

Whereas the Acting Director of Central Intelligence has stated in writing to Representative Gonzalez, regarding this statement in the Congressional Records of July 21 and 27, 1992, that, "I have asked the Office of Security of the Central Intelligence Agency to undertake a review of your statements in order to determine the impact of the disclosures of intelligence information on intelligence sources and methods";

Whereas the Department of State has confirmed in writing that, over a number of days, Representative Gonzalez "inserted into the Congressional Record the full text of at least fourteen classified documents generated by the Department of State," and the Department of State indicated further that those documents "contain classified information involving sensitive diplomatic discussions";

Whereas the Treasury Department has indicated in writing "very serious concerns" over Representative Gonzalez's "disclosures of classified information in the Congressional Record" which included information from a classified Treasury Department document;

Whereas on numerous other occasions Representative Gonzalez has knowingly and willfully disclosed in the Congressional Record information from Executive Branch documents which are apparently classified for reasons of national security;

Whereas the classified documents in question were apparently made available to the Committee on Banking, Finance and Urban Affairs by Executive Branch agencies in good faith cooperation with a committee investigation and with the expectation that access would be restricted to persons with appropriate security clearances;

Whereas the public disclosure of information from the classified documents in question was not necessary for legitimate legislative oversight, and the Committee on Banking, Finance and Urban Affairs apparently has not voted to disclose publicly those classified documents;

Whereas the public disclosure of the contents of the classified documents in question appears to be detrimental to the national security and foreign policy interests of the United States;

Whereas the conduct of Representative Gonzalez raises serious questions of possible violations of Clauses 1 and 2 of Rule XLIII (Code of Official Conduct) and possibly of Clause 2(k)(7) of Rule XI (Rules of Procedures for Committees) of the House;

Whereas the knowing, unilateral and unauthorized disclosure of classified information by Representative Gonzalez seriously imperils the spirit of mutual cooperation and trust between the Congress and the Executive Branch so critical to effective legislative oversight;

Whereas the nature and gravity of the conduct of Representative Gonzalez is such that the reputation and dignity of the House as an institution and the integrity of its proceedings, especially its oversight activities, may well be adversely affected;

Whereas Representative Gonzalez willfully continues to disclose publicly information from classified documents; and

Whereas in the interest of a prompt and fair resolution of the serious questions raised regarding the apparent unauthorized disclosure of classified information in seeming violation of the Rules of the House of Representatives: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Committee on Standards of Official Conduct is directed to investigate whether Representative Gonzalez has, during the Second Session of the One Hundred and Second Congress, publicly disclosed classified information in the Congressional Record, and in so doing violated the Rules of the House of Representatives or any duly

constituted committees. All other committees, and all Members, officers, or employees of the House who may have information relevant to this investigation are directed to cooperate promptly with the Committee on Standards subject to procedures the Committee shall adopt necessary to protect from unauthorized disclosure classified information which may be transmitted to the Committee pursuant to this investigation. The Committee on Standards of Official Conduct shall promptly report its findings and any recommendations to the House.

The SPEAKER ruled that the resolution submitted did present a question of the privileges of the House under rule IX.

Mr. BONIOR moved to lay the resolution on the table.

The question being put, viva voce, Will the House lay the resolution on the table?

The SPEAKER announced that the yeas had it.

Mr. COMBEST demanded that the vote be taken by the yeas and nays, which demand was supported by one-fifth of the Members present, so the yeas and nays were ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device.

