be remembered.

TRIBUTE TO DR. ALICE WALKER-
DUFF

HON. JULIAN C. DIXON

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, August 3, 1995

Mr. DIXON. Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to have this opportunity to salute the outstanding contributions made by Dr. Alice Walker-Duff to the children of Los Angeles. As executive director of Crystal Stairs, Alice presides over one of the largest nonprofit and most enduring child care resource and referral providers in California. She has earned a well deserved reputation as an indefatigable advocate for comprehensive, quality early child development services as an essential ingredient to helping children mature into successful, productive adults.

Alice's career with Crystal Stairs began nearly two decades ago. It was not, however, the career she had envisioned but one that she came to out of a desire to help her good friend and Crystal Stairs' founder, Karen Hill-Scott. In the early 1970's, in a venture that would serve as the precursor to Crystal Stairs, Alice and Karen had teamed together to found the Childcare Resource and Referral Service. The program was the sole African-American alternative program in California. It offered working parents, most often single mothers, with quality child care development services, affording many the opportunity to return to school or to the employment roles.

In the mid 1970's, while supervising a classroom project in one of her urban planning classes at UCLA, where she taught, Karen discovered there was a paucity of information available at the city and county level regarding available day care providers located in the urban areas of Los Angeles. Armed with this

information, she applied for and received funding from the State of California to establish an agency that would address this shortage. Thus was born Crystal Stairs, a nonprofit agency incorporated in 1980 that supervises and certifies affordable, safe, and reliable child care development providers in the African-American community of southern California.

Alice agreed to help Karen by managing the day-to-day operation and management of Crystal Stairs. Karen was still a professor at UCLA and she needed an individual who was smart, politically savvy, and above all, knowledgeable about early childhood development. Although the initial agreement had Alice remaining at Crystal Stairs for just 8 short months, 2 years ago, when Karen stepped down as executive director to serve as a consultant in children's television programming, Alice settled in as the agencies executive director.

During her nearly 20 years of dedicated service and commitment to Crystal Stairs and the children of Los Angeles, Alice has been instrumental in expanding the agency's outreach to include a comprehensive array of services, including child-care research, a food program in Los Angeles, Orange, and Riverside Counties; parenting classes, and employment training programs.

Last year, Crystal Stairs added one more jewel to its crown with the opening of SAGE, a child-care center in the Nickerson Gardens public housing development. The center offers a range of afterschool classes, including instruction in computer skills and math and art classes. SAGE is providing a tangible resource to the children of Nickerson Gardens by helping to enhance their development and offering a beacon of hope for a future that is too often viewed as hopeless.

Mr. Speaker, I am proud and honored to salute the outstanding accomplishments of Dr. Alice Walker-Duff to the Los Angeles community. Her career has been marked by a level of excellence that is worthy of the accolades she receives this day. She has labored nobly and steadfastly in her quest to ensure that children receive the appropriate day care and essential nurturing to which all children are entitled. Please join me in commending her for her contributions to the children of Los Angeles, and in extending to her, her husband attorney Joe Duff, and their two daughters Gingi and Laura, our appreciation and best wishes for continued success in the future.

HONORING VETERANS OF THE
KOREAN WAR

HON. BARBARA B. KENNELLY

OF CONNECTICUT

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, August 3, 1995

Mrs. KENNELLY. Mr. Speaker, as we remember the 42d anniversary of the armistice of the Korean war, and as we dedicate the new Korean War Veterans Memorial on the Mall, I want to commemorate the patriotism of the 1.5 million Americans who served, the courage of those who were wounded or taken prisoner-of-war, and the sacrifice of the more than 54,000 who did not come home. These

soldiers, including many from my congressional district and the State of Connecticut, have made an indelible contribution to our nation and to our world by exemplifying America's uncompromising devotion to freedom.

The soldiers who fought in Korea were the first American servicemen and women to directly contest a Communist army. Their bravery in combat against North Korea and China proved that the United States would not appease Communist aggression. Their defense of freedom in one corner of the world gave hope to millions of people under Communist rule in other corners that the democratic nations had not forgotten their plight. Korea was the first volley in the battle that was won when the Berlin Wall came down and the Soviet Union crumbled.

Our Korean war soldiers also demonstrated to the world that we were ready and willing to help even our smallest and most distant allies fend off foreign aggression. While no one questioned America's strategic interest in defending Europe during the world wars, our commitment to our friends in Asia was not as certain. But in the summer of 1950, the United States spoke loud and clear: we would stand up for freedom anywhere it was threatened by tyranny. That message still resonates today.

But our soldiers did not merely engage in battle against international aggression; it was a contest between democracy and totalitarianism. In Korea, our soldiers proved that Americans did not just talk about the importance of democracy—they would risk their lives for it. The march of American soldiers up the Korean peninsula from Pusan to the 38th Parallel was a remarkably brave demonstration of our commitment to lead by example. Today, as nations on every continent strive towards democracy, they rightfully look to us for moral guidance.

By fighting side-by-side with soldiers from around the world, American soldiers also demonstrated that multi-national coalitions can bring about peaceful ends. When we contemplate the awesome success of Desert Storm 4 years ago, we can look back to Korea as the prototype.

Through these accomplishments, the soldiers of the Korean war left an indelible mark on the modern world. Sadly, Korea is at times called the forgotten war. But the freedom and security it brought the world will long be remembered.

Were it not for the courage of our soldiers, South Korea would not be a free and prosperous nation, one of our most trusted and valuable allies in the Pacific rim.

And were it not for the fortitude of our neighbors, relatives and friends in uniform who joined the battle against North Korea, the fall of Communism in Eastern Europe and in Central America would have been far less assured.

Most importantly, were it not for the selflessness of American servicemen and women who triumphed over dictatorship in a country many had never even heard of, the guiding light of democracy we extend to other nations would not be nearly as bright.

We still live in a dangerous, unpredictable world. But the heroic, selfless efforts of Americans in places like Inchon, Chosin, and Pusan have ensured that future generations of Ameri-

cans will live in a world where freedom is cherished and tyranny is repelled. For that, we owe the veterans of the Korean war our eternal gratitude.

I commend the Korean war veterans from the First District of Connecticut and from around the United States on the occasion of the 42d anniversary of the end of the Korean war.

CLEANING UP BROWNFIELDS

HON. JOHN D. DINGELL

OF MICHIGAN

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, August 3, 1995

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, I rise with my colleagues from Ohio, Mr. BROWN, and my colleague from Missouri, the distinguished minority leader, Mr. GEPHARDT, to introduce legislation which, if enacted, will promote the cleanup of lands containing abandoned or under used industrial facilities where legal, environmental, and financial barriers prevent redevelopment.

Contaminated, often vacant industrial sites, known as brownfields, pose significant economic and environmental challenges for communities throughout southeastern Michigan. These challenges are formidable, but not insurmountable. I will explore the issues which determine how to succeed in converting our brownfields in Downriver and Detroit back to engines of economic growth.

Industrial properties, contaminated from years of use, are very difficult to redevelop. Even ongoing operations may be difficult to sustain. Cleanup costs are high and liabilities for past contamination scare potential purchasers, developers, and lenders. However, not cleaning and reusing these sites means that sites with the potential to contribute to local economic development and job creation sit dormant, and pollution remains unchecked. The lack of usable properties in long-term manufacturing centers like those in metropolitan Detroit and other cities encourages builders and investors to look for more distant locations for development.

The bill which I am sponsoring with my colleagues will address these concerns by providing more than \$100 million over 3 years so that local governments can choose and develop the sites which have the best chance of success if they are cleaned up. The grants will be used to assess the environmental conditions and economic potential of a site. Loans will allow cities and other development authorities to finish the job. Perhaps most important, current Federal laws would be amended to reduce fears of liability for purchasers and lenders. Together with the enhanced public funding, it is hoped that these steps will leverage additional private investment in brownfields.

I am pleased to say that local governments in my congressional district are not waiting for this legislation to get started on these efforts. However, organizations like the Southeast Michigan Council of Governments [SEMCOG] and the Port of Monroe assure me that this legislation should help guarantee success.

