

son or circumstance, is held invalid, the validity of any other provision of this Act, or the application of such provision to other persons and circumstances, shall not be affected thereby.

SEC. 904. EXPEDITED REVIEW OF CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES.

(a) DIRECT APPEAL TO SUPREME COURT.—An appeal may be taken directly to the Supreme Court of the United States from any interlocutory order or final judgment, decree, or order issued by any court ruling on the constitutionality of any provision of this Act or amendment made by this Act.

(b) ACCEPTANCE AND EXPEDITION.—The Supreme Court shall, if it has not previously ruled on the question addressed in the ruling below, accept jurisdiction over, advance on the docket, and expedite the appeal to the greatest extent possible.

And the House agree to the same.

That the Senate recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the House to the title of the bill and agree to the same with an amendment as follows:

In lieu of the matter proposed to be inserted by the amendment of the House to the title of the bill, insert the following: "An Act to amend the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971 to provide for a voluntary system of spending limits and benefits for congressional election campaigns, and for other purposes."

And the House agree to the same.

CHARLIE ROSE,
SAM GEJDENSON,
RICHARD GEPHARDT,
AL SWIFT,
LEON E. PANETTA,
MIKE SYNAR,
GERALD D. KLECZKA,

For consideration of sections 103 and 202 of the Senate bill, section 802 of the House amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

EDWARD J. MARKEY,

For consideration of sections 104, 404, 409, and 411 of the Senate bill, section 103 of the House amendment, and modifications committed to conference:

W.L. CLAY,
FRANK MCCLOSKEY,
Managers on the Part of the House.

WENDELL H. FORD,
DAVID L. BOREN,
GEORGE MITCHELL,
Managers on the Part of the Senate.

When said conference report was considered.

After debate,

Mr. GEJDENSON moved the previous question on the conference report to its adoption or rejection.

The question being put, viva voce,

Will the House now order the previous question?

The SPEAKER pro tempore, Mr. ECKART, announced that the yeas had it.

Mr. WALKER objected to the vote on the ground that a quorum was not present and not voting.

A quorum not being present,

The roll was called under clause 4, rule XV, and the call was taken by electronic device.

When there appeared

{	Yeas	260
}	Nays	161

¶43.10

[Roll No. 77]
YEAS—260

Abercrombie
Ackerman
Alexander

Anderson
Andrews (ME)
Andrews (NJ)

Andrews (TX)
Annunzio
Anthony

Aspin
Atkins
AuCoin
Bacchus
Beilenson
Bennett
Berman
Bevill
Bilbray
Blackwell
Bonior
Borski
Boucher
Boxer
Brewster
Brooks
Browder
Brown
Bruce
Bryant
Bustamante
Byron
Campbell (CO)
Cardin
Carper
Carr
Chapman
Clay
Clement
Coleman (TX)
Collins (IL)
Collins (MI)
Condit
Conyers
Cooper
Cox (IL)
Coyne
Cramer
Darden
de la Garza
DeFazio
DeLauro
Dellums
Derrick
Dicks
Dixon
Donnelly
Dooley
Dorgan (ND)
Downey
Durbin
Dwyer
Early
Eckart
Edwards (CA)
Edwards (TX)
Engel
English
Erdreich
Espy
Evans
Fascell
Fazio
Feighan
Fish
Flake
Foglietta
Ford (MI)
Ford (TN)
Frank (MA)
Frost
Gaydos
Gejdenson
Gephardt
Geren
Gibbons
Glickman
Gonzalez
Gordon
Green
Guarini
Hall (OH)
Hall (TX)
Hamilton

Harris
Hatcher
Hayes (IL)
Hayes (LA)
Hefner
Hoagland
Hochbrueckner
Horn
Hoyer
Hubbard
Huckaby
Hughes
Hutto
Jefferson
Jenkins
Johnson (SD)
Johnston
Jones (GA)
Jones (NC)
Jontz
Kanjorski
Kaptur
Kennedy
Kennelly
Kildee
Klecza
Klug
Kolter
Kopetski
Kostmayer
LaFalce
Lancaster
Lantos
LaRocco
Laughlin
Leach
Lehman (CA)
Lehman (FL)
Levin (MI)
Lewis (GA)
Lipinski
Lloyd
Long
Lowey (NY)
Luken
Manton
Markey
Marlenee
Martinez
Matsui
Mavroules
Mazzoli
McCloskey
McCurdy
McDermott
McHugh
McMillen (MD)
McNulty
Mfume
Miller (CA)
Mineta
Mink
Moakley
Mollohan
Montgomery
Moody
Moran
Mrázek
Murtha
Nagle
Natcher
Neal (MA)
Neal (NC)
Nowak
Oakar
Oberstar
Obey
Olin
Olver
Ortiz
Orton
Owens (NY)
Owens (UT)
Pallone

