# HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES—*Monday, January 22, 1996*

The House met at 2 p.m. and was called to order by the Speaker pro tempore [Mr. EVERETT].

## DESIGNATION OF THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Speaker:

WASHINGTON, DC,
*January 22, 1996.*

I hereby designate the Honorable Terry Everett to act as Speaker pro tempore on this day.

NEWT GINGRICH,
*Speaker of the House of Representatives.*

## PRAYER

The Chaplain, Rev. James David Ford, D.D., offered the following prayer:

O gracious God, from whom comes every good and perfect gift, we offer our thanks for this new day and new opportunities. As we open our hearts to Your grace and heed Your Word, may we be transformed by the renewing of our minds and spirit, so all that which hinders or hurts is put aside and that which redeems and reforms and forgives remains with each of us. With gratitude and praise we offer these words of prayer together with the private petitions of our hearts, asking You to bless us and keep us this day and all the days long. Amen.

## THE JOURNAL

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair has examined the Journal of the last day's proceedings and announces to the House his approval thereof.

Pursuant to clause 1, rule I, the Journal stands approved.

## PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Will the gentleman from Texas [Mr. SMITH] come forward and lead the House in the Pledge of Allegiance.

Mr. SMITH of Texas led the Pledge of Allegiance as follows:

I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

## MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT

A message in writing from the President of the United States was communicated to the House by Mr. Edwin Thomas, one of his secretaries.

## MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

A message from the Senate by Mr. Lundregan, one of its clerks, announced that the Senate had passed a bill and concurrent resolution of the following titles, in which the concurrence of the House is requested:

S. 1260. An act to reform and consolidate the public and assisted housing programs of the United States, and to redirect primary responsibility for these programs from the Federal Government to States and localities, and for other purposes.

S. Con. Res. 39. Concurrent resolution providing for the State of the Union Address by the President of the United States.

## PERMISSION TO HAVE UNTIL MIDNIGHT, TO FILE CONFERENCE REPORT ON S. 1124, NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 1996

Mr. STUMP. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the managers on the part of the House have until midnight tonight, to file a conference report on the Senate bill (S. 1124) National Defense Authorization Act for 1996.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Arizona?

There was no objection.

## JOINT SESSION OF CONGRESS—STATE OF THE UNION ADDRESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House a Senate concurrent resolution (S. Con. Res. 39), which was read by the Clerk, as follows:

S. CON. RES. 39

*Resolved by the Senate (the House of Representatives concurring)*, That the two Houses of Congress assemble in the Hall of the House of Representatives on Tuesday, January 23, 1996, at 9 p.m., for the purpose of receiving such communication as the President of the United States shall be pleased to make to them.

The Senate concurrent resolution was concurred in.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

## END CLINTON SNOW JOB

(Mr. SMITH of Texas asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. SMITH of Texas. Mr. Speaker, as Washington, DC, recently struggled to dig out from the worst blizzard in years, Americans from across the Nation began to see through the Clinton snow job.

Consider the thoughts of a constituent, friend, and relative, Linda Seeligson from San Antonio. She rightly fears that the President's opposition to entitlement reform and lower taxes will steal our children's future. She sees through the President's Mediscare tactics. And she resents the President's use of generational warfare to pit parents against children, employers against employees, and workers against retirees.

Millions of Americans agree. They reject the politics of envy and class warfare. They have real compassion for working families who must work longer to pay for big Government. They're tired of a welfare state paid for by the middle class. And they seek to replace this welfare state with an opportunity society built on personal responsibility.

Bill Clinton ran for office claiming to represent the people who do the work, pay the taxes, and raise the children. Americans like Linda Seeligson want a balanced budget, lower taxes, and less government.

And they want an end to the Clinton blizzard of more spending and higher taxes.

## DEFAULT THREAT HINTED AT WAS WAY TO SETTLE BUDGET

(Mr. BENTSEN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. BENTSEN. Mr. Speaker, a week ago, the chairman of the Committee on the Budget, Mr. KASICH, said that the Republicans had abandoned the idea of shutting down the Government and defaulting on the national debt. But yesterday, my colleague from Texas, the majority leader, Mr. ARMEY, said that default on the debt was again a threat, right here on the front page of the New York Times and on every paper across this country.

Mr. Speaker, I guess it is a case of dumb and dumber. It was dumb to shut down the Government; it is dumber to default on the debt of the Government. My Republican friends say we are doing this budget that cuts Medicare and Medicaid to do it for our children and our grandchildren. But the Republican plan is to hurt homeowners and to leave our children and grandchildren with a mountain of bad debt.

## KEEP THE SEC FUNDED

(Ms. LOFGREN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1



AUTHENTICATED U.S. GOVERNMENT INFORMATION GPO

minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. LOFGREN. Mr. Speaker, I have studied the materials about the Government shutdown that could happen this Friday. I'm worried about something nobody seems to be talking about—funding for the Securities and Exchange Commission.

I'm not an expert on the SEC; not many Members are. But, I know when the Stock Market crashed in 1929, it didn't do America much good. The SEC is supposed to keep that from happening again.

I have a letter from the SEC that says, "in the event of a disruption in funding . . . we fear the protection of investors and capital formation could be seriously hampered and it would seriously compromise the SEC's ability to oversee the securities markets . . . and could hamper the agency's ability to react quickly in the event of a market disruption."

The SEC would be unable to respond to requests for Commission action to facilitate capital raising, mergers and acquisitions, and tender offers. Initial public offerings couldn't move forward.

I represent Silicon Valley. How will America be improved if the high-tech, cutting-edge companies of Silicon Valley are stopped from raising Capital through IPO's?

We have 4 days to act—to fund the SEC at last year's level. Let's protect America's economy and get that job done tomorrow.

Mr. Speaker, I include for the RECORD the following material:

U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,
*Washington, DC, January 19, 1996.*

Hon. HAROLD ROGERS,
*Chairman, Appropriations Subcommittee on Commerce, Justice, and State, the Judiciary, and Related Agencies, House of Representatives, Washington, DC.*

DEAR CHAIRMAN ROGERS: We are writing to request your help in the upcoming negotiations for a new Continuing Resolution or appropriation action. We strongly urge you to support language that maintains the SEC's 1005 funding level of $297 million and maintains the fee rate at the current rate of 1/29th of one percent of the offering amount. In the event of a disruption in funding authority for the Securities and Exchange Commission, we fear the protection of investors and capital formation could be seriously hampered. In addition, the amount of money deposited into the U.S. Treasury from SEC filing fees would be reduced.

In our view, operating at this minimal emergency level would seriously compromise the SEC's ability to oversee the securities markets. The impact of a disruption in the SEC's funding authority would include:

No new investigations. Enforcement staff would be unable to open new cases. While emergency actions to freeze assets or otherwise protect assets would be permitted under the contingency plan, the agency's ability to detect developing situations which present imminent threat to investor assets would be impaired.

No work on existing investigations. Enforcement staff would have to cease ongoing investigative activity, except where appearances in court are required or investor funds are at active risk.

No review of corporate filings except in emergency situations. The normal processing of corporate filings by companies seeking to raise capital in the markets would be significantly impaired.

No regular examinations except in emergency situations. There are certain inspections that the SEC conducts regularly and continually; during a funding disruption, regular examinations and inspections of broker-dealers, investment companies, and investment advisers could not be performed. The absence of such reviews, in the worst case, could place the assets and retirement funds of investors at risk. The agency's ability to detect situations that present imminent threat to investor assets would be impaired.

No review of periodic filings. Quarterly and annual reports would not be reviewed. The assurance of adequate financial disclosure for investment decisions could be compromised.

Limited market oversight. A funding disruption would reduce market monitoring staffing to skeletal levels and could hamper the agency's ability to react quickly in the event of a market disruption. Regular inspections of stock exchanges and markets would cease.

No review of stock exchange (NYSE, AMEX, NASD, etc.) pending rule proposals except in emergency situations. The ability of exchanges to respond in a timely fashion to changing market conditions and to introduce new products will be hampered without SEC approval of their filings.

No transactional assistance except in emergency situations. The staff would not be able to respond to regular requests for exemptions or other necessary Commission action to facilitate capital raising activities, mergers and acquisition transactions, and tender offers.

During the government-wide shutdown which occurred November 14 through November 20, the fee rate for registration statements filed pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Securities Act of 1933 reverted to the statutory rate of 1/50th of one percent from its current rate of 1/29th of one percent. Had the fee rate not been restored to 1/29th of one percent in a subsequent continuing resolution, the U.S. Treasury would have lost approximately $30 million.

As you know, the SEC is funded through the Commerce-Justice-State (CJS) appropriations bill, which was vetoed by President Clinton on grounds unrelated to the SEC. The SEC portion of the CJS bill, however, is non-controversial. It would provide the SEC with funding at its fiscal 95 level of $297 million, and provide the SEC with authority to continue to collect securities fees to offset much of its appropriation.

The SEC is a very small agency that is charged with a very large mission: promoting the fairness, efficiency, and preeminence of our nation's securities markets. We are aware of the many challenges you face and difficult decisions you must make in the days ahead. We respectfully request that you seriously consider the SEC's funding.

Sincerely,

STEVEN M.H. WALLMAN,
*Commissioner.*

---

[From the San Jose Mercury, Jan. 6, 1996]

WHY SEC CLOSURE HURTS TECH FIRMS

(By Steve Kaufman)

The initial public stock offerings of 60 technology companies—including about 10 technology firms based in Silicon Valley—are in jeopardy because of the pending shutdown of the Securities and Exchange Commission next week.

U.S. Rep. Zoe Lofgren, D-San Jose, said Friday the SEC is among the agencies that have been omitted from a list of those that will get interim funding until the resolution of the federal budget impasse. The SEC, which regulates the U.S. financial markets, must approve IPOs.

IPOs are one of the hottest market segments. Some IPO experts said the freeze in IPOs could have a negative effect on the companies involved, even if it is short-lived. They are fast-growing companies in rapidly changing markets. Such companies may lose brief opportunities to market their products if they don't quickly collect the capital they expect from the public sale of their stock, experts said.

For a company competing in Internet software or in medical devices, for example, "even a delay of a few weeks could mean lost market share and customers," said Kathy Smith, an analyst at Renaissance Capital, a Greenwich, Conn., institutional research firm that specializes in IPOs.

IPO watchers couldn't believe that the SEC plans to close, albeit temporarily. Because the nation's financial markets remain open, they said, its functions are essential. Smith said the closing, however brief, could damage the reputation of the U.S. markets as the most efficient and best regulated in the world.

"An SEC shutdown tells the world that maybe the U.S. financial markets aren't as dependable as it thought they were," Smith said.

According to Securities Data Co., a Newark, N.J., financial market research firm, 80 IPOs valued at $2.32 billion have been approved by the SEC and will begin to go public next week.

But Renaissance Capital added that 60 more IPOs—including 41 technology companies—are expected to go public in January and February and are in various stages of the SEC IPO approval process. Smith believes that all but one of these deals will be snagged by an SEC shutdown, which reportedly could occur toward the end of next week. In aggregate, these deals are valued at about $2 billion.

An SEC shutdown could affect the entire IPO market, not just the latest round of newcomers. But it is unclear whether that impact would be negative or positive.

It could be negative because a hot IPO market already has made investors nervous, IPO watchers say. Any unexpected problem could deflate interest in IPOs and conceivably pummel prices. "The market could lose a lot of momentum—and at a time when a lot more deals are ready to roll out," said David Gleba, chairman of Ventureone Corp., a San Francisco venture capital research firm.

On the other hand, Gleba said, a pause in the IPO market might provide a needed break. The breather could reduce speculative froth and ultimately lengthen the life of this cycle. "In the long term, this could actually turn out to be a positive," Gleba said.

Unlike others, Gleba was also ambivalent about the impact on delayed IPOs.

"Anything that risks getting money to grow your business is bad news," he said. On the other hand, he said, the timing of IPO deals has always been flexible, with no guarantee when deals will occur. Good IPO candidates are able to delay offerings by months, or even a year, an advantage because the stock market environment could

change and no longer be favorable for an IPO.

## HOUSE SHOULD ENACT A CLEAN DEBT CEILING

(Mrs. KENNELLY asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Mrs. KENNELLY. Mr. Speaker, this House should enact a clean debt ceiling, and we should do it soon. The full faith and credit of the U.S. Government is not a political tool. It is one of the cornerstones of our economic stability. Its preservation is not a matter of politics; it is a matter of governance. It is one of the responsibilities that comes with being in the leadership in this House.

Over the last months, Members of this House insisted that Government shut down to force agreement on a balanced budget. We all saw the difficulties, inconveniences, waste, and other awful things that resulted. But the march of folly continues. Now there is talk of forcing default unless the majority's agenda is adopted.

There is no justification for this. This is an issue we agree on in substance. The long-term extension of the debt ceiling was contained in the reconciliation bill, and it is also the same number asked by the administration, $5.5 trillion. We should not be at this time teetering on the brink of default. We should not be playing games with this issue.

Mr. Speaker, I urge my colleagues to support a clean debt ceiling. Let us do it quickly and not to things that should not happen.

## TIME FOR NEGOTIATION ON BUDGET

(Ms. DELAURO asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. DELAURO. Mr. Speaker, here is what Republican Budget Chairman JOHN KASICH said in November about the budget negotiations: "Frankly, we don't ask for a lot. We ask for nothing more than a commitment to do this in a 7-year period. The priorities within that 7-year plan are negotiable."

The President has done his part. He has given Republicans a 7-year balanced budget using their economic assumptions. But now, Republicans want to move the goalpost in the middle of the game.

Now, Mr. KASICH and his colleagues say they will not negotiate on the budget priorities. Mr. KASICH, keep your word and negotiate. For 220 years, that's how this democracy has worked. Let's make it work again. Government shutdowns, defaults on our debt—these tactics are an affront to democracy. It's time for people of good will from both parties to do what's best for our country. It's time to balance the budget while protecting Medicare, Medicaid, education, and the environment. The President's door is open.

## TIDE OF PRO-LIFE BATTLE TURNING

(Mr. DORNAN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. DORNAN. Mr. Speaker, what a pleasure to take the well of the House on this pro-life day. That is the mud of the White House lawn and the Ellipse, Mr. Speaker, you see on my shoes and on the trousers of the suit that I wore the day I nominated George Bush for President.

Following House rules here, because I just found out I cannot hold a little baby in my arms, here is Peg over here. Come here, Peg, just so I can use you as an A-frame.

This is Molly Christine Oona Dornan, number 10 BOB and Sally DORNAN grandchild; mommy Theresa doing well. She is 10 days old. She came a few days later than that Friday I said she was due any minute. That was a false alarm.

I now have five grandsons and five granddaughters and five grown wonderful kids. There is still a bachelor out there. God willing, there will be more to come. This little Molly O. Dornan is 10 days a person. But you know what I said to 75,000 pro-lifers today? We All know she was a person 20 days ago, 10 days before she was born, or 10 seconds or 10 minutes or 5 minutes, right up to the moment of conception.

We are going to win this pro-life battle, and the biggest battle is 288 days from today, putting a pro-life couple in the White House.

I yield back the balance of my time, and take little Molly in my arms again.

## ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair would remind the Member not to use others who are not Members as props on the floor.

## BALANCED BUDGET PLAN DOES EXIST

(Mr. STUPAK asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. STUPAK. Mr. Speaker, first I would say congratulations to Grandpa DORNAN on his newest grandchild.

Mr. Speaker, as I was back home this last 2 weeks for the district work period, we had a lot of town hall meetings. I spoke with many other groups. For Mr. DORNAN's grandchild and other grandchildren and our children throughout this whole country, folks told me we need a balanced budget, we must have a balanced budget.

I say to my friends on this side of the aisle, there are actually three balanced budgets pending before the House that will meet the Congressional Budget Office requirement to balance the budget within 7 years. But of the three plans, what the voices from home told me is they need to balance the budget while protecting Medicare, education, and the environment. The plan the folks at home clearly supported was the plan that had the least amount of cuts in the Medicare programs. In fact, the folks back home are saying no tax breaks until we balance the budget.

So of those three plans, I hope we will look at those three plans in the next few weeks and actually in those three plans, let us look at the plan that has the least amount of cuts in Medicare, no cuts in education, that will protect our environment and balance the budget in 7 years. It can be done. That plan does exist.

## HOUR OF MEETING ON TOMORROW

Mr. SMITH of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that when the House adjourns today, it adjourn to meet at 12:30 p.m. tomorrow for morning hour debates.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

## HOUR OF MEETING ON WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 24, 1996

Mr. SMITH of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that when the House adjourns Tuesday, January 23, 1996, it adjourn to meet at noon on Wednesday, January 24, 1996.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

## DISPENSING WITH CALENDAR WEDNESDAY BUSINESS ON WEDNESDAY NEXT

Mr. SMITH of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the business in order on calendar Wednesday of this week may be dispensed with.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

## COMMUNICATION FROM THE CLERK OF THE HOUSE

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Clerk of the House of Representatives:

U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES,
*Washington, DC, January 10, 1996.*

Hon. NEWT GINGRICH,
*The Speaker, U.S. House of Representatives, Washington, DC.*

DEAR MR. SPEAKER: Pursuant to the permission granted in clause 5 of rule III of the Rules of the U.S. House of Representatives, I have the honor to transmit a sealed envelope received from the White House on Wednesday, January 10, 1996 at 11:50 a.m. and said to contain a message from the President wherein he returns without his approval H.R. 4, the "Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Act of 1995."

With warm regards,

ROBIN H. CARLE,
*Clerk, U.S. House of Representatives.*

## PERSONAL RESPONSIBILITY AND WORK OPPORTUNITY ACT OF 1995—VETO MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES (H. DOC. NO. 104–164)

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following veto message from the President of the United States:

*To the House of Representatives:*

I am returning herewith without my approval H.R. 4, the "Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Act of 1995." In disapproving H.R. 4, I am nevertheless determined to keep working with the Congress to enact real, bipartisan welfare reform. The current welfare system is broken and must be replaced, for the sake of the taxpayers who pay for it and the people who are trapped by it. But H.R. 4 does too little to move people from welfare to work. It is burdened with deep budget cuts and structural changes that fall short of real reform. I urge the Congress to work with me in good faith to produce a bipartisan welfare reform agreement that is tough on work and responsibility, but not tough on children and on parents who are responsible and who want to work.

The Congress and the Administration are engaged in serious negotiations toward a balanced budget that is consistent with our priorities—one of which is to "reform welfare," as November's agreement between Republicans and Democrats made clear. Welfare reform must be considered in the context of other critical and related issues such as Medicaid and the Earned Income Tax Credit. Americans know we have to reform the broken welfare system, but they also know that welfare reform is about moving people from welfare to work, not playing budget politics.

The Administration has and will continue to set forth in detail our goals for reform and our objections to this legislation. The Administration strongly supported the Senate Democratic and House Democratic welfare reform bills, which ensured that States would have the resources and incentives to move people from welfare to work and that children would be protected. I strongly support time limits, work requirements, the toughest possible child support enforcement, and requiring minor mothers to live at home as a condition of assistance, and I am pleased that these central elements of my approach have been addressed in H.R. 4.

We remain ready at any moment to sit down in good faith with Republicans and Democrats in the Congress to work out an acceptable welfare reform plan that is motivated by the urgency of reform rather than by a budget plan that is contrary to America's values. There is a bipartisan consensus around the country on the fundamental elements of real welfare reform, and it would be a tragedy for this Congress to squander this historic opportunity to achieve it. It is essential for the Congress to address shortcomings in the legislation in the following areas:

—Work and Child Care: Welfare reform is first and foremost about work. H.R. 4 weakens several important work provisions that are vital to welfare reform's success. The final welfare reform legislation should provide sufficient child care to enable recipients to leave welfare for work; reward States for placing people in jobs; restore the guarantee of health coverage for poor families; require States to maintain their stake in moving people from welfare to work; and protect States and families in the event of economic downturn and population growth. In addition, the Congress should abandon efforts included in the budget reconciliation bill that would gut the Earned Income Tax Credit, a powerful work incentive that is enabling hundreds of thousands of families to choose work over welfare.

—Deep Budget Cuts and Damaging Structural Changes: H.R. 4 was designed to meet an arbitrary budget target rather than to achieve serious reform. The legislation makes damaging structural changes and deep budget cuts that would fall hardest on children and undermine States' ability to move people from welfare to work. We should work together to balance the budget and reform welfare, but the Congress should not use the words "welfare reform" as a cover to violate the Nation's values. Making $60 billion in budget cuts and massive structural changes in a variety of programs, including foster care and adoption assistance, help for disabled children, legal immigrants, food stamps, and school lunch is not welfare reform. The final welfare reform legislation should reduce the magnitude of these budget cuts and the sweep of structural changes that have little connection to the central goal of work-based reform. We must demand responsibility from young mothers and young fathers, not penalize children for their parents' mistakes. I am deeply committed to working with the Congress to reach bipartisan agreement on an acceptable welfare reform bill that addresses these and other concerns. We owe it to the people who sent us here not to let this opportunity slip away by doing the wrong thing or failing to act at all.

WILLIAM J. CLINTON.

THE WHITE HOUSE, *January 9, 1996.*

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The objections of the President will be spread at large upon the Journal, and the message and bill will be printed as a House document.

Mr. BUNNING of Kentucky. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the message together with the accompanying bill be referred to the Committee on Ways and Means.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Kentucky?

There was no objection.

## REPORT ON NATIONAL EMERGENCY WITH RESPECT TO LIBYA—MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES (H. DOC. NO. 104–165)

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following message from the President of the United States; which was read and, together with the accompanying papers, without objection, referred to the Committee on International Relations and ordered to be printed:

*To the Congress of the United States:*

I hereby report to the Congress on the developments since my last report of July 12, 1995, concerning the national emergency with respect to Libya that was declared in Executive Order No. 12543 of January 7, 1986. This report is submitted pursuant to section 401(c) of the National Emergencies Act, 50 U.S.C. 1641(c); section 204(c) of the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (IEEPA), 50 U.S.C. 1703(c); and section 505(c) of the International Security and Development Cooperation Act of 1985, 22 U.S.C. 2349aa–9(c).

1. On January 3, 1996, I renewed for another year the national emergency with respect to Libya pursuant to IEEPA. This renewal extended the current comprehensive financial and trade embargo against Libya in effect since 1986. Under these sanctions, all trade with Libya is prohibited, and all assets owned or controlled by the Libyan government in the United States or in the possession or control of U.S. persons are blocked.

2. There has been one amendment to the Libyan Sanctions Regulations, 31 C.F.R. Part 550 (the "Regulations"), administered by the Office of Foreign Assets Control (FAC) of the Department of the Treasury, since my last report on July 12, 1995. The amendment

(60 Fed. Reg. 37940–37941, July 25, 1995) added three hotels in Malta to appendix A, Organizations Determined to Be Within the Term "Government of Libya" (Specifically Designated Nationals (SDNs) of Libya). A copy of the amendment is attached to this report.

Pursuant to section 550.304(a) of the Regulations, FAC has determined that these entities designated as SDNs are owned or controlled by, or acting or purporting to act directly or indirectly on behalf of, the Government of Libya, or are agencies, instrumentalities, or entities of that government. By virtue of this determination, all property and interests in property of these entities that are in the United States or in the possession or control of U.S. persons are blocked. Further, U.S. persons are prohibited from engaging in transactions with these entities unless the transactions are licensed by FAC. The designations were made in consultation with the Department of State.

3. During the current 6-month period, FAC made numerous decisions with respect to applications for licenses to engage in transactions under the Regulations, issuing 54 licensing determinations—both approvals and denials. Consistent with FAC's ongoing scrutiny of banking transactions, the largest category of license approvals (20) concerned requests by Libyan and non-Libyan persons or entities to unblock transfers interdicted because of an apparent Government of Libya interest. A license was also issued to a local taxing authority to foreclose on a property owned by the Government of Libya for failure to pay property tax arrearages.

4. During the current 6-month period, FAC continued to emphasize to the international banking community in the United States the importance of identifying and blocking payments made on or behalf of Libya. The Office worked closely with the banks to implement new interdiction software systems to identify such payments. As a result, during the reporting period, more than 107 transactions potentially involving Libya, totaling more than $26.0 million, were interdicted. As of December 4, 23 of these transactions had been authorized for release, leaving a net amount of more than $24.6 million blocked.

Since my last report, FAC collected 27 civil monetary penalties totaling more than $119,500, for violations of the U.S. sanctions against Libya. Fourteen of the violations involved the failure of banks or credit unions to block funds transfers to Libyan-owned or -controlled banks. Two other penalties were received from corporations for export violations or violative payments to Libya for unlicensed trademark transactions. Eleven additional penalties were paid by U.S. citizens engaging in Libyan oilfield-related transactions while another 40 cases involving similar violations are in active penalty processing.

In November 1995, guilty verdicts were returned in two cases involving illegal exportation of U.S. goods to Libya. A jury in Denver, Colorado, found a Denver businessman guilty of violating the Regulations and IEEPA when he exported 50 trailers from the United States to Libya in 1991. A Houston, Texas, jury found three individuals and two companies guilty on charges of conspiracy and violating the Regulations and IEEPA for transactions relating to the 1992 shipment of oilfield equipment from the United States to Libya. Also in November, a Portland, Oregon, lumber company entered a two-count felony information plea agreement for two separate shipments of U.S.-origin lumber to Libya during 1993. These three actions were the result of lengthy criminal investigations begun in prior reporting periods. Several other investigations from prior reporting periods are continuing and new reports of violations are being pursued.

5. The expenses incurred by the Federal Government in the 6-month period from July 6, 1995, through January 5, 1996, that are directly attributable to the exercise of powers and authorities conferred by the declaration of the Libyan national emergency are estimated at approximately $990,000. Personnel costs were largely centered in the Department of the Treasury (particularly in the Office of Foreign Assets Control, the Office of the General Counsel, and the U.S. Customs Service), the Department of State, and the Department of Commerce.

6. The policies and actions of the Government of Libya continue to pose an unusual and extraordinary threat to the national security and foreign policy of the United States. In adopting UNSCR 883 in November 1993, the Security Council determined that the continued failure of the Government of Libya to demonstrate by concrete actions its renunciation of terrorism, and in particular its continued failure to respond fully and effectively to the requests and decisions of the Security Council in Resolutions 731 and 748, concerning the bombing of the Pan Am 103 and UTA 772 flights, constituted a threat to international peace and security. The United States will continue to coordinate its comprehensive sanctions enforcement efforts with those of other U.N. member states. We remain determined to ensure that the perpetrators of the terrorist acts against Pan Am 103 and UTA 772 are brought to justice. The families of the victims in the murderous Lockerbie bombing and other acts of Libyan terrorism deserve nothing less. I shall continue to exercise the powers at my disposal to apply economic sanctions against Libya fully and effectively, so long as those measures are appropriate, and will continue to report periodically to the Congress on significant developments as required by law.

WILLIAM J. CLINTON.

THE WHITE HOUSE, *January 22, 1996.*

## COMMUNICATION FROM THE HONORABLE MARTIN R. HOKE, MEMBER OF CONGRESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Honorable MARTIN R. HOKE, Member of Congress:

CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES,
*Washington, DC, January 3, 1996.*

Hon. NEWT GINGRICH,
*Speaker of the House,*
*The Capitol, Washington, DC.*

DEAR MR. SPEAKER: Pursuant to Rule L (50) of the Rules of the House of Representatives, this is to formally notify you that Thomas B. Boutall of my district office in Fairview Park, Ohio, has been served with a subpoena that was issued by the Cuyahoga County Court of Common Pleas (Ohio) in the matter of *Nix* v. *Hill*.

After consultation with the Office of General Counsel, it has been determined that compliance with the subpoena is consistent with the precedents and privileges of the U.S. House of Representatives.

Very truly yours,

MARTIN R. HOKE,
*Member of Congress.*

## SPECIAL ORDERS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of May 12, 1995, and under a previous order of the House, the following Members will be recognized for 5 minutes each.

## EFFECT OF DEFAULTING ON THE NATIONAL DEBT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Texas [Mr. BENTSEN] is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. BENTSEN. Mr. Speaker, I am a new Member in this body and I am not one who comes down to the well to speak often, but having read through the papers this weekend and particularly today, I have become quite alarmed as a new Member of this 104th Congress to see that once again the majority leader and the majority party are advocating that we should default on our national debt. That is something that the United States, unlike many countries, has never done.

Mr. Speaker, it is something, if we were to default on the Treasury debt, that would preclude us from making payments to Social Security recipients, would preclude us from making payments to veterans benefits, but perhaps even more alarming is it would cause a dramatic rise in interest rates across the United States, affecting homeowners, people who are trying to buy their first home, families, people who are trying to take out loans to buy a car, kids who are trying to take out loans to go to college.

Quite frankly, it would probably drive this country into a recession, hardly a wise economic policy of the new majority.

But, Mr. Speaker, when you combine that with what the majority is proposing at this point in time after we have come off of what effectively has been a 3-week recess or adjournment, it now appears the majority has decided that we should adjourn until February 26 after we adjourn this Thursday.

Mr. Speaker, I started thinking about all the legislation that has not passed in this 104th Congress. We still are in a budget crisis, we still have not passed a number of our appropriations bills. But then the list goes on. We have the bank modernization, which is stalled. We have telecommunications reform, which is stalled. We have Superfund, which is stalled. We have not even taken up the water resources bill. We have immigration reform, which is stalled. We have housing reform, which is stalled. There is no talk of health care reform. But my constituents still ask about it. We have the safe drinking water bill, which is stalled. We have the clean water bill, which is stalled. We have the farm bill, which has heretofore disappeared.

Now, Mr. Speaker, it would appear in this monumental Congress, after 40 years of being in the minority, that the new majority, the Republican majority, would do something about it. While I was not around when Harry Truman was president and talked about the 83d Congress back in the 1950's as the do-nothing Congress, it would appear what we have now is the failed 104th, the failed 104th, which is incapable of doing the Nation's business.

Ms. LOFGREN. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. BENTSEN. I yield to the gentlewoman from California.

Ms. LOFGREN. Mr. Speaker, as the gentleman is aware, I mentioned earlier this afternoon my concern that the Securities and Exchange Commission is supposed to run out of money completely on Friday. I know the gentleman has a strong background in financial markets. I am wondering what is his point about the debt ceiling, defaulting on the debt while the Securities and Exchange Commission has to shut down. Would that be helpful to America's markets and the economy of not only America, but the world?

Mr. BENTSEN. Reclaiming my time, I thank the gentlewoman from California for commenting. The fact that under our system of finance the companies would not be able to go public and raise capital so they could create new jobs is ridiculous. We have an economic rebound going on, we have GDP growing at a rate of about 2 to 3 percent right now. What we want are companies raising capital, investing in their infrastructure and their human capital potential to create more jobs.

Yet this Congress, under the Republican majority, believes we ought to shut down the Securities and Exchange Commission, we ought to shut down contracts for large companies like Rockwell and others that are working on the space shuttle and the space station so people will get laid off; we ought to default on the national debt so interest rates go up, companies lay people off.

That is not an economic strategy, that is an economic disaster.

Ms. LOFGREN. Mr. Speaker, for a further question, I know that I was in local government for many years, a year ago I was sitting in a local government office, and I had the opportunity to speak to some of my former colleagues over this 3-week break period. They are having a very tough time putting their budget together, because they do not know what the Federal Government is going to do. So I know that had I been back where I was year ago, no way would they walk away and adjourn for a month's paid vacation without this job done.

But I am aware a year ago you were in the private sector in the business world. I am wondering, in the private sector employment, would a man in your position have taken a month's paid vacation with this amount of work done?

Mr. BENTSEN. Absolutely not. This is no way to run a country. This is certainly a revolution, but it is the wrong kind of revolution.

## THE BUDGET AND THE ROLE OF GOVERNMENT

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentlewoman from North Carolina [Mrs. CLAYTON] is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mrs. CLAYTON. Mr. Speaker, it greatly concerns me, and I believe it concerns most Americans, that we may face the prospect of not having a budget this year. As we consider the proper role of Government, let us not forget the natural dangers we face.

Over the past days and weeks, many of the Northeast have been held in the grip of inclement weather.

First, it was record-level snow that shut down the Government, without one Member of Congress being here. More recently, it was uncontrollable flooding that left many unable to function and caused one of our largest States, Pennsylvania, to make a public appeal for Federal intervention.

If nothing else proves that we need a Federal Government that works and works for all of us—it is nature's wrath that makes the point. I hope the pundits are wrong—I hope we will pass a budget that is not only cost efficient, but civil.

We have terrestrial problems that we cannot handle. We do not need to create more problems by functioning in a less than civil way and by failing to govern.

□ 1430

## STATE OF THE UNION

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. EVERETT). Under the Speaker's announced policy of May 12, 1995, the gentleman from New York [Mr. OWENS] in recognized for 60 minutes as the designee of the minority leader.

Mr. OWENS. Mr. Speaker, we are about to begin the business of the 104th Congress again, the second year of this session. Tomorrow we will hear the State of the Union Address from the President. I look forward to that State of the Union Address.

The State of the Union Address, I think, will point us the way for the immediate future. The State of the Union also might certainly size up where we are at this point. There are a lot of good things that can be cited in that State of the Union Address. A lot of great things have been accomplished by this President. The Union is in a much better state in many ways and the world is in a much better state in many ways than it was before he became President.

I take this opportunity to celebrate the liberation of Haiti. Haiti has a situation now which has moved like clockwork toward a permanent democracy. Everything that was promised by General Bertrand Aristide and his leadership has been allowed to unfold. Elections have been held.

President Aristide will be resigning, stepping down next month. President Aristide will be replaced by a president who has been elected by the people of Haiti. The entire hemisphere benefits from this stabilization of Haiti because it sent a message to all the other criminals who wanted to take over. All the criminal military regimes that might have wanted to raise their ugly heads and try to take over their governments from duly elected representatives have certainly not done so. We have a more stable hemisphere. We can look forward to have democracy expanding in this hemisphere as a result of the courageous actions taken by this administration in Haiti.

Also, I want to pause at this point to congratulate General Bertrand Aristide on his wedding. He has recently gotten married, I think it was yesterday. I take time to do that because on this floor on many occasions I have cited the wonders of the intellect and the temperament of General Bertrand Aristide, and in many cases it may appear that he may be some kind of little god. I have cited the fact that the man speaks eight languages. I have cited his long campaign against the oppression in Haiti, how he was nearly killed three times, guns were pointed at his head on three different occasions.

I have cited wondrous things that have happened to him and wondrous things that he has made happen. I think his marriage brought out some facts that shows that he is after all quite human. The announcement of the marriage said he was 40 years old. For the first time I realized that he is much younger than I am, this man that has accomplished so much for his country and set such a shining example for democratic leadership in this hemisphere.

So we want to congratulate President Aristide and congratulate the people who belong to his Lavalas Party in Haiti. We hope that they will not flinch, that they will, regardless of the circumstances, go forward and insist that democracy, the principles of democracy on which this liberation was based, will be carried forward by that government.

I also think it is time to celebrate the world being better off because of this courageous President's leadership in Yugoslavia. In Bosnia things are almost going like clockwork. We certainly are happy to see that deadlines that were set are being met. The Army of the United States, the military of the United States is there to assist in making peace happen. There is a clear framework for peace, and that peace is going forward.

I am proud of the fact that our Army could have no more noble mission in Yugoslavia. They will be feeding the hungry. They will be aiding the sick. They will be clothing the naked. They will be helping to provide shelter for the homeless. I can think of no more noble mission for an army than that, no more noble mission for a nation. So they represent a great deal of what this Nation is all about, and we salute them for that. The state of the world is better, and we are proud of the fact that we had the leadership of a President who made that happen.

Nineteen ninety-six will be a tumultuous year. There is no way we can avoid that. We hope that the Government would get back on track, that the legislative process will be allowed to go forward as it has for all the years that this Nation has existed, that there would be an end to the abuse of power by the leadership of the Republicans in the Congress, that that abuse of power we thought had sort of played itself out and that the common sense of the American people had indicated that they were not impressed and indeed they were quite upset by this continual abuse of power that is reflected in the shutdowns of the Government to obtain legislative goals, legislative ends.

The shutdown was an attempt to blackmail the executive branch. That blackmail did not work. The American people with all of their common sense could clearly see that the blackmail was coming from one side and attempted to distort the democratic process. I think that the polls clearly show that the common sense of the American people has prevailed and that they clearly see what is happening. So I am shocked to hear that perhaps there may be a shutdown.

The shutdown this time may go even further than the previous shutdown. There may be another shutdown. This time it may lead to a default, the Government of the United States defaulting on its debts, on its obligations. A shutdown is abuse of power, and a large number of people have been hurt by that abuse of power. A large number of human beings out there who did not deserve to be hurt had to go through a whole holiday season with no checks or only one check, weekly pay, all kinds of things which mean a great deal to people who are on an income based on weekly wages or monthly wages.

They could not afford, they could not reach into a big bank account. They could not live off their investments. There are a whole lot of people in the Republican Party who do not understand this. But they created a whole lot of misery. People suffered. It is all right to suffer for a good purpose, but it was totally unnecessary.

In addition to this abuse of power causing such suffering, we are now going to cause a hemorrhaging of our economic system here in this country. A default will certainly have terrible consequences. A default is economic suicide. I hope that the leaders of the Republican Party who are now waving the threat of default in order to get more concessions will reconsider and let the debate go forward.

The Speaker has clearly stated that the objective of the Republicans in this House is to remake America. They want to try to remake America in 2 years. That is their goal. I think it is unfortunate that remaking America is a goal to begin with. I think it is more unfortunate that they are going to try to remake America in 2 years.

I do not think America needs to be remade. I think we have institutions, we have agencies, we have programs, we have a large number of things that could be improved. There ought to be a process of refining. There ought to be a process of adjusting. There ought to be a process of trimming, streamlining. There are a number of things that can go forward without having the kind of revolutionary proposal that is embodied in a call to remake America. But if that is the way it is, the Speaker has the power and the leadership of the House has the power to set the parameters and determine the environment that we have to exist in, and that is the way it is.

Let us go forward in 1996 and deal with the drive to remake America. Let us look at the vision of America projected by the Republicans who control the House of Representatives and the Senate. Let us look at the vision of America projected by the President. I think the President will project some of his vision of America in his State of the Union Address tomorrow. I think the President in his behavior, the way the President has handled the budget certainly is a projection of part of his vision of America. The President has stood fast and insisted that in this remaking of America we shall not dump overboard the poorest Americans, we shall not dump overboard the powerless Americans. We shall not dump overboard the helpless Americans like children.

I think we heard earlier, less than an hour ago, a message of the President vetoing the Personal Responsibility Act. The Personal Responsibility Act is one of the most misnamed acts we might consider in a long time.

The President vetoed it and said: I want welfare reform; I started it. The President started the movement for welfare reform. I may not agree with all of his proposals. I certainly do not agree with the proposals made by the Republican majority in this House, but welfare reform is needed; reform, refinement, adjustment, streamlining, elimination of ridiculous parts of the program, making it work more effective administratively.

There are a lot things we need in welfare reform that are going to go forward, and the President is committed to that and it will happen. But I thank the President for vetoing the bill that was sent to him because it is not welfare reform. It is a destruction of a program to help the poorest people in our Nation.

Why have we used a hammer to bang on the program that provides aid to families with dependent children? The welfare reform program that was sent to the President by the Republicans was a program that was most cruel to children. It was a program which sought to end and still seeks to end the entitlement for children, the entitlement that is built into a part of the Social Security law.

There is a lot of concern about, are we going to tamper with Social Security, is Congress going to tamper with Social Security? Are the Republicans going to tamper with Social Security? Is Social Security safe? The answer is no, because most people do not know it, but aid to families with dependent children is part of the Social Security Act. Medicare is part of the Social Security Act. Medicaid is part of the Social Security Act. They are all part of Social Security. The part of Social Security which helps the people on the bottom, those who are deemed to be the least powerful, who are not voting, who do not vote, certainly, for Republicans when they do vote, that is the part that we have bludgeoned already with a hammer.

Aid to families with dependent children, $16 billion is the amount of

money estimated for this program, aid to families with dependent children. That is less than we give to the farmers. The subsidies that go to farmers in various ways, cash subsidies, home mortgages and all kinds of various programs that go to farmers, those subsidies total far more than the aid to families with dependent children. The farmers do not have to pass a means test. People who get welfare, aid to families with dependent children, they must prove first that they need it. They must prove first that they are poor. So why are we bludgeoning them with a heavy hammer, when we refuse to touch these subsidies that farmers get who do not pass a means test? We tried to pass a bill on the floor of this House. I joined with the gentleman from New York [Mr. SCHUMER], on two occasions, a simple bill which said farmers who have income from whatever source of $100,000 or more per year should not qualify for the cash subsidy program. I think we got about 60 votes the first year we tried to pass that and about 57 or 58 the second time we tried to pass that. So the overwhelming sentiment, Democrats and Republicans, was do not touch the cash subsidies for the farmers whether they are in need of it or not. But let us go after the people on welfare. It is not because they are getting more than anybody else. It is not because they are unworthy really. It is because they have no power. It is because they do not vote for Republicans. It is because in too many cases they do not vote for anybody, and that is a message I hope that the people who are, the parents of those poor children who get the aid will understand.

In America, in the final analysis, you have a weapon. In the final analysis, the fact that you do not vote is the critical action that you take. By not acting, you act. So every person out there who is an adult responsible for receiving the benefits for children who are in the aid to families with dependent children, you owe it to the children, you are neglecting the children when you do not vote. You are neglecting the children when you do not participate in the political process. If you start voting and you vote blindly for anybody who gives you some kind of divergent argument, you are also neglectful of the children. Vote for the people who say that they are interested in children and back that up with their votes on programs like aid to families with dependent children.

I hope that as we go forward for the rest of 1996, there will be an election, you are aware of it, in November 1996. Before we get to November, of course, there are many other elections that are taking place. In Iowa, in New Hampshire, et cetera, this is an election year. So I hope that in this election year, we can continue to discuss the budget. I would like to see a budget agreement reached. I think the President has gone as far as he can go, however, I would not cry, if we do not reach one, if it is going to have to be reached at the expense of the people on the bottom and the President is going to have to give even more. I think the President has come a long way, and I am not happy and a lot of Democrats are not happy with the compromise that he has offered, which I think goes too far. But I admire him for stepping out there and trying to meet the Republicans halfway. I think he has gone more than halfway.

I hope that we do work out an agreement whereby we have a budget this year. The principle of a balanced budget, I do not agree with that, but it seems that most other people agree with it. So we will have a balanced budget.

I serve as the chairman of the Congressional Black Caucus alternative budget task force. We put a balanced budget on the floor of this House. We had to do it. In order to bring our budget and be able to discuss any of our ideas and our proposals, we had to come forward with a balanced budget. So we came forward with a balanced budget. The balanced budget we have submitted for the RECORD. It is in the RECORD.

We balanced the budget without cutting Medicaid. We balanced the budget without cutting Medicare. We balanced the budget and we increased education expenditures. We increased expenditures for job training. What we did was we cut defense, and that is a very reasonable proposition to cut defense, when the United States of America is spending more than all the other industrialized nations in the world put together, we are spending more than they are put together on defense. So it is possible to cut defense. This does not in any way hamper us in conducting noble missions like the liberation of Haiti or a mission to save the people of Bosnia from ethnic genocide.

There is still plenty of room for that, even if you cut the defense budget. So we cut the defense budget. But most of all we raised the tax burden of the corporations. We did two things. We closed corporate loopholes and we insisted that there be an increase in the taxes in certain places on corporations because corporations have steadily paid less and less of the income tax burden over the last 20 years. From 1943 to 1995, they have dropped from a corporate tax burden percentage of nearly 40 percent to a corporate tax burden now of about 11 percent, while individuals have gone up from their percentage of the tax burden being 27 percent to 48 or 49 percent in 1983, and now it is still as high as 44 percent. So we balanced the budget by implementing what I call revenue justice.

Let us have the revenue flow from the place where the most revenue is being generated. Corporations are making enormous profits. That sector of the economy is booming. Individuals and families are suffering. Their incomes have stagnated. They are not making as much in terms of real terms when you look at inflation as they were making 10 years ago. Minimum wages are far too low, way behind inflation. With all those factors under consideration, we hear tax cut. For families and individuals starting with the families and individuals at the bottom of the scale, in our Congressional Black Caucus budget we started at the bottom of the scale with families and individuals who are working families. We started by giving them some tax relief.

What is being proposed now by the Republicans is just the opposite. They are proposing to change the earned income tax credit which the Congressional Black Caucus fought very hard to expand 2 years ago. They want to change the earned income tax credit which means they are increasing the taxes on the poorest people. At the same time they want to give huge tax cuts for the richest people. They have their opinion. The Republicans in the House and Senate, they have a position. It is a clear position. I want to congratulate them for clearly enunciating and setting forth exactly what their vision of America is. They think America should provide more and more for the rich who have gotten more and more out of our economy over the past 20 years. They want to give them even more. They are clearly willing to state that. They are not hedging. They are not fudging. So there is a clear choice being presented to the American people.

I hope that we will keep our eyes on this process and keep the debate going. If they insist, if they want the tax cut at the same level that they have it, let us keep focused on that. Let us not back away from the argument about the level of taxes. Let us talk about the flat tax. Let us talk about the possibility of a national sales tax, value added tax. Let us talk about changing tax rates. Let us take a hard look at the tax policies across the board, because what has often happened in the 13 years that I have been here, I am in my 14th year, is that the tax policies and whatever dealt with taxes was discussed behind closed doors, was decided behind closed doors. They had some hearings and long lines of people would line up to go, and you could barely get into the Ways and Means meetings. And then when they made the final decision, of course, they had closed meetings.

Then they would come to the floor and you would have 1 or 2 hours to debate the most important issue in the country; that is, how are we going to get the revenues to run the fiscal affairs of the Nation. The shortest period of time to debate the most important topic.

I understand that one of my colleagues in the Democratic Party has proposed that special orders be taxed, that every Member who makes special orders should pay the cost of special orders, that whatever it costs to keep the staff here and the guards and the light bill, whatever, we should be charged that, each Member should have to pay that out of his budget.

My first reaction to that proposal—it came from a Democrat that I respect a great deal, it is not trivial. I understand her concern. My first reaction to that is that I would gladly, I would gladly advocate that there be no special orders of any kind if you will give every Member of Congress the right to speak for 5 minutes on any issue that is on the floor that they want to speak on. When the important issues come to this floor, if I had the right to speak for 5 minutes, I would surrender any other compensatory arrangements like 1-minutes and 5 minutes and 60 minutes, who needs it? The problem is that we are 435 Representatives of this Nation, people from across the Nation, and we seldom have a chance to speak on the most important issues. The 435 people in this House of Representatives spend less time talking on this floor than the 100 Members of the other body. The 100 Members of the other body spend more time debating on the floor than we spend for 435 Members in the House of Representatives.

The time is so tightly controlled. We have a Committee on Rules. And the amount of time spent on the floor here debating issues is in direct proportion to the importance of the issue as perceived by the leadership. If the leadership perceives an issue to be really important, they shorten the time greatly. You can check this with the records. This can be verified. It is not an empty statement that I am making.

On issues that they do not consider very important, we have open rules, unlimited debate. But never has a Ways and Means bill come to the floor in the 14 years that I have been here where there was an open rule, an unlimited debate.

If I had that privilege and that right to have at least 5 minutes to speak on a Ways and Means bill, at least 5 minutes to speak on a defense bill, by the time, if you have only 1 hour for each side, and there have been some times when there is only 30 minutes for each side, but if you have 1 hour for each side, by the time you get through the committee, the committee of jurisdiction and any Committee on Appropriations members who also relate to that particular item, the time is used up. If you are not on defense, if you are not on Ways and Means, on those important issues you cannot say, you cannot even get 1 minute. So those who propose that we eliminate special orders, I am with you if you will join me in a fight to guarantee the right of every Member of Congress, which it ought to be taken for granted, we are elected by the people, we should have 5 minutes, just 5 minutes on any issue that we deem to be important. If every one of the 435 Members want to speak for 5 minutes, I assure you if you look at the calendar, it will not lengthen the session of Congress. We have a lot of down time, a lot of waste of time where nobody is doing anything on this floor. The Senate spends more time, as I said before, on the floor than we spend here. The other body, in terms of per Member time on the floor, is way ahead of us. So I pause to say that that is very important.

I would like to have us keep our eyes on the budget/fiscal debate. Let us go forward and talk about taxes and where they come from. Let us talk about revenue. Let us go forward and talk about expenditures. Let us keep the debate going.

I would like to see a pledge to avoid lapsing into diversionary issues. As we look forward toward November 1996, let us not back away from a discussion of revenue, taxes, programs, budget cuts, balancing the budget, et cetera. Let us keep the debate going. It is a very complicated nation that we have. It is a complicated budget. These are complicated times. We should not try to oversimplify.

For the first time I think many Americans are getting some indication of what it is all about. For good or ill, regardless of whether you agree with the position taken by one party or another or one individual or another, the debate is very healthy. Can we keep this debate going? I hope we will.

I hope that the President's State of the Union Address tomorrow will be a statement which allows us to go forward and consider his vision of America and what America would look like when he remakes America, if he had the opportunity to remake America, versus the vision that is envisaged in the Contract With America that was set forth by the House Republicans. Beyond the Contract With America, the House Republicans have done a lot of things that are not in that contract. The attack on organized labor, the attack on workers safety, the refusal to even deal with minimum wages, all of that was not stated in the contract, but some terrible things have happened. But those are worthy items.

If you want to debate the budget and talk about the fact that the Republicans, because they could not get certain things through the authorization process, because they are frustrated by the fact that the Senate will not approve some of the measures that they have passed because they are not reasonable, because the Senate wants to stay closer to the common sense agenda of the American people so they have reverted to the appropriations process.

They do not like the fact that we have an agency called OSHA, which is responsible for the occupational health and safety of workers. They want OSHA out of business. They have made a compact with some of the worst kinds of business people who want to avoid having to meet their responsibilities to provide a safe workplace. Ten thousand workers died last year; 10,000 workers died in the workplace. We can debate about other workers who died as a result of conditions in the workplace. They contracted illnesses and then they died later or they had an accident and it led to complications and they died later. But on the job, on the job 10,000 workers died.

This is not a trivial matter. It is a critical matter. Yet because they do not want to disturb the business community, which unreasonably insists that OSHA is a bother, OSHA, the Occupational Safety and Health Administration has enough employees to inspect the businesses of America. And when you take the number of businesses of America that are in the category that need to be inspected and you divide that by the number of people who are employed by OSHA to do the inspections, it will take 87 years, given the number of employees that OSHA has before the budget cuts, it would take 87 years for each one of the business sites in America that are supposed to be inspected to be inspected by that group of inspectors, 87 years.

They are going to cut OSHA drastically. So that means that it will take 100 years to get around to inspecting each business. So the argument that the businesses are being harassed and OSHA is a regulatory burden and that an attempt to provide safe workplaces for workers results in empowering or hindering the economy, these arguments are ridiculous. But they go forward.

□ 1500

Let them keep proposing that and saying that we need to save money at the cost of risking more lives of workers. Let them say that between now and November. Let us keep that going. Let us debate it. You decide. Let the American people decide.

Let them continue to tell us that school lunch programs are not being cut; it is the rate of growth that is being decreased. Let them keep telling us that, and we will tell you that if you are cutting, putting money to cut the rate of growth of the program in dollar terms, you are not looking at the rate of growth in terms of youngsters, the number of children who are enrolled in school.

They ignore the fact that the number of children enrolled in school is increasing. You cannot cut the rate of growth of the program without reducing what is available for the children who are there unless you take into consideration the fact the number of children is increasing.

They tell us immigrant children should not be given free lunches and that the schools should go and search out the immigrant children and create an atmosphere of terror within certain schools while they search for immigrant children to deny them the school lunch program.

Let this debate go forward. It is about saving money on the one hand, but if you look at it closely, there is more to this than just saving money. There is more to this than just saving money; there are some attitudes.

I think President Clinton made it quite clear in his budget message. The President had a veto message, and then the President has also sent a message down with his new balanced budget. Let us look for a moment at what is happening here, and again, it is going to be a long year. It is going to be a long debate.

Please do not lose faith. Keep the faith. Keep listening, because this is all about the remaking of America; and your faith is involved here, your children's faith is involved here.

The President was accused of not being sincere about a balanced budget. He submitted a balanced budget one time and then he said, according to CBO estimates, it is $400 billion out of balance; over a 7-year period, the President is still spending $400 billion too much.

So the President has come back with a budget that balances in 7 years, and it also has a surplus at the end of the 7 years; and now we are being told that is totally unacceptable. We are going to shut down the Government because we do not like the way you balanced the budget.

Now, was the call to balance the budget in the beginning, when they asked the President to submit a balanced budget, did they say, submit a balanced budget that we like; submit a balanced budget that is good for America; submit a balanced budget that you like? The President submitted a balanced budget he thinks is good for America, and in his message he says the following: His balanced budget upholds our values, upholds America's values.

We want to balance the budget to limit the debt, the burden of debt on our children. We want to protect Medicare and Medicaid to honor our duty to our elderly, to people with disabilities and to children. We want to invest in education and training to honor our duty to our children and families. We want to protect the environment and public health so our children grow up in a clean and safe world. We want to reward work by not raising taxes on working families. We want to provide tax relief for middle-class families.

Now, that is the message that came back with the newly balanced budget of the President, which, as I said before, ends in 7 years with a surplus.

By the way, the Congressional Black Caucus alternative budget, which I put forth on the floor of the House, the Congressional Black Caucus alternative budget also had a surplus at the end of 7 years. We had a surplus of $16 billion at the end of 7 years. I told you we balanced our budget without cutting Medicaid, without cutting Medicare, and we increased the amount of money for job training and education, and we did this using assumptions and figures that were certified by the Congressional Budget Office.

The Republican majority would not let us bring the budget to the floor if we had not used assumptions that were set forth by the Congressional Budget Office. So we balanced the budget. The Congressional Black Caucus alternative budget is a long way from where the President is right now.

I am not happy with the President and the fact he is going to cut Medicare far more than we stated in the Congressional Black Caucus budget it should be cut. Let us forget about that. Later on there was a bill introduced by Democrats that said, OK, a commission study showed that there are problems with the Medicare program, and by the year 2002 you may have a real problem, so let us cut the budget by $90 billion. I think the study said it would be a problem of $89 billion.

This bill proposed cutting the budget by $90 billion over a 7-year period. The $90 billion cut would be focused on waste, streamlining more administrative efficiency, and cutting waste, $90 billion. The President is far beyond that $90 billion.

There is a group called the Blue Dogs who have a proposal that also goes beyond the $90 billion. The President does not please me by cutting more than $90 billion, but I congratulate him on making the effort. He is stretching as far as he can in order to accommodate and reach a compromise with the Republicans. But this compromise, this stretch, has not impressed the Republicans.

They say we are going to shut down the Government, and go even beyond shutting down the Government; we are going to tamper with the economy of the United States and maybe the economy of the world by going into default if you don't give us what we want.

Now, clearly, understand, you out there with your common sense, the American people should clearly understand the power that is being wielded here. The Republicans are saying, we will threaten to shut down the Government, we will throw the Nation into default if you do not give us what we want. And even after you do that, if you meet us part of the way, we are going to do something selective. We are going to reach in and provide funding for only those programs that we approve of; we are going to strangle, through the appropriations process, those we do not like.

We do not like funds for education. We have a cut. Republicans are proposing to cut Head Start about $300 million. They will reach in and strangle Head Start a little bit.

We do not like title I, which is the largest Federal program providing aid to elementary and secondary schools. Ninety percent of the school districts across America get some portion of the title I program. They do not like it, so they will reach in and strangle that by cutting it $1.1 billion. That is about ⅕th of the total. That is a huge cut; out of $7 billion, they are going to cut $1.1 billion.

So these are horrendous actions, but at least they obvious, open. The CIA is not involved here, so they are not hiding what they are doing. It is an open position. It is up to the American people to go forward and look at what they are doing and come to some conclusions.

They are not interested just in saving money or balancing the budget. The argument that every family balances its budget and so forth, the Nation therefore should balance its budget, that argument makes a lot of sense on the surface, but that is really not what it is all about.

In the first place, very few families balance their budget in a year. In a year's period, your family's budget is not balanced and you know that too. You have not paid for your home fully. Rich people can, but we are talking about 10 to 15 percent of Americans who can go out and pay cash for a car and cash for a condominium or for a house. That number of people is very much in the minority in America.

Most Americans have to get mortgages. Most Americans have to get loans to buy cars. So very few families have a balanced budget where exactly what they take in during a year is what they spend during a year. They have debts that are carried over, long-term investments and items, and it is just ridiculous to insist we have to have a balanced budget. But that is where we are.

I will not bore you anymore by explaining the weaknesses in the argument that we have to have a balanced budget. That is accepted. Let us start out, that that is an assumption.

Everybody now is basically agreed that we will have a balanced budget. The President has agreed that we will have a balanced budget. The President has moved to put forth a balanced budget which the Congressional Budget Office and the General Accounting Office and everybody who has to sign off, they all agree the numbers and assumptions are correct.

Nobody can accuse the President of not following the assumptions of the Congressional Budget Office regardless of whether they are sound or unsound or how uncomfortable the White House may feel about it. They have gone forward and done that. So, now, let the

debate go forward and let the American people make judgments about the arguments that are being made.

The President says that his budget reflects the values of the American people. One of the latest polls taken, I think there was a poll taken by the Washington Post, which shows that 50 percent, according to the poll, 50 percent of the American people agree that the President's position is a sound position and they want to support that position. I think this was January 7, not too long ago. The poll finds that 50 percent approve of how Clinton is handling this dispute, and 22 percent approve of the way the Republicans are handling it. Fifty percent.

So we are not talking about what Congressman OWENS and the Members of the Congressional Black Caucus, the members of the Progressive caucus, the liberal Democrats, we are not talking about their position at this point. We are talking about the position of the President, which is consistent with the position of 50 percent of the American people. They approve of his tremendous effort to stretch and meet the Republicans.

I just hope that he understands that they do not want him to go any further. I hope the President does not disappoint the American people by stretching further, because any further stretching would be disastrous, any further stretching. Because if you stretch further, what you are doing is abandoning the values of the American people and moving to the values of the Republican elite.

The Republicans do not value the same vital commitments in Medicaid. The Republicans want to eliminate the guarantee of quality nursing home care and meaningful health care benefits for older Americans. They want to eliminate it for individuals with disabilities. They want to eliminate it for pregnant women and poor children.

All this is not necessary to balance the budget, we are saying, but they want to do that. They want to leave a lot of their dirty work to the States. They want to say, well, let the States make the decisions. People have come up with this argument, of course, that States can do a better job. The closer you get to the people, the more likely you are to have effective government.

There is nothing in the history of government which shows that State governments are more effective than the Federal Government, or that local governments are more effective than the Federal Government. Some of the worst corruption and the worst mismanagement and the worst incompetency you find in America can be found at the local level.

In New York State right now, at this very moment, we have Governor Pataki, who sits in the Governor's mansion of New York, a Republican Governor, who has turned the State government into a clubhouse patronage meal. Never before in the history of New York State government has any Governor so blatantly used the treasury, used the State apparatus, the administration of government, to bolster partisan concerns.

He has openly said he will pick up certain parts of the government in the capital; Albany is the capital of New York State. He is going to move certain programs out of Albany into Poughkeepsie, where he lives, and into other areas where he got large amounts of votes.

This Republican Governor is not pretending to be a good-government advocate. He is openly doing this. He is openly allowing certain members of his cabinet who are responsible for certain contracts to solicit in fundraising.

There are all kinds of things happening that Democrats might have done, but they never were so blatant about it; and some things, Democrats have never done in New York City.

We have a Republican mayor, Mayor Giuliani, and we have had some strong mayors in the history of our city. One of the most famous ones, who was accused of being arrogant, many times he behaved like an emperor, he was a former Member of this House, Mayor Koch. But Mayor Koch insisted on a merit system for the selection of judges. Whether he liked it or not, there were judicial panels that appointed judges, and he lived with those appointments. He followed the recommendations of the panels.

Mayor Dinkins, who followed him, did the same thing, merit appointments.

And the newspapers, the good-government organizations, applauded all this. Along comes Mayor Giuliani, Republican mayor, and he ignores or challenges the findings of the judicial review panels and appoints two people, who, in the opinion of many of the judges, the legal people who sit on the judicial review panels, are not qualified. He boasts about it, and he is going to do more of it.

In New York City the remaking of government is already going forward, the harassment of people who want to get on welfare. If you apply for welfare, there are all kinds of extra roadblocks thrown in your way, so that if you want to cut the welfare rolls, one simple way to do it is to make the paperwork more difficult. No matter how poor you are, if I insist that I am not taking your application unless you sign on just the right line, unless you answer every question, unless every "T" is crossed, and every "I" is dotted, I can keep you off welfare for months just through those technicalities.

In other words, if you have a system of values where you do not want to feed the hungry, you do not want to provide housing for the homeless or clothe the naked, you are totally out of sync with the Judeo-Christian values of this Nation, then you can proceed at the local level even with present regulations in place.

At this moment, people are still entitled to Aid to Families with Dependent Children. They are still entitled. The entitlement has not been taken away yet. It has been proposed by the Republicans in this House; it has been passed by the Republicans in the Senate, and a lot of Democrats in the Senate voted for it. So entitlement probably is going to be gone this time next year; the people who are poor families with children qualifying for Aid to Families with Dependent Children will not have a Federal entitlement. That will probably be gone next year.

I fear that that is one of the concessions that the President will make. I hope he will not, but I fear that will be a concession he will make. But it is not gone yet. It is still there.

At the local government level in New York City, we have a mayor who has gone ahead and is already doing the kinds of dirty work you can expect once that entitlement is gone. He has taken it upon himself to come up with tricks and various means to keep people off the welfare roll and deny them even when they have great needs. So that process is going forward.

Medicaid. The Governor has proposed, and then he backed away, that the standards for nursing homes in New York be watered down, that the requirement that every nursing home has to take a certain percentage of poor people be eliminated. He has backed away temporarily, but those proposals are coming back, if the States are going to have an opportunity to administer Medicaid without the guarantees.

Let us understand States already administer these programs, localities already administer these programs. What they are trying to do is take away the Federal guarantees that if you are eligible, you should get it. They want to take away the Federal appeal procedures. They want to take away the Federal guidelines. They want to take away the Federal oversight. They want to be free to take taxpayers' money and use it the way they want to use it toward their own ends.

An example is being set by Mr. Pataki in New York State and Mr. Giuliani in New York City. Those are examples of the kind of thing you can look forward to: abuse of power, abuse of the poor, balancing the budget on the backs of the people who do not have political power.

So the President says the Republicans do not value these vital commitments, and between now and November 1996, November of this year, keep watching. Do not lose your gaze. Keep your eyes on the prize.

Where are the American values? Do they say, we want to cut Medicaid and leave the poorest people without health

care, leave the people who are disabled without health care, leave pregnant women and poor children without health care? Are those American values?

In Medicare, the President says the Republicans want to charge 37 million Medicare recipients higher premiums and change the system so that it benefits the healthiest and wealthiest while allowing the traditional Medicare Program to wither on the vine. That is a quote from one of the great leaders of the Republican Party, even though it is not necessary to balance the budget.

The Republicans want to charge 37 million Medicare recipients higher premiums and change the system so that it benefits the healthiest and the wealthiest while leaving those who need it most in a state of stress. I know the stress because I get more questions in my district about Medicare and Medicaid than about any other programs. People are feeling the stress already as they contemplate what is being proposed.

In education, the Republicans call for cuts in aid for smaller classrooms, cuts for Head Start. They call for cuts in basic skills and higher standards while ending the direct student loan program. What does the direct student loan program have to do with balancing the budget? Almost nothing. In fact, just the opposite. We will end up spending more money by ending the direct student loan program, but that is an activity which is offensive to the banking community, certain favorite communities that support the leadership, and they are out there making arguments that the student loan program, the direct student loan program is some kind of evil when it has obvious benefits.

Environment. They continually put the special interests over the environment and they want to take the environmental cop off the beat. These are Republican values versus American values.

The American people indicate that they are with the President. They are with the President. Let us keep our eyes on the two sets of values, the President's values versus the Republican values, as we go forward toward November 1996. Do not take your eyes off the prize. The budget debate, the fiscal debate, the tax debate, that is it; that is what we have to focus on.

I keep insisting that we ought to keep our eyes on the prize and Americans ought to welcome the opportunity to remake America or to refine America or to adjust America and make it a better America, because I know the surprise that is coming. The Republicans are planning to back away from these very important issues and move into diversionary tactics. They are going to try to ambush the voters with the usual diversionary issues.

What are the diversionary issues? Prayer in the schools, gun control, affirmative action, set-asides, voting rights, gays in the military. Those have nothing to do with the remaking of America in terms of fiscal and budget and tax issues, but they are going to switch to those and we have to be aware that as we go forward in 1996, these are very important issues.

Prayer in school is important. It is important to talk about guns. I am all in favor of more gun control. I understand the position of those who want less; I understand their position. I disagree with it thoroughly.

The murder rate has gone down in general, but among young people the murder rate, the rate of people being shot with guns, is dramatically increasing. So you have a young population using guns, and that young population is coming to the point where they are going to be a greater percentage of the overall population. So the decrease in crime we are watching now will be accompanied by an increase in crime later on as these young people using guns reach the critical teenage ages. That is where we are going.

So we have to keep our eyes on the prize and beware of the diversionary issues. We have to keep our eyes on the prize and not let introductions of arguments about people being subhuman be introduced.

I was shocked that one of our leaders commented on a brutal crime in Chicago, indicating that a woman would not have been murdered and had the baby ripped out of her stomach if it was not for the welfare culture. That really shocked me greatly. I did not see any connection between the welfare culture and a brutal crime like that.

There are a lot of brutal crimes taking place in our country and across the world where people are not on welfare. Immorality has nothing to do directly with whether or not a person is on welfare or not. Nobody has commented on the fact that Princess Diana and Prince Charles have chosen not only to commit adultery, but to go on television and discuss it. That is being done by people who have never been on welfare, and it is the kind of horror that there is no excuse for.

It is bad enough that people have sins, and all of us sin, but to go on television and parade your sins, especially when you know you are a role model. They are role models for people in Britain. They insist on having this royal family, and sometimes Americans envy the fact that Britain has a royal family and we do not; but I think that is one great example why we do not need a royal family.

But Americans use the Royal Family of Britain as role models. Children use them as role models. Princess Diana, I am sure a lot of teenage girls identify with her, and on and on it goes.

So if welfare determines people's morality and we must get rid of welfare in order to have people become more moral, then how does the Royal Family behave this way, and they have never been on welfare? They have never worked for a living either.

Maybe they have it too easy. Maybe we are talking about decadence at a level which may be something that sociologists and psychologists and psychiatrists can deal with, but I just do not see why that has any bearing.

We are going to be talking about morality. We are going to be talking about sin versus nonsin. We are going to be talking about Whitewater. Nobody wants to talk about the real crime involved in the savings and loan association debacle. We talk about Whitewater having something to do with the savings and loans crisis. Occasionally they mention that. Most people just think it was invented by the Clintons. The Clintons lost money on a savings and loan venture in Whitewater; they lost money.

Let us look at Silverado in Colorado. I have a whole book here. I am a student of the savings and loan swindle, because the savings and loan swindle was the greatest swindle in the history of civilization. In the economic history of civilization, nothing like this has ever happened before. And yet in America we do not even talk about it anymore. It is nearing a close, as far as the people who want to cover it up are concerned.

The greatest crime in terms of economic thievery was committed right here in this country through the savings and loan association swindle and the accompanying banks swindle.

Other banks that were not savings and loan associations did the same things, the misuse of the public trust. They took out deposits backed by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, which meant that if anything happened, you, the taxpayer, stood behind it. They took that; they abused and misused that, and billions of dollars were lost. In fact, one estimate by Stanford University said we are talking about a loss of $500 billion, a cost to the taxpayers eventually of $500 billion.

There has been a process of going through the Resolution Trust Corporation and cleaning these things up, and negotiating out various arrangements, and it is all coming to a quiet close.

That is real criminality. That is real dishonesty. That is real thievery.

I have two reports. I read about them and I called for them. One is from the Department of Justice, Financial Institution Fraud, Special Report, Special Counsel for Financial Institution Fraud. That report I have looked at, am still looking at it.

Another is called "Attacking Financial Institution Fraud." It is from the U.S. Department of Justice, the U.S. Office of the Attorney General. It is a report to Congress that is required.

As I look at both of these reports, what strikes me is that they are deliberately confusing. They deliberately do

not ever state clear facts. It is very hard to find out exactly how much money have the American taxpayers had to pour into bailing out the savings and loan associations. It is very hard to find out exactly how much.

I know on the floor of this House, when we appropriate in one bill $50 billion for this, it is $70 billion for that, and yet they do not talk in those kinds of numbers here. They talk about bringing this whole thing to a close; and you are not talking about hundreds of billions, you are talking about a few billion here and a few million there, and I cannot make them add up.

They have deliberately not reported anything in a summary fashion. I am still studying these reports to find out more about one of the greatest swindles that ever took place.

So if we get into discussions of morality and discussions of swindling, if we are going to continue the Whitewater discussions, then I think it is only fair to talk about the savings and loan association swindle in all of its dimensions and talk about the Silverado, $2,286,901,934. That is the figure that they have said they ordered to be recovered. We were talking about $150 billion. Why has only $2 billion been ordered to be recovered?

You will hear more about this later. This is the kind of morality discussion, if we are going to have a morality discussion, that we should get into.

But my final comment is, Mr. Speaker, let us keep our eyes on the prize, continue to focus on the budget, taxes, and expenditures. It is a discussion that the American people deserve.

---

## CONFERENCE REPORT ON S. 1124

Mr. SPENCE submitted the following conference report and statement on the bill (S. 1124), to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 1996 for military activities of the Department of Defense, to prescribe personnel strengths for such fiscal year for the Armed Forces, and for other purposes:

CONFERENCE REPORT (H. REPT. 104–450)

The committee of conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendments of the House to the bill (S. 1124), to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 1996 for military activities of the Department of Defense, to prescribe personnel strengths for such fiscal year for the Armed Forces, and for other purposes, having met, after full and free conference, have agreed to recommend and do recommend to their respective Houses as follows:

That the Senate recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the House to the text of the bill and agree to the same with an amendment as follows:

In lieu of the matter proposed to be inserted by the House amendment, insert the following:

**SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.**

This Act may be cited as the "National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1996".

**SEC. 2. ORGANIZATION OF ACT INTO DIVISIONS; TABLE OF CONTENTS.**

(a) DIVISIONS.—This Act is organized into five divisions as follows:

(1) Division A—Department of Defense Authorizations.

(2) Division B—Military Construction Authorizations.

(3) Division C—Department of Energy National Security Authorizations and Other Authorizations.

(4) Division D—Federal Acquisition Reform.

(5) Division E—Information Technology Management Reform.

(b) TABLE OF CONTENTS.—The table of contents for this Act is as follows:

Sec. 1. Short title.
Sec. 2. Organization of Act into divisions; table of contents.
Sec. 3. Congressional defense committees defined.
Sec. 4. Extension of time for submission of reports.

**DIVISION A—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS**

**TITLE I—PROCUREMENT**

**Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations**

Sec. 101. Army.
Sec. 102. Navy and Marine Corps.
Sec. 103. Air Force.
Sec. 104. Defense-wide activities.
Sec. 105. Reserve components.
Sec. 106. Defense Inspector General.
Sec. 107. Chemical demilitarization program.
Sec. 108. Defense health programs.

**Subtitle B—Army Programs**

Sec. 111. Procurement of OH–58D Armed Kiowa Warrior helicopters.
Sec. 112. Repeal of requirements for armored vehicle upgrades.
Sec. 113. Multiyear procurement of helicopters.
Sec. 114. Report on AH–64D engine upgrades.
Sec. 115. Requirement for use of previously authorized multiyear procurement authority for Army small arms procurement.

**Subtitle C—Navy Programs**

Sec. 131. Nuclear attack submarines.
Sec. 132. Research for advanced submarine technology.
Sec. 133. Cost limitation for Seawolf submarine program.
Sec. 134. Repeal of prohibition on backfit of Trident submarines.
Sec. 135. Arleigh Burke class destroyer program.
Sec. 136. Acquisition program for crash attenuating seats.
Sec. 137. T–39N trainer aircraft.
Sec. 138. Pioneer unmanned aerial vehicle program.

**Subtitle D—Air Force Programs**

Sec. 141. B–2 aircraft program.
Sec. 142. Procurement of B–2 bombers.
Sec. 143. MC–130H aircraft program.

**Subtitle E—Chemical Demilitarization Program**

Sec. 151. Repeal of requirement to proceed expeditiously with development of chemical demilitarization cryofracture facility at Tooele Army Depot, Utah.
Sec. 152. Destruction of existing stockpile of lethal chemical agents and munitions.
Sec. 153. Administration of chemical demilitarization program.

**TITLE II—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION**

**Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations**

Sec. 201. Authorization of appropriations.
Sec. 202. Amount for basic research and exploratory development.
Sec. 203. Modifications to Strategic Environmental Research and Development Program.
Sec. 204. Defense dual use technology initiative.

**Subtitle B—Program Requirements, Restrictions, and Limitations**

Sec. 211. Space launch modernization.
Sec. 212. Tactical manned reconnaissance.
Sec. 213. Joint Advanced Strike Technology (JAST) program.
Sec. 214. Development of laser program.
Sec. 215. Navy mine countermeasures program.
Sec. 216. Space-based infrared system.
Sec. 217. Defense Nuclear Agency programs.
Sec. 218. Counterproliferation support program.
Sec. 219. Nonlethal weapons study.
Sec. 220. Federally funded research and development centers and university-affiliated research centers.
Sec. 221. Joint seismic program and global seismic network.
Sec. 222. Hydra–70 rocket product improvement program.
Sec. 223. Limitation on obligation of funds until receipt of electronic combat consolidation master plan.
Sec. 224. Report on reductions in research, development, test, and evaluation.
Sec. 225. Advanced Field Artillery System (Crusader).
Sec. 226. Demilitarization of conventional munitions, rockets, and explosives.
Sec. 227. Defense Airborne Reconnaissance program.

**Subtitle C—Ballistic Missile Defense Act of 1995**

Sec. 231. Short title.
Sec. 232. Findings.
Sec. 233. Ballistic Missile Defense policy.
Sec. 234. Theater Missile Defense architecture.
Sec. 235. Prohibition on use of funds to implement an international agreement concerning Theater Missile Defense systems.
Sec. 236. Ballistic Missile Defense cooperation with allies.
Sec. 237. ABM Treaty defined.
Sec. 238. Repeal of Missile Defense Act of 1991.

**Subtitle D—Other Ballistic Missile Defense Provisions**

Sec. 251. Ballistic Missile Defense program elements.
Sec. 252. Testing of Theater Missile Defense interceptors.
Sec. 253. Repeal of missile defense provisions.

**Subtitle E—Miscellaneous Reviews, Studies, and Reports**

Sec. 261. Precision-guided munitions.
Sec. 262. Review of C⁴I by National Research Council.
Sec. 263. Analysis of consolidation of basic research accounts of military departments.
Sec. 264. Change in reporting period from calendar year to fiscal year for annual report on certain contracts to colleges and universities.
Sec. 265. Aeronautical research and test capabilities assessment.

**Subtitle F—Other Matters**

Sec. 271. Advanced lithography program.

Sec. 272. Enhanced fiber optic guided missile (EFOG-M) system.
Sec. 273. States eligible for assistance under Defense Experimental Program To Stimulate Competitive Research.
Sec. 274. Cruise missile defense initiative.
Sec. 275. Modification to university research initiative support program.
Sec. 276. Manufacturing technology program.
Sec. 277. Five-year plan for consolidation of defense laboratories and test and evaluation centers.
Sec. 278. Limitation on T-38 avionics upgrade program.
Sec. 279. Global Positioning System.
Sec. 280. Revision of authority for providing Army support for the National Science Center for Communications and Electronics.

TITLE III—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations

Sec. 301. Operation and maintenance funding.
Sec. 302. Working capital funds.
Sec. 303. Armed Forces Retirement Home.
Sec. 304. Transfer from National Defense Stockpile Transaction Fund.
Sec. 305. Civil Air Patrol.

Subtitle B—Depot-Level Activities

Sec. 311. Policy regarding performance of depot-level maintenance and repair for the Department of Defense.
Sec. 312. Management of depot employees.
Sec. 313. Extension of authority for aviation depots and naval shipyards to engage in defense-related production and services.
Sec. 314. Modification of notification requirement regarding use of core logistics functions waiver.

Subtitle C—Environmental Provisions

Sec. 321. Revision of requirements for agreements for services under environmental restoration program.
Sec. 322. Addition of amounts creditable to Defense Environmental Restoration Account.
Sec. 323. Use of Defense Environmental Restoration Account.
Sec. 324. Revision of authorities relating to restoration advisory boards.
Sec. 325. Discharges from vessels of the Armed Forces.

Subtitle D—Commissaries and Nonappropriated Fund Instrumentalities

Sec. 331. Operation of commissary system.
Sec. 332. Limited release of commissary stores sales information to manufacturers, distributors, and other vendors doing business with Defense Commissary Agency.
Sec. 333. Economical distribution of distilled spirits by nonappropriated fund instrumentalities.
Sec. 334. Transportation by commissaries and exchanges to overseas locations.
Sec. 335. Demonstration project for uniform funding of morale, welfare, and recreation activities at certain military installations.
Sec. 336. Operation of combined exchange and commissary stores.
Sec. 337. Deferred payment programs of military exchanges.
Sec. 338. Availability of funds to offset expenses incurred by Army and Air Force Exchange Service on account of troop reductions in Europe.
Sec. 339. Study regarding improving efficiencies in operation of military exchanges and other morale, welfare, and recreation activities and commissary stores.
Sec. 340. Repeal of requirement to convert ships' stores to nonappropriated fund instrumentalities.
Sec. 341. Disposition of excess morale, welfare, and recreation funds.
Sec. 342. Clarification of entitlement to use of morale, welfare, and recreation facilities by members of reserve components and dependents.

Subtitle E—Performance of Functions by Private-Sector Sources

Sec. 351. Competitive procurement of printing and duplication services.
Sec. 352. Direct vendor delivery system for consumable inventory items of Department of Defense.
Sec. 353. Payroll, finance, and accounting functions of the Department of Defense.
Sec. 354. Demonstration program to identify overpayments made to vendors.
Sec. 355. Pilot program on private operation of defense dependents' schools.
Sec. 356. Program for improved travel process for the Department of Defense.
Sec. 357. Increased reliance on private-sector sources for commercial products and services.

Subtitle F—Miscellaneous Reviews, Studies, and Reports

Sec. 361. Quarterly readiness reports.
Sec. 362. Restatement of requirement for semiannual reports to Congress on transfers from high-priority readiness appropriations.
Sec. 363. Report regarding reduction of costs associated with contract management oversight.
Sec. 364. Reviews of management of inventory control points and Material Management Standard System.
Sec. 365. Report on private performance of certain functions performed by military aircraft.
Sec. 366. Strategy and report on automated information systems of Department of Defense.

Subtitle G—Other Matters

Sec. 371. Codification of Defense Business Operations Fund.
Sec. 372. Clarification of services and property that may be exchanged to benefit the historical collection of the Armed Forces.
Sec. 373. Financial management training.
Sec. 374. Permanent authority for use of proceeds from the sale of certain lost, abandoned, or unclaimed property.
Sec. 375. Sale of military clothing and subsistence and other supplies of the Navy and Marine Corps.
Sec. 376. Personnel services and logistical support for certain activities held on military installations.
Sec. 377. Retention of monetary awards.
Sec. 378. Provision of equipment and facilities to assist in emergency response actions.
Sec. 379. Report on Department of Defense military and civil defense preparedness to respond to emergencies resulting from a chemical, biological, radiological, or nuclear attack.

TITLE IV—MILITARY PERSONNEL AUTHORIZATIONS

Subtitle A—Active Forces

Sec. 401. End strengths for active forces.
Sec. 402. Temporary variation in DOPMA authorized end strength limitations for active duty Air Force and Navy officers in certain grades.
Sec. 403. Certain general and flag officers awaiting retirement not to be counted.

Subtitle B—Reserve Forces

Sec. 411. End strengths for Selected Reserve.
Sec. 412. End strengths for Reserves on active duty in support of the Reserves.
Sec. 413. Counting of certain active component personnel assigned in support of reserve component training.
Sec. 414. Increase in number of members in certain grades authorized to serve on active duty in support of the Reserves.
Sec. 415. Reserves on active duty in support of cooperative threat reduction programs not to be counted.
Sec. 416. Reserves on active duty for military-to-military contacts and comparable activities not to be counted.

Subtitle C—Military Training Student Loads

Sec. 421. Authorization of training student loads.

Subtitle D—Authorization of Appropriations

Sec. 431. Authorization of appropriations for military personnel.
Sec. 432. Authorization for increase in active-duty end strengths.

TITLE V—MILITARY PERSONNEL POLICY

Subtitle A—Officer Personnel Policy

Sec. 501. Joint officer management.
Sec. 502. Retired grade for officers in grades above major general and rear admiral.
Sec. 503. Wearing of insignia for higher grade before promotion.
Sec. 504. Authority to extend transition period for officers selected for early retirement.
Sec. 505. Army officer manning levels.
Sec. 506. Authority for medical department officers other than physicians to be appointed as Surgeon General.
Sec. 507. Deputy Judge Advocate General of the Air Force.
Sec. 508. Authority for temporary promotions for certain Navy lieutenants with critical skills.
Sec. 509. Retirement for years of service of Directors of Admissions of Military and Air Force academies.

Subtitle B—Matters Relating to Reserve Components

Sec. 511. Extension of certain Reserve officer management authorities.
Sec. 512. Mobilization income insurance program for members of Ready Reserve.
Sec. 513. Military technician full-time support program for Army and Air Force reserve components.
Sec. 514. Revisions to Army Guard Combat Reform Initiative to include Army Reserve under certain provisions and make certain revisions.
Sec. 515. Active duty associate unit responsibility.

Sec. 516. Leave for members of reserve components performing public safety duty.
Sec. 517. Department of Defense funding for National Guard participation in joint disaster and emergency assistance exercises.

**Subtitle C—Decorations and Awards**

Sec. 521. Award of Purple Heart to persons wounded while held as prisoners of war before April 25, 1962.
Sec. 522. Authority to award decorations recognizing acts of valor performed in combat during the Vietnam conflict.
Sec. 523. Military intelligence personnel prevented by secrecy from being considered for decorations and awards.
Sec. 524. Review regarding upgrading of Distinguished-Service Crosses and Navy Crosses awarded to Asian-Americans and Native American Pacific Islanders for World War II service.
Sec. 525. Eligibility for Armed Forces Expeditionary Medal based upon service in El Salvador.
Sec. 526. Procedure for consideration of military decorations not previously submitted in timely fashion.

**Subtitle D—Officer Education Programs**

PART I—SERVICE ACADEMIES

Sec. 531. Revision of service obligation for graduates of the service academies.
Sec. 532. Nominations to service academies from Commonwealth of the Northern Marianas Islands.
Sec. 533. Repeal of requirement for athletic director and nonappropriated fund account for the athletics programs at the service academies.
Sec. 534. Repeal of requirement for program to test privatization of service academy preparatory schools.

PART II—RESERVE OFFICER TRAINING CORPS

Sec. 541. ROTC access to campuses.
Sec. 542. ROTC scholarships for the National Guard.
Sec. 543. Delay in reorganization of Army ROTC regional headquarters structure.
Sec. 544. Duration of field training or practice cruise required under the Senior ROTC program.
Sec. 545. Active duty officers detailed to ROTC duty at senior military colleges to serve as Commandant and Assistant Commandant of Cadets and as tactical officers.

**Subtitle E—Miscellaneous Reviews, Studies, and Reports**

Sec. 551. Report concerning appropriate forum for judicial review of Department of Defense personnel actions.
Sec. 552. Comptroller General review of proposed Army end strength allocations.
Sec. 553. Report on manning status of highly deployable support units.
Sec. 554. Review of system for correction of military records.
Sec. 555. Report on the consistency of reporting of fingerprint cards and final disposition forms to the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

**Subtitle F—Other Matters**

Sec. 561. Equalization of accrual of service credit for officers and enlisted members.
Sec. 562. Army Ranger training.
Sec. 563. Separation in cases involving extended confinement.
Sec. 564. Limitations on reductions in medical personnel.
Sec. 565. Sense of Congress concerning personnel tempo rates.
Sec. 566. Separation benefits during force reduction for officers of commissioned corps of National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.
Sec. 567. Discharge of members of the Armed Forces who have the HIV-1 virus.
Sec. 568. Revision and codification of Military Family Act and Military Child Care Act.
Sec. 569. Determination of whereabouts and status of missing persons.
Sec. 570. Associate Director of Central Intelligence for Military Support.

**Subtitle G—Support for Non-Department of Defense Activities**

Sec. 571. Repeal of certain civil-military programs.
Sec. 572. Training activities involving support and services for eligible organizations and activities outside the Department of Defense.
Sec. 573. National Guard civilian youth opportunities pilot program.
Sec. 574. Termination of funding for Office of Civil-Military Programs in Office of the Secretary of Defense.

**TITLE VI—COMPENSATION AND OTHER PERSONNEL BENEFITS**

**Subtitle A—Pay and Allowances**

Sec. 601. Military pay raise for fiscal year 1996.
Sec. 602. Limitation on basic allowance for subsistence for members residing without dependents in Government quarters.
Sec. 603. Election of basic allowance for quarters instead of assignment to inadequate quarters.
Sec. 604. Payment of basic allowance for quarters to members in pay grade E-6 who are assigned to sea duty.
Sec. 605. Limitation on reduction of variable housing allowance for certain members.
Sec. 606. Clarification of limitation on eligibility for family separation allowance.

**Subtitle B—Bonuses and Special and Incentive Pays**

Sec. 611. Extension of certain bonuses for reserve forces.
Sec. 612. Extension of certain bonuses and special pay for nurse officer candidates, registered nurses, and nurse anesthetists.
Sec. 613. Extension of authority relating to payment of other bonuses and special pays.
Sec. 614. Codification and extension of special pay for critically short wartime health specialists in the Selected Reserves.
Sec. 615. Hazardous duty incentive pay for warrant officers and enlisted members serving as air weapons controllers.
Sec. 616. Aviation career incentive pay.
Sec. 617. Clarification of authority to provide special pay for nurses.
Sec. 618. Continuous entitlement to career sea pay for crew members of ships designated as tenders.
Sec. 619. Increase in maximum rate of special duty assignment pay for enlisted members serving as recruiters.

**Subtitle C—Travel and Transportation Allowances**

Sec. 621. Repeal of requirement regarding calculation of allowances on basis of mileage tables.
Sec. 622. Departure allowances.
Sec. 623. Transportation of nondependent child from member's station overseas after loss of dependent status while overseas.
Sec. 624. Authorization of dislocation allowance for moves in connection with base realignments and closures.

**Subtitle D—Retired Pay, Survivor Benefits, and Related Matters**

Sec. 631. Effective date for military retiree cost-of-living adjustments for fiscal years 1996, 1997, and 1998.
Sec. 632. Denial of non-regular service retired pay for Reserves receiving certain court-martial sentences.
Sec. 633. Report on payment of annuities for certain military surviving spouses.
Sec. 634. Payment of back quarters and subsistence allowances to World War II veterans who served as guerilla fighters in the Philippines.
Sec. 635. Authority for relief from previous overpayments under minimum income widows program.
Sec. 636. Transitional compensation for dependents of members of the Armed Forces separated for dependent abuse.

**Subtitle E—Other Matters**

Sec. 641. Payment to survivors of deceased members for all leave accrued.
Sec. 642. Repeal of reporting requirements regarding compensation matters.
Sec. 643. Recoupment of administrative expenses in garnishment actions.
Sec. 644. Report on extending to junior noncommissioned officers privileges provided for senior noncommissioned officers.
Sec. 645. Study regarding joint process for determining location of recruiting stations.
Sec. 646. Automatic maximum coverage under Servicemen's Group Life Insurance.
Sec. 647. Termination of Servicemen's Group Life Insurance for members of the Ready Reserve who fail to pay premiums.

**TITLE VII—HEALTH CARE PROVISIONS**

**Subtitle A—Health Care Services**

Sec. 701. Modification of requirements regarding routine physical examinations and immunizations under CHAMPUS.
Sec. 702. Correction of inequities in medical and dental care and death and disability benefits for certain Reserves.
Sec. 703. Medical care for surviving dependents of retired Reserves who die before age 60.

Sec. 704. Medical and dental care for members of the Selected Reserve assigned to early deploying units of the Army Selected Reserve.
Sec. 705. Dental insurance for members of the Selected Reserve.
Sec. 706. Permanent authority to carry out specialized treatment facility program.

**Subtitle B—TRICARE Program**

Sec. 711. Definition of TRICARE program.
Sec. 712. Priority use of military treatment facilities for persons enrolled in managed care initiatives.
Sec. 713. Staggered payment of enrollment fees for TRICARE program.
Sec. 714. Requirement of budget neutrality for TRICARE program to be based on entire program.
Sec. 715. Training in health care management and administration for TRICARE lead agents.
Sec. 716. Pilot program of individualized residential mental health services.
Sec. 717. Evaluation and report on TRICARE program effectiveness.
Sec. 718. Sense of Congress regarding access to health care under TRICARE program for covered beneficiaries who are medicare eligible.

**Subtitle C—Uniformed Services Treatment Facilities**

Sec. 721. Delay of termination of status of certain facilities as Uniformed Services Treatment Facilities.
Sec. 722. Limitation on expenditures to support Uniformed Services Treatment Facilities.
Sec. 723. Application of CHAMPUS payment rules in certain cases.
Sec. 724. Application of Federal Acquisition Regulation to participation agreements with Uniformed Services Treatment Facilities.
Sec. 725. Development of plan for integrating Uniformed Services Treatment Facilities in managed care programs of Department of Defense.
Sec. 726. Equitable implementation of uniform cost sharing requirements for Uniformed Services Treatment Facilities.
Sec. 727. Elimination of unnecessary annual reporting requirement regarding Uniformed Services Treatment Facilities.

**Subtitle D—Other Changes to Existing Laws Regarding Health Care Management**

Sec. 731. Maximum allowable payments to individual health-care providers under CHAMPUS.
Sec. 732. Notification of certain CHAMPUS covered beneficiaries of loss of CHAMPUS eligibility.
Sec. 733. Personal services contracts for medical treatment facilities of the Coast Guard.
Sec. 734. Identification of third-party payer situations.
Sec. 735. Redesignation of Military Health Care Account as Defense Health Program Account and two-year availability of certain account funds.
Sec. 736. Expansion of financial assistance program for health-care professionals in reserve components to include dental specialties.
Sec. 737. Applicability of limitation on prices of pharmaceuticals procured for the Coast Guard.
Sec. 738. Restriction on use of Department of Defense facilities for abortions.

**Subtitle E—Other Matters**

Sec. 741. Triservice nursing research.
Sec. 742. Termination of program to train military psychologists to prescribe psychotropic medications.
Sec. 743. Waiver of collection of payments due from certain persons unaware of loss of CHAMPUS eligibility.
Sec. 744. Demonstration program to train military medical personnel in civilian shock trauma units.
Sec. 745. Study regarding Department of Defense efforts to determine appropriate force levels of wartime medical personnel.
Sec. 746. Report on improved access to military health care for covered beneficiaries entitled to medicare.
Sec. 747. Report on effect of closure of Fitzsimons Army Medical Center, Colorado, on provision of care to military personnel, retired military personnel, and their dependents.
Sec. 748. Sense of Congress on continuity of health care services for covered beneficiaries adversely affected by closures of military medical treatment facilities.
Sec. 749. State recognition of military advance medical directives.

**TITLE VIII—ACQUISITION POLICY, ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT, AND RELATED MATTERS**

**Subtitle A—Acquisition Reform**

Sec. 801. Inapplicability of limitation on expenditure of appropriations to contracts at or below simplified acquisition threshold.
Sec. 802. Authority to delegate contracting authority.
Sec. 803. Quality control in procurements of critical aircraft and ship spare parts.
Sec. 804. Fees for certain testing services.
Sec. 805. Coordination and communication of defense research activities.
Sec. 806. Addition of certain items to domestic source limitation.
Sec. 807. Encouragement of use of leasing authority.
Sec. 808. Cost reimbursement rules for indirect costs attributable to private sector work of defense contractors.
Sec. 809. Subcontracts for ocean transportation services.
Sec. 810. Prompt resolution of audit recommendations.
Sec. 811. Test program for negotiation of comprehensive subcontracting plans.
Sec. 812. Procurement of items for experimental or test purposes.
Sec. 813. Use of funds for acquisition of designs, processes, technical data, and computer software.
Sec. 814. Independent cost estimates for major defense acquisition programs.
Sec. 815. Construction, repair, alteration, furnishing, and equipping of naval vessels.

**Subtitle B—Other Matters**

Sec. 821. Procurement technical assistance programs.
Sec. 822. Defense facility-wide pilot program.
Sec. 823. Treatment of Department of Defense cable television franchise agreements.
Sec. 824. Extension of pilot mentor-protege program.

**TITLE IX—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT**

**Subtitle A—General Matters**

Sec. 901. Organization of the Office of the Secretary of Defense.
Sec. 902. Reduction in number of Assistant Secretary of Defense positions.
Sec. 903. Deferred repeal of various statutory positions and offices in Office of the Secretary of Defense.
Sec. 904. Redesignation of the position of Assistant to the Secretary of Defense for Atomic Energy.
Sec. 905. Joint Requirements Oversight Council.
Sec. 906. Restructuring of Department of Defense acquisition organization and workforce.
Sec. 907. Report on Nuclear Posture Review and on plans for nuclear weapons management in event of abolition of Department of Energy.
Sec. 908. Redesignation of Advanced Research Projects Agency.

**Subtitle B—Financial Management**

Sec. 911. Transfer authority regarding funds available for foreign currency fluctuations.
Sec. 912. Defense Modernization Account.
Sec. 913. Designation and liability of disbursing and certifying officials.
Sec. 914. Fisher House trust funds.
Sec. 915. Limitation on use of authority to pay for emergency and extraordinary expenses.

**TITLE X—GENERAL PROVISIONS**

**Subtitle A—Financial Matters**

Sec. 1001. Transfer authority.
Sec. 1002. Incorporation of classified annex.
Sec. 1003. Improved funding mechanisms for unbudgeted operations.
Sec. 1004. Operation Provide Comfort.
Sec. 1005. Operation Enhanced Southern Watch.
Sec. 1006. Authority for obligation of certain unauthorized fiscal year 1995 defense appropriations.
Sec. 1007. Authorization of prior emergency supplemental appropriations for fiscal year 1995.
Sec. 1008. Authorization reductions to reflect savings from revised economic assumptions.

**Subtitle B—Naval Vessels and Shipyards**

Sec. 1011. Iowa class battleships.
Sec. 1012. Transfer of naval vessels to certain foreign countries.
Sec. 1013. Contract options for LMSR vessels.
Sec. 1014. National Defense Reserve Fleet.
Sec. 1015. Naval salvage facilities.
Sec. 1016. Vessels subject to repair under phased maintenance contracts.
Sec. 1017. Clarification of requirements relating to repairs of vessels.
Sec. 1018. Sense of Congress concerning naming of amphibious ships.
Sec. 1019. Sense of Congress concerning naming of naval vessel.
Sec. 1020. Transfer of riverine patrol craft.

**Subtitle C—Counter-Drug Activities**

Sec. 1021. Revision and clarification of authority for Federal support of drug interdiction and counter-drug activities of the National Guard.

**Subtitle D—Civilian Personnel**

Sec. 1031. Management of Department of Defense civilian personnel.
Sec. 1032. Conversion of military positions to civilian positions.
Sec. 1033. Elimination of 120-day limitation on details of certain employees.
Sec. 1034. Authority for civilian employees of Department of Defense to participate voluntarily in reductions in force.
Sec. 1035. Authority to pay severance payments in lump sums.
Sec. 1036. Continued health insurance coverage.
Sec. 1037. Revision of authority for appointments of involuntarily separated military reserve technicians.
Sec. 1038. Wearing of uniform by National Guard technicians.
Sec. 1039. Military leave for military reserve technicians for certain duty overseas.
Sec. 1040. Personnel actions involving employees of nonappropriated fund instrumentalities.
Sec. 1041. Coverage of nonappropriated fund employees under authority for flexible and compressed work schedules.
Sec. 1042. Limitation on provision of overseas living quarters allowances for nonappropriated fund instrumentality employees.
Sec. 1043. Elections relating to retirement coverage.
Sec. 1044. Extension of temporary authority to pay civilian employees with respect to the evacuation from Guantanamo, Cuba.

**Subtitle E—Miscellaneous Reporting Requirements**

Sec. 1051. Report on fiscal year 1997 budget submission regarding Guard and reserve components.
Sec. 1052. Report on desirability and feasibility of providing authority for use of funds derived from recovered losses resulting from contractor fraud.
Sec. 1053. Report on national policy on protecting the national information infrastructure against strategic attacks.
Sec. 1054. Report on Department of Defense boards and commissions.
Sec. 1055. Date for submission of annual report on special access programs.

**Subtitle F—Repeal of Certain Reporting and Other Requirements and Authorities**

Sec. 1061. Miscellaneous provisions of law.
Sec. 1062. Reports required by title 10, United States Code.
Sec. 1063. Reports required by defense authorization and appropriations Acts.
Sec. 1064. Reports required by other provisions of law.

**Subtitle G—Department of Defense Education Programs**

Sec. 1071. Continuation of Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences.
Sec. 1072. Additional graduate schools and programs at Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences.
Sec. 1073. Funding for adult education programs for military personnel and dependents outside the United States.
Sec. 1074. Assistance to local educational agencies that benefit dependents of members of the Armed Forces and Department of Defense civilian employees.
Sec. 1075. Sharing of personnel of Department of Defense domestic dependent schools and defense dependents' education system.
Sec. 1076. Increase in reserve component Montgomery GI Bill educational assistance allowance with respect to skills or specialties for which there is a critical shortage of personnel.
Sec. 1077. Date for annual report on reserve component Montgomery GI Bill educational assistance program.
Sec. 1078. Scope of education programs of Community College of the Air Force.
Sec. 1079. Amendments to education loan repayment programs.

**Subtitle H—Other Matters**

Sec. 1081. National defense technology and industrial base, defense reinvestment, and defense conversion programs.
Sec. 1082. Ammunition industrial base.
Sec. 1083. Policy concerning excess defense industrial capacity.
Sec. 1084. Sense of Congress concerning access to secondary school student information for recruiting purposes.
Sec. 1085. Disclosure of information concerning unaccounted for United States personnel from the Korean Conflict, the Vietnam era, and the Cold War.
Sec. 1086. Operational support airlift aircraft fleet.
Sec. 1087. Civil Reserve Air Fleet.
Sec. 1088. Damage or loss to personal property due to emergency evacuation or extraordinary circumstances.
Sec. 1089. Authority to suspend or terminate collection actions against deceased members.
Sec. 1090. Check cashing and exchange transactions for dependents of United States Government personnel.
Sec. 1091. Designation of National Maritime Center.
Sec. 1092. Sense of Congress regarding historic preservation of Midway Islands.
Sec. 1093. Sense of Senate regarding Federal spending.
Sec. 1094. Extension of authority for vessel war risk insurance.

**TITLE XI—UNIFORM CODE OF MILITARY JUSTICE**

Sec. 1101. Short title.
Sec. 1102. References to Uniform Code of Military Justice.

**Subtitle A—Offenses**

Sec. 1111. Refusal to testify before court-martial.
Sec. 1112. Flight from apprehension.
Sec. 1113. Carnal knowledge.

**Subtitle B—Sentences**

Sec. 1121. Effective date for forfeitures of pay and allowances and reductions in grade by sentence of court-martial.
Sec. 1122. Required forfeiture of pay and allowances during confinement.
Sec. 1123. Deferment of confinement.

**Subtitle C—Pretrial and Post-Trial Actions**

Sec. 1131. Article 32 investigations.
Sec. 1132. Submission of matters to the convening authority for consideration.
Sec. 1133. Commitment of accused to treatment facility by reason of lack of mental capacity or mental responsibility.

**Subtitle D—Appellate Matters**

Sec. 1141. Appeals by the United States.
Sec. 1142. Repeal of termination of authority for Chief Justice of United States to designate Article III judges for temporary service on Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces.

**Subtitle E—Other Matters**

Sec. 1151. Advisory committee on criminal law jurisdiction over civilians accompanying the Armed Forces in time of armed conflict.
Sec. 1152. Time after accession for initial instruction in the Uniform Code of Military Justice.
Sec. 1153. Technical amendment.

**TITLE XII—COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION WITH STATES OF FORMER SOVIET UNION**

Sec. 1201. Specification of Cooperative Threat Reduction programs.
Sec. 1202. Fiscal year 1996 funding allocations.
Sec. 1203. Prohibition on use of funds for peacekeeping exercises and related activities with Russia.
Sec. 1204. Revision to authority for assistance for weapons destruction.
Sec. 1205. Prior notice to Congress of obligation of funds.
Sec. 1206. Report on accounting for United States assistance.
Sec. 1207. Limitation on assistance to nuclear weapons scientists of former Soviet Union.
Sec. 1208. Limitations relating to offensive biological warfare program of Russia.
Sec. 1209. Limitation on use of funds for chemical weapons destruction facility.

**TITLE XIII—MATTERS RELATING TO OTHER NATIONS**

**Subtitle A—Peacekeeping Provisions**

Sec. 1301. Limitation on use of Department of Defense funds for United States share of costs of United Nations peacekeeping activities.

**Subtitle B—Humanitarian Assistance Programs**

Sec. 1311. Overseas humanitarian, disaster, and civic aid programs.
Sec. 1312. Humanitarian assistance.
Sec. 1313. Landmine clearance program.

**Subtitle C—Arms Exports and Military Assistance**

Sec. 1321. Defense export loan guarantees.
Sec. 1322. National security implications of United States export control policy.
Sec. 1323. Department of Defense review of export licenses for certain biological pathogens.
Sec. 1324. Annual reports on improving export control mechanisms and on military assistance.
Sec. 1325. Report on personnel requirements for control of transfer of certain weapons.

**Subtitle D—Burdensharing and Other Cooperative Activities Involving Allies and NATO**

Sec. 1331. Accounting for burdensharing contributions.
Sec. 1332. Authority to accept contributions for expenses of relocation within host nation of United States Armed Forces overseas.
Sec. 1333. Revised goal for allied share of costs for United States installations in Europe.
Sec. 1334. Exclusion of certain forces from European end strength limitation.
Sec. 1335. Cooperative research and development agreements with NATO organizations.
Sec. 1336. Support services for the Navy at the port of Haifa, Israel.

**Subtitle E—Other Matters**

Sec. 1341. Prohibition on financial assistance to terrorist countries.
Sec. 1342. Judicial assistance to the International Tribunal for Yugoslavia and to the International Tribunal for Rwanda.
Sec. 1343. Semiannual reports concerning United States-People's Republic of China Joint Defense Conversion Commission.

**TITLE XIV—ARMS CONTROL MATTERS**

Sec. 1401. Revision of definition of landmine for purposes of landmine export moratorium.
Sec. 1402. Reports on moratorium on use by Armed Forces of antipersonnel landmines.
Sec. 1403. Extension and amendment of counterproliferation authorities.
Sec. 1404. Limitation on retirement or dismantlement of strategic nuclear delivery systems.
Sec. 1405. Sense of Congress on ABM treaty violations.
Sec. 1406. Sense of Congress on ratification of Chemical Weapons Convention and START II Treaty.
Sec. 1407. Implementation of arms control agreements.
Sec. 1408. Iran and Iraq arms nonproliferation.

**TITLE XV—TECHNICAL AND CLERICAL AMENDMENTS**

Sec. 1501. Amendments related to Reserve Officer Personnel Management Act.
Sec. 1502. Amendments to reflect name change of Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives.
Sec. 1503. Miscellaneous amendments to title 10, United States Code.
Sec. 1504. Miscellaneous amendments to annual defense authorization Acts.
Sec. 1505. Miscellaneous amendments to other laws.
Sec. 1506. Coordination with other amendments.

**TITLE XVI—CORPORATION FOR THE PROMOTION OF RIFLE PRACTICE AND FIREARMS SAFETY**

Sec. 1601. Short title.

**Subtitle A—Establishment and Operation of Corporation**

Sec. 1611. Establishment of the Corporation.
Sec. 1612. Conduct of Civilian Marksmanship Program.
Sec. 1613. Eligibility for participation in Civilian Marksmanship Program.
Sec. 1614. Issuance, loan, and sale of firearms and ammunition by the Corporation.
Sec. 1615. Transfer of firearms and ammunition from the Army to the Corporation.
Sec. 1616. Reservation by the Army of firearms and ammunition for the Corporation.
Sec. 1617. Army logistical support for the program.
Sec. 1618. General authorities of the Corporation.
Sec. 1619. Distribution of Corporate assets in event of dissolution.

**Subtitle B—Transitional Provisions**

Sec. 1621. Transfer of funds and property to the Corporation.
Sec. 1622. Continuation of eligibility for certain civil service benefits for former Federal employees of Civilian Marksmanship Program.
Sec. 1623. Certification of completion of transition.
Sec. 1624. Repeal of authority for conduct of Civilian Marksmanship Program by the Army.

**DIVISION B—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS**

Sec. 2001. Short title.

**TITLE XXI—ARMY**

Sec. 2101. Authorized Army construction and land acquisition projects.
Sec. 2102. Family housing.
Sec. 2103. Improvements to military family housing units.
Sec. 2104. Authorization of appropriations, Army.

**TITLE XXII—NAVY**

Sec. 2201. Authorized Navy construction and land acquisition projects.
Sec. 2202. Family housing.
Sec. 2203. Improvements to military family housing units.
Sec. 2204. Authorization of appropriations, Navy.
Sec. 2205. Revision of fiscal year 1995 authorization of appropriations to clarify availability of funds for large anechoic chamber facility, Patuxent River Naval Warfare Center, Maryland.
Sec. 2206. Authority to carry out land acquisition project, Hampton Roads, Virginia.
Sec. 2207. Acquisition of land, Henderson Hall, Arlington, Virginia.
Sec. 2208. Acquisition or construction of military family housing in vicinity of San Diego, California.

**TITLE XXIII—AIR FORCE**

Sec. 2301. Authorized Air Force construction and land acquisition projects.
Sec. 2302. Family housing.
Sec. 2303. Improvements to military family housing units.
Sec. 2304. Authorization of appropriations, Air Force.
Sec. 2305. Retention of accrued interest on funds deposited for construction of family housing, Scott Air Force Base, Illinois.

**TITLE XXIV—DEFENSE AGENCIES**

Sec. 2401. Authorized Defense Agencies construction and land acquisition projects.
Sec. 2402. Military family housing private investment.
Sec. 2403. Improvements to military family housing units.
Sec. 2404. Energy conservation projects.
Sec. 2405. Authorization of appropriations, Defense Agencies.
Sec. 2406. Limitations on use of Department of Defense Base Closure Account 1990.
Sec. 2407. Modification of authority to carry out fiscal year 1995 projects.
Sec. 2408. Reduction in amounts authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 1994 contingency construction projects.

**TITLE XXV—NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION INFRASTRUCTURE**

Sec. 2501. Authorized NATO construction and land acquisition projects.
Sec. 2502. Authorization of appropriations, NATO.

**TITLE XXVI—GUARD AND RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES**

Sec. 2601. Authorized Guard and Reserve construction and land acquisition projects.
Sec. 2602. Reduction in amount authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 1994 Air National Guard Projects.
Sec. 2603. Correction in authorized uses of funds for Army National Guard projects in Mississippi.

**TITLE XXVII—EXPIRATION AND EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATIONS**

Sec. 2701. Expiration of authorizations and amounts required to be specified by law.
Sec. 2702. Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 1993 projects.
Sec. 2703. Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 1992 projects.

**TITLE XXVIII—GENERAL PROVISIONS**

**Subtitle A—Military Housing Privatization Initiative**

Sec. 2801. Alternative authority for construction and improvement of military housing.
Sec. 2802. Expansion of authority for limited partnerships for development of military family housing.

**Subtitle B—Other Military Construction Program and Military Family Housing Changes**

Sec. 2811. Special threshold for unspecified minor construction projects to correct life, health, or safety deficiencies.
Sec. 2812. Clarification of scope of unspecified minor construction authority.
Sec. 2813. Temporary authority to waive net floor area limitation for family housing acquired in lieu of construction.
Sec. 2814. Reestablishment of authority to waive net floor area limitation on acquisition by purchase of certain military family housing.
Sec. 2815. Temporary authority to waive limitations on space by pay grade for military family housing units.
Sec. 2816. Rental of family housing in foreign countries.
Sec. 2817. Clarification of scope of report requirement on cost increases under contracts for military family housing construction.
Sec. 2818. Authority to convey damaged or deteriorated military family housing.
Sec. 2819. Energy and water conservation savings for the Department of Defense.
Sec. 2820. Extension of authority to enter into leases of land for special operations activities.

Sec. 2821. Disposition of amounts recovered as a result of damage to real property.
Sec. 2822. Pilot program to provide interest rate buy down authority on loans for housing within housing shortage areas at military installations.

**Subtitle C—Defense Base Closure and Realignment**

Sec. 2831. Deposit of proceeds from leases of property located at installations being closed or realigned.
Sec. 2832. In-kind consideration for leases at installations to be closed or realigned.
Sec. 2833. Interim leases of property approved for closure or realignment.
Sec. 2834. Authority to lease property requiring environmental remediation at installations approved for closure or realignment.
Sec. 2835. Final funding for Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission.
Sec. 2836. Exercise of authority delegated by the Administrator of General Services.
Sec. 2837. Lease back of property disposed from installations approved for closure or realignment.
Sec. 2838. Improvement of base closure and realignment process regarding disposal of property.
Sec. 2839. Agreements for certain services at installations being closed.
Sec. 2840. Authority to transfer property at military installations to be closed to persons who construct or provide military family housing.
Sec. 2841. Use of single base closure authorities for disposal of property and facilities at Fort Holabird, Maryland.

**Subtitle D—Land Conveyances Generally**

PART I—ARMY CONVEYANCES

Sec. 2851. Transfer of jurisdiction, Fort Sam Houston, Texas.
Sec. 2852. Transfer of jurisdiction, Fort Bliss, Texas.
Sec. 2853. Transfer of jurisdiction and land conveyance, Fort Devens Military Reservation, Massachusetts.
Sec. 2854. Modification of land conveyance, Fort Belvoir, Virginia.
Sec. 2855. Land exchange, Fort Lewis, Washington.
Sec. 2856. Land exchange, Army Reserve Center, Gainesville, Georgia.
Sec. 2857. Land conveyance, Holston Army Ammunition Plant, Mount Carmel, Tennessee.
Sec. 2858. Land conveyance, Indiana Army Ammunition Plant, Charlestown, Indiana.
Sec. 2859. Land conveyance, Fort Ord, California.
Sec. 2860. Land conveyance, Parks Reserve Forces Training Area, Dublin, California.
Sec. 2861. Land conveyance, Army Reserve Center, Youngstown, Ohio.
Sec. 2862. Land conveyance, Army Reserve Property, Fort Sheridan, Illinois.
Sec. 2863. Land conveyance, property underlying Cummins Apartment Complex, Fort Holabird, Maryland.
Sec. 2864. Modification of existing land conveyance, Army property, Hamilton Air Force Base, California.

PART II—NAVY CONVEYANCES

Sec. 2865. Transfer of jurisdiction, Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant, Calverton, New York.
Sec. 2866. Modification of land conveyance, Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant, Calverton, New York.
Sec. 2867. Land conveyance alternative to existing lease authority, Naval Supply Center, Oakland, California.
Sec. 2868. Land conveyance, Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant, McGregor, Texas.
Sec. 2869. Land conveyance, Naval Surface Warfare Center, Memphis, Tennessee.
Sec. 2870. Land conveyance, Navy property, Fort Sheridan, Illinois.
Sec. 2871. Land conveyance, Naval Communications Station, Stockton, California.
Sec. 2872. Lease of property, Naval Air Station and Marine Corps Air Station, Miramar, California.

PART III—AIR FORCE CONVEYANCES

Sec. 2874. Land acquisition or exchange, Shaw Air Force Base, South Carolina.
Sec. 2875. Land conveyance, Elmendorf Air Force Base, Alaska.
Sec. 2876. Land conveyance, Radar Bomb Scoring Site, Forsyth, Montana.
Sec. 2877. Land conveyance, Radar Bomb Scoring Site, Powell, Wyoming.
Sec. 2878. Land conveyance, Avon Park Air Force Range, Florida.

**Subtitle E—Land Conveyances Involving Utilities**

Sec. 2881. Conveyance of resource recovery facility, Fort Dix, New Jersey.
Sec. 2882. Conveyance of water and wastewater treatment plants, Fort Gordon, Georgia.
Sec. 2883. Conveyance of electricity distribution system, Fort Irwin, California.
Sec. 2884. Conveyance of water treatment plant, Fort Pickett, Virginia.

**Subtitle F—Other Matters**

Sec. 2891. Authority to use funds for certain educational purposes.
Sec. 2892. Department of Defense Laboratory Revitalization Demonstration Program.
Sec. 2893. Authority for Port Authority of State of Mississippi to use Navy property at Naval Construction Battalion Center, Gulfport, Mississippi.
Sec. 2894. Prohibition on joint use of Naval Air Station and Marine Corps Air Station, Miramar, California.
Sec. 2895. Report regarding Army water craft support facilities and activities.
Sec. 2896. Residual value reports.
Sec. 2897. Sense of Congress and report regarding Fitzsimons Army Medical Center, Colorado.

**TITLE XXIX—LAND CONVEYANCES INVOLVING JOLIET ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT, ILLINOIS**

Sec. 2901. Short title.
Sec. 2902. Definitions.

**Subtitle A—Conversion of Joliet Army Ammunition Plant to Midewin National Tallgrass Prairie**

Sec. 2911. Principles of transfer.
Sec. 2912. Transfer of management responsibilities and jurisdiction over Arsenal.
Sec. 2913. Responsibility and liability.
Sec. 2914. Establishment and administration of Midewin National Tallgrass Prairie.
Sec. 2915. Special management requirements for Midewin National Tallgrass Prairie.
Sec. 2916. Special transfer rules for certain Arsenal parcels intended for MNP.

**Subtitle B—Other Land Conveyances Involving Joliet Army Ammunition Plant**

Sec. 2921. Conveyance of certain real property at Arsenal for a national cemetery.
Sec. 2922. Conveyance of certain real property at Arsenal for a county landfill.
Sec. 2923. Conveyance of certain real property at Arsenal for industrial parks.

**Subtitle C—Miscellaneous Provisions**

Sec. 2931. Degree of environmental cleanup.
Sec. 2932. Retention of property used for environmental cleanup.

**DIVISION C—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY AUTHORIZATIONS AND OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS**

**TITLE XXXI—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS**

**Subtitle A—National Security Programs Authorizations**

Sec. 3101. Weapons activities.
Sec. 3102. Environmental restoration and waste management.
Sec. 3103. Other defense activities.
Sec. 3104. Defense nuclear waste disposal.

**Subtitle B—Recurring General Provisions**

Sec. 3121. Reprogramming.
Sec. 3122. Limits on general plant projects.
Sec. 3123. Limits on construction projects.
Sec. 3124. Fund transfer authority.
Sec. 3125. Authority for conceptual and construction design.
Sec. 3126. Authority for emergency planning, design, and construction activities.
Sec. 3127. Funds available for all national security programs of the Department of Energy.
Sec. 3128. Availability of funds.

**Subtitle C—Program Authorizations, Restrictions, and Limitations**

Sec. 3131. Authority to conduct program relating to fissile materials.
Sec. 3132. National Ignition Facility.
Sec. 3133. Tritium production program.
Sec. 3134. Payment of penalties.
Sec. 3135. Fissile materials disposition.
Sec. 3136. Tritium recycling.
Sec. 3137. Manufacturing infrastructure for refabrication and certification of nuclear weapons stockpile.
Sec. 3138. Hydronuclear experiments.
Sec. 3139. Limitation on authority to conduct hydronuclear tests.
Sec. 3140. Fellowship program for development of skills critical to the Department of Energy nuclear weapons complex.
Sec. 3141. Limitation on use of funds for certain research and development purposes.
Sec. 3142. Processing and treatment of high-level nuclear waste and spent nuclear fuel rods.

Sec. 3143. Protection of workers at nuclear weapons facilities.
Sec. 3144. Department of Energy Declassification Productivity Initiative.

**Subtitle D—Other Matters**

Sec. 3151. Report on foreign tritium purchases.
Sec. 3152. Study on nuclear test readiness postures.
Sec. 3153. Master plan for the certification, stewardship, and management of warheads in the nuclear weapons stockpile.
Sec. 3154. Prohibition on international inspections of Department of Energy facilities unless protection of restricted data is certified.
Sec. 3155. Review of certain documents before declassification and release.
Sec. 3156. Accelerated schedule for environmental restoration and waste management activities.
Sec. 3157. Sense of Congress regarding certain environmental restoration requirements.
Sec. 3158. Responsibility for Defense Programs Emergency Response Program.
Sec. 3159. Requirements for Department of Energy weapons activities budgets for fiscal years after fiscal year 1996.
Sec. 3160. Report on hydronuclear testing.
Sec. 3161. Applicability of Atomic Energy Community Act of 1955 to Los Alamos, New Mexico.
Sec. 3162. Sense of Congress regarding shipments of spent nuclear fuel.

**TITLE XXXII—DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD**

Sec. 3201. Authorization.

**TITLE XXXIII—NATIONAL DEFENSE STOCKPILE**

**Subtitle A—Authorization of Disposals and Use of Funds**

Sec. 3301. Definitions.
Sec. 3302. Authorized uses of stockpile funds.
Sec. 3303. Disposal of chromite and manganese ores and chromium ferro and manganese metal electrolytic.
Sec. 3304. Restrictions on disposal of manganese ferro.
Sec. 3305. Titanium initiative to support battle tank upgrade program.

**Subtitle B—Programmatic Change**

Sec. 3311. Transfer of excess defense-related materials to stockpile for disposal.

**TITLE XXXIV—NAVAL PETROLEUM RESERVES**

**Subtitle A—Administration of Naval Petroleum Reserves**

Sec. 3401. Authorization of appropriations.
Sec. 3402. Price requirement on sale of certain petroleum during fiscal year 1996.
Sec. 3403. Extension of operating contract for Naval Petroleum Reserve Numbered 1.

**Subtitle B—Sale of Naval Petroleum Reserve**

Sec. 3411. Definitions.
Sec. 3412. Sale of Naval Petroleum Reserve Numbered 1.
Sec. 3413. Effect of sale of reserve.
Sec. 3414. Conditions on sale process.
Sec. 3415. Treatment of State of California claim regarding reserve.
Sec. 3416. Study of future of other naval petroleum reserves.

**TITLE XXXV—PANAMA CANAL COMMISSION**

**Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations**

Sec. 3501. Short title.
Sec. 3502. Authorization of expenditures.
Sec. 3503. Expenditures in accordance with other laws.

**Subtitle B—Reconstitution of Commission as Government Corporation**

Sec. 3521. Short title.
Sec. 3522. Reconstitution of Commission as Government corporation.
Sec. 3523. Supervisory Board.
Sec. 3524. General and specific powers of Commission.
Sec. 3525. Congressional review of budget.
Sec. 3526. Audits.
Sec. 3527. Prescription of measurement rules and rates of tolls.
Sec. 3528. Procedures for changes in rules of measurement and rates of tolls.
Sec. 3529. Miscellaneous technical amendments.
Sec. 3530. Conforming amendment to title 31, United States Code.

**DIVISION D—FEDERAL ACQUISITION REFORM**

Sec. 4001. Short title.

**TITLE XLI—COMPETITION**

Sec. 4101. Efficient competition.
Sec. 4102. Efficient approval procedures.
Sec. 4103. Efficient competitive range determinations.
Sec. 4104. Preaward debriefings.
Sec. 4105. Design-build selection procedures.

**TITLE XLII—COMMERCIAL ITEMS**

Sec. 4201. Commercial item exception to requirement for cost or pricing data.
Sec. 4202. Application of simplified procedures to certain commercial items.
Sec. 4203. Inapplicability of certain procurement laws to commercially available off-the-shelf items.
Sec. 4204. Amendment of commercial items definition.
Sec. 4205. Inapplicability of cost accounting standards to contracts and subcontracts for commercial items.

**TITLE XLIII—ADDITIONAL REFORM PROVISIONS**

**Subtitle A—Additional Acquisition Reform Provisions**

Sec. 4301. Elimination of certain certification requirements.
Sec. 4302. Authorities conditioned on FACNET capability.
Sec. 4303. International competitiveness.
Sec. 4304. Procurement integrity.
Sec. 4305. Further acquisition streamlining provisions.
Sec. 4306. Value engineering for Federal agencies.
Sec. 4307. Acquisition workforce.
Sec. 4308. Demonstration project relating to certain personnel management policies and procedures.
Sec. 4309. Cooperative purchasing.
Sec. 4310. Procurement notice technical amendments.
Sec. 4311. Micro-purchases without competitive quotations.

**Subtitle B—Technical Amendments**

Sec. 4321. Amendments related to Federal Acquisition Streamlining Act of 1994.
Sec. 4322. Miscellaneous amendments to Federal acquisition laws.

**TITLE XLIV—EFFECTIVE DATES AND IMPLEMENTATION**

Sec. 4401. Effective date and applicability.
Sec. 4402. Implementing regulations.

**DIVISION E—INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT REFORM**

Sec. 5001. Short title.
Sec. 5002. Definitions.

**TITLE LI—RESPONSIBILITY FOR ACQUISITIONS OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY**

**Subtitle A—General Authority**

Sec. 5101. Repeal of central authority of the Administrator of General Services.

**Subtitle B—Director of the Office of Management and Budget**

Sec. 5111. Responsibility of Director.
Sec. 5112. Capital planning and investment control.
Sec. 5113. Performance-based and results-based management.

**Subtitle C—Executive Agencies**

Sec. 5121. Responsibilities.
Sec. 5122. Capital planning and investment control.
Sec. 5123. Performance and results-based management.
Sec. 5124. Acquisitions of information technology.
Sec. 5125. Agency Chief Information Officer.
Sec. 5126. Accountability.
Sec. 5127. Significant deviations.
Sec. 5128. Interagency support.

**Subtitle D—Other Responsibilities**

Sec. 5131. Responsibilities regarding efficiency, security, and privacy of Federal computer systems.
Sec. 5132. Sense of Congress.

**Subtitle E—National Security Systems**

Sec. 5141. Applicability to national security systems.
Sec. 5142. National security system defined.

**TITLE LII—PROCESS FOR ACQUISITIONS OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY**

Sec. 5201. Procurement procedures.
Sec. 5202. Incremental acquisition of information technology.

**TITLE LIII—INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY ACQUISITION PILOT PROGRAMS**

**Subtitle A—Conduct of Pilot Programs**

Sec. 5301. Authority to conduct pilot programs.
Sec. 5302. Evaluation criteria and plans.
Sec. 5303. Report.
Sec. 5304. Recommended legislation.
Sec. 5305. Rule of construction.

**Subtitle B—Specific Pilot Programs**

Sec. 5311. Share-in-savings pilot program.
Sec. 5312. Solutions-based contracting pilot program.

**TITLE LIV—ADDITIONAL INFORMATION RESOURCES MANAGEMENT MATTERS**

Sec. 5401. On-line multiple award schedule contracting.
Sec. 5402. Identification of excess and surplus computer equipment.
Sec. 5403. Access of certain information in information systems to the directory established under section 4101 of title 44, United States Code.

**TITLE LV—PROCUREMENT PROTEST AUTHORITY OF THE COMPTROLLER GENERAL**

Sec. 5501. Period for processing protests.
Sec. 5502. Availability of funds following GAO resolution of challenge to contracting action.

**TITLE LVI—CONFORMING AND CLERICAL AMENDMENTS**

Sec. 5601. Amendments to title 10, United States Code.

Sec. 5602. Amendments to title 28, United States Code.
Sec. 5603. Amendment to title 31, United States Code.
Sec. 5604. Amendments to title 38, United States Code.
Sec. 5605. Provisions of title 44, United States Code, relating to paperwork reduction.
Sec. 5606. Amendment to title 49, United States Code.
Sec. 5607. Other laws.
Sec. 5608. Clerical amendments.

TITLE LVII—EFFECTIVE DATE, SAVINGS PROVISIONS, AND RULES OF CONSTRUCTION

Sec. 5701. Effective date.
Sec. 5702. Savings provisions.
Sec. 5703. Rules of construction.

**SEC. 3. CONGRESSIONAL DEFENSE COMMITTEES DEFINED.**

For purposes of this Act, the term "congressional defense committees" means—

(1) the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate; and

(2) the Committee on National Security and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives.

**SEC. 4. EXTENSION OF TIME FOR SUBMISSION OF REPORTS.**

In the case of any provision of this Act, or any amendment made by a provision of this Act, requiring the submission of a report to Congress (or any committee of Congress), that report shall be submitted not later than the later of—

(1) the date established for submittal of the report in such provision or amendment; or

(2) the date that is 45 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

## DIVISION A—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS

## TITLE I—PROCUREMENT

### Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations

**SEC. 101. ARMY.**

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 1996 for procurement for the Army as follows:

(1) For aircraft, $1,558,805,000.

(2) For missiles, $865,555,000.

(3) For weapons and tracked combat vehicles, $1,652,745,000.

(4) For ammunition, $1,093,991,000.

(5) For other procurement, $2,763,443,000.

**SEC. 102. NAVY AND MARINE CORPS.**

(a) NAVY.—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 1996 for procurement for the Navy as follows:

(1) For aircraft, $4,572,394,000.

(2) For weapons, including missiles and torpedoes, $1,659,827,000.

(3) For shipbuilding and conversion, $6,643,958,000.

(4) For other procurement, $2,414,771,000.

(b) MARINE CORPS.—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 1996 for procurement for the Marine Corps in the amount of $458,947,000.

(c) NAVY AND MARINE CORPS AMMUNITION.—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for procurement of ammunition for the Navy and the Marine Corps in the amount of $430,053,000.

**SEC. 103. AIR FORCE.**

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 1996 for procurement for the Air Force as follows:

(1) For aircraft, $7,349,783,000.

(2) For missiles, $2,938,883,000.

(3) For ammunition, $343,848,000.

(4) For other procurement, $6,268,430,000.

**SEC. 104. DEFENSE-WIDE ACTIVITIES.**

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 1996 for Defense-wide procurement in the amount of $2,124,379,000.

**SEC. 105. RESERVE COMPONENTS.**

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 1996 for procurement of aircraft, vehicles, communications equipment, and other equipment for the reserve components of the Armed Forces as follows:

(1) For the Army National Guard, $160,000,000.

(2) For the Air National Guard, $255,000,000.

(3) For the Army Reserve, $85,700,000.

(4) For the Naval Reserve, $67,000,000.

(5) For the Air Force Reserve, $135,600,000.

(6) For the Marine Corps Reserve, $73,700,000.

**SEC. 106. DEFENSE INSPECTOR GENERAL.**

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 1996 for procurement for the Inspector General of the Department of Defense in the amount of $1,000,000.

**SEC. 107. CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION PROGRAM.**

There is hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 1996 the amount of $672,250,000 for—

(1) the destruction of lethal chemical agents and munitions in accordance with section 1412 of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1986 (50 U.S.C. 1521); and

(2) the destruction of chemical warfare materiel of the United States that is not covered by section 1412 of such Act.

**SEC. 108. DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAMS.**

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 1996 for the Department of Defense for procurement for carrying out health care programs, projects, and activities of the Department of Defense in the total amount of $288,033,000.

### Subtitle B—Army Programs

**SEC. 111. PROCUREMENT OF OH-58D ARMED KIOWA WARRIOR HELICOPTERS.**

The prohibition in section 133(a)(2) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 1990 and 1991 (Public Law 101-189; 103 Stat. 1383) does not apply to the obligation of funds in amounts not to exceed $140,000,000 for the procurement of not more than 20 OH-58D Armed Kiowa Warrior aircraft from funds appropriated for fiscal year 1996 pursuant to section 101.

**SEC. 112. REPEAL OF REQUIREMENTS FOR ARMORED VEHICLE UPGRADES.**

Subsection (j) of section 21 of the Arms Export Control Act (22 U.S.C. 2761) is repealed.

**SEC. 113. MULTIYEAR PROCUREMENT OF HELICOPTERS.**

The Secretary of the Army may, in accordance with section 2306b of title 10, United States Code, enter into multiyear procurement contracts for procurement of the following:

(1) AH-64D Longbow Apache attack helicopters.

(2) UH-60 Black Hawk utility helicopters.

**SEC. 114. REPORT ON AH-64D ENGINE UPGRADES.**

No later than February 1, 1996, the Secretary of the Army shall submit to Congress a report on plans to procure T700-701C engine upgrade kits for Army AH-64D helicopters. The report shall include—

(1) a plan to provide for the upgrade of all Army AH-64D helicopters with T700-701C engine kits commencing in fiscal year 1996; and

(2) a detailed timeline and statement of funding requirements for the engine upgrade program described in paragraph (1).

**SEC. 115. REQUIREMENT FOR USE OF PREVIOUSLY AUTHORIZED MULTIYEAR PROCUREMENT AUTHORITY FOR ARMY SMALL ARMS PROCUREMENT.**

(a) REQUIREMENT.—The Secretary of the Army (subject to the provision of authority in an appropriations Act) shall enter into a multiyear procurement contract during fiscal year 1997 in accordance with section 115(b)(2) of the National Defense Authorization for Fiscal Year 1995 (Public Law 103-337; 108 Stat. 2681).

(b) TECHNICAL AMENDMENT.—Section 115(b)(1) of the National Defense Authorization for Fiscal Year 1995 (Public Law 103-337; 108 Stat. 2681) is amended by striking out "2306(h)" and inserting in lieu thereof "2306b".

### Subtitle C—Navy Programs

**SEC. 131. NUCLEAR ATTACK SUBMARINES.**

(a) AMOUNTS AUTHORIZED.—(1) Of the amount authorized by section 102 to be appropriated for Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy, for fiscal year 1996—

(A) $700,000,000 is available for construction of the third vessel (designated SSN-23) in the Seawolf attack submarine class, which shall be the final vessel in that class; and

(B) $804,498,000 is available for long-lead and advance construction and procurement of components for construction of the fiscal year 1998 and fiscal year 1999 submarines (previously designated by the Navy as the New Attack Submarine), of which—

(i) $704,498,000 shall be available for long-lead and advance construction and procurement for the fiscal year 1998 submarine, which shall be built by Electric Boat Division; and

(ii) $100,000,000 shall be available for long-lead and advance construction and procurement for the fiscal year 1999 submarine, which shall be built by Newport News Shipbuilding.

(2) Of the amount authorized by section 201(2), $10,000,000 shall be available only for participation of Newport News Shipbuilding in the design of the submarine previously designated by the Navy as the New Attack Submarine.

(b) COMPETITION, REPORT, AND BUDGET REVISION LIMITATIONS.—(1) Of the amounts specified in subsection (a)(1), not more than $200,000,000 may be obligated or expended until the Secretary of the Navy certifies in writing to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives that procurement of nuclear attack submarines to be constructed beginning—

(A) after fiscal year 1999, or

(B) if four submarines are procured as provided for in the plan described in subsection (c), after fiscal year 2001,

will be under one or more contracts that are entered into after competition between potential competitors (as defined in subsection (k)) in which the Secretary solicits competitive proposals and awards the contract or contracts on the basis of price.

(2) Of the amounts specified in subsection (a)(1), not more than $1,000,000,000 may be obligated or expended until the Secretary of Defense, not later than March 15, 1996, accomplishes each of the following:

(A) Submits to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives in accordance with subsection (c) the plan required by that subsection for a program to produce a more capable, less expensive nuclear attack submarine than the submarine design previously designated by the Navy as the New Attack Submarine.

(B) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, or the funding level in the President's budget for each year after fiscal year 1996, the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) shall incorporate the costs of the plan required by subsection (c) in the Future Years Defense Program (FYDP) even if the total cost of that Program exceeds the President's budget.

(C) Directs that the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Technology conduct oversight over the development and improvement of the nuclear attack submarine program of the Navy. Officials of the Department of the Navy exercising management oversight of the program shall report to the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Technology with respect to that program.

(c) PLAN FOR FISCAL YEAR 1998, 1999, 2000, AND 2001 SUBMARINES.—(1) The Secretary of Defense shall, not later than March 15, 1996, develop (and submit to the committees specified in subsection (b)(2)(A)) a detailed plan for development of a program that will lead to production of a more capable, less expensive submarine than the submarine previously designated as the New Attack Submarine.

(2) As part of such plan, the Secretary shall provide for a program for the design, development, and procurement of four nuclear attack submarines to be procured during fiscal years 1998 through 2001, the purpose of which shall be to develop and demonstrate new technologies that will result in each successive submarine of those four being a more capable and more affordable submarine than the submarine that preceded it. The program shall be structured so that—

(A) one of the four submarines is to be constructed with funds appropriated for each fiscal year from fiscal year 1998 through fiscal year 2001;

(B) in order to ensure flexibility for innovation, the fiscal year 1998 and the fiscal year 2000 submarines are to be constructed by the Electric Boat Division and the fiscal year 1999 and the fiscal year 2001 submarines are to be constructed by Newport News Shipbuilding;

(C) the design designated by the Navy for the submarine previously designated as the New Attack Submarine will be used as the base design by both contractors;

(D) each contractor shall be called upon to propose improvements, including design improvements, for each successive submarine as new and better technology is demonstrated and matures so that—

(i) each successive submarine is more capable and more affordable; and

(ii) the design for a future class of nuclear attack submarines will incorporate the latest, best, and most affordable technology; and

(E) the fifth and subsequent nuclear attack submarines to be built after the SSN-23 submarine shall be procured as required by subsection (b)(1).

(3) The plan under paragraph (1) shall—

(A) set forth a program to accomplish the design, development, and construction of the four submarines taking maximum advantage of a streamlined acquisition process, as provided under subsection (d);

(B) culminate in selection of a design for a next submarine for serial production not earlier than fiscal year 2003, with such submarine to be procured as required by subsection (b)(1);

(C) identify advanced technologies that are in various phases of research and development, as well as those that are commercially available off-the-shelf, that are candidates to be incorporated into the plan to design, develop, and procure the submarines;

(D) designate the fifth submarine to be procured as the lead ship in the next generation submarine class, unless the Secretary of the Navy, in consultation with the special submarine review panel described in subsection (f), determines that more submarines should be built before the design of the new class of submarines is fixed, in which case each such additional submarine shall be procured in the same manner as is required by subsection (b)(1); and

(E) identify the impact of the submarine program described in paragraph (1) on the remainder of the appropriation account known as "Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy", as such impact relates to—

(i) force structure levels required by the October 1993 Department of Defense report entitled "Report on the Bottom-Up Review";

(ii) force structure levels required by the 1995 report on the Surface Ship Combatant Study that was carried out for the Department of Defense; and

(iii) the funding requirements for submarine construction, as a percentage of the total ship construction account, for each fiscal year throughout the FYDP.

(4) As part of such plan, the Secretary shall provide—

(A) cost estimates and schedules for developing new technologies that may be used to make submarines more capable and more affordable; and

(B) an analysis of significant risks associated with fielding the new technologies on the schedule proposed by the Secretary and significant increased risks that are likely to be incurred by accelerating that schedule.

(d) STREAMLINED ACQUISITION PROCESS.—The Secretary of Defense shall prescribe and use streamlined acquisition policies and procedures to reduce the cost and increase the efficiency of the submarine program under this section.

(e) ANNUAL REVISIONS TO PLAN.—The Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives an annual update to the plan required to be submitted under subsection (b). Each such update shall be submitted concurrent with the President's budget submission to Congress for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2002.

(f) SPECIAL SUBMARINE REVIEW PANEL.—(1) The plan under subsection (c) and each annual update under subsection (e) shall be reviewed by a special bipartisan congressional panel working with the Navy. The panel shall consist of three members of the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate, who shall be designated by the chairman of that committee, and three members of the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives, who shall be designated by the chairman of that committee. The members of the panel shall be briefed by the Secretary of the Navy on the status of the submarine modernization program and the status of submarine-related research and development under this section.

(2) Not later than May 1 of each year, the panel shall report to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives on the panel's findings and recommendations regarding the progress of the Secretary in procuring a more capable, less expensive submarine. The panel may recommend any funding adjustments it believes appropriate to achieve this objective.

(g) LINKAGE OF FISCAL YEAR 1998 AND 1999 SUBMARINES.—Funds referred to in subsection (a)(1)(B) that are available for the fiscal year 1998 and fiscal year 1999 submarines under this section may not be expended during fiscal year 1996 for the fiscal year 1998 submarine (other than for design) unless funds are obligated or expended during such fiscal year for a contract in support of procurement of the fiscal year 1999 submarine.

(h) CONTRACTS AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary of the Navy is authorized, using funds available pursuant to paragraph (1)(B) of subsection (a), to enter into contracts with Electric Boat Division and Newport News Shipbuilding, and suppliers of components, during fiscal year 1996 for—

(1) the procurement of long-lead components for the fiscal year 1998 submarine and the fiscal year 1999 submarine under this section; and

(2) advance construction of such components and other components for such submarines.

(i) ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY DEVELOPMENT OF ADVANCED TECHNOLOGIES.—(1) Of the amount provided in section 201(4) for the Advanced Research Projects Agency, $100,000,000 is available only for development and demonstration of advanced technologies for incorporation into the submarines constructed as part of the plan developed under subsection (c). Such advanced technologies shall include the following:

(A) Electric drive.

(B) Hydrodynamic quieting.

(C) Ship control automation.

(D) Solid-state power electronics.

(E) Wake reduction technologies.

(F) Superconductor technologies.

(G) Torpedo defense technologies.

(H) Advanced control concept.

(I) Fuel cell technologies.

(J) Propulsors.

(2) The Director of the Advanced Research Projects Agency shall implement a rapid prototype acquisition strategy for both land-based and at-sea subsystem and system demonstrations of advanced technologies under paragraph (1). Such acquisition strategy shall be developed and implemented in concert with Electric Boat Division and Newport News Shipbuilding and the Navy.

(j) REFERENCES TO CONTRACTORS.—For purposes of this section—

(1) the contractor referred to as "Electric Boat Division" is the Electric Boat Division of the General Dynamics Corporation; and

(2) the contractor referred to as "Newport News Shipbuilding" is the Newport News Shipbuilding and Drydock Company.

(k) POTENTIAL COMPETITOR DEFINED.—For purposes of this section, the term "potential competitor" means any source to which the Secretary of the Navy has awarded, within 10 years before the date of the enactment of this Act, a contract or contracts to construct one or more nuclear attack submarines.

**SEC. 132. RESEARCH FOR ADVANCED SUBMARINE TECHNOLOGY.**

Of the amount appropriated for fiscal year 1996 for the National Defense Sealift Fund, $50,000,000 shall be available only for the Director of the Advanced Research Projects Agency for advanced submarine technology activities.

**SEC. 133. COST LIMITATION FOR SEAWOLF SUBMARINE PROGRAM.**

(a) LIMITATION OF COSTS.—Except as provided in subsection (b), the total amount obligated or expended for procurement of the SSN-21, SSN-22, and SSN-23 Seawolf class submarines may not exceed $7,223,659,000.

(b) AUTOMATIC INCREASE OF LIMITATION AMOUNT.—The amount of the limitation set forth in subsection (a) is increased by the following amounts:

(1) The amounts of outfitting costs and post-delivery costs incurred for the submarines referred to in such subsection.

(2) The amounts of increases in costs attributable to economic inflation after September 30, 1995.

(3) The amounts of increases in costs attributable to compliance with changes in Federal, State, or local laws enacted after September 30, 1995.

(c) REPEAL OF SUPERSEDED PROVISION.—Section 122 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (Public Law 103-337; 108 Stat. 2682) is repealed.

**SEC. 134. REPEAL OF PROHIBITION ON BACKFIT OF TRIDENT SUBMARINES.**

Section 124 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (Public Law 103-337; 108 Stat. 2683) is repealed.

**SEC. 135. ARLEIGH BURKE CLASS DESTROYER PROGRAM.**

(a) AUTHORIZATION FOR PROCUREMENT OF SIX VESSELS.—The Secretary of the Navy is authorized to construct six Arleigh Burke class destroyers in accordance with this section. Within the amount authorized to be appropriated pursuant to section 102(a)(3), $2,169,257,000 is authorized to be appropriated for construction (including advance procurement) for the Arleigh Burke class destroyers.

(b) CONTRACTS.—(1) The Secretary is authorized to enter into contracts in fiscal year 1996 for the construction of three Arleigh Burke class destroyers.

(2) The Secretary is authorized, in fiscal year 1997, to enter into contracts for the construction of the other three Arleigh Burke class destroyers covered by subsection (a), subject to the availability of appropriations for such destroyers.

(3) In awarding contracts for the six vessels covered by subsection (a), the Secretary shall continue the contract award pattern and sequence used by the Secretary for the procurement of Arleigh Burke class destroyers during fiscal years 1994 and 1995.

(4) A contract for construction of a vessel or vessels that is entered into in accordance with paragraph (1) shall include a clause that limits the liability of the Government to the contractor for any termination of the contract. The maximum liability of the Government under the clause shall be the amount appropriated for the vessel or vessels.

(c) USE OF AVAILABLE FUNDS.—(1) Subject to paragraph (2), the Secretary may take appropriate actions to use for full funding of a contract entered into in accordance with subsection (b)—

(A) any funds that, having been appropriated for shipbuilding and conversion programs of the Navy other than Arleigh Burke class destroyer programs pursuant to the authorization in section 102(a)(3), become excess to the needs of the Navy for such programs by reason of cost savings achieved for such programs;

(B) any unobligated funds that are available to the Secretary for shipbuilding and conversion for any fiscal year before fiscal year 1996; and

(C) any funds that are appropriated after the date of the enactment of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 1996, to complete the full funding of the contract.

(2) The Secretary may not, in the exercise of authority provided in subparagraph (A) or (B) of paragraph (1), obligate funds for a contract entered into in accordance with subsection (b) until 30 days after the date on which the Secretary submits to the congressional defense committees in writing a notification of the intent to obligate the funds. The notification shall set forth the source or sources of the funds and the amount of the funds from each such source that is to be so obligated.

**SEC. 136. ACQUISITION PROGRAM FOR CRASH ATTENUATING SEATS.**

(a) PROGRAM AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary of the Navy shall establish a program to procure for, and install in, H-53E military transport helicopters commercially developed, energy absorbing, crash attenuating seats that the Secretary determines are consistent with military specifications for seats for such helicopters.

(b) FUNDING.—To the extent provided in appropriations Acts, of the unobligated balance of amounts appropriated for the Legacy Resource Management Program pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 301(5) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (Public Law 103-337; 108 Stat. 2706), not more than $10,000,000 shall be available to the Secretary of the Navy, by transfer to the appropriate accounts, for carrying out the program authorized in subsection (a).

**SEC. 137. T-39N TRAINER AIRCRAFT.**

(a) LIMITATION.—The Secretary of the Navy may not enter into a contract, using funds appropriated for fiscal year 1996 for procurement of aircraft for the Navy, for the acquisition of the aircraft described in subsection (b) until 60 days after the date on which the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Technology submits to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives—

(1) an analysis of the proposed acquisition of such aircraft; and

(2) a certification that the proposed acquisition during fiscal year 1996 (A) is in the best interest of the Government, and (B) is the most cost effective means of meeting the requirements of the Navy for aircraft for use in the training of naval flight officers.

(b) COVERED AIRCRAFT.—Subsection (a) applies to certain T-39 trainer aircraft that as of November 1, 1995 (1) are used by the Navy under a lease arrangement for the training of naval flight officers, and (2) are offered for sale to the Government.

**SEC. 138. PIONEER UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE PROGRAM.**

Not more than one-sixth of the amount appropriated pursuant to this Act for the activities and operations of the Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Joint Program Office (UAV-JPO), and none of the unobligated balances of funds appropriated for fiscal years before fiscal year 1996 for the activities and operations of such office, may be obligated until the Secretary of the Navy certifies to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives that funds have been obligated to equip nine Pioneer Unmanned Aerial Vehicle systems with the Common Automatic Landing and Recovery System (CARS).

**Subtitle D—Air Force Programs**

**SEC. 141. B-2 AIRCRAFT PROGRAM.**

(a) REPEAL OF LIMITATIONS.—The following provisions of law are repealed:

(1) Section 151(c) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993 (Public Law 102-484; 106 Stat. 2339).

(2) Sections 131(c) and 131(d) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1994 (Public Law 103-160; 107 Stat. 1569).

(3) Section 133(e) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (Public Law 103-337; 108 Stat. 2688).

(b) CONVERSION OF LIMITATION TO ANNUAL REPORT REQUIREMENT.—Section 112 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 1990 and 1991 (Public Law 101-189; 103 Stat. 1373) is amended—

(1) by striking out subsection (a);

(2) by striking out the matter in subsection (b) preceding paragraph (1) and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

"(a) ANNUAL REPORTING REQUIREMENT.—Not later than March 1 of each year, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives a report that sets forth the finding of the Secretary (as of January 1 of such year) on each of the following matters:";

(3) by striking out "That" in paragraphs (1), (2), (3), (4), and (5) and inserting in lieu thereof "Whether";

(4) in paragraph (1), by striking out "latest" and all that follows through "100-180" and inserting in lieu thereof "Requirements Correlation Matrix found in the user-defined Operational Requirements Document (as contained in Attachment B to a letter from the Secretary of Defense to Congress dated October 14, 1993)";

(5) in paragraph (3), by striking out "congressional defense";

(6) in paragraph (4), by striking out "such certification to be submitted";

(7) by adding at the end the following:

"(b) FIRST REPORT.—The Secretary shall submit the first annual report under subsection (a) not later than March 1, 1996."; and

(8) by amending the section heading to read as follows:

**"SEC. 112. ANNUAL REPORT ON B-2 BOMBER AIRCRAFT PROGRAM.".**

(c) REPEAL OF CONDITION ON OBLIGATION OF FUNDS IN ENHANCED BOMBER CAPABILITY FUND.—Section 133(d)(3) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (Public Law 103-337; 108 Stat. 2688) is amended by striking out "If," and all that follows through "bombers, the Secretary" and inserting in lieu thereof "The Secretary".

**SEC. 142. PROCUREMENT OF B-2 BOMBERS.**

Of the amount authorized to be appropriated by section 103 for the B-2 bomber procurement program, not more than $279,921,000 may be obligated or expended before March 31, 1996.

**SEC. 143. MC-130H AIRCRAFT PROGRAM.**

The limitation on the obligation of funds for payment of an award fee and the procurement of contractor-furnished equipment for the MC-130H Combat Talon aircraft set forth in section 161(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 1990 and 1991 (Public Law 101-189; 103 Stat. 1388) shall cease to apply upon determination by the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation (and submission of a certification of that determination to the congressional defense committees) that, based on the operational test and evaluation and the analysis conducted on that aircraft to the date of that determination, such aircraft is operationally effective and meets the needs of its intended users.

**Subtitle E—Chemical Demilitarization Program**

**SEC. 151. REPEAL OF REQUIREMENT TO PROCEED EXPEDITIOUSLY WITH DEVELOPMENT OF CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION CRYOFRACTURE FACILITY AT TOOELE ARMY DEPOT, UTAH.**

Subsection (a) of section 173 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 1990 and 1991 (Public Law 101-189; 103 Stat. 1393) is repealed.

**SEC. 152. DESTRUCTION OF EXISTING STOCKPILE OF LETHAL CHEMICAL AGENTS AND MUNITIONS.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense shall proceed with the program for destruction of the chemical munitions stockpile of the Department of Defense while maintaining the maximum protection of the environment, the general public, and the personnel involved in the actual destruction of the munitions. In carrying out such program, the Secretary shall use technologies and procedures that will minimize the risk to the public at each site.

(b) INITIATION OF DEMILITARIZATION OPERATIONS.—The Secretary of Defense may not initiate destruction of the chemical munitions stockpile stored at a site until the following support measures are in place:

(1) Support measures that are required by Department of Defense and Army chemical surety and security program regulations.

(2) Support measures that are required by the general and site chemical munitions demilitarization plans specific to that installation.

(3) Support measures that are required by the permits required by the Solid Waste Disposal Act (42 U.S.C. 6901 et seq.) and the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.) for chemical munitions demilitarization operations at that installation, as approved by the appropriate State regulatory agencies.

(c) ASSESSMENT OF ALTERNATIVES.—(1) The Secretary of Defense shall conduct an assessment of the current chemical demilitarization program and of measures that could be taken to reduce significantly the total cost of the program, while ensuring maximum protection of the general public, the personnel involved in the demilitarization program, and the environment. The measures considered shall be limited to those that would minimize the risk to the public. The assessment shall be conducted without regard to any limitation that would otherwise apply to the conduct of such an assessment under any provision of law.

(2) The assessment shall be conducted in coordination with the National Research Council.

(3) Based on the results of the assessment, the Secretary shall develop appropriate recommendations for revision of the chemical demilitarization program.

(4) Not later than March 1, 1996, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees an interim report assessing the current status of the chemical stockpile demilitarization program, including the results of the Army's analysis of the physical and chemical integrity of the stockpile and implications for the chemical demilitarization program, and providing recommendations for revisions to that program that have been included in the budget request of the Department of Defense for fiscal year 1997. The Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees with the submission of the budget request of the Department of Defense for fiscal year 1998 a final report on the assessment conducted in accordance with paragraph (1) and recommendations for revision to the program, including an assessment of alternative demilitarization technologies and processes to the baseline incineration process and potential reconfiguration of the stockpile that should be incorporated in the program.

(d) ASSISTANCE FOR CHEMICAL WEAPONS STOCKPILE COMMUNITIES AFFECTED BY BASE CLOSURE.—(1) The Secretary of Defense shall review and evaluate issues associated with closure and reutilization of Department of Defense facilities co-located with continuing chemical stockpile and chemical demilitarization operations.

(2) The review shall include the following:

(A) An analysis of the economic impacts on these communities and the unique reuse problems facing local communities associated with ongoing chemical weapons programs.

(B) Recommendations of the Secretary on methods for expeditious and cost-effective transfer or lease of these facilities to local communities for reuse by those communities.

(3) The Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the review and evaluation under this subsection. The report shall be submitted not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

**SEC. 153. ADMINISTRATION OF CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION PROGRAM.**

(a) TRAVEL FUNDING FOR MEMBERS OF CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION CITIZENS' ADVISORY COMMISSIONS.—Section 172(g) of Public Law 102-484 (50 U.S.C. 1521 note) is amended to read as follows:

"(g) PAY AND EXPENSES.—Members of each commission shall receive no pay for their involvement in the activities of their commissions. Funds appropriated for the Chemical Stockpile Demilitarization Program may be used for travel and associated travel costs for Citizens' Advisory Commissioners, when such travel is conducted at the invitation of the Assistant Secretary of the Army (Research, Development, and Acquisition).".

(b) QUARTERLY REPORT CONCERNING TRAVEL FUNDING FOR CITIZENS' ADVISORY COMMISSIONERS.—Section 1412(g) of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1986 (50 U.S.C. 1521(g)), is amended—

(1) by striking out "(g) ANNUAL REPORT.—" and inserting in lieu thereof "(g) PERIODIC REPORTS.—";

(2) in paragraph (2)—

(A) by striking out "Each such report shall con- tain—" and inserting in lieu thereof "Each annual report shall contain—"

(B) in subparagraph (B)—

(i) by striking out "and" at the end of clause (iv);

(ii) by striking out the period at the end of clause (v) and inserting in lieu thereof "; and"; and

(iii) by adding at the end the following:

"(vi) travel and associated travel costs for Citizens' Advisory Commissioners under section 172(g) of Public Law 102-484 (50 U.S.C. 1521 note).";

(3) by redesignating paragraph (3) as paragraph (4);

(4) by inserting after paragraph (2) the following new paragraph (3):

"(3) The Secretary shall transmit to the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate and the Committee on National Security and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives a quarterly report containing an accounting of all funds expended (during the quarter covered by the report) for travel and associated travel costs for Citizens' Advisory Commissioners under section 172(g) of Public Law 102-484 (50 U.S.C. 1521 note). The quarterly report for the final quarter of the period covered by a report under paragraph (1) may be included in that report."; and

(5) in paragraph (4), as redesignated by paragraph (3)—

(A) by striking out "this subsection" and inserting in lieu thereof "paragraph (1)"; and

(B) by adding at the end the following: "No quarterly report is required under paragraph (3) after the transmittal of the final report under paragraph (1).".

(c) DIRECTOR OF PROGRAM.—Section 1412(e)(3) of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1986 (50 U.S.C. 1521(e)(3)), is amended by inserting "or civilian equivalent" after "general officer".

**TITLE II—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION**

**Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations**

**SEC. 201. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 1996 for the use of the Department of Defense for research, development, test, and evaluation as follows:

(1) For the Army, $4,737,581,000.

(2) For the Navy, $8,474,783,000.

(3) For the Air Force, $12,914,868,000.

(4) For Defense-wide activities, $9,693,180,000, of which—

(A) $251,082,000 is authorized for the activities of the Director, Test and Evaluation; and

(B) $22,587,000 is authorized for the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation.

**SEC. 202. AMOUNT FOR BASIC RESEARCH AND EXPLORATORY DEVELOPMENT.**

(a) FISCAL YEAR 1996.—Of the amounts authorized to be appropriated by section 201, $4,088,879,000 shall be available for basic research and exploratory development projects.

(b) BASIC RESEARCH AND EXPLORATORY DEVELOPMENT DEFINED.—For purposes of this section, the term "basic research and exploratory development" means work funded in program elements for defense research and development under Department of Defense category 6.1 or 6.2.

**SEC. 203. MODIFICATIONS TO STRATEGIC ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM.**

(a) COUNCIL MEMBERSHIP.—Section 2902(b) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking out "thirteen" and inserting in lieu thereof "12";

(2) by striking out paragraph (3);

(3) by redesignating paragraphs (4), (5), (6), (7), (8), (9), and (10) as paragraphs (3), (4), (5), (6), (7), (8), and (9), respectively; and

(4) in paragraph (8), as redesignated, by striking out ", who shall be nonvoting members".

(b) ANNUAL REPORT.—(1) Section 2902 of such title is amended in subsection (d)—

(A) by striking out paragraph (3) and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

"(3) To prepare an annual report that contains the following:

"(A) A description of activities of the strategic environmental research and development program carried out during the fiscal year before the fiscal year in which the report is prepared.

"(B) A general outline of the activities planned for the program during the fiscal year in which the report is prepared.

"(C) A summary of projects continued from the fiscal year before the fiscal year in which the report is prepared and projects expected to be started during the fiscal year in which the report is prepared and during the following fiscal year."; and

(B) in paragraph (4), by striking out "Federal Coordinating Council on Science, Engineering, and Technology" and inserting in lieu thereof "National Science and Technology Council".

(2) Section 2902 of such title is further amended—

(A) by striking out subsections (f) and (h);

(B) by redesignating subsection (g) as subsection (f); and

(C) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(g)(1) Not later than February 1 of each year, the Council shall submit to the Secretary of Defense the annual report prepared pursuant to subsection (d)(3).

"(2) Not later than March 15 of each year, the Secretary of Defense shall submit such annual report to Congress, along with such comments as the Secretary considers appropriate.".

(3) The amendments made by this subsection shall apply with respect to the annual report prepared during fiscal year 1997 and each fiscal year thereafter.

(c) POLICIES AND PROCEDURES.—Section 2902(e) of such title is amended in paragraph (3) by striking out "programs, particularly" and all that follows through the end of the paragraph and inserting in lieu thereof "programs;".

(d) COMPETITIVE PROCEDURES.—Section 2903(c) of such title is amended—

(1) by striking out "or" after "contracts" and inserting in lieu thereof "using competitive procedures. The Executive Director may enter into"; and

(2) by striking out "law, except that" and inserting in lieu thereof "law. In either case,".

(e) CONTINUATION OF EXPIRING AUTHORITY.—(1) Section 2903(d) of such title is amended in paragraph (2) by striking out the last sentence.

(2) The amendment made by paragraph (1) shall take effect as of September 29, 1995.

**SEC. 204. DEFENSE DUAL USE TECHNOLOGY INITIATIVE.**

(a) FISCAL YEAR 1996 AMOUNT.—Of the amount authorized to be appropriated in section 201(4), $195,000,000 shall be available for the defense dual use technology initiative conducted under chapter 148 of title 10, United States Code.

(b) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR EXISTING TECHNOLOGY REINVESTMENT PROJECTS.—The Secretary of Defense shall use amounts made available for the defense dual use technology initiative under subsection (a) only for the purpose of continuing or completing technology reinvestment projects that were initiated before October 1, 1995.

(c) NOTICE CONCERNING PROJECTS TO BE CARRIED OUT.—Of the amounts made available for the defense dual use technology initiative under subsection (a)—

(1) $145,000,000 shall be available for obligation only after the date on which the Secretary of Defense notifies the congressional defense committees regarding the defense reinvestment projects to be funded using such funds; and

(2) the remaining $50,000,000 shall be available for obligation only after the date on which the Secretary of Defense certifies to the congressional defense committees that the defense reinvestment projects to be funded using such funds have been determined by the Joint Requirements Oversight Council to be of significant military priority.

**Subtitle B—Program Requirements, Restrictions, and Limitations**

**SEC. 211. SPACE LAUNCH MODERNIZATION.**

(a) ALLOCATION OF FUNDS.—Of the amount authorized to be appropriated pursuant to the authorization in section 201(3), $50,000,000 shall be available for a competitive reusable rocket technology program.

(b) LIMITATION.—Funds made available pursuant to subsection (a)(1) may be obligated only to the extent that the fiscal year 1996 current operating plan of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration allocates at least an equal amount for its Reusable Space Launch program.

**SEC. 212. TACTICAL MANNED RECONNAISSANCE.**

(a) LIMITATION.—None of the amounts appropriated or otherwise made available pursuant to an authorization in this Act may be used by the Secretary of the Air Force to conduct research, development, test, or evaluation for a replacement aircraft, pod, or sensor payload for the tactical manned reconnaissance mission until the report required by subsection (b) is submitted to the congressional defense committees.

(b) REPORT.—The Secretary of the Air Force shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report setting forth in detail information about the manner in which the funds authorized by section 201 of this Act and section 201 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (Public Law 103–337; 108 Stat. 2690) are planned to be used during fiscal year 1996 for research, development, test, and evaluation for the Air Force tactical manned reconnaissance mission. At a minimum, the report shall include the sources, by program element, of the funds and the purposes for which the funds are planned to be used.

**SEC. 213. JOINT ADVANCED STRIKE TECHNOLOGY (JAST) PROGRAM.**

(a) ALLOCATION OF FUNDS.—Of the amounts authorized to be appropriated pursuant to the authorizations in section 201, $200,156,000 shall be available for the Joint Advanced Strike Technology (JAST) program. Of that amount—

(1) $83,795,000 shall be available for program element 63800N in the budget of the Department of Defense for fiscal year 1996;

(2) $85,686,000 shall be available for program element 63800F in such budget; and

(3) $30,675,000 shall be available for program element 63800E in such budget.

(b) ADDITIONAL ALLOCATION.—Of the amounts made available under paragraphs (1), (2), and (3) of subsection (a)—

(1) $25,000,000 shall be available from the amount authorized to be appropriated pursuant to the authorization in section 201(2) for the conduct, during fiscal year 1996, of a 6-month program definition phase for the A/F117X, an F-117 fighter aircraft modified for use by the Navy as a long-range, medium attack aircraft; and

(2) $7,000,000 shall be available to provide for competitive engine concepts.

(c) LIMITATION.—Not more than 75 percent of the amount appropriated for the Joint Advanced Strike Technology program pursuant to the authorizations in section 201 may be obligated until a period of 30 days has expired after the report required by subsection (d) is submitted to the congressional defense committees.

(d) REPORT.—The Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report, in unclassified and classified forms, not later than March 1, 1996, that sets forth in detail the following information for the period 1997 through 2005:

(1) The total joint requirement, assuming the capability to successfully conduct two nearly simultaneous major regional contingencies, for the following:

(A) Numbers of bombers, tactical combat aircraft, and attack helicopters and the characteristics required of those aircraft in terms of capabilities, range, and low-observability.

(B) Surface- and air-launched standoff precision guided munitions.

(C) Cruise missiles.

(D) Ground-based systems, such as the Extended Range-Multiple Launch Rocket System and the Army Tactical Missile System (ATACMS), for joint warfighting capability.

(2) The warning time assumptions for two nearly simultaneous major regional contingencies, and the effects on future tactical attack/fighter aircraft requirements using other warning time assumptions.

(3) The requirements that exist for the Joint Advanced Strike Technology program that cannot be met by existing aircraft or by those in development.

**SEC. 214. DEVELOPMENT OF LASER PROGRAM.**

Of the amount authorized to be appropriated by section 201(2), $9,000,000 shall be used for the development by the Naval High Energy Laser Office of a continuous wave, superconducting radio frequency free electron laser program.

**SEC. 215. NAVY MINE COUNTERMEASURES PROGRAM.**

Section 216(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 1992 and 1993 (Public Law 102–190; 105 Stat. 1317) is amended—

(1) by striking out "Director, Defense Research and Engineering" and inserting in lieu thereof "Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Technology"; and

(2) by striking out "fiscal years 1995 through 1999" and inserting in lieu thereof "fiscal years 1996 through 1999".

**SEC. 216. SPACE-BASED INFRARED SYSTEM.**

(a) PROGRAM BASELINE.—The Secretary of Defense shall establish a program baseline for the Space-Based Infrared System. Such baseline shall—

(1) include—

(A) program cost and an estimate of the funds required for development and acquisition activities for each fiscal year in which such activities are planned to be carried out;

(B) a comprehensive schedule with program milestones and exit criteria; and

(C) optimized performance parameters for each segment of an integrated space-based infrared system;

(2) be structured to achieve initial operational capability of the low earth orbit space segment (the Space and Missile Tracking System) in fiscal year 2003, with a first launch of Block I satellites in fiscal year 2002;

(3) ensure integration of the Space and Missile Tracking System into the architecture of the Space-Based Infrared System; and

(4) ensure that the performance parameters of all space segment components are selected so as to optimize the performance of the Space-Based Infrared System while minimizing unnecessary redundancy and cost.

(b) REPORT ON PROGRAM BASELINE.—Not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report, in classified and unclassified forms as necessary, on the program baseline established under subsection (a).

(c) ESTABLISHMENT OF PROGRAM ELEMENTS.—In the budget justification materials submitted to Congress in support of the Department of Defense budget for any fiscal year after fiscal year 1996 (as submitted in the budget of the President under section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code), the amount requested for the Space-Based Infrared System shall be set forth in accordance with the following program elements:

(1) Space Segment High.

(2) Space Segment Low (Space and Missile Tracking System).

(3) Ground Segment.

(d) FUNDING FOR FISCAL YEAR 1996.—Of the amounts authorized to be appropriated pursuant to section 201(3) for fiscal year 1996, or otherwise made available to the Department of Defense for fiscal year 1996, the following amounts shall be available for the Space-Based Infrared System:

(1) $265,744,000 for demonstration and validation, of which $249,824,000 shall be available for the Space and Missile Tracking System.

(2) $162,219,000 for engineering and manufacturing development, of which $9,400,000 shall be available for the Miniature Sensor Technology Integration program.

**SEC. 217. DEFENSE NUCLEAR AGENCY PROGRAMS.**

(a) AGENCY FUNDING.—Of the amounts authorized to be appropriated to the Department of Defense in section 201, $241,703,000 shall be available for the Defense Nuclear Agency.

(b) TUNNEL CHARACTERIZATION AND NEUTRALIZATION PROGRAM.—Of the amount made available under subsection (a), $3,000,000 shall be available for a tunnel characterization and neutralization program to be managed by the Defense Nuclear Agency as part of the counterproliferation activities of the Department of Defense.

(c) LONG-TERM RADIATION TOLERANT MICROELECTRONICS PROGRAM.—(1) Of the amount made available under subsection (a), $6,000,000 shall be available for the establishment of a long-term radiation tolerant microelectronics program to be managed by the Defense Nuclear Agency for the purposes of—

(A) providing for the development of affordable and effective hardening technologies and for incorporation of such technologies into systems;

(B) sustaining the supporting industrial base; and

(C) ensuring that a use of a nuclear weapon in regional threat scenarios does not interrupt or defeat the continued operability of systems of the Armed Forces exposed to the combined effects of radiation emitted by the weapon.

(2) Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report on how the long-term radiation tolerant microelectronics program is to be conducted and funded in the fiscal years after fiscal year 1996 that are covered by the future-years defense program submitted to Congress in 1995.

(d) THERMIONICS PROGRAM.—Of the amount made available under subsection (a), $10,000,000 shall be available for the thermionics program, to be managed by the Defense Nuclear Agency.

(e) ELECTROTHERMAL GUN TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM.—Of the amount made available under subsection (a), $4,000,000 shall be available for the electrothermal gun technology program of the Defense Nuclear Agency.

(f) COUNTERTERROR EXPLOSIVES RESEARCH PROGRAM.—Of the amount made available under subsection (a), $4,000,000 shall be available for the counterterror explosives research program of the Defense Nuclear Agency.

(g) TRANSFER OF UNOBLIGATED BALANCE.—The Secretary of Defense shall transfer to the Defense Nuclear Agency, to be available for the thermionics program, an amount not to exceed $12,000,000 from the unobligated balance of funds authorized and appropriated for research, development, test, and evaluation for fiscal year 1995 for the Air Force for the Advanced Weapons Program.

**SEC. 218. COUNTERPROLIFERATION SUPPORT PROGRAM.**

(a) FUNDING.—Of the funds authorized to be appropriated to the Department of Defense under section 201(4), $138,237,000 shall be available for the Counterproliferation Support Program, of which $30,000,000 shall be available for a tactical antisatellite technologies program.

(b) ADDITIONAL AUTHORITY TO TRANSFER AUTHORIZATIONS.—(1) In addition to the transfer authority provided in section 1001, upon determination by the Secretary of Defense that such action is necessary in the national interest, the Secretary may transfer amounts of authorizations made available to the Department of Defense in this division for fiscal year 1996 to counterproliferation programs, projects, and activities identified as areas for progress by the Counterproliferation Program Review Committee established by section 1605 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1994 (Public Law 103-160; 107 Stat. 1845). Amounts of authorizations so transferred shall be merged with and be available for the same purposes as the authorization to which transferred.

(2) The total amount of authorizations transferred under the authority of this subsection may not exceed $50,000,000.

(3) The authority provided by this subsection to transfer authorizations—

(A) may only be used to provide authority for items that have a higher priority than the items from which authority is transferred; and

(B) may not be used to provide authority for an item that has been denied authorization by Congress.

(4) A transfer made from one account to another under the authority of this subsection shall be deemed to increase the amount authorized for the account to which the amount is transferred by an amount equal to the amount transferred.

(5) The Secretary of Defense shall promptly notify Congress of transfers made under the authority of this subsection.

**SEC. 219. NONLETHAL WEAPONS STUDY.**

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:

(1) The role of the United States military in operations other than war has increased.

(2) Weapons and instruments that are nonlethal in application yet immobilizing could have widespread operational utility and application.

(3) The use of nonlethal weapons in operations other than war poses a number of important doctrine, legal, policy, and operations questions which should be addressed in a comprehensive and coordinated manner.

(4) The development of nonlethal technologies continues to spread across military and agency budgets.

(5) The Department of Defense should provide improved budgetary focus and management direction to the nonlethal weapons program.

(b) RESPONSIBILITY FOR DEVELOPMENT OF NONLETHAL WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY.—Not later than February 15, 1996, the Secretary of Defense shall assign centralized responsibility for development (and any other functional responsibility the Secretary considers appropriate) of nonlethal weapons technology to an existing office within the Office of the Secretary of Defense or to a military service as the executive agent.

(c) REPORT.—Not later than February 15, 1996, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report setting forth the following:

(1) The name of the office or military service assigned responsibility for the nonlethal weapons program by the Secretary of Defense pursuant to subsection (b) and a discussion of the rationale for such assignment.

(2) The degree to which nonlethal weapons are required by more than one of the armed forces.

(3) The time frame for the development and deployment of such weapons.

(4) The appropriate role of the military departments and defense agencies in the development of such weapons.

(5) The military doctrine, legal, policy, and operational issues that must be addressed by the Department of Defense before such weapons achieve operational capability.

(d) AUTHORIZATION.—Of the amount authorized to be appropriated under section 201(4), $37,200,000 shall be available for nonlethal weapons programs and nonlethal technologies programs.

(e) DEFINITION.—For purposes of this section, the term "nonlethal weapon" means a weapon or instrument the effect of which on human targets is less than fatal.

**SEC. 220. FEDERALLY FUNDED RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CENTERS AND UNIVERSITY-AFFILIATED RESEARCH CENTERS.**

(a) CENTERS COVERED.—Funds appropriated or otherwise made available for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 1996 pursuant to an authorization of appropriations in section 201 may be obligated to procure work from a federally funded research and development center (in this section referred to as an "FFRDC") or a university-affiliated research center (in this section referred to as a "UARC") only in the case of a center named in the report required by subsection (b) and, in the case of such a center, only in an amount not in excess of the amount of the proposed funding level set forth for that center in such report.

(b) REPORT ON ALLOCATIONS FOR CENTERS.—(1) Not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives a report containing—

(A) the name of each FFRDC and UARC from which work is proposed to be procured for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 1996; and

(B) for each such center, the proposed funding level and the estimated personnel level for fiscal year 1996.

(2) The total of the proposed funding levels set forth in the report for all FFRDCs and UARCs may not exceed the amount set forth in subsection (d).

(c) LIMITATION PENDING SUBMISSION OF REPORT.—Not more than 15 percent of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 1996 pursuant to an authorization of appropriations in section 201 for FFRDCs and UARCs may be obligated to procure work from an FFRDC or UARC until the Secretary of Defense submits the report required by subsection (b).

(d) FUNDING.—Of the amounts authorized to be appropriated by section 201, not more than a total of $1,668,850,000 may be obligated to procure services from the FFRDCs and UARCs named in the report required by subsection (b).

(e) AUTHORITY TO WAIVE FUNDING LIMITATION.—The Secretary of Defense may waive the limitation regarding the maximum funding amount that applies under subsection (a)

to an FFRDC or UARC. Whenever the Secretary proposes to make such a waiver, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives notice of the proposed waiver and the reasons for the waiver. The waiver may then be made only after the end of the 60-day period that begins on the date on which the notice is submitted to those committees, unless the Secretary determines that it is essential to the national security that funds be obligated for work at that center in excess of that limitation before the end of such period and notifies those committees of that determination and the reasons for the determination.

(f) FIVE-YEAR PLAN.—(1) The Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretaries of the military departments, shall develop a five-year plan to reduce and consolidate the activities performed by FFRDCs and UARCs and establish a framework for the future workload of such centers.

(2) The plan shall—

(A) set forth the manner in which the Secretary of Defense could achieve by October 1, 2000, implementation by FFRDCs and UARCs of only those core activities, as defined by the Secretary, that require the unique capabilities and arrangements afforded by such centers; and

(B) include an assessment of the number of personnel needed in each FFRDC and UARC during each year over the five years covered by the plan.

(3) Not later than February 1, 1996, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the plan required by this subsection.

**SEC. 221. JOINT SEISMIC PROGRAM AND GLOBAL SEISMIC NETWORK.**

Of the amount authorized to be appropriated under section 201(3), $9,500,000 shall be available for fiscal year 1996 (in program element 61101F in the budget of the Department of Defense for fiscal year 1996) for continuation of the Joint Seismic Program and Global Seismic Network.

**SEC. 222. HYDRA-70 ROCKET PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.**

(a) FUNDING AUTHORIZATION.—Of the amount authorized to be appropriated under section 201(1) for Other Missile Product Improvement Programs, $10,000,000 is authorized to be appropriated for a Hydra-70 rocket product improvement program and to be made available under such program for full qualification and operational platform certification of a Hydra-70 rocket described in subsection (b) for use on the Apache attack helicopter.

(b) HYDRA-70 ROCKET COVERED.—The Hydra-70 rocket referred to in subsection (a) is any Hydra-70 rocket that has as its propulsion component a 2.75-inch rocket motor that is a nondevelopmental item and uses a composite propellant.

(c) COMPETITION REQUIRED.—The Secretary of the Army shall conduct the product improvement program referred to in subsection (a) with full and open competition.

(d) SUBMISSION OF TECHNICAL DATA PACKAGE REQUIRED.—Upon the full qualification and operational platform certification of a Hydra-70 rocket as described in subsection (a), the contractor providing the rocket so qualified and certified shall submit the technical data package for the rocket to the Secretary of the Army. The Secretary shall use the technical data package in competitions for contracts for the procurement of Hydra-70 rockets described in subsection (b) for the Army.

(e) DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this section, the terms "full and open competition" and "nondevelopmental item" have the meanings given such terms in section 4 of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 403).

**SEC. 223. LIMITATION ON OBLIGATION OF FUNDS UNTIL RECEIPT OF ELECTRONIC COMBAT CONSOLIDATION MASTER PLAN.**

(a) LIMITATION.—Not more than 75 percent of the amounts appropriated or otherwise made available pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 201 for test and evaluation program elements 65896A, 65864N, 65807F, and 65804D in the budget of the Department of Defense for fiscal year 1996 may be obligated until 14 days after the date on which the congressional defense committees receive the plan specified in subsection (b).

(b) PLAN.—The plan referred to in subsection (a) is the master plan for electronic combat consolidation described under Defense-Wide Programs under Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation in the Report of the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives on H.R. 4301 (House Report 103-499), dated May 10, 1994.

**SEC. 224. REPORT ON REDUCTIONS IN RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION.**

(a) REPORT REQUIREMENT.—Not later than March 15, 1996, the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report that sets forth in detail the allocation of reductions for research, development, test, and evaluation described in subsection (b).

(b) DESCRIPTION OF REDUCTIONS.—The reductions for research, development, test, and evaluation covered by subsection (a) are the following Army, Navy, Air Force, and Defense-wide reductions, as required by the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 1996:

(1) General reductions.

(2) Reductions to reflect savings from revised economic assumptions.

(3) Reductions to reflect the funding ceiling for defense federally funded research and development centers.

(4) Reductions for savings through improved management of contractor automatic data processing costs charged through indirect rates on Department of Defense acquisition contracts.

**SEC. 225. ADVANCED FIELD ARTILLERY SYSTEM (CRUSADER).**

(a) AUTHORITY TO USE FUNDS FOR ALTERNATIVE PROPELLANT TECHNOLOGIES.—During fiscal year 1996, the Secretary of the Army may use funds appropriated for the liquid propellant portion of the Advanced Field Artillery System (Crusader) program for fiscal year 1996 for alternative propellant technologies and integration of those technologies into the design of the Crusader if—

(1) the Secretary determines that the technical risk associated with liquid propellant will increase costs and delay the initial operational capability of the Crusader; and

(2) the Secretary notifies the congressional defense committees of the proposed use of the funds and the reasons for the proposed use of the funds.

(b) LIMITATION.—The Secretary of the Army may not spend funds for the liquid propellant portion of the Crusader program after August 15, 1996, unless—

(1) the report required by subsection (c) has been submitted by that date; and

(2) such report includes documentation of significant progress, as determined by the Secretary, toward meeting the objectives for the liquid propellant portion of the program, as set forth in the baseline description for the Crusader program and approved by the Office of the Secretary of Defense on January 4, 1995.

(c) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than August 1, 1996, the Secretary of the Army shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report containing documentation of the progress being made in meeting the objectives set forth in the baseline description for the Crusader program and approved by the Office of the Secretary of Defense on January 4, 1995. The report shall specifically address the progress being made toward meeting the following objectives:

(1) Establishment of breech and ignition design criteria for rate of fire for the cannon of the Crusader.

(2) Selection of a satisfactory ignition concept for the next prototype of the cannon.

(3) Selection, on the basis of modeling and simulation, of design concepts to prevent chamber piston reversals, and validation of the selected concepts by gun and mock chamber firings.

(4) Achievement of an understanding of the chemistry and physics of propellant burn resulting from the firing of liquid propellant into any target zone, and achievement, on the basis of modeling and simulation, of an ignition process that is predictable.

(5) Completion of an analysis of the management of heat dissipation for the full range of performance requirements for the cannon, completion of concept designs supported by that analysis, and proposal of such concept designs for engineering.

(6) Development, for integration into the next prototype of the cannon, of engineering designs to control pressure oscillations in the chamber of the cannon during firing.

(7) Completion of an assessment of the sensitivity of liquid propellant to contamination by various materials to which it may be exposed throughout the handling and operation of the cannon, and documentation of predictable reactions of contaminated or sensitized liquid propellant.

(d) ADDITIONAL MATTERS TO BE COVERED BY REPORT.—The report required by subsection (c) also shall contain the following:

(1) An assertion that all the known hazards associated with liquid propellant have been identified and are controllable to acceptable levels.

(2) An assessment of the technology for each component of the Crusader (the cannon, vehicle, and crew module), including, for each performance goal of the Crusader program (including the goal for total system weight), information about the maturity of the technology to achieve that goal, the maturity of the design of the technology, and the manner in which the design has been proven (for example, through simulation, bench testing, or weapon firing).

(3) An assessment of the cost of continued development of the Crusader after August 1, 1996, and the cost of each unit of the Crusader in the year the Crusader will be completed.

**SEC. 226. DEMILITARIZATION OF CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS, ROCKETS, AND EXPLOSIVES.**

Of the amount appropriated pursuant to the authorization in section 201 for explosives demilitarization technology, $15,000,000 shall be available to establish an integrated program for the development and demonstration of conventional munitions and explosives demilitarization technologies that comply with applicable environmental laws

for the demilitarization and disposal of unserviceable, obsolete, or nontreaty compliant munitions, rocket motors, and explosives.

**SEC. 227. DEFENSE AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE PROGRAM.**

(a) LIMITATION.—Not more than three percent of the total amount appropriated for research and development under the Defense Airborne Reconnaissance program pursuant to the authorizations of appropriations in section 201 may be obligated for systems engineering and technical assistance (SETA) contracts until—

(1) funds are obligated (out of such appropriated funds) for—

(A) the upgrade of U-2 aircraft senior year electro-optical reconnaissance sensors to the newest configuration; and

(B) the upgrade of the U-2 SIGINT system; and

(2) the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Technology submits the report required under subsection (b).

(b) REPORT ON U-2-RELATED UPGRADES.—(1) Not later than April 1, 1996, the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Technology shall transmit to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives a report on obligations of funds for upgrades relating to airborne reconnaissance by U-2 aircraft.

(2) The report shall set forth the specific purposes under the general purposes described in subparagraphs (A) and (B) of subsection (a)(1) for which funds have been obligated (as of the date of the report) and the amounts that have been obligated (as of such date) for those specific purposes.

**Subtitle C—Ballistic Missile Defense Act of 1995**

**SEC. 231. SHORT TITLE.**

This subtitle may be cited as the "Ballistic Missile Defense Act of 1995".

**SEC. 232. FINDINGS.**

Congress makes the following findings:

(1) The emerging threat that is posed to the national security interests of the United States by the proliferation of ballistic missiles is significant and growing, both in terms of numbers of missiles and in terms of the technical capabilities of those missiles.

(2) The deployment of ballistic missile defenses is a necessary, but not sufficient, element of a broader strategy to discourage both the proliferation of weapons of mass destruction and the proliferation of the means of their delivery and to defend against the consequences of such proliferation.

(3) The deployment of effective Theater Missile Defense systems can deter potential adversaries of the United States from escalating a conflict by threatening or attacking United States forces or the forces or territory of coalition partners or allies of the United States with ballistic missiles armed with weapons of mass destruction to offset the operational and technical advantages of the United States and its coalition partners and allies.

(4) United States intelligence officials have provided intelligence estimates to congressional committees that (A) the trend in missile proliferation is toward longer range and more sophisticated ballistic missiles, (B) North Korea may deploy an intercontinental ballistic missile capable of reaching Alaska or beyond within five years, and (C) although a new, indigenously developed ballastic missile threat to the continental United States is not foreseen within the next ten years, determined countries can acquire intercontinental ballistic missiles in the near future and with little warning by means other than indigenous development.

(5) The development and deployment by the United States and its allies of effective defenses against ballistic missiles of all ranges will reduce the incentives for countries to acquire such missiles or to augment existing missile capabilities.

(6) The concept of mutual assured destruction (based upon an offense-only form of deterrence), which is the major philosophical rationale underlying the ABM Treaty, is now questionable as a basis for stability in a multipolar world in which the United States and the states of the former Soviet Union are seeking to normalize relations and eliminate Cold War attitudes and arrangements.

(7) The development and deployment of a National Missile Defense system against the threat of limited ballistic missile attacks—

(A) would strengthen deterrence at the levels of forces agreed to by the United States and Russia under the Strategic Arms Reduction Talks Treaty (START-I); and

(B) would further strengthen deterrence if reductions below the levels permitted under START-I should be agreed to and implemented in the future.

(8) The distinction made during the Cold War, based upon the technology of the time, between strategic ballistic missiles and nonstrategic ballistic missiles, which resulted in the distinction made in the ABM Treaty between strategic defense and nonstrategic defense, has become obsolete because of technological advancement (including the development by North Korea of long-range Taepo-Dong I and Taepo-Dong II missiles) and, therefore, that distinction in the ABM Treaty should be reviewed.

**SEC. 233. BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE POLICY.**

It is the policy of the United States—

(1) to deploy affordable and operationally effective theater missile defenses to protect forward-deployed and expeditionary elements of the Armed Forces of the United States and to complement the missile defense capabilities of forces of coalition partners and of allies of the United States; and

(2) to seek a cooperative, negotiated transition to a regime that does not feature an offense-only form of deterrence as the basis for strategic stability.

**SEC. 234. THEATER MISSILE DEFENSE ARCHITECTURE.**

(a) ESTABLISHMENT OF CORE PROGRAM.—To implement the policy established in paragraph (1) of section 233, the Secretary of Defense shall restructure the core theater missile defense program to consist of the following systems, to be carried out so as to achieve the specified capabilities:

(1) The Patriot PAC-3 system, with a first unit equipped (FUE) during fiscal year 1998.

(2) The Navy Lower Tier (Area) system, with a user operational evaluation system (UOES) capability during fiscal year 1997 and an initial operational capability (IOC) during fiscal year 1999.

(3) The Theater High-Altitude Area Defense (THAAD) system, with a user operational evaluation system (UOES) capability not later than fiscal year 1998 and a first unit equipped (FUE) not later than fiscal year 2000.

(4) The Navy Upper Tier (Theater Wide) system, with a user operational evaluation system (UOES) capability during fiscal year 1999 and an initial operational capability (IOC) during fiscal year 2001.

(b) USE OF STREAMLINED ACQUISITION PROCEDURES.—The Secretary of Defense shall prescribe and use streamlined acquisition policies and procedures to reduce the cost and increase the efficiency of developing and deploying the theater missile defense systems specified in subsection (a).

(c) INTEROPERABILITY AND SUPPORT OF CORE SYSTEMS.—To maximize effectiveness and flexibility of the systems comprising the core theater missile defense program, the Secretary of Defense shall ensure that those systems are integrated and complementary and are fully capable of exploiting external sensor and battle management support from systems such as—

(A) the Cooperative Engagement Capability (CEC) system of the Navy;

(B) airborne sensors; and

(C) space-based sensors (including, in particular, the Space and Missile Tracking System).

(d) FOLLOW-ON SYSTEMS.—(1) The Secretary of Defense shall prepare an affordable development plan for theater missile defense systems to be developed as follow-on systems to the core systems specified in subsection (a). The Secretary shall make the selection of a system for inclusion in the plan based on the capability of the system to satisfy military requirements not met by the systems in the core program and on the capability of the system to use prior investments in technologies, infrastructure, and battle-management capabilities that are incorporated in, or associated with, the systems in the core program.

(2) The Secretary may not proceed with the development of a follow-on theater missile defense system beyond the Demonstration/Validation stage of development unless the Secretary designates that system as a part of the core program under this section and submits to the congressional defense committees notice of that designation. The Secretary shall include with any such notification a report describing—

(A) the requirements for the system and the specific threats that such system is designed to counter;

(B) how the system will relate to, support, and build upon existing core systems;

(C) the planned acquisition strategy for the system; and

(D) a preliminary estimate of total program cost for that system and the effect of development and acquisition of such system on Department of Defense budget projections.

(e) PROGRAM ACCOUNTABILITY REPORT.—(1) As part of the annual report of the Ballistic Missile Defense Organization required by section 224 of Public Law 101-189 (10 U.S.C. 2431 note), the Secretary of Defense shall describe the technical milestones, the schedule, and the cost of each phase of development and acquisition (together with total estimated program costs) for each core and follow-on theater missile defense program.

(2) As part of such report, the Secretary shall describe, with respect to each program covered in the report, any variance in the technical milestones, program schedule milestones, and costs for the program compared with the information relating to that program in the report submitted in the previous year and in the report submitted in the first year in which that program was covered.

(f) REPORTS ON TMD SYSTEM LIMITATIONS UNDER ABM TREATY.—(1) Whenever, after January 1, 1993, the Secretary of Defense issues a certification with respect to the compliance of a particular Theater Missile Defense system with the ABM Treaty, the Secretary shall transmit to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the

Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives a copy of such certification. Such transmittal shall be made not later than 30 days after the date on which such certification is issued, except that in the case of a certification issued before the date of the enactment of this Act, such transmittal shall be made not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2) If a certification under paragraph (1) is based on application of a policy concerning United States compliance with the ABM Treaty that differs from the policy described in section 235(b)(1), the Secretary shall include with the transmittal under that paragraph a report providing a detailed assessment of—

(A) how the policy applied differs from the policy described in section 235(b)(1); and

(B) how the application of that policy (rather than the policy described in section 235(b)(1)) will affect the cost, schedule, and performance of that system.

**SEC. 235. PROHIBITION ON USE OF FUNDS TO IMPLEMENT AN INTERNATIONAL AGREEMENT CONCERNING THEATER MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS.**

(a) FINDINGS.—(1) Congress hereby reaffirms—

(A) the finding in section 234(a)(7) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1994 (Public Law 103–160; 107 Stat. 1595; 10 U.S.C. 2431 note) that the ABM Treaty was not intended to, and does not, apply to or limit research, development, testing, or deployment of missile defense systems, system upgrades, or system components that are designed to counter modern theater ballistic missiles, regardless of the capabilities of such missiles, unless those systems, system upgrades, or system components are tested against or have demonstrated capabilities to counter modern strategic ballistic missiles; and

(B) the statement in section 232 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (Public Law 103–337; 108 Stat. 2700) that the United States shall not be bound by any international agreement entered into by the President that would substantively modify the ABM Treaty unless the agreement is entered into pursuant to the treaty making power of the President under the Constitution.

(2) Congress also finds that the demarcation standard described in subsection (b)(1) for compliance of a missile defense system, system upgrade, or system component with the ABM Treaty is based upon current technology.

(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS CONCERNING COMPLIANCE POLICY.—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) unless a missile defense system, system upgrade, or system component (including one that exploits data from space-based or other external sensors) is flight tested in an ABM-qualifying flight test (as defined in subsection (e)), that system, system upgrade, or system component has not, for purposes of the ABM Treaty, been tested in an ABM mode nor been given capabilities to counter strategic ballistic missiles and, therefore, is not subject to any application, limitation, or obligation under the ABM Treaty; and

(2) any international agreement that would limit the research, development, testing, or deployment of missile defense systems, system upgrades, or system components that are designed to counter modern theater ballistic missiles in a manner that would be more restrictive than the compliance criteria specified in paragraph (1) should be entered into only pursuant to the treaty making powers of the President under the Constitution.

(c) PROHIBITION ON FUNDING.—Funds appropriated or otherwise made available to the Department of Defense for fiscal year 1996 may not be obligated or expended to implement an agreement, or any understanding with respect to interpretation of the ABM Treaty, between the United States and any of the independent states of the former Soviet Union entered into after January 1, 1995, that—

(1) would establish a demarcation between theater missile defense systems and anti-ballistic missile systems for purposes of the ABM Treaty; or

(2) would restrict the performance, operation, or deployment of United States theater missile defense systems.

(d) EXCEPTIONS.—Subsection (c) does not apply—

(1) to the extent provided by law in an Act enacted after this Act;

(2) to expenditures to implement that portion of any such agreement or understanding that implements the policy set forth in subsection (b)(1); or

(3) to expenditures to implement any such agreement or understanding that is approved as a treaty or by law.

(e) ABM-QUALIFYING FLIGHT TEST DEFINED.—For purposes of this section, an ABM-qualifying flight test is a flight test against a ballistic missile which, in that flight test, exceeds (1) a range of 3,500 kilometers, or (2) a velocity of 5 kilometers per second.

**SEC. 236. BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE COOPERATION WITH ALLIES.**

It is in the interest of the United States to develop its own missile defense capabilities in a manner that will permit the United States to complement the missile defense capabilities developed and deployed by its allies and possible coalition partners. Therefore, the Congress urges the President—

(1) to pursue high-level discussions with allies of the United States and selected other states on the means and methods by which the parties on a bilateral basis can cooperate in the development, deployment, and operation of ballistic missile defenses;

(2) to take the initiative within the North Atlantic Treaty Organization to develop consensus in the Alliance for a timely deployment of effective ballistic missile defenses by the Alliance; and

(3) in the interim, to seek agreement with allies of the United States and selected other states on steps the parties should take, consistent with their national interests, to reduce the risks posed by the threat of limited ballistic missile attacks, such steps to include—

(A) the sharing of early warning information derived from sensors deployed by the United States and other states;

(B) the exchange on a reciprocal basis of technical data and technology to support both joint development programs and the sale and purchase of missile defense systems and components; and

(C) operational level planning to exploit current missile defense capabilities and to help define future requirements.

**SEC. 237. ABM TREATY DEFINED.**

For purposes of this subtitle, the term "ABM Treaty" means the Treaty Between the United States of America and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics on the Limitation of Anti-Ballistic Missile Systems, and signed at Moscow on May 26, 1972, and includes the Protocols to that Treaty, signed at Moscow on July 3, 1974.

**SEC. 238. REPEAL OF MISSILE DEFENSE ACT OF 1991.**

The Missile Defense Act of 1991 (10 U.S.C. 2431 note) is repealed.

**Subtitle D—Other Ballistic Missile Defense Provisions**

**SEC. 251. BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE PROGRAM ELEMENTS.**

(a) ELEMENTS SPECIFIED.—In the budget justification materials submitted to Congress in support of the Department of Defense budget for any fiscal year after fiscal year 1996 (as submitted with the budget of the President under section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code), the amount requested for activities of the Ballistic Missile Defense Organization shall be set forth in accordance with the following program elements:

(1) The Patriot system.

(2) The Navy Lower Tier (Area) system.

(3) The Theater High-Altitude Area Defense (THAAD) system.

(4) The Navy Upper Tier (Theater Wide) system.

(5) The Corps Surface-to-Air Missile (SAM) system.

(6) Other Theater Missile Defense Activities.

(7) National Missile Defense.

(8) Follow-On and Support Technologies.

(b) TREATMENT OF CORE THEATER MISSILE DEFENSE PROGRAMS.—Amounts requested for core theater missile defense programs specified in section 234 shall be specified in individual, dedicated program elements, and amounts appropriated for such programs shall be available only for activities covered by those program elements.

(c) BM/C³I PROGRAMS.—Amounts requested for programs, projects, and activities involving battle management, command, control, communications, and intelligence (BM/C³I) shall be included in the "Other Theater Missile Defense Activities" program element or the "National Missile Defense" program element, as determined on the basis of the primary objectives involved.

(d) MANAGEMENT AND SUPPORT.—Each program element shall include requests for the amounts necessary for the management and support of the programs, projects, and activities contained in that program element.

**SEC. 252. TESTING OF THEATER MISSILE DEFENSE INTERCEPTORS.**

Subsection (a) of section 237 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1994 (Public Law 103–160; 107 Stat. 1600) is amended to read as follows:

"(a) TESTING OF THEATER MISSILE DEFENSE INTERCEPTORS.—(1) The Secretary of Defense may not approve a theater missile defense interceptor program proceeding beyond the low-rate initial production acquisition stage until the Secretary certifies to the congressional defense committees that such program has successfully completed initial operational test and evaluation.

"(2) In order to be certified under paragraph (1) as having been successfully completed, the initial operational test and evaluation conducted with respect to an interceptors program must have included flight tests—

"(A) that were conducted with multiple interceptors and multiple targets in the presence of realistic countermeasures; and

"(B) the results of which demonstrate the achievement by the interceptors of the baseline performance thresholds.

"(3) For purposes of this subsection, the baseline performance thresholds with respect to a program are the weapons systems performance thresholds specified in the baseline

description for the system established (pursuant to section 2435(a)(1) of title 10, United States Code) before the program entered the engineering and manufacturing development stage.

"(4) The number of flight tests described in paragraph (2) that are required in order to make the certification under paragraph (1) shall be a number determined by the Secretary of Defense to be sufficient for the purposes of this section.

"(5) The Secretary may augment live-fire testing to demonstrate weapons system performance goals for purposes of the certification under paragraph (1) through the use of modeling and simulation that is validated by ground and flight testing.".

**SEC. 253. REPEAL OF MISSILE DEFENSE PROVISIONS.**

The following provisions of law are repealed:

(1) Section 222 of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1986 (Public Law 99–145; 99 Stat. 613; 10 U.S.C. 2431 note).

(2) Section 225 of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1986 (Public Law 99–145; 99 Stat. 614).

(3) Section 226 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 1988 and 1989 (Public Law 100–180; 101 Stat. 1057; 10 U.S.C. 2431 note).

(4) Section 8123 of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 1989 (Public Law 100–463; 102 Stat. 2270–40).

(5) Section 8133 of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 1992 (Public Law 102–172; 105 Stat. 1211).

(6) Section 234 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1994 (Public Law 103–160; 107 Stat. 1595; 10 U.S.C. 2431 note).

(7) Section 242 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1994 (Public Law 103–160; 107 Stat. 1603; 10 U.S.C. 2431 note).

(8) Section 235 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (Public Law 103–337; 108 Stat. 2701; 10 U.S.C. 221 note).

(9) Section 2609 of title 10, United States Code.

**Subtitle E—Miscellaneous Reviews, Studies, and Reports**

**SEC. 261. PRECISION-GUIDED MUNITIONS.**

(a) ANALYSIS REQUIRED.—The Secretary of Defense shall perform an analysis of the full range of precision-guided munitions in production and in research, development, test, and evaluation in order to determine the following:

(1) The numbers and types of precision-guided munitions that are needed to provide complementary capabilities against each target class.

(2) The feasibility of carrying out joint development and procurement of additional types of munitions by more than one of the Armed Forces.

(3) The feasibility of integrating a particular precision-guided munition on multiple service platforms.

(4) The economy and effectiveness of continuing the acquisition of—

(A) interim precision-guided munitions; or

(B) precision-guided munitions that, as a result of being procured in decreasing numbers to meet decreasing quantity requirements, have increased in cost per unit by more than 50 percent over the cost per unit for such munitions as of December 1, 1991.

(b) REPORT.—(1) Not later than April 15, 1996, the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report on the findings and other results of the analysis.

(2) The report shall include a detailed discussion of the process by which the Department of Defense—

(A) approves the development of new precision-guided munitions;

(B) avoids duplication and redundancy in the precision-guided munitions programs of the Army, Navy, Air Force, and Marine Corps;

(C) ensures rationality in the relationship between the funding plans for precision-guided munitions modernization for fiscal years following fiscal year 1996 and the costs of such modernization for those fiscal years; and

(D) identifies by name and function each person responsible for approving each new precision-guided munition for initial low-rate production.

(c) FUNDING LIMITATION.—Funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act may not be expended for research, development, test, and evaluation or procurement of interim precision-guided munitions after April 15, 1996, unless the Secretary of Defense has submitted the report under subsection (b).

(d) INTERIM PRECISION-GUIDED MUNITION DEFINED.—For purposes of subsection (c), a precision-guided munition is an interim precision-guided munition if the munition is being procured in fiscal year 1996, but funding is not proposed for additional procurement of the munition in the fiscal years after fiscal year 1996 that are covered by the future years defense program submitted to Congress in 1995 under section 221(a) of title 10, United States Code.

**SEC. 262. REVIEW OF C⁴I BY NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL.**

(a) REVIEW BY NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL.—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall request the National Research Council of the National Academy of Sciences to conduct a comprehensive review of current and planned service and defense-wide programs for command, control, communications, computers, and intelligence (C⁴I) with a special focus on cross-service and interservice issues.

(b) MATTERS TO BE ASSESSED IN REVIEW.—The review shall address the following:

(1) The match between the capabilities provided by current service and defense-wide C⁴I programs and the actual needs of users of these programs.

(2) The interoperability of service and defense-wide C⁴I systems that are planned to be operational in the future.

(3) The need for an overall defense-wide architecture for C⁴I.

(4) Proposed strategies for ensuring that future C⁴I acquisitions are compatible and interoperable with an overall architecture.

(5) Technological and administrative aspects of the C⁴I modernization effort to determine the soundness of the underlying plan and the extent to which it is consistent with concepts for joint military operations in the future.

(c) TWO-YEAR PERIOD FOR CONDUCTING REVIEW.—The review shall be conducted over the two-year period beginning on the date on which the National Research Council and the Secretary of Defense enter into a contract or other agreement for the conduct of the review.

(d) REPORTS.—(1) In the contract or other agreement for the conduct of the review, the Secretary of Defense shall provide that the National Research Council shall submit to the Department of Defense and Congress interim reports and progress updates on a regular basis as the review proceeds. A final report on the review shall set forth the findings, conclusions, and recommendations of the Council for defense-wide and service C⁴I programs and shall be submitted to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate, the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives, and the Secretary of Defense.

(2) To the maximum degree possible, the final report shall be submitted in unclassified form with classified annexes as necessary.

(e) INTERAGENCY COOPERATION WITH STUDY.—All military departments, defense agencies, and other components of the Department of Defense shall cooperate fully with the National Research Council in its activities in carrying out the review under this section.

(f) EXPEDITED PROCESSING OF SECURITY CLEARANCES FOR STUDY.—For the purpose of facilitating the commencement of the study under this section, the Secretary of Defense shall expedite to the fullest degree possible the processing of security clearances that are necessary for the National Research Council to conduct the study.

(g) FUNDING.—Of the amount authorized to be appropriated in section 201 for defense-wide activities, $900,000 shall be available for the study under this section.

**SEC. 263. ANALYSIS OF CONSOLIDATION OF BASIC RESEARCH ACCOUNTS OF MILITARY DEPARTMENTS.**

(a) ANALYSIS REQUIRED.—The Secretary of Defense shall conduct an analysis of the cost and effectiveness of consolidating the basic research accounts of the military departments. The analysis shall determine potential infrastructure savings and other benefits of co-locating and consolidating the management of basic research.

(b) DEADLINE.—On or before March 1, 1996, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives a report on the analysis conducted under subsection (a).

**SEC. 264. CHANGE IN REPORTING PERIOD FROM CALENDAR YEAR TO FISCAL YEAR FOR ANNUAL REPORT ON CERTAIN CONTRACTS TO COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES.**

Section 2361(c)(2) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking out "calendar year" and inserting in lieu thereof "fiscal year"; and

(2) by striking out "the year after the year" and inserting in lieu thereof "the fiscal year after the fiscal year".

**SEC. 265. AERONAUTICAL RESEARCH AND TEST CAPABILITIES ASSESSMENT.**

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:

(1) It is in the Nation's long-term national security interests for the United States to maintain preeminence in the area of aeronautical research and test capabilities.

(2) Continued advances in aeronautical science and engineering are critical to sustaining the strategic and tactical air superiority of the United States and coalition forces, as well as United States economic security and international aerospace leadership.

(3) It is in the national security and economic interests of the United States and the budgetary interests of the Department of Defense for the department to encourage the establishment of active partnerships between the department and other Government agencies, academic institutions, and private industry to develop, maintain, and enhance aeronautical research and test capabilities.

(b) REVIEW.—The Secretary of Defense shall conduct a comprehensive review of the

aeronautical research and test facilities and capabilities of the United States in order to assess the current condition of such facilities and capabilities.

(c) REPORT.—(1) Not later than March 1, 1996, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report setting forth in detail the findings of the review required by subsection (b).

(2) The report shall include the following:

(A) The options for providing affordable, operable, reliable, and responsive long-term aeronautical research and test capabilities for military and civilian purposes and for the organization and conduct of such capabilities within the Department or through shared operations with other Government agencies, academic institutions, and private industry.

(B) The projected costs of such options, including costs of acquisition and technical and financial arrangements (including the use of Government facilities for reimbursable private use).

(C) Recommendations on the most efficient and economic means of developing, maintaining, and continually modernizing aeronautical research and test capabilities to meet current, planned, and prospective military and civilian needs.

**Subtitle F—Other Matters**

**SEC. 271. ADVANCED LITHOGRAPHY PROGRAM.**

Section 216 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (Public Law 103–337; 108 Stat. 2693) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by striking out "to help achieve" and all that follows through the end of the subsection and inserting in lieu thereof "to ensure that lithographic processes being developed by United States-owned companies or United States-incorporated companies operating in the United States will lead to superior performance electronics systems for the Department of Defense.";

(2) in subsection (b), by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

"(3) The Director of the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency may set priorities and funding levels for various technologies being developed for the ALP and shall consider funding recommendations made by the Semiconductor Industry Association as being advisory in nature.";

(3) in subsection (c)—

(A) by inserting "Defense" before "Advanced"; and

(B) by striking out "ARPA" both places it appears and inserting in lieu thereof "DARPA"; and

(4) by adding at the end the following:

"(d) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

"(1) The term 'United States-owned company' means a company the majority ownership or control of which is held by citizens of the United States.

"(2) The term 'United States-incorporated company' means a company that the Secretary of Defense finds is incorporated in the United States and has a parent company that is incorporated in a country—

"(A) that affords to United States-owned companies opportunities, comparable to those afforded to any other company, to participate in any joint venture similar to those authorized under section 28 of the National Institute of Standards and Technology Act (15 U.S.C. 278n);

"(B) that affords to United States-owned companies local investment opportunities comparable to those afforded to any other company; and

"(C) that affords adequate and effective protection for the intellectual property rights of United States-owned companies.".

**SEC. 272. ENHANCED FIBER OPTIC GUIDED MISSILE (EFOG–M) SYSTEM.**

(a) LIMITATIONS.—(1) The Secretary of the Army may not obligate more than $280,000,000 (based on fiscal year 1995 constant dollars) to develop and deliver for test and evaluation by the Army the following items:

(A) 44 enhanced fiber optic guided test missiles.

(B) 256 fully operational enhanced fiber optic guided missiles.

(C) 12 fully operational fire units.

(2) The Secretary of the Army may not spend funds for the enhanced fiber optic guided missile (EFOG–M) system after September 30, 1998, if the items described in paragraph (1) have not been delivered to the Army by that date and at a cost not greater than the amount set forth in paragraph (1).

(3) The Secretary of the Army may not enter into an advanced development phase for the EFOG–M system unless—

(A) an advanced concept technology demonstration of the system has been successfully completed; and

(B) the Secretary certifies to the congressional defense committees that there is a requirement for the EFOG–M system that is supported by a cost and operational effectiveness analysis.

(b) GOVERNMENT-FURNISHED EQUIPMENT.—The Secretary of the Army shall ensure that all Government-furnished equipment that the Army agrees to provide under the contract for the EFOG–M system is provided to the prime contractor in accordance with the terms of the contract.

**SEC. 273. STATES ELIGIBLE FOR ASSISTANCE UNDER DEFENSE EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM TO STIMULATE COMPETITIVE RESEARCH.**

Subparagraph (A) of section 257(d)(2) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (Public Law 103–337; 108 Stat. 2705; 10 U.S.C. 2358 note) is amended to read as follows:

"(A) the average annual amount of all Department of Defense obligations for science and engineering research and development that were in effect with institutions of higher education in the State for the three fiscal years preceding the fiscal year for which the designation is effective or for the last three fiscal years for which statistics are available is less than the amount determined by multiplying 60 percent times the amount equal to 1/50 of the total average annual amount of all Department of Defense obligations for science and engineering research and development that were in effect with institutions of higher education in the United States for such three preceding or last fiscal years, as the case may be (to be determined in consultation with the Secretary of Defense);".

**SEC. 274. CRUISE MISSILE DEFENSE INITIATIVE.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense shall undertake an initiative to coordinate and strengthen the cruise missile defense programs of the Department of Defense to ensure that the United States develops and deploys affordable and operationally effective defenses against existing and future cruise missile threats to United States military forces and operations.

(b) COORDINATION WITH BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE EFFORTS.—In carrying out subsection (a), the Secretary shall ensure that, to the extent practicable, the cruise missile defense programs of the Department of Defense and the ballistic missile defense programs of the Department of Defense are coordinated with each other and that those programs are mutually supporting.

(c) DEFENSES AGAINST EXISTING AND NEAR-TERM CRUISE MISSILE THREATS.—As part of the initiative under subsection (a), the Secretary shall ensure that appropriate existing and planned air defense systems are upgraded to provide an affordable and operationally effective defense against existing and near-term cruise missile threats to United States military forces and operations.

(d) DEFENSES AGAINST ADVANCED CRUISE MISSILES.—As part of the initiative under subsection (a), the Secretary shall undertake a well-coordinated development program to support the future deployment of cruise missile defense systems that are affordable and operationally effective against advanced cruise missiles, including cruise missiles with low observable features.

(e) IMPLEMENTATION PLAN.—Not later than the date on which the President submits the budget for fiscal year 1997 under section 1105 of title 31, United States Code, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a detailed plan, in unclassified and classified forms, as necessary, for carrying out this section. The plan shall include an assessment of the following:

(1) The systems of the Department of Defense that currently have or could have cruise missile defense capabilities and existing programs of the Department of Defense to improve these capabilities.

(2) The technologies that could be deployed in the near- to mid-term to provide significant advances over existing cruise missile defense capabilities and the investments that would be required to ready those technologies for deployment.

(3) The cost and operational tradeoffs, if any, between (A) upgrading existing air and missile defense systems, and (B) accelerating follow-on systems with significantly improved capabilities against advanced cruise missiles.

(4) The organizational and management changes that would strengthen and further coordinate the cruise missile defense programs of the Department of Defense, including the disadvantages, if any, of implementing such changes.

(f) DEFINITION.—For the purposes of this section, the term "cruise missile defense programs" means the programs, projects, and activities of the military departments, the Advanced Research Projects Agency, and the Ballistic Missile Defense Organization relating to development and deployment of defenses against cruise missiles.

**SEC. 275. MODIFICATION TO UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVE SUPPORT PROGRAM.**

Section 802 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1994 (Public Law 103–160; 107 Stat. 1701) is amended—

(1) in subsections (a) and (b), by striking out "shall" both places it appears and inserting in lieu thereof "may"; and

(2) in subsection (e), by striking out the sentence beginning with "Such selection process".

**SEC. 276. MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 2525 of title 10, United States Code, is amended as follows:

(1) The heading is amended by striking out the second and third words.

(2) Subsection (a) is amended—

(A) by striking out "Science and"; and

(B) by inserting after the first sentence the following: "The Secretary shall use the joint planning process of the directors of the Department of Defense laboratories in establishing the program.".

(3) Subsection (c) is amended—

(A) by inserting "(1)" after "(c) EXECUTION.—"; and

(B) by adding at the end the following:

"(2) The Secretary shall seek, to the extent practicable, the participation of manufacturers of manufacturing equipment in the projects under the program.".

(4) Subsection (d) is amended—

(A) in paragraph (2)—

(i) by striking out "or" at the end of subparagraph (A);

(ii) by striking out the period at the end of subparagraph (B) and inserting in lieu thereof "; or"; and

(iii) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

"(C) will be carried out by an institution of higher education."; and

(B) by adding at the end the following new paragraphs:

"(3) At least 25 percent of the funds available for the program each fiscal year shall be used for awarding grants and entering into contracts, cooperative agreements, and other transactions on a cost-share basis under which the ratio of recipient cost to Government cost is two to one.

"(4) If the requirement of paragraph (3) cannot be met by July 15 of a fiscal year, the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Technology may waive the requirement and obligate the balance of the funds available for the program for that fiscal year on a cost-share basis under which the ratio of recipient cost to Government cost is less than two to one. Before implementing any such waiver, the Under Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives the reasons for the waiver.".

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The item relating to section 2525 in the table of sections at the beginning of subchapter IV of chapter 148 of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

"2525. Manufacturing Technology Program.".

**SEC. 277. FIVE-YEAR PLAN FOR CONSOLIDATION OF DEFENSE LABORATORIES AND TEST AND EVALUATION CENTERS.**

(a) FIVE-YEAR PLAN.—The Secretary of Defense, acting through the Vice Chief of Staff of the Army, the Vice Chief of Naval Operations, and the Vice Chief of Staff of the Air Force (in their roles as test and evaluation executive agent board of directors) shall develop a five-year plan to consolidate and restructure the laboratories and test and evaluation centers of the Department of Defense.

(b) OBJECTIVE.—The plan shall set forth the specific actions needed to consolidate the laboratories and test and evaluation centers into as few laboratories and centers as is practical and possible, in the judgment of the Secretary, by October 1, 2005.

(c) PREVIOUSLY DEVELOPED DATA REQUIRED TO BE USED.—In developing the plan, the Secretary shall use the following:

(1) Data and results obtained by the Test and Evaluation Joint Cross-Service Group and the Laboratory Joint Cross-Service Group in developing recommendations for the 1995 report of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission.

(2) The report dated March 1994 on the consolidation and streamlining of the test and evaluation infrastructure, commissioned by the test and evaluation board of directors, along with all supporting data and reports.

(d) MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED.—In developing the plan, the Secretary shall consider, at a minimum, the following:

(1) Consolidation of common support functions, including the following:

(A) Aircraft (fixed wing and rotary) support.

(B) Weapons support.

(C) Space systems support.

(D) Support of command, control, communications, computers, and intelligence.

(2) The extent to which any military construction, acquisition of equipment, or modernization of equipment is planned at the laboratories and centers.

(3) The encroachment on the laboratories and centers by residential and industrial expansion.

(4) The total cost to the Federal Government of continuing to operate the laboratories and centers.

(5) The cost savings and program effectiveness of locating laboratories and centers at the same sites.

(6) Any loss of expertise resulting from the consolidations.

(7) Whether any legislation is neccessary to provide the Secretary with any additional authority necessary to accomplish the downsizing and consolidation of the laboratories and centers.

(e) REPORT.—Not later than May 1, 1996, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the plan. The report shall include an identification of any additional legislation that the Secretary considers necessary in order for the Secretary to accomplish the downsizing and consolidation of the laboratories and centers.

(f) LIMITATION.—Of the amounts appropriated or otherwise made available pursuant to an authorization of appropriations in section 201 for the central test and evaluation investment development program, not more than 75 percent may be obligated before the report required by subsection (e) is submitted to Congress.

**SEC. 278. LIMITATION ON T-38 AVIONICS UPGRADE PROGRAM.**

(a) REQUIREMENT.—The Secretary of Defense shall ensure that, in evaluating proposals submitted in response to a solicitation issued for a contract for the T-38 Avionics Upgrade Program, the proposal of an entity may not be considered unless—

(1) in the case of an entity that conducts substantially all of its business in a foreign country, the foreign country provides equal access to similar contract solicitations in that country to United States entities; and

(2) in the case of an entity that conducts business in the United States but that is owned or controlled by a foreign government or by an entity incorporated in a foreign country, the foreign government or foreign country of incorporation provides equal access to similar contract solicitations in that country to United States entities.

(b) DEFINITION.—In this section, the term "United States entity" means an entity that is owned or controlled by persons a majority of whom are United States citizens.

**SEC. 279. GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM.**

(a) CONDITIONAL PROHIBITION ON USE OF SELECTIVE AVAILABILITY FEATURE.—Except as provided in subsection (b), after May 1, 1996, the Secretary of Defense may not (through use of the feature known as "selective availability") deny access of non-Department of Defense users to the full capabilities of the Global Positioning System.

(b) PLAN.—Subsection (a) shall cease to apply upon submission by the Secretary of Defense to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives of a plan for enhancement of the Global Positioning System that provides for—

(1) development and acquisition of effective capabilities to deny hostile military forces the ability to use the Global Positioning System without hindering the ability of United States military forces and civil users to have access to and use of the system, together with a specific date by which those capabilities could be operational; and

(2) development and acquisition of receivers for the Global Positioning System and other techniques for weapons and weapon systems that provide substantially improved resistance to jamming and other forms of electronic interference or disruption, together with a specific date by which those receivers and other techniques could be operational with United States military forces.

**SEC. 280. REVISION OF AUTHORITY FOR PROVIDING ARMY SUPPORT FOR THE NATIONAL SCIENCE CENTER FOR COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS.**

(a) PURPOSE.—Subsection (b)(2) of section 1459 of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1986 (Public Law 99-145; 99 Stat. 763) is amended by striking out "to make available" and all that follows and inserting in lieu thereof "to provide for the management, operation, and maintenance of those areas in the national science center that are designated for use by the Army and to provide incidental support for the operation of those areas in the center that are designated for general use.".

(b) AUTHORITY FOR SUPPORT.—Subsection (c) of such section is amended to read as follows:

"(c) NATIONAL SCIENCE CENTER.—(1) The Secretary may manage, operate, and maintain facilities at the center under terms and conditions prescribed by the Secretary for the purpose of conducting educational outreach programs in accordance with chapter 111 of title 10, United States Code.

"(2) The Foundation, or NSC Discovery Center, Incorporated, a nonprofit corporation of the State of Georgia, shall submit to the Secretary for review and approval all matters pertaining to the acquisition, design, renovation, equipping, and furnishing of the center, including all plans, specifications, contracts, sites, and materials for the center.".

(c) AUTHORITY FOR ACCEPTANCE OF GIFTS AND FUNDRAISING.—Subsection (d) of such section is amended to read as follows:

"(d) GIFTS AND FUNDRAISING.—(1) Subject to paragraph (3), the Secretary may accept a conditional or unconditional donation of money or property that is made for the benefit of, or in connection with, the center.

"(2) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary may endorse, promote, and assist the efforts of the Foundation and NSC Discovery Center, Incorporated, to obtain—

"(A) funds for the management, operation, and maintenance of the center; and

"(B) donations of exhibits, equipment, and other property for use in the center.

"(3) The Secretary may not accept a donation under this subsection that is made subject to—

"(A) any condition that is inconsistent with an applicable law or regulation; or

"(B) except to the extent provided in appropriations Acts, any condition that would necessitate an expenditure of appropriated funds.

"(4) The Secretary shall prescribe in regulations the criteria to be used in determining whether to accept a donation. The Secretary shall include criteria to ensure that acceptance of a donation does not establish an unfavorable appearance regarding the fairness and objectivity with which the Secretary or

any other officer or employee of the Department of Defense performs official responsibilities and does not compromise or appear to compromise the integrity of a Government program or any official involved in that program.".

(d) AUTHORIZED USES.—Such section is amended—

(1) by striking out subsection (f);

(2) by redesignating subsection (g) as subsection (f); and

(3) in paragraph (1) of subsection (f), as redesignated by paragraph (2), by inserting "areas designated for use by the Army in" after "The Secretary may make".

(e) ALTERNATIVE OF ADDITIONAL DEVELOPMENT AND MANAGEMENT.—Such section, as amended by subsection (d), is further amended by adding at the end the following:

"(g) ALTERNATIVE OR ADDITIONAL DEVELOPMENT AND MANAGEMENT OF THE CENTER.—(1) The Secretary may enter into an agreement with NSC Discovery Center, Incorporated, to develop, manage, and maintain a national science center under this section. In entering into an agreement with NSC Discovery Center, Incorporated, the Secretary may agree to any term or condition to which the Secretary is authorized under this section to agree for purposes of entering into an agreement with the Foundation.

"(2) The Secretary may exercise the authority under paragraph (1) in addition to, or instead of, exercising the authority provided under this section to enter into an agreement with the Foundation.".

## TITLE III—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

### Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations

**SEC. 301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FUNDING.**

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 1996 for the use of the Armed Forces and other activities and agencies of the Department of Defense for expenses, not otherwise provided for, for operation and maintenance, in amounts as follows:

(1) For the Army, $18,746,695,000.

(2) For the Navy, $21,493,155,000.

(3) For the Marine Corps, $2,521,822,000.

(4) For the Air Force, $18,719,277,000.

(5) For Defense-wide activities, $9,910,476,000.

(6) For the Army Reserve, $1,129,191,000.

(7) For the Naval Reserve, $868,342,000.

(8) For the Marine Corps Reserve, $100,283,000.

(9) For the Air Force Reserve, $1,516,287,000.

(10) For the Army National Guard, $2,361,808,000.

(11) For the Air National Guard, $2,760,121,000.

(12) For the Defense Inspector General, $138,226,000.

(13) For the United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces, $6,521,000.

(14) For Environmental Restoration, Defense, $1,422,200,000.

(15) For Drug Interdiction and Counterdrug Activities, Defense-wide, $680,432,000.

(16) For Medical Programs, Defense, $9,876,525,000.

(17) For support for the 1996 Summer Olympics, $15,000,000.

(18) For Cooperative Threat Reduction programs, $300,000,000.

(19) For Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster, and Civic Aid programs, $50,000,000.

**SEC. 302. WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS.**

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 1996 for the use of the Armed Forces and other activities and agencies of the Department of Defense for providing capital for working capital and revolving funds in amounts as follows:

(1) For the Defense Business Operations Fund, $878,700,000.

(2) For the National Defense Sealift Fund, $1,024,220,000.

**SEC. 303. ARMED FORCES RETIREMENT HOME.**

There is hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 1996 from the Armed Forces Retirement Home Trust Fund the sum of $59,120,000 for the operation of the Armed Forces Retirement Home, including the United States Soldiers' and Airmen's Home and the Naval Home.

**SEC. 304. TRANSFER FROM NATIONAL DEFENSE STOCKPILE TRANSACTION FUND.**

(a) TRANSFER AUTHORITY.—To the extent provided in appropriations Acts, not more than $150,000,000 is authorized to be transferred from the National Defense Stockpile Transaction Fund to operation and maintenance accounts for fiscal year 1996 in amounts as follows:

(1) For the Army, $50,000,000.

(2) For the Navy, $50,000,000.

(3) For the Air Force, $50,000,000.

(b) TREATMENT OF TRANSFERS.—Amounts transferred under this section—

(1) shall be merged with, and be available for the same purposes and the same period as, the amounts in the accounts to which transferred; and

(2) may not be expended for an item that has been denied authorization of appropriations by Congress.

(c) RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER TRANSFER AUTHORITY.—The transfer authority provided in this section is in addition to the transfer authority provided in section 1001.

**SEC. 305. CIVIL AIR PATROL.**

Of the amounts authorized to be appropriated pursuant to this Act, there shall be made available to the Civil Air Patrol $24,500,000, of which $14,704,000 shall be made available for the Civil Air Patrol Corporation.

### Subtitle B—Depot-Level Activities

**SEC. 311. POLICY REGARDING PERFORMANCE OF DEPOT-LEVEL MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.**

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress makes the following findings:

(1) The Department of Defense does not have a comprehensive policy regarding the performance of depot-level maintenance and repair of military equipment.

(2) The absence of such a policy has caused the Congress to establish guidelines for the performance of such functions.

(3) It is essential to the national security of the United States that the Department of Defense maintain an organic capability within the department, including skilled personnel, technical competencies, equipment, and facilities, to perform depot-level maintenance and repair of military equipment in order to ensure that the Armed Forces of the United States are able to meet training, operational, mobilization, and emergency requirements without impediment.

(4) The organic capability of the Department of Defense to perform depot-level maintenance and repair of military equipment must satisfy known and anticipated core maintenance and repair requirements across the full range of peacetime and wartime scenarios.

(5) Although it is possible that savings can be achieved by contracting with private-sector sources for the performance of some work currently performed by Department of Defense depots, the Department of Defense has not determined the type or amount of work that should be performed under contract with private-sector sources nor the relative costs and benefits of contracting for the performance of such work by those sources.

(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that there is a compelling need for the Department of Defense to articulate known and anticipated core maintenance and repair requirements, to organize the resources of the Department of Defense to meet those requirements economically and efficiently, and to determine what work should be performed by the private sector and how such work should be managed.

(c) REQUIREMENT FOR POLICY.—Not later than March 31, 1996, the Secretary of Defense shall develop and report to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives a comprehensive policy on the performance of depot-level maintenance and repair for the Department of Defense that maintains the capability described in section 2464 of title 10, United States Code.

(d) CONTENT OF POLICY.—In developing the policy, the Secretary of Defense shall do each of the following:

(1) Identify for each military department, with the concurrence of the Secretary of that military department, those depot-level maintenance and repair activities that are necessary to ensure the depot-level maintenance and repair capability as required by section 2464 of title 10, United States Code.

(2) Provide for performance of core depot-level maintenance and repair capabilities in facilities owned and operated by the United States.

(3) Provide for the core capabilities to include sufficient skilled personnel, equipment, and facilities that—

(A) is of the proper size (i) to ensure a ready and controlled source of technical competence and repair and maintenance capability necessary to meet the requirements of the National Military Strategy and other requirements for responding to mobilizations and military contingencies, and (ii) to provide for rapid augmentation in time of emergency; and

(B) is assigned sufficient workload to ensure cost efficiency and technical proficiency in time of peace.

(4) Address environmental liability.

(5) In the case of depot-level maintenance and repair workloads in excess of the workload required to be performed by Department of Defense depots, provide for competition for those workloads between public and private entities when there is sufficient potential for realizing cost savings based on adequate private-sector competition and technical capabilities.

(6) Address issues concerning exchange of technical data between the Federal Government and the private sector.

(7) Provide for, in the Secretary's discretion and after consultation with the Secretaries of the military departments, the transfer from one military department to another, in accordance with merit-based selection processes, workload that supports the core depot-level maintenance and repair capabilities in facilities owned and operated by the United States.

(8) Require that, in any competition for a workload (whether among private-sector sources or between depot-level activities of the Department of Defense and private-sector sources), bids are evaluated under a methodology that ensures that appropriate

costs to the Government and the private sector are identified.

(9) Provide for the performance of maintenance and repair for any new weapons systems defined as core, under section 2464 of title 10, United States Code, in facilities owned and operated by the United States.

(e) CONSIDERATIONS.—In developing the policy, the Secretary shall take into consideration the following matters:

(1) The national security interests of the United States.

(2) The capabilities of the public depots and the capabilities of businesses in the private sector to perform the maintenance and repair work required by the Department of Defense.

(3) Any applicable recommendations of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission that are required to be implemented under the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990.

(4) The extent to which the readiness of the Armed Forces would be affected by a necessity to construct new facilities to accommodate any redistribution of depot-level maintenance and repair workloads that is made in accordance with the recommendation of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission, under the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, that such workloads be consolidated at Department of Defense depots or private-sector facilities.

(5) Analyses of costs and benefits of alternatives, including a comparative analysis of—

(A) the costs and benefits, including any readiness implications, of any proposed policy to convert to contractor performance of depot-level maintenance and repair workloads where the workload is being performed by Department of Defense personnel; and

(B) the costs and benefits, including any readiness implications, of a policy to transfer depot-level maintenance and repair workloads among depots.

(f) REPEAL OF 60/40 REQUIREMENT AND REQUIREMENT RELATING TO COMPETITION.—(1) Sections 2466 and 2469 of title 10, United States Code, are repealed.

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 146 of such title is amended by striking out the items relating to sections 2466 and 2469.

(3) The amendments made by paragraphs (1) and (2) shall take effect on the date (after the date of the enactment of this Act) on which legislation is enacted that contains a provision that specifically states one of the following:

(A) "The policy on the performance of depot-level maintenance and repair for the Department of Defense that was submitted by the Secretary of Defense to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives pursuant to section 311 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1996 is approved."; or

(B) "The policy on the performance of depot-level maintenance and repair for the Department of Defense that was submitted by the Secretary of Defense to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives pursuant to section 311 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1996 is approved with the following modifications:" (with the modifications being stated in matter appearing after the colon).

(g) ANNUAL REPORT.—If legislation referred to in subsection (f)(3) is enacted, the Secretary of Defense shall, not later than March 1 of each year (beginning with the year after the year in which such legislation is enacted), submit to Congress a report that—

(1) specifies depot maintenance core capability requirements determined in accordance with the procedures established to comply with the policy prescribed pursuant to subsections (d)(2) and (d)(3);

(2) specifies the planned amount of workload to be accomplished by the depot-level activities of each military department in support of those requirements for the following fiscal year; and

(3) identifies the planned amount of workload, which—

(A) shall be measured by direct labor hours and by amounts to be expended; and

(B) shall be shown separately for each commodity group.

(h) REVIEW BY GENERAL ACCOUNTING OFFICE.—(1) The Secretary shall make available to the Comptroller General of the United States all information used by the Department of Defense in developing the policy under subsections (c) through (e) of this section.

(2) Not later than 45 days after the date on which the Secretary submits to Congress the report required by subsection (c), the Comptroller General shall transmit to Congress a report containing a detailed analysis of the Secretary's proposed policy as reported under such subsection.

(i) REPORT ON DEPOT-LEVEL MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR WORKLOAD.—Not later than March 31, 1996, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report on the depot-level maintenance and repair workload of the Department of Defense. The report shall, to the maximum extent practicable, include the following:

(1) An analysis of the need for and effect of the requirement under section 2466 of title 10, United States Code, that no more than 40 percent of the depot-level maintenance and repair work of the Department of Defense be contracted for performance by non-Government personnel, including a description of the effect on military readiness and the national security resulting from that requirement and a description of any specific difficulties experienced by the Department of Defense as a result of that requirement.

(2) An analysis of the distribution during the five fiscal years ending with fiscal year 1995 of the depot-level maintenance and repair workload of the Department of Defense between depot-level activities of the Department of Defense and non-Government personnel, measured by direct labor hours and by amounts expended, and displayed, for that five-year period and for each year of that period, so as to show (for each military department (and separately for the Navy and Marine Corps)) such distribution.

(3) A projection of the distribution during the five fiscal years beginning with fiscal year 1997 of the depot-level maintenance and repair workload of the Department of Defense between depot-level activities of the Department of Defense and non-Government personnel, measured by direct labor hours and by amounts expended, and displayed, for that five-year period and for each year of that period, so as to show (for each military department (and separately for the Navy and Marine Corps)) such distribution that would be accomplished under a new policy as required under subsection (c).

(j) OTHER REVIEW BY GENERAL ACCOUNTING OFFICE.—(1) The Comptroller General of the United States shall conduct an independent audit of the findings of the Secretary of Defense in the report under subsection (i). The Secretary of Defense shall provide to the Comptroller General for such purpose all information used by the Secretary in preparing such report.

(2) Not later than 45 days after the date on which the Secretary of Defense submits to Congress the report required under subsection (i), the Comptroller General shall transmit to Congress a report containing a detailed analysis of the report submitted under that subsection.

**SEC. 312. MANAGEMENT OF DEPOT EMPLOYEES.**

(a) DEPOT EMPLOYEES.—Chapter 146 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

**"§ 2472. Management of depot employees**

"(b) ANNUAL REPORT.—Not later than December 1 of each fiscal year, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives a report on the number of employees employed and expected to be employed by the Department of Defense during that fiscal year to perform depot-level maintenance and repair of materiel. The report shall indicate whether that number is sufficient to perform the depot-level maintenance and repair functions for which funds are expected to be provided for that fiscal year for performance by Department of Defense employees.".

(b) TRANSFER OF SUBSECTION.—Subsection (b) of section 2466 of title 10, United States Code, is transferred to section 2472 of such title, as added by subsection (a), redesignated as subsection (a), and inserted after the section heading.

(c) SUBMISSION OF INITIAL REPORT.—The report under subsection (b) of section 2472 of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a), for fiscal year 1996 shall be submitted not later than March 15, 1996 (notwithstanding the date specified in such subsection).

(d) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

"2472. Management of depot employees.".

**SEC. 313. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY FOR AVIATION DEPOTS AND NAVAL SHIPYARDS TO ENGAGE IN DEFENSE-RELATED PRODUCTION AND SERVICES.**

Section 1425(e) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1991 (Public Law 101-510; 104 Stat. 1684) is amended by striking out "September 30, 1995" and inserting in lieu thereof "September 30, 1996".

**SEC. 314. MODIFICATION OF NOTIFICATION REQUIREMENT REGARDING USE OF CORE LOGISTICS FUNCTIONS WAIVER.**

Section 2464(b) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking out paragraphs (3) and (4) and inserting in lieu thereof the following new paragraph:

"(3) A waiver under paragraph (2) may not take effect until the end of the 30-day period beginning on the date on which the Secretary submits a report on the waiver to the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate and the Committee on National Security and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives.".

**Subtitle C—Environmental Provisions**

**SEC. 321. REVISION OF REQUIREMENTS FOR AGREEMENTS FOR SERVICES UNDER ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION PROGRAM.**

(a) REQUIREMENTS.—(1) Section 2701(d) of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

"(d) SERVICES OF OTHER AGENCIES.—

"(1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to paragraph (2), the Secretary may enter into agreements on a reimbursable or other basis with any other Federal agency, or with any State or local government agency, to obtain the services of the agency to assist the Secretary in carrying out any of the Secretary's responsibilities under this section. Services which may be obtained under this subsection include the identification, investigation, and cleanup of any off-site contamination resulting from the release of a hazardous substance or waste at a facility under the Secretary's jurisdiction.

"(2) LIMITATION ON REIMBURSABLE AGREEMENTS.—An agreement with an agency under paragraph (1) may not provide for reimbursement of the agency for regulatory enforcement activities.".

(2)(A) Except as provided in subparagraph (B), the total amount of funds available for reimbursements under agreements entered into under section 2710(d) of title 10, United States Code, as amended by paragraph (1), in fiscal year 1996 may not exceed $10,000,000.

(B) The Secretary of Defense may pay in fiscal year 1996 an amount for reimbursements under agreements referred to in subparagraph (A) in excess of the amount specified in that subparagraph for that fiscal year if—

(i) the Secretary certifies to Congress that the payment of the amount under this subparagraph is essential for the management of the Defense Environmental Restoration Program under chapter 160 of title 10, United States Code; and

(ii) a period of 60 days has expired after the date on which the certification is received by Congress.

(b) REPORT ON SERVICES OBTAINED.—The Secretary of Defense shall include in the report submitted to Congress with respect to fiscal year 1998 under section 2706(a) of title 10, United States Code, information on the services, if any, obtained by the Secretary during fiscal year 1996 pursuant to each agreement on a reimbursable basis entered into with a State or local government agency under section 2701(d) of title 10, United States Code, as amended by subsection (a). The information shall include a description of the services obtained under each agreement and the amount of the reimbursement provided for the services.

**SEC. 322. ADDITION OF AMOUNTS CREDITABLE TO DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION ACCOUNT.**

Section 2703(e) of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

"(e) AMOUNTS RECOVERED.—The following amounts shall be credited to the transfer account:

"(1) Amounts recovered under CERCLA for response actions of the Secretary.

"(2) Any other amounts recovered by the Secretary or the Secretary of the military department concerned from a contractor, insurer, surety, or other person to reimburse the Department of Defense for any expenditure for environmental response activities.".

**SEC. 323. USE OF DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION ACCOUNT.**

(a) GOAL FOR CERTAIN DERA EXPENDITURES.—It shall be the goal of the Secretary of Defense to limit, by the end of fiscal year 1997, spending for administration, support, studies, and investigations associated with the Defense Environmental Restoration Account to 20 percent of the total funding for that account.

(b) REPORT.—Not later than April 1, 1996, the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report that contains specific, detailed information on—

(1) the extent to which the Secretary has attained the goal described in subsection (a) as of the date of the submission of the report; and

(2) if the Secretary has not attained such goal by such date, the actions the Secretary plans to take to attain the goal.

**SEC. 324. REVISION OF AUTHORITIES RELATING TO RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARDS.**

(a) REGULATIONS.—Paragraph (2) of subsection (d) of section 2705 of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

"(2)(A) The Secretary shall prescribe regulations regarding the establishment, characteristics, composition, and funding of restoration advisory boards pursuant to this subsection.

"(B) The issuance of regulations under subparagraph (A) shall not be a precondition to the establishment of restoration advisory boards under this subsection.".

(b) FUNDING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES.—Paragraph (3) of such subsection is amended to read as follows:

"(3) The Secretary may authorize the commander of an installation (or, if there is no such commander, an appropriate official of the Department of Defense designated by the Secretary) to pay routine administrative expenses of a restoration advisory board established for that installation. Such payments shall be made from funds available under subsection (g).".

(c) TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.—Such section is further amended by striking out subsection (e) and inserting in lieu thereof the following new subsection (e):

"(e) TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.—(1) The Secretary may, upon the request of the technical review committee or restoration advisory board for an installation, authorize the commander of the installation (or, if there is no such commander, an appropriate official of the Department of Defense designated by the Secretary) to obtain for the committee or advisory board, as the case may be, from private sector sources technical assistance for interpreting scientific and engineering issues with regard to the nature of environmental hazards at the installation and the restoration activities conducted, or proposed to be conducted, at the installation. The commander of an installation (or, if there is no such commander, an appropriate official of the Department of Defense designated by the Secretary) shall use funds made available under subsection (g) for obtaining assistance under this paragraph.

"(2) The commander of an installation (or, if there is no such commander, an appropriate official of the Department of Defense designated by the Secretary) may obtain technical assistance under paragraph (1) for a technical review committee or restoration advisory board only if—

"(A) the technical review committee or restoration advisory board demonstrates that the Federal, State, and local agencies responsible for overseeing environmental restoration at the installation, and available Department of Defense personnel, do not have the technical expertise necessary for achieving the objective for which the technical assistance is to be obtained; or

"(B) the technical assistance—

"(i) is likely to contribute to the efficiency, effectiveness, or timeliness of environmental restoration activities at the installation; and

"(ii) is likely to contribute to community acceptance of environmental restoration activities at the installation.".

(d) FUNDING.—(1) Such section is further amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(g) FUNDING.—The Secretary shall, to the extent provided in appropriations Acts, make funds available for administrative expenses and technical assistance under this section using funds in the following accounts:

"(1) In the case of a military installation not approved for closure pursuant to a base closure law, the Defense Environmental Restoration Account established under section 2703(a) of this title.

"(2) In the case of an installation approved for closure pursuant to such a law, the Department of Defense Base Closure Account 1990 established under section 2906(a) of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (part A of title XXIX of Public Law 101-510; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note).".

(2)(A) Subject to subparagraph (B), the total amount of funds made available under section 2705(g) of title 10, United States Code, as added by paragraph (1), for fiscal year 1996 may not exceed $6,000,000.

(B) Amounts may not be made available under subsection (g) of such section 2705 after September 15, 1996, unless the Secretary of Defense publishes proposed final or interim final regulations required under subsection (d) of such section, as amended by subsection (a).

(e) DEFINITION.—Such section is further amended by adding after subsection (g) (as added by subsection (d)) the following new subsection:

"(h) DEFINITION.—In this section, the term 'base closure law' means the following:

"(1) Title II of the Defense Authorization Amendments and Base Closure and Realignment Act (Public Law 100-526; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note).

"(2) The Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (part A of title XXIX of Public Law 101-510; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note).

"(3) Section 2687 of this title.".

(f) REPORTS ON ACTIVITIES OF TECHNICAL REVIEW COMMITTEES AND RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARDS.—Section 2706(a)(2) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(J) A statement of the activities, if any, including expenditures for administrative expenses and technical assistance under section 2705 of this title, of the technical review committee or restoration advisory board established for the installation under such section during the preceding fiscal year.".

**SEC. 325. DISCHARGES FROM VESSELS OF THE ARMED FORCES.**

(a) PURPOSES.—The purposes of this section are to—

(1) enhance the operational flexibility of vessels of the Armed Forces domestically and internationally;

(2) stimulate the development of innovative vessel pollution control technology; and

(3) advance the development by the United States Navy of environmentally sound ships.

(b) UNIFORM NATIONAL DISCHARGE STANDARDS DEVELOPMENT.—Section 312 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1322) is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(n) UNIFORM NATIONAL DISCHARGE STANDARDS FOR VESSELS OF THE ARMED FORCES.—

"(1) APPLICABILITY.—This subsection shall apply to vessels of the Armed Forces and discharges, other than sewage, incidental to the normal operation of a vessel of the Armed Forces, unless the Secretary of Defense finds that compliance with this subsection would not be in the national security interests of the United States.

"(2) DETERMINATION OF DISCHARGES REQUIRED TO BE CONTROLLED BY MARINE POLLUTION CONTROL DEVICES.—

"(A) IN GENERAL.—The Administrator and the Secretary of Defense, after consultation with the Secretary of the department in which the Coast Guard is operating, the Secretary of Commerce, and interested States, shall jointly determine the discharges incidental to the normal operation of a vessel of the Armed Forces for which it is reasonable and practicable to require use of a marine pollution control device to mitigate adverse impacts on the marine environment. Notwithstanding subsection (a)(1) of section 553 of title 5, United States Code, the Administrator and the Secretary of Defense shall promulgate the determinations in accordance with such section. The Secretary of Defense shall require the use of a marine pollution control device on board a vessel of the Armed Forces in any case in which it is determined that the use of such a device is reasonable and practicable.

"(B) CONSIDERATIONS.—In making a determination under subparagraph (A), the Administrator and the Secretary of Defense shall take into consideration—

"(i) the nature of the discharge;

"(ii) the environmental effects of the discharge;

"(iii) the practicability of using the marine pollution control device;

"(iv) the effect that installation or use of the marine pollution control device would have on the operation or operational capability of the vessel;

"(v) applicable United States law;

"(vi) applicable international standards; and

"(vii) the economic costs of the installation and use of the marine pollution control device.

"(3) PERFORMANCE STANDARDS FOR MARINE POLLUTION CONTROL DEVICES.—

"(A) IN GENERAL.—For each discharge for which a marine pollution control device is determined to be required under paragraph (2), the Administrator and the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of the department in which the Coast Guard is operating, the Secretary of State, the Secretary of Commerce, other interested Federal agencies, and interested States, shall jointly promulgate Federal standards of performance for each marine pollution control device required with respect to the discharge. Notwithstanding subsection (a)(1) of section 553 of title 5, United States Code, the Administrator and the Secretary of Defense shall promulgate the standards in accordance with such section.

"(B) CONSIDERATIONS.—In promulgating standards under this paragraph, the Administrator and the Secretary of Defense shall take into consideration the matters set forth in paragraph (2)(B).

"(C) CLASSES, TYPES, AND SIZES OF VESSELS.—The standards promulgated under this paragraph may—

"(i) distinguish among classes, types, and sizes of vessels;

"(ii) distinguish between new and existing vessels; and

"(iii) provide for a waiver of the applicability of the standards as necessary or appropriate to a particular class, type, age, or size of vessel.

"(4) REGULATIONS FOR USE OF MARINE POLLUTION CONTROL DEVICES.—The Secretary of Defense, after consultation with the Administrator and the Secretary of the department in which the Coast Guard is operating, shall promulgate such regulations governing the design, construction, installation, and use of marine pollution control devices on board vessels of the Armed Forces as are necessary to achieve the standards promulgated under paragraph (3).

"(5) DEADLINES; EFFECTIVE DATE.—

"(A) DETERMINATIONS.—The Administrator and the Secretary of Defense shall—

"(i) make the initial determinations under paragraph (2) not later than 2 years after the date of the enactment of this subsection; and

"(ii) every 5 years—

"(I) review the determinations; and

"(II) if necessary, revise the determinations based on significant new information.

"(B) STANDARDS.—The Administrator and the Secretary of Defense shall—

"(i) promulgate standards of performance for a marine pollution control device under paragraph (3) not later than 2 years after the date of a determination under paragraph (2) that the marine pollution control device is required; and

"(ii) every 5 years—

"(I) review the standards; and

"(II) if necessary, revise the standards, consistent with paragraph (3)(B) and based on significant new information.

"(C) REGULATIONS.—The Secretary of Defense shall promulgate regulations with respect to a marine pollution control device under paragraph (4) as soon as practicable after the Administrator and the Secretary of Defense promulgate standards with respect to the device under paragraph (3), but not later than 1 year after the Administrator and the Secretary of Defense promulgate the standards. The regulations promulgated by the Secretary of Defense under paragraph (4) shall become effective upon promulgation unless another effective date is specified in the regulations.

"(D) PETITION FOR REVIEW.—The Governor of any State may submit a petition requesting that the Secretary of Defense and the Administrator review a determination under paragraph (2) or a standard under paragraph (3), if there is significant new information, not considered previously, that could reasonably result in a change to the particular determination or standard after consideration of the matters set forth in paragraph (2)(B). The petition shall be accompanied by the scientific and technical information on which the petition is based. The Administrator and the Secretary of Defense shall grant or deny the petition not later than 2 years after the date of receipt of the petition.

"(6) EFFECT ON OTHER LAWS.—

"(A) PROHIBITION ON REGULATION BY STATES OR POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS OF STATES.—Beginning on the effective date of—

"(i) a determination under paragraph (2) that it is not reasonable and practicable to require use of a marine pollution control device regarding a particular discharge incidental to the normal operation of a vessel of the Armed Forces; or

"(ii) regulations promulgated by the Secretary of Defense under paragraph (4);

except as provided in paragraph (7), neither a State nor a political subdivision of a State may adopt or enforce any statute or regulation of the State or political subdivision with respect to the discharge or the design, construction, installation, or use of any marine pollution control device required to control discharges from a vessel of the Armed Forces.

"(B) FEDERAL LAWS.—This subsection shall not affect the application of section 311 to discharges incidental to the normal operation of a vessel.

"(7) ESTABLISHMENT OF STATE NO-DISCHARGE ZONES.—

"(A) STATE PROHIBITION.—

"(i) IN GENERAL.—After the effective date of—

"(I) a determination under paragraph (2) that it is not reasonable and practicable to require use of a marine pollution control device regarding a particular discharge incidental to the normal operation of a vessel of the Armed Forces; or

"(II) regulations promulgated by the Secretary of Defense under paragraph (4);

if a State determines that the protection and enhancement of the quality of some or all of the waters within the State require greater environmental protection, the State may prohibit 1 or more discharges incidental to the normal operation of a vessel, whether treated or not treated, into the waters. No prohibition shall apply until the Administrator makes the determinations described in subclauses (II) and (III) of subparagraph (B)(i).

"(ii) DOCUMENTATION.—To the extent that a prohibition under this paragraph would apply to vessels of the Armed Forces and not to other types of vessels, the State shall document the technical or environmental basis for the distinction.

"(B) PROHIBITION BY THE ADMINISTRATOR.—

"(i) IN GENERAL.—Upon application of a State, the Administrator shall by regulation prohibit the discharge from a vessel of 1 or more discharges incidental to the normal operation of a vessel, whether treated or not treated, into the waters covered by the application if the Administrator determines that—

"(I) the protection and enhancement of the quality of the specified waters within the State require a prohibition of the discharge into the waters;

"(II) adequate facilities for the safe and sanitary removal of the discharge incidental to the normal operation of a vessel are reasonably available for the waters to which the prohibition would apply; and

"(III) the prohibition will not have the effect of discriminating against a vessel of the Armed Forces by reason of the ownership or operation by the Federal Government, or the military function, of the vessel.

"(ii) APPROVAL OR DISAPPROVAL.—The Administrator shall approve or disapprove an application submitted under clause (i) not later than 90 days after the date on which the application is submitted to the Administrator. Notwithstanding clause (i)(II), the Administrator shall not disapprove an application for the sole reason that there are not adequate facilities to remove any discharge incidental to the normal operation of a vessel from vessels of the Armed Forces.

"(C) APPLICABILITY TO FOREIGN FLAGGED VESSELS.—A prohibition under this paragraph—

"(i) shall not impose any design, construction, manning, or equipment standard on a foreign flagged vessel engaged in innocent passage unless the prohibition implements a generally accepted international rule or standard; and

"(ii) that relates to the prevention, reduction, and control of pollution shall not apply to a foreign flagged vessel engaged in transit passage unless the prohibition implements an applicable international regulation regarding the discharge of oil, oily waste, or any other noxious substance into the waters.

"(8) PROHIBITION RELATING TO VESSELS OF THE ARMED FORCES.—After the effective date of the regulations promulgated by the Secretary of Defense under paragraph (4), it

shall be unlawful for any vessel of the Armed Forces subject to the regulations to—

"(A) operate in the navigable waters of the United States or the waters of the contiguous zone, if the vessel is not equipped with any required marine pollution control device meeting standards established under this subsection; or

"(B) discharge overboard any discharge incidental to the normal operation of a vessel in waters with respect to which a prohibition on the discharge has been established under paragraph (7).

"(9) ENFORCEMENT.—This subsection shall be enforceable, as provided in subsections (j) and (k), against any agency of the United States responsible for vessels of the Armed Forces notwithstanding any immunity asserted by the agency.".

(c) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) DEFINITIONS.—Section 312(a) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1322(a)) is amended—

(A) in paragraph (8)—

(i) by striking "or"; and

(ii) by inserting "or agency of the United States," after "association,";

(B) in paragraph (11), by striking the period at the end and inserting a semicolon; and

(C) by adding at the end the following:

"(12) 'discharge incidental to the normal operation of a vessel'—

"(A) means a discharge, including—

"(i) graywater, bilge water, cooling water, weather deck runoff, ballast water, oil water separator effluent, and any other pollutant discharge from the operation of a marine propulsion system, shipboard maneuvering system, crew habitability system, or installed major equipment, such as an aircraft carrier elevator or a catapult, or from a protective, preservative, or absorptive application to the hull of the vessel; and

"(ii) a discharge in connection with the testing, maintenance, and repair of a system described in clause (i) whenever the vessel is waterborne; and

"(B) does not include—

"(i) a discharge of rubbish, trash, garbage, or other such material discharged overboard;

"(ii) an air emission resulting from the operation of a vessel propulsion system, motor driven equipment, or incinerator; or

"(iii) a discharge that is not covered by part 122.3 of title 40, Code of Federal Regulations (as in effect on the date of the enactment of subsection (n));

"(13) 'marine pollution control device' means any equipment or management practice, for installation or use on board a vessel of the Armed Forces, that is—

"(A) designed to receive, retain, treat, control, or discharge a discharge incidental to the normal operation of a vessel; and

"(B) determined by the Administrator and the Secretary of Defense to be the most effective equipment or management practice to reduce the environmental impacts of the discharge consistent with the considerations set forth in subsection (n)(2)(B); and

"(14) 'vessel of the Armed Forces' means—

"(A) any vessel owned or operated by the Department of Defense, other than a time or voyage chartered vessel; and

"(B) any vessel owned or operated by the Department of Transportation that is designated by the Secretary of the department in which the Coast Guard is operating as a vessel equivalent to a vessel described in subparagraph (A).".

(2) ENFORCEMENT.—The first sentence of section 312(j) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1322(j)) is amended—

(A) by striking "of this section or" and inserting a comma; and

(B) by striking "of this section shall" and inserting ", or subsection (n)(8) shall".

(3) OTHER DEFINITIONS.—Subparagraph (A) of the second sentence of section 502(6) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1362(6)) is amended by striking "'sewage from vessels'" and inserting "'sewage from vessels or a discharge incidental to the normal operation of a vessel of the Armed Forces'".

(d) COOPERATION IN STANDARDS DEVELOPMENT.—The Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency and the Secretary of Defense may, by mutual agreement, with or without reimbursement, provide for the use of information, reports, personnel, or other resources of the Environmental Protection Agency or the Department of Defense to carry out section 312(n) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (as added by subsection (b)), including the use of the resources—

(1) to determine—

(A) the nature and environmental effect of discharges incidental to the normal operation of a vessel of the Armed Forces;

(B) the practicability of using marine pollution control devices on vessels of the Armed Forces; and

(C) the effect that installation or use of marine pollution control devices on vessels of the Armed Forces would have on the operation or operational capability of the vessels; and

(2) to establish performance standards for marine pollution control devices on vessels of the Armed Forces.

## Subtitle D—Commissaries and Nonappropriated Fund Instrumentalities

### SEC. 331. OPERATION OF COMMISSARY SYSTEM.

(a) COOPERATION WITH OTHER ENTITIES.—Section 2482 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in the section heading, by striking out "**private**";

(2) by inserting "(a) PRIVATE OPERATION.—" before "Private persons"; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(b) CONTRACTS WITH OTHER AGENCIES AND INSTRUMENTALITIES.—(1) The Defense Commissary Agency, and any other agency of the Department of Defense that supports the operation of the commissary system, may enter into a contract or other agreement with another department, agency, or instrumentality of the Department of Defense or another Federal agency to provide services beneficial to the efficient management and operation of the commissary system.

"(2) A commissary store operated by a nonappropriated fund instrumentality of the Department of Defense shall be operated in accordance with section 2484 of this title. Subject to such section, the Secretary of Defense may authorize a transfer of goods, supplies, and facilities of, and funds appropriated for, the Defense Commissary Agency or any other agency of the Department of Defense that supports the operation of the commissary system to a nonappropriated fund instrumentality for the operation of a commissary store.".

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The item relating to such section in the table of sections at the beginning of chapter 147 of such title is amended to read as follows:

"2482. Commissary stores: operation.".

### SEC. 332. LIMITED RELEASE OF COMMISSARY STORES SALES INFORMATION TO MANUFACTURERS, DISTRIBUTORS, AND OTHER VENDORS DOING BUSINESS WITH DEFENSE COMMISSARY AGENCY.

Section 2487(b) of title 10, United States Code, is amended in the second sentence by inserting before the period the following: "unless the agreement is between the Defense Commissary Agency and a manufacturer, distributor, or other vendor doing business with the Agency and is restricted to information directly related to merchandise provided by that manufacturer, distributor, or vendor".

### SEC. 333. ECONOMICAL DISTRIBUTION OF DISTILLED SPIRITS BY NONAPPROPRIATED FUND INSTRUMENTALITIES.

(a) ECONOMICAL DISTRIBUTION.—Subsection (a)(1) of section 2488 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after "most competitive source" the following: "and distributed in the most economical manner".

(b) DETERMINATION OF MOST ECONOMICAL DISTRIBUTION METHOD.—Such section is further amended—

(1) by redesignating subsection (c) as subsection (d); and

(2) by inserting after subsection (b) the following new subsection:

"(c)(1) In the case of covered alcoholic beverage purchases of distilled spirits, to determine whether a nonappropriated fund instrumentality of the Department of Defense provides the most economical method of distribution to package stores, the Secretary of Defense shall consider all components of the distribution costs incurred by the nonappropriated fund instrumentality, such as overhead costs (including costs associated with management, logistics, administration, depreciation, and utilities), the costs of carrying inventory, and handling and distribution costs.

"(2) If the use of a private distributor would subject covered alcoholic beverage purchases of distilled spirits to direct or indirect State taxation, a nonappropriated fund instrumentality shall be considered to be the most economical method of distribution regardless of the results of the determination under paragraph (1).

"(3) The Secretary shall use the agencies performing audit functions on behalf of the armed forces and the Inspector General of the Department of Defense to make determinations under this subsection.".

### SEC. 334. TRANSPORTATION BY COMMISSARIES AND EXCHANGES TO OVERSEAS LOCATIONS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 157 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

**"§ 2643. Commissary and exchange services: transportation overseas**

"The Secretary of Defense shall authorize the officials responsible for operation of commissaries and military exchanges to negotiate directly with private carriers for the most cost-effective transportation of commissary and exchange supplies by sea without relying on the Military Sealift Command or the Military Traffic Management Command. Section 2631 of this title, regarding the preference for vessels of the United States or belonging to the United States in the transportation of supplies by sea, shall apply to the negotiation of transportation contracts under the authority of this section.".

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

"2643. Commissary and exchange services: transportation overseas.".

**SEC. 335. DEMONSTRATION PROJECT FOR UNIFORM FUNDING OF MORALE, WELFARE, AND RECREATION ACTIVITIES AT CERTAIN MILITARY INSTALLATIONS.**

(a) DEMONSTRATION PROJECT REQUIRED.—(1) The Secretary of Defense shall conduct a demonstration project to evaluate the feasibility of using only nonappropriated funds to support morale, welfare, and recreation programs at military installations in order to facilitate the procurement of property and services for those programs and the management of employees used to carry out those programs.

(2) Under the demonstration project—

(A) procurements of property and services for programs referred to in paragraph (1) may be carried out in accordance with laws and regulations applicable to procurements paid for with nonappropriated funds; and

(B) appropriated funds available for such programs may be expended in accordance with laws applicable to expenditures of nonappropriated funds as if the appropriated funds were nonappropriated funds.

(3) The Secretary shall prescribe regulations to carry out paragraph (2). The regulations shall provide for financial management and accounting of appropriated funds expended in accordance with subparagraph (B) of such paragraph.

(b) COVERED MILITARY INSTALLATIONS.—The Secretary shall select not less than three and not more than six military installations to participate in the demonstration project.

(c) PERIOD OF DEMONSTRATION PROJECT.—The demonstration project shall terminate not later than September 30, 1998.

(d) EFFECT ON EMPLOYEES.—For the purpose of testing fiscal accounting procedures, the Secretary may convert, for the duration of the demonstration project, the status of an employee who carries out a program referred to in subsection (a)(1) from the status of an employee paid by appropriated funds to the status of a nonappropriated fund instrumentality employee, except that such conversion may occur only—

(1) if the employee whose status is to be converted—

(A) is fully informed of the effects of such conversion on the terms and conditions of the employment of that employee for purposes of title 5, United States Code, and on the benefits provided to that employee under such title; and

(B) consents to such conversion; or

(2) in a manner which does not affect such terms and conditions of employment or such benefits.

(e) REPORTS.—(1) Not later than six months after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to Congress an interim report on the implementation of this section.

(2) Not later than December 31, 1998, the Secretary shall submit to Congress a final report on the results of the demonstration project. The report shall include a comparison of—

(A) the cost incurred under the demonstration project in using employees paid by appropriated funds together with nonappropriated fund instrumentality employees to carry out the programs referred to in subsection (a)(1); and

(B) an estimate of the cost that would have been incurred if only nonappropriated fund instrumentality employees had been used to carry out such programs.

**SEC. 336. OPERATION OF COMBINED EXCHANGE AND COMMISSARY STORES.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—(1) Chapter 147 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

**"§ 2490a. Combined exchange and commissary stores**

"(a) AUTHORITY.—The Secretary of Defense may authorize a nonappropriated fund instrumentality to operate a military exchange and a commissary store as a combined exchange and commissary store on a military installation.

"(b) LIMITATIONS.—(1) Not more than ten combined exchange and commissary stores may be operated pursuant to this section.

"(2) The Secretary may select a military installation for the operation of a combined exchange and commissary store under this section only if—

"(A) the installation is to be closed, or has been or is to be realigned, under a base closure law; or

"(B) a military exchange and a commissary store are operated at the installation by separate entities at the time of, or immediately before, such selection and it is not economically feasible to continue that separate operation.

"(c) OPERATION AT CARSWELL FIELD.—Combined exchange and commissary stores operated under this section shall include the combined exchange and commissary store that is operated at the Naval Air Station Fort Worth, Joint Reserve Center, Carswell Field, Texas, under the authority provided in section 375 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (Public Law 103-337; 108 Stat. 2736).

"(d) ADJUSTMENTS AND SURCHARGES.—Adjustments to, and surcharges on, the sales price of a grocery food item sold in a combined exchange and commissary store under this section shall be provided for in accordance with the same laws that govern such adjustments and surcharges for items sold in a commissary store of the Defense Commissary Agency.

"(e) USE OF APPROPRIATED FUNDS.—(1) If a nonappropriated fund instrumentality incurs a loss in operating a combined exchange and commissary store at a military installation under this section as a result of the requirement set forth in subsection (d), the Secretary may authorize a transfer of funds available for the Defense Commissary Agency to the nonappropriated fund instrumentality to offset the loss.

"(2) The total amount of appropriated funds transferred during a fiscal year to support the operation of a combined exchange and commissary store at a military installation under this section may not exceed an amount that is equal to 25 percent of the amount of appropriated funds that was provided for the operation of the commissary store of the Defense Commissary Agency on that installation during the last full fiscal year of operation of that commissary store.

"(f) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

"(1) The term 'nonappropriated fund instrumentality' means the Army and Air Force Exchange Service, Navy Exchange Service Command, Marine Corps exchanges, or any other instrumentality of the United States under the jurisdiction of the Armed Forces which is conducted for the comfort, pleasure, contentment, or physical or mental improvement of members of the Armed Forces.

"(2) The term 'base closure law' has the meaning given such term by section 2667(g) of this title.".

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

"2490a. Combined exchange and commissary stores.".

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 375 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (Public Law 103-337; 108 Stat. 2736) is amended by striking out ", until December 31, 1995,".

**SEC. 337. DEFERRED PAYMENT PROGRAMS OF MILITARY EXCHANGES.**

(a) USE OF COMMERCIAL BANKING INSTITUTION.—(1) As soon as practicable after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall seek to enter into an agreement with a commercial banking institution under which the institution agrees to finance and operate the deferred payment program of the Army and Air Force Exchange Service and the deferred payment program of the Navy Exchange Service Command. The Secretary shall use competitive procedures to enter into an agreement under this paragraph.

(2) In order to facilitate the transition of the operation of the programs referred to in paragraph (1) to commercial operation under an agreement described in that paragraph, the Secretary may initially limit the scope of any such agreement so as to apply to only one of the programs.

(b) REPORT.—Not later than December 31, 1995, the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report on the implementation of this section. The report shall also include an analysis of the impact of the deferred payment programs referred to in subsection (a)(1), including the impact of the default and collection procedures under such programs, on members of the Armed Forces and their families.

**SEC. 338. AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS TO OFFSET EXPENSES INCURRED BY ARMY AND AIR FORCE EXCHANGE SERVICE ON ACCOUNT OF TROOP REDUCTIONS IN EUROPE.**

Of funds authorized to be appropriated under section 301(5), not less than $70,000,000 shall be available to the Secretary of Defense for transfer to the Army and Air Force Exchange Service to offset expenses incurred by the Army and Air Force Exchange Service on account of reductions in the number of members of the United States Armed Forces assigned to permanent duty ashore in Europe.

**SEC. 339. STUDY REGARDING IMPROVING EFFICIENCIES IN OPERATION OF MILITARY EXCHANGES AND OTHER MORALE, WELFARE, AND RECREATION ACTIVITIES AND COMMISSARY STORES.**

(a) STUDY REQUIRED.—The Secretary of Defense shall conduct a study regarding the manner in which greater efficiencies can be achieved in the operation of—

(1) military exchanges;

(2) other instrumentalities of the United States under the jurisdiction of the Armed Forces which are conducted for the comfort, pleasure, contentment, or physical or mental improvement of members of the Armed Forces; and

(3) commissary stores.

(b) REPORT OF STUDY.—Not later than March 1, 1996, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report describing the results of the study and containing such recommendations as the Secretary considers appropriate to implement options identified in the study to achieve the greater efficiencies referred to in subsection (a).

**SEC. 340. REPEAL OF REQUIREMENT TO CONVERT SHIPS' STORES TO NONAPPROPRIATED FUND INSTRUMENTALITIES.**

(a) REPEAL.—Section 371 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year

1994 (Public Law 103-160; 10 U.S.C. 7604 note) is amended—

(1) by striking out subsections (a) and (b); and

(2) by redesignating subsections (c) and (d) as subsections (a) and (b), respectively.

(b) INSPECTOR GENERAL REVIEW.—Not later than April 1, 1996, the Inspector General of the Department of Defense shall submit to Congress a report that reviews the report on the costs and benefits of converting to operation of Navy ships' stores by nonappropriated fund instrumentalities that the Navy Audit Agency prepared in connection with the postponement of the deadline for the conversion provided for in section 374(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (Public Law 103-337; 108 Stat. 2736).

**SEC. 341. DISPOSITION OF EXCESS MORALE, WELFARE, AND RECREATION FUNDS.**

Section 2219 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in the first sentence, by striking out "a military department" and inserting in lieu thereof "an armed force";

(2) in the second sentence—

(A) by striking out ", department-wide"; and

(B) by striking out "of the military department" and inserting in lieu thereof "for that armed force"; and

(3) by adding at the end the following: "This section does not apply to the Coast Guard.".

**SEC. 342. CLARIFICATION OF ENTITLEMENT TO USE OF MORALE, WELFARE, AND RECREATION FACILITIES BY MEMBERS OF RESERVE COMPONENTS AND DEPENDENTS.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1065 of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

**"§ 1065. Morale, welfare, and recreation retail facilities: use by members of reserve components and dependents**

"(a) MEMBERS OF THE SELECTED RESERVE.—A member of the Selected Reserve in good standing (as determined by the Secretary concerned) shall be permitted to use MWR retail facilities on the same basis as members on active duty.

"(b) MEMBERS OF READY RESERVE NOT IN SELECTED RESERVE.—Subject to such regulations as the Secretary of Defense may prescribe, a member of the Ready Reserve (other than members of the Selected Reserve) may be permitted to use MWR retail facilities on the same basis as members serving on active duty.

"(c) RESERVE RETIREES UNDER AGE 60.—A member or former member of a reserve component under 60 years of age who, but for age, would be eligible for retired pay under chapter 1223 of this title shall be permitted to use MWR retail facilities on the same basis as members of the armed forces entitled to retired pay under any other provision of law.

"(d) DEPENDENTS.—(1) Dependents of a member who is permitted under subsection (a) or (b) to use MWR retail facilities shall be permitted to use such facilities on the same basis as dependents of members on active duty.

"(2) Dependents of a member who is permitted under subsection (c) to use MWR retail facilities shall be permitted to use such facilities on the same basis as dependents of members of the armed forces entitled to retired pay under any other provision of law.

"(e) MWR RETAIL FACILITY DEFINED.—In this section, the term 'MWR retail facilities' means exchange stores and other revenue-generating facilities operated by nonappropriated fund activities of the Department of Defense for the morale, welfare, and recreation of members of the armed forces.".

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The item relating to such section in the table of sections at the beginning of chapter 54 of such title is amended to read as follows:

"1065. Morale, welfare, and recreation retail facilities: use by members of reserve components and dependents.".

**Subtitle E—Performance of Functions by Private-Sector Sources**

**SEC. 351. COMPETITIVE PROCUREMENT OF PRINTING AND DUPLICATION SERVICES.**

(a) REQUIREMENT FOR COMPETITIVE PROCUREMENT.—Except as provided in subsection (b), the Secretary of Defense shall, during fiscal year 1996 and consistent with the requirements of title 44, United States Code, competitively procure printing and duplication services from private-sector sources for the performance of at least 70 percent of the total printing and duplication requirements of the Defense Printing Service.

(b) EXCEPTION FOR CLASSIFIED INFORMATION.—The requirement of subsection (a) shall not apply to the procurement of services for printing and duplicating classified documents and information.

**SEC. 352. DIRECT VENDOR DELIVERY SYSTEM FOR CONSUMABLE INVENTORY ITEMS OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.**

(a) IMPLEMENTATION OF DIRECT VENDOR DELIVERY SYSTEM.—Not later than September 30, 1997, the Secretary of Defense shall, to the maximum extent practicable, implement a system under which consumable inventory items referred to in subsection (b) are delivered to military installations throughout the United States directly by the vendors of those items. The purpose for implementing the system is to reduce the expense and necessity of maintaining extensive warehouses for those items within the Department of Defense.

(b) COVERED ITEMS.—The items referred to in subsection (a) are the following:

(1) Food and clothing.

(2) Medical and pharmaceutical supplies.

(3) Automotive, electrical, fuel, and construction supplies.

(4) Other consumable inventory items the Secretary considers appropriate.

**SEC. 353. PAYROLL, FINANCE, AND ACCOUNTING FUNCTIONS OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.**

(a) PLAN FOR PRIVATE OPERATION OF CERTAIN FUNCTIONS.—(1) Not later than October 1, 1996, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a plan for the performance by private-sector sources of payroll functions for civilian employees of the Department of Defense other than employees paid from nonappropriated funds.

(2)(A) The Secretary shall implement the plan referred to in paragraph (1) if the Secretary determines that the cost of performance by private-sector sources of the functions referred to in that paragraph does not exceed the cost of performance of those functions by employees of the Federal Government.

(B) In computing the total cost of performance of such functions by employees of the Federal Government, the Secretary shall include the following:

(i) Managerial and administrative costs.

(ii) Personnel costs, including the cost of providing retirement benefits for such personnel.

(iii) Costs associated with the provision of facilities and other support by Federal agencies.

(C) The Defense Contract Audit Agency shall verify the costs computed for the Secretary under this paragraph by others.

(3) At the same time the Secretary submits the plan required by paragraph (1), the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report on other accounting and finance functions of the Department that are appropriate for performance by private-sector sources.

(b) PILOT PROGRAM FOR PRIVATE OPERATION OF NAFI FUNCTIONS.—(1) The Secretary shall carry out a pilot program to test the performance by private-sector sources of payroll and other accounting and finance functions of nonappropriated fund instrumentalities and to evaluate the extent to which cost savings and efficiencies would result from the performance of such functions by those sources.

(2) The payroll and other accounting and finance functions designated by the Secretary for performance by private-sector sources under the pilot program shall include at least one major payroll, accounting, or finance function.

(3) To carry out the pilot program, the Secretary shall enter into discussions with private-sector sources for the purpose of developing a request for proposals to be issued for performance by those sources of functions designated by the Secretary under paragraph (2). The discussions shall be conducted on a schedule that accommodates issuance of a request for proposals within 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(4) A goal of the pilot program is to reduce by at least 25 percent the total costs incurred by the Department annually for the performance of a function referred to in paragraph (2) through the performance of that function by a private-sector source.

(5) Before conducting the pilot program, the Secretary shall develop a plan for the program that addresses the following:

(A) The purposes of the program.

(B) The methodology, duration, and anticipated costs of the program, including the cost of an arrangement pursuant to which a private-sector source would receive an agreed-upon payment plus an additional negotiated amount not to exceed 50 percent of the dollar savings achieved in excess of the goal specified in paragraph (4).

(C) A specific citation to any provisions of law, rule, or regulation that, if not waived, would prohibit the conduct of the program or any part of the program.

(D) A mechanism to evaluate the program.

(E) A provision for all payroll, accounting, and finance functions of nonappropriated fund instrumentalities of the Department of Defense to be performed by private-sector sources, if determined advisable on the basis of a final assessment of the results of the program.

(6) The Secretary shall act through the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) in the performance of the Secretary's responsibilities under this subsection.

(c) LIMITATION ON OPENING OF NEW OPERATING LOCATIONS FOR DEFENSE FINANCE AND ACCOUNTING SERVICE.—(1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), the Secretary may not establish a new operating location for the Defense Finance and Accounting Service during fiscal year 1996.

(2) The Secretary may establish a new operating location for the Defense Finance and Accounting Service if—

(A) for a new operating location that the Secretary planned before the date of the enactment of this Act to establish on or after

that date, the Secretary reconsiders the need for establishing that new operating location; and

(B) for each new operating location, including a new operating location referred to in subparagraph (A)—

(i) the Secretary submits to Congress, as part of the report required by subsection (a)(4), an analysis of the need for establishing the new operating location; and

(ii) a period of 30 days elapses after the Congress receives the report.

(3) In this subsection, the term "new operating location" means an operating location that is not in operation on the date of the enactment of this Act, except that such term does not include an operating location for which, as of such date—

(A) the Secretary has established a date for the commencement of operations; and

(B) funds have been expended for the purpose of its establishment.

**SEC. 354. DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM TO IDENTIFY OVERPAYMENTS MADE TO VENDORS.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense shall conduct a demonstration program to evaluate the feasibility of using private contractors to audit accounting and procurement records of the Department of Defense in order to identify overpayments made to vendors by the Department. The demonstration program shall be conducted for the Defense Logistics Agency and include the Defense Personnel Support Center.

(b) PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS.—(1) Under the demonstration program, the Secretary shall, by contract, provide for one or more persons to audit the accounting and procurement records of the Defense Logistics Agency that relate to (at least) fiscal years 1993, 1994, and 1995. The Secretary may enter into more than one contract under the program.

(2) A contract under the demonstration program shall require the contractor to use data processing techniques that are generally used in audits of private-sector records similar to the records audited under the contract.

(c) AUDIT REQUIREMENTS.—In conducting an audit under the demonstration program, a contractor shall compare Department of Defense purchase agreements (and related documents) with invoices submitted by vendors under the purchase agreements. A purpose of the comparison is to identify, in the case of each audited purchase agreement, the following:

(1) Any payments to the vendor for costs that are not allowable under the terms of the purchase agreement or by law.

(2) Any amounts not deducted from the total amount paid to the vendor under the purchase agreement that should have been deducted from that amount on account of goods and services provided to the vendor by the Department.

(3) Duplicate payments.

(4) Unauthorized charges.

(5) Other discrepancies between the amount paid to the vendor and the amount actually due the vendor under the purchase agreement.

(d) BONUS PAYMENT.—To the extent provided for in a contract under the demonstration program, the Secretary may pay the contractor a bonus in addition to any other amount paid for performance of the contract. The amount of such bonus may not exceed the amount that is equal to 25 percent of all amounts recovered by the United States on the basis of information obtained as a result of the audit performed under the contract. Any such bonus shall be paid out of amounts made available pursuant to subsection (e).

(e) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.—Of the amount authorized to be appropriated pursuant to section 301(5), not more than $5,000,000 shall be available for the demonstration program.

**SEC. 355. PILOT PROGRAM ON PRIVATE OPERATION OF DEFENSE DEPENDENTS' SCHOOLS.**

(a) PILOT PROGRAM.—The Secretary of Defense may conduct a pilot program to evaluate the feasibility of using private contractors to operate schools of the defense dependents' education system established under section 1402(a) of the Defense Dependents' Education Act of 1978 (20 U.S.C. 921(a)).

(b) SELECTION OF SCHOOL FOR PROGRAM.—If the Secretary conducts the pilot program, the Secretary shall select one school of the defense dependents' education system for participation in the program and provide for the operation of the school by a private contractor for not less than one complete school year.

(c) REPORT.—Not later than 30 days after the end of the first school year in which the pilot program is conducted, the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report on the results of the program. The report shall include the recommendation of the Secretary with respect to the extent to which other schools of the defense dependents' education system should be operated by private contractors.

**SEC. 356. PROGRAM FOR IMPROVED TRAVEL PROCESS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—(1) The Secretary of Defense shall conduct a program to evaluate options to improve the Department of Defense travel process. To carry out the program, the Secretary shall compare the results of the tests conducted under subsection (b) to determine which travel process tested under such subsection is the better option to effectively manage travel of Department personnel.

(2) The program shall be conducted at not less than three and not more than six military installations, except that an installation may be the subject of only one test conducted under the program.

(3) The Secretary shall act through the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) in the performance of the Secretary's responsibilities under this section.

(b) CONDUCT OF TESTS.—(1) The Secretary shall conduct a test at an installation referred to in subsection (a)(2) under which the Secretary—

(A) implements the changes proposed to be made with respect to the Department of Defense travel process by the task force on travel management that was established by the Secretary in July 1994;

(B) manages and uniformly applies that travel process (including the implemented changes) throughout the Department; and

(C) provides opportunities for private-sector sources to provide travel reservation services and credit card services to facilitate that travel process.

(2) The Secretary shall conduct a test at an installation referred to in subsection (a)(2) under which the Secretary—

(A) enters into one or more contracts with a private-sector source pursuant to which the private-sector source manages the Department of Defense travel process (except for functions referred to in subparagraph (B)), provides for responsive, reasonably priced services as part of the travel process, and uniformly applies the travel process throughout the Department; and

(B) provides for the performance by employees of the Department of only those travel functions, such as travel authorization, that the Secretary considers to be necessary to be performed by such employees.

(3) Each test required by this subsection shall begin not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act and end two years after the date on which it began. Each such test shall also be conducted in accordance with the guidelines for travel management issued for the Department by the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller).

(c) EVALUATION CRITERIA.—The Secretary shall establish criteria to evaluate the travel processes tested under subsection (b). The criteria shall, at a minimum, include the extent to which a travel process provides for the following:

(1) The coordination, at the time of a travel reservation, of travel policy and cost estimates with the mission which necessitates the travel.

(2) The use of fully integrated travel solutions envisioned by the travel reengineering report of the Department of Defense dated January 1995.

(3) The coordination of credit card data and travel reservation data with cost estimate data.

(4) The elimination of the need for multiple travel approvals through the coordination of such data with proposed travel plans.

(5) A responsive and flexible management information system that enables the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) to monitor travel expenses throughout the year, accurately plan travel budgets for future years, and assess, in the case of travel of an employee on temporary duty, the relationship between the cost of the travel and the value of the travel to the accomplishment of the mission which necessitates the travel.

(d) PLAN FOR PROGRAM.—Before conducting the program, the Secretary shall develop a plan for the program that addresses the following:

(1) The purposes of the program, including the achievement of an objective of reducing by at least 50 percent the total cost incurred by the Department annually to manage the Department of Defense travel process.

(2) The methodology and anticipated cost of the program, including the cost of an arrangement pursuant to which a private-sector source would receive an agreed-upon payment plus an additional negotiated amount that does not exceed 50 percent of the total amount saved in excess of the objective specified in paragraph (1).

(3) A specific citation to any provision or law, rule, or regulation that, if not waived, would prohibit the conduct of the program or any part of the program.

(4) The evaluation criteria established pursuant to subsection (c).

(5) A provision for implementing throughout the Department the travel process determined to be the better option to effectively manage travel of Department personnel on the basis of a final assessment of the results of the program.

(e) REPORT.—After the first full year of the conduct of the tests required by subsection (b), the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives a report on the implementation of the program. The report shall include an analysis of the evaluation criteria established pursuant to subsection (c).

**SEC. 357. INCREASED RELIANCE ON PRIVATE-SECTOR SOURCES FOR COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS AND SERVICES.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense shall endeavor to carry out through a private-sector source any activity to provide a commercial product or service for the Department of Defense if—

(1) the product or service can be provided adequately through such a source; and

(2) an adequate competitive environment exists to provide for economical performance of the activity by such a source.

(b) APPLICABILITY.—(1) Subsection (a) shall not apply to any commercial product or service with respect to which the Secretary determines that production, manufacture, or provision of that product or service by the Government is necessary for reasons of national security.

(2) A determination under paragraph (1) shall be made in accordance with regulations prescribed under subsection (c).

(c) REGULATIONS.—The Secretary shall prescribe regulations to carry out this section. Such regulations shall be prescribed in consultation with the Director of the Office of Management and Budget.

(d) REPORT.—(1) The Secretary shall identify activities of the Department (other than activities specified by the Secretary pursuant to subsection (b)) that are carried out by employees of the Department to provide commercial-type products or services for the Department.

(2) Not later than April 15, 1996, the Secretary shall transmit to the congressional defense committees a report on opportunities for increased use of private-sector sources to provide commercial products and services for the Department.

(3) The report required by paragraph (2) shall include the following:

(A) A list of activities identified under paragraph (1) indicating, for each activity, whether the Secretary proposes to convert the performance of that activity to performance by private-sector sources and, if not, the reasons why.

(B) An assessment of the advantages and disadvantages of using private-sector sources, rather than employees of the Department, to provide commercial products and services for the Department that are not essential to the warfighting mission of the Armed Forces.

(C) A specification of all legislative and regulatory impediments to converting the performance of activities identified under paragraph (1) to performance by private-sector sources.

(D) The views of the Secretary on the desirability of terminating the applicability of OMB Circular A-76 to the Department.

(4) The Secretary shall carry out paragraph (1) in consultation with the Director of the Office of Management and Budget and the Comptroller General of the United States. In carrying out that paragraph, the Secretary shall consult with, and seek the views of, representatives of the private sector, including organizations representing small businesses.

**Subtitle F—Miscellaneous Reviews, Studies, and Reports**

**SEC. 361. QUARTERLY READINESS REPORTS.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—(1) Chapter 22 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

**"§ 452. Quarterly readiness reports**

"(a) REQUIREMENT.—Not later than 30 days after the end of each calendar-year quarter, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives a report on military readiness. The report for any quarter shall be based on assessments that are provided during that quarter—

"(1) to any council, committee, or other body of the Department of Defense (A) that has responsibility for readiness oversight, and (B) the membership of which includes at least one civilian officer in the Office of the Secretary of Defense at the level of Assistant Secretary of Defense or higher;

"(2) by senior civilian and military officers of the military departments and the commanders of the unified and specified commands; and

"(3) as part of any regularly established process of periodic readiness reviews for the Department of Defense as a whole.

"(b) MATTERS TO BE INCLUDED.—Each such report shall—

"(1) specifically describe identified readiness problems or deficiencies and planned remedial actions; and

"(2) include the key indicators and other relevant data related to the identified problem or deficiency.

"(c) CLASSIFICATION OF REPORTS.—Reports under this section shall be submitted in unclassified form and may, as the Secretary determines necessary, also be submitted in classified form.".

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

"452. Quarterly readiness reports.".

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Section 452 of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a), shall take effect with the calendar-year quarter during which this Act is enacted.

**SEC. 362. RESTATEMENT OF REQUIREMENT FOR SEMIANNUAL REPORTS TO CONGRESS ON TRANSFERS FROM HIGH-PRIORITY READINESS APPROPRIATIONS.**

Section 361 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (Public Law 103-337; 108 Stat. 2732) is amended to read as follows:

**"SEC. 361. SEMIANNUAL REPORTS TO CONGRESS ON TRANSFERS FROM HIGH-PRIORITY READINESS APPROPRIATIONS.**

"(a) ANNUAL REPORTS.—During 1996 and 1997, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on transfers during the preceding fiscal year from funds available for each budget activity specified in subsection (d) (hereinafter in this section referred to as 'covered budget activities'). The report each year shall be submitted not later than the date in that year on which the President submits the budget for the next fiscal year to Congress pursuant to section 1105 of title 31, United States Code.

"(b) MIDYEAR REPORTS.—On May 1 of each year specified in subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report providing the same information, with respect to the first six months of the fiscal year in which the report is submitted, that is provided in reports under subsection (a) with respect to the preceding fiscal year.

"(c) MATTERS TO BE INCLUDED.—In each report under this section, the Secretary shall include for each covered budget activity the following:

"(1) A statement, for the period covered by the report, of—

"(A) the total amount of transfers into funds available for that activity;

"(B) the total amount of transfers from funds available for that activity; and

"(C) the net amount of transfers into, or out of, funds available for that activity.

"(2) A detailed explanation of the transfers into, and out of, funds available for that activity during the period covered by the report.

"(d) COVERED BUDGET ACTIVITIES.—The budget activities to which this section applies are the following:

"(1) The budget activity groups (known as 'subactivities') within the Operating Forces budget activity of the annual Operation and Maintenance, Army, appropriation that are designated as follows:

"(A) Combat Units.

"(B) Tactical Support.

"(C) Force-Related Training/Special Activities.

"(D) Depot Maintenance.

"(E) JCS Exercises.

"(2) The budget activity groups (known as 'subactivities') within the Operating Forces budget activity of the annual Operation and Maintenance, Navy, appropriation that are designated as follows:

"(A) Mission and Other Flight Operations.

"(B) Mission and Other Ship Operations.

"(C) Fleet Air Training.

"(D) Ship Operational Support and Training.

"(E) Aircraft Depot Maintenance.

"(F) Ship Depot Maintenance.

"(3) The budget activity groups (known as 'subactivities'), or other activity, within the Operating Forces budget activity of the annual Operation and Maintenance, Air Force, appropriation that are designated or otherwise identified as follows:

"(A) Primary Combat Forces.

"(B) Primary Combat Weapons.

"(C) Global and Early Warning.

"(D) Air Operations Training.

"(E) Depot Maintenance.

"(F) JCS Exercises.".

**SEC. 363. REPORT REGARDING REDUCTION OF COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH CONTRACT MANAGEMENT OVERSIGHT.**

(a) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than April 1, 1996, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to Congress a report identifying methods to reduce the cost to the Department of Defense of management oversight of contracts in connection with major defense acquisition programs.

(b) MAJOR DEFENSE ACQUISITION PROGRAMS DEFINED.—For purposes of this section, the term "major defense acquisition program" has the meaning given that term in section 2430(a) of title 10, United States Code.

**SEC. 364. REVIEWS OF MANAGEMENT OF INVENTORY CONTROL POINTS AND MATERIEL MANAGEMENT STANDARD SYSTEM.**

(a) REVIEW OF CONSOLIDATION OF INVENTORY CONTROL POINTS.—(1) The Secretary of Defense shall conduct a review of the management by the Defense Logistics Agency of all inventory control points of the Department of Defense. In conducting the review, the Secretary shall examine the management and acquisition practices of the Defense Logistics Agency for inventory of repairable spare parts.

(2) Not later than March 31, 1996, the Secretary shall submit to the Comptroller General of the United States and the congressional defense committees a report on the results the review conducted under paragraph (1).

(b) REVIEW OF MATERIEL MANAGEMENT STANDARD SYSTEM.—(1) The Comptroller General of the United States shall conduct a review of the automated data processing system of the Department of Defense known as the Materiel Management Standard System.

(2) Not later than May 1, 1996, the Comptroller General shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the results of the review conducted under paragraph (1).

**3SEC. 365. REPORT ON PRIVATE PERFORMANCE OF CERTAIN FUNCTIONS PERFORMED BY MILITARY AIRCRAFT.**

(a) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than May 1, 1996, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report on the feasibility of providing for the performance by private-sector sources of functions necessary to be performed to fulfill the requirements of the Department of Defense for air transportation of personnel and cargo.

(b) CONTENT OF REPORT.—The report shall include the following:

(1) A cost-benefit analysis with respect to the performance by private-sector sources of functions described in subsection (a), including an explanation of the assumptions used in the cost-benefit analysis.

(2) An assessment of the issues raised by providing for such performance by means of a contract entered into with a private-sector source.

(3) An assessment of the issues raised by providing for such performance by means of converting functions described in subsection (a) to private ownership and operation, in whole or in part.

(4) A discussion of the requirements for the performance of such functions in order to fulfill the requirements referred to in subsection (a) during wartime.

(5) The effect on military personnel and facilities of using private-sector sources to fulfill the requirements referred to in such subsection.

(6) The performance by private-sector sources of any other military aircraft functions (such as non-combat inflight fueling of aircraft) the Secretary considers appropriate.

**SEC. 366. STRATEGY AND REPORT ON AUTOMATED INFORMATION SYSTEMS OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.**

(a) DEVELOPMENT OF STRATEGY.—The Secretary of Defense shall develop a strategy for the development or modernization of automated information systems for the Department of Defense.

(b) MATTERS TO CONSIDER.—In developing the strategy required under subsection (a), the Secretary shall consider the following:

(1) The use of performance measures and management controls.

(2) Findings of the Functional Management Review conducted by the Secretary.

(3) Program management actions planned by the Secretary.

(4) Actions and milestones necessary for completion of functional and economic analyses for—

(A) the Automated System for Transportation data;

(B) continuous acquisition and life cycle support;

(C) electronic data interchange;

(D) flexible computer integrated manufacturing;

(E) the Navy Tactical Command Support System; and

(F) the Defense Information System Network.

(5) Progress made by the Secretary in resolving problems with respect to the Defense Information System Network and the Joint Computer-Aided Acquisition and Logistics Support System.

(6) Tasks identified in the review conducted by the Secretary of the Standard Installation/Division Personnel System-3.

(7) Such other matters as the Secretary considers appropriate.

(c) REPORT ON STRATEGY.—(1) Not later than April 15, 1996, the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report on the development of the strategy required under subsection (a).

(2) In the case of the Air Force Wargaming Center, the Air Force Command Exercise System, the Cheyenne Mountain Upgrade, the Transportation Coordinator Automated Command and Control Information Systems, and the Wing Command and Control Systems, the report required by paragraph (1) shall provide functional economic analyses and address waivers exercised for compelling military importance under section 381(d) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (Public Law 103-337; 108 Stat. 2739).

(3) The report required by paragraph (1) shall also include the following:

(A) A certification by the Secretary of the termination of the Personnel Electronic Record Management System or a justification for the continued need for such system.

(B) Findings of the Functional Management Review conducted by the Secretary and program management actions planned by the Secretary for—

(i) the Base Level System Modernization and the Sustaining Base Information System; and

(ii) the Standard Installation/Division Personnel System-3.

(C) An assessment of the implementation of migration systems and applications, including—

(i) identification of the systems and applications by functional or business area, specifying target dates for operation of the systems and applications;

(ii) identification of the legacy systems and applications that will be terminated;

(iii) the cost of and schedules for implementing the migration systems and applications; and

(iv) termination schedules.

(D) A certification by the Secretary that each information system that is subject to review by the Major Automated Information System Review Committee of the Department is cost-effective and supports the corporate information management goals of the Department, including the results of the review conducted for each such system by the Committee.

**Subtitle G—Other Matters**

**SEC. 371. CODIFICATION OF DEFENSE BUSINESS OPERATIONS FUND.**

(a) MANAGEMENT OF WORKING-CAPITAL FUNDS.—(1) Chapter 131 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 2215 the following new section:

**"§ 2216. Defense Business Operations Fund**

"(a) MANAGEMENT OF WORKING-CAPITAL FUNDS AND CERTAIN ACTIVITIES.—The Secretary of Defense may manage the performance of the working-capital funds and industrial, commercial, and support type activities described in subsection (b) through the fund known as the Defense Business Operations Fund, which is established on the books of the Treasury. Except for the funds and activities specified in subsection (b), no other functions, activities, funds, or accounts of the Department of Defense may be managed or converted to management through the Fund.

"(b) FUNDS AND ACTIVITIES INCLUDED.—The funds and activities referred to in subsection (a) are the following:

"(1) Working-capital funds established under section 2208 of this title and in existence on December 5, 1991.

"(2) Those activities that, on December 5, 1991, were funded through the use of a working-capital fund established under that section.

"(3) The Defense Finance and Accounting Service.

"(4) The Defense Commissary Agency.

"(5) The Defense Reutilization and Marketing Service.

"(6) The Joint Logistics Systems Center.

"(c) SEPARATE ACCOUNTING, REPORTING, AND AUDITING OF FUNDS AND ACTIVITIES.—(1) The Secretary of Defense shall provide in accordance with this subsection for separate accounting, reporting, and auditing of funds and activities managed through the Fund.

"(2) The Secretary shall maintain the separate identity of each fund and activity managed through the Fund that (before the establishment of the Fund) was managed as a separate Fund or activity.

"(3) The Secretary shall maintain separate records for each function for which payment is made through the Fund and which (before the establishment of the Fund) was paid directly through appropriations, including the separate identity of the appropriation account used to pay for the performance of the function.

"(d) CHARGES FOR GOODS AND SERVICES PROVIDED THROUGH THE FUND.—(1) Charges for goods and services provided through the Fund shall include the following:

"(A) Amounts necessary to recover the full costs of the goods and services, whenever practicable, and the costs of the development, implementation, operation, and maintenance of systems supporting the wholesale supply and maintenance activities of the Department of Defense.

"(B) Amounts for depreciation of capital assets, set in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

"(C) Amounts necessary to recover the full cost of the operation of the Defense Finance Accounting Service.

"(2) Charges for goods and services provided through the Fund may not include the following:

"(A) Amounts necessary to recover the costs of a military construction project (as defined in section 2801(b) of this title), other than a minor construction project financed by the Fund pursuant to section 2805(c)(1) of this title.

"(B) Amounts necessary to cover costs incurred in connection with the closure or realignment of a military installation.

"(C) Amounts necessary to recover the costs of functions designated by the Secretary of Defense as mission critical, such as ammunition handling safety, and amounts for ancillary tasks not directly related to the mission of the function or activity managed through the Fund.

"(3)(A) The Secretary of Defense may submit to a customer a bill for the provision of goods and services through the Fund in advance of the provision of those goods and services.

"(B) The Secretary shall submit to Congress a report on advance billings made pursuant to subparagraph (A)—

"(i) when the aggregate amount of all such billings after the date of the enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1996 reaches $100,000,000; and

"(ii) whenever the aggregate amount of all such billings after the date of a preceding report under this subparagraph reaches $100,000,000.

"(C) Each report under subparagraph (B) shall include, for each such advance billing, the following:

"(i) An explanation of the reason for the advance billing.

"(ii) An analysis of the impact of the advance billing on readiness.

"(iii) An analysis of the impact of the advance billing on the customer so billed.

"(e) CAPITAL ASSET SUBACCOUNT.—(1) Amounts charged for depreciation of capital assets pursuant to subsection (d)(1)(B) shall be credited to a separate capital asset subaccount established within the Fund.

"(2) The Secretary of Defense may award contracts for capital assets of the Fund in advance of the availability of funds in the subaccount.

"(f) PROCEDURES FOR ACCUMULATION OF FUNDS.—The Secretary of Defense shall establish billing procedures to ensure that the balance in the Fund does not exceed the amount necessary to provide for the working capital requirements of the Fund, as determined by the Secretary.

"(g) PURCHASE FROM OTHER SOURCES.—The Secretary of Defense or the Secretary of a military department may purchase goods and services that are available for purchase from the Fund from a source other than the Fund if the Secretary determines that such source offers a more competitive rate for the goods and services than the Fund offers.

"(h) ANNUAL REPORTS AND BUDGET.—The Secretary of Defense shall annually submit to Congress, at the same time that the President submits the budget under section 1105 of title 31, the following:

"(1) A detailed report that contains a statement of all receipts and disbursements of the Fund (including such a statement for each subaccount of the Fund) for the fiscal year ending in the year preceding the year in which the budget is submitted.

"(2) A detailed proposed budget for the operation of the Fund for the fiscal year for which the budget is submitted.

"(3) A comparison of the amounts actually expended for the operation of the Fund for the fiscal year referred to in paragraph (1) with the amount proposed for the operation of the Fund for that fiscal year in the President's budget.