Mr. Speaker, I look forward to working with my colleagues on the Commerce Committee

to see how this legislation fits with efforts to reauthorize the Superfund.

BROWNFIELD BILL—SECTION-BY-SECTION ANALYSIS

SECTION I. FINDINGS

SECTION II. FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE

Purpose

Provide financial incentives that encourage redevelopment efforts of brownfield sites.

Help create a more level playing field relative to the more desirable "greenfields".

Aid with the expenses involved with cleanup activities at brownfield sites.

Summary

Provides grants to local governments for site investigations to assess the level of contamination; authorizes \$15 million each fiscal year from the Superfund trust fund.

Provides interest-free loans to local governments for cleanup activities. Such loans are to be repaid within 10 years to be deposited back into the Superfund trust. Authorizes \$30 million each fiscal year from the Superfund trust fund for such purposes.

Establishes a 3 year sunset for authorization of funds.

Permits local governments to submit to EPA an application for a grant or loan for specific redevelopment project(s).

Specifies criteria by which applications are ranked; includes: Stimulation of economic development (eg. job creation, increased revenue); extent local community participates and supports remediation and development; financial involvement of State and local governments (in lieu of matching requirement); extent the local community supports the redevelopment project(s); and extent health and environmental risks (or threat of) are reduced.

SECTION III. LENDER LIABILITY

Purpose

Encourage lenders to help finance brownfield redevelopment efforts by reducing liability fears induced by unfavorable court interpretations. The US v. Fleet Corp. court ruling inflicted uncertainty among lending institutions regarding liability.

Clarify activities that lenders can perform without being held liable under Superfund.

Summary

Upholds EPA's 1992 Lender Liability rule which was invalidated by a court ruling:

Species lender's activities that give rise to potential liability. These include undertaking responsibility for hazardous substance practices and day-to-day decisionmaking with respect to environmental compliance and operational functions.

Specifies activities that do not give rise to liability. Includes: Mere capacity to influence or unexercised right to control facility operations; actions to require environmental inspection and/or cleanups; work out activities (eg. preventing foreclosure by restructuring terms).

To remain exempt from liability after foreclosure, a lender must sell, re-lease, or otherwise divest itself of the property in a reasonably expeditious manner.

SECTION IV. PURCHASER LIABILITY

Purpose

Protect new purchasers and redevelopers from liabilities for past problems.

Under N.Y. v. Shore Realty, the court held the current owner responsible for response costs; it reasoned that CERCLA unequivocally imposes strict liability on the current owner of a facility from which there is a release without regard to causation.

Summary

Exempts prospective purchasers from liability when acquires ownership of a facility and establishes each of the following:

All active disposal of hazardous substances at the facility occurred before that person acquired the facility.

Person made all appropriate inquiry into the previous ownership and uses of the facility and poverty.

The person provided all legally required notices with respect to the discovery or release of any hazardous substances at the facility.

The person exercised appropriate care with respect to hazardous substances found by stopping on-going releases and preventing future releases of hazardous substances.

SECTION V. FIDUCIARY LIABILITY

Purpose

Reduce banks' fears of liability in their capacity as a fiduciary. Fiduciaries are wary of accepting real estate into their trust portfolios due to unfavorable court decisions.

Summary

Limits the liability of fiduciaries (trustees) to the value of the assets of the trust or estate unless: Person undertakes fiduciary status to avoid preexisting personal liability; fiduciary is personally causing or contributing to release of hazardous substance; fiduciary participates in planning and implementing a scheme to evade CERCLA; and fiduciary fails to comply with requirements set by EPA.

Fiduciaries undertaking or directing others to undertake a response/cleanup action under CERCLA are precluded from liability.

IN SUPPORT OF SUPERFUND REFORMS TO PROMOTE THE REDEVELOPMENT OF "BROWNFIELDS"

HON. RICHARD A. GEPHARDT

OF MISSOURI

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, August 3, 1995

Mr. GEPHARDT. Mr. Speaker, I join today with Mr. BROWN of Ohio, Mr. DINGELL, Mr. STOKES, Mr. BORSKI, Mr. RUSH, Mr. KLING, Mr. MANTON, Mr. TOWNS, and Ms. FURSE in introducing legislation to redevelop abandoned or underutilized industrial sites. As many as 500,000 sites that once sustained industrial or commercial activities now lie vacant or idle across the country in our rural and urban areas. Returning these sites to productive use must be an important national goal.

This legislation is intended to promote the cleanup and redevelopment of such abandoned properties, commonly referred to as "brownfields." Too often the private sector is deterred from redeveloping such brownfields because of their high cleanup costs and the potentially open-ended liability associated with undiscovered contamination. Likewise, cities have lacked the resources to assess contamination levels at abandoned sites or to help finance cleanups.

Like many cities across the country, St. Louis has hundreds—perhaps thousands—of abandoned sites that sit idle and need to be reused. In many cases, private owners have simply given up on their properties, allowing them to revert to the public domain; the municipality of St. Louis owns more than

40,000,000 square feet of abandoned property and buildings. But many other underused sites remain in private hands as well.

St. Louis has seen some neighborhoods deteriorate as investment and jobs have gone elsewhere. Many times it has been more attractive for businesses to invest in untouched property that does not carry with it potential environmental liability and expensive cleanup costs. Thus, many sites—the old Carondelet Coke plant in south St. Louis City, areas along the Mississippi riverfront, and the former National Lead site in St. Louis County—remain unused.

Our goal is to encourage the cleanup and reuse of brownfields for productive uses, thus bringing new job opportunities to blighted areas. This bill contains provisions to encourage private sector investment in redevelopment and provide cities with the resources to coordinate site characterization and promote cleanups. There are three major objectives.

First, this legislation provides cities new resources necessary to promote the cleanup of sites. Developers or purchasers often find capital out of reach when potentially costly environmental liabilities are present. In addition, cities often have difficulty in obtaining the necessary resources to assess the extent of toxicity of individual sites, the first step in brownfield redevelopment.

To help provide funding that the private sector cannot always provide, the bill authorizes the EPA to provide funds from the Superfund trust fund for cleanup activities. Local government entities, such as the St. Louis community development agency, would be able to apply and compete for interest-free loans or grants to perform site assessments and cleanup activities. The grants and loans would be competitively awarded based on their capacity to create new jobs, as well as the amount of local participation and financial support.

The cities have emphasized that site characterizations and assessments are extremely useful in marketing contaminated sites to prospective buyers or developers. After determining the level of contamination, parties are more inclined to invest in brownfield properties since the projected cleanup costs are better known. This bill authorizes the EPA to provide up to \$15 million annually from the Superfund to local governments to perform such assessments. Furthermore, to facilitate cleanups, the bill authorizes the use of up to \$30 million annually in loans to finance remediation activities.

Second, this legislation clarifies the lender liability issue in order to encourage private sector investment. The Fleet Factors case obscured the intent of Superfund's secured-lenders exemption. This confusion has made many lenders reluctant to become involved in potentially contaminated properties. Bankers now often fear that their interest may make them subject to cleanup liability for newly discovered or released contamination. The bill makes it clear that lenders who are merely performing a lending function and not managing a site's daily operations or contributing to the contamination can lend for redevelopment purposes without fear of incurring large environmental liabilities. The bill also provides protections to lenders who act in their capacity as fiduciaries.

Third, this legislation provides protection for good faith prospective purchasers. To protect innocent landowners from Superfund liability when they acquire property subsequently found to be contaminated, the bill exempts prospective purchasers from such liability if certain precautionary measures are taken. Under Superfund, the owner of a contaminated tract of land may be held responsible for cleaning it up even if the pollution was created by the prior owner. Thus, potential purchasers are often deterred from investing in sites with potential contamination. This provision allows a purchaser who checks the site carefully before purchase to avoid liability if contamination is subsequently discovered.

This legislation is the result of our discussions with many leaders on this issue. St. Louis Mayor Freeman Bosley, Jr., cochair of the U.S. Conference of Mayors' Brownfields Committee, has been committed to finding solutions to problems associated with brownfields. We have also worked closely with St. Louis lenders, environmentalists, and the St. Louis Regional Commerce and Growth Association. Finally, I am pleased that this bill has the support of the National League of Cities. Their contributions helped us focus on the most critical problems and develop solutions that are workable in an era of fiscal limits.