NAYS—161

Allard
Allen
Applegate
Archer
Armey
Baker
Ballenger
Barrett
Barton
Bateman
Bentley
Bereuter
Bilirakis
Bliley

Panetta
Parker
Pastor
Patterson
Payne (NJ)
Payne (VA)
Pease
Pelosi
Penny
Perkins
Peterson (FL)
Peterson (MN)
Pickett
Pickle
Poshard
Price
Rahall
Rangel
Ray
Reed
Richardson
Roe
Roemer
Rose
Rostenkowski
Rowland
Roybal
Sabo
Sanders
Sangmeister
Sarpalus
Savage
Sawyer
Scheuer
Schroeder
Schumer
Serrano
Sharp
Sikorski
Sisisky
Skaggs
Skelton
Long
Slattery
Slaughter
Smith (FL)
Snowe
Solarz
Spratt
Staggers
Stallings
Stark
Stenholm
Stokes
Studds
Sweet
Swift
Synar
Tallon
Tanner
Tauzin
Taylor (MS)
Thomas (GA)
Thornton
Torres
Torricelli
Towns
Traficant
Traxler
Unsoeld
Valentine
Vento
Visclosky
Volkmer
Washington
Waters
Waxman
Weiss
Williams
Wilson
Wise
Wolpe
Wyden
Yatron

Fields
Franks (CT)
Gallegly
Gallo
Gekas
Gilchrist
Gillmor
Gilman
Gingrich
Goodling
Goss
Gradison
Grandy
Gunderson
Hammerschmidt
Hancock
Hansen
Hastert
Hefley
Henry
Herger
Hobson
Holloway
Hopkins
Horton
Houghton
Hunter
Hyde
Inhofe
Ireland
Jacobs
James
Johnson (CT)
Johnson (TX)
Kasich
Kolbe
Kyl
Lagomarsino
Lent
Lewis (CA)

Lewis (FL)
Lightfoot
Livingston
Lowery (CA)
Machtley
McCandless
McCollum
McCrery
McDade
McEwen
McGrath
McMillan (NC)
Meyers
Michel
Miller (OH)
Miller (WA)
Molinaro
Moorhead
Morella
Morrison
Murphy
Myers
Nichols
Nussle
Oxley
Packard
Paxon
Petri
Porter
Pursell
Quillen
Ramstad
Ravenel
Regula
Rhodes
Ridge
Riggs
Rinaldo
Ritter
Roberts

NOT VOTING—13

Barnard
Costello
Dannemeyer
Dingell
Dymally

Hertel
Levine (CA)
Martin
Russo
Smith (IA)

Rogers
Rohrabacher
Ros-Lehtinen
Roth
Roukema
Santorum
Saxton
Schaefer
Schiff
Schulze
Sensenbrenner
Shaw
Shays
Shuster
Skeen
Smith (NJ)
Smith (OR)
Smith (TX)
Solomon
Spence
Stearns
Stump
Sundquist
Taylor (NC)
Thomas (CA)
Thomas (KY)
Upton
Vander Jagt
Vucanovich
Walker
Walsh
Weber
Weldon
Wolf
Wylie
Young (AK)
Young (FL)
Zeliff
Zimmer

So the previous question on the conference report was ordered.

Mr. WALSH moved to recommit the conference report on S. 3 to the committee of conference with the following instructions to the managers on the part of the House to include in the conference report the provisions of H.R. 3770, including: (1) The requirement that a majority of a candidate's contributions come from individuals residing in the candidate's district; (2) A limit of \$1,000 on PAC contributions to candidates; (3) A total ban on soft money contributions to political parties; and (4) To further include the requirement that no taxpayer dollars may be used to finance congressional campaigns.

Pending consideration of said motion,

¶43.11 POINT OF ORDER

Mr. GEJDENSON made a point of order against motion, and said:

"Mr. Speaker, I would make a point of order that the instructions exceed the scope of the conference report. It is clear that the requirement of in-district funding is beyond the scope of the conference report, and I would move that therefore the motion to recommit should be ruled out of order."

Mr. LEACH was recognized to speak to the point of order and said:

"Mr. Speaker, there are two issues that this Member would like to make. One is that in his belief this is thoroughly and utterly germane.

"The second point is how extraordinary it is that the party of alleged