"(4) A report on the capital asset subaccount of the Fund that contains the following information:

"(A) The opening balance of the subaccount as of the beginning of the fiscal year in which the report is submitted.

"(B) The estimated amounts to be credited to the subaccount in the fiscal year in which the report is submitted.

"(C) The estimated amounts of outlays to be paid out of the subaccount in the fiscal year in which the report is submitted.

"(D) The estimated balance of the subaccount at the end of the fiscal year in which the report is submitted.

"(E) A statement of how much of the estimated balance at the end of the fiscal year in which the report is submitted will be needed to pay outlays in the immediately following fiscal year that are in excess of the amount to be credited to the subaccount in the immediately following fiscal year.

"(i) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

"(1) The term 'capital assets' means the following capital assets that have a development or acquisition cost of not less than $50,000:

"(A) Minor construction projects financed by the Fund pursuant to section 2805(c)(1) of this title.

"(B) Automatic data processing equipment, software.

"(C) Equipment other than equipment described in subparagraph (B).

"(D) Other capital improvements.

"(2) The term 'Fund' means the Defense Business Operations Fund.".

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 2215 the following new item:

"2216. Defense Business Operations Fund.".

(b) CONFORMING REPEALS.—The following provisions of law are hereby repealed:

(1) Subsections (b), (c), (d), and (e) of section 311 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (Public Law 103-337; 10 U.S.C. 2208 note).

(2) Subsections (a) and (b) of section 333 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1994 (Public Law 103-160; 10 U.S.C. 2208 note).

(3) Section 342 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993 (Public Law 102-484; 10 U.S.C. 2208 note).

(4) Section 316 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 1992 and 1993 (Public Law 102-190; 10 U.S.C. 2208 note).

(5) Section 8121 of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 1992 (Public Law 102-172; 10 U.S.C. 2208 note).

**SEC. 372. CLARIFICATION OF SERVICES AND PROPERTY THAT MAY BE EXCHANGED TO BENEFIT THE HISTORICAL COLLECTION OF THE ARMED FORCES.**

Section 2572(b)(1) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking out "not needed by the armed forces" and all that follows through the end of the paragraph and inserting in lieu thereof the following: "not needed by the armed forces for any of the following items or services if such items or services directly benefit the historical collection of the armed forces:

"(A) Similar items held by any individual, organization, institution, agency, or nation.

"(B) Conservation supplies, equipment, facilities, or systems.

"(C) Search, salvage, or transportation services.

"(D) Restoration, conservation, or preservation services.

"(E) Educational programs.".

**SEC. 373. FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT TRAINING.**

(a) LIMITATION.—The Secretary of Defense may enter into a capital lease for the establishment of a Department of Defense financial management training center no earlier than the date that is 30 days after the date on which the Secretary of Defense submits to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives, in accordance with subsection (b), a certification of the need for such a center and a report on financial management training for Department of Defense personnel.

(b) CERTIFICATION AND REPORT.—(1) The certification and report referred to in subsection (a) are the following:

(A) Certification by the Secretary of the need for such a center.

(B) A report, submitted with the certification, on financial management training for Department of Defense personnel.

(2) Any report under paragraph (1) shall contain the following:

(A) The Secretary's analysis of the requirements for providing financial management training for employees of the Department of Defense.

(B) The alternatives considered by the Secretary for meeting those requirements.

(C) A detailed plan for meeting those requirements.

(D) A financial analysis of the estimated short-term and long-term costs of carrying out the plan.

(3) If, upon completing the analysis referred to in paragraph (2)(A) and after considering alternatives as described in paragraph (2)(B), the Secretary determines to meet the requirements for providing financial management training for employees of the Department of Defense through establishment of a financial management training center, the Secretary—

(A) shall make the determination of the location of the center using a merit-based selection process; and

(B) shall include in the report under paragraph (1) a description of that merit-based selection process.

**SEC. 374. PERMANENT AUTHORITY FOR USE OF PROCEEDS FROM THE SALE OF CERTAIN LOST, ABANDONED, OR UNCLAIMED PROPERTY.**

(a) PERMANENT AUTHORITY.—Section 2575 of title 10 is amended—

(1) by striking out subsection (b) and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

"(b)(1) In the case of lost, abandoned, or unclaimed personal property found on a military installation, the proceeds from the sale of the property under this section shall be credited to the operation and maintenance account of that installation and used—

"(A) to reimburse the installation for any costs incurred by the installation to collect, transport, store, protect, or sell the property; and

"(B) to the extent that the amount of the proceeds exceeds the amount necessary for reimbursing all such costs, to support morale, welfare, and recreation activities under the jurisdiction of the armed forces that are conducted for the comfort, pleasure, contentment, or physical or mental improvement of members of the armed forces at such installation.

"(2) The net proceeds from the sale of other property under this section shall be covered into the Treasury as miscellaneous receipts."; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

"(d)(1) The owner (or heirs, next of kin, or legal representative of the owner) of personal property the proceeds of which are credited to a military installation under subsection (b)(1) may file a claim with the Secretary of Defense for the amount equal to the proceeds (less costs referred to in subparagraph (A) of such subsection). Amounts to pay the claim shall be drawn from the morale, welfare, and recreation account for the installation that received the proceeds.

"(2) The owner (or heirs, next of kin, or legal representative of the owner) may file a claim with the Comptroller General of the United States for proceeds covered into the Treasury under subsection (b)(2).

"(3) Unless a claim is filed under this subsection within 5 years after the date of the disposal of the property to which the claim relates, the claim may not be considered by a court, the Secretary of Defense (in the case of a claim filed under paragraph (1)), or the Comptroller General of the United States (in the case of a claim filed under paragraph (2)).".

(b) REPEAL OF AUTHORITY FOR DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM.—Section 343 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 1992 and 1993 (Public Law 102-190; 105 Stat. 1343) is repealed.

**SEC. 375. SALE OF MILITARY CLOTHING AND SUBSISTENCE AND OTHER SUPPLIES OF THE NAVY AND MARINE CORPS.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—(1) Chapter 651 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

**"§ 7606. Subsistence and other supplies: members of armed forces; veterans; executive or military departments and employees; prices**

"(a)(1) The Secretary of the Navy shall procure and sell, for cash or credit—

"(A) articles designated by the Secretary to members of the Navy and Marine Corps; and

"(B) items of individual clothing and equipment to members of the Navy and Marine Corps, under such restrictions as the Secretary may prescribe.

"(2) An account of sales on credit shall be kept and the amount due reported to the Secretary. Except for articles and items acquired through the use of working capital funds under section 2208 of this title, sales of articles shall be at cost, and sales of individual clothing and equipment shall be at average current prices, including overhead, as determined by the Secretary.

"(b) The Secretary shall sell subsistence supplies to members of other armed forces at the prices at which like property is sold to members of the Navy and Marine Corps.

"(c) The Secretary may sell serviceable supplies, other than subsistence supplies, to members of other armed forces for the buyers' use in the service. The prices at which the supplies are sold shall be the same prices at which like property is sold to members of the Navy and Marine Corps.

"(d) A person who has been discharged honorably or under honorable conditions from the Army, Navy, Air Force or Marine Corps and who is receiving care and medical treatment from the Public Health Service or the Department of Veterans Affairs may buy subsistence supplies and other supplies, except articles of uniform, at the prices at which like property is sold to members of the Navy and Marine Corps.

"(e) Under such conditions as the Secretary may prescribe, exterior articles of uniform may be sold to a person who has been discharged honorably or under honorable conditions from the Navy or Marine Corps, at the prices at which like articles are sold to members of the Navy or Marine Corps. This subsection does not modify sections 772 or 773 of this title.

"(f) Under regulations prescribed by the Secretary, payment for subsistence supplies shall be made in cash or by commercial credit.

"(g)(1) The Secretary may provide for the procurement and sale of stores designated by the Secretary to such civilian officers and employees of the United States, and such other persons, as the Secretary considers proper—

"(A) at military installations outside the United States; and

"(B) subject to paragraph (2), at military installations inside the United States where the Secretary determines that it is impracticable for those civilian officers, employees, and persons to obtain such stores from commercial enterprises without impairing the efficient operation of military activities.

"(2) Sales to civilian officers and employees inside the United States may be made under paragraph (1) only to civilian officers and employees residing within military installations.

"(h) Appropriations for subsistence of the Navy or Marine Corps may be applied to the purchase of subsistence supplies for sale to members of the Navy and Marine Corps on active duty for the use of such members and their families.".

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 651 of such title is amended by adding at the end the following:

"7606. Subsistence and other supplies: members of armed forces; veterans; executive or military departments and employees; prices.".

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS FOR OTHER ARMED FORCES.—(1) Section 4621 of such title is amended—

(A) by striking out "The branch, office, or officer designated by the Secretary of the Army" in subsection (a) and inserting in lieu thereof "The Secretary of the Army";

(B) by striking out "The branch, office, or officer designated by the Secretary" both places it appears in subsections (b) and (c) and inserting in lieu thereof "The Secretary"; and

(C) by inserting before the period at the end of subsection (f) the following: "or by commercial credit".

(2) Section 9621 of such title is amended—

(A) by striking out "The Air Force shall" in subsection (b) and inserting in lieu thereof "The Secretary shall"; and

(B) by inserting before the period at the end of subsection (f) the following: "or by commercial credit".

**SEC. 376. PERSONNEL SERVICES AND LOGISTICAL SUPPORT FOR CERTAIN ACTIVITIES HELD ON MILITARY INSTALLATIONS.**

Section 2544 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsection (g) as subsection (h); and

(2) by inserting after subsection (f) the following new subsection:

"(g) In the case of a Boy Scout Jamboree held on a military installation, the Secretary of Defense may provide personnel services and logistical support at the military installation in addition to the support authorized under subsections (a) and (d).".

**SEC. 377. RETENTION OF MONETARY AWARDS.**

(a) MONETARY AWARDS.—Chapter 155 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

**"§ 2610. Competitions for excellence: acceptance of monetary awards**

"(a) ACCEPTANCE AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary of Defense may accept a monetary award given to the Department of Defense by a nongovernmental entity as a result of the participation of the Department in a competition carried out to recognize excellence or innovation in providing services or administering programs.

"(b) DISPOSITION OF AWARDS.—A monetary award accepted under subsection (a) shall be credited to one or more nonappropriated fund accounts supporting morale, welfare, and recreation activities for the command, installation, or other activity that is recognized for the award. Amounts so credited may be expended only for such activities.

"(c) INCIDENTAL EXPENSES.—Subject to such limitations as may be provided in appropriation Acts, appropriations available to the Department of Defense may be used to pay incidental expenses incurred by the Department to participate in a competition described in subsection (a) or to accept a monetary award under this section.

"(d) REGULATIONS AND REPORTING.—(1) The Secretary shall prescribe regulations to determine the disposition of monetary awards accepted under this section and the payment of incidental expenses under subsection (c).

"(2) At the end of each year, the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report for that year describing the disposition of monetary awards accepted under this section and the payment of incidental expenses under subsection (c).

"(e) TERMINATION.—The authority of the Secretary under this section shall expire two years after the date of the enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1996.".

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

"2610. Competitions for excellence: acceptance of monetary awards.".

**SEC. 378. PROVISION OF EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES TO ASSIST IN EMERGENCY RESPONSE ACTIONS.**

Section 372 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by inserting "(a) IN GENERAL.—" before "The Secretary of Defense"; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(b) EMERGENCIES INVOLVING CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL AGENTS.—(1) In addition to equipment and facilities described in subsection (a), the Secretary may provide an item referred to in paragraph (2) to a Federal, State, or local law enforcement or emergency response agency to prepare for or respond to an emergency involving chemical or biological agents if the Secretary determines that the item is not reasonably available from another source.

"(2) An item referred to in paragraph (1) is any material or expertise of the Department of Defense appropriate for use in preparing for or responding to an emergency involving chemical or biological agents, including the following:

"(A) Training facilities.

"(B) Sensors.

"(C) Protective clothing.

"(D) Antidotes.".

**SEC. 379. REPORT ON DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE MILITARY AND CIVIL DEFENSE PREPAREDNESS TO RESPOND TO EMERGENCIES RESULTING FROM A CHEMICAL, BIOLOGICAL, RADIOLOGICAL, OR NUCLEAR ATTACK.**

(a) REPORT.—(1) Not later than March 1, 1996, the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Energy shall submit to Congress a joint report on the military and civil defense plans and programs of the Department of Defense to prepare for and respond to the effects of an emergency in the United States resulting from a chemical, biological, radiological, or nuclear attack on the United States (hereinafter in this section referred to as an "attack-related civil defense emergency").

(2) The report shall be prepared in consultation with the Director of the Federal Emergency Management Agency.

(b) CONTENT OF REPORT.—The report shall include the following:

(1) A discussion of the military and civil defense plans and programs of the Department of Defense for preparing for and responding to an attack-related civil defense emergency arising from an attack of a type for which the Department of Defense has a primary responsibility to respond.

(2) A discussion of the military and civil defense plans and programs of the Department of Defense for preparing for and providing a response to an attack-related civil defense emergency arising from an attack of a type for which the Department of Defense has responsibility to provide a supporting response.

(3) A description of any actions, and any recommended legislation, that the Secretaries consider necessary for improving the preparedness of the Department of Defense to respond effectively to an attack-related civil defense emergency.

## TITLE IV—MILITARY PERSONNEL AUTHORIZATIONS

### Subtitle A—Active Forces

**SEC. 401. END STRENGTHS FOR ACTIVE FORCES.**

(a) FISCAL YEAR 1996.—The Armed Forces are authorized strengths for active duty personnel as of September 30, 1996, as follows:

(1) The Army, 495,000, of which not more than 81,300 may be commissioned officers.

(2) The Navy, 428,340, of which not more than 58,870 may be commissioned officers.

(3) The Marine Corps, 174,000, of which not more than 17,978 may be commissioned officers.

(4) The Air Force, 388,200, of which not more than 75,928 may be commissioned officers.

(b) FLOOR ON END STRENGTHS.—(1) Chapter 39 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

**"§ 691. Permanent end strength levels to support two major regional contingencies**

"(a) The end strengths specified in subsection (b) are the minimum strengths necessary to enable the armed forces to fulfill a national defense strategy calling for the United States to be able to successfully conduct two nearly simultaneous major regional contingencies.

"(b) Unless otherwise provided by law, the number of members of the armed forces (other than the Coast Guard) on active duty at the end of any fiscal year shall be not less than the following:

"(1) For the Army, 495,000.

"(2) For the Navy, 395,000.

"(3) For the Marine Corps, 174,000.

"(4) For the Air Force, 381,000.

"(c) No funds appropriated to the Department of Defense may be used to implement a reduction of the active duty end strength for any of the armed forces for any fiscal year below the level specified in subsection (b) unless the Secretary of Defense submits to Congress notice of the proposed lower end strength levels and a justification for those levels. No action may then be taken to implement such a reduction for that fiscal year until the end of the six-month period beginning on the date of the receipt of such notice by Congress.

"(d) For a fiscal year for which the active duty end strength authorized by law pursuant to section 115(a)(1)(A) of this title for any of the armed forces is identical to the number applicable to that armed force under subsection (b), the Secretary of Defense may reduce that number by not more than 0.5 percent.

"(e) The number of members of the armed forces on active duty shall be counted for purposes of this section in the same manner as applies under section 115(a)(1) of this title.".

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

"691. Permanent end strength levels to support two major regional contingencies.".

(c) ACTIVE COMPONENT END STRENGTH FLEXIBILITY.—Section 115(c)(1) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking out "0.5 percent" and inserting in lieu thereof "1 percent".

**SEC. 402. TEMPORARY VARIATION IN DOPMA AUTHORIZED END STRENGTH LIMITATIONS FOR ACTIVE DUTY AIR FORCE AND NAVY OFFICERS IN CERTAIN GRADES.**

(a) AIR FORCE OFFICERS.—In the administration of the limitation under section 523(a)(1) of title 10, United States Code, for fiscal years 1996 and 1997, the numbers applicable to officers of the Air Force serving on active duty in the grades of major, lieutenant colonel, and colonel shall be the numbers set forth for that fiscal year in the following table (rather than the numbers determined in accordance with the table in that section):

| Fiscal year: | Number of officers who may be serving on active duty in the grade of: | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | Major | Lieutenant colonel | Colonel |
| 1996 | 15,566 | 9,876 | 3,609 |
| 1997 | 15,645 | 9,913 | 3,627 |

(b) NAVY OFFICERS.—In the administration of the limitation under section 523(a)(2) of title 10, United States Code, for fiscal years 1996 and 1997, the numbers applicable to officers of the Navy serving on active duty in the grades of lieutenant commander, commander, and captain shall be the numbers set forth for that fiscal year in the following table (rather than the numbers determined in accordance with the table in that section):

| Fiscal year: | Number of officers who may be serving on active duty in the grade of: | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | Lieutenant commander | Commander | Captain |
| 1996 | 11,924 | 7,390 | 3,234 |
| 1997 | 11,732 | 7,297 | 3,188 |

**SEC. 403. CERTAIN GENERAL AND FLAG OFFICERS AWAITING RETIREMENT NOT TO BE COUNTED.**

(a) DISTRIBUTION OF OFFICERS ON ACTIVE DUTY IN GENERAL AND FLAG OFFICER GRADES.—Section 525 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(d) An officer continuing to hold the grade of general or admiral under section 601(b)(4) of this title after relief from the position of Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, Chief of Staff of the Army, Chief of Naval Operations, Chief of Staff of the Air Force, or Commandant of the Marine Corps shall not be counted for purposes of this section.".

(b) NUMBER OF OFFICERS ON ACTIVE DUTY IN GRADE OF GENERAL OR ADMIRAL.—Section 528(b) of such title is amended—

(1) by inserting "(1)" after "(b)"; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

"(2) An officer continuing to hold the grade of general or admiral under section 601(b)(4) of this title after relief from the position of Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, Chief of Staff of the Army, Chief of Naval Operations, Chief of Staff of the Air Force, or Commandant of the Marine Corps shall not be counted for purposes of this section.".

(c) CLARIFICATION.—Section 601(b) of such title is amended—

(1) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by striking out "of importance and responsibility designated" and inserting in lieu thereof "designated under subsection (a) or by law";

(2) in paragraph (1), by striking out "of importance and responsibility";

(3) in paragraph (2), by striking out "designating" and inserting in lieu thereof "designated under subsection (a) or by law"; and

(4) in paragraph (4), by inserting "under subsection (a) or by law" after "designated".

### Subtitle B—Reserve Forces

**SEC. 411. END STRENGTHS FOR SELECTED RESERVE.**

(a) FISCAL YEAR 1996.—The Armed Forces are authorized strengths for Selected Reserve personnel of the reserve components as of September 30, 1996, as follows:

(1) The Army National Guard of the United States, 373,000.

(2) The Army Reserve, 230,000.

(3) The Naval Reserve, 98,894.

(4) The Marine Corps Reserve, 42,274.

(5) The Air National Guard of the United States, 112,707.

(6) The Air Force Reserve, 73,969.

(7) The Coast Guard Reserve, 8,000.

(b) WAIVER AUTHORITY.—The Secretary of Defense may vary the end strength authorized by subsection (a) by not more than 2 percent.

(c) ADJUSTMENTS.—The end strengths prescribed by subsection (a) for the Selected Reserve of any reserve component for a fiscal year shall be proportionately reduced by—

(1) the total authorized strength of units organized to serve as units of the Selected Reserve of such component which are on active duty (other than for training) at the end of the fiscal year, and

(2) the total number of individual members not in units organized to serve as units of the Selected Reserve of such component who are on active duty (other than for training or for unsatisfactory participation in training) without their consent at the end of the fiscal year.

Whenever such units or such individual members are released from active duty during any fiscal year, the end strength prescribed for such fiscal year for the Selected Reserve of such reserve component shall be proportionately increased by the total authorized strengths of such units and by the total number of such individual members.

**SEC. 412. END STRENGTHS FOR RESERVES ON ACTIVE DUTY IN SUPPORT OF THE RESERVES.**

Within the end strengths prescribed in section 411(a), the reserve components of the Armed Forces are authorized, as of September 30, 1996, the following number of Reserves to be serving on full-time active duty or full-time duty, in the case of members of the National Guard, for the purpose of organizing, administering, recruiting, instructing, or training the reserve components:

(1) The Army National Guard of the United States, 23,390.

(2) The Army Reserve, 11,575.

(3) The Naval Reserve, 17,587.

(4) The Marine Corps Reserve, 2,559.

(5) The Air National Guard of the United States, 10,066.

(6) The Air Force Reserve, 628.

**SEC. 413. COUNTING OF CERTAIN ACTIVE COMPONENT PERSONNEL ASSIGNED IN SUPPORT OF RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING.**

Section 414(c) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 1992 and 1993 (Public Law 102-190; 10 U.S.C. 12001 note) is amended—

(1) by inserting "(1)" before "The Secretary"; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

"(2) The Secretary of Defense may count toward the number of active component personnel required under paragraph (1) to be assigned to serve as advisers under the program under this section any active component personnel who are assigned to an active component unit (A) that was established principally for the purpose of providing dedicated training support to reserve component

units, and (B) the primary mission of which is to provide such dedicated training support.".

**SEC. 414. INCREASE IN NUMBER OF MEMBERS IN CERTAIN GRADES AUTHORIZED TO SERVE ON ACTIVE DUTY IN SUPPORT OF THE RESERVES.**

(a) OFFICERS.—The table in section 12011(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

| "Grade | Army | Navy | Air Force | Marine Corps |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Major or Lieutenant Commander | 3,219 | 1,071 | 643 | 140 |
| Lieutenant Colonel or Commander | 1,524 | 520 | 672 | 90 |
| Colonel or Navy Captain | 412 | 188 | 274 | 30". |

(b) SENIOR ENLISTED MEMBERS.—The table in section 12012(a) of such title is amended to read as follows:

| "Grade | Army | Navy | Air Force | Marine Corps |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| E–9 | 603 | 202 | 366 | 20 |
| E–8 | 2,585 | 429 | 890 | 94". |

**SEC. 415. RESERVES ON ACTIVE DUTY IN SUPPORT OF COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION PROGRAMS NOT TO BE COUNTED.**

Section 115(d) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(8) Members of the Selected Reserve of the Ready Reserve on active duty for more that 180 days to support programs described in section 1203(b) of the Cooperative Threat Reduction Act of 1993 (title XII of Public Law 103–160; 22 U.S.C. 5952(b)).".

**SEC. 416. RESERVES ON ACTIVE DUTY FOR MILITARY-TO-MILITARY CONTACTS AND COMPARABLE ACTIVITIES NOT TO BE COUNTED.**

Section 168 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsection (f) as subsection (g); and

(2) by inserting after subsection (e) the following new subsection (f):

"(f) ACTIVE DUTY END STRENGTHS.—(1) A member of a reserve component referred to in paragraph (2) shall not be counted for purposes of the following personnel strength limitations:

"(A) The end strength for active-duty personnel authorized pursuant to section 115(a)(1) of this title for the fiscal year in which the member carries out the activities referred to in paragraph (2).

"(B) The authorized daily average for members in pay grades E–8 and E–9 under section 517 of this title for the calendar year in which the member carries out such activities.

"(C) The authorized strengths for commissioned officers under section 523 of this title for the fiscal year in which the member carries out such activities.

"(2) A member of a reserve component referred to in paragraph (1) is any member on active duty under an order to active duty for 180 days or more who is engaged in activities authorized under this section.".

**Subtitle C—Military Training Student Loads**

**SEC. 421. AUTHORIZATION OF TRAINING STUDENT LOADS.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—For fiscal year 1996, the components of the Armed Forces are authorized average military training loads as follows:

(1) The Army, 75,013.

(2) The Navy, 44,238.

(3) The Marine Corps, 26,095.

(4) The Air Force, 33,232.

(b) SCOPE.—The average military training student loads authorized for an armed force under subsection (a) apply to the active and reserve components of that armed force.

(c) ADJUSTMENTS.—The average military training student loads authorized in subsection (a) shall be adjusted consistent with the end strengths authorized in subtitles A and B. The Secretary of Defense shall prescribe the manner in which such adjustments shall be apportioned.

**Subtitle D—Authorization of Appropriations**

**SEC. 431. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR MILITARY PERSONNEL.**

There is hereby authorized to be appropriated to the Department of Defense for military personnel for fiscal year 1996 a total of $69,191,008,000. The authorization in the preceding sentence supersedes any other authorization of appropriations (definite or indefinite) for such purpose for fiscal year 1996.

**SEC. 432. AUTHORIZATION FOR INCREASE IN ACTIVE-DUTY END STRENGTHS.**

(a) AUTHORIZATION.—There is hereby authorized to be appropriated to the Department of Defense for fiscal year 1996 for military personnel the sum of $112,000,000. Any amount appropriated pursuant to this section shall be allocated, in such manner as the Secretary of Defense prescribes, among appropriations for active-component military personnel for that fiscal year and shall be available only to increase the number of members of the Armed Forces on active duty during that fiscal year (compared to the number of members that would be on active duty but for such appropriation).

(b) EFFECT ON END STRENGTHS.—The end-strength authorizations in section 401 shall each be deemed to be increased by such number as necessary to take account of additional members of the Armed Forces authorized by the Secretary of Defense pursuant to subsection (a).

**TITLE V—MILITARY PERSONNEL POLICY**

**Subtitle A—Officer Personnel Policy**

**SEC. 501. JOINT OFFICER MANAGEMENT.**

(a) CRITICAL JOINT DUTY ASSIGNMENT POSITIONS.—Section 661(d)(2)(A) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking out "1,000" and inserting in lieu thereof "800".

(b) ADDITIONAL QUALIFYING JOINT SERVICE.—Section 664 of such title is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(i) JOINT DUTY CREDIT FOR CERTAIN JOINT TASK FORCE ASSIGNMENTS.—(1) In the case of an officer who completes service in a qualifying temporary joint task force assignment, the Secretary of Defense, with the advice of the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, may (subject to the criteria prescribed under paragraph (4)) grant the officer—

"(A) credit for having completed a full tour of duty in a joint duty assignment; or

"(B) credit countable for determining cumulative service in joint duty assignments.

"(2)(A) For purposes of paragraph (1), a qualifying temporary joint task force assignment of an officer is a temporary assignment, any part of which is performed by the officer on or after the date of the enactment of this subsection—

"(i) to the headquarters staff of a United States joint task force that is part of a unified command or the United States element of the headquarters staff of a multinational force; and

"(ii) with respect to which the Secretary of Defense determines that service of the officer in that assignment is equivalent to that which would be gained by the officer in a joint duty assignment.

"(B) An officer may not be granted credit under this subsection unless the officer is recommended for such credit by the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff.

"(3) Credit under paragraph (1) (including a determination under paragraph (2)(A)(ii) and a recommendation under paragraph (2)(B) with respect to such credit) may be granted only on a case-by-case basis in the case of an individual officer.

"(4) The Secretary of Defense shall prescribe by regulation criteria for determining whether an officer may be granted credit under paragraph (1) with respect to service in a qualifying temporary joint task force assignment. The criteria shall apply uniformly among the armed forces and shall include the following requirements:

"(A) For an officer to be credited as having completed a full tour of duty in a joint duty assignment, the length of the officer's service in the qualifying temporary joint task force assignment must meet the requirements of subsection (a) or (c).

"(B) For an officer to be credited with service for purposes of determining cumulative service in joint duty assignments, the officer must serve at least 90 consecutive days in the qualifying temporary joint task force assignment.

"(C) The service must be performed in support of a mission that is directed by the President or that is assigned by the President to United States forces in the joint task force involved.

"(D) The joint task force must be constituted or designated by the Secretary of Defense or by the commander of a combatant command or of another force.

"(E) The joint task force must conduct combat or combat-related operations in a unified action under joint or multinational command and control.

"(5) Officers for whom joint duty credit is granted pursuant to this subsection may not be taken into account for the purposes of any of the following provisions of this title: section 661(d)(1), section 662(a)(3), section 662(b), subsection (a) of this section, and paragraphs (7), (8), (9), (11), and (12) of section 667.

"(6) In the case of an officer credited with having completed a full tour of duty in a joint duty assignment pursuant to this subsection, the Secretary of Defense may waive the requirement in paragraph (1)(B) of section 661(c) of this title that the tour of duty in a joint duty assignment be performed after the officer completes a program of education referred to in paragraph (1)(A) of that section. The provisions of subparagraphs (C) and (D) of section 661(c)(3) of this title shall apply to such a waiver in the same manner as to a waiver under subparagraph (A) of that section.".

(c) INFORMATION IN ANNUAL REPORT.—Section 667 of such title is amended by striking out paragraph (16) and inserting after paragraph (15) the following new paragraph (16):

"(16) The number of officers granted credit for service in joint duty assignments under section 664(i) of this title and—

"(A) of those officers—

"(i) the number of officers credited with having completed a tour of duty in a joint duty assignment; and

"(ii) the number of officers granted credit for purposes of determining cumulative service in joint duty assignments; and

"(B) the identity of each operation for which an officer has been granted credit pursuant to section 664(i) of this title and a brief description of the mission of the operation.".

(d) APPLICABILITY OF LIMITATION ON WAIVER AUTHORITY.—Section 661(c)(3) of such title is amended—

(1) in the third sentence of subparagraph (D), by striking out "The total number" and inserting in lieu thereof "In the case of officers in grades below brigadier general and rear admiral (lower half), the total number"; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

"(E) There may not be more than 32 general and flag officers on active duty at the same time who were selected for the joint specialty while holding a general or flag officer grade and for whom a waiver was granted under this subparagraph.".

(e) LENGTH OF SECOND JOINT TOUR.—Section 664 of such title is amended—

(1) in subsection (e)(2), by inserting after subparagraph (B) the following:

"(C) Service described in subsection (f)(6), except that no more than 10 percent of all joint duty assignments shown on the list published pursuant to section 668(b)(2)(A) of this title may be so excluded in any year."; and

(2) in subsection (f)—

(A) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by striking out "completion of—" and inserting in lieu thereof "completion of any of the following:";

(B) by striking out "a" at the beginning of paragraphs (1), (2), (4), and (5) and inserting in lieu thereof "A";

(C) by striking out "cumulative" in paragraph (3) and inserting in lieu thereof "Cumulative";

(D) by striking out the semicolon at the end of paragraphs (1), (2), and (3) and "; or" at the end of paragraph (4) and inserting in lieu thereof a period; and

(E) by adding at the end the following:

"(6) A second joint duty assignment that is less than the period required under subsection (a), but not less than two years, without regard to whether a waiver was granted for such assignment under subsection (b).".

(f) TECHNICAL AMENDMENT.—Section 664(e)(1) of such title is amended by striking out "(after fiscal year 1990)".

**SEC. 502. RETIRED GRADE FOR OFFICERS IN GRADES ABOVE MAJOR GENERAL AND REAR ADMIRAL.**

(a) APPLICABILITY OF TIME-IN-GRADE REQUIREMENTS.—Section 1370 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)(2)(A), by striking out "and below lieutenant general or vice admiral"; and

(2) in the first sentence of subsection (d)(2)(B), as added effective October 1, 1996, by section 1641 of the Reserve Officer Personnel Management Act (title XVI of Public Law 103-337; 108 Stat. 2968), by striking out "and below lieutenant general or vice admiral".

(b) RETIREMENT IN HIGHEST GRADE UPON CERTIFICATION OF SATISFACTORY SERVICE.—Subsection (c) of such section is amended to read as follows:

"(c) OFFICERS IN O-9 AND O-10 GRADES.—(1) An officer who is serving in or has served in the grade of general or admiral or lieutenant general or vice admiral may be retired in that grade under subsection (a) only after the Secretary of Defense certifies in writing to the President and Congress that the officer served on active duty satisfactorily in that grade.

"(2) In the case of an officer covered by paragraph (1), the three-year service-in-grade requirement in paragraph (2)(A) of subsection (a) may not be reduced or waived under that subsection—

"(A) while the officer is under investigation for alleged misconduct; or

"(B) while there is pending the disposition of an adverse personnel action against the officer for alleged misconduct.".

(c) REPEAL OF SUPERSEDED PROVISIONS.—Sections 3962(a), 5034, 5043(c), and 8962(a) of such title are repealed.

(d) TECHNICAL AND CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.—(1) Sections 3962(b) and 8962(b) of such title are amended by striking out "(b) Upon" and inserting in lieu thereof "Upon".

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 505 of such title is amended by striking out the item relating to section 5034.

(e) EFFECTIVE DATE FOR AMENDMENT TO PROVISION TAKING EFFECT IN 1996.—The amendment made by subsection (a)(2) shall take effect on October 1, 1996, immediately after subsection (d) of section 1370 of title 10, United States Code, takes effect under section 1691(b)(1) of the Reserve Officer Personnel Management Act (108 Stat. 3026).

(f) PRESERVATION OF APPLICABILITY OF LIMITATION.—Section 1370(a)(2)(C) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking out "The number of officers in an armed force in a grade" and inserting in lieu thereof "In the case of a grade below the grade of lieutenant general or vice admiral, the number of members of one of the armed forces in that grade".

(g) STYLISTIC AMENDMENTS.—Section 1370 of title 10, United States Code, is further amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by striking out "(a)(1)" and inserting in lieu thereof "(a) RULE FOR RETIREMENT IN HIGHEST GRADE HELD SATISFACTORILY.—(1)";

(2) in subsection (b), by inserting "RETIREMENT IN NEXT LOWER GRADE.—" after "(b)"; and

(3) in subsection (d), as added effective October 1, 1996, by section 1641 of the Reserve Officer Personnel Management Act (title XVI of Public Law 103-337; 108 Stat. 2968), by striking out "(d)(1)" and inserting in lieu thereof "(d) RESERVE OFFICERS.—(1)".

**SEC. 503. WEARING OF INSIGNIA FOR HIGHER GRADE BEFORE PROMOTION.**

(a) AUTHORITY AND LIMITATIONS.—(1) Chapter 45 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

**"§ 777. Wearing of insignia of higher grade before promotion (frocking): authority; restrictions**

"(a) AUTHORITY.—An officer who has been selected for promotion to the next higher grade may be authorized, under regulations and policies of the Department of Defense and subject to subsection (b), to wear the insignia for that next higher grade. An officer who is so authorized to wear the insignia of the next higher grade is said to be 'frocked' to that grade.

"(b) RESTRICTIONS.—An officer may not be authorized to wear the insignia for a grade as described in subsection (a) unless—

"(1) the Senate has given its advice and consent to the appointment of the officer to that grade; and

"(2) the officer is serving in, or has received orders to serve in, a position for which that grade is authorized.

"(c) BENEFITS NOT TO BE CONSTRUED AS ACCRUING.—(1) Authority provided to an officer as described in subsection (a) to wear the insignia of the next higher grade may not be construed as conferring authority for that officer to—

"(A) be paid the rate of pay provided for an officer in that grade having the same number of years of service as that officer; or

"(B) assume any legal authority associated with that grade.

"(2) The period for which an officer wears the insignia of the next higher grade under such authority may not be taken into account for any of the following purposes:

"(A) Seniority in that grade.

"(B) Time of service in that grade.

"(d) LIMITATION ON NUMBER OF OFFICERS FROCKED TO SPECIFIED GRADES.—(1) The total number of colonels and Navy captains on the active-duty list who are authorized as described in subsection (a) to wear the insignia for the grade of brigadier general or rear admiral (lower half), as the case may be, may not exceed the following:

"(A) During fiscal years 1996 and 1997, 75.

"(B) During fiscal year 1998, 55.

"(C) After fiscal year 1998, 35.

"(2) The number of officers of an armed force on the active-duty list who are authorized as described in subsection (a) to wear the insignia for a grade to which a limitation on total number applies under section 523(a) of this title for a fiscal year may not exceed 1 percent of the total number provided for the officers in that grade in that armed force in the administration of the limitation under that section for that fiscal year.".

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

"777. Wearing of insignia of higher grade before promotion (frocking): authority; restrictions.".

(b) TEMPORARY VARIATION OF LIMITATIONS ON NUMBERS OF FROCKED OFFICERS.—In the administration of section 777(d)(2) of title 10, United States Code (as added by subsection (a)), the percent limitation applied under that section for fiscal year 1996 shall be 2 percent (instead of 1 percent).

(c) REPORT.—Not later than September 1, 1996, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report providing the assessment of the Secretary on the practice, known as "frocking", of authorizing an officer who has been selected for promotion to the next higher grade to wear the insignia for that next higher grade. The report shall include the Secretary's assessment of the appropriate number, if any, of colonels and Navy captains to be eligible under section 777(d)(1) of title 10, United States Code (as added by subsection (a)), to wear the insignia for the grade of brigadier general or rear admiral (lower half).

**SEC. 504. AUTHORITY TO EXTEND TRANSITION PERIOD FOR OFFICERS SELECTED FOR EARLY RETIREMENT.**

(a) SELECTIVE RETIREMENT OF WARRANT OFFICERS.—Section 581 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(e) The Secretary concerned may defer for not more than 90 days the retirement of an officer otherwise approved for early retirement under this section in order to prevent a personal hardship to the officer or for other humanitarian reasons. Any such deferral shall be made on a case-by-case basis considering the circumstances of the case of the particular officer concerned. The authority of the Secretary to grant such a deferral may not be delegated.".

(b) SELECTIVE EARLY RETIREMENT OF ACTIVE-DUTY OFFICERS.—Section 638(b) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

"(3) The Secretary concerned may defer for not more than 90 days the retirement of an officer otherwise approved for early retirement under this section or section 638a of this title in order to prevent a personal hardship to the officer or for other humanitarian reasons. Any such deferral shall be made on

a case-by-case basis considering the circumstances of the case of the particular officer concerned. The authority of the Secretary to grant such a deferral may not be delegated.".

**SEC. 505. ARMY OFFICER MANNING LEVELS.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—(1) Chapter 331 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after the table of sections the following new section:

**"§ 3201. Officers on active duty: minimum strength based on requirements**

"(a) The Secretary of the Army shall ensure that (beginning with fiscal year 1999) the strength at the end of each fiscal year of officers on active duty is sufficient to enable the Army to meet at least that percentage of the programmed manpower structure for officers for the active component of the Army that is provided for in the most recent Defense Planning Guidance issued by the Secretary of Defense.

"(b) The number of officers on active duty shall be counted for purposes of this section in the same manner as applies under section 115(a)(1) of this title.

"(c) In this section:

"(1) The term 'programmed manpower structure' means the aggregation of billets describing the full manpower requirements for units and organizations in the programmed force structure.

"(2) The term 'programmed force structure' means the set of units and organizations that exist in the current year and that is planned to exist in each future year under the then-current Future-Years Defense Program.".

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by inserting after "Sec." the following new item:

"3201. Officers on active duty: minimum strength based on requirements.".

(b) ASSISTANCE IN ACCOMPLISHING REQUIREMENT.—The Secretary of Defense shall provide to the Army sufficient personnel and financial resources to enable the Army to meet the requirement specified in section 3201 of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a).

**SEC. 506. AUTHORITY FOR MEDICAL DEPARTMENT OFFICERS OTHER THAN PHYSICIANS TO BE APPOINTED AS SURGEON GENERAL.**

(a) SURGEON GENERAL OF THE ARMY.—The third sentence of section 3036(b) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after "The Surgeon General" the following: "may be appointed from officers in any corps of the Army Medical Department and".

(b) SURGEON GENERAL OF THE NAVY.—Section 5137 of such title is amended—

(1) in the first sentence of subsection (a), by striking out "in the Medical Corps" and inserting in lieu thereof "in any corps of the Navy Medical Department"; and

(2) in subsection (b), by striking out "in the Medical Corps" and inserting in lieu thereof "who is qualified to be the Chief of the Bureau of Medicine and Surgery".

(c) SURGEON GENERAL OF THE AIR FORCE.—The first sentence of section 8036 of such title is amended by striking out "designated as medical officers under section 8067(a) of this title" and inserting in lieu thereof "in the Air Force medical department".

**SEC. 507. DEPUTY JUDGE ADVOCATE GENERAL OF THE AIR FORCE.**

(a) TENURE AND GRADE OF DEPUTY JUDGE ADVOCATE GENERAL.—Section 8037(d)(1) of such title is amended—

(1) in the second sentence, by striking out "two years" and inserting in lieu thereof "four years"; and

(2) by striking out the last sentence and inserting in lieu thereof the following: "An officer appointed as Deputy Judge Advocate General who holds a lower regular grade shall be appointed in the regular grade of major general.".

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by subsection (a) apply to any appointment to the position of Deputy Judge Advocate General of the Air Force that is made after the date of the enactment of this Act.

**SEC. 508. AUTHORITY FOR TEMPORARY PROMOTIONS FOR CERTAIN NAVY LIEUTENANTS WITH CRITICAL SKILLS.**

(a) EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY.—Subsection (f) of section 5721 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking out "September 30, 1995" and inserting in lieu thereof "September 30, 1996".

(b) LIMITATION.—Such section is further amended—

(1) by redesignating subsection (f), as amended by subsection (a), as subsection (g); and

(2) by inserting after subsection (e) the following new subsection (f):

"(f) LIMITATION ON NUMBER OF ELIGIBLE POSITIONS.—(1) An appointment under this section may only be made for service in a position designated by the Secretary of the Navy for purposes of this section. The number of positions so designated may not exceed 325.

"(2) Whenever the Secretary makes a change to the positions designated under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall submit notice of the change in writing to Congress.".

(c) REPORT.—Not later than April 1, 1996, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report providing the Secretary's assessment of that continuing need for the promotion authority under section 5721 of title 10, United States Code. The Secretary shall include in the report the following:

(1) The nature and grade structure of the positions for which such authority has been used.

(2) The cause or causes of the reported chronic shortages of qualified personnel in the required grade to fill the positions specified under paragraph (1).

(3) The reasons for the perceived inadequacy of the officer promotion system (including "below-the-zone" selections) to provide sufficient officers in the required grade to fill those positions.

(4) The extent to which a bonus program or some other program would be a more appropriate means of resolving the reported chronic shortages in engineering positions.

(d) CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.—Section 5721 of title 10, United States Code, is amended as follows:

(1) Subsection (a) is amended by inserting "PROMOTION AUTHORITY FOR CERTAIN OFFICER WITH CRITICAL SKILLS.—" after "(a)".

(2) Subsection (b) is amended by inserting "STATUS OF OFFICERS APPOINTED.—" after "(b)".

(3) Subsection (c) is amended by inserting "BOARD RECOMMENDATION REQUIRED.—" after "(c)".

(4) Subsection (d) is amended by inserting "ACCEPTANCE AND EFFECTIVE DATE OF APPOINTMENT.—" after "(d)".

(5) Subsection (e) is amended by inserting "TERMINATION OF APPOINTMENT.—" after "(e)".

(6) Subsection (g), as redesignated by subsection (b)(1), is amended by inserting "TERMINATION OF APPOINTMENT AUTHORITY.—" after "(g)".

(e) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Subsection (f) of section 5721 of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (b)(2), shall take effect at the end of the 30-day period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act and shall apply to any appointment under that section after the end of such period.

**SEC. 509. RETIREMENT FOR YEARS OF SERVICE OF DIRECTORS OF ADMISSIONS OF MILITARY AND AIR FORCE ACADEMIES.**

(a) MILITARY ACADEMY.—(1) Section 3920 of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

**"§ 3920. More than thirty years: permanent professors and the Director of Admissions of the United States Military Academy**

"(a) The Secretary of the Army may retire an officer specified in subsection (b) who has more than 30 years of service as a commissioned officer.

"(b) Subsection (a) applies in the case of the following officers:

"(1) Any permanent professor of the United States Military Academy.

"(2) The Director of Admissions of the United States Military Academy.".

(2) The item relating to such section in the table of sections at the beginning of chapter 367 of such title is amended to read as follows:

"3920. More than thirty years: permanent professors and the Director of Admissions of the United States Military Academy.".

(b) AIR FORCE ACADEMY.—(1) Section 8920 of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

**"§ 8920. More than thirty years: permanent professors and the Director of Admissions of the United States Air Force Academy**

"(a) The Secretary of the Air Force may retire an officer specified in subsection (b) who has more than 30 years of service as a commissioned officer.

"(b) Subsection (a) applies in the case of the following officers:

"(1) Any permanent professor of the United States Air Force Academy.

"(2) The Director of Admissions of the United States Air Force Academy.".

(2) The item relating to such section in the table of sections at the beginning of chapter 867 of such title is amended to read as follows:

"8920. More than thirty years: permanent professors and the Director of Admissions of the United States Air Force Academy.".

**Subtitle B—Matters Relating to Reserve Components**

**SEC. 511. EXTENSION OF CERTAIN RESERVE OFFICER MANAGEMENT AUTHORITIES.**

(a) GRADE DETERMINATION AUTHORITY FOR CERTAIN RESERVE MEDICAL OFFICERS.—Sections 3359(b) and 8359(b) of title 10, United States Code, are each amended by striking out "September 30, 1995" and inserting in lieu thereof "September 30, 1996".

(b) PROMOTION AUTHORITY FOR CERTAIN RESERVE OFFICERS SERVING ON ACTIVE DUTY.—Sections 3380(d) and 8380(d) of title 10, United States Code, are each amended by striking out "September 30, 1995" and inserting in lieu thereof "September 30, 1996".

(c) YEARS OF SERVICE FOR MANDATORY TRANSFER TO THE RETIRED RESERVE.—Section 1016(d) of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1984 (10 U.S.C. 3360) is amended by striking out "September 30, 1995" and inserting in lieu thereof "September 30, 1996".

**SEC. 512. MOBILIZATION INCOME INSURANCE PROGRAM FOR MEMBERS OF READY RESERVE.**

(a) ESTABLISHMENT OF PROGRAM.—(1) Subtitle E of title 10, United States Code, is

amended by inserting after chapter 1213 the following new chapter:

**"CHAPTER 1214—READY RESERVE MOBILIZATION INCOME INSURANCE**

"Sec.
"12521. Definitions.
"12522. Establishment of insurance program.
"12523. Risk insured.
"12524. Enrollment and election of benefits.
"12525. Benefit amounts.
"12526. Premiums.
"12527. Payment of premiums.
"12528. Reserve Mobilization Income Insurance Fund.
"12529. Board of Actuaries.
"12530. Payment of benefits.
"12531. Purchase of insurance.
"12532. Termination for nonpayment of premiums; forfeiture.

**"§ 12521. Definitions**

"In this chapter:

"(1) The term 'insurance program' means the Ready Reserve Mobilization Income Insurance Program established under section 12522 of this title.

"(2) The term 'covered service' means active duty performed by a member of a reserve component under an order to active duty for a period of more than 30 days which specifies that the member's service—

"(A) is in support of an operational mission for which members of the reserve components have been ordered to active duty without their consent; or

"(B) is in support of forces activated during a period of war declared by Congress or a period of national emergency declared by the President or Congress.

"(3) The term 'insured member' means a member of the Ready Reserve who is enrolled for coverage under the insurance program in accordance with section 12524 of this title.

"(4) The term 'Secretary' means the Secretary of Defense.

"(5) The term 'Department' means the Department of Defense.

"(6) The term 'Board of Actuaries' means the Department of Defense Education Benefits Board of Actuaries referred to in section 2006(e)(1) of this title.

"(7) The term 'Fund' means the Reserve Mobilization Income Insurance Fund established by section 12528(a) of this title.

**"§ 12522. Establishment of insurance program**

"(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—The Secretary shall establish for members of the Ready Reserve (including the Coast Guard Reserve) an insurance program to be known as the 'Ready Reserve Mobilization Income Insurance Program'.

"(b) ADMINISTRATION.—The insurance program shall be administered by the Secretary. The Secretary may prescribe in regulations such rules, procedures, and policies as the Secretary considers necessary or appropriate to carry out the insurance program.

"(c) AGREEMENT WITH SECRETARY OF TRANSPORTATION.—The Secretary and the Secretary of Transportation shall enter into an agreement with respect to the administration of the insurance program for the Coast Guard Reserve.

**"§ 12523. Risk insured**

"(a) IN GENERAL.—The insurance program shall insure members of the Ready Reserve against the risk of being ordered into covered service.

"(b) ENTITLEMENT TO BENEFITS.—(1) An insured member ordered into covered service shall be entitled to payment of a benefit for each month (and fraction thereof) of covered service that exceeds 30 days of covered service, except that no member may be paid under the insurance program for more than 12 months of covered service served during any period of 18 consecutive months.

"(2) Payment shall be based solely on the insured status of a member and on the period of covered service served by the member. Proof of loss of income or of expenses incurred as a result of covered service may not be required.

**"§ 12524. Enrollment and election of benefits**

"(a) ENROLLMENT.—(1) Except as provided in subsection (f), upon first becoming a member of the Ready Reserve, a member shall be automatically enrolled for coverage under the insurance program. An automatic enrollment of a member shall be void if within 60 days after first becoming a member of the Ready Reserve the member declines insurance under the program in accordance with the regulations prescribed by the Secretary.

"(2) Promptly after the insurance program is established, the Secretary shall offer to members of the reserve components who are then members of the Ready Reserve (other than members ineligible under subsection (f)) an opportunity to enroll for coverage under the insurance program. A member who fails to enroll within 60 days after being offered the opportunity shall be considered as having declined to be insured under the program.

"(3) A member of the Ready Reserve ineligible to enroll under subsection (f) shall be afforded an opportunity to enroll upon being released from active duty in accordance with regulations prescribed by the Secretary if the member has not previously had the opportunity to be enrolled under paragraph (1) or (2). A member who fails to enroll within 60 days after being afforded that opportunity shall be considered as having declined to be insured under the program.

"(b) ELECTION OF BENEFIT AMOUNT.—The amount of a member's monthly benefit under an enrollment shall be the basic benefit under subsection (a) of section 12525 of this title unless the member elects a different benefit under subsection (b) of such section within 60 days after first becoming a member of the Ready Reserve or within 60 days after being offered the opportunity to enroll, as the case may be.

"(c) ELECTIONS IRREVOCABLE.—(1) An election to decline insurance pursuant to paragraph (1) or (2) of subsection (a) is irrevocable.

"(2) The amount of coverage may not be increased after enrollment.

"(d) ELECTION TO TERMINATE.—A member may terminate an enrollment at any time.

"(e) INFORMATION TO BE FURNISHED.—The Secretary shall ensure that members referred to in subsection (a) are given a written explanation of the insurance program and are advised that they have the right to decline to be insured and, if not declined, to elect coverage for a reduced benefit or an enhanced benefit under subsection (b).

"(f) MEMBERS INELIGIBLE TO ENROLL.—Members of the Ready Reserve serving on active duty (or full-time National Guard duty) are not eligible to enroll for coverage under the insurance program. The Secretary may define any additional category of members of the Ready Reserve to be excluded from eligibility to purchase insurance under this chapter.

**"§ 12525. Benefit amounts**

"(a) BASIC BENEFIT.—The basic benefit for an insured member under the insurance program is $1,000 per month (as adjusted under subsection (d)).

"(b) REDUCED AND ENHANCED BENEFITS.—Under the regulations prescribed by the Secretary, a person enrolled for coverage under the insurance program may elect—

"(1) a reduced coverage benefit equal to one-half the amount of the basic benefit; or

"(2) an enhanced benefit in the amount of $1,500, $2,000, $2,500, $3,000, $3,500, $4,000, $4,500, or $5,000 per month (as adjusted under subsection (d)).

"(c) AMOUNT FOR PARTIAL MONTH.—The amount of insurance payable to an insured member for any period of covered service that is less than one month shall be determined by multiplying 1/30 of the monthly benefit rate for the member by the number of days of the covered service served by the member during such period.

"(d) ADJUSTMENT OF AMOUNTS.—(1) The Secretary shall determine annually the effect of inflation on benefits and shall adjust the amounts set forth in subsections (a) and (b)(2) to maintain the constant dollar value of the benefit.

"(2) If the amount of a benefit as adjusted under paragraph (1) is not evenly divisible by $10, the amount shall be rounded to the nearest multiple of $10, except that an amount evenly divisible by $5 but not by $10 shall be rounded to the next lower amount that is evenly divisible by $10.

**"§ 12526. Premiums**

"(a) ESTABLISHMENT OF RATES.—(1) The Secretary, in consultation with the Board of Actuaries, shall prescribe the premium rates for insurance under the insurance program.

"(2) The Secretary shall prescribe a fixed premium rate for each $1,000 of monthly insurance benefit. The premium amount shall be equal to the share of the cost attributable to insuring the member and shall be the same for all members of the Ready Reserve who are insured under the insurance program for the same benefit amount. The Secretary shall prescribe the rate on the basis of the best available estimate of risk and financial exposure, levels of subscription by members, and other relevant factors.

"(b) LEVEL PREMIUMS.—The premium rate prescribed for the first year of insurance coverage of an insured member shall be continued without change for subsequent years of insurance coverage, except that the Secretary, after consultation with the Board of Actuaries, may adjust the premium rate in order to fund inflation-adjusted benefit increases on an actuarially sound basis.

**"§ 12527. Payment of premiums**

"(a) METHODS OF PAYMENT.—(1) The monthly premium for coverage of a member under the insurance program shall be deducted and withheld from the insured member's pay for each month.

"(2) An insured member who does not receive pay on a monthly basis shall pay the Secretary directly the premium amount applicable for the level of benefits for which the member is insured.

"(b) ADVANCE PAY FOR PREMIUM.—The Secretary concerned may advance to an insured member the amount equal to the first insurance premium payment due under this chapter. The advance may be paid out of appropriations for military pay. An advance to a member shall be collected from the member either by deducting and withholding the amount from basic pay payable for the member or by collecting it from the member directly. No disbursing or certifying officer shall be responsible for any loss resulting from an advance under this subsection.

"(c) PREMIUMS TO BE DEPOSITED IN FUND.—Premium amounts deducted and withheld

from the pay of insured members and premium amounts paid directly to the Secretary shall be credited monthly to the Fund.

**"§ 12528. Reserve Mobilization Income Insurance Fund**

"(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—There is established on the books of the Treasury a fund to be known as the 'Reserve Mobilization Income Insurance Fund', which shall be administered by the Secretary of the Treasury. The Fund shall be used for the accumulation of funds in order to finance the liabilities of the insurance program on an actuarially sound basis.

"(b) ASSETS OF FUND.—There shall be deposited into the Fund the following:

"(1) Premiums paid under section 12527 of this title.

"(2) Any amount appropriated to the Fund.

"(3) Any return on investment of the assets of the Fund.

"(c) AVAILABILITY.—Amounts in the Fund shall be available for paying insurance benefits under the insurance program.

"(d) INVESTMENT OF ASSETS OF FUND.—The Secretary of the Treasury shall invest such portion of the Fund as is not in the judgment of the Secretary of Defense required to meet current liabilities. Such investments shall be in public debt securities with maturities suitable to the needs of the Fund, as determined by the Secretary of Defense, and bearing interest at rates determined by the Secretary of the Treasury, taking into consideration current market yields on outstanding marketable obligations of the United States of comparable maturities. The income on such investments shall be credited to the Fund.

"(e) ANNUAL ACCOUNTING.—At the beginning of each fiscal year, the Secretary, in consultation with the Board of Actuaries and the Secretary of the Treasury, shall determine the following:

"(1) The projected amount of the premiums to be collected, investment earnings to be received, and any transfers or appropriations to be made for the Fund for that fiscal year.

"(2) The amount for that fiscal year of any cumulative unfunded liability (including any negative amount or any gain to the Fund) resulting from payments of benefits.

"(3) The amount for that fiscal year (including any negative amount) of any cumulative actuarial gain or loss to the Fund.

**"§ 12529. Board of Actuaries**

"(a) ACTUARIAL RESPONSIBILITY.—The Board of Actuaries shall have the actuarial responsibility for the insurance program.

"(b) VALUATIONS AND PREMIUM RECOMMENDATIONS.—The Board of Actuaries shall carry out periodic actuarial valuations of the benefits under the insurance program and determine a premium rate methodology for the Secretary to use in setting premium rates for the insurance program. The Board shall conduct the first valuation and determine a premium rate methodology not later than six months after the insurance program is established.

"(c) EFFECTS OF CHANGED BENEFITS.—If at the time of any actuarial valuation under subsection (b) there has been a change in benefits under the insurance program that has been made since the last such valuation and such change in benefits increases or decreases the present value of amounts payable from the Fund, the Board of Actuaries shall determine a premium rate methodology, and recommend to the Secretary a premium schedule, for the liquidation of any liability (or actuarial gain to the Fund) resulting from such change and any previous such changes so that the present value of the sum of the scheduled premium payments (or reduction in payments that would otherwise be made) equals the cumulative increase (or decrease) in the present value of such benefits.

"(d) ACTUARIAL GAINS OR LOSSES.—If at the time of any such valuation the Board of Actuaries determines that there has been an actuarial gain or loss to the Fund as a result of changes in actuarial assumptions since the last valuation or as a result of any differences, between actual and expected experience since the last valuation, the Board shall recommend to the Secretary a premium rate schedule for the amortization of the cumulative gain or loss to the Fund resulting from such changes in assumptions and any previous such changes in assumptions or from the differences in actual and expected experience, respectively, through an increase or decrease in the payments that would otherwise be made to the Fund.

"(e) INSUFFICIENT ASSETS.—If at any time liabilities of the Fund exceed assets of the Fund as a result of members of the Ready Reserve being ordered to active duty as described in section 12521(2) of this title, and funds are unavailable to pay benefits completely, the Secretary shall request the President to submit to Congress a request for a special appropriation to cover the unfunded liability. If appropriations are not made to cover an unfunded liability in any fiscal year, the Secretary shall reduce the amount of the benefits paid under the insurance program to a total amount that does not exceed the assets of the Fund expected to accrue by the end of such fiscal year. Benefits that cannot be paid because of such a reduction shall be deferred and may be paid only after and to the extent that additional funds become available.

"(f) DEFINITION OF PRESENT VALUE.—The Board of Actuaries shall define the term 'present value' for purposes of this subsection.

**"§ 12530. Payment of benefits**

"(a) COMMENCEMENT OF PAYMENT.—An insured member who serves in excess of 30 days of covered service shall be paid the amount to which such member is entitled on a monthly basis beginning not later than one month after the 30th day of covered service.

"(b) METHOD OF PAYMENT.—The Secretary shall prescribe in the regulations the manner in which payments shall be made to the member or to a person designated in accordance with subsection (c).

"(c) DESIGNATED RECIPIENTS.—(1) A member may designate in writing another person (including a spouse, parent, or other person with an insurable interest, as determined in accordance with the regulations prescribed by the Secretary) to receive payments of insurance benefits under the insurance program.

"(2) A member may direct that payments of insurance benefits for a person designated under paragraph (1) be deposited with a bank or other financial institution to the credit of the designated person.

"(d) RECIPIENTS IN EVENT OF DEATH OF INSURED MEMBER.—Any insurance payable under the insurance program on account of a deceased member's period of covered service shall be paid, upon the establishment of a valid claim, to the beneficiary or beneficiaries which the deceased member designated in writing. If no such designation has been made, the amount shall be payable in accordance with the laws of the State of the member's domicile.

**"§ 12531. Purchase of insurance**

"(a) PURCHASE AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary may, instead of or in addition to underwriting the insurance program through the Fund, purchase from one or more insurance companies a policy or policies of group insurance in order to provide the benefits required under this chapter. The Secretary may waive any requirement for full and open competition in order to purchase an insurance policy under this subsection.

"(b) ELIGIBLE INSURERS.—In order to be eligible to sell insurance to the Secretary for purposes of subsection (a), an insurance company shall—

"(1) be licensed to issue insurance in each of the 50 States and in the District of Columbia; and

"(2) as of the most recent December 31 for which information is available to the Secretary, have in effect at least one percent of the total amount of insurance that all such insurance companies have in effect in the United States.

"(c) ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS.—(1) An insurance company that issues a policy for purposes of subsection (a) shall establish an administrative office at a place and under a name designated by the Secretary.

"(2) For the purposes of carrying out this chapter, the Secretary may use the facilities and services of any insurance company issuing any policy for purposes of subsection (a), may designate one such company as the representative of the other companies for such purposes, and may contract to pay a reasonable fee to the designated company for its services.

"(d) REINSURANCE.—The Secretary shall arrange with each insurance company issuing any policy for purposes of subsection (a) to reinsure, under conditions approved by the Secretary, portions of the total amount of the insurance under such policy or policies with such other insurance companies (which meet qualifying criteria prescribed by the Secretary) as may elect to participate in such reinsurance.

"(e) TERMINATION.—The Secretary may at any time terminate any policy purchased under this section.

**"§ 12532. Termination for nonpayment of premiums; forfeiture**

"(a) TERMINATION FOR NONPAYMENT.—The coverage of a member under the insurance program shall terminate without prior notice upon a failure of the member to make required monthly payments of premiums for two consecutive months. The Secretary may provide in the regulations for reinstatement of insurance coverage terminated under this subsection.

"(b) FORFEITURE.—Any person convicted of mutiny, treason, spying, or desertion, or who refuses to perform service in the armed forces or refuses to wear the uniform of any of the armed forces shall forfeit all rights to insurance under this chapter.".

(2) The tables of chapters at the beginning of subtitle E, and at the beginning of part II of subtitle E, of title 10, United States Code, are amended by inserting after the item relating to chapter 1213 the following new item:

"1214. Ready Reserve Mobilization Income Insurance ..... 12521".

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The insurance program provided for in chapter 1214 of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a), and the requirement for deductions and contributions for that program shall take effect on September 30, 1996, or on any earlier date declared by the Secretary and published in the Federal Register.

**SEC. 513. MILITARY TECHNICIAN FULL-TIME SUPPORT PROGRAM FOR ARMY AND AIR FORCE RESERVE COMPONENTS.**

(a) REQUIREMENT OF ANNUAL AUTHORIZATION OF END STRENGTH.—(1) Section 115 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(g) Congress shall authorize for each fiscal year the end strength for military technicians for each reserve component of the Army and Air Force. Funds available to the Department of Defense for any fiscal year may not be used for the pay of a military technician during that fiscal year unless the technician fills a position that is within the number of such positions authorized by law for that fiscal year for the reserve component of that technician. This subsection applies without regard to section 129 of this title.".

(2) The amendment made by paragraph (1) does not apply with respect to fiscal year 1995.

(b) AUTHORIZATION FOR FISCAL YEARS 1996 AND 1997.—For each of fiscal years 1996 and 1997, the minimum number of military technicians, as of the last day of that fiscal year, for the Army and the Air Force (notwithstanding section 129 of title 10, United States Code) shall be the following:

(1) Army National Guard, 25,500.

(2) Army Reserve, 6,630.

(3) Air National Guard, 22,906.

(4) Air Force Reserve, 9,802.

(c) ADMINISTRATION OF MILITARY TECHNICIAN PROGRAM.—(1) Chapter 1007 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

**"§ 10216. Military technicians**

"(a) PRIORITY FOR MANAGEMENT OF MILITARY TECHNICIANS.—(1) As a basis for making the annual request to Congress pursuant to section 115 of this title for authorization of end strengths for military technicians of the Army and Air Force reserve components, the Secretary of Defense shall give priority to supporting authorizations for dual status military technicians in the following high-priority units and organizations:

"(A) Units of the Selected Reserve that are scheduled to deploy no later than 90 days after mobilization.

"(B) Units of the Selected Reserve that are or will deploy to relieve active duty peacetime operations tempo.

"(C) Those organizations with the primary mission of providing direct support surface and aviation maintenance for the reserve components of the Army and Air Force, to the extent that the military technicians in such units would mobilize and deploy in a skill that is compatible with their civilian position skill.

"(2) For each fiscal year, the Secretary of Defense shall, for the high-priority units and organizations referred to in paragraph (1), seek to achieve a programmed manning level for military technicians that is not less than 90 percent of the programmed manpower structure for those units and organizations for military technicians for that fiscal year.

"(3) Military technician authorizations and personnel in high-priority units and organizations specified in paragraph (1) shall be exempt from any requirement (imposed by law or otherwise) for reductions in Department of Defense civilian personnel and shall only be reduced as part of military force structure reductions.

"(b) DUAL-STATUS REQUIREMENT.—The Secretary of Defense shall require the Secretary of the Army and the Secretary of the Air Force to establish as a condition of employment for each individual who is hired after the date of the enactment of this section as a military technician that the individual maintain membership in the Selected Reserve (so as to be a so-called 'dual-status' technician) and shall require that the civilian and military position skill requirements of dual-status military technicians be compatible. No Department of Defense funds may be spent for compensation for any military technician hired after the date of the enactment of this section who is not a member of the Selected Reserve, except that compensation may be paid for up to six months following loss of membership in the Selected Reserve if such loss of membership was not due to the failure to meet military standards.".

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

"10216. Military technicians.".

(d) REVIEW OF RESERVE COMPONENT MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS.—(1) The Secretary of Defense shall, within six months after the date of the enactment of this Act, undertake steps to reduce, consolidate, and streamline management headquarters operations of the reserve components. As part of those steps, the Secretary shall identify those military technicians positions in such headquarters operations that are excess to the requirements of those headquarters.

(2) Of the military technicians positions that are identified under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall reallocate up to 95 percent of the annual funding required to support those positions for the purpose of creating new positions or filling existing positions in the high-priority units and activities specified in section 10216(a) of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (c).

(e) ANNUAL DEFENSE MANPOWER REQUIREMENTS REPORT.—Section 115a of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(h) In each such report, the Secretary shall include a separate report on the Army and Air Force military technician programs. The report shall include a presentation, shown by reserve component and shown both as of the end of the preceding fiscal year and for the next fiscal year, of the following:

"(1) The number of military technicians required to be employed (as specified in accordance with Department of Defense procedures), the number authorized to be employed under Department of Defense personnel procedures, and the number actually employed.

"(2) Within each of the numbers under paragraph (1)—

"(A) the number applicable to a reserve component management headquarter organization; and

"(B) the number applicable to high-priority units and organizations (as specified in section 10216(a) of this title).

"(3) Within each of the numbers under paragraph (1), the numbers of military technicians who are not themselves members of a reserve component (so-called 'single-status' technicians), with a further display of such numbers as specified in paragraph (2).".

**SEC. 514. REVISIONS TO ARMY GUARD COMBAT REFORM INITIATIVE TO INCLUDE ARMY RESERVE UNDER CERTAIN PROVISIONS AND MAKE CERTAIN REVISIONS.**

(a) PRIOR ACTIVE DUTY PERSONNEL.—Section 1111 of the Army National Guard Combat Readiness Reform Act of 1992 (title XI of Public Law 102–484) is amended—

(1) in the section heading, by striking out the first three words;

(2) by striking out subsections (a) and (b) and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

"(a) ADDITIONAL PRIOR ACTIVE DUTY OFFICERS.—The Secretary of the Army shall increase the number of qualified prior active-duty officers in the Army National Guard by providing a program that permits the separation of officers on active duty with at least two, but less than three, years of active service upon condition that the officer is accepted for appointment in the Army National Guard. The Secretary shall have a goal of having not fewer than 150 officers become members of the Army National Guard each year under this section.

"(b) ADDITIONAL PRIOR ACTIVE DUTY ENLISTED MEMBERS.—The Secretary of the Army shall increase the number of qualified prior active-duty enlisted members in the Army National Guard through the use of enlistments as described in section 8020 of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 1994 (Public Law 103–139). The Secretary shall enlist not fewer than 1,000 new enlisted members each year under enlistments described in that section."; and

(3) by striking out subsections (d) and (e).

(b) SERVICE IN THE SELECTED RESERVE IN LIEU OF ACTIVE DUTY SERVICE FOR ROTC GRADUATES.—Section 1112(b) of such Act (106 Stat. 2537) is amended by striking out "National Guard" before the period at the end and inserting in lieu thereof "Selected Reserve".

(c) REVIEW OF OFFICER PROMOTIONS.—Section 1113 of such Act (106 Stat. 2537) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by striking out "National Guard" both places it appears and inserting in lieu thereof "Selected Reserve"; and

(2) by striking out subsection (b) and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

"(b) COVERAGE OF SELECTED RESERVE COMBAT AND EARLY DEPLOYING UNITS.—(1) Subsection (a) applies to officers in all units of the Selected Reserve that are designated as combat units or that are designated for deployment within 75 days of mobilization.

"(2) Subsection (a) shall take effect with respect to officers of the Army Reserve, and with respect to officers of the Army National Guard in units not subject to subsection (a) as of the date of the enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1996, at the end of the 90-day period beginning on such date of enactment.".

(d) INITIAL ENTRY TRAINING AND NONDEPLOYABLE PERSONNEL.—Section 1115 of such Act (106 Stat. 2538) is amended—

(1) in subsections (a) and (b), by striking out "National Guard" each place it appears and inserting in lieu thereof "Selected Reserve"; and

(2) in subsection (c)—

(A) by striking out "a member of the Army National Guard enters the National Guard" and inserting in lieu thereof "a member of the Army Selected Reserve enters the Army Selected Reserve"; and

(B) by striking out "from the Army National Guard".

(e) ACCOUNTING OF MEMBERS WHO FAIL PHYSICAL DEPLOYABILITY STANDARDS.—Section 1116 of such Act (106 Stat. 2539) is amended by striking out "National Guard" each place it appears and inserting in lieu thereof "Selected Reserve".

(f) USE OF COMBAT SIMULATORS.—Section 1120 of such Act (106 Stat. 2539) is amended by inserting "and the Army Reserve" before the period at the end.

**SEC. 515. ACTIVE DUTY ASSOCIATE UNIT RESPONSIBILITY.**

(a) ASSOCIATE UNITS.—Subsection (a) of section 1131 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993 (Public Law 102–484; 106 Stat. 2540) is amended to read as follows:

"(a) ASSOCIATE UNITS.—The Secretary of the Army shall require—

"(1) that each ground combat maneuver brigade of the Army National Guard that (as determined by the Secretary) is essential for the execution of the National Military Strategy be associated with an active-duty combat unit; and

"(2) that combat support and combat service support units of the Army Selected Reserve that (as determined by the Secretary) are essential for the execution of the National Military Strategy be associated with active-duty units.".

(b) RESPONSIBILITIES.—Subsection (b) of such section is amended—

(1) by striking out "National Guard combat unit" in the matter preceding paragraph (1) and inserting in lieu thereof "National Guard unit or Army Selected Reserve unit that (as determined by the Secretary under subsection (a)) is essential for the execution of the National Military Strategy"; and

(2) by striking out "of the National Guard unit" in paragraphs (1), (2), (3), and (4) and inserting in lieu thereof "of that unit".

**SEC. 516. LEAVE FOR MEMBERS OF RESERVE COMPONENTS PERFORMING PUBLIC SAFETY DUTY.**

(a) ELECTION OF LEAVE TO BE CHARGED.—Subsection (b) of section 6323 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following: "Upon the request of an employee, the period for which an employee is absent to perform service described in paragraph (2) may be charged to the employee's accrued annual leave or to compensatory time available to the employee instead of being charged as leave to which the employee is entitled under this subsection. The period of absence may not be charged to sick leave.".

(b) PAY FOR PERIOD OF ABSENCE.—Section 5519 of such title is amended by striking out "entitled to leave" and inserting in lieu thereof "granted military leave".

**SEC. 517. DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FUNDING FOR NATIONAL GUARD PARTICIPATION IN JOINT DISASTER AND EMERGENCY ASSISTANCE EXERCISES.**

Section 503(a) of title 32, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by inserting "(1)" after "(a)"; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

"(2) Paragraph (1) includes authority to provide for participation of the National Guard in conjunction with the Army or the Air Force, or both, in joint exercises for instruction to prepare the National Guard for response to civil emergencies and disasters.".

**Subtitle C—Decorations and Awards**

**SEC. 521. AWARD OF PURPLE HEART TO PERSONS WOUNDED WHILE HELD AS PRISONERS OF WAR BEFORE APRIL 25, 1962.**

(a) AWARD OF PURPLE HEART.—For purposes of the award of the Purple Heart, the Secretary concerned (as defined in section 101 of title 10, United States Code) shall treat a former prisoner of war who was wounded before April 25, 1962, while held as a prisoner of war (or while being taken captive) in the same manner as a former prisoner of war who is wounded on or after that date while held as a prisoner of war (or while being taken captive).

(b) STANDARDS FOR AWARD.—An award of the Purple Heart under subsection (a) shall be made in accordance with the standards in effect on the date of the enactment of this Act for the award of the Purple Heart to persons wounded on or after April 25, 1962.

(c) ELIGIBLE FORMER PRISONERS OF WAR.—A person shall be considered to be a former prisoner of war for purposes of this section if the person is eligible for the prisoner-of-war medal under section 1128 of title 10, United States Code.

**SEC. 522. AUTHORITY TO AWARD DECORATIONS RECOGNIZING ACTS OF VALOR PERFORMED IN COMBAT DURING THE VIETNAM CONFLICT.**

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress makes the following findings:

(1) The Ia Drang Valley (Pleiku) campaign, carried out by the Armed Forces in the Ia Drang Valley of Vietnam from October 23, 1965, to November 26, 1965, is illustrative of the many battles during the Vietnam conflict which pitted forces of the United States against North Vietnamese Army regulars and Viet Cong in vicious fighting.

(2) Accounts of those battles that have been published since the end of that conflict authoritatively document numerous and repeated acts of extraordinary heroism, sacrifice, and bravery on the part of members of the Armed Forces, many of which have never been officially recognized.

(3) In some of those battles, United States military units suffered substantial losses, with some units sustaining casualties in excess of 50 percent.

(4) The incidence of heavy casualties throughout the Vietnam conflict inhibited the timely collection of comprehensive and detailed information to support recommendations for awards recognizing acts of heroism, sacrifice, and bravery.

(5) Subsequent requests to the Secretaries of the military departments for review of award recommendations for such acts have been denied because of restrictions in law and regulations that require timely filing of such recommendations and documented justification.

(6) Acts of heroism, sacrifice, and bravery performed in combat by members of the Armed Forces deserve appropriate and timely recognition by the people of the United States.

(7) It is appropriate to recognize acts of heroism, sacrifice, or bravery that are belatedly, but properly, documented by persons who witnessed those acts.

(b) WAIVER OF TIME LIMITATIONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS FOR AWARDS.—(1) Any decoration covered by paragraph (2) may be awarded, without regard to any time limit imposed by law or regulation for a recommendation for such award to any person for actions by that person in the Southeast Asia theater of operations while serving on active duty during the Vietnam era. The waiver of time limitations under this paragraph applies only in the case of awards for acts of valor for which a request for consideration is submitted under subsection (c).

(2) Paragraph (1) applies to any decoration (including any device in lieu of a decoration) that, during or after the Vietnam era and before the date of the enactment of this Act, was authorized by law or under regulations of the Department of Defense or the military department concerned to be awarded to members of the Armed Forces for acts of valor.

(c) REVIEW OF REQUESTS FOR CONSIDERATION OF AWARDS.—(1) The Secretary of each military department shall review each request for consideration of award of a decoration described in subsection (b) that are received by the Secretary during the one-year period beginning on the date of enactment of this Act.

(2) The Secretaries shall begin the review within 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act and shall complete the review of each request for consideration not later than one year after the date on which the request is received.

(3) The Secretary may use the same process for carrying out the review as the Secretary uses for reviewing other recommendations for award of decorations to members of the Armed Forces under the Secretary's jurisdiction for valorous acts.

(d) REPORT.—(1) Upon completing the review of each such request under subsection (c), the Secretary shall submit a report on the review to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives.

(2) The report shall include, with respect to each request for consideration received, the following information:

(A) A summary of the request for consideration.

(B) The findings resulting from the review.

(C) The final action taken on the request for consideration.

(e) DEFINITION.—For purposes of this section:

(1) The term "Vietnam era" has the meaning given that term in section 101 of title 38, United States Code.

(2) The term "active duty" has the meaning given that term in section 101 of title 10, United States Code.

**SEC. 523. MILITARY INTELLIGENCE PERSONNEL PREVENTED BY SECRECY FROM BEING CONSIDERED FOR DECORATIONS AND AWARDS.**

(a) WAIVER ON RESTRICTIONS OF AWARDS.—(1) Any decoration covered by paragraph (2) may be awarded, without regard to any time limit imposed by law or regulation for a recommendation for such award, to any person for an act, achievement, or service that the person performed in carrying out military intelligence duties during the period beginning on January 1, 1940, and ending on December 31, 1990.

(2) Paragraph (1) applies to any decoration (including any device in lieu of a decoration) that, during or after the period described in paragraph (1) and before the date of the enactment of this Act, was authorized by law or under the regulations of the Department of Defense or the military department concerned to be awarded to a person for an act, achievement, or service performed by that person while serving on active duty.

(b) REVIEW OF REQUESTS FOR CONSIDERATION OF AWARDS.—(1) The Secretary of each military department shall review each request for consideration of award of a decoration described in subsection (a) that is received by the Secretary during the one-year period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2) The Secretaries shall begin the review within 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act and shall complete the review of each request for consideration not later than one year after the date on which the request is received.

(3) The Secretary may use the same process for carrying out the review as the Secretary uses for reviewing other recommendations for awarding decorations to members of the Armed Forces under the Secretary's jurisdiction for acts, achievements, or service.

(c) REPORT.—(1) Upon completing the review of each such request under subsection

(b), the Secretary shall submit a report on the review to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives.

(2) The report shall include, with respect to each request for consideration reviewed, the following information:

(A) A summary of the request for consideration.

(B) The findings resulting from the review.

(C) The final action taken on the request for consideration.

(D) Administrative or legislative recommendations to improve award procedures with respect to military intelligence personnel.

(d) DEFINITION.—For purposes of this section, the term "active duty" has the meaning given such term in section 101 of title 10, United States Code.

**SEC. 524. REVIEW REGARDING UPGRADING OF DISTINGUISHED-SERVICE CROSSES AND NAVY CROSSES AWARDED TO ASIAN-AMERICANS AND NATIVE AMERICAN PACIFIC ISLANDERS FOR WORLD WAR II SERVICE.**

(a) REVIEW REQUIRED.—(1) The Secretary of the Army shall review the records relating to each award of the Distinguished-Service Cross, and the Secretary of the Navy shall review the records relating to each award of the Navy Cross, that was awarded to an Asian-American or a Native American Pacific Islander with respect to service as a member of the Armed Forces during World War II. The purpose of the review shall be to determine whether any such award should be upgraded to the Medal of Honor.

(2) If the Secretary concerned determines, based upon the review under paragraph (1), that such an upgrade is appropriate in the case of any person, the Secretary shall submit to the President a recommendation that the President award the Medal of Honor to that person.

(b) WAIVER OF TIME LIMITATIONS.—A Medal of Honor may be awarded to a person referred to in subsection (a) in accordance with a recommendation of the Secretary concerned under that subsection without regard to—

(1) section 3744, 6248, or 8744 of title 10, United States Code, as applicable; and

(2) any regulation or other administrative restriction on—

(A) the time for awarding the Medal of Honor; or

(B) the awarding of the Medal of Honor for service for which a Distinguished-Service Cross or Navy Cross has been awarded.

(c) DEFINITION.—For purposes of this section, the term "Native American Pacific Islander" means a Native Hawaiian and any other Native American Pacific Islander within the meaning of the Native American Programs Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C. 2991 et seq.).

**SEC. 525. ELIGIBILITY FOR ARMED FORCES EXPEDITIONARY MEDAL BASED UPON SERVICE IN EL SALVADOR.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—For the purpose of determining eligibility of members and former members of the Armed Forces for the Armed Forces Expeditionary Medal, the country of El Salvador during the period beginning on January 1, 1981 and ending on February 1, 1992, shall be treated as having been designated as an area and a period of time in which members of the Armed Forces participated in operations in significant numbers and otherwise met the general requirements for the award of that medal.

(b) INDIVIDUAL DETERMINATION.—The Secretary of the military department concerned shall determine whether individual members or former members of the Armed Forces who served in El Salvador during the period beginning on January 1, 1981 and ending on February 1, 1992 meet the individual service requirements for award of the Armed Forces Expeditionary Medal as established in applicable regulations. Such determinations shall be made as expeditiously as possible after the date of the enactment of this Act.

**SEC. 526. PROCEDURE FOR CONSIDERATION OF MILITARY DECORATIONS NOT PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED IN TIMELY FASHION.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 57 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

**"§1130. Consideration of proposals for decorations not previously submitted in timely fashion: procedures for review and recommendation**

"(a) Upon request of a Member of Congress, the Secretary concerned shall review a proposal for the award or presentation of a decoration (or the upgrading of a decoration), either for an individual or a unit, that is not otherwise authorized to be presented or awarded due to limitations established by law or policy for timely submission of a recommendation for such award or presentation. Based upon such review, the Secretary shall make a determination as to the merits of approving the award or presentation of the decoration and the other determinations necessary to comply with subsection (b).

"(b) Upon making a determination under subsection (a) as to the merits of approving the award or presentation of the decoration, the Secretary concerned shall submit to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives and to the requesting member of Congress notice in writing of one of the following:

"(1) The award or presentation of the decoration does not warrant approval on the merits.

"(2) The award or presentation of the decoration warrants approval and a waiver by law of time restrictions prescribed by law is recommended.

"(3) The award or presentation of the decoration warrants approval on the merits and has been approved as an exception to policy.

"(4) The award or presentation of the decoration warrants approval on the merits, but a waiver of the time restrictions prescribed by law or policy is not recommended.

A notice under paragraph (1) or (4) shall be accompanied by a statement of the reasons for the decision of the Secretary.

"(c) Determinations under this section regarding the award or presentation of a decoration shall be made in accordance with the same procedures that apply to the approval or disapproval of the award or presentation of a decoration when a recommendation for such award or presentation is submitted in a timely manner as prescribed by law or regulation.

"(d) In this section:

"(1) The term 'Member of Congress' means—

"(A) a Senator; or

"(B) a Representative in, or a Delegate or Resident Commissioner to, Congress.

"(2) The term 'decoration' means any decoration or award that may be presented or awarded to a member or unit of the armed forces.".

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

"1130. Consideration of proposals for decorations not previously submitted in timely fashion: procedures for review and recommendation.".

**Subtitle D—Officer Education Programs**

**PART I—SERVICE ACADEMIES**

**SEC. 531. REVISION OF SERVICE OBLIGATION FOR GRADUATES OF THE SERVICE ACADEMIES.**

(a) MILITARY ACADEMY.—Section 4348(a)(2)(B) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking out "six years" and inserting in lieu thereof "five years".

(b) NAVAL ACADEMY.—Section 6959(a)(2)(B) of such title is amended by striking out "six years" and inserting in lieu thereof "five years".

(c) AIR FORCE ACADEMY.—Section 9348(a)(2)(B) of such title is amended by striking out "six years" and inserting in lieu thereof "five years".

(d) REQUIREMENT FOR REVIEW AND REPORT.—(1) The Secretary of Defense shall review the effects that each of various periods of obligated active duty service for graduates of the United States Military Academy, the United States Naval Academy, and the United States Air Force Academy would have on the number and quality of the eligible and qualified applicants seeking appointment to such academies.

(2) Not later than April 1, 1996, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives a report on the Secretary's findings under the review, together with any recommended legislation regarding the minimum periods of obligated active duty service for graduates of the United States Military Academy, the United States Naval Academy, and the United States Air Force Academy.

(e) APPLICABILITY.—The amendments made by this section apply to persons first admitted to the United States Military Academy, United States Naval Academy, and United States Air Force Academy after December 31, 1991.

**SEC. 532. NOMINATIONS TO SERVICE ACADEMIES FROM COMMONWEALTH OF THE NORTHERN MARIANAS ISLANDS.**

(a) MILITARY ACADEMY.—Section 4342(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after paragraph (9) the following new paragraph:

"(10) One cadet from the Commonwealth of the Northern Marianas Islands, nominated by the resident representative from the commonwealth.".

(b) NAVAL ACADEMY.—Section 6954(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after paragraph (9) the following new paragraph:

"(10) One from the Commonwealth of the Northern Marianas Islands, nominated by the resident representative from the commonwealth.".

(c) AIR FORCE ACADEMY.—Section 9342(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after paragraph (9) the following new paragraph:

"(10) One cadet from the Commonwealth of the Northern Marianas Islands, nominated by the resident representative from the commonwealth.".

**SEC. 533. REPEAL OF REQUIREMENT FOR ATHLETIC DIRECTOR AND NON-APPROPRIATED FUND ACCOUNT FOR THE ATHLETICS PROGRAMS AT THE SERVICE ACADEMIES.**

(a) UNITED STATES MILITARY ACADEMY.—(1) Section 4357 of title 10, United States Code, is repealed.

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 403 of such title is amended by striking out the item relating to section 4357.

(b) UNITED STATES NAVAL ACADEMY.—Section 556 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (Public Law 103–337; 108 Stat. 2774) is amended by striking out subsections (b) and (e).

(c) UNITED STATES AIR FORCE ACADEMY.—(1) Section 9356 of title 10, United States Code, is repealed.

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 903 of such title is amended by striking out the item relating to section 9356.

**SEC. 534. REPEAL OF REQUIREMENT FOR PROGRAM TO TEST PRIVATIZATION OF SERVICE ACADEMY PREPARATORY SCHOOLS.**

Section 536 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1994 (Public Law 103–160; 10 U.S.C. 4331 note) is repealed.

**PART II—RESERVE OFFICER TRAINING CORPS**

**SEC. 541. ROTC ACCESS TO CAMPUSES.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 49 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

**"§ 983. Institutions of higher education that prohibit Senior ROTC units: denial of Department of Defense grants and contracts**

"(a) DENIAL OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE GRANTS AND CONTRACTS.—(1) No funds appropriated or otherwise available to the Department of Defense may be made obligated by contract or by grant (including a grant of funds to be available for student aid) to any institution of higher education that, as determined by the Secretary of Defense, has an anti-ROTC policy and at which, as determined by the Secretary, the Secretary would otherwise maintain or seek to establish a unit of the Senior Reserve Officer Training Corps or at which the Secretary would otherwise enroll or seek to enroll students for participation in a unit of the Senior Reserve Officer Training Corps at another nearby institution of higher education.

"(2) In the case of an institution of higher education that is ineligible for Department of Defense grants and contracts by reason of paragraph (1), the prohibition under that paragraph shall cease to apply to that institution upon a determination by the Secretary that the institution no longer has an anti-ROTC policy.

"(b) NOTICE OF DETERMINATION.—Whenever the Secretary makes a determination under subsection (a) that an institution has an anti-ROTC policy, or that an institution previously determined to have an anti-ROTC policy no longer has such a policy, the Secretary—

"(1) shall transmit notice of that determination to the Secretary of Education and to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives; and

"(2) shall publish in the Federal Register notice of that determination and of the effect of that determination under subsection (a)(1) on the eligibility of that institution for Department of Defense grants and contracts.

"(c) SEMIANNUAL NOTICE IN FEDERAL REGISTER.—The Secretary shall publish in the Federal Register once every six months a list of each institution of higher education that is currently ineligible for Department of Defense grants and contracts by reason of a determination of the Secretary under subsection (a).

"(d) ANTI-ROTC POLICY.—In this section, the term 'anti-ROTC policy' means a policy or practice of an institution of higher education that—

"(1) prohibits, or in effect prevents, the Secretary of Defense from maintaining or establishing a unit of the Senior Reserve Officer Training Corps at that institution, or

"(2) prohibits, or in effect prevents, a student at that institution from enrolling in a unit of the Senior Reserve Officer Training Corps at another institution of higher education.".

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

"983. Institutions of higher education that prohibit Senior ROTC units: denial of Department of Defense grants and contracts.".

**SEC. 542. ROTC SCHOLARSHIPS FOR THE NATIONAL GUARD.**

(a) CLARIFICATION OF RESTRICTION ON ACTIVE DUTY.—Paragraph (2) of section 2107(h) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting "full-time" before "active duty" in the second sentence.

(b) REDESIGNATION OF ROTC SCHOLARSHIPS.—Such paragraph is further amended by inserting after the first sentence the following new sentence: "A cadet designated under this paragraph who, having initially contracted for service as provided in subsection (b)(5)(A) and having received financial assistance for two years under an award providing for four years of financial assistance under this section, modifies such contract with the consent of the Secretary of the Army to provide for service as described in subsection (b)(5)(B), may be counted, for the year in which the contract is modified, toward the number of appointments required under the preceding sentence for financial assistance awarded for a period of four years.".

**SEC. 543. DELAY IN REORGANIZATION OF ARMY ROTC REGIONAL HEADQUARTERS STRUCTURE.**

(a) DELAY.—The Secretary of the Army may not take any action to reorganize the regional headquarters and basic camp structure of the Reserve Officers Training Corps program of the Army until six months after the date on which the report required by subsection (d) is submitted.

(b) COST-BENEFIT ANALYSIS.—The Secretary of the Army shall conduct a comparative cost-benefit analysis of various options for the reorganization of the regional headquarters and basic camp structure of the Army ROTC program. As part of such analysis, the Secretary shall measure each reorganization option considered against a common set of criteria.

(c) SELECTION OF REORGANIZATION OPTION FOR IMPLEMENTATION.—Based on the findings resulting from the cost-benefit analysis under subsection (b) and such other factors as the Secretary considers appropriate, the Secretary shall select one reorganization option for implementation. The Secretary may select an option for implementation only if the Secretary finds that the cost-benefit analysis and other factors considered clearly demonstrate that such option, better than any other option considered—

(1) provides the structure to meet projected mission requirements;

(2) achieves the most significant personnel and cost savings;

(3) uses existing basic and advanced camp facilities to the maximum extent possible;

(4) minimizes additional military construction costs; and

(5) makes maximum use of the reserve components to support basic and advanced camp operations, thereby minimizing the effect of those operations on active duty units.

(d) REPORT.—Not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Army shall submit to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives a report describing the reorganization option selected under subsection (c). The report shall include the results of the cost-benefit analysis under subsection (b) and a detailed rationale for the reorganization option selected.

**SEC. 544. DURATION OF FIELD TRAINING OR PRACTICE CRUISE REQUIRED UNDER THE SENIOR RESERVE OFFICERS' TRAINING CORPS PROGRAM.**

Section 2104(b)(6)(A)(ii) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking out "not less than six weeks' duration" and inserting in lieu thereof "a duration".

**SEC. 545. ACTIVE DUTY OFFICERS DETAILED TO ROTC DUTY AT SENIOR MILITARY COLLEGES TO SERVE AS COMMANDANT AND ASSISTANT COMMANDANT OF CADETS AND AS TACTICAL OFFICERS.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 103 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

**"§ 2111a. Detail of officers to senior military colleges**

"(a) DETAIL OF OFFICERS TO SERVE AS COMMANDANT OR ASSISTANT COMMANDANT OF CADETS.—(1) Upon the request of a senior military college, the Secretary of Defense may detail an officer on the active-duty list to serve as Commandant of Cadets at that college or (in the case of a college with an Assistant Commandant of Cadets) detail an officer on the active-duty list to serve as Assistant Commandant of Cadets at that college (but not both).

"(2) In the case of an officer detailed as Commandant of Cadets, the officer may, upon the request of the college, be assigned from among the Professor of Military Science, the Professor of Naval Science (if any), and the Professor of Aerospace Science (if any) at that college or may be in addition to any other officer detailed to that college in support of the program.

"(3) In the case of an officer detailed as Assistant Commandant of Cadets, the officer may, upon the request of the college, be assigned from among officers otherwise detailed to duty at that college in support of the program or may be in addition to any other officer detailed to that college in support of the program.

"(b) DESIGNATION OF OFFICERS AS TACTICAL OFFICERS.—Upon the request of a senior military college, the Secretary of Defense may authorize officers (other than officers covered by subsection (a)) who are detailed to duty as instructors at that college to act simultaneously as tactical officers (with or without compensation) for the Corps of Cadets at that college.

"(c) DETAIL OF OFFICERS.—The Secretary of a military department shall designate officers for detail to the program at a senior military college in accordance with criteria provided by the college. An officer may not be detailed to a senior military college without the approval of that college.

"(d) SENIOR MILITARY COLLEGES.—The senior military colleges are the following:

"(1) Texas A&M University.

"(2) Norwich College.

"(3) The Virginia Military Institute.

"(4) The Citadel.

"(5) Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University.

"(6) North Georgia College.".

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is

amended by adding at the end the following new item:

"2111a. Detail of officers to senior military colleges.".

**Subtitle E—Miscellaneous Reviews, Studies, and Reports**

**SEC. 551. REPORT CONCERNING APPROPRIATE FORUM FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PERSONNEL ACTIONS.**

(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—The Secretary of Defense shall establish an advisory committee to consider issues relating to the appropriate forum for judicial review of Department of Defense administrative personnel actions.

(b) MEMBERSHIP.—(1) The committee shall be composed of five members, who shall be appointed by the Secretary of Defense after consultation with the Attorney General and the Chief Justice of the United States.

(2) All members of the committee shall be appointed not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(c) DUTIES.—The committee shall review, and provide findings and recommendations regarding, the following matters with respect to judicial review of administrative personnel actions of the Department of Defense:

(1) Whether the existing forum for such review through the United States district courts provides appropriate and adequate review of such actions.

(2) Whether jurisdiction to conduct judicial review of such actions should be established in a single court in order to provide a centralized review of such actions and, if so, in which court that jurisdiction should be vested.

(d) REPORT.—(1) Not later than December 15, 1996, the committee shall submit to the Secretary of Defense a report setting forth its findings and recommendations, including its recommendations pursuant to subsection (c).

(2) Not later than January 1, 1997, the Secretary of Defense, after consultation with the Attorney General, shall transmit the committee's report to Congress. The Secretary may include in the transmittal any comments on the report that the Secretary or the Attorney General consider appropriate.

(e) TERMINATION OF COMMITTEE.—The committee shall terminate 30 days after the date of the submission of its report to Congress under subsection (d)(2).

**SEC. 552. COMPTROLLER GENERAL REVIEW OF PROPOSED ARMY END STRENGTH ALLOCATIONS.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—During fiscal years 1996 through 2001, the Comptroller General of the United States shall analyze the plans of the Secretary of the Army for the allocation of assigned active component end strengths for the Army through the requirements process known as Total Army Analysis 2003 and through any subsequent similar requirements process of the Army that is conducted before 2002. The Comptroller General's analysis shall consider whether the proposed active component end strengths and planned allocation of forces for that period will be sufficient to implement the national military strategy. In monitoring those plans, the Comptroller General shall determine the extent to which the Army will be able during that period—

(1) to man fully the combat force based on the projected active component Army end strength for each of fiscal years 1996 through 2001;

(2) to meet the support requirements for the force and strategy specified in the report of the Bottom-Up Review, including requirements for operations other than war; and

(3) to streamline further Army infrastructure in order to eliminate duplication and inefficiencies and replace active duty personnel in overhead positions, whenever practicable, with civilian or reserve personnel.

(b) ACCESS TO DOCUMENTS, ETC.—The Secretary of the Army shall ensure that the Comptroller General is provided access, on a timely basis and in accordance with the needs of the Comptroller General, to all analyses, models, memoranda, reports, and other documents prepared or used in connection with the requirements process of the Army known as Total Army Analysis 2003 and any subsequent similar requirements process of the Army that is conducted before 2002.

(c) ANNUAL REPORT.—Not later than March 1 of each year through 2002, the Comptroller General shall submit to Congress a report on the findings and conclusions of the Comptroller General under this section.

**SEC. 553. REPORT ON MANNING STATUS OF HIGHLY DEPLOYABLE SUPPORT UNITS.**

(a) REPORT.—Not later than September 30, 1996, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives a report on the units of the Armed Forces under the Secretary's jurisdiction—

(1) that (as determined by the Secretary of the military department concerned) are high-priority support units that would deploy early in a contingency operation or other crisis; and

(2) that are, as a matter of policy, managed at less than 100 percent of their authorized strengths.

(b) MATTERS TO BE INCLUDED.—The Secretary shall include in the report—

(1) the number of such high-priority support units (shown by type of unit) that are so managed;

(2) the level of manning within such high-priority support units; and

(3) with respect to each such unit, either the justification for manning of less than 100 percent or the status of corrective action.

**SEC. 554. REVIEW OF SYSTEM FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS.**

(a) REVIEW OF PROCEDURES.—The Secretary of Defense shall review the system and procedures for the correction of military records used by the Secretaries of the military departments in the exercise of authority under section 1552 of title 10, United States Code, in order to identify potential improvements that could be made in the process for correcting military records to ensure fairness, equity, and (consistent with appropriate service to applicants) maximum efficiency. The Secretary may not delegate responsibility for the review to an officer or official of a military department.

(b) ISSUES REVIEWED.—In conducting the review, the Secretary shall consider (with respect to each Board for the Correction of Military Records) the following:

(1) The composition of the board and of the support staff for the board.

(2) Timeliness of final action.

(3) Independence of deliberations by the civilian board.

(4) The authority of the Secretary of the military department concerned to modify the recommendations of the board.

(5) Burden of proof and other evidentiary standards.

(6) Alternative methods for correcting military records.

(7) Whether the board should be consolidated with the Discharge Review Board of the military department.

(c) REPORT.—Not later than April 1, 1996, the Secretary of Defense shall submit a report on the results of the Secretary's review under this section to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives. The report shall contain the recommendations of the Secretary for improving the process for correcting military records in order to achieve the objectives referred to in subsection (a).

**SEC. 555. REPORT ON THE CONSISTENCY OF REPORTING OF FINGERPRINT CARDS AND FINAL DISPOSITION FORMS TO THE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION.**

(a) REPORT.—The Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report on the consistency with which fingerprint cards and final disposition forms, as described in Criminal Investigations Policy Memorandum 10 issued by the Defense Inspector General on March 25, 1987, are reported by the Defense Criminal Investigative Organizations to the Federal Bureau of Investigation for inclusion in the Bureau's criminal history identification files. The report shall be prepared in consultation with the Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

(b) MATTERS TO BE INCLUDED.—In the report, the Secretary shall—

(1) survey fingerprint cards and final disposition forms filled out in the past 24 months by each investigative organization;

(2) compare the fingerprint cards and final disposition forms filled out to all judicial and nonjudicial procedures initiated as a result of actions taken by each investigative service in the past 24 months;

(3) account for any discrepancies between the forms filled out and the judicial and nonjudicial procedures initiated;

(4) compare the fingerprint cards and final disposition forms filled out with the information held by the Federal Bureau of Investigation criminal history identification files;

(5) identify any weaknesses in the collection of fingerprint cards and final disposition forms and in the reporting of that information to the Federal Bureau of Investigation; and

(6) determine whether or not other law enforcement activities of the military services collect and report such information or, if not, should collect and report such information.

(c) SUBMISSION OF REPORT.—The report shall be submitted not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(d) DEFINITION.—For the purposes of this section, the term "criminal history identification files", with respect to the Federal Bureau of Investigation, means the criminal history record system maintained by the Federal Bureau of Investigation based on fingerprint identification and any other method of positive identification.

**Subtitle F—Other Matters**

**SEC. 561. EQUALIZATION OF ACCRUAL OF SERVICE CREDIT FOR OFFICERS AND ENLISTED MEMBERS.**

(a) ENLISTED SERVICE CREDIT.—Section 972 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by inserting "(a) ENLISTED MEMBERS REQUIRED TO MAKE UP TIME LOST.—" before "An enlisted member";

(2) by striking out paragraphs (3) and (4) and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

"(3) is confined by military or civilian authorities for more than one day in connection with a trial, whether before, during, or after the trial; or"; and

(3) by redesignating paragraph (5) as paragraph (4).

(b) OFFICER SERVICE CREDIT.—Such section is further amended by adding at the end the following:

"(b) OFFICERS NOT ALLOWED SERVICE CREDIT FOR TIME LOST.—In the case of an officer of an armed force who after the date of the enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1996—

"(1) deserts;

"(2) is absent from his organization, station, or duty for more than one day without proper authority, as determined by competent authority;

"(3) is confined by military or civilian authorities for more than one day in connection with a trial, whether before, during, or after the trial; or

"(4) is unable for more than one day, as determined by competent authority, to perform his duties because of intemperate use of drugs or alcoholic liquor, or because of disease or injury resulting from his misconduct; the period of such desertion, absence, confinement, or inability to perform duties may not be counted in computing, for any purpose other than basic pay under section 205 of title 37, the officer's length of service.".

(c) CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.—(1) The heading of such section is amended to read as follows:

**"§ 972. Members: effect of time lost**

(2) The item relating to section 972 in the table of sections at the beginning of chapter 49 of such title is amended to read as follows:

"972. Members: effect of time lost.".

(d) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—(1) Section 1405(c) is amended—

(A) by striking out "MADE UP.—Time" and inserting in lieu thereof "MADE UP OR EXCLUDED.—(1) Time";

(B) by striking out "section 972" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 972(a)";

(C) by inserting after "of this title" the following: ", or required to be made up by an enlisted member of the Navy, Marine Corps, or Coast Guard under that section with respect to a period of time after the date of the enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995,"; and

(D) by adding at the end the following:

"(2) Section 972(b) of this title excludes from computation of an officer's years of service for purposes of this section any time identified with respect to that officer under that section.".

(2) Chapter 367 of such title is amended—

(A) in section 3925(b), by striking out "section 972" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 972(a)"; and

(B) by adding at the end of section 3926 the following new subsection:

"(e) Section 972(b) of this title excludes from computation of an officer's years of service for purposes of this section any time identified with respect to that officer under that section.".

(3)(A) Chapter 571 of such title is amended by inserting after section 6327 the following new section:

**"§ 6328. Computation of years of service: voluntary retirement**

"(a) ENLISTED MEMBERS.—Time required to be made up under section 972(a) of this title after the date of the enactment of this section may not be counted in computing years of service under this chapter.

"(b) OFFICERS.—Section 972(b) of this title excludes from computation of an officer's years of service for purposes of this chapter any time identified with respect to that officer under that section.".

(B) The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 6327 the following new item:

"6328. Computation of years of service: voluntary retirement.".

(4) Chapter 867 of such title is amended—

(A) in section 8925(b), by striking out "section 972" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 972(a)"; and

(B) by adding at the end of section 8926 the following new subsection:

"(d) Section 972(b) of this title excludes from computation of an officer's years of service for purposes of this section any time identified with respect to that officer under that section.".

(e) EFFECTIVE DATE AND APPLICABILITY.—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act and shall apply to any period of time covered by section 972 of title 10, United States Code, that occurs after that date.

**SEC. 562. ARMY RANGER TRAINING.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—(1) Chapter 401 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 4302 the following new section:

**"§ 4303. Army Ranger training: instructor staffing; safety**

"(a) LEVELS OF PERSONNEL ASSIGNED.—(1) The Secretary of the Army shall ensure that at all times the number of officers, and the number of enlisted members, permanently assigned to the Ranger Training Brigade (or other organizational element of the Army primarily responsible for ranger student training) are not less than 90 percent of the required manning spaces for officers, and for enlisted members, respectively, for that brigade.

"(2) In this subsection, the term 'required manning spaces' means the number of personnel spaces for officers, and the number of personnel spaces for enlisted members, that are designated in Army authorization documents as the number required to accomplish the missions of a particular unit or organization.

"(b) TRAINING SAFETY CELLS.—(1) The Secretary of the Army shall establish and maintain an organizational entity known as a 'safety cell' as part of the organizational elements of the Army responsible for conducting each of the three major phases of the Ranger Course. The safety cell in each different geographic area of Ranger Course training shall be comprised of personnel who have sufficient continuity and experience in that geographic area of such training to be knowledgeable of the local conditions year-round, including conditions of terrain, weather, water, and climate and other conditions and the potential effect on those conditions on Ranger student training and safety.

"(2) Members of each safety cell shall be assigned in sufficient numbers to serve as advisers to the officers in charge of the major phase of Ranger training and shall assist those officers in making informed daily 'go' and 'no-go' decisions regarding training in light of all relevant conditions, including conditions of terrain, weather, water, and climate and other conditions.".

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 4302 the following new item:

"4303. Army Ranger training: instructor staffing; safety.".

(b) ACCOMPLISHMENT OF REQUIRED MANNING LEVELS.—(1) If, as of the date of the enactment of this Act, the number of officers, and the number of enlisted members, permanently assigned to the Army Ranger Training Brigade are not each at (or above) the requirement specified in subsection (a) of section 4303 of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a), the Secretary of the Army shall—

(A) take such steps as necessary to accomplish that requirement within 12 months after such date of enactment; and

(B) submit to Congress, not later than 90 days after such date of enactment, a plan to achieve and maintain that requirement.

(2) The requirement specified in subsection (a) of section 4303 of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a), shall expire two years after the date (on or after the date of the enactment of this Act) on which the required manning levels referred to in paragraph (1) are first attained.

(c) GAO ASSESSMENT.—(1) Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall submit to Congress a report providing a preliminary assessment of the implementation and effectiveness of all corrective actions taken by the Army as a result of the February 1995 accident at the Florida Ranger Training Camp, including an evaluation of the implementation of the required manning levels established by subsection (a) of section 4303 of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a).

(2) At the end of the two-year period specified in subsection (b)(2), the Comptroller General shall submit to Congress a report providing a final assessment of the matters covered in the preliminary report under paragraph (1). The report shall include the Comptroller General's recommendation as to the need to continue required statutory manning levels as specified in subsection (a) of section 4303 of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a).

(d) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—In light of requirement that particularly dangerous training activities (such as Ranger training, Search, Evasion, Rescue, and Escape (SERE) training, SEAL training, and Airborne training) must be adequately manned and resourced to ensure safety and effective oversight, it is the sense of Congress—

(1) that the Secretary of Defense, in conjunction with the Secretaries of the military departments, should review and, if necessary, enhance oversight of all such training activities; and

(2) that organizations similar to the safety cells required to be established for Army Ranger training in section 4303 of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a), should (when appropriate) be used for all such training activities.

**SEC. 563. SEPARATION IN CASES INVOLVING EXTENDED CONFINEMENT.**

(a) SEPARATION.—(1)(A) Chapter 59 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 1166 the following new section:

**"§ 1167. Members under confinement by sentence of court-martial: separation after six months confinement**

"Except as otherwise provided in regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Defense, a member sentenced by a court-martial to a period of confinement for more than six months may be separated from the member's armed force at any time after the sentence to confinement has become final under chapter 47 of this title and the person has served in confinement for a period of six months.".

(B) The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 59 of such title is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 1166 the following new item:

"1167. Members under confinement by sentence of court-martial: separation after six months confinement.".

(2)(A) Chapter 1221 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

**"§ 12687. Reserves under confinement by sentence of court-martial: separation after six months confinement**

"Except as otherwise provided in regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Defense, a Reserve sentenced by a court-martial to a period of confinement for more than six months may be separated from that Reserve's armed force at any time after the sentence to confinement has become final under chapter 47 of this title and the Reserve has served in confinement for a period of six months.".

(B) The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 1221 of such title is amended by inserting at the end thereof the following new item:

"12687. Reserves under confinement by sentence of court-martial: separation after six months confinement.".

(b) DROP FROM ROLLS.—(1) Section 1161(b) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking out "or (2)" and inserting in lieu thereof "(2) who may be separated under section 1178 of this title by reason of a sentence to confinement adjudged by a court-martial, or (3)".

(2) Section 12684 of such title is amended—

(A) by striking out "or" at the end of paragraph (1);

(B) by redesignating paragraph (2) as paragraph (3); and

(C) by inserting after paragraph (1) the following new paragraph (2):

"(2) who may be separated under section 12687 of this title by reason of a sentence to confinement adjudged by a court-martial; or".

**SEC. 564. LIMITATIONS ON REDUCTIONS IN MEDICAL PERSONNEL.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—(1) Chapter 3 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 129b the following new section:

**"§ 129c. Medical personnel: limitations on reductions**

"(a) LIMITATION ON REDUCTION.—For any fiscal year, the Secretary of Defense may not make a reduction in the number of medical personnel of the Department of Defense described in subsection (b) unless the Secretary makes a certification for that fiscal year described in subsection (c).

"(b) COVERED REDUCTIONS.—Subsection (a) applies to a reduction in the number of medical personnel of the Department of Defense as of the end of a fiscal year to a number that is less than—

"(1) 95 percent of the number of such personnel at the end of the immediately preceding fiscal year; or

"(2) 90 percent of the number of such personnel at the end of the third fiscal year preceding the fiscal year.

"(c) CERTIFICATION.—A certification referred to in subsection (a) with respect to reductions in medical personnel of the Department of Defense for any fiscal year is a certification by the Secretary of Defense to Congress that—

"(1) the number of medical personnel being reduced is excess to the current and projected needs of the Department of Defense; and

"(2) such reduction will not result in an increase in the cost of health care services provided under the Civilian Health and Medical Program of the Uniformed Services under chapter 55 of this title.

"(d) POLICY FOR IMPLEMENTING REDUCTIONS.—Whenever the Secretary of Defense directs that there be a reduction in the total number of military medical personnel of the Department of Defense, the Secretary shall require that the reduction be carried out so as to ensure that the reduction is not exclusively or disproportionatly borne by any one of the armed forces and is not exclusively or disproportionatly borne by either the active or the reserve components.

"(e) DEFINITION.—In this section, the term 'medical personnel' means—

"(1) the members of the armed forces covered by the term 'medical personnel' as defined in section 115a(g)(2) of this title; and

"(2) the civilian personnel of the Department of Defense assigned to military medical facilities.".

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 129b the following new item:

"129c. Medical personnel: limitations on reductions.".

(b) SPECIAL TRANSITION RULE FOR FISCAL YEAR 1996.—For purposes of applying subsection (b)(1) of section 129c of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a), during fiscal year 1996, the number against which the percentage limitation of 95 percent is computed shall be the number of medical personnel of the Department of Defense as of the end of fiscal year 1994 (rather than the number as of the end of fiscal year 1995).

(c) REPORT ON PLANNED REDUCTIONS.—(1) Not later than March 1, 1996, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives a plan for the reduction of the number of medical personnel of the Department of Defense over the five-year period beginning on October 1, 1996.

(2) The Secretary shall prepare the plan through the Assistant Secretary of Defense having responsibility for health affairs, who shall consult in the preparation of the plan with the Surgeon General of the Army, the Surgeon General of the Navy, and the Surgeon General of the Air Force.

(3) For purposes of this subsection, the term "medical personnel of the Department of Defense" shall have the meaning given the term "medical personnel" in section 129c(e) of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a).

(d) REPEAL OF SUPERSEDED PROVISIONS OF LAW.—The following provisions of law are repealed:

(1) Section 711 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1991 (10 U.S.C. 115 note).

(2) Subsection (b) of section 718 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 1992 and 1993 (Public Law 102-190; 10 U.S.C. 115 note).

(3) Section 518 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993 (Public Law 102-484; 10 U.S.C. 12001 note).

**SEC. 565. SENSE OF CONGRESS CONCERNING PERSONNEL TEMPO RATES.**

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress makes the following findings:

(1) Excessively high personnel tempo rates for members of the Armed Forces resulting from high-tempo unit operations degrades unit readiness and morale and eventually can be expected to adversely affect unit retention.

(2) The Armed Forces have begun to develop methods to measure and manage personnel tempo rates.

(3) The Armed Forces have attempted to reduce operations and personnel tempo for heavily tasked units by employing alternative capabilities and reducing tasking requirements.

(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—The Secretary of Defense should continue to enhance the knowledge within the Armed Forces of personnel tempo and to improve the techniques by which personnel tempo is defined and managed with a view toward establishing and achieving reasonable personnel tempo standards for all personnel, regardless of service, unit, or assignment.

**SEC. 566. SEPARATION BENEFITS DURING FORCE REDUCTION FOR OFFICERS OF COMMISSIONED CORPS OF NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION.**

(a) SEPARATION BENEFITS.—Subsection (a) of section 3 of the Act of August 10, 1956 (33 U.S.C. 857a), is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

"(15) Section 1174a, special separation benefits (except that benefits under subsection (b)(2)(B) of such section are subject to the availability of appropriations for such purpose and are provided at the discretion of the Secretary of Commerce).".

(b) TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS.—Such section is further amended—

(1) by striking out "Coast and Geodetic Survey" in subsections (a) and (b) and inserting in lieu thereof "commissioned officer corps of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration"; and

(2) in subsection (a), by striking out "including changes in those rules made after the effective date of this Act" in the matter preceding paragraph (1) and inserting in lieu thereof "as those provisions are in effect from time to time".

(c) TEMPORARY EARLY RETIREMENT AUTHORITY.—Section 4403 (other than subsection (f)) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993 (Public Law 102-484; 106 Stat. 2702; 10 U.S.C. 1293 note) shall apply to the commissioned officer corps of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration in the same manner and to the same extent as that section applies to the Department of Defense. The Secretary of Commerce shall implement the provisions of that section with respect to such commissioned officer corps and shall apply the provisions of that section to the provisions of the Coast and Geodetic Survey Commissioned Officers' Act of 1948 relating to the retirement of members of such commissioned officer corps.

(d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—This section shall apply only to members of the commissioned officer corps of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration who are separated after September 30, 1995.

**SEC. 567. DISCHARGE OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES WHO HAVE THE HIV-1 VIRUS.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—(1) Section 1177 of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

**"§ 1177. Members infected with HIV-1 virus: mandatory discharge or retirement**

"(a) MANDATORY SEPARATION.—A member of the armed forces who is HIV-positive shall be separated. Such separation shall be made on a date determined by the Secretary concerned, which shall be as soon as practicable after the date on which the determination is made that the member is HIV-positive and not later than the last day of the sixth month beginning after such date.

"(b) FORM OF SEPARATION.—If a member to be separated under this section is eligible to retire under any provision of law or to be transferred to the Fleet Reserve or Fleet Marine Corps Reserve, the member shall be so retired or so transferred. Otherwise, the member shall be discharged. The characterization of the service of the member shall be determined without regard to the determination that the member is HIV-positive.

"(c) DEFERRAL OF SEPARATION FOR MEMBERS IN 18-YEAR RETIREMENT SANCTUARY.—In the case of a member to be discharged under this section who on the date on which the member is to be discharged is within two years of qualifying for retirement under any provision of law, or of qualifying for transfer to the Fleet Reserve or Fleet Marine Corps Reserve under section 6330 of this title, the member may, as determined by the Secretary concerned, be retained on active duty until the member is qualified for retirement or transfer to the Fleet Reserve or Fleet Marine Corps Reserve, as the case may be, and then be so retired or transferred, unless the member is sooner retired or discharged under any other provision of law.

"(d) SEPARATION TO BE CONSIDERED INVOLUNTARY.—A separation under this section shall be considered to be an involuntary separation for purposes of any other provision of law.

"(e) ENTITLEMENT TO HEALTH CARE.—A member separated under this section shall be entitled to medical and dental care under chapter 55 of this title to the same extent and under the same conditions as a person who is entitled to such care under section 1074(b) of this title.

"(f) COUNSELING ABOUT AVAILABLE MEDICAL CARE.—A member to be separated under this section shall be provided information, in writing, before such separation of the available medical care (through the Department of Veterans Affairs and otherwise) to treat the member's condition. Such information shall include identification of specific medical locations near the member's home of record or point of discharge at which the member may seek necessary medical care.

"(g) HIV-POSITIVE MEMBERS.—A member shall be considered to be HIV-positive for purposes of this section if there is serologic evidence that the member is infected with the virus known as Human Immunodeficiency Virus-1 (HIV-1), the virus most commonly associated with the acquired immune deficiency syndrome (AIDS) in the United States. Such serologic evidence shall be considered to exist if there is a reactive result given by an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) serologic test that is confirmed by a reactive and diagnostic immunoelectrophoresis test (Western blot) on two separate samples. Any such serologic test must be one that is approved by the Food and Drug Administration.".

(2) The item relating to such section in the table of sections at the beginning of chapter 59 of such title is amended to read as follows:

"1177. Members infected with HIV-1 virus: mandatory discharge or retirement.".

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Section 1177 of title 10, United States Code, as amended by subsection (a), applies with respect to members of the Armed Forces determined to be HIV-positive before, on, or after the date of the enactment of this Act. In the case of a member of the Armed Forces determined to be HIV-positive before such date, the deadline for separation of the member under subsection (a) of such section, as so amended, shall be determined from the date of the enactment of this Act (rather than from the date of such determination).

**SEC. 568. REVISION AND CODIFICATION OF MILITARY FAMILY ACT AND MILITARY CHILD CARE ACT.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—(1) Subtitle A of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after chapter 87 the following new chapter:

**"CHAPTER 88—MILITARY FAMILY PROGRAMS AND MILITARY CHILD CARE**


| "Subchapter | Sec. |
|---|---|
| "I. Military Family Programs | 1781 |
| "II. Military Child Care | 1791 |


"SUBCHAPTER I—MILITARY FAMILY PROGRAMS


"Sec.
"1781. Office of Family Policy.
"1782. Surveys of military families.
"1783. Family members serving on advisory committees.
"1784. Employment opportunities for military spouses.
"1785. Youth sponsorship program.
"1786. Dependent student travel within the United States.
"1787. Reporting of child abuse.


**"§ 1781. Office of Family Policy**

"(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—There is in the Office of the Secretary of Defense an Office of Family Policy (hereinafter in this section referred to as the 'Office'). The Office shall be under the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Force Management and Personnel.

"(b) DUTIES.—The Office—

"(1) shall coordinate programs and activities of the military departments to the extent that they relate to military families; and

"(2) shall make recommendations to the Secretaries of the military departments with respect to programs and policies regarding military families.

"(c) STAFF.—The Office shall have not less than five professional staff members.

**"§ 1782. Surveys of military families**

"(a) AUTHORITY.—The Secretary of Defense may conduct surveys of members of the armed forces on active duty or in an active status, members of the families of such members, and retired members of the armed forces to determine the effectiveness of Federal programs relating to military families and the need for new programs.

"(b) RESPONSES TO BE VOLUNTARY.—Responses to surveys conducted under this section shall be voluntary.

"(c) FEDERAL RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS.—With respect to such surveys, family members of members of the armed forces and reserve and retired members of the armed forces shall be considered to be employees of the United States for purposes of section 3502(3)(A)(i) of title 44.

**"§ 1783. Family members serving on advisory committees**

"A committee within the Department of Defense which advises or assists the Department in the performance of any function which affects members of military families and which includes members of military families in its membership shall not be considered an advisory committee under section 3(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. App.) solely because of such membership.

**"§ 1784. Employment opportunities for military spouses**

"(a) AUTHORITY.—The President shall order such measures as the President considers necessary to increase employment opportunities for spouses of members of the armed forces. Such measures may include—

"(1) excepting, pursuant to section 3302 of title 5, from the competitive service positions in the Department of Defense located outside of the United States to provide employment opportunities for qualified spouses of members of the armed forces in the same geographical area as the permanent duty station of the members; and

"(2) providing preference in hiring for positions in nonappropriated fund activities to qualified spouses of members of the armed forces stationed in the same geographical area as the nonappropriated fund activity for positions in wage grade UA-8 and below and equivalent positions and for positions paid at hourly rates.

"(b) REGULATIONS.—The Secretary of Defense shall prescribe regulations—

"(1) to implement such measures as the President orders under subsection (a);

"(2) to provide preference to qualified spouses of members of the armed forces in hiring for any civilian position in the Department of Defense if the spouse is among persons determined to be best qualified for the position and if the position is located in the same geographical area as the permanent duty station of the member;

"(3) to ensure that notice of any vacant position in the Department of Defense is provided in a manner reasonably designed to reach spouses of members of the armed forces whose permanent duty stations are in the same geographic area as the area in which the position is located; and

"(4) to ensure that the spouse of a member of the armed forces who applies for a vacant position in the Department of Defense shall, to the extent practicable, be considered for any such position located in the same geographic area as the permanent duty station of the member.

"(c) STATUS OF PREFERENCE ELIGIBLES.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to provide a spouse of a member of the armed forces with preference in hiring over an individual who is a preference eligible.

**"§ 1785. Youth sponsorship program**

"(a) REQUIREMENT.—The Secretary of Defense shall require that there be at each military installation a youth sponsorship program to facilitate the integration of dependent children of members of the armed forces into new surroundings when moving to that military installation as a result of a parent's permanent change of station.

"(b) DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAMS.—The program at each installation shall provide for involvement of dependent children of members presently stationed at the military installation and shall be directed primarily toward children in their preteen and teenage years.

**"§ 1786. Dependent student travel within the United States**

"Funds available to the Department of Defense for the travel and transportation of dependent students of members of the armed forces stationed overseas may be obligated for transportation allowances for travel within or between the contiguous States.

**"§ 1787. Reporting of child abuse**

"(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense shall request each State to provide for the reporting to the Secretary of any report the State receives of known or suspected instances of child abuse and neglect in which the person having care of the child is a member of the armed forces (or the spouse of the member).

"(b) DEFINITION.—In this section, the term 'child abuse and neglect' has the meaning provided in section 3(1) of the Child Abuse

Prevention and Treatment Act (42 U.S.C. 5102).

"SUBCHAPTER II—MILITARY CHILD CARE

"Sec.
"1791. Funding for military child care.
"1792. Child care employees.
"1793. Parent fees.
"1794. Child abuse prevention and safety at facilities.
"1795. Parent partnerships with child development centers.
"1796. Subsidies for family home day care.
"1797. Early childhood education program.
"1798. Definitions.

**"§ 1791. Funding for military child care**

"It is the policy of Congress that the amount of appropriated funds available during a fiscal year for operating expenses for military child development centers and programs shall be not less than the amount of child care fee receipts that are estimated to be received by the Department of Defense during that fiscal year.

**"§ 1792. Child care employees**

"(a) REQUIRED TRAINING.—(1) The Secretary of Defense shall prescribe regulations implementing, a training program for child care employees. Those regulations shall apply uniformly among the military departments. Subject to paragraph (2), satisfactory completion of the training program shall be a condition of employment of any person as a child care employee.

"(2) Under those regulations, the Secretary shall require that each child care employee complete the training program not later than six months after the date on which the employee is employed as a child care employee.

"(3) The training program established under this subsection shall cover, at a minimum, training in the following:

"(A) Early childhood development.

"(B) Activities and disciplinary techniques appropriate to children of different ages.

"(C) Child abuse prevention and detection.

"(D) Cardiopulmonary resuscitation and other emergency medical procedures.

"(b) TRAINING AND CURRICULUM SPECIALISTS.—(1) The Secretary of Defense shall require that at least one employee at each military child development center be a specialist in training and curriculum development. The Secretary shall ensure that such employees have appropriate credentials and experience.

"(2) The duties of such employees shall include the following:

"(A) Special teaching activities at the center.

"(B) Daily oversight and instruction of other child care employees at the center.

"(C) Daily assistance in the preparation of lesson plans.

"(D) Assistance in the center's child abuse prevention and detection program.

"(E) Advising the director of the center on the performance of other child care employees.

"(3) Each employee referred to in paragraph (1) shall be an employee in a competitive service position.

"(c) COMPETITIVE RATES OF PAY.—For the purpose of providing military child development centers with a qualified and stable civilian workforce, employees at a military installation who are directly involved in providing child care and are paid from nonappropriated funds—

"(1) in the case of entry-level employees, shall be paid at rates of pay competitive with the rates of pay paid to other entry-level employees at that installation who are drawn from the same labor pool; and

"(2) in the case of other employees, shall be paid at rates of pay substantially equivalent to the rates of pay paid to other employees at that installation with similar training, seniority, and experience.

"(d) EMPLOYMENT PREFERENCE PROGRAM FOR MILITARY SPOUSES.—(1) The Secretary of Defense shall conduct a program under which qualified spouses of members of the armed forces shall be given a preference in hiring for the position of child care employee in a position paid from nonappropriated funds if the spouse is among persons determined to be best qualified for the position.

"(2) A spouse who is provided a preference under this subsection at a military child development center may not be precluded from obtaining another preference, in accordance with section 1794 of this title, in the same geographic area as the military child development center.

"(e) COMPETITIVE SERVICE POSITION DEFINED.—In this section, the term 'competitive service position' means a position in the competitive service, as defined in section 2102(a)(1) of title 5.

**"§ 1793. Parent fees**

"(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense shall prescribe regulations establishing fees to be charged parents for the attendance of children at military child development centers. Those regulations shall be uniform for the military departments and shall require that, in the case of children who attend the centers on a regular basis, the fees shall be based on family income.

"(b) LOCAL WAIVER AUTHORITY.—The Secretary of Defense may provide authority to installation commanders, on a case-by-case basis, to establish fees for attendance of children at child development centers at rates lower than those prescribed under subsection (a) if the rates prescribed under subsection (a) are not competitive with rates at local non-military child development centers.

**"§ 1794. Child abuse prevention and safety at facilities**

"(a) CHILD ABUSE TASK FORCE.—The Secretary of Defense shall maintain a special task force to respond to allegations of widespread child abuse at a military installation. The task force shall be composed of personnel from appropriate disciplines, including, where appropriate, medicine, psychology, and childhood development. In the case of such allegations, the task force shall provide assistance to the commander of the installation, and to parents at the installation, in helping them to deal with such allegations.

"(b) NATIONAL HOTLINE.—(1) The Secretary of Defense shall maintain a national telephone number for persons to use to report suspected child abuse or safety violations at a military child development center or family home day care site. The Secretary shall ensure that such reports may be made anonymously if so desired by the person making the report. The Secretary shall establish procedures for following up on complaints and information received over that number.

"(2) The Secretary shall publicize the existence of the number.

"(c) ASSISTANCE FROM LOCAL AUTHORITIES.—The Secretary of Defense shall prescribe regulations requiring that, in a case of allegations of child abuse at a military child development center or family home day care site, the commander of the military installation or the head of the task force established under subsection (a) shall seek the assistance of local child protective authorities if such assistance is available.

"(d) SAFETY REGULATIONS.—The Secretary of Defense shall prescribe regulations on safety and operating procedures at military child development centers. Those regulations shall apply uniformly among the military departments.

"(e) INSPECTIONS.—The Secretary of Defense shall require that each military child development center be inspected not less often than four times a year. Each such inspection shall be unannounced. At least one inspection a year shall be carried out by a representative of the installation served by the center, and one inspection a year shall be carried out by a representative of the major command under which that installation operates.

"(f) REMEDIES FOR VIOLATIONS.—(1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), any violation of a safety, health, or child welfare law or regulation (discovered at an inspection or otherwise) at a military child development center shall be remedied immediately.

"(2) In the case of a violation that is not life threatening, the commander of the major command under which the installation concerned operates may waive the requirement that the violation be remedied immediately for a period of up to 90 days beginning on the date of the discovery of the violation. If the violation is not remedied as of the end of that 90-day period, the military child development center shall be closed until the violation is remedied. The Secretary of the military department concerned may waive the preceding sentence and authorize the center to remain open in a case in which the violation cannot reasonably be remedied within that 90-day period or in which major facility reconstruction is required.

**"§ 1795. Parent partnerships with child development centers**

"(a) PARENT BOARDS.—The Secretary of Defense shall require that there be established at each military child development center a board of parents, to be composed of parents of children attending the center. The board shall meet periodically with staff of the center and the commander of the installation served by the center for the purpose of discussing problems and concerns. The board, together with the staff of the center, shall be responsible for coordinating the parent participation program described in subsection (b).

"(b) PARENT PARTICIPATION PROGRAMS.—The Secretary of Defense shall require the establishment of a parent participation program at each military child development center. As part of such program, the Secretary of Defense may establish fees for attendance of children at such a center, in the case of parents who participate in the parent participation program at that center, at rates lower than the rates that otherwise apply.

**"§ 1796. Subsidies for family home day care**

"The Secretary of Defense may use appropriated funds available for military child care purposes to provide assistance to family home day care providers so that family home day care services can be provided to members of the armed forces at a cost comparable to the cost of services provided by military child development centers. The Secretary shall prescribe regulations for the provision of such assistance.

**"§ 1797. Early childhood education program**

"The Secretary of Defense shall require that all military child development centers meet standards of operation necessary for accreditation by an appropriate national early childhood programs accrediting body.

**"§ 1798. Definitions**

"In this subchapter:

"(1) The term 'military child development center' means a facility on a military installation (or on property under the jurisdiction of the commander of a military installation) at which child care services are provided for members of the armed forces or any other facility at which such child care services are provided that is operated by the Secretary of a military department.

"(2) The term 'family home day care' means home-based child care services that are provided for members of the armed forces by an individual who (A) is certified by the Secretary of the military department concerned as qualified to provide those services, and (B) provides those services on a regular basis for compensation.

"(3) The term 'child care employee' means a civilian employee of the Department of Defense who is employed to work in a military child development center (regardless of whether the employee is paid from appropriated funds or nonappropriated funds).

"(4) The term 'child care fee receipts' means those nonappropriated funds that are derived from fees paid by members of the armed forces for child care services provided at military child development centers.".

(2) The tables of chapters at the beginning of subtitle A, and at the beginning of part II of subtitle A, of title 10, United States Code, are amended by inserting after the item relating to chapter 87 the following new item:

**"88. Military Family Programs and Military Child Care ..... 1781".**

(b) REPORT ON FIVE-YEAR DEMAND FOR CHILD CARE.—(1) Not later than the date of the submission of the budget for fiscal year 1997 pursuant to section 1105 of title 31, United States Code, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report on the expected demand for child care by military and civilian personnel of the Department of Defense during fiscal years 1997 through 2001.

(2) The report shall include—

(A) a plan for meeting the expected child care demand identified in the report; and

(B) an estimate of the cost of implementing that plan.

(3) The report shall also include a description of methods for monitoring family home day care programs of the military departments.

(c) PLAN FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF ACCREDITATION REQUIREMENT.—The Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives a plan for carrying out the requirements of section 1787 of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a). The plan shall be submitted not later than April 1, 1997.

(d) CONTINUATION OF DELEGATION OF AUTHORITY WITH RESPECT TO HIRING PREFERENCE FOR QUALIFIED MILITARY SPOUSES.—The provisions of Executive Order No. 12568, issued October 2, 1986 (10 U.S.C. 113 note), shall apply as if the reference in that Executive order to section 806(a)(2) of the Department of Defense Authorization Act of 1986 refers to section 1784 of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a).

(e) REPEALER.—The following provisions of law are repealed:

(1) The Military Family Act of 1985 (title VIII of Public Law 99-145; 10 U.S.C. 113 note).

(2) The Military Child Care Act of 1989 (title XV of Public Law 101-189; 10 U.S.C. 113 note).

**SEC. 569. DETERMINATION OF WHEREABOUTS AND STATUS OF MISSING PERSONS.**

(a) PURPOSE.—The purpose of this section is to ensure that any member of the Armed Forces (and any Department of Defense civilian employee or contractor employee who serves with or accompanies the Armed Forces in the field under orders) who becomes missing or unaccounted for is ultimately accounted for by the United States and, as a general rule, is not declared dead solely because of the passage of time.

(b) IN GENERAL.—(1) Part II of subtitle A of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after chapter 75 the following new chapter:

**"CHAPTER 76—MISSING PERSONS**

"Sec.
"1501. System for accounting for missing persons.
"1502. Missing persons: initial report.
"1503. Actions of Secretary concerned; initial board inquiry.
"1504. Subsequent board of inquiry.
"1505. Further review.
"1506. Personnel files.
"1507. Recommendation of status of death.
"1508. Judicial review.
"1509. Preenactment, special interest cases.
"1510. Applicability to Coast Guard.
"1511. Return alive of person declared missing or dead.
"1512. Effect on State law.
"1513. Definitions.

**"§ 1501. System for accounting for missing persons**

"(a) OFFICE FOR MISSING PERSONNEL.—(1) The Secretary of Defense shall establish within the Office of the Secretary of Defense an office to have responsibility for Department of Defense policy relating to missing persons. Subject to the authority, direction, and control of the Secretary of Defense, the responsibilities of the office shall include—

"(A) policy, control, and oversight within the Department of Defense of the entire process for investigation and recovery related to missing persons (including matters related to search, rescue, escape, and evasion); and

"(B) coordination for the Department of Defense with other departments and agencies of the United States on all matters concerning missing persons.

"(2) In carrying out the responsibilities of the office established under this subsection, the head of the office shall be responsible for the coordination for such purposes within the Department of Defense among the military departments, the Joint Staff, and the commanders of the combatant commands.

"(3) The office shall establish policies, which shall apply uniformly throughout the Department of Defense, for personnel recovery (including search, rescue, escape, and evasion).

"(4) The office shall establish procedures to be followed by Department of Defense boards of inquiry, and by officials reviewing the reports of such boards, under this chapter.

"(b) UNIFORM DOD PROCEDURES.—(1) The Secretary of Defense shall prescribe procedures, to apply uniformly throughout the Department of Defense, for—

"(A) the determination of the status of persons described in subsection (c); and

"(B) for the systematic, comprehensive, and timely collection, analysis, review, dissemination, and periodic update of information related to such persons.

"(2) Such procedures may provide for the delegation by the Secretary of Defense of any responsibility of the Secretary under this chapter to the Secretary of a military department.

"(3) Such procedures shall be prescribed in a single directive applicable to all elements of the Department of Defense.

"(4) As part of such procedures, the Secretary may provide for the extension, on a case-by-case basis, of any time limit specified in section 1502, 1503, or 1504 of this title. Any such extension may not be for a period in excess of the period with respect to which the extension is provided. Subsequent extensions may be provided on the same basis.

"(c) COVERED PERSONS.—Section 1502 of this title applies in the case of the following persons:

"(1) Any member of the armed forces on active duty who becomes involuntarily absent as a result of a hostile action, or under circumstances suggesting that the involuntary absence is a result of a hostile action, and whose status is undetermined or who is unaccounted for.

"(2) Any civilian employee of the Department of Defense, and any employee of a contractor of the Department of Defense, who serves with or accompanies the armed forces in the field under orders who becomes involuntarily absent as a result of a hostile action, or under circumstances suggesting that the involuntary absence is a result of a hostile action, and whose status is undetermined or who is unaccounted for.

"(d) PRIMARY NEXT OF KIN.—The individual who is primary next of kin of any person prescribed in subsection (c) may for purposes of this chapter designate another individual to act on behalf of that individual as primary next of kin. The Secretary concerned shall treat an individual so designated as if the individual designated were the primary next of kin for purposes of this chapter. A designation under this subsection may be revoked at any time by the person who made the designation.

"(e) TERMINATION OF APPLICABILITY OF PROCEDURES WHEN MISSING PERSON IS ACCOUNTED FOR.—The provisions of this chapter relating to boards of inquiry and to the actions by the Secretary concerned on the reports of those boards shall cease to apply in the case of a missing person upon the person becoming accounted for or otherwise being determined to be in a status other than missing.

"(f) SECRETARY CONCERNED.—In this chapter, the term 'Secretary concerned' includes, in the case of a civilian employee of the Department of Defense or contractor of the Department of Defense, the Secretary of the military department or head of the element of the Department of Defense employing the employee or contracting with the contractor, as the case may be.

**"§ 1502. Missing persons: initial report**

"(a) PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT AND RECOMMENDATION BY COMMANDER.—After receiving information that the whereabouts and status of a person described in section 1501(c) of this title is uncertain and that the absence of the person may be involuntary, the commander of the unit, facility, or area to or in which the person is assigned shall make a preliminary assessment of the circumstances. If, as a result of that assessment, the commander concludes that the person is missing, the commander shall—

"(1) recommend that the person be placed in a missing status; and

"(2) not later than 48 hours after receiving such information, transmit a report containing that recommendation to the theater component commander with jurisdiction over the missing person in accordance with

procedures prescribed under section 1501(b) of this title.

"(b) TRANSMISSION THROUGH THEATER COMPONENT COMMANDER.—Upon reviewing a report under subsection (a) recommending that a person be placed in a missing status, the theater component commander shall ensure that all necessary actions are being taken, and all appropriate assets are being used, to resolve the status of the missing person. Not later than 14 days after receiving the report, the theater component commander shall forward the report to the Secretary of Defense or the Secretary concerned in accordance with procedures prescribed under section 1501(b) of this title. The theater component commander shall include with such report a certification that all necessary actions are being taken, and all appropriate assets are being used, to resolve the status of the missing person.

"(c) SAFEGUARDING AND FORWARDING OF RECORDS.—A commander making a preliminary assessment under subsection (a) with respect to a missing person shall (in accordance with procedures prescribed under section 1501 of this title) safeguard and forward for official use any information relating to the whereabouts and status of the missing person that results from the preliminary assessment or from actions taken to locate the person. The theater component commander through whom the report with respect to the missing person is transmitted under subsection (b) shall ensure that all pertinent information relating to the whereabouts and status of the missing person that results from the preliminary assessment or from actions taken to locate the person is properly safeguarded to avoid loss, damage, or modification.

**"§ 1503. Actions of Secretary concerned; initial board inquiry**

"(a) DETERMINATION BY SECRETARY.—Upon receiving a recommendation under section 1502(b) of this title that a person be placed in a missing status, the Secretary receiving the recommendation shall review the recommendation and, not later than 10 days after receiving such recommendation, shall appoint a board under this section to conduct an inquiry into the whereabouts and status of the person.

"(b) INQUIRIES INVOLVING MORE THAN ONE MISSING PERSON.—If it appears to the Secretary who appoints a board under this section that the absence or missing status of two or more persons is factually related, the Secretary may appoint a single board under this section to conduct the inquiry into the whereabouts and status of all such persons.

"(c) COMPOSITION.—(1) A board appointed under this section to inquire into the whereabouts and status of a person shall consist of at least one individual described in paragraph (2) who has experience with and understanding of military operations or activities similar to the operation or activity in which the person disappeared.

"(2) An individual referred to in paragraph (1) is the following:

"(A) A military officer, in the case of an inquiry with respect to a member of the armed forces.

"(B) A civilian, in the case of an inquiry with respect to a civilian employee of the Department of Defense or of a contractor of the Department of Defense.

"(3) An individual may be appointed as a member of a board under this section only if the individual has a security clearance that affords the individual access to all information relating to the whereabouts and status of the missing persons covered by the inquiry.

"(4) A Secretary appointing a board under this subsection shall, for purposes of providing legal counsel to the board, assign to the board a judge advocate, or appoint to the board an attorney, who has expertise in the law relating to missing persons, the determination of death of such persons, and the rights of family members and dependents of such persons.

"(d) DUTIES OF BOARD.—A board appointed to conduct an inquiry into the whereabouts and status of a missing person under this section shall—

"(1) collect, develop, and investigate all facts and evidence relating to the disappearance or whereabouts and status of the person;

"(2) collect appropriate documentation of the facts and evidence covered by the board's investigation;

"(3) analyze the facts and evidence, make findings based on that analysis, and draw conclusions as to the current whereabouts and status of the person; and

"(4) with respect to each person covered by the inquiry, recommend to the Secretary who appointed the board that—

"(A) the person be placed in a missing status; or

"(B) the person be declared to have deserted, to be absent without leave, or (subject to the requirements of section 1507 of this title) to be dead.

"(e) BOARD PROCEEDINGS.—During the proceedings of an inquiry under this section, a board shall—

"(1) collect, record, and safeguard all facts, documents, statements, photographs, tapes, messages, maps, sketches, reports, and other information (whether classified or unclassified) relating to the whereabouts and status of each person covered by the inquiry;

"(2) gather information relating to actions taken to find the person, including any evidence of the whereabouts and status of the person arising from such actions; and

"(3) maintain a record of its proceedings.

"(f) COUNSEL FOR MISSING PERSON.—(1) The Secretary appointing a board to conduct an inquiry under this section shall appoint counsel to represent each person covered by the inquiry or, in a case covered by subsection (b), one counsel to represent all persons covered by the inquiry. Counsel appointed under this paragraph may be referred to as 'missing person's counsel' and represents the interests of the person covered by the inquiry (and not any member of the person's family or other interested parties).

"(2) To be appointed as a missing person's counsel, a person must—

"(A) have the qualifications specified in section 827(b) of this title (article 27(b) of the Uniform Code of Military Justice) for trial counsel or defense counsel detailed for a general court-martial;

"(B) have a security clearance that affords the counsel access to all information relating to the whereabouts and status of the person or persons covered by the inquiry; and

"(C) have expertise in the law relating to missing persons, the determination of the death of such persons, and the rights of family members and dependents of such persons.

"(3) A missing person's counsel—

"(A) shall have access to all facts and evidence considered by the board during the proceedings under the inquiry for which the counsel is appointed;

"(B) shall observe all official activities of the board during such proceedings;

"(C) may question witnesses before the board; and

"(D) shall monitor the deliberations of the board.

"(4) A missing person's counsel shall assist the board in ensuring that all appropriate information concerning the case is collected, logged, filed, and safeguarded.

"(5) A missing person's counsel shall review the report of the board under subsection (h) and submit to the Secretary concerned who appointed the board an independent review of that report. That review shall be made an official part of the record of the board.

"(g) ACCESS TO PROCEEDINGS.—The proceedings of a board during an inquiry under this section shall be closed to the public (including, with respect to the person covered by the inquiry, the primary next of kin, other members of the immediate family, and any other previously designated person of the person).

"(h) REPORT.—(1) A board appointed under this section shall submit to the Secretary who appointed the board a report on the inquiry carried out by the board. The report shall include—

"(A) a discussion of the facts and evidence considered by the board in the inquiry;

"(B) the recommendation of the board under subsection (d) with respect to each person covered by the report; and

"(C) disclosure of whether classified documents and information were reviewed by the board or were otherwise used by the board in forming recommendations under subparagraph (B).

"(2) A board shall submit a report under this subsection with respect to the inquiry carried out by the board not later than 30 days after the date of the appointment of the board to carry out the inquiry. The report may include a classified annex.

"(3) The Secretary of Defense shall prescribe procedures for the release of a report submitted under this subsection with respect to a missing person. Such procedures shall provide that the report may not be made public (except as provided for in subsection (j)) until one year after the date on which the report is submitted.

"(i) DETERMINATION BY SECRETARY.—(1) Not later than 30 days after receiving a report from a board under subsection (h), the Secretary receiving the report shall review the report.

"(2) In reviewing a report under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall determine whether or not the report is complete and free of administrative error. If the Secretary determines that the report is incomplete, or that the report is not free of administrative error, the Secretary may return the report to the board for further action on the report by the board.

"(3) Upon a determination by the Secretary that a report reviewed under this subsection is complete and free of administrative error, the Secretary shall make a determination concerning the status of each person covered by the report, including whether the person shall—

"(A) be declared to be missing;

"(B) be declared to have deserted;

"(C) be declared to be absent without leave; or

"(D) be declared to be dead.

"(j) REPORT TO FAMILY MEMBERS AND OTHER INTERESTED PERSONS.—Not later than 30 days after the date on which the Secretary concerned makes a determination of the status of a person under subsection (i), the Secretary shall take reasonable actions to—

"(1) provide to the primary next of kin, the other members of the immediate family, and

any other previously designated person of the person—

"(A) an unclassified summary of the unit commander's report with respect to the person under section 1502(a) of this title; and

"(B) the report of the board (including the names of the members of the board) under subsection (h); and

"(2) inform each individual referred to in paragraph (1) that the United States will conduct a subsequent inquiry into the whereabouts and status of the person on or about one year after the date of the first official notice of the disappearance of the person, unless information becomes available sooner that may result in a change in status of the person.

"(k) TREATMENT OF DETERMINATION.—Any determination of the status of a missing person under subsection (i) shall be treated as the determination of the status of the person by all departments and agencies of the United States.

**"§ 1504. Subsequent board of inquiry**

"(a) ADDITIONAL BOARD.—If information that may result in a change of status of a person covered by a determination under section 1503(i) of this title becomes available within one year after the date of the transmission of a report with respect to the person under section 1502(a)(2) of this title, the Secretary concerned shall appoint a board under this section to conduct an inquiry into the information.

"(b) DATE OF APPOINTMENT.—The Secretary concerned shall appoint a board under this section to conduct an inquiry into the whereabouts and status of a missing person on or about one year after the date of the transmission of a report concerning the person under section 1502(a)(2) of this title.

"(c) COMBINED INQUIRIES.—If it appears to the Secretary concerned that the absence or status of two or more persons is factually related, the Secretary may appoint one board under this section to conduct the inquiry into the whereabouts and status of such persons.

"(d) COMPOSITION.—(1) A board appointed under this section shall be composed of at least three members as follows:

"(A) In the case of a board that will inquire into the whereabouts and status of one or more members of the armed forces (and no civilians described in subparagraph (B)), the board shall be composed of officers having the grade of major or lieutenant commander or above.

"(B) In the case of a board that will inquire into the whereabouts and status of one or more civilian employees of the Department of Defense or contractors of the Department of Defense (and no members of the armed forces), the board shall be composed of—

"(i) not less than three employees of the Department of Defense whose rate of annual pay is equal to or greater than the rate of annual pay payable for grade GS–13 of the General Schedule under section 5332 of title 5; and

"(ii) such members of the armed forces as the Secretary considers advisable.

"(C) In the case of a board that will inquire into the whereabouts and status of both one or more members of the armed forces and one or more civilians described in subparagraph (B)—

"(i) the board shall include at least one officer described in subparagraph (A) and at least one employee of the Department of Defense described in subparagraph (B)(i); and

"(ii) the ratio of such officers to such employees on the board shall be roughly proportional to the ratio of the number of members of the armed forces who are subjects of the board's inquiry to the number of civilians who are subjects of the board's inquiry.

"(2) The Secretary concerned shall designate one member of a board appointed under this section as president of the board. The president of the board shall have a security clearance that affords the president access to all information relating to the whereabouts and status of each person covered by the inquiry.

"(3) One member of each board appointed under this subsection shall be an individual who—

"(A) has an occupational specialty similar to that of one or more of the persons covered by the inquiry; and

"(B) has an understanding of and expertise in the type of official activities that one or more such persons were engaged in at the time such person or persons disappeared.

"(4) The Secretary who appoints a board under this subsection shall, for purposes of providing legal counsel to the board, assign to the board a judge advocate, or appoint to the board an attorney, with the same qualifications as specified in section 1503(c)(4) of this title.

"(e) DUTIES OF BOARD.—A board appointed under this section to conduct an inquiry into the whereabouts and status of a person shall—

"(1) review the reports with respect to the person transmitted under section 1502(a)(2) of this title and submitted under section 1503(h) of this title;

"(2) collect and evaluate any document, fact, or other evidence with respect to the whereabouts and status of the person that has become available since the determination of the status of the person under section 1503 of this title;

"(3) draw conclusions as to the whereabouts and status of the person;

"(4) determine on the basis of the activities under paragraphs (1) and (2) whether the status of the person should be continued or changed; and

"(5) submit to the Secretary concerned a report describing the findings and conclusions of the board, together with a recommendation for a determination by the Secretary concerning the whereabouts and status of the person.

"(f) COUNSEL FOR MISSING PERSONS.—(1) When the Secretary concerned appoints a board to conduct an inquiry under this section, the Secretary shall appoint counsel to represent each person covered by the inquiry.

"(2) A person appointed as counsel under this subsection shall meet the qualifications and have the duties set forth in section 1503(f) of this title for a missing person's counsel appointed under that section.

"(3) The review of the report of a board on an inquiry that is submitted by such counsel shall be made an official part of the record of the board with respect to the inquiry.

"(g) ATTENDANCE OF FAMILY MEMBERS AND CERTAIN OTHER INTERESTED PERSONS AT PROCEEDINGS.—(1) With respect to any person covered by an inquiry under this section, the primary next of kin, other members of the immediate family, and any other previously designated person of the person may attend the proceedings of the board during the inquiry.

"(2) The Secretary concerned shall take reasonable actions to notify each individual referred to in paragraph (1) of the opportunity to attend the proceedings of a board. Such notice shall be provided not less than 60 days before the first meeting of the board.

"(3) An individual who receives notice under paragraph (2) shall notify the Secretary of the intent, if any, of that individual to attend the proceedings of the board not later than 21 days after the date on which the individual receives the notice.

"(4) Each individual who notifies the Secretary under paragraph (3) of the individual's intent to attend the proceedings of the board—

"(A) in the case of an individual who is the primary next of kin or the previously designated person, may attend the proceedings of the board with private counsel;

"(B) shall have access to the personnel file of the missing person, to unclassified reports, if any, of the board appointed under section 1503 of this title to conduct the inquiry into the whereabouts and status of the person, and to any other unclassified information or documents relating to the whereabouts and status of the person;

"(C) shall be afforded the opportunity to present information at the proceedings of the board that such individual considers to be relevant to those proceedings; and

"(D) subject to paragraph (5), shall be given the opportunity to submit in writing an objection to any recommendation of the board under subsection (i) as to the status of the missing person.

"(5)(A) Individuals who wish to file objections under paragraph (4)(D) to any recommendation of the board shall—

"(i) submit a letter of intent to the president of the board not later than 15 days after the date on which the recommendations are made; and

"(ii) submit to the president of the board the objections in writing not later than 30 days after the date on which the recommendations are made.

"(B) The president of a board shall include any objections to a recommendation of the board that are submitted to the president of the board under subparagraph (A) in the report of the board containing the recommendation under subsection (i).

"(6) An individual referred to in paragraph (1) who attends the proceedings of a board under this subsection shall not be entitled to reimbursement by the United States for any costs (including travel, lodging, meals, local transportation, legal fees, transcription costs, witness expenses, and other expenses) incurred by that individual in attending such proceedings.

"(h) AVAILABILITY OF INFORMATION TO BOARDS.—(1) In conducting proceedings in an inquiry under this section, a board may secure directly from any department or agency of the United States any information that the board considers necessary in order to conduct the proceedings.

"(2) Upon written request from the president of a board, the head of a department or agency of the United States shall release information covered by the request to the board. In releasing such information, the head of the department or agency shall—

"(A) declassify to an appropriate degree classified information; or

"(B) release the information in a manner not requiring the removal of markings indicating the classified nature of the information.

"(3)(A) If a request for information under paragraph (2) covers classified information that cannot be declassified, or if the classification markings cannot be removed before release from the information covered by the request, or if the material cannot be summarized in a manner that prevents the release of classified information, the classified information shall be made available only to the

president of the board making the request and the counsel for the missing person appointed under subsection (f).

"(B) The president of a board shall close to persons who do not have appropriate security clearances the proceeding of the board at which classified information is discussed. Participants at a proceeding of a board at which classified information is discussed shall comply with all applicable laws and regulations relating to the disclosure of classified information. The Secretary concerned shall assist the president of a board in ensuring that classified information is not compromised through board proceedings.

"(i) RECOMMENDATION ON STATUS.—(1) Upon completion of an inquiry under this subsection, a board shall make a recommendation as to the current whereabouts and status of each missing person covered by the inquiry.

"(2) A board may not recommend under paragraph (1) that a person be declared dead unless in making the recommendation the board complies with section 1507 of this title.

"(j) REPORT.—A board appointed under this section shall submit to the Secretary concerned a report on the inquiry carried out by the board, together with the evidence considered by the board during the inquiry. The report may include a classified annex.

"(k) ACTIONS BY SECRETARY CONCERNED.—(1) Not later than 30 days after the receipt of a report from a board under subsection (j), the Secretary shall review—

"(A) the report;

"(B) the review of the report submitted to the Secretary under subsection (f)(3) by the counsel for each person covered by the report; and

"(C) the objections, if any, to the report submitted to the president of the board under subsection (g)(5).

"(2) In reviewing a report under paragraph (1) (including the objections described in subparagraph (C) of that paragraph), the Secretary concerned shall determine whether or not the report is complete and free of administrative error. If the Secretary determines that the report is incomplete, or that the report is not free of administrative error, the Secretary may return the report to the board for further action on the report by the board.

"(3) Upon a determination by the Secretary that a report reviewed under this subsection is complete and free of administrative error, the Secretary shall make a determination concerning the status of each person covered by the report.

"(l) REPORT TO FAMILY MEMBERS AND OTHER INTERESTED PERSONS.—Not later than 60 days after the date on which the Secretary concerned makes a determination with respect to a missing person under subsection (k), the Secretary shall—

"(1) provide the report reviewed by the Secretary in making the determination to the primary next of kin, the other members of the immediate family, and any other previously designated person of the person; and

"(2) in the case of a person who continues to be in a missing status, inform each individual referred to in paragraph (1) that the United States will conduct a further investigation into the whereabouts and status of the person as specified in section 1505 of this title.

"(m) TREATMENT OF DETERMINATION.—Any determination of the status of a missing person under subsection (k) shall supersede the determination of the status of the person under section 1503 of this title and shall be treated as the determination of the status of the person by all departments and agencies of the United States.

**"§ 1505. Further review**

"(a) SUBSEQUENT REVIEW.—The Secretary concerned shall conduct subsequent inquiries into the whereabouts and status of any person determined by the Secretary under section 1504 of this title to be in a missing status.

"(b) FREQUENCY OF SUBSEQUENT REVIEWS.—(1) In the case of a missing person who was last known to be alive or who was last suspected of being alive, the Secretary shall appoint a board to conduct an inquiry with respect to a person under this subsection—

"(A) on or about three years after the date of the initial report of the disappearance of the person under section 1502(a) of this title; and

"(B) not later than every three years thereafter.

"(2) In addition to appointment of boards under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall appoint a board to conduct an inquiry with respect to a missing person under this subsection upon receipt of information that could result in a change of status of the missing person. When the Secretary appoints a board under this paragraph, the time for subsequent appointments of a board under paragraph (1)(B) shall be determined from the date of the receipt of such information.

"(3) The Secretary is not required to appoint a board under paragraph (1) with respect to the disappearance of any person—

"(A) more than 30 years after the initial report of the disappearance of the missing person required by section 1502 of this title; or

"(B) if, before the end of such 30-year period, the missing person is accounted for.

"(c) ACTION UPON DISCOVERY OR RECEIPT OF INFORMATION.—(1) Whenever any United States intelligence agency or other element of the Government finds or receives information that may be related to a missing person, the information shall promptly be forwarded to the office established under section 1501 of this title.

"(2) Upon receipt of information under paragraph (1), the head of the office established under section 1501 of this title shall as expeditiously as possible ensure that the information is added to the appropriate case file for that missing person and notify (A) the designated missing person's counsel for that person, and (B) the primary next of kin and any previously designated person for the missing person of the existence of that information.

"(3) The head of the office established under section 1501 of this title, with the advice of the missing person's counsel notified under paragraph (2), shall determine whether the information is significant enough to require a board review under this section.

"(d) CONDUCT OF PROCEEDINGS.—If it is determined that such a board should be appointed, the appointment of, and activities before, a board appointed under this section shall be governed by the provisions of section 1504 of this title with respect to a board appointed under that section.

**"§ 1506. Personnel files**

"(a) INFORMATION IN FILES.—Except as provided in subsections (b), (c), and (d), the Secretary concerned shall, to the maximum extent practicable, ensure that the personnel file of a missing person contains all information in the possession of the United States relating to the disappearance and whereabouts and status of the person.

"(b) CLASSIFIED INFORMATION.—The Secretary concerned may withhold classified information from a personnel file under this section. If the Secretary concerned withholds classified information from a personnel file, the Secretary shall ensure that the file contains the following:

"(1) A notice that the withheld information exists.

"(2) A notice of the date of the most recent review of the classification of the withheld information.

"(c) PROTECTION OF PRIVACY.—The Secretary concerned shall maintain personnel files under this section, and shall permit disclosure of or access to such files, in accordance with the provisions of section 552a of title 5 and with other applicable laws and regulations pertaining to the privacy of the persons covered by the files.

"(d) PRIVILEGED INFORMATION.—(1) The Secretary concerned shall withhold from personnel files under this section, as privileged information, debriefing reports provided by missing persons returned to United States control which are obtained under a promise of confidentiality made for the purpose of ensuring the fullest possible disclosure of information.

"(2) If a debriefing report contains non-derogatory information about the status and whereabouts of a missing person other than the source of the debriefing report, the Secretary concerned shall prepare an extract of the non-derogatory information. That extract, following a review by the source of the debriefing report, shall be placed in the personnel file of the missing person in such a manner as to protect the identity of the source providing the information.

"(3) Whenever the Secretary concerned withholds a debriefing report from a personnel file under this subsection, the Secretary shall ensure that the file contains a notice that withheld information exists.

"(e) WRONGFUL WITHHOLDING.—Except as provided in subsections (a) through (d), any person who knowingly and willfully withholds from the personnel file of a missing person any information relating to the disappearance or whereabouts and status of a missing person shall be fined as provided in title 18 or imprisoned not more than one year, or both.

"(f) AVAILABILITY OF INFORMATION.—The Secretary concerned shall, upon request, make available the contents of the personnel file of a missing person to the primary next of kin, the other members of the immediate family, or any other previously designated person of the person.

**"§ 1507. Recommendation of status of death**

"(a) REQUIREMENTS RELATING TO RECOMMENDATION.—A board appointed under section 1503, 1504, or 1505 of this title may not recommend that a person be declared dead unless—

"(1) credible evidence exists to suggest that the person is dead;

"(2) the United States possesses no credible evidence that suggests that the person is alive; and

"(3) representatives of the United States—

"(A) have made a complete search of the area where the person was last seen (unless, after making a good faith effort to obtain access to such area, such representatives are not granted such access); and

"(B) have examined the records of the government or entity having control over the area where the person was last seen (unless, after making a good faith effort to obtain access to such records, such representatives are not granted such access).

"(b) SUBMITTAL OF INFORMATION ON DEATH.—If a board appointed under section 1503, 1504, or 1505 of this title makes a recommendation that a missing person be declared dead, the board shall include in the report of the board with respect to the person under that section the following:

"(1) A detailed description of the location where the death occurred.

"(2) A statement of the date on which the death occurred.

"(3) A description of the location of the body, if recovered.

"(4) If the body has been recovered and is not identifiable through visual means, a certification by a practitioner of an appropriate forensic science that the body recovered is that of the missing person.

**"§ 1508. Judicial review**

"(a) RIGHT OF REVIEW.—A person who is the primary next of kin (or the previously designated person) of a person who is the subject of a finding described in subsection (b) may obtain judicial review in a United States district court of that finding, but only on the basis of a claim that there is information that could affect the status of the missing person's case that was not adequately considered during the administrative review process under this chapter. Any such review shall be as provided in section 706 of title 5.

"(b) FINDINGS FOR WHICH JUDICIAL REVIEW MAY BE SOUGHT.—Subsection (a) applies to the following findings:

"(1) A finding by a board appointed under section 1504 or 1505 of this title that a missing person is dead.

"(2) A finding by a board appointed under section 1509 of this title that confirms that a missing person formerly declared dead is in fact dead.

"(c) SUBSEQUENT REVIEW.—Appeals from a decision of the district court shall be taken to the appropriate United States court of appeals and to the Supreme Court as provided by law.

**"§ 1509. Preenactment, special interest cases**

"(a) REVIEW OF STATUS.—In the case of an unaccounted for person covered by section 1501(c) of this title who is described in subsection (b), if new information that could change the status of that person is found or received by a United States intelligence agency, by a Department of Defense agency, or by a person specified in section 1504(g) of this title, that information shall be provided to the Secretary of Defense with a request that the Secretary evaluate the information in accordance with sections 1505(c) and 1505(d) of this title.

"(b) CASES ELIGIBLE FOR REVIEW.—The cases eligible for review under this section are the following:

"(1) With respect to the Korean conflict, any unaccounted for person who was classified as a prisoner of war or as missing in action during that conflict and who (A) was known to be or suspected to be alive at the end of that conflict, or (B) was classified as missing in action and whose capture was possible.

"(2) With respect to the Cold War, any unaccounted for person who was engaged in intelligence operations (such as aerial 'ferret' reconnaissance missions over and around the Soviet Union and China) during the Cold War.

"(3) With respect to the Indochina war era, any unaccounted for person who was classified as a prisoner of war or as missing in action during the Indochina conflict.

"(c) SPECIAL RULE FOR PERSONS CLASSIFIED AS 'KIA/BNR'.—In the case of a person described in subsection (b) who was classified as 'killed in action/body not recovered', the case of that person may be reviewed under this section only if the new information referred to in subsection (a) is compelling.

"(d) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

"(1) The term 'Korean conflict' means the period beginning on June 27, 1950, and ending on January 31, 1955.

"(2) The term 'Cold War' means the period beginning on September 2, 1945, and ending on August 21, 1991.

"(3) The term 'Indochina war era' means the period beginning on July 8, 1959, and ending on May 15, 1975.

**"§ 1510. Applicability to Coast Guard**

"(a) DESIGNATED OFFICER TO HAVE RESPONSIBILITY.—The Secretary of Transportation shall designate an officer of the Department of Transportation to have responsibility within the Department of Transportation for matters relating to missing persons who are members of the Coast Guard.

"(b) PROCEDURES.—The Secretary of Transportation shall prescribe procedures for the determination of the status of persons described in section 1501(c) of this title who are members of the Coast Guard and for the collection, analysis, review, and update of information on such persons. To the maximum extent practicable, the procedures prescribed under this section shall be similar to the procedures prescribed by the Secretary of Defense under section 1501(b) of this title.

**"§ 1511. Return alive of person declared missing or dead**

"(a) PAY AND ALLOWANCES.—Any person (except for a person subsequently determined to have been absent without leave or a deserter) in a missing status or declared dead under subchapter VII of chapter 55 of title 5 or chapter 10 of title 37 or by a board appointed under this chapter who is found alive and returned to the control of the United States shall be paid for the full time of the absence of the person while given that status or declared dead under the law and regulations relating to the pay and allowances of persons returning from a missing status.

"(b) EFFECT ON GRATUITIES PAID AS A RESULT OF STATUS.—Subsection (a) shall not be interpreted to invalidate or otherwise affect the receipt by any person of a death gratuity or other payment from the United States on behalf of a person referred to in subsection (a) before the date of the enactment of this chapter.

**"§ 1512. Effect on State law**

"(a) NONPREEMPTION OF STATE AUTHORITY.—Nothing in this chapter shall be construed to invalidate or limit the power of any State court or administrative entity, or the power of any court or administrative entity of any political subdivision thereof, to find or declare a person dead for purposes of such State or political subdivision.

"(b) STATE DEFINED.—In this section, the term 'State' includes the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, and any territory or possession of the United States.

**"§ 1513. Definitions**

"In this chapter:

"(1) The term 'missing person' means—

"(A) a member of the Armed Forces on active duty who is in a missing status; or

"(B) a civilian employee of the Department of Defense or an employee of a contractor of the Department of Defense who serves with or accompanies the Armed Forces in the field under orders and who is in a missing status.

"(2) The term 'missing status' means the status of a missing person who is determined to be absent in a category of any of the following:

"(A) Missing.

"(B) Missing in action.

"(C) Interned in a foreign country.

"(D) Captured.

"(E) Beleaguered.

"(F) Besieged.

"(G) Detained in a foreign country against that person's will.

"(3) The term 'accounted for', with respect to a person in a missing status, means that—

"(A) the person is returned to United States control alive;

"(B) the remains of the person are recovered and, if not identifiable through visual means as those of the missing person, are identified as those of the missing person by a practitioner of an appropriate forensic science; or

"(C) credible evidence exists to support another determination of the person's status.

"(4) The term 'primary next of kin', in the case of a missing person, means the individual authorized to direct disposition of the remains of the person under section 1482(c) of this title.

"(5) The term 'member of the immediate family', in the case of a missing person, means the following:

"(A) The spouse of the person.

"(B) A natural child, adopted child, stepchild, or illegitimate child (if acknowledged by the person or parenthood has been established by a court of competent jurisdiction) of the person, except that if such child has not attained the age of 18 years, the term means a surviving parent or legal guardian of such child.

"(C) A biological parent of the person, unless legal custody of the person by the parent has been previously terminated by reason of a court decree or otherwise under law and not restored.

"(D) A brother or sister of the person, if such brother or sister has attained the age of 18 years.

"(E) Any other blood relative or adoptive relative of the person, if such relative was given sole legal custody of the person by a court decree or otherwise under law before the person attained the age of 18 years and such custody was not subsequently terminated before that time.

"(6) The term 'previously designated person', in the case of a missing person, means an individual designated by the person under section 655 of this title for purposes of this chapter.

"(7) The term 'classified information' means any information the unauthorized disclosure of which (as determined under applicable law and regulations) could reasonably be expected to damage the national security.

"(8) The term 'theater component commander' means, with respect to any of the combatant commands, an officer of any of the armed forces who (A) is commander of all forces of that armed force assigned to that combatant command, and (B) is directly subordinate to the commander of the combatant command.".

(2) The tables of chapters at the beginning of subtitle A, and at the beginning of part II of subtitle A, of title 10, United States Code, are amended by inserting after the item relating to chapter 75 the following new item:

"76. Missing Persons ..... 1501".

(c) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Chapter 10 of title 37, United States Code, is amended as follows:

(1) Section 555 is amended—

(A) in subsection (a), by striking out "When a member" and inserting in lieu thereof "Except as provided in subsection (d), when a member"; and

(B) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(d) This section does not apply in a case to which section 1502 of title 10 applies.".

(2) Section 552 is amended—

(A) in subsection (a), by striking out "for all purposes," in the second sentence of the matter following paragraph (2) and all that follows through the end of the sentence and inserting in lieu thereof "for all purposes.";

(B) in subsection (b), by inserting "or under chapter 76 of title 10" before the period at the end; and

(C) in subsection (e), by inserting "or under chapter 76 of title 10" after "section 555 of this title".

(3) Section 553 is amended—

(A) in subsection (f), by striking out "the date the Secretary concerned receives evidence that" and inserting in lieu thereof "the date on which, in a case covered by section 555 of this title, the Secretary concerned receives evidence, or, in a case covered by chapter 76 of title 10, the Secretary concerned determines pursuant to that chapter, that"; and

(B) in subsection (g), by inserting "or under chapter 76 of title 10" after "section 555 of this title".

(4) Section 556 is amended—

(A) in subsection (a), by inserting after paragraph (7) the following:

"Paragraphs (1), (5), (6), and (7) only apply with respect to a case to which section 555 of this title applies.";

(B) in subsection (b), by inserting ", in a case to which section 555 of this title applies," after "When the Secretary concerned"; and

(C) in subsection (h)—

(i) in the first sentence, by striking out "status" and inserting in lieu thereof "pay"; and

(ii) in the second sentence, by inserting "in a case to which section 555 of this title applies" after "under this section".

(d) DESIGNATION OF PERSONS HAVING INTEREST IN STATUS OF SERVICE MEMBERS.—(1) Chapter 37 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

**"§655. Designation of persons having interest in status of a missing member**

"(a) The Secretary concerned shall, upon the enlistment or appointment of a person in the armed forces, require that the person specify in writing the person or persons, if any, other than that person's primary next of kin or immediate family, to whom information on the whereabouts and status of the member shall be provided if such whereabouts and status are investigated under chapter 76 of this title. The Secretary shall periodically, and whenever the member is deployed as part of a contingency operation or in other circumstances specified by the Secretary, require that such designation be reconfirmed, or modified, by the member.

"(b) The Secretary concerned shall, upon the request of a member, permit the member to revise the person or persons specified by the member under subsection (a) at any time. Any such revision shall be in writing.".

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

"655. Designation of persons having interest in status of a missing member.".

(e) ACCOUNTING FOR CIVILIAN EMPLOYEE AND CONTRACTORS OF THE UNITED STATES.—(1) The Secretary of State shall carry out a comprehensive study of the provisions of subchapter VII of chapter 55 of title 5, United States Code (commonly referred to as the "Missing Persons Act of 1942") (5 U.S.C. 5561 et seq.) and any other law or regulation establishing procedures for the accounting for of civilian employees of the United States or contractors of the United States who serve with or accompany the Armed Forces in the field. The purpose of the study shall be to determine the means, if any, by which those procedures may be improved.

(2) The Secretary of State shall carry out the study required under paragraph (1) in consultation with the Secretary of Defense, the Secretary of Transportation, the Director of Central Intelligence, and the heads of such other departments and agencies of the United States as the President designates for that purpose.

(3) In carrying out the study, the Secretary of State shall examine the procedures undertaken when a civilian employee referred to in paragraph (1) becomes involuntarily absent as a result of a hostile action, or under circumstances suggesting that the involuntary absence is a result of a hostile action, and whose status is undetermined or who is unaccounted for, including procedures for—

(A) search and rescue for the employee;

(B) determining the status of the employee;

(C) reviewing and changing the status of the employee;

(D) determining the rights and benefits accorded to the family of the employee; and

(E) maintaining and providing appropriate access to the records of the employee and the investigation into the status of the employee.

(4) Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of State shall submit to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives a report on the study carried out by the Secretary under this subsection. The report shall include the recommendations, if any, of the Secretary for legislation to improve the procedures covered by the study.

**SEC. 570. ASSOCIATE DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE FOR MILITARY SUPPORT.**

Section 102 of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 403) is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(e) In the event that neither the Director nor Deputy Director of Central Intelligence is a commissioned officer of the Armed Forces, a commissioned officer of the Armed Forces appointed to the position of Associate Director of Central Intelligence for Military Support, while serving in such position, shall not be counted against the numbers and percentages of commissioned officers of the rank and grade of such officer authorized for the armed force of which such officer is a member.".

**Subtitle G—Support for Non-Department of Defense Activities**

**SEC. 571. REPEAL OF CERTAIN CIVIL-MILITARY PROGRAMS.**

(a) REPEAL OF CIVIL-MILITARY COOPERATIVE ACTION PROGRAM.—The following provisions of law are repealed:

(1) Section 410 of title 10, United States Code.

(2) Section 1081(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993 (Public Law 102-484; 10 U.S.C. 410 note).

(b) REPEAL OF RELATED PROVISION.—Section 1045 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993 (Public Law 102-484; 10 U.S.C. 410 note), relating to a pilot outreach program to reduce demand for illegal drugs, is repealed.

(c) TECHNICAL AND CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Chapter 20 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking out the table of subchapters after the chapter heading;

(2) by striking out the subchapter heading for subchapter I; and

(3) by striking out the subchapter heading for subchapter II and the table of sections following that subchapter heading.

**SEC. 572. TRAINING ACTIVITIES RESULTING IN INCIDENTAL SUPPORT AND SERVICES FOR ELIGIBLE ORGANIZATIONS AND ACTIVITIES OUTSIDE THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—(1) Chapter 101 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

**"§2012. Support and services for eligible organizations and activities outside Department of Defense**

"(a) AUTHORITY TO PROVIDE SERVICES AND SUPPORT.—Under regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Defense, the Secretary of a military department may in accordance with this section authorize units or individual members of the armed forces under that Secretary's jurisdiction to provide support and services to non-Department of Defense organizations and activities specified in subsection (e), but only if—

"(1) such assistance is authorized by a provision of law (other than this section); or

"(2) the provision of such assistance is incidental to military training.

"(b) SCOPE OF COVERED ACTIVITIES SUBJECT TO SECTION.—This section does not—

"(1) apply to the provision by the Secretary concerned, under regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Defense, of customary community relations and public affairs activities conducted in accordance with Department of Defense policy; or

"(2) prohibit the Secretary concerned from encouraging members of the armed forces under the Secretary's jurisdiction to provide volunteer support for community relations activities under regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Defense.

"(c) REQUIREMENT FOR SPECIFIC REQUEST.—Assistance under subsection (a) may only be provided if—

"(1) the assistance is requested by a responsible official of the organization to which the assistance is to be provided; and

"(2) the assistance is not reasonably available from a commercial entity or (if so available) the official submitting the request for assistance certifies that the commercial entity that would otherwise provide such services has agreed to the provision of such services by the armed forces.

"(d) RELATIONSHIP TO MILITARY TRAINING.—(1) Assistance under subsection (a) may only be provided if the following requirements are met:

"(A) The provision of such assistance—

"(i) in the case of assistance by a unit, will accomplish valid unit training requirements; and

"(ii) in the case of assistance by an individual member, will involve tasks directly related to the specific military occupational specialty of the member.

"(B) The provision of such assistance will not adversely affect the quality of training or otherwise interfere with the ability of a member or unit of the armed forces to perform the military functions of the member or unit.

"(C) The provision of such assistance will not result in a significant increase in the cost of the training.

"(2) Subparagraph (A)(i) of paragraph (1) does not apply in a case in which the assistance to be provided consists primarily of military manpower and the total amount of such assistance in the case of a particular project does not exceed 100 man-hours.

"(e) ELIGIBLE ENTITIES.—The following organizations and activities are eligible for assistance under this section:

"(1) Any Federal, regional, State, or local governmental entity.

"(2) Youth and charitable organizations specified in section 508 of title 32.

"(3) Any other entity as may be approved by the Secretary of Defense on a case-by-case basis.

"(f) REGULATIONS.—The Secretary of Defense shall prescribe regulations governing the provision of assistance under this section. The regulations shall include the following:

"(1) Rules governing the types of assistance that may be provided.

"(2) Procedures governing the delivery of assistance that ensure, to the maximum extent practicable, that such assistance is provided in conjunction with, rather than separate from, civilian efforts.

"(3) Procedures for appropriate coordination with civilian officials to ensure that the assistance—

"(A) meets a valid need; and

"(B) does not duplicate other available public services.

"(4) Procedures to ensure that Department of Defense resources are not applied exclusively to the program receiving the assistance.

"(g) ADVISORY COUNCILS.—(1) The Secretary of Defense shall encourage the establishment of advisory councils at regional, State, and local levels, as appropriate, in order to obtain recommendations and guidance concerning assistance under this section from persons who are knowledgeable about regional, State, and local conditions and needs.

"(2) The advisory councils should include officials from relevant military organizations, representatives of appropriate local, State, and Federal agencies, representatives of civic and social service organizations, business representatives, and labor representatives.

"(3) The Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. App.) shall not apply to such councils.

"(h) CONSTRUCTION OF PROVISION.—Nothing in this section shall be construed as authorizing—

"(1) the use of the armed forces for civilian law enforcement purposes or for response to natural or manmade disasters; or

"(2) the use of Department of Defense personnel or resources for any program, project, or activity that is prohibited by law.".

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

"2012. Support and services for eligible organizations and activities outside Department of Defense.".

**SEC. 573. NATIONAL GUARD CIVILIAN YOUTH OPPORTUNITIES PILOT PROGRAM.**

(a) TERMINATION.—The authority under subsection (a) of section 1091 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993 (Public Law 102-484; 32 U.S.C. 501 note) to carry out a pilot program under that section is hereby continued through the end of the 18-month period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act and such authority shall terminate as of the end of that period.

(b) LIMITATION ON NUMBER OF PROGRAMS.—During the period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act and ending on the termination of the pilot program under subsection (a), the number of programs carried out under subsection (d) of that section as part of the pilot program may not exceed the number of such programs as of September 30, 1995.

**SEC. 574. TERMINATION OF FUNDING FOR OFFICE OF CIVIL-MILITARY PROGRAMS IN OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE.**

No funds may be obligated or expended after the date of the enactment of this Act (1) for the office that as of the date of the enactment of this Act is designated, within the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Reserve Affairs, as the Office of Civil-Military Programs, or (2) for any other entity within the Office of the Secretary of Defense that has an exclusive or principal mission of providing centralized direction for activities under section 2012 of title 10, United States Code, as added by section 572.

## TITLE VI—COMPENSATION AND OTHER PERSONNEL BENEFITS

### Subtitle A—Pay and Allowances

**SEC. 601. MILITARY PAY RAISE FOR FISCAL YEAR 1996.**

(a) RESCISSION OF PRIOR SECTION 1009 ADJUSTMENT.—The adjustment made as of January 1, 1996, pursuant to section 4 of Executive Order No. 12984 (issued December 28, 1995), in elements of compensation of members of the uniformed services pursuant to section 1009 of title 37, United States Code, is hereby rescinded.

(b) INCREASE IN BASIC PAY AND BAS.—The rates of basic pay and basic allowance for subsistence of members of the uniformed services, as in effect on December 31, 1995, are hereby increased by 2.4 percent.

(c) INCREASE IN BAQ.—The rates of basic allowance for quarters of members of the uniformed services, as in effect on December 31, 1995, are hereby increased by 5.2 percent.

(d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—This section shall take effect as of January 1, 1996.

**SEC. 602. LIMITATION ON BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE FOR MEMBERS RESIDING WITHOUT DEPENDENTS IN GOVERNMENT QUARTERS.**

(a) PERCENTAGE LIMITATION.—Subsection (b) of section 402 of title 37, United States Code, is amended by adding after the last sentence the following new paragraph:

"(4) In the case of enlisted members of the Army, Navy, Air Force, or Marine Corps who, when present at their permanent duty station, reside without dependents in Government quarters, the Secretary concerned may not provide a basic allowance for subsistence to more than 12 percent of such members under the jurisdiction of the Secretary concerned. The Secretary concerned may exceed such percentage if the Secretary determines that compliance would increase costs to the Government, would impose financial hardships on members otherwise entitled to a basic allowance for subsistence, or would reduce the quality of life for such members. This paragraph shall not apply to members described in the first sentence when the members are not residing at their permanent duty station. The Secretary concerned shall achieve the percentage limitation specified in this paragraph as soon as possible after the date of the enactment of this paragraph, but in no case later than September 30, 1996.".

(b) STYLISTIC AMENDMENTS.—Such subsection is further amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraphs (1), (2), and (3) as subparagraphs (A), (B), and (C);

(2) by inserting "(1)" after "(b)";

(3) by designating the text composed of the second, third, and fourth sentences as paragraph (2); and

(4) by designating the text composed of the fifth and sixth sentences as paragraph (3).

(c) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—(1) Subsection (e) of such section is amended—

(A) in paragraph (1), by striking out "the third sentence of subsection (b)" and inserting in lieu thereof "subsection (b)(2)"; and

(B) in paragraph (2), by striking out "subsection (b)" and inserting in lieu thereof "subsection (b)(2)".

(2) Section 1012 of title 37, United States Code, is amended by striking out "the last sentence of section 402(b)" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 402(b)(3)".

(d) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than March 31, 1996, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report identifying, for the Army, Navy, Air Force, and Marine Corps—

(1) the number of members who reside without dependents in Government quarters at their permanent duty stations and receive a basic allowance for subsistence under section 402 of title 37, United States Code;

(2) such number as a percentage of the total number of members who reside without dependents in Government quarters;

(3) a recommended maximum percentage of the members residing without dependents in Government quarters at their permanent duty station who should receive a basic allowance for subsistence; and

(4) the reasons such maximum percentage is recommended.

**SEC. 603. ELECTION OF BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR QUARTERS INSTEAD OF ASSIGNMENT TO INADEQUATE QUARTERS.**

(a) ELECTION AUTHORIZED.—Section 403(b) of title 37, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by inserting "(1)" after "(b)";

(2) by designating the second sentence as paragraph (2) and, as so designated, by striking out "However, subject" and inserting in lieu thereof "Subject"; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

"(3) A member without dependents who is in pay grade E-6 and who is assigned to quarters of the United States that do not meet the minimum adequacy standards established by the Department of Defense for members in such pay grade, or to a housing facility under the jurisdiction of a uniformed service that does not meet such standards, may elect not to occupy such quarters or facility and instead to receive the basic allowance for quarters prescribed for the member's pay grade by this section.".

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on July 1, 1996.

**SEC. 604. PAYMENT OF BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR QUARTERS TO MEMBERS IN PAY GRADE E-6 WHO ARE ASSIGNED TO SEA DUTY.**

(a) PAYMENT AUTHORIZED.—Section 403(c)(2) of title 37, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in the first sentence, by striking out "E-7" and inserting in lieu thereof "E-6"; and

(2) in the second sentence, by striking out "E-6" and inserting in lieu thereof "E-5".

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on July 1, 1996.

**SEC. 605. LIMITATION ON REDUCTION OF VARIABLE HOUSING ALLOWANCE FOR CERTAIN MEMBERS.**

(a) LIMITATION ON REDUCTION IN VHA.—(1) Subsection (c)(3) of section 403a of title 37, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new sentence: "However, so long as a member of a uniformed service retains uninterrupted eligibility to receive a variable housing allowance within an area and the member's certified housing costs are not reduced (as indicated by certifications provided by the member under subsection (b)(4)), the monthly amount of a variable housing allowance under this section for the member within that area may not be reduced as a result of systematic adjustments required by changes in housing costs within that area.".

(2) The amendment made by paragraph (1) shall apply for fiscal years after fiscal year 1995.

(b) EFFECT ON TOTAL AMOUNT AVAILABLE FOR VHA.—Subsection (d)(3) of such section is amended by inserting after the first sentence the following new sentence: "In addition, the total amount determined under paragraph (1) shall be adjusted to ensure that sufficient amounts are available to allow payment of any additional amounts of variable housing allowance necessary as a result of the requirements of the second sentence of subsection (c)(3).".

(c) REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION.—Not later than June 1, 1996, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report describing the procedures to be used to implement the amendments made by this section and the costs of such amendments.

(d) RESOLVING VHA INADEQUACIES IN HIGH HOUSING COST AREAS.—If the Secretary of Defense determines that, despite the amendments made by this section, inadequacies exist in the provision of variable housing allowances under section 403a of title 37, United States Code, the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report containing a legislative proposal to address the inadequacies. The Secretary shall make the determination required by this subsection and submit the report, if necessary, not later than May 31, 1996.

**SEC. 606. CLARIFICATION OF LIMITATION ON ELIGIBILITY FOR FAMILY SEPARATION ALLOWANCE.**

Section 427(b)(4) of title 37, United States Code, is amended in the first sentence by inserting "paragraph (1)(A) of" after "not entitled to an allowance under".

**Subtitle B—Bonuses and Special and Incentive Pays**

**SEC. 611. EXTENSION OF CERTAIN BONUSES FOR RESERVE FORCES.**

(a) SELECTED RESERVE REENLISTMENT BONUS.—Section 308b(f) of title 37, United States Code, is amended by striking out "September 30, 1996" and inserting in lieu thereof "September 30, 1997".

(b) SELECTED RESERVE ENLISTMENT BONUS.—Section 308c(e) of title 37, United States Code, is amended by striking out "September 30, 1996" and inserting in lieu thereof "September 30, 1997".

(c) SELECTED RESERVE AFFILIATION BONUS.—Section 308e(e) of title 37, United States Code, is amended by striking out "September 30, 1996" and inserting in lieu thereof "September 30, 1997".

(d) READY RESERVE ENLISTMENT AND REENLISTMENT BONUS.—Section 308h(g) of title 37, United States Code, is amended by striking out "September 30, 1996" and inserting in lieu thereof "September 30, 1997".

(e) PRIOR SERVICE ENLISTMENT BONUS.—Section 308i(i) of title 37, United States Code, is amended by striking out "September 30, 1996" and inserting in lieu thereof "September 30, 1997".

**SEC. 612. EXTENSION OF CERTAIN BONUSES AND SPECIAL PAY FOR NURSE OFFICER CANDIDATES, REGISTERED NURSES, AND NURSE ANESTHETISTS.**

(a) NURSE OFFICER CANDIDATE ACCESSION PROGRAM.—Section 2130a(a)(1) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking out "September 30, 1996" and inserting in lieu thereof "September 30, 1997".

(b) ACCESSION BONUS FOR REGISTERED NURSES.—Section 302d(a)(1) of title 37, United States Code, is amended by striking out "September 30, 1996" and inserting in lieu thereof "September 30, 1997".

(c) INCENTIVE SPECIAL PAY FOR NURSE ANESTHETISTS.—Section 302e(a)(1) of title 37, United States Code, is amended by striking out "September 30, 1996" and inserting in lieu thereof "September 30, 1997".

**SEC. 613. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY RELATING TO PAYMENT OF OTHER BONUSES AND SPECIAL PAYS.**

(a) AVIATION OFFICER RETENTION BONUS.—Section 301b(a) of title 37, United States Code, is amended by striking out "September 30, 1995," and inserting in lieu thereof "September 30, 1997".

(b) REENLISTMENT BONUS FOR ACTIVE MEMBERS.—Section 308(g) of title 37, United States Code, is amended by striking out "September 30, 1996" and inserting in lieu thereof "September 30, 1997".

(c) ENLISTMENT BONUSES FOR CRITICAL SKILLS.—Sections 308a(c) and 308f(c) of title 37, United States Code, are each amended by striking out "September 30, 1996" and inserting in lieu thereof "September 30, 1997".

(d) SPECIAL PAY FOR ENLISTED MEMBERS OF THE SELECTED RESERVE ASSIGNED TO CERTAIN HIGH PRIORITY UNITS.—Section 308d(c) of title 37, United States Code, is amended by striking out "September 30, 1996" and inserting in lieu thereof "September 30, 1997".

(e) SPECIAL PAY FOR NUCLEAR QUALIFIED OFFICERS EXTENDING PERIOD OF ACTIVE SERVICE.—Section 312(e) of title 37, United States Code, is amended by striking out "September 30, 1996" and inserting in lieu thereof "September 30, 1997".

(f) NUCLEAR CAREER ACCESSION BONUS.—Section 312b(c) of title 37, United States Code, is amended by striking out "September 30, 1996" and inserting in lieu thereof "September 30, 1997".

(g) NUCLEAR CAREER ANNUAL INCENTIVE BONUS.—Section 312c(d) of title 37, United States Code, is amended by striking out "October 1, 1996" and inserting in lieu thereof "October 1, 1997".

(h) REPAYMENT OF EDUCATION LOANS FOR CERTAIN HEALTH PROFESSIONALS WHO SERVE IN THE SELECTED RESERVE.—Section 16302(d) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking out "October 1, 1996" and inserting in lieu thereof "October 1, 1997".

(i) COVERAGE OF PERIOD OF LAPSED AGREEMENT AUTHORITY.—(1) In the case of an officer described in section 301b(b) of title 37, United States Code, who executes an agreement described in paragraph (2) during the 90-day period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary concerned may treat the agreement for purposes of the retention bonus authorized under the agreement as having been executed and accepted on the first date on which the officer would have qualified for such an agreement had the amendment made by subsection (a) taken effect on October 1, 1995.

(2) An agreement referred to in this subsection is a service agreement with the Secretary concerned that is a condition for the payment of a retention bonus under section 301b of title 37, United States Code.

(3) For purposes of this subsection, the term "Secretary concerned" has the meaning given that term in section 101(5) of title 37, United States Code.

**SEC. 614. CODIFICATION AND EXTENSION OF SPECIAL PAY FOR CRITICALLY SHORT WARTIME HEALTH SPECIALISTS IN THE SELECTED RESERVES.**

(a) SPECIAL PAY AUTHORIZED.—(1) Chapter 5 of title 37, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 302f the following new section:

**"§302g. Special pay: Selected Reserve health care professionals in critically short wartime specialties**

"(a) SPECIAL PAY AUTHORIZED.—An officer of a reserve component of the armed forces described in subsection (b) who executes a written agreement under which the officer agrees to serve in the Selected Reserve of an armed force for a period of not less than one year nor more than three years, beginning on the date the officer accepts the award of special pay under this section, may be paid special pay at an annual rate not to exceed $10,000.

"(b) ELIGIBLE OFFICERS.—An officer referred to in subsection (a) is an officer in a health care profession who is qualified in a specialty designated by regulations as a critically short wartime specialty.

"(c) TIME FOR PAYMENT.—Special pay under this section shall be paid annually at the beginning of each twelve-month period for which the officer has agreed to serve.

"(d) REFUND REQUIREMENT.—An officer who voluntarily terminates service in the Selected Reserve of an armed force before the end of the period for which a payment was made to such officer under this section shall refund to the United States the full amount of the payment made for the period on which the payment was based.

"(e) INAPPLICABILITY OF DISCHARGE IN BANKRUPTCY.—A discharge in bankruptcy under title 11 that is entered less than five years after the termination of an agreement under this section does not discharge the person receiving special pay under the agreement from the debt arising under the agreement.

"(f) TERMINATION OF AGREEMENT AUTHORITY.—No agreement under this section may be entered into after September 30, 1997.".

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 302f the following new item:

"302g. Special pay: Selected Reserve health care professionals in critically short wartime specialties.".

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 303a of title 37, United States Code, is amended by striking out "302, 302a, 302b, 302c, 302d, 302e," each place it appears and inserting in lieu thereof "302 through 302g,".

(c) CONFORMING REPEAL.—(1) Section 613 of the National Defense Authorization Act, Fiscal Year 1989 (Public Law 100-456; 37 U.S.C. 302 note) is repealed.

(2) The provisions of section 613 of the National Defense Authorization Act, Fiscal Year 1989, as in effect on the day before the date of the enactment of this Act, shall continue to apply to agreements entered into under such section before such date.

**SEC. 615. HAZARDOUS DUTY INCENTIVE PAY FOR WARRANT OFFICERS AND ENLISTED MEMBERS SERVING AS AIR WEAPONS CONTROLLERS.**

(a) INCLUSION OF ADDITIONAL MEMBERS.—Subsection (a)(11) of section 301 of title 37,

United States Code, is amended by striking out "an officer (other than a warrant officer)" and inserting in lieu thereof "a member".

(b) CALCULATION OF HAZARDOUS DUTY INCENTIVE PAY.—The table in subparagraph (A) of subsection (c)(2) of such section is amended to read as follows:

| "Pay grade | Years of service as an air weapons controller | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 2 or less | Over 2 | Over 3 | Over 4 | Over 6 | Over 8 | Over 10 |
| "O–7 and above | $200 | $200 | $200 | $200 | $200 | $200 | $200 |
| "O–6 | 225 | 250 | 300 | 325 | 350 | 350 | 350 |
| "O–5 | 200 | 250 | 300 | 325 | 350 | 350 | 350 |
| "O–4 | 175 | 225 | 275 | 300 | 350 | 350 | 350 |
| "O–3 | 125 | 156 | 188 | 206 | 350 | 350 | 350 |
| "O–2 | 125 | 156 | 188 | 206 | 250 | 300 | 300 |
| "O–1 | 125 | 156 | 188 | 206 | 250 | 250 | 250 |
| "W–4 | 200 | 225 | 275 | 300 | 325 | 325 | 325 |
| "W–3 | 175 | 225 | 275 | 300 | 325 | 325 | 325 |
| "W–2 | 150 | 200 | 250 | 275 | 325 | 325 | 325 |
| "W–1 | 100 | 125 | 150 | 175 | 325 | 325 | 325 |
| "E–9 | 200 | 225 | 250 | 275 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| "E–8 | 200 | 225 | 250 | 275 | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| "E–7 | 175 | 200 | 225 | 250 | 275 | 275 | 275 |
| "E–6 | 156 | 175 | 200 | 225 | 250 | 250 | 250 |
| "E–5 | 125 | 156 | 175 | 188 | 200 | 200 | 200 |
| "E–4 and below | 125 | 156 | 175 | 188 | 200 | 200 | 200 |

| | Over 12 | Over 14 | Over 16 | Over 18 | Over 20 | Over 22 | Over 24 | Over 25 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| "O–7 and above | $200 | $200 | $200 | $200 | $200 | $200 | $200 | $110 |
| "O–6 | 350 | 350 | 350 | 350 | 300 | 250 | 250 | 225 |
| "O–5 | 350 | 350 | 350 | 350 | 300 | 250 | 250 | 225 |
| "O–4 | 350 | 350 | 350 | 350 | 300 | 250 | 250 | 225 |
| "O–3 | 350 | 350 | 350 | 300 | 275 | 250 | 225 | 200 |
| "O–2 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 275 | 245 | 210 | 200 | 180 |
| "O–1 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 245 | 210 | 200 | 180 | 150 |
| "W–4 | 325 | 325 | 325 | 325 | 276 | 250 | 225 | 200 |
| "W–3 | 325 | 325 | 325 | 325 | 325 | 250 | 225 | 200 |
| "W–2 | 325 | 325 | 325 | 325 | 275 | 250 | 225 | 200 |
| "W–1 | 325 | 325 | 325 | 325 | 275 | 250 | 225 | 200 |
| "E–9 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 275 | 230 | 200 | 200 |
| "E–8 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 265 | 230 | 200 | 200 |
| "E–7 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 265 | 230 | 200 | 200 |
| "E–6 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | 265 | 230 | 200 | 200 |
| "E–5 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 250 | 225 | 200 | 175 | 150 |
| "E–4 and below | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 175 | 150 | 125 | 125". |

(c) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Subsection (c)(2) of such section is further amended—

(1) by striking out "an officer" each place it appears and inserting in lieu thereof "a member"; and

(2) by striking out "the officer" each place it appears and inserting in lieu thereof "the member".

**SEC. 616. AVIATION CAREER INCENTIVE PAY.**

(a) YEARS OF OPERATIONAL FLYING DUTIES REQUIRED.—Paragraph (4) of section 301a(a) of title 37, United States Code, is amended in the first sentence by striking out "9" and inserting in lieu thereof "8".

(b) EXERCISE OF WAIVER AUTHORITY.—Paragraph (5) of such section is amended by inserting after the second sentence the following new sentence: "The Secretary concerned may not delegate the authority in the preceding sentence to permit the payment of incentive pay under this subsection.".

**SEC. 617. CLARIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO PROVIDE SPECIAL PAY FOR NURSES.**

Section 302c(d)(1) of title 37, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking out "or" after "Air Force,"; and

(2) by inserting before the semicolon the following: ", an officer of the Nurse Corps of the Army or Navy, or an officer of the Air Force designated as a nurse".

**SEC. 618. CONTINUOUS ENTITLEMENT TO CAREER SEA PAY FOR CREW MEMBERS OF SHIPS DESIGNATED AS TENDERS.**

Subparagraph (A) of section 305a(d)(1) of title 37, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

"(A) while permanently or temporarily assigned to a ship, ship-based staff, or ship-based aviation unit and—

"(i) while serving on a ship the primary mission of which is accomplished while under way;

"(ii) while serving as a member of the off-crew of a two-crewed submarine; or

"(iii) while serving as a member of a tender-class ship (with the hull classification of submarine or destroyer); or".

**SEC. 619. INCREASE IN MAXIMUM RATE OF SPECIAL DUTY ASSIGNMENT PAY FOR ENLISTED MEMBERS SERVING AS RECRUITERS.**

(a) SPECIAL MAXIMUM RATE FOR RECRUITERS.—Section 307(a) of title 37, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new sentence: "In the case of a member who is serving as a military recruiter and is eligible for special duty assignment pay under this subsection on account of such duty, the Secretary concerned may increase the monthly rate of special duty assignment pay for the member to not more than $375.".

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall take effect on January 1, 1996.

## Subtitle C—Travel and Transportation Allowances

**SEC. 621. REPEAL OF REQUIREMENT REGARDING CALCULATION OF ALLOWANCES ON BASIS OF MILEAGE TABLES.**

Section 404(d)(1)(A) of title 37, United States Code, is amended by striking out ", based on distances established over the shortest usually traveled route, under mileage tables prepared under the direction of the Secretary of Defense".

**SEC. 622. DEPARTURE ALLOWANCES.**

(a) ELIGIBILITY WHEN EVACUATION AUTHORIZED BUT NOT ORDERED.—Section 405a(a) of title 37, United States Code, is amended by striking out "ordered" each place it appears and inserting in lieu thereof "authorized or ordered".

(b) APPLICATION OF AMENDMENT.—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall apply with respect to persons authorized or ordered to depart as described in section 405a(a) of title 37, United States Code, on or after October 1, 1995.

**SEC. 623. TRANSPORTATION OF NONDEPENDENT CHILD FROM MEMBER'S STATION OVERSEAS AFTER LOSS OF DEPENDENT STATUS WHILE OVERSEAS.**

Section 406(h)(1) of title 37, United States Code, is amended in the last sentence—

(1) by striking out "who became 21 years of age" and inserting in lieu thereof "who, by reason of age or graduation from (or cessation of enrollment in) an institution of higher education, would otherwise cease to be a dependent of the member"; and

(2) by inserting "still" after "shall".

**SEC. 624. AUTHORIZATION OF DISLOCATION ALLOWANCE FOR MOVES IN CONNECTION WITH BASE REALIGNMENTS AND CLOSURES.**

(a) DISLOCATION ALLOWANCE AUTHORIZED.—Subsection (a) of section 407 of title 37, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking out "or" at the end of paragraph (3);

(2) by striking out the period at the end of paragraph (4)(B) and inserting in lieu thereof "; or"; and

(3) by inserting after paragraph (4)(B) the following new paragraph:

"(5) the member is ordered to move in connection with the closure or realignment of a military installation and, as a result, the member's dependents actually move or, in

the case of a member without dependents, the member actually moves.".

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—(1) The last sentence of such subsection is amended—

(A) by striking out "clause (3) or (4)(B)" and inserting in lieu thereof "paragraph (3) or (4)(B)"; and

(B) by striking out "clause (1)" and inserting in lieu thereof "paragraph (1) or (5)".

(2) Subsection (b) of such section is amended—

(A) by striking out "subsection (a)(3) or (a)(4)(B)" in the first sentence and inserting in lieu thereof "paragraph (3) or (4)(B) of subsection (a)"; and

(B) by striking out "subsection (a)(1)" in the second sentence and inserting in lieu thereof "paragraph (1) or (5) of subsection (a)".

**Subtitle D—Retired Pay, Survivor Benefits, and Related Matters**

**SEC. 631. EFFECTIVE DATE FOR MILITARY RETIREE COST-OF-LIVING ADJUSTMENTS FOR FISCAL YEARS 1996, 1997, AND 1998.**

(a) ADJUSTMENT OF EFFECTIVE DATES.—Subparagraph (B) of section 1401a(b)(2) of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

"(B) SPECIAL RULES FOR FISCAL YEARS 1996 AND 1998.—

"(i) FISCAL YEAR 1996.—In the case of the increase in retired pay that, pursuant to paragraph (1), becomes effective on December 1, 1995, the initial month for which such increase is payable as part of such retired pay shall (notwithstanding such December 1 effective date) be March 1996.

"(ii) FISCAL YEAR 1998.—In the case of the increase in retired pay that, pursuant to paragraph (1), becomes effective on December 1, 1997, the initial month for which such increase is payable as part of such retired pay shall (notwithstanding such December 1 effective date) be September 1998.".

(b) CONTINGENT ALTERNATIVE DATE FOR FISCAL YEAR 1998.—(1) If a civil service retiree cola that becomes effective during fiscal year 1998 becomes effective on a date other than the date on which a military retiree cola during that fiscal year is specified to become effective under subparagraph (B) of section 1401a(b)(2) of title 10, United States Code, as amended by subsection (a), then the increase in military retired and retainer pay shall become payable as part of such retired and retainer pay effective on the same date on which such civil service retiree cola becomes effective (notwithstanding the date otherwise specified in such subparagraph (B)).

(2) Paragraph (1) does not apply with respect to the retired pay of a person retired under chapter 61 of title 10, United States Code.

(3) For purposes of this subsection:

(A) The term "civil service retiree cola" means an increase in annuities under the Civil Service Retirement System either under section 8340(b) of title 5, United States Code, or pursuant to a law providing a general increase in such annuities.

(B) The term "military retiree cola" means an adjustment in retired and retainer pay pursuant to section 1401a(b) of title 10, United States Code.

(c) REPEAL OF PRIOR CONDITIONAL ENACTMENT.—Section 8114A(b) of Public Law 103–335 (108 Stat. 2648) is repealed.

**SEC. 632. DENIAL OF NON-REGULAR SERVICE RETIRED PAY FOR RESERVES RECEIVING CERTAIN COURT-MARTIAL SENTENCES.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—(1) Chapter 1223 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

**"§ 12740. Eligibility: denial upon certain punitive discharges or dismissals**

"A person who—

"(1) is convicted of an offense under the Uniform Code of Military Justice (chapter 47 of this title) and whose sentence includes death; or

"(2) is separated pursuant to sentence of a court-martial with a dishonorable discharge, a bad conduct discharge, or (in the case of an officer) a dismissal,

is not eligible for retired pay under this chapter.".

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

"12740. Eligibility: denial upon certain punitive discharges or dismissals.".

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Section 12740 of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a), shall apply with respect to court-martial sentences adjudged after the date of the enactment of this Act.

**SEC. 633. REPORT ON PAYMENT OF ANNUITIES FOR CERTAIN MILITARY SURVIVING SPOUSES.**

(a) STUDY REQUIRED.—(1) The Secretary of Defense shall conduct a study to determine the number of potential beneficiaries there would be if Congress were to enact authority for the Secretary of the military department concerned to pay an annuity to the qualified surviving spouse of each member of the Armed Forces who—

(A) died before March 21, 1974, and was entitled to retired or retainer pay on the date of death; or

(B) was a member of a reserve component who died during the period beginning on September 21, 1972, and ending on October 1, 1978, and at the time of death would have been entitled to retired pay under chapter 67 of title 10, United States Code, but for the fact that he was under 60 years of age.

(2) A qualified surviving spouse for purposes of paragraph (1) is a surviving spouse who has not remarried and who is not eligible for an annuity under section 4 of Public Law 92–425 (10 U.S.C. 1448 note).

(b) REQUIRED DETERMINATIONS.—As part of the study under subsection (a), the Secretary shall determine the following:

(1) The number of unremarried surviving spouses of deceased members and deceased former members of the Armed Forces referred to in subparagraph (A) of subsection (a)(1) who would be eligible for an annuity under authority described in such subsection.

(2) The number of unremarried surviving spouses of deceased members and deceased former members of reserve components referred to in subparagraph (B) of subsection (a)(1) who would be eligible for an annuity under authority described in such subsection.

(3) The number of persons in each group of unremarried former spouses described in paragraphs (1) and (2) who are receiving a widow's insurance benefit or a widower's insurance benefit under title II of the Social Security Act on the basis of employment of a deceased member or deceased former member referred to in subsection (a)(1).

(c) REPORT.—Not later than March 1, 1996, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives a report on the results of the study under this section. The Secretary shall include in the report a recommendation on the amount of the annuity that should be authorized to be paid under any authority described in subsection (a)(1), together with a recommendation on whether the annuity should be adjusted annually to offset increases in the cost of living.

**SEC. 634. PAYMENT OF BACK QUARTERS AND SUBSISTENCE ALLOWANCES TO WORLD WAR II VETERANS WHO SERVED AS GUERRILLA FIGHTERS IN THE PHILIPPINES.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of the military department concerned shall pay, upon request, to an individual described in subsection (b) the amount determined with respect to that individual under subsection (c).

(b) COVERED INDIVIDUALS.—A payment under subsection (a) shall be made to any individual who as a member of the Armed Forces during World War II—

(1) was captured on the Island of Bataan in the territory of the Philippines by Japanese forces;

(2) participated in the Bataan Death March;

(3) escaped from captivity; and

(4) served as a guerrilla fighter in the Philippines during the period from January 1942 through February 1945.

(c) AMOUNT TO BE PAID.—The amount of a payment under subsection (a) shall be the amount of quarters and subsistence allowance which accrued to an individual described in subsection (b) during the period specified in paragraph (4) of subsection (b) and which was not paid to that individual. The Secretary shall apply interest compounded at the three-month Treasury bill rate.

(d) PAYMENT TO SURVIVORS.—In the case of any individual described in subsection (b) who is deceased, payment under this section with respect to that individual shall be made to that individual's nearest surviving relative, as determined by the Secretary concerned.

**SEC. 635. AUTHORITY FOR RELIEF FROM PREVIOUS OVERPAYMENTS UNDER MINIMUM INCOME WIDOWS PROGRAM.**

(a) AUTHORITY.—The Secretary of Defense may waive recovery by the United States of any overpayment by the United States described in subsection (b). In the case of any such waiver, any debt to the United States arising from such overpayment is forgiven.

(b) COVERED OVERPAYMENTS.—Subsection (a) applies in the case of an overpayment by the United States that—

(1) was made before the date of the enactment of this Act under section 4 of Public Law 92–425 (10 U.S.C. 1448 note); and

(2) is attributable to failure by the Department of Defense to apply the eligibility provisions of subsection (a) of such section in the case of the person to whom the overpayment was made.

**SEC. 636. TRANSITIONAL COMPENSATION FOR DEPENDENTS OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES SEPARATED FOR DEPENDENT ABUSE.**

(a) COVERAGE OF PROGRAM.—Subsection (a) of section 1059 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following: "Upon establishment of such a program, the program shall apply in the case of each such member described in subsection (b) who is under the jurisdiction of the Secretary establishing the program.".

(b) CLARIFICATION OF PAYMENT TO DEPENDENTS OF MEMBERS NOT DISCHARGED.—Subsection (d) of such section is amended—

(1) in the matter preceding paragraph (1)—

(A) by striking out "any case of a separation from active duty as described in subsection (b)" and inserting in lieu thereof "the case of any individual described in subsection (b)"; and

(B) by striking "former member" and inserting in lieu thereof "individual";

(2) in paragraph (1)—

(A) by striking out "former member" and inserting in lieu thereof "individual"; and

(B) by striking out "member" and inserting in lieu thereof "individual";

(3) in paragraph (2), by striking out "former member" both places it appears and inserting in lieu thereof "individual described in subsection (b)";

(4) in paragraph (3), by striking out "former member" and inserting in lieu thereof "individual described in subsection (b)"; and

(5) in paragraph (4), by striking out "member" both places it appears and inserting in lieu thereof "individual described in subsection (b)".

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Section 554(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1994 (10 U.S.C. 1059 note) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking out "on or after the date of the enactment of this Act" and inserting in lieu thereof "after November 29, 1993"; and

(2) by striking out paragraph (2) and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

"(2) Payments of transitional compensation under that section in the case of any person eligible to receive payments under that section shall be made for each month after November 1993 for which that person may be paid transitional compensation in accordance with that section.".

**Subtitle E—Other Matters**

**SEC. 641. PAYMENT TO SURVIVORS OF DECEASED MEMBERS FOR ALL LEAVE ACCRUED.**

(a) INAPPLICABILITY OF 60-DAY LIMITATION.—Section 501(d) of title 37, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking out the third sentence; and

(2) by striking out paragraph (2) and inserting in lieu thereof the following new paragraph:

"(2) The limitations in the second sentence of subsection (b)(3), subsection (f), and the second sentence of subsection (g) shall not apply with respect to a payment made under this subsection.".

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 501(f) of such title is amended by striking out ", (d)," in the first sentence.

**SEC. 642. REPEAL OF REPORTING REQUIREMENTS REGARDING COMPENSATION MATTERS.**

(a) REPORT ON TRAVEL AND TRANSPORTATION ALLOWANCES FOR DEPENDENTS.—(1) Section 406 of title 37, United States Code, is amended—

(A) by striking out subsection (i); and

(B) by redesignating subsections (j), (k), (l), (m), and (n) as subsections (i), (j), (k), (l), and (m), respectively.

(2) Section 2634(d) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking out "section 406(l) of title 37" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 406(k) of title 37".

(b) ANNUAL REVIEW OF PAY AND ALLOWANCES.—Section 1008(a) of title 37, United States Code, is amended by striking out the second sentence.

(c) REPORT ON QUADRENNIAL REVIEW OF ADJUSTMENTS IN COMPENSATION.—Section 1009(f) of such title is amended by striking out "of this title," and all that follows through the period at the end and inserting in lieu thereof "of this title.".

**SEC. 643. RECOUPMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES IN GARNISHMENT ACTIONS.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (j) of section 5520a of title 5, United States Code, is amended by striking out paragraph (2) and inserting in lieu thereof the following new paragraph:

"(2) Such regulations shall provide that an agency's administrative costs incurred in executing legal process to which the agency is subject under this section shall be deducted from the amount withheld from the pay of the employee concerned pursuant to the legal process.".

(b) INVOLUNTARY ALLOTMENTS OF PAY OF MEMBERS OF THE UNIFORMED SERVICES.—Subsection (k) of such section is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraph (3) as paragraph (4); and

(2) by inserting after paragraph (2) the following new paragraph:

"(3) Regulations under this subsection may also provide that the administrative costs incurred in establishing and maintaining an involuntary allotment be deducted from the amount withheld from the pay of the member of the uniformed services concerned pursuant to such regulations.".

(c) DISPOSITION OF AMOUNTS WITHHELD FOR ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES.—Such section is further amended by adding at the end the following:

"(l) The amount of an agency's administrative costs deducted under regulations prescribed pursuant to subsection (j)(2) or (k)(3) shall be credited to the appropriation, fund, or account from which such administrative costs were paid.".

**SEC. 644. REPORT ON EXTENDING TO JUNIOR NONCOMMISSIONED OFFICERS PRIVILEGES PROVIDED FOR SENIOR NONCOMMISSIONED OFFICERS.**

(a) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than February 1, 1996, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report containing the determinations of the Secretary regarding whether, in order to improve the working conditions of noncommissioned officers in pay grades E–5 and E–6, any of the privileges afforded noncommissioned officers in any of the pay grades above E–6 should be extended to noncommissioned officers in pay grades E–5 and E–6.

(b) SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATION REGARDING ELECTION OF BAS.—The Secretary shall include in the report a determination on whether noncommissioned officers in pay grades E–5 and E–6 should be afforded the same privilege as noncommissioned officers in pay grades above E–6 to elect to mess separately and receive the basic allowance for subsistence.

(c) ADDITIONAL MATTERS.—The report shall also contain a discussion of the following matters:

(1) The potential costs of extending additional privileges to noncommissioned officers in pay grades E–5 and E–6.

(2) The effects on readiness that would result from extending the additional privileges.

(3) The options for extending the privileges on an incremental basis over an extended period.

(d) RECOMMENDED LEGISLATION.—The Secretary shall include in the report any recommended legislation that the Secretary considers necessary in order to authorize extension of a privilege as determined appropriate under subsection (a).

**SEC. 645. STUDY REGARDING JOINT PROCESS FOR DETERMINING LOCATION OF RECRUITING STATIONS.**

(a) STUDY REQUIRED.—The Secretary of Defense shall conduct a study regarding the feasibility of—

(1) using a joint process among the Armed Forces for determining the location of recruiting stations and the number of military personnel required to operate such stations; and

(2) basing such determinations on market research and analysis conducted jointly by the Armed Forces.

(b) REPORT.—Not later than March 31, 1996, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report describing the results of the study. The report shall include a recommended method for measuring the efficiency of individual recruiting stations, such as cost per accession or other efficiency standard, as determined by the Secretary.

**SEC. 646. AUTOMATIC MAXIMUM COVERAGE UNDER SERVICEMEN'S GROUP LIFE INSURANCE.**

Effective April 1, 1996, section 1967 of title 38, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsections (a) and (c), by striking out "$100,000" each place it appears and inserting in lieu thereof in each instance "$200,000";

(2) by striking out subsection (e); and

(3) by redesignating subsection (f) as subsection (e).

**SEC. 647. TERMINATION OF SERVICEMEN'S GROUP LIFE INSURANCE FOR MEMBERS OF THE READY RESERVE WHO FAIL TO PAY PREMIUMS.**

(a) AUTHORITY.—Section 1969(a)(2) of title 38, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by inserting "(A)" after "(2)"; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

"(B) If an individual who is required pursuant to subparagraph (A) to make a direct remittance of costs to the Secretary concerned fails to make the required remittance within 60 days of the date on which such remittance is due, such individual's insurance with respect to which such remittance is required shall be terminated by the Secretary concerned. Such termination shall be made by written notice to the individual's official address and shall be effective 60 days after the date of such notice. Such termination of insurance may be vacated if, before the effective date of termination, the individual remits all amounts past due for such insurance and demonstrates to the satisfaction of the Secretary concerned that the failure to make timely remittances was justifiable.".

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 1968(a) is amended by inserting "(or discontinued pursuant to section 1969(a)(2)(B) of this title)" in the matter preceding paragraph (1) after "upon the written request of the insured".

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on April 1, 1996.

**TITLE VII—HEALTH CARE PROVISIONS**

**Subtitle A—Health Care Services**

**SEC. 701. MODIFICATION OF REQUIREMENTS REGARDING ROUTINE PHYSICAL EXAMINATIONS AND IMMUNIZATIONS UNDER CHAMPUS.**

Section 1079(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking out paragraph (2) and inserting in lieu thereof the following new paragraph:

"(2) consistent with such regulations as the Secretary of Defense may prescribe regarding the content of health promotion and disease prevention visits, the schedule of pap smears and mammograms, and the types and schedule of immunizations—

"(A) for dependents under six years of age, both health promotion and disease prevention visits and immunizations may be provided; and

"(B) for dependents six years of age or older, health promotion and disease prevention visits may be provided in connection with immunizations or with diagnostic or preventive pap smears and mammograms;".

**SEC. 702. CORRECTION OF INEQUITIES IN MEDICAL AND DENTAL CARE AND DEATH AND DISABILITY BENEFITS FOR CERTAIN RESERVES.**

(a) MEDICAL AND DENTAL CARE.—Section 1074a(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

"(3) Each member of the armed forces who incurs or aggravates an injury, illness, or disease in the line of duty while remaining overnight, between successive periods of inactive-duty training, at or in the vicinity of the site of the inactive-duty training, if the site is outside reasonable commuting distance from the member's residence.".

(b) RECOVERY, CARE, AND DISPOSITION OF REMAINS.—Section 1481(a)(2) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (C), by striking out "or" at the end of the subparagraph;

(2) by redesignating subparagraph (D) as subparagraph (E); and

(3) by inserting after subparagraph (C) the following new subparagraph:

"(D) remaining overnight, between successive periods of inactive-duty training, at or in the vicinity of the site of the inactive-duty training, if the site is outside reasonable commuting distance from the member's residence; or".

(c) ENTITLEMENT TO BASIC PAY.—(1) Subsection (g)(1) of section 204 of title 37, United States Code, is amended—

(A) in subparagraph (B), by striking out "or" at the end of the subparagraph;

(B) in subparagraph (C), by striking out the period at the end of the subparagraph and inserting in lieu thereof "; or"; and

(C) by inserting after subparagraph (C) the following new subparagraph:

"(D) in line of duty while remaining overnight, between successive periods of inactive-duty training, at or in the vicinity of the site of the inactive-duty training, if the site is outside reasonable commuting distance from the member's residence.".

(2) Subsection (h)(1) of such section is amended—

(A) in subparagraph (B), by striking out "or" at the end of the subparagraph;

(B) in subparagraph (C), by striking out the period at the end of the subparagraph and inserting in lieu thereof "; or"; and

(C) by inserting after subparagraph (C) the following new subparagraph:

"(D) in line of duty while remaining overnight, between successive periods of inactive-duty training, at or in the vicinity of the site of the inactive-duty training, if the site is outside reasonable commuting distance from the member's residence.".

(d) COMPENSATION FOR INACTIVE-DUTY TRAINING.—Section 206(a)(3) of title 37, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A), by striking out "or" at the end of clause (ii);

(2) in subparagraph (B), by striking out the period at the end of the subparagraph and inserting in lieu thereof "; or"; and

(3) by inserting after subparagraph (B) the following new subparagraph:

"(C) in line of duty while remaining overnight, between successive periods of inactive-duty training, at or in the vicinity of the site of the inactive-duty training, if the site is outside reasonable commuting distance from the member's residence.".

**SEC. 703. MEDICAL CARE FOR SURVIVING DEPENDENTS OF RETIRED RESERVES WHO DIE BEFORE AGE 60.**

(a) CHANGE IN ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS.—Paragraph (2) of section 1076(b) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking out "death (A) would" and inserting in lieu thereof "death would"; and

(2) by striking out ", and (B) had elected to participate in the Survivor Benefit Plan established under subchapter II of chapter 73 of this title".

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Such paragraph is further amended—

(1) in the matter following paragraph (2), by striking out "clause (2)" the first place it appears and inserting in lieu thereof "paragraph (2)"; and

(2) by striking out the second sentence.

**SEC. 704. MEDICAL AND DENTAL CARE FOR MEMBERS OF THE SELECTED RESERVE ASSIGNED TO EARLY DEPLOYING UNITS OF THE ARMY SELECTED RESERVE.**

(a) ANNUAL MEDICAL AND DENTAL SCREENINGS AND CARE.—Section 1074a of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (c), by striking out "this section" and inserting in lieu thereof "subsection (b)"; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(d)(1) The Secretary of the Army shall provide to members of the Selected Reserve of the Army who are assigned to units scheduled for deployment within 75 days after mobilization the following medical and dental services:

"(A) An annual medical screening.

"(B) For members who are over 40 years of age, a full physical examination not less often than once every two years.

"(C) An annual dental screening.

"(D) The dental care identified in an annual dental screening as required to ensure that a member meets the dental standards required for deployment in the event of mobilization.

"(2) The services provided under this subsection shall be provided at no cost to the member.".

(b) CONFORMING REPEALS.—Sections 1117 and 1118 of the Army National Guard Combat Readiness Reform Act of 1992 (title XI of Public Law 102-484; 10 U.S.C. 3077 note) are repealed.

**SEC. 705. DENTAL INSURANCE FOR MEMBERS OF THE SELECTED RESERVE.**

(a) PROGRAM AUTHORIZATION.—(1) Chapter 55 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 1076a the following new section:

**"§ 1076b. Selected Reserve dental insurance**

"(a) AUTHORITY TO ESTABLISH PLAN.—The Secretary of Defense shall establish a dental insurance plan for members of the Selected Reserve of the Ready Reserve. The plan shall provide for voluntary enrollment and for premium sharing between the Department of Defense and the members enrolled in the plan. The plan shall be administered under regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Defense.

"(b) PREMIUM SHARING.—(1) A member enrolling in the dental insurance plan shall pay a share of the premium charged for the insurance coverage. The member's share may not exceed $25 per month.

"(2) The Secretary of Defense may reduce the monthly premium required to be paid by enlisted members under paragraph (1) if the Secretary determines that the reduction is appropriate in order to assist enlisted members to participate in the dental insurance plan.

"(3) A member's share of the premium for coverage by the dental insurance plan shall be deducted and withheld from the basic pay payable to the member for inactive duty training and from the basic pay payable to the member for active duty.

"(4) The Secretary of Defense shall pay the portion of the premium charged for coverage of a member under the dental insurance plan that exceeds the amount paid by the member.

"(c) BENEFITS AVAILABLE UNDER THE PLAN.—The dental insurance plan shall provide benefits for basic dental care and treatment, including diagnostic services, preventative services, basic restorative services, and emergency oral examinations.

"(d) TERMINATION OF COVERAGE.—The coverage of a member by the dental insurance plan shall terminate on the last day of the month in which the member is discharged, transfers to the Individual Ready Reserve, Standby Reserve, or Retired Reserve, or is ordered to active duty for a period of more than 30 days.".

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 1076a the following:

"1076b. Selected Reserve dental insurance.".

(b) IMPLEMENTATION.—Beginning not later than October 1, 1996, the Secretary of Defense shall offer members of the Selected Reserve the opportunity to enroll in the dental insurance plan required under section 1076b of title 10, United States Code (as added by subsection (a)). During fiscal year 1996, the Secretary shall collect such information and complete such planning and other preparations as are necessary to offer and administer the dental insurance plan by that date. The activities undertaken by the Secretary under this subsection during fiscal year 1996 may include—

(1) surveys; and

(2) tests, in not more than three States, of a dental insurance plan or alternative dental insurance plans meeting the requirements of section 1076b of title 10, United States Code.

**SEC. 706. PERMANENT AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT SPECIALIZED TREATMENT FACILITY PROGRAM.**

Section 1105 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking out subsection (h).

**Subtitle B—TRICARE Program**

**SEC. 711. DEFINITION OF TRICARE PROGRAM.**

For purposes of this subtitle, the term "TRICARE program" means the managed health care program that is established by the Secretary of Defense under the authority of chapter 55 of title 10, United States Code, principally section 1097 of such title, and includes the competitive selection of contractors to financially underwrite the delivery of health care services under the Civilian Health and Medical Program of the Uniformed Services.

**SEC. 712. PRIORITY USE OF MILITARY TREATMENT FACILITIES FOR PERSONS ENROLLED IN MANAGED CARE INITIATIVES.**

Section 1097(c) of title 10, United States Code, is amended in the third sentence by striking out "However, the Secretary may" and inserting in lieu thereof "Notwithstanding the preferences established by sections 1074(b) and 1076 of this title, the Secretary shall".

**SEC. 713. STAGGERED PAYMENT OF ENROLLMENT FEES FOR TRICARE PROGRAM.**

Section 1097(e) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new sentence: "Without imposing additional costs on covered beneficiaries who participate in contracts for health care services under this section or health care plans offered under section 1099 of this title, the Secretary shall permit such covered beneficiaries to pay, on a quarterly basis, any enrollment fee required for such participation.".

**SEC. 714. REQUIREMENT OF BUDGET NEUTRALITY FOR TRICARE PROGRAM TO BE BASED ON ENTIRE PROGRAM.**

(a) CHANGE IN BUDGET NEUTRALITY REQUIREMENTS.—Subsection (c) of section 731 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1994 (Public Law 103-160; 10 U.S.C. 1073 note) is amended—

(1) by striking out "each managed health care initiative that includes the option" and inserting in lieu thereof "the TRICARE program"; and

(2) by striking out "covered beneficiaries who enroll in the option" and inserting in lieu thereof "members of the uniformed services and covered beneficiaries who participate in the TRICARE program".

(b) ADDITION OF DEFINITION OF TRICARE PROGRAM.—Subsection (d) of such section is amended to read as follows:

"(d) DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this section:

"(1) The term 'covered beneficiary' means a beneficiary under chapter 55 of title 10, United States Code, other than a beneficiary under section 1074(a) of such title.

"(2) The term 'TRICARE program' means the managed health care program that is established by the Secretary of Defense under the authority of chapter 55 of title 10, United States Code, principally section 1097 of such title, and includes the competitive selection of contractors to financially underwrite the delivery of health care services under the Civilian Health and Medical Program of the Uniformed Services.".

**SEC. 715. TRAINING IN HEALTH CARE MANAGEMENT AND ADMINISTRATION FOR TRICARE LEAD AGENTS.**

(a) PROVISION OF TRAINING.—Not later than six months after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall implement a professional educational program to provide appropriate training in health care management and administration—

(1) to each commander of a military medical treatment facility of the Department of Defense who is selected to serve as a lead agent to coordinate the delivery of health care by military and civilian providers under the TRICARE program; and

(2) to appropriate members of the support staff of the treatment facility who will be responsible for daily operation of the TRICARE program.

(b) REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION.—Not later than six months after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report describing the professional educational program implemented pursuant to this section.

**SEC. 716. PILOT PROGRAM OF INDIVIDUALIZED RESIDENTIAL MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES.**

(a) PROGRAM REQUIRED.—(1) During fiscal year 1996, the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the other administering Secretaries under chapter 55 of title 10, United States Code, shall implement a pilot program to provide residential and wraparound services to children described in paragraph (2) who are in need of mental health services. The Secretary shall implement the pilot program for an initial period of at least two years in a military health care region in which the TRICARE program has been implemented.

(2) A child shall be eligible for selection to participate in the pilot program if the child is a dependent (as described in subparagraph (D) or (I) of section 1072(2) of title 10, United States Code) who—

(A) is eligible for health care under section 1079 or 1086 of such title; and

(B) has a serious emotional disturbance that is generally regarded as amenable to treatment.

(b) WRAPAROUND SERVICES DEFINED.—For purposes of this section, the term "wraparound services" means individualized mental health services that are provided principally to allow a child to remain in the family home or other least-restrictive and least-costly setting, but also are provided as an aftercare planning service for children who have received acute or residential care. Such term includes nontraditional mental health services that will assist the child to be maintained in the least-restrictive and least-costly setting.

(c) PILOT PROGRAM AGREEMENT.—Under the pilot program the Secretary of Defense shall enter into one or more agreements that require a mental health services provider under the agreement—

(1) to provide wraparound services to a child described in subsection (a)(2);

(2) to continue to provide such services as needed during the period of the agreement even if the child moves to another location within the same TRICARE program region during that period; and

(3) to share financial risk by accepting as a maximum annual payment for such services a case-rate reimbursement not in excess of the amount of the annual standard CHAMPUS residential treatment benefit payable (as determined in accordance with section 8.1 of chapter 3 of volume II of the CHAMPUS policy manual).

(d) REPORT.—Not later than March 1, 1998, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives a report on the program carried out under this section. The report shall contain—

(1) an assessment of the effectiveness of the program; and

(2) the Secretary's views regarding whether the program should be implemented throughout the military health care system.

**SEC. 717. EVALUATION AND REPORT ON TRICARE PROGRAM EFFECTIVENESS.**

(a) EVALUATION REQUIRED.—The Secretary of Defense shall arrange for an on-going evaluation of the effectiveness of the TRICARE program in meeting the goals of increasing the access of covered beneficiaries under chapter 55 of title 10, United States Code, to health care and improving the quality of health care provided to covered beneficiaries, without increasing the costs incurred by the Government or covered beneficiaries. The evaluation shall specifically address—

(1) the impact of the TRICARE program on military retirees with regard to access, costs, and quality of health care services; and

(2) identify noncatchment areas in which the health maintenance organization option of the TRICARE program is available or is proposed to become available.

(b) ENTITY TO CONDUCT EVALUATION.—The Secretary may use a federally funded research and development center to conduct the evaluation required by subsection (a).

(c) ANNUAL REPORT.—Not later than March 1, 1997, and each March 1 thereafter, the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report describing the results of the evaluation under subsection (a) during the preceding year.

**SEC. 718. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING ACCESS TO HEALTH CARE UNDER TRICARE PROGRAM FOR COVERED BENEFICIARIES WHO ARE MEDICARE ELIGIBLE.**

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:

(1) Medical care provided in facilities of the uniformed services is generally less expensive to the Federal Government than the same care provided at Government expense in the private sector.

(2) Covered beneficiaries under the military health care provisions of chapter 55, United States Code, who are eligible for medicare under title XVIII of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395 et seq.) deserve health care options that empower them to choose the health plan that best fits their needs.

(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—In light of the findings specified in subsection (a), it is the sense of Congress that—

(1) the Secretary of Defense should develop a program to ensure that such covered beneficiaries who reside in a region in which the TRICARE program has been implemented continue to have adequate access to health care services after the implementation of the TRICARE program; and

(2) as a means of ensuring such access, the budget for fiscal year 1997 submitted by the President under section 1105 of title 31, United States Code, should provide for reimbursement by the Health Care Financing Administration to the Department of Defense for health care services provided to such covered beneficiaries in medical treatment facilities of the Department of Defense.

**Subtitle C—Uniformed Services Treatment Facilities**

**SEC. 721. DELAY OF TERMINATION OF STATUS OF CERTAIN FACILITIES AS UNIFORMED SERVICES TREATMENT FACILITIES.**

Section 1252(e) of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1984 (42 U.S.C. 248d(e)) is amended by striking out "December 31, 1996" in the first sentence and inserting in lieu thereof "September 30, 1997".

**SEC. 722. LIMITATION ON EXPENDITURES TO SUPPORT UNIFORMED SERVICES TREATMENT FACILITIES.**

Subsection (f) of section 1252 of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1984 (42 U.S.C. 248d), is amended to read as follows:

"(f) LIMITATION ON EXPENDITURES.—The total amount of expenditures by the Secretary of Defense to carry out this section and section 911 of the Military Construction Authorization Act, 1982 (42 U.S.C. 248c), for fiscal year 1996 may not exceed $300,000,000, adjusted by the Secretary to reflect the inflation factor used by the Department of Defense for such fiscal year.".

**SEC. 723. APPLICATION OF CHAMPUS PAYMENT RULES IN CERTAIN CASES.**

Section 1074 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(d)(1) The Secretary of Defense may require, by regulation, a private CHAMPUS provider to apply the CHAMPUS payment rules (subject to any modifications considered appropriate by the Secretary) in imposing charges for health care that the private CHAMPUS provider provides to a member of the uniformed services who is enrolled in a health care plan of a facility deemed to be a facility of the uniformed services under section 911(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act, 1982 (42 U.S.C. 248c(a)) when the health care is provided outside the catchment area of the facility.

"(2) In this subsection:

"(A) The term 'private CHAMPUS provider' means a private facility or health care provider that is a health care provider under the Civilian Health and Medical Program of the Uniformed Services.

"(B) The term 'CHAMPUS payment rules' means the payment rules referred to in subsection (c).

"(3) The Secretary of Defense shall prescribe regulations under this subsection after consultation with the other administering Secretaries.".

**SEC. 724. APPLICATION OF FEDERAL ACQUISITION REGULATION TO PARTICIPATION AGREEMENTS WITH UNIFORMED SERVICES TREATMENT FACILITIES.**

(a) Section 718(c) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1991 (Public Law 101-510; 104 Stat. 1587) is amended—

(1) in the second sentence of paragraph (1), by striking out "A participation agreement" and inserting in lieu thereof "Except as provided in paragraph (4), a participation agreement";

(2) by redesignating paragraph (4) as paragraph (6); and

(3) by inserting after paragraph (3) the following new paragraph:

"(4) APPLICATION OF FEDERAL ACQUISITION REGULATION.—On and after the date of the enactment of this paragraph, Uniformed Services Treatment Facilities and any participation agreement between Uniformed Services Treatment Facilities and the Secretary of Defense shall be subject to the Federal Acquisition Regulation issued pursuant to section 25(c) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 421(c)) notwithstanding any provision to the contrary in such a participation agreement. The requirements regarding competition in the Federal Acquisition Regulation shall apply with regard to the negotiation of any new participation agreement between the Uniformed Services Treatment Facilities and the Secretary of Defense under this subsection or any other provision of law.".

(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—(1) Congress finds that the Uniformed Services Treatment Facilities provide quality health care to the 120,000 Department of Defense beneficiaries enrolled in the Uniformed Services Family Health Plan provided by these facilities.

(2) In light of such finding, it is the sense of Congress that the Uniformed Services Family Health Plan provided by the Uniformed Services Treatment Facilities should not be terminated for convenience under provisions of the Federal Acquisition Regulation by the Secretary of Defense before the expiration of the current participation agreements.

(3) For purposes of this subsection, the term "Uniformed Services Treatment Facility" means a facility deemed to be a facility of the uniformed services by virtue of section 911(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act, 1982 (42 U.S.C. 248c(a)).

**SEC. 725. DEVELOPMENT OF PLAN FOR INTEGRATING UNIFORMED SERVICES TREATMENT FACILITIES IN MANAGED CARE PROGRAMS OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.**

Section 718(c) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1991 (Public Law 101-510; 104 Stat. 1587) is amended by inserting after paragraph (4), as added by section 722, the following new paragraph:

"(5) PLAN FOR INTEGRATING FACILITIES.—(A) The Secretary of Defense shall develop a plan under which Uniformed Services Treatment Facilities could be included, before the expiration date of the participation agreements entered into under this section, in the exclusive health care provider networks established by the Secretary for the geographic regions in which the facilities are located. The Secretary shall address in the plan the feasibility of implementing the managed care plan of the Uniformed Services Treatment Facilities, known as Option II, on a mandatory basis for all USTF Medicare-eligible beneficiaries and the potential cost savings to the Military Health Care Program that could be achieved under such option.

"(B) The Secretary shall submit the plan developed under this paragraph to Congress not later than March 1, 1996.

"(C) The plan developed under this paragraph shall be consistent with the requirements specified in paragraph (4). If the plan is not submitted to Congress by the expiration date of the participation agreements entered into under this section, the participation agreements shall remain in effect, at the option of the Uniformed Services Treatment Facilities, until the end of the 180-day period beginning on the date the plan is finally submitted.

"(D) For purposes of this paragraph, the term 'USTF Medicare-eligible beneficiaries' means covered beneficiaries under chapter 55 of title 10, United States Code, who are enrolled in a managed health plan offered by the Uniformed Services Treatment Facilities and entitled to hospital insurance benefits under part A of title XVIII of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395c et seq.).".

**SEC. 726. EQUITABLE IMPLEMENTATION OF UNIFORM COST SHARING REQUIREMENTS FOR UNIFORMED SERVICES TREATMENT FACILITIES.**

(a) TIME FOR FEE IMPLEMENTATION.—The uniform managed care benefit fee and copayment schedule developed by the Secretary of Defense for use in all managed care initiatives of the military health service system, including the managed care program of the Uniformed Services Treatment Facilities, shall be extended to the managed care program of a Uniformed Services Treatment Facility only after the later of—

(1) the implementation of the TRICARE regional program covering the service area of the Uniformed Services Treatment Facility; or

(2) October 1, 1996.

(b) SUBMISSION OF ACTUARIAL ESTIMATES.—Paragraph (2) of subsection (a) shall operate as a condition on the extension of the uniform managed care benefit fee and copayment schedule to the Uniformed Services Treatment Facilities only if the Uniformed Services Treatment Facilities submit to the Comptroller General of the United States, within 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, actuarial estimates in support of their contention that the extension of such fees and copayments will have an adverse effect on the operation of the Uniformed Services Treatment Facilities and the enrollment of participants.

(c) EVALUATION.—(1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall submit to Congress the results of an evaluation of the effect on the Uniformed Services Treatment Facilities of the extension of the uniform benefit fee and copayment schedule to the Uniformed Services Treatment Facilities. The evaluation shall include an examination of whether the benefit fee and copayment schedule may—

(A) cause adverse selection of enrollees;

(B) be inappropriate for a fully at-risk program similar to civilian health maintenance organizations; or

(C) result in an enrolled population dissimilar to the general beneficiary population.

(2) The Comptroller General shall not be required to prepare or submit the evaluation under paragraph (1) if the Uniformed Services Treatment Facilities fail to satisfactorily comply with subsection (b), as determined by the Comptroller General.

**SEC. 727. ELIMINATION OF UNNECESSARY ANNUAL REPORTING REQUIREMENT REGARDING UNIFORMED SERVICES TREATMENT FACILITIES.**

Section 1252 of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1984 (42 U.S.C. 248d), is amended by striking out subsection (d).

**Subtitle D—Other Changes to Existing Laws Regarding Health Care Management**

**SEC. 731. MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE PAYMENTS TO INDIVIDUAL HEALTH-CARE PROVIDERS UNDER CHAMPUS.**

(a) MAXIMUM PAYMENT.—Subsection (h) of section 1079 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking out paragraph (1) and inserting in lieu thereof the following new paragraph:

"(1) Payment for a charge for services by an individual health care professional (or other noninstitutional health care provider) for which a claim is submitted under a plan contracted for under subsection (a) may not exceed the lesser of—

"(A) the amount equivalent to the 80th percentile of billed charges made for similar services in the same locality during the base period; or

"(B) an amount determined to be appropriate, to the extent practicable, in accordance with the same reimbursement rules as apply to payments for similar services under title XVIII of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395 et seq.).".

(b) COMPARISON TO MEDICARE PAYMENTS.—Such subsection is further amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

"(3) For the purposes of paragraph (1)(B), the appropriate payment amount shall be determined by the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the other administering Secretaries.".

(c) EXCEPTIONS AND LIMITATIONS.—Such subsection is further amended by inserting after paragraph (3), as added by subsection (b), the following new paragraphs:

"(4) The Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the other administering Secretaries, shall prescribe regulations to provide for such exceptions to the payment limitations under paragraph (1) as the Secretary determines to be necessary to assure that covered beneficiaries retain adequate access to health care services. Such exceptions may include the payment of amounts higher than the amount allowed under paragraph (1) when enrollees in managed care programs obtain covered emergency services from nonparticipating providers. To provide a suitable transition from the payment methodologies in effect before the date of the enactment of this paragraph to the methodology required by paragraph (1), the amount allowable for any service may not be reduced by more than 15 percent below the amount allowed for the same service during the immediately preceding 12-month period (or other period as established by the Secretary of Defense).

"(5) The Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the other administering Secretaries, shall prescribe regulations to establish limitations (similar to the limitations established under title XVIII of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395 et seq.)) on beneficiary liability for charges of an individual

health care professional (or other noninstitutional health care provider).".

(d) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Paragraph (2) of such subsection is amended by striking out "paragraph (1)" and inserting in lieu thereof "paragraph (1)(A)".

(e) REPORT ON EFFECT OF AMENDMENTS.—Not later than March 1, 1996, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report analyzing the effect of the amendments made by this section on the ability or willingness of individual health care professionals and other noninstitutional health care providers to participate in the Civilian Health and Medical Program of the Uniformed Services.

**SEC. 732. NOTIFICATION OF CERTAIN CHAMPUS COVERED BENEFICIARIES OF LOSS OF CHAMPUS ELIGIBILITY.**

Section 1086(d) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

"(4) The administering Secretaries shall develop a mechanism by which persons described in paragraph (1) who satisfy only the criteria specified in subparagraphs (A) and (B) of paragraph (2), but not subparagraph (C) of such paragraph, are promptly notified of their ineligibility for health benefits under this section. In developing the notification mechanism, the administering Secretaries shall consult with the administrator of the Health Care Financing Administration.".

**SEC. 733. PERSONAL SERVICES CONTRACTS FOR MEDICAL TREATMENT FACILITIES OF THE COAST GUARD.**

(a) CONTRACTING AUTHORITY.—Section 1091(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by inserting after "Secretary of Defense" the following: ", with respect to medical treatment facilities of the Department of Defense, and the Secretary of Transportation, with respect to medical treatment facilities of the Coast Guard when the Coast Guard is not operating as a service in the Navy,"; and

(2) by striking out "medical treatment facilities of the Department of Defense" and inserting in lieu thereof "such facilities".

(b) RATIFICATION OF EXISTING CONTRACTS.—Any exercise of authority under section 1091 of title 10, United States Code, to enter into a personal services contract on behalf of the Coast Guard before the effective date of the amendments made by subsection (a) is hereby ratified.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by subsection (a) shall take effect as of October 1, 1995.

**SEC. 734. IDENTIFICATION OF THIRD-PARTY PAYER SITUATIONS.**

Section 1095 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(k)(1) To improve the administration of this section and sections 1079(j)(1) and 1086(d) of this title, the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the other administering Secretaries, may prescribe regulations providing for the collection of information regarding insurance, medical service, or health plans of third-party payers held by covered beneficiaries.

"(2) The collection of information under regulations prescribed under paragraph (1) shall be conducted in the same manner as is provided in section 1862(b)(5) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395y(b)(5)). The Secretary may provide for obtaining from the Commissioner of Social Security employment information comparable to the information provided to the Administrator of the Health Care Financing Administration pursuant to such section. Such regulations may require the mandatory disclosure of Social Security account numbers for all covered beneficiaries.

"(3) The Secretary may disclose relevant employment information collected under this subsection to fiscal intermediaries or other designated contractors.

"(4) The Secretary may provide for contacting employers of covered beneficiaries to obtain group health plan information comparable to the information authorized to be obtained under section 1862(b)(5)(C) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395y(b)(5)(C)). Notwithstanding clause (iii) of such section, clause (ii) of such section regarding the imposition of civil money penalties shall apply to the collection of information under this paragraph.

"(5) Information obtained under this subsection may not be disclosed for any purpose other than to carry out the purpose of this section and sections 1079(j)(1) and 1086(d) of this title.".

**SEC. 735. REDESIGNATION OF MILITARY HEALTH CARE ACCOUNT AS DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM ACCOUNT AND TWO-YEAR AVAILABILITY OF CERTAIN ACCOUNT FUNDS.**

(a) REDESIGNATION.—Section 1100 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)(1)—

(A) by striking out "Military Health Care Account" and inserting in lieu thereof "Defense Health Program Account"; and

(B) by striking out "the Civilian Health and Medical Program of the Uniformed Services" and inserting in lieu thereof "medical and health care programs of the Department of Defense"; and

(2) in subsection (b)—

(A) by striking out "entering into a contract" and inserting in lieu thereof "conducting programs and activities under this chapter, including contracts entered into"; and

(B) by inserting a comma after "title".

(b) TWO YEAR AVAILABILITY OF CERTAIN APPROPRIATIONS.—Subsection (a)(2) of such section is amended to read as follows:

"(2) Of the total amount appropriated for a fiscal year for programs and activities carried out under this chapter, the amount equal to three percent of such total amount shall remain available for obligation until the end of the following fiscal year.".

(c) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Such section is further amended—

(1) by striking out subsections (c), (d), and (f); and

(2) by redesignating subsection (e) as subsection (c).

(d) CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.—(1) The heading of such section is amended to read as follows:

**"§ 1100. Defense Health Program Account".**

(2) The item relating to such section in the table of sections at the beginning of chapter 55 of such title is amended to read as follows:

"1100. Defense Health Program Account.".

**SEC. 736. EXPANSION OF FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FOR HEALTH-CARE PROFESSIONALS IN RESERVE COMPONENTS TO INCLUDE DENTAL SPECIALTIES.**

Section 16201(b) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in the subsection heading, by inserting "AND DENTISTS" after "PHYSICIANS";

(2) in paragraph (1)(A), by inserting "or dental school" after "medical school";

(3) in paragraphs (1)(B) and (2)(B), by inserting "or dental officer" after "medical officer"; and

(4) in paragraph (1)(C), by striking out "physicians in a medical specialty" and inserting in lieu thereof "physicians or dentists in a medical or dental specialty".

**SEC. 737. APPLICABILITY OF LIMITATION ON PRICES OF PHARMACEUTICALS PROCURED FOR COAST GUARD.**

(a) INCLUSION OF COAST GUARD.—Section 8126(b) of title 38, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

"(4) The Coast Guard.".

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE; APPLICATION OF AMENDMENT.—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall take effect as if included in the enactment of section 603 of the Veterans Health Care Act of 1992 (Public Law 102-585; 106 Stat. 4971).

**SEC. 738. RESTRICTION ON USE OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FACILITIES FOR ABORTIONS.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1093 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by inserting "(a) RESTRICTION ON USE OF FUNDS.—" before "Funds available"; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

"(b) RESTRICTION ON USE OF FACILITIES.—No medical treatment facility or other facility of the Department of Defense may be used to perform an abortion except where the life of the mother would be endangered if the fetus were carried to term or in a case in which the pregnancy is the result of an act of rape or incest.".

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.—(1) The heading of such section is amended to read as follows:

**"§ 1093. Performance of abortions: restrictions".**

(2) The item relating to such section in the table of sections at the beginning of chapter 55 of such title is amended to read as follows:

"1093. Performance of abortions: restrictions.".

**Subtitle E—Other Matters**

**SEC. 741. TRISERVICE NURSING RESEARCH.**

(a) PROGRAM AUTHORIZED.—Chapter 104 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

**"§ 2116. Military nursing research**

"(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

"(1) The term 'military nursing research' means research on the furnishing of care and services by nurses in the armed forces.

"(2) The term 'TriService Nursing Research Program' means the program of military nursing research authorized under this section.

"(b) PROGRAM AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary of Defense may establish at the University a program of military nursing research.

"(c) TRISERVICE RESEARCH GROUP.—The TriService Nursing Research Program shall be administered by a TriService Nursing Research Group composed of Army, Navy, and Air Force nurses who are involved in military nursing research and are designated by the Secretary concerned to serve as members of the group.

"(d) DUTIES OF GROUP.—The TriService Nursing Research Group shall—

"(1) develop for the Department of Defense recommended guidelines for requesting, reviewing, and funding proposed military nursing research projects; and

"(2) make available to Army, Navy, and Air Force nurses and Department of Defense officials concerned with military nursing research—

"(A) information about nursing research projects that are being developed or carried out in the Army, Navy, and Air Force; and

"(B) expertise and information beneficial to the encouragement of meaningful nursing research.

"(e) RESEARCH TOPICS.—For purposes of this section, military nursing research includes research on the following issues:

"(1) Issues regarding how to improve the results of nursing care and services provided in the armed forces in time of peace.

"(2) Issues regarding how to improve the results of nursing care and services provided in the armed forces in time of war.

"(3) Issues regarding how to prevent complications associated with battle injuries.

"(4) Issues regarding how to prevent complications associated with the transporting of patients in the military medical evacuation system.

"(5) Issues regarding how to improve methods of training nursing personnel.

"(6) Clinical nursing issues, including such issues as prevention and treatment of child abuse and spouse abuse.

"(7) Women's health issues.

"(8) Wellness issues.

"(9) Preventive medicine issues.

"(10) Home care management issues.

"(11) Case management issues.".

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 104 of such title is amended by adding at the end the following:

"2116. Military nursing research.".

**SEC. 742. TERMINATION OF PROGRAM TO TRAIN MILITARY PSYCHOLOGISTS TO PRESCRIBE PSYCHOTROPIC MEDICATIONS.**

(a) TERMINATION.—Not later than June 30, 1997, the Secretary of Defense shall terminate the demonstration pilot program for training military psychologists in the prescription of psychotropic medications, which is referred to in section 8097 of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 1991 (Public Law 101-511; 104 Stat. 1897).

(b) PROHIBITION ON ADDITIONAL ENROLLEES PENDING TERMINATION.—After the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense may not enroll any new participants for the demonstration pilot program described in subsection (a).

(c) EFFECT ON CURRENT PARTICIPANTS.—The requirement to terminate the demonstration pilot program described in subsection (a) shall not be construed to affect the training or utilization of military psychologists in the prescription of psychotropic medications who are participating in the demonstration pilot program on the date of the enactment of this Act or who have completed such training before that date.

(d) EVALUATION.—As soon as possible after the date of the enactment of this Act, but not later than April 1, 1997, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to Congress a report evaluating the success of the demonstration pilot program described in subsection (a). The report shall include—

(1) a cost-benefit analysis of the program;

(2) a discussion of the utilization requirements under the program; and

(3) recommendations regarding—

(A) whether the program should be extended so as to continue to provide training to military psychologists in the prescription of psychotropic medications; and

(B) any modifications that should be made in the manner in which military psychologists are trained and used to prescribe psychotropic medications so as to improve the training provided under the program, if the program is extended.

**SEC. 743. WAIVER OF COLLECTION OF PAYMENTS DUE FROM CERTAIN PERSONS UNAWARE OF LOSS OF CHAMPUS ELIGIBILITY.**

(a) AUTHORITY TO WAIVE COLLECTION.—The administering Secretaries may waive the collection of payments otherwise due from a person described in subsection (b) as a result of the receipt by the person of health benefits under section 1086 of title 10, United States Code, after the termination of the person's eligibility for such benefits.

(b) PERSONS ELIGIBLE FOR WAIVER.—A person shall be eligible for relief under subsection (a) if the person—

(1) is a person described in paragraph (1) of subsection (d) of section 1086 of title 10, United States Code;

(2) in the absence of such paragraph, would have been eligible for health benefits under such section; and

(3) at the time of the receipt of such benefits, satisfied the criteria specified in subparagraphs (A) and (B) of paragraph (2) of such subsection.

(c) EXTENT OF WAIVER AUTHORITY.—The authority to waive the collection of payments pursuant to this section shall apply with regard to health benefits provided under section 1086 of title 10, United States Code, to persons described in subsection (b) during the period beginning on January 1, 1967, and ending on the later of—

(1) the termination date of any special enrollment period provided under title XVIII of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395 et seq.) specifically for such persons; and

(2) July 1, 1996.

(d) DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this section, the term "administering Secretaries" has the meaning given such term in section 1072(3) of title 10, United States Code.

**SEC. 744. DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM TO TRAIN MILITARY MEDICAL PERSONNEL IN CIVILIAN SHOCK TRAUMA UNITS.**

(a) DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM.—(1) Not later than April 1, 1996, the Secretary of Defense shall implement a demonstration program to evaluate the feasibility of providing shock trauma training for military medical personnel through one or more public or nonprofit hospitals. The Secretary shall carry out the program pursuant to an agreement with such hospitals.

(2) Under the agreement with a hospital, the Secretary shall assign military medical personnel participating in the demonstration program to temporary duty in shock trauma units operated by the hospitals that are parties to the agreement.

(3) The agreement shall require, as consideration for the services provided by military medical personnel under the agreement, that the hospital provide appropriate care to members of the Armed Forces and to other persons whose care in the hospital would otherwise require reimbursement by the Secretary. The value of the services provided by the hospitals shall be at least equal to the value of the services provided by military medical personnel under the agreement.

(b) TERMINATION OF PROGRAM.—The authority of the Secretary of Defense to conduct the demonstration program under this section, and any agreement entered into under the demonstration program, shall expire on March 31, 1998.

(c) REPORT AND EVALUATION OF PROGRAM.—(1) Not later than March 1 of each year in which the demonstration program is conducted under this section, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report describing the scope and activities of the demonstration program during the preceding year.

(2) Not later than May 1, 1998, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to Congress a report evaluating the effectiveness of the demonstration program in providing shock trauma training for military medical personnel.

**SEC. 745. STUDY REGARDING DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE EFFORTS TO DETERMINE APPROPRIATE FORCE LEVELS OF WARTIME MEDICAL PERSONNEL.**

(a) STUDY REQUIRED.—The Comptroller General of the United States shall conduct a study to evaluate the reasonableness of the models used by each military department for determining the appropriate wartime force level for medical personnel in the department. The study shall include the following:

(1) An assessment of the modeling techniques used by each department.

(2) An analysis of the data used in the models to identify medical personnel requirements.

(3) An identification of the ability of the models to integrate personnel of reserve components to meet department requirements.

(4) An evaluation of the ability of the Secretary of Defense to integrate the various modeling efforts into a comprehensive, coordinated plan for obtaining the optimum force level for wartime medical personnel.

(b) REPORT OF STUDY.—Not later than June 30, 1996, the Comptroller General shall report to Congress on the results of the study conducted under subsection (a).

**SEC. 746. REPORT ON IMPROVED ACCESS TO MILITARY HEALTH CARE FOR COVERED BENEFICIARIES ENTITLED TO MEDICARE.**

Not later than March 1, 1996, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report evaluating the feasibility, costs, and consequences for the military health care system of improving access to the system for covered beneficiaries under chapter 55 of title 10, United States Code, who have limited access to military medical treatment facilities and are ineligible for the Civilian Health and Medical Program of the Uniformed Services under section 1086(d)(1) of such title. The alternatives that the Secretary shall consider to improve access for such covered beneficiaries shall include—

(1) whether CHAMPUS should serve as a second payer for covered beneficiaries who are entitled to hospital insurance benefits under part A of title XVIII of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395c et seq.); and

(2) whether such covered beneficiaries should be offered enrollment in the Federal Employees Health Benefits program under chapter 89 of title 5, United States Code.

**SEC. 747. REPORT ON EFFECT OF CLOSURE OF FITZSIMONS ARMY MEDICAL CENTER, COLORADO, ON PROVISION OF CARE TO MILITARY PERSONNEL, RETIRED MILITARY PERSONNEL, AND THEIR DEPENDENTS.**

(a) EFFECT OF CLOSURE ON MEMBERS EXPERIENCING HEALTH DIFFICULTIES ASSOCIATED WITH PERSIAN GULF SYNDROME.—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report that—

(1) assesses the effects of the closure of Fitzsimons Army Medical Center, Colorado, on the capability of the Department of Defense to provide appropriate and adequate health care to members and former members of the Armed Forces who suffer from undiagnosed illnesses (or combination of illnesses) as a result of service in the Armed Forces in the Southwest Asia theater of operations during the Persian Gulf conflict; and

(2) describes the plans of the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of the Army to ensure that adequate and appropriate health care is provided to such members for such illnesses (or combination of illnesses).

(b) EFFECT OF CLOSURE ON OTHER COVERED BENEFICIARIES.—The report required by subsection (a) shall also include—

(1) an assessment of the effects of the closure of Fitzsimons Army Medical Center on the capability of the Department of Defense to provide appropriate and adequate health care to the dependents of members and former members of the Armed Forces and retired members and their dependents who currently obtain care at the medical center; and

(2) a description of the plans of the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of the Army to ensure that adequate and appropriate health care is provided to such persons, as called for in the recommendations of the Secretary of Defense for the closure of Fitzsimons Army Medical Center.

**SEC. 748. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON CONTINUITY OF HEALTH CARE SERVICES FOR COVERED BENEFICIARIES ADVERSELY AFFECTED BY CLOSURES OF MILITARY MEDICAL TREATMENT FACILITIES.**

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:

(1) Military installations selected for closure in the 1991 and 1993 rounds of the base closure process will soon close.

(2) Additional military installations have been selected for closure in the 1995 round of the base closure process.

(3) Some of the military installations selected for closure include military medical treatment facilities.

(4) As a result of these base closures, tens of thousands of covered beneficiaries under chapter 55 of title 10, United States Code, who reside in the vicinity of such installations will be left without immediate access to military medical treatment facilities.

(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—In light of the findings specified in subsection (a), it is the sense of Congress that the Secretary of Defense should take all appropriate steps necessary to ensure the continuation of medical and pharmaceutical benefits for covered beneficiaries adversely affected by the closure of military installations.

**SEC. 749. STATE RECOGNITION OF MILITARY ADVANCE MEDICAL DIRECTIVES.**

(a) REQUIREMENT FOR RECOGNITION BY STATES.—(1) Chapter 53 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 1044b the following new section:

**"§ 1044c. Advance medical directives of members and dependents: requirement for recognition by States**

"(a) INSTRUMENTS TO BE GIVEN LEGAL EFFECT WITHOUT REGARD TO STATE LAW.—An advance medical directive executed by a person eligible for legal assistance—

"(1) is exempt from any requirement of form, substance, formality, or recording that is provided for advance medical directives under the laws of a State; and

"(2) shall be given the same legal effect as an advance medical directive prepared and executed in accordance with the laws of the State concerned.

"(b) ADVANCE MEDICAL DIRECTIVES.—For purposes of this section, an advance medical directive is any written declaration that—

"(1) sets forth directions regarding the provision, withdrawal, or withholding of life-prolonging procedures, including hydration and sustenance, for the declarant whenever the declarant has a terminal physical condition or is in a persistent vegetative state; or

"(2) authorizes another person to make health care decisions for the declarant, under circumstances stated in the declaration, whenever the declarant is incapable of making informed health care decisions.

"(c) STATEMENT TO BE INCLUDED.—(1) Under regulations prescribed by the Secretary concerned, an advance medical directive prepared by an attorney authorized to provide legal assistance shall contain a statement that sets forth the provisions of subsection (a).

"(2) Paragraph (1) shall not be construed to make inapplicable the provisions of subsection (a) to an advance medical directive that does not include a statement described in that paragraph.

"(d) STATES NOT RECOGNIZING ADVANCE MEDICAL DIRECTIVES.—Subsection (a) does not make an advance medical directive enforceable in a State that does not otherwise recognize and enforce advance medical directives under the laws of the State.

"(e) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

"(1) The term 'State' includes the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, and a possession of the United States.

"(2) The term 'person eligible for legal assistance' means a person who is eligible for legal assistance under section 1044 of this title.

"(3) The term 'legal assistance' means legal services authorized under section 1044 of this title.".

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 1044b the following:

"1044c. Advance medical directives of members and dependents: requirement for recognition by States.".

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Section 1044c of title 10, United States Code, shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act and shall apply to advance medical directives referred to in that section that are executed before, on, or after that date.

## TITLE VIII—ACQUISITION POLICY, ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT, AND RELATED MATTERS

### Subtitle A—Acquisition Reform

**SEC. 801. INAPPLICABILITY OF LIMITATION ON EXPENDITURE OF APPROPRIATIONS TO CONTRACTS AT OR BELOW SIMPLIFIED ACQUISITION THRESHOLD.**

Section 2207 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by inserting "(a)" before "Money appropriated"; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(b) This section does not apply to a contract that is for an amount not greater than the simplified acquisition threshold (as defined in section 4(11) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 403(11))).".

**SEC. 802. AUTHORITY TO DELEGATE CONTRACTING AUTHORITY.**

(a) REPEAL OF DUPLICATIVE AUTHORITY AND RESTRICTION.—Section 2356 of title 10, United States Code, is repealed.

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 139 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking out the item relating to section 2356.

**SEC. 803. CONTROL IN PROCUREMENTS OF CRITICAL AIRCRAFT AND SHIP SPARE PARTS.**

(a) REPEAL.—Section 2383 of title 10, United States Code, is repealed.

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 141 of such title is amended by striking out the item relating to section 2383.

**SEC. 804. FEES FOR CERTAIN TESTING SERVICES.**

Section 2539b(c) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting "and indirect" after "recoup the direct" in the second sentence.

**SEC. 805. COORDINATION AND COMMUNICATION OF DEFENSE RESEARCH ACTIVITIES.**

Section 2364 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (b)(5), by striking out "milestone O, milestone I, and milestone II" and inserting in lieu thereof "acquisition program"; and

(2) in subsection (c), by striking out paragraphs (2), (3), and (4) and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

"(2) The term 'acquisition program decision' has the meaning prescribed by the Secretary of Defense in regulations.".

**SEC. 806. ADDITION OF CERTAIN ITEMS TO DOMESTIC SOURCE LIMITATION.**

(a) LIMITATION.—(1) Paragraph (3) of section 2534(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

"(3) COMPONENTS FOR NAVAL VESSELS.—(A) The following components:

"(i) Air circuit breakers.

"(ii) Welded shipboard anchor and mooring chain with a diameter of four inches or less.

"(iii) Vessel propellers with a diameter of six feet or more.

"(B) The following components of vessels, to the extent they are unique to marine applications: gyrocompasses, electronic navigation chart systems, steering controls, pumps, propulsion and machinery control systems, and totally enclosed lifeboats.".

(2) Subsection (b) of section 2534 of such title is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(3) MANUFACTURER OF VESSEL PROPELLERS.—In the case of a procurement of vessel propellers referred to in subsection (a)(3)(A)(ii), the manufacturer of the propellers meets the requirements of this subsection only if—

"(A) the manufacturer meets the requirements set forth in paragraph (1); and

"(B) all castings incorporated into such propellers are poured and finished in the United States.".

(3) Paragraph (1) of section 2534(c) of such title is amended to read as follows:

"(1) COMPONENTS FOR NAVAL VESSELS.—Subsection (a) does not apply to a procurement of spare or repair parts needed to support components for naval vessels produced or manufactured outside the United States.".

(4) Section 2534 of such title is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(h) IMPLEMENTATION OF NAVAL VESSEL COMPONENT LIMITATION.—In implementing subsection (a)(3)(B), the Secretary of Defense—

"(1) may not use contract clauses or certifications; and

"(2) shall use management and oversight techniques that achieve the objective of the subsection without imposing a significant management burden on the Government or the contractor involved.".

(5) Subsection (a)(3)(B) of section 2534 of title 10, United States Code, as amended by paragraph (1), shall apply only to contracts entered into after March 31, 1996.

(b) EXTENSION OF LIMITATION RELATING TO BALL BEARINGS AND ROLLER BEARINGS.—Section 2534(c)(3) of such title is amended by striking out "October 1, 1995" and inserting in lieu thereof "October 1, 2000".

(c) TERMINATION OF VESSEL PROPELLER LIMITATION.—Section 2534(c) of such title is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

"(4) VESSEL PROPELLERS.—Subsection (a)(3)(A)(iii) and this paragraph shall cease to be effective on the date occurring two years after the date of the enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1996.".

(d) INAPPLICABILITY OF SIMPLIFIED ACQUISITION LIMITATION TO CONTRACTS FOR BALL BEARINGS AND ROLLER BEARINGS.—Section 2534(g) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by inserting "(1)" before "This section"; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

"(2) Paragraph (1) does not apply to contracts for items described in subsection (a)(5) (relating to ball bearings and roller bearings), notwithstanding section 33 of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 429).".

**SEC. 807. ENCOURAGEMENT OF USE OF LEASING AUTHORITY.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—(1) Section 2401a of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(A) by inserting before "The Secretary of Defense" the following subsection heading: "(b) LIMITATION ON CONTRACTS WITH TERMS OF 18 MONTHS OR MORE.—";

(B) by inserting after the section heading the following:

"(a) LEASING OF COMMERCIAL VEHICLES AND EQUIPMENT.—The Secretary of Defense may use leasing in the acquisition of commercial vehicles and equipment whenever the Secretary determines that leasing of such vehicles is practicable and efficient."; and

(C) by amending the section heading to read as follows:

**"§2401a. Lease of vehicles, equipment, vessels, and aircraft".**

(2) The item relating to section 2401a in the table of sections at the beginning of chapter 141 of such title is amended to read as follows:

"2401a. Lease of vehicles, equipment, vessels, and aircraft.".

(b) REPORT.—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives a report setting forth changes in legislation that would be required to facilitate the use of leasing in the acquisition of equipment by the Department of Defense.

(c) PILOT PROGRAM.—(1) The Secretary of the Army may conduct a pilot program for leasing commercial utility cargo vehicles in accordance with this subsection.

(2) Under the pilot program—

(A) the Secretary may trade existing commercial utility cargo vehicles of the Army for credit against the costs of leasing new replacement commercial utility cargo vehicles for the Army;

(B) the quantities and trade-in value of commercial utility cargo vehicles to be traded in shall be subject to negotiation between the Secretary and the lessors of the new replacement commercial utility cargo vehicles;

(C) the lease agreement for a new commercial utility cargo vehicle may be executed with or without an option to purchase at the end of the lease period;

(D) the lease period for a new commercial utility cargo vehicle may not exceed the warranty period for the vehicle; and

(E) up to 40 percent of the validated requirement for commercial utility cargo vehicles may be satisfied by leasing such vehicles, except that one or more options for satisfying the remainder of the validated requirement may be provided for and exercised (subject to the requirements of paragraph (6)).

(3) In awarding contracts under the pilot program, the Secretary shall comply with section 2304 of title 10, United States Code.

(4) The pilot program may not be commenced until—

(A) the Secretary submits to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives a report that contains the plans of the Secretary for implementing the program and that sets forth in detail the savings in operating and support costs expected to be derived from retiring older commercial utility cargo vehicles, as compared to the expected costs of leasing newer commercial utility cargo vehicles; and

(B) a period of 30 calendar days has elapsed after submission of such report.

(5) Not later than one year after the date on which the first lease under the pilot program is entered into, the Secretary of the Army shall submit to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives a report on the status of the pilot program. Such report shall be based on at least six months of experience in operating the pilot program.

(6) The Secretary may exercise an option provided for under paragraph (2) only after a period of 60 days has elapsed after the submission of the report.

(7) No lease of commercial utility cargo vehicles may be entered into under the pilot program after September 30, 2000.

**SEC. 808. COST REIMBURSEMENT RULES FOR INDIRECT COSTS ATTRIBUTABLE TO PRIVATE SECTOR WORK OF DEFENSE CONTRACTORS.**

(a) DEFENSE CAPABILITY PRESERVATION AGREEMENT.—The Secretary of Defense may enter into an agreement, to be known as a "defense capability preservation agreement", with a defense contractor under which the cost reimbursement rules described in subsection (b) shall be applied. Such an agreement may be entered into in any case in which the Secretary determines that the application of such cost reimbursement rules would facilitate the achievement of the policy objectives set forth in section 2501(b) of title 10, United States Code.

(b) COST REIMBURSEMENT RULES.—(1) The cost reimbursement rules applicable under an agreement entered into under subsection (a) are as follows:

(A) The Department of Defense shall, in determining the reimbursement due a contractor for its indirect costs of performing a defense contract, allow the contractor to allocate indirect costs to its private sector work only to the extent of the contractor's allocable indirect private sector costs, subject to subparagraph (C).

(B) For purposes of subparagraph (A), the allocable indirect private sector costs of a contractor are those costs of the contractor that are equal to the sum of—

(i) the incremental indirect costs attributable to such work; and

(ii) the amount by which the revenue attributable to such private sector work exceeds the sum of—

(I) the direct costs attributable to such private sector work; and

(II) the incremental indirect costs attributable to such private sector work.

(C) The total amount of allocable indirect private sector costs for a contract in any year of the agreement may not exceed the amount of indirect costs that a contractor would have allocated to its private sector work during that year in accordance with the contractor's established accounting practices.

(2) The cost reimbursement rules set forth in paragraph (1) may be modified by the Secretary of Defense if the Secretary of Defense determines that modifications are appropriate to the particular situation to facilitate achievement of the policy set forth in section 2501(b) of title 10, United States Code.

(c) IMPLEMENTATION.—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall establish application procedures and procedures for expeditious consideration of defense capability preservation agreements as authorized by this section.

(d) CONTRACTS COVERED.—An agreement entered into with a contractor under subsection (a) shall apply to each Department of Defense contract with the contractor in effect on the date on which the agreement is entered into and each Department of Defense contract that is awarded to the contractor during the term of the agreement.

(e) REPORTS.—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report setting forth—

(1) the number of applications received and the number of applications approved for defense capability preservation agreements; and

(2) any changes to the authority in this section that the Secretary recommends to further facilitate the policy set forth in section 2501(b) of title 10, United States Code.

**SEC. 809. SUBCONTRACTS FOR OCEAN TRANSPORTATION SERVICES.**

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, neither section 901(b) of the Merchant Marine Act, 1936 (46 U.S.C. 1241(b)) nor section 2631 of title 10, United States Code, shall be included before May 1, 1996, on any list promulgated under section 34(b) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 430(b)).

**SEC. 810. PROMPT RESOLUTION OF AUDIT RECOMMENDATIONS.**

Section 6009 of the Federal Acquisition Streamlining Act of 1994 (Public Law 103-355; 108 Stat. 3367) is amended to read as follows:

**"SEC. 6009. PROMPT MANAGEMENT DECISIONS AND IMPLEMENTATION OF AUDIT RECOMMENDATIONS.**

"(a) MANAGEMENT DECISIONS.—(1) The head of a Federal agency shall make management decisions on all findings and recommendations set forth in an audit report of the inspector general of the agency within a maximum of six months after the issuance of the report.

"(2) The head of a Federal agency shall make management decisions on all findings and recommendations set forth in an audit report of any auditor from outside the Federal Government within a maximum of six months after the date on which the head of the agency receives the report.

"(b) COMPLETION OF FINAL ACTION.—The head of a Federal agency shall complete final action on each management decision required with regard to a recommendation in an inspector general's report under subsection (a)(1) within 12 months after the date of the inspector general's report. If the head of the agency fails to complete final action

with regard to a management decision within the 12-month period, the inspector general concerned shall identify the matter in each of the inspector general's semiannual reports pursuant to section 5(a)(3) of the Inspector General Act of 1978 (5 U.S.C. App.) until final action on the management decision is completed.".

**SEC. 811. TEST PROGRAM FOR NEGOTIATION OF COMPREHENSIVE SUBCONTRACTING PLANS.**

(a) REVISION OF AUTHORITY.—Subsection (a) of section 834 of National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 1990 and 1991 (15 U.S.C. 637 note) is amended by striking out paragraph (1) and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

"(1) The Secretary of Defense shall establish a test program under which contracting activities in the military departments and the Defense Agencies are authorized to undertake one or more demonstration projects to determine whether the negotiation and administration of comprehensive subcontracting plans will reduce administrative burdens on contractors while enhancing opportunities provided under Department of Defense contracts for small business concerns and small business concerns owned and controlled by socially and economically disadvantaged individuals. In selecting the contracting activities to undertake demonstration projects, the Secretary shall take such action as is necessary to ensure that a broad range of the supplies and services acquired by the Department of Defense are included in the test program.".

(b) COVERED CONTRACTORS.—Subsection (b) of such section is amended by striking out paragraph (3) and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

"(3) A Department of Defense contractor referred to in paragraph (1) is, with respect to a comprehensive subcontracting plan negotiated in any fiscal year, a business concern that, during the immediately preceding fiscal year, furnished the Department of Defense with supplies or services (including professional services, research and development services, and construction services) pursuant to at least three Department of Defense contracts having an aggregate value of at least $5,000,000.".

(c) TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS.—Such section is amended—

(1) by striking out subsection (g); and

(2) by redesignating subsection (h) as subsection (g).

**SEC. 812. PROCUREMENT OF ITEMS FOR EXPERIMENTAL OR TEST PURPOSES.**

Section 2373(b) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting "only" after "applies" in the second sentence.

**SEC. 813. USE OF FUNDS FOR ACQUISITION OF DESIGNS, PROCESSES, TECHNICAL DATA, AND COMPUTER SOFTWARE.**

Section 2386(3) of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

"(3) Design and process data, technical data, and computer software.".

**SEC. 814. INDEPENDENT COST ESTIMATES FOR MAJOR DEFENSE ACQUISITION PROGRAMS.**

Section 2434(b)(1)(A) of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

"(A) be prepared—

"(i) by an office or other entity that is not under the supervision, direction, or control of the military department, Defense Agency, or other component of the Department of Defense that is directly responsible for carrying out the development or acquisition of the program; or

"(ii) if the decision authority for the program has been delegated to an official of a military department, Defense Agency, or other component of the Department of Defense, by an office or other entity that is not directly responsible for carrying out the development or acquisition of the program; and".

**SEC. 815. CONSTRUCTION, REPAIR, ALTERATION, FURNISHING, AND EQUIPPING OF NAVAL VESSELS.**

(a) APPLICABILITY OF CERTAIN LAW.—Chapter 633 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 7297 the following:

**"§ 7299. Contracts: applicability of Walsh-Healey Act**

"Each contract for the construction, alteration, furnishing, or equipping of a naval vessel is subject to the Walsh-Healey Act (41 U.S.C. 35 et seq.) unless the President determines that this requirement is not in the interest of national defense.".

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 7297 the following:

"7299. Contracts: applicability of Walsh-Healey Act.".

**Subtitle B—Other Matters**

**SEC. 821. PROCUREMENT TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS.**

(a) FUNDING.—Of the amount authorized to be appropriated under section 301(5), $12,000,000 shall be available for carrying out the provisions of chapter 142 of title 10, United States Code.

(b) SPECIFIC PROGRAMS.—Of the amounts made available pursuant to subsection (a), $600,000 shall be available for fiscal year 1996 for the purpose of carrying out programs sponsored by eligible entities referred to in subparagraph (D) of section 2411(1) of title 10, United States Code, that provide procurement technical assistance in distressed areas referred to in subparagraph (B) of section 2411(2) of such title. If there is an insufficient number of satisfactory proposals for cooperative agreements in such distressed areas to allow effective use of the funds made available in accordance with this subsection in such areas, the funds shall be allocated among the Defense Contract Administration Services regions in accordance with section 2415 of such title.

**SEC. 822. DEFENSE FACILITY-WIDE PILOT PROGRAM.**

(a) AUTHORITY TO CONDUCT DEFENSE FACILITY-WIDE PILOT PROGRAM.—The Secretary of Defense may conduct a pilot program, to be known as the "defense facility-wide pilot program", for the purpose of determining the potential for increasing the efficiency and effectiveness of the acquisition process in facilities by using commercial practices on a facility-wide basis.

(b) DESIGNATION OF PARTICIPATING FACILITIES.—(1) Subject to paragraph (2), the Secretary may designate up to two facilities as participants in the defense facility-wide pilot program.

(2) The Secretary may designate for participation in the pilot program only those facilities that are authorized to be so designated in a law authorizing appropriations for national defense programs that is enacted after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(c) SCOPE OF PROGRAM.—At a facility designated as a participant in the pilot program, the pilot program shall consist of the following:

(1) All contracts and subcontracts for defense supplies and services that are performed at the facility.

(2) All Department of Defense contracts and all subcontracts under Department of Defense contracts performed elsewhere that the Secretary determines are directly and substantially related to the production of defense supplies and services at the facility and are necessary for the pilot program.

(d) CRITERIA FOR DESIGNATION OF PARTICIPATING FACILITIES.—The Secretary shall establish criteria for selecting a facility for designation as a participant in the pilot program. In developing such criteria, the Secretary shall consider the following:

(1) The number of existing and anticipated contracts and subcontracts performed at the facility—

(A) for which contractors are required to provide certified cost or pricing data pursuant to section 2306a of title 10, United States Code; and

(B) which are administered with the application of cost accounting standards under section 26(f) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 422(f)).

(2) The relationship of the facility to other organizations and facilities performing under contracts with the Department of Defense and subcontracts under such contracts.

(3) The impact that the participation of the facility under the pilot program would have on competing domestic manufacturers.

(4) Such other factors as the Secretary considers appropriate.

(e) NOTIFICATION.—(1) The Secretary shall transmit to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives a written notification of each facility proposed to be designated by the Secretary for participation in the pilot program.

(2) The Secretary shall include in the notification regarding a facility designated for participation in the program a management plan addressing the following:

(A) The proposed treatment of research and development contracts or subcontracts to be performed at the facility during the pilot program.

(B) The proposed treatment of the cost impact of the use of commercial practices on the award and administration of contracts and subcontracts performed at the facility.

(C) The proposed method for reimbursing the contractor for existing and new contracts.

(D) The proposed method for measuring the performance of the facility for meeting the management goals of the Secretary.

(E) Estimates of the annual amount and the total amount of the contracts and subcontracts covered under the pilot program.

(3)(A) The Secretary shall ensure that the management plan for a facility provides for attainment of the following objectives:

(i) A significant reduction of the cost to the Government for programs carried out at the facility.

(ii) A reduction of the schedule associated with programs carried out at the facility.

(iii) An increased use of commercial practices and procedures for programs carried out at the facility.

(iv) Protection of a domestic manufacturer competing for contracts at such facility from being placed at a significant competitive disadvantage by the participation of the facility in the pilot program.

(B) The management plan for a facility shall also require that all or substantially all of the contracts to be awarded and performed at the facility after the designation of that facility under subsection (b), and all

or substantially all of the subcontracts to be awarded under those contracts and performed at the facility after the designation, be—

(i) for the production of supplies or services on a firm-fixed price basis;

(ii) awarded without requiring the contractors or subcontractors to provide certified cost or pricing data pursuant to section 2306a of title 10, United States Code; and

(iii) awarded and administered without the application of cost accounting standards under section 26(f) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 422(f)).

(f) EXEMPTION FROM CERTAIN REQUIREMENTS.—In the case of a contract or subcontract that is to be performed at a facility designated for participation in the defense facility-wide pilot program and that is subject to section 2306a of title 10, United States Code, or section 26(f) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 422(f)), the Secretary of Defense may exempt such contract or subcontract from the requirement to obtain certified cost or pricing data under such section 2306a or the requirement to apply mandatory cost accounting standards under such section 26(f) if the Secretary determines that the contract or subcontract—

(1) is within the scope of the pilot program (as described in subsection (c)); and

(2) is fairly and reasonably priced based on information other than certified cost and pricing data.

(g) SPECIAL AUTHORITY.—The authority provided under subsection (a) includes authority for the Secretary of Defense—

(1) to apply any amendment or repeal of a provision of law made in this Act to the pilot program before the effective date of such amendment or repeal; and

(2) to apply to a procurement of items other than commercial items under such program—

(A) the authority provided in section 34 of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 430) to waive a provision of law in the case of commercial items, and

(B) any exception applicable under this Act or the Federal Acquisition Streamlining Act of 1994 (Public Law 103-355) (or an amendment made by a provision of either Act) in the case of commercial items,

before the effective date of such provision (or amendment) to the extent that the Secretary determines necessary to test the application of such waiver or exception to procurements of items other than commercial items.

(h) APPLICABILITY.—(1) Subsections (f) and (g) apply to the following contracts, if such contracts are within the scope of the pilot program at a facility designated for the pilot program under subsection (b):

(A) A contract that is awarded or modified during the period described in paragraph (2).

(B) A contract that is awarded before the beginning of such period, that is to be performed (or may be performed), in whole or in part, during such period, and that may be modified as appropriate at no cost to the Government.

(2) The period referred to in paragraph (1), with respect to a facility designated under subsection (b), is the period that—

(A) begins 45 days after the date of the enactment of the Act authorizing the designation of that facility in accordance with paragraph (2) of such subsection; and

(B) ends on September 30, 2000.

(i) COMMERCIAL PRACTICES ENCOURAGED.—With respect to contracts and subcontracts within the scope of the defense facility-wide pilot program, the Secretary of Defense may, to the extent the Secretary determines appropriate and in accordance with applicable law, adopt commercial practices in the administration of contracts and subcontracts. Such commercial practices may include the following:

(1) Substitution of commercial oversight and inspection procedures for Government audit and access to records.

(2) Incorporation of commercial oversight, inspection, and acceptance procedures.

(3) Use of alternative dispute resolution techniques (including arbitration).

(4) Elimination of contract provisions authorizing the Government to make unilateral changes to contracts.

**SEC. 823. TREATMENT OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CABLE TELEVISION FRANCHISE AGREEMENTS.**

Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the chief judge of the United States Court of Federal Claims shall transmit to Congress a report containing an advisory opinion on the following two questions:

(1) Is it within the power of the executive branch to treat cable television franchise agreements for the construction, installation, or capital improvement of cable television systems at military installations of the Department of Defense as contracts under part 49 of the Federal Acquisition Regulation without violating title VI of the Communications Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 521 et seq.)?

(2) If the answer to the question in paragraph (1) is in the affirmative, is the executive branch required by law to so treat such franchise agreements?

**SEC. 824. EXTENSION OF PILOT MENTOR-PROTEGE PROGRAM.**

Section 831(j)(1) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1991 (10 U.S.C. 2301 note) is amended by striking out "1995" and inserting in lieu thereof "1996".

## TITLE IX—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT

### Subtitle A—General Matters

**SEC. 901. ORGANIZATION OF THE OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE.**

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress makes the following findings:

(1) The statutory provisions that as of the date of the enactment of this Act govern the organization of the Office of the Secretary of Defense have evolved from enactment of a number of executive branch legislative proposals and congressional initiatives over a period of years.

(2) The May 1995 report of the congressionally mandated Commission on Roles and Missions of the Armed Forces included a number of recommendations relating to the Office of the Secretary of Defense.

(3) The Secretary of Defense has decided to create a special Department task force and to conduct other reviews to review many of the Commission's recommendations.

(4) The Secretary of Defense has decided to institute a 5 percent per year reduction of civilian personnel assigned to the Office of the Secretary of Defense, including the Washington Headquarters Service and the Defense Support Activities, for the period from fiscal year 1996 through fiscal year 2001.

(5) Over the ten-year period from 1986 through 1995, defense spending in real dollars has been reduced by 34 percent and military end-strengths have been reduced by 28 percent. During the same period, the number of civilian employees of the Office of the Secretary of Defense has increased by 22 percent.

(6) To achieve greater efficiency and to revalidate the role and mission of the Office of the Secretary of Defense, a comprehensive review of the organizations and functions of that Office and of the personnel needed to carry out those functions is required.

(b) REVIEW.—The Secretary of Defense shall conduct a further review of the organizations and functions of the Office of the Secretary of Defense, including the Washington Headquarters Service and the Defense Support Activities, and the personnel needed to carry out those functions. The review shall include the following:

(1) An assessment of the appropriate functions of the Office and whether the Office of the Secretary of Defense or some of its component parts should be organized along mission lines.

(2) An assessment of the adequacy of the present organizational structure to efficiently and effectively support the Secretary in carrying out his responsibilities in a manner that ensures civilian authority in the Department of Defense.

(3) An assessment of the advantages and disadvantages of the use of political appointees to fill the positions of the various Under Secretaries of Defense, Assistant Secretaries of Defense, and Deputy Under Secretaries of Defense.

(4) An assessment of the extent of unnecessary duplication of functions between the Office of the Secretary of Defense and the Joint Staff.

(5) An assessment of the extent of unnecessary duplication of functions between the Office of the Secretary of Defense and the military departments.

(6) An assessment of the appropriate number of positions referred to in paragraph (3) and of Deputy Assistant Secretaries of Defense.

(7) An assessment of whether some or any of the functions currently performed by the Office of Humanitarian and Refugee Affairs are more properly or effectively performed by another agency of Government or elsewhere within the Department of Defense.

(8) An assessment of the efficacy of the Joint Requirements Oversight Council and whether it is advisable or necessary to establish a statutory charter for this organization.

(9) An assessment of any benefits or efficiencies derived from decentralizing certain functions currently performed by the Office of the Secretary of Defense.

(10) An assessment of the appropriate size, number, and functional responsibilities of the Defense Agencies and other Department of Defense support organizations.

(c) REPORT.—Not later than March 1, 1996, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report containing—

(1) his findings and conclusions resulting from the review under subsection (b); and

(2) a plan for implementing resulting recommendations, including proposals for legislation (with supporting rationale) that would be required as a result of the review.

(d) PERSONNEL REDUCTION.—(1) Effective October 1, 1999, the number of OSD personnel may not exceed 75 percent of the number of OSD personnel as of October 1, 1994.

(2) For purposes of this subsection, the term "OSD personnel" means military and civilian personnel of the Department of Defense who are assigned to, or employed in, functions in the Office of the Secretary of Defense (including Direct Support Activities of that Office and the Washington Headquarters Services of the Department of Defense).

(3) In carrying out reductions in the number of personnel assigned to, or employed in, the Office of the Department of Defense in order to comply with paragraph (1), the Secretary may not reassign functions solely in order to evade the requirement contained in that paragraph.

(4) If the Secretary of Defense determines, and certifies to Congress, that the limitation in paragraph (1) would adversely affect United States national security, the limitation under paragraph (1) shall be applied by substituting "80 percent" for "75 percent".

**SEC. 902. REDUCTION IN NUMBER OF ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE POSITIONS.**

(a) REDUCTION.—Section 138(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking out "eleven" and inserting in lieu thereof "ten".

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 5315 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by striking out "(11)" after "Assistant Secretaries of Defense" and inserting in lieu thereof "(10)".

**SEC. 903. DEFERRED REPEAL OF VARIOUS STATUTORY POSITIONS AND OFFICES IN OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE.**

(a) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on January 31, 1997.

(b) TERMINATION OF SPECIFICATION BY LAW OF ASD POSITIONS.—Subsection (b) of section 138 of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

"(b) The Assistant Secretaries shall perform such duties and exercise such powers as the Secretary of Defense may prescribe.".

(c) REPEAL OF CERTAIN OSD PRESIDENTIAL APPOINTMENT POSITIONS.—The following sections of chapter 4 of such title are repealed:

(1) Section 133a, relating to the Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Technology.

(2) Section 134a, relating to the Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Policy.

(3) Section 134a, relating to the Director of Defense Research and Engineering.

(4) Section 142, relating to the Assistant to the Secretary of Defense for Nuclear and Chemical and Biological Defense Programs.

(d) DIRECTOR OF MILITARY RELOCATION ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS.—Section 1056 of such title is amended by striking out subsection (d).

(e) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS RELATING TO REPEAL OF VARIOUS OSD POSITIONS.—Chapter 4 of such title is further amended—

(1) in section 131(b)—

(A) by striking out paragraphs (6) and (8); and

(B) by redesignating paragraphs (7), (9), (10), and (11), as paragraphs (6), (7), (8), and (9), respectively;

(2) in section 138(d), by striking out "the Under Secretaries of Defense, and the Director of Defense Research and Engineering" and inserting in lieu thereof "and the Under Secretaries of Defense"; and

(3) in the table of sections at the beginning of the chapter, by striking out the items relating to sections 133a, 134a, 137, 139, and 142.

(f) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS RELATING TO REPEAL OF SPECIFICATION OF ASD POSITIONS.—

(1) Section 176(a)(3) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(A) by striking out "Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs" and inserting in lieu thereof "official in the Department of Defense with principal responsibility for health affairs"; and

(B) by striking out "Chief Medical Director of the Department of Veterans Affairs" and inserting in lieu thereof "Under Secretary for Health of the Department of Veterans Affairs".

(2) Section 1216(d) of such title is amended by striking out "Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs" and inserting in lieu thereof "official in the Department of Defense with principal responsibility for health affairs".

(3) Section 1587(d) of such title is amended by striking out "Assistant Secretary of Defense for Manpower and Logistics" and inserting in lieu thereof "official in the Department of Defense with principal responsibility for personnel and readiness".

(4) The text of section 10201 of such title is amended to read as follows:

"The official in the Department of Defense with responsibility for overall supervision of reserve component affairs of the Department of Defense is the official designated by the Secretary of Defense to have that responsibility.".

(5) Section 1211(b)(2) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 1988 and 1989 (P.L. 100-180; 101 Stat 1155; 10 U.S.C. 167 note) is amended by striking out "the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Special Operations and Low Intensity Conflict" and inserting in lieu thereof "the official designated by the Secretary of Defense to have principal responsibility for matters relating to special operations and low intensity conflict".

(g) REPEAL OF MINIMUM NUMBER OF SENIOR STAFF FOR SPECIFIED ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE.—Section 355 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1991 (Public Law 101-510; 104 Stat. 1540) is repealed.

**SEC. 904. REDESIGNATION OF THE POSITION OF ASSISTANT TO THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR ATOMIC ENERGY.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—(1) Section 142 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(A) by striking out the section heading and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

**"§ 142. Assistant to the Secretary of Defense for Nuclear and Chemical and Biological Defense Programs";**

(B) in subsection (a), by striking out "Assistant to the Secretary of Defense for Atomic Energy" and inserting in lieu thereof "Assistant to the Secretary of Defense for Nuclear and Chemical and Biological Defense Programs"; and

(C) by striking out subsection (b) and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

"(b) The Assistant to the Secretary shall—

"(1) advise the Secretary of Defense on nuclear energy, nuclear weapons, and chemical and biological defense;

"(2) serve as the Staff Director of the Nuclear Weapons Council established by section 179 of this title; and

"(3) perform such additional duties as the Secretary may prescribe.".

(2) The item relating to such section in the table of sections at the beginning of chapter 4 of such title is amended to read as follows:

"142. Assistant to the Secretary of Defense for Nuclear and Chemical and Biological Defense Programs.".

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—(1) Section 179(c)(2) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking out "The Assistant to the Secretary of Defense for Atomic Energy" and inserting in lieu thereof "The Assistant to the Secretary of Defense for Nuclear and Chemical and Biological Defense Programs".

(2) Section 5316 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by striking out "The Assistant to the Secretary of Defense for Atomic Energy, Department of Defense." and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

"Assistant to the Secretary of Defense for Nuclear and Chemical and Biological Defense Programs, Department of Defense.".

**SEC. 905. JOINT REQUIREMENTS OVERSIGHT COUNCIL.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—(1) Chapter 7 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

**"§ 181. Joint Requirements Oversight Council**

"(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—The Secretary of Defense shall establish a Joint Requirements Oversight Council in the Department of Defense.

"(b) MISSION.—In addition to other matters assigned to it by the President or Secretary of Defense, the Joint Requirements Oversight Council shall—

"(1) assist the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff in identifying and assessing the priority of joint military requirements (including existing systems and equipment) to meet the national military strategy;

"(2) assist the Chairman in considering alternatives to any acquisition program that has been identified to meet military requirements by evaluating the cost, schedule, and performance criteria of the program and of the identified alternatives; and

"(3) as part of its mission to assist the Chairman in assigning joint priority among existing and future programs meeting valid requirements, ensure that the assignment of such priorities conforms to and reflects resource levels projected by the Secretary of Defense through defense planning guidance.

"(c) COMPOSITION.—(1) The Joint Requirements Oversight Council is composed of—

"(A) the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, who is the chairman of the Council;

"(B) an Army officer in the grade of general;

"(C) a Navy officer in the grade of admiral;

"(D) an Air Force officer in the grade of general; and

"(E) a Marine Corps officer in the grade of general.

"(2) Members of the Council, other than the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, shall be selected by the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, after consultation with the Secretary of Defense, from officers in the grade of general or admiral, as the case may be, who are recommended for such selection by the Secretary of the military department concerned.

"(3) The functions of the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff as chairman of the Council may only be delegated to the Vice Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff.".

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

"181. Joint Requirements Oversight Council.".

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on January 31, 1997.

**SEC. 906. RESTRUCTURING OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ACQUISITION ORGANIZATION AND WORKFORCE.**

(a) RESTRUCTURING REPORT.—Not later than March 1, 1996, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report on the acquisition organization and workforce of the Department of Defense. The report shall include—

(1) the plan described in subsection (b); and

(2) the assessment of streamlining and restructuring options described in subsection (c).

(b) PLAN FOR RESTRUCTURING.—(1) The Secretary shall include in the report under subsection (a) a plan on how to restructure the current acquisition organization of the Department of Defense in a manner that would enable the Secretary to accomplish the following:

(A) Reduce the number of military and civilian personnel assigned to, or employed in, acquisition organizations of the Department of Defense (as defined by the Secretary) by 25 percent over a period of five years, beginning on October 1, 1995.

(B) Eliminate duplication of functions among existing acquisition organizations of the Department of Defense.

(C) Maximize opportunity for consolidation among acquisition organizations of the Department of Defense to reduce management overhead.

(2) In the report, the Secretary shall also identify any statutory requirement or congressional directive that inhibits any proposed restructuring plan or reduction in the size of the defense acquisition organization.

(3) In designing the plan under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall give full consideration to the process efficiencies expected to be achieved through the implementation of the Federal Acquisition Streamlining Act of 1994 (Public Law 103-355), the Federal Acquisition Reform Act of 1995 (division D of this Act), and other ongoing initiatives to increase the use of commercial practices and reduce contract overhead in the defense procurement system.

(c) ASSESSMENT OF SPECIFIED RESTRUCTURING OPTIONS.—The Secretary shall include in the report under subsection (a) a detailed assessment of each of the following options for streamlining and restructuring the existing defense acquisition organization, together with a specific recommendation as to whether each such option should be implemented:

(1) Consolidation of certain functions of the Defense Contract Audit Agency and the Defense Contract Management Command.

(2) Contracting for performance of a significant portion of the workload of the Defense Contract Audit Agency and other Defense Agencies that perform acquisition functions.

(3) Consolidation or selected elimination of Department of Defense acquisition organizations.

(4) Any other defense acquisition infrastructure streamlining or restructuring option the Secretary may determine.

(d) REDUCTION OF ACQUISITION WORKFORCE.—(1) The Secretary of Defense shall accomplish reductions in defense acquisition personnel positions during fiscal year 1996 so that the total number of such personnel as of October 1, 1996, is less than the total number of such personnel as of October 1, 1995, by at least 15,000.

(2) For purposes of this subsection, the term "defense acquisition personnel" means military and civilian personnel assigned to, or employed in, acquisition organizations of the Department of Defense (as specified in Department of Defense Instruction numbered 5000.58 dated January 14, 1992) with the exception of personnel who possess technical competence in trade-skill maintenance and repair positions involved in performing depot maintenance functions.

**SEC. 907. REPORT ON NUCLEAR POSTURE REVIEW AND ON PLANS FOR NUCLEAR WEAPONS MANAGEMENT IN EVENT OF ABOLITION OF DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY.**

(a) REPORT REQUIRED.—The Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report concerning the nuclear weapons complex. The report shall set forth—

(1) the Secretary's views on the effectiveness of the Department of Energy in managing the nuclear weapons complex, including the fulfillment of the requirements for nuclear weapons established for the Department of Energy in the Nuclear Posture Review; and

(2) the Secretary's recommended plan for the incorporation into the Department of Defense of the national security programs of the Department of Energy if the Department of Energy should be abolished and those programs be transferred to the Department of Defense.

(b) DEFINITION.—For purposes of this section, the term "Nuclear Posture Review" means the Department of Defense Nuclear Posture Review as contained in the report entitled "Report of the Secretary of Defense to the President and the Congress", dated February 19, 1995, or in subsequent such reports.

(c) SUBMISSION OF REPORT.—The report under subsection (a) shall be submitted not later than March 15, 1996.

**SEC. 908. REDESIGNATION OF ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY.**

(a) REDESIGNATION.—The agency in the Department of Defense known as the Advanced Research Projects Agency shall after the date of the enactment of this Act be designated as the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency.

(b) REFERENCES.—Any reference in any law, regulation, document, record, or other paper of the United States or in any provision of this Act to the Advanced Research Projects Agency shall be considered to be a reference to the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency.