This legislation does not solve all aspects of the brownfields redevelopment problem. The solutions require a comprehensive reform of the Superfund bill, of the sort that nearly passed the House last year. There are also other aspects of the problem—such as those involving the treatment of leaking underground storage tanks—that must be addressed as well.

Generally, this legislation begins us on the way toward confronting the most important factors that have blocked the redevelopment of communities throughout urban and rural America. I thank all of my colleagues, particularly Mr. BROWN and Mr. DINGELL, for their hard work in developing this bill.

A BROWNFIELDS CLEANUP PROGRAM

HON. ROBERT A. BORSKI

OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, August 3, 1995

Mr. BORSKI. Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to join today with the gentleman from Ohio [Mr. BROWN], the gentleman from Michigan [Mr. DINGELL], the ranking Democratic member of the Commerce Committee, and the Democratic leader, Mr. GEPHARDT, to introduce legislation to help cities attract jobs by cleaning up brownfields sites.

This initiative will bring jobs to Philadelphia and every other city that has been facing inflexible environmental laws.

This bill is necessary because Superfund has become an obstacle to the economic redevelopment of our cities. Superfund has become a job-killer in our Nation's cities and that has to be changed.

Mayor Ed Rendell of Philadelphia, America's mayor, made revision of the Superfund brownfields program a prominent part of his new agenda for urban America.

The current Superfund Program has required America's cities to fight the battle for jobs with one hand tied behind their backs. Cities must be able to attract jobs—new jobs—if they are going to be able to expand their tax bases and provide funds for all the other services that are essential in urban areas—schools, housing, transit and many others. Cities cannot survive without new jobs.

In Philadelphia, the city is attempting clear away the more than 30,000 abandoned buildings that dominate far too much of the city. They want to clear the lots for development but they have run into a stone wall because no developers want to touch land that poses the threat of Superfund involvement.

Our Commissioner of Licenses and Inspections, who is in charge of this effort, testified before the Subcommittee on Water Resources and the Environment about an atmosphere of fear among prospective developers.

It is clear that we must take the steps that are necessary to dispel the atmosphere of fear that pervades our cities.

This bill that we are introducing today will help Philadelphia and all the other cities with the same problem a small measure of help by setting aside Superfund money to be used just for these sites.

During the next 3 years, \$45 million would be available for grants to cities for preliminary site characterization work and \$90 million would be provided for loans to cities for clean-up.

The bill also includes protection for prospective purchasers—people who want to buy property but may be scared away by the potential liability.

Under this bill, prospective purchasers who have no connection with the waste disposal will be shielded from liability.

The brownfields problem has a major impact on communities across the country. Experts have estimated as many as 500,000 contaminated sites that could be available for productive industrial development if the liability issue was settled.

EPA Administrator Carol M. Browner has done a good job moving this program in the right direction with her brownfields action agenda, especially removing 25,000 sites from the CERCLIS list.

That removal eliminates the taint of a Superfund listing from sites that don't belong on a Superfund list.

More must be done legislatively to focus attention on the brownfields problem.

As the ranking Democratic member on the Water Resources and Environment Subcommittee, I am prepared to offer this bill during the Superfund debate in the Transportation and Infrastructure Committee.

BROWNFIELDS LEGISLATION INTRODUCED

HON. SHERROD BROWN

OF OHIO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, August 3, 1995

Mr. BROWN of Ohio. Mr. Speaker, today, I am introducing legislation along with my colleagues Mr. DINGELL of Michigan, Mr. GEP-

HARDT of Missouri, Mr. BORSKI and Mr. KLINK of Pennsylvania, Mr. RUSH of Illinois, Mr. STOKES of Ohio, and Mr. MANTON and Mr. TOWNS of New York, to address the dire need for the development of so-called Brownfields.

Those of us who have seen industries come and go in our congressional districts know the problems resulting from land that had been used for industrial purposes which is now abandoned—left barren and often contaminated—with no hope of productive use. Our legislation will address this problem in four important ways.

First, the bill would establish a grant program for local communities to use to determine the extent of the contamination of the property. While many sites could be re-developed with a minimal investment, local communities cannot be sure of this until the assessment is done. This bill offers these communities an opportunity to assess the situation so that prompt action can be taken to clean up the site.

Second, this legislation would establish a revolving loan fund for local governments to fund the actual clean-up actions. Mr. Speaker, we know it is essential that we be fiscally responsible in the development of new Federal programs. For this reason, we established a loan program for the local governments to assist them in getting the land to a place where it will begin to produce revenue. But we require the loan to be repaid over 10 years—a time frame which allows them the opportunity to begin to recoup their investment.

Third, the bill would protect the purchaser of such properties as long as the purchaser does due diligence to find the problem and cooperate with the clean-up response. Under the current Superfund law, purchasers could be liable for clean-up even if they did not own the land when it was polluted. This provision should help attract new purchasers to these lands and encourage the voluntary clean-up of sites.

Fourth, and finally, the bill would protect the lending institutions from becoming the deep-pockets at sites where their participation was limited to the lending of money. Unfortunately, the current laws has allowed innocent lenders to be held liable for the clean-up of properties for which they provided the financial backing and nothing more. It is contrary to the intent of the Superfund Program to discourage voluntary clean-up actions such as those that would be backed by financial institutions. Yet, that is the result of the current law. Institutions are afraid to lend the financial backing when they could be held liable for millions in clean-up costs.

Mr. Speaker, I believe our legislation will provide a boost in the arm to local communities across this nation which are struggling to re-create productive properties. It will revive local economies, reduce threats to public health and improve the environment. I hope my colleagues will offer their support by co-sponsoring this bill.

A TRIBUTE TO KANWAL SIBAL

HON. BENJAMIN A. GILMAN

OF NEW YORK

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, August 3, 1995

Mr. GILMAN. Mr. Speaker, during the past 3 years the relationship between the United States and the Government of India has dramatically improved. India is no longer a country with which our Government has a reserved relationship. It is now a nation which is one of our Nation's major emerging markets. There are many reasons for the improvements in our relationship with India.

Prime Minister Narashimha Rao has embarked on a bold economic reform program which has made our Nation India's largest trading partner. India's Finance Minister, Manmohan Singh, has worked tirelessly to build economic bridges between the Indian consumers and important American companies. Our diplomatic relationship with India will only succeed, however, if the Indian Embassy successfully conducts its relationships with the Congress, the State Department, and other agencies of our Government. During the past 3 years the Indian Embassy has been an important player in our nearly improved relationship with the world's largest democracy.

Mr. Speaker, Kanwal Sibal has served in Washington with distinction for the past 3 years as the Deputy Chief of Mission. Prior to coming to Washington, Kanwal Sibal served as India's Ambassador to Turkey. Now, with the completion of a successful tour in Washington, Kanwal Sibal is about to become India's Ambassador to Egypt.

Mr. Speaker, I know many of my colleagues join with me in congratulating Kanwal Sibal for the successful completion of his assignment to Washington. I call to the attention of my colleagues an article which appeared in the July 14, 1995, edition of News India-Times regarding Kanwal Sibal's years here in Washington. I know my colleagues will agree with the praise accorded to Ambassador Sibal. Kanwal Sibal will be missed in Washington, but I am certain he will ably represent his nation in Cairo and I request that the attached News India-Times article be printed at this point in the RECORD:

[From the News India-Times, July 14, 1995]

SATISFACTION AT THE END OF A SUCCESSFUL INNINGS

(By Tania Anand)

WASHINGTON.—“The canvas is huge, the players numerous. No embassy or government can be in control all the time. One has to be genuinely modest about making any claims or reordering India-US relations.” The man reflecting is Kanwal Sibal, deputy chief of mission at the Indian embassy. Having completed three years as the chief of the IFS battery in Washington, Sibal will make way for Shyamala Cowsik, who takes his place on September 1.