It was decided in the affirmative { Yeas 216 Nays 150

108.6 [Roll No. 403] YEAS—216

- Abercrombie English Markey
Ackerman Erdreich Martinez
Anderson Espy Matsui
Andrews (ME) Evans Mazzoli
Andrews (NJ) Fazio McCloskey
Andrews (TX) Foglietta McCurdy
Applegate Ford (TN) McDermott
Aspin Frank (MA) McHugh
Bacchus Gejdenson McMillen (MD)
Beilenson Geren McNulty
Bennett Gibbons Mfume
Berman Glickman Miller (CA)
Bevill Gonzalez Mineta
Billbray Guarini Mink
Blackwell Hall (OH) Moakley
Bonior Hall (TX) Mollohan
Borski Hamilton Montgomery
Boucher Harris Moody
Brewster Hatcher Moran
Browder Hayes (IL) Murphy
Brown Hefner Murtha
Bruce Hoagland Nagle
Bryant Hochbrueckner Natcher
Bustamante Horn Neal (NC)
Byron Hoyer Nowak
Cardin Hubbard Oakar
Carper Hughes Oberstar
Clay Hutto Obey
Clement Jacobs Olin
Coleman (TX) Jenkins Olver
Collins (IL) Johnson (SD) Ortiz
Collins (MI) Johnston Orton
Condit Jontz Owens (NY)
Costello Kanjorski Pallone
Cox (IL) Kaptur Panetta
Coyne Kennedy Parker
Cramer Kennelly Pastor
Darden Kildee Patterson
de la Garza Kleczka Payne (NJ)
DeFazio Koltzer Payne (VA)
DeLauro Kopetski Pease
Dellums Kostmayer Pelosi
Derrick LaFalce Penny
Dicks Lancaster Perkins
Dingell Lantos Peterson (FL)
Dixon LaRocco Peterson (MN)
Dorgan (ND) Laughlin Pickett
Downey Lehman (CA) Poshard
Durbins Levin (MI) Price
Dymally Lewis (GA) Rahall
Early Lipinski Rangel
Eckart Lloyd Reed
Edwards (CA) Long Roe
Edwards (TX) Lowey (NY) Roemer
Engel Luken Rose

- Rostenkowski Slaughter Torres
Rowland Smith (FL) Torricelli
Roybal Smith (IA) Traficant
Sabo Spratt Unsoeld
Sanders Staggers Valentine
Sangmeister Stallings Vento
Sarpaluis Stenholm Visclosky
Sawyer Studds Volkmer
Schroeder Swett Waters
Schumer Swift Waxman
Serrano Synar Wheat
Sharp Tallon Whitten
Sikorski Tanner Wilson
Sisisky Tauzin Wise
Skaggs Taylor (MS) Wolpe
Skelton Thomas (GA) Wyden
Slattery Thornton Yates

NAYS—150

- Allard Gradison Nichols
Allen Grandy Nussle
Archer Gunderson Oxley
Armey Hammerschmidt Packard
Baker Hancock Paxon
Ballenger Hansen Petri
Barrett Hastert Porter
Barton Hefley Pursell
Bateman Henry Quillen
Bentley Herger Ramstad
Bereuter Hobson Ravenel
Bilirakis Holloway Regula
Bliley Hopkins Rhodes
Boehlert Horton Rinaldo
Boehner Houghton Roberts
Burton Hunter Rogers
Callahan Hyde Rohrabacher
Camp Inhofe Ros-Lehtinen
Campbell (CA) Ireland Roth
Clinger James Roukema
Coble Johnson (CT) Santorum
Coleman (MO) Johnson (TX) Schaefer
Combest Kasich Schiff
Coughlin Klug Schulze
Cox (CA) Kolbe Sensenbrenner
Crane Kyl Shays
Cunningham Lagomarsino Shuster
Dannemeyer Leach Skeen
Davis Lent Smith (NJ)
DeLay Lewis (CA) Smith (OR)
Dickinson Lewis (FL) Smith (TX)
Doolittle Lightfoot Snowe
Dornan (CA) Livingston Solomon
Dreier Lowery (CA) Spence
Duncan Machtley Stearns
Emerson McCandless Stump
Ewing McCollum Sundquist
Fawell McDade Taylor (NC)
Fields McEwen Thomas (WY)
Fish McGrath Upton
Franks (CT) McMillan (NC) Vander Jagt
Gallegly Meyers Vucanovich
Gallo Michel Walker
Gekas Miller (OH) Walsh
Gilchrest Miller (WA) Weldon
Gillmor Molinari Wolf
Gilman Moorhead Wylie
Gingrich Morella Young (AK)
Goodling Morrison Young (FL)
Goss Myers Zimmer

NOT VOTING—66

- Alexander Flake Pickle
Annunzio Ford (MI) Ray
Anthony Frost Richardson
Atkins Gaydos Ridge
AuCoin Gephardt Riggs
Barnard Gordon Ritter
Boxer Green Russo
Brooks Hayes (LA) Savage
Broomfield Hertel Saxton
Bunning Huckaby Scheuer
Campbell (CO) Jefferson Shaw
Carr Jones Solarz
Chandler Lehman (FL) Stark
Chapman Levine (CA) Stokes
Conyers Manton Thomas (CA)
Cooper Marlenee Towns
Donnelly Martin Traxler
Dooley Mavroules Washington
Dwyer McCrery Weber
Edwards (OK) Mrazek Williams
Fascell Neal (MA) Yatron
Feighan Owens (UT) Zeliff

So the motion to lay the resolution on the table was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider the vote whereby said motion was agreed to

was, by unanimous consent, laid on the table.