**Subtitle B—Financial Management**

**SEC. 911. TRANSFER AUTHORITY REGARDING FUNDS AVAILABLE FOR FOREIGN CURRENCY FLUCTUATIONS.**

(a) TRANSFERS TO MILITARY PERSONNEL ACCOUNTS AUTHORIZED.—Section 2779 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(c) TRANSFERS TO MILITARY PERSONNEL ACCOUNTS.—The Secretary of Defense may transfer funds to military personnel appropriations for a fiscal year out of funds available to the Department of Defense for that fiscal year under the appropriation 'Foreign Currency Fluctuations, Defense'.".

(b) REVISION AND CODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY FOR TRANSFERS TO FOREIGN CURRENCY FLUCTUATIONS ACCOUNT.—Section 2779 of such title, as amended by subsection (a), is further amended by adding at the end the following:

"(d) TRANSFERS TO FOREIGN CURRENCY FLUCTUATIONS ACCOUNT.—(1) The Secretary of Defense may transfer to the appropriation 'Foreign Currency Fluctuations, Defense' unobligated amounts of funds appropriated for operation and maintenance and unobligated amounts of funds appropriated for military personnel.

"(2) Any transfer from an appropriation under paragraph (1) shall be made not later than the end of the second fiscal year following the fiscal year for which the appropriation is provided.

"(3) Any transfer made pursuant to the authority provided in this subsection shall be limited so that the amount in the appropriation 'Foreign Currency Fluctuations, Defense' does not exceed $970,000,000 at the time the transfer is made.".

(c) CONDITIONS OF AVAILABILITY FOR TRANSFERRED FUNDS.—Section 2779 of such title, as amended by subsection (b), is further amended by adding at the end the following:

"(e) CONDITIONS OF AVAILABILITY FOR TRANSFERRED FUNDS.—Amounts transferred under subsection (c) or (d) shall be merged with and be available for the same purposes and for the same period as the appropriations to which transferred.".

(d) REPEAL OF SUPERSEDED PROVISIONS.—(1) Section 767A of Public Law 96-527 (94 Stat. 3093) is repealed.

(2) Section 791 of the Department of Defense Appropriation Act, 1983 (enacted in section 101(c) of Public Law 97-377; 96 Stat. 1865) is repealed.

(e) TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS.—Section 2779 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by striking out "(a)(1)" and inserting in lieu thereof "(a) TRANSFERS BACK TO FOREIGN CURRENCY FLUCTUATIONS APPROPRIATION.—(1)";

(2) in subsection (a)(2), by striking out "2d fiscal year" and inserting in lieu thereof "second fiscal year"; and

(3) in subsection (b), by striking out "(b)(1)" and inserting in lieu thereof "(b) FUNDING FOR LOSSES IN MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AND FAMILY HOUSING.—(1)".

(f) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Subsections (c) and (d) of section 2779 of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsections (a) and (b), and the repeals made by subsection (d), shall apply only with respect to amounts appropriated for a fiscal year after fiscal year 1995.

**SEC. 912. DEFENSE MODERNIZATION ACCOUNT.**

(a) ESTABLISHMENT AND USE.—(1) Chapter 131 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 2215 the following new section:

**"§ 2216. Defense Modernization Account**

"(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—There is established in the Treasury an account to be known as the 'Defense Modernization Account'.

"(b) TRANSFERS TO ACCOUNT.—(1)(A) Upon a determination by the Secretary of a military department or the Secretary of Defense with respect to Defense-wide appropriations accounts of the availability and source of funds described in subparagraph (B), that Secretary may transfer to the Defense Modernization Account during any fiscal year any amount of funds available to the Secretary described in that subparagraph. Such funds may be transferred to that account only after the Secretary concerned notifies the congressional defense committees in writing of the amount and source of the proposed transfer.

"(B) This subsection applies to the following funds available to the Secretary concerned:

"(i) Unexpired funds in appropriations accounts that are available for procurement and that, as a result of economies, efficiencies, and other savings achieved in carrying out a particular procurement, are excess to the requirements of that procurement.

"(ii) Unexpired funds that are available during the final 30 days of a fiscal year for support of installations and facilities and that, as a result of economies, efficiencies, and other savings, are excess to the requirements for support of installations and facilities.

"(C) Any transfer under subparagraph (A) shall be made under regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Defense.

"(2) Funds referred to in paragraph (1) may not be transferred to the Defense Modernization Account if—

"(A) the funds are necessary for programs, projects, and activities that, as determined by the Secretary, have a higher priority than the purposes for which the funds would be available if transferred to that account; or

"(B) the balance of funds in the account, after transfer of funds to the account, would exceed $1,000,000,000.

"(3) Amounts credited to the Defense Modernization Account shall remain available for transfer until the end of the third fiscal year that follows the fiscal year in which the amounts are credited to the account.

"(4) The period of availability of funds for expenditure provided for in sections 1551 and 1552 of title 31 may not be extended by transfer into the Defense Modernization Account.

"(c) SCOPE OF USE OF FUNDS.—Funds transferred to the Defense Modernization Account from funds appropriated for a military department, Defense Agency, or other element of the Department of Defense shall be available in accordance with subsections (f) and (g) only for transfer to funds available for that military department, Defense Agency, or other element.

"(d) AUTHORIZED USE OF FUNDS.—Funds available from the Defense Modernization Account pursuant to subsection (f) or (g) may be used for the following purposes:

"(1) For increasing, subject to subsection (e), the quantity of items and services procured under a procurement program in order to achieve a more efficient production or delivery rate.

"(2) For research, development, test, and evaluation and for procurement necessary for modernization of an existing system or of a system being procured under an ongoing procurement program.

"(e) LIMITATIONS.—(1) Funds in the Defense Modernization Account may not be used to increase the quantity of an item or services procured under a particular procurement program to the extent that doing so would—

"(A) result in procurement of a total quantity of items or services in excess of—

"(i) a specific limitation provided by law on the quantity of the items or services that may be procured; or

"(ii) the requirement for the items or services as approved by the Joint Requirements Oversight Council and reported to Congress by the Secretary of Defense; or

"(B) result in an obligation or expenditure of funds in excess of a specific limitation provided by law on the amount that may be obligated or expended, respectively, for that procurement program.

"(2) Funds in the Defense Modernization Account may not be used for a purpose or program for which Congress has not authorized appropriations.

"(3) Funds may not be transferred from the Defense Modernization Account in any year for the purpose of—

"(A) making an expenditure for which there is no corresponding obligation; or

"(B) making an expenditure that would satisfy an unliquidated or unrecorded obligation arising in a prior fiscal year.

"(f) TRANSFER OF FUNDS.—(1) The Secretary of Defense may transfer funds in the Defense Modernization Account to appropriations available for purposes set forth in subsection (d).

"(2) Funds in the Defense Modernization Account may not be transferred under paragraph (1) until 30 days after the date on which the Secretary concerned notifies the congressional defense committees in writing of the amount and purpose of the proposed transfer.

"(3) The total amount of transfers from the Defense Modernization Account during any fiscal year under this subsection may not exceed $500,000,000.

"(g) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS BY APPROPRIATION.—In addition to transfers under subsection (f), funds in the Defense Modernization Account may be made available for purposes set forth in subsection (d) in accordance with the provisions of appropriations Acts, but only to the extent authorized in an Act other than an appropriations Act.

"(h) SECRETARY TO ACT THROUGH COMPTROLLER.—The Secretary of Defense shall carry out this section through the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller), who shall be authorized to implement this section through the issuance of any necessary regulations, policies, and procedures after consultation with the General Counsel and Inspector General of the Department of Defense.

"(i) QUARTERLY REPORTS.—(1) Not later than 15 days after the end of each calendar quarter, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional committees specified in paragraph (2) a report on the Defense Modernization Account. Each such report shall set forth the following:

"(A) The amount and source of each credit to the account during that quarter.

"(B) The amount and purpose of each transfer from the account during that quarter.

"(C) The balance in the account at the end of the quarter and, of such balance, the amount attributable to transfers to the account from each Secretary concerned.

"(2) The committees referred to in paragraph (1) are the congressional defense committees and the Committee on Governmental Affairs of the Senate and the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight of the House of Representatives.

"(j) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

"(1) The term 'Secretary concerned' includes the Secretary of Defense with respect to Defense-wide appropriations accounts.

"(2) The term 'unexpired funds' means funds appropriated for a definite period that remain available for obligation.

"(3) The term 'congressional defense committees' means—

"(A) the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate; and

"(B) the Committee on National Security and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives.".

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 131 of such title is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 2215 the following new item:

"2216. Defense Modernization Account.".

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Section 2216 of title 10, United States Code (as added by subsection (a)), shall apply only to funds appropriated for fiscal years after fiscal year 1995.

(c) EXPIRATION OF AUTHORITY AND ACCOUNT.—(1) The authority under section 2216(b) of title 10, United States Code (as added by subsection (a)), to transfer funds into the Defense Modernization Account terminates at the close of September 30, 2003.

(2) Three years after the termination date specified in paragraph (1), the Defense Modernization Account shall be closed and any remaining balance in the account shall be canceled and thereafter shall not be available for any purpose.

(d) GAO REVIEWS.—(1) The Comptroller General of the United States shall conduct two reviews of the administration of the Defense Modernization Account. In each review, the Comptroller General shall assess the operations and benefits of the account.

(2) Not later than March 1, 2000, the Comptroller General shall—

(A) complete the first review; and

(B) submit to the specified committees of Congress an initial report on the administration and benefits of the Defense Modernization Account.

(3) Not later than March 1, 2003, the Comptroller General shall—

(A) complete the second review; and

(B) submit to the specified committees of Congress a final report on the administration and benefits of the Defense Modernization Account.

(4) Each such report shall include any recommended legislation regarding the account that the Comptroller General considers appropriate.

(5) For purposes of this subsection, the term "specified committees of Congress" means the congressional committees referred to in section 2216(i)(2) of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a).

**SEC. 913. DESIGNATION AND LIABILITY OF DISBURSING AND CERTIFYING OFFICIALS.**

(a) DISBURSING OFFICIALS.—(1) Section 3321(c) of title 31, United States Code, is amended by striking out paragraph (2) and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

"(2) The Department of Defense.".

(2) Section 2773 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(A) in subsection (a)—

(i) in paragraph (1), by striking out "With the approval of a Secretary of a military department when the Secretary considers it necessary, a disbursing official of the military department" and inserting in lieu thereof "Subject to paragraph (3), a disbursing official of the Department of Defense"; and

(ii) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

"(3) A disbursing official may make a designation under paragraph (1) only with the approval of the Secretary of Defense or, in the case of a disbursing official of a military department, the Secretary of that military department."; and

(B) in subsection (b)(1), by striking out "any military department" and inserting in lieu thereof "the Department of Defense".

(b) DESIGNATION OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES TO HAVE AUTHORITY TO CERTIFY VOUCHERS.—Section 3325(b) of title 31, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

"(b) In addition to officers and employees referred to in subsection (a)(1)(B) of this section as having authorization to certify vouchers, members of the armed forces under the jurisdiction of the Secretary of Defense may certify vouchers when authorized, in writing, by the Secretary to do so.".

(c) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—(1) Section 1012 of title 37, United States Code, is amended by striking out "Secretary concerned" both places it appears and inserting in lieu thereof "Secretary of Defense".

(2) Section 1007(a) of title 37, United States Code, is amended by striking out "Secretary concerned" and inserting in lieu thereof "Secretary of Defense, or upon the denial of relief of an officer pursuant to section 3527 of title 31".

(3)(A) Section 7863 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(i) in the first sentence, by striking out "disbursements of public moneys or" and "the money was paid or"; and

(ii) in the second sentence, by striking out "disbursement or".

(B)(i) The heading of such section is amended to read as follows:

**"§ 7863. Disposal of public stores by order of commanding officer".**

(ii) The item relating to such section in the table of sections at the beginning of

chapter 661 of such title is amended to read as follows:

"7863. Disposal of public stores by order of commanding officer.".

(4) Section 3527(b)(1) of title 31, United States Code, is amended—

(A) by striking out "a disbursing official of the armed forces" and inserting in lieu thereof "an official of the armed forces referred to in subsection (a)";

(B) by striking out "records," and inserting in lieu thereof "records, or a payment described in section 3528(a)(4)(A) of this title,";

(C) by redesignating subparagraphs (A), (B), and (C) as clauses (i), (ii), and (iii), and realigning such clauses four ems from the left margin;

(D) by inserting before clause (i), as so redesignated, the following:

"(A) in the case of a physical loss or deficiency—";

(E) in clause (iii), as so redesignated, by striking out the period at the end and inserting in lieu thereof "; or"; and

(F) by adding at the end the following:

"(B) in the case of a payment described in section 3528(a)(4)(A) of this title, the Secretary of Defense or the Secretary of the appropriate military department, after taking a diligent collection action, finds that the criteria of section 3528(b)(1) of this title are satisfied.".

(5) Section 3528 of title 31, United States Code, is amended by striking out subsection (d).

**SEC. 914. FISHER HOUSE TRUST FUNDS.**

(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—(1) Chapter 131 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

**"§ 2221. Fisher House trust funds**

"(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—The following trust funds are established on the books of the Treasury:

"(1) The Fisher House Trust Fund, Department of the Army.

"(2) The Fisher House Trust Fund, Department of the Air Force.

"(b) INVESTMENT.—Funds in the trust funds may be invested in securities of the United States. Earnings and gains realized from the investment of funds in a trust fund shall be credited to the trust fund.

"(c) USE OF FUNDS.—(1) Amounts in the Fisher House Trust Fund, Department of the Army, that are attributable to earnings or gains realized from investments shall be available for the operation and maintenance of Fisher houses that are located in proximity to medical treatment facilities of the Army.

"(2) Amounts in the Fisher House Trust Fund, Department of the Air Force, that are attributable to earnings or gains realized from investments shall be available for the operation and maintenance of Fisher houses that are located in proximity to medical treatment facilities of the Air Force.

"(3) The use of funds under this section is subject to section 1321(b)(2) of title 31.

"(d) FISHER HOUSE DEFINED.—In this section, the term 'Fisher house' means a housing facility that—

"(1) is located in proximity to a medical treatment facility of the Army or the Air Force; and

"(2) is available for residential use on a temporary basis by patients at such facilities, members of the family of such patients, and others providing the equivalent of familial support for such patients.".

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

"2221. Fisher House trust funds.".

(b) CORPUS OF TRUST FUNDS.—(1) The Secretary of the Treasury shall—

(A) close the accounts established with the funds that were required by section 8019 of Public Law 102-172 (105 Stat. 1175) and section 9023 of Public Law 102-396 (106 Stat. 1905) to be transferred to an appropriated trust fund; and

(B) transfer the amounts in such accounts to the Fisher House Trust Fund, Department of the Army, established by subsection (a)(1) of section 2221 of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a).

(2) The Secretary of the Air Force shall transfer to the Fisher House Trust Fund, Department of the Air Force, established by subsection (a)(2) of section 2221 of title 10, United States Code (as added by section (a)), all amounts in the accounts for Air Force installations and other facilities that, as of the date of the enactment of this Act, are available for operation and maintenance of Fisher houses (as defined in subsection (d) of such section 2221).

(c) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Section 1321 of title 31, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by adding at the end of subsection (a) the following:

"(92) Fisher House Trust Fund, Department of the Army.

"(93) Fisher House Trust Fund, Department of the Air Force."; and

(2) in subsection (b)—

(A) by inserting "(1)" after "(b)";

(B) in the second sentence, by striking out "Amounts accruing to these funds (except to the trust fund 'Armed Forces Retirement Home Trust Fund')" and inserting in lieu thereof "Except as provided in paragraph (2), amounts accruing to these funds";

(C) by striking out the third sentence; and

(D) by adding at the end the following:

"(2) Expenditures from the following trust funds may be made only under annual appropriations and only if the appropriations are specifically authorized by law:

"(A) Armed Forces Retirement Home Trust Fund.

"(B) Fisher House Trust Fund, Department of the Army.

"(C) Fisher House Trust Fund, Department of the Air Force.".

(d) REPEAL OF SUPERSEDED PROVISIONS.—The following provisions of law are repealed:

(1) Section 8019 of Public Law 102-172 (105 Stat. 1175).

(2) Section 9023 of Public Law 102-396 (106 Stat. 1905).

(3) Section 8019 of Public Law 103-139 (107 Stat. 1441).

(4) Section 8017 of Public Law 103-335 (108 Stat. 2620; 10 U.S.C. 1074 note).

**SEC. 915. LIMITATION ON USE OF AUTHORITY TO PAY FOR EMERGENCY AND EXTRAORDINARY EXPENSES.**

Section 127 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsection (c) as subsection (d); and

(2) by inserting after subsection (b) the following new subsection (c):

"(c)(1) Funds may not be obligated or expended in an amount in excess of $500,000 under the authority of subsection (a) or (b) until the Secretary of Defense has notified the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate and the Committee on National Security and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives of the intent to obligate or expend the funds, and—

"(A) in the case of an obligation or expenditure in excess of $1,000,000, 15 days have elapsed since the date of the notification; or

"(B) in the case of an obligation or expenditure in excess of $500,000, but not in excess of $1,000,000, 5 days have elapsed since the date of the notification.

"(2) Subparagraph (A) or (B) of paragraph (1) shall not apply to an obligation or expenditure of funds otherwise covered by such subparagraph if the Secretary of Defense determines that the national security objectives of the United States will be compromised by the application of the subparagraph to the obligation or expenditure. If the Secretary makes a determination with respect to an obligation or expenditure under the preceding sentence, the Secretary shall immediately notify the committees referred to in paragraph (1) that such obligation or expenditure is necessary and provide any relevant information (in classified form, if necessary) jointly to the chairman and ranking minority member (or their designees) of such committees.

"(3) A notification under paragraph (1) and information referred to in paragraph (2) shall include the amount to be obligated or expended, as the case may be, and the purpose of the obligation or expenditure.".

## TITLE X—GENERAL PROVISIONS

### Subtitle A—Financial Matters

**SEC. 1001. TRANSFER AUTHORITY.**

(a) AUTHORITY TO TRANSFER AUTHORIZATIONS.—(1) Upon determination by the Secretary of Defense that such action is necessary in the national interest, the Secretary may transfer amounts of authorizations made available to the Department of Defense in this division for fiscal year 1996 between any such authorizations for that fiscal year (or any subdivisions thereof). Amounts of authorizations so transferred shall be merged with and be available for the same purposes as the authorization to which transferred.

(2) The total amount of authorizations that the Secretary of Defense may transfer under the authority of this section may not exceed $2,000,000,000.

(b) LIMITATIONS.—The authority provided by this section to transfer authorizations—

(1) may only be used to provide authority for items that have a higher priority than the items from which authority is transferred; and

(2) may not be used to provide authority for an item that has been denied authorization by Congress.

(c) EFFECT ON AUTHORIZATION AMOUNTS.—A transfer made from one account to another under the authority of this section shall be deemed to increase the amount authorized for the account to which the amount is transferred by an amount equal to the amount transferred.

(d) NOTICE TO CONGRESS.—The Secretary shall promptly notify Congress of each transfer made under subsection (a).

**SEC. 1002. INCORPORATION OF CLASSIFIED ANNEX.**

(a) STATUS OF CLASSIFIED ANNEX.—The Classified Annex prepared by the committee on conference to accompany the bill H.R. 1530 of the One Hundred Fourth Congress and transmitted to the President is hereby incorporated into this Act.

(b) CONSTRUCTION WITH OTHER PROVISIONS OF ACT.—The amounts specified in the Classified Annex are not in addition to amounts authorized to be appropriated by other provisions of this Act.

(c) LIMITATION ON USE OF FUNDS.—Funds appropriated pursuant to an authorization contained in this Act that are made available for a program, project, or activity referred to in the Classified Annex may only be

expended for such program, project, or activity in accordance with such terms, conditions, limitations, restrictions, and requirements as are set out for that program, project, or activity in the Classified Annex.

(d) DISTRIBUTION OF CLASSIFIED ANNEX.—The President shall provide for appropriate distribution of the Classified Annex, or of appropriate portions of the annex, within the executive branch of the Government.

**SEC. 1003. IMPROVED FUNDING MECHANISMS FOR UNBUDGETED OPERATIONS.**

(a) REVISION OF FUNDING MECHANISM.—(1) Section 127a of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

**"§ 127a. Operations for which funds are not provided in advance: funding mechanisms**

"(a) IN GENERAL.—(1) The Secretary of Defense shall use the procedures prescribed by this section with respect to any operation specified in paragraph (2) that involves—

"(A) the deployment (other than for a training exercise) of elements of the Armed Forces for a purpose other than a purpose for which funds have been specifically provided in advance; or

"(B) the provision of humanitarian assistance, disaster relief, or support for law enforcement (including immigration control) for which funds have not been specifically provided in advance.

"(2) This section applies to—

"(A) any operation the incremental cost of which is expected to exceed $50,000,000; and

"(B) any other operation the expected incremental cost of which, when added to the expected incremental costs of other operations that are currently ongoing, is expected to result in a cumulative incremental cost of ongoing operations of the Department of Defense in excess of $100,000,000.

Any operation the incremental cost of which is expected not to exceed $10,000,000 shall be disregarded for the purposes of subparagraph (B).

"(3) Whenever an operation to which this section applies is commenced or subsequently becomes covered by this section, the Secretary of Defense shall designate and identify that operation for the purposes of this section and shall promptly notify Congress of that designation (and of the identification of the operation).

"(4) This section does not provide authority for the President or the Secretary of Defense to carry out any operation, but establishes mechanisms for the Department of Defense by which funds are provided for operations that the armed forces are required to carry out under some other authority.

"(b) WAIVER OF REQUIREMENT TO REIMBURSE SUPPORT UNITS.—(1) The Secretary of Defense shall direct that, when a unit of the Armed Forces participating in an operation described in subsection (a) receives services from an element of the Department of Defense that operates through the Defense Business Operations Fund (or a successor fund), such unit of the Armed Forces may not be required to reimburse that element for the incremental costs incurred by that element in providing such services, notwithstanding any other provision of law or any Government accounting practice.

"(2) The amounts which but for paragraph (1) would be required to be reimbursed to an element of the Department of Defense (or a fund) shall be recorded as an expense attributable to the operation and shall be accounted for separately.

"(c) TRANSFER AUTHORITY.—(1) Whenever there is an operation of the Department of Defense described in subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense may transfer amounts described in paragraph (3) to accounts from which incremental expenses for that operation were incurred in order to reimburse those accounts for those incremental expenses. Amounts so transferred shall be merged with and be available for the same purposes as the accounts to which transferred.

"(2) The total amount that the Secretary of Defense may transfer under the authority of this section in any fiscal year is $200,000,000.

"(3) Transfers under this subsection may only be made from amounts appropriated to the Department of Defense for any fiscal year that remain available for obligation, other than amounts within any operation and maintenance appropriation that are available for (A) an account (known as a budget activity 1 account) that is specified as being for operating forces, or (B) an account (known as a budget activity 2 account) that is specified as being for mobilization.

"(4) The authority provided by this subsection is in addition to any other authority provided by law authorizing the transfer of amounts available to the Department of Defense. However, the Secretary may not use any such authority under another provision of law for a purpose described in paragraph (1) if there is authority available under this subsection for that purpose.

"(5) The authority provided by this subsection to transfer amounts may not be used to provide authority for an activity that has been denied authorization by Congress.

"(6) A transfer made from one account to another under the authority of this subsection shall be deemed to increase the amount authorized for the account to which the amount is transferred by an amount equal to the amount transferred.

"(d) REPORT UPON DESIGNATION OF AN OPERATION.—Within 45 days after the Secretary of Defense identifies an operation pursuant to subsection (a)(2), the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report that sets forth the following:

"(1) The manner by which the Secretary proposes to obtain funds for the cost to the United States of the operation, including a specific discussion of how the Secretary proposes to restore balances in—

"(A) the Defense Business Operations Fund (or a successor fund), or

"(B) the accounts from which the Secretary transfers funds under the authority of subsection (c), to the levels that would have been anticipated but for the provisions of subsection (c).

"(2) If the operation is described in subsection (a)(1)(B), a justification why the budgetary resources of another department or agency of the Federal Government, instead of resources of the Department of Defense, are not being used for carrying out the operation.

"(3) The objectives of the operation.

"(4) The estimated duration of the operation and of any deployment of armed forces personnel in such operation.

"(5) The estimated incremental cost of the operation to the United States.

"(6) The exit criteria for the operation and for the withdrawal of the elements of the armed forces involved in the operation.

"(e) LIMITATIONS.—(1) The Secretary may not restore balances in the Defense Business Operations Fund through increases in rates charged by that fund in order to compensate for costs incurred and not reimbursed due to subsection (b).

"(2) The Secretary may not restore balances in the Defense Business Operations Fund or any other fund or account through the use of unobligated amounts in an operation and maintenance appropriation that are available within that appropriation for (A) an account (known as a budget activity 1 account) that is specified as being for operating forces, or (B) an account (known as a budget activity 2 account) that is specified as being for mobilization.

"(f) SUBMISSION OF REQUESTS FOR SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATIONS.—It is the sense of Congress that whenever there is an operation described in subsection (a), the President should, not later than 90 days after the date on which notification is provided pursuant to subsection (a)(3), submit to Congress a request for the enactment of supplemental appropriations for the then-current fiscal year in order to provide funds to replenish the Defense Business Operations Fund or any other fund or account of the Department of Defense from which funds for the incremental expenses of that operation were derived under this section and should, as necessary, submit subsequent requests for the enactment of such appropriations.

"(g) INCREMENTAL COSTS.—For purposes of this section, incremental costs of the Department of Defense with respect to an operation are the costs of the Department that are directly attributable to the operation (and would not have been incurred but for the operation). Incremental costs do not include the cost of property or services acquired by the Department that are paid for by a source outside the Department or out of funds contributed by such a source.

"(h) RELATIONSHIP TO WAR POWERS RESOLUTION.—This section may not be construed as altering or superseding the War Powers Resolution. This section does not provide authority to conduct any military operation.

"(i) GAO COMPLIANCE REVIEWS.—The Comptroller General of the United States shall from time to time, and when requested by a committee of Congress, conduct a review of the defense funding structure under this section to determine whether the Department of Defense is complying with the requirements and limitations of this section.".

(2) The item relating to section 127a in the table of sections at the beginning of chapter 3 of such title is amended to read as follows:

"127a. Operations for which funds are not provided in advance: funding mechanisms.".

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment to section 127a of title 10, United States Code, made by subsection (a) shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act and shall apply to any operation of the Department of Defense that is in effect on or after that date, whether such operation is begun before, on, or after such date of enactment. In the case of an operation begun before such date, any reference in such section to the commencement of such operation shall be treated as referring to the effective date under the preceding sentence.

**SEC. 1004. OPERATION PROVIDE COMFORT.**

(a) AUTHORIZATION OF AMOUNTS AVAILABLE.—Within the total amounts authorized to be appropriated in titles III and IV, there is hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 1996 for costs associated with Operation Provide Comfort—

(1) $136,300,000 for operation and maintenance costs; and

(2) $7,000,000 for incremental military personnel costs.

(b) REPORT.—Not more than $70,000,000 of the amount appropriated under subsection (a) may be obligated until the Secretary of

Defense submits to the congressional defense committees a report on Operation Provide Comfort which includes the following:

(1) A detailed presentation of the projected costs to be incurred by the Department of Defense for Operation Provide Comfort during fiscal year 1996, together with a discussion of missions and functions expected to be performed by the Department as part of that operation during that fiscal year.

(2) A detailed presentation of the projected costs to be incurred by other departments and agencies of the Federal Government participating in or providing support to Operation Provide Comfort during fiscal year 1996.

(3) A discussion of available options to reduce the involvement of the Department of Defense in those aspects of Operation Provide Comfort that are not directly related to the military mission of the Department of Defense.

(4) A plan establishing an exit strategy for United States involvement in, and support for, Operation Provide Comfort.

(c) OPERATION PROVIDE COMFORT.—For purposes of this section, the term "Operation Provide Comfort" means the operation of the Department of Defense that as of October 30, 1995, is designated as Operation Provide Comfort.

**SEC. 1005. OPERATION ENHANCED SOUTHERN WATCH.**

(a) AUTHORIZATION OF AMOUNTS AVAILABLE.—Within the total amounts authorized to be appropriated in titles III and IV, there is hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 1996 for costs associated with Operation Enhanced Southern Watch—

(1) $433,400,000 for operation and maintenance costs; and

(2) $70,400,000 for incremental military personnel costs.

(b) REPORT.—(1) Of the amounts specified in subsection (a), not more than $250,000,000 may be obligated until the Secretary of Defense submits to the congressional defense committees a report designating Operation Enhanced Southern Watch, or significant elements thereof, as a forward presence operation for which funding should be budgeted as part of the annual defense budget process in the same manner as other activities of the Armed Forces involving forward presence or forward deployed forces.

(2) The report shall set forth the following:

(A) The expected duration and annual costs of the various elements of Operation Enhanced Southern Watch.

(B) Those elements of Operation Enhanced Southern Watch that are semi-permanent in nature and should be budgeted in the future as part of the annual defense budget process in the same manner as other activities of the Armed Forces involving forward presence or forward deployed forces.

(C) The political and military objectives associated with Operation Enhanced Southern Watch.

(D) The contributions (both in-kind and actual) by other nations to the costs of conducting Operation Enhanced Southern Watch.

(c) OPERATION ENHANCED SOUTHERN WATCH.—For purposes of this section, the term "Operation Enhanced Southern Watch" means the operation of the Department of Defense that as of October 30, 1995, is designated as Operation Enhanced Southern Watch.

**SEC. 1006. AUTHORITY FOR OBLIGATION OF CERTAIN UNAUTHORIZED FISCAL YEAR 1995 DEFENSE APPROPRIATIONS.**

(a) AUTHORITY.—The amounts described in subsection (b) may be obligated and expended for programs, projects, and activities of the Department of Defense in accordance with fiscal year 1995 defense appropriations.

(b) COVERED AMOUNTS.—The amounts referred to in subsection (a) are the amounts provided for programs, projects, and activities of the Department of Defense in fiscal year 1995 defense appropriations that are in excess of the amounts provided for such programs, projects, and activities in fiscal year 1995 defense authorizations.

(c) DEFINITIONS.—For the purposes of this section:

(1) FISCAL YEAR 1995 DEFENSE APPROPRIATIONS.—The term "fiscal year 1995 defense appropriations" means amounts appropriated or otherwise made available to the Department of Defense for fiscal year 1995 in the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 1995 (Public Law 103-335).

(2) FISCAL YEAR 1995 DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS.—The term "fiscal year 1995 defense authorizations" means amounts authorized to be appropriated for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 1995 in the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (Public Law 103-337).

**SEC. 1007. AUTHORIZATION OF PRIOR EMERGENCY SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATIONS FOR FISCAL YEAR 1995.**

(a) ADJUSTMENT TO PREVIOUS AUTHORIZATIONS.—Amounts authorized to be appropriated to the Department of Defense for fiscal year 1995 in the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (Public Law 103-337) are hereby adjusted, with respect to any such authorized amount, by the amount by which appropriations pursuant to such authorization were increased (by a supplemental appropriation) or decreased (by a rescission), or both, in title I of the Emergency Supplemental Appropriations and Rescissions for the Department of Defense to Preserve and Enhance Military Readiness Act of 1995 (Public Law 104-6; 109 Stat. 73).

(b) NEW AUTHORIZATION.—The appropriation provided in section 104 of such Act (109 Stat. 79) is hereby authorized.

**SEC. 1008. AUTHORIZATION REDUCTIONS TO REFLECT SAVINGS FROM REVISED ECONOMIC ASSUMPTIONS.**

(a) REDUCTION.—The total amount authorized to be appropriated in titles I, II, and III of this Act is hereby reduced by $832,000,000 to reflect savings from revised economic assumptions. Such reduction shall be made from accounts in those titles as follows:

Operation and Maintenance, Army, $54,000,000.

Operation and Maintenance, Navy, $80,000,000.

Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps, $9,000,000.

Operation and Maintenance, Air Force, $51,000,000.

Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide, $36,000,000.

Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve, $4,000,000.

Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve, $4,000,000.

Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve, $1,000,000.

Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve, $3,000,000.

Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard, $7,000,000.

Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard, $7,000,000.

Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense, $5,000,000.

Environmental Restoration, Defense, $11,000,000.

Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster, and Civic Aid, $1,000,000.

Former Soviet Union Threat Reduction, $2,000,000.

Defense Health Program, $51,000,000.

Aircraft Procurement, Army, $9,000,000.

Missile Procurement, Army, $5,000,000.

Procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army, $10,000,000.

Procurement of Ammunition, Army, $6,000,000.

Other Procurement, Army, $17,000,000.

Aircraft Procurement, Navy, $29,000,000.

Weapons Procurement, Navy, $13,000,000.

Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy, $42,000,000.

Other Procurement, Navy, $18,000,000.

Procurement, Marine Corps, $4,000,000.

Aircraft Procurement, Air Force, $50,000,000.

Missile Procurement, Air Force, $29,000,000.

Other Procurement, Air Force, $45,000,000.

Procurement, Defense-Wide, $16,000,000.

Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense, $5,000,000.

Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army, $20,000,000.

Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy, $50,000,000.

Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force, $79,000,000.

Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide, $57,000,000.

Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense, $2,000,000.

(b) REDUCTIONS TO BE APPLIED PROPORTIONALLY.—Reductions under this section shall be applied proportionally to each budget activity, activity group, and subactivity group and to each program, project, and activity within each account.

**Subtitle B—Naval Vessels and Shipyards**

**SEC. 1011. IOWA CLASS BATTLESHIPS.**

(a) RETURN TO NAVAL VESSEL REGISTER.—The Secretary of the Navy shall list on the Naval Vessel Register, and maintain on such register, at least two of the Iowa-class battleships that were stricken from the register in February 1995.

(b) SUPPORT.—The Secretary shall retain the existing logistical support necessary for support of at least two operational Iowa class battleships in active service, including technical manuals, repair and replacement parts, and ordnance.

(c) SELECTION OF SHIPS.—The Secretary shall select for listing on the Naval Vessel Register under subsection (a) Iowa class battleships that are in good material condition and can provide adequate fire support for an amphibious assault.

(d) REPLACEMENT FIRE-SUPPORT CAPABILITY.—(1) If the Secretary of the Navy makes a certification described in paragraph (2), the requirements of subsections (a) and (b) shall terminate, effective 60 days after the date of the submission of such certification.

(2) A certification referred to in paragraph (1) is a certification submitted by the Secretary of the Navy in writing to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives that the Navy has within the fleet an operational surface fire-support capability that equals or exceeds the fire-support capability that the Iowa class battleships listed on the Naval Vessel Register pursuant to subsection (a) would, if in active service, be able to provide for Marine Corps amphibious assaults and operations ashore.

**SEC. 1012. TRANSFER OF NAVAL VESSELS TO CERTAIN FOREIGN COUNTRIES.**

(a) TRANSFERS BY GRANT.—The Secretary of the Navy is authorized to transfer on a grant basis under section 516 of the Foreign

Assistance Act of 1961 (22 U.S.C. 2321j) frigates of the Oliver Hazard Perry class to other countries as follows:

(1) To the Government of Bahrain, the guided missile frigate Jack Williams (FFG 24).

(2) To the Government of Egypt, the frigate Copeland (FFG 25).

(3) To the Government of Turkey, the frigates Clifton Sprague (FFG 16) and Antrim (FFG 20).

(b) TRANSFERS BY LEASE OR SALE.—The Secretary of the Navy is authorized to transfer on a lease basis under section 61 of the Arms Export Control Act (22 U.S.C. 2796) or on a sale basis under section 21 of the Arms Export Control Act (22 U.S.C. 2761) frigates of the Oliver Hazard Perry class to other countries as follows:

(1) To the Government of Egypt, the frigate Duncan (FFG 10).

(2) To the Government of Oman, the guided missile frigate Mahlon S. Tisdale (FFG 27).

(3) To the Government of Turkey, the frigate Flatley (FFG 21).

(4) To the Government of the United Arab Emirates, the guided missile frigate Gallery (FFG 26).

(c) FINANCING FOR TRANSFERS BY LEASE.—Section 23 of the Arms Export Control Act (22 U.S.C. 2763) may be used to provide financing for any transfer by lease under subsection (b) in the same manner as if such transfer were a procurement by the recipient nation of a defense article.

(d) COSTS OF TRANSFERS.—Any expense incurred by the United States in connection with a transfer authorized by subsection (a) or (b) shall be charged to the recipient.

(e) EXPIRATION OF AUTHORITY.—The authority to transfer a vessel under subsection (a) and under subsection (b) shall expire at the end of the two-year period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act, except that a lease entered into during that period under any provision of subsection (b) may be renewed.

(f) REPAIR AND REFURBISHMENT IN UNITED STATES SHIPYARDS.—The Secretary of the Navy shall require, as a condition of the transfer of a vessel under this section, that the country to which the vessel is transferred have such repair or refurbishment of the vessel as is needed, before the vessel joins the naval forces of that country, performed at a shipyard located in the United States, including a United States Navy shipyard.

(g) PROHIBITION ON CERTAIN TRANSFERS OF VESSELS ON GRANT BASIS.—(1) Section 516 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 (22 U.S.C. 2321j) is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(g) PROHIBITION ON CERTAIN TRANSFERS OF VESSELS ON GRANT BASIS.—(1) The President may not transfer on a grant basis under this section a vessel that is in excess of 3,000 tons or that is less than 20 years of age.

"(2) If the President determines that it is in the national security interests of the United States to transfer a particular vessel on a grant basis under this section, the President may request that Congress enact legislation exempting the transfer from the prohibition in paragraph (1).".

(2) The amendment made by paragraph (1) shall apply with respect to the transfer of a vessel on or after the date of the enactment of this Act (other than a vessel the transfer of which is authorized by subsection (a) or by law before the date of the enactment of this Act).

**SEC. 1013. CONTRACT OPTIONS FOR LMSR VESSELS.**

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress makes the following findings:

(1) A requirement for the Department of the Navy to acquire 19 large, medium-speed, roll-on/roll-off (LMSR) vessels was established by the Secretary of Defense in the Mobility Requirements Study conducted after the Persian Gulf War pursuant to section 909 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1991 (Public Law 101-510; 104 Stat. 1623) and was revalidated by the Secretary of Defense in the report entitled "Mobility Requirements Study Bottom-Up Review Update", submitted to Congress in April 1995.

(2) The Strategic Sealift Program is a vital element of the national military strategy calling for the Nation to be able to fight and win two nearly simultaneous major regional contingencies.

(3) The Secretary of the Navy has entered into contracts with shipyards covering acquisition of a total of 17 such LMSR vessels, of which five are vessel conversions and 12 are new construction vessels. Under those contracts, the Secretary has placed orders for the acquisition of 11 vessels and has options for the acquisition of six more, all of which would be new construction vessels. The options allow the Secretary to place orders for one vessel to be constructed at each of two shipyards for award before December 31, 1995, December 31, 1996, and December 31, 1997, respectively.

(4) Acquisition of an additional two such LMSR vessels, for a total of 19 vessels (the requirement described in paragraph (1)) would contribute to preservation of the industrial base of United States shipyards capable of building auxiliary and sealift vessels.

(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that the Secretary of the Navy should plan for, and budget to provide for, the acquisition as soon as possible of a total of 19 large, medium-speed, roll-on/roll-off (LMSR) vessels (the number determined to be required in the Mobility Requirements Study referred to in subsection (a)(1)), rather than only 17 such vessels (the number of vessels under contract as of May 1995).

(c) ADDITIONAL NEW CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT OPTION.—The Secretary of the Navy should negotiate with each of the two shipyards holding new construction contracts referred to in subsection (a)(3) (Department of the Navy contracts numbered N00024-93-C-2203 and N00024-93-C-2205) for an option under each such contract for construction of one additional such LMSR vessel, with such option to be available to the Secretary for exercise during 1995, 1996, or 1997, subject to the availability of funds authorized and appropriated for such purpose. Nothing in this subsection shall be construed to preclude the Secretary of the Navy from competing the award of the two options between the two shipyards holding new construction contracts referred to in subsection (a)(3).

(d) REPORT.—The Secretary of the Navy shall submit to the congressional defense committees, by March 31, 1996, a report stating the intentions of the Secretary regarding the acquisition of options for the construction of two additional LMSR vessels as described in subsection (c).

**SEC. 1014. NATIONAL DEFENSE RESERVE FLEET.**

(a) AVAILABILITY OF NATIONAL DEFENSE SEALIFT FUND.—Section 2218 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (c)(1)—

(A) by striking out "only for—" in the matter preceding subparagraph (A) and inserting in lieu thereof "only for the following purposes:";

(B) by capitalizing the first letter of the first word of subparagraphs (A), (B), (C), and (D);

(C) by striking out the semicolon at the end of subparagraphs (A) and (B) and inserting in lieu thereof a period;

(D) by striking out "; and" at the end of subparagraph (C) and inserting in lieu thereof a period; and

(E) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

"(E) Expenses for maintaining the National Defense Reserve Fleet under section 11 of the Merchant Ship Sales Act of 1946 (50 U.S.C. App. 1744), and for the costs of acquisition of vessels for, and alteration and conversion of vessels in (or to be placed in), the fleet, but only for vessels built in United States shipyards."; and

(2) in subsection (i), by inserting "(other than subsection (c)(1)(E))" after "Nothing in this section".

(b) CLARIFICATION OF EXEMPTION OF NDRF VESSELS FROM RETROFIT REQUIREMENT.—Section 11 of the Merchant Ship Sales Act of 1946 (50 U.S.C. App. 1744) is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(e) Vessels in the National Defense Reserve Fleet are exempt from the provisions of section 3703a of title 46, United States Code.".

(c) AUTHORITY TO USE NATIONAL DEFENSE SEALIFT FUND TO CONVERT TWO VESSELS.—Of the amount authorized to be appropriated in section 302 for fiscal year 1996 for the National Defense Sealift Fund under section 2218 of title 10, United States Code, not more than $20,000,000 shall be available for conversion work on the following two roll-on/roll-off vessels, which were acquired by the Maritime Administration during fiscal year 1995:

(1) M/V Cape Knox (ON-1036323).

(2) M/V Cape Kennedy (ON-1036324).

**SEC. 1015. NAVAL SALVAGE FACILITIES.**

Chapter 637 of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

**"CHAPTER 637—SALVAGE FACILITIES**

"Sec.
"7361. Authority to provide for necessary salvage facilities.
"7362. Acquisition and transfer of vessels and equipment.
"7363. Settlement of claims.
"7364. Disposition of receipts.

**"§ 7361. Authority to provide for necessary salvage facilities**

"(a) AUTHORITY.—The Secretary of the Navy may provide, by contract or otherwise, necessary salvage facilities for public and private vessels.

"(b) COORDINATION WITH SECRETARY OF TRANSPORTATION.—The Secretary shall submit to the Secretary of Transportation for comment each proposed contract for salvage facilities that affects the interests of the Department of Transportation.

"(c) LIMITATION.—The Secretary of the Navy may enter into a term contract under subsection (a) only if the Secretary determines that available commercial salvage facilities are inadequate to meet the requirements of national defense.

"(d) PUBLIC NOTICE.—The Secretary may not enter into a contract under subsection (a) until the Secretary has provided public notice of the intent to enter into such a contract.

**"§ 7362. Acquisition and transfer of vessels and equipment**

"(a) AUTHORITY.—The Secretary of the Navy may acquire or transfer for operation by private salvage companies such vessels and equipment as the Secretary considers necessary.

"(b) AGREEMENT ON USE.—Before any salvage vessel or salvage gear is transferred by the Secretary to a private party, the private party must agree in writing with the Secretary that the vessel or gear will be used to support organized offshore salvage facilities for a period of as many years as the Secretary considers appropriate.

"(c) REFERENCE TO AUTHORITY TO ADVANCE FUNDS FOR IMMEDIATE SALVAGE OPERATIONS.—For authority for the Secretary of the Navy to advance to private salvage companies such funds as the Secretary considers necessary to provide for the immediate financing of salvage operations, see section 2307(g)(2) of this title.

**"§ 7363. Settlement of claims**

"The Secretary of the Navy may settle any claim by the United States for salvage services rendered by the Department of the Navy and may receive payment of any such claim.

**"§ 7364. Disposition of receipts**

"Amounts received under this chapter shall be credited to appropriations for maintaining naval salvage facilities. However, any amount received under this chapter in any fiscal year in excess of naval salvage costs incurred by the Navy during that fiscal year shall be deposited into the general fund of the Treasury.".

**SEC. 1016. VESSELS SUBJECT TO REPAIR UNDER PHASED MAINTENANCE CONTRACTS.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of the Navy shall ensure that any vessel that is covered by the contract referred to in subsection (b) remains covered by that contract, regardless of the operating command to which the vessel is subsequently assigned, unless the vessel is taken out of service for the Department of the Navy.

(b) COVERED CONTRACT.—The contract referred to in subsection (a) is the contract entered into before the date of the enactment of this Act for the phased maintenance of AE class ships.

**SEC. 1017. CLARIFICATION OF REQUIREMENTS RELATING TO REPAIRS OF VESSELS.**

Section 7310(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting "or Guam" after "the United States" the second place it appears.

**SEC. 1018. SENSE OF CONGRESS CONCERNING NAMING OF AMPHIBIOUS SHIPS.**

It is the sense of Congress that the Secretary of the Navy—

(1) should name the vessel to be designated LHD–7 as the U.S.S. Iwo Jima; and

(2) should name the vessel to be designated LPD–17, and each subsequent ship of the LPD–17 class, after a Marine Corps battle or a member of the Marine Corps.

**SEC. 1019. SENSE OF CONGRESS CONCERNING NAMING OF NAVAL VESSEL.**

It is the sense of Congress that the Secretary of the Navy should name an appropriate ship of the United States Navy the U.S.S. Joseph Vittori, in honor of Marine Corporal Joseph Vittori (1929–1951) of Beverly, Massachusetts, who was posthumously awarded the Medal of Honor for actions against the enemy in Korea on September 15–16, 1951.

**SEC. 1020. TRANSFER OF RIVERINE PATROL CRAFT.**

(a) AUTHORITY TO TRANSFER VESSEL.—Notwithstanding subsections (a) and (d) of section 7306 of title 10, United States Code, but subject to subsections (b) and (c) of that section, the Secretary of the Navy may transfer a vessel described in subsection (b) to Tidewater Community College, Portsmouth, Virginia, for scientific and educational purposes.

(b) VESSEL.—The authority under subsection (a) applies in the case of a riverine patrol craft of the U.S.S. Swift class.

(c) LIMITATION.—The transfer authorized by subsection (a) may be made only if the Secretary determines that the vessel to be transferred is of no further use to the United States for national security purposes.

(d) TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The Secretary may require such terms and conditions in connection with the transfer authorized by this section as the Secretary considers appropriate.

**Subtitle C—Counter-Drug Activities**

**SEC. 1021. REVISION AND CLARIFICATION OF AUTHORITY FOR FEDERAL SUPPORT OF DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES OF THE NATIONAL GUARD.**

(a) FUNDING ASSISTANCE AUTHORIZED.—Subsection (a) of section 112 of title 32, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

"(a) FUNDING ASSISTANCE.—The Secretary of Defense may provide funds to the Governor of a State who submits to the Secretary a State drug interdiction and counter-drug activities plan satisfying the requirements of subsection (c). Such funds shall be used for—

"(1) the pay, allowances, clothing, subsistence, gratuities, travel, and related expenses, as authorized by State law, of personnel of the National Guard of that State used, while not in Federal service, for the purpose of drug interdiction and counter-drug activities;

"(2) the operation and maintenance of the equipment and facilities of the National Guard of that State used for the purpose of drug interdiction and counter-drug activities; and

"(3) the procurement of services and leasing of equipment for the National Guard of that State used for the purpose of drug interdiction and counter-drug activities.".

(b) REORGANIZATION OF SECTION.—Such section is further amended—

(1) by redesignating subsection (f) as subsection (h);

(2) by redesignating subsection (d) as subsection (g) and transferring that subsection to appear before subsection (h), as redesignated by paragraph (1); and

(3) by redesignating subsections (b) and (c) as subsections (c) and (d), respectively.

(c) STATE DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES PLAN.—Subsection (c) of such section, as redesignated by subsection (b)(3), is amended—

(1) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by striking out "A plan referred to in subsection (a)" and inserting in lieu thereof "A State drug interdiction and counter-drug activities plan";

(2) by striking out "and" at the end of paragraph (2); and

(3) in paragraph (3)—

(A) by striking out "annual training" and inserting in lieu thereof "training";

(B) by striking out the period at the end and inserting in lieu thereof a semicolon; and

(C) by adding at the end the following new paragraphs:

"(4) include a certification by the Attorney General of the State (or, in the case of a State with no position of Attorney General, a civilian official of the State equivalent to a State attorney general) that the use of the National Guard of the State for the activities proposed under the plan is authorized by, and is consistent with, State law; and

"(5) certify that the Governor of the State or a civilian law enforcement official of the State designated by the Governor has determined that any activities included in the plan that are carried out in conjunction with Federal law enforcement agencies serve a State law enforcement purpose.".

(d) EXAMINATION OF STATE PLAN.—Subsection (d) of such section, as redesignated by subsection (b)(3), is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1)—

(A) by striking out "subsection (b)" and inserting in lieu thereof "subsection (c)"; and

(B) by inserting after "Before funds are provided to the Governor of a State under this section" the following: "and before members of the National Guard of that State are ordered to full-time National Guard duty as authorized in subsection (b)"; and

(2) in paragraph (3)—

(A) in subparagraph (A), by striking out "subsection (b)" and inserting in lieu thereof "subsection (c)"; and

(B) by striking out subparagraph (B) and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

"(B) pursuant to the plan submitted for a previous fiscal year, funds were provided to the State in accordance with subsection (a) or personnel of the National Guard of the State were ordered to perform full-time National Guard duty in accordance with subsection (b).".

(e) USE OF PERSONNEL PERFORMING FULL-TIME NATIONAL GUARD DUTY.—Such section is further amended by inserting after subsection (a) the following new subsection (b):

"(b) USE OF PERSONNEL PERFORMING FULL-TIME NATIONAL GUARD DUTY.—Under regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Defense, personnel of the National Guard of a State may, in accordance with the State drug interdiction and counter-drug activities plan referred to in subsection (c), be ordered to perform full-time National Guard duty under section 502(f) of this title for the purpose of carrying out drug interdiction and counter-drug activities.".

(f) END STRENGTH LIMITATION.—Such section is further amended by inserting after subsection (e) the following new subsection (f):

"(f) END STRENGTH LIMITATION.—(1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), at the end of a fiscal year there may not be more than 4000 members of the National Guard—

"(A) on full-time National Guard duty under section 502(f) of this title to perform drug interdiction or counter-drug activities pursuant to an order to duty for a period of more than 180 days; or

"(B) on duty under State authority to perform drug interdiction or counter-drug activities pursuant to an order to duty for a period of more than 180 days with State pay and allowances being reimbursed with funds provided under subsection (a)(1).

"(2) The Secretary of Defense may increase the end strength authorized under paragraph (1) by not more than 20 percent for any fiscal year if the Secretary determines that such an increase is necessary in the national security interests of the United States.".

(g) DEFINITIONS.—Subsection (h) of such section, as redesignated by subsection (b)(1), is amended by striking out paragraph (1) and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

"(1) The term 'drug interdiction and counter-drug activities', with respect to the National Guard of a State, means the use of National Guard personnel in drug interdiction and counter-drug law enforcement activities authorized by the law of the State and requested by the Governor of the State.".

(h) TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS.—Subsection (e) of such section is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking out "sections 517 and 524" and inserting in lieu thereof "sections 12011 and 12012"; and

(2) in paragraph (2), by striking out "the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives" and inserting in lieu thereof "the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives".

**Subtitle D—Civilian Personnel**

**SEC. 1031. MANAGEMENT OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CIVILIAN PERSONNEL.**

Section 129 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

(A) by striking out "man-year constraint or limitation" and inserting in lieu thereof "constraint or limitation in terms of man years, end strength, full-time equivalent positions, or maximum number of employees"; and

(B) by adding at the end the following new sentence: "The Secretary of Defense and the Secretaries of the military departments may not be required to make a reduction in the number of full-time equivalent positions in the Department of Defense unless such reduction is necessary due to a reduction in funds available to the Department or is required under a law that is enacted after the date of the enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1996 and that refers specifically to this subsection.";

(2) in subsection (b)(2), by striking out "any end-strength" and inserting in lieu thereof "any constraint or limitation in terms of man years, end strength, full-time equivalent positions, or maximum number of employees"; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(d) With respect to each budget activity within an appropriation for a fiscal year for operations and maintenance, the Secretary of Defense shall ensure that there are employed during that fiscal year employees in the number and with the combination of skills and qualifications that are necessary to carry out the functions within that budget activity for which funds are provided for that fiscal year.".

**SEC. 1032. CONVERSION OF MILITARY POSITIONS TO CIVILIAN POSITIONS.**

(a) CONVERSION REQUIREMENT.—(1) By September 30, 1997, the Secretary of Defense shall convert at least 10,000 military positions to civilian positions.

(2) At least 3,000 of the military positions converted to satisfy the requirement of paragraph (1) shall be converted to civilian positions not later than September 30, 1996.

(3) In this subsection:

(A) The term "military position" means a position that, as of the date of the enactment of this Act, is authorized to be filled by a member of the Armed Forces on active duty.

(B) The term "civilian position" means a position that is required to be filled by a civilian employee of the Department of Defense.

(b) IMPLEMENTATION PLAN.—Not later than March 31, 1996, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives a plan for the implementation of subsection (a).

**SEC. 1033. ELIMINATION OF 120-DAY LIMITATION ON DETAILS OF CERTAIN EMPLOYEES.**

(a) ELIMINATION OF LIMITATION.—Subsection (b) of section 3341 of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by inserting "(1)" after "(b)"; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

"(2) The 120-day limitation in paragraph (1) for details and renewals of details does not apply to the Department of Defense in the case of a detail—

"(A) made in connection with the closure or realignment of a military installation pursuant to a base closure law or an organizational restructuring of the Department as part of a reduction in the size of the armed forces or the civilian workforce of the Department; and

"(B) in which the position to which the employee is detailed is eliminated on or before the date of the closure, realignment, or restructuring.

"(c) For purposes of this section—

"(1) the term 'base closure law' means—

"(A) section 2687 of title 10;

"(B) title II of the Defense Authorization Amendments and Base Closure and Realignment Act (10 U.S.C. 2687 note); and

"(C) the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (10 U.S.C. 2687 note); and

"(2) the term 'military installation'—

"(A) in the case of an installation covered by section 2687 of title 10, has the meaning given such term in subsection (e)(1) of such section;

"(B) in the case of an installation covered by the Act referred to in subparagraph (B) of paragraph (1), has the meaning given such term in section 209(6) of such Act; and

"(C) in the case of an installation covered by the Act referred to in subparagraph (C) of that paragraph, has the meaning given such term in section 2910(4) of such Act.".

(b) APPLICABILITY.—The amendments made by subsection (a) apply to details made before the date of the enactment of this Act but still in effect on that date and details made on or after that date.

**SEC. 1034. AUTHORITY FOR CIVILIAN EMPLOYEES OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE TO PARTICIPATE VOLUNTARILY IN REDUCTIONS IN FORCE.**

Section 3502 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(f)(1) The Secretary of Defense or the Secretary of a military department may—

"(A) release in a reduction in force an employee who volunteers for the release even though the employee is not otherwise subject to release in the reduction in force under the criteria applicable under the other provisions of this section; and

"(B) for each employee voluntarily released in the reduction in force under subparagraph (A), retain an employee in a similar position who would otherwise be released in the reduction in force under such criteria.

"(2) A voluntary release of an employee in a reduction in force pursuant to paragraph (1) shall be treated as an involuntary release in the reduction in force.

"(3) An employee with critical knowledge and skills (as defined by the Secretary concerned) may not participate in a voluntary release under paragraph (1) if the Secretary concerned determines that such participation would impair the performance of the mission of the Department of Defense or the military department concerned.

"(4) The regulations prescribed under this section shall incorporate the authority provided in this subsection.

"(5) The authority under paragraph (1) may not be exercised after September 30, 1996.".

**SEC. 1035. AUTHORITY TO PAY SEVERANCE PAYMENTS IN LUMP SUMS.**

Section 5595 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(i)(1) In the case of an employee of the Department of Defense who is entitled to severance pay under this section, the Secretary of Defense or the Secretary of the military department concerned may, upon application by the employee, pay the total amount of the severance pay to the employee in one lump sum.

"(2)(A) If an employee paid severance pay in a lump sum under this subsection is reemployed by the Government of the United States or the government of the District of Columbia at such time that, had the employee been paid severance pay in regular pay periods under subsection (b), the payments of such pay would have been discontinued under subsection (d) upon such reemployment, the employee shall repay to the Department of Defense (for the military department that formerly employed the employee, if applicable) an amount equal to the amount of severance pay to which the employee was entitled under this section that would not have been paid to the employee under subsection (d) by reason of such reemployment.

"(B) The period of service represented by an amount of severance pay repaid by an employee under subparagraph (A) shall be considered service for which severance pay has not been received by the employee under this section.

"(C) Amounts repaid to an agency under this paragraph shall be credited to the appropriation available for the pay of employees of the agency for the fiscal year in which received. Amounts so credited shall be merged with, and shall be available for the same purposes and the same period as, the other funds in that appropriation.

"(3) If an employee fails to repay to an agency an amount required to be repaid under paragraph (2)(A), that amount is recoverable from the employee as a debt due the United States.

"(4) This subsection applies with respect to severance pay payable under this section for separations taking effect on or after the date of the enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1996 and before October 1, 1999.".

**SEC. 1036. CONTINUED HEALTH INSURANCE COVERAGE.**

Section 8905a(d)(4) of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A), by inserting ", or a voluntary separation from a surplus position," after "an involuntary separation from a position"; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

"(C) For the purpose of this paragraph, 'surplus position' means a position which is identified in pre-reduction-in-force planning as no longer required, and which is expected to be eliminated under formal reduction-in-force procedures.".

**SEC. 1037. REVISION OF AUTHORITY FOR APPOINTMENTS OF INVOLUNTARILY SEPARATED MILITARY RESERVE TECHNICIANS.**

(a) REVISION OF AUTHORITY.—Section 3329 of title 5, United States Code, as added by section 544 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993 (Public Law 102–484; 106 Stat. 2415), is amended—

(1) in subsection (b), by striking out "be offered" and inserting in lieu thereof "be provided placement consideration in a position described in subsection (c) through a priority placement program of the Department of Defense"; and

(2) by striking out subsection (c) and inserting in lieu thereof the following new subsection (c):

"(c)(1) The position for which placement consideration shall be provided to a former military technician under subsection (b) shall be a position—

"(A) in either the competitive service or the excepted service;

"(B) within the Department of Defense; and

"(C) in which the person is qualified to serve, taking into consideration whether the employee in that position is required to be a member of a reserve component of the armed forces as a condition of employment.

"(2) To the maximum extent practicable, the position shall also be in a pay grade or other pay classification sufficient to ensure that the rate of basic pay of the former military technician, upon appointment to the position, is not less than the rate of basic pay last received by the former military technician for technician service before separation.".

(b) TECHNICAL AND CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.—(1) The section 3329 of title 5, United States Code, that was added by section 4431 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993 (Public Law 102–484; 106 Stat. 2719) is redesignated as section 3330 of such title.

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 33 of such title is amended by striking out the item relating to section 3329, as added by section 4431(b) of such Act (106 Stat. 2720), and inserting in lieu thereof the following new item:

"3330. Government-wide list of vacant positions.".

**SEC. 1038. WEARING OF UNIFORM BY NATIONAL GUARD TECHNICIANS.**

(a) REQUIREMENT.—Section 709(b) of title 32, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

"(b) Except as prescribed by the Secretary concerned, a technician employed under subsection (a) shall, while so employed—

"(1) be a member of the National Guard;

"(2) hold the military grade specified by the Secretary concerned for that position; and

"(3) wear the uniform appropriate for the member's grade and component of the armed forces while performing duties as a technician.".

(b) UNIFORM ALLOWANCES FOR OFFICERS.—Section 417 of title 37, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(d)(1) For purposes of sections 415 and 416 of this title, a period for which an officer of an armed force, while employed as a National Guard technician, is required to wear a uniform under section 709(b) of title 32 shall be treated as a period of active duty (other than for training).

"(2) A uniform allowance may not be paid, and uniforms may not be furnished, to an officer under section 1593 of title 10 or section 5901 of title 5 for a period of employment referred to in paragraph (1) for which an officer is paid a uniform allowance under section 415 or 416 of this title.".

(c) CLOTHING OR ALLOWANCES FOR ENLISTED MEMBERS.—Section 418 of title 37, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by inserting "(a)" before "The President"; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

"(b) In determining the quantity and kind of clothing or allowances to be furnished pursuant to regulations prescribed under this section to persons employed as National Guard technicians under section 709 of title 32, the President shall take into account the requirement under subsection (b) of such section for such persons to wear a uniform.

"(c) A uniform allowance may not be paid, and uniforms may not be furnished, under section 1593 of title 10 or section 5901 of title 5 to a person referred to in subsection (b) for a period of employment referred to in that subsection for which a uniform allowance is paid under section 415 or 416 of this title.".

**SEC. 1039. MILITARY LEAVE FOR MILITARY RESERVE TECHNICIANS FOR CERTAIN DUTY OVERSEAS.**

Section 6323 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(d)(1) A military reserve technician described in section 8401(30) is entitled at such person's request to leave without loss of, or reduction in, pay, leave to which such person is otherwise entitled, credit for time or service, or performance or efficiency rating for each day, not to exceed 44 workdays in a calendar year, in which such person is on active duty without pay, as authorized pursuant to section 12315 of title 10, under section 12301(b) or 12301(d) of title 10 (other than active duty during a war or national emergency declared by the President or Congress) for participation in noncombat operations outside the United States, its territories and possessions.

"(2) An employee who requests annual leave or compensatory time to which the employee is otherwise entitled, for a period during which the employee would have been entitled upon request to leave under this subsection, may be granted such annual leave or compensatory time without regard to this section or section 5519.".

**SEC. 1040. PERSONNEL ACTIONS INVOLVING EMPLOYEES OF NONAPPROPRIATED FUND INSTRUMENTALITIES.**

(a) CLARIFICATION OF DEFINITION OF NONAPPROPRIATED FUND INSTRUMENTALITY EMPLOYEE.—Subsection (a)(1) of section 1587 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new sentence: "Such term includes a civilian employee of a support organization within the Department of Defense or a military department, such as the Defense Finance and Accounting Service, who is paid from nonappropriated funds on account of the nature of the employee's duties.".

(b) DIRECT REPORTING OF VIOLATIONS.—Subsection (e) of such section is amended in the second sentence by inserting before the period the following: "and to permit the reporting of alleged violations of subsection (b) directly to the Inspector General of the Department of Defense".

(c) TECHNICAL AMENDMENT.—Subsection (a)(1) of such section is further amended by striking out "Navy Resale and Services Support Office" and inserting in lieu thereof "Navy Exchange Service Command".

(d) CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.—(1) The heading of such section is amended to read as follows:

**"§ 1587. Employees of nonappropriated fund instrumentalities: reprisals".**

(2) The item relating to such section in the table of sections at the beginning of chapter 81 of such title is amended to read as follows:

"1587. Employees of nonappropriated fund instrumentalities: reprisals.".

**SEC. 1041. COVERAGE OF NONAPPROPRIATED FUND EMPLOYEES UNDER AUTHORITY FOR FLEXIBLE AND COMPRESSED WORK SCHEDULES.**

Paragraph (2) of section 6121 of title 5, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

"(2) 'employee' has the meaning given the term in subsection (a) of section 2105 of this title, except that such term also includes an employee described in subsection (c) of that section;".

**SEC. 1042. LIMITATION ON PROVISION OF OVERSEAS LIVING QUARTERS ALLOWANCES FOR NONAPPROPRIATED FUND INSTRUMENTALITY EMPLOYEES.**

(a) CONFORMING ALLOWANCE TO ALLOWANCES FOR OTHER CIVILIAN EMPLOYEES.—Subject to subsection (b), an overseas living quarters allowance paid from nonappropriated funds and provided to a nonappropriated fund instrumentality employee after the date of the enactment of this Act may not exceed the amount of a quarters allowance provided under subchapter III of chapter 59 of title 5 to a similarly situated civilian employee of the Department of Defense paid from appropriated funds.

(b) APPLICATION TO CERTAIN CURRENT EMPLOYEES.—In the case of a nonappropriated fund instrumentality employee who, as of the date of the enactment of this Act, receives an overseas living quarters allowance under any other authority, subsection (a) shall apply to such employee only after the earlier of—

(1) September 30, 1997; or

(2) the date on which the employee otherwise ceases to be eligible for such an allowance under such other authority.

(c) NONAPPROPRIATED FUND INSTRUMENTALITY EMPLOYEE DEFINED.—For purposes of this section, the term "nonappropriated fund instrumentality employee" has the meaning given such term in section 1587(a)(1) of title 10, United States Code.

**SEC. 1043. ELECTIONS RELATING TO RETIREMENT COVERAGE.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—

(1) CIVIL SERVICE RETIREMENT SYSTEM.—Section 8347(q) of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(A) in paragraph (1)—

(i) by striking "of the Department of Defense or the Coast Guard" in the matter before subparagraph (A); and

(ii) by striking "3 days" and inserting "1 year"; and

(B) in paragraph (2)(C)—

(i) by striking "3 days" and inserting "1 year"; and

(ii) by striking "in the Department of Defense or the Coast Guard, respectively,".

(2) FEDERAL EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM.—Section 8461(n) of title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(A) in paragraph (1)—

(i) by striking "of the Department of Defense or the Coast Guard" in the matter before subparagraph (A); and

(ii) by striking "3 days" and inserting "1 year"; and

(B) in paragraph (2)(C)—

(i) by striking "3 days" and inserting "1 year"; and

(ii) by striking "in the Department of Defense or the Coast Guard, respectively,".

(b) REGULATIONS.—Not later than 6 months after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Office of Personnel Management (and each of the other administrative authorities, within the meaning of subsection (c)(2)(C)(iii)) shall prescribe any regulations (or make any modifications in existing regulations) necessary to carry out this section

and the amendments made by this section, including regulations to provide for the notification of individuals who may be affected by the enactment of this section. All regulations (and modifications to regulations) under the preceding sentence shall take effect on the same date.

(c) APPLICABILITY; RELATED PROVISIONS.—

(1) PROSPECTIVE RULES.—Except as otherwise provided in this subsection, the amendments made by this section shall apply with respect to moves occurring on or after the effective date of the regulations under subsection (b). Moves occurring on or after the date of the enactment of this Act and before the effective date of such regulations shall be subject to applicable provisions of title 5, United States Code, disregarding the amendments made by this section, except that any individual making an election pursuant to this sentence shall be ineligible to make an election otherwise allowable under paragraph (2).

(2) RETROACTIVE RULES.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The regulations under subsection (b) shall include provisions for the application of sections 8347(q) and 8461(n) of title 5, United States Code, as amended by this section, with respect to any individual who, at any time after December 31, 1965, and before the effective date of such regulations, moved between positions in circumstances that would have qualified such individual to make an election under the provisions of such section 8347(q) or 8461(n), as so amended, if such provisions had then been in effect.

(B) DEADLINE; RELATED PROVISIONS.—An election pursuant to this paragraph—

(i) shall be made within 1 year after the effective date of the regulations under subsection (b), and

(ii) shall have the same force and effect as if it had been timely made at the time of the move,

except that no such election may be made by any individual—

(I) who has previously made, or had an opportunity to make, an election under section 8347(q) or 8461(n) of title 5, United States Code (as in effect before being amended by this section); however, this subclause shall not be considered to render an individual ineligible, based on an opportunity arising out of a move occurring during the period described in the second sentence of paragraph (1), if no election has in fact been made by such individual based on such move;

(II) who has not, since the move on which eligibility for the election is based, remained continuously subject (disregarding any break in service of less than 3 days) to CSRS or FERS or both seriatim (if the move was from a NAFI position) or any retirement system (or 2 or more such systems seriatim) established for employees described in section 2105(c) of such title (if the move was to a NAFI position); or

(III) if such election would be based on a move to the Civil Service Retirement System from a retirement system established for employees described in section 2105(c) of such title.

(C) TRANSFERS OF CONTRIBUTIONS.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—If an individual makes an election under this paragraph to be transferred back to a retirement system in which such individual previously participated (in this section referred to as the "previous system"), all individual contributions (including interest) and Government contributions to the retirement system in which such individual is then currently participating (in this section referred to as the "current system"), excluding those made to the Thrift Savings Plan or any other defined contribution plan, which are attributable to periods of service performed since the move on which the election is based, shall be paid to the fund, account, or other repository for contributions made under the previous system. For purposes of this section, the term "current system" shall be considered also to include any retirement system (besides the one in which the individual is participating at the time of making the election) in which such individual previously participated since the move on which the election is based.

(ii) CONDITION SUBSEQUENT RELATING TO REPAYMENT OF LUMP-SUM CREDIT.—In the case of an individual who has received such individual's lump-sum credit (within the meaning of section 8401(19) of title 5, United States Code, or a similar payment) from such individual's previous system, the payment described in clause (i) shall not be made (and the election to which it relates shall be ineffective) unless such lump-sum credit is redeposited or otherwise paid at such time and in such manner as shall be required under applicable regulations. Regulations to carry out this clause shall include provisions for the computation of interest (consistent with section 8334(e) (2) and (3) of title 5, United States Code), if no provisions for such computation otherwise exist.

(iii) CONDITION SUBSEQUENT RELATING TO DEFICIENCY IN PAYMENTS RELATIVE TO AMOUNTS NEEDED TO ENSURE THAT BENEFITS ARE FULLY FUNDED.—

(I) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subclause (II), the payment described in clause (i) shall not be made (and the election to which it relates shall be ineffective) if the actuarial present value of the future benefits that would be payable under the previous system with respect to service performed by such individual after the move on which the election under this paragraph is based and before the effective date of the election, exceeds the total amounts required to be transferred to the previous system under the preceding provisions of this subparagraph with respect to such service, as determined by the authority administering such previous system (in this section referred to as the "administrative authority").

(II) PAYMENT OF DEFICIENCY.—A determination of a deficiency under this clause shall not render an election ineffective if the individual pays or arranges to pay, at a time and in a manner satisfactory to such administrative authority, the full amount of the deficiency described in subclause (I).

(D) ALTERNATIVE ELECTION FOR AN INDIVIDUAL THEN PARTICIPATING IN FERS.—

(i) APPLICABILITY.—This subparagraph applies with respect to any individual who—

(I) is then currently participating in FERS; and

(II) would then otherwise be eligible to make an election under subparagraphs (A) through (C) of this paragraph, determined disregarding the matter in subclause (I) of subparagraph (B) before the first semicolon therein.

(ii) ELECTION.—An individual described in clause (i) may, instead of making an election for which such individual is otherwise eligible under this paragraph, elect to have all prior qualifying NAFI service of such individual treated as creditable service for purposes of any annuity under FERS payable out of the Civil Service Retirement and Disability Fund.

(iii) QUALIFYING NAFI SERVICE.—For purposes of this subparagraph, the term "qualifying NAFI service" means any service which, but for this subparagraph, would be creditable for purposes of any retirement system established for employees described in section 2105(c) of title 5, United States Code.

(iv) SERVICE CEASES TO BE CREDITABLE FOR NAFI RETIREMENT SYSTEM PURPOSES.—Any qualifying NAFI service that becomes creditable for FERS purposes by virtue of an election made under this subparagraph shall not be creditable for purposes of any retirement system referred to in clause (iii).

(v) CONDITIONS.—An election under this subparagraph shall be subject to requirements, similar to those set forth in subparagraph (C), to ensure that—

(I) appropriate transfers of individual and Government contributions are made to the Civil Service Retirement and Disability Fund; and

(II) the actuarial present value of future benefits under FERS attributable to service made creditable by such election is fully funded.

(E) ALTERNATIVE ELECTION FOR AN INDIVIDUAL THEN PARTICIPATING IN A NAFI RETIREMENT SYSTEM.—

(i) APPLICABILITY.—This subparagraph applies with respect to any individual who—

(I) is then currently participating in any retirement system established for employees described in section 2105(c) of title 5, United States Code (in this subparagraph referred to as a "NAFI retirement system"); and

(II) would then otherwise be eligible to make an election under subparagraphs (A) through (C) of this paragraph (determined disregarding the matter in subclause (I) of subparagraph (B) before the first semicolon therein) based on a move from FERS.

(ii) ELECTION.—An individual described in clause (i) may, instead of making an election for which such individual is otherwise eligible under this paragraph, elect to have all prior qualifying FERS service of such individual treated as creditable service for purposes of determining eligibility for benefits under a NAFI retirement system, but not for purposes of computing the amount of any such benefits except as provided in clause (v)(II).

(iii) QUALIFYING FERS SERVICE.—For purposes of this subparagraph, the term "qualifying FERS service" means any service which, but for this subparagraph, would be creditable for purposes of the Federal Employees' Retirement System.

(iv) SERVICE CEASES TO BE CREDITABLE FOR PURPOSES OF FERS.—Any qualifying FERS service that becomes creditable for NAFI purposes by virtue of an election made under this subparagraph shall not be creditable for purposes of the Federal Employees' Retirement System.

(v) FUNDING REQUIREMENTS.—

(I) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subclause (II), nothing in this section or in any other provision of law or any other authority shall be considered to require any payment or transfer of monies in order for an election under this subparagraph to be effective.

(II) CONTRIBUTION REQUIRED ONLY IF INDIVIDUAL ELECTS TO HAVE SERVICE MADE CREDITABLE FOR COMPUTATION PURPOSES AS WELL.—Under regulations prescribed by the appropriate administrative authority, an individual making an election under this subparagraph may further elect to have the qualifying FERS service made creditable for computation purposes under a NAFI retirement system, but only if the individual pays or arranges to pay, at a time and in a manner satisfactory to such administrative authority, the amount necessary to fully fund the actuarial present value of future benefits

under the NAFI retirement system attributable to the qualifying FERS service.

(3) INFORMATION.—The regulations under subsection (b) shall include provisions under which any individual—

(A) shall, upon request, be provided information or assistance in determining whether such individual is eligible to make an election under paragraph (2) and, if so, the exact amount of any payment which would be required of such individual in connection with any such election; and

(B) may seek any other information or assistance relating to any such election.

(d) CREDITABILITY OF NAFI SERVICE FOR RIF PURPOSES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Clause (ii) of section 3502(a)(C) of title 5, United States Code, is amended by striking "January 1, 1987" and inserting "January 1, 1966".

(2) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Notwithstanding any provision of subsection (c), the amendment made by paragraph (1) shall—

(A) take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act; and

(B) apply with respect to any reduction in force carried out on or after such date.

**SEC. 1044. EXTENSION OF TEMPORARY AUTHORITY TO PAY CIVILIAN EMPLOYEES WITH RESPECT TO THE EVACUATION FROM GUANTANAMO, CUBA.**

(a) EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY.—The Secretary of Defense may, until the end of January 31, 1996, and without regard to the time limitations specified in subsection (a) of section 5523 of title 5, United States Code, make payments under the provisions of such section from funds available for the pay of civilian personnel in the case of employees, or an employee's dependents or immediate family, evacuated from Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, pursuant to the August 26, 1994 order of the Secretary. This section shall take effect as of October 1, 1995, and shall apply with respect to payments made for periods occurring on or after that date.

(b) MONTHLY REPORT.—On the first day of each month beginning after the date of the enactment of this Act and ending before March 1996, the Secretary of the Navy shall transmit to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives a report regarding the payment of employees pursuant to subsection (a). Each such report shall include, for the month preceding the month in which the report is transmitted, a statement of the following:

(1) The number of the employees paid pursuant to such section.

(2) The positions of employment of the employees.

(3) The number and location of the employees' dependents and immediate families.

(4) The actions taken by the Secretary to eliminate the conditions which necessitated the payments.

**Subtitle E—Miscellaneous Reporting Requirements**

**SEC. 1051. REPORT ON FISCAL YEAR 1997 BUDGET SUBMISSION REGARDING GUARD AND RESERVE COMPONENTS.**

(a) REPORT.—The Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees, at the same time that the President submits the budget for fiscal year 1997 under section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code, a report on amounts requested in that budget for the Guard and Reserve components.

(b) CONTENT.—The report shall include the following:

(1) A description of the anticipated effect that the amounts requested (if approved by Congress) will have to enhance the capabilities of each of the Guard and Reserve components.

(2) A listing, with respect to each such component, of each of the following:

(A) The amount requested for each major weapon system for which funds are requested in the budget for that component.

(B) The amount requested for each item of equipment (other than a major weapon system) for which funds are requested in the budget for that component.

(C) The amount requested for each military construction project, together with the location of each such project, for which funds are requested in the budget for that component.

(c) INCLUSION OF INFORMATION IN NEXT FYDP.—The Secretary of Defense shall specifically display in the next future-years defense program (or program revision) submitted to Congress after the date of the enactment of this Act the amounts programmed for procurement of equipment and for military construction for each of the Guard and Reserve components.

(d) DEFINITION.—For purposes of this section, the term "Guard and Reserve components" means the following:

(1) The Army Reserve.

(2) The Army National Guard of the United States.

(3) The Naval Reserve.

(4) The Marine Corps Reserve.

(5) The Air Force Reserve.

(6) The Air National Guard of the United States.

**SEC. 1052. REPORT ON DESIRABILITY AND FEASIBILITY OF PROVIDING AUTHORITY FOR USE OF FUNDS DERIVED FROM RECOVERED LOSSES RESULTING FROM CONTRACTOR FRAUD.**

(a) REPORT.—Not later than April 1, 1996, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report on the desirability and feasibility of authorizing by law the retention and use by the Department of Defense of a specified portion (not to exceed three percent) of amounts recovered by the Government during any fiscal year from losses and expenses incurred by the Department of Defense as a result of contractor fraud at military installations.

(b) MATTERS TO BE INCLUDED.—The report shall include the views of the Secretary of Defense regarding—

(1) the degree to which such authority would create enhanced incentives for the discovery, investigation, and resolution of contractor fraud at military installations; and

(2) the appropriate allocation for funds that would be available for expenditure pursuant to such authority.

**SEC. 1053. REPORT OF NATIONAL POLICY ON PROTECTING THE NATIONAL INFORMATION INFRASTRUCTURE AGAINST STRATEGIC ATTACKS.**

Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the President shall submit to Congress a report setting forth the results of a review of the national policy on protecting the national information infrastructure against strategic attacks. The report shall include the following:

(1) A description of the national policy and architecture governing the plans for establishing procedures, capabilities, systems, and processes necessary to perform indications, warning, and assessment functions regarding strategic attacks by foreign nations, groups, or individuals, or any other entity against the national information infrastructure.

(2) An assessment of the future of the National Communications System (NCS), which has performed the central role in ensuring national security and emergency preparedness communications for essential United States Government and private sector users, including a discussion of—

(A) whether there is a Federal interest in expanding or modernizing the National Communications System in light of the changing strategic national security environment and the revolution in information technologies; and

(B) the best use of the National Communications System and the assets and experience it represents as an integral part of a larger national strategy to protect the United States against a strategic attack on the national information infrastructure.

**SEC. 1054. REPORT ON DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS.**

(a) STUDY.—The Secretary of Defense shall conduct a study of the boards and commissions described in subsection (c). As part of such study, the Secretary shall determine, with respect to each such board or commission that received support from the Department of Defense during fiscal year 1995, whether that board or commission merits continued support from the Department.

(b) REPORT.—Not later than April 1, 1996, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives a report on the results of the study. The report shall include the following:

(1) A list of each board and commission described in subsection (c) that received support from the Department of Defense during fiscal year 1995.

(2) With respect to the boards and commissions specified on the list under paragraph (1)—

(A) a list of each such board or commission concerning which the Secretary determined under subsection (a) that continued support from the Department of Defense is merited; and

(B) a list of each such board or commission concerning which the Secretary determined under subsection (a) that continued support from the Department is not merited.

(3) For each board and commission specified on the list under paragraph (2)(A), a description of—

(A) the purpose of the board or commission;

(B) the nature and cost of the support provided by the Department to the board or commission during fiscal year 1995;

(C) the nature and duration of the support that the Secretary proposes to provide to the board or commission;

(D) the anticipated cost to the Department of providing such support; and

(E) a justification of the determination that the board or commission merits the continued support of the Department.

(4) For each board and commission specified on the list under paragraph (2)(B), a description of—

(A) the purpose of the board or commission;

(B) the nature and cost of the support provided by the Department to the board or commission during fiscal year 1995; and

(C) a justification of the determination that the board or commission does not merit the continued support of the Department.

(c) COVERED BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS.—Subsection (a) applies to any board or commission (including any board or commission authorized by law) that operates within or for the Department of Defense and that—

(1) provides only policy-making assistance or advisory services for the Department; or

(2) carries out only activities that are not routine activities, on-going activities, or activities necessary to the routine, on-going operations of the Department.

(d) SUPPORT DEFINED.—For purposes of this section, the term "support" includes the provision of any of the following:

(1) Funds.

(2) Equipment, materiel, or other assets.

(3) Services of personnel.

**SEC. 1055. DATE FOR SUBMISSION OF ANNUAL REPORT ON SPECIAL ACCESS PROGRAMS.**

Section 119(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking out "February 1" and inserting in lieu thereof "March 1".

**Subtitle F—Repeal of Certain Reporting and Other Requirements and Authorities**

**SEC. 1061. REPEAL OF MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS OF LAW.**

(a) VOLUNTEERS INVESTING IN PEACE AND SECURITY PROGRAM.—(1) Chapter 89 of title 10, United States Code, is repealed.

(2) The tables of chapters at the beginning of subtitle A, and at the beginning of part II of subtitle A, of such title are each amended by striking out the item relating to chapter 89.

(b) SECURITY AND CONTROL OF SUPPLIES.—(1) Chapter 171 of such title is repealed.

(2) The tables of chapters at the beginning of subtitle A, and at the beginning of part IV of subtitle A, of such title are each amended by striking out the item relating to chapter 171.

(c) ANNUAL AUTHORIZATION OF MILITARY TRAINING STUDENT LOADS.—Section 115 of such title is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by striking out paragraph (3);

(2) in subsection (b)—

(A) by inserting "or" at the end of paragraph (1);

(B) by striking out "; or" at the end of paragraph (2) and inserting in lieu thereof a period; and

(C) by striking out paragraph (3); and

(3) by striking out subsection (f).

(d) PORTIONS OF ANNUAL MANPOWER REQUIREMENTS REPORT.—Section 115a of such title is amended—

(1) in subsection (b)(2), by striking out subparagraph (C);

(2) by striking out subsection (d);

(3) by redesignating subsection (e) as subsection (d) and striking out paragraphs (4) and (5) thereof;

(4) by striking out subsection (f); and

(5) by redesignating subsection (g) as subsection (e).

(e) OBSOLETE AUTHORITY FOR PAYMENT OF STIPENDS FOR MEMBERS OF CERTAIN ADVISORY COMMITTEES AND BOARDS OF VISITORS OF SERVICE ACADEMIES.—(1) The second sentence of each of sections 173(b) and 174(b) of such title is amended to read as follows: "Other members and part-time advisers shall (except as otherwise specifically authorized by law) serve without compensation for such service.".

(2) Sections 4355(h), 6968(h), and 9355(h) of such title are amended by striking out "is entitled to not more than $5 a day and".

(f) ANNUAL BUDGET INFORMATION CONCERNING RECRUITING COSTS.—(1) Section 227 of such title is repealed.

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 9 of such title is amended by striking out the item relating to section 227.

(g) EXPIRED AUTHORITY RELATING TO PEACEKEEPING ACTIVITIES.—(1) Section 403 of such title is repealed.

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of subchapter I of chapter 20 of such title is amended by striking out the item relating to section 403.

(h) PROCUREMENT OF GASOHOL FOR DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE MOTOR VEHICLES.—(1) Subsection (a) of section 2398 of such title is repealed.

(2) Such section is further amended—

(A) by redesignating subsections (b) and (c) as subsections (a) and (b), respectively; and

(B) in subsection (b), as so redesignated, by striking out "subsection (b)" and inserting in lieu thereof "subsection (a)".

(i) REQUIREMENT OF NOTICE OF CERTAIN DISPOSALS AND GIFTS BY SECRETARY OF NAVY.—Section 7545 of such title is amended—

(1) by striking out subsection (c); and

(2) by redesignating subsection (d) as subsection (c).

(j) ANNUAL REPORT ON BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE RESEARCH PROGRAM.—(1) Section 2370 of such title is repealed.

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 139 of such title is amended by striking out the item relating to such section.

(k) REPORTS AND NOTIFICATIONS RELATING TO CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL AGENTS.—Subsection (a) of section 409 of Public Law 91-121 (50 U.S.C. 1511) is repealed.

(l) ANNUAL REPORT ON BALANCED TECHNOLOGY INITIATIVE.—Subsection (e) of section 211 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 1990 and 1991 (Public Law 101-189; 103 Stat. 1394) is repealed.

(m) REPORT ON ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION COSTS FOR INSTALLATIONS TO BE CLOSED UNDER 1990 BASE CLOSURE LAW.—Section 2827 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 1992 and 1993 (Public Law 102-190; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note) is amended by striking out subsection (b).

(n) LIMITATION ON AMERICAN DIPLOMATIC FACILITIES IN GERMANY.—Section 1432 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1994 (Public Law 103-160; 107 Stat. 1833) is repealed.

**SEC. 1062. REPORTS REQUIRED BY TITLE 10, UNITED STATES CODE.**

(a) ANNUAL REPORT ON RELOCATION ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS.—Section 1056 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking out subsection (f); and

(2) by redesignating subsection (g) as subsection (f).

(b) NOTICE OF SALARY INCREASES FOR FOREIGN NATIONAL EMPLOYEES.—Section 1584 of such title is amended—

(1) by striking out subsection (b); and

(2) in subsection (a), by striking out "(a) WAIVER OF EMPLOYMENT RESTRICTIONS FOR CERTAIN PERSONNEL.—".

(c) NOTICE REGARDING CONTRACTS PERFORMED FOR PERIODS EXCEEDING 10 YEARS.—(1) Section 2352 of such title is repealed.

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 139 of such title is amended by striking out the item relating to section 2352.

(d) REPORT ON LOW-RATE PRODUCTION UNDER NAVAL VESSEL AND MILITARY SATELLITE PROGRAMS.—Section 2400(c) of such title is amended—

(1) by striking out paragraph (2); and

(2) in paragraph (1)—

(A) by striking out "(1)"; and

(B) by redesignating clauses (A) and (B) as clauses (1) and (2), respectively.

(e) REPORT ON WAIVERS OF PROHIBITION ON EMPLOYMENT OF FELONS.—Section 2408(a)(3) of such title is amended by striking out the second sentence.

(f) REPORT ON DETERMINATION NOT TO DEBAR FOR FRAUDULENT USE OF LABELS.—Section 2410f(a) of such title is amended by striking out the second sentence.

(g) NOTICE OF MILITARY CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTS ON GUAM.—Section 2864(b) of such title is amended by striking out "after the 21-day period" and all that follows through "determination".

**SEC. 1063. REPORTS REQUIRED BY DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION AND APPROPRIATIONS ACTS.**

(a) PUBLIC LAW 99-661 REQUIREMENT FOR REPORT ON FUNDING FOR NICARAGUAN DEMOCRATIC RESISTANCE.—Section 1351 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1987 (Public Law 99-661; 100 Stat. 3995; 10 U.S.C. 114 note) is amended—

(1) by striking out subsection (b); and

(2) in subsection (a), by striking out "(a) LIMITATION.—".

(b) ANNUAL REPORT ON OVERSEAS MILITARY FACILITY INVESTMENT RECOVERY ACCOUNT.—Section 2921 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1991 (division B of Public Law 101-510; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note) is amended—

(1) by striking out subsection (f); and

(2) by redesignating subsections (g) and (h) as subsections (f) and (g), respectively.

(c) SCIENCE, MATHEMATICS, AND ENGINEERING EDUCATION MASTER PLAN.—Section 829 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 1992 and 1993 (Public Law 102-190; 105 Stat. 1444; 10 U.S.C. 2192 note) is repealed.

(d) REPORT REGARDING HEATING FACILITY MODERNIZATION AT KAISERSLAUTERN.—Section 8008 of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 1994 (Public Law 103-139; 107 Stat. 1438), is amended by inserting "but without regard to the notification requirement in subsection (b)(2) of such section," after "section 2690 of title 10, United States Code,".

**SEC. 1064. REPORTS REQUIRED BY OTHER PROVISIONS OF LAW.**

(a) REQUIREMENT UNDER ARMS EXPORT CONTROL ACT FOR QUARTERLY REPORT ON PRICE AND AVAILABILITY ESTIMATES.—Section 28 of the Arms Export Control Act (22 U.S.C. 2768) is repealed.

(b) ANNUAL REPORT ON NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY EXECUTIVE PERSONNEL.—Section 12(a) of the National Security Agency Act of 1959 (50 U.S.C. 402 note) is amended by striking out paragraph (5).

(c) REPORTS CONCERNING CERTAIN FEDERAL CONTRACTING AND FINANCIAL TRANSACTIONS.—Section 1352 of title 31, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (b)(6)(A), by inserting "(other than the Secretary of Defense and Secretary of a military department)" after "The head of each agency"; and

(2) in subsection (d)(1), by inserting "(other than in the case of the Department of Defense or a military department)" after "paragraph (3) of this subsection".

(d) ANNUAL REPORT ON WATER RESOURCES PROJECT AGREEMENTS.—Section 221 of the Flood Control Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. 1962d-5b) is amended—

(1) by striking out subsection (e); and

(2) by redesignating subsection (f) as subsection (e).

(e) ANNUAL REPORT ON CONSTRUCTION OF TENNESSEE-TOMBIGBEE WATERWAY.—Section 185 of the Water Resources Development Act of 1976 (33 U.S.C. 544c) is amended by striking out the second sentence.

(f) ANNUAL REPORT ON MONITORING OF NAVY HOME PORT WATERS.—Section 7 of the Organotin Antifouling Paint Control Act of 1988 (33 U.S.C. 2406) is amended—

(1) by striking out subsection (d); and

(2) by redesignating subsections (e) and (f) as subsections (d) and (e), respectively.

**Subtitle G—Department of Defense Education Programs**

**SEC. 1071. CONTINUATION OF UNIFORMED SERVICES UNIVERSITY OF THE HEALTH SCIENCES.**

(a) POLICY.—Congress reaffirms—

(1) the prohibition set forth in subsection (a) of section 922 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (Public Law 103-337; 108 Stat. 2829; 10 U.S.C. 2112 note) regarding closure of the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences; and

(2) the expression of the sense of Congress set forth in subsection (b) of such section regarding the budgetary commitment to continuation of the university.

(b) PERSONNEL STRENGTH.—During the five-year period beginning on October 1, 1995, the personnel staffing levels for the Uniformed Services University of the Health Services may not be reduced below the personnel staffing levels for the university as of October 1, 1993.

(c) BUDGETARY COMMITMENT TO CONTINUATION.—It is the sense of Congress that the Secretary of Defense should budget for the operation of the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences during fiscal year 1997 at a level at least equal to the level of operations conducted at the University during fiscal year 1995.

**SEC. 1072. ADDITIONAL GRADUATE SCHOOLS AND PROGRAMS AT UNIFORMED SERVICES UNIVERSITY OF THE HEALTH SCIENCES.**

(a) ADDITIONAL SCHOOLS AND PROGRAMS.—Subsection (h) of section 2113 of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

"(h) The Secretary of Defense may establish the following educational programs at the University:

"(1) Postdoctoral, postgraduate, and technological institutes.

"(2) A graduate school of nursing.

"(3) Other schools or programs that the Secretary determines necessary in order to operate the University in a cost-effective manner.".

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS TO REFLECT ADVISORY NATURE OF BOARD OF REGENTS.—(1) Section 2112(b) of such title is amended by striking out ", upon recommendation of the Board of Regents,".

(2) Section 2113 of such title is amended—

(A) in subsection (a)—

(i) by striking out "a Board of Regents (hereinafter in this chapter referred to as the 'Board')" in the first sentence and inserting in lieu thereof "the Secretary of Defense"; and

(ii) by inserting after the first sentence the following new sentence: "To assist the Secretary in an advisory capacity, there is a Board of Regents for the University.";

(B) in subsection (d), by striking out "Board" the first place it appears and inserting in lieu thereof "Secretary";

(C) in subsection (e), by striking out "of Defense";

(D) in subsection (f)(1), by striking out "of Defense";

(E) in subsection (g)—

(i) by striking out "Board is authorized to" in the first sentence and inserting in lieu thereof "Secretary may";

(ii) by striking out "Board is also authorized to" in the third sentence and inserting in lieu thereof "Secretary may"; and

(iii) by striking out "Board may also, subject to the approval of the Secretary of Defense," in the fifth sentence and inserting in lieu thereof "Secretary may"; and

(F) by striking out "Board" each place it appears in subsections (f), (i), and (j) and inserting in lieu thereof "Secretary".

(3) Section 2114(e)(1) of such title is amended by striking out "Board, upon approval of the Secretary of Defense," and inserting in lieu thereof "Secretary of Defense".

(c) CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.—(1) The heading of section 2113 of such title is amended to read as follows:

**"§ 2113. Administration of University".**

(2) The item relating to such section in the table of sections at the beginning of chapter 104 of such title is amended to read as follows:

"2113. Administration of University.".

**SEC. 1073. FUNDING FOR ADULT EDUCATION PROGRAMS FOR MILITARY PERSONNEL AND DEPENDENTS OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.**

Of amounts appropriated pursuant to section 301, $600,000 shall be available to carry out adult education programs, consistent with the Adult Education Act (20 U.S.C. 1201 et seq.), for the following:

(1) Members of the Armed Forces who are serving in locations—

(A) that are outside the United States; and

(B) for which amounts are not required to be allotted under section 313(b) of such Act (20 U.S.C. 1201b(b)).

(2) The dependents of such members.

**SEC. 1074. ASSISTANCE TO LOCAL EDUCATIONAL AGENCIES THAT BENEFIT DEPENDENTS OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES AND DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CIVILIAN EMPLOYEES.**

(a) CONTINUATION OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PROGRAM FOR FISCAL YEAR 1996.—(1) Of the amounts authorized to be appropriated in section 301(5)—

(A) $30,000,000 shall be available for providing educational agencies assistance (as defined in paragraph (4)(A)) to local educational agencies; and

(B) $5,000,000 shall be available for making educational agencies payments (as defined in paragraph (4)(B)) to local educational agencies.

(2) Not later than June 30, 1996, the Secretary of Defense shall—

(A) notify each local educational agency that is eligible for educational agencies assistance for fiscal year 1996 of that agency's eligibility for such assistance and the amount of such assistance for which that agency is eligible; and

(B) notify each local educational agency that is eligible for an educational agencies payment for fiscal year 1996 of that agency's eligibility for such payment and the amount of the payment for which that agency is eligible.

(3) The Secretary of Defense shall disburse funds made available under subparagraphs (A) and (B) of paragraph (1) not later than 30 days after the date on which notification to the eligible local educational agencies is provided pursuant to paragraph (2).

(4) In this section:

(A) The term "educational agencies assistance" means assistance authorized under subsection (b) of section 386 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993 (Public Law 102-484; 20 U.S.C. 238 note).

(B) The term "educational agencies payments" means payments authorized under subsection (d) of that section, as amended by subsection (d).

(b) SPECIAL RULE FOR 1994 PAYMENTS.—The Secretary of Education shall not consider any payment to a local educational agency by the Department of Defense, that is available to such agency for current expenditures and used for capital expenses, as funds available to such agency for purposes of making a determination for fiscal year 1994 under section 3(d)(2)(B)(i) of the Act of September 30, 1950 (Public Law 874, 81st Congress) (as such Act was in effect on September 30, 1994).

(c) REDUCTION IN IMPACT THRESHOLD.—Subsection (c)(1) of section 386 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993 (Public Law 102-484; 20 U.S.C. 238 note) is amended—

(1) by striking out "30 percent" and inserting in lieu thereof "20 percent"; and

(2) by striking out "counted under subsection (a) or (b) of section 3 of the Act of September 30, 1950 (Public Law 874, Eighty-first Congress; 20 U.S.C. 238)" and inserting in lieu thereof "counted under section 8003(a) of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 7703(a))".

(d) ADJUSTMENTS RELATED TO BASE CLOSURES AND REALIGNMENTS.—Subsection (d) of section 386 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993 (Public Law 102-484; 28 U.S.C. 238 note) is amended to read as follows:

"(d) ADJUSTMENTS RELATED TO BASE CLOSURES AND REALIGNMENTS.—To assist communities in making adjustments resulting from reductions in the size of the Armed Forces, the Secretary of Defense shall, in consultation with the Secretary of Education, make payments to local educational agencies that, during the period between the end of the school year preceding the fiscal year for which the payments are authorized and the beginning of the school year immediately preceding that school year, had an overall reduction of not less than 20 percent in the number of military dependent students as a result of the closure or realignment of military installations.".

(e) EXTENSION OF REPORTING REQUIREMENT.—Subsection (e)(1) of section 386 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993 (Public Law 102-484; 20 U.S.C. 238 note) is amended by striking out "and 1995" and inserting in lieu thereof "1995, and 1996".

(f) PAYMENTS FOR ELIGIBLE FEDERALLY CONNECTED CHILDREN.—Subsection (f) of section 8003 of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 7703) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (2)—

(A) in the matter preceding clause (i) of subparagraph (A), by striking "only if such agency" and inserting "if such agency is eligible for a supplementary payment in accordance with subparagraph (B) or such agency"; and

(B) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

"(D) A local educational agency shall only be eligible to receive additional assistance under this subsection if the Secretary determines that—

"(i) such agency is exercising due diligence in availing itself of State and other financial assistance; and

"(ii) the eligibility of such agency under State law for State aid with respect to the free public education of children described in subsection (a)(1) and the amount of such aid are determined on a basis no less favorable to such agency than the basis used in determining the eligibility of local educational agencies for State aid, and the amount of such aid, with respect to the free public education of other children in the State."; and

(2) in paragraph (3)—

(A) in subparagraph (A)—

(i) in the matter preceding clause (i), by inserting "(other than any amount received under paragraph (2)(B))" after "subsection";

(ii) in subclause (I) of clause (i), by striking "or the average per-pupil expenditure of all the States";

(iii) by amending clause (ii) to read as follows:

"(ii) The Secretary shall next multiply the amount determined under clause (i) by the total number of students in average daily attendance at the schools of the local educational agency."; and

(iv) by amending clause (iii) to read as follows:

"(iii) The Secretary shall next subtract from the amount determined under clause (ii) all funds available to the local educational agency for current expenditures, but shall not so subtract funds provided—

"(I) under this Act; or

"(II) by any department or agency of the Federal Government (other than the Department) that are used for capital expenses."; and

(B) by amending subparagraph (B) to read as follows:

"(B) SPECIAL RULE.—With respect to payments under this subsection for a fiscal year for a local educational agency described in clause (ii) or (iii) of paragraph (2)(A), the maximum amount of payments under this subsection shall be equal to—

"(i) the product of—

"(I) the average per-pupil expenditure in all States multiplied by 0.7, except that such amount may not exceed 125 percent of the average per-pupil expenditure in all local educational agencies in the State; multiplied by

"(II) the number of students described in subparagraph (A) or (B) of subsection (a)(1) for such agency; minus

"(ii) the amount of payments such agency receives under subsections (b) and (d) for such year.".

(g) CURRENT YEAR DATA.—Paragraph (4) of section 8003(f) of such Act (20 U.S.C. 7703(f)) is amended to read as follows:

"(4) CURRENT YEAR DATA.—For purposes of providing assistance under this subsection the Secretary—

"(A) shall use student and revenue data from the fiscal year for which the local educational agency is applying for assistance under this subsection; and

"(B) shall derive the per pupil expenditure amount for such year for the local educational agency's comparable school districts by increasing or decreasing the per pupil expenditure data for the second fiscal year preceding the fiscal year for which the determination is made by the same percentage increase or decrease reflected between the per pupil expenditure data for the fourth fiscal year preceding the fiscal year for which the determination is made and the per pupil expenditure data for such second year.".

(h) TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS TO CORRECT REFERENCES TO REPEALED LAW.—Section 386 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993 (Public Law 102-484; 20 U.S.C. 238 note) is amended—

(1) in subsection (e)(2)—

(A) in subparagraph (C), by inserting after "et seq.)," the following: "title VIII of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 7701 et seq.),"; and

(B) in subparagraph (D)(iii), by striking out "under subsections (a) and (b) of section 3 of such Act (20 U.S.C. 238)"; and

(2) in subsection (h)—

(A) in paragraph (1), by striking out "section 14101 of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 8013(9) of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 7713(9))"; and

(B) by striking out paragraph (3) and inserting in lieu thereof the following new paragraph:

"(3) The term 'State' means each of the 50 States and the District of Columbia.".

**SEC. 1075. SHARING OF PERSONNEL OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE DOMESTIC DEPENDENT SCHOOLS AND DEFENSE DEPENDENTS' EDUCATION SYSTEM.**

Section 2164(e) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(4)(A) The Secretary may, without regard to the provisions of any law relating to the number, classification, or compensation of employees—

"(i) transfer employees from schools established under this section to schools in the defense dependents' education system in order to provide the services referred to in subparagraph (B) to such system; and

"(ii) transfer employees from such system to schools established under this section in order to provide such services to those schools.

"(B) The services referred to in subparagraph (A) are the following:

"(i) Administrative services.

"(ii) Logistical services.

"(iii) Personnel services.

"(iv) Such other services as the Secretary considers appropriate.

"(C) Transfers under this paragraph shall extend for such periods as the Secretary considers appropriate. The Secretary shall provide appropriate compensation for employees so transferred.

"(D) The Secretary may provide that the transfer of an employee under this paragraph occur without reimbursement of the school or system concerned.

"(E) In this paragraph, the term 'defense dependents' education system' means the program established and operated under section 1402(a) of the Defense Dependents' Education Act of 1978 (20 U.S.C. 921(a)).".

**SEC. 1076. INCREASE IN RESERVE COMPONENT MONTGOMERY GI BILL EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE ALLOWANCE WITH RESPECT TO SKILLS OR SPECIALTIES FOR WHICH THERE IS A CRITICAL SHORTAGE OF PERSONNEL.**

Section 16131 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(j)(1) In the case of a person who has a skill or specialty designated by the Secretary concerned as a skill or specialty in which there is a critical shortage of personnel or for which it is difficult to recruit or, in the case of critical units, retain personnel, the Secretary concerned may increase the rate of the educational assistance allowance applicable to that person to such rate in excess of the rate prescribed under subparagraphs (A) through (D) of subsection (b)(1) as the Secretary of Defense considers appropriate, but the amount of any such increase may not exceed $350 per month.

"(2) In the case of a person who has a skill or specialty designated by the Secretary concerned as a skill or specialty in which there is a critical shortage of personnel or for which it is difficult to recruit or, in the case of critical units, retain personnel, who is eligible for educational benefits under chapter 30 (other than section 3012) of title 38 and who meets the eligibility criteria specified in subparagraphs (A) and (B) of section 16132(a)(1) of this title, the Secretary concerned may increase the rate of the educational assistance allowance applicable to that person to such rate in excess of the rate prescribed under section 3015 of title 38 as the Secretary of Defense considers appropriate, but the amount of any such increase may not exceed $350 per month.

"(3) The authority provided by paragraphs (1) and (2) shall be exercised by the Secretaries concerned under regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Defense.".

**SEC. 1077. DATE FOR ANNUAL REPORT ON RESERVE COMPONENT MONTGOMERY GI BILL EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM.**

Section 16137 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking out "December 15 of each year" and inserting in lieu thereof "March 1 of each year".

**SEC. 1078. SCOPE OF EDUCATION PROGRAMS OF COMMUNITY COLLEGE OF THE AIR FORCE.**

(a) LIMITATION TO MEMBERS OF THE AIR FORCE.—Section 9315(a)(1) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking out "for enlisted members of the armed forces" and inserting in lieu thereof "for enlisted members of the Air Force".

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall apply with respect to enrollments in the Community College of the Air Force after March 31, 1996.

**SEC. 1079. AMENDMENTS TO EDUCATION LOAN REPAYMENT PROGRAMS.**

(a) GENERAL EDUCATION LOAN REPAYMENT PROGRAM.—Section 2171(a)(1) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking out "or" at the end of subparagraph (A);

(2) by redesignating subparagraph (B) as subparagraph (C); and

(3) by inserting after subparagraph (A) the following new subparagraph (B):

"(B) any loan made under part D of such title (the William D. Ford Federal Direct Loan Program, 20 U.S.C. 1087a et seq.); or".

(b) EDUCATION LOAN REPAYMENT PROGRAM FOR ENLISTED MEMBERS OF SELECTED RESERVE WITH CRITICAL SPECIALTIES.—Section 16301(a)(1) of such title is amended—

(1) by striking out "or" at the end of subparagraph (A);

(2) by redesignating subparagraph (B) as subparagraph (C); and

(3) by inserting after subparagraph (A) the following new subparagraph (B):

"(B) any loan made under part D of such title (the William D. Ford Federal Direct Loan Program, 20 U.S.C. 1087a et seq.); or".

(c) EDUCATION LOAN REPAYMENT PROGRAM FOR HEALTH PROFESSIONS OFFICERS SERVING IN SELECTED RESERVE WITH WARTIME CRITICAL MEDICAL SKILL SHORTAGES.—Section 16302(a) of such title is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraphs (2) through (4) as paragraphs (3) through (5) respectively; and

(2) by inserting after paragraph (1) the following new paragraph (2):

"(2) any loan made under part D of such title (the William D. Ford Federal Direct Loan Program, 20 U.S.C. 1087a et seq.); or".

**Subtitle H—Other Matters**

**SEC. 1081. NATIONAL DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY AND INDUSTRIAL BASE, DEFENSE REINVESTMENT, AND DEFENSE CONVERSION PROGRAMS.**

(a) NATIONAL SECURITY OBJECTIVES FOR NATIONAL TECHNOLOGY AND INDUSTRIAL BASE.—(1) Section 2501 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(A) in subsection (a)—

(i) by striking out "DEFENSE POLICY" in the subsection heading and inserting in lieu thereof "NATIONAL SECURITY"; and

(ii) by striking out paragraph (5);

(B) by striking out subsection (b); and

(C) by redesignating subsection (c) as subsection (b).

(2) The heading of such section is amended to read as follows:

**"§ 2501. National security objectives concerning national technology and industrial base".**

(b) NATIONAL DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY AND INDUSTRIAL BASE COUNCIL.—Section 2502(c) of such title is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking out subparagraph (B) and inserting in lieu thereof the following new subparagraph:

"(B) programs for achieving such national security objectives; and";

(2) by striking out paragraph (2); and

(3) by redesignating paragraph (3) as paragraph (2).

(c) MODIFICATION OF DEFENSE DUAL-USE CRITICAL TECHNOLOGY PARTNERSHIPS PROGRAM.—Section 2511 of such title is amended to read as follows:

**"§ 2511. Defense dual-use critical technology program**

"(a) ESTABLISHMENT OF PROGRAM.—The Secretary of Defense shall conduct a program to further the national security objectives set forth in section 2501(a) of this title by encouraging and providing for research, development, and application of dual-use critical technologies. The Secretary may make grants, enter into contracts, or enter into cooperative agreements and other transactions pursuant to section 2371 of this title in furtherance of the program. The Secretary shall identify projects to be conducted as part of the program.

"(b) ASSISTANCE AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary of Defense may provide technical and other assistance to facilitate the achievement of the purposes of projects conducted under the program. In providing such assistance, the Secretary shall make available, as appropriate for the work to be performed, equipment and facilities of Department of Defense laboratories (including the scientists and engineers at those laboratories) for purposes of projects selected by the Secretary.

"(c) FINANCIAL COMMITMENT OF NON-FEDERAL GOVERNMENT PARTICIPANTS.—(1) The total amount of funds provided by the Federal Government for a project conducted under the program may not exceed 50 percent of the total cost of the project. However, the Secretary of Defense may agree to a project in which the total amount of funds provided by the Federal Government exceeds 50 percent if the Secretary determines the project is particularly meritorious, but the project would not otherwise have sufficient non-Federal funding or in-kind contributions.

"(2) The Secretary may prescribe regulations to provide for consideration of in-kind contributions by non-Federal Government participants in a project conducted under the program for the purpose of calculating the share of the project costs that has been or is being undertaken by such participants. In such regulations, the Secretary may authorize a participant that is a small business concern to use funds received under the Small Business Innovation Research Program or the Small Business Technology Transfer Program to help pay the costs of project activities. Any such funds so used may be considered in calculating the amount of the financial commitment undertaken by the non-Federal Government participants unless the Secretary determines that the small business concern has not made a significant equity percentage contribution in the project from non-Federal sources.

"(3) The Secretary shall consider a project proposal submitted by a small business concern without regard to the ability of the small business concern to immediately meet its share of the anticipated project costs. Upon the selection of a project proposal submitted by a small business concern, the small business concern shall have a period of not less than 120 days in which to arrange to meet its financial commitment requirements under the project from sources other than a person of a foreign country. If the Secretary determines upon the expiration of that period that the small business concern will be unable to meet its share of the anticipated project costs, the Secretary shall revoke the selection of the project proposal submitted by the small business concern.

"(d) SELECTION PROCESS.—Competitive procedures shall be used in the conduct of the program.

"(e) SELECTION CRITERIA.—The criteria for the selection of projects under the program shall include the following:

"(1) The extent to which the proposed project advances and enhances the national security objectives set forth in section 2501(a) of this title.

"(2) The technical excellence of the proposed project.

"(3) The qualifications of the personnel proposed to participate in the research activities of the proposed project.

"(4) An assessment of timely private sector investment in activities to achieve the goals and objectives of the proposed project other than through the project.

"(5) The potential effectiveness of the project in the further development and application of each technology proposed to be developed by the project for the national technology and industrial base.

"(6) The extent of the financial commitment of eligible firms to the proposed project.

"(7) The extent to which the project does not unnecessarily duplicate projects undertaken by other agencies.

"(f) REGULATIONS.—The Secretary of Defense shall prescribe regulations for the purposes of this section.".

(d) FEDERAL DEFENSE LABORATORY DIVERSIFICATION PROGRAM.—Section 2519 of such title is amended—

(1) in subsection (b), by striking out "referred to in section 2511(b) of this title"; and

(2) in subsection (f), by striking out "section 2511(f)" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 2511(e)".

(e) MANUFACTURING SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM.—Subsection (b) of section 2525 of such title is amended to read as follows:

"(b) PURPOSE OF PROGRAM.—The Secretary of Defense shall use the program—

"(1) to provide centralized guidance and direction (including goals, milestones, and priorities) to the military departments and the Defense Agencies on all matters relating to manufacturing technology;

"(2) to direct the development and implementation of Department of Defense plans, programs, projects, activities, and policies that promote the development and application of advanced technologies to manufacturing processes, tools, and equipment;

"(3) to improve the manufacturing quality, productivity, technology, and practices of businesses and workers providing goods and services to the Department of Defense;

"(4) to promote dual-use manufacturing processes;

"(5) to disseminate information concerning improved manufacturing improvement concepts, including information on such matters as best manufacturing practices, product data exchange specifications, computer-aided acquisition and logistics support, and rapid acquisition of manufactured parts;

"(6) to sustain and enhance the skills and capabilities of the manufacturing work force;

"(7) to promote high-performance work systems (with development and dissemination of production technologies that build upon the skills and capabilities of the work force), high levels of worker education and training; and

"(8) to ensure appropriate coordination between the manufacturing technology programs and industrial preparedness programs of the Department of Defense and similar programs undertaken by other departments and agencies of the Federal Government or by the private sector.".

(f) REPEAL OF VARIOUS ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS.—Sections 2512, 2513, 2520, 2521, 2522, 2523, and 2524 of such title are repealed.

(g) REPEAL OF MILITARY-CIVILIAN INTEGRATION AND TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER ADVISORY BOARD.—Section 2516 of such title is repealed.

(h) REPEAL OF OBSOLETE DEFINITIONS.—Section 2491 of such title is amended—

(1) by striking out paragraphs (11) and (12); and

(2) by redesignating paragraphs (13), (14), (15), and (16) as paragraphs (11) (12), (13), and (14), respectively.

(i) CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.—(1) The table of sections at the beginning of subchapter II of chapter 148 of such title is amended by striking out the item relating to section 2501 and inserting in lieu thereof the following new item:

"2501. National security objectives concerning national technology and industrial base.".

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of subchapter III of such chapter is amended—

(A) by striking out the item relating to section 2511 and inserting in lieu thereof the following new item:

"2511. Defense dual-use critical technology program."; and

(B) by striking out the items relating to sections 2512, 2513, 2516, and 2520.

(3) The table of sections at the beginning of subchapter IV of such chapter is amended by striking out the items relating to sections 2521, 2522, 2523, and 2524.

**SEC. 1082. AMMUNITION INDUSTRIAL BASE.**

(a) REVIEW OF AMMUNITION PROCUREMENT PROGRAMS.—The Secretary of Defense shall carry out a review of the programs of the Department of Defense for the procurement of ammunition. The review shall include the Department of Defense management of ammunition procurement programs, including the procedures of the Department for the planning for, budgeting for, administration, and carrying out of such programs. The Secretary shall begin the review not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(b) MATTERS TO BE REVIEWED.—The review under subsection (a) shall include an assessment of the following:

(1) The practicability and desirability of (A) continuing to use centralized procurement practices (through a single executive agent) for the procurement of ammunition required by the Armed Forces, and (B) using such centralized procurement practices for the procurement of all such ammunition.

(2) The capability of the ammunition production facilities of the Government to meet the requirements of the Armed Forces for procurement of ammunition.

(3) The practicability and desirability of converting those ammunition production facilities to ownership or operation by private sector entities.

(4) The practicability and desirability of integrating the budget planning for the procurement of ammunition among the Armed Forces.

(5) The practicability and desirability of establishing an advocate within the Department of Defense for matters relating to the ammunition industrial base, with such an advocate to be responsible for—

(A) establishing the quantity and price of ammunition procured by the Armed Forces; and

(B) establishing and implementing policy to ensure the continuing capability of the ammunition industrial base in the United States to meet the requirements of the Armed Forces.

(6) The practicability and desirability of providing information on the ammunition procurement practices of the Armed Forces to Congress through a single source.

(c) REPORT.—Not later than April 1, 1996, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the review carried out under subsection (a). The report shall include the following:

(1) The results of the review.

(2) A discussion of the methodologies used in carrying out the review.

(3) An assessment of various methods of ensuring the continuing capability of the ammunition industrial base of the United States to meet the requirements of the Armed Forces.

(4) Recommendations of means (including legislation) of implementing those methods in order to ensure such continuing capability.

**SEC. 1083. POLICY CONCERNING EXCESS DEFENSE INDUSTRIAL CAPACITY.**

No funds appropriated pursuant to an authorization of appropriations in this Act may be used for capital investment in, or the development and construction of, a Government-owned, Government-operated defense industrial facility unless the Secretary of Defense certifies to the Congress that no similar capability or minimally used capacity exists in any other Government-owned, Government-operated defense industrial facility.

**SEC. 1084. SENSE OF CONGRESS CONCERNING ACCESS TO SECONDARY SCHOOL STUDENT INFORMATION FOR RECRUITING PURPOSES.**

(a) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) the States (with respect to public schools) and entities operating private secondary schools should not have a policy of denying, or otherwise effectively preventing, the Secretary of Defense from obtaining for military recruiting purposes—

(A) entry to any secondary school or access to students at any secondary school equal to that of other employers; or

(B) access to directory information pertaining to students at secondary schools equal to that of other employers (other than in a case in which an objection has been raised as described in paragraph (2)); and

(2) any State, and any entity operating a private secondary school, that releases directory information secondary school students should—

(A) give public notice of the categories of such information to be released; and

(B) allow a reasonable period after such notice has been given for a student or (in the case of an individual younger than 18 years of age) a parent to inform the school that any or all of such information should not be released without obtaining prior consent from the student or the parent, as the case may be.

(b) REPORT ON DOD PROCEDURES.—Not later than March 1, 1996, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report on Department of Defense procedures for determining if and when a State or an entity operating a private secondary school has denied or prevented access to students or information as described in subsection (a)(1).

(c) DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this section:

(1) The term "directory information" means, with respect to a student, the student's name, address, telephone listing, date and place of birth, level of education, degrees received, and (if available) the most recent previous educational program enrolled in by the student.

(2) The term "student" means an individual enrolled in any program of education who is 17 years of age or older.

**SEC. 1085. DISCLOSURE OF INFORMATION CONCERNING UNACCOUNTED FOR UNITED STATES PERSONNEL FROM THE KOREAN CONFLICT, THE VIETNAM ERA, AND THE COLD WAR.**

Section 1082 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 1992 and 1993 (Public Law 102–190; 50 U.S.C. 401 note) is amended—

(1) in subsection (b)(3)(A), by striking out "cannot be located after a reasonable effort." and inserting in lieu thereof "cannot be located by the Secretary of Defense—

"(i) in the case of a person missing from the Vietnam era, after a reasonable effort; and

"(ii) in the case of a person missing from the Korean Conflict or Cold War, after a period of 90 days from the date on which any record or other information referred to in paragraph (2) is received by the Department of Defense for disclosure review from the Archivist of the United States, the Library of Congress, or the Joint United States-Russian Commission on POW/MIAs."; and

(2) in subsection (c)(1), by striking out "not later than September 30, 1995" and inserting in lieu thereof "not later than January 2, 1996".

**SEC. 1086. OPERATIONAL SUPPORT AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT FLEET.**

(a) SUBMITTAL OF JCS REPORT ON AIRCRAFT.—Not later than February 1, 1996, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress the report that, as of the date of the enactment of this Act, is in preparation by the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff on operational support airlift aircraft.

(b) CONTENT OF REPORT.—(1) The report referred to in subsection (a) shall contain findings and recommendations on the following:

(A) Requirements for the modernization and safety of the operational support airlift aircraft fleet.

(B) The disposition of aircraft that would be excess to that fleet upon fulfillment of the requirements referred to in subparagraph (A).

(C) Plans and requirements for the standardization of the fleet, including plans and requirements for the provision of a single manager for all logistical support and operational requirements.

(D) Central scheduling of all operational support airlift aircraft.

(E) Needs of the Department for helicopter support in the National Capital Region, including the acceptable uses of that support.

(2) In preparing the report, the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff shall take into account the recommendation of the Commission on Roles and Missions of the Armed Forces to reduce the size of the operational support airlift aircraft fleet.

(c) REGULATIONS.—(1) Upon completion of the report referred to in subsection (a), the Secretary shall prescribe regulations, consistent with the findings and recommendations set forth in the report, for the operation, maintenance, disposition, and use of operational support airlift aircraft.

(2) The regulations shall, to the maximum extent practicable, provide for, and encourage the use of, commercial airlines in lieu of the use of such aircraft.

(3) The regulations shall apply uniformly throughout the Department.

(4) The regulations shall not require exclusive use of such aircraft for any particular class of government personnel.

(d) REDUCTIONS IN FLYING HOURS.—(1) The Secretary shall ensure that the number of hours flown during fiscal year 1996 by operational support airlift aircraft does not exceed the number equal to 85 percent of the number of hours flown during fiscal year 1995 by operational support airlift aircraft.

(2) The Secretary should ensure that the number of hours flown in the National Capital Region during fiscal year 1996 by helicopters of the operational support airlift aircraft fleet does not exceed the number equal to 85 percent of the number of hours flown in the National Capital Region during fiscal year 1995 by helicopters of the operational support airlift aircraft fleet.

(e) RESTRICTION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.—Of the funds appropriated pursuant to section 301 for the operation and use of operational support airlift aircraft, not more than 50 percent is available for obligation until the Secretary submits to Congress the report referred to in subsection (a).

(f) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) The term "operational support airlift aircraft" means aircraft of the Department of Defense designated within the Department as operational support airlift aircraft.

(2) The term "National Capital Region" has the meaning given such term in section 2674(f)(2) of title 10, United States Code.

**SEC. 1087. CIVIL RESERVE AIR FLEET.**

Section 9512 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking out "full Civil Reserve Air Fleet" in subsections (b)(2) and (e) and inserting in lieu thereof "Civil Reserve Air Fleet".

**SEC. 1088. DAMAGE OR LOSS TO PERSONAL PROPERTY DUE TO EMERGENCY EVACUATION OR EXTRAORDINARY CIRCUMSTANCES.**

(a) SETTLEMENT OF CLAIMS OF PERSONNEL.—Section 3721(b)(1) of title 31, United States Code, is amended by inserting after the first sentence the following: "If, however, the claim arose from an emergency evacuation or from extraordinary circumstances, the amount settled and paid under the authority of the preceding sentence may exceed $40,000, but may not exceed $100,000.".

(b) APPLICABILITY.—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall apply to claims arising before, on, or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(c) REPRESENTMENTS OF PREVIOUSLY PRESENTED CLAIMS.—(1) A claim under subsection (b) of section 3721 of title 31, United States Code, that was settled under such section before the date of the enactment of this

Act may be represented under such section, as amended by subsection (a), to the head of the agency concerned to recover the amount equal to the difference between the actual amount of the damage or loss and the amount settled and paid under the authority of such section before the date of the enactment of this Act, except that—

(A) the claim shall be represented in writing within two years after the date of the enactment of this Act;

(B) a determination of the actual amount of the damage or loss shall have been made by the head of the agency concerned pursuant to settlement of the claim under the authority of such section before the date of the enactment of this Act;

(C) the claimant shall have proof of the determination referred to in subparagraph (B); and

(D) the total of all amounts paid in settlement of the claim under the authority of such section may not exceed $100,000.

(2) Subsection (k) of such section shall not apply to bar representment of a claim described in paragraph (1), but shall apply to such a claim that is represented and settled under that section after the date of the enactment of this Act.

**SEC. 1089. AUTHORITY TO SUSPEND OR TERMINATE COLLECTION ACTIONS AGAINST DECEASED MEMBERS.**

Section 3711 of title 31, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(g)(1) The Secretary of Defense may suspend or terminate an action by the Secretary or by the Secretary of a military department under subsection (a) to collect a claim against the estate of a person who died while serving on active duty as a member of the Army, Navy, Air Force, or Marine Corps if the Secretary determines that, under the circumstances applicable with respect to the deceased person, it is appropriate to do so.

"(2) In this subsection, the term 'active duty' has the meaning given that term in section 101 of title 10.".

**SEC. 1090. CHECK CASHING AND EXCHANGE TRANSACTIONS FOR DEPENDENTS OF UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT PERSONNEL.**

(a) AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT TRANSACTIONS.—Subsection (b) of section 3342 of title 31, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraphs (3), (4), and (5) as paragraphs (4), (5), and (6), respectively; and

(2) by inserting after paragraph (2) the following new paragraph:

"(3) a dependent of personnel of the Government, but only—

"(A) at a United States installation at which adequate banking facilities are not available; and

"(B) in the case of negotiation of negotiable instruments, if the dependent's sponsor authorizes, in writing, the presentation of negotiable instruments to the disbursing official for negotiation.".

(b) PAY OFFSET.—Subsection (c) of such section is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraph (3) as paragraph (4); and

(2) by inserting after paragraph (2) the following new paragraph (3):

"(3) The amount of any deficiency resulting from cashing a check for a dependent under subsection (b)(3), including any charges assessed against the disbursing official by a financial institution for insufficient funds to pay the check, may be offset from the pay of the dependent's sponsor.".

(c) DEFINITIONS.—Such section is further amended by adding at the end the following:

"(e) Regulations prescribed under subsection (d) shall include regulations that define the terms 'dependent' and 'sponsor' for the purposes of this section. In the regulations, the term 'dependent', with respect to a member of a uniformed service, shall have the meaning given that term in section 401 of title 37.".

**SEC. 1091. DESIGNATION OF NATIONAL MARITIME CENTER.**

(a) DESIGNATION OF NATIONAL MARITIME CENTER.—The NAUTICUS building, located at one Waterside Drive, Norfolk, Virginia, shall be known and designated as the "National Maritime Center".

(b) REFERENCE TO NATIONAL MARITIME CENTER.—Any reference in a law, map, regulation, document, paper, or other record of the United States to the building referred to in subsection (a) shall be deemed to be a reference to the "National Maritime Center".

**SEC. 1092. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING HISTORIC PRESERVATION OF MIDWAY ISLANDS.**

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress makes the following findings:

(1) September 2, 1995, marks the 50th anniversary of the United States victory over Japan in World War II.

(2) The Battle of Midway proved to be the turning point in the war in the Pacific, as United States Navy forces inflicted such severe losses on the Imperial Japanese Navy during the battle that the Imperial Japanese Navy never again took the offensive against United States or allied forces.

(3) During the Battle of Midway, an outnumbered force of the United States Navy, consisting of 29 ships and other units of the Armed Forces under the command of Admiral Nimitz and Admiral Spruance, out-maneuvered and out-fought 350 ships of the Imperial Japanese Navy.

(4) It is in the public interest to erect a memorial to the Battle of Midway that is suitable to express the enduring gratitude of the American people for victory in the battle and to inspire future generations of Americans with the heroism and sacrifice of the members of the Armed Forces who achieved that victory.

(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) the Midway Islands and the surrounding seas deserve to be memorialized;

(2) the historic structures related to the Battle of Midway should be maintained, in accordance with the National Historic Preservation Act (16 U.S.C. 470–470t), and subject to the availability of appropriations for that purpose.

(3) appropriate access to the Midway Islands by survivors of the Battle of Midway, their families, and other visitors should be provided in a manner that ensures the public health and safety on the Midway Islands and the conservation of the natural resources of those islands in accordance with existing Federal law.

**SEC. 1093. SENSE OF SENATE REGARDING FEDERAL SPENDING.**

It is the sense of the Senate that in pursuit of a balanced Federal budget, Congress should exercise fiscal restraint, particularly in authorizing spending not requested by the executive branch and in proposing new programs.

**SEC. 1094. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY FOR VESSEL WAR RISK INSURANCE.**

Section 1214 of the Merchant Marine Act, 1936 (46 App. U.S.C. 1294), is amended by striking "June 30, 1995" and inserting in lieu thereof "June 30, 2000".

## TITLE XI—UNIFORM CODE OF MILITARY JUSTICE

**SEC. 1101. SHORT TITLE.**

This title may be cited as the "Military Justice Amendments of 1995".

**SEC. 1102. REFERENCES TO UNIFORM CODE OF MILITARY JUSTICE.**

Except as otherwise expressly provided, whenever in this title an amendment or repeal is expressed in terms of an amendment to, or repeal of, a section or other provision, the reference shall be considered to be made to a section or other provision of chapter 47 of title 10, United States Code (the Uniform Code of Military Justice).

### Subtitle A—Offenses

**SEC. 1111. REFUSAL TO TESTIFY BEFORE COURT-MARTIAL.**

Section 847(b) (article 47(b)) is amended—

(1) in the first sentence, by inserting "indictment or" after "shall be tried on"; and

(2) in the second sentence, by striking out "shall be" and all that follows and inserting in lieu thereof "shall be fined or imprisoned, or both, at the court's discretion.".

**SEC. 1112. FLIGHT FROM APPREHENSION.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 895 (article 95) is amended to read as follows:

**"§ 895. Art. 95. Resistance, flight, breach of arrest, and escape**

"Any person subject to this chapter who—

"(1) resists apprehension;

"(2) flees from apprehension;

"(3) breaks arrest; or

"(4) escapes from custody or confinement;

shall be punished as a court-martial may direct.".

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The item relating to section 895 (article 95) in the table of sections at the beginning of subchapter X is amended to read as follows:

"895. Art. 95. Resistance, flight, breach of arrest, and escape.".

**SEC. 1113. CARNAL KNOWLEDGE.**

(a) GENDER NEUTRALITY.—Subsection (b) of section 920 (article 120) is amended to read as follows:

"(b) Any person subject to this chapter who, under circumstances not amounting to rape, commits an act of sexual intercourse with a person—

"(1) who is not that person's spouse; and

"(2) who has not attained the age of sixteen years;

is guilty of carnal knowledge and shall be punished as a court-martial may direct.".

(b) MISTAKE OF FACT.—Such section (article) is further amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(d)(1) In a prosecution under subsection (b), it is an affirmative defense that—

"(A) the person with whom the accused committed the act of sexual intercourse had at the time of the alleged offense attained the age of twelve years; and

"(B) the accused reasonably believed that that person had at the time of the alleged offense attained the age of sixteen years.

"(2) The accused has the burden of proving a defense under paragraph (1) by a preponderance of the evidence.".

### Subtitle B—Sentences

**SEC. 1121. EFFECTIVE DATE FOR FORFEITURES OF PAY AND ALLOWANCES AND REDUCTIONS IN GRADE BY SENTENCE OF COURT-MARTIAL.**

(a) EFFECTIVE DATE OF SPECIFIED PUNISHMENTS.—Subsection (a) of section 857 (article 57) is amended to read as follows:

"(a)(1) Any forfeiture of pay or allowances or reduction in grade that is included in a sentence of a court-martial takes effect on the earlier of—

"(A) the date that is 14 days after the date on which the sentence is adjudged; or

"(B) the date on which the sentence is approved by the convening authority.

"(2) On application by an accused, the convening authority may defer a forfeiture of pay or allowances or reduction in grade that would otherwise become effective under paragraph (1)(A) until the date on which the sentence is approved by the convening authority. Such a deferment may be rescinded at any time by the convening authority.

"(3) A forfeiture of pay or allowances shall be applicable to pay and allowances accruing on and after the date on which the sentence takes effect.

"(4) In this subsection, the term 'convening authority', with respect to a sentence of a court-martial, means any person authorized to act on the sentence under section 860 of this title (article 60).".

(b) APPLICABILITY.—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall apply to a case in which a sentence is adjudged by a court-martial on or after the first day of the first month that begins at least 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

**SEC. 1122. REQUIRED FORFEITURE OF PAY AND ALLOWANCES DURING CONFINEMENT.**

(a) EFFECT OF PUNITIVE SEPARATION OR CONFINEMENT FOR MORE THAN SIX MONTHS.—(1) Subchapter VIII is amended by inserting after section 858a (article 58a) the following:

**"§ 858b. Art. 58b. Sentences: forfeiture of pay and allowances during confinement**

"(a)(1) A court-martial sentence described in paragraph (2) shall result in the forfeiture of pay and allowances due that member during any period of confinement or parole. The forfeiture pursuant to this section shall take effect on the date determined under section 857(a) of this title (article 57(a)) and may be deferred as provided in that section. The pay and allowances forfeited, in the case of a general court-martial, shall be all pay and allowances due that member during such period and, in the case of a special court-martial, shall be two-thirds of all pay and allowances due that member during such period.

"(2) A sentence covered by this section is any sentence that includes—

"(A) confinement for more than six months or death; or

"(B) confinement for six months or less and a dishonorable or bad-conduct discharge or dismissal.

"(b) In a case involving an accused who has dependents, the convening authority or other person acting under section 860 of this title (article 60) may waive any or all of the forfeitures of pay and allowances required by subsection (a) for a period not to exceed six months. Any amount of pay or allowances that, except for a waiver under this subsection, would be forfeited shall be paid, as the convening authority or other person taking action directs, to the dependents of the accused.

"(c) If the sentence of a member who forfeits pay and allowances under subsection (a) is set aside or disapproved or, as finally approved, does not provide for a punishment referred to in subsection (a)(2), the member shall be paid the pay and allowances which the member would have been paid, except for the forfeiture, for the period during which the forfeiture was in effect.".

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of subchapter VIII is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

"858b. 58b. Sentences: forfeiture of pay and allowances during confinement.".

(b) APPLICABILITY.—The section (article) added by the amendment made by subsection (a)(1) shall apply to a case in which a sentence is adjudged by a court-martial on or after the first day of the first month that begins at least 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(c) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—(1) Section 804 of title 37, United States Code, is repealed.

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 15 of such title is amended by striking out the item relating to section 804.

**SEC. 1123. DEFERMENT OF CONFINEMENT.**

(a) DEFERMENT.—Subchapter VIII is amended—

(1) by inserting after subsection (c) of section 857 (article 57) the following:

**"§ 857a. Art. 57a. Deferment of sentences";**

(2) by redesignating the succeeding two subsections as subsection (a) and (b);

(3) in subsection (b), as redesignated by paragraph (2), by striking out "postpone" and inserting in lieu thereof "defer"; and

(4) by inserting after subsection (b), as redesignated by paragraph (2), the following:

"(c) In any case in which a court-martial sentences a person to confinement and the sentence to confinement has been ordered executed, but in which review of the case under section 867(a)(2) of this title (article 67(a)(2)) is pending, the Secretary concerned may defer further service of the sentence to confinement while that review is pending.".

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of such subchapter is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 857 (article 57) the following new item:

"857a. 57a. Deferment of sentences.".

**Subtitle C—Pretrial and Post-Trial Actions**

**SEC. 1131. ARTICLE 32 INVESTIGATIONS.**

Section 832 (article 32) is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsection (d) as subsection (e); and

(2) by inserting after subsection (c) the following new subsection (d):

"(d) If evidence adduced in an investigation under this article indicates that the accused committed an uncharged offense, the investigating officer may investigate the subject matter of that offense without the accused having first been charged with the offense if the accused—

"(1) is present at the investigation;

"(2) is informed of the nature of each uncharged offense investigated; and

"(3) is afforded the opportunities for representation, cross-examination, and presentation prescribed in subsection (b).".

**SEC. 1132. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO THE CONVENING AUTHORITY FOR CONSIDERATION.**

Section 860(b)(1) (article 60(b)(1)) is amended by inserting after the first sentence the following: "Any such submission shall be in writing.".

**SEC. 1133. COMMITMENT OF ACCUSED TO TREATMENT FACILITY BY REASON OF LACK OF MENTAL CAPACITY OR MENTAL RESPONSIBILITY.**

(a) APPLICABLE PROCEDURES.—(1) Subchapter IX is amended by inserting after section 876a (article 76a) the following:

**"§ 876b. Art. 76b. Lack of mental capacity or mental responsibility: commitment of accused for examination and treatment**

"(a) PERSONS INCOMPETENT TO STAND TRIAL.—(1) In the case of a person determined under this chapter to be presently suffering from a mental disease or defect rendering the person mentally incompetent to the extent that the person is unable to understand the nature of the proceedings against that person or to conduct or cooperate intelligently in the defense of the case, the general court-martial convening authority for that person shall commit the person to the custody of the Attorney General.

"(2) The Attorney General shall take action in accordance with section 4241(d) of title 18.

"(3) If at the end of the period for hospitalization provided for in section 4241(d) of title 18, it is determined that the committed person's mental condition has not so improved as to permit the trial to proceed, action shall be taken in accordance with section 4246 of such title.

"(4)(A) When the director of a facility in which a person is hospitalized pursuant to paragraph (2) determines that the person has recovered to such an extent that the person is able to understand the nature of the proceedings against the person and to conduct or cooperate intelligently in the defense of the case, the director shall promptly transmit a notification of that determination to the Attorney General and to the general court-martial convening authority for the person. The director shall send a copy of the notification to the person's counsel.

"(B) Upon receipt of a notification, the general court-martial convening authority shall promptly take custody of the person unless the person covered by the notification is no longer subject to this chapter. If the person is no longer subject to this chapter, the Attorney General shall take any action within the authority of the Attorney General that the Attorney General considers appropriate regarding the person.

"(C) The director of the facility may retain custody of the person for not more than 30 days after transmitting the notifications required by subparagraph (A).

"(5) In the application of section 4246 of title 18 to a case under this subsection, references to the court that ordered the commitment of a person, and to the clerk of such court, shall be deemed to refer to the general court-martial convening authority for that person. However, if the person is no longer subject to this chapter at a time relevant to the application of such section to the person, the United States district court for the district where the person is hospitalized or otherwise may be found shall be considered as the court that ordered the commitment of the person.

"(b) PERSONS FOUND NOT GUILTY BY REASON OF LACK OF MENTAL RESPONSIBILITY.—(1) If a person is found by a court-martial not guilty only by reason of lack of mental responsibility, the person shall be committed to a suitable facility until the person is eligible for release in accordance with this section.

"(2) The court-martial shall conduct a hearing on the mental condition in accordance with subsection (c) of section 4243 of title 18. Subsections (b) and (d) of that section shall apply with respect to the hearing.

"(3) A report of the results of the hearing shall be made to the general court-martial convening authority for the person.

"(4) If the court-martial fails to find by the standard specified in subsection (d) of section 4243 of title 18 that the person's release would not create a substantial risk of bodily injury to another person or serious damage of property of another due to a present mental disease or defect—

"(A) the general court-martial convening authority may commit the person to the custody of the Attorney General; and

"(B) the Attorney General shall take action in accordance with subsection (e) of section 4243 of title 18.

"(5) Subsections (f), (g), and (h) of section 4243 of title 18 shall apply in the case of a person hospitalized pursuant to paragraph (4)(B), except that the United States district court for the district where the person is hospitalized shall be considered as the court that ordered the person's commitment.

"(c) GENERAL PROVISIONS.—(1) Except as otherwise provided in this subsection and subsection (d)(1), the provisions of section 4247 of title 18 apply in the administration of this section.

"(2) In the application of section 4247(d) of title 18 to hearings conducted by a court-martial under this section or by (or by order of) a general court-martial convening authority under this section, the reference in that section to section 3006A of such title does not apply.

"(d) APPLICABILITY.—(1) The provisions of chapter 313 of title 18 referred to in this section apply according to the provisions of this section notwithstanding section 4247(j) of title 18.

"(2) If the status of a person as described in section 802 of this title (article 2) terminates while the person is, pursuant to this section, in the custody of the Attorney General, hospitalized, or on conditional release under a prescribed regimen of medical, psychiatric, or psychological care or treatment, the provisions of this section establishing requirements and procedures regarding a person no longer subject to this chapter shall continue to apply to that person notwithstanding the change of status.".

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of such subchapter is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 876a (article 76a) the following:

"876b. 76b. Lack of mental capacity or mental responsibility: commitment of accused for examination and treatment.".

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 802 (article 2) is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(e) The provisions of this section are subject to section 876b(d)(2) of this title (article 76b(d)(2)).".

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Section 876b of title 10, United States Code (article 76b of the Uniform Code of Military Justice), as added by subsection (a), shall take effect at the end of the six-month period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act and shall apply with respect to charges referred to courts-martial after the end of that period.

**Subtitle D—Appellate Matters**

**SEC. 1141. APPEALS BY THE UNITED STATES.**

(a) APPEALS RELATING TO DISCLOSURE OF CLASSIFIED INFORMATION.—Section 862(a)(1) (article 62(a)(1)) is amended to read as follows:

"(a)(1) In a trial by court-martial in which a military judge presides and in which a punitive discharge may be adjudged, the United States may appeal the following (other than an order or ruling that is, or that amounts to, a finding of not guilty with respect to the charge or specification):

"(A) An order or ruling of the military judge which terminates the proceedings with respect to a charge or specification.

"(B) An order or ruling which excludes evidence that is substantial proof of a fact material in the proceeding.

"(C) An order or ruling which directs the disclosure of classified information.

"(D) An order or ruling which imposes sanctions for nondisclosure of classified information.

"(E) A refusal of the military judge to issue a protective order sought by the United States to prevent the disclosure of classified information.

"(F) A refusal by the military judge to enforce an order described in subparagraph (E) that has previously been issued by appropriate authority.".

(b) DEFINITIONS.—Section 801 (article 1) is amended by inserting after paragraph (14) the following new paragraphs:

"(15) The term 'classified information' means (A) any information or material that has been determined by an official of the United States pursuant to law, an Executive order, or regulation to require protection against unauthorized disclosure for reasons of national security, and (B) any restricted data, as defined in section 11(y) of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (42 U.S.C. 2014(y)).

"(16) The term 'national security' means the national defense and foreign relations of the United States.".

**SEC. 1142. REPEAL OF TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY FOR CHIEF JUSTICE OF THE UNITED STATES TO DESIGNATE ARTICLE III JUDGES FOR TEMPORARY SERVICE ON COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ARMED FORCES.**

Subsection (i) of section 1301 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 1990 and 1991 (Public Law 101-189; 10 U.S.C. 942 note) is repealed.

**Subtitle E—Other Matters**

**SEC. 1151. ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL LAW JURISDICTION OVER CIVILIANS ACCOMPANYING THE ARMED FORCES IN TIME OF ARMED CONFLICT.**

(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—Not later than 45 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense and the Attorney General shall jointly appoint an advisory committee to review and make recommendations concerning the appropriate forum for criminal jurisdiction over civilians accompanying the Armed Forces in the field outside the United States in time of armed conflict.

(b) MEMBERSHIP.—The committee shall be composed of at least five individuals, including experts in military law, international law, and Federal civilian criminal law. In making appointments to the committee, the Secretary and the Attorney General shall ensure that the members of the committee reflect diverse experiences in the conduct of prosecution and defense functions.

(c) DUTIES.—The committee shall do the following:

(1) Review historical experiences and current practices concerning the use, training, discipline, and functions of civilians accompanying the Armed Forces in the field.

(2) Based upon such review and other information available to the committee, develop specific recommendations concerning the advisability and feasibility of establishing United States criminal law jurisdiction over persons who as civilians accompany the Armed Forces in the field outside the United States during time of armed conflict not involving a war declared by Congress, including whether such jurisdiction should be established through any of the following means (or a combination of such means depending upon the degree of the armed conflict involved):

(A) Establishing court-martial jurisdiction over such persons.

(B) Extending the jurisdiction of the Article III courts to cover such persons.

(C) Establishing an Article I court to exercise criminal jurisdiction over such persons.

(3) Develop such additional recommendations as the committee considers appropriate as a result of the review.

(d) REPORT.—(1) Not later than December 15, 1996, the advisory committee shall transmit to the Secretary of Defense and the Attorney General a report setting forth its findings and recommendations, including the recommendations required under subsection (c)(2).

(2) Not later than January 15, 1997, the Secretary of Defense and the Attorney General shall jointly transmit the report of the advisory committee to Congress. The Secretary and the Attorney General may include in the transmittal any joint comments on the report that they consider appropriate, and either such official may include in the transmittal any separate comments on the report that such official considers appropriate.

(e) DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this section:

(1) The term "Article I court" means a court established under Article I of the Constitution.

(2) The term "Article III court" means a court established under Article III of the Constitution.

(f) TERMINATION OF COMMITTEE.—The advisory committee shall terminate 30 days after the date on which the report of the committee is submitted to Congress under subsection (d)(2).

**SEC. 1152. TIME AFTER ACCESSION FOR INITIAL INSTRUCTION IN THE UNIFORM CODE OF MILITARY JUSTICE.**

Section 937(a)(1) (article 137(a)(1)) is amended by striking out "within six days" and inserting in lieu thereof "within fourteen days".

**SEC. 1153. TECHNICAL AMENDMENT.**

Section 866(f) (article 66(f)) is amended by striking out "Courts of Military Review" both places it appears and inserting in lieu thereof "Courts of Criminal Appeals".

**TITLE XII—COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION WITH STATES OF FORMER SOVIET UNION**

**SEC. 1201. SPECIFICATION OF COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION PROGRAMS.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—For purposes of section 301 and other provisions of this Act, Cooperative Threat Reduction programs are the programs specified in subsection (b).

(b) SPECIFIED PROGRAMS.—The programs referred to in subsection (a) are the following programs with respect to states of the former Soviet Union:

(1) Programs to facilitate the elimination, and the safe and secure transportation and storage, of nuclear, chemical, and other weapons and their delivery vehicles.

(2) Programs to facilitate the safe and secure storage of fissile materials derived from the elimination of nuclear weapons.

(3) Programs to prevent the proliferation of weapons, weapons components, and weapons-related technology and expertise.

(4) Programs to expand military-to-military and defense contacts.

**SEC. 1202. FISCAL YEAR 1996 FUNDING ALLOCATIONS.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Of the amount appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 301 for Cooperative Threat Reduction programs, not more than the following amounts may be obligated for the purposes specified:

(1) For elimination of strategic offensive weapons in Russia, Ukraine, Belarus, and Kazakhstan, $90,000,000.

(2) For weapons security in Russia, $42,500,000.

(3) For the Defense Enterprise Fund, $0.

(4) For nuclear infrastructure elimination in Ukraine, Belarus, and Kazakhstan, $35,000,000.

(5) For planning and design of a storage facility for Russian fissile material, $29,000,000.

(6) For planning and design of a chemical weapons destruction facility in Russia, $73,000,000.

(7) For activities designated as Defense and Military Contacts/General Support/Training in Russia, Ukraine, Belarus, and Kazakhstan, $10,000,000.

(8) For activities designated as Other Assessments/Support $20,500,000.

(b) LIMITED AUTHORITY TO VARY INDIVIDUAL AMOUNTS.—(1) If the Secretary of Defense determines that it is necessary to do so in the national interest, the Secretary may, subject to paragraph (2), obligate amounts for the purposes stated in any of the paragraphs of subsection (a) in excess of the amount specified for those purposes in that paragraph, but not in excess of 115 percent of that amount. However, the total amount obligated for the purposes stated in the paragraphs in subsection (a) may not by reason of the use of the authority provided in the preceding sentence exceed the sum of the amounts specified in those paragraphs.

(2) An obligation for the purposes stated in any of the paragraphs in subsection (a) in excess of the amount specified in that paragraph may be made using the authority provided in paragraph (1) only after—

(A) the Secretary submits to Congress a notification of the intent to do so together with a complete discussion of the justification for doing so; and

(B) 15 days have elapsed following the date of the notification.

(c) REIMBURSEMENT OF PAY ACCOUNTS.—Funds appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 301 for Cooperative Threat Reduction programs may be transferred to military personnel accounts for reimbursement of those accounts for the amount of pay and allowances paid to reserve component personnel for service while engaged in any activity under a Cooperative Threat Reduction program.

**SEC. 1203. PROHIBITION ON USE OF FUNDS FOR PEACEKEEPING EXERCISES AND RELATED ACTIVITIES WITH RUSSIA.**

None of the funds appropriated pursuant to the authorization in section 301 for Cooperative Threat Reduction programs may be obligated or expended for the purpose of conducting with Russia any peacekeeping exercise or other peacekeeping-related activity.

**SEC. 1204. REVISION TO AUTHORITY FOR ASSISTANCE FOR WEAPONS DESTRUCTION.**

Section 211 of Public Law 102-228 (22 U.S.C. 2551 note) is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(c) As part of a transmission to Congress under subsection (b) of a certification that a proposed recipient of United States assistance under this title is committed to carrying out the matters specified in each of paragraphs (1) through (6) of that subsection, the President shall include a statement setting forth, in unclassified form (together with a classified annex if necessary), the determination of the President, with respect to each such paragraph, as to whether that proposed recipient is at that time in fact carrying out the matter specified in that paragraph.".

**SEC. 1205. PRIOR NOTICE TO CONGRESS OF OBLIGATION OF FUNDS.**

(a) ANNUAL REQUIREMENT.—(1) Not less than 15 days before any obligation of any funds appropriated for any fiscal year for a program specified under section 1201 as a Cooperative Threat Reduction program, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional committees specified in paragraph (2) a report on that proposed obligation for that program for that fiscal year.

(2) The congressional committees referred to in paragraph (1) are the following:

(A) The Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Relations, and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate.

(B) The Committee on National Security, the Committee on International Relations, and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives.

(b) MATTERS TO BE SPECIFIED IN REPORTS.—Each such report shall specify—

(1) the activities and forms of assistance for which the Secretary of Defense plans to obligate funds;

(2) the amount of the proposed obligation; and

(3) the projected involvement (if any) of any department or agency of the United States (in addition to the Department of Defense) and of the private sector of the United States in the activities and forms of assistance for which the Secretary of Defense plans to obligate such funds.

**SEC. 1206. REPORT ON ACCOUNTING FOR UNITED STATES ASSISTANCE.**

(a) REPORT.—(1) The Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress an annual report on the efforts made by the United States (including efforts through the use of audits, examinations, and on-site inspections) to ensure that assistance provided under Cooperative Threat Reduction programs is fully accounted for and that such assistance is being used for its intended purposes.

(2) A report shall be submitted under this section not later than January 31 of each year until the Cooperative Threat Reduction programs are completed.

(b) INFORMATION TO BE INCLUDED.—Each report under this section shall include the following:

(1) A list of cooperative threat reduction assistance that has been provided before the date of the report.

(2) A description of the current location of the assistance provided and the current condition of such assistance.

(3) A determination of whether the assistance has been used for its intended purpose.

(4) A description of the activities planned to be carried out during the next fiscal year to ensure that cooperative threat reduction assistance provided during that fiscal year is fully accounted for and is used for its intended purpose.

(c) COMPTROLLER GENERAL ASSESSMENT.—Not later than 30 days after the date on which a report of the Secretary under subsection (a) is submitted to Congress, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to Congress a report giving the Comptroller General's assessment of the report and making any recommendations that the Comptroller General considers appropriate.

**SEC. 1207. LIMITATION ON ASSISTANCE TO NUCLEAR WEAPONS SCIENTISTS OF FORMER SOVIET UNION.**

Amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 301 for Cooperative Threat Reduction programs may not be obligated for any program established primarily to assist nuclear weapons scientists in states of the former Soviet Union until 30 days after the date on which the Secretary of Defense certifies in writing to Congress that the funds to be obligated will not be used (1) to contribute to the modernization of the strategic nuclear forces of such states, or (2) for research, development, or production of weapons of mass destruction.

**SEC. 1208. LIMITATION RELATING TO OFFENSIVE BIOLOGICAL WARFARE PROGRAM OF RUSSIA.**

(a) LIMITATION.—Of the amount appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 301 for Cooperative Threat Reduction programs that is available for the purpose stated in section 1202(a)(6), $60,000,000 may not be obligated or expended until the President submits to Congress either a certification as provided in subsection (b) or a certification as provided in subsection (c).

(b) CERTIFICATION WITH RESPECT TO OFFENSIVE BIOLOGICAL WARFARE PROGRAM OF RUSSIA.—A certification under this subsection is a certification by the President of each of the following:

(1) That Russia is in compliance with its obligations under the Biological Weapons Convention.

(2) That Russia has agreed with the United States and the United Kingdom on a common set of procedures to govern visits by officials of the United States and United Kingdom to military biological facilities of Russia, as called for under the Joint Statement on Biological Weapons issued by officials of the United States, the United Kingdom, and Russia on September 14, 1992.

(3) That visits by officials of the United States and United Kingdom to the four declared military biological facilities of Russia have occurred.

(c) ALTERNATIVE CERTIFICATION.—A certification under this subsection is a certification by the President that the President is unable to make a certification under subsection (b).

(d) USE OF FUNDS UPON ALTERNATIVE CERTIFICATION.—If the President makes a certification under subsection (c), the $60,000,000 specified in subsection (a)—

(1) shall not be available for the purpose stated in section 1202(a)(6); and

(2) shall be available for activities in Ukraine, Kazakhstan, and Belarus—

(A) for the elimination of strategic offensive weapons (in addition to the amount specified in section 1202(a)(1)); and

(B) for nuclear infrastructure elimination (in addition to the amount specified in section 1202(a)(4)).

**SEC. 1209. LIMITATION ON USE OF FUNDS FOR CHEMICAL WEAPONS DESTRUCTION FACILITY.**

(a) LIMITATION.—Of the amount appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 301 for Cooperative Threat Reduction programs that is available for planning and design of a chemical weapons destruction facility, not more than one-half of such amount may be obligated or expended until the President certifies to Congress the following:

(1) That the United States and Russia have completed a joint laboratory study to determine the feasibility of an appropriate technology for destruction of chemical weapons of Russia.

(2) That Russia is making reasonable progress, with the assistance of the United States (if necessary), toward the completion of a comprehensive implementation plan for managing and funding the dismantlement and destruction of Russia's chemical weapons stockpile.

(3) That the United States and Russia have made substantial progress toward resolution, to the satisfaction of the United States, of outstanding compliance issues under the 1989

Wyoming Memorandum of Understanding and the 1990 Bilateral Destruction Agreement.

(b) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) The term "1989 Wyoming Memorandum of Understanding" means the Memorandum of Understanding between the Government of the United States of America and the Government of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics Regarding a Bilateral Verification Experiment and Data Exchange Related to Prohibition on Chemical Weapons, signed at Jackson Hole, Wyoming, on September 23, 1989.

(2) The term "1990 Bilateral Destruction Agreement" means the Agreement between the United States of America and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics on destruction and nonproduction of chemical weapons and on measures to facilitate the multilateral convention on banning chemical weapons signed on June 1, 1990.

## TITLE XIII—MATTERS RELATING TO OTHER NATIONS

### Subtitle A—Peacekeeping Provisions

**SEC. 1301. LIMITATION ON USE OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FUNDS FOR UNITED STATES SHARE OF COSTS OF UNITED NATIONS PEACEKEEPING ACTIVITIES.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 20 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 404, the following new section:

**"§ 405. Use of Department of Defense funds for United States share of costs of United Nations peacekeeping activities: limitation**

"(a) PROHIBITION ON USE OF FUNDS.—Funds available to the Department of Defense may not be used to make a financial contribution (directly or through another department or agency of the United States) to the United Nations—

"(1) for the costs of a United Nations peacekeeping activity; or

"(2) for any United States arrearage to the United Nations.

"(b) APPLICATION OF PROHIBITION.—The prohibition in subsection (a) applies to voluntary contributions, as well as to contributions pursuant to assessment by the United Nations for the United States share of the costs of a peacekeeping activity.".

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of subchapter I of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

"405. Use of Department of Defense funds for United States share of costs of United Nations peacekeeping activities: limitation.".

### Subtitle B—Humanitarian Assistance Programs

**SEC. 1311. OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN, DISASTER, AND CIVIC AID PROGRAMS.**

(a) COVERED PROGRAMS.—For purposes of section 301 and other provisions of this Act, programs of the Department of Defense designated as Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster, and Civic Aid (OHDACA) programs are the programs provided by sections 401, 402, 404, 2547, and 2551 of title 10, United States Code.

(b) GAO REPORT.—Not later than March 1, 1996, the Comptroller General of the United States shall provide to the congressional defense committees a report on—

(1) existing funding mechanisms available to cover the costs associated with the Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster, and Civic Assistance activities through funds provided to the Department of State or the Agency for International Development, and

(2) if such mechanisms do not exist, actions necessary to institute such mechanisms, including any changes in existing law or regulations.

**SEC. 1312. HUMANITARIAN ASSISTANCE.**

Section 2551 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking out subsections (b) and (c);

(2) by redesignating subsection (d) as subsection (b);

(3) by striking out subsection (e) and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

"(c) STATUS REPORTS.—(1) The Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional committees specified in subsection (f) an annual report on the provision of humanitarian assistance pursuant to this section for the prior fiscal year. The report shall be submitted each year at the time of the budget submission by the President for the next fiscal year.

"(2) Each report required by paragraph (1) shall cover all provisions of law that authorize appropriations for humanitarian assistance to be available from the Department of Defense for the purposes of this section.

"(3) Each report under this subsection shall set forth the following information regarding activities during the previous fiscal year:

"(A) The total amount of funds obligated for humanitarian relief under this section.

"(B) The number of scheduled and completed transportation missions for purposes of providing humanitarian assistance under this section.

"(C) A description of any transfer of excess nonlethal supplies of the Department of Defense made available for humanitarian relief purposes under section 2547 of this title. The description shall include the date of the transfer, the entity to whom the transfer is made, and the quantity of items transferred.";

(4) by redesignating subsection (f) as subsection (d) and in that subsection striking out "the Committees on" and all that follows through "House of Representatives of the" and inserting in lieu thereof "the congressional committees specified in subsection (f) and the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and House of Representatives of the";

(5) by redesignating subsection (g) as subsection (e); and

(6) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(f) CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.—The congressional committees referred to in subsections (c)(1) and (d) are the following:

"(1) The Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate.

"(2) The Committee on National Security and the Committee on International Relations of the House of Representatives.".

**SEC. 1313. LANDMINE CLEARANCE PROGRAM.**

(a) INCLUSION IN GENERAL HUMANITARIAN ASSISTANCE PROGRAM.—Subsection (e) of section 401 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking out "means—" and inserting in lieu thereof "means:";

(2) by revising the first word in each of paragraphs (1) through (4) so that the first letter of such word is upper case;

(3) by striking out the semicolon at the end of paragraphs (1) and (2) and inserting in lieu thereof a period;

(4) by striking out "; and" at the end of paragraph (3) and inserting in lieu thereof a period; and

(5) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

"(5) Detection and clearance of landmines, including activities relating to the furnishing of education, training, and technical assistance with respect to the detection and clearance of landmines.".

(b) LIMITATION ON LANDMINE ASSISTANCE BY MEMBERS OF ARMED FORCES.—Subsection (a) of such section is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

"(4) The Secretary of Defense shall ensure that no member of the Armed Forces, while providing assistance under this section that is described in subsection (e)(5)—

"(A) engages in the physical detection, lifting, or destroying of landmines (unless the member does so for the concurrent purpose of supporting a United States military operation); or

"(B) provides such assistance as part of a military operation that does not involve the Armed Forces.".

(c) REPEAL.—Section 1413 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (Public Law 103–337; 108 Stat. 2913; 10 U.S.C. 401 note) is repealed.

### Subtitle C—Arms Exports and Military Assistance

**SEC. 1321. DEFENSE EXPORT LOAN GUARANTEES.**

(a) ESTABLISHMENT OF PROGRAM.—(1) Chapter 148 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subchapter:

"SUBCHAPTER VI—DEFENSE EXPORT LOAN GUARANTEES

"Sec.
"2540. Establishment of loan guarantee program.
"2540a. Transferability.
"2540b. Limitations.
"2540c. Fees charged and collected.
"2540d. Definitions.

**"§ 2540. Establishment of loan guarantee program**

"(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—In order to meet the national security objectives in section 2501(a) of this title, the Secretary of Defense shall establish a program under which the Secretary may issue guarantees assuring a lender against losses of principal or interest, or both principal and interest, arising out of the financing of the sale or long-term lease of defense articles, defense services, or design and construction services to a country referred to in subsection (b).

"(b) COVERED COUNTRIES.—The authority under subsection (a) applies with respect to the following countries:

"(1) A member nation of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO).

"(2) A country designated as of March 31, 1995, as a major non-NATO ally pursuant to section 2350a(i)(3) of this title.

"(3) A country in Central Europe that, as determined by the Secretary of State—

"(A) has changed its form of national government from a nondemocratic form of government to a democratic form of government since October 1, 1989; or

"(B) is in the process of changing its form of national government from a nondemocratic form of government to a democratic form of government.

"(4) A noncommunist country that was a member nation of the Asia Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC) as of October 31, 1993.

"(c) AUTHORITY SUBJECT TO PROVISIONS OF APPROPRIATIONS.—The Secretary may guarantee a loan under this subchapter only to such extent or in such amounts as may be provided in advance in appropriations Acts.

**"§ 2540a. Transferability**

"A guarantee issued under this subchapter shall be fully and freely transferable.

**"§ 2540b. Limitations**

"(a) TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF LOAN GUARANTEES.—In issuing a guarantee under this

subchapter for a medium-term or long-term loan, the Secretary may not offer terms and conditions more beneficial than those that would be provided to the recipient by the Export-Import Bank of the United States under similar circumstances in conjunction with the provision of guarantees for nondefense articles and services.

"(b) LOSSES ARISING FROM FRAUD OR MISREPRESENTATION.—No payment may be made under a guarantee issued under this subchapter for a loss arising out of fraud or misrepresentation for which the party seeking payment is responsible.

"(c) NO RIGHT OF ACCELERATION.—The Secretary of Defense may not accelerate any guaranteed loan or increment, and may not pay any amount, in respect of a guarantee issued under this subchapter, other than in accordance with the original payment terms of the loan.

**"§ 2540c. Fees charged and collected**

"(a) EXPOSURE FEES.—The Secretary of Defense shall charge a fee (known as 'exposure fee') for each guarantee issued under this subchapter.

"(b) AMOUNT OF EXPOSURE FEE.—To the extent that the cost of the loan guarantees under this subchapter is not otherwise provided for in appropriations Acts, the fee imposed under subsection (a) with respect to a loan guarantee shall be fixed in an amount that is sufficient to meet potential liabilities of the United States under the loan guarantee.

"(c) PAYMENT TERMS.—The fee under subsection (a) for each guarantee shall become due as the guarantee is issued. In the case of a guarantee for a loan which is disbursed incrementally, and for which the guarantee is correspondingly issued incrementally as portions of the loan are disbursed, the fee shall be paid incrementally in proportion to the amount of the guarantee that is issued.

"(d) ADMINISTRATIVE FEES.—The Secretary of Defense shall charge a fee for each guarantee issued under this subchapter to reflect the additional administrative costs of the Department of Defense that are directly attributable to the administration of the program under this subchapter. Such fees shall be credited to a special account in the Treasury. Amounts in the special account shall be available, to the extent and in amounts provided in appropriations Acts, for paying the costs of administrative expenses of the Department of Defense that are attributable to the loan guarantee program under this subchapter.

**"§ 2540d. Definitions**

"In this subchapter:

"(1) The terms 'defense article', 'defense services', and 'design and construction services' have the meanings given those terms in section 47 of the Arms Export Control Act (22 U.S.C. 2794).

"(2) The term 'cost', with respect to a loan guarantee, has the meaning given that term in section 502 of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (2 U.S.C. 661a).".

(2) The table of subchapters at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

"VI. Defense Export Loan Guarantees ..... 2540".

(b) REPORT.—Not later than two years after the date of the enactment of this Act, the President shall submit to Congress a report on the loan guarantee program established pursuant to section 2540 of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a). The report shall include—

(1) an analysis of the costs and benefits of the loan guarantee program; and

(2) any recommendations for modification of the program that the President considers appropriate, including—

(A) any recommended addition to the list of countries for which a guarantee may be issued under the program; and

(B) any proposed legislation necessary to authorize a recommended modification.

(c) FIRST YEAR COSTS.—The Secretary of Defense shall make available, from amounts appropriated to the Department of Defense for fiscal year 1996 for operations and maintenance, such amounts as may be necessary, not to exceed $500,000, for the expenses of the Department of Defense during fiscal year 1996 that are directly attributable to the administration of the defense export loan guarantee program under subchapter VI of chapter 148 of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a).

(d) REPLENISHMENT OF OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE ACCOUNTS FOR FIRST YEAR COSTS.—The Secretary of Defense shall, using funds in the special account referred to in section 2540c(d) of title 10, United States Code (as added by subsection (b)), replenish operations and maintenance accounts for amounts expended from such accounts for expenses referred to in subsection (c).

**SEC. 1322. NATIONAL SECURITY IMPLICATIONS OF UNITED STATES EXPORT CONTROL POLICY.**

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress makes the following findings:

(1) Export controls remain an important element of the national security policy of the United States.

(2) It is in the national security interest that United States export control policy be effective in preventing the transfer, to potential adversaries or combatants of the United States, of technology that threatens the national security or defense of the United States.

(3) It is in the national security interest that the United States monitor aggressively the export of militarily critical technology in order to prevent its diversion to potential adversaries or combatants of the United States.

(4) The Department of Defense relies increasingly on commercial and dual-use technologies, products, and processes to support United States military capabilities and economic strength.

(5) The maintenance of the military advantage of the United States depends on effective export controls on dual-use items and technologies that are critical to the military capabilities of the Armed Forces.

(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) the Secretary of Defense should evaluate license applications for the export of militarily critical commodities the export of which is controlled for national security reasons if those commodities are to be exported to certain countries of concern;

(2) the Secretary of Defense should identify the dual-use items and technologies that are critical to the military capabilities of the Armed Forces, including the military use made of such items and technologies;

(3) upon identification by the Secretary of Defense of the dual-use items and technologies referred to in paragraph (2), the President should ensure effective export controls or use unilateral export controls on dual-use items and technologies that are critical to the military capabilities of the Armed Forces (regardless of the availability of such items or technologies overseas) with respect to the countries that—

(A) pose a threat to the national security interests of the United States; and

(B) are not members in good standing of bilateral or multilateral agreements to which the United States is a party on the use of such items and technologies; and

(4) the President, upon recommendation of the Secretary of Defense, should ensure effective controls on the re-export by other countries of dual-use items and technologies that are critical to the military capabilities of the Armed Forces.

(c) ANNUAL REPORT.—(1) Not later than December 1 of each year through 1999, the President shall submit to the committees specified in paragraph (4) a report on the effect of the export control policy of the United States on the national security interests of the United States.

(2) The report shall include the following:

(A) A list setting forth each country determined by the Secretary of Defense, the intelligence community, and other appropriate agencies to be a rogue nation or potential adversary or combatant of the United States.

(B) For each country so listed, a list of—

(i) the categories of items that the United States currently prohibits for export to the country;

(ii) the categories of items that may be exported from the United States with an individual license, and in such cases, any licensing conditions normally required and the policy grounds used for approvals and denials; and

(iii) the categories of items that may be exported under a general license designated "G-DEST".

(C) For each category of items listed under subparagraph (B)—

(i) a statement whether a prohibition, control, or licensing requirement on a category of items is imposed pursuant to an international multilateral agreement or is unilateral;

(ii) a statement whether a prohibition, control, or licensing requirement on a category of items is imposed by the other members of an international agreement or is unilateral;

(iii) when the answer under either clause (i) or clause (ii) is unilateral, a statement concerning the efforts being made to ensure that the prohibition, control, or licensing requirement is made multilateral; and

(iv) a statement on what impact, if any, a unilateral prohibition is having, or would have, on preventing the rogue nation or potential adversary from attaining the items in question for military purposes.

(D) A description of United States policy on sharing satellite imagery that has military significance and a discussion of the criteria for determining the imagery that has that significance.

(E) A description of the relationship between United States policy on the export of space launch vehicle technology and the Missile Technology Control Regime.

(F) An assessment of United States efforts to support the inclusion of additional countries in the Missile Technology Control Regime.

(G) An assessment of the ongoing efforts made by potential participant countries in the Missile Technology Control Regime to meet the guidelines established by the Missile Technology Control Regime.

(H) A discussion of the history of the space launch vehicle programs of other countries, including a discussion of the military origins and purposes of such programs and the current level of military involvement in such programs.

(3) The President shall submit the report in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

(4) The committees referred to in paragraph (1) are the following:

(A) The Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate.

(B) The Committee on National Security and the Committee on International Relations of the House of Representatives.

(5) For purposes of this subsection, the term "Missile Technology Control Regime" means the policy statement announced on April 16, 1987, between the United States, the United Kingdom, the Federal Republic of Germany, France, Italy, Canada, and Japan to restrict sensitive missile-relevant transfers based on the Missile Technology Control Regime Annex, and any amendment thereto.

**SEC. 1323. DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE REVIEW OF EXPORT LICENSES FOR CERTAIN BIOLOGICAL PATHOGENS.**

(a) DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE REVIEW.—Any application to the Secretary of Commerce for a license for the export of a class 2, class 3, or class 4 biological pathogen to a country identified to the Secretary under subsection (c) as a country that is known or suspected to have a biological weapons program shall be referred to the Secretary of Defense for review. The Secretary of Defense shall notify the Secretary of Commerce within 15 days after receipt of an application under the preceding sentence whether the export of such biological pathogen pursuant to the license would be contrary to the national security interests of the United States.

(b) DENIAL OF LICENSE IF CONTRARY TO NATIONAL SECURITY INTEREST.—A license described in subsection (a) shall be denied by the Secretary of Commerce if it is determined that the export of such biological pathogen to that country would be contrary to the national security interests of the United States.

(c) IDENTIFICATION OF COUNTRIES KNOWN OR SUSPECTED TO HAVE A PROGRAM TO DEVELOP OFFENSIVE BIOLOGICAL WEAPONS.—(1) The Secretary of Defense shall determine, for the purposes of this section, those countries that are known or suspected to have a program to develop offensive biological weapons. Upon making such determination, the Secretary shall provide to the Secretary of Commerce a list of those countries.

(2) The Secretary of Defense shall update the list under paragraph (1) on a regular basis. Whenever a country is added to or deleted from such list, the Secretary shall notify the Secretary of Commerce.

(3) Determination under this subsection of countries that are known or suspected to have a program to develop offensive biological weapons shall be made in consultation with the Secretary of State and the intelligence community.

(d) DEFINITION.—For purposes of this section, the term "class 2, class 3, or class 4 biological pathogen" means any biological pathogen that is characterized by the Centers for Disease Control as a class 2, class 3, or class 4 biological pathogen.

**SEC. 1324. ANNUAL REPORTS ON IMPROVING EXPORT CONTROL MECHANISMS AND ON MILITARY ASSISTANCE.**

(a) JOINT REPORTS BY SECRETARIES OF STATE AND COMMERCE.—Not later than April 1 of each of 1996 and 1997, the Secretary of State and the Secretary of Commerce shall submit to Congress a joint report, prepared in consultation with the Secretary of Defense, relating to United States export-control mechanisms. Each such report shall set forth measures to be taken to strengthen United States export-control mechanisms, including—

(1) steps being taken by each Secretary (A) to share on a regular basis the export licensing watchlist of that Secretary's department with the other Secretary, and (B) to incorporate the export licensing watchlist data received from the other Secretary into the watchlist of that Secretary's department;

(2) steps being taken by each Secretary to incorporate into the watchlist of that Secretary's department similar data from systems maintained by the Department of Defense and the United States Customs Service; and

(3) a description of such further measures to be taken to strengthen United States export-control mechanisms as the Secretaries consider to be appropriate.

(b) REPORTS BY INSPECTORS GENERAL.—(1) Not later than April 1 of each of 1996 and 1997, the Inspector General of the Department of State and the Inspector General of the Department of Commerce shall each submit to Congress a report providing that official's evaluation of the effectiveness during the preceding year of the export licensing watchlist screening process of that official's department. The reports shall be submitted in both a classified and unclassified version.

(2) Each report of an Inspector General under paragraph (1) shall (with respect to that official's department)—

(A) set forth the number of export licenses granted to parties on the export licensing watchlist;

(B) set forth the number of end-use checks performed with respect to export licenses granted to parties on the export licensing watchlist the previous year;

(C) assess the screening process used in granting an export license when an applicant is on the export licensing watchlist; and

(D) assess the extent to which the export licensing watchlist contains all relevant information and parties required by statute or regulation.

(c) ANNUAL MILITARY ASSISTANCE REPORT.—The Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 is amended by inserting after section 654 (22 U.S.C. 2414) the following new section:

**"SEC. 655. ANNUAL REPORT ON MILITARY ASSISTANCE, MILITARY EXPORTS, AND MILITARY IMPORTS.**

"(a) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than February 1 of each of 1996 and 1997, the President shall transmit to Congress a report concerning military assistance authorized or furnished for the fiscal year ending the previous September 30.

"(b) INFORMATION RELATING TO MILITARY ASSISTANCE AND MILITARY EXPORTS.—Each such report shall show the aggregate dollar value and quantity of defense articles (including excess defense articles) and defense services, and of military education and training, authorized or furnished by the United States to each foreign country and international organization. The report shall specify, by category, whether those articles and services, and that education and training, were furnished by grant under chapter 2 or chapter 5 of part II of this Act or by sale under chapter 2 of the Arms Export Control Act or were authorized by commercial sale licensed under section 38 of the Arms Export Control Act.

"(c) INFORMATION RELATING TO MILITARY IMPORTS.—Each such report shall also include the total amount of military items of non-United States manufacture that were imported into the United States during the fiscal year covered by the report. The report shall show the country of origin, the type of item being imported, and the total amount of items.".

**SEC. 1325. REPORT ON PERSONNEL REQUIREMENTS FOR CONTROL OF TRANSFER OF CERTAIN WEAPONS.**

Not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Energy shall submit to the committees of Congress referred to in subsection (c) of section 1154 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1994 (Public Law 103-160; 107 Stat. 1761) the report required under subsection (a) of that section. The Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Energy shall include with the report an explanation of the failure of such Secretaries to submit the report in accordance with such subsection (a) and with all other previous requirements for the submittal of the report.

**Subtitle D—Burdensharing and Other Cooperative Activities Involving Allies and NATO**

**SEC. 1331. ACCOUNTING FOR BURDENSHARING CONTRIBUTIONS.**

(a) AUTHORITY TO MANAGE CONTRIBUTIONS IN LOCAL CURRENCY, ETC.—Subsection (b) of section 2350j of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

"(b) ACCOUNTING.—Contributions accepted under subsection (a) which are not related to security assistance may be accepted, managed, and expended in dollars or in the currency of the host nation (or, in the case of a contribution from a regional organization, in the currency in which the contribution was provided). Any such contribution shall be placed in an account established for such purpose and shall remain available until expended for the purposes specified in subsection (c). The Secretary of Defense shall establish a separate account for such purpose for each country or regional organization from which such contributions are accepted under subsection (a).".

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Subsection (d) of such section is amended by striking out "credited under subsection (b) to an appropriation account of the Department of Defense" and inserting in lieu thereof "placed in an account established under subsection (b)".

(c) TECHNICAL AMENDMENT.—Such section is further amended—

(1) in subsection (e)(1), by striking out "a report to the congressional defense committees" and inserting in lieu thereof "to the congressional committees specified in subsection (g) a report"; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(g) CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.—The congressional committees referred to in subsection (e)(1) are—

"(1) the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate; and

"(2) the Committee on National Security and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives.".

**SEC. 1332. AUTHORITY TO ACCEPT CONTRIBUTIONS FOR EXPENSES OF RELOCATION WITHIN HOST NATION OF UNITED STATES ARMED FORCES OVERSEAS.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—(1) Subchapter II of chapter 138 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

**"§ 2350k. Relocation within host nation of elements of armed forces overseas**

"(a) AUTHORITY TO ACCEPT CONTRIBUTIONS.—The Secretary of Defense may accept

contributions from any nation because of or in support of the relocation of elements of the armed forces from or to any location within that nation. Such contributions may be accepted in dollars or in the currency of the host nation. Any such contribution shall be placed in an account established for such purpose and shall remain available until expended for the purposes specified in subsection (b). The Secretary shall establish a separate account for such purpose for each country from which such contributions are accepted.

"(b) USE OF CONTRIBUTIONS.—The Secretary may use a contribution accepted under subsection (a) only for payment of costs incurred in connection with the relocation concerning which the contribution was made. Those costs include the following:

"(1) Design and construction services, including development and review of statements of work, master plans and designs, acquisition of construction, and supervision and administration of contracts relating thereto.

"(2) Transportation and movement services, including packing, unpacking, storage, and transportation.

"(3) Communications services, including installation and deinstallation of communications equipment, transmission of messages and data, and rental of transmission capability.

"(4) Supply and administration, including acquisition of expendable office supplies, rental of office space, budgeting and accounting services, auditing services, secretarial services, and translation services.

"(5) Personnel costs, including salary, allowances and overhead of employees whether full-time or part-time, temporary or permanent (except for military personnel), and travel and temporary duty costs.

"(6) All other clearly identifiable expenses directly related to relocation.

"(c) METHOD OF CONTRIBUTION.—Contributions may be accepted in any of the following forms:

"(1) Irrevocable letter of credit issued by a financial institution acceptable to the Treasurer of the United States.

"(2) Drawing rights on a commercial bank account established and funded by the host nation, which account is blocked such that funds deposited cannot be withdrawn except by or with the approval of the United States.

"(3) Cash, which shall be deposited in a separate trust fund in the United States Treasury pending expenditure and which shall accrue interest in accordance with section 9702 of title 31.

"(d) ANNUAL REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 30 days after the end of each fiscal year, the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report specifying—

"(1) the amount of the contributions accepted by the Secretary during the preceding fiscal year under subsection (a) and the purposes for which the contributions were made; and

"(2) the amount of the contributions expended by the Secretary during the preceding fiscal year and the purposes for which the contributions were expended.".

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of subchapter II of chapter 138 of such title is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

"2350k. Relocation within host nation of elements of armed forces overseas.".

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Section 2350k of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a), shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act and shall apply to contributions for relocation of elements of the Armed Forces in or to any nation received on or after such date.

**SEC. 1333. REVISED GOAL FOR ALLIED SHARE OF COSTS FOR UNITED STATES INSTALLATIONS IN EUROPE.**

Section 1304(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (Public Law 103-337; 108 Stat. 2890) is amended—

(1) by inserting "(1)" after "so that"; and

(2) by inserting before the period at the end the following: ", and (2) by September 30, 1997, those nations have assumed 42.5 percent of such costs".

**SEC. 1334. EXCLUSION OF CERTAIN FORCES FROM EUROPEAN END STRENGTH LIMITATION.**

(a) EXCLUSION OF MEMBERS PERFORMING DUTIES UNDER MILITARY-TO-MILITARY CONTACT PROGRAM.—Paragraph (3) of section 1002(c) of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1985 (22 U.S.C. 1928 note) is amended to read as follows:

"(3) For purposes of this subsection, the following members of the Armed Forces are excluded in calculating the end strength level of members of the Armed Forces of the United States assigned to permanent duty ashore in European member nations of NATO:

"(A) Members assigned to permanent duty ashore in Iceland, Greenland, and the Azores.

"(B) Members performing duties in Europe for more than 179 days under a military-to-military contact program under section 168 of title 10, United States Code.".

**SEC. 1335. COOPERATIVE RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENTS WITH NATO ORGANIZATIONS.**

Section 2350b(e) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by inserting "or a NATO organization" after "a participant (other than the United States)"; and

(2) in paragraph (2), by striking out "a cooperative project" and inserting in lieu thereof "such a cooperative project or a NATO organization".

**SEC. 1336. SUPPORT SERVICES FOR THE NAVY AT THE PORT OF HAIFA, ISRAEL.**

(a) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that the Secretary of Defense should promptly seek to undertake such actions as are necessary—

(1) to ensure that suitable port services are available to the Navy at the Port of Haifa, Israel; and

(2) to ensure the availability to the Navy of suitable services at that port in light of the continuing increase in commercial activities at the port.

(b) REPORT.—Not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Navy shall submit to Congress a report on the availablity of port services for the Navy in the eastern Mediterranean Sea region. The report shall specify—

(1) the services required by the Navy when calling at the port of Haifa, Israel; and

(2) the availability of those services at ports elsewhere in the region.

**Subtitle E—Other Matters**

**SEC. 1341. PROHIBITION ON FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE TO TERRORIST COUNTRIES.**

(a) PROHIBITION.—Subchapter I of chapter 134 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

**"§ 2249a. Prohibition on providing financial assistance to terrorist countries**

"(a) PROHIBITION.—Funds available to the Department of Defense may not be obligated or expended to provide financial assistance to—

"(1) any country with respect to which the Secretary of State has made a determination under section 6(j)(1)(A) of the Export Administration Act of 1979 (50 App. 2405(j));

"(2) any country identified in the latest report submitted to Congress under section 140 of the Foreign Relations Authorization Act, Fiscal Years 1988 and 1989 (22 U.S.C. 2656f), as providing significant support for international terrorism; or

"(3) any other country that, as determined by the President—

"(A) grants sanctuary from prosecution to any individual or group that has committed an act of international terrorism; or

"(B) otherwise supports international terrorism.

"(b) WAIVER.—(1) The President may waive the application of subsection (a) to a country if the President determines—

"(A) that it is in the national security interests of the United States to do so; or

"(B) that the waiver should be granted for humanitarian reasons.

"(2) The President shall—

"(A) notify the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate and the Committee on National Security and the Committee on International Relations of the House of Representatives at least 15 days before the waiver takes effect; and

"(B) publish a notice of the waiver in the Federal Register.

"(c) DEFINITION.—In this section, the term 'international terrorism' has the meaning given that term in section 140(d) of the Foreign Relations Authorization Act, Fiscal Years 1988 and 1989 (22 U.S.C. 2656f(d)).".

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of subchapter I of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following:

"2249a. Prohibition on providing financial assistance to terrorist countries.".

**SEC. 1342. JUDICIAL ASSISTANCE TO THE INTERNATIONAL TRIBUNAL FOR YUGOSLAVIA AND TO THE INTERNATIONAL TRIBUNAL FOR RWANDA.**

(a) SURRENDER OF PERSONS.—

(1) APPLICATION OF UNITED STATES EXTRADITION LAWS.—Except as provided in paragraphs (2) and (3), the provisions of chapter 209 of title 18, United States Code, relating to the extradition of persons to a foreign country pursuant to a treaty or convention for extradition between the United States and a foreign government, shall apply in the same manner and extent to the surrender of persons, including United States citizens, to—

(A) the International Tribunal for Yugoslavia, pursuant to the Agreement Between the United States and the International Tribunal for Yugoslavia; and

(B) the International Tribunal for Rwanda, pursuant to the Agreement Between the United States and the International Tribunal for Rwanda.

(2) EVIDENCE ON HEARINGS.—For purposes of applying section 3190 of title 18, United States Code, in accordance with paragraph (1), the certification referred to in that section may be made by the principal diplomatic or consular officer of the United States resident in such foreign countries where the International Tribunal for Yugoslavia or the International Tribunal for Rwanda may be permanently or temporarily situated.

(3) PAYMENT OF FEES AND COSTS.—(A) The provisions of the Agreement Between the

United States and the International Tribunal for Yugoslavia and of the Agreement Between the United States and the International Tribunal for Rwanda shall apply in lieu of the provisions of section 3195 of title 18, United States Code, with respect to the payment of expenses arising from the surrender by the United States of a person to the International Tribunal for Yugoslavia or the International Tribunal for Rwanda, respectively, or from any proceedings in the United States relating to such surrender.

(B) The authority of subparagraph (A) may be exercised only to the extent and in the amounts provided in advance in appropriations Acts.

(4) NONAPPLICABILITY OF THE FEDERAL RULES.—The Federal Rules of Evidence and the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure do not apply to proceedings for the surrender of persons to the International Tribunal for Yugoslavia or the International Tribunal for Rwanda.

(b) ASSISTANCE TO FOREIGN AND INTERNATIONAL TRIBUNALS AND TO LITIGANTS BEFORE SUCH TRIBUNALS.—Section 1782(a) of title 28, United States Code, is amended by inserting in the first sentence after "foreign or international tribunal" the following: ", including criminal investigations conducted before formal accusation".

(c) DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this section:

(1) INTERNATIONAL TRIBUNAL FOR YUGOSLAVIA.—The term "International Tribunal for Yugoslavia" means the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law in the Territory of the Former Yugoslavia, as established by United Nations Security Council Resolution 827 of May 25, 1993.

(2) INTERNATIONAL TRIBUNAL FOR RWANDA.—The term "International Tribunal for Rwanda" means the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Genocide and Other Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of Rwanda and Rwandan Citizens Responsible for Genocide and Other Such Violations Committed in the Territory of Neighboring States, as established by United Nations Security Council Resolution 955 of November 8, 1994.

(3) AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES AND THE INTERNATIONAL TRIBUNAL FOR YUGOSLAVIA.—The term "Agreement Between the United States and the International Tribunal for Yugoslavia" means the Agreement on Surrender of Persons Between the Government of the United States and the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Law in the Territory of the Former Yugoslavia, signed at The Hague, October 5, 1994.

(4) AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES AND THE INTERNATIONAL TRIBUNAL FOR RWANDA.—The term "Agreement between the United States and the International Tribunal for Rwanda" means the Agreement on Surrender of Persons Between the Government of the United States and the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Genocide and Other Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of Rwanda and Rwandan Citizens Responsible for Genocide and Other Such Violations Committed in the Territory of Neighboring States, signed at The Hague, January 24, 1995.

**SEC. 1343. SEMIANNUAL REPORTS CONCERNING UNITED STATES-PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA JOINT DEFENSE CONVERSION COMMISSION.**

(a) REPORTS REQUIRED.—The Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a semiannual report on the United States-People's Republic of China Joint Defense Conversion Commission. Each such report shall include the following:

(1) A description of the extent to which the activities conducted in, through, or as a result of the Commission could have directly or indirectly assisted, or may directly or indirectly assist, the military modernization efforts of the People's Republic of China.

(2) A discussion of the activities and operations of the Commission, including—

(A) United States funding;

(B) a listing of participating United States officials;

(C) specification of meeting dates and locations (prospective and retrospective);

(D) summary of discussions; and

(E) copies of any agreements reached.

(3) A discussion of the relationship between the "defense conversion" activities of the People's Republic of China and its defense modernization efforts.

(4) A discussion of the extent to which United States business activities pursued, or proposed to be pursued, under the imprimatur of the Commission, or the importation of western technology in general, contributes to the modernization of China's military industrial base, including any steps taken by the United States or by United States commercial entities to safeguard the technology or intellectual property rights associated with any materials or information transferred.

(5) An assessment of the benefits derived by the United States from its participation in the Commission, including whether or to what extent United States participation in the Commission has resulted or will result in the following:

(A) Increased transparency in the current and projected military budget and doctrine of the People's Republic of China.

(B) Improved behavior and cooperation by the People's Republic of China in the areas of missile and nuclear proliferation.

(C) Increased transparency in the plans of the People's Republic of China's for nuclear and missile force modernization and testing.

(6) Efforts undertaken by the Secretary of Defense to—

(A) establish a list of enterprises controlled by the People's Liberation Army, including those which have been successfully converted to produce products solely for civilian use; and

(B) provide estimates of the total revenues of those enterprises.

(7) A description of current or proposed mechanisms for improving the ability of the United States to track the flow of revenues from the enterprises specified on the list established under paragraph (6)(A).

(b) SUBMITTAL OF REPORTS.—A report shall be submitted under subsection (a) not later than August 1 of each year with respect to the first six months of that year and shall be submitted not later than February 1 of each year with respect to the last six months of the preceding year. The first report under such subsection shall be submitted not less than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act and shall apply with respect to the six-month period preceding the date of the enactment of this Act.

(c) FINAL REPORT UPON TERMINATION OF COMMISSION.—Upon the termination of the United States-People's Republic of China Joint Defense Conversion Commission, the Secretary of Defense shall submit a final report under this section covering the period from the end of the period covered by the last such report through the termination of the Commission, and subsection (a) shall cease to apply after the submission of such report.

## TITLE XIV—ARMS CONTROL MATTERS

**SEC. 1401. REVISION OF DEFINITION OF LANDMINE FOR PURPOSES OF LANDMINE EXPORT MORATORIUM.**

Section 1423(d) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1994 (Public Law 103–160; 107 Stat. 1832) is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraphs (1), (2), and (3) as subparagraphs (A), (B), and (C), respectively;

(2) in subparagraph (C), as so redesignated, by striking out "by remote control or";

(3) by inserting "(1)" before "For purposes of"; and

(4) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

"(2) The term does not include command detonated antipersonnel land mines (such as the M18A1 'Claymore' mine).".

**SEC. 1402. REPORTS ON MORATORIUM ON USE BY ARMED FORCES OF ANTIPERSONNEL LANDMINES.**

Not later than April 30 of each of 1996, 1997, and 1998, the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the projected effects of a moratorium on the defensive use of antipersonnel mines and antitank mines by the Armed Forces. The report shall include a discussion of the following matters:

(1) The extent to which current doctrine and practices of the Armed Forces on the defensive use of antipersonnel mines and antitank mines adhere to applicable international law.

(2) The effects that a moratorium would have on the defensive use of the current United States inventory of remotely delivered, self-destructing antitank systems, antipersonnel mines, and antitank mines.

(3) The reliability of the self-destructing antipersonnel mines and self-destructing antitank mines of the United States.

(4) The cost of clearing the antipersonnel minefields currently protecting Naval Station Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, and other United States installations.

(5) The cost of replacing antipersonnel mines in such minefields with substitute systems such as the Claymore mine, and the level of protection that would be afforded by use of such a substitute.

(6) The extent to which the defensive use of antipersonnel mines and antitank mines by the Armed Forces is a source of civilian casualties around the world, and the extent to which the United States, and the Department of Defense particularly, contributes to alleviating the illegal and indiscriminate use of such munitions.

(7) The extent to which the threat to the security of United States forces during operations other than war and combat operations would increase as a result of such a moratorium.

**SEC. 1403. EXTENSION AND AMENDMENT OF COUNTER-PROLIFERATION AUTHORITIES.**

(a) ONE-YEAR EXTENSION OF PROGRAM.—Section 1505 of the Weapons of Mass Destruction Control Act of 1992 (title XV of Public Law 102–484; 22 U.S.C. 5859a) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by striking out "during fiscal years 1994 and 1995";

(2) in subsection (e)(1), by striking out "fiscal years 1994 and 1995" and inserting in lieu

thereof "a fiscal year during which the authority of the Secretary of Defense to provide assistance under this section is in effect"; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(f) TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.—The authority of the Secretary of Defense to provide assistance under this section terminates at the close of fiscal year 1996.".

(b) PROGRAM AUTHORITIES.—(1) Subsections (b)(2) and (d)(3) of such section are amended by striking out "the On-Site Inspection Agency" and inserting in lieu thereof "the Department of Defense".

(2) Subsection (c)(3) of such section is amended by striking out "will be counted" and all that follows and inserting in lieu thereof "will be counted as discretionary spending in the national defense budget function (function 050).".

(c) AMOUNT OF ASSISTANCE.—Subsection (d) of such section is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1)—

(A) by striking out "for fiscal year 1994" the first place it appears and all that follows through the period at the end of the second sentence and inserting in lieu thereof "for any fiscal year shall be derived from amounts made available to the Department of Defense for that fiscal year."; and

(B) by striking out "referred to in this paragraph"; and

(2) in paragraph (3)—

(A) by striking out "may not exceed" and all that follows through "1995"; and

(B) by inserting before the period at the end the following: ", may not exceed $25,000,000 for fiscal year 1994, $20,000,000 for fiscal year 1995, or $15,000,000 for fiscal year 1996".

**SEC. 1404. LIMITATION ON RETIREMENT OR DISMANTLEMENT OF STRATEGIC NUCLEAR DELIVERY SYSTEMS.**

(a) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that, unless and until the START II Treaty enters into force, the Secretary of Defense should not take any action to retire or dismantle, or to prepare to retire or dismantle, any of the following strategic nuclear delivery systems:

(1) B-52H bomber aircraft.

(2) Trident ballistic missile submarines.

(3) Minuteman III intercontinental ballistic missiles.

(4) Peacekeeper intercontinental ballistic missiles.

(b) LIMITATION ON USE OF FUNDS.—Funds available to the Department of Defense may not be obligated or expended during fiscal year 1996 for retiring or dismantling, or for preparing to retire or dismantle, any of the strategic nuclear delivery systems specified in subsection (a).

**SEC. 1405. CONGRESSIONAL FINDINGS AND SENSE OF CONGRESS CONCERNING TREATY VIOLATIONS.**

(a) REAFFIRMATION OF PRIOR FINDINGS CONCERNING THE KRASNOYARSK RADAR.—Congress, noting its previous findings with respect to the large phased-array radar of the Soviet Union known as the "Krasnoyarsk radar" stated in paragraphs (1) through (4) of section 902(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 1988 and 1989 (Public Law 100–180; 101 Stat. 1135) (and reaffirmed in section 1006(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 1990 and 1991 (Public Law 101–189; 103 Stat. 1543)), hereby reaffirms those findings as follows:

(1) The 1972 Anti-Ballistic Missile Treaty prohibits each party from deploying ballistic missile early warning radars except at locations along the periphery of its national territory and oriented outward.

(2) The 1972 Anti-Ballistic Missile Treaty prohibits each party from deploying an ABM system to defend its national territory and from providing a base for any such nationwide defense.

(3) Large phased-array radars were recognized during negotiation of the Anti-Ballistic Missile Treaty as the critical long lead-time element of a nationwide defense against ballistic missiles.

(4) In 1983 the United States discovered the construction, in the interior of the Soviet Union near the town of Krasnoyarsk, of a large phased-array radar that has subsequently been judged to be for ballistic missile early warning and tracking.

(b) FURTHER REFERENCE TO 1987 CONGRESSIONAL STATEMENTS.—Congress further notes that in section 902 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 1988 and 1989 (Public Law 100–180; 101 Stat. 1135) Congress also—

(1) noted that the President had certified that the Krasnoyarsk radar was an unequivocal violation of the 1972 Anti-Ballistic Missile Treaty; and

(2) stated it to be the sense of the Congress that the Soviet Union was in violation of its legal obligation under that treaty.

(c) FURTHER REFERENCE TO 1989 CONGRESSIONAL STATEMENTS.—Congress further notes that in section 1006(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 1990 and 1991 (Public Law 101–189; 103 Stat. 1543) Congress also—

(1) again noted that in 1987 the President declared that radar to be a clear violation of the 1972 Anti-Ballistic Missile Treaty and noted that on October 23, 1989, the Foreign Minister of the Soviet Union conceded that the Krasnoyarsk radar is a violation of the 1972 Anti-Ballistic Missile Treaty; and

(2) stated it to be the sense of the Congress that the Soviet Union should dismantle the Krasnoyarsk radar expeditiously and without conditions and that until such radar was completely dismantled it would remain a clear violation of the 1972 Anti-Ballistic Missile Treaty.

(d) ADDITIONAL FINDINGS.—Congress also finds, with respect to the Krasnoyarsk radar, that retired Soviet General Y.V. Votintsev, Director of the Soviet National Air Defense Forces from 1967 to 1985, has publicly stated—

(1) that he was directed by the Chief of the Soviet General staff to locate the large phased-array radar at Krasnoyarsk despite the recognition by Soviet authorities that the location of such a radar at that location would be a clear violation of the 1972 Anti-Ballistic Missile Treaty; and

(2) that Marshal D.F. Ustinov, Soviet Minister of Defense, threatened to relieve from duty any Soviet officer who continued to object to the construction of a large-phased array radar at Krasnoyarsk.

(e) SENSE OF CONGRESS CONCERNING SOVIET TREATY VIOLATIONS.—It is the sense of Congress that the government of the Soviet Union intentionally violated its legal obligations under the 1972 Anti-Ballistic Missile Treaty in order to advance its national security interests.

(f) SENSE OF CONGRESS CONCERNING COMPLIANCE BY RUSSIA WITH ARMS CONTROL OBLIGATIONS.—In light of subsections (a) through (e), it is the sense of Congress that the United States should remain vigilant in ensuring compliance by Russia with its arms control obligations and should, when pursuing future arms control agreements with Russia, bear in mind violations of arms control obligations by the Soviet Union.

**SEC. 1406. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON RATIFICATION OF CHEMICAL WEAPONS CONVENTION AND START II TREATY.**

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress makes the following findings:

(1) Proliferation of chemical or nuclear weapons materials poses a danger to United States national security, and the threat or use of such materials by terrorists would directly threaten United States citizens at home and abroad.

(2) Events such as the March 1995 terrorist release of a chemical nerve agent in the Tokyo subway, the threatened use of chemical weapons during the 1991 Persian Gulf War, and the widespread use of chemical weapons during the Iran-Iraq War of the 1980's are all potent reminders of the menace posed by chemical weapons, of the fact that the threat of chemical weapons is not sufficiently addressed, and of the need to outlaw the development, production, and possession of chemical weapons.

(3) The Chemical Weapons Convention negotiated and signed by President Bush would make it more difficult for would-be proliferators, including terrorists, to acquire or use chemical weapons, if ratified and fully implemented, as signed, by all signatories.

(4) United States military authorities, including Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff General John Shalikashvili, have stated that United States military forces will deter and respond to chemical weapons threats with a robust chemical defense and an overwhelming superior conventional response, as demonstrated in the Persian Gulf War, and have testified in support of the ratification of the Chemical Weapons Convention.

(5) The United States intelligence community has testified that the Convention will provide new and important sources of information, through regular data exchanges and routine and challenge inspections, to improve the ability of the United States to assess the chemical weapons status in countries of concern.

(6) The Convention has not entered into force for lack of the requisite number of ratifications.

(7) Russia has signed the Convention, but has not yet ratified it.

(8) There have been reports by Russian sources of continued Russian production and testing of chemical weapons, including a statement by a spokesman of the Russian Ministry of Defense on December 5, 1994, that "We cannot say that all chemical weapons production and testing has stopped altogether.".

(9) The Convention will impose a legally binding obligation on Russia and other nations that possess chemical weapons and that ratify the Convention to cease offensive chemical weapons activities and to destroy their chemical weapons stockpiles and production facilities.

(10) The United States must be prepared to exercise fully its rights under the Convention, including the request of challenge inspections when warranted, and to exercise leadership in pursuing punitive measures against violators of the Convention, when warranted.

(11) The United States should strongly encourage full implementation at the earliest possible date of the terms and conditions of the United States-Russia bilateral chemical weapons destruction agreement signed in 1990.

(12) The START II Treaty negotiated and signed by President Bush would help reduce

the danger of potential proliferators, including terrorists, acquiring nuclear warheads and materials, and would contribute to United States-Russian bilateral efforts to secure and dismantle nuclear warheads, if ratified and fully implemented as signed by both parties.

(13) It is in the national security interest of the United States to take effective steps to make it more difficult for proliferators or would-be terrorists to obtain chemical or nuclear materials for use in weapons.

(14) The President has urged prompt Senate action on, and advice and consent to ratification of, the START II Treaty and the Chemical Weapons Convention.

(15) The Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff has testified to Congress that ratification and full implementation of both treaties by all parties is in the United States national interest and has strongly urged prompt Senate advice and consent to their ratification.

(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that the United States, Russia, and all other parties to the START II Treaty and the Chemical Weapons Convention should promptly ratify and fully implement, as negotiated, both treaties.

**SEC. 1407. IMPLEMENTATION OF ARMS CONTROL AGREEMENTS.**

(a) FUNDING.—Of the amounts appropriated pursuant to authorizations in sections 102, 103, 104, 201, and 301, the Secretary of Defense may use an amount not to exceed $239,941,000 for implementing arms control agreements to which the United States is a party.

(b) LIMITATION.—(1) Funds made available pursuant to subsection (a) for the costs of implementing an arms control agreement may not (except as provided in paragraph (2)) be used to reimburse expenses incurred by any other party to the agreement for which (without regard to any executive agreement or any policy not part of an arms control agreement)—

(A) the other party is responsible under the terms of the arms control agreement; and

(B) the United States has no responsibility under the agreement.

(2) The limitation in paragraph (1) does not apply to a use of funds to carry out an arms control expenses reimbursement policy of the United States described in subsection (c).

(c) COVERED ARMS CONTROL EXPENSES REIMBURSEMENT POLICIES.—Subsection (b)(2) applies to a policy of the United States to reimburse expenses incurred by another party to an arms control agreement if—

(1) the policy does not modify any obligation imposed by the arms control agreement;

(2) the President—

(A) issued or approved the policy before the date of the enactment of this Act; or

(B) entered into an agreement on the policy with the government of another country or approved an agreement on the policy entered into by an official of the United States and the government of another country; and

(3) the President has notified the designated congressional committees of the policy or the policy agreement (as the case may be), in writing, at least 30 days before the date on which the President issued or approved the policy or has entered into or approved the policy agreement.

(d) DEFINITIONS.—For the purposes of this section:

(1) The term "arms control agreement" means an arms control treaty or other form of international arms control agreement.

(2) The term "executive agreement" means an international agreement entered into by the President that is not authorized by law or entered into as a Treaty to which the Senate has given its advice and consent to ratification.

(3) The term "designated congressional committees" means the following:

(A) The Committee on Foreign Relations, the Committee on Armed Services, and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate.

(B) The Committee on International Relations, the Committee on National Security, and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives.

**SEC. 1408. IRAN AND IRAQ ARMS NONPROLIFERATION.**

(a) SANCTIONS AGAINST TRANSFERS OF PERSONS.—Section 1604(a) of the Iran–Iraq Arms Non-Proliferation Act of 1992 (title XVI of Public Law 102–484; 50 U.S.C. 1701 note) is amended by inserting "to acquire chemical, biological, or nuclear weapons or" before "to acquire".

(b) SANCTIONS AGAINST TRANSFERS OF FOREIGN COUNTRIES.—Section 1605(a) of such Act is amended by inserting "to acquire chemical, biological, or nuclear weapons or" before "to acquire".

(c) CLARIFICATION OF UNITED STATES ASSISTANCE.—Subparagraph (A) of section 1608(7) of such Act is amended to read as follows:

"(A) any assistance under the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 (22 U.S.C. 2151 et seq.), other than urgent humanitarian assistance or medicine;".

(d) NOTIFICATION OF CERTAIN WAIVERS UNDER MTCR PROCEDURES.—Section 73(e)(2) of the Arms Export Control Act (22 U.S.C. 2797b(e)(2)) is amended—

(1) by striking out "the Congress" and inserting in lieu thereof "the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate and the Committee on National Security and the Committee on International Relations of the House of Representatives"; and

(2) by striking out "20 working days" and inserting in lieu thereof "45 working days".

## TITLE XV—TECHNICAL AND CLERICAL AMENDMENTS

**SEC. 1501. AMENDMENTS RELATED TO RESERVE OFFICER PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT ACT.**

(a) PUBLIC LAW 103–337.—The Reserve Officer Personnel Management Act (title XVI of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (Public Law 103–337)) is amended as follows:

(1) Section 1624 (108 Stat. 2961) is amended—

(A) by striking out "641" and all that follows through "(2)" and inserting in lieu thereof "620 is amended"; and

(B) by redesignating as subsection (d) the subsection added by the amendment made by that section.

(2) Section 1625 (108 Stat. 2962) is amended by striking out "Section 689" and inserting in lieu thereof "Section 12320".

(3) Section 1626(1) (108 Stat. 2962) is amended by striking out "(W–5)" in the second quoted matter therein and inserting in lieu thereof ", W–5,".

(4) Section 1627 (108 Stat. 2962) is amended by striking out "Section 1005(b)" and inserting in lieu thereof "Section 12645(b)".

(5) Section 1631 (108 Stat. 2964) is amended—

(A) in subsection (a), by striking out "Section 510" and inserting in lieu thereof "Section 12102"; and

(B) in subsection (b), by striking out "Section 591" and inserting in lieu thereof "Section 12201".

(6) Section 1632 (108 Stat. 2965) is amended by striking out "Section 593(a)" and inserting in lieu thereof "Section 12203(a)".

(7) Section 1635(a) (108 Stat. 2968) is amended by striking out "section 1291" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 1691(b)".

(8) Section 1671 (108 Stat. 3013) is amended—

(A) in subsection (b)(3), by striking out "512, and 517" and inserting in lieu thereof "and 512"; and

(B) in subsection (c)(2), by striking out the comma after "861" in the first quoted matter therein.

(9) Section 1684(b) (108 Stat. 3024) is amended by striking out "section 14110(d)" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 14111(c)".

(b) SUBTITLE E OF TITLE 10.—Subtitle E of title 10, United States Code, is amended as follows:

(1) The tables of chapters preceding part I and at the beginning of part IV are amended by striking out "Repayments" in the item relating to chapter 1609 and inserting in lieu thereof "Repayment Programs".

(2)(A) The heading for section 10103 is amended to read as follows:

**"§ 10103. Basic policy for order into Federal service".**

(B) The item relating to section 10103 in the table of sections at the beginning of chapter 1003 is amended to read as follows:

"10103. Basic policy for order into Federal service.".

(3) The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 1005 is amended by striking out the third word in the item relating to section 10142.

(4) The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 1007 is amended—

(A) by striking out the third word in the item relating to section 10205; and

(B) by capitalizing the initial letter of the sixth word in the item relating to section 10211.

(5) The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 1011 is amended by inserting "Sec." at the top of the column of section numbers.

(6) Section 10507 is amended—

(A) by striking out "section 124402(b)" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 12402(b)"; and

(B) by striking out "Air Forces" and inserting in lieu thereof "Air Force".

(7)(A) Section 10508 is repealed.

(B) The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 1011 is amended by striking out the item relating to section 10508.

(8) Section 10542 is amended by striking out subsection (d).

(9) Section 12004(a) is amended by striking out "active-status" and inserting in lieu thereof "active status".

(10) Section 12012 is amended by inserting "**the**" in the section heading before the penultimate word.

(11)(A) The heading for section 12201 is amended to read as follows:

**"§ 12201. Reserve officers: qualifications for appointment".**

(B) The item relating to that section in the table of sections at the beginning of chapter 1205 is amended to read as follows:

"12201. Reserve officers: qualifications for appointment.".

(12)(A) The heading for section 12209 is amended to read as follows:

**"§ 12209. Officer candidates: enlisted Reserves".**

(B) The heading for section 12210 is amended to read as follows:

**"§ 12210. Attending Physician to the Congress: reserve grade while so serving".**

(13)(A) The headings for sections 12211, 12212, 12213, and 12214 are amended by inserting "**the**" after "**National Guard of**"

(B) The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 1205 is amended by inserting "the" in the items relating to sections 12211, 12212, 12213, and 12214 after "National Guard of".

(14) Section 12213(a) is amended by striking out "section 593" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 12203".

(15) The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 1207 is amended by striking out "promotions" in the item relating to section 12243 and inserting in lieu thereof "promotion".

(16) The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 1209 is amended—

(A) in the item relating to section 12304, by striking out the colon and inserting in lieu thereof a semicolon; and

(B) in the item relating to section 12308, by striking out the second, third, and fourth words.

(17) Section 12307 is amended by striking out "Ready Reserve" in the second sentence and inserting in lieu thereof "Retired Reserve".

(18)(A) The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 1211 is amended by inserting "the" in the items relating to sections 12401, 12402, 12403, and 12404 after "Army and Air National Guard of".

(B) The headings for sections 12402, 12403, and 12404 are amended by inserting "**the**" after "**Army and Air National Guard of**"

(19) Section 12407(b) is amended—

(A) by striking out "of those jurisdictions" and inserting in lieu thereof "State"; and

(B) by striking out "jurisdictions" and inserting in lieu thereof "States".

(20) Section 12731(f) is amended by striking out "the date of the enactment of this subsection" and inserting in lieu thereof "October 5, 1994,".

(21) Section 12731a(c)(3) is amended by inserting a comma after "Defense Conversion".

(22) Section 14003 is amended by inserting "**lists**" in the section heading immediately before the colon.

(23) The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 1403 is amended by striking out "selection board" in the item relating to section 14105 and inserting in lieu thereof "promotion board".

(24) The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 1405 is amended—

(A) in the item relating to section 14307, by striking out "Numbers" and inserting in lieu thereof "Number";

(B) in the item relating to section 14309, by striking out the colon and inserting in lieu thereof a semicolon; and

(C) in the item relating to section 14314, by capitalizing the initial letter of the antepenultimate word.

(25) Section 14315(a) is amended by striking out "a Reserve officer" and inserting in lieu thereof "a reserve officer".

(26) Section 14317(e) is amended—

(A) by inserting "OFFICERS ORDERED TO ACTIVE DUTY IN TIME OF WAR OR NATIONAL EMERGENCY.—" after "(e)"; and

(B) by striking out "section 10213 or 644" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 123 or 10213".

(27) The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 1407 is amended—

(A) in the item relating to section 14506, by inserting "reserve" after "Marine Corps and"; and

(B) in the item relating to section 14507, by inserting "reserve" after "Removal from the"; and

(C) in the item relating to section 14509, by inserting "in grades" after "reserve officers".

(28) Section 14501(a) is amended by inserting "OFFICERS BELOW THE GRADE OF COLONEL OR NAVY CAPTAIN.—" after "(a)".

(29) The heading for section 14506 is amended by inserting a comma after "**Air Force**".

(30) Section 14508 is amended by striking out "this" after "from an active status under" in subsections (c) and (d).

(31) Section 14515 is amended by striking out "inactive status" and inserting in lieu thereof "inactive-status".

(32) Section 14903(b) is amended by striking out "chapter" and inserting in lieu thereof "title".

(33) The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 1606 is amended in the item relating to section 16133 by striking out "limitations" and inserting in lieu thereof "limitation".

(34) Section 16132(c) is amended by striking out "section" and inserting in lieu thereof "sections".

(35) Section 16135(b)(1)(A) is amended by striking out "section 2131(a)" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 16131(a)".

(36) Section 18236(b)(1) is amended by striking out "section 2233(e)" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 18233(e)".

(37) Section 18237 is amended—

(A) in subsection (a), by striking out "section 2233(a)(1)" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 18233(a)(1)"; and

(B) in subsection (b), by striking out "section 2233(a)" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 18233(a)".

(c) OTHER PROVISIONS OF TITLE 10.—Effective as of December 1, 1994 (except as otherwise expressly provided), and as if included as amendments made by the Reserve Officer Personnel Management Act (title XVI of Public Law 103-360) as originally enacted, title 10, United States Code, is amended as follows:

(1) Section 101(d)(6)(B)(i) is amended by striking out "section 175" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 10301".

(2) Section 114(b) is amended by striking out "chapter 133" and inserting in lieu thereof "chapter 1803".

(3) Section 115(d) is amended—

(A) in paragraph (1), by striking out "section 673" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 12302";

(B) in paragraph (2), by striking out "section 673b" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 12304"; and

(C) in paragraph (3), by striking out "section 3500 or 8500" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 12406".

(4) Section 123(a) is amended—

(A) by striking out "281, 592, 1002, 1005, 1006, 1007, 1374, 3217, 3218, 3219, 3220, 3352(a) (last sentence),", "5414, 5457, 5458, 5506,", and "8217, 8218, 8219,"; and

(B) by striking out "and 8855" and inserting in lieu thereof "8855, 10214, 12003, 12004, 12005, 12007, 12202, 12213(a) (second sentence), 12642, 12645, 12646, 12647, 12771, 12772, and 12773".

(5) Section 582(1) is amended by striking out "section 672(d)" in subparagraph (B) and "section 673b" in subparagraph (D) and inserting in lieu thereof "section 12301(d)" and "section 12304", respectively.

(6) Section 641(1)(B) is amended by striking out "10501" and inserting in lieu thereof "10502, 10505, 10506(a), 10506(b), 10507".

(7) The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 39 is amended by striking out the items relating to sections 687 and 690.

(8) Sections 1053(a)(1) and 1064 are amended by striking out "chapter 67" and inserting in lieu thereof "chapter 1223".

(9) Section 1063(a)(1) is amended by striking out "section 1332(a)(2)" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 12732(a)(2)".

(10) Section 1074b(b)(2) is amended by striking out "section 673c" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 12305".

(11) Section 1076(b)(2)(A) is amended by striking out "before the effective date of the Reserve Officer Personnel Management Act" and inserting in lieu thereof "before December 1, 1994".

(12) Section 1176(b) is amended by striking out "section 1332" in the matter preceding paragraph (1) and in paragraphs (1) and (2) and inserting in lieu thereof "section 12732".

(13) Section 1208(b) is amended by striking out "section 1333" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 12733".

(14) Section 1209 is amended by striking out "section 1332", "section 1335", and "chapter 71" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 12732", "section 12735", and "section 12739", respectively.

(15) Section 1407 is amended—

(A) in subsection (c)(1) and (d)(1), by striking out "section 1331" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 12731"; and

(B) in the heading for paragraph (1) of subsection (d), by striking out "CHAPTER 67" and inserting in lieu thereof "CHAPTER 1223".

(16) Section 1408(a)(5) is amended by striking out "section 1331" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 12731".

(17) Section 1431(a)(1) is amended by striking out "section 1376(a)" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 12774(a)".

(18) Section 1463(a)(2) is amended by striking out "chapter 67" and inserting in lieu thereof "chapter 1223".

(19) Section 1482(f)(2) is amended by inserting "section" before "12731 of this title".

(20) The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 533 is amended by striking out the item relating to section 5454.

(21) Section 2006(b)(1) is amended by striking out "chapter 106 of this title" and inserting in lieu thereof "chapter 1606 of this title".

(22) Section 2121(c) is amended by striking out "section 3353, 5600, or 8353" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 12207", effective on the effective date specified in section 1691(b)(1) of Public Law 103-337.

(23) Section 2130a(b)(3) is amended by striking out "section 591" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 12201".

(24) The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 337 is amended by striking out the items relating to section 3351 and 3352.

(25) Sections 3850, 6389(c), 6391(c), and 8850 are amended by striking out "section 1332" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 12732".

(26) Section 5600 is repealed, effective on the effective date specified in section 1691(b)(1) of Public Law 103-337.

(27) Section 5892 is amended by striking out "section 5457 or section 5458" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 12004 or section 12005".

(28) Section 6410(a) is amended by striking out "section 1005" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 12645".

(29) The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 837 is amended by striking out the items relating to section 8351 and 8352.

(30) Section 8360(b) is amended by striking out "section 1002" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 12642".

(31) Section 8380 is amended by striking out "section 524" in subsections (a) and (b) and inserting in lieu thereof "section 12011".

(32) Sections 8819(a), 8846(a), and 8846(b) are amended by striking out "sections 1005 and 1006" and inserting in lieu thereof "sections 12645 and 12646".

(33) Section 8819 is amended by striking out "section 1005" and "section 1006" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 12645" and "section 12646", respectively.

(d) CROSS REFERENCES IN OTHER DEFENSE LAWS.—

(1) Section 337(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (Public Law 103–337; 108 Stat. 2717) is amended by inserting before the period at the end the following: "or who after November 30, 1994, transferred to the Retired Reserve under section 10154(2) of title 10, United States Code, without having completed the years of service required under section 12731(a)(2) of such title for eligibility for retired pay under chapter 1223 of such title".

(2) Section 525 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 1992 and 1993 (Public Law 102–190, 105 Stat. 1363) is amended by striking out "section 690" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 12321".

(3) Subtitle B of title XLIV of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993 (Public Law 102–484; 10 U.S.C. 12681 note) is amended—

(A) in section 4415, by striking out "section 1331a" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 12731a";

(B) in subsection 4416—

(i) in subsection (a), by striking out "section 1331" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 12731";

(ii) in subsection (b)—

(I) by inserting "or section 12732" in paragraph (1) after "under that section"; and

(II) by inserting "or 12731(a)" in paragraph (2) after "section 1331(a)";

(iii) in subsection (e)(2), by striking out "section 1332" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 12732"; and

(iv) in subsection (g), by striking out "section 1331a" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 12731a"; and

(C) in section 4418—

(i) in subsection (a), by striking out "section 1332" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 12732"; and

(ii) in subsection (b)(1)(A), by striking out "section 1333" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 12733".

(4) Title 37, United States Code, is amended—

(A) in section 302f(b), by striking out "section 673c of title 10" in paragraphs (2) and (3)(A) and inserting in lieu thereof "section 12305 of title 10"; and

(B) in section 433(a), by striking out "section 687 of title 10" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 12319 of title 10".

(e) CROSS REFERENCES IN OTHER LAWS.—

(1) Title 14, United States Code, is amended—

(A) in section 705(f), by striking out "600 of title 10" and inserting in lieu thereof "12209 of title 10"; and

(B) in section 741(c), by striking out "section 1006 of title 10" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 12646 of title 10".

(2) Title 38, United States Code, is amended—

(A) in section 3011(d)(3), by striking out "section 672, 673, 673b, 674, or 675 of title 10" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 12301, 12302, 12304, 12306, or 12307 of title 10";

(B) in sections 3012(b)(1)(B)(iii) and 3701(b)(5)(B), by striking out "section 268(b) of title 10" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 10143(a) of title 10";

(C) in section 3501(a)(3)(C), by striking out "section 511(d) of title 10" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 12103(d) of title 10"; and

(D) in section 4211(4)(C), by striking out "section 672(a), (d), or (g), 673, or 673b of title 10" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 12301(a), (d), or (g), 12302, or 12304 of title 10".

(3) Section 702(a)(1) of the Soldiers' and Sailors' Civil Relief Act of 1940 (50 U.S.C. App. 592(a)(1)) is amended—

(A) by striking out "section 672 (a) or (g), 673, 673b, 674, 675, or 688 of title 10" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 688, 12301(a), 12301(g), 12302, 12304, 12306, or 12307 of title 10"; and

(B) by striking out "section 672(d) of such title" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 12301(d) of such title".

(4) Section 463A of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1087cc–1) is amended in subsection (a)(10) by striking out "(10 U.S.C. 2172)" and inserting in lieu thereof "(10 U.S.C. 16302)".

(5) Section 179 of the National and Community Service Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. 12639) is amended in subsection (a)(2)(C) by striking out "section 216(a) of title 5" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 10101 of title 10".

(f) EFFECTIVE DATES.—

(1) Section 1636 of the Reserve Officer Personnel Management Act shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2) The amendments made by sections 1672(a), 1673(a) (with respect to chapters 541 and 549), 1673(b)(2), 1673(b)(4), 1674(a), and 1674(b)(7) shall take effect on the effective date specified in section 1691(b)(1) of the Reserve Officer Personnel Management Act (notwithstanding section 1691(a) of such Act).

(3) The amendments made by this section shall take effect as if included in the Reserve Officer Personnel Management Act as enacted on October 5, 1994.

**SEC. 1502. AMENDMENTS TO REFLECT NAME CHANGE OF COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.**

(a) TITLE 10, UNITED STATES CODE.—Title 10, United States Code, is amended as follows:

(1) Sections 503(b)(5), 520a(d), 526(d)(1), 619a(h)(2), 806a(b), 838(b)(7), 946(c)(1)(A), 1098(b)(2), 2313(b)(4), 2361(c)(1), 2371(h), 2391(c), 2430(b), 2432(b)(3)(B), 2432(c)(2), 2432(h)(1), 2667(d)(3), 2672a(b), 2687(b)(1), 4342(g), 7307(b)(1)(A), and 9342(g) are amended by striking out "Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives" and inserting in lieu thereof "Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives".

(2) Sections 178(c)(1)(A), 942(e)(5), 2350f(c), 7426(e), 7431(a), 7431(b)(1), 7431(c), 7438(b), 12302(b), 18235(a), and 18236(a) are amended by striking out "Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives" and inserting in lieu thereof "Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives".

(3) Section 113(j)(1) is amended by striking out "Committees on Armed Services and Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and" and inserting in lieu thereof "Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate and the Committee on National Security and the Committee on Appropriations of the".

(4) Section 119(g) is amended by striking out paragraphs (1) and (2) and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

"(1) the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Appropriations, and the Defense Subcommittee of the Committee on Appropriations, of the Senate; and

"(2) the Committee on National Security and the Committee on Appropriations, and the National Security Subcommittee of the Committee on Appropriations, of the House of Representatives.".

(5) Section 127(c) is amended by striking out "Committees on Armed Services and Appropriations of the Senate and" and inserting in lieu thereof "Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate and the Committee on National Security and the Committee on Appropriations of".

(6) Section 135(e) is amended—

(A) by inserting "(1)" after "(e)";

(B) by striking out "the Committees on Armed Services and the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and House of Representatives are each" and inserting in lieu thereof "each congressional committee specified in paragraph (2) is"; and

(C) by adding at the end the following:

"(2) The committees referred to in paragraph (1) are—

"(A) the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate; and

"(B) the Committee on National Security and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives.".

(7) Section 179(e) is amended by striking out "to the Committees on Armed Services and Appropriations of the Senate and" and inserting in lieu thereof "to the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate and the Committee on National Security and the Committee on Appropriations of the".

(8) Sections 401(d) and 402(d) are amended by striking out "submit to the" and all that follows through "Foreign Affairs" and inserting in lieu thereof "submit to the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate and the Committee on National Security and the Committee on International Relations".

(9) Section 2367(d)(2) is amended by striking out "the Committees on Armed Services and the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and" and inserting in lieu thereof "the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate and the Committee on National Security and the Committee on Appropriations of the".

(10) Sections 2306b(g), 2801(c)(4), and 18233a(a)(1) are amended by striking out "the Committees on Armed Services and on Appropriations of the Senate and" and inserting in lieu thereof "the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate and the Committee on National Security and the Committee on Appropriations of the".

(11) Section 1599(e)(2) is amended—

(A) in subparagraph (A), by striking out "The Committees on Armed Services and Appropriations" and inserting in lieu thereof "The Committee on National Security, the Committee on Appropriations,"; and

(B) in subparagraph (B), by striking out "The Committees on Armed Services and Appropriations" and inserting in lieu thereof "The Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Appropriations,".

(12) Sections 4355(a)(3), 6968(a)(3), and 9355(a)(3) are amended by striking out "Armed Services" and inserting in lieu thereof "National Security".

(13) Section 1060(d) is amended by striking out "Committee on Armed Services and the

Committee on Foreign Affairs" and inserting in lieu thereof "Committee on National Security and the Committee on International Relations".

(14) Section 2215 is amended—

(A) by inserting "(a) CERTIFICATION REQUIRED.—" at the beginning of the text of the section;

(B) by striking out "to the Committees" and all that follows through "House of Representatives" and inserting in lieu thereof "to the congressional committees specified in subsection (b)"; and

(C) by adding at the end the following:

"(b) CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.—The committees referred to in subsection (a) are—

"(1) the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate; and

"(2) the Committee on National Security and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives.".

(15) Section 2218 is amended—

(A) in subsection (j), by striking out "the Committees on Armed Services and on Appropriations of the Senate and the House of Representatives" and inserting in lieu thereof "the congressional defense committees"; and

(B) by adding at the end of subsection (k) the following new paragraph:

"(4) The term 'congressional defense committees' means—

"(A) the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate; and

"(B) the Committee on National Security and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives.".

(16) Section 2342(b) is amended—

(A) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by striking out "section—" and inserting in lieu thereof "section unless—";

(B) in paragraph (1), by striking out "unless"; and

(C) in paragraph (2), by striking out "notifies the" and all that follows through "House of Representatives" and inserting in lieu thereof "the Secretary submits to the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate and the Committee on National Security and the Committee on International Relations of the House of Representatives notice of the intended designation".

(17) Section 2350a(f)(2) is amended by striking out "submit to the Committees" and all that follows through "House of Representatives" and inserting in lieu thereof "submit to the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate and the Committee on National Security and the Committee on International Relations of the House of Representatives".

(18) Section 2366 is amended—

(A) in subsection (d), by striking out "the Committees on Armed Services and on Appropriations of the Senate and House of Representatives" and inserting in lieu thereof "the congressional defense committees"; and

(B) by adding at the end of subsection (e) the following new paragraph:

"(7) The term 'congressional defense committees' means—

"(A) the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate; and

"(B) the Committee on National Security and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives.".

(19) Section 2399(h)(2) is amended by striking out "means" and all the follows and inserting in lieu thereof the following: "means—

"(A) the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate; and

"(B) the Committee on National Security and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives.".

(20) Section 2401(b)(1) is amended—

(A) in subparagraph (B), by striking out "the Committees on Armed Services and on Appropriations of the Senate and" and inserting in lieu thereof "the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate and the Committee on National Security and the Committees on Appropriations of the"; and

(B) in subparagraph (C), by striking out "the Committees on Armed Services and on Appropriations of the Senate and House of Representatives" and inserting in lieu thereof "those committees".

(21) Section 2403(e) is amended—

(A) by inserting "(1)" before "Before making";

(B) by striking out "shall notify the Committees on Armed Services and on Appropriations of the Senate and House of Representatives" and inserting in lieu thereof "shall submit to the congressional committees specified in paragraph (2) notice"; and

(C) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

"(2) The committees referred to in paragraph (1) are—

"(A) the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate; and

"(B) the Committee on National Security and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives.".

(22) Section 2515(d) is amended—

(A) by striking out "REPORTING" and all that follows through "same time" and inserting in lieu thereof "ANNUAL REPORT.—(1) The Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional committees specified in paragraph (2) an annual report on the activities of the Office. The report shall be submitted each year at the same time"; and

(B) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

"(2) The committees referred to in paragraph (1) are—

"(A) the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate; and

"(B) the Committee on National Security and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives.".

(23) Section 2662 is amended—

(A) in subsection (a)—

(i) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by striking out "the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives" and inserting in lieu thereof "the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives"; and

(ii) in the matter following paragraph (6), by striking out "to be submitted to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives";

(B) in subsection (b), by striking out "shall report annually to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives" and inserting in lieu thereof "shall submit annually to the congressional committees named in subsection (a) a report";

(C) in subsection (e), by striking out "the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives" and inserting in lieu thereof "the congressional committees named in subsection (a)"; and

(D) in subsection (f), by striking out "the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives shall" and inserting in lieu thereof "the congressional committees named in subsection (a) shall".

(24) Section 2674(a) is amended—

(A) in paragraph (2), by striking out "Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives, the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate, and the Committee on Public Works and Transportation of the House of Representatives" and inserting in lieu thereof "congressional committees specified in paragraph (3)"; and

(B) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

"(3) The committees referred to in paragraph (2) are—

"(A) the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Environment and Public Works of the Senate; and

"(B) the Committee on National Security and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives.".

(25) Section 2813(c) is amended by striking out "Committees on Armed Services and the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and House of Representatives" and inserting in lieu thereof "appropriate committees of Congress".

(26) Sections 2825(b)(1) and 2832(b)(2) are amended by striking out "Committees on Armed Services and the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and of the House of Representatives" and inserting in lieu thereof "appropriate committees of Congress".

(27) Section 2865(e)(2) and 2866(c)(2) are amended by striking out "Committees on Armed Services and Appropriations of the Senate and House of Representatives" and inserting in lieu thereof "appropriate committees of Congress".

(28)(A) Section 7434 of such title is amended to read as follows:

**"§ 7434. Annual report to congressional committees**

"Not later than October 31 of each year, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives a report on the production from the naval petroleum reserves during the preceding calendar year.".

(B) The item relating to such section in the table of contents at the beginning of chapter 641 is amended to read as follows:

"7434. Annual report to congressional committees.".

(b) TITLE 37, UNITED STATES CODE.—Sections 301b(i)(2) and 406(i) of title 37, United States Code, are amended by striking out "Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives" and inserting in lieu thereof "Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives".

(c) ANNUAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACTS.—

(1) The National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1994 (Public Law 103–160) is amended in sections 2922(b) and 2925(b) (10 U.S.C. 2687 note) by striking out "Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives" and inserting in lieu thereof "Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives".

(2) The National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993 (Public Law 102–484) is amended—

(A) in section 326(a)(5) (10 U.S.C. 2301 note) and section 1304(a) (10 U.S.C. 113 note), by striking out "Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives" and inserting in lieu thereof "Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives"; and

(B) in section 1505(e)(2)(B) (22 U.S.C. 5859a), by striking out "the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Appropriations, the Committee on Foreign Affairs, and the Committee on Energy and Commerce" and inserting in lieu thereof "the Committee on National Security, the Committee on Appropriations, the Committee on International Relations, and the Committee on Commerce".

(3) Section 1097(a)(1) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 1992 and 1993 (Public Law 102-190; 22 U.S.C. 2751 note) is amended by striking out "the Committees on Armed Services and Foreign Affairs" and inserting in lieu thereof "the Committee on National Security and the Committee on International Relations".

(4) The National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1991 (Public Law 101-510) is amended as follows:

(A) Section 402(a) and section 1208(b)(3) (10 U.S.C. 1701 note) are amended by striking out "Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives" and inserting in lieu thereof "Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives".

(B) Section 1403 (50 U.S.C. 404b) is amended—

(i) in subsection (a), by striking out "the Committees on" and all that follows through "each year" and inserting in lieu thereof "the congressional committees specified in subsection (d) each year"; and

(ii) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(d) SPECIFIED CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.—The congressional committees referred to in subsection (a) are the following:

"(1) The Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Appropriations, and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate.

"(2) The Committee on National Security, the Committee on Appropriations, and the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives.".

(C) Section 1457 (50 U.S.C. 404c) is amended—

(i) in subsection (a), by striking out "shall submit to the" and all that follows through "each year" and inserting in lieu thereof "shall submit to the congressional committees specified in subsection (d) each year";

(ii) in subsection (c)—

(I) by striking out "(1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), the President" and inserting in lieu thereof "The President"; and

(II) by striking out paragraph (2); and

(iii) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(d) SPECIFIED CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.—The congressional committees referred to in subsection (a) are the following:

"(1) The Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate.

"(2) The Committee on National Security and the Committee on International Relations of the House of Representatives.".

(D) Section 2921 (10 U.S.C. 2687 note) is amended—

(i) in subsection (e)(3)(A), by striking out "the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Appropriations, and the Defense Subcommittees" and inserting in lieu thereof "the Committee on National Security, the Committee on Appropriations, and the National Security Subcommittee"; and

(ii) in subsection (g)(2), by striking out "the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives" and inserting in lieu thereof "the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives".

(5) Section 613(h)(1) of the National Defense Authorization Act, Fiscal Year 1989 (Public Law 100-456; 37 U.S.C. 302 note), is amended by striking out "the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives" and inserting in lieu thereof "the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives".

(6) Section 1412 of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1986 (Public Law 99-145; 50 U.S.C. 1521), is amended in subsections (b)(4) and (k)(2), by striking out "Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives" and inserting in lieu thereof "Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives".

(7) Section 1002(d) of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1985 (Public Law 98-525; 22 U.S.C. 1928 note), is amended by striking out "the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives" and inserting in lieu thereof "the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate, the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives".

(8) Section 1252 of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1984 (42 U.S.C. 248d), is amended—

(A) in subsection (d), by striking out "Committees on Appropriations and on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives" and inserting in lieu thereof "Committee on Appropriations and the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives"; and

(B) in subsection (e), by striking out "Committees on Appropriations and on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives" and inserting in lieu thereof "congressional committees specified in subsection (d)".

(d) BASE CLOSURE LAW.—The Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (part A of title XXIX of Public Law 101-510; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note) is amended as follows:

(1) Sections 2902(e)(2)(B)(ii) and 2908(b) are amended by striking out "Armed Services" the first place it appears and inserting in lieu thereof "National Security".

(2) Section 2910(2) is amended by striking out "the Committees on Armed Services and the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and of the House of Representatives" and inserting in lieu thereof "the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate and the Committee on National Security and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives".

(e) NATIONAL DEFENSE STOCKPILE.—The Strategic and Critical Materials Stock Piling Act is amended—

(1) in section 6(d) (50 U.S.C. 98e(d))—

(A) in paragraph (1), by striking out "Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives" and inserting in lieu thereof "Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives"; and

(B) in paragraph (2), by striking out "the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives" and inserting in lieu thereof "such congressional committees"; and

(2) in section 7(b) (50 U.S.C. 98f(b)), by striking out "Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives" and inserting in lieu thereof "Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives".

(f) OTHER DEFENSE-RELATED PROVISIONS.—

(1) Section 8125(g)(2) of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 1989 (Public Law 100-463; 10 U.S.C. 113 note), is amended by striking out "Committees on Appropriations and Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives" and inserting in lieu thereof "Committee on Appropriations and the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on Appropriations and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives".

(2) Section 9047A of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 1993 (Public Law 102-396; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note), is amended by striking out "the Committees on Appropriations and Armed Services of the House of Representatives and the Senate" and inserting in lieu thereof "the Committee on Appropriations and the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on Appropriations and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives".

(3) Section 3059(c)(1) of the Defense Drug Interdiction Assistance Act (subtitle A of title III of Public Law 99-570; 10 U.S.C. 9441 note) is amended by striking out "Committees on Appropriations and on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives" and inserting in lieu thereof "Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate and the Committee on National Security and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives".

(4) Section 7606(b) of the Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1988 (Public Law 100-690; 10 U.S.C. 9441 note) is amended by striking out "Committees on Appropriations and the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives" and inserting in lieu thereof "Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate and the Committee on National Security and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives".

(5) Section 104(d)(5) of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 403-4(d)(5)) is amended by striking out "Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives" and inserting in lieu thereof "Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives".

(6) Section 8 of the Inspector General Act of 1978 (5 U.S.C. App.) is amended—

(A) in subsection (b)(3), by striking out "Committees on Armed Services and Government Operations" and inserting in lieu thereof "Committee on National Security and the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight";

(B) in subsection (b)(4), by striking out "Committees on Armed Services and Governmental Affairs of the Senate and the Committees on Armed Services and Government Operations of the House of Representatives" and inserting in lieu thereof "congressional committees specified in paragraph (3)";

(C) in subsection (f)(1), by striking out "Committees on Armed Services and Government Operations" and inserting in lieu thereof "Committee on National Security and the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight"; and

(D) in subsection (f)(2), by striking out "Committees on Armed Services and Governmental Affairs of the Senate and the Committees on Armed Services and Government Operations of the House of Representatives" and inserting in lieu thereof "congressional committees specified in paragraph (1)".

(7) Section 204(h)(3) of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (40 U.S.C. 485(h)(3)) is amended by striking out "Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and of the House of Representatives" and inserting in lieu thereof "Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives".

**SEC. 1503. MISCELLANEOUS AMENDMENTS TO TITLE 10, UNITED STATES CODE.**

(a) SUBTITLE A.—Subtitle A of title 10, United States Code, is amended as follows:

(1) Section 113(i)(2)(B) is amended by striking out "the 5 years covered" and all that follows through "section 114(g)" and inserting in lieu thereof "the period covered by the future-years defense program submitted to Congress during that year pursuant to section 221".

(2) Section 136(c) is amended by striking out "Comptroller" and inserting in lieu thereof "Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller)".

(3) Section 526 is amended—

(A) in subsection (a), by striking out paragraphs (1), (2), and (3) and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

"(1) For the Army, 302.

"(2) For the Navy, 216.

"(3) For the Air Force, 279.";

(B) by striking out subsection (b);

(C) by redesignating subsections (c), (d), and (e) as subsections (b), (c), and (d);

(D) in subsection (b), as so redesignated, by striking out "that are applicable on and after October 1, 1995"; and

(E) in paragraph (2)(B) of subsection (c), as redesignated by subparagraph (C), is amended—

(i) by striking out "the" after "in the";

(ii) by inserting "to" after "reserve component, or"; and

(iii) by inserting "than" after "in a grade other".

(4) Section 528(a) is amended by striking out "after September 30, 1995,".

(5) Section 573(a)(2) is amended by striking out "active duty list" and inserting in lieu thereof "active-duty list".

(6) Section 661(d)(2) is amended—

(A) in subparagraph (B), by striking out "Until January 1, 1994" and all that follows through "each position so designated" and inserting in lieu thereof "Each position designated by the Secretary under subparagraph (A)";

(B) in subparagraph (C), by striking out "the second sentence of"; and

(C) by striking out subparagraph (D).

(7) Section 706(c)(1) is amended by striking out "section 4301 of title 38" and inserting in lieu thereof "chapter 43 of title 38".

(8) Section 1059 is amended by striking out "subsection (j)" in subsections (c)(2) and (g)(3) and inserting in lieu thereof "subsection (k)".

(9) Section 1060a(f)(2)(B) is amended by striking out "(as defined in section 101(a)(22) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(22)))" and inserting in lieu thereof ", as determined in accordance with the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1101 et seq.)".

(10) Section 1151 is amended—

(A) in subsection (b), by striking out "(20 U.S.C. 2701 et seq.)" in paragraphs (2)(A) and (3)(A) and inserting in lieu thereof "(20 U.S.C. 6301 et seq.)"; and

(B) in subsection (e)(1)(B), by striking out "not later than one year after the date of the enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995" and inserting in lieu thereof "not later than October 5, 1995".

(11) Section 1152(g)(2) is amended by striking out "not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995" and inserting in lieu thereof "not later than April 3, 1994,".

(12) Section 1177(b)(2) is amended by striking out "provison of law" and inserting in lieu thereof "provision of law".

(13) The heading for chapter 67 is amended by striking out "**NONREGULAR**" and inserting in lieu thereof "**NON-REGULAR**".

(14) Section 1598(a)(2)(A) is amended by striking out "2701" and inserting in lieu thereof "6301".

(15) Section 1745(a) is amended by striking out "section 4107(d)" both places it appears and inserting in lieu thereof "section 4107(b)".

(16) Section 1746(a) is amended—

(A) by striking out "(1)" before "The Secretary of Defense"; and

(B) by redesignating subparagraphs (A) and (B) as paragraphs (1) and (2), respectively.

(17) Section 2006(b)(2)(B)(ii) is amended by striking out "section 1412 of such title" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 3012 of such title".

(18) Section 2011(a) is amended by striking out "TO" and inserting in lieu thereof "To".

(19) Section 2194(e) is amended by striking out "(20 U.S.C. 2891(12))" and inserting in lieu thereof "(20 U.S.C. 8801)".

(20) Sections 2217(b) and 2220(a)(2) are amended by striking out "Comptroller of the Department of Defense" and inserting in lieu thereof "Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller)".

(21) Section 2401(c)(2) is amended by striking out "pursuant to" and all that follows through "September 24, 1983,".

(22) Section 2410f(b) is amended by striking out "For purposes of" and inserting in lieu thereof "In".

(23) Section 2410j(a)(2)(A) is amended by striking out "2701" and inserting in lieu thereof "6301".

(24) Section 2457(e) is amended by striking out "title III of the Act of March 3, 1933 (41 U.S.C. 10a)," and inserting in lieu thereof "the Buy American Act (41 U.S.C. 10a)".

(25) Section 2465(b)(3) is amended by striking out "under contract" and all that follows through the period and inserting in lieu thereof "under contract on September 24, 1983.".

(26) Section 2471(b) is amended—

(A) in paragraph (2), by inserting "by" after "as determined"; and

(B) in paragraph (3), by inserting "of" after "arising out".

(27) Section 2524(e)(4)(B) is amended by inserting a comma before "with respect to".

(28) The heading of section 2525 is amended by capitalizing the initial letter of the second, fourth, and fifth words.

(29) Chapter 152 is amended by striking out the table of subchapters at the beginning and the headings for subchapters I and II.

(30) Section 2534(c) is amended by capitalizing the initial letter of the third and fourth words of the subsection heading.

(31) The table of sections at the beginning of subchapter I of chapter 169 is amended by adding a period at the end of the item relating to section 2811.

(b) OTHER SUBTITLES.—Subtitles B, C, and D of title 10, United States Code, are amended as follows:

(1) Sections 3022(a)(1), 5025(a)(1), and 8022(a)(1) are amended by striking out "Comptroller of the Department of Defense" and inserting in lieu thereof "Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller)".

(2) Section 6241 is amended by inserting "or" at the end of paragraph (2).

(3) Section 6333(a) is amended by striking out the first period after "section 1405" in formula C in the table under the column designated "Column 2".

(4) The item relating to section 7428 in the table of sections at the beginning of chapter 641 is amended by striking out "Agreement" and inserting in lieu thereof "Agreements".

(5) The item relating to section 7577 in the table of sections at the beginning of chapter 649 is amended by striking out "Officers" and inserting in lieu thereof "officers".

(6) The center heading for part IV in the table of chapters at the beginning of subtitle D is amended by inserting a comma after "SUPPLY".

**SEC. 1504. MISCELLANEOUS AMENDMENTS TO ANNUAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACTS.**

(a) PUBLIC LAW 103–337.—Effective as of October 5, 1994, and as if included therein as enacted, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (Public Law 103–337) is amended as follows:

(1) Section 322(1) (108 Stat. 2711) is amended by striking out "SERVICE" in both sets of quoted matter and inserting in lieu thereof "SERVICES".

(2) Section 531(g)(2) (108 Stat. 2758) is amended by inserting "item relating to section 1034 in the" after "The".

(3) Section 541(c)(1) is amended—

(A) in subparagraph (B), by inserting a comma after "chief warrant officer"; and

(B) in the matter after subparagraph (C), by striking out "this".

(4) Section 721(f)(2) (108 Stat. 2806) is amended by striking out "revaluated" and inserting in lieu thereof "reevaluated".

(5) Section 722(d)(2) (108 Stat. 2808) is amended by striking out "National Academy of Science" and inserting in lieu thereof "National Academy of Sciences".

(6) Section 904(d) (108 Stat. 2827) is amended by striking out "subsection (c)" the first place it appears and inserting in lieu thereof "subsection (b)".

(7) Section 1202 (108 Stat. 2882) is amended—

(A) by striking out "(title XII of Public Law 103–60" and inserting in lieu thereof "(title XII of Public Law 103–160"; and

(B) in paragraph (2), by inserting "in the first sentence" before "and inserting in lieu thereof".

(8) Section 1312(a)(2) (108 Stat. 2894) is amended by striking out "adding at the end" and inserting in lieu thereof "inserting after the item relating to section 123a".

(9) Section 2813(c) (108 Stat. 3055) is amended by striking out "above paragraph (1)" both places it appears and inserting in lieu thereof "preceding subparagraph (A)".

(b) PUBLIC LAW 103–160.—The National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1994 (Public Law 103–160) is amended in section 1603(d) (22 U.S.C. 2751 note)—

(1) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by striking out the second comma after "Not later than April 30 of each year";

(2) in paragraph (4), by striking out "contributes" and inserting in lieu thereof "contribute"; and

(3) in paragraph (5), by striking out "is" and inserting in lieu thereof "are".

(c) PUBLIC LAW 102-484.—The National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993 (Public Law 102-484) is amended as follows:

(1) Section 326(a)(5) (106 Stat. 2370; 10 U.S.C. 2301 note) is amended by inserting "report" after "each".

(2) Section 3163(1)(E) is amended by striking out "paragraphs (1) through (4)" and inserting in lieu thereof "subparagraphs (A) through (D)".

(3) Section 4403(a) (10 U.S.C. 1293 note) is amended by striking out "through 1995" and inserting in lieu thereof "through fiscal year 1999".

(d) PUBLIC LAW 102-190.—Section 1097(d) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 1992 and 1993 (Public Law 102-190; 105 Stat. 1490) is amended by striking out "the Federal Republic of Germany, France" and inserting in lieu thereof "France, Germany".

**SEC. 1505. MISCELLANEOUS AMENDMENTS TO OTHER LAWS.**

(a) OFFICER PERSONNEL ACT OF 1947.—Section 437 of the Officer Personnel Act of 1947 is repealed.

(b) TITLE 5, UNITED STATES CODE.—Title 5, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in section 8171—

(A) in subsection (a), by striking out "903(3)" and inserting in lieu thereof "903(a)";

(B) in subsection (c)(1), by inserting "section" before "39(b)"; and

(C) in subsection (d), by striking out "(33 U.S.C. 18 and 21, respectively)" and inserting in lieu thereof "(33 U.S.C. 918 and 921)";

(2) in sections 8172 and 8173, by striking out "(33 U.S.C. 2(2))" and inserting in lieu thereof "(33 U.S.C. 902(2))"; and

(3) in section 8339(d)(7), by striking out "Court of Military Appeals" and inserting in lieu thereof "Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces".

(c) PUBLIC LAW 90-485.—Effective as of August 13, 1968, and as if included therein as originally enacted, section 1(6) of Public Law 90-485 (82 Stat. 753) is amended—

(1) by striking out the close quotation marks after the end of clause (4) of the matter inserted by the amendment made by that section; and

(2) by adding close quotation marks at the end.

(d) TITLE 37, UNITED STATES CODE.—Section 406(b)(1)(E) of title 37, United States Code, is amended by striking out "of this paragraph".

(e) BASE CLOSURE LAWS.—(1) The Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (part A of title XXIX of Public Law 101-510; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note) is amended—

(A) in section 2905(b)(1)(C), by striking out "of the Administrator to grant approvals and make determinations under section 13(g) of the Surplus Property Act of 1944 (50 U.S.C. App. 1622(g))" and inserting in lieu thereof "to dispose of surplus property for public airports under sections 47151 through 47153 of title 49, United States Code";

(B) in section 2906(d)(1), by striking out "section 204(b)(4)(C)" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 204(b)(7)(C)"; and

(C) in section 2910—

(i) by designating the second paragraph (10), as added by section 2(b) of the Base Closure Community Redevelopment and Homeless Assistance Act of 1994 (Public Law 103-421; 108 Stat. 4352), as paragraph (11); and

(ii) in such paragraph, as so designated, by striking out "section 501(h)(4) of the Stewart B. McKinney Homeless Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 11411(h)(4))" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 501(i)(4) of the Stewart B. McKinney Homeless Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 11411(i)(4))".

(2) Section 2921(d)(1) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1991 (Public Law 101-510; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note) is amended by striking out "section 204(b)(4)(C)" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 204(b)(7)(C)".

(3) Section 204 of the Defense Authorization Amendments and Base Closure and Realignment Act (Public Law 100-526; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note) is amended—

(A) in subsection (b)(1)(C), by striking out "of the Administrator to grant approvals and make determinations under section 13(g) of the Surplus Property Act of 1944 (50 U.S.C. App. 1622(g))" and inserting in lieu thereof "to dispose of surplus property for public airports under sections 47151 through 47153 of title 49, United States Code"; and

(B) in subsection (b)(7)(A)(i), by striking out "paragraph (3)" and inserting in lieu thereof "paragraphs (3) through (6)".

(f) PUBLIC LAW 103-421.—Section 2(e)(5) of Public Law 103-421 (108 Stat. 4354) is amended—

(1) by striking out "(A)" after "(5)"; and

(2) by striking out "clause" in subparagraph (B)(iv) and inserting in lieu thereof "clauses".

(g) ATOMIC ENERGY ACT.—Section 123a. of the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 2153a.) is amended by striking out "144b., or 144d." and inserting ", 144b., or 144d.".

**SEC. 1506. COORDINATION WITH OTHER AMENDMENTS.**

For purposes of applying amendments made by provisions of this Act other than provisions of this title, this title shall be treated as having been enacted immediately before the other provisions of this Act.

## TITLE XVI—CORPORATION FOR THE PROMOTION OF RIFLE PRACTICE AND FIREARMS SAFETY

**SEC. 1601. SHORT TITLE.**

This title may be cited as the "Corporation for the Promotion of Rifle Practice and Firearms Safety Act".

### Subtitle A—Establishment and Operation of Corporation

**SEC. 1611. ESTABLISHMENT OF THE CORPORATION.**

(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—There is established a private, nonprofit corporation to be known as the "Corporation for the Promotion of Rifle Practice and Firearms Safety" (in this title referred to as the "Corporation").

(b) PRIVATE, NONPROFIT STATUS.—(1) The Corporation shall not be considered to be a department, agency, or instrumentality of the Federal Government. An officer or employee of the Corporation shall not be considered to be an officer or employee of the Federal Government.

(2) The Corporation shall be operated in a manner and for purposes that qualify the Corporation for exemption from taxation under section 501(a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 as an organization described in section 501(c)(3) of such Code.

(c) BOARD OF DIRECTORS.—(1) The Corporation shall have a Board of Directors consisting of not less than nine members.

(2) The Board of Directors may adopt bylaws, policies, and procedures for the Corporation and may take any other action that the Board of Directors considers necessary for the management and operation of the Corporation.

(3) Each member of the Board of Directors shall serve for a term of 2 years. Members of the Board of Directors are eligible for reappointment.

(4) A vacancy on the Board of Directors shall be filled by a majority vote of the remaining members of the Board.

(5) The Secretary of the Army shall appoint the initial Board of Directors. Four of the members of the initial Board of Directors, to be designated by the Secretary at the time of appointment, shall (notwithstanding paragraph (3)) serve for a term of 1 year.

(d) DIRECTOR OF CIVILIAN MARKSMANSHIP.—(1) The Board of Directors shall appoint an individual to serve as the Director of Civilian Marksmanship.

(2) The Director shall be responsible for the performance of the daily operations of the Corporation and the functions described in section 1612.

**SEC. 1612. CONDUCT OF CIVILIAN MARKSMANSHIP PROGRAM.**

(a) FUNCTIONS.—The Corporation shall have responsibility for the overall supervision, oversight, and control of the Civilian Marksmanship Program, pursuant to the transfer of the program under subsection (d), including the performance of the following:

(1) The instruction of citizens of the United States in marksmanship.

(2) The promotion of practice and safety in the use of firearms, including the conduct of matches and competitions in the use of those firearms.

(3) The award to competitors of trophies, prizes, badges, and other insignia.

(4) The provision of security and accountability for all firearms, ammunition, and other equipment under the custody and control of the Corporation.

(5) The issue, loan, or sale of firearms, ammunition, supplies, and appliances under section 1614.

(6) The procurement of necessary supplies, appliances, clerical services, other related services, and labor to carry out the Civilian Marksmanship Program.

(b) PRIORITY FOR YOUTH ACTIVITIES.—In carrying out the Civilian Marksmanship Program, the Corporation shall give priority to activities that benefit firearms safety, training, and competition for youth and that reach as many youth participants as possible.

(c) ACCESS TO SURPLUS PROPERTY.—(1) The Corporation may obtain surplus property and supplies from the Defense Reutilization Marketing Service to carry out the Civilian Marksmanship Program.

(2) Any transfer of property and supplies to the Corporation under paragraph (1) shall be made without cost to the Corporation.

(d) TRANSFER OF CIVILIAN MARKSMANSHIP PROGRAM TO CORPORATION.—(1) The Secretary of the Army shall provide for the transition of the Civilian Marksmanship Program, as defined in section 4308(e) of title 10, United States Code (as such section was in effect on the day before the date of the enactment of this Act), from conduct by the Department of the Army to conduct by the Corporation. The transition shall be completed not later than September 30, 1996.

(2) To carry out paragraph (1), the Secretary shall provide such assistance and take such action as is necessary to maintain the viability of the program and to maintain the security of firearms, ammunition, and other

property that are transferred or reserved for transfer to the Corporation under section 1615, 1616, or 1621.

**SEC. 1613. ELIGIBILITY FOR PARTICIPATION IN CIVILIAN MARKSMANSHIP PROGRAM.**

(a) CERTIFICATION REQUIREMENT.—(1) Before a person may participate in any activity sponsored or supported by the Corporation, the person shall be required to certify by affidavit the following:

(A) The person has not been convicted of any Federal or State felony or violation of section 922 of title 18, United States Code.

(B) The person is not a member of any organization that advocates the violent overthrow of the United States Government.

(2) The Director of Civilian Marksmanship may require any person to attach to the person's affidavit a certification from the appropriate State or Federal law enforcement agency for purposes of paragraph (1)(A).

(b) INELIGIBILITY RESULTING FROM CERTAIN CONVICTIONS.—A person who has been convicted of a Federal or State felony or a violation of section 922 of title 18, United States Code, shall not be eligible to participate in any activity sponsored or supported by the Corporation through the Civilian Marksmanship Program.

(c) AUTHORITY TO LIMIT PARTICIPATION.—The Director of Civilian Marksmanship may limit participation as necessary to ensure—

(1) quality instruction in the use of firearms;

(2) the safety of participants; and

(3) the security of firearms, ammunition, and equipment.

**SEC. 1614. ISSUANCE, LOAN, AND SALE OF FIREARMS AND AMMUNITION BY THE CORPORATION.**

(a) ISSUANCE AND LOAN.—For purposes of training and competition, the Corporation may issue or loan, with or without charges to recover administrative costs, caliber .22 rimfire and caliber .30 surplus rifles, caliber .22 and .30 ammunition, air rifles, targets, and other supplies and appliances necessary for activities related to the Civilian Marksmanship Program to the following:

(1) Organizations affiliated with the Corporation that provide training in the use of firearms to youth.

(2) The Boy Scouts of America.

(3) 4-H Clubs.

(4) Future Farmers of America.

(5) Other youth-oriented organizations.

(b) SALES.—(1) The Corporation may sell at fair market value caliber .22 rimfire and caliber .30 surplus rifles, caliber .22 and .30 ammunition, air rifles, repair parts, and accouterments to organizations affiliated with the Corporation that provide training in the use of firearms.

(2) Subject to subsection (e), the Corporation may sell at fair market value caliber .22 rimfire and caliber .30 surplus rifles, ammunition, targets, repair parts and accouterments, and other supplies and appliances necessary for target practice to citizens of the United States over 18 years of age who are members of a gun club affiliated with the Corporation. In addition to any other requirement, the Corporation shall establish procedures to obtain a criminal records check of the person with appropriate Federal and State law enforcement agencies.

(c) LIMITATIONS ON SALES.—(1) The Corporation may not offer for sale any repair part designed to convert any firearm to fire in a fully automatic mode.

(2) The Corporation may not sell rifles, ammunition, or any other item available for sale to individuals under the Civilian Marksmanship Program to a person who has been convicted of a felony or a violation of section 922 of title 18, United States Code.

(d) OVERSIGHT AND ACCOUNTABILITY.—The Corporation shall be responsible for ensuring adequate oversight and accountability of all firearms issued or loaned under this section. The Corporation shall prescribe procedures for the security of issued or loaned firearms in accordance with Federal, State, and local laws.

(e) APPLICABILITY OF OTHER LAW.—(1) Subject to paragraph (2), sales under subsection (b)(2) are subject to applicable Federal, State, and local laws.

(2) Paragraphs (1), (2), (3), and (5) of section 922(a) of title 18, United States Code, do not apply to the shipment, transportation, receipt, transfer, sale, issuance, loan, or delivery by the Corporation of any item that the Corporation is authorized to issue, loan, sell, or receive under this title.

**SEC. 1615. TRANSFER OF FIREARMS AND AMMUNITION FROM THE ARMY TO THE CORPORATION.**

(a) TRANSFERS REQUIRED.—The Secretary of the Army shall, in accordance with subsection (b), transfer to the Corporation all firearms and ammunition that on the day before the date of the enactment of this Act are under the control of the Director of the Civilian Marksmanship Program, including—

(1) all firearms on loan to affiliated clubs and State associations;

(2) all firearms in the possession of the Civilian Marksmanship Support Detachment; and

(3) all M-1 Garand and caliber .22 rimfire rifles stored at Anniston Army Depot, Anniston, Alabama.

(b) TIME FOR TRANSFER.—The Secretary shall transfer firearms and ammunition under subsection (a) as and when necessary to enable the Corporation—

(1) to issue or loan such items in accordance with section 1614(a); or

(2) to sell such items to purchasers in accordance with section 1614(b).

(c) PARTS.—The Secretary may make available to the Corporation any part from a rifle designated to be demilitarized in the inventory of the Department of the Army.

(d) VESTING OF TITLE IN TRANSFERRED ITEMS.—Title to an item transferred to the Corporation under this section shall vest in the Corporation—

(1) upon the issuance of the item to a recipient eligible under section 1614(a) to receive the item; or

(2) immediately before the Corporation delivers the item to a purchaser of the item in accordance with a contract for a sale of the item that is authorized under section 1614(b).

(e) COSTS OF TRANSFERS.—Any transfer of firearms, ammunition, or parts to the Corporation under this section shall be made without cost to the Corporation, except that the Corporation shall assume the cost of preparation and transportation of firearms and ammunition transferred under this section.

**SEC. 1616. RESERVATION BY THE ARMY OF FIREARMS AND AMMUNITION FOR THE CORPORATION.**

(a) RESERVATION OF FIREARMS AND AMMUNITION.—The Secretary of the Army shall reserve for the Corporation the following:

(1) All firearms referred to in section 1615(a).

(2) Ammunition for such firearms.

(3) All M-16 rifles used to support the small arms firing school that are held by the Department of the Army on the date of the enactment of this Act.

(4) Any parts from, and accessories and accouterments for, surplus caliber .30 and caliber .22 rimfire rifles.

(b) STORAGE OF FIREARMS AND AMMUNITION.—Firearms stored at Anniston Army Depot, Anniston, Alabama, before the date of the enactment of this Act and used for the Civilian Marksmanship Program shall remain at that facility, or another storage facility designated by the Secretary of the Army, without cost to the Corporation, until the firearms are issued, loaned, or sold by, or otherwise transferred to, the Corporation.

(c) LIMITATION ON DEMILITARIZATION OF M-1 RIFLES.—After the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary may not demilitarize any M-1 Garand rifle in the inventory of the Army unless that rifle is determined by the Defense Logistics Agency to be unserviceable.

(d) EXCEPTION FOR TRANSFERS TO FEDERAL AND STATE AGENCIES FOR COUNTERDRUG PURPOSES.—The requirement specified in subsection (a) does not supersede the authority provided in section 1208 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 1990 and 1991 (Public Law 101-189; 10 U.S.C. 372 note).

**SEC. 1617. ARMY LOGISTICAL SUPPORT FOR THE PROGRAM.**

(a) LOGISTICAL SUPPORT.—The Secretary of the Army shall provide logistical support to the Civilian Marksmanship Program and for competitions and other activities conducted by the Corporation. The Corporation shall reimburse the Secretary for incremental direct costs incurred in providing such support. Such reimbursements shall be credited to the appropriations account of the Department of the Army that is charged to provide such support.

(b) RESERVE COMPONENT PERSONNEL.—The Secretary shall provide, without cost to the Corporation, for the use of members of the National Guard and Army Reserve to support the National Matches as part of the performance of annual training pursuant to titles 10 and 32, United States Code.

(c) USE OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FACILITIES FOR NATIONAL MATCHES.—The National Matches may continue to be held at those Department of Defense facilities at which the National Matches were held before the date of the enactment of this Act.

(d) REGULATIONS.—The Secretary shall prescribe regulations to carry out this section.

**SEC. 1618. GENERAL AUTHORITIES OF THE CORPORATION.**

(a) DONATIONS AND FEES.—(1) The Corporation may solicit, accept, hold, use, and dispose of donations of money, property, and services received by gift, devise, bequest, or otherwise.

(2) The Corporation may impose, collect, and retain such fees as are reasonably necessary to cover the direct and indirect costs of the Corporation to carry out the Civilian Marksmanship Program.

(3) Amounts collected by the Corporation under the authority of this subsection, including the proceeds from the sale of firearms, ammunition, targets, and other supplies and appliances, may be used only to support the Civilian Marksmanship Program.

(b) CORPORATE SEAL.—The Corporation may adopt, alter, and use a corporate seal, which shall be judicially noticed.

(c) CONTRACTS.—The Corporation may enter into contracts, leases, agreements, or other transactions.

(d) OBLIGATIONS AND EXPENDITURES.—The Corporation may determine the character of, and necessity for, its obligations and expenditures and the manner in which they shall be

incurred, allowed, and paid and may incur, allow, and pay such obligations and expenditures.

(e) RELATED AUTHORITY.—The Corporation may take such other actions as are necessary or appropriate to carry out the authority provided in this section.

**SEC. 1619. DISTRIBUTION OF CORPORATE ASSETS IN EVENT OF DISSOLUTION.**

(a) DISTRIBUTION.—If the Corporation dissolves, then—

(1) upon the dissolution of the Corporation, title to all firearms stored at Anniston Army Depot, Anniston, Alabama, on the date of the dissolution, all M-16 rifles that are transferred to the Corporation under section 1615(a)(2), that are referred to in section 1616(a)(3), or that are otherwise under the control of the Corporation, and all trophies received by the Corporation from the National Board for the Promotion of Rifle Practice as of such date, shall vest in the Secretary of the Army, and the Secretary shall have the immediate right to the possession of such items;

(2) assets of the Corporation, other than assets described in paragraph (1), may be distributed by the Corporation to an organization that—

(A) is exempt from taxation under section 501(a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 as an organization described in section 501(c)(3) of such Code; and

(B) performs functions similar to the functions described in section 1612(a); and

(3) all assets of the Corporation that are not distributed pursuant to paragraphs (1) and (2) shall be sold, and the proceeds from the sale of such assets shall be deposited in the Treasury.

(b) PROHIBITION.—Assets of the Corporation that are distributed pursuant to the authority of subsection (a) may not be distributed to an individual.

**Subtitle B—Transitional Provisions**

**SEC. 1621. TRANSFER OF FUNDS AND PROPERTY TO THE CORPORATION.**

(a) FUNDS.—(1) On the date of the submission of a certification in accordance with section 1623 or, if earlier, October 1, 1996, the Secretary of the Army shall transfer to the Corporation—

(A) the amounts that are available to the National Board for the Promotion of Rifle Practice from sales programs and fees collected in connection with competitions sponsored by the Board; and

(B) all funds that are in the nonappropriated fund account known as the National Match Fund.

(2) The funds transferred under paragraph (1)(A) shall be used to carry out the Civilian Marksmanship Program.

(3) Transfers under paragraph (1)(B) shall be made without cost to the Corporation.

(b) PROPERTY.—The Secretary of the Army shall, as soon as practicable, transfer to the Corporation the following:

(1) All automated data equipment, all other office equipment, targets, target frames, vehicles, and all other property under the control of the Director of Civilian Marksmanship and the Civilian Marksmanship Support Detachment on the day before the date of the enactment of this Act (other than property to which section 1615(a) applies).

(2) Title to property under the control of the National Match Fund on such day.

(3) All supplies and appliances under the control of the Director of the Civilian Marksmanship Program on such day.

(c) OFFICES.—The Corporation may use the office space of the Office of the Director of Civilian Marksmanship until the date on which the Secretary of the Army completes the transfer of the Civilian Marksmanship Program to the Corporation. The Corporation shall assume control of the leased property occupied as of the date of the enactment of this Act by the Civilian Marksmanship Support Detachment, located at the Erie Industrial Park, Port Clinton, Ohio.

(d) COSTS OF TRANSFERS.—Any transfer of items to the Corporation under this section shall be made without cost to the Corporation.

**SEC. 1622. CONTINUATION OF ELIGIBILITY FOR CERTAIN CIVIL SERVICE BENEFITS FOR FORMER FEDERAL EMPLOYEES OF CIVILIAN MARKSMANSHIP PROGRAM.**

(a) CONTINUATION OF ELIGIBILITY.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a Federal employee who is employed by the Department of Defense to support the Civilian Marksmanship Program as of the day before the date of the transfer of the Program to the Corporation and is offered employment by the Corporation as part of the transition described in section 1612(d) may, if the employee becomes employed by the Corporation, continue to be eligible during continuous employment with the Corporation for the Federal health, retirement, and similar benefits (including life insurance) for which the employee would have been eligible had the employee continued to be employed by the Department of Defense. The employer's contribution for such benefits shall be paid by the Corporation.

(b) REGULATIONS.—The Director of the Office of Personnel Management shall prescribe regulations to carry out subsection (a).

**SEC. 1623. CERTIFICATION OF COMPLETION OF TRANSITION.**

(a) CERTIFICATION REQUIREMENT.—Upon completion of the appointment of the Board of Directors for the Corporation under section 1611(c)(5) and of the transition required under section 1612(d), the Secretary of the Army shall submit to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives a certification of the completion of such actions.

(b) PUBLICATION OF CERTIFICATION.—The Secretary shall take such actions as are necessary to ensure that the certification is published in the Federal Register promptly after the submission of the certification under subsection (a).

**SEC. 1624. REPEAL OF AUTHORITY FOR CONDUCT OF CIVILIAN MARKSMANSHIP PROGRAM BY THE ARMY.**

(a) REPEALS.—(1) Sections 4307, 4308, 4310, and 4311 of title 10, United States Code, are repealed.

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 401 of such title is amended by striking out the items relating to sections 4307, 4308, 4310, and 4311.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—(1) Section 4313 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(A) by striking out subsection (b); and

(B) in subsection (a)—

(i) by striking out "(a) JUNIOR COMPETITORS.—" and inserting in lieu thereof "(a) ALLOWANCES FOR PARTICIPATION OF JUNIOR COMPETITORS.—"; and

(ii) in paragraph (3), by striking out "(3) For the purposes of this subsection" and inserting in lieu thereof "(b) JUNIOR COMPETITOR DEFINED.—For the purposes of subsection (a)".

(2) Section 4316 of such title is amended by striking out ", including fees charged and amounts collected pursuant to subsections (b) and (c) of section 4308,".

(3) Section 925(a)(2)(A) of title 18, United States Code, is amended by inserting after "section 4308 of title 10" the following: "before the repeal of such section by section 1624(a) of the Corporation for the Promotion of Rifle Practice and Firearms Safety Act".

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on the earlier of—

(1) the date on which the Secretary of the Army submits a certification in accordance with section 1623; or

(2) October 1, 1996.

## DIVISION B—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS

**SEC. 2001. SHORT TITLE.**

This division may be cited as the "Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1996".

## TITLE XXI—ARMY

**SEC. 2101. AUTHORIZED ARMY CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.**

(a) INSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2104(a)(1), the Secretary of the Army may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the installations and locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

**Army: Inside the United States**

| State | Installation or location | Amount |
|---|---|---|
| Alabama | Fort Rucker | $5,900,000 |
| | Redstone Arsenal | $5,000,000 |
| Arizona | Fort Huachuca | $16,000,000 |
| California | Fort Irwin | $25,500,000 |
| | Presidio of San Francisco | $3,000,000 |
| Colorado | Fort Carson | $30,850,000 |
| District of Columbia. | Fort McNair | $13,500,000 |
| Georgia | Fort Benning | $37,900,000 |
| | Fort Gordon | $5,750,000 |
| | Fort Stewart | $8,400,000 |
| Hawaii | Schofield Barracks | $30,000,000 |
| Kansas | Fort Riley | $7,000,000 |
| Kentucky | Fort Campbell | $10,000,000 |
| | Fort Knox | $5,600,000 |
| New Jersey | Picatinny Arsenal | $5,500,000 |
| New Mexico | White Sands Missile Range | $2,050,000 |
| New York | Fort Drum | $8,800,000 |
| | United States Military Academy | $8,300,000 |
| | Watervliet Arsenal | $680,000 |
| North Carolina | Fort Bragg | $29,700,000 |
| Oklahoma | Fort Sill | $14,300,000 |
| South Carolina | Naval Weapons Station, Charleston | $25,700,000 |
| | Fort Jackson | $32,000,000 |
| Texas | Fort Hood | $32,500,000 |
| | Fort Bliss | $56,900,000 |
| | Fort Sam Houston | $7,000,000 |
| Virginia | Fort Eustis | $16,400,000 |
| Washington | Fort Lewis | $32,100,000 |
| CONUS Classified | Classified Location | $1,900,000 |
| | Total: | $478,230,000 |

(b) OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2104(a)(2), the Secretary of the Army may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the locations outside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

**Army: Outside the United States**

| Country | Installation or location | Amount |
|---|---|---|
| Korea | Camp Casey | $4,150,000 |
| | Camp Hovey | $13,500,000 |
| | Camp Pelham | $5,600,000 |

Army: Outside the United States—Continued

| Country | Installation or location | Amount |
|---|---|---|
| | Camp Stanley | $6,800,000 |
| | Yongsan | $4,500,000 |
| Overseas Classified | Classified Location | $48,000,000 |
| Worldwide | Host Nation Support | $20,000,000 |
| | Total: | $102,550,000 |

**SEC. 2102. FAMILY HOUSING.**

(a) CONSTRUCTION AND ACQUISITION.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2104(a)(5)(A), the Secretary of the Army may construct or acquire family housing units (including land acquisition) at the installations, for the purposes, and in the amounts set forth in the following table:

Army: Family Housing

| State | Installation | Purpose | Amount |
|---|---|---|---|
| Kentucky | Fort Knox | 150 units | $19,000,000 |
| New York | United States Military Academy, West Point | 119 units | $16,500,000 |
| Virginia | Fort Lee | 135 units | $19,500,000 |
| Washington | Fort Lewis | 84 units | $10,800,000 |
| | | Total: | $65,800,000 |

(b) PLANNING AND DESIGN.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2104(a)(5)(A), the Secretary of the Army may carry out architectural and engineering services and construction design activities with respect to the construction or improvement of family housing units in an amount not to exceed $2,000,000.

**SEC. 2103. IMPROVEMENTS TO MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING UNITS.**

Subject to section 2825 of title 10, United States Code, and using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2104(a)(5)(A), the Secretary of the Army may improve existing military family housing in an amount not to exceed $48,856,000.

**SEC. 2104. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS, ARMY.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subject to subsection (c), funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 1995, for military construction, land acquisition, and military family housing functions of the Department of the Army in the total amount of $2,147,427,000 as follows:

(1) For military construction projects inside the United States authorized by section 2101(a), $478,230,000.

(2) For military construction projects outside the United States authorized by section 2101(b), $102,550,000.

(3) For unspecified minor military construction projects authorized by section 2805 of title 10, United States Code, $9,000,000.

(4) For architectural and engineering services and construction design under section 2807 of title 10, United States Code, $34,194,000.

(5) For military family housing functions:

(A) For construction and acquisition, planning and design, and improvements of military family housing and facilities, $116,656,000.

(B) For support of military family housing (including the functions described in section 2833 of title 10, United States Code), $1,337,596,000.

(6) For the Homeowners Assistance Program, as authorized by section 2832 of title 10, United States Code, $75,586,000, to remain available until expended.

(b) LIMITATION ON TOTAL COST OF CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS.—Notwithstanding the cost variations authorized by section 2853 of title 10, United States Code, and any other cost variation authorized by law, the total cost of all projects carried out under section 2101 of this Act may not exceed the total amount authorized to be appropriated under paragraphs (1) and (2) of subsection (a).

(c) ADJUSTMENT.—The total amount authorized to be appropriated pursuant to paragraphs (1) through (6) of subsection (a) is the sum of the amounts authorized to be appropriated in such paragraphs, reduced by $6,385,000, which represents the combination of project savings resulting from favorable bids, reduced overhead costs, and cancellations due to force structure changes.

## TITLE XXII—NAVY

**SEC. 2201. AUTHORIZED NAVY CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.**

(a) INSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2204(a)(1), and, in the case of the project described in section 2204(b)(2), other amounts appropriated pursuant to authorizations enacted after this Act for that project, the Secretary of the Navy may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the installations and locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

Navy: Inside the United States

| State | Installation or location | Amount |
|---|---|---|
| California | Marine Corps Air-Ground Combat Center, Twentynine Palms | $2,490,000 |
| | Marine Corps Base, Camp Pendleton | $27,584,000 |
| | Naval Command, Control, and Ocean Surveillance Center, San Diego | $3,170,000 |
| | Naval Air Station, Lemoore | $7,600,000 |
| | Naval Air Station, North Island | $99,150,000 |
| | Naval Air Warfare Center Weapons Division, China Lake | $3,700,000 |
| | Naval Air Warfare Center Weapons Division, Point Mugu | $1,300,000 |
| | Naval Construction Batallion Center, Port Hueneme | $16,700,000 |
| | Naval Station, San Diego | $19,960,000 |
| Florida | Naval School Explosive Ordinance Disposal, Eglin Air Force Base | $16,150,000 |
| | Naval Technical Training Center, Corry Station, Pensacola | $2,565,000 |
| Georgia | Strategic Weapons Facility, Atlantic, Kings Bay | $2,450,000 |
| Hawaii | Honolulu Naval Computer and Telecommunications Area, Master Station Eastern Pacific | $1,980,000 |
| | Intelligence Center Pacific, Pearl Harbor | $2,200,000 |
| | Naval Submarine Base, Pearl Harbor | $22,500,000 |
| Illinois | Naval Training Center, Great Lakes | $12,440,000 |
| Indiana | Crane Naval Surface Warfare Center | $3,300,000 |
| Maryland | Naval Academy, Annapolis | $3,600,000 |
| New Jersey | Naval Air Warfare Center Aircraft Division, Lakehurst | $1,700,000 |
| North Carolina | Marine Corps Air Station, Cherry Point | $11,430,000 |
| | Marine Corps Air Station, New River | $14,650,000 |
| | Marine Corps Base, Camp LeJeune | $59,300,000 |
| Pennsylvania | Philadelphia Naval Shipyard | $6,000,000 |
| South Carolina | Marine Corps Air Station, Beaufort | $15,000,000 |
| Texas | Naval Air Station, Corpus Christi | $4,400,000 |
| | Naval Air Station, Kingsville | $2,710,000 |
| | Naval Station, Ingleside | $2,640,000 |
| Virginia | Fleet and Industrial Supply Center, Williamsburg | $8,390,000 |
| | Henderson Hall, Arlington | $1,900,000 |
| | Marine Corps Combat Development Command, Quantico | $3,500,000 |
| | Naval Hospital, Portsmouth | $9,500,000 |
| | Naval Station, Norfolk | $10,580,000 |
| | Naval Weapons Station, Yorktown | $1,300,000 |
| Washington | Naval Undersea Warfare Center Division, Keyport | $5,300,000 |

Navy: Inside the United States—Continued

| State | Installation or location | Amount |
|---|---|---|
| | Puget Sound Naval Shipyard, Bremerton | $19,870,000 |
| West Virginia | Naval Security Group Detachment | $7,200,000 |
| CONUS Classified | Classified Locations | $1,200,000 |
| | Total: | $435,409,000 |

(b) OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2204(a)(2), the Secretary of the Navy may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the installations and locations outside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

Navy: Outside the United States

| Country | Installation or location | Amount |
|---|---|---|
| Guam | Naval Computer and Telecommunications Area, Master Station Western Pacific | $2,250,000 |
| | Navy Public Works Center, Guam | $16,180,000 |
| Italy | Naval Air Station, Sigonella | $12,170,000 |
| | Naval Support Activity, Naples | $24,950,000 |
| Puerto Rico | Naval Security Group Activity, Sabana Seca | $2,200,000 |
| | Naval Station, Roosevelt Roads | $11,500,000 |
| | Total | $69,250,000 |

**SEC. 2202. FAMILY HOUSING.**

(a) CONSTRUCTION AND ACQUISITION.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2204(a)(5)(A), the Secretary of the Navy may construct or acquire family housing units (including land acquisition) at the installations, for the purposes, and in the amounts set forth in the following table:

Navy: Family Housing

| State | Installation | Purpose | Amount |
|---|---|---|---|
| California | Marine Corps Base, Camp Pendleton | 138 units | $20,000,000 |
| | Marine Corps Base, Camp Pendleton | Community Center. | $1,438,000 |
| | Marine Corps Base, Camp Pendleton | Housing Office | $707,000 |
| | Naval Air Station, Lemoore | 240 units | $34,900,000 |
| | Pacific Missile Test Center, Point Mugu | Housing Office | $1,020,000 |
| | Public Works Center, San Diego | 346 units | $49,310,000 |
| Hawaii | Naval Complex, Oahu | 252 units | $48,400,000 |
| Maryland | Naval Air Test Center, Patuxent River | Warehouse | $890,000 |
| | US Naval Academy, Annapolis | Housing Office | $800,000 |
| North Carolina | Marine Corps Air Station, Cherry Point | Community Center. | $1,003,000 |
| Pennsylvania | Navy Ships Parts Control Center, Mechanicsburg | Housing Office | $300,000 |
| Puerto Rico | Naval Station, Roosevelt Roads | Housing Office | $710,000 |
| Virginia | Naval Surface Warfare Center, Dahlgren | Housing Office | $520,000 |
| | Public Works Center, Norfolk | 320 units | $42,500,000 |
| | Public Works Center, Norfolk | Housing Office | $1,390,000 |
| West Virginia | Security Group Naval Detachment, Sugar Grove | 23 units | $3,590,000 |
| | | Total: | $207,478,000 |

(b) PLANNING AND DESIGN.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2204(a)(5)(A), the Secretary of the Navy may carry out architectural and engineering services and construction design activities with respect to the construction or improvement of military family housing units in an amount not to exceed $24,390,000.

**SEC. 2203. IMPROVEMENTS TO MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING UNITS.**

Subject to section 2825 of title 10, United States Code, and using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2204(a)(5)(A), the Secretary of the Navy may improve existing military family housing units in an amount not to exceed $290,831,000.

**SEC. 2204. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS, NAVY.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subject to subsection (c), funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 1995, for military construction, land acquisition, and military family housing functions of the Department of the Navy in the total amount of $2,119,317,000 as follows:

(1) For military construction projects inside the United States authorized by section 2201(a), $427,709,000.

(2) For military construction projects outside the United States authorized by section 2201(b), $69,250,000.

(3) For unspecified minor construction projects authorized by section 2805 of title 10, United States Code, $7,200,000.

(4) For architectural and engineering services and construction design under section 2807 of title 10, United States Code, $50,515,000.

(5) For military family housing functions:

(A) For construction and acquisition, planning and design, and improvement of military family housing and facilities, $522,699,000.

(B) For support of military housing (including functions described in section 2833 of title 10, United States Code), $1,048,329,000.

(b) LIMITATION ON TOTAL COST OF CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS.—Notwithstanding the cost variations authorized by section 2853 of title 10, United States Code, and any other cost variation authorized by law, the total cost of all projects carried out under section 2201 of this Act may not exceed—

(1) the total amount authorized to be appropriated under paragraphs (1) and (2) of subsection (a); and

(2) $7,700,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2201(a) for the construction of a bachelor enlisted quarters at the Naval Construction Batallion Center, Port Hueneme, California).

(c) ADJUSTMENT.—The total amount authorized to be appropriated pursuant to paragraphs (1) through (5) of subsection (a) is the sum of the amounts authorized to be appropriated in such paragraphs, reduced by $6,385,000, which represents the combination of project savings resulting from favorable bids, reduced overhead costs, and cancellations due to force structure changes.

**SEC. 2205. REVISION OF FISCAL YEAR 1995 AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS TO CLARIFY AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR LARGE ANECHOIC CHAMBER FACILITY, PATUXENT RIVER NAVAL WARFARE CENTER, MARYLAND.**

Section 2204(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (division B of Public Law 103–337; 108 Stat. 3033) is amended—

(1) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by striking out "$1,591,824,000" and inserting in lieu thereof "$1,601,824,000"; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

"(6) For the construction of the large anechoic chamber facility at the Patuxent River Naval Warfare Center, Aircraft Division, Maryland, authorized by section 2201(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993 (division B of Public Law 102–484; 106 Stat. 2590), $10,000,000.".

**SEC. 2206. AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT LAND ACQUISITION PROJECT, HAMPTON ROADS, VIRGINIA.**

The table in section 2201(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993 (division B of Public Law 102–484; 106 Stat. 2589) is amended—

(1) in the item relating to Damneck, Fleet Combat Training Center, Virginia, by striking out "$19,427,000" in the amount column and inserting in lieu thereof "$14,927,000"; and

(2) by inserting after the item relating to Damneck, Fleet Combat Training Center, Virginia, the following new item:

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | Hampton Roads | $4,500,000 |

**SEC. 2207. ACQUISITION OF LAND, HENDERSON HALL, ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA.**

(a) AUTHORITY TO ACQUIRE.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2204(a)(1), the Secretary of the Navy may acquire all right, title, and interest of any party in and to a parcel of real property, including an abandoned mausoleum, consisting of approximately 0.75 acres and located in Arlington, Virginia, the site of Henderson Hall.

(b) DEMOLITION OF MAUSOLEUM.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2204(a)(1), the Secretary may—

(1) demolish the mausoleum located on the parcel acquired under subsection (a); and

(2) provide for the removal and disposition in an appropriate manner of the remains contained in the mausoleum.

(c) AUTHORITY TO DESIGN PUBLIC WORKS FACILITY.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2204(a)(1), the Secretary may obtain architectural and engineering services and construction design for a warehouse and office facility for the Marine Corps to be constructed on the property acquired under subsection (a).

(d) DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY.—The exact acreage and legal description of the real property authorized to be acquired under subsection (a) shall be determined by a survey that is satisfactory to the Secretary. The cost of the survey shall be borne by the Secretary.

(e) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The Secretary may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with the acquisition under subsection (a) as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

**SEC. 2208. ACQUISITION OR CONSTRUCTION OF MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING IN VICINITY OF SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.**

(a) AUTHORITY TO USE LITIGATION PROCEEDS.—Upon final settlement in the case of Rossmoor Liquidating Trust against United States, in the United States District Court for the Central District of California (Case No. CV 82–0956 LEW (Px)), the Secretary of the Treasury shall deposit in a separate account any funds paid to the United States in settlement of such case. At the request of the Secretary of the Navy, the Secretary of the Treasury shall make available amounts in the account to the Secretary of the Navy solely for the acquisition or construction of military family housing, including the acquisition of land necessary for such acquisition or construction, for members of the Armed Forces and their dependents stationed in, or in the vicinity of, San Diego, California. In using amounts in the account, the Secretary of the Navy may use the authorities provided in subchapter IV of chapter 169 of title 10, United States Code, as added by section 2801 of this Act.

(b) UNITS AUTHORIZED.—Not more than 150 military family housing units may be acquired or constructed with funds referred to in subsection (a). The units authorized by this subsection are in addition to any other units of military family housing authorized to be acquired or constructed in, or in the vicinity of, San Diego, California.

(c) PAYMENT OF EXCESS INTO TREASURY.—The Secretary of the Treasury shall deposit into the Treasury as miscellaneous receipts funds referred to in subsection (a) that have not been obligated for construction under this section within four years after receipt thereof.

(d) LIMITATION.—The Secretary may not enter into any contract for the acquisition or construction of military family housing under this section until after the expiration of the 21-day period beginning on the day after the day on which the Secretary transmits to the congressional defense committees a report containing the details of such contract.

(e) REPEAL OF EXISTING AUTHORITY.—Section 2848 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 1990 and 1991 (division B of Public Law 101–189; 103 Stat. 1666) is repealed.

## TITLE XXIII—AIR FORCE

**SEC. 2301. AUTHORIZED AIR FORCE CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.**

(a) INSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2304(a)(1), and, in the case of the project described in section 2304(b)(2), other amounts appropriated pursuant to authorizations enacted after this Act for that project, the Secretary of the Air Force may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the installations and locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

**Air Force: Inside the United States**

| State | Installation or location | Amount |
|---|---|---|
| Alabama | Maxwell Air Force Base | $5,200,000 |
| Alaska | Eielson Air Force Base | $7,850,000 |
| | Elmendorf Air Force Base | $9,100,000 |
| | Tin City Long Range RADAR Site | $2,500,000 |
| Arizona | Davis-Monthan Air Force Base | $4,800,000 |
| | Luke Air Force Base | $5,200,000 |
| Arkansas | Little Rock Air Force Base | $2,500,000 |
| California | Beale Air Force Base | $7,500,000 |
| | Edwards Air Force Base | $33,800,000 |
| | Travis Air Force Base | $26,700,000 |
| | Vandenberg Air Force Base | $6,000,000 |
| Colorado | Buckley Air National Guard Base | $5,500,000 |
| | Peterson Air Force Base | $4,390,000 |

**Air Force: Inside the United States—Continued**

| State | Installation or location | Amount |
|---|---|---|
| | US Air Force Academy | $12,874,000 |
| Delaware | Dover Air Force Base | $5,500,000 |
| District of Columbia. | Bolling Air Force Base | $12,100,000 |
| Florida | Cape Canaveral Air Force Station | $1,600,000 |
| | Eglin Air Force Base | $13,500,000 |
| | Tyndall Air Force Base | $1,200,000 |
| Georgia | Moody Air Force Base | $25,190,000 |
| | Robins Air Force Base | $12,400,000 |
| Hawaii | Hickam Air Force Base | $10,700,000 |
| Idaho | Mountain Home Air Force Base | $18,650,000 |
| Illinois | Scott Air Force Base | $12,700,000 |
| Kansas | McConnell Air Force Base | $9,450,000 |
| Louisiana | Barksdale Air Force Base | $2,500,000 |
| Maryland | Andrews Air Force Base | $12,886,000 |
| Mississippi | Columbus Air Force Base | $1,150,000 |
| | Keesler Air Force Base | $6,500,000 |
| Missouri | Whiteman Air Force Base | $24,600,000 |
| Nevada | Nellis Air Force Base | $17,500,000 |
| New Jersey | McGuire Air Force Base | $16,500,000 |
| New Mexico | Cannon Air Force Base | $13,420,000 |
| | Holloman Air Force Base | $6,000,000 |
| | Kirtland Air Force Base | $9,156,000 |
| North Carolina | Pope Air Force Base | $8,250,000 |
| | Seymour Johnson Air Force Base | $5,530,000 |
| North Dakota | Grand Forks Air Force Base | $14,800,000 |
| | Minot Air Force Base | $1,550,000 |
| Ohio | Wright Patterson Air Force Base | $4,100,000 |
| Oklahoma | Altus Air Force Base | $4,800,000 |
| | Tinker Air Force Base | $11,100,000 |
| South Carolina | Charleston Air Force Base | $12,500,000 |
| | Shaw Air Force Base | $1,300,000 |
| South Dakota | Ellsworth Air Force Base | $7,800,000 |
| Tennessee | Arnold Air Force Base | $5,000,000 |
| Texas | Dyess Air Force Base | $5,400,000 |
| | Goodfellow Air Force Base | $1,000,000 |
| | Kelly Air Force Base | $3,244,000 |
| | Laughlin Air Force Base | $1,400,000 |
| | Randolph Air Force Base | $3,100,000 |
| | Sheppard Air Force Base | $1,500,000 |
| Utah | Hill Air Force Base | $8,900,000 |
| Virginia | Langley Air Force Base | $1,000,000 |
| Washington | Fairchild Air Force Base | $15,700,000 |
| | McChord Air Force Base | $9,900,000 |
| Wyoming | F.E. Warren Air Force Base | $9,000,000 |
| CONUS Classified | Classified Location | $700,000 |
| | Total: | $504,690,000 |

(b) OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2304(a)(2), the Secretary of the Air Force may acquire real property and may carry out military construction projects for the installations and locations outside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

**Air Force: Outside the United States**

| Country | Installation or location | Amount |
|---|---|---|
| Germany | Spangdahlem Air Base | $8,380,000 |
| | Vogelweh Annex | $2,600,000 |
| Greece | Araxos Radio Relay Site | $1,950,000 |
| Italy | Aviano Air Base | $2,350,000 |
| | Ghedi Radio Relay Site | $1,450,000 |
| Turkey | Ankara Air Station | $7,000,000 |
| | Incirlik Air Base | $4,500,000 |
| United Kingdom | Lakenheath Royal Air Force Base | $1,820,000 |
| | Mildenhall Royal Air Force Base | $2,250,000 |
| Overseas Classified | Classified Location | $17,100,000 |
| | Total: | $49,400,000 |

**SEC. 2302. FAMILY HOUSING.**

(a) CONSTRUCTION AND ACQUISITION.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2304(a)(5)(A), the Secretary of the Air Force may construct or acquire family housing units (including land acquisition) at the installations, for the purposes, and in the amounts set forth in the following table:

**Air Force: Family Housing**

| State/Country | Installation | Purpose | Amount |
|---|---|---|---|
| Alaska | Elmendorf Air Force Base | Housing Office/ Maintenance Facility | $3,000,000 |
| Arizona | Davis-Monthan Air Force Base | 80 units | $9,498,000 |
| Arkansas | Little Rock Air Force Base | Replace 1 General Officer Quarters | $210,000 |
| California | Beale Air Force Base. | Family Housing Office | $842,000 |
| | Edwards Air Force Base | 127 units | $20,750,000 |
| | Vandenberg Air Force Base | Family Housing Office | $900,000 |
| | Vandenberg Air Force Base | 143 units | $20,200,000 |
| Colorado | Peterson Air Force Base | Family Housing Office | $570,000 |
| District of Columbia | Bolling Air Force Base | 32 units | $4,100,000 |
| Florida | Eglin Air Force Base | Family Housing Office | $500,000 |
| | Eglin Auxiliary Field 9 | Family Housing Office | $880,000 |
| | MacDill Air Force Base | Family Housing Office | $646,000 |
| | Patrick Air Force Base | 70 units | $7,947,000 |
| | Tyndall Air Force Base | 82 units | $9,800,000 |
| Georgia | Moody Air Force Base | 1 Officer & 1 General Officer Quarter | $513,000 |
| | Robins Air Force Base | 83 units | $9,800,000 |
| Guam | Andersen Air Force Base | Housing Maintenance Facility | $1,700,000 |
| Idaho | Mountain Home Air Force Base | Housing Management Facility | $844,000 |
| Kansas | McConnell Air Force Base | 39 units | $5,193,000 |
| Louisiana | Barksdale Air Force Base | 62 units | $10,299,000 |
| Massachusetts. | Hanscom Air Force Base | 32 units | $4,900,000 |
| Mississippi | Keesler Air Force Base | 98 units | $9,300,000 |
| Missouri | Whiteman Air Force Base | 72 units | $9,948,000 |
| Nevada | Nellis Air Force Base | 102 units | $16,357,000 |
| New Mexico | Holloman Air Force Base | 1 General Officer Quarters | $225,000 |
| | Kirtland Air Force Base | 105 units | $11,000,000 |
| North Carolina. | Pope Air Force Base | 104 units | $9,984,000 |
| | Seymour Johnson Air Force Base | 1 General Officer Quarters | $204,000 |

**Air Force: Family Housing—Continued**

| State/Country | Installation | Purpose | Amount |
|---|---|---|---|
| South Carolina. | Shaw Air Force Base | Housing Maintenance Facility | $715,000 |
| Texas | Dyess Air Force Base | Housing Maintenance Facility | $580,000 |
| | Lackland Air Force Base | 67 units | $6,200,000 |
| | Sheppard Air Force Base | Management Office | $500,000 |
| | Sheppard Air Force Base | Housing Maintenance Facility | $600,000 |
| Turkey | Incirlik Air Base | 150 units | $10,146,000 |
| Washington | McChord Air Force Base | 50 units | $9,504,000 |
| | | Total: | $198,355,000 |

(b) PLANNING AND DESIGN.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2304(a)(5)(A), the Secretary of the Air Force may carry out architectural and engineering services and construction design activities with respect to the construction or improvement of military family housing units in an amount not to exceed $8,989,000.

**SEC. 2303. IMPROVEMENTS TO MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING UNITS.**

Subject to section 2825 of title 10, United States Code, and using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2304(a)(5)(A), the Secretary of the Air Force may improve existing military family housing units in an amount not to exceed $90,959,000.

**SEC. 2304. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS, AIR FORCE.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subject to subsection (c), funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 1995, for military construction, land acquisition, and military family housing functions of the Department of the Air Force in the total amount of $1,735,086,000 as follows:

(1) For military construction projects inside the United States authorized by section 2301(a), $504,690,000.

(2) For military construction projects outside the United States authorized by section 2301(b), $49,400,000.

(3) For unspecified minor construction projects authorized by section 2805 of title 10, United States Code, $9,030,000.

(4) For architectural and engineering services and construction design under section 2807 of title 10, United States Code, $30,835,000.

(5) For military housing functions:

(A) For construction and acquisition, planning and design and improvement of military family housing and facilities, $298,303,000.

(B) For support of military family housing (including the functions described in section 2833 of title 10, United States Code), $849,213,000.

(b) LIMITATION ON TOTAL COST OF CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS.—Notwithstanding the cost variations authorized by section 2853 of title 10, United States Code, and any other cost variation authorized by law, the total cost of all projects carried out under section 2301 of this Act may not exceed—

(1) the total amount authorized to be appropriated under paragraphs (1) and (2) of subsection (a); and

(2) $5,400,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2301(a) for the construction of a corrosion control facility at Tinker Air Force Base, Oklahoma).

(c) ADJUSTMENT.—The total amount authorized to be appropriated pursuant to paragraphs (1) through (5) of subsection (a) is the sum of the amounts authorized to be appropriated in such paragraphs, reduced by $6,385,000, which represents the combination of project savings resulting from favorable bids, reduced overhead costs, and cancellations due to force structure changes.