In an extensive interview with News India-Times at the end of an eventful term which saw India move from an inconsequential point outside the US radar screen to a centerpoint as one of the foremost Big Emerging Markets identified by the US government, Sibal was modest about his role in the transition.

“A lot of our progress is thanks to policies back home. My role, as part of the team, has

been essentially consolidating on the positive trends that are occurring.” Following are excerpts from the interview, conducted in two sessions in his office last week.

On Indo-US relations when he assumed office in September 1992: There were a lot of uncertainties in our relationship. There was a lack of confidence in US intentions toward India. We were feeling US pressure specially on the nuclear proliferation issue and within a few months on human rights. There were sanctions on ISRO the technology transfer issue culminated in pressure on Russia to cancel the cryogenic engines, there was concern on intellectual property rights. There was pressure from Congress on Kashmir and Punjab and generally on human rights.

The atmosphere in relations between the two countries became even more difficult by statements made on Kashmir which seemed to suggest a reopening of the accession question there was a third party to the Indo-Pak dialogue on Kashmir.

The economic reforms process was not more than a year old and had not begun to register either at the government or at the business level. From the government point of view India was not blinking on the US radar screen. It was very difficult to get the attention of the policy-makers.

On relations today in general: Today on all fronts the scenario is much better. It has obviously been a team effort where everybody has contributed. Yet having said that I will take some credit for the contribution.

Our relationship with the US is highly complex. The US is the world's foremost power, we are not. In many areas, the US holds the strong hand vis-a-vis all countries. This makes the task of dealing with the US a challenging one. The decision making process here is complex. The capability of innumerable agencies to block a decision here has to be understood. These non-governmental agencies are powerful but from our point of view irresponsible. They do not think in a narrow agenda and push it to the maximum. Yet the overall atmosphere has improved vastly.

On nuclear proliferation: We have certainly made significant advance in persuading the American side that India's security dilemmas cannot be adequately dealt with within the India-Pakistan or South Asian framework. The US is no longer persisting with a proposal that would limit the nuclear non-proliferation dialogue to just India and Pakistan. There have been no new pressures on India on the NPT front despite its indefinite extension.

Mode of communication: A significant advance following Strobe Talbott's visit to India was to take the dialogue on issues away from the glare of publicity. The US government recognizes today that public pressure on India will not help.

On human rights: There has been a significant change in the US public position on human rights in India and the tone of comments. They publicly recognize the significant work done on this front in India and the National Human Rights Commission has been well received.

On the India-Pakistan issues: We might have wanted the US to be more positive in its support for Indian positions and more willing to take public and official cognizance to Pakistan's continuing support to terrorism in India. The US has acknowledged the fact that India has made serious and genuine efforts at dialogue on Kashmir. They are also willing to acknowledge that elections would be a good route to follow in promoting democratic processes.

They have not supported Pakistani efforts at New York or Geneva to move resolutions against India. The kind of negative statements that were being made by some elements on the US side have not been reiterated—there is a greater sense of measure in comments being made. The joint statement between President Clinton and Prime Minister Rao clearly said all issues between India and Pakistan should be resolved bilaterally.

On transfer of technology: The ISRO sanctions have not been renewed. Yet on the issue of transfer of technology more work needs to be done. Still, we have moved from a position where we were deeply concerned to a dialogue.

On relations with the Congress: We have made a very major advance in our relationship with individual Congressmen and Senators and in the general mood of Congress.

The India caucus which was the first individual country caucus on the Hill is a big asset. It is bipartisan with 61 members and gives us a platform on which to build our relationship with the Congress. The crowning success of the caucus has been the recent defeat of the Burton amendment which was sprung upon the House with no lead time. It was the sustained contact with the Congress and the Indian-American community that helped defeat the move.

On the economic relationship: Certainly, India has begun to blink on the U.S. radar here. Five high-level visits in one year is unprecedented—four Cabinet level visits plus the visit of Mrs. Hillary Clinton. It has led to others wondering what this signifies in Indo-US relations.

We have been working closely with the India Interest Group to give it a certain profile, getting incoming visitors from India to meet them as a group and also getting them high-level appointments when they visit India. We have also been trying to forge a close working relationship between the India Interest Group and the India Caucus to make them mutually reinforcing.

On defense ties: It has been our effort to build a closer relationship with the Pentagon because during the Cold War the fact that the Pentagon was neglected has not helped our overall relationship. It has been our conscious effort to develop greater links with Pentagon and there has been a substantial improvement in our dialogue with them on various issues.

On India's lobbyist: It has been both a process of learning and achievement. It was a new experience, starting from scratch, and has resulted in a multiplier effect of our own efforts.

On relations with Indian-American community: We have vastly improved the mechanics of interaction with the Indian-American community for grassroots campaign. We have developed a list of important Indian-Americans who have credible political links and supply them regularly with information on developments in India and Indo-U.S. relations. Over the last three years we have taken several steps to transform what was earlier a disorganized and unfocused effort into a highly systemized and focused effort.

TITLE X OF H.R. 2127

HON. KAREN MCCARTHY

OF MISSOURI

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, August 3, 1995

Ms. MCCARTHY. Mr. Speaker, today I walk with my head held high and with great pride

as a Member of the U.S. House of Representatives. Last night Members from both sides of the aisle stood together and said to families across this Nation that their Government does support title X funding. Title X is part of the Public Health Service Act, sponsored by then-Congressman George Bush, and signed into law by President Nixon in 1970. I am proud to be a part of a majority in the U.S. House of Representatives with the common sense to set family planning funding as a priority.

The title X program has been reauthorized six times since 1970 and has always received broad bipartisan support. The 104th Congress has put aside partisan politics and restored adequate funding for family planning and health care services. In my district, title X means women can afford preventive health services like pap smears and gynecological exams. In my district, title X means women can afford vital pre- and neo-natal health care to prevent problems with pregnancies. In my district, title X means women can afford contraceptive health services to prevent unwanted pregnancies. In my district, title X means men can afford screening tests for prostate cancer. In my district, title X means that a woman's income level will not control her health or that of her family.

Mr. Speaker, at the end of this week, when I return to my district for the August work period, I can tell the women of Jackson County MO, that the House is committed to their family planning and health care needs. I can now go back to my district with pride for the work this body has done to preserve a 25-year commitment to the families of this Nation.

It is unfortunate, however, Mr. Speaker, that I will be unable to tell my constituents that I voted for the overall Labor-HHS-Education Appropriations bill of which title X is a part. The measure contains extreme and unfair cuts to valuable, proven programs that educate children, invest in working people, and protect our Nation's health and safety. We must invest in our country's future by supporting education and training to promote long-term economic growth and higher living standards. We must continue to invest in programs like Cradles and Crayons that benefit our children. I regret that this bill does not represent the priorities Jackson Countians want.

OSTEOPOROSIS

HON. CONSTANCE A. MORELLA

OF MARYLAND

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, August 3, 1995

Mrs. MORELLA, Mr. Speaker, osteoporosis is a major public health problem affecting 25 million Americans, 20 million of whom are women. The disease causes 1.5 million fractures at a cost of \$10 billion annually in direct medical expenses. One in two women and one in eight men over the age of 50 will fracture a bone due to osteoporosis. A woman's risk of osteoporosis is equal to her combined risk of contracting breast, uterine and ovarian cancer.

Osteoporosis is largely preventable and thousands of fractures could be avoided if low bone mass was detected early and treated.

However, identification of risk factors alone cannot predict how much bone a person has and how strong or weak bone is. Experts estimate that without bone density tests, up to 40 percent of women with low bone mass could be missed—an unacceptable diagnostic error rate.

Unfortunately, Medicare's coverage of bone density tests is inconsistent. The program covers several types of tests such as single photon absorptiometry, measurement of the wrist and radiographic absorptiometry, hand; however, it leaves the decision to the Medicare carriers whether to cover quantitative computed tomography, spine, and dual energy x-ray absorptiometry or DXA—spine, hip, and total body—one of the most common methods used by scientists. The Food and Drug Administration has approved all of these methods except the radiographic absorptiometry.

Medicare covers DXA in 42 States, while parts of four additional States are covered. This leaves four States and the District of Columbia without coverage. A national average allowable charge of \$124 was established for DXA by the Health Care Financing Administration this year, yet a national coverage decision does not exist.