¶108.7 PROVIDING FOR THE
CONSIDERATION OF SENATE
AMENDMENTS TO H.R. 5620

Mr. BONIOR, by direction of the Committee on Rules, called up the following resolution (H. Res. 575):

Resolved, That upon adoption of this resolution it shall be in order, any rule of the House to the contrary notwithstanding, to consider in the House an indivisible motion to take from the speaker's table the bill (H.R. 5620) making supplemental appropriations, transfers, and rescissions for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1992, and for other purposes, with Senate amendments numbered 1 through 69 thereto, to disagree to the Senate amendments numbered 1 through 68, and to concur in the Senate amendment numbered 69 with an amendment. The Senate amendments and the motion shall be considered as read. The motion shall be debatable for one hour equally divided and controlled by the chairman and ranking minority member of the Committee on Appropriations or their respective designees. The previous question shall be considered as ordered on the motion to final adoption without intervening motion.

When said resolution was considered. After debate,

On motion of Mr. BONIOR, the previous question was ordered on the resolution to its adoption or rejection and under the operation thereof, the resolution was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider the vote whereby said resolution was agreed to was, by unanimous consent, laid on the table.

¶108.8 SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATIONS,
1992

Mr. NATCHER, pursuant to House Resolution 575, moved to take from the Speaker's table the bill (H.R. 5620) making supplemental appropriations, transfers, and rescissions for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1992, and for other purposes; together with the Senate amendments thereto, disagree to Senate amendments numbered 1 through 68, and concur in Senate amendment number 69 with an amendment.

Senate amendments numbered 1 through 68:

(1)Page 2, line 9, strike out [\$1,795,000] and insert: \$3,000,000

(2)Page 2, after line 16, insert:

OPERATIONS, RESEARCH, AND FACILITIES
(INCLUDING RESCISSION)

Of the funds available under this heading, \$3,500,000 are rescinded.

For an additional amount for "Operations, research, and facilities", \$1,500,000, to remain available until expended, for lease costs of the National Marine Fisheries Service laboratory at Sandy Hook, New Jersey. Notwithstanding section 318(d) of the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972 (16 U.S.C. 1464(d)), amounts provided pursuant to Public Law 101-162 for the acquisition of Buxton Woods shall remain available to the State of North Carolina through September 30, 1993.

(3)Page 2, after line 16, insert:

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT REVOLVING FUND
(RESCISSION)

Of the funds available under this heading, \$2,100,000 are rescinded.

(4)Page 2, after line 25, insert:

SEC. 101. (a) FINDINGS AND DECLARATIONS.—The Congress finds and declares that—

(1) the criminal act of stalking other persons is a problem of deep concern;

(2) previously available legal recourse against stalking, such as restraining orders, have proven largely ineffective;

(3) anti-stalking legislation has been enacted or proposed by several of the States;

(4) the constitutionality of several of the States' anti-stalking statutes may be in question; and

(5) the Congress has an interest in assisting the States in enacting anti-stalking legislation that is constitutional and enforceable.

(b) EVALUATION.—The Attorney General, acting through the Director of the National Institute of Justice, shall—

(1) evaluate anti-stalking legislation and proposed anti-stalking legislation in the States;

(2) develop model anti-stalking legislation that is constitutional and enforceable;

(3) prepare and disseminate to State authorities the findings made as a result of the evaluation; and

(4) not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, report to the Congress the findings and the need or appropriateness of further action by the Federal Government.

(c) EXPENSES.—Expenses incurred in conducting the evaluation and developing model legislation under subsection (b) shall be paid out of funds that are available to the National Institute of Justice for fiscal year 1992.