**SEC. 2305. RETENTION OF ACCRUED INTEREST ON FUNDS DEPOSITED FOR CONSTRUCTION OF FAMILY HOUSING, SCOTT AIR FORCE BASE, ILLINOIS.**

(a) RETENTION OF INTEREST.—Section 2310 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1994 (division B of Public Law 103–160; 107 Stat. 1874) is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsection (b) as subsection (c); and

(2) by inserting after subsection (a) the following new subsection:

"(b) RETENTION OF INTEREST.—Interest accrued on the funds transferred to the County pursuant to subsection (a) shall be retained in the same account as the transferred funds and shall be available to the County for the same purpose as the transferred funds.".

(b) LIMITATION ON UNITS CONSTRUCTED.—Subsection (c) of such section, as redesignated by subsection (a)(1), is amended by adding at the end the following new sentence: "The number of units constructed using the transferred funds (and interest accrued on such funds) may not exceed the number of units of military family housing authorized for Scott Air Force Base in section 2302(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993.".

(c) EFFECT OF COMPLETION OF CONSTRUCTION.—Such section is further amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(d) COMPLETION OF CONSTRUCTION.—Upon the completion of the construction authorized by this section, all funds remaining from the funds transferred pursuant to subsection (a), and the remaining interest accrued on such funds, shall be deposited in the general fund of the Treasury of the United States.".

(d) REPORTS ON ACCRUED INTEREST.—Such section is further amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(e) REPORTS ON ACCRUED INTEREST.—Not later than March 1 of each year following a year in which funds available to the County under this section are used by the County for the purpose referred to in subsection (c), the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report setting forth the amount of interest that accrued on such funds during the preceding year.".

## TITLE XXIV—DEFENSE AGENCIES

**SEC. 2401. AUTHORIZED DEFENSE AGENCIES CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.**

(a) INSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2405(a)(1), and, in the case of the project described in section 2405(b)(2), other amounts appropriated pursuant to authorizations enacted after this Act for that project, the Secretary of Defense may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the installations and locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

**Defense Agencies: Inside the United States**

| Agency/State | Installation or location | Amount |
|---|---|---|
| **Ballistic Missile Defense Organization** | | |
| Texas | Fort Bliss | $13,600,000 |
| **Defense Finance & Accounting Service** | | |
| Ohio | Columbus Center | $72,403,000 |
| **Defense Intelligence Agency** | | |
| District of Columbia | Bolling Air Force Base | $498,000 |
| **Defense Logistics Agency** | | |
| Alabama | Defense Distribution Anniston | $3,550,000 |
| California | Defense Distribution Stockton | $15,000,000 |
| | DFSC, Point Mugu | $750,000 |
| Delaware | DFSC, Dover Air Force Base | $15,554,000 |
| Florida | DFSC, Eglin Air Force Base | $2,400,000 |
| Louisiana | DFSC, Barksdale Air Force Base | $13,100,000 |
| New Jersey | DFSC, McGuire Air Force Base | $12,000,000 |
| Pennsylvania | Defense Distribution New Cumberland—DDSP | $4,600,000 |
| Virginia | Defense Distribution Depot—DDNV | $10,400,000 |
| **Defense Mapping Agency** | | |
| Missouri | Defense Mapping Agency Aerospace Center | $40,300,000 |
| **Defense Medical Facility Office** | | |
| Alabama | Maxwell Air Force Base | $10,000,000 |
| Arizona | Luke Air Force Base | $8,100,000 |
| California | Fort Irwin | $6,900,000 |
| | Marine Corps Base, Camp Pendleton | $1,700,000 |
| | Vandenberg Air Force Base | $5,700,000 |
| Delaware | Dover Air Force Base | $4,400,000 |
| Georgia | Fort Benning | $5,600,000 |
| Louisiana | Barksdale Air Force Base | $4,100,000 |
| Maryland | Bethesda Naval Hospital | $1,300,000 |
| | Walter Reed Army Institute of Research | $1,550,000 |
| Texas | Fort Hood | $5,500,000 |
| | Lackland Air Force Base | $6,100,000 |
| Virginia | Northwest Naval Security Group Activity | $4,300,000 |
| **National Security Agency** | | |
| Maryland | Fort Meade | $18,733,000 |
| **Office of the Secretary of Defense** | | |
| Inside the United States | Classified location | $11,500,000 |
| **Department of Defense Dependents Schools** | | |
| Alabama | Maxwell Air Force Base | $5,479,000 |
| Georgia | Fort Benning | $1,116,000 |
| South Carolina | Fort Jackson | $576,000 |
| **Special Operations Command** | | |
| California | Camp Pendleton | $5,200,000 |
| Florida | Eglin Air Force Base (Duke Field) | $2,400,000 |
| | Eglin Auxiliary Field 9 | $14,150,000 |
| North Carolina | Fort Bragg | $23,800,000 |
| Pennsylvania | Olmstead Field, Harrisburg IAP | $1,643,000 |
| Virginia | Dam Neck | $4,500,000 |
| | Naval Amphibious Base, Little Creek | $6,100,000 |
| | Total: | $364,602,000 |

(b) OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2405(a)(2), the Secretary of Defense may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the installations and locations outside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

**Defense Agencies: Outside the United States**

| Agency/Country | Installation name | Amount |
|---|---|---|
| **Defense Logistics Agency** | | |

**Defense Agencies: Outside the United States—Continued**

| Agency/Country | Installation name | Amount |
|---|---|---|
| Puerto Rico | Defense Fuel Support Point, Roosevelt Roads | $6,200,000 |
| Spain | DFSC Rota | $7,400,000 |
| **Defense Medical Facility Office** | | |
| Italy | Naval Support Activity, Naples | $5,000,000 |
| **Department of Defense Dependents Schools** | | |
| Germany | Ramstein Air Force Base | $19,205,000 |
| Italy | Naval Air Station, Sigonella | $7,595,000 |
| **National Security Agency** | | |
| United Kingdom | Menwith Hill Station | $677,000 |
| **Special Operations Command** | | |
| Guam | Naval Station, Guam | $8,800,000 |
| | Total: | $54,877,000 |

**SEC. 2402. MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING PRIVATE INVESTMENT.**

(a) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR INVESTMENT.—Of the amount authorized to be appropriated pursuant to section 2405(a)(11)(A), $22,000,000 shall be available for crediting to the Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund established by section 2883(a)(1) of title 10, United States Code (as added by section 2801 of this Act).

(b) USE OF FUNDS.—The Secretary of Defense may use funds credited to the Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund under subsection (a) to carry out any activities authorized by subchapter IV of chapter 169 of such title (as added by such section) with respect to military family housing.

**SEC. 2403. IMPROVEMENTS TO MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING UNITS.**

Subject to section 2825 of title 10, United States Code, and using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2405(a)(11)(A), the Secretary of Defense may improve existing military family housing units in an amount not to exceed $3,772,000.

**SEC. 2404. ENERGY CONSERVATION PROJECTS.**

Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2405(a)(9), the Secretary of Defense may carry out energy conservation projects under section 2865 of title 10, United States Code.

**SEC. 2405. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS, DEFENSE AGENCIES.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 1995, for military construction, land acquisition, and military family housing functions of the Department of Defense (other than the military departments), in the total amount of $4,629,491,000 as follows:

(1) For military construction projects inside the United States authorized by section 2401(a), $329,599,000.

(2) For military construction projects outside the United States authorized by section 2401(b), $54,877,000.

(3) For military construction projects at Portsmouth Naval Hospital, Virginia, authorized by section 2401(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 1990 and 1991 (division B of Public Law 101–189; 103 Stat. 1640), $47,900,000.

(4) For military construction projects at Elmendorf Air Force Base, Alaska, hospital replacement, authorized by section 2401(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993 (division B of Public Law 102–484; 106 Stat. 2599), $28,100,000.

(5) For military construction projects at Walter Reed Army Institute of Research, Maryland, hospital replacement, authorized by section 2401(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993 (division B of Public Law 102-484; 106 Stat. 2599), $27,000,000.

(6) For unspecified minor construction projects under section 2805 of title 10, United States Code, $23,007,000.

(7) For contingency construction projects of the Secretary of Defense under section 2804 of title 10, United States Code, $11,037,000.

(8) For architectural and engineering services and construction design under section 2807 of title 10, United States Code, $68,837,000.

(9) For energy conservation projects authorized by section 2404, $40,000,000.

(10) For base closure and realignment activities as authorized by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (part A of title XXIX of Public Law 101-510; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note), $3,897,892,000.

(11) For military family housing functions:

(A) For construction and acquisition and improvement of military family housing and facilities, $25,772,000.

(B) For support of military housing (including functions described in section 2833 of title 10, United States Code), $40,467,000, of which not more than $24,874,000 may be obligated or expended for the leasing of military family housing units worldwide.

(b) LIMITATION OF TOTAL COST OF CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS.—Notwithstanding the cost variation authorized by section 2853 of title 10, United States Code, and any other cost variations authorized by law, the total cost of all projects carried out under section 2401 of this Act may not exceed—

(1) the total amount authorized to be appropriated under paragraphs (1) and (2) of subsection (a); and

(2) $35,003,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2401(a) for the construction of a center of the Defense Finance and Accounting Service at Columbus, Ohio).

**SEC. 2406. LIMITATIONS ON USE OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE BASE CLOSURE ACCOUNT 1990.**

(a) SET ASIDE FOR 1995 ROUND.—Of the amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2405(a)(10), $784,569,000 shall be available only for the purposes described in section 2905 of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (part A of title XXIX of Public Law 101-510; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note) with respect to military installations approved for closure or realignment in 1995.

(b) CONSTRUCTION.—Amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2405(a)(10) may not be obligated to carry out a construction project with respect to military installations approved for closure or realignment in 1995 until after the date on which the Secretary of Defense submits to Congress a five-year program for executing the 1995 base realignment and closure plan. The limitation contained in this subsection shall not prohibit site surveys, environmental baseline surveys, environmental analysis under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.), and planning and design work conducted in anticipation of such construction.

**SEC. 2407. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT FISCAL YEAR 1995 PROJECTS.**

The table in section 2401 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (division B of Public Law 103-337; 108 Stat. 3040), under the agency heading relating to Chemical Weapons and Munitions Destruction, is amended—

(1) in the item relating to Pine Bluff Arsenal, Arkansas, by striking out "$3,000,000" in the amount column and inserting in lieu thereof "$115,000,000"; and

(2) in the item relating to Umatilla Army Depot, Oregon, by striking out "$12,000,000" in the amount column and inserting in lieu thereof "$186,000,000".

**SEC. 2408. REDUCTION IN AMOUNTS AUTHORIZED TO BE APPROPRIATED FOR FISCAL YEAR 1994 CONTINGENCY CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS.**

Section 2403(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1994 (division B of Public Law 103-160; 107 Stat. 1876) is amended—

(1) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by striking out "$3,268,394,000" and inserting in lieu thereof "$3,260,263,000"; and

(2) in paragraph (10), by striking out "$12,200,000" and inserting in lieu thereof "$4,069,000".

## TITLE XXV—NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION INFRASTRUCTURE

**SEC. 2501. AUTHORIZED NATO CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.**

The Secretary of Defense may make contributions for the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Infrastructure program as provided in section 2806 of title 10, United States Code, in an amount not to exceed the sum of the amount authorized to be appropriated for this purpose in section 2502 and the amount collected from the North Atlantic Treaty Organization as a result of construction previously financed by the United States.

**SEC. 2502. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS, NATO.**

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 1995, for contributions by the Secretary of Defense under section 2806 of title 10, United States Code, for the share of the United States of the cost of projects for the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Infrastructure program, as authorized by section 2501, in the amount of $161,000,000.

## TITLE XXVI—GUARD AND RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES

**SEC. 2601. AUTHORIZED GUARD AND RESERVE CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.**

There are authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 1995, for the costs of acquisition, architectural and engineering services, and construction of facilities for the Guard and Reserve Forces, and for contributions therefor, under chapter 133 of title 10, United States Code (including the cost of acquisition of land for those facilities), the following amounts:

(1) For the Department of the Army—

(A) for the Army National Guard of the United States, $134,802,000; and

(B) for the Army Reserve, $73,516,000.

(2) For the Department of the Navy, for the Naval and Marine Corps Reserve, $19,055,000.

(3) For the Department of the Air Force—

(A) for the Air National Guard of the United States, $170,917,000; and

(B) for the Air Force Reserve, $36,232,000.

**SEC. 2602. REDUCTION IN AMOUNT AUTHORIZED TO BE APPROPRIATED FOR FISCAL YEAR 1994 AIR NATIONAL GUARD PROJECTS.**

Section 2601(3)(A) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1994 (division B of Public Law 103-160; 107 Stat. 1878) is amended by striking out "$236,341,000" and inserting in lieu thereof "$229,641,000".

**SEC. 2603. CORRECTION IN AUTHORIZED USES OF FUNDS FOR ARMY NATIONAL GUARD PROJECTS IN MISSISSIPPI.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subject to subsection (b), amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2601(1)(A) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1994 (division B of Public Law 103-160; 107 Stat. 1878) for the addition or alteration of Army National Guard Armories at various locations in the State of Mississippi shall be available for the addition, alteration, or new construction of armory facilities and an operation and maintenance shop facility (including the acquisition of land for such facilities) at various locations in the State of Mississippi.

(b) NOTICE AND WAIT.—The amounts referred to in subsection (a) shall not be available for construction with respect to a facility referred to in that subsection until 21 days after the date on which the Secretary of the Army submits to Congress a report describing the construction (including any land acquisition) to be carried out with respect to the facility.

## TITLE XXVII—EXPIRATION AND EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATIONS

**SEC. 2701. EXPIRATION OF AUTHORIZATIONS AND AMOUNTS REQUIRED TO BE SPECIFIED BY LAW.**

(a) EXPIRATION OF AUTHORIZATIONS AFTER THREE YEARS.—Except as provided in subsection (b), all authorizations contained in titles XXI through XXVI for military construction projects, land acquisition, family housing projects and facilities, and contributions to the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Infrastructure program (and authorizations of appropriations therefor) shall expire on the later of—

(1) October 1, 1998; or

(2) the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 1999.

(b) EXCEPTION.—Subsection (a) shall not apply to authorizations for military construction projects, land acquisition, family housing projects and facilities, and contributions to the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Infrastructure program (and authorizations of appropriations therefor), for which appropriated funds have been obligated before the later of—

(1) October 1, 1998; or

(2) the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for fiscal year 1999 for military construction projects, land acquisition, family housing projects and facilities, or contributions to the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Infrastructure program.

**SEC. 2702. EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATIONS OF CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 1993 PROJECTS.**

(a) EXTENSIONS.—Notwithstanding section 2701 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993 (division B of Public Law 102-484; 106 Stat. 2602), authorizations for the projects set forth in the tables in subsection (b), as provided in section 2101, 2301, or 2601 of that Act or in section 2201 of that Act (as amended by section 2206 of this Act), shall remain in effect until October 1, 1996, or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 1997, whichever is later.

(b) TABLES.—The tables referred to in subsection (a) are as follows:

**Army: Extension of 1993 Project Authorizations**

| State | Installation or Location | Project | Amount |
|---|---|---|---|
| Arkansas | Pine Bluff Arsenal | Ammunition Demilitarization Support Facility | $15,000,000 |
| Hawaii | Schofield Barracks | Add/Alter Sewage Treatment Plant | $17,500,000 |

**Navy: Extension of 1993 Project Authorizations**

| State | Installation or Location | Project | Amount |
|---|---|---|---|
| California | Camp Pendleton Marine Corps Base | Sewage Treatment Plant Modifications | $19,740,000 |
| Maryland | Patuxent River Naval Warfare Center | Large Anechoic Chamber, Phase I | $60,990,000 |
| Mississippi | Meridian Naval Air Station | Child Development Center | $1,100,000 |
| Virginia | Hampton Roads | Land Acquisition | $4,500,000 |

**Air Force: Extension of 1993 Project Authorizations**

| State | Installation or Location | Project | Amount |
|---|---|---|---|
| Arkansas | Little Rock Air Force Base | Fire Training Facility | $710,000 |
| District of Columbia | Bolling Air Force Base | Civil Engineer Complex | $9,400,000 |
| Mississippi | Keesler Air Force Base | Alter Student Dormitory | $3,100,000 |
| North Carolina | Pope Air Force Base | Construct Bridge Road and Utilities | $4,000,000 |
| | Pope Air Force Base | Munitions Storage Complex | $4,300,000 |
| Virginia | Langley Air Force Base | Base Engineer Complex | $5,300,000 |
| Guam | Andersen Air Base | Landfill | $10,000,000 |
| Portugal | Lajes Field | Water Wells | $865,000 |
| | Lajes Field | Fire Training Facility | $950,000 |

**Army National Guard: Extension of 1993 Project Authorizations**

| State | Installation or Location | Project | Amount |
|---|---|---|---|
| Alabama | Tuscaloosa | Armory | $2,273,000 |
| | Union Springs | Armory | $813,000 |
| Oregon | La Grande | Organizational Maintenance Shop | $1,220,000 |
| | La Grande | Armory Addition | $3,049,000 |
| Pennsylvania | Indiana | Armory | $1,700,000 |
| Rhode Island | North Kingston | Add/Alter Armory | $3,330,000 |

**Army Reserve: Extension of 1993 Project Authorizations**

| State | Installation or Location | Project | Amount |
|---|---|---|---|
| West Virginia | Bluefield | United States Army Reserve Center | $1,921,000 |
| | Clarksburg | United States Army Reserve Center | $1,566,000 |
| | Grantville | United States Army Reserve Center | $2,785,000 |
| | Lewisburg | United States Army Reserve Center | $1,631,000 |
| | Weirton | United States Army Reserve Center | $3,481,000 |

**SEC. 2703. EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATIONS OF CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 1992 PROJECTS.**

(a) EXTENSIONS.—Notwithstanding section 2701 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1992 (division B of Public Law 102–190; 105 Stat. 1535), authorizations for the projects set forth in the tables in subsection (b), as provided in section 2101 or 2601 of that Act, and extended by section 2702 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (division B of Public Law 103–337; 108 Stat. 3047), shall remain in effect until October 1, 1996, or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 1997, whichever is later.

(b) TABLES.—The tables referred to in subsection (a) are as follows:

**Army: Extension of 1992 Project Authorizations**

| State | Installation or Location | Project | Amount |
|---|---|---|---|
| Oregon | Umatilla Army Depot | Ammunition Demilitarization Support Facility | $3,600,000 |
| | Umatilla Army Depot | Ammunition Demilitarization Utilities | $7,500,000 |

**Army National Guard: Extension of 1992 Project Authorization**

| State | Installation or Location | Project | Amount |
|---|---|---|---|
| Ohio | Toledo | Armory | $3,183,000 |

**Army Reserve: Extension of 1992 Project Authorization**

| State | Installation or Location | Project | Amount |
|---|---|---|---|
| Tennessee | Jackson | Joint Training Facility | $1,537,000 |

## TITLE XXVIII—GENERAL PROVISIONS

### Subtitle A—Military Housing Privatization Initiative

**SEC. 2801. ALTERNATIVE AUTHORITY FOR CONSTRUCTION AND IMPROVEMENT OF MILITARY HOUSING.**

(a) ALTERNATIVE AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT AND IMPROVE MILITARY HOUSING.—(1) Chapter 169 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subchapter:

"SUBCHAPTER IV—ALTERNATIVE AUTHORITY FOR ACQUISITION AND IMPROVEMENT OF MILITARY HOUSING

"Sec.
"2871. Definitions.
"2872. General authority.
"2873. Direct loans and loan guarantees.
"2874. Leasing of housing to be constructed.
"2875. Investments in nongovernmental entities.
"2876. Rental guarantees.
"2877. Differential lease payments.
"2878. Conveyance or lease of existing property and facilities.
"2879. Interim leases.
"2880. Unit size and type.
"2881. Ancillary supporting facilities.
"2882. Assignment of members of the armed forces to housing units.
"2883. Department of Defense Housing Funds.
"2884. Reports.
"2885. Expiration of authority.

**"§ 2871. Definitions**

"In this subchapter:

"(1) The term 'ancillary supporting facilities' means facilities related to military housing units, including child care centers, day care centers, tot lots, community centers, housing offices, dining facilities, unit offices, and other similar facilities for the support of military housing.

"(2) The term 'base closure law' means the following:

"(A) Section 2687 of this title.

"(B) Title II of the Defense Authorization Amendments and Base Closure and Realignment Act (Public Law 100-526; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note).

"(C) The Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (part A of title XXIX of Public Law 101-510; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note).

"(3) The term 'construction' means the construction of military housing units and ancillary supporting facilities or the improvement or rehabilitation of existing units or ancillary supporting facilities.

"(4) The term 'contract' includes any contract, lease, or other agreement entered into under the authority of this subchapter.

"(5) The term 'Fund' means the Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund or the Department of Defense Military Unaccompanied Housing Improvement Fund established under section 2883(a) of this title.

"(6) The term 'military unaccompanied housing' means military housing intended to be occupied by members of the armed forces serving a tour of duty unaccompanied by dependents.

"(7) The term 'United States' includes the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico.

**"§ 2872. General authority**

"In addition to any other authority provided under this chapter for the acquisition or construction of military family housing or military unaccompanied housing, the Secretary concerned may exercise any authority or any combination of authorities provided under this subchapter in order to provide for the acquisition or construction by private persons of the following:

"(1) Family housing units on or near military installations within the United States and its territories and possessions.

"(2) Military unaccompanied housing units on or near such military installations.

**"§ 2873. Direct loans and loan guarantees**

"(a) DIRECT LOANS.—(1) Subject to subsection (c), the Secretary concerned may make direct loans to persons in the private sector in order to provide funds to such persons for the acquisition or construction of housing units that the Secretary determines are suitable for use as military family housing or as military unaccompanied housing.

"(2) The Secretary concerned shall establish such terms and conditions with respect to loans made under this subsection as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States, including the period and frequency for repayment of such loans and the obligations of the obligors on such loans upon default.

"(b) LOAN GUARANTEES.—(1) Subject to subsection (c), the Secretary concerned may guarantee a loan made to any person in the private sector if the proceeds of the loan are to be used by the person to acquire, or construct housing units that the Secretary determines are suitable for use as military family housing or as military unaccompanied housing.

"(2) The amount of a guarantee on a loan that may be provided under paragraph (1) may not exceed the amount equal to the lesser of—

"(A) the amount equal to 80 percent of the value of the project; or

"(B) the amount of the outstanding principal of the loan.

"(3) The Secretary concerned shall establish such terms and conditions with respect to guarantees of loans under this subsection as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States, including the rights and obligations of obligors of such loans and the rights and obligations of the United States with respect to such guarantees.

"(c) LIMITATION ON DIRECT LOAN AND GUARANTEE AUTHORITY.—Direct loans and loan guarantees may be made under this section only to the extent that appropriations of budget authority to cover their cost (as defined in section 502(5) of the Federal Credit Reform Act of 1990 (2 U.S.C. 661a(5))) are made in advance, or authority is otherwise provided in appropriation Acts. If such appropriation or other authority is provided, there may be established a financing account (as defined in section 502(7) of such Act (2 U.S.C. 661a(7))), which shall be available for the disbursement of direct loans or payment of claims for payment on loan guarantees under this section and for all other cash flows to and from the Government as a result of direct loans and guarantees made under this section.

**"§ 2874. Leasing of housing to be constructed**

"(a) BUILD AND LEASE AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary concerned may enter into contracts for the lease of military family housing units or military unaccompanied housing units to be constructed under this subchapter.

"(b) LEASE TERMS.—A contract under this section may be for any period that the Secretary concerned determines appropriate and may provide for the owner of the leased property to operate and maintain the property.

**"§ 2875. Investments in nongovernmental entities**

"(a) INVESTMENTS AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary concerned may make investments in nongovernmental entities carrying out projects for the acquisition or construction of housing units suitable for use as military family housing or as military unaccompanied housing.

"(b) FORMS OF INVESTMENT.—An investment under this section may take the form of an acquisition of a limited partnership interest by the United States, a purchase of stock or other equity instruments by the United States, a purchase of bonds or other debt instruments by the United States, or any combination of such forms of investment.

"(c) LIMITATION ON VALUE OF INVESTMENT.—(1) The cash amount of an investment under this section in a nongovernmental entity may not exceed an amount equal to 33⅓ percent of the capital cost (as determined by the Secretary concerned) of the project or projects that the entity proposes to carry out under this section with the investment.

"(2) If the Secretary concerned conveys land or facilities to a nongovernmental entity as all or part of an investment in the entity under this section, the total value of the investment by the Secretary under this section may not exceed an amount equal to 45 percent of the capital cost (as determined by the Secretary) of the project or projects that the entity proposes to carry out under this section with the investment.

"(3) In this subsection, the term 'capital cost', with respect to a project for the acquisition or construction of housing, means the total amount of the costs included in the basis of the housing for Federal income tax purposes.

"(d) COLLATERAL INCENTIVE AGREEMENTS.—The Secretary concerned shall enter into collateral incentive agreements with nongovernmental entities in which the Secretary makes an investment under this section to ensure that a suitable preference will be afforded members of the armed forces and their dependents in the lease or purchase, as the case may be, of a reasonable number of the housing units covered by the investment.

**"§ 2876. Rental guarantees**

"The Secretary concerned may enter into agreements with private persons that acquire or construct military family housing units or military unaccompanied housing units under this subchapter in order to assure—

"(1) the occupancy of such units at levels specified in the agreements; or

"(2) rental income derived from rental of such units at levels specified in the agreements.

**"§ 2877. Differential lease payments**

"Pursuant to an agreement entered into by the Secretary concerned and a private lessor of military family housing or military unaccompanied housing to members of the armed forces, the Secretary may pay the lessor an amount in addition to the rental payments for the housing made by the members as the Secretary determines appropriate to encourage the lessor to make the housing available to members of the armed forces as military family housing or as military unaccompanied housing.

**"§ 2878. Conveyance or lease of existing property and facilities**

"(a) CONVEYANCE OR LEASE AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary concerned may convey or lease property or facilities (including ancillary supporting facilities) to private persons for purposes of using the proceeds of such conveyance or lease to carry out activities under this subchapter.

"(b) INAPPLICABILITY TO PROPERTY AT INSTALLATION APPROVED FOR CLOSURE.—The authority of this section does not apply to property or facilities located on or near a military installation approved for closure under a base closure law.

"(c) TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—(1) The conveyance or lease of property or facilities under this section shall be for such consideration and upon such terms and conditions as the Secretary concerned considers appropriate for the purposes of this subchapter and to protect the interests of the United States.

"(2) As part or all of the consideration for a conveyance or lease under this section, the purchaser or lessor (as the case may be) shall enter into an agreement with the Secretary to ensure that a suitable preference will be afforded members of the armed forces and their dependents in the lease or sublease of a reasonable number of the housing units covered by the conveyance or lease, as the case may be, or in the lease of other suitable housing units made available by the purchaser or lessee.

"(d) INAPPLICABILITY OF CERTAIN PROPERTY MANAGEMENT LAWS.—The conveyance or lease of property or facilities under this section shall not be subject to the following provisions of law:

"(1) Section 2667 of this title.

"(2) The Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (40 U.S.C. 471 et seq.).

"(3) Section 321 of the Act of June 30, 1932 (commonly known as the Economy Act) (40 U.S.C. 303b).

"(4) Section 501 of the Stewart B. McKinney Homeless Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 11401).

**"§ 2879. Interim leases**

"Pending completion of a project to acquire or construct military family housing units or military unaccompanied housing units under this subchapter, the Secretary concerned may provide for the interim lease of such units of the project as are complete. The term of a lease under this section may not extend beyond the date of the completion of the project concerned.

**"§ 2880. Unit size and type**

"(a) CONFORMITY WITH SIMILAR HOUSING UNITS IN LOCALE.—The Secretary concerned shall ensure that the room patterns and floor areas of military family housing units and military unaccompanied housing units acquired or constructed under this subchapter are generally comparable to the room patterns and floor areas of similar housing units in the locality concerned.

"(b) INAPPLICABILITY OF LIMITATIONS ON SPACE BY PAY GRADE.—(1) Section 2826 of this title shall not apply to military family housing units acquired or constructed under this subchapter.

"(2) The regulations prescribed under section 2856 of this title shall not apply to any military unaccompanied housing unit acquired or constructed under this subchapter unless the unit is located on a military installation.

**"§ 2881. Ancillary supporting facilities**

"Any project for the acquisition or construction of military family housing units or military unaccompanied housing units under this subchapter may include the acquisition or construction of ancillary supporting facilities for the housing units concerned.

**"§ 2882. Assignment of members of the armed forces to housing units**

"(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary concerned may assign members of the armed forces to housing units acquired or constructed under this subchapter.

"(b) EFFECT OF CERTAIN ASSIGNMENTS ON ENTITLEMENT TO HOUSING ALLOWANCES.—(1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), housing referred to in subsection (a) shall be considered as quarters of the United States or a housing facility under the jurisdiction of a uniformed service for purposes of section 403(b) of title 37.

"(2) A member of the armed forces who is assigned in accordance with subsection (a) to a housing unit not owned or leased by the United States shall be entitled to a basic allowance for quarters under section 403 of title 37 and, if in a high housing cost area, a variable housing allowance under section 403a of that title.

"(c) LEASE PAYMENTS THROUGH PAY ALLOTMENTS.—The Secretary concerned may require members of the armed forces who lease housing in housing units acquired or constructed under this subchapter to make lease payments for such housing pursuant to allotments of the pay of such members under section 701 of title 37.

**"§ 2883. Department of Defense Housing Funds**

"(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—There are hereby established on the books of the Treasury the following accounts:

"(1) The Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund.

"(2) The Department of Defense Military Unaccompanied Housing Improvement Fund.

"(b) COMMINGLING OF FUNDS PROHIBITED.—(1) The Secretary of Defense shall administer each Fund separately.

"(2) Amounts in the Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund may be used only to carry out activities under this subchapter with respect to military family housing.

"(3) Amounts in the Department of Defense Military Unaccompanied Housing Improvement Fund may be used only to carry out activities under this subchapter with respect to military unaccompanied housing.

"(c) CREDITS TO FUNDS.—(1) There shall be credited to the Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund the following:

"(A) Amounts authorized for and appropriated to that Fund.

"(B) Subject to subsection (f), any amounts that the Secretary of Defense transfers, in such amounts as provided in appropriation Acts, to that Fund from amounts authorized and appropriated to the Department of Defense for the acquisition or construction of military family housing.

"(C) Proceeds from the conveyance or lease of property or facilities under section 2878 of this title for the purpose of carrying out activities under this subchapter with respect to military family housing.

"(D) Income derived from any activities under this subchapter with respect to military family housing, including interest on loans made under section 2873 of this title, income and gains realized from investments under section 2875 of this title, and any return of capital invested as part of such investments.

"(2) There shall be credited to the Department of Defense Military Unaccompanied Housing Improvement Fund the following:

"(A) Amounts authorized for and appropriated to that Fund.

"(B) Subject to subsection (f), any amounts that the Secretary of Defense transfers, in such amounts as provided in appropriation Acts, to that Fund from amounts authorized and appropriated to the Department of Defense for the acquisition or construction of military unaccompanied housing.

"(C) Proceeds from the conveyance or lease of property or facilities under section 2878 of this title for the purpose of carrying out activities under this subchapter with respect to military unaccompanied housing.

"(D) Income derived from any activities under this subchapter with respect to military unaccompanied housing, including interest on loans made under section 2873 of this title, income and gains realized from investments under section 2875 of this title, and any return of capital invested as part of such investments.

"(d) USE OF AMOUNTS IN FUNDS.—(1) In such amounts as provided in appropriation Acts and except as provided in subsection (e), the Secretary of Defense may use amounts in the Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund to carry out activities under this subchapter with respect to military family housing, including activities required in connection with the planning, execution, and administration of contracts entered into under the authority of this subchapter.

"(2) In such amounts as provided in appropriation Acts and except as provided in subsection (e), the Secretary of Defense may use amounts in the Department of Defense Military Unaccompanied Housing Improvement Fund to carry out activities under this subchapter with respect to military unaccompanied housing, including activities required in connection with the planning, execution, and administration of contracts entered into under the authority of this subchapter.

"(3) Amounts made available under this subsection shall remain available until expended. The Secretary of Defense may transfer amounts made available under this subsection to the Secretaries of the military departments to permit such Secretaries to carry out the activities for which such amounts may be used.

"(e) LIMITATION ON OBLIGATIONS.—The Secretary may not incur an obligation under a contract or other agreement entered into under this subchapter in excess of the unobligated balance, at the time the contract is entered into, of the Fund required to be used to satisfy the obligation.

"(f) NOTIFICATION REQUIRED FOR TRANSFERS.—A transfer of appropriated amounts to a Fund under paragraph (1)(B) or (2)(B) of subsection (c) may be made only after the end of the 30-day period beginning on the date the Secretary of Defense submits written notice of, and justification for, the transfer to the appropriate committees of Congress.

"(g) LIMITATION ON AMOUNT OF BUDGET AUTHORITY.—The total value in budget authority of all contracts and investments undertaken using the authorities provided in this subchapter shall not exceed—

"(1) $850,000,000 for the acquisition or construction of military family housing; and

"(2) $150,000,000 for the acquisition or construction of military unaccompanied housing.

**"§ 2884. Reports**

"(a) PROJECT REPORTS.—(1) The Secretary of Defense shall transmit to the appropriate committees of Congress a report describing—

"(A) each contract for the acquisition or construction of family housing units or unaccompanied housing units that the Secretary proposes to solicit under this subchapter; and

"(B) each conveyance or lease proposed under section 2878 of this title.

"(2) The report shall describe the proposed contract, conveyance, or lease and the intended method of participation of the United States in the contract, conveyance, or lease and provide a justification of such method of participation. The report shall be submitted not later than 30 days before the date on which the Secretary issues the contract solicitation or offers the conveyance or lease.

"(b) ANNUAL REPORTS.—The Secretary of Defense shall include each year in the materials that the Secretary submits to Congress in support of the budget submitted by the President pursuant to section 1105 of title 31 the following:

"(1) A report on the expenditures and receipts during the preceding fiscal year covering the Funds established under section 2883 of this title.

"(2) A methodology for evaluating the extent and effectiveness of the use of the authorities under this subchapter during such preceding fiscal year.

"(3) A description of the objectives of the Department of Defense for providing military family housing and military unaccompanied housing for members of the armed forces.

**"§ 2885. Expiration of authority**

"The authority to enter into a contract under this subchapter shall expire five years after the date of the enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1996.".

(2) The table of subchapters at the beginning of such chapter is amended by inserting after the item relating to subchapter III the following new item:

"IV. Alternative Authority for Acquisition and Improvement of Military Housing ..... 2871".

(b) FINAL REPORT.—Not later than March 1, 2000, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the use by the Secretary of Defense and the Secretaries of the military departments of the authorities provided by subchapter IV of chapter 169 of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a). The report shall assess the effectiveness of such authority in providing for the construction and improvement of military family housing and military unaccompanied housing.

**SEC. 2802. EXPANSION OF AUTHORITY FOR LIMITED PARTNERSHIPS FOR DEVELOPMENT OF MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING.**

(a) PARTICIPATION OF OTHER MILITARY DEPARTMENTS.—(1) Subsection (a)(1) of section 2837 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking out "of the naval service" and inserting in lieu thereof "of the armed forces".

(2) Subsection (b)(1) of such section is amended by striking out "of the naval service" and inserting in lieu thereof "of the armed forces".

(b) ADMINISTRATION.—(1) Subsection (a)(1) of such section is further amended by striking out "the Secretary of the Navy" in the first sentence and inserting in lieu thereof "the Secretary of a military department".

(2) Subsections (a)(2), (b), (c), (g), and (h) of such section are amended by striking out "Secretary" each place it appears and inserting in lieu thereof "Secretary concerned".

(c) ACCOUNT.—Subsection (d) of such section is amended to read as follows:

"(d) ACCOUNT.—(1) There is hereby established on the books of the Treasury an account to be known as the 'Defense Housing Investment Account'.

"(2) There shall be deposited into the Account—

"(A) such funds as may be authorized for and appropriated to the Account;

"(B) any proceeds received by the Secretary concerned from the repayment of investments or profits on investments of the Secretary under subsection (a); and

"(C) any unobligated balances which remain in the Navy Housing Investment Account as of the date of the enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1996.

"(3) From such amounts as are provided in advance in appropriation Acts, funds in the Account shall be available to the Secretaries concerned in amounts determined by the Secretary of Defense for contracts, investments, and expenses necessary for the implementation of this section.

"(4) The Secretary concerned may not enter into a contract in connection with a limited partnership under subsection (a) or a collateral incentive agreement under subsection (b) unless a sufficient amount of the unobligated balance of the funds in the Account is available to the Secretary, as of the time the contract is entered into, to satisfy the total obligations to be incurred by the United States under the contract.".

(d) TERMINATION OF NAVY HOUSING INVESTMENT BOARD.—Such section is further amended—

(1) by striking out subsection (e); and

(2) in subsection (h)—

(A) by striking out "AUTHORITIES" in the subsection heading and inserting in lieu thereof "AUTHORITY";

(B) by striking out "(1)"; and

(C) by striking out paragraph (2).

(e) REPORT.—Subsection (f) of such section is amended—

(1) by striking out "the Secretary carries out activities" and inserting in lieu thereof "activities are carried out"; and

(2) by striking out "the Secretary shall" and inserting in lieu thereof "the Secretaries concerned shall jointly".

(f) EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY.—Subsection (h) of such section is further amended by striking out "September 30, 1999" and inserting in lieu thereof "September 30, 2000".

(g) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Subsection (g) of such section is further amended by striking out "NAVY" in the subsection heading.

**Subtitle B—Other Military Construction Program and Military Family Housing Changes**

**SEC. 2811. SPECIAL THRESHOLD FOR UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS TO CORRECT LIFE, HEALTH, OR SAFETY DEFICIENCIES.**

(a) SPECIAL THRESHOLD.—Section 2805 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)(1), by adding at the end the following new sentence: "However, if the military construction project is intended solely to correct a deficiency that is life-threatening, health-threatening, or safety-threatening, a minor military construction project may have an approved cost equal to or less than $3,000,000."; and

(2) in subsection (c)(1), by striking out "not more than $300,000." and inserting in lieu thereof "not more than—

"(A) $1,000,000, in the case of an unspecified military construction project intended solely to correct a deficiency that is life-threatening, health-threatening, or safety-threatening; or

"(B) $300,000, in the case of any other unspecified military construction project.".

(b) TECHNICAL AMENDMENT.—Section 2861(b)(6) of such title is amended by striking out "section 2805(a)(2)" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 2805(a)(1)".

**SEC. 2812. CLARIFICATION OF SCOPE OF UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION AUTHORITY.**

Section 2805(a)(1) of title 10, United States Code, as amended by section 2811 of this Act, is further amended by striking out "(1) that is for a single undertaking at a military installation, and (2)" in the second sentence.

**SEC. 2813. TEMPORARY AUTHORITY TO WAIVE NET FLOOR AREA LIMITATION FOR FAMILY HOUSING ACQUIRED IN LIEU OF CONSTRUCTION.**

Section 2824(c) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new sentence: "The Secretary concerned may waive the limitation set forth in the preceding sentence to family housing units acquired under this section during the five-year period beginning on the date of the enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1996.".

**SEC. 2814. REESTABLISHMENT OF AUTHORITY TO WAIVE NET FLOOR AREA LIMITATION ON ACQUISITION BY PURCHASE OF CERTAIN MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING.**

Section 2826(e) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking out the second sentence.

**SEC. 2815. TEMPORARY AUTHORITY TO WAIVE LIMITATIONS ON SPACE BY PAY GRADE FOR MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING UNITS.**

Section 2826 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(i)(1) The Secretary concerned may waive the provisions of subsection (a) with respect to military family housing units constructed, acquired, or improved during the five-year period beginning on the date of the enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1996.

"(2) The total number of military family housing units constructed, acquired, or improved during any fiscal year in the period referred to in paragraph (1) shall be the total number of such units authorized by law for that fiscal year.".

**SEC. 2816. RENTAL OF FAMILY HOUSING IN FOREIGN COUNTRIES.**

Section 2828(e) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1)—

(A) by striking out "300 units" in the first sentence and inserting in lieu thereof "450 units"; and

(B) by striking out "220 such units" in the second sentence and inserting in lieu thereof "350 such units"; and

(2) in paragraph (2), by striking out "300 units" and inserting in lieu thereof "450 units".

**SEC. 2817. CLARIFICATION OF SCOPE OF REPORT REQUIREMENT ON COST INCREASES UNDER CONTRACTS FOR MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION.**

Subsection (d) of section 2853 of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

"(d) The limitation on cost increases in subsection (a) does not apply to the settlement of a contractor claim under a contract.".

**SEC. 2818. AUTHORITY TO CONVEY DAMAGED OR DETERIORATED MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING.**

(a) AUTHORITY.—(1) Subchapter III of chapter 169 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 2854 the following new section:

**"§ 2854a. Conveyance of damaged or deteriorated military family housing; use of proceeds**

"(a) AUTHORITY TO CONVEY.—(1) The Secretary concerned may convey any family

housing facility that, due to damage or deterioration, is in a condition that is uneconomical to repair. Any conveyance of a family housing facility under this section may include a conveyance of the real property associated with the facility conveyed.

"(2) The authority of this section does not apply to family housing facilities located at military installations approved for closure under a base closure law or family housing facilities located at an installation outside the United States at which the Secretary of Defense terminates operations.

"(3) The aggregate total value of the family housing facilities conveyed by the Department of Defense under the authority in this subsection in any fiscal year may not exceed $5,000,000.

"(4) For purposes of this subsection, a family housing facility is in a condition that is uneconomical to repair if the cost of the necessary repairs for the facility would exceed the amount equal to 70 percent of the cost of constructing a family housing facility to replace such facility.

"(b) CONSIDERATION.—(1) As consideration for the conveyance of a family housing facility under subsection (a), the person to whom the facility is conveyed shall pay the United States an amount equal to the fair market value of the facility conveyed, including any real property conveyed along with the facility.

"(2) The Secretary concerned shall determine the fair market value of any family housing facility and associated real property that is conveyed under subsection (a). Such determination shall be final.

"(c) NOTICE AND WAIT REQUIREMENTS.—The Secretary concerned may not enter into an agreement to convey a family housing facility under this section until—

"(1) the Secretary submits to the appropriate committees of Congress, in writing, a justification for the conveyance under the agreement, including—

"(A) an estimate of the consideration to be provided the United States under the agreement;

"(B) an estimate of the cost of repairing the family housing facility to be conveyed; and

"(C) an estimate of the cost of replacing the family housing facility to be conveyed; and

"(2) a period of 21 calendar days has elapsed after the date on which the justification is received by the committees.

"(d) INAPPLICABILITY OF CERTAIN PROPERTY DISPOSAL LAWS.—The following provisions of law do not apply to the conveyance of a family housing facility under this section:

"(1) The Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (40 U.S.C. 471 et seq.).

"(2) Title V of the Stewart B. McKinney Homeless Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 11411 et seq.).

"(e) USE OF PROCEEDS.—(1) The proceeds of any conveyance of a family housing facility under this section shall be credited to the appropriate fund established under section 2883 of this title and shall be available—

"(A) to construct family housing units to replace the family housing facility conveyed under this section, but only to the extent that the number of units constructed with such proceeds does not exceed the number of units of military family housing of the facility conveyed;

"(B) to repair or restore existing military family housing; and

"(C) to reimburse the Secretary concerned for the costs incurred by the Secretary in conveying the family housing facility.

"(2) Notwithstanding section 2883(d) of this title, proceeds derived from a conveyance of a family housing facility under this section shall be available under paragraph (1) without any further appropriation.

"(f) DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY.—The exact acreage and legal description of any family housing facility conveyed under this section, including any real property associated with such facility, shall be determined by such means as the Secretary concerned considers satisfactory, including by survey in the case of real property.

"(g) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The Secretary concerned may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with the conveyance of family housing facilities under this section as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.".

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of such subchapter is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 2854 the following new item:

"2854a. Conveyance of damaged or deteriorated military family housing; use of proceeds.".

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 204(h) of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (40 U.S.C. 485(h)) is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraph (4) as paragraph (5); and

(2) by inserting after paragraph (3) the following new paragraph (4):

"(4) This subsection does not apply to damaged or deteriorated military family housing facilities conveyed under section 2854a of title 10, United States Code.".

**SEC. 2819. ENERGY AND WATER CONSERVATION SAVINGS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.**

(a) INCLUSION OF WATER EFFICIENT MAINTENANCE IN ENERGY PERFORMANCE PLAN.—Paragraph (3) of section 2865(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking out "energy efficient maintenance" and inserting in lieu thereof "energy efficient maintenance or water efficient maintenance".

(b) SCOPE OF TERM.—Paragraph (4) of such section is amended—

(1) in the matter preceding subparagraph (A), by striking out "'energy efficient maintenance'" and inserting in lieu thereof "'energy efficient maintenance or water efficient maintenance'";

(2) in subparagraph (A), by striking out "systems or industrial processes," in the matter preceding clause (i) and inserting in lieu thereof "systems, industrial processes, or water efficiency applications,"; and

(3) in subparagraph (B), by inserting "or water cost savings" before the period at the end.

**SEC. 2820. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO ENTER INTO LEASES OF LAND FOR SPECIAL OPERATIONS ACTIVITIES.**

(a) EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY.—Subsection (d) of section 2680 of title 10, United States Code, is amended in the first sentence by striking out "September 30, 1995" and inserting in lieu thereof "September 30, 2000".

(b) REPORTING REQUIREMENT.—Such section is further amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(e) REPORTS.—Not later than March 1 of each year, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committee on the Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives a report that—

"(1) identifies each leasehold interest acquired during the previous fiscal year under subsection (a); and

"(2) contains a discussion of each project for the construction or modification of facilities carried out pursuant to subsection (c) during such fiscal year.".

(c) CONFORMING REPEAL.—Section 2863 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 1992 and 1993 (Public Law 102-190; 10 U.S.C. 2680 note) is amended by striking out subsection (b).

**SEC. 2821. DISPOSITION OF AMOUNTS RECOVERED AS A RESULT OF DAMAGE TO REAL PROPERTY.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 165 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 2781 the following new section:

**"§ 2782. Damage to real property: disposition of amounts recovered**

"Except as provided in section 2775 of this title, amounts recovered for damage caused to real property under the jurisdiction of the Secretary of a military department or, with respect to the Defense Agencies, under the jurisdiction of the Secretary of Defense shall be credited to the account available for the repair or replacement of the real property at the time of recovery. In such amounts as are provided in advance in appropriation Acts, amounts so credited shall be available for use for the same purposes and under the same circumstances as other funds in the account.".

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 2781 the following new item:

"2782. Damage to real property: disposition of amounts recovered.".

**SEC. 2822. PILOT PROGRAM TO PROVIDE INTEREST RATE BUY DOWN AUTHORITY ON LOANS FOR HOUSING WITHIN HOUSING SHORTAGE AREAS AT MILITARY INSTALLATIONS.**

(a) SHORT TITLE.—This section may be cited as the "Military Housing Assistance Act of 1995".

(b) MORTGAGE ASSISTANCE PAYMENT AUTHORITY OF THE SECRETARY OF VETERANS AFFAIRS.—(1) Chapter 37 of title 38, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 3707 the following:

**"§ 3708. Authority to buy down interest rates: pilot program**

"(a) In order to enable the purchase of housing in areas where the supply of suitable military housing is inadequate, the Secretary may conduct a pilot program under which the Secretary may make periodic or lump sum assistance payments on behalf of an eligible veteran for the purpose of buying down the interest rate on a loan to that veteran that is guaranteed under this chapter for a purpose described in paragraph (1), (6), or (10) of section 3710(a) of this title.

"(b) An individual is an eligible veteran for the purposes of this section if—

"(1) the individual is a veteran, as defined in section 3701(b)(4) of this title;

"(2) the individual submits an application for a loan guaranteed under this chapter within one year of an assignment of the individual to duty at a military installation in the United States designated by the Secretary of Defense as a housing shortage area;

"(3) at the time the loan referred to in subsection (a) is made, the individual is an enlisted member, warrant officer, or an officer (other than a warrant officer) at a pay grade of O-3 or below;

"(4) the individual has not previously used any of the individual's entitlement to housing loan benefits under this chapter; and

"(5) the individual receives comprehensive prepurchase counseling from the Secretary

(or the designee of the Secretary) before making application for a loan guaranteed under this chapter.

"(c) Loans with respect to which the Secretary may exercise the buy down authority under subsection (a) shall—

"(1) provide for a buy down period of not more than three years in duration;

"(2) specify the maximum and likely amounts of increases in mortgage payments that the loans would require; and

"(3) be subject to such other terms and conditions as the Secretary may prescribe by regulation.

"(d) The Secretary shall promulgate underwriting standards for loans for which the interest rate assistance payments may be made under subsection (a). Such standards shall be based on the interest rate for the second year of the loan.

"(e) The Secretary or lender shall provide comprehensive prepurchase counseling to eligible veterans explaining the features of interest rate buy downs under subsection (a), including a hypothetical payment schedule that displays the increases in monthly payments to the mortgagor over the first five years of the mortgage term. For the purposes of this subsection, the Secretary may assign personnel to military installations referred to in subsection (b)(2).

"(f) There is authorized to be appropriated $3,000,000 annually to carry out this section.

"(g) The Secretary may not guarantee a loan under this chapter after September 30, 1998, on which the Secretary is obligated to make payments under this section.".

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 37 of title 38, United States Code, is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 3707 to following new item:

"3708. Authority to buy down interest rates: pilot program.".

(c) AUTHORITY OF SECRETARY OF DEFENSE.—

(1) REIMBURSEMENT FOR BUY DOWN COSTS.—The Secretary of Defense shall reimburse the Secretary of Veterans Affairs for amounts paid by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to mortgagees under section 3708 of title 38, United States Code, as added by subsection (b).

(2) DESIGNATION OF HOUSING SHORTAGE AREAS.—For purposes of section 3708 of title 38, United States Code, the Secretary of Defense may designate as a housing shortage area a military installation in the United States at which the Secretary determines there is a shortage of suitable housing to meet the military family needs of members of the Armed Forces and the dependents of such members.

(3) REPORT.—Not later than March 30, 1998, the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report regarding the effectiveness of the authority provided in section 3708 of title 38, United States Code, in ensuring that members of the Armed Forces and their dependents have access to suitable housing. The report shall include the recommendations of the Secretary regarding whether the authority provided in this subsection should be extended beyond the date specified in paragraph (5).

(4) EARMARK.—Of the amount provided in section 2405(a)(11)(B), $10,000,000 for fiscal year 1996 shall be available to carry out this subsection.

(5) SUNSET.—This subsection shall not apply with respect to housing loans guaranteed after September 30, 1998, for which assistance payments are paid under section 3708 of title 38, United States Code.

**Subtitle C—Defense Base Closure and Realignment**

**SEC. 2831. DEPOSIT OF PROCEEDS FROM LEASES OF PROPERTY LOCATED AT INSTALLATIONS BEING CLOSED OR REALIGNED.**

(a) EXCEPTION TO EXISTING REQUIREMENTS.—Section 2667(d) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1)(A)(ii), by inserting "or (5)" after "paragraph (4)"; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

"(5) Money rentals received by the United States from a lease under subsection (f) shall be deposited into the account established under section 2906(a) of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (part A of title XXIX of Public Law 101-510; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note).".

(b) CORRESPONDING AMENDMENTS TO BASE CLOSURE LAWS.—(1) Section 207(a)(7) of the Defense Authorization Amendments and Base Closure and Realignment Act (Public Law 100-526; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note) is amended by striking out "transfer or disposal" and inserting in lieu thereof "lease, transfer, or disposal".

(2) Section 2906(a)(2) of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (part A of title XXIX of Public Law 101-510; 10 U.S.C. 2867 note) is amended—

(A) in subparagraph (C), by striking out "transfer or disposal" and inserting in lieu thereof "lease, transfer, or disposal"; and

(B) in subparagraph (D), by striking out "transfer or disposal" and inserting in lieu thereof "lease, transfer, or disposal".

**SEC. 2832. IN-KIND CONSIDERATION FOR LEASES AT INSTALLATIONS TO BE CLOSED OR REALIGNED.**

Section 2667(f) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

"(4) The Secretary concerned may accept under subsection (b)(5) services of a lessee for an entire installation to be closed or realigned under a base closure law, or for any part of such installation, without regard to the requirement in subsection (b)(5) that a substantial part of the installation be leased.".

**SEC. 2833. INTERIM LEASES OF PROPERTY APPROVED FOR CLOSURE OR REALIGNMENT.**

Section 2667(f) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding after paragraph (4), as added by section 2832 of this Act, the following new paragraph:

"(5)(A) Notwithstanding the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.), the scope of any environmental impact analysis necessary to support an interim lease of property under this subsection shall be limited to the environmental consequences of activities authorized under the proposed lease and the cumulative impacts of other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future actions during the period of the proposed lease.

"(B) Interim leases entered into under this subsection shall be deemed not to prejudice the final disposal decision with respect to the property, even if final disposal of the property is delayed until completion of the term of the interim lease. An interim lease under this subsection shall not be entered into without prior consultation with the redevelopment authority concerned.

"(C) Subparagraphs (A) and (B) shall not apply to an interim lease under this subsection if authorized activities under the lease would—

"(i) significantly affect the quality of the human environment; or

"(ii) irreversibly alter the environment in a way that would preclude any reasonable disposal alternative of the property concerned.".

**SEC. 2834. AUTHORITY TO LEASE PROPERTY REQUIRING ENVIRONMENTAL REMEDIATION AT INSTALLATIONS APPROVED FOR CLOSURE OR REALIGNMENT.**

Section 120(h)(3) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (42 U.S.C. 9620(h)(3)) is amended in the matter following subparagraph (C)—

(1) by striking out the first sentence; and

(2) by adding at the end, flush to the paragraph margin, the following:

"The requirements of subparagraph (B) shall not apply in any case in which the person or entity to whom the real property is transferred is a potentially responsible party with respect to such property. The requirements of subparagraph (B) shall not apply in any case in which the transfer of the property occurs or has occurred by means of a lease, without regard to whether the lessee has agreed to purchase the property or whether the duration of the lease is longer than 55 years. In the case of a lease entered into after September 30, 1995, with respect to real property located at an installation approved for closure or realignment under a base closure law, the agency leasing the property, in consultation with the Administrator, shall determine before leasing the property that the property is suitable for lease, that the uses contemplated for the lease are consistent with protection of human health and the environment, and that there are adequate assurances that the United States will take all remedial action referred to in subparagraph (B) that has not been taken on the date of the lease.".

**SEC. 2835. FINAL FUNDING FOR DEFENSE BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT COMMISSION.**

Section 2902(k) of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (part A of title XXIX of Public Law 101-510; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

"(3)(A) The Secretary may transfer not more than $300,000 from unobligated funds in the account referred to in subparagraph (B) for the purpose of assisting the Commission in carrying out its duties under this part during October, November, and December 1995. Funds transferred under the preceding sentence shall remain available until December 31, 1995.

"(B) The account referred to in subparagraph (A) is the Department of Defense Base Closure Account established under section 207(a) of the Defense Authorization Amendments and Base Closure and Realignment Act (Public Law 100-526; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note).".

**SEC. 2836. EXERCISE OF AUTHORITY DELEGATED BY THE ADMINISTRATOR OF GENERAL SERVICES.**

Section 2905(b)(2) of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (part A of title XXIX of Public Law 101-510; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A)—

(A) by striking out "Subject to subparagraph (C)" in the matter preceding clause (i) and inserting in lieu thereof "Subject to subparagraph (B)"; and

(B) by striking out "in effect on the date of the enactment of this Act" each place it appears in clauses (i) and (ii);

(2) by striking out subparagraphs (B) and (C) and inserting in lieu thereof the following new subparagraph (B):

"(B) The Secretary may, with the concurrence of the Administrator of General Services—

"(i) prescribe general policies and methods for utilizing excess property and disposing of surplus property pursuant to the authority delegated under paragraph (1); and

"(ii) issue regulations relating to such policies and methods, which shall supersede the regulations referred to in subparagraph (A) with respect to that authority."; and

(3) by redesignating subparagraphs (D) and (E) as subparagraphs (C) and (D), respectively.

**SEC. 2837. LEASE BACK OF PROPERTY DISPOSED FROM INSTALLATIONS APPROVED FOR CLOSURE OR REALIGNMENT.**

(a) AUTHORITY.—Section 2905(b)(4) of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (part A of title XXIX of Public Law 101–510; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note) is amended—

(1) by redesignating subparagraphs (C), (D), and (E) as subparagraphs (D), (E), and (F), respectively; and

(2) by inserting after subparagraph (B) the following new subparagraph (C):

"(C)(i) The Secretary may transfer real property at an installation approved for closure or realignment under this part (including property at an installation approved for realignment which will be retained by the Department of Defense or another Federal agency after realignment) to the redevelopment authority for the installation if the redevelopment authority agrees to lease, directly upon transfer, one or more portions of the property transferred under this subparagraph to the Secretary or to the head of another department or agency of the Federal Government. Subparagraph (B) shall apply to a transfer under this subparagraph.

"(ii) A lease under clause (i) shall be for a term of not to exceed 50 years, but may provide for options for renewal or extension of the term by the department or agency concerned.

"(iii) A lease under clause (i) may not require rental payments by the United States.

"(iv) A lease under clause (i) shall include a provision specifying that if the department or agency concerned ceases requiring the use of the leased property before the expiration of the term of the lease, the remainder of the lease term may be satisfied by the same or another department or agency of the Federal Government using the property for a use similar to the use under the lease. Exercise of the authority provided by this clause shall be made in consultation with the redevelopment authority concerned.".

(b) USE OF FUNDS TO IMPROVE LEASED PROPERTY.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a department or agency of the Federal Government that enters into a lease of property under section 2905(b)(4)(C) of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (part A of title XXIX of Public Law 101–510; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note), as amended by subsection (a), may improve the leased property using funds appropriated or otherwise available to the department or agency for such purpose.

**SEC. 2838. IMPROVEMENT OF BASE CLOSURE AND REALIGNMENT PROCESS REGARDING DISPOSAL OF PROPERTY.**

(a) APPLICABILITY.—Subparagraph (A) of section 2905(b)(7) of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (part A of title XXIX of Public Law 101–510; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note) is amended to read as follows:

"(A) The disposal of buildings and property located at installations approved for closure or realignment under this part after October 25, 1994, shall be carried out in accordance with this paragraph rather than paragraph (6).".

(b) AGREEMENTS UNDER REDEVELOPMENT PLANS.—Subparagraph (F)(ii)(I) of such section is amended in the second sentence by striking out "the approval of the redevelopment plan by the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development under subparagraph (H) or (J)" and inserting in lieu thereof "the decision regarding the disposal of the buildings and property covered by the agreements by the Secretary of Defense under subparagraph (K) or (L)".

(c) REVISION OF REDEVELOPMENT PLANS.—Subparagraph (I) of such section is amended—

(1) in clause (i)(II), by inserting "the Secretary of Defense and" before "the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development"; and

(2) in clause (ii), by striking out "the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development" and inserting in lieu thereof "such Secretaries".

(d) DISPOSAL OF BUILDINGS AND PROPERTY.—(1) Subparagraph (K) of such section is amended to read as follows:

"(K)(i) Upon receipt of a notice under subparagraph (H)(iv) or (J)(ii) of the determination of the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development that a redevelopment plan for an installation meets the requirements set forth in subparagraph (H)(i), the Secretary of Defense shall dispose of the buildings and property at the installation.

"(ii) For purposes of carrying out an environmental assessment of the closure or realignment of an installation, the Secretary of Defense shall treat the redevelopment plan for the installation (including the aspects of the plan providing for disposal to State or local governments, representatives of the homeless, and other interested parties) as part of the proposed Federal action for the installation.

"(iii) The Secretary of Defense shall dispose of buildings and property under clause (i) in accordance with the record of decision or other decision document prepared by the Secretary in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4331 et seq.). In preparing the record of decision or other decision document, the Secretary shall give substantial deference to the redevelopment plan concerned.

"(iv) The disposal under clause (i) of buildings and property to assist the homeless shall be without consideration.

"(v) In the case of a request for a conveyance under clause (i) of buildings and property for public benefit under section 203(k) of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (40 U.S.C. 484(k)) or sections 47151 through 47153 of title 49, United States Code, the sponsoring Federal agency shall use the eligibility criteria set forth in such section or such subchapter (as the case may be) to determine the eligibility of the applicant and use proposed in the request for the public benefit conveyance. The determination of such eligibility should be made before submission of the redevelopment plan concerned under subparagraph (G).".

(2) Subparagraph (L) of such section is amended by striking out clauses (iii) and (iv) and inserting in lieu thereof the following new clauses (iii) and (iv):

"(iii) Not later than 90 days after the date of the receipt of a revised plan for an installation under subparagraph (J), the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development shall—

"(I) notify the Secretary of Defense and the redevelopment authority concerned of the buildings and property at an installation under clause (i)(IV) that the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development determines are suitable for use to assist the homeless; and

"(II) notify the Secretary of Defense of the extent to which the revised plan meets the criteria set forth in subparagraph (H)(i).

"(iv)(I) Upon notice from the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development with respect to an installation under clause (iii), the Secretary of Defense shall dispose of buildings and property at the installation in consultation with the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development and the redevelopment authority concerned.

"(II) For purposes of carrying out an environmental assessment of the closure or realignment of an installation, the Secretary of Defense shall treat the redevelopment plan submitted by the redevelopment authority for the installation (including the aspects of the plan providing for disposal to State or local governments, representatives of the homeless, and other interested parties) as part of the proposed Federal action for the installation. The Secretary of Defense shall incorporate the notification of the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development under clause (iii)(I) as part of the proposed Federal action for the installation only to the extent, if any, that the Secretary of Defense considers such incorporation to be appropriate and consistent with the best and highest use of the installation as a whole, taking into consideration the redevelopment plan submitted by the redevelopment authority.

"(III) The Secretary of Defense shall dispose of buildings and property under subclause (I) in accordance with the record of decision or other decision document prepared by the Secretary in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4331 et seq.). In preparing the record of decision or other decision document, the Secretary shall give deference to the redevelopment plan submitted by the redevelopment authority for the installation.

"(IV) The disposal under subclause (I) of buildings and property to assist the homeless shall be without consideration.

"(V) In the case of a request for a conveyance under subclause (I) of buildings and property for public benefit under section 203(k) of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (40 U.S.C. 484(k)) or sections 47151 through 47153 of title 49, United States Code, the sponsoring Federal agency shall use the eligibility criteria set forth in such section or such subchapter (as the case may be) to determine the eligibility of the applicant and use proposed in the request for the public benefit conveyance. The determination of such eligibility should be made before submission of the redevelopment plan concerned under subparagraph (G).".

(e) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Subparagraph (M)(i) of such section is amended by inserting "or (L)" after "subparagraph (K)".

(f) CLARIFICATION OF PARTICIPANTS IN PROCESS.—Such section is further amended by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

"(P) For purposes of this paragraph, the term 'other interested parties', in the case of an installation, includes any parties eligible for the conveyance of property of the installation under section 203(k) of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (40 U.S.C. 484(k)) or sections 47151 through 47153 of title 49, United States Code, whether or not the parties assist the homeless.".

**SEC. 2839. AGREEMENTS FOR CERTAIN SERVICES AT INSTALLATIONS BEING CLOSED.**

(a) 1988 LAW.—Section 204(b)(8) of the Defense Authorization Amendments and Base Closure and Realignment Act (Public Law 100–526; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note) is amended by striking out subparagraph (A) and inserting in lieu thereof the following new subparagraph:

"(A) Subject to subparagraph (C), the Secretary may enter into agreements (including contracts, cooperative agreements, or other arrangements for reimbursement) with local governments for the provision of police or security services, fire protection services, airfield operation services, or other community services by such governments at military installations to be closed under this title if the Secretary determines that the provision of such services under such agreements is in the best interests of the Department of Defense.".

(b) 1990 LAW.—Section 2905(b)(8) of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (part A of title XXIX of Public Law 101–510; 10 U.S.C. 2867 note) is amended by striking out subparagraph (A) and inserting in lieu thereof the following new subparagraph:

"(A) Subject to subparagraph (C), the Secretary may enter into agreements (including contracts, cooperative agreements, or other arrangements for reimbursement) with local governments for the provision of police or security services, fire protection services, airfield operation services, or other community services by such governments at military installations to be closed under this part if the Secretary determines that the provision of such services under such agreements is in the best interests of the Department of Defense.".

**SEC. 2840. AUTHORITY TO TRANSFER PROPERTY AT MILITARY INSTALLATIONS TO BE CLOSED TO PERSONS WHO CONSTRUCT OR PROVIDE MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING.**

(a) 1988 LAW.—Section 204 of the Defense Authorization Amendments and Base Closure and Realignment Act (Public Law 100–526; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note) is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(e) TRANSFER AUTHORITY IN CONNECTION WITH CONSTRUCTION OR PROVISION OF MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING.—(1) Subject to paragraph (2), the Secretary may enter into an agreement to transfer by deed real property or facilities located at or near an installation closed or to be closed under this title with any person who agrees, in exchange for the real property or facilities, to transfer to the Secretary housing units that are constructed or provided by the person and located at or near a military installation at which there is a shortage of suitable housing to meet the requirements of members of the Armed Forces and their dependents. The Secretary may not select real property for transfer under this paragraph if the property is identified in the redevelopment plan for the installation as items essential to the reuse or redevelopment of the installation.

"(2) A transfer of real property or facilities may be made under paragraph (1) only if—

"(A) the fair market value of the housing units to be received by the Secretary in exchange for the property or facilities to be transferred is equal to or greater than the fair market value of such property or facilities, as determined by the Secretary; or

"(B) in the event the fair market value of the housing units is less than the fair market value of property or facilities to be transferred, the recipient of the property or facilities agrees to pay to the Secretary the amount equal to the excess of the fair market value of the property or facilities over the fair market value of the housing units.

"(3) Notwithstanding section 207(a)(7), the Secretary may deposit funds received under paragraph (2)(B) in the Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund established under section 2873(a) of title 10, United States Code.

"(4) The Secretary shall submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a report describing each agreement proposed to be entered into under paragraph (1), including the consideration to be received by the United States under the agreement. The Secretary may not enter into the agreement until the end of the 21-day period beginning on the date the appropriate committees of Congress receive the report regarding the agreement.

"(5) The Secretary may require any additional terms and conditions in connection with an agreement authorized by this subsection as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.".

(b) 1990 LAW.—Section 2905 of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (part A of title XXIX of Public Law 101–510; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note) is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(f) TRANSFER AUTHORITY IN CONNECTION WITH CONSTRUCTION OR PROVISION OF MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING.—(1) Subject to paragraph (2), the Secretary may enter into an agreement to transfer by deed real property or facilities located at or near an installation closed or to be closed under this part with any person who agrees, in exchange for the real property or facilities, to transfer to the Secretary housing units that are constructed or provided by the person and located at or near a military installation at which there is a shortage of suitable housing to meet the requirements of members of the Armed Forces and their dependents. The Secretary may not select real property for transfer under this paragraph if the property is identified in the redevelopment plan for the installation as property essential to the reuse or redevelopment of the installation.

"(2) A transfer of real property or facilities may be made under paragraph (1) only if—

"(A) the fair market value of the housing units to be received by the Secretary in exchange for the property or facilities to be transferred is equal to or greater than the fair market value of such property or facilities, as determined by the Secretary; or

"(B) in the event the fair market value of the housing units is less than the fair market value of property or facilities to be transferred, the recipient of the property or facilities agrees to pay to the Secretary the amount equal to the excess of the fair market value of the property or facilities over the fair market value of the housing units.

"(3) Notwithstanding paragraph (2) of section 2906(a), the Secretary may deposit funds received under paragraph (2)(B) in the Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund established under section 2873(a) of title 10, United States Code.

"(4) The Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report describing each agreement proposed to be entered into under paragraph (1), including the consideration to be received by the United States under the agreement. The Secretary may not enter into the agreement until the end of the 30-day period beginning on the date the congressional defense committees receive the report regarding the agreement.

"(5) The Secretary may require any additional terms and conditions in connection with an agreement authorized by this subsection as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.".

(c) REGULATIONS.—Not later than nine months after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall prescribe any regulations necessary to carry out subsection (e) of section 204 of the Defense Authorization Amendments and Base Closure and Realignment Act (Public Law 100–526; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note), as added by subsection (a), and subsection (f) of section 2905 of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (part A of title XXIX of Public Law 101–510; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note), as added by subsection (b).

**SEC. 2841. USE OF SINGLE BASE CLOSURE AUTHORITIES FOR DISPOSAL OF PROPERTY AND FACILITIES AT FORT HOLABIRD, MARYLAND.**

(a) CONSOLIDATION OF BASE CLOSURE AUTHORITIES.—In the case of the property and facilities at Fort Holabird, Maryland, described in subsection (b), the Secretary of Defense shall dispose of such property and facilities in accordance with section 2905(b)(7) of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (part A of title XXIX of Public Law 101–510; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note), as amended by section 2838 of this Act.

(b) COVERED PROPERTY AND FACILITIES.—Subsection (a) applies to the following property and facilities at Fort Holabird, Maryland:

(1) Property and facilities that were approved for closure or realignment under title II of the Defense Authorization Amendments and Base Closure and Realignment Act (Public Law 100–526; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note), but have not been disposed of as of the date of the enactment of this Act, including buildings 305 and 306 and the parking lots and other property associated with such buildings.

(2) Property and facilities that were approved in 1995 for closure or realignment under the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (part A of title XXIX of Public Law 101–510; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note).

(c) USE OF SURVEYS AND OTHER EVALUATIONS OF PROPERTY.—In carrying out the disposal of the property and facilities referred to in subsection (b)(1), the Secretary shall utilize any surveys and other evaluations of such property and facilities that were prepared by the Corps of Engineers before the date of the enactment of this Act as part of the process for the disposal of such property and facilities.

**Subtitle D—Land Conveyances Generally**

**PART I—ARMY CONVEYANCES**

**SEC. 2851. TRANSFER OF JURISDICTION, FORT SAM HOUSTON, TEXAS.**

(a) TRANSFER OF LAND FOR NATIONAL CEMETERY.—The Secretary of the Army may transfer, without reimbursement, to the administrative jurisdiction of the Secretary of Veterans Affairs a parcel of real property (including any improvements thereon) consisting of approximately 53 acres and comprising a portion of Fort Sam Houston, Texas.

(b) USE OF LAND.—The Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall use the real property transferred under subsection (a) as a national cemetery under chapter 24 of title 38, United States Code.

(c) LEGAL DESCRIPTION.—The exact acreage and legal description of the real property to be transferred under this section shall be determined by a survey satisfactory to the Secretary of the Army. The cost of the survey shall be borne by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs.

(d) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The Secretary of the Army may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with the transfer under this section as the Secretary of the Army considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

**SEC. 2852. TRANSFER OF JURISDICTION, FORT BLISS, TEXAS.**

(a) TRANSFER OF LAND FOR NATIONAL CEMETERY.—The Secretary of the Army may transfer, without reimbursement, to the administrative jurisdiction of the Secretary of Veterans Affairs a parcel of real property (including any improvements thereon) consisting of approximately 22 acres and comprising a portion of Fort Bliss, Texas.

(b) USE OF LAND.—The Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall use the real property transferred under subsection (a) as an addition to the Fort Bliss National Cemetery and administer such real property pursuant to chapter 24 of title 38, United States Code.

(c) LEGAL DESCRIPTION.—The exact acreage and legal description of the real property to be transferred under this section shall be determined by a survey satisfactory to the Secretary of the Army. The cost of the survey shall be borne by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs.

(d) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The Secretary of the Army may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with the transfer under this section as the Secretary of the Army considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

**SEC. 2853. TRANSFER OF JURISDICTION AND LAND CONVEYANCE, FORT DEVENS MILITARY RESERVATION, MASSACHUSETTS.**

(a) TRANSFER OF LAND FOR WILDLIFE REFUGE.—Subject to subsections (b) and (c), the Secretary of the Army shall transfer, without reimbursement, to the administrative jurisdiction of the Secretary of the Interior that portion of Fort Devens Military Reservation, Massachusetts, that is situated south of Massachusetts State Route 2, for inclusion in the Oxbow National Wildlife Refuge.

(b) LAND CONVEYANCE.—Subject to subsection (c), the Secretary of the Army shall convey to the Town of Lancaster, Massachusetts (in this section referred to as the "Town"), all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to a parcel of real property consisting of approximately 100 acres of the parcel available for transfer under subsection (a) and located adjacent to Massachusetts State Highway 70.

(c) REQUIREMENTS RELATING TO TRANSFER AND CONVEYANCE.—(1) The transfer under subsection (a) and the conveyance under subsection (b) may not be made unless the property to be transferred and conveyed is determined to be excess to the needs of the Department of Defense.

(2) The transfer and conveyance shall be made as soon as practicable after the date on which the property is determined to be excess to the needs of the Department of Defense.

(d) LEGAL DESCRIPTION.—(1) The exact acreage and legal description of the real property to be transferred under subsection (a) shall be determined by a survey mutually satisfactory to the Secretary of the Army and the Secretary of the Interior. The cost of the survey shall be borne by the Secretary of the Interior.

(2) The exact acreage and legal description of the real property to be conveyed under subsection (b) shall be determined by a survey mutually satisfactory to the Secretary of the Army, the Secretary of the Interior, and the Board of Selectmen of the Town. The cost of the survey shall be borne by the Town.

(e) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The Secretary of the Army may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with the transfer under subsection (a) and the conveyance under subsection (b) as the Secretary of the Army considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

**SEC. 2854. MODIFICATION OF LAND CONVEYANCE, FORT BELVOIR, VIRGINIA.**

(a) DESIGNATION OF RECIPIENT.—Subsection (a) of section 2821 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 1990 and 1991 (division B of Public Law 101–189; 103 Stat. 1658) is amended by striking out "any grantee selected in accordance with subsection (e)" and inserting in lieu thereof "the County of Fairfax, Virginia (in this section referred to as the 'grantee'),".

(b) CONSIDERATION.—Subsection (b)(1) of such section is amended by striking out subparagraph (B) and inserting in lieu thereof the following new subparagraph:

"(B) grant title, free of liens and other encumbrances, to the Department to such facilities and, if not already owned by the Department, to the underlying land; and".

(c) CONTENT OF AGREEMENT.—Subsection (c) of such section is amended to read as follows:

"(c) CONTENT OF AGREEMENT.—An agreement entered into under this section shall include the following:

"(1) A requirement that the grantee construct facilities and make infrastructure improvements for the Department of the Army that the Secretary determines are necessary for the Department at Fort Belvoir and at other sites at which activities will be relocated as a result of the conveyance made under this section.

"(2) A requirement that the construction of facilities and infrastructure improvements referred to in paragraph (1) be carried out in accordance with plans and specifications approved by the Secretary.

"(3) A requirement that the Secretary retain a lien or other security interest against the property conveyed to the grantee in the amount of the fair market value of the property, as determined under subsection (b)(2). The agreement will specify the terms for releasing the lien or other security interest, in whole or in part. In the event of default by the County on its obligations under the terms of the agreement, the Secretary shall enforce the lien or security interest. The proceeds obtained through enforcing the lien or security interest may be used by the Secretary to construct facilities and make infrastructure improvements in lieu of those provided for in the agreement.".

(d) SURVEYS.—Subsection (g) of such section is amended by striking out the last sentence and inserting in lieu thereof the following: "The grantee shall be responsible for completing any such survey without cost to the United States.".

(e) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Such section is further amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by striking out "Subject to subsections (b) through (h), the" and inserting in lieu thereof "The";

(2) in subsection (b)(1), by striking out "subsection (c)(1)(D)" both places it appears and inserting in lieu thereof "subsection (c)(1)(A)";

(3) by striking out subsections (e) and (f); and

(4) by redesignating subsections (g) and (h) as subsections (e) and (f), respectively.

**SEC. 2855. LAND EXCHANGE, FORT LEWIS, WASHINGTON.**

(a) CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary of the Army may convey to Weyerhaeuser Real Estate Company, Tacoma, Washington (in this section referred to as "WRECO"), all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to a parcel of real property at Fort Lewis, Washington, known as an unimproved portion of Tract 1000 (formerly being in the DuPont Steilacoom Road, consisting of approximately 1.23 acres), and Tract 26E (consisting of 0.03 acre).

(b) CONSIDERATION.—As consideration for the conveyance authorized by subsection (a), WRECO shall convey or cause to be conveyed to the United States, by warranty deed acceptable to the Secretary, a 0.39 acre parcel of real property located adjacent to Fort Lewis, Washington, together with other consideration acceptable to the Secretary. The total consideration conveyed to the United States shall not be less than the fair market value of the land conveyed under subsection (a).

(c) DETERMINATION OF FAIR MARKET VALUE.—The determinations of the Secretary regarding the fair market values of the parcels of real property and improvements to be conveyed pursuant to subsections (a) and (b) shall be final.

(d) DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY.—The exact acreage and legal description of the parcels of real property to be conveyed pursuant to subsections (a) and (b) shall be determined by a survey satisfactory to the Secretary. The cost of the survey shall be borne by WRECO.

(e) EFFECT ON EXISTING REVERSIONARY INTEREST.—The Secretary may enter into an agreement with the appropriate officials of Pierce County, Washington, under which—

(1) the existing reversionary interest of Pierce County in the lands to be conveyed by the United States under subsection (a) is extinguished; and

(2) the conveyance to the United States under subsection (b) is made subject to a similar reversionary interest in favor of Pierce County in the lands conveyed under such subsection.

(f) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The Secretary may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with the conveyances under this section as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

**SEC. 2856. LAND EXCHANGE, ARMY RESERVE CENTER, GAINESVILLE, GEORGIA.**

(a) LAND EXCHANGE AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary of the Army may convey to the City of Gainesville, Georgia (in this section referred to as the "City"), all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to a parcel of real property, together with any improvements thereon, consisting of approximately 4.2 acres and located on Shallowford Road in Gainesville, Georgia, the site of the Army Reserve Center, Gainesville, Georgia.

(b) CONSIDERATION.—As consideration for the conveyance authorized by subsection (a), the City shall—

(1) convey to the United States all right, title, and interest in and to a parcel of real property consisting of approximately 8 acres located in the Atlas Industrial Park, Gainesville, Georgia, that is acceptable to the Secretary;

(2) design and construct on such real property suitable facilities (as determined by the Secretary) for training activities of the Army Reserve to replace facilities conveyed under subsection (a);

(3) carry out, at cost to the City, any environmental assessments and any other studies, analyses, and assessments that may be required under Federal law in connection with the land conveyances under subsection (a) and paragraph (1) and the construction under paragraph (2);

(4) pay the Secretary the amount (as determined by the Secretary) equal to the cost of relocating Army Reserve units from the real property to be conveyed under subsection (a) to the replacement facilities to be constructed under paragraph (2); and

(5) if the fair market value of the real property conveyed by the Secretary under subsection (a) exceeds the fair market value of the consideration provided by the City under paragraphs (1) through (4), pay the United States the amount equal to the amount of such excess.

(c) DETERMINATION OF FAIR MARKET VALUE.—The Secretary shall determine the fair market value of the real property to be conveyed under subsection (a) and of the consideration to be furnished by the City under subsection (b). Such determination shall be final.

(d) DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY.—The exact acreage and legal description of the parcels of real property to be conveyed under subsections (a) and (b) shall be determined by a survey satisfactory to the Secretary. The cost of the survey shall be borne by the City.

(e) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The Secretary may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with the conveyances authorized by this section as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

**SEC. 2857. LAND CONVEYANCE, HOLSTON ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT, MOUNT CARMEL, TENNESSEE.**

(a) CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary of the Army may convey, without reimbursement, to the City of Mount Carmel, Tennessee (in this section referred to as the "City"), all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to a parcel of real property, including improvements thereon, consisting of approximately 6.5 acres located at Holston Army Ammunition Plant, Tennessee. The property is located adjacent to the Mount Carmel Cemetery and is intended for expansion of the cemetery.

(b) DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY.—The exact acreage and legal description of the real property to be conveyed under subsection (a) shall be determined by a survey satisfactory to the Secretary. The cost of the survey shall be borne by the City.

(c) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The Secretary may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with the conveyance under subsection (a) as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

**SEC. 2858. LAND CONVEYANCE, INDIANA ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT, CHARLESTOWN, INDIANA.**

(a) CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary of the Army may convey, without consideration, to the State of Indiana (in this section referred to as the "State"), all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to a parcel of real property, including any improvements thereon, that consists of approximately 1125 acres at the inactivated Indiana Army Ammunition Plant in Charlestown, Indiana, and is the subject of a 25-year lease between the Secretary and the State.

(b) CONDITION OF CONVEYANCE.—The conveyance authorized under subsection (a) shall be subject to the condition that the State use the conveyed property for recreational purposes.

(c) DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY.—The exact acreage and legal description of the real property to be conveyed under subsection (a) shall be determined by a survey satisfactory to the Secretary. The cost of the survey shall be borne by the State.

(d) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The Secretary may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with the conveyance under subsection (a) as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

**SEC. 2859. LAND CONVEYANCE, FORT ORD, CALIFORNIA.**

(a) CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary of the Army may convey to the City of Seaside, California (in this section referred to as the "City"), all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to a parcel of real property (including improvements thereon) consisting of approximately 477 acres located in Monterey County, California, and comprising a portion of the former Fort Ord Military Complex. The real property to be conveyed to the City includes the two Fort Ord Golf Courses, Black Horse and Bayonet, and a portion of the Hayes Housing Facilities.

(b) CONSIDERATION.—As consideration for the conveyance of the real property and improvements under subsection (a), the City shall pay to the United States an amount equal to the fair market value of the property to be conveyed, as determined by the Secretary.

(c) USE AND DEPOSIT OF PROCEEDS.—(1) From the funds paid by the City under subsection (b), the Secretary shall deposit in the Morale, Welfare, and Recreation Fund Account of the Department of the Army such amounts as may be necessary to cover morale, welfare, and recreation activities at Army installations in the general vicinity of Fort Ord during fiscal years 1996 through 2000. The amount deposited by the Secretary into the Account shall not exceed the fair market value, as established under subsection (b), of the two Fort Ord Golf Courses conveyed under subsection (a). The Secretary shall notify Congress of the amount to be deposited not later than 90 days after the date of the conveyance.

(2) The Secretary shall deposit the balance of any funds paid by the City under subsection (b), after deducting the amount deposited under paragraph (1), in the Department of Defense Base Closure Account 1990.

(d) DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY.—The exact acreage and legal description of the real property to be conveyed under subsection (a) shall be determined by a survey mutually satisfactory to the Secretary and the City. The cost of the survey shall be borne by the City.

(e) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The Secretary may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with the conveyance under this section as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

**SEC. 2860. LAND CONVEYANCE, PARKS RESERVE FORCES TRAINING AREA, DUBLIN, CALIFORNIA.**

(a) CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—(1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), the Secretary of the Army may convey to the County of Alameda, California (in this section referred to as the "County"), all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to a parcel of real property, including improvements thereon, consisting of approximately 42 acres located at Parks Reserve Forces Training Area, Dublin, California.

(2) The conveyance authorized by this section shall not include any oil, gas, or mineral interest of the United States in the real property to be conveyed.

(b) CONSIDERATION.—(1) As consideration for the conveyance under subsection (a)(1), the County shall provide the Army with the following services at the portion of Parks Reserve Forces Training Area retained by the Army:

(A) Relocation of the main gate of the retained Training Area from Dougherty Road to Dublin Boulevard across from the Bay Area Rapid Transit District East Dublin station, including the closure of the existing main gate on Dougherty Road, construction of a security facility, and construction of a roadway from the new entrance to Fifth Street.

(B) Enclosing and landscaping of the southern boundary of the retained Training Area installation located northerly of Dublin Boulevard.

(C) Enclosing and landscaping of the eastern boundary of the retained Training Area from Dublin Boulevard to Gleason Drive.

(D) Resurfacing of roadways within the retained Training Area.

(E) Provision of such other services in connection with the retained Training Area, including relocation or reconstruction of water lines, relocation or reconstruction of sewer lines, construction of drainage improvements, and construction of buildings, as the Secretary and the County may determine to be appropriate.

(F) Provision for and funding of any environmental mitigation that is necessary as a result of a change in use of the conveyed property by the County.

(2) The detailed specifications for the services to be provided under paragraph (1) may be determined and approved on behalf of the Secretary by the Commander of Parks Reserve Forces Training Area. The preparation costs of such specifications shall be borne by the County.

(3) The fair market value of improvements and services received by the United States from the County under paragraph (1) must be equal to or exceed the appraised fair market value of the real property to be conveyed under subsection (a)(1). The appraisal of the fair market value of the property shall be subject to the Secretary's review and approval.

(c) DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY.—The exact acreage and legal description of the real property to be conveyed under subsection (a)(1) shall be determined by a survey satisfactory to the Secretary. The cost of the survey shall be borne by the County.

(d) TIME FOR TRANSFER OF TITLE.—The transfer of title to the County under subsection (a)(1) may be executed by the Secretary only upon the satisfactory guarantee by the County of completion of the services to be provided under subsection (b).

(e) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The Secretary may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with the conveyance under subsection (a)(1) as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

**SEC. 2861. LAND CONVEYANCE, ARMY RESERVE CENTER, YOUNGSTOWN, OHIO.**

(a) CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary of the Army may convey, without consideration, to the City of Youngstown, Ohio (in this section referred to as the "City"), all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to a parcel of excess real property, including improvements thereon, that is located at 399 Miller Street in Youngstown, Ohio, and contains the Kefurt Army Reserve Center.

(b) CONDITION OF CONVEYANCE.—The conveyance authorized under subsection (a) shall be subject to the condition that the City retain the conveyed property for the use and benefit of the Youngstown Fire Department.

(c) DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY.—The exact acreage and legal description of the real property to be conveyed under subsection (a) shall be determined by a survey satisfactory to the Secretary. The cost of the survey shall be borne by the City.

(d) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The Secretary may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with the conveyance under subsection (a) as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

**SEC. 2862. LAND CONVEYANCE, ARMY RESERVE PROPERTY, FORT SHERIDAN, ILLINOIS.**

(a) CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—Subject to subsection (b), the Secretary of the Army may convey to any transferee selected under subsection (g) all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to a parcel of real property (including improvements thereon) at Fort Sheridan, Illinois, consisting of approximately 114 acres and comprising an Army Reserve area.

(b) REQUIREMENT FOR FEDERAL SCREENING OF PROPERTY.—The Secretary may not carry out the conveyance of property authorized by subsection (a) unless the Secretary determines that no department or agency of the Federal Government will accept the transfer of the property.

(c) CONSIDERATION.—(1) As consideration for the conveyance under subsection (a), the transferee selected under subsection (g) shall—

(A) convey to the United States a parcel of real property that meets the requirements of subsection (d);

(B) design for and construct on the property conveyed under subparagraph (A) such facilities (including support facilities and infrastructure) to replace the facilities conveyed pursuant to the authority in subsection (a) as the Secretary considers appropriate; and

(C) pay the cost of relocating Army personnel in the facilities located on the real property conveyed pursuant to the authority in subsection (a) to the facilities constructed under subparagraph (B).

(2) The Secretary shall ensure that the fair market value of the consideration provided by the transferee under paragraph (1) is not less than the fair market value of the real property conveyed by the Secretary under subsection (a).

(d) REQUIREMENTS RELATING TO PROPERTY TO BE CONVEYED TO UNITED STATES.—The real property conveyed to the United States under subsection (c)(1)(A) by the transferee selected under subsection (g) shall—

(1) be located not more than 25 miles from Fort Sheridan;

(2) be located in a neighborhood or area having social and economic conditions similar to the social and economic conditions of the area in which Fort Sheridan is located; and

(3) be acceptable to the Secretary.

(e) INTERIM RELOCATION OF ARMY PERSONNEL.—Pending completion of the construction of all the facilities proposed to be constructed under subsection (c)(1)(B) by the transferee selected under subsection (g), the Secretary may relocate Army personnel in the facilities located on the property to be conveyed pursuant to the authority in subsection (a) to the facilities that have been constructed by the transferee under such subsection (c)(1)(B).

(f) DETERMINATION OF FAIR MARKET VALUE.—The Secretary shall determine the fair market value of the real property to be conveyed under subsection (a) and of the consideration to be provided under subsection (c)(1). Such determination shall be final.

(g) SELECTION OF TRANSFEREE.—(1) The Secretary shall use competitive procedures for the selection of a transferee under subsection (a).

(2) In evaluating the offers of prospective transferees, the Secretary shall—

(A) consider such criteria as the Secretary considers to be appropriate to determine whether prospective transferees will be able to satisfy the consideration requirements specified in subsection (c)(1); and

(B) consult with the communities and jurisdictions in the vicinity of Fort Sheridan (including the City of Lake Forest, the City of Highwood, and the City of Highland Park and the County of Lake, Illinois) in order to determine the most appropriate use of the property to be conveyed.

(h) DESCRIPTIONS OF PROPERTY.—The exact acreage and legal descriptions of the real property to be conveyed by the Secretary under subsection (a) and the real property to be conveyed under subsection (c)(1)(A) shall be determined by a survey satisfactory to the Secretary. The cost of the survey shall be borne by the transferee selected under subsection (g).

(i) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The Secretary may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with the conveyances under this section as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

**SEC. 2863. LAND CONVEYANCE, PROPERTY UNDERLYING CUMMINS APARTMENT COMPLEX, FORT HOLABIRD, MARYLAND.**

(a) CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary of the Army may convey to the existing owner of the improvements thereon all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to a parcel of real property underlying the Cummins Apartment Complex at Fort Holabird, Maryland, that consists of approximately 6 acres, and any interest the United States may have in the improvements thereon.

(b) CONSIDERATION.—As consideration for the conveyance under subsection (a), the owner of the improvements referred to in that subsection shall provide compensation to the United States in an amount equal to the fair market value (as determined by the Secretary) of the property interest to be conveyed.

(c) DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY.—The exact acreage and legal description of the real property to be conveyed under subsection (a) shall be determined by a survey that is satisfactory to the Secretary.

(d) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The Secretary may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with the conveyance under subsection (a) as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

**SEC. 2864. MODIFICATION OF EXISTING LAND CONVEYANCE, ARMY PROPERTY, HAMILTON AIR FORCE BASE, CALIFORNIA.**

(a) APPLICATION OF SECTION.—The authority provided in subsection (b) shall apply only in the event that the purchaser purchases only a portion of the Sale Parcel referred to in section 9099 of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 1993 (Public Law 102-396; 106 Stat. 1924) and exercises the purchaser's option to withdraw from the sale as to the rest of the Sale Parcel.

(b) CONVEYANCE AUTHORITY IN EVENT OF PARTIAL SALE.—The Secretary of the Army may convey to the City of Novato, California (in this section referred to as the "City")—

(1) that portion of the Sale Parcel (other than Landfill 26 and an appropriate buffer area around it and the groundwater treatment facility site) that is not purchased as provided in subsection (a); and

(2) any of the land referred to in subsection (e) of such section 9099 that is not purchased by the purchaser.

(c) CONSIDERATION AND CONDITIONS ON CONVEYANCE.—The conveyance under subsection (b) shall be made as a public benefit transfer to the City for the sum of One Dollar, subject to the condition that the conveyed property be used for school, classroom, or other educational purposes or as a public park or recreation area.

(d) SUBSEQUENT CONVEYANCE BY THE CITY.—(1) If, within 10 years after the conveyance under subsection (b), the City conveys all or any part of the conveyed property to a third party without the use restrictions specified in subsection (c), the City shall pay to the Secretary of the Army an amount equal to the proceeds received by the City from the conveyance, minus the demonstrated reasonable costs of making the conveyance and of any improvements made by the City to the property following its acquisition of the land (but only to the extent such improvements increase the value of the property conveyed). The Secretary of the Army shall deliver into the applicable closing escrow an acknowledgement of receipt of the proceeds and a release of the reverter right under subsection (e) as to the affected land, effective upon such receipt.

(2) Until one year after the completion of the cleanup of contaminated soil in the Landfill located on the Sale Parcel and completion of the groundwater treatment facilities, any conveyance by the City must be at a per-acre price for the portion sold that is at least equal to the per-acre contract price paid by the purchaser for the portion of the Sale Parcel purchased under the Agreement and Modification for the purchase of the Sale Parcel by the purchaser. Thereafter, any conveyance by the City must be at a price at least equal to the fair market value of the portion sold.

(3) This subsection shall not apply to a conveyance by the City to another public or quasi-public agency for public uses of the kind described in subsection (c).

(e) REVERSION.—If the Secretary of the Army determines that the City has failed to make a payment as required by subsection (d)(1) or that any portion of the conveyed property retained by the City or conveyed under subsection (d)(3) is not being utilized in accordance with subsection (c), title to the applicable portion of such property shall revert to the United States at the election of the Administrator of the General Services Administration.

(f) SPECIAL CONVEYANCE REGARDING BUILDING 138 PARCEL.—The Secretary of the Army may convey to the purchaser of the Sale Parcel the Building 138 parcel, which has been designated by the parties as Parcel A4. The per-acre price for the portion conveyed under this subsection shall be at least equal to the per-acre contract price paid by the purchaser for the portion of the Sale Parcel purchased under the Agreement and Modification, dated September 25, 1990, as amended.

## PART II—NAVY CONVEYANCES

**SEC. 2865. TRANSFER OF JURISDICTION, NAVAL WEAPONS INDUSTRIAL RESERVE PLANT, CALVERTON, NEW YORK.**

(a) TRANSFER AUTHORIZED.—Notwithstanding section 2854 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993 (division B of Public Law 102–484; 106 Stat. 2626), as amended by section 2823 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (division B of Public Law 103–337; 108 Stat. 3058), the Secretary of the Navy may transfer, without reimbursement, to the administrative jurisdiction of the Secretary of Veterans Affairs a parcel of real property consisting of approximately 150 acres located adjacent to the Calverton National Cemetery, Calverton, New York, and comprising a portion of the buffer zone of the Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant, Calverton, New York.

(b) USE OF PROPERTY.—The Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall use the real property transferred under subsection (a) as an addition to the Calverton National Cemetery and administer such real property pursuant to chapter 24 of title 38, United States Code.

(c) SURVEY.—The cost of any survey necessary for the transfer of jurisdiction of the real property described in subsection (a) from the Secretary of the Navy to the Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall be borne by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs.

(d) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The Secretary of the Navy may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with the transfer under this section as the Secretary of the Navy considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

**SEC. 2866. MODIFICATION OF LAND CONVEYANCE, NAVAL WEAPONS INDUSTRIAL RESERVE PLANT, CALVERTON, NEW YORK.**

(a) REMOVAL OF REVERSIONARY INTEREST; ADDITION OF LEASE AUTHORITY.—Subsection (c) of section 2833 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (division B of Public Law 103–337; 108 Stat. 3061) is amended to read as follows:

"(c) LEASE AUTHORITY.—Until such time as the real property described in subsection (a) is conveyed by deed, the Secretary may lease the property, along with improvements thereon, to the Community Development Agency in exchange for security services, fire protection services, and maintenance services provided by the Community Development Agency for the property.".

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Subsection (e) of such section is amended by striking out "subsection (a)" and inserting in lieu thereof "subsection (a) or a lease under subsection (c)".

**SEC. 2867. LAND CONVEYANCE ALTERNATIVE TO EXISTING LEASE AUTHORITY, NAVAL SUPPLY CENTER, OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA.**

Section 2834(b) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993 (division B of Public Law 102–484; 106 Stat. 2614), as amended by section 2833 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1994 (division B of Public Law 103–160; 107 Stat. 1896) and section 2821 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (division B of Public Law 103–337; 108 Stat. 3057), is further amended by adding at the end the following new paragraphs:

"(4) In lieu of entering into a lease under paragraph (1), or in place of an existing lease under that paragraph, the Secretary may convey, without consideration, the property described in that paragraph to the City of Oakland, California, the Port of Oakland, California, the City of Alameda, California, or the City of Richmond, California, under such terms and conditions as the Secretary considers appropriate.

"(5) The exact acreage and legal description of any property conveyed under paragraph (4) shall be determined by a survey satisfactory to the Secretary. The cost of each survey shall be borne by the recipient of the property.".

**SEC. 2868. LAND CONVEYANCE, NAVAL WEAPONS INDUSTRIAL RESERVE PLANT, MCGREGOR, TEXAS.**

(a) CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—(1) The Secretary of the Navy may convey, without consideration, to the City of McGregor, Texas (in this section referred to as the "City"), all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to a parcel of real property, including any improvements thereon, containing the Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant, McGregor, Texas.

(2) After screening the facilities, equipment, and fixtures (including special tooling and special test equipment) located on the parcel for other uses by the Department of the Navy, the Secretary may include in the conveyance under paragraph (1) any facilities, equipment, and fixtures on the parcel not to be so used if the Secretary determines that manufacturing activities requiring the use of such facilities, equipment, and fixtures are likely to continue or be reinstated on the parcel after conveyance under paragraph (1).

(b) LEASE AUTHORITY.—Until such time as the real property described in subsection (a)(1) is conveyed by deed, the Secretary may lease the property, along with improvements thereon, to the City in exchange for security services, fire protection services, and maintenance services provided by the City for the property.

(c) CONDITION OF CONVEYANCE.—The conveyance authorized under subsection (a) shall be subject to the condition that the City, directly or through an agreement with a public or private entity, use the conveyed property (or offer the conveyed property for use) for economic redevelopment to replace all or a part of the economic activity being lost at the parcel.

(d) DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY.—The exact acreage and legal description of the real property to be conveyed under subsection (a)(1) shall be determined by a survey satisfactory to the Secretary. The cost of the survey shall be borne by the City.

(e) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The Secretary may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with the conveyance under subsection (a) or a lease under subsection (b) as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

**SEC. 2869. LAND CONVEYANCE, NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER, MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE.**

(a) CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary of the Navy may convey to the Memphis and Shelby County Port Commission, Memphis, Tennessee (in this section referred to as the "Port"), all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to a parcel of real property (including any improvements thereon) consisting of approximately 26 acres that is located at the Carderock Division, Naval Surface Warfare Center, Memphis Detachment, Presidents Island, Memphis, Tennessee.

(b) CONSIDERATION.—As consideration for the conveyance of real property under subsection (a), the Port shall—

(1) grant to the United States a restrictive easement in and to a parcel of real property consisting of approximately 100 acres that is adjacent to the Memphis Detachment, Presidents Island, Memphis, Tennessee; and

(2) if the fair market value of the easement granted under paragraph (1) is less than the fair market value of the real property conveyed under subsection (a), provide the United States such additional consideration as the Secretary and the Port jointly determine appropriate so that the value of the consideration received by the United States under this subsection is equal to or greater than the fair market value of the real property conveyed under subsection (a).

(c) CONDITION OF CONVEYANCE.—The conveyance authorized by subsection (a) shall be carried out in accordance with the provisions of the Land Exchange Agreement between the United States and the Memphis and Shelby County Port Commission, Memphis, Tennessee.

(d) DETERMINATION OF FAIR MARKET VALUE.—The Secretary shall determine the fair market value of the real property to be conveyed under subsection (a) and of the easement to be granted under subsection (b)(1). Such determinations shall be final.

(e) USE OF PROCEEDS.—The Secretary shall deposit any proceeds received under subsection (b)(2) as consideration for the conveyance of real property authorized under subsection (a) in the special account established pursuant to section 204(h)(2) of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (40 U.S.C. 485(h)(2)).

(f) DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY.—The exact acreage and legal description of the real property to be conveyed under subsection (a) and the easement to be granted under subsection (b)(1) shall be determined by a survey satisfactory to the Secretary. The cost of the survey shall be borne by the Port.

(g) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The Secretary may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with the conveyance authorized by subsection (a) and the easement granted under subsection (b)(1) as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

**SEC. 2870. LAND CONVEYANCE, NAVY PROPERTY, FORT SHERIDAN, ILLINOIS.**

(a) CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—Subject to subsection (b), the Secretary of the Navy may convey to any transferee selected under subsection (i) all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to a parcel of real property (including any improvements thereon) at Fort Sheridan, Illinois, consisting of approximately 182 acres and comprising the Navy housing areas at Fort Sheridan.

(b) REQUIREMENT FOR FEDERAL SCREENING OF PROPERTY.—The Secretary may not carry out the conveyance of property authorized by subsection (a) unless the Secretary determines that no department or agency of the Federal Government will accept the transfer of the property.

(c) CONSIDERATION.—(1) As consideration for the conveyance under subsection (a), the transferee selected under subsection (i) shall—

(A) convey to the United States a parcel of real property that meets the requirements of subsection (d);

(B) design for and construct on the property conveyed under subparagraph (A) such housing facilities (including support facilities and infrastructure) to replace the housing facilities conveyed pursuant to the authority in subsection (a) as the Secretary considers appropriate;

(C) pay the cost of relocating members of the Armed Forces residing in the housing facilities located on the real property conveyed pursuant to the authority in subsection (a) to the housing facilities constructed under subparagraph (B);

(D) provide for the education of dependents of such members under subsection (e); and

(E) carry out such activities for the operation, maintenance, and improvement of the facilities constructed under subparagraph (B) as the Secretary and the transferee jointly determine appropriate.

(2) The Secretary shall ensure that the fair market value of the consideration provided by the transferee under paragraph (1) is not less than the fair market value of the property interest conveyed by the Secretary under subsection (a).

(d) REQUIREMENTS RELATING TO PROPERTY TO BE CONVEYED TO UNITED STATES.—The property interest conveyed to the United States under subsection (c)(1)(A) by the transferee selected under subsection (i) shall—

(1) be located not more than 25 miles from the Great Lakes Naval Training Center, Illinois;

(2) be located in a neighborhood or area having social and economic conditions similar to the social and economic conditions of the area in which Fort Sheridan is located; and

(3) be acceptable to the Secretary.

(e) EDUCATION OF DEPENDENTS OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES.—In providing for the education of dependents of members of the Armed Forces under subsection (c)(1)(D), the transferee selected under subsection (i) shall ensure that such dependents may enroll at the schools of one or more school districts in the vicinity of the real property conveyed to the United States under subsection (c)(1)(A) which schools and districts—

(1) meet such standards for schools and schools districts as the Secretary shall establish; and

(2) will continue to meet such standards after the enrollment of such dependents regardless of the receipt by such school districts of Federal impact aid.

(f) INTERIM RELOCATION OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES.—Pending completion of the construction of all the housing facilities proposed to be constructed under subsection (c)(1)(B) by the transferee selected under subsection (i), the Secretary may relocate—

(1) members of the Armed Forces residing in housing facilities located on the property to be conveyed pursuant to the authority in subsection (a) to the housing facilities that have been constructed by the transferee under such subsection (c)(1)(B); and

(2) other Government tenants located on such property to other facilities.

(g) APPLICABILITY OF CERTAIN AGREEMENTS.—The property conveyed by the Secretary pursuant to the authority in subsection (a) shall be subject to the Memorandum of Understanding concerning the Transfer of Certain Properties at Fort Sheridan, Illinois, dated August 8, 1991, between the Department of the Army and the Department of the Navy.

(h) DETERMINATION OF FAIR MARKET VALUE.—The Secretary shall determine the fair market value of the real property interest to be conveyed under subsection (a) and of the consideration to be provided under subsection (c)(1). Such determination shall be final.

(i) SELECTION OF TRANSFEREE.—(1) The Secretary shall use competitive procedures for the selection of a transferee under subsection (a).

(2) In evaluating the offers of prospective transferees, the Secretary shall—

(A) consider such criteria as the Secretary considers to be appropriate to determine whether prospective transferees will be able to satisfy the consideration requirements specified in subsection (c)(1); and

(B) consult with the communities and jurisdictions in the vicinity of Fort Sheridan (including the City of Lake Forest, the City of Highwood, and the City of Highland Park and the County of Lake, Illinois) in order to determine the most appropriate use of the property to be conveyed.

(j) DESCRIPTIONS OF PROPERTY.—The exact acreage and legal descriptions of the real property to be conveyed by the Secretary under subsection (a) and the real property to be conveyed under subsection (c)(1)(A) shall be determined by a survey satisfactory to the Secretary. The cost of the survey shall be borne by the transferee selected under subsection (i).

(k) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The Secretary may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with the conveyances under this section as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

**SEC. 2871. LAND CONVEYANCE, NAVAL COMMUNICATIONS STATION, STOCKTON, CALIFORNIA.**

(a) CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—Subject to subsection (b), the Secretrary of the Navy may convey to the Port of Stockton, California (in this section referred to as the "Port"), all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to a parcel of real property, including any improvements thereon, consisting of approximately 1,450 acres at the Naval Communication Station, Stockton, California.

(b) REQUIREMENT FOR FEDERAL SCREENING OF PROPERTY.—The Secretary may not carry out the conveyance of property authorized by subsection (a) unless the Secretary determines that no department or agency of the Federal Government will accept the transfer of the property.

(c) INTERIM LEASE.—Until such time as the real property described in subsection (a) is conveyed by deed, the Secretary may lease the property, along with improvements thereon, to the Port under terms and conditions satisfactory to the Secretary.

(d) CONSIDERATION.—The conveyance may be made as a public benefit conveyance for port development as defined in section 203 of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (40 U.S.C. 484) if the Port satisfies the criteria in such section and the regulations prescribed to implement such section. If the Port fails to qualify for a public benefit conveyance and still desires to acquire the property, the Port shall pay to the United States an amount equal to the fair market value of the property to be conveyed, as determined by the Secretary.

(e) FEDERAL LEASE OF CONVEYED PROPERTY.—As a condition for transfer of this property under subparagraph (a), the Secretary may require that the Port lease to the Department of Defense or any other Federal agency all or any part of the property being used by the Federal Government at the time of conveyance. Any such lease shall be made under the same terms and conditions as in force at the time of the conveyance. Such terms and conditions will continue to include payment to the Port for maintenance of facilities leased to the Federal Government. Such maintenance of the Federal premises shall be to the reasonable satisfaction of the United States, or as required by all applicable Federal, State, and local laws and ordinances.

(f) DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY.—The exact acreage and legal description of the property to be conveyed under subsection (a) shall be determined by a survey satisfactory to the Secretary. The cost of the survey shall be borne by the Port.

(g) ADDITIONAL TERMS.—The Secretary may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with the conveyance under subsection (a) or the lease under subsection (c) as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

**SEC. 2872. LEASE OF PROPERTY, NAVAL AIR STATION AND MARINE CORPS AIR STATION, MIRAMAR, CALIFORNIA.**

(a) LEASE AUTHORIZED.—Notwithstanding section 2692(a)(1) of title 10, United States Code, the Secretary of the Navy may lease to the City of San Diego, California (in this subsection referred to as the "City"), the parcel of real property, including improvements thereon, described in subsection (b) in order to permit the City to carry out activities on the parcel relating to solid waste management, including the operation and maintenance of one or more solid waste landfills. Pursuant to the lease, the Secretary may authorize the City to construct and operate on the parcel facilities related to solid waste management, including a sludge processing facility.

(b) COVERED PROPERTY.—The parcel of property to be leased under subsection (a) is a parcel of real property consisting of approximately 1,400 acres that is located at Naval Air Station, Miramar, California, or Marine Corps Air Station, Miramar, California.

(c) LEASE TERM.—The lease authorized under subsection (a) shall be for an initial term of not more than 50 years. Under the lease, the Secretary may provide the City with an option to extend the lease for such number of additional periods of such length as the Secretary considers appropriate.

(d) FORM OF CONSIDERATION.—The Secretary may provide in the lease under subsection (a) for the provision by the City of in-kind consideration under the lease.

(e) USE OF MONEY RENTALS.—In such amounts as are provided in advance in appropriation Acts, the Secretary may use money rentals received by the Secretary under the lease authorized under subsection (a) to carry out the following programs at Department of the Navy installations that utilize the solid waste landfill or landfills located on the leased property:

(1) Environmental programs, including natural resource management programs, recycling programs, and pollution prevention programs.

(2) Programs to improve the quality of military life, including programs to improve military unaccompanied housing and military family housing.

(f) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The Secretary may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with the lease under subsection (a) as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

(g) DEFINITIONS.—In this section, the terms "sludge", "solid waste", and "solid waste management" have the meanings given such terms in paragraphs (26A), (27), and (28), respectively, of section 1004 of the Solid Waste Disposal Act (42 U.S.C. 6903).

**PART III—AIR FORCE CONVEYANCES**

**SEC. 2874. LAND ACQUISITION OR EXCHANGE, SHAW AIR FORCE BASE, SOUTH CAROLINA.**

(a) LAND ACQUISITION.—By means of an exchange of property, acceptance as a gift, or other means that do not require the use of appropriated funds, the Secretary of the Air Force may acquire all right, title, and interest in and to a parcel of real property (together with any improvements thereon) consisting of approximately 1,100 acres and located adjacent to the eastern end of Shaw Air Force Base, South Carolina, and extending to Stamey Livestock Road in Sumter County, South Carolina.

(b) LAND EXCHANGE AUTHORIZED.—For purposes of acquiring the real property described in subsection (a), the Secretary may participate in a land exchange and convey all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to a parcel of real property in the possession of the Air Force if—

(1) the Secretary determines that the land exchange is in the best interests of the Air Force; and

(2) the fair market value of the parcel to be conveyed by the Secretary does not exceed the fair market value of the parcel to be acquired by the Secretary.

(c) DETERMINATIONS OF FAIR MARKET VALUE.—The Secretary shall determine the fair market value of the parcels of real property to be exchanged, accepted, or otherwise acquired pursuant to subsection (a) and exchanged pursuant to subsection (b). Such determinations shall be final.

(d) REVERSION OF GIFT CONVEYANCE.—If the Secretary acquires the real property described in subsection (a) by way of gift, the Secretary may accept in the deed of conveyance terms or conditions that require that the land be reconveyed to the donor, or the heirs of the donor, if Shaw Air Force Base ceases operations and is closed.

(e) DESCRIPTIONS OF PROPERTY.—The exact acreage and legal descriptions of the parcels of real property to be to be exchanged, accepted, or otherwise acquired pursuant to subsection (a) and exchanged pursuant to subsection (b) shall be determined by a survey satisfactory to the Secretary.

(f) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The Secretary may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with the acquisition under subsection (a) or conveyance under subsection (b) as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

**SEC. 2875. LAND CONVEYANCE, ELMENDORF AIR FORCE BASE, ALASKA.**

(a) CONVEYANCE TO PRIVATE PERSON AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary of the Air Force may convey to such private person as the Secretary considers appropriate, all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to a parcel of real property consisting of approximately 31.69 acres that is located at Elmendorf Air Force Base, Alaska, and identified in land lease W-95-507-ENG-58.

(b) CONSIDERATION.—As consideration for the conveyance under subsection (a), the purchaser shall pay to the United States an amount equal to the fair market value of the real property to be conveyed, as determined by the Secretary. In determining the fair market value of the real property, the Secretary shall consider the property as encumbered by land lease W-95-507-ENG-58, with an expiration date of June 13, 2024.

(c) CONDITION OF CONVEYANCE.—The conveyance authorized by subsection (a) shall be subject to the condition that the purchaser of the property—

(1) permit the lease of the apartment complex located on the property by members of the Armed Forces stationed at Elmendorf Air Force Base and their dependents; and

(2) maintain the apartment complex in a condition suitable for such leases.

(d) DEPOSIT OF PROCEEDS.—The Secretary shall deposit the amount received from the purchaser under subsection (b) in the special account established under section 204(h)(2) of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (40 U.S.C. 485(h)(2)).

(e) DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY.—The exact acreage and legal description of the real property to be conveyed under subsection (a) shall be determined by a survey satisfactory to the Secretary. The cost of the survey shall be borne by the purchaser of the real property.

(f) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The Secretary may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with the conveyance under this section as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

**SEC. 2876. LAND CONVEYANCE, RADAR BOMB SCORING SITE, FORSYTH, MONTANA.**

(a) CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary of the Air Force may convey, without consideration, to the City of Forsyth, Montana (in this section referred to as the "City"), all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to the parcel of property (including any improvements thereon) consisting of approximately 58 acres located in Forsyth, Montana, which has served as a support complex and recreational facilities for the Radar Bomb Scoring Site, Forsyth, Montana.

(b) CONDITION OF CONVEYANCE.—The conveyance under subsection (a) shall be subject to the condition that the City—

(1) utilize the property and recreational facilities conveyed under that subsection for housing and recreation purposes; or

(2) enter into an agreement with an appropriate public or private entity to lease such property and facilities to that entity for such purposes.

(c) REVERSION.—If the Secretary determines at any time that the property conveyed under subsection (a) is not being utilized in accordance with paragraph (1) or paragraph (2) of subsection (b), all right, title, and interest in and to the conveyed property, including any improvements thereon, shall revert to the United States and the United States shall have the right of immediate entry onto the property.

(d) DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY.—The exact acreage and legal description of the property conveyed under this section shall be determined by a survey satisfactory to the Secretary. The cost of the survey shall be borne by the City.

(e) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The Secretary may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with the conveyance under this section as the Secretary determines appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

**SEC. 2877. LAND CONVEYANCE, RADAR BOMB SCORING SITE, POWELL, WYOMING.**

(a) CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary of the Air Force may convey, without consideration, to the Northwest College Board of Trustees (in this section referred to as the "Board"), all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to a parcel of real property (including any improvements thereon) consisting of approximately 24 acres located in Powell, Wyoming, which has served as the location of a support complex, recreational facilities, and housing facilities for the Radar Bomb Scoring Site, Powell, Wyoming.

(b) CONDITION OF CONVEYANCE.—The conveyance authorized under subsection (a) shall be subject to the condition that the Board use the property conveyed under that subsection for housing and recreation purposes and for such other purposes as the Secretary and the Board jointly determine appropriate.

(c) REVERSIONARY INTEREST.—During the five-year period beginning on the date that the Secretary makes the conveyance authorized under subsection (a), if the Secretary determines that the conveyed property is not being used in accordance with subsection (b), all right, title, and interest in and to the conveyed property, including any improvements thereon, shall revert to the United States and the United States shall have the right of immediate entry onto the property.

(d) DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY.—The exact acreage and legal description of the property conveyed under this section shall be determined by a survey satisfactory to the Secretary. The cost of the survey shall be borne by the Board.

(e) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The Secretary may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with the conveyance under this section as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

**SEC. 2878. LAND CONVEYANCE, AVON PARK AIR FORCE RANGE, FLORIDA.**

(a) CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary of the Air Force may convey, without consideration, to Highlands County, Florida (in this section referred to as the "County"), all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to a parcel of real property, together with any improvements thereon, located within the boundaries of the Avon Park Air Force Range near Sebring, Florida, which has previously served as the location of a support complex and recreational facilities for the Avon Park Air Force Range.

(b) CONDITION OF CONVEYANCE.—The conveyance authorized under subsection (a) shall be subject to the condition that the County, directly or through an agreement with an appropriate public or private entity, use the conveyed property, including the support complex and recreational facilities, for operation of a juvenile or other correctional facility.

(c) REVERSIONARY INTEREST.—If the Secretary determines at any time that the property conveyed under subsection (a) is not being used in accordance with subsection (b), all right, title, and interest in the property, including any improvements thereon, shall revert to the United States, and the United States shall have the right of immediate entry onto the property.

(d) DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY.—The exact acreage and legal description of the real property to be conveyed under subsection (a) shall be determined by a survey satisfactory to the Secretary. The cost of the survey shall be borne by the County.

(e) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The Secretary may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with the conveyance under this section as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

**Subtitle E—Land Conveyances Involving Utilities**

**SEC. 2881. CONVEYANCE OF RESOURCE RECOVERY FACILITY, FORT DIX, NEW JERSEY.**

(a) CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary of the Army may convey to Burlington County, New Jersey (in this section

referred to as the "County"), all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to a parcel of real property at Fort Dix, New Jersey, consisting of approximately six acres and containing a resource recovery facility, known as the Fort Dix resource recovery facility.

(b) RELATED EASEMENTS.—The Secretary may grant to the County any easement that is necessary for access to and operation of the resource recovery facility conveyed under subsection (a).

(c) REQUIREMENT RELATING TO CONVEYANCE.—The Secretary may not carry out the conveyance of the resource recovery facility authorized by subsection (a) unless the County agrees to accept the facility in its existing condition at the time of the conveyance.

(d) CONDITIONS ON CONVEYANCE.—The conveyance of the resource recovery facility authorized by subsection (a) is subject to the following conditions:

(1) That the County provide refuse and steam service to Fort Dix, New Jersey, at the rate established by the appropriate Federal or State regulatory authority.

(2) That the County comply with all applicable environmental laws and regulations (including any permit or license requirements) relating to the resource recovery facility.

(3) That the County assume full responsibility for ownership, operation, maintenance, repair, and all regulatory compliance requirements for the resource recovery facility.

(4) That the County not commence any expansion of the resource recovery facility without approval of such expansion by the Secretary.

(e) DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY.—The exact acreage and legal description of the real property to be conveyed under subsection (a), and of any easements to be granted under subsection (b), shall be determined by a survey satisfactory to the Secretary. The cost of such survey shall be borne by the County.

(f) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The Secretary may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with the conveyance under subsection (a) and the grant of any easement under subsection (b) as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

**SEC. 2882. CONVEYANCE OF WATER AND WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANTS, FORT GORDON, GEORGIA.**

(a) CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary of the Army may convey to the city of Augusta, Georgia (in this section referred to as the "City"), all right, title, and interest of the United States to several parcels of real property located at Fort Gordon, Georgia, and consisting of approximately seven acres each. The parcels are improved with a water filtration plant, water distribution system with storage tanks, sewage treatment plant, and sewage collection system.

(b) RELATED EASEMENTS.—The Secretary may grant to the City any easement that is necessary for access to the real property conveyed under subsection (a) and operation of the water and wastewater treatment plants and distribution and collection systems conveyed under subsection (a).

(c) REQUIREMENT RELATING TO CONVEYANCE.—The Secretary may not carry out the conveyance of the water and wastewater treatment plants and distribution and collection systems authorized by subsection (a) unless the City agrees to accept the water and wastewater treatment plants and distribution and collection systems in their existing condition at the time of the conveyance.

(d) CONDITIONS ON CONVEYANCE.—The conveyance authorized by subsection (a) is subject to the following conditions:

(1) That the City provide water and sewer service to Fort Gordon, Georgia, at a rate established by the appropriate Federal or State regulatory authority.

(2) That the City comply with all applicable environmental laws and regulations (including any permit or license requirements) regarding the real property conveyed under subsec- tion (a).

(3) That the City assume full responsibility for ownership, operation, maintenance, repair, and all regulatory compliance requirements for the water and wastewater treatment plants and distribution and collection systems.

(4) That the City not commence any expansion of the water and wastewater treatment plants and distribution and collection systems without approval of such expansion by the Secretary.

(e) DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY.—The exact acreage and legal description of the real property to be conveyed under subsection (a), and of any easements granted under subsection (b), shall be determined by a survey satisfactory to the Secretary. The cost of such survey shall be borne by the City.

(f) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The Secretary may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with the conveyance under subsection (a) and the grant of any easement under subsection (b) as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

**SEC. 2883. CONVEYANCE OF ELECTRICITY DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM, FORT IRWIN, CALIFORNIA.**

(a) CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary of the Army may convey to the Southern California Edison Company, California (in this section referred to as the "Company"), all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to the electricity distribution system located at Fort Irwin, California.

(b) DESCRIPTION OF SYSTEM AND CONVEYANCE.—The electricity distribution system authorized to be conveyed under subsection (a) consists of approximately 115 miles of electricity distribution lines (including poles, switches, reclosers, transformers, regulators, switchgears, and service lines) and includes the equipment, fixtures, structures, and other improvements the Federal Government utilizes to provide electricity services at Fort Irwin. The system does not include any real property.

(c) RELATED EASEMENTS.—The Secretary may grant to the Company any easement that is necessary for access to and operation of the electricity distribution system conveyed under subsection (a).

(d) REQUIREMENT RELATING TO CONVEYANCE.—The Secretary may not carry out the electricity distribution system authorized by subsection (a) unless the Company agrees to accept the electricity distribution system in its existing condition at the time of the conveyance.

(e) CONDITIONS ON CONVEYANCE.—The conveyance authorized by subsection (a) is subject to the following conditions:

(1) That the Company provide electricity service to Fort Irwin, California, at a rate established by the appropriate Federal or State regulatory authority.

(2) That the Company comply with all applicable environmental laws and regulations (including any permit or license requirements) regarding the electricity distribution system.

(3) That the Company assume full responsibility for ownership, operation, maintenance, repair, and all regulatory compliance requirements for the electricity distribution system.

(4) That the Company not commence any expansion of the electricity distribution system without approval of such expansion by the Secretary.

(f) DESCRIPTION OF EASEMENT.—The exact acreage and legal description of any easement granted under subsection (c) shall be determined by a survey satisfactory to the Secretary. The cost of such survey shall be borne by the Company.

(g) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The Secretary may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with the conveyance under subsection (a) and the grant of any easement under subsection (c) as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

**SEC. 2884. CONVEYANCE OF WATER TREATMENT PLANT, FORT PICKETT, VIRGINIA.**

(a) AUTHORITY TO CONVEY.—(1) The Secretary of the Army may convey to the Town of Blackstone, Virginia (in this section referred to as the "Town"), all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to the property described in paragraph (2).

(2) The property referred to in paragraph (1) is the following property located at Fort Pickett, Virginia:

(A) A parcel of real property consisting of approximately 10 acres, including a reservoir and improvements thereon, the site of the Fort Pickett water treatment plant.

(B) Any equipment, fixtures, structures, or other improvements (including any water transmission lines, water distribution and service lines, fire hydrants, water pumping stations, and other improvements) not located on the parcel described in subparagraph (A) that are jointly identified by the Secretary and the Town as owned and utilized by the Federal Government in order to provide water to and distribute water at Fort Pickett.

(b) RELATED EASEMENTS.—The Secretary may grant to the Town the following easements relating to the conveyance of the property authorized by subsection (a):

(1) Such easements, if any, as the Secretary and the Town jointly determine are necessary in order to provide access to the water distribution system referred to in paragraph (2) of such subsection for maintenance, safety, and other purposes.

(2) Such easements, if any, as the Secretary and the Town jointly determine are necessary in order to provide access to the finished water lines from the system to the Town.

(3) Such rights of way appurtenant, if any, as the Secretary and the Town jointly determine are necessary in order to satisfy requirements imposed by any Federal, State, or municipal agency relating to the maintenance of a buffer zone around the water distribution system.

(c) WATER RIGHTS.—The Secretary shall grant to the Town as part of the conveyance under subsection (a) all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to any water of the Nottoway River, Virginia, that is connected with the reservoir referred to in paragraph (2)(A) of such subsection. The grant of such water rights shall not impair the right that any other local jurisidiction may have to withdraw water from the Nottoway River, on or after the date of the enactment of this Act, pursuant to the law of the Commonwealth of Virginia.

(d) REQUIREMENTS RELATING TO CONVEYANCE.—(1) The Secretary may not carry out the conveyance of the water distribution system authorized under subsection (a) unless the Town agrees to accept the system in its existing condition at the time of the conveyance.

(2) The Secretary shall complete any environmental removal or remediation required under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (42 U.S.C. 9601 et seq.) with respect to the system to be conveyed under this section before carrying out the conveyance.

(e) CONDITIONS ON CONVEYANCE.—The conveyance authorized in subsection (a) shall be subject to the following conditions:

(1) That the Town reserve for provision to Fort Pickett, and provide to Fort Pickett on demand, not less than 1,500,000 million gallons per day of treated water from the water distribution system.

(2) That the Town provide water to and distribute water at Fort Pickett at a rate established by the appropriate Federal or State regulatory authority.

(3) That the Town maintain and operate the water distribution system in compliance with all applicable Federal and State environmental laws and regulations (including any permit and license requirements).

(f) DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY.—The exact legal description of the property to be conveyed under subsection (a), of any easements granted under subsection (b), and of any water rights granted under subsection (c) shall be determined by a survey and other means satisfactory to the Secretary. The cost of any survey or other services performed at the direction of the Secretary under the authority in the preceding sentence shall be borne by the Town.

(g) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The Secretary may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with the conveyance authorized under subsection (a), the easements granted under subsection (b), and the water rights granted under subsection (c) that the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

**Subtitle F—Other Matters**

**SEC. 2891. AUTHORITY TO USE FUNDS FOR CERTAIN EDUCATIONAL PURPOSES.**

Section 2008 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking out "section 10" and all that follows through the period at the end and inserting in lieu thereof "construction, as defined in section 8013(3) of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 7713(3)), or to carry out section 8008 of such Act (20 U.S.C. 7708), relating to the provision of assistance to certain school facilities under the impact aid program.".

**SEC. 2892. DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE LABORATORY REVITALIZATION DEMONSTRATION PROGRAM.**

(a) PROGRAM AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary of Defense may carry out a program (to be known as the "Department of Defense Laboratory Revitalization Demonstration Program") for the revitalization of Department of Defense laboratories. Under the program, the Secretary may carry out minor military construction projects in accordance with subsection (b) and other applicable law to improve Department of Defense laboratories covered by the program.

(b) INCREASED MAXIMUM AMOUNTS APPLICABLE TO MINOR CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS.—For purpose of any military construction project carried out under the program—

(1) the amount provided in the second sentence of subsection (a)(1) of section 2805 of title 10, United States Code, shall be deemed to be $3,000,000;

(2) the amount provided in subsection (b)(1) of such section shall be deemed to be $1,500,000; and

(3) the amount provided in subsection (c)(1)(B) of such section shall be deemed to be $1,000,000.

(c) PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS.—(1) Not later than 30 days before commencing the program, the Secretary shall—

(A) designate the Department of Defense laboratories at which construction may be carried out under the program; and

(B) establish procedures for the review and approval of requests from such laboratories to carry out such construction.

(2) The laboratories designated under paragraph (1)(A) may not include Department of Defense laboratories that are contractor owned.

(3) The Secretary shall notify Congress of the laboratories designated under paragraph (1)(A).

(d) REPORT.—Not later than February 1, 1998, the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report on the program. The report shall include the Secretary's conclusions and recommendations regarding the desirability of extending the authority set forth in subsection (b) to cover all Department of Defense laboratories.

(e) EXCLUSIVITY OF PROGRAM.—Nothing in this section may be construed to limit any other authority provided by law for any military construction project at a Department of Defense laboratory covered by the program.

(f) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) The term "laboratory" includes—

(A) a research, engineering, and development center;

(B) a test and evaluation activity owned, funded, and operated by the Federal Government through the Department of Defense; and

(C) a supporting facility of a laboratory.

(2) The term "supporting facility", with respect to a laboratory, means any building or structure that is used in support of research, development, test, and evaluation at the laboratory.

(g) EXPIRATION OF AUTHORITY.—The Secretary may not commence a construction project under the program after September 30, 1998.

**SEC. 2893. AUTHORITY FOR PORT AUTHORITY OF STATE OF MISSISSIPPI TO USE NAVY PROPERTY AT NAVAL CONSTRUCTION BATTALION CENTER, GULFPORT, MISSISSIPPI.**

(a) JOINT USE AGREEMENT AUTHORIZED.—The Secretary of the Navy may enter into an agreement with the Port Authority of the State of Mississippi (in this section referred to as the "Port Authority"), under which the Port Authority may use real property comprising up to 50 acres located at the Naval Construction Battalion Center, Gulfport, Mississippi (in this section referred to as the "Center").

(b) TERM OF AGREEMENT.—The agreement authorized under subsection (a) may be for an initial period of not more than 15 years. Under the agreement, the Secretary shall provide the Port Authority with an option to extend the agreement for at least three additional periods of five years each.

(c) CONDITIONS ON USE.—The agreement authorized under subsection (a) shall require the Port Authority—

(1) to suspend operations under the agreement in the event Navy contingency operations are conducted at the Center; and

(2) to use the property covered by the agreement in a manner consistent with Navy operations conducted at the Center.

(d) CONSIDERATION.—(1) As consideration for the use of the property covered by the agreement under subsection (a), the Port Authority shall pay to the Navy an amount equal to the fair market rental value of the property, as determined by the Secretary taking into consideration the Port Authority's use of the property.

(2) The Secretary may include a provision in the agreement requiring the Port Authority—

(A) to pay the Navy an amount (as determined by the Secretary) to cover the costs of replacing at the Center any facilities vacated by the Navy on account of the agreement or to construct suitable replacement facilities for the Navy; and

(B) to pay the Navy an amount (as determined by the Secretary) for the costs of relocating Navy operations from the vacated facilities to the replacement facilities.

(e) CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION.—The Secretary may not enter into the agreement authorized by subsection (a) until the end of the 21-day period beginning on the date on which the Secretary submits to Congress a report containing an explanation of the terms of the proposed agreement and a description of the consideration that the Secretary expects to receive under the agreement.

(f) USE OF PAYMENT.—(1) In such amounts as are provided in advance in appropriation Acts, the Secretary may use amounts paid under subsection (d)(1) to pay for general supervision, administration, and overhead expenses and for improvement, maintenance, repair, construction, or restoration of the roads, railways, and facilities serving the Center.

(2) In such amounts as are provided in advance in appropriation Acts, the Secretary may use amounts paid under subsection (d)(2) to pay for constructing new facilities, or making modifications to existing facilities, that are necessary to replace facilities vacated by the Navy on account of the agreement under subsection (a) and for relocating operations of the Navy from the vacated facilities to replacement facilities.

(g) CONSTRUCTION BY PORT AUTHORITY.—The Secretary may authorize the Port Authority to demolish existing facilities located on the property covered by the agreement under subsection (a) and, consistent with the restriction specified in subsection (c)(2), construct new facilities on the property for joint use by the Port Authority and the Navy.

(h) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The Secretary may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with the agreement authorized under subsection (a) as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

**SEC. 2894. PROHIBITION ON JOINT USE OF NAVAL AIR STATION AND MARINE CORPS AIR STATION, MIRAMAR, CALIFORNIA.**

The Secretary of the Navy may not enter into any agreement that provides for or permits civil aircraft to regularly use Naval Air Station or Marine Corps Air Station, Miramar, California.

**SEC. 2895. REPORT REGARDING ARMY WATER CRAFT SUPPORT FACILITIES AND ACTIVITIES.**

Not later than February 15, 1996, the Secretary of the Army shall submit to Congress a report setting forth—

(1) the location, assets, and mission of each Army facility, active or reserve component, that supports water transportation operations;

(2) an infrastructure inventory and utilization rate of each Army facility supporting water transportation operations;

(3) options for consolidating these operations to reduce overhead; and

(4) actions that can be taken to respond affirmatively to requests from the residents of Marcus Hook, Pennsylvania, to close the Army Reserve facility located in Marcus Hook and make the facility available for use by the community.

**SEC. 2896. RESIDUAL VALUE REPORTS.**

(a) REPORTS REQUIRED.—The Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Director of the Office of Management and Budget, shall submit to the congressional defense committees status reports on the results of residual value negotiations between the United States and Germany. Such status reports shall be submitted within 30 days after the receipt of such reports by the Office of Management and Budget.

(b) CONTENT OF STATUS REPORTS.—The status reports required by subsection (a) shall include the following information:

(1) The estimated residual value of United States capital value and improvements to facilities in Germany that the United States has turned over to Germany.

(2) The actual value obtained by the United States for each facility or installation turned over to Germany.

(3) The reasons for any difference between the estimated and actual value obtained.

**SEC. 2897. SENSE OF CONGRESS AND REPORT REGARDING FITZSIMONS ARMY MEDICAL CENTER, COLORADO.**

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress makes the following findings:

(1) Fitzsimons Army Medical Center in Aurora, Colorado, was approved for closure in 1995 under the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (part A of title XXIX of Public Law 101-510; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note).

(2) The University of Colorado Health Sciences Center and the University of Colorado Hospital Authority are in urgent need of space to maintain their ability to deliver health care to meet the growing demand for their services.

(3) Reuse of the Fitzsimons Army Medical Center at the earliest opportunity would provide significant benefit to the cities of Aurora, Colorado, and Denver, Colorado.

(4) Reuse of the Fitzsimons Army Medical Center by the communities in the vicinity of the center will ensure that the center is fully utilized, thereby providing a benefit to such communities.

(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) determinations as to the use by other departments and agencies of the Federal Government of buildings and property at military installations approved for closure under the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990, including Fitzsimons Army Medical Center, Colorado, should be completed as soon as practicable;

(2) the Secretary of Defense should consider the expedited transfer of appropriate facilities (including facilities that remain operational) at such installations to the redevelopment authorities for such installations in order to ensure continuity of use of such facilities after the closure of such installations, in particular, the Secretary should consider the expedited transfer of the Fitzsimons Army Medical Center because of the significant preparation underway by the redevelopment authority concerned;

(3) the Secretary should not enter into leases with redevelopment authorities for facilities at such installations until the Secretary determines that such leases fall within the categorical exclusions established by the Secretary pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.).

(c) REPORT.—(1) Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the closure and redevelopment of Fitzsimons Army Medical Center.

(2) The report shall include the following:

(A) The results of the determinations as to the use of buildings and property at Fitzsimons Army Medical Center by other departments and agencies of the Federal Government under section 2905(b)(1) of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990.

(B) A description of any actions taken to expedite such determinations.

(C) A discussion of any impediments raised as a result of such determinations to the transfer or lease of Fitzsimons Army Medical Center.

(D) A description of any actions taken by the Secretary to lease Fitzsimons Army Medical Center to the redevelopment authority.

(E) The results of any environmental reviews under the National Environmental Policy Act in which such a lease would fall into the categorical exclusions established by the Secretary of the Army.

(F) The results of the environmental baseline survey regarding Fitzsimons Army Medical Center and a finding of suitability or nonsuitability.

**TITLE XXIX—LAND CONVEYANCES INVOLVING JOLIET ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT, ILLINOIS**

**SEC. 2901. SHORT TITLE.**

This title may be cited as the "Illinois Land Conservation Act of 1995".

**SEC. 2902. DEFINITIONS.**

For purposes of this title, the following definitions apply:

(1) ADMINISTRATOR.—The term "Administrator" means the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency.

(2) AGRICULTURAL PURPOSES.—The term "agricultural purposes" means the use of land for row crops, pasture, hay, and grazing.

(3) ARSENAL.—The term "Arsenal" means the Joliet Army Ammunition Plant located in the State of Illinois.

(4) ARSENAL LAND USE CONCEPT.—The term "Arsenal land use concept" means the land use proposals that were developed and unanimously approved on May 30, 1995, by the Joliet Arsenal Citizen Planning Commission.

(5) CERCLA.—The term "CERCLA" means the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (42 U.S.C. 9601 et seq.).

(6) ENVIRONMENTAL LAW.—The term "environmental law" means all applicable Federal, State, and local laws, regulations, and requirements related to protection of human health, natural and cultural resources, or the environment. Such term includes CERCLA, the Solid Waste Disposal Act (42 U.S.C. 6901 et seq.), the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1251 et seq.), the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.), the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (7 U.S.C. 136 et seq.), the Toxic Substances Control Act (15 U.S.C. 2601 et seq.), and the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. 300f et seq.).

(7) HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCE.—The term "hazardous substance" has the meaning given such term by section 101(14) of CERCLA (42 U.S.C. 9601(14)).

(8) MNP.—The term "MNP" means the Midewin National Tallgrass Prairie established pursuant to section 2914 and managed as a part of the National Forest System.

(9) PERSON.—The term "person" has the meaning given such term by section 101(21) of CERCLA (42 U.S.C. 9601(21)).

(10) POLLUTANT OR CONTAMINANT.—The term "pollutant or contaminant" has the meaning given such term by section 101(33) of CERCLA (42 U.S.C. 9601(33)).

(11) RELEASE.—The term "release" has the meaning given such term by section 101(22) of CERCLA (42 U.S.C. 9601(22)).

(12) RESPONSE ACTION.—The term "response action" has the meaning given the term "response" by section 101(25) of CERCLA (42 U.S.C. 9601(25)).

**Subtitle A—Conversion of Joliet Army Ammunition Plant to Midewin National Tallgrass Prairie**

**SEC. 2911. PRINCIPLES OF TRANSFER.**

(a) LAND USE PLAN.—The Congress ratifies in principle the proposals generally identified by the land use plan which was developed by the Joliet Arsenal Citizen Planning Commission and unanimously approved on May 30, 1995.

(b) TRANSFER WITHOUT REIMBURSEMENT.—The area constituting the Midewin National Tallgrass Prairie shall be transferred, without reimbursement, to the Secretary of Agriculture.

(c) MANAGEMENT OF MNP.—Management by the Secretary of Agriculture of those portions of the Arsenal transferred to the Secretary under this title shall be in accordance with sections 2914 and 2915 regarding the Midewin National Tallgrass Prairie.

(d) SECURITY MEASURES.—The Secretary of the Army and the Secretary of Agriculture shall each provide and maintain physical and other security measures on such portion of the Arsenal as is under the administrative jurisdiction of such Secretary, unless the Secretary of the Army and the Secretary of Agriculture agree otherwise. Such security measures (which may include fences and natural barriers) shall include measures to prevent members of the public from gaining unauthorized access to such portions of the Arsenal as are under the administrative jurisdiction of such Secretary and that may endanger health or safety.

(e) COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS.—The Secretary of the Army, the Secretary of Agriculture, and the Administrator are individually and collectively authorized to enter into cooperative agreements and memoranda of understanding among each other and with other affected Federal agencies, State and local governments, private organizations, and corporations to carry out the purposes for which the Midewin National Tallgrass Prairie is established.

(f) INTERIM ACTIVITIES OF THE SECRETARY OF AGRICULTURE.—Prior to transfer and subject to such reasonable terms and conditions as the Secretary of the Army may prescribe, the Secretary of Agriculture may enter upon the Arsenal property for purposes related to planning, resource inventory, fish and wildlife habitat manipulation (which may include prescribed burning), and other such activities consistent with the purposes for which the Midewin National Tallgrass Prairie is established.

**SEC. 2912. TRANSFER OF MANAGEMENT RESPONSIBILITIES AND JURISDICTION OVER ARSENAL.**

(a) GENERAL RULE FOR TRANSFER OF JURISDICTION.—

(1) TRANSFER REQUIRED SUBJECT TO RESPONSE ACTIONS.—Subject to subsection (d),

not later than 270 days after the date of the enactment of this title, the Secretary of the Army shall transfer, without reimbursement, to the Secretary of Agriculture those portions of the Arsenal that—

(A) are identified on the map described in subsection (e)(1) as appropriate for transfer under this subsection to the Secretary of Agriculture; and

(B) the Secretary of the Army and the Administrator concur in finding that all response actions have been taken under CERCLA necessary to protect human health and the environment with respect to any hazardous substance remaining on the property.

(2) EFFECT OF LESS THAN COMPLETE TRANSFER.—If the concurrence requirement in paragraph (1)(B) results in the transfer, within 270-day period, of less than all of the Arsenal property covered by paragraph (1)(A), the Secretary of the Army and the Secretary of Agriculture shall enter into a memorandum of understanding providing for the performance by the Secretary of the Army of the additional response actions necessary to allow fulfillment of the concurrence requirement with respect to such Arsenal property. The memorandum of understanding shall be entered into within 60 days of the end of such 270-day period and shall include a schedule for the completion of the additional response actions as soon as practicable. Subject to subsection (d), the Secretary of the Army shall transfer Arsenal property covered by this paragraph to the Secretary of Agriculture as soon as possible after the Secretary of the Army and the Administrator concur that all additional response actions have been taken under CERCLA necessary to protect human health and the environment with respect to any hazardous substance remaining on the property. The Secretary of the Army may make transfers under this paragraph on a parcel-by-parcel basis.

(3) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION REGARDING CONCURRENCES.—For the purpose of reaching the concurrences required by this subsection and subsection (b), if a response action requires construction and installation of an approved remedial design, the response action shall be considered to have been taken when the construction and installation of the approved remedial design is completed and the remedy is demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Administrator to be operating properly and successfully.

(b) SPECIAL TRANSFER REQUIREMENTS FOR CERTAIN PARCELS.—Subject to subsection (d), the Secretary of the Army shall transfer, without reimbursement, to the Secretary of Agriculture the Arsenal property known as LAP Area Sites L2, L3, and L5 and Manufacturing Area Site 1. The transfer shall occur as soon as possible after the Secretary of the Army and the Administrator concur that all response actions have been taken under CERCLA necessary to protect human health and the environment with respect to any hazardous substance remaining on the property. The Secretary of the Army may make transfers under this subsection on a parcel-by-parcel basis.

(c) DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITION OF PARCELS; ASSESSMENT OF REQUIRED ACTIONS UNDER OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL LAWS.—

(1) DOCUMENTATION.—The Secretary of the Army and the Administrator shall provide to the Secretary of Agriculture all documentation and information that exists on the date the documentation and information is provided relating to the environmental condition of the Arsenal property proposed for transfer under subsection (a) or (b), including documentation that supports the finding that all response actions have been taken under CERCLA necessary to protect human health and the environment with respect to any hazardous substance remaining on the property.

(2) ASSESSMENT.—The Secretary of the Army shall provide to the Secretary of Agriculture an assessment, based on information in existence at the time the assessment is provided, indicating what further action, if any, is required under any environmental law (other than CERCLA) on the Arsenal property proposed for transfer under subsection (a) or (b).

(3) TIME FOR SUBMISSION OF DOCUMENTATION AND ASSESSMENT.—The documentation and assessments required to be submitted to the Secretary of Agriculture under this subsection shall be submitted—

(A) in the case of the transfers required by subsection (a), not later than 210 days after the date of the enactment of this title; and

(B) in the case of the transfers required by subsection (b), not later than 60 days before the earliest date on which the property could be transferred.

(4) SUBMISSION OF ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.—The Secretary of the Army and the Administrator shall have a continuing obligation to provide to the Secretary of Agriculture any additional information regarding the environmental condition of property to be transferred under subsection (a) or (b) as such information becomes available.

(d) EFFECT OF ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT.—

(1) AUTHORITY OF SECRETARY OF AGRICULTURE TO DECLINE IMMEDIATE TRANSFER.—If a parcel of Arsenal property to be transferred under subsection (a) or (b) includes property for which the assessment under subsection (c)(2) concludes further action is required under any environmental law (other than CERCLA), the Secretary of Agriculture may decline immediate transfer of the parcel. With respect to such a parcel, the Secretary of the Army and the Secretary of Agriculture shall enter into a memorandum of understanding providing for the performance by the Secretary of the Army of the required actions identified in the Army assessment. The memorandum of understanding shall be entered into within 90 days after the date on which the Secretary of Agriculture declines immediate transfer of the parcel and shall include a schedule for the completion of the required actions as soon as practicable.

(2) EVENTUAL TRANSFER.—In the case of a parcel of Arsenal property that the Secretary of Agriculture declines immediate transfer under paragraph (1), the Secretary may accept transfer of the parcel at any time after the original finding with respect to the parcel that all response actions have been taken under CERCLA necessary to protect human health and the environment with respect to any hazardous substance remaining on the property. The Secretary of Agriculture shall accept transfer of the parcel as soon as possible after the date on which all required further actions identified in the assessment have been taken and the terms of any memorandum of understanding have been satisfied.

(e) IDENTIFICATION OF ARSENAL PROPERTY FOR TRANSFER.—

(1) MAP OF PROPOSED TRANSFERS.—The lands subject to transfer to the Secretary of Agriculture under subsections (a) and (b) and section 2916 are depicted on the map dated September 22, 1995, which is on file and available for public inspection at the Office of the Chief of the Forest Service and the Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Army for Installations, Logistics and the Environment.

(2) METHOD OF EFFECTING TRANSFER.—The Secretary of the Army shall effect the transfer of jurisdiction of Arsenal property under subsections (a) and (b) and section 2916 by publication of notices in the Federal Register. The Secretary of Agriculture shall give prior concurrence to the publication of such notices. Each notice published in the Federal Register shall refer to the parcel being transferred by legal description, references to maps or surveys, or other forms of description mutually acceptable to the Secretary of the Army and the Secretary of Agriculture. The Secretary of the Army shall provide, without reimbursement, to the Secretary of Agriculture copies of all surveys and land title information on lands transferred under this section or section 2916.

(f) SURVEYS.—All costs of necessary surveys for the transfer of jurisdiction of Arsenal property from the Secretary of the Army to the Secretary of Agriculture shall be borne by the Secretary of Agriculture.

**SEC. 2913. RESPONSIBILITY AND LIABILITY.**

(a) CONTINUED LIABILITY OF SECRETARY OF THE ARMY.—The transfers of Arsenal property under sections 2912 and 2916, and the requirements of such sections, shall not in any way affect the responsibilities and liabilities of the Secretary of the Army specified in this section. The Secretary of the Army shall retain any obligation or other liability at the Arsenal that the Secretary of the Army has under CERCLA or other environmental laws. Following transfer of a portion of the Arsenal under this subtitle, the Secretary of the Army shall be accorded any easement or access to the property that may be reasonably required by the Secretary to carry out the obligation or satisfy the liability.

(b) SPECIAL PROTECTIONS FOR SECRETARY OF AGRICULTURE.—The Secretary of Agriculture shall not be liable under any environmental law for matters which are related directly or indirectly to activities of the Secretary of the Army at the Arsenal or any party acting under the authority of the Secretary of the Army at the Arsenal, including any of the following:

(1) Costs or performance of response actions required under CERCLA at or related to the Arsenal.

(2) Costs, penalties, fines, or performance of actions related to noncompliance with any environmental law at or related to the Arsenal or related to the presence, release, or threat of release of any hazardous substance, pollutant or contaminant, hazardous waste, or hazardous material of any kind at or related to the Arsenal, including contamination resulting from migration of a hazardous substance, pollutant or contaminant, hazardous waste, hazardous material, or petroleum products or their derivatives.

(3) Costs or performance of actions necessary to remedy noncompliance or another problem specified in paragraph (2).

(c) LIABILITY OF OTHER PERSONS.—Nothing in this title shall be construed to effect, modify, amend, repeal, alter, limit or otherwise change, directly or indirectly, the responsibilities or liabilities under any environmental law of any person (including the Secretary of Agriculture), except as provided in subsection (b) with respect to the Secretary of Agriculture.

(d) PAYMENT OF RESPONSE ACTION COSTS.—A Federal agency that had or has operations at the Arsenal resulting in the release or

threatened release of a hazardous substance or pollutant or contaminant for which that agency would be liable under any environmental law, subject to the provisions of this subtitle, shall pay the costs of related response actions and shall pay the costs of related actions to remediate petroleum products or the derivatives of the products, including motor oil and aviation fuel.

(e) CONSULTATION.—

(1) RESPONSIBILITY OF SECRETARY OF AGRICULTURE.—The Secretary of Agriculture shall consult with the Secretary of the Army with respect to the management by the Secretary of Agriculture of real property included in the Midewin National Tallgrass Prairie subject to any response action or other action at the Arsenal being carried out by or under the authority of the Secretary of the Army under any environmental law. The Secretary of Agriculture shall consult with the Secretary of the Army prior to undertaking any activities on the Midewin National Tallgrass Prairie that may disturb the property to ensure that such activities will not exacerbate contamination problems or interfere with performance by the Secretary of the Army of response actions at the property.

(2) RESPONSIBILITY OF SECRETARY OF THE ARMY.—In carrying out response actions at the Arsenal, the Secretary of the Army shall consult with the Secretary of Agriculture to ensure that such actions are carried out in a manner consistent with the purposes for which the Midewin National Tallgrass Prairie is established, as specified in section 2914(c), and the other provisions of sections 2914 and 2915.

**SEC. 2914. ESTABLISHMENT AND ADMINISTRATION OF MIDEWIN NATIONAL TALLGRASS PRAIRIE.**

(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—On the effective date of the initial transfer of jurisdiction of portions of the Arsenal to the Secretary of Agriculture under section 2912(a), the Secretary of Agriculture shall establish the Midewin National Tallgrass Prairie. The MNP shall—

(1) be administered by the Secretary of Agriculture; and

(2) consist of the real property so transferred and such other portions of the Arsenal subsequently transferred under section 2912(b) or 2916 or acquired under section 2914(d).

(b) ADMINISTRATION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Agriculture shall manage the Midewin National Tallgrass Prairie as a part of the National Forest System in accordance with this title and the laws, rules, and regulations pertaining to the National Forest System, except that the Bankhead-Jones Farm Tenant Act of 1937 (7 U.S.C. 1010–1012) shall not apply to the MNP.

(2) INITIAL MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES.—In order to expedite the administration and public use of the Midewin National Tallgrass Prairie, the Secretary of Agriculture may conduct management activities at the MNP to effectuate the purposes for which the MNP is established, as set forth in subsection (c), in advance of the development of a land and resource management plan for the MNP.

(3) LAND AND RESOURCE MANAGEMENT PLAN.—In developing a land and resource management plan for the Midewin National Tallgrass Prairie, the Secretary of Agriculture shall consult with the Illinois Department of Natural Resources and local governments adjacent to the MNP and provide an opportunity for public comment. Any parcel transferred to the Secretary of Agriculture under this title after the development of a land and resource management plan for the MNP may be managed in accordance with such plan without need for an amendment to the plan.

(c) PURPOSES OF THE MIDEWIN NATIONAL TALLGRASS PRAIRIE.—The Midewin National Tallgrass Prairie is established to be managed for National Forest System purposes, including the following:

(1) To manage the land and water resources of the MNP in a manner that will conserve and enhance the native populations and habitats of fish, wildlife, and plants.

(2) To provide opportunities for scientific, environmental, and land use education and research.

(3) To allow the continuation of agricultural uses of lands within the MNP consistent with section 2915(b).

(4) To provide a variety of recreation opportunities that are not inconsistent with the preceding purposes.

(d) OTHER LAND ACQUISITION FOR MNP.—

(1) AVAILABILITY OF LAND ACQUISITION FUNDS.—Notwithstanding section 7 of the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act of 1965 (16 U.S.C. 460*l*–9), the Secretary of Agriculture may use monies appropriated from the Land and Water Conservation Fund established under section 2 of such Act (16 U.S.C. 460*l*–5) for the acquisition of lands and interests in land for inclusion in the Midewin National Tallgrass Prairie.

(2) ACQUISITION OF LANDS.—The Secretary of Agriculture may acquire lands or interests therein for inclusion in the Midewin National Tallgrass Prairie by donation, purchase, or exchange, except that the acquisition of private lands for inclusion in the MNP shall be on a willing seller basis only.

(e) COOPERATION WITH STATES, LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND OTHER ENTITIES.—In the management of the Midewin National Tallgrass Prairie, the Secretary of Agriculture is authorized and encouraged to cooperate with appropriate Federal, State and local governmental agencies, private organizations and corporations. Such cooperation may include cooperative agreements as well as the exercise of the existing authorities of the Secretary under the Cooperative Forestry Assistance Act of 1978 (16 U.S.C. 2101 et seq.) and the Forest and Rangeland Renewable Resources Research Act of 1978 (16 U.S.C. 1641 et seq.). The objects of such cooperation may include public education, land and resource protection, and cooperative management among government, corporate, and private landowners in a manner which furthers the purposes for which the Midewin National Tallgrass Prairie is established.

**SEC. 2915. SPECIAL MANAGEMENT REQUIREMENTS FOR MIDEWIN NATIONAL TALLGRASS PRAIRIE.**

(a) PROHIBITION AGAINST THE CONSTRUCTION OF NEW THROUGH ROADS.—No new construction of any highway, public road, or any part of the interstate system, whether Federal, State, or local, shall be permitted through or across any portion of the Midewin National Tallgrass Prairie. Nothing in this title shall preclude construction and maintenance of roads for use within the MNP, the granting of authorizations for utility rights-of-way under applicable Federal law, or such access as is necessary. Nothing in this title shall preclude necessary access by the Secretary of the Army for purposes of restoration and cleanup as provided in this title.

(b) AGRICULTURAL LEASES AND SPECIAL USE AUTHORIZATIONS.—Within the Midewin National Tallgrass Prairie, use of the lands for agricultural purposes shall be permitted subject to the following terms and conditions:

(1) If at the time of transfer of jurisdiction under section 2912 or 2916 there exists any lease issued by the Secretary of the Army or the Secretary of Defense for agricultural purposes upon the parcel transferred, the Secretary of Agriculture shall issue a special use authorization to supersede the lease. The terms of the special use authorization shall be identical in substance to the lease that the special use authorization is superseding, including the expiration date and any payments owed the United States. On issuance of the special use authorization, the lease shall become void.

(2) In addition to the authority provided in paragraph (1), the Secretary of Agriculture may issue special use authorizations to persons for use of the Midewin National Tallgrass Prairie for agricultural purposes. Special use authorizations issued pursuant to this paragraph shall include terms and conditions as the Secretary of Agriculture may deem appropriate.

(3) No agricultural special use authorization shall be issued for agricultural purposes which has a term extending beyond the date 20 years from the date of the enactment of this title, except that nothing in this title shall preclude the Secretary of Agriculture from issuing agricultural special use authorizations or grazing permits which are effective after twenty years from the date of enactment of this title for purposes primarily related to erosion control, provision for food and habitat for fish and wildlife, or other resource management activities consistent with the purposes of the Midewin National Tallgrass Prairie.

(c) TREATMENT OF RENTAL FEES.—Monies received under a special use authorization issued under subsection (b) shall be subject to distribution to the State of Illinois and affected counties pursuant to the Act of May 23, 1908, and section 13 of the Act of March 1, 1911 (16 U.S.C. 500). All monies not distributed pursuant to such Acts shall be covered into the Treasury and shall constitute a special fund (to be known as the "MNP Rental Fee Account"). The Secretary of Agriculture may use amounts in the fund, until expended and without fiscal year limitation, to cover the cost to the United States of prairie improvement work at the Midewin National Tallgrass Prairie. Any amounts in the fund that the Secretary of Agriculture determines to be in excess of the cost of doing such work shall be transferred, upon such determination, to miscellaneous receipts, Forest Service Fund, as a National Forest receipt of the fiscal year in which the transfer is made.

(d) USER FEES.—The Secretary of Agriculture is authorized to charge reasonable fees for the admission, occupancy, and use of the Midewin National Tallgrass Prairie and may prescribe a fee schedule providing for reduced or a waiver of fees for persons or groups engaged in authorized activities including those providing volunteer services, research, or education. The Secretary shall permit admission, occupancy, and use at no additional charge for persons possessing a valid Golden Eagle Passport or Golden Age Passport.

(e) SALVAGE OF IMPROVEMENTS.—The Secretary of Agriculture may sell for salvage value any facilities and improvements which have been transferred to the Secretary pursuant to this title.

(f) TREATMENT OF USER FEES AND SALVAGE RECEIPTS.—Monies collected pursuant to subsections (d) and (e) shall be covered into the Treasury and constitute a special fund (to be known as the "Midewin National Tallgrass Prairie Restoration Fund"). The

Secretary of Agriculture may use amounts in the fund, in such amounts as are provided in advance in appropriation Acts, for restoration and administration of the Midewin National Tallgrass Prairie, including construction of a visitor and education center, restoration of ecosystems, construction of recreational facilities (such as trails), construction of administrative offices, and operation and maintenance of the MNP. The Secretary of Agriculture shall include the MNP among the areas under the jurisdiction of the Secretary selected for inclusion in any cost recovery or any pilot program of the Secretary for the collection, use, and distribution of user fees.

**SEC. 2916. SPECIAL TRANSFER RULES FOR CERTAIN ARSENAL PARCELS INTENDED FOR MNP.**

(a) DESCRIPTION OF PARCELS.—The following areas of the Arsenal may be transferred under this section:

(1) Study Area 2, explosive burning ground.
(2) Study Area 3, flashing ground.
(3) Study Area 4, lead azide area.
(4) Study Area 10, toluene tank farms.
(5) Study Area 11, landfill.
(6) Study Area 12, sellite manufacturing area.
(7) Study Area 14, former pond area.
(8) Study Area 15, sewage treatment plan.
(9) Study Area L1, load assemble packing area, group 61.
(10) Study Area L4, landfill area.
(11) Study Area L7, group 1.
(12) Study Area L8, group 2.
(13) Study Area L9, group 3.
(14) Study Area L10, group 3A.
(15) Study Area L14, group 4.
(16) Study Area L15, group 5.
(17) Study Area L18, group 8.
(18) Study Area L19, group 9.
(19) Study Area L33, PVC area.
(20) Any other lands proposed for transfer as depicted on the map described in section 2912(e)(1) and not otherwise specifically identified for transfer under this subtitle.

(b) INFORMATION REGARDING ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITION OF PARCELS; ASSESSMENT OF REQUIRED ACTIONS UNDER OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL LAWS.—

(1) INFORMATION.—Not later than 180 days after the date on which the Secretary of the Army and the Administrator concur in finding that, with respect to a parcel of Arsenal property described in subsection (a), all response actions have been taken under CERCLA necessary to protect human health and the environment with respect to any hazardous substance remaining on the parcel, the Secretary of the Army and the Administrator shall provide to the Secretary of Agriculture all information that exists on such date regarding the environmental condition of the parcel and the implementation of any response action, including information regarding the effectiveness of the response action.

(2) ASSESSMENT.—At the same time as information is provided under paragraph (1) with regard to a parcel of Arsenal property described in subsection (a), the Secretary of the Army shall provide to the Secretary of Agriculture an assessment, based on information in existence at the time the assessment is provided, indicating what further action, if any, is required under any environmental law (other than CERCLA) with respect to the parcel.

(3) SUBMISSION OF ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.—The Secretary of the Army and the Administrator shall have a continuing obligation to provide to the Secretary of Agriculture any additional information regarding the environmental condition of a parcel of the Arsenal property described in subsection (a) as such information becomes available.

(c) OFFER OF TRANSFER.—Not later than 180 days after the date on which information is provided under subsection (b)(1) with regard to a parcel of the Arsenal property described in subsection (a), the Secretary of the Army shall offer the Secretary of Agriculture the option of accepting a transfer of the parcel, without reimbursement, to be added to the Midewin National Tallgrass Prairie. The transfer shall be subject to the terms and conditions of this subtitle, including the liability provisions contained in section 2913. The Secretary of Agriculture has the option to accept or decline the offered transfer. The transfer of property under this section may be made on a parcel-by-parcel basis.

(d) EFFECT OF ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT.—

(1) AUTHORITY OF SECRETARY OF AGRICULTURE TO DECLINE TRANSFER.—If a parcel of Arsenal property described in subsection (a) includes property for which the assessment under subsection (b)(2) concludes further action is required under any other environmental law, the Secretary of Agriculture may decline any transfer of the parcel. Alternatively, the Secretary of Agriculture may decline immediate transfer of the parcel and enter into a memorandum of understanding with the Secretary of the Army providing for the performance by the Secretary of the Army of the required actions identified in the Army assessment with respect to the parcel. The memorandum of understanding shall be entered into within 90 days, or such later date as the Secretaries may establish, after the date on which the Secretary of Agriculture declines immediate transfer of the parcel and shall include a schedule for the completion of the required actions as soon as practicable.

(2) EVENTUAL TRANSFER.—The Secretary of Agriculture may accept or decline at any time for any reason the transfer of a parcel covered by this section. However, if the Secretary of Agriculture and the Secretary of the Army enter into a memorandum of understanding under paragraph (1) providing for transfer of the parcel, the Secretary of Agriculture shall accept transfer of the parcel as soon as possible after the date on which all required further actions identified in the assessment have been taken and the requirements of the memorandum of understanding have been satisfied.

(e) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION REGARDING CONCURRENCES.—For the purpose of the reaching the concurrence required by subsection (b)(1), if a response action requires construction and installation of an approved remedial design, the response action shall be considered to have been taken when the construction and installation of the approved remedial design is completed and the remedy is demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Administrator to be operating properly and successfully.

(f) INCLUSIONS AND EXCEPTIONS.—

(1) INCLUSIONS.—The parcels of Arsenal property described in subsection (a) shall include all associated inventoried buildings and structures as identified in the Joliet Army Ammunition Plant Plantwide Building and Structures Report and the contaminate study sites for both the manufacturing and load assembly and packing sites of the Arsenal as shown in the Dames and Moore Final Report, Phase 2 Remedial Investigation Manufacturing (MFG) Area Joliet Army Ammunition Plant, Joliet, Illinois (May 30, 1993, Contract No. DAAA15-90-D-0015 task order No. 6 prepared for the United States Army Environmental Center).

(2) EXCEPTION.—The parcels described in subsection (a) shall not include the property at the Arsenal designated for transfer or conveyance under subtitle B.

**Subtitle B—Other Land Conveyances Involving Joliet Army Ammunition Plant**

**SEC. 2921. CONVEYANCE OF CERTAIN REAL PROPERTY AT ARSENAL FOR A NATIONAL CEMETERY.**

(a) CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—Subject to section 2931, the Secretary of the Army may transfer, without reimbursement, to the Secretary of Veterans Affairs the parcel of real property at the Arsenal described in subsection (b) for use as a national cemetery operated as part of the National Cemetery System of the Department of Veterans Affairs under chapter 24 of title 38, United States Code.

(b) DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY.—The real property authorized to be transferred under subsection (a) is a parcel of real property at the Arsenal consisting of approximately 982 acres, the approximate legal description of which includes part of sections 30 and 31, Jackson Township, Township 34 North, Range 10 East, and part of sections 25 and 36, Channahon Township, Township 34 North, Range 10 East, Will County, Illinois, as depicted in the Arsenal land use concept.

(c) SECURITY MEASURES.—The Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall provide and maintain physical and other security measures on the real property transferred under subsection (a). Such security measures (which may include fences and natural barriers) shall include measures to prevent members of the public from gaining unauthorized access to the portion of the Arsenal that is under the administrative jurisdiction of the Secretary of Veterans Affairs and that may endanger health or safety.

(d) SURVEYS.—All costs of necessary surveys for the transfer of jurisdiction of Arsenal properties from the Secretary of the Army to the Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall be borne solely by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs.

**SEC. 2922. CONVEYANCE OF CERTAIN REAL PROPERTY AT ARSENAL FOR A COUNTY LANDFILL.**

(a) CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—Subject to section 2931, the Secretary of the Army may convey, without compensation, to Will County, Illinois, all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to the parcel of real property at the Arsenal described in subsection (b), which shall be operated as a landfill by the County.

(b) DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY.—The real property authorized to be conveyed under subsection (a) is a parcel of real property at the Arsenal consisting of approximately 455 acres, the approximate legal description of which includes part of sections 8, 9, 16, and 17, Florence Township, Township 33 North, Range 10 East, Will County, Illinois, as depicted in the Arsenal land use concept.

(c) CONDITION ON CONVEYANCE.—The conveyance shall be subject to the condition that the Department of the Army, the Department of Veterans Affairs, and the Department of Agriculture (or their agents or assigns) may use the landfill established on the real property conveyed under subsection (a) for the disposal of construction debris, refuse, and other materials related to any restoration and cleanup of Arsenal property. Such use shall be subject to applicable environmental laws and at no cost to the Federal Government.

(d) REVERSIONARY INTEREST.—If, at the end of the five-year period beginning on the date of the conveyance under subsection (a), the Secretary of Agriculture determines that the conveyed property is not opened for operation as a landfill, then, at the option of the Secretary of Agriculture, all right, title, and interest in and to the property, including improvements thereon, shall revert to the United States. Upon any such reversion, the property shall be included in the Midewin National Tallgrass Prairie. In the event the United States exercises its option to cause the property to revert, the United States shall have the right of immediate entry onto the property.

(e) INFORMATION REGARDING ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS.—At the request of the Secretary of Agriculture, Will County, the Secretary of the Army, and the Administrator shall provide to the Secretary of Agriculture all information in their possession at the time of the request regarding the environmental condition of the real property to be conveyed under this section. The liability and responsibility of any person under any environmental law shall remain unchanged with respect to the landfill, except as provided in this title, including section 2913.

(f) SURVEYS.—All costs of necessary surveys for the conveyance of real property under this section shall be borne by Will County, Illinois.

(g) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The Secretary of the Army may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with the conveyance under this section as the Secretary of the Army considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

**SEC. 2923. CONVEYANCE OF CERTAIN REAL PROPERTY AT ARSENAL FOR INDUSTRIAL PARKS.**

(a) CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—Subject to section 2931, the Secretary of the Army may convey to the State of Illinois, all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to the parcels of real property at the Arsenal described in subsection (b), which shall be used as industrial parks to replace all or a part of the economic activity lost at the Arsenal.

(b) DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY.—The real property at the Arsenal authorized to be transferred under subsection (a) consists of the following parcels:

(1) A parcel of approximately 1,900 acres, the approximate legal description of which includes part of section 30, Jackson Township, Township 34 North, Range 10 East, and sections or parts of sections 24, 25, 26, 35, and 36, Township 34 North, Range 9 East, in Channahon Township, an area of 9.77 acres around the Des Plaines River Pump Station located in the southeast quarter of section 15, Township 34 North, Range 9 East of the Third Principal Meridian, in Channahon Township, and an area of 511 feet by 596 feet around the Kankakee River Pump Station in the Northwest Quarter of section 5, Township 33 North, Range 9 East, east of the Third Principal Meridian in Wilmington Township, containing 6.99 acres, located along the easterly side of the Kankakee Cut-Off in Will County, Illinois, as depicted in the Arsenal land use concept, and the connecting piping to the northern industrial site, as described by the United States Army Report of Availability, dated 13 December 1993.

(2) A parcel of approximately 1,100 acres, the approximate legal description of which includes part of sections 16, 17, and 18 in Florence Township, Township 33 North, Range 10 East, Will County, Illinois, as depicted in the Arsenal land use concept.

(c) CONSIDERATION.—

(1) DELAY IN PAYMENT OF CONSIDERATION.—After the end of the 20-year period beginning on the date on which the conveyance under subsection (a) is completed, the State of Illinois shall pay to the United States an amount equal to fair market value of the conveyed property as of the time of the conveyance.

(2) EFFECT OF RECONVEYANCE BY STATE.—If the State of Illinois reconveys all or any part of the conveyed property during such 20-year period, the State shall pay to the United States an amount equal to the fair market value of the reconveyed property as of the time of the reconveyance, excluding the value of any improvements made to the property by the State.

(3) DETERMINATION OF FAIR MARKET VALUE.—The Secretary of the Army shall determine fair market value in accordance with Federal appraisal standards and procedures.

(4) TREATMENT OF LEASES.—The Secretary of the Army may treat a lease of the property within such 20-year period as a reconveyance if the Secretary determines that the lease is being used to avoid application of paragraph (2).

(5) DEPOSIT OF PROCEEDS.—The Secretary of the Army shall deposit any proceeds received under this subsection in the special account established pursuant to section 204(h)(2) of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (40 U.S.C. 485(h)(2)).

(d) CONDITIONS OF CONVEYANCE.—

(1) REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY.—The conveyance under subsection (a) shall be subject to the condition that the Governor of the State of Illinois, in consultation with the Mayor of the Village of Elwood, Illinois, and the Mayor of the City of Wilmington, Illinois, establish a redevelopment authority to be responsible for overseeing the development of the industrial parks on the conveyed property.

(2) TIME FOR ESTABLISHMENT.—To satisfy the condition specified in paragraph (1), the redevelopment authority shall be established within one year after the date of the enactment of this title.

(e) SURVEYS.—All costs of necessary surveys for the conveyance of real property under this section shall be borne by the State of Illinois.

(f) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The Secretary of the Army may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with the conveyance under this section as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

**Subtitle C—Miscellaneous Provisions**

**SEC. 2931. DEGREE OF ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Nothing in this title shall be construed to restrict or lessen the degree of cleanup at the Arsenal required to be carried out under provisions of any environmental law.

(b) RESPONSE ACTION.—The establishment of the Midewin National Tallgrass Prairie under subtitle A and the additional real property transfers or conveyances authorized under subtitle B shall not restrict or lessen in any way any response action or degree of cleanup under CERCLA or other environmental law, or any action required under any environmental law to remediate petroleum products or their derivatives (including motor oil and aviation fuel), required to be carried out under the authority of the Secretary of the Army at the Arsenal and surrounding areas.

(c) ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY OF PROPERTY.—Any contract for sale, deed, or other transfer of real property under subtitle B shall be carried out in compliance with all applicable provisions of section 120(h) of CERCLA and other environmental laws.

**SEC. 2932. RETENTION OF PROPERTY USED FOR ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP.**

(a) RETENTION OF CERTAIN PROPERTY.—Unless and until the Arsenal property described in this subsection is actually transferred or conveyed under this title or other applicable law, the Secretary of the Army may retain jurisdiction, authority, and control over real property at the Arsenal to be used for—

(1) water treatment;

(2) the treatment, storage, or disposal of any hazardous substance, pollutant or contaminant, hazardous material, or petroleum products or their derivatives;

(3) other purposes related to any response action at the Arsenal; and

(4) other actions required at the Arsenal under any environmental law to remediate contamination or conditions of noncompliance with any environmental law.

(b) CONDITIONS.—The Secretary of the Army shall consult with the Secretary of Agriculture regarding the identification and management of the real property retained under this section and ensure that activities carried out on that property are consistent, to the extent practicable, with the purposes for which the Midewin National Tallgrass Prairie is established, as specified in section 2914(c), and with the other provisions of sections 2914 and 2915.

(c) PRIORITY OF RESPONSE ACTIONS.—In the case of any conflict between management of the property by the Secretary of Agriculture and any response action required under CERCLA, or any other action required under any other environmental law, including actions to remediate petroleum products or their derivatives, the response action or other action shall take priority.

**DIVISION C—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY AUTHORIZATIONS AND OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS**

**TITLE XXXI—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS**

**Subtitle A—National Security Programs Authorizations**

**SEC. 3101. WEAPONS ACTIVITIES.**

(a) STOCKPILE STEWARDSHIP.—Subject to subsection (d), funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated to the Department of Energy for fiscal year 1996 for stockpile stewardship in carrying out weapons activities necessary for national security programs in the amount of $1,567,175,000, to be allocated as follows:

(1) For core stockpile stewardship, $1,159,708,000, to be allocated as follows:

(A) For operation and maintenance, $1,078,403,000.

(B) For plant projects (including maintenance, restoration, planning, construction, acquisition, modification of facilities, and the continuation of projects authorized in prior years, and land acquisition related thereto), $81,305,000, to be allocated as follows:

Project 96-D-102, stockpile stewardship facilities revitalization, Phase VI, various locations, $2,520,000.

Project 96-D-103, ATLAS, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico, $8,400,000.

Project 96-D-104, processing and environmental technology laboratory (PETL), Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, New Mexico, $1,800,000.

Project 96-D-105, contained firing facility addition, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California, $6,600,000.

Project 95-D-102, Chemical and Metallurgy Research Building upgrades project, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico, $9,940,000.

Project 94-D-102, nuclear weapons research, development, and testing facilities revitalization, Phase V, various locations, $12,200,000.

Project 93-D-102, Nevada support facility, North Las Vegas, Nevada, $15,650,000.

Project 90-D-102, nuclear weapons research, development, and testing facilities revitalization, Phase III, various locations, $6,200,000.

Project 88-D-106, nuclear weapons research, development, and testing facilities revitalization, Phase II, various locations, $17,995,000.

(2) For inertial fusion, $240,667,000, to be allocated as follows:

(A) For operation and maintenance, $203,267,000.

(B) For the following plant project (including maintenance, restoration, planning, construction, acquisition, and modification of facilities, and land acquisition related thereto), $37,400,000:

Project 96-D-111, national ignition facility, location to be determined, $37,400,000.

(3) For technology transfer and education, $160,000,000.

(4) For Marshall Islands, $6,800,000.

(b) STOCKPILE MANAGEMENT.—Subject to subsection (d), funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated to the Department of Energy for fiscal year 1996 for stockpile management in carrying out weapons activities necessary for national security programs in the amount of $2,025,083,000, to be allocated as follows:

(1) For operation and maintenance, $1,911,458,000.

(2) For plant projects (including maintenance, restoration, planning, construction, acquisition, modification of facilities, and the continuation of projects authorized in prior years, and land acquisition related thereto), $113,625,000, to be allocated as follows:

Project 96-D-122, sewage treatment quality upgrade (STQU), Pantex Plant, Amarillo, Texas, $600,000.

Project 96-D-123, retrofit heating, ventilation, and air conditioning and chillers for ozone protection, Y-12 Plant, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, $3,100,000.

Project 96-D-125, Washington measurements operations facility, Andrews Air Force Base, Camp Springs, Maryland, $900,000.

Project 96-D-126, tritium loading line modifications, Savannah River Site, South Carolina, $12,200,000.

Project 95-D-122, sanitary sewer upgrade, Y-12 Plant, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, $6,300,000.

Project 94-D-124, hydrogen fluoride supply system, Y-12 Plant, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, $8,700,000.

Project 94-D-125, upgrade life safety, Kansas City Plant, Kansas City, Missouri, $5,500,000.

Project 94-D-127, emergency notification system, Pantex Plant, Amarillo, Texas, $2,000,000.

Project 94-D-128, environmental safety and health analytical laboratory, Pantex Plant, Amarillo, Texas, $4,000,000.

Project 93-D-122, life safety upgrades, Y-12 Plant, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, $7,200,000.

Project 93-D-123, complex-21, various locations, $41,065,000.

Project 88-D-122, facilities capability assurance program, various locations, $8,660,000.

Project 88-D-123, security enhancement, Pantex Plant, Amarillo, Texas, $13,400,000.

(c) PROGRAM DIRECTION.—Subject to subsection (d), funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated to the Department of Energy for fiscal year 1996 for program direction in carrying out weapons activities necessary for national security programs in the amount of $115,000,000.

(d) ADJUSTMENTS.—The total amount authorized to be appropriated pursuant to this section is the sum of the amounts authorized to be appropriated in subsections (a) through (c) reduced by the sum of—

(1) $37,200,000, for savings resulting from procurement reform; and

(2) $209,744,000, for use of prior year balances.

**SEC. 3102. ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION AND WASTE MANAGEMENT.**

(a) ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION.—Subject to subsection (h), funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated to the Department of Energy for fiscal year 1996 for environmental restoration in carrying out environmental restoration and waste management activities necessary for national security programs in the amount of $1,635,973,000.

(b) WASTE MANAGEMENT.—Subject to subsection (h), funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated to the Department of Energy for fiscal year 1996 for waste management in carrying out environmental restoration and waste management activities necessary for national security programs in the amount of $2,470,598,000, to be allocated as follows:

(1) For operation and maintenance, $2,295,994,000.

(2) For plant projects (including maintenance, restoration, planning, construction, acquisition, modification of facilities, and the continuation of projects authorized in prior years, and land acquisition related thereto), $174,604,000, to be allocated as follows:

Project 96-D-406, spent nuclear fuels canister storage and stabilization facility, Richland, Washington, $42,000,000.

Project 96-D-407, mixed waste/low-level waste treatment projects, Rocky Flats Plant, Golden, Colorado, $2,900,000.

Project 96-D-408, waste management upgrades, various locations, $5,615,000.

Project 95-D-402, install permanent electrical service, Waste Isolation Pilot Plant, Carlsbad, New Mexico, $4,314,000.

Project 95-D-405, industrial landfill V and construction/demolition landfill VII, Phase III, Y-12 Plant, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, $4,600,000.

Project 95-D-406, road 5-01 reconstruction, area 5, Nevada Test Site, Nevada, $1,023,000.

Project 95-D-407, 219-S secondary containment upgrade, Richland Washington, $1,000,000.

Project 94-D-400, high explosive wastewater treatment system, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico, $4,445,000.

Project 94-D-402, liquid waste treatment system, Nevada Test Site, Nevada, $282,000.

Project 94-D-404, Melton Valley storage tank capacity increase, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, $11,000,000.

Project 94-D-407, initial tank retrieval systems, Richland, Washington, $12,000,000.

Project 94-D-411, solid waste operation complex, Richland, Washington, $6,606,000.

Project 93-D-178, building 374 liquid waste treatment facility, Rocky Flats Plant, Golden, Colorado, $3,900,000.

Project 93-D-181, radioactive liquid waste line replacement, Richland, Washington, $5,000,000.

Project 93-D-182, replacement of cross-site transfer system, Richland, Washington, $19,795,000.

Project 93-D-187, high-level waste removal from filled waste tanks, Savannah River Site, South Carolina, $19,700,000.

Project 92-D-171, mixed waste receiving and storage facility, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico, $1,105,000.

Project 92-D-188, waste management environmental, safety and health (ES&H) and compliance activities, various locations, $1,100,000.

Project 90-D-172, aging waste transfer lines, Richland, Washington, $2,000,000.

Project 90-D-177, RWMC transuranic (TRU) waste characterization and storage facility, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, Idaho, $1,428,000.

Project 90-D-178, TSA retrieval enclosure, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, Idaho, $2,606,000.

Project 89-D-173, tank farm ventilation upgrade, Richland, Washington, $800,000.

Project 89-D-174, replacement high-level waste evaporator, Savannah River Site, Aiken, South Carolina, $11,500,000.

Project 86-D-103, decontamination and waste treatment facility, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California, $8,885,000.

Project 83-D-148, nonradioactive hazardous waste management, Savannah River Site, Aiken, South Carolina, $1,000,000.

(c) TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.—Subject to subsection (h), funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated to the Department of Energy for fiscal year 1996 for technology development in carrying out environmental restoration and waste management activities necessary for national security programs in the amount of $440,510,000.

(d) TRANSPORTATION MANAGEMENT.—Subject to subsection (h), funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated to the Department of Energy for fiscal year 1996 for transportation management in carrying out environmental restoration and waste management activities necessary for national security programs in the amount of $13,158,000.

(e) NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND FACILITIES STABILIZATION.—Subject to subsection (h), funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated to the Department of Energy for fiscal year 1996 for nuclear materials and facilities stabilization in carrying out environmental restoration and waste management activities necessary for national security programs in the amount of $1,561,854,000 to be allocated as follows:

(1) For operation and maintenance, $1,447,108,000.

(2) For plant projects (including maintenance, restoration, planning, construction, acquisition, modification of facilities, and the continuation of projects authorized in prior years, and land acquisition related thereto), $114,746,000, to be allocated as follows:

Project 96-D-457, thermal treatment system, Richland Washington, $1,000,000.

Project 96-D-458, site drainage control, Mound Plant, Miamisburg, Ohio, $885,000.

Project 96-D-461, electrical distribution upgrade, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, Idaho, $1,539,000.

Project 96-D-464, electrical and utility systems upgrade, Idaho Chemical Processing Plant, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, Idaho, $4,952,000.

Project 96-D-468, residue elimination project, Rocky Flats Plant, Golden, Colorado, $33,100,000.

Project 96-D-471, chlorofluorocarbon heating, ventilation, and air conditioning and chiller retrofit, Savannah River Site, Aiken, South Carolina, $1,500,000.

Project 95-D-155, upgrade site road infrastructure, Savannah River Site, South Carolina, $2,900,000.

Project 95-D-156, radio trunking system, Savannah River Site, South Carolina, $6,000,000.

Project 95-D-454, 324 facility compliance/renovation, Richland, Washington, $3,500,000.

Project 95-D-456, security facilities upgrade, Idaho Chemical Processing Plant, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, Idaho, $8,382,000.

Project 94-D-122, underground storage tanks, Rocky Flats Plant, Golden, Colorado, $5,000,000.

Project 94-D-401, emergency response facility, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, Idaho, $5,074,000.

Project 94-D-412, 300 area process sewer piping upgrade, Richland, Washington, $1,000,000.

Project 94-D-415, medical facilities, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, Idaho, $3,601,000.

Project 94-D-451, infrastructure replacement, Rocky Flats Plant, Golden, Colorado, $2,940,000.

Project 93-D-147, domestic water system upgrade, Phase I and II, Savannah River Site, Aiken, South Carolina, $7,130,000.

Project 92-D-123, plant fire/security alarm systems replacement, Rocky Flats Plant, Golden, Colorado, $9,560,000.

Project 92-D-125, master safeguards and security agreement/materials surveillance task force security upgrades, Rocky Flats Plant, Golden, Colorado, $7,000,000.

Project 92-D-181, fire and life safety improvements, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, Idaho, $6,883,000.

Project 91-D-127, criticality alarm and plant annunciation utility replacement, Rocky Flats Plant, Golden, Colorado, $2,800,000.

(f) COMPLIANCE AND PROGRAM COORDINATION.—Subject to subsection (h), funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated to the Department of Energy for fiscal year 1996 for compliance and program coordination in carrying out environmental restoration and waste management activities necessary for national security programs in the amount of $46,251,000, to be allocated as follows:

(1) For operation and maintenance, $31,251,000.

(2) For the following plant project (including maintenance, restoration, planning, construction, acquisition, modification of facilities, and the continuation of a project authorized in prior years, and land acquisition related thereto):

Project 95-E-600, hazardous materials training center, Richland, Washington, $15,000,000.

(g) ANALYSIS, EDUCATION, AND RISK MANAGEMENT.—Subject to subsection (h), funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated to the Department of Energy for fiscal year 1996 for analysis, education, and risk management in carrying out environmental restoration and waste management activities necessary for national security programs in the amount of $78,522,000.

(h) ADJUSTMENTS.—The total amount authorized to be appropriated pursuant to this section is the sum of the amounts specified in subsections (a) through (g) reduced by the sum of—

(1) $652,334,000, for use of prior year balances; and

(2) $37,000,000, for Savannah River Pension Refund.

**SEC. 3103. OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES.**

(a) OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES.—Subject to subsection (b), funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated to the Department of Energy for fiscal year 1996 for other defense activities in carrying out programs necessary for national security in the amount of $1,351,975,600, to be allocated as follows:

(1) For verification and control technology, $428,205,600, to be allocated as follows:

(A) For nonproliferation and verification research and development, $224,905,000.

(B) For arms control, $160,964,600.

(C) For intelligence, $42,336,000.

(2) For nuclear safeguards and security, $83,395,000.

(3) For security investigations, $20,000,000.

(4) For security evaluations, $14,707,000.

(5) For the Office of Nuclear Safety, $17,679,000.

(6) For worker and community transition assistance, $82,500,000.

(7) For fissile materials disposition, $70,000,000.

(8) For emergency management, $23,321,000.

(9) For naval reactors development, $682,168,000, to be allocated as follows:

(A) For operation and infrastructure, $652,568,000.

(B) For plant projects (including maintenance, restoration, planning, construction, acquisition, modification of facilities, and the continuation of projects authorized in prior years, and land acquisition related thereto), $29,600,000, to be allocated as follows:

Project GPN-101, general plant projects, various locations, $6,600,000.

Project 95-D-200, laboratory systems and hot cell upgrades, various locations, $11,300,000.

Project 95-D-201, advanced test reactor radioactive waste system upgrades, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, Idaho, $4,800,000.

Project 93-D-200, engineering services facilities, Knolls Atomic Power Laboratory, Niskayuna, New York, $3,900,000.

Project 90-N-102, expended core facility dry cell project, Naval Reactors Facility, Idaho, $3,000,000.

(b) ADJUSTMENT.—The total amount authorized to be appropriated pursuant to this section is the amount authorized to be appropriated in subsection (a) reduced by $70,000,000, for use of prior year balances.

**SEC. 3104. DEFENSE NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL.**

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated to the Department of Energy for fiscal year 1996 for payment to the Nuclear Waste Fund established in section 302(c) of the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982 (42 U.S.C. 10222(c)) in the amount of $248,400,000.

**Subtitle B—Recurring General Provisions**

**SEC. 3121. REPROGRAMMING.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Until the Secretary of Energy submits to the congressional defense committees the report referred to in subsection (b) and a period of 30 days has elapsed after the date on which such committees receive the report, the Secretary may not use amounts appropriated pursuant to this title for any program—

(1) in amounts that exceed, in a fiscal year—

(A) 110 percent of the amount authorized for that program by this title; or

(B) $1,000,000 more than the amount authorized for that program by this title; or

(2) which has not been presented to, or requested of, Congress.

(b) REPORT.—(1) The report referred to in subsection (a) is a report containing a full and complete statement of the action proposed to be taken and the facts and circumstances relied upon in support of such proposed action.

(2) In the computation of the 30-day period under subsection (a), there shall be excluded any day on which either House of Congress is not in session because of an adjournment of more than 3 days to a day certain.

(c) LIMITATIONS.—(1) In no event may the total amount of funds obligated pursuant to this title exceed the total amount authorized to be appropriated by this title.

(2) Funds appropriated pursuant to this title may not be used for an item for which Congress has specifically denied funds.

**SEC. 3122. LIMITS ON GENERAL PLANT PROJECTS.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Energy may carry out any construction project under the general plant projects authorized by this title if the total estimated cost of the construction project does not exceed $2,000,000.

(b) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—If, at any time during the construction of any general plant project authorized by this title, the estimated cost of the project is revised because of unforeseen cost variations and the revised cost of the project exceeds $2,000,000, the Secretary shall immediately furnish a complete report to the congressional defense committees explaining the reasons for the cost variation.

**SEC. 3123. LIMITS ON CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—(1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), construction on a construction project may not be started or additional obligations incurred in connection with the project above the total estimated cost, whenever the current estimated cost of the construction project, which is authorized by sections 3101, 3102, or 3103, or which is in support of national security programs of the Department of Energy and was authorized by any previous Act, exceeds by more than 25 percent the higher of—

(A) the amount authorized for the project; or

(B) the amount of the total estimated cost for the project as shown in the most recent budget justification data submitted to Congress.

(2) An action described in paragraph (1) may be taken if—

(A) the Secretary of Energy has submitted to the congressional defense committees a report on the actions and the circumstances making such action necessary; and

(B) a period of 30 days has elapsed after the date on which the report is received by the committees.

(3) In the computation of the 30-day period under paragraph (2), there shall be excluded any day on which either House of Congress is not in session because of an adjournment of more than 3 days to a day certain.

(b) EXCEPTION.—Subsection (a) shall not apply to any construction project which has a current estimated cost of less than $5,000,000.

**SEC. 3124. FUND TRANSFER AUTHORITY.**

(a) TRANSFER TO OTHER FEDERAL AGENCIES.—The Secretary of Energy may transfer funds authorized to be appropriated to the Department of Energy pursuant to this title to other Federal agencies for the performance of work for which the funds were authorized. Funds so transferred may be merged with and be available for the same purposes and for the same period as the authorizations of the Federal agency to which the amounts are transferred.

(b) TRANSFER WITHIN DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY; LIMITATIONS.—(1) Subject to paragraph (2), the Secretary of Energy may transfer funds authorized to be appropriated to the Department of Energy pursuant to this title between any such authorizations. Amounts of authorizations so transferred may be merged with and be available for the same purposes and for the same period as the authorization to which the amounts are transferred.

(2) Not more than five percent of any such authorization may be transferred between authorizations under paragraph (1). No such authorization may be increased or decreased by more than five percent by a transfer under such paragraph.

(3) The authority provided by this section to transfer authorizations—

(A) may only be used to provide funds for items relating to weapons activities necessary for national security programs that have a higher priority than the items from which the funds are transferred; and

(B) may not be used to provide authority for an item that has been denied funds by Congress.

(c) NOTICE TO CONGRESS.—The Secretary of Energy shall promptly notify the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives of any transfer of funds to or from authorizations under this title.

**SEC. 3125. AUTHORITY FOR CONCEPTUAL AND CONSTRUCTION DESIGN.**

(a) REQUIREMENT FOR CONCEPTUAL DESIGN.—(1) Subject to paragraph (2) and except as provided in paragraph (3), before submitting to Congress a request for funds for a construction project that is in support of a national security program of the Department of Energy, the Secretary of Energy shall complete a conceptual design for that project.

(2) If the estimated cost of completing a conceptual design for a construction project exceeds $3,000,000, the Secretary shall submit to Congress a request for funds for the conceptual design before submitting a request for funds for the construction project.

(3) The requirement in paragraph (1) does not apply to a request for funds—

(A) for a construction project the total estimated cost of which is less than $2,000,000; or

(B) for emergency planning, design, and construction activities under section 3126.

(b) AUTHORITY FOR CONSTRUCTION DESIGN.—(1) Within the amounts authorized by this title, the Secretary of Energy may carry out construction design (including architectural and engineering services) in connection with any proposed construction project if the total estimated cost for such design does not exceed $600,000.

(2) If the total estimated cost for construction design in connection with any construction project exceeds $600,000, funds for such design must be specifically authorized by law.

**SEC. 3126. AUTHORITY FOR EMERGENCY PLANNING, DESIGN, AND CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES.**

(a) AUTHORITY.—The Secretary of Energy may use any funds available to the Department of Energy pursuant to an authorization in this title, including those funds authorized to be appropriated for advance planning and construction design under sections 3101, 3102, and 3103, to perform planning, design, and construction activities for any Department of Energy national security program construction project that, as determined by the Secretary, must proceed expeditiously in order to protect public health and safety, to meet the needs of national defense, or to protect property.

(b) LIMITATION.—The Secretary may not exercise the authority under subsection (a) in the case of any construction project until the Secretary has submitted to the congressional defense committees a report on the activities that the Secretary intends to carry out under this section and the circumstances making such activities necessary.

(c) SPECIFIC AUTHORITY.—The requirement of section 3125(b)(2) does not apply to emergency planning, design, and construction activities conducted under this section.

**SEC. 3127. FUNDS AVAILABLE FOR ALL NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS OF THE DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY.**

Subject to the provisions of appropriations Acts and section 3121, amounts appropriated pursuant to this title for management and support activities and for general plant projects are available for use, when necessary, in connection with all national security programs of the Department of Energy.

**SEC. 3128. AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.**

When so specified in an appropriation Act, amounts appropriated for operation and maintenance or for plant projects may remain available until expended.

**Subtitle C—Program Authorizations, Restrictions, and Limitations**

**SEC. 3131. AUTHORITY TO CONDUCT PROGRAM RELATING TO FISSILE MATERIALS.**

(a) AUTHORITY.—The Secretary of Energy may conduct programs designed to improve the protection, control, and accountability of fissile materials in Russia.

(b) SEMI-ANNUAL REPORTS ON OBLIGATION OF FUNDS.—(1) Not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, and thereafter not later than April 1 and October 1 of each year, the Secretary of Energy shall submit to Congress a report on each obligation during the preceding six months of funds appropriated for a program described in subsection (a).

(2) Each such report shall specify—

(A) the activities and forms of assistance for which the Secretary of Energy has obligated funds;

(B) the amount of the obligation;

(C) the activities and forms of assistance for which the Secretary anticipates obligating funds during the six months immediately following the report, and the amount of each such anticipated obligation; and

(D) the projected involvement (if any) of any department or agency of the United States (in addition to the Department of Energy) and of the private sector of the United States in the activities and forms of assistance for which the Secretary of Energy has obligated funds referred to in subparagraph (A).

**SEC. 3132. NATIONAL IGNITION FACILITY.**

None of the funds authorized to be appropriated pursuant to this title for construction of the National Ignition Facility may be obligated until—

(1) the Secretary of Energy determines that the construction of the National Ignition Facility will not impede the nuclear nonproliferation objectives of the United States; and

(2) the Secretary of Energy notifies the congressional defense committees of that determination.

**SEC. 3133. TRITIUM PRODUCTION PROGRAM.**

(a) ESTABLISHMENT OF PROGRAM.—The Secretary of Energy shall establish a tritium production program that is capable of meeting the tritium requirements of the United States for nuclear weapons. In carrying out the tritium production program, the Secretary shall—

(1) complete the tritium supply and recycling environmental impact statement in preparation by the Secretary as of the date of the enactment of this Act; and

(2) assess alternative means for tritium production, including production through—

(A) types of new and existing reactors, including multipurpose reactors (such as advanced light water reactors and gas turbine gas-cooled reactors) capable of meeting both the tritium production requirements and the plutonium disposition requirements of the United States for nuclear weapons;

(B) an accelerator; and

(C) multipurpose reactor projects carried out by the private sector and the Government.

(b) FUNDING.—Of funds authorized to be appropriated to the Department of Energy pursuant to section 3101, not more than $50,000,000 shall be available for the tritium production program established pursuant to subsection (a).

(c) LOCATION OF TRITIUM PRODUCTION FACILITY.—The Secretary shall locate any new tritium production facility of the Department of Energy at the Savannah River Site, South Carolina.

(d) COST-BENEFIT ANALYSIS.—(1) The Secretary shall include in the statements referred to in paragraph (2) a comparison of the costs and benefits of carrying out two projects for the separate performance of the tritium production mission of the Department and the plutonium disposition mission of the Department with the costs and benefits of carrying out one multipurpose project for the performance of both such missions.

(2) The statements referred to in paragraph (1) are—

(A) the environmental impact statement referred to in subsection (a)(1);

(B) the plutonium disposition environmental impact statement in preparation by the Secretary as of the date of the enactment of this Act; and

(C) assessments related to the environmental impact statements referred to in subparagraphs (A) and (B).

(e) REPORT.—Not later than 45 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives a report on the tritium production program established pursuant to subsection (a). The report shall include a specification of—

(1) the planned expenditures of the Department during fiscal year 1996 for any of the alternative means for tritium production assessed under subsection (a)(2);

(2) the amount of funds required to be expended by the Department, and the program milestones (including feasibility demonstrations) required to be met, during fiscal years 1997 through 2001 to ensure tritium production beginning not later than 2005 that is adequate to meet the tritium requirements of the United States for nuclear weapons; and

(3) the amount of such funds to be expended and such program milestones to be met during such fiscal years to ensure such tritium production beginning not later than 2011.

(f) TRITIUM TARGETS.—Of the funds made available pursuant to subsection (b), not more than $5,000,000 shall be available for the

Idaho National Engineering Laboratory for the test and development of nuclear reactor tritium targets for the types of reactors assessed under subsection (a)(2)(A).

**SEC. 3134. PAYMENT OF PENALTIES.**

The Secretary of Energy may pay to the Hazardous Substance Superfund established under section 9507 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (26 U.S.C. 9507), from funds appropriated to the Department of Energy for environmental restoration and waste management activities pursuant to section 3102, stipulated civil penalties in the amount of $350,000 assessed under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (42 U.S.C. 9601 et seq.) against the Rocky Flats Site, Colorado.

**SEC. 3135. FISSILE MATERIALS DISPOSITION.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Of the funds authorized to be appropriated to the Department of Energy for fiscal year 1996 pursuant to section 3103, $70,000,000 shall be available only for purposes of completing the evaluation of, and commencing implementation of, the interim- and long-term storage and disposition (including storage and disposition through the use of advanced light water reactors and gas turbine gas-cooled reactors) of fissile materials (including plutonium, highly enriched uranium, and other fissile materials) that are excess to the national security needs of the United States.

(b) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR MULTIPURPOSE REACTORS.—Of funds made available pursuant to subsection (a), sufficient funds shall be made available for the complete consideration of multipurpose reactors for the disposition of fissile materials in the programmatic environmental impact statement of the Department.

(c) LIMITATION.—Of funds made available pursuant to subsection (a), $10,000,000 shall be available only for a plutonium resource assessment.

**SEC. 3136. TRITIUM RECYCLING.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subsection (b), the following activities shall be carried out at the Savannah River Site, South Carolina:

(1) All tritium recycling for weapons, including tritium refitting.

(2) All activities regarding tritium formerly carried out at the Mound Plant, Ohio.

(b) EXCEPTION.—The following activities may be carried out at the Los Alamos National Laboratory, New Mexico:

(1) Research on tritium.

(2) Work on tritium in support of the defense inertial confinement fusion program.

(3) Provision of technical assistance to the Savannah River Site regarding the weapons surveillance program.

**SEC. 3137. MANUFACTURING INFRASTRUCTURE FOR REFABRICATION AND CERTIFICATION OF NUCLEAR WEAPONS STOCKPILE.**

(a) MANUFACTURING PROGRAM.—The Secretary of Energy shall carry out a program for purposes of establishing within the Government a manufacturing infrastructure that has the capabilities of meeting the following objectives as specified in the Nuclear Posture Review:

(1) To provide a stockpile surveillance engineering base.

(2) To refabricate and certify weapon components and types in the enduring nuclear weapons stockpile, as necessary.

(3) To fabricate and certify new nuclear warheads, as necessary.

(4) To support nuclear weapons.

(5) To supply sufficient tritium in support of nuclear weapons to ensure an upload hedge in the event circumstances require.

(b) REQUIRED CAPABILITIES.—The manufacturing infrastructure established under the program under subsection (a) shall include the following capabilities (modernized to attain the objectives referred to in that subsection):

(1) The weapons assembly capabilities of the Pantex Plant.

(2) The weapon secondary fabrication capabilities of the Y-12 Plant, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.

(3) The tritium production, recycling, and other weapons-related capabilities of the Savannah River Site.

(4) The non-nuclear component capabilities of the Kansas City Plant.

(c) NUCLEAR POSTURE REVIEW.—For purposes of subsection (a), the term "Nuclear Posture Review" means the Department of Defense Nuclear Posture Review as contained in the Report of the Secretary of Defense to the President and the Congress dated February 19, 1995, or subsequent such reports.

(d) FUNDING.—Of the funds authorized to be appropriated under section 3101(b), $143,000,000 shall be available for carrying out the program required under this section, of which—

(1) $35,000,000 shall be available for activities at the Pantex Plant;

(2) $30,000,000 shall be available for activities at the Y-12 Plant, Oak Ridge, Tennessee;

(3) $35,000,000 shall be available for activities at the Savannah River Site; and

(4) $43,000,000 shall be available for activities at the Kansas City Plant.

(e) PLAN AND REPORT.—The Secretary shall develop a plan for the implementation of this section. Not later than March 1, 1996, the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report on the obligations the Secretary has incurred, and plans to incur, during fiscal year 1996 for the program referred to in subsection (a).

**SEC. 3138. HYDRONUCLEAR EXPERIMENTS.**

Of the funds authorized to be appropriated to the Department of Energy pursuant to section 3101, $30,000,000 shall be available to prepare for the commencement of a program of hydronuclear experiments at the nuclear weapons design laboratories at the Nevada Test Site, Nevada. The purpose of the program shall be to maintain confidence in the reliability and safety of the nuclear weapons stockpile.

**SEC. 3139. LIMITATION ON AUTHORITY TO CONDUCT HYDRONUCLEAR TESTS.**

Nothing in this Act may be construed to authorize the conduct of hydronuclear tests or to amend or repeal the requirements of section 507 of the Energy and Water Development Appropriations Act, 1993 (Public Law 102-377; 106 Stat. 1343; 42 U.S.C. 2121 note).

**SEC. 3140. FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM FOR DEVELOPMENT OF SKILLS CRITICAL TO THE DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NUCLEAR WEAPONS COMPLEX.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Energy shall conduct a fellowship program for the development of skills critical to the ongoing mission of the Department of Energy nuclear weapons complex. Under the fellowship program, the Secretary shall—

(1) provide educational assistance and research assistance to eligible individuals to facilitate the development by such individuals of skills critical to maintaining the ongoing mission of the Department of Energy nuclear weapons complex;

(2) employ eligible individuals at the facilities described in subsection (c) in order to facilitate the development of such skills by these individuals; or

(3) provide eligible individuals with the assistance and the employment.

(b) ELIGIBLE INDIVIDUALS.—Individuals eligible for participation in the fellowship program are the following:

(1) Students pursuing graduate degrees in fields of science or engineering that are related to nuclear weapons engineering or to the science and technology base of the Department of Energy.

(2) Individuals engaged in postdoctoral studies in such fields.

(c) COVERED FACILITIES.—The Secretary shall carry out the fellowship program at or in connection with the following facilities:

(1) The Kansas City Plant, Kansas City, Missouri.

(2) The Pantex Plant, Amarillo, Texas.

(3) The Y-12 Plant, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.

(4) The Savannah River Site, Aiken, South Carolina.

(d) ADMINISTRATION.—The Secretary shall carry out the fellowship program at a facility referred to in subsection (c) through the stockpile manager of the facility.

(e) ALLOCATION OF FUNDS.—The Secretary shall, in consultation with the Assistant Secretary of Energy for Defense Programs, allocate funds available for the fellowship program under subsection (f) among the facilities referred to in subsection (c). The Secretary shall make the allocation after evaluating an assessment by the weapons program director of each such facility of the personnel and critical skills necessary at the facility for carrying out the ongoing mission of the facility.

(f) FUNDING.—Of the funds authorized to be appropriated to the Department of Energy for fiscal year 1996 under section 3101(b), $10,000,000 may be used for the purpose of carrying out the fellowship program under this section.

**SEC. 3141. LIMITATION ON USE OF FUNDS FOR CERTAIN RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT PURPOSES.**

Funds appropriated or otherwise made available to the Department of Energy for fiscal year 1996 under section 3101 may be obligated and expended for activities under the Department of Energy Laboratory Directed Research and Development Program or under Department of Energy technology transfer programs only if such activities support the national security mission of the Department.

**SEC. 3142. PROCESSING AND TREATMENT OF HIGH-LEVEL NUCLEAR WASTE AND SPENT NUCLEAR FUEL RODS.**

(a) PROCESSING OF SPENT NUCLEAR FUEL RODS.—Of the amounts appropriated pursuant to section 3102, there shall be available to the Secretary of Energy to respond effectively to new requirements for managing spent nuclear fuel—

(1) not more than $30,000,000, for the Savannah River Site for the development and implementation of a program for the processing, reprocessing, separation, reduction, isolation, and interim storage of high-level nuclear waste associated with aluminum clad spent fuel rods and foreign spent fuel rods; and

(2) not more than $15,000,000, for the Idaho National Engineering Laboratory for the development and implementation of a program for the treatment, preparation, and conditioning of high-level nuclear waste and spent nuclear fuel (including naval spent nuclear fuel), nonaluminum clad fuel rods, and foreign fuel rods for interim storage and final disposition.

(b) IMPLEMENTATION PLAN.—Not later than April 30, 1996, the Secretary shall submit to

Congress a five-year plan for the implementation of the programs referred to in subsection (a). The plan shall include—

(1) an assessment of the facilities required to be constructed or upgraded to carry out the processing, separation, reduction, isolation and interim storage of high-level nuclear waste;

(2) a description of the technologies, including stabilization technologies, that are required to be developed for the efficient conduct of the programs;

(3) a projection of the dates upon which activities under the programs are sufficiently completed to provide for the transfers of such waste to permanent repositories; and

(4) a projection of the total cost to complete the programs.

(c) ELECTROMETALLURGICAL WASTE TREATMENT TECHNOLOGIES.—Of the amount appropriated pursuant to section 3102(c), not more than $25,000,000 shall be available for development of electrometallurgical waste treatment technologies at the Argonne National Laboratory.

(d) USE OF FUNDS FOR SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.—Funds made available pursuant to subsection (a)(2) for the Idaho National Engineering Laboratory shall be considered to be funds made available in partial fulfillment of the terms and obligations set forth in the settlement agreement entered into by the United States with the State of Idaho in the actions captioned Public Service Co. of Colorado v. Batt, Civil No. 91-0035-S-EJL, and United States v. Batt, Civil No. 91-0054-S-EJL, in the United States District Court for the District of Idaho and the consent order of the United States District Court for the District of Idaho, dated October 17, 1995, that effectuates the settlement agreement.

**SEC. 3143. PROTECTION OF WORKERS AT NUCLEAR WEAPONS FACILITIES.**

Of the funds authorized to be appropriated to the Department of Energy under section 3102, $10,000,000 shall be available to carry out activities authorized under section 3131 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 1992 and 1993 (Public Law 102-190; 105 Stat. 1571; 42 U.S.C. 7274d), relating to worker protection at nuclear weapons facilities.

**SEC. 3144. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY DECLASSIFICATION PRODUCTIVITY INITIATIVE.**

Of the funds authorized to be appropriated to the Department of Energy under section 3103, $3,000,000 shall be available for the Declassification Productivity Initiative of the Department of Energy.

**Subtitle D—Other Matters**

**SEC. 3151. REPORT ON FOREIGN TRITIUM PURCHASES.**

(a) REPORT.—Not later than May 1, 1996, the President shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the feasibility of, the cost of, and the policy, legal, and other issues associated with purchasing tritium from various foreign suppliers in order to ensure an adequate supply of tritium in the United States for nuclear weapons.

(b) FORM OF REPORT.—The report shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may contain a classified appendix.

**SEC. 3152. STUDY ON NUCLEAR TEST READINESS POSTURES.**

Not later than February 15, 1996, the Secretary of Energy shall submit to Congress a report on the costs, programmatic issues, and other issues associated with sustaining the capability of the Department of Energy—

(1) to conduct an underground nuclear test 6 months after the date on which the President determines that such a test is necessary to ensure the national security of the United States;

(2) to conduct such a test 18 months after such date; and

(3) to conduct such a test 36 months after such date.

**SEC. 3153. MASTER PLAN FOR THE CERTIFICATION, STEWARDSHIP, AND MANAGEMENT OF WARHEADS IN THE NUCLEAR WEAPONS STOCKPILE.**

(a) MASTER PLAN REQUIREMENT.—Not later than March 15, 1996, the President shall submit to Congress a master plan for maintaining the nuclear weapons stockpile. The President shall submit to Congress an update of the master plan not later than March 15 of each year thereafter.

(b) PLAN ELEMENTS.—The master plan and each update of the master plan shall set forth the following:

(1) The numbers of weapons (including active and inactive weapons) for each type of weapon in the nuclear weapons stockpile.

(2) The expected design lifetime of each weapon type, the current age of each weapon type, and any plans (including the analytical basis for such plans) for lifetime extensions of a weapon type.

(3) An estimate of the lifetime of the nuclear and nonnuclear components of the weapons (including active weapons and inactive weapons) in the nuclear weapons stockpile, and any plans (including the analytical basis for such plans) for lifetime extensions of such components.

(4) A schedule of the modifications, if any, required for each weapon type (including active and inactive weapons) in the nuclear weapons stockpile and the cost of such modifications.

(5) The process to be used in recertifying the safety, reliability, and performance of each weapon type (including active weapons and inactive weapons) in the nuclear weapons stockpile.

(6) The manufacturing infrastructure required to maintain the nuclear weapons stockpile stewardship and management programs, including a detailed project plan that demonstrates the manner by which the Government will develop by 2002 the capability to refabricate and certify warheads in the nuclear weapons stockpile and to design, fabricate, and certify new warheads.

(c) FORM OF PLAN.—The master plan and each update of the master plan shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may contain a classified appendix.

**SEC. 3154. PROHIBITION ON INTERNATIONAL INSPECTIONS OF DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY FACILITIES UNLESS PROTECTION OF RESTRICTED DATA IS CERTIFIED.**

(a) PROHIBITION ON INSPECTIONS.—(1) The Secretary of Energy may not allow an inspection of a nuclear weapons facility by the International Atomic Energy Agency until the Secretary certifies to Congress that no restricted data will be revealed during such inspection.

(2) For purposes of paragraph (1), the term "restricted data" has the meaning provided by section 11 y. of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (42 U.S.C. 2014(y)).

(b) EXTENSION OF NOTICE-AND-WAIT REQUIREMENT REGARDING PROPOSED COOPERATION AGREEMENTS.—Section 3155(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (Public Law 103-337; 108 Stat. 3092) is amended by striking out "December 31, 1995" and inserting in lieu thereof "October 1, 1996".

**SEC. 3155. REVIEW OF CERTAIN DOCUMENTS BEFORE DECLASSIFICATION AND RELEASE.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Energy shall ensure that, before a document of the Department of Energy that contains national security information is released or declassified, such document is reviewed to determine whether it contains restricted data.

(b) LIMITATION ON DECLASSIFICATION.—The Secretary may not implement the automatic declassification provisions of Executive Order 12958 if the Secretary determines that such implementation could result in the automatic declassification and release of documents containing restricted data.

(c) RESTRICTED DATA DEFINED.—In this section, the term "restricted data" has the meaning provided by section 11 y. of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (42 U.S.C. 2014(y)).

**SEC. 3156. ACCELERATED SCHEDULE FOR ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION AND WASTE MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES.**

(a) ACCELERATED CLEANUP.—The Secretary of Energy shall accelerate the schedule for environmental restoration and waste management activities and projects for a site at a Department of Energy defense nuclear facility if the Secretary determines that such an accelerated schedule will achieve meaningful, long-term cost savings to the Federal Government and could substantially accelerate the release of land for local reuse.

(b) CONSIDERATION OF FACTORS.—In making a determination under subsection (a), the Secretary shall consider the following:

(1) The cost savings achievable by the Federal Government.

(2) The amount of time for completion of environmental restoration and waste management activities and projects at the site that can be reduced from the time specified for completion of such activities and projects in the baseline environmental management report required to be submitted for 1995 under section 3153 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1994 (42 U.S.C. 7274k).

(3) The potential for reuse of the site.

(4) The risks that the site poses to local health and safety.

(5) The proximity of the site to populated areas.

(c) REPORT.—Not later than May 1, 1996, the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report on each site for which the Secretary has accelerated the schedule for environmental restoration and waste management activities and projects under subsection (a). The report shall include an explanation of the basis for the determination for that site required by such subsection, including an explanation of the consideration of the factors described in subsection (b).

(d) SAVINGS PROVISION.—Nothing in this section may be construed to affect a specific statutory requirement for a specific environmental restoration or waste management activity or project or to modify or otherwise affect applicable statutory or regulatory environmental restoration and waste management requirements, including substantive standards intended to protect public health and the environment.

**SEC. 3157. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING CERTAIN ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION REQUIREMENTS.**

It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) an individual acting within the scope of that individual's employment with a Federal agency should not be personally subject to civil or criminal sanctions (to the extent such sanctions are provided for by law) as a result of the failure to comply with an environmental cleanup requirement under the

Solid Waste Disposal Act or the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act or an analogous requirement under a comparable Federal, State, or local law, in any circumstance under which such failure to comply is due to an insufficiency of funds appropriated to carry out such requirement;

(2) Federal and State enforcement authorities should refrain from an enforcement action in a circumstance described in paragraph (1); and

(3) if funds appropriated for a fiscal year after fiscal year 1995 are insufficient to carry out any such environmental cleanup requirement, Congress should elicit the views of Federal agencies, affected States, and the public, and consider appropriate legislative action to address personal criminal liability in a circumstance described in paragraph (1) and any related issues pertaining to potential liability of a Federal agency.

**SEC. 3158. RESPONSIBILITY FOR DEFENSE PROGRAMS EMERGENCY RESPONSE PROGRAM.**

The Office of Military Applications under the Assistant Secretary of Energy for Defense Programs shall retain responsibility for the Defense Programs Emergency Response Program within the Department of Energy.

**SEC. 3159. REQUIREMENTS FOR DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY WEAPONS ACTIVITIES BUDGETS FOR FISCAL YEARS AFTER FISCAL YEAR 1996.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—The weapons activities budget of the Department of Energy shall be developed in accordance with the Nuclear Posture Review, the Post Nuclear Posture Review Stockpile Memorandum currently under development, and the programmatic and technical requirements associated with the review and memorandum.

(b) REQUIRED DETAIL.—The Secretary of Energy shall include in the materials that the Secretary submits to Congress in support of the budget for a fiscal year submitted by the President pursuant to section 1105 of title 31, United States Code, a long-term program plan, and a near-term program plan, for the certification and stewardship of the nuclear weapons stockpile.

(c) DEFINITION.—In this section, the term "Nuclear Posture Review" means the Department of Defense Nuclear Posture Review as contained in the report of the Secretary of Defense to the President and the Congress dated February 19, 1995, or in subsequent such reports.

**SEC. 3160. REPORT ON HYDRONUCLEAR TESTING.**

(a) REPORT.—The Secretary of Energy shall direct the joint preparation by the Directors of the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory and the Los Alamos National Laboratory of a report on the advantages and disadvantages with respect to the safety and reliability of the nuclear weapons stockpile of permitting alternative limits to the current limit on the explosive yield of hydronuclear and other explosive tests. The report shall address the following explosive yield limits:

(1) 4 pounds (TNT equivalent).

(2) 400 pounds (TNT equivalent).

(3) 4,000 pounds (TNT equivalent).

(4) 40,000 pounds (TNT equivalent).

(5) 400 tons (TNT equivalent).

(b) FUNDING.—The Secretary shall make available funds appropriated to the Department of Energy pursuant to section 3101 for preparation of the report required under subsection (a).

**SEC. 3161. APPLICABILITY OF ATOMIC ENERGY COMMUNITY ACT OF 1955 TO LOS ALAMOS, NEW MEXICO.**

(a) DATE OF TRANSFER OF UTILITIES.—Section 72 of the Atomic Energy Community Act of 1955 (42 U.S.C. 2372) is amended by striking out "not later than five years after the date it is included within this Act" and inserting in lieu thereof "not later than June 30, 1998".

(b) DATE OF TRANSFER OF MUNICIPAL INSTALLATIONS.—Section 83 of such Act (42 U.S.C. 2383) is amended by striking out "not later than five years after the date it is included within this Act" and inserting in lieu thereof "not later than June 30, 1998".

(c) RECOMMENDATION FOR FURTHER ASSISTANCE PAYMENTS.—Section 91d. of such Act (42 U.S.C. 2391) is amended—

(1) by striking out ", and the Los Alamos School Board;" and all that follows through "county of Los Alamos, New Mexico" and inserting in lieu thereof "; or not later than June 30, 1996, in the case of the Los Alamos School Board and the county of Los Alamos, New Mexico"; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new sentence: "If the recommendation under the preceding sentence regarding the Los Alamos School Board or the county of Los Alamos, New Mexico, indicates a need for further assistance for the school board or the county, as the case may be, after June 30, 1997, the recommendation shall include a report and plan describing the actions required to eliminate the need for further assistance for the school board or the county, including a proposal for legislative action to carry out the plan.".

(d) CONTRACT TO MAKE PAYMENTS.—Section 94 of such Act (42 U.S.C. 2394) is amended—

(1) by striking out "June 30, 1996" each place it appears in the proviso in the first sentence and inserting in lieu thereof "June 30, 1997"; and

(2) by striking out "July 1, 1996" in the second sentence and inserting in lieu thereof "July 1, 1997".

**SEC. 3162. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING SHIPMENTS OF SPENT NUCLEAR FUEL.**

(a) FINDINGS.—Congress makes the following findings:

(1) The United States has entered into a settlement agreement with the State of Idaho in the actions captioned Public Service Co. of Colorado v. Batt, Civil No. 91-0035-S-EJL, and United States v. Batt, Civil No. 91-0054-S-EJL, in the United States District Court for the District of Idaho, regarding shipment of naval spent nuclear fuel to Idaho, examination and storage of such fuel in Idaho, and other matters.

(2) Under this court enforceable agreement—

(A) the State of Idaho has agreed—

(i) to accept 575 shipments of naval spent nuclear fuel from the Navy into Idaho between October 17, 1995 and 2035;

(ii) to accept certain shipments of spent nuclear fuel from the Department of Energy into Idaho between October 17, 1995 and 2035; and

(iii) to allow the Navy and the Department of Energy, on an interim basis, to store the spent nuclear fuel in Idaho over the next 40 years; and

(B) the United States has made commitments—

(i) to remove all spent nuclear fuel (except certain quantities for testing) from Idaho by 2035; and

(ii) to facilitate the cleanup and stabilization of radioactive waste at the Idaho National Engineering Laboratory.

(3) The settlement agreement allows the Department of Energy and the Department of the Navy to meet responsibilities that are important to the national security interests of the United States.

(4) Authorizations and appropriations of funds will be necessary in order to provide for fulfillment of the terms and obligations set forth in the settlement agreement.

(b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—(1) Congress recognizes the need to implement the terms, conditions, rights, and obligations contained in the settlement agreement referred to in subsection (a)(1) and the consent order of the United States District Court for the District of Idaho, dated October 17, 1995, that effectuates the settlement agreement in accordance with those terms, conditions, rights, and obligations.

(2) It is the sense of Congress that funds requested by the President to carry out the settlement agreement and such consent order should be appropriated for that purpose.

## TITLE XXXII—DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD

**SEC. 3201. AUTHORIZATION.**

There are authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 1996, $17,000,000 for the operation of the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board under chapter 21 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (42 U.S.C. 2286 et seq.).

## TITLE XXXIII—NATIONAL DEFENSE STOCKPILE

### Subtitle A—Authorization of Disposals and Use of Funds

**SEC. 3301. DEFINITIONS.**

For purposes of this subtitle:

(1) The term "National Defense Stockpile" means the stockpile provided for in section 4 of the Strategic and Critical Materials Stock Piling Act (50 U.S.C. 98c).

(2) The term "National Defense Stockpile Transaction Fund" means the fund in the Treasury of the United States established under section 9(a) of the Strategic and Critical Materials Stock Piling Act (50 U.S.C. 98h(a)).

**SEC. 3302. AUTHORIZED USES OF STOCKPILE FUNDS.**

(a) OBLIGATION OF STOCKPILE FUNDS.—During fiscal year 1996, the National Defense Stockpile Manager may obligate up to $77,100,000 of the funds in the National Defense Stockpile Transaction Fund for the authorized uses of such funds under section 9(b)(2) of the Strategic and Critical Materials Stock Piling Act (50 U.S.C. 98h(b)(2)).

(b) ADDITIONAL OBLIGATIONS.—The National Defense Stockpile Manager may obligate amounts in excess of the amount specified in subsection (a) if the National Defense Stockpile Manager notifies Congress that extraordinary or emergency conditions necessitate the additional obligations. The National Defense Stockpile Manager may make the additional obligations described in the notification after the end of the 45-day period beginning on the date Congress receives the notification.

(c) LIMITATIONS.—The authorities provided by this section shall be subject to such limitations as may be provided in appropriations Acts.

**SEC. 3303. DISPOSAL OF CHROMITE AND MANGANESE ORES AND CHROMIUM FERRO AND MANGANESE METAL ELECTROLYTIC.**

(a) DOMESTIC UPGRADING.—In offering to enter into agreements pursuant to any provision of law for the disposal from the National Defense Stockpile of chromite and manganese ores or chromium ferro and manganese metal electrolytic, the President shall give a right of first refusal on all such offers to domestic ferroalloy upgraders.

(b) DOMESTIC FERROALLOY UPGRADER DEFINED.—For purposes of this section, the term "domestic ferroalloy upgrader" means a company or other business entity that, as determined by the President—

(1) is engaged in operations to upgrade chromite or manganese ores of metallurgical grade or chromium ferro and manganese metal electrolytic; and

(2) conducts a significant level of its research, development, engineering, and upgrading operations in the United States.

**SEC. 3304. RESTRICTIONS ON DISPOSAL OF MANGANESE FERRO.**

(a) DISPOSAL OF LOWER GRADE MATERIAL FIRST.—The President may not dispose of high carbon manganese ferro in the National Defense Stockpile that meets the National Defense Stockpile classification of Grade One, Specification 30(a), as revised on May 22, 1992, until completing the disposal of all manganese ferro in the National Defense Stockpile that does not meet such classification. The President may not reclassify manganese ferro in the National Defense Stockpile after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(b) REQUIREMENT FOR REMELTING BY DOMESTIC FERROALLOY PRODUCERS.—Manganese ferro in the National Defense Stockpile that does not meet the classification specified in subsection (a) may be sold only for remelting by a domestic ferroalloy producer unless the President determines that a domestic ferroalloy producer is not available to acquire the material.

(c) DOMESTIC FERROALLOY PRODUCER DEFINED.—For purposes of this section, the term "domestic ferroalloy producer" means a company or other business entity that, as determined by the President—

(1) is engaged in operations to upgrade manganese ores of metallurgical grade or manganese ferro; and

(2) conducts a significant level of its research, development, engineering, and upgrading operations in the United States.

**SEC. 3305. TITANIUM INITIATIVE TO SUPPORT BATTLE TANK UPGRADE PROGRAM.**

During each of the fiscal years 1996 through 2003, the Secretary of Defense shall transfer from stocks of the National Defense Stockpile up to 250 short tons of titanium sponge to the Secretary of the Army for use in the weight reduction portion of the main battle tank upgrade program. Transfers under this section shall be without charge to the Army, except that the Secretary of the Army shall pay all transportation and related costs incurred in connection with the transfer.

**Subtitle B—Programmatic Change**

**SEC. 3311. TRANSFER OF EXCESS DEFENSE-RELATED MATERIALS TO STOCKPILE FOR DISPOSAL.**

(a) TRANSFER AND DISPOSAL.—Section 4 of the Strategic and Critical Materials Stock Piling Act (50 U.S.C. 98c) is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(c)(1) The Secretary of Energy, in consultation with the Secretary of Defense, shall transfer to the stockpile for disposal in accordance with this Act uncontaminated materials that are in the Department of Energy inventory of materials for the production of defense-related items, are excess to the requirements of the Department for that purpose, and are suitable for transfer to the stockpile and disposal through the stockpile.

"(2) The Secretary of Defense shall determine whether materials are suitable for transfer to the stockpile under this subsection, are suitable for disposal through the stockpile, and are uncontaminated.".

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Subsection (a) of such section is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(10) Materials transferred to the stockpile under subsection (c).".

**TITLE XXXIV—NAVAL PETROLEUM RESERVES**

**Subtitle A—Administration of Naval Petroleum Reserves**

**SEC. 3401. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**

There is hereby authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary of Energy $148,786,000 for fiscal year 1996 for the purpose of carrying out activities under chapter 641 of title 10, United States Code, relating to the naval petroleum reserves (as defined in section 7420(2) of such title). Funds appropriated pursuant to such authorization shall remain available until expended. Of the amount appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in the preceding sentence, the Secretary may use not more than $7,000,000 for carrying out activities related to the sale of Naval Petroleum Reserve Numbered 1 under section 3412.

**SEC. 3402. PRICE REQUIREMENT ON SALE OF CERTAIN PETROLEUM DURING FISCAL YEAR 1996.**

Notwithstanding section 7430(b)(2) of title 10, United States Code, during fiscal year 1996, any sale of any part of the United States share of petroleum produced from Naval Petroleum Reserves Numbered 1, 2, and 3 shall be made at a price not less than 90 percent of the current sales price, as estimated by the Secretary of Energy, of comparable petroleum in the same area.

**SEC. 3403. EXTENSION OF OPERATING CONTRACT FOR NAVAL PETROLEUM RESERVE NUMBERED 1.**

Section 3503 of the National Defense Authorization Act of Fiscal Year 1995 (Public Law 103-337; 108 Stat. 3111) is amended by striking out "two years" in the first sentence and inserting in lieu thereof "three years".

**Subtitle B—Sale of Naval Petroleum Reserve**

**SEC. 3411. DEFINITIONS.**

For purposes of this subtitle:

(1) The terms "Naval Petroleum Reserve Numbered 1" and "reserve" mean Naval Petroleum Reserve Numbered 1, commonly referred to as the Elk Hills Unit, located in Kern County, California, and established by Executive order of the President, dated September 2, 1912.

(2) The term "naval petroleum reserves" has the meaning given that term in section 7420(2) of title 10, United States Code, except that the term does not include Naval Petroleum Reserve Numbered 1.

(3) The term "unit plan contract" means the unit plan contract between equity owners of the lands within the boundaries of Naval Petroleum Reserve Numbered 1 entered into on June 19, 1944.

(4) The term "effective date" means the date of the enactment of this Act.

(5) The term "Secretary" means the Secretary of Energy.

(6) The term "appropriate congressional committees means the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security and the Committee on Commerce of the House of Representatives.

**SEC. 3412. SALE OF NAVAL PETROLEUM RESERVE NUMBERED 1.**

(a) SALE OF RESERVE REQUIRED.—Subject to section 3414, not later than two years after the effective date, the Secretary of Energy shall enter into one or more contracts for the sale of all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to all lands owned or controlled by the United States inside Naval Petroleum Reserve Numbered 1. Chapter 641 of title 10, United States Code, shall not apply to the sale of the reserve.

(b) EQUITY FINALIZATION.—(1) Not later than eight months after the effective date, the Secretary shall finalize equity interests of the known oil and gas zones in Naval Petroleum Reserve Numbered 1 in the manner provided by this subsection.

(2) The Secretary shall retain the services of an independent petroleum engineer, mutually acceptable to the equity owners, who shall prepare a recommendation on final equity figures. The Secretary may accept the recommendation of the independent petroleum engineer for final equity in each known oil and gas zone and establish final equity interest in Naval Petroleum Reserve Numbered 1 in accordance with the recommendation, or the Secretary may use such other method to establish final equity interest in the reserve as the Secretary considers appropriate.

(3) If, on the effective date, there is an ongoing equity redetermination dispute between the equity owners under section 9(b) of the unit plan contract, the dispute shall be resolved in the manner provided in the unit plan contract within eight months after the effective date. The resolution shall be considered final for all purposes under this section.

(c) NOTICE OF SALE.—Not later than two months after the effective date, the Secretary shall publish a notice of intent to sell Naval Petroleum Reserve Numbered 1. The Secretary shall make all technical, geological, and financial information relevant to the sale of the reserve available to all interested and qualified buyers upon request. The Secretary, in consultation with the Administrator of General Services, shall ensure that the sale process is fair and open to all interested and qualified parties.

(d) ESTABLISHMENT OF MINIMUM SALE PRICE.—(1) Not later than seven months after the effective date, the Secretary shall retain the services of five independent experts in the valuation of oil and gas fields to conduct separate assessments, in a manner consistent with commercial practices, of the value of the interest of the United States in Naval Petroleum Reserve Numbered 1. The independent experts shall complete their assessments within 11 months after the effective date. In making their assessments, the independent experts shall consider (among other factors)—

(A) all equipment and facilities to be included in the sale;

(B) the estimated quantity of petroleum and natural gas in the reserve; and

(C) the net present value of the anticipated revenue stream that the Secretary and the Director of the Office of Management and Budget jointly determine the Treasury would receive from the reserve if the reserve were not sold, adjusted for any anticipated increases in tax revenues that would result if the reserve were sold.

(2) The independent experts retained under paragraph (1) shall also determine and submit to the Secretary the estimated total amount of the cost of any environmental restoration and remediation necessary at the reserve. The Secretary shall report the estimate to the Director of the Office of Management and Budget, the Secretary of the Treasury, and Congress.

(3) The Secretary, in consultation with the Director of the Office of Management and Budget, shall set the minimum acceptable price for the reserve. The Secretary may not

set the minimum acceptable price below the higher of—

(A) the average of the five assessments prepared under paragraph (1); and

(B) the average of three assessments after excluding the high and low assessments.

(e) ADMINISTRATION OF SALE; DRAFT CONTRACT.—(1) Not later than two months after the effective date, the Secretary shall retain the services of an investment banker or an appropriate equivalent financial adviser to independently administer, in a manner consistent with commercial practices and in a manner that maximizes sale proceeds to the Government, the sale of Naval Petroleum Reserve Numbered 1 under this section. Costs and fees of retaining the investment banker or financial adviser may be paid out of the proceeds of the sale of the reserve.

(2) Not later than 11 months after the effective date, the investment banker or financial adviser retained under paragraph (1) shall complete a draft contract or contracts for the sale of Naval Petroleum Reserve Numbered 1, which shall accompany the solicitation of offers and describe the terms and provisions of the sale of the interest of the United States in the reserve.

(3) The draft contract or contracts shall identify—

(A) all equipment and facilities to be included in the sale; and

(B) any potential claim or liability (including liability for environmental restoration and remediation), and the extent of any such claim or liability, for which the United States is responsible under subsection (g).

(4) The draft contract or contracts, including the terms and provisions of the sale of the interest of the United States in the reserve, shall be subject to review and approval by the Secretary, the Secretary of the Treasury, and the Director of the Office of Management and Budget. Each of those officials shall complete the review of, and approve or disapprove, the draft contract or contracts not later than 12 months after the effective date.

(f) SOLICITATION OF OFFERS.—(1) Not later than 13 months after the effective date, the Secretary shall publish the solicitation of offers for Naval Petroleum Reserve Numbered 1.

(2) Not later than 18 months after the effective date, the Secretary shall identify the highest responsible offer or offers for purchase of the interest of the United States in Naval Petroleum Reserve Numbered 1 that, in total, meet or exceed the minimum acceptable price determined under subsection (d)(3).

(3) The Secretary shall take such action immediately after the effective date as is necessary to obtain from an independent petroleum engineer within 10 months after that date a reserve report prepared in a manner consistent with commercial practices. The Secretary shall use the reserve report in support of the preparation of the solicitation of offers for the reserve.

(g) FUTURE LIABILITIES.—To effectuate the sale of the interest of the United States in Naval Petroleum Reserve Numbered 1, the Secretary may extend such indemnities and warranties as the Secretary considers reasonable and necessary to protect the purchaser from claims arising from the ownership in the reserve by the United States.

(h) MAINTAINING PRODUCTION.—Until the sale of Naval Petroleum Reserve Numbered 1 is completed under this section, the Secretary shall continue to produce the reserve at the maximum daily oil or gas rate from a reservoir, which will permit maximum economic development of the reservoir consistent with sound oil field engineering practices in accordance with section 3 of the unit plan contract.

(i) NONCOMPLIANCE WITH DEADLINES.—At any time during the two-year period beginning on the effective date, if the Secretary determines that the actions necessary to complete the sale of the reserve within that period are not being taken or timely completed, the Secretary shall transmit to the appropriate congressional committees a written notification of that determination together with a plan setting forth the actions that will be taken to ensure that the sale of the reserve will be completed within that period. The Secretary shall consult with the Director of the Office of Management and Budget in preparing the plan for submission to the committees.

(j) OVERSIGHT.—The Comptroller General shall monitor the actions of the Secretary relating to the sale of the reserve and report to the appropriate congressional committees any findings on such actions that the Comptroller General considers appropriate to report to the committees.

(k) ACQUISITION OF SERVICES.—The Secretary may enter into contracts for the acquisition of services required under this section under the authority of paragraph (7) of section 303(c) of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (41 U.S.C. 253(c)), except that the notification required under subparagraph (B) of such paragraph for each contract shall be submitted to Congress not less than 7 days before the award of the contract.

**SEC. 3413. EFFECT OF SALE OF RESERVE.**

(a) EFFECT ON EXISTING CONTRACTS.—(1) In the case of any contract, in effect on the effective date, for the purchase of production from any part of the United States' share of Naval Petroleum Reserve Numbered 1, the sale of the interest of the United States in the reserve shall be subject to the contract for a period of three months after the closing date of the sale or until termination of the contract, whichever occurs first. The term of any contract entered into after the effective date for the purchase of the production shall not exceed the anticipated closing date for the sale of the reserve.

(2) The Secretary shall exercise the termination procedures provided in the contract between the United States and Bechtel Petroleum Operation, Inc., Contract Number DE-AC01-85FE60520 so that the contract terminates not later than the date of closing of the sale of Naval Petroleum Reserve Numbered 1 under section 3412.

(3) The Secretary shall exercise the termination procedures provided in the unit plan contract so that the unit plan contract terminates not later than the date of closing of the sale of reserve.

(b) EFFECT ON ANTITRUST LAWS.—Nothing in this subtitle shall be construed to alter the application of the antitrust laws of the United States to the purchaser or purchasers (as the case may be) of Naval Petroleum Reserve Numbered 1 or to the lands in the reserve subject to sale under section 3412 upon the completion of the sale.

(c) PRESERVATION OF PRIVATE RIGHT, TITLE, AND INTEREST.—Nothing in this subtitle shall be construed to adversely affect the ownership interest of any other entity having any right, title, and interest in and to lands within the boundaries of Naval Petroleum Reserve Numbered 1 and which are subject to the unit plan contract.

(d) TRANSFER OF OTHERWISE NONTRANSFERABLE PERMIT.—The Secretary may transfer to the purchaser or purchasers (as the case may be) of Naval Petroleum Reserve Numbered 1 the incidental take permit regarding the reserve issued to the Secretary by the United States Fish and Wildlife Service and in effect on the effective date if the Secretary determines that transfer of the permit is necessary to expedite the sale of the reserve in a manner that maximizes the value of the sale to the United States. The transferred permit shall cover the identical activities, and shall be subject to the same terms and conditions, as apply to the permit at the time of the transfer.

**SEC. 3414. CONDITIONS ON SALE PROCESS.**

(a) NOTICE REGARDING SALE CONDITIONS.—The Secretary may not enter into any contract for the sale of Naval Petroleum Reserve Numbered 1 under section 3412 until the end of the 31-day period beginning on the date on which the Secretary submits to the appropriate congressional committees a written notification—

(1) describing the conditions of the proposed sale; and

(2) containing an assessment by the Secretary of whether it is in the best interests of the United States to sell the reserve under such conditions.

(b) AUTHORITY TO SUSPEND SALE.—(1) The Secretary may suspend the sale of Naval Petroleum Reserve Numbered 1 under section 3412 if the Secretary and the Director of the Office of Management and Budget jointly determine that—

(A) the sale is proceeding in a manner inconsistent with achievement of a sale price that reflects the full value of the reserve; or

(B) a course of action other than the immediate sale of the reserve is in the best interests of the United States.

(2) Immediately after making a determination under paragraph (1) to suspend the sale of Naval Petroleum Reserve Numbered 1, the Secretary shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a written notification describing the basis for the determination and requesting a reconsideration of the merits of the sale of the reserve.

(c) EFFECT OF RECONSIDERATION NOTICE.—After the Secretary submits a notification under subsection (b), the Secretary may not complete the sale of Naval Petroleum Reserve Numbered 1 under section 3412 or any other provision of law unless the sale of the reserve is authorized in an Act of Congress enacted after the date of the submission of the notification.

**SEC. 3415. TREATMENT OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA CLAIM REGARDING RESERVE.**

(a) RESERVATION OF FUNDS.—After the costs incurred in the conduct of the sale of Naval Petroleum Reserve Numbered 1 under section 3412 are deducted, nine percent of the remaining proceeds from the sale of the reserve shall be reserved in a contingent fund in the Treasury for payment to the State of California for the Teachers' Retirement Fund of the State in the event that, and to the extent that, the claims of the State against the United States regarding production and proceeds of sale from Naval Petroleum Reserve Numbered 1 are—

(1) settled by agreement with the United States under subsection (c); or

(2) finally resolved in favor of the State by a court of competent jurisdiction, if a settlement agreement is not reached.

(b) DISPOSITION OF FUNDS.—In such amounts as may be provided in appropriation Acts, amounts in the contingent fund shall be available for paying a claim described in subsection (a). After final disposition of the claims, any unobligated balance in the contingent fund shall be credited to the general

fund of the Treasury. If no payment is made from the contingent fund within 10 years after the effective date, amounts in the contingent fund shall be credited to the general fund of the Treasury.

(c) SETTLEMENT OFFER.—Not later than 30 days after the date of the sale of Naval Petroleum Reserve Numbered 1 under section 3412, the Secretary shall offer to settle all claims of the State of California against the United States with respect to lands in the reserve located in sections 16 and 36 of township 30 south, range 23 east, Mount Diablo Principal Meridian, California, and production or proceeds of sale from the reserve, in order to provide proper compensation for the State's claims. The Secretary shall base the amount of the offered settlement payment from the contingent fund on the fair value for the State's claims, including the mineral estate, not to exceed the amount reserved in the contingent fund.

(d) RELEASE OF CLAIMS.—Acceptance of the settlement offer made under subsection (c) shall be subject to the condition that all claims against the United States by the State of California for the Teachers' Retirement Fund of the State be released with respect to lands in Naval Petroleum Reserve Numbered 1, including sections 16 and 36 of township 30 south, range 23 east, Mount Diablo Principal Meridian, California, or production or proceeds of sale from the reserve.

**SEC. 3416. STUDY OF FUTURE OF OTHER NAVAL PETROLEUM RESERVES.**

(a) STUDY REQUIRED.—The Secretary of Energy shall conduct a study to determine which of the following options, or combinations of options, regarding the naval petroleum reserves (other than Naval Petroleum Reserve Numbered 1) would maximize the value of the reserves to the United States:

(1) Retention and operation of the naval petroleum reserves by the Secretary under chapter 641 of title 10, United States Code.

(2) Transfer of all or a part of the naval petroleum reserves to the jurisdiction of another Federal agency for administration under chapter 641 of title 10, United States Code.

(3) Transfer of all or a part of the naval petroleum reserves to the Department of the Interior for leasing in accordance with the Mineral Leasing Act (30 U.S.C. 181 et seq.) and surface management in accordance with the Federal Land Policy and Management Act (43 U.S.C. 1701 et seq.).

(4) Sale of the interest of the United States in the naval petroleum reserves.

(b) CONDUCT OF STUDY.—The Secretary shall retain an independent petroleum consultant to conduct the study.

(c) CONSIDERATIONS UNDER STUDY.—An examination of the value to be derived by the United States from the transfer or sale of the naval petroleum reserves shall include an assessment and estimate of the fair market value of the interest of the United States in the naval petroleum reserves. The assessment and estimate shall be made in a manner consistent with customary property valuation practices in the oil and gas industry.

(d) REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING STUDY.—Not later than June 1, 1996, the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report describing the results of the study and containing such recommendations (including proposed legislation) as the Secretary considers necessary to implement the option, or combination of options, identified in the study that would maximize the value of the naval petroleum reserves to the United States.

## TITLE XXXV—PANAMA CANAL COMMISSION

### Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations

**SEC. 3501. SHORT TITLE.**

This subtitle may be cited as the "Panama Canal Commission Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1996".

**SEC. 3502. AUTHORIZATION OF EXPENDITURES.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subject to subsection (b), the Panama Canal Commission is authorized to make such expenditures within the limits of funds and borrowing authority available to it in accordance with law, and to make such contracts and commitments without regard to fiscal year limitations, as may be necessary under the Panama Canal Act of 1979 (22 U.S.C. 3601 et seq.) for the operation, maintenance, and improvement of the Panama Canal for fiscal year 1996.

(b) LIMITATIONS.—For fiscal year 1996, the Panama Canal Commission may expend from funds in the Panama Canal Revolving Fund not more than $50,741,000 for administrative expenses, of which—

(1) not more than $15,000 may be used for official reception and representation expenses of the Supervisory Board of the Commission;

(2) not more than $10,000 may be used for official reception and representation expenses of the Secretary of the Commission; and

(3) not more than $45,000 may be used for official reception and representation expenses of the Administrator of the Commission.

(c) REPLACEMENT VEHICLES.—Funds available to the Panama Canal Commission shall be available for the purchase of not to exceed 38 passenger motor vehicles (including large heavy-duty vehicles to be used to transport Commission personnel across the isthmus of Panama) at a cost per vehicle of not more than $19,500. A vehicle may be purchased with such funds only as necessary to replace another passenger motor vehicle of the Commission.

**SEC. 3503. EXPENDITURES IN ACCORDANCE WITH OTHER LAWS.**

Expenditures authorized under this subtitle may be made only in accordance with the Panama Canal Treaties of 1977 and any law of the United States implementing those treaties.

### Subtitle B—Reconstitution of Commission as Government Corporation

**SEC. 3521. SHORT TITLE.**

This subtitle may be cited as the "Panama Canal Amendments Act of 1995".

**SEC. 3522. RECONSTITUTION OF COMMISSION AS GOVERNMENT CORPORATION.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1101 of the Panama Canal Act of 1979 (22 U.S.C. 3611) is amended to read as follows:

"ESTABLISHMENT, PURPOSES, OFFICES, AND RESIDENCE OF COMMISSION

"SEC. 1101. (a) For the purposes of managing, operating, and maintaining the Panama Canal and its complementary works, installations and equipment, and of conducting operations incident thereto, in accordance with the Panama Canal Treaty of 1977 and related agreements, the Panama Canal Commission (hereinafter in this Act referred to as the 'Commission') is established as a wholly owned government corporation (as that term is used in chapter 91 of title 31, United States Code) within the executive branch of the Government of the United States. The authority of the President with respect to the Commission shall be exercised through the Secretary of Defense.

"(b) The principal office of the Commission shall be located in the Republic of Panama in one of the areas made available for use of the United States under the Panama Canal Treaty of 1977 and related agreements, but the Commission may establish branch offices in such other places as it considers necessary or appropriate for the conduct of its business. Within the meaning of the laws of the United States relating to venue in civil actions, the Commission is an inhabitant and resident of the District of Columbia and the eastern judicial district of Louisiana.".

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The item relating to such section in the table of contents in section 1 of such Act is amended to read as follows:

"1101. Establishment, Purposes, Offices, and Residence of Commission.".

**SEC. 3523. SUPERVISORY BOARD.**

Section 1102 of the Panama Canal Act of 1979 (22 U.S.C. 3612) is amended by striking out so much as precedes subsection (b) and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

"SUPERVISORY BOARD

"SEC. 1102. (a) The Commission shall be supervised by a Board composed of nine members, one of whom shall be the Secretary of Defense or an officer of the Department of Defense designated by the Secretary. Not less than five members of the Board shall be nationals of the United States and the remaining members of the Board shall be nationals of the Republic of Panama. Three members of the Board who are nationals of the United States shall hold no other office in, and shall not be employed by, the Government of the United States, and shall be chosen for the independent perspective they can bring to the Commission's affairs. Members of the Board who are nationals of the United States shall cast their votes as directed by the Secretary of Defense or a designee of the Secretary of Defense.".

**SEC. 3524. GENERAL AND SPECIFIC POWERS OF COMMISSION.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Panama Canal Act of 1979 (22 U.S.C. 3601 et seq.) is amended by inserting after section 1102 the following new sections:

"GENERAL POWERS OF COMMISSION

"SEC. 1102a. (a) The Commission may adopt, alter, and use a corporate seal, which shall be judicially noticed.

"(b) The Commission may by action of the Board of Directors adopt, amend, and repeal bylaws governing the conduct of its general business and the performance of the powers and duties granted to or imposed upon it by law.

"(c) The Commission may sue and be sued in its corporate name, except that—

"(1) the amenability of the Commission to suit is limited by Article VIII of the Panama Canal Treaty of 1977, section 1401 of this Act, and otherwise by law;

"(2) an attachment, garnishment, or similar process may not be issued against salaries or other moneys owed by the Commission to its employees except as provided by section 5520a of title 5, United States Code, and sections 459, 461, and 462 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 659, 661, 662), or as otherwise specifically authorized by the laws of the United States; and

"(3) the Commission is exempt from the payment of interest on claims and judgments.

"(d) The Commission may enter into contracts, leases, agreements, or other transactions.

"(e) The Commission—

"(1) may determine the character of, and necessity for, its obligations and expenditures and the manner in which they shall be incurred, allowed, and paid; and

"(2) may incur, allow, and pay its obligations and expenditures, subject to pertinent provisions of law generally applicable to Government corporations.

"(f) The Commission shall have the priority of the Government of the United States in the payment of debts out of bankrupt estates.

"(g) The authority of the Commission under this section and section 1102B is subject to the Panama Canal Treaty of 1977 and related agreements, and to chapter 91 of title 31, United States Code.

"SPECIFIC POWERS OF COMMISSION

"SEC. 1102b. (a) The Commission may manage, operate, and maintain the Panama Canal.

"(b) The Commission may construct or acquire, establish, maintain, and operate such activities, facilities, and appurtenances as necessary and appropriate for the accomplishment of the purposes of this Act, including the following:

"(1) Docks, wharves, piers, and other shoreline facilities.

"(2) Shops and yards.

"(3) Marine railways, salvage and towing facilities, fuel-handling facilities, and motor transportation facilities.

"(4) Power systems, water systems, and a telephone system.

"(5) Construction facilities.

"(6) Living quarters and other buildings.

"(7) Warehouses, storehouses, a printing plant, and manufacturing, processing, or service facilities in connection therewith.

"(8) Recreational facilities.

"(c) The Commission may use the United States mails in the same manner and under the same conditions as the executive departments of the Federal Government.

"(d) The Commission may take such actions as are necessary or appropriate to carry out the powers specifically conferred upon it.".

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of contents in section 1 of such Act is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 1102 the following new items:

"1102a. General powers of Commission.

"1102b. Specific powers of Commission.".

**SEC. 3525. CONGRESSIONAL REVIEW OF BUDGET.**

Section 1302 of the Panama Canal Act of 1979 (22 U.S.C. 3712) is amended—

(1) in subsection (c)—

(A) by striking out "and subject to paragraph (2)" in paragraph (1);

(B) by striking out paragraph (2); and

(C) by redesignating paragraph (3) as paragraph (2); and

(2) by striking out subsection (e) and inserting in lieu thereof the following new subsection (e):

"(e) In accordance with section 9104 of title 31, United States Code, Congress shall review the annual budget of the Commission.".

**SEC. 3526. AUDITS.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1313 of the Panama Canal Act of 1979 (22 U.S.C. 3723) is amended—

(1) by striking out the heading for the section and inserting in lieu thereof the following: "AUDITS";

(2) in subsection (a)—

(A) by striking out "Financial transactions" and inserting in lieu thereof "Notwithstanding any other provision of law, and subject to subsection (d), financial transactions";

(B) by striking out "pursuant to the Accounting and Auditing Act of 1950 (31 U.S.C. 65 et seq.)";

(C) by striking out "audit pursuant to such Act" in the second sentence and inserting in lieu thereof "such audit";

(D) by striking out "An audit pursuant to such Act" in the last sentence and inserting in lieu thereof "Any such audit"; and

(E) by adding at the end the following new sentence: "An audit performed under this section is subject to the requirements of paragraphs (2), (3), and (5) of section 9105(a) of title 31, United States Code.";

(3) in subsection (b), by striking out "The Comptroller General" in the first sentence and inserting in lieu thereof "Subject to subsection (d), the Comptroller General"; and

(4) by adding at the end the following new subsections:

"(d) At the discretion of the Board provided for in section 1102, the Commission may hire independent auditors to perform, in lieu of the Comptroller General, the audit and reporting functions prescribed in subsections (a) and (b).

"(e) In addition to auditing the financial statements of the Commission, the Comptroller General (or the independent auditor if one is employed pursuant to subsection (d)) shall, in accordance with standards for an examination of a financial forecast established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, examine and report on the Commission's financial forecast that it will be in a position to meet its financial liabilities on December 31, 1999.".

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The item relating to such section in the table of contents in section 1 of such Act is amended to read as follows:

"1313. Audits.".

**SEC. 3527. PRESCRIPTION OF MEASUREMENT RULES AND RATES OF TOLLS.**

Section 1601 of the Panama Canal Act of 1979 (22 U.S.C. 3791) is amended to read as follows:

"PRESCRIPTION OF MEASUREMENT RULES AND RATES OF TOLLS

"SEC. 1601. The Commission may, subject to the provisions of this Act, prescribe and from time to time change—

"(1) the rules for the measurement of vessels for the Panama Canal; and

"(2) the tolls that shall be levied for use of the Panama Canal.".

**SEC. 3528. PROCEDURES FOR CHANGES IN RULES OF MEASUREMENT AND RATES OF TOLLS.**

Section 1604 of the Panama Canal Act of 1979 (22 U.S.C. 3794) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by striking out "1601(a)" in the first sentence and inserting in lieu thereof "1601";

(2) by striking out subsection (c) and inserting in lieu thereof the following new subsection (c):

"(c) After the proceedings have been conducted pursuant to subsections (a) and (b), the Commission may change the rules of measurement or rates of tolls, as the case may be. The Commission shall publish notice of any such change in the Federal Register not less than 30 days before the effective date of the change."; and

(3) by striking out subsections (d) and (e) and redesignating subsection (f) as subsection (d).

**SEC. 3529. MISCELLANEOUS TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS.**

The Panama Canal Act of 1979 is amended—

(1) in section 1205 (22 U.S.C. 3645), by striking out "appropriation" in the last sentence and inserting in lieu thereof "fund";

(2) in section 1303 (22 U.S.C. 3713), by striking out "The authority of this section may not be used for administrative expenses.";

(3) in section 1321(d) (22 U.S.C. 3731(d)), by striking out "appropriations or" in the second sentence;

(4) in section 1401(c) (22 U.S.C. 3761(c)), by striking out "appropriated for or" in the first sentence;

(5) in section 1415 (22 U.S.C. 3775), by striking out "appropriated or" in the second sentence; and

(6) in section 1416 (22 U.S.C. 3776), by striking out "appropriated or" in the third sentence.

**SEC. 3530. CONFORMING AMENDMENT TO TITLE 31, UNITED STATES CODE.**

Section 9101(3) of title 31, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

"(P) the Panama Canal Commission.".

## DIVISION D—FEDERAL ACQUISITION REFORM

**SEC. 4001. SHORT TITLE.**

This division may be cited as the "Federal Acquisition Reform Act of 1996".

## TITLE XLI—COMPETITION

**SEC. 4101. EFFICIENT COMPETITION.**

(a) ARMED SERVICES ACQUISITIONS.—Section 2304 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsection (j) as subsection (k); and

(2) by inserting after subsection (i) the following new subsection (j):

"(j) The Federal Acquisition Regulation shall ensure that the requirement to obtain full and open competition is implemented in a manner that is consistent with the need to efficiently fulfill the Government's requirements.".

(b) CIVILIAN AGENCY ACQUISITIONS.—Section 303 of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (41 U.S.C. 253) is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsection (h) as subsection (i); and

(2) by inserting after subsection (g) the following new subsection (h):

"(h) The Federal Acquisition Regulation shall ensure that the requirement to obtain full and open competition is implemented in a manner that is consistent with the need to efficiently fulfill the Government's requirements.".

(c) REVISIONS TO NOTICE THRESHOLDS.—Section 18(a)(1)(B) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 416(a)(1)(B)) is amended—

(A) by striking out "subsection (f)—" and all that follows through the end of the subparagraph and inserting in lieu thereof "subsection (b); and"; and

(B) by inserting after "property or services" the following: "for a price expected to exceed $10,000, but not to exceed $25,000,".

**SEC. 4102. EFFICIENT APPROVAL PROCEDURES.**

(a) ARMED SERVICES ACQUISITIONS.—Section 2304(f)(1)(B) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in clause (i)—

(A) by striking out "$100,000 (but equal to or less than $1,000,000)" and inserting in lieu thereof "$500,000 (but equal to or less than $10,000,000)"; and

(B) by striking out "(ii), (iii), or (iv)" and inserting in lieu thereof "(ii) or (iii)";

(2) in clause (ii)—

(A) by striking out "$1,000,000 (but equal to or less than $10,000,000)" and inserting in lieu thereof "$10,000,000 (but equal to or less than $50,000,000)"; and

(B) by adding "or" at the end;

(3) by striking out clause (iii); and

(4) by redesignating clause (iv) as clause (iii).

(b) CIVILIAN AGENCY ACQUISITIONS.—Section 303(f)(1)(B) of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (41 U.S.C. 253(f)(1)(B)) is amended—

(1) in clause (i)—

(A) by striking out "$100,000 (but equal to or less than $1,000,000)" and inserting in lieu thereof "$500,000 (but equal to or less than $10,000,000)"; and

(B) by striking out "(ii), (iii), or (iv);" and inserting in lieu thereof "(ii) or (iii); and";

(2) in clause (ii)—

(A) by striking out "$1,000,000 (but equal to or less than $10,000,000)" and inserting in lieu thereof "$10,000,000 (but equal to or less than $50,000,000)"; and

(B) by striking out the semicolon after "civilian" and inserting in lieu thereof a comma; and

(3) in clause (iii), by striking out "$10,000,000" and inserting in lieu thereof "$50,000,000".

**SEC. 4103. EFFICIENT COMPETITIVE RANGE DETERMINATIONS.**

(a) ARMED SERVICES ACQUISITIONS.—Paragraph (4) of 2305(b) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (C), by striking out "(C)", by transferring the text to the end of subparagraph (B), and in that text by striking out "Subparagraph (B)" and inserting in lieu thereof "This subparagraph";

(2) by redesignating subparagraph (B) as subparagraph (C); and

(3) by inserting before subparagraph (C) (as so redesignated) the following new subparagraph (B):

"(B) If the contracting officer determines that the number of offerors that would otherwise be included in the competitive range under subparagraph (A)(i) exceeds the number at which an efficient competition can be conducted, the contracting officer may limit the number of proposals in the competitive range, in accordance with the criteria specified in the solicitation, to the greatest number that will permit an efficient competition among the offerors rated most highly in accordance with such criteria.".

(b) CIVILIAN AGENCY ACQUISITIONS.—Section 303B(d) of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (41 U.S.C. 253b(d)) is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraph (2) as paragraph (3); and

(2) by inserting before paragraph (3) (as so redesignated) the following new paragraph (2):

"(2) If the contracting officer determines that the number of offerors that would otherwise be included in the competitive range under paragraph (1)(A) exceeds the number at which an efficient competition can be conducted, the contracting officer may limit the number of proposals in the competitive range, in accordance with the criteria specified in the solicitation, to the greatest number that will permit an efficient competition among the offerors rated most highly in accordance with such criteria.".

**SEC. 4104. PREAWARD DEBRIEFINGS.**

(a) ARMED SERVICES ACQUISITIONS.—Section 2305(b) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking out subparagraph (F) of paragraph (5);

(2) by redesignating paragraph (6) as paragraph (9); and

(3) by inserting after paragraph (5) the following new paragraphs:

"(6)(A) When the contracting officer excludes an offeror submitting a competitive proposal from the competitive range (or otherwise excludes such an offeror from further consideration prior to the final source selection decision), the excluded offeror may request in writing, within three days after the date on which the excluded offeror receives notice of its exclusion, a debriefing prior to award. The contracting officer shall make every effort to debrief the unsuccessful offeror as soon as practicable but may refuse the request for a debriefing if it is not in the best interests of the Government to conduct a debriefing at that time.

"(B) The contracting officer is required to debrief an excluded offeror in accordance with paragraph (5) of this section only if that offeror requested and was refused a preaward debriefing under subparagraph (A) of this paragraph.

"(C) The debriefing conducted under this subsection shall include—

"(i) the executive agency's evaluation of the significant elements in the offeror's offer;

"(ii) a summary of the rationale for the offeror's exclusion; and

"(iii) reasonable responses to relevant questions posed by the debriefed offeror as to whether source selection procedures set forth in the solicitation, applicable regulations, and other applicable authorities were followed by the executive agency.

"(D) The debriefing conducted pursuant to this subsection may not disclose the number or identity of other offerors and shall not disclose information about the content, ranking, or evaluation of other offerors' proposals.

"(7) The contracting officer shall include a summary of any debriefing conducted under paragraph (5) or (6) in the contract file.

"(8) The Federal Acquisition Regulation shall include a provision encouraging the use of alternative dispute resolution techniques to provide informal, expeditious, and inexpensive procedures for an offeror to consider using before filing a protest, prior to the award of a contract, of the exclusion of the offeror from the competitive range (or otherwise from further consideration) for that contract.".

(b) CIVILIAN AGENCY ACQUISITIONS.—Section 303B of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (41 U.S.C. 253b) is amended—

(1) by striking out paragraph (6) of subsection (e);

(2) by redesignating subsections (f), (g), (h), and (i) as subsections (i), (j), (k), and (l), respectively; and

(3) by inserting after subsection (e) the following new subsections:

"(f)(1) When the contracting officer excludes an offeror submitting a competitive proposal from the competitive range (or otherwise excludes such an offeror from further consideration prior to the final source selection decision), the excluded offeror may request in writing, within 3 days after the date on which the excluded offeror receives notice of its exclusion, a debriefing prior to award. The contracting officer shall make every effort to debrief the unsuccessful offeror as soon as practicable but may refuse the request for a debriefing if it is not in the best interests of the Government to conduct a debriefing at that time.

"(2) The contracting officer is required to debrief an excluded offeror in accordance with subsection (e) of this section only if that offeror requested and was refused a preaward debriefing under paragraph (1) of this subsection.

"(3) The debriefing conducted under this subsection shall include—

"(A) the executive agency's evaluation of the significant elements in the offeror's offer;

"(B) a summary of the rationale for the offeror's exclusion; and

"(C) reasonable responses to relevant questions posed by the debriefed offeror as to whether source selection procedures set forth in the solicitation, applicable regulations, and other applicable authorities were followed by the executive agency.

"(4) The debriefing conducted pursuant to this subsection may not disclose the number or identity of other offerors and shall not disclose information about the content, ranking, or evaluation of other offerors' proposals.

"(g) The contracting officer shall include a summary of any debriefing conducted under subsection (e) or (f) in the contract file.

"(h) The Federal Acquisition Regulation shall include a provision encouraging the use of alternative dispute resolution techniques to provide informal, expeditious, and inexpensive procedures for an offeror to consider using before filing a protest, prior to the award of a contract, of the exclusion of the offeror from the competitive range (or otherwise from further consideration) for that contract.".

**SEC. 4105. DESIGN-BUILD SELECTION PROCEDURES.**

(a) ARMED SERVICES ACQUISITIONS.—(1) Chapter 137 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 2305 the following new section:

**"§ 2305a. Design-build selection procedures**

"(a) AUTHORIZATION.—Unless the traditional acquisition approach of design-bid-build established under the Brooks Architect-Engineers Act (41 U.S.C. 541 et seq.) is used or another acquisition procedure authorized by law is used, the head of an agency shall use the two-phase selection procedures authorized in this section for entering into a contract for the design and construction of a public building, facility, or work when a determination is made under subsection (b) that the procedures are appropriate for use.

"(b) CRITERIA FOR USE.—A contracting officer shall make a determination whether two-phase selection procedures are appropriate for use for entering into a contract for the design and construction of a public building, facility, or work when the contracting officer anticipates that three or more offers will be received for such contract, design work must be performed before an offeror can develop a price or cost proposal for such contract, the offeror will incur a substantial amount of expense in preparing the offer, and the contracting officer has considered information such as the following:

"(1) The extent to which the project requirements have been adequately defined.

"(2) The time constraints for delivery of the project.

"(3) The capability and experience of potential contractors.

"(4) The suitability of the project for use of the two-phase selection procedures.

"(5) The capability of the agency to manage the two-phase selection process.

"(6) Other criteria established by the agency.

"(c) PROCEDURES DESCRIBED.—Two-phase selection procedures consist of the following:

"(1) The agency develops, either in-house or by contract, a scope of work statement for inclusion in the solicitation that defines the project and provides prospective offerors with sufficient information regarding the Government's requirements (which may include criteria and preliminary design, budget

parameters, and schedule or delivery requirements) to enable the offerors to submit proposals which meet the Government's needs. If the agency contracts for development of the scope of work statement, the agency shall contract for architectural and engineering services as defined by and in accordance with the Brooks Architect-Engineers Act (40 U.S.C. 541 et seq.).

"(2) The contracting officer solicits phase-one proposals that—

"(A) include information on the offeror's—

"(i) technical approach; and

"(ii) technical qualifications; and

"(B) do not include—

"(i) detailed design information; or

"(ii) cost or price information.

"(3) The evaluation factors to be used in evaluating phase-one proposals are stated in the solicitation and include specialized experience and technical competence, capability to perform, past performance of the offeror's team (including the architect-engineer and construction members of the team) and other appropriate factors, except that cost-related or price-related evaluation factors are not permitted. Each solicitation establishes the relative importance assigned to the evaluation factors and subfactors that must be considered in the evaluation of phase-one proposals. The agency evaluates phase-one proposals on the basis of the phase-one evaluation factors set forth in the solicitation.

"(4) The contracting officer selects as the most highly qualified the number of offerors specified in the solicitation to provide the property or services under the contract and requests the selected offerors to submit phase-two competitive proposals that include technical proposals and cost or price information. Each solicitation establishes with respect to phase two—

"(A) the technical submission for the proposal, including design concepts or proposed solutions to requirements addressed within the scope of work (or both), and

"(B) the evaluation factors and subfactors, including cost or price, that must be considered in the evaluations of proposals in accordance with paragraphs (2), (3), and (4) of section 2305(a) of this title.

The contracting officer separately evaluates the submissions described in subparagraphs (A) and (B).

"(5) The agency awards the contract in accordance with section 2305(b)(4) of this title.

"(d) SOLICITATION TO STATE NUMBER OF OFFERORS TO BE SELECTED FOR PHASE TWO REQUESTS FOR COMPETITIVE PROPOSALS.—A solicitation issued pursuant to the procedures described in subsection (c) shall state the maximum number of offerors that are to be selected to submit competitive proposals pursuant to subsection (c)(4). The maximum number specified in the solicitation shall not exceed 5 unless the agency determines with respect to an individual solicitation that a specified number greater than 5 is in the Government's interest and is consistent with the purposes and objectives of the two-phase selection process.

"(e) REQUIREMENT FOR GUIDANCE AND REGULATIONS.—The Federal Acquisition Regulation shall include guidance—

"(1) regarding the factors that may be considered in determining whether the two-phase contracting procedures authorized by subsection (a) are appropriate for use in individual contracting situations;

"(2) regarding the factors that may be used in selecting contractors; and

"(3) providing for a uniform approach to be used Government-wide.".

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 137 of such title is amended by adding after the item relating to section 2305 the following new item:

"2305a. Design-build selection procedures.".

(b) CIVILIAN AGENCY ACQUISITIONS.—(1) Title III of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (41 U.S.C. 251 et seq.) is amended by inserting after section 303L the following new section:

**"SEC. 303M. DESIGN-BUILD SELECTION PROCEDURES.**

"(a) AUTHORIZATION.—Unless the traditional acquisition approach of design-bid-build established under the Brooks Architect-Engineers Act (title IX of this Act) is used or another acquisition procedure authorized by law is used, the head of an executive agency shall use the two-phase selection procedures authorized in this section for entering into a contract for the design and construction of a public building, facility, or work when a determination is made under subsection (b) that the procedures are appropriate for use.

"(b) CRITERIA FOR USE.—A contracting officer shall make a determination whether two-phase selection procedures are appropriate for use for entering into a contract for the design and construction of a public building, facility, or work when the contracting officer anticipates that three or more offers will be received for such contract, design work must be performed before an offeror can develop a price or cost proposal for such contract, the offeror will incur a substantial amount of expense in preparing the offer, and the contracting officer has considered information such as the following:

"(1) The extent to which the project requirements have been adequately defined.

"(2) The time constraints for delivery of the project.

"(3) The capability and experience of potential contractors.

"(4) The suitability of the project for use of the two-phase selection procedures.

"(5) The capability of the agency to manage the two-phase selection process.

"(6) Other criteria established by the agency.

"(c) PROCEDURES DESCRIBED.—Two-phase selection procedures consist of the following:

"(1) The agency develops, either in-house or by contract, a scope of work statement for inclusion in the solicitation that defines the project and provides prospective offerors with sufficient information regarding the Government's requirements (which may include criteria and preliminary design, budget parameters, and schedule or delivery requirements) to enable the offerors to submit proposals which meet the Government's needs. If the agency contracts for development of the scope of work statement, the agency shall contract for architectural and engineering services as defined by and in accordance with the Brooks Architect-Engineers Act (40 U.S.C. 541 et seq.).

"(2) The contracting officer solicits phase-one proposals that—

"(A) include information on the offeror's—

"(i) technical approach; and

"(ii) technical qualifications; and

"(B) do not include—

"(i) detailed design information; or

"(ii) cost or price information.

"(3) The evaluation factors to be used in evaluating phase-one proposals are stated in the solicitation and include specialized experience and technical competence, capability to perform, past performance of the offeror's team (including the architect-engineer and construction members of the team) and other appropriate factors, except that cost-related or price-related evaluation factors are not permitted. Each solicitation establishes the relative importance assigned to the evaluation factors and subfactors that must be considered in the evaluation of phase-one proposals. The agency evaluates phase-one proposals on the basis of the phase-one evaluation factors set forth in the solicitation.

"(4) The contracting officer selects as the most highly qualified the number of offerors specified in the solicitation to provide the property or services under the contract and requests the selected offerors to submit phase-two competitive proposals that include technical proposals and cost or price information. Each solicitation establishes with respect to phase two—

"(A) the technical submission for the proposal, including design concepts or proposed solutions to requirements addressed within the scope of work (or both), and

"(B) the evaluation factors and subfactors, including cost or price, that must be considered in the evaluations of proposals in accordance with subsections (b), (c), and (d) of section 303A.

The contracting officer separately evaluates the submissions described in subparagraphs (A) and (B).

"(5) The agency awards the contract in accordance with section 303B of this title.

"(d) SOLICITATION TO STATE NUMBER OF OFFERORS TO BE SELECTED FOR PHASE TWO REQUESTS FOR COMPETITIVE PROPOSALS.—A solicitation issued pursuant to the procedures described in subsection (c) shall state the maximum number of offerors that are to be selected to submit competitive proposals pursuant to subsection (c)(4). The maximum number specified in the solicitation shall not exceed 5 unless the agency determines with respect to an individual solicitation that a specified number greater than 5 is in the Government's interest and is consistent with the purposes and objectives of the two-phase selection process.

"(e) REQUIREMENT FOR GUIDANCE AND REGULATIONS.—The Federal Acquisition Regulation shall include guidance—

"(1) regarding the factors that may be considered in determining whether the two-phase contracting procedures authorized by subsection (a) are appropriate for use in individual contracting situations;

"(2) regarding the factors that may be used in selecting contractors; and

"(3) providing for a uniform approach to be used Government-wide.".

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of such Act is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 303L the following new item:

"Sec. 303M. Design-build selection procedures.".

**TITLE XLII—COMMERCIAL ITEMS**

**SEC. 4201. COMMERCIAL ITEM EXCEPTION TO REQUIREMENT FOR CERTIFIED COST OR PRICING DATA.**

(a) ARMED SERVICES ACQUISITIONS.—(1) Subsections (b), (c), and (d) of section 2306a of title 10, United States Code, are amended to read as follows:

"(b) EXCEPTIONS.—

"(1) IN GENERAL.—Submission of certified cost or pricing data shall not be required under subsection (a) in the case of a contract, a subcontract, or modification of a contract or subcontract—

"(A) for which the price agreed upon is based on—

"(i) adequate price competition; or

"(ii) prices set by law or regulation;

"(B) for the acquisition of a commercial item; or

"(C) in an exceptional case when the head of the procuring activity, without delegation, determines that the requirements of this section may be waived and justifies in writing the reasons for such determination.

"(2) MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS AND SUBCONTRACTS FOR COMMERCIAL ITEMS.—In the case of a modification of a contract or subcontract for a commercial item that is not covered by the exception to the submission of certified cost or pricing data in paragraph (1)(A) or (1)(B), submission of certified cost or pricing data shall not be required under subsection (a) if—

"(A) the contract or subcontract being modified is a contract or subcontract for which submission of certified cost or pricing data may not be required by reason of paragraph (1)(A) or (1)(B); and

"(B) the modification would not change the contract or subcontract, as the case may be, from a contract or subcontract for the acquisition of a commercial item to a contract or subcontract for the acquisition of an item other than a commercial item.

"(c) COST OR PRICING DATA ON BELOW-THRESHOLD CONTRACTS.—

"(1) AUTHORITY TO REQUIRE SUBMISSION.—Subject to paragraph (2), when certified cost or pricing data are not required to be submitted by subsection (a) for a contract, subcontract, or modification of a contract or subcontract, such data may nevertheless be required to be submitted by the head of the procuring activity, but only if the head of the procuring activity determines that such data are necessary for the evaluation by the agency of the reasonableness of the price of the contract, subcontract, or modification of a contract or subcontract. In any case in which the head of the procuring activity requires such data to be submitted under this subsection, the head of the procuring activity shall justify in writing the reason for such requirement.

"(2) EXCEPTION.—The head of the procuring activity may not require certified cost or pricing data to be submitted under this paragraph for any contract or subcontract, or modification of a contract or subcontract, covered by the exceptions in subparagraph (A) or (B) of subsection (b)(1).

"(3) DELEGATION OF AUTHORITY PROHIBITED.—The head of a procuring activity may not delegate functions under this paragraph.

"(d) SUBMISSION OF OTHER INFORMATION.—

"(1) AUTHORITY TO REQUIRE SUBMISSION.—When certified cost or pricing data are not required to be submitted under this section for a contract, subcontract, or modification of a contract or subcontract, the contracting officer shall require submission of data other than certified cost or pricing data to the extent necessary to determine the reasonableness of the price of the contract, subcontract, or modification of the contract or subcontract. Except in the case of a contract or subcontract covered by the exceptions in subsection (b)(1)(A), the data submitted shall include, at a minimum, appropriate information on the prices at which the same item or similar items have previously been sold that is adequate for evaluating the reasonableness of the price for the procurement.

"(2) LIMITATIONS ON AUTHORITY.—The Federal Acquisition Regulation shall include the following provisions regarding the types of information that contracting officers may require under paragraph (1):

"(A) Reasonable limitations on requests for sales data relating to commercial items.

"(B) A requirement that a contracting officer limit, to the maximum extent practicable, the scope of any request for information relating to commercial items from an offeror to only that information that is in the form regularly maintained by the offeror in commercial operations.

"(C) A statement that any information received relating to commercial items that is exempt from disclosure under section 552(b) of title 5 shall not be disclosed by the Federal Government.".

(2) Section 2306a of such title is further amended—

(A) by striking out subsection (h); and

(B) by redesignating subsection (i) as subsection (h).

(b) CIVILIAN AGENCY ACQUISITIONS.—(1) Subsections (b), (c) and (d) of section 304A of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (41 U.S.C. 254b) are amended to read as follows:

"(b) EXCEPTIONS.—

"(1) IN GENERAL.—Submission of certified cost or pricing data shall not be required under subsection (a) in the case of a contract, a subcontract, or a modification of a contract or subcontract—

"(A) for which the price agreed upon is based on—

"(i) adequate price competition; or

"(ii) prices set by law or regulation;

"(B) for the acquisition of a commercial item; or

"(C) in an exceptional case when the head of the procuring activity, without delegation, determines that the requirements of this section may be waived and justifies in writing the reasons for such determination.

"(2) MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS AND SUBCONTRACTS FOR COMMERCIAL ITEMS.—In the case of a modification of a contract or subcontract for a commercial item that is not covered by the exception to the submission of certified cost or pricing data in paragraph (1)(A) or (1)(B), submission of certified cost or pricing data shall not be required under subsection (a) if—

"(A) the contract or subcontract being modified is a contract or subcontract for which submission of certified cost or pricing data may not be required by reason of paragraph (1)(A) or (1)(B); and

"(B) the modification would not change the contract or subcontract, as the case may be, from a contract or subcontract for the acquisition of a commercial item to a contract or subcontract for the acquisition of an item other than a commercial item.

"(c) COST OR PRICING DATA ON BELOW-THRESHOLD CONTRACTS.—

"(1) AUTHORITY TO REQUIRE SUBMISSION.—Subject to paragraph (2), when certified cost or pricing data are not required to be submitted by subsection (a) for a contract, subcontract, or modification of a contract or subcontract, such data may nevertheless be required to be submitted by the head of the procuring activity, but only if the head of the procuring activity determines that such data are necessary for the evaluation by the agency of the reasonableness of the price of the contract, subcontract, or modification of a contract or subcontract. In any case in which the head of the procuring activity requires such data to be submitted under this subsection, the head of the procuring activity shall justify in writing the reason for such requirement.

"(2) EXCEPTION.—The head of the procuring activity may not require certified cost or pricing data to be submitted under this paragraph for any contract or subcontract, or modification of a contract or subcontract, covered by the exceptions in subparagraph (A) or (B) of subsection (b)(1).

"(3) DELEGATION OF AUTHORITY PROHIBITED.—The head of a procuring activity may not delegate the functions under this paragraph.

"(d) SUBMISSION OF OTHER INFORMATION.—

"(1) AUTHORITY TO REQUIRE SUBMISSION.—When certified cost or pricing data are not required to be submitted under this section for a contract, subcontract, or modification of a contract or subcontract, the contracting officer shall require submission of data other than certified cost or pricing data to the extent necessary to determine the reasonableness of the price of the contract, subcontract, or modification of the contract or subcontract. Except in the case of a contract or subcontract covered by the exceptions in subsection (b)(1)(A), the data submitted shall include, at a minimum, appropriate information on the prices at which the same item or similar items have previously been sold that is adequate for evaluating the reasonableness of the price for the procurement.

"(2) LIMITATIONS ON AUTHORITY.—The Federal Acquisition Regulation shall include the following provisions regarding the types of information that contracting officers may require under paragraph (1):

"(A) Reasonable limitations on requests for sales data relating to commercial items.

"(B) A requirement that a contracting officer limit, to the maximum extent practicable, the scope of any request for information relating to commercial items from an offeror to only that information that is in the form regularly maintained by the offeror in commercial operations.

"(C) A statement that any information received relating to commercial items that is exempt from disclosure under section 552(b) of title 5 shall not be disclosed by the Federal Government.".

(2) Section 304A of such Act is further amended—

(A) by striking out subsection (h); and

(B) by redesignating subsection (i) as subsection (h).

**SEC. 4202. APPLICATION OF SIMPLIFIED PROCEDURES TO CERTAIN COMMERCIAL ITEMS.**

(a) ARMED SERVICES ACQUISITIONS.—(1) Section 2304(g) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(A) in paragraph (1), by striking out "shall provide for special simplified procedures for purchases of" and all that follows through the end of the paragraph and inserting in lieu thereof the following: "shall provide for—

"(A) special simplified procedures for purchases of property and services for amounts not greater than the simplified acquisition threshold; and

"(B) special simplified procedures for purchases of property and services for amounts greater than the simplified acquisition threshold but not greater than $5,000,000 with respect to which the contracting officer reasonably expects, based on the nature of the property or services sought and on market research, that offers will include only commercial items."; and

(B) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

"(4) The head of an agency shall comply with the Federal Acquisition Regulation provisions referred to in section 31(g) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 427).".

(2) Section 2305 of title 10, United States Code, is amended in subsection (a)(2) by inserting after "(other than for" the following: "a procurement for commercial items using special simplified procedures or".

(b) CIVILIAN AGENCY ACQUISITIONS.—(1) Section 303(g) of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (41 U.S.C. 253(g)) is amended—

(A) in paragraph (1), by striking out "shall provide for special simplified procedures for purchases of" and all that follows through the end of the paragraph and inserting in lieu thereof the following: "shall provide for—

"(A) special simplified procedures for purchases of property and services for amounts not greater than the simplified acquisition threshold; and

"(B) special simplified procedures for purchases of property and services for amounts greater than the simplified acquisition threshold but not greater than $5,000,000 with respect to which the contracting officer reasonably expects, based on the nature of the property or services sought and on market research, that offers will include only commercial items."; and

(B) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

"(5) An executive agency shall comply with the Federal Acquisition Regulation provisions referred to in section 31(g) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 427).".

(2) Section 303A of such Act (41 U.S.C. 253a) is amended in subsection (b) by inserting after "(other than for" the following: "a procurement for commercial items using special simplified procedures or".

(c) ACQUISITIONS GENERALLY.—Section 31 of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 427) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by striking out "shall provide for special simplified procedures for purchases of" and all that follows through the end of the subsection and inserting in lieu thereof the following: "shall provide for—

"(1) special simplified procedures for purchases of property and services for amounts not greater than the simplified acquisition threshold; and

"(2) special simplified procedures for purchases of property and services for amounts greater than the simplified acquisition threshold but not greater than $5,000,000 with respect to which the contracting officer reasonably expects, based on the nature of the property or services sought and on market research, that offers will include only commercial items."; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(g) SPECIAL RULES FOR COMMERCIAL ITEMS.—The Federal Acquisition Regulation shall provide that, in the case of a purchase of commercial items using special simplified procedures, an executive agency—

"(1) shall publish a notice in accordance with section 18 and, as provided in subsection (b)(4) of such section, permit all responsible sources to submit a bid, proposal, or quotation (as appropriate) which shall be considered by the agency;

"(2) may not conduct the purchase on a sole source basis unless the need to do so is justified in writing and approved in accordance with section 2304 of title 10, United States Code, or section 303 of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (41 U.S.C. 253), as applicable; and

"(3) shall include in the contract file a written description of the procedures used in awarding the contract and the number of offers received.".

(d) SIMPLIFIED NOTICE.—(1) Section 18 of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 416) is amended—

(A) in subsection (a)(6), by inserting before "submission" the following: "issuance of solicitations and the"; and

(B) in subsection (b)(6), by striking out "threshold—" and inserting in lieu thereof "threshold, or a contract for the procurement of commercial items using special simplified procedures—".

(e) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The authority to issue solicitations for purchases of commercial items in excess of the simplified acquisition threshold pursuant to the special simplified procedures authorized by section 2304(g)(1) of title 10, United States Code, section 303(g)(1) of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949, and section 31(a) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act, as amended by this section, shall expire three years after the date on which such amendments take effect pursuant to section 4401(b). Contracts may be awarded pursuant to solicitations that have been issued before such authority expires, notwithstanding the expiration of such authority.

**SEC. 4203. INAPPLICABILITY OF CERTAIN PROCUREMENT LAWS TO COMMERCIALLY AVAILABLE OFF-THE-SHELF ITEMS.**

(a) LAWS LISTED IN THE FAR.—The Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 401) et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following:

**"SEC. 35. COMMERCIALLY AVAILABLE OFF-THE-SHELF ITEM ACQUISITIONS: LISTS OF INAPPLICABLE LAWS IN FEDERAL ACQUISITION REGULATION.**

"(a) LISTS OF INAPPLICABLE PROVISIONS OF LAW.—(1) The Federal Acquisition Regulation shall include a list of provisions of law that are inapplicable to contracts for the procurement of commercially available off-the-shelf items.

"(2) A provision of law that, pursuant to paragraph (3), is properly included on a list referred to in paragraph (1) may not be construed as being applicable to contracts referred to in paragraph (1). Nothing in this section shall be construed to render inapplicable to such contracts any provision of law that is not included on such list.

"(3) A provision of law described in subsection (b) shall be included on the list of inapplicable provisions of law required by paragraph (1) unless the Administrator for Federal Procurement Policy makes a written determination that it would not be in the best interest of the United States to exempt such contracts from the applicability of that provision of law. Nothing in this section shall be construed as modifying or superseding, or as being intended to impair or restrict authorities or responsibilities under—

"(A) section 15 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 644); or

"(B) bid protest procedures developed under the authority of subchapter V of chapter 35 of title 31, United States Code; subsections (e) and (f) of section 2305 of title 10, United States Code; or subsections (h) and (i) of section 303B of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (41 U.S.C. 253b).

"(b) COVERED LAW.—Except as provided in subsection (a)(3), the list referred to in subsection (a)(1) shall include each provision of law that, as determined by the Administrator, imposes on persons who have been awarded contracts by the Federal Government for the procurement of commercially available off-the-shelf items Government-unique policies, procedures, requirements, or restrictions for the procurement of property or services, except the following:

"(1) A provision of law that provides for criminal or civil penalties.

"(2) A provision of law that specifically refers to this section and provides that, notwithstanding this section, such provision of law shall be applicable to contracts for the procurement of commercial off-the-shelf items.

"(c) DEFINITION.—(1) As used in this section, the term 'commercially available off-the-shelf item' means, except as provided in paragraph (2), an item that—

"(A) is a commercial item (as described in section 4(12)(A));

"(B) is sold in substantial quantities in the commercial marketplace; and

"(C) is offered to the Government, without modification, in the same form in which it is sold in the commercial marketplace.

"(2) The term 'commercially available off-the-shelf item' does not include bulk cargo, as defined in section 3 of the Shipping Act of 1984 (46 U.S.C. App. 1702), such as agricultural products and petroleum products.".

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of contents in section 1(b) of such Act is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 34 the following:

"Sec. 35. Commercially available off-the-shelf item acquisitions: lists of inapplicable laws in Federal Acquisition Regulation.".

**SEC. 4204. AMENDMENT OF COMMERCIAL ITEMS DEFINITION.**

Section 4(12)(F) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 403(12)(F)) is amended by inserting "or market" after "catalog".

**SEC. 4205. INAPPLICABILITY OF COST ACCOUNTING STANDARDS TO CONTRACTS AND SUBCONTRACTS FOR COMMERCIAL ITEMS.**

Paragraph (2)(B) of section 26(f) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 422(f)) is amended—

(1) by striking out clause (i) and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

"(i) Contracts or subcontracts for the acquisition of commercial items."; and

(2) by striking out clause (iii).

## TITLE XLIII—ADDITIONAL REFORM PROVISIONS

### Subtitle A—Additional Acquisition Reform Provisions

**SEC. 4301. ELIMINATION OF CERTAIN CERTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS.**

(a) ELIMINATION OF CERTAIN STATUTORY CERTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS.—(1) Section 2410b of title 10, United States Code, is amended in paragraph (2) by striking out "certification and".

(2) Section 1352(b)(2) of title 31, United States Code, is amended—

(A) by striking out subparagraph (C); and

(B) by inserting "and" after the semicolon at the end of subparagraph (A).

(3) Section 5152 of the Drug-Free Workplace Act of 1988 (41 U.S.C. 701) is amended—

(A) in subsection (a)(1), by striking out "has certified to the contracting agency that it will" and inserting in lieu thereof "agrees to";

(B) in subsection (a)(2), by striking out "contract includes a certification by the individual" and inserting in lieu thereof "individual agrees"; and

(C) in subsection (b)(1)—

(i) by striking out subparagraph (A);

(ii) by redesignating subparagraph (B) as subparagraph (A) and in that subparagraph by striking out "such certification by failing to carry out"; and

(iii) by redesignating subparagraph (C) as subparagraph (B).

(b) ELIMINATION OF CERTAIN REGULATORY CERTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS.—

(1) CURRENT CERTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS.—(A) Not later than 210 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Administrator for Federal Procurement Policy shall issue for public comment a proposal to amend the Federal Acquisition Regulation to remove from the Federal Acquisition Regulation certification requirements for contractors and offerors that are not specifically imposed by statute. The Administrator may omit such a certification requirement from the proposal only if—

(i) the Federal Acquisition Regulatory Council provides the Administrator with a written justification for the requirement and a determination that there is no less burdensome means for administering and enforcing the particular regulation that contains the certification requirement; and

(ii) the Administrator approves in writing the retention of the certification requirement.

(B)(i) Not later than 210 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the head of each executive agency that has agency procurement regulations containing one or more certification requirements for contractors and offerors that are not specifically imposed by statute shall issue for public comment a proposal to amend the regulations to remove the certification requirements. The head of the executive agency may omit such a certification requirement from the proposal only if—

(I) the senior procurement executive for the executive agency provides the head of the executive agency with a written justification for the requirement and a determination that there is no less burdensome means for administering and enforcing the particular regulation that contains the certification requirement; and

(II) the head of the executive agency approves in writing the retention of such certification requirement.

(ii) For purposes of clause (i), the term "head of the executive agency" with respect to a military department means the Secretary of Defense.

(2) FUTURE CERTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS.—(A) Section 29 of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 425) is amended—

(i) by amending the heading to read as follows:

"SEC. 29. CONTRACT CLAUSES AND CERTIFICATIONS.";

(ii) by inserting "(a) NONSTANDARD CONTRACT CLAUSES.—" before "The Federal Acquisition"; and

(iii) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(c) PROHIBITION ON CERTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS.—(1) A requirement for a certification by a contractor or offeror may not be included in the Federal Acquisition Regulation unless—

"(A) the certification requirement is specifically imposed by statute; or

"(B) written justification for such certification requirement is provided to the Administrator for Federal Procurement Policy by the Federal Acquisition Regulatory Council, and the Administrator approves in writing the inclusion of such certification requirement.

"(2)(A) A requirement for a certification by a contractor or offeror may not be included in a procurement regulation of an executive agency unless—

"(i) the certification requirement is specifically imposed by statute; or

"(ii) written justification for such certification requirement is provided to the head of the executive agency by the senior procurement executive of the agency, and the head of the executive agency approves in writing the inclusion of such certification requirement.

"(B) For purposes of subparagraph (A), the term 'head of the executive agency' with respect to a military department means the Secretary of Defense.".

(B) The item relating to section 29 in the table of contents for the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (contained in section 1(b)) (41 U.S.C. 401 note) is amended to read as follows:

"Sec. 29. Contract clauses and certifications.".

(c) POLICY OF CONGRESS.—Section 29 of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 425) is further amended by adding after subsection (a) the following new subsection:

"(b) CONSTRUCTION OF CERTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS.—A provision of law may not be construed as requiring a certification by a contractor or offeror in a procurement made or to be made by the Federal Government unless that provision of law specifically provides that such a certification shall be required.".

**SEC. 4302. AUTHORITIES CONDITIONED ON FACNET CAPABILITY.**

(a) COMMENCEMENT AND EXPIRATION OF AUTHORITY TO CONDUCT CERTAIN TESTS OF PROCUREMENT PROCEDURES.—Subsection (j) of section 5061 of the Federal Acquisition Streamlining Act of 1994 (41 U.S.C. 413 note; 108 Stat. 3355) is amended to read as follows:

"(j) COMMENCEMENT AND EXPIRATION OF AUTHORITY.—The authority to conduct a test under subsection (a) in an agency and to award contracts under such a test shall take effect on January 1, 1997, and shall expire on January 1, 2001. A contract entered into before such authority expires in an agency pursuant to a test shall remain in effect, in accordance with the terms of the contract, the notwithstanding of expiration the authority to conduct the test under this section.".

(b) USE OF SIMPLIFIED ACQUISITION PROCEDURES.—Subsection (e) of section 31 of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 427) is amended—

(1) by striking out "ACQUISITION PROCEDURES.—" and all that follows through "(B) The simplified acquisition" in paragraph (2)(B) and inserting in lieu thereof "ACQUISITION PROCEDURES.—The simplified acquisition"; and

(2) by striking out "pursuant to this section" in the remaining text and inserting in lieu thereof "pursuant to section 2304(g)(1)(A) of title 10, United States Code, section 303(g)(1)(A) of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (41 U.S.C. 253(g)(1)(A)), and subsection (a)(1) of this section".

**SEC. 4303. INTERNATIONAL COMPETITIVENESS.**

(a) ADDITIONAL AUTHORITY TO WAIVE RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, AND PRODUCTION COSTS.—Subject to subsection (b), section 21(e)(2) of the Arms Export Control Act (22 U.S.C. 2761(e)(2)) is amended—

(1) by inserting "(A)" after "(2)"; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new subparagraphs:

"(B) The President may waive the charge or charges which would otherwise be considered appropriate under paragraph (1)(B) for a particular sale if the President determines that—

"(i) imposition of the charge or charges likely would result in the loss of the sale; or

"(ii) in the case of a sale of major defense equipment that is also being procured for the use of the Armed Forces, the waiver of the charge or charges would (through a resulting increase in the total quantity of the equipment purchased from the source of the equipment that causes a reduction in the unit cost of the equipment) result in a savings to the United States on the cost of the equipment procured for the use of the Armed Forces that substantially offsets the revenue foregone by reason of the waiver of the charge or charges.

"(C) The President may waive, for particular sales of major defense equipment, any increase in a charge or charges previously considered appropriate under paragraph (1)(B) if the increase results from a correction of an estimate (reasonable when made) of the production quantity base that was used for calculating the charge or charges for purposes of such paragraph.".

(b) CONDITIONS.—Subsection (a) shall be effective only if—

(1) the President, in the budget of the President for fiscal year 1997, proposes legislation that if enacted would be qualifying offsetting legislation; and

(2) there is enacted qualifying offsetting legislation.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—If the conditions in subsection (b) are met, then the amendments made by subsection (a) shall take effect on the date of the enactment of qualifying offsetting legislation.

(d) DEFINITIONS.—For purposes of this section:

(1) The term "qualifying offsetting legislation" means legislation that includes provisions that—

(A) offset fully the estimated revenues lost as a result of the amendments made by subsection (a) for each of the fiscal years 1997 through 2005;

(B) expressly state that they are enacted for the purpose of the offset described in subparagraph (A); and

(C) are included in full on the PayGo scorecard.

(2) The term "PayGo scorecard" means the estimates that are made by the Director of the Congressional Budget Office and the Director of the Office of Management and Budget under section 252(d) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985.

**SEC. 4304. PROCUREMENT INTEGRITY.**

(a) AMENDMENT OF PROCUREMENT INTEGRITY PROVISION.—Section 27 of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 423) is amended to read as follows:

**"SEC. 27. RESTRICTIONS ON DISCLOSING AND OBTAINING CONTRACTOR BID OR PROPOSAL INFORMATION OR SOURCE SELECTION INFORMATION.**

"(a) PROHIBITION ON DISCLOSING PROCUREMENT INFORMATION.—(1) A person described in paragraph (2) shall not, other than as provided by law, knowingly disclose contractor bid or proposal information or source selection information before the award of a Federal agency procurement contract to which the information relates.

"(2) Paragraph (1) applies to any person who—

"(A) is a present or former official of the United States, or a person who is acting or has acted for or on behalf of, or who is advising or has advised the United States with respect to, a Federal agency procurement; and

"(B) by virtue of that office, employment, or relationship has or had access to contractor bid or proposal information or source selection information.

"(b) PROHIBITION ON OBTAINING PROCUREMENT INFORMATION.—A person shall not, other than as provided by law, knowingly obtain contractor bid or proposal information or source selection information before the award of a Federal agency procurement contract to which the information relates.

"(c) ACTIONS REQUIRED OF PROCUREMENT OFFICERS WHEN CONTACTED BY OFFERORS REGARDING NON-FEDERAL EMPLOYMENT.—(1) If an agency official who is participating personally and substantially in a Federal agency procurement for a contract in excess of the simplified acquisition threshold contacts or is contacted by a person who is a bidder or offeror in that Federal agency procurement regarding possible non-Federal employment for that official, the official shall—

"(A) promptly report the contact in writing to the official's supervisor and to the designated agency ethics official (or designee) of the agency in which the official is employed; and

"(B)(i) reject the possibility of non-Federal employment; or

"(ii) disqualify himself or herself from further personal and substantial participation in that Federal agency procurement until such time as the agency has authorized the official to resume participation in such procurement, in accordance with the requirements of section 208 of title 18, United States Code, and applicable agency regulations on the grounds that—

"(I) the person is no longer a bidder or offeror in that Federal agency procurement; or

"(II) all discussions with the bidder or offeror regarding possible non-Federal employment have terminated without an agreement or arrangement for employment.

"(2) Each report required by this subsection shall be retained by the agency for not less than two years following the submission of the report. All such reports shall be made available to the public upon request, except that any part of a report that is exempt from the disclosure requirements of section 552 of title 5, United States Code, under subsection (b)(1) of such section may be withheld from disclosure to the public.

"(3) An official who knowingly fails to comply with the requirements of this subsection shall be subject to the penalties and administrative actions set forth in subsection (e).

"(4) A bidder or offeror who engages in employment discussions with an official who is subject to the restrictions of this subsection, knowing that the official has not complied with subparagraph (A) or (B) of paragraph (1), shall be subject to the penalties and administrative actions set forth in subsection (e).