Inconsistency of coverage policy is confusing and unfair to beneficiaries. If a Medicare beneficiary lives in Florida, DXA is covered; if she lives in New Jersey, it is not covered. If she lives in Baltimore County in Maryland, it is covered; if she lives in Montgomery County, MD, it is not covered.

Today, I am introducing a bill, together with Congresswomen NITA LOWEY and EDDIE BERNICE JOHNSON, as well as 10 other original co-sponsors, to standardize Medicare's inconsistent coverage of bone density tests—the only sure method to determine bone mass and avoid some of the 1.5 million fractures caused annually by osteoporosis. The bill would also clarify that Medicare will cover other scientifically proven techniques to detect bone loss, such as biochemical markers. These inexpensive lab tests can be important adjuncts to bone mass measurement in the effort to detect and treat individuals who are at risk of osteoporosis. Considering that bone density tests are already covered by a large majority of the Medicare carriers, this bill will not add significantly to the costs of the Medicare program.

I urge my colleagues to join us in introducing this bill to help women and men prevent fractures caused by osteoporosis.

HONORING CAPT. DEAN O. TRYTTEN ON HIS RETIREMENT

HON. BOB INGLIS

OF SOUTH CAROLINA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, August 3, 1995

Mr. INGLIS, Mr. Speaker, today I pay tribute to an outstanding naval officer, Capt. Dean O. Trytten, who is retiring from the U.S. Navy after 30 years of distinguished service. It is a pleasure to share with my colleagues just a few of his many accomplishments.

Captain Trytten, raised in Lake Mills, IA, enlisted in the Navy in 1965 and was commis-

sioned through the Navy's NESEP Program. He was selected for the Navy's NESEP Program while a student at Nuclear Power Training School in Windsor, CT.

A dedicated student, Captain Trytten received his bachelor of science degree in electrical engineering from North Carolina State University [NCSSU]. Later, he returned to school, and in 1982 he earned his master of science in mechanical engineering from the naval post graduate school in Monterey, CA. Captain Trytten was also awarded the prestigious "Top Snipe" award at SWOS Department Head School.

Captain Trytten's initial sea assignment was to the U.S.S. *Cannole* (DE 1056), where he served as main propulsion assistant. Subsequent sea tours included repair officer/engineering officer on the U.S.S. *Portland* (LSD 37), engineering officer on the U.S.S. *Joseph Hewes* (FF 1078) and maintenance manager/service life extension program [SLEP] coordinator on the U.S.S. *Independence* [CV 62].

During a period of rapidly changing force structures and declining resources, Captain Trytten served as ship superintendent at Philadelphia Naval Shipyard for the SLEP of U.S.S. *Forrestal* [CV 59], repair officer at SIMA San Diego, force maintenance officer at COMNAVSURFPAC, ship modernization and maintenance branch head at OPNAV, and most recently distinguished himself through exceptional meritorious service as special assistant for quality at the NAVSEA Inspector General's Office.

Captain Trytten has been awarded many decorations, including four Meritorious Service Medals, the Navy Commendation Medal, Battle Efficiency "E", Good Conduct, and two National Defense, Humanitarian Service, and Sea Service Medals. Captain Trytten's accomplishments during his service are in keeping with the finest traditions of military service and reflect great credit upon him and the U.S. Navy.

Mr. Speaker, I ask you and my colleagues to join me in congratulating Capt. Dean Trytten on this momentous occasion. As Captain Trytten retires to Greenville, SC, I take this opportunity to express my gratitude for his faithful and dedicated service to the U.S. Navy and wish him my sincerest best wishes upon his retirement.

A CALL TO REPEAL GOALS 2000

HON. SONNY CALLAHAN

OF ALABAMA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, August 3, 1995

Mr. CALLAHAN, Mr. Speaker, as the House considers the Labor, Health and Human Services, and Education appropriations bill, considerable attention will be devoted to the issue of education. America's schools will only improve if Federal and State Governments stop burdening schools and teachers with regulations and instead give them the freedom to experiment and change. Flexibility and innovation are key elements of genuine education reform, not centralized and rigid Federal rules. The provisions of Goals 2000 do not coincide with our efforts to shift more power to the States,

and I believe that funding for this program should be discontinued. At this time, I would like to submit a joint resolution on behalf of the Alabama State Legislature calling for the repeal of Goals 2000.

H.J.R. 353

Whereas, Goals 2,000: Educate America Act and related implementing legislation, ESEA Reauthorization Act, P.L. 103-382, which was passed by the Congress in 1994, require the federalization called restructuring of America's educational system; and

Whereas, the act for the first time in American history, provides a framework to establish national education goals, with the power in federal, state, and local rules; and

Whereas, this federalization which Goals 2,000 describes 101 times as voluntary, is in effect involuntary because it requires that for a state to receive any federal funds, including Chapter 1 funds, a state must submit to national content standards, national student performance standards, federally approved state assessments testing to cover all students regardless of where they are educated, federally approved control of information through technology plans in all programs, federally approved school readiness programs which will necessitate home inspections mandatory community service, school to work programs directing all businesses to require certificates of mastery for all workers, and government oversight of the family; and

Whereas, this federalization also mandates equalized spending per pupil for a state, local, educational agency, or school; and

Whereas, the Alabama Legislature last year rejected outcome-based education; and

Whereas, the federal government does not have the legal constitutional authority to implement a national curriculum or otherwise to usurp state rights; and

Whereas, American education has been effective when it has taught the basic under local control; and

Whereas, supervision and education of children must remain the right of parents, and the Goals 2,000 required parent contracts negate this parental authority; Now therefore be it

Resolved by the Legislature of Alabama, both Houses thereof concurring, That the Legislature calls upon the Alabama Congressional Delegation to repeal Goals 2,000 in order to reverse the power it gives to the federal government; and be it further

Resolved, That a copy of this resolution be sent to each Alabama Congressional member.

REMEMBERING OUR HMONG ALLIES

HON. GEORGE P. RADANOVICH

OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, August 3, 1995

Mr. RADANOVICH. Mr. Speaker, 1995 marks the 20th year since the fall of Long Chieng, the CIA headquarters in Laos, where the Secret War was staged.

The Hmong suffered tremendous casualties as a direct result of their alliance with the United States during the Vietnam War. The Hmong heroically acted as our counterinsurgency force for over 10 years fighting some of Ho Chi Minh's best divisions to a standstill. These courageous actions dis-

abled North Vietnamese forces, preventing them from waging war with Americans in South Vietnam.

Mr. Speaker, I call my colleagues' attention to Jane Hamilton-Merritt's article that appeared in *The New York Times* and urge that we remember our former Hmong allies who are now refugees of the Secret War. At this point, I wish that the article be inserted into the RECORD.

[From the *New York Times*, June 24, 1995]

REFUGEES OF THE SECRET WAR

(By Jane Hamilton-Merritt)

Buried in the sweeping foreign aid package passed by the House on June 8 is an amendment that could rescue thousands of desperate refugees. The amendment would end the forced repatriation of Hmong refugees in Thailand to Communist Laos, where they face persecution by a Government with one of the worst human rights records in the world.

The Senate should preserve this amendment when it takes up the bill, later this summer. It is the least Washington can do for the Hmong. They are being persecuted in part because they were persecuted in part because they were valuable allies in America's "secret war" in Laos that accompanied the war in Vietnam.

Perhaps 30,000 Hmong are trapped in Thailand in refugee camps and in jails, and some have spent years in hiding. Many are military veterans who were recruited and trained by the C.I.A. to fight North Vietnamese troops in Laos. An ethnic minority in the country, the Hmong aided the American effort throughout the Kennedy, Johnson and Nixon administrations.

Fighting to save Laos from a Communist takeover, the Hmong helped us by gathering intelligence, rescuing downed American pilots and sabotaging the entrance of the Ho Chi Minh supply trail into South Vietnam.