(5)Page 3, strike out lines 1 to 6

(6)Page 3, strike out lines 10 to 12

(7)Page 4, strike out lines 3 to 8

(8)Page 5, line 3, strike out [\$69,700,000] and insert: \$19,700,000

(9)Page 5, line 4, strike out all after "1992" down to and including "personnel" in line 10

(10)Page 5, line 10, strike out all after "personnel" down to and including "Forces" in line 15

(11)Page 5, line 15, strike out all after "Forces" down to and including "construction" in line 17

(12)Page 6, strike out lines 1 and 2 and insert: *shall remain available until September 30, 1993.*

(13)Page 6, line 9, strike out [\$7,000,000] and insert: \$69,800,000

(14)Page 7, line 10, strike out [\$5,182,878,000] and insert: \$2,375,974,000

(15)Page 7, line 12, strike out all after "appropriations" down to and including "Fund" in line 14, and insert: *from the defense cooperation account*

(16)Page 7, line 20, strike out [\$1,037,261,000] and insert: \$399,000,000

(17)Page 7, line 23, strike out [\$205,700,000] and insert: \$30,000,000

(18)Page 8, strike out lines 1 to 3

(19)Page 8, strike out lines 4 to 6

(20)Page 8, line 11, strike out all after "Army," down to and including "1994" in line 13 and insert: \$1,355,274,000

(21)Page 8, line 16, strike out [\$101,000,000] and insert: \$75,000,000

(22)Page 8, line 19, strike out all after "Corps," down to and including "1994" in line 21 and insert: \$224,600,000

(23)Page 8, line 24, strike out all after "Force," over to and including "1994" in line 2 on page 9 and insert: \$247,200,000

(24)Page 9, line 5, strike out [\$10,700,000] and insert: \$4,900,000

(25)Page 10, line 22, strike out [\$12,485,446,313] and insert: \$14,696,040,000

(26)Page 11, strike out lines 12 to 15

(27)Page 11, strike out lines 16 to 25

(28)Page 11, after line 25, insert:

SEC. 204. (a) The Secretary of Defense shall transfer up to \$40,000,000 in additional funds

from the Defense Cooperation Account to the appropriate appropriations accounts within the Department of Defense to remain available until expended for Kurdish humanitarian needs and related transportation costs to include, but not limited to, the prepositioning of emergency food stocks, water and seed, the provision of medical assistance, the establishment of regional medical clinics in recognized Kurdish areas of Iraq and the extension of technical assistance for land mine clearing, the drilling of water wells and the construction of temporary shelters.

(b) Wherever possible, the President shall make available personnel from the Department of Defense in preference to those of the United Nations to carry out the intent of this provision.

(c) The Secretary of Defense shall report to the Committees on Appropriations and Armed Services of the Senate and the House at the start of each quarter in fiscal year 1993 on the steps taken to bring relief and restore the well-being and security of the people of recognized Kurdish areas of Iraq.

(29)Page 11, after line 25, insert:

SEC. 205. In addition to any other transfer authority contained in this Act, amounts from the Defense Business Operations Fund shall be transferred to the following appropriations in the amounts specified to be merged with and be available for the same purposes and for the same time period as the appropriations to which transferred, as follows: \$320,598,000 to Military Personnel, Army; \$134,400,000 to Military Personnel, Navy; \$17,127,000 to Military Personnel, Marine Corps; and \$367,200,000 to Military Personnel, Air Force: Provided, That, for the purpose of maintaining the industrial base, \$60,000,000 of the funds available in the Defense Business Operations Fund, combined with funds otherwise available to the Department of Defense, shall be obligated forthwith for the purchase of 2.88 million cases of Meals Ready to Eat.

(30)Page 11, after line 25, insert:

SEC. 206. Funds appropriated to the Department of Defense in the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 1991 (Public Law 101-511) and made available for transfer to the Department of Commerce and the Department of Labor to assist State and local governments significantly impacted by reductions in defense industry employment or reductions in the number of military and civilian personnel residing in such States and communities shall be available until September 30, 1997.

(31)Page 11, after line 25, insert:

SEC. 207. Notwithstanding section 2391 of title 10, United States Code, the Secretary of Defense may make a grant of \$1,100,000 to assist Astoria Oregon in the planning, design and modification of facilities and support infrastructure to accommodate new Navy Minesweeper/Minehunter vessels.

(32)Page 11, after line 25, insert:

SEC. 208. Funds appropriated for the Office of Economic Adjustment at the Department of Defense for fiscal year 1992 are reduced by \$1,000,000, and funds appropriated for the Office of the Secretary of Defense for fiscal year 1992 are increased by \$1,000,000 for the purpose of making an economic impact grant to Nye County, Nevada.

(33)Page 12, after line 11, insert:

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN
SERVICES

ADMINISTRATION FOR CHILDREN AND FAMILIES
HUMAN DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

Funds appropriated in Public Law 102-170 under the heading "Human Development Services" for the "Family Violence Prevention and Services Act", shall remain available until expended.

(34)Page 12, line 12, strike out [PROVISIONS] and insert: PROVISIONS