"(d) PROHIBITION ON FORMER OFFICIAL'S ACCEPTANCE OF COMPENSATION FROM CONTRACTOR.—(1) A former official of a Federal agency may not accept compensation from a contractor as an employee, officer, director, or consultant of the contractor within a period of one year after such former official—

"(A) served, at the time of selection of the contractor or the award of a contract to that contractor, as the procuring contracting officer, the source selection authority, a member of the source selection evaluation board, or the chief of a financial or technical evaluation team in a procurement in which that contractor was selected for award of a contract in excess of $10,000,000;

"(B) served as the program manager, deputy program manager, or administrative contracting officer for a contract in excess of $10,000,000 awarded to that contractor; or

"(C) personally made for the Federal agency—

"(i) a decision to award a contract, subcontract, modification of a contract or subcontract, or a task order or delivery order in excess of $10,000,000 to that contractor;

"(ii) a decision to establish overhead or other rates applicable to a contract or contracts for that contractor that are valued in excess of $10,000,000;

"(iii) a decision to approve issuance of a contract payment or payments in excess of $10,000,000 to that contractor; or

"(iv) a decision to pay or settle a claim in excess of $10,000,000 with that contractor.

"(2) Nothing in paragraph (1) may be construed to prohibit a former official of a Federal agency from accepting compensation from any division or affiliate of a contractor that does not produce the same or similar products or services as the entity of the contractor that is responsible for the contract referred to in subparagraph (A), (B), or (C) of such paragraph.

"(3) A former official who knowingly accepts compensation in violation of this subsection shall be subject to penalties and administrative actions as set forth in subsection (e).

"(4) A contractor who provides compensation to a former official knowing that such compensation is accepted by the former official in violation of this subsection shall be subject to penalties and administrative actions as set forth in subsection (e).

"(5) Regulations implementing this subsection shall include procedures for an official or former official of a Federal agency to request advice from the appropriate designated agency ethics official regarding whether the official or former official is or would be precluded by this subsection from accepting compensation from a particular contractor.

"(e) PENALTIES AND ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS.—

"(1) CRIMINAL PENALTIES.—Whoever engages in conduct constituting a violation of subsection (a) or (b) for the purpose of either—

"(A) exchanging the information covered by such subsection for anything of value, or

"(B) obtaining or giving anyone a competitive advantage in the award of a Federal agency procurement contract,

shall be imprisoned for not more than 5 years or fined as provided under title 18, United States Code, or both.

"(2) CIVIL PENALTIES.—The Attorney General may bring a civil action in an appropriate United States district court against any person who engages in conduct constituting a violation of subsection (a), (b), (c), or (d). Upon proof of such conduct by a preponderance of the evidence, the person is subject to a civil penalty. An individual who engages in such conduct is subject to a civil penalty of not more than $50,000 for each violation plus twice the amount of compensation which the individual received or offered for the prohibited conduct. An organization that engages in such conduct is subject to a civil penalty of not more than $500,000 for each violation plus twice the amount of compensation which the organization received or offered for the prohibited conduct.

"(3) ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS.—(A) If a Federal agency receives information that a contractor or a person has engaged in conduct constituting a violation of subsection (a), (b), (c), or (d), the Federal agency shall consider taking one or more of the following actions, as appropriate:

"(i) Cancellation of the Federal agency procurement, if a contract has not yet been awarded.

"(ii) Rescission of a contract with respect to which—

"(I) the contractor or someone acting for the contractor has been convicted for an offense punishable under paragraph (1), or

"(II) the head of the agency that awarded the contract has determined, based upon a preponderance of the evidence, that the contractor or someone acting for the contractor has engaged in conduct constituting such an offense.

"(iii) Initiation of suspension or debarment proceedings for the protection of the Government in accordance with procedures in the Federal Acquisition Regulation.

"(iv) Initiation of adverse personnel action, pursuant to the procedures in chapter 75 of title 5, United States Code, or other applicable law or regulation.

"(B) If a Federal agency rescinds a contract pursuant to subparagraph (A)(ii), the United States is entitled to recover, in addition to any penalty prescribed by law, the amount expended under the contract.

"(C) For purposes of any suspension or debarment proceedings initiated pursuant to subparagraph (A)(iii), engaging in conduct constituting an offense under subsection (a), (b), (c), or (d) affects the present responsibility of a Government contractor or subcontractor.

"(f) DEFINITIONS.—As used in this section:

"(1) The term 'contractor bid or proposal information' means any of the following information submitted to a Federal agency as part of or in connection with a bid or proposal to enter into a Federal agency procurement contract, if that information has not been previously made available to the public or disclosed publicly:

"(A) Cost or pricing data (as defined by section 2306a(h) of title 10, United States Code, with respect to procurements subject to that section, and section 304A(h) of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (41 U.S.C. 254b(h)), with respect to procurements subject to that section).

"(B) Indirect costs and direct labor rates.

"(C) Proprietary information about manufacturing processes, operations, or techniques marked by the contractor in accordance with applicable law or regulation.

"(D) Information marked by the contractor as 'contractor bid or proposal information', in accordance with applicable law or regulation.

"(2) The term 'source selection information' means any of the following information prepared for use by a Federal agency for the purpose of evaluating a bid or proposal to enter into a Federal agency procurement contract, if that information has not been previously made available to the public or disclosed publicly:

"(A) Bid prices submitted in response to a Federal agency solicitation for sealed bids, or lists of those bid prices before public bid opening.

"(B) Proposed costs or prices submitted in response to a Federal agency solicitation, or lists of those proposed costs or prices.

"(C) Source selection plans.

"(D) Technical evaluation plans.

"(E) Technical evaluations of proposals.

"(F) Cost or price evaluations of proposals.

"(G) Competitive range determinations that identify proposals that have a reasonable chance of being selected for award of a contract.

"(H) Rankings of bids, proposals, or competitors.

"(I) The reports and evaluations of source selection panels, boards, or advisory councils.

"(J) Other information marked as 'source selection information' based on a case-by-case determination by the head of the agency, his designee, or the contracting officer that its disclosure would jeopardize the integrity or successful completion of the Federal agency procurement to which the information relates.

"(3) The term 'Federal agency' has the meaning provided such term in section 3 of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (40 U.S.C. 472).

"(4) The term 'Federal agency procurement' means the acquisition (by using competitive procedures and awarding a contract) of goods or services (including construction) from non-Federal sources by a Federal agency using appropriated funds.

"(5) The term 'contracting officer' means a person who, by appointment in accordance with applicable regulations, has the authority to enter into a Federal agency procurement contract on behalf of the Government and to make determinations and findings with respect to such a contract.

"(6) The term 'protest' means a written objection by an interested party to the award or proposed award of a Federal agency procurement contract, pursuant to subchapter V of chapter 35 of title 31, United States Code.

"(7) The term 'official' means the following:

"(A) An officer, as defined in section 2104 of title 5, United States Code.

"(B) An employee, as defined in section 2105 of title 5, United States Code.

"(C) A member of the uniformed services, as defined in section 2101(3) of title 5, United States Code.

"(g) LIMITATION ON PROTESTS.—No person may file a protest against the award or proposed award of a Federal agency procurement contract alleging a violation of subsection (a), (b), (c), or (d), nor may the Comptroller General of the United States consider such an allegation in deciding a protest, unless that person reported to the Federal agency responsible for the procurement, no later than 14 days after the person first discovered the possible violation, the information that the person believed constitutes evidence of the offense.

"(h) SAVINGS PROVISIONS.—This section does not—

"(1) restrict the disclosure of information to, or its receipt by, any person or class of persons authorized, in accordance with applicable agency regulations or procedures, to receive that information;

"(2) restrict a contractor from disclosing its own bid or proposal information or the recipient from receiving that information;

"(3) restrict the disclosure or receipt of information relating to a Federal agency procurement after it has been canceled by the Federal agency before contract award unless the Federal agency plans to resume the procurement;

"(4) prohibit individual meetings between a Federal agency official and an offeror or potential offeror for, or a recipient of, a contract or subcontract under a Federal agency procurement, provided that unauthorized disclosure or receipt of contractor bid or proposal information or source selection information does not occur;

"(5) authorize the withholding of information from, nor restrict its receipt by, Congress, a committee or subcommittee of Congress, the Comptroller General, a Federal agency, or an inspector general of a Federal agency;

"(6) authorize the withholding of information from, nor restrict its receipt by, the Comptroller General of the United States in the course of a protest against the award or proposed award of a Federal agency procurement contract; or

"(7) limit the applicability of any requirements, sanctions, contract penalties, and remedies established under any other law or regulation.".

(b) REPEALS.—The following provisions of law are repealed:

(1) Sections 2397, 2397a, 2397b, and 2397c of title 10, United States Code.

(2) Section 33 of the Federal Energy Administration Act of 1974 (15 U.S.C. 789).

(3) Section 281 of title 18, United States Code.

(4) Subsection (c) of section 32 of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 428).

(5) The first section 19 of the Federal Nonnuclear Energy Research and Development Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C. 5918).

(6) Part A of title VI of the Department of Energy Organization Act and its catchline (42 U.S.C. 7211, 7212, and 7218).

(7) Section 308 of the Energy Research and Development Administration Appropriation Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1977 (42 U.S.C. 5816a).

(8) Section 522 of the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (42 U.S.C. 6392).

(c) CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.—

(1) The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 141 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking out the items relating to sections 2397, 2397a, 2397b, and 2397c.

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 15 of title 18, United States Code, is amended by striking out the item relating to section 281.

(3) Section 32 of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 428) is amended by redesignating subsections (d), (e), (f), and (g) as subsections (c), (d), (e), and (f), respectively.

(4) The table of contents for the Department of Energy Organization Act is amended by striking out the items relating to part A of title VI including sections 601 through 603.

(5) The table of contents for the Energy Policy and Conservation Act is amended by striking out the item relating to section 522.

**SEC. 4305. FURTHER ACQUISITION STREAMLINING PROVISIONS.**

(a) PURPOSE OF OFFICE OF FEDERAL PROCUREMENT POLICY.—

(1) REVISED STATEMENT OF PURPOSE.—Section 5(a) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 404) is amended to read as follows:

"(a) There is in the Office of Management and Budget an Office of Federal Procurement Policy (hereinafter referred to as the 'Office') to provide overall direction of Government-wide procurement policies, regulations, procedures, and forms for executive agencies and to promote economy, efficiency, and effectiveness in the procurement of property and services by the executive branch of the Federal Government.".

(2) REPEAL OF FINDINGS, POLICIES, AND PURPOSES.—Sections 2 and 3 of such Act (41 U.S.C. 401 and 402) are repealed.

(b) REPEAL OF REPORT REQUIREMENT.—Section 8 of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 407) is repealed.

(c) OBSOLETE PROVISIONS.—

(1) RELATIONSHIP TO FORMER REGULATIONS.—Section 10 of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 409) is repealed.

(2) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—Section 11 of such Act (41 U.S.C. 410) is amended to read as follows:

**"SEC. 11. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**

"There is authorized to be appropriated for the Office of Federal Procurement Policy each fiscal year such sums as may be necessary for carrying out the responsibilities of that office for such fiscal year.".

(d) CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.—The table of contents for the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (contained in section 1(b)) is amended by striking out the items relating to sections 2, 3, 8, and 10.

**SEC. 4306. VALUE ENGINEERING FOR FEDERAL AGENCIES.**

(a) USE OF VALUE ENGINEERING.—The Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 401 et seq.), as amended by section 4203, is further amended by adding at the end the following new section:

**"SEC. 36. VALUE ENGINEERING.**

"(a) IN GENERAL.—Each executive agency shall establish and maintain cost-effective value engineering procedures and processes.

"(b) DEFINITION.—As used in this section, the term 'value engineering' means an analysis of the functions of a program, project, system, product, item of equipment, building, facility, service, or supply of an executive agency, performed by qualified agency or contractor personnel, directed at improving performance, reliability, quality, safety, and life cycle costs.".

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of contents for such Act, contained in section 1(b), is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

"Sec. 36. Value engineering.".

**SEC. 4307. ACQUISITION WORKFORCE.**

(a) ACQUISITION WORKFORCE.—(1) The Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 401 et seq.), as amended by section 4306, is further amended by adding at the end the following new section:

**"SEC. 37. ACQUISITION WORKFORCE.**

"(a) APPLICABILITY.—This section does not apply to an executive agency that is subject to chapter 87 of title 10, United States Code.

"(b) MANAGEMENT POLICIES.—

"(1) POLICIES AND PROCEDURES.—The head of each executive agency, after consultation with the Administrator for Federal Procurement Policy, shall establish policies and procedures for the effective management (including accession, education, training, career development, and performance incentives) of the acquisition workforce of the agency. The development of acquisition workforce policies under this section shall be carried out consistent with the merit system principles set forth in section 2301(b) of title 5, United States Code.

"(2) UNIFORM IMPLEMENTATION.—The head of each executive agency shall ensure that, to the maximum extent practicable, acquisition workforce policies and procedures established are uniform in their implementation throughout the agency.

"(3) GOVERNMENT-WIDE POLICIES AND EVALUATION.—The Administrator shall issue policies to promote uniform implementation of this section by executive agencies, with due regard for differences in program requirements among agencies that may be appropriate and warranted in view of the agency mission. The Administrator shall coordinate with the Deputy Director for Management of the Office of Management and Budget to ensure that such policies are consistent with the policies and procedures established and enhanced system of incentives provided pursuant to section 5051(c) of the Federal Acquisition Streamlining Act of 1994 (41 U.S.C. 263 note). The Administrator shall evaluate the implementation of the provisions of this section by executive agencies.

"(c) SENIOR PROCUREMENT EXECUTIVE AUTHORITIES AND RESPONSIBILITIES.—Subject to the authority, direction, and control of the head of an executive agency, the senior procurement executive of the agency shall carry out all powers, functions, and duties of the head of the agency with respect to implementation of this section. The senior procurement executive shall ensure that the policies of the head of the executive agency established in accordance with this section are implemented throughout the agency.

"(d) MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS.—The Administrator shall ensure that the heads of executive agencies collect and maintain standardized information on the acquisition workforce related to implementation of this section. To the maximum extent practicable, such data requirements shall conform to standards established by the Office of Personnel Management for the Central Personnel Data File.

"(e) APPLICABILITY TO ACQUISITION WORKFORCE.—The programs established by this section shall apply to the acquisition workforce of each executive agency. For purposes of this section, the acquisition workforce of an agency consists of all employees serving in acquisition positions listed in subsection (g)(1)(A).

"(f) CAREER DEVELOPMENT.—

"(1) CAREER PATHS.—The head of each executive agency shall ensure that appropriate career paths for personnel who desire to pursue careers in acquisition are identified in terms of the education, training, experience, and assignments necessary for career progression to the most senior acquisition positions. The head of each executive agency shall make information available on such career paths.

"(2) CRITICAL DUTIES AND TASKS.—For each career path, the head of each executive agency shall identify the critical acquisition-related duties and tasks in which, at minimum, employees of the agency in the career path shall be competent to perform at full performance grade levels. For this purpose, the head of the executive agency shall provide appropriate coverage of the critical duties and tasks identified by the Director of the Federal Acquisition Institute.

"(3) MANDATORY TRAINING AND EDUCATION.—For each career path, the head of each executive agency shall establish requirements for the completion of course work and related on-the-job training in the critical acquisition-related duties and tasks of the career path. The head of each executive agency shall also encourage employees to maintain the currency of their acquisition knowledge and generally enhance their knowledge of related acquisition management disciplines through academic programs and other self-developmental activities.

"(4) PERFORMANCE INCENTIVES.—The head of each executive agency shall provide for an enhanced system of incentives for the encouragement of excellence in the acquisition workforce which rewards performance of employees that contribute to achieving the agency's performance goals. The system of incentives shall include provisions that—

"(A) relate pay to performance (including the extent to which the performance of personnel in such workforce contributes to achieving the cost goals, schedule goals, and performance goals established for acquisition programs pursuant to section 313(b) of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (41 U.S.C. 263(b))); and

"(B) provide for consideration, in personnel evaluations and promotion decisions, of the extent to which the performance of personnel in such workforce contributes to achieving such cost goals, schedule goals, and performance goals.

"(g) QUALIFICATION REQUIREMENTS.—

"(1) IN GENERAL.—(A) Subject to paragraph (2), the Administrator shall establish qualification requirements, including education requirements, for the following positions:

"(i) Entry-level positions in the General Schedule Contracting series (GS–1102).

"(ii) Senior positions in the General Schedule Contracting series (GS–1102).

"(iii) All positions in the General Schedule Purchasing series (GS–1105).

"(iv) Positions in other General Schedule series in which significant acquisition-related functions are performed.

"(B) Subject to paragraph (2), the Administrator shall prescribe the manner and extent to which such qualification requirements shall apply to any person serving in a position described in subparagraph (A) at the time such requirements are established.

"(2) RELATIONSHIP TO REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO DEFENSE ACQUISITION WORKFORCE.—The Administrator shall establish qualification requirements and make prescriptions under paragraph (1) that are comparable to those established for the same or equivalent positions pursuant to chapter 87 of title 10, United States Code, with appropriate modifications.

"(3) APPROVAL OF REQUIREMENTS.—The Administrator shall submit any requirement established or prescription made under paragraph (1) to the Director of the Office of Personnel Management for approval. If the Director does not disapprove a requirement or prescription within 30 days after the date on which the Director receives it, the requirement or prescription is deemed to be approved by the Director.

"(h) EDUCATION AND TRAINING.—

"(1) FUNDING LEVELS.—(A) The head of an executive agency shall set forth separately the funding levels requested for education and training of the acquisition workforce in the budget justification documents submitted in support of the President's budget submitted to Congress under section 1105 of title 31, United States Code.

"(B) Funds appropriated for education and training under this section may not be obligated for any other purpose.

"(2) TUITION ASSISTANCE.—The head of an executive agency may provide tuition reimbursement in education (including a full-time course of study leading to a degree) in accordance with section 4107 of title 5, United States Code, for personnel serving in acquisition positions in the agency.".

(2) The table of contents for such Act, contained in section 1(b), is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

"Sec. 37. Acquisition workforce.".

(b) ADDITIONAL AMENDMENTS.—Section 6(d) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 405), is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraphs (6), (7), (8), (9), (10), (11), and (12) (as transferred by section 4321(h)(1)) as paragraphs (7), (8), (9), (10), (11), (12), and (13), respectively;

(2) in paragraph (5)—

(A) in subparagraph (A), by striking out "Government-wide career management programs for a professional procurement work force" and inserting in lieu thereof "the development of a professional acquisition workforce Government-wide"; and

(B) in subparagraph (B)—

(i) by striking out "procurement by the" and inserting in lieu thereof "acquisition by the";

(ii) by striking out "and" at the end of the subparagraph; and

(iii) by striking out subparagraph (C) and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

"(C) collect data and analyze acquisition workforce data from the Office of Personnel Management, the heads of executive agencies, and, through periodic surveys, from individual employees;

"(D) periodically analyze acquisition career fields to identify critical competencies, duties, tasks, and related academic prerequisites, skills, and knowledge;

"(E) coordinate and assist agencies in identifying and recruiting highly qualified candidates for acquisition fields;

"(F) develop instructional materials for acquisition personnel in coordination with private and public acquisition colleges and training facilities;

"(G) evaluate the effectiveness of training and career development programs for acquisition personnel;

"(H) promote the establishment and utilization of academic programs by colleges and universities in acquisition fields;

"(I) facilitate, to the extent requested by agencies, interagency intern and training programs; and

"(J) perform other career management or research functions as directed by the Administrator."; and

(3) by inserting before paragraph (7) (as so redesignated) the following new paragraph (6):

"(6) administering the provisions of section 37;".

**SEC. 4308. DEMONSTRATION PROJECT RELATING TO CERTAIN PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES.**

(a) COMMENCEMENT.—The Secretary of Defense is encouraged to take such steps as may be necessary to provide for the commencement of a demonstration project, the purpose of which would be to determine the feasibility or desirability of one or more proposals for improving the personnel management policies or procedures that apply with respect to the acquisition workforce of the Department of Defense.

(b) TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Except as otherwise provided in this subsection, any demonstration project described in subsection (a) shall be subject to section 4703 of title 5, United States Code, and all other provisions of such title that apply with respect to any demonstration project under such section.

(2) EXCEPTIONS.—Subject to paragraph (3), in applying section 4703 of title 5, United States Code, with respect to a demonstration project described in subsection (a)—

(A) "180 days" in subsection (b)(4) of such section shall be deemed to read "120 days";

(B) "90 days" in subsection (b)(6) of such section shall be deemed to read "30 days"; and

(C) subsection (d)(1)(A) of such section shall be disregarded.

(3) CONDITION.—Paragraph (2) shall not apply with respect to a demonstration project unless it—

(A) involves only the acquisition workforce of the Department of Defense (or any part thereof); and

(B) commences during the 3-year period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act.

(c) DEFINITION.—For purposes of this section, the term "acquisition workforce" refers to the persons serving in acquisition positions within the Department of Defense, as designated pursuant to section 1721(a) of title 10, United States Code.

**SEC. 4309. COOPERATIVE PURCHASING.**

(a) DELAY IN OPENING CERTAIN FEDERAL SUPPLY SCHEDULES TO USE BY STATE, LOCAL, AND INDIAN TRIBAL GOVERNMENTS.—The Administrator of General Services may not use the authority of section 201(b)(2) of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (40 U.S.C. 481(b)(2)) to provide for the use of Federal supply schedules of the General Services Administration until after the later of—

(1) the date on which the 18-month period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act expires; or

(2) the date on which all of the following conditions are met:

(A) The Administrator has considered the report of the Comptroller General required by subsection (b).

(B) The Administrator has submitted comments on such report to Congress as required by subsection (c).

(C) A period of 30 days after the date of submission of such comments to Congress has expired.

(b) REPORT.—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General shall submit to the Administrator of General Services and to Congress a report on the implementation of section 201(b) of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949. The report shall include the following:

(1) An assessment of the effect on industry, including small businesses and local dealers, of providing for the use of Federal supply schedules by the entities described in section 201(b)(2)(A) of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949.

(2) An assessment of the effect on such entities of providing for the use of Federal supply schedules by them.

(c) COMMENTS ON REPORT BY ADMINISTRATOR.—Not later than 30 days after receiving the report of the Comptroller General required by subsection (b), the Administrator of General Services shall submit to Congress comments on the report, including the Administrator's comments on whether the Administrator plans to provide any Federal supply schedule for the use of any entity described in section 201(b)(2)(A) of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949.

(d) CALCULATION OF 30-DAY PERIOD.—For purposes of subsection (a)(2)(C), the calculation of the 30-day period shall exclude Saturdays, Sundays, and holidays, and any day on which neither House of Congress is in session because of an adjournment sine die, a recess of more than 3 days, or an adjournment of more than 3 days.

**SEC. 4310. PROCUREMENT NOTICE TECHNICAL AMENDMENT.**

Section 18(c)(1)(E) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 416(c)(1)(E)) is amended by inserting after "requirements contract" the following: ", a task order contract, or a delivery order contract".

**SEC. 4311. MICRO-PURCHASES WITHOUT COMPETITIVE QUOTATIONS.**

Section 32(c) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 428), as redesignated by section 4304(c)(3), is amended by striking out "the contracting officer" and inserting in lieu thereof "an employee of an executive agency or a member of the Armed Forces of the United States authorized to do so".

**Subtitle B—Technical Amendments**

**SEC. 4321. AMENDMENTS RELATED TO FEDERAL ACQUISITION STREAMLINING ACT OF 1994.**

(a) PUBLIC LAW 103-355.—Effective as of October 13, 1994, and as if included therein as enacted, the Federal Acquisition Streamlining Act of 1994 (Public Law 103-355; 108 Stat. 3243 et seq.) is amended as follows:

(1) Section 1073 (108 Stat. 3271) is amended by striking out "section 303I" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 303K".

(2) Section 1202(a) (108 Stat. 3274) is amended by striking out the closing quotation marks and second period at the end of paragraph (2)(B) of the subsection inserted by the amendment made by that section.

(3) Section 1251(b) (108 Stat. 3284) is amended by striking out "Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act" and inserting in lieu thereof "Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949".

(4) Section 2051(e) (108 Stat. 3304) is amended by striking out the closing quotation marks and second period at the end of subsection (f)(3) in the matter inserted by the amendment made by that section.

(5) Section 2101(a)(6)(B)(ii) (108 Stat. 3308) is amended by replacing "regulation" with "regulations" in the first quoted matter.

(6) Section 2351(a) (108 Stat. 3322) is amended by inserting "(1)" before "Section 6".

(7) The heading of section 2352(b) (108 Stat. 3322) is amended by striking out "PROCEDURES TO SMALL BUSINESS GOVERNMENT CONTRACTORS.—" and inserting in lieu thereof "PROCEDURES.—".

(8) Section 3022 (108 Stat. 3333) is amended by striking out "each place" and all that follows through the end of the section and inserting in lieu thereof "in paragraph (1) and ', rent,' after 'sell' in paragraph (2).".

(9) Section 5092(b) (108 Stat. 3362) is amended by inserting "of paragraph (2)" after "second sentence".

(10) Section 6005(a) (108 Stat. 3364) is amended by striking out the closing quotation marks and second period at the end of subsection (e)(2) of the matter inserted by the amendment made by that section.

(11) Section 10005(f)(4) (108 Stat. 3409) is amended in the second matter in quotation marks by striking out "'SEC. 5. This Act" and inserting in lieu thereof "'SEC. 7. This title".

(b) TITLE 10, UNITED STATES CODE.—Title 10, United States Code, is amended as follows:

(1) Section 2220(b) is amended by striking out "the date of the enactment of the Federal Acquisition Streamlining Act of 1994" and inserting in lieu thereof "October 13, 1994".

(2)(A) The section 2247 added by section 7202(a)(1) of Public Law 103-355 (108 Stat. 3379) is redesignated as section 2249.

(B) The item relating to that section in the table of sections at the beginning of subchapter I of chapter 134 is revised to conform to the redesignation made by subparagraph (A).

(3) Section 2302(3)(K) is amended by adding a period at the end.

(4) Section 2304(f)(2)(D) is amended by striking out "the Act of June 25, 1938 (41 U.S.C. 46 et seq.), popularly referred to as the Wagner-O'Day Act," and inserting in lieu thereof "the Javits-Wagner-O'Day Act (41 U.S.C. 46 et seq.),".

(5) Section 2304(h) is amended by striking out paragraph (1) and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

"(1) The Walsh-Healey Act (41 U.S.C. 35 et seq.).".

(6)(A) The section 2304a added by section 848(a)(1) of Public Law 103-160 (107 Stat. 1724) is redesignated as section 2304e.

(B) The item relating to that section in the table of sections at the beginning of chapter 137 is revised to conform to the redesignation made by subparagraph (A).

(7) Section 2306a is amended—

(A) in subsection (d)(2)(A)(ii), by inserting "to" after "The information referred";

(B) in subsection (e)(4)(B)(ii), by striking out the second comma after "parties"; and

(C) in subsection (i)(3), by inserting "(41 U.S.C. 403(12))" before the period at the end.

(8) Section 2323 is amended—

(A) in subsection (a)(1)(C), by inserting a closing parenthesis after "1135d-5(3))" and after "1059c(b)(1))";

(B) in subsection (a)(3), by striking out "(issued under" and all that follows through "421(c))";

(C) in subsection (b), by inserting "(1)" after "AMOUNT.—"; and

(D) in subsection (i)(3), by adding at the end a subparagraph (D) identical to the subparagraph (D) set forth in the amendment made by section 811(e) of Public Law 103-160 (107 Stat. 1702).

(9) Section 2324 is amended—

(A) in subsection (e)(2)(C)—

(i) by striking out "awarding the contract" at the end of the first sentence; and

(ii) by striking out "title III" and all that follows through "Act)" and inserting in lieu thereof "the Buy American Act (41 U.S.C. 10b-1)"; and

(B) in subsection (h)(2), by inserting "the head of the agency or" after "in the case of any contract if".

(10) Section 2350b is amended—

(A) in subsection (c)(1)—

(i) by striking out "specifically—" and inserting in lieu thereof "specifically prescribes—"; and

(ii) by striking out "prescribe" in each of subparagraphs (A), (B), (C), and (D); and

(B) in subsection (d)(1), by striking out "subcontract to be" and inserting in lieu thereof "subcontract be".

(11) Section 2372(i)(1) is amended by striking out "section 2324(m)" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 2324(l)".

(12) Section 2384(b) is amended—

(A) in paragraph (2)—

(i) by striking "items, as" and inserting in lieu thereof "items (as"; and

(ii) by inserting a closing parenthesis after "403(12))"; and

(B) in paragraph (3), by inserting a closing parenthesis after "403(11))".

(13) Section 2400(a)(5) is amended by striking out "the preceding sentence" and inserting in lieu thereof "this paragraph".

(14) Section 2405 is amended—

(A) in paragraphs (1) and (2) of subsection (a), by striking out "the date of the enactment of the Federal Acquisition Streamlining Act of 1994" and inserting in lieu thereof "October 13, 1994"; and

(B) in subsection (c)(3)—

(i) by striking out "the later of—" and all that follows through "(B)"; and

(ii) by redesignating clauses (i), (ii), and (iii) as subparagraphs (A), (B), and (C), respectively, and realigning those subparagraphs accordingly.

(15) Section 2410d(b) is amended by striking out paragraph (3).

(16) Section 2410g(d)(1) is amended by inserting before the period at the end the following: "(as defined in section 4(12) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 403(12)))".

(17) Section 2424(c) is amended—

(A) by inserting "EXCEPTION.—" after "(c)"; and

(B) by striking out "drink" the first and third places it appears in the second sentence and inserting in lieu thereof "beverage".

(18) Section 2431 is amended—

(A) in subsection (b)—

(i) by striking out "Any report" in the first sentence and inserting in lieu thereof "Any documents"; and

(ii) by striking out "the report" in paragraph (3) and inserting in lieu thereof "the documents"; and

(B) in subsection (c), by striking "reporting" and inserting in lieu thereof "documentation".

(19) Section 2461(e)(1) is amended by striking out "the Act of June 25, 1938 (41 U.S.C. 47), popularly referred to as the Wagner-O'Day Act" and inserting in lieu thereof "the Javits-Wagner-O'Day Act (41 U.S.C. 47)".

(20) Section 2533(a) is amended by striking out "title III of the Act" and all that follows through "such Act" and inserting in lieu thereof "the Buy American Act (41 U.S.C. 10a)) whether application of such Act".

(21) Section 2662(b) is amended by striking out "small purchase threshold" and inserting in lieu thereof "simplified acquisition threshold".

(22) Section 2701(i)(1) is amended—

(A) by striking out "Act of August 24, 1935 (40 U.S.C. 270a-270d), commonly referred to as the 'Miller Act'," and inserting in lieu thereof "Miller Act (40 U.S.C. 270a et seq.)"; and

(B) by striking out "such Act of August 24, 1935" and inserting in lieu thereof "the Miller Act".

(c) SMALL BUSINESS ACT.—The Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 632 et seq.) is amended as follows:

(1) Section 8(d) (15 U.S.C. 637(d)) is amended—

(A) in paragraph (1), by striking out the second comma after "small business concerns" the first place it appears; and

(B) in paragraph (6)(C), by striking out "and small business concerns owned and controlled by the socially and economically disadvantaged individuals" and inserting in lieu thereof ", small business concerns owned and controlled by socially and economically disadvantaged individuals, and small business concerns owned and controlled by women".

(2) Section 8(f) (15 U.S.C. 637(f)) is amended by inserting "and" after the semicolon at the end of paragraph (5).

(3) Section 15(g)(2) (15 U.S.C. 644(g)(2)) is amended by striking out the second comma after the first appearance of "small business concerns".

(d) TITLE 31, UNITED STATES CODE.—Title 31, United States Code, is amended as follows:

(1) Section 3551 is amended—

(A) by striking out "subchapter—" and inserting in lieu thereof "subchapter:"; and

(B) in paragraph (2), by striking out "or proposed contract" and inserting in lieu thereof "or a solicitation or other request for offers".

(2) Section 3553(b)(3) is amended by striking out "3554(a)(3)" and inserting in lieu thereof "3554(a)(4)".

(3) Section 3554(b)(2) is amended by striking out "section 3553(d)(2)(A)(i)" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 3553(d)(3)(C)(i)(I)".

(e) FEDERAL PROPERTY AND ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES ACT OF 1949.—The Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 is amended as follows:

(1) The table of contents in section 1 (40 U.S.C. 471 prec.) is amended—

(A) by striking out the item relating to section 104;

(B) by striking out the item relating to section 201 and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

"Sec. 201. Procurements, warehousing, and related activities.";

(C) by inserting after the item relating to section 315 the following new item:

"Sec. 316. Merit-based award of grants for research and development.";

(D) by striking out the item relating to section 603 and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

"Sec. 603. Authorizations for appropriations and transfer authority.";

and

(E) by inserting after the item relating to section 605 the following new item:

"Sec. 606. Sex discrimination.".

(2) Section 303(f)(2)(D) (41 U.S.C. 253(f)(2)(D)) is amended by striking out "the Act of June 25, 1938 (41 U.S.C. 46 et seq.), popularly referred to as the Wagner-O'Day Act," and inserting in lieu thereof "the Javits-Wagner-O'Day Act (41 U.S.C. 46 et seq.),".

(3) The heading for paragraph (1) of section 304A(c) (41 U.S.C. 254b(c)) is amended by changing each letter that is capitalized (other than the first letter of the first word) to lower case.

(4) Subsection (d)(2)(A)(ii) of section 304A (41 U.S.C. 254b) is amended by inserting "to" after "The information referred".

(5) Section 304C(a)(2) is amended by striking out "section 304B" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 304A".

(6) Section 307(b) is amended by striking out "section 305(c)" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 305(d)".

(7) The heading for section 314A (41 U.S.C. 264a) is amended to read as follows:

**"SEC. 314A. DEFINITIONS RELATING TO PROCUREMENT OF COMMERCIAL ITEMS.".**

(8) Section 315(b) (41 U.S.C. 265(b)) is amended by striking out "inspector general" both places it appears and inserting in lieu thereof "Inspector General".

(9) The heading for section 316 (41 U.S.C. 266) is amended by inserting at the end a period.

(f) WALSH-HEALEY ACT.—

(1) The Walsh-Healey Act (41 U.S.C. 35 et seq.) is amended—

(A) by transferring the second section 11 (as added by section 7201(4) of Public Law 103-355) so as to appear after section 10; and

(B) by redesignating the three sections following such section 11 (as so transferred) as sections 12, 13, and 14.

(2) Such Act is further amended in section 10—

(A) in subsection (b), by striking out "section 1(b)" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 1(a)"; and

(B) in subsection (c), by striking out the comma after "'locality'".

(g) ANTI-KICKBACK ACT OF 1986.—Section 7(d) of the Anti-Kickback Act of 1986 (41 U.S.C. 57(d)) is amended—

(1) by striking out "such Act" and inserting in lieu thereof "the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act"; and

(2) by striking out the second period at the end.

(h) OFFICE OF FEDERAL PROCUREMENT POLICY ACT.—The Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 401 et seq.) is amended as follows:

(1) Section 6 (41 U.S.C. 405) is amended by transferring paragraph (12) of subsection (d) (as such paragraph was redesignated by section 5091(2) of the Federal Acquisition Streamlining Act of 1994 (P.L. 103-355; 108 Stat. 3361)) to the end of that subsection.

(2) Section 6(11) (41 U.S.C. 405(11)) is amended by striking out "small business" and inserting in lieu thereof "small businesses".

(3) Section 18(b) (41 U.S.C. 416(b)) is amended by inserting "and" after the semicolon at the end of paragraph (5).

(4) Section 26(f)(3) (41 U.S.C. 422(f)(3)) is amended in the first sentence by striking out "Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this section, the Administrator" and inserting in lieu thereof "The Administrator".

(i) OTHER LAWS.—

(1) The National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1994 (Public Law 103-160) is amended as follows:

(A) Section 126(c) (107 Stat. 1567) is amended by striking out "section 2401 of title 10, United States Code, or section 9081 of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 1990 (10 U.S.C. 2401 note)." and inserting in lieu thereof "section 2401 or 2401a of title 10, United States Code.".

(B) Section 127 (107 Stat. 1568) is amended—

(i) in subsection (a), by striking out "section 2401 of title 10, United States Code, or section 9081 of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 1990 (10 U.S.C. 2401 note)." and inserting in lieu thereof "section 2401 or 2401a of title 10, United States Code."; and

(ii) in subsection (e), by striking out "section 9081 of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 1990 (10 U.S.C. 2401 note)." and inserting in lieu thereof "section 2401a of title 10, United States Code.".

(2) The National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 1990 and 1991 (Public Law 101-189) is amended by striking out section 824.

(3) Section 117 of the National Defense Authorization Act, Fiscal Year 1989 (Public Law 100-456; 10 U.S.C. 2431 note) is amended by striking out subsection (c).

(4) The National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 1988 and 1989 (Public Law 100-180) is amended by striking out section 825 (10 U.S.C. 2432 note).

(5) Section 11 of Public Law 101-552 (5 U.S.C. 581 note) is amended by inserting "under" before "the amendments made by this Act".

(6) The last sentence of section 6 of the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 799) is repealed.

(7) Section 101(a)(11)(A) of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. 721(a)(11)(A)) is amended by striking out "the Act entitled 'An Act to create a Committee on Purchases of Blind-made Products, and for other purposes', approved June 25, 1938 (commonly known as the Wagner-O'Day Act; 41 U.S.C. 46 et seq.)" and inserting in lieu thereof "the Javits-Wagner-O'Day Act (41 U.S.C. 46 et seq.)".

(8) The first section 5 of the Miller Act (40 U.S.C. 270a note) is redesignated as section 7 and, as so redesignated, is transferred to the end of that Act.

(9) Section 3737(g) of the Revised Statutes of the United States (41 U.S.C. 15(g)) is amended by striking out "rights of obligations" and inserting in lieu thereof "rights or obligations".

(10) The Act of June 15, 1940 (41 U.S.C. 20a; Chapter 367; 54 Stat. 398), is repealed.

(11) The Act of November 28, 1943 (41 U.S.C. 20b; Chapter 328; 57 Stat. 592), is repealed.

(12) Section 3741 of the Revised Statutes of the United States (41 U.S.C. 22), as amended by section 6004 of Public Law 103-355 (108 Stat. 3364), is amended by striking out "No member" and inserting in lieu thereof "SEC. 3741. No Member".

(13) Section 5152(a)(1) of the Drug-Free Workplace Act of 1988 (41 U.S.C. 701(a)(1)) is amended by striking out "as defined in section 4 of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 403)" and inserting in lieu thereof "(as defined in section 4(12) of such Act (41 U.S.C. 403(12)))".

**SEC. 4322. MISCELLANEOUS AMENDMENTS TO FEDERAL ACQUISITION LAWS.**

(a) OFFICE OF FEDERAL PROCUREMENT POLICY ACT.—The Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 401 et seq.) is amended as follows:

(1) Section 6(b) (41 U.S.C. 405(b)) is amended by striking out the second comma after "under subsection (a)" in the first sentence.

(2) Section 25(b)(2) (41 U.S.C. 421(b)(2)) is amended by striking out "Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition" and inserting in lieu thereof "Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Technology".

(b) OTHER LAWS.—

(1) Section 11(2) of the Inspector General Act of 1978 (5 U.S.C. App.) is amended by striking out the second comma after "Community Service".

(2) Section 908(e) of the Defense Acquisition Improvement Act of 1986 (10 U.S.C. 2326 note) is amended by striking out "section 2325(g)" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 2326(g)".

(3) Effective as of August 9, 1989, and as if included therein as enacted, Public Law 101-73 is amended in section 501(b)(1)(A) (103 Stat. 393) by striking out "be," and inserting in lieu thereof "be;" in the second quoted matter therein.

(4) Section 3732(a) of the Revised Statutes of the United States (41 U.S.C. 11(a)) is amended by striking out the second comma after "quarters".

(5) Section 2 of the Contract Disputes Act of 1978 (41 U.S.C. 601) is amended in paragraphs (3), (5), (6), and (7), by striking out "The" and inserting in lieu thereof "the".

(6) Section 6 of the Contract Disputes Act of 1978 (41 U.S.C. 605) is amended in subsections (d) and (e) by inserting after "United States Code" each place it appears the following: "(as in effect on September 30, 1995)".

(7) Section 13 of the Contract Disputes Act of 1978 (41 U.S.C. 612) is amended—

(A) in subsection (a), by striking out "section 1302 of the Act of July 27, 1956, (70 Stat. 694, as amended; 31 U.S.C. 724a)" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 1304 of title 31, United States Code"; and

(B) in subsection (c), by striking out "section 1302 of the Act of July 27, 1956, (70 Stat. 694, as amended; 31 U.S.C. 724a)" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 1304 of title 31, United States Code,".

## TITLE XLIV—EFFECTIVE DATES AND IMPLEMENTATION

**SEC. 4401. EFFECTIVE DATE AND APPLICABILITY.**

(a) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Except as otherwise provided in this division, this division and the amendments made by this division shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act.

(b) APPLICABILITY OF AMENDMENTS.—

(1) SOLICITATIONS, UNSOLICITED PROPOSALS, AND RELATED CONTRACTS.—An amendment made by this division shall apply, in the manner prescribed in the final regulations promulgated pursuant to section 4402 to implement such amendment, with respect to any solicitation that is issued, any unsolicited proposal that is received, and any contract entered into pursuant to such a solicitation or proposal, on or after the date described in paragraph (3).

(2) OTHER MATTERS.—An amendment made by this division shall also apply, to the extent and in the manner prescribed in the final regulations promulgated pursuant to section 4402 to implement such amendment, with respect to any matter related to—

(A) a contract that is in effect on the date described in paragraph (3);

(B) an offer under consideration on the date described in paragraph (3); or

(C) any other proceeding or action that is ongoing on the date described in paragraph (3).

(3) DEMARCATION DATE.—The date referred to in paragraphs (1) and (2) is the date specified in such final regulations. The date so specified shall be January 1, 1997, or any earlier date that is not within 30 days after the date on which such final regulations are published.

**SEC. 4402. IMPLEMENTING REGULATIONS.**

(a) PROPOSED REVISIONS.—Proposed revisions to the Federal Acquisition Regulation and such other proposed regulations (or revisions to existing regulations) as may be necessary to implement this Act shall be published in the Federal Register not later than 210 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(b) PUBLIC COMMENT.—The proposed regulations described in subsection (a) shall be made available for public comment for a period of not less than 60 days.

(c) FINAL REGULATIONS.—Final regulations shall be published in the Federal Register not later than 330 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

(d) MODIFICATIONS.—Final regulations promulgated pursuant to this section to implement an amendment made by this Act may provide for modification of an existing contract without consideration upon the request of the contractor.

(e) SAVINGS PROVISIONS.—

(1) VALIDITY OF PRIOR ACTIONS.—Nothing in this division shall be construed to affect the validity of any action taken or any contract entered into before the date specified in the regulations pursuant to section 4401(b)(3) except to the extent and in the manner prescribed in such regulations.

(2) RENEGOTIATION AND MODIFICATION OF PREEXISTING CONTRACTS.—Except as specifically provided in this division, nothing in this division shall be construed to require the renegotiation or modification of contracts in existence on the date of the enactment of this Act.

(3) CONTINUED APPLICABILITY OF PREEXISTING LAW.—Except as otherwise provided in this division, a law amended by this division shall continue to be applied according to the provisions thereof as such law was in effect on the day before the date of the enactment of this Act until—

(A) the date specified in final regulations implementing the amendment of that law (as promulgated pursuant to this section); or

(B) if no such date is specified in regulations, January 1, 1997.

## DIVISION E—INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT REFORM

**SEC. 5001. SHORT TITLE.**

This division may be cited as the "Information Technology Management Reform Act of 1996".

**SEC. 5002. DEFINITIONS.**

In this division:

(1) DIRECTOR.—The term "Director" means the Director of the Office of Management and Budget.

(2) EXECUTIVE AGENCY.—The term "executive agency" has the meaning given that term in section 4(1) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 403(1)).

(3) INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY.—(A) The term "information technology", with respect to an executive agency means any equipment or interconnected system or subsystem of equipment, that is used in the automatic acquisition, storage, manipulation, management, movement, control, display, switching, interchange, transmission, or reception of data or information by the executive agency. For purposes of the preceding sentence, equipment is used by an executive agency if the equipment is used by the executive agency directly or is used by a contractor under a contract with the executive agency which (i) requires the use of such equipment, or (ii) requires the use, to a significant extent, of such equipment in the performance of a service or the furnishing of a product.

(B) The term "information technology" includes computers, ancillary equipment, software, firmware and similar procedures, services (including support services), and related resources.

(C) Notwithstanding subparagraphs (A) and (B), the term "information technology" does not include any equipment that is acquired by a Federal contractor incidental to a Federal contract.

(4) INFORMATION RESOURCES.—The term "information resources" has the meaning given such term in section 3502(6) of title 44, United States Code.

(5) INFORMATION RESOURCES MANAGEMENT.—The term "information resources management" has the meaning given such term in section 3502(7) of title 44, United States Code.

(6) INFORMATION SYSTEM.—The term "information system" has the meaning given such term in section 3502(8) of title 44, United States Code.

(7) COMMERCIAL ITEM.—The term "commercial item" has the meaning given that term in section 4(12) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 403(12)).

## TITLE LI—RESPONSIBILITY FOR ACQUISITIONS OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

### Subtitle A—General Authority

**SEC. 5101. REPEAL OF CENTRAL AUTHORITY OF THE ADMINISTRATOR OF GENERAL SERVICES.**

Section 111 of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (40 U.S.C. 759) is repealed.

### Subtitle B—Director of the Office of Management and Budget

**SEC. 5111. RESPONSIBILITY OF DIRECTOR.**

In fulfilling the responsibility to administer the functions assigned under chapter 35 of title 44, United States Code, the Director shall comply with this title with respect to the specific matters covered by this title.

**SEC. 5112. CAPITAL PLANNING AND INVESTMENT CONTROL.**

(a) FEDERAL INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY.—The Director shall perform the responsibilities set forth in this section in fulfilling the responsibilities under section 3504(h) of title 44, United States Code.

(b) USE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY IN FEDERAL PROGRAMS.—The Director shall promote and be responsible for improving the acquisition, use, and disposal of information technology by the Federal Government to improve the productivity, efficiency, and effectiveness of Federal programs, including

through dissemination of public information and the reduction of information collection burdens on the public.

(c) USE OF BUDGET PROCESS.—The Director shall develop, as part of the budget process, a process for analyzing, tracking, and evaluating the risks and results of all major capital investments made by an executive agency for information systems. The process shall cover the life of each system and shall include explicit criteria for analyzing the projected and actual costs, benefits, and risks associated with the investments. At the same time that the President submits the budget for a fiscal year to Congress under section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code, the Director shall submit to Congress a report on the net program performance benefits achieved as a result of major capital investments made by executive agencies in information systems and how the benefits relate to the accomplishment of the goals of the executive agencies.

(d) INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY STANDARDS.—The Director shall oversee the development and implementation of standards and guidelines pertaining to Federal computer systems by the Secretary of Commerce through the National Institute of Standards and Technology under section 5131 and section 20 of the National Institute of Standards and Technology Act (15 U.S.C. 278g–3).

(e) DESIGNATION OF EXECUTIVE AGENTS FOR ACQUISITIONS.—The Director shall designate (as the Director considers appropriate) one or more heads of executive agencies as executive agent for Government-wide acquisitions of information technology.

(f) USE OF BEST PRACTICES IN ACQUISITIONS.—The Director shall encourage the heads of the executive agencies to develop and use the best practices in the acquisition of information technology.

(g) ASSESSMENT OF OTHER MODELS FOR MANAGING INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY.—The Director shall assess, on a continuing basis, the experiences of executive agencies, State and local governments, international organizations, and the private sector in managing information technology.

(h) COMPARISON OF AGENCY USES OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY.—The Director shall compare the performances of the executive agencies in using information technology and shall disseminate the comparisons to the heads of the executive agencies.

(i) TRAINING.—The Director shall monitor the development and implementation of training in information resources management for executive agency personnel.

(j) INFORMING CONGRESS.—The Director shall keep Congress fully informed on the extent to which the executive agencies are improving the performance of agency programs and the accomplishment of agency missions through the use of the best practices in information resources management.

(k) PROCUREMENT POLICY AND ACQUISITIONS OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY.—The Director shall coordinate the development and review by the Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs of policy associated with Federal acquisition of information technology with the Office of Federal Procurement Policy.

**SEC. 5113. PERFORMANCE-BASED AND RESULTS-BASED MANAGEMENT.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Director shall encourage the use of performance-based and results-based management in fulfilling the responsibilities assigned under section 3504(h), of title 44, United States Code.

(b) EVALUATION OF AGENCY PROGRAMS AND INVESTMENTS.—

(1) REQUIREMENT.—The Director shall evaluate the information resources management practices of the executive agencies with respect to the performance and results of the investments made by the executive agencies in information technology.

(2) DIRECTION FOR EXECUTIVE AGENCY ACTION.—The Director shall issue to the head of each executive agency clear and concise direction that the head of such agency shall—

(A) establish effective and efficient capital planning processes for selecting, managing, and evaluating the results of all of its major investments in information systems;

(B) determine, before making an investment in a new information system—

(i) whether the function to be supported by the system should be performed by the private sector and, if so, whether any component of the executive agency performing that function should be converted from a governmental organization to a private sector organization; or

(ii) whether the function should be performed by the executive agency and, if so, whether the function should be performed by a private sector source under contract or by executive agency personnel;

(C) analyze the missions of the executive agency and, based on the analysis, revise the executive agency's mission-related processes and administrative processes, as appropriate, before making significant investments in information technology to be used in support of those missions; and

(D) ensure that the information security policies, procedures, and practices are adequate.

(3) GUIDANCE FOR MULTIAGENCY INVESTMENTS.—The direction issued under paragraph (2) shall include guidance for undertaking efficiently and effectively interagency and Government-wide investments in information technology to improve the accomplishment of missions that are common to the executive agencies.

(4) PERIODIC REVIEWS.—The Director shall implement through the budget process periodic reviews of selected information resources management activities of the executive agencies in order to ascertain the efficiency and effectiveness of information technology in improving the performance of the executive agency and the accomplishment of the missions of the executive agency.

(5) ENFORCEMENT OF ACCOUNTABILITY.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The Director may take any authorized action that the Director considers appropriate, including an action involving the budgetary process or appropriations management process, to enforce accountability of the head of an executive agency for information resources management and for the investments made by the executive agency in information technology.

(B) SPECIFIC ACTIONS.—Actions taken by the Director in the case of an executive agency may include—

(i) recommending a reduction or an increase in any amount for information resources that the head of the executive agency proposes for the budget submitted to Congress under section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code;

(ii) reducing or otherwise adjusting apportionments and reapportionments of appropriations for information resources;

(iii) using other authorized administrative controls over appropriations to restrict the availability of funds for information resources; and

(iv) designating for the executive agency an executive agent to contract with private sector sources for the performance of information resources management or the acquisition of information technology.

**Subtitle C—Executive Agencies**

**SEC. 5121. RESPONSIBILITIES.**

In fulfilling the responsibilities assigned under chapter 35 of title 44, United States Code, the head of each executive agency shall comply with this subtitle with respect to the specific matters covered by this subtitle.

**SEC. 5122. CAPITAL PLANNING AND INVESTMENT CONTROL.**

(a) DESIGN OF PROCESS.—In fulfilling the responsibilities assigned under section 3506(h) of title 44, United States Code, the head of each executive agency shall design and implement in the executive agency a process for maximizing the value and assessing and managing the risks of the information technology acquisitions of the executive agency.

(b) CONTENT OF PROCESS.—The process of an executive agency shall—

(1) provide for the selection of information technology investments to be made by the executive agency, the management of such investments, and the evaluation of the results of such investments;

(2) be integrated with the processes for making budget, financial, and program management decisions within the executive agency;

(3) include minimum criteria to be applied in considering whether to undertake a particular investment in information systems, including criteria related to the quantitatively expressed projected net, risk-adjusted return on investment and specific quantitative and qualitative criteria for comparing and prioritizing alternative information systems investment projects;

(4) provide for identifying information systems investments that would result in shared benefits or costs for other Federal agencies or State or local governments;

(5) provide for identifying for a proposed investment quantifiable measurements for determining the net benefits and risks of the investment; and

(6) provide the means for senior management personnel of the executive agency to obtain timely information regarding the progress of an investment in an information system, including a system of milestones for measuring progress, on an independently verifiable basis, in terms of cost, capability of the system to meet specified requirements, timeliness, and quality.

**SEC. 5123. PERFORMANCE AND RESULTS-BASED MANAGEMENT.**

In fulfilling the responsibilities under section 3506(h) of title 44, United States Code, the head of an executive agency shall—

(1) establish goals for improving the efficiency and effectiveness of agency operations and, as appropriate, the delivery of services to the public through the effective use of information technology;

(2) prepare an annual report, to be included in the executive agency's budget submission to Congress, on the progress in achieving the goals;

(3) ensure that performance measurements are prescribed for information technology used by or to be acquired for, the executive agency and that the performance measurements measure how well the information technology supports programs of the executive agency;

(4) where comparable processes and organizations in the public or private sectors exist, quantitatively benchmark agency process performance against such processes in terms of cost, speed, productivity, and quality of outputs and outcomes;

(5) analyze the missions of the executive agency and, based on the analysis, revise the executive agency's mission-related processes and administrative processes as appropriate before making significant investments in information technology that is to be used in support of the performance of those missions; and

(6) ensure that the information security policies, procedures, and practices of the executive agency are adequate.

**SEC. 5124. ACQUISITIONS OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—The authority of the head of an executive agency to conduct an acquisition of information technology includes the following authorities:

(1) To acquire information technology as authorized by law.

(2) To enter into a contract that provides for multiagency acquisitions of information technology in accordance with guidance issued by the Director.

(3) If the Director finds that it would be advantageous for the Federal Government to do so, to enter into a multiagency contract for procurement of commercial items of information technology that requires each executive agency covered by the contract, when procuring such items, either to procure the items under that contract or to justify an alternative procurement of the items.

(b) FTS 2000 PROGRAM.—Notwithstanding any other provision of this or any other law, the Administrator of General Services shall continue to manage the FTS 2000 program, and to coordinate the follow-on to that program, on behalf of and with the advice of the heads of executive agencies.

**SEC. 5125. AGENCY CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER.**

(a) DESIGNATION OF CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICERS.—Section 3506 of title 44, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

(A) in paragraph (2)(A), by striking out "senior official" and inserting in lieu thereof "Chief Information Officer";

(B) in paragraph (2)(B)—

(i) by striking out "senior officials" in the first sentence and inserting in lieu thereof "Chief Information Officers";

(ii) by striking out "official" in the second sentence and inserting in lieu thereof "Chief Information Officer"; and

(iii) by striking out "officials" in the second sentence and inserting in lieu thereof "Chief Information Officers"; and

(C) in paragraphs (3) and (4), by striking out "senior official" each place it appears and inserting in lieu thereof "Chief Information Officer"; and

(2) in subsection (c)(1), by striking out "official" in the matter preceding subparagraph (A) and inserting in lieu thereof "Chief Information Officer".

(b) GENERAL RESPONSIBILITIES.—The Chief Information Officer of an executive agency shall be responsible for—

(1) providing advice and other assistance to the head of the executive agency and other senior management personnel of the executive agency to ensure that information technology is acquired and information resources are managed for the executive agency in a manner that implements the policies and procedures of this division, consistent with chapter 35 of title 44, United States Code, and the priorities established by the head of the executive agency;

(2) developing, maintaining, and facilitating the implementation of a sound and integrated information technology architecture for the executive agency; and

(3) promoting the effective and efficient design and operation of all major information resources management processes for the executive agency, including improvements to work processes of the executive agency.

(c) DUTIES AND QUALIFICATIONS.—The Chief Information Officer of an agency that is listed in section 901(b) of title 31, United States Code, shall—

(1) have information resources management duties as that official's primary duty;

(2) monitor the performance of information technology programs of the agency, evaluate the performance of those programs on the basis of the applicable performance measurements, and advise the head of the agency regarding whether to continue, modify, or terminate a program or project; and

(3) annually, as part of the strategic planning and performance evaluation process required (subject to section 1117 of title 31, United States Code) under section 306 of title 5, United States Code, and sections 1105(a)(29), 1115, 1116, 1117, and 9703 of title 31, United States Code—

(A) assess the requirements established for agency personnel regarding knowledge and skill in information resources management and the adequacy of such requirements for facilitating the achievement of the performance goals established for information resources management;

(B) assess the extent to which the positions and personnel at the executive level of the agency and the positions and personnel at management level of the agency below the executive level meet those requirements;

(C) in order to rectify any deficiency in meeting those requirements, develop strategies and specific plans for hiring, training, and professional development; and

(D) report to the head of the agency on the progress made in improving information resources management capability.

(d) INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY ARCHITECTURE DEFINED.—In this section, the term "information technology architecture", with respect to an executive agency, means an integrated framework for evolving or maintaining existing information technology and acquiring new information technology to achieve the agency's strategic goals and information resources management goals.

(e) EXECUTIVE LEVEL IV.—Section 5315 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

"Chief Information Officer, Department of Agriculture.

"Chief Information Officer, Department of Commerce.

"Chief Information Officer, Department of Defense (unless the official designated as the Chief Information Officer of the Department of Defense is an official listed under section 5312, 5313, or 5314 of this title).

"Chief Information Officer, Department of Education.

"Chief Information Officer, Department of Energy.

"Chief Information Officer, Department of Health and Human Services.

"Chief Information Officer, Department of Housing and Urban Development.

"Chief Information Officer, Department of Interior.

"Chief Information Officer, Department of Justice.

"Chief Information Officer, Department of Labor.

"Chief Information Officer, Department of State.

"Chief Information Officer, Department of Transportation.

"Chief Information Officer, Department of Treasury.

"Chief Information Officer, Department of Veterans Affairs.

"Chief Information Officer, Environmental Protection Agency.

"Chief Information Officer, National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

"Chief Information Officer, Agency for International Development.

"Chief Information Officer, Federal Emergency Management Agency.

"Chief Information Officer, General Services Administration.

"Chief Information Officer, National Science Foundation.

"Chief Information Officer, Nuclear Regulatory Agency.

"Chief Information Officer, Office of Personnel Management.

"Chief Information Officer, Small Business Administration.".

**SEC. 5126. ACCOUNTABILITY.**

The head of each executive agency, in consultation with the Chief Information Officer and the Chief Financial Officer of that executive agency (or, in the case of an executive agency without a Chief Financial Officer, any comparable official), shall establish policies and procedures that—

(1) ensure that the accounting, financial, and asset management systems and other information systems of the executive agency are designed, developed, maintained, and used effectively to provide financial or program performance data for financial statements of the executive agency;

(2) ensure that financial and related program performance data are provided on a reliable, consistent, and timely basis to executive agency financial management systems; and

(3) ensure that financial statements support—

(A) assessments and revisions of mission-related processes and administrative processes of the executive agency; and

(B) performance measurement of the performance in the case of investments made by the agency in information systems.

**SEC. 5127. SIGNIFICANT DEVIATIONS.**

The head of an executive agency shall identify in the strategic information resources management plan required under section 3506(b)(2) of title 44, United States Code, any major information technology acquisition program, or any phase or increment of such a program, that has significantly deviated from the cost, performance, or schedule goals established for the program.

**SEC. 5128. INTERAGENCY SUPPORT.**

Funds available for an executive agency for oversight, acquisition, and procurement of information technology may be used by the head of the executive agency to support jointly with other executive agencies the activities of interagency groups that are established to advise the Director in carrying out the Director's responsibilities under this title. The use of such funds for that purpose shall be subject to such requirements and limitations on uses and amounts as the Director may prescribe. The Director shall prescribe any such requirements and limitations during the Director's review of the executive agency's proposed budget submitted to the Director by the head of the executive agency for purposes of section 1105 of title 31, United States Code.

**Subtitle D—Other Responsibilities**

**SEC. 5131. RESPONSIBILITIES REGARDING EFFICIENCY, SECURITY, AND PRIVACY OF FEDERAL COMPUTER SYSTEMS.**

(a) STANDARDS AND GUIDELINES.—

(1) AUTHORITY.—The Secretary of Commerce shall, on the basis of standards and

guidelines developed by the National Institute of Standards and Technology pursuant to paragraphs (2) and (3) of section 20(a) of the National Institute of Standards and Technology Act (15 U.S.C. 278g-3(a)), promulgate standards and guidelines pertaining to Federal computer systems. The Secretary shall make such standards compulsory and binding to the extent to which the Secretary determines necessary to improve the efficiency of operation or security and privacy of Federal computer systems. The President may disapprove or modify such standards and guidelines if the President determines such action to be in the public interest. The President's authority to disapprove or modify such standards and guidelines may not be delegated. Notice of such disapproval or modification shall be published promptly in the Federal Register. Upon receiving notice of such disapproval or modification, the Secretary of Commerce shall immediately rescind or modify such standards or guidelines as directed by the President.

(2) EXERCISE OF AUTHORITY.—The authority conferred upon the Secretary of Commerce by this section shall be exercised subject to direction by the President and in coordination with the Director to ensure fiscal and policy consistency.

(b) APPLICATION OF MORE STRINGENT STANDARDS.—The head of a Federal agency may employ standards for the cost-effective security and privacy of sensitive information in a Federal computer system within or under the supervision of that agency that are more stringent than the standards promulgated by the Secretary of Commerce under this section, if such standards contain, at a minimum, the provisions of those applicable standards made compulsory and binding by the Secretary of Commerce.

(c) WAIVER OF STANDARDS.—The standards determined under subsection (a) to be compulsory and binding may be waived by the Secretary of Commerce in writing upon a determination that compliance would adversely affect the accomplishment of the mission of an operator of a Federal computer system, or cause a major adverse financial impact on the operator which is not offset by Government-wide savings. The Secretary may delegate to the head of one or more Federal agencies authority to waive such standards to the extent to which the Secretary determines such action to be necessary and desirable to allow for timely and effective implementation of Federal computer system standards. The head of such agency may redelegate such authority only to a Chief Information Officer designated pursuant to section 3506 of title 44, United States Code. Notice of each such waiver and delegation shall be transmitted promptly to Congress and shall be published promptly in the Federal Register.

(d) DEFINITIONS.—In this section, the terms "Federal computer system" and "operator of a Federal computer system" have the meanings given such terms in section 20(d) of the National Institute of Standards and Technology Act (15 U.S.C. 278g-3(d)).

(e) TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS.—Chapter 35 of title 44, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in section 3504(g)—

(A) in paragraph (2), by striking out "the Computer Security Act of 1987 (40 U.S.C. 759 note)" and inserting in lieu thereof "sections 20 and 21 of the National Institute of Standards and Technology Act (15 U.S.C. 278g-3 and 278g-4), section 5131 of the Information Technology Management Reform Act of 1996, and sections 5 and 6 of the Computer Security Act of 1987 (40 U.S.C. 759 note)"; and

(B) in paragraph (3), by striking out "the Computer Security Act of 1987 (40 U.S.C. 759 note)" and inserting in lieu thereof "the standards and guidelines promulgated under section 5131 of the Information Technology Management Reform Act of 1996 and sections 5 and 6 of the Computer Security Act of 1987 (40 U.S.C. 759 note)"; and

(2) in section 3518(d), by striking out "Public Law 89-306 on the Administrator of the General Services Administration, the Secretary of Commerce, or" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 5131 of the Information Technology Management Reform Act of 1996 and the Computer Security Act of 1987 (40 U.S.C. 759 note) on the Secretary of Commerce or".

**SEC. 5132. SENSE OF CONGRESS.**

It is the sense of Congress that, during the next five-year period beginning with 1996, executive agencies should achieve each year at least a 5 percent decrease in the cost (in constant fiscal year 1996 dollars) that is incurred by the agency for operating and maintaining information technology, and each year a 5 percent increase in the efficiency of the agency operations, by reason of improvements in information resources management by the agency.

**Subtitle E—National Security Systems**

**SEC. 5141. APPLICABILITY TO NATIONAL SECURITY SYSTEMS.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subsection (b), this title does not apply to national security systems.

(b) EXCEPTIONS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Sections 5123, 5125, and 5126 apply to national security systems.

(2) CAPITAL PLANNING AND INVESTMENT CONTROL.—The heads of executive agencies shall apply sections 5112 and 5122 to national security systems to the extent practicable.

(3) PERFORMANCE AND RESULTS OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY INVESTMENTS.—(A) Subject to subparagraph (B), the heads of executive agencies shall apply section 5113 to national security systems to the extent practicable.

(B) National security systems shall be subject to section 5113(b)(5) except for subparagraph (B)(iv) of that section.

**SEC. 5142. NATIONAL SECURITY SYSTEM DEFINED.**

(a) DEFINITION.—In this subtitle, the term "national security system" means any telecommunications or information system operated by the United States Government, the function, operation, or use of which—

(1) involves intelligence activities;

(2) involves cryptologic activities related to national security;

(3) involves command and control of military forces;

(4) involves equipment that is an integral part of a weapon or weapons system; or

(5) subject to subsection (b), is critical to the direct fulfillment of military or intelligence missions.

(b) LIMITATION.—Subsection (a)(5) does not include a system that is to be used for routine administrative and business applications (including payroll, finance, logistics, and personnel management applications).

**TITLE LII—PROCESS FOR ACQUISITIONS OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY**

**SEC. 5201. PROCUREMENT PROCEDURES.**

The Federal Acquisition Regulatory Council shall ensure that, to the maximum extent practicable, the process for acquisition of information technology is a simplified, clear, and understandable process that specifically addresses the management of risk, incremental acquisitions, and the need to incorporate commercial information technology in a timely manner.

**SEC. 5202. INCREMENTAL ACQUISITION OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY.**

(a) POLICY.—The Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 401 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

**"SEC. 35. MODULAR CONTRACTING FOR INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY.**

"(a) IN GENERAL.—The head of an executive agency should, to the maximum extent practicable, use modular contracting for an acquisition of a major system of information technology.

"(b) MODULAR CONTRACTING DESCRIBED.—Under modular contracting, an executive agency's need for a system is satisfied in successive acquisitions of interoperable increments. Each increment complies with common or commercially accepted standards applicable to information technology so that the increments are compatible with other increments of information technology comprising the system.

"(c) IMPLEMENTATION.—The Federal Acquisition Regulation shall provide that—

"(1) under the modular contracting process, an acquisition of a major system of information technology may be divided into several smaller acquisition increments that—

"(A) are easier to manage individually than would be one comprehensive acquisition;

"(B) address complex information technology objectives incrementally in order to enhance the likelihood of achieving workable solutions for attainment of those objectives;

"(C) provide for delivery, implementation, and testing of workable systems or solutions in discrete increments each of which comprises a system or solution that is not dependent on any subsequent increment in order to perform its principal functions; and

"(D) provide an opportunity for subsequent increments of the acquisition to take advantage of any evolution in technology or needs that occur during conduct of the earlier increments;

"(2) a contract for an increment of an information technology acquisition should, to the maximum extent practicable, be awarded within 180 days after the date on which the solicitation is issued and, if the contract for that increment cannot be awarded within such period, the increment should be considered for cancellation; and

"(3) the information technology provided for in a contract for acquisition of information technology should be delivered within 18 months after the date on which the solicitation resulting in award of the contract was issued.".

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of contents in section 1(b) of such Act is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 34 the following new item:

"Sec. 35. Modular contracting for information technology.".

**TITLE LIII—INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY ACQUISITION PILOT PROGRAMS**

**Subtitle A—Conduct of Pilot Programs**

**SEC. 5301. AUTHORITY TO CONDUCT PILOT PROGRAMS.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—

(1) PURPOSE.—The Administrator for Federal Procurement Policy (hereinafter referred to as the "Administrator"), in consultation with the Administrator for the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, may conduct pilot programs in order to test alternative approaches for acquisition of information technology by executive agencies.

(2) MULTIAGENCY, MULTI-ACTIVITY CONDUCT OF EACH PROGRAM.—Except as otherwise provided in this title, each pilot program conducted under this title shall be carried out in not more than two procuring activities in each of the executive agencies that are designated by the Administrator in accordance with this title to carry out the pilot program. The head of each designated executive agency shall, with the approval of the Administrator, select the procuring activities of the executive agency that are to participate in the test and shall designate a procurement testing official who shall be responsible for the conduct and evaluation of the pilot program within the executive agency.

(b) LIMITATIONS.—

(1) NUMBER.—Not more than two pilot programs may be conducted under the authority of this title, including one pilot program each pursuant to the requirements of sections 5311 and 5312.

(2) AMOUNT.—The total amount obligated for contracts entered into under the pilot programs conducted under the authority of this title may not exceed $750,000,000. The Administrator shall monitor such contracts and ensure that contracts are not entered into in violation of the limitation in the preceding sentence.

(c) PERIOD OF PROGRAMS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to paragraph (2), any pilot program may be carried out under this title for the period, not in excess of five years, that is determined by the Administrator as being sufficient to establish reliable results.

(2) CONTINUING VALIDITY OF CONTRACTS.—A contract entered into under the pilot program before the expiration of that program shall remain in effect according to the terms of the contract after the expiration of the program.

**SEC. 5302. EVALUATION CRITERIA AND PLANS.**

(a) MEASURABLE TEST CRITERIA.—The head of each executive agency conducting a pilot program under section 5301 shall establish, to the maximum extent practicable, measurable criteria for evaluating the effects of the procedures or techniques to be tested under the program.

(b) TEST PLAN.—Before a pilot program may be conducted under section 5301, the Administrator shall submit to Congress a detailed test plan for the program, including a detailed description of the procedures to be used and a list of any regulations that are to be waived.

**SEC. 5303. REPORT.**

(a) REQUIREMENT.—Not later than 180 days after the completion of a pilot program under this title, the Administrator shall—

(1) submit to the Director a report on the results and findings under the program; and

(2) provide a copy of the report to Congress.

(b) CONTENT.—The report shall include the following:

(1) A detailed description of the results of the program, as measured by the criteria established for the program.

(2) A discussion of any legislation that the Administrator recommends, or changes in regulations that the Administrator considers necessary, in order to improve overall information resources management within the Federal Government.

**SEC. 5304. RECOMMENDED LEGISLATION.**

If the Director determines that the results and findings under a pilot program under this title indicate that legislation is necessary or desirable in order to improve the process for acquisition of information technology, the Director shall transmit the Director's recommendations for such legislation to Congress.

**SEC. 5305. RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.**

Nothing in this title shall be construed as authorizing the appropriation or obligation of funds for the pilot programs authorized under this title.

**Subtitle B—Specific Pilot Programs**

**SEC. 5311. SHARE-IN-SAVINGS PILOT PROGRAM.**

(a) REQUIREMENT.—The Administrator may authorize the heads of two executive agencies to carry out a pilot program to test the feasibility of—

(1) contracting on a competitive basis with a private sector source to provide the Federal Government with an information technology solution for improving mission-related or administrative processes of the Federal Government; and

(2) paying the private sector source an amount equal to a portion of the savings derived by the Federal Government from any improvements in mission-related processes and administrative processes that result from implementation of the solution.

(b) LIMITATIONS.—The head of an executive agency authorized to carry out the pilot program may, under the pilot program, carry out one project and enter into not more than five contracts for the project.

(c) SELECTION OF PROJECTS.—The projects shall be selected by the Administrator, in consultation with the Administrator for the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs.

**SEC. 5312. SOLUTIONS-BASED CONTRACTING PILOT PROGRAM.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Administrator may authorize the heads of any of the executive agencies, in accordance with subsection (d)(2), to carry out a pilot program to test the feasibility of using solutions-based contracting for acquisition of information technology.

(b) SOLUTIONS-BASED CONTRACTING DESCRIBED.—For purposes of this section, solutions-based contracting is an acquisition method under which the acquisition objectives are defined by the Federal Government user of the technology to be acquired, a streamlined contractor selection process is used, and industry sources are allowed to provide solutions that attain the objectives effectively.

(c) PROCESS REQUIREMENTS.—The Administrator shall require use of a process with the following aspects for acquisitions under the pilot program:

(1) ACQUISITION PLAN EMPHASIZING DESIRED RESULT.—Preparation of an acquisition plan that defines the functional requirements of the intended users of the information technology to be acquired, identifies the operational improvements to be achieved, and defines the performance measurements to be applied in determining whether the information technology acquired satisfies the defined requirements and attains the identified results.

(2) RESULTS-ORIENTED STATEMENT OF WORK.—Use of a statement of work that is limited to an expression of the end results or performance capabilities desired under the acquisition plan.

(3) SMALL ACQUISITION ORGANIZATION.—Assembly of a small acquisition organization consisting of the following:

(A) An acquisition management team, the members of which are to be evaluated and rewarded under the pilot program for contributions toward attainment of the desired results identified in the acquisition plan.

(B) A small source selection team composed of representatives of the specific mission or administrative area to be supported by the information technology to be acquired, together with a contracting officer and persons with relevant expertise.

(4) USE OF SOURCE SELECTION FACTORS EMPHASIZING SOURCE QUALIFICATIONS AND COSTS.—Use of source selection factors that emphasize—

(A) the qualifications of the offeror, including such factors as personnel skills, previous experience in providing other private or public sector organizations with solutions for attaining objectives similar to the objectives of the acquisition, past contract performance, qualifications of the proposed program manager, and the proposed management plan; and

(B) the costs likely to be associated with the conceptual approach proposed by the offeror.

(5) OPEN COMMUNICATIONS WITH CONTRACTOR COMMUNITY.—Open availability of the following information to potential offerors:

(A) The agency mission to be served by the acquisition.

(B) The functional process to be performed by use of information technology.

(C) The process improvements to be attained.

(6) SIMPLE SOLICITATION.—Use of a simple solicitation that sets forth only the functional work description, the source selection factors to be used in accordance with paragraph (4), the required terms and conditions, instructions regarding submission of offers, and the estimate of the Federal Government's budget for the desired work.

(7) SIMPLE PROPOSALS.—Submission of oral presentations and written proposals that are limited in size and scope and contain information on—

(A) the offeror's qualifications to perform the desired work;

(B) past contract performance;

(C) the proposed conceptual approach; and

(D) the costs likely to be associated with the proposed conceptual approach.

(8) SIMPLE EVALUATION.—Use of a simplified evaluation process, to be completed within 45 days after receipt of proposals, which consists of the following:

(A) Identification of the most qualified offerors that are within the competitive range.

(B) Issuance of invitations for at least three and not more than five of the identified offerors to make oral presentations to, and engage in discussions with, the evaluating personnel regarding, for each offeror—

(i) the qualifications of the offeror, including how the qualifications of the offeror relate to the approach proposed to be taken by the offeror in the acquisition; and

(ii) the costs likely to be associated with the approach.

(C) Evaluation of the qualifications of the identified offerors and the costs likely to be associated with the offerors' proposals on the basis of submissions required under the process and any oral presentations made by, and any discussions with, the offerors.

(9) SELECTION OF MOST QUALIFIED OFFEROR.—A selection process consisting of the following:

(A) Identification of the most qualified source, and ranking of alternative sources, primarily on the basis of the oral proposals, presentations, and discussions, and written proposals submitted in accordance with paragraph (7).

(B) Conduct for 30 to 60 days of a program definition phase (funded, in the case of the

source ultimately awarded the contract, by the Federal Government)—

(i) during which the selected source, in consultation with one or more intended users, develops a conceptual system design and technical approach, defines logical phases for the project, and estimates the total cost and the cost for each phase; and

(ii) after which a contract for performance of the work may be awarded to that source on the basis of cost, the responsiveness, reasonableness, and quality of the proposed performance, and a sharing of risk and benefits between the source and the Government.

(C) Conduct of as many successive program definition phases with alternative sources (in the order ranked) as is necessary in order to award a contract in accordance with subparagraph (B).

(10) SYSTEM IMPLEMENTATION PHASING.—System implementation to be executed in phases that are tailored to the solution, with various contract arrangements being used, as appropriate, for various phases and activities.

(11) MUTUAL AUTHORITY TO TERMINATE.—Authority for the Federal Government or the contractor to terminate the contract without penalty at the end of any phase defined for the project.

(12) TIME MANAGEMENT DISCIPLINE.—Application of a standard for awarding a contract within 105 to 120 days after issuance of the solicitation.

(d) PILOT PROGRAM DESIGN.—

(1) JOINT PUBLIC-PRIVATE WORKING GROUP.—The Administrator, in consultation with the Administrator for the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, shall establish a joint working group of Federal Government personnel and representatives of the information technology industry to design a plan for conduct of any pilot program carried out under this section.

(2) CONTENT OF PLAN.—The plan shall provide for use of solutions-based contracting in the Department of Defense and not more than two other executive agencies for a total of—

(A) not more than 10 projects, each of which has an estimated cost of between $25,000,000 and $100,000,000; and

(B) not more than 10 projects, each of which has an estimated cost of between $1,000,000 and $5,000,000, to be set aside for small business concerns.

(3) COMPLEXITY OF PROJECTS.—(A) Subject to subparagraph (C), each acquisition project under the pilot program shall be sufficiently complex to provide for meaningful evaluation of the use of solutions-based contracting for acquisition of information technology for executive agencies.

(B) In order for an acquisition project to satisfy the requirement in subparagraph (A), the solution for attainment of the executive agency's objectives under the project should not be obvious, but rather shall involve a need for some innovative development and systems integration.

(C) An acquisition project should not be so extensive or lengthy as to result in undue delay in the evaluation of the use of solutions-based contracting.

(e) MONITORING BY GAO.—The Comptroller General of the United States shall—

(1) monitor the conduct, and review the results, of acquisitions under the pilot program; and

(2) submit to Congress periodic reports containing the views of the Comptroller General on the activities, results, and findings under the pilot program.

## TITLE LIV—ADDITIONAL INFORMATION RESOURCES MANAGEMENT MATTERS

### SEC. 5401. ON-LINE MULTIPLE AWARD SCHEDULE CONTRACTING.

(a) AUTOMATION OF MULTIPLE AWARD SCHEDULE CONTRACTING.—In order to provide for the economic and efficient procurement of information technology and other commercial items, the Administrator of General Services shall provide through the Federal Acquisition Computer Network (in this section referred to as "FACNET"), not later than January 1, 1998, Government-wide on-line computer access to information on products and services that are available for ordering under the multiple award schedules. If the Administrator determines it is not practicable to provide such access through FACNET, the Administrator shall provide such access through another automated system that has the capability to perform the functions listed in subsection (b)(1) and meets the requirement of subsection (b)(2).

(b) ADDITIONAL FACNET FUNCTIONS.—(1) In addition to the functions specified in section 30(b) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 426(b)), the FACNET architecture shall have the capability to perform the following functions:

(A) Provide basic information on prices, features, and performance of all products and services available for ordering through the multiple award schedules.

(B) Provide for updating that information to reflect changes in prices, features, and performance as soon as information on the changes becomes available.

(C) Enable users to make on-line computer comparisons of the prices, features, and performance of similar products and services offered by various vendors.

(2) The FACNET architecture shall be used to place orders under the multiple award schedules in a fiscal year for an amount equal to at least 60 percent of the total amount spent for all orders under the multiple award schedules in that fiscal year.

(c) STREAMLINED PROCEDURES.—

(1) PILOT PROGRAM.—Upon certification by the Administrator of General Services that the FACNET architecture meets the requirements of subsection (b)(1) and was used as required by subsection (b)(2) in the fiscal year preceding the fiscal year in which the certification is made, the Administrator for Federal Procurement Policy may establish a pilot program to test streamlined procedures for the procurement of information technology products and services available for ordering through the multiple award schedules.

(2) APPLICABILITY TO MULTIPLE AWARD SCHEDULE CONTRACTS.—Except as provided in paragraph (4), the pilot program shall be applicable to all multiple award schedule contracts for the purchase of information technology and shall test the following procedures:

(A) A procedure under which negotiation of the terms and conditions for a covered multiple award schedule contract is limited to terms and conditions other than price.

(B) A procedure under which the vendor establishes the prices under a covered multiple award schedule contract and may adjust those prices at any time in the discretion of the vendor.

(C) A procedure under which a covered multiple award schedule contract is awarded to any responsible offeror that—

(i) has a suitable record of past performance, which may include past performance on multiple award schedule contracts;

(ii) agrees to terms and conditions that the Administrator determines as being required by law or as being appropriate for the purchase of commercial items; and

(iii) agrees to establish and update prices, features, and performance and to accept orders electronically through the automated system established pursuant to subsection (a).

(3) COMPTROLLER GENERAL REVIEW AND REPORT.—(A) Not later than three years after the date on which the pilot program is established, the Comptroller General of the United States shall review the pilot program and report to the Congress on the results of the pilot program.

(B) The report shall include the following:

(i) An evaluation of the extent to which there is competition for the orders placed under the pilot program.

(ii) The effect that the streamlined procedures under the pilot program have on prices charged under multiple award schedule contracts.

(iii) The effect that such procedures have on paperwork requirements for multiple award schedule contracts and orders.

(iv) The impact of the pilot program on small businesses and socially and economically disadvantaged small businesses.

(4) WITHDRAWAL OF SCHEDULE OR PORTION OF SCHEDULE FROM PILOT PROGRAM.—The Administrator may withdraw a multiple award schedule or portion of a schedule from the pilot program if the Administrator determines that (A) price competition is not available under such schedule or portion thereof, or (B) the cost to the Government for that schedule or portion thereof for the previous year was higher than it would have been if the contracts for such schedule or portion thereof had been awarded using procedures that would apply if the pilot program were not in effect. The Administrator shall notify Congress at least 30 days before the date on which the Administrator withdraws a schedule or portion thereof under this paragraph. The authority under this paragraph may not be delegated.

(5) TERMINATION OF PILOT PROGRAM.—Unless reauthorized by law, the authority of the Administrator to award contracts under the pilot program shall expire four years after the date on which the pilot program is established. Contracts entered into before the authority expires shall remain in effect in accordance with their terms notwithstanding the expiration of the authority to award new contracts under the pilot program.

(d) DEFINITION.—In this section, the term "FACNET" means the Federal Acquisition Computer Network established under section 30 of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 426).

### SEC. 5402. IDENTIFICATION OF EXCESS AND SURPLUS COMPUTER EQUIPMENT.

Not later than six months after the date of the enactment of this Act, the head of an executive agency shall inventory all computer equipment under the control of that official. After completion of the inventory, the head of the executive agency shall maintain, in accordance with title II of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (40 U.S.C. 481 et seq.), an inventory of any such equipment that is excess or surplus property.

### SEC. 5403. ACCESS OF CERTAIN INFORMATION IN INFORMATION SYSTEMS TO THE DIRECTORY ESTABLISHED UNDER SECTION 4101 OF TITLE 44, UNITED STATES CODE.

Notwithstanding any other provision of this division, if in designing an information technology system pursuant to this division, the head of an executive agency determines

that a purpose of the system is to disseminate information to the public, then the head of such executive agency shall reasonably ensure that an index of information disseminated by such system is included in the directory created pursuant to section 4101 of title 44, United States Code. Nothing in this section authorizes the dissemination of information to the public unless otherwise authorized.

**TITLE LV—PROCUREMENT PROTEST AUTHORITY OF THE COMPTROLLER GENERAL**

**SEC. 5501. PERIOD FOR PROCESSING PROTESTS.**

Title 31, United States Code, is amended as follows:

(1) Section 3553(b)(2)(A) is amended by striking out "35" and inserting in lieu thereof "30".

(2) Section 3554 is amended—

(A) in subsection (a)(1), by striking out "125" and inserting in lieu thereof "100"; and

(B) in subsection (e)—

(i) in paragraph (1), by striking out "Government Operations" and inserting in lieu thereof "Government Reform and Oversight"; and

(ii) in paragraph (2), by striking out "125" and inserting in lieu thereof "100".

**SEC. 5502. AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOLLOWING GAO RESOLUTION OF CHALLENGE TO CONTRACTING ACTION.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1558 of title 31, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in the first sentence of subsection (a)—

(A) by inserting "or other action referred to in subsection (b)" after "protest" the first place it appears;

(B) by striking out "90 working days" and inserting in lieu thereof "100 days"; and

(C) by inserting "or other action" after "protest" the second place it appears; and

(2) by striking out subsection (b) and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

"(b) Subsection (a) applies with respect to—

"(1) any protest filed under subchapter V of chapter 35 of this title; or

"(2) an action commenced under administrative procedures or for a judicial remedy if—

"(A) the action involves a challenge to—

"(i) a solicitation for a contract;

"(ii) a proposed award of a contract;

"(iii) an award of a contract; or

"(iv) the eligibility of an offeror or potential offeror for a contract or of the contractor awarded the contract; and

"(B) commencement of the action delays or prevents an executive agency from making an award of a contract or proceeding with a procurement.".

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The heading of such section is amended to read as follows:

**"§ 1558. Availability of funds following resolution of a formal protest or other challenge".**

(c) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The item relating to such section in the table of sections at the beginning of chapter 15 of title 31, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

"1558. Availability of funds following resolution of a formal protest or other challenge.".

**TITLE LVI—CONFORMING AND CLERICAL AMENDMENTS**

**SEC. 5601. AMENDMENTS TO TITLE 10, UNITED STATES CODE.**

(a) PROTEST FILE.—Section 2305(e) is amended by striking out paragraph (3).

(b) MULTIYEAR CONTRACTS.—Section 2306b of such title is amended—

(1) by striking out subsection (k); and

(2) by redesignating subsection (l) as subsection (k).

(c) LAW INAPPLICABLE TO PROCUREMENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY.—Section 2315 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking out "Section 111" and all that follows through "use of equipment or services if," and inserting in lieu thereof the following: "For the purposes of the Information Technology Management Reform Act of 1996, the term 'national security systems' means those telecommunications and information systems operated by the Department of Defense, the functions, operation or use of which".

**SEC. 5602. AMENDMENTS TO TITLE 28, UNITED STATES CODE.**

(a) REFERENCES TO BROOKS AUTOMATIC DATA PROCESSING ACT.—Section 612 of title 28, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (f), by striking out "section 111 of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (40 U.S.C. 759)" and inserting in lieu thereof "the provisions of law, policies, and regulations applicable to executive agencies under the Information Technology Management Reform Act of 1996";

(2) in subsection (g), by striking out "sections 111 and 201 of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (40 U.S.C. 481 and 759)" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 201 of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (40 U.S.C. 481)";

(3) by striking out subsection (l); and

(4) by redesignating subsection (m) as subsection (l).

(b) REFERENCES TO AUTOMATIC DATA PROCESSING.—Section 612 of title 28, United States Code, is further amended—

(1) in the heading, by striking out the second word and inserting in lieu thereof "**Information Technology**";

(2) in subsection (a), by striking out "Judiciary Automation Fund" and inserting in lieu thereof "Judiciary Information Technology Fund"; and

(3) by striking out "automatic data processing" and inserting in lieu thereof "information technology" each place it appears in subsections (a), (b), (c)(2), (e), (f), and (h)(1).

**SEC. 5603. AMENDMENT TO TITLE 31, UNITED STATES CODE.**

Section 3552 of title 31, United States Code, is amended by striking out the second sentence.

**SEC. 5604. AMENDMENTS TO TITLE 38, UNITED STATES CODE.**

Section 310 of title 38, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

**"§ 310. Chief Information Officer**

"(a) The Chief Information Officer for the Department is designated pursuant to section 3506(a)(2) of title 44.

"(b) The Chief Information Officer performs the duties provided for chief information officers of executive agencies under chapter 35 of title 44 and the Information Technology Management Reform Act of 1996.".

**SEC. 5605. PROVISIONS OF TITLE 44, UNITED STATES CODE, RELATING TO PAPERWORK REDUCTION.**

(a) DEFINITION.—Section 3502 of title 44, United States Code, is amended by striking out paragraph (9) and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

"(9) the term 'information technology' has the meaning given that term in section 5002 of the Information Technology Management Reform Act of 1996 but does not include national security systems as defined in section 5142 of that Act;".

(b) DEVELOPMENT OF STANDARDS AND GUIDELINES BY NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF STANDARDS AND TECHNOLOGY.—Section 3504(h)(1)(B) of such title is amended by striking out "section 111(d) of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (40 U.S.C. 759(d))" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 5131 of the Information Technology Management Reform Act of 1996".

(c) COMPLIANCE WITH DIRECTIVES.—Section 3504(h)(2) of such title is amended by striking out "sections 110 and 111 of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (40 U.S.C. 757 and 759)" and inserting in lieu thereof "the Information Technology Management Reform Act of 1996 and directives issued under section 110 of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (40 U.S.C. 757)".

(d) COLLECTION OF INFORMATION.—Section 3507(j)(2) of such title is amended by striking out "90 days" in the second sentence and inserting in lieu thereof "180 days".

**SEC. 5606. AMENDMENT TO TITLE 49, UNITED STATES CODE.**

Section 40112(a) of title 49, United States Code, is amended by striking out "or a contract to purchase property to which section 111 of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (40 U.S.C. 759) applies".

**SEC. 5607. OTHER LAWS.**

(a) NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF STANDARDS AND TECHNOLOGY ACT.—Section 20 of the National Institute of Standards and Technology Act (15 U.S.C. 278g-3) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

(A) by striking out "section 3502(2) of title 44" each place it appears in paragraphs (2) and (3)(A) and inserting in lieu thereof "section 3502(9) of title 44"; and

(B) in paragraph (4), by striking out "section 111(d) of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 5131 of the Information Technology Management Reform Act of 1996";

(2) in subsection (b)—

(A) by striking out paragraph (2);

(B) in paragraph (3), by striking out "section 111(d) of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949" and inserting in lieu thereof "section 5131 of the Information Technology Management Reform Act of 1996"; and

(C) by redesignating paragraphs (3), (4), (5), and (6) as paragraphs (2), (3), (4), and (5); and

(3) in subsection (d)—

(A) in paragraph (1)(B)(v), by striking out "as defined" and all that follows and inserting in lieu thereof a semicolon; and

(B) in paragraph (2)—

(i) by striking out "system'—" and all that follows through "means" in subparagraph (A) and inserting in lieu thereof "system' means"; and

(ii) by striking out "; and" at the end of subparagraph (A) and all that follows through the end of subparagraph (B) and inserting in lieu thereof a semicolon.

(b) COMPUTER SECURITY ACT OF 1987.—

(1) PURPOSES.—Section 2(b)(2) of the Computer Security Act of 1987 (Public Law 100-235; 101 Stat. 1724) is amended by striking out "by amending section 111(d) of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (40 U.S.C. 759(d))".

(2) SECURITY PLAN.—Section 6(b) of such Act (101 Stat. 1729; 40 U.S.C. 759 note) is amended—

(A) by striking out "Within one year after the date of enactment of this Act, each such agency shall, consistent with the standards, guidelines, policies, and regulations prescribed pursuant to section 111(d) of the Federal Property and Administrative Services

Act of 1949," and inserting in lieu thereof "Each such agency shall, consistent with the standards, guidelines, policies, and regulations prescribed pursuant to section 5131 of the Information Technology Management Reform Act of 1996,"; and

(B) by striking out "Copies" and all that follows through "Code.".

(c) FEDERAL PROPERTY AND ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES ACT OF 1949.—Section 303B(h) of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (41 U.S.C. 253b(h)) is amended by striking out paragraph (3).

(d) OFFICE OF FEDERAL PROCUREMENT POLICY ACT.—Section 6(h)(1) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 405(h)(1)) is amended by striking out "of automatic data processing and telecommunications equipment and services or".

(e) NATIONAL ENERGY CONSERVATION POLICY ACT.—Section 801(b)(3) of the National Energy Conservation Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 8287(b)(3)) is amended by striking out the second sentence.

(f) CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY ACT OF 1949.—Section 3 of the Central Intelligence Agency Act of 1949 (50 U.S.C. 403c) is amended by striking out subsection (e).

**SEC. 5608. CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.**

(a) FEDERAL PROPERTY AND ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES ACT OF 1949.—The table of contents in section 1(b) of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 is amended by striking out the item relating to section 111.

(b) TITLE 38, UNITED STATES CODE.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 3 of title 38, United States Code, is amended by striking out the item relating to section 310 and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

"310. Chief Information Officer.".

## TITLE LVII—EFFECTIVE DATE, SAVINGS PROVISIONS, AND RULES OF CONSTRUCTION

**SEC. 5701. EFFECTIVE DATE.**

This division and the amendments made by this division shall take effect 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

**SEC. 5702. SAVINGS PROVISIONS.**

(a) REGULATIONS, INSTRUMENTS, RIGHTS, AND PRIVILEGES.—All rules, regulations, contracts, orders, determinations, permits, certificates, licenses, grants, and privileges—

(1) which have been issued, made, granted, or allowed to become effective by the Administrator of General Services or the General Services Board of Contract Appeals, or by a court of competent jurisdiction, in connection with an acquisition activity carried out under the section 111 of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (40 U.S.C. 759), and

(2) which are in effect on the effective date of this division,

shall continue in effect according to their terms until modified, terminated, superseded, set aside, or revoked in accordance with law by the Director or any other authorized official, by a court of competent jurisdiction, or by operation of law.

(b) PROCEEDINGS.—

(1) PROCEEDINGS GENERALLY.—This division and the amendments made by this division shall not affect any proceeding, including any proceeding involving a claim, application, or protest in connection with an acquisition activity carried out under section 111 of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (40 U.S.C. 759) that is pending before the Administrator of General Services or the General Services Board of Contract Appeals on the effective date of this division.

(2) ORDERS.—Orders may be issued in any such proceeding, appeals may be taken therefrom, and payments may be made pursuant to such orders, as if this division had not been enacted. An order issued in any such proceeding shall continue in effect until modified, terminated, superseded, or revoked in accordance with law by the Director or any other authorized official, by a court of competent jurisdiction, or by operation of law.

(3) DISCONTINUANCE OR MODIFICATION OF PROCEEDINGS NOT PROHIBITED.—Nothing in this subsection prohibits the discontinuance or modification of any such proceeding under the same terms and conditions and to the same extent that such proceeding could have been discontinued or modified if this Act had not been enacted.

(4) OTHER AUTHORITY AND PROHIBITION.—Section 1558(a) of title 31, United States Code, and the second sentence of section 3552 of such title shall continue to apply with respect to a protest process in accordance with this subsection.

(5) REGULATIONS FOR TRANSFER OF PROCEEDINGS.—The Director may prescribe regulations providing for the orderly transfer of proceedings continued under paragraph (1).

(c) STANDARDS AND GUIDELINES FOR FEDERAL COMPUTER SYSTEMS.—Standards and guidelines that are in effect for Federal computer systems under section 111(d) of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (40 U.S.C. 759(d)) on the day before the effective date of this division shall remain in effect until modified, terminated, superseded, revoked, or disapproved under the authority of section 5131 of this Act.

**SEC. 5703. RULES OF CONSTRUCTION.**

(a) RELATIONSHIP TO TITLE 44, UNITED STATES CODE.—Nothing in this division shall be construed to amend, modify, or supersede any provision of title 44, United States Code, other than chapter 35 of such title.

(b) RELATIONSHIP TO COMPUTER SECURITY ACT OF 1987.—Nothing in this division shall affect the limitations on authority that is provided for in the administration of the Computer Security Act of 1987 (Public Law 100-235) and the amendments made by such Act.

And the House agree to the same.

That the Senate recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the House to the title of the bill and agree to the same with an amendment as follows:

In lieu of the House amendment, amend the title so as to read: "An Act to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 1996 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe personnel strengths for such fiscal year for the Armed Forces, to reform acquisition laws and information technology management of the Federal Government, and for other purposes.".

And the House agree to the same.

FLOYD SPENCE,
BOB STUMP,
DUNCAN HUNTER,
HERBERT H. BATEMAN,
CURT WELDON,
G.V. MONTGOMERY,
JOHN M. SPRATT, Jr.,
*Managers on the Part of the House.*

STROM THURMOND,
JOHN WARNER,
BILL COHEN,
TRENT LOTT,
SAM NUNN,
*Managers on the Part of the Senate.*

## JOINT EXPLANATORY STATEMENT OF THE COMMITTEE OF CONFERENCE

The managers on the part of the House and the Senate at the conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendments of the House to the bill (S. 1124) to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 1996 for military activities of the Department of Defense, to prescribe personnel strengths for such fiscal year for the Armed Forces, and for other purposes, submit the following joint statement to the House and the Senate in explanation of the effect of the action agreed upon by the managers and recommended in the accompanying conference report:

The House amendment to the text of the bill struck all of the Senate bill after the enacting clause and inserted a substitute text.

The Senate recedes from its disagreement to the amendment of the House with an amendment that is a substitute for the Senate bill and the House amendment. The differences between the Senate bill, the House amendment, and the substitute agreed to in conference are noted below, except for clerical corrections, conforming changes made necessary by agreements reached by the conferees, and minor drafting and clerical changes.

All references in this joint statement to provisions of the House bill refer to the provisions of H.R. 1530 (The National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1996), as passed by the House on June 15, 1995. All references to provisions of the Senate amendment refer to the Senate amendment to the text of H.R. 1530, as passed by the Senate on September 6, 1995.

The conference report on H.R. 1530 is set forth in House Report 104-406. The President vetoed H.R. 1530 on December 28, 1995.

In those cases in which the conference agreement requires the submission of a report to Congress or a committee of Congress, the report shall be submitted not later than the later of the date established in the Act or the statement of managers language for submission of the report or the date that is 45 days after the date of the enactment of this Act. The reason for this extension is that, while the conferees expect that reports will be submitted in a timely fashion, they recognize that the circumstances associated with this legislation may in some cases make compliance with deadlines impractical. The conferees intend that this authority be used sparingly and only in those few cases where an extension in filing the report is essential.

### SUMMARY STATEMENT OF CONFERENCE ACTION

The conferees recommend authorizations for the Department of Defense for procurement, research and development, test and evaluation, operation and maintenance, working capital funds, military construction and family housing, weapons programs of the Department of Energy, and civil defense that have a budget authority implication of $264.7 billion.

### SUMMARY TABLE OF AUTHORIZATIONS

The defense authorization act provides authorizations for appropriations but does not generally provide budget authority. Budget authority is generally provided in appropriation acts.

In order to relate the conference recommendations to the Budget Resolution, matters in addition to the dollar authorizations contained in this bill must be taken into account. A number of programs in the defense function are authorized permanently or, in certain instances, authorized in other

annual legislation. In addition, this authorization bill would establish personnel levels and include a number of legislative provisions affecting military compensation.

The following table summarizes authorizations included in the bill in fiscal year 1996 and, in addition, summarizes the implications of the conference action for the budget totals for national defense (budget function 050).

# SUMMARY OF NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS FOR FY 1996

| (Dollars in Millions) Account Title | Authorization Request 1996 | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Conference Change | Conference Authorization | Request BA 1996 | BA Implications House | BA Implications Senate | BA Implications Conference |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **DIVISION A** | | | | | | | | | |
| **TITLE I** | | | | | | | | | |
| Aircraft Procurement, Army | 1,223.067 | 1,423.067 | 1,396.451 | 335.738 | 1,558.805 | 1,223.067 | 1,423.067 | 1,396.451 | 1,558.805 |
| Missile Procurement, Army | 676.430 | 862.830 | 894.430 | 189.125 | 865.555 | 676.430 | 862.830 | 894.430 | 865.555 |
| Procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, | 1,298.986 | 1,359.664 | 1,547.964 | 353.759 | 1,652.745 | 1,298.986 | 1,359.664 | 1,547.964 | 1,652.745 |
| Procurement of Ammunition, Army | 795.015 | 1,062.715 | 1,120.115 | 298.976 | 1,093.991 | 795.015 | 1,062.715 | 1,120.115 | 1,093.991 |
| Other Procurement, Army | 2,256.601 | 2,545.587 | 2,811.101 | 506.842 | 2,763.443 | 2,256.601 | 2,545.587 | 2,811.101 | 2,763.443 |
| Aircraft Procurement, Navy | 3,886.488 | 4,106.488 | 4,916.588 | 685.906 | 4,572.394 | 3,886.488 | 4,106.488 | 4,916.588 | 4,572.394 |
| Weapons Procurement, Navy | 1,787.121 | 1,626.411 | 1,771.421 | (127.294) | 1,659.827 | 1,787.121 | 1,626.411 | 1,771.421 | 1,659.827 |
| Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy | 5,051.935 | 6,227.958 | 7,111.935 | 1,592.023 | 6,643.958 | 5,051.935 | 6,227.958 | 7,111.935 | 6,643.958 |
| Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps | - | 461.779 | - | 430.053 | 430.053 | - | 461.779 | - | 430.053 |
| Other Procurement, Navy | 2,396.080 | 2,461.472 | 2,471.861 | 18.691 | 2,414.771 | 2,396.080 | 2,461.472 | 2,471.861 | 2,414.771 |
| Procurement, Marine Corps | 474.116 | 399.247 | 683.416 | (15.169) | 458.947 | 474.116 | 399.247 | 683.416 | 458.947 |
| Aircraft Procurement, Air Force | 6,183.886 | 7,031.952 | 6,318.586 | 1,165.897 | 7,349.783 | 6,183.886 | 7,031.952 | 6,318.586 | 7,349.783 |
| Missile Procurement, Air Force | 3,647.711 | 3,430.083 | 3,627.499 | (708.828) | 2,938.883 | 3,647.711 | 3,430.083 | 3,627.499 | 2,938.883 |
| Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force | - | 321.328 | - | 343.848 | 343.848 | - | 321.328 | - | 343.848 |
| Other Procurement, Air Force | 6,804.696 | 6,784.801 | 6,516.001 | (536.266) | 6,268.430 | 6,804.696 | 6,784.801 | 6,516.001 | 6,268.430 |
| Procurement, Defense-wide | 2,179.917 | 2,205.917 | 2,118.324 | (55.538) | 2,124.379 | 2,179.917 | 2,205.917 | 2,118.324 | 2,124.379 |
| National Guard and Reserve Equipment | - | 770.000 | 777.400 | 777.000 | 777.000 | - | 770.000 | 777.400 | 777.000 |
| Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Army | | | | | | | | | |
| O&M | 393.850 | 393.850 | 393.850 | (40.000) | 353.850 | 393.850 | 393.850 | 393.850 | 353.850 |
| Proc | 299.448 | 299.448 | 224.448 | (34.448) | 265.000 | 299.448 | 299.448 | 224.448 | 265.000 |
| R&D | 53.400 | 53.400 | 53.400 | - | 53.400 | 53.400 | 53.400 | 53.400 | 53.400 |
| Defense Production Act Purchases | - | - | - | | - | - | | | |
| Defense Health, Procurement | 288.033 | 288.033 | 288.033 | - | 288.033 | - | | | |
| Office of the Inspector General, Procurement | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | - | 1.000 | - | | | |
| **Total Procurement** | 39,697.780 | 44,117.030 | 45,043.823 | 5,180.315 | 44,878.095 | 39,408.747 | 43,827.997 | 44,754.790 | 44,589.062 |
| **TITLE II** | | | | | | | | | |
| Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation, Army | 4,444.175 | 4,774.947 | 4,845.097 | 293.406 | 4,737.581 | 4,444.175 | 4,774.947 | 4,845.097 | 4,737.581 |
| Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation, Navy | 8,204.530 | 8,516.509 | 8,624.230 | 270.253 | 8,474.783 | 8,204.530 | 8,516.509 | 8,624.230 | 8,474.783 |

# SUMMARY OF NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS FOR FY 1996

| (Dollars in Millions) Account Title | Authorization Request 1996 | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Conference Change | Conference Authorization | Request BA 1996 | BA Implications House | BA Implications Senate | BA Implications Conference |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation, Air Force | 12,598.439 | 13,184.102 | 13,087.389 | 316.429 | 12,914.868 | 12,598.439 | 13,184.102 | 13,087.389 | 12,914.868 |
| Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation, Defense-w | 8,802.881 | 9,287.058 | 9,271.220 | 616.630 | 9,419.511 | 8,802.881 | 9,287.058 | 9,271.220 | 9,419.511 |
| Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense | 22.587 | 22.587 | 22.587 | - | 22.587 | 22.587 | 22.587 | 22.587 | 22.587 |
| Developmental Test and Evaluation, Defense | 259.341 | 239.341 | 239.341 | (8.259) | 251.082 | 259.341 | 239.341 | 239.341 | 251.082 |
| Undistributed Reduction | | | (40.000) | - | - | | | (40.000) | |
| FFRDC Reduction | - | (90.000) | (90.000) | (90.000) | (90.000) | - | (90.097) | (90.000) | (90.000) |
| **Total Research & Development** | 34,331.953 | 35,934.544 | 35,959.864 | 1,398.459 | 35,730.412 | 34,331.953 | 35,934.447 | 35,959.864 | 35,730.412 |
| **TITLE III** | | | | | | | | | |
| Operation and Maintenance, Army | 18,184.736 | 19,339.936 | 18,064.436 | 561.959 | 18,746.695 | 18,184.736 | 19,339.936 | 18,064.436 | 18,746.695 |
| Operation and Maintenance, Navy | 21,225.710 | 21,677.510 | 21,346.910 | 267.445 | 21,493.155 | 21,225.710 | 21,677.510 | 21,346.910 | 21,493.155 |
| Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps | 2,269.722 | 2,603.622 | 2,405.722 | 252.100 | 2,521.822 | 2,269.722 | 2,603.622 | 2,405.722 | 2,521.822 |
| Operation and Maintenance, Air Force | 18,256.597 | 18,984.162 | 18,230.097 | 462.680 | 18,719.277 | 18,256.597 | 18,984.162 | 18,230.097 | 18,719.277 |
| Operation and Maintenance, Defense-wide | 10,366.782 | 10,680.371 | 10,035.867 | (456.306) | 9,910.476 | 10,366.782 | 10,681.871 | 10,035.867 | 9,910.476 |
| Defense Health Program,O&M | 9,865.525 | 9,876.525 | 9,943.825 | 11.000 | 9,876.525 | 9,865.525 | 9,876.525 | 9,943.825 | 9,876.525 |
| Defense Health Program,PROC | - | - | - | - | - | 288.033 | 288.033 | 288.033 | 288.033 |
| Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve | 1,068.591 | 1,139.591 | 1,062.591 | 60.600 | 1,129.191 | 1,068.591 | 1,139.591 | 1,062.591 | 1,129.191 |
| Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve | 826.042 | 838.042 | 840.842 | 42.300 | 868.342 | 826.042 | 838.042 | 840.842 | 868.342 |
| Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve | 90.283 | 91.783 | 90.283 | 10.000 | 100.283 | 90.283 | 91.783 | 90.283 | 100.283 |
| Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve | 1,485.947 | 1,507.447 | 1,482.947 | 30.340 | 1,516.287 | 1,485.947 | 1,507.447 | 1,482.947 | 1,516.287 |
| Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard | 2,304.108 | 2,394.108 | 2,304.108 | 57.700 | 2,361.808 | 2,304.108 | 2,394.108 | 2,304.108 | 2,361.808 |
| Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard | 2,712.221 | 2,734.221 | 2,734.221 | 47.900 | 2,760.121 | 2,712.221 | 2,734.221 | 2,734.221 | 2,760.121 |
| Office of the Inspector General, O&M | 138.226 | 177.226 | 138.226 | | 138.226 | 138.226 | 177.226 | 138.226 | 138.226 |
| Office of the Inspector General, Proc | | | | | | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| United States Courts of Appeals for the Armed Forces | 6.521 | 6.521 | 6.521 | | 6.521 | 6.521 | 6.521 | 6.521 | 6.521 |
| Environmental Restoration, Defense | 1,622.200 | 1,422.200 | 1,601.800 | (200.000) | 1,422.200 | 1,622.200 | 1,422.200 | 1,601.800 | 1,422.200 |
| Drug Interdiction and Counter-drug Activities, Defense | 680.432 | 680.432 | 680.432 | | 680.432 | 680.432 | 680.432 | 680.432 | 680.432 |
| Former Soviet Union Threat Reduction Account | 371.000 | 200.000 | 365.000 | (71.000) | 300.000 | 371.000 | 200.000 | 365.000 | 300.000 |
| Summer Olympics | 15.000 | 15.000 | 15.000 | - | 15.000 | 15.000 | 15.000 | 15.000 | 15.000 |
| Contributions for International Peacekeeping and Peace E | 65.000 | - | - | (65.000) | - | 65.000 | - | - | - |
| Humanitarian Assistance | 79.790 | - | 60.000 | (79.790) | - | 79.790 | - | 60.000 | - |

## SUMMARY OF NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS FOR FY 1996

| (Dollars in Millions) Account Title | Authorization Request 1996 | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Conference Change | Conference Authorization | Request BA 1996 | BA Implications House | BA Implications Senate | BA Implications Conference |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Disposal and Lease of DOD Real Property | - | - | - | | - | 8.000 | 8.000 | 8.000 | 8.000 |
| DOD 50th Anniversary of World War II Commemoration | - | - | - | | - | 0.100 | 0.100 | 0.100 | 0.100 |
| Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster, & Civic Aid | - | 50.000 | - | 50.000 | 50.000 | - | 50.000 | - | 50.000 |
| National Science Center, Army | - | - | - | - | - | 0.085 | 0.085 | 0.085 | 0.085 |
| **Total Operation & Maintenance** | 91,634.433 | 94,418.697 | 91,408.828 | 981.928 | 92,616.361 | 91,931.651 | 94,717.415 | 91,706.046 | 92,913.579 |
| **Revolving Funds** | | | | | | | | | |
| **Defense Business OperationsFund** | 878.700 | 878.700 | 878.700 | - | 878.700 | 878.700 | 878.700 | 878.700 | 878.700 |
| **National Defense Sealift Fund** | 974.220 | 1,574.220 | 1,084.220 | 50.000 | 1,024.220 | 974.220 | 1,574.220 | 1,084.220 | 1,024.220 |
| **National Defense Stockpile Transaction Fund** | - | - | - | - | - | (150.000) | (150.000) | (150.000) | (150.000) |
| **Stockpile Fund-Public Enterprise** | - | - | - | - | - | (202.000) | (202.000) | (202.000) | (202.000) |
| **Totals** | 1,852.920 | 2,452.920 | 1,962.920 | 50.000 | 1,902.920 | 1,500.920 | 2,100.920 | 1,610.920 | 1,550.920 |
| **TITLE IV-V-VI-VII** | | | | | | | | | |
| **Total Military Personnel (Sec 431)** | | 68,951.663 | 68,814.863 | 494.345 | 69,191.008 | 68,696.663 | 68,951.663 | 68,814.863 | 69,191.008 |
| **GENERAL PROVISIONS DIVISION B** | | | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction, Army | 472.724 | 631.608 | 547.877 | 144.865 | 617.589 | 472.724 | 631.608 | 547.877 | 617.589 |
| Military Construction, Navy | 488.086 | 588.243 | 542.885 | 60.203 | 548.289 | 488.086 | 588.243 | 542.885 | 548.289 |
| Military Construction, Air Force | 495.655 | 586.841 | 587.517 | 91.915 | 587.570 | 495.655 | 586.841 | 587.517 | 587.570 |
| Military Construction, Defense-wide | 857.405 | 728.332 | 601.450 | (235.179) | 622.226 | 857.405 | 728.332 | 601.450 | 622.226 |
| North Atlantic Treaty Organization Infrastructure | 179.000 | 161.000 | 179.000 | (18.000) | 161.000 | 179.000 | 161.000 | 179.000 | 161.000 |
| Military Construction, Army Reserve | 42.963 | 42.963 | 79.895 | 30.553 | 73.516 | 42.963 | 42.963 | 79.895 | 73.516 |
| Military Construction, Naval Reserve | 7.920 | 19.655 | 7.920 | 11.135 | 19.055 | 7.920 | 19.655 | 7.920 | 19.055 |
| Military Construction, Air Force Reserve | 27.002 | 31.502 | 35.132 | 9.230 | 36.232 | 27.002 | 31.502 | 35.132 | 36.232 |
| Military Construction, Army National Guard | 18.480 | 72.537 | 148.586 | 116.322 | 134.802 | 18.480 | 72.537 | 148.586 | 134.802 |
| Military Construction, Air National Guard | 85.647 | 118.267 | 160.807 | 78.570 | 164.217 | 85.647 | 118.267 | 160.807 | 164.217 |
| Foreign Currency Fluctuations, Construction | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Base Realignment and Closure Account | 3,897.892 | 3,897.892 | 3,799.192 | - | 3,897.892 | 3,897.892 | 3,897.892 | 3,799.192 | 3,897.892 |
| **Total Military Construction** | 6,572.774 | 6,878.840 | 6,690.261 | 289.614 | 6,862.388 | 6,572.774 | 6,878.840 | 6,690.261 | 6,862.388 |

# SUMMARY OF NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS FOR FY 1996

| (Dollars in Millions) Account Title | Authorization Request 1996 | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Conference Change | Conference Authorization | Request BA 1996 | BA Implications House | BA Implications Senate | BA Implications Conference |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Family Housing, Army | 43.500 | 126.400 | 66.552 | 73.156 | 116.656 | 43.500 | 126.400 | 66.552 | 116.656 |
| Family Housing Support, Army | 1,337.596 | 1,333.596 | 1,337.596 | - | 1,337.596 | 1,337.596 | 1,333.596 | 1,337.596 | 1,337.596 |
| Family Housing, Navy and Marine Corps | 465.755 | 531.289 | 486.247 | 56.944 | 522.699 | 465.755 | 531.289 | 486.247 | 522.699 |
| Family Housing Support, Navy and Marine Corps | 1,048.329 | 1,045.329 | 1,048.329 | | 1,048.329 | 1,048.329 | 1,045.329 | 1,048.329 | 1,048.329 |
| Family Housing, Air Force | 249.003 | 294.503 | 287.965 | 49.300 | 298.303 | 249.003 | 294.503 | 287.965 | 298.303 |
| Family Housing Support, Air Force | 849.213 | 846.213 | 849.213 | | 849.213 | 849.213 | 846.213 | 849.213 | 849.213 |
| Family Housing, Defense-wide | 25.772 | 25.772 | 25.772 | - | 25.772 | 25.772 | 25.772 | 25.772 | 25.772 |
| Family Housing Support, Defense-wide | 30.467 | 40.467 | 30.467 | 10.000 | 40.467 | 30.467 | 40.467 | 30.467 | 40.467 |
| Homeowners Assistance Fund, Defense | 75.586 | 75.586 | 75.586 | - | 75.586 | 75.586 | 75.586 | 75.586 | 75.586 |
| Sec 2809-Authority to convey Family Housing | - | | 5.000 | | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Total Family Housing** | 4,125.221 | 4,319.155 | 4,212.727 | 189.400 | 4,314.621 | 4,125.221 | 4,319.155 | 4,207.727 | 4,314.621 |
| **DIVISION C** | | | | | | | | | |
| **TITLE XXXI, XXXII** | | | | | | | | | |
| Weapons Activities | 3,540.175 | 3,610.914 | 3,666.219 | (79.861) | 3,460.314 | 3,540.175 | 3,610.914 | 3,666.219 | 3,460.314 |
| Defense Nuclear Waste Disposal | 198.400 | 198.400 | 198.400 | 50.000 | 248.400 | 198.400 | 198.400 | 198.400 | 248.400 |
| Defense Environmental Restoration and Waste Managem | 6,008.002 | 5,265.478 | 5,905.955 | (450.470) | 5,557.532 | 6,008.002 | 5,265.478 | 5,905.955 | 5,557.532 |
| Other Defense Activities | 1,432.159 | 1,328.841 | 1,408.162 | (80.183) | 1,351.976 | 1,432.159 | 1,328.841 | 1,408.162 | 1,351.976 |
| Salaries and Expenses | 18.500 | 17.000 | 18.500 | (1.500) | 17.000 | 18.500 | 17.000 | 18.500 | 17.000 |
| **Total DOE** | 11,197.236 | 10,420.633 | 11,197.236 | (562.014) | 10,635.222 | 11,197.236 | 10,420.633 | 11,197.236 | 10,635.222 |
| **TITLE XXXIII** | | | | | | | | | |
| National Defense Stockpile Transaction Fund | - | - | - | - | - | (150.000) | (150.000) | (150.000) | (150.000) |
| **OTHER** | | | | | | | | | |
| Salaries and Expenses | 44.006 | - | - | (44.01) | - | 44.006 | - | - | - |
| Emergency Management Planning and Assistance | 24.025 | - | - | (24.03) | - | 24.025 | - | - | - |
| FEMA Civil Defense (Total) | 68.031 | - | - | (68.031) | - | 68.031 | - | - | - |

## SUMMARY OF NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS FOR FY 1996

| (Dollars in Millions) Account Title | Authorization Request 1996 | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Conference Change | Conference Authorization | Request BA 1996 | BA Implications House | BA Implications Senate | BA Implications Conference |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **RECAPITULATION** | | | | | | | | | |
| Department of Defense (Division A) | 167,517.086 | 245,426.039 | 243,190.298 | 8,105.047 | 244,318.796 | 236,019.934 | 245,682.442 | 242,996.483 | 244,124.981 |
| Department of Defense (Division B) | 10,697.995 | 11,197.995 | 10,902.988 | 479.014 | 11,177.009 | 10,697.995 | 11,197.995 | 10,897.988 | 11,177.009 |
| National Defense Stockpile Transaction Fund | - | - | - | - | - | (150.000) | (150.000) | (150.000) | (150.000) |
| Other Funds | 28.010 | - | - | (28.010) | - | 482.995 | 454.985 | 454.985 | 454.985 |
| Offsetting Receipts | - | - | - | - | - | (1,207.785) | (1,207.785) | (1,207.785) | (1,207.785) |
| General Provisions (Sec. 1008) | - | - | - | (832.000) | (832.000) | - | - | - | (832.000) |
| Total DoD Military (051) | 178,243.091 | 256,624.034 | 254,093.286 | 7,724.051 | 254,663.805 | 245,843.139 | 255,977.637 | 252,991.671 | 253,567.190 |
| Total Atomic Energy Defense Act (053) | 11,197.236 | 10,420.633 | 11,197.236 | (562.014) | 10,635.222 | 11,197.236 | 10,420.633 | 11,197.236 | 10,635.222 |
| Total Other Defense (054) | 68.031 | - | - | (68.031) | - | 562.261 | 494.230 | 494.230 | 494.230 |
| Total National Defense Function (050) | 189,508.358 | 267,044.667 | 265,290.522 | 7,094.006 | 265,299.027 | 257,602.636 | 266,892.500 | 264,683.137 | 264,696.642 |
| Total National Defense Function (050)/Outlays | | | | | | | | | |
| Military Retirement Trust Fund | - | 403.000 | - | - | - | - | 403.000 | - | - |

*Congressional defense committees*

The term "congressional defense committees" is often used in this statement of the managers. It means the Defense Authorization and Appropriations Committees of the Senate and House of Representatives.

DIVISION A: DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS

TITLE I—PROCUREMENT

*Overview*

The budget request for fiscal year 1996 contained an authorization of $39,697.8 million for procurement in the Department of Defense. The House bill would authorize $44,117.0 million. The Senate amendment would authorize $45,043.8 million. The conferees recommended an authorization of $44,878.1 million. Unless noted explicitly in the statement of managers, all changes are made without prejudice.

# SUMMARY OF NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS FOR FY 1996

(Dollars in Millions)

| Account Title | Authorization Request 1996 | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Conference Change | Conference Authorization |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **TITLE I** | | | | | |
| Aircraft Procurement, Army | 1,223.067 | 1,423.067 | 1,396.451 | 335.738 | 1,558.805 |
| Missile Procurement, Army | 676.430 | 862.830 | 894.430 | 189.125 | 865.555 |
| Procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, | 1,298.986 | 1,359.664 | 1,547.964 | 353.759 | 1,652.745 |
| Procurement of Ammunition, Army | 795.015 | 1,062.715 | 1,120.115 | 298.976 | 1,093.991 |
| Other Procurement, Army | 2,256.601 | 2,545.587 | 2,811.101 | 506.842 | 2,763.443 |
| Aircraft Procurement, Navy | 3,886.488 | 4,106.488 | 4,916.588 | 685.906 | 4,572.394 |
| Weapons Procurement, Navy | 1,787.121 | 1,626.411 | 1,771.421 | (127.294) | 1,659.827 |
| Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy | 5,051.935 | 6,227.958 | 7,111.935 | 1,592.023 | 6,643.958 |
| Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps | - | 461.779 | - | 430.053 | 430.053 |
| Other Procurement, Navy | 2,396.080 | 2,461.472 | 2,471.861 | 18.691 | 2,414.771 |
| Procurement, Marine Corps | 474.116 | 399.247 | 683.416 | (15.169) | 458.947 |
| Aircraft Procurement, Air Force | 6,183.886 | 7,031.952 | 6,318.586 | 1,165.897 | 7,349.783 |
| Missile Procurement, Air Force | 3,647.711 | 3,430.083 | 3,627.499 | (708.828) | 2,938.883 |
| Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force | - | 321.328 | - | 343.848 | 343.848 |
| Other Procurement, Air Force | 6,804.696 | 6,784.801 | 6,516.001 | (536.266) | 6,268.430 |
| Procurement, Defense-wide | 2,179.917 | 2,205.917 | 2,118.324 | (55.538) | 2,124.379 |
| National Guard and Reserve Equipment | - | 770.000 | 777.400 | 777.000 | 777.000 |
| Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Army | | | | | |
| O&M | 393.850 | 393.850 | 393.850 | (40.000) | 353.850 |
| Proc | 299.448 | 299.448 | 224.448 | (34.448) | 265.000 |
| R&D | 53.400 | 53.400 | 53.400 | - | 53.400 |
| Defense Production Act Purchases | - | - | - | | - |
| Defense Health, Procurement | 288.033 | 288.033 | 288.033 | - | 288.033 |
| Office of the Inspector General, Procurement | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | - | 1.000 |
| **Total Procurement** | 39,697.780 | 44,117.030 | 45,043.823 | 5,180.315 | 44,878.095 |

*Overview*

The budget request for fiscal year 1996 contained an authorization of $1,223.1 million for Aircraft Procurement, Army in the Department of Defense. The House bill would authorize $1,423.1 million. The Senate amendment would authorize $1,396.5 million. The conferees recommended an authorization of $1,558.8 million. Unless noted explicitly in the statement of managers, all changes are made without prejudice.

| Line No | Title | FY 1996 Request Quantity | FY 1996 Request Amount | House Authorized Quantity | House Authorized Amount | Senate Authorized Quantity | Senate Authorized Amount | Change to Request Quantity | Change to Request Amount | Conference Agreement Quantity | Conference Agreement Amount |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY | | | | | | | | | | |
| | AIRCRAFT | | | | | | | | | | |
| | FIXED WING | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | ARL (TIARA) | 1 | 18,403 | 1 | 18,403 | 1 | 18,403 | | | 1 | 18,403 |
| 2 | C21A AIRCRAFT | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 3 | C-XX (MEDIUM RANGE) AIRCRAFT | | - | | - | 4 | 23,000 | | 23,000 | 4 | 23,000 |
| 4 | GUARDRAIL COMMON SENSOR (TIARA) | | 6,014 | | 6,014 | | 6,014 | | | | 6,014 |
| | ROTARY | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | TOTAL PACKAGE FIELDING | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 6 | AH-64 ATTACK HELICOPTER (APACHE) | | 3,268 | | 3,268 | | 3,268 | | | | 3,268 |
| 7 | UH-60 BLACKHAWK (MYP) | 60 | 526,041 | 60 | 526,041 | 50 | 472,825 | | | 60 | 526,041 |
| 7 | LESS: ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (PY) | | (191,161) | | (191,161) | | (191,161) | | | | (191,161) |
| 8 | ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) | | - | | 75,000 | | - | | 70,000 | | 70,000 |
| 9 | HELICOPTER NEW TRAINING | | 458 | | 458 | | 458 | | | | 458 |
| | MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT | | | | | | | | | | |
| | MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | TRACTOR DEW | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 11 | GUARDRAIL MODS (TIARA) | | 48,969 | | 48,969 | | 48,969 | | 9,000 | | 57,969 |
| 12 | AH1F MODS | | 2,165 | | 2,165 | | 2,165 | | | | 2,165 |
| 13 | AH-64 MODS | | 53,596 | | 53,596 | | 53,596 | | | | 53,596 |
| 14 | CH-47 CARGO HELICOPTER MODS (MYP) | | 14,081 | | 14,081 | | 14,081 | | | | 14,081 |
| 15 | C-12 CARGO AIRPLANE MODS | | 675 | | 675 | | 675 | | | | 675 |
| 16 | OH-58 MODS | | 2,886 | | 2,886 | | 2,886 | | | | 2,886 |
| 17 | C-20 AIRCRAFT MODS | | 929 | | 929 | | 929 | | | | 929 |
| 18 | LONGBOW | | 421,406 | | 421,406 | | 503,406 | | 76,200 | | 497,606 |
| 18 | LESS: ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (PY) | | (79,438) | | (79,438) | | (79,438) | | | | (79,438) |
| 19 | ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) | | 12,879 | | 12,879 | | 12,879 | | | | 12,879 |
| 20 | UH-1 MODS | | 4,975 | | 4,975 | | 4,975 | | | | 4,975 |
| 21 | UH-1 HUEY SLEP | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 22 | UH-60 MODS | | 19,300 | | 19,300 | | 19,300 | | | | 19,300 |
| 23 | KIOWA WARRIOR | | 71,334 | | 196,334 | 20 | 196,334 | | 140,000 | 20 | 211,334 |
| 24 | EH-60 QUICKFIX MODS | | 38,049 | | 38,049 | | 38,049 | | | | 38,049 |
| 25 | AIRBORNE AVIONICS | | 30,424 | | 30,424 | | 30,424 | | | | 30,424 |
| 26 | ASE MODS | | 4,215 | | 4,215 | | 4,215 | | | | 4,215 |
| 27 | MODIFICATIONS < $2.0M | | 1,882 | | 1,882 | | 1,882 | | | | 1,882 |
| | SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| | SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | | | | | | | | | |
| 28 | SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | 49,177 | | 49,177 | | 49,177 | | (14,562) | | 34,615 |
| | SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| | GROUND SUPPORT AVIONICS | | | | | | | | | | |
| 29 | AIRCRAFT SURVIVABILITY EQUIPMENT | | 22,304 | | 22,304 | | 22,304 | | 32,100 | | 54,404 |
| | OTHER SUPPORT | | | | | | | | | | |
| 30 | AIRBORNE COMMAND & CONTROL | | 3,981 | | 3,981 | | 3,981 | | | | 3,981 |
| 31 | AVIONICS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | 22,168 | | 22,168 | | 22,168 | | | | 22,168 |
| 32 | TRAINING DEVICES | | 37,206 | | 37,206 | | 37,206 | | | | 37,206 |
| 33 | COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT | | 30,539 | | 30,539 | | 27,139 | | | | 30,539 |
| 34 | AVIATION LIFE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT (ALSE) | | 9,732 | | 9,732 | | 9,732 | | | | 9,732 |
| 35 | AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL | | 8,187 | | 8,187 | | 8,187 | | | | 8,187 |
| 36 | INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES | | 2,826 | | 2,826 | | 2,826 | | | | 2,826 |

| Line No | Title | FY 1996 Request Quantity | FY 1996 Request Amount | House Authorized Quantity | House Authorized Amount | Senate Authorized Quantity | Senate Authorized Amount | Change to Request Quantity | Change to Request Amount | Conference Agreement Quantity | Conference Agreement Amount |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 37 | AIRBORNE COMMUNICATIONS | | 25,597 | | 25,597 | | 25,597 | | | | 25,597 |
| 38 | CLOSED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENT | | | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY -TOTAL | | 1,223,067 | | 1,423,067 | | 1,396,451 | | 335,738 | | 1,558,805 |

*Airborne reconnaissance low*

The budget request included $18.4 million to procure one additional aircraft.

The House bill and the Senate amendment would approve the budget request.

The conferees agree to authorize the budget request and express a continued strong support for the Airborne Reconnaissance Low (ARL) program, to include the procurement of a total of 9 aircraft as soon as possible.

The conferees expect the Department to evaluate the advantages of linking the airborne workstations of the ARL to an Unmanned Aerial Vehicle, to provide for airborne analysis and assured dissemination of information.

*UH-60 Black Hawk helicopter*

The budget request included $526.0 million for the procurement of 60 Black Hawk helicopters in the final year of a five-year multiyear procurement. No funds were requested for advance procurement.

The House bill would approve the budget request and add $75.0 million for advance procurement.

The Senate amendment would decrease procurement funds to $475.8 million to procure 50 helicopters, and would not provide funds for advance procurement.

The conferees agree to authorize $526.0 million for the procurement of 60 Black Hawk helicopters and $70.0 million for advance procurement. The conferees also agree to provide authority for multiyear procurement for the Black Hawk helicopter program.

*Overview*

The budget request for fiscal year 1996 contained an authorization of $676.4 million for Missile Procurement, Army in the Department of Defense. The House bill would authorize $862.8 million. The Senate amendment would authorize $894.4 million. The conferees recommended an authorization of $865.6 million. Unless noted explicitly in the statement of managers, all changes are made without prejudice.

| Line No | Title | FY 1996 Request Quantity | FY 1996 Request Amount | House Authorized Quantity | House Authorized Amount | Senate Authorized Quantity | Senate Authorized Amount | Change to Request Quantity | Change to Request Amount | Conference Agreement Quantity | Conference Agreement Amount |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY | | | | | | | | | | |
| | OTHER MISSILES | | | | | | | | | | |
| | SURFACE-TO-AIR MISSILE SYSTEM | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | HAWK SYSTEM SUMMARY | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 2 | PATRIOT SYSTEM SUMMARY | | 5,070 | | 5,070 | | 5,070 | | | | 5,070 |
| 3 | STINGER SYSTEM SUMMARY | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 4 | AVENGER SYSTEM SUMMARY | | 31,441 | | 31,441 | | 31,441 | | | | 31,441 |
| 4 | LESS: ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (PY) | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | AIR-TO-SURFACE MISSILE SYSTEM | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | HELLFIRE SYS SUMMARY | 352 | 209,460 | | 249,460 | 1102 | 249,460 | | 37,225 | 1102 | 246,685 |
| | ANTI-TANK/ASSAULT MISSILE SYSTEM | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | JAVELIN (AAWS-M) SYSTEM SUMMARY | 557 | 171,428 | | 210,428 | 1010 | 210,428 | | 35,500 | 1010 | 206,928 |
| 6 | LESS: ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (PY) | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 7 | TOW 2 SYSTEM SUMMARY | | 7,378 | | 27,378 | 1000 | 27,378 | | 5,000 | 500 | 12,378 |
| 8 | MLRS ROCKET | | 3,086 | | 46,086 | 1500 | 46,086 | | 43,000 | 1500 | 46,086 |
| 9 | MLRS LAUNCHER SYSTEMS | | 48,158 | | 64,558 | | 96,158 | | 50,400 | | 98,558 |
| 10 | ARMY TACTICAL MSL SYS (ATACMS) - SYS | 91 | 106,971 | | 124,971 | 120 | 124,971 | | 18,000 | 120 | 124,971 |
| 10 | LESS: ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (PY) | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | MODIFICATION OF MISSILES | | | | | | | | | | |
| | MODIFICATIONS | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | PATRIOT MODS | | 6,988 | | 6,988 | | 6,988 | | | | 6,988 |
| 12 | STINGER MODS | | 10,095 | | 20,095 | | 20,095 | | | | 10,095 |
| 13 | AVENGER MODS | | - | | - | | - | | | | |
| 14 | TOW MODS | | 33,358 | | 33,358 | | 33,358 | | | | 33,358 |
| 15 | MLRS MODS | | 17,996 | | 17,996 | | 17,996 | | | | 17,996 |
| 16 | MODIFICATIONS LESS THAN $2.0M | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| | SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | | | | | | | | | |
| 17 | SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | 11,841 | | 11,841 | | 11,841 | | | | 11,841 |
| | SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| | SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES | | | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | AIR DEFENSE TARGETS | | 6,791 | | 6,791 | | 6,791 | | | | 6,791 |
| 19 | ITEMS LESS THAN $2.0M (MISSILES) | | 1,000 | | 1,000 | | 1,000 | | | | 1,000 |
| 20 | MISSILE DEMILITARIZATION | | 1,693 | | 1,693 | | 1,693 | | | | 1,693 |
| 21 | PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT | | 3,676 | | 3,676 | | 3,676 | | | | 3,676 |
| 22 | CLOSED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY-TOTAL | | 676,430 | | 862,830 | | 894,430 | | 189,125 | | 865,555 |

*Hellfire missile*

The budget request included $197.5 million to procure 352 Longbow Hellfire missiles and $12.0 million for post-production support.

The House bill and the Senate amendment would provide an additional $40.0 million, which when combined with $12.0 million of post-production funds, would enable the Army to buy 750 Hellfire II missiles.

The conferees agree to provide an additional $37.2 million for the procurement of 750 Hellfire II missiles.

*Javelin medium anti-tank weapon*

The budget request included $171.4 million to procure 557 Javelin missiles.

The House bill and the Senate amendment would authorize an increase of $39.0 million for an additional 453 Javelin missiles.

The conferees agree to authorize an additional $35.5 million, which when added to the budget request of $171.4 million, will procure a total of 1,010 Javelin missiles.

*TOW missile*

The budget request included $7.4 million for plant closure and production support of prior year TOW missile deliveries. No funds were requested for additional missile production.

The House bill and the Senate amendment would authorize an increase of $20.0 million for procurement of 1,000 TOW 2B missiles.

The conferees agree to authorize an increase of $5.0 million for procurement of 500 TOW 2B missiles.

*Multiple launch rocket system*

The budget request included $48.2 million for annual support and fielding of the Army's Multiple Launch Rocket System (MLRS), but this amount did not include funding for procurement of any new launchers.

The House bill would authorize an increase of $16.4 million to procure MLRS launchers to complete equipping a National Guard MLRS battalion, for which funds were authorized in fiscal year 1995.

The Senate amendment would authorize an increase of $16.4 million to complete fielding the same National Guard battalion described in the House bill. In addition, the Senate amendment would authorize an increase of $48.0 million to recondition sufficient MLRS launchers and ancillary equipment for one additional National Guard MLRS battalion.

The conferees agree to authorize $98.6 million to provide sufficient reconditioned MLRS launchers and ancillary equipment to complete the fielding of the National Guard battalion authorized in fiscal year 1995, and to fully equip another National Guard battalion in fiscal year 1996.

*Overview*

The budget request for fiscal year 1996 contained an authorization of $1,298.9 million for Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles Procurement, Army in the Department of Defense. The House bill would authorize $1,359.7 million. The Senate amendment would authorize $1,547.9 million. The conferees recommended an authorization of $1,652.7 million. Unless noted explicitly in the statement of managers, all changes are made without prejudice.

| Line No | Title | FY 1996 Request Quantity | FY 1996 Request Amount | House Authorized Quantity | House Authorized Amount | Senate Authorized Quantity | Senate Authorized Amount | Change to Request Quantity | Change to Request Amount | Conference Agreement Quantity | Conference Agreement Amount |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PROCUREMENT OF W&TCV, ARMY | | | | | | | | | | |
| | TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | ABRAMS TRNG DEV MOD | | 3,115 | | 3,115 | | 3,115 | | | | 3,115 |
| 2 | BRADLEY FIGHTING VEHICLE FAMILY (MYP) | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 3 | BRADLEY BASE SUSTAINMENT | | 138,308 | | 138,308 | | 138,308 | | | | 138,308 |
| 4 | BRADLEY FVS TRAINING DEVICES | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 5 | BRADLEY FVS TRAINING DEVICES (MOD) | | 1,872 | | 1,872 | | 1,872 | | | | 1,872 |
| 6 | ABRAMS TANK TRAINING DEVICES | | 6,259 | | 6,259 | | 6,259 | | | | 6,259 |
| 7 | ARMORED GUN SYSTEM (AGS) | 26 | 141,551 | 26 | 141,551 | 26 | 141,551 | | 6,000 | 26 | 147,551 |
| 8 | M1 ABRAMS TANK SERIES (MYP) | | - | | - | | 15,000 | | 3,000 | | 3,000 |
| 9 | COMMAND & CONTROL VEHICLE | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | MODIFICATION OF TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | CARRIER, MOD | | 48,067 | | 48,067 | | 48,067 | | 1,600 | | 49,667 |
| 11 | BFVS SERIES (MOD) | | 74,336 | | 88,336 | | 88,336 | | 14,000 | | 88,336 |
| 11a | BFV ARMOR TILES | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 12 | HOWITZER, MED SP FT 155MM M109A6 (MOD) | | 220,239 | | 220,239 | | 220,239 | | 81,800 | | 302,039 |
| 13 | HOWITZER, MED SP FT 155MM M109A5 (MOD) | | 131 | | 131 | | 131 | | | | 131 |
| 14 | FAASV PIP TO FLEET | | 4,065 | | 4,065 | | 4,065 | | | | 4,065 |
| 15 | IMPROVED RECOVERY VEHICLE (M88 MOD) | | 23,492 | | 23,492 | | 57,392 | | 33,900 | | 57,392 |
| 16 | BREACHER SYSTEM (MOD) | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 17 | HEAVY ASSAULT BRIDGE (HAB) SYS (MOD) | | 15,095 | | 15,095 | | 15,095 | | | | 15,095 |
| 18 | ARMORED VEH LAUNCH BRIDGE (AVLB) (MOD) | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 19 | M1 ABRAMS TANK (MOD) | | 77,076 | | 46,754 | | 51,754 | | (25,322) | | 51,754 |
| 20 | ABRAMS UPGRADE PROGRAM | | 393,884 | | 393,884 | | 503,884 | | 110,000 | | 503,884 |
| 20 | LESS: ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (PY) | | (52,973) | | (52,973) | | (52,973) | | | | (52,973) |
| 21 | ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) | | 132,959 | | 132,959 | | 132,959 | | | | 132,959 |
| 22 | ABRAMS UPGRADE PROGRAM (MCR) | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 23 | MODIFICATIONS LESS THAN $2.0M (TCV-WTCV) | | 581 | | 581 | | 581 | | | | 581 |
| | SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES | | | | | | | | | | |
| 24 | SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 25 | ITEMS LESS THAN $2.0M (TCV-WTCV) | | 152 | | 152 | | 152 | | | | 152 |
| 26 | TANK ENGINE INDUSTRIAL BASE | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 27 | PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (TCV-WTCV) | | 11,619 | | 11,619 | | 11,619 | | (6,119) | | 5,500 |
| 28 | REGIONAL MAINTENANCE TRAINING SITES-EQUIP | | 1,452 | | 1,452 | | 1,452 | | | | 1,452 |
| | FAASV | | | | - | | | | 44,000 | | 44,000 |
| | WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEHICLES | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| | WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEHICLES | | | | | | | | | | |
| 29 | PERSONAL DEFENSE WEAPON (ROLL) | | - | | 2,000 | 10000 | 4,000 | 4660 | 2,000 | 4660 | 2,000 |
| 30 | HOWITZER, LIGHT, TOWED, 105MM, M119 | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| 31 | MACHINE GUN, 5.56MM (SAW) | | - | | 28,500 | 10420 | 28,500 | 10265 | 28,500 | 10265 | 28,500 |
| 32 | GRENADE LAUNCHER, AUTO, 40MM, MK19-3 | | - | | 20,000 | 2100 | 33,900 | | 33,900 | 2100 | 33,900 |
| 33 | MORTAR, 120MM | | 3,007 | | 3,007 | | 3,007 | | | | 3,007 |
| 34 | M16 RIFLE | | - | | 13,500 | 27500 | 13,500 | | 13,500 | 27500 | 13,500 |
| 35 | 5.56 CARBINE M4 | | - | | 6,500 | 12000 | 13,500 | | 6,500 | 12000 | 6,500 |
| 35a | MED MACHINE GUN MOD | | - | | 6,500 | 1434 | 6,500 | | 6,500 | 1434 | 6,500 |
| | MODIFICATION OF WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEH | | | | | | | | | | |
| 36 | M4 CARBINE MODS | | 930 | | 930 | | 930 | | | | 930 |
| 37 | SQUAD AUTOMATIC WEAPON (MOD) | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 38 | M16 RIFLE MODS | | 2,842 | | 2,842 | | 2,842 | | | | 2,842 |

| Line No | Title | FY 1996 Request Quantity | FY 1996 Request Amount | House Authorized Quantity | House Authorized Amount | Senate Authorized Quantity | Senate Authorized Amount | Change to Request Quantity | Change to Request Amount | Conference Agreement Quantity | Conference Agreement Amount |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 39 | MODIFICATIONS LESS THAN $2.0M (WOCV-WTCV) | | 1,383 | | 1,383 | | 2,883 | | | | 1,383 |
| | SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES | | | | | | | | | | |
| 40 | SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 41 | ITEMS LESS THAN $2.0M (WOCV-WTCV) | | 1,150 | | 1,150 | | 1,150 | | | | 1,150 |
| 42 | PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (WOCV-WTCV) | | 6,049 | | 6,049 | | 6,049 | | | | 6,049 |
| 43 | INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS | | 5,574 | | 5,574 | | 5,574 | | | | 5,574 |
| 44 | SMALL ARMS (SOLDIER ENH PROG) | | 2,428 | | 2,428 | | 2,428 | | | | 2,428 |
| | SPARE AND REPAIR PARTS | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| | SPARES | | | | | | | | | | |
| 45 | SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS (WTCV) | | 34,343 | | 34,343 | | 34,343 | | | | 34,343 |
| | PROCUREMENT OF W&TCV, ARMY-TOTAL | | 1,298,986 | | 1,359,664 | | 1,547,964 | | 353,759 | | 1,652,745 |

*Direct support electronic system test sets*

The budget request included $1.5 million for calibration of the direct support electronic system test sets (DSESTS).

The House bill included no additional funding for DSESTS.

The Senate amendment would authorize an increase of $15.0 million for additional procurement of DSESTS for M1 Abrams series tanks and Bradley infantry fighting vehicles.

The conferees agree to authorize an increase of $15.0 million for DSESTS for both procurement and research and development, as indicated below:

| | Million |
|---|---|
| Procurement: | |
| M1 Abrams tank series | $3.0 |
| Armored Gun System | 6.0 |
| Research & Development: | |
| PE23735A Abrams Block Improvements | 4.0 |
| PE23735A Armored Gun System | 2.0 |

*M113 Carrier modifications*

The budget request included $48.1 million for modification of M113 personnel carriers.

The House bill and the Senate amendment would approve the budget request.

The conferees agree to authorize an increase of $1.6 million for an additional 12 carrier modification upgrades to be used as opposing force vehicles at the National Training Center.

*M109A6 Paladin 155mm howitzer, self-propelled*

The budget request included $220.2 million for retrofitting 215 M109A6 Paladin howitzer systems.

The House bill and the Senate amendment would approve the budget request.

The conferees agree to authorize an increase of $81.8 million to procure an additional 48 Paladin retrofits to equip two additional National Guard battalions and to retrofit the fire control processor for 340 systems.

*Improved Recovery Vehicle*

The budget request included $23.5 million to procure nine M88A1E1 Improved Recovery Vehicles (IRV).

The House bill would approve the budget request.

The Senate amendment would authorize an increase of $33.9 million to procure an additional 12 IRVs.

The House recedes.

*M1 Abrams tank upgrade program*

The budget request included $473.8 million for 100 M1A2 tank upgrades for the Army.

The House bill would approve the budget request.

The Senate amendment would authorize an increase of $110.0 million for 24 additional M1A2 tank upgrades and, in accordance with the Statement of Managers accompanying the National Defense Authorization Act of Fiscal Year 1995 (H. Rept. 103-701), would direct the Army to transfer 24 M1A1 tanks to the Marine Corps Reserve.

The House recedes.

The conferees continue to support a multiyear procurement for M1A2 tank upgrades, as authorized in the National Defense Authorization Act of Fiscal Year 1995. However, the conferees agree with guidance and direction to the Army Acquisition Executive (AAE) regarding the need to maintain an appropriate balance between the heavy and medium portions of the tracked combat vehicle fleets, included in the Senate report (S. Rept. 104-112). The conferees expect the AAE to comply with that guidance and direction.

*Mark-19 universal mounting bracket*

The budget request included $1.4 million for program modifications under $2.0 million.

The Senate amendment would recommend an increase of $1.5 million to begin initial production of a nondevelopmental universal bracket.

The House bill would authorize the budget request.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees encourage the Army to reprogram funds to provide $1.5 million to initiate production of a nondevelopmental universal mounting bracket for the Mark-19 automatic grenade launcher.

The conferees provide $.5 million in PE 64802A to type classify this bracket.

*Overview*

The budget request for fiscal year 1996 contained an authorization of $795.0 million for Ammunition Procurement, Army in the Department of Defense. The House bill would authorize $1,062.7 million. The Senate amendment would authorize $1,120.1 million. The conferees recommended an authorization of $1,093.9 million. Unless noted explicitly in the statement of managers, all changes are made without prejudice.

| Line No | Title | FY 1996 Request Quantity | FY 1996 Request Amount | House Authorized Quantity | House Authorized Amount | Senate Authorized Quantity | Senate Authorized Amount | Change to Request Quantity | Change to Request Amount | Conference Agreement Quantity | Conference Agreement Amount |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY | | | | | | | | | | |
| | AMMUNITION | | | | | | | | | | |
| | SMALL/MEDIUM CAL AMMUNITION | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | CTG, 5.56MM, ALL TYPES | | 58,579 | | 58,579 | | 58,579 | | | | 58,579 |
| 2 | CTG, 7.62MM, ALL TYPES | | 2,573 | | 12,573 | | 12,573 | | 5,000 | | 7,573 |
| 3 | CTG, 9MM, ALL TYPES | | 3,837 | | 3,837 | | 3,837 | | | | 3,837 |
| 4 | CTG, .50 CAL, ALL TYPES | | 27,584 | | 27,584 | | 27,584 | | | | 27,584 |
| 5 | CTG, 20MM, ALL TYPES | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 6 | CTG, 25MM, ALL TYPES | | 35,139 | | 55,139 | | 35,139 | | 35,000 | | 70,139 |
| 6a | CTG, 25MM, HEIT M792 | | - | | | | 20,000 | | | | |
| 7 | CTG, 30MM, ALL TYPES | | 4,289 | | 4,289 | | 4,289 | | | | 4,289 |
| 8 | CTG, 40MM, ALL TYPES | | 40,278 | | 50,278 | | 40,278 | | 10,000 | | 50,278 |
| | CTG, 40MM, M430A1 | | - | | | | 10,000 | | | | |
| | MORTAR AMMUNITION | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | CTG MORTAR 60MM 1/10 PRAC M766 | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 10 | CTG MORTAR 60MM ILLUM M721 | 31 | 13,021 | | 23,021 | | 20,021 | | 10,000 | | 23,021 |
| 11 | CTG MORTAR 81MM PRAC 1/10 RANGE M880 | | - | | 6,600 | | - | | 6,600 | | 6,600 |
| 12 | CTG MORTAR 120MM FULL RANGE PRACTICE XM931 | 45 | 18,768 | | 18,768 | | 18,768 | | | 45 | 18,768 |
| 13 | CTG MORTAR 120MM HE XM933 W/PD FUZE | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 14 | CTG MORTAR 120MM SMOKE XM929 W/MO FUZE | 44 | 47,704 | | 69,704 | | 67,704 | | 20,000 | | 67,704 |
| | TANK AMMUNITION | | | | | | | | | | |
| 15 | CTG TANK 35MM SUBCAL PRAC M968 | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 16 | CTG 120MM APFSDS-T M829A2 | | - | | 82,100 | | 87,100 | | 82,100 | | 82,100 |
| 17 | CTG 120MM HEAT-MP-T M830A1 | | - | | - | | 20,000 | | | | - |
| 18 | CTG TANK 120MM TP-T M831/M831A1 | 41 | 29,400 | | 29,400 | | 29,400 | | | 41 | 29,400 |
| 19 | CTG TANK 120MM TPCSDS-T M865 | 136 | 91,041 | | 91,041 | | 91,041 | | | 136 | 91,041 |
| | ARTILLERY AMMUNITION | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | CTG ARTY 75MM BLANK M337A1 | 102 | 3,749 | | 3,749 | | 3,749 | | (2,249) | 102 | 1,500 |
| 21 | CTG ARTY 105MM DPICM XM915 | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 22 | CTG ARTY 105MM HERA M913 | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 23 | PROJ ARTY 155MM SMOKE WP M825 | | 10,607 | | 10,607 | | 10,607 | | (5,475) | | 5,132 |
| 24 | PROJ ARTY 155MM HE M795 | 75 | 37,040 | | 57,040 | | 57,040 | | 20,000 | 75 | 57,040 |
| 25 | PROJ ARTY 155MM SADARM XM898 | 77 | 24,284 | | 24,284 | | 24,284 | | 18,000 | | 42,284 |
| 26 | PROJ ARTY 155MM PRAC M804 | | - | | 22,000 | | - | | | | - |
| | MINES | | | | | | | | | | |
| 27 | MINE, TRAINING, ALL TYPES | | 3,853 | | 3,853 | | 3,853 | | | | 3,853 |
| 28 | MINE AT/AP M87 (VOLCANO) | | - | | 30,000 | | 30,000 | | 30,000 | | 30,000 |
| 29 | WIDE AREA MINE | 134 | 15,000 | | 15,000 | | 15,000 | | | 134 | 15,000 |
| | ROCKETS | | | | | | | | | | |
| 30 | BUNKER DEFEATING MUNITION (BDM) | | - | | 15,000 | | 15,000 | | 15,000 | | 15,000 |
| 31 | ROCKET, HYDRA 70, ALL TYPES | | 28,087 | | 48,087 | | 48,087 | | | | 28,087 |
| | OTHER AMMUNITION | | | | | | | | | | |
| 32 | DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES | | 26,269 | | 26,269 | | 32,269 | | 6,000 | | 32,269 |
| 33 | GRENADES, ALL TYPES | | 27,496 | | 27,496 | | 27,496 | | | | 27,496 |
| 34 | SIGNALS, ALL TYPES | | 18,314 | | 18,314 | | 18,314 | | | | 18,314 |
| 35 | SIMULATORS, ALL TYPES | | 6,070 | | 6,070 | | 6,070 | | | | 6,070 |
| 35a | SELECTABLE LIGHTWEIGHT ATTACK MUNITIONS, XM94 | | | | - | | 11,000 | | | | - |
| | MISCELLANEOUS | | | | | | | | | | |
| 36 | AMMO COMPONENTS, ALL TYPES | | 4,100 | | 4,100 | | 4,100 | | | | 4,100 |

| Line No | Title | FY 1996 Request Quantity | FY 1996 Request Amount | House Authorized Quantity | House Authorized Amount | Senate Authorized Quantity | Senate Authorized Amount | Change to Request Quantity | Change to Request Amount | Conference Agreement Quantity | Conference Agreement Amount |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 37 | M483 TO M864 CONVERSION | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 38 | UPGRADE/IMPROVEMENT OF AT4 | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 39 | CAD/PAD ALL TYPES | | 3,523 | | 3,523 | | 3,523 | | | | 3,523 |
| 40 | ITEMS LESS THAN $2 MILLION | | 855 | | 855 | | 855 | | | | 855 |
| 41 | EOD EXPLOSIVE ITEMS | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 42 | AMMUNITION PECULIAR EQUIPMENT | | 5,000 | | 5,000 | | 5,000 | | | | 5,000 |
| 43 | FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION (AMMO) | | 3,925 | | 3,925 | | 3,925 | | | | 3,925 |
| | AMMUNITION PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| | PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT | | | | | | | | | | |
| 44 | PROVISION OF INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES | | 41,906 | | 41,906 | | 41,906 | | | | 41,906 |
| 45 | COMPONENTS FOR PROVE-OUT | | 1,456 | | 1,456 | | 1,456 | | | | 1,456 |
| 46 | LAYAWAY OF INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES | | 13,663 | | 13,663 | | 13,663 | | | | 13,663 |
| 47 | PROVING GROUND MODERNIZATION | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 48 | MAINTENANCE OF INACTIVE FACILITIES | | 51,325 | | 51,325 | | 51,325 | | | | 51,325 |
| 48a | ARMAMENT RETOOLING & MANUFACTURING SUPPORT (ARMS) | | | | - | | 45,000 | | 45,000 | | 45,000 |
| 49 | CONVENTIONAL AMMO DEMILITARIZATION | | 96,280 | | 96,280 | | 100,280 | | 4,000 | | 100,280 |
| 50 | FLEXIBLE MANUFACTURING CENTERS | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | **PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY-TOTAL** | | 795,015 | | 1,062,715 | | 1,120,115 | | 298,976 | | 1,093,991 |

*Overview*

The budget request for fiscal year 1996 contained an authorization of $2,256.6 million for Other Procurement, Army in the Department of Defense. The House bill would authorize $2,545.6 million. The Senate amendment would authorize $2,811.1 million. The conferees recommended an authorization of $2,763.4 million. Unless noted explicitly in the statement of managers, all changes are made without prejudice.

| Line No | Title | FY 1996 Request Quantity | FY 1996 Request Amount | House Authorized Quantity | House Authorized Amount | Senate Authorized Quantity | Senate Authorized Amount | Change to Request Quantity | Change to Request Amount | Conference Agreement Quantity | Conference Agreement Amount |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY | | | | | | | | | | |
| | TACTICAL AND SUPPORT VEHICLES | | | | | | | | | | |
| | TACTICAL VEHICLES | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | TACTICAL TRAILERS/DOLLY SETS | | 13,803 | | 13,803 | | 13,803 | | | | 13,803 |
| 2 | SEMITRAILER FB BB/CONT TRANS 22 1/2 T | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 3 | SEMITRAILER, TANK, 5000G | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 4 | SEMITRAILER VAN CGO SUPPLY 12T 4WHL M129A2 | 58 | 3,179 | | 3,179 | | 3,179 | | | 58 | 3,179 |
| 5 | HI MOB MULTI-PURP WHLD VEH (HMMWV)(MYP) | 546 | 57,690 | | 96,690 | 1846 | 129,690 | | 72,000 | 1846 | 129,690 |
| 6 | FAMILY OF MEDIUM TACTICAL VEH (MYP) | | 39,692 | | 149,692 | | 149,692 | | 110,000 | | 149,692 |
| 7 | HEAVY EQUIPMENT TRANSPORTER SYS | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 8 | FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES (MYP) | | 596 | | 100,596 | | 125,596 | | 125,000 | | 125,596 |
| 9 | ARMORED SECURITY VEHICLES (COMBAT SPT) TAC | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 10 | MEDIUM TRUCK EXTENDED SVC PGM(ESP) (PREV S | | - | | - | | 30,000 | | 20,000 | | 20,000 |
| 11 | MODIFICATION OF IN SVC EQUIP | | 2,802 | | 2,802 | | 2,802 | | | | 2,802 |
| 12 | ITEMS LESS THAN $2.0M (TAC VEH) | | 200 | | 200 | | 200 | | | | 200 |
| | NON-TACTICAL VEHICLES | | | | | | | | | | |
| 13 | PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES | 41 | 994 | 41 | 994 | 41 | 994 | | | 41 | 994 |
| 14 | GENERAL PURPOSE VEHICLES | | 993 | | 993 | | 993 | | | | 993 |
| 15 | SPECIAL PURPOSE VEHICLES | | 993 | | 993 | | 993 | | | | 993 |
| | SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | SYSTEM FIELDING SUPPORT PEO | | 4,189 | | 4,189 | | 4,189 | | | | 4,189 |
| 17 | PROJECT MANAGEMENT SUPPORT | | 697 | | 697 | | 697 | | | | 697 |
| 18 | SYSTEM FIELDING SUPPORT (TACOM) | | 2,000 | | 2,000 | | 2,000 | | | | 2,000 |
| 19 | SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| | COMM - JOINT COMMUNICATIONS | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | JCSE EQUIPMENT (USREDCOM) | | 2,271 | | 2,271 | | 2,271 | | | | 2,271 |
| | COMM - SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS | | | | | | | | | | |
| 21 | DEFENSE SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM | | 78,232 | | 78,232 | | 78,232 | | | | 78,232 |
| 22 | SAT TERM, EMUT | 618 | 17,498 | 618 | 17,498 | 618 | 17,498 | | | 618 | 17,498 |
| 23 | NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM | 15,025 | 32,502 | 15025 | 32,502 | 15025 | 32,502 | | 17,500 | | 50,002 |
| 24 | GROUND COMMAND POST | | 1,049 | | 1,049 | | 1,049 | | | | 1,049 |
| 25 | SMART-T | | 66,714 | | 66,714 | | 66,714 | | | | 66,714 |
| 26 | SCAMP | | 25,816 | | 25,816 | | 25,816 | | | | 25,816 |
| 27 | MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (TAC SAT) | | 4,166 | | 4,166 | | 4,166 | | | | 4,166 |
| | COMM - COMBAT SUPPORT COMM | | | | | | | | | | |
| 28 | MSE MOD IN SERVICE | | 14,683 | | 14,683 | | 14,683 | | | | 14,683 |
| | COMM - C3 SYSTEM | | | | | | | | | | |
| 29 | COMMAND CENTER IMPROVEMENT PROG (CCIP) | | 920 | | 920 | | 920 | | | | 920 |
| 30 | SOUTHCOM C3 UPGRADE | | 11,424 | | 11,424 | | 11,424 | | | | 11,424 |
| 31 | STD THEATER CMD & CONTROL SYS (STACCS) | | 14,526 | | 14,526 | | 17,826 | | | | 14,526 |
| | COMM - COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS | | | | | | | | | | |
| 32 | ARMY DATA DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (ADDS) | | 19,968 | | 44,968 | | 44,968 | | 25,000 | | 44,968 |
| 33 | MOBILE SUBSCRIBER EQUIP (MSE) | | 3,477 | | 3,477 | | 3,477 | | | | 3,477 |
| 34 | SINCGARS FAMILY | | 310,620 | | 310,620 | | 364,720 | | 54,100 | | 364,720 |
| 35 | SW ASIA COMM INFRASTRUCTURE | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 36 | EAC COMMUNICATIONS | | 5,896 | | 5,896 | | 45,896 | | 40,000 | | 45,896 |
| 37 | MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (EAC COMM) | | 11,637 | | 11,637 | | 11,637 | | | | 11,637 |
| 38 | TAC RADIO | 700 | 24,803 | 700 | 24,803 | 700 | 24,803 | | | 700 | 24,803 |

| Line No | Title | FY 1996 Request Quantity | FY 1996 Request Amount | House Authorized Quantity | House Authorized Amount | Senate Authorized Quantity | Senate Authorized Amount | Change to Request Quantity | Change to Request Amount | Conference Agreement Quantity | Conference Agreement Amount |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 39 | C-E CONTINGENCY/FIELDING EQUIP | | 5,108 | | 5,108 | | 5,108 | | | | 5,108 |
| | INFORMATION SECUTITY | | | | | | | | | | |
| 40 | TSEC - INFORMATION SYSTEM SECURITY | | 11,105 | | 11,105 | | 11,105 | | | | 11,105 |
| 41 | TSEC - JCSE EQIP | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | COMM - LONG HAUL COMMUNICATIONS | | | | | | | | | | |
| 42 | TERRESTRIAL TRANSMISSION | | 9,596 | | 9,596 | | 9,596 | | | | 9,596 |
| 43 | BASE SUPPORT COMMUNICATIONS | | 2,205 | | 2,205 | | 2,205 | | | | 2,205 |
| 44 | DEFENSE DATA NETWORK (DDN) | | 4,927 | | 4,927 | | 4,927 | | | | 4,927 |
| 45 | ELECTROMAG COMP PROG (EMCP) | | 498 | | 498 | | 498 | | | | 498 |
| 46 | WW TECH CON IMP PROG (WWTCIP) | | 4,811 | | 4,811 | | 4,811 | | | | 4,811 |
| | COMM - BASE COMMUNICATIONS | | | | | | | | | | |
| 47 | INFORMATION SYSTEMS | | 64,142 | | 64,142 | | 64,142 | | (12,000) | | 52,142 |
| 48 | DEFENSE MESSAGE SYSTEM (DMS) | | 7,963 | | 7,963 | | 10,763 | | | | 7,963 |
| 49 | LOCAL AREA NETWORK (LAN) | | 61,547 | | 61,547 | | 61,547 | | (10,000) | | 51,547 |
| 50 | PENTAGON TELECOM CTR (PTC) | | 2,741 | | 2,741 | | 2,741 | | | | 2,741 |
| | ELECT EQUIP - NAT FOR INT PROG (NFIP) | | | | | | | | | | |
| 51 | FOREIGN COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROG (FCI) | | 536 | | 536 | | 536 | | | | 536 |
| 52 | GENERAL DEFENSE INTELL PROG (GDIP) | | 29,409 | | 29,409 | | 29,409 | | (5,221) | | 24,188 |
| 53 | ITEMS LESS THAN $2.0M (INTEL SPT) - TIARA | | 2,826 | | 2,826 | | 2,826 | | | | 2,826 |
| | ELECT EQUIP - TACT INT REL ACT (TIARA) | | | | | | | | | | |
| 54 | ALL SOURCE ANALYSIS SYS (ASAS) (TIARA) | | 9,886 | | 9,886 | | 16,286 | | | | 9,886 |
| 55 | COMMANDERS TACTICAL TERM (CTT) (TIARA) | 33 | 11,314 | | 30,014 | | 30,014 | | 18,700 | | 30,014 |
| 56 | HF COMINT SYSTEM (TIARA) | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 57 | IEW - GND BASE COMMON SENSORS (TIARA) | | 46,937 | | 46,937 | | 46,937 | | | | 46,937 |
| 58 | DEFENSE AIRBORNE RECONN PROGRAM (DARP) | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 59 | JOINT STARS (ARMY) (TIARA) | | 82,984 | | 82,984 | | 82,984 | | | | 82,984 |
| 60 | DIGITAL TOPOGRAPHIC SPT SYS (DTSS) (TIARA) | 5 | 6,954 | 5 | 6,954 | 5 | 6,954 | | | 5 | 6,954 |
| 61 | DRUG INTERDICTION PROGRAM (DIP) (TIARA) | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 62 | TACTICAL EXPLOITATION OF NATIONAL CAPABILI | | 4,617 | | 4,617 | | 4,617 | | | | 4,617 |
| 63 | JOINT TACTICAL GROUND STATION | | 30,914 | | - | | 30,914 | | | | 30,914 |
| 64 | TROJAN (TIARA) | | 19,313 | | 19,313 | | 19,313 | | | | 19,313 |
| 65 | MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (INTEL SPT) (TIARA) | | 19,491 | | 19,491 | | 19,491 | | | | 19,491 |
| 66 | ITEMS LESS THAN $2.0M (TIARA) | | 517 | | 517 | | 517 | | | | 517 |
| | ELECT EQUIP - ELECTRONIC WARFARE (EW) | | | | | | | | | | |
| 67 | MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (EW) | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 68 | COUNTERINTELLIGENCE/SECURITY COUNTERMEASUR | | 2,582 | | 2,582 | | 2,582 | | | | 2,582 |
| | ELECT EQUIP - TACTICAL SURV. (TAC SURV) | | | | | | | | | | |
| 69 | LT SPEC DIV INTERIM SENSOR (LSDIS) | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 70 | FAAD GBS | | 44,678 | | 63,878 | | 63,878 | | 19,200 | | 63,878 |
| 71 | NIGHT VISION DEVICES | | 77,132 | | 77,132 | | 85,132 | | 8,000 | | 85,132 |
| 72 | PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEMS | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 73 | ARTILLERY ACCURACY EQUIP | | 12,364 | | 12,364 | | 12,364 | | | | 12,364 |
| 74 | MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (TAC SURV) | | 26,860 | | 26,860 | | 26,860 | | | | 26,860 |
| 75 | LIGHTWEIGHT LEADER COMPUTER (LLC) | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 76 | COMPUTER BALLISTICS: MORTAR XM-23 | | 5,019 | | 5,019 | | 5,019 | | | | 5,019 |
| 77 | INTEGRATED MET SYS SENSORS (IMETS) - TIARA | 12 | 7,029 | 12 | 7,029 | 12 | 7,029 | | | 12 | 7,029 |
| | ELECT EQUIP - TACTICAL C2 SYSTEMS | | | | | | | | | | |
| 78 | ADV FIELD ARTILLERY TACT DATA SYS (AFATDS) | 221 | 30,897 | 221 | 30,897 | 221 | 30,897 | | (1,500) | 221 | 29,397 |
| 79 | FIRE SUPPORT ADA CONVERSION | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |

| Line No | Title | FY 1996 Request Quantity | FY 1996 Request Amount | House Authorized Quantity | House Authorized Amount | Senate Authorized Quantity | Senate Authorized Amount | Change to Request Quantity | Change to Request Amount | Conference Agreement Quantity | Conference Agreement Amount |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 80 | INITIAL FIRE SPT AUTOMATIC SYSTEM (IFSAS) | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 81 | CMBT SVC SUPT CONTROL SYS (CSSCS) | 29 | 5,915 | 29 | 5,915 | 29 | 5,915 | | | 29 | 5,915 |
| 82 | CORPS/THEATER ADP SVC CTR (CTASC) | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 83 | FAAD C2 | 5 | 32,942 | 5 | 32,942 | 5 | 32,942 | | 7,400 | 5 | 40,342 |
| 84 | FORWARD ENTRY DEVICE (FED) | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 85 | COMMON HARDWARE SOFTWARE | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| 86 | LIFE CYCLE SOFTWARE SUPPORT (LCSS) | | 2,096 | | 2,096 | | 2,096 | | | | 2,096 |
| 87 | LOGTECH | | 4,534 | | 4,534 | | 4,534 | | | | 4,534 |
| 88 | ISYSCON EQUIPMENT | | 13,178 | | 13,178 | | 13,178 | | | | 13,178 |
| 89 | MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM (MCS) | | 13,808 | | 13,808 | | 18,808 | | 5,000 | | 18,808 |
| 90 | STAMIS TACTICAL COMPUTERS (STACOMP) | 1,830 | 23,465 | 1830 | 23,465 | 1830 | 23,465 | | | 1830 | 23,465 |
| 91 | STANDARD INTEGRATED CMD POST SYSTEM | | 28,914 | | 28,914 | | 28,914 | | | | 28,914 |
| | ELECT EQUIP - AUTOMATION | | | | | | | | | | |
| 92 | AUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING EQUIP | | 132,751 | | 120,751 | | 132,751 | | | | 132,751 |
| 93 | RESERVE COMPONENT AUTOMATION SYS (RCAS) | | 83,174 | | 83,174 | | 83,174 | | | | 83,174 |
| | ELECT EQUIP - AUDIO VISUAL SYS (A/V) | | | | | | | | | | |
| 94 | AFRTS | | 2,586 | | 2,586 | | 2,586 | | | | 2,586 |
| 95 | ITEMS LESS THAN $2.0M (A/V) | | 5,102 | | 5,102 | | 5,102 | | | | 5,102 |
| | ELECT EQUIP-TEST MEAS&DIAG EQUIP (TMDE) | | | | | | | | | | |
| 96 | CALIBRATION SETS EQUIPMENT | | 11,457 | | 11,457 | | 11,457 | | | | 11,457 |
| 97 | INTEGRATED FAMILY OF TEST EQUIP (IFTE) | | 26,449 | | 46,449 | | 26,449 | | 18,500 | | 44,949 |
| 98 | TMDE MODERNIZATION (TMOD) | | 9,470 | | 9,470 | | 9,470 | | | | 9,470 |
| | ELECT EQUIP - SUPPORT | | | | | | | | | | |
| 99 | INITIAL SPARES - PEO CCS | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 100 | INITIAL SPARES - PEO COMM | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 101 | INITIAL SPARES - PEO IEW | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 102 | INITIAL SPARES - PEO STAMIS | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 103 | INITIAL SPARES - NON PEO | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 104 | ARMY PRINTING AND BINDING EQUIPMENT | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 105 | INSTALLATION C4 UPGRADE (ICU) | | 1,762 | | 1,762 | | 1,762 | | | | 1,762 |
| 106 | PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (C-E) | | 717 | | 717 | | 717 | | | | 717 |
| | OTHER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| | CHEMICAL DEFENSIVE EQUIPMENT | | | | | | | | | | |
| 107 | SIMP COLL PROT EQUIP M20 | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 108 | COLL PROT EQUIP, NBC TEMPER, TENT M28 | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 109 | MASK, PROTECTIVE, NBC M40/M42 | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 110 | REMOTE SENSING CHEMICAL AGENT ALARM XM21 | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 111 | IMPROVED CHEMICAL AGENT MONITOR | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 112 | AUTO CHEMICAL AGENT ALARM (ACADA), XM22 | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 113 | DECONTAMINATE APP PWR DR LT WT M17 | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 114 | GEN SMK MECH:MTRZD DUAL PURP XM56 | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 115 | GENERATOR, SMOKE, MECH XM58 | 34 | 12,698 | 34 | 12,698 | 34 | 12,698 | | | 34 | 12,698 |
| 116 | GEN SET, SMOKE, MECH: PUL JET,XM157 | 170 | 5,214 | 170 | 5,214 | 170 | 5,214 | | | 170 | 5,214 |
| 117 | RADIATION MONITORING SYSTEM (OPA-3) | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 118 | JOINT BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | BRIDGING EQUIPMENT | | | | | | | | | | |
| 119 | RIBBON BRIDGE | | 3,828 | | 3,828 | | 3,828 | | | | 3,828 |
| | ENGINEER (NON-CONSTRUCTION) EQUIPMENT | | | | | | | | | | |
| 120 | DISPENSER, MINE M139 | | 953 | | 953 | | 953 | | | | 953 |

| Line No | Title | FY 1996 Request Quantity | FY 1996 Request Amount | House Authorized Quantity | House Authorized Amount | Senate Authorized Quantity | Senate Authorized Amount | Change to Request Quantity | Change to Request Amount | Conference Agreement Quantity | Conference Agreement Amount |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 121 | METALLIC MINE DETECTOR, VEHICLE MOUNTED | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | COMBAT SERVICE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | | | | | | | | | |
| 122 | AIR CONDITIONERS VARIOUS SIZE/CAPACITY | | 3,176 | | 3,176 | | 3,176 | | | | 3,176 |
| 123 | STANDARD INTEGRATED CMD POST SYSTEM | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 124 | CHEM/BIO PROTECTIVE SHELTER | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 125 | SPACE HEATER | 290 | 1,440 | 290 | 1,440 | 290 | 1,440 | | - | 290 | 1,440 |
| 126 | SOLDIER ENHANCEMENT | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 127 | FORCE PROVIDER | 2 | 12,275 | 2 | 12,275 | 2 | 12,275 | | | 2 | 12,275 |
| 128 | REFRIGERATION EQUIPMENT | | 2,562 | | 2,562 | | 2,562 | | | | 2,562 |
| 129 | ITEMS LESS THAN $2.0M (CSS-EQ) | | 2,222 | | 2,222 | | 2,222 | | | | 2,222 |
| | PETROLEUM EQUIPMENT | | | | | | | | | | |
| 130 | LAB PETROLEUM MODULAR BASE | 1 | 2,786 | 1 | 2,786 | 1 | 2,786 | | | 1 | 2,786 |
| 131 | INLAND PETROLEUM DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM | | 1,115 | | 1,115 | | 1,115 | | | | 1,115 |
| 132 | HEMTT AVIATION REFUELING SYSTEM | 21 | 546 | 21 | 546 | 21 | 546 | | | 21 | 546 |
| 133 | ITEMS LESS THAN $2.0M (POL) | | 5,537 | | 5,537 | | 5,537 | | (837) | | 4,700 |
| | WATER EQUIPMENT | | | | | | | | | | |
| 134 | FWD AREA WTR POINT SUP SYSTEM | 148 | 2,692 | 148 | 2,692 | 148 | 2,692 | | | 148 | 2,692 |
| 135 | SMALL MOBILE WATER CHILLER (SMWC) | 387 | 3,953 | 387 | 3,953 | 387 | 3,953 | | | 387 | 3,953 |
| 136 | ITEMS LESS THAN $2.0M (WATER EQ) | | 2,394 | | 2,394 | | 2,394 | | | | 2,394 |
| | MEDICAL EQUIPMENT | | | | | | | | | | |
| 137 | COMBAT SUPPORT MEDICAL | | 14,310 | | 14,310 | | 14,310 | | | | 14,310 |
| | MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT | | | | | | | | | | |
| 138 | SHOP EQ CONTACT MAINTENANCE TRK MTD (MYP) | 71 | 1,778 | 71 | 1,778 | 71 | 1,778 | | | 71 | 1,778 |
| 139 | TOOL OUTFIT HYDRAULIC REPAIR 3/4 TRL MTD | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 140 | ITEMS LESS THAN $2.0M (MAINT EQ) | | 1,450 | | 1,450 | | 1,450 | | | | 1,450 |
| | CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT | | | | | | | | | | |
| 141 | COMPACTOR HI-SPEED TAMP SELF PROP (CCE) | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 142 | ROLLER, VIBRATORY, SELF-PROPELLED (CCE) | 47 | 7,115 | 47 | 7,115 | 47 | 7,115 | | | 47 | 7,115 |
| 143 | DEPLOYABLE UNIVERSAL COMBAT EARTH MOVERS | 18 | 9,938 | 18 | 9,938 | 18 | 9,938 | | | 18 | 9,938 |
| 144 | CRANE, WHEEL MTD, 25T, 3/4 CU YD, RT | 7 | 1,987 | 7 | 1,987 | 7 | 1,987 | | | 7 | 1,987 |
| 145 | ITEMS LESS THAN $2.0M (CONST EQUIP) | | 1,981 | | 1,981 | | 1,981 | | | | 1,981 |
| | RAIL FLOAT CONTAINERIZATION EQUIPMENT | | | | | | | | | | |
| 146 | PUSHER TUG, SMALL | 1 | 3,576 | 1 | 3,576 | 1 | 3,576 | | | 1 | 3,576 |
| 147 | FLOATING CRANE, 100-250 TON | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 148 | CAUSEWAY SYSTEMS | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 149 | RAILWAY CAR, FLAT, 100 TON | 238 | 11,767 | 238 | 11,767 | 238 | 11,767 | | | 238 | 11,767 |
| 150 | ITEMS LESS THAN $2.0M (FLOAT/RAIL) | | 3,602 | | 3,602 | | 3,602 | | (1,000) | | 2,602 |
| | GENERATORS | | | | | | | | | | |
| 151 | GENERATORS AND ASSOCIATED EQUIP | | 13,761 | | 13,761 | | 48,761 | | | | 13,761 |
| | MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIPMENT | | | | | | | | | | |
| 152 | TRUCK, FORK LIFT, DE, PT, RT, 50000 LB | 33 | 10,928 | 33 | 10,928 | 33 | 10,928 | | | 33 | 10,928 |
| 153 | ALL TERRAIN LIFTING ARTICULATING SYSTEM | 112 | 14,403 | 112 | 14,403 | 112 | 14,403 | | | 112 | 14,403 |
| 154 | ITEMS LESS THAN $2.0M (MHE) | | 2,843 | | 2,843 | | 2,843 | | | | 2,843 |
| | TRAINING EQUIPMENT | | | | | | | | | | |
| 155 | COMBAT TRAINING CENTERS SUPPORT | | 22,208 | | 22,208 | | 22,208 | | | | 22,208 |
| 156 | TRAINING DEVICES, NONSYSTEM | | 71,561 | | 71,561 | | 71,561 | | 4,500 | | 76,061 |
| 157 | SIMNET/CLOSE COMBAT TACTICAL TRAINER | | 30,655 | | 30,655 | | 30,655 | | | | 30,655 |
| 158 | FIRE SUPPORT COMBINED ARMS TACTICAL TRAINE | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | OTHER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | | | | | | | | | |

| Line No | Title | FY 1996 Request Quantity | FY 1996 Request Amount | House Authorized Quantity | House Authorized Amount | Senate Authorized Quantity | Senate Authorized Amount | Change to Request Quantity | Change to Request Amount | Conference Agreement Quantity | Conference Agreement Amount |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 159 | RECONFIGURABLE SIMULATORS | | 12,616 | | 12,616 | | 12,616 | | | | 12,616 |
| 160 | PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEMS (OPA3) | | 6,190 | | 6,190 | | 6,190 | | | | 6,190 |
| 161 | SYSTEM FIELDING SUPPORT (OPA-3) | | 10,030 | | 10,030 | | 10,030 | | | | 10,030 |
| 162 | BASE LEVEL COM'L EQUIPMENT | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 163 | ARMS CONTROL COMPLIANCE | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 164 | COMBINED DEFENSE IMPROVEMENT PROJECT (CDIP | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| 165 | MODIFICATION OF IN-SVC EQUIPMENT (OPA-3) | | 21,911 | | 21,911 | | 21,911 | | (7,500) | | 14,411 |
| 166 | PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (OTH) | | 1,835 | | 1,835 | | 1,835 | | | | 1,835 |
| 167 | INDUSTRIAL MODERNIZATION INCENTIVE PROG | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 168 | SPECIAL EQUIPMENT FOR USER TESTING | | 9,165 | | 9,165 | | 9,165 | | | | 9,165 |
| 169 | ITEMS LESS THAN $2.0M (OTH SPT EQ) | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 170 | OPA INITIAL SPARES | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 171 | TRACTOR VAPOR | | 2,223 | | 2,223 | | 2,223 | | | | 2,223 |
| 172 | NATURAL GAS UTILIZATION | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 173 | CLOSED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | SPARE AND REPAIR PARTS | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| | OPA1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 174 | INITIAL SPARES - TSV | | 1,093 | | 1,093 | | 1,093 | | | | 1,093 |
| | OPA2 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 175 | INITIAL SPARES - C&E | | 82,994 | | 82,994 | | 82,994 | | | | 82,994 |
| | OPA3 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 176 | INITIAL SPARES - OTHER SUPPORT EQUIP | | 2,038 | | 2,038 | | 2,038 | | | | 2,038 |
| | OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY-TOTAL | | 2,256,601 | | 2,545,587 | | 2,811,101 | | 506,842 | | 2,763,443 |

*High mobility multipurpose wheeled vehicle*

The budget request included $57.7 million for 546 high mobility multipurpose wheeled vehicles (HMMWVs).

The House bill would authorize an increase of $39.0 million to procure approximately 700 additional HMMWVs.

The Senate amendment would authorize an increase of $72.0 million to procure approximately 1300 additional HMMWVs.

The House recedes.

The conferees agree that additional HMMWVs are required for both the Army and the Marine Corps, and expect the military services to include in future budget requests adequate funds to procure sufficient HMMWVs to meet validated service requirements and to meet minimum annual required production rates necessary to sustain the essential elements of the HMMWV industrial base.

*Family of heavy tactical vehicles*

The budget request included $0.6 million for the family of heavy tactical vehicles (FHTV).

The House bill would authorize an increase of $100.0 million for the FHTV program.

The Senate amendment would authorize an increase of $125.0 million for the FHTV program.

The House recedes.

The conferees agree to authorize an increase to the budget request of $125.0 million to procure the heavy tactical vehicles, as indicated below:

| | Dollars (in millions) | Quantity |
|---|---|---|
| Heavy equipment transporter | $40.0 | 83 |
| Heavy expanded mobility tactical transporter | 33.0 | 115 |
| Palletized loading system | 52.0 | 147 |

*Medium truck extended service program*

The budget request did not include funds for the medium truck extended service program (ESP).

The House bill would not authorize funds for medium truck ESP.

The Senate amendment would authorize $30.0 million for medium truck ESP.

The conferees agree to authorize $20.0 million for medium truck ESP. The conferees express their concern regarding the possibility of initiating multiple truck remanufacture programs, thereby creating excess capacity in the industry. The conferees prefer that maximum use be made of the medium truck ESP currently underway, that separate, additional procurements be kept to a minimum to avoid industrial overcapacity, and that, for future procurements, consideration be given to reliable manufacturers with demonstrated capabilities to produce military trucks.

*GUARDRAIL tactical information broadcast service*

The budget request included $48.9 million for the GUARDRAIL common sensor program.

Both the House bill and the Senate amendment would authorize funding at the requested level.

The conferees have determined that there is a need for GUARDRAIL aircraft to be equipped with improved intelligence data dissemination capability and interoperability with other intelligence data producers. Therefore, the conferees agree to authorize an increase of $9.0 million to the budget request for procurement and integration of tactical information broadcast service to provide this capability for existing GUARDRAIL aircraft.

*Nonsystem training devices*

The budget request included $71.6 million for nonsystem training devices.

The House bill and the Senate amendment authorized the request.

The conferees are concerned that the Army is currently training firefighters using fossil-fueled techniques that are not only hazardous to the trainees but, in some cases, in violation of environmental regulations. Moreover, the conferees are aware that there are computer-controlled natural gas/propane firefighter training systems, currently used by other services, that provide safe training for individuals and minimize destruction to the environment. Accordingly, the conferees authorize $4.5 million to procure an initial set of these systems.

Further, the conferees believe that the Army should develop a plan to replace current firefighting training sites in regions where multiple commands can take advantage of a single site.

*Overview*

The budget request for fiscal year 1996 contained an authorization of $3,886.5 million for Aircraft Procurement, Navy in the Department of Defense. The House bill would authorize $4,106.5 million. The Senate amendment would authorize $4,916.6 million. The conferees recommended an authorization of $4,572.4 million. Unless noted explicitly in the statement of managers, all changes are made without prejudice.

| Line No | Title | FY 1996 Request Quantity | FY 1996 Request Amount | House Authorized Quantity | House Authorized Amount | Senate Authorized Quantity | Senate Authorized Amount | Change to Request Quantity | Change to Request Amount | Conference Agreement Quantity | Conference Agreement Amount |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY | | | | | | | | | | |
| | COMBAT AIRCRAFT | | | | | | | | | | |
| | COMBAT AIRCRAFT | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | EA-6B/REMFG (ELECTRONIC WARFARE) PROWLER | | - | | - | | 180,000 | | | | - |
| 2 | AV-8B (V/STOL)HARRIER | 4 | 163,582 | 12 | 323,582 | 8 | 263,582 | 4 | 81,251 | 8 | 244,833 |
| 2 | LESS: ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (PY) | | (15,419) | | (15,419) | | (15,419) | | | | (15,419) |
| 3 | ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) | | 21,582 | | 21,582 | | 21,582 | | | | 21,582 |
| 4 | F/A-18C/D (FIGHTER) HORNET | 12 | 694,101 | 12 | 694,101 | 24 | 1,258,101 | 6 | 212,765 | 18 | 906,866 |
| 4 | LESS: ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (PY) | | (84,197) | | (84,197) | | (84,197) | | | | (84,197) |
| 5 | ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 6 | F/A-18E/F (FIGHTER) HORNET | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 6 | LESS: ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (PY) | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 7 | ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) | | 236,882 | | 236,882 | | 236,882 | | | | 236,882 |
| 8 | CH/MH-53E (HELICOPTER) SUPER STALLION | | - | | - | 3 | 90,000 | | | | - |
| 8 | LESS: ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (PY) | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 9 | V-22 (MEDIUM LIFT) | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 9 | LESS: ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (PY) | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 10 | ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) | | 48,022 | | 48,022 | | 48,022 | | | | 48,022 |
| 11 | AH-1W (HELICOPTER) SEA COBRA | | 10,385 | | 10,385 | | 10,385 | | 64,615 | 6 | 75,000 |
| 12 | SH-60B (ASW HELICOPTER) SEAHAWK | | 13,744 | | 13,744 | | 13,744 | | | | 13,744 |
| 12 | LESS: ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (PY) | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 13 | SH-60F CV (ASW HELICOPTER) | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 13 | LESS: ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (PY) | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 14 | E-2C (EARLY WARNING) HAWKEYE | 3 | 212,589 | 4 | 282,589 | 3 | 212,589 | | | 3 | 212,589 |
| 14 | LESS: ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (PY) | | (41,376) | | (41,376) | | (41,376) | | | | (41,376) |
| 15 | ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) | | 43,020 | | 43,020 | | 43,020 | | | | 43,020 |
| | TRAINER AIRCRAFT | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| | TRAINER AIRCRAFT | | | | | | | | | | - |
| 16 | T-45TS (TRAINER) GOSHAWK | 12 | 317,143 | 12 | 317,143 | 12 | 317,143 | | | 12 | 317,143 |
| 16 | LESS: ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (PY) | | (30,961) | | (30,961) | | (30,961) | | | | (30,961) |
| 17 | ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) | | 29,902 | | 29,902 | | 29,902 | | | | 29,902 |
| 17a | T-39N | | - | | - | | | | 45,000 | 17 | 45,000 |
| | OTHER AIRCRAFT | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| | OTHER AIRCRAFT | | | | | | | | | | |
| 18 | HH-60H (HELICOPTER) | | 23,750 | | 23,750 | | 23,750 | | | | 23,750 |
| | MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| | MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT | | | | | | | | | | |
| 19 | A-6 SERIES | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 20 | EA-6 SERIES | | - | | - | | 36,000 | | 165,000 | | 165,000 |
| 21 | AV-8 SERIES | | 16,007 | | 16,007 | | 16,007 | | | | 16,007 |
| 22 | F-14 SERIES | | 59,047 | | 59,047 | | 76,147 | | 42,475 | | 101,522 |
| 23 | ADVERSARY | | 153 | | 153 | | 153 | | | | 153 |
| 24 | ES-3 SERIES | | 20,608 | | 20,608 | | 20,608 | | | | 20,608 |
| 25 | F-18 SERIES | | 91,606 | | 91,606 | | 91,606 | | | | 91,606 |
| 26 | H-46 SERIES | | 83,665 | | 83,665 | | 83,665 | | | | 83,665 |
| 27 | H-53 SERIES | | 46,152 | | 46,152 | | 46,152 | | | | 46,152 |
| 28 | SH-60 SERIES | | 66,770 | | 66,770 | | 66,770 | | | | 66,770 |
| 29 | H-1 SERIES | | 54,530 | | 54,530 | | 67,530 | | 13,000 | | 67,530 |
| 30 | H-3 SERIES | | 6,975 | | 6,975 | | 6,975 | | | | 6,975 |

| Line No | Title | FY 1996 Request Quantity | FY 1996 Request Amount | House Authorized Quantity | House Authorized Amount | Senate Authorized Quantity | Senate Authorized Amount | Change to Request Quantity | Change to Request Amount | Conference Agreement Quantity | Conference Agreement Amount |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 31 | EP-3 SERIES | | 32,405 | | 32,405 | | 32,405 | | | | 32,405 |
| 32 | P-3 SERIES | | 178,557 | | 178,557 | | 178,557 | | 31,800 | | 210,357 |
| 33 | S-3 SERIES | | 40,232 | | 40,232 | | 40,232 | | | | 40,232 |
| 34 | E-2 SERIES | | 19,636 | | 19,636 | | 19,636 | | | | 19,636 |
| 35 | TRAINER A/C SERIES | | 727 | | 727 | | 727 | | | | 727 |
| 36 | C-130 SERIES | | 6,939 | | 6,939 | | 6,939 | | | | 6,939 |
| 37 | FEWSG | | 550 | | 550 | | 550 | | | | 550 |
| 38 | CARGO/TRANSPORT A/C SERIES | | 31,354 | | 31,354 | | 31,354 | | | | 31,354 |
| 39 | E-6 SERIES | | 112,904 | | 112,904 | | 112,904 | | | | 112,904 |
| 40 | EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS SERIES | | 35,965 | | 35,965 | | 35,965 | | | | 35,965 |
| 41 | T-45 SERIES | | 4,949 | | 4,949 | | 4,949 | | | | 4,949 |
| 42 | POWER PLANT CHANGES | | 17,525 | | 17,525 | | 17,525 | | | | 17,525 |
| 43 | MISC FLIGHT SAFETY CHANGES | | 167 | | 167 | | 167 | | | | 167 |
| 44 | COMMON ECM EQUIPMENT | | 4,234 | | 4,234 | | 34,234 | | 30,000 | | 34,234 |
| 45 | COMMON AVIONICS CHANGES | | 73,947 | | 73,947 | | 73,947 | | | | 73,947 |
| | AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| | AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 46 | SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | 784,782 | | 784,782 | | 784,782 | | | | 784,782 |
| | AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIE | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| | AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 47 | COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT | | 367,017 | | 357,017 | | 367,017 | | | | 367,017 |
| 48 | AIRCRAFT INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES | | 30,656 | | 30,656 | | 30,656 | | | | 30,656 |
| 49 | WAR CONSUMABLES | | 20,191 | | 20,191 | | 20,191 | | | | 20,191 |
| 50 | OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES | | 21,881 | | 21,881 | | 21,881 | | | | 21,881 |
| 51 | SPECIAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | 11,743 | | 11,743 | | 11,743 | | | | 11,743 |
| 52 | FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION | | 1,865 | | 1,865 | | 1,865 | | | | 1,865 |
| 53 | CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | AVIATION MULTIYEAR FUND | | | | - | | | | | | |
| | AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY-TOTAL | | 3,886,488 | | 4,106,488 | | 4,916,588 | | 685,906 | | 4,572,394 |

*AV-8B remanufacture*

The budget request included $148.2 million for the remanufacture of four Marine Corps AV-8B aircraft.

The House bill would add $160.0 million for the remanufacture of eight additional aircraft.

The Senate amendment would authorize an additional $100.0 million for the remanufacture of four more aircraft.

The conferees agree to authorize a total of $229.4 million, $81.3 million above the budget request, for the remanufacture of four additional aircraft.

*Electronic warfare*

The budget request included no funds to either expand the Navy's fleet of EA-6B Block 89 aircraft to accommodate the retirement of the EF-111 jammer aircraft or to improve the capabilities of the existing Block 89 EA-6B fleet.

The House bill would approve the budget request.

The Senate amendment would authorize $216.0 million to modernize airborne electronic warfare (EW) capabilities of the EA-6B Block 89 aircraft and to expand the number of Block 89 aircraft by 20.

The conferees agree that modernization of the Department's tactical electronic warfare aircraft fleet is a priority item of special interest. Accordingly, the conferees agree to authorize $165.0 million to initiate procurement of EA-6B modifications, as set forth below:

(1) $100.0 million to modernize up to 20 older EA-6B Block 82 aircraft to the newer Block 89 configuration to offset EF-111 retirements;

(2) $40.0 million to procure 60 band 9/10 transmitters; and

(3) $25.0 million for 30 USQ-113 enhanced radio countermeasure sets.

The conferees also authorize an increase of $10.0 million to Navy EW development (PE 64270N), to develop a low-cost, reactive jamming capability for the EA-6B. The conferees are especially interested in the Navy's completion of an affordable upgrade to the EA-6B reactive processor capability.

The conferees note the inconsistent nature of the Navy's actions regarding airborne tactical EW in recent years and are deeply concerned with the Navy's vacillating commitment and support for meaningful upgrades to the EA-6B aircraft. Accordingly, the Secretary of the Navy is directed to:

(1) initiate the EA-6B modifications identified above.

(2) provide the congressional defense committees with the following:

(a) a program and budget plan for completing the directed modifications.

(b) the Joint Tactical Airborne EW Study (JTAEWS).

In addition, the conferees agree that the Secretary of the Navy shall not obligate more than 75 percent of funds appropriated for procurement of the F/A-18C/D for fiscal year 1996 until he has accomplished the actions specified above.

*F-14 modifications*

The budget request included $59.0 million for F-14 modifications. This amount did not include any funds for a forward-looking infrared (FLIR)/laser designator system for the F-14. The budget request included $25.4 million in research and development funds for a precision strike upgrade, an effort to integrate the joint direct attack munition (JDAM) into the F-14.

The House bill would approve the budget request for F-14 modifications.

After completion of the House bill, the Navy informed the Senate that the requirements validation process had documented an operational requirement for a FLIR/laser designator system for the F-14, in lieu of the JDAM integration. The Senate considered this requirement to be a high priority for carrier operations. Therefore, the Senate amendment would authorize an increase of $17.1 million for F-14 aircraft modifications in fiscal year 1996. This action was taken with the understanding that the Department of Defense would provide funding for the system in future budget requests.

The conferees agree to provide $101.5 million for F-14 modifications, with an increase of $42.5 million provided for the FLIR/laser designator effort. The conferees also agree to reduce the F-14 research and development request by $25.4 million.

Additionally, the conferees agree to invite the Navy to reprogram funds originally authorized for JDAM integration into the FLIR/laser designator procurement effort, to expedite meeting the need for improving F-14 strike capability.

*Overview*

The budget request for fiscal year 1996 contained an authorization of $1,787.1 million for Weapons Procurement, Navy in the Department of Defense. The House bill would authorize $1,626.4 million. The Senate amendment would authorize $1,771.4 million. The conferees recommended an authorization of $1,659.8 million. Unless noted explicitly in the statement of managers, all changes are made without prejudice.

| Line No | Title | FY 1996 Request Quantity | FY 1996 Request Amount | House Authorized Quantity | House Authorized Amount | Senate Authorized Quantity | Senate Authorized Amount | Change to Request Quantity | Change to Request Amount | Conference Agreement Quantity | Conference Agreement Amount |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY | | | | | | | | | | |
| | BALLISTIC MISSILES | | | | | | | | | | |
| | BALLISTIC MISSILES | | - | | - | | - | | | | |
| 1 | TRIDENT I | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 2 | TRIDENT II | 6 | 343,392 | 6 | 343,392 | 6 | 343,392 | | | 6 | 343,392 |
| 2 | LESS: ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (PY) | | (15,960) | | (15,960) | | (15,960) | | | | (15,960) |
| 3 | ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) | | 190,920 | | 190,920 | | 190,920 | | | | 190,920 |
| | SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 4 | MISSILE INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES | | 2,199 | | 2,199 | | 2,199 | | | | 2,199 |
| | OTHER MISSILES | | | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | STRATEGIC MISSILES | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 5 | TOMAHAWK | 164 | 161,727 | 164 | 161,727 | 164 | 120,027 | | (41,700) | 164 | 120,027 |
| | TACTICAL MISSILES | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 6 | AMRAAM | 115 | 81,691 | 115 | 81,691 | 115 | 77,691 | | (4,200) | 115 | 77,491 |
| 7 | HARPOON | 30 | 46,368 | 30 | 86,368 | 30 | 46,368 | | 40,000 | 75 | 86,368 |
| 8 | JSOW | | 26,218 | | 26,218 | | 26,218 | | | | 26,218 |
| 9 | STANDARD MISSILE | 151 | 231,540 | 151 | 231,540 | 151 | 231,540 | | | 151 | 231,540 |
| 10 | RAM | 230 | 69,208 | 230 | 69,208 | 230 | 69,208 | | | 230 | 69,208 |
| 11 | HELLFIRE | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 12 | AERIAL TARGETS | | 68,620 | | 68,620 | | 68,620 | | | | 68,620 |
| 13 | DRONES AND DECOYS | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 14 | OTHER MISSILE SUPPORT | | 22,203 | | 22,203 | | 22,203 | | | | 22,203 |
| | MODIFICATION OF MISSILES | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 15 | TOMAHAWK MODS | | 684 | | 684 | | 684 | | 49,316 | | 50,000 |
| 16 | SPARROW MODS | | 4,338 | | 4,338 | | 4,338 | | | | 4,338 |
| 17 | SIDEWINDER MODS | | 17,861 | | 17,861 | | 17,861 | | | | 17,861 |
| 18 | HARPOON MODS | | 4,370 | | 4,370 | | 4,370 | | | | 4,370 |
| 19 | HARM MODS | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 20 | STANDARD MISSILES MODS | | 35,055 | | 35,055 | | 35,055 | | | | 35,055 |
| | SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 21 | WEAPONS INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES | | 13,094 | | 13,094 | | 13,094 | | 30,000 | | 43,094 |
| 22 | FLEET SATELLITE COMM (MYP) | | 51,764 | | 51,764 | | 81,764 | | | | 51,764 |
| | ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 23 | ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | 5,012 | | 5,012 | | 5,012 | | | | 5,012 |
| | TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| | TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 24 | MK-48 ADCAP TORPEDO (MYP) | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 24 | LESS: ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (PY) | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 25 | MK-50 ALWT | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 26 | ASW TARGETS | | 652 | | 652 | | 652 | | | | 652 |
| 27 | VERTICAL LAUNCHED ASROC (VLA) | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 27 | LESS: ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (PY) | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | MOD OF TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIP | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 28 | MK-46 TORPEDO MODS | | 3,613 | | 3,613 | | 3,613 | | | | 3,613 |
| 29 | MK-48 TORPEDO ADCAP MODS | | 61,022 | | 61,022 | | 61,022 | | | | 61,022 |
| 30 | QUICKSTRIKE MINE | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 31 | TORPEDO SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | 31,237 | | 31,237 | | 31,237 | | | - | 31,237 |
| 32 | ASW RANGE SUPPORT | | 18,128 | | 18,128 | | 18,128 | | | - | 18,128 |

| Line No | Title | FY 1996 Request Quantity | FY 1996 Request Amount | House Authorized Quantity | House Authorized Amount | Senate Authorized Quantity | Senate Authorized Amount | Change to Request Quantity | Change to Request Amount | Conference Agreement Quantity | Conference Agreement Amount |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 33 | FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION | | 4,032 | | 4,032 | | 4,032 | | | | 4,032 |
| | OTHER WEAPONS | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| | GUNS AND GUN MOUNTS | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 34 | SMALL ARMS AND WEAPONS | | 922 | | 922 | | 922 | | | | 922 |
| | MODIFICATION OF GUNS AND GUN MOUNTS | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 35 | CIWS MODS | | 37,328 | | 37,328 | | 37,328 | | | | 37,328 |
| 36 | 5/54 GUN MOUNT MODS | | 2,605 | | 2,605 | | 2,605 | | | | 2,605 |
| 37 | MK-75 76MM GUN MOUNT MODS | | 901 | | 901 | | 901 | | | | 901 |
| 38 | MODS UNDER $2 MILLION | | 1,645 | | 1,645 | | 1,645 | | | | 1,645 |
| | OTHER | | | | | | | | | | |
| 39 | CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | OTHER ORDNANCE | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| | AIR LAUNCHED ORDNANCE | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 40 | GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS | | 46,142 | | - | | 46,142 | | (46,142) | | - |
| 41 | 2.75 INCH ROCKETS | | 14,806 | | - | | 14,806 | | (14,806) | | - |
| 42 | MACHINE GUN AMMUNITION | | 11,469 | | - | | 11,469 | | (11,469) | | - |
| 43 | PRACTICE BOMBS | | 11,195 | | - | | 11,195 | | (11,195) | | - |
| 44 | CARTRIDGES & CART ACTUATED DEVICES | | 17,974 | | - | | 17,974 | | (17,974) | | - |
| 45 | AIRCRAFT ESCAPE ROCKETS | | 10,586 | | - | | 10,586 | | (10,586) | | - |
| 46 | AIR EXPENDABLE COUNTERMEASURES | | 22,828 | | - | | 22,828 | | (22,828) | | - |
| 47 | MARINE LOCATION MARKERS | | 871 | | - | | 871 | | (871) | | - |
| 48 | JATOS | | 4,940 | | - | | 4,940 | | (4,940) | | - |
| | SHIP ORDNANCE | | | | - | | | | | | |
| 49 | 5 INCH/54 GUN AMMUNITION | | 21,501 | | - | | 21,501 | | (21,501) | | |
| 50 | CIWS AMMUNITION | | 93 | | - | | 93 | | (93) | | - |
| 51 | 76MM GUN AMMUNITION | | 6,432 | | - | | 6,432 | | (6,432) | | - |
| 52 | OTHER SHIP GUN AMMUNITION | | 5,148 | | - | | 5,148 | | (5,148) | | - |
| | OTHER ORDNANCE | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 53 | SMALL ARMS & LANDING PARTY AMMO | | 5,814 | | - | | 5,814 | | (5,814) | | - |
| 54 | PYROTECHNIC AND DEMOLITION | | 11,253 | | - | | 11,253 | | (11,253) | | - |
| 55 | MINE NEUTRALIZATION DEVICES | | 787 | | - | | 787 | | (787) | | - |
| 56 | SHIP EXPENDABLE COUNTERMEASURES | | 8,871 | | - | | 8,871 | | (8,871) | | - |
| | SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| | SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 57 | SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | 64,022 | | 64,022 | | 64,022 | | | | 64,022 |
| | **WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY-TOTAL** | | 1,787,121 | | 1,626,411 | | 1,771,421 | | (127,294) | | 1,659,827 |

*Overview*

The budget request for fiscal year 1996 contained an authorization of $5,051.9 million for Shipbuilding and Conversion Procurement, Navy in the Department of Defense. The House bill would authorize $6,227.9 million. The Senate amendment would authorize $7,111.9 million. The conferees recommended an authorization of $6,643.9 million. Unless noted explicitly in the statement of managers, all changes are made without prejudice.

| Line No | Title | FY 1996 Request Quantity | FY 1996 Request Amount | House Authorized Quantity | House Authorized Amount | Senate Authorized Quantity | Senate Authorized Amount | Change to Request Quantity | Change to Request Amount | Conference Agreement Quantity | Conference Agreement Amount |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | SHIPBUILDING & CONVERSION, NAVY | | | | | | | | | | |
| | OTHER WARSHIPS | | | | | | | | | | |
| | OTHER WARSHIPS | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | CARRIER REPLACEMENT PROGRAM | | - | | - | | - | | | | |
| 1 | LESS: ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (PY) | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 2 | SSN-21 | 1 | 1,876,102 | | 368,625 | 1 | 1,876,102 | | (807,477) | 1 | 1,068,625 |
| 2 | LESS: ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (PY) | | (368,625) | | (368,625) | | (368,625) | | | | (368,625) |
| 3 | NEW SSN | | 704,498 | | 704,498 | | 814,498 | | 100,000 | | 804,498 |
| 3a | ENHANCED SSN CAPABILITIES | | - | | 1,000,000 | | | | | | - |
| 4 | CVN REFUELING OVERHAULS | | 221,988 | | 221,988 | | 221,988 | | | | 221,988 |
| 5 | CGN REFUELING OVERHAULS | | 1,719 | | 1,719 | | 1,719 | | | | 1,719 |
| 5 | LESS: ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (PY) | | (1,719) | | (1,719) | | (1,719) | | | | (1,719) |
| 6 | DDG-51 | 2 | 2,162,457 | 3 | 2,812,457 | 4 | 2,812,457 | | | 3 | 2,162,457 |
| 6 | LESS: ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (PY) | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 7 | ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) | | 6,800 | | 6,800 | | 6,800 | | | | 6,800 |
| | AMPHIBIOUS SHIPS | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| | AMPHIBIOUS SHIPS | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 8 | LHD-1 AMPHIBIOUS ASSAULT SHIP (MYP) | | - | | - | 1 | 1,400,000 | | 1,400,000 | 1 | 1,400,000 |
| 8 | LESS: ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (PY) | | - | | - | | (100,000) | | (100,000) | | (100,000) |
| 8a | LPD-17 | | | | 974,000 | | - | | 974,000 | 1 | 974,000 |
| 9 | ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | MINE WARFARE AND PATROL SHIPS | | | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | MINE WARFARE AND PATROL SHIPS | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 10 | MCS CONV | | - | | - | | - | | | | |
| 10a | FAST PATROL CRAFT | | - | | 9,500 | | | | 9,500 | | 9,500 |
| | AUXILIARIES, CRAFT, AND PRIOR-YEAR PROGR | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| | AUXILIARIES, CRAFT AND PRIOR YEAR PROGRAM COS | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 11 | AE(C) | 2 | 62,130 | 2 | 62,130 | 2 | 62,130 | | | 2 | 62,130 |
| 12 | OCEANOGRAPHIC SHIPS | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 12a | T-AGS 64 | | - | | 70,000 | | | | 16,000 | | 16,000 |
| 12b | LSD-52 SELF DEFENSE | | | | | | | | 20,000 | | 20,000 |
| 13 | SERVICE CRAFT | | 16,996 | | 16,996 | | 16,996 | | | | 16,996 |
| 14 | OUTFITTING | | 144,791 | | 134,791 | | 144,791 | | (10,000) | | 134,791 |
| 15 | POST DELIVERY | | 174,991 | | 164,991 | | 174,991 | | (10,000) | | 164,991 |
| 16 | AFS (C) | 2 | 47,096 | 2 | 47,096 | 2 | 47,096 | | | 2 | 47,096 |
| 17 | FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION | | 2,711 | | 2,711 | | 2,711 | | | | 2,711 |
| 18 | SSN MAIN STEAM CONDENSERS | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | SHIPBUILDING & CONVERSION, NAVY-TOTAL | | 5,051,935 | | 6,227,958 | | 7,111,935 | | 1,592,023 | | 6,643,958 |

*Overview*

The budget request for fiscal year 1996 contained no authorization for Ammunition Procurement, Navy and Marine Corps in the Department of Defense. The House bill would authorize $461.8 million. The Senate amendment contained no authorization. The conferees recommended an authorization of $430.1 million. Unless noted explicitly in the statement of managers, all changes are made without prejudice.

| Line No | Title | FY 1996 Request Quantity | FY 1996 Request Amount | House Authorized Quantity | House Authorized Amount | Senate Authorized Quantity | Senate Authorized Amount | Change to Request Quantity | Change to Request Amount | Conference Agreement Quantity | Conference Agreement Amount |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, NAVY & MARINE CORP | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PROC AMMO, NAVY | | | | | | | | | | |
| | NAVY AMMUNITION | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS | | - | | 46,142 | | - | | 43,000 | | 43,000 |
| 2 | 2.75 INCH ROCKETS | | - | | 14,806 | | - | | 14,806 | | 14,806 |
| 3 | MACHINE GUN AMMUNITION | | - | | 11,469 | | - | | 11,469 | | 11,469 |
| 4 | PRACTICE BOMBS | | - | | 11,195 | | - | | 19,000 | | 19,000 |
| 5 | CARTRIDGES & CART ACTUATED DEVICES | | - | | 17,974 | | - | | 17,974 | | 17,974 |
| 6 | AIRCRAFT ESCAPE ROCKETS | | - | | 10,586 | | - | | 10,586 | | 10,586 |
| 7 | AIR EXPENDABLE COUNTERMEASURES | | - | | 22,828 | | - | | 24,828 | | 24,828 |
| 8 | MARINE LOCATION MARKERS | | - | | 871 | | - | | 871 | | 871 |
| 9 | DEFENSE NUCLEAR AGENCY MATERIAL | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 10 | JATOS | | - | | 4,940 | | - | | 4,940 | | 4,940 |
| | NAVY AMMUNITION | | | | | | - | | | | |
| 11 | 5 INCH/54 GUN AMMUNITION | | - | | 51,701 | | - | | 36,000 | | 36,000 |
| 12 | CIWS AMMUNITION | | - | | 93 | | - | | 93 | | 93 |
| 13 | 76MM GUN AMMUNITION | | - | | 6,432 | | - | | 6,432 | | 6,432 |
| 14 | OTHER SHIP GUN AMMUNITION | | - | | 5,148 | | - | | 10,148 | | 10,148 |
| | NAVY AMMUNITION | | | | | | - | | | | |
| 15 | SMALL ARMS & LANDING PARTY AMMO | | - | | 5,814 | | - | | 5,814 | | 5,814 |
| 16 | PYROTECHNIC AND DEMOLITION | | - | | 11,253 | | - | | 11,253 | | 11,253 |
| 17 | MINE NEUTRALIZATION DEVICES | | - | | 787 | | - | | 787 | | 787 |
| 18 | SHIP EXPENDABLE COUNTERMEASURES | | - | | 8,871 | | - | | 8,871 | | 8,871 |
| | PROC AMMO, MC | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| | MARINE CORPS AMMUNITION | | | | | | - | | | | |
| 19 | 5.56 MM, ALL TYPES | | - | | 28,487 | | - | | 28,487 | | 28,487 |
| 20 | 7.62 MM, ALL TYPES | | - | | 12,082 | | - | | 12,082 | | 12,082 |
| 21 | .50 CALIBER | | - | | 66,688 | | - | | 45,000 | | 45,000 |
| 22 | 40 MM, ALL TYPES | | - | | 3,939 | | - | | 3,939 | | 3,939 |
| 23 | 60 MM HE M888 | | - | | 9,855 | | - | | 9,855 | | 9,855 |
| 24 | 81 MM HE | | - | | 4,724 | | - | | 14,724 | | 14,724 |
| 25 | 81 MM SMOKE SCREEN | | - | | 5,445 | | - | | 5,445 | | 5,445 |
| 26 | 81MM ILLUMINATION (M853) | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| 26a | 81MM ILLUMINATION (M816) | | - | | 6,700 | | | | 6,700 | | 6,700 |
| 27 | 120MM TPCSDS-T M865 | | - | | 8,902 | | - | | 8,902 | | 8,902 |
| 28 | 120 MM TP-T M831 | | - | | 3,314 | | - | | 3,314 | | 3,314 |
| | 155MM CHG, PROP, RED BAG | | | | | | | | 16,000 | | 16,000 |
| | 155MM PROP CHARGE M203A1 | | | | 32,000 | | - | | - | | - |
| 29 | FUZE, ET, XM762 | | - | | 10,000 | | - | | 10,000 | | 10,000 |
| 30 | CTG 25MM, ALL TYPES | | - | | 6,724 | | - | | 6,724 | | 6,724 |
| 31 | 9 MM ALL TYPES | | - | | 2,979 | | - | | 2,979 | | 2,979 |
| 32 | ROCKETS, ALL TYPES | | - | | 7,034 | | - | | 7,034 | | 7,034 |
| 33 | AMMO MODERNIZATION | | - | | 9,611 | | - | | 9,611 | | 9,611 |
| | GRENADES, ALL TYPES | | | | 1,174 | | | | 1,174 | | 1,174 |
| | MARINE CORPS AMMUNITION | | | | | | - | | | | |
| 34 | ITEMS LESS THAN $2 MIL | | - | | 11,211 | | - | | 11,211 | | 11,211 |
| | PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, NAVY & MARINE CORP | | - | | 461,779 | | - | | 430,053 | | 430,053 |

*Overview*

The budget request for fiscal year 1996 contained an authorization of $2,396.1 million for Other Procurement, Navy in the Department of Defense. The House bill would authorize $2,461.5 million. The Senate amendment would authorize $2,471.9 million. The conferees recommended an authorization of $2,414.8 million. Unless noted explicitly in the statement of managers, all changes are made without prejudice.

| Line No | Title | FY 1996 Request Quantity | FY 1996 Request Amount | House Authorized Quantity | House Authorized Amount | Senate Authorized Quantity | Senate Authorized Amount | Change to Request Quantity | Change to Request Amount | Conference Agreement Quantity | Conference Agreement Amount |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY | | | | | | | | | | |
| | SHIPS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | | | | | | | | | |
| | SHIP PROPULSION EQUIPMENT | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | LM-2500 GAS TURBINE | | 7,973 | | 7,973 | | 7,973 | | | | 7,973 |
| 2 | ALLISON 501K GAS TURBINE | | 7,143 | | 7,143 | | 7,143 | | | | 7,143 |
| 3 | STEAM PROPULSION IMPROVEMENT | | 781 | | 781 | | 781 | | | | 781 |
| 4 | OTHER PROPULSION EQUIPMENT | | 3,185 | | 3,185 | | 3,185 | | | | 3,185 |
| | GENERATORS | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 5 | OTHER GENERATORS | | 7,754 | | 7,754 | | 7,754 | | | | 7,754 |
| | PUMPS | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 6 | OTHER PUMPS | | 1,014 | | 1,014 | | 1,014 | | | | 1,014 |
| 7 | SUBMARINE PUMP RETROFIT KITS | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | AIR COMPRESSORS | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 8 | HIGH PRESSURE AIR COMPRESSORS | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | PROPELLERS | | | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 9 | SUBMARINE PROPELLERS | | - | | - | | - | | | | |
| 10 | OTHER PROPELLERS AND SHAFTS | | 1,543 | | 1,543 | | 1,543 | | | | 1,543 |
| | NAVIGATION EQUIPMENT | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 11 | ELEC SUSPENDED GYRO NAVIGATOR | | 4,108 | | - | | 1,608 | | (4,108) | | - |
| 12 | OTHER NAVIGATION EQUIPMENT | | 17,688 | | 27,688 | | 27,688 | | 10,000 | | 27,688 |
| | UNDERWAY REPLENISHMENT EQUIPMENT | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 13 | UNDERWAY REPLENISHMENT EQUIPMENT | | 14,008 | | 14,008 | | 14,008 | | | | 14,008 |
| | PERISCOPES | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 14 | SUB PERISCOPES & IMAGING EQUIP | | 24,157 | | 24,157 | | 24,157 | | | | 24,157 |
| | OTHER SHIPBOARD EQUIPMENT | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 15 | FIREFIGHTING EQUIPMENT | | 19,652 | | 19,652 | | 19,652 | | | | 19,652 |
| 16 | COMMAND AND CONTROL SWITCHBOARD | | 4,702 | | 4,702 | | 4,702 | | | | 4,702 |
| 17 | POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT | | 104,493 | | 104,493 | | 104,493 | | | | 104,493 |
| 18 | SUBMARINE SILENCING EQUIPMENT | | 4,539 | | 4,539 | | 4,539 | | | | 4,539 |
| 19 | SURFACE SHIP SILENCING EQUIPMENT | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 20 | SUBMARINE BATTERIES | | 7,347 | | 7,347 | | 7,347 | | | | 7,347 |
| 21 | SSN21 CLASS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | 4,982 | | 4,982 | | 4,982 | | | | 4,982 |
| 22 | STRATEGIC PLATFORM SUPPORT EQUIP | | 4,500 | | 4,500 | | 4,500 | | | | 4,500 |
| 23 | DSSP EQUIPMENT | | 6,622 | | 6,622 | | 6,622 | | | | 6,622 |
| 24 | MINESWEEPING EQUIPMENT | | 12,985 | | 12,985 | | 12,985 | | (6,000) | | 6,985 |
| 25 | HM&E ITEMS UNDER $2 MILLION | | 43,389 | | 33,389 | | 43,389 | | (10,000) | | 33,389 |
| 26 | LASER ARTICULATION ROBOTIC SYSTEM (LARS) | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 27 | SURFACE IMA | | 1,407 | | 1,407 | | 1,407 | | | | 1,407 |
| 28 | DEGAUSSING EQUIPMENT | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 29 | RADIOLOGICAL CONTROLS | | 151 | | 151 | | 151 | | | | 151 |
| 30 | MINI/MICROMINI ELECTRONIC REPAIR | | 990 | | 990 | | 990 | | | | 990 |
| 31 | SUBMARINE LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEM | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | REACTOR PLANT EQUIPMENT | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 32 | REACTOR POWER UNITS | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 33 | REACTOR COMPONENTS | | 187,943 | | 187,943 | | 187,943 | | | | 187,943 |
| | OCEAN ENGINEERING | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 34 | DIVING AND SALVAGE EQUIPMENT | | 8,234 | | 8,234 | | 8,234 | | | | 8,234 |
| 35 | NAVAL SPECIAL WARFARE EQUIPMENT | | 4,784 | | 4,784 | | 4,784 | | | | 4,784 |
| | SMALL BOATS | | | | - | | - | | | | |

| Line No | Title | FY 1996 Request Quantity | FY 1996 Request Amount | House Authorized Quantity | House Authorized Amount | Senate Authorized Quantity | Senate Authorized Amount | Change to Request Quantity | Change to Request Amount | Conference Agreement Quantity | Conference Agreement Amount |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 36 | STANDARD BOATS | | 8,072 | | 8,072 | | 8,072 | | | | 8,072 |
| | TRAINING EQUIPMENT | | | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 37 | OTHER SHIPS TRAINING EQUIPMENT | | 5,388 | | 5,388 | | 5,388 | | | | 5,388 |
| | PRODUCTION FACILITIES EQUIPMENT | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 38 | PRODUCTION SUPPORT FACILITIES | | 3,258 | | 3,258 | | 3,258 | | | | 3,258 |
| 39 | OPERATING FORCES IPE | | 821 | | 821 | | 821 | | | | 821 |
| | OTHER SHIP SUPPORT | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 40 | NUCLEAR ALTERATIONS | | 120,452 | | 120,452 | | 120,452 | | | | 120,452 |
| 41 | FLEET MODERNIZATION PROGRAM | | - | | 3,000 | | - | | 3,000 | | 3,000 |
| | COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| | SHIP RADARS | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 42 | AN/SPS-40 | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 43 | AN/SPS-48 | | 2,167 | | 2,167 | | 2,167 | | | | 2,167 |
| 44 | AN/SPS-49 | | 10,038 | | 10,038 | | 10,038 | | | | 10,038 |
| 45 | AN/SYS-0 | | 311 | | 311 | | 311 | | | | 311 |
| 46 | MK-23 TARGET ACQUISITION SYSTEM | | 5,283 | | 5,283 | | 5,283 | | | | 5,283 |
| 47 | RADAR SUPPORT | | 466 | | 9,466 | | 466 | | 9,000 | | 9,466 |
| 48 | SURFACE ELECTRO-OPTICAL SYSTEM | | 3,542 | | 3,542 | | 3,542 | | | | 3,542 |
| | SHIP SONARS | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 49 | SURFACE SONAR SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | 9,349 | | 9,349 | | 9,349 | | | | 9,349 |
| 50 | AN/SQQ-89 SURF ASW COMBAT SYSTEM | | 30,297 | | 30,297 | | 30,297 | | (5,000) | | 25,297 |
| 51 | SSN ACOUSTICS | | 42,269 | | 42,269 | | 42,269 | | | | 42,269 |
| 52 | SURFACE SONAR WINDOWS AND DOME | | - | | 6,000 | | - | | 6,000 | | 6,000 |
| 53 | SONAR SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | 25,836 | | 25,836 | | 25,836 | | | | 25,836 |
| 54 | SONAR SWITCHES AND TRANSDUCERS | | 9,069 | | 9,069 | | 9,069 | | | | 9,069 |
| 55 | FBM SYSTEM SONARS | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | ASW ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 56 | SUBMARINE ACOUSTIC WARFARE SYSTEM | | 7,973 | | 7,973 | | 7,973 | | | | 7,973 |
| 57 | SSTD | | 13,751 | | 13,751 | | 13,751 | | | | 13,751 |
| 58 | ACOUSTIC COMMUNICATIONS | | 225 | | 225 | | 225 | | | | 225 |
| 59 | SOSUS | | 19,725 | | 19,725 | | 19,725 | | | | 19,725 |
| 60 | AN/SQR-18 TOWED ARRAY SONAR | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 61 | SURTASS | | 18,513 | | 18,513 | | 18,513 | | | | 18,513 |
| 62 | ASW OPERATIONS CENTER | | 8,358 | | 8,358 | | 8,358 | | | | 8,358 |
| 63 | CARRIER ASW MODULE | | 169 | | 169 | | 169 | | | | 169 |
| | ELECTRONIC WARFARE EQUIPMENT | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 64 | AN/SLQ-32 | | 19,076 | | 19,076 | | 42,076 | | | | 19,076 |
| 65 | AN/WLR-1 | | 2,898 | | 2,898 | | 2,898 | | | | 2,898 |
| 66 | AN/WLR-8 | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 67 | ICAD SYSTEMS | | 1,449 | | 1,449 | | 1,449 | | | | 1,449 |
| 68 | EW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | 8,351 | | 8,351 | | 8,351 | | | | 8,351 |
| 69 | C-3 COUNTERMEASURES | | 9,540 | | 9,540 | | 9,540 | | 15,000 | | 24,540 |
| | RECONNAISSANCE EQUIPMENT | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 70 | COMBAT DF | | 4,967 | | 4,967 | | 4,967 | | | | 4,967 |
| 71 | OUTBOARD | | 1,505 | | 1,505 | | 1,505 | | | | 1,505 |
| 72 | BATTLE GROUP PASSIVE HORIZON EXTEN | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | SUBMARINE SURVEILLANCE EQUIPMENT | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 73 | AN/WLQ-4 | | 2,977 | | 2,977 | | 2,977 | | | | 2,977 |
| 74 | SUBMARINE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT PROG | | 4,432 | | 4,432 | | 4,432 | | | | 4,432 |

| Line No | Title | FY 1996 Request Quantity | FY 1996 Request Amount | House Authorized Quantity | House Authorized Amount | Senate Authorized Quantity | Senate Authorized Amount | Change to Request Quantity | Change to Request Amount | Conference Agreement Quantity | Conference Agreement Amount |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | OTHER SHIP ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 75 | NAVY TACTICAL DATA SYSTEM | | 301 | | 301 | | 301 | | | | 301 |
| 76 | TACTICAL FLAG COMMAND CENTER | | 15,330 | | 15,330 | | 15,330 | | | | 15,330 |
| 77 | NAVAL TACTICAL COMMAND SUPPORT SYSTEM (NTCSS) | | 31,380 | | 31,380 | | 31,380 | | | | 31,380 |
| 78 | LINK 16 HARDWARE | | 15,452 | | 15,452 | | 15,452 | | | | 15,452 |
| 79 | MINESWEEPING SYSTEM REPLACEMENT | | 5,019 | | 5,019 | | 5,019 | | | | 5,019 |
| 80 | SHALLOW WATER MCM | | 398 | | 398 | | 398 | | | | 398 |
| 81 | EMSP (MYP) | | 26,100 | | 26,100 | | 26,100 | | | | 26,100 |
| 82 | NAVSTAR GPS RECEIVERS | | 1,487 | | 1,487 | | 1,487 | | | | 1,487 |
| 83 | HF LINK-11 DATA TERMINALS | | 3,578 | | 3,578 | | 3,578 | | | | 3,578 |
| 84 | ARMED FORCES RADIO AND TV | | 3,549 | | 3,549 | | 3,549 | | | | 3,549 |
| 85 | STRATEGIC PLATFORM SUPPORT EQUIP | | 10,007 | | 10,007 | | 10,007 | | | | 10,007 |
| | TRAINING EQUIPMENT | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 86 | OTHER SPAWAR TRAINING EQUIPMENT | | 2,298 | | 2,298 | | 2,298 | | | | 2,298 |
| 87 | OTHER TRAINING EQUIPMENT | | 11,602 | | 11,602 | | 11,602 | | | | 11,602 |
| | AVIATION ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 88 | MATCALS | | 1,588 | | 1,588 | | 1,588 | | | | 1,588 |
| 89 | SHIPBOARD AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL | | 7,704 | | 7,704 | | 7,704 | | | | 7,704 |
| 90 | AUTOMATIC CARRIER LANDING SYSTEM | | 6,659 | | 6,659 | | 6,659 | | | | 6,659 |
| 91 | NATIONAL AIR SPACE SYSTEM | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 92 | TACAN | | 28 | | 28 | | 28 | | | | 28 |
| 93 | AIR STATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | 5,801 | | 5,801 | | 5,801 | | | | 5,801 |
| 94 | MICROWAVE LANDING SYSTEM | | 507 | | 507 | | 507 | | | | 507 |
| 95 | FACSFAC | | 6,388 | | 6,388 | | 6,388 | | | | 6,388 |
| 96 | ID SYSTEMS | | 10,202 | | 10,202 | | 10,202 | | (500) | | 9,702 |
| 97 | SURFACE IDENTIFICATION SYSTEMS | | 10,248 | | 10,248 | | 10,248 | | | | 10,248 |
| | OTHER SHORE ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT | | | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 98 | TADIX-B | | 4,450 | | 4,450 | | 4,450 | | | | 4,450 |
| 99 | NAVAL SPACE SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 100 | NATIONAL IMAGERY SUPPORT | | 1,292 | | 1,292 | | 1,292 | | | | 1,292 |
| 101 | NCCS ASHORE | | 7,730 | | 7,730 | | 7,730 | | | | 7,730 |
| 102 | RADIAC | | 4,877 | | 4,877 | | 4,877 | | | | 4,877 |
| 103 | GPETE | | 13,452 | | 13,452 | | 13,452 | | | | 13,452 |
| 104 | INTEG COMBAT SYSTEM TEST FACILITY | | 6,164 | | 6,164 | | 6,164 | | | | 6,164 |
| 105 | CALIBRATION STANDARDS | | 2,384 | | 2,384 | | 2,384 | | | | 2,384 |
| 106 | EMI CONTROL INSTRUMENTATION | | 5,917 | | 5,917 | | 5,917 | | | | 5,917 |
| 107 | SHORE ELEC ITEMS UNDER $2 MILLION | | 8,558 | | 8,558 | | 8,558 | | | | 8,558 |
| | SHIPBOARD COMMUNICATIONS | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 108 | SHIPBOARD TACTICAL COMMUNICATIONS | | 6,635 | | 6,635 | | 10,135 | | 6,300 | | 12,935 |
| 109 | PORTABLE RADIOS | | 1,436 | | 1,436 | | 1,436 | | | | 1,436 |
| 110 | SINCGARS | | 3,132 | | 3,132 | | 3,132 | | | | 3,132 |
| 111 | SHIP COMMUNICATIONS AUTOMATION | | 6,110 | | 6,110 | | 6,110 | | | | 6,110 |
| 112 | SHIP COMM ITEMS UNDER $2 MILLION | | 11,104 | | 11,104 | | 11,104 | | | | 11,104 |
| | SUBMARINE COMMUNICATIONS | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 113 | SHORE LF/VLF COMMUNICATIONS | | 4,288 | | 4,288 | | 4,288 | | | | 4,288 |
| 114 | SUBMARINE COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT | | 17,961 | | 17,961 | | 17,961 | | | | 17,961 |
| | SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 115 | SATCOM SHIP TERMINALS | | 98,099 | | 98,099 | | 112,499 | | 14,400 | | 112,499 |
| 116 | SATCOM SHORE TERMINALS | | 12,228 | | 12,228 | | 12,228 | | | | 12,228 |

| Line No | Title | FY 1996 Request Quantity | FY 1996 Request Amount | House Authorized Quantity | House Authorized Amount | Senate Authorized Quantity | Senate Authorized Amount | Change to Request Quantity | Change to Request Amount | Conference Agreement Quantity | Conference Agreement Amount |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | SHORE COMMUNICATIONS | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 117 | JCS COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT | | 1,551 | | 1,551 | | 1,551 | | | | 1,551 |
| 118 | ELECTRICAL POWER SYSTEMS | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 119 | SHORE HF COMMUNICATIONS | | 795 | | 795 | | 795 | | | | 795 |
| 120 | WWMCCS COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT | | 2,361 | | 2,361 | | 2,361 | | | | 2,361 |
| 121 | NAVAL SHORE COMMUNICATIONS | | 34,160 | | 34,160 | | 34,160 | | | | 34,160 |
| | CRYPTOGRAPHIC EQUIPMENT | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 122 | SECURE VOICE SYSTEM | | 4,204 | | 4,204 | | 4,204 | | | | 4,204 |
| 123 | SECURE DATA SYSTEM | | 8,636 | | 8,636 | | 8,636 | | (2,599) | | 6,037 |
| 124 | KEY MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS | | 12,913 | | 12,913 | | 12,913 | | | | 12,913 |
| 125 | SIGNAL SECURITY | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 126 | CRYPTOGRAPHIC ITEMS UNDER $2 MILL | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | CRYPTOLOGIC EQUIPMENT | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 127 | CRYPTOLOGIC COMMUNICATIONS EQUIP | | 5,925 | | 5,925 | | 5,925 | | | | 5,925 |
| 128 | CRYPTOLOGIC ITEMS UNDER $2 MILLION | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 129 | CRYPTOLOGIC FIELD TRAINING EQUIP | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | OTHER ELECTRONIC SUPPORT | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 130 | ELEC ENGINEERED MAINT (NAVSEA) | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 131 | ELECT ENGINEERED MAINTENANCE | | 1,781 | | 1,781 | | 1,781 | | | | 1,781 |
| | DRUG INTERDICTION SUPPORT | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 132 | OTHER DRUG INTERDICTION SUPPORT | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | SONOBUOYS | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 133 | AN/SSQ-36 (BT) | | - | | - | | 200 | | 200 | | 200 |
| 134 | AN/SSQ-53 (DIFAR) | | 8,902 | | - | | - | | (8,902) | | - |
| 135 | AN/SSQ-62 (DICASS) | | - | | | | 4,100 | | 4,090 | | 4,090 |
| 136 | AN/SSQ-110 (EER) | | - | | 8,902 | | 21,900 | | 21,910 | | 21,910 |
| 137 | AN/SSQ-86 (DLC) | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 138 | SIGNAL, UNDERWATER SOUND (SUS) | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | AIR LAUNCHED ORDNANCE | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 139 | CARTRIDGES & CART ACTUATED DEVELOP | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 140 | AIRCRAFT ESCAPE ROCKETS | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 141 | AIR EXPENDABLE COUNTERMEASURES | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 142 | MARINE LOCATION MARKERS | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 143 | DEFENSE NUCLEAR AGENCY MATERIAL | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 144 | JATOS | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 145 | WEAPONS RANGE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | 40,280 | | 40,280 | | 40,280 | | | | 40,280 |
| 146 | EXPEDITIONARY AIRFIELDS | | 4,924 | | 4,924 | | 4,924 | | | | 4,924 |
| 147 | AIRCRAFT REARMING EQUIPMENT | | 7,505 | | 7,505 | | 7,505 | | | | 7,505 |
| 148 | CATAPULTS & ARRESTING GEAR | | 15,876 | | 15,876 | | 15,876 | | | | 15,876 |
| 149 | METEOROLOGICAL EQUIPMENT | | 20,196 | | 20,196 | | 20,196 | | | | 20,196 |
| 150 | OTHER PHOTOGRAPHIC EQUIPMENT | | 732 | | 732 | | 732 | | | | 732 |
| 151 | AVIATION LIFE SUPPORT | | 17,708 | | 17,708 | | 17,708 | | | | 17,708 |
| 152 | AIRBORNE MINE COUNTERMEASURES | | 19,506 | | 19,506 | | 19,506 | | | | 19,506 |
| 153 | LAMPS MK III SHIPBOARD EQUIPMENT | | 17,914 | | 17,914 | | 17,914 | | (1,200) | | 16,714 |
| 154 | REWSON PHOTOGRAPHIC EQUIPMENT | | 612 | | 612 | | 612 | | | | 612 |
| 155 | JSIPS-N | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 156 | STOCK SURVEILLANCE EQUIPMENT | | 1,518 | | 1,518 | | 1,518 | | | | 1,518 |

| Line No | Title | FY 1996 Request Quantity | FY 1996 Request Amount | House Authorized Quantity | House Authorized Amount | Senate Authorized Quantity | Senate Authorized Amount | Change to Request Quantity | Change to Request Amount | Conference Agreement Quantity | Conference Agreement Amount |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 157 | OTHER AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | 12,577 | | 12,577 | | 12,577 | | | | 12,577 |
| | ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| | SHIP GUN SYSTEM EQUIPMENT | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 158 | GUN FIRE CONTROL EQUIPMENT | | 4,076 | | 4,076 | | 4,076 | | | | 4,076 |
| | SHIP MISSILE SYSTEMS EQUIPMENT | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 159 | MK-92 FIRE CONTROL SYSTEM | | 739 | | 739 | | 739 | | | | 739 |
| 160 | HARPOON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | 2,952 | | 2,952 | | 2,952 | | | | 2,952 |
| 161 | TARTAR SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 162 | POINT DEFENSE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 163 | AIRBORNE ECM/ECCM | | 520 | | 520 | | 520 | | | | 520 |
| 164 | ENGAGEMENT SYSTEMS SUPPORT | | 24,994 | | 24,994 | | 24,994 | | | | 24,994 |
| 165 | NATO SEASPARROW | | 6,619 | | 6,619 | | 6,619 | | | | 6,619 |
| 166 | RAM GMLS | | 50,037 | | 50,037 | | 50,037 | | | | 50,037 |
| 167 | SHIP SELF DEFENSE SYSTEM | | 15,643 | | 15,643 | | 15,643 | | | | 15,643 |
| 168 | AEGIS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | 64,288 | | 64,288 | | 64,288 | | | | 64,288 |
| 169 | SURFACE TOMAHAWK SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | 71,293 | | 71,293 | | 71,293 | | (10,000) | | 61,293 |
| 170 | SUBMARINE TOMAHAWK SUPPORT EQUIP | | 1,391 | | 1,391 | | 1,391 | | | | 1,391 |
| 171 | VERTICAL LAUNCH SYSTEMS | | 10,617 | | 10,617 | | 8,700 | | | | 10,617 |
| | FBM SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 172 | STRATEGIC PLATFORM SUPPORT EQUIP | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 173 | STRATEGIC MISSILE SYSTEMS EQUIP | | 106,189 | | 137,689 | | 106,189 | | | | 106,189 |
| | ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 174 | MK-117 FIRE CONTROL SYSTEM | | 12,917 | | 12,917 | | 12,917 | | | | 12,917 |
| 175 | SUBMARINE ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | 6,730 | | 6,730 | | 6,730 | | | | 6,730 |
| 176 | SURFACE ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | 8,169 | | 8,169 | | 8,169 | | | | 8,169 |
| 177 | ASW RANGE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | 5,118 | | 5,118 | | 5,118 | | | | 5,118 |
| | OTHER ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 178 | EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQUIP | | 9,690 | | 9,690 | | 9,690 | | | | 9,690 |
| 179 | UNMANNED SEABORNE TARGET | | 4,333 | | 4,333 | | 4,333 | | | | 4,333 |
| 180 | ANTI-SHIP MISSILE DECOY SYSTEM | | 15,199 | | 15,199 | | 15,199 | | (12,600) | | 2,599 |
| 181 | INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES (CALIBRATION EQUIPMENT) | | 5,316 | | 5,316 | | 5,316 | | | | 5,316 |
| 182 | STOCK SURVEILLANCE EQUIPMENT | | 1,483 | | 1,483 | | 1,483 | | | | 1,483 |
| 183 | OTHER ORDNANCE TRAINING EQUIPMENT | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | OTHER EXPENDABLE ORDNANCE | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 184 | FLEET MINE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | 4,452 | | 4,452 | | 4,452 | | 1,700 | | 6,152 |
| 185 | MINE NEUTRALIZATION DEVICES | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 186 | DEFENSE NUCLEAR AGENCY MATERIAL | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 187 | SHIP EXPENDABLE COUNTERMEASURE | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | CIVIL ENGINEERING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | CIVIL ENGINEERING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 188 | PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES | 213 | 2,881 | 213 | 2,881 | 213 | 2,881 | | | 213 | 2,881 |
| 189 | SPECIAL PURPOSE VEHICLES | | 6,298 | | 6,298 | | 6,298 | | | | 6,298 |
| 190 | GENERAL PURPOSE TRUCKS | | 7,045 | | 7,045 | | 7,045 | | | | 7,045 |
| 191 | TRAILERS/TRUCK TRACTORS | | 1,345 | | 1,345 | | 1,345 | | | | 1,345 |
| 192 | EARTH MOVING EQUIPMENT | | 2,293 | | 2,293 | | 2,293 | | | | 2,293 |
| 193 | CONSTRUCTION & MAINTENANCE EQUIP | | 1,329 | | 1,329 | | 1,329 | | | | 1,329 |
| 194 | FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT | | 2,224 | | 2,224 | | 2,224 | | | | 2,224 |
| 195 | WEIGHT HANDLING EQUIPMENT | | 1,017 | | 1,017 | | 1,017 | | | | 1,017 |
| 196 | AMPHIBIOUS EQUIPMENT | | 3,010 | | 3,010 | | 3,010 | | | | 3,010 |

| Line No | Title | FY 1996 Request Quantity | FY 1996 Request Amount | House Authorized Quantity | House Authorized Amount | Senate Authorized Quantity | Senate Authorized Amount | Change to Request Quantity | Change to Request Amount | Conference Agreement Quantity | Conference Agreement Amount |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 197 | COMBAT CONSTRUCTION SUPPORT EQUIP | | 1,026 | | 1,026 | | 1,026 | | | | 1,026 |
| 198 | MOBILE UTILITIES SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | 710 | | 710 | | 710 | | | | 710 |
| 199 | COLLATERAL EQUIPMENT | | 577 | | 577 | | 577 | | | | 577 |
| 200 | OCEAN CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT | | 139 | | 139 | | 139 | | | | 139 |
| 201 | FLEET MOORINGS | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 202 | POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT | | 18,141 | | 18,141 | | 18,141 | | | | 18,141 |
| 203 | OTHER CIVIL ENG SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | 100 | | 100 | | 100 | | | | 100 |
| 204 | NATURAL GAS UTILIZATION EQUIPMENT | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 205 | FORKLIFT TRUCKS | | 3,750 | | 3,750 | | 1,750 | | (2,000) | | 1,750 |
| 206 | OTHER MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT | | 1,559 | | 1,559 | | 1,559 | | | | 1,559 |
| 207 | OTHER SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | 48 | | 48 | | 48 | | | | 48 |
| 208 | FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION | | 6,827 | | 6,827 | | 6,827 | | | | 6,827 |
| 209 | SPECIAL PURPOSE SUPPLY SYSTEMS | | 74,934 | | 74,934 | | 88,934 | | | | 74,934 |
| | PERSONNEL AND COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| | TRAINING DEVICES | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 210 | SUBMARINE SONAR TRAINERS | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 211 | SURFACE COMBAT SYSTEM TRAINERS | | 745 | | 745 | | 745 | | | | 745 |
| 212 | SHIP SYSTEM TRAINERS | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 213 | TRAINING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | 2,622 | | 2,622 | | 2,622 | | | | 2,622 |
| 214 | TRAINING DEVICE MODIFICATIONS | | 21,954 | | 21,954 | | 21,954 | | | | 21,954 |
| | COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 215 | COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | 33,298 | | 33,298 | | 33,298 | | | | 33,298 |
| 216 | EDUCATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | 385 | | 385 | | 385 | | | | 385 |
| 217 | MEDICAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | 7,462 | | 7,462 | | 7,462 | | | | 7,462 |
| 218 | INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 219 | ITEMS UNDER $2 MILLION | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 220 | OPERATING FORCES SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 221 | NAVAL RESERVE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | 596 | | 596 | | 596 | | | | 596 |
| 222 | ENVIRONMENTAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | 647 | | 647 | | 647 | | | | 647 |
| 223 | PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT | | 4,567 | | 4,567 | | 4,567 | | | | 4,567 |
| 224 | INDUSTRIAL DEPOT MAINTENANCE EQUIP | | 6,953 | | 6,953 | | 6,953 | | | | 6,953 |
| | COMPUTER ACQUISITION PROGRAM | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 225 | COMPUTER ACQUISITION PROGRAM | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | OTHER | | | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 226 | CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 226a | SAFETY AND SURVIABLITY ITEMS | | - | | 20,000 | | | | 10,000 | | 10,000 |
| | SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| | SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 227 | SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | 210,213 | | 210,213 | | 210,213 | | (20,000) | | 190,213 |
| | OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY-TOTAL | | 2,396,080 | | 2,461,472 | | 2,471,861 | | 18,691 | | 2,414,771 |

*Submarine navigation sets*

The budget request included $4.1 million for the electrically suspended gyro navigator (ESGN), the navigation system currently installed on Navy submarines. It also included $17.7 million for other navigation equipment.

The House bill would reduce ESGN funding by $4.1 million and increase funding for other navigation equipment by $10.0 million to purchase and install MK-49 ring laser gyro (RLG) navigators on Navy submarines.

The Senate amendment would reduce ESGN funding by $2.5 million, the amount budgeted for ESGN reliability modifications. It would also increase funding for other navigation equipment by $10.0 million to purchase and install MK-49 RLG navigators on Navy submarines.

The Senate recedes.

*AN/BPS-16 submarine radar*

The budget request included $0.5 million for ship radar support .

The House bill would add $9.0 million for procurement of AN/BPS-16 submarine radar systems because of a concern about the reliability and operational suitability of the existing AN/BPS-15 submarine navigation radar.

The Senate amendment would authorize the budget request.

The conferees are aware that there is a commercial off-the-shelf (COTS) variant of the AN/BPS-16 that could be procured and installed at a substantially lower cost than the AN/BPS-16 built to military specifications. The conferees are also aware that the reliability and maintenance challenges associated with the existing AN/BPS-15 have induced many Navy submarine crews to procure inexpensive commercial navigation radars with limited capability.

Based on these considerations, the conferees agree to authorize an increase of $9.0 million for the procurement and installation of AN/BPS-16 submarine radar sets. The conferees encourage the Navy to take advantage of the new COTS variant of the AN/BPS-16 to achieve the maximum benefit from this additional funding.

*Afloat planning system*

The conferees have fully supported the Tomahawk cruise missile program and the associated support systems necessary for employment of Tomahawk for precision strike missions. The conferees note that the Tomahawk afloat planning system (APS) complements the Tomahawk mission planning system, located at the shore-based mission planning centers, and provides afloat battle group and battle force commanders or deployed joint staffs with an organic capability to plan for the tactical employment of the conventional Tomahawk land attack missile (TLAM). APS is also an integral part of the Joint Service Imagery Processing System—Navy (JSIPS-N) and Challenge Athena systems. These systems support Tomahawk strike planning, but can also provide mission planning support for other precision guided munitions.

The conferees encourage the Department of Defense to:

(1) continue support and funding for APS; and

(2) consider extending APS's targeting and mission planning capabilities to other tactical command echelons, in order to meet the expanding requirement for tactical utilization of the Tomahawk system and improve its responsiveness to the demands of land battle.

*Overview*

The budget request for fiscal year 1996 contained an authorization of $474.1 million for Marine Corps Procurement, Navy in the Department of Defense. The House bill would authorize $399.2 million. The Senate amendment would authorize $683.4 million. The conferees recommended an authorization of $458.9 million. Unless noted explicitly in the statement of managers, all changes are made without prejudice.

| Line No | Title | FY 1996 Request Quantity | FY 1996 Request Amount | House Authorized Quantity | House Authorized Amount | Senate Authorized Quantity | Senate Authorized Amount | Change to Request Quantity | Change to Request Amount | Conference Agreement Quantity | Conference Agreement Amount |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS | | | | | | | | | | |
| | AMMUNITION | | | | | | | | | | |
| | AMMUNITION | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 5.56 MM, ALL TYPES | | 28,487 | | - | | 28,487 | | (28,487) | | - |
| 2 | 7.62 MM, ALL TYPES | | 2,082 | | - | | 12,082 | | (2,082) | | - |
| 3 | LINEAR CHARGES, ALL TYPES | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 4 | .50 CALIBER | | 8,588 | | - | | 8,588 | | (8,588) | | - |
| 4a | .50 CALIBER SLAP | | | | - | | 10,000 | | | | - |
| 4b | .50 CALIBER 4 & 1 | | | | - | | 15,000 | | | | - |
| 5 | 40 MM, ALL TYPES | | 3,939 | | - | | 3,939 | | (3,939) | | - |
| 6 | 60 MM HE M888 | | 9,855 | | - | | 9,855 | | (9,855) | | - |
| 7 | 81 MM HE | | 4,724 | | - | | 4,724 | | (4,724) | | - |
| 7a | 81 MM HE M889A1 | | | | - | | | | | | - |
| 8 | 81 MM SMOKE SCREEN | | 5,445 | | - | | 5,445 | | (5,445) | | - |
| 8a | 81 MM IR M 816 | | | | - | | 11,400 | | | | - |
| 9 | 120MM TPCSDS-T M865 | | 8,902 | | - | | 8,902 | | (8,902) | | - |
| 10 | 120 MM TP-T M831 | | 3,314 | | - | | 3,314 | | (3,314) | | - |
| 10a | CTG TANK 120MM APFSDS-T M7829A2 | | - | | - | | 5,000 | | | | - |
| 10b | CTG TANK 120MM MP-T M830A1 | | - | | - | | 5,000 | | | | - |
| 11 | FUZE, ET, XM762 | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 12 | FUZE, ET, XM767 | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 13 | CTG 25MM, ALL TYPES | | 6,724 | | - | | 6,724 | | (6,724) | | - |
| 14 | 9 MM ALL TYPES | | 2,979 | | - | | 2,979 | | (2,979) | | - |
| 15 | MINES, ALL TYPES | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 16 | GRENADES, ALL TYPES | | 474 | | - | | 474 | | (474) | | - |
| 17 | ROCKETS, ALL TYPES | | 7,034 | | - | | 7,034 | | (7,034) | | - |
| 18 | AMMO MODERNIZATION | | 9,611 | | - | | 9,611 | | (9,611) | | - |
| | PROP CHARGE M203A1 | | | | - | | 26,000 | | | | - |
| | GRENADE, SMOKE VIOLET | | | | - | | 700 | | | | - |
| | IGNITER M776 | | | | - | | 400 | | | | - |
| | DEMO SHEET | | | | - | | 2,200 | | | | - |
| | OTHER SUPPORT | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 19 | ITEMS LESS THAN $2 MIL | | 8,711 | | - | | 8,711 | | (8,711) | | - |
| | WEAPONS AND COMBAT VEHICLES | | | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 20 | AAV7A1 PIP | | 11,779 | | 11,779 | | 11,779 | | | | 11,779 |
| 21 | LAV PIP | | 23,291 | | 23,291 | | 23,291 | | | | 23,291 |
| 22 | LIGHT ARMORED VEHICLE | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 23 | MODIFICATION KITS (TRKD VEH) | | 3,273 | | 3,273 | | 17,773 | | 12,300 | | 15,573 |
| 24 | ITEMS UNDER $2M (TRKD VEH) | | 100 | | 100 | | 100 | | | | 100 |
| | ARTILLERY AND OTHER WEAPONS | | | | | | | | | | |
| 25 | MOD KITS (ARTILLERY) | | 498 | | 498 | | 498 | | | | 498 |
| 26 | ITEMS UNDER $2M (ALL OTHER) | | 120 | | 120 | | 120 | | | | 120 |
| | GUIDED MISSILES AND EQUIPMENT | | | | | | | | | | |
| | GUIDED MISSILES | | | | | | | | | | |
| 27 | HAWK MOD | | 3,040 | | 3,040 | | 4,640 | | | | 3,040 |
| 28 | AAWS-MEDIUM | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 29 | PEDESTAL MOUNTED STINGER (PMS) (MYP) | | 25,833 | | 25,833 | | 25,833 | | | | 25,833 |
| | OTHER SUPPORT | | | | | | | | | | |

| Line No | Title | FY 1996 Request Quantity | FY 1996 Request Amount | House Authorized Quantity | House Authorized Amount | Senate Authorized Quantity | Senate Authorized Amount | Change to Request Quantity | Change to Request Amount | Conference Agreement Quantity | Conference Agreement Amount |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 30 | MODIFICATION KITS | | 1,991 | | 1,991 | | 1,991 | | | | 1,991 |
| 31 | ITEMS LESS THAN $2 MILLION | | 100 | | 100 | | 100 | | | | 100 |
| | COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT | | | | | | | | | | - |
| | MANPACK RADIOS | | | | | | | | | | |
| 32 | MANPACK RADIOS AND EQUIP | | 9,735 | | 9,735 | | 12,735 | | 3,000 | | 12,735 |
| 33 | GPS | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | VEHICLE MOUNTED RADIOS AND EQUIPMENT | | | | | | | | | | |
| 34 | VEHICLE MTD RADIOS & EQUIP (MYP) | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 35 | TSC-96 PIP FLEET SATCOM TERMINAL | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | TELEPHONE AND TELETYPE EQUIPMENT | | | | | | | | | | |
| 36 | UNIT LEVEL CIRCUIT SWITCH (ULCS) | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 37 | TACT COMM CENTER EQUIP | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 38 | TACTICAL DATA NETWORK | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 39 | JOINT TACT INFO DIST SYS (CL I) | | 5,375 | | 5,375 | | 5,375 | | | | 5,375 |
| 40 | GROUND MOBILE FORCES | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT | | | | | | | | | | |
| 41 | AUTO TEST EQUIP SYS | | 9,747 | | 9,747 | | 9,747 | | | | 9,747 |
| 42 | ELECTRONIC TEST EQUIP (TEL) | | 6,184 | | 6,184 | | 6,184 | | | | 6,184 |
| | OTHER COMM/ELEC EQUIPMENT | | | | | | | | | | |
| 43 | SINGLE CHAN GRD & AIR RADIO | | 48,027 | | 48,027 | | 48,027 | | | | 48,027 |
| | OTHER SUPPORT (TEL) | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 44 | MODIFICATION KITS (TEL) | | 1,095 | | 1,095 | | 1,095 | | | | 1,095 |
| 45 | ITEMS LESS THAN $2M (TEL) | | 1,443 | | 1,443 | | 2,443 | | | | 1,443 |
| | COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM (NON-TEL) | | | | | | | | | | |
| 46 | POS LOCATING RPTG SYSTEM (PLRS) | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 47 | TACTICAL AIR OPER MODULE (TAOM) | | 2,421 | | 2,421 | | 2,421 | | | | 2,421 |
| 48 | ADVANCED TACT AIR COMMAND CENTER | | 7,214 | | 7,214 | | 7,214 | | | | 7,214 |
| 49 | MARINE TACTICAL C2 | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 50 | MULTI-SERV ADF FIELD ART TACTICAL DATA SYS | 188 | 12,140 | | 12,140 | | 23,140 | | | 188 | 12,140 |
| 51 | TACTICAL COMBAT OPERATIONS SYS | | 11,025 | | 11,025 | | 11,025 | | | | 11,025 |
| | INTELL/COMM EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL) | | | | | | | | | | |
| 52 | AN/TPQ-36 FIRE FINDER RADAR UPGRADE | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 53 | METEOROLOGICAL SYSTEMS | 7 | 3,393 | | 3,393 | | 3,393 | | | 7 | 3,393 |
| 54 | INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | 6,283 | | 6,283 | | 6,283 | | 29,000 | | 35,283 |
| 55 | MOD KITS (INTEL) | | 943 | | 943 | | 943 | | | | 943 |
| 56 | ITEMS LESS THAN $2M (INTELL) | | 1,755 | | 1,755 | | 1,755 | | | | 1,755 |
| | REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL) | | | | | | | | | | |
| 57 | ELECTRONIC TMDE REPAIR FACILITY | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 58 | MECH TEST TMDE | | 3,042 | | 3,042 | | 3,042 | | | | 3,042 |
| 59 | SHOP SET ELECT AN/GRM-32 | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | OTHER COMM/ELEC EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL) | | | | | | | | | | |
| 60 | NIGHT VISION EQUIPMENT | | 2,283 | | 2,283 | | 4,283 | | 2,000 | | 4,283 |
| 61 | ADP EQUIPMENT | | 22,839 | | 22,839 | | 44,439 | | | | 22,839 |
| | OTHER SUPPORT (NON-TEL) | | | | | | | | | | |
| 62 | MARINE ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM | | 3,401 | | 3,401 | | 6,401 | | 3,000 | | 6,401 |
| 63 | TEST CALIB & MAINT SPT | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 64 | MODIFICATION KITS (NONTEL) | | 6,738 | | 6,738 | | 6,738 | | | | 6,738 |
| 65 | ITEMS LESS THAN $2M (NONTEL) | | 1,480 | | 1,480 | | 1,480 | | | | 1,480 |
| | SUPPORT VEHICLES | | | | | | | | | | |

| Line No | Title | FY 1996 Request Quantity | FY 1996 Request Amount | House Authorized Quantity | House Authorized Amount | Senate Authorized Quantity | Senate Authorized Amount | Change to Request Quantity | Change to Request Amount | Conference Agreement Quantity | Conference Agreement Amount |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | ADMINISTRATIVE VEHICLES | | | | | | | | | | |
| 66 | COMMERCIAL PASSENGER VEHICLES | 194 | 2,824 | | 2,824 | | 2,824 | | | | 2,824 |
| 67 | COMMERCIAL CARGO VEHICLES | | 9,771 | | 9,771 | | 9,771 | | | | 9,771 |
| | TACTICAL VEHICLES | | | | | | | | | | |
| 68 | 5/4T TRUCK HMMWV (MYP) | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 68a | Light Recon Vehicle | | - | | 2,000 | | | | | | - |
| 69 | LOGISTICS VEHICLE SYSTEM | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 70 | TRAILERS | | 4,932 | | 4,932 | | 10,432 | | 5,500 | | 10,432 |
| | OTHER SUPPORT | | | | | | | | | | |
| 71 | MODIFICATION KITS | | 6,496 | | 6,496 | | 6,496 | | 1,000 | | 7,496 |
| 72 | ITEMS LESS THAN $2 MIL | | 100 | | 100 | | 100 | | | | 100 |
| | ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPMENT | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| | ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPMENT | | | | | | | | | | |
| 73 | ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL EQUIP ASSORT | | 2,338 | | 2,338 | | 2,338 | | | | 2,338 |
| 74 | TACTICAL FUEL SYSTEM (TFS) EQUIP | | - | | - | | 2,400 | | | | - |
| 75 | POWER EQUIPMENT ASSORTED | | 2,372 | | 2,372 | | 2,372 | | | | 2,372 |
| 76 | MINE/COUNTERMEASURES SYSTEM | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 77 | AUTOMATIC BUILDING MACHINES | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 78 | CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT | | | | | | | | | | |
| 79 | COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 80 | AMPHIBIOUS RAID EQUIPMENT | | 257 | | 257 | | 257 | | | | 257 |
| 81 | PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT | | 1,839 | | 1,839 | | 1,839 | | | | 1,839 |
| 82 | GARRISON MOBILE ENGR EQUIP | | 5,169 | | 5,169 | | 5,169 | | | | 5,169 |
| 83 | TELEPHONE SYSTEM | | 8,268 | | 8,268 | | 8,268 | | | | 8,268 |
| 84 | WAREHOUSE MODERNIZATION | | 2,927 | | 2,927 | | 2,927 | | | | 2,927 |
| 85 | MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIP | | 3,105 | | 3,105 | | 3,105 | | | | 3,105 |
| 86 | FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION | | 1,726 | | 1,726 | | 1,726 | | | | 1,726 |
| | GENERAL PROPERTY | | | | | | | | | | |
| 87 | FIELD MEDICAL EQUIPMENT | | 3,215 | | 3,215 | | 3,215 | | | | 3,215 |
| 88 | TRAINING DEVICES | | 17,792 | | 51,792 | | 51,792 | | 34,000 | | 51,792 |
| 89 | CONTAINER FAMILY | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | OTHER SUPPORT | | | | | | | | | | |
| 90 | MODIFICATION KITS | | 1,471 | | 1,471 | | 1,471 | | | | 1,471 |
| 91 | CHEMICAL AGENT MONITOR | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 92 | ITEMS LESS THAN $2 MIL | | 75 | | 75 | | 75 | | | | 75 |
| 93 | DRUG INTERDICTION | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| | SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | | | | | | | | | |
| 94 | SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | 51,982 | | 51,982 | | 51,982 | | | | 51,982 |
| | PRECISION GUNNERY TRAINING SYSTEMS | | | | | | | | 5,900 | | 5,900 |
| | M240 MACHINE GUN MODS | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ASSET TRACKING LOGISTICS SYSTEM | | | | | | | | | | |
| | LIGHT COMPUTER UNITS | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS-TOTAL | | 474,116 | | 399,247 | | 683,416 | | (15,169) | | 458,947 |

*Commander's Tactical Terminal*

The budget request included no funding for USMC procurement of Commander's Tactical Terminal (CTT) radios.

Neither the House bill nor the Senate amendment authorized additional funding for CTT radios.

The conferees note that the Department's integrated (intelligence) broadcast service plan included migration to an interoperable family of transceivers known as the Joint Tactical Terminal. The conferees have been informed that Marine Corps procurement of CTTs will play a vital role in this plan, and therefore authorize an increase of $12.5 million for this purpose.

*Marine Corps intelligence support equipment*

The budget request included no funding for Marine Corps procurement of Joint Surveillance and Target Attack Radar System (JSTARS) ground support module.

Neither the House bill nor the Senate amendment included additional funds for this purpose.

The conferees believe the Marine Corps should have more responsibility over its own procurement actions, and therefore agree to authorize an increase of $16.5 million for Marine procurement of two JSTARS ground support modules.

*Light reconnaissance/strike vehicles*

The budget request did not include funds for procurement of any light reconnaissance/strike vehicles (LRV/LSV).

The House bill would add $2.0 million to buy LRVs for the Marine Corps and $6.0 million to buy LSVs for the special operations forces.

The conferees agree to authorize $6.0 million for LSVs for the special operations forces.

The conferees understand that the Marine Corps has completed a mission needs statement (MNS) for an LRV. The MNS calls for fielding an LRV with the Fleet Marine Forces by fiscal year 1995. However, the Marine Corps has neither established a formal requirement nor budgeted any resources against a possible requirement.

Therefore, the conferees direct the Secretary of the Navy to report to the congressional defense committees on whether the Marine Corps will translate the MNS into an operational requirement and the risks the Fleet Marine Force will incur if an LRV is not procured. The conferees expect the Secretary to submit this report by February 28, 1996.

*Overview*

The budget request for fiscal year 1996 contained an authorization of $6,183.9 million for Aircraft Procurement, Air Force in the Department of Defense. The House bill would authorize $7,032.0 million. The Senate amendment would authorize $6,318.6 million. The conferees recommended an authorization of $7,349.8 million. Unless noted explicitly in the statement of managers, all changes are made without prejudice.

| Line No | Title | FY 1996 Request Quantity | FY 1996 Request Amount | House Authorized Quantity | House Authorized Amount | Senate Authorized Quantity | Senate Authorized Amount | Change to Request Quantity | Change to Request Amount | Conference Agreement Quantity | Conference Agreement Amount |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE | | | | | | | | | | |
| | COMBAT AIRCRAFT | | | | | | | | | | |
| | STRATEGIC OFFENSIVE | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | B-1B (MYP) | | 56,336 | | 56,336 | | 141,336 | | | | 56,336 |
| 2 | B-2A (MYP) | | 279,921 | | 832,921 | | 279,921 | | 493,000 | | 772,921 |
| | TACTICAL FORCES | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | ADVANCED TACTICAL FIGHTER | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 4 | F-15A | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 4a | F-15E | | - | | 250,000 | | - | | 311,210 | | 311,210 |
| 4b | F-15E Adv Proc | | | | - | | | | 50,190 | | 50,190 |
| 5 | F-16 C/D (MYP) | | - | | 175,000 | | - | | 159,400 | | 159,400 |
| 5 | LESS: ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (PY) | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| | TACTICAL AIRLIFT | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | C-17 (MYP) | 8 | 2,592,391 | 8 | 2,592,391 | 8 | 2,592,391 | | | 8 | 2,592,391 |
| 6 | LESS: ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (PY) | | (189.900) | | (189,900) | | (189,900) | | | | (189,900) |
| 7 | ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | OTHER AIRLIFT | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | C-130H | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 9 | C-130J | 2 | 88,608 | 2 | 88,608 | 2 | 88,608 | | | 2 | 88,608 |
| 9a | WC-130 | | | | - | | | | 132,700 | 3 | 132,700 |
| | STRATEGIC AIRLIFT | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | STRATEGIC AIRLIFT | | 183,757 | | 183,757 | | 183,757 | | | | 183,757 |
| 10a | NONDEVELOPMENTAL AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT | | - | | 70,000 | | | | | | - |
| | NON DEVELOPMENT AIRLIFT | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | NON DEVELOPMENT AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | TRAINER AIRCRAFT | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| | OPERATIONAL TRAINERS | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | ENHANCED FLIGHT SCREENER | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 13 | JPATS | 3 | 54,968 | 3 | 54,968 | 3 | 54,968 | | | 8 | 54,968 |
| 14 | TANKER, TRANSPORT, TRAINER SYSTEM | | 4,374 | | 4,374 | | 4,374 | | | | 4,374 |
| | OTHER AIRCRAFT | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| | MISSION SUPPORT AIRCRAFT | | | | | | | | | | |
| 15 | CIVIL AIR PATROL A/C | 27 | 2,597 | 27 | 2,597 | 27 | 2,597 | | | 27 | 2,597 |
| 16 | DRUG INTERDICTION | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | OTHER AIRCRAFT | | | | | | | | | | |
| 17 | E-8B | 2 | 536,334 | 2 | 536,334 | 2 | 536,334 | | (17,200) | 2 | 519,134 |
| 17 | LESS: ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (PY) | | (141,700) | | (141,700) | | (141,700) | | | | (141,700) |
| 18 | ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) | | 97,140 | | 97,140 | | 97,140 | | | | 97,140 |
| 19 | SOF A/C CSE | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE AIRCRAFT | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| | STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | B-2A | | 17,286 | | 17,286 | | 17,286 | | | | 17,286 |
| 21 | B-1B | | 75,383 | | 75,383 | | 86,983 | | (6,900) | | 68,483 |
| 22 | B-52 | | 4,908 | | 4,908 | | 4,908 | | | | 4,908 |
| 23 | F-117 | | 47,660 | | 47,660 | | 47,660 | | | | 47,660 |
| | TACTICAL AIRCRAFT | | | | | | | | | | |
| 24 | A-10 | | 79,424 | | 79,424 | | 79,424 | | (38,400) | | 41,024 |
| 25 | F/RF-4 | | 61 | | 61 | | 61 | | | | 61 |

| Line No | Title | FY 1996 Request Quantity | FY 1996 Request Amount | House Authorized Quantity | House Authorized Amount | Senate Authorized Quantity | Senate Authorized Amount | Change to Request Quantity | Change to Request Amount | Conference Agreement Quantity | Conference Agreement Amount |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 26 | F-15 | | 79,488 | | 79,488 | | 79,488 | | (1,200) | | 78,288 |
| 27 | F-16 | | 118,606 | | 118,606 | | 118,606 | | | | 118,606 |
| 28 | EF-111 | | 1,900 | | 1,900 | | 1,900 | | | | 1,900 |
| 29 | F-111 | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 30 | T/AT-37 | | 502 | | 502 | | 502 | | | | 502 |
| | AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT | | | | | | | | | | |
| 31 | C-5 | | 45,431 | | 45,431 | | 45,431 | | | | 45,431 |
| 32 | C-9 | | 4,066 | | 4,066 | | 4,066 | | | | 4,066 |
| 33 | C-17A | | 12,687 | | 12,687 | | 12,687 | | | | 12,687 |
| 34 | C-21 | | 4,654 | | 4,654 | | 4,654 | | | | 4,654 |
| 35 | C-22 | | 670 | | 670 | | 670 | | | | 670 |
| 36 | C-STOL | | 298 | | 298 | | 298 | | | | 298 |
| 37 | C-137 | | 2,402 | | 2,402 | | 2,402 | | | | 2,402 |
| 38 | C-141 | | 95,162 | | 95,162 | | 95,162 | | | | 95,162 |
| | TRAINER AIRCRAFT | | | | | | | | | | |
| 39 | T-1 | | 5,762 | | 5,762 | | 5,762 | | | | 5,762 |
| 40 | T-3 (EFS) AIRCRAFT | | 78 | | 78 | | 78 | | | | 78 |
| 41 | T-38 | | 11,487 | | 11,487 | | 11,487 | | | | 11,487 |
| 42 | T-41 AIRCRAFT | | 25 | | 25 | | 25 | | | | 25 |
| 43 | T-43 | | 5,441 | | 5,441 | | 5,441 | | | | 5,441 |
| | OTHER AIRCRAFT | | | | | | | | | | |
| 44 | KC-10A (ATCA) | | 20,690 | | 20,690 | | 20,690 | | | | 20,690 |
| 45 | C-12 | | 3,237 | | 3,237 | | 3,237 | | | | 3,237 |
| 46 | C-18 | | 2,675 | | 2,675 | | 2,675 | | | | 2,675 |
| 47 | C-20 MODS | | 7,765 | | 7,765 | | 7,765 | | | | 7,765 |
| 48 | VC-25A MOD | | 7,772 | | 7,772 | | 7,772 | | | | 7,772 |
| 49 | C-130 | | 84,399 | | 84,399 | | 84,399 | | 10,000 | | 94,399 |
| 50 | C-135 | | 142,764 | | 132,764 | | 142,764 | | 96,000 | | 238,764 |
| 51 | E-3 | | 230,439 | | 220,439 | | 230,439 | | | | 230,439 |
| 52 | E-4 | | 957 | | 957 | | 957 | | | | 957 |
| 53 | H-1 | | 6,160 | | 6,160 | | 6,160 | | | | 6,160 |
| 54 | H-60 | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 55 | OTHER AIRCRAFT | | 29,433 | | 29,433 | | 29,433 | | | | 29,433 |
| | OTHER MODIFICATIONS | | | | | | | | | | |
| 56 | CLASSIFIED PROJECTS | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 57 | DARP | | - | | 37,000 | | 48,000 | | 53,000 | | 53,000 |
| | AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| | AIRCRAFT SPARES + REPAIR PARTS | | | | | | | | | | |
| 58 | SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | 603,619 | | 598,112 | | 583,719 | | (17,338) | | 586,281 |
| | AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIE | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| | COMMON AGE | | | | | | | | | | |
| 59 | COMMON AGE | | 216,048 | | 223,248 | | 216,048 | | (3,538) | | 212,510 |
| | POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT | | | | | | | | | | |
| 60 | F-15 POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT | | 13,955 | | - | | 13,955 | | (6,977) | | 6,978 |
| 61 | F-16 POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT | | 194,672 | | - | | 194,672 | | (68,050) | | 126,622 |
| | INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS | | | | | | | | | | |
| 62 | INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS | | 48,694 | | 48,694 | | 48,694 | | | | 48,694 |
| 63 | BOMBER INDUSTRIAL BASE SUPPORT | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | WAR CONSUMABLES | | | | | | | | | | |

| Line No | Title | FY 1996 Request Quantity | FY 1996 Request Amount | House Authorized Quantity | House Authorized Amount | Senate Authorized Quantity | Senate Authorized Amount | Change to Request Quantity | Change to Request Amount | Conference Agreement Quantity | Conference Agreement Amount |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 64 | WAR CONSUMABLES | | 25,479 | | 25,479 | | 10,479 | | | | 25,479 |
| | OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES | | | | | | | | | | |
| 65 | OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES | | 167,676 | | 157,676 | | 192,676 | | 20,000 | | 187,676 |
| 66 | CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | COMMON ECM EQUIPMENT | | | | | | | | | | |
| 67 | COMMON ECM EQUIPMENT | | 4,871 | | 4,871 | | 4,871 | | | | 4,871 |
| | DARP | | | | | | | | | | |
| 68 | DARP | | 194,374 | | 194,374 | | 194,374 | | | | 194,374 |
| | **AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE-TOTAL** | | 6,183,886 | | 7,031,952 | | 6,318,586 | | 1,165,897 | | 7,349,783 |

*Air Force fighter aircraft data link*

The budget request included $79.5 million for F-15 modifications.

The House bill would authorize the requested amount based on assurances from the Department of Defense that Air Force efforts to procure a tactical information data link for a portion of the F-15 fleet would be conducted within the scope of the Department's multifunction information distribution system (MIDS) program.

The Senate amendment would authorize the budget request. The Senate report (S. Rept. 104-112) expressed support for the Air Force's efforts to equip its fighter aircraft with "Link 16" data link capability, but questioned the Air Force's decision to pursue this capability for only a portion of the F-15 fleet. The Senate report also recommended that the Department continue MIDS acquisition and stated that it would not support any Air Force effort to start a new program, redundant to MIDS, to meet similar requirements.

The conferees note that the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Technology has terminated the F-15 data link procurement and that the Air Force now intends to pursue a MIDS variant data link to meet its requirements. The Department has informed the conferees that this program is to be a competitive solicitation that will require adherence to the MIDS architecture, MIDS software modularity, and MIDS hardware modulatory as a design objective, and, for the F-15, reduced hardware and software functionality to reduce costs.

The conferees agree to authorize $78.3 million for F-15 modifications. The conferees direct the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Technology to ensure that the Department uses a competitive acquisition strategy for fighter data link procurement. The strategy should promote full opportunity for U.S. companies to compete within the competitive solicitation outlined by the Under Secretary.

*Defense support program procurement*

The budget request included $102.9 million for Defense Support Program (DSP) procurement.

The Senate amendment would authorize $67.0 million, a reduction of $35.9 million to the budget request.

The House bill would authorize the budget request.

The House recedes. The conferees are aware that $35.9 million in fiscal year 1995 funds are excess and subject to consideration for reprogramming for non-DSP purposes. Therefore, the conferees agree to reduce the fiscal year 1996 DSP procurement budget by $35.9 million, leaving $67.0 million. The conferees direct the Air Force to use the excess fiscal year 1995 funds currently identified as a source on the fiscal year 1995 omnibus reprogramming request to fulfill fiscal year 1996 DSP requirements. Given that the fiscal year 1995 DSP procurement source has been denied as part of this year's omnibus reprogramming, the conferees direct that the full amount be restored to DSP.

*RC-135 re-engining*

The budget request included no funding for the Defense Airborne Reconnaissance Program (DARP) modifications line (P-1, line 57) in the Aircraft Procurement, Air Force account.

The House bill would authorize an increase of $37.0 million for modification of an existing C-135 aircraft to the RC-135 RIVET JOINT configuration.

The Senate amendment would authorize an increase of $48.0 million for re-engining of two existing RIVET JOINT aircraft. The Senate amendment would also authorize an increase of $31.5 million in PE 64268F for nonrecurring integration activity to facilitate an affordable program for converting two retired EC-135 aircraft to the RIVET JOINT configuration.

ENGINES AND INSTALLATION

The conferees concur with the cost effectiveness and increase in operational effectiveness that could be provided by re-engining the existing fleet of RIVET JOINT aircraft and agree to authorize an increase of $48.0 million to procure and install re-engining kits for two existing RIVET JOINT aircraft.

The conferees note that the theater Commanders-in-Chief (CINCs) have addressed additional RIVET JOINT aircraft as one of their highest intelligence priorities. The need for additional RIVET JOINT aircraft is further reinforced by the extremely high operational tempo currently experienced by this reconnaissance asset. The conferees support the theater CINCs' requirements for additional RIVET JOINT aircraft and strongly urge the Department to seek reprogramming authority to modify other existing C-135 assets to the RC-135 configuration.

SR-71

The conferees agree to provide an additional $5.0 million for costs associated with the refurbishment of SR-71 aircraft.

ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

The conferees agree to authorize $133.2 million for the engine component improvement program, an increase of $29.5 million, consisting of two adjustments: (1) an additional $31.5 million for the integration activity described in the Senate report (S. Rept. 104-112); and (2) a reduction of the $2.0 million requested for the B-2 engine.