Speaking on behalf of Hmong veterans and their families, William Colby, the former Director of Central Intelligence, told the House Subcommittee on Asia and Pacific affairs last year that for 10 years the Hmong kept Hanoi's army in northern Laos to approximately the same battle lines it held at the beginning of the war, though the number of troops increased from 7,000 to about 70,000 by the end of the conflict—troops that were not available to kill Americans in South Vietnam.

For the Hmong, the sacrifice was enormous. Perhaps 10 percent of the population—30,000 people—died.

In 1975, the new Communist regime in Laos singled out for persecution Hmong who had been allied with the United States.

In the last two decades, tens of thousands of Hmong have been killed or imprisoned in "seminar camps," which are essentially concentration camps.

Many others escaped across the Mekong River to northern Thailand, and others have resettled in the United States, France, Australia and Canada.

Before the end of this year, camps in Thailand will close and 30,000 Hmong and Lao refugees will be forced back to Laos. This is all the direct result of a misguided international program known as the Comprehensive Plan of Action, which has been in place since 1989. The program, developed to resolve the problem of the Vietnamese boat people, also affects other Indochinese asylum-seekers such as the Hmong.

The plan was drafted by State Department and United Nations officials with no public

debate—although it is financed in part by American tax dollars. It has been responsible for the forced return of thousands of refugees, including the Hmong, to repressive countries, though the State Department refuses to acknowledge this.

A March report from a fact-finding mission to Thailand sponsored by Representative Steve Gunderson, Republican from Wisconsin, concludes that the State Department had not been truthful.

The fact-finding team charges the State Department with "deception" and "white-wash" to "cover up misdeeds of officials involved in helping pressure and force Hmong/Lao refugees from Thailand to Laos" and also to "cover up their persecution and murders" in Laos. The report accuses staff members of the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees of giving "misleading" information to Congress that claimed that forced repatriation of the Hmong was not occurring.

Mr. Gunderson's findings confirm what has been reported for years by Hmong victims and their families in the United States, journalists and human rights organizations.

In a 1989 report about screening of Hmong refugees and asylum-seekers in Thailand, the Lawyers Committee for Human Rights warned: "Screening is conducted in a haphazard manner with little concern for legal norms. Extortion and bribery are widespread."

Opponents of the House provision in the foreign aid bill claim that it will cause greater numbers of refugees and could cost the United States more money. But as Representative Bill McCollum, Republican of Florida, pointed out in a recent House floor debate, the bill would not increase the number of refugees admitted to this country.

The amendment, he said, is about "getting the United States out of a scandalous international program." And, he said, "It is also about allocating what few spaces we do have for refugees to those who need and deserve our help."

The Hmong veterans in Thailand are in a sense America's 1st remaining P.O.W.'s. They fought with Americans and we left them behind. It is well within Government's powers to save the Hmong veterans and their families.

The amendment to the House bill, proposed by the Chairman of the International Operations and Human Rights Subcommittee, Representative Christopher Smith, Republican of New Jersey, is a start and should be supported in the Senate. We can help these people without significantly adding to this country's refugee population and to our financial burdens. It would be the humane and just thing to do. It is a moral obligation.

INTRODUCTION OF THE GRAND JURY REDUCTION ACT

HON. BOB GOODLATTE

OF VIRGINIA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, August 3, 1995

Mr. GOODLATTE. Mr. Speaker, this Congress has taken unprecedented action in reducing the size of the Federal Government. No Government agency has escaped our careful scrutiny as we have searched for places to trim Government waste.

Today, I am introducing a bill that will trim a bit further. I believe it is time to turn our attention to the grand jury process.

Currently grand juries consist of at least 16 and no more than 23 members and an indictment may be found only upon the concurrence of 12 or more jurors. Reducing grand jury size has had considerable support and in fact the Judicial Conference recommended a cut in grand jury size as long ago as 1974.

A panel of 23 is administratively unwieldy, costly, and unnecessary. According to the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts, in fiscal year 1992 the average number of grand jurors which sat on a grand jury in session was 19.8. In fact, some grand juries sit with only 16 jurors, the number necessary for a quorum under present law.

In fiscal year 1992 total grand jury payments totalled \$16,526,275 or \$67 per day per juror. We would see significant cost savings if the number of grand jurors was reduced.

This would be a practical, as well as a cost-savings, reform. In a 1977 hearing on grand jury reform the counsel of the Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts testified that "our experience is that it is easier to summon a smaller panel than a larger one from throughout the larger districts."

My bill amends 18 U.S.C. 3321 to reduce the number of grand jurors necessary for a grand jury to be impaneled. Under my bill every grand jury impaneled before any district court shall consist of not less than 9 nor more than 13 jurors. An indictment may be found only if at least 9 jurors are present and 7 of those present concur. Judges across my congressional district have endorsed this reduction.

The Judicial Conference is scheduled to meet again in September. I am hopeful that the Conference will endorse my proposal at this meeting.

As a member of the Courts and Intellectual Property Subcommittee, I see this as an initial step toward larger judicial reform which the subcommittee will undertake later this Congress. I urge my colleagues to support this important proposal.

TRIBUTE TO WILLIAM KATTAK

HON. WILLIAM J. MARTINI

OF NEW JERSEY

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, August 3, 1995

Mr. MARTINI. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to mourn the passing of a valued member of the Eighth Congressional District in New Jersey.

In the wake of the celebration surrounding the opening of the Korean War Memorial, William Kattak died on the morning of July 31, 1995. As a proud veteran of the Korean war, Mr. Kattak was a patriotic American. He was a former commander of John Raad Post, the American Legion, commander of Passaic County American Legion and a 4th Degree Knight of Columbus.

Along with an ardent commitment to the United States of America, Mr. Kattak enjoyed a lengthy term as a public servant to Passaic County. For more than two decades, he served as the Passaic County clerk where he enjoyed the respect of the entire community. In addition, as an attorney, he served as Passaic County assistant prosecutor, trustee of the Passaic County Bar Association and de-

partment head of New Jersey judge advocate. However, Mr. Kattak will probably best be remembered for designing unique alternatives to increase efficiency and reduce bureaucracy in local government. For instance, he was personally responsible for devising a method to invest bail money which, in turn, netted Passaic County taxpayers hundreds of thousands of dollars.

Even with all the meaningful accomplishments in public service, Mr. Kattak will be missed most by his loving family and close friends. He is survived by his wife Adrienne; three daughters, Joanne, Susan and Diane; four brothers and three sisters. The sorrow for the loss of William is summed up in the words of his daughter Diane. "He was the guardian angel in our family. He took care of us and no-body will ever replace him. He was the best father anyone could ever ask for."

Mr. Speaker, I ask that we all bow our heads in the memory of a great American, wonderful husband and loving father. Passaic County lost a great man, but the Kattak family lost a cherished member of a caring family.

THE BATEMEN-SAXTON-EDWARDS IMPACT AID COMPROMISE

HON. PATRICK J. KENNEDY

OF RHODE ISLAND

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, August 3, 1995

Mr. KENNEDY of Rhode Island. Mr. Speaker, I rise in strong support of the agreement on Impact Aid that has been struck this evening, and I applaud Mr. BATEMAN, Mr. SAXTON, Mr. EDWARDS, and Mr. PORTER'S commitment to ensure adequate funding be provided to the Impact Aid Program when the House and Senate conference on this legislation.

The reason this agreement is so critical is because today we are faced with an \$83 million gap in one of our countries most vital functions: the ability to educate our children and ensure our Nation's prosperity for generations to come. For the past 45 years the Federal Government recognized its obligation to compensate school districts for the costs of educating children whose parents live or work on federally owned land. I ask my colleagues today, what has happened to that obligation? Has the Federal Government become so single-minded in its attempt to reduce the deficit that it has become blind to the needs of our Nation's children.

Many of these children are those of the men and women who serve in our Nation's armed services. Is cutting their children's education how we choose to pay back the people who faithfully serve our country? In my opinion it's a crime to tell the children of military impacted communities that they have to receive a sub-standard education because the Federal Government does not want to pay its fair share.

Many schools have had to close due to cutbacks in the Impact Aid Program. Many more have had to incur huge deficits just to keep operating. From Nebraska and South Dakota to New Jersey and New York schools of all sizes have had major difficulty keeping their doors open.

But the necessity of Impact Aid goes far beyond the 1.8 million children who are eligible under the program. Terminating the program will also have a significant impact on the 20 million students who attend schools that are dependent on Impact Aid funding. In my own district, thousands of children in the Middletown, Newport, and Portsmouth school districts are largely affected by the Impact Aid Program. What will happen to these children if this program goes unfunded? Where will they go if their school closes down?

Impact Aid is about more than education, it is also about the strength of communities. The people of Middletown, RI tell me they are particularly proud of the community, their schools, and their military population. For over 200 years these same people have extended themselves to the military and have achieved an excellent reputation that is passed from generation to generation of servicemen and women at the Naval base on Aquidneck Island. But there are limits to these relationships. It is unreasonable to expect local taxpayers to increasingly subsidize the education of military students.

Even with full funding of Impact Aid, Middletown Public Schools still experience over a \$4 million loss in tax revenue from land occupied by the Navy instead of private housing or businesses. If the proposed reductions go into effect, a bad situation will become undoubtedly worse.

Mr. Speaker, the choice is ours and the choice is clear. We can choose to fund the future of America's students today or be prepared to pay the costs of an uneducated and unskilled work force tomorrow. I am gratified the leadership of this body has made the right choice and has committed itself to providing for our children's future.

FREDDIE MAC'S 25TH ANNIVERSARY JULY 24, 1970—JULY 24, 1995

HON. RICHARD H. BAKER

OF LOUISIANA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, August 3, 1995

Mr. BAKER of Louisiana. Mr. Speaker, 25 years ago, Congress took a bold and innovative approach to help millions of American families own a home. During 1969 and 1970, hard-working Americans who wanted to buy a home were confronted with an economic nightmare of high inflation and escalating interest rates. In short, money to buy a home was scarce and expensive.

On July 24, 1970, in response to the collapse of the country's mortgage finance system, Congress created the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, commonly known as Freddie Mac. Its mission was clear: to help Americans buy homes by tapping a consistent flow of funds from national and international capital markets.

Freddie Mac has dedicated its resources and innovation to fulfilling that mission. Since 1970, Freddie Mac has purchased \$1.2 trillion in mortgage loans, which has enabled 16 million American families achieve the dream of an affordable and decent home.

Freddie Mac purchases mortgage loans from lenders, packages these loans into securities, and sells these securities to investors.

Through this process, Freddie Mac has created a broad, liquid, and efficient nationwide secondary mortgage market that is the envy of the world.

As my colleagues are acutely aware, in these times of severe budget restraints, it is important that the private and the public sector join as partners to increase housing opportunities for low- and moderate-income families. Freddie Mac is an exemplary model of this partnership. Freddie Mac has worked with State and local governments to leverage resources for homeowners across the Nation. In addition, Freddie Mac has increased its mortgage purchases of low- and moderate-income homebuyers from 28 percent in 1993 to 38 percent in 1994. Many of these mortgages are for homes owned by minority homebuyers and in central cities and in rural areas.

Mr. Speaker, by every measure Freddie Mac is a great success. As we work to consolidate government to serve taxpayers more effectively, we call on Freddie Mac to continue its commitment to all American homebuyers from all walks of life.

I am sure that my colleagues and the American people join me in expressing our appreciation and congratulations to Freddie Mac on their 25th anniversary. We wish Freddie Mac well in its next 25 years.

PROTECT FUNDING FOR THE CORPORATION FOR PUBLIC BROADCASTING

HON. MIKE WARD

OF KENTUCKY

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, August 3, 1995

Mr. WARD. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in strong support of the continued funding of the Corporation for Public Broadcasting and in opposition to the proposed funding cuts in the Labor/HHS/Education Appropriations Act.

This legislation provides \$240 million for the Corporation for Public Broadcasting for fiscal year 1998. This figure represents a \$20 million decrease in funding from the 1997 allocation and is \$56.4 million below President Clinton's request. Let me put it another way, this is a \$20,000 funding cut for the Corporation for Public Broadcasting.

The Corporation for Public Broadcasting has already suffered an 11.8 percent cut in the rescissions bill passed earlier by this House. This further reduction proposed by the Labor/HHS/Education appropriations bill will be devastating.

One needs only to consider the impact these funding cuts will have upon rural television stations, primarily in areas where access to cable television is extremely limited and where the only educational television and radio programs come from public broadcasting. In Kentucky, the majority of residents rely on public broadcasting for all educational programming, including programs which enable individuals to obtain high school equivalency degrees and attend college courses via television. Public broadcasting also provides invaluable children's programs to help educate children at home as well as in school.

I urge my colleagues to consider the impact these funding cuts would have upon those

who rely on public broadcasting the most. I urge my colleagues to oppose these cuts and work together to protect the Corporation for Public Broadcasting.

INTRODUCTION OF A BILL TO REDUCE POLITICAL ASYLUM ABUSE

HON. BOB FRANKS

OF NEW JERSEY

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, August 3, 1995

Mr. FRANKS of New Jersey. Mr. Speaker, today I am introducing a bill to root out fraud and abuse in our current system of political asylum.

Throughout the world, the human rights of prisoners of conscience and political opponents are casually exploited. Amnesty International's annual report, released last month, cites the fact that 78 countries still hold "prisoners of conscience."

For those people, the United States must extend its hand and offer refuge through political asylum.

Our Nation has always been a beacon of hope for people around the world seeking a safe haven from political, ethnic, racial, or religious persecution.

But it is important to keep the doctrine of political asylum in perspective. It represents only one element of America's immigration policies.

Last year, for example, our Government allowed more than 800,000 aliens to legally enter the United States. Of that total, only 11,784 were granted political asylum.

And until 1980, political asylum was a treasured and sparingly-used provision in our immigration laws, enabling our Nation to fulfill its commitment to protect those fleeing their homelands because of oppression.

But changes made in the asylum laws in the 1980s opened up the system to widespread abuse.

These well-intended but ill-conceived reforms included providing an unintended economic incentive for aliens to seek entry into the United States by claiming political asylum.

Most importantly, it gave asylum seekers permission to legally work in the United States while their claims were being considered by officials of the Immigration and Naturalization Service [INS].

Although President Clinton recently modified the work-permit provision, the floodgates had already been opened.

Asylum seekers have been pouring into the United States in staggering numbers.

Prior to 1980, less than 5,000 people a year sought political asylum in the United States. But last year alone, a record number, 150,000 in all—filed claims of political asylum. The New York-New Jersey metropolitan region is becoming a magnet for individuals seeking the protected status of political asylum. During the first quarter of this year, 8,165 people applied for asylum through the Newark District Office. Another 8,795 aliens made the same claim at the New York INS office.

The political asylum process has spun out of control.

Moreover, political asylum has become an increasingly popular route to circumvent safe-

guards in the law that help us to weed out bogus and fraudulent claims.

Contrary to popular opinion, it is not easy to gain legal entry into the United States. That fact can be attested to by the 3.4 million people around the world who are waiting for visas to be issued by our Government in order that they can legally come to the United States. Some of those people, depending on their home country and the immigration quota that applies to it, wait up to 10 years before they are issued a visa.

While many of those who arrive on our shores seeking political asylum have an arguable basis for their claim, others use it as an opportunity to leap frog over those 3.4 million people who are waiting in line for the issuance of their visa.

Even though the criteria are lax, the law on political asylum is clear when it says that the asylum candidate "must face a reasonable fear of persecution."

Today, there are people boarding planes and boats around the world, hoping to start a new life in the United States with phony claims of political asylum. And the odds are they'll be successful.

Political asylum has become a popular backdoor entrance to the United States. And with good reason. The system is easy to exploit.

By simply stepping off a plane and proclaiming the magic words "political asylum," an individual gains special status that enables him to stay in the United States until his claim is verified. The lengthy and cumbersome process of reviewing asylum cases is filled with opportunities for an individual, with no legitimate claim of political asylum, to slip away and become part of our Nation's ever-increasing population of illegal immigrants.

New Jersey has become a major center for illegal immigrants. The INS ranks my State sixth in the Nation in the number of illegal immigrants.