*Overview*

The budget request for fiscal year 1996 contained an authorization of $3,647.7 million for Missile Procurement, Air Force in the Department of Defense. The House bill would authorize $3,430.1 million. The Senate amendment would authorize $3,627.5 million. The conferees recommended an authorization of $2,938.9 million. Unless noted explicitly in the statement of managers, all changes are made without prejudice.

| Line No | Title | FY 1996 Request Quantity | FY 1996 Request Amount | House Authorized Quantity | House Authorized Amount | Senate Authorized Quantity | Senate Authorized Amount | Change to Request Quantity | Change to Request Amount | Conference Agreement Quantity | Conference Agreement Amount |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE | | | | | | | | | | |
| | BALLISTIC MISSILES | | | | | | | | | | |
| | MISSILE REPLACEMENT EQUIPMENT - BALLISTIC | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | MISSILE REPLACEMENT EQ-BALLISTIC | | 18,749 | | 18,749 | | 18,749 | | | | 18,749 |
| | OTHER MISSILES | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| | STRATEGIC | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | HAVE NAP | | - | | 39,000 | 50 | 38,000 | | 38,000 | 54 | 38,000 |
| 3 | TRI-SERVICE ATTACK MISSILE | | - | | - | | - | | | | |
| 4 | ADVANCED CRUISE MISSILE | | 1,873 | | 1,873 | | 1,873 | | | | 1,873 |
| | TACTICAL | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | GPS AIDED MUNITION | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 6 | JOINT STANDOFF WEAPON | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 7 | AMRAAM | 291 | 190,672 | 291 | 190,672 | 291 | 182,672 | | (8,000) | 291 | 182,672 |
| 8 | AGM-130 POWERED GBU-15 | | 69,303 | | 109,303 | | 109,303 | | 40,000 | 100 | 109,303 |
| 8a | Interim JSOW | | | | - | | 10,400 | | | | - |
| | TARGET DRONES | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | TARGET DRONES | 88 | 39,150 | 88 | 39,150 | 88 | 39,150 | | (3,000) | 88 | 36,150 |
| 10 | INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES | | 8,100 | | 8,100 | | 8,100 | | | | 8,100 |
| | MISSILE REPLACEMENT EQUIPMENT - OTHER | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | MISSILE REPLACEMENT EQ-OTHER | | 147 | | 147 | | 147 | | | | 147 |
| | MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE MISSILES | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| | CLASS IV | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | CONVENTIONAL ALCM | | - | | 27,200 | | 27,200 | | 15,000 | 100 | 15,000 |
| 13 | PEACEKEEPER (M-X) | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 14 | AIM-9 SIDEWINDER | | 15,379 | | 15,379 | | 15,379 | | | | 15,379 |
| 15 | MM III MODIFICATIONS | | 19,344 | | 29,344 | | 29,344 | | 10,000 | | 29,344 |
| 16 | AGM-65D MAVERICK | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 17 | AGM-88A HARM | | 1,602 | | 1,602 | | 1,602 | | | | 1,602 |
| 18 | MODIFICATIONS UNDER $2.0M | | 1,370 | | 1,370 | | 1,370 | | | | 1,370 |
| | SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| | MISSILE SPARES + REPAIR PARTS | | | | | | | | | | |
| 19 | SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | 53,914 | | 53,914 | | 53,914 | | | | 53,914 |
| | OTHER SUPPORT | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| | SPACE PROGRAMS | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | SPACEBORNE EQUIP (COMSEC) | | 19,158 | | 19,158 | | 19,158 | | | | 19,158 |
| 21 | GLOBAL POSITIONING (MYP) | 4 | 202,910 | 4 | 202,910 | 4 | 202,910 | | (10,000) | 4 | 192,910 |
| 21 | LESS: ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (PY) | | (66,850) | | (66,850) | | (66,850) | | | | (66,850) |
| 22 | ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) | | 38,412 | | 33,412 | | - | | (5,000) | | 33,412 |
| 23 | SPACE SHUTTLE OPERATIONS | | 56,963 | | 56,963 | | 56,963 | | | | 56,963 |
| 23 | LESS: ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (PY) | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 24 | SPACE BOOSTERS | | 464,953 | | 459,953 | | 449,953 | | (31,100) | | 433,853 |
| 25 | ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 26 | MEDIUM LAUNCH VEHICLE | 4 | 179,493 | 4 | 179,493 | 4 | 179,493 | | | 4 | 179,493 |
| 26 | LESS: ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (PY) | | (28,564) | | (28,564) | | (28,564) | | | | (28,564) |
| 27 | ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) | | 38,856 | | 38,856 | | 38,856 | | | | 38,856 |
| 28 | DEF METEOROLOGICAL SAT PROG | | 29,265 | | 29,265 | | 29,265 | | | | 29,265 |
| 29 | DEFENSE SUPPORT PROGRAM (MYP) | | 102,911 | | 102,911 | | 67,011 | | (35,900) | | 67,011 |
| 29 | LESS: ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (PY) | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 30 | ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |

| Line No | Title | FY 1996 Request Quantity | FY 1996 Request Amount | House Authorized Quantity | House Authorized Amount | Senate Authorized Quantity | Senate Authorized Amount | Change to Request Quantity | Change to Request Amount | Conference Agreement Quantity | Conference Agreement Amount |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 31 | DEFENSE SATELLITE COMM SYSTEM | | 25,666 | | 23,166 | | 18,166 | | (2,500) | | 23,166 |
| | SPECIAL PROGRAMS | | | | | | | | | | |
| 32 | IONDS (MYP) | 4 | 29,045 | 4 | 29,045 | 4 | 29,045 | | | 4 | 29,045 |
| 32 | LESS: ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (PY) | | (9,954) | | (9,954) | | (9,954) | | | | (9,954) |
| 33 | ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 34 | SPECIAL UPDATE PROGRAMS | | 218,751 | | 218,751 | | 218,751 | | | | 218,751 |
| 35 | SPECIAL PROGRAMS | | 1,605,765 | | 1,605,765 | | 1,534,765 | | (395,000) | | 1,210,765 |
| | MUNITIONS & RELATED EQUIPMENT | | | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | ROCKETS + LAUNCHERS | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 36 | 2.75 INCH ROCKET MOTOR | 30,000 | 10,402 | | - | | 10,402 | | (10,402) | | - |
| 37 | 2.75 | 24,320 | 1,993 | | - | | 1,993 | | (1,993) | | - |
| 38 | ITEMS LESS THAN $2,000,000 | | 950 | | - | | 950 | | (950) | | - |
| | CARTRIDGES | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 39 | 5.56 MM | 13,835 | 5,534 | | - | | 5,534 | | (5,534) | | - |
| 40 | 20MM TRAINING | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 41 | 30 MM TRAINING | 1,360 | 14,480 | | - | | 14,480 | | (14,480) | | - |
| 42 | CARTRIDGE CHAFF RR-180 | 720 | 10,030 | | - | | 10,030 | | (10,030) | | - |
| 43 | CARTRIDGE CHAFF RR-188 | 903 | 1,192 | | - | | 1,192 | | (1,192) | | - |
| 44 | SIGNAL MK-4 MOD 3 | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 45 | CART IMP 3000 FT/LBS | | - | | - | | - | | - | | - |
| 46 | ITEMS LESS THAN $2,000,000 | | 5,162 | | - | | 5,162 | | (5,162) | | - |
| | BOMBS | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 47 | MK-82 INERT/BDU-50 | 12,586 | 8,253 | | - | | 8,253 | | (8,253) | | - |
| 48 | TIMER ACTUATOR FIN FUZE | 10,000 | 6,242 | | - | | 6,242 | | (6,242) | | - |
| 49 | BOMB PRACTICE 25 POUND | 400,000 | 5,928 | | - | | 5,928 | | (5,928) | | - |
| 50 | MK-84 BOMB-EMPTY | 3,718 | 9,261 | | - | | 9,261 | | (9,261) | | - |
| 51 | SENSOR FUZED WEAPON | 500 | 165,447 | | - | | 165,447 | | (165,447) | | - |
| | CBU-87(COMBINED EFFECTS MUNITIONS) | | - | | - | | 30,000 | | | | - |
| 52 | CBU-89 GATOR INERT | 236 | 6,531 | | - | | 6,531 | | (6,531) | | - |
| 53 | ITEMS LESS THAN $2,000,000 | | 1,500 | | - | | 1,500 | | (1,500) | | - |
| | OTHER ITEMS | | | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 54 | FLARE, IR MJU-7B | 945,049 | 21,859 | | - | | 21,859 | | (21,859) | | - |
| 55 | MJU-23 FLARE | 7,426 | 6,483 | | - | | 6,483 | | (6,483) | | - |
| 56 | MJU-10B | 110,436 | 7,204 | | - | | 7,204 | | (7,204) | | - |
| 57 | M-206 CARTRIDGE FLARE | 331,564 | 11,250 | | - | | 11,250 | | (11,250) | | - |
| 58 | INITIAL SPARES | | 621 | | - | | 621 | | (621) | | - |
| 59 | REPLENISHMENT SPARES | | 2,329 | | - | | 2,329 | | (2,329) | | - |
| 60 | MODIFICATIONS | | 2,340 | | - | | 2,340 | | (2,340) | | - |
| 61 | ITEMS LESS THAN $2,000,000 | | 11,289 | | - | | 11,289 | | (11,289) | | - |
| | FUZES | | | | | | | | | | - |
| 62 | JOINT PROGRAMMABLE FUSE(JPF) | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | OTHER WEAPONS | | | | | | | | | | |
| 63 | M-16 A2 RIFLE | | 5,048 | | - | | 5,048 | | (5,048) | | - |
| | MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE-TOTAL | | 3,647,711 | | 3,430,083 | | 3,627,499 | | (708,828) | | 2,938,883 |

*Overview*

The budget request for fiscal year 1996 contained no authorization for Ammunition Procurement, Air Force in the Department of Defense. The House bill would authorize $321.3 million. The Senate amendment contained no authorization. The conferees recommended an authorization of $343.8 million. Unless noted explicitly in the statement of managers, all changes are made without prejudice.

| Line No | Title | FY 1996 Request Quantity | FY 1996 Request Amount | House Authorized Quantity | House Authorized Amount | Senate Authorized Quantity | Senate Authorized Amount | Change to Request Quantity | Change to Request Amount | Conference Agreement Quantity | Conference Agreement Amount |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | **PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE** | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, AIR FORCE | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PROC AMMO, AF | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 2.75 INCH ROCKET MOTOR | | - | | 10,402 | | - | | 10,402 | | 10,402 |
| 1a | 2.75 INCH HEAD SIGNATURE | | | | 1,993 | | | | 1,993 | | 1,993 |
| 2 | ITEMS LESS THAN $2,000,000 | | - | | 950 | | - | | 950 | | 950 |
| | CARTRIDGE CHAFF RR-180 | | | | | | | | - | | - |
| 3 | 5.56 MM | | - | | 5,534 | | - | | 5,534 | | 5,534 |
| 4 | 20MM TRAINING | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 5 | 30 MM TRAINING | | - | | 14,480 | | - | | 7,000 | | 7,000 |
| 6 | CARTRIDGE CHAFF RR-180 | | - | | 10,030 | | - | | 10,030 | | 10,030 |
| 7 | CARTRIDGE CHAFF RR-188 | | - | | 1,192 | | - | | 1,192 | | 1,192 |
| 8 | SIGNAL MK-4 MOD 3 | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | CART IMP 3000 FT/LBS | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 9 | ITEMS LESS THAN $2,000,000 | | - | | 5,162 | | - | | 5,162 | | 5,162 |
| 9a | MK-82 INERT/BDU-50 | | - | | 8,253 | | | | 8,253 | | 8,253 |
| | TIMER ACTUATOR FIN FUZE | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | TIMER ACTUATOR FIN FUZE | | - | | 6,242 | | - | | 6,242 | | 6,242 |
| 11 | GBU-15 | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 12 | BOMB PRACTICE 25 POUND | | - | | 5,928 | | - | | 5,928 | | 5,928 |
| 12a | MK-84 BOMB EMPTY | | | | 9,261 | | | | 9,261 | | 9,261 |
| 13 | SENSOR FUZED WEAPON | | - | | 165,447 | | - | | 165,447 | | 165,447 |
| 13a | CBU-89 GATOR INERT | | | | 6,531 | | | | 6,531 | | 6,531 |
| 13b | CBU COMBINED EFFECTS MUNITIONS | | | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | ITEMS LESS THAN $2,000,000 | | - | | 1,500 | | - | | 1,500 | | 1,500 |
| | ITEMS LESS THAN $2,000,000 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 15 | ITEMS LESS THAN $2,000,000 | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | FLARE, IR MJU-7B | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | FLARE, IR MJU-7B | | - | | 21,859 | | - | | 21,859 | | 21,859 |
| 16a | MJU-23 FLARE | | | | 6,483 | | | | 6,483 | | 6,483 |
| 16b | MJU-10B | | | | 7,204 | | | | 7,204 | | 7,204 |
| 17 | PARACHUTE FLARE LUU-2 B/B | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 18 | M-206 CARTRIDGE FLARE | | - | | 11,250 | | - | | 11,250 | | 11,250 |
| 19 | INITIAL SPARES | | - | | 621 | | - | | 621 | | 621 |
| 20 | REPLENISHMENT SPARES | | - | | 2,329 | | - | | 2,329 | | 2,329 |
| 21 | MODIFICATIONS | | - | | 2,340 | | - | | 2,340 | | 2,340 |
| 22 | ITEMS LESS THAN $2,000,000 | | - | | 11,289 | | - | | 11,289 | | 11,289 |
| | FMU-139 FUZE | | | | | | | | | | |
| 23 | FMU-139 FUZE | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 24 | ITEMS LESS THAN $2,000,000 | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | MUNITIONS UNDISTRIBUTED | | | | | | | | | | |
| 25 | M-16 A2 RIFLE | | - | | 5,048 | | - | | 5,048 | | 5,048 |
| | CBU 87(Combined Effects Munitions) | | - | | - | | | | 30,000 | | 30,000 |
| | **PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE** | | - | | 321,328 | | - | | 343,848 | | 343,848 |

*Overview*

The budget request for fiscal year 1996 contained an authorization of $6,804.7 million for Other Procurement, Air Force in the Department of Defense. The House bill would authorize $6,784.8 million. The Senate amendment would authorize $6,516.0 million. The conferees recommended an authorization of $6,268.4 million. Unless noted explicitly in the statement of managers, all changes are made without prejudice.

| Line No | Title | FY 1996 Request Quantity | FY 1996 Request Amount | House Authorized Quantity | House Authorized Amount | Senate Authorized Quantity | Senate Authorized Amount | Change to Request Quantity | Change to Request Amount | Conference Agreement Quantity | Conference Agreement Amount |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE | | | | | | | | | | |
| | MUNITIONS AND ASSOCIATED EQUIPMENT | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ROCKETS + LAUNCHERS | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | ITEMS LESS THAN $2,000,000 | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | CARTRIDGES (THOUSANDS) | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 2 | 5.56 MM | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 3 | 20MM TRAINING | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 4 | 30 MM TRAINING | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 5 | CARTRIDGE CHAFF RR-180 | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 6 | CARTRIDGE CHAFF RR-188 | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 7 | SIGNAL MK-4 MOD 3 | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 8 | CART IMP 3000 FT/LBS | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 9 | ITEMS LESS THAN $2,000,000 | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | MK-82 INERT/BDU-50 | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | BOMBS | | | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 10 | BSU-49 INFLATABLE RETARDER | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 11 | GBU-15 | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 12 | BOMB PRACTICE 25 POUND | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 13 | SENSOR FUZED WEAPON | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 14 | CBU-87(COMBINED EFFECTS MUNITIONS) | | - | | - | | | | | | - |
| 15 | ITEMS LESS THAN $2,000,000 | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | TARGETS | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 16 | ITEMS LESS THAN $2,000,000 | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | OTHER ITEMS | | | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 17 | FLARE, IR MJU-7B | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | MJU-28 FLARE | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 18 | MJU-10B | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 19 | ALA-17 FLARE | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 20 | SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 21 | MODIFICATIONS | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 22 | ITEMS LESS THAN $2,000,000 | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | FUZES | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 23 | FMU-139 FUZE | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 24 | ITEMS LESS THAN $2,000,000 | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | OTHER WEAPONS | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 25 | M-16 A2 RIFLE | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 26 | .50 CAL RIFLE | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | VEHICULAR EQUIPMENT | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| | PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 27 | SEDAN, 4 DR 4X2 | 186 | 1,798 | 186 | 1,798 | 186 | 1,798 | | | 186 | 1,798 |
| 28 | STATION WAGON, 4X2 | 69 | 1,053 | 69 | 1,053 | 69 | 1,053 | | | 69 | 1,053 |
| 29 | BUS, 28 PASSENGER | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 30 | BUS - 32-44 PASSENGER | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 31 | BUSES | 43 | 2,339 | 43 | 2,339 | 43 | 2,339 | | | 43 | 2,339 |
| 32 | AMBULANCE, BUS | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 33 | AMBULANCES | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 34 | MODULAR AMBULANCE | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 35 | 14-23 PASSENGER BUS | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 36 | LAW ENFORCEMENT VEHICLE | 86 | 1,327 | 86 | 1,327 | 86 | 1,327 | | | 86 | 1,327 |

| Line No | Title | FY 1996 Request Quantity | FY 1996 Request Amount | House Authorized Quantity | House Authorized Amount | Senate Authorized Quantity | Senate Authorized Amount | Change to Request Quantity | Change to Request Amount | Conference Agreement Quantity | Conference Agreement Amount |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 37 | ARMORED SEDAN | 1 | 202 | 1 | 202 | 1 | 202 | | 58 | 1 | 260 |
| | CARGO + UTILITY VEHICLES | | | | | | | | | | |
| 38 | TRUCK, CARGO-UTILITY, 3/4T, 4X4 | 134 | 2,760 | 134 | 2,760 | 134 | 2,760 | | | 134 | 2,760 |
| 39 | TRUCK, PICKUP, 1/2T, 4X2 | 235 | 2,469 | 235 | 2,469 | 235 | 2,469 | | | 235 | 2,469 |
| 40 | TRUCK, PICKUP, COMPACT | 435 | 4,777 | 435 | 4,777 | 435 | 4,777 | | | 435 | 4,777 |
| 41 | TRUCK MULTI-STOP 1 TON 4X2 | 164 | 3,671 | 164 | 3,671 | 164 | 3,671 | | | 164 | 3,671 |
| 42 | TRUCK CARRYALL | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 43 | TRUCK, CARGO, 2 1/2T, 6X6, M-35 | 50 | 2,705 | 50 | 2,705 | 50 | 2,705 | | | 50 | 2,705 |
| 44 | MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLE | 56 | 5,860 | 56 | 5,860 | 56 | 5,860 | | | 56 | 5,860 |
| 45 | TRUCK TRACTOR, OVER 5T | 57 | 2,799 | 57 | 2,799 | 57 | 2,799 | | | 57 | 2,799 |
| 46 | CAP VEHICLES | | 781 | | 781 | | 781 | | | | 781 |
| 47 | ITEMS LESS THAN $2,000,000 | | 7,258 | | 7,258 | | 7,258 | | | | 7,258 |
| | SPECIAL PURPOSE VEHICLES | | | | | | | | | | |
| 48 | TRUCK TANK FUEL R-11 | 154 | 22,339 | 154 | 22,339 | 154 | 22,339 | | | 154 | 22,339 |
| 49 | HMMWV, ARMORED | 56 | 7,170 | 56 | 7,170 | 56 | 7,170 | | | 56 | 7,170 |
| 50 | TRACTOR, TOW, FLIGHTLINE | 152 | 4,460 | 152 | 4,460 | 152 | 4,460 | | | 152 | 4,460 |
| 51 | ITEMS LESS THAN $2,000,000 | | 6,603 | | 6,603 | | 6,603 | | | | 6,603 |
| | FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT | | | | | | | | | | |
| 52 | TRUCK CRASH P-23 | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 53 | TRUCK WATER P-26 (P-18) | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 54 | HEAVY RESCUE VEHICLE | 15 | 2,616 | 15 | 2,616 | 15 | 2,616 | | | 15 | 2,616 |
| 55 | TRUCK PUMPER P-24 | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 56 | TRUCK PUMPER P-22 | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 57 | ITEMS LESS THAN $2,000,000 | | 1,314 | | 1,314 | | 1,314 | | | | 1,314 |
| | MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT | | | | | | | | | | |
| 58 | TRUCK, F/L 10,000 LB | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 59 | 60K A/C LOADER | 28 | 35,336 | 28 | 35,336 | 28 | 35,336 | 8 | 7,000 | 36 | 42,336 |
| 60 | 50K CONTAINER HANDLER | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 61 | ITEMS LESS THAN $2,000,000 | | 1,670 | | 1,670 | | 1,670 | | | | 1,670 |
| | BASE MAINTENANCE SUPPORT | | | | | | | | | | |
| 62 | WELL DRILLING SYSTEM | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 63 | SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 64 | MODIFICATIONS | | 200 | | 200 | | 200 | | | | 200 |
| 65 | ITEMS LESS THAN $2,000,000 | | 2,352 | | 2,352 | | 2,352 | | 11,824 | | 14,176 |
| | ELECTRONICS AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS EQUIP | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| | COMM SECURITY EQUIPMENT(COMSEC) | | | | | | | | | | |
| 66 | COMSEC EQUIPMENT | | 39,422 | | 39,422 | | 39,422 | | | | 39,422 |
| 67 | SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 68 | MODIFICATIONS (COMSEC) | | 462 | | 462 | | 462 | | | | 462 |
| | INTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS | | | | | | | | | | |
| 69 | INTELLIGENCE DATA HANDLING SYS | | 11,966 | | 11,966 | | 11,966 | | | | 11,966 |
| 70 | INTELLIGENCE TRAINING EQUIPMENT | | 2,368 | | 2,368 | | 2,368 | | | | 2,368 |
| 71 | INTELLIGENCE COMM EQUIP | | 5,510 | | 5,510 | | 5,510 | | | | 5,510 |
| 72 | ITEMS LESS THAN $2,000,000 | | 995 | | 995 | | 995 | | | | 995 |
| | ELECTRONICS PROGRAMS | | | | | | | | | | |
| 73 | AIR TRAFFIC CTRL/LAND SYS (ATCALS) | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 74 | NATIONAL AEROSPACE SYSTEM | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 75 | THEATER AIR CONTROL SYS IMPROVEMENT | | 32,345 | | 32,345 | | 32,345 | | (4,600) | | 27,745 |
| 76 | WEATHER OBSERV/FORCAST | | 7,103 | | 7,103 | | 7,103 | | | | 7,103 |

| Line No | Title | FY 1996 Request Quantity | FY 1996 Request Amount | House Authorized Quantity | House Authorized Amount | Senate Authorized Quantity | Senate Authorized Amount | Change to Request Quantity | Change to Request Amount | Conference Agreement Quantity | Conference Agreement Amount |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 77 | DEFENSE SUPPORT PROGRAM | | 36,909 | | 36,909 | | 36,909 | | | | 36,909 |
| 78 | STRATEGIC COMMAND AND CONTROL | | 67,596 | | 67,596 | | 67,596 | | (9,501) | | 58,095 |
| 79 | CHEYENNE MOUNTAIN COMPLEX | | 8,667 | | 8,667 | | 8,667 | | | | 8,667 |
| 80 | SPACE BASED IR SENSOR PROG | | 19,895 | | - | | - | | (19,895) | | - |
| 81 | NAVSTAR GPS | | 1,170 | | 1,170 | | 1,170 | | | | 1,170 |
| 82 | DEFENSE METEOROLOGICAL SAT PROG | | 14,350 | | 14,350 | | 14,350 | | | | 14,350 |
| 83 | TAC SIGINT SUPPORT | | 5,879 | | 5,879 | | 5,879 | | | | 5,879 |
| 84 | DRUG INTERDICTION PROGRAM | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 85 | NUDET DETECTION SYSTEM (NDS) | | 5,770 | | 5,770 | | 5,770 | | | | 5,770 |
| 86 | DARP | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | SPECIAL COMM-ELECTRONICS PROJECTS | | | | | | | | | | |
| 87 | AUTOMATIC DATA PROCESSING EQUIP | | 23,958 | | 23,958 | | 23,958 | | | | 23,958 |
| 88 | ADP OPERATIONS CONSOLIDATION | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 89 | WWMCCS/GLOBAL COMMAND & CONTROL SYS | | 5,173 | | 5,173 | | 5,173 | | | | 5,173 |
| 90 | MOBILITY COMMAND AND CONTROL | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 91 | PENTAGON RENOVATION | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 92 | AIR FORCE PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEM | | 15,247 | | 15,247 | | 15,247 | | | | 15,247 |
| 93 | COMBAT TRAINING RANGES | | 2,079 | | 2,079 | | 2,079 | | | | 2,079 |
| 94 | C3 COUNTERMEASURES | | 7,548 | | 7,548 | | 7,548 | | | | 7,548 |
| 95 | BASE LEVEL DATA AUTO PROGRAM | | 26,851 | | 26,851 | | 26,851 | | | | 26,851 |
| 96 | AIR FORCE SATELLITE CONTROL NETWORK | | 25,495 | | 25,495 | | 25,495 | | | | 25,495 |
| 97 | THEATER BATTLE MGT C2 SYS | | 52,616 | | 52,616 | | 52,616 | | | | 52,616 |
| 98 | EASTERN/WESTERN RANGE I&M | | 114,505 | | 114,505 | | 114,505 | | | | 114,505 |
| | AIR FORCE COMMUNICATIONS | | | | | | | | | | |
| 99 | INFORMATION TRANSMISSION SYSTEMS | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 100 | BASE INFORMATION INFRASTRUCTURE | | 73,138 | | 73,138 | | 58,138 | | (16,753) | | 56,385 |
| 101 | USCENTCOM | | 2,219 | | 2,219 | | 2,219 | | | | 2,219 |
| 102 | AUTOMATED TELECOMMUNICATIONS PRG | | 18,058 | | 18,058 | | 18,058 | | | | 18,058 |
| 103 | MILSATCOM | | 43,362 | | 43,362 | | 43,362 | | | | 43,362 |
| 104 | SATELLITE TERMINALS | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | DISA PROGRAMS | | | | | | | | | | |
| 105 | WIDEBAND SYSTEMS UPGRADE | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 106 | MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMER COMM NET | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | ORGANIZATION AND BASE | | | | | | | | | | |
| 107 | TACTICAL C-E EQUIPMENT | | 24,628 | | 24,628 | | 24,628 | | | | 24,628 |
| 108 | RADIO EQUIPMENT | | 7,172 | | 7,172 | | 7,172 | | | | 7,172 |
| 109 | TV EQUIPMENT (AFRTV) | | 2,492 | | 2,492 | | 2,492 | | | | 2,492 |
| 110 | CCTV/AUDIOVISUAL EQUIPMENT | | 5,764 | | 5,764 | | 5,764 | | | | 5,764 |
| 111 | BASE COMM INFRASTRUCTURE | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 112 | SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 113 | CAP COM & ELECT | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 114 | ITEMS LESS THAN $2,000,000 | | 6,638 | | 6,638 | | 6,638 | | | | 6,638 |
| | MODIFICATIONS | | | | | | | | | | |
| 115 | COMM ELECT MODS | | 20,424 | | 20,424 | | 20,424 | | (10,700) | | 9,724 |
| 116 | ANTIJAM VOICE | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 117 | SPACE MODS | | 37,142 | | 37,142 | | 37,142 | | | | 37,142 |
| | OTHER BASE MAINTENANCE AND SUPPORT EQUIP | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| | TEST EQUIPMENT | | | | | | | | | | - |
| 118 | BASE/ALC CALIBRATION PACKAGE | | 10,024 | | 10,024 | | 10,024 | | | | 10,024 |

| Line No | Title | FY 1996 Request Quantity | FY 1996 Request Amount | House Authorized Quantity | House Authorized Amount | Senate Authorized Quantity | Senate Authorized Amount | Change to Request Quantity | Change to Request Amount | Conference Agreement Quantity | Conference Agreement Amount |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 119 | PRIMARY STANDARDS LABORATORY PACKAGE | | 1,604 | | 1,604 | | 1,604 | | | | 1,604 |
| 120 | ITEMS LESS THAN $2,000,000 | | 11,820 | | 11,820 | | 11,820 | | | | 11,820 |
| | PERSONAL SAFETY AND RESCUE EQUIP | | | | | | | | | | - |
| 121 | NIGHT VISION GOGGLES | | 976 | | 976 | | 976 | | | | 976 |
| 122 | BREATHING APPARATUS TWO HOUR | | 3,134 | | 3,134 | | 3,134 | | | | 3,134 |
| 123 | UNIVERSAL WATER ACTIVATED REL SYS | | 7,460 | | 7,460 | | 7,460 | | | | 7,460 |
| 124 | CHEMICAL/BIOLOGICAL DEF PROG | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 125 | ITEMS LESS THAN $2,000,000 | | 4,802 | | 4,802 | | 4,802 | | | | 4,802 |
| | DEPOT PLANT + MATERIALS HANDLING EQ | | | | | | | | | | |
| 126 | MECHANIZED MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIP | | 3,525 | | 3,525 | | 3,525 | | | | 3,525 |
| 127 | BASE MECHANIZATION EQUIPMENT | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 128 | AIR TERMINAL MECHANIZATION EQUIP | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 129 | ITEMS LESS THAN $2,000,000 | | 4,090 | | 4,090 | | 4,090 | | | | 4,090 |
| | ELECTRICAL EQUIPMENT | | | | | | | | | | - |
| 130 | GENERATORS-MOBILE ELECTRIC | | 3,186 | | 3,186 | | 3,186 | | | | 3,186 |
| 131 | FLOODLIGHTS SET TYPE NF2D | | 325 | | 325 | | 325 | | | | 325 |
| 132 | ITEMS LESS THAN $2,000,000 | | 3,294 | | 3,294 | | 3,294 | | | | 3,294 |
| | BASE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | | | | | | | | | |
| 133 | BASE PROCURED EQUIPMENT | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 134 | NATURAL GAS UTILIZATION EQUIPMENT | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 135 | MEDICAL/DENTAL EQUIPMENT | | 12,843 | | 12,843 | | 12,843 | | | | 12,843 |
| 136 | ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECTS | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 137 | AIR BASE OPERABILITY | | 4,316 | | 4,316 | | 4,316 | | | | 4,316 |
| 138 | PALLET AIR CARGO | 4,000 | 3,677 | 4000 | 3,677 | 4000 | 3,677 | | | 4000 | 3,677 |
| 139 | NET ASSEMBLY, 108 | | 1,952 | | 1,952 | | 1,952 | | | | 1,952 |
| 140 | BLADDERS FUEL | | 3,933 | | 3,933 | | 3,933 | | | | 3,933 |
| 141 | AERIAL BULK FUEL DELIVERY SYSTEM | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 142 | PHOTOGRAPHIC EQUIPMENT | | 6,231 | | 6,231 | | 6,231 | | | | 6,231 |
| 143 | PRODUCTIVITY ENHANCEMENT | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 144 | PRODUCTIVITY INVESTMENTS | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 145 | MOBILITY EQUIPMENT | | 17,670 | | 17,670 | | 17,670 | | 11,900 | | 29,570 |
| 146 | WARTIME HOST NATION SUPPORT | | 1,699 | | 1,699 | | 1,699 | | (1,699) | | - |
| 147 | SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 148 | DEPLOYMENT/EMPLOYMENT CONTAINERS | | 3,320 | | 3,320 | | 3,320 | | | | 3,320 |
| 149 | SPATIAL DISORIENTATION DEMONSTRATOR | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 150 | AIR CONDITIONERS | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 151 | ITEMS LESS THAN $2,000,000 | | 9,269 | | 9,269 | | 9,269 | | | | 9,269 |
| | SPECIAL SUPPORT PROJECTS | | | | | | | | | | |
| 152 | INTELLIGENCE PRODUCTION ACTIVITY | | 67,928 | | 67,928 | | 61,228 | | 1,200 | | 69,128 |
| 153 | TECH SURV COUNTERMEASURES EQ | | 1,049 | | 1,049 | | 1,049 | | | | 1,049 |
| 154 | SR YR GROUND STATIONS | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 155 | DARP | | 74,051 | | 74,051 | | 74,051 | | | | 74,051 |
| 156 | SELECTED ACTIVITIES | | 5,409,357 | | 5,409,357 | | 5,162,257 | | (505,100) | | 4,904,257 |
| 157 | SPECIAL UPDATE PROGRAM | | 158,402 | | 158,402 | | 158,402 | | | | 158,402 |
| 158 | INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS | | 1,156 | | 1,156 | | 1,156 | | | | 1,156 |
| 159 | MODIFICATIONS | | 199 | | 199 | | 199 | | | | 199 |
| 160 | FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION | | 12,914 | | 12,914 | | 12,914 | | | | 12,914 |
| | SPARE AND REPAIR PARTS | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| | SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | | | | | | | | | |

| Line No | Title | FY 1996 Request | | House Authorized | | Senate Authorized | | Change to Request | | Conference Agreement | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Quantity | Amount | Quantity | Amount | Quantity | Amount | Quantity | Amount | Quantity | Amount |
| 161 | SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | 61,715 | | 61,715 | | 61,715 | | | | 61,715 |
| | OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE-TOTAL | | 6,804,696 | | 6,784,801 | | 6,516,001 | | (536,266) | | 6,268,430 |

*Overview*

The budget request for fiscal year 1996 contained an authorization of $2,179.9 million for Defense-wide Procurement in the Department of Defense. The House bill would authorize $2,205.9 million. The Senate amendment would authorize $2,118.3 million. The conferees recommended an authorization of $2,124.4 million. Unless noted explicitly in the statement of managers, all changes are made without prejudice.

| Line No | Title | FY 1996 Request Quantity | FY 1996 Request Amount | House Authorized Quantity | House Authorized Amount | Senate Authorized Quantity | Senate Authorized Amount | Change to Request Quantity | Change to Request Amount | Conference Agreement Quantity | Conference Agreement Amount |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE | | | | | | | | | | |
| | MAJOR EQUIPMENT | | | | | | | | | | |
| | MAJOR EQUIPMENT, OSD/WHS | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | MOTOR VEHICLES | | 304 | | 304 | | 304 | | | | 304 |
| 2 | MAJOR EQUIPMENT, OSD | | 193,321 | | 193,321 | | 193,321 | | | | 193,321 |
| 3 | MAJOR EQUIPMENT, WHS | | 15,670 | | 15,670 | | 15,670 | | | | 15,670 |
| 4 | ARMED FORCE INFORMATION SERVICE | | 4,907 | | 4,907 | | 4,907 | | | | 4,907 |
| 5 | DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE EDUCATION ACTIVITY | | 1,612 | | 1,612 | | 1,612 | | | | 1,612 |
| 6 | DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY SECURITY ADMINISTRATION | | 770 | | 770 | | 770 | | | | 770 |
| 7 | DARP | | 179,307 | | 179,307 | | 183,807 | | (17,732) | | 161,575 |
| 8 | CORPORATE INFORMATION MANAGEMENT | | 4,933 | | 4,933 | | 4,933 | | | | 4,933 |
| 9 | DEFENSE INFORMATION INFRASTRUCTURE | | 54,234 | | 54,234 | | 54,234 | | 4,500 | | 58,734 |
| | MAJOR EQUIPMENT, NSA | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | DEFENSE AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE PROGRAM | | 16,978 | | 16,978 | | 16,978 | | | | 16,978 |
| | MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DNA | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | VEHICLES | | 130 | | 130 | | 130 | | | | 130 |
| 13 | OTHER MAJOR EQUIPMENT | | 7,971 | | 7,971 | | 7,971 | | | | 7,971 |
| | MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DISA | | | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | WWMCCS ADP SYSTEMS | | 4,446 | | 4,446 | | 4,446 | | | | 4,446 |
| 15 | INFORMATION SERVICES TRANSFER | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 16 | PLANS & PROGRAM ANALYSIS SUPPORT CENTER | | 1,498 | | 1,498 | | 1,498 | | | | 1,498 |
| 17 | ITEMS LESS THAN $2 MILLION | | 294 | | 294 | | 294 | | | | 294 |
| 18 | DRUG INTERDICTION SUPPORT | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DIA | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| | MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DLA | | | | | | | | | | |
| 21 | DEFENSE SUPPORT ACTIVITIES | | 7,291 | | 7,291 | | 7,291 | | | | 7,291 |
| 21a | AUTOMATED DOCUMENT CONVERSION SYSTEM | | - | | 20,000 | | | | | | - |
| | MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DMA | | | | | | | | | | |
| 22 | COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 23 | AUTOMATED INFORMATION SYSTEM EQUIPMENT | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 24 | VECTOR PRODUCT EQUIPMENT | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 25 | DEVELOPMENT TEST FACILITY | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 26 | MC & G MAINFRAME UPGRADE | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 27 | VEHICLES | | 1,211 | | 1,211 | | 1,211 | | | | 1,211 |
| 28 | OTHER CAPITAL EQUIPMENT | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 29 | DEFENSE HYDROGRAPHIC EQUIPMENT | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DIS | | | | | | | | | | |
| 30 | VEHICLES | 333 | 3,117 | 333 | 3,117 | 333 | 3,117 | | | 333 | 3,117 |
| 31 | OTHER CAPITAL EQUIPMENT | | 6,801 | | 6,801 | | 6,801 | | | | 6,801 |
| | MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DCAA | | | | | | | | | | |
| 32 | ITEMS LESS THAN $2 MILLION | | 3,980 | | 3,980 | | 3,980 | | | | 3,980 |
| | MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DSPO | | | | - | | - | | | | |
| 33 | MAJOR EQUIPMENT , DSPO | | 25,104 | | 25,104 | | 25,104 | | | | 25,104 |
| 34 | MAJOR EQUIPMENT , DSPO | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 35 | MAJOR EQUIPMENT | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | MAJOR EQUIPMENT, TJS | | | | | | | | | | |
| 36 | MAJOR EQUIPMENT, TJS | | 38,717 | | 38,717 | | 38,717 | | | | 38,717 |
| | ON-SITE INSPECTION AGENCY | | | | | | | | | | |
| 37 | VEHICLES | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |

| Line No | Title | FY 1996 Request Quantity | FY 1996 Request Amount | House Authorized Quantity | House Authorized Amount | Senate Authorized Quantity | Senate Authorized Amount | Change to Request Quantity | Change to Request Amount | Conference Agreement Quantity | Conference Agreement Amount |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 38 | OTHER CAPITAL EQUIPMENT | | 2,941 | | 2,941 | | 2,941 | | | | 2,941 |
| | BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION | | | | | | | | | | |
| 40 | C4I | | 32,242 | | 32,242 | | 32,242 | | | | 32,242 |
| 41 | HAWK BN/C3 MODS | | 5,106 | | 5,106 | | 5,106 | | | | 5,106 |
| | CENTRAL IMAGERY OFFICE | | | | | | | | | | |
| | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | | | | | | | | | | - |
| | SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND | | | | | | | | | | |
| | AVIATION PROGRAMS | | | | | | | | | | |
| 44 | RADIO FREQUENCY MOBILE ELECTRONIC TEST SET | | 29,801 | | 29,801 | | 29,801 | | | | 29,801 |
| 45 | SOF ROTARY WING UPGRADES | | 9,042 | | 9,042 | | 9,042 | | | | 9,042 |
| 46 | SOF TRAINING SYSTEMS | | 26,818 | | 26,818 | | 26,818 | | | | 26,818 |
| 47 | MC-130H COMBAT TALON II | | 12,134 | | 12,134 | | 12,134 | | | | 12,134 |
| 48 | AC-130U GUNSHIP ACQUISITION | | 57,165 | | 57,165 | | 57,165 | | | | 57,165 |
| 49 | C-130 MODIFICATIONS | | 115,518 | | 115,518 | | 115,518 | | | | 115,518 |
| 49 | LESS: ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (PY) | | (5,101) | | (5,101) | | (5,101) | | | | (5,101) |
| 50 | ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 51 | HH-53 MODIFICATIONS | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 52 | MH-47/MH-60 MODIFICATIONS | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 53 | OH-6 PROCUREMENT & MODIFICATIONS | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 54 | AIRCRAFT SUPPORT | | 5,946 | | 5,946 | | 5,946 | | | | 5,946 |
| | SHIPBUILDING | | | | | | | | | | - |
| 55 | PC,CYCLONE CLASS | | - | | - | | - | | 20,000 | | 20,000 |
| 56 | ADVANCED SEAL DELIVERY SYSTEM (ASDS) | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 57 | MK VIII MOD 1 - SEAL DELIVERY VEHICLE | 4 | 11,115 | 4 | 11,115 | 4 | 11,115 | | | 4 | 11,115 |
| 58 | SUBMARINE CONVERSION | | 6,770 | | 6,770 | | 6,770 | | | | 6,770 |
| 58 | LESS: ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (PY) | | (2,086) | | (2,086) | | (2,086) | | | | (2,086) |
| 59 | ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 60 | MK V SPECIAL OPERATIONS CRAFT (MK V SOC) | 2 | 19,501 | 2 | 19,501 | 4 | 37,201 | 2 | 17,700 | 4 | 37,201 |
| | AMMUNITION PROGRAMS | | | | | | | | | | |
| 61 | SOF PYRO/DEMO | | 23,887 | | 23,887 | | 23,887 | | | | 23,887 |
| 62 | SOF PLATFORM GUN AMMUNITION | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 63 | SOF INDIV WEAPONS AMMUNITION | | 45,412 | | 45,412 | | 45,412 | | | | 45,412 |
| | OTHER PROCUREMENT PROGRAMS | | | | | | | | | | |
| 64 | MARITIME EQUIPMENT MODIFICATIONS | | 8,559 | | 8,559 | | 8,559 | | | | 8,559 |
| 65 | SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | 35,876 | | 35,876 | | 35,876 | | | | 35,876 |
| 66 | COMM EQUIPMENT & ELECTRONICS | | 32,824 | | 32,824 | | 32,824 | | | | 32,824 |
| 67 | SOF INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS | | 19,510 | | 19,510 | | 19,510 | | | | 19,510 |
| 68 | SOF SMALL ARMS & WEAPONS | | 9,972 | | 9,972 | | 9,972 | | | | 9,972 |
| 69 | SPECIAL WARFARE EQUIPMENT | | 11,776 | | 11,776 | | 7,483 | | (4,293) | | 7,483 |
| 69a | LIGHT STRIKE VEHICLE | | | | 6,000 | | - | | 6,000 | | 6,000 |
| 70 | DRUG INTERDICTION | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 71 | MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT | | 809 | | 809 | | 809 | | | | 809 |
| 72 | SOF PLANNING AND REHEARSAL SYSTEM (SOFPARS) | | 595 | | 595 | | 595 | | | | 595 |
| 73 | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | | 77,656 | | 77,656 | | 77,656 | | | | 77,656 |
| 74 | PSYOP EQUIPMENT | | 28,106 | | 28,106 | | 28,106 | | | | 28,106 |
| | CHEMICAL/BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE | | | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | CBDP | | | | | | | | | | |
| 75 | PROTECTIVE MASK | | 24,819 | | 24,819 | | 24,819 | | | | 24,819 |
| 76 | AIRCREW MASK | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |

| Line No | Title | FY 1996 Request Quantity | FY 1996 Request Amount | House Authorized Quantity | House Authorized Amount | Senate Authorized Quantity | Senate Authorized Amount | Change to Request Quantity | Change to Request Amount | Conference Agreement Quantity | Conference Agreement Amount |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 77 | REMOTE CHEM AGT ALARM (RSCAAL) | | 4,190 | | 4,190 | | 4,190 | | | | 4,190 |
| 78 | IMPROVED CHEM AGENT MONITOR (ICAM) | 237 | 7,232 | 237 | 7,232 | 237 | 7,232 | | | 237 | 7,232 |
| 79 | AUTO CHEM AGENT ALARM (ACADA) | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 80 | NBC RECON SYS (NBCRS) MODS | | 46,033 | | 46,033 | | 46,033 | | | | 46,033 |
| 81 | MODULAR DECON SYSTEM | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 82 | M17 DECON MODS | | 3,165 | | 3,165 | | 3,165 | | | | 3,165 |
| 83 | POCKET RADIAC AN/UDR- 13 | 4,636 | 3,729 | 4636 | 3,729 | 4636 | 3,729 | | | 4636 | 3,729 |
| 84 | CB PROTECTIVE SHELTER | 62 | 11,494 | 62 | 11,494 | 62 | 11,494 | | | 62 | 11,494 |
| 85 | JOINT BIO DEFENSE PRGM | | 22,860 | | 22,860 | | 22,860 | | | | 22,860 |
| 86 | CHME/BIO DEFENSE EQ (AF) | | 11,049 | | 11,049 | | 11,049 | | | | 11,049 |
| 87 | CHEM WARFARE DETECTORS | | 5,455 | | 5,455 | | 5,455 | | | | 5,455 |
| 88 | CB HELO NDI | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| 89 | CBR EQUIP-SHIPBOARD | - | 498 | | 498 | | 498 | | | | 498 |
| 999 | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | | 844,903 | | 844,903 | | 765,403 | | (81,713) | | 763,190 |
| | **PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE-TOTAL** | | 2,179,917 | | 2,205,917 | | 2,118,324 | | (55,538) | | 2,124,379 |

*Defense airborne reconnaissance program procurement*

The budget request included $179.3 million in procurement for the Defense airborne reconnaissance program (DARP).

The House bill would approve the budget request.

The Senate amendment would increase the requested amount by $4.5 million, and would direct the Department to change the priorities of some program elements. The conferees agree to an authorization of $161.6 million, a reduction of $17.7 million from the budget request.

JOINT TACTICAL UAV

The conferees agree to authorize a total of $42.4 million for the joint tactical UAV (JT-UAV), a reduction of $17.7 million from the budget request.

The conferees are particularly concerned about the continuing problems with the Hunter UAV in the JT-UAV program. Therefore, the conferees direct that none of the funds appropriated for fiscal year 1996 be used to procure production Hunter systems or additional low-rate initial production units, beyond those already ordered, until the Secretary of Defense provides to the Congressional defense committees the results of the Defense Acquisition Board (DAB) review of the Hunter program.

PIONEER UAV

Of the funds authorized and appropriated for defense-wide procurement, Defense Airborne Reconnaissance Programs (DARP), the conferees direct that the Department use $4.5 million to equip nine Pioneer UAV systems with the common automatic landing and recovery system (CARLS).

The conferees note the Department's continuing failure to equip UAVs with the CARLS system. The conferees are concerned with this result, particularly since the Department agrees that CARLS installation on UAVs in general, and Pioneer in particular, would reduce landing accidents and associated losses.

*Automated document conversion system*

The budget request did not include any additional funds for the automated document conversion system (ADCS). This is a program for converting the Department of Defense's engineering drawings from hard copy to electronic format.

The House bill would authorize $20.0 million for this purpose.

The Senate amendment would approve the budget request.

The conferees are concerned with the lack of progress by the Department toward achieving major cost savings through the adoption of automated document conversion technology. The conferees are encouraged, however, that the Department has recently acknowledged such savings and has produced a roadmap to realize these savings by changing from raster to vector conversion. The conferees also understand this plan brings an upgrade and expansion of UNIX-based systems and will test several personal computer (PC)-based systems.

However, the conferees are concerned with the Department's plan for using $10.0 million of these funds for "bulk" conversion purposes, since these funds were specifically appropriated for the purchase of ADCS equipment. The conferees are concerned that there may be a greater requirement for ADCS software and equipment than the Department currently has planned and that some or all of the funds planned for bulk conversion may be needed for software and equipment. Should the results of the Department's ongoing conversion survey confirm that additional software and equipment is needed, the conferees feel that the Department should address first the needs of UNIX-based engineering systems as the UNIX-based system has undergone extensive testing per Congressional direction. The conferees direct that the Secretary of Defense provide a report to the congressional defense committees by March 29, 1996, on the results of the PC-based system testing.

*Overview*

The budget request for fiscal year 1996 contained no authorization for National Guard and Reserve Procurement in the Department of Defense. The House bill would authorize $770.0 million. The Senate amendment would authorize $777.4 million. The conferees recommended an authorization of $777.0 million. Unless noted explicitly in the statement of managers, all changes are made without prejudice.

| Line No | Title | FY 1996 Request Quantity | FY 1996 Request Amount | House Authorized Quantity | House Authorized Amount | Senate Authorized Quantity | Senate Authorized Amount | Change to Request Quantity | Change to Request Amount | Conference Agreement Quantity | Conference Agreement Amount |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | **NATIONAL GUARD & RESERVE EQUIPMENT** | | | | | | | | | | |
| | **RESERVE EQUIPMENT** | | | | | | | | | | |
| | *ARMY RESERVE* | | - | | | | | | | | |
| | TACTICAL VEHICLES | | - | | 20,000 | | - | | 15,000 | | 15,000 |
| | SINCGARS | | - | | 3,500 | | 5,000 | | 3,000 | | 3,000 |
| | MEDIUM TRUCK ESP | | - | | - | | 10,000 | | 10,000 | | 10,000 |
| | HEAVY TRUCK MODERNIZATION | | - | | - | | 15,000 | | 10,000 | | 10,000 |
| | NIGHT VISION EQUIPMENT | | - | | 5,800 | | 5,000 | | 5,700 | | 5,700 |
| | CHEMICAL/BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE EQUIPMENT | | - | | - | | 2,000 | | 2,000 | | 2,000 |
| | ENGINEER EQUIPMENT | | - | | 10,000 | | - | | 10,000 | | 10,000 |
| | VARI-REACH LIFT TRUCKS | | - | | 4,500 | | - | | | | - |
| | ALL-TERRAIN FORK LIFT TRUCKS | | | | | | | | | | 4,500 |
| | CH-47D | | - | | 16,000 | | - | | 16,000 | | 16,000 |
| | ENGINE SERVICE ADAPTERS | | - | | 500 | | - | | 500 | | 500 |
| | GENERATORS/POWER EQUIP/SPT EQUIP | | - | | 5,000 | | - | | 5,000 | | 5,000 |
| | MK-19 GRENADE LAUNCHERS | | - | | 10,000 | | - | | | | - |
| | SIMULATORS | | - | | 2,000 | | - | | 2,000 | | 2,000 |
| | MEDICAL EQUIPMENT | | - | | 2,000 | | - | | 2,000 | | 2,000 |
| | MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT | | - | | 5,000 | | 25,000 | | | | - |
| | *NAVY RESERVE* | | | | | | | | | | |
| | DECM EQUIPMENT | | - | | 8,000 | | - | | 8,000 | | 8,000 |
| | F/A-18 UPGRADES | | - | | 28,000 | | 24,000 | | 24,000 | | 24,000 |
| | MIUW TSW-108 | | - | | 10,000 | | 10,000 | | 10,000 | | 10,000 |
| | C-9 AIRCRAFT AVIONIC UPGRADE | | - | | 35,000 | | 25,000 | | 25,000 | | 25,000 |
| | MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT | | - | | 5,000 | | 15,000 | | | | - |
| | *MARINE CORPS RESERVE* | | | | | | | | | | |
| | CBT VEHICLE TRAINER | | - | | 3,800 | | - | | 3,800 | | 3,800 |
| | CH-53 HELICOPTERS | | - | | 30,000 | | - | | 50,000 | 2 | 50,000 |
| | DIGITAL COMMAND & CONTROL NETWORK | | - | | 4,300 | | - | | 4,300 | | 4,300 |
| | COMM COMPANY EQUIPMENT | | - | | 5,000 | | - | | 5,000 | | 5,000 |
| | UH-1N NAV/FLIR UPGRADES | | - | | 2,600 | | - | | 2,600 | | 2,600 |
| | AH-1W HELICOPTERS (3) | | - | | - | | 35,000 | | | | - |
| | SINCGARS | | - | | - | | 5,000 | | 3,000 | | 3,000 |
| | NIGHT VISION EQUIPMENT | | - | | - | | 5,000 | | 5,000 | | 5,000 |
| | MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT | | - | | 5,000 | | 10,000 | | | | - |
| | *AIR FORCE RESERVE* | | | | | | | | | | |
| | KC-135R REEINGINING | | - | | 26,000 | | - | | | | - |
| | C-130H | | - | 4 | 145,200 | | - | | 135,600 | 4 | 135,600 |
| | C-130J | | - | | - | | 210,000 | | | | - |
| | C-20G | | - | | - | | 30,000 | | | | - |
| | MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | **TOTAL RESERVE EQUIPMENT** | | - | | 392,200 | | 431,000 | | 362,000 | | 362,000 |
| | **NATIONAL GUARD EQUIPMENT** | | | | | | | | | | |
| | *ARMY NATIONAL GUARD* | | | | | | | | | | |
| | AVENGER | | - | | - | | 54,000 | | 50,000 | | 50,000 |
| | PALADIN/FAASV | | - | | - | | 55,000 | | | | - |
| | MLRS | | - | | - | | 16,400 | | | | - |
| | M113A3 UPGRADES | | - | | - | | 10,000 | | 10,000 | | 10,000 |

| Line No | Title | FY 1996 Request Quantity | FY 1996 Request Amount | House Authorized Quantity | House Authorized Amount | Senate Authorized Quantity | Senate Authorized Amount | Change to Request Quantity | Change to Request Amount | Conference Agreement Quantity | Conference Agreement Amount |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | M113A3 NIGHT VIEWERS | | - | | - | | 2,000 | | 2,000 | | 2,000 |
| | MEDIUM TRUCK ESP | | - | | - | | 10,000 | | 15,000 | | 15,000 |
| | HEAVY TRUCK MODERNIZATION | | - | | - | | 10,000 | | 10,000 | | 10,000 |
| | TACTICAL TRUCK PROC/SLELP | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | TACTICAL VEHICLES | | | | | | | | | | 10,000 |
| | NEW PROCUREMENT | | - | | 10,000 | | - | | - | | - |
| | SLEP (5 TON) | | - | | 10,000 | | - | | | | - |
| | SLEP (2 1/2 TON) | | - | | 10,000 | | - | | | | - |
| | SINCGARS | | - | | 10,000 | | 5,000 | | 5,000 | | 5,000 |
| | M109 ACE | | - | | 10,000 | | - | | 5,000 | | 5,000 |
| | IFTE | | - | | 10,000 | | - | | 10,000 | | 10,000 |
| | NIGHT VISION EQUIPMENT | | - | | 10,000 | | 5,000 | | 5,000 | | 5,000 |
| | CHEM/BIO EQUIPMENT | | - | | 5,000 | | 2,000 | | 2,000 | | 2,000 |
| | HIGH CAPACITY AIR AMBULANCE | | - | | 30,000 | | - | | | | - |
| | AH-1 (C-NITE) | | - | | 10,000 | | - | | | | - |
| | FADEC | | - | | 10,000 | | - | | 5,000 | | 5,000 |
| | TRNG & SIM EQUIPMENT | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | AH-64 COMBAT MISSION SIMULATOR | | - | | 15,000 | | 15,000 | | 15,000 | | 15,000 |
| | UH-1 SLEP | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | AH-1 BORESIGHT EQUIPMENT | | - | | - | | 5,000 | | 5,000 | | 5,000 |
| | FULL AUTHORITY DIGITAL ELECTRONIC CONTROL (CH-47) | | - | | - | | 5,000 | | | | - |
| | C-26 | | | | | | | | | 2 | 11,000 |
| | MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT | | - | | 10,000 | | 15,000 | | | | - |
| | *AIR NATIONAL GUARD* | | | | | | | | | | |
| | F-16 220E ENGINES | | - | | 10,000 | | - | | 5,000 | | 5,000 |
| | C-130H | | - | 4 | 145,200 | | 102,000 | 6 | 203,400 | 6 | 203,400 |
| | AIRLIFT DEFENSIVE SYSTEMS | | - | | 10,000 | | - | | 10,000 | | 10,000 |
| | KC-135R REENGINING | | - | | 26,000 | | 26,000 | | | | - |
| | KC-135 MODS | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | AIRLIFT REPLACEMENT RADAR | | - | | 6,600 | | - | | 6,600 | | 6,600 |
| | C-20G | | - | | 30,000 | | - | | 30,000 | | 30,000 |
| | C-26 | | - | | - | | 9,000 | | | | - |
| | MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT | | - | | - | | - | | | | - |
| | TOTAL NATIONAL GUARD EQUIPMENT | | - | | 377,800 | | 346,400 | | 415,000 | | 415,000 |
| | TOTAL NATIONAL GUARD & RESERVE EQUIPMENT | | - | | | | | | | | |
| | MISC EQUIPMENT GUARD & RESERVE AIRCRAFT | | | | | | | | | | |
| | **TOTAL NATIONAL GUARD & RESERVE EQUIPMENT** | | | | 770,000 | | 777,400 | | 777,000 | | 777,000 |

*Overview*

The budget request for fiscal year 1996 contained an authorization of $746.7 million for Chemical Agent and Munitions Destruction, Army in the Department of Defense. The House bill would authorize $746.7 million. The Senate amendment would authorize $671.7 million. The conferees recommended an authorization of $672.3 million. Unless noted explicitly in the statement of managers, all changes are made without prejudice.

| Line No | Title | FY 1996 Request Quantity | FY 1996 Request Amount | House Authorized Quantity | House Authorized Amount | Senate Authorized Quantity | Senate Authorized Amount | Change to Request Quantity | Change to Request Amount | Conference Agreement Quantity | Conference Agreement Amount |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | **CHEM AGENTS & MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION, DEF** | | | | | | | | | | |
| | CHEM AGENTS & MUNITIONS DESTRUCT-RDT&E | | | | | | | | | | |
| | RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | CHEM DEMILITARIZATION - RDTE | | 53,400 | | 53,400 | | 53,400 | | | | 53,400 |
| | | | 53,400 | | 53,400 | | 53,400 | | | | 53,400 |
| | **CHEM AGENTS & MUNITIONS DESTRUCT-PROC** | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PROCUREMENT | | | | | | | | | | 265,000 |
| 2 | CHEM DEMILITARIZATION - PROC | | 299,448 | | 299,448 | | 224,448 | | (34,448) | | 265,000 |
| | **CHEM AGENTS & MUNITIONS DESTRUCT-O&M** | | | | | | | | | | |
| | OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | CHEM DEMILITARIZATION - O&M | | 393,850 | | 393,850 | | 393,850 | | (40,000) | | 353,850 |
| | | | 393,850 | | 393,850 | | 393,850 | | (40,000) | | 353,850 |

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

*Aerial targets*

The budget request included $68.6 million for aerial targets.

The House bill and the Senate amendment authorized the request.

The conferees understand the Navy's current acquisition strategy for subscale subsonic aerial targets is to procure only the BQM-74E. However, the conferees understand the contractor may have taken some recent cost reduction initiatives on the BQM-34S subscale target. Therefore, the conferees believe that the Navy's non-competitive procurement of the BQM-74E may not provide the service with the best value target. Accordingly, the conferees urge the Navy to reassess its acquisition strategy for this target and conduct a competition based upon meeting a performance specification. The conferees believe that such a competition could result in buying a target that truly represents the best value to the Navy.

*AN/ALE-47*

The conferees are concerned that the current Air Force acquisition strategy for the follow-on production of lots IV through VII of the AN/ALE-47 Countermeasure Dispenser System may involve significant and unnecessary risks for the program. The conferees direct the Air Force to delay any procurement action regarding lots IV through VII of the AN/ALE-47 until 14 days after the date on which the Air Force has provided the congressional defense committees with a report that assesses the cost and acquisition strategy related to the introduction of new suppliers for the system.

*Engineer construction equipment*

The conferees are aware of the significant contribution National Guard engineer construction units have made to securing the southwest border. The construction efforts of the National Guard have been of singular assistance in providing for increased safety for U.S. Border Patrol agents and in facilitating the U.S. Border Patrol efforts to counter illegal drugs and illegal immigration along the southwest border. The conferees agree that sufficient funds should be allocated by the National Guard to purchase appropriate loaders, dozers, and road-grading equipment for use by National Guard engineer construction units that rotate to continue construction on projects along the United States-Mexican border.

The conferees have indicated elsewhere in this statement of managers, that the Department of Defense should, through normal reprogramming procedures, use available funds provided for counterdrug activities to continue construction to extend the fence constructed by the National Guard on the southwest border.

*LPD-17 radio communications systems engineering support*

The conferees note that, as a result of the base realignment and closure decisions, the Navy has reorganized and consolidated its radio communications systems (RCS) engineering, production, testing, integration, and training support activities. In assigning RCS engineering support workload for the LPD-17 class of ships, the conferees expect that the Navy will assign such workload to the most appropriate facility.

*SH-60 modifications*

The conferees understand that there are at least 60 AN/AQS-13F dipping sonars currently installed in the Navy's SH-60F helicopters that will not be replaced under the SH-60R program. These sonars could be upgraded to meet current shallow water operational requirements based on a modification already developed through the FMS program.

The conferees direct the Secretary of the Navy to evaluate the cost effectiveness of a modification program for the AQS-13F dipping sonars that will not be replaced in conjunction with the SH-60R program, and report the results to the congressional defense committees by March 15, 1996.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations

Subtitle B—Army Programs

*Procurement of OH-58D Armed Kiowa Warrior helicopters (sec. 111)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 111) that would modify current law to permit procurement of twenty additional OH-58D AHIP scout helicopters.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 122).

The conferees understand that the procurement of twenty additional OH-58D Armed Kiowa Warrior helicopters will cost up to $140.0 million and agree to amend the provision to authorize $140.0 million to procure these helicopters.

*Repeal of requirements for armored vehicle upgrades (sec. 112)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 112) that would repeal subsection (j) of section 21 of the Arms Export Control Act (22 U.S.C. 2761).

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Multiyear procurement of helicopters (sec. 113)*

The budget request included $354.0 million to buy 18 AH-64D aircraft and 13 Longbow fire control radars.

The House bill would authorize the budget request.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 111) that would authorize an increase of $82.0 million and the multiyear procurement of Longbow Apache helicopters.

The House recedes with an amendment.

The conferees agree to authorize an increase of $76.2 million for the Longbow Apache attack helicopter program and multiyear procurement contracts for both the AH-64D Longbow Apache attack helicopter program and the UH-60 Black Hawk utility helicopter program.

*Report on AH-64D engine upgrades (sec. 114)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 114) that would require the Secretary of the Army to submit a report to Congress on plans to procure T700-701C engine upgrade kits for Army AH-64D helicopters.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Requirement for use of previously authorized multiyear procurement authority for Army small arms procurement (sec. 115)*

The budget request did not include any funds for procurement of small arms.

The House bill and the Senate amendment would authorize funds for the following small arms programs as indicated below:

[In millions of dollars]

| | House | Senate |
|---|---|---|
| M-16 rifle | $13.5 | $13.5 |
| M4 carbine | 6.5 | 13.5 |
| M9 personal defense weapon | 2.0 | 4.0 |
| M249 squad automatic weapon | 28.5 | 28.5 |
| MK-19 grenade launcher | 20.0 | 33.9 |
| Medium machine gun (mod kits) | 6.5 | 6.5 |

The conferees agree to provide funds for small arms programs as indicated below:

| | Dollars (millions) | Quantity |
|---|---|---|
| M-16 rifle | $13.5 | 27,500 |
| M4 carbine | 6.5 | 12,000 |
| M9 personal defense weapon | 2.0 | 4,660 |
| M249 squad automatic weapon | 28.5 | 10,265 |
| MK-19 grenade launcher | 33.9 | 2,100 |
| Medium machine gun (mod kits) | 6.5 | 1,434 |

The conferees express their concern that the Army did not include funds for small arms programs in the fiscal year 1996 budget request, despite specific direction regarding multiyear procurement for small arms included in the Statement of Managers accompanying the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (S. Rept. 103-701). The conferees expect the Secretary of the Army to comply with both the letter and intent of the law in this regard. The conferees further expect the Secretary of the Army to ensure that small arms programs are funded at levels approximating those in this report until requirements for each separate class of small arms are fully achieved and that appropriate multiyear contracts are executed. The conferees include a provision (sec. 116) that would direct the Secretary of the Army to enter into multiyear procurement contracts during fiscal year 1997, in accordance with section 115(b)(2) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995.

Subtitle C—Navy Programs

*Nuclear attack submarines (sec. 131)*

The budget request reflected a policy, adopted by the Department of Defense as a consequence of its Bottom Up Review, that would cause all future nuclear submarines to be constructed by General Dynamics Electric Boat Division (Electric Boat). The budget request included the following funding for submarine construction programs:

(1) $1.5 billion for SSN-23, the final increment required for full funding of this *Seawolf* class submarine;

(2) $704.5 million advance procurement for the first of a new class of nuclear attack submarines, designated as the new attack submarine (NAS), whose construction would begin in fiscal year 1998; and

(3) a total of $455.4 million for research, development, test, and evaluation for the NAS program.

The House report (H. Rept. 104-131) reflected the view that changes in the Navy's plan for acquisition of nuclear attack submarines should be made to incorporate advanced technologies into these submarines' designs. These recommendations were based on an underlying premise that the Navy's NAS program would not provide an adequate technological advantage over foreign submarines presently under construction or in design. The House bill would:

(1) not authorize SSN-23;

(2) authorize $550.0 million for Electric Boat to design, build, and incorporate a hull section into SSN-22 to create a lengthened, expanded capability variant of the basic *Seawolf* design, while retaining its full weapons load;

(3) authorize $704.5 million advance procurement for the fiscal year 1998 submarine that would be built by Electric Boat;

(4) authorize $300.0 million for Electric Boat to design and build a second hull section that would be incorporated into a fiscal year 1998 submarine, and convert that submarine from the lead ship of a serial-production class, based on the current NAS design, into an additional, one-of-a-kind, expanded capability platform that would be derived from the current NAS design;

(5) directs that $10.0 million of the funds in the budget request for NAS detailed design work be used only for establishing and maintaining a cadre of Newport News submarine designers at Electric Boat and for transfer of all NAS design data from Electric Boat's design data base to Newport News';

(6) authorize $150.0 million to begin an effort at Newport News to design, develop, and build prototype versions of major submarine components that would result in a follow-on submarine design for serial production that represents a substantial improvement in affordability and capability over the current NAS design;

(7) direct the Advanced Research Projects Agency (ARPA) and the national laboratories to make new technologies available to both Electric Boat and Newport News that show potential for achieving a follow-on submarine design for serial production that represents a substantial improvement over the current NAS design; and

(8) include a provision (sec. 133) that would direct the Secretary of the navy to award, on a competitive basis, contracts for attack submarines built after the fiscal year 1998 submarine.

The Senate amendment reflected an alternate view on how to acquire nuclear attack submarines. It contained a provision (sec. 121) that would:

(1) authorize the SSN-23 at $1.5 billion, the budget request;

(2) limit the ability of the Secretary of the Navy to obligate or expend funds for SSN-23 until he restructures the NAS program to provide for:

(a) procurement of the lead NAS from Electric Boat in fiscal year 1998;

(b) procurement of the second NAS from Newport News Shipbuilding and Drydock (Newport News) in fiscal year 1999; and

(c) competitive procurement of any additional NAS vessels after the second. Potential competitors for these additional vessels would be contractors that have been awarded a contract by the Secretary of the Navy for construction of nuclear attack submarines during the past 10 years;

(3) place additional limits on the total amount of funds that may be expended for SSN-23 in fiscal years 1996, 1997, 1998, and 1999;

(4) direct the Secretary of the Navy to solicit competitive proposals and award the contract or contracts for NAS, after the second NAS, on the basis of price;

(5) direct the Secretary of the Navy to take no action that would impair the design, engineering, construction, and maintenance competencies of either Electric Boat or Newport News to construct the NAS;

(6) direct the Secretary of the Navy to report every six months to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House the obligation and expenditure of funds for SSN-23 and the NAS;

(7) authorize $814.5 million in fiscal year 1996 for design and advance procurement of the lead and second NAS, of which $10.0 million would be available only for participation of Newport News in the NAS design, and $100.0 million would be available only for advance procurement and design of the second submarine under the NAS program;

(8) place limits on the expenditure of advance procurement funds in fiscal year 1996 for the lead NAS, unless funds are also obligated or expended for the second NAS;

(9) authorized $802.0 million in fiscal year 1997 for advance procurement of the lead and second NAS, of which $75.0 million would be available only for participation by Newport News in the design of the NAS, and $427.0 million would be available only for advance procurement and design of the second submarine under the NAS program; and

(10) authorized $455.4 million, the budget request, for research, development, test, and evaluation for the NAS program.

The conferees agree to adopt a new provision dealing with the design and procurement of future Navy attack submarines. This provision would:

(1) authorize the SSN-23 at $700.0 million;

(2) authorize $804.5 million in fiscal year 1996 for design and advance procurement of the fiscal year 1998 and fiscal year 1999 submarines (previously designated by the Navy as the NAS), of which;

(a) $704.5 million would be available only for long-lead and advance construction and procurement for the fiscal year 1998 submarine, which would be built by Electric Boat; and

(b) $100.0 million would be available only for long-lead and advance construction and procurement for the fiscal year 1999 submarine, which would be built by Newport News;

(3) authorize $10.0 million only for participation of Newport News in the design of the submarine previously designated by the Navy as the NAS;

(4) establish a special bipartisan congressional panel that would be briefed, at least annually, by the Secretary of the Navy on the status of the submarine modernization program and submarine-related research and development;

(5) direct the Secretary of Defense, not later than March 15, 1996, to accomplish the following:

(a) develop and submit a detailed plan for development of a program that will lead to production of more capable, less expensive submarines than the submarine previously designated as the NAS;

(b) ensure the plan includes a program for the design, development, and procurement of four nuclear attack submarines that would be procured during fiscal years 1998 through 2001 with each successive submarine being more capable and more affordable;

(c) structure the program so that:

(i) one of the four submarines would be constructed with funds appropriated for each fiscal year from fiscal year 1998 through fiscal year 2001;

(ii) to ensure flexibility for innovation, the fiscal year 1998 and the fiscal year 2000 submarines would be constructed by Electric Boat and the fiscal year 1999 and the fiscal year 2001 submarines would be constructed by Newport News;

(iii) the design previously designated as the NAS would be used as the base design by both contractors:

(iv) each contractor would be called on to propose improvements, including design improvements, for each successive submarine so that each of them would be more capable, more affordable, and their design would lead to a design for a future class of nuclear attack submarines that would possess the latest, best, and most affordable technology; and

(v) the fifth and subsequent nuclear attack submarines, proposed for construction after SSN-23, would be procured after a competition based on price;

(d) the Secretary of Defense's plan would also:

(i) set forth a program to accomplish the design, development, and construction of the four submarines that would take maximum advantage of a streamlined acquisition process;

(ii) culminate in selection of a design for a next submarine for serial production not earlier than fiscal year 2003 with procurement to occur after a competition based on price;

(iii) identify advanced technologies that are in various phases of research and development, as well as those that are commercially available off-the-shelf, that are candidates for incorporation into the plan to design, develop, and procure the submarines;

(iv) designate the fifth submarine procured after SSN-23 to be the lead ship in a next generation submarine class, unless the Secretary of the Navy, in consultation with the special congressional submarine review panel, determines that more submarines should be built before the design of a new class of submarines is fixed, in which case the fifth and each successive submarine would be procured after a competition based on price; and

(v) identify the impact of the submarine program on the remainder of the Navy's shipbuilding account;

(6) impose certain limits on the amounts that can be obligated and expended on the SSN-23 and the fiscal year 1998 and 1999 submarines until:

(a) the Secretary of the Navy has certified in writing to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House that procurement of future nuclear attack submarines, except as stipulated elsewhere in this provision, would be accomplished through a competition based on price; and

(b) the Secretary of Defense, not later than March 15, 1996, has:

(i) submitted the submarine design and procurement plan that would be required by the provision;

(ii) directed the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) to incorporate the costs of the submarine design and procurement plan into the future years defense program, even if the total cost of the plan's program exceeds the President's budget; and

(iii) directed that the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Technology conduct oversight of the development and improvement of the nuclear attack submarine program of the Navy and established reporting procedures to ensure that officials of the Department of the Navy, who exercise management oversight of the program, report to the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Technology with respect to that program;

(7) direct the Secretary of Defense to use streamlined acquisition policies to reduce the cost and increase the efficiency of the submarine program;

(8) direct the Secretary of Defense to submit to Congress an annual update of the submarine design and procurement plan with the submission of the President's budget, for each of fiscal years 1998 through 2002;

(9) direct that funds authorized for fiscal year 1996 by this provision may not be obligated or expended during fiscal year 1996 for the fiscal year 1998 submarine unless funds are also obligated and expended during fiscal year 1996 for the fiscal year 1999 submarine;

(10) authorize the Secretary of the Navy to enter into contracts with Electric Boat and Newport News, and suppliers of components during fiscal year 1996 for:

(a) the procurement of long-lead components for the fiscal year 1998 submarine and the fiscal year 1999 submarine; and

(b) advance construction of long-lead components and other components for such submarines;

(11) authorize that, of the amount provided in section 201(4) of this Act for ARPA, that $100.0 million would be available only for development and demonstration of advanced technologies for incorporation into the submarines constructed as part of the submarine design and procurement plan specified under this provision, to include electric drive, hydrodynamic quieting, ship control automation, solid-state power electronics, wake reduction technologies, superconductor technologies, torpedo defense technologies, advanced control concepts, fuel cell technologies, and propulsors;

(12) direct that the Director of ARPA shall implement a rapid prototype acquisition strategy for both land-based and at-sea subsystem and system demonstrations of advanced technologies in concert with Electric Boat and Newport News: and

(13) define potential competitors, for the purposes of this provision, as those that have been awarded a contract by the Secretary of the Navy for construction of nuclear attack submarines during the past 10 years.

*Research for advanced submarine technology (sec. 132)*

The conferees agree to adopt a new provision that would direct that, of the amount appropriated for fiscal year 1996 for the national defense sealift fund, $50.0 million would be available only for the Director of the Advance Research Projects Agency for advanced submarine technology activities.

*Cost limitation for Seawolf submarine program (sec. 133)*

The Senate amendment would authorize the third *Seawolf* class submarine SSN-23. Consistent with this authorization, the Senate amendment included a provision (sec. 125) that would establish a combined cost cap on all three *Seawolf* submarines (SSN-21, SSN-22 and SSN-23). This cost cap would be in addition to a cost cap that Congress imposed on the first two *Seawolf* class submarines, SSN-21 and SSN-22, in fiscal year 1995.

The House bill included a provision (sec. 132) that would repeal the cost cap on SSN-21 and SSN-22.

The conferees agree to adopt a new provision that would:

(1) establish a combined cost cap on the three *Seawolf* submarines (SSN-21, SSN-22, and SSN-23); and

(2) repeal the combined cost cap on SSN-21 and SSN-22 that was imposed by the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995.

*Repeal of prohibition on backfit of Trident submarines (sec. 134)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 131) that would repeal the provision of law that prohibits the backfit of Trident II (D-5) missiles into Trident I (C-4) missile-carrying submarines.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 122).

The conference agreement contains this provision.

The conferees endorse an all D-5 fleet of Trident submarines. But the conferees also believe that it is premature to rule out the option of retaining all 18 Trident submarines. Although the Nuclear Posture Review recommended a force of 14 Trident submarines equipped with the D-5 missile, circumstances may require the United States to retain a higher number of such submarines or, alternatively, reduce to a lower level.

Given this uncertainty, the conferees direct the Secretary of the Navy to take several actions: (1) fully fund all activities necessary for the backfitting of Trident II missiles into at least four west coast Trident submarines on the schedule recommended in the Nuclear Posture Review; and (2) continue to fund, in the fiscal year 1997 budget and in the Future Years Defense Program, adequate operational support for Trident I missiles to ensure the option of retaining all 18 Trident submarines on full operational status, assuming backfits of the final four submarines with D-5 missiles following the completion of the first four conversions.

*Arleigh Burke class destroyer program (sec. 135)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 123) that would:

(1) authorize $650.0 million as the first increment of split funding for two *Arleigh Burke* class destroyers in accordance with a split funding provision (sec. 124) that was included elsewhere in the Senate amendment; and

(2) express the sense of Congress that the Secretary of the Navy should plan for and request the final increment of funding for the two *Arleigh Burke* class destroyers in fiscal year 1997, also in accordance with the split funding provision (sec. 124) of the Senate amendment.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The conferees adopt a new provision that would:

(1) authorize six *Arleigh Burke* class destroyers;

(2) authorize $2.17 billion, the budget request, for the construction, including advance procurement, for *Arleigh Burke* class destroyers;

(3) authorize the Secretary of the Navy to enter into contracts in fiscal year 1996 for the construction of three *Arleigh Burke* class destroyers;

(4) authorize the Secretary of the Navy to enter into contracts in fiscal year 1997 for the construction of three *Arleigh Burke* class destroyers, subject to the availability of appropriations for such destroyers;

(5) continue the contract award pattern and sequence used by the Navy for the procurement of *Arleigh Burke* class destroyers in fiscal years 1994 and 1995;

(6) limit the liability of the government for these vessels to the amounts appropriated for them; and

(7) encourage, subject to a prior notification to the congressional defense committees, the Secretary of the Navy to use shipbuilding and conversion savings, that become excess to the needs of the Navy from other programs, to fully fund *Arleigh Burke* class destroyer contracts entered into under the terms of the provision.

*Acquisition program for crash attenuating seats (sec. 136)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 126) that would allow the Secretary of the Navy to establish a program to procure and install commercially developed, energy absorbing, crash attenuating seats in H-53E helicopters. The Senate provision would allow the Secretary to use up to $10.0 million for the program out of unobligated balances in the Legacy Resource Management Program.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary to establish such a program.

The conferees acknowledge the potential value of crash attenuating seats for passengers in military helicopters, and expect the Department to proceed quickly to define the technical specification and qualification for non-developmental seats. The conferees further expect the Department to ensure the acquisition program incorporates full and open competition.

*T-39N trainer aircraft (sec. 137)*

The budget request did not include funds to purchase the T-39N aircraft the Department of the Navy now uses to train naval flight officers. The government leases these aircraft as part of a service contract. The lessor has offered to sell these aircraft to the government, rather than continue the current leasing arrangement.

The House bill and the Senate amendment would support the budget request.

The Senate report (S. Rept 104-112) would direct the Secretary of the Navy to provide an analysis of the contractor's proposal to the Armed Services Committee of the Senate, so the proposal and the analysis could be reviewed for possible further action.

The conferees recommend $45.0 million for purchasing T-39N aircraft, subject to certain conditions. The conferees believe that the proposal deserves further review before purchasing these aircraft. The conferees expect the Department's analysis to answer, at a minimum, the following questions:

(1) What would be the status of the training program for which T-39Ns are currently leased?

(2) For what purpose would the Navy spend procurement funds in fiscal year 1996?

(3) Is funding for this project contained anywhere in the future years defense program (FYDP)? If there is funding, how much?

(4) Is there an approved requirement in the Navy for acquiring this capability? Does this requirement supplant or supplement the current mission that is being filled by the T-39N leasing program?

(5) How much funding beyond $45.0 million would be required to enable the T-39N system to meet future training requirements? If additional funds are required, how much of the additional cost is budgeted in the FYDP?

(6) What savings, in terms of both current and constant dollars, would accrue to the Navy by purchasing aircraft for this requirement on a non-competitive basis in fiscal year 1996, rather than selecting an aircraft under competitive procedures when the current lease program expires in fiscal year 1998? If savings will accrue, are they attributable to factors other than inflation? Are there savings in life cycle support costs beyond the initial acquisition costs?

(7) Would additional funding for the project now interfere with the Navy's opportunity to conduct a competitive procurement or better define the program's requirements?

(8) Are there other reasons that would prevent executing the program in fiscal year 1996?

(9) The conferees understand that the T-39N leasing contract provided for amortizing the full purchase price of the aircraft over the first five years of the lease. Since the contractor has already been reimbursed in full for purchase price, why would it be in the government's interests to pay more than a nominal amount for aircraft?

The conferees believe that the proposal to buy the aircraft could have merit; however, the conferees recommend a provision that would prohibit obligation of these acquisition funds until 60 days after the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Technology has submitted the analysis described above and has certified to the Armed Services Committee of the Senate and the National Security Committee of the House of Representatives that acquisition of the T-39N aircraft is in the best interest of the government and is the most cost effective alternative in meeting the requirements for training naval flight officers.

*Pioneer unmanned aerial vehicle program (sec. 138)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 132) that would prohibit the Secretary of the Navy from spending more than one-sixth of the funds appropriated for fiscal year 1996, or any unobligated balances available from previous years, until the Secretary certifies that funds have been obligated to equip nine Pioneer Unmanned Aerial Vehicle systems with the Common Automatic Landing and Recovery System (CARLS).

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Subtitle D—Air Force Programs

*Repeal of limitations (secs. 141 and 142)*

The budget request included $279.9 million for B-2 procurement and $623.6 million for B-2 research and development for a B-2 program consisting of twenty aircraft. The House bill contained a provision (sec. 141) that would repeal limitations on the B-2 program, and provide an increase of $553 million for B-2 procurement. The House bill would repeal:

Section 112 of the National Defense Act for Fiscal Years 1990 and 1991, which requires certification from the Secretary of Defense that the B-2 is meeting certain performance criteria.

Section 151(c) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993, which limits B-2 procurement to 20 bombers and one test aircraft.

Section 131(c) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1994, which reaffirms the twenty one aircraft limitation.

Section 131(d) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1994, which limits the total program costs to $28,968,000,000 in Fiscal Year 1981 constant dollars.

Section 133(e) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995, which provides that none of the $125.0 million authorized and appropriated for the Enhanced Bomber Capability Fund may be obligated for advance procurement of new B-2 aircraft (including long lead items).

The Senate amendment contained no additional funds, nor did it contain any repeal of the limitations provision.

The conferees agree to an amendment that would repeal the limitations imposed on the scope of the B-2 program, while retaining requirements for B-2 performance compliance in both the present authorization and any possible future acquisition of the aircraft.

The conferees agree to authorize the budget request for research and development and to increase the authorization for procurement by $493.0 million. The conferees further agree that the $493.0 million may not be spent until March 31, 1996.

The conferees believe that the B-2 bomber represents a major technological advance in strategic bomber capabilities. However, if a decision were made to acquire additional B-2 bombers, their high cost would result in funding reductions in the Administration's five year defense program. Therefore, the Senate conferees believe that the increased authorization of $493.0 million provided for the B-2 bomber program may be expended only for procurement of B-2 components, upgrades, and modifications that would be of value for the existing fleet of B-2 bombers.

The conferees are concerned over the cost of producing modern, highly capable, long range bombers, and therefore strongly urge the Secretary of Defense to: (1) complete the study called for in section 133(d)(3) of the National Defense Act of 1995 (Public Law 103-337) for requirements formulation and conceptual studies for a conventional-conflict-oriented, lower-cost, next generation bomber; and (2) explore options, including adoption of streamlined acquisition policies and procedures, for reducing the costs of producing long-range bombers. Accordingly, the conferees agree to repeal the requirements contained in section 133(d)(3), which states that such a study may be carried out only if the previously-produced bomber force study found bomber capabilities to be inadequate.

The conferees note that section 133(d) permitted the Secretary to obligate up to $25.0 million of the $125.0 million authorized and appropriated in fiscal year 1995 for the Enhanced Bomber Capability Fund for such a study. The conferees direct that any remaining unobligated fiscal year 1995 funds from the $125.0 million made available for B-2 bomber industrial base preservation and next-generation bomber study shall promptly be merged with the $493.0 million in additional B-2 funds authorized in this Act.

In order to compare force capabilities with relative costs, the conferees urge the Secretary of Defense to provide a summary and detailed listing of program reductions and adjustments to the fiscal year 1997 budget request and the future years' defense program (FYDP) required by the possible acquisition of additional B-2 bombers. The Secretary should use the standard cost analysis approach used in the March 1995 Air Force cost estimate for further B-2 acquisition of one and one-half and three aircraft per year.

*MC-130H Aircraft Program (sec. 143)*

The conference agreement includes a new provision that would amend section 161 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 1990 and 1991 (P.L. 101-189) to enable obligation of funds for award fee and procurement of contractor furnished equipment.

The conferees understand that the Air Force desires to grant an award fee to the MC-130H Combat Talon II development contractor, but is prohibited from doing so by a provision of Public Law 101-189. The conferees note that the prohibitive legislative provision requires the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation (DOT&E) to certify that the MC-130H Combat Talon II terrain avoidance radar performs in accordance with requirements outlined in the test and Evaluation Master Plan (TEMP) approved by the DOT&E in September 1988. The conferees have been informed that the aircraft cannot be certified as having met TEMP criteria because a specific test criterion referred to in the TEMP has been determined to be unmeasurable.

The conferees agree to include a provision that would allow the DOT&E to certify to the congressional defense committees that the MC-130H terrain avoidance radar is operationally effective in order to release the award fee for the MC-130H. The conferees direct the DOT&E to include in his report a statement that all unmeasurable test criteria included in the September 1988 TEMP have been appropriately corrected.

Subtitle E—Chemical Demilitarization Program

*Chemical agents and munitions destruction program (secs. 107, 151-153)*

The budget request contained $746.7 million for operation and maintenance, research and development and procurement, for the defense chemical agents and munitions destruction program.

The House bill contained a series of provisions (secs. 106, 151-153, and 2407) that would: authorize the budget request; repeal a legislative requirement to develop a chemical demilitarization cryofracture facility; express congressional concern about the cost growth of destroying the unitary chemical stockpile and express a view that the Secretary of Defense should consider measures to reduce the overall cost; direct the Secretary of Defense to conduct a review and evaluation of issues associated with closure and reuse of the Department of Defense facilities that are co-located with the unitary chemical stockpile and demilitarization operations; and prohibit the obligation or expenditure of fiscal year 1996 funds, prior to March 1, 1996, for the construction of a chemical munitions incinerator facility at Umatilla Army Depot, Oregon.

The Senate amendment contained provisions (sec. 107 and 1099C) that would authorize $671.7 million for the chemical agents and munitions destruction program, and direct the Department of Defense to review and assess the risk associated with the transportation of any portion of the unitary chemical stockpile, such as drained chemical agents or munitions from one location to another within the continental United States, and review and evaluate issues associated with closure and reuse of the Department of Defense facilities that are co-located with the unitary chemical stockpile and demilitarization operations. The Senate report (S. Rept. 104-112) would recommend the use of unobligated fiscal years 1994 and 1995 procurement funds for procurement of equipment at Pine Bluff, Arkansas and Umatilla, Oregon.

The conferees agree to provisions that would authorize $672.3 million for the defense chemical agents and munitions program, to include: $265.0 million for procurement; $353.8 million for operations and maintenance; and $53.4 million for research and development. The provision would repeal the legislative requirement to develop a chemical demilitarization cryofracture facility.

Further, the conferees agree to provisions that would direct the Secretary of Defense to proceed with the destruction of the U.S. chemical stockpile using the current baseline technology. The conferees would also require the Secretary to ensure that support measures have been provided at each installation where a chemical agent and munitions demilitarization facility would be constructed, as required by the Department of Defense and the Department of Army regulations, the chemical demilitarization plans, and the Solid Waste Disposal Act permit. The conferees direct the Secretary to conduct an assessment of the current chemical demilitarization program and recommend measures that could reduce the total cost of the program. The provision would also direct the Secretary to review and evaluate issues associated with the closure and reutilization of Department of Defense facilities co-located with continuing chemical stockpile and chemical demilitarization operations.

The conferees agree to authorize the use of funds appropriated for the defense chemical agents and munitions destruction program to support travel and associated travel costs of Commissioners of the Citizens' Advisory Commissions, when such travel is conducted at the invitation of the Assistant Secretary of the Army for Research, Development and Acquisition. The provision would modify existing law to permit the appointment of a civilian as project manager for the chemical agent and munitions destruction program. The Department would also be required to provide a quarterly report to Congress on the use of such funds to pay for the travel and associated travel costs.

COST OF THE CHEMICAL AGENTS AND MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION PROGRAM

The conferees remain concerned about the escalating costs associated with the chemical agents and munitions destruction program. The program has grown from its original estimate of $1.7 billion in 1986 to the current estimated cost of $11.9 billion, with expectations that costs will further increase. Continued delays in proceeding with the demilitarization and destruction of the chemical stockpile have added to the overall increases in the program. The conferees believe that the program should proceed expeditiously and utilize technology that minimizes risks to the public and the environment.

The conferees are concerned that continued delays, related to site operation systemization, environmental permits, and construction of the demilitarization and destruction facilities, would increase the overall program costs and risks to the public and the environment.

Finally, as the Department reviews measures that could be implemented to reduce the growth of the program costs, the conferees expect the Secretary to consider the potential for reconfiguration of the stockpile, as described in the October 19, 1995 letter from the Assistant Secretary of the Army for Research, Development and Acquisition, and to ensure protection of the public and environment.

ALTERNATIVE TECHNOLOGIES

The Department of the Army is currently conducting research and development of chemical neutralization and biodegradation, in conjunction with neutralization, for use at the bulk-only storage sites. The conferees believe there is potential for the implementation of these processes at future demilitarization and destruction sites, which could reduce the requirement for a liquid incinerator. The conferees support the National Research Council's (NRC's) recommendation that the Army continues its current baseline incineration program until such time as the evaluation of these alternative technologies is concluded.

If the evaluation of the alternative technologies research and development program proves successful, the conferees would support inclusion of this process into the baseline process. In conducting the chemical demilitarization and destruction program and assessing measures to significantly reduce program costs, the conferees expect the Department to consider a wide range of alternatives to the current baseline incineration program, to include the use of alternative technologies.

Additionally, the conferees expect the Secretary's assessment of the current chemical demilitarization program and measures to reduce the overall cost of the program, to include a risk analysis specific to each chemical stockpile storage and demilitarization site, the results of the stockpile surveillance and stability analysis related to the physical and chemical integrity of the stockpile, and the potential reconfiguration of the chemical stockpile. In making such an assessment, the Secretary shall ensure the maximum protection of the environment, the general public, and the personnel involved in the destruction of the chemical stockpile, while minimizing total program costs. The conferees expect the assessment to yield potential revisions to the chemical agents and munitions destruction program that could reduce program costs and increase public safety.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

*Repeal of limitation on total cost for SSN-21 and SSN-22 Seawolf submarines*

The budget request included $1.5 billion for construction of the third *Seawolf* class submarine, SSN-23.

The House bill would not authorize SSN-23. However, consistent with other actions taken by the House on SSN-22, the House bill contained a provision (sec. 132) that would eliminate the existing cost cap on the first two *Seawolf* class submarines.

The Senate amendment would authorize SSN-23. It did not contain a provision that would repeal the cost cap on SSN-21 and SSN-22.

The House recedes.

*Competition required for selection of shipyards for construction of vessels for next generation attack submarine program*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 133) that would:

(1) require the Secretary of the Navy to select on a competitive basis the shipyard for construction of each vessel of the next generation attack submarine program; and

(2) stipulate that the next generation attack submarine program shall begin with the first submarine that is programmed to be constructed after the submarine that is programmed to be constructed in fiscal year 1998.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 121) that would address competition as an integral part of the broader issue of current and future nuclear submarine construction programs.

The House recedes.

The conferees agree to incorporate the issue of competition for future submarines into a new, more comprehensive provision dealing with future submarine development and procurement.

*Sonobuoy programs*

The budget request included $8.9 million for the procurement of AN/SSQ-53 sonobuoys and no funding for the procurement of AN/SSQ-110 sonobuoys.

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 134) that would:

(1) stipulate that no fiscal year 1996 funds could be used for procurement of AN/SSQ-53 sonobuoys; and

(2) authorize $8.9 million for AN/SSQ-110 sonobuoys.

While the Senate amendment contained no similar provision, it did recommend funding adjustments to these two sonobuoy programs that would accomplish the intent underlying the House provision.

The conferees agree that the funding adjustment included in the House provision should be adopted, but do not believe that a legislative provision to that effect is necessary.

The House recedes.

*Split funding for construction of naval vessels and incremental funding of procurement items*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 124) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to employ split funding for construction of certain naval vessels when developing the future years defense program. The provision would permit the Secretary to provide funding for these vessels over two years, but enter into a contract based on the first increment of funding. The intent of the provision would be to provide the Secretary with more flexibility to develop a uniform and cost effective shipbuilding program.

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1007) that would prohibit the use of incremental funding, including split funding, for:

(1) the procurement of aircraft, missiles, or naval vessels;

(2) the procurement of tracked combat vehicles;

(3) the procurement of other weapons; and

(4) the procurement of naval torpedoes and related support equipment.

The House provision would not apply to funding classified as advance procurement funding.

These provisions were not included in the conference agreement.

*Tier II predator unmanned aerial vehicle program*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 131) that would prohibit the obligation of funds appropriated or otherwise made available for the Department of Defense in fiscal year 1996 for the Tier II Predator Unmanned Aerial Vehicle.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Joint primary aircraft training system program*

The budget request included $55.0 million for three joint primary aircraft training system (JPATS) aircraft. At the time of the budget submission, the Department of Defense (DOD) had not completed the JPATS competition. This amount was derived from an estimate of funding required to procure three aircraft from any of the potential competitors. After source selection, the Department determined that it could procure eight JPATS aircraft with the requested funds.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 133) that would increase the number of aircraft that the Department could procure, from three to eight, without changing the amount of the authorization.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees agree that the Air Force should buy up to eight aircraft with authorized funds.

*Weapons industrial facilities*

The budget request included $13.1 million for naval weapons industrial facilities.

The Senate amendment included a provision (sec. 391) that would authorize an increase of $2.0 million in operations and maintenance accounts for essential safety functions for the Allegany Ballistics Laboratory.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes. The conferees agree to provide an increase of $30.0 million for naval weapons industrial facilities for continuation of the facility restoration program at Allegany Ballistics Laboratory.

TITLE II—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION

*Overview*

The budget request for fiscal year 1996 contained an authorization of $34,331.9 million

for Research and Development in the Department of Defense. The House bill would authorize $35,934.5 million. The Senate amendment would authorize $35,959.9 million. The conferees recommended an authorization of $35,730.4 million. Unless noted explicitly in the statement of managers, all changes are made without prejudice.

## SUMMARY OF NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS FOR FY 1996

| (Dollars in Millions) Account Title | Authorization Request 1996 | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Conference Change | Conference Authorization |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **TITLE II** | | | | | |
| Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation, Army | 4,444.175 | 4,774.947 | 4,845.097 | 293.406 | 4,737.581 |
| Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation, Navy | 8,204.530 | 8,516.509 | 8,624.230 | 270.253 | 8,474.783 |
| Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation, Air Force | 12,598.439 | 13,184.102 | 13,087.389 | 316.429 | 12,914.868 |
| Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation, Defense-w | 8,802.881 | 9,287.058 | 9,271.220 | 616.630 | 9,419.511 |
| Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense | 22.587 | 22.587 | 22.587 | - | 22.587 |
| Developmental Test and Evaluation, Defense | 259.341 | 239.341 | 239.341 | (8.259) | 251.082 |
| Undistributed Reduction | | | (40.000) | - | - |
| FFRDC Reduction | - | (90.000) | (90.000) | (90.000) | (90.000) |
| **Total Research & Development** | 34,331.953 | 35,934.544 | 35,959.864 | 1,398.459 | 35,730.412 |

*Overview*

The budget request for fiscal year 1996 contained an authorization of $4,444.2 million for Army, Research and Development in the Department of Defense. The House bill would authorize $4,774.9 million. The Senate amendment would authorize $4,845.1 million. The conferees recommended an authorization of $4,737.6 million. Unless noted explicitly in the statement of managers, all changes are made without prejudice.

| PE | No | Title | FY 1996 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ACCOUNT | | RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT TEST & EVAL ARMY | | | | | |
| 0601101A | 1 | IN-HOUSE LABORATORY INDEPENDENT RESEARCH | 14,340 | 14,340 | 14,340 | | 14,340 |
| 0601102A | 2 | DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES | 127,565 | 127,565 | 127,565 | | 127,565 |
| 0601104A | 3 | UNIVERSITY AND INDUSTRY RESEARCH CENTERS | 62,715 | 62,715 | 62,715 | (14,936) | 47,779 |
| 0602104A | 4 | TRACTOR ROSE | 2,618 | 2,618 | 2,618 | | 2,618 |
| 0602105A | 5 | MATERIALS TECHNOLOGY | 10,176 | 14,176 | 14,176 | | 10,176 |
| 0602120A | 6 | SENSORS AND ELECTRONIC SURVIVABILITY | 21,918 | 27,918 | 21,918 | 6,000 | 27,918 |
| 0602122A | 7 | TRACTOR HIP | 5,885 | 5,885 | 5,885 | | 5,885 |
| 0602211A | 8 | AVIATION TECHNOLOGY | 20,381 | 20,381 | 23,381 | (1,911) | 18,470 |
| 0602270A | 9 | EW TECHNOLOGY | 15,311 | 15,311 | 15,311 | | 15,311 |
| 0602303A | 10 | MISSILE TECHNOLOGY | 17,985 | 17,985 | 22,985 | | 17,985 |
| 0602307A | 11 | LASER WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY | - | 4,000 | - | | - |
| 0602308A | 12 | MODELING AND SIMULATION | 23,770 | 23,770 | 23,770 | (3,244) | 20,526 |
| 0602601A | 13 | COMBAT VEHICLE AND AUTOMOTIVE TECHNOLOGY | 39,207 | 39,207 | 39,207 | | 39,207 |
| 0602618A | 14 | BALLISTICS TECHNOLOGY | 28,126 | 35,126 | 28,126 | 8,000 | 36,126 |
| 0602622A | 15 | CHEMICAL, SMOKE AND EQUIPMENT DEFEATING TECHNOLOGY | 1,891 | 1,891 | 1,891 | | 1,891 |
| 0602623A | 16 | JOINT SERVICE SMALL ARMS PROGRAM | 5,114 | 5,114 | 5,114 | | 5,114 |
| 0602624A | 17 | WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY | 23,968 | 25,968 | 26,968 | | 23,968 |
| 0602705A | 18 | ELECTRONICS AND ELECTRONIC DEVICES | 17,525 | 20,525 | 17,525 | 2,000 | 19,525 |
| 0602709A | 19 | NIGHT VISION TECHNOLOGY | 17,086 | 17,086 | 19,086 | | 17,086 |
| 0602716A | 20 | HUMAN FACTORS ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY | 12,534 | 12,534 | 12,534 | | 12,534 |
| 0602720A | 21 | ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY | 21,304 | 21,304 | 24,304 | | 21,304 |
| 0602727A | 22 | NON-SYSTEM TRAINING DEVICE TECHNOLOGY | - | - | - | | - |
| 0602782A | 23 | COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY | 15,726 | 15,726 | 17,726 | (2,148) | 13,578 |
| 0602783A | 24 | COMPUTER AND SOFTWARE TECHNOLOGY | 3,992 | 3,992 | 3,992 | | 3,992 |
| 0602784A | 25 | MILITARY ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY | 35,220 | 35,220 | 35,220 | | 35,220 |
| 0602785A | 26 | MANPOWER/PERSONNEL/TRAINING TECHNOLOGY | 7,500 | 7,500 | 7,500 | | 7,500 |
| 0602786A | 27 | LOGISTICS TECHNOLOGY | 28,036 | 28,036 | 28,036 | | 28,036 |
| 0602787A | 28 | MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY | 56,658 | 61,658 | 56,658 | | 56,658 |
| 0602788A | 29 | TRACTOR FLOP | - | - | - | | - |
| 0602789A | 30 | ARMY ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE TECHNOLOGY | 2,166 | 2,166 | 2,166 | | 2,166 |
| 0603001A | 31 | LOGISTICS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 10,569 | 13,669 | 10,569 | (1,862) | 8,707 |
| 0603002A | 32 | MEDICAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 11,760 | 11,760 | 14,760 | 9,000 | 20,760 |
| 0603003A | 33 | AVIATION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 48,593 | 65,093 | 48,593 | 8,000 | 56,593 |
| 0603004A | 34 | WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 18,518 | 20,518 | 18,518 | 9,000 | 27,518 |
| 0603005A | 35 | COMBAT VEHICLE AND AUTOMOTIVE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 30,616 | 30,616 | 30,616 | (2,445) | 28,171 |
| 0603006A | 36 | COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 16,922 | 16,922 | 23,922 | 9,000 | 25,922 |
| 0603007A | 37 | MANPOWER, PERSONNEL AND TRAINING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 4,826 | 4,826 | 4,826 | | 4,826 |
| 0603009A | 38 | TRACTOR HIKE | 14,588 | 31,588 | 24,588 | 10,000 | 24,588 |

| PE | No | Title | FY 1996 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0603012A | 39 | TRACTOR HOLE | - | - | - | | - |
| 0603013A | 40 | TRACTOR DIRT | 1,805 | 1,805 | 1,805 | | 1,805 |
| 0603017A | 41 | TRACTOR RED | 5,663 | 5,663 | 5,663 | | 5,663 |
| 0603020A | 42 | TRACTOR ROSE | 4,513 | 4,513 | 18,013 | | 4,513 |
| 0603105A | 43 | ACQUIRED IMMUNE DEFICIENCY SYNDROME (AIDS) RESEARCH | 2,946 | 2,946 | 2,946 | | 2,946 |
| 0603122A | 44 | TRACTOR HIP | - | - | - | | - |
| 0603238A | 45 | GLOBAL SURVEILLANCE/AIR DEFENSE/PRECISION STRIKE TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATION | 39,824 | 43,824 | 39,824 | (1,500) | 38,324 |
| 0603270A | 46 | EW TECHNOLOGY | 4,022 | 4,022 | 4,022 | | 4,022 |
| 0603313A | 47 | MISSILE AND ROCKET ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 123,913 | 111,813 | 135,913 | (5,000) | 118,913 |
| 0603322A | 48 | TRACTOR CAGE | 8,530 | 8,530 | 8,530 | | 8,530 |
| 0603606A | 49 | LANDMINE WARFARE AND BARRIER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 18,820 | 28,820 | 18,820 | 6,000 | 24,820 |
| 0603607A | 50 | JOINT SERVICE SMALL ARMS PROGRAM | 4,487 | 6,487 | 7,487 | | 4,487 |
| 0603654A | 51 | LINE-OF-SIGHT, ANTITANK (LOSAT) | 14,727 | 14,727 | 14,727 | | 14,727 |
| 0603710A | 52 | NIGHT VISION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 37,969 | 42,969 | 37,969 | (4,166) | 33,803 |
| 0603734A | 53 | MILITARY ENGINEERING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 12,380 | 12,380 | 18,380 | | 12,380 |
| 0603759A | 54 | CHEMICAL BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE AND SMOKE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | - | - | - | | - |
| 0603771A | 55 | INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY | 17,776 | - | 17,776 | (17,776) | - |
| 0603772A | 56 | ADVANCED TACTICAL COMPUTER SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY | 33,989 | 33,989 | 33,989 | (5,037) | 28,952 |
| 0603018A | 57 | TRACTOR TREAD | 14,930 | 14,930 | 14,930 | | 14,930 |
| 0603019A | 58 | TRACTOR DUMP | 15,025 | 15,025 | 15,025 | (15,025) | - |
| 0603308A | 59 | ARMY MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION (DEM/VAL) | 2,985 | 2,985 | 2,985 | 21,000 | 23,985 |
| 0603604A | 60 | NUCLEAR MUNITIONS - ADV DEV | - | - | - | | - |
| 0603617A | 61 | NON-LINE OF SIGHT (N-LOS) | - | - | - | | - |
| 0603619A | 62 | LANDMINE WARFARE AND BARRIER - ADV DEV | 32,839 | 32,839 | 32,839 | | 32,839 |
| 0603627A | 63 | SMOKE, OBSCURANT AND TARGET DEFEATING SYS-ADV DEV | 3,248 | 3,248 | 3,248 | | 3,248 |
| 0603639A | 64 | ARMAMENT ENHANCEMENT INITIATIVE | 61,491 | 61,491 | 61,491 | | 61,491 |
| 0603640A | 65 | ARTILLERY PROPELLANT DEVELOPMENT | 10,946 | 30,546 | 21,646 | 11,000 | 21,946 |
| 0603645A | 66 | ARMORED SYSTEM MODERNIZATION - ADV DEV | 201,513 | 201,513 | 201,513 | (10,000) | 191,513 |
| 0603647A | 67 | TRACTOR DIRT | - | - | - | | - |
| 0603649A | 68 | ENGINEER MOBILITY EQUIPMENT ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT | 5,615 | 10,115 | 10,115 | 4,500 | 10,115 |
| 0603653A | 69 | ADVANCED TANK ARMAMENT SYSTEM (ATAS) | 9,955 | 9,955 | 9,955 | | 9,955 |
| 0603713A | 70 | ARMY DATA DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM | 6,694 | 6,694 | 6,694 | | 6,694 |
| 0603730A | 71 | TACTICAL SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM - ADV DEV | - | - | - | | - |
| 0603745A | 72 | TACTICAL ELECTRONIC SUPPORT SYSTEMS - ADV DEV | 2,937 | 5,937 | 2,937 | 3,000 | 5,937 |
| 0603746A | 73 | SINGLE CHANNEL GROUND AND AIRBORNE RADIO SYSTEM (SINCGARS) | - | 3,000 | - | | - |
| 0603747A | 74 | SOLDIER SUPPORT AND SURVIVABILITY | 33,848 | 33,848 | 33,848 | (25,935) | 7,913 |
| 0603760A | 75 | DISTRIBUTIVE INTERACTIVE SIMULATIONS (DIS) - ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT | - | - | - | | - |
| 0603766A | 76 | TACTICAL ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM - ADV DEV | 28,369 | 28,369 | 28,369 | | 28,369 |
| 0603774A | 77 | NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT | 2,960 | 2,960 | 2,960 | | 2,960 |

| PE | No | Title | FY 1996 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0603801A | 78 | AVIATION - ADV DEV | 8,430 | 14,430 | 8,430 | 6,000 | 14,430 |
| 0603802A | 79 | WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS - ADV DEV | - | - | 5,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| 0603804A | 80 | LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT - ADV DEV | 7,427 | 7,427 | 7,427 | | 7,427 |
| 0603805A | 81 | COMBAT SERVICE SUPPORT COMPUTER SYSTEM EVALUATION AND ANALYSIS | 13,969 | 13,969 | 13,969 | | 13,969 |
| 0603806A | 82 | NBC DEFENSE SYSTEM-ADV DEV | - | - | - | | - |
| 0603807A | 83 | MEDICAL SYSTEMS - ADV DEV | 10,576 | 10,576 | 10,576 | | 10,576 |
| 0603851A | 84 | TRACTOR CAGE (DEM/VAL) | 3,411 | 3,411 | 3,411 | | 3,411 |
| 0603889A | 85 | COUNTERDRUG RDT&E PROJECTS | - | - | - | | - |
| 0604018A | 87 | TRACTOR TREAD | - | - | - | | - |
| 0604201A | 88 | AIRCRAFT AVIONICS | 22,044 | 33,044 | 33,044 | | 22,044 |
| 0604220A | 89 | ARMED, DEPLOYABLE OH-58D | 726 | 726 | 726 | | 726 |
| 0604223A | 90 | COMANCHE | 199,103 | 299,103 | 373,103 | 100,000 | 299,103 |
| 0604270A | 91 | EW DEVELOPMENT | 65,222 | 65,222 | 65,222 | | 65,222 |
| 0604315A | 92 | TRI-SERVICE STANDOFF ATTACK MISSILE | - | - | - | | - |
| 0604321A | 93 | ALL SOURCE ANALYSIS SYSTEM | 52,698 | 52,698 | 52,698 | | 52,698 |
| 0604325A | 94 | ADVANCED MISSILE SYSTEM-HEAVY | 995 | - | 995 | | 995 |
| 0604328A | 95 | TRACTOR CAGE | - | - | - | | - |
| 0604604A | 96 | MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLES | - | - | 10,000 | 1,500 | 1,500 |
| 0604609A | 97 | SMOKE, OBSCURANT AND TARGET DEFEATING SYS-ENG DEV | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | | 2,000 |
| 0604611A | 98 | JAVELIN | - | 2,000 | - | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| 0604619A | 99 | LANDMINE WARFARE | 31,028 | 31,028 | 31,028 | | 31,028 |
| 0604622A | 100 | HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES | - | 2,745 | 1,900 | 2,745 | 2,745 |
| 0604633A | 101 | AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL | 1,813 | 1,813 | 1,813 | | 1,813 |
| 0604640A | 102 | ADVANCED COMMAND AND CONTROL VEHICLE (AC2V) | 18,238 | 18,238 | 18,238 | | 18,238 |
| 0604641A | 103 | TACTICAL UNMANNED GROUND VEHICLE (TUGV) | - | - | - | | - |
| 0604642A | 104 | LIGHT TACTICAL WHEELED VEHICLES | 2,187 | 2,187 | 7,187 | 2,000 | 4,187 |
| 0604645A | 105 | ARMORED SYSTEMS MODERNIZATION (ASM)-ENG. DEV. | 38,465 | 43,825 | 43,825 | 1,600 | 40,065 |
| 0604649A | 106 | ENGINEER MOBILITY EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT | 21,831 | 35,984 | 35,984 | 2,600 | 24,431 |
| 0604710A | 107 | NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS - ENG DEV | 39,697 | 39,697 | 39,697 | | 39,697 |
| 0604713A | 108 | COMBAT FEEDING, CLOTHING, AND EQUIPMENT | 17,959 | 17,959 | 17,959 | | 17,959 |
| 0604715A | 109 | NON-SYSTEM TRAINING DEVICES - ENG DEV | 55,303 | 55,303 | 55,303 | (3,000) | 52,303 |
| 0604716A | 110 | TERRAIN INFORMATION - ENG DEV | 9,011 | 9,011 | 9,011 | | 9,011 |
| 0604726A | 111 | INTEGRATED METEOROLOGICAL SUPPORT SYSTEM | - | - | - | | - |
| 0604740A | 112 | TACTICAL SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM - ENG DEV | - | 3,100 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 |
| 0604741A | 113 | AIR DEFENSE COMMAND, CONTROL AND INTELLIGENCE - ENG DEV | 22,030 | 32,030 | 32,030 | (1,200) | 20,830 |
| 0604746A | 114 | AUTOMATIC TEST EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT | 5,437 | 15,437 | 5,437 | 10,000 | 15,437 |
| 0604760A | 115 | DISTRIBUTIVE INTERACTIVE SIMULATIONS (DIS) - ENGINEERING DEVELOPMENT | - | - | - | | - |
| 0604766A | 116 | TACTICAL ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM - ENG DEV | 24,699 | 24,699 | 24,699 | | 24,699 |
| 0604768A | 117 | TRACTOR BAT | 193,303 | 200,303 | 200,303 | 7,000 | 200,303 |

| PE | No | Title | FY 1996 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0604770A | 118 | JOINT SURVEILLANCE/TARGET ATTACK RADAR SYSTEM | 18,771 | 18,771 | 18,771 | 9,500 | 28,271 |
| 0604778A | 119 | POSITIONING SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT | 460 | 460 | 460 | | 460 |
| 0604780A | 120 | COMBINED ARMS TACTICAL TRAINER (CATT) | 59,475 | 59,475 | 59,475 | | 59,475 |
| 0604801A | 121 | AVIATION - ENG DEV | 5,142 | 5,142 | 5,142 | | 5,142 |
| 0604802A | 122 | WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS - ENG DEV | 15,928 | 20,228 | 16,428 | 2,100 | 18,028 |
| 0604804A | 123 | LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT - ENG DEV | 20,756 | 22,756 | 20,756 | | 20,756 |
| 0604805A | 124 | COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS - ENG DEV | 13,432 | 13,432 | 13,432 | | 13,432 |
| 0604806A | 125 | NBC DEFENSE SYSTEM-ENG DEV | - | - | - | | - |
| 0604807A | 126 | MEDICAL MATERIEL/MEDICAL BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE EQUIPMENT - ENG DEV | 4,738 | 4,738 | 4,738 | | 4,738 |
| 0604808A | 127 | LANDMINE WARFARE/BARRIER - ENG DEV | 7,382 | 7,382 | 7,382 | | 7,382 |
| 0604814A | 128 | SENSE AND DESTROY ARMAMENT MISSILE - ENG DEV | 16,617 | 16,617 | 16,617 | | 16,617 |
| 0604816A | 129 | LONGBOW - ENG DEV | 23,590 | 23,590 | 23,590 | | 23,590 |
| 0604817A | 130 | NON-COOPERATIVE TARGET RECOGNITION - ENG DEV | 30,466 | 30,466 | 30,466 | (8,000) | 22,466 |
| 0604818A | 131 | ARMY TACTICAL COMMAND & CONTROL SYSTEMS (ATCCS) ENG DEV | 18,769 | 18,769 | 18,769 | | 18,769 |
| 0604820A | 132 | RADAR DEVELOPMENT | - | - | - | | - |
| 0604256A | 133 | THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT | 14,397 | 14,397 | 14,397 | | 14,397 |
| 0604258A | 134 | TARGET SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT | 14,292 | 14,292 | 14,292 | | 14,292 |
| 0604759A | 135 | MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT | 66,874 | 66,874 | 56,874 | | 66,874 |
| 0605103A | 136 | RAND ARROYO CENTER | 21,872 | 21,872 | 16,872 | (3,000) | 18,872 |
| 0605104A | 137 | LOS ALAMOS MESON PHYSICS FACILITY | - | - | - | | - |
| 0605301A | 138 | ARMY KWAJALEIN ATOLL | 149,769 | 149,769 | 149,769 | | 149,769 |
| 0605502A | 139 | SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATIVE RESEARCH | - | - | - | | - |
| 0605601A | 140 | ARMY TEST RANGES AND FACILITIES | 147,330 | 147,330 | 147,330 | | 147,330 |
| 0605602A | 141 | ARMY TECHNICAL TEST INSTRUMENTATION AND TARGETS | 27,600 | 27,600 | 27,600 | | 27,600 |
| 0605604A | 142 | SURVIVABILITY/LETHALITY ANALYSIS | 34,535 | 34,535 | 34,535 | | 34,535 |
| 0605605A | 143 | DOD HIGH ENERGY LASER TEST FACILITY | 3,000 | 3,000 | 34,800 | 32,000 | 35,000 |
| 0605606A | 144 | AIRCRAFT CERTIFICATION | 2,976 | 2,976 | 2,976 | | 2,976 |
| 0605702A | 145 | METEOROLOGICAL SUPPORT TO RDT&E ACTIVITIES | 6,660 | 6,660 | 6,660 | | 6,660 |
| 0605706A | 146 | MATERIEL SYSTEMS ANALYSIS | 17,864 | 17,864 | 17,864 | | 17,864 |
| 0605709A | 147 | EXPLOITATION OF FOREIGN ITEMS | 8,869 | 8,869 | 8,869 | | 8,869 |
| 0605710A | 148 | JOINT NUCLEAR BIOLOGICAL CHEMICAL TEST, ASSESSMENT & SURVIVABILITY | - | - | - | | - |
| 0605712A | 149 | SUPPORT OF OPERATIONAL TESTING | 46,491 | 47,991 | 46,491 | | 46,491 |
| 0605801A | 150 | PROGRAMWIDE ACTIVITIES | 63,649 | 63,649 | 63,649 | | 63,649 |
| 0605802A | 151 | INTERNATIONAL COOPERATIVE RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT | 1,606 | 1,606 | 1,606 | | 1,606 |
| 0605803A | 152 | TECHNICAL INFORMATION ACTIVITIES | 16,401 | 16,401 | 16,401 | (2,564) | 13,837 |
| 0605805A | 153 | MUNITIONS STANDARDIZATION, EFFECTIVENESS AND SAFETY | 6,903 | 6,903 | 6,903 | | 6,903 |
| 0605810A | 154 | RDT&E SUPPORT FOR NONDEVELOPMENTAL ITEMS | - | - | - | | - |
| 0605853A | 155 | ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION | 2,533 | 2,533 | 2,533 | | 2,533 |
| 0605854A | 156 | POLLUTION PREVENTION | 13,005 | 13,005 | 13,005 | | 13,005 |

| PE | No | Title | FY 1996 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0605856A | 157 | ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE | 66,101 | 66,101 | 66,101 | | 66,101 |
| 0605876A | 158 | MINOR CONSTRUCTION (RPM) - RDT&E | 5,497 | 5,497 | 5,497 | | 5,497 |
| 0605878A | 159 | MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR (RPM) - RDT&E | 95,696 | 95,696 | 95,696 | | 95,696 |
| 0605896A | 160 | BASE OPERATIONS - RDT&E | 329,978 | 329,978 | 309,978 | (10,500) | 319,478 |
| 0605898A | 161 | MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS (RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT) | 8,766 | 8,766 | 8,766 | | 8,766 |
| 0909999A | 162 | FINANCING FOR CANCELLED ACCOUNT ADJUSTMENTS | - | - | - | | - |
| 0603778A | 163 | MLRS PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM | 68,786 | 72,486 | 72,486 | 3,700 | 72,486 |
| 0203726A | 164 | ADV FIELD ARTILLERY TACTICAL DATA SYSTEM | 39,422 | 45,622 | 45,622 | (3,000) | 36,422 |
| 0203735A | 165 | COMBAT VEHICLE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS | 197,669 | 198,978 | 198,978 | 17,334 | 215,003 |
| 0203740A | 166 | MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM | 38,327 | 51,327 | 38,327 | 13,000 | 51,327 |
| 0203744A | 167 | AIRCRAFT MODIFICATIONS/PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS | 2,326 | 2,326 | 2,326 | | 2,326 |
| 0203752A | 168 | AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM | 3,012 | 3,012 | 3,012 | | 3,012 |
| 0203758A | 169 | DIGITIZATION | 88,567 | 88,567 | 88,567 | 1,500 | 90,067 |
| 0203801A | 170 | MISSILE/AIR DEFENSE PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM | 17,069 | 26,869 | 61,869 | 44,800 | 61,869 |
| 0203802A | 171 | OTHER MISSILE PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS | 57,949 | 57,949 | 57,949 | 10,000 | 67,949 |
| 0203806A | 172 | TRACTOR RIG | 3,215 | 3,215 | 3,215 | | 3,215 |
| 0203808A | 173 | TRACTOR CARD | 10,156 | 10,156 | 10,156 | | 10,156 |
| 0208010A | 174 | JOINT TACTICAL COMMUNICATIONS PROGRAM (TRI-TAC) | 13,368 | 13,368 | 20,568 | | 13,368 |
| 0301359A | 175 | SPECIAL ARMY PROGRAM | 8,690 | 11,690 | 8,690 | | 8,690 |
| 0303140A | 176 | INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM | 3,644 | 3,644 | 3,644 | | 3,644 |
| 0303142A | 177 | SATCOM GROUND ENVIRONMENT | 56,355 | 56,355 | 58,655 | | 56,355 |
| 0303152A | 178 | WORLD-WIDE MILITARY COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEMS, INFORMATION SYSTEM | - | - | - | | - |
| 0305127A | 179 | FOREIGN COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES | - | - | - | | - |
| 0305150A | 180 | AIR RECONNAISSANCE LOW | - | - | - | | - |
| 0708045A | 181 | END ITEM INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS ACTIVITIES | - | 27,776 | - | 26,776 | 26,776 |
| | | Depressed Altitude Guided Gun Round System | | | 5,000 | | |
| | | Undistributed Reduction | | | (5,000) | | |
| | | TASK FORCE XXI | | | | | |
| | | TASK FORCE XXI - Soldier | | | | 30,000 | 30,000 |
| XXXXXXXXXX | 999 | Classified Programs | - | - | - | | - |
| | | **Total Army RDT&E** | 4,444,175 | 4,774,947 | 4,845,097 | 293,406 | 4,737,581 |

*Passive millimeter wave camera*

The budget request did not include funds for the passive millimeter wave camera.

The House bill would add $6.0 million in PE 62120A for continuation of the program.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Tractor rose*

The budget request included $4.5 million for Tractor Rose.

The House bill would authorize the requested amount.

The Senate amendment would authorize an additional $13.5 million.

The conferees are aware of recent progress in the activities related to this program. As a consequence, the conferees recommend authorization of this project at the level of funds appropriated in fiscal year 1996. In addition, the conferees urge the Department of the Army to consider reprogramming funds below threshold to capitalize on the potential of this technology.

*Electric gun technology*

The budget request included $9.0 million for the electric gun exploratory development program.

The House bill would authorize an additional $6.0 million in PE 62618A to complete research team data gathering and assessment in order to refocus the effort on the most promising technologies.

The conferees agree to authorize an additional $7.0 million for electric gun technology and an additional $1.0 million for the electrothermal chemical gun.

*Objective individual combat weapon (OICW)*

The budget request included $5.1 million in PE 62623A and $4.5 million in PE 63607A for continuation of the joint service small arms program.

The House bill would authorize an additional $2.0 million in PE 63607A for an advanced technology demonstration of lightweight, medium caliber, multi-shot, anti-armor weapon technology for application to a next-generation objective individual combat weapon system (OICW) for the Army and the Marines. The House report (H. Rept. 104–131) expressed the concern that funds requested for the OICW in fiscal year 1996 are insufficient to adequately conduct this advanced technology program. The House report also encouraged the Secretary of the Army to examine the current development strategy for the OICW to support the joint small arms master plan (JSAMP) and to request reprogramming of funds to carry out the plan.

The Senate amendment would authorize the requested amount.

The House recedes. The conferees strongly support the development of advanced technology for advanced individual weapons systems, as outlined in the JSAMP, and share the concerns expressed in the House report regarding adequacy of funding for development of the OICW. The conferees encourage the Secretary of the Army to request reprogramming of additional funds to compensate for any fiscal year 1996 funding shortfalls in the OICW program. The conferees also encourage the Secretary to include additional funds in the fiscal year 1997 budget request for OICW.

*Advanced battery technology*

The budget request did not include funding for advanced batteries.

The House bill would authorize $3.0 million in PE 62705A for non-metallic lithium and low-cost reusable alkaline batteries.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The conferees agree to the House authorization, but agree to provide only $2.0 million in PE 62705A.

*Environmental policy simulation laboratory*

The conferees agree that $3.0 million of the funds appropriated in PE 62720A shall be authorized for the establishment of an environmental policy simulation lab under the direction of the Army Environmental Policy Institute. The conferees further direct the Department of Defense to comply with the direction contained in the Senate report (S. Rept. 104–112) regarding the establishment of this lab.

*Command, control, and communications technology*

The budget request included $15.7 million in PE 62782A for the exploratory development of command, control, and communications technology.

The House bill would authorize the requested amount.

The Senate amendment would authorize an additional $2.0 million in PE 62782A as part of a general increase to address underfunding in the Army technology base.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees agree that the Army technology base has been underfunded in recent years. The conferees urge the Army leadership and the Office of the Secretary of Defense provide for balanced funding of the Army technology base program, as related to other Defense program accounts in the fiscal year 1997 budget request.

*Medical advanced technology*

The budget request included $11.8 million for medical advanced technology.

The House bill would include an additional $5.0 million for continuation of the battlefield tissue replacement program.

The Senate amendment would include an additional $3.0 million for telemedicine.

The conferees agree to authorize an additional $8.0 million for both of these programs and an additional $1.0 million for Army standardized testing of Trichloromelamine (TCM) in PE 63002A.

*Aviation advanced technology*

The budget request included $48.6 million for aviation advanced technology.

The House bill provided an additional authorization of $6.5 million for evaluation of the Starstreak missile and $10.0 million for tactical mobility technologies and designs, particularly related to the CH–47.

The Senate amendment would authorize the budget request.

The conferees agree to authorize an additional $4.0 million in PE 63003A for the completion of the phase II air-to-air test and evaluation for Starstreak during fiscal year 1996 and $4.0 for modernization technologies and improvement designs for the CH–47D.

The Army is encouraged to provide sufficient funding in its fiscal year 1997 budget request for completion of the air-to-air Starstreak evaluation program and continuation of the CH–47D modernization program.

*Weapons and munitions-advanced technology*

The budget request included $18.8 million for weapons and munitions advanced technology.

The House bill would authorize an additional $2.0 million for the XM 982/155mm projectile development.

The Senate amendment would authorize the request.

The conferees agree to authorize $2.0 million for the XM 982/155mm projectile development, an additional $6.0 million for the precision guided mortar munition, and an additional $1.0 million for electrorheological fluid recoil in PE 63004A.

*Command, control, and communications-advanced technology*

The budget request included $16.9 million in PE 63006A for advanced development of command, control, and communications technology.

The House bill would authorize the requested amount.

The Senate amendment would authorize an additional $3.0 million to partially address funding shortfalls in the Army technology base for fiscal year 1996. The Senate amendment would also authorize an increase of $4.0 million in PE 63006A to develop and test wave net technology for possible application to the Army's digitization initiatives.

The conferees agree to authorize the additional $4.0 million to PE 63006A for development and testing of wave net technology.

*Space applications technology program*

The budget request included $16.9 million in PE 63006A for command, control, and communications advanced technology, including $498,000 for the Army's space applications technology program.

Both the House bill and the Senate amendment would authorize the budget request for the Army's space applications technology program.

The conferees agree to an additional $5.0 million in PE 63006A for the space applications technology program. The conferees are aware of the program's success in demonstrating global positioning system and Wrasse weather data receivers during Operation Desert Storm/Desert Shield and other space technology applications, such as, the location of high value targets using hyperspectral sensing techniques, high data rate satellite communications on the move, and down link weather satellite technology. The conferees encourage the Army to continue support to the program in future budget requests.

*Acquired immune deficiency syndrome*

The budget request included $2.9 million in PE 63105A.

Both the House bill and the Senate amendment authorized the requested amount.

The conferees agree to authorize the requested amount and concur with the Senate report (S. Rept. 104–112) that directed at least $1.0 million of the authorized amount be used to continue domestic clinical HIV programs.

*Joint precision strike demonstration programs*

The budget request included $34.1 million in PE 63238A for the joint air-land-sea precision strike demonstration (JPSD) program.

The House bill would direct that the JPSD program be expanded into a jointly manned program, with participation by all military services, and would recommend an increase of $4.0 million for this purpose.

The Senate amendment would authorize the requested amount.

The House recedes. The conferees agree with the views expressed in the House report (H. Rept. 104–131) on the progress made by the Army in demonstrating advanced concepts for attack of time-critical targets. The conferees also agree with the House report recommendations for increased participation by the other military services in the JPSD. Attack of time-critical targets on the battlefield is a joint issue which requires the coordinated efforts of all the military services.

*Missile and rocket advanced technology*

The budget request included $123.9 million in PE 63313A for missile and rocket advanced technology.

The House bill would reduce the requested amount by $12.1 million by making the following adjustments: adding $2.5 million for low cost autonomous attack submunition (LOCAAS) and $5.0 million for low-cost guidance development for the multiple launch rocket system (MLRS); and reducing the amount requested for the rapid force projection initiative by $19.6 million.

The Senate amendment would increase the requested amount by $12.0 million, with $5.0 million for LOCAAS and $7.0 million for low-cost guidance for MLRS.

The conferees agree to authorize a total of $118.9 million in PE 63313A. The conferees agree to reduce the requested amount by $7.5 million for the Enhanced-Fiber Optic Guided (E-FOG) missile system, as a result of concerns expressed in the House report (H. Rept. 104-131), and to add $2.5 million for LOCAAS within PE 63313A. The conferees would also increase the requested amount by $2.5 million for LOCAAS in PE 63601F for the Air Force. The conferees continue to support low-cost guidance for the MLRS and urge the Army to reprogram funds for this program in fiscal year 1996 and to request adequate funds in the fiscal year 1997 budget request.

*Landmine warfare and barrier advanced technology*

The budget request included $18.8 million for landmine warfare, and barrier advanced technology.

The House bill would authorize an additional $10.0 million for continuation of the landmine neutralization program.

The Senate amendment would approve the budget request.

The conferees agree to authorize an increase of $6.0 million for PE 63606A. Of this increase, $3.0 million will be used for landmine detection and clearance technology development, and $3.0 million will be used for the accelerated development and testing of the Ground Penetrating Radar.

*Intelligence fusion analysis demonstration*

The budget request included $2.9 million in PE 63745A for the Intelligence Fusion Analysis Demonstration program.

The House bill would authorize an additional $3.0 million for development and evaluation in Army Warfighter Experiments and the joint precision strike demonstration program of advanced large screen, automated graphical displays that would provide enhanced situational awareness for tactical commanders.

The Senate amendment would authorize the requested amount.

The Senate recedes.

*Aviation advanced development*

The budget request contained $8.4 million for aviation advanced development.

The House bill would authorize an additional $6.0 million for the common helicopter helmet development in PE 63801A.

The Senate amendment would authorize the budget request.

The Senate recedes.

*Comanche helicopter (RAH-66)*

The budget request included $199.1 million to continue development of the Comanche scout/attack helicopter.

The House bill would authorize an increase of $100.0 million for Comanche research and development.

The Senate amendment would authorize an increase of $174.0 million and require the Department of Defense and the Department of the Army to develop a plan to provide for procurement of Comanche helicopters, not later than fiscal year 2001, with initial operating capability by fiscal year 2003.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees agree to authorize an increase of $100.0 million to accelerate development of the electro-optical system and integrated communication navigation package, and mission equipment software development for the second aircraft.

*Medium truck extended service program*

The House bill would authorize an additional $9.4 million for the Marine Corps medium truck variant.

The Senate amendment would add $10.0 million to PE 64604A for initiation of a five-ton truck extended service program (ESP), and $9.4 million to PE 26624M for additional medium truck variants and development of simulation models and testing.

The conferees agree to provide $1.5 million in PE 64604A for the Army's five-ton ESP and $3.5 million for the Marine Corps in PE 26624M for initiation of a medium tactical vehicle replacement (MTVR).

The conferees agree with the section of the Senate Report (S. Rept. 104-112) that deals with the medium tactical truck extended service program, including the requirements for a report from the Secretary of the Army on the medium truck ESP.

As the manager of tactical vehicles for the Department of Defense, the conferees expect the Army to manage the Army five-ton truck ESP and the Marine Corps MTVR program and ensure that Air Force and Navy requirements are included in executing the Army ESP. The conferees expect the Army to take maximum advantage of medium truck ESP currently underway, to minimize additional procurements to avoid industrial overcapacity, and to give consideration to reliable manufacturers that have demonstrated capabilities to produce military trucks.

*Heavy tactical vehicles*

The House bill would provide an increase of $2.75 million in PE 64622A, $1.9 million for water heater/chiller development for the Army's water tank semitrailer, and $.85 million for a palletized loading system technology demonstration.

The Senate amendment would provide an increase of $1.9 million in PE 64622A for water heater/chiller development for the Army's water tank semitrailer.

The Senate recedes.

*High mobility multipurpose wheeled vehicle extended service program*

The Senate amendment would include an increase of $5.0 million in PE 64642A to initiate an extended service program (ESP) for the high mobility multipurpose wheeled vehicle (HMMWV).

The conferees recognize that the HMMWV fleet is reaching age and mileage levels leading to increased maintenance and operating costs and lower reliability. The conferees agree to provide an increase of $2.0 million for initiation and prototype development for HMMWV ESP.

The conferees direct the Secretary of the Army to submit, with the fiscal year 1997 budget request, a report to the congressional defense committees that describes a program to develop and test prototypes, and to initiate a joint program to remanufacture HMMWV's for the Army and the Marine Corps, harmonizing their requirements for ESP. The conferees further direct the Secretary of the Army and the Secretary of the Navy to ensure this program is fully funded in future budgets.

*Automated test equipment development*

The budget request included $5.4 million for automated test equipment development.

The House bill would authorize an additional $10.0 million in PE 64746A for the integrated family of test equipment.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Joint surveillance target attack radar system*

The budget request included $18.8 million for the Army and $169.7 million for the Air Force for the Joint Surveillance Target Attack Radar System (JSTARS).

The House bill would authorize an increase in the Air Force requested amount, $14.0 million to establish a NATO program office and $20.0 million for development of an improved data modem and satellite communications capability.

The Senate amendment would authorize no additional funding for these programs.

The conferees agree to authorize an additional $9.5 million in PE 64770A for the Army Ground Station Module, in support of the NATO Alliance Ground Surveillance program, and an additional $24.5 million in PE 64770F, with $4.5 million for the Air Force portion of the JSTARS NATO Alliance Ground Surveillance program and $20.0 million for development of an improved data modem and satellite communications capability.

*Weapons and munitions-engineering development*

The budget request included $15.9 million for weapons and munitions-engineering development.

The House bill would authorize an additional $2.7 million for type classification of a soft mount for the MK-19 and $1.6 million for the 120mm practice cartridge XM-931 training round.

The Senate amendment would authorize $0.5 million for type classification of a nondevelopmental universal mounting bracket for the MK-19 grenade machine gun.

The conferees agree to authorize $0.5 million for the type classification of the MK-19 mounting bracket and $1.6 million for the 120mm practice cartridge in PE 64802A.

*Battlefield combat identification system (BCIS)*

The conferees are disappointed with the fiscal constraints that precluded full funding of the administration's $30.5 million request for non-cooperative target recognition (PE 64817A), particularly in relation to the battlefield combat identification system (BCIS). Fratricide on the battlefield is of great concern to our fighting forces, and BCIS is expected to significantly enhance the Army's ability to deal with this critical issue. The system has performed extremely well in Army testing to date, and the program enjoys widespread support, both within the military services and the warfighting Commanders-in-Chief. The conferees encourage the Secretary of the Army to aggressively pursue the program, and would entertain a reprogramming request to fund additional BCIS units or accelerated BCIS development.

*Joint warfighter interoperability demonstration*

The budget request included $46.5 million in PE 65712A for support of Army operational testing.

The House bill would recommend an additional $1.5 million for support of a joint warfighter interoperability demonstration, one of the key fiscal year 1996 funding shortfalls identified during evaluation of the Department of the Army budget request.

The Senate amendment would authorize the budget request.

The conferees agree to authorize an additional $1.5 million in PE 23758A for support

of the joint warfighting interoperability demonstration, as recommended in the House bill.

*Missile/air defense product improvement*

The budget request included $17.1 million for the missile/air defense product improvement program element.

The House bill would authorize an increase of $9.8 million for the evaluation of Stinger block II.

The Senate amendment would also authorize $9.8 million for Stinger, and an additional $35.0 million for Patriot cruise missile defense.

The conferees agree to authorize $61.9 million in PE 23801A, an increase of $44.8 million for both programs.

*Instrumented factory for gear development*

The budget request did not include funding for the continuation of the instrumented factory gear (INFAC).

The House bill would authorize an additional $5.0 million for INFAC in PE 78045A.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Polycrylonitrile carbon fibers*

The budget request did not include funding for polycrylonitrile (PAN) fiber development.

The House bill would authorize an additional $4.0 million for PAN fibers in the Army MANTECH program.

The Senate amendment would authorize an additional $4.0 million for PAN fibers in the Army materials technology program.

The conferees agree to authorize an additional $4.0 million for this PAN fibers program in PE 78045A.

*Rotary winged aircraft repair*

The budget request included no funding for manufacturing technology related to rotary winged aircraft repair.

The House bill would fence $1.5 million of the Army MANTECH program for technologies related to industrial-academic partnerships for repair technology development and insertion for rotary winged aircraft.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The conferees agree to authorize $1.5 million for the program in PE 78045A.

*Task force XXI soldier*

The conferees agree to authorize $30.0 million for a program that consolidates the Army's Land warrior and Generation II (GEN II) soldier programs. The conferees agree to the following adjustment for the purpose of program consolidation:

| | *Millions* |
|---|---|
| PE 63001A—Logistics Advanced Technology | −$4.9 |
| PE 63710A—Night Vision Advanced Technology | −4.2 |
| PE 63772A—Advanced Tactical Computer Science and Technology | −5.0 |
| PE 63747A—Soldier Support and Survivability | −25.9 |
| Task Force XXI Soldier | +30.0 |

The conferees believe that the Army must examine and consider a full range of alternatives, including expansion of the dismounted soldier system of the applique program, execution of the Land Warrior program, and acceleration of the GEN II advanced technology demonstrator, to the extent that they support the new consolidated program.

*Overview*

The budget request for fiscal year 1996 contained an authorization of $8,204.5 million for Navy Research and Development in the Department of Defense. The House bill would authorize $8,516.5 million. The Senate amendment would authorize $8,624.2 million. The conferees recommended an authorization of $8,474.8 million. Unless noted explicitly in the statement of managers, all changes are made without prejudice.

| PE | No | Title | FY 1996 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ACCOUNT | | RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT TEST & EVAL NAVY | | | | | |
| 0601152N | 1 | IN-HOUSE INDEPENDENT LABORATORY RESEARCH | 16,084 | 16,084 | 16,084 | | 16,084 |
| 0601153N | 2 | DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES | 385,917 | 391,917 | 373,917 | (12,000) | 373,917 |
| 0602111N | 3 | SURFACE/AEROSPACE SURVEILLANCE AND WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY | 32,658 | 36,658 | 42,058 | 2,000 | 34,658 |
| 0602121N | 4 | SURFACE SHIP TECHNOLOGY | 36,786 | 52,786 | 42,786 | 31,000 | 67,786 |
| 0602122N | 5 | AIRCRAFT TECHNOLOGY | 22,238 | 24,738 | 22,238 | 2,500 | 24,738 |
| 0602131M | 6 | MARINE CORPS LANDING FORCE TECHNOLOGY | 17,623 | 18,623 | 17,623 | | 17,623 |
| 0602232N | 7 | COMMAND, CONTROL, AND COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY | 60,090 | 64,090 | 60,090 | | 60,090 |
| 0602233N | 8 | READINESS, TRAINING, AND ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY | 40,511 | 43,211 | 40,511 | | 40,511 |
| 0602234N | 9 | MATERIALS, ELECTRONICS AND COMPUTER TECHNOLOGY | 74,849 | 77,849 | 74,849 | 3,000 | 77,849 |
| 0602270N | 10 | ELECTRONIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY | 18,341 | 18,341 | 18,341 | | 18,341 |
| 0602314N | 11 | UNDERSEA SURVEILLANCE WEAPON TECHNOLOGY | 51,182 | 51,682 | 55,982 | 5,300 | 56,482 |
| 0602315N | 12 | MINE COUNTERMEASURES, MINING AND SPECIAL WARFARE | 43,384 | 43,384 | 43,384 | | 43,384 |
| 0602435N | 13 | OCEANOGRAPHIC AND ATMOSPHERIC TECHNOLOGY | 45,526 | 50,526 | 45,526 | 2,500 | 48,026 |
| 0602633N | 14 | UNDERSEA WARFARE WEAPONRY TECHNOLOGY (H) | 35,582 | 35,582 | 35,582 | | 35,582 |
| 0603217N | 15 | AIR SYSTEMS AND WEAPONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 17,082 | 52,082 | 26,082 | 54,000 | 71,082 |
| 0603238N | 16 | PRECISION STRIKE AND AIR DEFENSE | 64,502 | 64,502 | 124,502 | | 64,502 |
| 0603270N | 17 | ADVANCED ELECTRONIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY | 14,532 | 14,532 | 14,532 | | 14,532 |
| 0603508N | 18 | SHIP PROPULSION SYSTEM | 43,544 | 17,986 | 17,986 | (25,558) | 17,986 |
| 0603528N | 18a | NON-ACOUSTIC ANTI-SUBMARINE WARFARE | | 23,200 | | 10,000 | 10,000 |
| 0603640M | 19 | MARINE CORPS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATION (ATD) | 25,896 | 25,896 | 25,896 | | 25,896 |
| 0603706N | 20 | MEDICAL DEVELOPMENT | 27,754 | 27,754 | 27,754 | 3,000 | 30,754 |
| 0603707N | 21 | MANPOWER, PERSONNEL AND TRAINING ADV TECH DEV | 17,797 | 19,297 | 17,797 | | 17,797 |
| 0603712N | 22 | ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY AND LOGISTICS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 21,504 | 33,504 | 21,504 | | 21,504 |
| 0603747N | 23 | UNDERSEA WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 51,816 | 51,816 | 51,816 | (3,323) | 48,493 |
| 0603771N | 24 | INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY | 41,251 | - | 41,251 | (41,251) | - |
| 0603782N | 25 | SHALLOW WATER MCM DEMOS | 50,958 | 50,958 | 50,958 | (10,000) | 40,958 |
| 0603792N | 26 | ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY TRANSITION | 96,825 | 99,825 | 96,825 | (15,825) | 81,000 |
| 0603794N | 27 | C3 ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 26,794 | 26,794 | 26,794 | | 26,794 |
| 0603207N | 28 | AIR/OCEAN TACTICAL APPLICATIONS | 16,621 | 16,621 | 16,621 | | 16,621 |
| 0603208N | 29 | TRAINING SYSTEM AIRCRAFT | 3,069 | 3,069 | 3,069 | | 3,069 |
| 0603216N | 30 | AVIATION SURVIVABILITY | 7,477 | 14,877 | 7,477 | 7,400 | 14,877 |
| 0603254N | 31 | ASW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT | 30,202 | 30,202 | 30,202 | | 30,202 |
| 0603261N | 32 | TACTICAL AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE | 18,924 | 18,924 | 18,924 | | 18,924 |
| 0603382N | 33 | ADVANCED COMBAT SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY | 2,803 | 2,803 | 2,803 | | 2,803 |
| 0603451N | 34 | TACTICAL SPACE OPERATIONS | 1,383 | 1,383 | 1,383 | | 1,383 |
| 0603502N | 35 | SURFACE AND SHALLOW WATER MINE COUNTERMEASURES | 54,527 | 56,177 | 62,027 | 1,650 | 56,177 |
| 0603504N | 36 | ADVANCED SUBMARINE COMBAT SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT | 21,281 | 28,181 | 21,281 | 6,900 | 28,181 |
| 0603506N | 37 | SURFACE SHIP TORPEDO DEFENSE | 10,049 | 10,049 | 10,049 | | 10,049 |

| PE | No | Title | FY 1996 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0603512N | 38 | CARRIER SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT | 16,164 | 16,164 | 16,164 | (3,400) | 12,764 |
| 0603513N | 39 | SHIPBOARD SYSTEM COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT | 16,804 | 16,804 | 16,804 | | 16,804 |
| 0603514N | 40 | SHIP COMBAT SURVIVABILITY | 11,649 | 11,649 | 11,649 | | 11,649 |
| 0603525N | 41 | PILOT FISH | 78,960 | 78,960 | 78,960 | | 78,960 |
| 0603536N | 42 | RETRACT JUNIPER | 10,002 | 10,002 | 10,002 | | 10,002 |
| 0603542N | 43 | RADIOLOGICAL CONTROL | 3,202 | 3,202 | 3,202 | | 3,202 |
| 0603553N | 44 | SURFACE ASW | 6,655 | 6,655 | 6,655 | | 6,655 |
| 0603561N | 45 | ADVANCED SUBMARINE SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT | 35,748 | 48,848 | 35,748 | 20,000 | 55,748 |
| 0603562N | 46 | SUBMARINE TACTICAL WARFARE SYSTEMS | 5,070 | 5,070 | 5,070 | | 5,070 |
| 0603563N | 47 | SHIP CONCEPT ADVANCED DESIGN | 16,736 | 16,736 | 16,736 | | 16,736 |
| 0603564N | 48 | SHIP PRELIMINARY DESIGN & FEASIBILITY STUDIES | 9,708 | 9,708 | 9,708 | | 9,708 |
| 0603570N | 49 | ADVANCED NUCLEAR POWER SYSTEMS | 141,835 | 141,835 | 141,835 | | 141,835 |
| 0603573N | 50 | ADVANCED SURFACE MACHINERY SYSTEMS | 39,156 | 86,214 | 64,714 | 43,708 | 82,864 |
| 0603576N | 51 | CHALK EAGLE | 114,175 | 114,175 | 114,175 | | 114,175 |
| 0603582N | 52 | COMBAT SYSTEM INTEGRATION | 5,414 | 5,414 | 5,414 | | 5,414 |
| 0603609N | 53 | CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS | 31,537 | 31,537 | 31,537 | | 31,537 |
| 0603610N | 54 | ADVANCED WARHEAD DEVELOPMENT (MK-50) | 2,993 | 2,993 | 2,993 | | 2,993 |
| 0603611M | 55 | MARINE CORPS ASSAULT VEHICLES | 34,157 | 40,157 | 40,157 | 6,000 | 40,157 |
| 0603612M | 56 | MARINE CORPS MINE/COUNTERMEASURES SYSTEMS - ADV DEV | 2,470 | 2,470 | 2,470 | | 2,470 |
| 0603634N | 57 | ELECTROMAGNETIC EFFECTS PROTECTION DEVELOPMENT | - | - | - | | - |
| 0603635M | 58 | MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORT SYSTEM | 46,733 | 50,933 | 50,933 | 4,200 | 50,933 |
| 0603654N | 59 | JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT | 7,298 | 7,298 | 7,298 | | 7,298 |
| 0603709N | 60 | ADVANCED MARINE BIOLOGICAL SYSTEM | - | - | - | | - |
| 0603711N | 61 | FLEET TACTICAL DEVELOPMENT | 4,268 | 4,268 | 4,268 | | 4,268 |
| 0603713N | 62 | OCEAN ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT | 5,166 | 5,166 | 5,166 | | 5,166 |
| 0603721N | 63 | ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION | 65,947 | 65,947 | 65,947 | | 65,947 |
| 0603724N | 64 | NAVY ENERGY PROGRAM | 1,976 | 1,976 | 1,976 | | 1,976 |
| 0603725N | 65 | FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT | 1,803 | 1,803 | 1,803 | | 1,803 |
| 0603734N | 66 | CHALK CORAL | 71,085 | 71,085 | 71,085 | | 71,085 |
| 0603746N | 67 | RETRACT MAPLE | 82,932 | 90,932 | 87,932 | 5,000 | 87,932 |
| 0603748N | 68 | LINK PLUMERIA | 17,879 | 17,879 | 21,879 | 3,700 | 21,579 |
| 0603751N | 69 | RETRACT ELM | 32,561 | 32,561 | 32,561 | (1,000) | 31,561 |
| 0603755N | 70 | SHIP SELF DEFENSE | 245,620 | 245,620 | 288,120 | 42,500 | 288,120 |
| 0603763N | 71 | WARFARE SYSTEMS ARCHITECTURE AND ENGINEERING | - | - | - | | - |
| 0603785N | 72 | COMBAT SYSTEMS OCEANOGRAPHIC PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT | 16,042 | 16,042 | 16,042 | | 16,042 |
| 0603787N | 73 | SPECIAL PROCESSES | 72,251 | 72,251 | 72,251 | | 72,251 |
| 0603795N | 74 | GUN WEAPON SYSTEM TECHNOLOGY | 12,028 | 37,028 | 31,228 | 22,000 | 34,028 |
| 0603800N | 75 | JOINT ADVANCED STRIKE TECHNOLOGY - DEM/VAL | 149,295 | 123,795 | 324,295 | (65,500) | 83,795 |
| 0604707N | 76 | SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE (SEW) ARCHITECTURE/ENGINEERING SPT | 5,742 | 5,742 | 5,742 | | 5,742 |

| PE | No | Title | FY 1996 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0603889N | 77 | COUNTERDRUG RDT&E PROJECTS | - | - | - | | - |
| 0604212N | 78 | ASW AND OTHER HELO DEVELOPMENT | 91,803 | 80,175 | 91,803 | (2,167) | 89,636 |
| 0604214N | 79 | AV-8B AIRCRAFT - ENG DEV | 11,309 | 26,909 | 11,309 | 15,600 | 26,909 |
| 0604215N | 80 | STANDARDS DEVELOPMENT | 10,567 | 10,567 | 10,567 | | 10,567 |
| 0604217N | 81 | S-3 WEAPON SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT | 12,872 | 27,872 | 26,072 | | 12,872 |
| 0604218N | 82 | AIR/OCEAN EQUIPMENT ENGINEERING | 6,182 | 6,182 | 6,182 | | 6,182 |
| 0604221N | 83 | P-3 MODERNIZATION PROGRAM | 1,945 | 16,945 | 1,945 | 15,000 | 16,945 |
| 0604231N | 84 | TACTICAL COMMAND SYSTEM | 27,389 | 27,389 | 27,389 | (2,639) | 24,750 |
| 0604261N | 85 | ACOUSTIC SEARCH SENSORS | 9,680 | 9,680 | 9,680 | | 9,680 |
| 0604262N | 86 | V-22A | 762,548 | 762,548 | 762,548 | (5,000) | 757,548 |
| 0604264N | 87 | AIR CREW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT | 9,788 | 17,688 | 9,788 | 7,900 | 17,688 |
| 0604265N | 88 | AIR LAUNCHED SATURATION SYSTEM (ALSS) | - | - | - | | - |
| 0604270N | 89 | EW DEVELOPMENT | 87,440 | 87,440 | 112,440 | 10,000 | 97,440 |
| 0604301N | 90 | MK 92 FIRE CONTROL SYSTEM UPGRADE | - | - | - | | - |
| 0604307N | 91 | AEGIS COMBAT SYSTEM ENGINEERING | 105,683 | 89,883 | 105,683 | (11,000) | 94,683 |
| 0604312N | 92 | TRI-SERVICE STANDOFF ATTACK MISSILE | - | 37,500 | - | | - |
| 0604366N | 93 | STANDARD MISSILE IMPROVEMENTS | 8,572 | 8,572 | 8,572 | | 8,572 |
| 0604372N | 94 | NEW THREAT UPGRADE | - | - | - | | - |
| 0604373N | 95 | AIRBORNE MCM | 42,226 | 42,226 | 42,226 | (7,758) | 34,468 |
| 0604503N | 96 | SSN-688 AND TRIDENT MODERNIZATION | 70,315 | 70,315 | 70,315 | | 70,315 |
| 0604504N | 97 | AIR CONTROL | 7,815 | 7,815 | 7,815 | | 7,815 |
| 0604507N | 98 | ENHANCED MODULAR SIGNAL PROCESSOR | 8,342 | 8,342 | 8,342 | 6,500 | 14,842 |
| 0604512N | 99 | SHIPBOARD AVIATION SYSTEMS | 11,343 | 11,343 | 11,343 | | 11,343 |
| 0604516N | 100 | SHIP SURVIVABILITY | 4,907 | 4,907 | 4,907 | | 4,907 |
| 0604518N | 101 | COMBAT INFORMATION CENTER CONVERSION | 15,859 | 15,859 | 15,859 | | 15,859 |
| 0604524N | 102 | SUBMARINE COMBAT SYSTEM | 43,302 | 37,151 | 43,302 | | 43,302 |
| 0604558N | 103 | NEW DESIGN SSN | 347,415 | 347,415 | 347,415 | | 347,415 |
| 0604561N | 104 | SSN-21 DEVELOPMENTS | 83,503 | 83,503 | 83,503 | | 83,503 |
| 0604562N | 105 | SUBMARINE TACTICAL WARFARE SYSTEM | 38,479 | 20,487 | 38,479 | | 38,479 |
| 0604567N | 106 | SHIP CONTRACT DESIGN/ LIVE FIRE T&E | 17,994 | 17,994 | 17,994 | | 17,994 |
| 0604574N | 107 | NAVY TACTICAL COMPUTER RESOURCES | 5,499 | 5,499 | 5,499 | | 5,499 |
| 0604601N | 108 | MINE DEVELOPMENT | 3,045 | 3,045 | 3,045 | | 3,045 |
| 0604603N | 109 | UNGUIDED CONVENTIONAL AIR-LAUNCHED WEAPONS | 40,517 | 40,517 | 40,517 | | 40,517 |
| 0604610N | 110 | LIGHTWEIGHT TORPEDO DEVELOPMENT | 22,027 | 22,027 | 22,027 | | 22,027 |
| 0604612M | 111 | MARINE CORPS MINE COUNTERMEASURES SYSTEMS - ENG DEV | 263 | 263 | 263 | | 263 |
| 0604618N | 112 | JOINT DIRECT ATTACK MUNITION | 37,832 | 37,832 | 37,832 | 475 | 38,307 |
| 0604654N | 113 | JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT | 5,408 | 5,408 | 5,408 | | 5,408 |
| 0604703N | 114 | PERSONNEL, TRAINING, SIMULATION, AND HUMAN FACTORS | 1,043 | 1,043 | 1,043 | | 1,043 |
| 0604710N | 115 | NAVY ENERGY PROGRAM | 2,628 | 2,628 | 2,628 | | 2,628 |

| PE | No | Title | FY 1996 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0604719M | 116 | MARINE CORPS COMMAND/CONTROL/COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS | 15,380 | 10,380 | 15,380 | | 15,380 |
| 0604721N | 117 | BATTLE GROUP PASSIVE HORIZON EXTENSION SYSTEM | 7,600 | 7,600 | 7,600 | | 7,600 |
| 0604727N | 118 | JOINT STANDOFF WEAPON SYSTEMS | 81,837 | 81,837 | 81,837 | | 81,837 |
| 0604755N | 119 | SHIP SELF DEFENSE | 165,997 | 165,997 | 184,497 | 17,500 | 183,497 |
| 0604761N | 120 | INTELLIGENCE ENGINEERING | - | - | - | | - |
| 0604771N | 121 | MEDICAL DEVELOPMENT | 3,402 | 3,402 | 3,402 | | 3,402 |
| 0604777N | 122 | NAVIGATION/ID SYSTEM | 56,472 | 56,472 | 56,472 | (2,368) | 54,104 |
| 0604784N | 123 | DISTRIBUTED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM | 93,507 | 103,507 | 93,507 | 10,000 | 103,507 |
| 0604256N | 124 | THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT | 25,911 | 25,911 | 25,911 | | 25,911 |
| 0604258N | 125 | TARGET SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT | 24,364 | 24,364 | 24,364 | | 24,364 |
| 0604759N | 126 | MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT | 46,586 | 46,586 | 46,586 | | 46,586 |
| 0605152N | 127 | STUDIES AND ANALYSIS SUPPORT - NAVY | 9,281 | 9,281 | 9,281 | (2,281) | 7,000 |
| 0605154N | 128 | CENTER FOR NAVAL ANALYSES | 44,429 | 44,429 | 44,429 | | 44,429 |
| 0605155N | 129 | FLEET TACTICAL DEVELOPMENT | 2,620 | 2,620 | 2,620 | | 2,620 |
| 0605502N | 130 | SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATIVE RESEARCH | - | - | - | | - |
| 0605804N | 131 | TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICES | 2,027 | 2,027 | 2,027 | | 2,027 |
| 0605853N | 132 | MANAGEMENT, TECHNICAL & INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT | 20,371 | 20,371 | 20,371 | (1,949) | 18,422 |
| 0605856N | 133 | STRATEGIC TECHNICAL SUPPORT | 3,584 | 3,584 | 3,584 | (584) | 3,000 |
| 0605861N | 134 | RDT&E SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT | 61,001 | 61,001 | 61,001 | | 61,001 |
| 0605862N | 135 | RDT&E INSTRUMENTATION MODERNIZATION | 8,278 | 8,278 | 8,278 | | 8,278 |
| 0605863N | 136 | RDT&E SHIP AND AIRCRAFT SUPPORT | 63,232 | 63,232 | 63,232 | | 63,232 |
| 0605864N | 137 | TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT | 245,911 | 247,911 | 240,911 | (6,000) | 239,911 |
| 0605865N | 138 | OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION CAPABILITY | 5,675 | 5,675 | 5,675 | | 5,675 |
| 0605866N | 139 | NAVY SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE (SEW) SUPPORT | 3,638 | 3,638 | 3,638 | | 3,638 |
| 0605867N | 140 | SEW SURVEILLANCE/RECONAISSANCE SUPPORT | 12,134 | 12,134 | 12,134 | | 12,134 |
| 0605871M | 141 | MARINE CORPS TACTICAL EXPLOITATION OF NATIONAL CAPABILITIES | 2,984 | 2,984 | 2,984 | | 2,984 |
| 0605873M | 142 | MARINE CORPS PROGRAM WIDE SUPPORT | 5,914 | 5,914 | 5,914 | | 5,914 |
| 0101221N | 143 | STRATEGIC SUB & WEAPONS SYSTEM SUPPORT | 39,511 | 39,511 | 41,711 | (2,902) | 36,609 |
| 0101224N | 144 | SSBN SECURITY TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM | 25,078 | 34,578 | 25,078 | 5,500 | 30,578 |
| 0101226N | 145 | SUBMARINE ACOUSTIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT | 7,937 | 7,937 | 7,937 | | 7,937 |
| 0101402N | 146 | NAVY STRATEGIC COMMUNICATIONS | 20,416 | 20,416 | 20,416 | | 20,416 |
| 0102427N | 147 | NAVAL SPACE SURVEILLANCE | 752 | 752 | 752 | | 752 |
| 0204136N | 148 | F/A-18 SQUADRONS | 919,484 | 919,484 | 919,484 | | 919,484 |
| 0204152N | 149 | E-2 SQUADRONS | 52,965 | 52,965 | 52,965 | | 52,965 |
| 0204163N | 150 | FLEET TELECOMMUNICATIONS (TACTICAL) | 24,032 | 24,032 | 24,032 | | 24,032 |
| 0204229N | 151 | TOMAHAWK AND TOMAHAWK MISSION PLANNING CENTER (TMPC) | 141,440 | 141,440 | 141,440 | 4,000 | 145,440 |
| 0204311N | 152 | INTEGRATED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM | 16,440 | 16,440 | 16,440 | | 16,440 |
| 0204413N | 153 | AMPHIBIOUS TACTICAL SUPPORT UNITS | 4,364 | 4,364 | 4,364 | | 4,364 |
| 0204571N | 154 | CONSOLIDATED TRAINING SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT | 48,058 | 51,058 | 48,058 | 3,000 | 51,058 |

| PE | No | Title | FY 1996 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0205601N | 155 | HARM IMPROVEMENT | 3,348 | 6,348 | 3,348 | | 3,348 |
| 0205604N | 156 | TACTICAL DATA LINKS | 54,869 | 54,869 | 54,869 | | 54,869 |
| 0205620N | 157 | SURFACE ASW COMBAT SYSTEM INTEGRATION | 9,955 | 9,955 | 9,955 | | 9,955 |
| 0205632N | 158 | MK-48 ADCAP | 22,214 | 22,214 | 22,214 | | 22,214 |
| 0205633N | 159 | AVIATION IMPROVEMENTS | 66,875 | 66,875 | 66,875 | | 66,875 |
| 0205658N | 160 | NAVY SCIENCE ASSISTANCE PROGRAM | 6,036 | 6,036 | 6,036 | | 6,036 |
| 0205667N | 161 | F-14 UPGRADE | 44,490 | 44,490 | 44,490 | (25,375) | 19,115 |
| 0205675N | 162 | OPERATIONAL NUCLEAR POWER SYSTEMS | 58,065 | 58,065 | 58,065 | | 58,065 |
| 0206313M | 163 | MARINE CORPS COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS | 3,250 | 3,250 | 3,250 | | 3,250 |
| 0206623M | 164 | MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORTING ARMS SYSTEMS | 13,386 | 13,386 | 23,386 | | 13,386 |
| 0206624M | 165 | MARINE CORPS COMBAT SERVICES SUPPORT | 3,915 | 16,315 | 13,315 | 3,500 | 7,415 |
| | 165a | ATV | - | - | - | | - |
| 0206625M | 166 | MARINE CORPS INTELLIGENCE/ELECTRONICS WARFARE SYSTEMS | 5,131 | 5,131 | 5,131 | | 5,131 |
| 0206626M | 167 | MARINE CORPS COMMAND/CONTROL/COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS | 19,793 | 19,793 | 19,793 | | 19,793 |
| 0207161N | 168 | TACTICAL AIM MISSILES | 29,721 | 29,721 | 29,721 | | 29,721 |
| 0207163N | 169 | ADVANCED MEDIUM RANGE AIR-TO-AIR MISSILE (AMRAAM) | 4,491 | 4,491 | 4,491 | | 4,491 |
| 0303109N | 171 | SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS | 38,472 | 38,472 | 38,472 | | 38,472 |
| 0303140N | 172 | INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM | 25,848 | 25,848 | 25,848 | | 25,848 |
| 0305160N | 174 | DEFENSE METEOROLOGICAL SATELLITE PROGRAM (DMSP) | 18,416 | 18,416 | 18,416 | | 18,416 |
| 0708011N | 175 | INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS | - | 51,251 | - | 88,000 | 88,000 |
| XXXXXXXXXX | 999 | Classified Programs | 539,680 | 579,680 | 545,480 | 45,800 | 585,480 |
| | | FREE ELECTRON LASER PROGRAM | - | 9,000 | | 9,000 | 9,000 |
| | | **Total Navy RDT&E** | 8,204,530 | 8,525,509 | 8,624,230 | 270,253 | 8,474,783 |

*Long-range guided projectile technology*

The budget request contained $32.7 million for development and demonstration of the advanced global positioning system/inertial navigation system (GPS/INS) guidance and control technology for long range precision guided munitions used by Navy surface fire support and Army long-range artillery.

The House bill would authorize an additional $9.0 million to accelerate the development and demonstration of the GPS/INS.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment.

The conferees agree to an additional $2.0 million in PE 62111N for the purposes indicated in the House report (H. Rept. 104–131). The conferees are aware of a demonstrated rapid progress in the development and demonstration of miniaturized, gun-hardened GPS/INS technology in the Army's Low-Cost Competent Munition (LCCM) Program, the Navy's advanced technology demonstration program for an extended range guided projectile, and the cooperative LCCM technology program established between Departments of the Army and the Navy. The conferees believe that the technology may significantly improve the accuracy of existing and future gun-fired projectiles, missiles, and rockets, and that an opportunity exists to accelerate development and demonstration in these areas. The conferees strongly encourage increased funding in this area in future Army and Navy budget requests.

*Surface ship technology*

The budget request included $36.8 million for surface ship technology.

The House bill would authorize an additional $6.0 million for power electronics building blocks and $10.0 million for advanced submarine technology development.

The Senate amendment would authorize an additional $6.0 million for power electronics building blocks.

The conferees agree to authorize $67.8 million in PE 62121N; an increase of $31.0 million. That authorization includes $6.0 million for power electronics building blocks, $10.0 million for advanced submarine technology development and $15.0 million for curved plate technology for ship construction.

*Power electronic building blocks*

The budget request did not include funding for the power electronic building blocks project.

Both the House bill and the Senate amendment contained $6.0 million in PE 62121N to initiate a power electronics program based on metal oxide semiconductor (MOS) control thyristors for high speed switching.

The conferees agree that the program should be affiliated with academic institutions and, as recommended by the Senate, involve a computational test bed for system simulation. The conferees agree that at least one-third of the funding should be for university participation.

*Flat panel, helmet-mounted display*

The budget request included $7.0 million in PE 62122N for exploratory development of air vehicle technology.

The House bill would authorize an additional $2.5 million to continue exploratory development of flat panel, helmet-mounted displays for air crew helmets.

The Senate amendment would authorize the budget request.

The Senate recedes.

*Communications technology*

The budget request included $9.2 million in PE 62232N to continue development of key communications technologies for air, ship, and submarine platforms.

The House bill would authorize an additional $4.0 million for support of wireless and satellite communications research in the areas of integrated antenna systems, communications hardware design, communication algorithm development and high-frequency device modeling and measurements.

The Senate amendment contained no similar recommendation.

The House recedes. The conferees recognize the importance of continued wireless and satellite communications research in the areas recommended in the House report (H. Rept. 104–131).

*Air crew adaptive automation technology*

The budget request included $40.5 million in PE 62233N for exploratory development of enabling readiness, training, and environmental technologies that support the manning, operation, and maintenance of fleet assets, and that provide the necessary training, facilities, and equipment to maintain operational forces in a high state of readiness.

The House bill would authorize an additional $2.7 million to continue development of adaptable automation technology for management of air crew workloads.

The Senate amendment would authorize the budget request.

The House recedes.

*Embedded sensors*

The budget request included $74.8 million in PE 62234N for exploratory development in the areas of materials, electronics, and computer technology in support of Navy advanced weapon and platform systems.

The House bill would authorize an additional $3.0 million to complete the exploratory development of embedded, remotely queried, microelectromechanical sensors in thick composites, which would be suitable for use in submarines, ships, and armored vehicles.

The Senate amendment would authorize the budget request.

The Senate recedes.

*Parametric airborne dipping sonar*

The budget request included $51.2 million for exploratory development of undersea surveillance and weapons technology.

The Senate amendment would authorize an additional $4.8 million in PE 62314N to expand the current scope of the demonstration and evaluation of parametric sonar technology to provide three dimensional stabilized steerable beams, around 360 degrees, at full source level, further characterize the technology for mine avoidance implications, and evaluate whether parametric sonar technology merits further development.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes. The conferees agree that the Navy should complete evaluation of the limited capability laboratory prototype, in-depth technical review and assessment of the potential of parametric sonar for helicopter application, and in-water testing and evaluation of the parametric airborne dipping sonar prototype.

*Polar Ozone Aerosol Monitor III*

The budget request included $45.5 million for exploratory development of oceanographic and atmospheric technology, in support of joint warfare mission area capabilities.

The House bill would authorize an additional $5.0 million to complete engineering, integration and test of the Polar Ozone Aerosol Monitor (POAM) III payload on the SPOT 4 spacecraft, in anticipation of system launch in 1997.

The Senate amendment included no similar provision.

The conferees agree to authorize an additional $2.5 million in PE 62435N to continue engineering, integration and test of the POAM III payload on the SPOT 4 spacecraft. The conferees encourage the Secretary of the Navy to reprogram those funds necessary to complete the program and launch the POAM III payload on the SPOT 4 spacecraft in 1997.

*Air crew protective clothing and devices*

The budget request included $1.7 million in PE 63216N for demonstration and validation of air crew protective clothing and devices.

The House bill would authorize an additional $7.4 million to the budget request to continue development of the advanced integrated life support system and of an advanced technology escape system for air crews. The House report (H. Rept. 104–131) also directed the Navy to provide, by March 2, 1996, a report that would describe the program plan for these two programs and the coordination of each plan with programs under consideration in the Air Force and the Army.

The Senate amendment would authorize the budget request.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees direct the Secretary of the Navy to submit the report described in the House report (H. Rpt. 104–131).

*Air systems and weapons advanced technology*

The budget request included $17.1 million for air systems advanced technology in PE 63217N. The request contained no specific funding for the maritime avionics subsystems and technology (MAST) program. MAST is a fiscal year 1995 "new start" that focuses on the development of scaleable, open, fault-tolerant, and common avionics architectures.

The House bill would authorize an additional $35.0 million for the advanced anti-radiation guided missile (AARGM). The House report (H. Rept. 104–131) encouraged the Navy and the Air Force to pursue the technology objectives of the MAST program under respective avionics technology development programs and the Joint Advanced Strike Technology (JAST) program.

The Senate amendment would authorize an additional $9.0 million for rapid response technologies.

The conferees agree to authorize an additional $35.0 million in PE 63217N for AARGM and $9.0 million for rapid response technologies for the specific purposes detailed in the respective House and Senate reports (H. Rept. 104–131; S. Rept. 104–112). The conferees also agree to authorize an additional $10.0 million for continuation of the MAST program in fiscal year 1996, and recommend that the Secretary of the Navy consider requirements for continuation of the MAST program in the Navy's fiscal year 1997 budget request.

*Mobile off-shore base (MOBS)*

The budget request included $14.7 million in PE 63238N to begin using ARPA developed technology for a mobile offshore base (MOB) and to initiate sub-scale tests of a complete system for the purpose of evaluating risks associated with full scale construction.

The House bill would authorize the budget request. The House report (H. Rept. 104–131), citing the potential cost of the MOBS system, noted that the Department of Defense had failed to comply with guidance provided in the Statement of Managers (H. Rept. 103–

701) accompanying the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (Public Law 103–337). The House report directed that any fiscal year 1996 funds authorized and appropriated for MOBS or for the Landing Ship Quay/Causeway not be obligated until the Department provides the reports and certification previously directed by Congress.

The Senate amendment would authorize the budget request.

The House recedes from its restriction on the obligation of fiscal year 1996 f unds for the MOBS project. The conferees note, however, the point made in the House report (H. Rept. 104–131) about the large potential cost of the MOBS program if carried to completion. The conferees further note that, in accordance with section 2430, title 10, United States Code, MOBS qualifies as an Acquisition Category I major defense acquisition program. Therefore, it is subject to the review and approval procedures for major defense acquisition programs established in Department of Defense instructions, regulations, and procedures. Under these review and approval procedures, a Milestone 0 (concept exploration and definition) review of the MOBS project is required by the Defense Acquisition Board (DAB). The conferees direct the Secretary of Defense to report to the congressional defense committees, by March 31, 1996, the plan and schedule for incorporating MOBS into the DAB process and accomplishing a Milestone 0 review.

*Medical development*

The conferees agreed to authorize an additional $1.0 million (PE 63706N) for acceleration of blood storage development and an additional $3.0 million (PE 63706N) for the Naval Biodynamics Laboratory (NBDL) for infrastructure transfer activities.

*Sensor integration and decision support systems*

The budget request contained $17.8 million in PE 63707N for advanced development of manpower, personnel, and training technology, including $1.1 million for air human factors engineering.

The House bill would authorize an additional $1.5 million in PE 63707N for development and evaluation of intelligent, multisource, multi-platform sensor integration and cockpit decision support systems.

The Senate amendment would authorize the budget request.

The House recedes.

*Navy advanced technology demonstration*

The budget request included $96.8 million in PE 63792N for advanced development and demonstration of high payoff, emerging technologies that could significantly improve Navy warfighting capabilities.

Both the House bill and the Senate amendment would authorize the budget request.

The conferees agree that the program for advanced technology demonstration of low cost, highly accurate guidance and control for improved naval surface fire support from surface 5" guns shall be fully funded at the level established in the budget request.

*Remote controlled minehunting vehicle*

The budget request included $7.6 million in PE 63502N for development and demonstration of improvements in minehunting sonar and remotely controlled minehunting systems.

The House bill would authorize an additional $1.65 million in PE 63502N to accelerate the remote minehunting operational prototype (RMOP) development program and provide an interim operational capability to the fleet.

The Senate amendment would authorize an additional $7.5 million in PE 63502N to accelerate development of RMOP.

The Senate recedes. The conferees agree that the mine detection and location capability demonstrated by the RMOP vehicle during a joint amphibious exercise in March–April 1995 suggests that it has the potential to fill a gap in the Navy's mine countermeasures operational capabilities. Therefore, the conferees conclude that the RMOP program should be accelerated to provide a contingency capability for fleet use. The conferees encourage the Secretary of the Navy to include additional funds for this purpose in the fiscal year 1997 budget request.

*Non-acoustic antisubmarine warfare program*

The House bill would authorize $23.2 million to reestablish a separate Navy non-acoustic antisubmarine warfare (NAASW) program in PE 63528N that would be on par with the Department of Defense's advanced sensor applications program.

The Senate amendment contained no funding for a Navy specific research and development program. However, the Senate amendment did provide $10.0 million of additional funding in PE 63714D, the Department of Defense's advanced sensor applications program, to continue development for a NAASW program, ATD–111, that is being executed by the Navy.

The conferees authorize an increase of $10.0 million in PE 63528N for the ATD–111 NAASW program. The funding is authorized to: (1) test system upgrades; (2) correct system defects identified during field tests; (3) bring the test systems to a common configuration; and (4) evaluate carriage on alternate airborne platforms.

The conferees recommend that the Navy conduct a comparative evaluation of the ATD–111 laser radar (LIDAR) system with other approaches. Comparative testing of competing non-acoustic approaches to antisubmarine warfare and other applications should provide a basis for establishing a firm requirement for follow-on systems.

The conferees also agree that there is a need for two viable, independent, but coordinated and complementary NAASW programs, one in the Navy and one in the Office of the Secretary of Defense. To reestablish the Navy's independent NAASW program, the conferees encourage the Secretary of the Navy to provide funding for it in the fiscal year 1997 budget request. Further guidance with respect to the NAASW program is contained in the classified annex.

*Advanced submarine technology development*

The budget request included $18.4 million in PE 62121N for exploratory development of submarine systems technology and $30.9 million in PE 63561N for advanced submarine systems development.

The House bill would authorize an increase of $10.0 million in PE 62121N. Of this amount, $7.0 million is to continue the transfer of technology to the Navy for active control of machinery platforms demonstrated in the Advanced Research Projects Agency's (ARPA's) Project M. The House bill would also authorize an additional $13.1 million in PE 63561N. The House report (H. Rept. 104–131) expressed concern over the overall reduction in submarine research and development funding, reflecting in the budget request, and the belief that this level of funding would be inadequate to support the type of long-term research necessary to ensure the availability of advanced technologies that could maintain the superior technological capability of the U.S. submarine force. The House report directed the Secretary of Defense to develop a plan for long-term submarine research and development aimed at ensuring U.S. technological superiority and to report this plan to the congressional defense committees with the submission of the fiscal year 1997 budget request.

The Senate amendment would approve the budget request.

The conferees agree to an increase of $10.0 million in PE 62121N. This increase would not include any reservations for ARPA's Project M. The conferees would authorize the transition effort associated with Project M in PE 63569E. The conferees also agree to an increase of $20.0 million in PE 63561N. The conferees would also adopt a provision, discussed in greater detail in the procurement section of the conference report, that would direct the Secretary of the Defense to develop a plan for long-term submarine research and development aimed at ensuring U.S. technological superiority and to report this plan to the congressional defense committees no later than March 15, 1996.

*Intercooled recuperated gas turbine engine*

The budget request included $25.6 million in PE 63508N, a technology base program element, for continued development of the intercooled recuperated (ICR) gas turbine.

The House bill expressed concern that the budget request had transferred the ICR gas turbine engine from the Advanced Surface Machinery (ASM) Program (PE 63573N), where it had been previously budgeted, because of the possibility of disruption in the relationship between the ICR program and other elements of the ASM program. In order to restore ASM program integrity, the House bill would direct the transfer of $25.6 million from PE 63508N to PE 63573N. Additionally, the House bill would increase funding for the ICR engine by $21.5 million to support ICR engine tests at the Navy's land-based test site and, based on elements of the Navy's revised ICR development plan, direct the Navy to proceed with a second 500 hour engine test and other associated testing at the site.

The Senate amendment also directed transfer of $25.6 million from PE 63508N to PE 63573N, but did not increase funding for the ICR engine.

The conferees agree to a funding level of $82.9 million in PE 63573N. The conferees direct that, of the total amount authorized for PE 63573N, $41.0 million is authorized for the ICR program.

*Cooperative engagement capability*

The budget request included $180.0 million in PE 63755N for development of the cooperative engagement capability (CEC).

The House bill would authorize the requested amount, but would direct that no more than $102.0 million be obligated until the Secretary of Defense notifies the congressional defense committees that the test and evaluation master plan for the CEC program has been approved by the Director, Operational Test and Evaluation.

The Senate amendment would add $22.5 million to continue accelerated development of the airborne component of CEC and an additional $20.0 million to accelerate joint Army-Navy and Air Force-Navy exploitation of CEC for cruise missile defense and theater missile defense.

The conferees agree to an additional $42.5 million for CEC for the purposes described in Senate amendment. The House recedes from its funding limitation. The conferees note the concerns expressed in the House report (H. Rept. 104–131) regarding developmental testing and independent operational testing required to insure that the CEC is operationally effective and suitable when deployed to the fleet. They direct the Secretary of the

Navy to submit to the congressional defense committees, by March 31, 1996, a report on the status of plans for developmental and independent operational testing of the CEC.

*Naval surface fire support*

The Navy's budget request included $12.0 million in PE 63795N to develop the gun weapon system technology needed by the Navy to resolve major deficiencies in its ability to provide naval surface fire support (NSFS) to amphibious operations.

The House report (H. Rept. 104–131) noted that the budget request was sharply reduced during the budget formulation process. It further observed that the future years defense plan for gun system technology had been left under funded by over $160 million and did not include an adequate plan to meet long-term requirements for advanced NSFS weapons systems. To address these concerns the House bill would increase funding in PE 63795N by $25.0 million to:

(1) accelerate the development of a long range guided projectile that would incorporate advanced low cost global positioning system/inertial navigation system (GPS/INS) guidance;

(2) improve the existing MK–45 5-inch naval gun; and

(3) permit the Navy to place increased emphasis on satisfying long-term requirements for advanced gun systems in addition to its near-term focus on modifications to the MK–45 gun.

The Senate amendment would add $19.2 million to PE 63795N. The Senate's evaluation noted in the Senate report (S. Rept. 104–112) of the Navy's NSFS program, as reflected in the budget request, yielded conclusions similar to those of the House.

The conferees note that in May 1995 the Secretary of the Navy, based on a recently completed cost and operational effectiveness analysis (COEA), reported the following conclusions to Congress regarding NSFS:

(1) a 155 millimeter/60-caliber naval gun, employing precision guided munitions, is the most cost effective NSFS solution; and

(2) a combination of guns, missiles, and tactical aviation is needed to fully meet NSFS requirements.

The Secretary also reported that, as a result of the NSFS COEA, the Navy's NSFS program had been structured to:

(1) proceed with the long-term development of a 155 millimeter gun;

(2) develop a gun-launched precision guided munition; and

(3) modify the Navy's existing MK–45, 5-inch gun to deal with long-term and near-term challenges.

However, as reflected in the budget request, affordability constraints and a desire to field an enhanced NSFS capability prior to Fiscal Year 2001 have moved the Navy to embrace a near-term program reflecting the following priorities:

(1) develop a global positioning system/inertial navigation system 5-inch guided projectile;

(2) improve the existing MK–45 5-inch gun; and

(3) demonstrate the NSFS capabilities of Army Tactical Missile System (ATACMS), Sea Standoff Land Attack Missile (SLAM), and STANDARD Missiles.

To confirm the cost effectiveness of this near-term approach, which was not thoroughly evaluated in the NSFS COEA, the Navy has directed the Center for Naval Analysis to perform supplemental analysis to evaluate its cost effectiveness. The need for this supplemental analysis was reinforced by the General Accounting Office, which strongly recommended in May 1995 that the Navy revalidate its NSFS requirements and conduct a comprehensive supplemental analysis to the COEA that would include all available gun and missile alternatives.

The conferees agree to authorize $34.0 million, an increase of $22.0 million, in PE 63795N. Over the past several years, the conferees have repeatedly stressed the issue of NSFS, but have found the Navy's response to be highly variable as new programs or approaches have succeeded one another from year to year. Because of a strong need and the Navy's apparent commitment to pursue the program to completion, the conferees are willing to provide initial support, in fiscal year 1996, to the Navy's effort to upgrade the capability of its 5-inch guns and projectiles. The conferees take this action based on the Navy leadership's assurances that the Navy will follow through with consistent, stable, and adequate future years funding.

The conferees affirm their conclusion that the Navy needs to place increased emphasis on pursuing a long-term program to satisfy NSFS mission requirements. The conferees direct that the Secretary of the Navy include a report on the plans for such a program in the fiscal year 1997 budget submission. The conferees also affirm the need for an updated COEA that considers all available gun and missile alternatives, including extended range multiple launch rockets and existing and improved 5-inch guns, to support future acquisition milestone decisions related to the Navy's near-term and long-term programs.

*AH–1W integrated weapons system upgrade*

The budget request included $14.9 million in PE 64212N for engineering and manufacturing development of upgrades to the AH–1W Cobra attack helicopter for the Marine Corps.

The House bill recommended a reduction of $11.6 million to the budget request, based on the understanding that the Marine Corps had decided to suspend development of the integrated weapon systems (IWS) for the AH–1W.

The Senate amendment would authorize the budget request.

The House recedes. The conferees understand that the Department of the Navy has suspended the IWS upgrade, based on identification of other urgent requirements for modification of Marine Corps helicopters. The upgrade program would now focus on the adaptation of both the AH–1W attack helicopter and the UH–1N utility helicopter, and their respective power trains, to a 4-blade rotor system which will increase the operational safety power margin and useful mission payload of both helicopters. The IWS upgrade for the AH–1W will be deferred until later in the program. The conferees further understand, based upon the Department's analysis, that the revised program will provide growth potential to bridge the gap until the joint replacement aircraft would become available around the year 2020, and is reportedly more cost effective than the adoption of other, more modern attack and utility helicopters that have already been fielded or are under development.

The conferees note that the Department plans a defense acquisition milestone II decision to proceed with engineering and manufacturing development in late fiscal year 1996 and also plans to use the fiscal year 1996 funds made available for the program for pre-milestone IV/II engineering studies. The conferees are aware of a Department of the Navy experience with harmonic coupling problems encountered during a previous major helicopter power train upgrade that contributed to a number of aircraft mishaps. Accordingly, this issue must be addressed in detail during pre-milestone engineering studies and in the milestone II decision process, and the absence of the problem demonstrated prior to milestone III. The Secretary of the Navy is directed to report the results of these engineering studies and the milestone II decision with the submission of the fiscal year 1998 budget request.

*AV–8B Harrier weapons system improvements*

The budget request included $11.3 million in PE 64214N for integration and testing of weapons and aircraft improvements for the AV–8B Harrier aircraft.

The House bill would authorize an increase of $15.6 million to the budget request to support the United States' share of the AV–8B production memorandum of understanding between the United States, Spain, and Italy, and for concurrent integration of the AIM–120 missile and 1760 data bus during remanufacture of the day-only AV–8As to the AV–8B radar configuration.

The Senate amendment would authorize the budget request.

The Senate recedes. The conferees agree to authorize the increase of $15.6 million to the budget request with the understanding that the Department of the Navy would include in the fiscal year 1997 budget request the balance of the $11.7 million required by the memorandum of understanding.

*S–3B Project Gray Wolf*

The budget request included $12.9 million in PE 64217N for continued development of weapon system improvements for the S–3 aircraft.

The House bill would authorize an additional $15.0 million for continued evaluation and potential establishment of an advanced concept technology demonstration of "Project Gray Wolf", a fleet proof of concept demonstration of the ability of an S–3B aircraft equipped with a multi-mode synthetic aperture radar designed to provide real time stand-off surveillance, targeting, and strike support for littoral operations.

The Senate amendment would authorize an additional $13.2 million for the same purpose.

The conferees agree to authorize the requested amount.

The conferees agree that "Project Gray Wolf" demonstrates potential for providing the Department of the Navy with a versatile carrier-based capability to provide real time, stand-off surveillance, targeting, and strike support. The conferees encourage the Secretary of the Navy to consider a reprogramming request to support this program, should any funds become available during fiscal year 1996. The conferees further encourage the Secretary to include funds for the program in his fiscal year 1997 budget request.

*P–3 maritime patrol aircraft sensor integration*

The budget request included $1.9 million in PE 64221N for the P–3 maritime patrol aircraft (MPA) modernization program.

The House bill would authorize an increase of $15.0 million to the budget request. That increase would include $12.0 million to restore the schedule for integration of the improved extended echo ranging (IEER) and the anti-surface warfare improvement program (AIP) capabilities in the P–3, and $3.0 million for upgrade of P–3 stores management, to permit integration of advanced weapons systems. In relation to the fiscal year 1995 budget projections for fiscal year 1996, the House report (H. Rept. 104–131) noted that sharp funding reductions in the P–3 modernization program would result in an overall program

cost increase and multi-year delays in fielding capability improvements needed to offset decreases in MPA force structure. The House report also expressed the House's expectation that the Navy's future budget requests would include the increased funding necessary to complete the IEER and AIP capabilities integration in the P-3, the P-3 stores management upgrades, and procurement of sufficient quantities of the AIP and update III kits to appropriately outfit the active and reserve MPA force.

The Senate amendment would authorize the budget request.

The Senate recedes.

*Air crew systems development*

The budget request included $9.8 million in PE 64264N for the development of aviation life support systems for air crews.

The House bill would authorize an increase of $7.9 million to transition the Navy's Day/Night/All Weather Helmet Mounted Display to operational evaluation in F/A-18 and AV-8B aircraft, to upgrade current escape systems, and to develop crashworthy troop seats in the H-1, H-3 and H-46 helicopters.

The Senate amendment would authorize the budget request.

The Senate recedes.

*AEGIS combat systems engineering*

The budget request included $105.9 million in PE 64307N, including $90.0 million for continued development of improvements in the AEGIS combat system.

The House bill would authorize $89.9 million, a reduction of $15.8 million from the requested amount. In support of the funding reduction, the House report (H. Rept. 104-131) cited the deferred release of fiscal year 1995 funds, which led to a corresponding, but unnecessary, increase in the Navy's budget request. The House report (H. Rept. 104-131) also expressed concern about the Navy's revised strategy for development of the AEGIS baseline 6.

The Senate amendment would authorize the requested amount.

The conferees agree to a reduction of $11.0 million in PE 64307N for AEGIS combat systems engineering. The conferees note that the Navy included the $11.0 million in its budget request in anticipation of losing $15.8 million of fiscal year 1995 funds through the omnibus reprogramming process. The use of these fiscal year 1995 funds as a reprogramming source has been specifically denied by Congress. The conferees direct the Office of the Secretary of Defense to return these funds to the Navy without delay to permit orderly execution of the AEGIS program. Further, the navy should review its program for development of the AEGIS baseline 6 with a view to minimizing concurrency.

*Enhanced modular signal processor*

The budget request included $8.3 million in PE 64507N for development and risk mitigation testing of the AN/UYS-2 enhanced modular signal processor (EMSP) and software development, integration, testing, and critical engineering design support in the airborne low-frequency sonar (ALFS), surveillance towed array sensor system (SURTASS), AN/SQQ-89 surface combat system, and AN/BSY-2 submarine combat system.

Both the House bill and the Senate amendment would authorize the budget request.

The conferees understand that the Navy is considering development of a commercial-off-the-shelf (COTS) variant of the EMSP, as discussed in the House report (H. Rept. 104-131). The conferees authorize an increase of $6.5 million in PE 64507N for development of this COTS variant. The conferees encourage the Navy to include additional funds that may be required to complete the EMSP COTS development in its fiscal year 1997 budget request.

*Submarine combat system*

The budget request included $42.3 million in PE 64524N for development of the AN/BSY-2 submarine combat system.

The House bill would reduce the authorization by $6.2 million, the amount requested for delivery of the AN/BSY-2 system for the SSN-23.

The Senate amendment would authorize the budget request.

The House recedes.

*Submarine tactical warfare system*

The budget request included $38.5 million in PE 64562N for continued development of improvements in SSN combat control systems.

The House bill recommended a reduction of $18.0 million to the budget request.

The Senate amendment would authorize the requested amount.

The House recedes.

*Advanced tactical air command central*

The budget request included $8.4 million in PE 604719M to continue development of the advanced tactical air command central (ATACC) for the Marine Corps.

The House bill would reduce the PE by $5.0 million and direct that the details of the operational requirement and a revised program plan be provided with the fiscal year 1997 budget request. The house report (H. Rept. 104-131) expressed concerns regarding the marked growth in program costs for fiscal year 1996 and succeeding years, changes in the acquisition strategy, and significant revisions in the program schedule. These concerns raise questions regarding how well the operational requirement is defined and whether the system should continue in engineering and manufacturing systems development, or whether a demonstration/validation program would be more appropriate.

The Senate amendment would authorize the requested amount.

The House recedes.

The conferees agree that the concerns expressed by the House should be addressed following submission of the fiscal year 1997 defense budget request.

*Ship self-defense system*

SUMMARY

The budget request included $166.0 million in PE 64755N for the ship self-defense program.

The House bill would approve the budget request. The House report (H. Rept. 104-131) expressed concern that the Navy had failed to include funding in its budget request to continue development of either the infrared search and track (IRST) system or NULKA, an electronic warfare countermeasures system, despite the apparently high priority that the Navy has placed on these systems in the past. The House report argued that such funding lapses point to the absence of clearly defined program baselines in the ship self-defense programs.

The Senate amendment would authorize $184.5 million in PE 64755N, an increase of $18.5 million. It would authorize an additional $9.5 million for IRST and $9.0 million for NULKA. The Senate report (S. Rept. 104-112) also discussed evaluation of existing self-defense systems, such as the BARAK 1 missile system, for installation on active and new construction Navy ships.

The conferees agree to authorize $183.5 million for the ship self-defense program in PE 64755N. Funding increases and areas of emphasis are discussed in the following paragraphs. The conferees also agree that the year-to-year volatility of the Navy's budget requests for ship self-defense programs appear to contradict the Navy's oft stated emphasis on littoral warfare. Therefore, the conferees direct the Secretary of the Navy to provide to the congressional defense committees, as a part of the annual update of the "Ship Anti-Air Warfare (AAW) Report", an assessment of progress in establishing program baselines for the ship self-defense program and the degree to which these baselines are being met.

IRST

The budget requested reduced funding for and restructured the infrared search and track (IRST) program for affordability reasons. The conferees believe that the IRST system has the potential to play a very important role in defending naval ships against sea skimming antiship missiles. A recently completed cost and operational effectiveness analysis (COEA) supports this conclusion. The conferees agree that the Navy should emphasize early integration of the IRST system with both Aegis and non-Aegis ships, and place priority on early completion of its development. Therefore, the conferees authorize an increase of $9.5 million in PE 64755N to accelerate plans for combat system integration and design of the IRST system.

NULKA

NULKA is a joint United States/Australian project to develop an anti-ship missile decoy system. Increased funding in fiscal year 1996 would allow the Navy to integrate NULKA with the ship self-defense system (SSDS), for installation on amphibious ships and other self-defense ships, to conduct testing of the integrated system, and to commence development of improvements to the payload needed to counter improvements in anti-ship missile technology. The conferees strongly support these objectives and authorize an increase of $8.0 million in PE 64755N.

BARAK 1

The Senate report expressed concern about the need to protect Navy ships from the proliferation of maneuvering, sea-skimming, low observable, anti-ship cruise missiles. It also recognizes the fact that the Navy's evaluation of existing systems, such as the BARAK 1 missile, as candidates for the LPD-17 class's self-defense suite, could produce the most cost-effective solution to this threat. Development costs could be avoided through such an approach.

While addressing ship self-defense in some detail, the House report did not discuss this aspect of the requirement.

The conferees agree that the incorporation of weapons systems that are already in production, such as BARAK 1, into the combat systems of active or new construction ships could be a cost effective means to deal with a rapidly proliferating and evolving cruise missile threat. The conferees desire to be kept informed on the progress and results of the LPD-17 cost and operational effectiveness analysis (COEA). Furthermore, the conferees direct the Navy to present, by February 1996, a plan that could lead to testing of the BARAK 1 system in the United States during fiscal year 1996, should the LPD-17 COEA demonstrate that self-defense systems such as BARAK 1 would be cost effective.

Because of the advantage to the fleet of an early deployment of a robust ship self-defense system, the committee directs the Navy to also examine and report on BARAK 1 applicability to other ship classes. The results of this analysis should be provided to

the congressional defense committees by February 1996.

*Fixed distributed system—deployable*

The budget request included $93.5 million in PE 64784N for the fixed distribution surveillance system (FDS), but included no funding for the deployable (FDS–D) prototype.

The House bill would add $10.0 million to the budget request to refurbish the FDS–D prototype and improve its capability to provide an interim deployable undersea surveillance, until the Advanced Deployable System becomes available.

The Senate amendment would authorize the budget request.

The conferees authorize $103.5 million in PE 64784N, of which $10.0 million would be used to refurbish the FDS–D prototype and improve its surveillance capability. Further guidance is contained in the classified annex.

*SSBN security and survivability program*

The budget request included $25.1 million in PE 12224N for the SSBN security and survivability program.

The House bill would provide an increase of $9.5 million to the budget request. The House bill would also direct the Secretary of the Navy to provide to the congressional defense committees, within 60 days of enactment, an assessment of the potential threat to the U.S. SSBN force an analysis of the SSBN security program needed to counter that threat.

The Senate amendment would authorize the budget request.

The conferees agree to authorize an additional $5.5 million in PE 12224N for the SSBN security and survivability program. The conferees agree with the House direction to the Secretary of Defense regarding the SSBN security program, contained in the House report (H. Rept. 104–131). Further guidance regarding the program is provided in the classified annex.

*Cryptologic system trainer*

The budget request included $7.0 million in PE 24571N to continue development and evaluation of the Navy's surface tactical team trainer.

The House bill would authorize an additional $3.0 million for:

(1) integration and evaluation of the cryptologic systems trainer in the battle force tactical training system; and

(2) the development of related information warfare/command and control warfare shipboard training systems.

The Senate amendment would authorize the budget request.

The conferees authorize $10.0 million in PE 24571N. Of this amount, $3.0 million is for the purposes discussed in the House report (H. Rept. 104–131).

*Optoelectronics*

The budget request did not include funding for optoelectronics manufacturing.

The House bill would provide $10.0 million to initiate partnerships with industry, government laboratories and other research organizations to allow the development of manufacturing technologies that would support optoelectronics devices and components.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The conferees agree to authorize an additional $10.0 million for this program in PE 78011N. The conferees also agree to authorize an additional $2.0 million for advanced bulk manufacturing of mercury cadmium telluride (MCT) for low cost sensors, also in PE 78011N.

*Overview*

The budget request for fiscal year 1996 contained an authorization of $12,598.4 million for Air Force, Research and Development in the Department of Defense. The House bill would authorize $13,184.1 million. The Senate amendment would authorize $13,087.4 million. The conferees recommended an authorization of $12,914.9 million. Unless noted explicitly in the statement of managers, all changes are made without prejudice.

| PE | No | Title | FY 1996 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ACCOUNT | | RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT TEST & EVAL AF | | | | | |
| 0601101F | 1 | IN-HOUSE LABORATORY INDEPENDENT RESEARCH | - | - | - | | - |
| 0601102F | 2 | DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES | 239,893 | 244,893 | 235,893 | 9,585 | 249,478 |
| 0602101F | 3 | GEOPHYSICS | - | - | - | | - |
| 0602102F | 4 | MATERIALS | 74,534 | 82,534 | 75,284 | | 74,534 |
| 0602201F | 5 | AEROSPACE FLIGHT DYNAMICS | 66,268 | 66,268 | 66,268 | (1,918) | 64,350 |
| 0602202F | 6 | HUMAN SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY | 90,311 | 90,311 | 75,311 | (3,400) | 86,911 |
| 0602203F | 7 | AEROSPACE PROPULSION | 78,592 | 81,592 | 81,592 | (3,522) | 75,070 |
| 0602204F | 8 | AEROSPACE AVIONICS | 74,256 | 74,256 | 74,256 | (5,756) | 68,500 |
| 0602205F | 9 | PERSONNEL, TRAINING AND SIMULATION | - | - | - | | - |
| 0602206F | 10 | CIVIL ENGINEERING AND ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY | - | - | - | | - |
| 0602269F | 11 | HYPERSONIC TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM | 19,900 | 19,900 | 19,900 | | 19,900 |
| 0602302F | 12 | ROCKET PROPULSION AND ASTRONAUTICS TECHNOLOGY | - | - | - | | - |
| 0602601F | 13 | ADVANCED WEAPONS | 124,446 | 130,446 | 124,446 | 11,000 | 135,446 |
| 0602602F | 14 | CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS | 44,954 | 44,954 | 44,954 | | 44,954 |
| 0602702F | 15 | COMMAND CONTROL AND COMMUNICATIONS | 98,477 | 96,477 | 98,477 | (2,000) | 96,477 |
| 0603106F | 16 | LOGISTICS SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY | 17,960 | 17,960 | 17,960 | | 17,960 |
| 0603112F | 17 | ADVANCED MATERIALS FOR WEAPON SYSTEMS | 23,283 | 23,283 | 23,283 | | 23,283 |
| 0603202F | 18 | AEROSPACE PROPULSION SUBSYSTEMS INTEGRATION | 29,818 | 29,818 | 29,818 | | 29,818 |
| 0603203F | 19 | ADVANCED AVIONICS FOR AEROSPACE VEHICLES | 32,131 | 32,131 | 32,131 | | 32,131 |
| 0603205F | 20 | AEROSPACE VEHICLE TECHNOLOGY | 10,793 | 10,793 | 10,793 | | 10,793 |
| 0603211F | 21 | AEROSPACE STRUCTURES | 13,269 | 13,269 | 13,269 | | 13,269 |
| 0603216F | 22 | AEROSPACE PROPULSION AND POWER TECHNOLOGY | 41,779 | 41,779 | 41,779 | | 41,779 |
| 0603227F | 23 | PERSONNEL, TRAINING AND SIMULATION TECHNOLOGY | 8,930 | 8,930 | 8,930 | | 8,930 |
| 0603231F | 24 | CREW SYSTEMS AND PERSONNEL PROTECTION TECHNOLOGY | 18,953 | 21,953 | 18,953 | 3,000 | 21,953 |
| 0603238F | 25 | GLOBAL SURVEILLANCE | 2,483 | 2,483 | 2,483 | | 2,483 |
| 0603245F | 26 | ADVANCED FIGHTER TECHNOLOGY INTEGRATION | 12,491 | 12,491 | 12,491 | | 12,491 |
| 0603250F | 27 | LINCOLN LABORATORY | - | - | - | | - |
| 0603253F | 28 | ADVANCED AVIONICS INTEGRATION | 20,421 | 20,421 | 20,421 | (2,800) | 17,621 |
| 0603269F | 29 | NATIONAL AERO SPACE PLANE TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM | - | - | - | | - |
| 0603270F | 30 | EW TECHNOLOGY | 25,079 | 25,079 | 25,079 | (2,500) | 22,579 |
| 0603302F | 31 | SPACE AND MISSILE ROCKET PROPULSION | 15,203 | 20,203 | 15,203 | 5,000 | 20,203 |
| 0603311F | 32 | BALLISTIC MISSILE TECHNOLOGY | 3,085 | 8,785 | 8,085 | 5,700 | 8,785 |
| 0603319F | 33 | AIRBORNE LASER TECHNOLOGY | - | - | - | | - |
| 0603401F | 34 | ADVANCED SPACECRAFT TECHNOLOGY | 32,627 | 140,127 | 52,627 | 70,000 | 102,627 |
| 0603410F | 35 | SPACE SYSTEMS ENVIRONMENTAL INTERACTIONS TECHNOLOGY | 3,479 | 3,479 | 3,479 | | 3,479 |
| 0603428F | 36 | SPACE SUBSYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY | - | - | - | | - |
| 0603601F | 37 | CONVENTIONAL WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY | 31,637 | 34,137 | 31,637 | 2,500 | 34,137 |
| 0603605F | 38 | ADVANCED RADIATION TECHNOLOGY | 47,919 | 47,919 | 47,919 | | 47,919 |

| PE | No | Title | FY 1996 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0603707F | 39 | WEATHER SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY | 4,577 | 4,577 | 4,577 | | 4,577 |
| 0603723F | 40 | CIVIL AND ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY | 9,835 | 9,835 | 9,835 | (1,000) | 8,835 |
| 0603726F | 41 | C3I SUBSYSTEM INTEGRATION | 12,008 | 12,008 | 12,008 | | 12,008 |
| 0603728F | 42 | ADVANCED COMPUTING TECHNOLOGY | 11,005 | 11,005 | 11,005 | | 11,005 |
| 0603771F | 43 | INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY | 53,332 | - | 53,332 | (53,332) | - |
| 0603789F | 44 | C3 ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT | 12,617 | 12,617 | 12,617 | | 12,617 |
| 0603260F | 45 | INTELLIGENCE ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT | 5,109 | 5,109 | 5,109 | | 5,109 |
| 0603307F | 46 | AIR BASE OPERABILITY ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT | - | - | - | | - |
| 0603319F | 47 | AIRBORNE LASER TECHNOLOGY | 19,954 | 19,954 | 19,954 | | 19,954 |
| 0603402F | 48 | SPACE TEST PROGRAM | - | - | - | | - |
| 0603430F | 49 | ADVANCED MILSATCOM | 30,038 | 30,038 | 30,038 | | 30,038 |
| | 49a | POLAR SATCOM | - | - | 58,000 | 58,000 | 58,000 |
| 0603434F | 50 | NATIONAL POLAR-ORBITING OPERATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL SATELLITE SYSTEM | 23,861 | 18,861 | 13,861 | (5,000) | 18,861 |
| 0603438F | 51 | SATELLITE SYSTEMS SURVIVABILITY | - | - | - | | - |
| 0603440F | 52 | BRILLIANT EYES | - | - | - | | - |
| 0603441F | 53 | SPACE BASED INFRARED ARCHITECTURE (SBIR) - DEM/VAL | 130,744 | 265,744 | 265,744 | 135,000 | 265,744 |
| 0603617F | 54 | COMMAND, CONTROL, AND COMMUNICATION APPLICATIONS | 6,437 | 6,437 | 6,437 | | 6,437 |
| 0603714F | 55 | DOD PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT - EXTERIOR | - | - | - | | - |
| 0603742F | 56 | COMBAT IDENTIFICATION TECHNOLOGY | 4,571 | 4,571 | 4,571 | | 4,571 |
| 0603800F | 57 | JOINT ADVANCED STRIKE TECHNOLOGY - DEM/VAL | 151,186 | 125,686 | 151,186 | (65,500) | 85,686 |
| 0603851F | 58 | INTERCONTINENTAL BALLISTIC MISSILE - DEM/VAL | 20,265 | 34,765 | 24,565 | | 20,265 |
| 0603852F | 60 | C-130J - DEM/VAL | - | - | - | | - |
| 0603853F | 61 | EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEHICLE (EELV) PROGRAM - DEM/VAL | 39,226 | 39,226 | 39,226 | | 39,226 |
| 0604201F | 62 | AIRCRAFT AVIONICS EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT | 16,892 | 16,892 | 16,892 | | 16,892 |
| 0604212F | 63 | AIRCRAFT EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT | - | - | - | | - |
| 0604218F | 64 | ENGINE MODEL DERIVATIVE PROGRAM (EMDP) | 756 | 756 | 756 | | 756 |
| 0604222F | 65 | NUCLEAR WEAPONS SUPPORT | 4,822 | 4,822 | 4,822 | | 4,822 |
| 0604226F | 66 | B-1B | 173,838 | 194,838 | 287,638 | 28,600 | 202,438 |
| 0604227F | 67 | TRAINING SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT | 8,786 | 8,786 | 8,786 | | 8,786 |
| 0604231F | 68 | C-17 PROGRAM | 85,753 | 85,753 | 85,753 | (11,950) | 73,803 |
| 0604233F | 69 | SPECIALIZED UNDERGRADUATE PILOT TRAINING | 63,042 | 63,042 | 63,042 | | 63,042 |
| 0604237F | 70 | VARIABLE STABILITY IN-FLIGHT SIMULATOR TEST AIRCRAFT | - | - | - | | - |
| 0604239F | 71 | F-22 EMD | 2,138,718 | 2,138,718 | 2,138,718 | | 2,138,718 |
| 0604240F | 72 | B-2 ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY BOMBER | 623,616 | 623,616 | 623,616 | | 623,616 |
| 0604243F | 73 | MANPOWER, PERSONNEL AND TRAINING DEVELOPMENT | 5,300 | 5,300 | 5,300 | | 5,300 |
| 0604249F | 74 | NIGHT/PRECISION ATTACK | 8,708 | 8,708 | 8,708 | | 8,708 |
| 0604268F | 75 | AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM | - | - | - | | - |
| 0604270F | 76 | EW DEVELOPMENT | 50,203 | 50,203 | 50,203 | | 50,203 |
| 0604321F | 77 | COMBAT INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM -EMD | 3,938 | 3,938 | 3,938 | | 3,938 |

| PE | No | Title | FY 1996 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0604441F | 78 | SPACE BASED INFRARED ARCHITECTURE (SBIR) - EMD | 152,219 | 162,219 | 162,219 | 10,000 | 162,219 |
| 0604479F | 79 | MILSTAR LDR/MDR SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS | 649,666 | 649,666 | 577,666 | (72,000) | 577,666 |
| 0604480F | 80 | GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM BLOCK IIF | 19,699 | 19,699 | 29,699 | | 19,699 |
| 0604600F | 81 | MUNITIONS DISPENSER DEVELOPMENT | 53,254 | 53,254 | 53,254 | | 53,254 |
| 0604601F | 82 | CHEMICAL/BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE EQUIPMENT | - | - | - | | - |
| 0604602F | 83 | ARMAMENT/ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT | 8,075 | 8,075 | 8,075 | | 8,075 |
| 0604604F | 84 | SUBMUNITIONS | 4,953 | 4,953 | 14,953 | 10,000 | 14,953 |
| 0604609F | 85 | R&M MATURATION/TECHNOLOGY INSERTION | - | - | - | | - |
| 0604617F | 86 | AIR BASE OPERABILITY | 9,692 | 9,692 | 9,692 | | 9,692 |
| 0604618F | 87 | JOINT DIRECT ATTACK MUNITION | 92,161 | 92,161 | 99,161 | 475 | 92,636 |
| 0604703F | 88 | AEROMEDICAL/CHEMICAL DEFENSE SYSTEMS | 6,235 | 6,235 | 6,235 | | 6,235 |
| 0604704F | 89 | COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT | 1,167 | 1,167 | 1,167 | | 1,167 |
| 0604706F | 90 | LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEMS | 4,035 | 4,035 | 4,035 | | 4,035 |
| 0604707F | 91 | WEATHER SYSTEMS - ENG DEV | - | - | - | | - |
| 0604708F | 92 | CIVIL, FIRE, ENVIRONMENTAL, SHELTER ENGINEERING | 2,737 | 2,737 | 2,737 | | 2,737 |
| 0604711F | 93 | SYSTEMS SURVIVABILITY (NUCLEAR EFFECTS) | 37 | - | 37 | (37) | - |
| 0604727F | 94 | JOINT STANDOFF WEAPONS SYSTEMS | 44,025 | 44,025 | 44,025 | | 44,025 |
| 0604733F | 95 | SURFACE DEFENSE SUPPRESSION | - | 5,000 | - | | - |
| 0604735F | 96 | COMBAT TRAINING RANGES | 10,418 | 10,418 | 10,418 | | 10,418 |
| 0604740F | 97 | COMPUTER RESOURCE TECHNOLOGY TRANSITION (CRTT) | 2,166 | 2,166 | 2,166 | | 2,166 |
| 0604750F | 98 | INTELLIGENCE EQUIPMENT | 1,294 | 1,294 | 1,294 | | 1,294 |
| 0604754F | 99 | JOINT TACTICAL INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (JTIDS) | 10,146 | 10,146 | 10,146 | | 10,146 |
| 0604770F | 100 | JOINT SURVEILLANCE/TARGET ATTACK RADAR SYSTEM (JSTARS) | 169,702 | 203,702 | 169,702 | 12,500 | 182,202 |
| 0604779F | 101 | JOINT INTEROPERABILITY OF TACTICAL COMMAND & CONTROL SYSTEMS (JINTACCS) | 6,356 | 6,356 | 6,356 | | 6,356 |
| 0604851F | 102 | INTERCONTINENTAL BALLISTIC MISSILE - EMD | 192,719 | 200,719 | 192,719 | | 192,719 |
| 0303606F | 103 | UHF SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS | 15,568 | 13,068 | 9,068 | (2,500) | 13,068 |
| 0603402F | 104 | SPACE TEST PROGRAM | 57,710 | 64,710 | 57,710 | (10,710) | 47,000 |
| 0604256F | 105 | THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT | 53,377 | 53,377 | 53,377 | | 53,377 |
| 0604258F | 106 | TARGET SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT | 5,362 | 5,362 | 5,362 | | 5,362 |
| 0604759F | 107 | MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT | 37,879 | 37,879 | 37,879 | | 37,879 |
| 0605101F | 108 | RAND PROJECT AIR FORCE | 25,924 | 25,924 | 25,924 | | 25,924 |
| 0605306F | 109 | RANCH HAND II EPIDEMIOLOGY STUDY | 3,139 | 3,139 | 3,139 | | 3,139 |
| 0605502F | 110 | SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATIVE RESEARCH (H) | - | - | - | | - |
| 0605708F | 111 | NAVIGATION/RADAR/SLED TRACK TEST SUPPORT | - | - | - | | - |
| 0605712F | 112 | INITIAL OPERATIONAL TEST & EVALUATION | 24,506 | 24,506 | 24,506 | | 24,506 |
| 0605807F | 113 | TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT | 454,067 | 444,167 | 424,167 | (19,900) | 434,167 |
| 0605808F | 114 | DEVELOPMENT PLANNING | 6,745 | 6,745 | 6,745 | | 6,745 |
| 0605853F | 115 | ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION | 14,169 | 4,169 | 14,169 | (10,000) | 4,169 |
| 0605854F | 116 | POLLUTION PREVENTION | 14,046 | 14,046 | 14,046 | | 14,046 |

| PE | No | Title | FY 1996 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0605856F | 117 | ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE | 26,423 | 26,423 | 26,423 | | 26,423 |
| 0605860F | 118 | ROCKET SYSTEMS LAUNCH PROGRAM (RSLP) | 5,949 | 5,949 | 5,949 | | 5,949 |
| 0605863F | 119 | RDT&E AIRCRAFT SUPPORT | - | - | - | | - |
| 0605876F | 120 | MINOR CONSTRUCTION (RPM) - RDT&E | - | - | - | | - |
| 0605878F | 121 | MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR (RPM) - RDT&E | - | - | - | | - |
| 0605896F | 122 | BASE OPERATIONS - RDT&E | 117,083 | 126,983 | 126,983 | 6,900 | 123,983 |
| 0604268F | 125 | AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM | 103,700 | 103,700 | 135,200 | 29,530 | 133,230 |
| 0101113F | 126 | B-52 SQUADRONS | 16,505 | 16,505 | 36,505 | 4,500 | 21,005 |
| 0101120F | 127 | ADVANCED CRUISE MISSILE | 7,060 | 7,060 | 7,060 | | 7,060 |
| 0101213F | 128 | MINUTEMAN SQUADRONS | - | - | - | | - |
| 0102325F | 129 | JOINT SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM | 4,711 | 4,711 | 4,711 | | 4,711 |
| 0102411F | 130 | NORTH ATLANTIC DEFENSE SYSTEM | 9,351 | 9,351 | 9,351 | | 9,351 |
| 0102412F | 131 | NORTH WARNING SYSTEM (NWS) | 1,015 | 1,015 | 1,015 | | 1,015 |
| 0207129F | 132 | F-111 SQUADRONS | 597 | 597 | 597 | | 597 |
| 0207133F | 133 | F-16 SQUADRONS | 175,600 | 175,600 | 175,600 | | 175,600 |
| 0207134F | 134 | F-15E SQUADRONS | 171,337 | 171,337 | 171,337 | | 171,337 |
| 0207136F | 135 | MANNED DESTRUCTIVE SUPPRESSION | 2,908 | 12,908 | 2,908 | | 2,908 |
| 0207141F | 136 | F-117A SQUADRONS | 3,881 | 3,881 | 3,881 | | 3,881 |
| | | JASSM | - | - | 50,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 |
| 0207160F | 137 | TRI-SERVICE STANDOFF ATTACK MISSILE | - | 37,500 | - | | - |
| 0207161F | 138 | TACTICAL AIM MISSILES | 20,082 | 20,082 | 20,082 | | 20,082 |
| 0207163F | 139 | ADVANCED MEDIUM RANGE AIR-TO-AIR MISSILE (AMRAAM) | 42,311 | 50,311 | 47,311 | 5,000 | 47,311 |
| 0207217F | 140 | FOLLOW-ON TACTICAL RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEM | - | - | - | | - |
| 0207247F | 141 | AF TENCAP | 21,966 | 21,966 | 21,966 | | 21,966 |
| 0207248F | 142 | SPECIAL EVALUATION PROGRAM | 87,184 | 87,184 | 87,184 | | 87,184 |
| 0207411F | 143 | OVERSEAS AIR WEAPON CONTROL SYSTEM | - | - | - | | - |
| 0207412F | 144 | THEATER AIR CONTROL SYSTEMS | 290 | 290 | 290 | | 290 |
| 0207417F | 145 | AIRBORNE WARNING AND CONTROL SYSTEM (AWACS) | 96,696 | 96,696 | 96,696 | | 96,696 |
| 0207419F | 146 | TACTICAL AIRBORNE COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEMS | 2,093 | 2,093 | 2,093 | | 2,093 |
| 0207422F | 147 | DEPLOYABLE C3 SYSTEMS | - | - | - | | - |
| 0207423F | 148 | ADVANCED COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS | 1,934 | 1,934 | 1,934 | | 1,934 |
| 0207424F | 149 | EVALUATION AND ANALYSIS PROGRAM | 77,688 | 77,688 | 77,688 | | 77,688 |
| 0207433F | 151 | ADVANCED PROGRAM TECHNOLOGY | 157,397 | 157,397 | 157,397 | | 157,397 |
| 0207438F | 152 | THEATER BATTLE MANAGEMENT (TBM) C4I | 24,813 | 24,813 | 24,813 | | 24,813 |
| 0207579F | 153 | ADVANCED SYSTEMS IMPROVEMENTS | 105,548 | 105,548 | 65,548 | (41,800) | 63,748 |
| 0207590F | 154 | SEEK EAGLE | 17,390 | 17,390 | 17,390 | | 17,390 |
| 0207591F | 155 | ADVANCED PROGRAM EVALUATION | 140,571 | 140,571 | 140,571 | | 140,571 |
| 0207601F | 156 | USAF WARGAMING AND SIMULATION | 19,762 | 19,762 | 19,762 | | 19,762 |
| 0208006F | 157 | MISSION PLANNING SYSTEMS | 20,585 | 20,585 | 20,585 | | 20,585 |

| PE | No | Title | FY 1996 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0208060F | 159 | THEATER MISSILE DEFENSES | 25,102 | 25,102 | 53,102 | | 25,102 |
| 0303110F | 166 | DEFENSE SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM | 32,555 | 32,555 | 32,555 | | 32,555 |
| 0303131F | 167 | MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK (MEECN) | 15,777 | 15,777 | 15,777 | | 15,777 |
| 0303140F | 168 | INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM | 11,261 | 11,261 | 12,761 | 1,500 | 12,761 |
| 0303144F | 169 | ELECTROMAGNETIC COMPATIBILITY ANALYSIS CENTER (ECAC) | - | - | - | | - |
| 0303601F | 170 | MILSTAR SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM | 42,591 | 42,591 | 42,591 | | 42,591 |
| 0303605F | 171 | SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS TERMINALS | - | - | - | | - |
| 0305110F | 173 | SATELLITE CONTROL NETWORK | 89,717 | 89,717 | 89,717 | (5,100) | 84,617 |
| 0305111F | 174 | WEATHER SERVICE | 5,771 | 5,771 | 5,771 | | 5,771 |
| 0305114F | 175 | AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL, APPROACH, AND LANDING SYSTEM (ATCALS) | 3,968 | 3,968 | 3,968 | | 3,968 |
| 0305119F | 176 | MEDIUM LAUNCH VEHICLES | 21,898 | 21,898 | 21,898 | | 21,898 |
| 0305128F | 178 | SECURITY AND INVESTIGATIVE ACTIVITIES | 299 | 299 | 299 | | 299 |
| 0305137F | 179 | NATIONAL AIRSPACE SYSTEM (NAS) PLAN | 13,759 | 13,759 | 13,759 | | 13,759 |
| 0305138F | 180 | UPPER STAGE SPACE VEHICLES | 3,554 | 3,554 | 3,554 | | 3,554 |
| 0305144F | 182 | TITAN SPACE LAUNCH VEHICLES | 140,514 | 140,514 | 140,514 | (5,000) | 135,514 |
| 0305145F | 183 | ARMS CONTROL IMPLEMENTATION | 998 | 998 | 998 | | 998 |
| 0305158F | 184 | CONSTANT SOURCE | 3,089 | 3,089 | 3,089 | | 3,089 |
| 0305160F | 185 | DEFENSE METEOROLOGICAL SATELLITE PROGRAM (DMSP) | 21,464 | 21,464 | 21,464 | | 21,464 |
| 0305164F | 186 | NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (USER EQUIPMENT) | 17,371 | 17,371 | 17,371 | | 17,371 |
| 0305165F | 187 | NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (SPACE AND CONTROL SEGMENTS) | 26,921 | 26,921 | 26,921 | (1,000) | 25,921 |
| 0305181F | 189 | WESTERN SPACE LAUNCH FACILITY (WSLF) | - | - | - | | - |
| 0305182F | 190 | EASTERN SPACE LAUNCH FACILITY (ESLF) | 52,272 | 52,272 | 52,272 | | 52,272 |
| 0305887F | 191 | ELECTRONIC COMBAT INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT | - | - | - | | - |
| 0305905F | 193 | IMPROVED SPACE BASED TW/AA | - | - | - | | - |
| 0305906F | 194 | NCMC - TW/AA SYSTEM | 60,897 | 60,897 | 60,897 | 7,900 | 68,797 |
| 0305910F | 195 | SPACETRACK | 35,583 | 35,583 | 35,583 | | 35,583 |
| 0305911F | 196 | DEFENSE SUPPORT PROGRAM | 43,672 | 43,672 | 38,672 | (6,231) | 37,441 |
| 0305913F | 197 | NUDET DETECTION SYSTEM | 16,277 | 16,277 | 16,277 | (3,000) | 13,277 |
| 0401119F | 198 | C-5 AIRLIFT SQUADRONS | - | - | - | | - |
| 0401218F | 199 | KC-135S | 12,727 | 12,727 | 12,727 | | 12,727 |
| 0401840F | 200 | AMC COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM | - | - | - | | - |
| 0404102F | 201 | AEROSPACE RESCUE AND RECOVERY | 5,369 | 5,369 | 5,369 | | 5,369 |
| 0701111F | 202 | SUPPLY DEPOT OPERATIONS (NON-IF) | - | - | - | | - |
| 0702207F | 203 | DEPOT MAINTENANCE (NON-IF) | 1,464 | 1,464 | 1,464 | | 1,464 |
| 0708011F | 204 | INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS | - | 53,332 | - | 60,932 | 60,932 |
| 0708012F | 205 | LOGISTICS SUPPORT ACTIVITIES | - | - | - | | - |
| 0708026F | 206 | PRODUCTIVITY, RELIABILITY, AVAILABILITY, MAINTAIN. PROG OFC (PRAMPO) | 15,719 | 15,719 | 15,719 | | 15,719 |
| 0708054F | 207 | POLLUTION PREVENTION | - | - | - | | - |
| 0708611F | 208 | SUPPORT SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT | 5,906 | 5,906 | 5,906 | | 5,906 |

| PE | No | Title | FY 1996 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0804734F | 209 | CRYPTOLOGIC/SIGINT-RELATED SKILL TRAINING | 1,139 | 1,139 | 1,139 | | 1,139 |
| 0901218F | 210 | CIVILIAN COMPENSATION PROGRAM | 5,827 | 5,827 | 5,827 | | 5,827 |
| 1001004F | 211 | INTERNATIONAL ACTIVITIES | 3,713 | 3,713 | 3,713 | | 3,713 |
| | | Joint Seismic Program and Global Seismic Network | | - | 9,500 | | |
| | | FY95 Unobligated Balances | | - | (9,500) | | |
| XXXXXXXXXX | 999 | Classified Programs | 3,203,479 | 3,396,479 | 3,312,079 | 149,763 | 3,353,242 |
| | | POLAR SATCOM | | | | | - |
| | | **Total Air Force RDT&E** | 12,598,439 | 13,182,102 | 13,087,389 | 316,429 | 12,914,868 |

*Defense research sciences*

The budget request included $239.893 million for defense research sciences in PE 61102F.

The House bill would authorize an additional $5.0 million for adaptive optics research.

The Senate amendment would reduce the budget request by $9.0 million and authorize $5.0 million for adaptive optics research.

The conferees agree, that of the $249.5 million authorized in this program element, $5.0 million shall be authorized for adaptive optics research.

*Robotics corrosion inspection system*

The House bill would authorize $8.0 million in PE 62102F to conduct a competitive program to demonstrate the feasibility of non-contact robotic corrosion inspection for detection of hidden corrosion and metal fatigue.

The Senate amendment did not include such authorization.

The conferees strongly encourage the Air Force to consider environmentally benign technologies that demonstrate the potential to provide a 25 percent savings in cargo and fighter aircraft inspection and repair costs through the use of non-contact robotic corrosion inspection.

*Firefighting clothing*

The conferees encourage the Department of Defense to continue to make greater use of commercial off-the-shelf technologies that meet military requirements without extensive development programs. The conferees are aware of recent commercial developments in thermal absorbing materials that would have the potential to significantly increase personnel protection for fighting aircraft, ship-board, and chemical fires. Accordingly, the conferees authorize an additional $1.25 million in PE 62201F for the development of a firefighting suit that would incorporate these technologies.

*Aerospace propulsion*

The budget request included $3.7 million in PE 62203F for the high thermal stability and the endothermic hydrocarbon fuels project 3048.

The House bill and Senate amendment would authorize an additional $3.0 million for the acceleration of this project.

The conferees agree that of the $75.0 million authorized for this program element that $6.7 million be authorized for project 3048.

*High frequency active auroral research program (HAARP)*

The conferees agree to a $5.0 million increase in PE 62601F for the high frequency active auroral research program (HAARP).

*Rocket propulsion technology*

The House bill would authorize an additional $13.0 million for rocket propulsion technology programs in PE 62601F, PE 63302F, and PE 62111N.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The conferees agree to provide an additional $13.0 million, as specified in the House report (H. Rept. 104–131).

*Computer security*

The budget request included $98.5 million for Command, Control, and Communications in PE 62702F.

The House bill would authorize an additional $3.0 million to evaluate voice recognition computer security systems.

The Senate amendment contained no similar authorization.

The conferees direct that, of the $96.5 million authorized, $3.0 million be authorized for evaluation of voice recognition computer security systems, as specified in the House report (H. Rept. 104–131).

*Aircraft ejection seats*

The budget request included $19.0 million in PE 63231F for crew systems and personnel protection technology.

The House bill would authorize an additional $3.0 million to test existing Navy, Marine Corps, and Air Force front-line trainer and tactical aircraft ejection seats. Ejection seat tests would be conducted to verify predicted performance and to identify existing problems and the required corrective action.

The Senate amendment had no similar provision.

The conferees agree to authorize an additional $3.0 million in PE 63231F for the purposes specified in the House report (H. Rept. 104–131).

*Micro-satellite development program*

The budget request included $32.6 million in PE 63401F for Advanced Spacecraft Technology.

The Senate amendment would authorize an additional $20.0 million for a micro-satellite development program.

The House bill would authorize the budget request.

The House recedes.

The Air Force Phillips Laboratory, in conjunction with the Air Force Space Command's Space Warfare Center, has initiated a small satellite program to develop and demonstrate a variety of miniaturized space technologies. The micro-satellite program builds upon the highly successful Clementine satellite program. The conferees strongly support this effort and direct that it be placed under the control of the Space Warfare Center and be executed by the Clementine Team (Phillips Laboratory, Naval Research Laboratory, and Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory).

*Intercontinental ballistic missile (ICBM) research and development and associated issues*

ICBM DEMONSTRATION/VALIDATION

The budget request included $20.3 million in PE 63851F for six Minuteman-related projects.

The House bill would authorize an additional $14.5 million to complete acquisition and requirement documentation efforts and to conduct missile guidance technology experiments. The House report (H. Rept. 104–131) expressed concern that the budget request failed to include pre-milestone 0 and phase 0 funding for the command signal decoder, the modified miniature receive terminal for launch control centers, the safety enhanced reentry vehicle, and inertial measurement modifications.

The Senate amendment would authorize an additional $4.3 million to bolster the Air Force reentry vehicle applications project. The Senate report (S. Rept. 104–112) expressed concern that the reentry vehicle nose tip requirements were not adequately funded.

The conferees agree to authorize the budget request. The conferees also reiterate the concerns expressed in the House and Senate reports. The conferees understand that the Air Force is considering options to address these concerns from within their existing fiscal year 1996 budget, in particular the documentation issues identified in the House report. The conferees strongly urge the Air Force to fulfill these requirements.

ICBM ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT

The budget request contained $192.7 million in PE 64851F to fund the Minuteman guidance and propulsion replacement programs.

The House bill would authorize an additional $8.0 million to fund the initial integration design and testing of the capability to integrate the Mk21 warhead on the new Minuteman guidance set. The House report (H. Rept. 104–131) endorsed using the Mk21, the safest warhead in the inventory, on the Minuteman, if and when it becomes available as a result of arms control treaties. The House report expressed concern that the current guidance replacement program fails to fund the design and testing necessary to ensure the Mk21 capability prior to initiation of the guidance set production.

The Senate amendment would authorize the budget request.

The conferees agree to authorize the budget request. The conferees, however, reiterate the concerns expressed in the House report (H. Rept. 104–131), and support the recommendations made therein. The conferees are concerned that the Department of Defense and the Air Force have failed to take the necessary action to ensure that the safest nuclear warheads are compatible with the new Minuteman guidance sets. Therefore, the conferees direct that, of the funds authorized for fiscal year 1996 in PE 64851F, up to $4.0 million shall be available to initiate efforts to ensure that the new Minuteman guidance sets are capable of accommodating the Mk21 warhead. The conferees further direct the Secretary of Defense to ensure that the funds necessary to continue this effort are included in the fiscal year 1997 budget request.

REENTRY VEHICLE MATERIALS

The Senate amendment would authorize $750,000 above the budget request in PE 62102F for the Thermal Protection Materials Reentry Vehicle project to purchase, test, and evaluate three nose tip billets and related technologies.

The House bill would not authorize additional funds for reentry vehicle materials.

The Senate recedes. Nevertheless, the conferees reiterate the concerns expressed in the Senate report (S. Rept. 104–112) regarding the adequacy of the reentry vehicle applications program, and, in particular, the reentry vehicle materials program. Therefore, the conferees direct that, of the funds available in PE 62102F, up to $750,000 shall be available for the Thermal Protection Materials Reentry Vehicle project to purchase, test, and evaluate three ICBM reentry vehicle nose tip billets and related thermal technologies.

BALLISTIC MISSILE TECHNOLOGY

The budget request contained $3.1 million in PE 63311F to conduct guidance and range safety technology experiments.

The House bill would authorize an additional $5.7 million for Minuteman class range tracking and safety equipment based on Global Positioning System (GPS) equipment developments.

The Senate amendment would authorize an additional $5.0 million for suborbital flight testing conducted at White Sands Missile Range for ballistic missile guidance, range tracking, and safety equipment, based on existing GPS equipment.

The conferees agree to authorize $5.7 million above the budget request to enhance ballistic missile technology experiments and to proceed with a follow-on to the successful Missile Technology Demonstration Flight 1

(MTD–1). The conferees commend the participants in this joint effort and encourage the Air Force, the Ballistic Missile Defense Organization, the Defense Nuclear Agency, and the Phillips Laboratory to continue to pursue such joint efforts. Prior to completing plans for a MTD follow-on, the conferees direct the Air Force to consult with the Senate Committee on Armed Services and the House Committee on National Security on the issues and options associated with the following: (1) the technologies to be tested; (2) the type of booster configuration to be employed; and (3) the test range to be used.

PEACEKEEPER CONTINGENCY PLANNING

The conferees direct the Secretary of the Air Force to submit a report to the congressional defense committees, by March 1, 1996, that outlines the Air Force's current plans for retiring Peacekeeper, and maintaining the system in the interim. The report should also address the additional actions and funding that would be required to maintain the option of retaining up to 50 Peacekeeper ICBMs in an operational status beyond 2003. The report should include a timetable that outlines when such actions and funding would be needed.

*Weapon impact assessment system*

The conferees are aware of innovative technologies that may significantly resolve the battlefield damage assessment problems related to tactical aviation. The conferees support the priorities established in the fiscal year 1996 Department of Defense Small Business Innovative Research Program solicitation (96.1) to expeditiously pursue weapon impact assessment technology. Accordingly, the conferees authorize $950,000, distributed equally between PE 64618N and PE 64618F, for a joint Navy-Air Force flight demonstration of a weapon impact assessment system that uses a video sensor-transmitter with precision guided munitions.

*Stand-off land attack missiles*

The budget request contained $40.5 million in PE 64603N for continued development of the stand-off land attack missile-enhanced response (SLAM–ER) as an interim replacement for the canceled tri-service stand-off attack missile (TSSAM) for the Navy.

The House bill would authorize the budget request for SLAM–ER. However, the House report (H. Rept. 104–131) would prohibit the Navy from obligating more than $10.0 million for the program without specific approval by the congressional defense committees.

The House bill would also provide an additional $37.5 million in PE 64312N for the Navy and an additional $37.5 million in PE 27160F for the Air Force to establish a joint program for accelerated development and evaluation of candidate joint air-to-surface stand-off missile (JASSM) systems as a near-term replacement for TSSAM. The House report would direct the Secretary of Defense to establish immediately such a program and would further direct the Secretary to report to the congressional defense committees within 60 days of the enactment of the Act on:

(1) the Department's plan to address near-term Navy and Air Force requirements for an interim TSSAM replacement;

(2) the Department's plans to satisfy these near-term requirements; and

(3) the long-term plan for development of a TSSAM replacement that will satisfy the requirements of both services.

The Senate amendment would authorize the budget request in PE 64603N for continued development of SLAM–ER, and would provide an additional $50.0 million for the Air Force in PE 27160F to initiate a JASSM program, with the expectation that the Department of Defense would establish a joint program to meet Air Force and Navy needs for a replacement for TSSAM.

The House recedes with an amendment. The conferees agree to:

(1) authorize the SLAM–ER budget request;

(2) provide $25.0 million for JASSM in the Air Force budget; and

(3) require the Department to report on plans for meeting near-term and long-term Air Force and Navy requirements for stand-off weapons systems.

JOINT AIR-TO-SURFACE STAND-OFF MISSILE (JASSM)

In testimony before the Congress this year, the Air Force and the Navy continued to support the requirement for a survivable, precision strike stand-off weapon. The DOD decision to cancel the TSSAM program exacerbated an already significant shortfall in this capability. The conferees stress the urgent need for the operational capability that would be provided by the TSSAM, and expect the Secretary of Defense to establish a joint program in the Air Force and the Navy for development of a TSSAM replacement, as recommended in both the House report (H. Rept. 104–131) and the Senate report (S. Rept. 104–112).

The conferees are concerned about the approach the services may pursue to fulfill the JASSM requirement. The conferees note that there are a number of competing alternatives upon which the JASSM could be based. The conferees believe that JASSM could evolve from a existing, or planned interim weapons system. The conferees believe that, if the Department decides that a new weapon development is appropriate, the new development program should be based on technologies that have already been developed in the TSSAM program, or in other existing or planned stand-off weapons systems, including technologies relating to low and very low observability/stealth.

The conferees note that there are a number of competing alternatives upon which the JASSM could be based, and want to ensure that due consideration is given to all competing approaches. Therefore, the conferees direct the Department to consider the following in conducting the JASSM program: (1) the results of the TSSAM development program, and the potential for using technology and components derived from that program; and (2) the results of programs for development of other stand-off weapons systems, and the potential for using technologies derived from those programs. The conferees direct the Secretary of Defense to include, in his report on precision guided munitions, information on the extent to which the Department may avail itself of TSSAM-derivative components and technology, as well as, components and technologies derived from other stand-off weapons programs, in meeting the JASSM requirement.

REQUIRED REPORT

The conferees direct the Secretary of Defense to include in the report on the analysis required by the provision on precision guided munitions, the Department's plan for meeting near-term Navy and Air Force requirements for an interim TSSAM replacement and the long-term plan for development of a TSSAM replacement that will meet the requirements of both services. The conferees expect that the Department would establish the following for JASSM weapons system at the next milestone: design-to-unit cost goals; minimum performance parameters; and interface requirements between JASSM and launch platforms.

*Mobile missile launch detection and tracking*

The conferees are aware of a proposal to use specialized processing techniques on synthetic aperture radar data to detect medium-rage ballistic missiles shortly after launch. The conferees urge the Air Force to consider this promising concept and agree to authorize the use of up to $1.0 million in funds made available in PE 28060F to demonstrate the feasibility of this concept.

*Rivet joint technology transfer program*

The Senate amendment recommended a $28.0 million increase to the theater missile defense program element (PE 28060F) to initiate the migration of the Cobra Ball medium wave infrared acquisition technology for the Rivet Joint RC–135 tactical reconnaissance fleet.

The House bill did not contain a similar recommendation.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees encourage the Air Force to move forward with this near term, cost effective program. With the transfer of this mature technology, the Rivet Joint fleet would offer early deployment and provide a significant improvement to the Department of Defense's capabilities in long range surveillance, warning, rapid cueing for attack operations, and impact point prediction. To achieve this goal, the conferees would consider a reprogramming in fiscal year 1996. The conferees understand that funds for the completion of this technology migration are included in the Air Force future year defense plans for this program.

*Information systems security*

The budget request included $11.3 million in PE 33140F for the Air Force's Information Systems Security program.

The Senate amendment would authorize an additional $1.5 million to complete research and development of the Trusted RUBIX multi-level security database management system.

The House bill would authorize the budget request.

The House recedes.

*Computer-assisted technology transfer*

The conferees agree to authorize $7.2 million in PE 78011F to continue the computer-assisted technology transfer program.

*Overview*

The budget request for fiscal year 1996 contained an authorization of $8,802.9 million for Defense-Wide, Research and Development in the Department of Defense. The House bill would authorize $9,287.1 million. The Senate amendment would authorize $9,271.2 million. The conferees recommended an authorization of $9,419.5 million. Unless noted explicity in the statement of managers, all changes are made without prejudice.

| PE | No | Title | FY 1996 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ACCOUNT | | RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT TEST & EVAL DEFENSE-WIDE | | | | - | |
| 0601101D | 1 | IN-HOUSE LABORATORY INDEPENDENT RESEARCH | 3,551 | 3,551 | 3,551 | | 3,551 |
| 0601101E | 2 | DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES | 89,732 | 84,732 | 89,732 | (8,400) | 81,332 |
| 0601103D | 3 | UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES | 236,165 | 256,165 | 231,165. | (5,000) | 231,165 |
| 0601110D | 4 | FOCUSED RESEARCH INITIATIVES | 14,009 | 9,009 | 14,009 | (5,000) | 9,009 |
| 0601384BP | 5 | CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM | 23,947 | 28,547 | 23,947 | 4,600 | 28,547 |
| 0602160D | 6 | COUNTERPROLIFERATION SUPPORT | 9,952 | 9,952 | 9,952 | | 9,952 |
| 0602173C | 7 | SUPPORT TECHNOLOGIES/FOLLOW-ON TECHNOLOGIES EXPLORATORY DEVELOPMENT | 93,308 | 93,308 | 93,308 | | 93,308 |
| 0602227D | 8 | MEDICAL FREE ELECTRON LASER | 13,258 | 13,258 | 21,258 | 13,000 | 26,258 |
| 0602228D | 9 | HISTORICALLY BLACK COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES (HBCU) SCIENCE AND ENGINEER | 14,779 | 14,779 | 14,779 | | 14,779 |
| 0602234D | 10 | LINCOLN LABORATORY RESEARCH PROGRAM | 19,903 | 10,000 | 19,903 | (7,000) | 12,903 |
| 0602301E | 11 | COMPUTING SYSTEMS AND COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY | 403,875 | 389,875 | 406,875 | (7,550) | 396,325 |
| 0602384BP | 12 | CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM | 60,665 | 84,165 | 60,665 | 7,850 | 68,515 |
| 0602702E | 13 | TACTICAL TECHNOLOGY | 113,168 | 122,868 | 101,818 | (4,350) | 108,818 |
| 0602708E | 14 | INTEGRATED COMMAND AND CONTROL TECHNOLOGY | 48,000 | 48,000 | 48,000 | | 48,000 |
| 0602712E | 15 | MATERIALS AND ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGY | 226,045 | 253,545 | 242,045 | 16,000 | 242,045 |
| 0602715H | 16 | DEFENSE NUCLEAR AGENCY | 219,003 | 223,003 | 242,003 | 22,700 | 241,703 |
| 0602787D | 17 | MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY | 7,501 | 7,501 | 7,501 | | 7,501 |
| 0305108K | 18 | COMMAND AND CONTROL RESEARCH | 1,999 | 1,999 | 1,999 | | 1,999 |
| | | DEFENSE HEALTH R&D | | | | | - |
| 0603002D | 19 | MEDICAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 4,088 | 4,088 | 4,088 | | 4,088 |
| 0603104D | 20 | EXPLOSIVES DEMILITARIZATION TECHNOLOGY | - | - | - | 15,000 | 15,000 |
| 0603122D | 21 | COUNTERTERROR TECHNICAL SUPPORT | 12,044 | 12,044 | 14,044 | 2,000 | 14,044 |
| 0603160D | 22 | COUNTERPROLIFERATION SUPPORT - ADV DEV | 55,331 | 55,331 | 91,631 | | 55,331 |
| | | ASAT PROGRAM | | - | | 30,000 | 30,000 |
| 0603173C | 23 | SUPPORT TECHNOLOGIES/FOLLOW-ON TECHNOLOGIES - ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 79,387 | 79,387 | 149,387 | 50,000 | 129,387 |
| 0603215C | 24 | LIMITED DEFENSE SYSTEM | - | - | - | | - |
| 0603216C | 25 | THEATER MISSILE DEFENSE ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT | - | - | - | | - |
| 0603218C | 26 | RESEARCH AND SUPPORT ACTIVITIES | - | - | - | | - |
| 0603225D | 27 | JOINT DOD-DOE MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT | 16,799 | 31,799 | 16,799 | 5,000 | 21,799 |
| 0603226E | 28 | EXPERIMENTAL EVALUATION OF MAJOR INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGIES | 618,005 | 673,805 | 626,005 | (14,300) | 603,705 |
| 0603384BP | 29 | CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM - ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT | 25,684 | 38,284 | 25,684 | 10,000 | 35,684 |
| 0603569E | 30 | ADVANCED SUBMARINE TECHNOLOGY | 7,473 | 30,473 | 7,473 | 23,000 | 30,473 |
| 0603570D | 31 | DEFENSE LABORATORY PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM | 16,106 | - | 10,106 | (16,106) | - |
| 0603570E | 32 | DEFENSE DUAL USE TECHNOLOGY INITIATIVE | 500,000 | - | 238,000 | (305,000) | 195,000 |
| 0603704D | 33 | SPECIAL TECHNICAL SUPPORT | 18,187 | 18,187 | 18,187 | | 18,187 |
| 0603711H | 34 | VERIFICATION TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATION | 33,971 | 33,971 | 33,971 | | 33,971 |
| 0603716D | 35 | STRATEGIC ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH PROGRAM | 58,435 | 54,155 | 58,435 | (280) | 58,155 |
| 0603724D | 36 | BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE - ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT | - | - | - | | - |

| PE | No | Title | FY 1996 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0603725D | 37 | COMPUTERS & COMMUNICATIONS TO REDUCE MEDICAL COSTS | - | - | - | | - |
| 0603726D | 38 | JOINT TECHNOLOGY INSERTION PROGRAM | 4,976 | - | 4,976 | (1,500) | 3,476 |
| 0603736D | 39 | CALS INITIATIVE | 6,545 | 6,545 | 18,545 | 12,000 | 18,545 |
| 0603738D | 40 | COOPERATIVE DOD/VA MEDICAL RESEARCH | - | - | - | | - |
| 0603739E | 41 | ADVANCED ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGIES | 419,863 | 421,221 | 369,863 | (10,845) | 409,018 |
| 0603744E | 42 | ADVANCED SIMULATION | 5,799 | 5,799 | 5,799 | | 5,799 |
| 0603745E | 43 | SEMICONDUCTOR MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY | 89,554 | 89,554 | 89,554 | | 89,554 |
| 0603746E | 44 | MARITIME TECHNOLOGY | 49,657 | 49,657 | 49,657 | | 49,657 |
| 0603747E | 45 | ELECTRIC VEHICLES | - | - | - | | - |
| 0603748E | 46 | NATURAL GAS VEHICLES | - | - | - | | - |
| 0603749E | 47 | EARTH CONSERVANCY | - | - | - | | - |
| 0603750D | 48 | ADVANCED CONCEPT TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS | 63,251 | 71,251 | 59,851 | (18,400) | 44,851 |
| 0603755D | 49 | HIGH PERFORMANCE COMPUTING MODERNIZATION PROGRAM | 89,682 | 89,682 | 89,682 | | 89,682 |
| 0603756D | 50 | CONSOLIDATED DOD SOFTWARE INITIATIVE | - | - | - | | - |
| 0603771S | 51 | INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY | 7,007 | - | 7,007 | (7,007) | - |
| 0603800E | 52 | JOINT ADVANCED STRIKE TECHNOLOGY - DEM/VAL | 30,675 | 30,675 | 30,675 | | 30,675 |
| 0603832D | 53 | JOINT WARGAMING SIMULATION MANAGEMENT OFFICE | 77,690 | 77,690 | 77,690 | | 77,690 |
| 0305889E | 55 | COUNTERDRUG INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT | - | - | - | | - |
| 0603228D | 56 | PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT | 20,092 | 27,092 | 20,092 | | 20,092 |
| 0603708D | 57 | INTEGRATED DIAGNOSTICS | 10,266 | 10,266 | 10,266 | | 10,266 |
| 0603709D | 58 | JOINT ROBOTICS PROGRAM | 17,382 | 27,382 | 17,382 | 5,000 | 22,382 |
| 0603714D | 59 | ADVANCED SENSOR APPLICATIONS PROGRAM | 25,923 | 35,923 | 35,923 | | 25,923 |
| 0603715D | 60 | AIM-9 CONSOLIDATED PROGRAM | - | - | - | | - |
| 0603734J | 61 | ISLAND SUN SUPPORT | 1,584 | 1,584 | 1,584 | | 1,584 |
| 0603790D | 62 | NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT | 45,642 | 25,642 | 40,642 | (22,142) | 23,500 |
| 0603851D | 63 | ENVIRONMENTAL SECURITY TECHNICAL CERTIFICATION PROGRAM | 14,939 | 14,939 | 26,939 | 12,000 | 26,939 |
| 0603861C | 64 | THEATER HIGH-ALTITUDE AREA DEFENSE SYSTEM - TMD - DEM/VAL | 576,327 | 576,327 | 576,327 | | 576,327 |
| 0603862C | 65 | THEATER MISSILE DEFENSE GROUND BASED RADAR (GBR-T) - DEM/VAL | - | - | - | | - |
| 0603863C | 66 | HAWK UPGRADES THEATER MISSILE DEFENSE ACQUISITION - DEM/VAL | 23,188 | 23,188 | 23,188 | | 23,188 |
| 0603864C | 67 | BATTLE MANAGEMENT AND C4I FOR TMD ACQUISITION - DEM/VAL | 24,231 | 24,231 | 24,231 | | 24,231 |
| 0603867C | 68 | NAVY LOWER TIER TMD ACQUISITION - DEM/VAL | - | - | - | 185,000 | 185,000 |
| 0603868C | 69 | NAVY UPPER TIER TMD - DEM/VAL | 30,442 | 200,442 | 200,442 | 170,000 | 200,442 |
| 0603869C | 70 | CORPS SURFACE-TO-AIR MISSILE - TMD - DEM/VAL | 30,442 | 20,442 | - | (10,000) | 20,442 |
| 0603870C | 71 | BOOST PHASE INTERCEPT THEATER MISSILE DEFENSE ACQUISITION | 49,061 | 29,061 | - | (49,061) | - |
| 0603871C | 72 | NATIONAL MISSILE DEFENSE - DEM/VAL | 370,621 | 820,621 | 670,621 | 450,000 | 820,621 |
| 0603872C | 73 | OTHER THEATER MISSILE DEFENSE/FOLLOW-ON TMD ACTIVITIES ACQUISITION | 460,470 | 423,470 | 475,470 | (22,000) | 438,470 |
| 0603884BP | 74 | CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM - DEM/VAL | 32,461 | 36,861 | 32,461 | 1,600 | 34,061 |
| 0604225C | 75 | THEATER MISSILE DEFENSE ACQUISITION EMD PROGRAMS | - | - | - | | - |
| 0604705D | 76 | MOBILE OFFSHORE BASE ANALYSIS | - | - | - | | - |

| PE | No | Title | FY 1996 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0201135J | 77 | CINC C2 INITIATIVES | - | - | - | | - |
| 0604160D | 78 | COUNTERPROLIFERATION SUPPORT - EMD | 2,786 | 2,786 | 2,786 | | 2,786 |
| 0604384BP | 79 | CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM - EMD | 95,324 | 107,324 | 95,324 | (3,707) | 91,617 |
| 0604771D | 80 | JOINT TACTICAL INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (JTIDS) | 62,068 | 62,068 | 62,068 | | 62,068 |
| 0604861C | 81 | THEATER HIGH-ALTITUDE AREA DEFENSE SYSTEM - TMD - EMD | - | 50,000 | - | | - |
| 0604864C | 82 | BATTLE MANAGEMENT AND C4I FOR TMD ACQUISITION - EMD | 14,301 | 14,301 | 14,301 | | 14,301 |
| 0604865C | 83 | PATRIOT PAC-3 THEATER MISSILE DEFENSE ACQUISITION - EMD | 247,921 | 247,921 | 352,421 | 104,500 | 352,421 |
| 0604866C | 84 | ERINT/PATRIOT PAC-3 RISK REDUCTION - TMD - EMD | 19,485 | 19,485 | 19,485 | | 19,485 |
| 0604867C | 85 | NAVY LOWER TIER TMD ACQUISITION - EMD | 237,473 | 282,473 | 282,473 | (140,000) | 97,473 |
| 0604889K | 86 | COUNTERDRUG ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS | - | - | - | | - |
| 0603710D | 87 | CLASSIFIED PROGRAM - C3I | 2,510 | 2,510 | 2,510 | | 2,510 |
| 0603712S | 88 | GENERIC LOGISTICS R&D TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS | 16,800 | 16,800 | 16,800 | (4,500) | 12,300 |
| 0605104D | 89 | TECHNICAL STUDIES, SUPPORT AND ANALYSIS | 39,302 | 24,302 | 34,302 | (15,000) | 24,302 |
| 0605110D | 90 | TECHNICAL SUPPORT TO USD(A)--CRITICAL TECHNOLOGY | 2,651 | 2,651 | 2,651 | | 2,651 |
| 0605114E | 91 | BLACK LIGHT | 4,745 | 4,745 | 4,745 | | 4,745 |
| 0605117D | 92 | FOREIGN MATERIAL ACQUISITION AND EXPLOITATION | 46,338 | 46,338 | 46,338 | | 46,338 |
| 0605129D | 93 | TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE | 4,927 | - | - | (4,927) | - |
| 0605160D | 94 | COUNTERPROLIFERATION SUPPORT | 6,468 | 6,468 | 6,468 | | 6,468 |
| 0605218C | 95 | BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE RDT&E PROGRAM MANAGEMENT AND SUPPORT | 185,542 | 165,542 | 155,542 | (30,000) | 155,542 |
| 0605384BP | 96 | CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM | 4,936 | 4,936 | 4,936 | | 4,936 |
| 0605502D | 97 | SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATIVE RESEARCH | - | - | - | | - |
| 0605790D | 98 | SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATIVE RESEARCH ADMINISTRATION | 1,574 | 1,574 | - | | 1,574 |
| 0605798S | 99 | DEFENSE SUPPORT ACTIVITIES | 14,752 | 14,752 | 14,752 | | 14,752 |
| 0605801S | 100 | DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTER | 42,989 | 42,989 | 42,989 | | 42,989 |
| 0605898E | 101 | MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS (RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT) | 32,643 | 32,643 | 32,643 | | 32,643 |
| 0305154D | 102 | DEFENSE AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE PROGRAM | 10,000 | - | 30,000 | | 10,000 |
| 0305889D | 103 | COUNTERDRUG INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT | - | - | - | | - |
| 0708011S | 104 | INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS | - | 17,007 | - | 7,007 | 7,007 |
| 0201135J | 105 | CINC C2 INITIATIVES | 200 | 200 | 200 | | 200 |
| 0208045K | 106 | C3 INTEROPERABILITY (JOINT TACTICAL C3 AGENCY) | 25,338 | 25,338 | 25,338 | | 25,338 |
| 0302016K | 109 | NATIONAL MILITARY COMMAND SYSTEM-WIDE SUPPORT | 2,153 | 2,153 | 2,153 | | 2,153 |
| 0302019K | 110 | JOINT/DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS ENGINEERING AND INTEGRATION | 5,138 | 5,138 | 5,138 | | 5,138 |
| 0303126K | 111 | LONG-HAUL COMMUNICATIONS (DCS) | 20,538 | 20,538 | 20,538 | | 20,538 |
| 0303127K | 112 | SUPPORT OF THE NATIONAL COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM | 4,062 | 4,062 | 4,062 | | 4,062 |
| 0303131K | 113 | MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK (MEECN) | 2,269 | 2,269 | 2,269 | | 2,269 |
| 0303140D | 114 | INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM | 23,884 | 23,884 | 23,884 | (6,470) | 17,414 |
| 0303153K | 116 | JOINT SPECTRUM CENTER | 4,859 | 4,859 | 4,859 | | 4,859 |
| 0303154J | 117 | WWMCCS ADP MODERNIZATION | - | - | - | | - |
| 0305139B | 122 | DMA MAPPING, CHARTING, AND GEODESY (MC&G) PRODUCTION SYSTEM IMPR | 80,131 | 98,131 | 80,131 | (5,386) | 74,745 |

| PE | No | Title | FY 1996 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0305141D | 123 | JOINT REMOTELY PILOTED VEHICLES PROGRAM | - | - | - | | - |
| 0305154D | 124 | DEFENSE AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE PROGRAM | 515,148 | 646,748 | 528,148 | 115,800 | 630,948 |
| 0305154I | 126 | DEFENSE AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE PROGRAM | - | - | - | | - |
| 0305157I | 127 | LAND REMOTE SENSING SATELLITE SYSTEM | - | - | - | | - |
| 0305159B | 128 | DEFENSE RECONNAISSANCE SUPPORT ACTIVITIES | - | - | - | | - |
| 0305159I | 130 | DEFENSE RECONNAISSANCE SUPPORT ACTIVITIES | 59,183 | 59,183 | 59,183 | | 59,183 |
| 0305190D | 131 | C3I INTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS | 7,907 | 7,907 | 7,907 | | 7,907 |
| 1160401BB | 136 | SPECIAL OPERATIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT | 4,090 | 4,090 | 4,090 | | 4,090 |
| 1160402BB | 137 | SPECIAL OPERATIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT | 13,288 | 14,788 | 13,288 | 1,500 | 14,788 |
| 1160404BB | 138 | SPECIAL OPERATIONS TACTICAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT | 101,602 | 107,102 | 109,895 | 8,293 | 109,895 |
| | 138a | ATV | - | - | - | | - |
| 1160405BB | 139 | SPECIAL OPERATIONS INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT | 2,901 | 2,901 | 2,901 | | 2,901 |
| 1160407BB | 140 | SOF MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT | 1,891 | 1,891 | 1,891 | | 1,891 |
| 1160408BB | 141 | SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS | 16,534 | 16,534 | 16,534 | | 16,534 |
| | | NON-LETHAL WEAPONS TECHNOLOGIES | | - | 37,200 | 37,200 | 37,200 |
| XXXXXXXXXX | 999 | Classified Programs | 1,194,090 | 1,237,401 | 1,224,890 | 31,511 | 1,225,601 |
| | | READINESS FOR PROCUREMENT TECH ASST(Transfer to O&M) | | - | (12,000) | | |
| | | **Total Defense Wide** | 8,802,881 | 9,280,058 | 9,271,220 | 616,630 | 9,419,511 |
| **ACCOUNT** | | **DIRECTOR OF TEST & EVAL DEFENSE** | | | | | |
| 0604940D | 1 | CENTRAL TEST AND EVALUATION INVESTMENT DEVELOPMENT (CTEIP) | 119,714 | 119,714 | 109,714 | (5,000) | 114,714 |
| 0605130D | 2 | FOREIGN COMPARATIVE TESTING | 34,062 | 14,062 | 34,062 | (1,609) | 32,453 |
| 0605131D | 3 | LIVE FIRE TESTING | - | - | - | | - |
| 0605804D | 4 | DEVELOPMENT TEST AND EVALUATION | 105,565 | 105,565 | 95,565 | (1,650) | 103,915 |
| | | | 259,341 | 239,341 | 239,341 | (8,259) | 251,082 |
| **ACCOUNT** | | **DIRECTOR OF OPERATIONAL TEST & EVALUATION** | | | | | |
| 0605118D | 1 | OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION | 12,183 | 12,183 | 12,183 | | 12,183 |
| 0605131D | 2 | LIVE FIRE TESTING | 10,404 | 10,404 | 10,404 | | 10,404 |
| | | | 22,587 | 22,587 | 22,587 | | 22,587 |

*University research initiative*

The budget request included $236.2 million in PE 61103D.

The House bill would authorize an additional $20.0 million above the requested amount for the continuation of the Defense Experimental Program to Stimulate Competitive Research (DEPSCoR).

The Senate amendment would apply a general reduction of $15.0 million to the requested amount and would add $10.0 million for the acceleration of research activities at universities affecting combat readiness. The Senate amendment would also authorize $10.0 million within the authorized amount for the continuation of the DODDS Director's fund for Science, Mathematics, and Engineering.

The conferees agree to an authorization of $231.2 million in PE 61103D, of which $20.0 million shall be for the continuation of the DEPSCoR program and $10.0 million for the continuation of the DODDS Director's fund for Science, Mathematics, and Engineering. The conferees also agree to authorize an additional $10.0 million for the Combat Readiness Research program described on page 169 of the Senate report (104–112) and direct that an institution awarded a contract, grant or agreement under the program be required to contribute at least three times the amount provided by the Federal government to execute the program.

*Chemical-biological defense program*

The budget request contained $383.5 million for the Department of Defense chemical-biological defense program, including $243.0 million for research, development, test and evaluation and $140.5 million for procurement of chemical and biological defense nonmedical and medical systems.

The House bill would authorize a $57.1 million increase to the budget request for the following chemical-biological defense research and development programs: $4.6 million for PE 61384BP; $23.5 million for PE 62384BP; $12.6 million for PE 63384BP; $4.4 million for PE 63884BP; and $12.0 million for PE 64384BP. The House bill would also authorize a total of an additional $50.0 million in operations and maintenance funding for chemical defense training and chemical medical defense training in the Army, Navy, Marine Corps, and Air Force.

The Senate amendment would authorize the budget request.

The conferees agree to authorize an increase to the budget request in the following program elements: $4.6 million for PE 61384BP; $7.8 million for PE 62384BP; $10.0 million for PE 63384BP; and $1.6 million for PE 63884BP. The increased authorizations would augment and accelerate research and development in medical and non-medical chemical and biological defense. Prior to obligation or expenditure of funds authorized above the budget request, the conferees direct the Department to report on the projected use of these funds.

The conferees also agree to a $50.0 million increase in the military services operations and maintenance accounts for chemical defense training and chemical medical defense training. The conferees direct the Department to provide a report to Congress on the use of this increased funding in the Department's chemical defense training and chemical medical defense training. Additionally, the Department is directed to notify Congress 15 days in advance of obligation or expenditure of funds, and to provide a justification for the use of such funds in connection with the procurement of chemical-biological defense equipment.

*Computing systems and communications technology*

The budget request included $403.9 million for computing systems and communications technology in PE 62301E.

The House bill would reduce the budget request by $25.0 million. The House bill would authorize an additional $11.0 million for accelerated development of improved nuclear detection and forensic analysis capabilities.

The Senate amendment would authorize an additional $3.0 million for software reuse activities and $30.0 million in procurement for the global broadcast service.

The conferees agree to authorize $396.3 million in PE 62301E, to include: $11.0 million for nuclear monitoring and detection; $8.0 million for global broadcast service; $7.5 million for software reuse; and a general reduction of $29.6 million.

*Global broadcast service*

The budget request contained no funds for global broadcast service (GBS).

The Senate amendment would authorize $30.0 million in weapons procurement, Navy, for a GBS pilot program. The Senate report (S. Rept. 104–112) endorsed insertion of this technology into the military communications master plan and the Navy's proposal to use the ultra-high frequency follow-on (UFO) satellite system as a host for an interim GBS capability.

Neither the House bill nor the House report (H. Rept. 104–131) addressed the subject.

The Senate recedes on the $30.0 million authorization in weapons procurement, Navy. The conferees, however, agree to authorize $8.0 million for fiscal year 1996 in PE 62301E to support this effort.

The conferees endorse the Senate language regarding the insertion of DBS/GBS technology into the communications master plan. The conferees, however, do not believe that the Department of Defense (DOD) has adequately evaluated all alternatives and associated issues. The conferees support proceeding swiftly with this program, but require additional information before endorsing any particular technical approach or acquisition strategy.

The conferees are aware of the time-sensitivity surrounding the Navy's proposal to use UFO satellites 8, 9, and 10 as host platforms, and that a protracted period of study and review may preclude this option (insofar as it is dependent on use of satellite 8, which is currently scheduled to be launched no later than December 1997). The conferees are also aware that the Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Space has tentatively endorsed the UFO approach as an interim bridge to an objective GBS system.

Nonetheless, the conferees remain concerned that no detailed analysis of options and requirements has been presented to Congress. Not wanting to prematurely endorse any particular GBS option nor preclude any promising alternative, the conferees direct the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Technology to submit a report to the congressional defense committees that addresses the following issues regarding the development and deployment of interim and objective GBS capabilities: (1) the military requirement to be satisfied; (2) the cost, schedule, technical risk, and operational effectiveness of all hosted and free-flyer options; (3) the issues involved with the use of competitive procedures or other than competitive procedures; and (4) the role of GBS capabilities in the DOD's future military satellite communications architecture and the Department's strategy for acquiring and integrating such capabilities.

The conferees encourage early involvement by the Commanders-in-Chief (CINCs) to ensure that GBS capabilities support a broad range of joint missions in the CINCs' areas of responsibility. The conferees also believe that the Under Secretary for Acquisition and Technology should conduct a broad survey of the capabilities and views of industry prior to selecting a particular technical approach or acquisition strategy.

Once the congressional defense committees have received the report described above, the conferees would consider a reprogramming request to satisfy any outstanding fiscal year 1996 funding requirements. The conferees' approval of such a request would depend largely on the content of the report submitted, the offsets identified, and the degree to which the chosen GBS acquisition strategy is funded in the Secretary of Defense's fiscal year 1997 budget request and Future Years Defense Program.

*Materials and electronics technology*

The budget request included $226.1 million for material and electronics technology.

The House bill would authorize an additional $3.0 million for chemical vapor deposition (CVD) and $2.0 million for chemical vapor composite (CVC) deposition. The bill would also provide an additional $5.0 million for higher transition temperature superconducting (HTS) materials, $7.5 million for seamless high off-chip connectivity (SHOCC) and $10.0 million for non-woven aramide fiber packaging.

The Senate amendment would authorize an additional $8.0 million for CVD and $8.0 million for HTS.

The conferees agree to authorize $242.0 million in PE 62712E, an increase of $16.0 million. This increase provides $4.0 million each for CVC deposition and CVD diamond material development and $8.0 million for HTS. The HTS authorization shall include HTS wire applications and precision band pass filters and high "Q" antennae for military communication systems that operate in signal rich environments.

*Counterterror technical support*

The budget request included $12.0 million for the counterterror technical support program.

The House bill would authorize the budget request.

The Senate amendment would authorize an increase of $2.0 million to the budget request for the continued development of pulsed fast neutron analysis (PFNA) cargo inspection technology.

The House recedes.

*Joint Department of Defense/Department of Energy munitions technology development*

The budget request included $16.8 million for the joint Department of Defense and Department of Energy munitions program.

The House bill would authorize $31.8 million for the program, a $15.0 million increase to the budget request for environmentally compliant demilitarization and disposal of unserviceable, obsolete, or non-treaty compliant munitions, rocket motors, and explosives.

The Senate amendment would authorize the budget request.

The conferees agree to a $5.0 million increase to the budget request for joint DOD/DOE munitions technology development (PE 63225D). In addition, the conferees agree to provide $15.0 million for explosives demilitarization technology (PE 63104D), discussed elsewhere in the report.

*Experimental evaluation of major innovative technologies (EEMIT)*

The budget request included $618.0 million for Experimental Evaluation of Major Innovative Technologies (EEMIT).

The House bill would authorize an additional $55.8 million for several programs, to include: global grid communications ($5.0 million); safety and survivability ($2.0 million); synthetic theater of war ($6.8 million); cruise missile defense ($35.0 million); and antisubmarine warfare (ASW) ($7.0 million).

The Senate amendment would authorize an increase of $18.0 million for several programs, to include: cruise missile defense ($10.0 million); thermophotovoltaics ($5.0 million); and funding for a large millimeter wave telescope ($3.0 million). The Senate would also authorize a general reduction of $10.0 million to the EEMIT program element.

The conferees agree to authorize $613.7 million in PE 63226E, the highest level of appropriation, and specifically identify the following programs for authorization: cruise missile defense ($10.0 million); large millimeter wave telescope ($3.0 million); safety and survivability ($2.0 million); ASW ($5.0 million); deep ocean relocation ($2.5 million); and Crown Royal ($5.0 million).

*Safety and survivability*

The House bill would authorize an additional $2.0 million in PE 65864N and an additional $2.0 million in PE 63226E for safety and survivability enhancements.

The Senate amendment contained no additional authorization for these purposes.

The conferees direct that of the funds authorized in PE 64864N and PE 63226E, $2.0 million each shall be used for safety and survivability enhancements, as specified in the House report (H. Rept. 104–131).

*Shallow water anti-submarine warfare*

The budget request included $16.5 million in PE 63226E for development and demonstration of advanced technologies for shallow water anti-submarine warfare operations.

The House bill would authorize an additional $7.0 million to begin an assessment by ARPA and the Navy of the use of newly developed and maturing multi-static acoustic, electromagnetic and electro-optic sensor technologies integrated into existing aircraft, ship, and submarine platforms in a combined system of sensors to provide the joint amphibious operational commander an integrated picture of the littoral maritime environment.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment. The conferees agree to authorize an additional $5.0 million to the budget request to continue the development and demonstration of advanced technologies for shallow water anti-submarine warfare.

*Synthetic theater of war*

The budget request included $79.1 million in PE 63226E for the Advanced Distributed Simulation program.

The House bill would authorize an additional $6.8 million to maintain the program and schedule for the 1997 Synthetic Theater of War (STOW–97) advanced concept technology demonstration.

The Senate amendment would authorize the budget request.

The House recedes. The conferees are impressed by the results of the STOW–95 demonstration and the potential to meet the warfighting commanders' requirements for development and integration of improved simulation technologies for training and mission rehearsal. The conferees recognize that the STOW program could prove to be the foundation for the future Joint Simulations System for all the military services. The conferees strongly encourage the Secretary of Defense to maintain funding levels necessary to sustain the objectives and schedule of the STOW–97 advanced concept technology demonstration.

*Tactical technology*

The budget request included $113.2 million for this tactical technology program.

The House bill would authorize an additional $7.0 million for the tactical landing system project and an additional $7.0 million for a high resolution, mobile multiple object tracking system project.

The Senate amendment would authorize an additional $6.5 million for the tactical landing system project.

The conferees agree to authorize an additional $6.5 million in PE 63226E for completion of the tactical landing system project and an additional $7.0 million in PE 63226E for a high resolution, mobile multiple object tracking system.

*Advanced submarine technology development*

The budget request included $7.5 million in PE 63569E for the Advanced Research Projects Agency's (ARPA's) advanced submarine technology program.

The House bill would authorize an additional $23.0 million in PE 63569E. This increase would permit ARPA to pursue innovative technologies that could improve the capability of Navy submarines to operate in littoral regions, develop and demonstrate new concepts for structural acoustics and management of submarine signatures, and enhance the multi-mission capabilities of Navy submarines.

The Senate amendment would authorize the budget request.

The conferees agree to authorize $30.5 million in PE 63569E, an increase of $23.0 million. Of the $23.0 million, $7.0 million shall only be available to continue transfer of technology to the Navy for active control of machinery platforms demonstrated in ARPA's Project M.

*Rapid acquisition of manufactured parts*

The House bill would authorize an increase of $12.0 million above the requested amount of $21.5 million in PE 63712N for the continuation of the rapid acquisition of manufactured parts (RAMP) program.

The Senate amendment would authorize an increase of $12.0 million above the requested amount of $6.5 million in PE 63736D for the RAMP program.

The House recedes.

*Advanced lithography program*

The budget request included $39.0 million in PE 63739E for advanced lithography programs.

The House bill would authorize an additional $25.0 million in PE 63739E for advanced lithography programs.

The Senate amendment would authorize the requested amount.

The conferees agree to authorize $60.0 million, an additional $21.0 million, in PE 63739E, for advanced lithography programs.

*Advanced electronics technologies*

The budget request included $420.0 million for advanced electronics technologies in PE 63739E.

The House bill would authorize an additional $25.0 million for advanced lithography and a reduction of $23.6 million in project MT–07.

The Senate amendment reduced the budget request by a cumulative $50.0 million for three separate programs.

The conferees agree to a funding level of $409.0 million, which includes an additional $21.0 million for advanced lithography, $7.5 million for seamless high off-chip connectivity, and full funding for project MT–08. The conferees consider the work of the Center for Advanced Technologies to be worthy of continuation. The conferees note that the Department of Defense may, at its discretion, use funds authorized in PE 61101E to continue the program at the requested level.

*Joint robotics program*

The budget request included $17.4 million for the joint robotics program.

The House bill would authorize an additional $10.0 million for the mobile detection assessment response system (MDARS).

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The conferees agree to an increased funding authorization of $5.0 million for MDARS in PE 63709D.

*Advanced sensor applications program*

The budget request included $17.4 million in PE 63714D for the advanced sensor applications program.

The House bill would authorize an increase of $10.0 million to the budget request, including $5.0 million for continued development of a research prototype laser radar anti-submarine warfare (LIDAR ASW) system concept, which is being investigated by the Office of the Secretary of Defense advanced sensor applications program (OSD ASAP), and $5.0 million for continued development of the Navy ATD–111 LIDAR ASW system. The House bill would encourage comparative testing of the two systems as a basis for establishing the requirement for a follow-on system.

The Senate amendment would authorize an additional $10.0 million for upgrade test and evaluation of the ATD–111 system, and would direct the Secretary of the Navy to prepare a plan for acquisition and deployment of the ATD–111.

The conferees have agreed to provide $10.0 million in PE 63528N for the Navy ATD–111 non-acoustic anti-submarine warfare program, as discussed elsewhere in this statement of managers. The conferees strongly support the comparative evaluation of the LIDAR ASW alternatives, and direct the Department of the Navy and the OSD ASAP to develop jointly a plan for testing these two alternative approaches to LIDAR ASW. The conferees expect that funds to complete the evaluation will be included in the fiscal year 1997 defense budget request.

*Industrial preparedness (manufacturing technology) programs*

The budget request included $17.8 million for the Army, $41.2 million for the Navy, $53.3 million for the Air Force, and $7.0 million for the Defense Agencies to fund the manufacturing technology (MANTECH) programs within these agencies.

The House bill would include an additional $10.0 million for the Army, an additional $10.0 million for the Navy, and approve the requested amount for the Air Force and the Defense. The House bill would also transfer funding from advanced development (6.3) program elements to industrial preparedness (7.8) program elements.

The Senate amendment would authorize all the manufacturing technology programs at the requested amounts and would transfer the funding from the program elements in the budget request.

The conferees agree to authorize funding for manufacturing technology programs, as follows:

| | Millions |
|---|---|
| Army (PE 78045A) | $26.8 |
| Navy (PE 78011N) | 88.0 |
| Air Force (PE 78011F) | 60.9 |
| Def. Ag. (PE 78011S) | 7.0 |

*Integrated bridge system for MK V special operations craft*

The budget request included $13.3 million in PE 1160402BB for special operations advanced technology development.

The House bill would authorize an additional $1.5 million for development of a prototype maritime integrated bridge system for the MK V special operations craft to demonstrate the potential for advanced display and control technologies to enhance mission performance.

The Senate amendment would authorize the budget request.

The Senate recedes.

*Quiet Knight advanced concept and technology demonstration*

The budget request included $101.6 million in PE 1160404BB for Special Operations tactical systems development, to include $3.5 million allocated by the U.S. Special Operations Command to continue the Quiet Knight advanced avionics technology demonstration.

The House bill would authorize the budget request. The House report (H. Rept. 104–131) expressed strong support for a Phase I (component development and demonstration) of an advanced concept technology demonstration of Quiet Knight for both fixed and rotary wing aircraft, and the continuation to a Phase II full scale demonstration and flight test of the integrated Quiet Knight capability. The House report also expressed the expectation that funding requirements for completion of the Phase II demonstration would be included in the fiscal year 1997 budget request.

The Senate amendment would authorize the budget request.

The conferees support completion of the Quiet Knight technology demonstration, and encourage the Department of Defense to validate the requirements for advanced low probability of intercept/low probability of detection avionics for special operations aircraft.

*Advanced SEAL delivery system*

The budget request included $24.6 million in PE 1160404BB to complete fabrication and integration of the first Advanced SEAL Delivery System (ASDS) and begin system level testing.

The House bill would authorize an additional $4.0 million to complete evaluation of the ASDS employed on the SSN-688 class submarine.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision.

The conferees are pleased with the joint efforts of the U.S. Special Operations Command and the Navy in the development of ASDS. The conferees agree to increase the budget request by $4.0 million to complete evaluation of the ASDS.

*Rigid hull inflatable boat*

The budget request contained $11.7 million for procurement of special warfare equipment, including $10.1 million for procurement of the Naval Special Warfare 10 meter Rigid Hull Inflatable Boat (RHIB).

The House bill would authorize the budget request.

The Senate amendment noted that the U.S. Special Operations Command had reported that the 10 meter RHIB, on which initial developmental effort had been focused, performed unsatisfactorily during operational testing. As a result, a new strategy was adopted for development of a RHIB to meet Special Operations Forces' requirements. The Senate amendment would authorize an increase of $4.3 million in PE 1160404BB to support this developmental effort and would direct a corresponding reduction in the procurement account for special warfare equipment to offset the increase.

The House recedes. The conferees understand that the $4.3 million increase in PE 1160404BB for this purpose will support the competitive procurement of three to four prototype RHIBs for developmental testing and early operational assessment. The remaining $5.8 million authorized for procurement of special warfare RHIBs will be used to procure approximately 30 interim 24-foot RHIBs to alleviate deficiencies caused by the estimated three-year delay in initial operation capability for the new RHIBs.

*Ballistic missile defense funding and programmatic guidance*

The budget request contained $2,912.9 million for the Ballistic Missile Defense Organization (BMDO), including $2,442.2 million for Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation (RDT&E), $453.7 million for Procurement, and $17.0 million for Military Construction.

The House bill would authorize an additional $628.0 million for BMDO.

The Senate amendment would authorize an additional $490.5 million for BMDO.

The conferees agree to authorize a total of $3,516.9 million for BMDO, an increase of $603.9 million above the budget request. The conferees set forth specific funding allocations and programmatic guidance below.

BMDO FUNDING ALLOCATION

[In thousands of dollars]

| Program | Budget Request | House Change | Senate Change | Conference Change | Conference Outcome |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Support Tech | 93,308 | | | | 93,308 |
| Support Tech | 79,387 | | +70,000 | +50,000 | 129,387 |
| THAAD Dem/Val | 576,327 | | | | 576,327 |
| Hawk | 23,188 | | | | 23,188 |
| BM/C3 Dem/Val | 24,231 | | | | 24,231 |
| Navy LT Dem/Val | | | | +185,000 | 185,000 |
| Navy UT Dem/Val | 30,442 | +170,000 | +170,000 | +170,000 | 200,442 |
| Corps SAM | 30,442 | −10,000 | +4,558 | −10,000 | 20,442 |
| BPI | 49,061 | −20,000 | −49,061 | −49,061 | |
| NMD | 370,621 | +450,000 | +300,000 | +450,000 | 820,621 |
| Other TMD | 460,470 | −37,000 | +15,000 | −22,000 | 438,470 |
| THAAD EMD | | +50,000 | | | |
| BM/C3 EMD | 14,301 | | | | 14,301 |
| PAC-3 EMD | 247,921 | | +104,500 | +104,500 | 352,421 |
| PAC-3 EMD/RR | 19,485 | | | | 19,485 |
| Navy LT EMD | 237,473 | +45,000 | +45,000 | −140,000 | 97,473 |
| Management | 185,542 | −20,000 | −30,000 | −30,000 | 155,542 |
| Patriot Proc | 399,463 | | −104,500 | −104,500 | 294,963 |
| Navy LT Proc | 16,897 | | | | 16,897 |
| Hawk Proc | 5,106 | | | | 5,106 |
| BM/C3 Proc | 32,242 | | | | 32,242 |
| BMDO Milcon | 17,009 | | | | 17,009 |

*Theater High Altitude Area Defense (THAAD)*—The conferees agree to authorize the budget request of $576.3 million in PE 63861C for THAAD Demonstration/Validation (Dem/Val).

The conferees endorse the language in the House report (H. Rept. 104–131) and the Senate report (S. Rept. 104–112) regarding the THAAD User Operational Evaluation System (UOES) option, and the need to ensure a smooth and timely transition from the Dem/Val phase to the Engineering and Manufacturing Development (EMD) phase. The conferees direct the Secretary of Defense to restructure the THAAD program so as to achieve a First Unit Equipped (FUE) by fiscal year 2000. The conferees believe that this objective can be facilitated by making only minor modifications to the UOES design and beginning Low-Rate Initial Production as soon as the EMD missiles have been adequately tested. Subsequent performance improvements to the initial system configuration should be incorporated through block upgrades, as appropriate and necessary. The conferees note that this approach would reduce overall THAAD development costs while significantly accelerating fielding of an operational system. Therefore, the conferees urge the Secretary of Defense to release the THAAD engineering and manufacturing development (EMD) request for proposal. Finally, the conferees direct the Secretary of Defense to promptly initiate development of all battle management software for the THADD system, including that necessary to receive cuing information from external sensors.

*Navy Upper Tier*—The budget request included $30.4 million in PE 63868C for the Navy Upper Tier program.

The conferees agree to authorize an increase of $170.0 million for a total Navy Upper Tier authorization of $200.4 million. The conferees direct the Secretary of Defense to include the Navy Upper Tier program in the core theater missile defense (TMD) program and to structure the Navy Upper Tier development and acquisition program so as to achieve an initial operational capability (IOC) not later than fiscal year 2001, with a UOES capability not later than fiscal year 1999. The conferees look forward

to receiving the results of the various studies that are assessing Navy Upper Tier technical issues and deployment options. The conferees agree to require the Director of BMDO to provide a status report to the congressional defense committees, not later than March 1, 1996, that summarizes the findings and recommendations (as available) of these analyses. The Director of BMDO should include in such report an assessment of options for reducing risk and enhancing competition in the Navy Upper Tier program, including the option of establishing a competitive development and flight test program between the Lightweight Exoatomospheric Projectile (LEAP) and THAAD kill vehicles.

The conferees believe that competition within the Navy Upper Tier program is desirable, but do not support the notion of competition between the Navy Upper Tier and THAAD programs. The conferees are convinced that the United States can and should develop and deploy both sea-based and land-based upper tier programs. Although there may be an opportunity to reduce the number of TMD programs being developed by the Department of Defense, the conferees strongly oppose the notion of a competition and down-select between the THAAD and Navy Upper Tier systems. The conferees view these as critical and complementary systems.

*Patriot*—The budget request included $247.9 in PE 64865C for PAC-3 EMD, $19.5 million in PE 64866C for PAC-3 risk reduction, and $399.5 million for Patriot procurement.

The conferees agree to authorize the overall amount requested for the Patriot program and related activities. Within this overall authorization, the conferees agree to transfer $104.5 million from Patriot procurement to PAC-3 EMD, a total authorization of $352.4 million in PE 64865C.

*Navy Lower Tier*—The budget request included $237.5 million in PE 64867C for Navy Lower Tier EMD and $16.9 million for Navy Lower Tier procurement.

The conferees agree to authorize an increase of $45.0 million for Navy Lower Tier Dem/Val and to transfer $140.0 million from Navy Lower Tier EMD to Navy Lower Tier Dem/Val, a total of $185.0 million in PE 63867C.

*Corps SAM*—The budget request included $30.4 million in PE 63869C for the Corps Surface to Air Missile (Corps SAM) system.

The conferees agree to authorize $20.4 million for Corps SAM, a reduction of $10.0 million. Although the conferees support the Corps SAM requirement, they remain concerned by several aspects of the current Corps SAM program, now known as the medium extended air defense system (MEADS). The conferees support an effort to explore alternative means to satisfy the Corps SAM requirement. Given the investments that have already been made in developing systems such as PAC-3 and THAAD, reintegration of existing systems and technologies may offer an achievable, cost-effective, and expeditious alternative. The conferees direct the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees on the options associated with the use of existing systems, technologies, and program management mechanisms to satisfy the Corps SAM requirement, including an assessment of cost and schedule implications. The conferees direct that, of the funds authorized in fiscal year 1996 for the Corps SAM program, not more than $15.0 million may be obligated until such report has been submitted to the congressional defense committees.

*Boost-Phase Intercept*—The budget request included $49.1 million in PE 63870C for the kinetic energy Boost-Phase Intercept (BPI) program.

The House bill would authorize $29.1 million for the kinetic BPI program.

The Senate amendment would authorize no funds for the kinetic BPI program in PE 63870C. However, the Senate amendment would authorize $15.0 million in the Other TMD (OTMD) program element (PE 63872C) to initiate a joint United States-Israel BPI program based on unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs).

The conferees agree to authorize no funds for the kinetic BPI program due to continuing skepticism about the operational and technical effectiveness of a BPI system based on a manned tactical aircraft. However, the conferees agree to authorize the use of up to $15.0 million, from within funds made available in the OTMD program element, for a UAV-based BPI program. The conferees support a joint U.S.-Israel UAV-BPI program focused on risk mitigation, provided that an equitable cost-sharing arrangement can be reached and that the program will be structured to satisfy the BPI requirements of both sides. The conferees also support continuation of the Atmospheric Interceptor Technology (AIT) program, which is being developed as an advanced multi-purpose kill vehicle. The conferees authorize the use of up to $30.0 million, from within funds made available in the OTMD program element, to continue the AIT program. The conferees are disappointed that the Department has not completed its review of BPI programs and options in time to inform the conferees' deliberations and decisions. Therefore, the conferees agree to require the Director of BMDO to submit a report to the congressional defense committees, not later than February 1, 1996, that summarizes the findings and recommendations of the Department's BPI study. This report should also address promising options and technical approaches associated with a UAV BPI program.

*Other TMD*—The budget request contained $460.5 million in PE 63872C for OTMD programs, projects, and activities.

The House bill would authorize $423.5 million for OTMD.

The Senate amendment would authorize $475.5 million, including the $15.0 million for the UAV-BPI program cited above.

The conferees agree to authorize $438.5 million for OTMD. Of this amount, the conferees authorize the use of up to $15.0 million to explore a UAV-BPI program and up to $30.0 million to continue the AIT advanced kill vehicle program.

*National Missile Defense*—The budget request contained $370.6 million in PE 63871C for National Missile Defense (NMD).

The House bill would authorize $820.6 million for NMD.

The Senate amendment would authorize $670.6 million for NMD.

The conferees agree to authorize $820.6 million for NMD.

*Support Technologies*—The budget request contained $93.3 million in PE 62173C and $79.4 million in PE 63173C for ballistic missile defense (BMD) support technologies.

The House bill would authorize the budget request for BMD Support Technologies.

The Senate amendment would authorize an increase of $70.0 million in PE 63173C for the Space-Based Laser (SBL) program.

The conferees agree to authorize the budget request in PE 62173C and to authorize an increase in the SBL program of $50.0 million, for a total authorization of $129.4 million in PE 63173C. The conferees believe that it is critical for the United States to continue developing the technology for space-based defenses, to preserve the option of deploying highly effective global defenses in the future. The conferees note that a space-based laser would likely be the most effective system for intercepting ballistic missiles of virtually all ranges in the boost phase. Therefore, the conferees direct the Secretary of Defense to take the following actions: (1) continue integration and testing of the laser, mirror, and beam control components of the Alpha-Lamp Integration program; (2) accelerate design activities on the StarLITE space demonstration configuration; (3) produce the concept of operations and design requirements for a follow-on operational space-based laser deployment; and (4) revitalize the technology development efforts most likely to yield significant cost and weight savings for a future SBL spacecraft. The conferees direct the Secretary of Defense to ensure that sufficient funds are provided in the outyears for continuation of a robust SBL effort, and submit to the congressional defense committees, by March 1, 1996, a report that outlines a program and funding profile that could lead to an on-orbit test of a demonstration system by the end of 1999 if approved.

The conferees note that the Director, BMDO, has testified to Congress that BMDO's follow-on technology programs are severely under-funded and that the Director is seeking to increase such funding to approximately 12 percent of the overall BMDO budget. The conferees support the efforts of the Director of BMDO to increase funding for advanced technology development. However, the conferees note that such increases will require an overall increase in the funds allocated to BMDO. The conferees support such an increase in order to reinvigorate and advanced technology programs and to help sustain the development and acquisition activities endorsed by the conferees.

BMDO is required to set aside 2.15 percent of extramural research, development, test, and evaluation authorized and appropriated (RDT&E) funds for Small Business Innovative Research (SBIR) efforts. Since the conferees recommend a level of funding for BMD programs exceeding the budget request, and programmed funding for SBIR represents a level below the mandated percentage, the Director of BMDO is authorized to transfer such funds as necessary from BMD program elements into PE 62173C to achieve the required percentage for SBIR.

*BMDO Management*—The budget request contained $185.5 million in PE 65218C for BMD Management.

The House bill would authorize $165.5 million for BMDO Management.

The Senate amendment would authorize $155.5 million for BMDO Management.

The conferees agree to authorize $155.5 million for BMDO Management. The conferees recognize that BMDO must maintain the integrity of its oversight of the overall BMD program. The conferees are concerned, however, that BMD management infrastructure may be unnecessarily duplicated in one or more of the services. Therefore, the conferees direct that BMDO identify any such duplication and take actions to eliminate it. The conferees request that the Director of BMDO consult with the Senate Committee on Armed Services and the House Committee on National Security regarding the Director's findings and proposed actions. The conferees further direct that BMDO show no increase in fiscal year 1997, after adjustments for inflation and any change in mission, over the level appropriated for management in fiscal year 1996.

*Cruise missile defense funding*

The House bill would authorize an increase of $76.0 million above the budget request for cruise missile defense programs, projects, and activities.

The Senate amendment would authorize an increase of $145.0 million above the budget request for a similar group of programs, projects, and activities.

The conferees agree to authorize an increase of $85.0 million above the budget request for cruise missile defense programs, projects, and activities. The conferees provide additional guidance in the classified annex.

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

*Anti-submarine warfare program*

The conferees share the concerns raised in the House report (H. Rept. 104–131), and in the classified annex to that report, regarding the apparent decline in priority of the Navy's anti-submarine warfare (ASW) program. The conferees agree that there is a need for an assessment of the nation's overall ASW program. The conferees' concerns are addressed further in the classified annex to this Statement of Managers.

The conferees direct the Secretary of Defense to assess the current and projected United States ASW capability in light of the continuing development of quieter nuclear submarines, the proliferation of very capable diesel submarines, the sale of sophisticated, submarine launched weapons, and the declining trend in budget resources associated with ASW programs. This assessment should identify both short-term and long-term improvements that are needed to cope with the evolving submarine threat in both littoral and open ocean areas. The results of this assessment and the plan for the United States ASW program shall be reported to the congressional defense committees by July 1, 1996.

*Geosat follow-on program*

The House report (H. Rept. 104–131) addressed the issue of converging the Navy's Geosat Follow-On (GFO) altimetry program with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration's TOPEX/Poseidon Follow-On (TPFO) altimetry program.

The Senate report (S. Rept. 104–112) did not address the issue.

The conferees share the concerns raised in the House report. The conferees are dismayed that the report to Congress on altimetry convergence was submitted more than three months later than an already extended deadline. The conferees are also troubled that the report recommends proceeding with the TPFO option, despite the fact that this approach would cost more, not involve U.S. construction and control of the satellite, and not provide the same level of data security. The TPFO option would require the Navy to spend an additional $5.2 million, for which it has not budgeted, to add global positioning system (GPS) and direct downlink capabilities critical for satisfying Navy requirements. The conferees direct that no funds authorized for the Department of Defense be obligated or expended during fiscal year 1996 for activities associated with adding GPS and direct downlink capabilities to TPFO.

*High performance computing modernization program*

In addition to supporting efforts to reduce the RDT&E infrastructure, the conferees continue to support investment in high performance computing (HPC) resources for use in the developmental test and evaluation (DT&E) community and recognize the need for a transition to HPC-based resources, integrated DT&E, and operational test and evaluation (OT&E). The conferees direct the Secretary of Defense to prepare a long-term plan for modernization of HPC resources at test and evaluation centers, and for the integration of HPC-based models, advanced data bases, and other decision support resources into the RDT&E infrastructure. In preparing the plan, the Secretary should rely on the collaborative input from the Director of Defense Research and Engineering, the Director of Test Systems Engineering and Evaluation, and the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation. The plan shall address budgeting options that provide for a realistic program and propose financing methods that can insure that needed infrastructure investments are made in a timely manner. The conferees direct the Secretary to submit the proposed plan with the Department of Defense budget recommendations to the congressional defense committees, no later than March 31, 1996.

*Low-low frequency acoustics*

The conferees share the understanding expressed in the House report (H. Rept. 104–131) that of the funds authorized and appropriated in fiscal year 1994 and 1995 for the low-low frequency acoustics (LLFA) technology program approximately $30.0 million remain available and are sufficient to continue the program through fiscal year 1996. The conferees further understand that the fiscal year 1996 program will focus on operational concepts for the LLFA, technical performance, command and control, environmental considerations, and the transition of the LLFA technology to existing fleet platforms. The conferees agree with the House that based on the emerging results of the fiscal year 1996 program consideration of additional funding for LLFA technology program, should be deferred until the fiscal year 1997 budget request.

*Machine tool controller*

The conferees are aware of a recent cooperative research and development agreement, entered into by the Department of Energy, two national laboratories, and a private sector consortium, to develop and test an open-architecture machine tool controller. The conferees encourage the Secretary of Defense to develop a plan to ensure a thorough evaluation of the technology and its application to the specific needs of defense contractors.

*National security space policy, management, and oversight*

The House report (H. Rept. 104–131) and the Senate report (S. Rept. 104–112) each contained reporting requirements concerning policy, management, and oversight of U.S. national security space programs. In lieu of the reporting requirements contained in those reports, the conferees direct the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the Congress, not later than April 15, 1996, that addresses in detail the following matters:

(1) *The results of the Administration's reviews of U.S. national and military space policies*—The conferees direct that copies of any updated policy directives (including unclassified and classified forms) that result from the reviews be included as attachments to the Secretary's report. The conferees view the Administration's decision to initiate such reviews as appropriate in light of changes in the international security environment, and expect the reviews will be completed in time to permit Departmental witnesses to discuss the results in hearings on the President's fiscal year 1997 budget request.

(2) *The activities of the Joint Department of Defense Intelligence Community Space Management Board (JSMB)*—The report shall include a copy of the charter for the Board and a description of its planned functions, operations, and staffing. The report shall address the responsibilities for the development of an integrated national security space architecture and the integrated acquisition of national security space systems. In addition, the report shall describe the Board's plans for reviewing military and intelligence satellite communications architectures and systems. The conferees endorse the establishment of the JSMB, noting that improved integration of military and intelligence satellite architectures and systems can result in significant cost-savings and efficiencies in the acquisition and operation of those systems.

(3) *The status of and plans for completing a national security space master plan to guide investments in military and intelligence space architectures and systems for the coming decade*—The conferees note with concern that the Department failed in a similar, but more narrowly focused, undertaking when, in the Statement of Managers to accompany the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993 (H. Rept. 102–966), the conferees directed the Department to develop "a comprehensive acquisition strategy for developing, fielding, and operating DOD space programs." Nonetheless, the conferees applaud the decision of the Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Space to begin drafting such a master plan, and request that the report include an estimated completion date for the plan.

(4) *The Department's plans for ensuring that, even as oversight of national security space acquisition and planning is centralized, each of the military services is able to influence decisions regarding space architectures and systems*—The conferees direct that the report include: (a) an assessment of progress to date in centralizing DOD space management; (b) the organizational structure that will be achieved upon completion of the planned consolidation, and an estimated completion date for such consolidation; (c) a description of how the DOD plans to protect service-unique interests and other equities in the new centralized organization; (d) the anticipated reductions in personnel and infrastructure that will result from such consolidation; and (e) the degree to which effectiveness and efficiency will be enhanced by the new structure and associated procedures.

The conferees are aware that the Department has established a Space Architect Office as part of the space management reorganization. Given that this is a new function and organization, budget planning was not completed prior to submittal of the amended fiscal year 1996 budget request. Therefore, the conferees agree to authorize the use of up to $10.0 million in Air Force research, development, test, and evaluation funds to operate the Space Architect Office in fiscal year 1996.

*Shortstop*

The conferees stress the need to move forward without delay on the Shortstop countermeasure system, and encourage the Secretary of the Army to maintain funding for the currently planned program leading to procurement.

*Softwar operations*

The conferees direct the Air Force's Phillips Laboratory Combat Space Operations Program Office to examine the use of commercially developed Information Warfare

Systems that use television enhanced situational awareness for "softwar" operations. The Secretary of the Air Force shall report to the congressional defense committees by January 1, 1996 on the results of the Phillips Laboratory examination and the possibility to fund a technology demonstration in "softwar" operations. The conferees direct the Secretary to pursue this technology if the examination results in a favorable recommendation.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations

*Modifications to strategic environmental research and development program (sec. 203)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 203) that would make certain modifications to chapter 172 of title 10, United States Code, which governs the Strategic Environmental Research and Development Program.

Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would streamline and simplify program activities, facilitate program management, and promote cost effectiveness. The existing annual reporting requirement would continue until fiscal year 1997, at which point an abbreviated annual reporting requirement would become effective. The Senate amendment would ensure that the level of participation by the Secretary of Energy would not be subject to change. The conferees agree that there is a continuing need for Department of Energy participation in the program, and the retention of some reporting requirements.

*Defense dual-use technology initiative (sec. 204)*

The House bill would deny the entire funding request of $500.0 million for the Defense Reinvestment Program (PE 63570E).

The Senate amendment would rename the program the Defense Dual-Use Technology Initiative and reduce the requested authorization for the program by $262.0 million.

The conferees agree to change the name of the program and to authorize $195.0 million for the program. The conferees have included a provision that would limit the availability of the funds authorized in PE 63570E only for the purpose of continuation or completion of projects initiated before October 1, 1995. The conferees have also included language that would require the Secretary of Defense, prior to obligation of funds, to provide the congressional defense committees with notice regarding the projects to be funded with $145.0 million of the amount authorized for the program. The conferees have also required that, for the remaining $50.0 million of the total amount authorized, the Secretary should certify, prior to obligation of funds, that the projects that would be carried out using such funds have been determined by the Joint Requirements Oversight Council to be of significant military priority.

Subtitle B—Program Requirements, Restrictions, and Limitations

*Space launch modernization (sec. 211)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 211) that would authorize $100.0 million for a competitive reusable rocket technology program, and $7.5 million for evaluation of prototype hardware of low-cost expendable launch vehicles.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would authorize $50.0 million for a competitive reusable rocket technology program, provided that the National Aeronautics and Space Administration allocates at least an equal amount for its reusable space launch program.

*Tactical manned reconnaissance (sec. 212)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 213) that would prohibit the Air Force from conducting any research and development on tactical manned reconnaissance systems.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require a report explaining the Air Force's planned uses of funds for the tactical manned reconnaissance mission.

*Joint advanced strike technology (JAST) program (sec. 213)*

The budget request included three requests for research and development funding for the joint advanced strike technology (JAST) program: $149.3 million for the Navy, $151.2 million for the Air Force, and $30.7 million for the Advanced Research Projects Agency.

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 216) that would reduce the request for JAST by $51.0 million, evenly divided between the Navy and the Air Force, and limit to 75 percent the obligation of fiscal year 1996 appropriations until the Secretary of Defense provides a report to the congressional defense committees. The provision would require that the Secretary's report specify the numbers and capabilities of JAST-derivative aircraft and related weapons systems necessary to support two major regional contingencies.

The Senate amendment would approve the JAST request. The Senate amendment also contained a provision (sec. 211) that would require the Navy to evaluate a variant of the F-117 stealth fighter to fulfill Navy requirements within the JAST program. The Senate amendment would add $175.0 million to the Navy program for this propose, with $25.0 million to provide initial engineering analysis and specific risk reduction efforts, and $150.0 million to develop a flying prototype. Authorization of a flying prototype would be contingent on approval by the Secretary of the Navy's approval of results of initial analytical efforts.

The Senate report (S. Rept. 104-112) questioned whether the program could fulfill the needs of the three services, and directed the Department to include two separate approaches in the JAST program to reduce program risk. The Senate amendment directed the Secretary of the Navy to:

(1) ensure that the JAST program leads to competitive demonstration involving tests of full scale, full thrust aircraft by competitors to provide test data for evaluation by the services; and

(2) evaluate at least two propulsion concepts from competing engine companies as part of those demonstrations.

Subsequent to passage of the Senate amendment and the House bill, the Department redefined the JAST program. Although additional resources will be necessary, from fiscal year 1997 onward, to execute this new program, these changes have led to fiscal year 1996 deferral of $131.0 million.

The conferees share the concerns expressed in the Senate report (S. Rept. 104-112) regarding the lack of engine competition and the size of flying prototypes. The conferees direct the Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition & Technology) (USD (A&T)) to ensure that: (1) the Department's JAST program plan provides for adequate engine competition in the program; and (2) the scale of the proposed demonstrator aircraft is consistent with both adequately demonstrating JAST concepts and lowering the risk of entering engineering and manufacturing development (EMD). The conferees direct the Secretary of Defense to include in the report required by section 213(d) the Department's plan for competitive engine programs and demonstrator aircraft.

The conferees recommend authorization of funds reflecting these changes, and agree to a provision (sec. 213) that would:

(1) require that the Secretary of Defense provide a report to the congressional defense committees specifying the:

(a) the numbers and capabilities of JAST-derivative aircraft and related weapons systems required to support two major regional contingencies; and

(b) the department's plan for competitive engine programs and demonstrator aircraft;

(2) limit obligations for the JAST program to no more than 75 per cent of fiscal year 1996 appropriations, until the Secretary of Defense provides this report;

(3) authorize up to $25.0 million from Navy Research, Development, Test and Evaluation to conduct a six month program definition phase for the A/F-117X to determine whether such an aircraft could affordably meet the Navy's next generation aircraft strike requirements;

(a) if the USD (A&T) determines that a six month definition phase is warranted, he shall provide a report on the results of the concept definition phase to the congressional defense committees, not later than May 1, 1996;

(b) if the USD (A&T) determines otherwise and certifies that an A/F-117X aircraft is not needed to meet the Navy requirements and is not a cost effective approach to meeting Navy needs, the provision would allow the Department to use the $25.0 million for other JAST activities.

(4) authorize $7.0 million for competitive engine concepts.

*Continous wave, superconducting radio frequency, free electron laser (sec. 214)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 217) that would authorize $9.0 million in PE 62111N for the establishment of a continuous wave, superconducting radio frequency, free electron laser program within the Office of the Secretary of the Navy.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Navy mine countermeasure program (sec. 215)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 212) that would transfer primary responsibility for developing and testing naval mine countermeasures from the Director, Defense Research and Engineering to the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Technology. It would provide for the exercise of this responsibility during fiscal years 1997 through 1999.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would establish fiscal years 1996 through 1999 as the period for exercise of the responsibility.

The conferees note that section 216(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 1992 and 1993 (Public Law 102-190) provides that the Secretary of Defense may waive this assignment of responsibility if he annually certifies the adequacy of:

(1) the mine countermeasures master plan prepared by the Department of the Navy; and

(2) the budget resources provided for implementation of the plan.

*Space-Based Infrared System (sec. 216)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 214) that would accelerate development and deployment of the Space and Missile Tracking System (SMTS), formerly known as Brilliant Eyes, and that would require the Secretary of the Air Force to obtain the concurrence of the Director of the Ballistic Missile Defense Organization (BMDO) before implementing any decision that would impact the SMTS program.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to establish a program baseline for the overall Space-Based Infrared System (SBIRS) program. The baseline would include the following:

(1) overall program structure, including: (A) program cost and an estimate of the funds required in each fiscal year in which development and acquisition activities are planned, (B) a comprehensive schedule with program milestones and exit criteria, and (C) optimized performance parameters for each segment of the integrated system;

(2) a development schedule for SMTS structured to achieve the first launch of a Block I satellite in fiscal year 2002, and initial operational capability (IOC) of the system in fiscal year 2003;

(3) full integration of SMTS into the overall SBIRS architecture; and

(4) establishment of the performance parameters of all space segment components so as to optimize the performance of the integrated system while minimizing unnecessary redundancy and cost.

The provision adopted by the conferees would require the Secretary of Defense to provide a report to the congressional defense committees on the SBIRS program baseline not later than 60 days after the enactment of this Act.

The conference provision would also establish the following program elements for the SBIRS program:

(1) Space Segment High;

(2) Space Segment Low (SMTS); and

(3) Ground Segment.

The conference provision requires the SBIRS baseline to include an SMTS IOC by fiscal year 2003 to support national and theater missile defenses. The conferees understand that the Air Force has defined this IOC as consisting of 12–18 satellites. The conferees urge the Air Force to make every effort to achieve an 18 satellite IOC by fiscal year 2003.

In accelerating the SMTS program, it is not the conferees' intent to reduce the priority and importance of the SBIRS High components. The conferees endorse the schedule that the Air Force has established for the SBIRS High components. The SBIRS program should feature complementary and mutually supportive elements that do not include excessive technical and functional redundancy.

Although SMTS can, over time, become a multi-functional sensor system capable of fulfilling missions such as technical intelligence and battlespace characterization, the conferees direct the Air Force to ensure that the SMTS Flight Demonstration System (FDS) and Block I system be designed primarily to satisfy the missile defense mission. Missions not related to theater and/or national ballistic missile defense should not be allowed to add significant cost, weight or delay to the SMTS FDS or Block I system. This scaled-down approach will ameliorate the technical challenges associated with an accelerated schedule while contributing to overall affordability.

To support this schedule and missile defense focus, the conferees direct the Secretary of Defense to commence SMTS preengineering and manufacturing development (EMD) activities in fiscal year 1996 and to ensure that the FDS and Block I satellites are equipped with long-wave infrared sensors. The conferees endorse the design characteristics specified in the Senate report (S. Rept. 104–112) regarding the objective SMTS system. The conferees have authorized sufficient funds in fiscal year 1996 to commence these activities and to prepare the way for a fiscal year 1998 FDS launch.

Over time, as the Air Force gains operational experience with the High and Low Block I systems, it is likely that SMTS will be able to assume a much larger share of the SBIRS requirements burden. In the meantime, the conferees urge the Secretary of Defense to initiate technical and cost trade studies among the SBIRS space systems and include any preliminary findings and recommendations in the SBIRS baseline report.

The budget request for SBIRS included $130.7 million for demonstration/validation (Dem/Val), $152.2 million for EMD, and $19.9 million for procurement. Of the funds requested for Dem/Val, $114.8 million was for SMTS. The conferees agree on the following authorizations:

(1) $265.7 million in PE 63441F for SBIRS Dem/Val, of which $249.8 million is for SMTS; and

(2) $162.2 million in PE 64441F for SBIRS EMD, of which $9.4 million is for the Miniature Sensor Technology Integration (MSTI) program.

The conferees are aware of a recent proposal to increase competition and reduce risk in the SMTS program through a low-cost flight experiment. The conferees direct the Air Force and BMDO to carefully assess the merits of this concept and to include their joint findings and recommendations in the SBIRS baseline report. If the Air Force Acquisition Executive and the Director of BMDO certify to the congressional defense committees that such a flight experiment is in the overall interest of the SMTS program (measured in terms of risk reduction and schedule acceleration), the conferees authorize the use of up to $40.0 million of the funds authorized for SMTS in fiscal year 1996 to begin a low-cost flight experiment.

The conferees congratulate the Air Force and BMDO for reaching agreement on the acquisition management relationship for execution of the SMTS program. In light of the Memorandum of Agreement between the Air Force Acquisition Executive and the Director of BMDO, the Senate recedes on its language dealing with management oversight of the SMTS program. As with all aspects of the SMTS program, however, the conferees will continue to monitor management oversight with great interest. If the present management structure does not fulfill the expectations of the conferees, or lead to implementation of the guidance provided above, the conferees will reconsider transferring SMTS back to BMDO.

*Defense Nuclear Agency programs (sec. 217)*

The budget request contained $219.0 million for research and development at the Defense Nuclear Agency.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 216) that would authorize $242.0 million for fiscal year 1996 for research and development programs (PE 62715H), a $23.0 increase to the budget request. The increase would provide: $3.0 million for the establishment of the tunnel characterization/neutralization program; $6.0 million for the establishment of a long-term radiation tolerant microelectronics program and require the Secretary to report to Congress on the program and future year funding; $4.0 million for the electro-thermal gun program; and transfer the Air Force thermionics program and any unobligated funds to the DNA and provide $10.0 to accelerate that program.

The House report (H. Rept. 104–131) would provide a $4.0 million increase to the budget request for the electro-thermal gun technology.

The conferees agree to a provision that would authorize $241.7 million, including a reduction of $5.0 for environmental pollutant research. This represents a $27.7 million increase over the budget request. Of that amount, $3.0 million shall be used for a tunnel characterization/neutralization program, $4.0 million shall be available for the electrothermal gun technology program, $6.0 million shall be available for the establishment of a long-term radiation tolerant microelectronics program and development of long pulse, high power microwave technology, $10 million shall be available for the thermionics program; and $4.0 million shall be available for the counterterror explosives research program. Additionally, the Secretary is directed to provide a report to Congress, 120 days after enactment of this Act, on the conduct of the long-term radiation tolerant microelectronics program and future years funding for this program. The remainder of the increase should be used to supplement the tunnel characterization/neutralization program and the long-term radiation tolerant microelectronics program, as appropriate.

TUNNEL CHARACTERIZATION/NEUTRALIZATION PROGRAM

The conferees understand that the Department of Defense has allocated $10.0 million of funds requested in the budget for the counterproliferation support program for a tunnel characterization/neutralization program. Although the DNA tunnel characterization/neutralization target tests and program would be executed independently of the Department's counterproliferation efforts, the conferees expect close coordination between the two programs to ensure that common concerns are addressed. The conferees urge the DNA to utilize, to the maximum extent possible, the Nevada Test Site infrastructure for the tunnel target characterization/neutralization tests and program.

THERMIONICS

The conferees directed the transfer of the thermionics conversion technology from the Air Force Weapons program (PE 62601F), together with all unobligated funds authorized and appropriated in prior years, totalling up to $12.0 million, to the Defense Nuclear Agency program (PE 62715H).

*Counterproliferation support program (sec. 218)*

The budget request contained $108.2 million for the defense counterproliferation support program.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 217) that would authorize $144.5 million for the program, a $36.3 million increase to the budget request. Of the funds authorized in this section, $6.3 million would be available to the Special Operations Command (SOCOM) for purposes of broadening SOCOM's counterproliferation activities and $30.0 million would be available for the continuation of the Army tactical antisatellite technologies (ASAT) program (PE 63392A) for a user operation evaluation system (UOES)

contingency capability. The provision would authorize the Department of Defense to transfer up to $50.0 million from fiscal year 1996 defense research and development accounts for counterproliferation support activities.

The House bill would authorize the budget request for the counterproliferation support program and include $11.0 million for the development of improved nuclear detection and forensics analysis by the Advanced Projects Research Agency (ARPA).

The conferees agree to a provision that would authorize $138.2 million for the counterproliferation support program, of which $30.0 million shall be available for the continuation of the Army tactical antisatellite technologies program. Of the funds authorized in fiscal year 1996, the conferees recommend that $1.5 million be available for the exploration of the "deep digger" concept for hard target characterization, and that $5.0 million be available for the high frequency active auroral research program (HAARP).

The conferees acknowledge concerns raised in the Senate report (S. Rept. 104–112) regarding the need for the Department to continue the aggressive pursuit of discriminate detection and attack capabilities of deep underground structures. The Department should continue to develop the capability to detect and defend against biological agents through the use of technologies, available through universities and non-profit industries, that have been developed for biological detection, emergency preparedness and response. The Department should also continue to develop a capability to counter technological gains by proliferant countries that could gain access to a broad mix of commercial-off-the-shelf space technologies which could provide these countries with significant space capabilities or access to space-derived data and could negatively impact a spectrum of multi-service and joint warfighting capabilities.

TACTICAL ANTISATELLITE TECHNOLOGY

The conferees direct the Secretary of Defense to include sufficient resources in fiscal year 1997, and throughout the future year defense plan (FYDP), for the following: a user operation evaluation system (UOES) contingency capability to produce 10 kill vehicles with the appropriate boosters by fiscal year 1999; a review to determine the appropriate management structure and military service responsibility; report on the current status of antisatellite development worldwide and the degree to which United States antisatellite development efforts may contribute to similar development among other nations and their impact on U.S. operational capabilities; and to report the Department's recommendations to Congress in the fiscal year 1997 budget request. To avoid significant or lengthy delays in developing a needed capability, the conferees direct the Department to leverage, or build upon the current Army tactical antisatellite technology program. The conferees note that authorization of funds for continued development of the tactical antisatellite system does not constitute a decision to deploy the system.

MISSION PLANNING AND ANALYSIS

The conferees recommend that $2.5 million from Air Force operation and maintenance (O&M) be made available for Strategic Air Command (STRATCOM) mission planning and analysis. The STRATCOM program provides support to the regional commanders-in-chief (CINCs) in advance planning for counterproliferation contingencies. This program aids commanders in identifying and characterizing current and emerging proliferation threats. In instances in which proliferation activities challenge the interests of the United States and its military forces and operations, STRATCOM mission planning and analysis capabilities allow defense planners to: identify a variety of potential military targets; assess the effectiveness, consequences and costs of military options; and develop alternative contingency plans that would maximize mission effectiveness, and minimize the risks, costs, and collateral effects.

IMPROVED NUCLEAR DETECTION AND FORENSIC ANALYSIS CAPABILITIES

Due to an increase in international terrorism and attempts by criminal elements to acquire weapons-grade nuclear material, the conferees recommend $11.0 million to accelerate the development of improved nuclear detection and forensic analysis capabilities in PE 62301E, project ST23. The conferees direct the ARPA to closely coordinate its efforts in this area closely coordinate with the counterproliferation support program manager in the Department of Defense and the interagency group on counterproliferation.

*Nonlethal Weapons Program (sec. 219)*

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 218) that would establish a new, consolidated program for non-lethal systems and technology. The program would be managed by the Office of Strategic and Tactical Systems of the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Technology. The provision would create a new program element within the defense budget for this program, and transfer funds from PE 603570D, PE 603750D, PE 603702E, and PE 603226E into this new program element.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would express congressional recognition of the U.S. armed forces increasing role in operations other than war, recognition of support for the use of nonlethal weapons and systems across the spectrum of conflict, and concern that development of these technologies is being spread across the budgets of the military services and defense agencies. The conferees direct the Department of Defense to submit a report to Congress by February 15, 1996 and direct the Secretary of Defense to assign responsibility for the nonlethal weapons program to an existing office within the Office of the Secretary of Defense or designate an executive agent from the military services, to establish centralized responsibility for development and fielding of nonlethal weapons technology. The conferees authorize $37.2 million in a new defense program element for nonlethal weapons programs and nonlethal technologies programs.

The conferees believe that centralized responsibility for the nonlethal weapons program will ensure effective program management and expeditious development, acquisition, and fielding of nonlethal weapons and systems. The conferees further understand that both the Department of the Army and the Marine Corps are the primary users of these technologies and recommend the designation of either military service as the executive agent for this important program. Further, the conferees understand that the Department of the Army and the Marine Corps have closely coordinated their efforts in this area and expect this coordination to continue to ensure centralized management and improved budgetary focus for the nonlethal weapons program. The provision would also require the Department to report to Congress by February 15, 1996 on the designation of the executive agent for oversight of the program, the acquisition plan, the time frame for fielding systems, current and anticipated military requirements, and the Department of Defense policy regarding the nonlethal weapons program.

*Federally-Funded Research and Development Centers (sec. 220)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 257) that would require the Secretary of Defense and the Secretaries of the Army, Navy, and Air Force to reevaluate the functions of Federally-Funded Research and Development Centers (FFRDCs) and to achieve certain reductions, consolidations and management goals. The provision would limit FFRDC funding to $1.15 billion and reduce funding for FFRDCs and University-Affiliated Research Centers (UARC) by $90.1 million.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 219) that would require an undistributed reduction in FFRDC funding of $90.0 million, below the ceiling for fiscal year 1995, and would establish a statutory ceiling for FFRDCs of $1.2 billion in fiscal year 1996.

The Senate recedes with an amendment. The conferees agree to reduce the funding for FFRDCs and UARCs by $90.0 million in fiscal year 1996 and direct that not more than $9.0 million of this reduction be applied to funding for UARCs. The conferees have included language that would require the Secretary of Defense to manage the UARCs at the fiscal year 1995 level. The conferees direct the Secretary of Defense to ensure adequate funding in fiscal year 1996 for those FFRDCs that engage in studies and analysis for the Office of the Secretary of Defense and the services. The conferees also direct the Secretary to examine the possibility of increasing the use of the Software Engineering Institute in support of command, control, communications, computing, and intelligence programs managed by the Office of the Secretary of Defense.

*Joint seismic program and global seismic network (sec. 221)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 224) that would authorize $9.5 million of unobligated fiscal year 1995 funds in Air Force research and development for the joint seismic program (JSP) and the global seismic network (GSN) to provide more robust monitoring research and expanded seismic monitoring of potential nuclear tests.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The conferees agree to a provision that would authorize $9.5 million in fiscal year 1996 for the joint seismic and global seismic network programs. The conferees understand that no future year funds would be required for this program. Further, the conferees direct the Department of Defense Comptroller to release the funds in a timely manner so that the programs can be completed.

*Hydra–70 rocket product improvement program (sec. 222)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 113) that would prohibit the obligation of funds to procure Hydra–70 rockets until the Secretary of the Army submitted certifications regarding: identification of causes and technical corrections of Hydra–70 rocket failures; comparative cost of correcting all Hydra–70 rockets versus the non-recurring costs of acquiring improved rockets; review and qualification of commercial, non-developmental systems to replace Hydra–70 rockets; the availability of training rockets

to meet Army requirements; and the attainment of competition in future procurements of training rockets.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment.