Of the thousands of people who arrive each year in the New York-New Jersey area seeking political asylum, only 1.6 percent are actually detained until the outcome of their claim is determined.

The sheer volume of asylum claims and the severe shortage of detention facilities, has forced the Immigration and Naturalization Service to release a vast majority of those awaiting adjudication of their claim of political asylum. They are set free—released on their own recognizance and told to return on a specified date for a hearing.

At least one-third of those set free will never be seen again. They simply disappear, joining the ranks of the illegal immigrant population in our area.

Of all the political asylum claims, only 10 to 15 percent are found to be legitimate by the INS and are granted permission to remain in the United States. The others are ordered back to their homeland.

But when the time comes to report for deportation, the vast majority—more than 90 percent—do not show up. And in all likelihood will never be found. They too have joined the illegal immigrant population.

The backlog of pending asylum applications has swelled to almost 450,000 cases, leading to extensive delays. Those unfortunate individuals with legitimate claims of political asylum are forced to spend months and even

years in this country living with the uncertainty of not knowing whether they will be forced to return to their homeland.

The facts leave little doubt that the current system of political asylum is out of control.

Today, I am introducing legislation that will significantly modify how the INS deals with claims of political asylum. It is designed to send a clear signal around the world that fraudulent claims of political asylum will no longer be tolerated. The goal of my legislation is to preserve the fundamental principle of political asylum, while closing up the giant loopholes that are corrupting the process.

My bill targets individuals who escape or leave their homeland and travel to another country before coming to the United States.

It establishes a series of procedures that will have the effect of deterring those with no legitimate claim of political asylum from ever venturing to the United States.

Let me explain the key provisions of the bill.

It seems to me that an individual who fears for his safety because he is suffering severe discrimination or life-threatening treatment should be required to stop at the first country that would offer him "safe haven."

But under the current law, these refugees most often choose to pass by the first country that could offer safe haven and continue their journey to the United States. Fifteen years and hundreds of thousands of claims for political asylum later has taught us that many of these individuals are not seeking a safe refuge that comes from political asylum, they are actually looking for the economic opportunities that America has to offer.

Under my legislation, anyone who passes through another country that could offer a safe haven for political asylum would not be allowed to travel through to the United States and remain here while their claim is being adjudicated.

Upon entering the U.S., these asylum seekers would be sent back within hours to the country they passed through that would offer them political asylum. European countries have been following a similar course of action for many years.

In 1990, The European Community convened the Dublin Convention to establish a uniform standard for examining applications for asylum seekers that travel through several countries. The purpose of the Convention was to ensure that an application was examined by only one Member State, ignoring the preferences of asylum seekers that results in "nation shopping." Members incorporated the "country of safe haven" principle which requires asylum requests to be reviewed by the first country which the applicant arrives in outside his country of origin.

In July of 1993, Germany overhauled their asylum law, effectively reducing their monthly asylum application load from 37,000, after an explosion of asylum applications that increased from 20,000 in 1983 to 438,000 a decade later. Germany's asylum laws also include a "country of safe haven" provision making certain asylum applicants ineligible.

It's time the United States follow the lead of the European Community and adopt the "first safe haven" approach. By doing so, we would eliminate the incentive for aliens to "nation shop," looking around for the country they be-

lieve offers them the best opportunity for economic prosperity, not political freedom.

In order to ensure that those with legitimate claims for asylum are protected and find a safe haven, my bill provides added protection for legitimate asylum seekers. Under special circumstances, it allows them to stay in the United States awaiting a hearing. An alien who returned to the first country they passed through which could offer a safe haven, but was denied entry, would be allowed to remain in the United States pending a hearing. In addition, if an individual can demonstrate that being returned to the first country of safe haven could subject him to further persecution, he too would be allowed to stay. But the bill attaches a significant condition to asylum-seekers who are returned to the United States—one that further discourages abuse of the system. While they are in the United States awaiting a hearing on whether they can stay here legally, they must be held in a detention facility.

This fall Congress is expected to take up the issue of immigration reform. In the coming weeks, I will work to make sure this new approach to granting political asylum is included in the immigration reform package to be considered by the House.

The United States is a Nation of immigrants. We should continue to embrace people of different races and cultures who want to make America their new home. Their presence enriches our culture and makes our Nation a very special place.

America should continue to be the land of opportunity for legal immigrants but not for those who take advantage of our generosity and our compassion to enter the country illegally. I urge my colleagues to cosponsor my legislation.

THE CONGRESSIONAL ASSAULT ON TRIBAL SOVEREIGNTY

HON. BILL RICHARDSON

OF NEW MEXICO

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Thursday, August 3, 1995

Mr. RICHARDSON. Mr. Speaker, I share the grave concerns of my colleagues and the more than 550 American Indian and Alaska Native tribes of this Nation regarding the unprecedented budgetary cuts and assaults on tribal sovereignty currently underway in the 104th Congress. As the former chairman of the House Subcommittee on Native American Affairs, I find it especially difficult to watch as this body attempts to undermine the hard fought victories that Indian tribes have won in the past 30 years.

It is hard to understate the enormity of the cuts in this year's appropriations bills. For instance, the House Interior appropriations bill cuts BIA and Department of Education funding for Indian education by \$61 million, eliminates important scholarships and adult education, and restricts funding of self-determination contracts and self-governance compacts. The Interior bill fails to include enough funding for the Indian Health Service to maintain its current level of services. And, the House Interior report penalizes tribal self-determination and

economic growth by requiring the Secretary of the Interior to prepare a means testing report on Indian tribes who conduct gaming operations.

The Commerce, Justice appropriations bill eliminates the line-item for Indian legal services. The Agriculture appropriations bill calls for the termination of the commodities program. The VA-HUD appropriations bill cuts funding for new Indian housing by two-thirds. The Labor-HHS appropriations bill eliminates additional Indian education funding, funding for the protection of tribal elders, reduces meals for tribal elders by \$845,000, and eliminates the low-income heating assistance program. In addition, the Labor-HHS bill would put sharp curbs on the amount of political or legal advocacy that tribal governments or organizations could undertake at the Federal level.

The tribal outcry that has arisen because of these actions and others should tell us that we need to seriously examine and rethink our relationship with Indian country. In order to do so, we must:

Recognize that tribes are sovereign entities and not merely another set of minority or special interest groups.

Acknowledge our moral and legal responsibility to protect and aid Indian tribes.

Adhere to a set of principles that will enable us to deal fairly and honestly with Indian tribes.

From the founding of this Nation, Indian tribes have been recognized as distinct, independent, political communities exercising the powers of self-government, not by virtue of any delegation of powers from the Federal Government, but rather by virtue of their own inherent sovereignty. The tribes' sovereignty pre-dates the Constitution and forms the backdrop against which the United States has entered into relations with the Indian tribes.

The United States also has a moral and legal trust responsibility to Indian tribes. Since the founding of the country, the U.S. has promised to uphold the rights of Indian tribes, and serve as the trustee of Indian lands and resources. The U.S. has vowed, through treaties such as the 1868 Navajo treaty, that Indians would be housed, educated, and afforded decent health care. We have failed on nearly every count.

Perhaps we need to look to the past in order for us to understand our proper relationship with Indian tribes. More than two centuries ago, Congress set forth what should be our guiding principles. In 1789, Congress passed the Northwest Ordinance, a set of seven articles intended to govern the addition of new States to the Union. These articles served as a compact between the people and the States, and were to forever remain unalterable, unless by common consent. Article three set forth the Nation's policy towards Indian tribes:

The utmost good faith shall always be observed towards the Indians; their land and property shall never be taken away from them without their consent * * * but laws founded in justice and humanity shall from time to time be made, for preventing wrongs to them * * *.

Each of us should memorize these words. Our forefathers carefully and wisely chose these principles to govern the conduct of Congress in its dealing with American Indian

August 3, 1995

EXTENSIONS OF REMARKS

21997

tribes. Over the years, but especially in this Congress, we have strayed from these prin-

ciples—the principles of good faith, consent,

justice and humanity. It is time for us to return to and remain faithful to these principles.