The conferees agree to authorize up to $10.0 million for full qualification and operational platform certification of a Hydra-70 rocket with a 2.75-inch rocket motor with composite propellant, for use on the AH-64D Apache helicopter.

*Limitation on obligation of funds until receipt of electronic combat consolidation master plan (sec. 223)*

The conferees agree to a provision that limits the obligation of appropriations for PE 65896A, PE 65864N, PE 65807F, and PE 65804D until 14 days after the Department of Defense submits to the congressional defense committees its master plan for the consolidation of electronic combat test and evaluation assets.

The House report (H. Rept. 103-499) directed the Secretary of Defense to develop a master plan for future consolidation of all DOD electronic combat test and evaluation assets. Further, the House report directed that no fiscal year 1995 or prior year funds be used to transfer or consolidate electronic combat test and evaluation assets until 30 days after the submission of the master plan to the congressional defense committees. To date, the master plan has not been provided to the congressional defense committees and funds continue to be obligated for purposes that contravene the House report language.

*Requirement for report on reductions in research, development, test, and evaluation (sec. 225)*

The conferees agree to a provision that requires the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) to submit a report to the congressional defense committees by March 15, 1996 detailing the allocation of the following reductions in research, development, test, and evaluation required by the Department of Defense Appropriations Act of 1996: (1) general reductions; (2) reductions to reflect savings from revised economic assumptions; (3) reductions to reflect the funding ceiling for federally funded research and development centers; and (4) reductions for savings through improved management of contractor automatic data processing cost charged through indirect rates on Department of Defense acquisition contracts.

*Advanced field artillery system (Crusader) (sec. 226)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 255) that would impose spending authority limitations on the Secretary of the Army, unless certain technical performance criteria are achieved in the Crusader program. The provision would permit the Secretary to significantly alter the Crusader acquisition plan for the cannon propellant, if it is required to achieve the objectives of the Advanced Field Artillery System, provided notification is given to the defense committees of the Senate and House of Representatives.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would terminate funding for the liquid propellant portion of the Crusader program in the event that the Secretary fails to provide a report to the congressional defense committees by August 1, 1996, documenting that significant progress has been made in the liquid propellant and regenerative liquid propellant gun, in accordance with the acquisition program baseline objectives.

*Demilitarization of conventional munitions, rockets, and explosives (sec. 227)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 263) that would authorize $15.0 million for the establishment of an integrated program for the development and demonstration of environmentally compliant technologies for the demilitarization of conventional munitions, explosives, and rocket motors, and indicated specific technologies that should be considered in the program.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would delete reference to specific technologies that should be considered in the program. The amendment reflects a conference agreement to authorize $15.0 million in PE 63104D for the Conventional Munitions, Rockets, and Explosives Demilitarization account.

The conferees are concerned about requirements for disposal by the military services and defense agencies of growing numbers of unserviceable, obsolete, or non-treaty compliant munitions, rocket motors and explosives. As environmental constraints increasingly restrict the traditional disposal methods of open burning or open detonation, development and demonstration of environmentally compliant technologies for this purpose become even more urgent.

The conferees believe that a centralized conventional munitions and explosives disposal program should be established for this purpose within the Department of Defense (DOD) under a single program element, and that consideration should be given to the model of the Large Rocket Motor Demilitarization program, centrally managed by the Army as executive agent, with the requirements of the military services integrated through the Joint Ordnance Commanders' Group. In such a program, the conferees encourage the consideration of a range of competitively selected potential resource recovery and alternative demilitarization technologies, including (but not limited to) cryogenic washout, supercritical water oxidation, molten metal pyrolysis, plasma arc, catalytic fluid bed oxidation, molten salt pyrolysis, plasma arc, catalytic fluid bed oxidation, molten salt oxidation, incineration, critical fluid extraction and ingredient recovery, and underground contained burning.

The conferees direct the Secretary of Defense to submit a report of the DOD plan for the establishment of such a program to the congressional defense committees by March 31, 1996.

*Defense airborne reconnaissance program (sec. 228)*

The budget request included $525.2 million for research and development for the Defense airborne reconnaissance program (DARP).

The House bill would add a total of $121.6 million to the requested amount. The Senate amendment would increase the request by $33.0 million. Details of the adjustments in the House bill and the Senate amendment, as well as the final conference agreement, are displayed in the table below:

| | Budget request | House bill | Senate amendment | Conference agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Total | $525.2 | +$121.6 | +$33.0 | +$114.8 |
| UAV programs: | | | | |
| Joint tactical maneuver | | −36.8 | | −10.0 |
| Hunter | | | | |
| Navy variant (VTOL) | | | | +12.5 |
| Tier II | | +25.9 | | +25.3 |
| Tier II+ | | +60.0 | | |
| Tier III | | +35.0 | | +18.0 |
| U-2 upgrade programs: | | | | |
| SYERS | | +14.0 | | +14.0 |
| Defensive systems | | | +13.0 | +10.0 |
| SIGINT | | | +20.0 | +20.0 |
| PGMs | | −10 | | |
| Other programs: | | | | |
| CIGGS | | +16.0 | | +11.0 |
| Common data link | | +0.5 | | |
| EO framing sensors | | +5.0 | | +7.0 |
| MSAG | | +12.0 | | +8.0 |

MANNED AND UNMANNED RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS

The conferees remain optimistic about the future contributions of unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) systems to the Department of Defense's (DOD) reconnaissance missions. However, the conferees remain unwilling to sacrifice proven manned systems in the near-term for the promise of unproven future systems. Further, the conferees believe five major UAV programs are overly redundant. The conferees are aware of the Department's intent to reduce the number of UAVs to satisfy the tactical, theater, and strategic missions. The conferees agree that it is important for the Department to satisfy these three distinct missions.

Further, the conferees believe the Department's endurance UAV programs must be viewed in the larger context of the broad area search/wide area surveillance missions. The conferees are concerned that the current and projected array of sensors (including Tier II+ and Tier III− UAVs, SR-71, U-2, and national systems) are not simply "complementary", but are "duplicative". The conferees will, therefore, remain extremely interested in the Department's future directions with respect to high altitude endurance UAV efforts.

MANEUVER UAV

The budget request included $36.8 million for the maneuver UAV.

The House will would deny any authorization for the maneuver UAV because the Department had failed to provide either a joint operational requirements document (JORD) or a cost and operational effectiveness analysis (COEA) in a timely manner.

The Senate amendment would approve the budget request.

The conferees agree to authorize $26.8 million for the maneuver UAV. The conferees are disappointed that the Department took so long to complete the JORD and the COEA. The conferees hope that the results of the ongoing review of the various UAV programs will be provided to the congressional defense and intelligence committees in a more timely fashion.

JOINT TACTICAL UAV

The conferees remain particularly concerned about the Department's inability to develop and pursue a cohesive joint tactical UAV (JT-UAV) master plan for longer than a four month period. The conferees direct the Department not to use appropriated fiscal year 1996 funds to procure production Hunter UAV systems or additional low rate initial production units beyond those already ordered. The conferees intend that this prohibition remain in effect until the Department provides the congressional defense and intelligence committees with the results of its UAV program review. Accordingly, if the Department's review results in the cancellation of one or more of the currently planned UAV programs, the conferees direct the Department to seek reprogramming actions to use those funds to satisfy other CINC near-term reconnaissance support requirements. Any

funds made available as a result of Department decisions on UAVs will remain within the DARP account. Of any resources made available from UAV restructuring, the conferees direct that the Department use them to fully fund the U–2 sensor upgrades described later in this section. Any additional excess resources over those used for U–2 sensor upgrades may be used for the naval variant (VTOL). Further, the conferees specifically deny authorization of any fiscal year 1996 funds for marinization of the Hunter UAV.

NAVAL VARIANT UAV

The conferees agree that development and evaluation of a joint tactical UAV (JT–UAV) short or vertical take-off and landing (STOL/VTOL) variant for naval applications should be continued and structured on existing successful efforts. The conferees agree to authorize an additional $12.5 million to support continued development and evaluation of VTOL JT–UAV variants, as detailed in the Senate report (S. Rept. 104–112). The conferees intend that the Department limit its air vehicle evaluation to items that are low risk, currently available off-the-shelf, and have the demonstrated potential to meet joint tactical UAV interoperability and performance requirements.

MEDIUM ALTITUDE ENDURANCE UAV (PREDATOR)

The House bill would authorize an additional $25.9 million for the Tier II medium altitude endurance UAV (Predator).

The Senate amendment included a provision (sec. 131) that would deny funds for the Tier II system.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees agree to authorize an additional $25.3 million for another Predator system (air vehicles and ground station) and replacement air vehicles. The conferees are encouraged by the successes of the Predator advanced concept technology program, and particularly by the theater commanders' praise for its contributions in the Bosnia area. The conferees strongly support continuation of this ACTD, and encourage the Department to take the necessary steps to make a full production decision. The conferees believe this vehicle could satisfy multiple operational roles, including the theater and maritime roles. The conferees encourage the Department to develop plans for a maritime use of this vehicle. Such planning should include conducting an operational demonstration at sea. Finally, the conferees agree to authorize all prior year allocated funds.

HIGH ALTITUDE ENDURANCE UAVS

The House bill would authorize an additional $60.0 million for the Tier II+ and $35.0 million for the Tier III–.

The Senate amendment would authorize the budget request for both programs.

The House recedes on Tier II+. The Senate recedes on the Tier III–. The conferees agree to authorize an additional $18.0 million for Tier III–.

As with the JT–UAV, the conferees expect the Department to make acquisition decisions on this issue based on operational requirements. However, the conferees emphasize that the Department needs a more capable, low observable vehicle. The conferees agree that the Department should use the additional $18.0 million for Tier III– to buy the third air vehicle in fiscal year 1996, instead of fiscal year 1997. The conferees direct the Department to provide the congressional defense and intelligence committees with a report on the operational user needs for such a vehicle. If the current estimate of the Tier III– system capabilities fall short of those needs, the Department should outline its technical proposals to improve this vehicle, in response to those user requirements.

U–2 SENSOR UPGRADES

The House bill would authorize an additional $14.0 million to upgrade all Senior Year electro-optical reconnaissance sensors (SYERS) to the newest configuration, upgrade existing ground stations, and improve geolocational accuracy through various product improvements.

The Senate amendment would authorize an additional $20.0 million to initiate the remote airborne SIGINT system upgrade program.

The Senate report (S. Rept. 104–112) contained a technical error in the table for Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation (RDT&E), Defense-Wide, that shows an increase in the DARP PE 35154D, line 102, rather than in line 124. This error was facilitated by the Department's budget exhibit for RDT&E programs (R–1) in which both of these budget lines are associated with the same program element. The conferees encourage the Defense Airborne Reconnaissance Office (DARO) to carry a single R–1 line for an individual program element in the future.

The conferees view with concern the DARO's lack of emphasis on manned reconnaissance upgrades, and include a provision that requires the Director of the DARO to expeditiously carry out those upgrades. The conferees agree to authorize $34.0 million to meet U–2 sensor upgrade requirements, and direct the Secretary of Defense to provide a report on the Department's plans to obligate funds for U–2 upgrades prior to February 1, 1996.

U–2 DEFENSIVE SYSTEMS

The conferees agree to authorize $10.0 million to upgrade U–2 defensive systems for the purposes specified in the Senate Report (S. Rept. 104–112).

COMMON IMAGERY GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEM (CIGSS)

The budget request included $161.8 million for the CIGSS effort.

The House bill would authorize an additional $16.0 million. This increase would be used to mitigate a near-term funding shortfall for DARO's "migration" of the various imagery ground stations to a common architecture.

The Senate amendment would approve the budget request.

The conferees agree to authorize an additional $11.0 million for this effort.

INTELLIGENCE DISSEMINATION

The budget request included funds for numerous intelligence dissemination systems and data links.

The House bill would restrict the use of funds pending the Department's development of a coherent, long-term intelligence dissemination architecture and a plan for development of a joint tactical transceiver (JTT).

The Senate amendment would authorize the requested amounts.

The House recedes.

The conferees are pleased with the Department's response to the House bill provision. The conferees believe that the Department is moving in the right direction to ensure service interoperability and to reduce the number of unique tactical intelligence transceivers. Additionally, the conferees are aware that the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Command, Control, Communications, and Intelligence is monitoring efforts to develop advanced software reprogrammable radios. The conferees strongly encourage continued involvement in this technology development, as it appears to have great potential for future application in the JTT program. The conferees will continue to monitor the progress of the Department's approach.

ELECTRO-OPTICAL FRAMING SENSOR DEVELOPMENT

The House would authorize an additional $5.0 million to continue development and evaluation of airborne electro-optic framing sensor and multi-spectral framing technologies with on-chip forward motion compensation. These improved capabilities could be used to support precision targeting.

The Senate amendment included no similar adjustment.

The conferees agree to authorize $7.0 million for this purpose.

The conferees are pleased with the results of the four million picture element (four mega-pixel) framing demonstration. The conferees encourage the Department to program funding to accelerate the four mega-pixel and the 25 mega-pixel sensor initiatives.

MULTI-FUNCTION SELF-ALIGNED GATE TECHNOLOGY

The conferees agree to authorize $8.0 million for multi-function self-aligned gate (MSAG) technology for the purposes specified in the House report (H. Rept. 104–131).

JOINT AIRBORNE SIGINT ARCHITECTURE

The budget request included $88.8 million for the joint airborne signals intelligence (SIGINT) architecture (JASA) program.

The House bill would restrict obligation of fiscal year 1996 funds for JASA to no more than 25 percent of available funds until the Department submits an analysis and report that includes a comparison of future years defense programs (FYDP) and life cycle costs for development and fielding of the joint airborne SIGINT system (JASS), and that address a more conventional, evolutionary, product-improvement approach.

The Senate amendment would authorize the requested amount.

The House recedes on the funding restrictions.

Despite their support for the evolving concept and development of JASA, the conferees remain concerned about several issues:

(1) the Department's ability to sustain current operational systems;

(2) elimination of the potential for airborne SIGINT modernization gaps prior to fielding JASA components;

(3) the projected costs of the JASS program; and

(4) the risk that current approaches may sacrifice near and mid-term operational requirements for promised long-term common solutions.

The conferees believe that there is a need to continue interim, affordable, incremental upgrades, and to provide quick reaction capability improvements to meet emerging requirements, while continuing the JASA architectural approach. The conferees encourage competitive evolutionary solutions to satisfy existing and projected SIGINT requirements, and urge the earliest delivery of architecturally compliant components for evolving current and future systems. The conferees expect future budget requests for the DARO to include funding for these efforts. The conferees direct the DARO Director to certify to the congressional defense and intelligence committees that the individual SIGINT systems will be upgraded to

incorporate these interim needs, as identified by the operational users.

The conferees direct the Department to provide an interim report by March 1, 1996, with a completed report by August 1, 1996, that includes:

(1) an independent cost and operational effectiveness analysis that compares the FYDP and life-cycle costs of the JASS program to an evolutionary product improvement approach, based on equivalent system performance;

(2) an evaluation of cost, technical and schedule risks, as well as a comparison of technical requirements and JASS performance; and

(3) the Department's assessment of its ability to predict both the future threat and technology environments necessary to determine whether a single approach is viable and in the nation's best interests.

Finally, to ensure that there are no airborne SIGINT capability gaps during the transition to JASA, DARO is directed to determine and implement necessary quick-reaction improvements to existing airborne systems. The conferees intend that the Department pursue a balanced approach to JASA development that allows the services to program funds for such evolutionary upgrades, provided there is compliance with an overall migration to the JASA architecture.

*Ballistic missile defense policy (secs. 231–253)*

The House bill contained eight provisions (secs. 231–238) that collectively would be called the "Ballistic Missile Defense Act of 1995." The House bill contained four additional provisions (secs. 241–244) that would also deal with matters related to ballistic missile defense (BMD).

The Senate amendment contained eleven provisions (secs. 231–241) that collectively would be called the "Missile Defense Act of 1995." The Senate amendment contained two additional provisions (secs. 227 and 243) that would also deal with matters related to BMD.

The conference agreement combines the House and the Senate BMD provisions into two subtitles as described below.

Subtitle C—Ballistic Missile Defense Act of 1995

*Short title (sec. 231)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 231) that would entitle this group of provisions the "Ballistic Missile Defense Act of 1995."

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 231) that would use a different title—"Missile Defense Act of 1995"—reflecting the fact that the Senate version included a provision dealing with cruise missile defense.

The Senate recedes.

*Findings (sec. 232)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 232) that would establish a series of congressional findings as the rationale for developing and deploying theater and national ballistic missile defenses.

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 242) that would make several similar findings.

The House recedes with an amendment merging the House and Senate findings.

*Ballistic Missile Defense Policy (sec. 233)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 232) that would establish a United States policy to: (1) deploy at the earliest practical date highly effective theater missile defenses; and (2) deploy at the earliest practical date a national missile defense (NMD) system that is capable of providing a highly effective defense of the United States against limited ballistic missile attacks.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 233) that would establish a United States policy to: (1) deploy as soon as possible affordable and operationally effective theater missile defenses; (2) develop for deployment a multiple-site national missile defense system (that can be augmented to a layered defense over time) while initiating negotiations to amend the Anti-Ballistic Missile (ABM) Treaty; (3) ensure congressional review prior to a decision to deploy the NMD system; (4) improve existing cruise missile defense systems and deploy as soon as practical defenses against advanced cruise missiles; (5) pursue a focused research and development program to provide follow-on ballistic missile defense options; (6) employ streamlined acquisition procedures in developing and deploying missile defenses; (7) seek a cooperative transition to a regime that does not feature mutual assured destruction and an offense-only form of deterrence as the basis for strategic stability; and (8) carry out the policies, programs, and requirements of the Missile Defense Act through processes specified within, or consistent with, the ABM Treaty.

The House recedes with an amendment to establish a United States policy to: (1) deploy affordable and operationally effective theater missile defenses to protect forward-deployed and expeditionary elements of the armed forces of the United States and to complement and support the missile defense capabilities of the forces of coalition partners and allies of the United States; and (2) seek a cooperative transition to a regime that does not feature mutual assured destruction and an offense-only form of deterrence as the basis of strategic stability.

*Theater Missile Defense Architecture (sec. 234)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 233) that, in part, would direct the Secretary of Defense to develop and deploy at the earliest practical date advanced theater missile defense (TMD) systems. The House bill contained another provision (sec. 236) that would establish a ballistic missile defense program accountability report.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 234) that would provide detailed policy guidance related to theater missile defense. The provision would establish a core theater missile defense program (the Theater High Altitude Area Defense system, the Navy Upper Tier system, the Patriot PAC–3 system, and the Navy Lower Tier system) with programmatic milestones for each core system, require that the systems in the core program be interoperable and mutually supporting, establish guidelines for creating new core systems, and require the Secretary of Defense to provide the congressional defense committees a TMD Architecture report along with the fiscal year 1997 budget submission.

The House recedes with an amendment to integrate elements of the House's ballistic missile defense program accountability provision into a revised TMD reporting requirement, and to make technical and clarifying changes. Included is a requirement that the Secretary of Defense report on the following matters to the Senate Committee on Armed Services and the House Committee on National Security whenever the Secretary issues an ABM Treaty compliance certification for any TMD system: (1) the compliance policy applied in preparing such a certification; (2) how the policy applied differs from the policy stated in section 235(b)(1) of this Act (the so-called "demonstrated standard"); and (3) how the application of that compliance policy (rather than the "demonstrated standard") will affect the cost, schedule, and performance of the TMD system being considered.

*Prohibition on use of funds to implement an international agreement concerning theater missile defense systems (sec. 235)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 235) that would establish a theater missile defense demarcation standard (the so-called "demonstrated standard" based on the range and speed of the target) and would prohibit the obligation or expenditure of funds appropriated for the Department of Defense to implement or employ any other standard.

The Senate amendment contained a related provision (sec. 238) that would: (1) express the sense of Congress that the "demonstrated standard" is the appropriate standard for defining a TMD demarcation; and (2) prohibit the use of funds appropriated for the Department of Defense in fiscal year 1996 to implement an international agreement that is inconsistent with this standard, unless such agreement receives Senate advice and consent to ratification, or is specifically approved in a subsequent Act.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

*Ballistic missile defense cooperation with allies (sec. 236)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 242) that, in part, would endorse cooperation in the area of ballistic missile defense between the United States and its allies and coalition partners, and that would urge the President to: (1) pursue high-level discussions with allies of the United States and selected other states on the means and methods by which the parties can cooperate in the development, deployment, and operation of ballistic missile defenses; (2) take the initiative within the North Atlantic Treaty Organization to develop a consensus for deployment of BMD by the Alliance; and (3) seek agreement with U.S. allies and selected other states on steps the parties can take to reduce the risks posed by the threat of limited ballistic missile attacks.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment to include the House language on BMD cooperation with allies as a free-standing provision.

*ABM Treaty Defined (sec. 237)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 237) that would define the ABM Treaty.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

*Repeal of Missile Defense Act of 1991 (sec. 238)*

The House bill contained a provision, (sec. 238) that would repeal the Missile Defense Act of 1991.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 241(1)).

The Senate recedes.

Subtitle D—Other Ballistic Missile Defense Provisions

*Ballistic Missile Defense Program Elements (sec. 251)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 239) that would establish seven program elements for the Ballistic Missile Defense Organization's budget.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment creating eight program elements.

*Testing of theater missile defense interceptors (sec. 252)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 243) that would amend subsection (a) of section 237 of Public Law 103–160, pertaining to the testing of theater missile defense interceptors.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 227) that also would relate to the testing of theater missile defense interceptors.

The Senate recedes.

*Repeal of missile defense provisions (sec. 253)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 241) that would repeal ten outdated BMD-related provisions of law.

The House bill contained a similar provision (sec. 244) that would repeal six outdated BMD-related provisions of law.

The House recedes with an amendment. The Conferees agree to repeal nine outdated BMD-related provisions of law.

Subtitle E—Miscellaneous Reviews, Studies, and Reports

*Precision guided munitions (sec. 261)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 215) that would require the Secretary of Defense, not later than February 1, 1996, to submit a report that contains an analysis of the full range of precision guided munitions (PGM) in production, and in research, development, test and evaluation. The analysis would address the following:

(1) The types of precision guided munitions needed to destroy various service target classes;

(2) The feasibility of joint development programs to meet the needs of various Services; and

(3) The economy and effectiveness of continued acquisition of "interim" PGMs.

The House bill contained no legislative provision on PGMs, but directed the Secretary to conduct a similar analysis in its report (H. Rept. 104–131) accompanying the bill.

The conferees agree to the Senate provision, with an amendment that would extend the reporting deadline to April 15, 1996.

*Review of C4I by National Research Council (sec. 262)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 256) that would direct the Secretary of Defense to enter into a contract with the National Research Council of the National Academy of Sciences to conduct a review of Department of Defense programs for command, control, communications, computers, and intelligence. The study would be conducted over a two-year period and $900.0 thousand would be available for the cost of the study.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Analysis of consolidation of basic research accounts of military departments (sec. 263)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 252) that would direct the Secretary of Defense to fund the equivalent of a cost and operational effectiveness study of the consolidation of the indivdiual services' basic research accounts to determine potential infrastructure savings.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Change in the annual reporting period, from calendar to fiscal year, on certain contracts with colleges and universities. (sec. 264)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 253) that would amend section 2361 of title 10, United States Code, to change the annual reporting period from the preceding "calendar" year to each preceding "fiscal" year on the use of competitive procedures for awards of research and development contracts, and the award of construction contracts to colleges and universities.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Aeronautical research and test capabilities assessment (sec. 265)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 260) that would require the Secretary of Defense to assess aeronautical research and test facilities and capabilities of the United States, and to provide a report to the congressional defense committees detailing the findings and recommendations of the assessment.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Subtitle F—Other Matters

*Advanced lithography program (sec. 271)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 214) that would amend section 216 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (Public Law 103–337). The provision would permit the Director of the Advanced Research Projects Agency (ARPA) to consider Semiconductor Industry Association and Semiconductor Technology Council recommendations as advisory and would allow ARPA to establish priorities and funding levels for the program, consistent with the best interests of national security. The provision would also add a goal that the program ensure that the use of lithographic processes, being developed by American-owned manufacturers in the United States, would lead to superior performance electronics systems for the Department of Defense.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would clarify the term "American-owned manufacturer" to mean that it would be consistent with the definition of "United States-owned company" and "United States incorporated company" in section 278 (n) of title 15, United States Code.

*Enhanced fiber optic guided missile system (sec. 272)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 215) that would require the Secretary of the Army to certify whether there is a requirement for the enhanced fiber optic guided missile (EFOG–M) system, and whether there is a cost and effectiveness analysis supporting such requirement. The provision would also limit funding for the EFOG–M program if the test of operational missiles and associated fire units are not delivered on time and within current cost estimates.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require the certification of the Secretary of the Army regarding a requirement and a cost and effectiveness analysis to support the requirement for the EFOG–M system to be provided following completion of the Advanced Concept Technology Demonstration (ACTD), instead of before the ACTD, as proposed by the House.

*States eligible for assistance under Defense Experimental Program to Stimulate Competitive Research (DEPSCoR) (sec. 273)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 220) that would modify the graduation criteria for states participating in the Department of Defense EPSCoR program.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would provide for the use of a three year average to determine, on a state-by-state basis, whether a state institution of higher learning receives 60 percent of the average amounts for research and engineering obligated by the Department of Defense.

*Cruise missile defense initiative (sec. 274)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 236) that would establish a cruise missile defense initiative. The provision would require the Secretary of Defense to strengthen and coordinate the cruise missile defense programs of the Department of Defense, and provide Congress with a report describing the Secretary's plans for implementing this provision.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

*University research initiative support program (sec. 275)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 254) that would amend Section 802 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1994 (Public Law 103–160). The provision would change the university research initiative support program from a mandatory program to a voluntary program and provide for improved review procedures.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Revisions of manufacturing of science and technology program (sec. 276)*

The House bill contained a provision that would eliminate the technology-based focus for the manufacturing of science and technology program, and provide new emphasis on near-term cost reduction applications. The provision would also require a larger non-federal government cost share for 25 percent of the program appropriation, and eliminate cost share for academic institutions.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 222) that would amend section 2525 of title 10, United States Code, in two ways. The provision clarified the role of the Joint Directors of Laboratories in establishing the Manufacturing Science and Technology Program. The provision included a requirement that manufacturing equipment producers be more directly involved in projects funded under this program.

The conferees agree to an amendment that would combine the House and Senate provisions.

The conferees support the transfer of the MANTECH program from advanced development to a Research, Development, Test & Evaluation (RDT&E) production support account to ensure direct impact of manufacturing technology on reduction of production and repair costs for todays systems. However, the conferees direct that a balance be maintained between near-term manufacturing solutions for weapons systems and the long range manufacturing design needs, such as implementing Integrated Products and Process Development (IPPD) in future systems.

The conferees would include the House provision to set aside 25 percent of the funding for the manufacturing technology program for entering into contracts and cooperative agreements, on a cost-share basis, in which the ration of funding provided by non-federal and federal participants is 2 to 1. The conferees have included a provision that would

allow the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Technology to waive the requirement after July 15 of each fiscal year. The conferees direct that contracts and cooperative agreements awarded to meet this requirement be on a project-by-project basis. The conferees direct that the Department maximize the number of contracts and cooperative agreements, to the extent practicable.

The conferees expect the Department of Defense and the services to request an aggressive fiscal year 1997 MANTECH budget that reflects program needs. As a goal, the Department should consider funding this program at approximately one percent of the services' RDT&E budgets. The conferees also believe that the Secretary of Defense should place the highest priority on addressing the management and budget process issues that have adversely affected the MANTECH program.

*Five-year plan for consolidation of defense laboratories and test and evaluation centers (sec. 277)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 259) that would require the Secretary of Defense to prepare a five year strategic plan to consolidate and restructure the Department's research and development laboratories and test and evaluation centers.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment to include additional study parameters and to adjust the limitation on funding obligations; from 40 percent to 75 percent for the central test and evaluation investment development program pending submission of the report to Congress.

*Limitation on T-38 avionics upgrade program (sec. 278)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 261) that would allow the Department of the Air Force to consider foreign companies for the award of the contract for the T-38 aircraft avionics upgrade program only if such companies are headquartered in countries that allow equal access to United States companies for such contracts.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Global Positioning System (sec. 279)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1081) that would require the Secretary of Defense to suspend use of the selective availability feature of the Global Positioning System (GPS) by May 1, 1996, unless the Secretary develops a plan for dealing with the challenges associated with GPS jamming and denial.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

*Army support for the National Science Center for Communications and Engineering (sec. 280)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1085) that would modify the authority of the Army to provide support to the National Science Center outreach program.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

*Maneuver variant unmanned aerial vehicle*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 212) that would prohibit the obligation of funds appropriated or otherwise made available pursuant to authorizations in fiscal year 1996 for the Maneuver Variant Unmanned Aerial Vehicle.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*National missile defense*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 233) that, in part, would direct the Secretary of Defense to develop for deployment at the earliest practical date a national missile defense system consisting of: (1) up to 100 ground-based interceptors at a single site or a greater number of interceptors at a number of sites, as determined necessary by the Secretary; (2) fixed, ground-based radars; (3) space based sensors, including those sensor systems that are capable of cuing ground-based interceptors and providing initial targeting vectors; and (4) battle management, command, control, and communications.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 235) that would direct the Secretary of Defense to take the following steps regarding national missile defense (NMD): (1) develop for deployment an affordable and operationally effective NMD system (consisting of ground-based interceptors capable of being deployed at multiple sites, ground-based radars, space-based sensors, and battle management, command, control, and communications) to counter a limited, accidential, or unauthorized ballistic missile attack, and which is capable of attaining initial operational capability by the end of 2003; (2) develop an interim operational capability plan that would give the United States the ability to field a limited NMD system by the end of 1999; (3) prescribe and use streamlined acquisition procedures; (4) employ additional cost saving measures; and (5) report on his plan for NMD deployment and an analysis of options for supplementing the initial NMD architecture to improve cost and operational effectiveness. The Senate amendment also contained a provision (sec. 235(d)(2)) that would prohibit the use of Minuteman boosters in any NMD architecture.

The conference agreement does not include a provision on national missile defense.

*Ballistic missile defense follow-on technology research and development*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 234) that would provide guidance on follow-on technology development for theater and national ballistic missile defense programs.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Policy regarding the ABT Treaty*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 237) that would clarify that the policies, programs, and requirements of the "Missile Defense Act of 1995" (subtitle C of title II of the Senate amendment) can be accomplished through processes specified in the ABM Treaty, and that would express the sense of Congress that the Senate should review the continuing value and validity of the ABM Treaty.

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 242(c)(2)) that would urge the President to pursue high-level discussions with Russia to amend the ABM Treaty.

The conference agreement does not include either provision.

*Ballistic missile defense funding*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 241) that would authorize $3.070 billion in Defensewide research, development, test, and evaluation (RDT&E) funds for ballistic missile defense programs.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes. The conferees discuss funding for ballistic missile defense programs elsewhere in this Statement of Managers.

*Allocation of funds for medical countermeasures against biowarfare threats*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 251) that would amend section 2370a of title 10, United States Code, to permit the obligation or expenditure of up to 50 percent of funds authorized for the medical component of the Department of Defense Biological Defense Research program for product development, or for research, development, test, or evaluation of medical countermeasures related to mid-term or far-term validated biowarfare threat agents.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees note with concern that the recent progress in bio-technology could potentially lead to the development of new biological warfare agents and capabilities among potential adversaries of the United States. The conferees direct that the Department report to the congressional defense committees by March 1, 1996 on the national security threats posed by such potential developments of new agents through advances in bio-technology and genetic engineering. The report should also include recommendations related to reducing the impact of progress in these areas, examine the utility of increased emphasis on research and development of medical countermeasures related to mid-term or far-term biowarfare threat agents; and identify other measures that could reduce the threat of these technological advances and reduce the threat of biological agent and weapons proliferation.

*Cross reference to congressional defense policy concerning national technology and industrial base, reinvestment, and conversion in operation of defense research and development programs*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 262) that would cross-reference sections 2358(a)(2)(B) and 2371(a) with section 2501 of title 10, United States Code, to encourage the use of dual-use technology programs in defense research and technology programs.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Fiber optic acoustic sensor system*

The budget request included $21.3 million in PE 63504N for the advanced submarine combat systems development program.

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 264) that would authorize $28.2 million for the advanced submarine combat systems development program in fiscal year 1996, including $6.9 million for research and development for a fiber optic acoustic sensor system and common optical towed array. The provision also reduced funding for the advanced submarine systems development program (PE 63561N) by $6.9 million.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees agree to the authorization of an additional $6.9 million above the budget request in PE 63504N for advanced development of fiber optic acoustic sensor systems, including the development of common optical towed arrays.

*Joint targeting support system testbed*

The budget request included $141.4 million in PE 24229N for the Tomahawk missile and

the Tomahawk mission planning center programs.

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 265) that would reallocate project funding within PE 24229N. The provision would increase funding for Tomahawk theater mission planning by $10.0 million in order to establish a joint targeting support system testbed and would reduce funding for Tomahawk missile development by $10.0 million, as an offset.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees agree to an additional authorization of $4.0 million in PE 24229N to initiate development of a joint targeting support system testbed (JTSST) for demonstration of potential joint targeting operations. The conferees understand that an initial study would investigate the relative roles of the existing systems installed in the Tomahawk mission planning center and other mission planning systems that are being developed by the individual military services. It is recognized that these systems are projected to have embedded precision weapons planning capabilities.

The conferees expect that the results of the initial JTSST study and follow-on demonstrations will contribute to the definition of long-term objectives, guidelines, and schedule milestones for convergence of the Navy/Marine Corps tactical aircraft mission planning systems and the Air Force mission support system, and should lead to the development of a joint mission planning system architecture for the military services.

The conferees direct the Secretary of Defense to report to the congressional defense committees as soon as possible, but no later than the submission of the fiscal year 1998 budget request. This report shall describe the Secretary's plan for implementing the recommendations that result from the study.

*Battlefield Integration Center*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 201(4)(C)) that would authorize the use of up to $25.0 million in Defensewide research, development, test, and evaluation (RDT&E) funds made available for Other Theater Missile Defense activities for the Army's Battlefield Integration Center (BIC).

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees agree to authorize an increase of $21.0 million in PE 63308A for the BIC.

*Marine Corps shore fire support*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 213) that would not allow more than fifty percent of the funds appropriated in fiscal year 1996 for the Tomahawk Baseline Improvement Program to be obligated until the Secretary of the Navy certifies that a program has been established and fully funded. That program would lead to a live fire test of an Army Extended Range Multiple Launch Rocket from an Army launcher on a Navy ship before October 1, 1997.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes. Further guidance relative to the consideration of the Army Extended Range Multiple Launch Rocket System in the Navy Surface Fire Support program is contained elsewhere in the Statement of Managers.

*Depressed altitude guided gun round (DAGGR)*

The budget request contained no funds for the depressed altitude guided gun round (DAGGR).

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 225) that would authorize $5.0 million for continued development of the DAGGR system. 0

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes. DAGGR technology has indicated potential capability which might be used to counter threats such as 122-millimeter rockets and cruise missiles. The conferees encourage the Secretary of the Army to include this program in the fiscal year 1997 budget request, and, if warranted, consider a reprogramming request to provide funding for DAGGR in fiscal year 1996.

*Army echelon above corps communication*

The budget request included $5.9 million for Army echelon above corps communications.

The House bill would authorize the budget request.

The Senate amendment included a provision (sec. 226) that would provide an increase of $40.0 million to procure additional communications equipment for the Army's echelons above corps.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees agree to authorize the increase of $40.0 million for the procurement of additional communications equipment for the Army's echelons above corps.

*Sense of the Senate on the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 242) that would express a sense of the Senate that would discourage any attempt to diminish or eliminate the Office of the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation or its functions.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Ballistic missile defense technology center*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 243) that would establish a ballistic missile defense technology center within the Space and Strategic Defense Command of the Army.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

TITLE III—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

*Overview*

The budget request for fiscal year 1996 contained an authorization of $91,634.4 million for Operation and Maintenance in the Department of Defense and $1,852.9 for Working Capital Fund Accounts in fiscal year 1996. The House bill would authorize $94,420.2 million for Operation and Maintenance and $2,452.9 for Working Capital Fund Accounts. The Senate amendment would authorize $91,408.8 million for Operation and Maintenance and $1,962.9 for Working Capital Fund Accounts. The conferees recommended an authorization of $92,616.4 million for Operation and Maintenance and $1,902.9 for Working Capital Fund Accounts for fiscal year 1996. Unless noted explicitly in the statement of managers, all changes are made without prejudice.

# SUMMARY OF NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS FOR FY 1996

(Dollars in Millions)

| Account Title | Authorization Request 1996 | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Conference Change | Conference Authorization |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **TITLE III** | | | | | |
| Operation and Maintenance, Army | 18,184.736 | 19,339.936 | 18,064.436 | 561.959 | 18,746.695 |
| Operation and Maintenance, Navy | 21,225.710 | 21,677.510 | 21,346.910 | 267.445 | 21,493.155 |
| Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps | 2,269.722 | 2,603.622 | 2,405.722 | 252.100 | 2,521.822 |
| Operation and Maintenance, Air Force | 18,256.597 | 18,984.162 | 18,230.097 | 462.680 | 18,719.277 |
| Operation and Maintenance, Defense-wide | 10,366.782 | 10,681.871 | 10,035.867 | (456.306) | 9,910.476 |
| Defense Health Program,O&M | 9,865.525 | 9,876.525 | 9,943.825 | 11.000 | 9,876.525 |
| Defense Health Program,PROC | - | - | - | - | - |
| Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve | 1,068.591 | 1,139.591 | 1,062.591 | 60.600 | 1,129.191 |
| Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve | 826.042 | 838.042 | 840.842 | 42.300 | 868.342 |
| Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve | 90.283 | 91.783 | 90.283 | 10.000 | 100.283 |
| Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve | 1,485.947 | 1,507.447 | 1,482.947 | 30.340 | 1,516.287 |
| Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard | 2,304.108 | 2,394.108 | 2,304.108 | 57.700 | 2,361.808 |
| Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard | 2,712.221 | 2,734.221 | 2,734.221 | 47.900 | 2,760.121 |
| Office of the Inspector General, O&M | 138.226 | 177.226 | 138.226 | | 138.226 |
| Office of the Inspector General, Proc | | | | | |
| United States Courts of Appeals for the Armed Forces | 6.521 | 6.521 | 6.521 | | 6.521 |
| Environmental Restoration, Defense | 1,622.200 | 1,422.200 | 1,601.800 | (200.000) | 1,422.200 |
| Drug Interdiction and Counter-drug Activities, Defense | 680.432 | 680.432 | 680.432 | | 680.432 |
| Former Soviet Union Threat Reduction Account | 371.000 | 200.000 | 365.000 | (71.000) | 300.000 |
| Summer Olympics | 15.000 | 15.000 | 15.000 | - | 15.000 |
| Contributions for International Peacekeeping and Peace E | 65.000 | - | - | (65.000) | - |
| Humanitarian Assistance | 79.790 | - | 60.000 | (79.790) | - |
| Disposal and Lease of DOD Real Property | - | - | - | | - |
| DOD 50th Anniversary of World War II Commemoration | - | - | - | | - |
| Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster, & Civic Aid | - | 50.000 | - | 50.000 | 50.000 |
| National Science Center, Army | - | - | - | - | - |
| **Total Operation & Maintenance** | 91,634.433 | 94,418.697 | 91,408.828 | 981.928 | 92,616.361 |

| ID | ACCOUNT/BA/AG/SAG | FY 1996 | House Change | House Authorized | Senate Change | Senate Authorization | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | **OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY** | | | | | | | |
| | **BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES** | | | | | | | |
| | **LAND FORCES** | 9,069,646 | 300,000 | 9,369,646 | 25,000 | 9,094,646 | 191,270 | 9,260,916 |
| 10 | COMBAT UNITS | 1,882,069 | | 1,882,069 | | 1,882,069 | | 1,882,069 |
| 20 | TACTICAL SUPPORT | 1,165,970 | | 1,165,970 | | 1,165,970 | | 1,165,970 |
| 30 | THEATER DEFENSE FORCES | 178,670 | | 178,670 | | 178,670 | | 178,670 |
| 40 | FORCE RELATED TRAINING/SPECIAL ACTIVITIES | 1,271,154 | | 1,271,154 | | 1,271,154 | | 1,271,154 |
| 50 | FORCE COMMUNICATIONS | 73,584 | | 73,584 | | 73,584 | | 73,584 |
| 60 | DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 861,426 | 100,000 | 961,426 | | 861,426 | 89,270 | 950,696 |
| 70 | JCS EXERCISES | 54,467 | | 54,467 | | 54,467 | | 54,467 |
| 80 | BASE SUPPORT | 3,582,306 | 200,000 | 3,782,306 | 25,000 | 3,607,306 | 100,000 | 3,684,306 |
| | NTC INTERIM AIRHEAD | | | | | | 2,000 | |
| 85 | REPROGRAMMING/CREDITS | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 |
| | **LAND OPERATIONS SUPPORT** | 251,301 | 0 | 251,301 | 0 | 251,301 | 0 | 251,301 |
| 90 | COMBAT DEVELOPMENTS | 214,364 | | 214,364 | | 214,364 | | 214,364 |
| 100 | UNIFIED COMMANDS | 36,937 | | 36,937 | | 36,937 | | 36,937 |
| 105 | REPROGRAMMING/CREDITS | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 |
| | **TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1:** | 9,320,947 | 300,000 | 9,620,947 | 25,000 | 9,345,947 | 191,270 | 9,512,217 |
| | **BUDGET ACTIVITY 2: MOBILIZATION** | | | | | | | |
| | **MOBILITY OPERATIONS** | 696,760 | 0 | 696,760 | 29,500 | 726,260 | 60,000 | 756,760 |
| 110 | POMCUS | 86,830 | | 86,830 | | 86,830 | | 86,830 |
| 120 | STRATEGIC MOBILIZATION | 393,923 | | 393,923 | | 393,923 | | 393,923 |
| 130 | WAR RESERVE ACTIVITIES | 72,166 | | 72,166 | | 101,666 | 60,000 | 132,166 |
| | SWA AWR ACCELERATION | 0 | | 0 | 29,500 | | | |
| 140 | INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS | 143,841 | | 143,841 | | 143,841 | | 143,841 |
| 145 | REPROGRAMMING/CREDITS | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 |
| | **TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2:** | 696,760 | 0 | 696,760 | 29,500 | 726,260 | 60,000 | 756,760 |
| | **BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING** | | | | | | | |

| ID | ACCOUNT/BA/AG/SAG | FY 1996 | House Change | House Authorized | Senate Change | Senate Authorization | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | **ACCESSION TRAINING** | 314,798 | 0 | 314,798 | 0 | 314,798 | 0 | 314,798 |
| 150 | OFFICER ACQUISITION | 58,328 | | 58,328 | | 58,328 | | 58,328 |
| 160 | RECRUIT TRAINING | 11,228 | | 11,228 | | 11,228 | | 11,228 |
| 170 | ONE STATION UNIT TRAINING | 17,008 | | 17,008 | | 17,008 | | 17,008 |
| 180 | RESERVE OFFICER TRAINING CORPS (ROTC) | 109,789 | | 109,789 | | 109,789 | | 109,789 |
| 190 | BASE SUPPORT (ACADEMY ONLY) | 118,445 | | 118,445 | | 118,445 | | 118,445 |
| 195 | REPROGRAMMING/CREDITS | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 |
| | **BASIC SKILL/ ADVANCE TRAINING** | 2,060,143 | 20,000 | 2,080,143 | 45,000 | 2,105,143 | 75,000 | 2,135,143 |
| 200 | SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING | 236,760 | | 236,760 | | 236,760 | | 281,760 |
| | CHEMICAL DEFENSE TRAINING | 0 | 10,000 | 10,000 | | 0 | 10,000 | |
| | CHEMICAL DEFENSE MEDICAL TRAINING | 0 | 10,000 | 10,000 | | 0 | 10,000 | |
| | TNET | | | | | | 4,000 | |
| | SIMULATION ENHANCEMENTS | | | | | | 21,000 | |
| 210 | FLIGHT TRAINING | 218,514 | | 218,514 | | 218,514 | | 218,514 |
| 220 | PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION | 68,981 | | 68,981 | | 68,981 | | 68,981 |
| 230 | TRAINING SUPPORT | 375,528 | | 375,528 | | 375,528 | | 375,528 |
| 240 | BASE SUPPORT (OTHER TRAINING) | 1,160,360 | | 1,160,360 | 45,000 | 1,205,360 | 30,000 | 1,190,360 |
| 245 | REPROGRAMMING/CREDITS | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 |
| | **RECRUITING/OTHER TRAINING** | 691,154 | 0 | 691,154 | 4,000 | 695,154 | 5,000 | 696,154 |
| 250 | RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING | 211,375 | | 211,375 | 4,000 | 215,375 | 5,000 | 216,375 |
| 260 | EXAMINING | 64,333 | | 64,333 | | 64,333 | | 64,333 |
| 270 | OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION | 103,812 | | 103,812 | | 103,812 | | 103,812 |
| 280 | CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING | 81,108 | | 81,108 | | 81,108 | | 81,108 |
| 290 | JUNIOR ROTC | 74,506 | | 74,506 | | 74,506 | | 74,506 |
| 300 | BASE SUPPORT (RECRUITING LEASES) | 156,020 | | 156,020 | | 156,020 | | 156,020 |
| 305 | REPROGRAMMING/CREDITS | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 |
| | **TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3:** | 3,066,095 | 20,000 | 3,086,095 | 49,000 | 3,115,095 | 80,000 | 3,146,095 |
| | **BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES** | | | | | | | |
| | **SECURITY PROGRAMS (ARMS CONTROL)** | 362,333 | 12,000 | 374,333 | (6,000) | 356,333 | (6,000) | 356,333 |
| 310 | SECURITY PROGRAMS (ARMS CONTROL) | 362,333 | | 362,333 | (6,000) | 356,333 | (6,000) | 356,333 |
| | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 0 | 12,000 | 12,000 | | | | |
| | **LOGISTICS OPERATIONS** | 1,630,274 | 0 | 1,630,274 | 0 | 1,630,274 | 3,750 | 1,634,024 |

| ID | ACCOUNT/BA/AG/SAG | FY 1996 | House Change | House Authorized | Senate Change | Senate Authorization | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 320 | SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION | 542,910 | | 542,910 | | 542,910 | | 542,910 |
| 330 | CENTRAL SUPPLY ACTIVITIES | 487,281 | | 487,281 | | 487,281 | | 491,031 |
| | ACQUISITION WORKFORCE SAVINGS/ACQUISITION REFORM | | | | | | (12,000) | |
| | DEPOT MAINTENANCE LOGISTICS TAIL | | | | | | 15,750 | |
| 340 | LOGISTIC SUPPORT ACTIVITIES | 299,230 | | 299,230 | | 299,230 | | 299,230 |
| 350 | AMMUNITION MANAGEMENT | 300,853 | | 300,853 | | 300,853 | | 300,853 |
| 355 | REPROGRAMMING/CREDITS | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 |
| | **SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT** | 2,826,103 | 10,000 | 2,836,103 | 0 | 2,826,103 | 7,000 | 2,833,103 |
| 360 | ADMINISTRATION | 275,238 | | 275,238 | | 275,238 | | 275,238 |
| | WASTE WATER TREATMENT PLANNING | | | | | | | |
| 370 | SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS | 686,446 | | 686,446 | | 686,446 | | 686,446 |
| 380 | MANPOWER MANAGEMENT | 124,676 | | 124,676 | | 124,676 | | 124,676 |
| 390 | OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT | 175,832 | | 175,832 | | 175,832 | | 182,832 |
| | PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT EFFICIENCIES | | | | | | (3,000) | |
| | NEW PARENT SUPPORT | 0 | 10,000 | 10,000 | | 0 | 10,000 | |
| 400 | OTHER SERVICE SUPPORT | 568,225 | | 568,225 | | 568,225 | | 568,225 |
| | CONSERVATION AND ECOSYSTEM MANAGEMENT | | | | | | | |
| 410 | ARMY CLAIMS ACTIVITIES | 173,290 | | 173,290 | | 173,290 | | 173,290 |
| 420 | REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT | 86,930 | | 86,930 | | 86,930 | | 86,930 |
| 430 | BASE SUPPORT | 735,466 | | 735,466 | | 735,466 | | 735,466 |
| | PENTAGON RENOVATION TRANSFER | | | | | | | |
| 432 | ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 |
| 435 | PENTAGON RENOVATION TRANSFER | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 |
| | **SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS** | 282,224 | 0 | 282,224 | 0 | 282,224 | 0 | 282,224 |
| 440 | INTERNATIONAL MILITARY HEADQUARTERS | 252,778 | | 252,778 | | 252,778 | | 252,778 |
| 450 | MISC SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS | 29,446 | | 29,446 | | 29,446 | | 29,446 |
| 455 | REPROGRAMMING/CREDITS | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 |
| | **TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4:** | 5,100,934 | 22,000 | 5,122,934 | (6,000) | 5,094,934 | 4,750 | 5,105,684 |
| | **UNDISTRIBUTED** | | 813,200 | 813,200 | (217,800) | (217,800) | 225,939 | 225,939 |
| | CIVILIAN PAY | | | | (233,000) | (116,000) | (116,000) | (116,000) |
| | HISTORICAL BLACK COLLEGES FELLOWSHIPS | | | | (300) | (300) | | |
| | REAL PROPERTY MAINTENANCE | | 350,000 | 350,000 | 110,000 | 167,000 | 235,000 | 235,000 |
| | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | | | | 500 | 500 | 4,789 | 4,789 |
| | FOREIGN CURRENCY FLUCATION | | 329,000 | | | | 59,300 | 59,300 |

| ID | ACCOUNT/BA/AG/SAG | FY 1996 | House Change | House Authorized | Senate Change | Senate Authorization | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | MILITARY/CIVILAIN CONVERSION | | 130,000 | | | | 19,350 | 19,350 |
| | PENTAGON RENOVATION | | | | (13,000) | (13,000) | (13,000) | (13,000) |
| | UNDISTRIBUTED (DSATS) | | 0 | 0 | (15,000) | (15,000) | | |
| | CIVILIAN UNDEREXECUTION | | (65,000) | (65,000) | (67,000) | (67,000) | (67,000) | (67,000) |
| | EXCHANGE TRANSPORT MANAGEMENT | | 35,000 | 35,000 | | | 35,000 | 35,000 |
| | MWR PORTABILITY | | 25,000 | 25,000 | | | 0 | 0 |
| | AAFESS DOWNSIZING COMPENSATION | | 70,000 | 70,000 | | | 70,000 | 70,000 |
| | EDCARS/DSREDS | | 2,000 | 2,000 | | | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| | ADVANCE BILLING RELIEF | | 62,000 | 62,000 | | | 0 | 0 |
| | MILITARY END STRENGTH | | 54,400 | 54,400 | | | 54,400 | 54,400 |
| | PRINTING EFFICIENCIES | | (3,000) | (3,000) | | | (3,000) | (3,000) |
| | INSPECTOR GENERAL CONSOLIDATION | | (12,500) | (12,500) | | | | |
| | REDUCED AUDITS | | (10,000) | (10,000) | | | (5,000) | (5,000) |
| | TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENTS | | (26,200) | (26,200) | | | (26,200) | (26,200) |
| | INVENTORY REFORM | | (60,000) | (60,000) | | | (60,000) | (60,000) |
| | FUEL SAVINGS | | (50,000) | (50,000) | | | | |
| | AAFES 2nd DESTIONATION TRANSPORTATION | | (17,500) | (17,500) | | | (17,500) | (17,500) |
| | FAMILY HOUSING SURVEY & DEFICIT REDUCTION PROGRAM | | | | | | 3,500 | 3,500 |
| | ADMINISTRATIVE TRAVEL SAVINGS | | | | | | (28,500) | (28,500) |
| | PROVIDE COMFORT/ENHANCED SOUTHERN WATCH | | | | | | 87,300 | 87,300 |
| | SUPPLY MANAGEMENT REFORMS | | | | | | (8,500) | (8,500) |
| | **TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY** | 18,184,736 | 1,155,200 | 19,339,936 | (120,300) | 18,064,436 | 561,959 | 18,746,695 |
| | **OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY** | | | | | | | |
| | **BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES** | | | | | | | |
| | **AIR OPERATIONS** | 4,266,628 | 125,000 | 4,391,628 | 10,000 | 4,276,628 | 132,295 | 4,398,923 |
| 10 | MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS | 1,788,301 | | 1,788,301 | | 1,788,301 | | 1,796,301 |
| | P-3 FORCE STRUCTURE | | | | | | 8,000 | |
| 20 | FLEET AIR TRAINING | 627,871 | | 627,871 | | 627,871 | | 642,166 |
| | PACIFIC MISSILE RANGE FACILITY | | | | | | 14,295 | |
| 30 | INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE | 68,070 | | 68,070 | | 68,070 | | 68,070 |
| 40 | AIR OPERATIONS AND SAFETY SUPPORT | 59,060 | | 59,060 | | 59,060 | | 59,060 |
| 50 | AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 489,443 | 75,000 | 564,443 | | 489,443 | 70,000 | 559,443 |

| ID | ACCOUNT/BA/AG/SAG | FY 1996 | House Change | House Authorized | Senate Change | Senate Authorization | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 60 | AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 28,232 | | 28,232 | | 28,232 | | 28,232 |
| 70 | BASE SUPPORT | 1,205,651 | 50,000 | 1,255,651 | 10,000 | 1,215,651 | 40,000 | 1,245,651 |
| 75 | REPROGRAMMING/CREDITS | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 |
| | **SHIP OPERATIONS** | 6,879,010 | 175,000 | 7,054,010 | 25,400 | 6,904,410 | 190,400 | 7,069,410 |
| 80 | MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS | 1,885,234 | | 1,885,234 | 400 | 1,885,634 | 400 | 1,885,634 |
| 90 | SHIP OPERATIONAL SUPPORT AND TRAINING | 462,396 | | 462,396 | | 462,396 | | 462,396 |
| 100 | INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE | 401,812 | | 401,812 | | 401,812 | | 401,812 |
| 110 | SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 2,261,190 | 125,000 | 2,386,190 | | 2,261,190 | 150,000 | 2,411,190 |
| 120 | SHIP DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 758,320 | | 758,320 | | 758,320 | | 758,320 |
| 130 | BASE SUPPORT | 1,110,058 | 50,000 | 1,160,058 | 25,000 | 1,135,058 | 40,000 | 1,150,058 |
| 135 | REPROGRAMMING/CREDITS | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 |
| | **COMBAT OPERATIONS/SUPPORT** | 1,581,800 | 0 | 1,581,800 | 11,900 | 1,593,700 | 11,900 | 1,593,700 |
| 140 | COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS | 198,415 | | 198,415 | | 198,415 | | 198,415 |
| 150 | ELECTRONIC WARFARE | 7,396 | | 7,396 | | 7,396 | | 7,396 |
| 160 | SPACE SYSTEMS AND SURVEILLANCE | 153,881 | | 153,881 | | 153,881 | | 153,881 |
| 170 | WARFARE TACTICS | 138,256 | | 138,256 | | 138,256 | | 138,256 |
| 180 | OPERATIONAL METEOROLOGY AND OCEANOGRAPHY | 198,719 | | 198,719 | | 198,719 | | 198,719 |
| 190 | COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES | 339,888 | | 339,888 | | 336,788 | | 336,788 |
| | NIMITZ CENTER | | | 0 | (3,100) | | (3,100) | |
| 200 | EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE | 145,820 | | 145,820 | | 145,820 | | 145,820 |
| 210 | DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 1,127 | | 1,127 | | 1,127 | | 1,127 |
| 220 | BASE SUPPORT | 398,298 | | 398,298 | 15,000 | 413,298 | 15,000 | 413,298 |
| 225 | REPROGRAMMING/CREDITS | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 |
| | **WEAPONS SUPPORT** | 2,119,219 | 10,000 | 2,129,219 | 0 | 2,119,219 | (80,000) | 2,039,219 |
| 230 | CRUISE MISSILE | 96,656 | | 96,656 | | 96,656 | | 96,656 |
| 240 | FLEET BALLISTIC MISSILE | 788,463 | | 788,463 | | 788,463 | | 788,463 |
| 250 | IN-SERVICE WEAPONS SYSTEMS SUPPORT | 25,945 | | 25,945 | | 25,945 | | 35,945 |
| | INSTALLATION SUPPORT AN/UYQ-70 | | | | | | 10,000 | |
| 260 | WEAPONS MAINTENANCE | 401,879 | | 411,879 | | 401,879 | | 411,879 |
| | NAVY ORDNANCE | | 10,000 | | | | 10,000 | |
| 270 | BASE SUPPORT | 111,176 | | 111,176 | | 111,176 | | 111,176 |
| 275 | REPROGRAMMING/CREDITS | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 |
| 276 | DBOF SUPPORT | 695,100 | | 695,100 | | 695,100 | (100,000) | 595,100 |
| 277 | BRAC IV PROJECTED SAVINGS | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 |

| ID | ACCOUNT/BA/AG/SAG | FY 1996 | House Change | House Authorized | Senate Change | Senate Authorization | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: | 14,846,657 | 310,000 | 15,156,657 | 47,300 | 14,893,957 | 254,595 | 15,101,252 |
| | BUDGET ACTIVITY 2: MOBILIZATION | | | | | | | |
| | READY RESERVE AND PREPOSITIONING FORCES | 511,034 | 0 | 511,034 | 0 | 511,034 | 0 | 511,034 |
| 280 | SHIP PREPOSITIONING AND SURGE | 511,034 | | 511,034 | | 511,034 | | 511,034 |
| 285 | REPROGRAMMING/CREDITS | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 |
| | ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS | 479,601 | 0 | 479,601 | 0 | 479,601 | 0 | 479,601 |
| 290 | AIRCRAFT ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS | 7,215 | | 7,215 | | 7,215 | | 7,215 |
| 300 | SHIP ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS | 472,386 | | 472,386 | | 472,386 | | 472,386 |
| 305 | REPROGRAMMING/CREDITS | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 |
| | MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS | 39,593 | 0 | 39,593 | 0 | 39,593 | 0 | 39,593 |
| 310 | FLEET HOSPITAL PROGRAM | 16,162 | | 16,162 | | 16,162 | | 16,162 |
| 320 | INDUSTRIAL READINESS | 1,917 | | 1,917 | | 1,917 | | 1,917 |
| 330 | COAST GUARD SUPPORT | 21,514 | | 21,514 | | 21,514 | | 21,514 |
| 335 | REPROGRAMMING/CREDITS | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 |
| | TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2: | 1,030,228 | 0 | 1,030,228 | 0 | 1,030,228 | 0 | 1,030,228 |
| | BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING | | | | | | | |
| | ACCESSION TRAINING | 249,069 | 0 | 249,069 | 0 | 249,069 | 0 | 249,069 |
| 340 | OFFICER ACQUISITION | 66,755 | | 66,755 | | 66,755 | | 66,755 |
| 350 | RECRUIT TRAINING | 4,667 | | 4,667 | | 4,667 | | 4,667 |
| 360 | RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS (ROTC) | 64,836 | | 64,836 | | 64,836 | | 64,836 |
| 370 | BASE SUPPORT | 112,811 | | 112,811 | | 112,811 | | 112,811 |
| 375 | REPROGRAMMING/CREDITS | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 |
| | BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING | 1,087,406 | 10,000 | 1,097,406 | 0 | 1,087,406 | 10,000 | 1,097,406 |
| 380 | SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING | 212,121 | | 212,121 | | 212,121 | | 222,121 |
| | CHEMICAL DEFENSE TRAINING | 0 | 5,000 | 5,000 | | 0 | 5,000 | |
| | CHEMICAL DEFENSE MEDICAL TRAINING | 0 | 5,000 | 5,000 | | 0 | 5,000 | |
| 390 | FLIGHT TRAINING | 273,004 | | 273,004 | | 273,004 | | 273,004 |
| 400 | PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION | 61,214 | | 61,214 | | 61,214 | | 61,214 |
| 410 | TRAINING SUPPORT | 125,237 | | 125,237 | | 125,237 | | 125,237 |
| 420 | BASE SUPPORT | 415,830 | | 415,830 | | 415,830 | | 415,830 |

| ID | ACCOUNT/BA/AG/SAG | FY 1996 | House Change | House Authorized | Senate Change | Senate Authorization | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 425 | REPROGRAMMING/CREDITS | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 |
| | **RECRUITING, AND OTHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION** | 225,217 | 0 | 225,217 | 0 | 225,217 | 5,000 | 230,217 |
| 430 | RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING | 122,820 | | 122,820 | | 122,820 | 5,000 | 127,820 |
| 440 | OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION | 54,970 | | 54,970 | | 54,970 | | 54,970 |
| 450 | CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING | 22,223 | | 22,223 | | 22,223 | | 22,223 |
| 460 | JUNIOR ROTC | 24,382 | | 24,382 | | 24,382 | | 24,382 |
| 470 | BASE SUPPORT | 822 | | 822 | | 822 | | 822 |
| 475 | REPROGRAMMING/CREDITS | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 |
| | **TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3:** | 1,561,692 | 10,000 | 1,571,692 | 0 | 1,561,692 | 15,000 | 1,576,692 |
| | **BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES** | | | | | | | |
| | **SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT** | 1,758,993 | 5,600 | 1,764,593 | 27,000 | 1,785,993 | 32,000 | 1,790,993 |
| 480 | ADMINISTRATION | 605,287 | | 605,287 | | 605,287 | | 605,287 |
| 490 | EXTERNAL RELATIONS | 21,684 | | 21,684 | | 21,684 | | 21,684 |
| 500 | CIVILIAN MANPOWER AND PERSON MANAGEMENT | 63,166 | | 63,166 | | 63,166 | | 61,166 |
| | PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT EFFICIENCIES | | | | | | (2,000) | |
| 510 | MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSON MANAGEMENT | 139,864 | | 139,864 | | 139,864 | | 139,864 |
| 520 | OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT | 395,629 | | 401,229 | | 395,629 | | 402,629 |
| | NEW PARENT SUPPORT | | 5,600 | | | 0 | 7,000 | |
| 530 | SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS | 261,463 | | 261,463 | | 261,463 | | 288,463 |
| | CHALLENGE ATHENA | 0 | | | 27,000 | 27,000 | 27,000 | |
| 540 | BASE SUPPORT | 271,900 | | 271,900 | | 271,900 | | 271,900 |
| 542 | MEDICAL ACTIVITIES (DRUG TESTING LABS) | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 |
| 545 | REPROGRAMMING/CREDITS | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 |
| | **LOGISTICS OPERATIONS AND TECHNICAL SUPPORT** | 1,453,266 | 0 | 1,453,266 | 0 | 1,453,266 | (13,500) | 1,439,766 |
| 550 | SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION | 147,132 | | 147,132 | | 147,132 | | 147,132 |
| 560 | PLANNING, ENGINEERING AND DESIGN | 249,620 | | 249,620 | | 249,620 | | 249,620 |
| 570 | ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT | 426,404 | | 426,404 | | 426,404 | | 412,904 |
| | ACQUISITION WORKFORCE SAVINGS/ACQUISITION REFORM | | | | | | (17,000) | |
| | REVERSE OSMOSIS DESALINATIORS | | | | | | 3,500 | |
| 580 | AIR SYSTEMS SUPPORT | 302,011 | | 302,011 | | 302,011 | | 302,011 |
| 590 | HULL, MECHANICAL AND ELECTRICAL SUPPORT | 60,022 | | 60,022 | | 60,022 | | 60,022 |
| 600 | COMBAT/WEAPONS SYSTEMS | 41,632 | | 41,632 | | 41,632 | | 41,632 |

| ID | ACCOUNT/BA/AG/SAG | FY 1996 | House Change | House Authorized | Senate Change | Senate Authorization | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 610 | SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEMS | 68,111 | | 68,111 | | 68,111 | | 68,111 |
| 620 | BASE SUPPORT | 158,334 | | 158,334 | | 158,334 | | 158,334 |
| 625 | PENTAGON RENOVATION TRANSFER | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 |
| | **SECURITY PROGRAMS** | 567,479 | 5,500 | 572,979 | (7,000) | 560,479 | (2,000) | 565,479 |
| 630 | SECURITY PROGRAMS (ARMS CONTROL) | 556,805 | | 562,305 | (7,000) | 549,805 | (2,000) | 554,805 |
| | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 0 | 5,500 | | | 0 | | |
| 640 | BASE SUPPORT | 10,674 | | 10,674 | | 10,674 | | 10,674 |
| | **SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS** | 7,395 | 0 | 7,395 | 0 | 7,395 | 0 | 7,395 |
| 650 | INTERNATIONAL HEADQUARTERS AND AGENCIES | 7,395 | | 7,395 | | 7,395 | | 7,395 |
| | **TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4:** | 3,787,133 | 11,100 | 3,798,233 | 20,000 | 3,807,133 | 16,500 | 3,803,633 |
| | **UNDISTRIBUTED** | | 120,700 | 120,700 | 53,900 | 53,900 | (18,650) | (18,650) |
| | REAL PROPERTY MAINTENANCE | | 150,000 | 150,000 | 110,000 | 110,000 | 155,000 | 155,000 |
| | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | | | | (10,100) | (10,100) | 2,350 | 2,350 |
| | PENATGON RENOVATION | | | | (13,000) | (13,000) | (13,000) | (13,000) |
| | ALLEGANNY BALLASTICS LAB | | | | 2,000 | 2,000 | | |
| | UNDISTRIBUTED(ALLEGANNY BALLASTICS LAB) | | | | (2,000) | (2,000) | | |
| | CIVILIAN UNDEREXECUTION | | (125,000) | (125,000) | (33,000) | (33,000) | (17,000) | (17,000) |
| | FOREIGN CURRENCY FLUCTUATION | | 77,000 | 77,000 | | | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| | MILITARY/CIVILIAN CONVERSIONS | | 60,000 | 60,000 | | | 9,000 | 9,000 |
| | MWR PORTABILITY | | 10,000 | 10,000 | | | 0 | 0 |
| | ADVANCE BILLING RELIEF | | 87,000 | 87,000 | | | 0 | 0 |
| | MILITARY END STRENGTH | | 20,400 | 20,400 | | | 20,400 | 20,400 |
| | PRINTING EFFICIENCIES | | (4,000) | (4,000) | | | (4,000) | (4,000) |
| | INSPECTOR GENERAL CONSOLIDATION | | (20,000) | (20,000) | | | | |
| | REDUCED AUDITS | | (10,000) | (10,000) | | | (5,000) | (5,000) |
| | TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENTS | | (7,200) | (7,200) | | | (7,200) | (7,200) |
| | INVENTORY REFORM | | (60,000) | (60,000) | | | (60,000) | (60,000) |
| | FUEL SAVINGS | | (50,000) | (50,000) | | | (100,000) | (100,000) |
| | NEXCOM 2nd DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION | | (7,500) | (7,500) | | | (7,500) | (7,500) |
| | ADMINISTRATIVE TRAVEL SAVINGS | | | | | | (28,500) | (28,500) |
| | NSIPS | | | | | | 2,500 | 2,500 |
| | PROVIDE COMFORT/ENHANCED SOUTHERN WATCH | | | | | | 75,300 | 75,300 |
| | TOMAHAWK MISSILE RECERTIFICATION | | | | | | (9,000) | (9,000) |
| | SUPPLY MANAGEMENT REFORMS | | | | | | (37,000) | (37,000) |

| ID | ACCOUNT/BA/AG/SAG | FY 1996 | House Change | House Authorized | Senate Change | Senate Authorization | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY | 21,225,710 | 451,800 | 21,677,510 | 121,200 | 21,346,910 | 267,445 | 21,493,155 |
| | OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS | | | | | | | |
| | BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES | | | | | | | |
| | EXPEDITIONARY FORCES | 1,544,019 | 105,000 | 1,649,019 | 94,000 | 1,638,019 | 130,000 | 1,674,019 |
| 10 | OPERATIONAL FORCES | 334,133 | | 334,133 | | 334,133 | | 344,133 |
| | OPERATING TEMPO | | | | | | 10,000 | |
| 20 | FIELD LOGISTICS | 158,299 | | 158,299 | | 158,299 | | 158,299 |
| 30 | DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 148,574 | 55,000 | 203,574 | 54,000 | 202,574 | 54,000 | 202,574 |
| 40 | BASE SUPPORT | 903,013 | 25,000 | 953,013 | 30,000 | 933,013 | 25,000 | 969,013 |
| | PERSONNEL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 0 | 25,000 | | | 0 | 25,000 | |
| | EXTENDED COLD WEATHER CLOTHING/INITIAL ISSUE EQUIP | 0 | | 0 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 16,000 | |
| 45 | REPROGRAMMING/CREDITS | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 |
| | USMC PREPOSITIONING | 85,435 | 0 | 85,435 | (4,000) | 81,435 | (2,100) | 83,335 |
| 50 | MARITIME PREPOSITIONING | 77,416 | | 77,416 | | 77,416 | | 77,416 |
| 60 | NORWAY PREPOSITIONING | 8,019 | | 8,019 | (4,000) | 4,019 | (2,100) | 5,919 |
| 65 | REPROGRAMMING/CREDITS | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 |
| | TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: | 1,629,454 | 105,000 | 1,734,454 | 90,000 | 1,719,454 | 127,900 | 1,757,354 |
| | BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING | | | | | | | |
| | ACCESSION TRAINING | 74,165 | 4,000 | 78,165 | 0 | 74,165 | 5,000 | 79,165 |
| 70 | RECRUIT TRAINING | 7,343 | | 7,343 | | 7,343 | | 7,343 |
| 80 | OFFICER ACQUISITION | 268 | | 268 | | 268 | | 268 |
| 90 | BASE SUPPORT | 66,554 | | 70,554 | | 66,554 | | 71,554 |
| | NEW PARENT SUPPORT | 0 | 4,000 | | | 0 | 5,000 | |
| 95 | REPROGRAMMING/CREDITS | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 |
| | BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING | 175,769 | 10,000 | 185,769 | 0 | 175,769 | 10,000 | 185,769 |
| 100 | SPECIALIZED SKILLS TRAINING | 25,057 | | 35,057 | | 25,057 | | 35,057 |
| | CHEMICAL DEFENSE TRAINING | 0 | 5,000 | | | 0 | 5,000 | |

| ID | ACCOUNT/BA/AG/SAG | FY 1996 | House Change | House Authorized | Senate Change | Senate Authorization | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | CHEMICAL DEFENSE MEDICAL TRAINING | 0 | 5,000 | | | 0 | 5,000 | |
| 110 | FLIGHT TRAINING | 165 | | 165 | | 165 | | 165 |
| 120 | PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION | 5,792 | | 5,792 | | 5,792 | | 5,792 |
| 130 | TRAINING SUPPORT | 74,964 | | 74,964 | | 74,964 | | 74,964 |
| 140 | BASE SUPPORT | 69,791 | | 69,791 | | 69,791 | | 69,791 |
| 145 | REPROGRAMMING/CREDITS | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 |
| | **RECRUITING AND OTHER TRAINING EDUCATION** | 93,176 | 6,800 | 99,976 | 5,000 | 98,176 | 4,000 | 97,176 |
| 150 | RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING | 61,037 | 6,800 | 67,837 | 5,000 | 66,037 | 4,000 | 65,037 |
| 160 | OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION | 11,055 | | 11,055 | | 11,055 | | 11,055 |
| 170 | JUNIOR ROTC | 7,588 | | 7,588 | | 7,588 | | 7,588 |
| 180 | BASE SUPPORT | 13,496 | | 13,496 | | 13,496 | | 13,496 |
| 185 | REPROGRAMMING/CREDITS | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 |
| | **TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3:** | 343,110 | 20,800 | 363,910 | 5,000 | 348,110 | 19,000 | 362,110 |
| | **BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES** | | | | | | | |
| | **SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT** | 297,158 | 0 | 297,158 | 0 | 297,158 | 0 | 297,158 |
| 190 | LOGISTICS SUPPORT | 95,596 | | 95,596 | | 95,596 | | 95,596 |
| 200 | SPECIAL SUPPORT | 131,023 | | 131,023 | | 131,023 | | 131,023 |
| 210 | SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION | 31,931 | | 31,931 | | 31,931 | | 31,931 |
| 220 | ADMINISTRATION | 28,523 | | 28,523 | | 28,523 | | 28,523 |
| 230 | BASE SUPPORT | 10,085 | | 10,085 | | 10,085 | | 10,085 |
| 235 | REPROGRAMMING/CREDITS | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 |
| | **TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4:** | 297,158 | 0 | 297,158 | 0 | 297,158 | 0 | 297,158 |
| | **UNDISTRIBUTED** | | 208,100 | 208,100 | 41,000 | 41,000 | 105,200 | 105,200 |
| | REAL PROPERTY MAINTENANCE | | 100,000 | 100,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 |
| | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | | | | 1,000 | 1,000 | 0 | 0 |
| | FOREIGN CURRENCY FLUCTUATION | | 35,000 | 35,000 | | | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| | MILITARY/CIVILIAN CONVERSIONS | | 20,000 | 20,000 | | | 10,000 | 10,000 |
| | MWR PORTABILITY | | 2,000 | 2,000 | | | 0 | 0 |
| | ADVANCED BILLING RELIEF | | 37,400 | 37,400 | | | 0 | 0 |
| | MILITARY END STRENGTH | | 6,800 | 6,800 | | | 6,800 | 6,800 |
| | TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENTS | | (3,100) | (3,100) | | | (3,100) | (3,100) |
| | ADMINISTRTATIVE TRAVEL SAVINGS | | | | | | (9,500) | (9,500) |

| ID | ACCOUNT/BA/AG/SAG | FY 1996 | House Change | House Authorized | Senate Change | Senate Authorization | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | INCLEMENT WEATHER GEAR | | 10,000 | 10,000 | | | 0 | 0 |
| | **TOTAL, O&M, MARINE CORPS** | 2,269,722 | 333,900 | 2,603,622 | 136,000 | 2,405,722 | 252,100 | 2,521,822 |
| | **OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE** | | | | | | | |
| | **BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES** | | | | | | | |
| | **AIR OPERATIONS** | 7,260,977 | 100,000 | 7,360,977 | 25,000 | 7,285,977 | 70,200 | 7,331,177 |
| 10 | PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES | 2,684,913 | | 2,684,913 | | 2,684,913 | | 2,720,113 |
| | EXCESS FUNDED CARRYOVER | | | | | | (27,000) | |
| | MISSION READINESS TRAINING | | | | | | 25,200 | |
| | PRECISION WEAPONS | | | | | | 1,000 | |
| | SPARES FUNDING | | | | | | 36,000 | |
| 20 | PRIMARY COMBAT WEAPONS | 409,701 | | 409,701 | | 409,701 | (20,000) | 389,701 |
| 30 | COMBAT ENHANCEMENT FORCES | 257,139 | | 257,139 | | 257,139 | | 257,139 |
| 40 | AIR OPERATIONS TRAINING | 647,570 | | 647,570 | | 647,570 | | 655,470 |
| | CARIBEAN BASIN RADARS | | | | | | 3,000 | |
| | SIMULATION ENHANCEMENTS | | | | | | 4,900 | |
| 50 | COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS | 854,442 | | 854,442 | | 854,442 | (7,900) | 846,542 |
| 60 | BASE SUPPORT | 2,407,212 | 100,000 | 2,507,212 | 25,000 | 2,432,212 | 55,000 | 2,462,212 |
| 65 | REPROGRAMMING/CREDITS | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 |
| | **COMBAT RELATED OPERATIONS** | 1,509,701 | 0 | 1,509,701 | 0 | 1,509,701 | 4,000 | 1,513,701 |
| 70 | GLOBAL C3I AND EARLY WARNING | 826,526 | | 826,526 | | 826,526 | | 830,526 |
| | RIVET JOINT | | | | | | 4,000 | |
| 80 | NAVIGATION/WEATHER SUPPORT | 128,374 | | 128,374 | | 128,374 | | 128,374 |
| 90 | OTHER COMBAT OPS SUPPORT PROGRAMS | 210,481 | | 210,481 | | 210,481 | | 210,481 |
| 100 | JCS EXERCISES | 41,793 | | 41,793 | | 41,793 | | 41,793 |
| 110 | MANAGEMENT/OPERATIONAL HEADQUARTERS | 111,914 | | 111,914 | | 111,914 | | 111,914 |
| 120 | TACTICAL INTEL AND OTHER SPECIAL ACTIVITIES | 190,613 | | 190,613 | | 190,613 | | 190,613 |
| | | | | 0 | | | | |
| | **SPACE OPERATIONS** | 1,245,644 | 0 | 1,245,644 | 0 | 1,245,644 | 0 | 1,245,644 |
| 130 | LAUNCH FACILITIES | 254,590 | | 254,590 | | 254,590 | | 254,590 |
| 140 | LAUNCH VEHICLES | 117,482 | | 117,482 | | 117,482 | | 117,482 |
| 150 | SPACE CONTROL SYSTEMS | 341,862 | | 341,862 | | 341,862 | | 341,862 |
| 160 | SATELLITE SYSTEMS | 49,132 | | 49,132 | | 49,132 | | 49,132 |

| ID | ACCOUNT/BA/AG/SAG | FY 1996 | House Change | House Authorized | Senate Change | Senate Authorization | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 170 | OTHER SPACE OPERATIONS | 79,989 | | 79,989 | | 79,989 | | 79,989 |
| 180 | BASE SUPPORT | 402,589 | | 402,589 | | 402,589 | | 402,589 |
| | **TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1:** | 10,016,322 | 100,000 | 10,116,322 | 25,000 | 10,041,322 | 74,200 | 10,090,522 |
| | **BUDGET ACTIVITY 2: MOBILIZATION** | | | | | | | |
| | **MOBILITY OPERATIONS** | 2,523,373 | 0 | 2,523,373 | 0 | 2,523,373 | (31,000) | 2,492,373 |
| 190 | AIRLIFT OPERATIONS | 1,544,785 | | 1,544,785 | | 1,544,785 | | 1,533,785 |
| | KC-135s | | | | | | 2,000 | |
| | EXCESS FUNDING CARRYOVER | | | | | | (13,000) | |
| 200 | AIRLIFT OPERATIONS C3I | 10,961 | | 10,961 | | 10,961 | | 10,961 |
| 210 | MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS | 160,110 | | 160,110 | | 160,110 | | 160,110 |
| 220 | PAYMENTS TO TRANSPORTATION BUSINESS AREA | 293,027 | | 293,027 | | 293,027 | (20,000) | 273,027 |
| 230 | BASE SUPPORT | 514,490 | | 514,490 | | 514,490 | | 514,490 |
| | **TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2:** | 2,523,373 | 0 | 2,523,373 | 0 | 2,523,373 | (31,000) | 2,492,373 |
| | **BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING** | | | | | | | |
| | **ACCESSION TRAINING** | 183,970 | 0 | 183,970 | 0 | 183,970 | 0 | 183,970 |
| 240 | OFFICER ACQUISITION | 49,197 | | 49,197 | | 49,197 | | 49,197 |
| 250 | RECRUIT TRAINING | 3,881 | | 3,881 | | 3,881 | | 3,881 |
| 260 | RESERVE OFFICER TRAINING CORPS (ROTC) | 39,226 | | 39,226 | | 39,226 | | 39,226 |
| 270 | BASE SUPPORT (ACADEMIES ONLY) | 91,666 | | 91,666 | | 91,666 | | 91,666 |
| 275 | REPROGRAMMING/CREDITS | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 |
| | **BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING** | 1,230,608 | 10,000 | 1,240,608 | 0 | 1,230,608 | 0 | 1,230,608 |
| 280 | SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING | 204,465 | | 204,465 | | 204,465 | | 214,465 |
| | CHEMICAL DEFENSE TRAINING | 0 | 5,000 | 5,000 | | 0 | 5,000 | |
| | CHEMICAL DEFENSE MEDICAL TRAINING | 0 | 5,000 | 5,000 | | 0 | 5,000 | |
| 290 | FLIGHT TRAINING | 336,956 | | 336,956 | | 336,956 | | 326,956 |
| | UNDERGRADUATE PILOT TRAINING | | | | | | (10,000) | |
| 300 | PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION | 78,688 | | 78,688 | | 78,688 | | 78,688 |
| 310 | TRAINING SUPPORT | 65,048 | | 65,048 | | 65,048 | | 65,048 |
| 320 | BASE SUPPORT (OTHER TRAINING) | 545,451 | | 545,451 | | 545,451 | | 545,451 |
| 325 | REPROGRAMMING/CREDITS | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 |

| ID | ACCOUNT/BA/AG/SAG | FY 1996 | House Change | House Authorized | Senate Change | Senate Authorization | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | **RECRUITING, AND OTHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION** | 226,182 | 16,700 | 242,882 | 5,000 | 231,182 | 9,000 | 235,182 |
| 330 | RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING | 44,827 | 6,000 | 50,827 | 5,000 | 49,827 | 5,000 | 49,827 |
| 340 | EXAMINING | 3,122 | | 3,122 | | 3,122 | | 3,122 |
| 350 | OFF DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION | 75,537 | | 75,537 | | 75,537 | | 79,537 |
| | TUITION ASSISTANCE | 0 | 10,700 | 10,700 | | 0 | 4,000 | |
| 360 | CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING | 77,304 | | 77,304 | | 77,304 | | 77,304 |
| 370 | JUNIOR ROTC | 25,392 | | 25,392 | | 25,392 | | 25,392 |
| 375 | REPROGRAMMING/CREDITS | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 |
| | **TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3:** | 1,640,760 | 26,700 | 1,667,460 | 5,000 | 1,645,760 | 9,000 | 1,649,760 |
| | **BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES** | | | | | | | |
| | **LOGISTICS OPERATIONS** | 2,280,043 | 97,680 | 2,377,723 | 0 | 2,280,043 | (36,020) | 2,244,023 |
| 380 | LOGISTICS OPERATIONS | 790,324 | 85,000 | 875,324 | | 790,324 | | 754,304 |
| | ACQUISITION WORKFORCE SAVINGS | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | (40,000) | |
| | B-1 MAINTENANCE | 0 | 3,980 | 3,980 | | 0 | 3,980 | |
| 390 | TECHNICAL SUPPORT ACTIVITIES | 365,535 | | 365,535 | | 365,535 | | 365,535 |
| 400 | SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION | 234,836 | | 234,836 | | 234,836 | | 234,836 |
| 410 | BASE SUPPORT | 889,348 | | 898,048 | | 889,348 | (9,200) | 889,348 |
| | TICARRS | 0 | 8,700 | | | | 8,700 | |
| | CAMS/REMIS | | | | | | 500 | |
| 415 | REPROGRAMMING/CREDITS | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 |
| | **SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES** | 1,335,859 | 3,600 | 1,339,459 | 0 | 1,335,859 | (500) | 1,335,359 |
| 420 | ADMINISTRATION | 118,319 | | 118,319 | | 118,319 | | 112,819 |
| | ADMINISTRATIVE EFFICIENCIES | | | | | | (8,000) | |
| | STRATCOM | | | | | | 2,500 | |
| 430 | SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS | 318,240 | | 318,240 | | 318,240 | | 318,240 |
| 440 | PERSONNEL PROGRAMS | 84,766 | | 84,766 | | 84,766 | (3,000) | 81,766 |
| 450 | RESCUE AND RECOVERY SERVICES | 40,426 | | 40,426 | | 40,426 | 4,400 | 44,826 |
| 460 | SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND | 48,429 | | 48,429 | | 48,429 | | 48,429 |
| 470 | ARMS CONTROL | 34,645 | | 34,645 | | 34,645 | | 34,645 |
| 480 | OTHER SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES | 396,155 | | 396,155 | | 396,155 | | 396,155 |
| 490 | OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT | 32,080 | | 32,080 | | 32,080 | | 32,080 |
| 500 | CIVIL AIR PATROL CORPORATION | 14,704 | | 14,704 | | 14,704 | | 14,704 |

| ID | ACCOUNT/BA/AG/SAG | FY 1996 | House Change | House Authorized | Senate Change | Senate Authorization | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 510 | BASE SUPPORT | 248,095 | | 248,095 | | 248,095 | | 251,695 |
| | NEW PARENT SUPPORT | 0 | 3,600 | 3,600 | | 0 | 3,600 | |
| 515 | PENTAGON RENOVATION TRANSFER | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 |
| | **SECURITY PROGRAMS** | 447,218 | (18,515) | 428,703 | (8,000) | 439,218 | (2,000) | 445,218 |
| 520 | SECURITY PROGRAMS (ARMS CONTROL) | 447,218 | | 447,218 | (8,000) | 439,218 | (2,000) | 445,218 |
| | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 0 | (18,515) | (18,515) | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | **SUPPORT TO OTHER NATIONS** | 13,022 | 0 | 13,022 | 0 | 13,022 | 0 | 13,022 |
| 530 | INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT | 13,022 | | 13,022 | | 13,022 | | 13,022 |
| | **TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4:** | 4,076,142 | 82,765 | 4,158,907 | (8,000) | 4,068,142 | (38,520) | 4,037,622 |
| | **UNDISTRIBUTED** | | 518,100 | 518,100 | (48,500) | (48,500) | 449,000 | 449,000 |
| | REAL PROPERTY MAINTENANCE | | 320,000 | 320,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 205,000 | 205,000 |
| | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | | | | 13,400 | 13,400 | 18,100 | 18,100 |
| | REDUCTION(Civil Air Patrol) | | | | (2,900) | (2,900) | 0 | 0 |
| | PENTAGON RENOVATION | | | | (13,000) | (13,000) | (13,000) | (13,000) |
| | CIVILIAN UNDEREXECUTION | | (65,000) | (65,000) | (61,000) | (61,000) | (72,000) | (72,000) |
| | FOREIGN CURRENCY FLUCTUATION | | 203,000 | 203,000 | | | 7,200 | 7,200 |
| | MILITARY/CIVILIAN CONVERSIONS | | 90,000 | 90,000 | | | 13,500 | 13,500 |
| | MWR PORTABILITY | | 13,000 | 13,000 | | | 0 | 0 |
| | ADVANCE BILLING RELIEF | | 87,000 | 87,000 | | | 0 | 0 |
| | MILITARY END STRENGTH | | 20,400 | 20,400 | | | 20,400 | 20,400 |
| | EDCARS/DSREDS | | 2,000 | 2,000 | | | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| | PRINTING EFFICIENCIES | | (3,000) | (3,000) | | | (3,000) | (3,000) |
| | INSPECTOR GENERAL CONSOLIDATION | | (11,000) | (11,000) | | | | |
| | REDUCED AUDITS | | (13,000) | (13,000) | | | (5,000) | (5,000) |
| | TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENTS | | (15,300) | (15,300) | | | (15,300) | (15,300) |
| | INVENTORY REFORM | | (60,000) | (60,000) | | | (60,000) | (60,000) |
| | FUEL SAVINGS | | (50,000) | (50,000) | | | | |
| | ADMINISTRATIVE TRAVEL SAVINGS | | | | | | (28,500) | (28,500) |
| | PROVIDE COMFORT/ENHANCED SOUTHERN WATCH | | | | | | 393,200 | 393,200 |
| | SUPPLY MANAGEMENT REFORMS | | | | | | (13,600) | (13,600) |
| | **TOTAL, O&M, AIR FORCE** | 18,256,597 | 727,565 | 18,984,162 | (26,500) | 18,230,097 | 462,680 | 18,719,277 |

| ID | ACCOUNT/BA/AG/SAG | FY 1996 | House Change | House Authorized | Senate Change | Senate Authorization | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | **OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE** | | | | | | | |
| | **BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES** | 1,494,453 | 100,000 | 1,594,453 | 0 | 1,494,453 | 64,500 | 1,558,953 |
| 10 | JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF | 475,977 | | 475,977 | | 475,977 | | 530,977 |
| | MOBILITY ENHANCEMENTS | | 100,000 | 100,000 | | | 50,000 | |
| | NORTHERN EDGE | | | | | | 5,000 | |
| 20 | SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND | 1,018,476 | | 1,018,476 | | 1,018,476 | | 1,027,976 |
| | SEAL DELIVERY VEHICLE TEAM ONE | | | | | | 1,000 | |
| | PROVIDE COMFORT/ENHANCED SOUTHERN WATCH | | | | | | 8,500 | |
| | **TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1:** | 1,494,453 | 100,000 | 1,594,453 | 0 | 1,494,453 | 64,500 | 1,558,953 |
| | **BUDGET ACTIVITY 2: MOBILIZATION** | 71,438 | 0 | 71,438 | (45,438) | 26,000 | (45,438) | 26,000 |
| 30 | DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY | 26,000 | | 26,000 | | 26,000 | | 26,000 |
| 35 | OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 |
| 40 | WASHINGTON HQ SERVICES (DISASTER RELIEF) | 45,438 | 0 | 45,438 | (45,438) | 0 | (45,438) | 0 |
| | **TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2:** | 71,438 | 0 | 71,438 | (45,438) | 26,000 | (45,438) | 26,000 |
| | BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING | | 0 | | | | | 121,160 |
| 50 | DEFENSE ACQUISITION UNIVERSITY | 112,991 | | 112,991 | 0 | 112,991 | (11,500) | 101,491 |
| 60 | DEFENSE BUSINESS MANAGEMENT UNIVERSITY | 19,669 | | 19,669 | 0 | 19,669 | | 19,669 |
| | **TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3:** | 132,660 | 0 | 132,660 | 0 | 132,660 | (11,500) | 121,160 |
| | **BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES** | 8,599,036 | (35,178) | 8,518,520 | (245,982) | 8,353,054 | (372,806) | 8,226,230 |
| 70 | AMERICAN FORCES INFORMATION SERVICE | 90,892 | 0 | 90,892 | | 90,892 | | 90,892 |
| 80 | CORPORATE INFORMATION MANAGEMENT | 127,967 | | 127,967 | | 127,967 | | 139,167 |
| | JOINT ANALYTIC MODEL IMPROVEMENT PLAN | 0 | | 0 | 11,200 | 11,200 | 11,200 | |
| 90 | CLASSIFIED AND INTELLIGENCE | 3,350,037 | 452 | 3,350,489 | | 3,350,037 | | 3,350,037 |
| 100 | DEFENSE CIVILIAN PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT SERVICE | 45,631 | | 45,631 | | 45,631 | (2,400) | 43,231 |
| 110 | DEFENSE CONTRACT AUDIT AGENCY | 342,926 | | 342,926 | | 342,926 | | 332,126 |
| | ACQUISITION WORK FORCE SAVINGS | | | | | 0 | (10,800) | |
| 120 | DEFENSE INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE | 201,582 | | 201,582 | | 201,582 | (2,000) | 199,582 |
| 130 | DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY | 1,055,996 | | 1,070,996 | (3,000) | 1,052,996 | | 1,059,296 |
| | HOMELESS INITIATIVE | | | | | | (3,000) | |
| | ACQUISITION WORK FORCE SAVINGS | | | | | | (10,700) | |
| | PROCUREMENT TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM | 0 | 10,000 | | 12,000 | 12,000 | 12,000 | |

| ID | ACCOUNT/BA/AG/SAG | FY 1996 | House Change | House Authorized | Senate Change | Senate Authorization | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | DEBT COLLECTION DEMONSTRATION | 0 | 5,000 | | | 0 | 5,000 | |
| 140 | DEFENSE LEGAL SERVICES AGENCY | 6,540 | | 6,540 | | 6,540 | | 6,540 |
| 150 | DEFENSE MAPPING AGENCY | 734,438 | | 734,438 | | 734,438 | | 714,538 |
| | MINOR EQUIPMENT | | | | | | (13,800) | |
| | INTERNET ACCESS | | | | | | (600) | |
| | PRODUCTIVITY IMPROVEMENTS | | | | | | (4,500) | |
| | PERSONNEL REGIONALIZATION | | | | | | (1,000) | |
| 160 | DEFENSE NUCLEAR AGENCY | 96,105 | | 96,105 | | 96,105 | | 96,105 |
| 170 | DEFENSE POW/MIA OFFICE | 13,486 | | 13,486 | | 13,486 | | 13,486 |
| 180 | FEDERAL ENERGY MANAGEMENT PROGRAM | 234,682 | | 234,682 | (184,682) | 50,000 | (184,682) | 50,000 |
| 190 | DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE DEPENDENTS EDUCATION | 1,292,684 | | 1,292,684 | | 1,292,684 | | 1,281,129 |
| | OVERHEAD | | | | | | (10,000) | |
| | RELOCATION ASSITANCE | | | | | | (2,055) | |
| | DoDDS MATHMATICS TEACHERS LEADERSHIP PROJECT | | | | | | 500 | |
| 200 | DEFENSE SUPPORT ACTIVITIES | 82,562 | | 82,562 | | 82,562 | | 82,562 |
| 210 | DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY SECURITY ADMINISTRATION | 10,858 | | 10,858 | | 10,858 | | 10,858 |
| 220 | JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF | 97,873 | | 97,873 | | 97,873 | | 97,873 |
| 230 | OFFICE OF ECONOMIC ADJUSTMENT | 59,078 | 1,500 | 60,578 | | 59,078 | 1,500 | 60,578 |
| 240 | OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE | 349,291 | | 297,161 | | 349,291 | | 213,422 |
| | ACQUISITION WORK FORCE SAVIINGS | | | | | | (400) | |
| | DFAS SAVINGS | | | | | | (20,000) | |
| | ACQUISITION PROGRAM GROWTH | | | | | | (4,200) | |
| | MANAGEMENT EFFICIENCIES | | | | | | (24,669) | |
| | CONSULTING SERVICIES | | | | | | (20,700) | |
| | STAFFING REDUCTIONS | | | | | | (6,400) | |
| | NG YOUTH & CIVIL/MILITARY COOPERATION PROGRAMS | 0 | (68,830) | | (69,500) | (69,500) | (69,500) | |
| | JOINT RECRUITING ADVERTISING PROGRAM | 0 | 16,700 | | | 0 | 10,000 | |
| 245 | OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE (NO YEAR) | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 |
| 250 | ON SITE INSPECTION AGENCY (ARMS CONTROL) | 97,987 | | 97,987 | (12,000) | 85,987 | (12,000) | 85,987 |
| 260 | WASHINGTON HEADQUARTERS SERVICES | 308,421 | | 263,083 | | 308,421 | | 298,821 |
| | INVENTORY GROWTH | | | | | | (9,600) | |
| | PENTAGON RENOVATION TRANSFER | | | | | | | |
| | DISASTER RELIEF | | (45,338) | | | | | |
| | **TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4:** | 8,599,036 | (80,516) | 8,518,520 | (245,982) | 8,353,054 | (372,806) | 8,226,230 |
| | **BUDGET ACTIVITY 5: INTEREST** | | | | | | | |
| 270 | DEFENSE BUSINESS MANAGEMENT UNIVERSITY | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| ID | ACCOUNT/BA/AG/SAG | FY 1996 | House Change | House Authorized | Senate Change | Senate Authorization | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 5: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | BUDGET ACTIVITY 6: CAPITAL LEASE | | | | | | | |
| 280 | DEFENSE BUSINESS MANAGEMENT UNIVERSITY | 69,195 | (69,195) | 0 | 0 | 69,195 | 0 | 69,195 |
| | TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 6: | 69,195 | (69,195) | 0 | 0 | 69,195 | 0 | 69,195 |
| | UNDISTRIBUTED | 0 | 364,800 | 364,800 | (39,495) | (39,495) | (91,062) | (91,062) |
| | CLASSIFEID PROGRAMS | | | | (18,500) | (18,500) | (9,867) | (9,867) |
| | TROOPS TO TEACHERS | | | | 42,000 | 42,000 | 0 | 0 |
| | TROOPS TO COPS | | | | 10,000 | 10,000 | 0 | 0 |
| | UNDISTRIBUTED (JROTC) | | | | (12,295) | (12,295) | | |
| | PENTAGON RENOVATION | | | | (14,000) | (14,000) | (14,000) | (14,000) |
| | UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION (Air Force Reserve) | | | | (10,000) | (10,000) | | |
| | UNDISTRIBUTED (OHDACA) | | | | (40,000) | (40,000) | | |
| | MULTI-TECH AUTO READER CARD | | | | 8,000 | 8,000 | 8,000 | 8,000 |
| | CIVILIAN UNDEREXECUTION | | (125,000) | (125,000) | (57,700) | (57,700) | (45,000) | (45,000) |
| | TRAVEL | | | | (72,000) | (72,000) | (33,500) | (33,500) |
| | ONGOING OPERATION (Not Budgeted) | | | | 125,000 | 125,000 | 5,300 | 5,300 |
| | JOINT MARKET RESEARCH PROGRAM | | 2,000 | 2,000 | | | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| | FAMILY ADVOCACY | | 30,000 | 30,000 | | | 30,000 | 30,000 |
| | FOREIGN CURRENCY FLUCTUATION | | 56,000 | 56,000 | | | 6,400 | 6,400 |
| | INNOVATIVE PROCESSES | | 350,000 | 350,000 | | | 0 | 0 |
| | EDUCATIONAL IMPACT AID | | 58,000 | 58,000 | | | 35,000 | 35,000 |
| | CONTRACTOR OUTSOURCING TRAINING | | 10,000 | 10,000 | | | 0 | 0 |
| | DIGITAL IMAGING I.D. | | 2,000 | 2,000 | | | 0 | 0 |
| | TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENTS | | (18,200) | (18,200) | | | (18,200) | (18,200) |
| | INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY | | | | | | 12,000 | 12,000 |
| | UNDISTRIBUTED (DBMU) | | | | | | (69,195) | (69,195) |
| | TOTAL, O&M, DEFENSE-WIDE | 10,366,782 | 315,089 | 10,681,871 | (330,915) | 10,035,867 | (456,306) | 9,910,476 |
| | OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE | | | | | | | |
| | BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES | | | | | | | |
| | MISSION OPERATIONS | 958,790 | 44,000 | 1,002,790 | 0 | 958,790 | 44,000 | 1,002,790 |

| ID | ACCOUNT/BA/AG/SAG | FY 1996 | House Change | House Authorized | Senate Change | Senate Authorization | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 10 | BASE SUPPORT | 284,036 | | 284,036 | | 284,036 | | 284,036 |
| 20 | DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 57,377 | | 57,377 | | 57,377 | | 57,377 |
| 30 | RECRUITING AND RETENTION | 43,963 | 4,000 | 47,963 | | 43,963 | 4,000 | 47,963 |
| 40 | TRAINING OPERATIONS | 573,414 | 40,000 | 613,414 | | 573,414 | 40,000 | 613,414 |
| | **TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1:** | 958,790 | 44,000 | 1,002,790 | 0 | 958,790 | 44,000 | 1,002,790 |
| | **BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES** | | | | | | | |
| | **ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES** | 109,801 | 0 | 109,801 | 0 | 109,801 | 0 | 109,801 |
| 50 | INFORMATION MANAGEMENT | 17,492 | | 17,492 | | 17,492 | | 17,492 |
| 60 | PUBLIC AFFAIRS | 423 | | 423 | | 423 | | 423 |
| 70 | PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION | 61,941 | | 61,941 | | 61,941 | | 61,941 |
| 80 | STAFF MANAGEMENT | 29,945 | | 29,945 | | 29,945 | | 29,945 |
| | **TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4:** | 109,801 | 0 | 109,801 | 0 | 109,801 | 0 | 109,801 |
| | **UNDISTRIBUTED** | | 27,000 | 27,000 | (6,000) | (6,000) | 16,600 | 16,600 |
| | CIVILIAN UNDEREXECUTION | | | | (6,000) | (6,000) | (6,000) | (6,000) |
| | REAL PROPERTY MAINTENANCE | | 17,000 | 17,000 | | | 17,000 | 17,000 |
| | RESERVE MILITARY/CIVILIAN TECHNICIAN RESTORATION | | 5,000 | 5,000 | | | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| | MEDICAL/DENTAL COMPENSATION | | 5,000 | 5,000 | | | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| | RESERVE COMPONENT AUTOMATION SYSTEM | | | | | | (4,400) | (4,400) |
| | **TOTAL, O&M, ARMY RESERVE** | 1,068,591 | 71,000 | 1,139,591 | (6,000) | 1,062,591 | 60,600 | 1,129,191 |
| | **OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE** | | | | | | | |
| | **BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES** | | | | | | | |
| | **RESERVE AIR OPERATIONS** | 491,949 | 0 | 491,949 | 14,800 | 506,749 | 19,800 | 511,749 |
| 10 | MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS | 291,673 | | 291,673 | | 291,673 | | 306,473 |
| | P-3 SQUADRON OPERATIONS | 0 | | 0 | 14,800 | 14,800 | 14,800 | |
| 20 | INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE | 17,813 | | 17,813 | | 17,813 | | 17,813 |
| 30 | AIR OPERATION AND SAFETY SUPPORT | 1,915 | | 1,915 | | 1,915 | | 1,915 |
| 40 | AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 49,338 | | 49,338 | | 49,338 | 5,000 | 54,338 |
| 50 | AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPS SUPPORT | 356 | | 356 | | 356 | | 356 |

| ID | ACCOUNT/BA/AG/SAG | FY 1996 | House Change | House Authorized | Senate Change | Senate Authorization | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 60 | BASE SUPPORT | 130,854 | | 130,854 | | 130,854 | | 130,854 |
| | **RESERVE SHIP OPERATIONS** | 157,940 | 0 | 157,940 | 0 | 157,940 | 0 | 157,940 |
| 70 | MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS | 60,895 | | 60,895 | | 60,895 | | 60,895 |
| 80 | SHIP OPERATIONAL SUPPORT AND TRAINING | 658 | | 658 | | 658 | | 658 |
| 90 | INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE | 23,990 | | 23,990 | | 23,990 | | 23,990 |
| 100 | SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 70,930 | | 70,930 | | 70,930 | | 70,930 |
| 110 | SHIP DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 1,467 | | 1,467 | | 1,467 | | 1,467 |
| | **RESERVE COMBAT OPERATIONS SUPPORT** | 78,434 | 0 | 78,434 | 0 | 78,434 | 0 | 78,434 |
| 120 | COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS | 817 | | 817 | | 817 | | 817 |
| 130 | COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES | 25,207 | | 25,207 | | 25,207 | | 25,207 |
| 140 | BASE SUPPORT | 52,410 | | 52,410 | | 52,410 | | 52,410 |
| | **RESERVE WEAPONS SUPPORT** | 5,641 | 0 | 5,641 | 0 | 5,641 | 0 | 5,641 |
| 150 | WEAPONS MAINTENANCE | 5,641 | | 5,641 | | 5,641 | | 5,641 |
| | **TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1:** | 733,964 | 0 | 733,964 | 14,800 | 748,764 | 19,800 | 753,764 |
| | **BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES** | 92,078 | 0 | 92,078 | 0 | 92,078 | 0 | 92,078 |
| 160 | ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES | | | 0 | | | | 0 |
| 170 | ADMINISTRATION | 8,029 | | 8,029 | | 0 | | 8,029 |
| 180 | CIVILIAN MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT | 3,222 | | 3,222 | | 8,029 | | 3,222 |
| 190 | MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT | 31,209 | | 31,209 | | 3,222 | | 31,209 |
| 200 | OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT | 21,247 | | 21,247 | | 31,209 | | 21,247 |
| 210 | SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS | 25,723 | | 25,723 | | 21,247 | | 25,723 |
| 220 | BASE SUPPORT | 2,648 | | 2,648 | | 25,723 | | 2,648 |
| | COMBAT/WEAPONS SYSTEMS | | | | | 2,648 | | 0 |
| | **TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4:** | 92,078 | 0 | 92,078 | 0 | 92,078 | 0 | 92,078 |
| | **UNDISTRIBUTED** | 0 | 12,000 | 12,000 | 0 | 0 | 22,500 | 22,500 |
| | REAL PROPERTY MAINTENANCE | | 12,000 | 12,000 | | | 20,000 | 20,000 |
| | NSIPS | | | | | | 2,500 | 2,500 |
| | **TOTAL, O&M, NAVY RESERVE** | 826,042 | 12,000 | 838,042 | 14,800 | 840,842 | 42,300 | 868,342 |

| ID | ACCOUNT/BA/AG/SAG | FY 1996 | House Change | House Authorized | Senate Change | Senate Authorization | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | **OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE** | | | | | | | |
| | **BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES** | | | | | | | |
| | **MISSION FORCES** | 55,235 | 0 | 55,235 | 0 | 55,235 | 6,300 | 61,535 |
| 10 | TRAINING | 13,617 | | 13,617 | | 13,617 | 900 | 14,517 |
| 20 | OPERATING FORCES | 21,237 | | 21,237 | | 21,237 | 4,400 | 25,637 |
| 30 | BASE SUPPORT | 18,059 | | 18,059 | | 18,059 | | 18,059 |
| 40 | DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 2,322 | | 2,322 | | 2,322 | 1,000 | 3,322 |
| 45 | REPROGRAMMING/CREDITS | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 |
| | **TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1:** | 55,235 | 0 | 55,235 | 0 | 55,235 | 6,300 | 61,535 |
| | **BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES** | | | | | | | |
| | **ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES** | 35,048 | 0 | 35,048 | 0 | 35,048 | 2,200 | 37,248 |
| 50 | RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING | 7,609 | | 7,609 | | 7,609 | | 7,609 |
| 60 | SPECIAL SUPPORT | 9,064 | | 9,064 | | 9,064 | | 9,064 |
| 70 | SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION | 5,381 | | 5,381 | | 5,381 | | 5,381 |
| 80 | ADMINISTRATION | 6,274 | | 6,274 | | 6,274 | | 6,274 |
| 90 | BASE SUPPORT | 6,720 | | 6,720 | | 6,720 | 2,200 | 8,920 |
| | **TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4:** | 35,048 | 0 | 35,048 | 0 | 35,048 | 2,200 | 37,248 |
| | **UNDISTRIBUTED** | | 1,500 | | | | 1,500 | 1,500 |
| | REAL PROPERTY MAINTENANCE | 0 | 1,500 | 1,500 | 0 | 0 | 1,500 | 1,500 |
| | OPERATIONAL SUPPORT AIRCRAFT | | | | | | | 0 |
| | **TOTAL, O&M, MARINE CORPS RESERVE** | 90,283 | 1,500 | 91,783 | 0 | 90,283 | 10,000 | 100,283 |
| | **OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE** | | | | | | | |
| | **BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES** | | | | | | | |
| | **AIR OPERATIONS** | 1,420,914 | 0 | 1,420,914 | 0 | 1,420,914 | 11,840 | 1,432,754 |
| 10 | AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS | 1,103,593 | | 1,103,593 | | 1,103,593 | 11,840 | 1,115,433 |
| 20 | MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS | 35,073 | | 35,073 | | 35,073 | | 35,073 |
| 30 | BASE SUPPORT | 282,248 | | 282,248 | | 282,248 | | 282,248 |

| ID | ACCOUNT/BA/AG/SAG | FY 1996 | House Change | House Authorized | Senate Change | Senate Authorization | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 33 | DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 |
| 35 | REPROGRAMMING/CREDITS | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 |
| | **TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1:** | 1,420,914 | 0 | 1,420,914 | 0 | 1,420,914 | 11,840 | 1,432,754 |
| | **BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES** | | | | | | | |
| | **ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES** | 65,033 | 0 | 65,033 | 0 | 65,033 | 0 | 65,033 |
| 40 | ADMINISTRATION | 33,107 | | 33,107 | | 33,107 | | 33,107 |
| 50 | MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT | 17,746 | | 17,746 | | 17,746 | | 17,746 |
| 60 | RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING | 7,743 | | 7,743 | | 7,743 | | 7,743 |
| 70 | OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT | 6,063 | | 6,063 | | 6,063 | | 6,063 |
| 80 | AUDIOVISUAL | 374 | | 374 | | 374 | | 374 |
| 85 | REPROGRAMMING/CREDITS | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 |
| | **TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4:** | 65,033 | 0 | 65,033 | 0 | 65,033 | 0 | 65,033 |
| | **UNDISTRIBUTED** | | 21,500 | 21,500 | (3,000) | (3,000) | 18,500 | 18,500 |
| | CIVILIAN UNDER EXECUTION | | | | (3,000) | (3,000) | (3,000) | (3,000) |
| | REAL PROPERTY MAINTENANCE | | 13,500 | 13,500 | | | 13,500 | 13,500 |
| | RESERVE MILITARY/CIVILIAN TECHICIAN RESTORATION | | 8,000 | 8,000 | | | 8,000 | 8,000 |
| | **TOTAL, O&M, AIR FORCE RESERVE** | 1,485,947 | 21,500 | 1,507,447 | (3,000) | 1,482,947 | 30,340 | 1,516,287 |
| | **OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD** | | | | | | | |
| | **BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES** | | | | | | | |
| | **MISSION OPERATIONS** | 2,110,418 | 60,000 | 2,170,418 | 0 | 2,110,418 | 42,600 | 2,153,018 |
| 10 | TRAINING OPERATIONS | 1,720,134 | 60,000 | 1,780,134 | | 1,720,134 | 40,000 | 1,760,134 |
| 20 | RECRUITING AND RETENTION | 20,110 | | 20,110 | | 20,110 | | 20,110 |
| 30 | MEDICAL SUPPORT | 19,109 | | 19,109 | | 19,109 | | 19,109 |
| 40 | DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 100,687 | | 100,687 | | 100,687 | | 100,687 |
| 50 | BASE SUPPORT | 250,378 | | 250,378 | | 250,378 | 2,600 | 252,978 |
| | **TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1:** | 2,110,418 | 60,000 | 2,170,418 | 0 | 2,110,418 | 42,600 | 2,153,018 |

| ID | ACCOUNT/BA/AG/SAG | FY 1996 | House Change | House Authorized | Senate Change | Senate Authorization | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | **BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES** | | | | | | | |
| | **ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES** | 193,690 | 0 | 193,690 | 0 | 193,690 | (14,900) | 178,790 |
| 60 | INFORMATION MANAGEMENT | 59,496 | | 59,496 | | 59,496 | (14,900) | 44,596 |
| 70 | PUBLIC AFFAIRS | 1,461 | | 1,461 | | 1,461 | | 1,461 |
| 80 | PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION | 89,665 | | 89,665 | | 89,665 | | 89,665 |
| 90 | STAFF MANAGEMENT | 43,068 | | 43,068 | | 43,068 | | 43,068 |
| | **TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4:** | 193,690 | 0 | 193,690 | 0 | 193,690 | (14,900) | 178,790 |
| | **UNDISTRIBUTED** | | 30,000 | 30,000 | 0 | 0 | 30,000 | 30,000 |
| | REAL PROPERTY MAINTENANCE | | 21,000 | 21,000 | | | 21,000 | 21,000 |
| | RESERVE MILITARY/CIVILIAN TECHNICIAN RESTORATION | | 9,000 | 9,000 | | | 9,000 | 9,000 |
| | **TOTAL, O&M, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD** | 2,304,108 | 90,000 | 2,394,108 | 0 | 2,304,108 | 57,700 | 2,361,808 |
| | **OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD** | | | | | | | |
| | **BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES** | | | | | | | |
| | **AIR OPERATIONS** | 2,704,107 | 0 | 2,704,107 | 38,000 | 2,742,107 | 30,400 | 2,734,507 |
| 10 | AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS | 1,977,786 | | 1,977,786 | | 1,977,786 | 28,900 | 2,006,686 |
| | ANG PAA STRUCTURE | | | | 38,000 | 38,000 | | |
| 20 | MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS | 346,687 | | 346,687 | | 346,687 | | 346,687 |
| 30 | BASE SUPPORT | 361,224 | | 361,224 | | 361,224 | | 361,224 |
| 40 | DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 18,410 | | 18,410 | | 18,410 | 1,500 | 19,910 |
| 45 | REPROGRAMMING/CREDITS | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 |
| | **TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1:** | 2,704,107 | 0 | 2,704,107 | 38,000 | 2,742,107 | 30,400 | 2,734,507 |
| | **BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES** | | | | | | | |
| | **SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES** | 8,114 | 0 | 8,114 | 0 | 8,114 | 0 | 8,114 |
| 50 | ADMINISTRATION | 3,127 | | 3,127 | | 3,127 | | 3,127 |
| 60 | RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING | 4,987 | | 4,987 | | 4,987 | | 4,987 |
| | **TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4:** | 8,114 | 0 | 8,114 | 0 | 8,114 | 0 | 8,114 |

| ID | ACCOUNT/BA/AG/SAG | FY 1996 | House Change | House Authorized | Senate Change | Senate Authorization | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | UNDISTRIBUTED | | 22,000 | 22,000 | (16,000) | (16,000) | 17,500 | 17,500 |
| | REAL PROPERTY MAINTENANCE | | 15,000 | 15,000 | | | 15,000 | 15,000 |
| | RESERVE MILITARY/CIVILIAN TECHNICIAN RESTORATION | | 7,000 | 7,000 | | | 18,500 | 18,500 |
| | CIVILIAN UNDER EXECUTION | | | | (16,000) | | (16,000) | (16,000) |
| | TOTAL, O&M, AIR NATIONAL GUARD | 2,712,221 | 22,000 | 2,734,221 | 22,000 | 2,734,221 | 47,900 | 2,760,121 |
| | MISCELLANEOUS | 12,843,694 | (415,790) | 12,427,904 | (32,890) | 12,810,804 | (354,790) | 12,488,904 |
| | | | | 0 | | | | |
| 10 | INSPECTOR GENERAL | 138,226 | 39,000 | 177,226 | | 138,226 | | 138,226 |
| 10 | RIFLE PRACTICE, ARMY | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 |
| 10 | COURT OF MILITARY APPEALS | 6,521 | | 6,521 | | 6,521 | | 6,521 |
| 10 | SUMMER OLYMPICS | 15,000 | | 15,000 | | 15,000 | | 15,000 |
| 10 | SPECIAL OLYMPICS | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 |
| 10 | ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION | 1,622,200 | (200,000) | 1,422,200 | (20,400) | 1,601,800 | (186,600) | 1,422,200 |
| | DEFENSE AND STATE MOU | | | | (15,900) | | (10,900) | |
| | RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARDS | | | | (3,500) | | (1,500) | |
| | ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT | | | | (1,000) | | (1,000) | |
| 10 | HUMANITARIAN ASSISTANCE | 79,790 | (79,790) | 0 | (19,790) | 60,000 | (79,790) | |
| 10 | DRUG INTERDICTION | 680,432 | | 680,432 | | 680,432 | | 680,432 |
| 10 | INTERNATIONAL PEACEKEEPING | 65,000 | (65,000) | 0 | (65,000) | 0 | (65,000) | 0 |
| 10 | PAYMENT TO KAHO'OLAWE ISLAND | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 |
| 10 | WORLD UNIVERSITY GAMES | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 |
| 10 | WORLD CUP | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 |
| 10 | DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM | 9,865,525 | 11,000 | 9,876,525 | 78,300 | 9,943,825 | 11,000 | 9,876,525 |
| 10 | DISASTER RELIEF | 0 | | | | 0 | | |
| | OVERSEAS HUMAN , DISASTER & CIVIC AID | 0 | 50,000 | 50,000 | | | 50,000 | 50,000 |
| 10 | FORMER SOVIET UNION THREAT REDUCTION | 371,000 | (171,000) | 200,000 | (6,000) | 365,000 | (71,000) | 300,000 |
| | TOTALS | 91,634,433 | 2,785,764 | 94,420,197 | (225,605) | 91,408,828 | 981,928 | 92,616,361 |

*PACER COIN*

The budget request included $5.5 million in procurement and $19.5 million in operations and maintenance funding for the PACER COIN aircraft.

The House bill would deny all funding, effectively terminating this program.

The Senate amendment would authorize the Department's request.

The House recedes.

The conferees agree to authorize the budget request. Nevertheless, the conferees have serious reservations about whether the PACER COIN program, within its current mission tasking, provides such unique intelligence collection as to justify continued spending of limited resources on this mission. However, the conferees agree that:

(1) terminating the PACER COIN program immediately this fiscal year would place unacceptable stresses on the personnel system;

(2) the Department has already obligated fiscal year 1996 funds for this mission; and

(3) the Air Force would need funds to terminate the program and provide proper aircraft/equipment disposition.

The conferees direct the Department to determine whether or not the PACER COIN aircraft could be used in a dual use role. The conferees believe that the analysis should answer several questions, including at least the following:

(1) Could the aircraft be used, without certain PACER COIN systems, in an air drop role?

(2) Could the aircraft be configured to simultaneously perform the PACER COIN mission and carry the SENIOR SCOUT tactical intelligence system?

(3) What alternatives are there for filling the requirements of the regional Commander in Chief if the PACER COIN program is terminated?

(4) What would be the effects of failing to meet the requirements of the regional Commander in Chief for the PACER COIN capability?

The conferees direct the Secretary of Defense to report to the congressional defense and intelligence committees on the results of this analysis by May 1, 1995.

If the Department determines that dual use of the aircraft is not practical, the conferees direct the Department to determine proper disposition of the PACER COIN mission aircraft (e.g. permanent aircraft storage or deconfiguration from the current mission configuration).

If the Department determines that dual use of the aircraft is practical, and that the operational unit can fulfill multiple missions, the conferees direct the department to:

(1) maintain the PACER COIN aircraft in a reconfigurable state for use in those multiple roles and retain the PACER COIN mission equipment for future contingency or national disaster mission uses; and

(2) begin training for those appropriate new missions, including air drop, as soon as possible to ensure a smooth transition from the PACER COIN-unique mission.

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

*DBOF transfers*

The conferees reduced the civilian personnel funding request by $226.0 million. Of this amount, the conferees expect that $96.0 million will be realized from projected savings from Defense Business Operations Fund (DBOF) activities. The conferees direct that $96.0 million be transferred from the DBOF to the accounts from which the reductions are taken.

The conferees also reduced the operation and maintenance (O&M) accounts of the services by $180.0 million, in anticipation of savings from efficiencies in the management of Department of Defense inventories. The conferees direct that $180.0 million be transferred from the DBOF to the following O&M accounts: Army, $60.0 million; Navy, $60.0 million; Air Force, $60.0 million.

*Restriction on devolving the Defense Environmental Restoration Account to the military services*

In a memorandum dated May 3, 1995, the Deputy Secretary of Defense announced a proposal to devolve the Defense Environmental Restoration Account (DERA), a single transfer account administered by the Department of Defense, to four separate transfer accounts administered by the individual military services. The execution of the Deputy Secretary of Defense's proposal would require modification of the DERA statutory framework.

The conferees are concerned the devolution of DERA would impede congressional oversight of the management and use of funds authorized for and appropriated to the account. In relation to devolvement, the conferees desire a thorough description of the means by which the Department of Defense would ensure consistent funding and accountability for environmental restoration activities. Moreover, the Department of Defense needs to identify the monetary savings and administrative efficiencies associated with DERA devolvement. The Department of Defense also must specify funding and staffing reductions for the office of the Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Environmental Security that would result from DERA devolution.

The conferees agree that, in the event that the Department of Defense intends to pursue legislation to authorize devolvement for fiscal year 1997, the Secretary of Defense must submit a report to Congress, no later than March 31, 1996. The report should provide full justification for DERA devolvement and address the matters outlined above. In the absence of the requested information this year, the conferees decline to authorize a change to the existing statutory scheme for DERA at this time.

*National defense sealift fund*

SUMMARY

The budget request included $974.2 million in the national defense sealift fund (NDSF) for the procurement of two new strategic sealift ships, operations and maintenance of the national defense reserve fleet (NDRF), acquisition and modification of additional ships for the ready reserve force (RRF) of the NDRF, and research and development of mid-term sealift ship technologies.

The House bill would authorize $974.2 million for the NDSF, the budget request.

The Senate amendment would authorize $1.08 billion for the NDSF, an increase of $110.0 million. This increase would be for the purpose of purchasing and converting one additional ship for enhancement of the Marine Corps' maritime prepositioning ship (MPS) program.

The conferees agree to authorize $1.02 billion for the NDSF, an increase of $50.0 million. Items of special interest are discussed in the following sections.

NATIONAL DEFENSE FEATURES

The House bill did not authorize the $70.0 million included in the NDSF budget request for the procurement and modification of additional roll-on/roll-off (RO/RO) ships for the RRF. Instead, it would authorize $70.0 million for the procurement and installation of national defense features (NDF) on commercial vehicle carriers built in and documented under the laws of the United States, as required by section 2218, title 10, United States Code.

The Senate amendment dealt with the $70.0 million included in the NDSF budget request for the procurement and modification of RRF RO/RO vessels as follows:

(1) $20.0 million to modify RO/RO vessels purchased in fiscal year 1995; and

(2) $50.0 million to procure and install defense features on commercial RO/RO vessels that would be built in United States shipyards.

The conferees agree that, of the amount authorized for the NDSF, $50.0 million shall be for the procurement and installation of NDF and $20.0 million shall be for modification of the RRF RO/RO vessels purchased in fiscal year 1995. The conferees also restrict the obligation of the $20.0 million authorized for the modification of RRF RO/RO vessels until 30 days after the Secretary of Defense has notified the congressional defense committees that a NDF program has been formally established and that at least $50.0 million has been made available to fund it.

MARITIME PREPOSITIONING SHIP ENHANCEMENT

The budget request of $974.2 million for the national defense sealift fund (NDSF) did not include funding for any enhancements to the Marine Corps' maritime prepositioning force.

In order to continue a program initiated last year, the Senate amendment would authorize $110.0 million above the NDSF budget request to purchase and convert an additional MPS ship.

The House bill would authorize the budget request. It did not address the issue of MPS enhancement.

The conferees would not authorize funds for MPS enhancement in the conference agreement. However, the conferees reaffirm their strong support for the MPS enhancement program. This program will enable the Marine Corps to add additional tanks, an expeditionary airfield, additional Navy construction battalion equipment, a fleet hospital, and other supplies to each MPS squadron, to better sustain the Marine Corps as an expeditionary force.

The conferees believe that there are substantial benefits inherent in an MPS enhancement program. Consequently, the conferees are troubled by the department's failure to include funding for a second MPS enhancement ship in the fiscal year 1996 budget request, and by the lack of progress in acquiring and converting the MPS enhancement ship authorized and appropriated in fiscal year 1995.

The conferees note, however, that the Navy appears to have made some recent progress in developing a well-defined program. In view of the above, the conferees strongly encourage the Secretary of Defense to accelerate the pace at which additional sealift capability is acquired (to include funding for a second MPS enhancement ship in fiscal year 1997). However, the conferees expect the Secretary to adhere to the prepositioning, surge, and RRF priorities established by the Mobility Requirements Study (MRS) and validated by the MRS Bottom Up Review Update.

The conferees also expect the Navy to aggressively pursue all possible procurement options, including multi-ship and commercial procurement, to achieve the cost savings associated with the acquisition, conversion, and delivery of MPS enhancement vessels. The Secretary of Defense is directed to report on the progress made in meeting this

goal when he submits the fiscal year 1997 budget request.

ADVANCED SUBMARINE TECHNOLOGY RESEARCH

The conferees agree that, of the amount appropriated for fiscal year 1996 for the NDSF, $50.0 million shall be available only for the Director of the Advanced Research Projects Agency for advanced submarine technology activit ies.

*National Security Agency oversight*

The budget request included $5.0 million in operations and maintenance (O&M) funds and 82 new personnel billets for National Security Agency (NSA) oversight of tactical signals intelligence (SIGINT) system development.

The House bill would not authorize the $5.0 million O&M request.

The Senate amendment would authorize the budget request.

The conferees question the necessity for 82 persons to perform a function that could be significantly facilitated by automation and improved electronic connectivity, but recognize both the importance of the program and the commitment of the Deputy Secretary of Defense and the Director of NSA to this effort. Accordingly, the conferees agree to authorize the budget request, but direct that the 82 billets be transferred from the Consolidated Cryptological Program (CCP) to the Defense Cryptological Program (DCP), resulting in no net gain in United States SIGINT System activities. The conferees understand that this billet transfer may temporarily force NSA to exceed its personnel ceilings. The conferees agree to authorize NSA to remain above its personnel ceiling through fiscal year 1997 for this purpose, but expect that, as of September 30, 1997, NSA will meet its congressionally mandated 17.5 percent reduction target. The conferees also urge NSA to review the requirements for each of these billets for validity and consistency.

*Department of Defense next generation weather radar-doppler*

The Department of the Air Force operates 21 next generation weather radar-doppler (NEXRAD) weather radar equipment in CONUS that primarily function to protect military locations. Additionally, Department of Defense (DOD) radar provides supplementary data to the National Weather Service (NWS) and its national radar network.

DOD NEXRADs are maintained at operational standards that meet military requirements. Due to increasing NWS reliance on the DOD NEXRADS for primary and back-up coverage, efforts have been made to increase the reliability of the DOD radar to meet NWS operating standards.

The conferees direct the Secretary of the Air Force to report by March 31, 1996, on the measures needed to conform the operation of the NEXRADS to the NWS operating standards. The report should address any resource requirements, including personnel and funds.

*Reengineering household goods moves*

The conferees commend the Department of Defense for initiating efforts to incorporate efficient business practices in its household goods moving operations. The objective of these efforts should be to procure commercial services at the lowest possible cost while ensuring service members and their families receive the best possible service.

Current procurement practices are cumbersome and inefficient, resulting in clearly unacceptable costs for both DOD and the moving industry. It is not apparent that the time and expense associated with processing redundant paperwork and administering a government-unique system are necessary to ensure a level of service for DOD customers that meets the industry standard.

Further, current practices are structured in such a way that service members and their families are subjected to unnecessary administrative burdens. Claims procedures and the evaluation system are outdated and seemingly disconnected from the concept of quality control, and can be frustrating to customers. Because military relocations account for a substantial share of moving industry work, DOD should be able to implement simple, cost-effective procedures which simultaneously assure first class service for customers.

However, current DOD practices do not reflect best industry practices, such that the DOD operation should be reengineered, rather than simply reorganized. The conferees direct the Secretary of Defense to initiate a pilot program to reengineer household goods moves. The Secretary should direct the incorporation of commercial practices, and report on the program not later than February 15, 1996, prior to implementation of any element of the pilot program. The report should be accompanied by comments from the industry.

The Secretary may not implement any element of the pilot program that could adversely affect small businesses, including extension or application of Federal Acquisition Regulations into this matter, until 90 days after the submission of the report.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations

*Armed Forces Retirement Home (sec. 303)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 303) that would authorize an appropriation from the Armed Forces Retirement Home (AFRH) Trust Fund for operation of the AFRH in fiscal year 1996.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 303) that would authorize an identical appropriation from the trust fund, and authorize a new appropriation of $45.0 million to the trust fund. The recommendation for this new appropriation directly to the trust fund would address the problem of its potential insolvency due to unanticipated decreases in the long-established funding stream approved by Congress for operation of the AFRH.

The Senate recedes.

Congress established a funding program whereby the AFRH would be self-sustaining, and not dependent on public funds. The U.S. Soldiers' and Airmen's Home in Washington, DC, has operated successfully according to this program since its inception in 1851. The U.S. Naval Home (established in 1834 and located since 1976 in Gulfport, MS) had been funded differently, relying on public funds from 1935 until 1991, when both homes were incorporated into the AFRH (Armed Forces Retirement Home Act of 1991; P.L. 101-510). The Act brought both homes under the unified management of the Armed Forces Retirement Home Board and merged the trust funds of the two homes.

Subsequent to incorporation, the annual operating costs for both homes of the AFRH have been authorized by Congress, to be drawn (appropriated) from a single trust fund. Since the funding program provided that interest from the trust fund, fines and forfeitures, and a monthly assessment from the pay of active duty enlisted service members and warrant officers would maintain the solvency of the trust fund, no appropriation outside the fund was envisioned to be necessary.

However, Congress did not anticipate the magnitude of reductions in the armed forces prompted by the end of the Cold War. These reductions caused a decrease in the funding stream as the income derived from assessments decreased. The high quality of the force resulted in fewer disciplinary problems, which in turn resulted in less income from fines and forfeitures. This is significant because fines and forfeitures account for more than half the income.

The trust fund now has a negative cash flow because more money is required for operation of the AFRH than is available from income. The corpus of the trust fund is being depleted, and the conferees recognize the need to implement changes to prevent insolvency. The conferees believe it would be easier, preferable, and more advantageous to implement corrective measures in the next few years, rather than wait for the problem to become much more serious.

The conferees note that Congress addressed the funding problem in the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 by providing authority for an increase in the monthly assessment. The 1995 provision also established a schedule of increases for resident fees and required a comprehensive study by the Board on funding alternatives for the AFRH. However, the study will not be completed until December 1995, and the Department of Defense has declined to increase the assessment prior to completion of the study. The conferees note that an increase in the assessment, from 50 cents to one dollar per month, may not of itself resolve the cash flow problem. A combination of efficiencies and funding program changes may be appropriate.

The conferees strongly support the fine work of the Board, and agree to wait for the outcome of the study in order not to restrict the consideration of efficiencies. The conferees encourage the Secretary of Defense and the Board to continue their efforts to examine alternative methods of meeting the long-term financial requirements of the AFRH, while maintaining high quality service for the residents.

*Transfer from National Defense Stockpile Transaction Fund (sec. 304)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 304) that would authorize the transfer of $150.0 million from the National Defense Stockpile Transition fund to the operation and maintenance accounts of the services.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Civil Air Patrol (sec. 305)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 305) that would reduce the level of Department of Defense support to the Civil Air Patrol (CAP) by $2.9 million from the budget request of $27.5 million.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

This reduction would realize savings by accelerating a CAP reorganization in which many of the functions performed by Air Force personnel in the past would then be performed by employees of the CAP. This reorganization, which was originally planned to be completed in fiscal year 1997, will now be completed during fiscal year 1996.

Subtitle B—Depot-Level Activities

*Policy regarding performance of depot-level maintenance and repair for the Department of Defense (sec. 311)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 395) that would amend current law to establish the importance to national security of maintaining a core depot-level maintenance and repair capability within Department of Defense (DOD) facilities. The provision would address core work determinations, interservicing, competition, and an exclusion from workload limitations for large individual maintenance projects. It would also repeal two limitations on the performance of depot-level work (10 U.S.C. 2466 and 2469), effective December 31, 1996.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 311) that would require the Secretary of Defense to develop a comprehensive policy on the performance of depot-level maintenance and repair, and submit a report on the policy to the congressional defense committees by March 31, 1996. The provision would condition the repeal of the two current limitations on congressional approval of the recommended policy.

The House recedes with an amendment that would clarify both the content of the policy and considerations to be made by the Secretary. The amendment would also affirm that it is the sense of Congress that DOD must articulate core workload requirements as a necessary first step toward developing a policy.

The conferees believe that it would be extremely difficult for Congress to approve a policy that does not provide for the performance of core depot-level workload in public facilities.

Although the conferees do not wish to prescribe more than a broad outline of the areas to be addressed by the Secretary, the conferees believe it is useful to direct the Secretary to consider numerous matters in developing the policy, and to report on items of interest.

The conferees believe it is both preferable and entirely possible for DOD to develop an acceptable, comprehensive policy that will serve the best interests of national security. The conferees also believe that such a policy could achieve efficiencies, and result in resolving the constant debate over how to apportion work between the public and private sectors.

With respect to the exclusion for large individual maintenance projects contained in the House provision, the conferees note that certain projects may account for a large share of a military department's maintenance and repair budget. This is the case with respect to complex overhauls of naval vessels, particularly nuclear-powered aircraft carriers, whose overhaul and refueling can absorb a large percentage of the Navy's maintenance and repair budget in a given fiscal year. Amounts expended for such large projects could, if counted against the limitation prescribed under current law (10 U.S.C. 2466), affect the application of the formula for the apportionment of work between the public and private sectors.

The conferees note that the impact of large maintenance projects could have unintended consequences on the application of section 2466. Until the workload limitations are repealed, the conferees direct the Secretary of the Navy to monitor the assignment of large individual maintenance projects closely and continue to administer depot maintenance programs to avoid unintended imbalances in workload distribution insofar as practicable.

*Management of depot employees (sec. 312)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 332) that would prohibit the management of depot employees by endstrength constraints.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Extension of authority for aviation depots and naval shipyards to engage in defense-related production and services (sec. 313)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 312) that would extend through fiscal year 1996 the authority provided by section 1425 of the National Defense Authorization Act of 1991, as amended, for naval shipyards and aviation depots of all the services to bid on defense-related production and services.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Modification of notification requirement regarding use of core logistics functions waiver (sec. 314)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 374) that would modify section 2464(b) to title 10, United States Code, concerning notification to Congress regarding the effective date of the subject waiver.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Subtitle C—Environmental Provisions

*Revision of requirements for agreements for services under the defense environmental restoration program (sec. 321)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 321) that would amend section 2701(d) of title 10, United States Code, to ensure Department of Defense accountability for reimbursements provided to states or territories. The Senate amendment would limit the basis for state reimbursement. First, states or territories participating in agreements under the defense environmental restoration program would only receive reimbursement for providing technical and scientific services. Second, the provision would require the submission of a reprogramming request for amounts in excess of $5.0 million.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would increase the funding authorization to $10.0 million.

*Addition of amounts creditable to the defense environmental remediation account (sec. 322)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 322) that would provide for transfer account credit of amounts recovered under section 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA) (42 U.S.C. 9601, et seq.) or from other reimbursements to the Department of Defense for environmental restoration activities.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

*Sense of Congress on use of defense environmental restoration account (sec. 323)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 326) that would express the sense of Congress that by the end of fiscal year 1997 no more than 20 percent of the annual funding for the Defense Environmental Restoration Account should be spent for administration, support, studies, and investigations.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would establish a goal that by the end of fiscal year 1997 no more than 20 percent of the annual funding for the Defense Environmental Restoration Account should be spent for administration, support, studies, and investigations. The amendment would also require the Department of Defense to submit a report to Congress by April 1, 1996. The report would specify issues related to attaining the 20 percent goal.

*Revision of authorities relating to restoration advisory boards (sec. 324)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 323) that would amend section 2705 of title 10, United States Code, which authorizes establishment of restoration advisory boards (RABs) to assist the Department of Defense with environmental restoration activities at military installations. Section 2705 also provides a funding framework for local community members of RABs and existing technical review committees.

About 200 Restoration Advisory Boards have been established at operational and closing installations and formerly used defense sites. Under current law, the RAB funding sources for local community member participation and for technical assistance are the Defense Environmental Restoration Account (DERA) and the Base Realignment and Closure Account (BRAC). Section 2705(e)(3)(B) provides a $7.5 million limit on the use of DERA and BRAC funds to pay for RAB technical assistance and community participation in fiscal year 1995. Under section 2705(d)(3), routine administrative expenses for RABs may be paid out of funds available for the operation and maintenance of an installation, without any limit on the amount of funds that may be expended for that purpose.

The Senate amendment would amend section 2705 to limit funding sources to BRAC and DERA, not to exceed $4.0 million in fiscal year 1996. Funds would be made available only for routine administrative expenses and technical assistance. The installation commander could obtain technical assistance for a RAB to interpret scientific and engineering issues related to the environmental restoration activities at the installation where the RAB is functioning.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would increase the funding authorization to $6.0 million. As part of the amendment, the conferees have included language that would make funds unavailable after September 15, 1996, unless the Secretary of Defense publishes proposed final or interim final regulations. Based on section 2705(d)(2) of title 10, United States Code, the conferees anticipate that the Department would already have made some progress in the promulgation of regulations.

Funding for private sector sources of technical assistance would be contingent on the following: (1) a demonstration that the existing technical resources of the Federal, state, and local agencies responsible for overseeing environmental restoration at an installation could not serve the objective for which technical assistance is requested; or (2) outside assistance is likely to contribute to the efficiency, effectiveness, or timeliness of environmental restoration at an installation; and (3) outside assistance is likely to contribute to community acceptance of environmental restoration activities at an installation.

The conferees intend that the funds authorized pursuant to this section would be

the primary funding source for technical assistance and administrative expenses associated with RABs. The conferees strongly encourage the Secretary of Defense to ensure that funds authorized for RABs are expended in a manner that is consistent with obtaining technical assistance and with payment of administrative expenses, and is dispensed in accordance with the funding mechanism established in this section. The RAB program should not serve as a drain on the Superfund.

*Discharge from vessels of the Armed Forces (sec. 325)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 322) that would address incidental discharges from vessels of the armed forces through the development of uniform national discharge standards. The Federal Water pollution Control Act, 33 U.S.C. 1251 et seq., and implementing regulations currently exempt incidental vessel discharges from permitting requirements. Incidental discharges remain subject to varying state regulation. The lack of uniformity has presented operational problems for the Navy.

The Senate amendment is modeled after section 312 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, 33 U.S.C. 1322, which establishes uniform national discharge standards for sewage discharges from all vessels. The standards provision would extend this model to regulate non-sewage incidental discharges from vessels of the armed forces.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

Subtitle D—Commissaries and Nonappropriated Fund Instrumentalities

*Operation of commissary system (sec. 331)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 341) that would revise the operation of the commissary store system, allow contracts with other agencies, and revise payments to vendor agents.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would eliminate the revision of payments to vendor agents.

The conferees are concerned about the high cost of the Defense Finance and Accounting Service procedures to process the 1.5 million annual commissary invoices. The conferees believe that innovative practices need to be pursued to reduce this burden. The administrative costs consume funding that could otherwise be used to improve patron services or reduce costs.

The conferees direct the Secretary of Defense to conduct a review of innovative practices to reduce this cost. Included in this review should be an examination of the relationship between the current distribution and invoicing practices. The Secretary of Defense should report to the Senate Committee on Armed Services and the House Committee on National Security by February 15, 1996 on the recommended actions, if any, to reduce these costs and how any savings will be used.

Additionally, the conferees note that the Defense Commissary Information System and the Point-of-Sale Modernization programs are essentially off-the-shelf commercial grocery systems designed to improve patron service and increase efficiency of commissary operations. As such, the conferees believe the Secretary of Defense should get these systems on line and operating with the minimum of review required to ensure interface with other government data systems and compliance with legislation and regulations essential to protect the interests of the government.

*Limited release of commissary store sales information to manufacturers, distributors, and other vendors doing business with Defense Commissary Agency (sec. 332)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 343) that would amend the procedures for the release of commissary stores sales information.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Economical distribution of distilled spirits by nonappropriated fund instrumentalities (sec. 333)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 344) that would amend the procedures for the determination of the most economical distribution of distilled spirits.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

*Transportation by commissaries and exchanges to overseas locations (sec. 334)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 345) that would allow officials responsible for the operation of commissaries and military exchanges the authority to negotiate directly with private carriers for the most cost-effective transportation of supplies by sea, without relying on the Military Sealift Command or the Military Traffic Management Command.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Demonstration project for uniform funding of morale, welfare, and recreation activities at certain military installations (sec. 335)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 346) that would require the Secretary of Defense to conduct a demonstration program at six military installations under which funds appropriated for the support of morale, welfare, and recreation programs at the installations are combined with nonappropriated funds available for these programs and treated as nonappropriated funds.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment which would extend the test to two years.

*Operation of combined exchange and commissary stores (sec. 336)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 347) that would permit the continued operation of the base exchange mart at Fort Worth Naval Air Station, Texas, and would allow for the expansion of the Base Exchange Mart Program.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a clarifying amendment.

The conferees approve this expansion with the understanding that they do not intend that exchange marts replace viable commissaries. When a commissary is identified for closure, the exchange system will be permitted to conduct a market survey to determine the viability of an exchange mart in the closing commissary facility. The conferees do not expect that an exchange mart would be in direct competition with a commissary operating in close proximity to a proposed exchange mart.

The conferees expect that exchange marts will operate in a manner in which nonappropriated funds are not required to sustain their operation. The conferees expect that every effort will be made to operate the exchange marts in a manner which requires only a minimal amount of appropriated fund support.

*Deferred payment programs of military exchanges (sec. 337)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 348) that would require the Secretary of Defense to establish a uniform exchange credit program that could use commercial banking institutions to fund and operate the deferred payment programs of the Army and Air Force Exchange Service and the Navy Exchange Service.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would clarify the provision by ensuring that any proposal be competitively awarded and that prior to entering into any commercial program the Secretary determine that it is in the best interests of the exchange systems.

*Availability of funds to offset expenses incurred by Army and Air Force Exchange Service on account of troop reductions in Europe (sec. 338)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 349) that would require that the Secretary of Defense transfer not more than $70 million to the Army and Air Force Exchange Service to offset expenses incurred by the Army and Air Force Exchange Service on account of reductions in the number of military personnel in Europe.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

In order to avoid disruption of operations associated with currency fluctuations and, in recognition of the unique direct appropriation nature of commissaries as an entity of the Defense Business Operations Fund, the conferees direct that the military exchanges, other nonappropriated fund instrumentalities, and commissaries be permitted to be included in the Department of Defense foreign currency fluctuation fund.

Associated with the drawdown in Europe was an initiative to transfer operations of the Stars and Stripes Bookstores to the military exchanges. This transfer has a residual impact upon certain employees. The conferees direct that the Army and Air Force Exchange Service accept responsibility for resolving the issue of employment, severance, and back pay for the 15 local national employees formerly employed by the Stars and Stripes. The conferees expect that the Army and Air Force Exchange Service can, in conjunction with the Army and Air Force headquarters in Europe, resolve the current job action concerning these 15 local national employees using funds provided in this section.

*Study regarding improving efficiencies in operation of military exchanges and other morale, welfare, and recreation activities and commissary stores (sec. 339)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 350) that would require the Secretary of Defense to conduct a study and submit a report to Congress regarding the manner in which greater efficiencies can be achieved in the operation of military exchanges, commissary stores, and other morale, welfare, and recreation activities.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees agree with the findings and scope of the study called for in the House report (H. Rept 104–131). The conferees believe that the Department of Defense should seek

opportunities to reduce labor costs in resale activities and to reduce excessive overhead. Additionally, the conferees agree that significant economies and revenue potential can be realized in the area of management and oversight of overseas slot machine operations. The conferees direct the Secretary of Defense consider and, if appropriate, submit a plan to have one service serve as the executive agent for the consolidated management and operation of this function.

*Repeal of requirement to convert ships' stores to nonappropriated fund instrumentalities (sec. 340)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 351) that would extend, to December 31, 1996, the deadline for the conversion of all Navy ships' stores to operate as nonappropriated fund activities.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 373) that would repeal section 371 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1994 (Public Law 103-160) requiring the Navy to convert ships' stores operations to a Navy Exchange System agency.

The House recedes with an amendment that would require the Inspector General of the Department of Defense to complete a review of the Navy Audit Agency report regarding the conversion of the Ships Stores pursuant to section 374 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (Public Law 103-337).

*Disposition of excess morale, welfare, and recreation (MWR) funds (sec. 341)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 371) that would amend section 373 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 to permit the Marine Corps to retain the MWR funds transferred from Marine Corps installations.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Clarification of entitlement to use of morale, welfare, and recreation facilities by members of Reserve components and dependents (sec. 342)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 633) that would amend section 1065 of title 10, United States Code, to give members of the retired reserve who would be eligible for retired pay but for the fact that they are under 60 years of age the same priority of use of morale, welfare, and recreation facilities of the military services as members who retired after active duty careers.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Subtitle E—Performance of Functions by Private-Sector Sources

*Competitive procurement of printing and duplication services (sec. 351)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 359) that would direct the Defense Printing Service to procure at least 70 percent of printing and duplication work competitively.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would exempt classified printing and duplication work from this calculation.

*Direct vendor delivery system for consumable inventory items of Department of Defense (sec. 352)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 360) that would require the Department of Defense (DOD) to arrange for delivery of consumable inventory items directly from vendors to military installations in the United States. Complete implementation of this system would be required by September 30, 1997.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require DOD to use direct vendor delivery of consumable inventory items whenever practicable.

*Payroll, finance, and accounting functions of the Department of Defense (sec. 353)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 362) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a plan to Congress for the privatization of the payroll functions for civilian employees of the Department of Defense and to implement the plan not later than October 1, 1996.

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 368) that would require the Secretary of Defense to conduct a pilot program to test and evaluate the cost savings and efficiencies of private operation of accounting and payroll functions of nonappropriated fund instrumentalities of the Department of Defense.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 352) that would require the department of Defense to conduct a review of the need for further expansion of Defense Finance and Accounting Service (DFAS) operating locations, and to report to the appropriate committees of the Congress prior to establishing any new DFAS operating locations.

The House recedes with an amendment that would combine and clarify the three provisions.

*Demonstration program to identify overpayments made to vendors (sec. 354)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 363) that would require the Secretary of Defense to conduct a demonstration program at the Defense Personnel Support Center, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, to evaluate the feasibility of using private contractors to audit accounting and procurement records of the Department of Defense.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Pilot program on private operation of defense dependents' schools (sec. 355)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 364) that would allow the Secretary of Defense to conduct a pilot program to assess the feasibility of using private contractors to operate overseas dependents' schools and to report the results of the pilot program to Congress.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Program for improved travel process for the Department of Defense (sec. 356)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 365) that would require the Secretary of Defense to conduct a pilot program including two prototype tests of commercial travel applications to improve management of the Department of Defense Travel System.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would direct the Secretary to conduct a two-year test at a minimum of three sites and a maximum of six sites, and to report to the Senate Committee on Armed Services and the House Committee on National Security at the conclusion of the first year.

The conferees do not intend this provision to be viewed as authority for the Secretary of Defense to circumvent the requirement for civilians to use adequate government quarters where they are available.

*Increased reliance on private-sector sources for commercial products and services (sec. 357)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 367) that would require the Secretary of Defense to endeavor to obtain products and services from the private sector. The provision would require the Secretary of Defense to describe functions that can be performed by the private sector and specify impediments to outsourcing.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 386) that would require the Secretary to report on the use of private sector contractors to perform functions not essential to the warfighting mission of the Department of Defense.

The Senate recedes with an amendment.

The conferees agree that DOD should make a maximum effort to rely upon the private sector for commercial functions whenever the same level of service can be obtained at a reduced cost to the government, and the national security does not require the activity to be retained in-house. The conferees note with approval the many steps the Department has already taken in this direction and encourage the Department to continue in its efforts. The conferees urge the Department to maintain close coordination with the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House regarding its efforts to downsize the federal government while placing greater reliance upon the private sector.

Subtitle F—Miscellaneous Reviews, Studies, and Reports

*Quarterly readiness reports (sec. 361)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 371) that would require the Secretary of Defense to report quarterly to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives on the military readiness of the armed forces.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Restatement of requirement for semiannual reports to Congress on transfers from high-priority readiness appropriations (sec. 362)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 373) that would amend section 361 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 in order to provide more detailed guidance on the report required.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a clarifying amendment. The conferees are disappointed that the Department of Defense has not been sufficiently thorough in reporting on transfers from high-priority readiness appropriations and expect future reports to be more substantive.

*Report regarding reduction of costs associated with contract management oversight (sec. 363)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 376) that would require the Comptroller General to submit a report to Congress that would identify methods to reduce the cost of Department of Defense management and oversight of contracts in connection with major defense acquisition programs.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Reviews of management of inventory control points and Material Management Standard System (sec. 364)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 391) that would direct the Secretary of Defense to conduct a review regarding consolidation of all inventory control points (ICP) under the Defense Logistics Agency. The provision would also prohibit implementation of the Materiel Management Standard System (MMSS) until submission of the Secretary's report to the Congressional defense committees.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary to report by March 31, 1996, on the advisability of consolidating all ICP. The General Accounting Office would review the Secretary's report, and review the MMSS. The amendment would not impose a restriction on implementation of the MMSS.

*Report on private performance of certain functions performed by military aircraft (sec. 365)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 390) that would require the Secretary of Defense to report on the feasibility of meeting requirements of VIP transportation, airlift, air cargo, in-flight refueling and other functions by using private contractors in lieu of military aircraft.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

*Strategy and report on automated information systems of Department of Defense (sec. 366)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 375) that would prohibit the Secretary of Defense from obligating or expending amounts greater than $2.4 billion for the development and modernization of automated data processing programs pending a report by the Inspector General of the Department of Defense (DOD).

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would remove the restriction on obligation of funds. The conferees believe that off-the-shelf automated information systems can improve DOD property management. This includes software, laminate barcode printers, barcode readers, and storage devices.

The conferees also endorse the requirement contained in Title III of the House report (H. Rept. 104-131) in a paragraph of the Items of Special Interest section, entitled "Off-the-shelf systems." The conferees direct the Secretary to include in this report a discussion of functional processes that can use existing private sector technology.

Subtitle G—Other Matters

*Codification of Defense Business Operations Fund (sec. 371)*

The House bill contained several provisions pertaining to the Defense Business Operations Fund (DBOF).

Section 311 would modify DBOF by adding or precluding various DBOF activities. The provision would also require certain costs to be included in DBOF charges, and revise the capital purchase authority threshold from $50,000 to $15,000. Further, the provision would extend discretionary authority to the Secretary of Defense or the Secretary of a military department to purchase goods and services from non-DBOF activities, if they are available at a more competitive rate.

Section 312 would require the Secretary of Defense to manage DBOF under the immediate authority of the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller). This would include central management of cash balances. The provision would also prohibit further expansion of the DBOF by adding new functions, activities, funds or accounts to the DBOF.

Section 313 would require the inclusion of the costs of military personnel, who perform duty in industrial fund activities, in determining costs in DBOF activities. The provision would also terminate the practice of billing in advance for goods and services provided through the DBOF.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provisions.

The Senate recedes with a single amendment that would codify DBOF, but amend the activities listed in the House bill (sec. 312), not revise the capital purchase threshold, and retain the prohibition on further expansion.

The amendment also would direct the Comptroller General of the United States to determine the advisability of managing DBOF at the Department of Defense (DOD) level. The conferees recommend the defense committees review this matter in fiscal year 1996 and consider the advisability of central management in light of the Comptroller General's report and improvements in the condition of the DBOF.

The amendment would permit advance billing for compelling reasons, but require DOD to notify the defense committees of the Congress after September 30, 1996 in the event the aggregate total of advance billing exceeds $100.0 million subsequent to enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1996. Another report would be required each time the aggregate amount of advance billing increases by $100.0 million after the date of the preceding report.

The conferees previously expressed support for the DOD plan to eliminate advance billing in fiscal year 1995 in the conference report accompanying the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995. The practice of advance billing appears to cause DBOF customers to refrain from purchasing goods and services and it appears to promote confusion, rather than good business, at the unit or installation level.

The conferees also support the effort to capture total costs in order to conduct business operations in accordance with generally accepted business practices. The conferees direct the Secretary of Defense to annotate the justification books accompanying subsequent budget submissions for DBOF activities, to reflect the total costs for both military and civilian personnel. These costs should include items such as salaries, benefits, and retirement plans. The conferees believe it is necessary for Congress to evaluate the consequences of including such costs in DBOF rates and pricing.

*Clarification of services and property exchanged to benefit the historical collection of the armed forces (sec. 372)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 321) that would clarify the law concerning the exchange of services and property for the benefit of the historical collection of the armed services.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Defense Business Management University (sec. 373)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 381) that would prohibit the use of funds for any lease with respect to the Center for Financial Management Education and Training of the Defense Business Management University (DBMU) if the lease would be treated as a capital lease for budgetary purposes.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 351) that would require the Secretary of Defense to certify the need for the Center for Financial Management Education and Training of the DBMU, and report on Department of Defense financial management training, 90 days prior to obligating funds for a capital lease.

The House recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to make the determination of the location of the center using a merit-based selection process and report to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House of Representatives on the details of this selection process at least 30 days prior to entering into a capital lease.

*Permanent authority for use of proceeds from the sale of certain lost, abandoned, or unclaimed property (sec. 374)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 388) that would provide permanent authority for a successful demonstration program for the disposal of certain personal property.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 383) that would provide similar permanent authority, but would provide further authority to credit the operation and maintenance account of a relevant installation for the costs incurred to collect, transport, store, protect, or sell such property. Net proceeds from a sale would be covered into the Treasury. A mechanism for subsequent claims by an owner, heir, etc., would also be provided.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

*Sale of military clothing and subsistence and other supplies of the Navy and Marine Corps (sec. 375)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 393) that would provide to Navy and Marine Corps personnel the same authority that Army an Air Force personnel currently have to purchase replacement subsistence and other supplies.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 384).

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

*Personnel services and logistical support for certain activities held on military installations (sec. 376)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 385) that would clarify the authority of the Secretary of Defense in regard to jamborees conducted by the Boy Scouts of America on military installations.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Retention of monetary awards (sec. 377)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 386) that would permit the Secretary of Defense to accept any monetary award for excellence, given to the Department of Defense by a nongovernmental entity, as an award in a competition recognizing excellence or innovation in providing services or administering programs. Such an award would be credited to the appropriation of the command, installation, or activity that is recognized in the award, as provided in appropriation acts. Not more than 50 percent of the monetary award may be disbursed to the persons who are responsible for earning the award, up to $10.0 thousand per person.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would permit the Secretary to accept such monetary awards and disburse the award to the morale, welfare, and recreation nonappropriated fund account of the command, installation, or activity involved in earning the award. Certain incidental expenses could be reimbursed from the award amount.

*Provision of equipment and facilities to assist in emergency response actions (sec. 378)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 383) that would amend section 372 of title 10, United States Code, to authorize the Department of Defense to provide assistance in the form of training facilities, sensors, protective clothing, antidotes, and other materials and expertise to appropriate federal, state, or local law enforcement agencies for responding to emergencies involving chemical or biological agents.

The Senate amendment did not contain a similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

*Department of Defense military and civil defense preparedness to respond to emergencies resulting from a chemical, biological, radiological, or nuclear attack (sec. 379)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 223) that would require the Secretaries of the Departments of Defense and Energy, in consultation with the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), to submit a report to Congress that would describe the military and civil defense plans and programs to respond to the use of chemical, biological, nuclear, and radiological agents or weapons against a civilian population located in the United States or near a U.S. military installation.

The House bill did not contain a similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

*Office of Economic Adjustment*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 304) that would increase the amount of funds available to the Office of Economic Adjustment by $1.5 million.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Annual proposed budget for operation of defense business operations fund*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 314) that would require that the budget request for the Department of Defense include the amount of funds necessary to cover the operating losses of the Defense Business Operations Fund for the previous year.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Reduction in requests for transportation funded through Defense Business Operations Fund*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 315) that would direct a reduction in requests for purchasing transportation through the Defense Business Operations Fund during fiscal year 1996 by $70.0 million from the amount purchased in fiscal year 1995. The provision would also require a report on achieving certain efficiencies.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees are concerned about the amount of overhead carried by the Department of Defense (DOD) to support its transportation infrastructure. The conferees direct the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to Congress by March 1, 1996. The Secretary should address changes to the transportation infrastructure and implementation of consolidation proposals, such as the elimination of duplication in component command structure. The Secretary should also address measures to reduce transportation overhead without adversely affecting operational and mobilization requirements. The conferees recommend a $70.0 million reduction in anticipation of savings from improvements and efficiencies.

*Repeal of certain environmental education programs*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 323) that would repeal sections 1333 and 1334 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1994 (Public Law 103–160; 10 U.S.C. 2701, note).

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Repeal of limitation on obligation of amounts transferred from environmental restoration transfer account*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 324) that would eliminate the statutory "fence" that precludes the transfer of funds from the Defense Environmental Restoration Account (DERA) for purposes unrelated to environmental remediation.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Elimination of authority to transfer amounts for toxicological profiles*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 325) that would amend section 2704 of title 10, United States Code. The provision would eliminate authority for the Department of Defense to use Defense Environmental Restoration Account funds to reimburse the Agency for Toxic Substance and Disease Registry (ATSDR), a branch of the U.S. Public Health Service. Reimbursement is currently provided to ATSDR for performing statutorily required health assessments and health risk studies at Defense installations listed on the National Priorities List (NPL).

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Pricing policies for commissary store merchandise*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 342) that would reduce administrative costs in pricing commissary merchandise.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees recognize that there may be potential savings for the Defense Commissary Agency (DeCA) if variable pricing was permitted. Therefore, the conferees direct that the Secretary of Defense submit a report to the Senate Committee on Armed Services and the House Committee on National Security not later than May 1, 1996 describing how a variable pricing policy would be implemented; the estimated savings, if any; the impact on customers and suppliers; and a recommended legislative proposal, if appropriate.

*Procurement of electricity from most economical source*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 357) that would require the Department of Defense (DOD) to procure electricity from the most economical source.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees direct the Department of Defense to consult with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) on methods to obtain lower prices for the electricity procured by the DOD, including procurement of such electricity through competitive sources. Decisions with regard to procurement of electricity by the DOD and the FERC should take into consideration the cost savings potential to the DOD and the recovery of the specific cost of utility investment that is directly attributable to existing arrangements and understandings with the DOD.

The conferees direct the Department of Defense to submit a report to Congress by March 1, 1996 on the feasibility of attaining the most economical price for electricity under existing statutes. In addition, the DOD shall report on all legislative or regulatory impediments to procuring electricity from the most economical source and the potential cost savings inherent to the elimination of such impediments. The report shall also identify those bases or facilities that are in the best position to use competitive sources of electricity.

*Procurement of certain commodities from most economical source*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 358) that would enable the Department of Defense (DOD) to procure commodities from a source other than the General Services Administration (GSA) if the source can provide the commodities at a lower cost.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees are aware that the requirement for DOD to purchase commodities from GSA denies DOD the flexibility to pursue good business practices by preventing DOD from procuring items at the lowest cost. This inflexibility seems to run counter to the desire of Congress, and it does not promote good business practices within DOD. Encouraging managers at all levels to make sound business decisions is an underlying fundamental of the Defense Business Operations Fund concept.

The conferees direct the Secretary of Defense to report to the congressional defense committees by March 1, 1996, regarding the advisability of obtaining the authority to bypass GSA. The Secretary should identify any statutory relief necessary.

*Private operation of functions of Defense Reutilization and Marketing Service*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 361) that would require the Secretary of Defense to solicit for performance, by commercial entities, of selected functions of the Defense Reutilization and Marketing Service (DRMS). The provision would require the Secretary to report on those functions that should continue to be performed by Department of Defense (DOD) civilian employees not later than July 1, 1996.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees expect the Secretary to address the privatization of DRMS functions as part of the DOD-wide review and report, regarding increased reliance on private sector sources for commercial products and services, required elsewhere in this bill.

*Pilot program for private operation of consolidated information technology functions of Department of Defense*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 366) that would require the Secretary of Defense to enter into negotiations for contracting-out the workload of three Defense

Megacenters. This effort would serve as a three-year pilot program to determine the advisability of having this type of work performed by the private sector. The goal of the program would be to achieve savings of at least 35 percent over current practices. Further consolidation of megacenters, to fewer than the 16 currently identified, would be prohibited until completion of the pilot program.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees believe there is significant potential to make improvements in the efficiency and effectiveness of the Department of Defense (DOD) data processing operations, to include the data megacenters. The conferees also believe there may be significant potential to achieve savings from contracting-out work that is not military-essential or otherwise unique to government. However, judgments on the advantages of contracting-out work should be based on economic and mission analyses, which the DOD has not performed.

The conferees direct the Secretary to submit a report on this matter to the defense committees by May 31, 1996. The report should include: the rationale for contracting-out work; an analysis of the costs and benefits of contracting-out a portion of the workload; a detailed description of information technology functions and services performed by megacenters that are not considered military essential; and the amount of savings anticipated to be achieved by contracting-out. The conferees note that functions considered to be military-essential, and those that pertain to information security, military readiness, certain aspects of training, and warfighting, are not required to be addressed in this report.

*Authority of Inspector General over investigations of procurement fraud*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 382) that would consolidate responsibility for all investigations of procurement fraud within the Department of Defense under the Inspector General.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes. Under the Inspector General Act of 1978, as amended, the overall responsibility for investigations within the DOD, including procurement fraud investigations, rests with the Inspector General. The Inspector General has full authority to investigate any allegations of procurement fraud involving a DOD contractor. Day-to-day responsibility for the conduct of procurement fraud investigations is divided among the investigative organizations of the Department of Defense and each of the military departments. The Inspector General also has full authority to assume responsibility for any procurement fraud investigation initiated by one or more of the military departments.

The Defense Advisory Board on the Investigative Capabilities of the DOD unanimously recommended that fraud investigations be consolidated into the Office of the Inspector General. The recommendation was based on several objectives that would include eliminating joint investigations, eliminating confusion over joint investigations, and increasing the capability to identify multiple acts of fraud by the same contractors.

The conferees note that there have been continuing concerns about duplication and coordination between the Department of Defense Inspector General and the investigative components of the military departments with respect to major procurement fraud investigations. The conferees agree that the Department must endeavor to concentrate procurement fraud efforts on investigations rather than jurisdictional disputes. Therefore, the conferees believe that the Secretary of Defense should make every effort to ensure that this important function is performed in the most efficient and effective manner, avoiding the necessity for joint investigations to the maximum extent practicable.

The conferees are encouraged to note that the Department recently established a coordinating council, headed by the DOD Inspector General, to address some of the concerns raised by the Defense Advisory Board. To ensure the effectiveness of the new procedures, the conferees direct that the Secretary review the newly constituted Secretary's Board on Investigations, with a particular emphasis on maximizing the efficiency and effectiveness of major procurement fraud investigations. As part of this review, the Secretary should assess: (1) the optimal level of resources required to ensure a robust oversight function within the Department; (2) which DOD investigative components should conduct procurement fraud investigations; and (3) the optimal organization required to increase the DOD capability to maximize procurement fraud recoveries and indictments.

The conferees direct the Secretary to provide a report by May 1, 1996, to the congressional defense committees on the results of this review. The conferees will assess this report to ascertain whether further legislation is necessary to address remaining concerns over duplication and coordination problems among the DOD investigative components.

*Transfer of excess personal property to support law enforcement activities*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 389) that would amend section 1208(a)(1)(A) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 1990 and 1991, concerning the transfer of excess personal property. This provision would expand current authority to permit the Secretary of Defense to transfer excess property to state and other federal agencies for use in law enforcement activities. Current authority contained in the above section addresses only transfers to such agencies for their use in counter-drug activities.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees note that numerous avenues currently exist to transfer excess property to state and other federal agencies, including law enforcement agencies which do not have explicit counternarcotics responsibilities. However, there appears to be no coherent policy, priority, or central data base which allows such agencies to learn what is available at a given time, or to effect a transfer without inordinate administrative work.

The conferees direct the Secretary of Defense to review this matter and report to the defense committees of the Congress not later than March 30, 1996, on developing a comprehensive policy and establishing procedures which would assist state and federal law enforcement agencies in identifying and obtaining such equipment. The Secretary should consider Memoranda of Understanding as a means to effect transfers.

The Secretary should also give high priority consideration to state and federal law enforcement agencies that demonstrate their need for such equipment.

*Development and implementation of innovative processes to improve operation and maintenance*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 390) that would direct that $350.0 million, of the funds authorized and appropriated for defense-wide operation and maintenance, be available for the development or acquisition of information technologies and reengineered functional processes.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Sale of 50 percent of current war reserve fuel stocks and prepositioned war reserves*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 392) that would require the Secretary of Defense to reduce war reserve fuel stocks of the Department of Defense to a level equal to 50 percent of the level of such stocks on January 1, 1995.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees believe that the DOD has made considerable progress in identifying its fuel requirements necessary for wartime operations. This has led to a reduction in the required level of war reserves. The conferees urge the DOD to continue its efforts in this area in order to save money while maintaining military readiness.

The conferees further believe that there is considerable opportunity to address critical afloat and ashore war reserve deficiencies. The conferees agree to add $60 million for purchases of critical war reserve stocks. This funding is authorized in the operation and maintenance, defense-wide activities account for application to high priority war reserve requirements. The Secretary of Defense is requested to report on the expenditure of these funds to the congressional defense committees prior to their allocation and should seek the views of theater commanders-in-chief in determining the application of these resources.

*Southwest border states anti-drug information system*

The House bill included a provision (sec. 396) that indicated that the Southwest Border States Anti-Drug Information Systems program is an important element of the Department of Defense support of law enforcement agencies in the fight against illegal trafficking of narcotics.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes. The Southwest Border States Anti-Drug Information System is addressed elsewhere in this statement of managers.

*Elimination of certain restrictions on purchases and sales of items by exchange stores and other morale, welfare, and recreation (MWR) facilities*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 372) that would eliminate the cost, price, size, and country of origin limitations on purchases and sales of items sold in the military exchanges and morale, welfare, and recreation facilities.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Funding for Troops to Teachers and Troops to Cops Programs*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 388) that would authorize $42.0 million for the Troops-to-Teachers program and $10.0 million for the Troops-to-Cops program from amounts authorized for military personnel for fiscal year 1996.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees recognize that these programs address the economic dislocation among service members caused by the defense drawdown. Therefore, the conferees invite the Department of Defense to determine whether use of existing resources, if available, is appropriate to continue these programs.

*Authorization of amounts requested in the budget for Junior ROTC*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 389) that would restore the authorization to fund Junior Reserve Officer's Training Corps (JROTC) at the budget request.

The House bill authorized the JROTC program at the budget request.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees agree to authorize the JROTC program at the budget request.

*Use of commissary stores by members of the ready reserve*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 631) that would permit members of the ready reserve to use commissaries on the same basis as members on active duty.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Use of commissary stores by retired reserves under age 60 and their survivors*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 632) that would permit survivors of "gray area" retirees, members of the retired reserve who have not attained the age of 60 years, to use commissaries as if the sponsor had attained 60 years of age and was receiving retirement benefits.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

TITLE IV—MILITARY PERSONNEL AUTHORIZATIONS

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

*Minimum force structure levels for Navy Light Airborne Multipurpose System helicopters*

The conferees note that the Navy Light Airborne Multipurpose System (LAMPS) antisubmarine warfare helicopter fleet provides an essential element to the Nation's overall antisubmarine warfare capability. The conferees understand that the Navy has no plans to reduce the number of active or reserve LAMPS squadrons below the 14 currently in the force structure during fiscal years 1996 or 1997. The conferees believe that 14 LAMPS squadrons is the minimum structure necessary and fully expect the Navy to continue to support that level of force structure.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Subtitle A—Active Forces

*End strengths for active forces (sec. 401)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 401) that would establish active duty end strengths for fiscal year 1996.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 401), but would include an increase of 340, of which 65 would be officers, in Navy end strength to permit the Navy to retain an active P-3 squadron scheduled for inactivation in fiscal year 1996.

The following table summarizes the authorized active duty end strengths for fiscal year 1996.

| | Fiscal year | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | 1995 Authorization | 1996 Request | 1996 Recommendation |
| Army: | | | |
| Total | 510,000 | 495,000 | 495,000 |
| Officer | | 81,300 | 81,300 |
| Navy: | | | |
| Total | 441,641 | 428,000 | 428,340 |
| Officer | | 58,805 | 58,870 |
| Marine Corps: | | | |
| Total | 174,000 | 174,000 | 174,000 |
| Officer | | 17,978 | 17,978 |
| Air Force: | | | |
| Total | 400,051 | 388,200 | 388,200 |
| Officer | | 75,928 | 75,928 |
| Total | 1,525,692 | 1,485,200 | 1,485,540 |
| Officer | | 234,011 | 234,076 |

The House bill also contained a provision (sec. 521) that would establish permanent end strength levels beginning in fiscal year 1996.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would integrate the House bill provision (sec. 521) into this section.

*Temporary variation in DOPMA authorized end strength limitations for active duty Air Force and Navy officers in certain grades (sec. 402)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 402) that would authorize a temporary increase in the number of officers who can serve on active duty in the grade of major in the Air Force and in the grades of lieutenant commander, commander, and captain in the Navy until September 30, 1997.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 402).

The House recedes.

The conferees fully expect the Secretary of Defense to provide a comprehensive proposal to restructure the authorized strength tables for commissioned officers on active duty in time for the committee to address, in the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1997, a permanent solution to perceived recurring shortages of officers in controlled grades for each service.

*Certain general and flag officers awaiting retirement not to be counted (sec. 403)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 403) that would exempt a retiring Chairman of the Joint Chiefs, Chief of Staff of the Army, Chief of Naval Operations, Chief of Staff of the Air Force, or Commandant of the Marine Corps from being included in the number of general and flag officers on active duty, authorized to be serving in the grade of general and admiral, during the period when they would complete those activities necessary to transition to the retired list after they have been relieved from their former position.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

The conferees agree that the five positions in this provision represent the totality of the critical positions for which an exemption of this type is appropriate. The conferees expect that the Department will not request exemptions for any additional general/flag officer positions.

The conferees intend that this authority would not be used for more than 60 calendar days.

Subtitle B—Reserve Forces

*End strengths for selected reserve (sec. 411)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 411) that would authorize selected reserve end strength levels for fiscal year 1996.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 411).

The following table summarizes the authorized end strength levels for the selected reserve for fiscal year 1996.

| | Fiscal year | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | 1995 Authorization | 1996 Request | 1996 Recommendation |
| The Army National Guard of the United States | 400,000 | 373,000 | 373,000 |
| The Army Reserve | 242,000 | 230,000 | 230,000 |
| The Naval Reserve | 102,960 | 98,602 | 98,894 |
| The Marine Corps Reserve | 42,000 | 42,000 | 42,274 |
| The Air National Guard of the United States | 115,581 | 109,458 | 112,707 |
| The Air Force Reserve | 78,706 | 73,969 | 73,969 |
| The Coast Guard Reserve | 8,000 | 8,000 | 8,000 |

The conferees have approved an increase in the Naval Reserve end strength, which reflects the recommendation that the Navy retain one reserve P-3 squadron currently scheduled for inactivation in fiscal year 1996.

The conferees have approved an increase in the Marine Corps Reserve end strength, which reflects the conferees' recommendation that the authorized number or reservists on active duty in support of the Marine Corps Reserve be increased.

The conferees have approved an increase in the Air National Guard end strength, which reflects the conferees' recommendation that the Air Force maintain the PAA squadrons at 15 aircraft per squadron in fiscal year 1996.

*End strengths for the Reserves on active duty in support of the Reserves (sec. 412)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 412) that would authorize reserve full-time support end strength levels for fiscal year 1996.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 412).

The following table summarizes the reserve full-time support end strength levels for fiscal year 1996.

| | Fiscal year | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | 1995 authorization | 1996 request | 1996 recommendation |
| The Army National Guard of the United States | 23,650 | 23,390 | 23,390 |
| The Army Reserve | 11,940 | 11,575 | 11,575 |
| The Naval Reserve | 17,510 | 17,490 | 17,587 |
| The Marine Corps Reserve | 2,285 | 2,285 | 2,559 |
| The Air National Guard of the United States | 9,389 | 9,817 | 10,066 |
| The Air Force Reserve | 648 | 628 | 628 |

The conferees have approved an increase in the authorized number of reservists on active duty (AR's) in support of the Marine Corps Reserve. The conferees note that this increase is intended to complement existing active duty support, and is not a substitute for any portion of the active duty support that is part of the Inspector-Instructor system. Therefore, the conferees direct that the Inspector-Instructor support system not be reduced as a result of any AR increase. Further, the conferees direct that the AR increase of 274 personnel be utilized to the extent that it is supported by a specific appropriation. The conferees do not support increasing the AR program if it means reducing any other reserve programs.

The increases in the number of reservists on active duty in support of the Naval Reserve reflects the conferees' approval of additional selected reserve strength to enable the Navy to retain a reserve P-3 squadron.

The increase in the number of reservists on active duty in support of the Air National Guard reflects the conferees' approval of selected reserve strength to enable the Air National Guard to retain the PAA squadrons at 15 aircraft per squadron.

*Counting of certain active component personnel assigned in support of Reserve component training (sec. 413)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 413) that would permit active duty personnel assigned to active duty units, that have been and continue to be established for the principal purpose of providing dedicated training support to reserve component units, to be counted toward the number of advisers required by section 414(c) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 1992 and 1993 (Public Law 102–190).

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Increase in the number of members in certain grades authorized to serve on active duty in support of the Reserves (sec. 414)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 413) that would temporarily increase the number of members of certain grades authorized to serve on active duty in support of the reserves.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Reserves on active duty in support of Cooperative Threat Reduction Programs not to be counted (sec. 415)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 414) that would exempt members of a reserve component who participate in Cooperative Threat Reduction Act programs from being counted against the authorized active duty end strength.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Reserves on active duty for military-to-military contacts and comparable activities not to be counted (sec. 416)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 415) that would amend section 168 of title 10, United States Code, to exempt members of a reserve component who participate in activities or programs specified in section 168, for over 180 days, from counting against the end strengths for members of the armed services on active duty, authorized by section 115(a)(1) of title 10, United States Code.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Subtitle C—Military Training Student Loads

*Authorization of training student loads (sec. 421)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 421) that would approve the training students loads contained in the President's budget.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 421).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Subtitle D—Authorization of Appropriations

*Authorization for increase in active duty end strengths (sec. 432)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 432) that would authorize $112.0 million in additional funds available for increasing military personnel end strengths within the Department of Defense above those levels requested by the President's budget.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

TITLE V—MILITARY PERSONNEL POLICY

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

*Funding for the Family Advocacy Program and the New Parent Support Program*

The conferees are concerned about the adequacy of funding requested by the Department of Defense for the Family Advocacy Program (FAP) and the lack of funding for the New Parent Support Program (NPSP). The conferees agree to provide an increase of $30.0 million for the FAP and $25.6 for the NPSP. The conferees direct that the NPSP increase be allocated as follows: Army—$10.0 million; Navy—$7.0 million; Marine Corps—$5.0 million; Air Force—$3.6 million. The conferees take this action in response to the significant strains placed on military families as a result of the high operations tempo in all services. The conferees consider the FAP and the NPSP critical to the readiness and retention of quality people.

The conferees recognize that there is fierce competition within the Department of Defense, and among the services, for scarce operations and maintenance funds. The conferees are concerned that the FAP and NPSP funding may be used for other purposes. If the Department or a service attempt to reduce, divert, or reprogram the FAP or NPSP funding for some other purpose, the conferees would consider such an action to be in direct contravention of congressional intent.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Subtitle A—Officer Personnel Policy

*Joint officer management (sec. 501)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 501) that would amend joint officer management policies in four areas: (1) the number of required critical joint duty assignment positions; (2) joint duty assignment credit for certain qualifying joint task force positions; (3) the education and experience sequencing requirement for the award of the joint specialty to general and flag officers; and (4) tour length requirements for certain officers on a second joint tour.

The House bill contained no similar amendment.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

The conferees note that this amendment is intended to provide to the civilian and military leadership of the Department of Defense some flexibility to manage the various joint officer programs, without undermining the fundamental tenets and goals of the Goldwater-Nichols Department of Defense Reorganization Act of 1986. Therefore, none of the changes included in the conference agreement should be perceived as diminishing the importance of joint duty assignments or the importance of rigorous preparation before the award of the joint specialty or the need for judicious management of those officers to whom that designator has been awarded. The conferees revised the Department's original proposal to preclude the Department from rapidly rotating officers through joint task force assignments and thereby circumventing the fundamental intent of the Goldwater-Nichols Department of Defense Reorganization Act of 1986.

Regarding credit for service in joint task force and multinational force positions, the conferees recognize that certain positions will provide real-world joint experience equal to or greater than that provided by some positions on the Joint Duty Assignment List. Additionally, the conferees believe that authorizing the Secretary of Defense to award joint duty credit for certain officers serving in joint task force positions will permit deserving in-service assignments to receive joint duty assignment credit. The conferees fully expect the Secretary of Defense to closely manage the award of joint duty credit for such positions.

*Retired grade for officers in grades above major general and rear admiral (sec. 502)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 505) that would permit the retirement of three- and four-star generals and flag officers to be considered under the same standards and procedures as general and flag officer retirements at the one- and two-star level.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

*Wearing of insignia for higher grade before promotion (sec. 503)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 507) that would define "frocking" and limit the numbers of officers that could be frocked to grades 0–4 through 0–7.

Frocking is the practice of allowing an officer to wear the insignia of a higher grade prior to appointment to that higher grade. While the Department of Defense has attempted to control the extent of frocking through regulation, the practice remains a means by which the services routinely circumvent the statutory limits on the number of officers authorized to serve in certain grades.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

*Authority to extend transition period for officers selected for early retirement (sec. 504)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 501) that would authorize the secretaries of the military departments to defer the date of retirement for officers selected for early retirement for up to 90 days, to avoid personal hardship or for other humanitarian reasons.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require the service secretary to make the decision on a case-by-case basis and would prohibit any delegation of this authority.

The conferees expect the Secretary of Defense and the service secretaries to modify the instructions, regulations, and policies pertaining to enlisted personnel in order to provide an equivalent benefit for enlisted personnel.

*Army officer manning levels (sec. 505)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 522) that would require that, beginning in fiscal year 1999 and thereafter, the annual Army end strength be sufficient to meet at least 90 percent of active Army officer manpower requirements.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a clarifying amendment.

*Authority for medical department officers other than physicians to be appointed as Surgeon General (sec. 506)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 503) that would amend sections 3036, 5137, and 8036 of title 10, United States Code, to permit educationally and professionally qualified officers, such as dentists, nurses, and clinical psychologists, as well as doctors, to be appointed as surgeon general of an armed force.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

*Deputy Judge Advocate General of the Air Force (sec. 507)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 504) that would amend section 8037 of title 10, United States Code, to adjust the tenure of the Deputy Judge Advocate General of the Air Force from two years to four years and authorize the grade of major general for that position.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Authority for temporary promotions for certain Navy lieutenants with critical skills (sec. 508)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 552(d)) that would extend the authority for the Navy to "spot promote" certain lieutenants serving in positions involving critical skills.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would extend the authority until September 30, 1996 and limit the number of positions to which an officer could be promoted under this authority.

*Retirement for years of service of Directors of Admissions of Military and Air Force Academies (sec. 509)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 508) that would authorize the Secretary of the Army to involuntarily retire the Director of Admissions, United States Military Academy, after 30 years of service as a commissioned officer.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would make the Air Force Academy subject to the application of the provision.

Subtitle B—Matters Relating to Reserve Components

*Extension of certain reserve officer management authorities (sec. 511)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 552) that would extend authorities that provide for the appointment, promotion, and retirement of reserve officers (sec. 552 a–c), and the promotion of certain officers on active duty in the Navy (sec. 552d).

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 506), except for the authority to provide for the promotion of certain officers on active duty in the Navy.

The conference agreement includes the identical provisions.

The promotion of certain officers on active duty in the Navy is addressed elsewhere in the conference report.

*Mobilization Income Insurance Program for members of Ready Reserve (sec. 512)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 517) that would authorize an income protection insurance plan for members of the Ready Reserve.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 511).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

*Military technician full-time support program for Army and Air Force Reserve components (sec. 513)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 511) that would restore military technician end strength to nearly the fiscal year 1995 level and require that the Secretary of Defense, in the future, manage military technicians by annual end strength. This section would also prohibit military technicians in certain high priority units and activities, but not those at management-level headquarters, from being subject to broad civilian personnel reductions. In addition, this section would require the Secretary of Defense, within six months of enactment, to initiate measures to consolidate and streamline management-level headquarters at the National, regional, and state level in the Air Force and Army Reserve and National Guard. This section would also require that, after the date of enactment, only dual-status technicians be hired.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 331) that would establish a floor for military technicians in the Army and Air Force Reserve and National Guard for fiscal years 1996 and 1997.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would establish a floor for military technicians in the Army and Air Force Reserve and National Guard at the House level.

The conferees recognize the critical importance of military technicians to reserve component readiness, and direct the use of end-strength floors to manage this special category of personnel. The conferees urge the Secretary of Defense and the Secretaries of the military departments to provide the requisite funding to ensure that the correct number of qualified military technicians are available to ensure a significant contribution to operational readiness.

*Revisions to Army Guard combat reform initiative to include Army reserve under certain provisions and to make certain revisions (sec. 514)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 513) that would change the requirement of section 1111 of the Army National Guard Combat Readiness Reform Act of 1992 (title XI, Public Law 102–484). As revised, the section would require the Army to annually provide at least 150 officers and 1,000 soldiers, with at least two years prior active duty experience, to national guard units.

This section would also expand the Army selected reserve requirements of sections 1112(b), 1113, 1115, 1116, and 1120 of the Army National Guard Combat Readiness Reform Act of 1992 (title XI, Public Law 102–484).

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Active duty associate unit responsibility (sec. 515)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 519) that would amend section 1131 of the Army National Guard Combat Readiness Reform Act of 1992 (title XI, Public Law 102–484). As revised, the provision would require that each Army National Guard brigade and Army Selected Reserve unit, considered essential for execution of the national strategy, be associated with an active duty unit.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Leave for members of reserve components performing public safety duty (sec. 516)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 513) that would amend section 6323(b) of title 5, United States Code, that would permit employees who elect, when performing public safety duty, to use either military leave, annual leave, or compensatory time, to which they are otherwise entitled.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Department of Defense funding for National Guard participation in joint disaster and emergency assistance exercises (sec. 517)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 361) that would provide funding authority for National Guard units to participate in joint exercises to prepare them to respond to civil emergencies or disasters.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Subtitle C—Decorations and Awards

*Award of Purple Heart to persons wounded while held as prisoners of war before April 25, 1962 (sec. 521)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 541) that would authorize award of the Purple Heart to prisoners of war captured before April 1962 who were injured or wounded in conjunction with their capture or imprisonment.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

*Authority to award decorations recognized acts of valor performed in combat during the Vietnam conflict (sec. 522)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 542) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense or the secretaries of the military departments to award a decoration for an act, achievement, or service performed during the Vietnam era for which there was no award provided. The provision would establish a one-year period in which award recommendations could be submitted for consideration and existing award review procedures would be used. At the end of one year, the Secretary would be required to report to the Congress on the results on this review.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment to limit consideration of decorations for acts of valor.

*Military intelligence personnel prevented by secrecy from being considered for decorations and awards (sec. 523)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 543) that would require the secretaries of the military departments, upon application, to review the records of personnel who performed military intelligence duties during the Cold War period.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees expect the secretaries of the military departments to take reasonable actions to widely publicize the opportunity to submit requests for consideration of awards and decorations under this provision.

*Review regarding upgrading of Distinguished Service Crosses and Navy Crosses awarded to Asian Americans and Native American Pacific Islanders for World War II Service (sec. 524)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 544) that would require the Secretary of Defense to review that records of Asian Americans who received the Distinguished Service Cross during World War II to determine if, except for racial prejudice, the act(s) would have merited award of the Medal of Honor.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment which would make all the services subject to the application of the provision.

*Eligibility for Armed Forces Expeditionary Medal based upon service in El Salvador (sec. 525)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 559) that would designate the country of El Salvador, during the period beginning on January 1, 1981, and ending on February 1, 1992, as an area and a period of time in which members of the Armed forces participated in operations in significant numbers and otherwise met the general requirements for award of the Armed Forces Expeditionary Medal.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Procedure for consideration of military decorations not previously submitted in timely fashion (sec. 526)*

The conference agreement includes a provision that would establish procedures under which Members of Congress can forward to the secretary of a military department a recommendation for a military award or decoration, including an upgrade of a previously approved award or decoration, for consideration by the Secretary, without regard to time limits established in law or policy. The secretary concerned will make a recommendation concerning the merits of the request to the Senate Committee on Armed Services and the House Committee on National Security.

In accordance with established standards, the conferees believe that the burden and costs for researching and assembling documentation to support approval of requested awards and decorations should rest with the requestor and should not cause an undue administrative burden within the Legislative or Executive Branch.

The conferees note that the Department of Defense has traditionally avoided consideration of requests for review of military awards on the merits by citing the expiration of various time limits. The conferees, in general, do not support the provision of military awards or decorations through private relief bills. The conferees intend that the secretaries' recommendations would be the basis for consideration of a waiver of time limits, if appropriate.

Subtitle D—Officer Education Programs

*Revision of service obligation for graduates of the services academies (sec. 531)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 502) that would reduce the service obligation for graduates of the service academies from six years to five years.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Nomination to service academies from Commonwealth of the Northern Marianas Islands (sec. 532)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 564) that would authorize the Resident Representative of the Commonwealth of the Northern Marianas Islands to nominate one cadet for attendance at each of the service academies.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Repeal of requirement for athletic director and nonappropriated fund account for the athletics programs at the service academies (sec. 533)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 557) that would repeal sections 4357 and 9356 of title 10, United States Code, and subsections (b), (d), and (e) of sections 556 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (Public Law 103-337).

The House bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1032r).

The conference report includes this provision.

*Repeal of requirement for program to test privatization of service academy preparatory schools (sec. 534)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 558) that would terminate any test program for determining the cost effectiveness of transferring, in whole or in part, the mission of the military academy preparatory schools to the private sector.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

*ROTC access to campuses (sec. 541)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1034) that would deny Department of Defense grants and contracts to any institution that has an anti-ROTC policy, as determined by the Secretary of Defense.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*ROTC scholarships for the National Guard (sec. 542)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 514) that would authorize the Secretary of the Army, with the agreement of the ROTC cadet involved, to redesignate ongoing scholarships as scholarships leading toward service in the Army National Guard and to make other technical changes.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Delay in reorganization of Army ROTC regional headquarters structure (sec. 543)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 518) that would delay the closure of an Army ROTC regional headquarters until the Secretary of the Army determines whether such closure is in the best interests of the Army.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 560).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

*Duration of field training or practice cruise required under the Senior ROTC program (sec. 544)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 554) that would permit the secretary of a military department to prescribe the length of the field training portion or practice cruise that must be completed for enrollment in the Reserve Officers' Training Corps Advance Course by persons who have not participated in the first two years of Reserve Officers' Training Corps.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Active duty officers detailed to ROTC duty at senior military colleges to serve as commandant and assistant commandant of cadets and as tactical officers (sec. 545)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 516) that would require that, upon the request of any of the six senior military colleges, the Secretary of Defense shall detail active duty officers to serve as the commandant or assistant commandant of cadets, and as tactical officers at the institution.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would provide the Secretary discretion in responding to a request from a senior military college.

The conferees expect that the service secretaries will respond positively to any request, from a senior military college, to provide an officer to serve as the commandant or assistant commandant, or as a tactical officer.

Subtitle E—Miscellaneous Reviews, Studies, and Reports

*Report concerning appropriate forum for judicial review of Department of Defense personnel actions (sec. 551)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 559) that would establish a panel to examine whether the existing practices with regard to judicial review of DOD administrative personnel actions are appropriate and adequate, whether a centralized judicial review of administrative personnel actions should be established, and whether the United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces should conduct such reviews. This approach has been recommended by the American Bar Association.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would require the panel to examine whether a single federal court should conduct such reviews, and, if so, which federal court should be assigned that responsibility. The amendment would provide the Secretary of Defense with the responsibility to establish the panel. The conference agreement required that the Secretary consult with the Attorney General and the Chief Justice of the United States concerning appointments to the panel. The conferees also required that the Secretary consult with the Attorney General prior to sending the report to Congress.

*Comptroller General review of proposed Army end strength allocations (sec. 552)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 523) that would require the Comptroller General of the United States to determine the extent to which the Army is able to fully man the combat and support forces required to carry out the national security strategy and operations other than war for fiscal years 1996 through 2001.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Report on manning status of highly deployable support units (sec. 553)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 524) that would direct each of the secretaries of the military departments to conduct a study to determine whether high-priority support units, that would deploy early in a crisis, are, as a matter of policy, manned at less than 100 percent of authorized strengths. The provision would further require the secretaries of the military departments to report the findings of their studies not later than September 30, 1996.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Review of system for correction of military records (sec. 554)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 555) that would require the secretaries of the military departments to review the composition of the Boards for the Correction of Military Records and the procedures used by those boards. The provision would require the submission of a report to the appropriate committees of the Senate and the House of Representatives by April 1, 1996.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

The conferees are concerned that the Boards for the Correction of Military Records are perceived to be unresponsive, bureaucratic extensions of the uniformed services.

*Report on the consistency of reporting of fingerprint cards and final disposition forms to the Federal Bureau of Investigation (sec. 555)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 565) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report on the consistency with which fingerprint cards and final disposition forms are reported by the Defense Criminal Investigation Organizations to the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a clarifying amendment.

Subtitle F—Other Matters

*Equalization of accrual of service credit for officers and enlisted members (sec. 561)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 551) that would make the criteria for accrual of service credit for officers consistent with the criteria established for enlisted members.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 552).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

*Army ranger training (sec. 562)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 557) that would establish a baseline number of officers and enlisted personnel that would have to be assigned to the Army Ranger Training Brigade and would give the Secretary of the Army one year to achieve that level. This provision would also require that training safety cells be established in each of the three major phases of the Ranger training course.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment which would require the Ranger Training Brigade to be manned at 90 percent of the requirements for two years, at which time the statutory requirement would expire. The amendment would also require the Comptroller General to assess the effectiveness of corrective actions taken by the Army as a result of the February 1995 accident at the Florida Ranger Training Camp. The amendment also expresses the sense of the Congress that the Secretary of Defense review and enhance, if necessary, oversight of all high-risk training and consider establishment of safety cells similar to those prescribed in the Ranger Training Brigade.

The conferees direct the secretary of defense to undertake a comprehensive analysis of high-risk training activities, to include, but not limited to the following: Army-Ranger; Navy SEAL; Navy and Air Force Survival, Evasion, Resistance, and Escape; and Airborne training. The study should identify key contributing factors prejudicial to personnel safety. This study shall include sensitivity analysis for each high-risk training program, with particular emphasis on officer-enlisted ratios and instructor-student ratios. The conferees direct the Secretary to submit the study results to the Senate Committee on Armed Services and the House Committee on National Security not later than December 31, 1996.

*Separation in cases involving extended confinement (sec. 563)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 553) that would authorize the administrative separation of a service member who is sentenced by court-martial to a period of confinement for one year or more.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would authorize such a separation if the member has been sentenced to a period of confinement for more than six months.

*Limitations on reductions in medical personnel (sec. 564)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 556) that would amend section 711 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1991, section 718 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 1992 and 1993, and section 518 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993 to modify the limitations on reductions in medical personnel.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

*Sense of Congress concerning personnel tempo rates (sec. 565)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 525) that would express the sense of Congress that the Secretary of Defense should continue to improve the Department's personnel tempo management techniques so that all personnel can expect a reasonable personnel tempo rate.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Separation benefits during force reduction for officers of the commissioned corps of National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (sec. 566)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 566) that would, at the discretion of the Secretary of Commerce, authorize for officers of the Commissioned Corps of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, the separation benefits available to the other uniformed services.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Discharge of members of the armed forces who have the HIV-1 virus (sec. 567)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 561) that would require the Secretary of Defense to separate or retire service members who are identified as HIV-positive.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would provide the discharged member with an entitlement to medical and dental care within the Military Health Care System, to the same extent and under the same conditions as a military retiree.

*Revision and codification of Military Family Act and Military Child Care Act (sec. 568)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 560) that would codify in title 10, United States Code, updated provisions of The Military Family Act of 1985 (title VII, Public Law 99-145), and The Military Child Care Act of 1989 (title XV, Public Law 101-189), which were instrumental in focusing Department of Defense attention on the needs of military families and on the importance of effective child care programs.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would eliminate a reporting requirement.

*Determination of whereabouts and status of missing persons (sec. 569)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 563) that would require the Secretary of Defense to centralize at the Department of Defense level, the oversight and policy responsibility for accounting for missing persons.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 551).

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would clarify and integrate the two provisions.

The conferees' intention in requiring the creation of the Office for Missing Persons (section 1501) is that this office will have a broad range of responsibilities that include those of all the individual offices that currently have responsibilities for POW/MIA matters.

The conferees expect that the Secretary of Defense will organize this new office to serve as the single focal point in the Department of Defense for POW/MIA matters and consolidate the formulation and oversight of search, rescue, escape and evasion and accountability policies. The conferees further expect that the Secretary of Defense will make every effort to ensure a close working relationship with the national intelligence agencies.

In relation to the Special Rule for Persons Classified as KIA/BNR, the conferees believe that the evidence referred to in section 1509(c) should be compelling evidence, such as post-incident letters written by the supposedly-dead person while in captivity or United States or other archival evidence that directly contradicts earlier United States Government determinations.

*Associate Director of Central Intelligence for Military Support (sec. 570)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1096) that would exempt the position of Associate Director of Central Intelligence for Military Support from counting against the numbers and percentages of officers authorized to be serving in the rank and grade of such officer for the armed force of which such officer is a member when neither the Director for Central Intelligence or the Deputy Director for Central Intelligence is a military officer.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Subtitle G—Support for Non-Department of Defense Activities

*Repeal and revision of certain Civil-Military Programs (secs. 571, 572, 573 and 574)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 558) that would repeal the authority for three programs established by the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993 (Public Law 102-484): the Civil-Military Cooperative Action Program; the National Guard Youth Opportunities Program; and the Pilot Outreach Program to Reduce the Demand for Illegal Drugs. Additionally, this provision would preclude Department of Defense support to the Civilian Conservation Corps.

The Senate amendment contained several provisions that would address Civil-Military Programs as follows: (1) prohibit the use of funds for the Office of Civil-Military Programs within the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Reserve Affairs (sec. 362); (2) revise section 410 of title 10, United States Code, the Civil-Military Cooperative Action Program (sec. 363); (3) extend the authorization for the National Guard Youth Opportunities Program through Fiscal Year 1997 (sec. 1083); and (4) extend the duration of

the Pilot Outreach Program to Reduce the Demand for Illegal Drugs for two additional years (sec. 1099A).

The conference agreement includes several provisions (secs. 571, 572, 573, and 574) that would: (1) replace section 410 of title 10, United States Code, with a new section, that would authorize support and services for certain eligible organizations and activities outside of the Department of Defense (sec. 2012); (2) prohibit the use of funds for the Office of Civil-Military Programs within the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Reserve Affairs or for any other entity within the Office of the Secretary of Defense that has an exclusive or principal mission of providing centralized direction for activities under section 572 of this Act; (3) extend that authorization for the National Guard Youth Opportunities Program for 18 months from enactment and limit the number of programs to the number in effect on September 30, 1995. The Conference Agreement did not extend the duration of the Pilot Outreach Program to Reduce Demand for Illegal Drugs.

Regarding the repeal of specific authority for the Civil-Military Cooperative Program and the absence of an extension of the Pilot Outreach Program to Reduce the Demand for Illegal Drugs, the conferees note that the Young Marines, the Seaborne Conservation Corps, and other programs operated under Department of Defense and service policy prior to the October 1992 enactment of the statutory authorities for the various civil-military programs. The conferees expect that the Young Marines, the Seaborne Conservation Corps and other similar programs should be able to continue operations in accordance with the pre-October 1992 authorities.

The conferees intend that the 18-month extension of the National Guard Youth Opportunities Program would permit these programs to develop non-Department of Defense sources of funding in order to continue operation after the authority in this extension expires.

Regarding support and services for eligible organizations and activities outside of the Department of Defense, the conferees intend that the "customary community relations and public affairs activities", referred to in section 572(b)(1), provide for the use of Department of Defense resources to support public events, including such activities as the honor guards, static displays of equipment, bands, and demonstrations, and rely heavily on volunteer support. Department of Defense resources should be considered available for community relations support only after all military needs have been met. Additionally, the conferees expect that, concerning the exception to the relationship to military training, referred to in section 572(d)(2), most manpower requests for assistance under this exception will be met by volunteers, and that any assistance other than manpower will be extremely limited. With respect to such exception, Government vehicles may be used, but only to provide transportation of military manpower to and from the work site. The use of government aircraft in assistance under this exception is prohibited.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

*Report on feasibility of providing education benefits protection insurance for service academy and ROTC scholarship students who become medically unable to serve*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 515) that would require the Secretary of Defense to conduct a study on the need and feasibility of establishing a no cost to the government disability insurance plan for service academy and Reserve Officers' Training Corps scholarship students.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees believe that private insurance companies could provide the needed coverage without requiring further study by the Secretary of Defense. Accordingly, the conferees direct the Secretary to cooperate with private insurers and to make insurance information available to students in a manner that the Secretary determines to be essentially consistent with the way private insurance information is handled elsewhere within the Department of Defense.

*Authority to appoint Brigadier General Charles E. Yeager, United States Air Force (retired) to the grade of major general on the retired list*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 562) that would authorize the President to advance Brigadier General Charles E. Yeager (retired) to the grade of major general on the retired list.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

TITLE VI—COMPENSATION AND OTHER PERSONNEL BENEFITS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Subtitle A—Pay and Allowances

*Military pay raise for fiscal year 1996 (sec. 601)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 601) that would provide a 2.4 percent military pay raise for all the uniformed services, except the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. Additionally, the provision would increase by 5.2 percent the rates of the basic allowance for quarters for members of the uniformed services. These increases would be effective January 1, 1996.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision that would apply to all uniformed services (sec. 601).

The House recedes with an amendment.

The conferees note that the President issued an Executive Order on December 28, 1995 to provide a 2.0 percent pay raise to military personnel under section 1009, title 10, United States Code to correspond with an increase in federal civilian pay effective January 1, 1996. Consequently, the conferees agree to amend the original provision to rescind the Executive Order and provide authority for an increase of 2.4 percent in the rates of military basic pay and basic allowance for subsistence and 5.2 percent in the basic allowance for quarters retroactively to January 1, 1996.

*Limitation on basic allowance for subsistence for members residing without dependents in government quarters (sec. 602)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 602) that would require the secretaries of the military departments to allow no more than 12 percent of the service members without dependents who reside in government quarters to receive basic allowance for subsistence (BAS). The provision would also require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to confirm the current number of service members in this category and to establish a standard for the appropriate percentage of personnel who are eligible to receive BAS.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Election of basic allowance for quarters instead of assignment to inadequate quarters (sec. 603)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 602) that would authorize payment of the basic allowance for quarters (BAQ) and variable housing allowance (VHA) (and overseas housing allowance (OHA) if assigned overseas) to single members in the paygrade E-6 and above who have been assigned to quarters that do not meet minimum adequacy standards established by the Department of Defense.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Payment of basic allowance for quarters to members in pay grade E-6 who are assigned to sea duty (sec. 604)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 603) that would authorize payment of basic allowance for quarters and variable housing allowance to single E-6 personnel assigned to shipboard sea duty.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 603).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

*Limitation on reduction of variable housing allowance for certain members (sec. 605)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 604) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to establish a minimum amount of variable housing allowance (VHA) to meet the cost of adequate housing in high cost areas. The provision would also prevent the reduction of the amount of VHA paid to an individual, as long as the member retains uninterrupted eligibility to receive VHA in the housing area and the member's housing costs are not reduced.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 604) that would prevent reduction of the amount of variable housing allowance (VHA) paid to an individual, as long as the service member retains uninterrupted eligibility to receive VHA in the housing area and the service member's housing costs are not reduced.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

The conferees believe that, if the current mechanism for determining VHA rates is inadequate, the Secretary of Defense should notify the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on National Security of the House. Such notification should include a recommended solution and all appropriate justification.

*Clarification of limitation on eligibility for Family Separation Allowance (sec. 606)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 605) that would authorize the payment of family separation allowance to service members on board a ship that is away from homeport, even though the service member elected to remain unaccompanied by dependents at the permanent duty station.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 605) that also authorized payment of family separation allowance when members are on temporary duty away from permanent duty station.

The House recedes.

Subtitle B—Bonuses and Special and Incentive Pays

*Extension of certain bonuses for reserve forces (sec. 611)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 611) that would extend until September 30, 1998 the authority for the selected reserve reenlistment bonus, the selected reserve enlistment bonus, the selected reserve affiliation bonus, the ready reserve enlistment

and reenlistment bonus, and the prior service enlistment bonus.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 611) that would provide for extensions to September 30, 1997.

The House recedes.

*Extension of certain bonuses and special pay for nurse officer candidates, registered nurses, and nurse anesthetists (sec. 612)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 612) that would extend until September 30, 1998 the authority for the nurse officer candidate accession program, the accession bonus for registered nurses, and the incentive special pay for nurse anesthetists.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 612) that would provide for extensions to September 30, 1997.

The House recedes.

*Extension of authority relating to payment of other bonuses and special pays (sec. 613)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 613) that would extend until September 30, 1998 the authority for the aviation officer retention bonus, the reenlistment bonus for active members, enlistment bonuses for critical skills, special pay for enlisted members of the selected reserve assigned to certain high-priority units, special pay for nuclear-qualified officers extending the period of active service, and the nuclear career accession bonus. The provision would also extend the authority for repayment of education loans for certain health professionals who serve in the selected reserve and the nuclear career annual incentive bonus to October 1, 1998.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 613) that would provide for extensions to September 30 and October 1, 1997.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

*Codification and extension of special pay for critically short wartime health specialists in the selected reserves (sec. 614)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 614) that would amend title 37, United States Code, to include authorization of special pay for critically short wartime health specialists in the selected reserves and extend the authority for the special pay to September 30, 1998.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment to limit the extension of authority to September 30, 1997.

*Hazardous duty incentive pay for warrant officers and enlisted members serving as air weapons controllers (sec. 615)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 614) that would authorize special hazardous duty incentive pay for enlisted members serving as air weapons controllers aboard airborne warning and control systems.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Aviation career incentive pay (sec. 616)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 615) that would reduce the initial operational flying requirement for Aviation Career Incentive Pay from 9 of the first 12 years to 8 of the first 12 years of aviation service.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 615) that would also restrict to the service secretary the authority to grant waivers of the number of years.

The House recedes.

*Clarification of authority to provide special pay for nurses (sec. 617)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 616) that would add military nurses to the list of health care professionals who are eligible to receive a special pay for being board certified in their specialty.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Continuous entitlement to career sea pay for crew members of ships designated as tenders (sec. 618)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 616) that would authorize personnel assigned to tenders to receive career sea pay.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 617).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

*Increase in maximum rate of special duty assignment pay for enlisted members serving as recruiters (sec. 619)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 617) that would authorize payment of a maximum monthly rate of $375 of additional special duty assignment pay to recruiters.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 618).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Subtitle C—Travel and Transportation Allowances

*Repeal of requirement regarding calculation of allowances on basis of mileage tables (sec. 621)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 621) that would amend section 104(d)(1)(A) of title 37, United States Code, to repeal the requirement that travel mileage tables be prepared under the direction of the Secretary of Defense.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Departure allowances (sec. 622)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 622) that would equalize evacuation allowances to ensure equitable treatment of military dependents, civilians and their dependents, when officially authorized or ordered to evacuate an overseas area.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Transportation of nondependent child from member's station overseas after loss of dependent status while overseas (sec. 623)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 621) that would authorize dependent children, who lose eligibility as dependents for any reason while overseas, to return to the United States one time at government expense prior to the sponsor receiving permanent-change-of-station orders.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 624).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

*Authorization of dislocation allowance for moves in connection with base realignments and closures (sec. 624)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 622) that would authorize the payment of dislocation allowance for service members directed to move as a result of the closure or realignment of an installation.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 623).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Subtitle D—Retired Pay, Survivor Benefits, and Related Matters

*Effective date for military retiree cost-of-living adjustments for fiscal years 1996, 1997 and 1998 (sec. 631)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 633) that would conform the military retired pay cost-of-living adjustment (COLA) payment date with the payment date established for Federal civilian retirees by making the military retired pay COLA first payable during March 1996, rather than September 1996.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 641) that would provide that the 1996 military retired pay cost-of-living adjustment be effective the first day of March 1996. In subsequent years, the cost-of-living adjustment would be effective the first day of December of each year.

The House recedes with an amendment that would provide that the military retired pay COLAs for fiscal years 1996 and 1997 be effective the first day of March, 1996, and the first day of December, 1996, respectively. The provision would also require that the effective date for COLAs during fiscal year 1998 conform to the date prescribed for Federal civilian retirees.

The conferees acknowledge that restoring equity to the payment of COLAs to military retirees has been a priority concern since passage of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1993 which caused military retirees to receive their COLAs later than their civilian counterparts. The solution specified in this provision is a welcome end to the inequity between the two groups of retirees.

*Denial of non-regular service retired pay for reserves receiving certain court-martial sentences (sec. 632)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 642) that would authorize the Secretaries of the military departments to deny retired pay to non-regular service members who are convicted of an offense under the Uniform Code of Military Justice and whose sentence includes death, a dishonorable discharge, a bad conduct discharge, or dismissal. The provision would treat both regular and non-regular service members equitably.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

*Report on payment of annuities for certain military surviving spouses (sec. 633)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 648) that would require the Secretary of Defense to determine the number of surviving spouses of retired careerists who died before March 21, 1974 and retired pay eligible reserve retirees under age 60 who died before September 30, 1978, and report to the Senate Committee on Armed Services and the House Committee on National Security. These groups of surviving spouses have become known as "Forgotten Widows" since they were widowed before provisions of the Survivor Benefit Plan were applicable to them.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Payment of back quarters and subsistence allowances to World War II veterans who served as guerrilla fighters in the Philippines (sec. 634)*

The conference agreement includes a provision that would require the service secretaries, on request, to pay the quarters and subsistence allowance that was not paid to certain guerrilla fighters in the Philippines during World War II.

*Authority for relief from previous overpayments under minimum income widows program (sec. 635)*

The conference agreement includes a provision that would permit the Secretary of Defense to waive the recovery of any overpayment made before enactment of the conference report and that is attributable to a

failure by the Department of Defense to apply eligibility requirements correctly.

The conferees expect the Secretary of Defense to direct the Defense Finance and Accounting Service to stop sending collection letters to widows expected to be covered under this provision.

*Transitional compensation for dependents of members of the armed forces separated for dependent abuse (sec. 636)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 556) that would require the Secretary of Defense to retroactively provide compensation to certain eligible dependents inadvertently excluded from the program.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 649) that would amend section 1059(d) of title 10, United States Code, to include transitional compensation for dependents whose sponsor forfeited all pay and allowances, but was not separated from the service.

The Senate recedes with a clarifying amendment.

Subtitle E—Other Matters

*Payment to survivors of deceased members for all leave accrued (sec. 641)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 647) that would permit survivors of deceased members of the uniformed services to be paid for all leave accrued. This provision will enable survivors to be paid for leave accrued above the 60 day limit.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Repeal of reporting requirements regarding compensation matters (sec. 642)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 631) that would eliminate a report on dependents accompanying members on assignments to overseas locations and simplify the requirement for the President to submit to the Congress recommendations on military pay matters.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1072(d)).

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would combine the two provisions.

*Recoupment of administrative expenses in garnishment actions (sec. 643)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 643) that would amend section 5502 of title 5, United States Code, to shift the burden for payment of administrative costs, incurred incident to garnishment actions, from the employee to the creditor.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Report on extending to junior noncommissioned officers privileges provided for senior noncommissioned officers (sec. 644)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 646) that would require the Secretary of Defense to study and report to the Congress on methods of improving the working conditions of noncommissioned officers in pay grades E-5 and E-6. This report, and the accompanying legislative recommendations, should provide the committee a road map to continue quality of life improvements.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Study regarding joint process for determining location of recruiting stations (sec. 645)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 632) that would require the Secretary of Defense to conduct a study of the process for determining the location and manning of recruiting stations. The study would be based on market research and analysis conducted jointly by the military departments.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Automatic maximum coverage under Servicemen's Group Life Insurance (sec. 646)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 644) that would automatically enroll service members at the maximum insurance level of $200,000, instead of the $100,000 level currently in law.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would delay implementation until April 1, 1996.

*Termination of servicemen's group life insurance for members of the Ready Reserve who fail to pay premiums (sec. 647)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 645) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to terminate coverage under the Servicemen's Group Life Insurance for members of the ready reserve who fail to make premium payments for 120 days.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would delay implementation until April 1, 1996.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

*Repeal of prohibition on payment of lodging expenses when adequate Government quarters are available*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 623) that would repeal the prohibition on payment of lodging expenses when adequate government quarters are available.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

TITLE VII—HEALTH CARE PROVISIONS

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

*Follow-on medical care for certain members of former members of the Armed Forces and their dependents*

The conferees note that some service members, as a result of receiving transfusions at military hospitals were placed at risk of contracting a serious communicable disease and subsequently transmitting it to their dependents.

The case of Douglas Simon of Eden Prairie, Minnesota, and his family, is an example of the very tragic situation that can arise following a transfusion of contaminated blood. In 1983, while serving in the Army National Guard, Mr. Simon was infected with the AIDS virus after undergoing a blood transfusion at Fort Benning, Georgia. Subsequently, he unknowingly transmitted the virus to his spouse, Nancy, who in turn, transmitted the virus to their daughter Candace. Candace became ill and died of AIDS in 1993 at the age of five. Both Mr. and Mrs. Simon are now in the terminal stages of AIDS and their two remaining children Brian, 11, and Eric, 9, will be orphaned. To date, the Department of Defense has not accepted any financial responsibility for the treatment of Mr. or Mrs. Simon, or the future of the two children. The conferees direct the Secretary of Defense to review the Department's role in this case and to determine whether the Department of Defense should provide fair compensation to these and other similarly affected persons.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Subtitle A—Health Care Services

*Modifications of requirements regarding routine physical examinations and immunizations under CHAMPUS (sec. 701)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 701) that would amend section 1079(a) of title 10, United States Code, by expanding "well-baby visits" and immunizations to dependents under the age of six, by authorizing immunizations at age six and above and by adding coverage of health promotion and disease prevention visits associated with immunizations, pap smears and mammograms.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 703).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

*Correction of inequities in medical and dental care and death and disability benefits for certain reservists (sec. 702)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 702) that would authorize reservists the same death and disability benefits as active duty members, during off-duty periods between successive inactive duty training periods performed at locations outside the reasonable commuting distance from the member's residence.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Medical care for surviving dependents of retired Reserves who die before age 60 (sec. 703)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 701) that would permit survivors of "gray area" retirees, members of the retired reserve who have not attained the age of 60 years, to receive medical care as if the sponsor had attained 60 years of age and was receiving retirement benefits.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Medical and dental care for members of the Selected Reserve assigned to early deploying units of the Army Selected Reserve (sec. 704) and dental insurance for members of the Selected Reserve (sec. 705)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 703) that would require the Secretary of the Army to provide medical and dental screenings, physical exams for members over 40, and the dental care required to meet dental readiness standards for units scheduled for deployment within 75 days of mobilization.

The provision would also require the Secretary of Defense to conduct a demonstration program to offer members of the selected reserve dental readiness insurance on a voluntary basis, at no cost to the Department of Defense.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 702) that would require the Secretary of Defense to establish a dental insurance plan for members of the selected reserve. The provision would require a plan, similar to the active duty dependent dental insurance plan, with voluntary enrollment and premium sharing by the member.

The House recedes with two amendments. One requires the Secretary of Defense to establish a dental insurance plan for members of the selected reserve in fiscal year 1997. The amendment also provides authority for the Secretary to conduct the necessary surveys, preparation work, and a test of the plan in fiscal year 1996. The other amendment requires the Secretary of the Army to provide medical and dental care to members

of early deploying units of the selective reserve.

*Permanent authority to carry out Specialized Treatment Facility Program (sec. 706)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 704) that would amend section 1105 of title 10, United States Code, by repealing subsection (h), the sunset provision, to make the Specialized Treatment Facility Program permanent.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Subtitle B—TRICARE Program

*Definition of TRICARE Program (sec. 711)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 711) that would define the TRICARE program and other terms of art in the statute.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

*Priority use of military treatment facilities for persons enrolled in managed care initiatives (sec. 712)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 711) that would amend title 10, United States Code, to require the Secretary of Defense, as an incentive for enrollment, to establish reasonable priorities for services provided at military treatment facilities for TRICARE-enrolled beneficiaries.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Staggered payment of enrollment fees for TRICARE program (sec. 713)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 712) that would amend section 1097(e) of title 10, United States Code, to require the Secretary of Defense to allow beneficiaries to pay any required enrollment fees on a monthly or quarterly basis, at no additional cost to the beneficiary.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment limiting the payments to a quarterly basis.

The conferees direct the Secretary of Defense to establish procedures for retired service members to pay enrollment fees by allotment.

*Requirement of budget neutrality for TRICARE program to be based on entire program (sec. 714)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 713) that would clarify the requirement for the TRICARE HMO option to be budget neutral by requiring that the combined effect of all three TRICARE options be budget neutral.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Training in health care management and administration for TRICARE lead agents (sec. 715)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 714) that would direct the Secretary of Defense to ensure that military medical treatment facility commanders, selected to serve as lead agents for the Department's managed health-care program, TRICARE, receive appropriate training in health-care management and administration.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would add key subordinates to the training requirement.

*Pilot program of individualized residential mental health services (sec. 716)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 746) that would direct the Secretary of Defense to study the feasibility of expanding mental health services to include "wraparound" services, and to include the requirement that providers share financial risk through case-rate reimbursement, and then to report the results of the study to Congress by March 1, 1996.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 714) that would direct the Secretary of Defense to implement a program of residential treatment for seriously emotionally disturbed and complex-needs adolescents. This treatment would incorporate the concept of "wraparound services" in one TRICARE region. The Secretary would be required to report on the evaluation of this program not later than eighteen months after the program is implemented.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

*Evaluation and report on TRICARE program effectiveness (sec. 717)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 715) that would require the Secretary of Defense to obtain an ongoing independent evaluation of the TRICARE program and to provide an annual report to Congress on the results of the evaluation. The evaluation should report on efforts to make TRICARE Prime, the HMO option, available in noncatchment and rural areas.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a clarifying amendment.

*Sense of Congress regarding access to health care under TRICARE program for covered beneficiaries who are Medicare eligible (sec. 718)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 713) that would express the sense of the Senate that the Secretary of Defense should develop a program to ensure that covered beneficiaries who are eligible for Medicare and who reside in a region in which TRICARE has been implemented have access to health care services under TRICARE and that the Department of Defense be reimbursed for those services.

The house bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that makes the provision a sense of Congress.

Subtitle C—Uniformed Services Treatment Facilities

*Delay of termination of status of certain facilities as Uniformed Services Treatment Facilities (sec. 721)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 721) that would extend until September 30, 1997, the designation of Uniformed Services Treatment Facilities (USTF) as military treatment facilities (MTF).

The House bill amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Limitation on expenditures to support Uniformed Services Treatment Facilities (sec. 722)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 721) that would amend the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1984 (Public Law 98–94) to limit the amount authorized to $300.0 million for the Department of Defense Uniformed Services Treatment Facilities (USTFs) managed care plan. This section would limit beneficiary enrollment in the USTF program to the number enrolled as of September 30, 1995.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would eliminate the limit on the number of enrollees.

*Application of CHAMPUS payment rules in certain cases (sec. 723)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 723) that would amend section 1074 of title 10, United States Code, to include the Uniformed Services Treatment Facilities (USTF) in the authority under which a USTF could be reimbursed for care provided to a Department of Defense eligible enrollee who receives care out of the local area of the USTF in which they are enrolled.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Application of federal acquisition regulation to participation agreements with Uniformed Services Treatment Facilities (sec. 724)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 722) that would amend the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1991 (Public Law 101–510) by repealing the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) exemption granted to the Uniformed Services Treatment Facilities (USTFs).

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 722).

The Senate recedes.

*Development of plan for integrating Uniformed Services Treatment Facilities in managed care programs of Department of Defense (sec. 725)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 723) that would amend section 718(c) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1991 (Public Law 101–510) to require the Secretary of Defense to submit to Congress a plan under which the 10 Uniformed Services Treatment Facilities (USTFs) would be integrated into the Department of Defense's managed health-care program by September 30, 1997. In addition, this section would require the Secretary to assess the feasibility of implementing a modified version of USTF option II.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a clarifying amendment.

*Equitable implementation of uniform cost sharing requirements for Uniformed Services Treatment Facilities (sec. 726)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 724) that would direct the Secretary of Defense to apply uniform cost shares to each of the 10 Uniformed Services Treatment Facilities (USTFs) only upon regional implementation of the TRICARE managed health care program in the USTF's service area. It would also direct the GAO to evaluate the effect of TRICARE cost shares on USTFs.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 712) that would require the Uniformed Services Treatment Facilities to implement the TRICARE uniform benefit concurrent with the implementation of TRICARE in that region. The recommended provision would exempt a covered beneficiary who has been continuously enrolled on and after January 1, 1995.

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

*Elimination of unnecessary annual reporting requirements regarding Uniformed Services Treatment Facilities (sec. 727)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 736) that would eliminate unnecessary annual reporting requirements regarding military health care.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Subtitle D—Other Changes to Existing Laws Regarding Health Care Management

*Maximum allowable payments to individual health-care providers under CHAMPUS (sec. 731)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 731) that would amend title 10, United States Code, to codify a provision of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (Public Law 103-335) that establishes a process for gradually reducing CHAMPUS maximum payment amounts to those limits for similar services under Medicare.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 732).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

*Notification of certain CHAMPUS covered beneficiaries of loss of CHAMPUS eligibility (sec. 732)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 743) that would direct the administering secretaries to develop a mechanism for notifying beneficiaries of their ineligibility for CHAMPUS health benefits when the loss of CHAMPUS eligibility is due to disability status.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Personal services contracts for medical treatment facilities of the Coast Guard (sec. 733)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 733) that would authorize the Secretary of Transportation to use the personal services contract authority, currently available to the Secretary of Defense, to contract for health care providers in support of the Coast Guard.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Identification of third-party payer situations (sec. 734)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 733) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to prescribe regulations for the collection of information from covered beneficiaries regarding insurance, medical service, or health plans of third-party payers.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Redesignation of Military Health Care Account as Defense Health Program Account and two-year availability of certain account funds (sec. 735)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 734) that would amend section 1100 of title 10, United States Code, to allow the Secretary of Defense to carry over three percent of the defense health plan annual operation and maintenance appropriations to the end of the next fiscal year.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 731).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

*Expansion of financial assistance program for health care professionals in reserve components, to include dental specialties (sec. 736)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 735) that would authorize financial assistance for qualified dentists engaged in training for a dental specialty which is critically needed in wartime.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 512).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

*Applicability of limitation on prices of pharmaceuticals procured for Coast Guard (sec. 737)*

The Senate amendment contained in provision (sec. 743) that would include the Coast Guard in the pharmaceutical purchase program administered by the Department of Veterans Affairs.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

*Expansion of existing restriction on use of defense funds for abortions (sec. 738)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 732) that would amend section 1093 of title 10, United States Code, to restrict the Department of Defense (DOD) from using medical treatment facilities or other DOD facilities, as well as DOD funds, to perform abortions, unless necessary to save the life of the mother.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would prohibit the use of Department of Defense facilities to perform abortions except in cases where the pregnancy is the result of rape or incest or in cases when the life of the mother is endangered. The amendment would retain the prohibition on the use of Department of Defense funds for abortions except in cases when the life of the mother in endangered.

Subtitle E—Other Matters

*Tri-service nursing research (sec. 741)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 741) that would authorize establishment of a tri-service research program at the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Termination of program to train military psychologists to prescribe psychotropic medications (sec. 742)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 741) that would direct the Department of Defense to terminate the pilot demonstration program and to withdraw the authority to prescribe psychotropic drugs from psychologists who participated in the demonstration program.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would prohibit any new enrollments, permit current students to complete the training, and require a General Accounting Office evaluation of the program.

*Waiver of collection of payments due from certain persons unaware of loss of CHAMPUS eligibility (sec. 743)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 742) that would authorize the Secretaries of Defense, Transportation and Health and Human Services to waive the collection of certain payments described for beneficiaries of the Civilian Health and Medical Program of the Uniformed Services (CHAMPUS). This waiver would apply to CHAMPUS beneficiaries who lost their CHAMPUS eligibility prior to Medicare entitlement because of a disability or end-stage renal disease.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Demonstration program to train military medical personnel in civilian shock trauma units (sec. 744)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 744) that would require the Secretary of Defense to conduct a demonstration program, through arrangements with civilian hospitals, to evaluate the feasibility of providing additional shock trauma training for military medical personnel.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees expect the Secretary of Defense to ensure that the program would be budget neutral and that the Department would receive compensation, payment in kind, or services of equivalent value to the government costs for providing services to the non-DOD agencies. The conferees further direct the Comptroller General to evaluate the costs and value of services or reimbursements to the government.

*Study regarding Department of Defense efforts to determine appropriate force levels of wartime medical personnel (sec. 745)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 745) that would direct the Comptroller General of the United States to evaluate the effectiveness of the modeling efforts of each of the three service surgeons general related to determination of the appropriate wartime military medical force-level requirements, and then to submit to Congress a report on this evaluation, not later than March 1, 1996.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Report on improved access to military health care for covered beneficiaries entitled to Medicare (sec. 746)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 747) that would require the Secretary of Defense to report on possible alternatives to improving access to the military health care system for those beneficiaries who are Medicare eligible and ineligible for the Civilian Health and Medical Program of the Uniformed Services (CHAMPUS).

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Report on effect of closure of Fitzsimons Army Medical Center, Colorado, on provision of care to military personnel, retired military personnel, and their dependents (sec. 747)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 744) that would require the Secretary of Defense to report to the Congress on the effect of the closure of Fitzsimons Army Medical Center, Colorado, on the capability of the Department of Defense to provide health care for members and former members of the armed services, and their dependents who suffer from undiagnosed illness as a result of service in the Persian Gulf War.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would expand the requirement to include a report on the effect of the closure of Fitzsimons Army Medical Center on the capability of the Department of Defense to provide health care for all military members, retired military personnel, and their dependents.

*Sense of Congress on continuity of health care services for covered beneficiaries adversely affected by closures of military medical treatment facilities (sec. 748)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 748) that would express the sense of Congress

that the Secretary of Defense should take all appropriate steps to ensure the continuation of medical and pharmaceutical benefits for covered beneficiaries adversely affected by the closure of military facilities.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*State recognition of military advance medical directives (sec. 749)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 555) that would ensure advanced medical directives, prepared by members of the armed forces, their spouses, or other persons eligible for legal assistance, are recognized as valid by all states and possessions of the United States.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1092).

The Senate recedes with a clarifying amendment.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

*Waiver of Medicare Part B late enrollment penalty and establishment of special enrollment period for certain military retirees and dependents*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 705) that would amend the Social Security Act to authorize a waiver of the penalty for late enrollment in Medicare Part B for Medicare-eligible Department of Defense beneficiaries who reside in geographic areas affected by the closure of military hospitals under the Base Realignment and Closure process.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Disclosure of information in Medicare and Medicaid coverage data bank to improve collection from responsible parties for health care services furnished under CHAMPUS*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 734) that would amend section 1144 of the Social Security Act to extend to the Department of Defense access to information in the data bank to enhance the effectiveness of the Department of Defense third party collection program.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

TITLE VIII—ACQUISITION POLICY, ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT, AND RELATED MATTERS

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

*Ship repair contracts*

The conferees are concerned with continued reports that Navy ship repair contractors are not being paid by the prime contractor in a timely manner. The House report accompanying H.R. 1530 (H. Rept. 104–131) addressed this issue by asking the Navy to pursue remedies necessary to ensure that the subcontractor community will be able to support the United States Navy fleet properly. The conferees support this language and urge the Navy to monitor this problem carefully and explore available remedies to ensure that Navy ship repair subcontractors are properly and promptly compensated for their services.

The conferees are similarly concerned with the Navy's practice of bundling ship repair contracts that include only a small number of drydocking requirements within several ship repair availabilities. The conferees are concerned that this may unnecessarily preclude competition for repair work that does not require a drydock. The conferees believe that if the Navy continues to bundle multiyear ship repair contracts that would in part require the use of a drydock, the Navy should give strong consideration to making available, at a reasonable cost, a public drydock, to ensure adequate competition.

*Worker's compensation coverage on overseas contracts*

The conferees agree with the requirement contained in the Senate report (S. Rept. 104–112) that would direct the Secretary of Defense to review the efforts of the State Department and the Agency for International Development to consolidate worker's compensation insurance coverage on overseas contracts. The conferees note that chapter 12 of title 42, United States Code, mandates that all United States citizens and legal permanent residents, employed for any duration by a defense contractor, be covered by uniform worker's compensation insurance.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Subtitle A—Acquisition Reform

*Limitation on expenditure of appropriations (sec. 801)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 821(b)) that would repeal section 2207 of title 10, United States Code.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would apply section 2207 of title 10, United States Code, solely to contracts valued above the simplified acquisition threshold.

*Delegation authority (sec. 802)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 806) that would repeal section 2356 of title 10, United States Code, which unnessarily duplicates inherent authority of the Secretary of Defense to delegate research contracting authorities.

The House bill contained an identical provision.

The conference agreement includes this provision.

*Critical spare parts (sec. 803)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 821(d)) that would repeal section 2383 of title 10, United States Code, regarding quality requirements for critical spare parts of ships or aircraft. The provision was intended to assist the Department of Defense in shifting from reliance on outdated military specifications and standards to the use of modern industrial manufacturing methods that would ensure quality in critical spare parts.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 809).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

*Fees for certain testing services (sec. 804)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 822) that would provide flexibility for the Secretary of Defense to require reimbursement of indirect, as well as direct costs, from private sector uses of Department of Defense testing facilities.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 812).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

*Coordination and communication of defense research activities (sec. 805)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 824) that would amend section 2364 of title 10, United States Code, to require that papers prepared by a defense research facility on a technological issue relating to a major weapon system be available for consideration at all decision reviews.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 807).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

*Addition of certain items to domestic source limitation (sec. 806)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 825) that would add certain named vessel components to domestic source limitations, as provided in section 2534(a) of title 10, United States Code. The provision would also extend, through October 1, 2000, current limitations related to anti-friction bearings and would require that these limitations be applicable to contracts and subcontracts below the simplified acquisition threshold, as well as for commercial subcontracts.

The Senate contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would modify the list of vessel components to be added to the domestic source limitations in section 2534 of title 10, United States Code. The provision includes language that would restrict the application of the domestic source limitations to the additional vessel components for contracts entered into after March 31, 1996.

The conferees have included language that would require, for a two-year period beginning on the date of enactment of this Act, a similar limitation on the purchase of propellers with a diameter of six feet or more. The conferees direct the Secretary of the Navy to provide the congressional defense committees by March 1, 1996 with an assessment of the impact on the Navy's ability to maintain and modernize the fleet, and address the impact of the limitation on the purchase of and the castings for such propellers. The conferees also remain concerned over the pressing need to sustain a robust ship propeller repair and maintenance commercial base. Therefore, the conferees strongly urge the Navy to take this critical objective fully into account in allocating propeller repair work in the future.

*Encouragement of use of leasing authority for commercial vehicles (sec. 807)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 827) that would direct the Secretary of Defense to use lease agreements for acquisition of equipment, whenever practicable and otherwise authorized by law. The House provision would also direct the Secretary to submit to Congress, within 90 days after enactment of this bill, a report indicating changes in legislation required to facilitate the Department of Defense use of leases for the acquisition of equipment.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 392), similar to the House provision, that would also provide authority for the Secretary of Defense to conduct a pilot program for lease of commercial utility cargo vehicles under certain prescribed conditions.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

*Cost reimbursement rules for indirect costs attributable to private sector work of defense contractors (sec. 808)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 844) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to enter into agreements with contractors performing or seeking to perform private sector work. The House provision would apply modified accounting rules with respect to the allocation of indirect costs associated with a contractor's private sector work.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would clarify the method for allocation of indirect costs to contractor private sector

work and would require the Secretary of Defense to report on the use of the authority contained in this provision. The conferees expect the Secretary to act expeditiously on each defense contractor application for an agreement under this section.

*Subcontracts for ocean transportation services (sec. 809)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 802(b)) that would delay, until May 1, 1996, the inclusion of section 1241(b) of title 46, United States Code, or section 2631 of title 10, United States Code, on a list promulgated under section 430(b) of title 41, United States Code.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Prompt resolution of audit recommendations (sec. 810)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 803) that would conform section 6009 of the Federal Acquisition Streamlining Act of 1994 to the reporting requirements of the Inspector General Act of 1978.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Test programs for negotiation of comprehensive subcontracting plans (sec. 811)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 804) that would amend the test authority to remove the limitation on the activities that may be included in a test. The provision would also reduce the number of contracts and the aggregate dollar value of those contracts required to establish a condition for a contractor's participation in the test program.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Authority to procure for test or experimental purposes (sec. 812)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 808) that would amend section 2373 of title 10, United States Code, to conform the newly-codified section to the scope of the service-specific statutes it replaced.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Use of funds for acquisition of rights to use designs, processes, technical data and computer software (sec. 813)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 810) that would clarify section 2386 of title 10, United States Code, regarding the types of information the Secretary of Defense may acquire from Department of Defense contractors.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Independent cost estimates for major defense acquisition programs (sec. 814)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 811) that would permit the military departments or defense agencies, independent of their respective acquisition executives, to prepare independent cost estimates for major defense acquisitions assigned to individual components for oversight. The provision would align the responsibility for independent cost estimates with the level of the decision authority.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Construction, repair, alteration, furnishing, and equipping of naval vessels (sec. 815)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 813) that would restore the policy regarding the application of the Walsh-Healey Act, repealed by the Federal Acquisition Streamlining Act 1994, to contracts for the construction, alteration, furnishing, or equipping of naval vessels.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

Subtitle B—Other Matters

*Procurement technical assistance programs (sec. 821)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 821) that would add $12.0 million to continue the procurement technical assistance center program in fiscal year 1996.

The House bill contained no similar provision, but authorized $10.0 million to continue the program in fiscal year 1996.

The House recedes.

*Additional Department of Defense pilot programs (sec. 822)*

The conferees have adopted a provision that would set forth criteria for designating a facility to participate in a Department of Defense pilot program and require that the Congress approve the designation in legislation enacted after the enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1996. The conferees intended that the pilot program be used to test, among other initiatives, the expansion of commercial practices throughout a facility in which work is being performed under contracts with the Department of Defense. Nothing in this provision is intended to authorize or award a contract, or to exempt a facility from competition requirements in the award of a contract.

*Treatment of Department of Defense cable television franchise agreements (sec. 823)*

The Senate amendment included a provision (sec. 822) that would require cable television franchise agreements between cable television operators and the Department of Defense to be considered contracts for the telecommunications services under Part 49 of the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR).

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment. The amendment would require the United States Court of Federal Claims to render an advisory opinion to Congress on the power of the executive branch to treat cable franchise agreements as contracts under the FAR and, if so, whether the executive branch is required by law to treat these agreements as contracts under the FAR. If the answer to both questions is affirmative, the conferees expect the Department of Defense to implement regulations treating cable franchise agreements as contracts for purposes of the FAR. If the Court renders an affirmative answer to the first question, the conferees will regard that as significant basis for enacting a provision similar to that in the Senate amendment.

*Mentor-protege program authority (sec. 824)*

The conferees have adopted a provision that would extend for one year the authority for eligible businesses under the Mentor-Protege program to enter into new agreements. The conferees agree that this extension does not prejudge the outcome of ongoing reviews of programs with similar objectives.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

*Testing of defense acquisition programs*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 823) that would amend section 2366 of title 10, United States Code, regarding requirements for operational testing in defense acquisition programs.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Waivers from cancellation of funds*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 801) that would make funds available for satellite on-orbit incentive fees until such fees would be earned.

The House bill contained no similar provision

The Senate recedes.

*Repeal of duplicative authority for simplified acquisition purchases*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 817) that would repeal the authority for simplified acquisition purchases in section 427 of title 41, United States Code.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Restriction on reimbursement of costs*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 819) that would prohibit reimbursement of allowable costs above $250,000 for individual compensation in fiscal year 1996. The provision also expressed the sense of the Senate that Congress should consider making such prohibition permanent.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees question the appropriateness of the level of industry executive compensation reimbursement as an allowable expense under government contracts. The conferees direct the Secretary of Defense to conduct a thorough assessment of its current policies and procedures regarding standards of allowability, allocability, and reasonableness of compensation reimbursement by the Department of Defense. In carrying out such assessment, the Secretary should conduct a survey of the executive compensation practices of comparable non-defense firms involved with similar industries, taking into consideration size and geographic location.

The conferees direct the Secretary to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than March 31, 1996. The report should detail the results of the Secretary's assessment and any changes to current policies and procedures, implemented as a result of the assessment.

TITLE IX—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISION ADOPTED

Subtitle A—General Matters

*Reorganization of the Office of the Secretary of Defense (sec. 901–903 and 905)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 901) that would require that direct support activities and similar functions be included in the mandated personnel reduction. This provision would also reduce the number of authorized assistant secretaries of defense by two and require that the Secretary of Defense provide Congress with a comprehensive reorganization plan for the office. Additionally, it would repeal a number of the current statutorily mandated offices and positions within OSD.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to conduct a detailed review of the organization and functions of the Office of the Secretary of Defense, including the Washington

Headquarters Service and the Defense Support Agencies. The amendment would also direct the following: a 25 percent reduction of the Office of the Secretary of Defense over five years; reduction of the number of Assistant Secretaries of Defense by one, from eleven to ten; and, on January 31, 1997, repeal certain statutory mandated offices and positions within the Office of the Secretary of Defense. Additionally, the amendment would establish a charter for the Joint Requirements Oversight Council (JROC) effective January 31, 1997.

The conferees, while agreeing to provide the Secretary with broad latitude in recommending changes to the existing OSD structure, continue to strongly believe that the functional responsibilities associated with Special Operations and Low Intensity (SOLIC) should be carried out under a senior civilian official who can maintain clear and unambiguous civilian control over that element of the military. Therefore, the conferees urge that the Secretary, in formulating the plan required by this provision, vest the SOLIC responsibility in an official whose appointment is subject to the advice and consent of the Senate and for whom the SOLIC function shall be a principal responsibility.

*Redesignation of the position of Assistant to the Secretary of Defense for Atomic Energy (sec. 904)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 901) that would change the name of the Assistant to the Secretary of Defense for Atomic Energy to be the Assistant to the Secretary of Defense for Nuclear and Chemical and Biological Defense Programs.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Restructuring of Department of Defense acquisition organization and workforce (sec. 906)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 902) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to Congress including a plan for restructuring the current acquisition organizations in the Department of Defense as well as an assessment of specified restructuring options. The provision would also mandate a reduction of the acquisition workforce by 25 percent from October 1, 1995 to October 1, 1998, and require a reduction of 30,000 acquisition workforce positions in the Department of Defense in fiscal year 1996.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment requiring the Secretary to submit the report on a plan to reduce by October 1, 1998 the acquisition workforce, as defined by the Secretary, 25 percent below the baseline of October 1, 1994. The provision would also require the Secretary to reduce the number of acquisition personnel by 15,000 in fiscal year 1996.

*Report on nuclear posture review and on plans for nuclear weapons management in event of abolition of Department of Energy (sec. 907)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 903) that would require the Secretary of Defense to prepare and submit a report to Congress that describes the Secretary's plan to incorporate the national security programs of the Department of Energy (DOE) into the Department of Defense. In developing the plan the Secretary would be required to make every effort to preserve the integrity, mission, and functions of these programs. The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 3151) that would require the Secretary of Defense to provide the congressional defense committees with an assessment of the effectiveness of the DOE. The assessment should include: (1) maintaining the nuclear weapons stockpile; (2) management of its environmental, health, and safety requirements, and national security research and development, as compared with similar DoD operations; and (3) the fulfillment of DOE's Nuclear Posture Review requirements.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that combines both provisions.

*Redesignation of Advanced Research Projects Agency (sec. 908)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 908) that would change the designation of the Advanced Research Projects Agency to the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Subtitle B—Financial Management

*Transfer authority regarding funds available for foreign currency fluctuation (sec. 911)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1006) that would authorize a foreign currency fluctuation account for the military personnel appropriation. This authorization would be limited to fiscal year 1996 and subsequent appropriations.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Defense Modernization Account (sec. 912)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1003) that would establish a Defense Modernization Account to encourage savings within the Department of Defense and to make those savings available to address the serious shortfall in funding for modernization.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

Under the conference agreement, the Secretary of Defense could place in the Defense Modernization Account funds saved from achieving economies and efficiencies in: (1) investment programs; and (2) installation management (to the extent that unobligated balances in installation management are available during the last 30 days of the fiscal year). The conferees fully expect the Department to protect current readiness of the forces, particularly in regard to funds for budget activities one and two in the operation and maintenance appropriations accounts.

In order to encourage savings by the military departments and the Department of Defense, funds placed in the account would be reserved for use by the department or component that generated the savings. No funds could be made available from the account by the Department of Defense except through established reprogramming procedures. Reprogramming procedures could not be used to exceed the statutory funding authorization or statutory quantity ceiling applicable to a given program. The amount of funds that could be reprogrammed by the Department of Defense could not exceed $500.0 million in any one fiscal year.

*Disbursing and certifying officials (sec. 913)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1004) that would provide for the designation and appointment of disbursing and certifying officials within the Department of Defense.

The Senate bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1002) that would authorize the designation and appointment of disbursing and certifying officials, and would grant relief from liability in certain specific circumstances. Relief from liability would be based on demonstrated accountability for the loss is determined and diligent efforts to collect money owed to the government has been made.

The House recedes.

*Fisher House Trust Funds (sec. 914)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 742) that would establish trust funds on the books of the Treasury for Fisher Houses. The interest earned by these trust funds would be used for the administration, operation, and maintenance of Fisher Houses within the Army and Air Force.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

*Limitation on use of authority to pay for emergency and extraordinary expenses (sec. 915)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 372) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit to Congress a quarterly report of expenditures for emergency and extraordinary expenses. The provision would also require the Secretary of Defense to provide congressional notification prior to an obligation or expenditure of $1.0 million or more.

The Senate amendment included a provision (sec. 1005) that would require the Secretary of Defense to notify Congress five days prior to an obligation or expenditure of emergency and extraordinary expenses authority in excess of $500,000 and 15 days prior to an obligation or expenditure of $1.0 million. The provision would allow the Secretary of Defense to waive the time period required for notification prior to obligation or expenditure of funds if a determination were made that such prior notification would compromise national security objectives. In the event the Secretary uses the authority to waive notification for national security reasons, notification would be required 30 days after the expenditure of funds or on the date the activity is completed.

The House recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to notify the congressional defense committees five days in advance of obligation or expenditure of funds in excess of $500,000 or 15 days in advance of obligation or expenditure of funds in excess of $1.0 million. In the event the Secretary determines that prior notification of the obligation or expenditure of funds would compromise national security objectives, the provision would allow the Secretary to waive the waiting period. In the event a national security waiver is necessary, the Secretary shall immediately notify the congressional defense committees of the need to expend funds, and provide the chairman and ranking member, or their designees, with any relevant information, including the amount and purposes for the obligation or expenditure.

The conferees remain concerned about the use of Department of Defense funds for purposes that are more appropriately funded through the international affairs budget. The conferees urge the administration to refrain recommending the use of the Department of Defense emergency and extraordinary expenses authority for non-defense purposes. The conferees also caution the Department to exercise minimal and judicious use of the national security waiver.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

*Change in titles of certain Marine Corps general officer billets resulting from reorganization of the Headquarters, Marine Corps*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 904) that would change references in current

law to reflect the reorganization of Headquarters, Marine Corps.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Inclusion of Information Resources Management College in the National Defense University*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 905) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to establish a personnel system for the Information Resources Management College that is consistent with the personnel system for other institutions within the National Defense University.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Employment of civilians at the Asia-Pacific Center for Security Studies*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 906) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to establish a personnel system for the Asia-Pacific Center for Security Studies.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Naval nuclear propulsion program*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 909) that would establish that no department or agency may regulate or direct any Propulsion Program unless otherwise permitted or specified by law. It contained a second provision (sec. 1032(m)) that would repeal section 1634 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1985 (Public Law 98-525, 42 U.S.C. 7158 note). Section 1634 stipulates that the provisions of Executive Order 12344, dated February 1, 1982, pertaining to the Naval Nuclear Propulsion Program, shall remain in force until changed by law.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes on both section 909 and section 1032(m).

*Aviation testing consolidation*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 910) that would prevent the Secretary of the Army from consolidating the Aviation Technical Test Center, Fort Rucker, Alabama, with any other aviation testing facility until 60 days after the date on which a report was received.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Office of Humanitarian and Refugee Affairs*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 364) that would eliminate the Office of Humanitarian and Refugee Affairs within the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Special Operations and Low Intensity Conflict.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

TITLE X—GENERAL PROVISIONS

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

*Assistance to local educational agencies when installation housing is located on leased land*

The conferees note that the Secretary of Education has declined to recognize military connected students as residing on Federal property if the government owned housing in which they reside is located on leased land. In one case, recognition of on-installation residency was denied even though the housing is located within the security perimeter of the installation and is managed in the same manner as government housing located on government owned land.

The conferees believe that, for purposes of assistance to local educational agencies, residents of government owned housing, located on land leased by the government and managed in the same manner as government housing on government owned land, shall be considered residents of federal property.

*Authority to conduct personnel demonstration projects*

The National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 made permanent the authority of the Secretary of the Navy to continue personnel demonstration projects at the Naval Air Warfare Center Weapons Division, China Lake, California, and the Naval Command, Control, and Ocean Center, San Diego, California, and at successor organizations resulting from the reorganization of Naval Air Warfare Center Weapons Division or the Naval Command, Control, and Ocean Center. Additionally, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 provided expanded authority for the Secretary of Defense to conduct personnel demonstration projects at Science and Technology Reinvention Laboratories.

The conferees are concerned about what appears to be a lack of real progress in this area over the past year. Therefore, the conferees direct the Department of Defense to report to the Senate Committee on Armed Services and the House Committee on National Security, not later than February 1, 1996, the extent to which these expanded authorities have been used in each of the military departments. As a minimum, this report should include those demonstration projects proposed by the military departments, the status of each such proposal, and the projected date for final action on each proposal.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Subtitle A—Financial Matters

*Transfer authority (sec. 1001)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1001) that would allow the Department of Defense to transfer up to $2.0 billion between accounts using normal reprogramming procedures.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1001).

The House recedes.

*Incorporation of classified annex (sec. 1002)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1002) that would incorporate by reference the classified annex to the bill. In addition, the provision would authorize the expenditure of funds made available for programs, projects, and activities referred to in the classified annex according to the terms, conditions, limitations, restrictions, and requirements of those programs, projects, and activities.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

*Improved funding mechanisms for unbudgeted operations (sec. 1003), Operation Provide Comfort (sec. 1004), and Operation Enhanced Southern Watch (sec. 1005)*

The House Bill contained a provision (sec. 1003) that would establish a procedure for the funding of contingency operations out of accounts other than those which are normally known as operational readiness accounts. This provision would also require the President to budget for any operations that are ongoing in the first quarter of a fiscal year and are expected to continue into the next fiscal year. If the President were to fail to request the necessary funds in his annual budget, then funding for these operations would be denied at the start of the next fiscal year.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would include three separate provisions that would: (1) modify the funding mechanism proposed by the House for contingency operations; (2) authorize $503.8 million for Enhanced Southern Watch and require that semi-permanent elements of this operation be designated as forward presence operations; and (3) authorize $143.3 million for Provide Comfort and require the Secretary of Defense to provide a report on this operation. The authorization includes both military personnel and operations and maintenance funding.

The conferees have observed with concern, the continuing growth of the Department of Defense involvement in unbudgeted peacekeeping and humanitarian contingency operations that negatively impact upon military readiness. The Secretary of Defense initially estimated the unbudgeted fiscal year 1996 costs to the Department for ongoing operations in Iraq, Haiti and Bosnia to be $1.2 billion. This amount excludes the estimated $1.5 billion incremental cost of the proposed deployment of U.S. ground forces to Bosnia. Lacking the budgeted resources, the Department has resorted to the practice of financing the cost of these operations from the military services' operational readiness accounts. This practice has resulted in the cancellation or deferral of some training exercises, necessary equipment maintenance, and other routine activities that degrade the readiness of the force. Depending on what activities are foregone, this adverse impact could be significant.

In recognition of this problem, the Administration's fiscal year 1996 legislative proposal contained a request to grant the Secretary of Defense extraordinary authority to transfer funds between accounts. The conferees instead recommend a provision that would more fully address this matter by providing new funding mechanisms for unforeseen and unbudgeted contingency operations.

To address unforeseen and unbudgeted operations, the provision would revise existing provisions of law to require the Secretary of Defense to draw upon the Defense Business Operating Fund (DBOF) to provide much of the funding for these operations. In addition, the provision authorizes a targeted transfer authority of $200.0 million from non-readiness accounts. These accounts are intended to serve as interim funding mechanisms until Congress approves a supplemental appropriations package to replenish the DBOF cash balances or other accounts from which funds were transferred.

To address ongoing operations in southern Iraq, the conferees recommend a provision that would authorize $503.8 million for Enhanced Southern Watch during fiscal year 1996 and would require that before obligating more than $250 million of this amount, the Secretary of Defense shall provide the Congressional Defense Committees with a report designating any elements of Operation Enhanced Southern Watch that are semi-permanent in nature as forward presence operations that should be budgeted in the future in the same manner as other forward presence operations routinely budgeted as part of the annual defense budget. The conferees believe that the aftermath of the Persian Gulf War has fundamentally altered the security situation in the region in a manner that will require a significant U.S. presence for years to come.

To address the operation designated as Provide Comfort, the conferees recommend a provision that would authorize $143.3 million in fiscal year 1996. This provision would also require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report that details the expected fiscal year 1996 costs of that operation, and the missions and functions expected to be performed by the Department of Defense and other agencies of the Federal Government. In addition, this report should discuss the options related to reduction of the level of the military involvement in the operation, and include an exit strategy for the United States.

Finally, the conferees express the view that costs borne by the Department of Defense in conducting contingency operations in support of another agency's mission, such as humanitarian relief, law enforcement and immigration control, should not be assessed against the defense budget topline. The conferees are concerned with the increasing cost of these operations at a time of declining defense budgets and the negative impact this has had upon military readiness. The conferees endorse the historical principle of maintaining a peacetime defense budget designed to adequately fund the activities of the Department of Defense to organize, train and equip military forces in a manner sufficient to meet national security requirements.

In addition, the conferees note that the five year defense program remains underfunded relative to the national security strategy and recommended military force structure. The negative impact of these shortfalls will grow in the years ahead and threaten our ability to maintain adequate levels of short and long-term readiness, including sorely needed equipment modernization. Therefore, the conferees believe that funding for contingency operations should be provided in addition to what would have otherwise been made available for the Department of Defense for its normal peacetime activities.

*Unauthorized appropriations for fiscal year 1995 (sec. 1006)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1005) that would allow the Department of Defense to obligate funds for all fiscal year 1995 programs, projects, and activities for which the amount appropriated exceeded the amount authorized.

The Senate amendment contained no such provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Authorization of prior year emergency supplemental appropriations for fiscal year 1995 (sec. 1007)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1006) that would authorize the emergency supplemental appropriations enacted in the Emergency Supplemental Appropriations and Rescissions for the Department of Defense to Preserve and Enhance Military Readiness Act of 1995 (Public Law 104–6). This Act provided funding for fiscal year 1995 expenses related to military operations in Southwest Asia, Haiti, Cuba, Somalia, Bosnia, and Korea.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1004).

The Senate recedes.

*Authorization reductions to reflect savings from revised economic assumptions (sec. 1008)*

The conferees agree to a provision that would reflect revised economic assumptions that were not available prior to the conference report.

Subtitle B—Naval Vessels and Shipyards

*Iowa class battleships (sec. 1011)*

In February 1995, the Secretary of the Navy made a decision to strike the Navy's four inactive *Iowa* class battleships from the naval register. The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1011) that would direct the Secretary of the Navy to restore at least two *Iowa* class battleships to the naval register in an inactive status. The Secretary would be required to retain them on the register until he is prepared to certify that the Navy has within the fleet an operational surface fire support capability that equals or exceeds the fire support capability that the battleships could provide if returned to active service.

The Senate provision would recognize the fact that battleships could provide a surface fire support capability unmatched by any other Navy weapons system and that there is an ongoing concern regarding the Department of the Navy's apparent lack of commitment to provide for the surface fire support capability necessary for amphibious assaults. The ability of the Marine Corps and the Navy to conduct forcible entry by amphibious assault is an essential element of the Department of the Navy's strategic concept for littoral warfare.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment.

The conferees believe that the Department of the Navy's future years defense program, presented with the fiscal year 1996 budget, could not produce a replacement fire support capability comparable to the battleships until well into the next century. The conferees consider retention of two battleships in the fleet's strategic reserve a prudent measure.

*Transfer of naval vessels to certain foreign countries (sec. 1012)*

The Senate amendment included a provision (sec. 1012) that would authorize the Secretary of the Navy to transfer eight FFG–7 class guided missile frigates to various countries. Seven of the frigates would be transferred by grant, and one by lease.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would:

(1) reduce the number of grant transfers from seven to four, and the remaining frigates would be transferred by lease or sale;

(2) require that, as a condition of the transfer of the eight frigates, any repair or refurbishment needed before the transfer, be performed at a shipyard located in the United States;

(3) amend section 2763 of title 22, United States Code, to permit foreign countries to use foreign assistance funds to lease vessels; and

(4) amend section 2321j of title 22, United States Code, to prohibit future grant transfers of any vessel that is in excess of 3,000 tons or that is less than 20 years old.

The conferees are aware that in some cases U.S. national security will be best served by a grant transfer, particularly when the recipient is an important coalition defense partner that is making valuable contributions to U.S. security or lacks the resources to obtain a vessel by lease or sale. Accordingly, the amendment to section 2321j would permit the President to request a future grant transfer if it is determined that it is in the national security interest of the United States.

*Contract options for LMSR vessels (sec. 1013)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1021) that would recommend that the Secretary of the Navy negotiate a contract option price for a seventh large medium speed roll-on/roll-off (LMSR) strategic sealift ship at each of the two shipyards that currently have construction contracts.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would clarify that the provision would not preclude the Secretary of the Navy from competing these two contract options between the two shipyards that currently have construction contracts.

*National Defense Reserve Fleet (sec. 1014)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 381) that would permit the use of the National Defense Sealift Fund (NDSF) to budget for expenses of the national defense reserve fleet (NDRF). Beginning with the fiscal year 1996 request, funds for NDRF expenses would be included in the NDSF budget request within budget function 051.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would:

(1) clarify that NDRF vessels would not require retrofit to a double hull configuration as a consequence of this change in budgeting procedure;

(2) clarify that NDSF funds shall not be used for the acquisition of ships for the NDRF that are built in foreign shipyards; and

(3) permit the use of NDSF funds to complete the modifications needed to prepare two roll-on/roll-off ships that were purchased in fiscal year 1995 for incorporation into the ready reserve force of the NDRF.

The conferees intend that the Department of Defense seek and obtain specific legislative authorization prior to obligating and expending any funds for the acquisition of any vessels for the NDRF.

The conferees are aware of the importance of strategic sealift to national security. The conferees will revisit the prohibition on procurement of ships built in foreign shipyards but will only do so when the Department has established and funded a national defense features program, and they have had an opportunity to evaluate its effectiveness as an alternative source of strategic sealift.

*Naval salvage facilities (sec. 1015)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 805) that would consolidate all sections in chapter 637 of title 10, United States Code, relating to naval salvage facilities.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment.

*Vessels subject to repair under phased maintenance contracts (sec. 1016)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1022) that would require the Secretary of the Navy to ensure that vessels or classes of vessels, covered by phased maintenance contracts while in active Navy service, would continue to be covered by those contracts after being transferred to other operating commands, such as the Military Sealift Command.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would restrict this requirement to type AE ships covered by phased maintenance contracts as of the date of enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1996.

*Clarification of requirements relating to repairs of vessels (sec. 1017)*

Section 7310 of title 10, United States Code, places limits on the type of repairs that can

be performed by foreign shipyards on Navy ships that are homeported in the United States. The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1023) that would amend section 7310 by designating Guam a United States homeport for purposes of that section.

The Senate recedes.

*Naming amphibious ships (sec. 1018)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1013) that would make the following findings:

(1) this is the fiftieth anniversary of the battle of Iwo Jima, one of the greatest victories in the Marine Corps' illustrious history;

(2) the Navy has recently retired the ship that honored that battle, U.S.S. *Iwo Jima* (LPH–2), the first ship in a class of amphibious assault ships;

(3) this Act authorizes the LHD–7, the final ship of the *Wasp* class of amphibious assault ships, to replace the *Iwo Jima* class of ships;

(4) the Navy is planning to start building a new class of amphibious transport docks, now called the LPD–17 class, and this Act also authorizes funds that will lead to procurement of these vessels;

(5) there has been some confusion in the rationale behind naming new naval vessels, with traditional naming conventions frequently violated; and

(6) although there have been good and sufficient reasons to depart from naming conventions in the past, the rationale for such departures has not always been clear.

The Senate amendment would also express the sense of the Senate that:

(1) the LHD–7, authorized in the Senate amendment, should be named the U.S.S. *Iwo Jima*; and

(2) the ships of the LPD–17 class amphibious ships should be named after a Marine Corps battle or a member of the Marine Corps.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment. The conferees agree to endorse the sense of the Senate expressed as a sense of Congress.

*Naming of naval vessel (sec. 1019)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1024) that would express the sense of Congress that the Secretary of the Navy should name an appropriate naval vessel the U.S.S. Joseph Vittori.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Transfer of riverine patrol craft (sec. 1020)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1025) that would authorize the Secretary of the Navy to transfer one *Swift* class riverine patrol craft to the Tidewater Community College, Portsmouth, Virginia, for scientific and educational purposes.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Subtitle C—Counter-Drug Activities

*Counter-drug activities*

The budget request for drug interdiction and counter-drug activities totals $680.4 million, plus $131.5 million for operational tempo which is included within the operating budgets of the military services.

Both the House bill and the Senate amendment would authorize the budget request of $680.4 million, with marginal differences in the allocation of these funds.

Both the House bill and the Senate amendment would delete funding for the Community Outreach Programs ($8.2 million). In addition, the Senate amendment included a provision (sec. 1022) that would prohibit continued Department of Defense (DOD) funding of the National Drug Intelligence Center (NDIC) ($34.0 million).

The House bill would authorize increased funding for the Tethered Aerostat Radar System ($1.5 million), Counterdrug Analysis ($1.2 million), Southcom Radars ($1.5 million), Special Operations Forces (SOF) Counterdrug Support ($2.5 million), and CARIBROC Communications ($1.5 million).

The Senate amendment would authorize an increase in funding for procurement of non-intrusive inspection devices for the Customs Service ($25.0 million), Source Nation Support Initiatives ($15.2 million) and the Gulf States Counterdrug Initiative ($2.0 million).

The conferees agree to delete DOD funding for the Community Outreach Programs and to reduce funding for the National Drug Intelligence Center to $20.0 million. The conferees also agree to an undistributed reduction of $12.3 million. Offsets to comply with the undistributed reduction may not be taken from items where increases have been provided.

The conferees agree to authorize additional funding for Law Enforcement Agency Support, with a $4.0 million increase to expand the intelligence activities of the Gulf States Coast Initiative and a $2.5 million increase for the Southwest Border States Information System. The conferees support continued DOD assistance for the Southwest Border States Anti-Drug Information System and urge the Secretary of Defense to continue to monitor and support this system through completion of the current program.

The conferees further agree to authorize an additional increase of $28.0 million for other Law Enforcement Agency Support. The conferees urge the Secretary of Defense, through normal reprogramming procedures, to use up to $25.0 million of these funds to procure low-energy/backscatter x-ray equipment for use as non-intrusive inspection devices. The conferees are aware that 70 percent of the illegal drugs that enter the United States come, primarily by air, into Mexico and then across the southwest border by truck and automobile. The conferees believe that the fielding of non-intrusive inspection devices at the southwest border would significantly contribute to the fight against illegal drug trafficking across the United States-Mexican border. The conferees also urge the Secretary of Defense, through normal reprogramming procedures, to consider using available funds for improvements and extension of the existing fence along the San Diego Border Patrol Sector.

Allocation of funds for counterdrug activities are indicated below:

*Drug interdiction and counterdrug activities, operations and maintenance*

| | *Thousands* |
|---|---|
| Fiscal year 1996 drug and counterdrug request | $680,400 |
| Source nation support | 127,300 |
| Dismantling cartels | 64,300 |
| Detection and monitoring | 111,700 |
| Law enforcement agency support | 279,300 |
| Demand reduction | 97,800 |
| Reductions: | |
| Community outreach programs | 8,236 |
| National Drug Intelligence Center | 14,000 |
| Undistributed reduction | 12,264 |
| Increases, law enforcement agency support: | |
| Gulf States counterdrug initiative | 4,000 |
| Southwest border States information system | 2,500 |
| Other (non-intrusive inspection devices, Southwest border fence) | 28,000 |
| Total | 680,400 |

*Revision and clarification of authority for Federal support of drug interdiction and counter-drug activities of the National Guard (sec. 1021)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1021) that would revise and clarify authority for federal support of drug interdiction and counter-drug activities of the National Guard.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment which would further clarify the legal status of National Guard personnel participating in these programs.

*National Drug Intelligence Center (sec. 1022)*

The Senate amendment included a provision (sec. 1022) that would prohibit further Department of Defense (DOD) funding of the National Drug Intelligence Center (NDIC), but would allow the Secretary of Defense to continue to provide DOD intelligence personnel to support intelligence activities at NDIC, as long as the number of personnel provided by DOD does not exceed the number used to support intelligence activities at NDIC as of the date of enactment of this bill.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Subtitle D—Civilian Personnel

*Management of Department of Defense civilian personnel (sec. 1031)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 331) that would prohibit the use of full-time equivalent personnel ceilings in the management of the Department of Defense's civilian workforce.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 332).

The Senate recedes with a clarifying amendment.

The conferees direct the Secretary of Defense to report to the Senate Committee on Armed Services and the House Committee on National Security by February 15, 1996, on plans to manage civilian personnel in consideration of this provision.

*Conversion of military positions to civilian positions (sec. 1032)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 333) that would require the Secretary of Defense to convert not less than 10,000 military positions to performance by civilian employees of the Department of Defense.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would permit the conversion to be phased over two fiscal years.

*Elimination of 120-day limitation on details of certain employees (sec. 1033)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 338) that would amend section 3341 of title 5, United States Code, to eliminate the requirement that the administration of details for civilian employees be managed in 120-day increments.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

*Authority of civilian employees of the Department of Defense to participate voluntarily in reductions in force (sec. 1034)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 340) that would allow employees who are not affected by a reduction-in-force (RIF) to volunteer to be RIF separated in place of other employees who are scheduled for RIF separation.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

*Authority to pay severance payments in lump sums (sec. 1035)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 341) that would amend section 5595 of title 5, United States Code, to permit the lump-sum payment of severance pay.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Continued health insurance coverage (sec. 1036)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 337) that would extend continued health insurance coverage for certain employees affected by a force reduction or a base realignment and closure action.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 337).

The Senate recedes.

*Revision of authority for appointments of involuntarily separated military reserve technicians (sec. 1037)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 336) that would amend section 3329 of title 5, United States Code, to eliminate the requirement regarding separated technicians.

The House bill amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Wearing of uniform by National Guard technicians (sec. 1038)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 333) that would require military technicians to wear military uniforms in their jobs. The provision would also place technician officers on the same footing as Active Guard and Reserve officers for purposes of qualifying for a uniform allowance.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Military leave for military reserve technicians for certain duty overseas (sec. 1039)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 512) that would authorize military technicians an additional 44 workdays of leave, without loss of pay and other benefits, for periods the technician would serve on active duty, without pay, while in support of noncombat operations outside the United States.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Personnel actions involving employees of nonappropriated fund instrumentalities (sec. 1040)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 334) that would clarify the definition of nonappropriated fund instrumentality employees and permit the direct reporting of violations by nonappropriated fund employees to the Department of Defense Inspector General.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Coverage of nonappropriated fund employees under authority for flexible and compressed work schedules (sec. 1041)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 336) that would provide the same overtime exemption for nonappropriated fund employees as applies to other civilian employees of the Department of Defense.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 343).

The House recedes.

*Limitation on provision of overseas living quarters allowances for nonappropriated fund instrumentality employees (sec. 1042)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 335) that would, as of September 30, 1997, conform the allowance for overseas living quarters for nonappropriated fund employees to that provided for civilian employees of the Department of Defense paid from appropriate funds.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a clarifying amendment.

*Elections relating to retirement coverage (sec. 1043)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 338) that would increase the number of employees eligible to transfer between nonappropriated fund and appropriated fund morale, welfare, recreation programs without significant loss of benefits.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would provide for portability of retirement benefits by allowing: (1) election by employees of the nonappropriated fund or the Federal Employees Retirement System; (2) credit for years of service either as a nonappropriated fund employee or a civil service employee; (3) government-wide eligibility; and (4) creditability of nonappropriated fund service for reduction-in-force purposes.

*Extension of temporary authority to pay civilian employees with respect to the evacuation from Guantanamo, Cuba (sec. 1044)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 334) that would extend the authorization for the Navy to continue to pay evacuation allowances until January 31, 1996 to civilian employees whose dependents were evacuated from Guantanamo, Cuba, in August and September 1994. The provision would also require a monthly report which would include the actions that the Secretary of the Navy is taking to eliminate the conditions making the payments necessary.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Subtitle E—Miscellaneous Reporting Requirements

*Report on budget submission regarding reserve components (sec. 1051)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1007) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report that describes measures taken within the Department of Defense to ensure that the reserve components are appropriately funded, and, for fiscal year 1997, lists the major weapons and items of equipment, as well as, the military construction projects provided for the National Guard and Reserves.

The House bill included no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment.

The conferees agree to a provision that would require the report included in the original Senate provision, and would require the Secretary of Defense to display in all future-years defense programs the amounts requested for procurement of equipment and military construction for each of the reserve components.

*Report on desirability and feasibility of providing authority for use of funds derived from recovered losses resulting from contractor fraud (sec. 1052)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 382) that would allow the secretary of a military department to receive an allocation from funds recovered in contractor fraud cases, for use by installations that carried out or supported investigations or litigation involving contractor fraud.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to report on the desirability and feasibility of authorizing the retention and use of a portion of such recovered amounts.

*Review of national policy on protecting the national information infrastructure against strategic attack (sec. 1053)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1097) that would require the President to submit a report that would set forth the national policy and architecture governing plans to protect the national information infrastructure against strategic attack.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees intend that the President rely, to the maximum extent practicable, on the executive agent for the national communications system in the preparation and submission of the report.

*Report on Department of Defense boards and commissions (see 1054)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1084) that would require the Department of Defense to prepare a report listing certain boards and commissions. The Department would be required to indicate whether each board or commission merits continued support.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

*Change in reporting date (sec. 1055)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision in its classified annex that would change the date that the Department of Defense is required to submit annually its budget materials for Special Access Programs, from February 1 to March 1.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

Subtitle F—Repeal of Certain Reporting and Other Requirements and Authorities

*Miscellaneous provisions of law (sec. 1061)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1032) that would repeal numerous provisions of law that have expired or are obsolete, or that were inconsistent with other provisions recommended by the House.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would retain portions of the suggested deletions.

*Reports required by Title 10, United States Code (sec. 1062)*

The Senate amendment contained seven provisions (secs. 1071–1077) that would delete a total of 67 reports currently required of the Department of Defense.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would retain several of the reporting requirements.

Subtitle G—Department of Defense Education Programs

*Continuation of the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences (sec. 1071)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 907) that would require the Secretary of Defense to budget for ongoing operations at the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1031) that would reaffirm the prohibition of the closure of the University, and establish minimum staffing levels.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

*Additional graduate schools and programs at the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences (sec. 1072)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1032) that would authorize additional graduate schools and programs at the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences. This provision would permit the Board of Regents to establish a graduate school of nursing at the University.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Funding for adult education programs for military personnel and dependents outside the United States (sec. 1073)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1033) that would authorize appropriations for the military continuing education programs of the armed services, and for adult members of military families stationed or residing outside the United States.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

*Assistance to local educational agencies that benefit dependents of members of the armed forces and Department of Defense civilian employees (sec. 1074)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 394) that would authorize the appropriation of $58.0 million for assistance to local educational agencies in areas where there is an impact to school systems caused by dependents of members of the armed forces and Department of Defense (DOD) civilians.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 387) that would prohibit the Secretary of Education from considering payments to a local educational agency from DOD funds when determining the amount of impact aid to be paid from Department of Education funds. Additionally, the recommended provision would make technical changes to the previous year authorizations of impact aid.

The conferees agree to combine and clarify the two provisions and to change the authorized funding to $35.0 million.

*Sharing of personnel of Department of Defense domestic dependent schools and defense dependents' education system (sec. 1075)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 335) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to direct the sharing of personnel resources between the Department of Defense Overseas School System and the Defense Dependents' Education System, and to provide other support services to either system, for a period to be prescribed by the Secretary.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

*Increase in reserve component Montgomery GI Bill educational assistance allowance with respect to skills or specialties for which there is a critical shortage of personnel (sec. 1076)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 553) that would authorize increased rates of educational assistance allowance for reserve members with specialties or skills in which there are critical shortages.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would expand the authority to include certain former active duty personnel with critical specialties or skills who become members of a selected reserve unit.

*Date for annual report on reserve component Montgomery GI Bill educational assistance program (sec. 1077)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1035) that would change the date on which the annual report on selected reserve educational assistance program is due to the Congress, from December 15 to March 1 of each year.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Scope of the education programs of Community College of the Air Force (sec. 1078)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1034) that would amend section 9315 of title 10, United States Code, to limit the scope of the Community College of the Air Force (CCAF) to Air Force personnel.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

The conferees do not consider expanding the CCAF as an appropriate means of establishing a defense-wide community college. If the Secretary of Defense believes that establishment of a defense-wide community college is appropriate, he should forward such a recommendation, complete with justification, to the Congress.

*Amendments to education loan repayment programs (sec. 1079)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 554) that would authorize the repayment of loans that were made under the William D. Ford Federal Direct Loan Program.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Subtitle H—Other Matters

*Termination and modification of authorities regarding national defense technology and industrial base, defense reinvestment, and defense conversion programs (sec. 1081)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1031) that would repeal portions of chapter 148 of title 10, United States Code, that would establish authorities similar to those provided elsewhere in law.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 221).

The conferees agree to a provision that would adopt both House and Senate provisions, with an amendment. The conferees have included a provision that would repeal subsection 2501 (b) and sections 2512, 2513, 2516, 2520, 2521, 2522, 2523, and 2524 of title 10, United States Code. The provision would also amend section 2525 of title 10, United States Code, by adding a series of guidelines to the requirement for the preparation of the manufacturing science and technology master plan. Finally, the conferees have included language that would modify the defense dual-use critical technology program authorized by section 2511 of title 10, United States Code. In using the authority under this section, the conferees expect the Secretary of Defense to give equal consideration to the development of both product and process technologies.

*Ammunition industrial base (sec. 1082)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 823) that would require the Secretary of Defense to review ammunition procurement and management programs and report the findings to the congressional defense committees by April 1, 1996.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Policy concerning excess defense industrial capacity (sec. 1083)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1033) that would prohibit the use of appropriated funds for capital investment in, or the development and construction of, a government-owned, government-operated defense industrial facility unless the Secretary of Defense certifies to Congress that no similar capability or minimally used capability exists in another similar facility.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment.

*Sense of Congress concerning access to secondary school student information for recruiting purposes (sec. 1084)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1091) that would express the sense of the Senate that educational institutions, including secondary schools, should not deny military recruiters the same access to their campuses and directory information that is allowed other employers.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment expressing the sense of Congress.

*Disclosure of information concerning unaccounted for United States personnel from the Korean Conflict, the Vietnam Era and the Cold War (sec. 1085)*

The conference agreement includes a provision that would modify section 1082 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 1992 and 1993 (Public Law 102-190) to change the criteria under which limitations to disclosure of information concerning United States personnel classified as prisoner of war or missing in action during the Vietnam conflict would not apply and to change the date by which a report is required to be delivered to the Congress.

*Operational support airlift aircraft fleet (sec. 1086)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1099E) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a Joint Chiefs of Staff report on operational support aircraft (OSA) to the congressional defense committees, and to reduce the flying hours of such aircraft in fiscal year 1996.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary to examine central scheduling and management of such aircraft in the report.

The conferees believe that the review of OSA operations should focus on savings and scheduling rationalization. The conferees believe that the Department of Defense can

achieve efficiencies by revamping the current OSA program, and have included a reduction in OSA flying hours for fiscal year 1996 in this provision.

While prior studies of OSA organization have recommended realigning OSA management, the conferees refrain from directing the Department to make specific organizational changes at this time.

*Civil Reserve Air Fleet (sec. 1087)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 387) that would clarify the conditions under which a contractor under the Civil Reserve Air Fleet program is required to commit aircraft for use by the Department of Defense.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 814).

The House recedes.

*Damage or loss to personal property due to emergency evacuation or extraordinary circumstances (sec. 1088)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1087) that would provide for an increased level of reimbursement for claims that arise from emergency evacuations or extraordinary circumstances. The new limits would be retroactive to June 1, 1991.

The House contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would provide for retroactive application of the increased level of reimbursement when certain conditions are met.

*Authority to suspend or terminate collection actions against deceased members (sec. 1089)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1086) that would amend section 3711 of title 31, United States Code, to authorize the Secretary of Defense to suspend or terminate collection action against the estates of service members who die on active duty while indebted to the government.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Check cashing and exchange transactions for dependents of United States Government personnel (sec. 1090)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1088) that would authorize United States disbursing personnel to extend check-cashing and currency exchange services to the dependents of military and civilian personnel at government installations that do not have adequate banking facilities.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

*National Maritime Center (sec. 1091)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1099D) that would designate the Nauticus building, located at one Waterside Drive, Norfolk, Virginia, as the National Maritime Center.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Sense of Congress regarding historic preservation of Midway Islands (sec. 1092)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1099b) that would express the sense of the Senate that Midway Island be memorialized and the historic structures relating to the Battle of Midway be maintained in accordance with the National Historic Preservation Act.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would make the provision a Sense of the Congress.

*Sense of the Senate regarding federal spending (sec. 1093)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1095) that would express a sense of the Senate regarding federal spending.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Extension of authority for vessel war risk insurance (sec. 1094)*

The conferees agree to a new provision that would amend section 1214 of the Merchant Marine Act, 1936 (46 App. U.S.C. 1294) to extend the Secretary of Transportation's authority to provide insurance against loss or damage as a result of marine war risks from June 30, 1995 to June 30, 2000. The conferees acknowledge the cooperation of the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate, the committee of jurisdiction in the Senate, for permitting inclusion of this important authority in the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1996.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

*Application of Buy America Act principles*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1035) that would apply Buy American principles to reciprocal defense procurement memoranda of understanding with other countries.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees note that section 849 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1994 (Public Law 103–160) contains identical language that is the operative law in this area.

*Repeal of requirements for part-time career opportunity employment reports*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 339) that would eliminate the requirement in section 3407 of title 5, United States Code, that agencies provide progress reports on the part-time career employment program.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Holidays for employees whose basic work week is other than Monday through Friday*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 342) that would amend section 6103(b)(2) of title 5, United States Code, to authorize agencies some discretion in designating holidays for employees whose basic work week is other than Monday through Friday.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Assistance to Customs Service*

The Senate amendment included a provision (sec. 1023) that would authorize the Department of Defense to procure or transfer funds to the Customs service for procurement of non-intrusive inspection devices for use at the ports of entry on the southwest border of the United States.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes. The conferees agree, as stated elsewhere in this statement of managers, to urge the Secretary of Defense to procure non-intrusive inspection devices with funds available through reprogramming procedures.

*Establishment of Junior ROTC units in Indian reservation schools*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1036) that would express the Sense of the Congress that secondary schools on Indian reservations be afforded full opportunity to be selected as locations for establishing new Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps units.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees agree that current law affords full opportunity for secondary schools on Indian reservations to be selected as locations for establishing new Junior Reserve Officers' Training Corps units.

*Defense cooperation between the United States and Israel*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1055) that would express the Sense of Congress for continued cooperation between the United States and Israel in military and technical areas.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes. The conferees note that a provision virtually identical to that contained in the Senate amendment exists in the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (Public Law 103–337). The conferees recognize the numerous benefits to the United States resulting from our strategic relationship with Israel. The conferees strongly commend the United States' continuing commitment to maintaining Israel's qualitative edge over any combination of adversaries. Despite the great progress made in the Middle East peace process, Israel continues to face an unstable and highly dangerous environment, compounded by the proliferation of weapons of mass destruction and ballistic missiles.

*International military education and training*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1058) that would, subject to the provisions of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, grant discretionary authority to the Secretary of Defense to provide up to $20.0 million for the provision of international military education and training (IMET) for countries allied and friendly with the United States.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees strongly support Department of Defense funding for and management of the IMET program. IMET is a unique military program that fosters military-to-military relationships and contributes to greater inter-operability and coalition-building with the military organizations of allied and friendly nations. IMET has suffered in recent years from being part of the State Department's budget which has become increasingly unpopular with the American public and their elected representatives. The conferees are pleased to note, however, that the Foreign Operations Appropriations Conference Report for Fiscal Year 1996 fully funds the administration's IMET request.

The conferees intend to address this matter next year with a view towards transferring budgetary and execution responsibility for IMET to the Department of Defense. Accordingly, the conferees encourage the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of State to work out a process for such a transfer to ensure smooth and effective functioning with robust future funding.

*Sense of the Senate on protection of United States from ballistic missile attack*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1062) that would express the Sense of the Senate that all Americans should be

protected from accidental, intentional, or limited ballistic missile attack, and that front line troops of the United States should be protected from missile attacks. The Senate provision would also provide funding for the Corps surface-to-air missile (SAM) program.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes. Although the conferees fully support the views expressed in the Senate provision, they believe that such views are adequately represented elsewhere in the conference report. The conferees also address the Corps SAM issue elsewhere in the conference report.

*Travel of disabled veterans on military aircraft*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1089) that would permit veterans eligible for compensation for a service-connected disability the same entitlement to space-available transportation as retired members of the Armed Forces.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees note the unreliable nature of space-available flight, and that such flights would normally involve cargo-type aircraft, which are not equipped for handicapped access, seating and care. The conferees agree that concerns for the safety of disabled veterans were overriding in this decision.

*Transportation of crippled children in the Pacific Rim region to Hawaii for medical care*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1090) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to permit space-available transportation of crippled children in the Pacific Rim region to Hawaii for medical care in non-military medical facilities.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees direct the Secretary of Defense to conduct a study, consulting with the Shriners Hospitals in the Pacific region, to determine the viability and potential liabilities of such a program. The report should be provided to the Senate Committee on Armed Services and the House Committee on National Security not later than May 1, 1996.

*Sense of Senate regarding Ethics Committee investigations*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1094) expressing the Sense of the Senate concerning proceedings before the Senate Ethics Committee with respect to Senator Packwood.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

TITLE XI—UNIFORM CODE OF MILITARY JUSTICE

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

*References to Uniform Code of Military Justice (sec. 1102)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 541) that would clarify references to the Uniform Code of Military Justice in the bill.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 521).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

Subtitle A—Offenses

*Refusal to testify before courts-martial (sec. 1111)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 524) that would provide Federal District Courts the same power to punish individuals who fail to appear at courts-martial as they currently have to punish individuals who do not appear in civilian cases.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Flight from apprehension (sec. 1112)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 544) that would make it clear that the offense of "resisting apprehension" under Article 95 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice includes flight from apprehension.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 531).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

*Carnal knowledge (sec. 1113)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 532) that would amend Article 120(b) of the Uniform Code of Military Justice (10 U.S.C. 920 (b)) by making the crime of carnal knowledge gender neutral, bringing Article 120 into conformance with the Sexual Abuse Act of 1986. The provision also would add an affirmative defense of mistake of fact to conform Article 120 to federal civilian law (18 U.S.C. 2243).

The House bill contained a similar provision (sec. 545).

The House recedes.

Subtitle B—Sentences

*Effective date for forfeitures of pay and allowances and reductions in grade by sentence of court-martial (secs. 1121 and 1122)*

The Senate amendment contained provisions (secs. 526(a) and 526(b)) that would require those portions of a court-martial sentence extending to forfeiture of pay and allowances or reduction in grade to be effective 14 days after the date the sentence is adjudged or upon approval by the convening authority, whichever occurs earlier. The amendment would also require that sentences containing a punitive discharge, death, or more than 6 months confinement, result in total forfeitures of pay and allowances. If an accused were to make application to the convening authority, the forfeitures of pay and allowances, or reduction in grade or both could be deferred until the date on which the sentence is approved. Also under this provision, when convening authorities take action on sentences, any or all of the forfeitures of pay and allowances to be forfeited could be used to provide transitional compensation for the dependents of the accused.

The House bill contained a similar provision (sec. 542).

The House recedes with an amendment which would apply the automatic forfeitures to a sentence of death, punitive discharge, or confinement in excess of six months. The forfeiture in the case of a special court-martial would be limited to two-thirds of the pay due, which is the maximum punishment limitation of a special court-martial.

*Deferment of confinement (sec. 1123)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 527) that would allow for the deferment of confinement adjudged by courts-martial in two situations beyond those authorized under current law. One would permit deferment of confinement while the case is being reviewed by the United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces under Article 67(a)(2). The other circumstance that would lead to deferment concerns individuals who are serving civilian confinement while they have a sentence pending that has been adjudged by a court-martial. The Senate amendment would defer the running of the court-martial sentence until completion of the civilian sentence, if the convening authority so directs.

The House bill contained no similar amendment.

The House recedes.

Subtitle C—Pretrial and Post-Trial Actions

*Article 32 investigations (sec. 1131)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 523) that would revise the procedures for authorizing investigation of misconduct uncovered during a pretrial investigation under Article 32 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes. Under Article 32 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice, a formal pretrial investigation is conducted when a court-martial convening authority refers charges to an Article 32 investigating officer. Under current law, if the Article 32 officer uncovers evidence of additional misconduct in the course of the investigation, the information must be provided to the convening authority and then referred back to the Article 32 officer before it can be investigated by the Article 32 investigating officer.

The conferees agree that current law should be changed to permit the investigating officer to investigate new misconduct uncovered during the Article 32 investigation without requiring further administrative action by the convening authority. This change should reduce the time, delay, and administrative burden associated with obtaining the convening authority's approval for investigation of additional misconduct. The conferees emphasize, however, that the additional misconduct may not be investigated under Article 32 unless the accused is afforded the same rights as under current law with respect to investigation of the charges, presentation of evidence in defense or mitigation, and cross-examination as apply to the charges that were the basis of the Article 32 investigation.

*Submission of matters to the convening authority for consideration (sec. 1132)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 528) that would require all post-trial material submitted to the convening authority by the accused to be in writing. Current law does not specify the medium for such submissions.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes. The conferees agree that the intent of this section is not to restrict the accused's communications with the convening authority, but to ensure that formal submissions under Article 60(b) are made through a standard medium. The convening authority, in his or her discretion, may take into consideration other communications by the accused, such as a personal appearance or a videotape. The convening authority, however, is not required to review such other matters under Article 60, and a convening authority's decision to refuse consideration of matters other than written submissions is not subject to review. The conferees direct the Secretary of Defense to ensure that the explanatory "Discussion" accompanying the Manual for Courts-Martial reflect that this amendment does not restrict the ability of the convening authority to consider communications from the accused that are not written submissions.

*Commitment of accused to treatment facility by reason of lack of mental capacity or mental responsibility (sec. 1133)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 525) that would establish procedures for handling individuals who are mentally incompetent to stand trial or found not guilty by reason of lack of mental responsibility.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

This provision is in no way intended to conflict with Rule 706 of the Rules for Courts-Martial. To the extent that there is a provisions overlap, section 706 should be reviewed to make certain that it conforms with the new provision.

Subtitle D—Appellate Matters

*Appeals by the United States (sec. 1141)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 530) that would apply to courts-martial the same protections with regard to classified information as apply to orders or rulings issued in Federal District Courts under the Classified Information Procedures Act (18 U.S.C. App. 7). This section incorporates Senate amendment section 522 concerning certain definitions.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment.

*Repeal of termination of authority for Chief Justice of United States to designate Article III judges for temporary service on Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces. (sec. 1142)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 549) that would make permanent the authority of the Chief Justice of the United States to fill temporary vacancies on the United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces. Section 1301 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 1990 and 1991 authorized the Chief Judge of the United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces to request the Chief Justice to make such appointments through September 30, 1995. This provision would eliminate the "sunset" provision.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 535).

The Senate recedes.

Subtitle E—Other Matters

*Advisory committee on criminal law jurisdiction over civilians accompanying the Armed Forces in time of armed conflict (sec. 1151)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 536) that would create an advisory panel to determine which courts should have criminal jurisdiction over civilians accompanying the military outside the United States during times of armed conflict, including conflicts other than a declared war.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

*Time after accession for initial instruction in the Uniform Code of Military Justice (sec. 1152)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 546) that would increase the time after accession for initial instruction in the Uniform Code of Military Justice.

The Senate amendment (sec. 533) contained an identical provision.

The conference agreement includes this provision.

*Technical amendment (sec. 1153)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 550) that would amend article 66(f) of the Uniform Code of Military Justice (10 U.S.C. 866) by striking out "Courts of Military Review" in both places it appears, and inserting in lieu thereof "Courts of Criminal Appeals."

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 534).

The conference agreement includes this provision.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

*Persons who may appear before the United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 547) that would provide that only attorneys and properly certified law students could practice and appear before the United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes. The conferees believe that the question of who should be authorized to appear before the Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces normally should be addressed through the rules promulgated by the court, rather than through legislation. The conferees are concerned, however, that the Court has permitted undergraduate students to appear before the Court as amicus curiae. However laudable it may be to afford such students practical experience appearing before a federal court, the conferees believe such considerations are outweighed by the requirement that the Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces maintain the highest standards of judicial practice and procedure. The conferees are aware that the Court presently has this matter under review and look forward to a change in the Court's rules of procedure that will obviate the need for legislation on this subject.

*Discretionary representation by government appellate defense counsel in petitioning the Supreme Court for writ of certiorari*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 548) that would amend section 870 of title 10, United States Code, to provide that representations of an accused, in the preparation of a petition for a writ of certiorari before the United States Supreme Court, shall be at the discretion of military appellate defense counsel. Current law requires appellate defense counsel to represent the accused before the Supreme Court when requested by the accused.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Proceedings in revision*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 529) that would authorize a proceeding in revision at courts-martial prior to authentication of the record under certain conditions.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

TITLE XII—COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION WITH STATES OF FORMER SOVIET UNION

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

*Cooperative threat reduction program (secs. 1201–1209)*

The budget request included $371.0 million in defense operation and maintenance for the Cooperative Threat Reduction (CTR) Program.

The House bill contained provisions (secs. 1101–1108) related to the CTR program that would include the following: authorize $200.0 million for the CTR program, a $171.0 million reduction to the budget request (sec. 1101); place specific limitations on all CTR programs for fiscal year 1996 (sec. 1102); repeal authority for the Demilitarization Enterprise Fund (DEF) (sec. 1103); prohibit the use of CTR funds for peacekeeping exercises and related activities with Russia (sec. 1104); revise authority for assistance for weapons destruction (sec. 1105); require prior notice of obligation of funds (sec. 1106); require an annual accountability report to ensure that assistance is being used for its intended purpose (sec. 1107); and prohibit the obligation or expenditure of fiscal year 1996 funds until the President provides written certification to Congress that Russia has terminated its offensive biological weapons program.

The Senate amendment included several provisions (sec. 1041–1044) related to the CTR program that would include the following: authorize $365.0 million for the CTR program, a $6.0 million reduction to the budget request (sec. 1041); limit the obligation of CTR funds that would assist nuclear weapons scientists in the former Soviet Union, pending a written certification from the Secretary of Defense that funds would not contribute to the modernization of strategic nuclear forces or for research, development or production of weapons of mass destruction (sec. 1042); limit the obligation of $50.0 million, pending a written certification from the President that Russia is in compliance with its obligations under the Biological Weapons Convention (BWC); and limit the use of more than $52.0 million of fiscal year 1996 funds available for CTR, pending a presidential certification that a joint laboratory study to evaluate the Russian neutralization proposal has been completed and the United States agrees with that proposal, that Russia is in the process of preparing a comprehensive destruction and dismantlement plan for its chemical weapons stockpile, and that Russia is committed to resolving outstanding issues under the 1989 Wyoming Memorandum of Understanding and the 1990 Bilateral Destruction Agreement.

The conferees agree to the CTR provisions, as follows: authorize $300.0 million in fiscal year 1996 for CTR and place limitations on the CTR projects in fiscal year 1996; provide authority for individual limitations to be exceeded by a specified percentage; authorize use of CTR funds to reimburse pay accounts for U.S. military reserve members participating in CTR activities; prohibit the use of CTR funds for peacekeeping activities and related activities with Russia; require a presidential determination that each recipient country is observing the criteria for assistance provided under the CTR program; require the Secretary of Defense to provide congressional defense committees with advance notification of obligation of funds; require an annual audit and examination report; limit assistance to nuclear weapons scientists; and limit the obligation of $60.0 million in fiscal year 1996 CTR funds for Russia, pending presidential certification that Russia is complying with its BWC obligations and that Russia has agreed to, and implemented, agreements and visits per the September 14, 1992 Joint Statement on Biological Weapons and that visits to the four declared military biological facilities of Russia by officials of the U.S. and United Kingdom have occurred. If the President is unable to certify Russian compliance with its BWC obligations, or that visits agreed to under the Joint Statement have not occurred, he may certify that fact and related funds would then be available for strategic offensive weapons elimination in Ukraine, Kazakhstan

or Belarus. The provision would also prohibit obligation of more than half the funds authorized for chemical weapons destruction-related activities in Russia, pending a presidential certification.

The conferees direct that none of the funds authorized for CTR in fiscal year 1996 may be used to reimburse other departments and agencies for the travel and other expenses incurred by employees of those departments and agencies, even if those employees are engaged in CTR-related activities.

The Conventional Forces in Europe (CFE) Treaty requires signatories to be in full compliance with their obligations to reduce treaty limited equipment by November 16, 1995. The Russian government has generally been in overall compliance with its obligations since the treaty has been in force provisionally. Russia's compliance with the limits in the northern and southern flank zones has caused concern for a number of the signatories. Russian officials have indicated that they will not be in compliance with the flank limits in these zones because of the instability along their southern borders.

If Russia refuses to honor its legal and political obligations under the CFE Treaty, the conferees question the ability of the President to certify Russia's commitment to complying with its arms control obligations, necessary to make it eligible to receive CTR assistance. Further, the conferees believe that the President would only be in a position to certify Russia's commitment to comply with its arms control obligations under the following circumstances: (1) through an agreement to comply with a NATO-endorsed flank limit proposal and substantial progress toward withdrawing any excess equipment by the May 1996 Treaty Review Conference; (2) demonstrated fulfillment of obligations to meet agreed-upon reductions in levels of military equipment in the naval infantry and coastal defense forces, and in holdings east of the Ural mountains; and (3) through an agreement on an offset package that would add to the flank limit proposal additional verification measures, additional information sharing arrangements on the flank areas, and additional constraints on Treaty-limited equipment contained in areas formerly defined as flank areas.

TITLE XIII—MATTERS RELATING TO OTHER NATIONS

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

*Waiver of foreign assistance reimbursement requirements to the Department of Defense and the armed forces*

The conferees are concerned about the inadequate funding in the fiscal year 1996 international affairs budget for activities identified by the administration as presidential priorities, such as drawdown authority for defense articles and services for Jordan and the transfer of non-lethal defense articles to Central European countries.

While the conferees are generally supportive of both activities, the conferees do not support efforts to waive requirements under Sections 519(f) and 632(d) of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961. Those provisions of the Foreign Assistance Act require reimbursement of the Department of Defense and military services for costs to transport defense articles, or replace defense items that are not excess to the military services.

The conferees appreciate the role that Jordan played in the Middle East peace process and believe that the Government of Jordan should have the defense items, services, and military training, that would enable them to protect their borders and respond to terrorist threats. However, the conferees are concerned by the use of defense funds to pay for this authority.

In a letter supporting the special drawdown authority for Jordan, the Secretary of Defense stated that military readiness would suffer unless the non-excess defense items are replaced and the military services are reimbursed for transportation and other costs. The conferees direct the Secretary of Defense to provide a report to the congressional defense committees 60 days after enactment of this Act that would address the cost to replace non-excess defense items provided to Jordan and an identification of funds included in the President's fiscal year 1997 budget for this purpose.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Subtitle A—Peacekeeping Provisions

*Limitation on use of Department of Defense funds for international peacekeeping assessments and drawdown of Department of Defense articles (sec. 1301)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1202) that would amend chapter 20 of title 10, United States Code, to prohibit the use of Department of Defense funds for voluntary or assessed financial contributions to the United Nations for the United States share of peacekeeping costs, effective October 1, 1995.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Subtitle B—Humanitarian Assistance Programs

*Overseas humanitarian, disaster, and civic aid (secs. 1311–1312)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1211) that would specify five programs operated by the Department of Defense to be funded through the budget account known as Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster, and Civic Aid (OHDACA).

The House bill also contained a provision (sec. 1212) that would eliminate the current authority to transfer funds from DOD to the Department of State to provide for the administrative costs associated with the transportation of humanitarian supplies. In addition, this provision would remove the Secretary of State's authority over the DOD's program for the transportation of humanitarian relief, and it would provide for technical changes to the existing reporting requirements for the DOD's humanitarian programs.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 365) that would require the General Accounting Office (GAO) to submit a report to Congress on existing funding mechanisms that would facilitate the funding of programs within the OHDACA account through the Department of State or the Agency for International Development. If such mechanisms do not currently exist, the GAO would be required to identify those actions necessary to institute such mechanisms.

The conference agreement includes these provisions.

The conferees agree that although the DOD is uniquely capable of performing some humanitarian or disaster relief operations, these operations are fundamentally the responsibility of the Department of State and the Agency for International Development and, in general, are more appropriately funded through these agencies. Therefore, the conferees have reduced the amount of DOD funds available to the OHDACA account for fiscal year 1996 and have requested that the GAO provide a report that would identify necessary changes in existing law or regulations to transfer the funding responsibility for these programs, where appropriate, to other federal agencies, beginning in fiscal year 1997.

*Landmine clearance program (sec. 1313)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1213) that would amend humanitarian and civic assistance authorities in section 401 of title 10 United States Code to include humanitarian demining activities.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1054) that would amend section 1413 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (Public Law 103–337) to include the following: require the Secretary of Defense to certify to the Congress that humanitarian activities satisfy military training requirements for the personnel involved; authorize $20.0 million in fiscal year 1996 for the humanitarian landmine clearing assistance program; terminate authority for the Department of Defense to provide funds for the humanitarian landmine clearing assistance program after fiscal year 1996; and revise the definition of a landmine.

The conferees agree to a provision that would amend section 401 of title 10 United States Code to include humanitarian demining activities; limit activities of United States military personnel participating in humanitarian landmine clearing activities; and, repeal section 1413 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (Public Law 103–337).

Unlike other types of humanitarian and civic assistance activities, the conferees realize that the activities of detection and clearing of landmines will often be the sole or primary focus of the military operation in question. In such cases, the approving authority would have to determine that the specific operational readiness skills of the participating United States forces—usually special operations forces whose skills are based upon the activities listed in section 167(j) of title 10, United States Code—will be promoted by participation in those activities.

Subtitle C—Arms Export and Military Assistance

*Defense export loan guarantees (sec. 1321)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1224) that would require the Secretary of Defense to create a defense export loan guarantee program for certain eligible countries.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 1053) with different criteria for eligible countries.

The House recedes with an amendment that would authorize use of fees generated under the program for payment of start-up costs for administration of the program and for payment of ongoing administrative expenses. The conferees intend to monitor the administration of this program closely to ensure that the method of funding the administrative fees does not impact the process of approval of the loan guarantees.

*National security implications of United States export control policy (sec. 1322–1323)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1052) that would express the sense of Congress regarding the national security implications of maintaining effective export controls on dual-use items and technologies that are critical to the military capabilities of the United States. This provision would require the Department to review export licenses for class 2, 3, and 4 biological pathogens with a potential use in biological warfare programs and to determine if export

would be contrary to U.S. national security interests.

The House bill did not contain a similar provision.

The House recedes. The conferees concur with concerns identified in the Senate report (S. Rept. 104–112) that the lowering of export controls on dual-use items and technologies may place current U.S. technologies and defense capabilities at risk. The conferees continue to be concerned with administration support for admittance of nations into the Missile Technology Control Regime (MTCR) and the New Forum absent a record of compliance with the spirit of these regimes prior to their inclusion.

Two years ago in the House report (H. Rept. 103–357), the conferees expressed concern that ". . .loosening the restrictions on space launch vehicle technology within the MTCR could, over time, result in the proliferation of offensive ballistic missiles. . ." and expressed particular concern about the new MTCR members being permitted to retain space launch vehicle programs. Despite written administration assurances that Congress would be consulted on MTCR-related issues, to include the addition of new members, the conferees were disappointed to learn in the summer of 1995 that new countries would be admitted to the MTCR, despite retention of a SLV program and a history of evading program controls. The conferees believe that the current administration approach facilitates a growing and perhaps irreversible danger that the MTCR, despite its auspicious early history, will increasingly become an avenue for technology proliferation.

The conferees strongly encourage the administration to emphasize the use of controls on sensitive technologies in any new administration proposals to reauthorize the Export Administration Act, and that no attempts be made to repeal or substantially alter the missile sanction provisions in Title XVII of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1991, as was the case in the administration proposal submitted in the last Congress.

American firms are conducting discussions and negotiations with a number of foreign governments, or other entities, on the purchase of high-resolution U.S. commercial reconnaissance and imaging satellites and high-resolution imagery or imagery distribution systems. The conferees understand that the Secretary of Defense is authorized under Presidential Directive/National Security Council-23 and the Remote Sensing Act of 1992 to determine when national security interests call for controls on such satellite imagery. The Secretary of State is similarly empowered to determine when international obligations would require imagery controls. The conferees emphasize the following: that determinations on national security and international obligations should be communicated to U.S. firms in discussions regarding issuance of operating licenses to U.S. firms, to the extent such determinations can be made in advance of the actual operation of the satellites; that the Secretary of Defense or the Secretary of State should ensure that license agreements and distribution agreements include adequate provisions to ensure that the sharing of imagery or procurement of U.S. commercial imagery systems or products with foreign governments or foreign entities would not be used against U.S. military forces deployed overseas; and that provisions in the license agreements should deny terrorist governments and entities controlled by these governments access to imagery of neighboring countries. The conferees continue to be concerned that the national security issues involved in the proliferation of high-resolution satellites and satellite imagery have not been adequately thought through by the executive branch and hope that the report mandated by this section will serve to clarify DoD policy on these issues.

The conferees also note the recent decision to relax export restrictions on supercomputers and are concerned about the potential impact of this decision on the United States' nonproliferation efforts and the maintenance of the U.S. military technological edge. The conferees direct the Secretary of Defense to submit a report, not later than December 31, 1995, that describes the impact of the export decision on the ability of nations to acquire and use high-performance computing capabilities to develop advanced conventional weaponry, weapons of mass destruction, and delivery vehicles, including missiles.

*Reports on arms export control and military assistance (sec. 1324)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1064) that would require the following reports to be submitted to Congress: (1) a report by the Secretary of State on the firms that are on the Department of State watch list for export of sensitive or dual use technologies, and a description of the measures taken to strengthen United States export controls; (2) an evaluation of the watch list screening process by the Department of State Inspector General; and (3) an annual report on the aggregate dollar value and quantity of defense articles, services, and military education and training furnished by the United States to each foreign country and international organization.

The House bill did not contain a similar provision.

The conferees agree to a provision that would require the Department of State and the Department of Commerce, in consultation with the Department of Defense, to report jointly to the Congress on United States export control mechanisms and measures taken to strengthen export controls. The provision would also require the President to submit a report to Congress on military assistance and military exports authorized or furnished to foreign countries and international organizations.

*Report on personnel requirements for control of transfer of certain weapons (sec. 1325)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1093) that would require the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Energy to report to the Congress on the personnel resources necessary to implement nonproliferation policy responsibilities of both departments and would require both Secretaries to explain the failure to provide the report, as previously required by legislation.

The House bill did not contain a similar provision.

The House recedes.

Subtitle D—Burdensharing and Other Cooperative Activities Involving Allies and NATO

*Accounting for burdensharing contributions (sec. 1331)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1225) that would authorize the United States to accept burdensharing contributions in the currency of the host nation or in United States dollars. This provision would maintain this funding in a separate account that would be available until expended.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Authority to accept contributions for expenses of relocation within host nations of United States armed forces overseas (sec. 1332)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1226) that would establish authorization and procedures to accept contributions from host nations for the purpose of relocating United States armed forces within the host nation.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Revised goal for allied share of costs for United States installations in Europe (sec. 1333)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1228) that would require the Department of Defense to reduce United States military personnel assigned in European North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) countries during fiscal years 1996–1999. Military personnel would be reduced by 1,000 for each scheduled percentage point that allied contributions in cash and in-kind payments fail to offset U.S. non-personnel costs of operating military installations in Europe.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment.

The conferees agree to a provision that would amend section 1304 of the National Defense Authorization Act of 1995 (Public Law 103–337) to require the President to seek an agreement with European member states of NATO to increase to 42.5 percent by September 30, 1997 their share of the nonpersonnel costs for United States military installations in those nations.

*Exclusion of certain forces from European end strength limitation (sec. 1334)*

The conference agreement includes a provision that would exclude personnel performing duties in Europe for more than 179 days under a military-to-military contact program.

*Cooperative research and development agreements with NATO organizations (sec. 1335)*

The Senate bill contained a provision (sec. 1051) that would make a technical and conforming amendment to section 2350b of title 10, United States Code, to make it consistent with section 2350a, which was amended in the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995.

The House bill did not contain a similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Support services for the Navy at the Port of Haifa (sec. 1336)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1056) that would express the sense of Congress that the Secretary of the Navy should promptly undertake actions to:

(1) improve the services available to the Navy at the Port of Haifa; and

(2) ensure that the continuing increase in commercial activities at the Port of Haifa does not have an adverse impact on the services required by the Navy at Haifa.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment.

Subtitle E—Other Matters

*Prohibition on financial assistance to terrorist countries (sec. 1341)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1057) that would prohibit the use of any Department of Defense funds to assist nations that support acts of terrorism. A determination to prohibit funds may be based on a determination by the Secretary of State under section 6(j)(1)(A) of the Export Administration Act of 1979; or that a nation provided significant support for international

terrorism, as identified in a report to Congress, pursuant to section 140 of the Foreign Relations Authorization Act, Fiscal Year 1988 and 1989; or a determination by the President that a nation has supported international terrorism or has granted sanctuary from prosecution to a group or individual that has committed an act of international terrorism.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

*Judicial assistance to the International Tribunal for Yugoslavia and to the International Tribunal for Rwanda (sec. 1342)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1098) that would provide authority for the United States to surrender persons and provide judicial assistance to the International Tribunals for Yugoslavia and Rwanda, pursuant to the agreement between the Government of the United States and the International Tribunals.

The House bill did not contain a similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

*United States-China Joint Defense Conversion Commission (sec. 1343)*

The House bill included a provision (sec. 1223) that would prohibit the use of funds authorized in fiscal year 1996 for the Department of Defense activities associated with the United States-People's Republic of China Joint Defense Conversion Commission.

The Senate bill did not include a similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment.

The conferees agree to a provision that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit semi-annual reports to Congress on the United States-People's Republic of China (PRC) Joint Defense Conversion Commission. The report shall include: a description of activities that could directly, or indirectly, assist the military modernization efforts of the PRC; information on the activities and operations of the Commission; a discussion of the relationship of PRC defense conversion activities and PRC defense modernization efforts; steps taken by the United States to safeguard against use of western technology to modernize the PRC military industrial base; and an assessment of U.S. benefits derived from participation in the commission, to include an increase in the transparency of the military budget and doctrine of the PRC. In preparing the reports required by this section, the Secretary shall seek and obtain the views of appropriate U.S. intelligence agencies and shall be consulted on the matters assessed in the reports and those views shall be included as an annex to the reports.

The conferees agree that a continued dialogue on security matters between the United States and the PRC can promote stability in the region, and help protect American interests and the interests of America's Asian allies. The conferees note that the Senate Armed Services Committee and the House National Security Committee intend to review the status of the U.S.-PRC security dialogue on a regular basis to determine the extent to which the dialogue has produced tangible results in the areas of human rights, transparency in military spending and doctrine, missile and nuclear nonproliferation, and other important security issues.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISION NOT ADOPTED

*Placement of United States forces under United Nations operational or tactical control*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1201) that would limit the use of Department of Defense funds and the circumstances under which the President could commit U.S. armed forces to United Nations (UN) command and control, and provide exceptions under which armed forces could be placed under UN command and control. The President would be required to certify to the Congress, prior to the placement of U.S. armed forces under UN command and control, the following: that U.S. national security interests require the placement of Armed Forces under UN command and control; that U.S. armed forces commander would retain the right to report independently to U.S. military authorities and decline orders that are illegal, militarily imprudent, or beyond the scope of the mission; that U.S. forces would remain under U.S. administrative command; and that U.S. forces involved would retain the authority to withdraw and take necessary protective actions, if engaged by hostile forces.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1061) that would express the sense of Congress that: U.S. armed forces should not be placed under the operational control of the UN without close and prior consultation with Congress; U.S. armed forces should only be placed under UN command and control when clearly in the national interest; U.S. armed forces should only be placed under qualified commanders with clear and effective command and control; and that U.S. armed forces should only be placed under operational control of foreign commanders in peace enforcement missions, except in the most extraordinary circumstances.

The conference agreement did not include either provision.

The conferees remain gravely concerned over the administration's stated willingness, as articulated by Presidential Decision Directive 25, to place U.S. forces under UN operational control during peacekeeping operations. The conferees are pleased to note that the peacekeeping deployment to Bosnia does not involve such an arrangement. The conferees strongly urge the Secretary of Defense to ensure that clearly defined and effective command and control relationships are maintained for U.S. forces participating in this deployment.

TITLE XIV—ARMS CONTROL MATTERS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

*Revision of definition of landmine for purposes of landmine export moratorium (sec. 1401)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1221) that would amend the definition of "anti-personnel landmine", contained in section 1423(d)(3) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1994 (Public Law 103-160), by deleting "remote controlled, manually-emplaced munitions or devices".

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1054) that would include a subsection to redefine the definition of an antipersonnel landmine.

The conferees agree to an amendment that would amend section 1423(d) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1994 (Public Law 103-160), to redefine an antipersonnel landmine to exclude command detonated anti-personnel landmines, such as M18A1 "Claymore" mines, from the definition.

*Reports on moratorium on use by Armed Forces of antipersonnel landmines (sec. 1402)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1099) that would express the sense of Congress that the President should actively support proposals to modify protocol II on landmines in the 1980 Conventional Weapons Convention at the United Nations Conference, to immediately implement the United States goal of eventual elimination of antipersonnel landmines, and place a one year moratorium on the use of antipersonnel landmines by the United States military, except along internationally recognized borders and demilitarized zones. Consistent with the provision, the President should also encourage governments of other nations to implement a moratorium on the use of antipersonnel landmines.

The House bill did not contain a similar provision.

The House recedes with amendment.

The conferees agree to a provision that would require the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff to provide an annual report to Congress on the projected effects of a moratorium on the defensive use of antipersonnel landmines and antitank mines by the United States military forces.

*Extension and amendment of counterproliferation authorities (sec. 1403)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1222) that would extend, through fiscal year 1996, the authorities in section 1505 of title XV of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993 (Public Law 102-484). The provision would authorize the Department of Defense to provide up to $15.0 million to support international nonproliferation activities, such as, the United Nations Special Commission on Iraq (UNSCOM). Authority for the Secretary of Defense to provide assistance under this section would terminate at the end of fiscal year 1996.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees understand that the extension of authority in fiscal year 1996 for the Department of Defense support of international nonproliferation activities would be used primarily to support the United Nations Special Commission on Iraq (UNSCOM). The conferees do not intend to provide the Department of Defense with authority to use defense funds to support chemical weapons and ballistic missile dismantlement, nuclear materials control and removal, or to destroy weapons of mass destruction and their delivery systems in foreign countries, such as Brazil, South Africa, or countries in Africa or the Middle East generally. These disarmament activities are more appropriately funded from the international affairs budget. Authorities for dismantlement of weapons of mass destruction in the former Soviet Union are provided elsewhere in this Act.

In accordance with the conference report to accompany the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1994, the conferees direct the Secretary of Defense to provide to the congressional defense committees, 30 days in advance of any U.S. commitment to support international nonproliferation activities, a report on the international nonproliferation activities which the Department seeks to support. The report should identify potential future funding for this support, the extent to which the United States is obligated to provide such support, the extent to which funds are provided for in the international affairs budget, and the national security objective for providing the support.

*Limitation on retirement or dismantlement of strategic nuclear delivery systems (sec. 1404)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1082) that would express the sense of Congress that until the START II Treaty enters into force, the Secretary of Defense should not retire or dismantle any B-52H bombers, Trident ballistic missile submarines, Minuteman III intercontinental ballistic missiles (ICBMs), or Peacekeeper ICBMs. The provision would also prohibit the use of funds appropriated to the Department of Defense during fiscal year 1996 for retiring or dismantling any such systems.

The House bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1229) that would express the sense of Congress that the Secretary of Defense should not implement any reduction in strategic forces that is called for in the START II Treaty unless and until that treaty enters into force.

The House recedes.

The conferees reiterate the importance of not having the United States unilaterally and prematurely begin to implement reductions under the START II Treaty. Until it is clear that the treaty will actually enter into force, the United States must retain options for maintaining a larger force of strategic nuclear delivery systems, to include 500 Minuteman III ICBMs, 50 Peacekeeper ICBM's 18 Trident II ballistic missile submarines, and 94 B-52H bombers. The conferees believe that by retaining such options, the United States increases Russia's incentives to ratify and fully implement the START II Treaty.

Additionally, the conferees believe that it is prudent to delay, beyond fiscal year 1996, the decision to retire or dismantle 28 B-52H bombers, as currently planned by the Department of Defense. At the same time, the conferees do not believe that the Air Force should take any action that prejudge a decision in fiscal year 1997 to retire or dismantle those 28 B-52H bombers. Therefore, the conferees direct the Secretary of Defense to retain 94 B-52H bombers during fiscal year 1996, while minimizing additional expenditures on the 28 aircraft that may be retired in the near future.

The conferees understood that the Air Force would require $17.4 million in procurement funds, $45.3 million in operations and maintenance funds, and $4.3 million in military personnel funds to retain the 28 B-52H bombers in a fully operational status and to provide them with system updates and modifications. The conferees believe that with system updates and modifications. The conferees believe that this level of funding may not be required merely to preserve the option of retaining the 28 aircraft for one more year. In particular, it may not be necessary to expand procurement funds on aircraft that may be retired in fiscal year 1997. Therefore, the conferees agree to authorize the use of up to $17.4 million in Air Force procurement funds, up to $45.3 million in Air Force operations and maintenance funds, and up to $4.3 million in Air Force personnel funds to retain in an attrition reserve status the 28 B-52H bombers that would otherwise be retired in fiscal year 1996.

*Congressional findings and Sense of Congress concerning treaty violations (sec. 1405)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1227) that would express a sense of Congress that the government of the former Soviet Union intentionally violated its legal obligation under the 1972 Anti-Ballistic Missile Treaty in order to advance its national security interests, and that the United States should remain vigilant to ensure compliance with arms control obligations.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a clarifying amendment that would outline the legislative history behind the provision.

*Sense of Congress on ratification of the Chemical Weapons Convention and the Strategic Arms Reduction Talks (sec. 1406)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1230) that would express the sense of Congress that the United States should ratify the Chemical Weapons Convention (CWC) as a signal of its commitment to reduce the threat posed by chemical weapons.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1099F) that would express the sense of Congress that it is in the national security interests of the United States and Russia, as signatories of the Strategic Arms Reduction Talks (START II), and the United States and all parties to the Chemical Weapons Convention (CWC), to ratify and fully implement the agreements, as negotiated.

The conferees agree to a provision that would express the sense of Congress that it is in the national security interests of the United States, that the United States and Russia, as parties to START II and the CWC, and all other signatories to the CWC, to ratify and fully implement these arms control agreements, as negotiated.

The conferees note that a full Senate debate on the ratification of START and the CWC treaties has not taken place. It is not the intention of the Congress, through this provision, to predetermine the outcome of the Senate debate on the advice and consent to ratification of the two arms control treaties.

*Implementation of arms control agreements (sec. 1407)*

The budget request included $261.9 million in procurement, operation and maintenance, and research and development in the defense and military service accounts for the implementation of arms control agreements.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 1060) that would authorize $228.9 million for implementing arms control agreements, a $33.0 million reduction to the budget request. The provision would also prohibit the use of defense funds to reimburse expenses of signatories to arms control treaties, other than the United States, pursuant to treaties or agreements with the United States that have entered into force, if the Congress has not received 30-day notice prior to agreement between the parties.

The House bill did not contain a similar provision, but would provide $261.9 million for implementation of arms control agreements.

The House recedes with an amendment that would make available up to $239.9 million for implementing arms control agreements, a $22.0 million reduction to the budget request. The reductions are reflected in the following table. The conferees endorse the views stated in the Senate report (S. Rept. 104-112), that reiterate the concern expressed in the conference report accompanying the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1994 (H. Rept. 103-357). That conference report required the Congress to be notified 30 days in advance of a U.S. agreement to accept the recommendations of any consultative commissions that result in either technical changes to a treaty or agreement affecting inspections and monitoring provisions, or that result in increased U.S. implementation costs.

The conferees limit the expenditure of funds to provide reimbursement for arms control implementation inspections costs borne by the inspected party to a treaty or agreement. Funds may only be expended if the Congress has been notified 30 days in advance of an agreement by the President to a policy or policy agreement, and that policy or policy agreement does not modify any obligation imposed by the arms control agreement.

The provision would not prohibit the use of funds to implement two policy agreements under the Intermediate-Range Nuclear Forces (INF) Treaty and Strategic Arms Reductions Treaty (START), concluded in May 1994 and February 1995. The conferees understand that the Department of Defense agreed to reimburse Belarus, Kazakhstan, and Ukraine for the costs of U.S. inspections conducted within those territories for each six-month period, expenses for which those countries are obligated under the treaties, if Belarus, Kazakhstan, and Ukraine do not conduct inspections in the United States. Further, the conferees understand that if Belarus, Kazakhstan, or Ukraine conduct an inspection of a U.S. facility, the U.S. will not provide reimbursement during the applicable six-month time period.

The Intermediate Range Nuclear Forces Treaty and Strategic Arms Reduction Treaty permit the United States to conduct inspections to verify compliance with the treaties within the territories of Belarus, Kazakhstan, and Ukraine. The conferees are concerned about assertions by the administration that failure to reimburse Belarus, Kazakhstan, and Ukraine would prevent the United States from conducting INF and START inspections in these countries in the future. The Senate provided its advice and consent to ratification of INF and START based on the ability of the United States to fully exercise its inspection rights.

In a September 21, 1994 letter from the Secretary of Defense to Congress, the Secretary emphasized that the policy statements exchanged between the United States and the three Parties expressed ". . . strictly a policy understanding." He also stated "that they are not legally binding" and that no treaty provisions would be changed. Further, the Secretary stated "[T]he Administration would not consider this to be a precedent for any other area of START implementation."

The conferees express their continuing concern that arms control consultative commissions are being used to facilitate changes or modifications to arms control treaties and agreements that should be brought to the Senate for its review and subsequent advice and consent. There may be very good reasons for changes in implementation of specific arms control treaties or agreements. However, if a change or modification to the treaty or agreement would result in a change to the understanding under which the Senate provided its advice and consent to ratification, the Congress must be consulted about the recommended change or modification in advance of any agreement in the consultative commissions, and must provide its subsequent agreement to the change or modification.

FISCAL YEAR 1996 ARMS CONTROL IMPLEMENTATION BUDGET

| Account | Program | Request | Recomm | Rec Auth |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| WPN | Arms control compliance. | 14.800 | 0.000 | 14.800 |
| OPAF | Spares & repairs | 0.467 | 0.000 | 0.467 |
| PDA | OSIA | 2.941 | 0.000 | 2.941 |
| RDT&E, AF | Arms control implementation. | 0.998 | 0.000 | 0.998 |
| RDT&E, DA | Ver tech dem, DNA (603711). | 33.971 | 0.000 | 33.971 |

FISCAL YEAR 1996 ARMS CONTROL IMPLEMENTATION BUDGET—Continued

| Account | Program | Request | Recomm | Rec Auth |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| O&M, Army | | 40.778 | −6.000 | 34.778 |
| O&M, Navy | | 35.354 | −2.000 | 33.354 |
| O&M, AF | | 34.645 | −2.000 | 32.645 |
| O&M, DA | OSIA | 97.987 | −12.000 | 85.987 |
| Total | | 261.941 | −22.000 | 239.941 |

*Iran and Iraq arms nonproliferation (sec. 1408)*

The Senate amendment included a provision (sec. 1063) that would amend sections 1604(a) and 1605(a) of Title XVI of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993 (Public Law 102-484), to apply sanctions and controls to persons or countries who transfer or retransfer goods or technology that would contribute to the Iran or Iraq efforts to acquire chemical, biological, or nuclear weapons, in addition to sanctions and controls on the acquisition of destabilizing advanced conventional weapons. The provision would also amend section 1608(7) to clarify the meaning of "United States assistance" to conform to the definition of such term in the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 (section 2151 et seq. of Title 10, United States Code).

The House bill did not contain a similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment.

The conferees also agree to an amendment to section 73(e)(2) of the Arms Export Control Act (section 2797b(e)(2) of title 22, United States Code) that would require that the notification of certain waivers under the Missile Technology Control Regime procedures be submitted to the congressional defense committees and the congressional foreign relations committees, not less than 45 working days before issuance of the waiver.

TITLE XV—TECHNICAL AND CLERICAL AMENDMENTS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

*Technical and clerical amendments (sec. 1501-1506)*

The Senate amendment contained eight sections (secs. 1101 through 1108) that made numerous technical and clerical amendments to existing laws.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

TITLE XVI—CORPORATION FOR THE PROMOTION OF RIFLE PRACTICE AND FIREARMS SAFETY

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

*Corporation for the Promotion of Rifle Practice and Firearms Safety (secs. 1601-1624)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 384) that would convert the Civilian Marksmanship Program (CMP) to a federally chartered nonprofit corporation.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 385) that would convert the CMP to a nonappropriated fund instrumentality.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would convert the CMP to a private, nonprofit corporation. The provision would require the Secretary of the Army to provide for the transition of the CMP from an appropriated fund activity of the Department of Defense to a viable nonprofit corporation.

The conferees recognize the value of the CMP, and believe the program should continue as a non-Federal government entity.

DIVISION B—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS

OVERVIEW

The budget request for fiscal year 1996 included $10,697,955,000 for military construction and family housing.

The House bill would authorize $11,197,995,000 for military construction and family housing.

The Senate amendment would provide $10,902,988,000 for this purpose.

The conferees recommend authorization of appropriations of $11,177,009,000 for military construction and family housing, including general reductions and termination of prior year projects.

The conferees are deeply concerned about the current quality of facilities at military installations and the condition of the housing stock for military families and unaccompanied personnel. The conferees are concerned about the possible long-term deleterious effects of deteriorating military infrastructure and military housing on the readiness of the armed forces and the retention of personnel. The conferees are especially concerned about the backlog of construction, repair, and maintenance required to resolve serious problems affecting the quality of life for personnel and their families. The increases in funding recommended by the conferees is targeted at enhancing quality of life programs, particularly housing and needed operational requirements for the military services.

The conferees are pleased with the attention the Secretary of Defense has devoted to improving family housing, housing for unaccompanied personnel, and other quality of life improvements. The conferees note the Secretary's proposal to establish new authorities for alternative means to construct or improve military housing. The conferees have worked closely with the Secretary in the development of the proposal and have agreed to include these authorities in this Act.

The conferees have also included a provision to expand the authority previously granted to the Department of the Navy to enter into limited partnerships with the private sector to acquire family housing. The conferees note the efforts of the Navy to utilize existing authority to provide critically needed housing in Corpus Christi, Texas and Everett, Washington. The conferees understand that agreements to provide housing in those two locations may be ready for contract execution in fiscal year 1996.

In addition to these new initiatives, the conferees also support a pilot program that provides qualified junior enlisted and junior officer personnel with greater access to private home ownership opportunities through an interest rate buydown program managed by the Department of Veterans' Affairs. The conferees encourage the Secretary of Defense to promote this program and to continue exploring creative ways to stimulate interest in and availability of home ownership among servicemembers.

The conferees recognize that these authorities have the long-term potential to produce critically needed housing for the armed forces. To rectify immediate problems, the conferees recommend $417,169,000 above the Administration's budget request for family housing, unaccompanied personnel housing, child development centers, health care facilities, and other projects to enhance the quality of life for currently serving personnel.

# SUMMARY OF NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS FOR FY 1996

(Dollars in Millions)

| Account Title | Authorization Request 1996 | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Conference Change | Conference Authorization |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **DIVISION B** | | | | | |
| Military Construction, Army | 472.724 | 631.608 | 547.877 | 144.865 | 617.589 |
| Military Construction, Navy | 488.086 | 588.243 | 542.885 | 60.203 | 548.289 |
| Military Construction, Air Force | 495.655 | 586.841 | 587.517 | 91.915 | 587.570 |
| Military Construction, Defense-wide | 857.405 | 728.332 | 601.450 | (235.179) | 622.226 |
| North Atlantic Treaty Organization Infrastructure | 179.000 | 161.000 | 179.000 | (18.000) | 161.000 |
| Military Construction, Army Reserve | 42.963 | 42.963 | 79.895 | 30.553 | 73.516 |
| Military Construction, Naval Reserve | 7.920 | 19.655 | 7.920 | 11.135 | 19.055 |
| Military Construction, Air Force Reserve | 27.002 | 31.502 | 35.132 | 9.230 | 36.232 |
| Military Construction, Army National Guard | 18.480 | 72.537 | 148.586 | 116.322 | 134.802 |
| Military Construction, Air National Guard | 85.647 | 118.267 | 160.807 | 78.570 | 164.217 |
| Foreign Currency Fluctuations, Construction | - | - | - | - | - |
| Base Realignment and Closure Account | 3,897.892 | 3,897.892 | 3,799.192 | - | 3,897.892 |
| **Total Military Construction** | 6,572.774 | 6,878.840 | 6,690.261 | 289.614 | 6,862.388 |
| Family Housing, Army | 43.500 | 126.400 | 66.552 | 73.156 | 116.656 |
| Family Housing Support, Army | 1,337.596 | 1,333.596 | 1,337.596 | - | 1,337.596 |
| Family Housing, Navy and Marine Corps | 465.755 | 531.289 | 486.247 | 56.944 | 522.699 |
| Family Housing Support, Navy and Marine Corps | 1,048.329 | 1,045.329 | 1,048.329 | | 1,048.329 |
| Family Housing, Air Force | 249.003 | 294.503 | 287.965 | 49.300 | 298.303 |
| Family Housing Support, Air Force | 849.213 | 846.213 | 849.213 | | 849.213 |
| Family Housing, Defense-wide | 25.772 | 25.772 | 25.772 | - | 25.772 |
| Family Housing Support, Defense-wide | 30.467 | 40.467 | 30.467 | 10.000 | 40.467 |
| Homeowners Assistance Fund, Defense | 75.586 | 75.586 | 75.586 | - | 75.586 |
| Sec 2809-Authority to convey Family Housing | - | | 5.000 | | - |
| **Total Family Housing** | 4,125.221 | 4,319.155 | 4,212.727 | 189.400 | 4,314.621 |

## FISCAL YEAR 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

(Dollars in Thousands)

| Location | Service | Installation | Project Title | FY 1996 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 Alabama | Army | Ft. Rucker | Ammunition Supply Point | 0 | 5,900 | 0 | 5,900 |
| 2 Alabama | Army | Redstone Arsenal | Hypervelocity Ballistic Range Facility | 0 | 5,000 | 0 | 5,000 |
| 3 Alabama | Air Force | Maxwell AFB | Child Development Center Complex | 3,700 | 3,700 | 3,700 | 3,700 |
| 4 Alabama | Air Force | Maxwell AFB | Computer Software Facility | 0 | 0 | 1,500 | 1,500 |
| 5 Alabama | Section 6 Schools | Maxwell AFB | Add/Alter Maxwell Elementary School | 5,479 | 5,479 | 5,479 | 5,479 |
| 6 Alabama | Defense Medical Facilities Office | Maxwell AFB | Ambulatory Healthcare Center (Phase I) | 0 | 0 | 10,000 | 10,000 |
| 7 Alabama | Defense Logistics Agency | Defense Dist Anniston | Vehicle Storage Shelter | 3,550 | 3,550 | 3,550 | 3,550 |
| 8 Alabama | Air National Guard | Birmingham Mun Airport (ANG) | Alter KC-135 Aircraft Shops | 4,400 | 4,400 | 4,400 | 4,400 |
| 9 Alabama | Air National Guard | Dannelly Field (ANG) | Fire Station | 1,445 | 1,445 | 1,445 | 1,445 |
| 10 Alabama | Army Reserve | USARC Jasper | Add/Alter USARC/OMS/AMSA | 2,500 | 2,500 | 2,500 | 2,500 |
| 11 Alabama | Air Force Reserve | Maxwell AFB | Composite Maintenance Shops | 3,608 | 3,608 | 3,608 | 3,608 |
| 12 Alaska | Air Force | Eielson AFB | Alter Dormitory | 3,850 | 3,850 | 3,850 | 3,850 |
| 13 Alaska | Air Force | Eielson AFB | Boiler Rehabilitation | 0 | 0 | 4,000 | 4,000 |
| 14 Alaska | Air Force | Elmendorf AFB | Visiting Officers Quarters | 7,350 | 7,350 | 7,350 | 7,350 |
| 15 Alaska | Air Force | Elmendorf AFB | MILSTAR Communications Ground Terminal | 850 | 850 | 850 | 850 |
| 16 Alaska | Air Force | Elmendorf AFB | Repair Airfield Taxiway | 900 | 900 | 900 | 900 |
| 17 Alaska | Air Force | Tin City LRRS | Aboveground Fuel Tanks | 2,500 | 2,500 | 2,500 | 2,500 |
| 18 Alaska | Defense Medical Facilities Office | Elmendorf AFB | Hospital Replacement (Phase IV) | 28,100 | 28,100 | 28,100 | 28,100 |
| 19 Alaska | Air National Guard | Eielson AFB | Aircraft Engine Shop | 0 | 0 | 2,550 | 2,550 |
| 20 Alaska | Air National Guard | Eielson AFB | Base Engineering Maintenance Facility | 0 | 0 | 4,400 | 4,400 |
| 21 Alaska | Army Reserve | Ft. Wainwright | USARS/OMS/STORAGE | 4,779 | 4,779 | 4,779 | 4,779 |
| 22 Arizona | Army | Ft. Huachuca | Whole Barracks Complex Renewal | 16,000 | 16,000 | 16,000 | 16,000 |
| 23 Arizona | Army | Ft. Huachuca | Child Development Center | 0 | 2,550 | 0 | 0 |
| 24 Arizona | Air Force | Davis-Monthan AFB | Dormitory | 3,800 | 3,800 | 3,800 | 3,800 |
| 25 Arizona | Air Force | Davis-Monthan AFB | Alter Aircraft Corrosion Control Facility | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| 26 Arizona | Air Force | Luke AFB | Dormitory | 5,200 | 5,200 | 5,200 | 5,200 |
| 27 Arizona | Defense Medical Facilities Office | Luke AFB | Add/Alter Hospital Life Safety Upgrade | 8,100 | 8,100 | 8,100 | 8,100 |
| 28 Arizona | Air National Guard | Tucson IAP | Add/Alter Aircraft Spt. Equipment Shop | 600 | 600 | 600 | 600 |
| 29 Arizona | Army National Guard | Papago Military Reservation (Phoenix) | Medical Facility | 0 | 1,084 | 0 | 1,084 |
| 30 Arkansas | Air Force | Little Rock AFB | Upgrade Sanitary Sewer System | 2,500 | 2,500 | 2,500 | 2,500 |
| 31 Arkansas | Chemical Demilitarization | Pine Bluff Arsenal | Ammunition Demilitarization Fac (Phase II) | 40,000 | 40,000 | 0 | 0 |
| 32 Arkansas | Army National Guard | Camp Robinson | Military Ops in Urban Terrain Facility | 0 | 0 | 2,853 | 0 |
| 33 Arkansas | Air National Guard | Little Rock AFB | Base Supply Complex | 0 | 0 | 4,800 | 4,800 |
| 34 California | Army | Ft. Irwin | Consolidated Maintenance Facility | 15,500 | 15,500 | 15,500 | 15,500 |
| 35 California | Army | Ft. Irwin | National Training Center Airfield (Phase II) | 0 | 10,000 | 0 | 10,000 |
| 36 California | Army | Presidio, San Francisco | Regional Sewer System | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 |
| 37 California | Navy | MCB Camp Pendleton | Sensitive Compartmented Info Facility Add | 2,246 | 2,246 | 2,246 | 2,246 |
| 38 California | Navy | MCB Camp Pendleton | Child Development Center | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 |

## FISCAL YEAR 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

(Dollars in Thousands)

| | Location | Service | Installation | Project Title | FY 1996 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 39 | California | Navy | MCB Camp Pendleton | Bachelor Enlisted Quarters | 11,940 | 11,940 | 11,940 | 11,940 |
| 40 | California | Navy | MCB Camp Pendleton | Water Distribution System | 1,410 | 1,410 | 1,410 | 1,410 |
| 41 | California | Navy | MCB Camp Pendleton | Tactical Vehicle Maintenance Facility | 1,088 | 1,088 | 1,088 | 1,088 |
| 42 | California | Navy | MCB Camp Pendleton | Multi-Purpose Machine Gun Range | 3,800 | 3,800 | 3,800 | 3,800 |
| 43 | California | Navy | MCB Camp Pendleton | Physical Fitness Center | 4,100 | 4,100 | 4,100 | 4,100 |
| 44 | California | Navy | NCCOSC RDT&E Div, San Diego | Test Facility Demolition | 3,170 | 3,170 | 3,170 | 3,170 |
| 45 | California | Navy | MCAGCC Twentynine Palms | Infantry Squad Battle Course | 2,490 | 2,490 | 2,490 | 2,490 |
| 46 | California | Navy | NAWCWD Point Mugu | Child Development Center | 1,300 | 1,300 | 1,300 | 1,300 |
| 47 | California | Navy | NAWCWD China Lake | Industrial Wastewater Collect /Treatment Fac | 3,700 | 3,700 | 3,700 | 3,700 |
| 48 | California | Navy | Naval Station, San Diego | Oily Waste Collection and Treatment Facility | 19,960 | 19,960 | 19,960 | 19,960 |
| 49 | California | Navy | NAS North Island | Controlled Industrial Facility | 42,500 | 42,500 | 42,500 | 42,500 |
| 50 | California | Navy | NAS North Island | Berthing Wharf | 56,650 | 56,650 | 56,650 | 56,650 |
| 51 | California | Navy | NAS Lemoore | Jet Engine Test Cell | 7,600 | 7,600 | 7,600 | 7,600 |
| 52 | California | Navy | Port Hueneme NCBC | Bachelor Enlisted Quarters (Phase I) | 0 | 16,700 | 0 | 9,000 |
| 53 | California | Air Force | Beale AFB | Landfill Closure | 7,500 | 7,500 | 7,500 | 7,500 |
| 54 | California | Air Force | Edwards AFB | Dormitory | 10,600 | 10,600 | 10,600 | 10,600 |
| 55 | California | Air Force | Edwards AFB | Add/Alter F-22 Engineering Test Facility | 12,100 | 12,100 | 12,100 | 12,100 |
| 56 | California | Air Force | Edwards AFB | Add/Alter Anechoic Chamber | 11,100 | 11,100 | 11,100 | 11,100 |
| 57 | California | Air Force | Travis AFB | Dormitories | 10,500 | 10,500 | 10,500 | 10,500 |
| 58 | California | Air Force | Travis AFB | Dormitory | 6,400 | 6,400 | 6,400 | 6,400 |
| 59 | California | Air Force | Travis AFB | Squadron Operations/Aircraft Maintenance Unit | 7,400 | 7,400 | 7,400 | 7,400 |
| 60 | California | Air Force | Travis AFB | KC-10 Add to Flight Simulator Facility | 2,400 | 2,400 | 2,400 | 2,400 |
| 61 | California | Air Force | Vandenberg AFB | Fire Station | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| 62 | California | Air Force | Vandenberg AFB | SLFI - Chemical Test and Analysis Lab | 4,000 | 4,000 | 4,000 | 4,000 |
| 63 | California | Defense Logistics Agency | DFSC, Point Mugu | Fuel Storage | 750 | 750 | 750 | 750 |
| 64 | California | Defense Logistics Agency | Defense Dist Stockton | General Purpose Whse Replacement | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 |
| 65 | California | Defense Medical Facilities Office | MCB Camp Pendleton | Environmental Health/Industrial Hygiene | 1,700 | 1,700 | 1,700 | 1,700 |
| 66 | California | Defense Medical Facilities Office | Vandenberg AFB | Life Safety/Seismic/Utility Upgrade | 5,700 | 5,700 | 5,700 | 5,700 |
| 67 | California | Defense Medical Facilities Office | Ft. Irwin | Ambulatory Healthcare Clinic | 6,900 | 6,900 | 6,900 | 6,900 |
| 68 | California | Special Operations | Camp Pendleton | SOF Training Complex | 5,200 | 5,200 | 5,200 | 5,200 |
| 69 | California | Air National Guard | Sepulveda ANG Station | Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks | 320 | 320 | 320 | 320 |
| 70 | California | Air National Guard | Sepulveda ANG Annex (Van Nuys) | Supply and Civil Engineer Complex | 0 | 1,800 | 0 | 1,800 |
| 71 | California | Army Reserve | Parks RFTA | Battle Projection Center | 5,868 | 5,868 | 5,868 | 5,868 |
| 72 | California | Air Force Reserve | March ARB | Fire Training Facility | 1,550 | 1,550 | 1,550 | 1,550 |
| 73 | Colorado | Army | Ft. Carson | Sanitary Sewer Line | 1,750 | 1,750 | 1,750 | 1,750 |
| 74 | Colorado | Army | Ft. Carson | Whole Barracks Renewal (Phase I) | 0 | 20,000 | 0 | 20,000 |
| 75 | Colorado | Army | Ft. Carson | Sewer Treatment Plant | 9,100 | 9,100 | 9,100 | 9,100 |
| 76 | Colorado | Air Force | Peterson AFB | Add/Alter Dormitory | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 |
| 77 | Colorado | Air Force | Peterson AFB | Fire Station | 1,390 | 1,390 | 1,390 | 1,390 |

## FISCAL YEAR 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

(Dollars in Thousands)

| | Location | Service | Installation | Project Title | FY 1996 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 78 | Colorado | Air Force | USAF Academy | Upgrade Facilities Heating System | 4,950 | 4,950 | 4,950 | 4,950 |
| 79 | Colorado | Air Force | USAF Academy | Child Development Center | 4,200 | 4,200 | 4,200 | 4,200 |
| 80 | Colorado | Air Force | USAF Academy | Sailplane Hangar | 3,724 | 3,724 | 0 | 3,724 |
| 81 | Colorado | Air Force | Buckley ANGB | Troop Support Facilities | 5,500 | 5,500 | 5,500 | 5,500 |
| 82 | Colorado | Air National Guard | Buckley ANGB | Upgrade Heating Systems | 950 | 950 | 950 | 950 |
| 83 | Colorado | Air National Guard | Buckley ANGB | Base Engineer Pavements and Grounds Facility | 450 | 450 | 450 | 450 |
| 84 | Colorado | Air Force Reserve | Peterson AFB | Composite Maintenance Facility | 0 | 0 | 3,150 | 0 |
| 85 | Delaware | Air Force | Dover AFB | C-5 Sqd Operations/ACFT Maint | 5,500 | 5,500 | 5,500 | 5,500 |
| 86 | Delaware | Defense Logistics Agency | DFSC, Dover AFB | Replace Hydrant Fuel System | 15,554 | 15,554 | 15,554 | 15,554 |
| 87 | Delaware | Defense Medical Facilities Office | Dover AFB | Life Safety Upgrade | 4,400 | 4,400 | 4,400 | 4,400 |
| 88 | Delaware | Air National Guard | New Castle County AP | Fire Station | 0 | 0 | 2,300 | 0 |
| 89 | District of Columbia | Army | Ft. McNair | Whole Barracks Complex Renewal | 5,500 | 5,500 | 5,500 | 5,500 |
| 90 | District of Columbia | Army | Ft. McNair | National Defense Univ Fac Renovation (Phase I) | 8,000 | 8,000 | 8,000 | 8,000 |
| 91 | District of Columbia | Army | Walter Reed Medical Center | Fitness Center | 0 | 0 | 4,300 | 0 |
| 92 | District of Columbia | Air Force | Bolling AFB | Alter Dormitory | 6,500 | 6,500 | 6,500 | 6,500 |
| 93 | District of Columbia | Air Force | Bolling AFB | Honor Guard Dormitory | 5,600 | 5,600 | 5,600 | 5,600 |
| 94 | District of Columbia | Defense Intelligence Agency | Bolling AFB | Boiler DIAC | 498 | 498 | 498 | 498 |
| 95 | District of Columbia | Defense Intelligence Agency | Bolling AFB | Parking DIAC | 1,245 | 1,245 | 1,245 | 0 |
| 96 | Florida | Navy | NTTC Corry Station | Child Development Center | 2,565 | 2,565 | 2,565 | 2,565 |
| 97 | Florida | Navy | Naval School EOD, Eglin AFB | Explosive Ordnance Disposal Trng Complex | 14,200 | 14,200 | 14,200 | 14,200 |
| 98 | Florida | Navy | Naval School EOD, Eglin AFB | Underwater Ordnance Disposal Trng Fac | 1,950 | 1,950 | 1,950 | 1,950 |
| 99 | Florida | Air Force | Eglin AFB | Repair Runway | 6,200 | 6,200 | 6,200 | 6,200 |
| 100 | Florida | Air Force | Eglin AFB | Upgrade Dormitory | 0 | 7,300 | 8,300 | 7,300 |
| 101 | Florida | Air Force | Tyndall AFB | Fire Training Facility | 1,200 | 1,200 | 1,200 | 1,200 |
| 102 | Florida | Air Force | Cape Canaveral AFS | Fire Training Facility | 1,600 | 1,600 | 1,600 | 1,600 |
| 103 | Florida | Defense Logistics Agency | DFSC, Eglin AFB | SOF Fuel Storage | 2,400 | 2,400 | 2,400 | 2,400 |
| 104 | Florida | Special Operations | Eglin AFB | SOF Squadron Operations/AMU | 2,400 | 2,400 | 2,400 | 2,400 |
| 105 | Florida | Special Operations | Eglin Aux Field 9 | SOF Benson Tanks Storage Facility | 1,550 | 1,550 | 1,550 | 1,550 |
| 106 | Florida | Special Operations | Eglin Aux Field 9 | SOF Squadron Ops/AMU MH-53 | 7,100 | 7,100 | 7,100 | 7,100 |
| 107 | Florida | Special Operations | Eglin Aux Field 9 | SOF Helicopter Hangar | 5,500 | 5,500 | 5,500 | 5,500 |
| 108 | Florida | Army National Guard | Camp Blanding | Water Distribution System Upgrade | 0 | 4,200 | 4,200 | 4,200 |
| 109 | Florida | Army National Guard | Camp Blanding | Wastewater Treatment Plant (Phase II) | 0 | 5,300 | 5,300 | 5,300 |
| 110 | Georgia | Army | Ft. Benning | Whole Barracks Complex Renewal | 33,000 | 33,000 | 33,000 | 33,000 |
| 111 | Georgia | Army | Ft. Benning | Close Combat Tactical Trainer Building | 4,900 | 4,900 | 4,900 | 4,900 |
| 112 | Georgia | Army | Ft. Gordon | Battalion Headquarters | 3,150 | 3,150 | 3,150 | 3,150 |
| 113 | Georgia | Army | Ft. Gordon | General Purpose Warehouse | 2,600 | 2,600 | 2,600 | 2,600 |
| 114 | Georgia | Army | Ft. Stewart | Deployment Staging Area | 8,400 | 8,400 | 8,400 | 8,400 |
| 115 | Georgia | Navy | Marine Corps Logistics Base, Albany | Child Development Center (Phase II) | 0 | 1,300 | 0 | 0 |
| 116 | Georgia | Navy | Strategic Weapons Facility, LANT | Security Force Facility | 2,450 | 2,450 | 2,450 | 2,450 |

## FISCAL YEAR 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

(Dollars in Thousands)

| | Location | Service | Installation | Project Title | FY 1996 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 117 | Georgia | Air Force | Moody AFB | Upgrade Storm Drainage System | 690 | 690 | 690 | 690 |
| 118 | Georgia | Air Force | Moody AFB | Child Development Center | 0 | 3,800 | 0 | 0 |
| 119 | Georgia | Air Force | Moody AFB | C-130 Squadron Operations/AMU | 3,200 | 3,200 | 3,200 | 3,200 |
| 120 | Georgia | Air Force | Moody AFB | Alter Dormitory | 0 | 2,500 | 0 | 0 |
| 121 | Georgia | Air Force | Moody AFB | C-130 Aerial Delivery Facility | 4,600 | 4,600 | 4,600 | 4,600 |
| 122 | Georgia | Air Force | Moody AFB | C-130 Aircraft Washrack Facility | 1,700 | 1,700 | 1,700 | 1,700 |
| 123 | Georgia | Air Force | Moody AFB | Control Tower | 2,700 | 2,700 | 2,700 | 2,700 |
| 124 | Georgia | Air Force | Moody AFB | Repair and Extend Runway | 0 | 0 | 12,300 | 12,300 |
| 125 | Georgia | Air Force | Robins AFB | JSTARS Aircraft Fuel System Maintenance Dock | 6,900 | 6,900 | 6,900 | 6,900 |
| 126 | Georgia | Air Force | Robins AFB | Upgrade Dormitory (Phase I) | 0 | 0 | 11,000 | 5,500 |
| 127 | Georgia | Defense Medical Facilities Office | Ft. Benning | Life Safety Upgrade | 5,600 | 5,600 | 5,600 | 5,600 |
| 128 | Georgia | Section 6 Schools | Ft. Benning | Faith Middle School Addition | 1,116 | 1,116 | 1,116 | 1,116 |
| 129 | Georgia | Air National Guard | Savannah IAP | Alter Aircraft Maintenance Shops | 1,300 | 1,300 | 1,300 | 1,300 |
| 130 | Georgia | Air National Guard | Glynn County ANGS (Brunswick) | Upgrade Communication Squadron Complex | 0 | 5,000 | 0 | 3,000 |
| 131 | Georgia | Air National Guard | Glynn County ANGS (Brunswick) | Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks | 320 | 320 | 320 | 320 |
| 132 | Georgia | Air National Guard | Hunter ANG Station No. 2 | Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks | 400 | 400 | 400 | 400 |
| 133 | Hawaii | Army | Schofield Barracks | Whole Barracks Complex Renewal (Phase I) | 0 | 15,000 | 35,000 | 30,000 |
| 134 | Hawaii | Navy | Naval SUBASE Pearl Harbor | Berthing Pier | 22,500 | 22,500 | 22,500 | 22,500 |
| 135 | Hawaii | Navy | NAVCAMS EASTPAC, Honolulu | Fire Protection System | 1,980 | 1,980 | 1,980 | 1,980 |
| 136 | Hawaii | Navy | Intel Center Pacific, Pearl Harbor | Operations Building Alterations | 2,200 | 2,200 | 2,200 | 2,200 |
| 137 | Hawaii | Air Force | Hickam AFB | Repair Airfield Pavements | 4,550 | 4,550 | 4,550 | 4,550 |
| 138 | Hawaii | Air Force | Hickam AFB | Alter Dormitory | 3,100 | 3,100 | 3,100 | 3,100 |
| 139 | Hawaii | Air Force | Hickam AFB | Alter Transient Dormitory | 3,050 | 3,050 | 3,050 | 3,050 |
| 140 | Idaho | Air Force | Mountain Home AFB | Idaho Training Range (North Site) | 8,000 | 8,000 | 0 | 0 |
| 141 | Idaho | Air Force | Mountain Home AFB | Large Aircraft Maintenance Hangar | 0 | 0 | 8,000 | 8,000 |
| 142 | Idaho | Air Force | Mountain Home AFB | Upgrade Storm Drainage System | 800 | 800 | 800 | 800 |
| 143 | Idaho | Air Force | Mountain Home AFB | Wastewater Treatment and Disposal Plant | 9,850 | 9,850 | 9,850 | 9,850 |
| 144 | Idaho | Air Force | Mountain Home AFB | Avionics Shop | 0 | 0 | 4,900 | 0 |
| 145 | Idaho | Air Force | Mountain Home AFB | Base Civil Engineering Warehouse | 0 | 0 | 1,800 | 0 |
| 146 | Idaho | Air National Guard | Boise Air Term (Gowen Field) | Remove Underground Fuel Storage Tanks | 320 | 320 | 320 | 320 |
| 147 | Idaho | Air National Guard | Boise Air Term (Gowen Field) | Maint Hangar Upgrade | 0 | 0 | 4,000 | 4,000 |
| 148 | Illinois | Navy | NTC Great Lakes | Uniform Issue Building | 12,440 | 12,440 | 12,440 | 12,440 |
| 149 | Illinois | Air Force | Scott AFB | Dormitory | 8,000 | 8,000 | 8,000 | 8,000 |
| 150 | Illinois | Air Force | Scott AFB | Global Reach Planning Center Visiting Quarters | 4,700 | 4,700 | 4,700 | 4,700 |
| 151 | Illinois | Army National Guard | ARNG Marseilles Training Area | TRNG Site, Util Upgrade | 1,350 | 1,350 | 1,350 | 1,350 |
| 152 | Illinois | Air National Guard | Greater Peoria Airport (ANG) | Add to Aircraft Parking Apron | 630 | 630 | 630 | 630 |
| 153 | Illinois | Air National Guard | Greater Peoria Airport (ANG) | Add/Alter Squadron Operations Facility | 970 | 970 | 970 | 970 |
| 154 | Illinois | Air National Guard | Greater Peoria Airport (ANG) | Add to Aircraft Maintenance Hangar | 1,200 | 1,200 | 1,200 | 1,200 |
| 155 | Illinois | Air National Guard | Greater Peoria Airport (ANG) | Alter Aerial Port Training Facility | 710 | 710 | 710 | 710 |

## FISCAL YEAR 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

(Dollars in Thousands)

| | Location | Service | Installation | Project Title | FY 1996 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 156 | Illinois | Air National Guard | Greater Peoria Airport (ANG) | Aircraft Deicing Facility | 400 | 400 | 400 | 400 |
| 157 | Illinois | Air National Guard | Greater Peoria Airport (ANG) | Alter Aircraft Maintenance Shops | 1,450 | 1,450 | 1,450 | 1,450 |
| 158 | Illinois | Army Reserve | Ft Sheridan | Add/Alter RTS-Intelligence | 3,300 | 3,300 | 3,300 | 3,300 |
| 159 | Illinois | Army Reserve | USARC Arlington Heights | Battle Projection Center | 4,860 | 4,860 | 4,860 | 4,860 |
| 160 | Indiana | Navy | Crane Naval Surface Warfare Center | Hydroacoustics Test Complex | 0 | 3,300 | 0 | 3,300 |
| 161 | Indiana | Army National Guard | Stout Field (Indianapolis) | Combined Support Maintenance Shop | 0 | 10,846 | 10,846 | 10,846 |
| 162 | Indiana | Air National Guard | Hulman Field (Terre Haute) | Base Civil Engineer Maintenance Complex | 0 | 4,100 | 0 | 4,100 |
| 163 | Indiana | Air Force Reserve | Grissom AF Reserve Base | Fire Station | 0 | 4,250 | 0 | 4,250 |
| 164 | Indiana | Air Force Reserve | Grissom AF Reserve Base | Fire Training Facility | 1,500 | 1,500 | 1,500 | 1,500 |
| 165 | Iowa | Air National Guard | Sioux City Airport (185th ANG) | Runway Upgrade | 0 | 4,050 | 4,000 | 4,000 |
| 166 | Iowa | Air National Guard | Sioux City Gateway AP | Access Taxiway | 0 | 0 | 750 | 0 |
| 167 | Kansas | Army | Ft. Riley | Whole Barracks Renewal (Phase I) | 0 | 0 | 15,300 | 7,000 |
| 168 | Kansas | Air Force | McConnell AFB | Dormitory | 0 | 6,500 | 0 | 0 |
| 169 | Kansas | Air Force | McConnell AFB | Alter Dormitory | 2,200 | 2,200 | 2,200 | 2,200 |
| 170 | Kansas | Air Force | McConnell AFB | Deicing Pad | 1,150 | 1,150 | 1,150 | 1,150 |
| 171 | Kansas | Air Force | McConnell AFB | KC-135 Squadron Operations/AMU | 6,100 | 6,100 | 6,100 | 6,100 |
| 172 | Kansas | Army National Guard | Ft. Leavenworth | Corps Sim Center (Phase II) | 4,400 | 4,400 | 4,400 | 4,400 |
| 173 | Kansas | Air National Guard | McConnell AFB | Alter B-1 Squadron Operations Facility | 800 | 800 | 800 | 800 |
| 174 | Kansas | Air National Guard | McConnell AFB | B-1 Fuel Maintenance Hangar | 0 | 0 | 7,900 | 7,900 |
| 175 | Kansas | Air National Guard | Forbes Field | Medical Training Communications Facility | 0 | 0 | 5,200 | 5,200 |
| 176 | Kansas | Army Reserve | Olathe | Land Acquisition | 539 | 539 | 539 | 539 |
| 177 | Kansas | Army Reserve | USARC Topeka | USARC/OMS/AMSA | 6,487 | 6,487 | 6,487 | 6,487 |
| 178 | Kansas | Army Reserve | Wichita ARNG | HQ 89th ARCOM (Phase I) | 0 | 0 | 8,389 | 8,389 |
| 179 | Kansas | Air Force Reserve | McConnell AFB | KC-135 Operation/Training | 0 | 0 | 4,980 | 4,980 |
| 180 | Kentucky | Army | Ft. Campbell | Whole Barracks Renewal (Phase I) | 0 | 0 | 10,000 | 10,000 |
| 181 | Kentucky | Army | Ft. Knox | Close Combat Tactical Trainer Building | 5,600 | 5,600 | 5,600 | 5,600 |
| 182 | Kentucky | Army National Guard | W. Kentucky Training Range | Training Complex | 0 | 0 | 4,656 | 4,656 |
| 183 | Louisiana | Air Force | Barksdale AFB | B-52 Training Complex | 2,500 | 2,500 | 2,500 | 2,500 |
| 184 | Louisiana | Defense Agencies | Naval Support Activity, New Orleans | SOF Small Craft Breakwater | 0 | 730 | 0 | 0 |
| 185 | Louisiana | Defense Logistics Agency | DFSC, Barksdale AFB | Replace Hydrant Fuel System | 13,100 | 13,100 | 13,100 | 13,100 |
| 186 | Louisiana | Defense Medical Facilities Office | Barksdale AFB | Life Safety Upgrade | 4,100 | 4,100 | 4,100 | 4,100 |
| 187 | Louisiana | Army National Guard | Plaquemine | OMS (Rehab/Renovation) | 0 | 0 | 776 | 0 |
| 188 | Louisiana | Army National Guard | Ruston | OMS #2 | 0 | 0 | 1,638 | 1,638 |
| 189 | Louisiana | Naval Reserve | Joint Reserve Base, NAS New Orleans | Bachelor Enlisted Quarters Addition | 0 | 5,035 | 0 | 5,035 |
| 190 | Louisiana | Naval Reserve | Naval Support Activity New Orleans | Bachelor Enlisted Quarters | 0 | 6,100 | 0 | 6,100 |
| 191 | Maryland | Navy | Naval Academy, Annapolis | Bachelor Enlisted Quarters | 3,600 | 3,600 | 3,600 | 3,600 |
| 192 | Maryland | Air Force | Andrews AFB | Dormitory | 6,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 |
| 193 | Maryland | Air Force | Andrews AFB | Underground Fuel Storage Tanks | 6,886 | 6,886 | 6,886 | 6,886 |
| 194 | Maryland | Defense Medical Facilities Office | WRAIR, Forest Glen | Armed Forces Inst of Path Repository Add | 1,550 | 1,550 | 1,550 | 1,550 |

## FISCAL YEAR 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

(Dollars in Thousands)

| | Location | Service | Installation | Project Title | FY 1996 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 195 | Maryland | Defense Medical Facilities Office | WRAIR, Forest Glen | Army Institute of Research (Phase III) | 119,000 | 27,000 | 27,000 | 27,000 |
| 196 | Maryland | Defense Medical Facilities Office | Bethesda NNMC | Potable Water Line Replacement | 1,300 | 1,300 | 1,300 | 1,300 |
| 197 | Maryland | National Security Agency | Ft. Meade | SPL Steam Generation Plant | 632 | 632 | 632 | 632 |
| 198 | Maryland | National Security Agency | Ft. Meade | Friendship Airport Annex II Purchase | 14,800 | 14,800 | 14,800 | 14,800 |
| 199 | Maryland | National Security Agency | Ft. Meade | Critical Utilities Control (Phase I) | 3,301 | 3,301 | 3,301 | 3,301 |
| 200 | Maryland | Army National Guard | Camp Frettard | OMS | 0 | 0 | 2,700 | 2,700 |
| 201 | Massachusetts | Air National Guard | Barnes Mun Airport (ANG) | Vehicle Maintenance Complex | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| 202 | Massachusetts | Air National Guard | Worcester ANG Station | Paint and Refueling Vehicle Maint Bays | 350 | 350 | 350 | 350 |
| 203 | Massachusetts | Naval Reserve | MCRTC Camp Edwards | Rescen/Combat Vehicle Maintenance Fac Addition | 3,130 | 3,130 | 3,130 | 3,130 |
| 204 | Michigan | Air National Guard | Alpena City RAP | Airfield Pavements Additions | 0 | 0 | 6,400 | 6,400 |
| 205 | Michigan | Air National Guard | Selfridge ANGB | Upgrade Heating Systems | 2,900 | 2,900 | 2,900 | 2,900 |
| 206 | Michigan | Air National Guard | Selfridge ANGB | Sanitary Sewer Upgrade | 0 | 0 | 520 | 0 |
| 207 | Minnesota | Air National Guard | Minneapolis St. Paul IAP | Upgrade Heating System | 780 | 780 | 780 | 780 |
| 208 | Minnesota | Air National Guard | Minneapolis St. Paul IAP | Aircraft Deicing Facility | 400 | 400 | 400 | 400 |
| 209 | Minnesota | Air National Guard | Camp Ripley | CSMS (Phase II) | 0 | 0 | 8,150 | 0 |
| 210 | Mississippi | Air Force | Columbus AFB | Fire Training Facility | 1,150 | 1,150 | 1,150 | 1,150 |
| 211 | Mississippi | Air Force | Keesler AFB | Dormitory | 0 | 8,300 | 0 | 0 |
| 212 | Mississippi | Air Force | Keesler AFB | Upgrade Student Dormitory | 6,500 | 6,500 | 6,500 | 6,500 |
| 213 | Mississippi | Army National Guard | Camp Shelby | Multipurpose Range Complex (Phase I) | 0 | 0 | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| 214 | Mississippi | Army National Guard | Gulfport | AVCRAD Equipment Electronic Test Facility | 1,100 | 1,100 | 1,100 | 1,100 |
| 215 | Mississippi | Air National Guard | Gulfport-Biloxi RAP | Road Relocation | 0 | 0 | 10,200 | 5,100 |
| 216 | Mississippi | Air National Guard | Thompson Field | Add/Alter Communications Facility | 0 | 2,400 | 0 | 2,400 |
| 217 | Mississippi | Air National Guard | Key Field ANGB | Add/Alter Base Communications Facility | 0 | 1,500 | 0 | 1,500 |
| 218 | Missouri | Army | Ft. Leonard Wood | Child Development Center | 0 | 3,900 | 0 | 0 |
| 219 | Missouri | Air Force | Whiteman AFB | B-2 Aircraft Maintenance Docks/Hydrant Fuel Sys | 15,500 | 15,500 | 15,500 | 15,500 |
| 220 | Missouri | Air Force | Whiteman AFB | B-2 Add to ACFT Apron/Convoy Road/Taxiway | 1,500 | 1,500 | 1,500 | 1,500 |
| 221 | Missouri | Air Force | Whiteman AFB | B-2 Add to Flight Simulator Training Facility | 4,100 | 4,100 | 4,100 | 4,100 |
| 222 | Missouri | Air Force | Whiteman AFB | B-2 Add/Alter Dock Fire Protection | 3,500 | 3,500 | 3,500 | 3,500 |
| 223 | Missouri | Defense Mapping Agency | DMA Aerospace Center | Replace Destroyed/Damaged Fac w/Land Acq | 40,300 | 40,300 | 40,300 | 40,300 |
| 224 | Missouri | Army National Guard | Jefferson City | Multipurpose Baffle Range | 0 | 0 | 2,236 | 2,236 |
| 225 | Missouri | Air National Guard | Jefferson Barracks | Upgrade Sewer Systems | 0 | 0 | 2,700 | 2,700 |
| 226 | Montana | Army National Guard | Ft. Harrison | Training Site Improvements | 0 | 0 | 681 | 681 |
| 227 | Montana | Army National Guard | Ft. Harrison | Training Site Support Facility | 0 | 0 | 7,854 | 7,854 |
| 228 | Montana | Army National Guard | Regional Airport Helena | Army Aviation Support Facility | 0 | 0 | 12,506 | 12,506 |
| 229 | Nebraska | Army National Guard | Camp Ashland | Admin/Education/Medical/Supply Facility | 0 | 0 | 1,408 | 1,408 |
| 230 | Nebraska | Army National Guard | Hastings Training Range | Instructional Facility | 0 | 0 | 761 | 0 |
| 231 | Nevada | Air Force | Nellis AFB | Upgrade Storm Drainage System | 600 | 600 | 600 | 600 |
| 232 | Nevada | Air Force | Nellis AFB | Visiting Quarters | 9,900 | 9,900 | 9,900 | 9,900 |
| 233 | Nevada | Air Force | Nellis AFB | Transient Housing | 0 | 0 | 9,550 | 7,000 |

## FISCAL YEAR 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

(Dollars in Thousands)

| | Location | Service | Installation | Project Title | FY 1996 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 234 | Nevada | Army Reserve | Las Vegas | Armed Forces Reserve Center/OMS (Phase II) | 0 | 0 | 9,000 | 9,000 |
| 235 | New Hampshire | Army Reserve | Manchester | AMSA/OMS | 0 | 0 | 17,893 | 12,376 |
| 236 | New Jersey | Army | Picatinny Arsenal | Upgrade Electrical System (Phase II) | 0 | 5,500 | 0 | 5,500 |
| 237 | New Jersey | Navy | NAWCAD Lakehurst | Child Development Center | 1,700 | 1,700 | 1,700 | 1,700 |
| 238 | New Jersey | Air Force | McGuire AFB | Fire Training Facility | 1,600 | 1,600 | 1,600 | 1,600 |
| 239 | New Jersey | Air Force | McGuire AFB | Dining Facility | 0 | 5,000 | 0 | 0 |
| 240 | New Jersey | Air Force | McGuire AFB | KC-10 Squadron Operations/AMU | 7,600 | 7,600 | 7,600 | 7,600 |
| 241 | New Jersey | Air Force | McGuire AFB | Dormitory | 0 | 7,300 | 7,300 | 7,300 |
| 242 | New Jersey | Defense Logistics Agency | DFSC, McGuire AFB | Replace Hydrant Fuel System | 12,000 | 12,000 | 12,000 | 12,000 |
| 243 | New Jersey | Air National Guard | McGuire AFB | Fuel Cell and Corrosion Control Facility | 5,700 | 5,700 | 5,700 | 5,700 |
| 244 | New Jersey | Air National Guard | Warren Grove Range | Composite Range Operations Facility | 1,100 | 1,100 | 1,100 | 1,100 |
| 245 | New Jersey | Air National Guard | Atlantic City Airport (ANG) | Upgrade Sanitary and Water System | 650 | 650 | 650 | 650 |
| 246 | New Mexico | Army | WSMR - Stallion Range Center | Stallion Range Center Water Development | 0 | 2,050 | 0 | 2,050 |
| 247 | New Mexico | Air Force | Cannon AFB | Upgrade Storm Drainage System | 620 | 620 | 620 | 620 |
| 248 | New Mexico | Air Force | Cannon AFB | Wastewater Treatment and Disposal Plant | 9,800 | 9,800 | 9,800 | 9,800 |
| 249 | New Mexico | Air Force | Cannon AFB | Add/Alter Dormitory | 0 | 3,000 | 0 | 3,000 |
| 250 | New Mexico | Air Force | Holloman AFB | Academic Center | 0 | 0 | 6,000 | 6,000 |
| 251 | New Mexico | Air Force | Kirtland AFB | Upgrade Storm Drainage System | 1,500 | 1,500 | 1,500 | 1,500 |
| 252 | New Mexico | Air Force | Kirtland AFB | Upgrade Electrical Distribution System | 7,656 | 7,656 | 7,656 | 7,656 |
| 253 | New Mexico | Air National Guard | Kirtland AFB | Composite Engine and NDI Shop | 2,700 | 2,700 | 2,700 | 2,700 |
| 254 | New Mexico | Air National Guard | Kirtland AFB | LANTIRN Maintenance Facility | 620 | 620 | 620 | 620 |
| 255 | New Mexico | Air National Guard | Kirtland AFB | Aircraft Corrosion Control Facility | 1,800 | 1,800 | 1,800 | 1,800 |
| 256 | New Mexico | Air National Guard | Kirtland AFB | Alter Aircraft Maint Hangar and Shops | 900 | 900 | 900 | 900 |
| 257 | New York | Army | Ft. Drum | Shipping and Receiving Building | 0 | 2,650 | 0 | 0 |
| 258 | New York | Army | Ft. Drum | Anti-Armor Tracking and Live-Fire Range | 0 | 5,000 | 0 | 5,000 |
| 259 | New York | Army | Ft. Drum | Infantry Platoon Battle Course | 0 | 3,800 | 0 | 3,800 |
| 260 | New York | Army | USMA | Child Development Center | 0 | 8,300 | 0 | 8,300 |
| 261 | New York | Army | Watervliet ARS | Oil Runoff Containment Facility | 680 | 680 | 680 | 680 |
| 262 | New York | Air National Guard | Hancock Field (ANG) | Composite Medical Training Facility | 1,990 | 1,990 | 1,990 | 1,990 |
| 263 | New York | Air National Guard | Niagara Falls IAP | Upgrade Storm Water and Sanitary Sewer System | 400 | 400 | 400 | 400 |
| 264 | New York | Air National Guard | Niagara Falls IAP | Upgrade Runway Overrun | 1,950 | 1,950 | 1,950 | 1,950 |
| 265 | New York | Air National Guard | Stratton ANGB (Schenectady) | Maintenance Hangar and Shops | 0 | 10,000 | 0 | 10,000 |
| 266 | New York | Naval Reserve | NMCRC Buffalo | Reserve Training Building Addition | 3,836 | 3,836 | 3,836 | 3,836 |
| 267 | New York | Air Force Reserve | Niagara Falls ARS | Fuel System Maintenance Hangar | 4,895 | 4,895 | 4,895 | 4,895 |
| 268 | North Carolina | Army | Ft. Bragg | Staging Area Complex | 11,200 | 11,200 | 11,200 | 11,200 |
| 269 | North Carolina | Army | Ft. Bragg | Whole Barracks Complex Renewal | 18,500 | 18,500 | 18,500 | 18,500 |
| 270 | North Carolina | Navy | MCAS Cherry Point | Jet Engine Test Cell | 7,730 | 7,730 | 7,730 | 7,730 |
| 271 | North Carolina | Navy | MCAS Cherry Point | Missile Magazine | 1,650 | 1,650 | 1,650 | 1,650 |
| 272 | North Carolina | Navy | MCAS Cherry Point | Enclose Water Survival Training Tank | 2,050 | 2,050 | 2,050 | 2,050 |

## FISCAL YEAR 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

(Dollars in Thousands)

| | Location | Service | Installation | Project Title | FY 1996 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 273 | North Carolina | Navy | MCAS New River | Bachelor Enlisted Quarters | 14,650 | 14,650 | 14,650 | 14,650 |
| 274 | North Carolina | Navy | MCB Camp LeJeune | Bachelor Enlisted Quarters | 8,300 | 8,300 | 8,300 | 8,300 |
| 275 | North Carolina | Navy | MCB Camp LeJeune | Infantry Platoon Battle Course | 5,500 | 5,500 | 5,500 | 5,500 |
| 276 | North Carolina | Navy | MCB Camp LeJeune | Wastewater Treatment Plant (Phase II) | 45,500 | 45,500 | 45,500 | 45,500 |
| 277 | North Carolina | Air Force | Pope AFB | Underground Fuel Storage Tanks | 2,150 | 2,150 | 2,150 | 2,150 |
| 278 | North Carolina | Air Force | Pope AFB | C-130 Squadron Ops/AMU and Audiovisual Svs Ctr | 6,100 | 6,100 | 6,100 | 6,100 |
| 279 | North Carolina | Air Force | Seymour Johnson AFB | Upgrade Storm Drainage System | 830 | 830 | 830 | 830 |
| 280 | North Carolina | Air Force | Seymour-Johnson AFB | Visiting Officers Quarters | 0 | 2,000 | 0 | 0 |
| 281 | North Carolina | Air Force | Seymour-Johnson AFB | Dining Hall and Troop Issue Warehouse | 0 | 4,700 | 0 | 4,700 |
| 282 | North Carolina | Special Operations | Ft. Bragg | SOF Group Headquarters Building | 2,600 | 2,600 | 3,900 | 2,600 |
| 283 | North Carolina | Defense Agencies | Ft. Bragg | COSCOM Health Clinic | 0 | 13,200 | 0 | 13,200 |
| 284 | North Carolina | Defense Agencies | Ft. Bragg | SOF Barracks | 0 | 8,000 | 0 | 8,000 |
| 285 | North Carolina | Defense Agencies | Ft. Bragg | SOF Barracks | 0 | 0 | 5,500 | 0 |
| 286 | North Carolina | Air National Guard | Charlotte ANGB | Aeromedical Evacuation Training Facility | 0 | 1,900 | 0 | 1,900 |
| 287 | North Carolina | Army Reserve | Hickory | USARC | 2,713 | 2,713 | 2,713 | 2,713 |
| 288 | North Dakota | Air Force | Grand Forks AFB | Dormitory | 8,500 | 8,500 | 8,500 | 8,500 |
| 289 | North Dakota | Air Force | Grand Forks AFB | KC-135 Squadron Operations/AMU | 6,300 | 6,300 | 6,300 | 6,300 |
| 290 | North Dakota | Air Force | Minot AFB | Underground Fuel Storage Tanks | 1,550 | 1,550 | 1,550 | 1,550 |
| 291 | North Dakota | Army National Guard | Camp Grafton (Devils Lake) | Combined Support Maintenance and Paint Shop | 0 | 2,050 | 2,050 | 2,050 |
| 292 | Ohio | Air Force | Wright-Patterson AFB | Upgrade Electrical Distribution System | 4,100 | 4,100 | 4,100 | 4,100 |
| 293 | Ohio | Defense Finance & Accounting Svc | Columbus Center | DFAS Operations Facility (Phase I) | 72,403 | 37,400 | 37,400 | 37,400 |
| 294 | Ohio | Army National Guard | Rickenbacker ANGB | Barracks | 0 | 1,750 | 0 | 1,750 |
| 295 | Ohio | Air National Guard | Blue Ash ANG Station | Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks | 380 | 380 | 380 | 380 |
| 296 | Ohio | Air National Guard | Camp Perry ANG Station | Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks | 320 | 320 | 320 | 320 |
| 297 | Ohio | Air National Guard | Rickenbacker ANGB | Replace Underground Fuel Storage Tanks | 310 | 310 | 310 | 310 |
| 298 | Ohio | Air National Guard | Rickenbacker ANGB | Squadron Operations Building | 0 | 0 | 6,100 | 0 |
| 299 | Ohio | Air Force Reserve | Youngstown ARS | Add/Alter Electric Substation | 4,230 | 4,230 | 4,230 | 4,230 |
| 300 | Ohio | Air Force Reserve | Youngstown ARS | Upgrade Base Water Distribution System | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| 301 | Ohio | Air Force Reserve | Youngstown ARS | Construct Aircraft Parking Apron | 3,350 | 3,350 | 3,350 | 3,350 |
| 302 | Oklahoma | Army | Ft. Sill | Whole Barracks Complex Renewal | 0 | 8,000 | 0 | 8,000 |
| 303 | Oklahoma | Army | Ft. Sill | Central Vehicle Wash Facility | 6,300 | 6,300 | 6,300 | 6,300 |
| 304 | Oklahoma | Air Force | Altus AFB | Fire Training Facility | 1,200 | 1,200 | 1,200 | 1,200 |
| 305 | Oklahoma | Air Force | Altus AFB | Child Development Center | 0 | 4,000 | 3,600 | 3,600 |
| 306 | Oklahoma | Air Force | Tinker AFB | Add/Alter Dormitories | 5,100 | 5,100 | 5,100 | 5,100 |
| 307 | Oklahoma | Air Force | Tinker AFB | Corrosion Control Facility (B-2) (Phase I) | 0 | 0 | 11,400 | 6,000 |
| 308 | Oklahoma | Army National Guard | Ft. Sill | Organizational Maintenance Shop (MLRS) | 2,400 | 2,400 | 2,400 | 2,400 |
| 309 | Oklahoma | Air National Guard | Tulsa IAP | Composite Communications Facility | 1,900 | 1,900 | 1,900 | 1,900 |
| 310 | Oklahoma | Air National Guard | Will Rogers World Airport | Composite Fire Station | 1,950 | 1,950 | 1,950 | 1,950 |
| 311 | Oklahoma | Air National Guard | Will Rogers World Airport | Aerial Port Training Facility | 2,550 | 2,550 | 2,550 | 2,550 |

## FISCAL YEAR 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

**(Dollars in Thousands)**

| | Location | Service | Installation | Project Title | FY 1996 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 312 | Oklahoma | Air National Guard | Will Rogers World Airport | Petroleum Operations Facility | 400 | 400 | 400 | 400 |
| 313 | Oregon | Chemical Demilitarization | Umatilla Depot | Ammunition Demilitarization Facility (Phase II) | 55,000 | 55,000 | 0 | 0 |
| 314 | Oregon | Army National Guard | Camp Withycombe | CSMS | 0 | 0 | 4,769 | 4,769 |
| 315 | Oregon | Army National Guard | Salem | Airfield Operations Building | 0 | 0 | 2,972 | 2,972 |
| 316 | Oregon | Air National Guard | Klamath Falls | Operations/Training Facility | 0 | 0 | 4,600 | 4,600 |
| 317 | Pennsylvania | Navy | Philadelphia Naval Shipyard | Foundry Renovation and Modernization (Phase III) | 0 | 6,000 | 0 | 6,000 |
| 318 | Pennsylvania | Defense Logistics Agency | Def Dist New Cumberland - DDSP | Transport Control Facility | 4,600 | 4,600 | 4,600 | 4,600 |
| 319 | Pennsylvania | Special Operations | Olmstead Field, Harrisburg IAP | SOF Mobility Storage Warehouse | 1,200 | 1,200 | 1,200 | 1,200 |
| 320 | Pennsylvania | Special Operations | Olmstead Field, Harrisburg IAP | SOF Refueling Vehicle Shop | 443 | 443 | 443 | 443 |
| 321 | Pennsylvania | Army National Guard | Indiantown Gap Annville | Military Training/Barracks/Dining (Phase III) | 0 | 0 | 9,877 | 9,877 |
| 322 | Pennsylvania | Army National Guard | Scranton Regional Maintenance Facility | Regional Maintenance Shop | 0 | 3,320 | 0 | 3,320 |
| 323 | Pennsylvania | Air National Guard | Greater Pittsburgh IAP (ANG) | Fuel Systems Maintenance Facility | 5,332 | 5,332 | 5,332 | 5,332 |
| 324 | Rhode Island | Navy | Naval War College, Newport | Strategic Maritime Center (Phase II) | 0 | 0 | 18,000 | 0 |
| 325 | South Carolina | Army | Ft. Jackson | Whole Barracks Complex Renewal | 32,000 | 32,000 | 32,000 | 32,000 |
| 326 | South Carolina | Army | NWS Charleston | Army Strategic Maint Complex (Phase II) | 16,500 | 16,500 | 16,500 | 16,500 |
| 327 | South Carolina | Army | NWS Charleston | Wharf Additions | 9,200 | 9,200 | 9,200 | 9,200 |
| 328 | South Carolina | Navy | Beaufort MCAS | Bachelor Enlisted Quarters | 0 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 |
| 329 | South Carolina | Air Force | Shaw AFB | Upgrade Storm Drainage System | 1,300 | 1,300 | 1,300 | 1,300 |
| 330 | South Carolina | Air Force | Charleston AFB | Dormitory | 5,600 | 5,600 | 5,600 | 5,600 |
| 331 | South Carolina | Air Force | Charleston AFB | C-17 Squadron Operations/AMU | 5,600 | 5,600 | 5,600 | 5,600 |
| 332 | South Carolina | Air Force | Charleston AFB | C-17 Add to Flight Simulator Facility | 1,300 | 1,300 | 1,300 | 1,300 |
| 333 | South Carolina | Section 6 Schools | Ft. Jackson | Pierce Terrace Elem School Addition | 576 | 576 | 576 | 576 |
| 334 | South Carolina | Army National Guard | Eastover | Region C Leadership Brigade Facility | 0 | 0 | 15,229 | 15,229 |
| 335 | South Dakota | Air Force | Ellsworth AFB | Consolidated Admin. Support Complex | 0 | 0 | 7,800 | 7,800 |
| 336 | South Dakota | Army National Guard | Camp Rapid (Rapid City) | Combined Battalion Barracks/Mess/Admin. Area | 0 | 2,650 | 2,631 | 2,631 |
| 337 | South Dakota | Army National Guard | Rapid City | AASF Ramp | 0 | 0 | 3,100 | 0 |
| 338 | South Dakota | Air National Guard | Joe Foss Field (ANG) | Base Supply Complex | 4,000 | 4,000 | 4,000 | 4,000 |
| 339 | South Dakota | Air National Guard | Joe Foss Field (ANG) | Vehicle Maintenance and Storage Complex | 0 | 0 | 4,400 | 0 |
| 340 | Tennessee | Air Force | Arnold AFB | Upgrade Fire Protection Systems | 2,700 | 2,700 | 2,700 | 2,700 |
| 341 | Tennessee | Air Force | Arnold AFB | Upgrade Engine Test Facilities Refrigeration | 2,300 | 2,300 | 2,300 | 2,300 |
| 342 | Tennessee | Army National Guard | Johnson City | OMS/AMSA/VMF | 0 | 1,937 | 1,937 | 1,937 |
| 343 | Tennessee | Army National Guard | Tullahoma Training Site | Modified Record Fire Range | 0 | 2,623 | 0 | 2,623 |
| 344 | Tennessee | Air National Guard | McGhee Tyson Airport | PMEC School Training Quarters | 4,400 | 4,400 | 4,400 | 4,400 |
| 345 | Tennessee | Air National Guard | McGhee Tyson Airport | Squadron Operations Facility | 0 | 0 | 4,400 | 4,400 |
| 346 | Tennessee | Air National Guard | Memphis IAP | Add/Alter Security Police Operations Facility | 1,100 | 1,100 | 1,100 | 1,100 |
| 347 | Tennessee | Air National Guard | Memphis IAP | Add/Alter Base Engineer Maintenance Complex | 990 | 990 | 990 | 990 |
| 348 | Texas | Army | Ft. Bliss | Dining Facility | 0 | 4,900 | 0 | 4,900 |
| 349 | Texas | Army | Ft. Bliss | Child Development Center | 0 | 4,000 | 0 | 4,000 |
| 350 | Texas | Army | Ft. Bliss | Whole Barracks Complex Renewal | 48,000 | 48,000 | 48,000 | 48,000 |

## FISCAL YEAR 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

(Dollars in Thousands)

| | Location | Service | Installation | Project Title | FY 1996 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 351 | Texas | Army | Ft. Hood | Whole Barracks Complex Renewal | 17,500 | 17,500 | 17,500 | 17,500 |
| 352 | Texas | Army | Ft. Hood | Whole Barracks Renewal (Phase I) | 0 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 |
| 353 | Texas | Army | Ft. Sam Houston | IH-35 Overpass | 0 | 7,000 | 0 | 7,000 |
| 354 | Texas | Navy | Corpus Christi NAS | Bachelor Enlisted Quarters Expansion and Upgrade | 0 | 4,400 | 0 | 4,400 |
| 355 | Texas | Navy | Ingleside NS | Small Craft Berthing Pier | 0 | 2,640 | 0 | 2,640 |
| 356 | Texas | Navy | Kingsville NAS | Land Acquisition for Airfield Safety Clear Zones | 0 | 2,710 | 0 | 2,710 |
| 357 | Texas | Air Force | Dyess AFB | Add/Alter Dormitories | 0 | 5,400 | 5,400 | 5,400 |
| 358 | Texas | Air Force | Goodfellow AFB | Child Development Center Addition | 0 | 1,000 | 0 | 1,000 |
| 359 | Texas | Air Force | Kelly AFB | Wing Headquarters Facility | 3,244 | 3,244 | 3,244 | 3,244 |
| 360 | Texas | Air Force | Laughlin AFB | Fire Training Facility | 1,400 | 1,400 | 1,400 | 1,400 |
| 361 | Texas | Air Force | Randolph AFB | Fire Training Facility | 1,200 | 1,200 | 1,200 | 1,200 |
| 362 | Texas | Air Force | Randolph AFB | Upgrade Airfield Lighting | 1,900 | 1,900 | 1,900 | 1,900 |
| 363 | Texas | Air Force | Reese AFB | Fire Training Facility | 1,200 | 1,200 | 1,200 | 0 |
| 364 | Texas | Air Force | Sheppard AFB | Upgrade Airfield Lighting | 1,500 | 1,500 | 1,500 | 1,500 |
| 365 | Texas | Ballistic Missile Defense Org. | Ft. Bliss | Theater Area Defense Facilities | 13,600 | 13,600 | 13,600 | 13,600 |
| 366 | Texas | Defense Medical Facilities Office | Ft. Hood | Consolidated Troop Medical Clinic | 5,500 | 5,500 | 5,500 | 5,500 |
| 367 | Texas | Defense Medical Facilities Office | Lackland AFB | Add/Alter Emergency Department | 6,100 | 6,100 | 6,100 | 6,100 |
| 368 | Texas | Defense Medical Facilities Office | Reese AFB | Life Safety/Utility Upgrade | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 0 |
| 369 | Texas | Air National Guard | Kelly AFB | Upgrade Heating and Cooling Systems | 1,400 | 1,400 | 1,400 | 1,400 |
| 370 | Utah | Air Force | Hill AFB | Consolidated Range/Dormitory/Operations Facility | 0 | 0 | 8,900 | 8,900 |
| 371 | Utah | Air Force | Hill AFB | Depot Fire Protection | 0 | 0 | 3,700 | 0 |
| 372 | Utah | Army National Guard | Camp Williams (Lehi) | Training Site, Storage Facility | 340 | 340 | 340 | 340 |
| 373 | Utah | Army National Guard | Camp Williams (Lehi) | Region V Barracks | 0 | 5,197 | 0 | 0 |
| 374 | Utah | Army National Guard | Camp Williams (Lehi) | Replace/Upgrade Potable Water Distribution Sys | 0 | 800 | 800 | 800 |
| 375 | Vermont | Air National Guard | Burlington IAP | Add/Alter Operations/Training Facility | 0 | 0 | 2,650 | 2,650 |
| 376 | Virginia | Army | Ft. Eustis | Deployment Training Facility | 5,400 | 5,400 | 5,400 | 5,400 |
| 377 | Virginia | Army | Ft. Eustis | Whole Barracks Complex Renewal | 0 | 11,000 | 11,000 | 11,000 |
| 378 | Virginia | Army | Ft. Myer | Army Museum Land Acquisition | 17,000 | 17,000 | 0 | 0 |
| 379 | Virginia | Navy | Fleet & Indus Supply Cen, Williamsburg | Bachelor Enlisted Quarters | 6,140 | 6,140 | 6,140 | 6,140 |
| 380 | Virginia | Navy | Fleet & Indus Supply Cen, Williamsburg | Electrical Distribution Sys Alterations | 2,250 | 2,250 | 2,250 | 2,250 |
| 381 | Virginia | Navy | Henderson Hall, Arlington | Land Acquisition | 0 | 0 | 1,900 | 1,900 |
| 382 | Virginia | Navy | MCCDC Quantico | Ammunition Storage Facility | 3,500 | 3,500 | 3,500 | 3,500 |
| 383 | Virginia | Navy | Naval Hospital, Portsmouth | Bachelor Enlisted Quarters | 9,500 | 9,500 | 9,500 | 9,500 |
| 384 | Virginia | Navy | Naval Station, Norfolk | Oily Waste Collection System (Phase I) | 10,580 | 10,580 | 10,580 | 10,580 |
| 385 | Virginia | Navy | NWS Yorktown | Explosive Ordnance Disposal Ops Fac | 1,300 | 1,300 | 1,300 | 1,300 |
| 386 | Virginia | Navy | Norfolk Naval Station | Bachelor Enlisted Quarters | 0 | 18,000 | 0 | 0 |
| 387 | Virginia | Air Force | Langley AFB | Upgrade Storm Drainage System | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| 388 | Virginia | Defense Logistics Agency | Defense Dist Depot - DDNV | General Purpose Whse Replacement | 10,400 | 10,400 | 10,400 | 10,400 |
| 389 | Virginia | Defense Medical Facilities Office | Portsmouth Naval Hospital | Hospital Replacement (Phase VII) | 71,900 | 47,900 | 47,900 | 47,900 |

## FISCAL YEAR 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

(Dollars in Thousands)

| | Location | Service | Installation | Project Title | FY 1996 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 390 | Virginia | Defense Medical Facilities Office | Northwest NAVSECGRUACT | Medical/Dental Clinic | 4,300 | 4,300 | 4,300 | 4,300 |
| 391 | Virginia | Special Operations | Dam Neck | SOF Amphibious Operations Support Building | 4,500 | 4,500 | 4,500 | 4,500 |
| 392 | Virginia | Special Operations | Little Creek | SOF Operations Support Facility | 6,100 | 6,100 | 6,100 | 6,100 |
| 393 | Virginia | Army National Guard | Danville | Expand Squire Armory | 0 | 0 | 1,789 | 0 |
| 394 | Virginia | Air National Guard | Camp Pendleton Mil Res | Vehicle Maintenance Complex | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| 395 | Virginia | Air National Guard | Richmond IAP (Byrd Field) | Add/Alter F-16 AC Maintenance Complex | 2,700 | 2,700 | 2,700 | 2,700 |
| 396 | Washington | Army | Ft. Lewis | Tank Trail Erosion Mitigation (Yakima) | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| 397 | Washington | Army | Ft. Lewis | Consolidated Fuel Station | 3,400 | 3,400 | 3,400 | 3,400 |
| 398 | Washington | Army | Ft. Lewis | Multi-Purpose Training Range (Yakima) | 8,500 | 8,500 | 8,500 | 8,500 |
| 399 | Washington | Army | Ft. Lewis | Tactical Equipment Shop | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 |
| 400 | Washington | Army | Ft. Lewis | Rail Spur and Tank Trails (Yakima) | 3,200 | 3,200 | 3,200 | 3,200 |
| 404 | Washington | Navy | NUWC Division, Keyport | Metal Treatment Facility | 5,300 | 5,300 | 5,300 | 5,300 |
| 401 | Washington | Navy | Puget Sound NSY Bremerton | Fleet Support Facilities | 6,870 | 6,870 | 6,870 | 6,870 |
| 402 | Washington | Navy | Puget Sound Naval Shipyard | Physical Fitness Center | 0 | 10,400 | 10,400 | 10,400 |
| 403 | Washington | Navy | Puget Sound NSY Bremerton | Metal Preparation Fac Improvements | 2,600 | 2,600 | 2,600 | 2,600 |
| 405 | Washington | Air Force | Fairchild AFB | Alter Dormitories | 7,500 | 7,500 | 7,500 | 7,500 |
| 406 | Washington | Air Force | Fairchild AFB | Dormitory | 0 | 8,200 | 0 | 8,200 |
| 407 | Washington | Air Force | McChord AFB | Squadron Operations/AMU | 5,600 | 5,600 | 5,600 | 5,600 |
| 408 | Washington | Air Force | McChord AFB | Dormitory | 4,300 | 4,300 | 4,300 | 4,300 |
| 409 | West Virginia | Navy | NSGD Sugar Grove | Bachelor Enlisted Quarters | 0 | 0 | 7,200 | 7,200 |
| 410 | Wisconsin | Army National Guard | West Bend | Army Aviation Complex | 0 | 0 | 5,235 | 0 |
| 411 | Wisconsin | Air National Guard | Truax Field | Alter Munitions Facility | 670 | 670 | 670 | 670 |
| 412 | Wisconsin | Army Reserve | USARC Green Bay | USARC/OMS/AMSA | 6,523 | 6,523 | 6,523 | 6,523 |
| 413 | Wyoming | Air Force | F E Warren AFB | Upgrade Central Heat Plant | 3,500 | 3,500 | 3,500 | 3,500 |
| 414 | Wyoming | Air Force | F E Warren AFB | Alter Dormitories | 5,500 | 5,500 | 5,500 | 5,500 |
| 415 | Wyoming | Air Force | F.E. Warren AFB | Child Development Center | 0 | 4,000 | 0 | 0 |
| 416 | Wyoming | Army National Guard | Camp Guernsey | Utility Upgrade | 0 | 0 | 6,055 | 6,055 |
| 417 | Wyoming | Army National Guard | Cody | Organizational Maintenance Subshop | 342 | 342 | 342 | 342 |
| 418 | Wyoming | Army National Guard | Newcastle | Organizational Maintenance Subshop | 348 | 348 | 348 | 348 |
| 419 | CONUS Classified | Army | CONUS Classified | Classified Project | 1,900 | 1,900 | 1,900 | 1,900 |
| 420 | CONUS Classified | Air Force | Classified Location | Special Tactical Unit Detachment Facility | 700 | 700 | 700 | 700 |
| 421 | CONUS Classified | OSD | Classified Location | Classified Location | 11,500 | 11,500 | 11,500 | 11,500 |
| 422 | CONUS Various Loc | Navy | Various Locations | Supply Warehouse | 1,200 | 1,200 | 1,200 | 1,200 |
| 423 | Germany | Air Force | Vogelweh Annex | Child Development Center | 2,600 | 2,600 | 2,600 | 2,600 |
| 424 | Germany | Air Force | Spangdahlem AB | Dormitory | 5,900 | 5,900 | 5,900 | 5,900 |
| 425 | Germany | Air Force | Spangdahlem AB | Add to Missile Maintenance Facility | 930 | 930 | 930 | 930 |
| 426 | Germany | Air Force | Spangdahlem AB | Sound Suppressor Foundation | 950 | 950 | 950 | 950 |
| 427 | Germany | Air Force | Spangdahlem AB | Sound Suppressor Foundation | 600 | 600 | 600 | 600 |
| 428 | Germany | DODDS | Ramstein AFB | Elementary/Junior High School Additions | 19,205 | 19,205 | 19,205 | 19,205 |

## FISCAL YEAR 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

(Dollars in Thousands)

| | Location | Service | Installation | Project Title | FY 1996 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 429 | Greece | Air Force | Araxos RRS | Dormitory | 1,950 | 1,950 | 1,950 | 1,950 |
| 430 | Guam | Navy | NPWC Guam | Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrades | 16,180 | 16,180 | 16,180 | 16,180 |
| 431 | Guam | Navy | NAVCAMS WESTPAC | Bachelor Enlisted Quarters Modernization | 2,250 | 2,250 | 2,250 | 2,250 |
| 432 | Guam | Special Operations | Naval Station, Guam | SOF Operations Support Facility | 8,800 | 8,800 | 8,800 | 8,800 |
| 433 | Italy | Navy | Naval Support Activity, Naples | Quality of Life Facilities (Phase III) | 14,950 | 14,950 | 14,950 | 14,950 |
| 434 | Italy | Navy | Naval Support Activity, Naples | Operations Support Center | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 |
| 435 | Italy | Navy | NAS Sigonella | Fire Protection System | 870 | 870 | 870 | 870 |
| 436 | Italy | Navy | NAS Sigonella | Bachelor Enlisted Quarters | 11,300 | 11,300 | 11,300 | 11,300 |
| 437 | Italy | Air Force | Aviano AB | Communications Maintenance Facility | 1,400 | 1,400 | 1,400 | 1,400 |
| 438 | Italy | Air Force | Ghedi RRS | Dormitory | 1,450 | 1,450 | 1,450 | 1,450 |
| 439 | Italy | Air Force | Aviano AB | Squadron Operations Facility | 950 | 950 | 950 | 950 |
| 440 | Italy | Defense Medical Facilities Office | Naval Support Activity, Naples | Dispensary (Capodichino) | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| 441 | Italy | DODDS | NAS Sigonella | Elementary/High School Additions | 7,595 | 7,595 | 7,595 | 7,595 |
| 442 | Korea | Army | Camp Casey | Dining Facility | 4,150 | 4,150 | 4,150 | 4,150 |
| 443 | Korea | Army | Camp Hovey | Whole Barracks Complex Renewal | 7,300 | 7,300 | 7,300 | 7,300 |
| 444 | Korea | Army | Camp Hovey | Whole Barracks Complex Renewal | 6,200 | 6,200 | 6,200 | 6,200 |
| 445 | Korea | Army | Camp Pelham | Whole Barracks Complex Renewal | 5,600 | 5,600 | 5,600 | 5,600 |
| 446 | Korea | Army | Camp Stanley | Whole Barracks Complex Renewal | 6,800 | 6,800 | 6,800 | 6,800 |
| 447 | Korea | Army | Yongsan | Child Development Center | 0 | 1,450 | 4,500 | 4,500 |
| 448 | Puerto Rico | Navy | NAVSECGRUACT Sabana Seca | Road Improvements | 2,200 | 2,200 | 2,200 | 2,200 |
| 449 | Puerto Rico | Navy | Naval Station, Roosevelt Roads | Sanitary Landfill | 11,500 | 11,500 | 11,500 | 11,500 |
| 450 | Puerto Rico | Defense Logistics Agency | DFSP, Roosevelt Roads | Fuel Storage | 6,200 | 6,200 | 6,200 | 6,200 |
| 451 | Puerto Rico | Air National Guard | Puerto Rico IAP | Munitions Maintenance and Storage Complex | 3,800 | 3,800 | 3,800 | 3,800 |
| 452 | Puerto Rico | Air National Guard | Puerto Rico IAP | Add/Alter Composite Support Facility | 510 | 510 | 510 | 510 |
| 453 | Puerto Rico | Air National Guard | Puerto Rico IAP | Upgrade Security System | 1,350 | 1,350 | 1,350 | 1,350 |
| 454 | Spain | Defense Logistics Agency | DFSC Rota | Hydrant Fuel System | 7,400 | 7,400 | 7,400 | 7,400 |
| 455 | Turkey | Air Force | Incirlik AB | Child Development Center | 1,600 | 1,600 | 1,600 | 1,600 |
| 456 | Turkey | Air Force | Incirlik AB | Upgrade Sewage Treatment Plant | 2,900 | 2,900 | 2,900 | 2,900 |
| 457 | Turkey | Air Force | Ankara AS | Long Period Seismic Array | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 |
| 458 | Turkey | Air Force | Ankara AS | Short Period Seismic Array | 4,000 | 4,000 | 4,000 | 4,000 |
| 459 | United Kingdom | Air Force | RAF Mildenhall | Add/Alter Child Development Center | 2,250 | 2,250 | 2,250 | 2,250 |
| 460 | United Kingdom | Air Force | RAF Lakenheath | Add to Missile Maintenance Facility | 1,820 | 1,820 | 1,820 | 1,820 |
| 461 | United Kingdom | National Security Agency | Menwith Hill Station | Warehouse Sprinklers | 677 | 677 | 677 | 677 |
| 462 | Overseas Classified | Army | Classified Location - Outside U.S. | Strategic Logistical Prepo Complex (Phase I) | 48,000 | 48,000 | 48,000 | 48,000 |
| 463 | Overseas Classified | Air Force | Classified Location - Outside U.S. | Vehicle Maintenance Facility | 1,600 | 1,600 | 1,600 | 1,600 |
| 464 | Overseas Classified | Air Force | Classified Location - Outside U.S. | War Readiness Material Warehouses | 15,500 | 15,500 | 15,500 | 15,500 |
| 465 | Unspecified Worldwide | Army | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Host Nation Support | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 |
| 466 | Unspecified Worldwide | Army | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Unspecified Minor Construction - Army | 9,000 | 9,000 | 9,000 | 9,000 |
| 467 | Unspecified Worldwide | Army | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Planning and Design - Army | 32,894 | 50,778 | 36,194 | 34,194 |

## FISCAL YEAR 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

(Dollars in Thousands)

| | Location | Service | Installation | Project Title | FY 1996 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 468 | Unspecified Worldwide | Army | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Termination Fiscal Year 1992 Projects | 0 | 0 | (6,245) | 0 |
| 469 | Unspecified Worldwide | Army | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | General Reductions | 0 | 0 | 0 | (6,385) |
| 470 | Unspecified Worldwide | Special Operations | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Planning and Design - Special Operations | 5,407 | 5,407 | 5,407 | 5,407 |
| 471 | Unspecified Worldwide | Navy | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Unspecified Minor Construction - Navy | 7,200 | 7,200 | 7,200 | 7,200 |
| 472 | Unspecified Worldwide | Navy | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Planning and Design - Navy | 46,477 | 66,184 | 48,774 | 50,515 |
| 473 | Unspecified Worldwide | Navy | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | General Reductions | 0 | 0 | 0 | (6,385) |
| 474 | Unspecified Worldwide | Air Force | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Planning and Design - Air Force | 30,835 | 49,021 | 34,980 | 30,835 |
| 475 | Unspecified Worldwide | Air Force | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Unspecified Minor Construction - Air Force | 9,030 | 9,030 | 9,030 | 9,030 |
| 476 | Unspecified Worldwide | Air Force | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Termination Fiscal Year 1992 Projects | 0 | 0 | (16,005) | 0 |
| 477 | Unspecified Worldwide | Air Force | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | General Reductions | 0 | 0 | 0 | (6,385) |
| 478 | Unspecified Worldwide | OSD | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Unspecified Minor Construction - OSD | 23,007 | 23,007 | 23,007 | 23,007 |
| 479 | Unspecified Worldwide | OSD | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Contingency Construction Projects - OSD | 11,037 | 11,037 | 11,037 | 11,037 |
| 480 | Unspecified Worldwide | OSD | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Energy Conservation | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 40,000 |
| 481 | Unspecified Worldwide | OSD | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Planning and Design - OSD | 13,000 | 13,000 | 13,000 | 13,000 |
| 482 | Unspecified Worldwide | Ballistic Missile Defense Office | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Planning and Design - BMDO | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 |
| 483 | Unspecified Worldwide | Defense Medical Facilities Office | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Planning and Design - DMFO | 28,330 | 28,330 | 28,330 | 28,330 |
| 484 | Unspecified Worldwide | Defense Agencies | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Termination Fiscal Year 1991 Projects | 0 | 0 | (3,234) | 0 |
| 485 | Unspecified Worldwide | Defense Agencies | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Termination Fiscal Year 1994 Projects | 0 | 0 | (8,131) | (8,131) |
| 486 | Unspecified Worldwide | Defense Agencies | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Termination Fiscal Year 1992 Projects | 0 | 0 | (6,800) | 0 |
| 487 | Unspecified Worldwide | Defense Agencies | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Termination Fiscal Year 1993 Projects | 0 | 0 | (8,590) | 0 |
| 488 | Unspecified Worldwide | Homeowners Assistance Fund | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Homeowners Assistance Fund | 75,586 | 75,586 | 75,586 | 75,586 |
| 489 | Unspecified Worldwide | NATO | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | NATO Security Investment Program | 179,000 | 161,000 | 179,000 | 161,000 |
| 490 | Unspecified Worldwide | Army National Guard | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Unspecified Minor Construction - Army National Guard | 5,300 | 5,300 | 5,300 | 5,300 |
| 491 | Unspecified Worldwide | Army National Guard | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Planning and Design - Army National Guard | 2,900 | 15,200 | 5,000 | 5,100 |
| 492 | Unspecified Worldwide | Air National Guard | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Unspecified Minor Construction - Air National Guard | 4,100 | 4,100 | 4,100 | 4,100 |
| 493 | Unspecified Worldwide | Air National Guard | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Planning and Design - Air National Guard | 4,580 | 6,450 | 8,566 | 6,450 |
| 494 | Unspecified Worldwide | Army Reserve | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Planning and Design - Army Reserve | 3,694 | 3,694 | 5,344 | 4,482 |
| 495 | Unspecified Worldwide | Air National Guard | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Termination Fiscal Year 1994 Projects | 0 | 0 | (6,700) | (6,700) |
| 496 | Unspecified Worldwide | Army Reserve | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Unspecified Minor Construction - Army Reserve | 1,700 | 1,700 | 1,700 | 1,700 |
| 497 | Unspecified Worldwide | Naval Reserve | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Planning and Design - Naval Reserve | 954 | 1,554 | 954 | 954 |
| 498 | Unspecified Worldwide | Air Force Reserve | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Planning and Design - Air Force Reserve | 2,700 | 2,950 | 2,700 | 2,700 |
| 499 | Unspecified Worldwide | Air Force Reserve | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Unspecified Minor Construction - AF Reserve | 4,169 | 4,169 | 4,169 | 4,169 |
| 500 | Unspecified Worldwide | BRAC II | BRAC Act Part II | Base Realignment & Closure Part II | 964,843 | 964,843 | 964,843 | 964,843 |
| 501 | Unspecified Worldwide | BRAC III | BRAC Act Part III | Base Realignment & Closure Part III | 2,148,480 | 2,148,480 | 2,148,480 | 2,148,480 |
| 502 | Unspecified Worldwide | BRAC IV | BRAC Act Part IV | Base Realignment & Closure Part IV | 784,569 | 784,569 | 685,869 | 784,569 |
| 503 | Various Locations | Chemical Demilitarization | Various Locations | Planning and Design - Chemical Demilitarization | 13,000 | 13,000 | 13,000 | 13,000 |
| 504 | Various Locations | Defense Finance & Accounting Svc | Various Locations | Planning and Design - DFAS | 8,600 | 8,600 | 8,600 | 8,600 |
| 505 | Alabama | Army-FHC | Redstone Arsenal | Family Housing Replacement Construction (118 units) | 0 | 12,000 | 0 | 0 |
| 506 | Alaska | Army-FHC | Ft. Wainwright | Neighborhood Improvement (44 units) | 0 | 0 | 7,300 | 0 |

## FISCAL YEAR 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

(Dollars in Thousands)

| | Location | Service | Installation | Project Title | FY 1996 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 507 | Alaska | Air Force-FHC | Elmendorf AFB | Housing Office and Maintenance Facility | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 |
| 508 | Arizona | Air Force-FHC | Davis-Monthan AFB | Replace 80 Military Family Housing Units | 9,498 | 9,498 | 9,498 | 9,498 |
| 509 | Arkansas | Air Force-FHC | Little Rock AFB | Replace 1 General Officer's Quarters Unit | 210 | 210 | 210 | 210 |
| 510 | California | Navy-FHC | MCB Camp Pendleton | Family Housing Construction (69 units) | 0 | 20,080 | 0 | 10,000 |
| 511 | California | Navy-FHC | MCB Camp Pendleton | Community Center | 1,438 | 1,438 | 1,438 | 1,438 |
| 512 | California | Navy-FHC | MCB Camp Pendleton | Housing Office | 707 | 707 | 707 | 707 |
| 513 | California | Navy-FHC | MCB Camp Pendleton | 69 Units New Construction Family Housing | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 |
| 514 | California | Navy-FHC | NAS Lemoore | Replace 240 Military Family Housing Units | 34,900 | 34,900 | 34,900 | 34,900 |
| 515 | California | Navy-FHC | PMTC, Point Mugu | Housing Office/Self Help (New Construction) | 1,020 | 1,020 | 1,020 | 1,020 |
| 516 | California | Navy-FHC | PWC San Diego | Replace 346 Military Family Housing Units | 49,310 | 49,310 | 49,310 | 49,310 |
| 517 | California | Air Force-FHC | Beale AFB | Construct Family Housing Management Office | 842 | 842 | 842 | 842 |
| 518 | California | Air Force-FHC | Edwards AFB | Replace 67 Military Family Housing Units | 11,350 | 11,350 | 11,350 | 11,350 |
| 519 | California | Air Force-FHC | Edwards AFB | Replace 60 Family Housing Units | 0 | 9,400 | 0 | 9,400 |
| 520 | California | Air Force-FHC | Vandenberg AFB | Replace 143 Military Family Housing Units | 20,200 | 20,200 | 20,200 | 20,200 |
| 521 | California | Air Force-FHC | Vandenberg AFB | Family Housing Management Office | 900 | 900 | 900 | 900 |
| 522 | Colorado | Air Force-FHC | Peterson AFB | Family Housing Office | 570 | 570 | 570 | 570 |
| 523 | District of Columbia | Air Force-FHC | Bolling AFB | Replace 32 Military Family Housing Units | 4,100 | 4,100 | 4,100 | 4,100 |
| 524 | Florida | Air Force-FHC | Eglin AFB | Construct Family Housing Management Facility | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 |
| 525 | Florida | Air Force-FHC | Eglin Aux Field 9 | Family Housing Office & Maintenance Facility | 880 | 880 | 880 | 880 |
| 526 | Florida | Air Force-FHC | Patrick AFB | Replace 70 Military Family Housing Units | 7,947 | 7,947 | 7,947 | 7,947 |
| 527 | Florida | Air Force-FHC | MacDill AFB | Construct Housing Office | 646 | 646 | 646 | 646 |
| 528 | Florida | Air Force-FHC | Tyndall AFB | Replace 52 Military Family Housing Units | 5,500 | 5,500 | 5,500 | 5,500 |
| 529 | Florida | Air Force-FHC | Tyndall AFB | Replace 30 Family Housing Units | 0 | 4,300 | 0 | 4,300 |
| 530 | Georgia | Air Force-FHC | Moody AFB | 3 General and Senior Officer's Quarters | 513 | 513 | 513 | 513 |
| 531 | Georgia | Air Force-FHC | Robins AFB | Replace 83 Family Housing Units | 0 | 0 | 9,800 | 9,800 |
| 532 | Hawaii | Navy-FHC | Naval Complex, Oahu | Replace 252 Military Family Housing Units | 48,400 | 48,400 | 48,400 | 48,400 |
| 533 | Idaho | Air Force-FHC | Mountain Home AFB | Construct Housing Management Facility | 844 | 844 | 844 | 844 |
| 534 | Kansas | Air Force-FHC | McConnell AFB | Replace 39 Military Family Housing Units | 5,193 | 5,193 | 5,193 | 5,193 |
| 535 | Kentucky | Army-FHC | Ft. Knox | Family Housing Replacement Construction (150 units) | 0 | 19,000 | 0 | 19,000 |
| 536 | Louisiana | Air Force-FHC | Barksdale AFB | Replace 62 Military Family Housing Units | 10,299 | 10,299 | 10,299 | 10,299 |
| 537 | Maryland | Navy-FHC | US Naval Academy, Annapolis | Housing Office/Self Help (New Construction) | 800 | 800 | 800 | 800 |
| 538 | Maryland | Navy-FHC | NATC Patuxent River | Warehouse/Self Help (New Construction) | 890 | 890 | 890 | 890 |
| 539 | Massachusetts | Air Force-FHC | Hanscom Air Force Base | Replace 32 Family Housing Units | 0 | 4,900 | 5,200 | 4,900 |
| 540 | Mississippi | Air Force-FHC | Keesler AFB | Replace 98 Military Family Housing Units | 9,300 | 9,300 | 9,300 | 9,300 |
| 541 | Missouri | Air Force-FHC | Whiteman AFB | Construct 72 Military Family Housing Units | 9,948 | 9,948 | 9,948 | 9,948 |
| 542 | Nevada | Air Force-FHC | Nellis AFB | Family Housing Replacement (96 units) | 0 | 21,000 | 0 | 15,000 |
| 543 | Nevada | Air Force-FHC | Nellis AFB | Replace 6 Senior Officers Housing | 1,357 | 1,357 | 1,357 | 1,357 |
| 544 | Nevada | Air Force-FHC | Nellis AFB | 45 Units | 0 | 0 | 6,000 | 0 |
| 545 | New Mexico | Army-FHC | White Sands | Whole Neighborhood House Improvements (36 units) | 0 | 0 | 3,400 | 0 |

## FISCAL YEAR 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

(Dollars in Thousands)

| | Location | Service | Installation | Project Title | FY 1996 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Conference Agreement | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 546 | New Mexico | Air Force-FHC | Holloman AFB | Replace 1 General Officer's Housing | 225 | 225 | 225 | 225 | |
| 547 | New Mexico | Air Force-FHC | Kirtland AFB | Replace 105 Family Housing Units, Phase 2 | 11,000 | 11,000 | 11,000 | 11,000 | |
| 548 | New York | Army-FHC | USMC West Point | Family Housing Replacement Construction (119 Units) | 16,500 | 16,500 | 16,500 | 16,500 | |
| 549 | North Carolina | Navy-FHC | MCAS Cherry Point | Community Center | 1,003 | 1,003 | 1,003 | 1,003 | |
| 550 | North Carolina | Air Force-FHC | Pope AFB | Construct 104 Military Family Housing Units | 9,984 | 9,984 | 9,984 | 9,984 | |
| 551 | North Carolina | Air Force-FHC | Seymour Johnson AFB | Replace 1 General Officers Quarters | 204 | 204 | 204 | 204 | |
| 552 | Ohio | Air Force-FHC | Wright Patterson AFB | 66 Units | 0 | 0 | 5,900 | 0 | 2 |
| 553 | Pennsylvania | Navy-FHC | NSPCC Mechanicsburg | Housing Office (New Construction) | 300 | 300 | 300 | 300 | |
| 554 | South Carolina | Air Force-FHC | Shaw AFB | Housing Maintenance Facility | 715 | 715 | 715 | 715 | |
| 555 | Texas | Air Force-FHC | Dyess AFB | Construct Housing Maintenance Facility | 580 | 580 | 580 | 580 | |
| 556 | Texas | Air Force-FHC | Lackland AFB | Replace 67 Military Family Housing Units | 6,200 | 6,200 | 6,200 | 6,200 | |
| 557 | Texas | Air Force-FHC | Sheppard AFB | Construct Management Office | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | |
| 558 | Texas | Air Force-FHC | Sheppard AFB | Replace Family Housing Maintenance Facility | 600 | 600 | 600 | 600 | |
| 559 | Virginia | Army-FHC | Ft. Lee | Replace 135 Family Housing Units | 0 | 19,500 | 0 | 19,500 | |
| 560 | Virginia | Navy-FHC | NSWC Dahlgren | Housing Office/Self Help (New Const) | 520 | 520 | 520 | 520 | |
| 561 | Virginia | Navy-FHC | PWC Norfolk | Replace 320 Military Family Housing Units | 42,500 | 42,500 | 42,500 | 42,500 | |
| 562 | Virginia | Navy-FHC | PWC Norfolk | Housing Office/Warehouse | 1,390 | 1,390 | 1,390 | 1,390 | |
| 563 | Washington | Army-FHC | Ft. Lewis | Family Housing (84 Units) | 10,800 | 10,800 | 10,800 | 10,800 | |
| 564 | Washington | Navy-FHC | Bangor NSB | 141 Units | 0 | 0 | 4,890 | 0 | 3 |
| 565 | Washington | Air Force-FHC | McChord AFB | Replace 50 Family Housing Units, Phase I | 9,504 | 9,504 | 9,504 | 9,504 | |
| 566 | West Virginia | Navy-FHC | Sugar Grove NSGD | 23 Units | 0 | 0 | 3,590 | 3,590 | |
| 567 | Guam | Air Force-FHC | Andersen AFB | Housing Maintenance Facility | 1,700 | 1,700 | 1,700 | 1,700 | |
| 568 | Puerto Rico | Navy-FHC | Naval Station, Roosevelt Roads | Housing Office (New Construction) | 710 | 710 | 710 | 710 | |
| 569 | Turkey | Air Force-FHC | Incirlik AB | Replace 150 Military Family Housing Units | 10,146 | 10,146 | 10,146 | 10,146 | |
| 570 | Unspecified Worldwide | Army-FHC | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Planning/Army FH | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,340 | 2,000 | |
| 571 | Unspecified Worldwide | Army-FHC | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Construction Improvements/Army FH | 14,200 | 46,600 | 26,212 | 48,856 | |
| 572 | Unspecified Worldwide | Navy-FHC | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Construction Improvements/Navy FH | 247,477 | 292,931 | 259,489 | 290,831 | |
| 573 | Unspecified Worldwide | Navy-FHC | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Planning/Navy FH | 24,390 | 24,390 | 24,390 | 24,390 | |
| 574 | Unspecified Worldwide | Air Force-FHC | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Planning/Air Force FH | 8,989 | 8,989 | 9,039 | 8,989 | |
| 575 | Unspecified Worldwide | Air Force-FHC | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Construction Improvements/Air Force FH | 85,059 | 90,959 | 97,071 | 90,959 | |
| 576 | Unspecified Worldwide | Defense Logistics Agency-FHC | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Construction Improvements/DLA FH | 3,722 | 3,722 | 3,722 | 3,722 | |
| 577 | Unspecified Worldwide | Def. Fam. Housing Impr. Fund-FHC | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Private Sector Housing Ventures - FH | 22,000 | 22,000 | 22,000 | 22,000 | |
| 578 | Unspecified Worldwide | National Security Agency-FHC | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Construction Improvements/NSA FH | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | |
| 579 | Unspecified Worldwide | Army-FHS | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Leasing - AFH | 243,840 | 243,840 | 243,840 | 243,840 | |
| 580 | Unspecified Worldwide | Army-FHS | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Mortgage Insurance Premiums/Army FH | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | |
| 581 | Unspecified Worldwide | Army-FHS | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Operations/Army FH | 459,453 | 455,453 | 459,453 | 459,453 | |
| 582 | Unspecified Worldwide | Army-FHS | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Maintenance/Army FH | 634,292 | 634,292 | 634,292 | 634,292 | |
| 583 | Unspecified Worldwide | Navy-FHS | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Mortgage Insurance Premiums/Navy FH | 82 | 82 | 82 | 82 | |
| 584 | Unspecified Worldwide | Navy-FHS | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Leasing/Navy FH | 103,582 | 103,582 | 103,582 | 103,582 | |

## FISCAL YEAR 1996 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

**(Dollars in Thousands)**

| | Location | Service | Installation | Project Title | FY 1996 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 585 | Unspecified Worldwide | Navy-FHS | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Maintenance of Real Property/Navy FH | 534,023 | 534,023 | 534,023 | 534,023 |
| 586 | Unspecified Worldwide | Navy-FHS | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Operating Expenses/Navy FH | 410,642 | 407,642 | 410,642 | 410,642 |
| 587 | Unspecified Worldwide | Air Force-FHS | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Maintenance of Real Property/Air Force FH | 408,971 | 408,971 | 408,971 | 408,971 |
| 588 | Unspecified Worldwide | Air Force-FHS | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Operating Expenses/Air Force FH | 324,548 | 321,548 | 324,548 | 324,548 |
| 589 | Unspecified Worldwide | Air Force-FHS | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Mortgage Insurance Premiums/Air Force FH | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 |
| 590 | Unspecified Worldwide | Air Force-FHS | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Leasing/Air Force FH | 115,665 | 115,665 | 115,665 | 115,665 |
| 591 | Unspecified Worldwide | OSD-FHS | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | VA Loan Buy Down Pilot Project | 0 | 10,000 | 0 | 10,000 |
| 592 | Unspecified Worldwide | OSD-FHS | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Authority to Convey Family Housing | 0 | 0 | 5,000 | 0 |
| 593 | Unspecified Worldwide | Defense Intelligence Agency-FHS | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Leasing/DIA FH | 13,638 | 13,638 | 13,638 | 13,638 |
| 594 | Unspecified Worldwide | Defense Intelligence Agency-FHS | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Operating Expenses/DIA FH | 2,590 | 2,590 | 2,590 | 2,590 |
| 595 | Unspecified Worldwide | Defense Logistics Agency-FHS | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Operating Expenses/DLA FH | 566 | 566 | 566 | 566 |
| 596 | Unspecified Worldwide | Defense Logistics Agency-FHS | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Maintenance of Real Property/DLA FH | 574 | 574 | 574 | 574 |
| 597 | Unspecified Worldwide | National Security Agency-FHS | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Leasing/NSA FH | 11,236 | 11,236 | 11,236 | 11,236 |
| 598 | Unspecified Worldwide | National Security Agency-FHS | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Maintenance of Real Property/NSA FH | 223 | 223 | 223 | 223 |
| 599 | Unspecified Worldwide | National Security Agency-FHS | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Operating Expenses/NSA FH | 1,640 | 1,640 | 1,640 | 1,640 |
| | | | | Totals | 10,697,995 | 11,197,995 | 10,902,988 | 11,177,009 |

1 - Funded as 7,300 in Construction Improvements/Army FH.
2 - Funded as 5,900 in Construction Improvements/Air Force FH.
3 - Funded as 4,890 in Construction Improvements/Navy FH.

TITLE XXI—ARMY

FISCAL YEAR 1996

*Overview*

The House bill would authorize $2,167,190,000 for Army military construction and family housing programs for fiscal year 1996.

The Senate amendment would authorize $2,027,613,000 for this purpose.

The conferees recommend authorization of $2,147,427,000 for Army military construction and family housing for fiscal year 1996.

The conferees agree to a general reduction of $6,385,000 in the authorization of appropriations for the Army military construction account. The general reduction is to be offset by savings from favorable bids, reduction in overhead costs, and cancellation of projects due to force structure changes. The general reduction shall not cancel any military construction authorized by title XXI of this Act.

*Planning and design, Army*

The conferees direct that, within authorized amounts for planning and design, the Secretary of the Army conduct planning and design activities for the following project:

Pohakuloa Training Site, Hawaii, Road Improvement—$2,000,000.

The conferees note that this project is required to correct hazardous road conditions which impact readiness. The conferees urge the Secretary to make every effort to include this project in the fiscal year 1997 budget request.

*Aerial Port and Intermediate Staging Base, The National Training Center, Fort Irwin, California*

The budget request included no military construction funds to expand the airport at Barstow-Daggett, California, to meet the operational and training requirements of the National Training Center, Fort Irwin, California.

The House bill would authorize $10.0 million for phase II of the Barstow-Daggett expansion project.

The Senate amendment included no funding for phase II of this project.

The conferees agree to authorize $10.0 million for phase II of the Barstow-Daggett expansion project, contingent upon the Secretary of Defense's certification that the project best meets the operational and training requirements of the National Training Center, Fort Irwin, California.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

*Improvements to military family housing units (sec. 2103)*

The conferees direct that, within authorized amounts for construction improvements of military family housing and facilities, the Secretary of the Army execute the following projects:

Fort Wainwright, Alaska, Whole Neighborhood Revitalization—$7,300,000.

Fort Campbell, Kentucky, Whole Neighborhood Revitalization—$17,356,000.

Fort Bragg, North Carolina, Whole Neighborhood Revitalization—$10,000,000.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

*Reduction in amounts authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 1992 military construction projects*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2105) that would rescind $6.25 million from the amount authorized for the Department of the Army in section 2105 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1992 (Public Law 102-190).

The House bill amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

TITLE XXII—NAVY

FISCAL YEAR 1996

*Overview*

The House bill would authorize $2,164,861,000 for Navy military construction and family housing programs for fiscal year 1996.

The Senate amendment would authorize $2,077,459,000 for this purpose.

The conferees recommend authorization of $2,119,317,000 for Navy military construction and family housing for fiscal year 1996.

The conferees agree to a general reduction of $6,385,000 in the authorization of appropriations for the Navy military construction account. The general reduction is to be offset by savings from favorable bids, reduction in overhead costs, and cancellation of projects due to force structure changes. The general reduction shall not cancel and military construction authorized by title XXII of this Act.

*Planning and design, Navy*

The conferees direct that, within authorized amounts for planning and design, the Secretary of the Navy conduct planning and design activities for the following projects:

Naval Station, Mayport, Florida, Wharf Improvements—$2,340,000.

Naval Air Station, Fallon, Nevada, Galley—$50,000.

Naval Air Station, Fallon, Nevada, Child Development Center—$150,000.

The conferees note that the projects at Naval Air Station, Fallon, Nevada, are necessary to correct facility deficiencies which impact readiness, quality of life, and productivity. The conferees urge the Secretary to make every effort to include these projects in the fiscal year 1997 budget request.

*Improvements to military family housing units (sec. 2203)*

The conferees direct that, within authorized amounts for construction improvements of military family housing and facilities, the Secretary of the Navy execute the following projects:

Naval Station, Mayport, Florida, Whole House Revitalization—$7,300,000.

Public Works Center, Great Lakes, Illinois, Whole House Revitalization—$15,300,000.

Naval Education Training Command, Newport, Rhode Island, Whole House Improvements—$8,795,000.

Marine Corps Air Station, Beaufort, South Carolina, Whole House Rehabilitation—$6,784,000.

Naval Submarine Base, Bangor, Washington, Construction Improvements—$4,890,000.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

*Revision of fiscal year 1995 authorization of appropriations to clarify availability of funds for large anechoic chamber, Patuxent River Naval Warfare Center, Maryland (sec. 2205)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2205) that would amend section 2204 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (Public Law 103-307) to authorize the $10.0 million appropriated for the Large Anechoic Chamber Facility at the Naval Air Warfare Center, Patuxent River, Maryland in the Military Construction Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (Public Law 103-307).

The Senate provision would permit the Navy to proceed with the award of a contract in the amount of $30.0 million for the first phase of the $61.0 million project.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

*Authority to carry out land acquisition project, Hampton Roads, Virginia (sec. 2206)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2206) that would amend section 2201(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993 to authorize the Secretary of the Navy to acquire 191 acres of land in Hampton Roads, Virginia. This acquisition is in addition to the land acquisition at Dam Neck, Virginia, authorized in the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

The conferees direct the Secretary of Navy to make every possible attempt to acquire both parcels of land using the $4.5 million previously authorized. If additional funds are required, the conferees expect the Secretary to utilize cost variation and reprogramming procedures.

*Acquisition of land, Henderson Hall, Arlington, Virginia (sec. 2207)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2207) that would authorize the Secretary of the Navy to acquire a 0.75 acre parcel of land located at Henderson Hall, Arlington, Virginia. The parcel, which is currently occupied by an abandoned and vandalized mausoleum, is required to construct a public works complex to support the Headquarters Battalion, United States Marine Corps. The provision would authorize the demolition of the mausoleum and the use of appropriated funds to remove and provide appropriate disposal of the remains abandoned in the mausoleum.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

*Acquisition or construction of military family housing in the vicinity of San Diego, California (sec. 2208)*

The conferees include a new section that would direct the Secretary of the Treasury to make available, upon request from the Secretary of the Navy, funds paid to the United States upon final settlement in the case of Rossmoor Liquidating Trust, initiated against the United States, in the United States District Court for the Central District of California. From those funds, the Secretary of the Navy would be authorized to acquire or construct no more than 150 military family housing units in the San Diego, California region for the Department of the Navy. The authority would be subject to the expiration of a 21-day period, beginning on the day on which the Secretary transmits to the congressional defense committees a report containing the details of the contract to acquire or construct the units authorized by this section.

TITLE XXIII—AIR FORCE

FISCAL YEAR 1996

*Overview*

The House bill would authorize $1,727,557,000 for Air Force military construction and family housing programs for fiscal year 1996.

The Senate amendment would authorize $1,724,699,000 for this purpose.

The conferees recommend authorization of $1,735,086,000 for Air Force military construction and family housing for fiscal year 1996.

The conferees agree to a general reduction of $6,385,000 in the authorization of appropriations for the Air Force military construction account. The general reduction is

to be offset by savings from favorable bids, reduction in overhead costs, and cancellation of projects due to force structure changes. The general reduction shall not cancel any military construction authorized by title XXIII of this Act.

*Improvements to military family housing units (sec. 2303)*

The conferees direct that, within authorized amounts for construction improvements of military family housing and facilities, the Secretary of the Air Force execute the following project:

| | |
|---|---|
| Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, Family Housing Improvements | $5,900,000 |

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

*Bonaire housing complex, Presque Isle, Maine*

The conferees are aware of the economic impact and the difficult redevelopment effort facing Limestone, Maine, as a result of the closure of Loring Air Force Base. To ensure that the community has maximum flexibility in its redevelopment effort, the conferees direct the Secretary of the Air Force to obtain written concurrence of the designated local reuse authority, or its designee, before any land, tangible property or interest in the Air Force property known as the Bonaire housing complex in Presque Isle, Maine, is transferred to the Department of Interior, or to any other entity. The conferees believe that a cooperative effort should be maintained by all parties seeking property and that the designated local redevelopment authority is the most appropriate entity to coordinate reuse efforts.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

*Retention of accrued interest on funds deposited for construction of family housing, Scott Air Force Base, Illinois (sec. 2305)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2305) that would amend section 2310 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1994 (Division B of Public Law 103–160) to permit the retention of accrued interest on funds previously transferred to the County of St. Clair, Illinois, for the purpose of constructing military family housing at Scott Air Force Base. Upon completion of construction all funds remaining, and any interest accrued thereon, shall be deposited in the general fund of the United States Treasury.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require the Secretary of the Air Force to submit to congressional defense committees an annual report describing the amount of interest accrued and retained by the County for the housing project. The Secretary would be required to submit the report by March 1 of each year, until the construction project is completed.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

*Reduction in amounts authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 1992 military construction projects*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2305) that would rescind $16.0 million from the amount authorized for the Department of the Air Force in section 2305 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1992 (Public Law 102–190).

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

TITLE XXIV—DEFENSE AGENCIES

FISCAL YEAR 1996

*Overview*

The House bill would authorize $4,692,463,000 for Defense Agencies military construction and family housing programs for fiscal year 1996.

The Senate amendment would authorize $4,456,883,000 for this purpose.

The conferees recommend authorization of $4,629,491,000 for Air Force military construction and family housing for fiscal year 1996.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

*Military family housing private investment (sec. 2402)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2402) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to enter into agreements to construct, acquire, and improve family housing, for the purpose of encouraging private investment, in the amount of $22,000,000.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Energy conservation projects (sec. 2404)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2404) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to carry out energy conservation projects using funds authorized pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2405.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Limitations on use of Department of Defense Base Closure Account 1990 (sec. 2406)*

The conferees include a new section that would prohibit the obligation of funds authorized for appropriation in section 2405 (a)(10) of this Act, to carry out a construction project with respect to military installations approved for closure or realignment in 1995, until after the date the Secretary of Defense submits to Congress a five-year program for executing the 1995 base realignment and closure plan. The limitation would not preclude any activities associated with environmental cleanup activities or planning and design for such construction projects.

*Modification of authority to carry out fiscal year 1995 projects (sec. 2407)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2406) that would amend the table in section 2401 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (Division B of Public Law 103–337) to provide for full authorization of projects to support chemical weapons and munitions destruction at Pine Bluff Arsenal, Arkansas and Umatilla Army Depot, Oregon.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Reduction in amounts authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 1994 contingency construction projects (sec. 2408)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2407) that would terminate authorization of appropriations for prior year projects including:

(1) $3.2 million from the amount authorized for the Department of Defense in section 2405(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1991 (Division B of Public Law 101–510);

(2) $6.8 million from the amount authorized for the Department of Defense in section 2404(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1992 (Division B of Public Law 102–190); and

(3) $8.6 million from the amount authorized for the Department of Defense in section 2403(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993 (Division B of Public Law 102–484).

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would reduce $8.1 million from the amount authorized to be appropriated for the Department of Defense in section 2403(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1994 (Division B of Public Law 103–160).

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

*Limitation of expenditures for a construction project at Umatilla Army Depot, Oregon*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2407) that would prohibit the expenditure of funds prior to March 1, 1996, for the construction of a chemical weapons and munitions incinerator facility at Umatilla Army Depot, Oregon.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

TITLE XXV—NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATIONS INFRASTRUCTURE

FISCAL YEAR 1996

*Overview*

The House bill would authorize $161,000,000 for the U.S. contribution to the NATO Infrastructure program for fiscal year 1996.

The Senate amendment would authorize $179,000,000 for this purpose.

The conferees authorize $161,000,000 for the U.S. contribution to the NATO Infrastructure program.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

*Authorization of appropriations, NATO (sec. 2502)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2502) that would authorize funding for the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Infrastructure program in the amount of $161.0 million.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2502) that would authorize funding for the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Infrastructure program in the amount of $179.0 million.

The Senate recedes.

TITLE XXVI—GUARD AND RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES

FISCAL YEAR 1996

*Overview*

The House bill would authorize $284,924,000 for military construction and land acquisition for fiscal year 1996 for the National Guard and reserve components.

The Senate amendment would authorize $432,339,000 for this purpose.

The conferees recommend authorization of $436,522,000 for military construction and land acquisition for fiscal year 1996. This authorization would be distributed as follows:

| | |
|---|---|
| Army National Guard | $134,802,000 |
| Army Reserve | 73,516,000 |
| Naval/Marine Corps Reserve | 19,055,000 |
| Air National Guard | 170,917,000 |
| Air Force Reserve | 36,232,000 |

*Planning and design, Guard and Reserve Forces*

The conferees direct that, within authorized amounts for planning and design, the Guard and Reserve Forces conduct planning and design activities for the following projects:

| | |
|---|---|
| Army Reserve: | |
| Fort Dix, New Jersey, Intelligence Training Center | $788,000 |
| Army National Guard: | |
| Lincoln, Nebraska, Medical Training Facility | $200,000 |
| Fort Dix, New Jersey, Technical Training Facility | $750,000 |
| Billings, Montana, Armed Forces Reserve Center | $1,200,000 |
| Air National Guard: | |
| Robins Air Force Base, Georgia, B-1 Site and Utility Upgrades | $270,000 |
| Hickam Air Force Base, Hawaii, Squadron Operations Facility | $790,000 |

The conferees note that these projects are required to accommodate new missions and to correct facility deficiencies that impact readiness, quality of life, and productivity. The conferees urge the service secretaries to make every effort to include these projects in the fiscal year 1997 budget request.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

*Reduction in amount authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 1994 Air National Guard Projects (sec. 2602)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2602) that would rescind funds authorized for appropriation by the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1994 (Public Law 103-160) for land acquisition for the Idaho Training Range.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Correction in authorized uses of funds for Army National Guard projects in Mississippi (sec. 2603)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2602) that would clarify amounts authorized to be appropriated in section 2601(1)(A) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1994 (Division B of Public Law 103-360) for the addition or alteration of Army National Guard Armories at various locations in the State of Mississippi. The House provision would direct the use of authorized funds for the addition, alteration, or new construction of armory facilities and an operations and maintenance shop, including the acquisition of land for such facilities at such locations.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would direct the Secretary of the Army to submit a report to congressional defense committees that would describe the intended use of funds and to wait 21 days before any of the funds could be obligated.

TITLE XXVIII—GENERAL PROVISIONS

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

*Damage to facilities from Hurricane Opal*

The conferees note that, on October 5, 1995, military facilities in the Southeastern United States sustained damage as a direct result of Hurricane Opal. The conferees direct the Secretary of Defense to conduct a comprehensive assessment of infrastructure and facilities at installations affected by Hurricane Opal, to include: Fort Benning and Fort McPherson in Georgia; Fort Rucker, Fort McClellan, and Anniston Army Depot in Alabama; Tyndall Air Force Base, Eglin Air Force Base, and Hulbert Field and facilities in and around Naval Air Station, Pensacola, Florida. The Secretary shall submit a report on the Department's findings to the congressional defense committees, no later than February 15, 1996.

The assessment should include:

(1) a report on all property damage;

(2) the estimated cost to repair or replace damaged or destroyed facilities;

(3) the impact on operations and readiness caused by any loss of facilities;

(4) any actions taken to repair or replace damaged or destroyed facilities; and

(5) recommendations for funding the required facility repairs or replacements.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Subtitle A—Military Housing Privatization Initiative

*Alternative authority for construction and improvement of military housing (sec. 2801)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2801) that would authorize a series of authorities, as alternative methods of acquiring and improving family housing and support facilities for the armed forces. Such authorities would include the ability to contract and lease family housing. Use of the authorities would be targeted at installations where there is a shortage of suitable family housing. For housing acquired under the authorities provided in this section, the unit size and type limitations in current law would be waived to encourage private sector development of military family housing. The Department of Defense (DOD) would be authorized to contribute up to 35 percent of the investment cost in any project. Such investment could take a number of forms, including cash, existing housing, and/or real property. The provision would also establish the Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund as the sole source of funding for projects constructed or renovated under the authorities of this provision. The provision would require DOD to submit a 21-day notice-and-wait announcement to Congress before entering into contract agreements associated with these new authorities and would require DOD to submit a 30-day notice-and-wait announcement before transferring funds from the family housing construction accounts to the Fund. Each of the authorities contained in this provision would expire on September 30, 2000.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 2811) that would expand the authorities to include acquisition or renovation of unaccompanied housing on or near military installations. The provision would also establish a Department of Defense Housing Improvement Fund, for use as the sole source to finance costs associated with the acquisition of housing and support facilities.

The House recedes with an amendment that would establish the Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund and the Department of Defense Military Unaccompanied Housing Improvement Fund as the sources to finance costs associated with the acquisition of housing and supporting facilities, including costs defined in section 502(5) of the Federal Credit Reform Act of 1990 (2 U.S.C. 661a(5)). The provision would also establish certain reporting requirements for the DOD and would limit the transfer of funds previously authorized and appropriated to funds associated with the construction of family housing or unaccompanied housing. The provision would also limit the obligation of funds by DOD to $850.0 million for family housing and $150.0 million for unaccompanied housing.

*Expansion of authority for limited partnerships for development of military family housing (sec. 2802)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2807) that would provide each of the military services with the limited partnership authority provided to the Department of the Navy by section 2803 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (Public Law 103-337). The provision would also extend the expiration of the authority to September 30, 2000.

The House bill contained a similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

Subtitle B—Other Military Construction Program and Military Family Housing Changes

*Special threshold for unspecified minor construction projects to correct life, health, or safety deficiencies (sec. 2811)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2801) that would amend 2805 of title 10, United States Code, to include as a minor military construction project any military construction project intended solely to correct a life, health, or safety deficiency, if the approved cost is equal to or less than $3.0 million. The provision would authorize the expenditure of operation and maintenance funds to carry out projects to correct a life, health, or safety deficiency costing no more than $1.0 million.

The House bill contained a similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Clarification of scope of unspecified minor construction authority (sec. 2812)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2802) that would amend section 2805(a)(1) of title 10, United States Code, to clarify the definition of minor military construction.

The House bill contained a similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Temporary authority to waive net floor area limitation for family housing acquired in lieu of construction (sec. 2813)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2803) that would waive, for a five year period, beginning in fiscal year 1996, the net floor area limitation established in section 2826 of title 10, United States Code, if existing family housing is acquired in lieu of construction.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would give the service secretary discretionary authority to waive the floor limitation.

*Reestablishment of authority to waive net floor area limitation on acquisition by purchase of certain military family housing (sec. 2814)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2804) that would make permanent section 2826(e) of title 10, United States Code, that allows a waiver for a 20 percent increase in the square footage limitation when acquiring, through purchase, military family housing units for members of the Armed Forces in pay grades below O-6.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

*Temporary authority to waive limitations on space by pay grade for military family housing units (sec. 2815)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2805) that would waive section 2826

of title 10, United States Code, for housing authorized for construction for five years, beginning in fiscal year 1996. The waiver would permit the construction of family housing units without regard to space limitations, as long as the total number of housing units is the same as authorized by law.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would give the service secretary discretion to waive the authority for five years beginning in fiscal year 1996.

*Rental of family housing in foreign countries (sec. 2816)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2805) that would authorize an increase in the number of high-cost family housing units that may be leased in foreign countries.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Clarification of scope of report requirement on cost increases under contracts for military family housing construction (sec. 2817)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2808) that would amend section 2853 to title 10, United States Code, by eliminating the requirement for congressional notification on cost increases that exceed established limitations when the increase is related to settlement of a court ordered contract claim.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

*Authority to convey damaged or deteriorated military family housing (sec. 2818)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2809) that would authorize the secretaries of the military departments to sell, at fair market value, family housing facilities at non-base closure installations that have deteriorated beyond economical repair, or are no longer required. The sale may include the parcel of land on which the family housing facilities are located.

The provision directs that the proceeds from the sale of the property be used to replace or revitalize housing at the existing installation, or at another installation. The provision also requires the secretary concerned to notify Congress before proceeding with conveyance of family housing facilities under this authority.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

*Energy and water conservation savings for the Department of Defense (sec. 2819)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2810) that would amend section 2865 of title 10, United States Code, to include water conservation in the Department of Defense's comprehensive energy conservation plan.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Extension of authority to enter into leases of land for special operations activities (sec. 2820)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2812) that would make permanent the authority provided in section 2680 of title 10, United States Code, which grants the Secretary of Defense the authority to lease property required for special operations activities conducted by the Special Operations Command.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would extend the authority to lease property required for special operations until September 30, 2000.

*Disposition of amounts recovered as a result of damage to real property (sec. 2821)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2804) that would authorize the military departments to retain the proceeds recovered as a result of damages to real property instead of depositing those proceeds into the miscellaneous receipts account in the United States Treasury. Such proceeds would be made available for repair or replacement of damages to real property.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Pilot program to provide interest rate buy down authority on loans for housing within housing shortage areas at military installations (sec. 2822)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2806) that would authorize a three-year pilot program to provide additional housing assistance to military personnel. Under the program, as administered by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs (VA), the VA would buy down the interest rate on VA home loans for qualified applicants. The Secretary of Defense would reimburse the VA for the costs of the interest rate buy down. Authorization of the program would be limited to $10.0 million and could only be utilized at military installations which the Secretary of Defense considers to have a military family housing deficit.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would limit the scope of the program to active duty enlisted members, warrant officers, and officers at a pay grade of O-3 and below.

Subtitle C—Defense Base Closure and Realignment

*Deposit of proceeds from leases of property located at installations being closed or realigned (sec. 2831)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2812) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to deposit proceeds from leases of property located at installations being closed or realigned into the relevant account established in the Defense Authorization Amendments and Base Closure and Realignment Act (Public Law 100-526) or the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-510).

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*In-kind consideration for leases at installations to be closed or realigned (sec. 2832)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2821) that would permit the service secretaries to accept in-kind services (improvements, maintenance, protection, repair, or restoration services performed on any portion of the installation) from a lessee in lieu of cash rental payments for leases of property that will be disposed of as a result of a base closure or realignment.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

*Interim leases of property approved for closure or realignment (sec. 2833)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2830B) that would facilitate the use of limited term leases (one to five years) by the Department of Defense in connection with reuse of military installations selected for closure. The provision would make it clear that any environmental impact analysis prepared in connection with an interim lease of Department of Defense property approved for closure or realignment shall be limited to the scope of environmental consequences related to the lease activities.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees agree that under current law the Department of Defense has been reluctant to enter into limited term leases before an environmental review has been completed, pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 4321, et. seq.), that would address the disposal of the entire installation. Such concerns have impeded private sector use of base closure property for short term capital investments.

*Authority to lease property requiring environmental remediation at installations approved for closure or realignment (sec. 2834)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2824) that would allow the Department of Defense to enter into long-term lease agreements at military installations selected for closure, while environmental restoration is ongoing. Specifically, the section would provide that section 120(h)(3)(B) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA) (42 U.S.C. 9620(h)(3)(B)) does not apply to leases at Department of Defense installations. The provision would also provide for Environmental Protection Agency consultation on the determination that property is suitable for lease in those instances involving long term leases at installations approved for closure under a base closure law.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees agree that the provision is necessary to ensure that the Department may enter into long-term leases while cleanup is ongoing. The provision addresses a recent federal district court decision that could undermine reuse plans at military installations selected for closure with similar reuse plans. The provision serves to clarify the legislative intent on this issue.

*Final funding for Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission (sec. 2835)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2825) that would amend section 2902(k) of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (part A of title XXIX of Public Law 101-510, 10 U.S.C. 2657) to authorize the Secretary of Defense to transfer unobligated funds from the Department of Defense Base Closure Account to fund the operations of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission until December 31, 1995.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would limit the transfer authority to $300,000.

*Exercise of authority delegated by the Administrator of General Services (sec. 2836)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2827) that would amend the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-510) to expand the authority of the Secretary of Defense, with the concurrence of the Administrator of the General Services Administration, to prescribe general policies and issue regulations for utilizing excess property and disposing of surplus

property. The provision would also make certain technical changes.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

*Lease back of property disposed from installations approved for closure or realignment (sec. 2837)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2828) that would amend the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-510) to allow base closure property that is still needed by the Department of Defense or another federal agency to be transferred to the local redevelopment authority, providing that the redevelopment authority leases back the property to the Department of Defense or federal agency. Such a lease should not exceed 50 years and could not require rental payments by the United States.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

*Improvement of base closure and realignment process regarding disposal of property (sec. 2838)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2814) that would amend the Defense Authorization Amendments and Base Closure and Realignment Act (Public Law 100-526; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note) and the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (Part A of title XXIX of Public Law 101-510; 10 U.S.C. 2687). The provision would preclude consideration of Section 501 of the Stewart B. McKinney Homeless Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 11411) in the transfer or disposal of real property located at military installations closed or realigned under the base closure law.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2826) that would amend the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (Part A of title XXIX of Public Law 101-510; 10 U.S.C. 2687) to authorize the Secretary of Defense to approve local redevelopment authorities' base reuse plans. Before making any property disposal decisions, the Secretary of Defense would be required to consult with the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development to determine if the needs of the homeless were appropriately considered. In reviewing disposal plans, the Secretary of Defense could give deference to local communities' plans in making the final property disposal decisions.

The House recedes with a technical amendment that would recognize the preeminence of local redevelopment authorities' plans for reuse of properties and facilities on installations closed or realigned under the base closure procedures. The amendment would further enhance the ability of the Secretary of Defense to give final approval of local communities' base reuse plans.

*Agreements for certain services at installations being closed (sec. 2839)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2813) that would clarify current law that authorizes the Secretary of Defense to enter into agreements with local governments for the provision of police, security, fire protection, air field operations, or other community services provided by such governments at military installations scheduled to be closed.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

*Authority to transfer property at military installations to be closed to persons who construct or provide military family housing (sec. 2840)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2811) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to enter into an agreement to transfer property or facilities at a closed installation, or an installation designated to be closed, under current law, to a person who agrees to provide, in exchange for the property or facilities, housing units located at another military installation where there is a shortage of suitable housing. Under the provision, the Secretary would not be permitted to select property or facilities for transfer that have been identified in the redevelopment plan for the installation as essential for base reuse and development.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a clarifying amendment.

*Use of single base closure authorities for disposal of property and facilities at Fort Holabird, Maryland (sec. 2841)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2830) that would consolidate disposal of all property affected by the 1988 and 1995 base closure actions at Fort Holabird, Maryland under the provisions of the Base Closure Community Redevelopment and Homeless Assistance Act of 1994 (Public Law 103-421).

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

Subtitle D—Land Conveyances Generally

PART I—ARMY CONVEYANCES

*Transfer of jurisdiction, Fort Sam Houston, Texas (sec. 2851)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2821) that would authorize the Secretary of the Army to transfer, without reimbursement, approximately 53 acres, with improvements, to the Secretary of Veterans Affairs. The property would be conveyed for use as a national cemetery.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment deleting the reversionary interest of the Secretary of the Army in the property.

*Transfer of jurisdiction, Fort Bliss, Texas (sec. 2852)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2838) that would authorize the Secretary of the Army to transfer to the Secretary of Veteran Affairs jurisdiction of approximately 22 acres, comprising a portion of Fort Bliss, Texas. The property transferred would be used as an addition to the Fort Bliss National Cemetery.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would delete the Secretary of the Army's reversionary interest in the property.

*Tranfer of jurisdiction and land conveyance, Fort Devens Military Reservation, Massachusetts (sec. 2853)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2831) that would require the Secretary of the Army to convey to the Secretary of the Interior, without reimbursement, a portion of the Fort Devens Military Reservation, Massachusetts, at any time after the date on which the property is determined to be excess to the needs of the Department of Defense. The property is to be conveyed for inclusion in the Oxbow National Wildlife Refuge. The cost of any surveys necessary for the conveyance shall be borne by the Secretary of the Interior.

This section would also require the Secretary of the Army to convey to the Town of Lancaster, Massachusetts, without reimbursement, a parcel of real property consisting of approximately 100 acres of the parcel available for transfer to the Secretary of the Interior. The cost of any surveys necessary for the conveyance would be borne by the town.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

*Modification of land conveyance, Fort Belvoir, Virginia (sec. 2854)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2863) that would require the Secretary of the Army to submit a report to the Senate Armed Services Committee and the House National Security Committee on the status of the negotiations related to the land conveyance at the Engineer Proving Grounds, Fort Belvoir, Virginia authorized by subsection (a) of section 2821 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 1990 and 1991 (Public Law 101-189).

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would delete the reporting requirement and would amend section 2821 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 1990 and 1991 to authorize the Secretary of the Army to convey to the County of Fairfax, Virginia, all right, title and interest of the United States in and to all or a portion of the parcel of real property, including improvements thereon, at Fort Belvoir, Virginia, consisting of approximately 820 acres and known as the Engineer Proving Ground. In consideration, the County shall construct facilities for the Department of the Army; grant title, free of liens and other encumbrances, to the facilities and, if not already owned by the Department, to the underlying land; and make infrastructure improvements for the Department of the Army, as may be specified by the Secretary of the Army. The value of the consideration provided by the County shall not be less than the fair market value of the property conveyed to the County, as determined by the Secretary. The amendment would prohibit the Secretary from entering into any agreement under this provision until the expiration of 60 days following the date on which the Secretary transmits to the congressional defense committees a report containing details of the agreement between the Army and the County.

*Land exchange, Fort Lewis, Washington (sec. 2855)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2836) that would authorize the Secretary of the Army to convey to Weyerhaeuser Real Estate Company, Tacoma, Washington two parcels of real property at Fort Lewis, Washington totaling 1.26 acres. As consideration the Weyerhaeuser Real Estate Company would convey 0.39 acres located within the boundaries of Fort Lewis together with other considerations acceptable to the Secretary. The total consideration conveyed to the United States would be no less than the fair market value of the property conveyed by the Army.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

*Land exchange, Army Reserve Center, Gainsville, Georgia (sec. 2856)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2846) that would authorize the Secretary of the Army to convey to the City of Gainesville, Georgia, a 4.2 acre parcel of real property, including a reserve center, located on Shallowford Road in Gainsville, Georgia. As consideration, the City of Gainesville would convey to the Secretary approximately 8 acres of real property located in the Atlas Industrial Park in Gainesville. The City would construct replacement facilities in accordance with the requirements of the Secretary of the Army for training activities of the Army Reserve, and fund the costs of relocating the Reserve units to the new location. The City's contribution of land and facilities would be no less than the fair market value of the property conveyed by the Secretary.

The House amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

*Land conveyance, Holston Army Ammunition Plant, Mount Carmel, Tennessee (sec. 2857)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2829) that would authorize the Secretary of the Army to convey to the City of Mount Carmel, Tennessee, without reimbursement, a parcel of real property consisting of approximately 6.5 acres. The property would be conveyed for expansion of the existing Mount Carmel Cemetery.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Land conveyance, Indiana Army Ammunition Plant, Charlestown, Indiana (sec. 2858)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2825) that would authorize the Secretary of the Army to convey to the State of Indiana, without consideration, a parcel of real property, with improvements, consisting of approximately 1,125 acres. The property to be conveyed would be used for recreational purposes.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Land conveyance, Fort Ord, California (sec. 2859)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2824) that would authorize the Secretary of the Army to convey to the City of Seaside, California, at fair market value, all right, title, and interest in approximately 477 acres of real property (comprising the Black House and Bayonet gold courses and a portion of the Hayes Housing Facilities) comprising a portion of the former Fort Ord Military Complex. From the amount paid by the City in consideration for the conveyance, the Secretary would deposit in the Morale, Welfare, and Recreation Fund (MWR) account of the Department of the Army an amount equal to the fair market value of the golf courses conveyed under this section. The balance of the amount paid by the City would be deposited in the Department of Defense Base Closure Account 1990.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2841) that would require the Secretary of Defense, within 60 days after the date of enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1996, to provide to Congress a report that would describe the disposal plans for the 477 acres of property at the former Fort Ord Military Complex.

The Senate recedes to Senate amendment, section 2841. The Senate recedes with an amendment to House bill section 2824. The amendment to section 2824 would direct the Secretary to deposit into the MWR account only those proceeds from the sale of golf courses that are required to support MWR activities in the vicinity of Fort Ord for the next five years. The amount deposited into the MWR account would not exceed the fair market value of golf courses conveyed to the City. The amendment would also require the Secretary to certify his findings on the disposition of the proceeds in a report to Congress 90 days after the date of the conveyance.

*Land conveyance, Parks Reserve Forces Training Area, Dublin, California (sec. 2860)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2828) that would authorize the Secretary of the Army to convey to the County of Alameda, California, approximately 42 acres, with improvements, located at the Parks Reserve Forces Training Area, Dublin, California. The conveyance shall not include any oil, gas, or mineral interests of the United States, and shall be subject to the condition that the County would pay for road improvements, utility upgrades, and construction improvements at the portion of the Army Training Area retained by the Army.

The Senate bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a a technical amendment.

*Land conveyance, Army Reserve Center, Youngstown, Ohio (sec. 2861)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2834) that would authorize the Secretary of the Army to convey to the City of Youngstown, Ohio, without consideration, a parcel of real property. The property is located at 399 Miller Street in Youngstown, Ohio, and comprises the vacant Kefurt Army Reserve Center.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Land conveyance, Army Reserve property, Fort Sheridan, Illinois (sec. 2862)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2843) that would authorize the Secretary of the Army to convey to a transferee, selected through a competitive process, all right, title, and interest of the United States in a parcel of real property, and improvements thereon, at Fort Sheridan, Illinois, consisting of approximately 114 acres and comprising two Army Reserve areas. As consideration, the transferee would convey to the United States a parcel of land, acceptable to the Secretary, located not more than 25 miles from Fort Sheridan and in an area having similar social and economic conditions as the area in which Fort Sheridan is located. The transferee would also be required to construct replacement facilities and infrastructure, and pay the cost of relocating the Army personnel. The Secretary of the Army would be required to ensure that the fair market value of the consideration provided by the transferee is not less than the fair market value of the real property conveyed by the Secretary.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

*Land conveyance, property underlying Cummins Apartment Complex, Fort Holabird, Maryland (sec. 2863)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2830A) that would authorize the Secretary of the Army to convey to the owner of the Cummins Apartment Complex, at fair market value, six acres of real property at Fort Holabird, Maryland that underlies the Cummins Apartment Complex.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Modification of existing land conveyance, Army property, Hamilton Air Force Base, California (sec. 2864)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2837) that would modify section 9099(e) of the National Defense Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 1993 (Public Law 102–396), which permitted the Secretary of the Army to sell certain parcels of property at the former Hamilton Air Force Base, California, as described in the Agreement and Modification, dated September 25, 1990, between the Department of the Defense, the General Services Administration, and the purchaser. The House provision would authorize the Secretary of the Army to convey to the City of Novato, California, any unpurchased property described in section 9099(e) of the National Defense Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 1993 (Public Law 102–396), for use in establishing schools and park areas. Under this provision, the City would be required to provide any proceeds received from subsequent sale of the property, within the next ten years, to the Secretary of the Army.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with technical amendment.

PART II—NAVY CONVEYANCES

*Transfer of jurisdiction, Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant, Calverton, New York (sec. 2865)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2823) that would authorize the Secretary of the Navy to transfer to the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, without reimbursement, approximately 150 acres at the Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant, Calverton, New York. The property would be conveyed for use as a national cemetery.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

*Modification of land conveyance, Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant, Calverton, New York (sec. 2866)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2835) that would modify the condition of conveyance of the Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant, Calverton, New York, as authorized in the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal 1995 (Division B of Public Law 103–335; 108 Stat. 3061). The modification would amend the purpose of the conveyance. The provision would also strike the Department of Navy's reversionary interest in the property, and, in lieu thereof, authorize the Secretary to lease the facility to the Community Development Agency, in exchange for security, fire protection, and maintenance services, until the property is conveyed by deed.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would retain the purpose of the conveyance, as currently authorized by law.

*Land conveyance alternative to existing lease authority, Naval Supply Center, Oakland, California (sec. 2867)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2833) that would amend section 2834(b) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for

Fiscal Year 1993, (Division B of Public Law 103–160) and section 2821 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (Division B of Public Law 103–337) to authorize the Secretary of the Navy to convey to the City of Oakland, California, the Port of Oakland, California, or the City of Alameda, California, without consideration, in lieu of an existing lease, property at the Naval Supply Center, under such terms as the Secretary considers appropriate. The exact acreage of the real property that would be conveyed would be determined by a survey that is satisfactory to the Secretary, and the cost for such survey shall be borne by the recipient of the property.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would include the City of Richmond, California as an authorized recipient of the property to be conveyed.

*Land conveyance, Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant, McGregor, Texas (sec. 2868)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2830) that would authorize the Secretary of the Navy to convey to the City of McGregor, Texas, without consideration, all right, title, and interest of the United States in a parcel of real property, including improvements thereon, containing the Naval Weapons Industrial Reserve Plant. The conveyed property would be used for purposes of economic redevelopment. Until the real property is conveyed by deed, the Secretary would be permitted to lease the facility of the City in exchange for security, fire protection, and maintenance services. The Secretary would be authorized to convey other fixtures located on the property if such equipment can be reinstituted after the conveyance.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Land conveyance, Naval Surface Warfare Center, Memphis, Tennessee (sec. 2869)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2838) that would authorize the Secretary of the Navy to convey to the Memphis and Shelby County Port Commission, Memphis, Tennessee, 26 acres of land, including a 1250 ton stiff leg derrick crane, located at the Carderock Division, Naval Surface Warfare Center, Memphis Detachment, President's Island, Memphis, Tennessee. As consideration for the conveyance, the Port Commission shall grant a restrictive easement consisting of approximately 100 acres that is adjacent to the Memphis Detachment. If the value of the easement granted by the Port is less than the fair market value of the real property conveyed by the Navy, the Secretary and the Port would jointly determine the appropriate additional compensation. The Secretary would deposit any cash proceeds received as part of the transaction, into the special account established under section 204(h)(2) of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Land conveyance, Navy property, Fort Sheridan, Illinois (sec. 2870)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2842) that would authorize the Secretary of the Navy to convey to a transferee, selected through a competitive process, all right, title, and interest of the United States in a parcel of real property, and improvements thereon, at Fort Sheridan, Illinois, consisting of approximately 182 acres and comprising the Navy housing areas at Fort Sheridan. As consideration, the transferee would convey to the United States a parcel of land, acceptable to the Secretary, located not more than 25 miles from the Great Lakes Naval Training Center, Illinois, and located in an area having similar social and economic conditions as the area in which Fort Sheridan is located. The transferee would also be required to: construct replacement housing, support facilities, and infrastructure; pay the cost of relocating the Navy personnel; and provide for the education of dependents in schools that meet, and would continue to meet, standards established by the Secretary of the Navy, even after the enrollment of dependents, regardless of the receipt of federal impact aid by such schools or school districts. The Secretary of the Navy would be required to ensure that the fair market value of the consideration provided by the transferee is not less than the fair market value of the real property conveyed by the Secretary.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with technical amendment.

*Land conveyance, Naval Communications Station, Stockton, California (sec. 2871)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2844) that would authorize the Secretary of the Navy, with the concurrence of the Administrator of General Services and the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development, to convey to the Port of Stockton, California, all right, title, and interest in approximately 1,450 acres of real property at the Naval Communications Station, Stockton, California. The conveyance may be as a public benefit conveyance if the Port satisfies the criteria established in section 203 of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (40 U.S.C. 484). If the Port does not satisfy such criteria, the conveyance would be for fair market value. As a condition for the conveyance, the Port would be required to agree to maintain, under current terms and conditions, existing Federal leases of property at the Station. The Secretary would be authorized to lease the property to the Port until the property is conveyed by deed.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would delete the requirement that the conveyance be subject to the concurrence of the Administrator of General Service and the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development. The conferees intend that the Secretary would not carry out the conveyance unless it is determined that no department or agency of the Federal Government will accept the transfer of the property.

*Lease of property, Naval Air Station and Marine Corps Air Station, Miramar, California (sec. 2872)*

The conferees include a new section that would authorize the Secretary of the Navy to enter into a lease agreement with the City of San Diego, California, that would provide for the City's use of land at the Naval Air Station or Marine Corps Air Station Miramar, California, as a municipal solid waste landfill, and for other purposes related to the management of solid waste. The provision would also allow the Secretary to receive in-kind consideration under the lease, and to use any rental money received to carry out environmental programs or improvement projects to enhance quality of life programs for personnel stationed at the Naval Air Station or Marine Corps Air Station. This provision would provide the sole authority for entering into the described lease with the City of San Diego.

PART III—AIR FORCE CONVEYANCES

*Land acquisition or exchange, Shaw Air Force Base, South Carolina (sec. 2874)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2822) that would authorize the Secretary of the Air Force to acquire, by means of an exchange of property, acceptance as a gift, or other means that would not require the use of appropriated funds, all right, title, and interest in a parcel of real property, with improvements, consisting of approximately 1,100 acres adjacent to Shaw Air Force Base, Sumter, South Carolina.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision. The conference agreement includes this provision.

*Land conveyance, Elmendorf Air Force Base, Alaska (sec. 2875)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2832) that would authorize the Secretary of the Air Force to sell to a private person a parcel of real property consisting of approximately 32 acres located at Elmendorf Air Force Base, Alaska. As consideration for the sale, the purchaser would be required to provide appropriate maintenance for the apartment complex located on the property to be conveyed and used by members of the armed forces and their dependents stationed at the Elmendorf Air Force Base. The cost of any surveys necessary for the sale of real property would be borne by the purchaser.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a clarifying amendment.

*Land conveyance, Radar Bomb Scoring Site, Forsyth, Montana (sec. 2876)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2839) that would authorize the Secretary of the Air Force to convey to the City of Forsyth, Montana, without consideration, approximately 58 acres, with improvements, comprising the support complex and recreational facilities of the former Radar Bomb Scoring Site, Forsyth, Montana. The conveyance would be subject to the condition that the City use the property for housing and recreational purposes.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Land conveyance, Radar Bomb Scoring Site, Powell, Wyoming (sec. 2877)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2840) that would authorize the Secretary of the Air Force to convey to the Northwest College Board of Trustees, without consideration, approximately 24 acres, with improvements, comprising the support complex, recreational areas, and housing facilities at the former Radar Bomb Scoring Site, Powell, Wyoming. The conveyance would be subject to the condition that the Board use the property conveyed for housing and recreational purposes, and for such other purposes as the Secretary and the Board jointly determine appropriate.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Land conveyance, Avon Park Air Force Range, Florida (sec. 2878)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2827) that would authorize the Secretary of the Air Force to convey, without consideration, a parcel of real property, with improvements, within the boundaries of the Avon Park Air Force Range near Sebring,

Florida to Highlands County, Florida. The property would be conveyed for the operation of a juvenile or other correctional facility.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a clarifying amendment.

Subtitle E—Land Conveyances Involving Utilities

*Conveyance of resources recovery facility, Fort Dix, New Jersey (sec. 2881)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2841) that would authorize the Secretary of the Army to convey to Burlington County, New Jersey, a parcel of real property at Fort Dix, New Jersey, consisting of approximately two acres and containing the Fort Dix resource recovery facility.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would increase the acreage to be conveyed to six acres and would make other technical corrections.

*Conveyance of water and wastewater treatment plants, Fort Gordon, Georgia (sec. 2882)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2842) that would authorize the Secretary of the Army to convey to the City of Augusta, Georgia, all rights, title, and interest of the United States in several parcels of real property consisting of approximately seven acres each and containing water and wastewater treatment plants and distribution and collection systems. In consideration of the conveyance, the City of Augusta would accept the water and wastewater treatment plants and distribution and collection systems in their existing condition and provide water and sewer service to Fort Gordon, Georgia at a rate established by the appropriate State or Federal regulatory authority.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

*Conveyance of electricity distribution system, Fort Irwin, California (sec. 2883)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2843) that would authorize the Secretary of the Army to convey to the Southern California Edison Company, California, all right, title, and interest of the United States in the electrical distribution system located at Fort Irwin, California. In consideration for the conveyance, the Southern California Edison Company would be required to accept the electrical distribution system in its existing condition and provide electrical service to Fort Irwin at a rate established by the appropriate State or Federal regulatory authority.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

*Conveyance of water treatment plant, Fort Pickett, Virginia (sec. 2884)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2835) that would authorize the Secretary of the Army to convey to the Town of Blackstone, Virginia, without reimbursement, the water treatment plant located at Fort Pickett, Virginia. In exchange, the town would provide water and sewer services to Fort Pickett, at a rate negotiated by the Secretary of the Army and approved by the appropriate federal and state regulatory authorities.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would authorize the Secretary of the Army to convey to the Town of Blackstone, Virginia, the water treatment plant located at Fort Pickett, Virginia. The amendment would also modify paragraph (c) by clarifying that the water rights granted to the town would be determined pursuant to the law of the Commonwealth of Virginia.

SUBTITLE F—OTHER MATTERS

*Authority to use funds for certain educational purposes (sec. 2891)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2813) that would amend section 2008 of title 10, United States Code, to authorize the Department of Defense to continue the use of appropriated funds for repair, maintenance, and construction of Department of Education school facilities located on military installations.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

*Department of Defense Laboratory Revitalization Demonstration Program (sec. 2892)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2861) that would establish a test program to allow the heads of selected defense laboratories greater flexibility to undertake facility modernization initiatives. For test program laboratories, the provision would raise the minor construction threshold, from $1.5 million to $3.0 million, for projects that the Secretary of Defense may carry out without specific authorization. The provision would also raise the threshold for minor military construction projects requiring prior approval of the Secretary of Defense, from $500,000 to $1.5 million. Finally, the provision would raise, for the selected laboratories, the threshold, from $300,000 to $1.0 million, for the value of any unspecified military construction project for which operation and maintenance funds may be used.

The provision would provide for the expiration of the test authority on September 30, 2000. It would also require the Secretary of Defense to designate participating laboratories before the test may begin, establish a review procedure for each project to be funded under this section, and report to Congress on the lessons learned from the test program one year before the program is terminated.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

*Authority for Port Authority of State of Mississippi to use Navy property at Naval Construction Battalion Center, Gulfport, Mississippi (sec. 2893)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2852) that would authorize the Secretary of the Navy to enter into an agreement with the Port Authority of the State of Mississippi to permit joint use of real property and associated improvements comprising up to 50 acres located at the Naval Construction Battalion Center, Gulfport, Mississippi. The requirement would be for a period not to exceed 15 years, and the Port Authority would be required to pay fair market rental value as determined by the Secretary. The Secretary could not enter into any agreement until after the end of a 21-day period beginning on the date on which the Secretary submits a report to Congress explaining the terms of the proposed agreement and describing the consideration that the Secretary would expect to receive under the agreement.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Prohibition on joint use of Naval Air Station and Marine Corps Air Station, Miramar, California (sec. 2894)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2853) that would prohibit the Secretary of the Navy from entering into any agreement that would provide for the regular use of Naval Air Station, Miramar, California, by civil aircraft.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a clarifying amendment.

*Report regarding Army water craft support facilities and activities (sec. 2895)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2854) that would require the Secretary of the Army to submit, not later than February 15, 1996, a report describing the Army's water craft support facilities and activities. The report would include actions that can be taken to close the Army Reserve Facility located in Marcus Hook, Pennsylvania.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a technical amendment.

*Residual value reports (sec. 2896)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2864) that would require the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Director of the Office of Management and Budget, to submit to the congressional defense committees a status report on the results of residual value negotiations between the United States and Germany. The report would be provided within 30 days after the Office of Management and Budget receives the results of the negotiations.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a technical amendment.

*Sense of Congress and report regarding Fitzsimons Army Medical Center, Colorado (sec. 2897)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2830C) that would express the Sense of Congress that the Secretary of the military departments should consider the expedited transfer of facilities to local redevelopment authorities while the facilities are still operational. The provision would also require the Secretary of the Army to provide a report, within 180 days of enactment of the National Defense Authorization Bill for Fiscal Year 1996, on the actions taken to convey the Fitzsimons Army Medical Center, Colorado.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

The conferees agree that this section is intended to support current efforts to redevelop the Fitzsimons Army Medical Center. The conferees agree that this section is not intended to circumvent the 1995 recommendations of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission, or other applicable laws.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

*Land conveyance, Naval Air Station, Pensacola, Florida*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2826) that would authorize the Secretary of the Navy to convey to West Florida Developers, Inc. a parcel of unimproved real property, consisting of approximately 135 acres.

As consideration for the conveyance of real property, West Florida Developers, Inc. would agree to restrict the use of all lands located within the Accident Potential Zone of Naval Air Station Pensacola, owned by West Florida Developers, Inc. The cost of any surveys necessary for the conveyance shall be borne by West Florida Developers, Inc.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Expansion of authority to sell electricity*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2851) that would amend section 2483(a) of title 10, United States Code, to expand the authority of the Department of Defense to permit the military departments to take advantage of changing electric power marketing conditions by increasing the available option to outsource for energy on military installations.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Clarification of funding for environmental restoration at installations approved for closure or realignment in 1995*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2823) that would authorize the Department of Defense to fund environmental restoration at installations selected for closure by the 1995 Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission with funds authorized for the Defense Environmental Restoration Account for fiscal year 1996. After fiscal year 1996, environmental restoration for these installations would be funded using the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Account.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Report on the disposal of property, Fort Ord Military Complex, California*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2841) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the Congress describing the plans for the disposal of a parcel of real property consisting of approximately 477 acres at the former Fort Ord Military Complex.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Land conveyance, William Langer Jewel Bearing Plant, Rolla, North Dakota*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2845) that would authorize the Administrator of the General Services Administration to convey to the Job Development Authority of the City of Rolla, without consideration, approximately 9.77 acres of real property, comprising the former Army-owned William Langer Jewel Bearing Plant, Rolla, North Dakota. The property and facility are to be used for economic development in order to replace economic activity lost at the plant.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Renovation of the Pentagon Reservation*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 2865) that would require the Secretary of Defense to take such actions necessary to reduce the total cost of the renovation of the Pentagon Reservation to not more than $1.1 billion.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees note that, as required by section 8149 of the Fiscal Year 1995 Department of Defense Appropriations Act (Public Law 103-335), the Secretary of Defense certified on December 19, 1994 that the total cost of the renovation would not exceed $1.2 billion. Although the department is in the fifth year of a 15 year renovation of the Pentagon, the conferees reiterate their view that this project should be executed at the lowest cost possible. Earlier this year, the Secretary of Defense appointed a steering committee to review the ongoing renovation project. The Secretary of Defense is directed to submit a report to the Senate Committee on Armed Services and the House Committee on National Security by February 15, 1996 on the findings of the steering committee review and on opportunities to achieve further savings.

TITLE XXIX—LAND CONVEYANCES INVOLVING JOLIET ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

*Title XXIX—Land Conveyances involving Joliet Army Ammunition Plant, Illinois*

The Senate amendment contained provisions (secs. 2851-2857) that would authorize the Secretary of the Army to transfer to the Secretary of Agriculture approximately 19,000 acres of land located at the Joliet Army Ammunition Plant to establish the Midewin Tallgrass Prairie. The provision would also authorize the Secretary of the Army to convey, without compensation, to the Secretary of Veterans Affairs 910 acres of land at Joliet Army Ammunition Plant to establish a national cemetery.

The provision would further authorize the Secretary of the Army to convey to the County of Will, Illinois, without consideration, 425 acres of land at Joliet Army Ammunition Plant to be used for a landfill. As a part of this conveyance, the County of Will would be required to permit Federal Government use of the landfill at no cost.

The provision would also authorize the Secretary of the Army to convey, at fair market value, 1,900 acres and 1,100 acres of land located at the Joliet Army Ammunition Plant to the Village of Elwood, Illinois, and the City of Wilmington, Illinois, respectively, to establish industrial parks. All proceeds from any future sale of these parcels or portions of these parcels would be remitted to the Secretary of the Army.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would incorporate the language contained in H.R. 714, an act that would establish the Midewin National Tallgrass Prairie in the State of Illinois, as passed by the House of Representatives in the 104th Congress. The House amendment would modify H.R. 714 to:

(1) make technical corrections;

(2) authorize the Secretary of the Army to transfer 982 acres of real property to the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to establish a national cemetery;

(3) authorize the Secretary of the Army to convey to Will County, Illinois, without consideration, 455 acres of real property for use as a landfill;

(4) authorize the Secretary of the Army to convey to the State of Illinois, at fair market value, 3,000 acres of real property to the State of Illinois for economic redevelopment. The State of Illinois would be required to pay the Army fair market value for the property within twenty years after the date of the conveyance;

(5) require the Governor of the State of Illinois to consult with the Mayors of the Village of Elwood, Illinois, and the City of Wilmington, Illinois, in establishing a redevelopment authority to oversee the development of the real property conveyed to the State; and

(6) clarify the responsibility of the Department of the Army, and other parties to the conveyance, for environmental remediation and restoration of the real property comprising the Joliet Army Ammunition Plant.

DIVISION C—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY AUTHORIZATIONS AND OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS

TITLE XXXI—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS

*Overview*

The budget request for fiscal year 1996 contained an authorization of $11,178.5 million for the Department of Energy National Security Programs. The House bill would authorize $10,403.6 million. The Senate amendment would authorize $11,178.7 million. The conferees recommended an authorization of $10,618.2 million. The funding level was largely due to a reduced funding in Environmental Restoration and Waste Management. Unless noted explicitly in the statement of managers, all changes are made without prejudice.

## SUMMARY OF NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS FOR FY 1996

| (Dollars in Millions) Account Title | Authorization Request 1996 | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Conference Change | Conference Authorization |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| TITLE XXXI, XXXII | | | | | |
| Weapons Activities | 3,540.175 | 3,610.914 | 3,666.219 | (79.861) | 3,460.314 |
| Defense Nuclear Waste Disposal | 198.400 | 198.400 | 198.400 | 50.000 | 248.400 |
| Defense Environmental Restoration and Waste Managem | 6,008.002 | 5,265.478 | 5,905.955 | (450.470) | 5,557.532 |
| Other Defense Activities | 1,432.159 | 1,328.841 | 1,408.162 | (80.183) | 1,351.976 |
| Salaries and Expenses | 18.500 | 17.000 | 18.500 | (1.500) | 17.000 |
| **Total DOE** | 11,197.236 | 10,420.633 | 11,197.236 | (562.014) | 10,635.222 |

**Fiscal Year 1996 Department of Energy National Security Programs**

[Amounts in thousands of dollars]

| | FY 1996 Request | House Change to Request | House Authorization | Senate Change to Request | Senate Authorization | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES | | | | | | | |
| **WEAPONS ACTIVITIES** | | | | | | | |
| **A. Stockpile stewardship** | | | | | | | |
| 1. Core stockpile stewardship | | | | | | | |
| Operating expenses | 948,548 | -948,548 | 0 | -948,548 | 0 | -948,548 | 0 |
| Capital equipment | 67,355 | -67,355 | 0 | -67,355 | 0 | -67,355 | 0 |
| **Subtotal** | 1,015,903 | -1,015,903 | 0 | -1,015,903 | 0 | -1,015,903 | 0 |
| Operations & Maintenance | 0 | 1,098,403 | 1,098,403 | 1,305,308 | 1,305,308 | 1,078,403 | 1,078,403 |
| Construction: | | | | | | | |
| GPD -101 General plant projects, various locations | 12,500 | -12,500 | 0 | -12,500 | 0 | -12,500 | 0 |
| 96-D-102 Stockpile stewardship facilities revitalization, phase VI, various locations | 2,520 | 0 | 2,520 | | 2,520 | 0 | 2,520 |
| 96-D-103 ATLAS, Los Alamos National laboratory | 8,400 | 0 | 8,400 | | 8,400 | 0 | 8,400 |
| 96-D-104 Process and environmental technology laboratory, SNL | 1,800 | 0 | 1,800 | | 1,800 | 0 | 1,800 |
| 96-D-105 Contained firing facility addition, LLNL | 6,600 | 0 | 6,600 | | 6,600 | 0 | 6,600 |
| 95-D-102 Chemistry and metallurgy research (CMR) upgrades project, LANL | 9,940 | 0 | 9,940 | | 9,940 | 0 | 9,940 |
| 94-D-102 Nuclear weapons research, development and testing facilities revitalization, phase V, VL | 12,200 | 0 | 12,200 | | 12,200 | 0 | 12,200 |

**Fiscal Year 1996 Department of Energy National Security Programs**

[Amounts in thousands of dollars]

| | FY 1996 Request | House Change to Request | House Authorization | Senate Change to Request | Senate Authorization | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 93-D-102 Nevada support facility, NV | 15,650 | 0 | 15,650 | | 15,650 | 0 | 15,650 |
| 90-D-102 Nuclear weapons research, development and testing facilities revitalization, phase III, various locations | 6,200 | 0 | 6,200 | | 6,200 | 0 | 6,200 |
| 88-D-106 Nuclear weapons research, development and testing facilities revitalization, phase II, VL | 17,995 | 10,000 | 27,995 | 0 | 17,995 | 0 | 17,995 |
| Total, Construction | 93,805 | -2,500 | 91,305 | -12,500 | 81,305 | -12,500 | 81,305 |
| **Total, Core stockpile stewardship** | 1,109,708 | 80,000 | 1,189,708 | 276,905 | 1,386,613 | 50,000 | 1,159,708 |
| 2. Inertial fusion | | | | | | | |
| Operating expenses | 195,349 | -195,349 | 0 | -195,349 | 0 | -195,349 | 0 |
| Capital equipment | 7,918 | -7,918 | 0 | -7,918 | 0 | -7,918 | 0 |
| Operations & Maintenance | | 203,267 | 203,267 | 193,267 | 193,267 | 203,267 | 203,267 |
| Construction: | | | | | | | |
| 96-D-111 National ignition facility, TBD | 37,400 | 0 | 37,400 | 0 | 37,400 | 0 | 37,400 |
| **Total, Inertial fusion** | 240,667 | 0 | 240,667 | -10,000 | 230,667 | 0 | 240,667 |
| 3. Technology transfer/education | | | | | | | |
| Operating expenses | | 25000 | 25000 | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Technology transfer | 225405 | -225405 | 0 | -225405 | 0 | -75,405 | 150,000 |
| Education | 20000 | -20000 | 0 | -20000 | 0 | -10,000 | 10,000 |
| **Total, Operating expenses** | 245405 | -220405 | 25000 | -245405 | 0 | -85,405 | 160000 |

**Fiscal Year 1996 Department of Energy National Security Programs**

[Amounts in thousands of dollars]

| | FY 1996 Request | House Change to Request | House Authorization | Senate Change to Request | Senate Authorization | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Capital equipment | 4,000 | -4,000 | 0 | -4,000 | 0 | -4,000 | 0 |
| **Total, Technology transfer/education** | 249,405 | -224,405 | 25000 | -249,405 | 0 | -89,405 | 160,000 |
| 4. Marshall island/Dose reconstruction | | | | | | | |
| Operating expenses | 6,330 | -6,330 | 0 | -6,330 | 0 | -6,330 | 0 |
| Capital equipment | 470 | -470 | 0 | -470 | 0 | -470 | 0 |
| Operations and Maintenance | 0 | 6,800 | 0 | 6,800 | 6800 | 6,800 | 6,800 |
| **Total, Marshall island/Dose reconstruction** | 6,800 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,800 | 0 | 6,800 |
| **Total, Stockpile stewardship** | 1,606,580 | -144,405 | 1462175 | 17,500 | 1,624,080 | -39,405 | 1,567,175 |
| **B. Stockpile management** | | | | | | | |
| Operating expenses | 1,762,168 | -1,762,168 | 0 | -1,762,168 | 0 | -1,762,168 | 0 |
| Capital equipment | 33,290 | -33,290 | 0 | -33,290 | 0 | -33,290 | 0 |
| **Subtotal** | 1,795,458 | -1,795,458 | 0 | -1,795,458 | 0 | -1,795,458 | 0 |
| Operations & Maintenance | 0 | 2,028,458 | 2,028,458 | 1,911,858 | 1,911,858 | 1,911,458 | 1,911,458 |
| Construction: | | | | | | | |
| Core stockpile management | | | | | | | |
| Stockpile support facilities: | | | | | | | |
| GPD-121 General plant projects, various locations | 10,000 | -10,000 | 0 | 0 | 10,000 | -10,000 | 0 |
| Production Base | | | | | | | |
| 88-D-122 Facilities capability assurance Program (FCAP), various locations | 8,660 | 0 | 8,660 | 0 | 8,660 | 0 | 8,660 |
| 96-D-126 Tritium Loading Line Modifications, | | | | | | | |

**Fiscal Year 1996 Department of Energy National Security Programs**

[Amounts in thousands of dollars]

| | FY 1996 Request | House Change to Request | House Authorization | Senate Change to Request | Senate Authorization | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Savannah River Site, South Carolina | 0 | 12,200 | 12,200 | 12,200 | 12,200 | 12,200 | 12,200 |
| **Total Production Base** | 18,660 | 2,200 | 20,860 | 12,200 | 30,860 | 2,200 | 20,860 |
| Environmental, safety and health | | | | | | | |
| 96-D-122 Sewage treatment quality upgrade (STQU), Pantex plant | 600 | 0 | 600 | 0 | 600 | 0 | 600 |
| 96-D-123 Retrofit HVAC and chillers, for ozone protection, Y-12 plant | 3,100 | 0 | 3,100 | 0 | 3,100 | 0 | 3,100 |
| 95-D-122 Sanitary sewer upgrades, Y-12 plant | 6,300 | 0 | 6,300 | 0 | 6,300 | 0 | 6,300 |
| 94-D-124 Hydrogen fluoride supply system, Y-12 plant | 8,700 | 0 | 8,700 | 0 | 8,700 | 0 | 8,700 |
| 94-D-125 Upgrade life safety, Kansas City plant | 5,500 | 0 | 5,500 | 0 | 5,500 | 0 | 5,500 |
| 94-D-127 Emergency notification system, Pantex plant | 2,000 | 0 | 2,000 | 0 | 2,000 | 0 | 2,000 |
| 94-D-128 Environmental safety and health analytical laboratory, Pantex plant | 4,000 | 0 | 4,000 | 0 | 4,000 | 0 | 4,000 |
| 93-D-122 Life safety upgrades, Y-12 plant | 7,200 | 0 | 7,200 | 0 | 7,200 | 0 | 7,200 |
| **Total, Environmental, safety and health** | 37,400 | 0 | 37,400 | 0 | 37,400 | 0 | 37,400 |

**Fiscal Year 1996 Department of Energy National Security Programs**

[Amounts in thousands of dollars]

| | FY 1996 Request | House Change to Request | House Authorization | Senate Change to Request | Senate Authorization | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Safeguards and Security | | | | | | | |
| 88-D-123 Security enhancement, Pantex plant | 13,400 | 0 | 13,400 | 0 | 13,400 | 0 | 13,400 |
| Nuclear Weapons Incident Response | | | | | | | |
| 96-D-125 Washington measurement operations facility, Andrew Air Force Base, MD | 900 | 0 | 900 | 0 | 900 | 0 | 900 |
| Reconfiguration | | | | | | | |
| 93-D-123 Non-nuclear reconfiguration various locations | 41,065 | 0 | 41,065 | 0 | 41,065 | 0 | 41,065 |
| **Total, Construction** | 111,425 | 2,200 | 113,625 | 12,200 | 123,625 | 2,200 | 113,625 |
| **Total, Stockpile management** | 1,906,883 | 235,200 | 2,142,083 | 128,600 | 2,035,483 | 118,200 | 2,025,083 |

**Fiscal Year 1996 Department of Energy National Security Programs**

[Amounts in thousands of dollars]

| | FY 1996 Request | House Change to Request | House Authorization | Senate Change to Request | Senate Authorization | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **C. Program Direction** | | | | | | | |
| Weapons program direction - OE | 136,169 | -136,169 | 0 | -136,169 | 0 | -136,169 | 0 |
| Capital equipment | 1,887 | -1,887 | 0 | -1,887 | 0 | -1,887 | 0 |
| Operations & Maintenance | 0 | 118,000 | 118,000 | 118,000 | 118,000 | 115,000 | 115,000 |
| **Total, Program direction** | 138,056 | -20,056 | 118,000 | -20,056 | 118,000 | -23,056 | 115,000 |
| **Subtotal, Weapons activities** | 3,651,519 | 70,739 | 3,722,258 | 126,044 | 3,777,563 | 55,739 | 3,707,258 |
| Adjustments | | | | | | | |
| Use of prior year balances | -86,344 | 0 | -86,344 | 0 | -86,344 | -123,400 | -209,744 |
| Streamline DOE Contractors (undistributed) | -25,000 | 0 | -25,000 | 0 | -25,000 | -12,200 | -37,200 |
| **Total, Adjustments** | -111,344 | 0 | -111,344 | 0 | -111,344 | -135,600 | -246,944 |
| **TOTAL, WEAPONS ACTIVITIES** | 3,540,175 | 70,739 | 3,610,914 | 126,044 | 3,666,219 | -79,861 | 3,460,314 |

**Fiscal Year 1996 Department of Energy National Security Programs**
[Amounts in thousands of dollars]

| | FY 1996 Request | House Change to Request | House Authorization | Senate Change to Request | Senate Authorization | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION AND WASTE MANAGEMENT** | | | | | | | |
| A. Corrective Activities | | | | | | | |
| Construction: | | | | | | | |
| 93-D-103 Environment, safety and health improvements, weapons R&D complex, LANL | 3,406 | 0 | 3,406 | 0 | 3,406 | -3,406 | 0 |
| **Total, Corrective activities** | 3,406 | 0 | 3,406 | 0 | 3,406 | -3,406 | 0 |
| B. Environmental Restoration | | | | | | | |
| Operating Expenses | 1,575,973 | 0 | 1,575,973 | -25,047 | 1,550,926 | 60,000 | 1,635,973 |
| C. Waste management | | | | | | | |
| Operating expenses | 2,196,766 | -2,196,766 | 0 | -2,196,766 | 0 | -2,196,766 | 0 |
| Capital equipment | 91,500 | -91,500 | 0 | -91,500 | 0 | -91,500 | 0 |
| **Subtotal** | 2,288,266 | -2,288,266 | 0 | -2,288,266 | 0 | -2,288,266 | 0 |
| Operations & Maintenance | 0 | 2,168,994 | 2,168,994 | 2,151,266 | 2,151,266 | 2,295,994 | 2,295,994 |
| Construction: | | | | | | | |
| GP-D-171 General plant projects, various locations | 30,728 | -30,728 | 0 | -15,000 | 15,728 | -30,728 | 0 |
| 96-D-400 Replace industrial waste piping, Kansas City Plant, Kansas City, MO | 200 | -200 | 0 | 0 | 200 | -200 | 0 |
| 96-D-401 Comprehensive treatment & management plan immobilization of miscellaneous wastes, Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site, Golden, CO | 1,400 | -1,400 | 0 | 0 | 1,400 | -1,400 | 0 |

**Fiscal Year 1996 Department of Energy National Security Programs**

[Amounts in thousands of dollars]

| | FY 1996 Request | House Change to Request | House Authorization | Senate Change to Request | Senate Authorization | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 96-D-402 Comprehensive treatment & management plan building 374/774 sludge immobilization, Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site, Golden, CO | 1,500 | -1,500 | 0 | 0 | 1,500 | -1,500 | 0 |
| 96-D-403 Tank farm service upgrades, Savannah River, S | 3,315 | -3,315 | 0 | 0 | 3,315 | -3,315 | 0 |
| 96-D-405 T-Plant secondary containment & leak detection upgrades, Richland, WA | 2,100 | -2,100 | 0 | 0 | 2,100 | -2,100 | 0 |
| 96-D-406 K-Basin operations program, Richland, WA | 26,000 | 0 | 26,000 | 15,000 | 41,000 | 16,000 | 42,000 |
| 96-D-407 Mixed waste, low level waste treatement projects, Rocky Flats, CO | 0 | 2,900 | 2,900 | 0 | 0 | 2,900 | 2,900 |
| 96-D-408 Waste Management upgrades/various locations | 0 | 5,615 | 5,615 | 0 | 0 | 5,615 | 5,615 |
| 96-D-409 Advance mixed waste treatment facility, Idaho National Engineering Lab, Idaho | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 0 | 0 |
| 96-D-410 Specific manufacturing characterization facility assesment and upgrade, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, Idaho | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 0 | 0 |
| 95-D-402 Install permanent electrical service, WIPP, New Mexico | 4,314 | 0 | 4,314 | 0 | 4,314 | 0 | 4,314 |
| 95-D-405 Industrial landfill V and construction/ | | | | | | | |

**Fiscal Year 1996 Department of Energy National Security Programs**

[Amounts in thousands of dollars]

| | FY 1996 Request | House Change to Request | House Authorization | Senate Change to Request | Senate Authorization | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| demolition landfill VII, Y-12 Plant, Oak Ridge, TN | 4,600 | 0 | 4,600 | 0 | 4,600 | 0 | 4,600 |
| 95-D-406 Road 5-01 reconstruction, area 5, NV | 1,023 | 0 | 1,023 | 0 | 1,023 | 0 | 1,023 |
| 95-D-407 219-S Secondary containment upgrade, Richland, WA . | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| 94-D-400 High explosive wastewater treatment system, LANL . | 4,445 | 0 | 4,445 | 0 | 4,445 | 0 | 4,445 |
| 94-D-402 Liquid waste treatment system, NTS | 282 | 0 | 282 | 0 | 282 | 0 | 282 |
| 94-D-404 Melton Valley storage tank capacity increase, ORNL | 11,000 | 0 | 11,000 | 0 | 11,000 | 0 | 11,000 |
| 94-D-407 Initial tank retrieval systems, Richland, WA . | 9,400 | 0 | 9,400 | 0 | 9,400 | 2,600 | 12,000 |
| 94-D-411 Solid waste operation complex, Richland, WA . | 5,500 | 0 | 5,500 | 0 | 5,500 | 1,106 | 6,606 |
| 94-D-417 Intermediate-level and low-activity waste vaults, Savannah River, SC | 2,704 | 0 | 2,704 | 0 | 2,704 | -2,704 | 0 |
| 93-D-178 Building 374 liquid waste treatment facility, Rocky Flats Plant, CO | 3,900 | 0 | 3,900 | 0 | 3,900 | 0 | 3,900 |
| 93-D-181 Radioactive liquid waste line replacement, Richland, WA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,000 | 5,000 |

**Fiscal Year 1996 Department of Energy National Security Programs**

[Amounts in thousands of dollars]

| | FY 1996 Request | House Change to Request | House Authorization | Senate Change to Request | Senate Authorization | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 93-D-182 Replacement of cross-site transfer system, Richland, WA | 19,795 | 0 | 19,795 | 0 | 19,795 | 0 | 19,795 |
| 93-D-183 Multi-function waste remediation facility, Richland, WA | 31,000 | 0 | 31,000 | 0 | 31,000 | -31,000 | 0 |
| 93-D-187 High level waste removal from filled waste tanks, Savannah River, SC | 19,700 | 0 | 19,700 | 15,000 | 34,700 | 0 | 19,700 |
| 92-D-171 Mixed waste receiving and storage facility, LANL | 1,105 | 0 | 1,105 | 0 | 1,105 | 0 | 1,105 |
| 92-D-188 Waste management ES&H, and compliance activities, various locations | 1,100 | 0 | 1,100 | 0 | 1,100 | 0 | 1,100 |
| 90-D-172 Aging waste transfer line, Richland, WA | 2,000 | 0 | 2,000 | 0 | 2,000 | 0 | 2,000 |
| 90-D-177 RWMC transuranic (TRU) waste characterization and storage facility, ID | 1,428 | 0 | 1,428 | 0 | 1,428 | 0 | 1,428 |
| 90-D-178 TSA retrieval enclosure, ID | 2,606 | 0 | 2,606 | 0 | 2,606 | 0 | 2,606 |
| 89-D-173 Tank farm ventilation upgrade, Richland, WA | 800 | 0 | 800 | 0 | 800 | 0 | 800 |
| 89-D-174 Replacement high level waste evaporator, Savannah River, SC | 11,500 | 0 | 11,500 | 0 | 11,500 | 0 | 11,500 |

**Fiscal Year 1996 Department of Energy National Security Programs**
[Amounts in thousands of dollars]

| | FY 1996 Request | House Change to Request | House Authorization | Senate Change to Request | Senate Authorization | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 86-D-103 Decontamination and waste treatment facility, LLNL, Livermore, CA | 8,885 | 0 | 8,885 | 0 | 8,885 | 0 | 8,885 |
| 83-D-148 Non-radioactive hazardous waste management, Savannah River, SC | 1,000 | 0 | 1,000 | 0 | 1,000 | 0 | 1,000 |
| **Total, Construction** | 213,330 | -30,728 | 182,602 | 22,000 | 235,330 | -38,726 | 174,604 |
| **Total, Waste management** | 2,501,596 | -150,000 | 2,351,596 | -115,000 | 2,386,596 | -30,998 | 2,470,598 |
| D. Technology development | | 390,510 | 390,510 | 505,510 | 505,510 | 440,510 | 440,510 |
| Operating expenses | 367,587 | -367,587 | 0 | -367,587 | 0 | -367,587 | 0 |
| Capital equipment | 22,923 | -22,923 | 0 | -22,923 | 0 | -22,923 | 0 |
| Construction: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Total, Technology development** | 390,510 | 0 | 390,510 | 115,000 | 505,510 | 50,000 | 440,510 |
| E. Transportation management | 0 | 10,158 | 10,158 | 16,158 | 16,158 | 13,158 | 13,158 |
| Operating expenses | 15,918 | -15,918 | 0 | -15,918 | 0 | -15,918 | 0 |
| Capital equipment | 240 | -240 | 0 | -240 | 0 | -240 | 0 |
| **Total, Transportation management** | 16,158 | -6,000 | 10,158 | 0 | 16,158 | -3,000 | 13,158 |
| F. Program direction | | | | | | | |
| Operating expenses | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Capital equipment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Total, Program direction** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

**Fiscal Year 1996 Department of Energy National Security Programs**
[Amounts in thousands of dollars]

| | FY 1996 Request | House Change to Request | House Authorization | Senate Change to Request | Senate Authorization | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| G. Nuclear materials and facilities stabilization | | | | | | | |
| Operating expenses | 1,413,987 | -1,413,987 | 0 | -1,413,987 | 0 | -1,413,987 | 0 |
| Capital equipment | 53,397 | -53,397 | 0 | -53,397 | 0 | -53,397 | 0 |
| **Subtotal** | 1,467,384 | -1,467,384 | 0 | -1,467,384 | 0 | -1,467,384 | 0 |
| Operations & Maintenance | 0 | 1,427,108 | 1,427,108 | 1,463,384 | 1,463,384 | 1,447,108 | 1,447,108 |
| Construction: | | | | | | | |
| GP-D-171 General plant projects, var. locations | 34,724 | -34,724 | 0 | -20,000 | 14,724 | -34,724 | 0 |
| 96-D-457 Thermal treatment system, Richland, WA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| 96-D-458 Site drainage control, Mound Plant, Miamisburg, OH | 885 | 0 | 885 | 0 | 885 | 0 | 885 |
| 96-D-461 Electrical distribution upgrade, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, ID | 1,539 | 0 | 1,539 | 0 | 1,539 | 0 | 1,539 |
| 96-D-462 Health physics instrument laboratory, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, ID | 1,126 | 0 | 1,126 | 0 | 1,126 | -1,126 | 0 |
| 96-D-463 Central facilities area (CFA) craft shop Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, ID | 724 | -724 | 0 | 0 | 724 | -724 | 0 |
| 96-D-464 Electrical & utility systems upgrades, Idaho Chemical Processing Plant, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, ID | 4,952 | 0 | 4,952 | 0 | 4,952 | 0 | 4,952 |
| 96-D-465 200 Area sanitary sewer system, Richland, W | 1,800 | -1,800 | 0 | 0 | 1,800 | -1,800 | 0 |

**Fiscal Year 1996 Department of Energy National Security Programs**
[Amounts in thousands of dollars]

| | FY 1996 Request | House Change to Request | House Authorization | Senate Change to Request | Senate Authorization | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 96-D-468 Residue Elimination Project, Rocky Flats, CO | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33,100 | 33,100 |
| 96-D-470 Environmental monitoring laboratory, Savannah River Site, Aiken , SC | 3,500 | 0 | 3,500 | 0 | 3,500 | -3,500 | 0 |
| 96-D-471 CFC HVAC/chiller retrofit, Savannah River Site, Aiken,SC | 1,500 | 0 | 1,500 | 0 | 1,500 | 0 | 1,500 |
| 96-D-472 Plant engineering & design, Savannah River Site, Aiken, SC | 4,000 | -4,000 | 0 | 0 | 4,000 | -4,000 | 0 |
| 96-D-474 Dry Fuel Storage Facility, INEL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 0 | 0 |
| 96-D-475 High Level Waste Volume Reduction Demo (Pentaborane), INEL | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 0 | 0 |
| 96-D-473 Health physics site support facility, Savannah River, South Carolina | 2,000 | 0 | 2,000 | 0 | 2,000 | -2,000 | 0 |
| 95-D-155 Upgrade site road infrastructure, Savannah River, South Carolina | 2,900 | 0 | 2,900 | 0 | 2,900 | 0 | 2,900 |
| 95-D-156 Radio trunking system, Savannah River, SC | 6,000 | 0 | 6,000 | 4,000 | 10,000 | 0 | 6,000 |
| 95-D-454 324 Facility compliance/renovation, Richland, WA | 3,500 | 0 | 3,500 | 0 | 3,500 | 0 | 3,500 |
| 95-D-456 Security facilities consolidation, Idaho | | | | | | | |

**Fiscal Year 1996 Department of Energy National Security Programs**

[Amounts in thousands of dollars]

| | FY 1996 Request | House Change to Request | House Authorization | Senate Change to Request | Senate Authorization | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Chemical Processing Plant, INEL, Idaho | 8,382 | 0 | 8,382 | 0 | 8,382 | 0 | 8,382 |
| 94-D-122 Underground storage tanks, Rocky Flats Plant, CO | 5,000 | 0 | 5,000 | 0 | 5,000 | 0 | 5,000 |
| 94-D-401 Emergency response facility, INEL, ID | 5,074 | 0 | 5,074 | 0 | 5,074 | 0 | 5,074 |
| 94-D-412 300 area process sewer piping system upgrade, Richland, WA | 1,000 | 0 | 1,000 | 0 | 1,000 | 0 | 1,000 |
| 94-D-415 Idaho national engineering laboratory medical facilities, INEL, ID | 3,601 | 0 | 3,601 | 0 | 3,601 | 0 | 3,601 |
| 94-D-451 Infrastructure replacement, Rocky Flats Plant, CO | 2,940 | 0 | 2,940 | 0 | 2,940 | 0 | 2,940 |
| 93-D-147 Domestic water system upgrade, Phase I & II, Savannah River, South Carolina | 7,130 | 0 | 7,130 | 0 | 7,130 | 0 | 7,130 |
| 93-D-172 Idaho national engineering laboratory electrical upgrade, INEL, ID | 124 | 0 | 124 | 0 | 124 | -124 | 0 |
| 92-D-123 Plant fire/security alarm system replacement, Rocky Flats Plant, Golden, CO | 9,560 | 0 | 9,560 | 0 | 9,560 | 0 | 9,560 |
| 92-D-125 Master safeguards and security agreement/materials surveillance task force security upgrades, Rocky Flats Plant, CO | 7,000 | 0 | 7,000 | 0 | 7,000 | 0 | 7,000 |

**Fiscal Year 1996 Department of Energy National Security Programs**
[Amounts in thousands of dollars]

| | FY 1996 Request | House Change to Request | House Authorization | Senate Change to Request | Senate Authorization | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 92-D-181 Idaho national engineering laboratory fire and life safety improvements, INEL, ID | 6,883 | 0 | 6,883 | 0 | 6,883 | 0 | 6,883 |
| 91-D-127 Criticality alarm & plant annunciation utility replacement, Rocky Flats plant, Golden, CO | 2,800 | 0 | 2,800 | 0 | 2,800 | 0 | 2,800 |
| **Total, Construction** | 128,644 | -41,248 | 87,396 | 4,000 | 132,644 | -13,898 | 114,746 |
| **Total, Nuclear materials and fac. stabilization** | 1,596,028 | -81,524 | 1,514,504 | 0 | 1,596,028 | -34,174 | 1,561,854 |
| H. Compliance and program coordination | | | | | | | |
| Operating expenses | 65,551 | -65,551 | 0 | -65,551 | 0 | -65,551 | 0 |
| Capital equipment | 700 | -700 | 0 | -700 | 0 | -700 | 0 |
| **Subtotal** | 66,251 | -66,251 | 0 | -66,251 | 0 | -66,251 | 0 |
| Operations & Maintenance | 0 | 16,251 | 16,251 | 66,251 | 66,251 | 31,251 | 31,251 |
| Construction: | | | | | | | |
| 95-E-600 Hazardous materials training center, Richland, Washington | 15,000 | 0 | 15,000 | 0 | 15,000 | 0 | 15,000 |
| **Total, Compliance and program coordination** | 81,251 | -50,000 | 31,251 | 0 | 81,251 | -35,000 | 46,251 |
| I. Analysis, education, and risk management | | 77,022 | 77,022 | 80,022 | 80,022 | 78,522 | 78,522 |
| Operating expenses | 155,616 | -155,616 | 0 | -155,616 | 0 | -155,616 | 0 |
| Capital equipment | 1,406 | -1,406 | 0 | -1,406 | 0 | -1,406 | 0 |
| | | | | | | 0 | |
| **Total, Analysis, education, and risk management** | 157,022 | -80,000 | 77,022 | -77,000 | 80,022 | -78,500 | 78,522 |
| **Subtotal, Defense environment restoration & waste mgmt** | 6,321,944 | -367,524 | 5,954,420 | -102,047 | 6,219,897 | -75,078 | 6,246,866 |

**Fiscal Year 1996 Department of Energy National Security Programs**
[Amounts in thousands of dollars]

| | FY 1996 Request | House Change to Request | House Authorization | Senate Change to Request | Senate Authorization | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Savannah river pension refund | -37,000 | 0 | -37,000 | 0 | -37,000 | 0 | -37,000 |
| Use of prior year balances | -276,942 | -375,000 | -651,942 | 0 | -276,942 | -375,392 | -652,334 |
| **TOTAL, DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL REST. & WASTE MG** | 6,008,002 | -742,524 | 5,265,478 | -102,047 | 5,905,955 | -450,470 | 5,557,532 |
| **A. Other national security programs** | | | | | | | |
| 1. Verification and control technology | | | | | | | |
| a. Nonproliferation and verification R&D | 0 | 163,500 | 163,500 | 226,142 | 226,142 | 224,905 | 224,905 |
| Operating expenses | 212,642 | -212,642 | 0 | -212,642 | 0 | -212,642 | 0 |
| Capital equipment | 13,500 | -13,500 | 0 | -13,500 | 0 | -13,500 | 0 |
| **Total, Nonproliferation & verification R&D** | 226,142 | -62,642 | 163,500 | 0 | 226,142 | -1,237 | 224,905 |
| b. Arms control | 0 | 147,364 | 147,364 | 162,364 | 162,364 | 160,965 | 160,965 |
| Operating expenses | 138,391 | -138,391 | 0 | -138,391 | 0 | -138,391 | 0 |
| Capital equipment | 23,973 | -23,973 | 0 | -23,973 | 0 | -23,973 | 0 |
| **Total, Arms Control** | 162,364 | -15,000 | 147,364 | 0 | 162,364 | -1,399 | 160,965 |
| c. Intelligence | 0 | 42,336 | 42,336 | 42,336 | 42,336 | 42,336 | 42,336 |
| Operating expenses | 40,936 | -40,936 | 0 | -40,936 | 0 | -40,936 | 0 |
| Capital equipment | 1,400 | -1,400 | 0 | -1,400 | 0 | -1,400 | 0 |
| **Total, Intelligence** | 42,336 | 0 | 42,336 | 0 | 42,336 | 0 | 42,336 |
| **Total, Verification and Control Technology** | 430,842 | -77,642 | 353,200 | 0 | 430,842 | -2,636 | 428,206 |
| 2. Nuclear safeguards and security | 0 | 83,395 | 83,395 | 83,395 | 83,395 | 83,395 | 83,395 |
| Operating expenses | 86,121 | -86,121 | 0 | -86,121 | 0 | -86,121 | 0 |
| Capital equipment | 3,395 | -3,395 | 0 | -3,395 | 0 | -3,395 | 0 |

**Fiscal Year 1996 Department of Energy National Security Programs**
[Amounts in thousands of dollars]

| | FY 1996 Request | House Change to Request | House Authorization | Senate Change to Request | Senate Authorization | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Total, Nuclear Safeguards and Security** | 89,516 | -6,121 | 83,395 | -6,121 | 83,395 | -6,121 | 83,395 |
| 3. Security investigations - OE | 33,247 | -8,247 | 25,000 | -8,247 | 25,000 | -13,247 | 20,000 |
| 4. Security evaluations - OE | 14,707 | 0 | 14,707 | 0 | 14,707 | 0 | 14,707 |
| 5. Office of Nuclear Safety | 0 | 15,050 | 15,050 | 15,050 | 15,050 | 17,679 | 17,679 |
| Operating expenses | 24,629 | -24,629 | 0 | -24,629 | 0 | -24,629 | 0 |
| Capital equipment | 50 | -50 | 0 | -50 | 0 | -50 | 0 |
| **Total, Office of Nuclear Safety** | 24,679 | -9,629 | 15,050 | -9,629 | 15,050 | -7,000 | 17,679 |
| 6. Worker and community transition | 100,000 | -25,000 | 75,000 | 0 | 100,000 | -17,500 | 82,500 |
| 7. Fissile materials control and disposition | 0 | 70,000 | 70,000 | 70,000 | 70,000 | 70,000 | 70,000 |
| Operating expenses | 69,500 | -69,500 | 0 | -69,500 | 0 | -69,500 | 0 |
| Capital equipment | 500 | -500 | 0 | -500 | 0 | -500 | 0 |
| **Total, Fissile Materials Control and Disposition** | 70,000 | 0 | 70,000 | 0 | 70,000 | 0 | 70,000 |
| 8. Emergency Management | 0 | 23,321 | 23,321 | 0 | 0 | 23,321 | 23,321 |
| **Total, Other National Security Programs** | 762,991 | -103,318 | 659,673 | -23,997 | 738,994 | -23,183 | 739,808 |
| **B. Naval reactors** | | | | | | | |
| 1. Naval reactors development | 0 | 659,168 | 659,168 | 659,168 | 659,168 | 652,568 | 652,568 |
| a. Plant development - OE | 127,000 | -127,000 | 0 | -127,000 | 0 | -127,000 | 0 |
| b. Reactor development - OE | 327,851 | -327,851 | 0 | -327,851 | 0 | -327,851 | 0 |

**Fiscal Year 1996 Department of Energy National Security Programs**

[Amounts in thousands of dollars]

| | FY 1996 Request | House Change to Request | House Authorization | Senate Change to Request | Senate Authorization | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| c. Reactor operation and evaluation - OE | 135,517 | -135,517 | 0 | -135,517 | 0 | -135,517 | 0 |
| d. Capital equipment | 43,000 | -43,000 | 0 | -43,000 | 0 | -43,000 | 0 |
| e. Construction: | | | | | | | |
| GPN-101 General plant projects, various locations | 6,600 | -6,600 | 0 | -6,600 | 0 | 0 | 6,600 |
| 95-D-200 Laboratory systems and hot cell upgrades, various locations | 11,300 | 0 | 11,300 | 0 | 11,300 | 0 | 11,300 |
| 95-D-201 Advanced test reactor radioactive waste system upgrades, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, ID | 4,800 | 0 | 4,800 | 0 | 4,800 | 0 | 4,800 |
| 93-D-200 Engineering services facilities Knolls Atomic Power Laboratory, Niskayuna, NY | 3,900 | 0 | 3,900 | 0 | 3,900 | 0 | 3,900 |
| 90-N-102 Expended core facility dry cell project, Naval Reactor Facility, ID | 3,000 | 0 | 3,000 | 0 | 3,000 | 0 | 3,000 |
| **Total, Construction** | 29,600 | -6,600 | 23,000 | -6,600 | 23,000 | 0 | 29,600 |
| f. Program direction - OE | 19,200 | -19,200 | 0 | -19,200 | 0 | -19,200 | 0 |
| **Total, Naval Reactors Development** | 682,168 | 0 | 682,168 | 0 | 682,168 | 0 | 682,168 |
| 2. Enrichment materials - OE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Total, Naval Reactors** | 682,168 | 0 | 682,168 | 0 | 682,168 | 0 | 682,168 |

**Fiscal Year 1996 Department of Energy National Security Programs**

[Amounts in thousands of dollars]

| | FY 1996 Request | House Change to Request | House Authorization | Senate Change to Request | Senate Authorization | Change to Request | Conference Agreement |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Savannah river pension refund | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Use of prior year balances | -13,000 | 0 | -13,000 | 0 | -13,000 | -57,000 | -70,000 |
| **Total, Adjustments** | -13,000 | 0 | -13,000 | 0 | -13,000 | -57,000 | -70,000 |
| **TOTAL, OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES** | 1,432,159 | -103,318 | 1,328,841 | -23,997 | 1,408,162 | -80,183 | 1,351,976 |
| **DEFENSE NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL** | | | | | | | |
| Defense nuclear waste disposal | 198,400 | 0 | 198,400 | 0 | 198,400 | 50,000 | 248,400 |
| **TOTAL, ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACTIVITIES** | 11,178,736 | -775,103 | 10,403,633 | 0 | 11,178,736 | -560,514 | 10,618,222 |

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Subtitle A—National Security Programs Authorizations

*Weapons Activities (sec. 3101)*

The budget request included $3.540 billion for weapons activities. The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3101) that would authorize $3.599 billion for operating expenses, plant projects, and capital equipment for activities necessary to carry out the Department of Energy stockpile stewardship and stockpile management programs.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 3101) that would authorize Department of Energy weapons activity funding for fiscal year 1996 in the amount of $3.654 billion.

The conferees agree to authorize $3.460 billion for weapons activities, a reduction of $80.0 million from the requested amount. This overall net reduction is the result of a $55.7 million increase to the requested amount for all authorized weapons activities, combined with $135.6 million in adjustment reductions. The adjustment reductions are primarily based on larger amounts of prior year balances than those proposed in the Department of Energy (DOE) budget request. The $55.7 million increase in weapons activities is necessary to fund the requirements levied on the DOE as a result of the Nuclear Posture Review. The increase is required for two major reasons: to fund a modern stockpile refabrication capacity sized to the requirements of the Nuclear Posture Review and to fund a means to assure confidence in stockpile reliability and safety without full-scale, underground nuclear testing. The increase is also appropriate given the historic downward trend in funding for weapons activities (75% from fiscal year 1985 to fiscal year 1995).

The conferees remain concerned about the near-term viability of U.S. strategic deterrence, particularly if the United States refrains from remanufacturing the weapons in the nuclear stockpile with the most efficient fabrication techniques. In relation to the needs of nuclear weapons refabrication and recertification, the conferees recommend that the DOE laboratories and plants enter into appropriate industrial partnerships of mutual benefit.

The budget request included $1.016 billion for core stockpile stewardship. The conferees agree to authorize $1.078 billion for core stockpile stewardship. The conferees authorize the use of stockpile stewardship funds, as follows: (1) accelerated strategic computing initiative, $40.0 million (2) hydronuclear experiment preparation, $30.0 million; (3) dual revalidation, $10.0 million.

Of the $150.0 million authorized for a redirected technology transfer program, the conferees recommend the following amounts: (1) advanced design & production technology (ADAPT), $20.0 million; (2) AMTEX, $10.0 million; (3) enhanced stockpile surveillance, $20.0 million; (4) industrial partnerships in direct support of stockpile stewardship program, $25.0 million; (5) industrial partnerships in direct support of stockpile management program, $25.0 million; (6) completion of highest priority CRADA's that remain from fiscal year 1995, $50.0 million.

The budget request included $1.907 billion for the stockpile management program. The conferees agree to authorize $2.025 billion for the stockpile management program. The conferees authorize the following: (1) manufacturing infrastructure/technology modernization at the four production plants, $143.0 million; (2) fellowship program (four plants), $10.0 million; (3) radiological/nuclear accident response, $70.9 million; (4) tritium source, $50.0 million.

The conferees agree to authorize an additional $118.2 million for stockpile management activities. The increase is necessary to remedy weapons refabrication planning deficiencies identified at the DOE production complex. These remedies are required to begin meeting the objectives of the Nuclear Posture Review.

The conferees recommend that in following fiscal years the Department request the full amount required to meet Department of Defense and programmatic requirements for weapons activities. The conferees find that the DOE Five Year National Security Budget Plan, which assigns major, arbitrary, out-year budget cuts to weapons activities, and to other critical programs within Atomic Energy Defense Activities, does not adequately address the budget requirements necessary to implement the Nuclear Posture Review.

*Environmental restoration and waste management (sec. 3102)*

The budget request included $6.008 billion for environmental restoration and waste management.

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3102) that would authorize $5.265 billion for operating expenses, plant projects, and capital equipment for defense environmental restoration and waste management activities.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 3102) that would authorize $5.906 billion.

The conferees authorize $5.557 billion for defense environmental restoration and waste management activities, a reduction of $451.0 million from the request. The reduction would be partially offset by the availability of prior year funds that have not been obligated, or if obligated, have not been expended and would not be needed for the projects that were the basis for obligation.

The conferees support the recent Department of Energy strategic realignment initiatives, taken in connection with the Department's headquarters functions, to include the consolidation of space, the elimination of duplication between field and headquarters activities, and the reduction of headquarters support service contractors. The conferees direct that funding cuts, to the maximum extent possible, continue to be absorbed through reduction of headquarters personnel and activities. With limited budgets, it is critical that every available dollar be used for actual cleanup activities in the field and that the Department continue its efforts to reduce bureaucratic layers and organizational redundancies at headquarters.

The conferees understand that the Department has employed support service contractors to perform inherently governmental or core governmental functions at the headquarters level. The conferees direct the Department to discontinue that practice and to transfer savings to field operations. The conferees recognize that in some cases it may be more cost effective to seek outside technical expertise rather than employ permanent government personnel.

The conferees authorize an additional $60.0 million above the budget request in the environmental restoration sub-account to initiate an accelerated cleanup program at sites where such action could result in long-term cost savings to the Department. The conferees intend for the Department to carefully evaluate opportunities for such savings at all Department of Energy sites. Guidelines for selection of sites that are eligible for accelerated cleanup are discussed elsewhere in this report.

The conferees are particularly concerned about the projected use of several Department of Energy facilities for additional responsibilities with respect to the processing, treatment, and interim storage of foreign and domestic sourced spent fuel rods. Therefore, the conferees direct, elsewhere in this statement of managers, the initiation of several projects to mitigate these effects. The conferees also direct the initiation of the preconstruction design and engineering for dry storage and advanced mixed waste treatment facilities at the Idaho National Engineering Laboratory. In this regard, the conferees agree to authorize additional funding for the spent nuclear fuels canister storage and stabilization facility at Hanford, Washington.

Prior to, and during conference, the Department submitted to the Congress several separate amendments (additions and deletions) to the list of projects included in the original budget request. Consistent with the amended budget submission, the conferees agree to provide additional funding for certain projects and to delete a number of other projects. Given the lead times associated with budget preparation, the conferees recognize that it is difficult to accurately project the status or requirements for every activity. However, the conferees encourage the Department to refrain from submitting multiple amendments to budget requests during conference.

In an effort to track carryover balances, the conferees direct the Department to submit a report to the congressional defense committees, contemporaneous with the fiscal year 1997 budget request. The report should contain the following: (1) an end of current fiscal year projection of uncosted and unobligated carryover balances; (2) target end of current fiscal year carryover balances, by program, based on a model of the minimum amount necessary for program operations and continuity; (3) a comparison of the differences between the projected and target carryover balances, by program; (4) a justification for the difference between the projected and targeted carryover balances; and (5) the amount of unjustified carryover balances, based on the calculation in (2). The conferees direct the Department to report the carryover balances within the Environmental Restoration and Waste Management Program, and those balances across all Atomic Energy Defense Activities accounts. The conferees believe that unjustified carryover balances should be applied to reduce the Department's budget request for the next fiscal year.

*Other Defense Activities (sec. 3103)*

The budget request included $1.432 billion for Other Defense Activities of the Department of Energy (DOE) for fiscal year 1996. The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3104) that would authorize $1.329 billion for Other Defense Activities.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 3103) that would authorize $1.408 billion for this group of programs, a decrease of $24.0 million below the requested amount.

The conferees agree to authorize $1.352 billion for these programs.

The conferees also direct that the five-year plans for the following activities be provided, not later than January 15, 1996, to the congressional defense committees: security investigations; nuclear safeguards and security; nuclear safety; worker and community

transition; fissile materials disposition; naval reactors; nonproliferation; and arms control.

*Naval Reactors*

The conferees urge the Naval Reactors Program to maintain the high health and safety standards that have resulted in both an unprecedented record of safe operation and have become the standard for safe nuclear power operations around the world. The conferees also support the program's continued use of the Advanced Test Reactor (ATR). This facility is completely unique in the United States and is essential to the continuation of the advanced materials subprogram. This subprogram provides experimental data that is the basis for both present safety standards and future power plant designs.

*Other National Security Programs*

*Nuclear Safeguards and Security*

The conferees believe that the Secretary of Energy should carefully balance investment within the sub-programs of the Nuclear Safeguards and Security Program to safeguard Department of Energy nuclear weapons, nuclear materials, and facilities against theft, sabotage, and terrorist activity. Such a balanced approach should remain the highest priority of the program. The conferees authorize additional funding for declassification activities elsewhere in this statement of managers, but this should not be construed as an indication that the Congress in any way is indifferent to the protection of these DOE properties. In view of the growing severity of domestic and international terrorism, the conferees urge the DOE to take increased steps to safeguard the weapons grade material and weapons under its control.

*Office of Security Investigations*

As a result of recent major incidents of domestic and international terrorism, the conferees believe that the Office of Security Investigations should determine the need for more frequent reinvestigations of individuals with actual access to weapons grade material. The conferees direct that the Secretary provide the congressional defense committees with a description of the determination rendered, not later than March 30, 1996. The Secretarial submission should include the Department's recommendations and the rationale for the determination. The conferees also recommend a more detailed treatment of any new initiatives and emphases in the fiscal year 1997 budget submission.

*Office of Security Evaluations*

The conferees believe that the Office of Security Evaluations should reevaluate its present policies, and evaluate and develop new policies and actions, if required, to improve the effectiveness of its program. The conferees direct that the Secretary provide an explanation of the results of this reevaluation to the appropriate congressional defense committees, not later than March 30, 1996. The conferees also recommend a more detailed treatment of the results of its policies in the fiscal year 1997 budget submission.

*Office of Nuclear Safety*

The conferees believe that the Office of Nuclear Safety should implement the program with an overall cost/benefit analysis applied as a major consideration. That approach would ensure that available resources would be used in a fiscally responsible manner, and provide reductions in significant risks to employees. Resources should not be used to fund marginal improvements that provide minimal safety benefits. The conferees direct the Secretary to implement cost/benefit performance as a criterion for the Office of Nuclear Safety.

*Worker and Community Transition*

The conferees direct the Worker and Community Transition program to provide more detailed information on the effectiveness of its activities, through the end of fiscal year 1995, in the fiscal year 1997 budget request.

*Fissile Materials Control and Disposition*

The conferees are concerned that the Fissile Materials Control and Disposition Program does not have a wide range of technology and cost effectiveness assessments in its programmatic environmental impact statement (PEIS). Specific direction is provided in this Act to consider a variety of nuclear reactors in this regard. The committees of jurisdiction intend to explore these issues in greater depth with the Department of Energy during future congressional hearings.

*Emergency Response*

The conferees direct that the funds for the Office of Emergency Response, within the Office of Non-proliferation and National Security, shall be allocated within the Other Defense Programs category, not from within any other part of the Atomic Energy Defense Activities. The conferees further direct that in fiscal year 1997, and subsequent fiscal years, the funding requested for Atomic Energy Defense Activities Program Direction should be allocated separately within each of the four top level categories of that account, and not aggregated within one such category, as was done in the fiscal year 1996 budget request.

*Nonproliferation and verification research and development and arms control*

The budget request included $226.1 million for nonproliferation and verification research and development, and $162.3 million for arms control.

The House bill would authorize $163.5 million for nonproliferation and verification research and development, a $62.6 million reduction to the budget request; and $147.4 million for arms control, a $14.9 million reduction to the budget request.

The Senate amendment would authorize the budget request.

The conferees authorize $224.9 million for nonproliferation and verification research and development, consistent with the amended budget request from the Department of Energy, and $161.0 million for arms control.

Due to the increase in international terrorism and attempts to acquire weapons grade nuclear materials by criminal organizations, the conferees authorize $3.0 million be available from nonproliferation and verification research and development for the development of forensics capability to detect and track shipments abroad. Further, the conferees direct the Secretary of Energy to broaden involvement in this area to include the entire Department of Energy weapons complex, including the Savannah River Site, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Idaho National Engineering Laboratory, and industry.

The conferees direct the Secretary of Energy to submit a five-year nonproliferation research and development program plan to Congress by March 30, 1996. The plan shall include a program strategy, description of the program and project objectives, deliverables, and milestones for each project within the program. The plan shall also identify the specific organization customers for each project and subprogram.

The conferees concur with recommendations in the Senate report (S. Rept. 104–112) that the Department of Energy, in coordination with the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA), should conduct a study on nuclear reactor safety issues in the Ukraine and report, with recommendations, to Congress on the safety issues that need to be addressed. The conferees direct that the report be broadened to include nuclear reactors in Russia. However, the conferees agree that funding to conduct a study on nuclear reactor safety study in Ukraine and Russia would more appropriately be funded in the international affairs budget and the civilian nuclear reactor portion of the energy budget, and therefore, no funds are authorized to conduct this study from nonproliferation and verification research and development or any other Atomic Energy Defense Activities account.

*Defense nuclear waste disposal (sec. 3104)*

The budget request included $198.4 million for defense nuclear waste disposal activities of the Department of Energy for fiscal year 1996.

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3105) that would authorize $198.4 million for this purpose.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision.

The conference agreement includes a provision that would authorize $248.4 million for defense nuclear waste disposal activities of the Department of Energy for fiscal year 1996.

Subtitle B—Recurring General Provisions

*Reprogramming (sec. 3121)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3121) that would prohibit the reprogramming of funds in excess of 110 percent of the amount authorized for the program concerned, or in excess of $1.0 million above the amount authorized for the program unless the Secretary of Energy notifies the congressional defense committees and a period of 30 days has elapsed subsequent to the receipt of notification. Should the Department demonstrate that it has improved its procedures for handling reprogramming requests, the Armed Services Committee of the Senate and the National Security Committee of the House would consider a return to a more flexible reprogramming process.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Limits on general plant projects (sec. 3122)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3122) that would limit the initiation of "general plant projects" authorized by the bill if the current estimated cost for any project exceeds $2.0 million. However, the provision would require the Secretary of Energy to provide the congressional defense committees with notification and an explanation for a general plant project cost variation that raises the cost of any project above $2.0 million.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Limits on construction projects (sec. 3123)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3123) that would permit initiation and continuation of a Department of Energy construction project if the estimated cost for the project does not exceed 125 percent of the higher of: (1) the funds authorized for the project; or (2) the most recent total estimated cost presented to the Congress as justification for such project. The Secretary of

Energy would submit a detailed report to the congressional defense committees for any project that exceeds such limits, and the report would be submitted within the 30 legislative days following a decision to initiate or continue such a project.

The House provision would also specify that the 125 percent limitation would not apply to any project with an estimated cost below $5.0 million.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Fund transfer authority (sec. 3124)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 3124) that would authorize the transfer of Department of Energy funds to other agencies of the government for performance of work for which the funds were authorized and appropriated. The provision would permit another agency to merge the transferred funds with that agency's authorized and appropriated funds.

The provision would also authorize the Department to transfer funds internally among its appropriations accounts, up to a limit of five percent of the authorized amount.

The House bill contained a similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would stipulate that, for any such internal transfers or reprogrammings pursuant to this section, weapons activities shall be regarded by the Department as having higher priority than environmental management activities or other defense activities.

*Authority for conceptual and construction design (sec. 3125)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3125) that would limit the Secretary of Energy's authority to request construction funding until the Secretary has certified a conceptual design. If the cost of the conceptual design exceeds $3.0 million, the Secretary must request the amount from Congress before submitting a request for the construction project. The Secretary may carry out construction design services if their cost is less than $0.6 million. Greater costs for construction design would be required to be authorized by law.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Authority for emergency planning, design, and construction activities (sec. 3126)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3126) that would permit the Secretary of Energy to utilize available funds to perform planning and design for any unauthorized Department of Energy national security program construction project based on the Secretary's determination that the design must proceed expeditiously for the protection of public health, safety, and property, or to meet the needs of the national defense.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 3126).

The Senate recedes.

*Funds available for all national security programs of the Department of Energy (sec. 3127)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3127) that would authorize amounts appropriated for management and support activities and for general plant projects to be made available for use, when necessary, in connection with all national security programs of the Department of Energy.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Availability of funds (sec. 3128)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3128) that would authorize amounts appropriated for operating expenses or for plant and capital equipment to remain available until expended.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Subtitle C—Program Authorizations, Restrictions, and Limitations

*Authority to conduct a program relating to fissile materials (sec. 3131)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3131) that would authorize the Secretary of Energy to conduct a program to improve fissile material protection, control, and accountability in Russia. The provision would also require notification to the Congress prior to obligation of funds.

The Senate amendment did not contain a similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment.

The conferees agree to a provision that would authorize the Secretary of Energy to conduct a program to improve fissile material protection, control, and accountability in Russia. The provision would also require the Secretary to provide a semi-annual report to Congress on the obligation of funds for the preceding six month period and on the plans for obligation of those funds.

The conferees direct that each report shall include the following: a forecast of planned expenditures, broken out by major program elements and program achievements; and a description of procedures to ensure that funds are used for the purposes and activities for which they were authorized. The report shall be submitted in classified and unclassified forms.

*National Ignition Facility (sec. 3132)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3132) that would limit the expenditure of funds appropriated for the National Ignition Facility (NIF) until the Secretary of Energy determines that the NIF does not impede U.S. nuclear non-proliferation objectives and then notifies the Congress.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would limit the expenditure of construction funds for the NIF until the Secretary makes the determination and notifies the Congress.

*Tritium production program (sec. 3133)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3133a) that would authorize $50.0 million, for a project that would provide a long-term source of tritium, subsequent to the Secretary of Energy's completion of a record of decision on the tritium production program and the conclusion of congressional hearings.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 3131) that would authorize $50.0 million to conduct an assessment of various types of reactors and an accelerator. The provision would ensure that any new tritium production facility would be located at the Savannah River Site. It would also authorize $5.0 million from weapons activity funds for tritium target work in reactors.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would provide for: $50.0 million to establish a program to provide a tritium production source; $5.0 million for tritium target work to be administered by the Idaho National Engineering Laboratory; a new tritium facility at the Savannah River Site; the Secretary's cost/benefit comparison between performance of the tritium production mission and the fissile materials disposition mission with a single multi-purpose reactor project and performance of these missions with two separate projects; and a long-term tritium production funding plan to Congress within 45 days of enactment of this Act.

The conferees direct the Secretary of Energy to establish both headquarters and field offices for the national tritium production program within Defense Programs. The conferees direct that these offices be adequately staffed by Federal technical experts in accelerators, reactors, and other relevant areas of science and technology. The conferees further direct that the Savannah River Operations Office be designated as the tritium production field office.

*Payment of penalties assessed against Rocky Flats site (sec. 3134)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3103) that would authorize the Secretary of Energy to pay for civil penalties assessed in accordance with a federal facility agreement and consent order against the Rocky Flats site in Colorado.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 3105).

The Senate recedes.

As indicated in the Senate report (S. Rept. 104–112), the conferees are concerned about the diversion of Department of Energy funds for payment of fines and penalties. The conferees agree that this is an issue that warrants continued monitoring.

*Fissile materials disposition (sec. 3135)*

The budget request included $70.0 million for the fissile materials disposition program.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 3132) that would authorize $70.0 million for the storage and disposition of fissile materials that are excess to U.S. national security needs. Of this amount, $10.0 million would be available for a plutonium resource assessment.

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3133(b)) that would authorize $70.0 million for plutonium storage and disposition, including the multipurpose advanced light water reactor. Of that amount, $5.0 million would be available for evaluating the conversion of plutonium to oxide fuel material for the multipurpose reactor. Sufficient funds would also be made available to fully assess the multipurpose reactor in the Department of Energy's (DOE's) programmatic environmental impact statement on fissile materials disposition.

The Senate recedes with an amendment.

The conferees authorize $70.0 million be made available for evaluation and implementation of interim- and long-term storage and disposition of plutonium, highly enriched uranium, and other fissile materials that are excess to the national security needs of the U.S. The conferees direct that the evaluation include full consideration of light water and gas turbine reactors. The conferees further direct that sufficient funds be made available for the complete consideration of multipurpose reactors in the DOE programmatic environmental impact statement on fissile materials disposition. The conferees endorse the views expressed in the House Report (H. Rept. 104–131) regarding the National Resource Center for Plutonium.

*Tritium recycling (sec. 3136)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 3133) that would require Department of Energy weapons program tritium recycling to be carried out at the Savannah River Site. The Senate provision would allow the Los Alamos National Laboratory to conduct the following activities related to tritium: (1) research on tritium properties; (2)

inertial confinement fusion tritium research; (3) technical assistance for the Savannah River Site regarding the weapons surveillance program, as directed by the Savannah River Site Office. Except as noted above, the Savannah River Site Office and its on-site contractor would be responsible for all tritium-related national security activities of the U.S. Department of Energy.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Manufacturing infrastructure for refabrication and certification of nuclear weapons stockpile (sec. 3137)*

The Senate amendment included a provision (sec. 3134) that would authorize $143.0 million to carry out a program to meet the manufacturing infrastructure requirements of the President's Nuclear Posture Review through near-term modernization of technology at the four production plants cited in this section.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment. The conferees require that this initiative provide for enhanced stockpile surveillance, advanced manufacturing, and core stockpile management activities at these plants. This requirement includes fundamental initiatives in advanced manufacturing, and additional emphasis on advanced computerized manufacturing and revalidation techniques at these plants. The conferees direct the Secretary of Energy to ensure that requirements for primary pit refabrication are addressed in the on-going Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (PEIS) on Stockpile Stewardship and Management. Should it be determined, based on the PEIS, that there is a need for such a capacity, the conferees require the Secretary to undertake a conceptual design study of an appropriately sized weapon primary pit refabrication, manufacturing and reuse facility and to consider the Savannah River Site for that role. Up to $5.0 million would be available for this study from the stockpile management program resources.

The conferees direct the Secretary to treat this initiative as a high weapons activity program priority with new budget authority. Further, the conferees authorize $118.2 million above the DOE Stockpile Management budget request to pursue this initiative in fiscal year 1996 at the four production plants, without an impact on the current planned program activities at these plants. The conferees further direct that the remaining $24.8 million required for this initiative be made available from core stockpile management, reconfiguration and materials surveillance funds. The conferees recommend that the rate of expenditure for this initiative at each plant be proportionate to the plant's allocation of the entire initiative.

*Hydronuclear experiments (sec. 3138)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 3135) that would authorize $50.0 million in fiscal year 1996 to prepare the Nevada Test Site for hydronuclear experiments that would yield four pounds (TNT equivalent) or less. The experiments would be conducted to maintain confidence in the safety and reliability of the nuclear weapons stockpile. Zero yield experiments could be included in the fiscal year 1996 experiments as part of the test site preparation.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment providing $30.0 million for such purposes.

*Limitation on authority to conduct hydronculear tests (sec. 3139)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 3108) that would limit this Act by confirming that nothing in this Act authorizes hydronuclear tests and that nothing in this Act amends or repeals the Exon-Hatfield Amendment (section 507 of Public Law 102-377) which places limitations on U.S. nuclear testing.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment.

*Fellowship program for development of skills critical to the Department of Energy nuclear weapons complex (sec. 3140)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 3136) that would provide $10.0 million from Stockpile Management funds to begin a science and engineering fellowship program for the Pantex Plant, the Kansas City Plant, the Savannah River Site and the Y-12 Plant. The program would provide educational and research assistance to attract scientists and engineers with the skills most relevant to plant employment opportunities and mission requirements.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Limitation on use of funds for certain research and development purposes (sec. 3141)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 3138) that would limit the obligation of fiscal year 1996 Atomic Energy Defense Activity funds for the Department of Energy laboratory directed research and development (LDRD) program and the Department of Energy technology transfer programs, unless such activities support the national security missions of the Department.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees believe the scientific and engineering challenges embodied in the emerging stockpile stewardship and stockpile management programs are more than sufficient to maintain the laboratories' preeminence in science and engineering. Therefore, the laboratories should expeditiously begin to focus the program resources on the pressing needs of the nuclear weapons program.

*Processing and treatment of high level nuclear waste and spent nuclear fuel rods (sec. 3142)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 3139) that would recommend $2.5 million for the electrometallurgical processing activities at the Idaho National Engineering Laboratory. This amendment would also recommend $45.0 million to develop technologies for the processing of spent fuel rods at the Savannah River Site and at the Idaho National Engineering Laboratory.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would authorize $45.0 million for the development of a program to respond effectively to the new management requirements for spent fuel. These new requirements are the result of a decision set forth in the Department of Energy's Record of Decision, dated May 30, 1995, prepared in relation to the Department's spent nuclear fuel management program. That decision provided for the consolidation at the Savannah River Site and at the Idaho National Engineering Laboratory of spent nuclear fuel that has been transported from various sites in the United States, spent fuel from naval reactors, and spent fuel from foreign reactors. The conferees authorize $30.0 million for the Savannah River Site for the development of a program for the processing and interim storage of aluminum clad spent fuel rods and foreign spent fuel rods. The conferees authorize $15.0 million for the Idaho National Engineering Laboratory for a similar program for nonaluminum clad spent fuel rods, foreign spent fuel rods, and naval spent fuel. The conferees require the Secretary of Energy to submit to Congress a detailed five-year implementation plan that would provide cost estimates, completion dates, and technological requirements for completion of the program.

The conferees also authorize, from technology development program funds within Environmental Restoration and Waste Management, $25.0 million for the development of electrometallurgical waste treatment technologies at the Argonne National Laboratory.

*Protection of workers at nuclear weapons facilities (sec. 3143)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 3142) that would authorize $10.0 million from the operations and maintenance resources of the Environmental Restoration and Waste Management Program to carry out activities related to worker protection at nuclear weapons facilities.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Department of Energy declassification productivity initiative (sec. 3144)*

The budget request did not identify funding for the Declassification Productivity Initiative that began in fiscal year 1995.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 3140) that would authorize $3.0 million from other national security programs for the Declassification Productivity Initiative (DPI) at the Department of Energy.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

The conferees note that Executive Order 12958, signed by the President on April 9, 1995, mandates that millions of classified documents be declassified by the year 2000. While it remains paramount that the Department maintain the integrity of its national security information, the conferees agree that substantial savings can be realized by reducing the volumes of unduly classified documents, and by modifying unnecessary and overly-burdensome classification policies. The conferees authorize $3.0 million for the DPI and recommend that the Department request appropriate funding for the initiative in future budget submissions.

Subtitle D—Other Matters

*Report on foreign tritium purchases (sec. 3151)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3141) that would require the President to submit a report to Congress by February, 1996, on the feasibility, cost, and ramifications of purchasing tritium for the nuclear weapons program from foreign suppliers.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 3163) that would require the President to submit the same report to the congressional defense committees by May 30, 1997.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would require the report by May 1, 1996.

*Study on nuclear test readiness postures (sec. 3152)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3142) that would require the Secretary of Energy to submit a report to Congress by February 15, 1996. The report would address cost and other issues related to the Department

of Energy's capability to conduct underground nuclear testing within 6 months, 18 months, and 36 months from the date that the President determines that such testing is necessary to ensure the national security of the United States.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Master plan for the certification, stewardship, and management of warheads in the nuclear weapons stockpile (sec. 3153)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3143) that would require the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of Energy, to submit a plan to Congress that would describe in detail the proposed means of demonstrating the capability to refabricate and certify old warheads and to design and build new warheads. The provision would require submission of the report not later than March 15, 1996.

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 3165) that would require the Secretary of Energy to produce, by March 15, 1996, and every year thereafter, a plan for maintaining the enduring nuclear weapons stockpile. That plan would involve at least six specific elements, to include a plan for the manufacturing infrastructure, necessary to maintain the nuclear weapons stockpile stewardship and management programs.

The House recedes with an amendment that would explicitly incorporate the requirements of the House provision into the manufacturing infrastructure requirements section of the Senate provision. Both sets of requirements are based on the Department of Energy infrastructure requirements section of the Nuclear Posture Review.

*Prohibition on international inspections of Department of Energy facilities unless protection of restricted data is certified (sec. 3154)*

The House bill included a provision (sec. 3144) that would prohibit international inspections of Department of Energy facilities unless the Secretary of Energy certifies that sensitive and/or restricted data has been adequately safeguarded.

The Senate amendment did not contain a similar provision.

The Senate recedes with an amendment.

The conferees agree to a provision that would prohibit an inspection of a nuclear weapons facility by the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) until the Secretary of Energy certifies to Congress that no restricted data would be revealed during the inspection.

The conferees direct the Secretary to ensure that the certification to Congress is made prior to the inspection. If the Secretary of Energy cannot provide certification in advance of an inspection because of a short-notice (24-hour) request, the Secretary shall provide certification no later than seven days after the inspection has been conducted. The certification shall also describe the steps taken by the Secretary to ensure the protection of the restricted data during the inspection.

*Review of certain documents before declassification and release (sec. 3155)*

The conference agreement includes this provision to strongly urge the President to immediately review and revise Executive Order 12958, which provides for the automatic declassification and public release of documents containing National Security Information within five years, regardless of prior review. Included under this order are Department of Energy documents that potentially contain restricted data on nuclear weapons design, production and testing, and Department of Defense documents that potentially contain information on nuclear weapons operations and support. Automatic declassification thereby creates the risk of releasing nuclear weapons information to potential proliferators. This would constitute a grave risk to U.S. national security and to non-proliferation efforts.

The conferees believe that the automatic declassification of national security records that contain restricted data would constitute a violation of the legal protections for restricted data, mandated by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended. The conferees recognize that the Executive Order provides an exemption for the automatic declassification of restricted data. However, the conferees are concerned that some classified documents may contain restricted data information without reflecting that fact on the classification records. Therefore, there is no practical means to ensure the protection of restricted data and apply an automatic declassification system.

*Accelerated schedule for environmental management activities (sec. 3156)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3145) that would permit the Secretary of Energy to accelerate the schedule for environmental management activities and projects for any specific Department of Energy defense nuclear facility site, if such efforts would yield substantial long-term cost savings and speed up the release of land for development.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a clarifying amendment. The amended provision would require the Secretary of Energy to submit a report to Congress by May 1, 1996 regarding site selection for the accelerated program.

*Sense of Congress on certain environmental restoration requirements (sec. 3157)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 3107) that would express the sense of Congress that individuals in the executive branch should not be held personally liable for failure to comply with an environmental cleanup requirement when the failure to comply is due to congressional appropriations decisions.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with a clarifying amendment.

The conferees agree that no individual acting within the scope of employment with a Federal agency or department should be personally subject to civil or criminal sanctions for any failure to comply with an environmental cleanup requirement that is the result of inadequate funding.

*Responsibility for defense programs emergency response program (sec. 3158)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 3161) that would require the Assistant Secretary of Energy for Defense Programs to retain the responsibility for the Defense Programs Radiological/Nuclear Accident Response Program. That program includes the seven emergency response assets needed to carry out the mission: the Aerial Measuring System; the Atmospheric Release Advisory Capability; the Accident Response Group; the Federal Radiological Monitoring and Assessment Center; the Nuclear Emergency Search Team; the Radiological Assistance Program; and the Radiation Emergency Assistance Center/Training Site.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Requirements for Department of Energy weapons activities budgets for fiscal years after fiscal year 1996 (sec. 3159)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 3162) that would require the Department of Energy (DOE) to remedy past and present items of congressional criticism related to the clarity of the Department's budget submission. The Senate provision would require the Department to explicitly relate its budget submission to the requirements of the Nuclear Posture Review.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Report on hydronuclear testing (sec. 3160)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 3164) that would require the Secretary of Energy to direct the Los Alamos and Lawrence Livermore National Laboratories to prepare a report that would assess the advantages and disadvantages of permitting alternative limits for nuclear test yields, from at least four pounds to 20 tons, as related to the safety and reliability of the nuclear weapons stockpile. In addition to the yields explicitly cited, the report would address other yields, as appropriate, but would remain focused on the advantages and disadvantages of sub-kiloton testing, as related to stockpile safety and reliability.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that adjusts the nuclear test yields of interest.

*Applicability of Atomic Energy Community Act of 1955 to Los Alamos, New Mexico (sec. 3161)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 3166) that would amend and specify certain requirements of the Atomic Energy Community Act of 1955 for the community of Los Alamos, New Mexico.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Sense of Congress regarding shipments of spent nuclear fuel (sec. 3162)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 3167) that would express a sense of the Senate that the Secretary of Defense, the Secretary of Energy, and the Governor of the State of Idaho should continue good faith negotiations for the purpose of reaching an agreement on the issue of shipments of spent nuclear fuel from naval reactors.

The House bill included no similar provision.

The House recedes with an amendment that would express the sense of Congress that: (1) the Congress recognizes the need to implement the terms, conditions, rights, and obligations contained in the settlement agreement reached between the United States and the State of Idaho regarding shipment, examination, and storage of naval spent nuclear fuel at Idaho; and (2) that funds requested by the President to carry out the settlement agreement and consent order should be appropriated for that purpose.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

*Education program for personnel critical to the nuclear weapons complex*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 3137) that would authorize $10.0 million from the Stockpile Stewardship Program to conduct an education program designed to establish a long-term supply of personnel with skills critical to the nuclear

weapons complex. The program would: (1) encourage and assist students in the study of science, mathematics, and engineering; (2) enhance teaching skills in critical areas; and (3) increase scientific understanding of the general public.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The conferees agree to authorize $10.0 million from the Stockpile Stewardship Program. The conferees note that because existing legislation authorizes such activities, up to $10.0 million would be authorized for this purpose, without a separate authorization provision.

*Applicability of Atomic Energy Community Act of 1955 to Los Alamos, New Mexico (sec. 3161)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 3166) that would amend and specify certain requirements of the Atomic Energy Community Act of 1955 for the community of Los Alamos, New Mexico.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The House recedes.

*Authority to reprogram funds for disposition of certain spent nuclear fuel*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 3141) that would authorize the Secretary of Energy to reprogram up to $5.0 million in fiscal year 1996 funds available to the Department for the disposition of spent nuclear fuel in the Democratic People's Republic of Korea (DPRK), in order to meet International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) safeguard standards and fulfill the October 21, 1994 agreement between the United States and the DPRK.

The House bill did not contain a similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

In order to meet International Atomic Energy Agency safeguard standards and fulfill the October 21, 1994 agreement between the United States and the DPRK, the conferees recommend $3.6 million for the disposition of spent nuclear fuel. In authorizing these funds, the conferees make no judgment regarding the merits of the October 1994 agreement.

TITLE XXXII—DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

*Authorization (sec. 3201)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3201) that would authorize $17.0 million for the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board.

The Senate amendment contained an identical provision (sec. 3201).

the conferees recommend $17.0 million for the Board.

TITLE XXXIII—NATIONAL DEFENSE STOCKPILE

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Subtitle A—Authorization of Disposals and Use of Funds

*Disposal of chromite and manganese ores and chromium ferro and manganese metal electrolytic (sec. 3303)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3302) that would require the granting of right of first refusal to domestic ferroalloy upgraders, for certain disposals.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 3403).

The House recedes with a technical amendment regarding the definition of a domestic ferroalloy upgrader.

*Restrictions on disposal of manganese ferro (sec. 3304)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3303) that would require that certain grade manganese ferro not be disposed of from the National Defense Stockpile until the disposal of lower grade inventory material had been completed. The provision would also require that certain grade manganese ferro only be sold for remelting in a submerged arc ferromanganese furnace.

The Senate amendment contained a similar provision (sec. 3404) that would require certain grade manganese ferro to be sold only for remelting by a domestic ferroalloy producer.

The House recedes.

*Titanium initiative to support battle tank upgrade program (sec. 3305)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3304) that would direct the transfer of titanium sponge from the National Defense Stockpile to the Army for use in the weight reduction portion of the main battle tank upgrade program. The transfer would be without cost to the Army, except for transportation and similar costs.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

Subtitle B—Programmatic Change

*Transfer of excess defense-related materials to stockpile for disposal (sec. 3311)*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 3405) that would direct the transfer of suitable, uncontaminated Department of Energy inventory items to the National Defense Stockpile for disposal.

The House bill contains no similar provision.

The House recedes.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

*Disposal of obsolete and excess materials contained in the National Defense Stockpile*

The Senate amendment contained a provision (sec. 3402) that would authorize the disposal of materials from the National Defense Stockpile.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

The defense committees and the conferees have recommended that new disposal authority be granted in the reconciliation process, rather than authorization.

TITLE XXXIV—NAVAL PETROLEUM RESERVES

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Subtitle A—Administration of Naval Petroleum Reserves

*Authorization of appropriations (sec. 3401)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3401) that would authorize fiscal year 1996 appropriations for the operation of the Naval Petroleum Reserves.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes with a clarifying amendment.

*Price requirement on sale of certain petroleum during fiscal year 1996 (sec. 3402)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3402) that would require that the sale of any oil produced at the Naval Petroleum Reserves be transacted for a price that is not less than 90 percent of the sales price of comparable petroleum from the same area, as estimated by the Secretary of Energy.

The Senate amendment contained no similar provision.

The Senate recedes.

*Extension of operating contract for naval petroleum reserve numbered 1. (sec. 3403)*

The conference agreement contains a provision which amends Section 3503 of the National Defense Authorization Act of Fiscal Year 1995 (Public Law 103-337; 108 Stat. 3111) to extend the Department of Energy's authority to operate the Naval Petroleum Reserve Numbered 1.

Subtitle B—Sale of Naval Petroleum Reserve

*Future of Naval Petroleum and Oil Shale Reserves (secs. 3411-3416)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3403) that would provide for the sale of the Naval Petroleum Reserve Numbered 1 (NPR-1), also known as Elk Hills located in Kern County, California. The House bill also contained a provision (sec. 3404) that would require the Secretary of Energy to conduct a study to determine what should be done with the other five remaining reserves in the Naval Petroleum and Oil Shale Reserves.

The Senate amendment contained similar provisions (secs. 3301 and 3302).

The conference agreement includes several provisions related to the future of the Naval Petroleum and Oil Shale Reserves that would provide for the sale of NPR-1 by competitive bid within two years of enactment. The agreement would also require the Secretary of Energy to submit a report that would recommend a course of action that would maximize the value of the five remaining reserves to the federal government.

The conferees believe that the sale of NPR-1 can be justified based on the fact that there is no longer a military need for these reserves. Since the Arab oil embargo, the likelihood of a sustained interruption in supply has fallen and the market has shown itself to be responsive in pricing and allocating oil during periods of uncertain supply.

In addition, the conferees are concerned about the long-term implications of government participation in what has become a commercial oil business. The conferees believe that producing and selling oil and natural gas should be performed within the private sector. That belief is shared by the administration which also proposed the sale of the reserve.

The sale of NPR-1 will help save the federal government over a billion dollars in operating costs and several hundred million dollars in interest payments. These savings are in addition to the increased tax revenues and the $1.5 to $2.5 billion in receipts that will result from the sale. Even after deducting the lost annual revenues resulting from the sale, these savings and receipts will result in a substantial net increase to the Treasury.

The conference agreement contains a number of safeguards so that the sale of NPR-1 will ensure the government realizes the maximum amount of revenues possible. The provisions would require the Secretary of Energy to obtain credible appraisals of the value of the reserve before setting a minimum acceptable sales price. In addition, the valuation must include all existing infrastructure, the estimated quantity of petroleum and natural gas in the reserve, and the anticipated revenue stream that the Treasury would receive if the reserve was not sold. The Secretary could not accept bids lower than the minimum acceptable price and could not enter into contracts for sale until the end of a 31-day period following notification to Congress. The proceeds from the sale would be deposited in the Treasury.

In addition, if the Secretary of Energy and the Director of the Office of Management

and Budget jointly determine that the sale of NPR-1 is proceeding in a manner that is inconsistent with the best interests of the United States, the Secretary may suspend the sale. The Secretary must then wait for further legislation authorizing the continuation of the sale. The conferees believe the Secretary should suspend the sale only after all efforts have been made to ameliorate any difficulties in the sale of the reserve.

In the event the Secretary is not able to comply with the deadlines included in these provisions, the Secretary and the Director of the OMB would be required to notify Congress and submit a plan of alternative action.

The conference agreement provides for the transfer of a current environmental permit (50 CFR 13.25) in order to allow the purchaser to continue the operation of the field with all the environmental safeguards provided by the federal government. In addition, the conferees expect that this will ensure that the value of the field will not be diminished by the uncertain timing of obtaining a new permit.

In response to a potential legal claim by the State of California, on behalf of the California State Teachers Retirement Fund, the provisions would set aside nine percent of the net proceeds in a contingent fund. These funds would be available, subject to appropriations, for the payment of any valid claims resulting from a settlement between the Secretary of Energy and the State of California or a judgement by a court of competent jurisdiction. The conferees expect that California's release of its claim would be contingent upon an appropriation of funds per any settlement agreement or court decision.

TITLE XXXV—PANAMA CANAL COMMISSION

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

*Panama Canal Commission (Title XXXV)*

The House call contained several provisions (secs. 3501–3503) that would provide the authorization of expenditures for the Panama Canal Commission revolving fund.

The Senate amendment contained similar provisions (secs. 3501–3502).

The Panama Canal Commission does not draw from U.S. taxpayer funds for operation of the Canal, but operates on a self-sustaining basis, utilizing tolls and other revenues to cover its operating, administrative, and capital improvements expenses. The Senate amendment would provide for slightly greater allowances for official representation expenses than the House bill. The Senate amendment would also limit the cost of vehicles purchased for use by the Commission. The House bill contained a requirement that the vehicles be built in the United States.

The House recedes on these items. However, the conferees note that the Commission has in the past purchased vehicles built in the United States and would encourage that practice to continue.

The House bill included additional provisions (secs. 3521–3531), not in the Senate amendment, that would facilitate the transition and the operation of the Canal as an autonomous entity after it is transferred to Panama at the end of 1999. Section 3522 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993 (Public Law 102-484) required that the President review and report on possible changes that would ease the transition process. The legislative provisions contained in sections 3521–3531 of the House bill would implement, with only minor clarifying changes, the administration's recommendations contained in the report transmitted to the Congress on April 12, 1994.

The Senate recedes with an amendment that would delete section 3524 of the House bill entitled "International Advisors".

The conferees agree that the Canal's governing board of supervisors can consult with and obtain expert advice from those in the international shipping and financial community without the necessity of a legislative provision.

DIVISION D—FEDERAL ACQUISITION REFORM

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

*Overview*

Acquisition reform provisions with government-wide application were included in title VIII of the House bill. Subsequently, the House passed H.R. 1670, a freestanding bill which addressed many of the same, as well as, other issues. The Senate amendment contained a number of acquisition policy provisions. The conferees considered all of these provisions before agreeing to include the following legislation in the conference agreement. The following is a section-by-section description of the provisions adopted by the conferees.

TITLE XLI—COMPETITION

*Efficient competition (sec. 4101)*

The conference agreement includes a provision that would amend section 2304 of title 10 and section 253 of title 41, United States Code. The provision would direct that the Federal Acquisition Regulation ensure that the requirement to obtain full and open competition is implemented in a manner that is consistent with the need to efficiently fulfill the government's requirements. This provision makes no change to the requirement for full and open competition or to the definition of full and open competition.

*Efficient approval procedures (sec. 4102)*

The conference agreement includes a provision that would amend section 2304 of title 10 and section 253 of title 41, United States Code, by raising the dollar thresholds for contracts that require the approval of the use of other than competitive procedures by higher level agency officials.

*Efficient competitive range determinations (sec. 4103)*

The conference agreement includes a provision that would allow a contracting officer, in procurements involving competitive negotiations, to limit the number of proposals in the competitive range to the greatest number that would permit an efficient competition among the most highly rated competitors. The conferees intend that the determination of the competitive range be made after the initial evaluation of the proposals, on the basis of the rating of those proposals. The rating shall be made on the basis of price, quality and other factors specified in the solicitation for the evaluation of the proposals.

*Preaward debriefings (sec. 4104)*

The conference agreement includes a provision that would require that, prior to a contract award, a contracting officer provide a debriefing to any interested offerors on the reasons for that offeror's exclusion from the competitive range in a competitive negotiation. The provision would specify information that must be provided to an unsuccessful offeror upon written request for a debriefing, as well as limitations on the types of information that may be provided. The provision also would require the Federal Acquisition Regulation to include a provision encouraging the use of alternative dispute resolution techniques to provide informal, expeditious, and inexpensive procedures for an offeror to consider using before filing a protest.

*Design-build selection procedures (sec. 4105)*

The conference agreement includes a provision that would authorize the use of two-phase selection procedures for entering into contracts for the design and construction of a public building, facility, or work. The provision details the considerations that would be used by a contracting officer to determine whether to use two-phase selection procedures and describes the process to be followed under the two-phase selection procedure. The provision would also limit the number of proposals to be considered in the second phase to no more than five, unless the agency determines that a greater number is in the government's interest. This provision is not intended to modify the Brooks Architect-Engineers Act.

TITLE XLII—COMMERCIAL ITEMS

*Commercial item exception to requirement for cost or pricing data (sec. 4201)*

The conference agreement includes a provision that would amend section 2306a of title 10 and section 254b of title 41, United States Code, to exempt suppliers of commercial items under contracts and subcontracts with federal agencies from the requirement to submit certified cost and pricing data. The provision would include the requirement that, in the cases of such contracts or subcontracts, contracting officers shall require the submission of data other than certified cost or pricing data to the extent necessary to determine price reasonableness. In recognition of the authority of the General Accounting Office to audit contractor records, the conferees have removed the specific audit authorities in the Federal Acquisition Streamlining Act of 1994 (Public Law 103-355) that relate to information supplied by commercial suppliers in lieu of certified cost and pricing data.

*Application of simplified procedures to certain commercial items (sec. 4202)*

The conference agreement includes a provision that would allow the use of simplified procedures for the acquisition of commercial items with a purchase value of $5.0 million or less when a contracting officer reasonably expects that offers in response to a solicitation would only include commercial items. The provision would specify that implementing regulations provide that all responsible offerors in procurements conducted under this authority be permitted to submit a bid, proposal, or quotation that shall be considered by the agency. The conferees intend that the flexible notice provision be implemented in a manner that would provide offerors with a reasonable opportunity to respond. The provision would also prohibit sole source procurement unless the need is justified in writing in accordance with section 2304 of title 10 or section 253 of title 41, United States Code. The authority for the use of simplified procedures under this section would expire at the end of the three-year period, beginning on the date of the issuance of the final implementing regulations.

*Inapplicability of certain procurement laws to commercially available off-the-shelf items (sec. 4203)*

The conference agreement includes a provision that would require that the Federal Acquisition Regulation include a list of provisions that are inapplicable to contracts for

the procurement of commercially available off-the-shelf items. The list would be required to include each provision of law that, in the opinion of the Administrator of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy, imposes on persons who have been awarded contracts by the federal government for the procurement of commercially available off-the-shelf products government-unique policies, procedures, requirements, or restrictions for the procurement of property or services unless the Administrator determines that to do so would not be in the best interest of the United States. The list would include provisions of law uniquely applicable to government contractors, but would not include generally applicable provisions of law. The provision would specifically preclude several categories of statutes from being included on the list, such as any provision of law that provides for civil or criminal penalties. The provision would define commercially available off-the-shelf items as commercial items that are sold in substantial quantities to the general public and that are offered to the federal government in the same form in which they have been sold to the general public. The provision would specifically exclude from that definition bulk cargo such as agricultural products and petroleum products.

*Amendment to commercial items definition (sec. 4204)*

The conference agreement includes a provision that would make a clarifying amendment to the definition of "commercial services" in section 403(12)(F) of title 41, United States Code. For the purpose of this section, market prices are current prices that are established in the course of ordinary trade between buyers and sellers free to bargain and that can be substantiated from sources independent of the offeror.

*Inapplicability of cost accounting standards to contracts and subcontracts for commercial items (sec. 4205)*

The conference agreement includes a provision that would exempt contracts and subcontracts for commercial items from the application of the cost accounting standards promulgated under section 422 of title 41, United States Code. The Cost Accounting Standards Board, in consultation with the Director of the Defense Contract Audit Agency, shall establish guidance, consistent with commercial accounting systems and practices, to ensure that contractors appropriately assign costs to contracts (other than firm, fixed-price contracts) that are covered by the exemption for contracts or subcontracts where the price negotiated is based on established catalog or market prices of commercial items sold in substantial quantities to the general public. The conferees direct that the Board issue standards to implement this provision.

TITLE XLIII—ADDITIONAL REFORM PROVISIONS

Subtitle A—Additional Acquisition Reform Provisions

*Elimination of certain certification requirements (sec. 4301)*

The conference agreement includes a provision that would eliminate a number of statutory certification requirements for contractors and subcontractors with the federal government. The conferees note that the underlying requirement to comply with the specified statutes is not affected by the elimination of the contractor or subcontractor certification requirements. The conferees have included a general requirement that the Administrator of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy (OFPP) amend the Federal Acquisition Regulation to remove regulation-based certification requirements after a suitable period for public notice and comment. The provision would mandate the heads of executive agencies to follow a similar process. The provision also includes a prohibition on the imposition of future contractor and subcontractor certification requirements, unless such certification is imposed by statute or is justified in writing and approved by the Federal Acquisition Regulatory Council and the Administrator of OFPP.

*Authorities conditioned on Federal Acquisition Computer Network (FACNET) capability (sec. 4302)*

The conference agreement includes a provision that would amend section 5061 of the Federal Acquisition Streamlining Act of 1994 (Public Law 103-484) to allow a test of alternative procurement procedures. The amendment would remove a requirement that the test of alternative procurement procedures be contingent on the implementation of full federal acquisition computer network (FACNET) electronic commerce procedures. The Provision would also amend subsection (e) of section 427 of title 41, United States Code, to limit the linkage between full FACNET implementation and federal agency use of simplified acquisition procedures to a requirement that an agency must deploy a full FACNET capability by December 31, 1999 or revert back to a threshold of $50,000 on the value of procurements below which simplified procedures are authorized.

*International competitiveness (sec. 4303)*

The conference agreement includes a provision that would amend section 21(e)(2) of the Arms Export Control Act to allow the President to waive recoupment charges for non-recurring research and development costs on foreign military sales of major defense equipment under certain conditions. The provision would authorize the presidential waiver if it is determined that the levy of charges would likely result in the loss of a sale or the elimination of charges would result in savings to the government in the form of lower per unit costs for a particular item of equipment. Under this provision, the President would also be authorized to waive any portion of a recoupment charge attributable to a correction in an earlier estimate of a production quantity base used to calculate the *pro rata* recoupment charges for a particular item. The provision includes language that would render the use of the waiver subject to the President's identification and Congressional appropriation of an offset for any revenue lost as a result of the waiver authority, from fiscal year 1997 through fiscal year 2005.

*Procurement integrity (sec. 4304)*

The conference agreement includes a provision that would amend section 423 of title 41, United States Code, to revise the restrictions on obtaining or disclosing contractor bid or proposal information or source selection information. The provision would prohibit, except as provided by law, present or former federal employees from knowingly obtaining or disclosing such information before the award of a contract to which information relates. This provision would authorize criminal penalties for a violation of such prohibition when such information is exchanged for something of value or for the purpose of allowing anyone to obtain a competitive advantage in the award of a federal contract. The provision would authorize civil and administrative penalties for such violations as well.

The provision would also replace the current agency-specific recusal and post-employment restrictions applicable to agency employees involved in certain specified procurement actions with uniform standards applicable to all federal agencies. The post-employment restrictions would apply to designated officials involved in procurements over $10.0 million for a one-year period.

The recusal requirements apply to employees who are participating personally and substantially in a procurement. These requirements cover employees who participate personally and substantially in one or more of the following activities: the drafting of a specification developed for that procurement; the review and approval of a specification developed for that procurement; the preparation or issuance of a procurement solicitation in that procurement; the evaluation of bids or proposals for that procurement; the selection of sources for that procurement; the conduct of negotiations in the procurement; the review and approval of the award, modification, or extension of a contract in that procurement; such other specific procurement actions as may be specified in implementing regulations.

The provision also would provide civil and administrative penalties for contractors as well as for agency employees who violate the recusal requirements or the post-employment restrictions.

*Further acquisition streamlining provisions (sec. 4305)*

The conference agreement includes a provision that would consolidate a number of provisions in the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act concerning findings, policies, and purposes. The provision would also repeal the reporting requirements in section 8 of the Act as well as make clarifying changes to section 11 of the Act regarding the permanent authorization of appropriations for the Office of Federal Procurement Policy.

*Value engineering for federal agencies (sec. 4306)*

The conference agreement includes a provision that would amend the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act by adding a new section that would require federal agencies to establish and maintain cost-effective value engineering procedures and processes.

*Acquisition workforce (sec. 4307)*

The conference agreement includes a provision that would establish a series of policies and procedures for the management of the acquisition workforce in executive agencies other than the Department of Defense. The provision would require the head of each executive agency, after consultation with the Administrator of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy, to establish procedures and policies for the accession, education, training, and career development and performance incentives for the acquisition workforce of the agency. The provision would place primary management authority for the acquisition workforce under the control of the senior procurement executive of each agency. The provision would establish statutory standards for the executive agencies in areas such as career development and worker qualification requirements. The provision would also require each agency to establish separate funding levels for acquisition workforce education and training, and would authorize tuition reimbursement programs for personnel serving in acquisition positions.

*Demonstration project relating to certain personnel management policies and procedures (sec. 4308)*

The conference agreement includes a provision that would encourage the Secretary of Defense to embark on a demonstration program, or programs, to test the feasibility and desirability of proposals to improve personnel management policies or procedures for the Department of Defense acquisition workforce. The provision would modify authority under section 4703 of title 5, United States Code, with respect to a demonstration project carried out under this section for the three-year period, beginning on the date of enactment of this Act.

*Cooperative purchasing (sec. 4309)*

The conference agreement includes a provision that would suspend the authority of the Administrator of General Services under section 481(b)(2) of title 40, United States Code, to allow state and local governments to use the federal supply schedules. The provision would suspend the authority until the later of the period ending 18 months after the date of enactment of this Act or the period ending 30 days after the date after the Administrator has reviewed a General Accounting Office report that assesses the effects of state and local governments use of the federal supply schedules and has submitted the report and comments on the report to Congress. The conferees direct that the General Accounting Office include an assessment of the impact on costs to federal agencies from the use of federal supply schedules by state and local governments.

*Procurement notice technical amendment (sec. 4310)*

The conference agreement includes a provision that would make a clarifying amendment to section 18(c)(1)(E) to the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act.

*Micro-purchases without competitive quotations (sec. 4311)*

The conference agreement includes a provision that would amend section 428 of title 41, United States Code, to provide greater flexibility to executive agencies in determining who may make purchases below $2,500 without being required to receive competitive quotations.

Subtitle B—Technical Amendments

*Amendments related to Federal Acquisition Streamlining Act of 1994 (sec. 4321)*

The conference agreement includes a provision that would make a series of technical and clarifying changes to the Federal Acquisition Streamlining Act of 1994 (Public Law 103-355).

*Miscellaneous amendments to federal acquisition laws (sec. 4322)*

The conference agreement includes a provision that would make a series of clarifying and technical changes to acquisition statutes throughout the United States Code.

TITLE XLIV—EFFECTIVE DATES AND IMPLEMENTATION

*Effective date and applicability (sec. 4401)*

The conference agreement includes a provision that would provide that amendments made by this division would take effect on the date of enactment except as otherwise provided. The provision would provide that amendments made by this division apply to solicitations issued, unsolicited proposals received, any contract entered into pursuant to such a solicitation or proposal, and ongoing contracting actions, on or after the date 30 days after final implementing regulations are published but no later than January 1, 1997.

*Implementing regulations (sec. 4402)*

The conference agreement includes a provision that would establish a regulatory implementation schedule for the amendments within this division.

DIVISION E—INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT REFORM

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

*Overview*

The Senate amendment contained provisions with government-wide acquisition and management issues related to information technology. The House bill also contained provisions relating to bid protest jurisdictions. The conferees considered all of these provisions before agreeing to include Division E in the conference agreement.

The conferees agree that:

(1) federal information systems are critical to the lives of every American;

(2) the efficiency and effectiveness of the federal government is dependent upon the effective use of information;

(3) the federal government annually spends billions of dollars operating obsolete information systems;

(4) the use of obsolete information systems severely limits the quality of the services that the federal government provides, the efficiency of federal government operations, and the capabilities of the federal government to account for how taxpayer dollars are spent;

(5) the failure to modernize federal government information systems and the operations they support, despite efforts to do so, has resulted in the waste of billions of dollars that cannot be recovered;

(6) despite improvements achieved through implementation of the Chief Financial Officers Act of 1990, most federal agencies cannot track the expenditures of Federal dollars and, thus, expose the taxpayers to billions of dollars in waste, fraud, abuse, and mismanagement;

(7) poor planning and program management and an overburdened acquisition process have resulted in the American taxpayers not getting their money's worth from the expenditure of $200,000,000,000 on information systems during the decade preceding the enactment of this Act;

(8) the federal government's investment control processes focus too late in the system lifecycle, lack sound capital planning, and pay inadequate attention to business process improvement, performance measurement, project milestones, or benchmarks against comparable organizations;

(9) many federal agencies lack adequate personnel with the basic skills necessary to effectively and efficiently use information technology and other information resources in support of agency programs and missions;

(10) federal regulations governing information technology acquisitions are outdated, focus on paperwork and process rather than results, and prevent the federal government from taking timely advantage of the rapid advances taking place in the competitive and fast changing global information technology industry;

(11) buying, leasing, or developing information systems should be a top priority for federal agency management because of the high potential for the systems to substantially improve Federal Government operations, including the delivery of services to the public; and,

(12) structural changes in the federal government, including elimination of the Brooks Act (section 111 of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949, as amended), are necessary in order to improve federal information management and to facilitate federal government acquisition of the state-of-the-art information technology that is critical for improving the efficiency and effectiveness of federal government operations.

The conferees agree that action is necessary on the part of Congress in order to:

(1) create incentives for the federal government to strategically use information technology in order to achieve efficient and effective operations of the federal government, and to provide cost effective and efficient delivery of federal government services to the taxpayers;

(2) provide for the cost effective and timely acquisition, management, and use of effective information technology solutions;

(3) transform the process-oriented procurement system of the federal government, as it relates to the acquisition of information technology, into a results-oriented procurement system;

(4) increase the responsibility and authority of officials of the Office of Management and Budget and other federal government agencies, and the accountability of such officials to Congress and the public, in the use of information technology and other information resources in support of agency missions;

(5) ensure that federal government agencies are responsible and accountable for achieving service delivery levels and project management performance comparable to the best in the private sector;

(6) promote the development and operation of multiple-agency and government-wide, inter-operable, shared information resources to support the performance of federal government missions;

(7) reduce fraud, waste, abuse, and errors resulting from a lack of, or poor implementation of, federal government information systems;

(8) increase the capability of the federal government to restructure and improve processes before applying information technology;

(9) increase the emphasis placed by federal agency managers on completing effective capital planning and process improvement before applying information technology to the executing of plans and the performance of agency missions;

(10) coordinate, integrate, and, to the extent practicable, establish uniform federal information resources management policies and practices in order to improve the productivity, efficiency, and effectiveness of federal government programs and the delivery of services to the public;

(11) strengthen the partnership between the federal government and state, local, and tribal governments for achieving federal government missions, goals, and objectives;

(12) provide for the development of a well-trained core of professional federal government information resources managers; and,

(13) improve the ability of agencies to share expertise and best practices and coordinate the development of common application systems and infrastructure.

The following is a section-by-section description of the provisions adopted by the conferees. Section 5001 sets forth a short title "The Information Technology Management Reform Act of 1996" and Section 5002 sets forth definitions.

TITLE LI—RESPONSIBILITY FOR ACQUISITION OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

Subtitle A—General Authority

*Repeal of central authority of the Administrator of General Services (sec. 5101)*

The conference agreement includes a provision that would repeal section 111 of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949, as amended.

Subtitle B—Director of the Office of Management and Budget

*Responsibility of Director (sec. 5111)*

The conference agreement includes a provision that would require the Director of the Office of Management and Budget to comply with this title. The conferees anticipate that these provisions will be reviewed upon reauthorization of the Paperwork Reduction Act prior to September 30, 2001.

The conferees agree that in undertaking activities and issuing guidance in accordance with this subtitle, the Director shall promote the integration of information technology management with the broader information resource management processes in the agencies.

The conferees encourage the establishment of interagency groups to support the Director by examining areas of information technology, to include: telecommunications, software engineering, common administrative and programmatic applications, computer security and information policy, all of which would benefit from a government-wide or multi-agency perspective; the promotion of cooperation among agencies in information technology matters; the review of major or high risk information technology acquisitions; and the promotion of the efficient use of information technology that supports agency missions. The interagency groups should: identify common goals and requirements; develop a coordinated approach to meeting certain agency requirements, such as budget estimates and procurement programs; identify opportunities to share information that would improve the agency performance and reduce costs of agency programs; make recommendations regarding protocols and other standards for information technology, including security standards; and make recommendations concerning interoperability among agency information systems. The conferees also encourage the establishment of temporary special advisory groups, composed of experts from industry, academia, and the Federal Government, to review government-wide information technology programs, major or high risk information technology acquisitions, and information technology policy.

*Capital planning and investment control (sec. 5112)*

The conference agreement includes a provision that would describe the Director's responsibilities under 44 USC 3504(h) that relate to promoting and sustaining responsibility and accountability for improvement of the acquisition, use, and disposal of information technology by executive agencies.

The conferees agree that the Director, in developing a process related to major agency capital investments, should: ensure that the process identifies opportunities for interagency cooperation; ensure the success of high risk and high return investments; develop requirements for agency submission of investment information needed to execute the process; ensure that agency information resources management plans are integrated into the agency's program plans, financial management plans, and budgets for the acquisition and use of information technology designed to improve agency performance and the accomplishment of agency missions; and identify three categories of information systems investments—(1) high risk—those projects that, by virtue of their size, complexity, use of innovative technology, or other factors, have an especially high risk of failure; (2) high return—those projects that by virtue of their total potential benefits, in proportion to their costs, have particularly unique value to the public; and (3) cross-cutting—those projects of individual agencies, with shared benefit to or impact on other federal agencies and state or local governments, that require enforcement of operational standards or elimination of redundancies. Finally, the conferees also agree that the Director, to encourage the use of best business and administrative practices, should identify and collect information regarding best practices, to include information on the development and implementation of best practices by the executive agencies. The Director should provide the executive agencies with information on best practices, and advice and assistance regarding the use of best practices.

*Performance-based and results-based management (sec. 5113)*

The conference agreement includes a provision that would require the Director to encourage performance and results-based management for agency information technology programs. The Director is required to review agency management practices based on the performance and results of its information technology programs and investments. The Director is required to issue clear and concise directions to ensure that agencies have effective and efficient capital planning processes that are used to select, control, and evaluate the results of major information systems investments and to ensure that agency information security is adequate.

The conferees agree that the Director's direction to agencies regarding performance and results-based management of information technology resources shall contain the following: (1) that each executive agency and its major subcomponents institute effective and efficient capital planning processes for selecting, controlling, and evaluating the results of all of its major information systems investments; (2) that the agency maintain a current and adequate information resources management plan, and to the maximum extent practicable, specifically identify the method for acquisition of information technology expected to improve agency operations, and otherwise benefit the agency; (3) that the agency provide for adequate integration of the agency's information resources management plans, strategic plans prepared pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 306, performance plans prepared pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 1115, financial management plans prepared pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 902(a)(5), and the agency budgets for the acquisition and use of information technology and other information resources. In addition, the conferees agree that OMB shall provide the needed oversight, through the budget process and other means, to ensure that executive agencies assume responsibility, and effectively implement suitable performance and results-based management practices.

Subtitle C—Executive Agencies

*Responsibilities (sec. 5121)*

The conference agreement includes a provision that would require the head of each executive agency to comply with this subtitle. The conferees anticipate that these provisions will be reviewed upon reauthorization of the Paperwork Reduction Act prior to September 30, 2001.

The conferees encourage the establishment and support of independent technical review committees, composed of diverse agency personnel (including users) and outside experts selected by the agency head, to advise an agency head about information systems programs.

*Capital planning and investment control (sec. 5122)*

The conference agreement includes a provision that would require agencies to develop a process for furthering their responsibilities under 44 U.S.C. 3506(h). The head of the agency is required to design and develop a process for maximizing the value and assessing and managing the risk of the agency's information technology acquisitions.

*Performance and results-based management (sec. 5123)*

The conference agreement includes a provision that would require agencies to establish goals for and report on the progress of improving efficiency and effectiveness of agency operations through use of information technology, as required by 44 U.S.C. 3506(h). The head of an executive agency must ensure that performance measures are established to support evaluating the results and benefits of information technology investments.

The conferees agree that, in fulfilling the responsibilities under this section, agency heads should ensure that: (1) before investing in information technology to support a function, the agency determines whether that function should be performed in the private sector or by an agency of the federal government; (2) the agency adequately provides for the integration of the agency's information resources management plans, strategic plans prepared pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 306, performance plans prepared pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 1115, financial management plans prepared pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 902(a)(5), and adequately prepares budgets for the acquisition and use of information technology; (3) the agency maintains a current and adequate information resources management plan, and to the maximum extent practicable, specifically identifies how acquired information technology would improve agency operations and otherwise benefit the agency; and (4) the agency invests in efficient and effective interagency and government-wide information technology to improve the accomplishment of common agency missions or functions.

*Acquisitions of information technology (sec. 5124)*

The conference agreement includes a provision that would authorize the head of an executive agency to acquire information technology and, upon approval of the Director of OMB, enter into multi-agency information technology investments. The conferees intend that the requirements and limitations of the Economy Act, and other provisions of law, apply to these multiagency acquisitions. This section also authorizes the General Services Administration (GSA) to continue the management of the FTS-2000 program and coordinate the follow-on effort to FTS-2000.

*Agency chief information officer (sec. 5125)*

The conference agreement includes a provision that would amend the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 by replacing the "senior information resources management official position" established within each executive

agency with an agency Chief Information Officer (CIO). The agency CIO is responsible for providing information and advice regarding information technology and information resources management to the head of the agency, and for ensuring that the management and acquisition of agency information technology is implemented consistent with the provisions of this law.

The conferees anticipate that agencies may establish CIOs for major subcomponents or bureaus, and expect agency CIOs will possess knowledge of, and practical experience in, information and information technology management practices of business or government entities. The conferees also intend that deputy chief information officers be appointed by agency heads that have additional experience in business process analysis, software and information systems development, design and management of information technology architectures, data and telecommunications management at government or business entities. The conferees intend that CIOs, in agencies other than those listed in 31 U.S.C. 901(b), perform essentially the same duties as CIOs in agencies listed in 31 U.S.C. 901(b).

The conferees expect that an agency's CIO will meet periodically with other appropriate agency officials to advise and coordinate the information technology and other information resources management activities of the various agencies.

*Accountability (sec. 5126)*

The conference agreement includes a provision that would require the head of each agency, in consultation with agency Chief Information Officers and Chief Financial Officers, to ensure the integration of financial and information systems. The conferees intend that the information resources management plan, required under 44 U.S.C. 3506(b)(2), support the performance of agency missions through the application of information technology and other information resources, and include the following: (1) a statement of goals to improve the extent to which information resources contribute to program productivity, efficiency, and effectiveness; (2) the development of methods to measure progress toward achieving the goals; (3) the establishment of clear roles, responsibilities, and accountability to achieve the goals; (4) a description of an agency's major existing and planned information technology components (such as information systems and telecommunications networks); (5) the relationship among the information technology components, and the information architecture; and (6) a summary of the project's status and any changes in name, direction or scope, quantifiable results achieved, and current maintenance expenditures for each ongoing or completed major information systems investment from the previous year. The conferees also intend that agency heads will periodically evaluate and improve the accuracy, security, completeness, and reliability of information maintained by or for the agency.

*Significant deviations (sec. 5127)*

The conference agreement includes a provision that would require agencies to identify in their information resources management plans any major information technology acquisition program, or phase or increment of such program, that has significantly deviated from the established cost, performance, or schedule baseline.

*Interagency support (sec. 5128)*

The conference agreement includes a provision that would authorize the utilization of funds for interagency activities in support of the Information Technology Reform Act.

Subtitle D—Other Responsibilities.

*Responsibilities regarding efficiency, security, and privacy of federal computer systems (sec. 5131)*

The conference agreement includes a provision that would set forth the authority for the Secretary of Commerce, in consultation with the National Institute of Standards and Technology, to promulgate standards to improve the operation, security, and privacy of Federal information technology systems.

*Sense of Congress (sec. 5132)*

The conference agreement includes a provision stating that agencies, over the next five years, should achieve a five percent per year decrease in costs incurred for operation and maintenance of information technology, and a five percent increase in operational efficiency through improvements in information resources management.

Subtitle E—National Security Systems

The conference agreement includes a provision that would exclude national security systems from provisions of this Act, unless otherwise provided in this Act.

TITLE LII—PROCESS FOR ACQUISITIONS OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

*Procurement procedures (sec. 5201)*

The conference agreement includes a provision that would direct the Federal Acquisition Regulatory Council to ensure, to the maximum extent practicable, that the information technology process is simplified, clear, and understandable. The process should specifically address the management of risk, incremental acquisitions, and the need to incorporate commercial information technology in a timely manner.

The conferees agree that, in performing oversight of information technology acquisitions, the Director of the Office of Management and Budget, agency heads, and agency inspectors general should emphasize program results and established performance measurements, rather than reviews of the acquisition process.

*Incremental acquisition of information technology (sec. 5202)*

The conference agreement includes a provision that would provide for procedures in the Federal Acquisition Regulations for the incremental acquisition of major information technology systems by the Department of Defense and the civilian executive agencies.

TITLE LIII—INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY ACQUISITION PILOT PROGRAMS

Subtitle A—Conduct of Pilot Programs

The conference agreement includes provisions that would authorize the Administrator of Office of Federal Procurement Policy, in consultation with the Administrator of Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, to: conduct pilot programs to test alternative acquisition approaches for information technology; conduct no more than two pilots, not to exceed $750 million for a period not to exceed five years; require agency heads to develop evaluation and test plans; prepare and submit test plans to Congress prior to implementation; report on results within 180 days after completion; and make recommendations for legislation.

Subtitle B—Specific Pilot Programs

The conference agreement includes provisions that would provide for two specific pilot programs, the share-in-savings pilot program and the solutions-based contracting pilot program.

TITLE LIV—ADDITIONAL INFORMATION RESOURCES MANAGEMENT MATTERS

*On-line multiple award schedule contracting (sec. 5401)*

The conference agreement includes a provision that would require the Administrator of General Services to provide for on-line access to multiple award schedules for information technology. The system would provide basic information on prices, features, and similar matters, allow for information updates, enable comparison of product information, enable on-line ordering and invoicing, permit on-line payment, and archive order data. The provision would also authorize a pilot program to test streamlined procedures for the automated system. The conference agreement directs the Administrator of General Services to incorporate its information technology multiple award schedules into Federal Acquisition Computer Network (FACNET) by January 1, 1998, and would make the pilot program discretionary. The conferees agree that the procedures established by the Administrator for use of FACNET be consistent with the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act requirements regarding the multiple award schedule (41 U.S.C. 259(B)(3)). If the Administrator determines it is not practicable to provide such access through FACNET, the Administrator shall provide such access through another automated system that has the capability to perform the functions listed in subsection 259(b)(1) and meets the requirement of subsection 259(b)(2).

*Disposal of excess computer equipment (sec. 5402)*

The conference agreement includes a provision that would require agencies to inventory all agency computer equipment and to identify excess or surplus property. The conferees direct that the Administrator of General Services, in exercising current authority under title II of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (40 U.S.C. 481 et seq.), donate federal surplus personal property to public organizations. The conferees direct the Administrator to prescribe regulations that establish a priority for the donation of surplus computer equipment in the following sequence: (1) elementary and secondary schools, and schools funded by the Bureau of Indian Affairs; (2) public libraries; (3) public colleges and universities; and (4) other entities eligible for donation of federal surplus personal property under title II of that Act.

*Access of certain information in information systems to the directory established under section 4101 of title 44, United States Code (sec. 5403)*

The conference agreement includes a provision that would ensure that, for agency information systems that disseminate information to the public, an index of information is included in the Government Printing Office (GPO) directory established under 44 U.S.C. 4101.

In 1993, Congress directed the GPO to create an online directory, of federal public information in electronic form (Public Law 103-40). Today, that system is accessible to the general public directly and through the Federal Depository Libraries. Yet, in the two years since enactment of the GPO access bill, technology has moved forward dramatically in its ability to support location and search of the physically-distributed, locally-maintained databases. Congress recognized this shift in the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Public Law 104-13). That Act requires

Federal agencies to ensure access to agency public information by "encouraging a diversity of public and private sources". It also directs the Office of Management and Budget to establish a distributed, electronic, agency-based Government Information Locator Service (GILS) to identify the major information dissemination products of each agency. As the Senate report noted (S. Rept. 104–112), GILS: "* * * will provide multiple avenues for public access to government information by pointing to specific agency information holdings. To make this possible, agencies' systems must be compatible. Thus, agency GILS information should be available to the public through the Government Printing Office Locator System (established pursuant to Public Law 103–40) in addition to any other required methods, agencies may choose to efficiently and effectively provide public and agency access to GILS."

Section 5403 further clarifies the intent of Congress to ensure the widest possible access to Federal public information through a diversity of compatible sources.

TITLE LV—PROCUREMENT PROTEST AUTHORITY OF THE COMPTROLLER GENERAL

The conference agreement includes a provision that would require the Comptroller General to issue a decision relating to a bid protest within 100 days.

TITLE LVI—CONFORMING AND CLERICAL AMENDMENTS

The conference agreement includes a series of clarifying and technical changes to acquisition statutes throughout the United States Code.

TITLE LVII—EFFECTIVE DATE, SAVINGS PROVISIONS, AND RULE OF CONSTRUCTION

*Effective date (sec. 5701)*

The conference agreement includes a provision that would provide for this division and the amendments made by this division to take effect 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

*Savings provisions (sec. 5702)*

The conference agreement includes a provision that would allow selected information technology actions and acquisition proceedings, including claims or applications, that have been initiated by, or are pending before, Administrator of the General Services or the General Services Administration Board of Contract Appeals to be continued under original terms, until terminated, revoked, or superseded in accordance with law, by the Director of OMB, by a court, or by operation of law. The Director of OMB is authorized to establish regulations for transferring such actions and proceedings.

FLOYD SPENCE,
BOB STUMP,
DUNCAN HUNTER,
HERBERT H. BATEMAN,
CURT WELDON,
G.V. MONTGOMERY,
JOHN M. SPRATT, Jr.,
*Managers on the Part of the House.*

STROM THURMOND,
JOHN WARNER,
BILL COHEN,
TRENT LOTT,
SAM NUNN,
*Managers on the Part of the Senate.*

## SPECIAL ORDERS GRANTED

By unanimous consent, permission to address the House, following the legislative program and any special orders heretofore entered, was granted to:

(The following Members (at the request of Mr. BENTSEN) to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material:)

Mr. POMEROY, for 5 minutes, today.
Mr. BENTSEN, for 5 minutes, today.
Mrs. CLAYTON, for 5 minutes, today.

## EXTENSION OF REMARKS

By unanimous consent, permission to revise and extend remarks was granted to:

Mr. GINGRICH, (at the request of Mr. SMITH of Texas) notwithstanding the fact that it exceeds two pages and is estimated to cost $4,982.

(The following Members (at the request of Mr. BENTSEN) and to include extraneous matters:)

Mr. BERMAN.
Mr. STARK, in four instances.
Mr. KANJORSKI.
Ms. DELAURO, in two instances.

(The following Members (at the request of Mr. SMITH of Texas) and to include extraneous matter:)

Mr. OXLEY.
Mr. RADANOVICH.

(The following Member (at the request of Mr. OWENS) and to include extraneous matter:)

Mr. BONIOR.

## BILLS PRESENTED TO THE PRESIDENT

Mr. THOMAS, from the Committee on House Oversight, reported that that committee did on the following date present to the President, for his approval, a bill of the House of the following title:

On January 6, 1996:

H.R. 1358. An act to require the Secretary of Commerce to convey to the Commonwealth of Massachusetts the National Marine Fisheries Service laboratory located on Emerson Avenue in Gloucester, Massachusetts.

## ADJOURNMENT

Mr. OWENS. Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now adjourn.

The motion was agreed to; accordingly (at 3 o'clock and 30 minutes p.m.), under its previous order, the House adjourned until Tuesday, January 23, 1996, at 12:30 p.m.

## EXECUTIVE COMMUNICATIONS, ETC.

Under clause 2 of rule XXIV, executive communications were taken from the Speaker's table and referred as follows:

1931. A letter from the Director, the Office of Management and Budget, transmitting the cumulative report on rescissions and deferrals of budget authority as of January 1, 1996, pursuant to 2 U.S.C. 685(e), (H. Doc. No. 104–166); to the Committee on Appropriations and ordered to be printed.

1932. A letter from the Adjutant General, the Veterans of Foreign Wars of the United States, transmitting proceedings of the 96th National Convention of the Veterans of Foreign Wars of the United States, held in Phoenix, AZ, August 19 to 25, 1995, pursuant to 36 U.S.C. 118 and 44 U.S.C. 1332 (H. Doc. No. 104–163); to the Committee on National Security and ordered to be printed.

1933. A communication from the President of the United States, transmitting notification that the national emergency regarding terrorists who threaten to disrupt the Middle East peace process is to continue in effect beyond January 23, 1996, pursuant to 50 U.S.C. 1622(d) (H. Doc. No. 104–167); to the Committee on International Relations and ordered to be printed.

1934. A letter from the Chairman, Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board, transmitting the annual report under the Federal Managers' Financial Integrity Act for fiscal year 1995, pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 3512(c)(3); to the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight.

1935. A letter from the Acting Chairman, National Bankruptcy Review Commission, transmitting, the annual report under the Federal Managers' Financial Integrity Act for fiscal year 1995, pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 3512(c)(3); to the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight.

1936. A letter from the Chief Administrative Officer, Postal Rate Commission, transmitting, a report of activities under the Freedom of Information Act for calendar year 1995, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552(d); to the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight.

1937. A letter from the Secretary, Postal Rate Commission, transmitting, a copy of the annual report in compliance with the Government in the Sunshine Act during the calendar year 1995, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552b(j); to the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight.

1938. A letter from the Secretary of Energy, transmitting, the annual report under the Federal Managers; Financial Integrity Act for fiscal year 1995, pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 3512(c)(3); to the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight.

1939. A letter from the Chairman, U.S. Merit Systems Protection Board, transmitting a copy of the annual report in compliance with the Government in the Sunshine Act during the calendar year 1995, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552b; to the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight.

1940. A letter from the Special Counsel, U.S. Office of Special Counsel, transmitting the annual report under the Federal Managers' Financial Integrity Act for fiscal year 1995, pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 3512(c)(3); to the Committee on Government Reform and Oversight.

1941. A letter from the Assistant Attorney General of the United States, transmitting a draft of proposed legislation entitled, the "Enhanced Prosecution of Dangerous Juvenile Offenders Act of 1995"; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

## REPORTS OF COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 2 of rule XIII, reports of committees were delivered to the Clerk for printing and reference to the proper calendar, as follows:

Mr. SPENCE: Committee of Conference. Conference report on S. 1124. An act to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 1996 for

military activities of the Department of Defense, to prescribe personnel strengths for such fiscal year for the Armed Forces, and for other purposes (Rept. 104–450). Ordered to be printed.

## TIME LIMITATION OF REFERRED BILL

Pursuant to clause 5 of rule X the following action was taken by the Speaker:

*[The following action occurred on January 12, 1996]*

H.R. 1816. Referral to the Committee on Commerce extended for a period ending not later than July 1, 1996.

## PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 5 of rule X and clause 4 of rule XXII, public bills and resolutions were introduced and severally referred as follows:

By Mrs. KENNELLY (for herself, Mr. SABO, Mr. GIBBONS, Mr. MATSUI, Mr. KLECZKA, Mr. STARK, Mr. NEAL of Massachusetts, Mr. MCDERMOTT, Mr. LEVIN, Mr. RANGEL, Mr. FORD, Mr. CARDIN, Mr. PAYNE of Virginia, Mr. COYNE, Mr. LEWIS of Georgia, and Mr. GEPHARDT):

H.R. 2862. A bill to permanently increase the public debt limit; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Ms. MCKINNEY.

H.R. 2863. A bill making appropriations for foreign operations, export financing, and related programs for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1996, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Appropriations.

## MEMORIALS

Under clause 4 of rule XXII, memorials were presented and referred as follows:

193. By the SPEAKER. Memorial of the General Assembly of the State of California, relative to the enactment of a National Spaceport Program; to the Committee on Science.

194. Also, memorial of the General Assembly of the State of California, relative to the Veterans' hospital facilities at Travis Air Force Base; to the Committee on Veterans' Affairs.

## ADDITIONAL SPONSORS

Under clause 4 of rule XXII, sponsors were added to public bills and resolutions as follows:

H.R. 42: Mr. KENNEDY of Massachusetts.
H.R. 205: Ms. HARMAN.
H.R. 1143: Ms. HARMAN.
H.R. 1144: Ms. HARMAN.
H.R. 1145: Ms. HARMAN.
H.R. 1189: Mr. MEEHAN.
H.R. 1462: Mr. OLVER, Mr. KILDEE, Mrs. JOHNSON of Connecticut, Mr. VOLKMER, Mr. MANTON, and Mr. STOCKMAN.
H.R. 1547: Mr. DEFAZIO.
H.R. 1573: Mr. LOBIONDO.
H.R. 1771: Mr. LEWIS of Georgia.
H.R. 2270: Mr. BACHUS, Mr. COOLEY, Mr. COBLE, and Mr. MCKEON.
H.R. 2276: Mr. STARK, Mr. SISISKY, and Mr. PICKETT.
H.R. 2364: Mr. CRAPO.
H.R. 2463: Mrs. MINK of Hawaii.
H.R. 2618: Mr. STARK.
H.R. 2657: Mr. MARTINI.
H.R. 2697: Mr. MCDERMOTT, Mr. DEFAZIO, and Mr. FRANK of Massachusetts.
H.R. 2755: Mr. GEJDENSON and Mrs. SCHROEDER.
H. Con. Res. 63: Mr. BARR and Mr. DORNAN.
H. Res. 30: Mr. BREWSTER and Mrs. LINCOLN.
H. Res. 333: Mr. LUTHER and Mr. HAMILTON.